BLACKROCK INVESTMENT QUALITY MUNICIPAL TRUST INC Form N-CSR July 08, 2010

### UNITEDSTATES SECURITIESANDEXCHANGECOMMISSION Washington,D.C.20549

### FORM N-CSR

### CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-07354

Name of Fund: BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust, Inc. (BKN)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: Anne F. Ackerley, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust, Inc., 55 East 52<sup>nd</sup> Street, New York, NY 10055.

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 04/30/2010

Date of reporting period: 04/30/2010

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

# Annual Report

APRIL 30, 2010

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc. (BKN)

BlackRock Long-Term Municipal Advantage Trust (BTA)

BlackRock Municipal 2020 Term Trust (BKK)

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust (BFK)

BlackRock Pennsylvania Strategic Municipal Trust (BPS)

BlackRock Strategic Municipal Trust (BSD)

NOT FDIC INSURED

MAY LOSE VALUE

NO BANK GUARANTEE

# Table of Contents

	Page
Dear Shareholder	3
Annual Report:	
Trust Summaries	4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	10
Derivative Financial Instruments	10
Financial Statements	
Schedules of Investments	11
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	34
Statements of Operations	35
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	36
Statement of Cash Flows	38
Financial Highlights	39
Notes to Financial Statements	45
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	52
Important Tax Information	52
Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plans	53
Officers and Trustees	54
Additional Information	57

2 ANNUAL REPORT

APRIL 30, 2010

## Dear Shareholder

Although overall global economic and financial conditions have generally improved over the past year, the period ended with high levels of market volatility

and diminishing investor confidence sparked by the sovereign debt crisis in Europe, concerns over the strength of the economic recovery and uncertainty

surrounding the future of interest rate policies. Additionally, as the period drew to a close, the increasing likelihood of more stringent financial market regula-

tions added to the overall sense of investment uncertainty. Despite the uneven nature of recent market conditions, we continue to believe that the Great

Recession likely ended at some point last summer, thanks primarily to massive fiscal and monetary stimulus, and that the global economy remains in

recovery mode.

Global equity markets bottomed in early 2009 and since that time have moved unevenly higher as investors were lured back into the markets by depressed

valuations, desire for higher yields and improvements in corporate earnings prospects. There have been several corrections along the way and volatility levels

have remained elevated reflections of mixed economic data, lingering deflation issues (especially in Europe) and uncertainty surrounding financial regula-

tions. On balance, however, improving corporate revenues and profits and a positive macro backdrop helped push stock prices higher over the last twelve

and six months. From a geographic perspective, US equities have outpaced their international counterparts in recent months, as the domestic economic

recovery has been more pronounced and as credit-related issues have held European markets down.

Within fixed income markets, yields have been moving unevenly as improving economic conditions have been acting to push Treasury yields higher (and

prices correspondingly lower), while concerns over ongoing deflation threats have acted as a counterweight. As the period drew to a close, Treasury yields

were falling as investors flocked to the safe haven asset class in the face of escalating uncertainty. Over the course of the last twelve and six months, how-

ever, Treasuries underperformed other areas of the bond market, particularly the high yield sector, which has been benefiting from increased investor

demand. Meanwhile, municipal bonds slightly outperformed taxable sectors over both the six- and twelve-month periods thanks to continued high demand

levels, but have continued to face the headwinds of ongoing state and local budget problems. As in the taxable arena, high yield municipals have been out-

performing the rest of the market.

Regarding cash investments, yields on money market securities remain near all-time lows (producing returns only marginally above zero percent), with the

Federal Open Market Committee reiterating that economic circumstances are likely to necessitate an accommodative interest rate stance for an extended

period.

Against this backdrop, the major market averages posted the following returns:

Total Returns as of April 30, 2010	6-month	12-month
US equities (S&P 500 Index)	15.66%	38.84%

Small cap US equities (Russell 2000 Index)	28.17	48.95
International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East Index)	2.48	34.43
3-month Treasury bill (BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month Treasury Bill Index)	0.04	0.15
US Treasury securities (BofA Merrill Lynch 10-Year US Treasury Index)	(0.54)	(1.32)
Taxable fixed income (Barclays Capital US Aggregate Bond Index)	2.54	8.30
Tax-exempt fixed income (Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index)	3.68	8.85
High yield bonds (Barclays Capital US Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer Capped Index)	11.60	42.53

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

Global financial markets continue to show signs of improvement, but questions about the strength and sustainability of the recovery abound. Through peri-

ods of uncertainty, as ever, BlackRock s full resources are dedicated to the management of our clients assets. For additional market perspective and invest-

ment insight, visit www.blackrock.com/shareholdermagazine, where you II find the most recent issue of our award-winning Shareholder® magazine, as well

as its quarterly companion newsletter, Shareholder Perspectives. As always, we thank you for entrusting BlackRock with your investments, and we look for-

ward to your continued partnership in the months and years ahead.

