

HFF, Inc.
Form DEF 14A
April 29, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A
INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

HFF, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the

Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

**HFF, INC.
ONE OXFORD CENTRE
301 GRANT STREET, SUITE 600
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15219**

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Date: May 26, 2011

Time: 8:30 a.m. Eastern Daylight Savings Time

Place: Rivers Club
One Oxford Centre (4th Floor)
301 Grant Street
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219

Purpose:

1. To elect three Class II directors to the Company's Board of Directors, each for a term of three years until their respective successors have been elected and qualified.
2. To hold a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers.
3. To hold a non-binding advisory vote on the frequency of the advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers.
4. To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent, registered certified public accountants.
5. To transact any other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the Proxy Statement accompanying this Notice. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please complete, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy promptly in the accompanying reply envelope.

You are entitled to vote if you were a stockholder at the close of business on April 15, 2011.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Nancy O. Goodson
Chief Operating Officer and Secretary

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
April 29, 2011

Admittance to the meeting will be limited to stockholders eligible to vote or their authorized representative(s). Beneficial owners holding shares through an intermediary such as a bank or broker will be admitted only upon proof of ownership.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on May 26, 2011: The Proxy Statement and Proxy Card relating to the Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Annual Report to Stockholders are available at <http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=205281&p=proxy>.

HFF, INC.
ONE OXFORD CENTRE
301 GRANT STREET, SUITE 600
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15219

PROXY STATEMENT

This Proxy Statement and the accompanying proxy card are being mailed, beginning on or about May 5, 2011, to owners of shares of HFF, Inc. (we , us or the Company) Class A common stock and Class B common stock in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting). This proxy procedure is necessary to permit all common stockholders, many of whom live throughout the United States and in foreign countries and are unable to attend the Annual Meeting, to vote. The Board of Directors encourages you to read this document thoroughly and to take this opportunity to vote on the matters to be decided at the Annual Meeting. The Annual Meeting will be held on May 26, 2011, at 8:30 a.m., Eastern Daylight Savings Time, at the Rivers Club, One Oxford Centre (4th Floor), 301 Grant Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219. Our principal executive offices are located at One Oxford Centre, 301 Grant Street, Suite 600, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219. Our telephone number is (412) 281-8714.

CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Special Note Regarding the Registrant</u>	1
<u>Voting Procedures</u>	2
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	4
<u>Election of Directors Item 1 on Proxy Card</u>	8
<u>Nominees for Class II Directors</u>	9
<u>Incumbent Class III Directors to Continue in Office for Terms Expiring in 2012</u>	10
<u>Incumbent Class I Directors to Continue in Office for Terms Expiring in 2013</u>	11
<u>Non-Binding Advisory Vote on the Compensation of our Named Executive Officers Item 2 on Proxy Card</u>	12
<u>Non-Binding Advisory Vote on the Frequency of an Advisory Vote on the Compensation of our Named Executive Officers Item 3 on Proxy Card</u>	13
<u>Ratification of Appointment of Independent, Registered Certified Public Accountants Item 4 on Proxy Card</u>	13
<u>Submission of Stockholder Proposals</u>	14
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	14
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	16
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	23
<u>Our Mission and Vision Statement</u>	23
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	24
<u>Grants of Plan Based Awards</u>	31
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End</u>	31
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	32
<u>Director Compensation</u>	33
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Directors and Officers</u>	34
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions</u>	35
<u>Executive Officers</u>	41
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	42
<u>Audit Fees</u>	42
<u>Audit-Related Fees</u>	42

<u>Tax Fees</u>	42
<u>All Other Fees</u>	42
<u>Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy</u>	42
<u>Householding</u>	43
<u>Other Business</u>	43

Table of Contents

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING THE REGISTRANT

In connection with our initial public offering of our Class A common stock in February 2007, we effected a reorganization of our business, which had previously been conducted through HFF Holdings LLC (HFF Holdings) and certain of its wholly owned subsidiaries, including Holliday Fenoglio Fowler, L.P. and HFF Securities L.P. (together, the Operating Partnerships) and Holliday GP Corp. (Holliday GP). In the reorganization, HFF, Inc., a newly-formed Delaware corporation, purchased from HFF Holdings all of the shares of Holliday GP, which is the sole general partner of each of the Operating Partnerships, and approximately 45% of the partnership units in each of the Operating Partnerships (including partnership units in the Operating Partnerships held by Holliday GP) in exchange for the net proceeds from the initial public offering and one share of Class B common stock of HFF, Inc. As of April 15, 2011, HFF Holdings had exchanged an additional 52.5% of the partnership units in each of the Operating Partnerships for shares of Class A common stock of the Company pursuant to the Exchange Right (as defined in this Proxy Statement). Following this reorganization, HFF, Inc. became and continues to be a holding company holding partnership units in the Operating Partnerships and all of the outstanding shares of Holliday GP. As of April 15, 2011, HFF, Inc. held approximately 97.2% of the partnership units in the Operating Partnerships. HFF Holdings and HFF, Inc., through their wholly-owned subsidiaries, are the only limited partners of the Operating Partnerships. We refer to these transactions collectively in this Proxy Statement as the Reorganization Transactions. Unless we state otherwise, the information in this Proxy Statement gives effect to these Reorganization Transactions.

Unless the context otherwise requires, references to (1) HFF Holdings refer solely to HFF Holdings LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that was previously the holding company for our consolidated subsidiaries, and not to any of its subsidiaries, (2) HFF LP refer to Holliday Fenoglio Fowler, L.P., a Texas limited partnership, (3) HFF Securities refer to HFF Securities L.P., a Delaware limited partnership and registered broker-dealer, (4) Holliday GP refer to Holliday GP Corp., a Delaware corporation and the general partner of HFF LP and HFF Securities, (5) HoldCo LLC refer to HFF Partnership Holdings LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and a wholly-owned subsidiary of HFF, Inc. and (6) Holdings Sub refer to HFF LP Acquisition LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and wholly-owned subsidiary of HFF Holdings. Our business operations are conducted by HFF LP and HFF Securities, which are sometimes referred to in this Proxy Statement as the Operating Partnerships. Also, except where specifically noted, references in this Proxy Statement to the Company, we or us mean HFF, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries after giving effect to the Reorganization Transactions.

Our internet website is www.hfflp.com. The information on our internet website is not incorporated by reference in this Proxy Statement and is not part of the proxy soliciting materials.

Table of Contents

VOTING PROCEDURES

Your vote is very important. Your shares can only be voted at the Annual Meeting if you are present or represented by proxy. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, you are encouraged to vote by proxy to assure that your shares will be represented. Stockholders may vote by means of completing a proxy card and mailing it in the postage-paid envelope provided. Please refer to your proxy card or the information forwarded by your bank, broker or other holder of record.

You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted at the Annual Meeting by (a) giving written notice to the Secretary of the Company, (b) submitting a proxy bearing a later date or (c) casting a ballot at the Annual Meeting. Properly executed proxies that are received before the Annual Meeting's adjournment will be voted in accordance with the directions provided. If no directions are given, your shares will be voted by one of the individuals named on your proxy card as recommended by the Board of Directors.

Who can vote? Stockholders of record as of the close of business on April 15, 2011 are entitled to vote. On that day, 35,958,521 shares of Class A common stock and one share of Class B common stock were outstanding and eligible to vote. Each share of our Class A common stock will entitle its holder to one vote on all matters to be voted on by stockholders at the Annual Meeting. Class B common stock entitles its holder, HFF Holdings, to a number of votes that is equal to the total number of shares of Class A common stock for which the partnership units that HFF Holdings holds in the Operating Partnerships as of April 15, 2011 are exchangeable. A list of stockholders eligible to vote will be available at the headquarters of HFF, Inc. located at One Oxford Centre, 301 Grant Street, Suite 600, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219, beginning May 16, 2011. Stockholders may examine this list during normal business hours for any purpose relating to the Annual Meeting.

How does the Board of Directors recommend I vote? The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** each Board of Directors nominee (Item 1), **FOR** the approval of the executive compensation resolution (Item 2), **FOR** the frequency of the named executive officer compensation advisory vote to occur once every three years (Item 3) and **FOR** the ratification of the Board of Directors' appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent, registered certified public accountants of the Company for the upcoming year (Item 4).

What shares are included in the proxy card? The proxy card represents all the shares of common stock registered to your account.

How do I vote by proxy? Stockholders may vote by proxy by returning the proxy card through the mail. To vote, sign and date each proxy card you receive, mark the boxes indicating how you wish to vote and return the proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided.

How are votes counted? The Annual Meeting will be held if a quorum, consisting of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote, is represented. Broker non-votes, votes withheld and abstentions will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum has been reached.

Director elections (Item 1 on the proxy card) are determined by a plurality of the votes cast. Ratification of the appointment of our independent, registered public accounting firm (Item 4 on the proxy card) requires the affirmative vote of a majority of eligible shares present at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, and voting thereon.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the eligible shares present at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, and voting thereon will constitute the stockholders' non-binding approval with respect to the compensation of the

Company's named executive officers (Item 2 on the proxy card). The option of every one, two or three years that receives the highest number of votes cast the eligible shares present at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, and voting thereon will constitute the stockholders' non-binding vote with respect to the frequency of the advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers (Item 3 on the proxy card). Because the advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers and the advisory vote on the frequency of the advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers are advisory votes, these votes are not binding on the Company. However, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors values the opinions expressed by the stockholders in their votes on these Items and therefore will take such votes into consideration when evaluating such matters.

When nominees, such as banks and brokers, holding shares on behalf of beneficial owners do not receive voting instructions from the beneficial owners

Table of Contents

by the tenth day before the Annual Meeting, the nominees may vote those shares only on matters deemed routine by the New York Stock Exchange, such as the ratification of the appointment of independent, registered certified public accountants. On non-routine matters, such as the election of directors, the advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers and the advisory vote on the frequency of the advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, nominees cannot vote and there is a so-called "broker non-vote" on that matter. Abstentions are counted in tabulations of the votes cast by stockholders on the proposals and will have the effect of a negative vote.

If no specific instructions are given by the stockholder of record, proxies which are signed and returned will be voted **FOR** the election of the Director nominees set forth in this proxy statement, **FOR** the advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, **FOR** holding the advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers once every three years, and **FOR** the proposal to ratify Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent, registered certified public accountants.

Who will count the vote? The Company's transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, will tally the vote, which will be certified by an Inspector of Elections.

Who is soliciting this proxy? Solicitation of proxies is made on behalf of the Board of Directors. The Company will pay the cost of preparing, assembling and mailing the notice of Annual Meeting, this Proxy Statement and the accompanying proxy card. In addition to the use of mail, proxies may be solicited by directors, officers and regular employees of the Company, without additional compensation. Proxies may be solicited by mail, in person or by telephone or other electronic means. The Company will reimburse brokerage houses and other nominees for their expenses in forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners of the Company's Class A common stock.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

In accordance with Delaware General Corporation Law and the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Amended and Restated Bylaws, the Company's business, property and affairs are managed under the direction of the Board of Directors. Although directors are not involved in the day-to-day operating details, they are kept informed of the Company's business through written reports and documents provided to them regularly, as well as by operating, financial and other reports presented by the officers of the Company at meetings of the Board of Directors and committees of the Board of Directors.

Meetings of the Board of Directors and its Committees. The Board of Directors had seventeen meetings during 2010. All of the incumbent directors in the aggregate attended at least 75% of the Board of Directors and assigned committee meetings.

Attendance at the Annual Meeting. The Company strongly encourages each of its directors to attend its Annual Meeting of Stockholders. In 2010, all of the Company's nine directors attended the Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Director Independence. The Board of Directors has determined that the following directors are independent under the independence standards promulgated by the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE): Deborah H. McAneny, Susan P. McGalla, George L. Miles, Jr., Lenore M. Sullivan and Steven E. Wheeler. John Z. Kukral, who stepped down from the Board effective as of the 2010 Annual Meeting, had been determined by the Board to be independent under the NYSE independence standards. In making its determinations regarding director and director nominee independence, the Board of Directors considered, among other things:

any material relationships with the Company, its subsidiaries or its management, aside from such director's or director nominee's service as a director;

transactions between the Company, on the one hand, and the directors and director nominees and their respective affiliates, on the other hand;

transactions outside the ordinary course of business between the Company and companies at which some of its directors are or have been executive officers or significant stakeholders, and the amount of any such transactions with these companies; and

relationships among the directors and director nominees with respect to common involvement with for-profit and non-profit organizations.

The independent directors of the Company meet periodically at regularly scheduled executive sessions without the non-independent directors. Ms. McAneny serves as the presiding director of such meetings.

Board Leadership. John H. Pelusi, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, John P. Fowler, Mark D. Gibson and Joe B. Thornton, Jr., each of whom is also a transaction professional of the Operating Partnerships and each of whom also serves as Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors. Ms. McAneny serves as lead independent director. The role of lead independent director is to serve in a lead capacity to coordinate the activities of the other non-employee directors and to perform such other duties and responsibilities as the Board of Directors may determine.

The Board of Directors has carefully considered its leadership structure and believes at this time that the Company and its stockholders are best served by having Mr. Pelusi and the other employee directors serve as Vice Chairmen of

the Board of Directors because of the leadership and direction this structure gives the Board of Directors and both the Company's and the Operating Partnerships' management. Because Messrs. Pelusi, Gibson, Thornton and Fowler are heavily involved in the day-to-day operations of the Company and the Operating Partnerships, the Board of Directors believes that this structure promotes a more clear focus for the execution of the Company's and the Operating Partnerships' strategic initiatives and business plans, all of which are approved by the Board of Directors. Moreover, the Board of Directors believes that its other structural features, including a majority of independent directors, regular meetings of the non-management directors in executive session, key committees consisting wholly of independent directors and a lead independent director, provide substantial independent oversight of the Company's and the Operating Partnerships' management. However, the Board of Directors recognizes that, depending on future circumstances, other leadership models may become more appropriate. Accordingly, the Board of Directors will continue to periodically review its leadership structure.

Table of Contents

Committees of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors has established three standing committees.

Audit Committee In 2010, the Audit Committee had six meetings. The Audit Committee is responsible for, among other things, directly appointing, retaining, evaluating, compensating and terminating our independent, registered public accounting firm; discussing with our independent, registered public accounting firm their independence from management; reviewing with our independent, registered public accounting firm the scope and results of their audit; pre-approving all audit and permissible non-audit services to be performed by the independent, registered public accounting firm; overseeing the financial reporting process and discussing with management and our independent, registered public accounting firm the interim and annual financial statements that we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission; and reviewing and monitoring our accounting principles, policies and financial and accounting controls. The Board of Directors of the Company has adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee, which is publicly available at www.hfflp.com on the Investor Relations page. The members of the Audit Committee are currently George L. Miles, Jr. (chairperson), Deborah H. McAneny and Susan P. McGalla. The Board of Directors has determined that each of the members of the Audit Committee is independent under the listing standards promulgated by the NYSE and as that term is used in Section 10A(m)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Miles qualifies as an Audit Committee financial expert as that term is defined by applicable securities laws and Securities and Exchange Commission regulations, and has designated him as the Audit Committee's financial expert.

