

Encompass Health Corp
Form 10-K
February 27, 2019
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-K
ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018
Commission File Number 001-10315

Encompass Health Corporation
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)
Delaware 63-0860407
(State or Other Jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
Incorporation or Organization) Identification No.)

9001 Liberty Parkway 35242
Birmingham, Alabama
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)
(205) 967-7116
(Registrant's telephone number)

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:
Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value New York Stock Exchange
Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Emerging growth company

Non-Accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the

Edgar Filing: Encompass Health Corp - Form 10-K

Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2).

Yes No

The aggregate market value of common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter was approximately \$6.6 billion. For purposes of the foregoing calculation only, executive officers and directors of the registrant have been deemed to be affiliates. There were 98,743,918 shares of common stock of the registrant outstanding, net of treasury shares, as of February 13, 2019.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The definitive proxy statement relating to the registrant's 2019 annual meeting of stockholders is incorporated by reference in Part III to the extent described therein.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	Page ii
 <u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>38</u>
 <u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>73</u>
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	<u>75</u>
 <u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	<u>76</u>
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	<u>76</u>
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>76</u>
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	<u>76</u>
<u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>76</u>
 <u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>77</u>
<u>Item 16. Form 10-K Summary</u>	<u>77</u>

NOTE TO READERS

As used in this report, the terms “Encompass Health,” “we,” “us,” “our,” and the “Company” refer to Encompass Health Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries, unless otherwise stated or indicated by context. This drafting style is suggested by the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not meant to imply that Encompass Health Corporation, the publicly traded parent company, owns or operates any specific asset, business, or property. The hospitals, operations, and businesses described in this filing are primarily owned and operated by subsidiaries of the parent company. In addition, we use the term “Encompass Health Corporation” to refer to Encompass Health Corporation alone wherever a distinction between Encompass Health Corporation and its subsidiaries is required or aids in the understanding of this filing. We may refer to our consolidated subsidiary, EHHI Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries, which collectively operate our home health and hospice business, as “EHHI.”

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This annual report contains historical information, as well as forward-looking statements that involve known and unknown risks and relate to, among other things, future events, changes to Medicare reimbursement and other healthcare laws and regulations from time to time, our business strategy, our dividend and stock repurchase strategies, our financial plans, our growth plans, our future financial performance, our projected business results, or our projected capital expenditures. In some cases, the reader can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “could,” “expects,” “plans,” “anticipates,” “believes,” “estimates,” “predicts,” “targets,” “potential,” or “contingent,” or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. Such forward-looking statements are necessarily estimates based upon current information and involve a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. Any forward-looking statement is based on information current as of the date of this report and speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made. Actual events or results may differ materially from the results anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of a variety of factors. While it is impossible to identify all such factors, factors that could cause actual results to differ, such as decreases in revenues or increases in costs or charges, materially from those estimated by us include, but are not limited to, the following:

- each of the factors discussed in Item 1A, Risk Factors; as well as uncertainties and factors discussed elsewhere in this Form 10-K, in our other filings from time to time with the SEC, or in materials incorporated therein by reference;
- changes in the rules and regulations of the healthcare industry at either or both of the federal and state levels, including those contemplated now and in the future as part of national healthcare reform and deficit reduction (such as the re-basing of payment systems, the introduction of site neutral payments or case-mix weightings across post-acute settings, the Patient-Driven Groupings Model for home health, the CARE Tool for inpatient rehabilitation, and other payment system reforms), which may decrease revenues and increase the costs of complying with such changes;
- reductions or delays in, or suspension of, reimbursement for our services by governmental or private payors, including our ability to obtain and retain favorable arrangements with third-party payors;
- restrictive interpretations of the regulations governing the claims that are reimbursable by Medicare;
- our ability to comply with extensive and changing healthcare regulations as well as the increased costs of regulatory compliance and compliance monitoring in the healthcare industry, including the costs of investigating and defending asserted claims, whether meritorious or not;
- any adverse outcome of various lawsuits, claims, and legal or regulatory proceedings, including disclosed and undisclosed qui tam suits;
- our ability to finalize a settlement of the United States Department of Justice’s investigation which has been pending six years and is discussed further in Note 17, Contingencies and Other Commitments;
- the use by governmental agencies and contractors of statistical sampling and extrapolation to expand claims of overpayment or noncompliance;
- delays in the administrative appeals process associated with denied Medicare reimbursement claims, including from various Medicare audit programs, and our exposure to the related delay or reduction in the receipt of the reimbursement amounts for services previously provided, including through recoupment of ongoing claims reimbursement by CMS;
- the ongoing evolution of the healthcare delivery system, including alternative payment models and value-based purchasing initiatives, which may decrease our reimbursement rate or increase costs associated with our operations;
- our ability to attract and retain nurses, therapists, and other healthcare professionals in a highly competitive environment with often severe staffing shortages and the impact on our labor expenses from potential union activity and staffing recruitment and retention;
- competitive pressures in the healthcare industry, including from other providers that may be participating in integrated delivery payment arrangements in which we do not participate, and our response to those pressures;
- changes in our payor mix or the acuity of our patients affecting reimbursement rates;

Table of Contents

our ability to successfully complete and integrate de novo developments, acquisitions, investments, and joint ventures consistent with our growth strategy, including realization of anticipated revenues, cost savings, productivity improvements arising from the related operations and avoidance of unanticipated difficulties, costs or liabilities that could arise from acquisitions or integrations;

increased costs of defending and insuring against alleged professional liability and other claims and the ability to predict the costs related to claims;

potential incidents affecting the proper operation, availability, or security of our information systems, including the patient information stored there;

new or changing quality reporting requirements impacting operational costs or our Medicare reimbursement;

the price of our common stock as it affects our willingness and ability to repurchase shares and the financial and accounting effects of any repurchases;

our ability and willingness to continue to declare and pay dividends on our common stock;

our ability to maintain proper local, state and federal licensing, including compliance with the Medicare conditions of participation, which is required to participate in the Medicare program;

our ability to attract and retain key management personnel; and

general conditions in the economy and capital markets, including any instability or uncertainty related to armed conflict or an act of terrorism, governmental impasse over approval of the United States federal budget, an increase to the debt ceiling, or an international sovereign debt crisis.

The cautionary statements referred to in this section also should be considered in connection with any subsequent written or oral forward-looking statements that may be issued by us or persons acting on our behalf. We undertake no duty to update these forward-looking statements, even though our situation may change in the future. Furthermore, we cannot guarantee future results, events, levels of activity, performance, or achievements.

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business.

Overview of the Company

General

We are a leading provider of integrated healthcare services, offering both facility-based and home-based patient care through our network of inpatient rehabilitation hospitals, home health agencies, and hospice agencies. As of December 31, 2018, our national footprint spans 36 states and Puerto Rico and includes 130 hospitals and 220 home health and 58 hospice locations. We are committed to delivering high-quality, cost-effective, integrated patient care across the healthcare continuum with a primary focus on the post-acute sector. Our home health and hospice agencies also serve patients without a preceding inpatient stay.

In 2018, we undertook a rebranding to reinforce our strategy and position as an integrated provider of facility-based and home-based patient care. As part of the rebranding, we changed our corporate name from HealthSouth Corporation to Encompass Health Corporation and the NYSE ticker symbol for our common stock from “HLS” to “EHC.” Our principal executive offices are located at 9001 Liberty Parkway, Birmingham, Alabama 35242, and the telephone number of the principal executive offices is (205) 967-7116. Our website address is www.encompasshealth.com. In addition to the discussion here, we encourage the reader to review Item 1A, Risk Factors, Item 2, Properties, and Item 7, Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which highlight additional considerations about our company.

We manage our operations in two operating segments which are also our reportable segments: (1) inpatient rehabilitation and (2) home health and hospice. The table below provides selected operating and financial data for our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals, home health agencies, and hospice agencies. See Note 18, Segment Reporting, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for detailed financial information for each of our segments.

	As of or for the Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Consolidated data:	(Actual Amounts)		
Inpatient rehabilitation:			
Number of hospitals ⁽¹⁾	130	127	123
Discharges	179,846	171,922	165,305
Number of licensed beds	8,966	8,851	8,504
Home health and hospice:			
Number of home health locations ⁽²⁾	220	200	188
Home health admissions	137,396	124,870	106,712
Number of hospice locations	58	37	35
Hospice admissions	7,474	4,870	3,337
Net operating revenues:			
	(In Millions)		
Inpatient	\$3,247.9	\$3,039.3	\$2,853.9
Outpatient and other	98.3	102.0	110.2
Total inpatient rehabilitation	3,346.2	3,141.3	2,964.1
Home health	814.6	702.4	630.8
Hospice	116.5	70.2	47.7
Total home health and hospice	931.1	772.6	678.5
Net operating revenues	\$4,277.3	\$3,913.9	\$3,642.6

⁽¹⁾ These amounts include one hospital as of December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 operating as a joint venture, which we account for using the equity method of accounting.

⁽²⁾

Edgar Filing: Encompass Health Corp - Form 10-K

These amounts include two locations as of December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 which we account for using the equity method of accounting.

1

Table of Contents

Inpatient Rehabilitation

We are the nation's largest owner and operator of inpatient rehabilitation hospitals in terms of patients treated and discharged, revenues, and number of hospitals. We provide specialized rehabilitative treatment on predominantly an inpatient basis. We operate hospitals in 32 states and Puerto Rico, with concentrations in the eastern half of the United States and Texas. In addition to our hospitals, we manage five inpatient rehabilitation units through management contracts.

Our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals offer specialized rehabilitative care across an array of diagnoses and deliver comprehensive, high-quality, cost-effective patient care services. As participants in the Medicare program, our hospitals must be licensed and certified and otherwise comply with various requirements that are discussed below in the "Sources of Revenues—Medicare Reimbursement—Inpatient Rehabilitation" section. Substantially all (90%) of the patients we serve are admitted from acute care hospitals following physician referrals for specific acute inpatient rehabilitative care. Most of those patients have experienced significant physical and cognitive disabilities or injuries due to medical conditions, such as strokes, hip fractures, and a variety of debilitating neurological conditions, that are generally nondiscretionary in nature and require rehabilitative healthcare services in a facility-based setting. Our teams of highly skilled nurses and physical, occupational, and speech therapists utilize proven technology and clinical protocols with the objective of restoring our patients' physical and cognitive abilities. Patient care is provided by nursing and therapy staff as directed by physician orders while case managers monitor each patient's progress and provide documentation and oversight of patient status, achievement of goals, discharge planning, and functional outcomes. Our hospitals provide a comprehensive interdisciplinary clinical approach to treatment that leverages innovative technologies and advanced therapies and leads to superior outcomes.

Home Health and Hospice

Our home health and hospice business is the nation's fourth largest provider of Medicare-certified skilled home health services in terms of revenues. We operate home health and hospice agencies in 30 states, with concentrations in the Southeast and Texas. As participants in the Medicare program, our agencies must comply with various requirements that are discussed below in the "Sources of Revenues—Medicare Reimbursement—Home Health" and "—Hospice" sections. We acquired a significant portion of our home health and hospice business when we purchased EHHI Holdings, Inc. ("EHHI") on December 31, 2014. In the acquisition, we acquired 83.3% of the issued and outstanding equity interests of EHHI, and certain members of EHHI management, including April Anthony, its chief executive officer, acquired the remaining interests. See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, "Liquidity and Capital Resources" for further discussion of the ownership structure of our home health and hospice business.

Our home health agencies provide a comprehensive range of Medicare-certified home care services. These services include, among others, skilled nursing, physical, occupational and speech therapy, medical social work, and home health aide services. We also offer evidence-based specialty programs related to post-operative care, fall prevention, chronic disease management, and transitional care. Home health patients are frequently referred to us following a stay in an acute care or inpatient rehabilitation hospital or other facility, but many patients are referred from primary care settings and specialty physicians without a preceding inpatient stay. Our patients are typically older adults with three or more chronic conditions and significant functional limitations, and require greater than ten medications. Our team of registered nurses, licensed practical nurses, physical, speech and occupational therapists, medical social workers, and home health aides work closely with patients and their families and physicians to deliver patient-centered care plans focused on their needs and their goals.

We also provide hospice services to terminally ill patients and their families. These in-home services address patients' physical needs, including pain control and symptom management, and provide emotional and spiritual support. Our hospice care teams consist of physician medical directors, nurses, social workers, chaplains, therapists, home health aides, and volunteers.

Competitive Strengths

We believe we differentiate ourselves from our competitors based on, among other things, the quality of our clinical outcomes, our cost-effectiveness, our financial strength, and our extensive application of technology. We also believe our competitive strengths discussed below give us the ability to adapt and succeed in a healthcare industry facing the

movement toward integrated delivery models and value-based care. For example, we are well-positioned to treat all types of post-acute patients by leveraging our operational expertise across our network of facility- and home-based assets in the event multiple or all post-acute settings (long-term acute care, inpatient rehabilitation, skilled nursing, and home health) transition in the future to site neutral patient criteria. Our hospitals have the physical construct (including aspects such as the therapy gym and training areas), clinical staffing, and operating expertise to address the broad spectrum of needs for higher acuity post-acute patients needing inpatient care. Our home health agencies can often treat patients leaving our or other inpatient facilities who need

Table of Contents

additional post-acute care services in lieu of skilled nursing facility-based care. Additionally, those agencies can serve the lower acuity patients that do not require facility-based care.

People. We believe our employees share a steadfast commitment to providing outstanding care to our patients. We undertake significant efforts to ensure our clinical and support staff receives the education and training necessary to provide the highest quality care in the most cost-effective manner. We also have hospital staff trained for all patient acuity levels faced in the post-acute setting. We embrace the Encompass Health Way by setting the standard, leading with empathy, doing what's right, focusing on the positive and ensuring we are stronger together.

Change Agility. We have a demonstrated ability to adapt in the face of numerous and significant regulatory and legislative changes. For example, we successfully managed through the significant regulatory, financial, and other challenges associated with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services ("CMS") rule commonly referred to as the "75% Rule" in 2004, reimbursement rate reductions associated with the shift from the 75% Rule to the "60% Rule" in 2007, sequestration beginning in 2013, multiple reimbursement rate reductions associated with healthcare reform and otherwise, introduction of significantly more quality reporting requirements beginning in 2013, and implementation of both voluntary and mandatory alternative payment models in recent years.

Strategic Relationships. We have a long and successful history of building strategic relationships with major healthcare systems. Our experience will be important in growing the Company as the industry evolves toward integrated delivery models. We entered into our first joint venture in 1991 with a nationally prominent university's acute care hospital. We have never unwound a joint venture. Approximately one-third of our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals currently operate as joint ventures with acute care hospitals or systems. Joint ventures with market leading acute care hospitals establish a solid foundation for operating our business within integrated delivery and alternative payment models.

Our combined platform of facility- and home-based services provides us with an opportunity to succeed in value-based purchasing programs and to participate in more coordinated care and integrated delivery payment models, such as accountable care organizations ("ACOs") and bundled payment arrangements. We believe clinical collaboration between our hospitals and home health agencies offers an excellent means to deliver the quality of care and the cost effectiveness the healthcare partners in these new models seek. We have focused, and will continue to focus, on increasing this collaboration. For additional discussion of our participation in these models, including the Bundled Payments for Care Improvement Advanced initiative, see Item 1A, Risk Factors, and Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, "Executive Overview."

