

Merck & Co. Inc.
Form 10-Q
May 09, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 1-6571

Merck & Co., Inc.

One Merck Drive

Whitehouse Station, N.J. 08889-0100

(908) 423-1000

Incorporated in New Jersey

I.R.S. Employer

Identification No. 22-1918501

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of the close of business on April 29, 2011: 3,086,584,896

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Smaller reporting
company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

PART II Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Item 6. Exhibits

Signatures

EXHIBIT INDEX

EX-10.1

EX-10.2

EX-10.3

EX-10.4

EX-31.1

EX-31.2

EX-32.1

EX-32.2

EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT

EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT

EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

Table of Contents**Part I Financial Information****Item 1. Financial Statements**

MERCK & CO., INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME
(Unaudited, \$ in millions except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Sales	\$ 11,580	\$ 11,422
Costs, Expenses and Other		
Materials and production	4,059	5,216
Marketing and administrative	3,164	3,222
Research and development	2,158	2,051
Restructuring costs	(14)	288
Equity income from affiliates	(138)	(138)
Other (income) expense, net	622	167
	9,851	10,806
Income Before Taxes	1,729	616
Taxes on Income	658	286
Net Income	\$ 1,071	\$ 330
Less: Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	28	31
Net Income Attributable to Merck & Co., Inc.	\$ 1,043	\$ 299
Basic Earnings per Common Share Attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. Common Shareholders	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.10
Earnings per Common Share Assuming Dilution Attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. Common Shareholders	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.09
Dividends Declared per Common Share	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.38

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this consolidated financial statement.

Table of Contents

MERCK & CO., INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
(Unaudited, \$ in millions except per share amounts)

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Assets		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,695	\$ 10,900
Short-term investments	1,334	1,301
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$111 in 2011 and \$104 in 2010)	7,955	7,344
Inventories (excludes inventories of \$1,264 in 2011 and \$1,194 in 2010 classified in Other assets see Note 6)	6,057	5,868
Deferred income taxes and other current assets	3,983	3,651
Total current assets	31,024	29,064
Investments	2,084	2,175
Property, Plant and Equipment, at cost, net of depreciation of \$14,515 in 2011 and \$13,481 in 2010	16,833	17,082
Goodwill	12,207	12,378
Other Intangibles, Net	37,906	39,456
Other Assets	5,811	5,626
	\$ 105,865	\$ 105,781
Liabilities and Equity		
Current Liabilities		
Loans payable and current portion of long-term debt	\$ 2,202	\$ 2,400
Trade accounts payable	2,402	2,308
Accrued and other current liabilities	8,555	8,514
Income taxes payable	1,326	1,243
Dividends payable	1,177	1,176
Total current liabilities	15,662	15,641
Long-Term Debt	15,644	15,482
Deferred Income Taxes and Noncurrent Liabilities	17,720	17,853

Merck & Co., Inc. Stockholders' Equity		
Common stock, \$0.50 par value		
Authorized - 6,500,000,000 shares		
Issued - 3,576,948,356 shares in 2011 and 2010	1,788	1,788
Other paid-in capital	40,690	40,701
Retained earnings	37,400	37,536
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(3,170)	(3,216)
	76,708	76,809
Less treasury stock, at cost 491,657,062 shares in 2011 and 494,841,533 shares in 2010	22,324	22,433
Total Merck & Co., Inc. stockholders' equity	54,384	54,376
Noncontrolling Interests	2,455	2,429
Total equity	56,839	56,805
	\$ 105,865	\$ 105,781

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this consolidated financial statement.

- 3 -

Table of Contents

MERCK & CO., INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited, \$ in millions)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net income	\$ 1,071	\$ 330
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,831	1,687
In-process research and development impairment charges	302	27
Equity income from affiliates	(138)	(138)
Dividends and distributions from equity affiliates	65	77
Deferred income taxes	(214)	152
Share-based compensation	93	132
Other	(222)	161
Net changes in assets and liabilities	(1,067)	(1,062)
 Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	 1,721	 1,366
 Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Capital expenditures	(324)	(343)
Purchases of securities and other investments	(1,382)	(2,933)
Proceeds from sales of securities and other investments	1,524	273
Dispositions of businesses, net of cash divested	306	
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired		(131)
(Increase) decrease in restricted assets		(25)
Other	(19)	11
 Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities	 105	 (3,148)
 Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Net change in short-term borrowings	(197)	2,620
Payments on debt	(4)	(622)
Dividends paid to stockholders	(1,175)	(1,189)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	37	195
Other	167	(62)
 Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Financing Activities	 (1,172)	 942
 Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	 141	 (235)
 Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	 795	 (1,075)

Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	10,900	9,311
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 11,695	\$ 8,236

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this consolidated financial statement.

- 4 -

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)****1. Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations for reporting on Form 10-Q. Accordingly, certain information and disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete consolidated financial statements are not included herein. The interim statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto included in Merck & Co., Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on February 28, 2011.

On November 3, 2009, Merck & Co., Inc. (Old Merck) and Schering-Plough Corporation (Schering-Plough) completed their previously-announced merger (the Merger). In the Merger, Schering-Plough acquired all of the shares of Old Merck, which became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Schering-Plough and was renamed Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. Schering-Plough continued as the surviving public company and was renamed Merck & Co., Inc. (New Merck or the Company). However, for accounting purposes only, the Merger was treated as an acquisition with Old Merck considered the accounting acquirer. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statements reflect Old Merck's stand-alone operations as they existed prior to the completion of the Merger. References in these financial statements to Merck for periods prior to the Merger refer to Old Merck and for periods after the completion of the Merger to New Merck.

The results of operations of any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the full year. In the Company's opinion, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of these interim statements have been included and are of a normal and recurring nature.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to conform to the current year presentation.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued new guidance for revenue recognition with multiple deliverables. The Company adopted this guidance prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified on or after January 1, 2011. This guidance eliminates the residual method under the current guidance and replaces it with the relative selling price method when allocating revenue in a multiple deliverable arrangement. The selling price for each deliverable shall be determined using vendor specific objective evidence of selling price, if it exists, otherwise third-party evidence of selling price shall be used. If neither exists for a deliverable, the vendor shall use its best estimate of the selling price for that deliverable. The effect of adoption on the Company's financial position and results of operations was not material.

2. Restructuring*Merger Restructuring Program*

In February 2010, the Company commenced actions under a global restructuring program (the Merger Restructuring Program) in conjunction with the integration of the legacy Merck and legacy Schering-Plough businesses. This Merger Restructuring Program is intended to optimize the cost structure of the combined company. Additional actions under the program continued during 2010. As part of the restructuring actions taken thus far under the Merger Restructuring Program, the Company expects to reduce its total workforce measured at the time of the Merger by approximately 17% across the Company worldwide. In addition, the Company has eliminated over 2,500 positions which were vacant at the time of the Merger. These workforce reductions will primarily come from the elimination of duplicative positions in sales, administrative and headquarters organizations, as well as from the sale or closure of certain manufacturing and research and development sites and the consolidation of office facilities. During this period, the Company will continue to hire new employees in strategic growth areas of the business as necessary. The Company will continue to pursue productivity efficiencies and evaluate its manufacturing supply chain capabilities on an ongoing basis which may result in future restructuring actions.

In connection with the Merger Restructuring Program, separation costs under the Company's existing severance programs worldwide were recorded in the fourth quarter of 2009 to the extent such costs were probable and reasonably estimable. The Company commenced accruing costs related to enhanced termination benefits offered to employees under the Merger Restructuring Program in the first quarter of 2010 when the necessary criteria were met. The Company recorded total pretax restructuring costs of \$112 million and \$283 million in the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to this program. Since inception of the Merger Restructuring Program through

March 31, 2011, Merck has recorded total pretax accumulated costs of approximately \$3.4 billion and eliminated approximately 12,300 positions comprised of employee separations, and the elimination of contractors and vacant positions. The restructuring actions taken thus far under the Merger Restructuring Program are expected to be substantially completed by the end of 2012, with the exception of certain manufacturing facilities actions, with the total cumulative pretax costs estimated to be approximately \$3.8 billion to \$4.6 billion. The Company estimates that approximately two-thirds of the cumulative

Table of ContentsNotes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

pretax costs relate to cash outlays, primarily related to employee separation expense. Approximately one-third of the cumulative pretax costs are non-cash, relating primarily to the accelerated depreciation of facilities to be closed or divested.

2008 Global Restructuring Program

In October 2008, Old Merck announced a global restructuring program (the 2008 Restructuring Program) to reduce its cost structure, increase efficiency, and enhance competitiveness. As part of the 2008 Restructuring Program, the Company expects to eliminate approximately 7,200 positions—6,800 active employees and 400 vacancies—across the Company worldwide by the end of 2011. Pretax restructuring costs of \$4 million and \$65 million were recorded in the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to the 2008 Restructuring Program. Since inception of the 2008 Restructuring Program through March 31, 2011, Merck has recorded total pretax accumulated costs of \$1.6 billion and eliminated approximately 5,920 positions comprised of employee separations and the elimination of contractors and vacant positions. The 2008 Restructuring Program is expected to be completed by the end of 2011 with the total cumulative pretax costs estimated to be \$1.6 billion to \$2.0 billion. The Company estimates that two-thirds of the cumulative pretax costs relate to cash outlays, primarily from employee separation expense. Approximately one-third of the cumulative pretax costs are non-cash, relating primarily to the accelerated depreciation of facilities to be closed or divested.

For segment reporting, restructuring charges are unallocated expenses.

The following tables summarize the charges related to Merger Restructuring Program and 2008 Restructuring Program activities by type of cost:

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011			
	Separation Costs	Accelerated Depreciation	Other	Total
<i>Merger Restructuring Program</i>				
Materials and production	\$	\$ 60	\$	\$ 60
Marketing and administrative		23		23
Research and development		42	3	45
Restructuring costs	(37)		21	(16)
	(37)	125	24	112
<i>2008 Restructuring Program</i>				
Materials and production		3	(1)	2
Restructuring costs	(1)		3	2
	(1)	3	2	4
	\$ (38)	\$ 128	\$ 26	\$ 116

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010			
	Separation Costs	Accelerated Depreciation	Other	Total

Merger Restructuring Program

Materials and production	\$	\$ 25	\$	\$ 25
Research and development			6	6
Restructuring costs	209		43	252
	209	25	49	283

2008 Restructuring Program

Materials and production		29		29
Restructuring costs	19		17	36
	19	29	17	65
	\$228	\$ 54	\$66	\$348

Separation costs are associated with actual headcount reductions, as well as those headcount reductions which were probable and could be reasonably estimated. In the first quarter of 2011, separation costs for the Merger Restructuring Program include a reduction of separation reserves of approximately \$50 million resulting from the Company's decision in the first quarter to retain approximately 380 employees at its Oss, Netherlands research facility that had previously been expected to be separated. In the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, approximately 750 positions and 5,150 positions, respectively, were eliminated under the Merger Restructuring Program and approximately 120 positions and 535 positions, respectively, were eliminated under the 2008 Restructuring

- 6 -

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)

Program. These position eliminations were comprised of actual headcount reductions and the elimination of contractors and vacant positions.

Accelerated depreciation costs primarily relate to manufacturing, research and administrative facilities and equipment to be sold or closed as part of the programs. Accelerated depreciation costs represent the difference between the depreciation expense to be recognized over the revised useful life of the site, based upon the anticipated date the site will be closed or divested, and depreciation expense as determined utilizing the useful life prior to the restructuring actions. All of the sites have and will continue to operate up through the respective closure dates, and since future cash flows were sufficient to recover the respective book values, Merck was required to accelerate depreciation of the site assets rather than write them off immediately.

Other activity in the first quarter of 2011 and 2010 includes asset abandonment, shut-down and other related costs. Additionally, other activity includes employee-related costs such as curtailment, settlement and termination charges associated with pension and other postretirement benefit plans (see Note 12) and share-based compensation costs.

The following table summarizes the charges and spending relating to Merger Restructuring Program and 2008 Restructuring Program activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011:

<i>(\$ in millions)</i>	Separation Costs	Accelerated Depreciation	Other	Total
<i>Merger Restructuring Program</i>				
Restructuring reserves January 1, 2011	\$ 859	\$	\$ 64	\$ 923
Expense	(37)	125	24	112
(Payments) receipts, net	(159)		(9)	(168)
Non-cash activity		(125)	(24)	(149)
Restructuring reserves March 31, 2011 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 663	\$	\$ 55	\$ 718
<i>2008 Restructuring Program</i>				
Restructuring reserves January 1, 2011	\$ 196	\$	\$	\$ 196
Expense	(1)	3	2	4
(Payments) receipts, net	(9)		(2)	(11)
Non-cash activity		(3)		(3)
Restructuring reserves March 31, 2011 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 186	\$	\$	\$ 186

⁽¹⁾ The cash outlays associated with the Merger Restructuring Program are expected to be substantially completed by the end of 2012. The cash outlays associated with the remaining restructuring reserve for the 2008 Restructuring Program are expected to be completed by the end of 2011.

Legacy Schering-Plough Program

Prior to the Merger, Schering-Plough commenced a Productivity Transformation Program which was designed to reduce and avoid costs and increase productivity. The Company recorded accelerated depreciation costs included *Material and production* of \$10 million and \$3 million for the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. The remaining reserve associated with this program was \$47 million at March 31, 2011.

3. Acquisitions, Divestitures, Research Collaborations and License Agreements

In April 2011, Merck entered into a definitive agreement under which Merck will acquire Inspire Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Inspire), a specialty pharmaceutical company focused on developing and commercializing ophthalmic products.

Under the terms of the agreement, Merck commenced a tender offer for all outstanding common stock of Inspire at a price of \$5.00 per share in cash. The transaction has a total cash value of approximately \$430 million. The transaction has been unanimously approved by the boards of directors of both companies and Inspire's board recommended that the company's shareholders tender their shares pursuant to the tender offer. In addition, Warburg Pincus Private Equity IX, L.P., which owns approximately 28% of the outstanding shares of Inspire, has agreed to tender all of its shares into the offer. The closing of the tender offer will be subject to certain conditions, including the tender of a number of Inspire shares that, together with shares owned by Merck, represent at least a majority of the total number of Inspire's outstanding shares (assuming the exercise of all options and vesting of restricted stock units), and customary closing conditions. Upon the completion of the tender offer, Merck will acquire all remaining shares of Inspire through a second-step merger. The Company anticipates the transaction will close in the second quarter of 2011.

In March 2011, the Company sold the Merck BioManufacturing Network, a leading provider of contract manufacturing and development services for the biopharmaceutical industry and wholly owned by Merck, to Fujifilm Corporation (Fujifilm). Under

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)

the terms of the agreement, Fujifilm will purchase all of the equity interests in two Merck subsidiaries which together own all assets of the Merck BioManufacturing Network comprising facilities located in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina and Billingham, U.K.; and including manufacturing contracts; business support operations and a highly skilled workforce. As part of the agreement with Fujifilm, Merck has committed to certain continued development and manufacturing activities with these two companies. The transaction resulted in a gain of \$134 million in the first quarter of 2011 reflected in *Other (income) expense, net*.

4. Collaborative Arrangements

The Company continues its strategy of establishing external alliances to complement its substantial internal research capabilities, including research collaborations, licensing preclinical and clinical compounds and technology platforms to drive both near- and long-term growth. The Company supplements its internal research with a licensing and external alliance strategy focused on the entire spectrum of collaborations from early research to late-stage compounds, as well as new technologies across a broad range of therapeutic areas. These arrangements often include upfront payments and royalty or profit share payments, contingent upon the occurrence of certain future events linked to the success of the asset in development, as well as expense reimbursements or payments to the third party.

Cozaar/Hyzaar

In 1989, Old Merck and E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company (DuPont) agreed to form a long-term research and marketing collaboration to develop a class of therapeutic agents for high blood pressure and heart disease, discovered by DuPont, called angiotensin II receptor antagonists, which include *Cozaar* and *Hyzaar*. In return, Old Merck provided DuPont marketing rights in the United States and Canada to its prescription medicines, *Sinemet* and *Sinemet CR* (the Company has since regained global marketing rights to *Sinemet* and *Sinemet CR*). Pursuant to a 1994 agreement with DuPont, the Company has an exclusive licensing agreement to market *Cozaar* and *Hyzaar*, which are both registered trademarks of DuPont, in return for royalties and profit share payments to DuPont. The patents that provided market exclusivity in the United States for *Cozaar* and *Hyzaar* expired in April 2010. In addition, *Cozaar* and *Hyzaar* lost patent protection in a number of major European markets in March 2010.

Remicade/Simponi

In 1998, a subsidiary of Schering-Plough entered into a licensing agreement with Centocor Ortho Biotech Inc. (Centocor), a Johnson & Johnson company, to market *Remicade*, which is prescribed for the treatment of inflammatory diseases. In 2005, Schering-Plough's subsidiary exercised an option under its contract with Centocor for license rights to develop and commercialize *Simponi* (golimumab), a fully human monoclonal antibody. The Company had exclusive marketing rights to both products outside the United States, Japan and certain other Asian markets. In December 2007, Schering-Plough and Centocor revised their distribution agreement regarding the development, commercialization and distribution of both *Remicade* and *Simponi*, extending the Company's rights to exclusively market *Remicade* to match the duration of the Company's exclusive marketing rights for *Simponi*. In addition, Schering-Plough and Centocor agreed to share certain development costs relating to *Simponi*'s auto-injector delivery system. On October 6, 2009, the European Commission approved *Simponi* as a treatment for rheumatoid arthritis and other immune system disorders in two presentations—a novel auto-injector and a prefilled syringe. As a result, the Company's marketing rights for both products extend for 15 years from the first commercial sale of *Simponi* in the European Union (EU) following the receipt of pricing and reimbursement approval within the EU. In April 2011, Merck and Johnson & Johnson reached agreement to amend the distribution rights to *Remicade* and *Simponi*. Under the terms of the amended distribution agreement, Merck will relinquish exclusive marketing rights for *Remicade* and *Simponi* to Johnson & Johnson in territories including Canada, Central and South America, the Middle East, Africa and Asia Pacific effective July 1, 2011. Merck will retain exclusive marketing rights throughout Europe, Russia and Turkey (retained territories). In addition, all profit derived from Merck's exclusive distribution of the two products in the retained territories will be equally divided between Merck and Johnson & Johnson, beginning July 1, 2011. Under the prior terms of the distribution agreement, the contribution income (profit) split, which is currently at 58% to Merck and 42% percent to Johnson & Johnson, would have declined for Merck and increased for Johnson & Johnson each year until 2014, when it would have been equally divided. Johnson & Johnson also received a one-time payment of \$500 million in April 2011, which the Company recorded as charge to *Other (income) expense, net* in the

first quarter of 2011.

5. Financial Instruments

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company manages the impact of foreign exchange rate movements and interest rate movements on its earnings, cash flows and fair values of assets and liabilities through operational means and through the use of various financial instruments, including derivative instruments.

A significant portion of the Company's revenues and earnings in foreign affiliates is exposed to changes in foreign exchange rates. The objectives and accounting related to the Company's foreign currency risk management program, as well as its interest rate risk management activities are discussed below.

- 8 -

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)***Foreign Currency Risk Management***

A significant portion of the Company's revenues are denominated in foreign currencies. The Company has established revenue hedging and balance sheet risk management programs to protect against volatility of future foreign currency cash flows and changes in fair value caused by volatility in foreign exchange rates.

