GOODRICH CORP Form 10-Q October 27, 2008

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 Form 10-Q

#### QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES þ **EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008	
	OR
o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT T	O SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	
For the transition period from to	
	file number 1-892 CORPORATION
(Exact name of registran	nt as specified in its charter)
New York	34-0252680
(State of Incorporation)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
Four Coliseum Centre	
2730 West Tyvola Road	
Charlotte, North Carolina	28217
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)	(Zip Code)
	, including area code: (704) 423-7000
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has file	
the Conveiting Evolution A at of 1024 dyning the managing	12 months (on for such shorter paried that the resist

(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting

company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting Accelerated filer o filer b (Do not check if a smaller reporting company o company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes

At September 30, 2008, there were 123,098,500 shares of common stock outstanding (excluding 14,000,000 shares held by a wholly owned subsidiary). There is only one class of common stock.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	3
Item 1. Financial Statements	3
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	27
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	61
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	61
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	62
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	62
Item 1A. Risk Factors	62
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	63
Item 6. Exhibits	64
<u>SIGNATURE</u>	65
EXHIBIT INDEX	66
Exhibit 10.1	
Exhibit 15	
Exhibit 31.1	
Exhibit 31.2	
Exhibit 32	
Exhibit 10.1	
Exhibit 15	
Exhibit 31.1 Exhibit 31.2	
Exhibit 32	
2	

#### **Table of Contents**

#### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Financial Statements.

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We have reviewed the condensed consolidated balance sheet of Goodrich Corporation as of September 30, 2008, and the related condensed consolidated statement of income for the three- and nine- month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, and the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 and 2007. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Goodrich Corporation as of December 31, 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders equity, and cash flows for the year then ended, not presented herein; and in our report dated February 18, 2008, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2007, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina October 24, 2008

3

**Table of Contents** 

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months End September 30,				
		2008	,	2007		2008		2007
		(Dollars	in mi	llions, exc	ept p	er share a	amou	nts)
Sales	\$ 1	1,772.3	\$ 1	1,601.7	\$ :	5,366.6	\$	4,724.4
Operating costs and expenses:								
Cost of sales	1	1,214.9	1	1,102.9	(	3,715.2		3,293.4
Selling and administrative costs		260.6		262.6		791.6		777.0
	1	1,475.5	1	1,365.5	2	4,506.8		4,070.4
Operating Income		296.8		236.2		859.8		654.0
Interest expense		(26.7)		(31.5)		(85.2)		(93.8)
Interest income		1.4		2.2		5.1		5.5
Other income (expense) net		(9.6)		(12.5)		(32.2)		(45.6)
Income from continuing operations before income								
taxes		261.9		194.4		747.5		520.1
Income tax expense		(94.1)		(54.2)		(242.5)		(156.9)
Income From Continuing Operations		167.8		140.2		505.0		363.2
Income (loss) from discontinued operations net of		0.2		(10.4)		7.5		(11.0)
income taxes		0.2		(13.4)		7.5		(11.8)
Net Income	\$	168.0	\$	126.8	\$	512.5	\$	351.4
Basic Earnings Per Share								
Continuing operations	\$	1.35	\$	1.12	\$	4.04	\$	2.90
Discontinued operations				(0.11)		0.06		(0.09)
Net Income	\$	1.35	\$	1.01	\$	4.10	\$	2.81
Diluted Earnings Per Share								
Continuing operations	\$	1.33	\$	1.10	\$	3.97	\$	2.84
Discontinued operations				(0.11)		0.06		(0.09)
Net Income	\$	1.33	\$	0.99	\$	4.03	\$	2.75
Dividends Declared Per Common Share	\$	0.225	\$	0.20	\$	0.675	\$	0.60

See Notes Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

4

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (UNAUDITED)

	September 30, 2008 (Dollars except sh	s in mi	•
Current Assets	except si	iounts)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 246.9	\$	406.0
Accounts and notes receivable, less allowances for doubtful receivables (\$22.4 at	\$ 240.9	φ	400.0
September 30, 2008 and \$14.3 at December 31, 2007)	1,138.4		1,006.2
Inventories net	1,985.0		1,775.6
Deferred income taxes	177.0		178.2
Prepaid expenses and other assets	59.7		108.4
Income taxes receivable	5.5		74.4
income taxes receivable	5.5		/
Total Current Assets	3,612.5		3,548.8
Property, plant and equipment net	1,424.9		1,387.4
Prepaid pension	28.4		16.1
Goodwill	1,410.2		1,363.2
Identifiable intangible assets net	447.8		452.1
Deferred income taxes	23.0		11.1
Other assets	674.8		755.3
Other assets	074.0		133.3
Total Assets	\$ 7,621.6	\$	7,534.0
Current Liabilities			
Short-term debt	\$ 112.5	\$	21.9
Accounts payable	677.3	Ψ	586.7
Accrued expenses	892.0		930.8
Income taxes payable	103.7		10.6
Deferred income taxes	31.0		29.7
Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	122.1		162.9
Current minuscrites of rong term deed and suprim rease conguinous	12211		102.0
Total Current Liabilities	1,938.6		1,742.6
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	1,405.4		1,562.9
Pension obligations	381.6		417.8
Postretirement benefits other than pensions	337.1		358.9
Long-term income taxes payable	121.6		146.0
Deferred income taxes	133.6		170.2
Other non-current liabilities	576.0		556.2
Shareholders Equity	570.0		330.2
Common stock \$5 par value			
Authorized 200,000,000 shares; issued 143,507,229 shares at September 30, 2008			
and 142,372,162 shares at December 31, 2007 (excluding 14,000,000 shares held			
by a wholly owned subsidiary)	717.5		711.9
Additional paid-in capital	1,513.3		1,453.1
	1,010.0		1,100.1

Income retained in the business Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) Common stock held in treasury, at cost (20,408,729 shares at September 30, 2008	1,481.7 (191.7)	1,054.8 14.4
and 17,761,696 shares at December 31, 2007)	(793.1)	(654.8)
Total Shareholders Equity	2,727.7	2,579.4
Total Liabilities And Shareholders Equity	\$ 7,621.6	\$ 7,534.0

See Notes Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

5

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

	Nine Mon Septem 2008 (Dollars in	ber 30, 2007
Operating Activities		
Net income	\$ 512.5	\$ 351.4
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
(Income) loss from discontinued operations	(7.5)	11.8
Restructuring and consolidation:		
Expenses	1.3	1.5
Payments	(1.7)	(2.9)
Pension and postretirement benefits:		
Expenses	76.9	95.6
Contributions and benefit payments	(124.2)	(137.4)
Depreciation and amortization	192.0	188.6
Excess tax benefits related to share-based payment arrangements	(8.4)	(11.7)
Share-based compensation expense	25.5	55.3
Deferred income taxes	(9.0)	(11.9)
Change in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and divestitures:		
Receivables	(149.1)	(93.5)
Inventories, net of pre-production and excess-over-average	(144.4)	(120.0)
Pre-production and excess-over-average inventories	(83.3)	(86.7)
Other current assets	(2.8)	7.8
Accounts payable	107.9	11.8
Accrued expenses	(55.5)	76.8
Income taxes payable/receivable	151.2	68.3
Other non-current assets and liabilities	(20.6)	1.3
Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities	460.8	406.1
Investing Activities		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(189.6)	(160.6)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	2.8	0.8
Payments made for acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(131.8)	
Net Cash Used In Investing Activities	(318.6)	(159.8)
Financing Activities		
Increase (decrease) in short-term debt, net	90.6	(0.3)
Repayment of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(198.1)	(1.1)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	24.2	78.9
Purchases of treasury stock	(138.3)	(152.5)
Dividends paid	(85.7)	(75.9)
Excess tax benefits related to share-based payment arrangements	8.4	11.7
Distributions to minority interest holders	(6.8)	(3.2)
Net Cash Used In Financing Activities	(305.7)	(142.4)

<b>Discontinued Operations</b>		
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(2.6)	6.6
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	15.7	(1.4)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		
Net cash provided by (used in) discontinued operations	13.1	5.2
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(8.7)	3.9
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(159.1)	113.0
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	406.0	201.3

See Notes Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

\$ 246.9

\$ 314.3

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period

6

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

## Note 1. Basis of Interim Financial Statement Preparation and Use of Estimates

The accompanying Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Goodrich Corporation and its subsidiaries have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and do not include all of the information and notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. Unless indicated otherwise or the context requires, the terms we, our, us, Goodrich or Company refe Goodrich Corporation and its subsidiaries. The Company believes that all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Certain amounts in prior year financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. Operating results for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be achieved for the twelve months ending December 31, 2008. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

As discussed in Note 5, Discontinued Operations, Goodrich Aviation Technical Services, Inc. (ATS) has been accounted for as a discontinued operation. Unless otherwise noted, disclosures pertain to the Company s continuing operations.

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts recognized. Estimates and assumptions are reviewed and updated regularly as new information becomes available. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company changed its estimates of revenues and costs on certain long-term contracts primarily in its aerostructures and aircraft wheels and brakes businesses. The changes in estimates increased income from continuing operations before income taxes during the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 by \$38.7 million and \$19.2 million, respectively (\$23.7 million and \$11.7 million after tax, respectively). The changes in estimates increased income from continuing operations before income taxes during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 by \$87.4 million and \$54.4 million, respectively (\$53.6 million and \$33.2 million after tax, respectively).

# **Note 2. New Accounting Standards**

# Accounting Standards Adopted on January 1, 2008

Fair Value Measurements

The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The adoption of SFAS 157 did not have a material impact on the Company s financial condition and results of operations. For additional information on the fair value of certain financial assets and liabilities, see Note 7, Fair Value Measurements.

1

#### **Table of Contents**

Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards

The Company adopted Emerging Issues Task Force No. 06-11, Accounting for the Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards (EITF 06-11). The adoption of EITF 06-11 did not have a material impact on the Company s financial condition and results of operations.

Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Associated with Split-Dollar Life Insurance

The Company adopted Emerging Issues Task Force No. 06-4, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefits Associated with Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements (EITF 06-4) and Emerging Issues Task Force No. 06-10, Accounting for Collateral Assignment Split-Dollar Insurance Arrangements (EITF 06-10). The adoption of EITF 06-4 and EITF 06-10 did not have a material impact on the Company s financial condition and results of operations.

# **Accounting Standards Not Yet Adopted**

Two-class Method of Computing Earnings Per Share

In June 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Staff Position No. EITF 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities (FSP 03-6-1). In FSP 03-6-1, unvested share-based payment awards that contain rights to receive nonforfeitable dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities, and thus, should be included in the two-class method of computing earnings per share (EPS). This FSP is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those years and requires that all prior period EPS be adjusted retroactively. Upon adoption, the Company does not expect this standard to have a material impact on its disclosures of EPS.

Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 requires entities to provide greater transparency through additional disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 133) and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. Upon adoption, the Company will include additional disclosures of its derivative instruments to comply with this standard.

8

#### **Table of Contents**

Business Combinations and Noncontrolling Interests

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141(R), Business Combinations (SFAS 141(R)) and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160 Accounting and Reporting of Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51 (SFAS 160). SFAS 141(R) and SFAS 160 significantly change the accounting for and reporting of business combination transactions and noncontrolling (minority) interests. SFAS 141(R) and SFAS 160 are effective for the fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Upon adoption, the Company will change the presentation of its noncontrolling interests in its Consolidated Financial Statements to comply with the requirements of SFAS 160. SFAS 141(R) is required to be adopted concurrently with SFAS 160 and is effective for business combination transactions for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008.

# **Note 3. Business Segment Information**

The Company s three business segments are as follows:

The Actuation and Landing Systems segment provides systems, components and related services pertaining to aircraft taxi, take-off, flight control, landing and stopping, and engine components, including fuel delivery systems and rotating assemblies.

The Nacelles and Interior Systems segment produces products and provides maintenance, repair and overhaul services associated with aircraft engines, including thrust reversers, cowlings, nozzles and their components, and aircraft interior products, including slides, seats, cargo and lighting systems.

The Electronic Systems segment produces a wide array of systems and components that provide flight performance measurements, flight management, fuel controls, electrical systems, and control and safety data, and reconnaissance and surveillance systems.

9

#### **Table of Contents**

The Company measures each reporting segment s profit based upon operating income. Accordingly, the Company does not allocate net interest expense, other income (expense) net and income taxes to its reporting segments. The company-wide Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) implementation costs that are not directly associated with a specific business were not allocated to the segments. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those for the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2008 2007				Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 2007			
	•	2008						2007
Colon			(	(Dollars in	1 1111111	ions)		
Sales:	¢	6640	¢	607.0	<b>d</b> 2	2.025.0	φ.	17641
Actuation and Landing Systems	\$	664.2	\$	607.8		2,035.9		1,764.1
Nacelles and Interior Systems		596.5		545.2		1,882.1		1,625.8
Electronic Systems		511.6		448.7	-	1,448.6		1,334.5
	\$ 1	1,772.3	\$	1,601.7	\$ 3	5,366.6	\$ 4	4,724.4
Intersegment sales:								
Actuation and Landing Systems	\$	8.5	\$	8.0	\$	26.4	\$	21.2
Nacelles and Interior Systems	·	1.9		5.1		11.3		14.2
Electronic Systems		6.6		5.9		19.3		23.0
	\$	17.0	\$	19.0	\$	57.0	\$	58.4
Operating income:								
Actuation and Landing Systems	\$	80.0	\$	73.6	\$	238.6	\$	182.0
Nacelles and Interior Systems		162.4		143.6		501.9		404.7
Electronic Systems		79.3		58.7		199.8		175.7
		321.7		275.9		940.3		762.4
Corporate general and administrative expenses		(21.1)		(34.5)		(67.8)		(95.9)
ERP implementation costs		(3.8)		(5.2)		(12.7)		(12.5)
Total operating income	\$	296.8	\$	236.2	\$	859.8	\$	654.0

# Note 4. Other Income (Expense) net

Other Income (Expense) net consisted of the following:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Mon Septem																								
	2008 2007		2008 20		2007		2007		2007		2007		2007		2007		2007		2008 2007		2007		2007		2008 2007		2008	2007
	(Dollars in				millions)																							
Retiree health care expenses related to previously owned																												
businesses	\$	(3.8)	\$	(4.6)	\$ (14.6)	\$ (13.8)																						
Expenses related to previously owned businesses		(2.1)		(4.8)	(5.9)	(16.1)																						
Minority interest and equity in affiliated companies		(3.5)		(4.7)	(12.3)	(17.6)																						
Other net		(0.2)		1.6	0.6	1.9																						
Other income (expense) net	\$	(9.6)	\$	(12.5)	\$ (32.2)	\$ (45.6)																						

Expenses related to previously owned businesses primarily relates to environmental litigation costs, net of settlements, and remediation costs.

10

#### **Note 5. Discontinued Operations**

On September 21, 2007, the Company reached a definitive agreement to sell ATS, which was previously reported in the Actuation and Landing Systems segment. The sale closed during the fourth quarter of 2007. In accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets the Company compared the book value of the net assets of ATS to their fair value and recorded an estimated loss on the sale of \$13.9 million after tax during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007. All periods have been reclassified to reflect ATS as a discontinued operation. The costs and revenues, assets and liabilities, and cash flows of ATS have been reported as a discontinued operation in the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements.

