CONTINENTAL AIRLINES INC /DE/ Form DEF 14A April 29, 2008

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant b Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- b Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Continental Airlines, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- b No fee required.
- ^o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:

o	Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
o	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. (1) Amount Previously Paid:
	(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
	(3) Filing Party:
	(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

April 29, 2008

To Our Stockholders:

On behalf of our Board of Directors, we are pleased to invite you to attend the Continental Airlines, Inc. 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. As indicated in the attached notice, the meeting will be held at The Crowne Plaza Hotel, 1700 Smith Street, Houston, Texas on Wednesday, June 11, 2008, at 10:00 a.m., local time. At the meeting, our stockholders will act on the matters described in the attached proxy statement and there will be an opportunity to discuss other matters of interest to you as a stockholder. We also intend to discuss our accomplishments during 2007.

We have elected to take advantage of new U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission rules that allow companies to furnish proxy materials to their stockholders on the internet. We believe that these new rules will allow us to provide our stockholders with the information they need, while lowering the costs of delivery and reducing the environmental impact of our annual meeting.

Your vote is important. Even if you plan to attend the meeting in person, please authorize your proxy or direct your vote by following the instructions on each of your voting options described in the attached proxy statement and the notice of internet availability you received in the mail. Alternatively, if you received printed proxy materials, you may vote your shares by internet, telephone or mail pursuant to the instructions included on the proxy card or voting instruction card. We look forward to seeing you in Houston.

Cordially,

Larry Kellner
Chairman and
Chief Executive Officer

Jeff Smisek President

Table of Contents

CONTINENTAL AIRLINES, INC. 1600 Smith Street, Dept. HQSEO Houston, Texas 77002

NOTICE OF 2008 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS To Be Held June 11, 2008

The 2008 annual meeting of stockholders of Continental Airlines, Inc. will be held at The Crowne Plaza Hotel, 1700 Smith Street, Houston, Texas on Wednesday, June 11, 2008, at 10:00 a.m., local time, for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect ten directors to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders;
- 2. To consider and act upon a proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of the company and its subsidiaries for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008;
- 3. To consider and act upon three stockholder proposals, if properly presented at the meeting; and
- 4. To consider and act upon any other matters that may properly come before the meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof.

The holders of record of the company s common stock at the close of business on April 15, 2008 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting. A list of the stockholders entitled to vote at the meeting will be available for examination, during ordinary business hours, for ten days before the meeting at our principal place of business, 1600 Smith Street, Houston, Texas.

Jennifer L. Vogel Secretary

Houston, Texas April 29, 2008

Even if you plan to attend the meeting in person, please authorize your proxy or direct your vote by following the instructions on each of your voting options described in the attached proxy statement and the notice of internet availability you received in the mail. Alternatively, if you received printed proxy materials, you may vote your shares by internet, telephone or mail pursuant to the instructions included on the proxy card or voting instruction card. If you mail the proxy or voting instruction card, no postage is required if mailed in the United States. If you do attend the meeting in person and want to withdraw your proxy, you may do so as described in the attached proxy statement and vote in person on all matters properly brought before the meeting.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE STOCKHOLDERS MEETING TO BE HELD ON JUNE 11, 2008. The company s notice of annual meeting and proxy statement and 2007 annual report to stockholders are available on the internet at www.proxyvote.com.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
THE MEETING	1
Purpose, Place, Date and Time	1
Notice and Access	1
Record Date; Stockholders Entitled to Vote	1
Restrictions on Voting by Non-U.S. Citizens	2
Quorum	2
Vote Required for Proposal 1: Election of Directors	3
Vote Required for Proposal 2: Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting	
Firm	3
Vote Required for Proposal 3: Stockholder Proposal Related to Political Activities	3
Vote Required for Proposal 4: Stockholder Proposal Related to Allowing Holders of 10% of the Common	_
Stock to Call Special Meetings	3
Vote Required for Proposal 5: Stockholder Proposal Related to Stockholder Approval of Certain Severance	
Agreements	4
Voting in Person at the Annual Meeting	4
Voting in Advance of the Meeting	4
Revocation of Proxies	5
Expenses of Solicitation	
Stockholders Sharing the Same Last Name and Address	5 5
Other Matters To Be Acted on at the Annual Meeting	5
VOTING RIGHTS AND PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS	6
Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock by Directors and Executive Officers	7
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	9
Corporate Governance Guidelines	9
Bylaws, Committee Charters and Other Policies	10
Ethics and Compliance Program	11
Director Independence	11
Board of Directors Meetings	12
Standing Committees of the Board of Directors	12
Communications with the Board of Directors	15
Qualifications of Directors	15
Director Nomination Process	16
Director Education	17
Compensation of Non-Management Directors	17
Director Compensation Table	17
Narrative Disclosure to Director Compensation Table	18
Policies and Procedures for Review of Related Person Transactions	19
Related Person Transactions	19
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	19
Report of the Audit Committee	19
EXECUTIVE OFFICER BIOGRAPHICAL SUMMARIES	22
:	

Table of Contents

	Page
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	23
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	23
Report of the Human Resources Committee	30
Compensation of Executive Officers	31
Summary Compensation Table	31
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	33
Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table	34
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End	36
Option Exercises and Stock Vested	37
Pension Benefits	37
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control	39
Equity Compensation Plan Information	45
PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	46
<u>Introduction</u>	46
<u>Director Biographical Summaries</u>	46
PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC	
ACCOUNTING FIRM	48
PROPOSAL 3: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL RELATED TO POLITICAL ACTIVITIES	49
PROPOSAL 4: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL RELATED TO ALLOWING HOLDERS OF 10% OF THE	
<u>COMMON STOCK TO CALL SPECIAL MEETINGS</u>	51
PROPOSAL 5: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL RELATED TO STOCKHOLDER APPROVAL OF	
<u>CERTAIN SEVERANCE AGREEMENTS</u>	54
OTHER MATTERS	56
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	56
2009 Annual Meeting	56
Annual Report on Form 10-K	56
Directions to our Meeting	57
CONTINENTAL AIRLINES COMMITMENT TO THE ENVIRONMENT	58
Background Information on Continental and the Environment	58
Appendix A: Mercer Large 150	A-1
ii	

Table of Contents

CONTINENTAL AIRLINES, INC. 1600 Smith Street, Dept. HQSEO Houston, Texas 77002

PROXY STATEMENT

2008 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS To Be Held June 11, 2008

THE MEETING

Purpose, Place, Date and Time

We are providing this proxy statement to you in connection with the solicitation on behalf of Continental s board of directors, which we refer to as the board, of proxies to be voted at the company s 2008 annual meeting of stockholders or any postponement or adjournment of that meeting. The meeting will be held at The Crowne Plaza Hotel, 1700 Smith Street, Houston, Texas on Wednesday, June 11, 2008, at 10:00 a.m., local time, for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which we refer to as the Meeting Notice.

We have elected to take advantage of the new Notice and Access rules recently adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), which allow public companies to deliver to their stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials and to provide internet access to the proxy materials and annual reports to security holders.

Accordingly, on or about April 30, 2008, we will begin mailing to our stockholders of record a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, which we refer to as the Notice of Internet Availability, except for stockholders who indicated on their proxy cards for our 2007 annual meeting of stockholders their preference to receive a full, printed set of materials for future meetings, to whom we will then begin mailing the requested set of printed materials. The Notice of Internet Availability will include instructions on accessing and reviewing our proxy materials and our 2007 annual report to stockholders on the internet, and will provide instructions on submitting a proxy on the internet.

At the time we begin mailing our Notice of Internet Availability, we will also first make available on the internet at *www.proxyvote.com* our notice of annual meeting and proxy statement and our 2007 annual report to stockholders. Any stockholder may also request a printed copy of these materials by any of the following methods:

internet at www.proxyvote.com,

e-mail at sendmaterial@proxyvote.com, or

telephone at 1-800-579-1639.

Pursuant to the SEC s rules, our 2007 annual report to stockholders, which includes our audited consolidated financial statements, is not considered a part of, or incorporated by reference in, the proxy solicitation materials.

Record Date; Stockholders Entitled to Vote

Stockholders with shares registered in their names with Mellon Investor Services LLC, Continental s transfer agent and registrar, are referred to as stockholders of record. Stockholders of record at the close of business on April 15, 2008, the record date, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting and at any postponement or adjournment of the meeting. Stockholders with shares held in an account at a broker, bank, trust or other nominee are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, and are entitled to direct their brokers, banks, trustees or other nominees on how to vote their shares.

Table of Contents

At the close of business on the record date, Continental had outstanding 98,740,905 shares of Class B common stock, which we refer to as common stock, and one share of Series B Preferred Stock, held by Northwest Airlines, Inc., or Northwest. Subject to certain limitations on voting by non-U.S. citizens as described below, each share of our common stock is entitled to one vote. The share of Series B Preferred Stock held by Northwest, which is no longer outstanding following our redemption of the stock on April 17, 2008, is not entitled to vote with respect to the matters set forth in the accompanying Meeting Notice.

Restrictions on Voting by Non-U.S. Citizens

Under U.S. law, no more than 25% of the voting stock of a U.S. air carrier such as Continental may be owned or controlled, directly or indirectly, by persons who are not U.S. citizens, and Continental itself must be a U.S. citizen. For these purposes, a U.S. citizen means:

an individual who is a citizen of the United States:

a partnership, each of whose partners is an individual who is a citizen of the United States; or

a corporation or association organized under the laws of the United States or a state, the District of Columbia, or a territory or possession of the United States, of which the president and at least two-thirds of the board of directors and other managing officers are citizens of the United States, which is under the actual control of citizens of the United States, and in which at least 75% of the voting interest is owned or controlled by persons who are citizens of the United States.

The U.S. Department of Transportation determines, on a case-by-case basis, whether an air carrier is effectively owned and controlled by citizens of the United States.

In order to comply with these rules, our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation provides that persons who are not U.S. citizens may not vote shares of our capital stock unless the shares are registered on a separate stock record maintained by us. A foreign holder wishing to register on this separate stock record should send us a written request for registration identifying the full name and address of the holder, the holder s citizenship, the total number of shares held and the nature of such ownership (*i.e.*, record or beneficial). Such requests should be addressed to our Secretary at Continental Airlines, Inc., P.O. Box 4607, Houston, Texas 77210-4607. We will not register shares on this record if the amount registered would cause us to violate the foreign ownership rules or adversely affect our operating certificates or authorities. Registration on this record is made in chronological order based on the date we receive a written request for registration. As of the record date, shares registered on this record comprised less than 25% of our voting stock.

Quorum

A quorum of stockholders is necessary for a valid meeting. The required quorum for the transaction of business at the meeting is a majority of the total outstanding shares of stock entitled to vote at the meeting, either present in person or represented by proxy.

Abstentions will be included in determining the number of shares present at the meeting for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum, as will broker non-votes. A broker non-vote occurs under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, when a bank, broker, trust or other nominee holding shares of record is not permitted to vote on a non-routine matter without instructions from the beneficial owner of the shares and no instruction is given. Under these NYSE rules, if you do not provide timely voting instructions to a broker, bank, trust or other nominee that holds your shares of record, that institution will be prohibited from voting on the stockholder proposal

related to political activities (Proposal 3), on the stockholder proposal related to allowing holders of 10% of the common stock to call special meetings (Proposal 4), or on the stockholder proposal related to stockholder approval of certain severance agreements (Proposal 5), but will be permitted to vote in its discretion with respect to the election of directors (Proposal 1) and the proposal to ratify the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal 2).

2

Table of Contents

Vote Required for Proposal 1: Election of Directors

Directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast at the meeting for directors by the holders of common stock entitled to vote thereon.

In the vote to elect directors, stockholders may:

vote in favor of all nominees;

withhold votes as to all nominees; or

withhold votes as to specific nominees.

Pursuant to our director resignation policy, if any of our director nominees receives more withhold votes than votes for his or her re-election, our board (or a committee designated by our board) will be required to consider whether to accept the director s previously tendered conditional resignation. For further discussion of this policy, please see Corporate Governance Corporate Governance Guidelines Director Resignation Policy below.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES.

Vote Required for Proposal 2: Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm will require approval by a majority of the votes cast at the meeting on Proposal 2 by the holders of common stock entitled to vote thereon. Abstentions are not treated as votes cast and thus will not affect the outcome of the proposal.

In the vote on the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, stockholders may:

vote in favor of the ratification;

vote against the ratification; or

abstain from voting on the ratification.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM.

Vote Required for Proposal 3: Stockholder Proposal Related to Political Activities

The stockholder proposal related to political activities scheduled to be presented at the meeting will require approval by a majority of the votes cast at the meeting on Proposal 3 by the holders of common stock entitled to vote thereon. Neither abstentions nor broker non-votes are treated as votes cast and thus neither will affect the outcome of the proposal.

In the vote on this stockholder proposal, stockholders may:

vote in favor of the proposal;

vote against the proposal; or

abstain from voting on the proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST THE STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL RELATED TO POLITICAL ACTIVITIES.

Vote Required for Proposal 4: Stockholder Proposal Related to Allowing Holders of 10% of the Common Stock to Call Special Meetings

The stockholder proposal related to allowing holders of 10% of the common stock to call special meetings of stockholders scheduled to be presented at the meeting will require approval by a majority of the votes cast at the

3

Table of Contents

meeting on Proposal 4 by the holders of common stock entitled to vote thereon. Neither abstentions nor broker non-votes are treated as votes cast and thus neither will affect the outcome of the proposal.

In the vote on this stockholder proposal, stockholders may:

vote in favor of the proposal;
vote against the proposal; or

abstain from voting on the proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST THE STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL RELATED TO ALLOWING HOLDERS OF 10% OF THE COMMON STOCK TO CALL SPECIAL MEETINGS.

Vote Required for Proposal 5: Stockholder Proposal Related to Stockholder Approval of Certain Severance Agreements

The stockholder proposal related to stockholder approval of certain severance agreements scheduled to be presented at the meeting will require approval by a majority of the votes cast at the meeting on Proposal 5 by the holders of common stock entitled to vote thereon. Neither abstentions nor broker non-votes are treated as votes cast and thus neither will affect the outcome of the proposal.