The objective of the revenue hedging program is to reduce the potential for longer-term unfavorable changes in foreign exchange to decrease the U.S. dollar value of future cash flows derived from foreign currency denominated sales, primarily the euro and Japanese yen. To achieve this objective, the Company will partially hedge forecasted foreign currency denominated third-party and intercompany distributor entity sales that are expected to occur over its planning cycle, typically no more than three years into the future. The Company will layer in hedges over time, increasing the portion of third-party and intercompany distributor entity sales hedged as it gets closer to the expected date of the forecasted foreign currency denominated sales, such that it is probable the hedged transaction will occur. The portion of sales hedged is based on assessments of cost-benefit profiles that consider natural offsetting exposures, revenue and exchange rate volatilities and correlations, and the cost of hedging instruments. The hedged anticipated sales are a specified component of a portfolio of similarly denominated foreign currency-based sales transactions, each of which responds to the hedged risk in the same manner. The Company manages its anticipated transaction exposure principally with purchased local currency put options, which provide the Company with a right, but not an obligation, to sell foreign currencies in the future at a predetermined price. If the U.S. dollar strengthens relative to the currency of the hedged anticipated sales, total changes in the options' cash flows offset the decline in the expected future U.S. dollar cash flows of the hedged foreign currency sales. Conversely, if the U.S. dollar weakens, the options' value reduces to zero, but the Company benefits from the increase in the value of the anticipated foreign currency cash flows.

In connection with the Company's revenue hedging program, a purchased collar option strategy may be utilized. With a purchased collar option strategy, the Company writes a local currency call option and purchases a local currency put option. As compared to a purchased put option strategy alone, a purchased collar strategy reduces the upfront costs associated with purchasing puts through the collection of premium by writing call options. If the U.S. dollar weakens relative to the currency of the hedged anticipated sales, the purchased put option value of the collar strategy reduces to zero, but the Company benefits from the increase in the value of its anticipated foreign currency cash flows would be capped at the strike level of the written call. If the U.S. dollar strengthens relative to the currency of the hedged anticipated sales, the written call option value of the collar strategy reduces to zero and the changes in the purchased put cash flows of the collar strategy would offset the decline in the expected future U.S. dollar cash flows of the hedged foreign currency sales.

The Company may also utilize forward contracts in its revenue hedging program. If the U.S. dollar strengthens relative to the currency of the hedged anticipated sales, the increase in the fair value of the forward contracts offsets the decrease in the expected future U.S. dollar cash flows of the hedged foreign currency sales. Conversely, if the U.S. dollar weakens, the decrease in the fair value of the forward contracts offsets the increase in the value of the anticipated foreign currency cash flows.

The fair values of these derivative contracts are recorded as either assets (gain positions) or liabilities (loss positions) in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Changes in the fair value of derivative contracts are recorded each period in either current earnings or *Other comprehensive income* (*OCI*), depending on whether the derivative is designated as part of a hedge transaction, and if so, the type of hedge transaction. For derivatives that are designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the unrealized gains or losses on these contracts is recorded in *Accumulated other comprehensive income* (*AOCI*) and reclassified into *Sales* when the hedged anticipated revenue is recognized. The hedge relationship is highly effective and hedge ineffectiveness has been *de minimis*. For those derivatives which are not designated as cash flow hedges, unrealized gains or losses are recorded to *Sales* each period. The cash flows from these contracts are reported as operating activities in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. The Company does not enter into derivatives for trading or speculative purposes.

The primary objective of the balance sheet risk management program is to mitigate the exposure of foreign currency denominated net monetary assets of foreign subsidiaries where the U.S. dollar is the functional currency

from the effects of volatility in foreign exchange that might occur prior to their conversion to U.S. dollars. In these instances, Merck principally utilizes forward exchange contracts, which enable the Company to buy and sell foreign currencies in the future at fixed exchange rates and economically offset the consequences of changes in foreign exchange from the monetary assets. Merck routinely enters into contracts to offset the effects of exchange on exposures denominated in developed country currencies, primarily the euro and Japanese yen. For exposures in developing country currencies, the Company will enter into forward contracts to partially offset the effects of exchange on exposures when it is deemed economical to do so based on a cost-benefit analysis that considers the magnitude of the exposure, the volatility of the exchange rate and the cost of the hedging instrument. The Company will also minimize the effect of exchange on monetary assets and liabilities by managing operating activities and net asset positions at the local level.

Foreign currency denominated monetary assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries where the U.S. dollar is the functional currency are remeasured at spot rates in effect on the balance sheet date with the effects of changes in spot rates reported in *Other (income) expense, net*. The forward contracts are not designated as hedges and are marked to market through *Other (income) expense, net*. Accordingly, fair value changes in the forward contracts help mitigate the changes in the value of the remeasured assets and liabilities attributable to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, except to the extent of the spot-forward differences. These differences are not significant due to the short-term nature of the contracts, which typically have average maturities at inception of less than one year.

When applicable, the Company uses forward contracts to hedge the changes in fair value of certain foreign currency denominated available-for-sale securities attributable to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. These derivative contracts are designated as fair value hedges. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of the hedged securities due to fluctuations in spot rates are recorded in *Other (income) expense, net*, and are offset by the fair value changes in the forward contracts attributable to spot rate fluctuations. Changes in the contracts' fair value due to spot-forward differences are excluded from the designated hedge relationship and recognized in *Other (income) expense, net*. These amounts, as well as hedge ineffectiveness, were not significant. The cash flows from these contracts are reported as operating activities in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)

Foreign exchange risk is also managed through the use of foreign currency debt. The Company's senior unsecured euro-denominated notes have been designated as, and are effective as, economic hedges of the net investment in a foreign operation. Accordingly, foreign currency transaction gains or losses on the euro-denominated debt instruments are included in foreign currency translation adjustment within *OCI*.

The Company also uses forward exchange contracts to hedge its net investment in foreign operations against adverse movements in exchange rates. The forward contracts are designated as hedges of the net investment in a foreign operation. The Company hedges a portion of the net investment in certain of its foreign operations and measures ineffectiveness based upon changes in spot foreign exchange rates. The effective portion of the unrealized gains or losses on these contracts is recorded in foreign currency translation adjustment within *OCI*, and remains in *OCI* until either the sale or complete or substantially complete liquidation of the subsidiary. The cash flows from these contracts are reported as investing activities in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Interest Rate Risk Management

At March 31, 2011, the Company was a party to 22 pay-floating, receive-fixed interest rate swap contracts designated as fair value hedges of fixed-rate notes in which the notional amounts match the amount of the hedged fixed-rate notes. There are two swaps maturing in 2011 with notional amounts of \$125 million each that effectively convert the Company's \$250 million, 5.125% fixed-rate notes due 2011 to floating rate instruments. There are nine swaps maturing in 2015: two of which have notional amounts of \$250 million each and one of which has a notional amount of \$500 million, that effectively convert the Company's \$1.0 billion, 4.75% fixed-rate notes due 2015 to floating rate instruments; five swaps with notional amounts of \$150 million each and one with a notional amount of \$250 million that effectively convert the Company's \$1.0 billion, 4.0% fixed-rate notes due 2015 to floating rate instruments. There are six swaps maturing in 2016, two of which have notional amounts of \$175 million each, and four of which have notional amounts of \$125 million each, that effectively convert the Company's \$850 million, 2.25% fixed-rate notes due 2016 to floating rate instruments. There are two swaps maturing in 2017, with notional amounts of \$600 million and \$400 million that effectively convert the \$1.0 billion, 6.0% fixed-rate notes due in 2017 to floating rate instruments. There are three swaps maturing in 2019, two of which have notional amounts of \$500 million each, and one of which has a notional amount of \$250 million that effectively convert the Company's \$1.25 billion, 5.0% fixed-rate notes due in 2019 to floating rate instruments. The interest rate swap contracts are designated hedges of the fair value changes in the notes attributable to changes in the benchmark London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) swap rate. The fair value changes in the notes attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate are recorded in interest expense and offset by the fair value changes in the swap contracts. The cash flows from these contracts are reported as operating activities in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Presented in the table below is the fair value of derivatives segregated between those derivatives that are designated as hedging instruments and those that are not designated as hedging instruments:

(\$ in millions)	Balance Sheet Caption	March 31, 2011			December 31, 2010		
		Fair Value of Derivative Asset	Fair Value of Derivative Liability	U.S. Dollar Notional	Fair Value of Derivative Asset	Fair Value of Derivative Liability	U.S. Dollar Notional
<i>Derivatives</i>							
<i>Designated as</i>							
<i>Hedging</i>							
<i>Instruments</i>							
Foreign exchange contracts (current)	Deferred income taxes and other current assets	\$ 94	\$	\$ 3,412	\$ 167	\$	\$ 2,344

Edgar Filing: Merck & Co. Inc. - Form 10-Q

Foreign exchange contracts (non-current)	Other assets	263		4,329	310		3,720
Foreign exchange contracts (current)	Accrued and other current liabilities		25	2,071		18	1,505
Foreign exchange contracts (non-current)	Deferred income taxes and noncurrent liabilities					6	503
Interest rate swaps (current)	Deferred income taxes and other current assets	11		250			
Interest rate swaps (non-current)	Other assets	85		4,250	56		1,000
Interest rate swaps (non-current)	Deferred income taxes and noncurrent liabilities		10	850		7	850
		\$ 453	\$ 35	\$ 15,162	\$ 533	\$ 31	\$ 9,922

*Derivatives
Not
Designated as
Hedging
Instruments*

Foreign exchange contracts (current)	Deferred income taxes and other current assets	\$ 32	\$	\$ 4,100	\$ 95	\$	\$ 6,295
Foreign exchange contracts (current)	Accrued and other current liabilities		176	8,712		30	4,229
		\$ 32	\$ 176	\$ 12,812	\$ 95	\$ 30	\$ 10,524
		\$ 485	\$ 211	\$ 27,974	\$ 628	\$ 61	\$ 20,446

- 10 -

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)

The table below provides information on the location and pretax gain or loss amounts for derivatives that are: (i) designated in a fair value hedging relationship, (ii) designated in a cash flow hedging relationship, (iii) designated in a foreign currency hedging relationship (net investment hedge) and (iv) not designated in a hedging relationship:

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
<i>Derivatives designated in fair value hedging relationships</i>		
Interest rate swap contracts		
Amount of gain recognized in <i>Other (income) expense, net</i> on derivatives	\$ (37)	\$ (21)
Amount of loss recognized in <i>Other (income) expense, net</i> on hedged item	37	21
<i>Derivatives designated in foreign currency cash flow hedging relationships</i>		
Foreign exchange contracts		
Amount of loss reclassified from <i>AOCI</i> to <i>Sales</i>	7	19
Amount of loss (gain) recognized in <i>OCI</i> on derivatives	184	(94)
<i>Derivatives designated in foreign currency net investment hedging relationships</i>		
Foreign exchange contracts		
Amount of gain recognized in <i>Other (income) expense, net</i> on derivatives ⁽¹⁾	(6)	
Amount of loss recognized in <i>OCI</i> on derivatives	1	
<i>Derivatives not designated in a hedging relationship</i>		
Foreign exchange contracts		
Amount of loss (gain) recognized in <i>Other (income) expense, net</i> on derivatives ⁽²⁾	316	(69)
Amount of gain recognized in <i>Sales</i> on hedged item		(67)

⁽¹⁾ There was no ineffectiveness on the hedge. Represents the amount excluded from hedge effectiveness testing.

⁽²⁾ These derivative contracts mitigate changes in the value of remeasured foreign currency denominated monetary assets and liabilities attributable to changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

At March 31, 2011, the Company estimates \$81 million of pretax net unrealized losses on derivatives maturing within the next 12 months that hedge foreign currency denominated sales over that same period will be reclassified from *AOCI* to *Sales*. The amount ultimately reclassified to *Sales* may differ as foreign exchange rates change. Realized gains and losses are ultimately determined by actual exchange rates at maturity.

Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Entities are required to use a fair value hierarchy which maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. The Company's Level 1 assets include equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. The Company's Level 2 assets and liabilities primarily include debt securities with quoted prices that are

traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments, corporate notes and bonds, U.S. and foreign government and agency securities, certain mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities, municipal securities, commercial paper and derivative contracts whose values are determined using pricing models with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are financial instruments whose values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant judgment or estimation. The Company's Level 3 assets included certain mortgage-backed securities with limited market activity.

If the inputs used to measure the financial assets and liabilities fall within more than one level described above, the categorization is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

- 11 -

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)*Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis*

Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
		March 31, 2011			December 31, 2010			
<i>(\$ in millions)</i>								
Assets								
<i>Investments</i>								
Corporate notes and bonds	\$	\$ 1,174	\$	\$ 1,174	\$	\$ 1,133	\$	\$ 1,133
Commercial paper		1,095		1,095		1,046		1,046
U.S. government and agency securities		384		384		500		500
Municipal securities		305		305		361		361
Asset-backed securities ⁽¹⁾		167		167		171		171
Mortgage-backed securities ⁽¹⁾		90		90		99	13	112
Foreign government bonds		65		65		10		10
Equity securities	111	24		135	117	23		140
Other debt securities		3		3		3		3
	111	3,307		3,418	117	3,346	13	3,476
<i>Other assets</i>								
Securities held for employee compensation	191			191	181			181
<i>Derivative assets</i> ⁽²⁾								
Purchased currency options		357		357		477		477
Forward exchange contracts		32		32		95		95
Interest rate swaps		96		96		56		56

		485		485		628		628
Total assets	\$ 302	\$ 3,792	\$	\$ 4,094	\$ 298	\$ 3,974	\$ 13	\$ 4,285
Liabilities								
<i>Derivative liabilities</i>								
<i>(2)</i>								
Forward exchange contracts	\$	\$ 201	\$	\$ 201	\$	\$ 54	\$	\$ 54
Interest rate swaps		10		10		7		7
Total liabilities	\$	\$ 211	\$	\$ 211	\$	\$ 61	\$	\$ 61

(1) Substantially all of the asset-backed securities are highly-rated (Standard & Poor's rating of AAA and Moody's Investors Service rating of Aaa), secured primarily by credit card, auto loan, and home equity receivables, with weighted-average lives of primarily 5 years or less. Mortgage-backed securities represent AAA-rated securities issued or unconditionally guaranteed as to payment of principal and interest by U.S. government agencies.

(2) The fair value determination of derivatives includes an assessment of the credit risk of counterparties to the derivatives and the Company's own credit risk, the effects of which were not significant.

There were no significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the first quarter of 2011. As of March 31, 2011, Cash and cash equivalents of \$11.7 billion included \$11.0 billion of cash equivalents.

Level 3 Valuation Techniques:

Financial assets are considered Level 3 when their fair values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques and at least one significant model assumption or input is unobservable. Level 3 financial assets also include certain investment securities for which there is limited market activity such that the determination of fair value requires significant judgment or estimation. The Company's Level 3 investment securities included certain mortgage-backed securities that were valued primarily using pricing models for which management understands the methodologies. These models incorporate transaction details such as contractual terms, maturity, timing and amount of future cash inflows, as well as assumptions about liquidity and credit valuation adjustments of marketplace participants.

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)

The table below provides a summary of the changes in fair value of all financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011			Three Months Ended March 31, 2010		
	Available- for-sale investments	Other assets	Total	Available- for-sale investments	Other assets	Total
Beginning balance January 1	\$ 13	\$	\$ 13	\$	\$ 72	\$ 72
Sales	(13)		(13)		(52)	(52)
Settlements					(2)	(2)
Total realized and unrealized gains (losses)						
Included in:						
Earnings ⁽¹⁾					13	13
Comprehensive income					(11)	(11)
Ending balance March 31	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 20	\$ 20
Losses recorded in earnings for Level 3 assets still held at March 31	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (2)	\$ (2)

⁽¹⁾ Amounts are recorded in Other (income) expense, net.

Financial Instruments not Measured at Fair Value

Some of the Company's financial instruments are not measured at fair value on a recurring basis but are recorded at amounts that approximate fair value due to their liquid or short-term nature, such as cash and cash equivalents, receivables and payables.

The estimated fair value of loans payable and long-term debt (including current portion) at March 31, 2011 was \$18.3 billion compared with a carrying value of \$17.8 billion and at December 31, 2010 was \$18.7 billion compared with a carrying value of \$17.9 billion. Fair value was estimated using quoted dealer prices.

A summary of gross unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale investments recorded in AOCI is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	March 31, 2011				December 31, 2010			
	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses
Corporate notes and bonds	\$ 1,174	\$ 1,167	\$ 10	\$ (3)	\$ 1,133	\$ 1,124	\$ 12	\$ (3)
Commercial paper	1,095	1,095			1,046	1,046		
U.S. government and agency securities	384	386	1	(3)	500	501	1	(2)
Municipal securities	305	304	3	(2)	361	359	4	(2)
Asset-backed securities	167	166	1		171	170	1	

Edgar Filing: Merck & Co. Inc. - Form 10-Q

Mortgage-backed securities	90	90		112	108	5	(1)
Foreign government bonds	65	59	6	10	10		
Other debt securities	3	1	2	3	1	2	
Equity securities	326	298	28	321	295	34	(8)
	\$ 3,609	\$ 3,566	\$ 51	\$ (8)	\$ 3,657	\$ 3,614	\$ 59 (16)

Available-for-sale debt securities included in *Short-term investments* totaled \$1.3 billion at March 31, 2011. Of the remaining debt securities, \$1.6 billion mature within five years. At March 31, 2011, there were no debt securities pledged as collateral.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

On an ongoing basis, the Company monitors concentrations of credit risk associated with corporate issuers of securities and financial institutions with which it conducts business. Credit exposure limits are established to limit a concentration with any single issuer or institution. Cash and investments are placed in instruments that meet high credit quality standards, as specified in the Company's investment policy guidelines. Approximately half of the Company's cash and cash equivalents are invested in three highly-rated money market funds.

- 13 -

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)

The majority of the Company's accounts receivable arise from product sales in the United States and Europe and are primarily due from drug wholesalers and retailers, hospitals, government agencies, managed health care providers and pharmacy benefit managers. The Company monitors the financial performance and credit worthiness of its customers so that it can properly assess and respond to changes in their credit profile. The Company also continues to monitor economic conditions, including the volatility associated with international sovereign economies, and associated impacts on the financial markets and its business, taking into consideration the global economic downturn and the sovereign debt issues in certain European countries. The Company continues to monitor the credit and economic conditions within Greece, Spain, Italy and Portugal, among other members of the EU. These deteriorating economic conditions, as well as inherent variability of timing of cash receipts, have resulted in, and may continue to result in, an increase in the average length of time that it takes to collect accounts receivable outstanding. As of March 31, 2011, the Company's accounts receivable in Greece, Italy, Spain and Portugal totaled approximately \$1.5 billion of which hospital and public sector receivables in Greece were approximately 7%. As of March 31, 2011, the Company's total accounts receivable outstanding for more than one year were approximately \$345 million, of which approximately \$285 million related to accounts receivable in Greece, Italy, Spain and Portugal, mostly comprised of hospital and public sector receivables.