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

3

## Trust Summary as of April 30, 2010

### BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc.

#### **Investment Objective**

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc. (BKN) (the Trusts)eks to provide high current income which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the

issuer, is exempt from regular federal income tax consistent with the preservation of capital.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

#### Performance

For the 12 months ended April 30, 2010, the Trust returned 34.50% based on market price and 26.55% based on net asset value (NAV). For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 28.13% on a market price basis and 22.67% on a NAV basis. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. During the period, the Trust moved from a discount to NAV to a premium, which

accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The Trust benefited from a tightening of credit spreads,

specifically within the corporate high yield sector, which helped the Trust s lower-quality holdings outperform. In addition, we actively structured new-issue

deals with discounted coupons at the longer end of the Trust s duration range. These holdings benefited from the outperformance of the new-issue market,

the flattening of the yield curve and the reduced supply of tax-exempt issuance due to Build America Bonds (BAB) issuance. We also diversified the Trust s

holdings to increase exposure to specialty-state paper, which outperformed as a result of increased demand by retail buyers. Conversely, the Trust s shorter

maturity holdings, as well as its bonds with greater negative convexity, underperformed the market.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information		
	Symbol on New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE )	BKN
	Initial Offering Date	February 19, 1993
	Yield on Closing Market Price as of April 30, 2010 (\$14.19) <sup>1</sup>	7.10%
	Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	10.92%
	Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.084
	Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$1.008
	Leverage as of April 30, 2010 <sup>4</sup>	37%
	1 Vield on electing market price is calculated by dividing the surrent appualized distribution per share	by the

<sup>1</sup> Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price.

Past performance does not guarantee future results.

<sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

<sup>3</sup> The distribution is not constant and is subject to change.

<sup>4</sup> Represents Auction Market Preferred Shares (Preferred Shares) and tender option bond trusts (TOBs) as a percentage of total managed assets,

which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a

discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and net asset value per share:

	4/30/10	4/30/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$14.19	\$11.35	25.02%	\$14.35	\$11.21
Net Asset Value	\$13.68	\$11.63	17.63%	\$13.86	\$11.63

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations		
	4/30/10	4/30/09
Health	25%	25%
County/City/Special District/		
School District	17	20
State	13	12
Education	10	7
Utilities	9	8
Transportation	9	10
Housing	8	11
Corporate	7	5
Tobacco	2	2

Credit Quality Allocations <sup>5</sup>		
	4/30/10	4/30/09
AAA/Aaa	18%	22%
AA/Aa	24	30
A	33	26
BBB/Baa	14	9
BB/Ba	1	3
В	3	1
CCC/Caa		1
Not Rated <sup>6</sup>	7	8

 $^5$  Using the higher of Standard & Poor s ( S&P s ) or Moody s Investors Service ( Moody s ) ratings.

<sup>6</sup> The investment advisor has deemed certain of these securities to be of investment grade quality. As of April 30, 2010 and April 30, 2009, the market value of these securities was \$17,071,058 representing 5% and \$12,511,098 representing 4%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

4 ANNUAL REPORT

APRIL 30, 2010

## Trust Summary as of April 30, 2010

### BlackRock Long-Term Municipal Advantage Trust

#### **Investment Objective**

BlackRock Long-Term Municipal Advantage Trust (BTA) (the Trusts) eks to provide current income which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is

exempt from regular federal income tax.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

#### Performance

For the 12 months ended April 30, 2010, the Trust returned 31.25% based on market price and 26.81% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-

end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 28.13% on a market price basis and 22.67% on a NAV basis.