In the vote on this stockholder proposal, stockholders may:

vote in favor of the proposal;

vote against the proposal; or

abstain from voting on the proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST THE STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL RELATED TO STOCKHOLDER APPROVAL OF CERTAIN SEVERANCE AGREEMENTS.

Voting in Person at the Annual Meeting

Stockholders of record are entitled to vote their shares held of record in person at the meeting and at any postponement or adjournment of the meeting. A ballot will be provided to any stockholder of record upon request at the meeting. A stockholder beneficially holding shares in street name may only vote those shares in person at the meeting if the stockholder obtains a legal proxy from the broker, bank, trustee or other nominee that holds the shares of record giving the beneficial stockholder the right to vote the shares. Even if you plan to attend the meeting, we recommend that you also submit your vote in advance of the meeting as described below to ensure that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend. Please see Other Matters Directions to our Meeting below for directions to the annual meeting site.

Voting in Advance of the Meeting

Whether you hold shares directly as the stockholder of record or beneficially in street name, you may direct how your shares are voted without attending the meeting. The internet and telephone proxy procedures described below are

designed to authenticate stockholders identities, to allow stockholders to give their proxy instructions and to confirm that those instructions have been properly recorded. Stockholders authorizing proxies or directing the voting of shares by internet should understand that there may be costs associated with electronic access, such as usage charges from internet access providers and telephone companies, which must be borne by the stockholder.

Stockholders of Record. If you hold shares of record, you may vote by proxy over the internet by following the instructions provided in the Notice of Internet Availability or, if you received printed proxy materials, you may also vote by internet, telephone or mail pursuant to the instructions included on the proxy card. Proxies submitted through Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. by internet or telephone must be received by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on June 10, 2008. The giving of such proxy will not affect your right to vote in person if you decide to attend the meeting.

4

Table of Contents

Beneficial Holders. If you hold shares beneficially in street name, you may direct the voting of those shares over the internet by following the instructions provided in the Notice of Internet Availability or, if you received printed proxy materials, you may also vote by internet, telephone or mail pursuant to the instructions included on the voting instruction card provided to you by your broker, bank, trustee or other nominee. Votes directed by internet or telephone must be received by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on June 10, 2008. Directing the voting of your shares will not affect your right to vote in person if you decide to attend the meeting; however, you must first request a legal proxy as described above under

Voting in Person at the Annual Meeting.

Revocation of Proxies

If you are the record holder of your shares, you may revoke your proxy before it is exercised at the meeting in any of three ways:

by submitting written notice to our Secretary before the meeting that you have revoked your proxy;

by timely submitting another proxy via the internet or, if you received a proxy card, by telephone or by mail that is later dated and, if by mail, that is properly signed; or

by voting in person at the meeting.

If you are not the record holder of your shares, you may revoke your proxy before it is exercised at the meeting by either:

timely submitting new voting instructions to the broker, bank, trustee or other nominee following the instructions they provided; or

voting in person at the meeting, provided you have a legal proxy from the holder of record.

Expenses of Solicitation

Continental will bear the costs of the solicitation of proxies. In addition to the solicitation of proxies by mail, we may also solicit proxies by internet, telephone, fax or in person. None of our regular employees or directors who engage in solicitation will receive additional compensation for that solicitation. In addition, we have retained Georgeson Inc. to assist in the solicitation of proxies for a fee estimated not to exceed \$7,500 plus reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. Arrangements will be made with brokerage houses and with other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward proxy soliciting materials to beneficial owners, and we will reimburse them for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in doing so.

Stockholders Sharing the Same Last Name and Address

We are sending only one copy of our Notice of Internet Availability or, as applicable, printed proxy materials to stockholders who share the same last name and address, unless they have notified us that they want to continue receiving multiple copies. This practice, known as householding, is designed to reduce duplicate mailings and save significant printing and postage costs.

We will promptly deliver to any stockholder who received a householded mailing this year, upon receipt of the stockholder s written or oral request, additional copies of our Notice of Internet Availability or, as applicable, printed proxy materials. If you received a householded mailing this year and you would like to request additional copies, or if you would like to opt out of this practice for future mailings, please submit your request to our Secretary in writing at

Continental Airlines, Inc., P.O. Box 4607, Houston, Texas 77210-4607 or call us at (713) 324-5152. Additionally, if you share the same last name and address with one or more other stockholders and you received multiple copies of the Notice of Internet Availability or, as applicable, printed proxy materials, you may contact us in the manner described above to request a single copy in the future.

Other Matters To Be Acted on at the Annual Meeting

We will not act on any matters at the meeting other than those indicated on the Meeting Notice, other matters that may properly come before the meeting and procedural matters related to the meeting.

5

Table of Contents

VOTING RIGHTS AND PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS

We have one class of securities outstanding that is entitled to vote on the matters to be considered at the meeting, Class B common stock, which is entitled to one vote per share, subject to the limitations on voting by non-U.S. citizens described above. The following table sets forth, as of the dates indicated below, information with respect to persons owning beneficially (to our knowledge) more than five percent of any class of our voting securities.

Name and Address of Beneficial Holder	Beneficial Ownership of Class B Common Stock	Percent of Class
FMR LLC	14,278,980(1)	14.55%
82 Devonshire Street		
Boston, MA 02109		
AXA Financial, Inc.	9,665,915(2)	9.8%
1290 Avenue of the Americas		
New York, NY 10104		
Capital World Investors	5,650,000(3)	5.8%
333 South Hope Street		
Los Angeles, CA 90071		
BlackRock, Inc.	5,545,612(4)	5.65%
40 East 52nd Street		
New York, NY 10022		

- (1) According to an amendment to Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 11, 2008, FMR LLC (FMR), the parent holding company of Fidelity Management and Research Company (Fidelity), and Mr. Edward C. Johnson 3d (Mr. Johnson), Chairman of FMR, reported that they may be deemed to beneficially own the shares reported in the table. FMR reported sole voting power with respect to 975,200 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 14,278,980 shares, and Mr. Johnson reported sole dispositive power with respect to 14,278,980 shares. The amendment also reported that (i) the sole dispositive power of FMR and Mr. Johnson includes the sole power to dispose of 13,288,580 shares beneficially owned directly by various investment companies for which Fidelity acts as an investment adviser (the Fidelity Funds) and (ii) Fidelity exercises the sole power to vote the shares beneficially owned directly by the Fidelity Funds pursuant to written guidelines established by the board of trustees of each Fidelity Fund.
- (2) According to an amendment to Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2008, AXA Assurances I.A.R.D. Mutuelle, AXA Assurances Vie Mutuelle, AXA Courtage Assurance Mutuelle (collectively, the Mutuelles AXA); AXA and AXA Financial, Inc. (AXA Financial) reported that they may be deemed to beneficially own all of the shares reported in the table. Each of these entities reported sole voting power with respect to 7,236,181 shares, shared voting power with respect to 4,814 shares, sole dispositive power with respect to 9,665,876 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 39 shares. These entities also reported that (i) AllianceBernstein L.P., a subsidiary of AXA Financial and an investment advisor holding shares acquired solely for investment purposes on behalf of client discretionary investment advisory accounts, has sole voting power with respect to 7,127,801 shares, shared voting power with respect to 4,814 shares, sole

dispositive power with respect to 9,519,416 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 39 shares, and (ii) AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company, a subsidiary of AXA Financial, has sole voting power with respect to 108,380 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 146,460 shares. The address for each of the Mutuelles AXA is 26, rue Drouot, 75009 Paris, France, and the address for AXA is 25, avenue Matignon, 75008 Paris, France.

(3) According to a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 11, 2008, Capital World Investors (CWI), a registered investment adviser and a division of Capital Research and Management Company (CRMC), reported that it may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of the shares reflected in the table as a result of CRMC acting as an investment adviser to various investment companies. CWI reported that it has sole voting power with respect to 2,250,000 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 5,650,000 shares.

6

Table of Contents

(4) According to an amendment to Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 8, 2008, BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock), a registered investment adviser, reported that it may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of the shares reflected in the table as a result of acting as an investment adviser and parent holding company for a number of investment management subsidiaries. BlackRock reported that it has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to all 5,545,612 shares, does not have sole voting or dispositive power with respect to any of such shares, and that such shares are held by the following investment advisor subsidiaries: BlackRock Advisors LLC, BlackRock Financial Management, Inc., BlackRock Investment Management LLC, BlackRock (Channel Islands) Ltd, BlackRock (Netherlands) B.V., BlackRock Fund Managers Ltd, BlackRock Investment Management UK Ltd and State Street Research & Management Co.

Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock by Directors and Executive Officers

The following table shows, as of April 15, 2008, the number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by each individual who served as a director in 2007, each executive officer named below in the Summary Compensation Table, and all of our executive officers and directors as a group.

	Amount and Nature of	
Name of Beneficial Owners	Beneficial Ownership(1)	Percent of Class
Thomas J. Barrack, Jr.(2)	40,000(3)	*
Kirbyjon H. Caldwell	20,288(4)	*
James E. Compton	3,379	*
Lawrence W. Kellner	22,689(5)	*
Douglas H. McCorkindale	66,000(6)	*
Henry L. Meyer III	25,000(7)	*
Jeffrey J. Misner	9,200	*
Mark J. Moran	9,525(8)	*
Oscar Munoz	15,000(9)	*
George G. C. Parker	36,400(10)	*
Jeffery A. Smisek	14,383	*
Karen Hastie Williams	41,000(11)	*
Ronald B. Woodard	5,000(9)	*
Charles A. Yamarone	45,000(9)	*
All executive officers and directors as a group (15 persons)	365,896(12)	*

^{*} Less than 1%

(1) The persons listed have the sole power to vote and dispose of the shares beneficially owned by them, except as otherwise indicated. Each member of our board is required to beneficially hold at least 1,000 shares of our common stock, including shares the director can acquire within 60 days through the exercise of stock options. All of our directors are in compliance with this requirement as of April 15, 2008, as indicated in the table above. For discussion of the minimum ownership guidelines for our named executive officers, please see Corporate Governance Corporate Governance Guidelines Minimum Stock Ownership below.

- (2) Mr. Barrack retired from our board in September 2007.
- (3) Includes 35,000 shares subject to stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2008 (Exercisable Options).
- (4) Includes 20,000 Exercisable Options.
- (5) Includes 200 shares owned by a relative of Mr. Kellner, as to which shares Mr. Kellner shares dispositive power but disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (6) Includes 45,000 Exercisable Options.
- (7) Includes 20,000 Exercisable Options.

7

Table of Contents

- (8) Includes 6,375 Exercisable Options.
- (9) Consists of shares subject to Exercisable Options.
- (10) Includes 35,000 Exercisable Options.
- (11) Includes 40,000 Exercisable Options.
- (12) Includes 273,875 Exercisable Options.

8

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

We are committed to high standards of corporate governance and to conducting our business ethically and with integrity and professionalism. In furtherance of these commitments, our board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines developed and recommended by the Corporate Governance Committee of our board, which we refer to as our Guidelines, and enhanced our ethics and compliance program through the adoption of Ethics and Compliance Guidelines for our employees and directors. The Guidelines, together with our bylaws, the charters of each of our board committees and the Ethics and Compliance Guidelines, provide the framework for the corporate governance at Continental. A complete copy of each of these documents may be obtained in the Investor Relations section of our internet website under the Corporate Governance link at www.continental.com, and we will furnish printed copies of these documents to interested security holders without charge, upon request. Written requests for such copies should be addressed to: Continental Airlines, Inc., Attention: Secretary, P.O. Box 4607, Houston, Texas 77210-4607.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Our board adopted our initial Guidelines in February 2003 upon the recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee. Since that time, our board, which monitors developments in the laws, regulations and best practices relating to corporate governance and compliance, has amended the Guidelines on a number of occasions to reflect such developments. The current Guidelines provide for the governance practices described below.

Independence. Our Guidelines require that a majority of our board be independent under the criteria for independence established by the NYSE. Our board is responsible for affirmatively determining whether each director nominee satisfies all applicable independence criteria for service on the board or any committee of the board. Please see Director Independence below for a discussion of our board s independence determinations with respect to our ten current director nominees and one director who retired from our board in September 2007.

Limitation on Board Service. None of our directors is permitted to serve on the board of directors of more than two other public companies if the director is employed on a full-time basis, or four other public companies if the director is employed on less than a full-time basis. For determining the number of boards of directors on which a director serves, our Guidelines exclude service on the board of directors of a charitable, philanthropic or non-profit organization, as well as service on the board of the director s principal employer. Further, a director s service on the board of directors of two or more affiliated companies that hold joint or concurrent board meetings will be considered service on only one other board.

Occupational Changes. If a director experiences either a termination of his or her principal employment or position, or a material decrease in responsibilities with respect to that employment or position, the director is required to submit his or her offer to resign to the chair of the Corporate Governance Committee. The committee will then review the circumstances surrounding the employment change and such other matters as it deems appropriate and make a recommendation to our board concerning acceptance or rejection of the director s offer to resign. Our board will then make the final determination concerning whether to accept or reject the director s offer to resign.

Minimum Stock Ownership. Subject to a one-year transition period for newly-elected directors, each of our directors is required to beneficially own at least 1,000 shares of our common stock, our chief executive officer, or CEO, and our president are each required to beneficially own at least 5,000 shares, and our executive vice presidents are each required to beneficially own at least 2,000 shares. A director s or executive officer s holdings of restricted stock or stock options exercisable within 60 days are included when determining whether the individual beneficially owns a sufficient number of shares.

Presiding Director. Pursuant to our Guidelines, the chair of the Executive Committee of our board, who will at all times be a non-management member of our board, also serves as the presiding director for executive sessions of our non-management directors. Stockholders or other interested parties may communicate with our non-management directors through correspondence directed to the presiding director. Please see Communications with the Board of Directors below for instructions on how to contact the presiding director.