Derivative financial instruments are executed under International Swaps and Derivatives Association master agreements. The master agreements with several of the Company's financial institution counterparties also include credit support annexes. These annexes contain provisions that require collateral to be exchanged depending on the value of the derivative assets and liabilities, the Company's credit rating, and the credit rating of the counterparty. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had received cash collateral of \$3 million and \$157 million, respectively, from various counterparties which is recorded in *Accrued and other current liabilities*. The Company had not advanced any cash collateral to counterparties as of March 31, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

6. Inventories

Inventories consisted of:

<i>(\$ in millions)</i>	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Finished goods	\$ 1,384	\$ 1,484
Raw materials and work in process	5,801	5,449
Supplies	306	315
Total (approximates current cost)	7,491	7,248
Reduction to LIFO costs	(170)	(186)
	\$ 7,321	\$ 7,062
Recognized as:		
Inventories	\$ 6,057	\$ 5,868
Other assets	1,264	1,194

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, \$175 million and \$225 million, respectively, of purchase accounting adjustments to inventories remained which are recognized as a component of *Materials and production costs* as the related inventories are sold. Amounts recognized as *Other assets* are comprised almost entirely of raw materials and work in process inventories. At March 31, 2011 and at December 31, 2010, these amounts included \$1.0 billion of inventories not expected to be sold within one year, principally vaccines. In addition, these amounts included \$262 million and \$197 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, of inventories

produced in preparation for product launches, including *Victrelis* (boceprevir) which is currently under review with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

7. Other Intangibles

At the time of the Merger, the Company measured the fair value of legacy Schering-Plough pipeline programs and capitalized these amounts. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company recorded \$302 million of in-process research and development (IPR&D) impairment charges within *Research and development* expenses primarily for programs that had previously been deprioritized and were deemed to have no alternative use during the quarter. During the first quarter of 2010, the Company recorded \$27 million of IPR&D impairment charges attributable to compounds identified during the Company's pipeline prioritization review that were abandoned and determined to have either no alternative use or were returned to the respective licensor. The Company may recognize additional non-cash impairment charges in the future for the cancellation of other legacy Schering-Plough pipeline programs and such charges could be material.

- 14 -

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)**8. Joint Ventures and Other Equity Method Affiliates**

Equity income from affiliates reflects the performance of the Company's joint ventures and other equity method affiliates and was comprised of the following:

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
AstraZeneca LP	\$ 133	\$ 125
Other ⁽¹⁾	5	13
	\$ 138	\$ 138

⁽¹⁾ Primarily reflects results from Sanofi Pasteur MSD and Johnson & Johnson^oMerck Consumer Pharmaceuticals Company.

AstraZeneca LP

In 1998, Old Merck and Astra completed the restructuring of the ownership and operations of their existing joint venture whereby Old Merck acquired Astra's interest in KBI Inc. (KBI) and contributed KBI's operating assets to a new U.S. limited partnership, Astra Pharmaceuticals L.P. (the Partnership), in exchange for a 1% limited partner interest. Astra contributed the net assets of its wholly owned subsidiary, Astra USA, Inc., to the Partnership in exchange for a 99% general partner interest. The Partnership, renamed AstraZeneca LP (AZLP) upon Astra's 1999 merger with Zeneca Group Plc (the AstraZeneca merger), became the exclusive distributor of the products for which KBI retained rights.

In connection with the 1998 restructuring, Astra purchased an option (the Asset Option) for a payment of \$443 million, which was recorded as deferred income, to buy Old Merck's interest in the KBI products, excluding the gastrointestinal medicines Nexium and Prilosec (the Non-PPI Products). In April 2010, AstraZeneca exercised the Asset Option. Merck received \$647 million from AstraZeneca, representing the net present value as of March 31, 2008 of projected future pretax revenue to be received by Old Merck from the Non-PPI Products, which was recorded as a reduction to the Company's investment in AZLP. The Company recognized the \$443 million of deferred income in the second quarter of 2010 as a component of *Other (income) expense, net*. In addition, in 1998, Old Merck granted Astra an option (the Shares Option) to buy Old Merck's common stock interest in KBI and, therefore, Old Merck's interest in Nexium and Prilosec, exercisable in 2012. The exercise price for the Shares Option will be based on the net present value of estimated future net sales of Nexium and Prilosec as determined at the time of exercise, subject to certain true-up mechanisms. The Company believes that it is likely that AstraZeneca will exercise the Shares Option.

Summarized financial information for AZLP is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Sales	\$ 1,155	\$ 1,293
Materials and production costs	545	633
Other expense, net	300	142
Income before taxes ⁽¹⁾	\$ 310	\$ 518

(1) Merck's partnership returns from AZLP are generally contractually determined and are not based on a percentage of income from AZLP, other than with respect to the 1% limited partnership interest discussed above.

Other

In March 2011, Merck and sanofi-aventis mutually terminated their agreement to form a new animal health joint venture by combining Intervet/Schering-Plough, Merck's animal health unit, with Merial, the animal health business of sanofi-aventis. As a result of the termination, both Merial and Intervet/Schering-Plough continue to operate independently. The termination of the agreement was without penalty to either party.

- 15 -

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)**9. Contingencies**

The Company is involved in various claims and legal proceedings of a nature considered normal to its business, including product liability, intellectual property, and commercial litigation, as well as additional matters such as antitrust actions.

Vioxx* Litigation**Product Liability Lawsuits***

As previously disclosed, individual and putative class actions have been filed against Old Merck in state and federal courts alleging personal injury and/or economic loss with respect to the purchase or use of *Vioxx*. All such actions filed in federal court are coordinated in a multidistrict litigation in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana (the MDL) before District Judge Eldon E. Fallon. A number of such actions filed in state court are coordinated in separate coordinated proceedings in state courts in California and Texas, and the counties of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and Washoe and Clark Counties, Nevada. (All of the actions discussed in this paragraph and in Other Lawsuits below are collectively referred to as the *Vioxx* Product Liability Lawsuits.)

Of the plaintiff groups in the *Vioxx* Product Liability Lawsuits described above, the vast majority were dismissed as a result of the *Vioxx* Settlement Program, which has been described previously. As of March 31, 2011, approximately 30 plaintiff groups who were otherwise eligible for the Settlement Program did not participate and their claims remain pending against Old Merck. In addition, the claims of approximately 120 plaintiff groups who were not eligible for the Settlement Program remain pending against Old Merck. A number of these 120 plaintiff groups are subject to various motions to dismiss for failure to comply with court-ordered deadlines.

There is one U.S. *Vioxx* Product Liability Lawsuit currently scheduled for trial in 2011. Old Merck has previously disclosed the outcomes of several *Vioxx* Product Liability Lawsuits that were tried prior to 2010. Of the cases that went to trial, there are two unresolved post-trial appeals: *Ernst v. Merck* and *Garza v. Merck*. Merck has previously disclosed the details associated with these cases and the grounds for Merck's appeals.

Other Lawsuits

There are still pending in various U.S. courts putative class actions purportedly brought on behalf of individual purchasers or users of *Vioxx* seeking reimbursement for alleged economic loss. In the MDL proceeding, approximately 30 such class actions remain. On June 30, 2010, Old Merck moved to strike the class claims or for judgment on the pleadings regarding the master complaint, which includes the above-referenced cases, and briefing on that motion was completed on September 23, 2010. The MDL court heard oral argument on Old Merck's motion on October 7, 2010, and took it under advisement.

On June 12, 2008, a Missouri state court certified a class of Missouri plaintiffs seeking reimbursement for out-of-pocket costs relating to *Vioxx*. Trial is scheduled to begin in May 2012. In addition, in Indiana, plaintiffs have filed a motion to certify a class of Indiana *Vioxx* purchasers in a case pending before the Circuit Court of Marion County, Indiana. On April 1, 2010, a Kentucky state court denied Old Merck's motion for summary judgment and certified a class of Kentucky plaintiffs seeking reimbursement for out-of-pocket costs relating to *Vioxx*. The Kentucky Court of Appeals denied Old Merck's petition for a writ of mandamus, and the Kentucky Supreme Court has affirmed that ruling. The trial court entered an amended class certification order on January 27, 2011, and Merck has appealed that ruling to the Kentucky Court of Appeals.

Old Merck has also been named as a defendant in several lawsuits brought by, or on behalf of, government entities. Twelve of these suits are being brought by state Attorneys General, one on behalf of a county, and one is being brought by a private citizen (as a *qui tam* suit). All of these actions, except for a suit brought by the Attorney General of Michigan, are in the MDL proceeding. The Michigan Attorney General case was remanded to state court. The trial court denied Merck's motion to dismiss, but the Court of Appeals reversed that ruling on March 17, 2011, ordering the trial court to dismiss the case. These actions allege that Old Merck misrepresented the safety of *Vioxx*. All but one of these suits seeks recovery for expenditures on *Vioxx* by government-funded health care programs such as Medicaid, along with other relief such as penalties and attorneys' fees. An action brought by the Attorney General of Kentucky seeks only penalties for alleged Consumer Fraud Act violations. The lawsuit brought by the county is a class action filed by Santa Clara County, California on behalf of all similarly situated California counties. Old Merck moved to

dismiss the False Claims Act claims brought by a *qui tam* plaintiff on behalf of the District of Columbia in November 2010. The court granted that motion on March 28, 2011. Old Merck also moved to dismiss the case brought by the Attorney General of Oklahoma in December 2010.

On March 31, 2010, Judge Fallon partially granted and partially denied Old Merck's motion for summary judgment in the Louisiana Attorney General case. A trial on the remaining claims before Judge Fallon began on April 12, 2010 and was completed on April 21, 2010. Judge Fallon found in favor of Old Merck on June 29, 2010, dismissing the Attorney General's remaining claims with prejudice. The Louisiana Attorney General is appealing that ruling.

- 16 -

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)*Shareholder Lawsuits*

As previously disclosed, in addition to the *Vioxx* Product Liability Lawsuits, various putative class actions and individual lawsuits under federal and state securities laws have been filed against Old Merck and various current and former officers and directors (the *Vioxx* Securities Lawsuits). As previously disclosed, the *Vioxx* Securities Lawsuits have been transferred by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (the JPML) to the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey before District Judge Stanley R. Chesler for inclusion in a nationwide MDL (the Shareholder MDL), and have been consolidated for all purposes. In June 2010, Old Merck moved to dismiss the Fifth Amended Class Action Complaint in the consolidated securities action. Plaintiffs filed their opposition in August 2010, and Old Merck filed its reply in September 2010. The motion is currently pending before the district court.

As previously disclosed, several individual securities lawsuits filed by foreign institutional investors also are consolidated with the *Vioxx* Securities Lawsuits. By stipulation, defendants are not required to respond to these complaints until the resolution of any motions to dismiss in the consolidated securities class action.

In addition, as previously disclosed, various putative class actions have been filed in federal court under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) against Old Merck and certain current and former officers and directors (the *Vioxx* ERISA Lawsuits). Those cases were consolidated in the Shareholder MDL before Judge Chesler. Fact discovery in the *Vioxx* ERISA Lawsuits closed on September 30, 2010. The Court has entered a schedule for expert discovery, dispositive motions, and a pre-trial conference. Motions for summary judgment must be filed by June 30, 2011.

International Lawsuits

As previously disclosed, in addition to the lawsuits discussed above, Old Merck has been named as a defendant in litigation relating to *Vioxx* in Australia, Brazil, Canada, Europe and Israel (collectively, the *Vioxx* Foreign Lawsuits).

In Canada, in 2006, the Superior Court in Quebec authorized a class action on behalf of *Vioxx* users in Quebec who alleged negligence and, in 2008, the Superior Court of Ontario certified a class of *Vioxx* users in Canada, except those in Quebec and Saskatchewan, who alleged negligence and an entitlement to elect to waive the tort. These procedural decisions in the Canadian litigation do not address the merits of the plaintiffs' claims and litigation in Canada remains in an early stage.

Insurance

As previously disclosed, the Company has Directors and Officers insurance coverage applicable to the *Vioxx* Securities Lawsuits with remaining stated upper limits of approximately \$175 million. The Company has Fiduciary and other insurance for the *Vioxx* ERISA Lawsuits with stated upper limits of approximately \$275 million. As a result of the previously disclosed insurance arbitration, additional insurance coverage for these claims should also be available, if needed, under upper-level excess policies that provide coverage for a variety of risks. There are disputes with the insurers about the availability of some or all of the Company's insurance coverage for these claims and there are likely to be additional disputes. The amounts actually recovered under the policies discussed in this paragraph may be less than the stated upper limits.

Investigations

As previously disclosed, Old Merck has received subpoenas from the Department of Justice (DOJ) requesting information related to Old Merck's research, marketing and selling activities with respect to *Vioxx* in a federal health care investigation under criminal statutes. This investigation included subpoenas for witnesses to appear before a grand jury. As previously disclosed, in March 2009, Old Merck received a letter from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Massachusetts identifying it as a target of the grand jury investigation regarding *Vioxx*. On October 29, 2010, the Company announced that it had established a \$950 million reserve (the *Vioxx* Liability Reserve) in connection with the anticipated resolution of the DOJ's investigation. The Company's discussions with the government are ongoing. Until they are concluded, there can be no certainty about a definitive resolution. The Company is cooperating with the DOJ in its investigation (the *Vioxx* Investigation). The Company cannot predict the outcome of these inquiries; however, they could result in potential civil and/or criminal remedies.

Reserves

There was one U.S. *Vioxx* Product Liability Lawsuit tried in 2010. There is one U.S. *Vioxx* Product Liability Lawsuit currently scheduled for trial in 2011. The Company cannot predict the timing of any other trials related to the *Vioxx* Litigation (as defined below). The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to the *Vioxx* Product Liability Lawsuits, *Vioxx* Shareholder Lawsuits and *Vioxx* Foreign Lawsuits (collectively, the *Vioxx* Lawsuits) and will vigorously defend against them. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of litigation, particularly where there are many claimants and the claimants seek indeterminate damages, the Company is unable to predict the outcome of these matters, and at this time cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss with respect to the *Vioxx* Lawsuits not included in the Settlement Program. Unfavorable outcomes in the *Vioxx* Litigation could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, liquidity and results of operations.

- 17 -

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)

Legal defense costs expected to be incurred in connection with a loss contingency are accrued when probable and reasonably estimable. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had an aggregate reserve of approximately \$76 million (the *Vioxx* Legal Defense Costs Reserve) solely for future legal defense costs related to the *Vioxx* Litigation.

During the first quarter of 2011, the Company spent approximately \$16 million in the aggregate in legal defense costs worldwide related to (i) the *Vioxx* Product Liability Lawsuits, (ii) the *Vioxx* Shareholder Lawsuits, (iii) the *Vioxx* Foreign Lawsuits, and (iv) the *Vioxx* Investigation (collectively, the *Vioxx* Litigation). Consequently, as of March 31, 2011, the aggregate amount of the *Vioxx* Legal Defense Costs Reserve was approximately \$60 million, which is solely for future legal defense costs for the *Vioxx* Litigation. Some of the significant factors considered in the review of the *Vioxx* Legal Defense Costs Reserve were as follows: the actual costs incurred by the Company; the development of the Company's legal defense strategy and structure in light of the scope of the *Vioxx* Litigation, including the Settlement Agreement and the expectation that certain lawsuits will continue to be pending; the number of cases being brought against the Company; the costs and outcomes of completed trials and the most current information regarding anticipated timing, progression, and related costs of pre-trial activities and trials in the *Vioxx* Litigation. The amount of the *Vioxx* Legal Defense Costs Reserve as of March 31, 2011 represents the Company's best estimate of the minimum amount of defense costs to be incurred in connection with the remaining aspects of the *Vioxx* Litigation; however, events such as additional trials in the *Vioxx* Litigation and other events that could arise in the course of the *Vioxx* Litigation could affect the ultimate amount of defense costs to be incurred by the Company.

The Company will continue to monitor its legal defense costs and review the adequacy of the associated reserves and may determine to increase the *Vioxx* Legal Defense Costs Reserve at any time in the future if, based upon the factors set forth, it believes it would be appropriate to do so.

Other Product Liability Litigation*Fosamax*

As previously disclosed, Old Merck is a defendant in product liability lawsuits in the United States involving *Fosamax* (the *Fosamax* Litigation). As of March 31, 2011, approximately 1,450 cases, which include approximately 1,890 plaintiff groups, had been filed and were pending against Old Merck in either federal or state court, including one case which seeks class action certification, as well as damages and/or medical monitoring. In approximately 1,080 of these actions, plaintiffs allege, among other things, that they have suffered osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ), generally subsequent to invasive dental procedures, such as tooth extraction or dental implants and/or delayed healing, in association with the use of *Fosamax*. In addition, plaintiffs in approximately 365 of these actions generally allege that they sustained femur fractures and/or other bone injuries in association with the use of *Fosamax*.

Cases Alleging ONJ and/or Other Jaw Related Injuries

In August 2006, the JPML ordered that certain *Fosamax* product liability cases pending in federal courts nationwide should be transferred and consolidated into one multidistrict litigation (the *Fosamax* MDL) for coordinated pre-trial proceedings. The *Fosamax* MDL has been transferred to Judge John Keenan in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. As a result of the JPML order, approximately 900 of the cases are before Judge Keenan. Judge Keenan issued a Case Management Order (and various amendments thereto) which set forth a schedule governing the proceedings focused primarily upon resolving the class action certification motions in 2007 and completing fact discovery in an initial group of 25 cases by October 1, 2008. Briefing and argument on plaintiffs motions for certification of medical monitoring classes were completed in 2007 and Judge Keenan issued an order denying the motions on January 3, 2008. In January 2008, Judge Keenan issued a further order dismissing with prejudice all class claims asserted in the first four class action lawsuits filed against Old Merck that sought personal injury damages and/or medical monitoring relief on a class wide basis. *Daubert* motions were filed in May 2009 and Judge Keenan conducted a *Daubert* hearing in July 2009. In July 2009, Judge Keenan issued his ruling on the parties respective *Daubert* motions. The ruling denied the Plaintiff Steering Committee's motion and granted in part and denied in part Old Merck's motion. In the first *Fosamax* MDL trial, *Boles v. Merck*, the *Fosamax* MDL court declared a mistrial because the eight person jury could not reach a unanimous verdict. The *Boles* case was retried in June 2010 and resulted in a verdict in favor of the plaintiff in the amount of \$8 million. Merck filed post-trial motions seeking judgment as a matter of law or, in the alternative, a new trial. On October 4, 2010, the court denied Merck's post-trial

motions but *sua sponte* ordered a remittitur, reducing the verdict to \$1.5 million. Plaintiff rejected the remittitur ordered by the court and requested a new trial on damages. The Company has filed a motion for interlocutory appeal.

In the next *Fosamax* MDL case set for trial, *Maley v. Merck*, the jury in May 2010 returned a unanimous verdict in Merck's favor. On February 1, 2010, Judge Keenan selected a new bellwether case, *Judith Graves v. Merck*, to replace the *Flemings* bellwether case, which the *Fosamax* MDL court dismissed when it granted summary judgment in favor of Old Merck. In November 2010, the Second Circuit affirmed the Court's granting of summary judgment in favor of Old Merck in the *Flemings* case. In *Graves*, the jury returned a unanimous verdict in favor of Old Merck in November 2010.

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)

The next trials scheduled in the *Fosamax* MDL are *Secrest v. Merck*, which was scheduled to begin on March 14, 2011, but has been continued until September 7, 2011, and *Hester v. Merck*, which was scheduled to begin on May 9, 2011, but after Merck filed its motion for summary judgment, plaintiff's counsel dismissed *Hester* with prejudice. On April 27, 2011, Judge Keenan selected *Raber v. Merck* as the case to replace *Hester* and set the trial for *Raber* to begin on November 7, 2011. In addition, Judge Keenan ordered on February 4, 2011 that there will be two further bellwether trials conducted in the *Fosamax* MDL. The cases to be tried and the trial dates for those cases have not yet been determined.

Outside the *Fosamax* MDL, a trial in Florida was scheduled to begin on June 21, 2010 but the Florida state court postponed the trial date and a new date has been set for March 5, 2012.

In addition, in July 2008, an application was made by the Atlantic County Superior Court of New Jersey requesting that all of the *Fosamax* cases pending in New Jersey be considered for mass tort designation and centralized management before one judge in New Jersey. In October 2008, the New Jersey Supreme Court ordered that all pending and future actions filed in New Jersey arising out of the use of *Fosamax* and seeking damages for existing dental and jaw-related injuries, including ONJ, but not solely seeking medical monitoring, be designated as a mass tort for centralized management purposes before Judge Higbee in Atlantic County Superior Court. As of March 31, 2011, approximately 180 ONJ cases were pending against Old Merck in Atlantic County, New Jersey. On July 20, 2009, Judge Higbee entered a Case Management Order (and various amendments thereto) setting forth a schedule that contemplates completing fact and expert discovery in an initial group of cases to be worked up for trial. On February 14, 2011, the jury in *Rosenberg v. Merck*, the first trial in the New Jersey coordinated proceeding, returned a verdict in Merck's favor.

