HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE Form 10-Q October 26, 2006

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

DESCRIPTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ______ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-13958
THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

13-3317783

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Hartford Plaza, Hartford, Connecticut 06115-1900

(Address of principal executive offices)

(860) 547-5000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

As of October 20, 2006, there were outstanding 316,938,097 shares of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, of the registrant.

INDEX

PART I. I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
Item 1.	Financial Statements	3
Report of	Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	3
Condensed 2006 and 2	d Consolidated Statements of Operations For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005	4
Condensed	d Consolidated Balance Sheets As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005	5
	d Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity For the Nine Months Ended 30, 2006 and 2005	6
	d Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) For the Three and Nine Months of tember 30, 2006 and 2005	6
Condensed 2005	d Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and	7
Notes to C	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	8
<u>Item 2.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	28
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	95
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	95
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	95
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	98
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	105
<u>Item 6.</u>	Exhibits	105
<u>Signature</u>		106
EX-31.01: C EX-31.02: C EX-32.01: C	Index LETTER OF AWARENESS CERTIFICATION CERTIFICATION CERTIFICATION CERTIFICATION CERTIFICATION	107

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.

Hartford, Connecticut

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of September 30, 2006, and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and changes in stockholders equity, and cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to such condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of the Company as of December 31, 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders—equity, comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated February 22, 2006 (which report includes an explanatory paragraph relating to the Company—s change in its method of accounting and reporting for certain nontraditional long-duration contracts and for separate accounts in 2004), we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2005 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP Hartford, Connecticut October 26, 2006

3

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(In millions, except for per share data)	Septen 2006	nths Ended nber 30, 2005 udited)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 2005 (Unaudited)	
Revenues	42 7 64	42.762	444.000	440.602
Earned premiums	\$3,761	\$3,562	\$11,288	\$10,693
Fee income	1,152	1,029	3,432	2,944
Net investment income				
Securities available-for-sale and other	1,164	1,124	3,449	3,263
Equity securities held for trading	1,185	1,500	669	2,024
Total net investment income	2,349	2,624	4,118	5,287
Other revenues	118	116	356	344
Net realized capital gains (losses)	27	(24)	(273)	105
Thet Teatized Capital gains (1055e5)	21	(24)	(273)	103
Total revenues	7,407	7,307	18,921	19,373
Benefits, claims and expenses	4.401	4.760	10.741	11.570
Benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses	4,491	4,769	10,741	11,570
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and	0.20	004	2.40.5	
present value of future profits	839	821	2,485	2,377
Insurance operating costs and expenses	832	786	2,358	2,301
Interest expense	70	62	207	189
Other expenses	164	173	530	499
Total benefits, claims and expenses	6,396	6,611	16,321	16,936
Income before income taxes	1,011	696	2,600	2,437
Income tax expense	253	157	638	630
Net income	\$ 758	\$ 539	\$ 1,962	\$ 1,807
Basic earnings per share Net income	\$ 2.45	\$ 1.80	\$ 6.41	\$ 6.08
Diluted earnings per share Net income	\$ 2.39	\$ 1.76	\$ 6.25	\$ 5.94
Weighted average common shares outstanding Weighted average common shares outstanding and	310.0	299.2	306.0	297.1
dilutive potential common shares	316.7	307.0	314.1	304.1
Cash dividends declared per share	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.29	\$ 1.20	\$ 0.87

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

4

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In millions, except for share data)	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
(11 minutions) encopy for small enamy		idited)
Assets		
Investments		
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost of \$77,296		
and \$74,766)	\$ 78,705	\$ 76,440
Equity securities, held for trading, at fair value (cost of \$23,071 and \$19,570)	27,863	24,034
Equity securities, available-for-sale, at fair value (cost of \$1,454 and \$1,330)	1,594	1,461
Policy loans, at outstanding balance	2,057	2,016
Mortgage loans on real estate	2,742	1,731
Other investments	1,763	1,253
Total investments	114,724	106,935
Cash	1,355	1,273
Premiums receivable and agents balances	3,686	3,734
Reinsurance recoverables	5,037	6,360
Deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits	10,328	9,702
Deferred income taxes	472	675
Goodwill	1,720	1,720
Property and equipment, net	753	683
Other assets	3,818	3,600
Separate account assets	162,901	150,875
Total assets	\$304,794	\$285,557
Liabilities		
Reserve for future policy benefits and unpaid claims and claim adjustment		
expenses Description of the second constant	¢ 21.040	¢ 22.266
Property and casualty	\$ 21,849	\$ 22,266
Life Other policyholder funds and benefits payable	13,662 69,296	12,987 64,452
Unearned premiums	5,670	5,566
Short-term debt	3,070 995	5,300 719
Long-term debt	3,081	4,048
Other liabilities	9,607	9,319
Separate account liabilities	162,901	150,875
Separate account natifices	102,901	130,673
Total liabilities	287,061	270,232

Commitments and Contingencies (Note 7)

Stockholders Equity

Common stock - 750,000,000 shares authorized, 319,686,997 and 305,188,238		
shares issued, \$0.01 par value	3	3
Additional paid-in capital	5,912	5,067
Retained earnings	11,800	10,207
Treasury stock, at cost, 3,084,597 and 3,035,916 shares	(46)	(42)
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax	64	90
Total stockholders equity	17,733	15,325
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$304,794	\$285,557

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

5

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
(In millions, except for share data)	2006	2005		
	(Una	udited)		
Common Stock and Additional Paid-in Capital				
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 5,070	\$ 4,570		
Issuance of shares from equity unit contracts	690			
Issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans and other	124	318		
Tax benefit on employee stock options and awards	31	40		
Balance at end of period	5,915	4,928		
Retained Earnings				
Balance at beginning of period	10,207	8,283		
Net income	1,962	1,807		
Dividends declared on common stock	(369)	(259)		
Balance at end of period	11,800	9,831		
Treasury Stock, at Cost				
Balance at beginning of period	(42)	(40)		
Return of shares to treasury stock under incentive and stock compensation plans	(4)	(2)		
Balance at end of period	(46)	(42)		
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax				
Balance at beginning of period	90	1,425		
Change in net unrealized gain/loss on securities	14	(862)		
Change in net gain/loss on cash-flow hedging instruments	(85)	97		
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments	45	(67)		
Total other comprehensive loss	(26)	(832)		
Balance at end of period	64	593		
Total stockholders equity	\$ 17,733	\$ 15,310		
Outstanding Shares (in thousands)				
Balance at beginning of period	302,152	294,208		
Issuance of shares from equity unit contracts	12,132			
Issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans and other Return of shares to treasury stock under incentive and stock compensation	2,367	5,972		
plans	(49)	(41)		
Balance at end of period	316,602	300,139		

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

	Three Mor Septem		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
(In millions)	2006	2005	2006	2005
	(Unau	dited)	(Unaudited)	
Comprehensive Income (Loss)				
Net income	\$ 758	\$ 539	\$1,962	\$1,807
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)				
Change in net unrealized gain/loss on securities	898	(728)	14	(862)
Change in net gain/loss on cash-flow hedging		, ,		, ,
instruments	114	(98)	(85)	97
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments	(24)		45	(67)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	988	(826)	(26)	(832)
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$1,746	\$(287)	\$1,936	\$ 975

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

6

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
(In millions)	2006 (Unau	2005 dited)		
Operating Activities	(Chaa	artea)		
Net income	\$ 1,962	\$ 1,807		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating				
activities				
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future	2.495	2 277		
profits Additions to deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future	2,485	2,377		
profits	(3,060)	(3,096)		
Change in:	(3,000)	(3,090)		
Reserve for future policy benefits and unpaid claims and claim adjustment				
expenses and unearned premiums	400	1,093		
Reinsurance recoverables	1,320	(70)		
Receivables	38	(20)		
Payables and accruals	(682)	(86)		
Accrued and deferred income taxes	572	238		
Net realized capital (gains) losses	273	(105)		
Net increase in equity securities, held for trading	(3,875)	(9,297)		
Net receipts from investment contracts credited to policyholder accounts				
associated with equity securities, held for trading	3,871	9,477		
Depreciation and amortization	387	368		
Other, net	95	261		
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,786	2,947		
Investing Activities				
Proceeds from the sale/maturity/prepayment of:	.	20.264		
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	26,766	29,264		
Equity securities, available-for-sale	285	19 415		
Mortgage loans Portnerships	249 117	415 100		
Partnerships Payments for the purchase of:	117	100		
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	(29,709)	(32,473)		
Equity securities, available-for-sale	(482)	(32,473) (492)		
Mortgage loans	(1,257)	(593)		
Partnerships	(645)	(232)		
Change in policy loans, net	(42)	653		
Change in payables for collateral under securities lending, net	420	(268)		
Change in all other securities, net	(416)	109		
Purchase price adjustment of business acquired		(8)		
Additions to property and equipment, net	(116)	(169)		

	690				
Financing Activities	600				
Issuance of shares from equity unit contracts					
Repayment/maturity of long-term debt	(715)		(250)		
Issuance of short-term debt, net of repayments	25		(/		
Net receipts from investment and universal life-type contracts	1,274		1,301		
Excess tax benefits on stock-based compensation	31		,		
Dividends paid	(335)		(258)		
Return of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans	(4)		(2)		
Proceeds from issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation					
plans, net	100		284		
Proceeds from issuance of consumer notes	41				
Net cash provided by financing activities	1,107		1,075		
Foreign exchange rate effect on cash	19		(14)		
Net increase in cash	82		333		
Cash beginning of period	1,273		1,148		
Cash end of period \$	1,355	\$	1,481		
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: Net Cash Paid During the Period For:					
Income taxes \$	25	\$	358		
Interest \$	204	\$	180		
See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. 7					

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollar amounts in millions except per share data unless otherwise stated) (Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies Basis of Presentation

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. is a financial holding company for a group of subsidiaries that provides investment products and life and property and casualty insurance to both individual and business customers in the United States and internationally (collectively, The Hartford or the Company).

The condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which differ materially from the accounting prescribed by various insurance regulatory authorities.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and notes as of September 30, 2006, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 are unaudited. These financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal accruals) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for the interim periods. These condensed consolidated financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report. The results of operations for the interim periods should not be considered indicative of results to be expected for the full year.

Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc., companies in which the Company directly or indirectly has a controlling financial interest and those variable interest entities (VIE) in which the Company is the primary beneficiary. Entities in which The Hartford does not have a controlling financial interest but in which the Company has significant influence over the operating and financing decisions are reported using the equity method. All material intercompany transactions and balances between The Hartford and its subsidiaries and affiliates have been eliminated.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period financial information, including segment disclosures, to conform to the current period presentation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The most significant estimates include those used in determining property and casualty reserves for unpaid claims and claim adjustment expenses, net of reinsurance; Life deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits associated with variable annuity and other universal life-type contracts; the evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments on investments in available-for-sale securities; the valuation of guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefit derivatives; pension and other postretirement benefit obligations; and contingencies relating to corporate litigation and regulatory matters.

Significant Accounting Policies

For a description of significant accounting policies, see Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was 25% and 23%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was 25% and 26%, respectively. The principal causes of the difference between the effective rates and the U.S. statutory rate of 35% were tax-exempt interest earned on invested assets and the separate account dividends-received deduction (DRD).

The separate account DRD is estimated for the current year using information from the most recent year-end, adjusted for equity market performance. The current estimated DRD will be appropriately adjusted as underlying factors change, including known actual 2006 mutual fund distributions and fee income from the Company s variable insurance products. The actual current year DRD can vary from the estimates based on, but not limited to, changes in eligible dividends received by the mutual funds, amounts of distributions from

8

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

these mutual funds, appropriate levels of taxable income as well as the utilization of capital loss carry forwards at the mutual fund level. The Company s DRD increased \$5 and \$27 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 over the respective prior year

1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies (continued)

periods including a tax benefit of \$6 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and \$3 in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, resulting from true-ups related to prior years—tax returns. For the three months ended September 30, 2005, the Company s DRD included an additional tax benefit of \$6 related to the 2005 year. The Company receives a credit against its U.S. tax liability for foreign taxes paid by the Company from its separate account assets. The increased allocation of separate account investments to the international equity markets during 2005 and 2006 has increased the amount of these foreign tax credits (FTC). In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company reported a net benefit of \$13 for the separate account FTC, comprised of a \$7 true up related to a prior year tax return and \$6 related to the 2006 year.

Based on current projections, it is management s intent that the undistributed earnings of Hartford Life Insurance, K.K. will be repatriated to the U.S. in the future. Therefore, the Company no longer meets the indefinite reversal criteria of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 23, Accounting for Income Taxes Special Areas, with respect to Hartford Life Insurance, K.K. As a result of this change, the Company has recorded a tax benefit of \$4 and \$6 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 respectively, due to the expected utilization of foreign tax credits from Hartford Life Insurance, K.K.

Prior to the Tax Reform Act of 1984, the Life Insurance Company Income Tax Act of 1959 permitted the deferral from taxation of a portion of statutory income under certain circumstances. In these situations, the deferred income was accumulated in a Policyholders Surplus Account and would be taxable only under conditions which management considered to be remote; therefore, no federal income taxes have been provided on the balance in this account, which for tax return purposes was \$88 as of December 31, 2005. The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, which was enacted in October 2004, allows distributions to be made from the Policyholders Surplus Account free of tax in 2005 and 2006. The Company has distributed the entire balance in the second quarter of 2006, thereby permanently eliminating the potential tax of \$31.

Adoption of New Accounting Standards

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R), which replaced SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS 123) and superseded APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees . SFAS 123R requires all companies to recognize compensation costs for share-based payments to employees based on the grant-date fair value of the award. In January 2003, the Company began expensing all stock-based compensation awards granted or modified after January 1, 2003 under the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 and therefore the adoption of SFAS 123R did not have a material effect on the Company s financial position or results of operations and is not expected to have a material effect on future operations. The Company adopted SFAS 123R effective January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective method and therefore prior period amounts have not been restated. The Company recognized an immaterial effect of adoption as of January 1, 2006 to reverse expense previously recognized on awards expected to be forfeited, as required under SFAS 123R.

Future Adoption of New Accounting Standards

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R) (SFAS 158). This statement requires an entity to: (a) recognize an asset for the funded status of defined benefit postretirement plans that are overfunded and a liability for plans that are underfunded, measured as of the employer s fiscal year end; and (b) recognize changes in the funded status of defined benefit postretirement plans, other than for the net periodic benefit cost included in net income, in accumulated other comprehensive income. For pension plans the funded status must be based on the projected benefit obligation which includes an assumption for future salary increases. SFAS 158 is effective for public entities with years ending after December 15, 2006, with certain exceptions not applicable to The Hartford, through an adjustment to the ending balance of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax.

The Company expects to record an increase in its benefit liability and a corresponding after-tax decrease in the accumulated other comprehensive income component of equity. Based upon actual asset returns and assuming an increase in the discount rate to 5.75%, the increase in the Company s liability as of December 31, 2006 is estimated to result in an after-tax decrease to equity of less than \$20. The actual effect will depend on the discount rate, other valuation assumptions and the actual value of plan assets as of December 31, 2006.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (SAB 108). SAB 108 provides guidance for how errors should be evaluated to assess materiality from a quantitative perspective. SAB 108 permits companies to initially apply its provisions by either restating prior financial statements or recording the cumulative effect of initially applying the approach as adjustments to the carrying values of assets and liabilities as of January 1, 2006 with an offsetting adjustment to retained earnings. SAB 108 is required to be adopted by December 31, 2006 and is not expected to have an effect on the Company s financial statements.

9

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies (continued)

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157). This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 provides guidance on how to measure fair value when required under existing accounting standards. The statement establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels (Level 1, 2 and 3). Level 1 inputs are observable inputs that reflect quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 2 inputs are observable inputs, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, for the asset or liability. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs reflecting the reporting entity s estimates of the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability (including assumptions about risk). Quantitative and qualitative disclosures will focus on the inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements and the effects of the measurements in the financial statements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with earlier application encouraged only in the initial quarter of an entity s fiscal year. Adoption of this statement is expected to have an impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements; however, the timing for adoption and impact has not yet been determined.

The FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48), dated June 2006. The interpretation requires public companies to recognize the tax benefits of uncertain tax positions only where the position is more likely than not to be sustained assuming examination by tax authorities. The amount recognized would be the amount that represents the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being ultimately realized. A liability would be recognized for any benefit claimed, or expected to be claimed, in a tax return in excess of the benefit recorded in the financial statements, along with any interest and penalty (if applicable) on the excess. FIN 48 will require a tabular reconciliation of the change in the aggregate unrecognized tax benefits claimed, or expected to be claimed, in tax returns and disclosure relating to accrued interest and penalties for unrecognized tax benefits. Discussion will also be required for those uncertain tax positions where it is reasonably possible that the estimate of the tax benefit will change significantly in the next 12 months. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Adoption of FIN 48 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

2. Earnings Per Share

The following tables present a reconciliation of net income and shares used in calculating basic earnings per share to those used in calculating diluted earnings per share.

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended			
	Se	September 30, 2006			September 30, 2006		
			Per			Per	
	Net		Share	Net		Share	
	Income	Shares	Amount	Income	Shares	Amount	
Basic Earnings per Share Net income available to common shareholders Diluted Earnings per Share	\$758	310.0	\$2.45	\$1,962	306.0	\$6.41	
Stock compensation plans		2.9			2.9		
Equity units		3.8			5.2		
Net income available to common shareholders plus	\$ 758	316.7	\$2.39	\$1,962	314.1	\$6.25	

assumed conversions

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2005			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005		
	Net Income	Shares	Per Share Amount	Net Income	Shares	Per Share Amount
Basic Earnings per Share Net income available to common shareholders Diluted Earnings per Share	\$539	299.2	\$1.80	\$1,807	297.1	\$6.08
Stock compensation plans Equity units		3.2 4.6			3.2 3.8	
Net income available to common shareholders plus assumed conversions	\$539	307.0	\$1.76	\$1,807	304.1	\$5.94

Basic earnings per share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per share includes the dilutive effect of stock compensation plans and the Company's equity units, if any, using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method for stock compensation plans, shares are assumed to be issued and then reduced for the number of shares repurchaseable with theoretical proceeds at the average market price for the period. Contingently issuable shares are included for the number of shares issuable assuming the end of the reporting period was the end of the contingency period, if dilutive. Theoretical proceeds include option exercise price payments, unamortized stock compensation expense and tax benefits realized in excess of the tax benefit recognized in net income. The difference between the number of shares assumed issued and number of shares purchased represents the dilutive shares. Under the treasury stock method for the equity units, the number of shares of common stock used in

10

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

2. Earnings Per Share (continued)

calculating diluted earnings per share is increased by the excess, if any, of the number of shares issuable upon settlement of the purchase contracts, over the number of shares that could be purchased by The Hartford in the market using the proceeds received upon settlement. The number of issuable shares is based on the average market price for the last 20 trading days of the period. The number of shares purchased is based on the average market price during the entire period.

Upon exercise of outstanding options or vesting of other stock compensation plan awards, the additional shares issued and outstanding are included in the calculation of the Company's weighted average shares from the date of exercise or vesting. Similarly, upon settlement of the purchase contracts associated with the Company's equity units, the associated common shares are added to the Company's issued and outstanding shares. During the 20 trading day period ending on the third trading day prior to August 16, 2006, The Hartford's common stock price exceeded \$56.875 per share. As a result, on August 16, 2006, the 7% equity unit purchase contracts issued in 2003 were settled, resulting in the issuance of approximately 12.1 million common shares that were added to the Company's issued and outstanding shares and included in the calculation of the Company's weighted average shares for the period the shares were outstanding. Additionally, in connection with the settlement on November 16, 2006 of the 6% equity units issued in 2002, assuming The Hartford's common stock price exceeds \$57.645 per share during the 20 trading day period ending on the third trading day period prior to November 16, 2006, and assuming operation of the equity unit purchase contracts in the ordinary course, approximately 5.7 million common shares will be added to the Company's issued and outstanding shares and will be included in the calculation of the Company's weighted average shares for the period the shares are outstanding. For further discussion of the Company's equity units offerings, see Note 14 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford's 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

3. Segment Information

The Hartford is organized into two major operations: Life and Property & Casualty, each containing reporting segments. Within the Life and Property & Casualty operations, The Hartford conducts business principally in ten operating segments. Additionally, Corporate primarily includes the Company s debt financing and related interest expense, as well as certain capital raising and purchase accounting adjustment activities.

Life

Life is organized into six reportable operating segments: Retail Products Group (Retail), Retirement Plans, Institutional Solutions Group (Institutional), Individual Life, Group Benefits and International. The accounting policies of the reportable operating segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies in Note 1. Life evaluates performance of its segments based on revenues, net income and the segment is return on allocated capital. The Company charges direct operating expenses to the appropriate segment and allocates the majority of indirect expenses to the segments based on an intercompany expense arrangement. Intersegment revenues primarily occur between Life is Other category and the operating segments. These amounts primarily include interest income on allocated surplus, interest charges on excess separate account surplus, the allocation of certain net realized capital gains and losses and the allocation of credit risk charges. For a discussion of segment allocations, see Note 3 of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford is 2005. Form 10-K Annual Report.

11

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

3. Segment Information (continued)

The positive (negative) impact, on realized gains and losses in the segments, for allocated realized gains and losses and credit-risk charges were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 2005		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 2005	
Retail				
Realized gains (losses)	\$ 7	\$ 9	\$ 24	\$ 27
			(19)	(19)
Credit risk charge Retirement Plans	(6)	(6)	(19)	(19)
	2	1	7	4
Realized gains (losses)		1	7	4
Credit risk charge	(3)	(2)	(7)	(6)
Institutional	4	4	10	10
Realized gains (losses)	4	4	12	10
Credit risk charge	(6)	(5)	(17)	(14)
Individual Life			_	_
Realized gains (losses)	3	2	8	8
Credit risk charge	(2)	(2)	(5)	(5)
Group Benefits				
Realized gains (losses)		2	2	7
Credit risk charge	(2)	(2)	(7)	(7)
International				
Realized gains (losses)	1		1	
Credit risk charge	(1)		(2)	
Other				
Realized gains (losses)	(17)	(18)	(54)	(56)
Credit risk charge	20	17	57	51
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$

Property & Casualty

Property & Casualty is organized into four reportable operating segments: the underwriting segments of Business Insurance, Personal Lines, and Specialty Commercial (collectively Ongoing Operations); and the Other Operations segment. For the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, AARP accounted for earned premiums of \$624 and \$568, respectively, in Personal Lines. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, AARP accounted for earned premiums of \$1.8 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively, in Personal Lines.

Through inter-segment arrangements, Specialty Commercial reimburses Business Insurance and Personal Lines for certain losses, including, among other coverages, losses incurred from uncollectible reinsurance. In addition, through a co-participation, the Company retains a portion of the risks ceded under the Company's principal catastrophe reinsurance program and other reinsurance programs. The financial results of this co-participation are recorded in the Specialty Commercial segment. In addition to the co-participation, the amount of premiums ceded to third party reinsurers under these programs are allocated to the operating segments based on the risks written by each operating segment that are subject to the programs.

Earned premiums assumed (ceded) under the inter-segment arrangements and co-participations were as follows:

Net assumed (ceded) earned premiums under inter-segment	En	Months ded aber 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
arrangements and co-participations	2006	2005	2006	2005
Business Insurance	\$(14)	\$(19)	\$(54)	\$(57)
Personal Lines	(4)	(6)	(16)	(18)
Specialty Commercial	18	25	70	75
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$

Financial Measures and Other Segment Information

For further discussion of the types of products offered by each segment, see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report.

The measure of profit or loss used by The Hartford s management in evaluating the performance of its Life segments is net income. Within Property & Casualty, net income is the measure of profit or loss used in evaluating the performance of Ongoing Operations and the Other Operations segment. Within Ongoing Operations, the underwriting segments of Business Insurance, Personal Lines and Specialty Commercial are evaluated by The Hartford s management primarily based upon underwriting results. Underwriting results

12

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

3. Segment Information (continued)

represent premiums earned less incurred claims, claim adjustment expenses and underwriting expenses. The sum of underwriting results, net investment income, net servicing and other income, net realized capital gains and losses, other expenses, and related income taxes is net income (loss).

The following tables present revenues and net income (loss). Underwriting results are presented for the Business Insurance, Personal Lines and Specialty Commercial segments, while net income is presented for each of Life s reportable segments, total Property & Casualty, Ongoing Operations, Other Operations, and Corporate. Segment information for the previous periods have been adjusted to reflect the change in composition of reportable operating segments.

	Three Months Ended September 30,			onths Ended ember 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005	
Revenues					
Life					
Retail	\$ 849	\$ 810	\$ 2,566	\$ 2,399	
Retirement Plans	131	118	399	348	
Institutional	429	358	1,314	997	
Individual Life	271	278	817	799	
Group Benefits	1,137	1,049	3,398	3,143	
International	194	141	558	356	
Other	80	62	(52)	275	
Total Life segment revenues Net investment income on equity securities held	3,091	2,816	9,000	8,317	
for trading [1]	1,185	1,500	669	2,024	
Total Life	4,276	4,316	9,669	10,341	
Property & Casualty					
Ongoing Operations					
Earned premiums					
Business Insurance	1,292	1,194	3,823	3,541	
Personal Lines	952	890	2,810	2,693	
Specialty Commercial	388	431	1,170	1,364	
Total Ongoing Operations earned premiums	2,632	2,515	7,803	7,598	
Other Operations earned premiums		2	2	4	
Other revenues [2]	118	115	355	342	
Net investment income	359	349	1,081	1,014	
Net realized capital gains (losses)	16	2	(8)	50	
Total Property & Casualty	3,125	2,983	9,233	9,008	
Corporate	6	8	19	24	
Total revenues	\$7,407	\$7,307	\$18,921	\$19,373	

[1] Management

does not include

dividend income

and

mark-to-market

effects of

trading

securities

supporting the

international

variable annuity

business in its

segment

revenues since

corresponding

amounts

credited to

policyholders

are included

within benefits,

claims and

claim

adjustment

expenses.

[2] Represents servicing

revenue.

Table of Contents 24

13

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued) 3. Segment Information (continued)

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 2005			oths Ended other 30, 2005
	2000	2003	2000	2003
Net Income (Loss)				
Life				
Retail	\$ 184	\$ 172	\$ 526	\$ 447
Retirement Plans	21	20	64	54
Institutional	24	24	75	66
Individual Life	46	45	139	123
Group Benefits	74	68	216	191
International	47	28	145	63
Other	32	(11)	(83)	(31)
Total Life	428	346	1,082	913
Property & Casualty				
Ongoing Operations				
Underwriting results				
Business Insurance	123	125	454	384
Personal Lines	89	71	321	386
Specialty Commercial	41	(143)	45	(98)
Total Ongoing Operations underwriting results	253	53	820	672
Net servicing and other income [1]	15	12	45	40
Net investment income	299	279	886	797
Other expenses	(40)	(50)	(168)	(146)
Net realized capital gains (losses)	11	2	(15)	24
Income tax expense	(163)	(78)	(464)	(413)
Ongoing Operations	375	218	1,104	974
Other Operations	6	15	(83)	45
Total Property & Casualty	381	233	1,021	1,019
Corporate	(51)	(40)	(141)	(125)
Net income	\$ 758	\$ 539	\$1,962	\$1,807

^[1] Net of expenses related to service business.

4. Investments and Derivative Instruments

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2006 Gross Gross Amortized Unrealized Unrealized Fair		December 31, 2005 Gross Gross Amortized Unrealized Fair					
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
Bonds and Notes Asset-backed securities								
(ABS) Collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	\$ 7,627	\$ 59	\$ (66)	\$ 7,620	\$ 7,907	\$ 60	\$ (89)	\$ 7,878
Agency backed	1,162	18	(7)	1,173	860	3	(6)	857
Non-agency backed Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)	123		(1)	122	133			133
Agency backed	579	12	(1)	590	70	1		71
Non-agency backed	14,337	241	(141)	14,437	12,860	233	(162)	12,931
Corporate	34,360	1,169	(406)	35,123	33,019	1,395	(396)	34,018
Government/Government agencies								
Foreign	1,077	64	(8)	1,133	1,378	96	(7)	1,467
United States	1,345	16	(9)	1,352	877	27	(6)	898
Mortgage-backed								
securities (MBS) States, municipalities and	2,766	6	(55)	2,717	3,914	7	(60)	3,861
political subdivisions Redeemable preferred	11,838	540	(24)	12,354	11,641	601	(24)	12,218
stock	38	2		40	44	1		45
Short-term	2,044			2,044	2,063			2,063
Total fixed maturities	\$77,296	\$2,127	\$(718)	\$78,705	\$74,766	\$2,424	\$(750)	\$76,440
			14					

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Variable Interest Entities

In September 2006, the Company invested \$3 in a newly established ABS collateralized debt obligation (CDO) for which Hartford Investment Management Company (HIMCO), a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Hartford, serves as collateral manager. The CDO issued approximately \$1.2 billion of senior and subordinated notes to third party investors and invested the proceeds in ABS and CMBS with an average credit quality of Aa3/A1. The notes are collateralized by the underlying securities held by the CDO. The Company s maximum exposure to loss is limited to its \$3 direct investment in the CDO. Investors in the CDO have recourse only to the CDO s assets and not to the general credit of the Company.

Pursuant to the requirements of FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51 (FIN 46R), the Company has concluded that the CDO is a VIE. However, the Company is not the primary beneficiary and, accordingly, is not required to consolidate the VIE. The Company utilized qualitative and quantitative analyses to assess whether it was the primary beneficiary of the VIE.

Derivative Instruments

The Company utilizes a variety of derivative instruments, including swaps, caps, floors, forwards, futures and options through one of four Company-approved objectives: to hedge risk arising from interest rate, equity market, price or currency exchange rate risk or volatility; to manage liquidity; to control transaction costs; or to enter into replication transactions.

On the date the derivative contract is entered into, the Company designates the derivative as (1) a hedge of the fair value of a recognized asset or liability (fair value hedge), (2) a hedge of the variability of cash flows of a forecasted transaction or of amounts to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability (cash flow hedge), (3) a foreign-currency fair value or cash flow hedge (foreign-currency hedge), (4) a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation (net investment hedge) or (5) held for other investment and risk management purposes, which primarily involve managing asset or liability related risks which do not qualify for hedge accounting.

The Company s derivative transactions are used in strategies permitted under the derivatives use plans filed and/or approved, as applicable, by the State of Connecticut, the State of Illinois and the State of New York insurance departments. The Company does not make a market or trade in these instruments for the express purpose of earning short-term trading profits.

For a detailed discussion of the Company s use of derivative instruments, see Notes 1 and 4 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Derivative instruments are recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value. Asset and liability values are determined by calculating the net position for each derivative counterparty by legal entity and are presented as follows:

	September 30, 2006 Liability		December 31, 200 Liabi	
	Asset	•	Asset	•
	Values	Values	Values	Values
Other investments	\$272	\$	\$181	\$
Reinsurance recoverables		26		17
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable	35		8	
Other liabilities		562		450
Total	\$307	\$588	\$189	\$467

The following table summarizes the notional amount and fair value of derivatives by hedge designation as of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005. The notional amount of derivative contracts represents the basis upon

which pay or receive amounts are calculated and are not necessarily reflective of credit risk. The fair value amounts of derivative assets and liabilities are presented on a net basis in the following table.

	September 30, 2006		December 31, 2005		
	Notional		Notional		
	Fair			Fair	
	Amount	Value	Amount	Value	
Cash flow hedge	\$ 7,310	\$(331)	\$ 7,511	\$(260)	
Fair value hedge	3,131	4	2,476	(12)	
Other investment and risk management activities	67,202	46	55,741	(6)	
Total	\$77,643	\$(281)	\$65,728	\$(278)	

The increase in notional amount since December 31, 2005, is primarily due to an increase in derivatives associated with guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefit (GMWB) product sales and additional options purchased to hedge the GMWB, as well as an increase in interest rate swap derivatives used to assist in the matching of duration between certain assets and liabilities. The decrease in net fair value of derivative instruments since December 31, 2005, was primarily related to declines in fair value of derivatives hedging foreign bonds, the Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments, and derivatives hedging changes in interest rates, partially offset by additional

15

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

options purchased to hedge GMWB. Derivatives hedging foreign bonds declined in value primarily as a result of the weakening of the U.S. dollar in comparison to certain foreign currencies while the Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments and derivatives hedging changes in interest rates declined in value primarily due to rising interest rates. For further discussion on the GMWB product, which is accounted for as an embedded derivative, refer to Note 6 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, the Company terminated an interest rate swap and an interest rate cap that were used to hedge the Company s \$500 fixed rate debt which was callable in October 2006. The positions were terminated due to expected near term interest rate increases. Additionally, during the three months ended June 30, 2006, interest rate swaps and the respective hedged fixed rate debt of \$250 matured. The notional and fair value of the contracts terminated during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, were \$1.3 billion and \$(11), respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, after-tax net gains (losses) representing the total ineffectiveness of cash flow hedges were \$(3) and \$(13), respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, after-tax net gains (losses) representing the total ineffectiveness of cash flow hedges were \$(5) and \$(9), respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, after-tax net gains (losses) representing the total ineffectiveness of fair value hedges were \$(1). For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, after-tax net gains (losses) representing the total ineffectiveness of fair value hedges were \$3. The total change in value for derivative-based strategies that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, including periodic net coupon settlements, are reported in net realized capital gains and losses. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, these strategies resulted in the recognition of after-tax net gains (losses) of \$9 and \$(73), respectively. Net realized capital gains for the three months ended September 30, 2006, were primarily comprised of gains on derivatives hedging changes in interest rates and, net gains on GMWB related derivatives, partially offset by net losses on the Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments. The net gains on GWMB related derivatives were primarily driven by net changes in policyholder behavior assumptions made in the third quarter. The net losses on the Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments were primarily driven by losses due to the weakening of the Yen in comparison to the U.S. dollar, partially offset by gains due to a decline in Japanese interest rates. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, net realized capital losses were primarily driven by losses on the Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments due to an increase in Japanese interest rates, GMWB related derivatives primarily driven by liability model refinements and assumption updates reflecting in-force demographics, and derivatives hedging changes in interest rates primarily due to rising interest rates. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, these derivative based strategies resulted in the recognition of after-tax net gains (losses) of \$(39) and \$(84), respectively, which were predominantly comprised of net losses on the Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments primarily due to the weakening of the Yen in comparison to the U.S. dollar as well as an increase in interest rates. As of September 30, 2006, the after-tax deferred net gains (losses) on derivative instruments recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (AOCI) that are expected to be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months are \$(7). This expectation is based on the anticipated interest payments on hedged investments in fixed maturity securities that will occur over the next twelve months, at which time the Company will recognize the deferred net gains (losses) as an adjustment to interest income over the term of the investment cash flows. The maximum term over which the Company is hedging its exposure to the variability of future cash flows (for all forecasted transactions, excluding interest payments on variable-rate debt) is twenty-four months. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, and 2005, the Company had less than \$1 of net reclassifications from AOCI to earnings resulting from the discontinuance of cash-flow hedges due to forecasted transactions that were no longer probable of occurring.

5. Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Present Value of Future Profits Life

Changes in deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits were as follows:

2006 2005

Balance, January 1	\$ 8,568	\$7,438 1.564
Capitalization Amortization Deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits	1,420 (913)	1,564 (887)
Adjustments to unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale and	(510)	(007)
other	54	239
Effect of currency translation adjustment	(3)	(103)
Balance, September 30	\$9,126	\$8,251
Property & Casualty		
Changes in deferred policy acquisition costs are as follows:		
	2006	2005
Balance, January 1	\$ 1,134	\$ 1,071
Capitalization	1,640	1,532
Amortization Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	(1,572)	(1,490)
Balance, September 30	\$ 1,202	\$ 1,113
16		

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Separate Accounts, Death Benefits and Other Insurance Benefit Features

Many of the variable annuity contracts issued by the Company offer various guaranteed minimum death, withdrawal and income benefits. Guaranteed minimum death and income benefits are offered in various forms as described in the footnotes to the table below. The Company currently reinsures a significant portion of the death benefit guarantees associated with its in-force block of business. Effective April 1, 2006, the Company began reinsuring certain of its death benefit guarantees associated with the inforce block of variable annuity products offered in Japan. Changes in the gross U.S. guaranteed minimum death benefit (GMDB) and Japan GMDB/guaranteed minimum income benefits (GMIB) liability balance sold with annuity products are as follows:

	U.S. GMDB Japan GMDB/GMII		
	[1]	[1]	
Liability balance as of January 1, 2006	\$ 158	\$ 50	
Incurred	92	24	
Paid	(84)	(1)	
Currency translation adjustment			
Liability balance as of September 30, 2006	\$ 166	\$ 73	

[1] The reinsurance recoverable asset related to the U.S. GMDB was \$32 as of September 30, 2006. The reinsurance recoverable asset related to the Japan GMDB was \$3 as of September 30, 2006.

	U.S. GMDB [1]	Japan GMDB/GMIB
Liability balance as of January 1, 2005	\$ 174	\$ 28
Incurred	96	23
Paid	(111)	(1)
Currency translation adjustment		(4)
Liability balance as of September 30, 2005	\$ 159	\$ 46

[1]

The reinsurance recoverable asset related to the U.S. GMDB was \$42 as of September 30, 2005.

The net GMDB and GMIB liability is established by estimating the expected value of net reinsurance costs and death and income benefits in excess of the projected account balance. The excess death and income benefits and net reinsurance costs are recognized ratably over the accumulation period based on total expected assessments. The GMDB and GMIB liabilities are recorded in Reserve for Future Policy Benefits on the Company s balance sheet. Changes in the GMDB and GMIB liability are recorded in Benefits, Claims and Claim Adjustment Expenses on the Company s statements of operations. In a manner consistent with the Company s accounting policy for deferred acquisition costs, the Company regularly evaluates estimates used and adjusts the additional liability balances, with a related charge or credit to benefit expense if actual experience or other evidence suggests that earlier assumptions should be revised.

The following table provides details concerning GMDB and GMIB exposure as of September 30, 2006:

Breakdown of Individual Variable and Group Annuity Account Value by GMDB/GMIB Type

	Account Value	Net Amount at Risk	Retained Net Amount at Risk	Weighted Average Attained Age of Annuitant
Maximum anniversary value (MAV)				
[1]				
MAV only	\$ 52,640	\$4,271	\$ 431	64
With 5% rollup [2]	3,763	433	82	63
With Earnings Protection Benefit Rider				
(EPB) [3]	5,382	374	64	61
With 5% rollup & EPB	1,382	135	25	63
Total MAV	63,167	5,213	602	
Asset Protection Benefit (APB) [4]	33,561	59	31	61
Lifetime Income Benefit (LIB) Death				
Benefit[5]	2,535	3	3	59
Reset [6] (5-7 years)	6,764	319	319	65
Return of Premium [7]/Other	9,583	32	30	53
Subtotal U.S. Guaranteed Minimum				
Death Benefits	115,610	5,626	985	62
Japan Guaranteed Minimum Death and				
Income Benefit [8]	28,265	113	53	66
Total at September 30, 2006	\$143,875	\$5,739	\$ 1,038	

[1] MAV: the death benefit is the greatest of current account value, net

premiums paid and the highest account value on any anniversary before age 80 (adjusted for withdrawals).

[2] Rollup: the death benefit is the greatest of the MAV, current account value, net premium paid and premiums (adjusted for withdrawals) accumulated at generally 5% simple interest up to the earlier of age 80 or 100% of adjusted premiums.

[3] EPB: the death benefit is the greatest of the MAV, current account value, or contract value plus a percentage of the contract s growth. The contract s growth is account value less premiums net of withdrawals, subject to a cap of 200% of premiums net of withdrawals.

[4] APB: the death benefit is the

greater of current account value or MAV, not to exceed current account value plus 25% times the greater of net premiums and MAV (each adjusted for premiums in the past 12 months).

[5] LIB: the death benefit is the greater of current account value or MAV, net premiums paid, or a benefit amount that rachets over time, generally based on market performance.

[6] Reset: the death benefit is the greatest of current account value, net premiums paid and the most recent five to seven year anniversary account value before age 80 (adjusted for withdrawals).

[7] Return of premium: the death benefit is the greater of current account value and net premiums paid.

[8] Death benefits include a Return of Premium and MAV (before age 75) death benefit and income benefits include a guarantee to return initial

which is adjusted for

investment,

adjusted for

earnings

liquidity or a

maximum

annual

withdrawal of

3% of

premiums,

 $depending\ on$

the product,

through a fixed

annuity after a

minimum

deferral period

of 10, 15 or

20 years. The

guaranteed

remaining

balance related

to the Japan

GMIB was

\$19.4 billion

and

\$15.2 billion as

of

September 30,

2006 and

December 31,

2005,

respectively.

17

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Separate Accounts, Death Benefits and Other Insurance Benefit Features (continued)

The Company offers certain variable annuity products with a GMWB rider. The GMWB provides the policyholder with a guaranteed remaining balance (GRB) if the account value is reduced to zero through a combination of market declines and withdrawals. The GRB is generally equal to premiums less withdrawals. However, annual withdrawals that exceed a specific percentage of the premiums paid may reduce the GRB by an amount greater than the withdrawals and may also impact the guaranteed annual withdrawal amount that subsequently applies after the excess annual withdrawals occur. For certain of the withdrawal benefit features, the policyholder also has the option, after a specified time period, to reset the GRB to the then-current account value, if greater. In addition, the Company has introduced features for contracts issued beginning in the fourth quarter of 2005, that allows the policyholder to receive the guaranteed annual withdrawal amount for as long as they are alive. In this new feature, in all cases the contract holder or their beneficiary will receive the GRB and the GRB is reset on an annual basis to the maximum anniversary account value subject to a cap.