All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust's discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance

based on price and performance based on NAV. Positive performance was attributable in part to sector allocation decisions and included concentrations in

health care, tobacco and corporate-related debt, which all performed well. Increasing risk appetite has also proven beneficial given the portfolio s focus on

lower-rated credits. Additionally, portfolio positioning with respect to a modestly long duration stance and an emphasis on longer-dated bonds was additive

in an environment where yields on the long end of the curve fell substantially. Negative factors included underweight positioning in the transportation, public

utilities and education sectors, all of which have performed well on a relative basis.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information		
	Symbol on NYSE	BTA
	Initial Offering Date	February 28, 2006
	Yield on Closing Market Price as of April 30, 2010 (\$10.77) <sup>1</sup>	6.63%
	Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	10.20%
	Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.0595
	Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.7140
	Leverage as of April 30, 2010 <sup>4</sup>	36%

<sup>1</sup> Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

<sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

<sup>3</sup> The distribution is not constant and is subject to change.

<sup>4</sup> Represents TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to TOBs, minus

the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and net asset value per share:

	4/30/10	4/30/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$10.77	\$8.79	22.53%	\$10.97	\$8.72
Net Asset Value	\$11.27	\$9.52	18.38%	\$11.40	\$9.52

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations		
	4/30/10	4/30/09
Health	19%	12%
Education	16	16
County/City/Special District/		
School District	14	15
Transportation	11	10
Utilities	10	8
Housing	10	12
State	9	9
Tobacco	7	13
Corporate	4	5

Credit Quality Allocations<sup>5</sup>

	4/30/10	4/30/09
AAA/Aaa	16%	18%
AA/Aa	45	37
A	9	8
BBB/Baa	8	15
BB/Ba	2	1
В	2	3
CCC/Caa	1	
Not Rated <sup>6</sup>	17	18

 $^5$  Using the higher of S&P  $\,$  s or Moody  $\,$  s ratings.

<sup>6</sup> The investment advisor has deemed certain of these securities to be of investment grade quality. As of April 30, 2010 and April 30, 2009, the market value of these securities was \$5,264,180 representing 2% and \$1,468,107 representing 1%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

ANNUAL REPORT

APRIL 30, 2010

5

## Trust Summary as of April 30, 2010

### BlackRock Municipal 2020 Term Trust

#### **Investment Objective**

BlackRock Municipal 2020 Term Trust (BKK) (the Trusts) eks to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and to return \$15 per

share (the initial public offering price) on or about December 31, 2020.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

#### Performance

For the 12 months ended April 30, 2010, the Trust returned 23.52% based on market price and 26.97% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-

end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 28.13% on a market price basis and 22.67% on a NAV basis.

All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance

based on price and performance based on NAV. The Trust benefited from declining interest rates, which pushed bond prices higher. Although the Trust has a

generally shorter maturity profile relative to its Lipper category, performance was enhanced by the Trust s allocation to longer maturities in the high yield sec-

tor. This sector outperformed as credit spreads narrowed in an improving market. Additionally, the Trust s allocations to the health care sector overall and the

hospitals sub-sector in particular outperformed for the period. The Trust is managed to meet a 2020 termination date, and as such, maintains a generally

shorter duration than that of its Lipper category, which comprises longer-duration funds. Accordingly, the Trust did not fully benefit from the price apprecia-

tion that occurred among longer-dated securities.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

<b>—</b>		
Trust		
Information		
	Symbol on NYSE	ВКК
	Initial Offering Date	September 30, 2003
	Termination Date (on or about)	December 31, 2020
	Yield on Closing Market Price as of April 30, 2010	
	(\$14.89) <sup>1</sup>	5.02%
	Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	7.72%
	Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.06225
	Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.74700
	Leverage as of April 30, 2010 <sup>4</sup>	38%
	1	

<sup>1</sup> Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price.

Past performance does not guarantee future results.

<sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax

rate of 35%.

<sup>3</sup> The distribution is not constant and is subject to change.

<sup>4</sup> Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attribu-

table to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and net asset value per share:

	4/30/10	4/30/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$14.89	\$12.70	17.24%	\$15.00	\$12.47
Net Asset Value	\$14.51	\$12.04	20.51%	\$14.51	\$12.04

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations		
	4/30/10	4/30/09
Corporate	19%	17%
County/City/Special District/		
School District	15	17
Health	15	15
Transportation	11	9
State	10	9
Utilities	9	8
Education	9	9
Tobacco	7	10
Housing	5	6

Credit Quality Allocations<sup>5</sup>

	4/30/10	4/30/09
AAA/Aaa	19%	22%
AA/Aa	10	16
A	23	17
BBB/Baa	29	27
BB/Ba	3	1
В	4	3
CC/Ca		1
Not Rated <sup>6</sup>	12	13