Discovery is ongoing in the *Fosamax* MDL litigation, the New Jersey coordinated proceeding, and the remaining jurisdictions where *Fosamax* cases are pending. The Company intends to defend against these lawsuits.

Cases Alleging Femur Fractures and/or Other Bone Injuries

As of March 31, 2011, approximately 310 cases alleging femur fractures and/or other bone injuries have been filed in New Jersey state court and are pending before Judge Higbee in Atlantic County Superior Court. A Case Management Order setting forth a schedule with respect to the work-up of these cases is expected but has not yet been entered and no trial dates for any of the New Jersey state femur fracture cases has been set.

On March 23, 2011, Merck submitted a Motion to Transfer to the JPML seeking to have all federal cases alleging femur fractures and other bone injuries consolidated into one multidistrict litigation for coordinated pre-trial proceedings. The Motion to Transfer would consolidate 36 existing federal cases and any future cases filed in or removed to federal court. Oral argument on Merck's motion is scheduled for May 16, 2011.

A petition was filed seeking to coordinate all femur fracture cases filed in California state court before a single judge in Orange County, California. It is expected that the petition will be granted and that Judge Ronald L. Bauer will preside over the coordinated proceedings.

Additionally, there are two femur fracture cases pending in other state courts. One case is pending in Massachusetts and one is pending in Florida. Discovery is ongoing in the federal and state courts where femur fracture cases are pending and the Company intends to defend against these lawsuits.

NuvaRing

Beginning in May 2007, a number of complaints were filed in various jurisdictions asserting claims against the Company's subsidiaries Organon USA, Inc., Organon Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Organon International (collectively, Organon), and Schering-Plough arising from Organon's marketing and sale of *NuvaRing*, a combined hormonal contraceptive vaginal ring. The plaintiffs contend that Organon and Schering-Plough failed to adequately warn of the alleged increased risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE) posed by *NuvaRing*, and/or downplayed the risk of VTE. The plaintiffs seek damages for injuries allegedly sustained from their product use, including some alleged deaths, heart attacks and strokes. The majority of the cases are currently pending in a federal multidistrict litigation (the *NuvaRing* MDL) venued in Missouri and in New Jersey state court.

As of March 31, 2011, there were approximately 775 *NuvaRing* cases. Of these cases, 650 are pending in the *NuvaRing* MDL in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri before Judge Rodney Sippel, and 122

are pending in consolidated discovery proceedings in the Bergen County Superior Court of New Jersey before Judge Brian R. Martinotti. Three additional cases are pending in various other state courts.

Pursuant to orders of Judge Sippel in the *NuvaRing* MDL, the parties selected 26 trial pool cases which are the subject of fact discovery. Pursuant to Judge Martinotti's order, the parties selected an additional 10 trial pool cases that are the subject of fact discovery in the New Jersey consolidated proceedings. Based on a revised scheduling order entered in both jurisdictions on September 15, 2010, fact discovery in these trial pool cases will end in June 2011 and expert discovery will end in February 2012. The first trials will then be scheduled in each jurisdiction. The Company intends to defend against these lawsuits.

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)**Commercial Litigation***AWP Litigation and Investigations*

As previously disclosed, the Company and/or certain of its subsidiaries remain defendants in cases brought by various states and certain New York counties alleging manipulation by pharmaceutical manufacturers of Average Wholesale Prices (AWP), which are sometimes used by public and private payors in calculating provider reimbursement levels. The outcome of these lawsuits could include substantial damages, the imposition of substantial fines and penalties and injunctive or administrative remedies. In January 2010, the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts held that a unit of the Company and eight other drug makers overcharged New York City and 42 New York counties for certain generic drugs. The court has reserved the issue of damages and any penalties for future proceedings. In a separate matter, in September 2010, a jury in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts found the Company liable on the ground that units of Schering-Plough caused Massachusetts to overpay pharmacists for prescriptions of albuterol. The District Court recently held that Massachusetts should be awarded approximately \$13.8 million in treble damages and penalties, together with prejudgment interest and attorney's fees. The Company intends to pursue a reversal of the verdict on appeal.

In the period from September 2010 through March 2011, the Company settled certain AWP cases brought by the states of Utah, South Carolina, Alaska, Idaho, Kentucky, Pennsylvania, Mississippi, and Wisconsin. During the same period, the Company and several other manufacturers were named defendants in AWP cases brought by the states of Oklahoma and Louisiana. Accordingly, the Company and/or certain of its subsidiaries continue to be defendants in cases brought by 13 states and the New York counties.

Centocor Distribution Agreement

On May 27, 2009, Centocor, a wholly owned subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson, delivered to Schering-Plough a notice initiating an arbitration proceeding to resolve whether, as a result of the Merger, Centocor is permitted to terminate the Company's rights to distribute and commercialize *Remicade* and *Simponi*. On April 15, 2011, the Company announced that it had settled the arbitration. Under the terms of the amended distribution agreement, Merck's subsidiary, Schering-Plough (Ireland) will relinquish exclusive marketing rights for *Remicade* and *Simponi* to Johnson & Johnson's Janssen pharmaceutical companies in territories including Canada, Central and South America, the Middle East, Africa and Asia Pacific (relinquished territories), effective July 1, 2011. Merck will retain exclusive marketing rights throughout Europe, Russia and Turkey (retained territories). The retained territories represent approximately 70% of Merck's 2010 revenue of approximately \$2.8 billion from *Remicade* and *Simponi*, while the relinquished territories represent approximately 30%. In addition, all profit derived from Merck's exclusive distribution of the two products in the retained territories will be equally divided between Merck and Johnson & Johnson, beginning July 1, 2011. Under the prior terms of the distribution agreement, the contribution income (profit) split, which is currently at 58% to Merck and 42% to Centocor Ortho Biotech Inc., would have declined for Merck and increased for Johnson & Johnson each year until 2014, when it would have been equally divided. Johnson & Johnson also received a one-time payment from Merck of \$500 million in April 2011.

Patent Litigation

From time to time, generic manufacturers of pharmaceutical products file Abbreviated New Drug Applications (ANDAs) with the FDA seeking to market generic forms of the Company's products prior to the expiration of relevant patents owned by the Company. To protect its patent rights the Company may file patent infringement lawsuits against such generic companies. Certain products of the Company (or marketed via agreements with other companies) currently involved in such patent infringement litigation in the United States include: *Cancidas*, *Integrilin*, *Nasonex*, *Nexium*, *Propecia*, *Temodar*, *Vytorin* and *Zetia*. Similar lawsuits defending the Company's patent rights may exist in other countries. The Company intends to vigorously defend its patents, which it believes are valid, against infringement by generic companies attempting to market products prior to the expiration of such patents. As with any litigation, there can be no assurance of the outcomes, which, if adverse, could result in significantly shortened periods of exclusivity for these products.

Cancidas In November 2009, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Teva Parenteral Medicines, Inc. (TPM) in respect of TPM's application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic

version of *Cancidas*. That lawsuit has been dismissed with no rights granted to TPM. Also, in March 2010, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Sandoz Inc. (*Sandoz*) in respect of Sandoz's application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Cancidas*. The lawsuit automatically stays FDA approval of Sandoz's application until August 24, 2012 or until an adverse court decision, if any, whichever may occur earlier.

Integrilin In February 2009, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed (jointly with Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (*Millennium*)) in the United States against TPM in respect of TPM's application to the FDA seeking approval to sell a generic version of *Integrilin* prior to the expiry of the last to expire listed patent. As TPM did not challenge certain patents that will not expire until November 2014, FDA approval of the TPM application cannot occur any earlier than November 2014, however, it could be later in the event of a favorable decision in the lawsuit for the Company and Millennium.

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)

Nasonex In December 2009, a patent infringement suit was filed in the United States against Apotex Corp. (Apotex) in respect of Apotex s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to market a generic version of *Nasonex*. The lawsuit automatically stays FDA approval of Apotex s ANDA until May 2012 or until an adverse court decision, if any, whichever may occur earlier.

Nexium In November 2005, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed (jointly with AstraZeneca) in the United States against Ranbaxy Laboratories Ltd. (Ranbaxy) in respect of Ranbaxy s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Nexium*. As previously disclosed, AstraZeneca, Merck and Ranbaxy entered into a settlement agreement which provided that Ranbaxy would be entitled to bring its generic esomeprazole product to market in the United States on May 27, 2014. The Company and AstraZeneca each received a Civil Investigative Demand (CID) from the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) in July 2008 regarding the settlement agreement with Ranbaxy. The Company is cooperating with the FTC in responding to this CID. In March 2006, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed (jointly with AstraZeneca) against IVAX Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (IVAX) (later acquired by Teva Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Teva)), in respect of IVAX s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Nexium*. In January 2010, AstraZeneca, Merck and Teva/IVAX entered into a settlement agreement which provides that Teva/IVAX would be entitled to bring its generic esomeprazole product to market in the United States on May 27, 2014. Patent infringement lawsuits have also been filed in the United States against Dr. Reddy s Laboratories (Dr. Reddy s), Sandoz and Lupin Ltd. (Lupin) in respect to their respective applications to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell generic versions of *Nexium*. In January 2011, AstraZeneca, Merck and Dr. Reddy s entered into a settlement agreement which provides that Dr. Reddy s would be entitled to bring its generic esomeprazole product to market in the United States on May 27, 2014. The lawsuits against Sandoz and Lupin are ongoing with no trial dates presently scheduled. In February 2011, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed (jointly with AstraZeneca) in the United States against Hamni USA, Inc. (Hamni) in respect of Hamni s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Nexium*. A patent infringement lawsuit was also filed (jointly with AstraZeneca) in February 2010 in the United States against Sun Pharma Global Fze in respect of its application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Nexium* IV.

Propecia In December 2010, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Hetero Drugs Limited (Hetero) in respect of Hetero s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Propecia*. In March 2011, the Company settled this lawsuit with Hetero by agreeing to allow Hetero to sell a generic 1 mg finasteride product beginning on July 1, 2013.

Temodar In July 2007, a patent infringement action was filed (jointly with Cancer Research Technologies, Limited (CRT)) in the United States against Barr Laboratories (Barr) (later acquired by Teva) in respect of Barr s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Temodar*. In January 2010, the court issued a decision finding the CRT patent unenforceable on grounds of prosecution laches and inequitable conduct. In November 2010, the appeals court issued a decision reversing the trial court s finding. In December 2010, Barr filed a petition seeking a rehearing *en banc* of the appeal, which petition was denied. By virtue of an agreement that Barr not launch a product during the appeal process, the Company has agreed that Barr can launch a product in August 2013.

In September 2010, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed (jointly with CRT) in the United States against Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Inc. (Sun) in respect of Sun s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Temodar*. The lawsuit automatically stays FDA approval of Sun s ANDA until February 2013 or until an adverse court decision, if any, whichever may occur earlier. In November 2010, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed (jointly with CRT) in the United States against Accord HealthCare Inc. (Accord) in respect of its application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Temodar*. The Company, CRT and Accord have entered an agreement to stay the lawsuit pending the outcome of the appeal *en banc* process in the Barr lawsuit.

Vytorin In December 2009, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Mylan) in respect of Mylan s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Vytorin*. The lawsuit automatically stays FDA approval of Mylan s application until May 2012 or

until an adverse court decision, if any, whichever may occur earlier. In February 2010, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Teva in respect of Teva's application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Vytorin*. The lawsuit automatically stays FDA approval of Teva's application until August 2013 or until an adverse court decision, if any, whichever may occur earlier. In August 2010, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Impax Laboratories Inc. (Impax) in respect of Impax's application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Vytorin*. An agreement was reached with Impax to stay the lawsuit pending the outcome of the lawsuit with Mylan.

- 21 -

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)

Zetia In March 2007, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Inc., USA and its parent corporation (collectively, Glenmark) in respect of Glenmark's application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Zetia*. In May 2010, Glenmark agreed to a settlement by virtue of which Glenmark will be permitted to launch its generic product in the United States on December 12, 2016, subject to receiving final FDA approval. In June 2010, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Mylan in respect of Mylan's application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Zetia*. The lawsuit automatically stays FDA approval of Mylan's application until December 2012 or until an adverse court decision, if any, whichever may occur earlier. In September 2010, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Teva in respect of Teva's application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Zetia*. The lawsuit automatically stays FDA approval of Teva's application until January 2013 or until an adverse court decision, if any, whichever may occur earlier.

In September 2008, a lawsuit was filed in the Federal Court of Canada against Teva seeking an order of prohibition of Teva's application seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Ezetrol* (marketed in the United States as *Zetia*) in Canada. Teva responded asserting that the patent was invalid. In September 2010, the Federal Court of Canada issued a decision upholding the validity of the Company's Canadian *Ezetrol* patent. This decision was not appealed. In August 2010, a lawsuit was filed in the Federal Court of Canada against Mylan seeking an order of prohibition of Mylan's application seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Ezetrol* in Canada. In December 2010, Mylan withdrew its application for product approval prior to patent expiration in September 2014 and the subject lawsuit was withdrawn.

Environmental Matters

As previously disclosed, approximately 2,200 plaintiffs have filed an amended complaint against Old Merck and 12 other defendants in U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California asserting claims under the Clean Water Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as well as negligence and nuisance. The suit seeks damages for personal injury, diminution of property value, medical monitoring and other alleged real and personal property damage associated with groundwater and soil contamination found at the site of a former Old Merck subsidiary in Merced, California. Certain of the other defendants in this suit have settled with plaintiffs regarding some or all aspects of plaintiffs' claims. This lawsuit is proceeding in a phased manner. A jury trial commenced in February 2011 during which a jury was asked to make certain factual findings regarding whether contamination moved off-site to any areas where plaintiffs could have been exposed to such contamination and, if so, when, where and in what amounts. Defendants in this Phase 1 trial include Old Merck and three of the other original 12 defendants. On March 31, 2011, the Phase 1 jury returned a mixed verdict, finding in favor of Old Merck and the other defendants as to some, but not all, of plaintiffs' claims. Specifically, the jury found that contamination from the site did not enter or affect plaintiffs' municipal water supply wells or any private domestic wells. The jury found, however, that plaintiffs could have been exposed to contamination via air emissions prior to 1994, as well as via surface water in the form of storm drainage channeled into an adjacent irrigation canal, including during a flood in April 2006. Old Merck will file motions requesting that the court set aside those portions of the jury's verdict that are adverse to Old Merck on the basis that those portions of the verdict are unsupported by the evidence and contrary to established legal principles. If necessary, Old Merck will seek to appeal, prior to commencement of any later phases of the litigation, those portions of the jury's verdict adverse to Old Merck that are not set aside by the trial court. In the event the Phase 1 jury's findings in favor of plaintiffs are not set aside by the trial court or on appeal, it is anticipated that later phases of the litigation would be required to address issues related to liability, causation and damages related to specific plaintiffs.

Other Litigation

There are various other legal proceedings, principally product liability and intellectual property suits involving the Company, that are pending. While it is not feasible to predict the outcome of such proceedings or the proceedings discussed in this Note, in the opinion of the Company, all such proceedings should not ultimately result in any liability that would have a material adverse effect on the financial position, liquidity or results of operations of the Company, other than proceedings for which a separate assessment is provided in this Note.

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)**10. Equity**

(\$ in millions)	Common Stock		Other Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss		Treasury Stock		Non- Controlling Interests	Total
	Shares	Par Value			Shares	Cost				
Balance January 1, 2010	3,563	\$ 1,781	\$ 39,683	\$ 41,405	\$ (2,767)	454	\$ (21,044)	\$ 2,427	\$ 61,485	
Net income attributable to Merck & Co., Inc.				299					299	
Cash dividends declared on common stock				(1,193)					(1,193)	
Share-based compensation plans and other	9	5	514						519	
Other comprehensive loss					(805)				(805)	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests								31	31	
Distributions attributable to noncontrolling interests								(1)	(1)	
Balance March 31, 2010	3,572	\$ 1,786	\$ 40,197	\$ 40,511	\$ (3,572)	454	\$ (21,044)	\$ 2,457	\$ 60,335	
Balance January 1, 2011	3,577	\$ 1,788	\$ 40,701	\$ 37,536	\$ (3,216)	495	\$ (22,433)	\$ 2,429	\$ 56,805	
Net income attributable to Merck & Co., Inc.				1,043					1,043	
Cash dividends declared on common stock				(1,179)					(1,179)	
Share-based compensation plans and other			(11)			(3)	109		98	

Other comprehensive income						46				46
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests									28	28
Distributions attributable to noncontrolling interests									(2)	(2)
Balance										
March 31, 2011	3,577	\$ 1,788	\$ 40,690	\$ 37,400	\$ (3,170)	492	\$ (22,324)	\$ 2,455		\$ 56,839

In connection with the 1998 restructuring of Astra Merck Inc., the Company assumed \$2.4 billion par value preferred stock with a dividend rate of 5% per annum, which is carried by KBI and included in *Noncontrolling interests* on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. If AstraZeneca exercises the Shares Option (see Note 8) this preferred stock obligation will be settled.

The accumulated balances related to each component of other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes, were as follows:

<i>(\$ in millions)</i>	Derivatives	Investments	Employee Benefit Plans	Cumulative Translation Adjustment	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Balance January 1, 2010	\$ (42)	\$ 33	\$ (2,469)	\$ (289)	\$ (2,767)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	68	(6)	59	(926)	(805)
Balance at March 31, 2010	\$ 26	\$ 27	\$ (2,410)	\$ (1,215)	\$ (3,572)
Balance January 1, 2011	\$ 41	\$ 31	\$ (2,043)	\$ (1,245)	\$ (3,216)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(107)	(1)	18	136	46
Balance at March 31, 2011	\$ (66)	\$ 30	\$ (2,025)	\$ (1,109)	\$ (3,170)

Comprehensive income (loss) was \$1.1 billion and \$(506) million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Included in the cumulative translation adjustment are pretax (losses) gains of \$(149) million and \$234 million for the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively, from euro-denominated notes which have been designated as, and are effective as, economic hedges of the net investment in a foreign operation.

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)**11. Share-Based Compensation Plans**

The Company has share-based compensation plans under which employees and non-employee directors may be granted restricted stock units (RSUs). In addition, the Company grants options to purchase shares of Company common stock at the fair market value at the time of grant and performance share units (PSUs) to certain management-level employees. The Company recognizes the fair value of share-based compensation in net income on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period.

The following table provides amounts of share-based compensation cost recorded in the Consolidated Statement of Income:

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Pretax share-based compensation expense	\$ 93	\$ 132
Income tax benefit	(32)	(45)
Total share-based compensation expense, net of taxes	\$ 61	\$ 87

During the first three months of 2011 and 2010, the Company granted 221 thousand RSUs with a weighted-average grant price of \$33.27 per RSU and 1.0 million RSUs with a weighted-average grant price of \$37.49 per RSU, respectively.

During the first three months of 2011 and 2010, the Company granted 25 thousand options with a weighted-average grant price of \$33.27 per option and 1.3 million options with a weighted-average grant price of \$37.49 per option, respectively. The weighted average fair value of options granted for the first three months of 2011 and 2010 was \$5.96 and \$6.68 per option, respectively, and was determined using the following assumptions:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Expected dividend yield	4.2%	4.1%
Risk-free interest rate	3.1%	2.7%
Expected volatility	26.0%	26.8%
Expected life (years)	7.0	6.0

At March 31, 2011, there was \$649 million of total pretax unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested stock options, RSU and PSU awards which will be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.3 years. For segment reporting, share-based compensation costs are unallocated expenses.

12. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

The Company has defined benefit pension plans covering eligible employees in the United States and in certain of its international subsidiaries. The net cost of such plans consisted of the following components:

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 152	\$ 154
Interest cost	179	177

Edgar Filing: Merck & Co. Inc. - Form 10-Q

Expected return on plan assets	(243)	(217)
Net amortization	45	45
Termination benefits	10	19
Curtailements	(4)	(36)
Settlements	(1)	(1)
	\$ 138	\$ 141

- 24 -

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)

The Company provides medical, dental and life insurance benefits, principally to its eligible U.S. retirees and similar benefits to their dependents, through its other postretirement benefit plans. The net cost of such plans consisted of the following components:

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 28	\$ 26
Interest cost	36	38
Expected return on plan assets	(35)	(32)
Net amortization	(3)	2
Termination benefits	2	20
Curtailments	1	
	\$ 29	\$ 54

In connection with restructuring actions (see Note 2), termination charges for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 were recorded on pension and other postretirement benefit plans related to expanded eligibility for certain employees exiting Merck. Also, in connection with these restructuring actions, curtailments were recorded on pension and other postretirement benefit plans and settlements were recorded on pension plans as reflected in the tables above.

13. Other (Income) Expense, Net

Other (income) expense, net, consisted of:

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Interest income	\$ (41)	\$ (12)
Interest expense	186	181
Exchange losses	42	80
Other, net	435	(82)
	\$ 622	\$ 167

Other, net (as presented in the table above) for the first quarter of 2011 reflects a \$500 million charge related to the resolution of the arbitration proceeding involving the Company's rights to market *Remicade* and *Simponi* (see Note 9 to the interim consolidated financial statements), as well as a \$134 million gain on the sale of certain manufacturing facilities and related assets. Other, net for the first quarter of 2010 reflects \$102 million of income recognized on the settlement of certain disputed royalties. Exchange losses for the first quarter of 2011 declined as compared with the first quarter of 2010 primarily driven by a Venezuelan currency devaluation in the first quarter of 2010 resulting in the recognition of \$80 million of exchange losses. Effective January 11, 2010, the Venezuelan government devalued its currency from at BsF 2.15 per U.S. dollar to a two-tiered official exchange rate at (1) the essentials rate at BsF 2.60 per U.S. dollar and (2) the non-essentials rate at BsF 4.30 per U.S. dollar. In January 2010, the Company was required to remeasure its local currency operations in Venezuela to U.S. dollars as the Venezuelan economy was determined to be hyperinflationary. Throughout 2010, the Company settled its transactions at the essentials rate and therefore remeasured monetary assets and liabilities using the essentials rate. In December 2010, the Venezuelan government

announced it would eliminate the essentials rate and effective January 1, 2011, all transactions would be settled at the official rate of at BsF 4.30 per U.S. dollar. As a result of this announcement, the Company remeasured its December 31, 2010 monetary assets and liabilities at the new official rate. Interest paid for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$144 million and \$129 million, respectively, which excludes commitment fees.

- 25 -

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)**14. Taxes on Income**

The effective tax rate of 38.1% for the first quarter of 2011 reflects the impacts of purchase accounting adjustments, restructuring costs and the \$500 million charge related to the resolution of the arbitration proceeding with Johnson & Johnson, which collectively had a 24% unfavorable impact as compared with the statutory rate. The effective tax rate was also affected by the beneficial impact of foreign earnings. The effective tax rate of 46.4% for the first quarter of 2010 reflects the impact of a \$147 million charge associated with a change in tax law that requires taxation of the prescription drug subsidy of the Company's retiree health benefit plans which was enacted in the first quarter of 2010 as part of U.S. health care reform legislation, as well as the impacts of purchase accounting adjustments and restructuring charges.

The Company and Old Merck are both under examination by numerous tax authorities in various jurisdictions globally.

In 2010, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) finalized its examination of Schering-Plough's 2003-2006 tax years. In this audit cycle, the Company reached an agreement with the IRS on an adjustment to income related to intercompany pricing matters. This income adjustment mostly reduced net operating losses (NOLs) and other tax credit carryforwards. Additionally, the Company is seeking resolution of one issue raised during this examination through the IRS administrative appeals process. The Company's reserves for uncertain tax positions were adequate to cover all adjustments related to this examination period. The IRS began its examination of the 2007-2009 tax years for the Company in 2010.

As previously disclosed, the Company believes that it is reasonably possible that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2010 could decrease by up to \$2.0 billion in 2011 for both the Company and Old Merck as a result of various audit closures, including the IRS audit discussed below, settlements or the expiration of the statute of limitations. The ultimate finalization of the Company's examinations with relevant taxing authorities can include formal administrative and legal proceedings, which could have a significant impact on the timing of the reversal of unrecognized tax benefits. The Company believes that its reserves for uncertain tax positions are adequate to cover any risks or exposures.

In April 2011, the IRS concluded its examination of Old Merck's 2002-2005 federal income tax returns and as a result the Company was required to make net payments of approximately \$465 million. The Company's unrecognized tax benefits for the years under examination exceed the adjustments related to this examination period and therefore the Company anticipates that a potentially significant non-cash favorable financial statement impact from this resolution will be recorded in the second quarter. The Company disagrees with the IRS treatment of one issue raised during this examination and is appealing the matter through the IRS administrative process.

As previously disclosed, the Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) has proposed adjustments for 1999 and 2000 relating to intercompany pricing matters. The adjustments would increase Canadian tax due by approximately \$325 million (U.S. dollars) plus approximately \$360 million (U.S. dollars) of interest through March 31, 2011. The Company disagrees with the positions taken by the CRA and believes they are without merit. The Company continues to contest the assessments through the CRA appeals process. The CRA is expected to prepare similar adjustments for later years. Management believes that resolution of these matters will not have a material effect on the Company's financial position or liquidity.

In October 2001, IRS auditors asserted that two interest rate swaps that Schering-Plough entered into with an unrelated party should be re-characterized as loans from affiliated companies, resulting in additional tax liability for the 1991 and 1992 tax years. In September 2004, Schering-Plough made payments to the IRS in the amount of \$194 million for income taxes and \$279 million for interest. The Company's tax reserves were adequate to cover these payments. Schering-Plough filed refund claims for the taxes and interest with the IRS in December 2004. Following the IRS's denial of Schering-Plough's claims for a refund, Schering-Plough filed suit in May 2005 in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey for refund of the full amount of taxes and interest. A decision in favor of the government was announced in August 2009. The Company's appeal of the decision was heard by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit on March 24, 2011. The Company is awaiting a decision on the appeal.

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)**15. Earnings Per Share**

The Company calculates earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method, which is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for common stock and participating securities according to dividends declared and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Under this method, all earnings (distributed and undistributed) are allocated to common shares and participating securities based on their respective rights to receive dividends. RSUs and certain PSUs granted before December 31, 2009 to certain management level employees participate in dividends on the same basis as common shares and such dividends are nonforfeitable by the holder. As a result, these RSUs and PSUs meet the definition of a participating security. For RSUs and PSUs issued on or after January 1, 2010, dividends declared during the vesting period are payable to the employees only upon vesting and therefore such RSUs and PSUs do not meet the definition of a participating security.

The calculations of earnings per share under the two-class method are as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
<i>Basic Earnings per Common Share</i>		
Net income attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. common shareholders	\$ 1,043	\$ 299
Less: Income allocated to participating securities	3	1
Net income allocated to common shareholders	\$ 1,040	\$ 298
Average common shares outstanding	3,084	3,114
	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.10
<i>Earnings per Common Share Assuming Dilution</i>		
Net income attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. common shareholders	\$ 1,043	\$ 299
Less: Income allocated to participating securities	3	1
Net income allocated to common shareholders	\$ 1,040	\$ 298
Average common shares outstanding	3,084	3,114
Common shares issuable ⁽¹⁾	20	27
Average common shares outstanding assuming dilution	3,104	3,141
	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.09

⁽¹⁾ Issuable primarily under share-based compensation plans.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, 185 million and 182 million, respectively, of common shares issuable under share-based compensation plans were excluded from the computation of earnings per common share assuming dilution because the effect would have been antidilutive.

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)**16. Segment Reporting**

The Company's operations are principally managed on a products basis and are comprised of four operating segments—Pharmaceutical, Animal Health, Consumer Care and Alliances (which includes revenue and equity income from the Company's relationship with AZLP). The Animal Health, Consumer Care and Alliances segments are not material for separate reporting and are included in all other in the table below. The Pharmaceutical segment includes human health pharmaceutical and vaccine products marketed either directly by the Company or through joint ventures. Human health pharmaceutical products consist of therapeutic and preventive agents, generally sold by prescription, for the treatment of human disorders. The Company sells these human health pharmaceutical products primarily to drug wholesalers and retailers, hospitals, government agencies and managed health care providers such as health maintenance organizations, pharmacy benefit managers and other institutions. Vaccine products consist of preventive pediatric, adolescent and adult vaccines, primarily administered at physician offices. The Company sells these human health vaccines primarily to physicians, wholesalers, physician distributors and government entities. A large component of pediatric and adolescent vaccines is sold to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Vaccines for Children program, which is funded by the U.S. government. Additionally, the Company sells vaccines to the Federal government for placement into vaccine stockpiles. The Company also has animal health operations that discover, develop, manufacture and market animal health products, including vaccines, which the Company sells to veterinarians, distributors and animal producers. Additionally, the Company has consumer care operations that develop, manufacture and market over-the-counter, foot care and sun care products, which are sold through wholesale and retail drug, food chain and mass merchandiser outlets. Segment composition reflects certain managerial changes that have been implemented. Consumer Care product sales outside the United States and Canada, previously included in the Pharmaceutical segment, are now included in the Consumer Care segment. Segment disclosures for prior periods have been recast on a comparable basis with 2011.

Revenues and profits for these segments are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Segment revenues:		
Pharmaceutical segment	\$ 9,820	\$ 9,665
All other segment revenues	1,609	1,570
	\$ 11,429	\$ 11,235
Segment profits:		
Pharmaceutical segment	\$ 6,216	\$ 5,740
All other segment profits	716	720
	\$ 6,932	\$ 6,460

Segment profits are comprised of segment revenues less certain elements of materials and production costs and operating expenses, including components of equity income or loss from affiliates and depreciation and amortization expenses. For internal management reporting presented to the chief operating decision maker, Merck does not allocate production costs, other than standard costs, research and development expenses or general and administrative expenses, nor the cost of financing these activities. Separate divisions maintain responsibility for monitoring and managing these costs, including depreciation related to fixed assets utilized by these divisions and, therefore, they are not included in segment profits.

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)** (continued)

Sales of the Company's products were as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Pharmaceutical:		
<i>Cardiovascular</i>		
Zetia	\$ 582	\$ 534
Vytorin	480	477
Integrilin	64	70
<i>Diabetes and Obesity</i>		
Januvia	739	511
Janumet	305	201
<i>Diversified Brands</i>		
Cozaar/Hyzaar	426	782
Zocor	127	116
Claritin Rx	120	98
Propecia	106	100
Proscar	60	58
Remeron	60	51
Vasotec/Vaseretic	57	59
<i>Infectious Disease</i>		
Isentress	292	232
PegIntron	166	186
Cancidas	158	153
Primaxin	136	159
Avelox	106	106
Invanz	87	75
Noxafil	55	49
Rebetol	53	56
Crixivan/Stocrin	45	52
<i>Neurosciences and Ophthalmology</i>		
Maxalt	173	135
Cosopt/Trusopt	114	115
<i>Oncology</i>		
Temodar	248	274
Emend	87	84
Intron A	49	54
<i>Respiratory and Immunology</i>		
Singulair	1,328	1,165
Remicade	753	674

Nasonex	373	320
Clarinox	155	164
Arcoxia	114	95
Asmanex	60	51
Simponi	54	10
Proventil	42	57
Dulera	13	
<i>Vaccines</i> ⁽¹⁾		
ProQuad/M-M-R II/Varivax	244	319
Gardasil	214	233
RotaTeq	125	93
Pneumovax	79	51
Zostavax	24	95
<i>Women's Health and Endocrine</i>		
Fosamax	208	230
NuvaRing	142	135
Follistim AQ	133	134
Implanon	60	51
Cerazette	59	55
Other pharmaceutical ⁽²⁾	745	946
Total Pharmaceutical segment sales	9,820	9,665
Other segment sales ⁽³⁾	1,609	1,570
Total segment sales	11,429	11,235
Other ⁽⁴⁾	151	187
	\$ 11,580	\$ 11,422

⁽¹⁾ *These amounts do not reflect sales of vaccines sold in most major European markets through the Company's joint venture, Sanofi Pasteur MSD, the results of which are reflected in Equity income from affiliates. These amounts do, however, reflect supply sales to Sanofi Pasteur MSD.*

⁽²⁾ *Other pharmaceutical primarily includes sales of other human pharmaceutical products, including products within the franchises not listed separately.*

⁽³⁾ *Reflects other non-reportable segments, including Animal Health and Consumer Care, and revenue from the Company's relationship with AZLP primarily relating to sales of Nexium, as well as Prilosec. Revenue from AZLP was \$322 million and \$364 million for the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively.*

⁽⁴⁾ *Other revenues are primarily comprised of miscellaneous corporate revenues, third-party manufacturing sales, sales related to divested products or businesses and other supply sales not included in segment results.*

Table of ContentsNotes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

A reconciliation of segment profits to *Income before taxes* is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Segment profits	\$ 6,932	\$ 6,460
Other profits (losses)	(23)	12
Adjustments	219	124
Unallocated:		
Interest income	41	12
Interest expense	(186)	(181)
Equity income from affiliates	54	47
Depreciation and amortization	(572)	(500)
Research and development	(2,158)	(2,051)
Amortization of purchase accounting adjustments	(1,580)	(2,374)
Restructuring costs	14	(288)
Arbitration settlement charge	(500)	
Other expenses, net	(512)	(645)
	\$ 1,729	\$ 616

Other profits (losses) are primarily comprised of miscellaneous corporate profits (losses), as well as operating profits (losses) related to third-party manufacturing sales, divested products or businesses and other supply sales. Adjustments represent the elimination of the effect of double counting certain items of income and expense. Equity income from affiliates includes taxes paid at the joint venture level and a portion of equity income that is not reported in segment profits. Other expenses, net include expenses from corporate and manufacturing cost centers and other miscellaneous income (expense), net.

- 30 -

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations****Merger**

On November 3, 2009, Merck & Co., Inc. (Old Merck) and Schering-Plough Corporation (Schering-Plough) completed their previously-announced merger (the Merger). In the Merger, Schering-Plough acquired all of the shares of Old Merck, which became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Schering-Plough and was renamed Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. Schering-Plough continued as the surviving public company and was renamed Merck & Co., Inc. (New Merck or the Company). However, for accounting purposes only, the Merger was treated as an acquisition with Old Merck considered the accounting acquirer. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statements reflect Old Merck's stand-alone operations as they existed prior to the completion of the Merger. References in this report and in the accompanying financial statements to Merck for periods prior to the Merger refer to Old Merck and for periods after the completion of the Merger to New Merck.

Arbitration Settlement

In April 2011, Merck and Johnson & Johnson reached agreement to amend the distribution rights to *Remicade* and *Simponi*. This agreement concluded the arbitration proceeding Johnson & Johnson initiated in May 2009, requesting a ruling related to the distribution agreement following the announcement of the proposed merger between Merck and Schering-Plough. Under the terms of the amended distribution agreement, Merck will relinquish exclusive marketing rights for *Remicade* and *Simponi* to Johnson & Johnson in territories including Canada, Central and South America, the Middle East, Africa and Asia Pacific effective July 1, 2011. Merck will retain exclusive marketing rights throughout Europe, Russia and Turkey (retained territories). The retained territories represent approximately 70% of Merck's 2010 revenue of approximately \$2.8 billion from *Remicade* and *Simponi*. In addition, all profit derived from Merck's exclusive distribution of the two products in the retained territories will be equally divided between Merck and Johnson & Johnson, beginning July 1, 2011. Under the prior terms of the distribution agreement, the contribution income (profit) split, which is currently at 58% to Merck and 42% percent to Johnson & Johnson, would have declined for Merck and increased for Johnson & Johnson each year until 2014, when it would have been equally divided. Johnson & Johnson also received a one-time payment from Merck of \$500 million in April 2011.

U.S. Health Care Reform Legislation

In 2010, the United States enacted major health care reform legislation. Various insurance market reforms began last year and will continue through full implementation in 2014. The new law is expected to expand access to health care to more than 32 million Americans by the end of the decade that did not previously have regular access to health care.

With respect to the effect of the law on the pharmaceutical industry, beginning in 2010, the law increased the mandated Medicaid rebate from 15.1% to 23.1%, expanded the rebate to Medicaid managed care utilization, and increased the types of entities eligible for the federal 340B drug discount program. The implementation of these provisions reduced revenues by approximately \$40 million and \$33 million in the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Effective in 2011, the law also requires pharmaceutical manufacturers to pay a 50% discount on Medicare Part D utilization by beneficiaries when they are in the Medicare Part D coverage gap (i.e., the so-called "donut hole"). Approximately \$34 million was recorded as a reduction to revenue in the first quarter of 2011 related to the estimated impact of this provision of health care reform.

Also, beginning in 2011, pharmaceutical manufacturers will be required to pay an annual health care reform fee. The total annual industry fee, which will be \$2.5 billion in 2011, will be assessed on each company in proportion to its share of sales to certain government programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid. The Company's portion of the annual fee is payable no later than September 30 of the applicable calendar year and is not tax deductible. The liability related to the annual fee for 2011 was estimated by the Company to be \$167 million and was recorded in full during the first quarter of 2011 with a corresponding offset to a deferred asset. The deferred asset is being amortized to *Marketing and administrative* expense during 2011 on a straight-line basis, therefore \$42 million of expense was recognized in the first quarter.

Table of Contents

Acquisition

In April 2011, Merck entered into a definitive agreement under which Merck will acquire Inspire Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Inspire), a specialty pharmaceutical company focused on developing and commercializing ophthalmic products. Under the terms of the agreement, Merck commenced a tender offer for all outstanding common stock of Inspire at a price of \$5.00 per share in cash. The transaction has a total cash value of approximately \$430 million. The transaction has been unanimously approved by the boards of directors of both companies and Inspire's board recommended that the company's shareholders tender their shares pursuant to the tender offer. In addition, Warburg Pincus Private Equity IX, L.P., which owns approximately 28% of the outstanding shares of Inspire, has agreed to tender all of its shares into the offer. The closing of the tender offer will be subject to certain conditions, including the tender of a number of Inspire shares that, together with shares owned by Merck, represent at least a majority of the total number of Inspire's outstanding shares (assuming the exercise of all options and vesting of restricted stock units), and customary closing conditions. Upon the completion of the tender offer, Merck will acquire all remaining shares of Inspire through a second-step merger. The Company anticipates the transaction will close in the second quarter of 2011.

Operating Results

Segment composition reflects certain managerial changes that have been implemented. Consumer Care product sales outside the United States and Canada, previously included in the Pharmaceutical segment, are now included in the Consumer Care segment. Segment disclosures for prior periods have been recast on a comparable basis with 2011.