Compensation Committee There were nine meetings of the Compensation Committee in 2010. The Compensation Committee is responsible for, among other things, reviewing and recommending director compensation policies to the Board of Directors; making recommendations, at least annually, to the Board of Directors regarding our policies relating to the amounts and terms of all compensation of our executive officers; and administering and discharging the authority of the Board of Directors with respect to our equity plans. For further information regarding the Compensation Committee's processes and procedures for the consideration of executive compensation, refer to the discussion under the heading Compensation Discussion and Analysis in this Proxy Statement. A copy of the Compensation Committee's written charter is publicly available at www.hfflp.com on the Investor Relations page. The members of the Compensation Committee are currently Lenore M. Sullivan (chairperson), George L. Miles, Jr. and Steven E. Wheeler. The Board of Directors has determined that each of the members of the Compensation Committee is independent under the listing standards of the NYSE, and each member is an outside director within the meaning of the Treasury Regulations promulgated under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee In 2010, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met five times. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for, among other things, selecting potential candidates to be nominated for election to the Board of Directors; recommending potential candidates for election to the Board of Directors; reviewing corporate governance matters; and making recommendations to the Board of Directors concerning the structure and membership of other Board of Directors committees. While the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not have a formal policy with regard to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board of Directors believe it is essential that the Board of Directors is able to draw on a wide variety of backgrounds and professional experiences among its members. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee desires to maintain the Board of Directors' diversity through the consideration of factors such as gender, education, skills and relevant professional and industry experience. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not intend to nominate representational directors but instead considers each candidate's credentials in the context of these standards and the characteristics of the Board of Directors in its entirety. A copy of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's written charter is publicly available at www.hfflp.com on the Investor Relations page. The members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are currently Deborah H. McAneny (chairperson), Susan P. McGalla, Lenore M. Sullivan and Steven E. Wheeler. The Board of Directors has determined that each of the members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is

independent under the listing standards of the NYSE.

Table of Contents

Stockholder Communications. Stockholders and other parties interested in communicating directly with any of the individuals who are directors of the Company or the Board of Directors as a group may do so by writing to Investor Relations, HFF, Inc., One Oxford Centre, 301 Grant Street, Suite 600, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219. The Company's policy is to deliver such communications directly to the Board of Directors.

Code of Conduct and Ethics and Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Board of Directors is committed to ethical business practices and has adopted a Code of Conduct and Ethics. This Code applies to all of the Company's employees and directors and includes the code of ethics for the Company's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller within the meaning of the Securities and Exchange Commission regulations adopted under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended. The Company's Code of Conduct and Ethics, as well as the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, is posted on the Company's website at <http://www.hfflp.com> on the Investor Relations page.

Risk Oversight. The Company faces a number of risks, including market risks, credit risk, liquidity risk, reputational risk, operational risk and risks from adverse fluctuations in interest rates and inflation and/or deflation. Management is responsible for the day-to-day management of risks faced by the Company, while the Board of Directors, as a whole and through its committees, has responsibility for the oversight of risk management. In its risk oversight role, the Board of Directors seeks to ensure that the risk management processes designed and implemented by management are adequate. The Board of Directors periodically consults with management regarding the Company's risks. In addition, the Audit Committee periodically reviews with management, internal audit and independent auditors the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's policies for assessing and managing risk.

Director Compensation. Our policy is not to pay director compensation to directors who are also our employees. Each outside director was paid a base annual retainer of \$50,000 in 2010 and a one-time special \$10,000 cash retainer as discussed below. Outside directors also receive an annual grant of restricted stock units based on the Company's Class A common stock with a market value of \$40,000 on the grant date, vesting immediately and distributable over three years (or such longer term as elected by such director). Until and including 2010, each newly-elected outside director received an initial election grant of options to purchase shares of our Class A common stock with a Black-Scholes (or similar valuation method) value of \$30,000, which vest annually over three years. Re-elected outside directors received grants of options to purchase Class A common stock identical to those granted to newly-elected directors.

In 2010, the Compensation Committee of the Board engaged Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (Frederic Cook) as its independent compensation consultant to advise the Compensation Committee on the Company's director compensation policies. Following the Compensation Committee's discussions with Frederic Cook, in December 2010 the Board, upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, adopted an increase in base annual retainer for outside directors to \$55,000 for 2011. In addition, the Board, upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, approved a one-time special \$10,000 cash retainer payment to each of the independent directors, and an additional \$5,000 cash retainer payment to Ms. McAneny, as lead independent director, in connection with the independent directors' increased time and commitment in connection with strategic planning activities in 2010. The Board also determined, upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, that an additional annual retainer of \$15,000 should be paid to the lead independent director for future calendar years.

In 2010, the chair of the Audit Committee received an additional annual retainer of \$10,000 and the chair of each of the Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee received an additional annual retainer of \$5,000. In December 2010 and in connection with the Compensation Committee's discussions with Frederic Cook, the Board, upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, adopted an increase in the additional annual retainer payable to the chair of the Audit Committee to \$15,000, the chair of the Compensation Committee to \$10,000 and the chair of the Nominating and Governance Committee to \$7,500.

We reimburse all non-employee directors for reasonable expenses incurred to attend meetings of our Board of Directors or committees. Other than as described above, we do not expect to provide any of our directors with any other compensation or perquisites.

Table of Contents

In addition to the payments described above, we allow voluntary deferral by our directors of up to 100% of the cash retainer, committee fees and equity awards to a future date elected by the director. The deferred retainer and fees are deemed invested in an investment fund based upon our Class A common stock or another investment vehicle such as an interest-bearing cash account.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions. We have a policy that the Board of Directors or a committee designated by the Board of Directors review any transaction in which the Company and its directors, executive officers or their immediate family members are participants to determine whether a related party has a direct or indirect material interest in the transaction. Upon determining that a related party has a direct or indirect material interest in the transaction, the Board of Directors, or a committee designated by the Board of Directors, then must approve or ratify any such related party transaction. In determining whether to approve or ratify a related party transaction, the Board of Directors, or a committee designated by the Board of Directors, will take into account whether the transaction is on terms no less favorable to the Company than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related party's interest in the transaction, as well as any other factors the Board of Directors, or a committee designated by the Board of Directors, deems appropriate. During 2010, there were no related party transactions that were required to be approved by the Board of Directors. This policy has been stated orally and is complimented by the written conflict of interest policy in our Code of Conduct and Ethics.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation. During 2010, no member of the Compensation Committee was an officer or employee of the Company, or any of its subsidiaries, or was formerly an officer of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. No member of the Compensation Committee had any relationship requiring disclosure by the Company under any paragraph of Item 404 of Regulation S-K. Furthermore, no member of the Compensation Committee had a relationship that requires disclosure under Item 407(e)(4) of Regulation S-K.

Submission of Director Nominations. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider director nominees submitted by stockholders to the Board of Directors in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Company's Amended and Restated Bylaws. Those procedures require a stockholder to deliver notice to the Company's Secretary or Assistant Secretary at the principal executive offices of the Company not less than 90 nor more than 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting of stockholders, except that in the case where the size of the Board of Directors is increased without public announcement at least 80 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting, such notice shall be considered timely if made no later than the close of business on the tenth day following the public announcement of such by the Company (provided that if no public announcement is made at least 10 days before the meeting, such notice is not required). Such notice must be in writing and must include (i) the name and address of the nominating stockholder, as they appear on the Company's books, (ii) the class and number of shares of the Company's stock which are owned beneficially and of record by the nominating stockholder, (iii) certain representations, (iv) the nominee's written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as a director if elected, and (iv) any information regarding the nominee that is required under Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act to be included in a proxy statement relating to the election of directors. Finally, if the stockholder (or a qualified representative of the stockholder) does not appear at the meeting at which the voting takes place with respect to such stockholder's nomination, such nomination shall be disregarded, notwithstanding that proxies in respect of such vote may have been received by the Company. Candidates for the Board of Directors are evaluated through a process that may include background and reference checks, personal interviews with members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and a review of the candidate's qualifications and other relevant characteristics. Candidates recommended by the stockholders of the Company are evaluated on the same basis as other candidates (other than directors standing for re-election) recommended by the Company's directors, officers, third party search firms or other sources. However, through its own resources, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee expects to be able to identify an ample number of qualified candidates.

Table of Contents

**ELECTION OF DIRECTORS
ITEM 1 ON PROXY CARD**

The Company's directors are divided into three classes. The members of each class serve for a staggered, three-year term. Upon the expiration of the term of a class of directors, directors in that class will be elected for three-year terms at the annual meeting of stockholders in the year in which their term expires. Any additional directorships resulting from an increase in the number of directors will be distributed among the three classes so that, as nearly as possible, each class will consist of one-third of our directors. The Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Amended and Restated Bylaws allow the Board of Directors to set the number of directors on the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors currently consists of nine directors. Under Delaware law and the Company's Amended and Restated Bylaws, the Company may increase the number of directors during the year and appoint additional directors to fill the vacancies so created if it chooses to do so.

The term of office of one class of directors expires each year in rotation so that one class is elected at each annual meeting of stockholders for a three-year term. The term of the Class II directors will expire at the Annual Meeting. The other directors will remain in office for the remainder of their respective terms, as indicated below.

Director candidates are nominated by the Board of Directors upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has recommended the three nominees below, each of whom is currently a director of the Company. Stockholders are also entitled to nominate director candidates for the Board of Directors in accordance with the procedures set forth on page 5 under the heading "Corporate Governance - Submission of Director Nominations" in this Proxy Statement.

Director elections are determined by a plurality of votes cast. The persons named on the accompanying form of proxy will vote the shares **FOR** the nominees, unless you instruct otherwise. Each nominee has consented to stand for election and the Board of Directors does not anticipate that any nominee will be unavailable to serve. In the event that one or more of the nominees should become unavailable to serve at the time of the Annual Meeting, the shares represented by proxy will be voted for the remaining nominees and any substitute nominee(s) designated by the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors believes that each of the directors and nominees for director listed below has the sound character, integrity, judgment and record of achievement necessary to be a member of the Board of Directors. In addition, each of the directors and nominees for director has exhibited during his prior service as a director the ability to operate cohesively with the other members of the Board of Directors and to challenge and question management in a constructive way. Moreover, the Board of Directors believes that each director and nominee for director brings a strong and unique background and skill set to the Board of Directors, giving the Board of Directors as a whole competence and experience in diverse areas, including corporate governance and board service, finance, management and commercial real estate industry experience.

Set forth below is information regarding each nominee for Class II director, as well as each Class III and Class I director, each of whose term will continue after the Annual Meeting, including their ages, years of service as directors, business experience and service on other boards of directors during at least the last five years, as well as certain specific experiences, qualifications and skills that led to the Board of Directors' conclusion that each of the directors and nominees for director listed below should continue to serve as a director.

Table of Contents**NOMINEES FOR CLASS II DIRECTORS**

Mark D. Gibson. Mr. Gibson became a director and Vice Chairman of HFF, Inc. in November 2006. Mr. Gibson agreed to resign from his directorship in May 2009 to insure that the Company remained in compliance with the listing standards of the NYSE at such time, and he was re-elected to the Board as a director and Vice Chairman in October 2009. Mr. Gibson is one of our founding partners having joined our predecessor firm, Holliday Fenoglio & Company, in 1984. Mr. Gibson has held the position of executive managing director of HFF LP since 2003, served as a member of either HFF LP's executive and/or operating committee, when each was the governing committee, from 2003 to 2010 and has served as a member of HFF LP's newly-formed executive committee since 2010. Mr. Gibson also served as the co-office head of our Dallas office from 2003 through 2010 and currently leads the Company's investment sales, HFF Securities and investment banking business lines. He has also been a member of the operating committee of HFF Holdings since 2003. Mr. Gibson is a member of IOPC Gold in the Urban Land Institute; chairman of the University of Texas Real Estate Finance and Investment Center; a member of the board of visitors at UT Southwestern University Hospitals and Clinics; member of the McCombs School of Business Advisory Council at The University of Texas at Austin; board member of Baylor Health Care System Foundation; chairman of the Real Estate Council of Dallas; and a member of Young Presidents Organization/WPO. Mr. Gibson graduated in 1981 from the University of Texas at Austin with a B.B.A. in Finance. Mr. Gibson's history with the Company allows him to bring to the Board of Directors a deep knowledge of the Company's and the Operating Partnerships' development and operations. In addition, Mr. Gibson's experience with various real estate industry professional associations and role within the Operating Partnerships provides the Board with valuable insight into the issues and market developments facing the real estate industry as a whole. Age: 52

George L. Miles, Jr. Mr. Miles became a director of HFF, Inc. in January 2007. Mr. Miles is the executive chairman of Chester Engineers, a leading water/waste water, facility design build, scientific research and management company. Mr. Miles served as president and chief executive officer of WQED Multimedia, the public broadcaster in southwestern Pennsylvania, until his retirement in 2010. He joined WQED in 1994 after serving ten years as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of WNET/Thirteen in New York. Prior to that, he held executive positions at KDKA, Pittsburgh; WPCQ, Charlotte; the Westinghouse Television Group; and WBZ-TV, Boston. Earlier in Mr. Miles' career he was a contract auditor at the U.S. Department of Defense and a manager at Touche Ross & Co. He serves on the board of directors of American International Group, Inc. (AIG); WESCO International, Inc.; EQT Corporation; Harley Davidson, Inc.; Chester Engineers; the University of Pittsburgh; Mt. Ararat Community Center and YWCA Nathan's Franchise Board. He is the former Chairman of the Association for America's Public Television Stations and the Urban League of Pittsburgh, Inc. He earned his B.A. degree from Seton Hall University and his M.B.A. from Fairleigh Dickinson University. Through Mr. Miles' extensive executive and directorship experience, he brings to the Board of Directors strong financial and leadership expertise, which he implements, in part, in his roles as Chairman of the Company's Audit Committee and a member of the Compensation Committee. Age: 69

Joe B. Thornton, Jr. Mr. Thornton became a director and a Vice Chairman of HFF, Inc. in November 2006. In addition, he holds the position of executive managing director of HFF LP. Mr. Thornton has served as a member of the newly-formed executive committee of HFF LP since 2010 and currently leads our note sales line of business. Previously, Mr. Thornton served as a member of either HFF LP's executive and/or operating committee, when each was the governing committee, from 2003 to 2010 and also served as co-head of the Company's Dallas office during that time period. Mr. Thornton has also been a member of the operating committee of HFF Holdings since 2003. Mr. Thornton joined HFF LP's predecessor firm, Holliday Fenoglio, Inc., in March 1992. He has held several senior positions with the firm, including Board member and principal. Prior to his employment with us, he was a senior vice president of The Joyner Mortgage Company, Inc., where he was responsible for the origination of commercial

mortgage and equity transactions, and a senior accountant with the Audit Division of Peat Marwick Mitchell & Co. Mr. Thornton is a licensed Real Estate Salesman in the State of Texas. Mr. Thornton graduated from the University of Texas at Austin with a B.B.A. in Accounting in 1982. Mr. Thornton brings to the Board of Directors his extensive experience with the Company, which gives him an in-depth knowledge of the Company, its history and its businesses. Mr. Thornton's role within the Operating Partnerships also provides the Board of Directors with a broad awareness of the commercial real estate markets. Age: 50

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees listed above.