The GMWB represents an embedded derivative in the variable annuity contracts that is required to be reported separately from the host variable annuity contract. It is carried at fair value and reported in other policyholder funds. The fair value of the GMWB obligation is calculated based on actuarial and capital market assumptions related to the projected cash flows, including benefits and related contract charges, over the lives of the contracts, incorporating expectations concerning policyholder behavior. Because of the dynamic and complex nature of these cash flows, stochastic techniques under a variety of market return scenarios and other best estimate assumptions are used. Estimating these cash flows involves numerous estimates and subjective judgments including those regarding expected market rates of return, market volatility, correlations of market returns and discount rates. At each valuation date, the Company assumes expected returns based on risk-free rates as represented by the current LIBOR forward curve rates; market volatility assumptions for each underlying index based on a blend of observed market implied volatility data and annualized standard deviations of monthly returns using the most recent 20 years of observed market performance; correlations of market returns across underlying indices based on actual observed market returns and relationships over the ten years preceding the valuation date; and current risk-free spot rates as represented by the current LIBOR spot curve to determine the present value of expected future cash flows produced in the stochastic projection process. As markets change, mature and evolve and actual policyholder behavior emerges, management continually evaluates the appropriateness of its assumptions. In addition, management regularly evaluates the valuation model, incorporating emerging valuation techniques where appropriate, including drawing on the expertise of market participants and valuation experts.

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the embedded derivative asset recorded for GMWB, before reinsurance or hedging, was \$35 and \$8, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, the change in value of the GMWB, before reinsurance and hedging, reported as a realized gain (loss) was \$(12) and \$83, respectively. Included in the realized gain (loss) were liability model refinements and changes in policyholder behavior assumptions for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 of a net \$14 and \$(4), respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, the change in value of the GMWB, before reinsurance and hedging, reported as a realized gain (loss) was \$55 and \$11, respectively. There were no benefit payments made for the GMWB during 2006 or 2005.

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, \$33.4 billion, or 76%, and \$26.4 billion, or 69%, respectively, of account value representing substantially all of the contracts written after July 2003, with the GMWB feature, were unreinsured. In order to minimize the volatility associated with the unreinsured GMWB liabilities, the Company has established an alternative risk management strategy. In 2003, the Company began hedging its unreinsured GMWB exposure using interest rate futures and swaps, and Standard and Poor s (S&P) 500 and NASDAQ index options and futures contracts. During 2004, the Company began using Europe, Australasia and Far East (EAFE) Index swaps to hedge GMWB exposure to international equity markets. The total (reinsured and unreinsured) GRB as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 was \$35.8 billion and \$31.8 billion, respectively.

A contract is in the money if the contract holder s GRB is greater than the account value. For contracts that were in the money the Company s exposure, as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, was \$12 and \$8, respectively.

However, the only ways the contract holder can monetize the excess of the GRB over the account value of the contract is upon death or if their account value is reduced to zero through a combination of a series of withdrawals that do not exceed a specific percentage of the premiums paid per year and market declines. If the account value is reduced to zero, the contract holder will receive a period certain annuity equal to the remaining GRB or a period certain plus life contingent annuity. As the amount of the excess of the GRB over the account value can fluctuate with equity market returns on a daily basis the ultimate amount to be paid by the Company, if any, is uncertain and could be significantly more or less than \$12.

7. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation

The Hartford is involved in claims litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, both as a liability insurer defending third-party claims brought against insureds and as an insurer defending coverage claims brought against it. The Hartford accounts for such activity through the establishment of unpaid claim and claim adjustment expense reserves. Subject to the uncertainties discussed below under the caption Asbestos and Environmental Claims, management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such ordinary-course claims litigation, after consideration of provisions made for potential losses and costs of defense, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of The Hartford.

18

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

7. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

The Hartford is also involved in other kinds of legal actions, some of which assert claims for substantial amounts. These actions include, among others, putative state and federal class actions seeking certification of a state or national class. Such putative class actions have alleged, for example, underpayment of claims or improper underwriting practices in connection with various kinds of insurance policies, such as personal and commercial automobile, property, life and inland marine; improper sales practices in connection with the sale of life insurance and other investment products; and improper fee arrangements in connection with mutual funds and structured settlements. The Hartford also is involved in individual actions in which punitive damages are sought, such as claims alleging bad faith in the handling of insurance claims. Like many other insurers, The Hartford also has been joined in actions by asbestos plaintiffs asserting that insurers had a duty to protect the public from the dangers of asbestos and in a putative class action filed in West Virginia state court by asbestos plaintiffs alleging that insurers committed unfair trade practices by asserting defenses on behalf of their policyholders in the underlying asbestos cases. Management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such lawsuits, after consideration of provisions made for estimated losses, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition of The Hartford. Nonetheless, given the large or indeterminate amounts sought in certain of these actions, and the inherent unpredictability of litigation, an adverse outcome in certain matters could, from time to time, have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated results of operations or cash flows in particular quarterly or annual periods.

Broker Compensation Litigation On October 14, 2004, the New York Attorney General s Office filed a civil complaint (the NYAG Complaint) against Marsh Inc. and Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc. (collectively, Marsh) alleging, among other things, that certain insurance companies, including The Hartford, participated with Marsh in arrangements to submit inflated bids for business insurance and paid contingent commissions to ensure that Marsh would direct business to them. The Hartford was not joined as a defendant in the action, which has since settled. Since the filing of the NYAG Complaint, several private actions have been filed against the Company asserting claims arising from the allegations of the NYAG Complaint.

Two securities class actions, now consolidated, have been filed in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut alleging claims against the Company and certain of its executive officers under Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act and SEC Rule 10b-5. The consolidated amended complaint alleges on behalf of a putative class of shareholders that the Company and the four named individual defendants, as control persons of the Company, failed to disclose to the investing public that The Hartford s business and growth was predicated on the unlawful activity alleged in the NYAG Complaint. The class period alleged is August 6, 2003 through October 13, 2004, the day before the NYAG Complaint was filed. The complaint seeks damages and attorneys fees. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss in June 2005, and, on July 13, 2006, the district court granted the motion. The plaintiffs have noticed an appeal of the dismissal.

Two corporate derivative actions, now consolidated, also have been filed in the same court. The consolidated amended complaint, brought by a shareholder on behalf of the Company against its directors and an executive officer, alleges that the defendants knew adverse non-public information about the activities alleged in the NYAG Complaint and concealed and misappropriated that information to make profitable stock trades, thereby breaching their fiduciary duties, abusing their control, committing gross mismanagement, wasting corporate assets, and unjustly enriching themselves. The complaint seeks damages, injunctive relief, disgorgement, and attorneys fees. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss in May 2005, and the plaintiffs thereafter agreed to stay further proceedings pending resolution of the motion to dismiss the securities class action. All defendants dispute the allegations and intend to defend these actions vigorously.

The Company is also a defendant in a multidistrict litigation in federal district court in New Jersey. There are two consolidated amended complaints filed in the multidistrict litigation, one related to alleged conduct in connection with the sale of property-casualty insurance and the other related to alleged conduct in connection with the sale of group benefits products. The Company and various of its subsidiaries are named in both complaints. The actions assert, on behalf of a class of persons who purchased insurance through the broker defendants, claims under the Sherman Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), state law, and in the case of the group benefits

complaint, claims under ERISA arising from conduct similar to that alleged in the NYAG Complaint. The class period alleged is 1994 through the date of class certification, which has not yet occurred. The complaints seek treble damages, injunctive and declaratory relief, and attorneys fees. On October 3, 2006, the court denied in part the defendants motions to dismiss the two consolidated amended complaints but found the complaints deficient in other respects and ordered the plaintiffs to file supplemental pleadings. The plaintiffs motions for class certification are pending. The Company also has been named in two similar actions filed in state courts, which the defendants have removed to federal court. Those actions currently are transferred to the court presiding over the multidistrict litigation. In addition, the Company was joined as a defendant in an action by the California Commissioner of Insurance alleging similar conduct by various insurers in connection with the sale of group benefits products. The Commissioner s action asserts claims under California insurance law and seeks injunctive relief only. The Company disputes the allegations in all of these actions and intends to defend the actions vigorously.

Additional complaints may be filed against the Company in various courts alleging claims under federal or state law arising from the conduct alleged in the NYAG Complaint. The Company s ultimate liability, if any, in the pending and possible future suits is highly uncertain and subject to contingencies that are not yet known, such as how many suits will be filed, in which courts they will be lodged, what claims they will assert, what the outcome of investigations by the New York Attorney General s Office and other regulatory

19

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

7. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

agencies will be, the success of defenses that the Company may assert, and the amount of recoverable damages if liability is established. In the opinion of management, it is possible that an adverse outcome in one or more of these suits could have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated results of operations or cash flows in particular quarterly or annual periods.

Fair Credit Reporting Act Putative Class Action In October 2001, a complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Oregon, on behalf of a putative class of homeowners and automobile policyholders from 1999 to the present, alleging that the Company willfully violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) by failing to send appropriate notices to new customers whose initial rates were higher than they would have been had the customer had a more favorable credit report. In July 2003, the district court granted summary judgment for the Company, holding that FCRA's adverse action notice requirement did not apply to the rate first charged for an initial policy of insurance. The plaintiff appealed and, in August 2005, a panel of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit reversed the district court, holding that the adverse action notice requirement applies to new business and that the Company s notices, even when sent, contained inadequate information. Although no court previously had decided the notice requirements applicable to insurers under FCRA, and the district court had not addressed whether the Company s alleged violations of FCRA were willful because it had agreed with the Company s interpretation of FCRA and found no violation, the Court of Appeals further held, over a dissent by one of the judges, that the Company s failure to send notices conforming to the Court s opinion constituted a willful violation of FCRA as a matter of law. FCRA provides for a statutory penalty of \$100 to \$1,000 per willful violation. Simultaneously, the Court of Appeals issued decisions in related cases against four other insurers, reversing the district court and holding that those insurers also had violated FCRA in similar ways. On October 3, 2005, the Court of Appeals withdrew its opinion in the Hartford case and issued a revised opinion, which changed certain language of the opinion but not the outcome. On October 31, 2005, the Company timely filed a petition for rehearing and for rehearing en banc in the Ninth Circuit. While that petition was pending, on January 25, 2006, the Court of Appeals again withdrew its opinion in the Hartford case and issued a second revised opinion. The new opinion vacated the Court s earlier ruling that the Company had willfully violated FCRA as a matter of law and remanded the case to the district court for further proceedings. On February 15, 2006, the Company filed a new petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc, and on April 20, 2006, the Court of Appeals denied the petition. On July 19, 2006, the Company filed a petition for a writ of certiorari in the United States Supreme Court. On September 26, 2006, the Supreme Court granted petitions filed by insurers in two of the related cases, but it has not yet acted on the Company s petition.

On July 25, 2006, the parties entered into a memorandum of understanding setting forth the essential terms of a class settlement in this action, and, on September 8, 2006, the parties executed and filed with the district court a Stipulation of Settlement. On September 11, 2006, the district court preliminarily approved the settlement and scheduled a hearing for final approval of the settlement for February 26, 2007. The settlement is subject to certain contingencies, including final approval by the district court. If the settlement is completed, management expects that the Company s ultimate obligations under the settlement agreement, after consideration of provisions made for this matter, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated results of operations or cash flows in any particular quarterly or annual period.

Blanket Casualty Treaty Litigation The Company is engaged in pending litigation in Connecticut Superior Court against certain of its upper-layer reinsurers under its Blanket Casualty Treaty (BCT). The BCT is a multi-layered reinsurance program providing excess-of-loss coverage in various amounts from the 1930s through the 1980s. The upper layers were first placed in 1950, predominantly with London Market reinsurers, including Lloyd s syndicates reinsured by Equitas. The action seeks, among other relief, damages for the reinsurer defendants failure to pay certain billings for asbestos and pollution claims.

In December 2003, the Company entered into a global settlement with MacArthur Company, an asbestos insulation distributor and installer then in bankruptcy, for \$1.15 billion. The Company then billed the reinsurer defendants under the BCT for \$117 of the settlement amount. After the reinsurers refused to pay the MacArthur billing, the Company amended its complaint to add, among other things, claims related to that billing. Most of the reinsurer defendants

counterclaimed, seeking a declaration that they did not owe reinsurance for the MacArthur settlement. The litigation concerns under what circumstances losses arising from multiple claims against a single insured may be combined and ceded as a single accident under the BCT so as to reach the upper layers of the program. The BCT contains a unique definition of accident. The application of this definition to the ceded losses is the crux of the dispute.

In April 2005, the Superior Court phased the proceedings, providing for a trial of the MacArthur billing first, in April 2006, with other billings to follow in subsequent trial settings. In September 2005, the London Market reinsurer defendants moved for summary judgment on the MacArthur-related claims. After briefing and oral argument, the Superior Court issued a decision on December 13, 2005, granting the defendants motion. The Company noticed an appeal to the Connecticut Appellate Court; the appeal has since been transferred to the Connecticut Supreme Court. The Company intends to prosecute its appeal vigorously.

20

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

7. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

On June 15, 2006, the Company announced an agreement with Equitas and all Lloyd s syndicates reinsured by Equitas (collectively, Equitas) that resolved, with minor exception, all of the Company s ceded and assumed domestic reinsurance exposures with Equitas, including the Company s reinsurance recoveries from Equitas under the BCT. Those recoveries consist predominantly of asbestos and pollution losses, including the billing for the MacArthur settlement. The pending litigation and appeal continue with other upper-layer reinsurers under the BCT. The outcome of the appeal is uncertain. If the decision of the Superior Court is affirmed on appeal, the Company may be unable to collect from the nonsettling reinsurers not only its billing for the MacArthur settlement but also other current and future billings to which the same relevant facts and legal analysis would apply. The Company has considered the risk of non-collection of these recoveries in its allowance for all uncollectible reinsurance recoverables associated with older, long-term casualty liabilities reported in the Other Operations segment. After consideration of this allowance, management expects that a negative outcome in the BCT litigation would not have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated results of operations or cash flows in any particular quarterly or annual period. Asbestos and Environmental Claims As discussed in Note 12, Commitments and Contingencies, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under the caption Asbestos and Environmental Claims, included in the Company s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report, The Hartford continues to receive asbestos and environmental claims that involve significant uncertainty regarding policy coverage issues. Regarding these claims, The Hartford continually reviews its overall reserve levels and reinsurance coverages, as well as the methodologies it uses to estimate its exposures. Because of the significant uncertainties that limit the ability of insurers and reinsurers to estimate the ultimate reserves necessary for unpaid losses and related expenses, particularly those related to asbestos, the ultimate liabilities may exceed the currently recorded reserves. Any such additional liability cannot be reasonably estimated now but could be material to The Hartford s consolidated operating results, financial condition and liquidity.

Regulatory Developments

In June 2004, the Company received a subpoena from the New York Attorney General s Office in connection with its inquiry into compensation arrangements between brokers and carriers. In mid-September 2004 and subsequently, the Company has received additional subpoenas from the New York Attorney General s Office, which relate more specifically to possible anti-competitive activity among brokers and insurers. Since the beginning of October 2004, the Company has received subpoenas or other information requests from Attorneys General and regulatory agencies in more than a dozen jurisdictions regarding broker compensation and possible anti-competitive activity. The Company may receive additional subpoenas and other information requests from Attorneys General or other regulatory agencies regarding similar issues. In addition, the Company has received a request for information from the New York Attorney General s Office concerning the Company s compensation arrangements in connection with the administration of workers compensation plans. The Company intends to continue cooperating fully with these investigations, and is conducting an internal review, with the assistance of outside counsel, regarding broker compensation issues in its Property & Casualty and Group Benefits operations.

On October 14, 2004, the New York Attorney General s Office filed a civil complaint against Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc., and Marsh, Inc. (collectively, Marsh). The complaint alleges, among other things, that certain insurance companies, including the Company, participated with Marsh in arrangements to submit inflated bids for business insurance and paid contingent commissions to ensure that Marsh would direct business to them. The Company was not joined as a defendant in the action, which has since settled. Although no regulatory action has been initiated against the Company in connection with the allegations described in the civil complaint, it is possible that the New York Attorney General s Office or one or more other regulatory agencies may pursue action against the Company or one or more of its employees in the future. The potential timing of any such action is difficult to predict. If such an action is brought, it could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

On October 29, 2004, the New York Attorney General s Office informed the Company that the Attorney General is conducting an investigation with respect to the timing of the previously disclosed sale by Thomas Marra, a director and executive officer of the Company, of 217,074 shares of the Company s common stock on September 21, 2004. The sale occurred shortly after the issuance of two additional subpoenas dated September 17, 2004 by the New York

Attorney General s Office. The Company has engaged outside counsel to review the circumstances related to the transaction and is fully cooperating with the New York Attorney General s Office. On the basis of the review, the Company has determined that Mr. Marra complied with the Company s applicable internal trading procedures and has found no indication that Mr. Marra was aware of the additional subpoenas at the time of the sale.

There continues to be significant federal and state regulatory activity relating to financial services companies, particularly mutual funds companies. These regulatory inquiries have focused on a number of mutual fund issues, including market timing and late trading, revenue sharing and directed brokerage, fees, transfer agents and other fund service providers, and other mutual-fund related issues. The Company has received requests for information and subpoenas from the SEC, subpoenas from the New York Attorney General s Office, a subpoena from the Connecticut Attorney General s Office, requests for information from the Connecticut Securities and Investments Division of the Department of Banking, and requests for information from the New York Department of Insurance, in each case requesting documentation and other information regarding various mutual fund regulatory issues. The Company continues to cooperate fully with these regulators in these matters.

21

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

7. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

The SEC s Division of Enforcement and the New York Attorney General s Office are investigating aspects of the Company s variable annuity and mutual fund operations related to market timing. The Company continues to cooperate fully with the SEC and the New York Attorney General s Office in these matters.

The Company s mutual funds are available for purchase by the separate accounts of different variable universal life insurance policies, variable annuity products, and funding agreements, and they are offered directly to certain qualified retirement plans. Although existing products contain transfer restrictions between subaccounts, some products, particularly older variable annuity products, do not contain restrictions on the frequency of transfers. In addition, as a result of the settlement of litigation against the Company with respect to certain owners of older variable annuity contracts, the Company s ability to restrict transfers by these owners has, until recently, been limited. The Company has executed an agreement with the parties to the previously settled litigation which, together with separate agreements between these contract owners and their broker, has resulted in the exchange or surrender of all of the variable annuity contracts that were the subject of the previously settled litigation.

The SEC s Division of Enforcement also is investigating aspects of the Company s variable annuity and mutual fund operations related to directed brokerage and revenue sharing. The Company discontinued the use of directed brokerage in recognition of mutual fund sales in late 2003. The Company continues to cooperate fully with the SEC in these matters.

The Company has received subpoenas from the New York Attorney General s Office and the Connecticut Attorney General s Office requesting information relating to the Company s group annuity products, including single premium group annuities used in terminal and maturity funding programs. These subpoenas seek information about how various group annuity products are sold, how the Company selects mutual funds offered as investment options in certain group annuity products, and how brokers selling the Company s group annuity products are compensated. The Company continues to cooperate fully with these regulators in these matters.

On May 10, 2006, the Company entered into an agreement (the Agreement) with the New York Attorney General s Office and the Connecticut Attorney General s Office to resolve the outstanding investigations by these parties regarding the Company s use of expense reimbursement agreements in its terminal and maturity funding group annuity line of business. Under the terms of the Agreement, the Company will pay \$20, of which \$16.1 will be paid to certain plan sponsors that purchased terminal or maturity funding annuities between January 1, 1998 and December 31, 2004, with the balance of \$3.9 to be divided equally between the states of New York and Connecticut. Also pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, the Company will accept a three-year prohibition on the use of contingent compensation in its terminal and maturity funding group annuity line of business. The costs associated with the settlement had already been accounted for in reserves established by the Company as of March 31, 2006.

To date, neither the SEC s and New York Attorney General s market timing investigation nor the SEC s directed brokerage investigation has resulted in the initiation of any formal action against the Company by these regulators. However, the Company believes that the SEC and the New York Attorney General s Office are likely to take some action against the Company at the conclusion of the respective investigations. The Company is engaged in active discussions with the SEC and the New York Attorney General s Office. The potential timing of any resolution of any of these matters or the initiation of any formal action by any of these regulators in these matters is difficult to predict. As of March 31, 2006, the Company had recorded aggregate charges of \$109, after-tax, to establish a reserve for the market timing, directed brokerage and single premium group annuity matters. The after-tax cost of the single premium group annuity matter settlement was \$14. The remaining reserve for the market timing and directed brokerage matters is an estimate; in view of the uncertainties regarding the outcome of these regulatory investigations, as well as the tax-deductibility of payments, it is possible that the ultimate cost to the Company of these matters could exceed the reserve by an amount that would have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated results of operations or cash flows in a particular quarterly or annual period.

On May 24, 2005, the Company received a subpoena from the Connecticut Attorney General s Office seeking information about the Company s participation in finite reinsurance transactions in which there was no substantial transfer of risk between the parties. The Company is cooperating fully with the Connecticut Attorney General s Office

in this matter.

On June 23, 2005, the Company received a subpoena from the New York Attorney General s Office requesting information relating to purchases of the Company s variable annuity products, or exchanges of other products for the Company s variable annuity products, by New York residents who were 65 or older at the time of the purchase or exchange. On August 25, 2005, the Company received an additional subpoena from the New York Attorney General s Office requesting information relating to purchases of or exchanges into the Company s variable annuity products by New York residents during the past five years where the purchase or exchange was funded using funds from a tax-qualified plan or where the variable annuity purchased or exchanged for was a sub-account of a tax-qualified plan or was subsequently put into a tax-qualified plan. The Company is cooperating fully with the New York Attorney General s Office in these matters.

22

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

7. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

On July 14, 2005, the Company received an additional subpoena from the Connecticut Attorney General s Office concerning the Company s structured settlement business. This subpoena requests information about the Company s sale of annuity products for structured settlements, and about the ways in which brokers are compensated in connection with the sale of these products. The Company is cooperating fully with the Connecticut Attorney General s Office in these matters.

The Company has received a request for information from the New York Attorney General s Office about issues relating to the reporting of workers compensation premium. The Company is cooperating fully with the New York Attorney General s Office in this matter.

8. Pension Plans and Postretirement Health Care and Life Insurance Benefit Plans Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

Total net periodic benefit cost for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 includes the following components:

	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Service cost	\$ 95	\$ 87	\$ 6	\$ 9
Interest cost	145	136	15	20
Expected return on plan assets	(181)	(164)	(7)	(6)
Amortization of prior service cost	(10)	(10)	(17)	(17)
Amortization of unrecognized net losses	66	54		3
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 115	\$ 103	\$ (3)	\$ 9

Employer Contributions

In 2006, the Company, at its discretion, made \$200 in contributions to the U.S. qualified defined benefit plan. For 2006, the Company does not have a required minimum funding contribution for the U.S. qualified defined benefit plan and the funding requirements for all of the pension plans is expected to be immaterial.

9. Stock Compensation Plans

The Company has two primary stock-based compensation plans which are described below. Shares issued in satisfaction of stock-based compensation may be made available from authorized but unissued shares, shares held by the Company in treasury or from shares purchased in the open market. The Company typically issues new shares in satisfaction of stock-based compensation. The compensation expense recognized for those plans was \$45 and \$40 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The income tax benefit recognized for stock-based compensation plans was \$16 and \$14 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The Company did not capitalize any cost of stock-based compensation. As of September 30, 2006, the total compensation cost related to non-vested awards not yet recognized was \$88, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.1 years.

Stock Plan

In 2005, the shareholders of The Hartford approved The Hartford 2005 Incentive Stock Plan (the 2005 Stock Plan), which superseded and replaced The Hartford Incentive Stock Plan and The Hartford Restricted Stock Plan for Non-employee Directors. The terms of the 2005 Stock Plan are substantially similar to the terms of these superseded plans.

The 2005 Stock Plan provides for awards to be granted in the form of non-qualified or incentive stock options qualifying under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock units, restricted stock, performance shares, or any combination of the foregoing. The aggregate number of shares of stock which may

be awarded is subject to a maximum limit of seven million shares applicable to all awards for the ten-year period ending May 18, 2015. To the extent that any awards under The Hartford Incentive Stock Plan and The Hartford Restricted Stock Plan for Non-employee Directors are forfeited, terminated, expire unexercised or are settled for cash in lieu of stock, the shares subject to such awards (or the relevant portion thereof) shall be available for awards under the 2005 Stock Plan and shall be added to the total number of shares available under the 2005 Stock Plan. As of December 31, 2005, there were 6,939,733 shares available for future issuance.

The fair values of awards granted under the 2005 Stock Plan are measured as of the grant date and expensed ratably over the awards—vesting periods, generally three years. For stock option awards granted or modified in 2006 and later, the Company began expensing awards to retirement-eligible employees hired before January 1, 2002 immediately or over a period shorter than the stated vesting period because the employees receive accelerated vesting upon retirement and therefore the vesting period is considered non-substantive. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company would have recognized an immaterial increase in net income if it had been

23

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

9. Stock Compensation Plans (continued)

accelerating expense for all awards to retirement-eligible employees entitled to accelerated vesting. All awards provide for accelerated vesting upon a change in control of the Company as defined in the 2005 Stock Plan. *Stock Option Awards*

Under the 2005 Stock Plan, all options granted have an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company s common stock on the date of grant, and an option s maximum term is ten years. Certain options become exercisable over a three year period commencing one year from the date of grant, while certain other options become exercisable at the later of the three years from the date of grant or upon specified market appreciation of the Company s common shares. For any year, no individual employee may receive an award of options for more than 1,000,000 shares. As of December 31, 2005, The Hartford had not issued any incentive stock options under any plans.

For all options granted or modified on or after January 1, 2004, the Company uses a hybrid lattice/Monte-Carlo based option valuation model (the valuation model) that incorporates the possibility of early exercise of options into the valuation. The valuation model also incorporates the Company s historical termination and exercise experience to determine the option value. For these reasons, the Company believes the valuation model provides a fair value that is more representative of actual experience than the value calculated under the Black-Scholes model.

The valuation model incorporates ranges of assumptions for inputs, and therefore, those ranges are disclosed below. The term structure of volatility is constructed utilizing implied volatilities from exchange-traded options on the Company s stock, historical volatility of the Company s stock and other factors. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination within the valuation model, and accommodates variations in employee preference and risk-tolerance by segregating the grantee pool into a series of behavioral cohorts and conducting a fair valuation for each cohort individually. The expected term of options granted is derived from the output of the option valuation model and represents, in a mathematical sense, the period of time that options are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Constant Maturity Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

	Nine Months Ended			
	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005		
Expected dividend yield	1.9%	1.9%		
Expected annualized spot volatility	20.2% - 32.3%	19.5% - 33.4%		
Weighted average annualized volatility	28.9%	29.4%		
Risk-free spot rate	4.4% - 4.6%	2.4% - 4.7%		
Expected term	7 years	7 years		

A summary of the status of non-qualified stock options included in the Company s Stock Plan as of September 30, 2006 and changes during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 is presented below:

(Shares in thousands)	Number of Options (in thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at beginning of year	\$11,471	\$54.16	5.3	
Granted	323	83.11		
Exercised	(1,951)	49.98		
Forfeited	(63)	57.58		
Expired	(47)	54.96		

Outstanding at end of period	9,733	55.94	4.9	\$299,874
Exercisable at end of period Weighted average fair value of options granted	8,640 \$ 27.69	53.88	4.5	\$283,977

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$27.69 and \$22.89, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$72 and \$169, respectively.

Share Awards

Share awards are valued equal to the market price of the Company s common stock on the date of grant, less a discount for those awards that do not provide for dividends during the vesting period. Share awards granted under the 2005 Plan and outstanding include restricted stock units, restricted stock and performance shares. Generally, restricted stock units vest after three years and restricted stock vests in three to five years. Performance shares become payable within a range of 0% to 200% of the number of shares initially granted based upon the attainment of specific performance goals achieved over a specified period, generally three years. The maximum award of restricted stock units, restricted stock or performance shares for any individual employee in any year is 200,000 shares or units.

24

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

9. Stock Compensation Plans (continued)

A summary of the status of the Company s non-vested share awards as of September 30, 2006, and changes during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, is presented below:

	Shares (in	Weighted-Average Grant-Date
Non-vested Shares	thousands)	Fair Value
Non-vested at January 1, 2006	1,080	\$ 67.94
Granted	677	83.29
Vested	(31)	55.42
Forfeited	(44)	72.34
Non-vested at September 30, 2006	1,682	\$ 74.22

The total fair value of shares vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$3 and \$4, respectively. The Company made cash payments in settlement of stock compensation of \$36 and \$0 during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

In 1996, the Company established The Hartford Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP). Under this plan, eligible employees of The Hartford may purchase common stock of the Company at a 15% discount from the lower of the closing market price at the beginning or end of the quarterly offering period. Employees purchase a variable number of shares of stock through payroll deductions elected as of the beginning of the quarter. The Company may sell up to 5,400,000 shares of stock to eligible employees under the ESPP. As of December 31, 2005, there were 2,254,952 shares available for future issuance. In the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, 259,603 and 253,797 shares were sold, respectively. The weighted average per share fair value of the discount under the ESPP was \$15.97 and \$13.45 in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The fair value is estimated based on the 15% discount off of the beginning stock price plus the value of three-month European call and put options on shares of stock at the beginning stock price calculated using the Black-Scholes model and the following weighted average valuation assumptions:

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005
Dividend yield	1.9%	1.7%
Implied volatility	18.8%	20.6%
Risk-free spot rate	4.6%	2.7%
Expected term	3 months	3 months

Implied volatility was derived from exchange-traded options on the Company s stock. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Constant Maturity Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The total intrinsic value of the discounts at purchase in each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$4 and \$3. Additionally, during 1997, The Hartford established employee stock purchase plans for certain employees of the Company s international subsidiaries. Under these plans, participants may purchase common stock of The Hartford at a fixed price at the end of a three-year period. The activity under these programs is not material.

10. Debt

In May 2003, The Hartford issued 13.8 million 7% equity units at a price of fifty dollars per unit and received net proceeds of approximately \$669. Each equity unit initially consisted of one purchase contract for a certain number of shares of the Company s stock on August 16, 2006 and a 5% ownership interest in one thousand dollars principal amount of senior notes due August 16, 2008. The senior notes had an aggregate principal amount of \$690. In May 2006, the senior notes were successfully remarketed on behalf of the holders of the equity units and the interest rate was reset from 2.56% to 5.55%, effective May 16, 2006. The Company did not receive any proceeds from the remarketing. Rather, the remarketing proceeds were utilized to purchase a portfolio of U.S. Treasury securities, which was pledged to the Company as collateral to satisfy the purchase contractholders obligations to purchase the Company s stock. In connection with the remarketing, The Hartford purchased and retired \$265 of the senior notes for approximately \$265 in cash and recognized an immaterial gain on the early extinguishment. In August 2006, under the forward purchase contracts, the Company issued approximately 12.1 million shares of common stock and received proceeds of approximately \$690. The Company used the proceeds to repay commercial paper.

On June 1, 2006, the Company repaid \$250 of 2.375% senior notes at maturity.

On July 14, 2006, The Hartford retired its \$200 7.625% junior subordinated debentures underlying the trust preferred securities due 2050 issued by Hartford Life Capital II at par.

25

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

In September 2002, The Hartford issued 6.6 million 6% equity units at a price of fifty dollars per unit and received net proceeds of \$319. Each equity unit initially consisted of one purchase contract for a certain number of shares of the Company s stock on November 16, 2006 and fifty dollars principal amount of senior notes due November 16, 2008. The senior notes had an aggregate principal amount of

10. Debt (continued)

\$330. In August 2006, the senior notes were successfully remarketed on behalf of the holders of the equity units and the interest rate was reset from 4.10% to 5.663%, effective August 16, 2006. The Company did not receive any proceeds from the remarketing. Rather, the remarketing proceeds were utilized to purchase a portfolio of U.S. Treasury securities, which was pledged to the Company as collateral to satisfy the purchase contractholders obligations to purchase the Company s stock. Under the forward purchase contracts, assuming The Hartford s common stock price exceeds \$57.645 per share during the 20 trading day period ending on the third trading day prior to November 16, 2006, the Company will issue approximately 5.7 million shares of common stock and receive proceeds of approximately \$330 on November 16, 2006.

On October 3, 2006, the Company issued \$400 of 5.25% senior notes due October 15, 2011, \$300 of 5.50% senior notes due October 15, 2016, and \$300 of 5.95% senior notes due October 15, 2036, and received total net proceeds of approximately \$990.

On October 10, 2006, the Company successfully completed offers to exchange existing senior unsecured notes comprising the \$250 of 7.65% notes due 2027 and the \$400 of 7.375% notes due 2031 issued by HLI (HLI notes) for up to \$650 in new senior unsecured notes of the Company and a cash payment, in order to consolidate debt at the holding company. The new notes have an extended maturity and bear a market interest rate and, together with the cash payment, have a present value not significantly different than the existing notes using the existing notes effective interest rates. On October 10, 2006, the Company issued approximately \$409 of 6.1% senior notes due October 1, 2041 and paid cash totaling \$85 in exchange for \$101 of the 7.65% notes due 2027 and \$308 of the 7.375% notes due 2031. Cash paid to holders of HLI notes in connection with the exchange offers will be reflected on our balance sheet as a reduction of long-term debt.

On October 17, 2006, the Company provided irrevocable notice that it will retire its \$500 of 7.45% junior subordinated debentures due 2050 underlying the trust preferred securities issued by Hartford Capital III. The Company will redeem the debentures at par on November 17, 2006 and recognize a loss on extinguishment of \$17, after-tax, for the write-off of the unamortized issue costs and discount.

Consumer Notes

On September 8, 2006, Hartford Life Insurance Company filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC (Registration Statement No. 333-137215), effective immediately, for the offering and sale of Hartford Life IncomeNotesSM and Hartford Life medium-term notes (collectively called Consumer Notes). There are no limitations on the ability to issue additional indebtedness in the form of Hartford Life IncomeNotesSM and Hartford Life medium-term notes.

Institutional Solutions Group began issuing Consumer Notes through its Retail Investor Notes Program in September 2006. A Consumer Note is an investment product distributed through broker-dealers directly to retail investors as medium-term, publicly traded fixed or floating rate, or a combination of fixed and floating rate, notes. In addition, discount notes, amortizing notes and indexed notes may also be offered and issued. Consumer Notes are part of the Company s spread-based business and proceeds are used to purchase investment products, primarily fixed rate bonds. Proceeds are not used for general operating purposes. Consumer Notes are offered weekly with maturities up to 30 years and varying interest rates and may include a call provision. Certain Consumer Notes may be redeemed by the holder in the event of death. Redemptions are subject to certain limitations, including calendar year aggregate and individual limits equal to the greater of \$1 or 1% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes and \$250 thousand per individual, respectively. Derivative instruments will be utilized to hedge the Company s exposure to interest rate risk in accordance with Company policy.

As of September 30, 2006, \$41 of Consumer Notes had been issued. These notes have interest rates ranging from 5% to 6% for fixed notes and consumer price index plus 2.05% to 2.20% for variable notes. The aggregate maturities of

Consumer Notes are as follows: 2009 \$3 and \$38 for 2011 and thereafter. The Consumer Notes are reported in other liabilities. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 interest credited to holders of Consumer Notes was immaterial and is included in benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses.

26

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

11. Sale of Subsidiary

In July 2006, the Company agreed to sell its non-standard auto insurance business, Omni Insurance Group, Inc. (Omni). Under the terms of the agreement, the Company will receive sales proceeds, subject to adjustment, of approximately \$100. The Company expects the sale to be completed in the fourth quarter of 2006, pending regulatory approval, and to result in an after-tax gain, primarily due to income tax benefits arising from the transaction. The after-tax gain is not expected to be material to results of operations and the ultimate amount will be based on an audit of the closing date balance sheet. As part of this agreement, the Company continues to be obligated for certain extra contractual liability claims and for claims and expenses arising from all business written in the states of California and New York. Subject to regulatory constraints, the Company intends to cease writing new and renewal non-standard business in California and New York.

In the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006, Omni had earned premium of \$33 and \$108, respectively. As of September 30, 2006, Personal Lines segment assets related to the Omni business being sold included \$217 of cash and invested assets, \$37 of premiums receivable and \$34 of other assets. As of September 30, 2006, Personal Lines segment liabilities of the Omni business being sold included \$115 of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, \$42 of unearned premium and \$15 of other liabilities. Each of the assets and liabilities of Omni are included in their respective captions in the September 30, 2006 consolidated balance sheet.

27

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollar amounts in millions except share data unless otherwise stated)

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) addresses the financial condition of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, The Hartford or the Company) as of September 30, 2006, compared with December 31, 2005, and its results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to the equivalent 2005 periods. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the MD&A in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Certain of the statements contained herein are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and include estimates and assumptions related to economic, competitive and legislative developments. These forward-looking statements are subject to change and uncertainty which are, in many instances, beyond the Company s control and have been made based upon management s expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effect upon the Company. There can be no assurance that future developments will be in accordance with management s expectations or that the effect of future developments on The Hartford will be those anticipated by management. Actual results could differ materially from those expected by the Company, depending on the outcome of various factors, including, but not limited to, those set forth in Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors. These factors include: the difficulty in predicting the Company s potential exposure for asbestos and environmental claims; the possible occurrence of terrorist attacks; the response of reinsurance companies under reinsurance contracts and the availability, pricing and adequacy of reinsurance to protect the Company against losses; changes in the stock markets, interest rates or other financial markets, including the potential effect on the Company s statutory capital levels; the inability to effectively mitigate the impact of equity market volatility on the Company s financial position and results of operations arising from obligations under annuity product guarantees; the Company s potential exposure arising out of regulatory proceedings or private claims relating to incentive compensation or payments made to brokers or other producers and alleged anti-competitive conduct; the uncertain effect on the Company of regulatory and market-driven changes in practices relating to the payment of incentive compensation to brokers and other producers, including changes that have been announced and those which may occur in the future; the possibility of unfavorable loss development; the incidence and severity of catastrophes, both natural and man-made; stronger than anticipated competitive activity; unfavorable judicial or legislative developments; the potential effect of domestic and foreign regulatory developments, including those which could increase the Company s business costs and required capital levels; the possibility of general economic and business conditions that are less favorable than anticipated; the Company s ability to distribute its products through distribution channels, both current and future; the uncertain effects of emerging claim and coverage issues; a downgrade in the Company s financial strength or credit ratings; the ability of the Company s subsidiaries to pay dividends to the Company; the Company s ability to adequately price its property and casualty policies; the ability to recover the Company s systems and information in the event of a disaster or other unanticipated event; potential changes in Federal or State tax laws; and other factors described in such forward-looking statements.

INDEX

Overview	28
Critical Accounting Estimates	31
Consolidated Results of Operations	33
Life	36
Retail	41
Retirement Plans	43
Institutional	44
Individual Life	45
Group Benefits	46
International	47
Other	49

Property & Casualty	49
Total Property & Casualty	59
Ongoing Operations	60
Business Insurance	63
Personal Lines	66
Specialty Commercial	69
Other Operations (Including Asbestos and Environmental Claims)	72
Investments	77
Investment Credit Risk	83
Capital Markets Risk Management	87
Capital Resources and Liquidity	90
Accounting Standards	95

OVERVIEW

The Hartford is a diversified insurance and financial services company with operations dating back to 1810. The Company is headquartered in Connecticut and is organized into two major operations: Life and Property & Casualty, each containing reporting segments. Within the Life and Property & Casualty operations, The Hartford conducts business principally in ten operating segments.

Many of the principal factors that drive the profitability of The Hartford s Life and Property & Casualty operations are separate and distinct. Management considers this diversification to be a strength of The Hartford that distinguishes the Company from its peers. To present its operations in a more meaningful and organized way, management has included separate overviews within the Life and Property & Casualty sections of MD&A. For further overview of Life s profitability and analysis, see page 36. For further overview of Property & Casualty s profitability and analysis, see page 49.

28

Table of Contents

Regulatory Developments

In June 2004, the Company received a subpoena from the New York Attorney General s Office in connection with its inquiry into compensation arrangements between brokers and carriers. In mid-September 2004 and subsequently, the Company has received additional subpoenas from the New York Attorney General s Office, which relate more specifically to possible anti-competitive activity among brokers and insurers. Since the beginning of October 2004, the Company has received subpoenas or other information requests from Attorneys General and regulatory agencies in more than a dozen jurisdictions regarding broker compensation and possible anti-competitive activity. The Company may receive additional subpoenas and other information requests from Attorneys General or other regulatory agencies regarding similar issues. In addition, the Company has received a request for information from the New York Attorney General s Office concerning the Company s compensation arrangements in connection with the administration of workers compensation plans. The Company intends to continue cooperating fully with these investigations, and is conducting an internal review, with the assistance of outside counsel, regarding broker compensation issues in its Property & Casualty and Group Benefits operations.

On October 14, 2004, the New York Attorney General s Office filed a civil complaint against Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc., and Marsh, Inc. (collectively, Marsh). The complaint alleges, among other things, that certain insurance companies, including the Company, participated with Marsh in arrangements to submit inflated bids for business insurance and paid contingent commissions to ensure that Marsh would direct business to them. The Company was not joined as a defendant in the action, which has since settled. Although no regulatory action has been initiated against the Company in connection with the allegations described in the civil complaint, it is possible that the New York Attorney General s Office or one or more other regulatory agencies may pursue action against the Company or one or more of its employees in the future. The potential timing of any such action is difficult to predict. If such an action is brought, it could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

On October 29, 2004, the New York Attorney General s Office informed the Company that the Attorney General is conducting an investigation with respect to the timing of the previously disclosed sale by Thomas Marra, a director and executive officer of the Company, of 217,074 shares of the Company s common stock on September 21, 2004. The sale occurred shortly after the issuance of two additional subpoenas dated September 17, 2004 by the New York Attorney General s Office. The Company has engaged outside counsel to review the circumstances related to the transaction and is fully cooperating with the New York Attorney General s Office. On the basis of the review, the Company has determined that Mr. Marra complied with the Company s applicable internal trading procedures and has found no indication that Mr. Marra was aware of the additional subpoenas at the time of the sale.