9

Table of Contents

Director Resignation Policy. Under our director resignation policy, each of our incumbent directors must submit a conditional, irrevocable resignation letter in the form approved by our board before our board will nominate the director for re-election. The current form of resignation letter approved by our board provides that the resignation will only be effective if:

the director receives more withhold votes than votes for his or her re-election in an uncontested election of directors; and

our board (or a designated committee) accepts the resignation.

If an incumbent director does not receive the vote for re-election specified in his or her conditional resignation letter in an uncontested election of directors, our board (or a committee designated by our board) shall, no later than 60 days after certification of the election results, consider the attendant circumstances and any other factors it deems relevant and determine whether to accept the director s resignation.

In accordance with our bylaws, Delaware corporate law and the form resignation letter approved by our board, the resignation letter cannot be revoked or withdrawn while this director resignation policy is in effect.

Each of the nominated directors has submitted his or her conditional, irrevocable letter of resignation as required by the policy. The conditional, irrevocable resignation approved by our board for Larry Kellner, our Chairman of the Board and CEO, includes an acknowledgement that our board s acceptance of his resignation under the circumstances described above would trigger Mr. Kellner s right under his employment agreement with us to resign for Good Reason and receive certain severance benefits. For a discussion of Mr. Kellner s severance benefits following his resignation for Good Reason, please see Executive Compensation Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control below.

Director Conflicts of Interest. Our Guidelines provide procedures for any director believing that he or she may have an actual or perceived conflict of interest, or any senior executive or director who believes another director may have such a conflict, to report the conflict to the chair of the Corporate Governance Committee, who is responsible for reviewing the director s conflict to determine the appropriate course of action. The committee chair s determination is subject to ratification by the Corporate Governance Committee. Any waiver of the these obligations may only be made by the Corporate Governance Committee and must be promptly disclosed to our stockholders.

Board and Committee Performance Reviews. The Corporate Governance Committee is required to review the performance of our board and each committee on an annual basis, and the Corporate Governance Committee may consider the results of these reviews when making recommendations to the board concerning the slate of director nominees or the board committee assignments.

Right to Amend. Our board has the authority to amend and/or restate the Guidelines, including any or all of these governance practices, from time to time in its sole discretion without stockholder approval.

Bylaws, Committee Charters and Other Policies

In addition to those established by our Guidelines, our bylaws, the charters of our board committees and our other company policies provide for the following significant corporate governance practices:

All of the members of our board are elected annually by our stockholders.

Only independent directors are permitted to serve on our Audit Committee, Corporate Governance Committee or Human Resources Committee.

The board and each committee has the authority to retain outside consultants or advisors as the directors deem necessary or appropriate.

Stockholders beneficially owning a majority of our outstanding common stock may call a special meeting of the stockholders.

Stockholders may act by written consent without a stockholder meeting.

10

Table of Contents

Members of our board, our Section 16 Officers (defined below under Standing Committees of the Board of Directors Human Resources Committee) and our senior vice presidents are only permitted to buy or sell common stock and other company securities during an open trading window after consulting with our General Counsel.

Ethics and Compliance Program

In 2007, our board implemented enhancements to our broad-based ethics and compliance program, including the adoption of our Ethics and Compliance Guidelines. These guidelines apply to all of our co-workers, as well as our non-management directors, and serve as the centerpiece for our enhanced ethics and compliance program. These guidelines promote ethical conduct, good judgment and compliance with laws and our corporate policies. Another key aspect of the enhanced ethics and compliance program involved the establishment of a corporate Ethics and Compliance Committee, led by our General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer, that promotes awareness and understanding of our program, periodically reviews and evaluates our program and the guidelines, and helps to ensure that our program continues to meet our corporate obligations and standards.

Director Independence

Our board determines the independence of each director through application of the director independence tests required by Section 303A of the NYSE Listed Company Manual and, for members of the Audit Committee, the additional independence tests required by Rule 10A-3(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which we refer to as the Exchange Act. Our board has applied these independence tests to our ten nominees and Mr. Thomas J. Barrack, who retired from our board in September 2007, and determined that (1) each of the nominees for our board, other than Messrs. Kellner and Smisek (eight of the ten total nominees), and Mr. Barrack is independent under the applicable standards and (2) each of the nominees for our board qualifies for service on each board committee on which such director currently serves. Please see Proposal 1: Election of Directors Director Biographical Summaries below for a list of all ten nominees for our board, together with biographical summaries including each nominee s current committee memberships and business experience.

In making these independence determinations, the board considered the transactions and relationships between the directors (or members of their immediate families) and the company and its subsidiaries described below:

Mr. Meyer. Mr. Meyer has served as the Chairman, President and CEO of KeyCorp, one of the nation s largest bank-based financial services companies and the parent company of KeyBank, since May 2001. We receive payments from KeyCorp in exchange for providing air transportation services to its employees. We also receive payments from KeyBank in connection with its debit card program, launched in 2003, which is co-branded with us. Further, we lease certain ground equipment from KeyBank s leasing division. During each of the past three years, our aggregate payments to KeyCorp and KeyBank, as well as their aggregate payments to us, in each case represented less than 1/4th of 1% of the consolidated gross revenues of the payee, and less than 1/4th of 1% of the total expenses of the payor. Our board has reviewed these arrangements and determined that they are not material to Mr. Meyer and do not impair his independence.

Ms. Williams. In 2005, Ms. Williams retired as a partner of Crowell & Moring LLP, a law firm that has provided services to us and our subsidiaries for many years. Ms. Williams continues to work on a part-time basis for Crowell & Moring LLP as Senior Counsel. Ms. Williams does not personally provide any legal services to Continental or its subsidiaries and has no individual interest in the fees we pay to Crowell & Moring LLP. Our fee arrangement with Crowell & Moring LLP is negotiated on the same basis as our arrangements with other outside legal counsel and is subject to the same terms and conditions. The fees we pay to Crowell &

Moring LLP are comparable to those we pay to other law firms for similar services. During each of the past three years, our aggregate payments to Crowell & Moring LLP represented less than 1/100th of 1% of our total operating expenses and did not exceed 1/2 of 1% of Crowell & Moring LLP s gross revenues. Our board has reviewed this arrangement and determined that it is not material to Ms. Williams and does not impair her independence.

11

Table of Contents

Mr. Woodard. Mr. Woodard serves on the board of directors of AAR Corp., a leading provider of products and services to the global aerospace and defense industry. AAR Corp. is a supplier of parts and repair services to us and has an ownership interest in an aircraft leased by us. During each of the past three years, our lease payments relating to aircraft and equipment leased from AAR Corp., together with amounts paid in consideration of parts and repairs, amounted to less than 1/10th of 1% of our total operating expenses and less than 1/2 of 1% of AAR Corp. s consolidated gross revenues. Our board has reviewed these arrangements and determined that they are not material to Mr. Woodard and do not impair his independence.

The purpose of this review was to determine whether any such relationships or transactions were material and, therefore, inconsistent with a determination that the director is independent. As a result of this review, the board affirmatively determined, based on its understanding of such transactions and relationships, that, with the exception of Messrs. Kellner and Smisek, none of the directors nominated for election at the meeting, nor Mr. Barrack, has any material relationships with the company or its subsidiaries, and that all such current and former directors are independent of the company under the applicable standards set forth by the NYSE and SEC. Messrs. Kellner and Smisek are not independent because of their employment as executives of the company.

Board of Directors Meetings

Regular meetings of our board are generally held four times per year, and special meetings are scheduled when required. The board held five meetings in 2007. During 2007, each of our current directors attended at least 75% of the sum of the total number of meetings of the board and each committee of which he or she was a member. Last year, ten of our directors, including nine of our current directors and one director who retired from our board in September 2007, attended the annual meeting of stockholders.

Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, directors are expected to fulfill diligently their fiduciary duties to stockholders, which duties include preparing for, attending and participating in meetings of the board and the committees of which the directors are a member. We do not have a formal policy regarding director attendance at annual meetings. However, when considering a director s renomination to the board, the Corporate Governance Committee must consider the director s history of attendance at annual meetings of stockholders and at board and committee meetings as well as the director s preparation for and participation in such meetings.

Our non-management directors regularly meet separately in executive session without any members of management present. During 2007, our non-management directors met in such executive sessions on four occasions. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the chair of the Executive Committee, who at all times shall be a non-management director, shall serve as the presiding director for these executive sessions. Currently, all of our non-management directors are independent within the meaning of the NYSE s criteria for independence. Please see

Director Independence above. If any of our non-management directors were to fail to meet the NYSE s criteria for independence, then our independent directors would meet separately at least once a year in accordance with the rules of the NYSE.

Standing Committees of the Board of Directors

Our board has established the committees described below, each of which operates under a written charter adopted by the board. Please see the introductory paragraph immediately following Corporate Governance above for instructions on obtaining electronic or printed copies of the charters of these board committees.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has the authority and power to act on behalf of the board with respect to the appointment of our independent auditors, which we also refer to as our independent registered public accounting firm,

and with respect to authorizing all audit and other activities performed for us by our internal and independent auditors. The committee, among other matters, reviews with management and the company s independent auditors the effectiveness of the accounting and financial controls of the company and its subsidiaries, and reviews and discusses the company s audited financial statements with management and the independent auditors. It is the responsibility of the committee to evaluate the qualifications, performance and independence of the independent auditors and to maintain free and open communication among the committee, the independent auditors, the internal auditors and management of the company. Please see Report of the Audit Committee below. The committee may form and delegate its authority to subcommittees or the chair of the committee when

12

Table of Contents

appropriate. Our board has determined that all members of the Audit Committee are independent directors as required by the applicable rules of the NYSE and SEC, and that Mr. McCorkindale, Mr. Munoz and Mr. Parker each qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under the applicable rules promulgated pursuant to the Exchange Act. Our board has also determined that Ms. Williams service on the audit committees of three other public companies will not impair her ability to serve on our Audit Committee.

Corporate Governance Committee. The Corporate Governance Committee identifies individuals qualified to become members of the board, consistent with criteria approved by the board, and recommends to the board the slate of directors to be nominated by the board at each annual meeting of stockholders and any director to fill a vacancy on the board. The committee will consider recommendations for nominees for directorships submitted by stockholders. Stockholders desiring the committee to consider their recommendations for nominees should submit their recommendations, together with appropriate biographical information and qualifications, in writing to the committee, care of the Secretary of the company at our principal executive offices. The committee also recommends directors to be appointed to the committees of the board and the directors to serve as committee chairs, including in the event of vacancies, and oversees the evaluation of the board and management. The committee also developed and recommended to the board the company s Corporate Governance Guidelines and is responsible for overseeing our board s compliance with the Guidelines, including determining the appropriate course of action with respect to any potential or actual conflicts of interest involving a director brought to the attention of the chair of the committee. The committee may form and delegate its authority to subcommittees or the chair of the committee when appropriate. All members of the Corporate Governance Committee are independent directors as required by the applicable rules of the NYSE.

Additionally, through February 20, 2008, the committee was responsible for periodically reviewing the compensation and benefits of non-management members of the board and its committees. At the direction of the committee, Mercer Human Resource Consulting, or Mercer, an independent compensation consultant, compiled available marketplace director compensation data for domestic peer airlines and certain non-airline companies with comparable revenue and other characteristics. The committee considered this peer company director compensation data, received input from our Chairman of the Board and CEO and our President, and reviewed the performance of the non-management directors as measured by the board and committee evaluations, as well as other factors, and determined that it would be appropriate to change such compensation to (1) make our non-management director compensation more competitive as compared to the director compensation practices of the companies included in Mercer s survey, thus enhancing our ability to attract and retain high quality board members; (2) recognize the significant time and effort necessary for members of our Human Resources Committee to analyze senior officer and director compensation in an increasingly complex regulatory environment; and (3) acknowledge the incremental time and effort necessary for the chairs of the Corporate Governance and Human Resources Committees to oversee their respective committees, particularly in light of the volume and complexity of the governance and compensation issues addressed by those committees. Based on this determination, the Corporate Governance Committee recommended to the board, and on February 20, 2008, the board approved, the changes to non-management director compensation described below under Compensation of Non-Management Directors.

On February 20, 2008, our board also amended our appropriate governance documents to transition responsibility for reviewing the compensation and benefits of our board s non-management directors from the Corporate Governance Committee to the Human Resources Committee. Our board made this change to avoid duplication of effort between board committees, given the many similarities between the issues arising with respect to director and senior officer compensation, and in light of the Human Resources Committee s extensive experience reviewing compensation matters in coordination with the committee s independent consultants and legal advisors. Although the Human Resources Committee will be responsible for reviewing non-management director compensation in the future, it did not participate in reviewing or approving the changes to non-management director compensation adopted in February 2008.

Executive Committee. The Executive Committee has the authority to exercise certain powers of the board between board meetings. The chair of the Executive Committee serves as the board s presiding director for executive sessions of non-management directors.

13

Table of Contents

Finance Committee. The Finance Committee reviews our annual financial budget, including the capital expenditure plan, and makes recommendations to the board regarding adoption of the budget as the committee deems appropriate.

Human Resources Committee. The Human Resources Committee reviews and approves corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of our CEO, evaluates our CEO s performance in light of those goals and objectives, and determines and approves our CEO s compensation based on its evaluation. The committee also reviews and approves the compensation of our other Section 16 Officers and incentive compensation plans and programs applicable to them. Our current Section 16 Officers are our Chairman of the Board and CEO; our President; each of our Executive Vice Presidents; our Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer; and our Vice President and Controller. The committee also administers our equity-based plans and other incentive and employee benefit plans and programs. The committee may form and delegate its authority to subcommittees or the chair of the committee when appropriate. All members of the Human Resources Committee are independent directors as required by the applicable rules of the NYSE.

In addition to its review and approval of the compensation of our Section 16 Officers, beginning on February 20, 2008, the Human Resources Committee is responsible for reviewing the compensation and benefits of our board s non-management directors. Please see — Corporate Governance Committee — above for a discussion of the transition of this responsibility from the Corporate Governance Committee. Our board anticipates that future reviews of the compensation of our non-management directors will be conducted in a manner substantially similar to that described above, with the Human Resources Committee considering, among other things, comparative director compensation data provided by independent compensation consultants.