On March 3, 2008, the Company sold a previously discontinued operation. Discontinued operations were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Endo September 30,				
	20	008	2	2007	2	008	2007
			$(\mathbf{I}$	Oollars ir	ı milli	ons)	
Sales ATS	\$		\$	34.4	\$		\$ 122.6
Operations ATS net of tax for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 of \$0.2 and \$1.3, respectively Estimated loss on sale of ATS net of income tax benefit of	\$		\$	0.6	\$		\$ 2.5
\$37.5 Previously discontinued operations net of tax expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 of \$0 and \$0.6, respectively; net of tax benefit for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 of \$0.1 and \$0.3,				(13.9)			(13.9)
respectively		0.2		(0.1)		7.5	(0.4)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$	0.2	\$	(13.4)	\$	7.5	\$ (11.8)

#### **Note 6. Earnings Per Share**

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for income from continuing operations is as follows:

	Three 1	Months			
	En	ded	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	Septem	ıber 30,			
	2008 2007		2008	2007	
	(In m	illions, except	per share am	ounts)	
Numerator					
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share income					
from continuing operations	\$ 167.8	\$ 140.2	\$ 505.0	\$ 363.2	
Denominator					
Denominator for basic earnings per share weighted-average					
shares	124.4	125.0	124.9	125.2	
Effect of dilutive securities:					
Stock options, employee stock purchase plan, restricted					
shares and restricted share units	1.8	2.6	2.1	2.5	
Other deferred compensation shares	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	

	1.9	2.7	2.2	2.6
Denominator for diluted earnings per share adjusted weighted-average shares and assumed conversion	126.3	127.7	127.1	127.8
<b>Per share income from continuing operations</b> Basic	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.12	\$ 4.04	\$ 2.90
Diluted	\$ 1.33	\$ 1.10	\$ 3.97	\$ 2.84
	11			

#### **Table of Contents**

At September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company had 4.6 million and 4.8 million of outstanding stock options, respectively. Stock options are included in the diluted earnings per share calculation using the treasury stock method, unless the effect of including the stock options would be anti-dilutive. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, 896,000 and 1.2 million, respectively, anti-dilutive stock options were excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company issued 1.1 million and 2.7 million, respectively, of shares of common stock pursuant to stock option exercises and other share-based compensation plans. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company repurchased 2.5 million shares and 2.7 million shares, respectively, under its share repurchase program that was initially approved by the Board of Directors on October 24, 2006 and increased by the Board of Directors on February 19, 2008, for \$600 million in total. As of September 30, 2008, the Company has purchased 6.4 million shares to date for approximately \$354 million under the Company s share repurchase program.

# **Note 7. Fair Value Measurements**

As described in Note 2, New Accounting Standards , the Company has adopted SFAS 157 which defines fair value as the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. SFAS 157 also describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.
- Level 2 observable inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.
- Level 3 unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data available, which require the reporting entity to develop its own

assumptions.

The Company s financial assets and (liabilities) measured at fair value on a recurring basis were as follows:

	Balance September 30, 2008	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	2000			LC (CI 3
		(Dollars in m	illions)	
Cash Equivalents	\$37.5	\$37.5	\$	\$
Derivative Financial Instruments (1)				
Cash Flow Hedges	(7.4)		(7.4)	
Fair Value Hedges	3.6		3.6	
Other Forward Contracts	2.1		2.1	
Rabbi Trust Assets (2)	40.5	40.5		

- (1) See Note 16,
   Derivatives and
   Hedging
   Activities .
- (2) Rabbi trust
  assets include
  mutual funds
  and cash
  equivalents for
  payment of
  certain
  non-qualified

benefits for retired, terminated and active employees.

12

#### Note 8. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

	September 30, 2008 (Dollars	ecember 31, 2007 llions)
FIFO or average cost (which approximates current costs):		
Finished products	\$ 250.6	\$ 252.9
In-process	1,272.0	1,067.0
Raw materials and supplies	553.1	533.3
	2,075.7	1,853.2
Less:		
Reserve to reduce certain inventories to LIFO basis	(52.4)	(49.5)
Progress payments and advances	(38.3)	(28.1)
Total	\$ 1,985.0	\$ 1,775.6

In-process inventory included \$603.3 million and \$515.4 million at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively, for the following: (1) pre-production and excess-over-average inventory accounted for under long-term contract accounting; and (2) engineering costs guaranteed of recovery under long-term contractual arrangements. The September 30, 2008 balance of \$603.3 million included \$374.5 million related to Boeing 787 contracts. The Company uses the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method of valuing inventory for certain of the Company s legacy aerospace manufacturing businesses, primarily the aircraft wheels and brakes business unit in the Actuation and Landing Systems segment. An actual valuation of inventory under the LIFO method can be made only at the end of each year based on the inventory levels and costs at that time.

## Note 9. Goodwill

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by segment were as follows:

	Balance December 31, Business		usiness		oreign arrency	Balance September 30,		
	,		·		ŕ			
	2007		(3)	Tra	nslation		2008	
			(Dollars in	milli	ons)			
Actuation and Landing Systems	\$ 331.5	\$		\$	(16.7)	\$	314.8	
Nacelles and Interior Systems	433.1		3.6(1)		(0.7)		436.0	
Electronic Systems	598.6		61.0(2)		(0.2)		659.4	
	\$ 1,363.2	\$	64.6	\$	(17.6)	\$	1,410.2	

(1) On January 18, 2008, the Company acquired Skyline Industries, Inc. (Skyline) for

\$9.5 million in cash. Based upon an independent valuation, identifiable intangibles were \$4.1 million and will be amortized over a weighted-average useful life of 20 years.

(2) On April 17, 2008, the Company acquired TEAC Aerospace Holdings, Inc. (TEAC) for \$84 million in cash, net of cash acquired. Based upon an independent valuation, identifiable intangibles were \$30.9 million and will be amortized over a weighted-average useful life of

11 years.

13

On July 28, 2008, the Company acquired certain assets of Recon/Optical, Inc. (ROI) for \$38.4 million in cash. Based upon an independent valuation, identifiable intangibles were \$7.9 million and will be amortized over a weighted-average useful life of 12 years.

(3) Goodwill amounts are preliminary and may be adjusted when certain pre-acquisition contingencies are resolved.

## **Note 10. Financing Arrangements**

The Company has a \$500 million committed global syndicated revolving credit facility, which expires in May 2012. Interest rates under this facility vary depending upon:

The amount borrowed;

The Company s public debt rating by Standard & Poor s, Moody s and Fitch; and At the Company s option, rates tied to the agent bank s prime rate or, for U.S. Dollar and Great Britain Pounds Sterling borrowings, the London Interbank Offered Rate and for Euro Dollar borrowings, the Euro Interbank Offered Rate.

At September 30, 2008, there were \$70 million in borrowings and \$36.3 million in letters of credit outstanding under the facility. At December 31, 2007, there were \$34.9 million in borrowings and \$22.3 million in letters of credit outstanding under the facility. The level of unused borrowing capacity varies from time to time depending, in part, upon the Company s compliance with financial and other covenants set forth in the related agreement, including the consolidated net worth requirement and maximum leverage ratio. The Company is currently in compliance with all such covenants. Under the most restrictive of these covenants, \$1,499.9 million of income retained in the business and additional paid-in capital was free from such limitations at September 30, 2008. At September 30, 2008, the Company had borrowing capacity under this facility of \$393.7 million, after reductions for borrowings and letters of credit outstanding under the facility.

At September 30, 2008, the Company had letters of credit and bank guarantees of \$77.1 million, inclusive of \$36.3 million in letters of credit outstanding under the Company s syndicated revolving credit facility, as discussed above.

At September 30, 2008, the Company also maintained \$75 million of uncommitted domestic money market facilities and \$174.9 million of uncommitted and committed foreign working capital facilities with various banks to meet

short-term borrowing requirements. At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, there were \$42.5 million and \$25.9 million, respectively, in borrowings outstanding under these facilities. These credit facilities are provided by a small number of commercial banks that also provide the Company with committed credit through the syndicated revolving credit facility described above and with various cash management, trust and other services.

14

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Long-term Debt Repayments**

The Company used cash from operations to repay \$162 million for the following notes, which matured on April 15, 2008:

\$119 million principal amount of the 7.5% notes; and

\$43 million principal amount of the 6.45% notes.

On June 23, 2008, the Company also used cash from operations to repay \$34.9 million under its revolving credit facility.

# **Lease Commitments**

The Company leases certain of its office and manufacturing facilities as well as machinery and equipment, including corporate aircraft, under various committed lease arrangements provided by financial institutions.

Certain of these arrangements allow the Company, rather than the lessor, to claim a deduction for tax depreciation on the assets and allow the Company to lease corporate aircraft and equipment having a maximum unamortized value of \$150 million at September 30, 2008. These leases are priced at a spread over LIBOR and are extended periodically through the end of the lease terms, unless notice is provided. At September 30, 2008, future payments under these leases were \$9.5 million. At September 30, 2008, the Company had guarantees of residual values on lease obligations of \$24.8 million. The Company is obligated to either purchase or remarket the leased assets at the end of the lease term. During the three months ended September 30, 2008, the Company entered into a similar arrangement to lease corporate aircraft having a maximum unamortized value of \$55 million.

Future minimum lease payments under standard operating leases were \$173.5 million at September 30, 2008.

15

Settlements and curtailments

loss

Net benefit cost

Note 11. Pensions and Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions Pensions

The following table sets forth the components of net periodic benefit cost. The net periodic benefit cost for divested or discontinued operations retained by the Company are included in the amounts below.

	Three M End Septemb	Three Months Ended Three Months Ended September 30, September 30, September 30,			Three M End Septem	er Plans e Months Ended ember 30,		
	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007		
			(Dollars in	,				
Service cost	\$ 10.7	\$ 11.4	\$ 7.1	\$ 7.4	\$ 1.4	\$ 1.3		
Interest cost	41.9	39.5	10.7	10.0	1.6	1.4		
Expected return on plan assets Amortization of prior service	(50.0)	(47.7)	(16.2)	(15.2)	(1.7)	(1.6)		
cost	1.4	1.8	(0.3)	(0.3)				
Amortization of actuarial loss	12.3	14.3	,		0.2	0.3		
Net periodic benefit cost Settlements and curtailments	16.3	19.3	1.3	1.9	1.5	1.4		
loss	0.1	6.0						
Net benefit cost	\$ 16.4	\$ 25.3	\$ 1.3	\$ 1.9	\$ 1.5	\$ 1.4		
	Nine Mor	Plans oths Ended ober 30,	Nine Mon	Plans ths Ended lber 30,	Nine Mon	Plans ths Ended lber 30,		
	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007		
			(Dollars in	millions)				
Service cost	\$ 32.1	\$ 34.9	\$ 22.1	\$ 21.9	\$ 4.3	\$ 3.6		
Interest cost	125.7	120.0	32.8	29.5	4.8	4.0		
Expected return on plan assets Amortization of prior service	(150.1)	(146.3)	(50.1)	(45.0)	(5.2)	(4.5)		
cost	4.2	5.6	(0.8)	(0.8)	0.1			
Amortization of actuarial loss	36.7	47.0	,	` '	0.7	0.8		
Net periodic benefit cost	48.6	61.2	4.0	5.6	4.7	3.9		

The following table provides the weighted-average assumptions used to determine the net periodic benefit cost.

\$ 67.2

0.1

48.7

	U.S. F	U.S. Plans		U.K. Plans		Plans
	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007
Discount rate	6.30%		5.50%	5.00%	5.28%	4.88%
1/1/07 9/20/07		5.89%				

6.0

4.0

5.6

4.7

3.9

Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q

9/21/07 9/30/07 6.28%

Expected long-term rate of 9.00% return on assets 9.00% 8.50% 8.50% 8.24% 8.28% Rate of compensation increase 4.10% 3.86% 3.75% 3.50% 3.38% 3.36%

On September 21, 2007, a definitive agreement to divest ATS was reached and assumptions for the U.S. qualified pension plans were reevaluated to remeasure the plan obligations and assets. In connection with the remeasurement, there was a curtailment loss of \$6 million reported in discontinued operations in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007.

16

#### **Anticipated Contributions to Defined Benefit Plans and Trusts**

As of September 30, 2008, the Company had contributed \$101.6 million to its worldwide qualified and non-qualified pension plans. For 2008, the Company expects to contribute up to a total of \$120 million to these plans.

## **Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions**

The following table sets forth the components of net periodic postretirement benefit cost. Other postretirement benefits (OPEB) related to divested and discontinued operations retained by the Company are included in the amounts below.

		Three 1	Month	ıs					
		En	ded		N	ine Mon	ths E	nded	
	September 30,				September 30,				
	2008		2007		2008		2	2007	
Service cost	(Dollars in millions)								
	\$	0.3	\$	0.4	\$	1.2	\$	1.4	
Interest cost		5.5		5.6		16.5		17.1	
Amortization of prior service cost						(0.1)		(0.1)	
Amortization of actuarial (gain) loss		0.5		1.4		1.9		2.2	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	6.3	\$	7.4	\$	19.5	\$	20.6	

The following table provides the assumptions used to determine the net periodic postretirement benefit cost.

# 30, 2008 2007 Discount rate 6.12% 5.79% 8.3% in 2008 to 9% in 2007 to 5% in Healthcare trend rate 5% in 2015 2013

**Three and Nine Months Ended September** 

# **Note 12. Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

Total comprehensive income (loss) consisted of the following:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			nths Ended nber 30,	
	2008 2007		2008	2007	
		(Dollars in	n millions)		
Net income	\$ 168.0	\$ 126.8	\$ 512.5	\$ 351.4	
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Unrealized foreign currency translation gains					
(losses) during period	(147.7)	51.4	(117.4)	109.6	
Pension/OPEB liability adjustments during the period, net					
of tax for the three and nine months ended September 30,					
2008 of \$(5.7) and (\$11.8), respectively; net of tax for the					
three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 of					
(\$66.3) and (\$70.4), respectively	8.1	100.9	15.0	127.4	
Gain (loss) on cash flow hedges, net of tax for the three and					
nine months ended September 30, 2008 of \$49.4 and \$55.7,					
respectively; net of tax for the three and nine months ended					
September 30, 2007 of (\$16.3) and (\$28.2), respectively	(91.7)	29.1	(103.7)	53.4	

Total comprehensive income (loss)

\$ (63.3)

\$ 308.2

\$ 306.4

\$ 641.8

17

#### **Table of Contents**

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2008	De	31, 2007
	(Dollars	in mil	llions)
Cumulative unrealized foreign currency translation gains Pension/OPEB liability adjustments, net of deferred taxes of \$273.8 and \$285.6,	\$ 232.2	\$	349.6
respectively Accumulated gains (losses) on cash flow hedges, net of deferred taxes of \$2.3 and	(417.8)		(432.8)
\$(53.4), respectively	(6.1)		97.6
Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (191.7)	\$	14.4

No income taxes are provided on unrealized foreign currency translation gains as foreign earnings are considered permanently invested.