There continues to be significant federal and state regulatory activity relating to financial services companies, particularly mutual funds companies. These regulatory inquiries have focused on a number of mutual fund issues, including market timing and late trading, revenue sharing and directed brokerage, fees, transfer agents and other fund service providers, and other mutual-fund related issues. The Company has received requests for information and subpoenas from the SEC, subpoenas from the New York Attorney General s Office, a subpoena from the Connecticut Attorney General s Office, requests for information from the Connecticut Securities and Investments Division of the Department of Banking, and requests for information from the New York Department of Insurance, in each case requesting documentation and other information regarding various mutual fund regulatory issues. The Company continues to cooperate fully with these regulators in these matters.

The SEC s Division of Enforcement and the New York Attorney General s Office are investigating aspects of the Company s variable annuity and mutual fund operations related to market timing. The Company continues to cooperate fully with the SEC and the New York Attorney General s Office in these matters.

The Company s mutual funds are available for purchase by the separate accounts of different variable universal life insurance policies, variable annuity products, and funding agreements, and they are offered directly to certain qualified retirement plans. Although existing products contain transfer restrictions between subaccounts, some products, particularly older variable annuity products, do not contain restrictions on the frequency of transfers. In addition, as a result of the settlement of litigation against the Company with respect to certain owners of older variable annuity contracts, the Company s ability to restrict transfers by these owners has, until recently, been limited. The Company has executed an agreement with the parties to the previously settled litigation which, together with separate

agreements between these contract owners and their broker, has resulted in the exchange or surrender of all of the variable annuity contracts that were the subject of the previously settled litigation.

The SEC s Division of Enforcement also is investigating aspects of the Company s variable annuity and mutual fund operations related to directed brokerage and revenue sharing. The Company discontinued the use of directed brokerage in recognition of mutual fund sales in late 2003. The Company continues to cooperate fully with the SEC in these matters.

The Company has received subpoenas from the New York Attorney General s Office and the Connecticut Attorney General s Office requesting information relating to the Company s group annuity products, including single premium group annuities used in terminal and maturity funding programs. These subpoenas seek information about how various group annuity products are sold, how the Company selects mutual funds offered as investment options in certain group annuity products, and how brokers selling the Company s group annuity products are compensated. The Company continues to cooperate fully with these regulators in these matters.

29

Table of Contents

On May 10, 2006, the Company entered into an agreement (the Agreement) with the New York Attorney General s Office and the Connecticut Attorney General s Office to resolve the outstanding investigations by these parties regarding the Company s use of expense reimbursement agreements in its terminal and maturity funding group annuity line of business. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company will pay \$20, of which \$16.1 will be paid to certain plan sponsors that purchased terminal or maturity funding annuities between January 1, 1998 and December 31, 2004, with the balance of \$3.9 to be divided equally between the states of New York and Connecticut. Also pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, the Company will accept a three-year prohibition on the use of contingent compensation in its terminal and maturity funding group annuity line of business. The costs associated with the settlement had already been accounted for in reserves established by the Company as of March 31, 2006.

To date, neither the SEC s and New York Attorney General s market timing investigation nor the SEC s directed brokerage investigation has resulted in the initiation of any formal action against the Company by these regulators. However, the Company believes that the SEC and the New York Attorney General s Office are likely to take some action against the Company at the conclusion of the respective investigations. The Company is engaged in active discussions with the SEC and the New York Attorney General s Office. The potential timing of any resolution of any of these matters or the initiation of any formal action by any of these regulators in these matters is difficult to predict. As of March 31, 2006, the Company had recorded aggregate charges of \$109, after-tax, to establish a reserve for the market timing, directed brokerage and single premium group annuity matters. The after-tax cost of the single premium group annuity matter settlement was \$14. The remaining reserve for the market timing and directed brokerage matters is an estimate; in view of the uncertainties regarding the outcome of these regulatory investigations, as well as the tax-deductibility of payments, it is possible that the ultimate cost to the Company of these matters could exceed the reserve by an amount that would have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated results of operations or cash flows in a particular quarterly or annual period.

On May 24, 2005, the Company received a subpoena from the Connecticut Attorney General s Office seeking information about the Company s participation in finite reinsurance transactions in which there was no substantial transfer of risk between the parties. The Company is cooperating fully with the Connecticut Attorney General s Office in this matter.

On June 23, 2005, the Company received a subpoena from the New York Attorney General s Office requesting information relating to purchases of the Company s variable annuity products, or exchanges of other products for the Company s variable annuity products, by New York residents who were 65 or older at the time of the purchase or exchange. On August 25, 2005, the Company received an additional subpoena from the New York Attorney General s Office requesting information relating to purchases of or exchanges into the Company s variable annuity products by New York residents during the past five years where the purchase or exchange was funded using funds from a tax-qualified plan or where the variable annuity purchased or exchanged for was a sub-account of a tax-qualified plan or was subsequently put into a tax-qualified plan. The Company is cooperating fully with the New York Attorney General s Office in these matters.

On July 14, 2005, the Company received an additional subpoena from the Connecticut Attorney General s Office concerning the Company s structured settlement business. This subpoena requests information about the Company s sale of annuity products for structured settlements, and about the ways in which brokers are compensated in connection with the sale of these products. The Company is cooperating fully with the Connecticut Attorney General s Office in these matters.

The Company has received a request for information from the New York Attorney General s Office about issues relating to the reporting of workers compensation premium. The Company is cooperating fully with the New York Attorney General s Office in this matter.

Broker Compensation

As the Company has disclosed previously, the Company pays brokers and independent agents commissions and other forms of incentive compensation in connection with the sale of many of the Company s insurance products. Since the New York Attorney General s Office filed a civil complaint against Marsh on October 14, 2004, several of the largest national insurance brokers, including Marsh, Aon Corporation and Willis Group Holdings Limited, have announced that they have discontinued the use of contingent compensation arrangements. Other industry participants may make

similar, or different, determinations in the future. In addition, legal, legislative, regulatory, business or other developments may require changes to industry practices relating to incentive compensation. Pursuant to settlement agreements reached with regulators, several insurance companies have agreed to restrictions on the payment of contingent compensation relating to the placement of excess casualty insurance policies. These insurers have agreed that the restrictions may be extended in time, and to other property and casualty lines, if insurers in a given line or segment, that together represent more than 65% of the market share in the insurance line (based upon national gross written premiums) do not pay contingent compensation. These insurers have also agreed to support legislation and regulations to abolish contingent compensation and to require greater disclosure of compensation. At this time, it is not possible to predict the effect of these announced or potential changes on the Company s business or distribution strategies.

30

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The Company has identified the following estimates as critical in that they involve a higher degree of judgment and are subject to a significant degree of variability: property and casualty reserves for unpaid claims and claim adjustment expenses, net of reinsurance; Life deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits associated with variable annuity and other universal life-type contracts; the evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments on investments in available-for-sale securities; the valuation of guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefit derivatives; pension and other postretirement benefit obligations; and contingencies relating to corporate litigation and regulatory matters. In developing these estimates management makes subjective and complex judgments that are inherently uncertain and subject to material change as facts and circumstances develop. Although variability is inherent in these estimates, management believes the amounts provided are appropriate based upon the facts available upon compilation of the financial statements. For a discussion of those critical accounting estimates not disclosed below, see MD&A in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Life Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Present Value of Future Profits Associated with Variable Annuity and Other Universal Life-Type Contracts

Accounting Policy and Assumptions

Life policy acquisition costs include commissions and certain other expenses that vary with and are primarily associated with acquiring business. Present value of future profits is an intangible asset recorded upon applying purchase accounting in an acquisition of a life insurance company. Deferred policy acquisition costs and the present value of future profits intangible asset are amortized in the same way. Both are amortized over the estimated life of the contracts acquired. Within the following discussion, deferred policy acquisition costs and the present value of future profits intangible asset will be referred to as DAC. At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the carrying value of the Company s Life DAC asset was \$9.1 billion and \$8.6 billion, respectively. Of those amounts, \$4.5 billion and \$4.5 billion related to individual variable annuities sold in the U.S., \$1.4 billion and \$1.2 billion related to individual variable annuities sold in Japan and \$2.1 billion and \$1.9 billion related to universal life-type contracts sold by Individual Life.

The Company amortizes DAC related to traditional policies (term, whole life and group insurance) over the premium-paying period in proportion to premium income. The Company amortizes DAC related to investment contracts and universal life-type contracts (including individual variable annuities) using the retrospective deposit method. Under the retrospective deposit method, acquisition costs are amortized in proportion to the present value of estimated gross profits (EGPs). For most contracts, the Company evaluates EGPs over a 20 year horizon as estimated profits emerging subsequent to year 20 are immaterial. The Company uses other measures for amortizing DAC, such as gross costs, as a replacement for EGPs when EGPs are expected to be negative for multiple years of the contract s life. The Company also adjusts the DAC balance, through other comprehensive income, by an amount that represents the amortization of DAC that would have been required as a charge or credit to operations had unrealized gains and losses on investments been realized. Actual gross profits, in a given reporting period, that vary from management s initial estimates result in increases or decreases in the rate of amortization, commonly referred to as a true-up, which are recorded in the current period. The true-up recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was an increase to amortization of \$21 and \$0, respectively. The true-up recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was an increase to amortization of \$36 and \$9, respectively.

Each year, the Company develops future EGPs for the products sold during that year. The EGPs for products sold in a particular year are aggregated into cohorts. Future gross profits are projected for the estimated lives of the contracts, and are, to a large extent, a function of future account value projections for individual variable annuity products and to a lesser extent for variable universal life products. The projection of future account values requires the use of certain assumptions. The assumptions considered to be important in the projection of future account value, and hence the EGPs, include separate account fund performance, which is impacted by separate account fund mix, less fees assessed

against the contract holder s account balance, surrender and lapse rates, interest margin, and mortality. The assumptions are developed as part of an annual process and are dependent upon the Company s current best estimates of future events which are likely to be different for each year s cohort. For example, upon completion of a study during the fourth quarter of 2005, the Company, in developing projected account values and the related EGPs for the 2005 cohorts, used a separate account return assumption of 7.6% (after fund fees, but before mortality and expense charges) for Japanese products. (Although the Company used a separate account return assumption of 4.3% and 5.8% for the 2005 and 2006 cohorts, respectively, based on the relative fund mix of all variable products sold in Japan, the weighted average rate on the entire Japan block is 5.0%.) For prior year cohorts, the Company s separate account return assumption at the time those cohorts account values and related EGPs were projected was 9.0% for U.S. products and ranged from 5.0% to 7.47% for Japanese products. The overall actual return generated by the separate account is dependent on several factors, including the relative mix of the underlying sub-accounts among bond funds and equity funds as well as equity sector weightings. The Company s overall U.S. separate account fund performance has been reasonably correlated to the overall performance of the S&P 500 Index (which closed at 1,336 on September 29, 2006), although no assurance can be provided that this correlation will continue in the future.

31

Table of Contents

Unlock and Sensitivity Analysis

Estimating future gross profits is a complex process requiring considerable judgment and the forecasting of events well into the future. The estimation process, the underlying assumptions and the resulting EGPs, are evaluated regularly.

The Company s quantitative process to determine the reasonable range of EGPs is performed quarterly and involves the use of internally developed models, which run a large number of stochastically determined scenarios of separate account fund performance. Incorporated in each scenario are the Company s current best estimate assumptions with respect to lapse rates, mortality, and expenses. These scenarios are run for individual variable annuity business in the U.S. and independently for individual variable annuity business in Japan and are used to calculate statistically significant ranges of reasonable EGPs. The statistical ranges produced from the stochastic scenarios are compared to the present value of EGPs used in the respective DAC amortization models. If EGPs used in the DAC amortization model fall outside of the statistical ranges of reasonable EGPs, a revision to the original best estimate assumptions in prior year cohorts used to project account value and the related EGPs in the DAC amortization model would be necessary. A similar approach is used for variable universal life business.

The original best estimate assumptions used to estimate future gross profits have not historically been revised unless the EGPs in the DAC amortization model fell outside of a reasonable range of EGPs. Notwithstanding the statistical ranges described above, future refinements to the estimation process for DAC amortization and modifications of underlying assumptions based on future studies could result in revisions to EGPs. In addition, aside from absolute levels and timing of market performance, additional factors that might influence revisions to previously projected EGPs include the degree of volatility in separate account fund performance and policyholder shifts in asset allocation within the separate account as well as surrenders and lapses. In the event that the Company were to revise its original best estimate assumptions used for prior year cohorts to its current best estimate assumptions, thereby changing its estimate of projected account value and the related EGPs in the DAC amortization model, the cumulative DAC amortization would be adjusted to reflect such changes in the period the revision was determined to be necessary, a process known as unlocking .

As of September 30, 2006, the present value of the EGPs used in the DAC amortization models for variable annuities and variable universal life business fell within the Company s parameters for reasonableness. After considering this quantitative assessment and other factors, the Company did not revise the separate account return assumption, the account value or any other assumptions in those DAC amortization models for 2006 and prior cohorts. The Company performs analyses with respect to the potential impact of an unlock. To illustrate the effects of an unlock on DAC, unearned revenue liabilities and sales inducement assets, assume the Company had concluded that a revision to previously projected account values and the related EGPs was required as of September 30, 2006. If the Company assumed a separate account return assumption of 7.6% for all U.S. product cohorts and 5.0% for all Japanese product cohorts and used its current best estimate assumptions, including lapse, mortality and expense assumptions, for all products to project account values forward from the current account value to reproject future EGPs, the estimated increase (decrease) to net income for all businesses would be approximately \$10-(\$10) (including a (\$30)-(\$50) impact to U.S. variable annuities). If, instead, the Company assumed a separate account return assumption of 8.6% in the U.S. (6.0% in Japan) or 6.6% in the U.S. (4.0% in Japan), the estimated increase (decrease) to net income for all businesses would have been \$25-\$35 and (\$30)-(\$40), respectively. If the Company were to unlock, as of September 30, 2006 the future periodic amortization of DAC related to the in-force block of business would likely decrease in the U.S. and increase in Japan.

For the Japan individual variable annuity business, favorable experience in the returns of the underlying funds over the past four quarters has resulted in actual account values and EGPs exceeding the projected account value and EGPs in the DAC amortization model, however the EGP s in the DAC amortization model continue to fall within the Company s parameters. Continued favorable experience on key assumptions for the Japan variable annuity business, which could include increasing fund return performance, decreasing lapses or decreasing mortality, could result in the DAC amortization model EGPs falling outside of the Company s parameters, resulting in a necessary unlock, a decrease to DAC amortization and an increase to the DAC asset. If the Company had unlocked as of September 30, 2006, assuming a separate account return assumption of 5.0% for all Japanese product cohorts and using its current

best estimate assumptions to project account values forward from the current account values to reproject future EGPs, the estimated increase to net income for Japan variable annuities would be approximately \$25-35, after-tax. The impact on guaranteed minimum death and income benefit liabilities, which use EGPs or components of EGPs in their periodic determination, as a result of the hypothetical unlock scenarios described above as of September 30, 2006, is not likely to be material in the U.S., but would result in a decrease to those liabilities, which would result in an increase to net income of \$22-\$32, in Japan.

The overall recoverability of the DAC asset is dependent on the future profitability of the business. The Company tests the aggregate recoverability of the DAC asset by comparing the amounts deferred to the present value of total EGPs. In addition, the Company routinely stress tests its DAC asset for recoverability against severe declines in its separate account assets, which could occur if the equity markets experienced a significant sell-off, as the majority of policyholders funds in the separate accounts is invested in the equity market. As of September 30, 2006, the Company believed U.S. individual and Japan individual variable annuity separate account

32

Table of Contents

assets could fall, through a combination of negative market returns, lapses and mortality, by at least 43% and 68%, respectively, before portions of its DAC asset would be unrecoverable.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Operating Summary

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change
Earned premiums	\$3,761	\$3,562	6%	\$11,288	\$10,693	6%
Fee income	1,152	1,029	12%	3,432	2,944	17%
Net investment income						
Securities available-for-sale and other	1,164	1,124	4%	3,449	3,263	6%
Equity securities held for trading [1]	1,185	1,500	(21%)	669	2,024	(67%)
Total net investment income	2,349	2,624	(10%)	4,118	5,287	(22%)
Other revenues	118	116	2%	356	344	3%
Net realized capital gains (losses)	27	(24)	NM	(273)	105	NM
Total revenues	7,407	7,307	1%	18,921	19,373	(2%)
Benefits, claims and claim adjustment						
expenses [1]	4,491	4,769	(6%)	10,741	11,570	(7%)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition						
costs and present value of future profits	839	821	2%	2,485	2,377	5%
Insurance operating costs and expenses	832	786	6%	2,358	2,301	2%
Interest expense	70	62	13%	207	189	10%
Other expenses	164	173	(5%)	530	499	6%
Total benefits, claims and expenses	6,396	6,611	(3%)	16,321	16,936	(4%)
Income before income taxes	1,011	696	45%	2,600	2,437	7%
Income tax expense	253	157	61%	638	630	1%
Net income	\$ 758	\$ 539	41%	\$ 1,962	\$ 1,807	9%

[1] Includes
dividend income
and
mark-to-market
effects of equity
securities held
for trading
supporting the
international
variable annuity
business, which

are classified in

net investment income with corresponding amounts credited to policyholders within benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses.

The Hartford defines NM as not meaningful for increases or decreases greater than 200%, or changes from a net gain to a net loss position, or vice versa.

Three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2005 Net income increased \$219 primarily due to the following:

Total Property & Casualty net income increased \$148 due to a \$157 increase in Ongoing Operations net income partially offset by a decrease in Other Operations net income. The increase in Ongoing Operations net income is primarily the result of an increase in underwriting results of \$200. The increase in underwriting results is driven by a decrease of \$112 in current accident year catastrophe losses and a change from \$43 of net unfavorable prior accident year reserve development in 2005 to \$28 of net favorable prior accident year reserve development in 2006.

Life s net income increased \$82 primarily due to growth in assets under management resulting from market growth and strong sales along with higher earned premiums and tax benefits.

Total revenues increased \$100 primarily due to the following:

Earned premium increased \$199 as a result of \$115 from Property & Casualty operations and \$84 from Life operations. Property & Casualty s growth in Business Insurance and Personal Lines earned premium was partially offset by a decrease in Specialty Commercial earned premium. Contributing to the growth in earned premium was a \$60 reduction of earned premium in 2005 due to catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers as a result of losses from hurricane Katrina and new business premium outpacing non-renewals. The increase in Life earned premiums was primarily related to Group Benefits where the increase was driven by year-to-date sales (excluding buyouts) growth, particularly in group life insurance.

Fee income increased \$123 as a result of increases in the Company s Life operation s Retail and International segments. The increase in fee income occurred primarily as the result of growth in average account values.

Net realized capital gains in 2006 compared to losses in 2005.

Partially offsetting the increase in revenues was a decrease of \$275 in net investment income primarily due to the Company s equity securities held for trading. The fund performance of assets supporting the Company s Japanese variable annuity business was not as strong in the third quarter of 2006 as compared to the comparable period in 2005 resulting in a decrease in net investment income from equity securities held for trading.

Nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005

33

Table of Contents

Net income increased \$155 primarily due to an increase of \$169 in Life s net income primarily due to growth in assets under management resulting from market growth and strong sales along with higher earned premiums and tax benefits. Also contributing to Life s increased net income were the following:

During the first quarter of 2006 and 2005, the Company recorded a \$7 after-tax reserve and a \$66 after-tax reserve, respectively, for regulatory investigations.

During the second quarter of 2005, the Company recorded an after-tax expense of \$24, which was, at the time an estimate of the termination value of a provision of an agreement with a distribution partner of the Company s retail mutual funds. The agreement was ultimately terminated in late 2005.

Property & Casualty net income increased \$2, as a result of a \$130 increase in Ongoing Operations net income offset by a \$128 decrease in Other Operations net income. Ongoing Operations net income increased due to increases in underwriting results and net investment income. Other Operations decrease was primarily a result of prior year reserve development of \$243, pre-tax, recorded in the second quarter of 2006, resulting from the agreement with Equitas and the Company s evaluation of the reinsurance recoverables and allowance for uncollectible reinsurance associated with older, long-term casualty liabilities.

Total revenues decreased \$452 primarily due to the following:

A decrease in net investment income, driven primarily by a \$1.4 billion decrease in net investment income on the Company s equity securities held for trading. The fund performance of assets supporting the Company s Japanese variable annuity business was not as strong in the third quarter of 2006 as compared to the comparable period in 2005 resulting in a decrease in net investment income from equity securities held for trading.

Net realized capital losses, primarily due to impairments and the realized loss associated with GMWB derivatives. Partially offsetting the decrease in total revenues were the following:

Earned premium increased \$595 as a result of \$392 from Life operations and \$203 from Property & Casualty operations. The increase in Life earned premiums was primarily related to Group Benefits where the increase was driven by year-to-date sales (excluding buyouts) growth, particularly in group life insurance. Contributing to the growth in Property & Casualty earned premium was a \$60 reduction of earned premium in 2005 due to catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers as a result of losses from hurricane Katrina. Growth in Business Insurance and Personal Lines earned premium was partially offset by a decrease in Specialty Commercial earned premium. Apart from the effect of the reinstatement premium in 2005, the growth was primarily driven by new business premium outpacing non-renewals over the last three months of 2005 and first nine months of 2006 and the effect of earned pricing increases in homeowners.

Fee income increased \$488 as a result of increases in the Company s Life operation s Retail and International segments. The increase in fee income occurred primarily as the result of growth in average account values.

Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was 25% and 23%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was 25% and 26%, respectively. The principal causes of the difference between the effective rates and the U.S. statutory rate of 35% were tax-exempt interest earned on invested assets and the separate account dividends-received deduction (DRD).

The separate account DRD is estimated for the current year using information from the most recent year-end, adjusted for equity market performance. The current estimated DRD will be appropriately adjusted as underlying factors change, including known actual 2006 mutual fund distributions and fee income from the Company s variable insurance products. The actual current year DRD can vary from the estimates based on, but not limited to, changes in eligible dividends received by the mutual funds, amounts of distributions from these mutual funds, appropriate levels of taxable income as well as the utilization of capital loss carry forwards at the mutual fund level. The Company s DRD increased \$5 and \$27 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 over the respective prior year periods including a tax benefit of \$6 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and \$3 in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 are tax returns. For the three months

ended September 30, 2005, the Company s DRD included an additional tax benefit of \$6 related to the 2005 year. The Company receives a credit against its U.S. tax liability for foreign taxes paid by the Company from its separate account assets. The increased allocation of separate account investments to the international equity markets during 2005 and 2006 has increased the amount of these foreign tax credits (FTC). In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company reported a net benefit of \$13 for the separate account FTC, comprised of a \$7 true up related to a prior year tax return and \$6 related to the 2006 year.

Based on current projections, it is management s intent that the undistributed earnings of Hartford Life Insurance, K.K. will be repatriated to the U.S. in the future. Therefore, the Company no longer meets the indefinite reversal criteria of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 23, Accounting for Income Taxes Special Areas, with respect to Hartford Life Insurance, K.K. As a result of this change, the Company has recorded a tax benefit of \$4 and \$6 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 respectively, due to the expected utilization of foreign tax credits from Hartford Life Insurance, K.K.

Prior to the Tax Reform Act of 1984, the Life Insurance Company Income Tax Act of 1959 permitted the deferral from taxation of a portion of statutory income under certain circumstances. In these situations, the deferred income was accumulated in a Policyholders Surplus Account and would be taxable only under conditions which management considered to be remote; therefore, no federal income

34

Table of Contents

taxes have been provided on the balance in this account, which for tax return purposes was \$88 as of December 31, 2005. The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, which was enacted in October 2004, allows distributions to be made from the Policyholders Surplus Account free of tax in 2005 and 2006. The Company has distributed the entire balance in the second quarter of 2006, thereby permanently eliminating the potential tax of \$31.

Organizational Structure

The Hartford is organized into two major operations: Life and Property & Casualty. Within the Life and Property & Casualty operations, The Hartford conducts business principally in ten operating segments. Additionally, Corporate primarily includes the Company s debt financing and related interest expense, as well as certain capital raising and purchase accounting adjustment activities.

Life is organized into six reportable operating segments: Retail Products Group (Retail), Retirement Plans, Institutional Solutions Group (Institutional), Individual Life, Group Benefits and International.

Property & Casualty is organized into four reportable operating segments: the underwriting segments of Business Insurance, Personal Lines, and Specialty Commercial (collectively Ongoing Operations); and the Other Operations segment.

For a further description of each operating segment, see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and Item 1, Business in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Segment Results

The following is a summary of net income for each of Life s reportable segments, total Property & Casualty, Ongoing Operations, Other Operations, and Corporate.

Net Income (Loss)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change
Life						
Retail	\$184	\$172	7%	\$ 526	\$ 447	18%
Retirement Plans	21	20	5%	64	54	19%
Institutional	24	24		75	66	14%
Individual Life	46	45	2%	139	123	13%
Group Benefits	74	68	9%	216	191	13%
International	47	28	68%	145	63	130%
Other	32	(11)	NM	(83)	(31)	(168%)
Total Life	428	346	24%	1,082	913	19%
Property & Casualty						
Ongoing Operations	375	218	72%	1,104	974	13%
Other Operations	6	15	(60%)	(83)	45	NM
Total Property & Casualty	381	233	64%	1,021	1,019	
Corporate	(51)	(40)	(28%)	(141)	(125)	(13%)
Total net income	\$758	\$539	41%	\$1,962	\$1,807	9%

Net income is the measure of profit or loss used in evaluating the performance of Total Life, Total Property & Casualty and the Ongoing Operations and Other Operations segments. Within Ongoing Operations, the underwriting segments of Business Insurance, Personal Lines and Specialty Commercial are evaluated by The Hartford s

management primarily based upon underwriting results. Underwriting results represent premiums earned less incurred claims, claim adjustment expenses and underwriting expenses. The sum of underwriting results, net investment income, net servicing and other income, net realized capital gains and losses, other expenses, and related income taxes is net income (loss). The following is a summary of Ongoing Operations underwriting results by segment.

Underwriting Results (before-tax)

		ree Months En September 30		Ni	ne Months En September 30	
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change
Business Insurance	\$123	\$ 125	(2%)	\$454	\$384	18%
Personal Lines	89	71	25%	321	386	(17%)
Specialty Commercial	41	(143)	NM	45	(98)	NM
		35				

Table of Contents

Outlook

The Hartford provides projections and other forward-looking information in the Outlook section of each segment discussion within MD&A. The Outlook sections contain many forward-looking statements, particularly relating to the Company s future financial performance. These forward-looking statements are estimates based on information currently available to the Company, are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are subject to the precautionary statements set forth in the introduction to MD&A above. Actual results are likely to differ materially from those forecast by the Company, depending on the outcome of various factors, including, but not limited to, those set forth in each Outlook section and in Item 1A, Risk Factors.

LIFE

Executive Overview

Life is organized into six reportable operating segments: Retail Products Group (Retail), Retirement Plans, Institutional Solutions Group (Institutional), Individual Life, Group Benefits and International. The Company provides investment and retirement products, such as variable and fixed annuities, mutual funds and retirement plan services and other institutional investment products, such as structured settlements; individual and private-placement life insurance (PPLI) and products including variable universal life, universal life, interest sensitive whole life and term life; and group benefit products, such as group life and group disability insurance.

The following provides a summary of the significant factors used by management to assess the performance of the business. For a complete discussion of these factors see MD&A in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Performance Measures

Fee Income

Fee income is largely driven from amounts collected as a result of contractually defined percentages of assets under management on investment and universal life type contracts. Therefore, the growth in assets under management either through positive net flows or net sales and favorable equity market performance will have a favorable impact on fee income. Conversely, negative net flows or net sales and unfavorable equity market performance will reduce fee income.

36

Table of Contents

	Three Mo	d For the nths Ended nber 30, 2005	As of and For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 2005		
Product/Key Indicator Information					
U.S. Variable Annuities	4.05.00	4 00 747	4.07.4.	h 00 51=	
Account value, beginning of period Net flows	\$106,224 (988)	\$ 99,747 (348)	\$105,314 (2,454)	\$ 99,617	
Change in market value and other	3,253	4,193	5,629	(1) 3,976	
Account value, end of period	\$108,489	\$103,592	\$108,489	\$103,592	
Retail Mutual Funds					
Assets under management, beginning of period	\$ 32,611	\$ 25,958	\$ 29,063	\$ 25,240	
Net sales	1,195	\$ 23,938 73	4,112	\$ 25,240 776	
Change in market value and other	914	1,491	1,545	1,506	
Assets under management, end of period	\$ 34,720	\$ 27,522	\$ 34,720	\$ 27,522	
Retirement Plans					
Account value, beginning of period	\$ 20,740	\$ 17,592	\$ 19,317	\$ 16,493	
Net flows	442	200	1,837	1,226	
Change in market value and other	513	673	541	746	
Account value, end of period	\$ 21,695	\$ 18,465	\$ 21,695	\$ 18,465	
Individual Life Insurance					
Variable universal life account value, end of period	\$ 6,242	\$ 5,700	\$ 6,242	\$ 5,700	
Total life insurance inforce	\$160,010	\$147,278	\$160,010	\$147,278	
S&P 500 Index	1,336	1 220	1,336	1 220	
Period end closing value	1,330	1,229	1,550	1,229	
Daily average value	1,288	1,224	1,284	1,200	
Japan Annuities					
Account value, beginning of period Net flows	\$ 28,990 877	\$ 19,726 2,704	\$ 26,104 3,675	\$ 14,631 8,815	

72

Change in market value and other	74	869	162	(147)
Account value, end of period	\$ 29,941	\$ 23,299	\$ 29,941	\$ 23,299

The increase in U.S. variable annuity account values from September 30, 2005 to September 30, 2006 can be attributed to market growth over the past four quarters. Net flows for the U.S. variable annuity business are negative and have decreased from prior year levels resulting from higher surrenders and lower sales due to increased competition.

Mutual Fund net sales increased substantially over the prior year period as a result of focused wholesaling efforts and favorable fund and equity market performance both contributing to sales and deposits.

The increase in Retirement Plan account values from September 30, 2005 to September 30, 2006 can be mainly attributed to positive net flows over the past four quarters and market appreciation.

Individual Life account value increased from September 30, 2005 due primarily to premiums and deposits. Life insurance inforce increased from September 30, 2005 due to business growth.

Japan annuity account values as of September 30, 2006 continue to grow as a result of positive net flows and fund performance, offset by a decline due to the effects of currency translation. However, Japan net flows have decreased due to increased competition.

Net Investment Income and Interest Credited

Certain investment type contracts such as fixed annuities and other spread-based contracts generate deposits that the Company collects and invests to earn investment income. These investment type contracts use this investment income to credit the contract holder an amount of interest specified in the respective contract; therefore, management evaluates performance of these products based on the spread between net investment income and interest credited. Net investment income and interest credited can be volatile period over period, which can have a significant positive or negative effect on the operating results of each segment. The volatile nature of net investment income is driven primarily by prepayments on securities and earnings on partnership investments. The volatile nature in Other is due to mark-to-market effects of trading securities supporting the international variable annuity business, which are classified in net investment income with corresponding amounts credited to policyholders. In addition, insurance type contracts such as those sold by Group Benefits (discussed below) collect and invest premiums for protection from losses specified in the particular insurance contract and those sold by Institutional collect and invest premiums for certain life contingent benefits. Group Benefits does not record interest credited since the interest component of reserve changes are recorded within benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses.

37

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2006	2005	2006	2005	
Net Investment Income					
Retail	\$ 208	\$ 229	\$ 639	\$ 709	
Retirement Plans	82	78	242	231	
Institutional	256	207	729	581	
Individual Life	81	77	240	226	
Group Benefits	106	99	310	297	
International	32	19	91	49	
Other	1,222	1,562	777	2,164	
Total net Investment Income	\$1,987	\$2,271	\$3,028	\$4,257	
	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2006	2005	2006	2005	
Interest Credited on General Account Assets					
Retail	\$ 158	\$ 177	\$ 481	\$ 546	
Retirement Plans	52	50	154	146	
Institutional	135	99	377	273	
Individual Life	59	57	175	167	
International	6	3	16	10	
Other	1,209	1,526	751	2,216	
Total interest credited on general account assets	\$1,619	\$1,912	\$1,954	\$3,358	

Net investment income and interest credited in Other decreased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 due to a decrease in the mark-to-market effects of trading account securities supporting the Japanese variable annuity business.

Net investment income and interest credited on general account assets in Retail declined for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 due to lower assets under management as a result of surrenders on market value adjusted (MVA) fixed annuity products at the end of their guarantee period. Also contributing to the decline in assets under management were transfers within variable annuity products from the general account option to separate account funds.

Net investment income and interest credited on general account assets in Institutional increased as a result of sales in the Company s funding agreement backed Investor Notes program.

Premiums

As discussed above, traditional insurance type products collect premiums from policyholders in exchange for financial protection of the policyholder from a specified insurable loss, such as death or disability. Sales are one indicator of future premium growth.

Three Mon	ths Ended	Nine Months Ended			
Septem	ber 30,	September 30,			
2006	2005	2006	2005		

Group Benefits

Total premiums and other considerations	\$1,032	\$950	\$3,092	\$2,846
Fully insured ongoing sales (excluding buyouts)	\$ 175	\$157	\$ 750	\$ 643

Earned premiums and other considerations include \$0 and \$1 and \$5 and \$26 in buyout premiums for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase in premiums and other considerations for Group Benefits in 2006 compared to 2005 was driven by sales growth of 17%.

38

Table of Contents

Expenses

There are three major categories for expenses: benefits and claims, insurance operating costs and expenses, and amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and the present value of future profits.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Mont Septem	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Retail General insurance expense ratio (individual				
annuity) DAC amortization ratio (individual annuity)	18.1 bps 50.1%	16.8 bps 48.5%	17.1 bps 50.4%	17.7 bps 48.9%
Individual Life				
Death benefits Insurance expenses, net of deferrals	\$ 62 44	\$ 54 43	\$ 194 132	\$ 183 125
Group Benefits Total benefits, claims and claim adjustment				
expenses	\$ 745	\$ 688	\$2,252	\$2,106
Loss ratio (excluding buyout premiums) Insurance expenses, net of deferrals Expense ratio (excluding buyout premiums)	72.2% \$ 281 28.2%	72.4% \$ 258 28.0%	72.8% \$ 819 27.5%	73.8% \$ 752 27.4%

Individual annuity s expense ratio increased for the three months ended September 30, 2006 primarily due to higher technology and service costs. Asset growth for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 decreased individual annuity s expense ratio to a level lower than prior year periods. Management expects the 2006 full year ratio to be between 17-18 bps.

The ratio of individual annuity DAC amortization over income before taxes and DAC amortization, while relatively stable was influenced by true-ups recorded in the respective periods as actual gross profits emerged. Individual Life death benefits increased for the three months ended September 30, 2006 primarily due to unusually favorable mortality experience in the third quarter of 2005, and increased 6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 primarily due to a larger insurance inforce.

The Group Benefits loss ratio, excluding buyouts, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was relatively stable. Movements in the loss ratio were caused by period over period minor fluctuations in mortality and morbidity experience.

Profitability

Management evaluates the rates of return various businesses can provide as a way of determining where additional capital can be invested to increase net income and shareholder returns. Specifically, because of the importance of its individual annuity products, the Company uses return on assets for the individual annuity business for evaluating profitability. In Group Benefits, after-tax margin is a key indicator of overall profitability.

Three Mon	nths Ended	Nine Mon	ths Ended	
Septen	ıber 30,	September 30,		
2006	2005	2006	2005	

Ratios Retail

Individual annuity return on assets (ROA)	58.0 bps	56.7 bps	55.3 bps	52.0 bps
Group Benefits				
After-tax margin (excluding buyouts)	7.2%	7.2%	7.0%	6.8%

Individual annuity s ROA increased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the prior year periods. In particular, variable annuity fees and the DRD and other tax benefits each increased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the prior year period. The increase in the ROA pertaining to fees can be attributed to the increase in account values and resulting increased fees including GMWB rider fees. Additionally, general insurance expenses were also favorable as a percentage of total assets.

The improvement in the Group Benefits after-tax margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was primarily due to an improvement in the expense ratio excluding the financial institution business. (Financial institution business is experience rated. Under the terms of this business, loss experience will inversely affect the commission expenses incurred.)

39

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change	
Operating Summary							
Earned premiums	\$1,129	\$1,045	8%	\$3,483	\$ 3,091	13%	
Fee income	1,149	1,026	12%	3,423	2,936	17%	
Net investment income							
Securities available-for-sale							
and other	802	771	4%	2,359	2,233	6%	
Equity securities held for							
trading [1]	1,185	1,500	(21%)	669	2,024	(67%)	
Total net investment							
income	1,987	2,271	(13%)	3,028	4,257	(29%)	
Net realized capital							
(losses) gains	11	(26)	NM	(265)	57	NM	
Total revenues	4,276	4,316	(1%)	9,669	10,341	(6%)	
Benefits, claims and claim							
adjustment expenses [1]	2,738	2,926	(6%)	5,384	6,421	(16%)	
Amortization of deferred							
policy acquisition costs and							
present value of future	200	221	(461)	010	007	2.64	
profits	308	321	(4%)	913	887	3%	
Insurance operating costs	681	621	10%	1,975	1,837	8%	
and other expenses	001	021	10%	1,973	1,037	8%	
Total benefits, claims and							
expenses	3,727	3,868	(4%)	8,272	9,145	(10%)	
Income before income tax							
expense	549	448	23%	1,397	1,196	17%	
Income tax expense	121	102	19%	315	283	11%	
Net income	\$ 428	\$ 346	24%	\$1,082	\$ 913	19%	

[1] Includes
investment
income and
mark-to-market
effects of equity
securities held
for trading
supporting the
international
variable annuity
business, which
are classified in

net investment income with corresponding amounts credited to policyholders within benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses.

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005

The change in Life s net income was due to the following:

Net income increased primarily due to growth in assets under management resulting from market growth and sales, along with higher earned premiums in Group Benefits.

Net realized capital gains occurred in the third quarter of 2006 compared to net realized capital losses in the prior year period due to realized gains from the Japan fixed annuity contract hedges due to movements in interest rates and net realized gains on GMWB derivatives, primarily driven by net changes in policyholder behavior assumptions made in the third quarter, partially offset by losses on non-qualifying derivatives due to rising interest rates in 2006 and other than temporary impairments. Net realized capital losses occurred in the first nine months of 2006 compared to net realized capital gains in the prior year period due to increased other-than-temporary impairments (see the Other-Than-Temporary Impairments discussion within Investment Results for more information on the increase in impairments), realized losses associated with GMWB derivatives, primarily driven by liability modeling refinements and assumption updates reflecting in-force demographics, losses on non-qualifying derivatives and net losses on sales of investments, both due to rising interest rates in 2006.

During the first quarter of 2006 and 2005, the Company recorded a \$7 after-tax reserve and a \$66 after-tax reserve, respectively for regulatory investigations.

During the first quarter of 2006, the Company achieved favorable settlements in several cases brought against the Company by policyholders regarding their purchase of broad-based leveraged corporate owned life insurance (leveraged COLI) policies in the early to mid-1990s. The Company ceased offering this product in 1996. Based on the favorable outcome of these cases, together with the Company scurrent assessment of the few remaining leveraged COLI cases, the Company reduced its estimate of the ultimate cost of these cases during the three months ended March 31, 2006. This reserve reduction, recorded in insurance operating costs and other expenses, resulted in an after-tax benefit of \$34 in the three months ended March 31, 2006.

During the second quarter of 2005, the Company recorded an after-tax expense of \$24, which was, at the time, an estimate of the termination value of a provision of an agreement with a distribution partner of the Company s retail mutual funds. The agreement was ultimately terminated in late 2005.

40

Table of Contents RETAIL

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Ni			
	2006	2005	Change	2006	September 30, 2005	Change
Operating Summary						
Fee income	\$663	\$594	12%	\$ 1,981	\$ 1,717	15%
Earned premiums	(23)	(15)	(53%)	(58)	(35)	(66%)
Net investment income	208	229	(9%)	639	709	(10%)
Net realized capital gains	1	2	(50%)	4	8	(50%)
Total revenues	849	810	(5%)	2,566	2,399	7%
Benefits, claims and claim						
adjustment expenses	197	218	(10%)	611	689	(11%)
Insurance operating costs						
and other expenses	245	199	23%	729	621	17%
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future						
profits	201	187	7%	607	546	11%
Total benefits, claims and expenses	643	604	6%	1,947	1,856	5%
Income before income						
tax expense	206	206		619	543	14%
Income tax expense	22	34	(35%)	93	96	(3%)
Net income	\$184	\$172	7%	\$ 526	\$ 447	18%
Assets Under Management Individual variable annuity						
account values Individual fixed annuity				\$108,489	\$103,592	5%
and other account values Other retail products				9,888	10,323	(4%)
account values				454	286	59%
Total account values [1]				118,831	114,201	4%
Retail mutual fund assets under management				34,720	27,522	26%
Other mutual fund assets under management				1,314	901	46%
				36,034	28,423	27%

Total mutual fund assets under management

Total assets under management

\$154,865

\$142,624

9%

[1] Includes
policyholder
balances for
investment
contracts and
reserves for
future policy
benefits for
insurance
contracts.

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005

Net income in the Retail segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 increased primarily due to certain tax benefits recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2006 and improved fee income for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Higher fee income was driven by higher assets under management resulting primarily from market growth. A more expanded discussion of earnings can be found below:

The increase in fee income in the variable annuity business for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 occurred primarily as the result of growth in average account values. The year-over-year increase in average account values can be attributed to market appreciation of \$8.2 billion over the past four quarters. Variable annuity had net outflows of \$2.5 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to net outflows of \$1 for the prior year period. Net outflows from additional surrender activity were due to increased sales competition, particularly from competitors offering variable annuity products with guaranteed living benefits.