To assist the committee in discharging its responsibilities with respect to executive compensation, the committee has retained since 2004 the services of Mercer, an independent compensation consultant that reports exclusively to the committee. To ensure Mercer s objectivity and to avoid conflicts of interest, we adopted conflict of interest guidelines governing our relationship with Mercer. These guidelines establish our management s obligation to report quarterly to the committee the scope and amount of work being performed by Mercer or its affiliates for us, Mercer s direct access to the committee through its chair, and the requirement that Mercer develop procedures to prevent any Mercer employees advising the committee on executive compensation from discussing their services with other Mercer employees. Pursuant to the committee s charter, it has the sole authority to retain and terminate Mercer and any other consultants or legal advisors engaged by the committee.

From time to time and in connection with the setting of incentive compensation targets, Mercer makes executive compensation recommendations to the committee based on available marketplace compensation data for U.S. airlines of comparable size and certain non-airline companies with comparable revenue and other characteristics. Management also makes independent recommendations to the committee concerning the form and amount of executive compensation. The committee then reviews and considers Mercer s and management s recommendations, marketplace compensation data, individual officer performance and other factors, and makes its determinations concerning the compensation of the CEO and other Section 16 Officers. During 2007, the committee s compensation decisions and determinations were made during eight meetings, five of which included executive sessions at which management was not present. For further discussion of our processes and procedures for the consideration and determination of executive compensation, please see Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis below.

14

Table of Contents

Membership on Board Committees. The following table lists our five board committees, the directors who currently serve on them and the number of committee meetings held in 2007.

Name	Audit	Human Resources	Corporate Governance	Finance	Executive
Mr. Caldwell		X	X		
Mr. Kellner				X	X
Mr. McCorkindale	X				X
Mr. Meyer		X	C		C
Mr. Munoz	C				
Mr. Parker	X			X	
Mr. Smisek				X	
Ms. Williams	X			C	
Mr. Woodard		X		X	
Mr. Yamarone		C	X		
2007 Meetings	8	8	4	1	0

C = Chair

X = Member

Communications with the Board of Directors

Stockholders or other interested parties can contact any director (including Mr. Meyer, the current presiding director for executive sessions of non-management directors), any committee of the board, or our non-management directors as a group, by writing to them c/o Secretary, Continental Airlines, Inc., P.O. Box 4607, Houston, Texas 77210-4607. Comments or complaints relating to the company s accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters will also be referred to members of the Audit Committee. All such communications will be forwarded to the appropriate member(s) of the board, except that the board has instructed the company to direct communications that do not relate to the company s accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, to the chair of the Corporate Governance Committee and not to forward to the board or the chair of the Corporate Governance Committee certain categories of communications.

Qualifications of Directors

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the Corporate Governance Committee should consider the following when identifying director nominees:

The person s reputation, integrity and, for non-management director nominees, such person s independence from management and the company;

The person s skills and business, government or other professional experience and acumen, bearing in mind the composition of the board and the current state of the company and the airline industry generally at the time of determination:

The number of other public companies for which the person serves as a director (subject to the specific limitations described under Corporate Governance Guidelines Limitation on Board Service above) and the availability of the person s time and commitment to the company;

Diversity;

The person s knowledge of a major geographical area in which the company operates (such as a hub) or another area of the company s operational environment;

The person s age; and

Whether the person has a material, non-ordinary course (direct or indirect) investment in a direct competitor of the company.

15

Table of Contents

The Corporate Governance Committee also confirms that nominees are in compliance with stock ownership requirements and board service limitations. In the case of current directors being considered for renomination, the committee also will take into account the director s:

Tenure as a member of the board.

Responses to the annual director performance self-assessment,

History of attendance at annual meetings of stockholders, and

History of attendance at board and committee meetings and the director s preparation for and participation in such meetings.

Moreover, each incumbent director is required to submit an irrevocable, conditional resignation letter pursuant to our director resignation policy prior to his or her nomination for re-election. Please see Corporate Governance Guidelines Director Resignation Policy above for a discussion of this requirement.

Director Nomination Process

Our director nomination process for new board members is as follows:

The Corporate Governance Committee, the Chairman of the Board and CEO, or other board member identifies a need to add one or more new board members, in some cases to fill vacancies on the board.

The Corporate Governance Committee, with input from senior management and the board, determines which criteria should be applied when identifying prospective director candidates. The criteria shall include the items listed above under — Qualifications of Directors, — and may include other considerations, such as whether a director candidate would enhance one or more board committees or whether he or she serves as an executive officer of another public company.

Based on the specific criteria established by the Corporate Governance Committee, senior management reviews the universe of prospective candidates to identify the initial slate of candidates that best satisfy the committee s criteria. This initial slate is then presented to the Corporate Governance Committee, which ranks the candidates and solicits input from the board on any additional candidates that should be considered.

The Chairman of the Board and CEO and at least one member of the Corporate Governance Committee interview prospective candidate(s). The results of these interviews, as well as any additional information concerning the candidates obtained by the board or senior management, is reported to the Corporate Governance Committee.

The full board is kept informed of progress.

The Corporate Governance Committee offers other board members the opportunity to interview each candidate and then meets to consider and approve each final candidate.

The Corporate Governance Committee seeks full board approval of each final candidate.

The board nominates for election (or appoints to fill a vacancy) each final candidate.

The Corporate Governance Committee also considers recommendations for nominees for directorships submitted by stockholders. When considering an individual nominated by a stockholder, the committee follows a substantially similar process, identifying the criteria to be applied and determining, based on information provided by the stockholder submitting the nominee and additional information obtained by the board or senior management, whether the stockholder nominee satisfies those criteria. As discussed above, such criteria shall include the items listed above under Qualifications of Directors.

The Corporate Governance Committee, which is authorized to retain consultants or advisors as its deems necessary or appropriate, may hire a search firm to assist with the process described above. If retained by the committee, the search firm would likely assist with the development of the criteria, the identification of qualified candidates and the gathering of additional information on the final candidates.

16

Table of Contents

Director Education

As provided in our Guidelines, our newly elected directors participate in an orientation program following their election or appointment to the board. This orientation includes presentations by our senior management and independent auditors to familiarize new directors with our strategic plans, financial statements and key policies and practices. We also provide our directors with opportunities to visit our facilities, to participate in training concerning our ethics and compliance program and to review in depth strategic areas of our business. We provide our directors with information concerning director education programs sponsored by various educational institutions, and we reimburse their expenses incurred to attend such programs. In addition, all of our directors are provided flight benefits, including access to our Presidents Club airport lounges, enabling them to monitor the quality of our services and to interact with employees and customers.

Compensation of Non-Management Directors

The table below provides information relating to the compensation of the non-management members of our board in 2007. The compensation elements are described in the narrative following the table.

Director Compensation Table

Change

					Cnange						
			in								
			Pension Value								
	Fees and										
	Earned]	Non-Equi	N onqualified	l					
				Incentive	2						
	or Paid	Stock	Option	Plan	Deferred	All Other					
	in Cash	Awards	Awards C	ompensa t	i om pensati ć	mpensation	Total				
Name	(\$)(2)	(\$)	(\$)(3)	(\$)	Earnings	(\$)(4)	(\$)				
Thomas J. Barrack, Jr.(1)	24,325	0	118,527	0	0	1,759	144,611				
Kirbyjon H. Caldwell	44,800	0	118,527	0	0	11,107	174,434				
Douglas H. McCorkindale	62,750	0	118,527	0	0	2,907	184,184				
Henry L. Meyer III	60,050	0	118,527	0	0	7,997	186,574				
Oscar Munoz	84,800	0	118,527	0	0	13,291	216,618				
George G. C. Parker	66,700	0	118,527	0	0	10,516	195,743				
Karen Hastie Williams	41,621	0	118,527	0	0	18,439	178,587				
Ronald B. Woodard	38,150	0	118,527	0	0	7,409	164,086				
Charles A. Yamarone	43,050	0	118,527	0	0	2,046	163,623				

⁽¹⁾ Mr. Barrack retired from our board in September 2007.

⁽²⁾ This represents cash fees earned in 2007, including the annual fees, meeting fees and orientation fees described below.

- (3) This represents the dollar amount of compensation cost recognized by the company in 2007, in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123R, Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R), of 5,000 stock options granted to each of our non-management directors on June 12, 2007, the date of our 2007 annual meeting of stockholders. These options became exercisable immediately upon grant, have an exercise price of \$34.10 per share (the NYSE closing price of our common stock on the grant date) and have a ten-year term. The recognized compensation cost reflected in the table is the same as the grant date fair value under SFAS 123R because all of the options vested immediately upon grant. The value of these options is based on assumptions which are set forth in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Stock-Based Compensation in the company sannual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 (the 2007 Form 10-K). Our non-management directors held the following outstanding stock options as of December 31, 2007: Mr. Caldwell 20,000 options, Mr. McCorkindale 45,000 options, Mr. Meyer 20,000 options, Mr. Woodard 5,000 options, and Mr. Yamarone 45,000 options. Mr. Barrack held 35,000 options as of December 31, 2007.
- (4) Amounts shown for each director represent a tax reimbursement relating to the flight benefits described in this footnote below, calculated based on the IRS valuation of the benefit (which value is greater than the incremental

17

Table of Contents

cost to the company of providing such benefits). Pursuant to SEC rules, the value of flight benefits provided to our directors is not included under All Other Compensation because the total incremental cost to the company of providing such benefits was less than \$10,000 for each director. As indicated above, our non-management directors receive lifetime flight benefits, comprised of space-available personal and family flight passes, a travel card permitting positive space travel by the director, the director s family and certain other individuals (which is taxable to the director, subject to our reimbursement of certain of such taxes), frequent flyer cards and access to our Presidents Club facilities for the director and his or her spouse. As is common in the airline industry, our directors also receive travel privileges on some other airlines through arrangements entered into between us and such airlines.

Narrative Disclosure to Director Compensation Table

Annual Fees. For service in 2007, each of our non-management directors received an annual fee of \$24,500 paid quarterly in advance. Each member of the Audit Committee received an additional annual fee of \$25,000, except the chair of the Audit Committee, who received an additional annual fee of \$40,000.

Meeting Fees. Our non-management directors receive the following fees for attendance at meetings of our board and committees:

\$1,400 (\$2,100 for the committee chair) for each board and committee meeting physically attended (other than an Audit Committee meeting);

\$2,000 (\$3,000 for the committee chair) for each Audit Committee meeting physically attended;

\$700 for each board meeting attended by telephone; and

\$350 for each committee meeting attended by telephone (\$500 for each Audit Committee meeting attended by telephone).

Orientation Fees. Each of our non-management directors is entitled to receive \$2,500 as compensation for time spent on orientation matters when the director is initially elected to the board or to a committee on which he or she has not recently served.

Stock Options. On June 12, 2007, the date of our 2007 annual meeting of stockholders, each of our non-management directors received an annual grant of stock options to purchase 5,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price equal to the closing price on the date of grant. These options were fully vested upon grant and have a 10-year term. If a newly-elected director were first elected to the board other than at an annual meeting of stockholders, the director would receive the annual stock option grant at such time.

Reimbursement of Expenses. We reimburse our directors, including those who are full-time employees who serve as directors, for expenses incurred in attending meetings or in connection with participation in director education programs and director institutes offered by third parties.

Conducting Company Business. Our non-management directors who, in their capacities as directors, conduct business on our behalf at the request of the board or the Chairman of the Board are paid:

For telephone participation in board and committee meetings as if they were physically present, if their conducting that business makes it impractical for them to attend the meeting in person; and

\$3,000 per day spent outside the United States while conducting that business.

Changes to Non-Management Director Compensation. On February 20, 2008, our board, upon the recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee, made the following changes to non-management director compensation effective as of such date:

the annual fee paid to each non-management director was increased to \$25,000;

the annual grant of stock options was increased to 7,500 options;

an additional annual fee of \$20,000 was established for the chair of each of the Human Resources Committee and the Corporate Governance Committee; and

18

Table of Contents

an additional annual fee of \$15,000 was established for each member of the Human Resources Committee who is not receiving any additional annual fees for service as the chair of a committee of our board.

Other than as described above, the compensation of our non-management directors has not changed since 2007. Please see Standing Committees of the Board of Directors Corporate Governance Committee above for discussion of the Corporate Governance Committee s process for reviewing our non-management director compensation.

Policies and Procedures for Review of Related Person Transactions

As required by its charter, the Audit Committee reviews, at least annually, all related person transactions that may be required to be disclosed in the proxy statement for our next annual meeting of stockholders. We obtain information concerning any possible related person transactions from our directors and executive officers through their responses to annual questionnaires. All responses identifying possible related person transactions are then compiled and presented to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee applies the disclosure standards adopted by the SEC for related person transactions to determine, based on the particular facts and circumstances, whether any related person (as defined by the SEC) has a direct or indirect material interest in a transaction involving the company. If such a material interest exists and no exception from disclosure applies, we disclose the transaction in our proxy statement as required by the SEC s rules.

Related Person Transactions

The Audit Committee reviewed all transactions since January 1, 2007 involving a related person identified in the annual questionnaire responses or otherwise known to the committee or the company and determined that none of the transactions was required to be disclosed as a related person transaction pursuant to the SEC s rules.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Our executive compensation programs are administered by the Human Resources Committee of the board. The committee is currently composed of four independent, non-management directors, and no member of the committee has ever been an officer or employee of Continental or any of its subsidiaries. None of our executive officers has served as a member of any board of directors or compensation committee of any other company for which any of our directors served as an executive officer at any time since January 1, 2007.

Report of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is comprised of four non-employee members of the board of directors (listed below). Ms. Williams joined the committee on September 13, 2007. After reviewing the qualifications of the current members of the committee, and any relationships they may have with the company that might affect their independence from the company, the board has determined that (1) all current committee members are independent as that concept is defined in Section 10A of the Exchange Act, (2) all current committee members are independent as that concept is defined in the applicable rules of the NYSE, (3) all current committee members are financially literate, and (4) Mr. McCorkindale, Mr. Munoz and Mr. Parker each qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under the applicable rules promulgated pursuant to the Exchange Act.