## **Note 13. Income Taxes**

The Company s effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2008 was 35.9%. Significant items that impacted the Company s effective tax rate as compared to the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% included foreign and domestic tax credits which reduced the effective tax rate by approximately 2 percentage points, earnings in foreign jurisdictions taxed at rates different from the statutory U.S. federal rate which reduced the effective tax rate by approximately 3 percentage points, deemed repatriation of non-U.S. earnings which increased the effective tax rate by approximately 2 percentage points, adjustments to reserves for tax contingencies, including interest thereon (net of related tax benefit), which increased the effective tax rate by approximately 2 percentage points and state income taxes (net of related tax benefit) which increased the effective tax rate by approximately 3 percentage points. During the three months ended September 30, 2007, the Company reported an effective tax rate of 27.9%, including a benefit from a tax settlement related to the IRS examination of the Company s 2000-2004 income tax returns which reduced the effective tax rate by approximately 8 percentage points, foreign and domestic tax credits which reduced the effective tax rate by approximately 4 percentage points, earnings in foreign jurisdictions taxed at rates different from the statutory U.S. federal rate which reduced the effective tax rate by approximately 3 percentage points, deemed repatriation of non-U.S. earnings which increased the effective tax rate by approximately 2 percentage points, adjustments to reserves for tax contingencies, including interest thereon (net of related tax benefit), which increased the effective tax rate by approximately 2 percentage points and state income taxes (net of related tax benefit) which increased the effective tax rate by approximately 3 percentage points.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the Company reported an effective tax rate of 32.4%, including a benefit of approximately 3 percentage points for amended state returns primarily for additional research and development credits and changes in apportionment, and a benefit of approximately 3 percentage points related to amended returns following the settlement of a foreign tax audit. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Company reported an effective tax rate of 30.2%, including a benefit of approximately 2 percentage points for the elimination of certain valuation allowances against net operating losses of a foreign subsidiary and a benefit of approximately 3 percentage points primarily related to the reversal of tax reserves associated with tax settlements.

18

#### **Table of Contents**

The Company s effective tax rates during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 were not reduced for the benefit of the U.S. Research and Development Credit (R&D Credit), which became law on October 3, 2008. The Company estimates that the effective tax rate for 2008 would have been approximately 1 percentage point lower had the Company been able to consider the tax benefits associated with the R&D Credit. The benefit is retroactive to the beginning of 2008, and the full year benefit will be reflected in the Company s fourth quarter 2008 results. The Company had a \$241.9 million liability recorded for unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2007, which included interest and penalties of \$135.6 million. The Company reported interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. The total amount of unrecognized benefits that, if recognized, would have affected the effective tax rate was \$176.2 million. At September 30, 2008, the Company had a \$248.2 million liability recorded for unrecognized tax benefits, which included interest and penalties of \$146.8 million. The total amount of unrecognized benefits that, if recognized, would have affected the effective tax rate was \$191.6 million.

# **Note 14. Contingencies**

#### General

There are various pending or threatened claims, lawsuits and administrative proceedings against the Company or its subsidiaries, arising from the ordinary course of business which seek remedies or damages. Although no assurance can be given with respect to the ultimate outcome of these matters, the Company believes that any liability that may finally be determined with respect to commercial and non-asbestos product liability claims should not have a material effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Legal costs are expensed as incurred.

#### **Environmental**

The Company is subject to environmental laws and regulations which may require that the Company investigate and remediate the effects of the release or disposal of materials at sites associated with past and present operations. At certain sites, the Company has been identified as a potentially responsible party under the federal Superfund laws and comparable state laws. The Company is currently involved in the investigation and remediation of a number of sites under applicable laws.

Estimates of the Company's environmental liabilities are based on current facts, laws, regulations and technology. These estimates take into consideration the Company's prior experience and professional judgment of the Company's environmental specialists. Estimates of the Company's environmental liabilities are further subject to uncertainties regarding the nature and extent of site contamination, the range of remediation alternatives available, evolving remediation standards, imprecise engineering evaluations and cost estimates, the extent of corrective actions that may be required and the number and financial condition of other potentially responsible parties, as well as the extent of their responsibility for the remediation.

19

#### **Table of Contents**

Accordingly, as investigation and remediation proceed, it is likely that adjustments in the Company's accruals will be necessary to reflect new information. The amounts of any such adjustments could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or cash flows in a given period. Based on currently available information, however, the Company does not believe that future environmental costs in excess of those accrued with respect to sites for which the Company has been identified as a potentially responsible party are likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition.

Environmental liabilities are recorded when the liability is probable and the costs are reasonably estimable, which generally is not later than at completion of a feasibility study or when the Company has recommended a remedy or has committed to an appropriate plan of action. The liabilities are reviewed periodically and, as investigation and remediation proceed, adjustments are made as necessary. Liabilities for losses from environmental remediation obligations do not consider the effects of inflation and anticipated expenditures are not discounted to their present value. The liabilities are not reduced by possible recoveries from insurance carriers or other third parties, but do reflect anticipated allocations among potentially responsible parties at federal Superfund sites or similar state-managed sites, third party indemnity obligations, and an assessment of the likelihood that such parties will fulfill their obligations at such sites.

The Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet included an accrued liability for environmental remediation obligations of \$67.1 million and \$69.6 million at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, \$22 million and \$18.6 million, respectively, of the accrued liability for environmental remediation were included in current liabilities as accrued expenses. At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, \$28 million and \$29.4 million, respectively, was associated with ongoing operations and \$39.1 million and \$40.2 million, respectively, was associated with previously owned businesses.

The Company expects that it will expend present accruals over many years, and will generally complete remediation in less than 30 years at sites for which it has been identified as a potentially responsible party. This period includes operation and monitoring costs that are generally incurred over 15 to 25 years.

Recently, certain states in the U.S. and countries globally are promulgating or proposing new or more demanding regulations or legislation impacting the use of various chemical substances by all companies. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of complying with such regulations and legislation.

#### **Asbestos**

The Company and some of its subsidiaries have been named as defendants in various actions by plaintiffs alleging damages as a result of exposure to asbestos fibers in products or at its facilities. A number of these cases involve maritime claims, which have been and are expected to continue to be administratively dismissed by the court. The Company believes that pending and reasonably anticipated future actions are not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. There can be no assurance, however, that future legislative or other developments will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows in a given period.

20

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Insurance Coverage**

The Company maintains a comprehensive portfolio of insurance policies, including aviation products liability insurance which covers most of its products. The aviation products liability insurance provides first dollar coverage for defense and indemnity of third party claims.

A portion of the Company s primary and excess layers of pre-1986 insurance coverage for third party claims was provided by certain insurance carriers who are either insolvent, undergoing solvent schemes of arrangement or in run-off. The Company has entered into settlement agreements with a number of these insurers pursuant to which the Company agreed to give up its rights with respect to certain insurance policies in exchange for negotiated payments. These settlements represent negotiated payments for the Company s loss of insurance coverage, as it no longer has this insurance available for claims that may have qualified for coverage. A portion of these settlements was recorded as income for reimbursement of past claim payments under the settled insurance policies and a portion was recorded as a deferred settlement credit for future claim payments.

At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the deferred settlement credit was \$51.2 million and \$53.6 million, respectively, for which \$6.4 million and \$7.6 million, respectively, was reported in accrued expenses and \$44.8 million and \$46 million, respectively, was reported in other non-current liabilities. The proceeds from such insurance settlements were reported as a component of net cash provided by operating activities in the period payments were received.

## **Liabilities of Divested Businesses**

#### Ashestos

In May 2002, the Company completed the tax-free spin-off of its Engineered Industrial Products (EIP) segment, which at the time of the spin-off included EnPro Industries, Inc. (EnPro) and Coltec Industries Inc (Coltec). At that time, two subsidiaries of Coltec were defendants in a significant number of personal injury claims relating to alleged asbestos-containing products sold by those subsidiaries prior to the Company s ownership. It is possible that asbestos-related claims might be asserted against the Company on the theory that it has some responsibility for the asbestos-related liabilities of EnPro, Coltec or its subsidiaries. A limited number of asbestos-related claims have been asserted against the Company as successor to Coltec or one of its subsidiaries. The Company believes that it has substantial legal defenses against these and other such claims. In addition, the agreement between EnPro and the Company that was used to effectuate the spin-off provides the Company with an indemnification from EnPro covering, among other things, these liabilities. The Company believes that such claims would not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, but could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations and cash flows in a particular period.

#### Other

In connection with the divestiture of the Company s tire, vinyl and other businesses, the Company has received contractual rights of indemnification from third parties for environmental and other claims arising out of the divested businesses. Failure of these third parties to honor their indemnification obligations could have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

21

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Aerostructures Long-term Contracts**

The Company s aerostructures business in the Nacelles and Interior Systems segment has several long-term contracts in the pre-production phase including the Boeing 787 and Airbus A350 XWB, and in the early production phase including the Airbus A380. These contracts are accounted for in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Statement of Position 81-1, Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts (SOP 81-1).

The pre-production phase includes design of the product to meet customer specifications as well as design of the processes to manufacture the product. Also involved in this phase is securing the supply of material and subcomponents produced by third party suppliers that are generally accomplished through long-term supply agreements.

Contracts in the early production phase include excess-over-average inventories, which represent the excess of current manufactured cost over the estimated average manufactured cost during the life of the contract.

Cost estimates over the lives of contracts are affected by estimates of future cost reductions including learning curve efficiencies. Because these contracts cover manufacturing periods of up to 20 years or more, there is risk associated with the estimates of future costs made during the pre-production and early production phases. These estimates may be different from actual costs due to the following:

Ability to recover costs incurred for change orders and claims;

Costs, including material and labor costs and related escalation;

Labor improvements due to the learning curve experience;

Anticipated cost productivity improvements related to new manufacturing methods and processes;

Supplier pricing, including escalation where applicable, supplier claims (see Dispute with Supplier below), and the supplier s ability to perform;

The cost impact of product design changes that frequently occur during the flight test and certification phases of a program; and

Effect of foreign currency exchange fluctuations.

Additionally, total contract revenue is based on estimates of future units to be delivered to the customer and sales price escalation, where applicable. There is a risk that there could be differences between the actual units delivered and the estimated total units to be delivered under the contract and differences in actual sales price escalation compared to estimates. Changes in estimates could have a material impact on the Company s results of operations and cash flows.

Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are recorded in the period such losses are determined to the extent total estimated costs exceed total estimated contract revenues.

22

#### Dispute with Supplier

On July 21, 2008, Alenia Aermacchi, S.p.A. (AAeM) filed a Demand for Arbitration with the American Arbitration Association against Rohr, Inc. (Rohr), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, in connection with a contract for the supply of fan cowls used in the nacelles that Rohr provides to Boeing on the 787 program. According to its Statement of Claims filed on August 15, 2008, AAeM seeks declaratory relief, rescission of the supply contract and monetary damages based upon allegations of commercial impracticability, lack of compensation for costs associated with design changes and Rohr s mismanagement of the program. On September 22, 2008, Rohr filed its answer, seeking to uphold the contract and denying liability, and instituted a counterclaim against AAeM, seeking damages for breach of contract and breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing. AAeM has until October 31, 2008 to file its answer to Rohr s counterclaims.

The Company believes that it has substantial legal and factual defenses to AAeM s claims, and intends to defend its interests and pursue its counterclaim vigorously. Given the nature and status of this proceeding, the Company cannot yet determine the amount or a reasonable range of potential loss, if any. However, there can be no assurance that this matter could not have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations and/or cash flows in a given period.

#### Tax

The Company is continuously undergoing examination by the IRS as well as various state and foreign jurisdictions. The IRS and other taxing authorities routinely challenge certain deductions and credits reported by the Company on its income tax returns. The Company establishes reserves for tax contingencies in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (SFAS 109) and FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48). See Note 13 Income Taxes, for additional detail.

#### Tax Years 2000 to 2004

During 2007, the IRS and the Company reached agreement on substantially all of the issues raised with respect to the examination of taxable years 2000 to 2004. The Company submitted a protest to the Appeals Division of the IRS with respect to the remaining unresolved issues. The Company believes the amount of the estimated tax liability if the IRS were to prevail is fully reserved. The Company cannot predict the timing or ultimate outcome of a final resolution of the remaining unresolved issues.

# Tax Years Prior to 2000

The previous examination cycle included the consolidated income tax groups for the audit periods identified below:

Coltec Industries Inc. and December, 1997 July, 1999 (through date of acquisition)

Subsidiaries

Goodrich Corporation and 1998 1999 (including Rohr and Coltec)

Subsidiaries

23

#### **Table of Contents**

The IRS and the Company previously reached final settlement on all but one of the issues raised in this examination cycle. The Company received statutory notices of deficiency dated June 14, 2007 related to the remaining unresolved issue which involves the proper timing of certain deductions. The Company filed a petition with the U.S. Tax Court in September 2007 to contest the notices of deficiency. The Company believes the amount of the estimated tax liability if the IRS were to prevail is fully reserved. The Company cannot predict the timing or ultimate outcome of this matter. Rohr has been under examination by the State of California for the tax years ended July 31, 1985, 1986 and 1987. The State of California has disallowed certain expenses incurred by one of Rohr s subsidiaries in connection with the lease of certain tangible property. California s Franchise Tax Board held that the deductions associated with the leased equipment were non-business deductions. The additional tax associated with the Franchise Tax Board s position is \$4.5 million. The amount of accrued interest associated with the additional tax is approximately \$27 million at September 30, 2008. In addition, the State of California enacted an amnesty provision that imposes nondeductible penalty interest equal to 50% of the unpaid interest amounts relating to taxable years ended before 2003. The penalty interest is approximately \$13.5 million at September 30, 2008. The tax and interest amounts continue to be contested by Rohr. The Company believes that it is adequately reserved for this contingency. No payment has been made for the \$27 million of interest or \$13.5 million of penalty interest. The Franchise Tax Board took the position that under California law, Rohr was required to pay the full amount of interest prior to filing any suit for refund. In April 2008, the Supreme Court of California denied the Franchise Tax Board s final appeal on this procedural matter and Rohr can proceed with its refund suit.

## Note 15. Guarantees

The Company extends financial and product performance guarantees to third parties. At September 30, 2008, the following environmental remediation and other indemnifications and financial guarantees were outstanding, in millions:

	Maximum	Carrying
	Potential	Amount of
	Payment	Liability
Environmental remediation and other indemnifications (Note 14)	No limit	\$19.5
Guarantees of residual value on leases (Note 10)	\$24.8	\$

#### **Service and Product Warranties**

The Company provides service and warranty policies on certain of its products. The Company accrues liabilities under service and warranty policies based upon specific claims and a review of historical warranty and service claim experience in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies . Adjustments are made to accruals as claim data and historical experience change. In addition, the Company incurs discretionary costs to service its products in connection with product performance issues.