Mutual fund fee income increased 21% and 25% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, due to increased assets under management driven by market appreciation of \$2.6 billion and net sales of \$4.7 billion during the past four quarters. Net sales grew to \$4.1 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$776 for the prior year period. This increase was primarily attributable to focused wholesaling efforts.

Despite stable general account investment spread over the past four quarters, net investment income has steadily declined for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 due to variable annuity transfers from the fixed account to the separate account combined with surrenders in the fixed MVA contracts. Despite these outflows, a more favorable interest rate environment throughout 2006 has resulted in increased sales and a lower surrender rate resulting in net outflows for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 decreasing \$1.1 billion compared to the same prior year period. Benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses have decreased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 due to a decline in interest credited also due to a decline in fixed annuity account values.

Insurance operating costs and other expenses increased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 primarily due to an increase in mutual fund commissions due to significant growth in sales. In addition, variable annuity asset based commissions increased due to 5% growth in assets under management, as well as an increase in the number of contracts reaching anniversaries when trail commission payments begin. During the second quarter of 2005, the Company recorded an after-tax expense of \$24, which was, at the time, an estimate of the termination value of a provision of an agreement with a distribution partner of the Company s retail mutual funds. The agreement was ultimately terminated in late 2005.

Higher amortization of DAC resulted from higher actual gross profits due to the positive earnings drivers discussed above. The DAC amortization rate as a percentage of pre-tax, pre-amortization profits remained fairly stable.

Table of Contents

The effective tax rate decreased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the prior period due to an increase in the net foreign tax credit estimate from \$2 in 2005 to \$12 in 2006 as well as an increase in the DRD. The DRD increased \$4 and \$23 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 over the respective prior year periods, resulting from true-ups related to prior years tax returns. For the three months ended September 30, 2005, the Company s DRD also included a tax benefit of \$4 related to the 2005 year.

Outlook

Management believes the market for retirement products continues to expand as individuals increasingly save and plan for retirement. Demographic trends suggest that as the baby boom generation matures, a significant portion of the United States population will allocate a greater percentage of their disposable incomes to saving for their retirement years due to uncertainty surrounding the Social Security system and increases in average life expectancy. Competition has increased substantially in the variable annuities market with most major variable annuity writers now offering living benefits such as GMWB riders. The Company s strategy in 2006 revolves around introducing new products and continually evaluating the portfolio of products currently offered.

With increased competition in the variable annuity market combined with surrender activity on the aging block of business, net outflows continue to be above the levels experienced in 2005. As of September 30, 2006, sales of \$9.8 billion were above expectations made by management at December 31, 2005; however, the increase was largely offset by an increase in surrender activity as discussed above, leaving management s expectations of net outflows for 2006 largely unchanged. Actual results will be largely dependent on the Company s ability to attract new customers and to retain contract holder s account values in existing or new product offerings as they reach the end of the surrender charge period of their contract.

Based on the results to date, management s current full year projections are as follows.

Variable annuity sales of \$11.7 billion to \$12.0 billion

Fixed annuity sales of \$925 to \$1.0 billion

Retail mutual fund sales of \$10.3 billion to \$10.8 billion

Variable annuity outflows of \$3.3 billion to \$3.6 billion

Fixed annuity outflows of \$450 to \$550

Retail mutual fund net sales of \$5.0 billion to \$5.4 billion

Individual annuity return on assets of 54 to 55 basis points

Retail mutual fund return on assets of 18 to 20 basis points

42

Table of Contents RETIREMENT PLANS

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 2005 Change			2006	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005		
Operating Summary							
Fee income	\$ 48	\$ 40	20%	\$ 139	\$ 111	25%	
Earned premiums	1	1		17	8	113%	
Net investment income	82	78	5%	242	231	5%	
Net realized capital gains							
(losses)		(1)	100%	1	(2)	NM	
Total revenues	131	118	11%	399	348	15%	
Benefits, claims and claim							
adjustment expenses	61	57	7%	189	172	10%	
Insurance operating costs							
and other expenses	36	32	13%	102	88	16%	
Amortization of deferred							
policy acquisition costs	6	5	20%	22	17	29%	
Total benefits, claims and							
expenses	103	94	10%	313	277	13%	
Income before income							
taxes	28	24	17%	86	71	21%	
Income tax expense	7	4	75%	22	17	29%	
Net income	\$ 21	\$ 20	5%	\$ 64	\$ 54	19%	
Assets Under Management Governmental account values 401(k) account values				\$10,691 11,004	\$10,162 8,303	5% 33%	
Total account values [1]				21,695	18,465	17%	
Governmental mutual fund assets under management							
[2]					147	(100%)	
401(k) mutual fund assets							
under management				1,036	872	19%	
Total mutual fund assets under management				1,036	1,019	2%	
Total assets under management				\$22,731	\$19,484	17%	

84

[1] Includes

policyholder

balances for

investment

contracts and

reserves for

future policy

benefits for

insurance

contracts

121 Government

Mutual Fund

assets declined

to zero due to a

large case

surrender in

2005 and the

remaining

business being

transferred to

the Institutional

segment.

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005

Net income in Retirement Plans increased due to higher earnings in the 401(k) business. Net income for the Government business was relatively stable.

Fee income for 401(k) increased 28%, or \$8 and 37%, or \$28, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, due to the growth in average account values. This growth is primarily driven by positive net flows of \$2.0 billion over the past four quarters resulting from strong sales and increased ongoing deposits. Total 401(k) deposits increased by 14% and 25% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. The increase in average account values can also be attributed to market appreciation of \$699 over the past four quarters.

General account spread remained stable for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the respective prior year periods. Overall, net investment income and the associated interest credited within benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses each increased as a result of the growth in general account assets under management. Additionally, benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses increased for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the respective prior year period due to a large case annuitization in the 401(k) business which also resulted in an increase in premiums of \$12 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Insurance operating costs and other expenses increased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 primarily driven by the 401(k) business. The additional costs can be attributed to greater assets under management resulting in higher trail commissions and maintenance expenses.

Higher amortization of DAC resulted from higher actual gross profits due to positive earnings drivers combined with a higher amortization rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the respective prior year period.

Outlook

The future profitability of this segment will depend on Life s ability to increase assets under management across all businesses and maintain its investment spread earnings on the general account products sold largely in the Government business. As the baby boom generation approaches retirement, management believes these individuals will contribute more of their income to retirement plans due to the uncertainty of the Social Security system and the

increase in average life expectancy. Management s expectations remain largely unchanged for Retirement Plans sales and net flows throughout 2006. Disciplined expense management will continue to be a focus; however, as Life looks to expand its reach in this market, additional investments in service and technology will occur.

43

Table of Contents

Based on the results to date, management s current full year projections are as follows:

Sales and deposits of \$5.1 billion to \$5.3 billion

Net flows of \$2.3 billion to \$2.5 billion

Return on assets of 40 to 42 basis points

INSTITUTIONAL

	Three Months Ended September 30,			N	I	
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change
Operating Summary						
Fee income	\$ 32	\$ 26	23%	\$ 87	\$ 96	(9%)
Earned premiums	143	126	13%	502	323	55%
Net investment income	256	207	24%	729	581	25%
Net realized capital losses	(2)	(1)	(100%)	(4)	(3)	(33%)
Total revenues	429	358	20%	1,314	997	32%
Benefits, claims and claim						
adjustment expenses Insurance operating costs	375	300	25%	1,137	841	35%
and other expenses	18	15	20%	53	42	26%
Amortization of deferred	10	13	2070	33	12	2070
policy acquisition costs	6	9	(33%)	22	23	(4%)
Total benefits, claims and						
expenses	399	324	23%	1,212	906	34%
Income before income				,		
taxes	30	34	(12%)	102	91	12%
Income tax expense	6	10	(40%)	27	25	8%
Net income	\$ 24	\$ 24		\$ 75	\$ 66	14%
Assets Under Management Institutional Investment Product account values						
[1]] Private Placement Life				\$21,010	\$17,174	22%
Insurance account values Mutual fund assets under				25,125	23,538	7%
management [2]				2,204	1,324	66%
Total assets under management				\$48,339	\$42,036	15%
[1] Institutional investment						

product account values include transfers from Retirement Plans of \$413 during the three months ended March 31, 2006 and from Retail of \$350 during the three months ended September 30, 2006.

assets under management include transfers from Retirement

[2] Mutual fund

Plans of \$178

during the three

months ended

March 31, 2006.

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005

Net income was flat for the three months ended and increased for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the respective prior year periods. The income for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was primarily driven by earnings in institutional investment products (IIP), while private placement life insurance (PPLI) net income was relatively flat. A more expanded discussion of earnings growth can be found below.

Total revenues increased in IIP as a result of higher assets under management, driven by positive net flows of \$2.0 billion during the past four quarters. Net flows for IIP were strong primarily as a result of the Company s funding agreement backed Investor Notes program. Investor Notes sales for the four quarters ended September 30, 2006 were \$1.3 billion.

General account spread is the main driver of net income for IIP. Spread income declined for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the respective prior period as a result of investment income. For the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, income related to partnership investments was \$2 and \$3 after-tax, respectively. An increase in spread income for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the respective prior period was driven by higher assets under management noted above along with favorable investment results. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, income related to partnership investments was \$9 and \$4 after-tax, respectively.

IIP had less favorable mortality experience on structured settlement and terminal funding contracts for both the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the respective prior period. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, earned premiums increased as a result of two large terminal funding cases that were sold during the period. This increase in earned premiums was offset by a corresponding increase in benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses.

Outlook

The future net income of this segment will depend on the ability to increase assets under management across all businesses and maintain its investment spread earnings on the products sold largely in the IIP business. The IIP markets are highly competitive from a pricing perspective, and a small number of cases often account for a significant

portion of sales, therefore the Company may not be able to sustain the level of assets under management growth. As the baby boom generation approaches retirement, management believes these individuals will seek investment and insurance vehicles that will give them steady streams of income throughout retirement. In 2006, IIP launched products that deal specifically with longevity risk. Longevity risk is defined as the likelihood of an individual outliving their assets. IIP is also designing innovative solutions for corporation s defined benefit liabilities.

Based on the results to date, management s current full year projections are as follows:

44

Table of Contents

Sales and deposits of \$4.9 billion to \$5.3 billion Net flows of \$2.3 billion to \$2.5 billion Return on assets of 20 to 22 basis points

INDIVIDUAL LIFE

Table of Contents

	T	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ende September 30,			l	
	2006	2005	Change	2006	•	2005	Change	
Operating Summary								
Fee income	\$200	\$207	(3%)	\$ 610	\$		3%	
Earned premiums	(11)	(8)	(38%)	(36)		(23)	(57%)	
Net investment income	81	77	5%	240		226	6%	
Net realized capital gains	1	2	(50%)	3		3		
Total revenues Benefits, claims and claim	271	278	(3%)	817		799	2%	
adjustment expenses Insurance operating costs	124	112	11%	375		352	7%	
and other expenses Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of	44	43	2%	132		125	6%	
future profits	38	59	(36%)	110		144	(24%)	
Total benefits, claims and expenses	206	214	(4%)	617		621	(1%)	
Income before income			` ,				, ,	
taxes	65	64	2%	200		178	12%	
Income tax expense	19	19		61		55	11%	
Net income	\$ 46	\$ 45	2%	\$ 139	\$	123	13%	
Account Values Variable universal life								
insurance Universal life/interest				\$ 6,242	\$	5,700	10%	
sensitive whole life Modified guaranteed life				3,932		3,599	9%	
and other				703		716	(2%)	
Total account values				\$ 10,877	\$	10,015	9%	
Life Insurance Inforce Variable universal life								
insurance Universal life/interest				\$ 73,126	\$	70,569	4%	
sensitive whole life				44,069		40,694	8%	

90

Modified guaranteed life

and other 42,815 36.015 19%

Total life insurance

inforce \$160,010 \$147,278 9%

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005

Net income increased for the three months ended September 30, 2006 due primarily to growth in life insurance inforce and account values, partially offset by unusually favorable mortality in the third quarter of 2005. Net income increased for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 due primarily to growth in life insurance inforce and account values, and revisions to net DAC amortization of \$7 after-tax, partially offset by less favorable mortality experience. The following factors contributed to the earnings results:

Cost of insurance charges, the largest component of fee income, increased \$7 and \$22 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, driven by growth in the variable universal, universal, and interest-sensitive whole life insurance inforce. Variable fee income increased, consistent with the growth in the variable universal life insurance account value. Other fee income, another component of total fee income, decreased \$15 and \$10 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, due primarily to lower amortization of deferred revenues consistent with the mix of products and the level and mix of product profitability. In total, fee income decreased for the three months ended and increased for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Amortization of DAC decreased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, which includes \$13 of revisions (reflected in the first half of 2006) to estimates made at December 31, 2005 and March 31, 2006. Excluding these revisions, the amortization of DAC decreased \$21 for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, consistent with the mix of products and the level and mix of product profitability. Net investment income increased primarily due to increased general account assets from sales growth. Earned premiums, which include premiums for ceded reinsurance, decreased primarily due to increased ceded reinsurance premiums for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses increased \$12 and \$23 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 respectively, consistent with the growth in account values and life insurance inforce, and also reflect favorable mortality experience in the third quarter of 2005, the lowest level of mortality since fourth quarter 2003.

45

Table of Contents

Outlook

Following first half 2006 sales of \$127, Individual Life sales were \$68 in third quarter and \$195 year to date in 2006, an increase of 10% and 18% over the comparable periods in 2005. In the first nine months of 2006, sales results were strong across distribution channels and products. Individual Life continues to focus on its core distribution model of sales through financial advisors, while also pursuing growth opportunities through other distribution sources such as independent life professionals. Variable universal life mix remains strong at 40% of total sales in 2006. Overall, product sales were enhanced by new product launches in each quarter. In the first quarter of 2006, Individual Life introduced a new variable life product that blends the benefits of universal life insurance and variable annuities and in the second quarter launched Hartford Term, which has additional term insurance durations and new competitive features. In late June 2006, Individual Life launched a flexible premium last survivor variable universal life product. In early October 2006, Individual Life introduced a new product rider to its existing Stag Whole Life product for the employer market.

Variable universal life sales and account values remain sensitive to equity market levels and returns. Individual Life continues to face uncertainty surrounding estate tax legislation, aggressive competition from other life insurance providers, reduced availability and higher price of reinsurance, and the current regulatory environment related to reserving for universal life products with no-lapse guarantees, which may negatively affect Individual Life s future earnings.

Based on the results to date, management s current full year projections are as follows:

Sales increase of 13% to 15%

Life insurance inforce increase of 8% to 10%

Net income growth of 9% to 10%

GROUP BENEFITS

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2	006	_	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change	
Operating Summary									
Earned premiums and									
other	\$1	,032	\$	950	9%	\$3,092	\$2,846	9%	
Net investment income		106		99	7%	310	297	4%	
Net realized capital losses		(1)				(4)			
Total revenues	1	,137	1	1,049	8%	3,398	3,143	8%	
Benefits, claims and claim									
adjustment expenses		745		688	8%	2,252	2,106	7%	
Insurance operating costs									
and other expenses		281		258	9%	819	752	9%	
Amortization of deferred									
policy acquisition costs		10		8	25%	30	22	36%	
Total benefits, claims									
and expenses	1	,036		954	9%	3,101	2,880	8%	
Income before income						,	,		
taxes		101		95	6%	297	263	13%	
Income tax expense		27		27		81	72	13%	
Net income	\$	74	\$	68	9%	\$ 216	\$ 191	13%	

Total earned premiums and other	\$1,032	\$ 950	9%	\$3,092	\$2,846	9%
Other	10	9	11%	28	28	
Buyout premiums		1	(100%)	5	26	(81%)
premiums	\$1,022	\$ 940	9%	\$3,059	\$2,792	10%
Fully insured ongoing						
Earned premiums and other						

Group Benefits has a block of financial institution business that is experience rated. Under the terms of this business, the loss experience will inversely affect the commission expenses incurred.

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005

Net income increased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily due to higher earned premiums and a lower expense ratio excluding the financial institution business. The results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 included a \$4 after-tax provision for Hurricane Katrina. The following factors contributed to the earnings increase:

Earned premiums increased driven by year-to-date sales (excluding buyouts) growth of 17%, particularly in group life insurance.

The loss ratio (defined as benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses as a percentage of premiums and other considerations excluding buyouts) was 72.2% for the three months ended September 30, 2006, down from 72.4% in the prior year period. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the loss ratio was 72.8%, down from 73.8% in the prior year period. Excluding financial institutions, the loss ratio was 77.5% for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to 77.1% in the prior year period. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the loss ratio excluding financial institutions was 77.8% as compared to 77.7% in the prior year period. The expense ratio was 28.2% for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to 28.0% in the prior year period. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the expense ratio was 27.5% compared to 27.4% in the prior year period. Excluding financial institutions, the expense ratio for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was 22.9%, down from 23.8% in the prior

46

Table of Contents

year period. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the expense ratio excluding financial institutions was 22.6% as compared to 23.9% for the prior year period. The decline in expense ratio excluding financial institutions for both the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 was due to growth in premiums outpacing growth in expenses.

Outlook

The increased scale of the group life and disability operations and the expanded distribution network for its products and services has generated strong premium and sales growth in 2006. Fully insured ongoing premiums for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$3,059, a 10% increase over the prior year. Sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 were \$750 (excluding buyout premiums and premium equivalents) representing an increase of 17% over the prior year. Management is expecting a decline in sales for the fourth quarter of 2006 compared to the fourth quarter of 2005 due to unusually strong sales in the fourth quarter 2005. Management is committed to selling competitively priced products that meet the Company s internal rate of return guidelines and sales may be negatively affected by the competitive pricing environment in the marketplace. Although sales may fluctuate from quarter to quarter, the Company has experienced consistent premium growth which results from the combination of sales, renewal pricing and persistency.

Despite the current market conditions, including rising medical costs, the changing regulatory environment and cost containment pressure on employers, the Company continues to leverage its strength in claim practices risk management, service and distribution, enabling the Company to capitalize on market opportunities. Additionally, employees continue to look to the workplace for a broader and ever expanding array of insurance products. As employers design benefit strategies to attract and retain employees, while attempting to control their benefit costs, management believes that the need for the Company s products will continue to expand. This combined with the significant number of employees who currently do not have coverage or adequate levels of coverage, creates opportunities for our products and services.

Based on the results to date, management s current full year projections are as follows:

Sales (excluding buyout premiums and premium equivalents) growth of 9% to 11%

Fully insured ongoing premiums (excluding buyout premiums and premium equivalents) growth of 8% to 10% Loss ratio (excluding buyout premiums) between 72% and 74%

Expense ratio (excluding buyout premiums) between 27% and 29%

Net income growth of 6% to 8%

INTERNATIONAL

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,				d
	2006	2005	Change	20	006	2	2005	Change
Operating Summary								
Fee income	\$181	\$129	40%	\$	519	\$	329	58%
Earned premiums	(3)				(6)			
Net investment income	32	19	68%		91		49	86%
Net realized capital losses	(16)	(7)	(129%)		(46)		(22)	(109%)
Total revenues	194	141	38%		558		356	57%
Benefits, claims and claim								
adjustment expenses	11	12	(8%)		35		32	9%
Insurance operating costs								
and other expenses	55	43	28%		149		121	23%
Amortization of deferred								
policy acquisition costs	54	42	29%		151		105	44%

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Total benefits, claims						
and expenses	120	97	24%	335	258	30%
Income before income						
taxes	74	44	68%	223	98	128%
Income tax expense	27	16	69%	78	35	123%
Net income	\$ 47	\$ 28	68%	\$ 145	\$ 63	130%
Assets Under						
Management						
Japan variable annuity assets under management Japan MVA fixed annuity				\$28,265	\$21,892	29%
assets under management				1,676	1,407	19%
Total assets under				¢ 20, 0.41	¢22 200	20.07
management				\$29,941	\$23,299	29%

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005

Net income in International increased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, principally driven by higher fee income in Japan, which was derived from an increase in assets under management. A more expanded discussion of earnings growth can be found below:

Fee income increased \$52 or 40%, and \$190 or 58%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. As of September 30, 2006, Japan s variable annuity assets under management were \$28.3 billion, a 29% increase from the prior year period. The increase in assets under management was driven by positive net flows of \$5.4 billion and market appreciation of \$1.9

47

Table of Contents

billion, partially offset by (\$922) of foreign currency exchange over the past four quarters. The amount of variable annuity sales has declined for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, by 58% and 44%, respectively, compared to the prior year periods primarily due to increased competition and changes in key distribution relationships.

Also contributing to the higher fee income was increased surrender activity as customers surrendered policies in order to take advantage of significant appreciation in their account balances. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, surrender fees increased by \$2 and \$20, respectively, from the prior year periods.

The increase in fixed annuity assets under management can be attributed to positive net flows of \$305 over the past four quarters.

Further contributing to higher net income in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was a cumulative benefit of \$2 and \$6, respectively, due to a change in the effective tax rate on Japan earnings resulting from a change in management s intent under APB 23. For a further discussion of this change, see Note 1 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Other section of Management s Discussion and Analysis. Partially offsetting the positive earnings drivers discussed above were the following items:

DAC amortization was higher due to higher actual gross profits consistent with growth in the Japan operation. Insurance operating costs and other expenses increased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 by 28% and 23%, respectively. These increases are due to higher maintenance costs and asset-based commissions resulting from the growth in the Japan operation.

Outlook

Management continues to be optimistic about growth potential of the retirement savings market in Japan. Several trends such as an aging population, longer life expectancies, declining birth rate leading to a smaller number of younger workers to support each retiree, and under funded pension systems have resulted in greater need for an individual to plan and adequately fund retirement savings.

Profitability depends on the account values of our customers, which are affected by equity, bond and currency markets. Periods of favorable market performance will increase assets under management and thus increase fee income earned on those assets. In addition, higher account value levels will generally reduce certain costs for individual annuities to the Company, such as guaranteed minimum death benefits (GMDB) and guaranteed minimum income benefits (GMIB). Expense management is also an important component of product profitability. Competition has continued to increase substantially in the Japanese market with the most significant competition the result of the strengthening of domestic competitors. This competition has resulted in changes in key distribution relationships that have negatively impacted current year sales and most likely will negatively impact future sales. The Company continues to focus efforts on strengthening wholesaling and servicing efforts and distribution relationships. The Company launched its new variable annuity product in the third quarter of 2006 and is currently monitoring its progress. The success of the Company s enhanced product offering will ultimately be based on customer acceptance in an increasingly competitive environment.

Based upon results to date, along with the items discussed above, management has revised its expectations for 2006 Japan annuity sales, net inflows and estimated return on assets from those previously disclosed. Full year projections for Japan are now as follows (using \forall 118/\\$1 exchange rate for the fourth quarter):

Variable annuity sales of ¥640 billion to ¥700 billion (\$5.6 billion to \$6.0 billion)

Fixed annuity sales of ¥32 billion to ¥36 billion (\$280 to \$320)

Variable annuity net inflows of ¥470 billion to ¥520 billion (\$4.0 billion to \$4.5 billion)

Return on assets of 70 to 74 basis points, which includes higher than expected surrender fees that may not continue in the future and the cumulative tax benefit discussed above.

48

Table of Contents OTHER

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 2005 Change			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 2005 Change			
			8			8	
Operating Summary Fee income Net investment income Securities available-for	\$ 15	\$ 21	(29%)	\$ 59	\$ 62	(5%)	
sale and other Equity securities held for	37	62	(42%)	108	140	(24%)	
trading	1,185	1,500	(21%)	669	2,024	(67%)	
Total net investment income Net realized capital	1,222	1,562	(22%)	777	2,164	(64%)	
(losses) gains	28	(21)	NM	(219)	73	NM	
Total revenues Benefits, claims and claim	1,265	1,562	(19%)	617	2,299	(73%)	
adjustment expenses Insurance operating costs	1,225	1,539	(20%)	785	2,229	(65%)	
and other expenses Amortization of deferred	2	31	(94%)	(9)	88	NM	
policy acquisition costs	(7)	11	NM	(29)	30	NM	
Total benefits, claims and expenses	1,220	1,581	(23%)	747	2,347	(68%)	
Loss before income tax benefit	45	(19)	NM	(130)	(48)	(171%)	
Income tax benefit	13	(8)	NM	(47)	(17)	(176%)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 32	\$ (11)	NM	\$ (83)	\$ (31)	(168%)	

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005

The change in Other s net income was due to the following:

Net realized capital gains occurred in the third quarter of 2006 compared to net realized capital losses in the prior year period due to realized gains from the Japan fixed annuity contract hedges due to movements in interest rates and net realized gains on GMWB derivatives, primarily driven by net changes in policyholder behavior assumptions made in the third quarter, partially offset by losses on non-qualifying derivatives due to rising interest rates in 2006 and other than temporary impairments. Net realized capital losses occurred in the first nine months of 2006 compared to net realized capital gains in the prior year period due to increased other-than-temporary impairments (see the Other-Than-Temporary Impairments discussion within Investment Results for more information on the increase in impairments), realized losses associated with GMWB derivatives, primarily driven by liability modeling refinements and assumption updates reflecting in-force demographics, losses on non-qualifying derivatives and net losses on sales of investments, both due to rising interest rates in 2006.

During the first quarter of 2006 and 2005, the Company recorded a \$7 after-tax reserve and a \$66 after-tax reserve, respectively for regulatory investigations.

During the first quarter of 2006, the Company achieved favorable settlements in several cases brought against the Company by policyholders regarding their purchase of broad-based leveraged corporate owned life insurance (leveraged COLI) policies in the early to mid-1990s. The Company ceased offering this product in 1996. Based on the favorable outcome of these cases, together with the Company scurrent assessment of the few remaining leveraged COLI cases, the Company reduced its estimate of the ultimate cost of these cases during the three months ended March 31, 2006. This reserve reduction, recorded in insurance operating costs and other expenses, resulted in an after-tax benefit of \$34 in the three months ended March 31, 2006.

Also contributing to the insurance operating costs and other expenses decreases for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was a lower level of dividends to leveraged COLI policyholders.

During the second quarter of 2006, the Company concluded that it no longer met the indefinite reversal criteria of APB Opinion No. 23 with respect to undistributed earnings associated with Hartford Life K.K. The impact in Other, due to losses on Japan activities reported in Other, was a tax benefit of \$2 and \$0 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively.

PROPERTY & CASUALTY

Executive Overview

Property & Casualty is organized into four reportable operating segments: the underwriting segments of Business Insurance, Personal Lines and Specialty Commercial (collectively Ongoing Operations); and the Other Operations segment.

Property & Casualty provides a number of coverages, as well as insurance related services, to businesses throughout the United States, including workers compensation, property, automobile, liability, umbrella, specialty casualty, marine, livestock, bond, professional liability and directors and officers liability coverages. Property & Casualty also provides automobile, homeowners and home-based business coverage to individuals throughout the United States as well as insurance-related services to businesses.

Property & Casualty derives its revenues principally from premiums earned for insurance coverages provided to insureds, investment income, and, to a lesser extent, from fees earned for services provided to third parties and net realized capital gains and losses. Premiums charged for insurance coverages are earned principally on a pro rata basis over the terms of the related policies in force.

49

Table of Contents

Service fees principally include revenues from third party claims administration services provided by Specialty Risk Services and revenues from member contact center services provided through AARP s Health Care Options program.

Total Property & Casualty Financial Highlights

The following discusses Property & Casualty financial highlights for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Premium revenue

	Three Mo	Three Months Ended		
	Septer	nber 30,	September 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Earned Premiums	\$2,632	\$2,517	\$7,805	\$7,602

Earned premiums grew \$115, or 5%, for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and \$203, or 3%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Contributing to the growth in earned premium was a \$60 reduction of earned premium in 2005 due to catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers as a result of losses from hurricane Katrina. Before reinstatement premium in 2005, earned premiums grew \$55, or 2% for the quarter and \$143, or 2%, for the nine month period. Growth in Business Insurance and Personal Lines earned premium was partially offset by a decrease in Specialty Commercial earned premium. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, earned premiums grew \$98 and \$282, respectively, in Business Insurance and grew \$62 and \$117, respectively, in Personal Lines. Apart from the effect of the reinstatement premium in 2005, the growth was primarily driven by new business premium outpacing non-renewals over the last three months of 2005 and first nine months of 2006 and the effect of earned pricing increases in homeowners, partially offset by the effect of higher property catastrophe treaty reinsurance costs. Specialty Commercial earned premiums decreased by \$43 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and by \$194 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily driven by decreases in casualty and other premiums and, for the nine month period, decreases in property, partially offset by increases in professional liability and bond. Specialty casualty earned premium decreased by \$55 and \$201, respectively, for the three and nine month periods due, in large part, to the non-renewal of a single captive insurance program that accounted for earned premium of \$62 and \$230, respectively, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Net income

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ende September 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Underwriting results	191	\$	\$ 471	481
Net servicing and other income [1]	15	12	45	40
Net investment income	359	349	1,081	1,014
Other expenses	(40)	(53)	(168)	(152)
Net realized capital gains (losses)	16	2	(8)	50
Income tax expense	(160)	(77)	(400)	(414)
Net income	\$ 381	\$233	\$1,021	\$1,019

[1] Net of expenses related to service business.

For the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2005 Net income increased by \$148, or 64%, for the three months ended September 30, 2006, primarily due to an increase in underwriting results.

Underwriting results increased by \$191 for the three months ended September 30, 2006, due primarily to a \$111 decrease in current accident year catastrophe losses and a \$64 decrease in net unfavorable prior accident year reserve development. The \$111 decrease in current accident year catastrophe losses was largely due to \$140 of losses in 2005 related to hurricanes Katrina and Rita. The \$64 decrease in net unfavorable prior accident year reserve development was primarily due to \$45 of net strengthening of workers compensation reserves in 2005 and a \$35 release of catastrophe reserves in 2006 for the 2005 and 2004 hurricanes. See the Reserves section for further discussion of prior accident year reserve development in 2006. Before catastrophes and prior accident year development, underwriting results increased by \$16, due primarily to \$60 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium recorded as a reduction of earned premium in 2005, partially offset by higher current accident year losses for auto liability and workers compensation claims and higher non-catastrophe property loss costs. During the third quarter of 2005, the Company reduced current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratios for Personal Lines auto liability claims and Business Insurance workers compensation claims, resulting in an improvement in underwriting results, of which \$48 related to the first six months of 2005.

50

Table of Contents

Net investment income increased by \$10, or 3%, for the three months ended September 30, 2006, primarily as a result of a larger investment base due to increased cash flows from underwriting, partially offset by lower income from partnerships and unfavorable market value adjustments for certain hedge fund investments.

Net realized capital gains increased by \$14 in 2006, primarily due to increased net gains on the sales of fixed maturity investments in 2006 as well as net gains on non-qualifying derivatives in 2006 compared to net losses in 2005.

Other expenses decreased by \$13 in 2006, primarily due to a decrease in legal expenses.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005 Net income was relatively flat at \$1,021 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily due to an increase in net investment income, largely offset by a change from net realized capital gains in 2005 to net realized capital losses in 2006.

Underwriting results decreased by \$10, or 2%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as a \$140 increase in net unfavorable prior accident year reserve development was offset by a \$68 decrease in current accident year catastrophe losses and a \$62 increase in underwriting results before catastrophes and prior accident year development. The \$140 increase in net unfavorable prior accident year reserve development was due to \$301 of net reserve strengthening in 2006 compared to \$161 of net reserve strengthening in 2005. Prior accident year reserves were strengthened by \$301 in 2006, primarily due to \$243 of prior accident year development, resulting from an agreement with Equitas and the Company s evaluation of the reinsurance recoverables and allowance for uncollectible reinsurance associated with older, long-term casualty liabilities reported in the Other Operations segment. Prior accident year reserves were strengthened by \$161 in 2005, including an \$85 increase in assumed reinsurance reserves and a net \$45 increase in workers compensation reserves. See the Reserves section for further discussion of prior accident year reserve development in 2006. The \$68 decrease in current accident year catastrophe losses was largely due to \$140 of losses in 2005 related to hurricanes Katrina and Rita, partially offset by an increase in non-hurricane catastrophe losses in 2006. Before catastrophes and prior accident year development, underwriting results increased by \$62, due primarily to the favorable impact of changes in the expected assessments from Citizens, \$60 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers in 2005 and earned premium growth in 2006, partially offset by an increase in non-catastrophe property loss costs. The nine months ended September 30, 2006 benefited from a \$41 reduction of estimated Citizens assessments related to the 2005 Florida hurricanes and the nine months ended September 30, 2005 included a charge of \$15 for assessments related to the 2004 Florida hurricanes.

Net investment income increased by \$67, or 7%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily as a result of a larger investment base due to increased cash flows from underwriting, partially offset by lower income from investments in partnerships and unfavorable market value adjustments for certain hedge fund investments. The \$16 increase in other expenses was primarily due to favorable bad debt expense in 2005.

Net realized capital losses were \$8 in 2006 compared to net realized gains of \$50 in 2005. Net realized capital losses in 2006 were primarily due to lower gains on sales of fixed maturity investments and a greater level of impairments of fixed maturity investments (see the Other-Than-Temporary Impairments discussion within Investment Results for more information on the increase in impairments).

Key Performance Ratios and Measures

The Company considers several measures and ratios to be the key performance indicators for the property and casualty underwriting businesses. For a detailed discussion of the Company s key performance and profitability ratios and measures, see the Property & Casualty Executive Overview section of the MD&A included in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report. The following table and the segment discussions include the more significant ratios and measures of profitability for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. Management believes that these ratios and measures are useful in understanding the underlying trends in The Hartford s property and casualty insurance underwriting business. However, these key performance indicators should only be used in conjunction with, and not in lieu of, underwriting income for the underwriting segments of Business Insurance, Personal Lines and Specialty Commercial and net income for the Property & Casualty business as a whole, Ongoing Operations and Other Operations. These ratios and measures may not be comparable to other performance measures

51

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Mont Septeml	ber 30,
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Ongoing Operations earned premium growth				
Business Insurance	8%	9%	8%	12%
Personal Lines	7%	3%	4%	5%
Specialty Commercial	(10%)	(16%)	(14%)	9%
Ongoing Operations combined ratio Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior				
accident year development Catastrophe ratio	89.6	89.8	87.9	88.4
Current year	1.9	6.4	2.1	3.1
Prior years	(1.5)	(0.3)	(0.9)	0.2
Total catastrophe ratio Non-catastrophe prior accident year	0.4	6.2	1.1	3.2
development	0.4	2.0	0.4	(0.5)
Combined ratio	90.4	97.9	89.5	91.2
Other Operations net income (loss)	\$ 6	\$ 15	\$ (83)	\$ 45
Total Property & Casualty measures of net investment income:				
Investment yield, after-tax	3.9%	4.2%	4.0%	4.1%
Average invested assets at cost	\$27,593	\$25,250	\$26,976	\$24,865

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005

Ongoing Operations earned premium growth:

The lower growth rate in Business Insurance for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was primarily attributable to a decrease in new business written premium, lower earned pricing increases in small commercial, earned pricing decreases in middle market and higher property catastrophe treaty reinsurance costs, partially offset by an increase in renewal retention before the effect of written pricing changes.

The increased rate of growth in Personal Lines for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was primarily due to \$28 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers recorded as a reduction of earned premium in 2005. Before the effect of reinstatement premium, Personal Lines earned premiums grew 4% for the three months period and 3% for the nine month period. Apart from the change in reinstatement premium, the increase in the growth rate for the three month period was due to a significant increase in new business written premium in auto

and homeowners as well as an increase in renewal retention for homeowners, largely offset by the effect of unfavorable changes in earned pricing and the effect of higher property catastrophe treaty reinsurance costs. The lower growth rate in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was primarily due to the effect of unfavorable changes in earned pricing, partially offset by an increase in new business written premium and an increase in homeowners—renewal retention. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, there was a decline in earned pricing increases in homeowners and a change from slight earned pricing increases for auto in 2005 to slight earned pricing decreases in auto in 2006.

The decline in Specialty Commercial earned premium in both the three and nine month period primarily resulted from a decrease in earned premium from a single captive insured program within specialty casualty that expired in 2005, \$18 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers in 2005 and, for the nine month period, a decrease in specialty property earned premium. Property earned premium decreased in the nine month period as a result of a decline in new business and a strategic decision not to renew certain accounts with properties in catastrophe-prone areas. Specialty Commercial earned premium decreased by a higher percentage in the third quarter of 2005 than in the third quarter of 2006, primarily because of the Company s exit from the multi-peril crop insurance business in the fourth quarter of 2004.

52

Table of Contents

Ongoing Operations combined ratio:

For the three months ended September 30, 2006, the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development decreased by 0.2 points, to 89.6, primarily because the 2005 ratio had been increased by the effect of \$60 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers in 2005. Partially offsetting the effect of the 2005 catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium were higher current accident year losses for auto liability and workers—compensation claims and higher non-catastrophe property loss costs. During the third quarter of 2005, the Company reduced its estimate of current accident year loss and loss adjustment expenses for Personal Lines auto liability claims and Business Insurance workers—compensation claims, resulting in a reduction in loss and loss adjustment expenses, of which \$48 related to the first six months of 2005.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development decreased by 0.5 points, to 87.9. Before catastrophes and prior accident year development, underwriting results increased by \$62, primarily due to the favorable impacts of changes in the expected assessments from Citizens in 2006 and \$60 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers in 2005, partially offset by an increase in non-catastrophe property loss costs. The nine months ended September 30, 2006 benefited from a \$41 reduction of estimated Citizens assessments related to the 2005 Florida hurricanes and the nine months ended September 30, 2005 included a charge of \$15 for assessments related to the 2004 Florida hurricanes.

The decrease in the current accident year catastrophe ratio in both the three and nine month periods was primarily due to \$140 of net losses incurred in 2005 for hurricanes Katrina and Rita, partially offset by an increase in non-hurricane catastrophe losses. Catastrophe losses in nine months ended September 30, 2006 included tornadoes and hail storms in the Midwest and windstorms in Texas and on the East coast.

For both the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006, net prior accident year reserve development for Ongoing Operations was favorable due, in part, to catastrophe reserve releases related to the 2005 and 2004 hurricanes. Net prior accident year development was unfavorable in the three months ended September 30, 2005, due primarily to a net strengthening of workers—compensation reserves. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, net reserve development was favorable as a release of reserves for allocated loss adjustment expenses more than offset the net increase in workers—compensation reserves. See the—Reserves—section for a discussion of net favorable prior accident year reserve development for Ongoing Operations in 2006, including favorable development on catastrophe loss reserves.

Other Operations net income:

Other Operations reported net income of \$6 for the three months ended September 30, 2006, down \$9 from the comparable prior year period. The decrease in net income was largely due to a \$7 increase in prior accident year development and a \$10 decrease in net investment income, partially offset by an increase in net realized capital gains. The third quarter of 2006 included \$43 of environmental reserve strengthening as a result of the Company s environmental reserve evaluation in the quarter compared to environmental reserve strengthening of \$37 in the third quarter of 2005. Other Operations reported a net loss of \$83 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to net income of \$45 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The change from net income to a net loss was primarily due to a \$158 increase in net reserve strengthening and a decrease in both net investment income and net realized capital gains. The increase in net reserve strengthening for the nine month period was primarily due to unfavorable prior accident year reserve development of \$243 in 2006, resulting from the agreement with Equitas and the Company s evaluation of the reinsurance recoverables and allowance for uncollectible reinsurance associated with older, long-term casualty liabilities.

Investment yield and average invested assets:

For both the three and nine-month periods, the investment yield decreased from 2005 to 2006, primarily because of lower investment income on partnerships and other investments, despite an increase in the average weighted yield on new fixed maturity purchases.

The average annual invested assets at cost increased as a result of net underwriting cash inflows and investment income.

Reserves

Reserving for property and casualty losses is an estimation process. As additional experience and other relevant claim data become available, reserve levels are adjusted accordingly. Such adjustments of reserves related to claims incurred in prior years are a natural occurrence in the loss reserving process and are referred to as reserve development. Reserve development that increases previous estimates of ultimate cost is called reserve strengthening. Reserve development that decreases previous estimates of ultimate cost is called reserve releases. Reserve development can influence the comparability of year over year underwriting results and is set forth in the paragraphs and tables that follow. The prior accident year development in the following table represents the ratio of reserve development to earned premiums. For a detailed discussion of the Company s reserve policies, see Notes 1, 11 and 12 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and the Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A included in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report.

53

Table of Contents

Based on the results of the quarterly reserve review process, the Company determines the appropriate reserve adjustments, if any, to record. Recorded reserve estimates are changed after consideration of numerous factors, including but not limited to, the magnitude of the difference between the actuarial indication and the recorded reserves, improvement or deterioration of actuarial indications in the period, the maturity of the accident year, trends observed over the recent past and the level of volatility within a particular line of business. In general, changes are made more quickly to more mature accident years and less volatile lines of business. For information regarding reserving for asbestos and environmental claims within Other Operations, refer to the Other Operations segment discussion.

As part of its quarterly reserve review process, the Company is closely monitoring reported loss development in certain lines where the recent emergence of paid losses and case reserves could indicate a trend that may eventually lead the Company to change its estimate of ultimate losses in those lines. If, and when, the emergence of reported losses is determined to be a trend that changes the Company s estimate of ultimate losses, prior accident year reserves would be adjusted in the period the change in estimate is made. For example, for Personal Lines auto liability claims, the Company s estimates of ultimate losses include assumptions about frequency and severity trends. These assumptions are updated each quarter as the Company s actuaries complete a review of reserves. During 2005 and 2006, these updates resulted in improvements in estimates of both frequency and severity trends and, as a result, the Company released reserves in the first and second quarter of 2006. If new information continues to indicate that the assumptions made in the prior reserve review are too high, prior accident years may develop favorably and current accident year loss ratios may be reduced.