<sup>5</sup> Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

<sup>6</sup> The investment advisor has deemed certain of these securities to be of investment grade quality. As of April 30, 2010 and April 30, 2009, the market value of these securities was \$11,978,514 representing 3% and \$5,768,611 representing 1%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

6 ANNUAL REPORT

APRIL 30, 2010

### Trust Summary as of April 30, 2010

### **BlackRock Municipal Income Trust**

#### **Investment Objective**

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust (BFK) (the Trusts) to provide high current income which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt

from regular federal income tax.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

#### Performance

For the 12 months ended April 30, 2010, the Trust returned 30.49% based on market price and 32.75% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-

end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 28.13% on a market price basis and 22.67% on a NAV basis.

All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance

based on price and performance based on NAV. Positive performance was derived primarily from sector allocation decisions and included concentrations in

health care, tobacco, county/city/special district/school district and corporate-related debt, which all performed well. Increasing risk appetite has also

proven beneficial given the portfolio s focus on lower-rated credits. Additionally, portfolio positioning with respect to a modestly long duration stance and an

emphasis on longer-dated bonds was additive in an environment where yields on the long end of the curve fell substantially. Negative factors included

underweight positioning in the tax-backed and public utilities sectors, both of which have performed well on a relative basis. Above market weight exposure

to capital appreciation bonds also proved detrimental given their relative underperformance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information		
	Symbol on NYSE	BFK
	Initial Offering Date	July 27, 2001
	Yield on Closing Market Price as of April 30, 2010 (\$13.44) <sup>1</sup>	7.02%
	Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	10.80%
	Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.0786
	Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.9432
	Leverage as of April 30, 2010 <sup>4</sup>	37%

<sup>1</sup> Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

<sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

<sup>3</sup> The distribution is not constant and is subject to change.

<sup>4</sup> Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attribu-

table to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and net asset value per share:

	4/30/10	4/30/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$13.44	\$11.10	21.08%	\$14.25	\$10.68
Net Asset Value	\$13.23	\$10.74	23.18%	\$13.34	\$10.74

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

#### Sector Allocations

	4/30/10	4/30/09
Health	18%	22%
State	14	9
Transportation	13	11
Corporate	12	12
Education	11	11
County/City/Special District/		
School District	11	8
Utilities	11	13
Housing	6	9
Tobacco	4	5

#### Credit Quality Allocations<sup>5</sup>

4/30/09
34%
15
21
14
3
4
1
8

 $^5$  Using the higher of S&P  $\,$  s or Moody  $\,$  s ratings.

<sup>6</sup> The investment advisor has deemed certain of these securities to be of investment grade quality. As of April 30, 2010 and April 30, 2009, the market value of these securities was \$16,636,260 repre-

senting 2% and \$17,649,155 representing 2%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

ANNUAL REPORT

APRIL 30, 2010

7

## Trust Summary as of April 30, 2010

### BlackRock Pennsylvania Strategic Municipal Trust

#### **Investment Objective**

BlackRock Pennsylvania Strategic Municipal Trust (BPS) (the Trusts) eks to provide monthly income which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer,

is exempt from regular federal and Pennsylvania income taxes.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

#### Performance

For the 12 months ended April 30, 2010, the Trust returned 49.41% based on market price and 23.80% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-

end Lipper Pennsylvania Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 29.96% on a market price basis and 18.43% on a NAV basis. All

returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust moved from a discount to NAV to a premium by period end, which accounts for the difference between

performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The Trust benefited from efforts to increase weighting in interest-rate-sensitive bonds, as tax-

exempt 30-year interest rates rallied 30 basis points (0.30%) lower. A greater weighting in the housing and health care sectors also contributed to Trust

performance, as credit spreads generally tightened in these sectors. Conversely, a low relative distribution yield detracted from performance. Over the period,

the Trust s income component was a drag on total return performance, but recent efforts to increase current income have resulted in dividend growth and

should be a positive factor in future returns.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information		
	Symbol on NYSE Amex	BPS
	Initial Offering Date	August 25, 1999
	Yield on Closing Market Price as of April 30, 2010 (\$13.88) <sup>1</sup>	6.05%
	Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	9.31%
	Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.07
	Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.84
	Leverage as of April 30, 2010 <sup>4</sup>	40%

<sup>1</sup> Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price.