24

#### **Table of Contents**

The changes in the carrying amount of service and product warranties for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, in millions, are as follows:

Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 164.3
Net provisions for warranties issued during the period	38.7
Net provisions for warranties existing at the beginning of the year	1.1
Payments	(41.3)
Foreign currency translation	(6.3)

# Balance at September 30, 2008

\$ 156.5

The current and long-term portions of service and product warranties were as follows:

	September 30, 2008		cember 31, 2007			
	(Dollars in millions)					
Accrued expenses	\$ 68.9	\$	66.3			
Other non-current liabilities	87.6		98.0			
Total	\$ 156.5	\$	164.3			

# **Note 16. Derivatives and Hedging Activities**

The Company utilizes certain financial instruments to manage risk, including foreign currency and interest rate exposures that exist as part of its ongoing business operations. A detailed description of the Company s use of derivative instruments is included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

## **Cash Flow Hedges**

At September 30, 2008, the notional value of the forward contracts accounted for as cash flow hedges was \$1,648.4 million and the amount of accumulated other comprehensive income that would be reclassified into earnings as an increase in sales to offset the effect of the hedged item in the next 12 months was a gain of \$7 million. These forward contracts mature on a monthly basis with maturity dates that range from October 2008 to December 2012. At September 30, 2008, the total fair value of the Company s forward contracts was a liability of \$7.4 million (before deferred taxes of \$2.3 million), combined with \$0.9 million of gains from forward contracts terminated prior to the original maturity dates, was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income.

## **Fair Value Hedges**

The notional amount of the outstanding interest rate swaps accounted for as fair value hedges at September 30, 2008 was \$100 million with maturity dates ranging from December 2012 to July 2016. The fair value of the interest rate swaps was a net asset of \$3.6 million at September 30, 2008.

#### **Other Forward Contracts**

At September 30, 2008, the Company had other forward contracts not designated as hedges with a notional value of \$20.7 million. These contracts, which mature on a monthly basis, have maturity dates ranging from January 2009 to February 2011. The fair value of these contracts was a net asset of \$2.1 million at September 30, 2008.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Note 17. Share-Based Compensation**

The Company expensed share-based compensation awards under the Goodrich Corporation 2001 Equity Compensation Plan and the Goodrich Corporation Employee Stock Purchase Plan for employees and under the Outside Director Deferral and Outside Director Phantom Share plans for non-employee directors. A detailed description of the awards under these plans is included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

The compensation cost recorded for share-based compensation plans during the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$9.8 million and \$23.2 million, respectively. The compensation cost recorded for share-based compensation plans during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$25.5 million and \$55.3 million, respectively.

In January 2007, the Company granted special stock options with a seven-year term that included a market condition whereby the options vest when the price per share of the Company s stock closes at or above \$65.00 per share for any 5 business days during a 20 consecutive-business-day period. During the three months ended September 30, 2007, the market condition was met. The compensation cost recorded for the special stock options during the three months ended September 30, 2007 was \$5.5 million, of which \$4.1 million would have been recorded in future periods had the market condition not been met. The compensation cost recorded for the special stock options during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$8.2 million.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the Company registered 6.5 million shares of common stock reserved for issuance for future awards pursuant to the 2001 Equity Compensation Plan and the Goodrich Corporation 2008 Global Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

# **Note 18. Subsequent Event**

On October 21, 2008, the Company s Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.25 per share of common stock, payable January 2, 2009 to shareholders of record on December 1, 2008.

26

#### **Table of Contents**

## Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

YOU SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS IN CONJUNCTION WITH OUR UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS INCLUDED IN ITEM 1 OF THIS DOCUMENT.

THIS MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS CONTAINS FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. SEE FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION IS SUBJECT TO RISK AND UNCERTAINTY FOR A DISCUSSION OF CERTAIN OF THE UNCERTAINTIES, RISKS AND ASSUMPTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THESE STATEMENTS. GOODRICH AVIATION TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC. (ATS) HAS BEEN ACCOUNTED FOR AS A DISCONTINUED OPERATION. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED HEREIN, DISCLOSURES PERTAIN ONLY TO OUR CONTINUING OPERATIONS.

#### **OVERVIEW**

We are one of the largest worldwide suppliers of aerospace components, systems and services to the commercial and general aviation airplane markets. We are also a leading supplier of systems and products to the global defense and space markets. Our business is conducted globally with manufacturing, service and sales undertaken in various locations throughout the world. Our products and services are principally sold to customers in North America, Europe and Asia.

## Key Market Channels for Products and Services, Growth Drivers and Industry and our Highlights

We participate in three key market channels: commercial, regional, business and general aviation airplane original equipment (OE); commercial, regional, business and general aviation airplane aftermarket; and defense and space.

# Commercial, Regional, Business and General Aviation Airplane OE

Commercial, regional, business and general aviation airplane OE includes sales of products and services for new airplanes produced by Airbus and Boeing, and regional, business and small airplane manufacturers.

The key growth drivers in this market channel include the number of orders for new airplanes, which will be delivered to the manufacturers customers over a period of several years, OE manufacturer production and delivery rates and introductions of new airplane models such as the Boeing 787 and 747-8, the Airbus A380 and A350 XWB, the Embraer 190 and engine types such as the Pratt & Whitney Geared Turbofan engine.

We have significant sales content on most of the airplanes manufactured in this market channel. We have benefited from increased production rates and deliveries of Airbus and Boeing airplanes and from our substantial content on many of the regional and general aviation airplanes. Delivery of new Boeing aircraft in 2008 and beyond may be negatively impacted by the Boeing labor dispute with the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers, which began on September 6, 2008.

27

#### **Table of Contents**

While the commercial airline industry has been negatively impacted by the recent rise in fuel costs, the commercial airplane manufacturers still have a significant backlog of orders and continue to expect new order flow greater than new airplane deliveries in 2008. Airlines worldwide are expected to continue to take delivery of a significant number of new airplanes in 2008 and beyond to replace older aircraft and for additional capacity.

In August 2008, we announced a letter of intent with Rolls-Royce plc proposing the formation of a joint venture, which would develop and supply engine controls for Rolls-Royce aero engines. The proposed joint venture would combine our existing U.K.-based engine controls design and manufacturing business and Rolls-Royce s expertise in the integration of controls into the engine. We would retain the aftermarket products and services business associated with the joint venture s products.

# Commercial, Regional, Business and General Aviation Airplane Aftermarket

The commercial, regional, business and general aviation airplane aftermarket channel includes sales of products and services for existing commercial and general aviation airplanes, primarily to airlines and package carriers around the world.

The key growth drivers in this channel include worldwide passenger capacity growth measured by Available Seat Miles (ASM) and the size, type and activity levels of the worldwide airplane fleet. Other important factors affecting growth in this market channel are the age and types of the airplanes in the fleet and Gross Domestic Product (GDP) trends in countries and regions around the world.

Capacity in the global airline system, as measured by ASMs, is expected to grow at about 4% in 2008. We expect that the global airplane fleet will continue to grow in 2008, as the OE manufacturers are expected to deliver more airplanes than are retired during 2008. However, ASM growth could deteriorate if airlines choose to fly their in-service airplanes less frequently due to high fuel prices, decreased demand and other factors.

While we have significant product content on most of the airplane models that are currently in service, we enjoy the benefit of having excellent positions on the newer, more fuel-efficient airplanes currently in service. These positions have enabled us to continue to have strong commercial aftermarket sales growth. Even though many airlines have announced that they will remove some of their older airplanes from their fleets, we do not expect these removals to have a significant impact on our results in 2008. These older airplanes, primarily MD-80s and 737 Classics, represent approximately 31% of the world s fleet of large commercial aircraft, but only 8% of our large commercial aftermarket sales, or about 2% of our total sales.

# Defense and Space

Worldwide defense and space sales include sales to prime contractors such as Boeing, Northrop Grumman, Lockheed Martin, the U.S. Government and foreign companies and governments.

The key growth drivers in this channel include the level of defense spending by the U.S. and foreign governments, the number of new platform starts, the level of military flight operations and the level of upgrade, overhaul and maintenance activities associated with existing platforms.

28

#### **Table of Contents**

The market for our defense and space products is global, and is not dependent on any single program, platform or customer. We anticipate fewer new fighter and transport aircraft platform starts over the next several years. We also anticipate that the introduction of the F-35 Lightning II and new helicopter platforms, along with upgrades on existing defense and space platforms, will provide long-term growth opportunities in this market channel. Additionally, we are participating in, and developing new products for, the rapidly expanding homeland security and intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance sectors, which should further strengthen our position in this market channel.

## **Long-term Sustainable Growth**

We believe that we are well positioned to continue to grow overall sales due to:

Awards for key products on important new and expected programs, including the Airbus A380 and A350 XWB, the Boeing 787 and 747-8, the Embraer 190, the Pratt & Whitney Geared Turbofan engine, the Dassault Falcon 7X and the Lockheed Martin F-35 Lightning II and F-22 Raptor;

Growing commercial airplane fleet and strong positions on newer, more fuel-efficient airplanes, which should fuel sustained aftermarket strength;

Balance in the large commercial airplane market, with strong sales to both Airbus and Boeing;

Aging of the existing large commercial and regional airplane fleets, which should result in increased aftermarket support;

Increased number of long-term agreements for product sales on new and existing commercial airplanes;

Increased opportunities for aftermarket growth due to airline outsourcing;

Growth in global maintenance, repair and overhaul (MRO) opportunities for our systems and components, particularly in Europe, Asia and the Middle East, where we have expanded our capacity; and

Expansion of our product offerings in support of high growth areas in the defense and space market channel, such as helicopter products and systems and intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance products.

29

## **Table of Contents**

# Third Quarter 2008 Sales Content by Market Channel

During the third quarter 2008, approximately 94% of our sales were from our three primary market channels described above. Following is a summary of the percentage of sales by market channel:

Airbus Commercial OE Boeing Commercial OE Regional, Business and General Aviation Airplane OE	13% 10% 9%
Total Commercial, Regional, Business and General Aviation Airplane OE	32%
Large Commercial Airplane Aftermarket Regional, Business and General Aviation Airplane Aftermarket	29% 7%
Total Commercial, Regional, Business and General Aviation Airplane Aftermarket	36%
Total Defense and Space	26%
Other	6%
Total	100%

# Results of Operations Third Quarter 2008 as Compared to Third Quarter 2007

	Third Quarter			er		\$	<b>%</b>
		2008		2007	$\mathbf{C}$	hange	Change
		(Dollar	rs in	millions, ex	cept	diluted H	EPS)
Sales	\$ 1	1,772.3	\$ 1	1,601.7	\$	170.6	10.7
Segment operating income (1)	\$	321.7	\$	275.9	\$	45.8	16.6
Corporate general and administrative costs		(24.9)		(39.7)		14.8	37.3
Total operating income		296.8		236.2		60.6	25.7
Net interest expense		(25.3)		(29.3)		4.0	13.7
Other income (expense) net		(9.6)		(12.5)		2.9	23.2
Income tax expense		(94.1)		(54.2)		(39.9)	73.6
Income from continuing operations		167.8		140.2		27.6	19.7
Income (loss) from discontinued operations		0.2		(13.4)		13.6	101.5
Net income	\$	168.0	\$	126.8	\$	41.2	32.5
Effective tax rate		35.9%		27.9%			
Diluted EPS:							
Continuing operations	\$	1.33	\$	1.10	\$	0.23	20.9
Net income	\$	1.33	\$	0.99	\$	0.34	34.3

(1) We measure each reporting segment s profit based upon operating income. Accordingly, we do not allocate net interest expense, other income (expense) net and income taxes to our reporting segments. The company-wide **Enterprise Resource** Planning (ERP) implementation costs that are not directly associated with a specific business were not allocated to the segments. For a reconciliation of total segment operating income to total operating income, see Note 3, Business **Segment Information** to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

30

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Sales

The sales increase in the third quarter 2008 as compared to the third quarter 2007 was driven by changes in each of our major market channels as follows:

Large commercial airplane original equipment sales increased by approximately 1%;

Regional, business and general aviation airplane original equipment sales increased by approximately 29%;

Large commercial, regional, business and general aviation airplane aftermarket sales increased by approximately 13%; and

Defense and space sales of both original equipment and aftermarket products and services increased by approximately 12%.

See Business Segment Performance section.

## **Segment operating income**

The segment operating income growth was generated by increased sales and improved operational performance in most business units as discussed in the Business Segment Performance section.

## Corporate general and administrative costs

Corporate general and administrative costs decreased for the third quarter 2008 as compared to the third quarter 2007 primarily due to lower share-based compensation as discussed below and lower non-qualified pension expense due to a favorable discount rate in 2008 compared to 2007.

# Net interest expense

Net interest expense decreased for the third quarter 2008 as compared to the third quarter 2007 primarily due to lower debt levels in 2008 as a result of the repayment, from operating cash flow, of \$162 million of notes which matured in the second quarter 2008.

## Other income (expense) net

Other income (expense) net decreased for the third quarter 2008 as compared to the third quarter 2007, primarily as a result of lower expenses related to previously owned businesses of approximately \$3 million, primarily for environmental litigation and remediation costs.

31

#### **Table of Contents**

## **Income from continuing operations**

In summary, the change in income from continuing operations during the third quarter 2008 as compared to the third quarter 2007 was also impacted by the following items:

	Increase (Decrease)						
	Before		After		D	iluted	
		Tax	Tax			<b>EPS</b>	
		(Dollars i	n milli	ions, exce	pt dilu	iluted	
			I	EPS)			
Changes in estimates on long-term contracts	\$	19.5	\$	12.0	\$	0.10	
Lower share-based compensation	\$	13.4	\$	8.2	\$	0.06	
Settlement of customer claims in 2007	\$	(21.6)	\$	(13.5)	\$	(0.10)	
Higher effective tax rate	\$		\$	(15.6)	\$	(0.12)	

## Changes in estimates on long-term contracts

During the third quarter 2008 and 2007, we revised our estimates on certain of our long-term contracts, primarily in our aerostructures and aircraft wheels and brakes business units. These changes in estimates resulted in higher income of approximately \$20 million in the third quarter of 2008 compared to the third quarter of 2007.

## Share-based compensation

The decrease in share-based compensation was primarily due to the following:

The impact of unfavorable changes in our share price, which resulted in lower expense of approximately \$13 million; and

Approximately \$6 million of costs in 2007 related to the 2007 special stock options (see Note 17, Share-Based Compensation , to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements); partially offset by

Approximately \$4 million of costs for retirement eligible individuals resulting from a change in the vesting requirements in 2008.

#### Settlement of customer claims

During the third quarter of 2007, we settled certain claims with a customer that did not recur in 2008.

## Higher effective tax rate

For the third quarter of 2008, we reported an effective tax rate of 35.9%. For the third quarter 2007, we reported an effective tax rate of 27.9%, including a benefit of approximately 8 percentage points primarily related to the reversal of tax reserves associated with tax settlements.

## **Income (loss) from discontinued operations**

The loss from discontinued operations for the third quarter 2007 included the estimated loss on the sale of ATS of approximately \$14 million.