54

Table of Contents

A rollforward follows of Property & Casualty liabilities for unpaid claims and claim adjustment expenses by segment for the three and the nine months ended September 30, 2006:

	Three Business Insurance	Months End Personal Lines	led September 3 Specialty Commercial	60, 2006 Ongoing Operations	Other Operations	Total P&C
Beginning liabilities for unpaid claims and claim adjustment						
expenses-gross	\$7,310	\$2,071	\$6,126	\$15,507	\$6,263	\$21,770
Reinsurance and other recoverables	590	209	2,061	2,860	1,461	4,321
Beginning liabilities for unpaid claims and claim	c == 0		40.5		4.00	
adjustment expenses-net	6,720	1,862	4,065	12,647	4,802	17,449
Add provision for unpaid claims and claim adjustment expenses						
Current year	821	655	247	1,723		1,723
Prior year	(20)	(7)	(1)	(28)	58	30
Total provision for unpaid claims and claim						
adjustment expenses	801	648	246	1,695	58	1,753
Less payments	(527)	(600)	(166)	(1,293)	(151)	(1,444)
Ending liabilities for unpaid claims and claim	ć 00 t	1.010		12.010	4.700	4= ==0
adjustment expenses-net Reinsurance and other	6,994	1,910	4,145	13,049	4,709	17,758
recoverables	570	183	1,992	2,745	1,346	4,091
Ending liabilities for unpaid claims and claim adjustment						
expenses-gross	\$7,564	\$2,093	\$6,137	\$15,794	\$6,055	\$21,849
Earned premiums Loss and loss expense	\$1,292	\$ 952	\$ 388	\$ 2,632	\$	2,632
paid ratio [1] Loss and loss expense	40.7	63.0	43.2	49.1		
incurred ratio Prior accident year	62.0	67.9	63.5	64.4		
development (pts.)	(1.5)	(0.7)	(0.5)	(1.1)		

[1] The loss and loss expense paid ratio represents the ratio of paid claims and claim adjustment expenses to earned premiums.

	Nine	Months Ende	d September 30	, 2006		
	Business Insurance	Personal Lines	Specialty Commercial	Ongoing Operations	Other Operations	Total P&C
Beginning liabilities for unpaid claims and claims						
expenses-gross Reinsurance and other	\$ 7,066	\$ 2,152	\$6,202	\$15,420	\$6,846	\$22,266
recoverables	709	385	2,354	3,448	1,955	5,403
Beginning liabilities for unpaid claims and claim adjustment expenses-net	6,357	1,767	3,848	11,972	4,891	16,863
expenses-net	0,337	1,707	3,040	11,972	4,071	10,003
Add provision for unpaid claims and claim adjustment expenses						
Current year	2,366	1,894	796	5,056		5,056
Prior year	(46)	(30)	33	(43)	344	301
Total provision for unpaid claims and claim						
adjustment expenses	2,320	1,864	829	5,013	344	5,357
Less payments	(1,683)	(1,721)	(532)	(3,936)	(526)	(4,462)
Ending liabilities for unpaid claims and claims						
expenses-net	6,994	1,910	4,145	13,049	4,709	17,758
Reinsurance and other recoverables	570	183	1,992	2,745	1,346	4,091
Ending liabilities for unpaid claims and claims and						
expenses-gross	\$ 7,564	\$ 2,093	\$6,137	\$15,794	\$6,055	\$21,849

Earned premiums	\$ 3,823	\$ 2,810	\$1,170	\$ 7,803	\$ 2	\$ 7,805
Loss and loss expense paid ratio [1]	44.0	61.2	45.7	50.5		
Loss and loss expense	11.0	01.2	13.7	30.3		
incurred ratio	60.7	66.3	70.9	64.2		
Prior accident year				(0.5)		
development (pts.)	(1.2)	(1.1)	2.8	(0.5)		
[1] The loss and loss expense paid ratio represents the ratio of paid claims and claim adjustment expenses to earned premiums.		5	55			

Table of Contents

Prior accident year development

Included within prior accident year development for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 were the following reserve strengthenings (releases).

	Business Insurance	Personal Lines	Specialty Commercial	Ongoing Operations	Other Operations	Total P&C
Net release of catastrophe loss reserves for 2004 and 2005 hurricanes Strengthening of environmental reserves	\$(25)	\$ (9)	\$ (1)	\$ (35)	\$ 43	\$ (35) 43
Other reserve re-estimates, net	5	2		7	15	22
Total prior accident year development for the three months ended September 30, 2006	(20)	(7)	(1)	(28)	58	30
Net release of catastrophe loss reserves for 2004 and 2005 hurricanes	1	(1)	(30)	(30)		(30)
Release of Personal Lines auto liability reserves for accident year 2005 Strengthening of Personal Lines auto		(31)		(31)		(31)
liability reserves for claims with exposure in excess of policy limits Release of Business Insurance allocated loss		30		30		30
adjustment expense reserves for workers compensation and package business for	(20)			(20)		(20)
accident years 2003 to 2005 Release of Personal Lines auto liability	(38)			(38)		(38)
reserves for accident year 2003 to 2005 Strengthening of Specialty Commercial		(22)		(22)		(22)
construction defect claim reserves for accident years 1997 and prior Strengthening of Specialty Commercial			45	45		45
workers compensation allocated loss adjustment expense reserves Effect of Equitas agreement and			20	20		20
strengthening of allowance for uncollectible reinsurance					243	243
Other reserve re-estimates, net	11	1	(1)	11	43	54
Total prior accident year development for the six months ended June 30, 2006	(26)	(23)	34	(15)	286	271
Total prior accident year development for the nine months ended September 30,						
2006	\$(46)	\$(30)	\$ 33	\$ (43)	\$ 344	\$301

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company s re-estimates of prior accident year reserves included the following significant reserve changes:

Ongoing Operations

Released net reserves related to prior year hurricanes by a total of \$35 in the third quarter of 2006, including \$20 for hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma in 2005 and \$15 for hurricanes Charley and Frances in 2004. The Company also released catastrophe reserves of \$30 in the first six months of 2006, including \$16 for hurricanes Ivan and Jeanne in 2004 and \$12 for hurricane Katrina in 2005. Initial reserve estimates for the 2005 and 2004 hurricanes were higher because of the difficulty claim adjusters had in accessing the most significantly impacted areas and initially higher estimates of the cost of building materials and contractors due to demand surge. As the reported claims have matured, the estimated settlement value of the claims has decreased from the initial estimates. The ultimate estimate for Hurricane Katrina was increased in the first quarter of 2006 because of higher than expected claim reporting, particularly in Personal Lines. Net loss reserves within Specialty Commercial decreased in the first six months of 2006 primarily because hurricane Katrina losses on specialty property business were reimbursable under a specialty property reinsurance treaty as well as under the Company s principal property catastrophe reinsurance program. After the first quarter of 2006, Katrina new claim intake abated and settlement percentages increased, resulting in a reduction of reserves in the second and third quarter of 2006. In addition, the rate of newly reported compensable claims for Rita, Wilma, Charley and Frances was less than expected, resulting in a reduction of reserves in the third quarter of 2006.

56

Table of Contents

Released Personal Lines auto liability reserves by \$31 related to the fourth accident quarter of 2005 as a result of better than expected frequency trends. During the third and fourth quarter of 2005, the Company had reduced the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio for Personal Lines auto liability claims related to the first three accident quarters of 2005. Favorable frequency for the fourth accident quarter of 2005 emerged during the fourth quarter of 2005. However, the Company did not release reserves at that time, since reserve indications at only three months of development were not reliable. The Company released reserves in the first quarter of 2006 after another three months of development indicated that early indications of reduced frequency were representative of a real trend.

Strengthened reserves for personal auto liability claims by \$30 due to an increase in estimated severity on claims where the Company is exposed to losses in excess of policy limits. From the Company s reserve review during the first quarter of 2006, the Company determined that the facts and circumstances necessitated an increase in the reserve estimate.

Released Business Insurance allocated loss adjustment expense reserves by \$38 for accident years 2003 to 2005, primarily for workers compensation business and package business, as a result of cost reduction initiatives implemented by the Company to reduce allocated loss adjustment expenses for both legal and non-legal expenses. The Company began implementing cost reduction initiatives in late 2003. It was initially uncertain what effect those efforts would have on controlling allocated loss adjustment expenses. During 2004, favorable trends started to emerge, particularly on shorter-tailed auto liability claims, but it was not clear if these trends would be sustained. In early 2005, favorable trends continued and the Company analyzed claims involving legal expenses separate from claims that do not involve legal expenses. This analysis included a review of the trends in the number of claims involving legal expenses, the average expenses incurred and trends in legal expenses. During the second quarter of 2005, the Company released allocated loss adjustment expense reserves on shorter-tailed auto liability claims as the favorable trends on shorter-tailed business emerged more quickly and were determined to be reliable. During the second quarter of 2006, the Company determined that the favorable development on package business and workers compensation business had become a verifiable trend and, accordingly, reserves were reduced. Released Personal Lines auto liability reserves related to AARP and other affinity business by \$22. AARP auto liability reserves for accident year 2004 were reduced as a result of favorable loss cost severity trends. AARP auto liability severity, as measured by reported data, began declining in 2005; however, the Company was uncertain whether this trend would prove persistent over time since paid loss data did not support a decline. During the second quarter of 2006, the Company determined that all the metrics supported a decline in severity estimates and, therefore, the Company released reserves. Auto liability reserves for other affinity business related to accident years 2003 to 2005 were reduced to recognize favorable developments in loss costs that have emerged since 2004. Strengthened Specialty Commercial construction defect claim reserves by \$45 for accident years 1997 and prior as a result of an increase in claim severity trends. In 2004, two large construction defects claims were reported, but these were not viewed as an indication of an increase in the severity trend for all claims. In 2005, two more additional large cases were reported. Management performed an expanded review of construction defects claims in the second quarter of 2006. Based on the expanded review and additional reported claim experience, management concluded that reported losses would likely continue at

Strengthened Specialty Commercial workers—compensation allocated loss adjustment expense reserves by \$20 for loss adjustment expense payments expected to emerge after 20 years of development. During 2005, the Company had done an in-depth study of loss payments expected to emerge after 20 years of development. At that time, the assumption was made that allocated loss adjustment expenses for a particular subset of business (primary policies on national accounts business) developed more quickly than allocated loss adjustment expenses for smaller insureds. During the second quarter of 2006, the Company—s reserve review indicated that the development pattern assumption should be adjusted to be more consistent with that for smaller insureds. Because the Company has written very little of this business in recent years, the increase in reserves affects accident years 1995 and prior.

a higher level in the future and this resulted in strengthening the recorded reserves.

Other Operations

During the second quarter of 2006, management reviewed the reinsurance recoverables and allowance for uncollectible reinsurance associated with older, long-term casualty liabilities reported in the Other Operations

segment. Based on this study and the outcome of an agreement that resolved, with minor exception, all of the Company's ceded and assumed domestic reinsurance exposures with Equitas, Other Operations recorded prior accident year development of \$243. See the Other Operations section of the MD&A for further discussion. During the third quarter of 2006, the Company completed its environmental reserve evaluation and increased its environmental reserves by \$43. As part of this evaluation, the Company reviewed all of its domestic direct and assumed reinsurance accounts exposed to environmental liability. The Company also examined its London Market exposures for both direct insurance and assumed reinsurance. The Company found estimates for individual cases changed based upon the particular circumstances of each account, although the review found no underlying cause or change in the claim environment.

57

Table of Contents

Risk Management Strategy

Refer to the MD&A in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report for an explanation of Property & Casualty s risk management strategy.

The Hartford s property and casualty operations have processes to manage catastrophic risk exposures to natural disasters, such as hurricanes and earthquakes, and other perils, such as terrorism. The Hartford s risk management processes include, but are not limited to, disciplined underwriting protocols, exposure controls, sophisticated risk modeling, effective risk transfer, and efficient capital management strategies.

In managing exposure, The Hartford's risk management processes involve establishing underwriting guidelines for both individual risks, including individual policy limits, and in aggregate, including aggregate exposure limits by geographic zone and peril. The Company establishes exposure limits and actively monitors the risk exposures as a percent of Property & Casualty surplus. For natural catastrophe perils, the Company generally limits its exposure to natural catastrophes from a single 250-year event to less than 30% of Property & Casualty statutory surplus for losses prior to reinsurance and to less than 15% of Property & Casualty statutory surplus for losses net of reinsurance. Based on recent changes to the catastrophe risk models used by the Company to estimate its exposure, the Company s estimated loss from a single 250-year event is approximately 34% of surplus prior to reinsurance and less than 15% of surplus net of reinsurance. The company intends to manage its exposure such that its expected loss before reinsurance will be less than 30% of surplus. For terrorism, the Company manages its exposure in major metropolitan areas to single-site conventional terrorism attacks, such that the Company endeavors to limit its exposure, including exposures resulting from the Company s Group Life operations, to less than 7% of the combined statutory surplus of the Life and Property and Casualty operations. The Company monitors exposures monthly and employs both internally developed and vendor-licensed loss modeling tools as part of its risk management discipline.

Reinsurance Placements

The Company s principal property catastrophe reinsurance program provides coverage, on average, for 88% of up to \$675 of property losses from catastrophe events in excess of a retention of \$175. The Company also has a fully collateralized layer covering approximately 28% of \$150 of property catastrophe losses in excess of an attachment point of \$850. Effective June 1, 2006, the Company purchased an additional layer of property catastrophe reinsurance to cover 90% of up to \$300 in catastrophe losses in excess of an attachment point of \$1 billion for wind and earthquake catastrophe events affecting the northeast of the United States from Virginia to Maine.

Effective July 1, 2006, the Company renewed its treaty covering property catastrophe losses incurred from a single catastrophe event on specialty property business written with national accounts. The renewal treaty provides coverage, on average, for 80% of \$150 of losses incurred from a single catastrophe event in excess of a \$25 retention. For the treaty year effective July 1, 2005, the treaty covered 95% of \$175 of losses incurred from a single catastrophe event in excess of a \$10 retention. Given the losses sustained by reinsurers from the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes and the changes being made to the third-party catastrophe loss models for the peril of hurricane, reinsurance pricing continued to increase with the July 1 renewal treaty.

Effective June 1, 2006, the Company renewed its reinsurance from the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund (FHCF) which covers 90% of up to \$282 of Personal Lines property losses incurred from a single catastrophe event in excess of an \$89 retention.

Florida Citizens Assessments

Citizens Property Insurance Corporation in Florida (Citizens) provides property insurance to Florida homeowners and businesses that are unable to obtain insurance from other carriers, including for properties deemed to be high risk. Citizens maintains a Personal Lines account, a Commercial Lines account and a High Risk account. If Citizens incurs a deficit in any of these accounts, Citizens may impose a regular assessment on other insurance carriers in the state to fund the deficits, subject to certain restrictions and subject to approval by the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation. Carriers are then permitted to surcharge policyholders to recover the assessments over the next few years. Citizens may also opt to finance a portion of the deficits through issuing bonds and may impose emergency assessments on other insurance carriers to fund the bond repayments. Unlike with regular assessments, however, insurance carriers only serve as a collection agent for emergency assessments and are not required to remit surcharges for emergency assessments to Citizens until they collect surcharges from policyholders. Under generally accepted accounting principles, the Company is required to accrue for regular assessments in the period the assessments become probable

and estimable and the obligating event has occurred. Surcharges to recover the amount of regular assessments may not be recorded as an asset until the related premium is written. Emergency assessments that may be levied by Citizens are not recorded in the income statement.

In the fourth quarter of 2005, the Company accrued an estimated \$46 for regular assessments based on estimates of the deficits in each account at the time. In the second quarter of 2006, the Florida legislature approved the use of \$715 of state tax revenues to partially offset the deficits in Citizens—High Risk, Commercial Lines and Personal Lines accounts. During the second quarter of 2006, Citizens—management also finalized its estimate of the 2004 and 2005 hurricane losses that would be used in calculating the deficits in each account and in the third quarter of 2006, the Board of Governors of Citizens approved a final assessment for the 2005 account year. The estimates of the deficits in the Personal Lines account and Commercial Lines account were lower than previously anticipated by the Company. As a result of these changes in estimates, during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company reduced its

58

Table of Contents

accrual for Citizens assessments by \$41, from \$46 to \$5. The Company expects to receive a notice of regular assessments related to the 2005 Florida hurricanes in the fourth quarter of 2006. While not considered likely, the ultimate assessment approved by the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation could differ from the amounts recorded and any change from the recorded estimate will be recognized in the period the change in estimate is made. The reduction in the amount of the estimated regular assessment also reduces the amount of surcharges that will be billed to policyholders to recoup the assessments in the future. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the company has thus far collected \$7 in surcharges related to the \$15 Citizens assessment for the 2004 Florida hurricanes.

Reinsurance Recoverables

Refer to the MD&A in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report for an explanation of Property & Casualty s reinsurance recoverables.

Premium Measures

Written premium is a statutory accounting financial measure which represents the amount of premiums charged for policies issued, net of reinsurance, during a fiscal period. Earned premium is a GAAP and statutory measure. Premiums are considered earned and are included in the financial results on a pro rata basis over the policy period. Management believes that written premium is a performance measure that is useful to investors as it reflects current trends in the Company sale of property and casualty insurance products. Written and earned premium are recorded net of ceded reinsurance premium. Reinstatement premium represents additional ceded premium paid for the reinstatement of the amount of reinsurance coverage that was reduced as a result of a reinsurance loss payment.

TOTAL PROPERTY & CASUALTY

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change	
Property & Casualty							
Operating Summary							
Earned premiums	\$2,632	\$2,517	5%	\$7,805	\$7,602	3%	
Net investment income	359	349	3%	1,081	1,014	7%	
Other revenues [1]	118	115	3%	355	342	4%	
Net realized capital gains							
(losses)	16	2	NM	(8)	50	NM	
Total revenues	3,125	2,983	5%	9,233	9,008	2%	
Benefits, claims and claim							
adjustment expenses	1.700	1.740	(1.64.)	5.056	4.004	1.04	
Current year	1,723	1,749	(1%)	5,056	4,984	1%	
Prior year	30	94	(68%)	301	161	87%	
Total benefits, claims and							
claim adjustment expenses Amortization of deferred	1,753	1,843	(5%)	5,357	5,145	4%	
policy acquisition costs	531	500	6%	1,572	1,490	6%	
Insurance operating costs							
and expenses	157	174	(10%)	405	486	(17%)	
Other expenses	143	156	(8%)	478	454	5%	
Total benefits, claims							
and expenses	2,584	2,673	(3%)	7,812	7,575	3%	

Income before income						
taxes	541	310	75%	1,421	1,433	(1%)
Income tax expense	160	77	108%	400	414	(3%)
Net income [2]	\$ 381	\$ 233	64%	\$1,021	\$1,019	
Net Income (loss)						
Ongoing Operations	\$ 375	\$ 218	72%	\$1,104	\$ 974	13%
Other Operations	6	15	(60%)	(83)	45	NM
Total Property &						
Casualty net income	\$ 381	\$ 233	64%	\$1,021	\$1,019	

- [1] Represents servicing revenue.
- [2] Includes net realized capital gains (losses), after-tax, of \$10 and \$1 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and (\$6) and \$32 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Three months ended September 30, 2006 compared with the three months ended September 30, 2005

Net income increased by \$148 as a result of a \$157 increase in Ongoing Operations net income, partially offset by a \$9 decrease in Other Operations net income. See the Ongoing Operations and Other Operations segment MD&A discussions for an analysis of the underwriting results and investment performance driving the change in net income. *Nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2005*Net income increased from \$1,019 to \$1,021, as a result of a \$130 increase in Ongoing Operations net income, offset by a \$128 decrease in Other Operations net income. See the Ongoing Operations and Other Operations segment MD&A discussions for an analysis of the underwriting results and investment performance driving the change in net income.

59

Table of Contents

ONGOING OPERATIONS

	Thi	ree Months En	ded	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		September 30,					
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change	
Premium Breakdown							
Written Premiums [1]							
Business Insurance	\$1,294	\$1,223	6%	\$3,872	\$3,708	4%	
Personal Lines	1,022	936	9%	2,936	2,775	6%	
Specialty Commercial	383	457	(16%)	1,227	1,434	(14%)	
Total	\$2,699	\$2,616	3%	\$8,035	\$7,917	1%	
Earned Premiums [1]							
Business Insurance	\$1,292	\$1,194	8%	\$3,823	\$3,541	8%	
Personal Lines	952	890	7%	2,810	2,693	4%	
Specialty Commercial	388	431	(10%)	1,170	1,364	(14%)	
Total	\$2,632	\$2,515	5%	\$7,803	\$7,598	3%	

[1] The difference between written premiums and earned premiums is attributable to the change in unearned premium reserve.

Earned Premiums

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared with the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005

Total Ongoing Operations earned premiums grew \$117 and \$205, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, due primarily to growth in Business Insurance and Personal Lines, partially offset by a decrease in Specialty Commercial. Contributing to the growth in earned premium was a \$60 reduction of earned premium in 2005 due to catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers as a result of losses from hurricane Katrina, including \$14 in Business Insurance, \$28 in Personal Lines and \$18 in Specialty Commercial. Before catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium, Ongoing Operations earned premium grew \$57, or 2%, in the three months ended September 30, 2006 and \$145, or 2%, in the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, earned premiums grew \$98 and \$282, respectively, in Business Insurance and grew \$62 and \$117, respectively, in Personal Lines. Apart from the effect of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium in 2005, the growth was primarily driven by new business premium outpacing non-renewals over the last three months of 2005 and first nine months of 2006 and the effect of earned pricing increases in homeowners, partially offset by the effect of higher property catastrophe treaty reinsurance costs.

Specialty Commercial earned premiums decreased by \$43 for the three months ended September 30, 2006, primarily driven by a \$55 decrease in casualty premiums and a decrease in other earned premiums, partially offset by increases in property, professional liability and bond. The increase in property earned premium was largely driven by the catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers recorded in 2005. Specialty Commercial earned premiums decreased by \$194 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily driven by a \$201 decrease in casualty and decreases in property and other earned premiums, partially offset by increases in professional liability and bond.

60

Table of Contents

	T 2006	hree Months Endo September 30, 2005	ed Change	Ni 2006	ine Months Ende September 30, 2005	d Change
Underwriting Summary Written premiums Change in unearned	\$2,699	\$2,616	3%	\$8,035	\$7,917	1%
premium reserve	67	101	(34%)	232	319	(27%)
Earned premiums Benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses	2,632	2,515	5%	7,803	7,598	3%
Current year	1,723	1,749	(1%)	5,056	4,984	1%
Prior year	(28)	43	NM	(43)	(25)	(72%)
Total benefits, claims and	4.60.	4.700	(= 04)	7 04 0	4.0.70	4.00
claim adjustment expenses Amortization of deferred	1,695	1,792	(5%)	5,013	4,959	1%
policy acquisition costs Insurance operating costs	531	502	6%	1,572	1,492	5%
and expenses	153	168	(9%)	398	475	(16%)
Underwriting results	\$ 253	\$ 53	NM	\$ 820	\$ 672	22%
Net servicing income [1] Net investment income Net realized capital gains	15 299	12 279	25% 7%	45 886	40 797	13% 11%
(losses)	11	2	NM	(15)	24	NM
Other expenses	(40)	(50)	(20%)	(168)	(146)	15%
Income tax expense	(163)	(78)	109%	(464)	(413)	12%
Net income	\$ 375	\$ 218	72%	\$1,104	\$ 974	13%
Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio Current year	65.4	69.6	4.2	64.8	65.6	0.8
Prior year	(1.1)	1.7	2.8	(0.5)	(0.3)	0.2
Total loss and loss adjustment expense ratio Expense ratio	64.4 25.7	71.3 26.7	6.9 1.0	64.2 25.0	65.3 25.8	1.1 0.8
Policyholder dividend ratio	0.3		(0.3)	0.2	0.1	(0.1)
Combined ratio	90.4	97.9	7.5	89.5	91.2	1.7
Catastrophe ratio						

Current year Prior year Total catastrophe ratio	1.9 (1.5) 0.4	6.4 (0.3) 6.2	4.5 1.2 5.8	2.1 (0.9) 1.1	3.1 0.2 3.2	1.0 1.1 2.1
Combined ratio before catastrophes Combined ratio before	90.0	91.7	1.7	88.3	87.9	(0.4)
catastrophes and prior accident year development	89.6	89.8	0.2	87.9	88.4	0.5

[1] Net of expenses related to service business.

Net income and operating ratios

Three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to three months ended September 30, 2005

Net income increased by \$157 as a result of a \$242 increase in income before income taxes. The \$242 increase in income before income taxes was driven primarily by the following:

A \$200 increase in underwriting results with a corresponding decrease in the combined ratio of 7.5 points, to 90.4, A \$20 increase in net investment income, primarily as a result of a larger investment base due to increased cash flows from underwriting, partially offset by lower income from investments in partnerships and unfavorable market value adjustments for certain hedge fund investments,

A \$10 decrease in other expenses, primarily due to a decrease in legal fees, and

A \$9 increase in net realized capital gains, primarily due to increased net gains on the sales of fixed maturity investments in 2006 as well as net gains on non-qualifying derivatives in 2006 compared to net losses in 2005. Underwriting results increased by \$200, primarily due to a \$112 decrease in current accident year catastrophe losses and a change from \$43 of net unfavorable prior accident year reserve development in 2005 to \$28 of net favorable prior accident year reserve development in 2006.

The decrease in current accident year catastrophe losses was primarily due to \$140 of net losses incurred for hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005, partially offset by an increase in non-hurricane related catastrophes. Catastrophe losses in 2006 included tornadoes and hail storms in the Midwest and windstorms on the East coast. The \$28 of net favorable reserve development in 2006 was primarily due to a \$35 release of catastrophe reserves related to the 2005 and 2004 hurricanes. Net unfavorable prior accident year development of \$43 in 2005 primarily included a \$120 increase in workers compensation reserves for claim payments expected to emerge after 20 years of development, partially offset by a \$75 reduction of 2003 and 2004 accident year workers compensation reserves.

Before catastrophes and prior accident year development, underwriting results increased by \$17, due primarily to \$60 of reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers recorded in 2005 and the effect of earned premium growth at a combined ratio of less than 100.0, partially offset by higher current accident year loss costs. The combined ratio before catastrophe and prior accident year development

61

Table of Contents

decreased by 0.2 points, primarily because the 2005 ratio had been increased by the effect of \$60 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers in 2005, partially offset by higher current accident year losses for auto liability and workers compensation claims and higher non-catastrophe property loss costs. During the third quarter of 2005, the Company reduced current accident year loss and loss adjustment expenses for Personal Lines auto liability claims and Business Insurance workers compensation claims, resulting in an improvement in underwriting results, of which \$48 related to the first six months of 2005. Non-catastrophe property loss costs are higher principally due to an increase in claim severity and frequency in middle market and an increase in claim severity in homeowners, partially offset by decreasing claim severity in small commercial.

The expense ratio improved by 1.0 point, to 25.7, largely because the 2005 ratio had been increased by the effect of \$60 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers in 2005 and because of recoupments of assessments from policyholders in 2006. The effects of a continued shift to lower commission workers—compensation business and higher earned premium was largely offset by an increase in the cost of AARP marketing initiatives.

Nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to nine months ended September 30, 2005

Net income increased by \$130 as a result of a \$181 increase in income before income taxes. The \$181 increase in income before income taxes was driven primarily by the following factors:

A \$148 increase in underwriting results with a corresponding decrease in the combined ratio of 1.7 points, to 89.5, and

An \$89 increase in net investment income, primarily as a result of a larger investment base due to increased cash flows from underwriting, partially offset by lower income from investments in partnerships and unfavorable market value adjustments for certain hedge fund investments.

Partially offsetting the improvements in income before income taxes were the following factors:

Net realized capital losses were \$15 in 2006 compared to net realized gains of \$24 in 2005. Net realized capital losses in 2006 were primarily due to lower gains on sales of fixed maturity investments and a greater level of impairments of fixed maturity investments (see the Other-Than-Temporary Impairments discussion within Investment Results for more information on the increase in impairments), and

Other expenses increased by \$22, due primarily to favorable bad debt expense in 2005.

Underwriting results increased by \$148 due to a \$68 decrease in current accident year catastrophe losses, a \$62 increase in current accident year underwriting results before catastrophes and an \$18 increase in net favorable prior accident year reserve development.

The decrease in current accident year catastrophe losses was primarily due to \$140 of net losses incurred for hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005, partially offset by an increase in non-hurricane related catastrophes in 2006. Catastrophe losses in 2006 included tornadoes and hail storms in the Midwest and windstorms in Texas and on the East coast.

Before catastrophes and prior accident year development, underwriting results increased by \$62, due primarily to the favorable impact of changes in the expected assessments from Citizens, \$60 of reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers in 2005 and earned premium growth in 2006, partially offset by an increase in non-catastrophe property loss costs. The nine months ended September 30, 2006 benefited from a \$41 reduction of estimated Citizens assessments related to the 2005 Florida hurricanes whereas the nine months ended September 30, 2005 included a charge of \$15 for assessments related to the 2004 Florida hurricanes. Non-catastrophe property loss costs are higher principally due to an increase in claim frequency in middle market and an increase in claim frequency and severity in homeowners, partially offset by favorable claim severity in small commercial.

Net favorable prior accident year reserve development of \$43 in 2006 primarily included a \$65 reduction in catastrophe reserves related to the 2005 and 2004 hurricanes, a \$53 release of Personal Lines auto liability reserves for accident years 2003 to 2005 and a \$38 release of Business Insurance allocated loss adjustment expense reserves for workers compensation and package business related to accident years 2003 to 2005. Partially offsetting the reserve releases in 2006 was a \$45 strengthening of Specialty Commercial construction defect claim reserves for accident years 1997 and prior and a \$30 strengthening of Personal Lines auto liability reserves for claims with exposure in excess of policy limits. Net favorable reserve development of \$25 in 2005 was largely attributable to a \$120 release of reserves for allocated loss adjustment expenses, partially offset by a \$45 net strengthening of workers compensation

reserves and a \$33 strengthening of reserves related to the third quarter 2004 hurricanes. See the Reserves section of the MD&A for further discussion of reserve development.

The expense ratio improved by 0.8 points, largely because of a \$41 decrease in estimated Citizens assessments in 2006 compared to a \$15 increase in Citizens assessments in 2005 and because the 2005 ratio had been increased by the effect of \$60 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers in 2005. The effect of an increase in the cost of AARP marketing initiatives and a reduction in contingent commissions in 2005 was largely offset by the effect of a continued shift to lower commission workers compensation business.

62

Table of Contents

BUSINESS INSURANCE

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change	
Written Premiums [1]							
Small Commercial	\$ 657	\$ 607	8%	\$2,062	\$1,907	8%	
Middle Market	637	616	3%	1,810	1,801		
Total	\$1,294	\$1,223	6%	\$3,872	\$3,708	4%	
Earned Premiums [1]							
Small Commercial	\$ 668	\$ 606	10%	\$1,967	\$1,787	10%	
Middle Market	624	588	6%	1,856	1,754	6%	
Total	\$1,292	\$1,194	8%	\$3,823	\$3,541	8%	

[1] The difference between written premiums and earned premiums is attributable to the change in unearned premium reserve.

Earned Premiums

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared with the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005

Earned premiums for the Business Insurance segment increased \$98 and \$282, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006. The increase was primarily due to new business growth outpacing non-renewals in both small commercial and middle market over the last three months of 2005 and first nine months of 2006, partially offset by the effect of a decline in earned pricing increases in small commercial, earned pricing decreases in middle market and higher property catastrophe treaty reinsurance costs. Also contributing to the increase in earned premiums was \$14 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers recorded as a reduction of earned premium in 2005.

Growth in small commercial earned premium was driven primarily by earned premium growth in workers compensation and package business for both Select Xpand and traditional Select business. Before the impacts of written pricing changes, premium renewal retention for small commercial increased from 86% to 87% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily due to an increase in retention for workers—compensation business. New business written premium for small commercial decreased by \$15 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and by \$34 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The decrease was primarily related to lower production of new workers—compensation, auto and package policies. Actions taken by some of the Company—s competitors to increase commissions for workers—compensation business may be contributing to the Company—s lower new business growth.

Growth in middle market earned premium was driven primarily by growth in workers compensation and marine earned premium. Before the impacts of written pricing changes, premium renewal retention for middle market increased from 84% to 87% for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and from 84% to 85% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, with an increase in retention across all lines of business. In response to increased competition, management has focused heavily on renewal retention. New business written premium for middle market decreased by \$35 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and by \$95 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Most of the decrease related to workers compensation business, driven by increased competition.

For both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, earned pricing increased 1% for small commercial and decreased by 5% for middle market. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, earned pricing increased by 4% for small commercial and decreased by 3% for middle market. As substantially all premiums in the segment are earned over a 12 month policy period, earned pricing changes for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 primarily reflect written pricing changes from the last three months of 2005 and the first nine months of 2006.

Written pricing for small commercial increased 1% in both the last three months of 2005 and the first nine months of 2006.

Written pricing for middle market decreased by 6% for the last three months of 2005 and by 4% for the first nine months of 2006.

63

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

	2006	hree Months Endo September 30, 2005	ed Change	2006	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005	Change
Underwriting Summary Written premiums Change in unearned	\$1,294	\$1,223	6%	\$3,872	\$3,708	4%
premium reserve	2	29	(93%)	49	167	(71%)
Earned premiums Benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses	1,292	1,194	8%	3,823	3,541	8%
Current year	821	728	13%	2,366	2,139	11%
Prior year	(20)	(14)	(43%)	(46)	(14)	NM
Total benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses	801	714	12%	2,320	2,125	9%
Amortization of deferred						
policy acquisition costs Insurance operating costs	299	286	5%	885	850	4%
and expenses	69	69		164	182	(10%)
Underwriting results	\$ 123	\$ 125	(2%)	\$ 454	\$ 384	18%
Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio						
Current year	63.5	60.9	(2.6)	61.9	60.4	(1.5)
Prior year	(1.5)	(1.2)	0.3	(1.2)	(0.4)	0.8
Total loss and loss						
adjustment expense ratio	62.0	59.7	(2.3)	60.7	60.0	(0.7)
Expense ratio	27.9	30.1	2.2	27.1	29.1	2.0
Policyholder dividend ratio	0.5	(0.2)	(0.7)	0.3		(0.3)
Tatio	0.5	(0.2)	(0.7)	0.5		(0.3)
Combined ratio Catastrophe ratio	90.4	89.5	(0.9)	88.1	89.2	1.1
Current year	1.9	1.7	(0.2)	1.7	1.0	(0.7)
Prior year	(2.0)	(0.2)	1.8	(0.6)	0.2	0.8
Total catastrophe ratio	(0.1)	1.5	1.6	1.0	1.2	0.2
Combined ratio before						
catastrophes	90.5	88.0	(2.5)	87.1	87.9	0.8
Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior	90.0	89.0	(1.0)	87.7	88.5	0.8

127

accident year development

Underwriting results and ratios

Three months ended September 30, 2006 compared with the three months ended September 30, 2005

Underwriting results decreased by \$2 with a corresponding increase in the combined ratio of 0.9 points, to 90.4. The net decrease in underwriting results was principally driven by a \$75 reduction in workers compensation reserves recorded in 2005 related to accident years 2003 and 2004, partially offset by the following factors:

A \$50 strengthening of net reserves in 2005 related to reserves for claim payments expected to emerge after 20 years of development, and

A \$26 reduction in prior accident year catastrophe reserves in 2006, of which \$11 related to hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma in 2005 and \$14 related to hurricanes Charley and Frances in 2004.

Current accident year underwriting results before catastrophes decreased slightly, from \$133 in 2005 to \$128 in 2006. The decrease in underwriting results before catastrophes and prior accident year development was primarily due to an increase in current accident year loss costs, partially offset by earned premium growth at a combined ratio less than 100.0 and \$14 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers recorded as a reduction of earned premium in 2005. The 1.0 point increase in the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development, from 89.0 to 90.0, was primarily due to an increase in current accident year losses for small commercial workers compensation business, earned pricing decreases in middle market, an increase in middle market non-catastrophe property claim costs, an increase in policyholder dividends, and a continued shift to more workers compensation business which has a higher loss and loss adjustment expense ratio, partially offset by a 2.2 point improvement in the expense ratio and the fact that the 2005 ratio had been increased by the effect of \$14 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers in 2005. During the third quarter of 2005, the Company reduced current accident year loss and loss adjustment expenses for small commercial workers compensation claims, of which \$20 related to the first six months of 2005. Non-catastrophe property claim costs have increased due to increasing claim frequency and severity in middle market, partially offset by decreasing claim frequency and severity in small commercial.

The expense ratio decreased by 2.2 points, primarily due to reallocating \$7 in 2004 hurricane-related assessments from Personal Lines to Business Insurance during 2005, a continued shift to lower commission workers—compensation business, recoupments of assessments from policyholders in 2006 and lower expenses for premium taxes, licenses and fees. Also contributing to the decrease in the expense ratio was the fact that the 2005 ratio had been increased by the effect of \$14 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers in 2005.

64

Table of Contents

Nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2005

Underwriting results increased by \$70, with a corresponding 1.1 point decrease in the combined ratio, to 88.1. The net increase in underwriting results was principally driven by the following factors:

A \$64 improvement in current accident year underwriting results before catastrophes, primarily due to earned premium growth at a combined ratio less than 100.0 and a 0.8 point decrease in the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development, to 87.7,

A \$50 increase in workers compensation reserves recorded in 2005, related to reserves for claim payments expected to emerge after 20 years of development,

A \$38 reduction in allocated loss adjustment expense reserves recorded in 2006, primarily for workers compensation and package business related to accident years 2003 to 2005,

A \$24 reduction in prior accident year catastrophe reserves in 2006, of which \$10 related to hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma in 2005 and \$14 related to hurricanes Charley and Frances in 2004, and

A \$20 increase in reserves related to the 2004 hurricanes recorded in 2005.

Partially offsetting these improvements were the following factors:

A \$75 reduction in workers—compensation reserves recorded in 2005 related to accident years 2003 and 2004, A \$26 increase in current accident year catastrophes losses, principally due to losses in 2006 from tornadoes and hail storms in the Midwest and windstorms in Texas and on the East coast. Catastrophe losses in 2005 included \$15 of catastrophe losses from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, and

A \$25 release of prior accident year reserves for allocated loss adjustment expenses recorded in 2005.

The 0.8 point improvement in the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development was primarily due a 2.0 point improvement in the expense ratio, largely offset by the portion of the increase in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio attributable to non-catastrophe losses. The expense ratio decreased by 2.0 points, primarily due to the impact in 2006 and 2005 of changes in the expected assessments from Citizens and a continued shift to lower commission workers—compensation business, partially offset by a \$14 reduction in contingent commissions in 2005. The nine months ended September 30, 2006 benefited from a \$21 reduction in estimated Citizens—assessments related to the 2005 Florida hurricanes and the nine months ended September 30, 2005 included a charge of \$7 for assessments related to the 2004 Florida hurricanes. Contributing to the 1.5 point increase in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio was a 0.6 point increase in current accident year catastrophe losses. The remainder of the increase in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio was largely due to earned pricing decreases in middle market, increasing non-catastrophe property claim frequency in middle market and the effect of a continued shift to workers—compensation business which has a higher loss and loss adjustment expense ratio, partially offset by favorable non-catastrophe property claim severity in small commercial.

Outlook

For the 2006 full year, management expects the Business Insurance segment to achieve mid-single-digit written premium growth, consistent with the written premium growth achieved for the first nine months of the year. In both small commercial and middle market, the Company plans to continue to broaden its relationships with key agencies to increase new business, maintain renewal retention and expand market share in targeted states. In small commercial, the Company expects to generate high single-digit written premium growth in the fourth quarter and full year 2006, in part, through the use of customized pricing, more sophisticated pricing models and automated underwriting decision making tools and increasing its underwriting appetite within certain industries and risks. Within middle market, the Company expects fairly flat written premium growth for the full year 2006 with written premium growth flat to slightly negative in the fourth quarter of 2006. The Company will continue to focus on managing its mix of business in middle market as well as protecting its renewals.

Written pricing trends in 2006 have been affected by increased competition as evidenced by modest written pricing increases in small commercial and written pricing decreases in middle market over the first nine months of 2006. In the nine months ended September 30, 2006, non-catastrophe property loss costs decreased, driven primarily by lower claim severity in small commercial, partially offset by increasing claim frequency in middle market. While loss costs were favorable in the first nine months of 2006, management expects claim severity to continue to increase and claim frequency to be less favorable. Based on anticipated trends in earned pricing and loss costs, the combined ratio before

catastrophes and prior accident year development is expected to be in the high 80 s for the 2006 full year, consistent with the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development of 87.7 for the first nine months of 2006.

65

Table of Contents

PERSONAL LINES

		Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	20		2005	Change		2006	Septen	2005	Change
Premium Breakdown Written Premiums [1]									
Business Unit									
AARP		583	\$ 608	12%	\$	1,950	\$	1,793	9%
Agency	2	291	261	11%		824		760	8%
Other		48	67	(28%)		162		222	(27%)
Total	1,0)22	936	9%		2,936		2,775	6%
Product Line									
Automobile	\$ 7	737	\$ 695	6%	\$	2,162	\$	2,087	4%
Homeowners	2	285	241	18%		774		688	13%
Total	\$1,0)22	\$ 936	9%	\$	2,936	\$	2,775	6%
Earned Premiums [1]									
Business Unit									
AARP		524	\$ 568	10%	\$	1,831	\$	1,707	7%
Agency	2	271	246	10%		795		740	7%
Other		57	76	(25%)		184		246	(25%)
Total	\$ 9	952	\$ 890	7%	\$	2,810	\$	2,693	4%
Product Line									
Automobile		708	\$ 684	4%	\$	2,089	\$	2,042	2%
Homeowners	2	244	206	18%		721		651	11%
Total	\$ 9	952	\$ 890	7%	\$	2,810	\$	2,693	4%
Combined Ratios									
Automobile		6.6	92.4	(4.2)		94.0		87.8	(6.2)
Homeowners	7	3.7	90.9	17.2		72.9		78.9	6.0
Total	9	0.7	92.0	1.3		88.6		85.7	(2.9)
Policies in force					3,	760,089	3,	581,557	
[1] The difference between written premiums and earned									

premiums is attributable to the change in unearned premium reserve.