In 2017, we formed the Post-Acute Innovation Center in collaboration with Cerner Corporation, a global leader in health information technology, to develop enhanced tools to manage patients across the continuum of care. The objective of the Innovation Center is to develop clinical decision support tools and other initiatives that enhance the effective and efficient management of patients across multiple care settings by facilitating high-quality patient care, enhanced care coordination, post-acute network performance and cost management across the post-acute continuum. In 2017, we also entered into a three-year strategic sponsorship with the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association on a nationwide basis to increase patient independence after a stroke and reduce stroke mortality through community outreach and information campaigns. This strategic sponsorship is intended to be a three-year project beginning in 2019 to accelerate adoption of the new AHA/ASA Stroke Rehabilitation Guidelines, increase patient awareness of their post-stroke options, and provide practical support to patients and their families to improve recovery outcomes. These Guidelines recommend, among other things, that stroke patients be treated at an inpatient rehabilitation facility, or "IRF," rather than a skilled nursing facility. In 2018, we worked with the AHA/ASA to develop events and patient, caregiver, and provider tools as well as educational programs to achieve the goals of this joint effort. We expect to implement these events, tools, and educational programs nationwide beginning in 2019.

Clinical Expertise and High-Quality Outcomes. We have extensive facility-based and home-based clinical experience from which we have developed best practices and protocols. We believe these clinical best practices and protocols, particularly as leveraged with industry-leading technology, help ensure the delivery of consistently high-quality healthcare services. We have developed a program called "TeamWorks," which is a series of operations-focused initiatives using identified best practices to reduce inefficiencies and improve performance across a spectrum of operational areas. We believe these initiatives have enhanced, and will continue to enhance,

Table of Contents

patient-employee interactions, clinical collaboration, and communication among the patient, the patient’s family, our treatment teams, other care providers, and payors, which, in turn, improves patient outcomes and satisfaction. Our best practices and protocols have helped our hospitals consistently achieve patient outcomes, such as the rate of discharge to community, that exceed industry averages. Additionally, our hospitals participate in The Joint Commission's Disease-Specific Care Certification Program. Under this program, Joint Commission accredited organizations, like our hospitals, may seek certification for chronic diseases or conditions such as brain injury, stroke, or hip fracture rehabilitation by complying with Joint Commission standards, effectively using evidence-based, clinical practice guidelines to manage and optimize patient care, and using an organized approach to performance measurement and evaluation of clinical outcomes. Obtaining such certifications demonstrates our commitment to excellence in providing disease-specific care. As of December 31, 2018, 114 of our hospitals hold one or more disease-specific certifications, including 112 hospitals with stroke-specific certifications.

In home health, we place a significant emphasis on technology for the purpose of furthering clinical excellence and consistency. We have also developed programs to, among other things, create physician-specific custom treatment protocols and provide care transition from inpatient facilities to home for higher acuity patients. We consistently achieve an acute care readmission rate lower than the industry average along with an average quality of patient care star rating above the industry average.

Clinical collaboration between our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals and home health agencies furthers our pursuit of quality patient outcomes and improved patient experiences. Institutional programs and advanced treatment protocols connect facility- and home-based care and allow seamless transition of patients across the healthcare continuum. An important component of clinical collaboration has been to place care transition coordinators in markets where we operate both inpatient rehabilitation hospitals and home health agencies, which we refer to as “overlap markets.” These highly skilled professionals collaborate with clinicians and case managers in our hospitals to assess patients who may require home health services and prepare these patients for the care they will receive at home. The coordinators also work with patients’ families to ensure those family members are prepared to bring their loved ones home safely. We believe our clinical collaboration efforts contributed to reductions in discharges to skilled nursing facilities, higher discharges to home, and improved patient satisfaction.

Cost Effectiveness. Our size, data-driven business practices, and culture help us provide facility-based and home-based healthcare services on a cost-effective basis. For example, our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals historically have received, on average, a lower per discharge payment from Medicare than the industry average payment while also treating patients with higher average acuity. Additionally, our hospitals historically have received, on average, significantly less Medicare high cost outlier reimbursement than other inpatient rehabilitation providers have. High cost outlier payments are supplemental payments from Medicare intended to cover high cost cases in excess of a fixed-loss threshold amount.

Specifically, we can leverage our comprehensive IT capabilities and centralized administrative functions, identify best practices, utilize proven staffing models, and take advantage of supply chain efficiencies across our extensive platform of operations. At the location level, we also enjoy economies of scale as our hospitals are often larger (more beds) than industry average. Also, we target patient density in the home health markets we serve, which is central to our ability to deliver an efficient cost per visit. In addition, our proprietary information systems, discussed below, aggregate data from our business into a comprehensive reporting package and database used by management in the field and in the home office. Our information systems allow users to analyze data and trends and create custom reports on a timely basis.

With a significant presence in both facility-based and home-based healthcare services, we have the opportunity to take advantage of the broader industry focus on reducing costs. Home-based services, which typically have significantly lower cost structures than facility-based care settings, have increasingly been serving larger populations of higher acuity patients than in the past. Home-based services provide a cost-effective alternative to facility-based care in cases where patient acuities do not require a hospital stay.

In 2018, we furthered several initiatives leveraging our clinical expertise, technology, large post-acute datasets and strategic partnerships in order to further develop our post-acute value proposition for acute care hospitals and payors. We populated and deployed a longitudinal patient record and a community care management platform that we began

piloting in one market. These initiatives assist care navigators in the management of patients across the post-acute continuum of care, by following a patient throughout an episode of care and identifying the most appropriate care setting for an individual patient. The longitudinal patient record deployed in our pilot market incorporates daily patient data from our acute care partner's system as well as our rehabilitation-specific

Table of Contents

electronic clinical information system (“ACE-IT”) and Homecare HomebaseSM and provides our care navigators the capability to assess patient condition and to develop appropriate community care plans. We also developed a 90-day post-acute readmission prediction model that is being piloted in one market in conjunction with our community care management platform. Additionally, we deployed in all of our hospitals ReAct, a program that uses predictive modeling and an extensive proprietary database of our IRF patients in an effort to identify patients at risk for acute care transfer and to implement intervention strategies in the plans of care.

Financial Resources. We have a proven track record of generating strong cash flows from operations that have allowed us to successfully pursue our growth strategy, reduce our financial leverage, and make significant shareholder distributions. As of December 31, 2018, we have a strong, well-capitalized balance sheet, including ownership of approximately 70% of our hospital real estate, no significant debt maturities prior to 2022, and ample availability under our revolving credit facility, which along with the cash flows generated from operations should, we believe, provide sufficient support for our business strategy.

Advanced Technology. We are focused on developing technology-enabled real-time strategies for the next generation of integrated healthcare. Our digital health strategy is based on leveraging our clinical expertise, our exceptionally large post-acute datasets, and our proven capabilities in enterprise-level electronic medical record technologies, data analytics, data integration, and predictive analytics to drive value-based performance across the healthcare continuum for our patients, our partners, and our payors. We have devoted substantial effort and expertise to leveraging technology to improve patient care and operating efficiencies. We have developed and implemented information technology, such as ACE-IT and our internally developed management reporting system described above (“BEACON”), which we then leverage to enhance our clinical and business processes. ACE-IT allows us to interface with the clinical information systems of acute care hospitals to facilitate patient transfers, reduce readmissions, and enhance patient outcomes. We believe also ACE-IT will improve patient care and safety, streamline operating efficiencies, and enhance staff recruitment and retention, making it a key competitive differentiator. BEACON gives us the ability to build from disparate data sources clinical and business dashboards that contribute to our ability to make data driven decisions in the day-to-day operation of our business and clinical care of our patients. For example, we have a physician dashboard that helps us implement our quality, patient satisfaction, opioid dispensing and other initiatives at the individual physician and patient level.

Members of our home health management team also internally developed Homecare HomebaseSM, an industry-leading, comprehensive information platform designed to manage the entire patient work flow and allow home health providers to process clinical, compliance, financial, and marketing information as well as analyze data and trends for management purposes using custom reports on a timely basis. Our knowledge of Homecare Homebase, of which we are now a licensee, as well as the thorough integration of it into the operating culture allow us to optimize the system’s capability to drive superior clinical, operational, and financial outcomes. Additionally, we offer a number of evidence-based home health specialty programs, including post-operative care, fall prevention, chronic disease management and transitional care.

We believe our information systems allow us to collect, analyze, and share information on a timely basis making us an ideal partner for other healthcare providers in a coordinated care delivery environment. Systems such as ACE-IT and Homecare Homebase allow for interoperability with referral sources and other providers coordinating care. Homecare Homebase also allows us to share valuable data with payors to promote better patient outcomes on a more cost-effective basis. Additionally, we will continue to design and implement information systems to improve our clinical care, including clinical decision support tools and home health care plan optimization tools.

Patients and Demographic Trends

Demographic trends, such as population aging, should increase long-term demand for the services we provide. While we treat patients of all ages, most of our patients are 65 and older, and the number of Medicare enrollees is expected to grow approximately 3% per year for the foreseeable future. We believe the demand for the services we provide will continue to increase as the U.S. population ages. We believe these factors align with our strengths in, and focus on, post-acute services. In addition, we believe we can address the demand for facility-based and home-based post-acute care services in markets where we currently do not have a presence by constructing or acquiring new hospitals and by acquiring or opening home health and hospice agencies in those extremely fragmented industries.

Table of Contents

Strategic Priorities

In 2018, we focused on the following strategic priorities:

- providing high-quality, cost-effective care to patients in our existing markets;
- achieving organic growth at our existing inpatient rehabilitation hospitals, home health agencies, and hospice agencies;
- expanding our services to more patients who require these services by constructing and acquiring hospitals in new markets and acquiring and opening home health and hospice agencies in new markets;
- making shareholder distributions via common stock dividends and repurchases of our common stock; and
- positioning the Company for success in the evolving healthcare delivery system.

Total hospital discharges grew 4.6% from 2017 to 2018. Our same-store discharges grew 2.8% during 2018 compared to 2017. Our home health agencies experienced same-store admissions growth of 5.6% in 2018 as well. We entered new inpatient rehabilitation markets and enhanced our geographic coverage in existing markets in 2018 by adding 4 new hospitals, including 2 joint ventures, with 169 licensed beds to our portfolio. We also expanded existing hospitals by 26 licensed beds. Likewise, we added 23 home health locations and 22 hospice locations.

In 2018, we further positioned ourselves for the healthcare industry's movement to integrated delivery payment models, value-based purchasing, and post-acute site neutrality. We recently completed our company-wide rebranding and name change initiative to reflect and reinforce our expanding national footprint and our strategy to deliver high-quality, cost-effective care across the post-acute continuum. We increased the clinical collaboration rate between our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals and home health locations. We developed and piloted a longitudinal patient record to manage patients across the post-acute continuum and a 90-day post-acute readmission prediction model. We began using patient care navigators to follow a patient throughout an episode of care. We also refined and expanded use of clinical data analytics to further improve patient outcomes and lower cost of care.

Many of our quality and outcome measures remained above both inpatient rehabilitation and home health industry averages. Not only did we treat more patients and enhance outcomes, we did so in a cost-effective manner. For additional discussion of the pursuit of our 2018 strategic priorities, including operating results, growth, and shareholder distributions, as well as our 2019 priorities and business outlook, see Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, "Executive Overview," "Results of Operations," and "Liquidity and Capital Resources."

Employees

As of December 31, 2018, we employed approximately 30,060 individuals, of whom approximately 18,280 were full-time employees, in our inpatient rehabilitation business and approximately 10,270 individuals, of whom approximately 7,490 were full-time employees, in our home health and hospice business. We are subject to various state and federal laws that regulate wages, hours, benefits, and other terms and conditions relating to employment. Except for approximately 63 employees at one hospital (approximately 16% of that hospital's workforce), none of our employees are represented by a labor union as of December 31, 2018. As with most healthcare providers, our labor costs are rising faster than the general inflation rate. In some markets, the lack of availability of medical personnel is a significant operating issue facing healthcare providers. To address this challenge, we will continue to focus on maintaining the competitiveness of our compensation and benefit programs and improving our recruitment, retention, and productivity. Shortages of nurses and other medical personnel, including therapists, may, from time to time, require us to increase use of more expensive temporary personnel, which we refer to as "contract labor," and other types of premium pay programs.

Competition

Inpatient Rehabilitation. The inpatient rehabilitation industry, outside of our leading position, is highly fragmented. Our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals compete primarily with rehabilitation units, most of which are within acute care hospitals, in the markets we serve. For a list of our markets by state, see the table in Item 2, Properties. There are several privately held companies that compete with us primarily in select geographic markets. In addition, there is a public company that is primarily focused on other post-acute care services but also operates approximately 26 inpatient rehabilitation hospitals. Other providers of post-acute care services may attempt to compete. For example, nursing homes may market themselves as offering certain rehabilitation services even though those nursing homes are

not required to offer the same level of care, and are not licensed, as hospitals. Also, acute care hospitals, including those owned or operated by large public companies or not-for-profits that have

6

Table of Contents

dominant positions in specific markets, may choose to expand their post-acute rehabilitation services. The primary competitive factors in any given market include the quality of care and service provided, the relationship and reputation with managed care and other private payors and the acute care hospitals in the market, and the regulatory barriers to entry in certificate of need states. The ability to work as part of an integrated delivery payment model with other providers, including the ability to deliver quality patient outcomes and cost-effective care, is likely to become an increasingly important factor in competition. See the “Regulation—Relationships with Physicians and Other Providers” and “Regulation—Certificates of Need” sections below for further discussion of some of these factors.

Home Health and Hospice. The home health and hospice services industries are also highly competitive and fragmented. There are more than 11,800 home health agencies and approximately 4,500 hospice agencies nationwide certified to participate in Medicare. We are the fourth largest provider of Medicare-certified skilled home health services in the United States. For a list of our home health markets by state, see the table in Item 2, Properties. Our primary competition comes from locally owned private home health companies or acute care hospitals with adjunct home health services and typically varies from market to market. Providers of home health and hospice services include both not-for-profit and for-profit organizations. There are five public companies, including us, with significant presences in the Medicare-certified home health industry. There are also two large insurance companies with affiliated home health businesses, one of which is one of the largest providers of Medicare-certified skilled home health services. The primary competitive factors in any given market include the quality of care and service provided, the treatment outcomes achieved, the relationship and reputation with managed care and other private payors and the acute care hospitals, physicians, or other referral sources in the market, and the regulatory barriers to entry in certificate of need states. The ability to work as part of an integrated care delivery model with other providers is likely to become an increasingly important factor in competition. For example, we are currently the preferred home health provider in two ACOs serving approximately 24,000 patients. Home health providers with scale, which include the other public companies and insurance companies, may have competitive advantages, including professional management, efficient operations, sophisticated information systems, brand recognition, and large referral bases.

Regulatory and Reimbursement Challenges

Healthcare is a highly regulated industry facing many well-publicized regulatory and reimbursement challenges. The industry also is facing uncertainty associated with the efforts to identify and implement workable coordinated care and integrated delivery payment models as well as post-acute site neutrality in Medicare reimbursement. The Medicare reimbursement systems for both inpatient rehabilitation and home health are subject to potentially significant changes in the next two years. The future of many aspects of healthcare regulation remains uncertain. Any regulatory or legislative changes impacting the healthcare industry ultimately may affect, among other things, reimbursement of healthcare providers, consumers’ access to coverage of health services, including among non-Medicare aged population segments within commercial insurance markets and Medicaid enrollees, and competition among providers. Changes may also affect the delivery of healthcare services to patients by providers and the regulatory compliance obligations associated with those services.