Table of Contents**INCUMBENT CLASS III DIRECTORS TO CONTINUE IN OFFICE FOR
TERMS EXPIRING IN 2012**

John P. Fowler. Mr. Fowler became a director and Vice Chairman of HFF, Inc. in November 2006. In addition, he has been an executive managing director of HFF LP since 2003. Mr. Fowler served as a member of either HFF LP's executive and/or operating committee, when each was the governing committee, from 2003 to 2010 and has served as a member of HFF LP's newly-formed executive committee since 2010. Mr. Fowler has been a member of the operating committee of HFF Holdings since 2003. Mr. Fowler began his career in the real estate finance business in 1968 and spent four years in the Real Estate Department of John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company. In 1972 he joined a New England-based mortgage banking and development company, and in 1974 formed Fowler, Goedecke & Co., a predecessor to Fowler Goedecke Ellis & O'Connor, Inc., which was merged into our predecessor in 1998. Mr. Fowler is active in the Urban Land Institute; Real Estate Finance Association; Mortgage Bankers Association; International Council of Shopping Centers; National Association of Industrial & Office Properties; and Artery Business Committee. He received his B.A. from Brown University. Through his professional experiences and role within the Operating Partnerships, Mr. Fowler provides the Board of Directors with a wealth of understanding of the commercial real estate markets and veteran insights into the needs of, and challenges facing, the Company and its clients. Age: 65

Susan P. McGalla. Ms. McGalla became a director of HFF, Inc. in October 2009. In 2011, Ms. McGalla was named chief executive officer of The Wet Seal, Inc. From 2009 to 2011, Ms. McGalla worked as a retail consultant to corporations in the retail and financial industries. From 1994 to 2009, Ms. McGalla held various management positions with American Eagle Outfitters, Inc. In 2003, she was named president and chief merchandising officer of the AE Brand. From 2007 to 2009, she was the president and chief merchandising officer of AEO, Inc., responsible for the design, marketing, revenues, and performance for the corporation, including four brands and the e-commerce business. In addition, in this position Ms. McGalla led the development and launch of two of the company's start-up brands, aerie and 77 kids. Prior to AEO, Ms. McGalla spent 8 years in various merchandising and management positions in the department store retail sector. Ms. McGalla currently provides retail consulting for the financial community. She serves on the board of directors of the Wet Seal, Inc., the board of trustees for the University of Pittsburgh and the council for the University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute and was formerly on the executive committee and board of directors for the Allegheny Conference on Community Development. Ms. McGalla earned her B.A. from Mount Union College. Ms. McGalla's executive positions provided her with both leadership skills and comprehensive experience in accounting, finance and corporate governance matters, which she utilizes both in her roles as director and on the Company's Audit and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees. Age: 46

Lenore M. Sullivan. Ms. Sullivan became a director on the Board of Directors of HFF, Inc. in January 2007. From 2007 to 2009, Ms. Sullivan was a partner with Perella Weinberg Partners, serving as portfolio manager for the firm's Agility Real Return Assets Fund. Ms. Sullivan served as the associate director for the Real Estate and Finance and Investment Center at the University of Texas at Austin from 2002 through 2007. From 2000 to 2002, she was vice president of Hunt Private Equity Group, Inc., and from 1992 to 2000 she was the president and co-owner of Stonegate Advisors, an investment banking firm. Ms. Sullivan is a member of the board of directors of Parkway Properties, Inc., where she also sits on the compensation committee and chairs the governance and nominating committee; a member of the University of Texas Architecture School advisory board; a Charter Investor in the Texas Women Ventures Fund, and sits on the investment advisory and investment committees of the fund; and a partner in Republic Holdings Texas, L.P., and sits on its investment committee. Ms. Sullivan serves on the advisory board of the University of Texas Architecture School, the investment advisory council of the Employee Retirement System of Texas and the investment advisory board of the Austin Community Foundation. Ms. Sullivan has also served as a member of the advisory board of directors of Capstone Partners and is a full member of the Urban Land Institute and the Pension

Real Estate Association. Ms. Sullivan graduated cum laude from Smith College with a degree in economics and government and a minor in urban studies. She holds a M.B.A. from Harvard Business School. Ms. Sullivan brings to the Board of Directors extensive knowledge of real estate financing and related capital markets. In addition, her experience on the board of directors of a public company provides her with valuable corporate governance and leadership insights used in her role on the Company's Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees. Age: 53

Table of Contents

**INCUMBENT CLASS I DIRECTORS TO CONTINUE IN OFFICE FOR
TERMS EXPIRING IN 2013**

Deborah H. McAneny. Ms. McAneny became a director of HFF, Inc. in January 2007. Ms. McAneny previously served as the chief operating officer of Benchmark Assisted Living, LLC from 2007 to 2009. Prior to this, Ms. McAneny was employed at John Hancock Financial Services for 20 years, including as executive vice president for Structured and Alternative Investments and a member of its policy committee from 2002 to 2004, as senior vice president for John Hancock's Real Estate Investment Group from 2000 to 2002 and as a vice president of the Real Estate Investment Group from 1997 to 2000. Ms. McAneny is currently a member of the board of directors of KKR Financial Holdings, LLC; board of directors of Benchmark Assisted Living, LLC; board of trustees of the University of Vermont; and board of trustees of The Rivers School, and was formerly president of the Commercial Mortgage Securities Association. She received a B.S. from the University of Vermont. Ms. McAneny holds an Advanced Professional Director Certification from the American College of Corporate Directors, a national public company director education and credentialing organization. Ms. McAneny brings to the Board of Directors valuable experience in the real estate investment sector. In addition, the Board expects to utilize Ms. McAneny's governance experience through her service as lead independent director and draws on Ms. McAneny's financial knowledge through her service on the Audit Committee. Age: 52

John H. Pelusi, Jr. Mr. Pelusi has served as a director, Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of HFF, Inc. since its inception in November 2006. He is also currently an executive managing director of HFF LP, a position he has held since 2001. Mr. Pelusi is the managing member of HFF LP, a position he has held since 2003 and has served as a member of either HFF LP's executive and/or operating committee, when each was the governing committee, from 2003 to 2010. Mr. Pelusi has served as a member of HFF LP's newly-formed executive committee since 2010 and in addition to his other duties, also currently leads our national retail practice group. He has also been both the managing member and a member of the operating committee of HFF Holdings since 2003. Mr. Pelusi has over 30 years of experience in commercial real estate, including investment sales, note sales, debt placement, equity, structured finance and loan servicing. Mr. Pelusi joined HFF LP in May 1998, and prior to that he was the managing partner of PNS Realty Partners, L.P. Mr. Pelusi is currently a member of the board of trustees for the University of Pittsburgh; the board of directors for the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center; the board of trustees for the Holy Family Institute; and the board of directors for the Manchester Bidwell Corporation. He is also a member of the Real Estate Roundtable; the International Council of Shopping Centers (ICSC); and the Mortgage Bankers Association. He received a B.A.S. and M.P.A. from the University of Pittsburgh. As Chief Executive Officer and Vice Chairman of the Company, managing member of HFF LP and a member of HFF LP's executive committee, Mr. Pelusi brings to the Board of Directors a comprehensive knowledge of the Company, its operations and management's strategies. In addition, he provides the Board of Directors with seasoned insight into the issues controlling the commercial real estate business as well as current market developments from his extensive leadership experience and network in the industry. Age: 56

Steven E. Wheeler. Mr. Wheeler became a director of HFF, Inc. in March 2010. He has been the president of Wheeler & Co., LLC, a private investment firm, and a principal of Hall Properties, Inc., a private real estate advisory and investment firm, since 1997. He is also currently a director of Anika Therapeutics, Inc., a publicly held medical device company; Bariston Partners, LLC, a private equity investment firm; PingTone Communications, Inc.; and The 81 Beacon Street Corporation. Between 1993 and February 1996, he was managing director and a director of Copley Real Estate Advisors and president, chief executive officer and a director of Copley Properties, Inc., a publicly traded real estate investment trust. He was the chairman and chief executive officer of Hancock Realty Investors, which manages an equity real estate portfolio, from 1991 to February 1993. Prior to this position, he was an executive vice president of Bank of New England Corporation from 1990 to 1991. Mr. Wheeler received a B.S. in Engineering from the University of Virginia, an M.S. in Nuclear Engineering from the University of Michigan and an M.B.A. from the

Harvard Business School. Through his past and present experience on the boards of directors of various other companies, both public and private, Mr. Wheeler has developed strong leadership skills and valuable experience in corporate governance, which he utilizes in his roles on the Company's Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees. In addition, his prior experience in executive positions at real estate investment companies gives him insight into the issues faced by the Company and the markets in which it operates. Age: 64

Table of Contents

**NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE ON
THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS
ITEM 2 ON PROXY CARD**

As required by Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), the Company is providing its stockholders with the opportunity to cast an advisory vote on the compensation of its named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion beginning on page 12 of this Proxy Statement.

In connection with setting the compensation for executive officers, the Company has adopted the philosophy set forth in the Mission and Vision Statement of the Operating Partnerships. The Mission and Vision Statement reflects our pay for value-added performance philosophy. The Committee's goals in structuring the Company's compensation program for its named executive officers are to:

provide incentives to achieve Company financial objectives;

provide long-term incentives for the executive officers; and

set compensation levels sufficiently competitive to retain and attract high quality executives and to motivate them to contribute to the Company's success.

The Committee has determined that to achieve these objectives, the Company's executive compensation program should reward both individual and Company short-term and long-term performance. To this end, the Committee believes that executive compensation packages provided by the Company to its executive officers should include both cash- and stock-based compensation. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Compensation Philosophy Mission and Vision Statement for further details on the Company's compensation philosophy.

For a more detailed description of the Company's financial results for fiscal year 2010, please see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010. The Company believes that its executive compensation program has played an essential role in its continuing financial success by aligning the long-term interests of its named executive officers with the long-term interests of its stockholders.

The Company is asking our stockholders to indicate their support for our named executive officer compensation as described in this Proxy Statement. This proposal, commonly known as a say-on-pay proposal, gives our stockholders the opportunity to express their views on our named executive officers' compensation. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this Proxy Statement. Accordingly, the Board of Directors encourages the Company's stockholders to approve the following resolution (the Executive Compensation Resolution):

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion included in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, is hereby approved on an advisory basis.

As an advisory vote, this vote is not binding upon the Company. However, the Compensation Committee, which is responsible for designing and administering the Company's executive compensation program, values the opinions

expressed by stockholders in their vote on this item and therefore will take such vote into consideration when evaluating the Company's compensation programs and practices applicable to the named executive officers.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the approval of the non-binding advisory resolution approving the compensation of the Company's named executive officers.

Table of Contents

**NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF AN ADVISORY VOTE ON
THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS
ITEM 3 ON PROXY CARD**

As required by Section 14A of the Exchange Act, the Company is seeking the input of its stockholders on the frequency with which it will hold a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of its named executive officers. In voting on this Item 3, stockholders may indicate their preference as to whether the advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers should occur (a) once every three years, (b) once every two years or (c) once every year.

It is the opinion of the Board of Directors that the frequency of the stockholder vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers should be once every three years. The Company views the way it compensates its named executive officers as an essential part of its strategy to maximize the performance of the Company and deliver enhanced value to the Company's stockholders. The Board believes that a vote every three years will permit the Company to focus on developing compensation practices that are in the best long-term interests of its stockholders. The Board believes that a more frequent advisory vote may cause the Company to focus on the short-term impact of its compensation practices to the possible detriment of the long-term performance of the Company.

The Company's stockholders may cast a vote on the preferred voting frequency by selecting the option of one year, two years or three years (or abstain) when voting in response to the resolution set forth below:

RESOLVED, that the option of once every one year, two years, or three years that receives the highest number of votes cast for this resolution will be determined to be the preferred frequency with which the Company is to hold a stockholder vote to approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion included in the Company's proxy statement for the annual meeting of stockholders.

The Board of Directors believes that an advisory vote on named executive officer compensation is the most effective way for stockholders to communicate with the Company about its compensation objectives, policies and practices, and it looks forward to receiving the input of the Company's stockholders on the frequency with which such a vote should be held. Although the results of this vote will have a major impact on how frequently the Company holds an advisory vote on named executive officer compensation, this vote is not binding on the Company. The Board of Directors may decide, after considering the results of this vote, that it is in the best interests of the Company's stockholders to hold the advisory vote on named executive officer compensation on a different schedule than the option selected by the Company's stockholders.

The Board of Directors recommends that the stockholders vote for the option of once every Three Years for the frequency of the advisory vote of the compensation of the Company's named executive officers.

**RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF
INDEPENDENT, REGISTERED CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
ITEM 4 ON PROXY CARD**

The Board of Directors, acting upon the recommendation of the Audit Committee, asks that the stockholders ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent, registered certified public accountants to audit and report upon the financial statements of the Company for the 2011 fiscal year. Ratification requires the affirmative vote of a majority of eligible shares present at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, and voting thereon. Unless

otherwise specified by the stockholders, the shares of stock represented by the proxy will be voted **FOR** ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent, registered certified public accountants.

Although the submission to stockholders of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP is not required by law or the Company's amended and restated bylaws, the Audit Committee believes it is appropriate to submit

Table of Contents

this matter to stockholders to allow a forum for stockholders to express their views with regard to the Audit Committee's selection. In the event the stockholders fail to ratify the appointment, the Board of Directors will reconsider its selection. Even if the selection is ratified, the Board of Directors, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a different independent accounting firm at any time during the year if the Board of Directors determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

One or more representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to be at the Annual Meeting. They will have an opportunity to make a statement and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The Board of Directors recommends that the stockholders vote FOR the ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP to serve as the Company's independent, registered certified public accountants for the 2011 fiscal year.