Earned Premiums

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005

Earned premiums for the Personal Lines segment increased \$62 and \$117, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, due primarily to earned premium growth in both AARP and Agency, partially offset by a reduction in other earned premium and higher property catastrophe treaty reinsurance costs. Also contributing to the increase in earned premiums was \$28 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers recorded as a reduction of earned premium in 2005. Other earned premium consists of premium earned on non-standard auto business written by Omni and on business written through affinity partners other than AARP.

AARP earned premium grew \$56 and \$124, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, reflecting growth in the size of the AARP target market and the effect of direct marketing programs to increase premium writings in both auto and homeowners.

Agency earned premium grew \$25 and \$55, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily as a result of an increase in the number of agency appointments and further refinement of the Dimensions class plans first introduced in 2003. Dimensions is currently available in 40 states and enables agents to generate a customized price for each policyholder, independent of the risks and rates of other members of the same household. The plan, which is available through the company s network of independent agents, was enhanced in the third quarter of 2006 as Dimensions with Auto Packages and the enhanced plan is now offered in 18 states with four distinct package offerings.

Other earned premium decreased by \$19 and \$62, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, because of a strategic decision to reduce other affinity business and limit non-standard writings to fewer geographic areas. In July, 2006, the Company reached an agreement to sell Omni and exit the non-standard auto business. Refer to the Outlook section that follows for further discussion.

The earned premium growth in AARP and Agency was primarily due to new business written premium outpacing non-renewals over the last three months of 2005 and the first nine months of 2006 and to earned pricing increases in homeowners for both AARP and Agency.

Auto earned premium grew \$24 and \$47, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily from new business outpacing non-renewals in both AARP and Agency over the last three months of 2005 and the first nine months of 2006, offset by a decline in other auto business. Before considering the decline in other auto business, auto earned premium grew \$43, or 7%, for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and \$109, or 6%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Homeowners earned premium grew \$38 and \$70, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, due to new business outpacing non-renewals in both AARP and Agency business over the last three months of 2005 and the first nine months of 2006 and due to earned

66

Table of Contents

pricing increases. Consistent with the growth in earned premium, the number of policies in force has increased in auto and homeowners from 2,206,802 and 1,374,755, respectively, as of September 30, 2005, to 2,314,116 and 1,445,973, respectively, as of September 30, 2006. The growth in policies in force does not correspond directly with the growth in earned premiums due to the effect of earned pricing changes and because policy in force counts are as of a point in time rather than over a period of time.

Auto new business written premium was \$130 and \$352, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, up \$21 and \$28, respectively, from the corresponding periods in 2005. The increase in new business written premium was primarily due to an increase in AARP and Agency new business, partially offset by a decrease in other new business. Growth in new business was particularly strong in AARP with a growth rate of 32% in the third quarter of 2006. Homeowners new business written premium was \$46 and \$122, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, up \$9 and \$26, respectively, from the corresponding periods in 2005. The increase in homeowners new business written premium was due to an increase in both AARP and Agency new business, with higher growth rates in AARP.

Before the effect of changes in written pricing, premium renewal retention for auto remained relatively flat at 87% for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006. Renewal retention on AARP auto business was relatively flat for both the three and nine month period. Renewal retention in Agency auto was flat for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and decreased from 93% to 90% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Before the effect of changes in written pricing, premium retention for homeowners increased from 86% to 91% for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and from 88% to 91% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, driven largely by increased retention of AARP business.

Earned pricing for automobile decreased 1% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, whereas earned pricing increased by 1% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005. For homeowners business, earned pricing increases were 5% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, down from 8% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was a reflection of the following written pricing changes from 2005 to 2006:

Written pricing for automobile decreased by 1% in both the last three months of 2005 and the first nine months of 2006.

Written pricing for homeowners increased by 5% in the last three months of 2005 and increased by 4% in the first nine months of 2006.

Auto written pricing decreases are driven by an extended period of favorable results factoring into the rate setting process. Homeowners written pricing continues to increase moderately due to insurance to value increases.

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change	
Underwriting Summary							
Written premiums	\$1,022	\$ 936	9%	\$2,936	\$2,775	6%	
Change in unearned							
premium reserve	70	46	52%	126	82	54%	
Earned premiums	952	890	7%	2,810	2,693	4%	
Benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses							
Current year	655	623	5%	1,894	1,803	5%	
Prior year	(7)	(5)	(40%)	(30)	(110)	73%	
	648	618	5%	1,864	1,693	10%	

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Total benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses Amortization of deferred						
policy acquisition costs Insurance operating costs	157	147	7%	466	434	7%
and expenses	58	54	7%	159	180	(12%)
Underwriting results	\$ 89	\$ 71	25%	\$ 321	\$ 386	(17%)
Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio						
Current year	68.6	69.9	1.3	67.3	66.9	(0.4)
Prior year	(0.7)	(0.5)	0.2	(1.1)	(4.1)	(3.0)
Total loss and loss						
adjustment expense ratio	67.9	69.3	1.4	66.3	62.8	(3.5)
Expense ratio	22.8	22.7	(0.1)	22.3	22.9	0.6
Combined ratio	90.7	92.0	1.3	88.6	85.7	(2.9)
Catastrophe ratio						
Current year	2.3	5.9	3.6	3.2	3.4	0.2
Prior year	(1.1)		1.1	(0.6)	0.2	0.8
Total catastrophe ratio	1.2	5.8	4.6	2.6	3.5	0.9
Combined ratio before catastrophes Combined ratio before	89.5	86.2	(3.3)	85.9	82.1	(3.8)
catastrophes and prior accident year development	89.0	86.7	(2.3)	86.4	86.4	
Other revenues [1]	\$ 33	\$ 29	14%	\$ 99	\$ 88	13%

^[1] Represents servicing revenues.

Underwriting results increased by \$18, with a corresponding 1.3 point decrease in the combined ratio, to 90.7. The net increase in underwriting results was principally driven by the following factors:

Table of Contents

A \$30 decrease in current accident year catastrophe losses. Catastrophe losses in the third quarter of 2005 included \$41 of losses for Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, and

A \$9 release of net reserves in 2006 related to prior accident year hurricanes, primarily for hurricanes Katrina and Wilma in 2005.

Partially offsetting the increase in underwriting results was a \$14 decrease in current accident year underwriting results before catastrophes, with a 2.3 point increase in the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development, from 86.7 to 89.0.

The \$14 decrease in current accident year underwriting results before catastrophes was primarily due to an increase in current accident year loss costs and an increase in the cost of AARP marketing initiatives, partially offset by earned premium growth at a combined ratio less than 100.0 and \$28 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers recorded as a reduction of earned premium in 2005.

The 2.3 point increase in the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development was primarily due to a reduction in 2005 of current accident year incurred losses for auto liability claims, an increase in non-catastrophe property claim costs in 2006 and an increase in expenses, partially offset by earned pricing increases in homeowners and the fact that the 2005 ratio had been increased by the effect of \$28 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers in 2005. During the third quarter of 2005, the Company reduced current accident year loss and loss adjustment expenses for Personal Lines auto bodily injury claims, of which \$28 related to the first six months of 2005. Non-catastrophe property loss costs increased primarily due to an increase in claim severity.

The expense ratio remained relatively flat at 22.8, as the effect of an increase in the cost of AARP marketing initiatives to drive new business growth and the effect of reallocating \$7 in 2004 hurricane-related assessments from Personal Lines to Business Insurance during 2005 was largely offset by the fact that the 2005 ratio had been increased by the effect of \$28 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers in 2005 and the effect of recoupments of assessments from policyholders in 2006.

Nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005 Underwriting results decreased by \$65, with a corresponding 2.9 point increase in the combined ratio to 88.6. The net decrease in underwriting results was principally driven by the following factors:

A \$95 reduction in prior accident year reserves for allocated loss adjustment expenses recorded in 2005,

A \$30 increase in reserves for personal auto liability claims in 2006 due to an increase in estimated severity on claims where the company is exposed to losses in excess of policy limits, and

A \$20 reduction in prior accident year reserves for auto liability claims recorded in 2005.

Partially offsetting the decrease in underwriting results were the following factors:

A \$31 reduction in prior accident year reserves recorded in 2006 for auto liability claims related to accident year 2005 as a result of better than expected frequency trends on these claims,

A \$22 reduction of prior accident year reserves recorded in 2006 for AARP and other affinity auto liability claims related to accident years 2003 to 2005 as a result of better than expected severity trends on these claims,

A \$17 reduction in prior accident year catastrophe reserves, including \$10 related to hurricanes Katrina and Wilma in 2005,

A \$15 increase in current accident year underwriting results before catastrophes, primarily due to earned premium growth at a combined ratio less than 100.0 and \$28 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers recorded as a reduction of earned premium in 2005, partially offset by an increase in current accident

year loss costs, and

A \$9 increase in prior accident year catastrophe loss reserves recorded in 2005 for the third quarter 2004 hurricanes

The combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development remained flat at 86.4 as an increase in current accident year non-catastrophe property loss costs was largely offset by a decrease in the expense ratio and the fact that the 2005 ratio had been increased by the effect of \$28 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers in 2005. Non-catastrophe property loss costs for homeowners continue to increase due largely to an increase in claim severity.

The expense ratio decreased by 0.6 points, primarily due to the impact in 2006 and 2005 of changes in the expected assessments from Citizens, largely offset by an increase in the cost of AARP marketing initiatives to drive new business growth. Also contributing to the decrease in the expense ratio was the fact that the 2005 ratio had been increased by the effect of \$28 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers in 2005. The nine months ended September 30, 2006 benefited from a \$19 reduction of estimated Citizens—assessments related to the 2005 Florida hurricanes, whereas the nine months ended September 30, 2005 included a charge of \$6 for assessments related to the 2004 Florida hurricanes.

68

Table of Contents

Outlook

Consistent with written premium growth in the first nine months of 2006, management expects the Personal Lines segment to deliver written premium growth in the mid-single digits for the full year and the fourth quarter. New business growth has been strong in auto and homeowners with significant growth in both AARP and Agency. Management expects this trend to continue for the balance of the year. Within the AARP business, growth is expected through an increase in marketing to AARP members. Within the Agency business, growth is expected through refinement of the Dimensions class plans, expansion of product breadth and an increase in the number of new agency appointments.

Strong underwriting profitability within the past couple of years has intensified the level of competition, putting downward pressure on rates, particularly in auto. For auto, written pricing during the first nine months 2006 was down 1% and, for homeowners, written pricing increases in the mid-single digits during the first nine months of 2006 were lower than they had been in 2005. Non-catastrophe loss costs in homeowners were up significantly in the third quarter of 2006 and are expected to continue to increase. Based on earned pricing and loss cost trends, the Company expects a 2006 combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development in the high-80 s for the 2006 calendar year, consistent with or slightly higher than the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development of 86.4 for the first nine months of 2006.

In July 2006, the Company agreed to sell its non-standard auto insurance business, Omni Insurance Group, Inc. (Omni). Under the terms of the agreement, the Company will receive sales proceeds, subject to adjustment, of approximately \$100. The Company expects the sale to be completed in the fourth quarter of 2006, pending regulatory approval, and to result in an after-tax gain, primarily due to income tax benefits arising from the transaction. The after-tax gain is not expected to be material to results of operations and the ultimate amount will be based on an audit of the closing date balance sheet. As part of this agreement, the Company continues to be obligated for certain extra contractual liability claims and for claims and expenses arising from all business written in the states of California and New York. Subject to regulatory constraints, the Company intends to cease writing new and renewal non-standard business in California and New York. The Company believes that exiting the traditional non-standard auto insurance business will streamline its operations and help the Company align its resources towards achieving core business objectives.

In the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006, Omni had earned premium of \$33 and \$108, respectively. As of September 30, 2006, Personal Lines segment assets and liabilities related to the Omni business being sold totaled approximately \$288 and \$172, respectively. The Company does not expect the sale of Omni will significantly affect its full year outlook of Personal Lines written premium growth or the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development.

SPECIALTY COMMERCIAL

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
Written Premiums [1]	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change
Property	\$ 49	\$ 38	29%	\$ 161	\$ 179	(10%)
Casualty	130	202	(36%)	458	683	(33%)
Bond	69	59	17%	188	170	11%
Professional Liability	110	109	1%	322	274	18%
Other	25	49	(49%)	98	128	(23%)
Total	\$383	\$457	(16%)	\$1,227	\$1,434	(14%)
Earned Premiums [1]						
Property	\$ 51	\$ 40	28%	\$ 160	\$ 188	(15%)
Casualty	146	201	(27%)	435	636	(32%)

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Total	\$388	\$431	(10%)	\$1,170	\$1,364	(14%)
Other	20	47	(57%)	90	130	(31%)
Professional Liability	109	89	22%	308	255	21%
Bond	62	54	15%	177	155	14%

[1] The difference between written premiums and earned premiums is attributable to the change in unearned premium reserve.

Earned premiums

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005

Earned premiums for the Specialty Commercial segment decreased by \$43 and \$194, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily due to decreases in casualty and other earned premiums and, for the nine month period, a decrease in property earned premium, partially offset by increases in bond and professional liability earned premiums. Also contributing to the increase in earned premium was \$18 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premium payable to reinsurers recorded as a reduction of earned premium in 2005.

69

Table of Contents

Property earned premium increased by \$11 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and decreased by \$28 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The increase during the third quarter of 2006 was primarily due to \$26 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers in 2005 and earned pricing increases, partially offset by the effect of lower new business and renewals as well as higher reinsurance costs. The decrease in earned premiums over the first nine months of 2006 was primarily due to a decrease in new business and renewals in the latter half of 2005 and the first nine months of 2006 as well as the effect of an increase in reinsurance costs for 2006 treaties and additional catastrophe reinsurance purchased in the fourth quarter of 2005. Partially offsetting the decrease in earned premiums for the nine month period was \$26 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers recorded as a reduction of earned premium in 2005. The reduction in new business and renewals for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 reflects a decision to reduce catastrophe loss exposures in certain geographic areas and a determination that, despite rate increases, rates on some business opportunities were not adequate. Property business has experienced significant rate increases throughout 2006, reflecting a hardening of the market after the 2005 hurricanes.

Casualty earned premiums decreased by \$55 and \$201, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily because of the non-renewal of a single captive insurance program and a decline in new business written premium growth partially offset by the effect of earned pricing increases during the first six months of 2006. The single captive insurance program accounted for earned premium of \$62 and \$230, respectively, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005. Premium retention increased during the first six months of 2006 and decreased slightly in the third quarter.

Bond earned premium grew \$8 and \$22, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, due primarily to modest earned pricing increases and new business growth in commercial and contract surety business and, to a lesser extent, a decrease in the portion of risks ceded to outside reinsurers. The growth in commercial and contract surety was primarily driven by an increase in the number of bonds issued to existing accounts.

Professional liability earned premium grew \$20 and \$53, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily due to a decrease in the portion of risks ceded to outside reinsurers and new business growth in middle market and small commercial business during the first six months of 2006, partially offset by earned pricing decreases. Professional liability experienced a decrease in new business growth and renewal retention in the third quarter of 2006, particularly for directors and officers insurance coverage.

Within the other category, earned premium decreased \$27 and \$40, respectively for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006. The other category of earned premiums includes premiums assumed and ceded under inter-segment arrangements and co-participations. Beginning in the third quarter of 2006, the Company reduced the premiums assumed by Specialty Commercial under inter-segment arrangements covering certain liability claims. In addition, under an inter-segment arrangement, beginning in the first quarter of 2006, the Company allocated more of the premiums ceded under the principal property catastrophe reinsurance program to Specialty Commercial and less to Business Insurance and Personal Lines.

Underwriting Summary

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change
Written premiums Change in unearned	\$ 383	\$ 457	(16%)	\$1,227	\$1,434	(14%)
premium reserve	(5)	26	NM	57	70	(19%)

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Earned premiums Benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses	388	431	(10%)	1,170	1,364	(14%)
Current year	247	398	(38%)	796	1,042	(24%)
Prior year	(1)	62	NM	33	99	(67%)
11101 9011	(1)	02	1 (1/1			(0,70)
Total benefits, claims and						
claim adjustment expenses Amortization of deferred	246	460	(47%)	829	1,141	(27%)
policy acquisition costs	75	69	9%	221	208	6%
Insurance operating costs and expenses	26	45	(42%)	75	113	(34%)
Underwriting results	\$ 41	\$ (143)	NM	\$ 45	\$ (98)	NM
Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio						
Current year	64.0	92.8	28.8	68.1	76.6	8.5
Prior year	(0.5)	14.6	15.1	2.8	7.2	4.4
11101 9011	(0.2)	10	10.1			
Total loss and loss						
adjustment expense ratio	63.5	107.4	43.9	70.9	83.8	12.9
Expense ratio	25.4	25.4		25.0	22.9	(2.1)
Policyholder dividend						
ratio	0.5	0.5		0.3	0.4	0.1
Combined ratio	89.4	133.3	43.9	96.2	107.2	11.0
Catastrophe ratio						
Current year	0.7	20.5	19.8	0.7	7.6	6.9
Prior year	(0.5)	(0.9)	(0.4)	(2.8)	0.2	3.0
Total catastrophe ratio	0.1	19.7	19.6	(2.1)	7.8	9.9
Combined ratio before						
catastrophes	89.2	113.6	24.4	98.3	99.3	1.0
Combined ratio before	07.2	113.0	21.1	70.5	77.3	1.0
catastrophes and prior						
accident year development	89.2	98.2	9.0	92.6	92.3	(0.3)
Other revenues [1]	\$ 85	\$ 86	(1%)	\$ 256	\$ 254	1%

[1] Represents servicing revenues.

70

Table of Contents

Underwriting results and ratios

Three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2005

Underwriting results increased by \$184, with a corresponding 43.9 point decrease in the combined ratio to 89.4. The increase in underwriting results was principally driven by the following factors:

A \$70 strengthening of workers compensation reserves in 2005 for claim payments expected to emerge after 20 years of development, partially offset by a reserve release of \$10 in 2005 for crop insurance,

An \$85 decrease in current accident year catastrophe losses. Catastrophe losses in 2005 included \$84 of losses for hurricanes Katrina and Rita, and

A \$36 increase in current accident year underwriting results before catastrophes, with a corresponding 9.0 point decrease in the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development, from 98.2 to 89.2.

The \$36 increase in current accident year underwriting results before catastrophes was primarily due \$18 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers recorded in 2005 and a decrease in current accident year non-catastrophe property loss costs, partially offset by an increase in the allocation to Specialty Commercial of premiums ceded under the Company s principal property catastrophe reinsurance program. An improvement in underwriting results for bond was offset by a decrease in underwriting results for professional liability. The expense ratio remained flat at 25.4% as the effect of an increase in expenses in casualty and a reduction in ceding commissions on professional liability business was largely offset by the fact that the 2005 ratio had been increased by the effect of \$18 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers in 2005 and the effect of a reduction in 2005 of anticipated profit commissions due from reinsurers as a result of the 2005 hurricanes.

Nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005

Underwriting results increased by \$143, with a corresponding 11.0 point decrease in the combined ratio to 96.2. The increase in underwriting results was principally driven by the following factors:

A \$94 decrease in current accident year catastrophe losses. Catastrophe losses in 2005 included \$84 of losses for hurricanes Katrina and Rita.

A \$70 strengthening in 2005 of workers compensation reserves for claim payments expected to emerge after 20 years of development,

A \$31 reduction in prior accident year loss reserves related to the 2005 hurricanes recorded in 2006, including a \$21 reduction in net losses related to hurricane Katrina, despite a \$24 increase in the gross loss estimate for hurricane Katrina. The decrease in net catastrophe loss reserves was primarily because hurricane Katrina losses on specialty property business were reimbursable under a specialty property reinsurance treaty covering national account business as well as under the Company s principal property catastrophe reinsurance program. Under the provisions of an inter-segment reinsurance arrangement, a portion of the recoveries from the Company s principal property catastrophe reinsurance program related to the reserve strengthening were allocated to Specialty Commercial.

A \$20 strengthening in 2005 of reserves for large deductible workers compensation policies related to accident years 1999 to 2001.

Partially offsetting the increase in underwriting results were the following factors:

A \$45 strengthening in 2006 of prior accident year reserves for construction defects claims on casualty business for accident years 1997 and prior,

A \$20 strengthening in 2006 of prior accident year allocated loss adjustment expense reserves on workers compensation policies for claim payments expected to emerge after 20 years of development, and

A \$17 decrease in current accident year underwriting results before catastrophes, with a 0.3 point increase in the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development, from 92.3 to 92.6.

The \$17 decrease in underwriting results before catastrophes and prior accident year development is primarily due an increase in the allocation to Specialty Commercial of premiums ceded under the Company s principal property catastrophe reinsurance program and a decrease in professional liability underwriting results, partially offset by an improvement in underwriting results for property. An increase in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio for directors and officer s insurance contributed to the decrease in current accident year underwriting results for professional liability. Current accident year underwriting results before catastrophes increased in property due primarily to \$26 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers recorded in 2005 and lower non-catastrophe property loss costs, partially offset by the effects of a decrease in earned premium. The 2.1 point increase in the expense ratio was primarily due to the decrease in property earned premium and a reduction in ceding commissions on professional liability business, partially offset by the fact that the 2005 ratio had been increased by the effect of \$18 of catastrophe treaty reinstatement premiums payable to reinsurers in 2005.

71

Table of Contents

Outlook

Specialty Commercial is comprised of businesses that provide specialized or customized products within niche markets and the Company will grow opportunistically where risks are adequately priced to achieve targeted returns. While written premium declined during the first nine months of 2006, management expects Specialty Commercial written premium to be flat to slightly higher in the last three months of 2006, resulting in a low double-digit decrease in full year 2006 written premium. Written premium for the last three months of 2006 is expected to increase in property and professional liability although in both lines of business, the expected growth could be lower if management determines that rates are inadequate. Written premium in casualty and other is expected to decrease in the fourth quarter.

Property written premium for the first nine months of 2006 was down 10% from the first nine months of 2005 as the effect of significant direct written pricing increases was more than offset by a decline in new business growth, lower written premium renewal retention and higher reinsurance costs. The level of property business written in the fourth quarter will largely depend on how much rates continue to increase to reflect higher reinsurance costs. During the first nine months of 2006, written pricing decreased in casualty and professional liability and increased in bond. In the third quarter of 2006, competition intensified for professional liability business, particularly for directors and officers insurance coverage. A lower frequency of class action cases in the past couple of years is beginning to put downward pressure on rates and this trend could reduce the growth rate of the Company's professional liability business going forward. Management expects a combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development for the full year 2006 and last three months of 2006 in the low 90 s. However, the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development may be higher than that if non-catastrophe property loss costs increase.

OTHER OPERATIONS (INCLUDING ASBESTOS AND ENVIRONMENTAL CLAIMS) Operating Summary

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change	
Written premiums Change in unearned premium reserve	\$	\$ 2	(100%)	\$ 2	\$ 4	(50%)	
Earned premiums Benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses Current year		2	(100%)	2	4	(50%)	
Prior year	58	51	14%	344	186	85%	
Total benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses	58	51	14%	344	186	85%	
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs Insurance operating costs		2	(100%)		(2)	100%	
and expenses	4	2	100%	7	11	(36%)	
Underwriting results Net investment income	\$(62) 60	\$(53) 70	(17%) (14%)	\$(349) 195	\$(191) 217	(83 %) (10%)	
Net realized capital gains	5	(2)	100%	7	26	(73%) 100%	
Other expenses Income tax (expense) benefit	3	(3)	200%	64	(6) (1)	100% NM	

Net income (loss) \$ 6 \$ 15 (60%) \$ (83) \$ 45 NM

The Other Operations segment includes operations that are under a single management structure, Heritage Holdings, which is responsible for two related activities. The first activity is the management of certain subsidiaries and operations of the Company that have discontinued writing new business. The second is the management of claims (and the associated reserves) related to asbestos, environmental and other exposures. The Other Operations book of business contains policies written from approximately the 1940s to 2003. The Company s experience has been that this book of runoff business has, over time, produced significantly higher claims and losses than were contemplated at inception.

Net Income (Loss)

Three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2005

Net income (loss) for the three months ended September 30, 2006 decreased \$9 compared to the prior year period, driven by the following:

A \$9 decrease in underwriting results, primarily due to a \$7 increase in prior year loss development. Reserve development in the three months ended September 30, 2006 included \$43 of environmental reserve strengthening as a result of the Company s annual environmental reserve evaluation. For the comparable three month period ended September 30, 2005, reserve development included \$37 of environmental reserve strengthening.

72

Table of Contents

Nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005

Net income (loss) for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 decreased \$128 compared to the prior year period, driven by the following:

A \$158 decrease in underwriting results, primarily due to a \$158 increase in prior year loss development. Reserve development in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 included a \$243 reduction in net reinsurance recoverables as a result of the agreement with Equitas and the Company s evaluation of the reinsurance recoverables and allowance for uncollectible reinsurance associated with older, long-term casualty liabilities reported in the Other Operations segment, \$43 of environmental reserve strengthening, and \$12 of reserve strengthening for assumed reinsurance. For the comparable nine month period ended September 30, 2005, reserve development included \$85 of reserve strengthening for assumed reinsurance, \$37 of environmental reserve strengthening, and a \$20 increase in the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance.

A \$22 decrease in net investment income, primarily as a result of a decrease in invested assets resulting from net claims and claim adjustment expenses paid. Other Operations net investment income includes income earned on the separate portfolios of Heritage Holdings, and its subsidiaries, and on the Hartford Fire invested asset portfolio, which is allocated between Ongoing Operations and Other Operations. The Company attributes capital and invested assets to each segment using an internally developed risk-based capital attribution methodology.

A \$19 decrease in net realized capital gains, principally due to lower sales of fixed maturity investments.

A change from an income tax expense of \$1 in 2005 to an income tax benefit of \$64 in 2006, as a result of a pre-tax loss in 2006.

Asbestos and Environmental Claims

The Company continues to receive asbestos and environmental claims. Asbestos claims relate primarily to bodily injuries asserted by people who came in contact with asbestos or products containing asbestos. Environmental claims relate primarily to pollution and related clean-up costs.

The Company wrote several different categories of insurance contracts that may cover asbestos and environmental claims. First, the Company wrote primary policies providing the first layer of coverage in an insured sliability program. Second, the Company wrote excess policies providing higher layers of coverage for losses that exhaust the limits of underlying coverage. Third, the Company acted as a reinsurer assuming a portion of those risks assumed by other insurers writing primary, excess and reinsurance coverages. Fourth, subsidiaries of the Company participated in the London Market, writing both direct insurance and assumed reinsurance business.

With regard to both environmental and particularly asbestos claims, significant uncertainty limits the ability of insurers and reinsurers to estimate the ultimate reserves necessary for unpaid losses and related expenses. Traditional actuarial reserving techniques cannot reasonably estimate the ultimate cost of these claims, particularly during periods where theories of law are in flux. The degree of variability of reserve estimates for these exposures is significantly greater than for other more traditional exposures. In particular, the Company believes there is a high degree of uncertainty inherent in the estimation of asbestos loss reserves.

In the case of the reserves for asbestos exposures, factors contributing to the high degree of uncertainty include inadequate loss development patterns, plaintiffs—expanding theories of liability, the risks inherent in major litigation, and inconsistent emerging legal doctrines. Furthermore, over time, insurers, including the Company, have experienced significant changes in the rate at which asbestos claims are brought, the claims experience of particular insureds, and the value of claims, making predictions of future exposure from past experience uncertain. For example, in the past few years, insurers in general, including the Company, have experienced an increase in the number of asbestos-related claims due to, among other things, plaintiffs—increased focus on new and previously peripheral defendants and an increase in the number of insureds seeking bankruptcy protection as a result of asbestos-related liabilities. Plaintiffs and insureds have sought to use bankruptcy proceedings, including—pre-packaged—bankruptcies, to accelerate and increase loss payments by insurers. In addition, some policyholders have asserted new classes of claims for coverages to which an aggregate limit of liability may not apply. Further uncertainties include insolvencies of other carriers and unanticipated developments pertaining to the Company—s ability to recover reinsurance for asbestos and environmental

claims. Management believes these issues are not likely to be resolved in the near future.

In the case of the reserves for environmental exposures, factors contributing to the high degree of uncertainty include expanding theories of liability and damages; the risks inherent in major litigation; inconsistent decisions concerning the existence and scope of coverage for environmental claims; and uncertainty as to the monetary amount being sought by the claimant from the insured.

It is also not possible to predict changes in the legal and legislative environment and their effect on the future development of asbestos and environmental claims. It is unknown whether potential Federal asbestos-related legislation will be enacted or what its effect would be on the Company s aggregate asbestos liabilities.

The reporting pattern for assumed reinsurance claims, including those related to asbestos and environmental claims, is much longer than for direct claims. In many instances, it takes months or years to determine that the policyholder s own obligations have been met and how the reinsurance in question may apply to such claims. The delay in reporting reinsurance claims and exposures adds to the uncertainty of estimating the related reserves.

Given the factors and emerging trends described above, the Company believes the actuarial tools and other techniques it employs to estimate the ultimate cost of claims for more traditional kinds of insurance exposure are less precise in estimating reserves for its

73

Table of Contents

asbestos and environmental exposures. For this reason, the Company relies on exposure-based analysis to estimate the ultimate costs of these claims and regularly evaluates new information in assessing its potential asbestos and environmental exposures.

Reserve Activity

Reserves and reserve activity in the Other Operations segment are categorized and reported as asbestos, environmental, or all other . The all other category of reserves covers a wide range of insurance and assumed reinsurance coverages, including, but not limited to, potential liability for construction defects, lead paint, silica, pharmaceutical products, molestation and other long-tail liabilities.

In addition, within the all other category of reserves, Other Operations records its allowance for future reinsurer insolvencies and disputes that might affect reinsurance collectibility associated with asbestos, environmental, and other claims recoverable from reinsurers.

The following table presents reserve activity, inclusive of estimates for both reported and incurred but not reported claims, net of reinsurance, for Other Operations, categorized by asbestos, environmental and all other claims, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Other Operations Claims and Claim Adjustment Expenses

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2006	Asbestos	Environmental	All Other [1] [5]	Total
Beginning liability net [2][3]	\$2,327	\$ 312	\$ 2,163	4,802
Claims and claim adjustment expenses incurred	5	43	10	58
Claims and claim adjustment expenses paid	(78)	(17)	(56)	(151)
Ending liability net [2][3]	\$2,254 [4]	338	2,117	4,709
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006	Asbestos	Environmental	All Other [1] [5]	Total
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2000	Assestos	Environmental	[1][3]	Total
Beginning liability net [2][3]	\$2,291	\$ 360	\$ 2,240	\$4,891
Claims and claim adjustment expenses incurred	272	60	12	344
Claims and claim adjustment expenses paid	(309)	(82)	(135)	(526)
Ending liability net [2][3]	\$2,254 [4]	338	2,117	4,709

[1] All Other includes unallocated loss adjustment expense reserves and the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance.

[2] Excludes
asbestos and
environmental

net liabilities

reported in

Ongoing

Operations of

\$9 and \$6,

respectively, as

of

September 30,

2006, \$8 and

\$7, respectively,

as of June 30,

2006, and \$10

and \$6,

respectively, as

of December 31,

2005. Total net

claim and claim

adjustment

expenses

incurred in

Ongoing

Operations for

the three and

nine months

ended

September 30,

2006 includes

\$5 and \$10,

respectively,

related to

asbestos and

environmental

claims. Total net

claim and claim

adjustment

expenses paid in

Ongoing

Operations for

the three and

nine months

ended

September 30,

2006 includes

\$5 and \$11,

respectively,

related to

asbestos and

environmental

claims.

[3]

Gross of reinsurance, asbestos and environmental reserves, including liabilities in Ongoing Operations, were \$3,400 and \$387, respectively, as of September 30, 2006, \$3,491 and \$378, respectively, as of June 30, 2006, and \$3,845 and

[4] The one year

\$432,

2005.

and average

respectively, as of December 31,

three year net

paid amounts

for asbestos

claims,

including

Ongoing

Operations, are

\$358 and \$588,

respectively,

resulting in a

one year net

survival ratio of

survivai raiio oj

6.3 and a three

year net

survival ratio of

3.8 (9.3

excluding the

MacArthur

payments). Net

survival ratio is

the quotient of

the net carried

reserves divided

by the average

annual payment amount and is an indication of the number of vears that the net carried reserve would last (i.e. survive) if the future annual claim payments were consistent with the calculated historical average.

[5] The Company

includes its

allowance for

uncollectible

reinsurance in

the All Other

category of

reserves. When

the Company

commutes a

ceded

reinsurance

contract or

settles a ceded

reinsurance

dispute, the

portion of the

allowance for

uncollectible

reinsurance

attributable to

that

commutation or

settlement, if

any, is

reclassified to

the appropriate

cause of loss.

In the second quarter of 2006, the Company entered into an agreement with Equitas and all Lloyd s syndicates reinsured by Equitas (collectively, Equitas) that resolved, with minor exception, all of the Company s ceded and assumed domestic reinsurance exposures with Equitas. In addition, the Company completed its annual evaluation of the reinsurance recoverables and allowance for uncollectible reinsurance associated with older, long-term casualty liabilities reported in the Other Operations segment. As a result of the settlement with Equitas and the reinsurance recoverable evaluation, the Company reduced its net reinsurance recoverable by \$243.

As discussed in Note 7 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company is engaged in pending litigation against certain of its upper-layer reinsurers under its Blanket Casualty Treaty (BCT), including Lloyd's syndicates reinsured by Equitas. The settlement entered into with Equitas in the second quarter of 2006 included all of the Company's reinsurance recoveries from Equitas under the BCT, which consist predominantly of asbestos and pollution losses, including the billing for the MacArthur settlement. The Company previously considered the risk of non-collection of a portion of these recoveries in its allowance for uncollectible reinsurance. The settlement terminated the pending litigation between the Company and Equitas. The litigation continues with the other upper-layer reinsurers under the BCT.

In conducting the evaluation of its reinsurance recoverables and allowance for uncollectible reinsurance, the Company used its most recent detailed evaluations of ceded liabilities reported in the segment, including its estimate of future claims, the reinsurance arrangements in place and the years of potential reinsurance available. The Company also analyzed the overall credit quality of the Company s reinsurers, recent trends in arbitration and litigation outcomes in disputes between cedants and reinsurers, and recent developments in commutation activity between reinsurers and cedants. The Company also considered the effect of the Equitas settlement on the collectibility of amounts due from other upper-layer reinsurers under the BCT. The allowance for uncollectible reinsurance reflects management s current estimate of reinsurance cessions that may be uncollectible in the future due to reinsurers

74

Table of Contents

unwillingness or inability to pay, and contemplates recoveries under ceded reinsurance contracts and settlements of disputes that could be different from the ceded liabilities. As of September 30, 2006, the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance for Other Operations totals \$330. The Company currently expects to perform its regular comprehensive review of Other Operations reinsurance recoverables at least annually. Uncertainties regarding the factors that affect the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance could cause the Company to change its estimates, and the effect of these changes could be material to the Company s consolidated results of operations or cash flows.

During the second quarter of 2006, the Company also completed its annual asbestos reserve evaluation. As part of this evaluation, the Company reviewed all of its open direct domestic insurance accounts exposed to asbestos liability as well as assumed reinsurance accounts and certain closed accounts. The Company also examined its London Market exposures for both direct insurance and assumed reinsurance. The evaluation resulted in no addition to the Company s asbestos reserves. The Company currently expects to continue to perform an evaluation of its asbestos liabilities annually.

During the third quarter of 2006, the Company completed its annual environmental reserve evaluation. As part of this evaluation, the Company reviewed all of its domestic direct and assumed reinsurance accounts exposed to environmental liability. The Company also examined its London Market exposures for both direct insurance and assumed reinsurance. The Company found estimates for individual cases changed based upon the particular circumstances of each account, although the review found no underlying cause or change in the claim environment. The net effect of these changes resulted in a \$43 increase in net environmental liabilities. The Company currently expects to continue to perform an evaluation of its environmental liabilities annually.

In reporting environmental results, the Company has divided its gross exposure into Direct, which is subdivided further as: Accounts with future exposure greater than \$2.5, Accounts with future exposure less than \$2.5, and Other direct; Assumed Reinsurance; and London Market. The unallocated amounts in the Other direct category include an estimate of the necessary reserves for environmental claims related to direct insureds who have not previously tendered environmental claims to the Company.

An account may move between categories from one evaluation to the next. For example, an account with future expected exposure of greater than \$2.5 in one evaluation may be reevaluated due to changing conditions and re-categorized as less than \$2.5 in a subsequent evaluation or vice versa.

The following table displays gross environmental reserves and other statistics by category as of September 30, 2006:

Gross Environmental Reserves

		As of S	September 30, 2006	
			% of	3 Year Gross
	Number			Survival
	of	Total	Environmental	Ratio
	Accounts			
	[1]	Reserves	Reserves	[3]
Accounts with future exposure > \$2.5	14	\$ 95	25%	
Accounts with future exposure < \$2.5	524	111	29%	
Other direct [2]		25	6%	
Total Direct	538	231	60%	3.3
Assumed Reinsurance		100	26%	3.2
London Market		56	14%	3.8
Total gross environmental reserves		\$ 387	100%	3.3

[1] Number of accounts established as of June 2006.

- [2] Includes unallocated IBNR.
- [3] The one year gross paid amount for total environmental claims is \$107, resulting in a one year gross survival ratio of 3.6.

A number of factors affect the variability of estimates for asbestos and environmental reserves including assumptions with respect to the frequency of claims, the average severity of those claims settled with payment, the dismissal rate of claims with no payment and the expense to indemnity ratio. The uncertainty with respect to the underlying reserve assumptions for asbestos and environmental adds a greater degree of variability to these reserve estimates than reserve estimates for more traditional exposures. While this variability is reflected in part in the size of the range of reserves developed by the Company, that range may still not be indicative of the potential variance between the ultimate outcome and the recorded reserves. The recorded net reserves as of September 30, 2006 of \$2.61 billion (\$2.26 billion and \$344 for asbestos and environmental, respectively) is within an estimated range, unadjusted for covariance, of \$2.07 billion to \$3.05 billion. The process of estimating asbestos and environmental reserves remains subject to a wide variety of uncertainties, which are detailed in the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Due to these uncertainties, further developments could cause the Company to change its estimates and ranges of its asbestos and environmental reserves, and the effect of these changes could be material to the Company s consolidated operating results, financial condition, and liquidity.

The Company classifies its asbestos and environmental reserves into three categories: direct insurance, assumed reinsurance and London Market. Direct insurance includes primary and excess coverage. Assumed reinsurance includes both treaty reinsurance (covering broad categories of claims or blocks of business) and facultative reinsurance (covering specific risks or individual policies of primary or excess insurance companies). London Market business includes the business written by one or more of the Company s subsidiaries in

75

Table of Contents

Net

the United Kingdom, which are no longer active in the insurance or reinsurance business. Such business includes both direct insurance and assumed reinsurance.

The following table sets forth, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, paid and incurred loss activity by the three categories of claims for asbestos and environmental.

Other Operations Paid and Incurred Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense (LAE) Development Asbestos and Environmental

	A	Asbestos [1]	Environmental [1]				
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2006	Paid Loss & LAE	Incurred Loss & LAE	Paid Loss & LAE	Incurred Loss & LAE			
Gross Direct Assumed Domestic London Market	\$ 23 60 11	\$ 3	\$ 11 13 2	\$ 57 (25) 3			
Total Ceded	94 (16)	3 2	26 (9)	35 8			
Net	\$ 78	\$ 5	\$ 17	\$ 43			
For the Nine Months Ended	Asbestos [1] Environmental [1]						
September 30, 2006	Paid Loss & LAE	Incurred Loss & LAE	Paid Loss & LAE	Incurred Loss & LAE			
Gross Direct Assumed Domestic London Market	\$ 221 180 50	\$ 3 4	\$ 23 49 7	\$ 57 (25) 3			
Total Ceded	451 (142)	7 265	79 3	35 25			

^[1] Excludes asbestos and environmental paid and incurred loss and LAE reported in Ongoing Operations. Total gross loss and LAE incurred in Ongoing Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 includes \$5 and \$9, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims. Total gross loss and LAE paid in Ongoing Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 includes \$5 and \$11, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims.

\$ 309

272

\$ 82

60

Of the three categories of claims (direct, assumed reinsurance and London Market), direct policies tend to have the greatest factual development from which to estimate the Company s exposures.

Assumed reinsurance exposures are inherently less predictable than direct insurance exposures because the Company may not receive notice of a reinsurance claim until the underlying direct insurance claim is mature. This causes a

delay in the receipt of information at the reinsurer level and adds to the uncertainty of estimating related reserves. London Market exposures are the most uncertain of the three categories of claims. As a participant in the London Market (comprised of both Lloyd s of London and London Market companies), certain subsidiaries of the Company wrote business on a subscription basis, with those subsidiaries involvement being limited to a relatively small percentage of a total contract placement. Claims are reported, via a broker, to the lead underwriter and, once agreed to, are presented to the following markets for concurrence. This reporting and claim agreement process makes estimating liabilities for this business the most uncertain of the three categories of claims.

The Company believes that its current asbestos and environmental reserves are reasonable and appropriate. However, analyses of future developments could cause the Company to change its estimates and ranges of its asbestos and environmental reserves, and the effect of these changes could be material to the Company s consolidated operating results, financial condition and liquidity. If there are significant developments that affect particular exposures, reinsurance arrangements or the financial condition of particular reinsurers, the Company will make adjustments to its reserves, or the portion of liabilities it expects to cede to reinsurers.