The board of directors appointed the undersigned directors as members of the committee and adopted a written charter setting forth the procedures and responsibilities of the committee. Each year, the committee reviews the charter and reports to the board on its adequacy in light of applicable NYSE rules. In addition, the company will furnish an annual written affirmation to the NYSE relating to, among other things, clauses (2)-(4) of the first paragraph of this report

and the adequacy of the committee charter.

During the last year, and earlier this year in preparation for the filing with the SEC of the company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 (the 10-K), the committee, among other matters:

reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements included in the annual report to stockholders that accompanies this proxy statement with management and the company s independent auditors;

19

Table of Contents

reviewed the overall scope and plans for the audit and the results of the independent auditors examinations;

met with management periodically during the year to consider the adequacy of the company s internal controls and the quality of its financial reporting and discussed these matters with the company s independent auditors and with appropriate company financial personnel and internal auditors;

discussed with the company s senior management, independent auditors and internal auditors the process used for the company s chief executive officer and chief financial officer to make the certifications required by the SEC and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 in connection with the 10-K and other periodic filings with the SEC;

reviewed and discussed with the independent auditors (1) their judgments as to the quality (and not just the acceptability) of the company s accounting policies, (2) the written communication required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, Independence Discussions with Audit Committees and the independence of the independent auditors, and (3) the matters required to be discussed with the committee under auditing standards generally accepted in the United States, including Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, Communication with Audit Committees;

based on these reviews and discussions, as well as private discussions with the independent auditors and the company s internal auditors, recommended to the board of directors the inclusion of the audited financial statements of the company and its subsidiaries in the 10-K; and

determined that the non-audit services provided to the company by the independent auditors (discussed below under Proposal 2) are compatible with maintaining the independence of the independent auditors. The committee s pre-approval policies and procedures are discussed below under Proposal 2.

Notwithstanding the foregoing actions and the responsibilities set forth in the committee charter, the charter clarifies that it is not the duty of the committee to plan or conduct audits or to determine that the company s financial statements are complete and accurate and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Management is responsible for the company s financial reporting process including its system of internal controls, and for the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The independent auditors are responsible for expressing an opinion on those financial statements. Committee members are not employees of the company or accountants or auditors by profession or experts in the fields of accounting or auditing. Therefore, the committee has relied, without independent verification, on management s representation that the financial statements have been prepared with integrity and objectivity and in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and on the representations of the independent auditors included in their report on the company s financial statements.

The committee meets regularly with management and the independent and internal auditors, including private discussions with the independent auditors and the company s internal auditors and receives the communications described above. The committee has also established procedures for (a) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the company regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, and (b) the confidential, anonymous submission by the company s employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters. However, this oversight does not provide us with an independent basis to determine that management has maintained (1) appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles or policies, or (2) appropriate internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. Furthermore, our considerations and discussions with management and the independent auditors do not assure that the company s financial statements are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or that the audit of the company s financial statements has been carried out in

accordance with generally accepted auditing standards.

20

Table of Contents

The information contained in this report shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the company specifically incorporates it by reference into a document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act.

Respectfully submitted,

Audit Committee

Oscar Munoz, Chairman Douglas H. McCorkindale George G. C. Parker Karen Hastie Williams

21

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE OFFICER BIOGRAPHICAL SUMMARIES

The following table sets forth information with respect to our current executive officers:

Name, Age and Position:

Term of Office and Business Experience:

LAWRENCE W. KELLNER, age 49 Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

2004. President and Chief Operating Officer (March 2003 December 2004); President (May 2001 March 2003). Mr. Kellner joined the company in 1995. Director since May 2001. Director of Marriott International, Inc.

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since December

JEFFERY A. SMISEK, age 53 President President since December 2004. Executive Vice President (March 2003 December 2004); Executive Vice President Corporate and Secretary (May 2001 March 2003). Mr. Smisek joined the company in 1995. Director since December 2004. Director of National Oilwell Varco, Inc.

JAMES E. COMPTON, age 52 Executive Vice President Marketing Executive Vice President Marketing since August 2004. Senior Vice President Marketing (March 2003 August 2004); Senior Vice President Pricing and Revenue Management (February 2001 March 2003). Mr. Compton joined the company in 1995.

JEFFREY J. MISNER, age 54 Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since August 2004. Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (November 2001 August 2004). Mr. Misner joined the company in 1995. Director of Vonage Holdings Corp.

MARK J. MORAN, age 52 Executive Vice President Operations Executive Vice President Operations since August 2004. Senior Vice President Technical Operations and Purchasing (September 2003 August 2004); Vice President Technical Operations and Purchasing (March 2003 September 2003); Vice President Aircraft Maintenance (February 1998 March 2003). Mr. Moran joined the company in 1994. Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary and Chief

JENNIFER L. VOGEL, age 46 Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer

Table of Contents

Compliance Officer since September 2003. Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary and Corporate Compliance Officer (March 2003 September 2003); Vice President, General Counsel, Corporate Compliance Officer and Assistant Secretary (February 2003 March 2003); Vice President, General Counsel and Assistant Secretary (May 2001 February 2003). Ms. Vogel joined the company in 1995.

48

There is no family relationship between any of our executive officers. All officers are appointed by the board to serve until their resignation, death or removal.

22

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Introduction

The U.S. network carrier environment continued to improve during 2007. However, although we have been profitable for the past two years, many challenges could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition in 2008 and thereafter. Among the most significant are fuel costs, overall economic conditions, potential industry consolidation, and labor and other costs. The negative impact of these factors on our business requires that we remain focused on further reducing our cost structure and increasing our revenues if we are to remain profitable in 2008. Many of our domestic network competitors, including Delta Air Lines, Northwest Airlines, United Airlines and US Airways, have used bankruptcy to reduce their costs significantly in ways that were not available to us outside of bankruptcy. Other smaller carriers such as Aloha Airlines, ATA Airlines, and Skybus Airlines, among others, have recently ceased passenger operations in light of the challenges facing our industry.

A significant component of our expense structure is labor and related costs. Our company-wide initiative to reduce pay and benefit costs and streamline work rules completed in 2006 has yielded savings of approximately \$500 million annually. The reductions in base salary (by up to 25%) taken by our officers, the resulting reductions in potential payment amounts with respect to their annual incentive and long-term incentive plan awards, and the voluntary surrender of vested incentive awards by our officers set the tone for our company-wide wage and benefit reductions. These reductions and work rule changes provided the framework for a business plan that helped the company avoid bankruptcy, return to profitability, grow our network and provide additional opportunities for our co-workers.

Providing variable incentive-based compensation elements to all our workgroups allows us to maintain a competitive cost structure and reward all co-workers based on performance. As further described below, variable incentive-based compensation programs resulted in payouts of profit sharing to our broad-based employee groups of \$111 million following 2006 performance and \$158 million for 2007 performance, and on-time incentives for those groups of approximately \$60 million since the beginning of 2005. These variable incentives, combined with seniority-based step progression and our growth since 2004, contributed to each workgroup at Continental earning more in average annual compensation with respect to 2007 than they earned with respect to 2004 (the year before pay and benefit reductions began).

Philosophy

Against this backdrop, our executive compensation philosophy for 2007 continued to be defined by three main objectives: aligning executive incentives with stockholders and co-workers interests, retaining our management team, and designing appropriate pay for performance. We made difficult decisions to implement a business plan in 2005 that, through shared sacrifice, allowed us to grow, return to profitability in 2006 and 2007, and reward our co-workers through variable incentive-based compensation. We believe that keeping the interests of our executives aligned with the interests of our stockholders and our co-workers will be an important factor in maintaining that profitability. We also believe that our experienced and well-regarded management team has been and continues to be critical to the company s successful implementation of business strategies that led to our return to profitability and the ultimate preservation and growth of stockholder value. Accordingly, retention of senior executives is a key goal. Finally, we believe that pay for performance is a critical element in our executive compensation plan design, and that both absolute and relative performance measures are appropriate. Our incentive programs are designed to drive performance by such measures. As described below, in order to advance these objectives, we have restructured

compensation packages over the last several years through significant reductions in the fixed components of executive pay, the surrender of certain incentive awards that were not fully aligned with pay incentives for the broader workforce, and the implementation of an incentive compensation program focused on multi-year performance incentives that pay out based upon achievement of specified levels of profit sharing for our co-workers under our Enhanced Profit Sharing Plan. Since 2004, the Human Resources Committee has relied on Mercer, its

23

Table of Contents

independent consultant, to assist it in developing and structuring the company s executive compensation programs in light of the principal objectives described above.

Aligned Interests. We have structured executive and broad-based employee incentives that align the interests of our executives and co-workers with those of our stockholders and customers. The Human Resources Committee believes that such incentives play a significant part in Continental s successful performance.

We align our executive compensation with the interests of our stockholders by linking our incentive compensation performance measures to key indicators of the company s financial performance: our annual return on base invested capital (ROBIC), our long-term earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation, amortization, aircraft rent, non-operating income (expense) and special items (EBITDAR) margin relative to our domestic network competitors, the size of our profit-sharing pool for broad-based workgroups, our stock price performance, achieving positive net income and maintaining specified cash balances. The restricted stock unit (RSU) program aligns management s interests with our stockholders interests by placing the executives compensation at risk for any share price decline that occurs after the achievement of any performance target but before the relevant payment dates, which are spread over multi-year periods for retention purposes. The Profit Based RSUs, discussed below, also align our executives interests with the interests of our co-workers by linking executive incentive opportunities to the achievement of cumulative profit sharing pools for our broad base of employees under the Enhanced Profit Sharing Plan. The Stock Based RSUs significant stock price appreciation requirement also aligned management s interests with stockholders interests during the time those awards were outstanding.

Broad-based employee incentive opportunities are also designed to further our objective of aligning the interests of our co-workers with those of our stockholders and customers. First, stock options granted to our broad co-worker group (excluding officers) in connection with the pay and benefit cost reductions discussed above had realized value upon exercise and unrealized gains of over \$118 million based on the closing price of the company s common stock on December 31, 2007. Pursuant to our Enhanced Profit Sharing Plan, co-workers receive incentives that also are aligned with the interests of our stockholders through payout opportunities based on our annual pre-tax profits. Under the Enhanced Profit Sharing Plan, payouts to co-workers are not impacted by executive incentive compensation costs because pre-tax profits are calculated prior to any such costs. In February 2008, the company paid out approximately \$158 million in profit sharing to eligible employees (excluding officers and certain management employees) related to 2007 performance. Finally, the company maintains its long-standing broad-based on-time arrival incentive program (under which the company paid out \$33 million for 2007 to eligible employees) and its perfect attendance program (under which the company gave away nine vehicles in 2007 in a drawing held for employees with perfect attendance). These programs ensure a continued focus on operational performance that aligns co-worker performance with customer satisfaction and enhances our product. These broad-based incentive programs are structured to drive improved financial results and customer satisfaction, again aligning the interests of our co-workers, stockholders and customers.

Retention. Continental is one of only two major domestic network carriers to avoid bankruptcy since the terrorist attacks of 2001. Our experienced and skilled management team has played a significant role in our stability and strong results in 2006 and 2007. Accordingly, a second critical objective of our compensation design is to retain our management team. We seek to achieve this primarily by setting compensation at competitive levels, by spacing payouts over several years and by requiring continued employment to receive those payouts.

The Human Resources Committee believes that our competition for executive talent includes other major airlines as well as a broader range of general industry companies. Consequently, in assessing our compensation levels and designing executive compensation programs, the Human Resources Committee compares Continental s executive compensation levels to both an airline-only peer group as well as the Mercer Large 150 database of large, non airline-specific U.S.-based companies. The Mercer Large 150 group of companies (excluding financial services) has

median revenue of \$15.9 billion, median assets of \$17.1 billion, and 40,000 employees at median versus Continental s annual revenue of \$14.2 billion, assets of \$12.1 billion, and over 45,000 employees as of December 31, 2007. Additional information concerning the Mercer Large 150 is attached as <u>Appendix A</u> to this proxy statement. This database was chosen because it includes a broad range of companies in the general industry from which Continental competes for executive talent. The database represents companies of similar size and scale

24

Table of Contents

as Continental so that the analysis would compare executive compensation for positions with relatively similar levels of responsibility and complexity. Within the airline industry, the peer group for both pay and performance comparisons includes American Airlines, United Airlines, Delta Air Lines, Northwest Airlines, US Airways (which merged with America West in 2005), Alaska Airlines and Southwest Airlines. This peer group offers a broad comparison for determining appropriate pay and financial performance goals relative to the airline industry.

Our strategy is to pay our officers competitively relative to companies of similar size and business complexity, recognizing the opportunities available to our senior executives from other companies. Accordingly, the Human Resources Committee determined that it is appropriate to design programs that target total compensation for executives at the 50th percentile among general industry and at the 75th percentile of the airline industry. The analysis reviewed by the Human Resources Committee prior to making awards for 2007 showed that target total compensation for the company s named executive officers was below general industry median levels and at approximately the 75th percentile of the airline peer group. The Human Resources Committee considered these findings in making compensation decisions for 2007 and beyond.

Pay for Performance. Our incentive compensation programs are designed to measure and reward annual performance based on absolute performance targets and long-term performance based on both absolute and relative performance targets. Absolute performance targets provide the primary links between incentive compensation and the company s business strategy and operational results. Relative performance targets provide balance to the absolute performance targets by indicating whether the company s goals are sufficiently aggressive in comparison to the industry. Relative performance targets also provide flexibility to deal with unforeseen events and industry-wide challenges. In such circumstances, the company could fail to achieve its absolute performance targets, but the relative performance measures will reward a management team that is able to outperform its peer group in the face of such adversity.