32

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Effective tax rate

Our effective rate during the third quarter 2008 was not reduced for the benefit of the U.S. Research and Development Credit (R&D Credit), which became law on October 3, 2008. We estimate that the effective tax rate for 2008 would have been approximately 1 percentage point lower had we been able to consider the tax benefits associated with the R&D Credit.

Results of Operations Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 as Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

	Nine Mo	onths Ended	\$	<b>%</b>					
	2008	2007	Change	Change					
	(Dollars in millions, except diluted EPS)								
Sales	\$ 5,366.6	\$4,724.4	\$ 642.2	13.6					
Segment Operating Income (1)	\$ 940.3	\$ 762.4	\$ 177.9	23.3					
Corporate general and administrative costs	(80.5)	(108.4)	27.9	25.7					
Total operating income	859.8	654.0	205.8	31.5					
Net interest expense	(80.1)	(88.3)	8.2	9.3					
Other income (expense) net	(32.2)	(45.6)	13.4	29.4					
Income tax expense	(242.5)	(156.9)	(85.6)	54.6					
Income from continuing operations	505.0	363.2	141.8	39.0					
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	7.5	(11.8)	19.3	163.6					
Net income	\$ 512.5	\$ 351.4	\$ 161.1	45.8					
Effective tax rate	32.4%	30.2%							
Diluted EPS:									
Continuing operations	\$ 3.97	\$ 2.84	\$ 1.13	39.8					
Net income	\$ 4.03	\$ 2.75	\$ 1.28	46.5					

(1) We measure each reporting segment s profit based upon operating income.
Accordingly, we do not allocate net interest expense, other income (expense) net and income taxes to our reporting segments.
The company-wide Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) implementation costs that are not

directly associated with a specific business were not allocated to the segments. For a reconciliation of total segment operating income to total operating income, see Note 3, Business Segment Information to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Sales

The sales increase in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was driven primarily by growth in each of our major market channels as follows:

Large commercial airplane original equipment sales increased by approximately 14%;

Regional, business and general aviation airplane original equipment sales increased by approximately 26%;

Large commercial, regional, business and general aviation airplane aftermarket sales increased by approximately 11%; and

Defense and space sales of both original equipment and aftermarket products and services increased by approximately 12%.

33

#### **Table of Contents**

See Business Segment Performance section.

## **Segment operating income**

The segment operating income growth was generated by increased sales and improved operational performance in most business units as discussed in the Business Segment Performance section.

## Corporate general and administrative

Corporate general and administrative costs decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007, primarily due to lower share-based compensation as discussed below and lower non-qualified pension expense due to a favorable discount rate in 2008 compared to 2007.

# Net interest expense

Net interest expense decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to the repayment, from operating cash flow, of \$162 million of notes which matured in the second quarter 2008.

## Other income (expense) net

Other income (expense) net decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily as a result of the following:

Lower expenses related to previously owned businesses of approximately \$10 million, primarily for environmental litigation and remediation costs; and

Higher income from equity in affiliated companies of approximately \$3 million;

## **Income from continuing operations**

In summary, the change in income from continuing operations during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was also impacted by the following items:

	Increase (Decrease)					
	В	efore	After		Diluted	
		Tax		Tax		EPS
		(Dollars i	n milli	ions, exce	pt dilu	ted
			I	EPS)		
Changes in estimates on long-term contracts	\$	33.0	\$	20.4	\$	0.16
Lower share-based compensation	\$	29.8	\$	18.1	\$	0.14
Foreign exchange rate impact, including net monetary asset						
remeasurement	\$	(19.4)	\$	(11.8)	\$	(0.09)
Settlement of customer claims in 2007	\$	(21.6)	\$	(13.2)	\$	(0.10)
34						

#### **Table of Contents**

## Changes in estimates on long-term contracts

During the nine months ended 2008 and 2007, we revised our estimates on certain of our long-term contracts, primarily in our aerostructures and aircraft wheels and brakes business units. These changes in estimates resulted in higher income of approximately \$33 million in the nine months ended 2008 compared to the nine months ended 2007.

## Share-based compensation

The decrease in share-based compensation was primarily due to the following:

The impact of unfavorable changes in our share price, which resulted in lower expense of approximately \$35 million; and

Approximately \$8 million of costs in 2007 related to the 2007 special stock options (see Note 17, Share-Based Compensation , to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements); partially offset by

Approximately \$12 million of costs for retirement eligible individuals resulting from a change in the vesting requirements in 2008.

## Foreign exchange rate impact

The net unfavorable foreign exchange impact was due to the following:

Approximately \$31 million relating to unfavorable foreign currency translation of net costs in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar, partially offset by approximately \$4 million of higher net gains on cash flow hedges settled during the nine months ended September 30, 2008; partially offset by

Approximately \$24 million of increased net transaction gains relating to remeasuring monetary assets/liabilities into the local functional currency, partially offset by approximately \$16 million of higher net losses on forward contracts we entered into to offset the impact of net monetary asset gains/losses.

#### Settlement of customer claims

During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we settled certain claims with a customer that did not recur in 2008.

#### **Income (loss) from discontinued operations**

The income from discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 included a gain from the sale of a previously discontinued operation for approximately \$6 million. The loss from discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 included the estimated loss on the sale of ATS of approximately \$14 million.

35

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Effective tax rate

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we reported an effective tax rate of 32.4%, including a benefit of approximately 3 percentage points for amended state returns primarily for additional research and development credits and changes in apportionment and a benefit of approximately 3 percentage points related to amended returns following the settlement of a foreign tax audit. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we reported an effective tax rate of 30.2%, including a benefit of approximately 2 percentage points for the elimination of certain valuation allowances against net operation losses of a foreign subsidiary and a benefit of approximately 3 percentage points primarily related to the reversal of tax reserves associated with tax settlements. Our effective rate during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 was not reduced for the benefit of the R&D Credit, which became law on October 3, 2008. We estimate that the effective rate for 2008 would have been approximately 1 percentage point lower had we been able to consider the tax benefits associated with the R&D Credit.

#### 2008 OUTLOOK

We expect the following results for the year ending December 31, 2008:

	2008 Outlook	2007 Actual
Sales	\$7.1 billion	\$6.4 billion
Diluted EPS Net Income	\$4.90 to \$5.00 per share	\$3.78 per share
Capital Expenditures	\$275 to \$325 million	\$282.6 million
Operating Cash Flow net of Capital Expenditures	65% of net income	64% of net income

#### Sales

We expect that full year 2008 sales will be approximately \$7.1 billion. Our current market assumptions, for each of our major market channels, for the full year 2008, including sales resulting from recent acquisitions, compared with the full year 2007, include the following:

Large commercial airplane OE sales are expected to increase by approximately 5% to 10%, depending on the outcome of the Boeing labor dispute;

Regional, business and general aviation airplane OE sales are expected to increase by approximately 20%;

Large commercial, regional, business and general aviation airplane aftermarket sales are expected to increase by approximately 9% to 11%; and

Defense and space sales of both OE and aftermarket products and services are expected to increase by approximately 11%.

## **Diluted EPS** Net Income

The outlook for 2008 net income per diluted share has been increased to \$4.90 to \$5.00. This increase, as compared with prior expectations of \$4.80 to \$4.95 in our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008, reflects favorable operating performance as discussed herein.

36

#### **Table of Contents**

The 2008 outlook assumes, among other factors, a full-year effective tax rate of 32.5% to 33%, which includes a full-year benefit of approximately 1% related to the extension of the R&D Credit, which became law on October 3, 2008. The benefit is retroactive to the beginning of 2008 and the full year benefit will be reflected in our fourth quarter 2008 results. This compares with an effective tax rate of 30.8% for 2007.

#### **Cash Flow**

We expect net cash provided by operating activities, net of capital expenditures, to approximate 65% of net income in 2008. This outlook includes anticipated inventory build-ups resulting from the Boeing labor dispute and reflects a continuation of working capital investments to support the Boeing 787 Dreamliner and Airbus A350 XWB programs and capital expenditures for low cost country manufacturing and productivity initiatives that are expected to enhance margins over the near and long-term. We continue to expect capital expenditures for 2008 to be in a range of \$275 to \$325 million.

#### 2009 OUTLOOK

We expect the following approximate results for the years ending December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

	2009 Outlook	2008 Outlook
Sales	\$7.7 to \$7.8 billion	\$7.1 billion
Diluted EPS Net Income	\$5.05 to \$5.25 per share	\$4.90 to \$5.00 per share
Capital Expenditures	\$275 to \$300 million	\$275 to \$325 million
Operating Cash Flow net of Capital Expenditures	Exceed 75% of net income	65% of net income
Our 2009 outlook assumes, among other factors:		

A full-year effective tax rate of approximately 33%;

Higher pre-tax pension expense of up to \$55 million, or \$0.27 per diluted share. The higher pension expense assumes a negative return on plan assets of 13% in 2008 and a 2009 discount rate of approximately 7.5%, which reflects experience through September 30, 2008. Pension expense for 2009 will be finalized based on December 31, 2008 actual asset values and discount rates;

Unfavorable foreign exchange translation costs of approximately \$12 million; and

Closing of the Rolls-Royce joint venture around the end of 2008.

37

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Sales

Our current market assumptions, for each of our major market channels, for the full year 2009, compared with the full year 2008 outlook, include the following:

Large commercial airplane OE sales are expected to increase by approximately 20%. This outlook assumes the delivery of approximately 1,000 to 1,025 large commercial airplanes in 2009 and that the Boeing labor dispute ends in late October or early November 2008;

Regional, business and general aviation airplane OE sales are expected to increase by approximately 7% to 8%;

Large commercial, regional, business and general aviation airplane aftermarket sales are expected to increase by approximately 4% to 7%. This outlook assumes that worldwide ASMs change in the range of negative 2% to positive 2% in 2009; and

Defense and space sales of both OE and aftermarket products and services are expected to increase by approximately 5% to 7%.

#### **Cash Flow**

We expect net cash provided by operating activities, minus capital expenditures to exceed 75% of net income, including the impact of announced delays in the Boeing 787 Dreamliner and Airbus A380 airplane programs. Our outlook reflects a continuation of working capital investments to support these programs, cash expenditures for investments in the Airbus A350 XWB and capital expenditures for low cost country manufacturing and productivity initiatives that are expected to enhance margins over the near and long-term. We expect capital expenditures for 2009 to be in a range of \$275 to \$300 million. In addition, we anticipate worldwide pension plan contributions to be in a range of \$75 to \$150 million.

#### **BUSINESS SEGMENT PERFORMANCE**

Our three business segments are as follows:

The Actuation and Landing Systems segment provides systems, components and related services pertaining to aircraft taxi, take-off, flight control, landing and stopping, and engine components, including fuel delivery systems and rotating assemblies.

The Nacelles and Interior Systems segment produces products and provides maintenance, repair and overhaul services associated with aircraft engines, including thrust reversers, cowlings, nozzles and their components, and aircraft interior products, including slides, seats, cargo and lighting systems.

The Electronic Systems segment produces a broad array of systems and components that provide flight performance measurements, flight management information, engine controls, fuel controls, electrical power systems, safety data, and reconnaissance and surveillance systems.

38

#### **Table of Contents**

We measure each reporting segment s profit based upon operating income. Accordingly, we do not allocate net interest expense, other income (expense) net and income taxes to the reporting segments. The company-wide ERP implementation costs that are not directly associated with a specific business were not allocated to the segments. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those for our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. For a reconciliation of total segment operating income to total operating income, see Note 3, Business Segment Information to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Third Quarter 2008 Compared with Third Quarter 2007

		Third	Quart	er				% of	Sales
					In	crease/	<b>%</b>		
	2	2008		2007	(De	ecrease)	Change	2008	2007
		(]	Dollar	s in milli	ons)				
NET CUSTOMER SALES									
Actuation and Landing									
Systems	\$	664.2	\$	607.8	\$	56.4	9.3		
Nacelles and Interior Systems		596.5		545.2		51.3	9.4		
Electronic Systems		511.6		448.7		62.9	14.0		
,									
	\$ 1	,772.3	\$ 1	1,601.7	\$	170.6	10.7		
SEGMENT OPERATING									
INCOME									
Actuation and Landing									
Systems	\$	80.0	\$	73.6	\$	6.4	8.7	12.0	12.1
Nacelles and Interior Systems		162.4		143.6		18.8	13.1	27.2	26.3
Electronic Systems		79.3		58.7		20.6	35.1	15.5	13.1
		, ,		20		_0.0	22.1	10.0	10.1
	\$	321.7	\$	275.9	\$	45.8	16.6	18.2	17.2

*Actuation and Landing Systems:* Actuation and Landing Systems segment sales for the third quarter 2008 increased from the third quarter 2007 primarily due to the following:

Higher large commercial, regional, business and general aviation airplane aftermarket sales of approximately \$41 million, primarily in our aircraft wheels and brakes and landing gear business units; and

Higher regional, business and general aviation airplane OE sales of approximately \$16 million, primarily in our landing gear business unit; partially offset by

Lower large commercial airplane OE sales of approximately \$4 million, primarily in our landing gear business unit.

Actuation and Landing Systems segment operating income for the third quarter 2008 increased from the third quarter 2007 primarily as a result of the following:

Favorable product mix across most business units, which resulted in higher income of approximately \$22 million;

Higher income resulting from changes in estimates on certain long-term contracts of approximately \$11 million; and

Favorable foreign exchange of approximately \$2 million; partially offset by

Settlement of claims with a customer in the third quarter of 2007 that did not recur in 2008, which resulted in lower income of approximately \$15 million in 2008; and

39

#### **Table of Contents**

Increased operating costs net of higher pricing across all business units, which resulted in lower income of approximately \$13 million.

*Nacelles and Interior Systems:* Nacelles and Interior Systems segment sales for the third quarter 2008 increased from the third quarter 2007 primarily due to the following:

Higher large commercial airplane aftermarket sales, including spare parts and MRO volume, of approximately \$27 million, primarily in our aerostructures and interiors business units;

Higher defense and space OE and aftermarket sales of approximately \$18 million, primarily in our interiors and aerostructures business units; and

Higher regional, business, and general aviation airplane OE sales of approximately \$8 million, primarily in our aerostructures and interiors business units.

Nacelles and Interior Systems segment operating income for the third quarter 2008 increased from the third quarter 2007 primarily due to the following:

Higher sales volume, primarily in our interiors and aerostructures business units, which resulted in higher income of approximately \$17 million; and

Higher income resulting from changes in estimates for certain long-term contracts at our aerostructures business unit of approximately \$7 million; partially offset by

Settlement of a claim with a customer in the third quarter of 2007 that did not recur in 2008, which resulted in lower income of approximately \$7 million in 2008; and

Unfavorable foreign exchange of approximately \$2 million.