Successful healthcare providers are those able to adapt to changes in the regulatory and operating environments, build strategic relationships across the healthcare continuum, and consistently provide high-quality, cost-effective care. We believe we have the necessary capabilities — change agility, strategic relationships, quality of patient outcomes, cost effectiveness, and ability to capitalize on growth opportunities — to adapt to and succeed in a dynamic, highly regulated industry, and we have a proven track record of doing so. For more in-depth discussion of the primary challenges and risks related to our business, particularly the changes in Medicare reimbursement (including the impact of alternative payment models, value-based purchasing initiatives and site neutrality), increased federal compliance and enforcement burdens, and changes to our operating environment resulting from healthcare reform, see “Sources of Revenues—Medicare Reimbursement” and “Regulation” below in this section as well as Item 1A, Risk Factors, and Item 7, Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, “Executive Overview—Key Challenges.”

Sources of Revenues

We receive payment for patient care services from the federal government (primarily under the Medicare program), managed care plans and private insurers, and, to a considerably lesser degree, state governments (under their respective Medicaid or similar programs) and directly from patients. Revenues and receivables from Medicare are significant to our operations. The federal and state governments establish payment rates as described in more detail below. We negotiate the payment rates with non-governmental group purchasers of healthcare services that are included in “Managed care” in the tables below, including private insurance companies, employers, health maintenance organizations (“HMOs”), preferred provider organizations (“PPOs”), and other managed care plans. Patients are generally not responsible for the difference between established gross charges and amounts reimbursed for such services under Medicare, Medicaid, and other private insurance plans, HMOs, or PPOs but are responsible to the extent of any exclusions, deductibles, copayments, or coinsurance features of

7

Table of Contents

their coverage. Medicare, through its Medicare Advantage program, offers Medicare-eligible individuals an opportunity to participate in managed care plans. Revenues from Medicare and Medicare Advantage represent approximately 85% of total revenues.

The following tables identify the sources and relative mix of our revenues for the periods stated for each of our business segments:

Inpatient Rehabilitation

	For the Year Ended					
	December 31,					
	2018	2017	2016			
Medicare	73.2	% 73.6	% 73.7	%		
Medicare Advantage	9.2	% 8.3	% 7.7	%		
Managed care	10.3	% 10.7	% 11.0	%		
Medicaid	3.0	% 3.0	% 2.9	%		
Other third-party payors	1.5	% 1.6	% 1.7	%		
Workers' compensation	0.8	% 0.9	% 1.0	%		
Patients	0.6	% 0.6	% 0.6	%		
Other income	1.4	% 1.3	% 1.4	%		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

Home Health and Hospice

	For the Year Ended					
	December 31,					
	2018	2017	2016			
Medicare	85.3	% 85.7	% 83.4	%		
Medicare Advantage	9.5	% 9.7	% 8.7	%		
Managed care	3.6	% 3.8	% 3.9	%		
Medicaid	1.2	% 0.6	% 3.8	%		
Other third-party payors	—	% —	% —	%		
Workers' compensation	0.2	% —	% —	%		
Patients	0.1	% 0.1	% 0.1	%		
Other income	0.1	% 0.1	% 0.1	%		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

Medicare Reimbursement

Medicare is a federal program that provides certain hospital and medical insurance benefits to persons aged 65 and over, some disabled persons, and persons with end-stage renal disease. Medicare, through statutes and regulations, establishes reimbursement methodologies and rates for various types of healthcare providers, facilities, and services. Each year, the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (“MedPAC”), an independent agency that advises the United States Congress on issues affecting Medicare, makes payment policy recommendations to Congress for a variety of Medicare payment systems including, among others, the inpatient rehabilitation facility prospective payment system (the “IRF-PPS”), the home health prospective payment system (“HH-PPS”), and the hospice prospective payment system (the “Hospice-PPS”). Congress is not obligated to adopt MedPAC recommendations, and, based on outcomes in previous years, there can be no assurance Congress will adopt MedPAC’s recommendations in a given year. However, MedPAC’s recommendations have, and could in the future, become the basis for subsequent legislative or, as discussed below, regulatory action.

The Medicare statutes are subject to change from time to time. For example, in March 2010, President Obama signed the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (as subsequently amended, the “2010 Healthcare Reform Laws”). In December 2018, a federal district court in Texas invalidated the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws in their entirety but postponed enforcement of that decision pending appeal. With respect to Medicare reimbursement, the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws provided for specific reductions to healthcare providers’ annual market basket updates. In August 2011, President Obama signed into law the Budget Control Act of 2011 providing for an automatic 2% reduction, or

“sequestration,” of Medicare program payments for all

8

Table of Contents

healthcare providers. Sequestration took effect April 1, 2013 and will continue through 2027 unless Congress and the President take further action. The future of the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws as well as the nature and substance of any replacement reform legislation enacted remain uncertain. On February 9, 2018, President Trump signed into law the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (the “2018 Budget Act”), which includes several provisions affecting Medicare reimbursement. Among those changes, the 2018 Budget Act mandates the adoption of a new Medicare payment model for home health providers to be effective in 2020. In the future, concerns about the federal deficit and national debt levels could result in enactment of further federal spending reductions, further entitlement reform legislation affecting the Medicare program, or both.

From time to time, Medicare regulations, including reimbursement methodologies and rates, can be further modified by CMS. CMS, subject to its statutory authority, may make some prospective payment system changes, including in response to MedPAC recommendations. For example, CMS recently instituted a rebasing adjustment in the HH-PPS consistent with a MedPAC recommendation. In some instances, CMS’s modifications can have a substantial impact on healthcare providers. In accordance with Medicare laws and statutes, CMS makes annual adjustments to Medicare payment rates in prospective payment systems, including the IRF-PPS and HH-PPS, by what is commonly known as a “market basket update.” CMS may take other regulatory action affecting rates as well. For example, under the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws, CMS requires IRFs to submit data on certain quality of care measures for the IRF Quality Reporting Program. A facility’s failure to submit the required quality data results in a two percentage point reduction to that facility’s annual market basket increase factor for payments made for discharges in a subsequent Medicare fiscal year. IRFs began submitting quality data to CMS in October 2012. All of our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals have met the reporting deadlines to date resulting in no corresponding reimbursement reductions. Similarly, home health and hospice agencies are also required to submit quality data to CMS each year, and the failure to do so in accordance with the rules will result in a two percentage point reduction in their market basket update. For 2019, we expect no more than six of our home health and hospice agencies will incur a reduction in their reimbursement rates.

We cannot predict the adjustments to Medicare payment rates Congress or CMS may make in the future. Congress, MedPAC, and CMS will continue to address reimbursement rates for a variety of healthcare settings. Any additional downward adjustment to rates or limitations on reimbursement for the types of facilities we operate and services we provide could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. For additional discussion of the risks associated with our concentration of revenues from the federal government or with potential changes to the statutes or regulations governing Medicare reimbursement, including the 2018 Budget Act, see Item 1A, Risk Factors, and Item 7, Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, “Executive Overview—Key Challenges.”

Although reductions or changes in reimbursement from governmental or third-party payors and regulatory changes affecting our business represent one of the most significant challenges to our business, our operations are also affected by other rules and regulations that indirectly affect reimbursement for our services, such as data coding rules and patient coverage rules and determinations. For example, Medicare providers like us can be negatively affected by the adoption of coverage policies, either at the national or local level, that determine whether an item or service is covered and under what clinical circumstances it is considered to be reasonable and necessary. Current CMS coverage rules require inpatient rehabilitation services to be ordered by a physician and be coordinated by an interdisciplinary team. The interdisciplinary team must meet weekly to review patient status and make any needed adjustments to the individualized plan of care. Qualified personnel must provide the rehabilitation nursing, physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech-language pathology, social services, psychological services, and prosthetic and orthotic services that may be needed. Medicare contractors processing claims for CMS make coverage determinations regarding medical necessity that can represent more restrictive interpretations of the CMS coverage rules. We cannot predict how future CMS coverage rule interpretations or any new local coverage determinations will affect us. In the ordinary course, Medicare reimbursement claims made by healthcare providers, including inpatient rehabilitation hospitals as well as home health and hospice agencies, are subject to audit from time to time by governmental payors and their agents, such as the Medicare Administrative Contractors (“MACs”) that act as fiscal intermediaries for all Medicare billings, as well as the United States Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General (the “HHS-OIG”), CMS, and state Medicaid programs. In addition to those audits conducted by

existing MACs, CMS has developed and instituted various Medicare audit programs under which CMS contracts with private companies to conduct claims and medical record audits. Some contractors are paid a percentage of the overpayments recovered. The Recovery Audit Contractors (“RACs”) conduct payment reviews of claims, which can include coding errors, overall billing accuracy, and medical necessity reviews. When conducting an audit, the RACs receive claims data directly from MACs on a monthly or quarterly basis.

CMS has also established contractors known as the Zone Program Integrity Contractors (“ZPICs”) to conduct audits with a focus on potential fraud and abuse issues. Like the RACs, the ZPICs conduct audits and have the ability to refer matters to the HHS-OIG or the United States Department of Justice. Unlike RACs, however, ZPICs do not receive a specific financial

Table of Contents

incentive based on the amount of the error. CMS has announced its intention to rename ZPICs as Unified Program Integrity Contractors.

As a matter of course, we undertake significant efforts through training, education, and documentation to ensure compliance with coding and medical necessity coverage rules. Despite our belief that our coding and assessment of patients are accurate, audits may lead to assertions that we have been underpaid or overpaid by Medicare or submitted improper claims in some instances, require us to incur additional costs to respond to requests for records and defend the validity of payments and claims, and ultimately require us to refund any amounts determined to have been overpaid. We cannot predict when or how these audit programs will affect us. Any denial of a claim for payment, either as a result of an audit or ordinary course payment review by the MAC, is subject to an appeals process that is currently taking numerous years to complete. For additional discussion of these audits and the risks associated with them, see Item 1A, Risk Factors, and Item 7, Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, “Executive Overview—Key Challenges.”

A basic summary of current Medicare reimbursement in our business segments follows:

Inpatient Rehabilitation. As discussed above, our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals receive a fixed payment reimbursement amount per discharge under IRF-PPS based on the patient’s rehabilitation impairment category established by the United States Department of Health and Human Services (“HHS”) and other characteristics and conditions identified by the attending clinicians. In order to qualify for reimbursement under IRF-PPS, our hospitals must comply with various Medicare rules and regulations including documentation and coverage requirements, or specifications as to what conditions must be met to qualify for reimbursement. These requirements relate to, among other things, pre-admission screening, post-admission evaluations, and individual treatment planning that all delineate the role of physicians in ordering and overseeing patient care. For example, a physician must admit each patient and in doing so determine that the patient’s IRF treatment is reasonable and necessary. In addition, to qualify as an IRF under Medicare rules, a facility must be primarily focused on treating patients with one of 13 specified medical conditions that typically require intensive therapy and supervision, such as stroke, brain injury, hip fracture, certain neurological conditions, and spinal cord injury. Specifically, at least 60% of a facility’s patients must suffer from at least one of these 13 conditions, which requirement is known as the “60% Rule.” Also, each patient admitted to an IRF must be able to tolerate a minimum of three hours of therapy per day and must have a registered nurse available 24 hours, each day of the week.

Under IRF-PPS, CMS is required to adjust the payment rates based on an IRF-specific market basket index. The annual market basket update is designed to reflect changes over time in the prices of a mix of goods and services used by IRFs. In setting annual market basket updates, CMS uses data furnished by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for price proxy purposes, primarily in three categories: Producer Price Indexes, Consumer Price Indexes, and Employment Cost Indexes. With IRF-PPS, our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals retain the difference, if any, between the fixed payment from Medicare and their operating costs. Thus, our hospitals benefit from being cost-effective providers.

On July 31, 2017, CMS released its notice of final rulemaking for the fiscal year 2018 IRF-PPS (the “2018 IRF Rule”). In accordance with the Medicare Access and CHIP (Children’s Health Insurance Program) Reauthorization Act of 2015 (“MACRA”), the 2018 Final IRF Rule implemented a net 1.0% market basket increase effective for discharges between October 1, 2017 and September 30, 2018. The 2018 IRF Rule also included other changes that impacted our hospital-by-hospital base rate for Medicare reimbursement. Such changes included, but were not limited to, revisions to the wage index values, changes to case mix-group relative weights and average length of stay values, and updates to the outlier fixed loss threshold.

On July 31, 2018, CMS released its notice of final rulemaking for fiscal year 2019 IRF-PPS (the “2019 IRF Rule”). The 2019 Final IRF Rule implements a 2.9% market basket update that was reduced by 75 basis points under the requirements of the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws. The 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws also require the market basket update to be reduced by a productivity adjustment on an annual basis. The productivity adjustments equal the trailing 10-year average of changes in annual economy-wide private nonfarm business multi-factor productivity. The productivity adjustment effective October 1, 2018 decreased the market basket update by 80 basis points.

The 2019 IRF Rule also includes the other hospital-by-hospital pricing changes noted above. Based on our analysis that utilizes, among other things, the acuity of our patients over the 12-month period prior to the 2019 IRF Rule’s

release and incorporates other adjustments included in it, we believe the 2019 IRF Rule will result in a net increase to our Medicare payment rates of approximately 1.2% effective October 1, 2018. Additionally, the 2019 IRF Rule finalized a change to the IRF-PPS, effective October 1, 2019, that replaces the FIM™ assessment instrument with new patient assessment measures, commonly referred to as “CARE Tool” measures. This change in how patients are assessed and reported to CMS will require substantial changes to the case mix-group relative weights and average length of stay values, which will in turn impact reimbursement amounts. We and the IRF industry are still assessing the nature and magnitude of any impact this might have on

Table of Contents

aggregate Medicare reimbursements, which impact will depend in part on additional data and updates to be included in future rulemaking by CMS. We will continue to educate and train our staff on the use of the CARE Tool, including the new documentation requirements.

Unlike our inpatient services, our outpatient services are primarily reimbursed under the physician fee schedule of Medicare Part B. On November 1, 2018, CMS released its final notice of rulemaking for the payment policies under the physician fee schedule and other revisions to Part B for calendar year 2019. The provisions of this rule, including the updates to the fee schedule, are not expected to be material to us.

Home Health. Medicare pays home health benefits for patients discharged from a hospital or patients otherwise suffering from chronic conditions that require ongoing but intermittent skilled care. As a condition of participation under Medicare, patients must be homebound (meaning unable to leave their home without a considerable and taxing effort), require intermittent skilled nursing, physical therapy or speech therapy services, or have a continuing need for occupational therapy, and receive treatment under a plan of care established and periodically reviewed by a physician. A physician must document that he or she or a qualifying nurse practitioner has had a face-to-face encounter with the patient and then certify to CMS that a patient meets the eligibility requirements for the home health benefit. Medicare currently pays home health providers under the HH-PPS for each 60-day period of care for each patient. Payments are adjusted based on each patient's condition and clinical treatment, including the number of therapy visits. This is referred to as the case-mix adjustment. In addition to the case-mix adjustment, payments for periods of care may be adjusted for other reasons, including unusually large (outlier) costs, low-utilization patients (such as those requiring four or fewer visits), and geographic differences in wages. Payments are also made for non-routine medical supplies that are used in treatment. Home health providers typically receive either 50% or 60% of the estimated base payment for the full 60 days for each patient upon submission of the initial claim at the beginning of the episode of care. The estimate is based on the patient's condition and treatment needs. The provider receives the remaining portion of the payment after the 60-day treatment period, subject to any applicable adjustments. If a patient remains eligible for care after that period, a new 60-day treatment period may begin. There are currently no limits to the number of home health treatment periods an eligible Medicare patient may receive. As discussed below, several changes to the HH-PPS will take place in calendar year 2020.

On November 1, 2017, CMS released its notice of final rulemaking for calendar year 2018 for home health agencies under the HH-PPS (the "2018 HH Rule"). This rule was effective for Medicare episodes ending in calendar year 2018 and provided for a net market basket update of 1.0% as required by MACRA. That update was substantially offset by a nominal case-mix coding intensity reduction of 90 basis points. The 2018 HH Rule also included other pricing changes, such as a reduction to the case-mix weights for certain cases, that impacted our Medicare reimbursement.