76

Table of Contents

The Company has been evaluating and closely monitoring assumed reinsurance reserves in Other Operations. With the transfer of certain assumed reinsurance business into Other Operations, the segment has exposure related to more recent assumed casualty reinsurance reserves, particularly for the underwriting years 1997 through 2001. Assumed reinsurance exposures are inherently less predictable than direct insurance exposures because the Company may not receive notice of a reinsurance claim until the underlying direct insurance claim is mature. This causes a delay in the receipt of information from the ceding companies. In recent years, the Company has seen an increase in reported losses above previous expectations and this increase in reported losses contributed to the reserve re-estimates. The Company completed an updated evaluation of its assumed reinsurance reserves in the second quarter of 2006. As a result, the Company increased its domestic assumed reinsurance reserves by \$12, primarily due to a reduction in amounts retroceded. In connection with the assumed reinsurance evaluation, the Company also recognized \$8 of profit sharing commission income based on favorable loss performance of certain retroceded contracts. The Company currently expects to perform a review of its assumed reinsurance liabilities at least annually, including in the fourth quarter of 2006.

Consistent with the Company s long-standing reserve practices, the Company will continue to review and monitor its reserves in the Other Operations segment regularly, and where future developments indicate, make appropriate adjustments to the reserves. For a discussion of the Company s reserving practices, see the Critical Accounting Estimates Property & Casualty Reserves, Net of Reinsurance and Other Operations (Including Asbestos and Environmental Claims) sections of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

INVESTMENTS

General

The Hartford s investment portfolios are primarily divided between Life and Property & Casualty. The investment portfolios of Life and Property & Casualty are managed by HIMCO, a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Hartford. HIMCO manages the portfolios to maximize economic value, while attempting to generate the income necessary to support the Company s various product obligations, within internally established objectives, guidelines and risk tolerances. For a further discussion of how HIMCO manages the investment portfolios, see the Investments section of the MD&A under the General section in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report. Also, for a further discussion of how the investment portfolio s credit and market risks are assessed and managed, see the Investment Credit Risk and Capital Markets Risk Management sections that follow.

Return on general account invested assets is an important element of The Hartford s financial results. Significant fluctuations in the fixed income or equity markets could weaken the Company s financial condition or its results of operations. Additionally, changes in market interest rates may impact the period of time over which certain investments, such as mortgage-backed securities (MBS), are repaid and whether certain investments are called by the issuers. Such changes may, in turn, impact the yield on these investments and also may result in re-investment of funds received from calls and prepayments at rates below the average portfolio yield. Net investment income and net realized capital gains and losses accounted for approximately 32% and 36% of the Company s consolidated revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, net investment income and net realized capital gains and losses accounted for approximately 20% and 28%, respectively, of the Company s consolidated revenues. The decrease in the percentage of consolidated revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to the prior year periods, is primarily due to lower net investment income on equity securities held for trading.

Fluctuations in interest rates affect the Company s return on, and the fair value of, fixed maturity investments, which comprised approximately 69% and 72% of the fair value of its invested assets as of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, respectively. Other events beyond the Company s control could also adversely impact the fair value of these investments. Specifically, a downgrade of an issuer s credit rating or default of payment by an issuer could reduce the Company s investment return.

A decrease in the fair value of any investment that is deemed other-than-temporary would result in the Company s recognition of a net realized capital loss in its financial results prior to the actual sale of the investment. For a further discussion of the evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments, see the Critical Accounting Estimates section of the

MD&A under Evaluation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments on Available-for-Sale Securities in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Life

The primary investment objective of Life s general account is to maximize economic value consistent with acceptable risk parameters, including the management of the interest rate sensitivity of invested assets, while generating sufficient after-tax income to meet policyholder and corporate obligations.

77

Table of Contents

The following table identifies Life s invested assets by type as of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005.

Composition	of Invested Assets	S		
	Septembe	er 30, 2006	December	r 31, 2005
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
fair value	\$51,869	60.3%	\$50,812	63.7%
fair value	771	0.9%	800	1.0%

Fixed maturities, available-for-sale, at fair value	\$51,869	60.3%	\$50,812	63.7%
Equity securities, available-for-sale, at fair value	771	0.9%	800	1.0%
Equity securities held for trading, at fair value	27,863	32.4%	24,034	30.1%
Policy loans, at outstanding balance	2,057	2.4%	2,016	2.5%
Mortgage loans, at amortized cost	2,451	2.9%	1,513	1.9%
Limited partnerships, at fair value	679	0.8%	431	0.6%
Other investments	241	0.3%	178	0.2%
Total investments	\$85,931	100.0%	\$79,784	100.0%

Fixed maturity investments increased approximately \$1.1 billion, or 2%, since December 31, 2005, primarily due to positive operating cash flows and product sales, partially offset by an increase in interest rates. Equity securities held for trading increased \$3.8 billion, or 16%, since December 31, 2005, due to positive cash flows primarily generated from sales and deposits related to variable annuity products sold in Japan as well as an increase in the value of the underlying investment funds supporting the Japanese variable annuity product. Mortgage loans and limited partnerships increased \$938, or 62%, and \$248, or 58%, respectively, since December 31, 2005, as a result of a decision to increase Life s investment in these asset classes primarily due to their attractive returns and diversification opportunities. The majority of the increase in Life s limited partnerships was driven by direct investments in hedge funds as well as hedge fund of funds investments. These hedge funds employ various investment strategies in both domestic and international markets.

Investment Results

The following table summarizes Life s investment results.

		nths Ended nber 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
(before-tax)	2006	2005	2006	2005	
Net Investment Income					
Net investment income excluding equity securities held					
for trading and policy loans	\$ 765	\$ 735	\$2,253	\$2,125	
Equity securities held for trading [1]	1,185	1,500	669	2,024	
Policy loan income	37	36	106	108	
Total net investment income	\$1,987	\$2,271	\$3,028	\$4,257	
Yield on average invested assets [2]	5.8%	5.8%	5.7%	5.7%	
Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)					
Gross gains on sale	\$ 61	\$ 89	\$ 150	\$ 310	
Gross losses on sale	(66)	(72)	(207)	(204)	
Impairments					
Credit related	(3)	(12)	(3)	(17)	
Other [3]	(14)	(4)	(66)	(5)	

Total impairments	(17)	(16)	(69)	(22)
Japanese fixed annuity contract hedges, net [4]	38	(32)	(20)	(36)
Periodic net coupon settlements on credit				
derivatives/Japan	(12)	(8)	(34)	(21)
GMWB derivatives, net	9	(1)	(26)	7
Other, net [5]	(2)	14	(59)	23
Net realized capital gains (losses), before-tax	\$ 11	\$ (26)	\$ (265)	\$ 57

- [1] Represents the change in value of equity securities held for trading.
- [2] Represents annualized net investment income (excluding equity securities held for trading) divided by the monthly weighted average invested assets primarily at cost or amortized cost, as applicable, excluding equity securities held for trading, collateral received associated with the securities lending program and reverse repurchase agreements as well as consolidated variable interest entity minority interests.
- [3] Primarily relates to fixed maturity impairments for which the Company was uncertain of its intent to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for a recovery to amortized cost. These impairments do not relate to security issuers for which the Company has current concerns regarding their ability to pay future interest and principal amounts based upon the securities contractual terms.
- [4] Relates to the Japanese fixed annuity product (product and related derivative hedging instruments excluding periodic net coupon settlements).
- [5] Primarily consists of changes in fair value on non-qualifying derivatives, changes in fair value of certain derivatives in fair value hedge relationships and hedge ineffectiveness on qualifying derivative instruments.

Table of Contents

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, net investment income, excluding equity securities held for trading and policy loans, increased \$30, or 4%, and \$128, or 6%, compared to the prior year periods. The increases in net investment income were primarily due to income earned on a higher average invested assets base partially offset by lower partnership income for the three months ended September 30, 2006. The increase in the average invested assets base, as compared to the prior year, was primarily due to positive operating cash flows, investment contract sales such as retail and institutional notes, and universal life-type product sales such as the individual fixed annuity products sold in Japan. The lower partnership income for the three months ended September 30, 2006 in comparison to the prior year period, was primarily driven by certain of the Company s partnerships writing down the values of their underlying investments in the current quarter of 2006 while in the prior year period certain partnerships reported higher market values resulting from the liquidation of their underlying investments. Included in these write-downs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, was a loss of \$3, before-tax, related to an indirect investment in a hedge fund that realized substantial losses on natural gas investments in September 2006.

Net investment income on equity securities held for trading for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, was primarily generated by an increase in the value of the underlying investment funds supporting the Japanese variable annuity product. For the three months ended September 30, 2006, this increase was partially offset by a decline in the value of the Yen in comparison to the U.S. dollar. Net investment income on equity securities held for trading for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, was primarily generated by positive performance of the underlying investment funds supporting the Japanese variable annuity product, partially offset by foreign currency depreciation in comparison to the U.S. dollar. The change in net investment income as compared to the prior year period is primarily due to the performance of the underlying funds as well as changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

For the three months ended September 30, 2006, the yield on average invested assets remained flat compared to the prior year period. An increase in the yield on fixed maturities due to higher rates on both variable and fixed rate securities was offset by lower partnership income.

During the three months ended September 30, 2006, the significant components of net realized capital gains and losses included other-than-temporary impairments, net gains associated with the Japanese fixed annuity contract hedges and net gains associated with the GMWB derivatives. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the significant components of net realized capital gains and losses included net losses on sales of fixed maturity securities, other-than-temporary impairments, losses associated with the Japanese fixed annuity contract hedges including the periodic net coupon settlements, losses associated with GMWB derivatives and losses in Other, net which primarily relate to changes in market value of non-qualifying derivatives due to changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The circumstances giving rise to these components are as follows:

The net losses on fixed maturity sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, were primarily the result of rising interest rates from the date of security purchase and, to a lesser extent, credit spread widening on certain issuers that were sold. For further discussion of gross gains and losses, see below.

See the Other-Than-Temporary Impairments section that follows for information on impairment losses.

The Japanese fixed annuity contract hedges, net amount consists of the foreign currency transaction remeasurements associated with the Yen denominated fixed annuity contracts offered in Japan and the corresponding offsetting cross currency swaps. Although the Japanese fixed annuity contracts are economically hedged, the net realized capital gains and losses result from the mixed attribute accounting model, which requires fixed annuity liabilities to be recorded at cost and remeasured only for foreign currency exchange rates but the associated derivatives to be reported at fair value. The net realized capital gains for the three months ended September 30, 2006, resulted primarily from a decline in Japanese interest rates and the net realized capital losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, resulted primarily from rising Japanese interest rates.

The periodic net coupon settlements on credit derivatives and the Japan fixed annuity cross currency swaps includes the net periodic income/expense or coupon associated with the swap contracts. The net loss for the three and nine

months ended September 30, 2006, is primarily associated with the Japan fixed annuity cross currency swaps and resulted from the interest rate differential between U.S. and Japanese interest rates.

The gains for the three months ended September 30, 2006, associated with the GMWB derivatives, were primarily driven by net changes in policyholder behavior assumptions made in the third quarter of 2006. The losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, associated with the GMWB derivatives were primarily driven by liability model refinements and assumption updates reflecting in-force demographics.

Gross gains on sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, were primarily within fixed maturities and were concentrated in U.S. government, corporate and foreign government securities. Certain sales were made to reposition the portfolio to a shorter duration due to the flatness of the yield curve and the lack of market compensation for longer duration assets. Also, certain sales were made as the Company continues to reposition the portfolio to higher quality fixed maturity investments and increase investments in mortgage loans and limited partnerships. The gains on sales were primarily the result of changes in interest rates from the date of security purchase.

Gross losses on sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, were primarily within fixed maturities and were concentrated in the corporate and commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) sectors with no single security sold at a loss in

79

Table of Contents

excess of \$4 and \$5, respectively, and an average loss as a percentage of the fixed maturity s amortized cost of less than 4% and 3%, respectively, which, under the Company s impairment policy was deemed to be depressed only to a minor extent.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, net realized capital losses and gains, respectively, were primarily comprised of net gains on sales of fixed maturity securities, losses associated with the Japanese fixed annuity contract hedges including the periodic net coupon settlements and other-than-temporary impairments. Gross gains on sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, were primarily within fixed maturities and included corporate and foreign government securities. In addition, gross gains on sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, included gains from sales of CMBS. Corporate securities were sold primarily to reduce the Company s exposure to certain lower credit quality issuers. The sale proceeds were primarily reinvested into higher credit quality securities. The gains on sales of corporate securities were primarily the result of credit spread tightening since the date of purchase. Foreign securities were sold primarily to reduce the foreign currency exposure in the portfolio due to the expected near term volatility in foreign exchange rates and to capture gains resulting from credit spread tightening since the date of purchase. The CMBS sales resulted from a decision to divest securities that were backed by a single asset due to the then scheduled expiration of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act at the end of 2005, which was subsequently extended, in modified form, through the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2005, through the end of 2007. Gains on these sales were realized as a result of an improved credit environment and interest rate declines from the date of security purchase.

Gross losses on sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, were primarily within the corporate sector. Gross losses on sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, included \$27 of losses on sales of securities related to a major automotive manufacturer, that primarily occurred during the second quarter. Sales related to actions taken to reduce issuer exposure in light of a downward adjustment in earnings and cash flow guidance primarily due to sluggish sales, rising employee and retiree benefit costs and an increased debt service interest burden, and to reposition the portfolio into higher quality securities. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, excluding sales related to the automotive manufacturer noted above, there was no single security sold at a loss in excess of \$3 and \$6, respectively, and the average loss as a percentage of the fixed maturity s amortized cost was less than 2%, which under the Company s impairment policy were deemed to be depressed only to a minor extent.

Property & Casualty

The primary investment objective for Property & Casualty s Ongoing Operations segment is to maximize economic value while generating after-tax income to meet policyholder and corporate obligations. For Property & Casualty s Other Operations segment, the investment objective is to ensure the full and timely payment of all liabilities. Property & Casualty s investment strategies are developed based on a variety of factors including business needs, regulatory requirements and tax considerations.

The following table identifies Property & Casualty s invested assets by type as of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005.

Composition	of Invested Assets	3			
	Septembe	r 30, 2006	December 31, 2005		
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale, at fair value	\$26,460	93.2%	\$25,330	94.3%	
Equity securities, available-for-sale, at fair value	783	2.8%	661	2.5%	
Mortgage loans, at amortized cost	291	1.0%	218	0.8%	
Limited partnerships, at fair value	416	1.5%	237	0.9%	
Other investments	427	1.5%	407	1.5%	
Total investments	\$28,377	100.0%	\$26,853	100.0%	

Fixed maturities increased \$1.1 billion, or 4%, since December 31, 2005, primarily due to positive operating cash flows offset in part by an increase in interest rates. Equity securities and limited partnerships have increased \$122, or 18%, and \$179, or 76%, respectively, since December 31, 2005, primarily due to their attractive returns and diversification opportunities. The majority of the increase in Property & Casualty s limited partnerships was driven by direct investments in hedge funds as well as hedge fund of funds investments. These hedge funds employ various investment strategies in both domestic and international markets.

80

Table of Contents

Investment Results

The table below summarizes Property & Casualty s investment results.

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,			
(before-tax)		2006		2005		2006	2005		
Net Investment Income									
Net investment income, before-tax	\$	359	\$	349	\$ 1	1,081	\$ 1	1,014	
Net investment income, after-tax [1]	\$	265	\$	260	\$	805	\$	752	
Yield on average invested assets, before-tax [2]		5.3%		5.6%		5.4%		5.5%	
Yield on average invested assets, after-tax [1] [2]		3.9%		4.2%		4.0%		4.1%	
Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)									
Gross gains on sale	\$	42	\$	34	\$	133	\$	136	
Gross losses on sale		(41)		(26)		(130)		(79)	
Impairments									
Credit related				(3)				(8)	
Other [3]		(4)		(1)		(41)		(1)	
Total impairments		(4)		(4)		(41)		(9)	
Periodic net coupon settlements on credit derivatives		1				2			
Other, net [4]		18		(2)		28		2	
Net realized capital gains (losses), before-tax	\$	16	\$	2	\$	(8)	\$	50	

- [1] Due to significant holdings in tax-exempt investments, after-tax net investment income and yield are also included.
- [2] Represents annualized net investment income divided by the monthly weighted average invested assets at cost or amortized cost, as applicable, excluding the collateral received associated with the securities lending program and reverse repurchase agreements.
- [3] Primarily relates to fixed maturity impairments for which the Company was uncertain of its intent to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for a recovery to amortized cost. These impairments do not relate to security issuers for which the Company has current concerns regarding their ability to pay future interest and principal amounts based upon the securities contractual terms.
- [4] Primarily consists of changes in fair value on non-qualifying derivatives, hedge ineffectiveness on qualifying derivative instruments and other investment gains.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, before-tax net investment income increased \$10, or 3%, and \$67, or 7%, and after-tax net investment income increased \$5, or 2%, and \$53, or 7%, compared to the prior year periods. The increases in net investment income were primarily due to income earned on a higher average invested assets base, partially offset by lower income on certain hedge fund and partnership investments. The increase in the average invested assets base, as compared to the prior year period, was primarily due to positive operating cash flows. The lower hedge fund and partnership income was primarily driven by certain of the Company s hedge funds and partnerships writing down the values of their underlying investments. Included in these write-downs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, was a loss of \$9, before-tax, related to indirect investments in a hedge fund that realized substantial losses on natural gas investments in September 2006.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, the yield on average invested assets decreased compared to the prior year period primarily as a result of lower hedge fund and partnership income.

For the three months ended September 30, 2006, the net realized capital gains were primarily due to Other, net gains slightly offset by other-than-temporary impairments. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, net realized capital losses were realized primarily due to other-than-temporary impairments partially offset by Other, net gains. The Other, net realized capital gains for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily relates to changes in the market value of non-qualifying derivatives due to changes in interest rates. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, net realized capital gains were primarily related to fixed maturity sales which were partially offset by other-than-temporary impairments. The lower net realized capital gains on fixed maturity sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 in comparison to the prior year periods were primarily due to the increase in interest rates. For further discussion of gross gains and losses, see below. For further discussion of other-than-temporary impairments, see the Other-Than-Temporary Impairments section that follows. Gross gains on sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, were primarily within fixed maturities and were concentrated in the corporate, foreign government and municipal sectors. Certain sales were made to reposition the portfolio to a shorter duration due to the flatness of the yield curve and the lack of market compensation for longer duration assets. Also, certain sales were made as the Company continues to reposition the portfolio to higher quality fixed maturity investments and increase investments in mortgage loans and limited partnerships. The gains on sales were primarily the result of changes in interest rates from the date of purchase.

Gross losses on sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, were primarily within fixed maturities and were concentrated in the corporate and CMBS sectors with no single security sold at a loss in excess of \$2 and \$4, respectively, and an

81

Table of Contents

average loss as a percentage of the fixed maturity s amortized cost of less than 4% and 3%, respectively, which, under the Company s impairment policy was deemed to be depressed only to a minor extent.

Gross gains on sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, were primarily within fixed maturities and were concentrated in the corporate and foreign government sectors and were the result of decisions to reposition the portfolio due to credit spread tightening in certain sectors and changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Certain lower quality corporate securities that had appreciated in value as a result of an improved corporate credit environment were sold to reposition the corporate holdings into higher quality securities. Foreign securities were sold to reduce the foreign currency exposure in the portfolio due to the expected near term volatility in foreign exchange rates. Also, certain foreign government securities appreciated in price recently and were sold to reposition the portfolio into higher credit quality securities.

Gross losses on sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, were primarily within corporate and foreign government securities. Included in the corporate gross losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, are losses on sales of securities related to a major automotive manufacturer of \$10 that primarily occurred during the second quarter. Sales related to actions taken to reduce issuer exposure in light of a downward adjustment in earnings and cash flow guidance primarily due to sluggish sales, rising employee and retiree benefit costs and an increased debt service interest burden, and to reposition the portfolio into higher quality securities. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, excluding sales related to the automotive manufacturer noted above, there was no single security sold at a loss in excess of \$1 and \$3, respectively, and the average loss as a percentage of the fixed maturity s amortized cost was less than 3%, which under the Company s impairment policy, was deemed to be depressed only to a minor extent.

Corporate

The investment objective of Corporate is primarily to raise capital through financing activities to support the Life and Property & Casualty operations of the Company and to maintain sufficient funds to support the cost of those financing activities including the payment of interest for The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. (HFSG) issued debt and dividends to shareholders of The Hartford common stock. As of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, Corporate held \$376 and \$298, respectively, of fixed maturity investments and \$40 and \$0, respectively, of equity securities.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

The following table identifies the Company s other-than-temporary impairments by type.

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,		
(before-tax)	200) 6	20	005	20	006	20	005
Asset-backed securities (ABS)	\$	3	\$	3	\$	3	\$	5
CMBS						2		
Corporate								
Basic industry		7		3		18		6
Capital goods						7		
Consumer cyclical		2				18		3
Consumer non-cyclical		2		5		9		5
Energy						5		
Technology and communications		7				27		2
Utilities						16		
Total Corporate Other securities		18		8		100		16 1
Equity				9		5		9

Total other-than-temporary impairments

\$ 21

\$ 20

\$ 110

\$ 31

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, other-than-temporary impairments were recorded on corporate fixed maturities, equity securities and ABS. The other-than-temporary impairments recorded on corporate fixed maturities and equity securities primarily related to securities that had declined in value and for which the Company was uncertain of its intent to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow recovery to cost or amortized cost. These impairments do not relate to security issuers for which the Company currently has concerns regarding the ability to pay future interest and principal amounts based upon the securities—contractual terms. Prior to the other-than-temporary impairments, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, these securities had an average market value as a percentage of amortized cost of 85%. The ABS other-than-temporary impairment related to one aircraft lease receivable security and was recorded based upon the requirements of Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 99-20, Recognition of Interest Income and Impairments on Purchased and Retained Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets—(EITF No. 99-20) due to a significant and continued decline in market price. However, the Company expects to recover principal and interest substantially greater than what the market price indicates. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, other-than-temporary impairments were recorded on certain corporate fixed maturities that had declined in value and for which the Company was uncertain of its intent and ability to retain the investment for

82

Table of Contents

a period of time sufficient to allow recovery to amortized cost. Included in the corporate fixed maturities impairment amount for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, was \$3 recorded on securities related to a major automotive manufacturer in the second quarter of 2005. Other-than-temporary impairments recorded on equity securities primarily related to variable rate perpetual preferred securities issued by one financial services company. These securities had sustained a decline in market value for an extended period of time as a result of issuer credit spread widening. Other-than-temporary impairments recorded on ABS primarily related to deterioration of the underlying collateral supporting the security. ABS impairments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, included \$2 recorded on aircraft lease receivables related to one major U.S. carrier. These receivables are secured by certain older aircraft that experienced a significant decline in value.

The increase in impairments during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to the respective prior year periods, is primarily due to an increase in interest rates from the date of security purchase as well as the decline in market value of certain issuers that may be adversely impacted by recapitalizations, pushing the Company s interests lower in the repayment priority (e.g., leveraged buy-outs) or issuers using capital that would not benefit the company s debt holders position (e.g., share repurchase programs). Future other-than-temporary impairment levels will depend primarily on economic fundamentals, political stability, issuer and/or collateral performance and future movements in interest rates. If interest rates increase during the fourth quarter of 2006 or credit spreads widen, other-than-temporary impairments for the full year of 2006 will most likely be higher than the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

For further discussion of risk factors associated with portfolio sectors with significant unrealized loss positions, see the risk factor commentary under the Consolidated Total Available-for-Sale Securities with Unrealized Loss Greater than Six Months by Type table in the Investment Credit Risk section that follows.

Variable Interest Entities

In September 2006, the Company invested \$3 in a newly established ABS collateralized debt obligation (CDO) for which HIMCO serves as collateral manager. The CDO issued approximately \$1.2 billion of senior and subordinated notes to third party investors and invested the proceeds in ABS and CMBS with an average credit quality of Aa3/A1. The notes are collateralized by the underlying securities held by the CDO. The Company s maximum exposure to loss is limited to its \$3 direct investment in the CDO. Investors in the CDO have recourse only to the CDO s assets and not to the general credit of the Company.

Pursuant to the requirements of Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 46 (revised), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51 (FIN 46R), the Company has concluded that the CDO is a variable interest entity (VIE). However, the Company is not the primary beneficiary and, accordingly, is not required to consolidate the VIE. The Company utilized qualitative and quantitative analyses to assess whether it was the primary beneficiary of the VIE.

INVESTMENT CREDIT RISK

The Company has established investment credit policies that focus on the credit quality of obligors and counterparties, limit credit concentrations, encourage diversification and require frequent creditworthiness reviews. Investment activity, including setting of policy and defining acceptable risk levels, is subject to regular review and approval by senior management and by The Hartford s Board of Directors.

The Company invests primarily in securities which are rated investment grade and has established exposure limits, diversification standards and review procedures for all credit risks including borrower, issuer and counterparty. Creditworthiness of specific obligors is determined by consideration of external determinants of creditworthiness, typically ratings assigned by nationally recognized ratings agencies and is supplemented by an internal credit evaluation. Obligor, asset sector and industry concentrations are subject to established Company limits and are monitored on a regular basis.

The Company is not exposed to any credit concentration risk of a single issuer greater than 10% of the Company s stockholders equity other than certain U.S. government and government agencies. For further discussion, see the Investment Credit Risk section of the MD&A in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report for a description of the Company s objectives, policies and strategies, including the use of derivative instruments.

Table of Contents

The following table identifies fixed maturity securities by type on a consolidated basis as of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005.

Consolidated Fixed Maturities by Type September 30, 2006 December 31, 2005 Percent						1, 2005	Percent			
	Amortize				of Total Fair	Amortize				of Total Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value	Value	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value	Value
ABS	\$ 7,627	\$ 59	\$ (66)	\$ 7,620	9.7%	\$ 7,907	\$ 60	\$ (89)	\$ 7,878	10.3%
CMBS	14,916	253	(142)	15,027	19.1%	12,930	234	(162)	13,002	17.0%
Collateralized mortgage			, ,					, ,		
obligations (CMOs)	1,285	18	(8)	1,295	1.6%	993	3	(6)	990	1.3%
Corporate	•		. ,	·				. ,		
Basic industry	2,681	78	(32)	2,727	3.5%	3,086	107	(49)	3,144	4.1%
Capital goods	2,470	105	(23)	2,552	3.2%	2,308	103	(28)	2,383	3.1%
Consumer cyclical	3,078	89	(44)	3,123	4.0%	2,910	91	(56)	2,945	3.8%
Consumer non-cyclical	3,345	91	(47)	3,389	4.3%	3,164	139	(37)	3,266	4.3%
Energy	1,657	77	(20)	1,714	2.2%	1,545	118	(12)	1,651	2.2%
Financial services	10,276	285	(94)	10,467	13.2%	9,413	350	(84)	9,679	12.7%
Technology and										
communications	4,139	195	(52)	4,282	5.4%	4,256	239	(58)	4,437	5.8%
Transportation	837	22	(12)	847	1.1%	850	33	(9)	874	1.1%
Utilities	4,280	183	(59)	4,404	5.6%	4,043	182	(44)	4,181	5.5%
Other	1,597	44	(23)	1,618	2.1%	1,444	33	(19)	1,458	1.9%
Government/Governmen	t									
agencies										
Foreign	1,077	64	(8)	1,133	1.4%	1,378	96	(7)	1,467	1.9%
United States	1,345	16	(9)	1,352	1.7%	877	27	(6)	898	1.2%
MBS	2,766	6	(55)	2,717	3.5%	3,914	7	(60)	3,861	5.0%
Municipal										
Taxable	1,261	27	(20)	1,268	1.6%	1,155	52	(8)	1,199	1.6%
Tax-exempt	10,577	513	(4)	11,086	14.1%	10,486	549	(16)	11,019	14.4%
Redeemable preferred										
stock	38	2		40	0.1%	44	1	3/4	45	0.1%
Short-term	2,044			2,044	2.6%	2,063	3/4	3/4	2,063	2.7%
Total fixed maturities	\$77,296	\$2,127	\$(718)	\$78,705	100.0%	\$74,766	\$2,424	\$(750)	\$76,440	100.0%

The Company s fixed maturity portfolio gross unrealized gains and losses as of September 30, 2006, in comparison to December 31, 2005, were primarily impacted by changes in interest rates, asset sales and other-than-temporary impairments. The Company s fixed maturity gross unrealized gains decreased \$297 from December 31, 2005 to September 30, 2006, primarily due to an increase in interest rates. The Company s fixed maturity gross unrealized losses decreased \$32 from December 31, 2005 to September 30, 2006, primarily due to other-than-temporary impairments offset in part by an increase in interest rates. Gross unrealized gains and losses as of September 30, 2006, were also reduced by securities sold in a gain or loss position, respectively.

For further discussion of risk factors associated with sectors with significant unrealized loss positions, see the sector risk factor commentary under the Consolidated Total Available-for-Sale Securities with Unrealized Loss Greater than

Six Months by Type table in this section of the MD&A.

Investment sector allocations as a percentage of total fixed maturities have not significantly changed since December 31, 2005, with the exception of CMBS and MBS. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company increased its allocation to CMBS due to the securities—attractive spread levels and underlying asset diversification and quality. The decrease in MBS, as of September 30, 2006, in comparison to December 31, 2005, is primarily related to an increase in dollar-roll activity. MBS dollar-roll transactions involve the sale and simultaneous agreement to repurchase a pool of underlying mortgage-backed securities at a future date. The forward purchase agreement is accounted for as a derivative until the repurchase of the MBS is settled and accordingly the dollar-rolled securities are not included in the Consolidated Fixed Maturities by Type table above.

As of September 30, 2006, 24% of the fixed maturities were invested in private placement securities, including 16% in Rule 144A offerings to qualified institutional buyers. Private placement securities are generally less liquid than public securities. Most of the private placement securities are rated by nationally recognized ratings agencies.

At the September 2006 Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) meeting, the Federal Reserve maintained the target federal funds rate at 5.25%, a 100 basis point increase from year-end 2005 levels. Recent indicators suggest that economic growth is moderating from

its quite strong pace earlier this year although readings on core inflation have been elevated in recent months. While the Federal Reserve continues to express concern over inflation, the chairman has indicated that inflation pressures seem likely to moderate over time, noting that energy prices have fallen and the lagged effects of monetary policy and other factors are restraining aggregate demand. The extent and timing of future rate increases or decreases will depend on forthcoming economic data related to inflation and economic

84

Table of Contents

growth. The risk of inflation could increase if energy and commodity prices rise, productivity growth slows, U.S. budget or trade deficits continue to rise or the U.S. dollar significantly depreciates in comparison to foreign currencies. Increases in future interest rates may result in lower fixed maturity valuations.

The following table identifies fixed maturities by credit quality on a consolidated basis, as of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005. The ratings referenced below are based on the ratings of a nationally recognized ratings organization or, if not rated, assigned based on the Company s internal analysis of such securities.

	Consolidated Fixed Maturities by Credit Quality September 30, 2006 December 31, 2005										
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Percent of Total Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Percent of Total Fair Value					
United States											
Government/Government											
agencies	\$ 5,799	\$ 5,782	7.3%	\$ 5,720	\$ 5,686	7.4%					
AAA	21,989	22,421	28.6%	19,414	19,837	26.0%					
AA	10,318	10,520	13.4%	9,901	10,143	13.3%					
A	17,527	18,059	22.9%	18,232	18,914	24.7%					
BBB	16,462	16,700	21.2%	16,560	16,892	22.1%					
BB & below	3,157	3,179	4.0%	2,876	2,905	3.8%					
Short-term	2,044	2,044	2.6%	2,063	2,063	2.7%					
Total fixed maturities	\$77,296	\$78,705	100.0%	\$74,766	\$76,440	100.0%					

As of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, 96% or greater of the fixed maturity portfolio was invested in short-term securities or securities rated investment grade (BBB and above). As of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, the Company held no issuer of a below investment grade (BIG) security with a fair value in excess of 3% and 4%, respectively, of the total fair value for BIG securities.

The following table presents the Company s unrealized loss aging for total fixed maturity and equity securities classified as available-for-sale on a consolidated basis, as of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, by length of time the security was in an unrealized loss position.

Consolidated Unrealized Loss Aging of Total Available-for-Sale Securities											
	Se	ptember 30, 2	2006	December 31, 2005							
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss					
Three months or less Greater than three months	\$ 5,234	\$ 5,186	\$ (48)	\$17,986	\$17,704	\$ (282)					
to six months Greater than six months to	3,614	3,560	(54)	5,143	5,013	(130)					
nine months Greater than nine months to	3,701	3,645	(56)	1,061	1,036	(25)					
twelve months Greater than twelve months	10,182 8,590	9,916 8,281	(266) (309)	3,001 5,053	2,907 4,826	(94) (227)					

Total \$31,321 \$30,588 \$ (733) \$32,244 \$31,486 \$ (758)

The decrease in the unrealized loss amount since December 31, 2005, is primarily the result of asset sales and other-than-temporary impairments recorded in 2006 offset in part by an increase in interest rates. For further discussion, see the economic commentary under the Consolidated Fixed Maturities by Type table in this section of the MD&A.

As a percentage of amortized cost, the average security unrealized loss at September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, was less than 3%. As of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, fixed maturities represented \$718, or 98%, and \$750, or 99%, respectively, of the Company s total unrealized loss associated with securities classified as available-for-sale. There were no fixed maturities as of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, with a fair value less than 80% of the security s amortized cost basis for six continuous months other than certain ABS subject to EITF No. 99-20. Other-than-temporary impairments for certain ABS and CMBS are recognized if the fair value of the security, as determined by external pricing sources, is less than its carrying amount and there has been a decrease in the present value of the expected cash flows since the last reporting period. There were no ABS or CMBS included in the table above, as of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, for which management s best estimate of future cash flows adversely changed during the reporting period for which an impairment has not been recorded. For further discussion of the other-than-temporary impairments criteria, see Evaluation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments on Available-for-Sale Securities included in the Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A and Other-Than-Temporary Impairments on Available-for-Sale Securities in Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, both of which are included in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report.

85

Table of Contents

The Company held no securities of a single issuer that were in an unrealized loss position in excess of 6% of the total unrealized loss amount as of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005. The largest single issuer in an unrealized loss position was a certain U.S. government agency that declined in value primarily due to rising interest rates. The total securities classified as available-for-sale in an unrealized loss position for greater than six months by type on a consolidated basis as of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, are presented in the following table.

Consolidated Total Available-for-Sale Securities with Unrealized Loss Greater Than Six Months by Type September 30, 2006 December 31, 2005

	Percent of Total											,	Percent of Total	
	Amortized Cost		Fair Value	Unrealized Loss		Unrealized Loss	Amortized Cost			Fair alue	Unrealized Loss		Unrealized Loss	
ABS														
Aircraft lease														
receivables	\$	129	\$ 94	\$	(35)	5.5%	\$	204	\$	152	\$	(52)	15.0%	
CDOs		126	122		(4)	0.6%		25		24		(1)	0.3%	
Credit card														
receivables		187	184		(3)	0.5%		162		160		(2)	0.6%	
Other ABS		890	876		(14)	2.2%		727		713		(14)	4.0%	
CMBS		5,699	5,572		(127)	20.1%	1	1,961	1	,902		(59)	17.1%	
Corporate														
Basic industry		993	965		(28)	4.4%		501		480		(21)	6.1%	
Capital goods		734	713		(21)	3.3%		169		160		(9)	2.6%	
Consumer cyclical		884	848		(36)	5.7%		459		434		(25)	7.2%	
Consumer														
non-cyclical		1,439	1,393		(46)	7.4%		418		401		(17)	4.9%	
Energy		501	485		(16)	2.5%		191		184		(7)	2.0%	
Financial services		2,977	2,899		(78)	12.4%	1	1,847	1	,796		(51)	14.7%	
Technology and														
communications		1,330	1,284		(46)	7.3%		481		458		(23)	6.7%	
Transportation		329	318		(11)	1.7%		40		39		(1)	0.3%	
Utilities		1,546	1,495		(51)	8.1%		246		235		(11)	3.2%	
Other		625	605		(20)	3.2%		193		182		(11)	3.2%	
MBS		2,084	2,031		(53)	8.4%		924		896		(28)	8.1%	
Municipal														
Taxable		345	330		(15)	2.4%		14		13		(1)	0.3%	
Tax-exempt		473	469		(4)	0.6%		13		13				
Other securities		1,182	1,159		(23)	3.7%		540		527		(13)	3.7%	
Total	\$2	22,473	\$21,842	\$	(631)	100.0%	\$9	9,115	\$8	,769	\$	(346)	100.0%	

The increase in total unrealized losses greater than six months since December 31, 2005, was primarily driven by an increase in interest rates offset in part by asset sales and other-than-temporary impairments. With the exception of certain ABS security types, the majority of the securities in an unrealized loss position for six months or more as of September 30, 2006, were depressed primarily due to interest rate changes from the date of purchase. The sectors with the most significant concentration of unrealized losses were CMBS and corporate fixed maturities most significantly within the financial services sector. Also, ABS supported by aircraft lease receivables, although improving, continues

to be a sector within the Company s portfolios that contains the most significant concentration of credit risk. The Company s current view of risk factors relative to these fixed maturity types is as follows:

CMBS As of September 30, 2006, the Company held approximately 700 different securities that were in an unrealized loss position for greater than six months. The unrealized loss was primarily the result of an increase in interest rates from the security s purchase date. Substantially all of these securities are investment grade securities priced at, or greater than, 90% of amortized cost as of September 30, 2006. Additional changes in fair value of these securities are primarily dependent on future changes in interest rates.

Financial services As of September 30, 2006, the Company held approximately 250 different securities in the financial services sector that were in an unrealized loss position for greater than six months. Substantially all of these securities are investment grade securities priced at, or greater than, 90% of amortized cost as of September 30, 2006. These positions are a mixture of fixed and variable rate securities with extended maturity dates, which have been adversely impacted by changes in interest rates after the purchase date. Additional changes in fair value of these securities are primarily dependent on future changes in interest rates.

Aircraft lease receivables The Company s holdings are asset-backed securities secured by leases to airlines primarily outside of the United States. Based on the current and expected future collateral values of the underlying aircraft, a recent improvement in lease rates and an overall increase in worldwide travel, the Company expects to recover the full amortized cost of these investments. However, future price recovery will depend on continued improvement in economic fundamentals, political stability, airline operating performance and collateral value.

86

Table of Contents

As part of the Company s ongoing security monitoring process by a committee of investment and accounting professionals, the Company has reviewed its investment portfolio and concluded that there were no additional other-than-temporary impairments as of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005. Due to the issuers continued satisfaction of the securities obligations in accordance with their contractual terms and the expectation that they will continue to do so, management s intent and ability to hold these securities as well as the evaluation of the fundamentals of the issuers financial condition and other objective evidence, the Company believes that the prices of the securities in the sectors identified above were temporarily depressed.

The evaluation for other-than-temporary impairments is a quantitative and qualitative process, which is subject to risks and uncertainties in the determination of whether declines in the fair value of investments are other-than-temporary. The risks and uncertainties include changes in general economic conditions, the issuer s financial condition or near term recovery prospects and the effects of changes in interest rates. In addition, for securitized financial assets with contractual cash flows (e.g., ABS and CMBS), projections of expected future cash flows may change based upon new information regarding the performance of the underlying collateral. As of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, management—s expectation of the discounted future cash flows on these securities was in excess of the associated securities—amortized cost. For further discussion, see Evaluation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments on Available-for-Sale Securities—included in the Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A and—Other-Than-Temporary Impairments on Available-for-Sale Securities—in Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements both of which are included in The Hartford—s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report. The following table presents the Company—s unrealized loss aging for BIG and equity securities classified as available-for-sale on a consolidated basis, as of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005.

Consolidated Unrealized Loss Aging of Available-for-Sale BIG and Equity Securities													
	September 30, 2006						December 31, 2005						
Three months or less	Amortized Cost		Fair Value		Unrealized Loss		Amortized Cost		Fair Value		Unrealized Loss		
	\$	443	\$	432	\$	(11)	\$	686	\$	657	\$	(29)	
Greater than three months to													
six months		361		344		(17)		252		242		(10)	
Greater than six months to													
nine months		163		158		(5)		170		165		(5)	
Greater than nine months to													
twelve months		264		254		(10)		89		85		(4)	
Greater than twelve months		477		429		(48)		353		309		(44)	
Total	\$ 1	1,708	\$ 1	,617	\$	(91)	\$ 1	1,550	\$ 1	1,458	\$	(92)	

The slight decrease in the BIG and equity security unrealized loss amount for securities classified as available-for-sale from December 31, 2005, to September 30, 2006, was primarily the result of asset sales and other-than-temporary impairments primarily offset by an increase in interest rates. For further discussion, see the economic commentary under the Consolidated Fixed Maturities by Type table in this section of the MD&A.

CAPITAL MARKETS RISK MANAGEMENT

The Hartford has a disciplined approach to managing risks associated with its capital markets and asset/liability management activities. Investment portfolio management is organized to focus investment management expertise on the specific classes of investments, while asset/liability management is the responsibility of a dedicated risk management unit supporting the Life and Property & Casualty operations. Derivative instruments are utilized in compliance with established Company policy and regulatory requirements and are monitored internally and reviewed by senior management.

Market Risk

The Hartford is exposed to market risk, primarily relating to the market price and/or cash flow variability associated with changes in interest rates, market indices or foreign currency exchange rates. The Company analyzes interest rate risk using various models including parametric models that forecast cash flows of the liabilities and the supporting investments, including derivative instruments under various market scenarios. For further discussion of market risk see the Capital Markets Risk Management section of MD&A in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report. There have been no material changes in market risk exposures from December 31, 2005.

Derivative Instruments

The Hartford utilizes a variety of derivative instruments, including swaps, caps, floors, forwards, futures and options, in compliance with Company policy and regulatory requirements, designed to achieve one of four Company approved objectives: to hedge risk arising from interest rate, equity market, price or foreign currency rate risk or volatility; to manage liquidity; to control transaction costs; or to enter into replication transactions. The Company does not make a market or trade in these instruments for the express purpose of earning short-term trading profits. For further discussion on The Hartford s use of derivative instruments, refer to Note 4 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

87

Table of Contents

Interest Rate Risk

The Hartford s exposure to interest rate risk relates to the market price and/or cash flow variability associated with changes in market interest rates. The Company manages its exposure to interest rate risk through asset allocation limits, asset/liability duration matching and through the use of derivatives. For further discussion of interest rate risk, see the Interest Rate Risk discussion within the Capital Markets Risk Management section of the MD&A in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Life s Equity Risk

flow or liquidity position.