*Electronic Systems:* Electronic Systems segment sales for the third quarter 2008 increased from the third quarter 2007 primarily due to the following:

Higher defense and space OE and aftermarket sales of approximately \$33 million, primarily in our sensors and integrated systems business unit, including sales associated with the acquisitions of TEAC Aerospace Holdings, Inc. (TEAC) and Recon/Optical, Inc (ROI) of approximately \$11 million;

Higher regional, business and general aviation airplane OE sales of approximately \$11 million, primarily in our sensors and integrated systems and engine control and electrical power business units;

Higher large commercial airplane aftermarket sales of approximately \$10 million, primarily in our sensors and integrated systems business unit, including sales associated with the acquisition of TEAC of approximately \$4 million; and

Higher large commercial airplane OE sales of approximately \$9 million, primarily in our engine control and electrical power business unit.

40

#### **Table of Contents**

Electronic Systems segment operating income for the third quarter 2008 increased from the third quarter 2007 primarily due to the following:

Higher sales volume and favorable product mix across all business units, which resulted in higher income of approximately \$30 million; partially offset by

Higher operating costs of approximately \$8 million across all business units.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared with Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

		iths Ended aber 30,			% of Sales			
	2008 (Dollars i	2007 n millions)	crease/ ecrease)	% Change	2008	2007		
NET CUSTOMER SALES Actuation and Landing	`	,						
Systems	\$ 2,035.9	\$1,764.1	\$ 271.8	15.4				
Nacelles and Interior Systems	1,882.1	1,625.8	256.3	15.8				
Electronic Systems	1,448.6	1,334.5	114.1	8.6				
	\$ 5,366.6	\$ 4,724.4	\$ 642.2	13.6				
SEGMENT OPERATING INCOME Actuation and Landing								
Systems	\$ 238.6	\$ 182.0	\$ 56.6	31.1	11.7	10.3		
Nacelles and Interior Systems	501.9	404.7	97.2	24.0	26.7	24.9		
Electronic Systems	199.8	175.7	24.1	13.7	13.8	13.2		
	\$ 940.3	\$ 762.4	\$ 177.9	23.3	17.5	16.1		

Actuation and Landing Systems: Actuation and Landing Systems segment sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 increased from the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to the following: Higher regional, business and general aviation airplane OE and aftermarket sales of approximately \$79 million, primarily in our landing gear, aircraft wheels and brakes and actuation systems business units;

Higher large commercial airplane OE sales of approximately \$72 million, primarily in our landing gear and actuation systems business units;

Higher defense and space OE and aftermarket sales of approximately \$53 million, primarily in our landing gear, actuation systems and aircraft wheels and brakes business units;

Higher large commercial airplane aftermarket sales of approximately \$30 million, primarily in our landing gear, aircraft wheels and brakes and actuation systems business units; and

Higher non-aerospace sales of approximately \$17 million, primarily in our engine components business unit.

41

#### **Table of Contents**

Actuation and Landing Systems segment operating income for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 increased from the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily as a result of the following:

Higher sales volume and favorable product mix across all business units, which resulted in higher income of approximately \$60 million;

Higher pricing net of increased operating costs across all business units, which resulted in higher income of approximately \$13 million; and

Higher income resulting from changes in estimates on certain long-term contracts of approximately \$10 million; partially offset by

Settlement of claims with a customer in 2007 that did not recur in 2008, which resulted in lower income of approximately \$15 million in 2008; and

Unfavorable foreign exchange of approximately \$12 million.

*Nacelles and Interior Systems:* Nacelles and Interior Systems segment sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 increased from the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to the following:

Higher large commercial airplane aftermarket sales, including spare parts and MRO volume, of approximately \$104 million, primarily in our aerostructures and interiors business units;

Higher large commercial airplane OE sales of approximately \$76 million, primarily in our aerostructures and interiors business units:

Higher defense and space OE and aftermarket sales of approximately \$41 million, primarily in our interiors business unit; and

Higher regional, business, and general aviation airplane OE sales, primarily in our aerostructures business unit, of approximately \$35 million.

Nacelles and Interior Systems segment operating income for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 increased from the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to the following:

Increased sales volume and favorable product mix, primarily in our aerostructures and interiors business units, which resulted in increased income of approximately \$92 million; and

Higher income resulting from changes in estimates for certain long-term contracts at our aerostructures business unit of approximately \$19 million; partially offset by

Unfavorable foreign exchange of approximately \$8 million; and

Settlement of a claim with a customer in 2007 that did not recur in 2008, which resulted in lower income of approximately \$7 million in 2008.

42

#### **Table of Contents**

*Electronic Systems:* Electronic Systems segment sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 increased from the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to:

Higher defense and space OE and aftermarket sales of approximately \$48 million, primarily in our sensors and integrated systems business unit, including sales associated with the acquisitions of TEAC and ROI of approximately \$17 million;

Higher large commercial airplane aftermarket sales of approximately \$28 million, primarily in our sensors and integrated systems business unit, including sales associated with the acquisition of TEAC of approximately \$10 million:

Higher large commercial OE sales of approximately \$17 million, primarily in our engine control and electrical power and sensors and integrated systems business units;

Higher regional, business and general aviation airplane OE and aftermarket sales of approximately \$16 million, primarily in our sensors and integrated systems and engine control and electrical power business units; and

Higher non-aerospace sales of approximately \$11 million, primarily in our engine control and electrical power business unit.

Electronic Systems segment operating income for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 increased from the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily as a result of the following:

Higher sales volume and favorable product mix across most business units, which resulted in higher income of approximately \$46 million; partially offset by

Higher operating costs of approximately \$14 million across all business units; and

Unfavorable foreign exchange of approximately \$4 million.

#### LIOUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We currently expect to fund expenditures for capital requirements and other liquidity needs from a combination of cash, internally generated funds and financing arrangements. We believe that our internal liquidity, together with access to external capital resources, will be sufficient to satisfy existing plans and commitments, including our stock repurchase program, and also provide adequate financial flexibility. The current economic conditions, including the turmoil in the banking sector and credit markets, are expected to be manageable due to our strong balance sheet, lack of any large near-term funding requirements and a strong banking group with a multi-year committed credit facility.

43

## **Table of Contents**

The following events have affected our liquidity and capital resources during the nine months ended September 30, 2008:

On October 23, 2007, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.225 per share of common stock, payable January 2, 2008 to shareholders of record on December 3, 2007;

On February 19, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.225 per share of common stock, payable April 1, 2008 to shareholders of record as of March 3, 2008;

We used cash from operations to repay \$162 for notes which matured on April 15, 2008;

On April 17, 2008, we completed the acquisition of TEAC, a leading provider of proprietary airborne mission data, video recording and debrief products for the defense industry, and cabin video systems for commercial airlines, for approximately \$84 million in cash, net of cash acquired. TEAC is reported in the Electronic Systems segment;

On April 22, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.225 per share of common stock, payable July 1, 2008 to shareholders of record as of June 2, 2008;

On July 1, 2008, Standard & Poor s upgraded our credit rating from BBB, outlook positive to BBB+, outlook stable. On August 6, 2008, Fitch Ratings upgraded our credit rating from BBB, outlook positive to BBB+, outlook stable:

On July 28, 2008, we completed the acquisition of certain assets of ROI, a leading provider of low-to-medium altitude airborne reconnaissance camera and optical products for the homeland security and military market, for approximately \$38 million in cash. ROI is reported in the Electronic Systems segment; and

During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we have repurchased 2.5 million shares for approximately \$127 million under our share repurchase program.

On July 23, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.225 per share of common stock, payable October 1, 2008 to shareholders of record as of September 2, 2008.

On October 21, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.25 per share of common stock, payable January 2, 2009 to shareholders of record on December 1, 2008. This dividend declaration represents an 11% increase over the previous quarterly dividend of \$0.225 per share of common stock.

#### Cash

At September 30, 2008, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$246.9 million, as compared to \$406 million at December 31, 2007.

44

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Credit Facilities**

We have the following amounts available under our credit facilities:

\$500 million committed global revolving credit facility that expires in May 2012, of which \$393.7 million was available at September 30, 2008; and

\$75 million of uncommitted domestic money market facilities and \$174.9 million of uncommitted and committed foreign working capital facilities with various banks to meet short-term borrowing requirements, of which \$207.4 million was available at September 30, 2008.

## **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

#### Lease Commitments

We lease certain of our office and manufacturing facilities as well as machinery and equipment, including corporate aircraft, under various committed lease arrangements provided by financial institutions.

Certain of these arrangements allow us, rather than the lessor, to claim a deduction for tax depreciation on the assets and allow us to lease corporate aircraft and equipment having a maximum unamortized value of \$150 million at September 30, 2008. These leases are priced at a spread over LIBOR and are extended periodically through the end of the lease terms, unless notice is provided. At September 30, 2008, future payments under these leases were \$9.5 million through the end of the lease terms. At September 30, 2008, we had guarantees of residual values on lease obligations of \$24.8 million. We are obligated to either purchase or remarket the leased assets at the end of the lease term. During the three months ended September 30, 2008, the Company entered into a similar arrangement to lease corporate aircraft having a maximum unamortized value of \$55 million.

Future minimum lease payments under standard operating leases were \$173.5 million at September 30, 2008.

#### **Derivatives**

We utilize certain derivative financial instruments to enhance our ability to manage risk, including foreign currency and interest rate exposures that exist as part of ongoing business operations as follows:

Foreign Currency Contracts Designated as Cash Flow Hedges: At September 30, 2008, our contracts had a notional amount of \$1,648.4 million, fair value of a \$7.4 million net liability and maturity dates ranging from October 2008 to December 2012. The amount of accumulated other comprehensive income that would be reclassified into earnings in the next 12 months was a gain of \$7 million. During the third quarter of 2008 and 2007, we realized net gains of \$12.9 million and \$19.7 million respectively, related to contracts that settled. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, we realized net gains of \$54.2 million and \$50.3 million respectively, related to contracts that settled.

*Interest Rate Swaps Designated as Fair Value Hedges:* At September 30, 2008, our contracts had a notional amount of \$100 million, fair value of a \$3.6 million net asset and maturity dates ranging from December 2012 to July 2016.

45

#### **Table of Contents**

Foreign Currency Contracts not Designated as Hedges: At September 30, 2008, our contracts had a notional amount of \$20.7 million with maturity dates ranging from January 2009 to February 2011. During the third quarter of 2008 and 2007, we realized a net loss of \$18 million and a net gain of \$5.5 million, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2007, we realized a net loss of \$9.7 million and a net gain of \$6 million, respectively, related to contracts that settled. The fair value of these contracts was a net asset of \$2.1 million at September 30, 2008.

Estimates of the fair value of our derivative financial instruments represent our best estimates based on our valuation models, which incorporate industry data and trends and relevant market rates and transactions. Counterparties to these financial instruments expose us to credit loss in the event of nonperformance; however, we do not expect any of the counterparties to fail to meet their obligations. Counterparties, in most cases, are large commercial banks that also provide us with our committed credit facilities. To manage this credit risk, we select counterparties based on credit ratings, limit our exposure to any single counterparty and monitor our market position with each counterparty.

## **Contractual Obligations and Other Commercial Commitments**

There have been no material changes, other than long-term debt repayments of approximately \$197 million, to the table presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. The table excludes our liability for unrecognized tax benefits, which was \$248.2 million at September 30, 2008, since we cannot predict with reasonable reliability the timing of cash settlements to the respective taxing authorities.

## **Long-term Debt Repayments**

We used cash from operations to repay \$162 million for the following notes, which matured on April 15, 2008: \$119 million principal amount of the 7.5% notes; and

\$43 million principal amount of the 6.45% notes.

On June 23, 2008, we also used cash from operations to repay \$34.9 million of debt that was previously classified as long-term borrowings under our revolving credit facility.

#### **CASH FLOW**

The following table summarizes our cash flow activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007:

		2008	2007	Change
			(Dollars in millions)	
Operating activities of continuing operations		\$ 460.8	\$ 406.1	\$ 54.7
Investing activities of continuing operations		\$(318.6)	\$(159.8)	\$(158.8)
Financing activities of continuing operations		\$(305.7)	\$(142.4)	\$(163.3)
Discontinued operations		\$ 13.1	\$ 5.2	\$ 7.9
	46			

#### **Table of Contents**

## **Operating Activities of Continuing Operations**

The increase in net cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 from the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily consisted of increased before tax income and favorable working capital management.

# **Investing Activities of Continuing Operations**

Net cash used by investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 included capital expenditures of \$190 million and \$161 million, respectively. We completed the following acquisitions during the nine months ended September 30, 2008:

Skyline Industries, Inc. for \$9.5 million in cash;

TEAC for approximately \$84 million in cash, net of cash acquired; and

ROI for approximately \$38 million in cash.

## Financing Activities of Continuing Operations

The increase in net cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 from the nine months ended September 30, 2007 consisted primarily of the following:

Long-term debt repayments of approximately \$197 million primarily in connection with the repayment of notes which matured in April 2008; and

A decrease of proceeds from the issuance of our common stock, primarily for stock compensation programs, of approximately \$55 million; partially offset by

Increased net borrowings under our committed global syndicated revolving credit facility of approximately \$35 million; and

Lower purchases of our common stock in connection with our share repurchase program of approximately \$14 million.

As of September 30, 2008, we have repurchased approximately 6.4 million shares to date for approximately \$354 million under our share repurchase program.

## **Discontinued Operations**

Net cash provided by discontinued operations in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 primarily consisted of the finalization of the purchase price for ATS and proceeds from the sale of a previously discontinued operation. Net cash provided by discontinued operations in the nine months ended September 30, 2007, consisted primarily of cash provided by the operating activities of ATS, insurance settlements, net of tax, with several insurers relating to the recovery of environmental remediation costs at a former plant previously recorded as a discontinued operation and retained liabilities of previously owned businesses.

47

#### **Table of Contents**

## **CONTINGENCIES**

#### General

There are various pending or threatened claims, lawsuits and administrative proceedings against us or our subsidiaries, arising in the ordinary course of business which seek remedies or damages. Although no assurance can be given with respect to the ultimate outcome of these matters, we believe that any liability that may finally be determined with respect to commercial and non-asbestos product liability claims should not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Legal costs are expensed when incurred.

## **Environmental**

We are subject to environmental laws and regulations which may require that we investigate and remediate the effects of the release or disposal of materials at sites associated with past and present operations. At certain sites we have been identified as a potentially responsible party under the federal Superfund laws and comparable state laws. We are currently involved in the investigation and remediation of a number of sites under applicable laws. Estimates of our environmental liabilities are based on current facts, laws, regulations and technology. These estimates take into consideration our prior experience and professional judgment of our environmental specialists. Estimates of our environmental liabilities are further subject to uncertainties regarding the nature and extent of site contamination, the range of remediation alternatives available, evolving remediation standards, imprecise engineering evaluations and cost estimates, the extent of corrective actions that may be required and the number and financial condition of other potentially responsible parties, as well as the extent of their responsibility for the remediation. Accordingly, as investigation and remediation proceed, it is likely that adjustments in our accruals will be necessary to reflect new information. The amounts of any such adjustments could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or cash flows in a given period. Based on currently available information, however, we do not believe that future environmental costs in excess of those accrued with respect to sites for which we have been identified as a potentially responsible party are likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition. Environmental liabilities are recorded when the liability is probable and the costs are reasonably estimable, which generally is not later than at completion of a feasibility study or when we have recommended a remedy or have committed to an appropriate plan of action. The liabilities are reviewed periodically and, as investigation and remediation proceed, adjustments are made as necessary. Liabilities for losses from environmental remediation obligations do not consider the effects of inflation and anticipated expenditures are not discounted to their present value. The liabilities are not reduced by possible recoveries from insurance carriers or other third parties, but do reflect anticipated allocations among potentially responsible parties at federal Superfund sites or similar state-managed sites, third party indemnity obligations, and an assessment of the likelihood that such parties will fulfill their obligations at such sites.