On October 31, 2018, CMS released its notice of final rulemaking for calendar year 2019 for home health agencies under the HH-PPS (the "2019 HH Rule"). CMS estimated the rule would increase Medicare payments to home health agencies by 2.2% in 2019. Specifically, the 2019 HH Rule provides for a market basket update of 3.0%, partially offset by a productivity adjustment reduction of 80 basis points and a change in the rural add-on program estimated to result in a reduction of 10 basis points but increased by an estimated 10 basis points associated with a change in outlier patient reimbursement. The 2019 HH Rule also includes other pricing changes, such as a reduction to the case-mix weights for certain cases, that impact our Medicare reimbursement. Based on our analysis, we believe the 2019 HH Rule will result in a net increase to our Medicare home health payment rates of approximately 1.5% effective for episodes ending in calendar year 2019.

Additionally, pursuant to the requirements of the 2018 Budget Act, the 2019 HH Rule sets out significant changes to the HH-PPS that will be adopted effective for calendar year 2020. The new payment system, referred to as the Patient-Driven Groupings Model ("PDGM"), replaces the current 60-day episode of payment methodology with a 30-day unit of service for home health payment purposes and eliminates therapy usage as a factor in adjusting case-mix weighting. CMS previously proposed but has not yet adopted a 6.4% reduction in the base payment rate for 2020 intended to offset the provider behavioral changes that CMS assumes PDGM will drive. For additional discussion of PDGM, the proposed rate cut, and other regulatory and legislative initiatives that could impact our home health business, see Item 1A, Risk Factors, and Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, "Executive Overview—Key Challenges."

Hospice. Medicare pays hospice benefits for patients with life expectancies of six months or less, as documented by the patient's physician(s). Under Medicare rules, patients seeking hospice benefits must agree to forgo curative treatment for their terminal medical conditions. For each day a patient elects hospice benefits, Medicare pays an adjusted daily rate based on patient location, and payments represent a prospective per diem amount tied to one of four different categories or levels of care: routine home care, continuous home care, inpatient respite care, and general inpatient care. Medicare hospice reimbursements to each provider are also subject to two annual caps, one limiting total hospice payments based on the average annual payment per beneficiary and another limiting payments based on the number of days of inpatient care billed by the hospice provider.

Table of Contents

There are currently no limits to the number of hospice benefit periods an eligible Medicare patient may receive, and a patient may revoke the benefit at any time.

On August 1, 2018, CMS released its notice of final rulemaking for fiscal year 2019 for hospice agencies under the hospice-PPS (the “2019 Hospice Rule”). The final rule impacts hospice payments between October 1, 2019 and September 30, 2019. The 2019 Hospice Rule provides for a net market basket update of 1.8%, and we believe that update is indicative of the change we will see in our Medicare hospice payment rates through September 30, 2019. For additional discussion of matters and risks related to reimbursement, see Item 1A, Risk Factors.

Managed Care and Other Discount Plans

We negotiate payment rates with certain large group purchasers of healthcare services, including Medicare Advantage, managed care plans, private insurance companies, and third-party administrators. Managed care contracts typically have terms between one and three years, although we have a number of managed care contracts that automatically renew each year (with pre-defined rate increases) unless a party elects to terminate the contract. In 2018, typical rate increases for our inpatient rehabilitation contracts ranged from 2-4% and for our home health and hospice contracts ranged from 0-2%. We cannot provide any assurance we will continue to receive increases in the future. Our managed care staff focuses on establishing and re-negotiating contracts that provide equitable reimbursement for the services provided.

Medicaid Reimbursement

Medicaid is a jointly administered and funded federal and state program that provides hospital and medical benefits to qualifying individuals who are deemed unable to afford healthcare. As the Medicaid program is administered by the individual states under the oversight of CMS in accordance with certain regulatory and statutory guidelines, there are substantial differences in reimbursement methodologies and coverage policies from state to state. Many states have experienced shortfalls in their Medicaid budgets and are implementing significant cuts in Medicaid reimbursement rates. Additionally, certain states control Medicaid expenditures through restricting or eliminating coverage of some services. Continuing downward pressure on Medicaid payment rates could cause a decline in that portion of our Net operating revenues. However, for the year ended December 31, 2018, Medicaid payments represented only 2.6% of our consolidated Net operating revenues. In certain states in which we operate, we are experiencing an increase in Medicaid patients, partially the result of expanded coverage consistent with the intent of the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws. For additional discussion, see Item 1A, Risk Factors, “Changes in our payor mix or the acuity of our patients could adversely impact our revenues or our profitability.”

Cost Reports

Because of our participation in Medicare and Medicaid, we are required to meet certain financial reporting requirements. Federal and, where applicable, state regulations require the submission of annual cost reports covering the revenue, costs, and expenses associated with the services provided by inpatient hospital, home health, and hospice providers to Medicare beneficiaries and Medicaid recipients. These annual cost reports are subject to routine audits which may result in adjustments to the amounts ultimately determined to be due to us under these reimbursement programs. These audits are used for determining if any under- or over-payments were made to these programs and to set payment levels for future years. Medicare also makes retroactive adjustments to payments for certain low-income patients after comparing subsequently published statistical data from CMS to the cost report data. We cannot predict what retroactive adjustments, if any, will be made, but we do not anticipate these adjustments will have a material impact on us.

Regulation

The healthcare industry is subject to significant federal, state, and local regulation that affects our business activities by controlling the reimbursement we receive for services provided, requiring licensure or certification of our operations, regulating our relationships with physicians and other referral sources, regulating the use of our properties, and controlling our growth. We are also subject to the broader federal and state regulations that prohibit fraud and abuse in the delivery of healthcare services. Congress and DOJ have historically focused on fraud and abuse in healthcare. Since the 1980s, a steady stream of changes have stiffened penalties or made it easier for DOJ to impose liability on companies and individuals, and the pace of these changes has only been increasing. The 2018 Budget Act continues this emphasis by increasing the criminal and civil penalties that can be imposed for violating federal health

care laws. As a healthcare provider, we are subject to periodic audits, examinations and investigations conducted by, or at the direction of, government investigative and oversight agencies. Failure to comply with applicable federal and state healthcare regulations can result in a provider's exclusion from participation in government reimbursement programs and in substantial civil and criminal penalties.

Table of Contents

We undertake significant effort and expense to provide the medical, nursing, therapy, and ancillary services required to comply with local, state, and federal regulations, as well as, for most facilities, accreditation standards of The Joint Commission and, for some facilities, the Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities. We also maintain accreditation for our home health and hospice agencies where required and in other instances where it facilitates more efficient Medicare enrollment. The Community Health Accreditation Program is the most common accrediting organization for our agencies. Accredited facilities and agencies are subject to periodic resurvey to ensure the standards are being met.

We maintain a comprehensive ethics and compliance program to promote conduct and business practices that meet or exceed requirements under laws, regulations, and industry standards. The program monitors the Company's performance on and raises awareness of various regulatory requirements among employees and emphasizes the importance of complying with governmental laws and regulations. As part of the compliance program, we provide annual compliance training to our employees, Board members, Medical Directors, vendors, and other non-employees that operate within our hospitals, and require all employees to report any violations to their supervisor or another person of authority or through a toll-free telephone hotline. Another integral part of our compliance program is a policy of non-retaliation against employees who report compliance concerns.

Licensure and Certification

Healthcare facility construction and operation are subject to numerous federal, state, and local regulations relating to, among other things, the adequacy of medical care, equipment, personnel, operating policies and procedures, acquisition and dispensing of pharmaceuticals and controlled substances, infection control, maintenance of adequate records and patient privacy, fire prevention, and compliance with building codes and environmental protection laws. Our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals are subject to periodic inspection and other reviews by governmental and non-governmental certification authorities to ensure continued compliance with the various standards necessary for facility licensure. All of our hospitals are required to be licensed.

In addition, inpatient rehabilitation hospitals must be certified by CMS to participate in the Medicare program and generally must be certified by Medicaid state agencies to participate in Medicaid programs. Certification and participation in these programs involve numerous regulatory obligations. For example, hospitals must treat at least 30 patients free-of-charge prior to certification and eligibility for Medicare reimbursement. Once certified by Medicare, hospitals undergo periodic on-site surveys and revalidations in order to maintain their certification. All of our inpatient hospitals participate in the Medicare program.

Our home health and hospice agencies are each licensed under applicable law, certified by CMS for participation in the Medicare program, and generally certified by the applicable state Medicaid agencies to participate in those programs.

Failure to comply with applicable certification requirements may make our hospitals and agencies, as the case may be, ineligible for Medicare or Medicaid reimbursement. In addition, Medicare or Medicaid may seek retroactive reimbursement from noncompliant providers or otherwise impose sanctions for noncompliance. Non-governmental payors often have the right to terminate provider contracts if the provider loses its Medicare or Medicaid certification. The 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws added new screening requirements and associated fees for all Medicare providers. The screening of employees with patient access must include a licensure check and may include other procedures such as fingerprinting, criminal background checks, unscheduled and unannounced site visits, database checks, and other screening procedures prescribed by CMS.

We have developed operational systems to oversee compliance with the various standards and requirements of the Medicare program and have established ongoing quality assurance activities; however, given the complex nature of governmental healthcare regulations, there can be no assurance Medicare, Medicaid, or other regulatory authorities will not allege instances of noncompliance. A determination by a regulatory authority that a facility or agency is not in compliance with applicable requirements could also lead to the assessment of fines or other penalties, loss of licensure, exclusion from participation in Medicare and Medicaid, and the imposition of requirements that the offending facility or agency takes corrective action.

Certificates of Need

Edgar Filing: Encompass Health Corp - Form 10-K

In some states and U.S. territories where we operate, the construction or expansion of facilities, the acquisition of existing facilities or agencies, or the introduction of new beds or inpatient, home health, and hospice services may be subject to review by and prior approval of state regulatory bodies under a “certificate of need,” or “CON,” law. As of December 31, 2018, approximately 52% of our licensed beds and 27% of our home health and hospice locations are in states or U.S. territories that

13

Table of Contents

have CON laws. CON laws require a reviewing authority or agency to determine the public need for additional or expanded healthcare facilities and services. These laws also generally require approvals for capital expenditures involving inpatient rehabilitation hospitals if such capital expenditures exceed certain thresholds. In addition, CON laws in some states require us to abide by certain charity care commitments as a condition for approving a CON. Any instance where we are subject to a CON law, we must obtain it before acquiring, opening, reclassifying, or expanding a healthcare facility, starting a new healthcare program, or opening a new home health or hospice agency.

We potentially face opposition any time we initiate a project requiring a new or amended CON or seek to acquire an existing CON. This opposition may arise either from competing national or regional companies or from local hospitals, agencies, or other providers which file competing applications or oppose the proposed CON project. Opposition to our applications may delay or prevent our future addition of beds, hospitals, or agencies in given markets or increase our costs in seeking those additions. The necessity for these approvals serves as a barrier to entry and has the potential to limit competition for us (in markets where we hold a CON) and for other providers (in markets where we are seeking a CON). We have generally been successful in obtaining CONs or similar approvals, although there can be no assurance we will achieve similar success in the future, and the likelihood of success varies by locality and state.

False Claims

The federal False Claims Act (the “FCA”) prohibits the knowing presentation of a false claim to the United States government and provides for penalties equal to three times the actual amount of any overpayments plus up to \$23,000 per claim. Federal civil penalties will be adjusted to account for inflation each year. In addition, the FCA allows private persons, known as “relators,” to file complaints under seal and provides a period of time for the government to investigate such complaints and determine whether to intervene in them and take over the handling of all or part of such complaints. The government and relators may also allege violations of the FCA for the knowing and improper failure to report and refund amounts owed to the government in a timely manner following identification of an overpayment. This is known as a “reverse false claim.” The government deems identification of the overpayment to occur when a person has, or should have through reasonable diligence, determined that an overpayment was received and quantified the overpayment.

Because we perform thousands of similar procedures a year for which we are reimbursed by Medicare and other federal payors and there is a relatively long statute of limitations, a billing error, cost reporting error or disagreement over physician medical judgment could result in significant civil or criminal penalties under the FCA. Many states have also adopted similar laws relating to state government payments for healthcare services. The 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws amended the FCA to expand the definition of false claim, to make it easier for the government to initiate and conduct investigations, to enhance the monetary reward to relators where prosecutions are ultimately successful, and to extend the statute of limitations on claims by the government. The federal government has become increasingly aggressive in asserting that incidents of erroneous billing or record keeping represent FCA violations and in challenging the medical judgment of independent physicians as the basis for FCA allegations. Furthermore, well-publicized enforcement actions indicate that the federal government has increasingly sought to use statistical sampling to extrapolate allegations to larger pools of claims or to infer liability without proving knowledge of falsity of individual claims. For additional discussion, see Item 1A, Risk Factors, and Note 17, Contingencies and Other Commitments, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Relationships with Physicians and Other Providers

Anti-Kickback Law. Various state and federal laws regulate relationships between providers of healthcare services, including management or service contracts and investment relationships. Among the most important of these restrictions is a federal law prohibiting the offer, payment, solicitation, or receipt of remuneration by individuals or entities to induce referrals of patients for services reimbursed under the Medicare or Medicaid programs (the “Anti-Kickback Law”). The 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws amended the federal Anti-Kickback Law to provide that proving violations of this law does not require proving actual knowledge or specific intent to commit a violation. Another amendment made it clear that Anti-Kickback Law violations can be the basis for claims under the FCA. These changes and those described above related to the FCA, when combined with other recent federal initiatives, are likely to increase investigation and enforcement efforts in the healthcare industry generally. In addition to standard

federal criminal and civil sanctions, including imprisonment and penalties of up to \$100,000 for each violation plus tripled damages for improper claims, violators of the Anti-Kickback Law may be subject to exclusion from the Medicare and/or Medicaid programs. Federal civil penalties will be adjusted to account for inflation each year. In 1991, the HHS-OIG issued regulations describing compensation arrangements that are not viewed as illegal remuneration under the Anti-Kickback Law. Those regulations provide for certain safe harbors for identified types of compensation arrangements that, if fully complied with, assure participants in the particular arrangement that the HHS-OIG will not treat that participation as a criminal offense under the Anti-Kickback Law or as the basis for an exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs or the imposition of civil sanctions. Failure to fall within a safe harbor does not constitute a violation of the Anti-Kickback Law, but the HHS-OIG has indicated failure to fall within a safe harbor may subject an arrangement to increased scrutiny. A violation of

Table of Contents

the Anti-Kickback Law by us or one or more of our joint ventures could have a material adverse effect upon our business, financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. Even the assertion of a violation could have an adverse effect upon our stock price or reputation.

Some of our rehabilitation hospitals are owned through joint ventures with institutional healthcare providers that may be in a position to make or influence referrals to our hospitals. In addition, we have a number of relationships with physicians and other healthcare providers, including management or service contracts. Some of these investment relationships and contractual relationships may not fall within the protection offered by a safe harbor. Despite our compliance and monitoring efforts, there can be no assurance violations of the Anti-Kickback Law will not be asserted in the future, nor can there be any assurance our defense against any such assertion would be successful. For example, we have entered into agreements to manage our hospitals that are owned by joint ventures. Most of these agreements incorporate a percentage-based management fee. Although there is a safe harbor for personal services and management contracts, this safe harbor requires, among other things, the aggregate compensation paid to the manager over the term of the agreement be set in advance. Because our management fee may be based on a percentage of revenues, the fee arrangement may not meet this requirement. However, we believe our management arrangements satisfy the other requirements of the safe harbor for personal services and management contracts and comply with the Anti-Kickback Law.