Sales

Worldwide sales were \$11.6 billion for the first quarter of 2011, an increase of 1% compared with the first quarter of 2010. The revenue increase largely reflects higher sales of *Januvia* and *Janumet*, *Singulair*, *Remicade*, *Isentress*, *Nasonex*, *Zetia*, and *Simponi*, as well as growth in sales of the Company's animal health and consumer care products. These increases were partially offset by lower sales of *Cozaar** and *Hyzaar** which lost patent protection in the United States in April 2010 and in a number of major European markets in March 2010. Revenue was also negatively affected by lower sales of *Varivax*, *Zostavax*, and the products *Caelyx* and *Subutex/Suboxone* for which the Company no longer has marketing rights. In addition, revenue for the first quarter of 2011 reflects lower revenue from the Company's relationship with AstraZeneca LP (AZLP).

* *Cozaar* and *Hyzaar* are registered trademarks of E.I. duPont de Nemours & Company, Wilmington, Delaware.

Table of Contents

Sales of the Company's products were as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended	
	2011	March 31, 2010
Pharmaceutical:		
<i>Cardiovascular</i>		
Zetia	\$ 582	\$ 534
Vytorin	480	477
Integrilin	64	70
<i>Diabetes and Obesity</i>		
Januvia	739	511
Janumet	305	201
<i>Diversified Brands</i>		
Cozaar/Hyzaar	426	782
Zocor	127	116
Claritin Rx	120	98
Propecia	106	100
Proscar	60	58
Remeron	60	51
Vasotec/Vaseretic	57	59
<i>Infectious Disease</i>		
Isentress	292	232
PegIntron	166	186
Cancidas	158	153
Primaxin	136	159
Avelox	106	106
Invanz	87	75
Noxafil	55	49
Rebetol	53	56
Crixivan/Stocrin	45	52
<i>Neurosciences and Ophthalmology</i>		
Maxalt	173	135
Cosopt/Trusopt	114	115
<i>Oncology</i>		
Temodar	248	274
Emend	87	84
Intron A	49	54
<i>Respiratory and Immunology</i>		
Singular	1,328	1,165
Remicade	753	674
Nasonex	373	320
Clarinex	155	164
Arcoxia	114	95
Asmanex	60	51
Simponi	54	10
Proventil	42	57
Dulera	13	

<i>Vaccines</i> ⁽¹⁾		
ProQuad/M-M-R II/Varivax	244	319
Gardasil	214	233
RotaTeq	125	93
Pneumovax	79	51
Zostavax	24	95
<i>Women's Health and Endocrine</i>		
Fosamax	208	230
NuvaRing	142	135
Follistim AQ	133	134
Implanon	60	51
Cerazette	59	55
Other pharmaceutical ⁽²⁾	745	946
Total Pharmaceutical segment sales	9,820	9,665
Other segment sales ⁽³⁾	1,609	1,570
Total segment sales	11,429	11,235
Other ⁽⁴⁾	151	187
	\$ 11,580	\$ 11,422

⁽¹⁾ *These amounts do not reflect sales of vaccines sold in most major European markets through the Company's joint venture, Sanofi Pasteur MSD, the results of which are reflected in Equity income from affiliates. These amounts do, however, reflect supply sales to Sanofi Pasteur MSD.*

⁽²⁾ *Other pharmaceutical primarily includes sales of other human pharmaceutical products, including products within the franchises not listed separately.*

⁽³⁾ *Reflects other non-reportable segments, including Animal Health and Consumer Care, and revenue from the Company's relationship with AZLP primarily relating to sales of Nexium, as well as Prilosec. Revenue from AZLP was \$322 million and \$364 million for the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively.*

⁽⁴⁾ *Other revenues are primarily comprised of miscellaneous corporate revenues, third-party manufacturing sales, sales related to divested products or businesses and other supply sales not included in segment results.*

Table of Contents

The provision for discounts includes indirect customer discounts that occur when a contracted customer purchases directly through an intermediary wholesale purchaser, known as chargebacks, as well as indirectly in the form of rebates owed based upon definitive contractual agreements or legal requirements with private sector and public sector (Medicaid and Medicare Part D) benefit providers, after the final dispensing of the product by a pharmacy to a benefit plan participant. These discounts, in the aggregate, reduced revenues by \$1.2 billion for both the three months ended March 31, 2011 and the three months ended March 31, 2010. Inventory levels at key U.S. wholesalers for each of the Company's major pharmaceutical products are generally less than one month.

Pharmaceutical Segment Revenues*Cardiovascular*

Sales of *Zetia* (also marketed as *Ezetrol* outside the United States), a cholesterol-absorption inhibitor, were \$582 million in the first quarter of 2011, an increase of 9% compared with the first quarter of 2010, reflecting growth in international markets, favorable pricing and the positive impact of foreign exchange. Sales of *Vytorin* (marketed outside the United States as *Inegy*), a combination product containing the active ingredients of both *Zetia* and *Zocor*, were \$480 million for the first quarter of 2011, representing a 1% increase compared with the same period in 2010, reflecting growth in international markets, partially offset by declines in the United States.

In September 2010, the intravenous formulation of *Brinavess* (vernakalant) was granted marketing approval in the European Union (EU), Iceland and Norway for the rapid conversion of recent onset atrial fibrillation to sinus rhythm in adults: for non-surgery patients with atrial fibrillation of seven days or less and for post-cardiac surgery patients with atrial fibrillation of three days or less. *Brinavess* acts preferentially in the atria and is the first product in a new class of pharmacologic agents for cardioversion of atrial fibrillation to launch in the EU. In April 2009, Cardiome Pharma Corp. and Merck announced a collaboration and license agreement for the development and commercialization of vernakalant. The agreement provides Merck exclusive rights outside of the United States, Canada and Mexico to vernakalant intravenous formulation.

Diabetes and Obesity

Global sales of *Januvia*, Merck's dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor for the treatment of type 2 diabetes, were \$739 million in the first quarter of 2011, an increase of 45% compared with the first quarter of 2010, reflecting growth in the United States, as well in international markets, particularly in Japan. DPP-4 inhibitors represent a class of prescription medications that improve blood sugar control in patients with type 2 diabetes by enhancing a natural body system called the incretin system, which helps to regulate glucose by affecting the beta cells and alpha cells in the pancreas.

Worldwide sales of *Janumet*, Merck's oral antihyperglycemic agent that combines sitagliptin (*Januvia*) with metformin in a single tablet to target all three key defects of type 2 diabetes, were \$305 million for the first quarter of 2011, an increase of 52% compared with the first quarter of 2010, reflecting growth both in the United States and internationally.

MK-0431A XR, the Company's investigational extended-release formulation of *Janumet*, was accepted for standard review by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2010. The Company is also moving forward as planned with regulatory filings in countries outside the United States. The extended-release formulation of *Janumet* is an investigational treatment for type 2 diabetes that combines sitagliptin with metformin extended release, a commonly-prescribed medication for type 2 diabetes, into a single tablet. This formulation is designed to provide a new treatment option for health care providers and patients who need two or more oral agents to help control their blood sugar with the convenience of once daily dosing.

Diversified Brands

Merck's diversified brands are human health pharmaceutical products that are approaching the expiration of their marketing exclusivity or are no longer protected by patents in developed markets, but continue to be a core part of the Company's offering in other markets around the world.

Global sales of *Cozaar* and its companion agent *Hyzaar* (a combination of *Cozaar* and hydrochlorothiazide) for the treatment of hypertension fell 46% in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the same period in 2010. The patents that provided U.S. market exclusivity for *Cozaar* and *Hyzaar* expired in April 2010. In addition, *Cozaar* and *Hyzaar* lost patent protection in a number of major European markets in March 2010. Accordingly, the Company is

experiencing a significant decline in *Cozaar* and *Hyzaar* worldwide sales and the Company expects such decline to continue.

Other products contained in the Diversified Brands franchise include among others, *Zocor*, a statin for modifying cholesterol; prescription *Claritin* for the treatment of seasonal outdoor allergies and year-round indoor allergies; *Propecia*, a product for the treatment of male pattern hair loss; *Proscar*, a urology product for the treatment of symptomatic benign prostate enlargement; *Remeron*, an antidepressant; and *Vasotec/Vaseretic* for hypertension and/or heart failure. *Remeron* lost market exclusivity in the United States in January 2010 and in certain markets in the EU in September 2010.

- 34 -

Table of Contents*Infectious Disease*

Global sales of *Isentress*, an HIV integrase inhibitor for use in combination with other antiretroviral agents for the treatment of HIV-1 infection in treatment-naïve and treatment-experienced adults, were \$292 million in the first quarter of 2011, an increase of 26% compared with the first quarter of 2010, reflecting positive performance in the United States and Europe. *Isentress* works by inhibiting the insertion of HIV DNA into human DNA by the integrase enzyme. Inhibiting integrase from performing this essential function helps to limit the ability of the virus to replicate and infect new cells.

Worldwide sales of *PegIntron* for treating chronic hepatitis C were \$166 million for the first quarter of 2011, a decline of 11% compared with the same period in 2010, which the Company believes was attributable in part to patient treatment being delayed by health care providers in anticipation of new therapeutic options becoming available.

Sales of *Primaxin*, an anti-bacterial product, were \$136 million in the first quarter of 2011, a decline of 14% compared with the first quarter of 2010, primarily reflecting unfavorable pricing and lower volumes due to competitive pressures. Patents on *Primaxin* have expired worldwide and multiple generics have been approved in Europe. Accordingly, the Company is experiencing a decline in sales of this product and the Company expects the decline to continue.

Other products contained in the Infectious Disease franchise include among others, *Cancidas*, an anti-fungal product; *Avelox*, a fluoroquinolone antibiotic for the treatment of certain respiratory and skin infections; *Invanz* for the treatment of certain infections; *Noxafil* for the prevention of invasive fungal infections; *Rebetol* for use in combination with *PegIntron* for treating chronic hepatitis C; and *Crixivan* and *Stocrin*, antiretroviral therapies for the treatment of HIV infection. The compound patent that provides U.S. market exclusivity for *Crixivan* expires in 2012.

Neurosciences and Ophthalmology

Global sales of *Maxalt*, Merck's tablet for the acute treatment of migraine, were \$173 million for the first quarter of 2011, an increase of 29% compared with the first quarter of 2010 reflecting a higher inventory level in the United States, partially offset by a decline in Japan. The compound patent that provides market exclusivity for *Maxalt* in the United States expires in June 2012 (although the six month Pediatric Market Exclusivity may extend this date to December 2012). In addition, the patent for *Maxalt* will expire in a number of major European markets in 2013. The Company anticipates that sales in the United States and in these European markets will decline significantly after these patent expiries.

Worldwide sales of ophthalmic products *Cosopt* and *Trusopt* were \$114 million in the first quarter of 2011, a decline of 1% compared with the first quarter of 2010, reflecting unfavorable pricing in Europe largely offset by higher sales in Japan. The patent that provided U.S. market exclusivity for *Cosopt* and *Trusopt* expired in October 2008. *Trusopt* has also lost market exclusivity in a number of major European markets. The patent for *Cosopt* will expire in a number of major European markets in March 2013 and the Company expects sales in those markets to decline significantly thereafter.

In April 2011, the New Drug Application (NDA) for Merck's investigational preservative-free formulation of *Cosopt* ophthalmic solution, containing a combination topical carbonic anhydrase inhibitor and beta-andrenergic receptor blocking agent, was accepted for standard review by the FDA.

Bridion, for the reversal of certain muscle relaxants during surgery, is currently approved and has launched in many countries outside of the United States. *Bridion* is in Phase III development in the United States.

In August 2009, the FDA approved *Saphris* (asenapine) for the acute treatment of schizophrenia in adults and for the acute treatment of manic or mixed episodes associated with bipolar I disorder with or without psychotic features in adults. In September 2010, two supplemental NDAs for *Saphris* were approved in the United States to expand the product's indications to the treatment of schizophrenia in adults, as monotherapy for the acute treatment of manic or mixed episodes associated with bipolar I disorder in adults, and as adjunctive therapy with either lithium or valproate for the acute treatment of manic or mixed episodes associated with bipolar I disorder in adults. In September 2010, asenapine, to be sold under the brand name *Sycrest*, received marketing approval in the EU for the treatment of moderate to severe manic episodes associated with bipolar I disorder in adults; the marketing approval did not include an indication for schizophrenia. In October 2010, Merck and H. Lundbeck A/S (Lundbeck) announced a worldwide

commercialization agreement for *Sycrest* sublingual tablets (5 mg, 10 mg). Under the terms of the agreement, Lundbeck paid a fee and will make product supply payments in exchange for exclusive commercial rights to *Sycrest* in all markets outside the United States, China and Japan. Merck will retain exclusive commercial rights to asenapine in the United States, China and Japan. Concurrently, Merck is continuing to pursue regulatory approval for asenapine in other parts of the world.

Merck continues to focus on building the brand awareness of *Saphris* in the United States. Merck launched a black cherry flavor of the sublingual tablet to provide an additional taste option. Merck continues to monitor and assess *Saphris/Sycrest* and the related intangible asset. If increasing the brand awareness, the additional flavor option, or Lundbeck's launch of the product in the EU is not successful, the Company may take a non-cash impairment charge with respect to *Saphris/Sycrest*, and such charge could be material.

- 35 -

Table of Contents

The Neurosciences and Ophthalmology franchise also included the products *Subutex/Suboxone* for the treatment of opiate addiction. In 2010, Merck sold the rights to *Subutex/Suboxone* back to Reckitt Benckiser Group PLC (Reckitt) and the rights to the products in most major markets have reverted to Reckitt. Sales of *Subutex/Suboxone* were \$52 million in the first quarter of 2010.

Oncology

Sales of *Temodar* (marketed as *Temodal* outside the United States), a treatment for certain types of brain tumors, were \$248 million for the first quarter of 2011, a decline of 10% compared with the first quarter of 2010 primarily reflecting generic competition in Europe. *Temodar* lost patent exclusivity in the EU in 2009 and generic products are being marketed.

Global sales of *Emend*, a treatment for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting, were \$87 million in the first quarter of 2011, an increase of 4% compared with the first quarter of 2010.

Other products in the Oncology franchise include among others, *Intron A* for treating melanoma. Marketing rights for *Caelyx* for the treatment of ovarian cancer, metastatic breast cancer and Kaposi's sarcoma transitioned to Johnson & Johnson as of December 31, 2010. Sales of *Caelyx* were \$74 million in the first quarter of 2010.

In March 2011, the FDA approved *Sylatron* (peginterferon alfa-2b), a once-weekly subcutaneous injection indicated for the adjuvant treatment of melanoma with microscopic or gross nodal involvement within 84 days of definitive surgical resection including complete lymphadenectomy.

Respiratory and Immunology

Worldwide sales for *Singulair*, a once-a-day oral medicine indicated for the chronic treatment of asthma and for the relief of symptoms of allergic rhinitis, were \$1.3 billion for the first quarter of 2011, an increase of 14% compared with the first quarter of 2010. Sales growth was driven by strong performance in the United States reflecting favorable pricing and volume growth, as well as growth in Japan and Asia Pacific. *Singulair* continues to be the number one prescribed branded product in the U.S. respiratory market. Full year U.S. sales of *Singulair* were \$3.2 billion in 2010. The patent that provides U.S. market exclusivity for *Singulair* expires in August 2012. The Company expects that within the two years following patent expiration, it will lose substantially all U.S. sales of *Singulair*, with most of those declines coming in the first full year following patent expiration. In addition, the patent for *Singulair* will expire in a number of major European markets in August 2012 and the Company expects sales of *Singulair* in those markets will decline significantly thereafter (although the six month Pediatric Market Exclusivity may extend this date in some markets to February 2013).

Sales of *Remicade*, a treatment for inflammatory diseases, were \$753 million for the first quarter of 2011, an increase of 12% compared with the first quarter of 2010, reflecting positive performance in Canada and Latin America, primarily Brazil, and certain European markets. *Remicade* is marketed by the Company outside of the United States (except in Japan and certain other Asian markets). Products that compete with *Remicade* have been launched over the past several years. *Simponi*, a once-monthly subcutaneous treatment for certain inflammatory diseases, was approved by the EC in October 2009. Launches in other international markets are planned. In January 2011, *Simponi* was approved in the EU for use in combination with methotrexate in adults with severe, active and progressive rheumatoid arthritis not previously treated with methotrexate and for the reduction in the rate of progression of joint damage as measured by X-ray in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Sales of *Simponi* were \$54 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared with \$10 million in the first quarter of 2010. As a result of the agreement reached in April 2011 to amend the distribution rights to *Remicade* and *Simponi* (see Note 9 to the interim consolidated financial statements), effective July 1, 2011, Merck will relinquish marketing rights for these products in certain territories including Canada, Central and South America, the Middle East, Africa and Asia Pacific.

Global sales of *Nasonex*, an inhaled nasal corticosteroid for the treatment of nasal allergy symptoms, were \$373 million for the first quarter of 2011, an increase of 17% compared with the first quarter of 2010, driven largely by positive performance in Japan.

Global sales of *Clarinex* (marketed as *Aerius* in many countries outside the United States), a non-sedating antihistamine, were \$155 million for the first quarter of 2011, a decline of 5% compared with the first quarter of 2010.

Other products included in the Respiratory and Immunology franchise include among others, *Arcoxia* for the treatment of arthritis and pain; *Asmanex*, an inhaled corticosteroid for asthma; *Proventil* inhalation aerosol for the

relief of bronchospasm; and *Dulera* Inhalation Aerosol for the treatment of asthma.

Table of Contents*Vaccines*

The following discussion of vaccines does not include sales of vaccines sold in most major European markets through Sanofi Pasteur MSD (SPMSD), the Company's joint venture with Sanofi Pasteur, the results of which are reflected in *Equity income from affiliates* (see Selected Joint Venture and Affiliate Information below). Supply sales to SPMSD, however, are included.

As previously disclosed, U.S. vaccine sales for certain products in the first quarter of 2010 benefited from inventory build-up during the quarter, which affects comparisons with the first quarter of 2011.

Worldwide sales of *Gardasil* recorded by Merck declined 8% in the first quarter of 2011 to \$214 million driven primarily by lower government orders in Canada. *Gardasil*, the world's top-selling human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine, is indicated for girls and women 9 through 26 years of age for the prevention of cervical, vulvar and vaginal cancers caused by HPV types 16 and 18, precancerous or dysplastic lesions caused by HPV types 6, 11, 16 and 18, and genital warts caused by HPV types 6 and 11. *Gardasil* is also approved in the United States for use in boys and men ages 9 through 26 years of age for the prevention of genital warts caused by HPV types 6 and 11. In December 2010, the FDA approved a new indication for *Gardasil* for the prevention of anal cancer caused by HPV types 16 and 18 and for the prevention of anal intraepithelial neoplasia grades 1, 2 and 3 (anal dysplasias and precancerous lesions) caused by HPV types 6, 11, 16 and 18, in males and females 9 through 26 years of age.

In April 2011, the FDA completed its review of Merck's supplemental Biologics License Application for an indication to use *Gardasil* in women ages 27-45. An indication for adult women was not granted; instead, the Limitations of Use and Effectiveness for *Gardasil* was updated to state that *Gardasil* has not been demonstrated to prevent HPV-related cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 2/3 or worse in women older than 26 years of age. End of study data from the clinical study evaluating the use of the vaccine in this age group was also added to the prescribing information.

Global sales of *RotaTeq*, a vaccine to help protect against rotavirus gastroenteritis in infants and children, recorded by Merck were \$125 million in the first quarter of 2011, an increase of 35% compared with the first quarter of 2010, reflecting favorable public sector inventory fluctuations.

In recent years, the Company has experienced difficulties in producing its varicella zoster virus (VZV)-containing vaccines. These difficulties have resulted in supply constraints for *ProQuad*, *Varivax* and *Zostavax*. The Company is manufacturing bulk varicella and is producing doses of *Varivax* and *Zostavax*.