48

#### **Table of Contents**

Our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet included an accrued liability for environmental remediation obligations of \$67.1 million and \$69.6 million at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, \$22 million and \$18.6 million, respectively, of the accrued liability for environmental remediation were included in current liabilities as accrued expenses. At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, \$28 million and \$29.4 million, respectively, was associated with ongoing operations and \$39.1 million and \$40.2 million, respectively, was associated with previously owned businesses.

We expect that we will expend present accruals over many years, and will generally complete remediation in less than 30 years at sites for which we have been identified as a potentially responsible party. This period includes operation and monitoring costs that are generally incurred over 15 to 25 years.

Certain states in the U.S. and countries globally are promulgating or proposing new or more demanding regulations or legislation impacting the use of various chemical substances by all companies. We are currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of complying with such regulations and legislation.

#### **Asbestos**

We and some of our subsidiaries have been named as defendants in various actions by plaintiffs alleging damages as a result of exposure to asbestos fibers in products or at our facilities. A number of these cases involve maritime claims, which have been and are expected to continue to be administratively dismissed by the court. We believe that pending and reasonably anticipated future actions are not likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. There can be no assurance, however, that future legislative or other developments will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or cash flows in a given period.

## **Insurance Coverage**

We maintain a comprehensive portfolio of insurance policies, including aviation products liability insurance which covers most of our products. The aviation products liability insurance provides first dollar coverage for defense and indemnity of third party claims.

A portion of our historical primary and excess layers of pre-1986 insurance coverage for third party claims was provided by certain insurance carriers who are either insolvent, undergoing solvent schemes of arrangement or in run-off. We have entered into settlement agreements with a number of these insurers pursuant to which we agreed to give up our rights with respect to certain insurance policies in exchange for negotiated payments. These settlements represent negotiated payments for our loss of insurance coverage, as we no longer have this insurance available for claims that may have qualified for coverage. A portion of these settlements was recorded as income for reimbursement of past claim payments under the settled insurance policies and a portion was recorded as a deferred settlement credit for future claim payments.

At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the deferred settlement credit was \$51.2 million and \$53.6 million, respectively, for which \$6.4 million and \$7.6 million, respectively, was reported in accrued expenses and \$44.8 million and \$46 million, respectively, was reported in other non-current liabilities. The proceeds from such insurance settlements were reported as a component of net cash provided by operating activities in the period payments were received.

49

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Liabilities of Divested Businesses**

#### Asbestos

In May 2002, we completed the tax-free spin-off of our Engineered Industrial Products (EIP) segment, which at the time of the spin-off included EnPro Industries, Inc. (EnPro) and Coltec Industries Inc (Coltec). At that time, two subsidiaries of Coltec were defendants in a significant number of personal injury claims relating to alleged asbestos-containing products sold by those subsidiaries prior to our ownership. It is possible that asbestos-related claims might be asserted against us on the theory that we have some responsibility for the asbestos-related liabilities of EnPro, Coltec or its subsidiaries. A limited number of asbestos-related claims have been asserted against us as successor to Coltec or one of its subsidiaries. We believe that we have substantial legal defenses against these and other such claims. In addition, the agreement between EnPro and us that was used to effectuate the spin-off provides us with an indemnification from EnPro covering, among other things, these liabilities. We believe that such claims would not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, but could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows in a particular period.

#### Other

In connection with the divestiture of our tire, vinyl and other businesses, we have received contractual rights of indemnification from third parties for environmental and other claims arising out of the divested businesses. Failure of these third parties to honor their indemnification obligations could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

#### Guarantees

At September 30, 2008, we had letters of credit and bank guarantees of \$77.1 million and residual value guarantees of lease obligations of \$24.8 million. See Note 10, Financing Arrangements to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## **Aerostructures Long-term Contracts**

Our aerostructures business in the Nacelles and Interior Systems segment has several long-term contracts in the pre-production phase including the Boeing 787 and Airbus A350 XWB, and in the early production phase including the Airbus A380. These contracts are accounted for in accordance with the provisions of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Statement of Position 81-1, Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts (SOP 81-1).

The pre-production phase includes design of the product to meet customer specifications as well as design of the processes to manufacture the product. Also involved in this phase is securing the supply of material and subcomponents produced by third party suppliers that are generally accomplished through long-term supply agreements.

Contracts in the early production phase include excess-over-average inventories, which represent the excess of current manufactured cost over the estimated average manufactured cost during the life of the contract.

50

#### **Table of Contents**

Cost estimates over the lives of contracts are affected by estimates of future cost reductions including learning curve efficiencies. Because these contracts cover manufacturing periods of up to 20 years or more, there is risk associated with the estimates of future costs made during the pre-production and early production phases. These estimates may be different from actual costs due to the following:

Ability to recover costs incurred for change orders and claims;

Costs, including material and labor costs and related escalation;

Labor improvements due to the learning curve experience;

Anticipated cost productivity improvements related to new manufacturing methods and processes;

Supplier pricing including escalation where applicable, supplier claims (see Dispute with Supplier below) and the supplier s ability to perform;

The cost impact of product design changes that frequently occur during the flight test and certification phases of a program; and

Effect of foreign currency exchange fluctuations.

Additionally, total contract revenue is based on estimates of future units to be delivered to the customer and sales price escalation where applicable. There is a risk that there could be differences between the actual units delivered and the estimated total units to be delivered under the contract and differences in actual sales escalation compared to estimates. Changes in estimates could have a material impact on our results of operations and cash flows. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are recorded in the period such losses are determined to the extent total estimated costs exceed total estimated contract revenues.

## Dispute with Supplier

On July 21, 2008, Alenia Aermacchi, S.p.A. (AAeM) filed a Demand for Arbitration with the American Arbitration Association against Rohr, Inc. (Rohr), a wholly-owned subsidiary of ours, in connection with a contract for the supply of fan cowls used in the nacelles that Rohr provides to Boeing on the 787 program. According to its Statement of Claims filed on August 15, 2008, AAeM seeks declaratory relief, rescission of the supply contract and monetary damages based upon allegations of commercial impracticability, lack of compensation for costs associated with design changes and Rohr s mismanagement of the program. On September 22, 2008, Rohr filed its answer, seeking to uphold the contract and denying liability, and instituted a counterclaim against AAeM, seeking damages for breach of contract and breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing. AAeM has until October 31, 2008 to file its answer to Rohr s counterclaims.

51

#### **Table of Contents**

We believe that we have substantial legal and factual defenses to AAeM s claims, and we intend to defend our interests and pursue our counterclaim vigorously. Given the nature and status of this proceeding, we cannot yet determine the amount or a reasonable range of potential loss, if any. However, there can be no assurance that this matter could not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and/or cash flows in a given period.

#### Tax

We are continuously undergoing examination by the IRS, as well as various state and foreign jurisdictions. The IRS and other taxing authorities routinely challenge certain deductions and credits reported by us on our income tax returns.

#### Tax Years 2000 to 2004

During 2007, we reached agreement with the IRS on substantially all of the issues raised with respect to the examination of taxable years 2000 to 2004. We submitted a protest to the Appeals Division of the IRS with respect to the remaining unresolved issues. We believe the amount of the estimated tax liability if the IRS were to prevail is fully reserved. We cannot predict the timing or ultimate outcome of a final resolution of the remaining unresolved issues.

## Tax Years Prior to 2000

The previous examination cycle included the consolidated income tax groups for the audit periods identified below:

Coltec Industries Inc. and Subsidiaries Goodrich Corporation and Subsidiaries December, 1997 July, 1999 (through date of acquisition)

1998 1999 (including Rohr and Coltec)

We previously reached final settlement with the IRS on all but one of the issues raised in this examination cycle. We received statutory notices of deficiency dated June 14, 2007 related to the remaining unresolved issue which involves the proper timing of certain deductions. We filed a petition with the U.S. Tax Court in September 2007 to contest the notices of deficiency. We believe the amount of the estimated tax liability if the IRS were to prevail is fully reserved. We cannot predict the timing or ultimate outcome of this matter.

Rohr has been under examination by the State of California for the tax years ended July 31, 1985, 1986 and 1987. The State of California has disallowed certain expenses incurred by one of Rohr s subsidiaries in connection with the lease of certain tangible property. California s Franchise Tax Board held that the deductions associated with the leased equipment were non-business deductions. The additional tax associated with the Franchise Tax Board s position was \$4.5 million. The amount of accrued interest associated with the additional tax was approximately \$27 million at September 30, 2008. In addition, the State of California enacted an amnesty provision that imposes nondeductible penalty interest equal to 50% of the unpaid interest amounts relating to taxable years ended before 2003. The penalty interest was \$13.5 million at September 30, 2008. The tax and interest amounts continue to be contested by Rohr. We believe that we are adequately reserved for this contingency. No payment has been made for the \$27 million of interest or \$13.5 million of penalty interest. The Franchise Tax Board took the position that under California law, Rohr was required to pay the full amount of

52

#### **Table of Contents**

interest prior to filing any suit for refund. In April 2008, the Supreme Court of California denied the Franchise Tax Board s final appeal on this procedural matter and Rohr can proceed with its refund suit.

## NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS NOT YET ADOPTED

The following accounting standards, effective for 2009, have not yet been adopted:

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Staff Position No. EITF 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141(R), Business Combinations .

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160 Accounting and Reporting of Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51.

See Note 2, New Accounting Standards to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to customer programs and incentives, product returns, bad debts, inventories, investments, goodwill and intangible assets, income taxes, financing obligations, warranty obligations, excess component order cancellation costs, restructuring, long-term service contracts, share-based compensation, pensions and other postretirement benefits, and contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

53

#### **Table of Contents**

# Contract Accounting-Percentage of Completion *Revenue Recognition*

We have sales under long-term contracts, many of which contain escalation clauses, requiring delivery of products over several years and frequently providing the buyer with option pricing on follow-on orders. Sales and profits on each contract are recognized in accordance with the percentage-of-completion method of accounting, primarily using the units-of-delivery method. We follow the requirements of SOP 81-1, using the cumulative catch-up method in accounting for revisions in estimates. Under the cumulative catch-up method, the impact of revisions in estimates related to units shipped to date is recognized immediately when changes in estimated contract profitability are known. Estimates of revenue and cost for our contracts span a period of many years from the inception of the contracts to the date of actual shipments and are based on a substantial number of underlying assumptions. We believe that the underlying factors are sufficiently reliable to provide a reasonable estimate of the profit to be generated. However, due to the significant length of time over which revenue streams will be generated, the variability of the assumptions of the revenue and cost streams can be significant if the factors change. The factors include but are not limited to estimates of the following:

Escalation of future sales prices under the contracts;

Ability to recover costs incurred for change orders and claims;

Costs, including material and labor costs and related escalation;

Labor improvements due to the learning curve experience;

Anticipated cost productivity improvements related to new manufacturing methods and processes;

Supplier pricing including escalation where applicable, supplier claims and the supplier s ability to perform;

The cost impact of product design changes that frequently occur during the flight test and certification phases of a program; and

Effect of foreign currency exchange fluctuations.

54

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Inventory

Inventoried costs on long-term contracts include certain pre-production costs, consisting primarily of tooling and design costs and production costs, including applicable overhead. The costs attributed to units delivered under long-term commercial contracts are based on the estimated average cost of all units expected to be produced and are determined under the learning curve concept, which anticipates a predictable decrease in unit costs as tasks and production techniques become more efficient through repetition. During the early years of a contract, manufacturing costs per unit delivered are typically greater than the estimated average unit cost for the total contract. This excess manufacturing cost for units shipped results in an increase in inventory (referred to as excess-over-average ) during the early years of a contract.

If in-process inventory plus estimated costs to complete a specific contract exceed the anticipated remaining sales value of such contract, such excess is charged to cost of sales in the period recognized, thus reducing inventory to estimated realizable value.

#### **Income Taxes**

In accordance with SFAS 109, Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 28, Interim Financial Reporting and FASB Interpretation No. 18, Accounting for Income Taxes in Interim Periods, as of each interim reporting period, we estimate an effective income tax rate that is expected to be applicable for the full fiscal year. In addition, we establish reserves for tax contingencies in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). The estimate of our effective income tax rate involves significant judgments regarding the application of complex tax regulations across many jurisdictions and estimates as to the amount and jurisdictional source of income expected to be earned during the full fiscal year. Further influencing this estimate are evolving interpretations of new and existing tax laws, rulings by taxing authorities and court decisions. Due to the subjective and complex nature of these underlying issues, our actual effective tax rate and related tax liabilities may differ from our initial estimates. Differences between our estimated and actual effective income tax rates and related liabilities are recorded in the period they become known. The resulting adjustment to our income tax expense could have a material effect on our results of operations in the period the adjustment is recorded.

## **Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets**

Impairments of identifiable intangible assets are recognized when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset, or related groups of assets, may not be recoverable and our estimate of undiscounted cash flows over the assets—remaining useful lives is less than the carrying value of the assets. The determination of undiscounted cash flow is based on our segments—plans. The revenue growth is based upon aircraft build projections from aircraft manufacturers and widely available external publications. The profit margin assumption is based upon the current cost structure and anticipated cost reductions. Changes to these assumptions could result in the recognition of impairment.

55

#### **Table of Contents**

Goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment annually, or when an event occurs or circumstances change such that it is reasonably possible that an impairment may exist. Our annual testing date is November 30. We test goodwill for impairment by first comparing the book value of net assets to the fair value of the related reporting units. If the fair value is determined to be less than book value, a second step is performed to compute the amount of the impairment. In this process, a fair value for goodwill is estimated, based in part on the fair value of the operations, and is compared to its carrying value. The amount of the fair value below carrying value represents the amount of goodwill impairment.

We estimate the fair values of the reporting units using discounted cash flows. Forecasts of future cash flows are based on our best estimate of future sales and operating costs, based primarily on existing firm orders, expected future orders, contracts with suppliers, labor agreements and general market conditions. Changes in these forecasts could significantly change the amount of impairment recorded, if any impairment exists. The cash flow forecasts are adjusted by a long-term growth rate and a discount rate derived from our weighted-average cost of capital at the date of evaluation.

#### **Other Assets**

As with any investment, there are risks inherent in recovering the value of participation payments, entry fees, sales incentives and flight certification costs. Such risks are consistent with the risks associated in acquiring a revenue-producing asset in which market conditions may change or the risks that arise when a manufacturer of a product on which a royalty is based has business difficulties and cannot produce the product. Such risks include but are not limited to the following:

Changes in market conditions that may affect product sales under the program, including market acceptance and competition from others;

Performance of subcontract suppliers and other production risks;

Bankruptcy or other less significant financial difficulties of other program participants, including the aircraft manufacturer, the OE manufacturers (OEM) and other program suppliers or the aircraft customer; and

Availability of specialized raw materials in the marketplace.