Physician Self-Referral Law. The federal law commonly known as the “Stark law” and CMS regulations promulgated under the Stark law prohibit physicians from making referrals for “designated health services” including inpatient and outpatient hospital services, physical therapy, occupational therapy, radiology services, and home health services, to an entity in which the physician (or an immediate family member) has an investment interest or other financial relationship, subject to certain exceptions. The Stark law also prohibits those entities from filing claims or billing Medicare for those referred services. Violators of the Stark law and regulations may be subject to recoupments, civil monetary sanctions (up to \$25,000 for each violation and assessments up to three times the amount claimed for each prohibited service) and exclusion from any federal, state, or other governmental healthcare programs. The statute also provides a penalty of up to \$162,000 for a circumvention scheme. Federal civil penalties will be adjusted to account for inflation each year. There are statutory exceptions to the Stark law for many of the customary financial arrangements between physicians and providers, including personal services contracts and leases. However, in order to be afforded protection by a Stark law exception, the financial arrangement must comply with every requirement of the applicable exception.

Under the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws, the exception to the Stark law that currently permits physicians to refer patients to hospitals in which they have an investment or ownership interest has been dramatically limited by providing that only physician-owned hospitals with a provider agreement in place on December 31, 2010 are exempt from the general ban on self-referral. Existing physician-owned hospitals are prohibited from increasing the physician ownership percentage in the hospital after March 23, 2010. Additionally, physician-owned hospitals are prohibited from increasing the number of licensed beds after March 23, 2010, except when certain market and regulatory approval conditions are met. Currently, we have no hospitals that would be considered physician-owned under this law.

The complexity of the Stark law and the associated regulations and their associated strict liability provisions are a challenge for healthcare providers, who do not always have the benefit of significant regulatory or judicial interpretation of these laws and regulations. We attempt to structure our relationships to meet one or more exceptions to the Stark law, but the regulations implementing the exceptions are detailed and complex. Accordingly, we cannot assure that every relationship complies fully with the Stark law.

Additionally, no assurances can be given that any agency charged with enforcement of the Stark law and regulations might not assert a violation under the Stark law, nor can there be any assurance our defense against any such assertion would be successful or that new federal or state laws governing physician relationships, or new interpretations of existing laws governing such relationships, might not adversely affect relationships we have established with physicians or result in the imposition of penalties on us. A violation of the Stark law by us could have a material adverse effect upon our business, financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. Even the assertion of a violation could have an adverse effect upon our stock price or reputation.

HIPAA

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, commonly known as “HIPAA,” broadened the scope of certain fraud and abuse laws by adding several criminal provisions for healthcare fraud offenses that apply to all health benefit programs. HIPAA also added a prohibition against incentives intended to influence decisions by Medicare or Medicaid beneficiaries as to the provider from which they will receive services. In addition, HIPAA created new enforcement mechanisms to combat fraud and abuse, including the Medicare Integrity Program, and an incentive program under which

15

Table of Contents

individuals can receive a monetary reward for providing information on Medicare fraud and abuse that leads to the recovery of at least Medicare funds. Penalties for violations of HIPAA include civil and criminal monetary penalties. The HHS Office of Civil Rights (“HHS-OCR”) implemented a permanent HIPAA audit program for healthcare providers nationwide in 2016. As of December 31, 2018, we have not been selected for audit.

HIPAA and related HHS regulations contain certain administrative simplification provisions that require the use of uniform electronic data transmission standards for certain healthcare claims and payment transactions submitted or received electronically. HIPAA regulations also regulate the use and disclosure of individually identifiable health-related information, whether communicated electronically, on paper, or orally. The regulations provide patients with significant rights related to understanding and controlling how their health information is used or disclosed and require healthcare providers to implement administrative, physical, and technical practices to protect the security of individually identifiable health information that is maintained or transmitted electronically.

With the enactment of the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (“HITECH”) Act as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, the privacy and security requirements of HIPAA have been modified and expanded. The HITECH Act applies certain of the HIPAA privacy and security requirements directly to business associates of covered entities. The modifications to existing HIPAA requirements include: expanded accounting requirements for electronic health records, tighter restrictions on marketing and fundraising, and heightened penalties and enforcement associated with noncompliance. Significantly, the HITECH Act also establishes new mandatory federal requirements for notification of breaches of security involving protected health information. HHS-OCR is responsible for enforcing the requirement that covered entities notify any individual whose protected health information has been improperly acquired, accessed, used, or disclosed. In certain cases, notice of a breach is required to be made to HHS and media outlets. The heightened penalties for noncompliance range from \$100 to \$50,000 per violation for most violations. In the event of violations due to willful neglect that are not corrected within 30 days, penalties start at \$50,000 per violation and are not subject to a per violation statutory maximum. All penalties are subject to a \$1,500,000 cap for multiple identical violations in a single calendar year. Willful neglect could include the failure to conduct a security risk assessment or adequately implement HIPAA compliance policies.

On January 17, 2013, the HHS-OCR issued a final rule, with a compliance date of September 23, 2013, to implement the HITECH Act and make other modifications to the HIPAA and HITECH regulations. This rule expanded the potential liability for a breach involving protected health information to cover some instances where a subcontractor is responsible for the breaches and that individual or entity was acting within the scope of delegated authority under the related contract or engagement. The final rule generally defines “breach” to mean the acquisition, access, use or disclosure of protected health information in a manner not permitted by the HIPAA privacy standards, which compromises the security or privacy of protected health information. Under the final rule, improper acquisition, access, use, or disclosure is presumed to be a reportable breach, unless the potentially breaching party can demonstrate a low probability that protected health information has been compromised.

In addition, there are numerous legislative and regulatory initiatives at the federal and state levels addressing patient privacy concerns. Healthcare providers will continue to remain subject to any federal or state privacy-related laws that are more restrictive than the privacy regulations issued under HIPAA. These laws vary and could impose additional penalties. HHS-OIG and other regulators have also increasingly interpreted laws and regulations in a manner as to increase exposure of healthcare providers to allegations of noncompliance. Any actual or perceived violation of privacy-related laws and regulations, including HIPAA and the HITECH Act, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Civil Monetary Penalties Law

Under the Civil Monetary Penalties Law, HHS may impose civil monetary penalties on healthcare providers that present, or cause to be presented, ineligible reimbursement claims for services. The 2018 Budget Act increased the civil monetary penalties, which vary depending on the offense from \$5,000 to \$100,000 per violation, plus treble damages for the amount at issue and may include exclusion from federal health care programs such as Medicare and Medicaid. The penalties will be adjusted annually to account for inflation. HHS may seek to impose monetary penalties under this law for, among other things, offering inducements to beneficiaries for program services and filing

false or fraudulent claims.

Available Information

We make available through our website, www.encompasshealth.com, the following documents, free of charge: our annual reports (Form 10-K), our quarterly reports (Form 10-Q), our current reports (Form 8-K), and any amendments to those

16

Table of Contents

reports promptly after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

17

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business, operations, and financial position are subject to various risks. Some of these risks are described below, and the reader should take such risks into account in evaluating Encompass Health or any investment decision involving Encompass Health. This section does not describe all risks that may be applicable to us, our industry, or our business, and it is intended only as a summary of certain material risk factors. More detailed information concerning other risk factors as well as those described below is contained in other sections of this annual report.

Reductions or changes in reimbursement from government or third-party payors could adversely affect our Net operating revenues and other operating results.

We derive a substantial portion of our Net operating revenues from the Medicare program. See Item 1, Business, “Sources of Revenues,” for a table identifying the sources and relative payor mix of our revenues. In addition to many ordinary course reimbursement rate changes that the United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (“CMS”), adopts each year as part of its annual rulemaking process for various healthcare provider categories, Congress and some state legislatures have periodically proposed significant changes in laws and regulations governing the healthcare system. Many of these changes have resulted in limitations on the increases in and, in some cases, significant roll-backs or reductions in the levels of payments to healthcare providers for services under many government reimbursement programs. There can be no assurance that future governmental initiatives will not result in pricing freezes or reimbursement reductions.

In March 2010, President Obama signed into law the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (as subsequently amended, the “2010 Healthcare Reform Laws”). Many provisions within the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws have impacted or could in the future impact our business, including Medicare reimbursement reductions and promotion of alternative payment models, such as accountable care organizations (“ACOs”) and bundled payment initiatives. The Trump administration and the United States Congress have previously attempted, and may in the future attempt, to change or repeal provisions of the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws through both legislative and regulatory action. For example, on December 22, 2017, President Trump signed into law the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, which eliminated the tax penalty for individuals for failing to enroll in health insurance beginning in 2019. On January 20, 2017, President Trump issued his first executive order titled “Minimizing the Economic Burden of the Patient Protection And Affordable Care Act Pending Repeal,” that directs federal regulators to begin dismantling those laws through regulatory and policy-making processes and procedures, “to the maximum extent permitted by law.” In December 2018, a federal district court in Texas invalidated the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws in their entirety based on the elimination of the tax penalty but postponed enforcement of that decision pending appeal. The future of the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws as well as the nature and substance of any replacement reform legislation enacted remain uncertain. Any future changes may ultimately impact the provisions of the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws discussed below or other laws or regulations that either currently affect, or may in the future affect, our business.

For hospital providers like us, these laws include reductions in CMS’s annual adjustments to Medicare reimbursement rates, commonly known as a “market basket update.” In accordance with Medicare laws and statutes, CMS makes market basket updates by provider type in an effort to compensate providers for rising operating costs. The 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws established reductions in the annual market basket updates for hospital providers ranging from 10 to 75 basis points. In addition, the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws require the market basket updates for hospital providers as well as home health and hospice agencies to be reduced by a productivity adjustment on an annual basis. The productivity adjustment equals the trailing 10-year average of changes in annual economy-wide private nonfarm business multi-factor productivity. To date, the productivity adjustments have resulted in decreases to the market basket updates ranging from 30 to 100 basis points. For home health agencies, the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws also directed CMS to improve home health payment accuracy through rebasing home health payments over four years starting in 2014. For hospice agencies, these laws required a reduction of the annual market basket update of 30 basis points for fiscal years 2017 and 2019.

Other federal legislation can also have a significant direct impact on our Medicare reimbursement. On August 2, 2011, President Obama signed into law the Budget Control Act of 2011, which provided for an automatic 2% reduction of Medicare program payments. This automatic reduction, known as “sequestration,” began affecting payments received after April 1, 2013. Each year through 2027, the reimbursement we receive from Medicare, after first taking into

account all annual payment adjustments including the market basket update, will be reduced by sequestration unless it is repealed before then.

The Medicare Access and CHIP (Children’s Health Insurance Program) Reauthorization Act of 2015 (“MACRA”) eliminated the payment adjustments mandated by the 2010 Health Care Reform Laws in favor of fixing a market basket update of 1.0% in that year for inpatient rehabilitation, home health and hospice providers. The Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (the “2018 Budget Act”), signed into law by President Trump on February 9, 2018, includes several provisions affecting Medicare reimbursement. Among those changes, the 2018 Budget Act mandates the adoption of a new Medicare payment model for

Table of Contents

home health providers to be effective in 2020 and eliminates the payment adjustments mandated by the 2010 Health Care Reform Laws in favor of fixing a market basket update of 1.5% in 2020.

Additionally, concerns held by federal policymakers about the federal deficit and national debt levels could result in enactment of further federal spending reductions, further entitlement reform legislation affecting the Medicare program, and/or further reductions to provider payments. For example, the Tax Cut and Jobs Act signed into law in December 2017 significantly reduced the federal corporate tax rate, and Congress may seek to reduce Medicare spending to offset the associated loss of tax revenue.

In October 2014, President Obama signed into law the Improving Medicare Post-Acute Care Transformation Act of 2014 (the “IMPACT Act”). The IMPACT Act was developed on a bi-partisan basis by the House Ways and Means and Senate Finance Committees and incorporated feedback from healthcare providers and provider organizations that responded to the Committees’ solicitation of post-acute payment reform ideas and proposals. It directs the United States Department of Health and Human Services (“HHS”), in consultation with healthcare stakeholders, to implement standardized data collection processes for post-acute quality and outcome measures. Although the IMPACT Act does not specifically call for the development of a new post-acute payment system, we believe this act lays the foundation for possible future post-acute payment policies that would be based on patients’ medical conditions and other clinical factors rather than the setting where the care is provided, also referred to as “site neutral” reimbursement. CMS has begun changing current post-acute payment systems to improve comparability of patient assessment data and clinical characteristics across settings, which will make it easier to create a unified payment system in the future. For example, CMS recently established a new case-mix classification model for skilled nursing facilities which relies on patient characteristics rather than the amount of therapy received to determine skilled nursing payments. Another example is CMS’s impending use of CARE Tool assessment measures for IRFs discussed below. The IMPACT Act also creates additional data reporting requirements for our hospitals and home health agencies. The precise details of these new reporting requirements, including timing and content, will be developed and implemented by CMS through the regulatory process that we expect will take place over the next several years. We cannot quantify the potential effects of the IMPACT Act on us.

Each year, the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (“MedPAC”), an independent agency, advises Congress on issues affecting Medicare and makes payment policy recommendations to Congress for a variety of Medicare payment systems including, among others, the inpatient rehabilitation facility prospective payment system (the “IRF-PPS”), the home health prospective payment system (“HH-PPS”) and the hospice prospective payment system (“Hospice-PPS”). MedPAC also provides comments to CMS on proposed rules, including the prospective payment system rules. Congress is not obligated to adopt MedPAC recommendations, and, based on outcomes in previous years, there can be no assurance Congress will adopt MedPAC’s recommendations in a given year. However, MedPAC’s recommendations have, and could in the future, become the basis for subsequent legislative or regulatory action.

In connection with CMS’s final rulemaking for the IRF-PPS and the HH-PPS in each year since 2008, MedPAC has recommended either no updates to payments or reductions to payments. In a March 2018 report to Congress, MedPAC recommended, among other things, legislative changes to withhold market basket updates in 2018 for hospice agencies, to reduce by 5% the base payments under the HH-PPS and IRF-PPS, and to re-base payments under the HH-PPS over two years beginning in 2020. In the March 2018 report, MedPAC also reiterated an increase to the outlier payment pool to be funded by reductions to base Medicare payments rates under the IRF-PPS. This proposal would adversely affect us as we have a relatively low percentage of outlier patients compared to other inpatient rehabilitation providers.

In a June 2018 report mandated by the IMPACT Act, MedPAC reiterated its recommendation that Congress adopt a unified payment system for all post-acute care (“PAC-PPS”) in lieu of separate systems for inpatient rehabilitation facilities (“IRFs”), skilled nursing facilities, long-term acute care hospitals, and home health agencies. A PAC-PPS would rely on “site neutral” reimbursement based on patients’ medical conditions and other clinical factors rather than the care settings. MedPAC found a PAC-PPS to be feasible and desirable but also suggested many existing regulatory requirements, including the 60% rule discussed below and the requirement for a minimum of three hours of therapy per day, should be waived or modified as part of implementing a PAC-PPS. MedPAC previously estimated, although we cannot verify the methodology or the accuracy of that estimate, a PAC-PPS would result in 15% and 1% decreases

to IRF and home health reimbursements, respectively. As a precursor to a unified PAC-PPS, MedPAC discussed in November 2017 a potential recommendation to change the case-mix weights in each post-acute setting for 2019 and 2020 to a blend of the current setting specific weight and the proposed unified PAC-PPS weight, which MedPAC suggested would shift money from for-profit and freestanding IRFs to non-profit & hospital-based IRFs. Additionally, MedPAC previously has suggested that Medicare should ultimately move from fee-for-service reimbursement to more integrated delivery payment models.