Prior to each new fiscal year, management prepares financial forecasts, an operating and capital expenditure budget and the company s Go Forward Plan, our business plan for the new year. Based on this planning process and the operating budget approved by the board of directors, management develops and proposes performance targets under the incentive compensation programs for the new fiscal year. These targets are then reviewed by Mercer and presented to the Human Resources Committee who reviews and establishes the performance targets for each program. Each of these compensation programs is described in further detail under Detailed Description of Pay Elements below as well as in the discussion following the Summary Compensation Table. The absolute and relative pay for performance measures used in our incentive programs are as follows:

Annual Absolute Performance. Since 2004 the company s ROBIC performance has been the measure used in our Annual Executive Bonus Program (referred to herein as the ROBIC annual incentive program). The rationale for using this absolute performance measure is to recognize the capital-intensive nature of the airline industry and to ensure that Continental is achieving a sufficient return on its capital, thereby aligning this program with stockholders long-term interests. Before any payment is made for a fiscal year, even if a ROBIC performance goal is met, the ROBIC annual incentive program also requires the achievement of a financial performance hurdle and a minimum specified cash balance, which the Human Resources Committee recognizes are additional important absolute measures of the company s financial performance and liquidity.

Long-term Relative and Absolute Performance. The company s long-term incentive program consists of two components the long-term incentive program (LTIP) and the RSU program (together, the LTIP/RSU Program). The LTIP measures the company s long-term performance relative to the designated peer group. The LTIP requires Continental to exceed the average EBITDAR margin of domestic peer airline competitors in order to satisfy the threshold or entry performance level. No compensation is earned if the company does not achieve this threshold. The EBITDAR performance measure effectively adjusts for variations in lease versus debt financing decisions among carriers and is a widely accepted measure of financial performance in

capital-intensive industries such as the airline industry. The company s RSU program is designed to measure long-term absolute performance through Stock Based RSUs awarded in 2004, which required significant share price appreciation, and through Profit Based RSUs introduced in 2006 that require significant levels of profit sharing to be achieved for our co-workers as well as the achievement of a financial performance hurdle prior to each payment date. Both the LTIP and the RSU

25

Table of Contents

programs also require absolute performance in the form of achieving a minimum specified cash balance before any payments can be made, regardless of the achievement of the other performance targets.

Process

Human Resources Committee. The Human Resources Committee, which is comprised solely of independent directors, makes all decisions concerning the compensation of our named executive officers. Since 2004, the Human Resources Committee has relied on Mercer, its independent consultant, to assist it in developing and structuring the company s executive compensation programs. The Human Resources Committee has also retained Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton, its legal counsel for executive compensation matters. In designing particular programs, the Human Resources Committee also considers recent trends in executive compensation and the concerns expressed by investors on the topic of executive compensation. For additional information concerning the Human Resources Committee, including its authority and its compensation consultant conflict of interest guidelines, see Corporate Governance Standing Committees of the Board above.

Use of Tally Sheets. We prepare comprehensive executive compensation tally sheets covering each of the named executive officers and present them to the Human Resources Committee in advance of the meetings at which incentive compensation targets are set and incentive awards are considered and made. The Human Resources Committee uses these tally sheets as background and reference data in making its determinations. The tally sheets detail the actual dollar value of compensation received for the prior year, the proposed compensation for the current year, including the potential value of any awards being considered by the committee, as well as projected compensation values in each separation scenario and upon a change in control of the company.

Timing of Stock Awards. The company has not granted the named executive officers any stock options since 2003 and has not granted them any restricted stock since 2002. The company has no current plans to grant stock options or restricted stock to its officers. Under the terms of our equity compensation plans, stock option awards are priced based on the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. The RSU awards generally are granted to the named executive officers at the time the Human Resources Committee establishes performance targets for the awards (at the committee s regularly scheduled meeting in February of each year) and generally are granted to new officers in connection with their promotion.

Detailed Description of Pay Elements

Based on the philosophy described above, the Human Resources Committee has developed and implemented the pay elements and programs described below to establish an appropriate balance between fixed and at risk or variable compensation elements, between absolute and relative performance, and to develop performance measures that drive stockholder value and are indicators of the long-term success of the company.

Compensation Levels Among Executives. Compensation levels are based on competitive considerations, individual performance over time, overall financial results and job duties and responsibilities. Accordingly, Mr. Kellner has the highest compensation among the named executive officers, followed by Mr. Smisek. Messrs. Misner, Compton and Moran, each an executive vice president with primary responsibility over one of the three major areas of our business, have the same compensation opportunities. Beginning in 2003, following the 25% reduction in the headcount of our then senior management team, we structured the management of our business around three core functions: finance, marketing, and operations. Each of our executive vice presidents was promoted to his current position in 2004 to lead his respective business area and was provided the same base salary and incentive compensation opportunities. Our Human Resources Committee believes that this uniformity in compensation among our three executive vice presidents encourages their collaboration, support and team effort, and is consistent with the company s Working Together philosophy.

Base Salaries. Each of the named executive officers voluntarily agreed to reductions in base salary effective February 28, 2005 of up to 25%. In July of 2007, the company implemented a 2% salary increase for all employee work groups other than flight attendants. The Human Resources Committee approved the application of the 2% salary increase to Messrs. Misner, Compton and Moran. Messrs. Kellner and Smisek voluntarily declined such salary adjustment and, in recognition thereof, the company made a contribution to We Care, the employee financial assistance fund that aids employees who are facing unexpected emergencies.

26

Table of Contents

Annual Incentive Program. For 2007, the annual incentive program for our executives offered incentive compensation opportunities of between 50% (entry) and 150% (stretch) of base salary, with a target of 125% of year-end base salary, depending on achievement of an absolute level of Continental s capital efficiency, cash flow and financial results. The capital efficiency performance measure is Continental s return on base invested capital, or ROBIC. The calculation of the company s ROBIC is described in Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table Annual Incentive Program below. The ROBIC goals are established annually by the Human Resources Committee. The program permits the Human Resources Committee to establish different levels of target and stretch incentive opportunity on an annual basis. The program requires the achievement of a minimum year-end unrestricted cash, cash equivalent and short-term investment balance (cash balance), which also is set annually by the Human Resources Committee. Finally, the program requires that the company achieve a financial performance hurdle also set annually by the Human Resources Committee. No incentive payments are made, regardless of ROBIC performance, unless the minimum cash balance and financial performance hurdle are also achieved. The targets for 2007 under the annual incentive program were as follows: ROBIC entry of 13.5%, target of 14.0% and stretch of 16.0%, a financial performance hurdle that required the company to report positive net income for 2007 as set forth on the company s regularly prepared and publicly available consolidated statement of operations prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP), and a minimum cash balance of \$2.0 billion. The company achieved ROBIC performance of 15.46% for 2007 and satisfied the financial performance and cash balance requirements, resulting in payments between the target and stretch levels. Entry, target and stretch incentive opportunities with respect to the 2007 ROBIC annual incentive awards are set forth in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below.

Long-Term Incentive Program. The company s long-term incentive compensation program consists of two components the LTIP based primarily on relative performance and the RSU program based on absolute performance.

The LTIP compares Continental s EBITDAR margin for a three-year performance period against the average EBITDAR margin of the designated peer group (American Airlines, United Airlines, Delta Air Lines, Northwest Airlines, US Airways, which merged with America West in 2005, Alaska Airlines and Southwest Airlines). EBITDAR margin equals cumulative EBITDAR for the performance period divided by cumulative revenues for such performance period. The LTIP also includes an absolute performance measure requiring that the company achieve a minimum cash balance at the end of the performance period. If this required minimum cash balance amount is not achieved, no LTIP payments will be made, regardless of relative EBITDAR margin performance. Incentive opportunities as a percentage of the combination of base salary plus an assumed annual incentive vary based on the level of the executive. Performance targets are established annually by the Human Resources Committee with respect to the three-year performance period commencing at the beginning of such year. The 2005 LTIP award, the payment of which is included as 2007 compensation in the Summary Compensation Table, had a performance period of January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2007. Performance targets are set by the Human Resources Committee so that executives earn nothing for EBITDAR margin performance below the peer group average performance, below market-average incentives (entry level) for average relative performance, market-average incentives for performance at a specified level above the peer group (target level), and above market-average incentives (stretch level) for superior EBITDAR margin performance. The performance targets applicable to the 2005 LTIP award were as follows: entry EBITDAR margin equal to the industry group average, target EBITDAR margin equal to entry plus 100 basis points, stretch EBITDAR margin equal to entry EBITDAR margin plus 200 basis points, and a minimum cash balance of \$1.0 billion. The company s EBITDAR margin performance for the 2005 LTIP award performance period exceeded the EBITDAR margin performance of the industry group by 183 basis points, thus achieving performance between the target and stretch levels. Entry, target and stretch incentive opportunities with respect to the 2007 LTIP award are set forth in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table.

The RSU program as originally adopted by the Human Resource Committee in 2004 contemplated awards that measured the absolute performance of Continental s stock (Stock Based RSUs) during the relevant performance period. RSUs are denominated in share-based units (equal in value to one share of common stock at the time of payout if the performance requirements are achieved). Of the three Stock Based RSU

27

Table of Contents

awards made in 2004 under the RSU program, two were voluntarily surrendered by executives in connection with the company s \$500 million pay and benefit cost reduction initiative. The final award, with a performance period commencing on April 1, 2004 and ending on December 31, 2007, had a performance target that required the company s stock price to appreciate at least 80% from the grant date price of \$12.4775 (*i.e.*, to at least \$22.4775). The performance target was achieved on March 3, 2006 when our common stock reached the target price (based on a 20-day average closing price). The award was paid out in cash in early 2008 (following the end of the performance period) based on the average closing price of the company s common stock for the 20 trading days preceding December 31, 2007, or \$25.14 per share. Participants were required to remain continuously employed through that date, with limited exceptions in the case of death, disability, retirement or certain involuntary termination events. The Human Resources Committee does not anticipate awarding additional Stock Based RSUs, preferring the Profit Based RSUs described below for future awards.

The Human Resources Committee amended the RSU program in March 2006 to align management s performance objectives with performance under the Enhanced Profit Sharing Plan that provides incentives to the company s broad employee group. The Profit Based RSUs can result in cash payments to participants following the achievement of a profit sharing-based performance target. The performance target requires that the company (i) reach target levels of cumulative profit sharing for participants under the company s Enhanced Profit Sharing Plan and (ii) achieve a financial performance hurdle based on the company s net income for the fiscal year in which the cumulative profit sharing target level is met. To enhance retention and continue to focus executives attention on the creation of stockholder value, payments following achievement of a performance target will be made to participants who remain continuously employed through the payment date in one-third increments (although the amounts paid will vary depending on the stock price performance), with the first payment made in the March following the achievement of a performance target and the second and third payments possible in March of each of the following two years, with limited exceptions in the case of death, disability, retirement or certain involuntary termination events. As an additional performance requirement, the company must have a minimum cash balance at the end of the fiscal year preceding the date that any payment is made. If the company does not achieve the minimum cash balance applicable to a payment date, the payment will be deferred to the next payment date (March 1st of the next year) following achievement of the required year-end cash balance, subject to a limit on the number of years payments may be carried forward. Payment amounts will be calculated based on the number of RSUs subject to the award, the company s stock price (based on the average closing price of the company s common stock for the 20 trading days preceding the payment date) and the payment percentage set by the Human Resources Committee for achieving the applicable profit-based performance target. In 2007, the Human Resources Committee awarded Profit Based RSUs with a performance period of January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2009 (the 2007 Profit Based RSUs). Depending on the level of cumulative profit sharing achieved under the Enhanced Profit Sharing Plan, ranging from \$200 million to \$350 million, the payment percentage for these awards can range from 0% to 200% of the underlying 2007 Profit Based RSUs. The financial performance hurdle requires the company to achieve positive net income. The minimum cash balance applicable to such awards is \$2.0 billion. The entry, target and stretch award opportunities are outlined in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table. Although the company achieved \$158 million in profit sharing for 2007, this failed to achieve the threshold cumulative profit sharing target of \$200 million; therefore, no performance target was achieved in 2007 with respect to the 2007 Profit Based RSUs. However, the \$158 million in profit sharing will be added to any profit sharing pools achieved for 2008 and 2009 to determine whether performance targets are achieved for such years with respect to the 2007 Profit Based RSUs.

Certain Other Programs. We also continue to maintain the following long-term executive compensation programs:

Stock Options. No stock options have been awarded to the named executive officers since 2003.

Restricted Stock. No restricted stock awards have been made to the named executive officers since 2002.

28

Table of Contents

Our named executive officers also may participate in company-wide plans and programs, such as group health and welfare plans, the 401(k) plan, and the employee stock purchase plan, that are offered to the broader employee group.

Perquisites. We provide executives with certain perquisites similar in form and amount to those offered to executives at similar levels at companies within the airline industry and general industry groups. We believe that providing a portion of compensation to our executive officers in the form of perquisites (such as flight benefits), rather than in cash, enhances retention, results in a cost savings to Continental and strengthens our relationships with our executives. The incremental cost to the company of providing flight benefits is minimal, while we believe the value of these benefits to the named executive officers is perceived by them to be high. Executive perquisites are discussed in the footnotes to the Summary Compensation Table.

SERP. The company maintains supplemental executive retirement plans (SERP) for the named executive officers that provide an annual retirement benefit expressed as a percentage of the executives—final average compensation. Since final average compensation is capped in the benefit formula applied under the company—s defined benefit pension plan, the SERP provides an opportunity for the named executive officers to earn supplemental retirement benefits. When combined with the benefit payable from the Continental Retirement Plan (CARP), the annual retirement benefit could range up to 75% of final average compensation for Messrs. Kellner and Smisek if they achieve 30 years (the capped amount) of SERP credited service or up to 65% of final average compensation for Messrs. Misner, Compton and Moran if they achieve 26 years (the capped amount) of SERP credited service. The Human Resources Committee believes that the SERP serves as an important and effective long-term retention incentive. The benefit formulas and the compensation limitations applicable to the SERP and the defined benefit pension plan are described below under Pension Benefits.