A limited quantity of *ProQuad*, a pediatric combination vaccine to help protect against measles, mumps, rubella and varicella, one of the VZV-containing vaccines, became available in the United States for ordering in the second quarter of 2010. This supply has been exhausted and the Company does not anticipate availability of *ProQuad* for the remainder of 2011. Sales of *ProQuad* as recorded by Merck were \$37 million in the first quarter of 2011.

Merck's sales of *Varivax*, a vaccine to help prevent chickenpox (varicella), were \$144 million for the first quarter of 2011 compared with \$237 million for the first quarter of 2010. Sales in the first quarter of 2010 include \$48 million of revenue as a result of government purchases for the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Strategic National Stockpile. Merck's sales of *M-M-R II*, a vaccine to help protect against measles, mumps and rubella, were \$63 million for the first quarter of 2011 compared with \$83 million for the first quarter of 2010. Sales of *Varivax* and *M-M-R II* in the first quarter of 2010 benefited from the unavailability of *ProQuad* as noted above.

Merck's sales of *Zostavax*, a vaccine to help prevent shingles (herpes zoster), were \$24 million for the first quarter of 2011 as compared with \$95 million in the first quarter of 2010. Sales in both periods were affected by continuing supply issues. Merck will continue to accept orders for *Zostavax*, but the vaccine remains on backorder. The Company anticipates sales will be affected by continued intermittent supply issues for the remainder of 2011. Due to these supply constraints, no international launches or immunization programs are currently planned for 2011.

In March 2011, the FDA approved an expanded age indication for *Zostavax* for the prevention of shingles to include adults ages 50 to 59. *Zostavax* is now indicated for the prevention of herpes zoster in individuals 50 years of age and older.

The Company anticipates the availability of the adult formulation of *Recombivax HB*, a vaccine against hepatitis B, in the second half of 2011.

Women's Health and Endocrine

Worldwide sales for *Fosamax* and *Fosamax Plus D* (marketed as *Fosavance* throughout the EU and as *Fosamac* in Japan) for the treatment and, in the case of *Fosamax*, prevention of osteoporosis were \$208 million for the first quarter of 2011, representing a decline of 10% over the comparable period of 2010. These medicines have lost market exclusivity in the United States and have also lost market exclusivity in most major European markets. Accordingly, the Company is experiencing significant sales declines within the *Fosamax* product franchise and the Company expects the declines to continue.

- 37 -

Table of Contents

Worldwide sales of *NuvaRing*, a contraceptive product, were \$142 million for the first quarter of 2011, an increase of 5% compared with the first quarter of 2010. Global sales of *Follistim AQ* (marketed in most countries outside the United States as *Puregon*), a fertility treatment, were \$133 million for the first quarter of 2011, comparable with sales in the first quarter of 2010. *Puregon* lost market exclusivity in the EU in August 2009.

Other products contained in the Women's Health and Endocrine franchise include among others, *Implanon*, a single-rod subdermal contraceptive implant; and *Cerazette*, a progestin only oral contraceptive.

The Company is currently experiencing difficulty manufacturing certain women's health products. The Company is working to resolve these issues.

Other*Animal Health*

Animal Health includes pharmaceutical and vaccine products for the prevention, treatment and control of disease in all major farm and companion animal species. Animal Health sales are affected by intense competition and the frequent introduction of generic products. Global sales of Animal Health products totaled \$758 million for the first quarter of 2011, an increase of 7% compared with the first quarter of 2010, reflecting positive performance across the product portfolio. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company and sanofi-aventis mutually terminated their agreement to form an animal health joint venture. (See Selected Joint Venture and Affiliate Information below.)

Consumer Care

Consumer Care products include over-the-counter, foot care and sun care products such as *Dr. Scholl's* foot care products; *Claritin* non-drowsy antihistamines; *MiraLAX*, a treatment for occasional constipation; and *Coppertone* sun care products. Global sales of Consumer Care products were \$517 million for the first quarter of 2011, an increase of 6% compared with the first quarter of 2010, reflecting strong performance of a number of key brands including *Claritin* and *Coppertone*. Consumer Care product sales are affected by competition, frequent competitive product introductions and consumer spending patterns.

Alliances

AstraZeneca has an option to buy Old Merck's interest in Nexium and Prilosec, exercisable in 2012, and the Company believes that it is likely that AstraZeneca will exercise that option (see Selected Joint Venture and Affiliate Information below). If AstraZeneca does exercise the option, the Company will no longer recognize equity income from AZLP and supply sales to AZLP will decline substantially.

Costs, Expenses and Other

In February 2010, the Company commenced actions under a global restructuring program (the Merger Restructuring Program) in conjunction with the integration of the legacy Merck and legacy Schering-Plough businesses. This Merger Restructuring Program is intended to optimize the cost structure of the combined company. Additional actions under the program continued during 2010. As part of the restructuring actions taken thus far under the Merger Restructuring Program, the Company expects to reduce its total workforce measured at the time of the Merger by approximately 17% across the Company worldwide. In addition, the Company has eliminated over 2,500 positions which were vacant at the time of the Merger. These workforce reductions will primarily come from the elimination of duplicative positions in sales, administrative and headquarters organizations, as well as from the sale or closure of certain manufacturing and research and development sites and the consolidation of office facilities. During this period, the Company will continue to hire new employees in strategic growth areas of the business as necessary. The Company will continue to pursue productivity efficiencies and evaluate its manufacturing supply chain capabilities on an ongoing basis which may result in future restructuring actions.

In connection with the Merger Restructuring Program, separation costs under the Company's existing severance programs worldwide were recorded in the fourth quarter of 2009 to the extent such costs were probable and reasonably estimable. The Company commenced accruing costs related to enhanced termination benefits offered to employees under the Merger Restructuring Program in the first quarter of 2010 when the necessary criteria were met. The Company recorded total pretax restructuring costs of \$112 million and \$283 million in the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to this program. The restructuring actions taken thus far under the Merger Restructuring Program are expected to be substantially completed by the end of 2012, with the exception of certain manufacturing facilities actions, with the total cumulative pretax costs estimated to be approximately \$3.8 billion to

\$4.6 billion. The Company estimates that approximately two-thirds of the cumulative pretax costs relate to cash outlays, primarily related to employee separation expense. Approximately one-third of the cumulative pretax costs are non-cash, relating primarily to the accelerated depreciation of facilities to be closed or divested. The Company expects the restructuring actions taken thus far under the Merger Restructuring Program to result in annual savings in 2012 of approximately \$2.7 billion to \$3.1 billion. These cost savings, which are expected to come from all areas of the Company's pharmaceutical business, are in addition to the previously announced ongoing cost reduction initiatives at both legacy companies. Additional savings will come from non-restructuring-related activities.

- 38 -

Table of Contents

In October 2008, Old Merck announced a global restructuring program (the 2008 Restructuring Program) to reduce its cost structure, increase efficiency, and enhance competitiveness. As part of the 2008 Restructuring Program, the Company expects to eliminate approximately 7,200 positions (6,800 active employees and 400 vacancies) across the Company worldwide by the end of 2011. Pretax restructuring costs of \$4 million and \$65 million were recorded in the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to the 2008 Restructuring Program. The 2008 Restructuring Program is expected to be completed by the end of 2011 with the total cumulative pretax costs estimated to be \$1.6 billion to \$2.0 billion. The Company estimates that two-thirds of the cumulative pretax costs relate to cash outlays, primarily from employee separation expense. Approximately one-third of the cumulative pretax costs are non-cash, relating primarily to the accelerated depreciation of facilities to be closed or divested. Merck expects the 2008 Restructuring Program to yield cumulative pretax savings of \$3.8 billion to \$4.2 billion from 2008 to 2013.

The Company anticipates that total costs associated with restructuring activities in 2011 for the Merger Restructuring Program and the 2008 Restructuring Program will be in the range of \$700 million to \$900 million.

The costs associated with all of these restructuring activities are primarily comprised of accelerated depreciation and separation costs recorded in *Materials and production*, *Marketing and administrative*, *Research and development* and *Restructuring costs* (see Note 2 to the interim consolidated financial statements).

Materials and production costs were \$4.1 billion for the first quarter of 2011, a decline of 22% compared with the first quarter of 2010. Costs in the first quarter of 2011 and the first quarter of 2010 include \$1.2 billion of expenses for the amortization of intangible assets recognized in the Merger. Additionally, expenses for the first quarter of 2010 included \$1.2 billion of amortization of purchase accounting adjustments to Schering-Plough's inventories also recognized as a result of the Merger. Also included in materials and production costs were costs associated with restructuring activities which amounted to \$72 million and \$57 million in the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively, including accelerated depreciation and asset write-offs related to the planned sale or closure of manufacturing facilities. Separation costs associated with manufacturing-related headcount reductions have been incurred and are reflected in *Restructuring costs* as discussed below. (See Note 2 to the interim consolidated financial statements.)

Gross margin was 64.9% in the first quarter of 2011 compared with 54.3% in the first quarter of 2010. The amortization of intangible assets and purchase accounting adjustments to inventories recorded as a result of the Merger, as well as the restructuring charges noted above and certain merger-related costs had an unfavorable effect on gross margin of 11.9 and 21.1 percentage points, respectively. Excluding these impacts, the gross margin improvement reflects changes in product mix and lower costs due to manufacturing efficiencies.

Marketing and administrative expenses were \$3.2 billion in the first quarter of 2011, a decline of 2% compared with the first quarter of 2010. The decline in marketing and administrative expenses was largely driven by initiatives to reduce the cost base. Expenses for the first quarter of 2011 included \$23 million of restructuring costs, primarily related to accelerated depreciation for facilities to be closed or divested. Separation costs associated with sales force reductions have been incurred and are reflected in *Restructuring costs* as discussed below. Marketing and administrative expenses included \$58 million and \$80 million of merger-related costs in the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively, consisting largely of integration costs. Additionally, marketing and administrative expenses in the first quarter of 2011 include \$42 million of expenses representing one-fourth of the estimated annual health care reform fee which the Company will be required to pay beginning in 2011 as part of U.S. health care reform legislation.

Research and development expenses were \$2.2 billion for the first quarter of 2011, an increase of 5% compared with the first quarter of 2010. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company recorded \$302 million of in-process research and development (IPR&D) impairment charges primarily for programs that had previously been deprioritized and were deemed to have no alternative use during the quarter. During the first quarter of 2010, the Company recorded \$27 million of IPR&D impairment charges attributable to compounds identified during the Company's pipeline prioritization review that were abandoned and determined to have either no alternative use or were returned to the respective licensor. The Company may recognize additional non-cash impairment charges in the future for the cancellation of other legacy Schering-Plough pipeline programs that were measured at fair value and capitalized in connection with the Merger and such charges could be material. Also, expenses in the first quarter of 2011 and 2010

reflect \$45 million and \$6 million, respectively, of accelerated depreciation and asset abandonment costs associated with restructuring activities. These increases in research and development expenses were partially offset by cost savings resulting from restructuring activities, as well as by the timing of the clinical grant spending.

Restructuring costs, primarily representing separation and other related costs associated with restructuring activities, were a credit of \$14 million in the first quarter of 2011 which reflects a reduction of separation reserves of approximately \$50 million resulting from the Company's decision in the first quarter to retain approximately 380 employees at its Oss, Netherlands research facility that had previously been expected to be separated. Restructuring costs were \$288 million in the first quarter of 2010, of which \$252 million related to the Merger Restructuring Program and \$36 million related to the 2008 Restructuring Program. Separation costs were incurred associated with actual headcount reductions, as well as estimated expenses under existing severance programs for headcount reductions that were probable and could be reasonably estimated. Merck eliminated approximately 870 positions in the

Table of Contents

first quarter of 2011 of which 750 related to the Merger Restructuring Program and 120 related to the 2008 Restructuring Program. For the first quarter of 2010, Merck eliminated 5,730 positions of which 5,150 related to the Merger Restructuring Program, 535 related to the 2008 Restructuring Program and the remainder to the legacy Schering-Plough Productivity Transformation Program. These position eliminations are comprised of actual headcount reductions, and the elimination of contractors and vacant positions. Also included in restructuring costs are curtailment, settlement and termination charges on pension and other postretirement benefit plans and shutdown costs. For segment reporting, restructuring costs are unallocated expenses. Additional costs associated with the Company's restructuring activities are included in *Materials and production*, *Marketing and administrative* and *Research and development*. (See Note 2 to the interim consolidated financial statements.)

Equity income from affiliates, which reflects the performance of the Company's joint ventures and other equity method affiliates, primarily AZLP, was \$138 million in both the first quarter of 2011 and the first quarter of 2010. (See Selected Joint Venture and Affiliate Information below.)

Other (income) expense, net was \$622 million of expense in the first quarter of 2011 compared with \$167 million of expense in the first quarter of 2010. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company recorded a \$500 million charge related to the resolution of the arbitration proceeding involving the Company's rights to market *Remicade* and *Simponi* (see Note 9 to the interim consolidated financial statements). Also during the first quarter of 2011, the Company recorded a \$134 gain on the sale of certain manufacturing facilities and related assets. Included in other (income) expense, net in the first quarter of 2010 was \$102 million of income on the settlement of certain disputed royalties. Also, during the first quarter of 2010, the Company recognized exchange losses of \$80 million related to a Venezuelan currency devaluation. Effective January 11, 2010, the Venezuelan government devalued its currency from at BsF 2.15 per U.S. dollar to a two-tiered official exchange rate at (1) the essentials rate at BsF 2.60 per U.S. dollar and (2) the non-essentials rate at BsF 4.30 per U.S. dollar. In January 2010, Merck was required to remeasure its local currency operations in Venezuela to U.S. dollars as the Venezuelan economy was determined to be hyperinflationary. Throughout 2010, the Company settled its transactions at the essentials rate and therefore remeasured monetary assets and liabilities using the essentials rate. In December 2010, the Venezuelan government announced it would eliminate the essentials rate and effective January 1, 2011, all transactions would be settled at the official rate of at BsF 4.30 per U.S. dollar. As a result of this announcement, the Company remeasured its December 31, 2010 monetary assets and liabilities at the new official rate.

Segment Profits

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Pharmaceutical segment profits	\$ 6,216	\$ 5,740
Other non-reportable segment profits	716	720
Other	(5,203)	(5,844)
Income before income taxes	\$ 1,729	\$ 616

Segment profits are comprised of segment revenues less certain elements of materials and production costs and operating expenses, including components of equity income or loss from affiliates and depreciation and amortization expenses. For internal management reporting presented to the chief operating decision maker, Merck does not allocate production costs, other than standard costs, research and development expenses or general and administrative expenses, nor the cost of financing these activities. Separate divisions maintain responsibility for monitoring and managing these costs, including depreciation related to fixed assets utilized by these divisions and, therefore, they are not included in segment profits. Also excluded from the determination of segment profits are the arbitration settlement charge in 2011, the amortization of purchase accounting adjustments, IPR&D impairment charges, restructuring costs, taxes paid at the joint venture level and a portion of equity income. Additionally, segment profits do not reflect other

expenses from corporate and manufacturing cost centers and other miscellaneous income or expense. These unallocated items are reflected in Other in the above table. Also included in Other are miscellaneous corporate profits, operating profits related to third-party manufacturing sales, divested products or businesses, as well as other supply sales and adjustments to eliminate the effect of double counting certain items of income and expense.

Pharmaceutical segment profits rose 8% in the first quarter of 2011 driven largely by the increase in sales and the gross margin improvement discussed above.

The effective tax rate of 38.1% for the first quarter of 2011 reflects the impacts of purchase accounting adjustments, restructuring costs and a \$500 million charge related to the resolution of the arbitration proceeding with Johnson & Johnson, which collectively had a 24% unfavorable impact as compared with the statutory rate. The effective tax rate was also affected by the beneficial impact of foreign earnings. The effective tax rate of 46.4% for the first quarter of 2010 reflects the impact of a \$147 million charge associated with a change in tax law that requires taxation of the prescription drug subsidy of the Company's retiree health benefit plans which was enacted in the first quarter of 2010 as part of U.S. health care reform legislation, as well as the impacts of purchase accounting adjustments and restructuring charges.

- 40 -

Table of Contents

Net income attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. was \$1.0 billion for the first quarter of 2011 compared with \$299 million for the first quarter of 2010. Earnings per common share assuming dilution attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. common shareholders (EPS) for the first quarter of 2011 were \$0.34 compared with \$0.09 in the first quarter of 2010. The increases in net income and EPS in the first quarter of 2011 were primarily due to lower amortization of inventory step-up and lower restructuring costs, partially offset by the arbitration settlement charge and higher IPR&D impairment charges.

Non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS are alternative views of the Company's performance used by management that Merck is providing because management believes this information enhances investors' understanding of the Company's results. Non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS exclude certain items because of the nature of these items and the impact that they have on the analysis of underlying business performance and trends. The excluded items consist of certain purchase accounting items related to the Merger, restructuring activities, merger-related costs, and certain other items. These excluded items are significant components in understanding and assessing financial performance. Therefore, the information on non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS should be considered in addition to, but not in lieu of, net income and EPS prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP). Additionally, since non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS are not measures determined in accordance with GAAP, they have no standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and, therefore, may not be comparable to the calculation of similar measures of other companies.

Non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS are important internal measures for the Company. Senior management receives a monthly analysis of operating results that includes non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS and the performance of the Company is measured on this basis along with other performance metrics. Senior management's annual compensation is derived in part using non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS.

A reconciliation between GAAP financial measures and non-GAAP financial measures is as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
<i>(\$ in millions, except per share amounts)</i>	2011	2010
Pretax income as reported under GAAP	\$ 1,729	\$ 616
Increase (decrease) for excluded items:		
Purchase accounting adjustments	1,580	2,374
Costs related to restructuring programs	126	351
Merger-related costs	77	87
Other items:		
Arbitration settlement charge	500	
Gain on sale of manufacturing facilities and related assets	(134)	
	3,878	3,428
Taxes on income as reported under GAAP	658	286
Estimated tax benefit on excluded items	331	650
Tax charge related to U.S. health care reform legislation		(147)
	989	789
Non-GAAP net income	2,889	2,639
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	28	31

Non-GAAP net income attributable to Merck & Co., Inc.	\$ 2,861	\$ 2,608
EPS assuming dilution as reported under GAAP	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.09
EPS difference <i>(1)</i>	0.58	0.74
Non-GAAP EPS assuming dilution	\$ 0.92	\$ 0.83

(1) Represents the difference between calculated GAAP EPS and calculated non-GAAP EPS, which may be different than the amount calculated by dividing the impact of the excluded items by the weighted average shares for the applicable period.

Table of Contents*Purchase Accounting Adjustments*

Non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS exclude the ongoing impact of certain amounts recorded in connection with the Merger. These amounts include the amortization of intangible assets and inventory step-up, as well as IPR&D impairment charges (see Research and Development above).

Restructuring Costs

Non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS exclude costs related to restructuring actions, including restructuring activities related to the Merger (see Note 2 to the interim consolidated financial statements). These amounts include employee separation costs and accelerated depreciation associated with facilities to be closed or divested. Accelerated depreciation costs represent the difference between the depreciation expense to be recognized over the revised useful life of the site, based upon the anticipated date the site will be closed or divested, and depreciation expense as determined utilizing the useful life prior to the restructuring actions. The Company has undertaken restructurings of different types during the covered periods and therefore these charges should not be considered non-recurring; however, management excludes these amounts from non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS because it believes it is helpful for understanding the performance of the continuing business.

Merger-Related Costs

Non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS exclude transaction costs associated directly with the Merger, as well as integration costs. These costs are excluded because management believes that these costs are unique to the Merger transaction and are not representative of ongoing normal business activities. Integration costs associated with the Merger will occur over several years, however, the impacts within each year will vary as the integration progresses. Prior to the mutual termination of the agreement with sanofi-aventis, these costs included costs associated with the anticipated formation of an animal health joint venture with sanofi-aventis.