MedPAC also recommended significant changes to the HH-PPS, some of which CMS incorporated into the new payment system mandated by the 2018 Budget Act, referred to as the Patient-Driven Groupings Model (“PDGM”), and set out

Table of Contents

in the final rule for the 2019 HH-PPS. Beginning in 2020, PDGM replaces the current 60-day episode of payment methodology with a 30-day unit of service for home health payment purposes and eliminates therapy usage as a factor in setting payments (that is, more therapy visits lead to higher reimbursement). CMS previously proposed but has not yet adopted a 6.4% reduction in the base payment rate for 2020 intended to offset the provider behavioral changes that CMS assumes PDGM will drive. Such changes could have a significant impact on home health providers. We cannot predict the final substance of any mandated regulatory actions or the impact of these significant changes to the HH-PPS on our home health agencies and their Medicare reimbursements.

With respect to significant changes to the IRF-PPS, CMS recently finalized pursuant to a requirement of the IMPACT Act a change, effective October 1, 2019, that replaces the FIMTM assessment instrument with new patient assessment measures, commonly referred to as “CARE Tool” measures. This change in how patients are assessed and reported to CMS will require substantial changes to the case mix-group relative weights and average length of stay values, which will in turn impact reimbursement amounts. We and the IRF industry are still assessing the nature and magnitude of any impact this might have on aggregate Medicare reimbursements, which impact will depend in part on additional data and updates to be included in future rulemaking by CMS. We will continue to educate and train our staff on the use of the CARE Tool, including the new documentation requirements.

Further, we cannot predict what alternative or additional deficit reduction initiatives, Medicare payment reductions, or post-acute care reforms, if any, will ultimately be adopted or enacted into law, or the timing or effect of any initiatives or reductions. Those initiatives or reductions would be in addition to many ordinary course reimbursement rate changes that CMS adopts each year as part of the market basket update rulemaking process for various provider categories. While we do not expect the drive toward integrated delivery payment models, value-based purchasing, and post-acute site neutrality in Medicare reimbursement to subside, there are well publicized efforts to repeal, or alter implementation of, various provisions of the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws and substitute yet to be determined healthcare reforms. We cannot predict the nature or timing of any changes to the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws or other laws or regulations that either currently affect, or may in the future affect, our business.

There can be no assurance future governmental action will not result in substantial changes to, or material reductions in, our reimbursements. Similarly, we may experience material increases in our operating costs. For example, in 2019, we expect our wage and benefit costs to increase at a rate in excess of our aggregate Medicare reimbursement rate increase. In any given year, the net effect of statutory and regulatory changes may result in a decrease in our reimbursement rate, and that decrease may occur at a time when our expenses are increasing. As a result, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. For additional discussion of how we are reimbursed by Medicare, see Item 1, Business, “Regulatory and Reimbursement Challenges” and “Sources of Revenues—Medicare Reimbursement.”

In addition, there are increasing pressures, including as a result of the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws, from many third-party payors to control healthcare costs and to reduce or limit increases in reimbursement rates for medical services. Our relationships with managed care and nongovernmental third-party payors, such as health maintenance organizations and preferred provider organizations, are generally governed by negotiated agreements. These agreements set forth the amounts we are entitled to receive for our services. Our Net operating revenues and our ability to grow our business with these payors could be adversely affected if we are unable to negotiate and maintain favorable agreements with third-party payors.

The ongoing evolution of the healthcare delivery system, including alternative payment models and value-based purchasing initiatives, in the United States may significantly affect our business and results of operations.

The healthcare industry in general is facing uncertainty associated with the efforts, primarily arising from initiatives such as payment bundling and ACOs included in the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws, to identify and implement workable coordinated care and integrated delivery payment models. In an integrated delivery payment model, hospitals, physicians, and other care providers are reimbursed in a fashion meant to encourage coordinated healthcare on a more efficient, patient-centered basis. These providers are then paid based on the overall value and quality (as determined by outcomes) of the services they provide to a patient rather than the number of services they provide. While this is consistent with our goal and proven track record of being a high-quality, cost-effective provider, broad-based implementation of a new delivery payment model would represent a significant evolution or

transformation of the healthcare industry, which may have a significant impact on our business and results of operations.

In recent years, HHS has been studying the feasibility of bundling, including conducting a voluntary, multi-year bundling pilot program to test and evaluate alternative payment methodologies. As of December 31, 2017, eight of our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals participated in the “at-risk” phase of Model 3, the post-acute only model, of CMS’ voluntary Bundled Payments for Care Improvement (“BPCI”) initiative and our home health agencies participated in 128 “at-risk” bundled

Table of Contents

payment arrangements. In the “at-risk” phase, providers take on financial risk associated with an episode of care. Accordingly, reimbursement may be increased or decreased, compared to what would otherwise be due, based on whether the total Medicare expenditures and patient outcomes meet, exceed or fall short of the targets. The BPCI initiative ended in September 2018. The BPCI Advanced voluntary initiative began October 1, 2018, runs through December 31, 2023, and covers 29 types of inpatient and 3 types of outpatient clinical episodes, including stroke and hip fracture. Providers participating in BPCI Advanced are subject to a semi-annual reconciliation process where CMS compares the aggregate Medicare expenditures for all items and services included in a clinical episode against the target price for that type of episode to determine whether the participant is eligible to receive a portion of the savings, or is required to repay a portion of the payment above target. The opportunities for post-acute providers to participate in BPCI Advanced are more limited than in the initial BPCI, so we cannot predict what the extent of our participation will be.

Similarly, CMS has established per the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws several separate ACO programs, the largest of which is the Medicare Shared Savings Program (“MSSP”), a voluntary ACO program in which hospitals, physicians, and other care providers pursue the delivery of coordinated healthcare on a more efficient, patient-centered basis. Conceptually, ACOs receive a portion of any savings generated above a certain threshold from care coordination as long as benchmarks for the quality of care are maintained. Under the MSSP, there are different ACO tracks from which participants can choose. Each track offers a different degree to which participants share any savings realized or any obligation to repay losses suffered. The ACO rules adopted by CMS are extremely complex and remain subject to further refinement by CMS. According to CMS, 506 MSSP ACOs served patients in 2018. On December 21, 2018, CMS issued a final rule that makes several changes to the MSSP to, among other things, encourage transition to two-sided performance based risk more quickly.

We continue to evaluate, on a case-by-case basis, appropriate ACO participation opportunities for our hospitals and home health agencies. Several of our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals have signed participation or preferred provider agreements with an ACO. Given our recent involvement, those hospitals have treated only a limited number of patients in the ACOs to date. We have also partnered as the preferred home health provider with two ACOs serving approximately 24,000 total Medicare patients in Texas and Oklahoma, one of which met the minimum savings rate required to participate in Medicare shared savings for 2017.

On November 16, 2015, CMS published its final rule establishing the Comprehensive Care for Joint Replacement (“CJR”) payment model, which holds acute care hospitals accountable for the quality of care they deliver to Medicare fee-for-service beneficiaries for lower extremity joint replacements (i.e., knees and hips) from surgery through recovery. The CJR originally was mandatory for the acute care hospitals in the 67 geographic areas covered. On November 30, 2017, CMS issued a final rule making the CJR voluntary in 33 of those areas. During the CJR model’s five-year term, healthcare providers in the 34 geographic areas with mandatory participation will continue to be paid under existing Medicare payment systems. However, the acute-care hospital where the joint replacement takes place will be held accountable for the quality and costs of care for the entire episode of care — from the time of the original admission through 90 days after discharge. Depending on the quality and cost performance during the entire episode, the acute-care hospital may receive an additional payment or be required to repay Medicare a portion of the episode costs. As a result, CMS believes acute care hospitals will be incented to work with physicians and post-acute care providers to ensure beneficiaries receive the coordinated care they need in an efficient manner. Acute care hospitals participating in the CJR model may enter into risk-sharing financial arrangements with post-acute providers, including IRFs and home health agencies. We operate 25 inpatient rehabilitation hospitals in the 34 areas with mandatory participation.

The bundling and ACO initiatives have served as motivating factors for regulators and healthcare industry participants to identify and implement workable coordinated care and integrated delivery payment models. Broad-based implementation of a new delivery payment model would represent a significant transformation for us and the healthcare industry generally. The nature and timing of the evolution or transformation of the current healthcare system to coordinated care delivery and integrated delivery payment models and value-based purchasing are uncertain. The development of new delivery and payment systems will almost certainly take significant time and expense. Many of the alternative approaches, including those discussed above and the new home health value-based

purchasing model discussed below, being explored may not work or could change substantially prior to a nationwide implementation. While only a small percentage of our business currently is or is anticipated to be subject to the alternative payment models discussed above, we cannot be certain these models will not be expanded or made standard.

Additionally, as the number and types of bundling and ACO models increase, the number of Medicare beneficiaries who are treated in one of the models increases. Our willingness and ability to participate in integrated delivery payment and other alternative payment models and the referral patterns of other providers participating in those models may limit our access to Medicare patients who would benefit from treatment in inpatient rehabilitation hospitals or by home care services. In an attempt to reduce costs, ACOs may seek to discourage referrals to post-acute care all together. To the extent that acute care hospitals participating in those models do not perceive our quality of care or cost efficiency favorably compared to alternative

Table of Contents

post-acute providers, we may experience a decrease in volumes and Net operating revenues, which could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. For further discussion of new coordinated care and integrated delivery payment models and value-based purchasing initiatives, the associated challenges, and our efforts to respond to them, see the “Executive Overview—Key Challenges—Changes to Our Operating Environment Resulting from Healthcare Reform” section of Item 7, Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Other legislative and regulatory initiatives and changes affecting the industry could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

In addition to the legislative and regulatory actions that directly affect our reimbursement rates or further the evolution of the current healthcare delivery system, other legislative and regulatory changes, including as a result of ongoing healthcare reform, affect healthcare providers like us from time to time. For example, the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws provide for the expansion of the federal Anti-Kickback Law and the False Claims Act that, when combined with other recent federal initiatives, are likely to increase investigation and enforcement efforts in the healthcare industry generally. Changes include increased resources for enforcement, lowered burden of proof for the government in healthcare fraud matters, expanded definition of claims under the False Claims Act, enhanced penalties, and increased rewards for relators in successful prosecutions. CMS may also suspend payment for claims prospectively if, in its opinion, credible allegations of fraud exist. The initial suspension period may be up to 180 days. However, the payment suspension period can be extended almost indefinitely if the matter is under investigation by the HHS Office of Inspector General (the “HHS-OIG”) or the United States Department of Justice (the “DOJ”). Any such suspension would adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Some states in which we operate have also undertaken, or are considering, healthcare reform initiatives that address similar issues. While many of the stated goals of other federal and state reform initiatives are consistent with our own goal to provide care that is high-quality and cost-effective, legislation and regulatory proposals may lower reimbursements, increase the cost of compliance, decrease patient volumes, promote frivolous or baseless litigation, and otherwise adversely affect our business. We cannot predict what healthcare initiatives, if any, will be enacted, implemented or amended, or the effect any future legislation or regulation will have on us.

On October 29, 2015, CMS issued a proposed rule relating to requirements for discharge planning for hospitals and home health agencies as called for by the IMPACT Act. The proposed rule would revise the discharge planning requirements applicable to our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals and home health agencies. CMS proposes to require hospitals (including IRFs) to have a discharge planning process that focuses on patients’ goals and preferences and on preparing them and, as appropriate, their caregivers, to be active partners in their post-discharge care. For our hospitals, the proposed rule would require standardized procedures pertaining to the development and finalization of unique discharge plans for all patients. CMS proposes that discharge instructions must be provided at the time of discharge to patients, or the patient’s caregiver or both, who are discharged home or who are referred to other post-acute care services, and that any post-discharge practitioners or providers must receive the patient’s discharge instructions at the time of discharge, including the patient’s discharge summary within 48 hours of discharge and any test results within 24 hours of availability.

For home health agencies, the proposed rule includes several new requirements. The discharge planning process would require the regular re-evaluation of patients to identify changes requiring modification of the discharge plan. The physician responsible for a patient’s plan of care would have to be involved in the ongoing establishment of the discharge plan. Home health agencies must also send certain specified medical and other information to the post-discharge facility or health care practitioner. The proposed rule would likely require the modification of existing discharge forms and reports, and patient visits may need to be extended in order to accommodate patient education. If adopted as proposed, we would expect to incur additional one-time and recurring expenses to comply, but at this time, we cannot predict what the final requirements will be or the timing or effect of those requirements. CMS has until November 3, 2019 to finalize this proposed rule.

In accordance with requirements adopted pursuant to the IMPACT Act, CMS implemented the new Medicare spending per beneficiary measures for each inpatient rehabilitation hospital in October 2016 and each home health

agency in January 2017. The intent of tracking and publishing this data is to evaluate a given provider's payment efficiency relative to the efficiency of the national median provider in that provider's post-acute segment. CMS believes this measure will encourage improved efficiency and coordination of care in the post-acute setting by holding providers accountable for Medicare resource use during an episode of care. However, the measures do not take into account patient outcomes. CMS has not proposed to compare payment efficiency across provider segments. In July 2013, CMS established a temporary moratorium on the enrollment of new home health agencies and branch locations in certain counties of Florida and Illinois and subsequently expanded it to the entirety of the states of Florida, Texas, Illinois and Michigan. CMS repeatedly extended the moratorium but allowed it to expire in January 2019.

Table of Contents

In 2016, CMS launched a new three-year demonstration project requiring home health providers to seek prior authorization before submitting claims for services in Florida, Texas, Illinois, Michigan, and Massachusetts. In the pre-claim review demonstration project, CMS proposed to have Medicare contractors collect additional information from home health providers submitting claims in order to determine proper payment or detect evidence of fraud. The project was intended to test whether pre-claim review improves methods for the identification, investigation, and prosecution of Medicare fraud and whether the pre-claim review helps reduce expenditures while maintaining or improving quality of care. The project began in Illinois on August 3, 2016. CMS paused the project in Illinois and delayed the remainder of the roll out because of difficulties encountered in administering the project. In September 2018, CMS announced the re-initiation of the project, now referred to as Home Health Review Choice Demonstration (“RCD”), with a start date in Illinois of December 10, 2018. Under RCD, providers may choose pre-claim review (not pre-authorization for payment as under the original project) or post-payment review of all Medicare claims submitted or elect not to participate, in which case they will incur a 25% payment reduction on all claims. Unlike the original version of the project, if 90% or more of a home health agency’s claims are found to be valid in the review, that agency may opt out of the RCD review, except for spot reviews of samples consisting of 5% of total claims. We expect a staggered implementation, beginning in Illinois, then expanding to Ohio and North Carolina, and later to Florida and Texas. We operate agencies (representing approximately 46% of our home health Medicare claims) in these states. In December 2018, CMS delayed the start date for RCD indefinitely. If rolled out, this pre-claim demonstration project will require us to incur additional administrative and staffing costs and may impact the timeliness of claims payment given that fiscal intermediaries in Illinois had difficulty processing pre-claim reviews on a timely basis. Accordingly, if the roll out project is not canceled, we may experience temporary decreases in Net operating revenues and in cash flow or we may incur costs associated with patient care, the Medicare claim for which is subsequently denied, each of which could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, and liquidity. As discussed above, MedPAC makes healthcare policy recommendations to Congress and provides comments to CMS on Medicare payment related issues. Congress is not obligated to adopt MedPAC’s recommendations, and, based on outcomes in previous years, there can be no assurance Congress will adopt any given MedPAC recommendation. For example, in March and June 2018, MedPAC issued reports to Congress again recommending several possible changes, which MedPAC has advocated previously, to various post-acute payment systems. One possible change reported on was an increase to outlier payments to be funded by reductions to non-outlier payments rates under the IRF-PPS. This change would adversely impact us compared to other IRF providers because our hospitals have also historically averaged significantly less Medicare reimbursement for high cost outlier patients than other providers have averaged.