Other Executive Compensation Matters

Outlined below is certain additional information with respect to the company s compensation policies and practices.

Employment Agreements. We have entered into employment agreements with each of our named executive officers. These agreements were amended and restated in October 2007 to implement certain changes required to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. For a discussion of the material terms of the agreements, please see Compensation of Executive Officers Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table below.

Stock Ownership Guidelines. The company s board has adopted minimum stock ownership guidelines. For a discussion of the minimum ownership guidelines for our named executive officers, please see Corporate Governance Corporate Governance Guidelines Minimum Stock Ownership above.

Hedging Policy. Our securities trading policy prohibits our officers and directors from trading in options, warrants, puts and calls or similar instruments on our securities and from engaging in short sales of our securities or transactions that are substantially equivalent to short sales.

Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control. Our executives employment agreements and our existing compensation programs require us to make certain payments or provide certain benefits to our named executive officers upon termination of employment, including a termination in connection with a change in control of Continental. Rumors of consolidation have been prevalent in the airline industry over the last decade. Recently, Delta and Northwest have announced that they have entered into an agreement to merge. Our Human Resources Committee believes that (i) compensation must be structured in a manner that minimizes risk to the executives related to a change in control and permits them to remain focused on our business before, during and after any such transaction and

(ii) our highly regarded management team is a unique corporate asset and that change in control or termination protections (including the excise tax protection described in Tax Matters below) enhance executive stability and therefore are in the best interests of the company and its stockholders. For a discussion of the payments to our named executive officers upon termination or change in control, please see Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control below.

29

Table of Contents

Clawback Policy. The ROBIC annual incentive program provides that a participant must reimburse the company for the full amount of any ROBIC annual incentive paid to such participant if the participant s misconduct (as defined in the program) results in an error in the company s financial information that has the effect of increasing the amount of such incentive payment.

Tax Matters. In designing and implementing the programs applicable to executives, the Human Resources Committee considers the effects of section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. Section 162(m) denies publicly held companies a tax deduction for annual compensation in excess of one million dollars paid to their chief executive officer or any of their three other most highly compensated executive officers (excluding the CFO) employed on the last day of a given year, unless their compensation is based on qualified performance criteria. To qualify for deductibility, these criteria must be established within specified periods by a human resources committee of independent directors and approved, as to their material terms, by that company s stockholders. Most of Continental s compensation plans applicable to the company s executive officers, including the ROBIC annual incentive program, the LTIP/RSU Program, and its stock incentive plans, were designed to permit the grant of awards that could qualify as performance-based compensation under section 162(m). Certain awards have been made under the LTIP/RSU Program to address specific retention concerns with respect to certain executives that do not meet the requirements for an exemption as performance-based compensation. However, the Human Resources Committee believed that such awards, while not deductible, serve the best interests of the company and its stockholders. Further, the Human Resources Committee may in the future approve compensation or changes to plans, programs or awards that may cause the compensation or awards not to comply with section 162(m) if it determines that such action is appropriate and in the company s best interests. Although some amounts recorded as compensation by the company to certain executives may be limited by section 162(m), that limitation does not result in the current payment of increased federal income taxes by the company due to its significant net operating loss carry forwards.

In accordance with the employment agreements of the named executive officers, if any payment or benefit to be provided to a named executive officer is determined to subject him to an excise tax (including any such tax arising under Section 4999 of the Code upon a change in control), we have agreed to reimburse or gross-up the named executive officer for the incremental tax cost of the payment or benefit. As discussed above under Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control, the Human Resources Committee believes that this excise tax protection is an important aspect of the compensation offered to attract and retain the named executive officers.

Report of the Human Resources Committee

The Human Resources Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based on such review and discussions with management, the Human Resources Committee has recommended that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and the company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

Human Resources Committee

Charles A. Yamarone, Chairman Kirbyjon H. Caldwell Henry L. Meyer III Ronald B. Woodard

Table of Contents

Compensation of Executive Officers

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation of our CEO, our chief financial officer, and our three other most highly compensated executive officers in 2007 (collectively referred to in this proxy statement as the named executive officers).

Summary Compensation Table

Change in

						Non-Equity Incentive	Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred		
		Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Plan C Compensation	Compensation	nAll Other ompensation	Tot
and Principal Position	Year	(\$)(1)	(\$)	(\$)(2)	(\$)	(\$)(4)*	(\$)(5)	(\$)(6)	(\$)
ence W. Kellner	2007	712,500	0	2,558,622	0	3,289,078	705,460	42,674	7,308
man and Chief	2006	712,500	0	3,325,278	0	3,473,438	201,546	45,196	7,757
tive Officer									
y J. Misner	2007	363,300	0	2,244,125	0	1,317,101	383,422	46,603	4,354
tive Vice President and	2006	360,000	0	1,411,140	0	1,350,000	285,715	46,819	3,453
Financial Officer									
y A. Smisek	2007	576,000	0	2,518,893	0	2,475,576	748,098	73,796	6,392
ent	2006	576,000	0	2,294,963	0	2,613,600	290,744	53,761	5,829
E. Compton	2007	363,300	0	2,244,125	0	1,317,101	317,631	41,105	4,283
tive Vice President	2006	360,000	0	1,409,821	0	1,350,000	249,722	45,030	3,414
eting									
J. Moran	2007	363,300	0	2,295,225	3,030(3)	1,317,101	211,606	60,611	4,250
tive Vice President tions	2006	360,000	0	1,350,854	8,050(3)	1,350,000	213,285	67,534	3,349

^{*} Details of the 2007 amounts included in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column are set forth below:

Name	Annual Incentive (\$)	Long-Term Incentive for Three Year Period Ended 12/31/2007 (\$)	Total 2007 Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)
Lawrence W. Kellner	1,020,656	2,268,422	3,289,078
Jeffrey J. Misner	526,014	791,087	1,317,101
Jeffery A. Smisek	825,120	1,650,456	2,475,576

James E. Compton	526,014	791,087	1,317,101
Mark J. Moran	526,014	791,087	1,317,101

- (1) The 2007 salary amounts reflect a 2% salary increase implemented in July 2007 for all employee workgroups other than flight attendants. Messrs. Kellner and Smisek voluntarily declined such salary adjustment and in recognition thereof the company made a contribution to We Care, the employee financial assistance fund that aids employees who are facing unexpected emergencies.
- (2) The 2007 amounts represent the financial reporting expense recognized by the company for the following awards in accordance with SFAS 123R, not the amounts that were or may be realized by the executives:

 (i) Stock Based RSUs awarded in April 2004 with a performance period ending December 31, 2007; (ii) Profit Based RSUs awarded for the performance period commencing April 1, 2006 and ending December 31, 2009 accrued at the stretch level payout; and (iii) Profit Based RSUs awarded for the performance period commencing January 1, 2007 and ending December 31, 2009 accrued at the entry level payout. The SFAS 123R expense shown in this column does not impact payments under our Enhanced Profit Sharing Plan because profit sharing payments are based on pre-tax net income calculated prior to any costs associated with incentive compensation for executives. Under SFAS 123R, we accounted for the Stock Based RSU awards as liability awards, and since the target stock price was achieved, the value of those RSUs was determined based on the then-current stock price until December 31, 2007 at which time the price was set based on the average closing price of our common stock for the 20 trading days immediately prior to that date. Under SFAS 123R, we account for the Profit Based

31

Table of Contents

RSU awards as liability awards. Once it is probable that a performance target will be met, we measure the awards at fair value based on the current stock price. The related expense is recognized ratably over the required service period, which ends on each payment date, after adjustment for changes in the then-current market price of our common stock. For a discussion of the assumptions relating to the valuations for the Profit Based RSUs for 2006 and 2007, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Stock-Based Compensation and Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of the company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 and the 2007 Form 10-K, respectively.

- (3) This represents the dollar amount of compensation cost recognized by the company, in accordance with SFAS 123R, with respect to Mr. Moran s stock options through the vesting date. The value of the stock options is based on assumptions that are discussed in Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of the company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
- (4) The 2007 amounts include payments made in 2008 with respect to the 2007 ROBIC annual incentive awards and LTIP awards for the three-year performance period ended December 31, 2007, each of which was paid out in 2008 based on the company s achievement of performance between the target and stretch levels. Details of these payments are set forth above, in the table immediately following the Summary Compensation Table. See the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below for additional information regarding the 2007 ROBIC annual incentive awards. The amounts shown in this column do not impact payments under our Enhanced Profit Sharing Plan because profit sharing payments are based on pre-tax net income calculated prior to any costs associated with incentive compensation for executives.
- (5) This represents the difference in the present value of accumulated benefits determined as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 for both the CARP and SERP plans. The change in pension value reflects the impact of a variety of factors, including passage of time, change in assumptions, and change in the accrued benefit (which includes additional credited service, changes in final average compensation, and changes in the average Social Security wage base). In some cases, the change in pension value for 2007 is larger than 2006 due to the impact of 2007 compensation. For all of the named executive officers, 2006 compensation was not in excess of any of the five highest years of compensation in the past ten, so the final average compensation as of December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2006 was unchanged for all of the participants. However, for all of the named executive officers, 2007 compensation was in excess of at least one year that had previously been used in the average, so final average compensation increased from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2007. This increase was offset, in part, by assumption changes as of December 31, 2007, particularly the increase in the assumed lump sum interest rate. See Pension Benefits below for a discussion of the assumptions used to calculate the present values and further information on the provisions of the plans.
- (6) The All Other Compensation column consists of items not properly reported in the other columns of this table, and for each named executive officer includes perquisites and other personal benefits, term life insurance and tax reimbursements. Pursuant to SEC rules (i) each perquisite and other personal benefit is included in the total and identified and, if it exceeds the greater of \$25,000 or 10% of the total amount of perquisites and other personal benefits for that officer, is quantified below and (ii) reimbursement of taxes with respect to perquisites or other personal benefits is separately quantified and identified. Mr. Kellner s 2007 compensation includes flight benefits, a tax reimbursement relating to flight benefits in the amount of \$16,135, a car benefit, financial planning and tax services, and reserved parking at the company s headquarters and at Houston s George Bush Intercontinental Airport. Compensation for Messrs. Kellner and Smisek also includes certain legal fees paid by the company relating to a review of their employment agreements in connection with amendments the company requested them to make in light of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. Mr. Misner s 2007 compensation includes flight benefits, a tax reimbursement relating to flight benefits in the amount of \$9,578, a car benefit in

the amount of \$26,563, financial planning and tax services, and reserved parking at the company s headquarters. In addition to the legal fees described above, Mr. Smisek s 2007 compensation includes flight benefits, a tax reimbursement relating to flight benefits in the amount of \$14,401, a car benefit in the amount of \$25,956, financial planning and tax services, health club membership dues, a medical exam and reserved parking at the company s headquarters and at Houston s George Bush Intercontinental Airport. Mr. Compton s 2007 compensation includes flight benefits, a tax reimbursement relating to flight benefits in the amount of \$12,800, a car benefit, health club membership dues, and reserved parking at the company s headquarters.

32

Table of Contents

Mr. Moran s 2007 compensation includes flight benefits, a tax reimbursement relating to flight benefits in the amount of \$26,019, a car benefit, financial planning and tax services, health club membership dues, and reserved parking at the company s headquarters. With respect to the car benefit, we have calculated the incremental cost to the company of the executive s allocated percentage (as specified by the executive for tax purposes) of personal use of a company car based on the company s actual lease payments or depreciation expense (in the case of purchased vehicles), insurance, tax, registration and other miscellaneous costs related to the use and maintenance of the automobile. Flight benefits allow the named executive officers and their family members and significant others effectively unlimited travel on Continental Airlines, Continental Micronesia, and Continental Express. Our calculation of the incremental cost to the company of providing flight benefits to the named executive officers includes incremental fuel, meal expense (by cabin), passenger liability insurance, war risk insurance and OnePass miles earned. The executives receive a tax reimbursement relating to flight benefits, calculated based on the IRS valuation of the benefit (which value is greater than the incremental cost to the company of providing such benefits). In addition, the named executive officers have access to certain other travel-related benefits, such as access to our Presidents Club facilities for the executives and their family members, complimentary car rentals provided by our travel partners, and flight benefits on certain airline partners.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth information regarding awards granted in 2007 to our named executive officers under our ROBIC annual incentive program and the LTIP/RSU Program, each of which has been implemented under our Incentive Plan 2000.

2007 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

All All

								Other	Other	
								Stock	Option	G
								Awar d	swar Æ sercis	e Da
								Numb	umber or	
								of	of Base	V
					Estimat	ed Future	Payouts	Share	s Price	
		Estimated	l Future Payo	uts Under		Under		ofSe	ecuritiesof	of
								Stock		
		Non-Equit	y Incentive Pla	an Awards	Equity Inc	centive Pla	an Awards	oUn	derlyOpgion	and
	Grant	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximur	n Unit	Optio As wards	s A
	Date	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)(4)	(#)(4)	(#)(4)	(#)	(#) (\$/Sh)	(
e W. Kellner	2/23/07(1)	356,250	890,625	1,068,750						
	2/23/07(2)	1,202,344	1,603,125	2,404,688						
	2/23/07(3)				30,000	45,000	60,000			1,9
Misner	2/23/07(1)	183,600	459,000	550,800						
	2/23/07(2)	413,100	619,650	826,200						
	2/23/07(3)				20,000	30,000	40,000			1,2
. Smisek	2/23/07(1)	288,000	720,000	864,000						
	2/23/07(2)	907,200	1,166,400	1,749,600						
	2/23/07(3)				25,000	37,500	50,000			1,6
	4/24/07(3)				20,000	30,000	40,000			1,1
Compton	2/23/07(1)	183,600	459,000	550,800						

Edgar Filing: CONTINENTAL AIRLINES INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

	2/23/07(2) 2/23/07(3)	413,100	619,650	826,200	20,000	30,000	40,000	1,2
Moran	2/23/07(1)	183,600	459,000	550,800	•	•	•	,
	2/23/07(2)	413,100	619,650	826,200				
	2/23/07(3)				20,000	30,000	40,000	1,2

⁽¹⁾ ROBIC annual incentive award for fiscal year 2007 granted pursuant to the company s annual incentive program. This award paid out between the target and stretch (or maximum) performance levels and is included as 2007 compensation in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table.