Past performance does not guarantee future results.

<sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

<sup>3</sup> The Monthly Distribution per Common Share, declared on June 1, 2010, was increased to \$0.0725 per share. The Yield on Closing Market Price,

Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share and Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The

new distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change in the future.

<sup>4</sup> Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and net asset value per share:

	4/30/10	4/30/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$13.88	\$ 9.85	40.91%	\$13.93	\$ 9.84
Net Asset Value	\$13.86	\$11.87	16.76%	\$14.03	\$11.86

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

#### Sector Allocations

	4/30/10	4/30/09
Health	31%	24%
Housing	16	14
State	15	15
Education	14	9
Transportation	10	11
County/City/Special District/		
School District	8	15
Utilities	5	8
Corporate	1	4

#### Credit Quality Allocations<sup>5</sup>

	4/30/10	4/30/09
AAA/Aaa	18%	23%
AA/Aa	40	46
A	21	17
BBB/Baa	15	7
BB/Ba	1	1
Not Rated <sup>6</sup>	5	6

 $^5$  Using the higher of S&P  $\,$  s or Moody  $\,$  s ratings.

<sup>6</sup> The investment advisor has deemed certain of these securities to be of investment grade quality. As of April 30, 2010 and April 30, 2009, the market value of these securities was \$2,069,225 representing 5% and \$1,623,020 representing 4%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

#### **8 ANNUAL REPORT**

### Trust Summary as of April 30, 2010

#### BlackRock Strategic Municipal Trust

#### **Investment Objective**

BlackRock Strategic Municipal Trust (BSD) (the Trusts) eks to provide high current income, which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is

exempt from regular federal income tax, consistent with the preservation of capital.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

#### Performance

For the 12 months ended April 30, 2010, the Trust returned 36.87% based on market price and 27.36% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-

end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 28.13% on a market price basis and 22.67% on a NAV basis.

All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance

based on price and performance based on NAV. Positive performance was attributable in part to sector allocation decisions and included concentrations in

health care, housing and corporate-related debt, which all performed well. Increasing risk appetite has also proven beneficial given the portfolio s focus on

lower-rated credits. Additionally, portfolio positioning with respect to a modestly long duration stance and an emphasis on longer-dated bonds was additive

in an environment where yields on the long end of the curve fell substantially. Negative factors included underweight positioning in the tax-backed sector,

which performed well on a relative basis. Above market weight exposure to capital appreciation bonds also proved detrimental given their relative underper-

formance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information		
	Symbol on NYSE	BSD
	Initial Offering Date	August 25, 1999
	Yield on Closing Market Price as of April 30, 2010 (\$12.95) <sup>1</sup>	6.72%
	Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	10.34%
	Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.0725
	Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.8700
	Leverage as of April 30, 2010 <sup>4</sup>	37%

<sup>1</sup> Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

<sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

<sup>3</sup> The distribution is not constant and is subject to change.

<sup>4</sup> Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attribu-

table to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and net asset value per share:

	4/30/10	4/30/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$12.95	\$10.15	27.59%	\$12.97	\$10.14
Net Asset Value	\$13.00	\$10.95	18.72%	\$13.15	\$10.95

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

#### Sector Allocations

	4/30/10	4/30/09
Health	21%	19%
Transportation	16	13
County/City/Special District/		
School District	12	16
Education	12	10
State	11	13
Corporate	9	10
Utilities	9	8
Housing	7	10
Tobacco	3	1

#### Credit Quality Allocations<sup>5</sup>

	4/30/10	4/30/09
AAA/Aaa	27%	31%
AA/Aa	26	29
A	22	20
BBB/Baa	14	5
BB/Ba	1	4
В	4	5
CCC/Caa	1	1
Not Rated <sup>6</sup>	5	5

 $^5$  Using the higher of S&P  $\,$  s or Moody  $\,$  s ratings.