Certain Other Items

Non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS exclude certain other items. These items represent substantive, unusual items that are evaluated on an individual basis. Such evaluation considers both the quantitative and the qualitative aspect of their unusual nature and generally represent items that, either as a result of their nature or magnitude, management would not anticipate that they would occur as part of the Company's normal business on a regular basis. Certain other items include the charge related to the arbitration settlement (see Note 9 to the interim consolidated financial statements), and the gain associated with the sales of certain manufacturing facilities and related assets.

Research and Development Update

In April 2011, the Antiviral Drugs Advisory Committee of the FDA voted unanimously that the available data support approval of Merck's investigational medicine *Victrelis* (boceprevir) for the treatment of patients with chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) genotype 1 infection in combination with current standard therapy. *Victrelis* is one of a new class of medicines known as HCV protease inhibitors being evaluated by the FDA for the treatment of chronic HCV genotype 1 infection in adult patients with compensated liver disease who are previously untreated or who have failed previous therapy. The committee's recommendation will be considered by the FDA in its review of the NDA for *Victrelis*. The FDA is not bound by the committee's guidance, but takes its advice into consideration when reviewing investigational medicines. The company anticipates FDA action on *Victrelis* by mid-May. The FDA granted priority review status for *Victrelis*, a designation for investigational medicines that address unmet medical needs. Additionally, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) has accepted the Marketing Authorization Application for *Victrelis* for accelerated assessment.

Also in April 2011, Merck and Sanofi Pasteur announced the initiation of a Phase III clinical program to evaluate the safety and immunogenicity of an investigational pediatric hexavalent combination vaccine (V419). This combination vaccine is designed to help protect against six potentially serious diseases: diphtheria, tetanus, whooping cough (*Bordetella pertussis*), polio (poliovirus types 1, 2, and 3), invasive disease caused by *Haemophilus influenzae* type b, and hepatitis B. The vaccine is being developed as part of a partnership between Merck and Sanofi Pasteur that focuses on the development of pediatric combination vaccines. The Phase III clinical program will begin in the United States with a randomized, open-label, active-comparator controlled clinical trial that will involve approximately 1,440 infants at multiple centers. The primary study objectives are to assess the safety and immunogenicity of the investigational hexavalent combination vaccine when given at 2, 4, and 6 months of age concomitantly with Prevnar

13* Pneumococcal 13-valent Conjugate Vaccine and *RotaTeq*. The clinical program is expected to begin in Europe this year. The Phase III program was initiated following results from a Phase IIb clinical trial of 459 children that assessed the safety and immunogenicity of the investigational combination vaccine.

* Prevnar 13 is a registered trademark of Wyeth LLC.

- 42 -

Table of Contents

Additionally, in April 2011, Merck announced that following a pre-specified interim analysis from the Phase II/III clinical trial evaluating V710, an investigational vaccine for the prevention of *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) infection, the independent Data Monitoring Committee (DMC) recommended suspension of enrollment. Although the trial did not meet the pre-specified futility criteria, the DMC nonetheless recommended suspension of enrollment pending further analyses of the benefit/risk profile of the vaccine candidate.

In March 2011, NOMAC/E2, an investigational monophasic combined oral contraceptive tablet indicated for the use by women to prevent pregnancy, was accepted for standard review by the FDA. NOMAC-E2 is a birth control pill that contains two steroid hormones norgestrel acetate, a highly selective, progesterone-derived progestin and 17-beta estradiol (E2), an estrogen that is similar to the one naturally present in a woman's body. Also in March 2011, the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use of the EMA adopted a positive opinion for NOMAC/E2. The next step for marketing authorization in the EU is review by the European Commission.

Additionally, in March 2011, the NDA for MK-2452 (tafluprost), Merck's investigational preservative-free prostaglandin analogue ophthalmic solution, was accepted for standard review by the FDA. Merck submitted an NDA to support the proposed use of tafluprost for the reduction of elevated intraocular pressure in patients with primary open-angle glaucoma or ocular hypertension. MK-2452 is currently approved in several European countries, including the United Kingdom, Spain and Italy, and is sold under the tradename *Saflutan*. Additional launches in other countries are expected, pending regulatory approvals. On April 15, 2009, Merck and Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Santen) entered into a worldwide licensing agreement for tafluprost. Merck has exclusive commercial rights to tafluprost in Western Europe (excluding Germany), North America, South America, Africa, the Middle East, India and Australia. Santen retains commercial rights to tafluprost in most countries in Eastern Europe, northern Europe and in countries in the Asia Pacific region, including Japan. Santen will have the option to co-promote tafluprost in the United States, if approved.

MK-0822, odanacatib, is an oral, once-weekly investigational treatment for osteoporosis in post-menopausal women. Clinical and preclinical studies continue to provide data on the potential of odanacatib to increase bone density, cortical thickness and bone strength when treating osteoporosis. The ongoing, event-driven Phase III Study of Odanacatib in Postmenopausal Women With Osteoporosis to Assess Fracture Risk completed enrollment in November 2009. Based on the accumulation of clinical events to date, Merck now anticipates filing an NDA with the FDA for MK-0822 in 2013.

Merck plans to return to Portola Pharmaceuticals, Inc. all rights for betrixaban, an investigational oral Factor Xa inhibitor anticoagulant being evaluated for the prevention of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation. This decision was made following a review of Merck's investigational portfolio.

In April 2011, Merck and Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., a leading Indian multinational pharmaceutical company, announced the creation of a joint venture to develop, manufacture and commercialize new combinations and formulations of innovative, branded generics in the emerging markets. The companies will focus on innovative branded generics that bring together combinations of medicines using platform delivery technologies designed to enhance convenience for patients in emerging markets.

Table of Contents

The chart below reflects the Company's current research pipeline as of April 22, 2011. Candidates shown in Phase III include specific products. Candidates shown in Phase II include the most advanced compound with a specific mechanism or, if listed compounds have the same mechanism, they are each currently intended for commercialization in a given therapeutic area. Small molecules and biologics are given MK-number or SCH-number designations and vaccine candidates are given V-number designations. Candidates in Phase I, additional indications in the same therapeutic area and additional claims, line extensions or formulations for in-line products are not shown.

Phase II	Phase III	Combination Products in Development
Allergy SCH 900237, Immunotherapy ⁽¹⁾	Allergy SCH 697243, Grass pollen ⁽¹⁾ SCH 039641, Ragweed ⁽¹⁾	Atherosclerosis MK-0653C (ezetimibe/atorvastatin)
Cancer MK-0646 (dalotuzumab) SCH 727965 (dinaciclib)	Asthma SCH 418131 (<i>Zenhale</i>) (EU)	Under Review
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> Infection MK-3415A	Atherosclerosis MK-0524A (extended-release niacin/ laropiprant) (U.S.) MK-0524B (extended-release niacin/ laropiprant/simvastatin) MK-0859 (anacetrapib)	Contraception SCH 900121 (NOMAC/E2) (EU) (U.S.)
Contraception, Medicated IUS SCH 900342	Cervical Cancer V503 (HPV vaccine (9 valent))	Diabetes MK-0431D (sitagliptin/simvastatin) (U.S.) MK-0431A XR (sitagliptin/ extended-release metformin) (U.S.)
COPD SCH 527123 (navarixin)	Diabetes MK-0431C (sitagliptin/pioglitazone)	Glaucoma MK-2452 (tafluprost) (U.S.)
Diabetes Mellitus MK-3102	Fertility SCH 900962 (corifollitropin alfa injection) (U.S.)	Hepatitis C SCH 503034 (<i>Victrelis</i>)
Hepatitis C MK-7009 (vaniprevir)	Insomnia MK-4305 (suvorexant)	Staph Infection MK-3009 (daptomycin for injection) ⁽²⁾
Insomnia MK-3697 MK-6096	Migraine MK-0974 (telcagepant)	
Osteoporosis MK-5442	Neuromuscular Blockade Reversal SCH 900616 (<i>Bridion</i>) (U.S.)	
Overactive Bladder MK-4618	Osteoporosis MK-0822 (odanacatib)	
Pneumoconjugate Vaccine V114	Parkinson's Disease SCH 420814 (preladenant)	
Progeria SCH 066336 (lonafarnib)	Pediatric Hexavalent Combination Vaccine V419	
Psoriasis SCH 900222	Sarcoma MK-8669 (ridaforolimus)	
Staph Infection V710	Thrombosis SCH 530348 (vorapaxar)	
	Herpes Zoster V212 (inactivated VZV vaccine)	

Footnotes:⁽¹⁾ North American rights only.⁽²⁾ Japanese rights only.

Table of Contents**Selected Joint Venture and Affiliate Information***AstraZeneca LP*

In 1998, Old Merck and Astra completed the restructuring of the ownership and operations of their existing joint venture whereby Old Merck acquired Astra's interest in KBI Inc. (KBI) and contributed KBI's operating assets to a new U.S. limited partnership, Astra Pharmaceuticals L.P. (the Partnership), in exchange for a 1% limited partner interest. Astra contributed the net assets of its wholly owned subsidiary, Astra USA, Inc., to the Partnership in exchange for a 99% general partner interest. The Partnership, renamed AstraZeneca LP (AZLP) upon Astra's 1999 merger with Zeneca Group Plc (the AstraZeneca merger), became the exclusive distributor of the products for which KBI retained rights.

In connection with the 1998 restructuring, Astra purchased an option (the Asset Option) for a payment of \$443 million, which was recorded as deferred income, to buy Old Merck's interest in the KBI products, excluding the gastrointestinal medicines Nexium and Prilosec (the Non-PPI Products). In April 2010, AstraZeneca exercised the Asset Option. Merck received \$647 million from AstraZeneca, representing the net present value as of March 31, 2008 of projected future pretax revenue to be received by Old Merck from the Non-PPI Products, which was recorded as a reduction to the Company's investment in AZLP. The Company recognized the \$443 million of deferred income in the second quarter of 2010 as a component of *Other (income) expense, net*. In addition, in 1998, Old Merck granted Astra an option (the Shares Option) to buy Old Merck's common stock interest in KBI and, therefore, Old Merck's interest in Nexium and Prilosec, exercisable in 2012. The exercise price for the Shares Option will be based on the net present value of estimated future net sales of Nexium and Prilosec as determined at the time of exercise, subject to certain true-up mechanisms. The Company believes that it is likely that AstraZeneca will exercise the Shares Option. If AstraZeneca does exercise the Shares Option, the Company will no longer recognize equity income from AZLP and supply sales to AZLP will decline substantially.

Sanofi Pasteur MSD

In 1994, Old Merck and Pasteur Mérieux Connaught (now Sanofi Pasteur S.A.) established an equally-owned joint venture to market vaccines in Europe and to collaborate in the development of combination vaccines for distribution in Europe. Total vaccine sales reported by SPMSD were \$187 million and \$251 million in the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. The decline reflects lower sales of *Gardasil*. SPMSD sales of *Gardasil* were \$58 million and \$82 million for the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Other

In March 2011, Merck and sanofi-aventis mutually terminated their agreement to form a new animal health joint venture by combining Intervet/Schering-Plough, Merck's animal health unit, with Merial, the animal health business of sanofi-aventis. As a result of the termination, both Merial and Intervet/Schering-Plough continue to operate independently. The termination of the agreement was without penalty to either party.

The Company records the results from its interest in AZLP and SPMSD in *Equity income from affiliates*.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

<i>(\$ in millions)</i>	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Cash and investments	\$ 15,113	\$ 14,376
Working capital	15,362	13,423
Total debt to total liabilities and equity	16.9%	16.9%

During the first quarter of 2011, cash provided by operating activities was \$1.7 billion compared with \$1.4 billion in the first quarter of 2010. On an ongoing basis, cash provided by operations will continue to be the Company's primary source of funds to finance operating needs and capital expenditures. The global economic downturn and the sovereign debt issues, among other factors, have caused foreign receivables to deteriorate in certain European countries. While the Company continues to receive payment on these receivables, these conditions may continue to

result in an increase in the average length of time it takes to collect accounts receivable outstanding which can impact cash provided by operating activities.

Cash provided by investing activities was \$105 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared with a use of cash in investing activities of \$3.1 billion in the first quarter of 2010 primarily reflecting lower purchases of securities and other investments and higher proceeds from the sales of securities and other investments. In addition, the Company received proceeds from the disposition of businesses in the first quarter of 2011. Cash used in financing activities in the first quarter of 2011 was \$1.2 billion compared with cash provided by financing activities of \$942 million in the first quarter of 2010 primarily driven by a decrease in short-term borrowings, partially offset by lower payments on debt.

- 45 -

Table of Contents

At March 31, 2011, the total of worldwide cash and investments was \$15.1 billion, including \$13.0 billion of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, and \$2.1 billion of long-term investments. A large portion of the cash and investments are held in foreign jurisdictions. Working capital levels are more than adequate to meet the operating requirements of the Company.

In 2010, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) finalized its examination of Schering-Plough 's 2003-2006 tax years. In this audit cycle, the Company reached an agreement with the IRS on an adjustment to income related to intercompany pricing matters. This income adjustment mostly reduced net operating losses (NOLs) and other tax credit carryforwards. Additionally, the Company is seeking resolution of one issue raised during this examination through the IRS administrative appeals process. The Company 's reserves for uncertain tax positions were adequate to cover all adjustments related to this examination period. The IRS began its examination of the 2007-2009 tax years for the Company in 2010.

In April 2011, the IRS concluded its examination of Old Merck 's 2002-2005 federal income tax returns and as a result the Company was required to make net payments of approximately \$465 million. The Company 's unrecognized tax benefits for the years under examination exceed the adjustments related to this examination period and therefore the Company anticipates that a potentially significant non-cash favorable financial statement impact from this resolution will be recorded in the second quarter. The Company disagrees with the IRS treatment of one issue raised during this examination and is appealing the matter through the IRS administrative process.

As previously disclosed, the Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) has proposed adjustments for 1999 and 2000 relating to intercompany pricing matters. The adjustments would increase Canadian tax due by approximately \$325 million (U.S. dollars) plus approximately \$360 million (U.S. dollars) of interest through March 31, 2011. The Company disagrees with the positions taken by the CRA and believes they are without merit. The Company continues to contest the assessments through the CRA appeals process. The CRA is expected to prepare similar adjustments for later years. Management believes that resolution of these matters will not have a material effect on the Company 's financial position or liquidity.

Capital expenditures totaled \$324 million and \$343 million for the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Capital expenditures for full year 2011 are estimated to be \$1.9 billion.

Dividends paid to stockholders were \$1.2 billion for each of the first quarters of 2011 and 2010. In February 2011, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.38 per share on the Company 's common stock for the second quarter of 2011.

In April 2011, Merck announced that its Board of Directors has approved additional purchases of up to \$5 billion of Merck 's common stock for its treasury. The treasury stock purchase has no time limit and will be made over time on the open market, in block transactions or in privately negotiated transactions. The Company also has approximately \$1.4 billion remaining under the November 2009 treasury stock purchase authorization.

The Company has a \$2.0 billion, 364-day credit facility maturing in May 2011 and a \$2.0 billion credit facility maturing in August 2012. Both outstanding facilities provide backup liquidity for the Company 's commercial paper borrowing facility and are to be used for general corporate purposes. The Company has not drawn funding from either facility.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company 's significant accounting policies, which include management 's best estimates and judgments, are included in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 included in Merck 's Form 10-K filed on February 28, 2011. Certain of these accounting policies are considered critical as disclosed in the Critical Accounting Policies and Other Matters section of Management 's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in Merck 's Form 10-K because of the potential for a significant impact on the financial statements due to the inherent uncertainty in such estimates. There have been no significant changes in the Company 's critical accounting policies since December 31, 2010 other than with respect to guidance on revenue recognition adopted on January 1, 2011 as discussed in Note 1 to the interim consolidated financial statements.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Management of the Company, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company 's disclosure controls and procedures over financial reporting for the period

covered by this Form 10-Q. Based on this assessment, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of March 31, 2011, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. As previously disclosed, the Company is continuing its plans for integration of its business operations and the implementation of an enterprise wide resource planning system (SAP). These process modifications affect the design and operation of controls over financial reporting. With each implementation, the Company monitors the status of the business and financial operations and believes that an effective control environment has been maintained post-implementation.

- 46 -

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

This report and other written reports and oral statements made from time to time by the Company may contain so-called forward-looking statements, all of which are based on management's current expectations and are subject to risks and uncertainties which may cause results to differ materially from those set forth in the statements. One can identify these forward-looking statements by their use of words such as anticipates, expects, plans, will, estimates, forecasts, projects and other words of similar meaning. One can also identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. These statements are likely to address the Company's growth strategy, financial results, product development, product approvals, product potential and development programs. One must carefully consider any such statement and should understand that many factors could cause actual results to differ materially from the Company's forward-looking statements. These factors include inaccurate assumptions and a broad variety of other risks and uncertainties, including some that are known and some that are not. No forward-looking statement can be guaranteed and actual future results may vary materially.

The Company does not assume the obligation to update any forward-looking statement. One should carefully evaluate such statements in light of factors, including risk factors, described in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, especially on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K. In Item 1A. Risk Factors of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, as filed on February 28, 2011, the Company discusses in more detail various important risk factors that could cause actual results to differ from expected or historic results. The Company notes these factors for investors as permitted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. One should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all such factors. Consequently, the reader should not consider any such list to be a complete statement of all potential risks or uncertainties.

PART II Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The information called for by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to Note 9 included in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements (unaudited) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Number	Description
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Merck & Co., Inc. (November 3, 2009) Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 4, 2009
3.2	By-Laws of Merck & Co., Inc. (effective November 3, 2009) Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 4, 2009
10.1	Restricted Stock Unit Terms for 2011 Grants under the Merck & Co., Inc. 2010 Incentive Stock Plan
10.2	Stock Option Terms for a Non-Qualified Stock Option (NQSO) under the Merck & Co., Inc. 2010 Incentive Stock Plan
10.3	Restricted Stock Unit Terms for 2011 Grants under the Merck & Co., Inc. 2010 Incentive Stock Plan
10.4	Terms for 2011 Performance Share Units under the Merck & Co., Inc. 2010 Stock Incentive Plan
31.1	Rule 13a 14(a)/15d 14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Rule 13a 14(a)/15d 14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
101	The following materials from Merck & Co., Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Statement of Income, (ii) the Consolidated Balance Sheet, (iii) the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow, and (iv) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MERCK & CO., INC.

Date: May 9, 2011

/s/ Bruce N. Kuhlik
BRUCE N. KUHLIK
Executive Vice President and General
Counsel

Date: May 9, 2011

/s/ John Canan
JOHN CANAN
Senior Vice President Finance - Global
Controller

- 49 -

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Number	Description
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Merck & Co., Inc. (November 3, 2009) Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 4, 2009
3.2	By-Laws of Merck & Co., Inc. (effective November 3, 2009) Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 4, 2009
10.1	Restricted Stock Unit Terms for 2011 Grants under the Merck & Co., Inc. 2010 Incentive Stock Plan
10.2	Stock Option Terms for a Non-Qualified Stock Option (NQSO) under the Merck & Co., Inc. 2010 Incentive Stock Plan
10.3	Restricted Stock Unit Terms for 2011 Grants under the Merck & Co., Inc. 2010 Incentive Stock Plan
10.4	Terms for 2011 Performance Share Units under the Merck & Co., Inc. 2010 Stock Incentive Plan
31.1	Rule 13a 14(a)/15d 14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Rule 13a 14(a)/15d 14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
101	The following materials from Merck & Co., Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Statement of Income, (ii) the Consolidated Balance Sheet, (iii) the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow, and (iv) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.