SUBMISSION OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

The next stockholder meeting will be held on or about May 25, 2012. Stockholders wishing to have a proposal included in the Board of Directors' 2012 Proxy Statement must submit the proposal so that the Secretary of the Company receives it no later than December 31, 2011 nor earlier than December 1, 2011, which is 120 days and 150 days prior to the first anniversary of the date this Proxy Statement was released to stockholders, respectively. The notice must describe various matters regarding the nominee or proposed business. The Securities and Exchange Commission rules set forth standards as to what stockholder proposals are required to be included in a proxy statement. In addition, pursuant to the Company's amended and restated bylaws, if the stockholder (or a qualified representative of the stockholder) does not appear at the meeting at which the voting takes place with respect to such stockholder's proposal, such proposal shall be disregarded, notwithstanding that proxies in respect of such vote may have been received by the Company.

For any proposal that is not submitted for inclusion in next year's proxy statement (as described above) but is instead sought to be presented directly at next year's annual meeting, Securities and Exchange Commission rules permit management to vote proxies in its discretion if (a) the Company receives notice of the proposal before the close of business 45 days before the first anniversary of the mailing date of this Proxy Statement and advises stockholders in next year's proxy statement about the nature of the matter and how management intends to vote on such matter, or (b) the Company does not receive notice of the proposal prior to the close of business 45 days before the first anniversary of the mailing date of this Proxy Statement. Notices of intention to present proposals at the 2012 Annual Meeting should be addressed to the Chief Operating Officer and Secretary of HFF, Inc., One Oxford Centre, 301 Grant Street, Suite 600, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219. For further information regarding the submission of director nominations, see Corporate Governance Submission of Director Nominations in this Proxy Statement.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The information contained in this report shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or filed or incorporated by reference in future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference into a document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act.

The Audit Committee appoints the independent accounting firm to be retained to audit the Company's financial statements, and once retained, the independent accounting firm reports directly to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee consults with and reviews recommendations made by the accounting firm with respect to financial statements, financial records and financial controls of the Company and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors as it deems appropriate from time to time. The Audit Committee is responsible for pre-approving both audit

and non-audit engagements with the independent accountants. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter setting forth the functions the Audit Committee is to perform, and this report is made pursuant to that charter.

Table of Contents

The Audit Committee oversees the Company's financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors of HFF, Inc. Management is responsible for the Company's financial reporting process, including its system of internal controls, and for the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's independent accountants are responsible for auditing those financial statements and expressing an opinion on the conformity of those financial statements with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Committee's responsibility is to monitor and review these processes. It is not the Audit Committee's duty or responsibility to conduct auditing or accounting reviews.

The Audit Committee and the Chairman of the Audit Committee have met with management during fiscal year 2010 to consider the adequacy of the Company's internal controls, and discussed these matters and the overall scope and plans for the audit of the Company with the Company's independent, registered certified public accountants during that time period, Ernst & Young LLP. The Audit Committee also discussed with senior management and Ernst & Young LLP the Company's disclosure controls and procedures.

In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee reviewed the audited financial statements in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 with management, including a review of the quality, in addition to the acceptability, of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements.

The Audit Committee reviewed with Ernst & Young LLP, who are responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of those financial statements with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, their judgments as to the quality, not just the acceptability, of the Company's accounting principles and such other matters as are required to be discussed with the Audit Committee under auditing standards generally accepted in the United States, including the matters required to be discussed by statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1 AU Section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from Ernst & Young LLP required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence. In addition, the Audit Committee reviewed and has discussed with Ernst & Young LLP their independence, including the compatibility of non-audit services performed with the accountant's independence.

The Audit Committee discussed with the Company's independent accountants the overall scope and plans for their audit. The Audit Committee has met with the independent accountants, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examination, their evaluation of the Company's internal controls and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors (and the Board of Directors approved) that the audited financial statements be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Audit Committee has appointed the firm of Ernst & Young LLP, independent, registered certified public accountants, as independent accountants to audit and report upon the Company's financial statements for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011. The Company is requesting stockholder ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP. In appointing Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's auditors for fiscal year 2011, the Audit Committee has considered whether Ernst & Young LLP's provision of services other than audit services is compatible with maintaining their independence.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

George L. Miles, Jr., Committee Chairman
Deborah H. McAneny
Susan P. McGalla

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) provides an overview of the Company's executive compensation programs for the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Operating Officer (COO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) (collectively, the named executive officers (NEOs)) together with a description of the material factors underlying the decisions which resulted in the 2010 compensation provided to the Company's NEOs as presented in the tables which follow this CD&A. The following discussion and analysis contains statements regarding future individual and Company performance targets and goals. These targets and goals are disclosed in the limited context of the Company's compensation programs and should not be understood to be statements of management's expectations or estimates of financial results or other guidance. The Company specifically cautions investors not to apply these statements to other contexts.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee (the Committee) of the Board of Directors is currently composed of three non-employee directors, all of whom are independent directors under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the Securities and Exchange Commission rules. The Committee has responsibility for determining and implementing the Company's philosophy with respect to executive compensation. Accordingly, the Committee has overall responsibility for approving and evaluating the various components of the Company's executive compensation program. The Committee meets at least twice per year (and more often as necessary) to discuss and review the compensation of the NEOs. The Committee annually reviews and approves the compensation of the CEO. The Committee also reviews and approves the compensation of the other NEOs after considering the recommendations of the CEO. In establishing and reviewing compensation for the NEOs, the Committee considers, among other things, the financial results of the Company, recommendations of management and compensation data for comparable companies. In 2009, the Committee engaged Frederic W. Cook & Co., an independent compensation consultant, to review executive compensation and make recommendations for the 2010 compensation of the CEO. In the fourth quarter of 2010, the Committee engaged an outside consultant, Semler Brossy Consulting Group, LLC (Semler Brossy), to assist in framing the design of the Company's going-forward overall compensation system related to the leadership and management of the Company's Operating Partnerships, which included the named executive officers.

The Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors of the Company on January 30, 2007. A copy of this charter is posted on the Company's website at <http://www.hfflp.com> on the Investor Relations page.

Compensation Philosophy Mission and Vision Statement

In connection with setting the compensation for executive officers, the Company has adopted the philosophy set forth in the Mission and Vision Statement (see below) of the Operating Partnerships. The Mission and Vision Statement reflects our pay for value-added performance philosophy. We believe this Mission and Vision Statement is critical to our continued success. The Mission and Vision Statement relies upon the concept that a client's interest must be placed ahead of ours or any individual working for us. Our goal is to hire and retain associates throughout the entire organization who have the highest ethical standards with the best reputation in the industry to preserve our culture of integrity, trust and respect. We endeavor to promote and encourage teamwork to ensure our clients have the best team on each transaction. Without the best people, we believe we cannot be the best firm and achieve superior results for our clients.

To enable us to achieve our goals, we believe that we must maintain a flexible compensation structure, including equity-based compensation awards, to appropriately recognize and reward our existing and future associates who profoundly affect our future success. We believe the ability to reward superior performance is essential if we want to provide superior results for our clients.

The Committee's goals in structuring the Company's compensation program for its NEOs are to:

provide incentives to achieve Company financial objectives;

provide long-term incentives for the executive officers; and

Table of Contents

set compensation levels sufficiently competitive to retain and attract high quality executives and to motivate them to contribute to the Company's success.

The Committee has determined that to achieve these objectives, the Company's executive compensation program should reward both individual and Company short-term and long-term performance. To this end, the Committee believes that executive compensation packages provided by the Company to its executive officers should include both cash- and stock-based compensation. However, the Committee does not rely on any policy or formula in determining the appropriate mix of cash and equity compensation, nor does it rely on any policy or formula in allocating long-term compensation to different forms of awards.

As mentioned above, in the fourth quarter of 2010, the Committee engaged Semler Brossy to advise on the design of the Company's going-forward overall compensation system. This review was intended to insure continued significant and direct emphasis on annual production, maintain and honor our partnership culture, continue the alignment of employee, management and stockholder interests through our existing profit participation bonus plans and the creation of an additional profit participation bonus plan and enhance our succession plans for future leadership of the Company.

Setting Executive Compensation

In making compensation decisions, the Committee considers the recommendations of management. The Committee also considers corporate and executive performance, an executive's level of experience and responsibility, an executive's current compensation level and historical compensation practices. In addition, the Committee may look at market data for comparable companies. The Committee does not attempt to maintain a specific percentile with respect to the peer group companies in determining compensation for NEOs. However, the Committee does periodically review information regarding compensation trends and levels from a variety of sources in making compensation decisions.

Prior to the Company's initial public offering in January 2007, HFF Holdings retained the compensation consulting firm of Mercer Human Resource Consulting to evaluate its compensation practices and to assist in developing and implementing the executive compensation program and philosophy with respect to the COO and CFO. The CEO's compensation was not reviewed by Mercer due to the fact the Company had not yet established an independent Board or Compensation Committee prior to our initial public offering to review the CEO's compensation. The CEO, John H. Pelusi, Jr., did not want his compensation determined without the approval of an independent Board of Directors or Compensation Committee. In connection with its review of compensation for the COO and CFO as well as for outside directors, Mercer developed a competitive peer group comprised of 24 companies comparable in size to us which consummated an initial public offering in the previous three years (2004 - 2006). Mercer performed analyses of competitive performance and compensation levels. It also met with senior management to learn about our business operations and strategy as a public company, key performance metrics and target goals, and the labor and capital markets in which we compete. The Board and the Committee did not review or consider these or any other peer companies in connection with setting compensation in 2010. From time to time, the Board and/or Committee also evaluates the performance of the Company's senior executives based on quantitative and qualitative performance criteria.

2010 Executive Compensation Components

The Company's executive compensation program is composed of three principal components:

base salary;

cash bonuses; and

long-term incentives, consisting of equity awards.

In making decisions with respect to any element of a NEO's compensation, the Committee considers the total current compensation that such NEO may be awarded and any previously granted unvested equity awards. The Committee's goal is to award compensation that is reasonable in relation to the Company's compensation philosophy and objectives when all elements of potential compensation are considered.

Table of Contents

Base Salaries

In General. The Company provides NEOs with base salaries to compensate them for services rendered during the fiscal year. In determining base salaries, the Committee considers several factors, including:

historical information regarding compensation previously paid to NEOs;

the individual's experience and level of responsibility; and

the performance of the Company and the executive.

Base salaries are reviewed annually; however, a decrease in base salary may be prohibited by an executive officer's employment agreement.

Compensation for Executive Officers During 2010

In April 2009, in connection with other cost savings initiatives adopted in light of continued negative trends in the markets in which the Company operates, Mr. Pelusi voluntarily agreed to reduce his base salary to \$300,000, which represented a \$150,000 reduction from his prior base salary of \$450,000, which itself had been previously voluntarily reduced \$150,000 from his original 2009 base salary of \$600,000. Such reduced base salary was effective as of April 1, 2009. Effective October 1, 2010, Mr. Pelusi's salary was reinstated to \$600,000 in light of improved performance by the Company and conditions in the markets in which the Company operates.

Mr. Pelusi is also employed as a transaction professional of HFF LP, one of the two partnerships through which we conduct our business. He is primarily paid for his service as a transaction professional. As is the case with all transaction professionals, his payment as a transaction professional is based upon commissions he earns for the capital markets revenue that through his efforts he brings into HFF LP. This is consistent with HFF LP's pay for performance policy, as the compensation earned by Mr. Pelusi as a transaction professional is directly related to the amount of revenue he generates for HFF LP. In addition, in order to attract and retain top producers, such as Mr. Pelusi, it is critical that they share in the revenue and certain other income that they generate for the Operating Partnerships.

Prior to April 1, 2009, Mr. Pelusi, like other transaction professionals who satisfied certain performance thresholds, was entitled to receive commission payments equal to 50% of the adjusted collected fee amount that he generated for HFF LP. Under this policy, the adjusted collected fee amount was determined based upon the gross revenue actually received by HFF LP attributable to the efforts of Mr. Pelusi and after payment of all customary and appropriate fee splits with outside cooperating brokers or others. The adjusted collected fee amount was also reduced by related producer expenses, including all applicable management plan payments, bonus pool payments to analysts, splits with other producers and employees, and other similar compensation paid or payable to individuals involved in the generation of any commission revenue. Effective April 1, 2009, in response to market and other conditions confronting the Company, Mr. Pelusi, together with other members of HFF Holdings then employed by the operating partnerships, voluntarily chose to be compensated in his capacity as a transaction professional through the Company's waterfall commission plan instead of the Company's prior commission arrangements. Under the waterfall commission plan, transaction professionals receive commissions at varying percentages depending on the amount of allocated fees they have generated. Lower commission rates are paid until certain allocated fees thresholds are met, after which higher commissions are paid. For HFF Holdings members who are also transaction professionals, initial lead fees are also included in the determination of whether certain thresholds have been met (although commissions are not paid on the initial lead fees). This initiative was intended to result in more initial cash flow being retained by the Operating Partnerships until such time as certain minimum threshold commission levels are achieved. In 2009, Mr. Pelusi achieved the thresholds required to receive commission payments equal to 50% of the adjusted collected fee amount

that he generated for HFF LP as described above. Effective December 31, 2009, Mr. Pelusi, together with other members of HFF Holdings then employed by the Operating Partnerships and who had satisfied the required performance thresholds, returned to the original policy whereby each transaction professional receives commission payments equal to 50% of the adjusted collected fee amount that he or she generates.

Table of Contents

The salary of the CFO, Gregory R. Conley, was \$250,000 in 2010. Among the factors considered in establishing his base salary were his skills and experience, historical base salary and performance as CFO of the Company.

During 2010, the COO, Nancy O. Goodson, was paid a base salary of \$206,000. Among the factors considered in establishing her base salary were her skills and experience, historical base salary and performance as COO of the Company.

Bonuses

In General. Annual cash bonuses are included as part of the executive compensation program because the Committee believes that a significant portion of each NEO's compensation should be contingent on the annual performance of the Company, as well as the individual contribution of the NEO. The Committee believes that this structure is appropriate because it aligns the interests of management and stockholders by rewarding executives for strong annual performance by the Company. Bonuses are intended to be on the high end of competitive levels to compensate for lower base salaries.

In addition and as described below, in December 2010, the Committee approved special bonuses to certain members of management of the Company's Operating Partnerships, including Mr. Pelusi, Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson, related to the past performance of these individuals, pursuant to the Company's 2006 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (the 2006 Plan).