33

⁽²⁾ LTIP award for the three-year performance period January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2009 granted pursuant to the LTIP/RSU Program.

Table of Contents

- (3) Profit Based RSUs for the three-year performance period January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2009 granted pursuant to the LTIP/RSU Program. Mr. Smisek received an additional award on April 24, 2007 for retention purposes.
- (4) The values in this column reflect share equivalents, not cash payout values.
- (5) Represents the grant date fair value of the Profit Based RSUs, calculated in accordance with SFAS 123R assuming achievement of the target level of performance at \$43.04 per share for the awards granted February 23, 2007 and \$38.81 for the award granted April 24, 2007 (the closing price of our common stock on the dates of grant).

Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

Employment Agreements

Agreement with Mr. Kellner. We have entered into an amended and restated employment agreement effective October 15, 2007 with Mr. Kellner relating to his service as an officer and director of the company and providing for a minimum annual base salary of \$712,500. The agreement is in effect until April 14, 2009, subject to automatic successive five-year extensions, but may be terminated at any time by either party, with or without cause. His employment agreement entitles him to an annual performance incentive and long-term incentive payment opportunities at a level not less than the highest participation level made available to other company executives. In addition, Mr. Kellner participates in a SERP that, when combined with the benefit payable from the CARP, provides an annual retirement benefit expressed as a percentage (that could range up to 75% depending on his final years of service credit (capped at 30 years)) of his final average compensation as defined in his employment agreement. He also is entitled to participate in the compensation and benefit plans available to all management employees, receive company-provided disability benefits and life insurance, flight benefits, certain tax indemnity payments (some of which may not be deductible by the company), use of a company provided automobile (which benefit Mr. Kellner has declined), and certain other fringe benefits. Mr. Kellner s employment agreement also includes a two-year non-compete provision with the company following termination of his employment, except if such termination is by the company without Cause or upon his disability or by Mr. Kellner for Good Reason. In addition, if any payment or benefit is determined to be subject to an excise tax (including any such tax arising under Section 4999 of the Code upon a change in control), Mr. Kellner is entitled to receive an additional payment to adjust for the incremental tax cost of the payment or benefit. The benefits that the company is required to provide Mr. Kellner upon various termination scenarios, including upon a change in control of the company, and the definitions of Good Reason and Cause are discussed below under Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.

Agreements with Other Named Executive Officers. We also have entered into amended and restated employment agreements effective October 15, 2007 with Messrs. Misner, Smisek, Compton and Moran relating to their services as officers of the company and providing for minimum annual base salaries of \$367,200, \$576,000, \$367,200 and \$367,200, respectively. Each agreement is similar to that of Mr. Kellner s, except as follows: the agreements do not include non-compete provisions, the automatic extension after the base term of each contract is for successive one year periods, and the SERP for Messrs. Misner, Compton and Moran, when combined with the CARP benefit, provides a maximum annual retirement benefit that could range up to 65% depending on his final years of service (capped at 26 years). In addition, under the agreements with Messrs. Misner, Compton and Moran, a more limited formula is used to calculate termination payments as further discussed below under Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.

Annual Incentive Program

The current annual executive incentive program was established by the Human Resources Committee in 2004. Annual performance incentive payment opportunities under the program depend on achievement of an absolute level of Continental s capital efficiency, cash flow and financial results. Under the program, the committee can establish different levels of target and stretch incentive opportunity on an annual basis. The capital efficiency performance measure is Continental s ROBIC or return on base invested capital. ROBIC is defined as annual EBITDAR divided by the total of property and equipment (less accumulated depreciation and amortization thereon

34

Table of Contents

and less purchase deposits on flight equipment) at year-end and 7.5 times annual aircraft rentals. The ROBIC goals are reviewed and new entry, target and stretch ROBIC goals are established annually by the Human Resources Committee. In 2006, the program was amended to permit the committee to establish an annual financial performance hurdle, which for 2007 required positive GAAP net income. The program also requires a year-end minimum cash balance amount that is set by the committee each year. If either the financial performance hurdle or the minimum cash balance is not achieved, no payments are made, regardless of ROBIC performance.

For 2007, the company achieved ROBIC performance of 15.46%, satisfied the financial performance hurdle and the minimum cash balance of \$2.0 billion, thus achieving performance between the target and stretch levels. This performance resulted in a payment under the program of 143.25% of base salary, which is included as 2007 compensation in the Summary Compensation Table in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column. The 2007 awards are also included in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table.

Long-Term Incentive Program

LTIP. Payouts under the LTIP/RSU Program are based on Continental s EBITDAR margin for a three-year performance period as compared against an industry group and the achievement of a minimum cash balance. For the performance period of January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2007, performance targets were set by the Human Resources Committee so that executives would earn (i) nothing for EBITDAR margin performance below peer group average performance, (ii) below market incentives for EBITDAR margin performance equal to peer group average performance, (iii) graduated payments up to market average incentives for above average EBITDAR margin performance, and (iv) graduated payments up to above market average incentives for superior EBITDAR margin performance. The LTIP awards also require a minimum cash balance at the end of the performance period, which required cash balance amount is set by the Human Resources Committee for each performance period. If this required minimum cash balance amount is not achieved, no LTIP payments will be made, regardless of relative EBITDAR margin performance. For the three-year LTIP performance period ending December 31, 2007, the company s EBITDAR margin exceeded peer group average EBITDAR margin performance by 183 basis points, thus achieving a level of performance between the target and stretch award levels. The company also satisfied the minimum cash balance of \$1.0 billion and the resulting payouts are included as 2007 compensation in the Summary Compensation Table s Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column.

Stock Based RSUs. Stock Based RSUs measure the absolute performance of Continental s stock during the relevant performance period. Stock Based RSUs are denominated in share-based units (equal in value to one share of common stock at the time of payout if the performance requirements are achieved). Stock Based RSUs vest during the performance period only if Continental s common stock achieves the target price (based on a 20-day average closing price), and pay out only at the end of the performance period, in an amount in cash based on the average closing price of the company s common stock for the 20 trading days immediately prior to the end of the performance period. There is no time element to vesting so achievement is entirely performance based; however, a participant must remain employed through the end of the performance period to receive payment, with limited exceptions for events such as death, disability, retirement and certain involuntary termination events.

The 2007 Stock Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table includes Stock Based RSUs for the performance period ending December 31, 2007. Those awards achieved their performance target of \$22.4775 per share on March 3, 2006 (representing 80% stock appreciation from date of grant) and were paid in January 2008 following the end of the performance period. The 2007 Stock Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table includes a negative value, in accordance with SFAS 123R and SEC rules, for Stock Based RSUs resulting from a decline in the market value of the company s common stock from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2007. The 2006 Stock Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table includes a negative value, in accordance with SFAS 123R and SEC rules, for Stock Based RSUs for the performance period ending March 31, 2006. Those awards,

which had achieved their performance target of \$20.4775 per share (representing 64% stock price appreciation from date of grant) and would have resulted in \$22.7 million in payments to the officer group on March 31, 2006, were voluntarily surrendered by the officers without payment as part of the company s wage and benefit reduction initiatives. There are no outstanding awards of Stock Based RSUs.

35

Table of Contents

Profit Based RSUs. Profit Based RSUs align management s performance objectives with those of co-workers under the company s Enhanced Profit Sharing Plan. Profit Based RSUs require the achievement of profit sharing-based performance targets set by the Human Resources Committee at the time Profit Based RSU awards are granted. The performance targets require that the company (i) reach target levels based on the cumulative profit sharing pools for participants under the company s Enhanced Profit Sharing Plan and (ii) achieve a financial performance hurdle based on the company s net income for the fiscal year in which the cumulative profit sharing target level is met. Once a performance target has been met, the Profit Based RSU awards will pay out in cash in an amount equal to the number of RSUs awarded multiplied by the product of (i) the average closing price of the company s common stock for the 20 trading days immediately prior to the payment date and (ii) the target percentage set by the Human Resources Committee for the achievement of the target.

Payments with respect to achieving a performance target will be made in one-third increments. Under the program, if a target is achieved for a fiscal year, payments generally will be made on the first day of the 3rd month, 15th month and 27th month after the end of the year for which the target is met. Before a payment can be made, the company must satisfy the minimum cash balance set by the Human Resources Committee (\$2.0 billion for the 2007 Profit Based RSUs). If the minimum cash balance is not met at the end of the fiscal year preceding any payment date, the payment rolls forward to the next year until the minimum cash balance is met (subject to a maximum number of deferrals). In addition, participants must remain continuously employed through the payment date to receive a payment, with limited exceptions for events such as death, disability, retirement and certain involuntary termination events. For the named executive officers, the 2007 Profit Based RSUs are included in the Summary Compensation Table s Stock Awards column and in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table sets forth information regarding unexercised stock options and unvested equity incentive plan awards for each named executive officer as of December 31, 2007. There were no outstanding shares of restricted stock at year-end.

O-4'--- A------

Option Awards		Stock Awards			
					Equity Incentive
				Equity	Plan Awards:
				Incentive Plan	Market or
				Awards:	Payout
Equity				Number of	Value of
Incentive					
Plan				Unearned	Unearned
Awards;			Market	Shares,	Shares,
Number Number Number		Numbe	rValue		
of of of		of	of	Units or	Units or
		Shares	Shares	Other	
Securities SecuritieSecurities		or	or	Rights	Other Rights
		Units	Units		
Underlying nderlying derlying		of	of	That	That
		Stock	Stock		
Unexercis&dhexercik@dexercised Option	Option	That	That	Have Not	Have Not
Unearned Exercise	Expiration	1		Vested	Vested

Edgar Filing: CONTINENTAL AIRLINES INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

	Options (#)	Options (#)				Have Not	Have Not		
Name	Exercisable	nexercis a	popotions (#)Price (\$)	Date	Vested N	# 0 sted (\$)	(#)(1)	(\$)(2)
Lawrence W.									
Kellner	0	0	0			0	0	367,500	9,238,950
Jeffrey J. Misner	. 0	0	0			0	0	256,250	6,442,125
Jeffery A.									
Smisek	0	0	0			0	0	331,875	8,343,338
James E.									
Compton	0	0	0			0	0	256,250	6,442,125
Mark J. Moran	6,375	0	0	17.88	9/17/08	0	0	256,250	6,442,125

- (1) This includes (i) Profit Based RSUs awarded in 2006 (at the maximum or stretch level of performance, which has been achieved), and (ii) Profit Based RSUs awarded in 2007 (assuming achievement of the threshold or entry level of performance). Profit Based RSUs require the achievement of a profit sharing target level and a financial performance hurdle and require a minimum cash balance prior to each payment date. Profit Based RSUs are also subject to continued employment through the applicable payment date, subject to limited exceptions.
- (2) This reflects the value at December 31, 2007 of (i) the 2006 Profit Based RSUs (at the maximum or stretch level of performance, which has been achieved), and (ii) the 2007 Profit Based RSUs (assuming achievement of the threshold or entry level of performance) at \$25.14 per share (the average closing price of the company s common stock for the 20 trading days preceding December 31, 2007).

36

Table of Contents

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

None of the named executive officers exercised any stock options or held any shares of restricted stock during 2007.

	Option	n Awards	Stock Awards		
	Number of		Number of		
	Shares Acquired on	Value Realized on	Shares Acquired	Value Realized on	
Name	Exercise (#)	Exercise (\$)	on Vesting (#)(1)	Vesting (\$)(2)	
Lawrence W. Kellner	0	0	200,000	5,028,000	
Jeffrey J. Misner	0	0	50,000	1,257,000	
Jeffery A. Smisek	0	0	125,000	3,142,500	
James E. Compton	0	0	50,000	1,257,000	
Mark J. Moran	0	0	40,000	1,005,600	

- (1) This reflects Stock Based RSUs awarded in 2004 with a performance period ending December 31, 2007, which achieved the stock price performance target, and were paid out in January 2008.
- (2) This reflects the value at December 31, 2007 of the Stock Based RSUs awarded in 2004 with a performance period ending December 31, 2007, at \$25.14 per share (the average closing price of the company s common stock for the 20 trading days preceding December 31, 2007).

Pension Benefits

The following table sets forth information as of December 31, 2007 for each named executive officer concerning the present value of his accumulated benefits under (i) the CARP and (ii) the SERP provided under his employment agreement.

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)(1)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)(2)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Lawrence W. Kellner	CARP	12.6	120,742	0
	SERP	23	4,375,791	0
Jeffrey J. Misner	CARP	12.2	167,355	0
	SERP	13	1,774,096	0
Jeffery A. Smisek	CARP	12.8	163,829	0
	SERP	23	5,221,028	0
James E. Compton	CARP	12.9	152,755	0

	SERP	13	1,558,876	0
Mark J. Moran	CARP	13.6	158,673	0
	SERP	7	691,992	0

(1) Years of credited service recognized under the SERP differs from actual service with the company. Actual company service is shown with respect to the CARP.

37

Table of Contents

(2) The present value is based on the benefit accrued as of the measurement date and does not assume any future accrual of credited service or compensation increases. The assumptions used to calculate the present value of accumulated benefits under CARP and SERP, including those shown in the Summary Compensation Table, are set forth in the table below. These assumptions are primarily the same as those used for pension plan accounting under SFAS No. 87, Employers Accounting for Pensions (SFAS 87) as of each measurement date with three exceptions: pre-retirement mortality, pre-retirement turnover, and the age at which participants are assumed to retire.

Assumption	12/31/2005	Measurement Date 12/31/2006	12/31/2007
	5.516	5 00 %	6.40%
Discount Rate CARP & SERP	5.74%	5.98%	6.40%
Lump Sum Interest Rate:			
CARP	5.24%	4.98%	6.25%
SERP	5.74%	5.98%	6.40%
Lump Sum Election	100%	100%	100%
Pre-retirement Turnover	None	None	None
Mortality Assumption:			
Pre-retirement	None		