We cannot predict what legislative or regulatory reforms or changes, if any, will ultimately be enacted, or the timing or effect any of those changes or reforms will have on us. If enacted, they may be challenging for all providers and have the effect of limiting Medicare beneficiaries’ access to healthcare services and could have a material adverse impact on our Net operating revenues, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. For additional discussion of healthcare reform and other factors affecting reimbursement for our services, see Item 1, Business, “Regulatory and Reimbursement Challenges” and “Sources of Revenues—Medicare Reimbursement.”

Quality reporting requirements may negatively affect the Medicare reimbursement we receive.

The focus on alternative payment models and value-based purchasing of healthcare services has, in turn, led to more extensive quality of care reporting requirements. In many cases, the new reporting requirements are linked to reimbursement incentives. For example, under the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws, CMS established new quality data reporting, effective October 1, 2012, for all IRFs. A facility’s failure to submit the required quality data results in a two percentage point reduction to that facility’s annual market basket increase factor for payments made for discharges in the subsequent Medicare fiscal year. Hospitals began submitting quality data to CMS in October 2012. All of our hospitals have met the reporting deadlines to date resulting in no corresponding reimbursement reductions. Similarly, home health and hospice agencies are also required to submit quality data to CMS each year, and the failure to do so in accordance with the rules will result in a two percentage point reduction in their market basket updates. For 2019, we expect no more than six of our home health and hospice agencies will incur a reduction in their reimbursement rates.

As noted above, the IMPACT Act mandated that CMS adopt several new quality reporting measures for the various post-acute provider types. The adoption of additional quality reporting measures to track and report will require additional time and expense and could affect reimbursement in the future. In healthcare generally, the burdens associated with collecting, recording, and reporting quality data are increasing. Currently, CMS requires IRF and home health providers to track and submit patient assessment data to support the calculation of 17 and 23 quality reporting measures, respectively.

Table of Contents

In 2015, CMS established a five-year home health value-based purchasing model in nine states to test whether incentives for better care can improve outcomes in the delivery of home health services. The model, which began in 2016, applies a reduction or increase to current Medicare-certified home health agency payments, depending on quality performance, made to agencies in Massachusetts, Maryland, North Carolina, Florida, Washington, Arizona, Iowa, Nebraska, and Tennessee. As of December 31, 2018, we have 44 home health locations in those states, which account for 22% of our home health Medicare revenue. Performance will be assessed based on several process, outcome, and care satisfaction measures, and the payment adjustments to be applied on an annual basis are set forth in the table below:

Performance Year	Calendar Year for Payment Adjustment	Maximum Payment Adjustment (+/-)
2017	2019	5%
2018	2020	6%
2019	2021	7%
2020	2022	8%

In 2018, we experienced a decrease in Net operating revenues of \$0.3 million. Based on 2017 performance data, we anticipate almost no impact to our 2019 reimbursements. There can be no assurance all of our hospitals and agencies will meet quality reporting requirements or quality performance in the future which may result in one or more of our hospitals or agencies seeing a reduction in its Medicare reimbursements. Regardless, we, like other healthcare providers, are likely to incur additional expenses in an effort to comply with additional and changing quality reporting requirements.

Compliance with the extensive laws and government regulations applicable to healthcare providers requires substantial time, effort and expense, and if we fail to comply with them, we could suffer penalties or be required to make significant changes to our operations.

Healthcare providers are required to comply with extensive and complex laws and regulations at the federal, state, and local government levels. These laws and regulations relate to, among other things:

- licensure, certification, and accreditation;
- policies, either at the national or local level, delineating what conditions must be met to qualify for reimbursement under Medicare (also referred to as coverage requirements);
- coding and billing for services;
- requirements of the 60% compliance threshold under the 2007 Medicare Act;
- relationships with physicians and other referral sources, including physician self-referral and anti-kickback laws;
- quality of medical care;
- use and maintenance of medical supplies and equipment;
- maintenance and security of patient information and medical records;
- acquisition and dispensing of pharmaceuticals and controlled substances; and
- disposal of medical and hazardous waste.

In the future, changes in these laws or regulations or the manner in which they are enforced could subject our current or past practices to allegations of impropriety or illegality or could require us to make changes in our hospitals, equipment, personnel, services, capital expenditure programs, operating procedures, and contractual arrangements, as well as the way in which we deliver home health and hospice services. Those changes could also affect reimbursements as well as future training and staffing costs.

In addition to specific compliance-related laws and regulations, examples of regulatory changes that can affect our business, beyond direct changes to Medicare reimbursement rates, can be found from time to time in CMS's annual rulemaking. For example, the final rule for the fiscal year 2010 IRF-PPS implemented new coverage requirements which provided in part that a patient medical record must document a reasonable expectation that, at the time of admission to an IRF, the patient

Table of Contents

generally required and was able to participate in the intensive rehabilitation therapy services uniquely provided at IRFs. CMS has also taken the position that a patient's medical file must appropriately document the rationale for the use of group therapies, as opposed to one-on-one therapy. Beginning on October 1, 2015, CMS instituted a new data collection requirement pursuant to which IRFs must capture the minutes and mode (individual, group, concurrent, or co-treatment) of therapy by specialty. CMS plans to use this data to potentially support future rulemaking in this area. Additionally, from time to time CMS has adopted changes in the medical conditions that will presumptively count toward the 60% compliance threshold to qualify for reimbursement as an inpatient rehabilitation hospital.

Of note, the HHS-OIG periodically updates a work plan that identifies areas of compliance focus. In recent years, HHS-OIG work plans for IRFs have focused on, among other items, the appropriate utilization of concurrent and group therapy and adverse and temporary harm events occurring in IRFs. The HHS-OIG website indicates active work plans will focus on appropriate documentation to support claims by home health and hospice agencies. Another active work plan provides HHS-OIG will determine if hospice patients are receiving the required visits by registered nurses. In September 2016, the HHS-OIG released a report purporting to identify a high error rate (approximately 80% of claims) among inpatient rehabilitation hospital admissions in a small sample of 220 claims. Based on its findings, the HHS-OIG extrapolated the error rate to the universe of inpatient rehabilitation claims and, among other things, recommended reevaluation of the IRF-PPS. However, the HHS-OIG report involves an extremely small sample size, is not a random sample of cases, includes some citations to coverage requirements that do not match actual regulations, appears to conflate technical documentation requirements with medical necessity determinations, and is at odds with actual Medicare Administrative Contractors ("MACs") reviews of claims during that same timeframe which found substantially lower error rates. The HHS-OIG work plan, audit or similar future efforts could result in proposed changes to the payment systems for providers or increased denials of Medicare claims for patients notwithstanding the referring physicians' judgment that treatment is appropriate.

As the recent HHS-OIG work plans demonstrate, the clarity and completeness of each patient medical file, some of which is the work product of a physician not employed by us, are essential to demonstrating our compliance with various regulatory and reimbursement requirements. For example, to support the determination that a patient's IRF treatment was reasonable and necessary, the file must contain, among other things, an admitting physician's assessment of the patient as well as a post-admission assessment by the treating physician and other information from clinicians relating to the plan of care and the therapies being provided. These physicians are not employees. They exercise their independent medical judgment. We and our hospital medical directors, who are independent contractors, provide training on a regular basis to the physicians who treat patients at our hospitals regarding appropriate documentation. However, we ultimately do not and cannot control the physicians' medical judgment. In connection with subsequent payment audits and investigations, there can be no assurance as to what opinion a third party may take regarding the status of patient files or the physicians' medical judgment evidenced in those files.

On March 4, 2013, we received document subpoenas from an office of the HHS-OIG addressed to four of our hospitals. Those subpoenas also requested complete copies of medical records for 100 patients treated at each of those hospitals between September 2008 and June 2012. The investigation is being conducted by DOJ. On April 24, 2014, we received document subpoenas relating to an additional seven of our hospitals. The new subpoenas reference substantially similar investigation subject matter as the original subpoenas and request materials from the period January 2008 through December 2013. Two of the four hospitals addressed in the original set of subpoenas have received supplemental subpoenas to cover this new time period. The most recent subpoenas do not include requests for specific patient files. However, in February 2015, DOJ requested the voluntary production of the medical records of an additional 70 patients, some of whom were treated in hospitals not subject to the subpoenas, and we provided these records. We have not received any subsequent requests for medical records from DOJ.

All of the subpoenas were in connection with an investigation of alleged improper or fraudulent claims submitted to Medicare and Medicaid and requested documents and materials relating to practices, procedures, protocols and policies of certain pre- and post-admissions activities at these hospitals including marketing functions, pre-admission screening, post-admission physician evaluations, patient assessment instruments, individualized patient plans of care, and compliance with the Medicare 60% rule. Under the Medicare rule commonly referred to as the "60% Rule," 60% or more of the patients of an IRF must have at least one of a specified list of medical conditions in order to be reimbursed

at the IRF-PPS payment rates, rather than at the lower acute care hospital payment rates.

We are aware of no evidence of fraud, falsity or wrongdoing. However, based on recent discussions with the government as well as the burdens and distractions associated with continuing the investigation and the likely costs of future litigation, we now estimate a settlement value of \$48 million. Discussions are ongoing, and until they are concluded, there can be no certainty about the nature, timing or likelihood of a settlement. See Note 17, Contingencies and Other Commitments, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional discussion of this matter.

Table of Contents

Although we have invested, and will continue to invest, substantial time, effort, and expense in implementing and maintaining training programs as well as internal controls and procedures designed to ensure regulatory compliance, we could be required to return portions of reimbursements for discharges alleged after the fact to have not been appropriate under the applicable reimbursement rules and change our patient admissions practices going forward. We could also be subjected to other liabilities, including (1) criminal penalties, (2) civil penalties, including monetary penalties and the loss of our licenses to operate one or more of our hospitals, and (3) exclusion or suspension of one or more of our hospitals from participation in the Medicare, Medicaid, and other federal and state healthcare programs, which, if lengthy in duration and material to us, could potentially trigger a default under our credit agreement or debt instruments.

Because Medicare comprises a significant portion of our Net operating revenues, failure to comply with the laws and regulations governing the Medicare program and related matters, including anti-kickback and anti-fraud requirements, could materially and adversely affect us. As discussed above in connection with the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws, the federal government has in the last couple of years made compliance enforcement and fighting healthcare fraud top priorities. In the past few years, DOJ and HHS as well as federal lawmakers have significantly increased efforts to ensure strict compliance with various reimbursement related regulations as well as combat healthcare fraud. DOJ has pursued and recovered record amounts based on alleged healthcare fraud. The increased enforcement efforts have frequently included aggressive arguments and interpretations of laws and regulations that pose risks for all providers. For example, the federal government has increasingly asserted that incidents of erroneous billing or record keeping may represent violations of the False Claims Act. Human error and oversight in record keeping and documentation, particularly where those activities are the responsibility of non-employees, are always a risk in business, and healthcare providers and independent physicians are no different. Additionally, the federal government has been willing to challenge the medical judgment of independent physicians in determining issues such as the medical necessity of a given treatment plan.

Settlements of alleged violations or imposed reductions in reimbursements, substantial damages and other remedies assessed against us could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. Even the assertion of a violation, depending on its nature, could have a material adverse effect upon our stock price or reputation and could cost us significant time and expense to defend.

Reimbursement claims are subject to various audits from time to time and such audits may negatively affect our operations and our cash flows from operations.

We receive a substantial portion of our revenues from the Medicare program. Medicare reimbursement claims made by healthcare providers, including inpatient rehabilitation hospitals as well as home health and hospice agencies, are subject to audit from time to time by governmental payors and their agents, such as MACs that act as fiscal intermediaries for all Medicare billings, auditors contracted by CMS, and insurance carriers, as well as HHS-OIG, CMS and state Medicaid programs. As noted above, the clarity and completeness of each patient medical file, some of which is the work product of a physician not employed by us, is essential to successfully challenging any payment denials. If the physicians working with our patients do not adequately document, among other things, their diagnoses and plans of care, our risks related to audits and payment denials in general are greater. Depending on the nature of the conduct found in such audits and whether the underlying conduct could be considered systemic, the resolution of these audits could have a material adverse effect in the aggregate on our financial position, results of operation and liquidity.

In the context of our inpatient rehabilitation business, one of the prevalent grounds for denying a claim or challenging a previously paid claim in an audit is that the patient's treatment in a hospital was not medically necessary. The medical record must support that both the documentation and coverage criteria requirements are met for the hospital stay to be considered medically reasonable and necessary. Medical necessity is an assessment by an independent physician of a patient's ability to tolerate and benefit from intensive multi-disciplinary therapy provided in an IRF setting. A Medicare claim may be denied or challenged based on an opinion of the auditor that the record did not evidence medical necessity for treatment in an IRF or lacked sufficient documentation to support the conclusion. In some cases, we believe the reviewing party is not merely challenging the sufficiency of the medical record but is substituting its judgment of medical necessity for that of the attending physician or imposing documentation or other

requirements that are not set out in the regulations. We argue that doing so is inappropriate and has no basis in law. When the government or its contractors reject the medical judgment of physicians or impose documentation and other requirements beyond the language of the statutes and regulations, patient access to inpatient rehabilitation as well as our Medicare reimbursement from the related claims may be adversely affected.

MACs, under programs known as “widespread probes,” have conducted pre-payment claim reviews of our Medicare billings and in some cases denied payment for certain diagnosis codes. A majority of the denials we have encountered in these probes derive from one MAC. In connection with some probes, that MAC made determinations regarding medical necessity which represent its uniquely restrictive interpretations of the CMS coverage rules or impose otherwise arbitrary conditions not set out in the related rules. That MAC lost its contract with CMS, and in February 2018, another MAC assumed the contract

Table of Contents

and began processing the claims from our hospitals in that jurisdiction. There can be no assurance that our current or future MACs will not take similarly restrictive interpretations. Because one MAC has jurisdiction over a significant number of our hospitals, a single widespread probe by that MAC could result in a large number of denials. We cannot predict what, if any, changes will result from the transition of the CMS MAC contract from one company to another. In August 2017, CMS announced the Targeted Probe and Educate (“TPE”) initiative. Under the TPE initiative, MACs use data analysis to identify healthcare providers with high claim error rates and items and services that have high national error rates. Once a MAC selects a provider for claims review, the initial volume of claims review is limited to 20 to 40 claims. The TPE initiative includes up to three rounds of claims review with corresponding provider education and a subsequent period to allow for improvement. If results do not improve sufficiently after three rounds, the MAC may refer the provider to CMS for further action, which may include extrapolation of error rates to a broader universe of claims or referral to a ZPIC or RAC (defined below). We cannot predict the impact of the TPE initiative on our ability to collect claims on a timely basis.

CMS has developed and instituted various audit programs under which CMS contracts with private companies to conduct claims and medical record audits. These audits are in addition to those conducted by existing MACs. Some contractors are paid a percentage of the overpayments recovered. One type of audit contractor, the Recovery Audit Contractors (“RACs”), receive claims data directly from MACs on a monthly or quarterly basis and are authorized to review previously paid claims. The recovery auditor look back period is limited to six months from the date of service in cases where the hospital submits the claim within three months of the date of service. CMS has previously operated a demonstration project that expanded the RAC program to include prepayment review of Medicare fee-for-service claims from primarily acute care hospitals. It is unclear whether CMS intends to conduct RAC prepayment reviews in the future and if so, what providers and claims would be the focus of those reviews.