In addition to our regular bonus program, in connection with his service as a transaction professional with HFF LP, Mr. Pelusi is eligible for an annual bonus through HFF LP's Profit Participation Bonus Plan, and, beginning for the 2011 calendar year, each of our CEO, CFO and COO will be eligible for an annual bonus through HFF Inc.'s newly-adopted Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan.

2010 Performance Bonuses

In connection with determining the 2010 bonus amount for Mr. Pelusi, the Committee fixed a target bonus of \$500,000, with the actual bonus paid to be based upon the achievement of individual and Company-level objectives. In particular, 70% of Mr. Pelusi's bonus was dependent on his performance in connection with (i) exploring and developing strategic alternatives for the Company's growth and future profitability, (ii) ensuring the implementation of the Company's strategic plan and annual budget, (iii) encouraging succession planning efforts, (iv) managing investor relations and the Company's communication efforts, and (v) managing the Company's public company operations, including oversight of the Company's public company reporting, oversight of the Company's risk management and managing Mr. Pelusi's other CEO responsibilities. The remaining 30% of Mr. Pelusi's bonus was dependent on satisfying two Company-level financial objectives: (i) achievement of the Company's adopted annual budget and (ii) maintaining cash liquidity greater than \$35 million.

After considering the 2010 performance of Mr. Pelusi and the Company in light of the individual and Company-level objectives established by the Committee, the Committee approved a cash bonus of \$600,000 for Mr. Pelusi, or approximately 133% of his voluntarily-reduced base salary of \$375,000 for the year 2010, which base salary was \$600,000 in 2008. The Committee determined that this bonus amount, which was 20% greater than Mr. Pelusi's target bonus for 2010, was appropriate in light of the Company's performance, Mr. Pelusi's contributions to that performance and Mr. Pelusi's voluntarily-reduced salary for 2010.

Under their employment agreements, Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson are each eligible to receive an annual cash bonus in an amount up to 50% of his or her base salary. In connection with determining the bonus amounts for Ms. Goodson and Mr. Conley, the Committee consulted with the CEO and considered the CEO's impression of such officers

performance, as outlined in a written performance review of each of Ms. Goodson and Mr. Conley. The performance factors considered by the Committee in connection with awarding such discretionary bonuses included the CFO and COO s (i) implementation and execution of the Company s business plan, (ii) managing and recommending improvements in the Company s operations and internal efficiencies, (iii) managing and administering the Company s support functions, (iv) additional responsibilities

Table of Contents

in 2010, including those related to the Company's organizational structure, 2010 special bonuses and increased strategic planning and (v) individual performance and achievements in a difficult business environment. In connection with awarding a bonus to Mr. Conley, the Committee also considered his performance in connection with the preparation of the Company's financial statements and maintaining effective internal controls. After considering Mr. Pelusi's recommendations and the performance factors noted above, the Committee approved cash bonuses of \$125,000 and \$103,000 for Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson, respectively, or 50% of their respective base salaries and 100% of their target bonuses. The Committee determined that these bonus amounts were appropriate in light of the performance of Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson in their respective areas of responsibility.

2010 Special Bonuses

In December 2010, the Committee approved special bonuses to certain members of management of the Operating Partnerships, related to past performance of such individuals, including Mr. Pelusi, Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson, consisting of cash and restricted stock awards.

The special bonuses to the Operating Partnerships' management included a \$500,000 cash bonus payment to Mr. Pelusi, who, in addition to serving as CEO, serves as the managing member of HFF LP and president of the general partner of HFF Securities. Mr. Pelusi also voluntarily declined to accept an additional \$585,000 award proposed by the Committee and recommended to the Committee that the amount of the proposed award be reallocated and contributed to other members of management and other employees of the Operating Partnerships. As a result, the Committee, based on Mr. Pelusi's recommendation, agreed to and elected to supplement the awards of other members of management and other employees of the Operating Partnerships under the 2006 Plan by an amount equal to that which was not made granted to Mr. Pelusi.

The cash awards granted by the Committee under the 2006 Plan also included \$52,084 to Mr. Conley and \$41,667 to Ms. Goodson. In addition, under the 2006 Plan, Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson were awarded restricted shares of the Company's Class A common stock with a grant date fair value of \$52,084 and \$41,667, respectively. One-third of the restricted shares vested immediately upon grant and one-third of the restricted shares will vest on each of March 1, 2012 and March 1, 2013. Vesting of the restricted shares is subject to continued employment with the Company.

Profit Participation Bonus Plans

Operating Partnership Profit Participation Bonus Plans. The Profit Participation Bonus Plan of each of HFF LP and HFF Securities (each, an Office Profit Participation Plan) is designed to reward an office or line of business for an exceptionally productive year. In addition, the Office Profit Participation Bonus Plans reward income generation as well as the ability of an office or line of business to control costs. This element of compensation is integral to HFF LP's and HFF Securities' compensation practices because it provides an understandable incentive to each of our offices and lines of business and allows us to reward superior performance. Each Office Profit Participation Bonus Plan generally provides that offices or lines of business that generate profit margins for their office or line of business of 14.5% or more are entitled to additional bonuses of an allocated share of 15% of net income from the office. The allocation of the profit participation bonus and how it is shared within the office are determined by the office head in consultation with the managing member of the Operating Partnerships. In addition, in January 2011 the Company amended each Office Profit Participation Plan such that the Board, or any appropriate committee thereof, may elect to pay up to one-third of the profit participation bonuses payable under the applicable Office Profit Participation Bonus Plan in the form of equity-based awards pursuant to the 2006 Plan (or any other compensation plan adopted by the Company under which equity securities of the Company are authorized), which awards may be subject to delayed vesting schedules. The Compensation Committee's current expectation, however, subject to the Committee's future consideration and approval at the time of grant, is that if a recipient owns greater than 300,000 shares of Class A common stock at the time of grant, the recipient would be paid the full amount of the profit participation bonus in cash

in lieu of equity-based awards, although one-third of such cash payment payable would be subject to a similar delayed vesting schedule as that applicable to portions of profit participation bonuses paid in the form of equity-based awards that year.

Table of Contents

Mr. Pelusi, in his role as a transaction professional, is eligible to participate in HFF LP's Office Profit Participation Bonus Plan. Mr. Pelusi's profit participation bonus under the HFF LP's Office Profit Participation Bonus Plan in 2010 was a result of the achievement of the Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania office of the Company, at which Mr. Pelusi serves as a transaction professional. In 2010, the Pittsburgh office generated a profit margin of greater than 14.5%. Accordingly, Mr. Pelusi received a profit participation bonus of \$103,107 in 2011 related to 2010 performance.

Firm Profit Participation Plan. In January 2011, the Company adopted the HFF, Inc. Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan (the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan), under which members of the Executive and Leadership Committees (or any similar committees established in the future) established by the Company, the Operating Partnerships or any other affiliate of the Company, which include each of our CEO, CFO and COO, will be eligible for an annual bonus beginning with respect to the 2011 calendar year. The purpose of the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan is to encourage and reward firm-wide collaboration and broad stewardship and to promote the financial success of the Company and the Operating Partnerships as well as succession planning for the future. For each calendar year beginning in 2011, if the Company achieves a 17.5% or greater adjusted operating income margin, a bonus pool will be funded by a percentage of the Company's adjusted operating income, ranging from 15% to 25%, beyond predefined adjusted operating income margin thresholds, ranging from 17.5% to 27.5%. The Board, or any appropriate committee thereof, may elect to pay up to two-thirds of the profit participation bonuses payable under the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan in the form of equity-based awards pursuant to the 2006 Plan (or any other compensation plan adopted by the Company under which equity securities of the Company are authorized). The Compensation Committee's current expectation, however, subject to the Committee's future consideration and approval at the time of grant, is that if a recipient owns greater than 300,000 shares of Class A common stock at the time of grant, the recipient would be paid the full amount of the profit participation bonus in cash in lieu of equity-based awards, although one-third of such cash payment payable would be subject to a similar delayed vesting schedule as that applicable to portions of profit participation bonuses paid in the form of equity-based awards that year.

For further detail regarding the Officer Profit Participation Bonus Plans and the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan, see the description under Profit Participation Bonus Plans below.

Long-Term Incentive Program

Our Board of Directors believes that compensation paid to executive officers should be closely aligned with our performance on both a short-term and long-term basis, and that their compensation should assist us in recognizing and rewarding key executives who profoundly affect our future success through their value-added performances. Therefore, we have adopted and maintain an incentive compensation plan, the 2006 Plan. This plan is designed to align management's performance objectives with the interests of our stockholders. Awards under our 2006 Plan are administered by the Committee.

All grants of equity compensation to NEOs are made by the Committee. Whether grants are made and the type and size of any grants are based upon Company and individual performance, position held, years of service, level of experience and potential of future contribution to the Company's success. The Committee may also consider long-term incentive grants previously awarded to the NEOs, long-term incentive grants given to other executive officers throughout the Company's history and grant practices at comparable companies.

2010 Equity Grants

As described above, Ms. Goodson and Mr. Conley were granted 4,471 and 5,588 restricted shares, respectively, of the Company's Class A common stock under the 2006 Plan as part of their 2010 special bonuses. One-third of the restricted shares vested immediately upon grant and one-third of the restricted shares will vest on each of March 1, 2012 and March 1, 2013.

Table of Contents

Other Equity Grants with Future Vesting

In connection with the Company's initial public offering, the Committee granted each of Ms. Goodson and Mr. Conley \$300,006 worth of restricted shares of the Company's Class A common stock, based on the closing price of such stock on the grant date. This resulted in the grant of 16,667 restricted shares to each executive, based on an initial public offering price of \$18.00 per common share. These awards were granted to reward these executives for their contributions to the Company's pre-initial public offering performance and, in particular, their superior performance in implementing the Company's initial public offering. In addition, prior to the granting of those awards, none of these executives had significant holdings in the Company.

The restricted shares vest, and the restrictions will cease to apply, in four equal tranches, on the second, third, fourth and fifth anniversaries of the grant date. The first quarter of such restricted shares vested in January 2009, the second quarter vested in January 2010 and the third quarter vested in January 2011. The Committee believes that this vesting schedule serves to motivate and retain the recipients, providing continuing benefits to the Company beyond those achieved in the year of grant.

The Company has no formal program, plan or practice to time equity grants to its executives in coordination with the release of material non-public information.

Employment Agreements

A description of the employment agreements of our current NEOs, Mr. Pelusi at HFF LP, Ms. Goodson and Mr. Conley at HFF, Inc., including a specific description of the components of each such executive officer's compensation, is set forth below.

Other Compensation and Perquisite Benefits

In addition to the principal categories of compensation described above, the Company provides its NEOs with coverage under its broad-based health and welfare benefits plans, including medical, dental, vision, disability and life insurance. The Company also sponsors a 401(k) plan. The 401(k) plan is a tax-qualified retirement savings plan pursuant to which all employees, including the NEOs, are able to contribute to the 401(k) plan up to the limit prescribed by the Internal Revenue Code on a before-tax basis. Prior to April 1, 2009, the Company made a matching contribution of 50% of the first 6% of pay contributed by the employee, up to a maximum of \$5,000, to the 401(k) plan. Annual salary subject to the Company match was capped at a maximum amount prescribed by the IRS in the particular year. Effective April 1, 2009, the Company suspended 401(k) matching for all participating employees, including the NEOs. The Company reinstated 401(k) matching for all participating employees, including the NEOs, effective October 1, 2010.

All contributions made by a participant vest immediately and matching contributions by the Company made prior to April 1, 2009 were, and following October 1, 2010 are, fully vested after two years of service. These benefits are not tied to any individual or corporate performance objectives and are intended to be part of an overall competitive compensation program.

The NEOs are not generally entitled to benefits that are not otherwise available to all of our employees. In this regard, the Company does not provide pension arrangements (other than the 401(k) Plan), post-retirement health coverage or similar benefits for its executives.

Tax and Accounting Implications

Deductibility of Certain Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits the deductions that may be claimed by a public company for compensation paid to certain individuals to \$1,000,000 except to the extent that any excess compensation is performance-based compensation. In 2010, the NEOs' base salary and bonuses were not considered performance-based under Section 162(m) and therefore all such compensation is subject to the \$1,000,000 limit. The CEO's commission payments are exempt from the 162(m) limits and Profit Participation Bonus Plan amounts earned by the CEO, and paid by the Operating Partnerships, are not subject to the

Table of Contents

Section 162(m) limits. The Committee intends to maintain flexibility to pay compensation that is not entirely deductible when the best interests of the Company make that advisable. In approving the amount and form of compensation for the NEOs, the Committee will continue to consider all elements of cost to the Company of providing such compensation, including the potential impact of Section 162(m).

The Committee considers the accounting impact in connection with equity compensation matters, however, these considerations do not significantly affect decisions on grants of equity compensation.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The information contained in this report shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or filed or incorporated by reference in future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference into a document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act.

The Compensation Committee of the Company has reviewed and discussed the above Compensation Discussion and Analysis with our management and, based on such review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Lenore M. Sullivan, Committee Chairman
George L. Miles, Jr.
Steven E. Wheeler

OUR MISSION AND VISION STATEMENT

Our goal is to always put the client's interest ahead of the Firm and every individual within the Firm.

We will endeavor to strategically grow to achieve our objective of becoming the best and most dominant one-stop commercial real estate and capital markets intermediary offering the following:

Investment Banking and Advisory Services;

Investment Sales Services;

Loan Sales and Distressed Asset Sales;

Entity and Project Level Equity Services and Placements as well as all forms of Structured Finance Solutions;

All forms of Debt Placement Solutions and Services; and

Commercial Loan Servicing (Primary and Sub-servicing).

Our goal is to hire and retain associates who have the highest ethical standards and the best reputations in the industry to preserve our culture of integrity, trust and respect and to promote and encourage teamwork to ensure our clients have the best team on the field for each transaction. Simply stated, without the best people, we cannot be the best Firm.

To ensure we achieve our goals and aspirations and provide outstanding results for our stockholders, we must maintain a flexible compensation and ownership package to appropriately recognize and reward our existing and future associates who profoundly contribute to our success through their value-added performance. The ability to reward extraordinary performance is essential in providing superior results for our clients while appropriately aligning our interests with our stockholders.