<sup>6</sup> The investment advisor has deemed certain of these securities to be of investment grade quality. As of April 30, 2010 and April 30, 2009, the market value of these securities was \$2,354,758 repre-

senting 2% and \$2,678,936 representing 2%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

ANNUAL REPORT

APRIL 30, 2010

9

## The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and NAV of their Common Shares. However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

To leverage, all the Trusts, except for BTA, issue Preferred Shares, which pay dividends at prevailing short-term interest rates, and invest the proceeds in long-term municipal bonds. In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the cost of assets to be obtained from leverage will be based on short-term interest rates, which normally will be lower than the income earned by each Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments. To the extent that the total assets of each Trust (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, each Trust s Common Shareholders will benefit from the incremental net income.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trust s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it issues Preferred Shares for an additional \$50 million, creating a total value of \$150 million available for investment in long-term municipal bonds. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longterm interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Trust pays dividends on the \$50 million of Preferred Shares based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Trust with assets received from Preferred Shares issuance earn the income based on long-term interest rates. In this case, the dividends paid to Preferred Shareholders are significantly lower than the income earned on the Trust s long-term investments, and therefore the Common Shareholders are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

If short-term interest rates rise, narrowing the differential between shortterm and long-term interest rates, the incremental net income pickup on the Common Shares will be reduced or eliminated completely. Furthermore, if prevailing short-term interest rates rise above long-term interest rates of 6%, the yield curve has a negative slope. In this case, the Trust pays dividends on the higher short-term interest rates whereas the Trust s total portfolio earns income based on lower long-term interest rates.

Furthermore, the value of a Trust s portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the redemption value of the Trust s Preferred Shares does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trust s NAV positively or negatively in addition to the impact on Trust performance from leverage from Preferred Shares discussed above.

The Trusts may also leverage their assets through the use of tender option bond ( TOB ) programs, as described in Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements. TOB investments generally will provide the Trusts with economic benefits in periods of declining short-term interest rates, but expose the Trusts to risks during periods of rising short-term interest rates similar to

those associated with Preferred Shares issued by the Trusts, as described above. Additionally, fluctuations in the market value of municipal bonds deposited into the TOB trust may adversely affect each Trust s NAVs per share.

The use of leverage may enhance opportunities for increased income to the Trusts and Common Shareholders, but as described above, it also creates risks as short- or long-term interest rates fluctuate. Leverage also will generally cause greater changes in the Trusts NAV, market price and dividend rate than a comparable portfolio without leverage. If the income derived from securities purchased with assets received from leverage exceeds the cost of leverage, the Trusts net income will be greater than if leverage had not been used. Conversely, if the income from the securities purchased is not sufficient to cover the cost of leverage, each Trust s net income will be less than if leverage had not been used, and therefore the amount available for distribution to Common Shareholders will be reduced. Each Trust may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause a Trust to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit each Trust s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies, such as in the case of certain restrictions imposed by ratings agencies that rate preferred shares issued by the Trusts. Each Trust will incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Trusts are permitted to issue Preferred Shares in an amount of up to 50% of their total managed assets at the time of issuance. Under normal circumstances, each Trust anticipates that the total economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs will not exceed 50% of its total managed assets at the time such leverage is incurred. As of April 30, 2010, the Trusts had economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs as a percentage of their total managed assets as follows:

Percent of	
Leverage	
37%	BKN
36%	BTA
38%	ВКК
37%	BFK
40%	BPS
37%	BSD

## **Derivative Financial Instruments**

The Trusts may invest in various derivative instruments, including financial futures contracts, as specified in Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements, which may constitute forms of economic leverage. Such instruments are used to obtain exposure to a market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to hedge market and/or interest rate risks. Such derivative instruments involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative instrument and the underlying asset or illiquidity of the derivative instrument. The Trusts ability to successfully use a derivative instrument depends on the investment advisor s ability to accu-

rately predict pertinent market movements, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may require the Trusts to sell or purchase portfolio securities at inopportune times or for distressed values, may limit the amount of appreciation the Trusts can realize on an investment or may cause the Trusts to hold a security that they might otherwise sell. The Trusts investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