The Company s operations are significantly influenced by changes in the equity markets, primarily in the U.S., but increasingly in Japan and other global markets. The Company s profitability in its investment products businesses depends largely on the amount of assets under management, which is primarily driven by the level of sales, equity market appreciation and depreciation and the persistency of the in-force block of business. Prolonged and precipitous declines in the equity markets can have a significant effect on the Company s operations, as sales of variable products may decline and surrender activity may increase, as customer sentiment towards the equity market turns negative. Lower assets under management will have a negative effect on the Company s financial results, primarily due to lower fee income related to the Retail, Retirement Plans, Institutional and International and, to a lesser extent, the Individual Life segment, where a heavy concentration of equity linked products are administered and sold. Furthermore, the Company may experience a reduction in profit margins if a significant portion of the assets held in the U.S. variable annuity separate accounts move to the general account and the Company is unable to earn an acceptable investment spread, particularly in light of the low to moderate interest rate environment and the presence of contractually guaranteed minimum interest credited rates, which for the most part are at a 3% rate. In addition, prolonged declines in one or more equity markets may also decrease the Company s expectations of future gross profits in one or more product lines, which are utilized to determine the amount of DAC to be amortized in reporting product profitability in a given financial statement period. A significant decrease in the Company s future estimated gross profits would require the Company to accelerate the amount of DAC amortization in a given period, which could potentially cause a material adverse deviation in that period s net income. Although an acceleration of

DAC amortization would have a negative effect on the Company s earnings, it would not affect the Company s cash

The Company sells variable annuity contracts that offer one or more benefit guarantees, the value of which generally increases with declines in equity markets. As is described in more detail below, the Company manages the equity market risks embedded in these guarantees through reinsurance, product design and hedging programs. The Company believes its ability to manage equity market risks by these means gives it a competitive advantage; and, in particular, its ability to create innovative product designs that allow the Company to meet identified customer needs while generating manageable amounts of equity market risk. The Company s relative sales and variable annuity market share in the U.S. have generally increased during periods when it has recently introduced new products to the market. In contrast, the Company s relative sales and market share have generally decreased when competitors introduce products that cause an issuer to assume larger amounts of equity and other market risk than the Company is confident it can prudently manage. The Company believes its long-term success in the variable annuity market will continue to be aided by successful innovation that allows the Company to offer attractive product features in tandem with prudent equity market risk management. In the absence of this innovation, the Company s market share in one or more of its markets could decline. Recently, the Company has experienced lower levels of U.S. variable annuity sales as competitors continue to introduce new equity guarantees of increasing risk and complexity. New product development is an ongoing process that the Company expects to use to combat competitive sales pressure. Depending on the degree of consumer receptivity and competitor reaction to continuing changes in the Company s product offerings, the Company s future level of sales will continue to be subject to a high level of uncertainty.

The accounting for various benefit guarantees offered with variable annuity contracts can be significantly different. Those accounted for under SFAS No. 133 (such as GMWBs) are subject to significant fluctuation in value, which is reflected in net income, due to changes in interest rates, equity markets and equity market volatility as use of those capital market rates are required in determining the liability s fair value at each reporting date. Benefit guarantee liabilities accounted for under SOP 03-1 (such as GMIBs and GMDBs) may also change in value; however, absent an

unlocking event, the change in value is not immediately reflected in net income. Under SOP 03-1, the income statement reflects the current period increase in the liability due to the deferral of a percentage of current period revenues. The percentage is determined by dividing the present value of claims by the present value of revenues using best estimate assumptions over a range of market scenarios. Current period revenues are impacted by actual increases or decreases in account value. Claims recorded against the liability have no immediate impact on the income statement unless those claims exceed the liability. As a result of these significant accounting differences the liability for guarantees recorded under SOP 03-1 may be significantly different if it was recorded under SFAS No. 133 and vice versa. In addition, the conditions in the capital markets in Japan vs. those in the U.S. are sufficiently different that if the Company s GMWB product currently offered in the U.S. were offered in Japan, the capital market conditions in Japan would have a significant impact on the valuation of the GMWB, irrespective of the accounting model. The same would hold true if the Company s GMIB product currently offered in Japan were to be offered in the U.S. Capital market conditions in the U.S. would have a significant impact on the valuation of the GMIB. Many benefit guarantees meet the definition of an embedded derivative, under SFAS No. 133 (GMWB), and as such are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in net income. However, certain contract features that define how the contract holder can access the value of the guaranteed benefit change the

88

Table of Contents

accounting from SFAS No. 133 to SOP 03-1. For contracts where the contract holder can only obtain the value of the guaranteed benefit upon the occurrence of an insurable event such as death (GMDB) or by making a significant initial net investment (GMIB), such as when one invests in an annuity, the accounting for the benefit is prescribed by SOP 03-1.

In the U.S., the Company sells variable annuity contracts that offer various guaranteed death benefits. The Company maintains a liability, under SOP 03-1, for the death benefit costs of \$166, as of September 30, 2006. Declines in the equity market may increase the Company s net exposure to death benefits under these contracts. The majority of the contracts with the guaranteed death benefit feature are sold by the Retail Products Group segment. For certain guaranteed death benefits, Hartford Life pays the greater of (1) the account value at death, (2) the sum of all premium payments less prior withdrawals; or (3) the maximum anniversary value of the contract, plus any premium payments since the contract anniversary, minus any withdrawals following the contract anniversary. For certain guaranteed death benefits sold with variable annuity contracts beginning in June 2003, the Company pays the greater of (1) the account value at death; or (2) the maximum anniversary value; not to exceed the account value plus the greater of (a) 25% of premium payments, or (b) 25% of the maximum anniversary value of the contract. The Company currently reinsures a significant portion of these death benefit guarantees associated with its in-force block of business. Under certain of these reinsurance agreements, the reinsurers exposure is subject to an annual cap.

The Company s total gross exposure (i.e. before reinsurance) to these guaranteed death benefits as of September 30, 2006 is \$5.6 billion. Due to the fact that 82% of this amount is reinsured, the Company s net exposure is \$1 billion. This amount is often referred to as the retained net amount at risk. However, the Company will incur these guaranteed death benefit payments in the future only if the policyholder has an in-the-money guaranteed death benefit at their time of death.

In Japan, the Company offers certain variable annuity products with both a guaranteed death benefit and a guaranteed income benefit. The Company maintains a liability for these death and income benefits, under SOP 03-1, of \$73 as of September 30, 2006. Declines in equity markets as well as a strengthening of the Japanese Yen in comparison to the U.S. dollar may increase the Company s exposure to these guaranteed benefits. This increased exposure may be significant in extreme market scenarios. For the guaranteed death benefits, the Company pays the greater of account value at death or a guaranteed death benefit which, depending on the contract, may be based upon the premium paid and/or the maximum anniversary value established no later than age 80, as adjusted for withdrawals under the terms of the contract. The guaranteed income benefit guarantees to return the contract holder s initial investment, adjusted for any earnings or liquidity withdrawals, through periodic payments that commence at the end of a minimum deferral period of 10, 15 or 20 years as elected by the contract holder.

Effective April 1, 2006, the Company entered into an indemnity reinsurance agreement with an unrelated party. Under this agreement, the reinsurer will reimburse the Company for death benefit claims, up to an annual cap, incurred for certain death benefit guarantees associated with an in-force block of variable annuity products offered in Japan with an account value of \$2.5 billion as of September 30, 2006.

The Company s net amount at risk related to the guaranteed death and income benefits offered in Japan, before and after reinsurance, was \$113 and \$53, respectively, as of September 30, 2006. The Company will incur these guaranteed death or income benefits in the future only if the contract holder has an in-the-money guaranteed benefit at either the time of their death or if the account value is insufficient to fund the guaranteed living benefits.

The majority of the Company s recent U.S. variable annuities are sold with a GMWB living benefit rider, which, as described above, is accounted for under SFAS No. 133. Declines in the equity market may increase the Company s exposure to benefits under the GMWB contracts. For all contracts in effect through July 6, 2003, the Company entered into a reinsurance arrangement to offset its exposure to the GMWB for the remaining lives of those contracts. Substantially all of the Company s reinsurance capacity was utilized as of the third quarter of 2003. The remaining capacity was exhausted during the first quarter of 2004. Substantially all U.S. GMWB riders sold since July 2003, are not covered by reinsurance. These unreinsured contracts generate volatility in net income each quarter as the underlying embedded derivative liabilities are recorded at fair value each reporting period, resulting in the recognition of net realized capital gains or losses in response to changes in certain critical factors including capital market

conditions and policyholder behavior. In order to minimize the volatility associated with the unreinsured GMWB liabilities, the Company established an alternative risk management strategy. During the third quarter of 2003, the Company began hedging its unreinsured GMWB exposure using interest rate futures, and swaps Standard and Poor s (S&P) 500 and NASDAQ index put options and futures contracts. During the first quarter of 2004, the Company entered into Europe, Australasia and Far East (EAFE) Index swaps to hedge GMWB exposure to international equity markets. The hedging program involves a detailed monitoring of policyholder behavior and capital markets conditions on a daily basis and rebalancing of the hedge position as needed. While the Company actively manages this hedge position, hedge ineffectiveness may result due to factors including, but not limited to, policyholder behavior, capital markets dislocation or discontinuity and divergence between the performance of the underlying funds and the hedging indices.

The net effect of the change in value of the embedded derivative net of the results of the hedging program for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was a \$9 gain and a \$26 loss, before deferred policy acquisition costs and tax effects (included in these amounts were liability model refinements and changes in policyholder behavior assumptions made by the Company during the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2006, of a net \$14 and (\$4), respectively). For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, the gain (loss) was \$(1) and \$7, respectively, before deferred policy acquisition costs and tax effects. As of September 30, 2006,

89

Table of Contents

the notional and fair value related to the embedded derivatives, the hedging strategy and reinsurance was \$51.1 billion and \$331, respectively. As of December 31, 2005, the notional and fair value related to the embedded derivatives, the hedging strategy, and reinsurance was \$45.5 billion and \$166, respectively.

The Company employs additional strategies to manage equity market risk in addition to the derivative and reinsurance strategy described above that economically hedges the fair value of the U.S. GMWB rider. Notably, the Company purchases one and two year S&P 500 Index put option contracts to economically hedge certain other liabilities that could increase if the equity markets decline. As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the notional value related to this strategy was \$1.2 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively, while the fair value related to this strategy was \$3 and \$14, respectively. Because this strategy is intended to partially hedge certain equity-market sensitive liabilities calculated under statutory accounting (see Capital Resources and Liquidity), changes in the value of the put options may not be closely aligned to changes in liabilities determined in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), causing volatility in GAAP net income.

The Company continually seeks to improve its equity risk management strategies. The Company has made considerable investment in analyzing current and potential future market risk exposures arising from a number of factors, including but not limited to, product guarantees (GMDB, GMWB and GMIB), equity market and interest rate risks (in both the U.S. and Japan) and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company evaluates these risks individually and, increasingly, in the aggregate to determine the risk profiles of all of its products and to judge their potential impacts on GAAP net income, statutory capital volatility and other metrics. Utilizing this and future analysis, the Company expects to evolve its risk management strategies over time, modifying its reinsurance, hedging and product design strategies to optimally mitigate its aggregate exposures to market-driven changes in GAAP equity, statutory capital and other economic metrics. Because these strategies could target an optimal reduction of a combination of exposures rather than targeting a single one, it is possible that volatility of GAAP net income would increase, particularly if the Company places an increased relative weight on protection of statutory surplus in future strategies.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

Capital resources and liquidity represent the overall financial strength of The Hartford and its ability to generate strong cash flows from each of the business segments, borrow funds at competitive rates and raise new capital to meet operating and growth needs.

Liquidity Requirements

The liquidity requirements of The Hartford have been and will continue to be met by funds from operations as well as the issuance of commercial paper, common stock, debt or other capital securities and borrowings from its credit facilities. Current and expected patterns of claim frequency and severity may change from period to period but continue to be within historical norms and, therefore, the Company's current liquidity position is considered to be sufficient to meet anticipated demands. However, if an unanticipated demand was placed on the Company it is likely that the Company would either sell certain of its investments to fund claims which could result in additional realized capital gains and losses or the Company would enter the capital markets to raise further funds to provide the requisite liquidity. For a discussion and tabular presentation of the Company's current contractual obligations by period, including those related to its Life and Property & Casualty insurance, refer to Capital Resources & Liquidity Off-Balance Sheet and Aggregate Contractual Obligations's section of the MD&A included in The Hartford's 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report.

The Hartford endeavors to maintain a capital structure that provides financial and operational flexibility to its insurance subsidiaries, ratings that support its competitive position in the financial services marketplace (see the Ratings section below for further discussion), and strong shareholder returns. As a result, the Company may from time to time raise capital from the issuance of stock, debt or other capital securities. The issuance of common stock, debt or other capital securities could result in the dilution of shareholder interests or reduced net income due to additional interest expense.

The Company s Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of outstanding shares of its common stock and equity units from time to time, in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$1 billion. On October 19, 2006, the Company announced that it intends to repurchase up to \$300 of its common stock over the next two to six months, under such

share repurchase program.

HFSG and HLI are holding companies which rely upon operating cash flow in the form of dividends from their subsidiaries, which enable them to service debt, pay dividends, and pay certain business expenses. Dividends to HFSG and HLI from their insurance subsidiaries are restricted. The payment of dividends by Connecticut-domiciled insurers is limited under the insurance holding company laws of Connecticut. These laws require notice to and approval by the state insurance commissioner for the declaration or payment of any dividend, which, together with other dividends or distributions made within the preceding twelve months, exceeds the greater of (i) 10% of the insurer s policyholder surplus as of December 31 of the preceding year or (ii) net income (or net gain from operations, if such company is a life insurance company) for the twelve-month period ending on the thirty-first day of December last preceding, in each case determined under statutory insurance accounting principles. In addition, if any dividend of a Connecticut-domiciled insurer exceeds the insurer s earned surplus, it requires the prior approval of the Connecticut Insurance Commissioner. The insurance holding company laws of the other jurisdictions in which The Hartford s insurance subsidiaries are incorporated (or deemed commercially domiciled) generally contain similar (although in certain instances somewhat more restrictive) limitations on the payment of dividends. The Company s insurance subsidiaries are permitted to pay up to a maximum of approximately \$1.9 billion in dividends to HFSG and HLI in 2006 without prior approval from the applicable insurance commissioner. Through October 20, 2006, HFSG and HLI received a combined total of \$600 from their insurance subsidiaries.

90

Table of Contents

The principal sources of operating funds are premiums and investment income, while investing cash flows originate from maturities and sales of invested assets. The primary uses of funds are to pay claims, policy benefits, operating expenses and commissions and to purchase new investments. In addition, The Hartford has a policy of carrying a significant short-term investment position and does not anticipate selling intermediate- and long-term fixed maturity investments to meet liquidity needs. For a discussion of the Company s investment objectives and strategies, see the Investments and Capital Markets Risk Management sections above.

Sources of Capital

Shelf Registrations

On December 3, 2003, The Hartford's shelf registration statement (Registration No. 333-108067) for the potential offering and sale of debt and equity securities in an aggregate amount of up to \$3.0 billion was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Registration Statement allows for the following types of securities to be offered: (i) debt securities, preferred stock, common stock, depositary shares, warrants, stock purchase contracts, stock purchase units and junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures of the Company, and (ii) preferred securities of any of one or more capital trusts organized by The Hartford (The Hartford Trusts). The Company may enter into guarantees with respect to the preferred securities of any of The Hartford Trusts. As of October 20, 2006, the Company had \$1.4 billion remaining on its shelf.

On May 15, 2001, HLI filed with the SEC a shelf registration statement for the potential offering and sale of up to \$1.0 billion in debt and preferred securities. The registration statement was declared effective on May 29, 2001. As of October 20, 2006, HLI had \$1.0 billion remaining on its shelf.

Commercial Paper and Revolving Credit Facilities

The table below details the Company s short-term debt programs and the applicable balances outstanding.

	Maximum Available As of Outstanding As of					ding As of	
Description	Effective Date	Expiration Date	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005	September 30, 2006	_	Change
Commercial Paper The Hartford HLI	11/10/86 2/7/97	N/A N/A	\$ 2,000 250	\$ 2,000 250	\$ 495	\$ 471	5%
Total commercial paper Revolving Credit Facility			2,250	2,250	495	471	5%
5-year revolving credit facility	9/7/05	9/7/10	1,600	1,600			
Total revolving credit facility			1,600	1,600			
Total Outstanding Cor Revolving Credit Facility	nmercial Paj	per and	\$ 3,850	\$ 3,850	\$ 495	\$ 471	5%

The revolving credit facility provides for up to \$1.6 billion of unsecured credit. Of the total availability under the revolving credit facility, up to \$250 is available to support borrowing by HLI alone, and up to \$100 is available to support letters of credit issued on behalf of The Hartford, HLI or other subsidiaries of The Hartford. Under the

revolving credit facility, the Company must maintain a minimum level of consolidated statutory surplus. In addition, the Company must not exceed a maximum ratio of debt to capitalization. Quarterly, the Company certifies compliance with the financial covenants for the syndicate of participating financial institutions. As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company was in compliance with all such covenants.

As of September 30, 2006, the Company s Japanese operation has a ¥5 billion, approximately \$42, line of credit with a Japanese bank with no outstanding borrowings under this facility.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations

There have been no material changes to the Company s off-balance sheet arrangements and aggregate contractual obligations since the filing of the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits

While the Company has significant discretion in making voluntary contributions to the U. S. qualified defined benefit pension plan (the Plan), the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 regulations mandate minimum contributions in certain circumstances. In 2006, the Company, at its discretion, contributed \$200 to the Plan. For 2006, the Company does not have a required minimum funding contribution for the Plan and the funding requirements for all of the pension plans is expected to be immaterial.

91

Table of Contents

Capitalization

The capital structure of The Hartford as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 consisted of debt and equity, summarized as follows

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005	Change
Short-term debt (includes current maturities of long-term debt) Long-term debt	\$ 995 3,081	\$ 719 4,048	38% (24%)
Total debt [1]	\$ 4,076	\$ 4,767	(14%)
Equity excluding accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax (AOCI) AOCI	\$ 17,669 64	\$ 15,235 90	16% (29%)
Total stockholders equity	\$ 17,733	\$ 15,325	16%
Total capitalization including AOCI	\$ 21,809	\$ 20,092	9%
Debt to equity Debt to capitalization	23% 19%	31% 24%	

^[1] Includes junior subordinated debentures of \$488 and \$691 and debt associated with equity units of \$330 and \$1,020 as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

The Hartford s total capitalization as of September 30, 2006 increased by \$1.7 billion as compared with December 31, 2005. This increase was primarily due to net income of \$2.0 billion offset by stockholder dividends of \$369.

Debt

In May 2006, \$690 of senior notes originally issued in May 2003 in connection with the Company s 7% equity units were remarketed on behalf of the holders of the equity units and the interest rate on the senior notes was reset to 5.55%. In connection with the remarketing, the Company purchased and retired \$265 of the senior notes classified in long-term debt. See Note 10 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

On June 1, 2006, the Company repaid \$250 of 2.375% senior notes at maturity.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company issued \$715 of commercial paper to finance the retirement of \$265 of senior notes connected with the 7% equity units, the repayment of \$250 of senior notes described above, and the redemption of \$200 junior subordinated debentures described below. The Company used the proceeds from the settlement of the forward purchase contracts associated with the 7% equity units in August 2006 and cash on hand to pay down \$690 of commercial paper.

On July 14, 2006, The Hartford retired its \$200 7.625% junior subordinated debentures underlying the trust preferred securities due 2050 issued by Hartford Life Capital II at par.

In August 2006, \$330 of senior notes originally issued in September 2002 in connection with the Company s 6% equity units were remarketed on behalf of the holders of the equity units and the interest rate on the senior notes was reset to 5.663%. See Note 10 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

On October 3, 2006, the Company issued \$400 of 5.25% senior notes due October 15, 2011, \$300 of 5.50% senior notes due October 15, 2016, and \$300 of 5.95% senior notes due October 15, 2036, and received total net proceeds of approximately \$990. The issuance was pursuant to the Company s existing shelf registration statement (Registration Statement No. 333-108067). The Hartford intends to use the net proceeds for the redemption of \$500 aggregate principal amount of its 7.45% junior subordinated debentures due 2050 underlying the trust preferred securities issued

by Hartford Capital III; the pre-funding of \$200 in payments due at the maturity of HLI s 7.10% Notes, due June 15, 2007, of which \$200 are outstanding; the pay down of approximately \$200 of commercial paper; and other general corporate purposes. In October 2006, the Company used a portion of the proceeds from the debt offering to pay down approximately \$198 of commercial paper.

On October 10, 2006, the Company successfully completed offers to exchange existing senior unsecured notes comprising the \$250 of 7.65% notes due 2027 and the \$400 of 7.375% notes due 2031 issued by HLI (HLI notes) fo up to \$650 in new senior unsecured notes of the Company and a cash payment, in order to consolidate debt at the holding company. The new notes have an extended maturity and bear a market interest rate and, together with the cash payment, have a present value not significantly different than the existing notes using the existing notes effective interest rates. On October 10, 2006, the Company issued approximately \$409 of 6.1% senior notes due October 1, 2041 and paid cash totaling \$85 in exchange for \$101 of the 7.65% notes due 2027 and \$308 of the 7.375% notes due 2031. Cash paid to holders of HLI notes in connection with the exchange offers will be reflected on our balance sheet as a reduction of long-term debt. The Company financed the cash payment through available cash.

On October 17, 2006, The Hartford provided irrevocable notice that it will retire its \$500 of 7.45% junior subordinated debentures due 2050 underlying the trust preferred securities issued by Hartford Capital III. The Company will redeem the debentures at par plus accrued and unpaid interest on November 17, 2006 and recognize a loss on extinguishment of \$17, after-tax, for the write-off of the unamortized issue costs and discount. As described above, the Company intends to use proceeds from the October 3, 2006 debt issuance to fund the retirement.

92

Table of Contents

Consumer Notes

Institutional Solutions Group began issuing Consumer Notes through its Retail Investor Notes Program in September 2006. A Consumer Note is an investment product distributed through broker-dealers directly to retail investors as medium-term, publicly traded fixed or floating rate, or a combination of fixed and floating rate, notes. In addition, discount notes, amortizing notes and indexed notes may also be offered and issued. Consumer Notes are part of the Company s spread-based business and proceeds are used to purchase investment products, primarily fixed rate bonds. Proceeds are not used for general operating purposes. Consumer Notes are offered weekly with maturities up to 30 years and varying interest rates and may include a call provision. Certain Consumer Notes may be redeemed by the holder in the event of death. Redemptions are subject to certain limitations, including calendar year aggregate and individual limits equal to the greater of \$1 or 1% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes and \$250 thousand per individual, respectively. Derivative instruments will be utilized to hedge the Company s exposure to interest rate risk in accordance with Company policy.

As of September 30, 2006, \$41 of Consumer Notes had been issued. These notes have interest rates ranging from 5% to 6% for fixed notes and consumer price index plus 2.05% to 2.20% for variable notes. The aggregate maturities of Consumer Notes are as follows: 2009 \$3 and \$38 for 2011 and thereafter. The Consumer Notes are reported in other liabilities. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 interest credited to holders of Consumer Notes was immaterial and is included in benefits, claims and claim adjustment expenses.

For additional information regarding debt, see Note 14 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Stockholders Equity

On August 16, 2006, the Company issued 12,128,503 shares of common stock in connection with the settlement of purchase contracts originally issued in May 2003 as components of our 7% Equity Units. The Company received proceeds of approximately \$690 from the issuance of common stock in settlement of the purchase contracts.

Dividends On October 19, 2006, The Hartford s Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.50 per share payable on January 2, 2007 to shareholders of record as of December 1, 2006.

For additional information on stockholders equity and AOCI, see Notes 15 and 16, respectively, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Cash Flow	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2006	2005		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 3,786	\$ 2,947		
Net cash used for investing activities	\$ (4,830)	\$ (3,675)		
Net cash provided by financing activities	\$ 1,107	\$ 1,075		
Cash end of period	\$ 1,355	\$ 1,481		

The increase in cash from operating activities was primarily the result of increases in earned premiums and fee income and a decrease in taxes paid in 2006 compared to the prior year period. Net cash from operating and financing activities accounted for the majority of cash used for investing activities.

Operating cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 have been adequate to meet liquidity requirements.

Equity Markets

For a discussion of the potential impact of the equity markets on capital and liquidity, see the Capital Markets Risk Management section under Market Risk .

Ratings

Ratings are an important factor in establishing the competitive position in the insurance and financial services marketplace. There can be no assurance that the Company s ratings will continue for any given period of time or that they will not be changed. In the event the Company s ratings are downgraded, the level of revenues or the persistency

of the Company s business may be adversely impacted.

On May 9, 2006, Standard & Poor s raised its long-term and short-term counterparty credit ratings on The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and Hartford Life Inc. to A/A-1 from A-/A-2. In addition, Standard & Poor s affirmed its AA- counterparty credit and financial strength ratings on the insurance operating companies. The outlook is stable.

93

Table of Contents

On September 27, 2006, Moody s Investors Service upgraded the senior debt ratings of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and Hartford Life, Inc. from A3 to A2 and the commercial paper ratings from P-2 to P-1. In addition, Moody s Investor Services affirmed its Aa3 insurance financial strength ratings on the insurance operating companies. The outlook is stable.

The following table summarizes The Hartford s significant member companies financial ratings from the major independent rating organizations as of October 24, 2006.

Insurance Financial Strength Ratings:	A.M. Best	Fitch	Standard & Poor s	Moody s
Hartford Fire Insurance Company	A+	AA	AA-	Aa3
Hartford Life Insurance Company	A+	AA	AA-	Aa3
Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company	A+	AA	AA-	Aa3
Hartford Life Group Insurance Company	A+	AA		
Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company	A+	AA	AA-	Aa3
Hartford Life Insurance KK (Japan)			AA-	
Hartford Life Limited (Ireland)			AA-	
Other Ratings:				
The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.:				
Senior debt	a-	A	A	A2
Commercial paper	AMB-2	F1	A-1	P-1
Hartford Capital III trust originated preferred securities	bbb	A-	BBB+	A3
Hartford Life, Inc.:				
Senior debt	a-	A	A	A2
Commercial paper	AMB-1	F1	A-1	P-1
Hartford Life Insurance Company:				
Short Term Rating			A-1+	P-1

These ratings are not a recommendation to buy or hold any of The Hartford s securities and they may be revised or revoked at any time at the sole discretion of the rating organization.

The agencies consider many factors in determining the final rating of an insurance company. One consideration is the relative level of statutory surplus necessary to support the business written. Statutory surplus represents the capital of the insurance company reported in accordance with accounting practices prescribed by the applicable state insurance department.

The table below sets forth statutory surplus for the Company s insurance companies.

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005	
Life Operations	\$ 4,619	\$ 4,364	
Japan Life Operations	1,330	1,017	
Property & Casualty Operations	7,759	6,980	
Total	\$ 13,708	\$ 12,361	

Contingencies

Legal Proceedings For a discussion regarding contingencies related to The Hartford's legal proceedings, please see Part II, Item 1, Legal Proceedings.

Dependence on Certain Third Party Relationships The Company distributes its annuity, life and certain property and casualty insurance products through a variety of distribution channels, including broker-dealers, banks, wholesalers, its own internal sales force

and other third party organizations. The Company periodically negotiates provisions and renewals of these relationships and there can be no assurance that such terms will remain acceptable to the Company or such third parties. An interruption in the Company s continuing relationship with certain of these third parties could materially affect the Company s ability to market its products.

For a discussion regarding contingencies related to the manner in which The Hartford compensates brokers and other producers, please see Overview Broker Compensation above.

Regulatory Developments For a discussion regarding contingencies related to regulatory developments that affect The Hartford, please see Overview Regulatory Developments above.

Federal Terrorism Risk Insurance

The Company s principal reinsurance protection against large-scale terrorist attacks is the coverage currently provided through the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (TRIA). On December 22, 2005, the President signed the Terrorism Risk Insurance Extension Act of 2005 (TRIEA) extending TRIA through the end of 2007.

Among other items, TRIEA required that the President s Working Group on Financial Markets (PWG) perform an analysis regarding the long-term availability and affordability of insurance for terrorism risk. Among the findings detailed in the PWG s report, released October 2, 2006, were that the high level of uncertainty associated with predicting the frequency of terrorist attacks, coupled with the unwillingness of some insurance policyholders to purchase insurance coverage, makes predicting long term development of the

94

Table of Contents

terrorism risk market difficult, and that there is likely little potential for future market development for nuclear, biological, chemical and radiological (NBCR) coverage. A September study by the U.S. Government Accountability Office on insuring NBCR terrorism risk similarly concluded that any market-driven expansion of coverage is highly unlikely in the foreseeable future.

For a full discussion of terrorism reinsurance legislation and how it affects The Hartford, please see the Capital Resources and Liquidity Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 section of the MD&A in The Hartford s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Legislative Initiatives

On May 26, 2005, the Senate Judiciary Committee approved legislation that provides for the creation of a Federal asbestos trust fund in place of the current tort system for determining asbestos liabilities. On February 6, 2006, the Senate began consideration of S. 852, The Fairness in Asbestos Injury Resolution Act of 2005. However, the proponents were unable to secure the sixty votes necessary to overcome a procedural budget objection, and the prospects for enactment this year are unlikely. Depending on the provisions of any legislation which is ultimately enacted, the legislation may have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Legislation introduced in Congress would provide for new retirement and savings vehicles designed to simplify retirement plan administration and expand individual participation in retirement savings plans. If enacted, these proposals could have a material effect on sales of the Company s life insurance and investment products. Prospects for enactment of this legislation in 2006 are uncertain.

On May 17, 2006, the President signed into law the Tax Increase Prevention and Reconciliation Act of 2005, which is not expected to be material to the Company. In addition, other tax proposals and regulatory initiatives which have been or are being considered by Congress could have a material effect on the insurance business. These proposals and initiatives include changes pertaining to the tax treatment of insurance companies and life insurance products and annuities, repeal or reform of the estate tax and comprehensive federal tax reform. The nature and timing of any Congressional action with respect to these efforts is unclear.

On August 17, 2006, the President signed into law the Pension Protection Act of 2006, which, among other items, addressed age discrimination in defined benefit pension plans and revised pension plan funding requirements. The Act is not expected to materially affect the Company s retirement plans.

ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

For a discussion of accounting standards, see Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report and Note 1 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information contained in the Capital Markets Risk Management section of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

The Company s principal executive officer and its principal financial officer, based on their evaluation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) have concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective for the purposes set forth in the definition thereof in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e) as of September 30, 2006.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There was no change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company s third fiscal quarter of 2006 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Hartford is involved in claims litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, both as a liability insurer defending third-party claims brought against insureds and as an insurer defending coverage claims brought against it. The Hartford accounts for such activity through the establishment of unpaid claim and claim adjustment expense reserves. Subject to the uncertainties discussed below under the caption Asbestos and Environmental Claims,

management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such ordinary-course claims litigation, after consideration of provisions made for potential losses and costs of defense, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of The Hartford.

The Hartford is also involved in other kinds of legal actions, some of which assert claims for substantial amounts. These actions include, among others, putative state and federal class actions seeking certification of a state or national class. Such putative class actions have alleged, for example, underpayment of claims or improper underwriting practices in connection with various kinds of

95

Table of Contents

insurance policies, such as personal and commercial automobile, property, life and inland marine; improper sales practices in connection with the sale of life insurance and other investment products; and improper fee arrangements in connection with mutual funds and structured settlements. The Hartford also is involved in individual actions in which punitive damages are sought, such as claims alleging bad faith in the handling of insurance claims. Like many other insurers, The Hartford also has been joined in actions by asbestos plaintiffs asserting that insurers had a duty to protect the public from the dangers of asbestos and in a putative class action filed in West Virginia state court by asbestos plaintiffs alleging that insurers committed unfair trade practices by asserting defenses on behalf of their policyholders in the underlying asbestos cases. Management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such lawsuits, after consideration of provisions made for estimated losses, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition of The Hartford. Nonetheless, given the large or indeterminate amounts sought in certain of these actions, and the inherent unpredictability of litigation, an adverse outcome in certain matters could, from time to time, have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated results of operations or cash flows in particular quarterly or annual periods.

Broker Compensation Litigation On October 14, 2004, the New York Attorney General s Office filed a civil complaint (the NYAG Complaint) against Marsh Inc. and Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc. (collectively, Marsh) alleging, among other things, that certain insurance companies, including The Hartford, participated with Marsh in arrangements to submit inflated bids for business insurance and paid contingent commissions to ensure that Marsh would direct business to them. The Hartford was not joined as a defendant in the action, which has since settled. Since the filing of the NYAG Complaint, several private actions have been filed against the Company asserting claims arising from the allegations of the NYAG Complaint.

Two securities class actions, now consolidated, have been filed in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut alleging claims against the Company and certain of its executive officers under Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act and SEC Rule 10b-5. The consolidated amended complaint alleges on behalf of a putative class of shareholders that the Company and the four named individual defendants, as control persons of the Company, failed to disclose to the investing public that The Hartford s business and growth was predicated on the unlawful activity alleged in the NYAG Complaint. The class period alleged is August 6, 2003 through October 13, 2004, the day before the NYAG Complaint was filed. The complaint seeks damages and attorneys fees. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss in June 2005, and, on July 13, 2006, the district court granted the motion. The plaintiffs have noticed an appeal of the dismissal.

Two corporate derivative actions, now consolidated, also have been filed in the same court. The consolidated amended complaint, brought by a shareholder on behalf of the Company against its directors and an executive officer, alleges that the defendants knew adverse non-public information about the activities alleged in the NYAG Complaint and concealed and misappropriated that information to make profitable stock trades, thereby breaching their fiduciary duties, abusing their control, committing gross mismanagement, wasting corporate assets, and unjustly enriching themselves. The complaint seeks damages, injunctive relief, disgorgement, and attorneys fees. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss in May 2005, and the plaintiffs thereafter agreed to stay further proceedings pending resolution of the motion to dismiss the securities class action. All defendants dispute the allegations and intend to defend these actions vigorously.

The Company is also a defendant in a multidistrict litigation in federal district court in New Jersey. There are two consolidated amended complaints filed in the multidistrict litigation, one related to alleged conduct in connection with the sale of property-casualty insurance and the other related to alleged conduct in connection with the sale of group benefits products. The Company and various of its subsidiaries are named in both complaints. The actions assert, on behalf of a class of persons who purchased insurance through the broker defendants, claims under the Sherman Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), state law, and in the case of the group benefits complaint, claims under ERISA arising from conduct similar to that alleged in the NYAG Complaint. The class period alleged is 1994 through the date of class certification, which has not yet occurred. The complaints seek treble damages, injunctive and declaratory relief, and attorneys fees. On October 3, 2006, the court denied in part the defendants motions to dismiss the two consolidated amended complaints but found the complaints deficient in other respects and ordered the plaintiffs to file supplemental pleadings. The plaintiffs motions for class certification are

pending. The Company also has been named in two similar actions filed in state courts, which the defendants have removed to federal court. Those actions currently are transferred to the court presiding over the multidistrict litigation. In addition, the Company was joined as a defendant in an action by the California Commissioner of Insurance alleging similar conduct by various insurers in connection with the sale of group benefits products. The Commissioner s action asserts claims under California insurance law and seeks injunctive relief only. The Company disputes the allegations in all of these actions and intends to defend the actions vigorously.

Additional complaints may be filed against the Company in various courts alleging claims under federal or state law arising from the conduct alleged in the NYAG Complaint. The Company s ultimate liability, if any, in the pending and possible future suits is highly uncertain and subject to contingencies that are not yet known, such as how many suits will be filed, in which courts they will be lodged, what claims they will assert, what the outcome of investigations by the New York Attorney General s Office and other regulatory agencies will be, the success of defenses that the Company may assert, and the amount of recoverable damages if liability is established. In the opinion of management, it is possible that an adverse outcome in one or more of these suits could have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated results of operations or cash flows in particular quarterly or annual periods.

Fair Credit Reporting Act Putative Class Action In October 2001, a complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the District of October 201 and other from 1000.

Court for the District of Oregon, on behalf of a putative class of homeowners and automobile policyholders from 1999 to the present, alleging that the Company willfully violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) by failing to send appropriate notices to new customers whose

96

Table of Contents

initial rates were higher than they would have been had the customer had a more favorable credit report. In July 2003, the district court granted summary judgment for the Company, holding that FCRA s adverse action notice requirement did not apply to the rate first charged for an initial policy of insurance.

The plaintiff appealed and, in August 2005, a panel of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit reversed the district court, holding that the adverse action notice requirement applies to new business and that the Company s notices, even when sent, contained inadequate information. Although no court previously had decided the notice requirements applicable to insurers under FCRA, and the district court had not addressed whether the Company s alleged violations of FCRA were willful because it had agreed with the Company s interpretation of FCRA and found no violation, the Court of Appeals further held, over a dissent by one of the judges, that the Company s failure to send notices conforming to the Court s opinion constituted a willful violation of FCRA as a matter of law. FCRA provides for a statutory penalty of \$100 to \$1,000 per willful violation. Simultaneously, the Court of Appeals issued decisions in related cases against four other insurers, reversing the district court and holding that those insurers also had violated FCRA in similar ways. On October 3, 2005, the Court of Appeals withdrew its opinion in the Hartford case and issued a revised opinion, which changed certain language of the opinion but not the outcome. On October 31, 2005, the Company timely filed a petition for rehearing and for rehearing en banc in the Ninth Circuit. While that petition was pending, on January 25, 2006, the Court of Appeals again withdrew its opinion in the Hartford case and issued a second revised opinion. The new opinion vacated the Court s earlier ruling that the Company had willfully violated FCRA as a matter of law and remanded the case to the district court for further proceedings. On February 15, 2006, the Company filed a new petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc, and on April 20, 2006, the Court of Appeals denied the petition. On July 19, 2006, the Company filed a petition for a writ of certiorari in the United States Supreme Court. On September 26, 2006, the Supreme Court granted petitions filed by insurers in two of the related cases, but it has not yet acted on the Company s petition.

On July 25, 2006, the parties entered into a memorandum of understanding setting forth the essential terms of a class settlement in this action, and, on September 8, 2006, the parties executed and filed with the district court a Stipulation of Settlement. On September 11, 2006, the district court preliminarily approved the settlement and scheduled a hearing for final approval of the settlement for February 26, 2007. The settlement is subject to certain contingencies, including final approval by the district court. If the settlement is completed, management expects that the Company s ultimate obligations under the settlement agreement, after consideration of provisions made for this matter, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated results of operations or cash flows in any particular quarterly or annual period.

Blanket Casualty Treaty Litigation The Company is engaged in pending litigation in Connecticut Superior Court against certain of its upper-layer reinsurers under its Blanket Casualty Treaty (BCT). The BCT is a multi-layered reinsurance program providing excess-of-loss coverage in various amounts from the 1930s through the 1980s. The upper layers were first placed in 1950, predominantly with London Market reinsurers, including Lloyds syndicates reinsured by Equitas. The action seeks, among other relief, damages for the reinsurer defendants failure to pay certain billings for asbestos and pollution claims.

In December 2003, the Company entered into a global settlement with MacArthur Company, an asbestos insulation distributor and installer then in bankruptcy, for \$1.15 billion. The Company then billed the reinsurer defendants under the BCT for \$117 of the settlement amount. After the reinsurers refused to pay the MacArthur billing, the Company amended its complaint to add, among other things, claims related to that billing. Most of the reinsurer defendants counterclaimed, seeking a declaration that they did not owe reinsurance for the MacArthur settlement.

The litigation concerns under what circumstances losses arising from multiple claims against a single insured may be combined and ceded as a single accident under the BCT so as to reach the upper layers of the program. The BCT contains a unique definition of accident. The application of this definition to the ceded losses is the crux of the dispute.

In April 2005, the Superior Court phased the proceedings, providing for a trial of the MacArthur billing first, in April 2006, with other billings to follow in subsequent trial settings. In September 2005, the London Market reinsurer defendants moved for summary judgment on the MacArthur-related claims. After briefing and oral argument, the Superior Court issued a decision on December 13, 2005, granting the defendants motion. The Company noticed an

appeal to the Connecticut Appellate Court; the appeal has since been transferred to the Connecticut Supreme Court. The Company intends to prosecute its appeal vigorously.

On June 15, 2006, the Company announced an agreement with Equitas and all Lloyd s syndicates reinsured by Equitas (collectively, Equitas) that resolved, with minor exception, all of the Company s ceded and assumed domestic reinsurance exposures with Equitas, including the Company s reinsurance recoveries from Equitas under the BCT. Those recoveries consist predominantly of asbestos and pollution losses, including the billing for the MacArthur settlement. The pending litigation and appeal continue with other upper-layer reinsurers under the BCT. The outcome of the appeal is uncertain. If the decision of the Superior Court is affirmed on appeal, the Company may be unable to collect from the nonsettling reinsurers not only its billing for the MacArthur settlement but also other current and future billings to which the same relevant facts and legal analysis would apply. The Company has considered the risk of non-collection of these recoveries in its allowance for all uncollectible reinsurance recoverables associated with older, long-term casualty liabilities reported in the Other Operations segment. After consideration of this allowance, management expects that a negative outcome in the BCT litigation

97

Table of Contents

would not have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated results of operations or cash flows in any particular quarterly or annual period.

Asbestos and Environmental Claims As discussed in Note 12, Commitments and Contingencies, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under the caption Asbestos and Environmental Claims , included in the Company s 2005 Form 10-K Annual Report, The Hartford continues to receive asbestos and environmental claims that involve significant uncertainty regarding policy coverage issues. Regarding these claims, The Hartford continually reviews its overall reserve levels