Table of Contents**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

The following table sets forth the compensation earned during fiscal 2008, 2009 and 2010 by our named executive officers: John H. Pelusi, Jr., our Chief Executive Officer; Gregory R. Conley, our Chief Financial Officer; and Nancy O. Goodson, our Chief Operating Officer.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards(1) (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
John H. Pelusi, Jr., Chief Executive Officer	2010	375,000	1,709,335(2)		105,314(3)	2,189,649
	2009	337,500	960,490(2)		64,607	1,362,597
	2008	600,000	987,147(2)	(4)	70,642	1,657,789
Gregory R. Conley, Chief Financial Officer	2010	250,000	177,084(5)	52,084(5)	3,340(6)	430,424
	2009	250,000	93,750(7)	(7)	3,274	347,024
	2008	250,000	129,026		6,587	385,613
Nancy O. Goodson, Chief Operating Officer	2010	206,000	144,667(5)	41,667(5)	3,177(8)	395,511
	2009	206,000	75,000(9)	(9)	2,229	283,229
	2008	206,000	100,000		5,828	312,828

- (1) The amounts in this column represent the grant-date fair value of restricted stock unit awards issued by the Company for the respective fiscal years. All grants were made under the 2006 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (the 2006 Plan). See Note 3 Stock Compensation to our audited financial statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for discussion regarding the valuation of our stock and option awards.
- (2) Includes Mr. Pelusi's performance bonus of \$600,000, special bonus of \$500,000 and commissions of \$609,335 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, performance bonus of \$500,000 and commissions of \$460,490 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, and performance bonus of \$500,000 and commissions of \$487,147 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008.
- (3) Includes \$809 in imputed income on group term life insurance premiums, \$227 in life insurance premiums, \$103,107 in profit participation and \$720 in imputed income on parking expenses and \$451 in related gross-up for taxes paid by us in 2010.
- (4) Fifty percent of Mr. Pelusi's 2008 bonus was paid in restricted stock units of the Company with a value of \$250,000 that were immediately vested at the grant date. Such restricted stock units were granted in January 2009. This amount is reflected in the Bonus column of the table
- (5) Under the 2006 Plan, the Compensation Committee granted cash awards of \$52,084 to Gregory R. Conley and \$41,667 to Nancy O. Goodson. In addition, under the 2006 Plan, Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson were awarded restricted shares of the Company's Class A common stock, \$.01 par value per share, with a grant date

(December 14, 2010) fair value of \$52,084 (5,588 shares at \$9.32/share on December 14, 2010) and \$41,667 (4,471 shares at \$9.32/share on December 14, 2010), respectively. Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the respective grant letters, one-third of the restricted shares vested immediately upon grant and one-third of the restricted shares will vest on each of March 1, 2012 and March 1, 2013. Vesting of the restricted shares is subject to continued employment with the Company.

- (6) This amount includes \$442 in imputed income on group term life insurance premiums, \$227 in life insurance premiums, \$1,500 in a 401(k) match, \$720 of imputed income on parking expenses and \$451 in a related gross-up for taxes.
- (7) One-third of Mr. Conley's 2009 bonus was paid in restricted stock units of the Company with a value of \$31,252 that were immediately vested at the grant date. Such restricted stock units were granted in December 2009.
- (8) This amount includes \$457 in imputed income on group term life insurance premiums, \$220 in life insurance premiums, \$1,000 in a referral bonus and \$1,500 in a 401(k) match.

Table of Contents

- (9) One-third of Ms. Goodson's 2009 bonus was paid in restricted stock units of the Company with a value of \$24,999 that were immediately vested at the grant date. Such restricted stock units were granted in December 2009.

Employment Agreements

John H. Pelusi, Jr.

HFF LP and Mr. Pelusi are parties to an amended and restated employment agreement in respect of Mr. Pelusi's capacity as a transaction professional on terms and conditions substantially identical to the employment agreements between HFF LP and the members of HFF Holdings who were employed as transaction professionals at the time of our initial public offering. Such employment agreement was amended on June 30, 2010 when, in connection with the modification of the Exchange Right described in *Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions - Exchange Right*, Mr. Pelusi and certain other members of HFF Holdings voluntarily agreed to extend the term of the non-competition and non-solicitation restrictions under their employment agreements until March 2015. We believe that the compensation paid by HFF LP to its transaction professionals, including Mr. Pelusi, relates to such transaction professionals' services to HFF LP and not to any executive services to HFF, Inc. Consequently, our Compensation Committee may not take into account the compensation HFF LP pays to those transaction professionals, including Mr. Pelusi, when determining our executive compensation policies, programs or awards for those individuals. This employment agreement provides for salary, bonuses, commission sharing, draws against commissions, bonuses and other income allocations as established from time to time by Holliday GP at the direction of our Board of Directors after consideration of the recommendation and advice of the operating committee and managing member of HoldCo LLC. Mr. Pelusi is provided with the welfare benefits and other fringe benefits to the same extent as those benefits are provided to our other similarly situated employees.

As discussed in *Non-Competition, Non-Disclosure, Non-Solicitation and Other Restrictive Covenants* below, certain non-competition and non-solicitation obligations of Mr. Pelusi under his employment agreement, as amended (as well as similar obligations of other members of HFF Holdings under their respective employment agreements), will terminate in March 2015.

The Company has not entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Pelusi in respect of his service as the Company's Chief Executive Officer.

Gregory R. Conley and Nancy O. Goodson

We have employment agreements with each of Gregory R. Conley and Nancy O. Goodson. The terms of these employment agreements were determined in consultation with Mercer Human Resource Consulting and were also reviewed with the independent members of the Board of Directors following our initial public offering. Pursuant to the terms of these respective employment agreements with HFF, Inc., Mr. Conley serves as our Chief Financial Officer and Ms. Goodson serves as our Chief Operating Officer, in each case until such executive's employment is terminated by us or Mr. Conley or Ms. Goodson, as the case may be.

The compensation package of each of Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson is comprised of the following elements:

Base Salary. Each employment agreement establishes a base salary for the first year of the agreement. The Compensation Committee, in consultation with our chief executive officer, will review an executive officer's base salary annually to ensure that the proper amount of compensation is being paid to such executive officer commensurate with his or her services performed for us. The Compensation Committee may increase, but not

decrease, such base salary in its sole discretion.

Annual Cash Bonus. Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson are each eligible to receive an annual cash bonus, in an amount up to 50% of his or her base salary, based upon the applicable executive officer's achievement of certain pre-determined financial or strategic performance goals established by the Company from time to time.

Table of Contents

Long-Term Incentive Compensation. Pursuant to their respective employment agreements, on the effective date of the employment agreement of Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson, subject to the terms and conditions of the HFF, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan and the applicable award agreement with such executive officer under such plan, each executive officer received a grant of restricted Class A common stock with an aggregate fair market value on the date of grant of \$300,006. This restricted stock grant vests in four equal annual installments, and began vesting in January 2009. Although not expressly provided for in their respective employment agreements, Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson have received, and may in the future receive, additional grants of equity compensation. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Long-Term Incentive Program.

Other Benefits. Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson have welfare benefits and other fringe benefits to the same extent as those benefits are provided to our other similarly situated employees.

Non-Competition, Non-Disclosure, Non-Solicitation and Other Restrictive Covenants

Pursuant to the employment agreements described above, we have entered into non-competition, non-disclosure, non-solicitation and other restrictive covenants with Mr. Pelusi and non-disclosure and other restrictive covenants with Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson. The following are descriptions of the material terms of each covenant.

The non-competition, non-disclosure, non-solicitation and other restrictive covenants provide as follows:

Non-Competition. For a period of time until the earlier of (i) March 29, 2015, and (ii) the second anniversary of the termination date of Mr. Pelusi's employment, Mr. Pelusi may not, directly or indirectly, own, operate, manage, participate in, invest in, render services for or otherwise assist any entity that engages in any competitive business that we or our affiliates are in or are actively considering conducting during a six-month period preceding the termination date of Mr. Pelusi's employment. Mr. Pelusi is also prohibited by the terms of the non-competition covenant from directly or indirectly engaging in any activity that requires or would inevitably require the disclosure of confidential information of us or our affiliates. This non-competition covenant does not apply if Mr. Pelusi is terminated by us without cause (as defined in the employment agreement).

Non-Disclosure. Each of Mr. Pelusi, Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson is required, whether during or after his or her employment, to hold all confidential information in trust for us and is prohibited from using or disclosing such confidential information except as necessary in the regular course of our business or that of our affiliates.

Non-Solicitation. For a period of time until the earlier of (i) March 29, 2015, and (ii) the second anniversary of the termination date of the Mr. Pelusi's employment, Mr. Pelusi may not, directly or indirectly, solicit the business of or perform duties for any client or prospective client of ours in respect of any service similar to a service performed by us or our affiliates. Prospective client means any person with which we or our affiliates were in active business discussions at any time within six months prior to the termination date of Mr. Pelusi's employment. Mr. Pelusi is also prohibited from influencing or encouraging any of our clients or prospective clients from ceasing to do business with us during this same time period. This non-solicitation covenant does not apply if Mr. Pelusi is terminated by us without cause (as defined in the employment agreement).

Pursuant to the employment agreement, Mr. Pelusi also may not, directly or indirectly, knowingly solicit or encourage any of our employees or consultants to leave their employment with us, or hire any such employee or consultant until the earlier of (i) March 29, 2015, and (ii) the second anniversary of the termination date of Mr. Pelusi's employment.

Non-Disparagement. Each of Mr. Pelusi, Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson may not, except as legally compelled, make any statement to third parties that would have a material adverse impact on the business or business reputation of, as the case may be, Mr. Pelusi, Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson or any of us or our affiliates.

Table of Contents

Specific Performance. In the case of any breach of the employment agreement, including the non-competition, non-disclosure, non-solicitation and other restrictive covenants thereof, Mr. Pelusi, Mr. Conley, Ms. Goodson will each agree that, in addition to any other right we may have at law, equity or under any agreement, we will be entitled to immediate injunctive relief and may obtain a temporary or permanent injunction or other restraining order.

Potential Payments Upon Termination

Mr. Conley's and Ms. Goodson's respective employment agreements contain provisions providing for payments by us following the termination of his or her employment by us without cause or by such executive for good reason. Under the respective employment agreements, if Mr. Conley or Ms. Goodson's employment is terminated by us without cause or by such executive with good reason, he or she, as the case may be, will be entitled to receive his or her base salary through the date of termination and for a subsequent period of twelve months, the benefits provided under our employee benefit plans and programs, continuation of medical benefits for twelve months after the date of termination, vesting of 50% of his or her unvested restricted stock units or stock options, if any, and 90 days to exercise any vested stock options, if any. In addition, any restricted stock units or stock options granted will become 100% vested if his or her position is eliminated or compensation is reduced following a change in control. Cause is defined under the respective employment agreements as (i) gross misconduct or gross negligence in the performance of one's duties as our employee, (ii) conviction or pleading nolo contendere to a felony or a crime involving moral turpitude, (iii) significant nonperformance of an executive's duties as our employee, (iv) material violation of our established policies and procedures, or (v) material violation of the respective employment agreement. Good reason is defined under the respective employment agreements as (i) a significant reduction of duties or authority, (ii) a reduction in base salary without the executive's consent, (iii) a reduction in the executive's bonus opportunity, (iv) a significant change in the location of the executive's principal place of employment and (v) material violation of the respective employment agreements.

If the employment of Mr. Conley or Ms. Goodson, as the case may be, is terminated for any reason other than by us without cause or by such executive for good reason (including by us with cause, by such executive without good reason, or due to death or disability), such executive will only be entitled to all earned, unpaid base salary and the benefits provided under our employee benefit plans and programs. Mr. Conley or Ms. Goodson, as the case may be, will be permitted to exercise vested stock options for a period of 30 days following termination due to a voluntary resignation and for a period of one year following a termination due to death or disability. For a termination due to cause, Mr. Conley or Ms. Goodson, as the case may be, will not be permitted to exercise any of their stock options following termination.

Unvested restricted stock units and stock options will be forfeited upon a termination for any reason.

Mr. Pelusi's employment agreement does not provide for any potential severance payments by us upon the termination of his employment.

	Continuation of Base Salary	Continuation of Medical Benefits	Accelerated Restricted Stock Vesting
Gregory R. Conley Without cause or with good reason	\$ 250,000	\$ 18,558	\$ 116,500
Nancy O. Goodson Without cause or with good reason	\$ 206,000	\$ 21,120	\$ 109,303

Profit Participation Bonus Plans

Office Profit Participation Bonus Plans

The purpose of the Holliday Fenoglio Fowler, L.P. Profit Participation Bonus Plan and the HFF Securities, L.P. Profit Participation Bonus Plan (each, an Office Profit Participation Bonus Plan) is to attract, retain and provide incentives to employees, and to promote the financial success, of HFF LP and HFF Securities,

Table of Contents

respectively. Mr. Pelusi is currently eligible in his role as a transaction professional to participate in HFF LP's Office Profit Participation Bonus Plan.

Applicability of Plan to Designated Offices. An Office Profit Participation Bonus Plan applies to each separate office (each, an Office) or line of business (each, a Business Line) of HFF LP and HFF Securities designated by the Managing Member of HFF LP (the Managing Member). The Managing Member, currently John H. Pelusi, Jr., is elected by certain senior officers of HFF LP pursuant to the HFF LP partnership agreement.

Bonus Pool Calculation. With respect to each Office or Business Line to which a Profit Participation Bonus Plan applies and for each calendar year, if a 14.5% or greater Profit Margin is generated by such Office or Business Line, then an amount equal to 15% of the Adjusted Operating Income generated by such Office or Business Line will comprise the bonus pool. For purposes of each Profit Participation Bonus Plan, Profit Margin means the Net Operating Income of such Office or Business Line as a percentage of the revenue of such Office or Business Line, all as determined in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), Net Operating Income means net operating income (using the same revenue and cost accounts as used in preparing the Company's audited financial statements) of such Office or Business Line, which includes allocations for overhead expenses and servicing expenses, if applicable, plus any gain on sale of mortgage servicing rights and securitization compensation from the securitization of any Freddie Mac loans which the Company services, and Adjusted Operating Income means the Net Operating Income of such Office or Business Line adjusted for depreciation and amortization.

Allocation of Bonus Pool. Each full-time or part-time employee of HFF LP and HFF Securities is eligible to receive a bonus payment under the applicable Office Profit Participation Bonus Plans (a Profit Participation Bonus) with respect to services performed during the calendar year.

For each calendar year, the head of each Office or Business Line of HFF LP and HFF Securities, after consultation with the Managing Member, will select the recipients of Profit Participation Bonuses and determine the allocation of the bonus pool among the eligible recipients.

Payment of Profit Participation. Subject to any applicable federal, state, local or other withholding taxes, Profit Participation Bonuses are paid in accordance with each Office's or Business Line's allocation plan as soon as reasonably practicable following the closing of the books and records of the Company in accordance with GAAP in respect of the applicable year in which the Profit Participation Bonus is earned, or, if determined by the Managing Member with respect to any Office or Business Line, on or before March 15 of the year following the year with respect to which the Profit Participation Bonus was earned. In addition, the Board of Directors, or any appropriate committee thereof, may elect to pay up to one-third of the profit participation bonuses payable under the Office Profit Participation Bonus Plans in the form of equity-based awards pursuant to the 2006 Plan (or any other compensation plan adopted by the Company under which equity securities of the Company are authorized).