**10 ANNUAL REPORT** 

APRIL 30, 2010

# Schedule of Investments April 30, 2010

### BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc. (BKN)

#### (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Alabama 2.3%		
Birmingham Special Care Facilities Financing Authority,		
RB, Children s Hospital (AGC):		
6.00%, 6/01/34	\$ 1,745	\$ 1,896,309
6.00%, 6/01/39	500	542,820
Coosa Valley Water Supply District Inc., RB (AGC):		
4.50%, 10/01/34	900	902,718
4.50%, 10/01/36	1,645	1,646,201
4.50%, 10/01/39	300	297,570
		5,285,618
Arizona 5.6%		
City of Goodyear Arizona, GO (AGM), 4.25%, 7/01/36	1,125	1,071,956
Mohave County Unified School District No. 20 Kingman,		
GO, School Improvement Project of 2006, Series C		
(AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/26	1,800	1,924,092
Pima County IDA, Refunding IDRB, Tucson Electric Power,		
5.75%, 9/01/29	1,375	1,399,172
Salt Verde Financial Corp., RB, Senior:		
5.00%, 12/01/32	1,035	938,683
5.00%, 12/01/37	4,585	4,065,749
San Luis Facility Development Corp., RB, Senior Lien,		
Regional Detention Center Project:		
6.25%, 5/01/15	490	458,454
7.00%, 5/01/20	490	455,744
7.25%, 5/01/27	980	900,189
State of Arizona, COP, Department of Administration,		
Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/29	1,100	1,114,806
University Medical Center Corp. Arizona, RB,		
6.50%, 7/01/39	750	799,485
		13,128,330
Arkansas 2.4%		
Bentonville School District No. 6, GO, Refunding,		
Construction, Series A, 4.25%, 6/01/34 (a)	5,800	5,629,422
California 25.4%		

California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, RB, CAB,		
Stanislaus, Sub-Series C, 6.30%, 6/01/55 (b)	7,090	86,002
California State Department of Veterans Affairs, RB,		
Series B, AMT, 5.25%, 12/01/37	5,000	4,534,450
Carlsbad Unified School District, GO, Election, Series B,		
6.09%, 5/01/34 (c)	1,500	895,980
County of Sacramento California, RB, Senior Series A		
(AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/41	2,000	2,003,860
	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
California (concluded)		
Dinuba Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006 (AGM):		
5.63%, 8/01/31	\$ 250	\$ 263,905
5.75%, 8/01/33	535	563,574
Foothill Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency California,		
Refunding RB:		
5.75%, 1/15/40	3,495	3,351,285
CAB, 5.88%, 7/15/28	7,000	6,920,410
Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp. California,		
Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Senior Series A-1,		
5.13%, 6/01/47	805	559,282
Hartnell Community College District California, GO, CAB,		
Election of 2002, Series D, 7.35%, 8/01/34 (b)	2,475	1,236,634
Los Altos Elementary School District, GO, CAB, Election		
of 1998, Series B (NPFGC), 5.93%, 8/01/13 (b)(d)	10,945	5,606,467
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District California, GO,		
Refunding, CAB, Election of 2002, Series E (AGC),		
6.47%, 8/01/38 (b)	12,000	2,179,320
San Diego Community College District California, GO,		
CAB, Election of 2002, 6.20%, 8/01/19 (c)	4,200	2,513,532
State of California, GO, Refunding (CIFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28	3,000	2,825,010
State of California, GO, Various Purpose:		
5.75%, 4/01/31	3,000	3,220,440
5.00%, 6/01/32	4,545	4,547,500
6.00%, 3/01/33	3,220	3,544,286
6.50%, 4/01/33	2,900	3,287,933
5.50%, 3/01/40	3,650	3,781,546
(CIFG), 5.00%, 3/01/33	5,000	4,992,900
University of California, RB, Limited Project, Series B,		
4.75%, 5/15/38	2,050	2,031,119

		58,945,435
Colorado 0.5%		
City of Colorado Springs Colorado, RB, Subordinate Lien,		
Improvement, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 11/15/45	1,030	1,053,978
Connecticut 1.2%		
Connecticut State Health & Educational Facility Authority,		
RB, Fairfield University, New Money, Series O:		
5.00%, 7/01/35	1,800	1,834,380
5.00%, 7/01/40	900	914,292
		2,748,672
District of Columbia 2.1%		
District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp.,		
Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, 6.50%, 5/15/33	4,960	4,866,950