# Participation Payments

Certain of our businesses make cash payments under long-term contractual arrangements to OEM or system contractors in return for a secured position on an aircraft program. Participation payments are capitalized, when a contractual liability has been incurred, as other assets and amortized to cost of sales, or as a reduction to sales, as appropriate. At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the carrying amount of participation payments was \$120.6 million and \$123.7 million, respectively. The carrying amount of participation payments is evaluated for recovery at least annually or when other indicators of impairment exist, such as a change in the estimated number of units or a revision in the economics of the program. If such estimates change, amortization expense is adjusted and/or an impairment charge is recorded, as appropriate, for the effect of the revised estimates. No impairment charges were recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 or 2007.

56

#### **Table of Contents**

## Entry Fees

Certain businesses in our Nacelles and Interior Systems and Electronic Systems segments make cash payments to an OEM under long-term contractual arrangements related to new engine programs. The payments are referred to as entry fees and entitle us to a controlled access supply contract and a percentage of total program revenue generated by the OEM. Entry fees are capitalized in other assets and are amortized on a straight-line basis as a reduction to sales. At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the carrying amount of entry fees was \$117.3 million and \$132.1 million, respectively. The carrying amount of entry fees is evaluated for recovery at least annually or when other significant assumptions or economic conditions change. Recovery of entry fees is assessed based on the expected cash flow from the program over the remaining program life as compared to the recorded amount of entry fees. If the carrying value of the entry fees exceeds the cash flow to be generated from the program, a charge would be recorded to reduce the entry fees to their recoverable amounts. No impairment charges were recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 or 2007.

#### Sales Incentives

We offer sales incentives such as up-front cash payments, merchandise credits and/or free products to certain airline customers in connection with sales contracts. The cost of these incentives is recognized in the period incurred unless recovery of these costs is specifically guaranteed by the customer in the contract. If the contract contains such a guarantee, then the cost of the sales incentive is capitalized as other assets and amortized to cost of sales, or as a reduction to sales, as appropriate. At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the carrying amount of sales incentives was \$63.2 million and \$60.2 million, respectively. The carrying amount of sales incentives is evaluated for recovery when indicators of potential impairment exist. The carrying value of the sales incentives is also compared annually to the amount recoverable under the terms of the guarantee in the customer contract. If the amount of the carrying value of the sales incentives exceeds the amount recoverable in the contract, the carrying value is reduced. No material impairment charges were recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 or 2007.

## Flight Certification Costs

When a supply arrangement is secured, certain of our businesses may agree to supply hardware to an OEM to be used in flight certification testing and/or make cash payments to reimburse an OEM for costs incurred in testing the hardware. The flight certification testing is necessary to certify aircraft systems/components for the aircraft s airworthiness and allows the aircraft to be flown and thus sold in the country certifying the aircraft. Flight certification costs are capitalized in other assets and are amortized to cost of sales, or as a reduction to sales, as appropriate. At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the carrying amount of sales flight certification costs was \$39.6 million and \$35.8 million, respectively. The carrying amount of flight certification costs is evaluated for recovery when indicators of impairment exist or when the estimated number of units to be manufactured changes. No impairment charges were recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 or 2007.

57

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Service and Product Warranties**

We provide service and warranty policies on certain of our products. We accrue liabilities under service and warranty policies based upon specific claims and a review of historical warranty and service claim experience in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No 5, Accounting for Contingencies . Adjustments are made to accruals as claim data and historical experience change. In addition, we incur discretionary costs to service our products in connection with product performance issues.

Our service and product warranty reserves are based upon a variety of factors. Any significant change in these factors could have a material impact on our results of operations. Such factors include but are not limited to the following:

The historical performance of our products and changes in performance of newer products;

The mix and volumes of products being sold; and

The impact of product changes.

## **Share-Based Compensation**

We utilize the fair value method of accounting to account for share-based compensation awards.

## **Stock Options**

We use the Black-Scholes-Merton formula to estimate the expected value that our employees will receive from the options based on a number of assumptions, such as interest rates, employee exercises, our stock price and expected dividend yield. Our weighted-average assumptions included:

	2008	2007
Risk-free interest rate %	3.3	4.5
Expected dividend yield %	1.3	1.7
Historical volatility factor %	31.2	34.6
Weighted-average expected life of the options (years)	5.6	5.5

The expected life is a significant assumption as it determines the period for which the risk-free interest rate, historical volatility and expected dividend yield must be applied. The expected life is the period over which our employees are expected to hold their options. It is based on our historical experience with similar grants. The risk free interest rate is based on the expected U.S. Treasury rate over the expected life. Historical volatility reflects movements in our stock price over the most recent historical period equivalent to the expected life. Expected dividend yield is based on the stated dividend rate as of the date of grant.

#### Restricted Stock Units

The fair value of the restricted stock units is determined based upon the average of the high and low grant date fair value. The weighted-average grant date fair value during the first nine months of 2008 and 2007 was \$69.68 and \$46.08 per unit, respectively.

58

#### **Table of Contents**

## Performance Units

The value of each award is determined based upon the average of the high and low fair value of our stock, as adjusted for either a performance condition or a market condition. The performance condition is applied to one-half of the awards and is based upon our actual return on invested capital (ROIC) as compared to a target ROIC. The market condition is applied to the other half of the awards and is based on our relative total shareholder return (RTSR) as compared to the RTSR of a peer group of companies. Performance share units awarded to our senior management are paid in cash. Since the awards will be paid in cash, they are recorded as a liability award in accordance with SFAS 123(R) and are marked to market each reporting period. As such, assumptions are evaluated for each award on an ongoing basis.

#### **Pension and Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions**

We consult with an outside actuary as to the appropriateness for many of the assumptions used in determining the benefit obligations and the annual expense for our pension and postretirement benefits other than pensions. Assumptions such as the rate of compensation increase and the long-term rate of return on plan assets are based upon our historical and benchmark data, as well as our outlook for the future. Health care cost projections and the mortality rate assumption are evaluated annually. The U.S. discount rate was determined based on a customized yield curve approach. Our projected pension and postretirement benefit payment cash flows were each plotted against a yield curve composed of a large, diverse group of Aa-rated corporate bonds. The resulting discount rate was used to determine the benefit obligations. In Canada and the U.K., a similar approach was utilized. The appropriate benchmarks by applicable country were used for pension plans other than those in the U.S., U.K. and Canada to determine the discount rate assumptions.

## FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION IS SUBJECT TO RISK AND UNCERTAINTY

Certain statements made in this document are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities

Litigation Reform Act of 1995 regarding our future plans, objectives and expected performance. Specifically,
statements that are not historical facts, including statements accompanied by words such as believe, expect, anticipate,
intend, should, estimate, or plan, are intended to identify forward-looking statements and convey the uncertainty of
future events or outcomes. We caution readers that any such forward-looking statements are based on assumptions
that we believe are reasonable, but are subject to a wide range of risks, and actual results may differ materially.

Important factors that could cause actual results to differ from expected performance include, but are not limited to:
demand for and market acceptance of new and existing products, such as the Airbus A350 XWB and A380, the
Boeing 787 Dreamliner, the EMBRAER 190, the Mitsubishi Regional Jet (MRJ), the Bombardier CSeries, the

our ability to extend our commercial OE contracts beyond the initial contract periods;

Dassault Falcon 7X and the Lockheed Martin F-35 Lightning II and F-22 Raptor;

59

#### **Table of Contents**

cancellation or delays of orders or contracts by customers or with suppliers, including delays or cancellations associated with the Boeing 787 Dreamliner, the Airbus A380 and A350 XWB aircraft programs, and major military programs;

the financial viability of key suppliers and the ability of our suppliers to perform under existing contracts;

successful development of products and advanced technologies;

the health of the commercial aerospace industry, including the impact of bankruptcies and/or consolidations in the airline industry;

global demand for aircraft spare parts and aftermarket services;

changing priorities or reductions in the defense budgets in the U.S. and other countries, U.S. foreign policy and the level of activity in military flight operations;

the possibility of restructuring and consolidation actions;

threats and events associated with and efforts to combat terrorism;

the extent to which expenses relating to employee and retiree medical and pension benefits change;

competitive product and pricing pressures;

our ability to recover under contractual rights of indemnification for environmental and other claims arising out of the divestiture of our tire, vinyl and other businesses;

possible assertion of claims against us on the theory that we, as the former corporate parent of Coltec Industries Inc, bear some responsibility for the asbestos-related liabilities of Coltec and its subsidiaries;

the effect of changes in accounting policies or tax legislation;

cumulative catch-up adjustments or loss contract reserves on long-term contracts accounted for under the percentage of completion method of accounting;

domestic and foreign government spending, budgetary and trade policies;

economic and political changes in international markets where we compete, such as changes in currency exchange rates, inflation, fuel prices, deflation, recession and other external factors over which we have no control;

the outcome of contingencies including completion of acquisitions, divestitures, tax audits, litigation and environmental remediation efforts; and

the impact of labor difficulties or work stoppages at our, a customer s or a supplier s facilities.

60

#### **Table of Contents**

We caution you not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements contained in this document, which speak only as of the date on which such statements are made. We undertake no obligation to release publicly any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statements were made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We are exposed to certain market risks as part of our ongoing business operations, including risks from changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates, which could impact our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. We manage our exposure to these and other market risks through regular operating and financing activities and through the use of derivative financial instruments. We use such derivative financial instruments as risk management tools and not for speculative investment purposes. Our discussion of market risk in our 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K provides more discussion as to the types of instruments used to manage risk. Refer to Note 16, Derivatives and Hedging Activities of our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part 1 Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for a description of current developments involving our hedging activities.

At September 30, 2008, a hypothetical 100 basis point increase in reference interest rates would increase annual interest expense by \$2.3 million. At September 30, 2008, a hypothetical 10 percent strengthening of the U.S. dollar against other foreign currencies would decrease the value of our forward contracts by \$180.2 million. The fair value of these foreign currency forward contracts was a liability of \$7.4 million at September 30, 2008. Because we hedge only a portion of our exposure, a strengthening of the U.S. Dollar as described above would have a more than offsetting benefit to our financial results in future periods.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

## **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management necessarily applied its judgment in assessing the costs and benefits of such controls and procedures, which, by their nature, can provide only reasonable assurance regarding management s disclosure control objectives.

We have carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report (the Evaluation Date). Based upon that evaluation, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the Evaluation Date to provide reasonable assurance regarding management s disclosure control objectives.

61

#### **Table of Contents**

## **Changes in Internal Control**

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

On October 6, 2008, we implemented a new ERP system at certain of our landing gear and aerostructures maintenance repair and overhaul businesses as part of our previously disclosed plan for an integrated ERP system across the Company.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

# Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

We and certain of our subsidiaries are defendants in various claims, lawsuits and administrative proceedings. In addition, we have been notified that we are among potentially responsible parties under federal environmental laws, or similar state laws, relative to the cost of investigating and in some cases remediating contamination by hazardous materials at several sites. See the disclosure under the captions General , Environmental , Asbestos , Liabilities of Divested Businesses-Asbestos , Dispute with Supplier and Tax in Note 14, Contingencies to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part 1, Item 1, of this Form 10-Q, which disclosure is incorporated herein by reference.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In addition to other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part 1, Item 1A. Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. The risks described in our Annual Report of Form 10-K are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or results of operations.

62

## **Table of Contents**

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

(c) The following table summarizes Goodrich Corporation s purchases of its common stock for the three months ended September 30, 2008:

# ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

					(d	l) Maximum	
						Number	
						(or	
					A	pproximate	
						Dollar	
						Value) of	
						Shares	
				(c) Total			
				Number of		that May	
				Shares		Yet Be	
				Purchased as		Purchased	
	(a) Total			Part of			
	Number			Publicly		Under	
		<b>(b)</b>	Average	Announced			
	of Shares	Price Plans or Paid Per		Plans or	the Plans or		
				Paid Per			
Period	Purchased (1)		Share	Programs (2)	P	rograms (3)	
July 2008	319,421	\$	48.17	310,000			
August 2008	504,554		49.65	501,500			
September 2008	1,236,894		48.85	1,232,676			
Total	2,060,869		48.94	2,044,176	\$	246 million	

- (1) The category includes 16,693 shares delivered to us by employees to pay withholding taxes due upon vesting of a restricted unit award and to pay the exercise price of employee stock options.
- (2) This balance represents the number of shares that were repurchased

under the

Company s

repurchase

program (the

Program). The

Program was

initially

announced on

October 24,

2006. On

February 19,

2008, the

Company

announced that

its Board of

Directors had

increased the

dollar amount of

shares that

could be

purchased under

the Program

from

\$300 million to

\$600 million.

Unless

terminated

earlier by

resolution of the

Company s

Board of

Directors, the

Program will

expire when the

Company has

purchased all

shares

authorized for

repurchase. The

Program does

not obligate the

Company to

repurchase any

particular

amount of

common stock,

and may be

suspended or

discontinued at

any time

without notice.

(3) This balance represents the value of shares that can be repurchased under the Program.

63

# **Table of Contents**

# Item 6. Exhibits.

The following exhibits have been filed with this report:

Exhibit 3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Goodrich Corporation, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Goodrich Corporation s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 (File No. 1-892), is incorporated herein by reference.
Exhibit 3.2	By-Laws of Goodrich Corporation, as amended, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Goodrich Corporation s Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 26, 2007, is incorporated herein by reference. In accordance with Item 601(b)(4)(iii)(A) of Regulation S-K, Goodrich Corporation hereby undertakes to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request, a copy of all instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt.
Exhibit 10.1	Amendment Number 5 to the Goodrich Corporation Severance Program.
Exhibit 15	Letter Re: Unaudited Interim Financial Information.
Exhibit 31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification.
Exhibit 31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification.
Exhibit 32	Section 1350 Certifications.  64

## **Table of Contents**

## **SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

October 27, 2008 GOODRICH CORPORATION

/s/ SCOTT E. KUECHLE

Scott E. Kuechle

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial

Officer

/s/ SCOTT A. COTTRILL

Scott A. Cottrill

Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

65

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Goodrich Corporation, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Goodrich Corporation s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 (File No. 1-892), is incorporated herein by reference.

Exhibit 3.2 By-Laws of Goodrich Corporation, as amended, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Goodrich Corporation s Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 26, 2007, is incorporated herein by reference. In accordance with Item 601(b)(4)(iii)(A) of Regulation S-K, Goodrich Corporation hereby undertakes to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request, a copy of all instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt.

Exhibit 10.1 Amendment Number 5 to the Goodrich Corporation Severance Program.\*

Exhibit 15 Letter Re: Unaudited Interim Financial Information.\*

Exhibit 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification.\*

Exhibit 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification.\*

Exhibit 32 Section 1350 Certifications.\*

66

<sup>\*</sup> Submitted electronically herewith.