Administration. The Office Profit Participation Bonus Plans are administered by the Managing Member; provided that any Profit Participation Bonuses to be paid to any executive officers of the Company must be approved in advance by the Board of Directors of the Company or any appropriate committee thereof. Except as otherwise provided, any action of the Managing Member in administering the Office Profit Participation Bonus Plans shall be final, conclusive and binding on all persons. Subject to the provisions of the Office Profit Participation Bonus Plans, the Managing Member has the authority to:

determine the effect upon each Office Profit Participation Bonus Plan and the Profit Participation Bonuses, if any, of any stock dividend, recapitalization, forward stock split or reverse stock split, reorganization, division, merger, consolidation, spin-off, combination, repurchase or share exchange, extraordinary or unusual cash distribution or other similar corporate transaction or event,

construe and interpret the Office Profit Participation Bonus Plans and to make all other determinations, including determinations as to the eligibility of any employee, as he or she may deem necessary or advisable for the administration of the Office Profit Participation Bonus Plans,

Table of Contents

correct any defect or supply any omission or reconcile any inconsistency in the Office Profit Participation Bonus Plans,

adopt, amend and rescind such rules and regulations as, in his or her opinion, may be advisable in the administration of the Office Profit Participation Bonus Plans,

require any person to furnish such reasonable information as requested for the purpose of the proper administration of the Office Profit Participation Bonus Plans as a condition to receiving any benefits under the Office Profit Participation Bonus Plans, and

prepare and distribute information explaining the Office Profit Participation Bonus Plans to employees.

HFF LP and HFF Securities, respectively, shall indemnify and hold harmless the Managing Member, each of his or her affiliates and/or agents and the chief financial officer of the Company (or his or her designee) from and against any and all liabilities, costs and expenses incurred by such persons as a result of any act or omission to act in connection with the performance of the Managing Member's or the chief financial officer of the Company's duties, responsibilities and obligations under the applicable Office Profit Participation Bonus Plan, to the maximum extent permitted by law, other than such liabilities, costs and expenses as may result from the gross negligence, bad faith, willful misconduct or criminal acts of an indemnified person.

Amendment or Termination of Plans. Each Office Profit Participation Bonus Plan may only be amended or terminated through a writing executed by each limited partner and general partner of the HFF LP and HFF Securities, as the case may be.

Firm Office Participation Bonus Plan

In general. In January 2011, the Company adopted the HFF, Inc. Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan (the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan), under which members of the Executive and Leadership Committees (or any similar committees established in the future) established by the Company, the Operating Partnerships or any other affiliate of the Company, which include each of Mr. Pelusi, Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson, will be eligible for an annual bonus beginning with respect to the 2011 calendar year. The purpose of the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan is to encourage and reward firm-wide collaboration and broad stewardship and to promote the financial success of the Company and the Operating Partnerships as well as succession planning for the future. For each calendar year beginning in 2011, if the Company achieves a 17.5% or greater Adjusted Operating Income Margin, a bonus pool will be funded by a percentage of the Company's Adjusted Operating Income beyond predefined Adjusted Operating Income Margin thresholds. The bonus pool will be equal to the sum of:

15% of the Adjusted Operating Income, if any, greater than that required to reach a 17.5% Adjusted Operating Income Margin but less than that required to reach an Adjusted Operating Income Margin of 20.0%, plus

17.5% of the Adjusted Operating Income, if any, greater than that required to reach a 20.0% Adjusted Operating Income Margin but less than that required to reach an Adjusted Operating Income Margin of 22.5%, plus

20% of the Adjusted Operating Income, if any, greater than that required to reach a 22.5% Adjusted Operating Income Margin but less than that required to reach an Adjusted Operating Income Margin of 25.0%, plus

Edgar Filing: HFF, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

22.5% of the Adjusted Operating Income, if any, greater than that required to reach a 25.0% Adjusted Operating Income Margin but less than that required to reach an Adjusted Operating Income Margin of 27.5%, plus

25.0% of the Adjusted Operating Income, if any, greater than that required to reach a 27.5% Adjusted Operating Income Margin.

For purposes of the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan, Adjusted Operating Income means the Company's net operating income adjusted for interest income and expense and other income (including,

Table of Contents

without limitation, that relating to the sale of servicing rights, securitization profits under the Company's Freddie Mac Program Plus Seller Servicer line of business and trading profits under the Company's arrangements regarding Federal National Mortgage Association loans), all as determined in accordance with GAAP. For purposes of the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan, Adjusted Operating Income Margin means Adjusted Operating Income as a percentage of the Company's revenue, all as determined in accordance with GAAP.

Allocation of Bonus Pool. Members of the Executive and Leadership Committees (or any similar committees established in the future) established by the Company, the Operating Partnerships or any other affiliate of the Company are eligible to participate in and receive a bonus payment under the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan (a Profit Participation Bonus) with respect to services performed during the calendar year. The Company's chief executive officer, John H. Pelusi, Jr., and two of the Company's directors, Mark D. Gibson and Joe B. Thornton, Jr., are members of the Executive Committee and are eligible for Profit Participation Bonuses. The Company's chief financial officer, Gregory R. Conley, the Company's chief operating officer, Nancy O. Goodson, and one of the Company's directors, John P. Fowler, are ad hoc members of the Executive Committee and are also eligible for such bonuses.

Payment of Profit Participation. Subject to any applicable federal, state, local or other withholding taxes, Profit Participation Bonuses will be paid within 30 days of the date on which the bonus pool is calculated by the Company's chief financial officer or his or her designee. The Board of Directors of the Company, or an appropriate committee thereof, may elect to pay up to two-thirds of the Profit Participation Bonuses payable under the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan in the form of equity-based awards pursuant to the 2006 Plan (or any other compensation plan adopted by the Company under which equity securities of the Company are authorized).

Administration. The Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan will be administered by the chief executive officer of the Company, provided that any Profit Participation Bonuses to be paid to any executive officers of the Company must be approved in advance by the Board of Directors of the Company or an appropriate committee thereof. Any action of the chief executive officer in administering the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan will be final, conclusive and binding on all persons. Subject to the provisions of the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan, the chief executive officer has the authority to:

determine the effect upon the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan and the Profit Participation Bonuses, if any, of any stock dividend, recapitalization, forward stock split or reverse stock split, reorganization, division, merger, consolidation, spin-off, combination, repurchase or share exchange, extraordinary or unusual cash distribution or other similar corporate transaction or event;

construe and interpret the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan and to make all other determinations, including determinations as to the eligibility of any employee, as he or she may deem necessary or advisable for the administration of the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan;

correct any defect or supply any omission or reconcile any inconsistency in the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan;

adopt, amend and rescind such rules and regulations as, in his or her opinion, may be advisable in the administration of the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan;

require any person to furnish such reasonable information as requested for the purpose of the proper administration of the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan as a condition to receiving any benefits under the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan; and

prepare and distribute information explaining the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan to employees.

The Company will indemnify and hold harmless the chief executive officer, each of its directors, officers, employees, affiliates and/or agents and the chief financial officer (or his or her designee) from any liabilities, costs and expenses incurred by such persons as a result of any act or omission to act in connection with the performance of the chief executive officer's or chief financial officer's duties under the Firm Profit

Table of Contents

Participation Bonus Plan, to the maximum extent permitted by law, other than such liabilities, costs and expenses as may result from the gross negligence, bad faith, willful misconduct or criminal acts of such persons.

Amendment or Termination of Plan. The Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan may only be amended or terminated through a writing executed by the Company's Board of Directors or any appropriate committee thereof.

The foregoing description of the Office Profit Participation Bonus Plan and the Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan is only a summary, does not purport to be complete, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the HFF LP Profit Participation Bonus Plan, the HFF Securities Profit Participation Bonus Plan and the HFF, Inc. Firm Profit Participation Bonus Plan, copies of which are filed as Exhibits 10.6, 10.7 and 10.8 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

GRANTS OF PLAN BASED AWARDS

The following table sets forth information concerning stock and cash awards during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 to the persons named in the table under Summary Compensation Table, each of which was granted pursuant to our 2006 Plan. This plan is designed to align management's performance objectives with the interests of our stockholders. Awards under our 2006 Plan are administered by a committee appointed by our Board of Directors consisting of at least two non-employee, outside directors. That committee is authorized to, among other things, select the participants and determine the type of awards to be made to participants, the number of shares subject to awards and the terms, conditions, restrictions and limitations of the awards. Mr. Pelusi did not receive any grants of plan based awards during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Name	Grant Date	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards(1)
Gregory R. Conley	December 14, 2010	5,588	\$ 52,084
Nancy O. Goodson	December 14, 2010	4,471	\$ 41,667

(1) The amounts in this column represent the grant-date fair value of restricted stock unit awards issued by the Company.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

The following table sets forth information concerning unexercised stock options and unvested stock awards or equity incentive plan awards held as of December 31, 2010 by the persons named in the table under Summary Compensation Table.

Stock Awards	
Number of	Market Value of Shares or

Name	Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)
Gregory R. Conley	12,060(1)	116,500(2)
Nancy O. Goodson	11,315(1)	109,303(2)

(1) Reflects grants of 16,667 restricted stock units to Mr. Conley and 16,667 restricted stock units to Ms. Goodson, which restricted stock units vest in four equal tranches that began vesting at January 30, 2009, made in connection with our initial public offering and grants of 5,588 restricted shares of the

Table of Contents

Company's Class A common stock to Mr. Conley and 4,471 restricted shares of the Company's Class A common stock made to Ms. Goodson on December 14, 2010, one-third of which restricted shares vested immediately upon grant and one-third of which will vest on each of March 1, 2012 and March 1, 2013.

- (2) Computed as of December 31, 2010. The closing price of the Company's Class A common stock on December 31, 2010 was \$9.66.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED

The following table sets forth information concerning option exercises and stock vested during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 by the persons named in the table under Summary Compensation Table.

Name	Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Gregory R. Conley	6,028(1)	43,097(3)
Nancy O. Goodson	5,656(2)	39,630(3)

- (1) Includes (i) vesting of 4,167 restricted stock units on January 30, 2010, pursuant to grant of 16,667 restricted stock units to Mr. Conley, which restricted stock units vest in four equal tranches that began vesting at January 30, 2009, made in connection with our initial public offering and (ii) vesting of 1,861 restricted shares of the Company's Class A common stock, pursuant to a grant of 5,588 restricted shares of the Company's Class A common stock to Mr. Conley on December 14, 2010, one third of which restricted shares vested immediately upon grant and one third of which will vest on each of March 1, 2012 and March 1, 2013.
- (2) Includes (i) vesting of 4,167 restricted stock units on January 30, 2010, pursuant to grant of 16,667 restricted stock units to Ms. Goodson, which restricted stock units vest in four equal tranches that began vesting at January 30, 2009, made in connection with our initial public offering and (ii) vesting of 1,489 restricted shares of the Company's Class A common stock, pursuant to a grant of 4,471 restricted shares of the Company's Class A common stock to Ms. Goodson on December 14, 2010, one third of which restricted shares vested immediately upon grant and one third of which will vest on each of March 1, 2012 and March 1, 2013.
- (3) Values shown in this column are equal to the market price per share of the Company's Class A common stock on the vesting date multiplied by the number of shares vesting on such date. The market price of the Company's Class A common stock was \$6.18 per share on January 29, 2010 and \$9.32 per share on December 14, 2010.

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

The following table provides compensation information for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 for each member of our Board of Directors during 2010 other than Messrs. Pelusi, Gibson, Thornton and Fowler, who are our employee directors and do not receive any compensation for their service as directors. Compensation information for Mr. Pelusi, who is also an executive officer of the Company, is described beginning on page 12 under Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis. For further information regarding our director compensation policy, see Corporate Governance Director Compensation in this Proxy Statement.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash(1) (\$)	Stock Awards(2) (\$)	Option Awards (3)(4) (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred All Other Compensation Compensation (\$)(5)		Total (\$)
				Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	All Other Compensation	
John Z. Kukral	20,833					20,833
Deborah H. McAneny	55,000	40,003	30,000		15,000	125,003
Susan P. McGalla	50,000	40,003			10,000	100,003
George L. Miles, Jr.	60,000	40,003			10,000	110,003
Lenore M. Sullivan	55,000	40,003			10,000	105,003
Steven Wheeler	41,507	33,208	30,000		10,000	114,715

- (1) Includes a base annual retainer of \$50,000 and an additional retainer for chairing a committee of the Board of Directors. Mr. Kukral and Mr. Wheeler were paid pro-rata shares of the annual retainer of \$20,833 and \$41,507, respectively, for the portion of 2010 that each served on the Board of Directors.
- (2) The amounts in this column represent the grant-date fair value of restricted stock unit awards issued by the Company for the respective fiscal years. Pursuant to our director compensation policy, each of Ms. McAneny, Ms. McGalla, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Miles was awarded 4,957 restricted shares of our Class A common stock, valued at the fair market value of our Class A common stock (\$8.07) on the award date of May 27, 2010, for a total value of \$40,003 and Mr. Wheeler was awarded 4,115 restricted shares of our Class A common stock pursuant to our director compensation policy, valued at the fair market value of our Class A common stock (\$8.07) on the award date of May 27, 2010, for a total value of \$33,208, representing a pro-rata share of the annual award to non-employee directors. As of December 31, 2010, all of these restricted shares are fully vested.
- (3) The amounts in this column represent the grant-date fair value of option awards issued by the Company for the respective fiscal years. Ms. McAneny and Mr. Wheeler were granted an option to purchase 5,825 and 6,494 shares, respectively, of our Class A common stock pursuant to our director compensation policy and in connection with Ms. McAneny's re-election and Mr. Wheeler's election to the Board of Directors in 2010.

(4)

At December 31, 2010, Ms. Sullivan held unexercised options to purchase an aggregate 17,560 shares of our Class A common stock, Ms. McAneny held unexercised options to purchase an aggregate 11,163 shares of our Class A common stock, Mr. Miles held unexercised options to purchase an aggregate 9,034 shares of our Class A common stock, Ms. McGalla held unexercised options to purchase an aggregate 7,335 shares of our Class A common stock and Mr. Wheeler held unexercised options to purchase an aggregate of 6,494 shares of our Class A common stock.

- (5) Includes special one-time cash retainer payments made to certain directors for increased time and commitment relating to strategic planning activities.