T OITIONO ADDICVIATIONS				
To simplify the listings of portfolio holdings in the Schedules of Investments, the names	ACA	American Capital Access Corp.	GO	General Obligation Bonds
and descriptions of many of the securities have	AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.	HDA	Housing Development Authority
been	AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. American Municipal Bond Assurance	HFA	Housing Finance Agency
abbreviated according to the following list:	AMBAC	Corp.	HRB	Housing Revenue Bonds Industrial Development
	AMT	Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)	IDA	Authority
	CAB	Capital Appreciation Bonds	IDB	Industrial Development Board Industrial Development Revenue
	CIFG	CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty	IDRB	Bonds
	COP	Certificates of Participation	ISD	Independent School District
	EDA	Economic Development Authority	MRB	Mortgage Revenue Bonds National Public Finance
	EDC	Economic Development Corp.	NPFGC	Guarantee Corp.
	ERB	Economic Revenue Bonds	PILOT	Payment in Lieu of Taxes Permanent School Fund
	FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Co. Federal National Mortgage	PSF-GTD	Guaranteed
	FNMA	Association	RAN	Revenue Anticipation Notes
	FSA	Financial Security Assurance Inc.	RB	Revenue Bonds
	GAN	Grant Anticipation Notes		

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Portfolio Abbreviations

#### ANNUAL REPORT

APRIL 30, 2010

# Schedule of Investments (continued)

### BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc. (BKN)

#### (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Florida 13.3%		
County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB:		
CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.20%, 10/01/32 (b) \$	4,225	\$ 980,200
CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.21%, 10/01/33 (b)	4,000	850,160
CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.21%, 10/01/34 (b)	4,580	913,344
CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.22%, 10/01/35 (b)	5,000	927,200
CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.23%, 10/01/36 (b)	10,000	1,723,900
CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.24%, 10/01/37 (b)	10,000	1,605,700
Water & Sewer System, 5.00%, 10/01/34	2,400	2,444,808
County of Orange Florida, Refunding RB (Syncora),		
4.75%, 10/01/32	5,000	4,925,200
FishHawk Community Development District II, Special		
Assessment Bonds, Series A, 6.13%, 5/01/34	1,955	1,871,072
Hillsborough County IDA, RB, National Gypsum Co.,		
Series A, AMT, 7.13%, 4/01/30	3,700	3,222,737
Miami Beach Health Facilities Authority, RB, Mount Sinai		
Medical Center of Florida, 6.75%, 11/15/21	1,960	2,001,728
Sumter Landing Community Development District Florida,		
RB, Sub-Series B, 5.70%, 10/01/38	3,635	2,845,478
Village Community Development District No. 6, Special		
Assessment Bonds, 5.63%, 5/01/22	6,810	6,537,532
		30,849,059
Georgia 3.0%		
City of Atlanta Georgia, RB (AGM), 5.00%, 11/01/34	1,500	1,513,830
Gainesville & Hall County Hospital Authority, RB, Northeast		
Georgia Healthcare, Series B, 5.00%, 2/15/33	3,000	2,979,600
Gwinnett County Hospital Authority, RB, Gwinnett Hospital		
System, Series C (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/42	650	664,027
Milledgeville & Baldwin County Development Authority,		
RB, Georgia College & State University Foundation,		
6.00%, 9/01/14 (d)	1,500	1,792,800
		6,950,257

#### Hawaii 1.1%

Hawaii State Department of Budget & Finance,

Refunding RB, Hawaiian Electric Co. Inc., Series D, AMT		
(AMBAC), 6.15%, 1/01/20	2,500	2,503,500
Idaho 1.6%		
Idaho Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Trinity		
Health Group, Series B, 6.25%, 12/01/33	2,500	2,745,125
Idaho Housing & Finance Association, RB, GAN, RAN,		
Federal Highway Trust, Series A, 5.00%, 7/15/27	900	961,551
		3,706,676
Illinois 7.1%		
Chicago Public Building Commission Building Illinois, RB,		
Series A (NPFGC), 7.00%, 1/01/20 (e)	5,000	6,496,500
Illinois Finance Authority, RB:		
MJH Education Assistance IV LLC, Sub-Series B,		
5.38%, 6/01/35 (f)(g)	700	189,021
Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Series A,		
5.50%, 8/15/14 (d)	5,800	6,771,210
Roosevelt University Project, 6.50%, 4/01/44	1,500	1,573,065
Rush University Medical Center, Series C,		
6.63%, 11/01/39	1,200	1,293,084
Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Friendship Village		
Schaumburg, Series A, 5.63%, 2/15/37	345	274,920
		16,597,800
Indiana 0.6%		
Indiana Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Improvement,		
U.S. Steel Corp., 6.00%, 12/01/26 (a)	1,350	1,360,071
lowa 1.6%		
Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Series A (AGC),		
5.63%, 8/15/37	3,600	3,842,496
	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Kansas 0.4%		

Kansas Development Finance Authority, RB, University of

Kansas Tenant, Series