Table of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS**

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock, and of partnership units in the Operating Partnerships, by (1) each person known to us to beneficially own more than 5% of our voting securities, (2) each of our directors, (3) each of our named executive officers and (4) all directors and executive officers as a group. Unless otherwise specified, the information is as of April 15, 2011 and all shares are directly held.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Beneficial Owner(1)	Class A Common Stock		Partnership Units in Each of the Operating Partnerships(2)		Class B Common Stock(3)		Cumulative Voting Power(5)
	Number	Percentage(4)	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
HFF Holdings LLC(6)		%	1,022,533	2.8%	1	100%	2.8%
John P. Fowler(6)	1,388,594	3.9%					3.8%
Mark D. Gibson(6)	1,758,692	4.9%					4.8%
Deborah H. McAneny(7)	30,871	*					*
Susan P. McGalla(7)	8,582	*					*
George L. Miles, Jr.(7)	34,525	*					*
John H. Pelusi, Jr.(6)	1,895,105	5.3%					5.1%
Lenore M. Sullivan(7)	36,686	*					*
Joe B. Thornton, Jr.(6)	1,722,230	4.8%					4.7%
Steven E. Wheeler(7)	6,280	*					*
Gregory R. Conley(8)	11,889	*					*
Nancy O. Goodson(8)	6,978	*					*
Directors and executive officers as a group(7)(8)	6,915,216	19.2%					18.7%
J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc. and its affiliates(New York)(9)	2,613,561	7.3%					7.1%

* Less than 1%.

- (1) The address of each beneficial owner in the table above (unless otherwise indicated) is c/o HFF, Inc., One Oxford Centre, 301 Grant Street, Suite 600, Pittsburgh, PA 15219.
- (2) Subject to certain limitations, the partnership units of the Operating Partnerships held by a holder of Class B common stock of HFF, Inc. are exchangeable for shares of Class A common stock of HFF, Inc. on the basis of two partnership units, one of each Operating Partnership, for one share of Class A common stock, subject to customary conversion rate adjustments for stock splits, stock dividends and reclassifications. See Certain

Relationship and Related Party Transactions Exchange Right.

- (3) Holders of our Class B common stock (other than HFF, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries) will be entitled to a number of votes that is equal to the total number of shares of Class A common stock for which the partnership units that HFF Holdings holds in the Operating Partnerships are exchangeable.
- (4) Percentages are derived based upon 35,958,521 shares of Class A common stock outstanding as of April 15, 2011.
- (5) Percentages are derived based upon 35,958,521 shares of Class A common stock outstanding as of April 15, 2011 and assumes full exchange of 1,022,533 units in each Operating Partnership held by HFF Holdings on April 15, 2011 into shares of our Class A common stock.
- (6) Messrs. Fowler, Gibson, Thornton and Pelusi are each a Class II member of HFF Holdings and currently serve on its operating committee. The voting right and investment power of HFF Holdings as the holder of Class A common stock and/or Class B common stock is exercised by each individual Class I member of HFF Holdings with respect to each such member's respective interest. As of April 15, 2011, there were 11 Class I members of HFF Holdings. On investment and voting matters with respect to the Class A

Table of Contents

common stock or Class B common stock that may be held by HFF Holdings, the managing member and operating committee of HFF Holdings act upon the approval of the respective Class I members described above.

- (7) Includes unexercised options to purchase an aggregate 13,095 shares of our Class A common stock held by Ms. Sullivan, unexercised options to purchase an aggregate 7,280 shares of our Class A common stock held by Ms. McAneny, unexercised options to purchase an aggregate 9,034 shares of our Class A common stock held by Mr. Miles, unexercised options to purchase an aggregate 2,445 shares of our Class A common stock held by Ms. McGalla and unexercised options to purchase an aggregate of 2,165 shares of our Class A common stock held by Mr. Wheeler, in each case which are vested or will become vested within 60 days. Does not include unvested options held by Ms. Sullivan, Ms. McAneny, Ms. McGalla and Mr. Wheeler to purchase 4,465, 3,883, 4,890 and 4,329 shares of Class A common stock, respectively, in each case which will not become vested within 60 days.
- (8) Does not include (i) 4,166 unvested restricted stock units granted to each of Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson in January 2007 and (ii) 3,727 and 2,982 unvested restricted shares of our Class A common stock granted to Mr. Conley and Ms. Goodson, respectively, in December 2010.
- (9) Based upon an amended Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 24, 2011 by JPMorgan Chase & Co. and its wholly owned subsidiary, J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc. The address of each reporting person is 270 Park Ave., New York, NY 10017.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The agreements described below were each filed as exhibits to the registration statement on Form S-1 filed in connection with our initial public offering, and the following descriptions of each of these agreements are qualified by reference thereto.

Reorganization Transactions

Upon the consummation of our initial public offering, pursuant to a sale and merger agreement, HFF, Inc. contributed the net proceeds raised in the offering to HoldCo LLC, its wholly-owned subsidiary. In consideration for the net proceeds from the offering and one share of Class B common stock, HFF Holdings sold all of the shares of Holliday GP, which is the sole general partner of each of the Operating Partnerships, and approximately 45% of the partnership units in each of the Operating Partnerships (including partnership units in the Operating Partnerships held by Holliday GP), to HoldCo LLC. HFF Holdings used approximately \$56.3 million of the sale proceeds to repay all outstanding borrowings under HFF LP's credit agreement. Accordingly, we did not retain any of the proceeds from the offering.

In addition to cash, HFF Holdings also received an exchange right that permits HFF Holdings to exchange interests in the Operating Partnerships for shares of our Class A common stock (the Exchange Right) and rights under a tax receivable agreement between HFF, Inc. and HFF Holdings.

Exchange Right

Pursuant to the terms of HFF, Inc.'s amended and restated certificate of incorporation, HFF Holdings can from time to time exchange its partnership units in the Operating Partnerships for shares of the Company's Class A common stock on the basis of two partnership units, one for each Operating Partnership, for one share of Class A common stock, subject to customary conversion rate adjustments for stock splits, stock dividends and reclassifications. Beginning in February 2009, twenty-five percent of partnership units in HFF LP and HFF Securities held by HFF Holdings became exchangeable by HFF Holdings, upon the direction of its members, for shares of our Class A common stock. In

addition, members of HFF Holdings gained the right to exchange an additional twenty-five percent of the partnership units in the Operating Partnerships held by HFF Holdings for shares of Class A common stock in each of February 2010 and February 2011 and have the right to direct HFF Holdings to exchange an additional twenty-five percent of the partnership units in the Operating Partnerships held by the HFF Holdings for shares of our Class A common stock beginning in February 2012.

Table of Contents

In June 2010, following consultation with the Company's Board of Directors, the members of HFF Holdings agreed to modify the Exchange Right in connection with the voluntary extension of the Company's employment agreements with certain participating members of HFF Holdings. These modifications permitted HFF Holdings to exchange in June 2010 all of its partnership units in the Operating Partnerships that corresponded to participating members' interests in HFF Holdings for shares of Class A common stock. The participating members of HFF Holdings were then entitled to redeem all of their respective membership units in HFF Holdings for such shares of Class A common stock. This modification was conditioned upon each participating member's voluntary agreement to extend the term of his or her existing non-competition and non-solicitation agreement to March 2015 and to the imposition of resale restrictions on a portion of his or her shares of Class A common stock received pursuant to the Exchange Right exercise. The shares of Class A common stock subject to the resale restrictions equal 4,020,640 shares in the aggregate, which is equal to 25% of the original number of shares of Class A common stock that such participating members would have received following an exchange of 100% of their membership units in HFF Holdings held at the time of the initial public offering. The restrictions will begin to be released in March 2013. In March 2013, 33%, or approximately 1.34 million, of such restricted shares of Class A common stock will be eligible to be freely sold, with a like amount of such restricted shares of Class A common stock becoming eligible to be freely sold in each of March 2014 and March 2015. The contractual provisions setting forth these new resale restrictions can be waived, amended or terminated by the members of HFF Holdings following consultation with the Company's Board of Directors. Members choosing not to participate in the modification of the Exchange Right continued to be subject to their existing non-competition and non-solicitation agreements and the Exchange Right restrictions that were effective at the time of the initial public offering.

Twenty-nine members, including Messrs. Pelusi, Fowler, Gibson and Thornton, representing approximately 91% of the voting equity interests in HFF Holdings, elected to become subject to the conditions described above. On June 30, 2010, HFF Holdings exchanged all of its partnership units in the Operating Partnerships that corresponded to such participating members' interests in HFF Holdings for shares of Class A common stock. These shares were then distributed to such participating members upon the members' redemption of their respective membership units in HFF Holdings.

Nine members, representing approximately 9% of the voting equity interests in HFF Holdings, elected not to become subject to the conditions described above. HFF Holdings' partnership units in the Operating Partnerships that correspond to these members' interests in HFF Holdings continue to be subject to the Exchange Right restrictions effective at the time of the Company's initial public offering.

Through April 15, 2011, 19,332,467 partnership units had been exchanged for shares of our Class A common stock.

Tax Receivable Agreement

As described above, partnership units in HFF LP and HFF Securities held by Holdings Sub, HFF Holdings wholly-owned subsidiary, were sold to HoldCo LLC, our wholly-owned subsidiary, for cash raised in the initial public offering. Additional partnership units in HFF LP and HFF Securities held by HFF Holdings through Holdings Sub have since been, and may in the future be, exchanged by HFF Holdings for shares of our Class A common stock on the basis of two partnership units, one of each Operating Partnership, for one share of Class A common stock, subject to customary conversion rate adjustments for stock splits, stock dividends and reclassifications. HFF LP and HFF Securities made an election under Section 754 of the Internal Revenue Code effective for the taxable year in which the initial sale of partnership units occurred and intend to keep that election in effect for each taxable year in which an exchange of partnership units for shares occurs. The initial sale and subsequent exchanges produced (and future exchanges may produce) increases to the tax basis of the assets owned by HFF LP and HFF Securities at the time of the initial public offering and at the time of each exchange of partnership units. This increase in tax basis is allocated to us and allows us to reduce the amount of tax payments to the extent we have future taxable income.

Upon the consummation of our initial public offering, we entered into a tax receivable agreement with HFF Holdings that provides for the payment by us to HFF Holdings of 85% of the amount of the cash savings,

Table of Contents

if any, in U.S. federal, state and local income tax that we actually realize as a result of these increases in tax basis and as a result of certain other tax benefits arising from our entering into the tax receivable agreement and making payments under that agreement. As members of HFF Holdings, each of Messrs. Pelusi, Fowler, Gibson and Thornton are entitled to participate in such payments, in each case on a pro rata basis based upon their ownership of interests in each series of tax receivable payments created by the initial public offering or subsequent exchange of partnership units. We retain the remaining 15% of cash savings, if any, in income tax that we realize. For purposes of the tax receivable agreement, cash savings in income tax is computed by comparing our actual income tax liability to the amount of such taxes that we would have been required to pay had there been no increase to the tax basis of the assets of HFF LP and HFF Securities allocable to us as a result of the initial sale and later exchanges and had we not entered into the tax receivable agreement. The term of the tax receivable agreement commenced upon consummation of our initial public offering and continues until all such tax benefits have been utilized or have expired.

Although we are not aware of any issue that would cause the IRS to challenge the tax basis increases or other tax benefits arising under the tax receivable agreement, HFF Holdings will not reimburse us for any payments previously made if such basis increases or other benefits were later not allowed. As a result, in such circumstances we could make payments to HFF Holdings under the tax receivable agreement in excess of our actual cash tax savings.

While the actual amount and timing of payments under the tax receivable agreement depends upon a number of factors, including the amount and timing of taxable income generated in the future, changes in future tax rates, the value of individual assets, the portion of the Company's payments under the tax receivable agreement constituting imputed interest and increases in the tax basis of the Company's assets resulting in payments to HFF Holdings, the Company has estimated that the payments that will be made to HFF Holdings will be \$147.1 million and has recorded this obligation to HFF Holdings as a liability on the consolidated balance sheets. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the tax rates used to measure the deferred tax assets were updated which resulted in a reduction of deferred tax assets of \$1.0 million, which resulted in a reduction in the payable under the tax receivable agreement of \$0.8 million. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2009, the tax rates used to measure the deferred tax assets were updated which resulted in a reduction of deferred tax assets of \$2.0 million, which resulted in a reduction in the payable under the tax receivable agreement of \$1.7 million. To the extent the Company does not realize all of the tax benefits in future years, this liability to HFF Holdings may be reduced.

In conjunction with the filing of the Company's 2009 federal and state tax returns in 2010, the benefit for 2009 relating to the Section 754 basis step-up was finalized resulting in no tax benefits being realized by the Company for 2009. As such, during 2010, the Company did not make any payments to HFF Holdings under the tax receivable agreement and, as a result, Messrs. Pelusi, Fowler, Gibson and Thornton did not receive any payments in connection with the tax receivable agreement in 2010. As of March 31, 2011, we have made payments to HFF Holdings pursuant to the terms of the tax receivable agreement in an aggregate amount of approximately \$7.5 million.

Registration Rights Agreement

We entered into a registration rights agreement with HFF Holdings pursuant to which we are required to register under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, under certain circumstances and subject to certain restrictions, shares of our Class A common stock (and other securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for shares of our Class A common stock) held or acquired by HFF Holdings, its affiliates and certain of its transferees. Such securities registered under any registration statement will be available for sale in the open market unless restrictions apply.

Operating Partnership Agreements

HFF, Inc., through HFF LP and HFF Securities, operates our business. Below are brief summaries of the HFF LP and HFF Securities partnership agreements.

Table of Contents

HFF LP Partnership Agreement

Purpose

The partnership agreement provides that HFF LP's purpose is to engage in any lawful act or activity for which limited partnerships may be formed under the Texas Revised Limited Partnership Act.

Management and Control

The partnership agreement further provides that Holliday GP, as general partner, manages and controls the business and affairs of HFF LP. As noted above, the shares of Holliday GP are wholly-owned by HoldCo LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of HFF, Inc.

In exercising such control, Holliday GP acts at the direction of the managing member of HoldCo LLC, who is appointed by the Board of Directors of HFF, Inc. Holliday GP also consults with and considers the non-binding recommendations of the operating committee of HoldCo LLC, which is appointed by certain senior officers of the Operating Partnerships (and is comprised of 10 employees of the Operating Partnerships (or either of them)). Additionally, a managing member and operating committee has been established in HFF LP. The managing member of HFF LP is selected in the same manner as the HoldCo LLC operating committee, and the HFF LP operating committee is identical to the HoldCo LLC operating committee. In performing its duties as general partner of HFF LP, Holliday GP consults with and considers the non-binding recommendations of the HFF LP managing member and HFF LP operating committee. Additionally, such senior officers, HFF LP managing member and HFF LP operating committee participate in the preparation of the annual budget for submission to Holliday GP as a non-binding recommendation. Holliday GP delegates certain control over HFF LP to certain officers of HFF LP.