RAC audits of IRFs initially focused on coding errors but subsequently expanded to include medical necessity and billing accuracy reviews. To date, the Medicare payments subject to RAC audit requests represent less than 1% of our Medicare patient discharges from 2010 to 2018. We have appealed substantially all RAC denials arising from these audits using the same process we follow for appealing pre-payment denials by MACs. In December 2017, CMS announced the authorization of RACs to conduct complex reviews of the medical records associated with home health reimbursement claims. In September 2018, CMS announced the authorization of RACs to conduct complex reviews of the medical records associated with IRF reimbursement claims.

CMS has also established contractors known as the Zone Program Integrity Contractors (“ZPICs”). These contractors are successors to the Program Safeguard Contractors and conduct audits with a focus on potential fraud and abuse issues. Like the RACs, the ZPICs conduct audits and have the ability to refer matters to the HHS-OIG or DOJ. Unlike RACs, however, ZPICs do not receive a specific financial incentive based on the amount of the error. We have, from time to time, received ZPIC record requests which have resulted in claim denials on paid claims. In some cases, the ZPICs have extrapolated error rates to larger pools of our claims. We have appealed substantially all ZPIC denials arising from these audits using the same process we follow for appealing other denials by contractors and contested the use of extrapolation.

Audits may lead to assertions that we have been underpaid or overpaid by Medicare or have submitted improper claims in some instances. Such assertions may require us to incur additional costs to respond to requests for records and defend the validity of payments and claims and may ultimately require us to refund any amounts determined to have been overpaid. In some circumstances auditors have the authority to extrapolate denial rationales to large pools of claims not actually audited, which could greatly increase the impact of the audit. As a result, we may suffer reduced profitability, and we may have to elect not to accept patients and conditions physicians believe can benefit from inpatient rehabilitation. We cannot predict when or how these audit programs will affect us.

Our third-party payors may also, from time to time, request audits of the amounts paid, or to be paid, to us. We could be adversely affected in some of the markets where we operate if the auditing payor alleges substantial overpayments were made to us due to coding errors or lack of documentation to support medical necessity determinations.

The use of sub-regulatory guidance, statistical sampling, and extrapolation by CMS, Medicare contractors, HHS-OIG, and DOJ to deny claims, expand enforcement claims, and advocate for changes in reimbursement policy increases the risk that we could experience reduced revenue, suffer penalties, or be required to make significant changes to our

operations.

Because Medicare comprises a significant portion of our Net operating revenues, failure to comply with the laws and regulations governing the Medicare program and related matters, including anti-kickback and anti-fraud requirements, could materially and adversely affect us. Our ability to operate in a compliant manner impacts the claims denials, compliance enforcement, and regulatory processes discussed in other risks above. The federal government's reliance on sub-regulatory guidance, such as handbooks, FAQs, internal memoranda, and press releases, presents a unique challenge to compliance efforts.

27

Table of Contents

Such sub-regulatory guidance purports to explain validly promulgated regulations but often expands or supplements existing regulations without constitutionally and statutorily required notice and comment and other procedural protections. Without procedural protections, sub-regulatory guidance poses a risk above and beyond reasonable efforts to follow validly promulgated regulations, particularly when the agency or MAC seeking to enforce such sub-regulatory guidance is not the agency or MAC issuing the guidance and therefore not as familiar with the substance and nature of the underlying regulatory or even clinical issues involved.

Additionally, the federal government is also increasingly turning to statistical sampling and extrapolation to expand claims denials and enforcement efforts and advocate for changes in reimbursement policy. Through sampling and extrapolation, the government takes a review of a small number reimbursement claims and generalizes the results of that review to a much broader universe of claims, which can result in significant increases in the aggregate number and value of claims at issue. Increasing use of extrapolation can be found in payment review audits, such as those conducted by RACs and ZPICs. In addition to payment reviews, government agencies may allege compliance violations, including submission of false claims, based on sampling and extrapolation and seek to change reimbursement policy. For example, the HHS-OIG recently issued a report purporting to identify a high error rate (approximately 80% of claims) among inpatient rehabilitation hospital admissions in a small sample of 220 claims. Based on its findings, the HHS-OIG extrapolated the error rate to the universe of inpatient rehabilitation claims and, among other things, recommended reevaluation of the IRF-PPS. However, the HHS-OIG report involves an extremely small sample size, is not a random sample of cases, includes incorrect references to coverage requirement regulations, appears to conflate technical documentation requirements with medical necessity determinations, and is at odds with actual MAC reviews of claims during that same timeframe which found substantially lower error rates. Additionally, we believe there is no statutory authority for the practice of extrapolating in Medicare claims reviews. Notwithstanding the technical statistical flaws that can arise in sampling small groups of claims and the extremely problematic nature of extrapolation in the context of individualized decisions of medical judgment as some courts are beginning to note, sampling and extrapolation pose a growing risk to healthcare providers in the form of more significant claims of overpayments and increased legal costs to defend against these problematic regulatory practices. Any associated loss of revenue or increased legal costs could materially and adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Delays in the administrative appeals process associated with denied Medicare reimbursement claims may delay or reduce receipt of the related reimbursement amounts for services previously provided.

Ordinary course Medicare pre-payment denials by MACs, as well as denials resulting from widespread probes and audits, are subject to appeal by providers. We have historically appealed a majority of our denials. For claims we choose to appeal to an administrative law judge, we have historically experienced a success rate of approximately 70%. However, the appeals adjudication process established by CMS has encountered significant delays in recent years for, among other reasons, a shortage of judges to hear appeals. For example, most of our appeals heard in 2018 related to denials received in 2011 and 2012. We believe the process for resolving individual Medicare payment claims that are denied will continue to take several years. Currently, we have appeals being heard that have been pending for up to eight years. Additionally, the number of new denials far exceeds the number of appeals resolved in recent years (except 2018) as shown in the following summary of our inpatient rehabilitation segment activity:

	New Denials	Collections of Previously Denied Claims	Revenue Reserve for New Denials
	(In Millions)		
2018	\$10.2	\$14.1	\$3.0
2017	43.6	27.6	13.0
2016	74.9	26.2	20.6
2015	79.0	15.0	20.6

We currently record our estimates for pre-payment denials, including those resulting from widespread probes, and for post-payment audit denials that will ultimately not be collected as a component of Net operating revenues. Prior to an accounting guidance change, we recorded these amounts in the Provision for doubtful accounts. See Note 1, Summary

of Significant Accounting Policies, “Net Operating Revenues,” to the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Given the continuing or increasing delays along with the increasing number of denials in the backlog, we may experience decreases in Net operating revenues and/or decreases in cash flow as a result of increasing accounts receivable, which may in turn lead to a change in the patients and conditions we treat. Any of these impacts could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, and liquidity. Although Congress has considered legislation to reform and improve the Medicare audit and

Table of Contents

appeals process, we cannot predict what, if any, legislation will be adopted or what, if any, effect that legislation might have on the audit and appeals process.

In May 2014, the American Hospital Association and others filed a lawsuit seeking to compel HHS to meet the statutory deadlines for adjudication of denied Medicare claims. In December 2016, the presiding federal district court judge in the lawsuit ordered HHS to eliminate the backlog of appeals by the end of 2020. HHS appealed the federal district court decision, and an appeals court remanded the order for further consideration of how HHS can eliminate the backlog. On November 1, 2018, the district court again ordered HHS to achieve the following reductions: 19% by the end of fiscal year 2019; 49% by the end of fiscal year 2020; 75% by the end of fiscal year 2021; and 100% by the end of fiscal year 2022. We cannot predict what, if any, further action CMS will take to reduce the backlog.

Changes in our payor mix or the acuity of our patients could adversely affect our Net operating revenues or our profitability.

Many factors affect pricing of our services and, in turn, our revenues. For example, in the inpatient rehabilitation segment, these factors include the treating facility's urban or rural status, the length of stay, the payor and its applicable rate of reimbursement, and the patient's medical condition and impairment status (acuity). In recent years, our inpatient rehabilitation segment has experienced a shift in payor mix to a slightly larger percentage of Medicaid patients. We could also experience a shift to a lower average patient acuity. Both of these shifts adversely affect pricing growth.

See the "Segment Results of Operations—Inpatient Rehabilitation—Net Operating Revenues" section of Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The expansion and growth of Medicaid resulting from provisions of the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws has increased the number of those patients coming to us. Medicaid reimbursement rates are almost always the lowest among those of our payors, and frequently Medicaid patients come to us with other complicating conditions that make treatment more difficult and costly. We do not anticipate that Medicaid will continue to grow at the rate it has in recent years. However, we cannot predict what, if any, Medicaid changes will be adopted. We cannot predict whether our payor mix will shift to lower reimbursement rate payors. In the future, we may experience shifts in our payor mix or the acuity of our patients that could adversely affect our pricing, Net operating revenues, or profitability.

We face intense competition for patients from other healthcare providers.

We operate in highly competitive, fragmented inpatient rehabilitation and home health and hospice industries.

Although we are the nation's largest owner and operator of inpatient rehabilitation hospitals in terms of patients treated and discharged, revenues, and number of hospitals, in any particular market we may encounter competition from local or national entities with longer operating histories or other competitive advantages. For example, acute care hospitals, including those owned and operated by large public companies, may choose to expand or begin offering post-acute rehabilitation services. Given that approximately 90% of our hospitals' referrals come from acute care hospitals, that increase in competition could materially and adversely affect our admission referrals in the related markets. There are also large acute care systems that may have more resources available to compete than we have. Other providers of post-acute care services may attempt to become competitors in the future. For example, some nursing homes, including at least one public company operator, have been marketing themselves as offering certain rehabilitation services, even though nursing homes are not required to offer the same level of care, or are not licensed, as hospitals. In the home health and hospice services industries, our primary competition comes from locally owned private home health companies or acute care hospitals with adjunct home health services and typically varies from market to market. We also compete with a variety of other companies in providing home health and hospice services, some of which, including several large public companies, may have greater financial and other resources and may be more established in their respective communities. One public home health company has a joint venture arrangement in numerous markets with a public acute care hospital company. Similarly, there are also two large insurance companies that own home health businesses, one of which is one of the largest providers of Medicare-certified skilled home health services.

Competing companies may offer newer or different services from those we offer or have better relationships with referring physicians and may thereby attract patients who are presently, or would be candidates for, receiving our home health or hospice services. The other public companies and the insurance companies have or may obtain significantly greater marketing and financial resources or other advantages of scale than we have or may obtain.

Relatively few barriers to entry exist in most of our local markets. Accordingly, other companies, including hospitals and other healthcare organizations that are not currently providing competing services, may expand their services to include home health services, hospice care, community care services, or similar services. Additionally, nursing homes compete for referrals in some instances when the patients may be suitable for home-based care.

Table of Contents

There can be no assurance this competition, or other competition which we may encounter in the future, will not adversely affect our business, financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. In addition, from time to time, there are efforts in states with certificate of need (“CON”) laws to weaken those laws, which could potentially increase competition in those states. Conversely, competition and statutory procedural requirements in some CON states may inhibit our ability to expand our operations. For a breakdown of the CON status of the states and territories in which we have operations, see Item 2, Properties.

If we are unable to maintain or develop relationships with patient referral sources, our growth and profitability could be adversely affected.

Our success depends in large part on referrals from physicians, hospitals, case managers and other patient referral sources in the communities we serve. By law, referral sources cannot be contractually obligated to refer patients to any specific provider. However, there can be no assurance that individuals will not attempt to steer patients to competing post-acute providers or otherwise limit our access to potential referrals. The establishment of joint ventures or networks between referral sources, such as acute care hospitals, and other post-acute providers may hinder patient referrals to us.

Our growth and profitability depend on our ability to establish and maintain close working relationships with patient referral sources and to increase awareness and acceptance of the benefits of inpatient rehabilitation, home health, and hospice care by our referral sources and their patients. We cannot provide assurance that we will be able to maintain our existing referral source relationships or that we will be able to develop and maintain new relationships in existing or new markets. Our loss of, or failure to maintain, existing relationships or our failure to develop new relationships could adversely affect our ability to grow our business and operate profitably.

Efforts to reduce payments to healthcare providers undertaken by third-party payors, conveners, and referral sources may adversely affect our revenues and profitability.

Health insurers and managed care companies, including Medicare Advantage plans, may utilize certain third parties, known as conveners, to attempt to control costs. Conveners offer patient placement and care transition services to those payors as well as bundled payment participants, ACOs, and other healthcare providers with the intent of managing post-acute utilization and associated costs. Conveners may influence referral source decisions on which post-acute setting to recommend, as well as how long to remain in a particular setting. Given their focus on perceived financial savings, conveners customarily suggest that patients avoid higher acuity post-acute settings altogether or move as soon as practicable to lower acuity settings. Conveners are not healthcare providers and may suggest a post-acute setting or duration of care that may not be appropriate from a clinical perspective potentially resulting in a costly acute care hospital readmission.

We also depend on referrals from physicians, acute care hospitals, and other healthcare providers in the communities we serve. As a result of various alternative payment models, many third-party referral sources are becoming increasingly focused on reducing post-acute costs by eliminating post-acute care referrals or referring patients to post-acute settings other than perceived high-cost rehabilitation hospitals, sometimes without understanding the potential impact on patient outcomes over an entire episode of care. Our ability to attract patients could be adversely affected if any of our hospitals or agencies fail to provide or maintain a reputation for providing high-quality care on a cost-effective basis as compared to other providers.

We may have difficulty completing investments and transactions that increase our capacity consistent with our growth strategy.

We are selectively pursuing strategic acquisitions of, and in some instances joint ventures with, other healthcare providers. We may face limitations on our ability to identify sufficient acquisition or other development targets and to complete those transactions to meet goals. In the home health industry, there is significant competition among acquirors attempting to secure the acquisition of companies that have a large number of locations. In many states, the need to obtain governmental approvals, such as a CON or an approval of a change in ownership, may represent a significant obstacle to completing transactions. Additionally, in states with CON laws, it is not unusual for third-party providers to challenge the initial awards of CONs, the increase in the number of approved beds in an existing CON, or

the expansion of the area served, and the adjudication of those challenges and related appeals may take many years. These factors may increase the cost to us associated with any acquisition or prevent us from completing one or more acquisitions.

30

Table of Contents

We may make investments or complete transactions that could expose us to unforeseen risks and liabilities.

Investments, acquisitions, joint ventures or other development opportunities identified and completed may involve material cash expenditures, debt incurrence, operating losses, amortization of certain intangible assets of acquired companies, issuances of equity securities, liabilities, and expenses, some of which are unforeseen, that could materially and adversely affect our business, financial position, results of operations and liquidity. Acquisitions, investments, and joint ventures involve numerous risks, including:

• limitations, including state CONs as well as CMS and other regulatory approval requirements, on our ability to complete such acquisitions, particularly those involving not-for-profit providers, on terms, timetables, and valuations reasonable to us;

• limitations in obtaining financing for acquisitions at a cost reasonable to us;

• difficulties integrating acquired operations, personnel, and information systems, and in realizing projected revenues, efficiencies and cost savings, or returns on invested capital;

• entry into markets, businesses or services in which we may have little or no experience;

• diversion of business resources or management's attention from ongoing business operations; and

• exposure to undisclosed or unforeseen liabilities of acquired operations, including liabilities for failure to comply with healthcare laws and anti-trust considerations in specific markets as well as risks and liabilities related to previously compromised information systems.

As part of our development activities, we intend to build new, or de novo, inpatient rehabilitation hospitals. The construction of new hospitals involves numerous risks, including the receipt of all zoning and other regulatory approvals, such as a CON where necessary, construction delays and cost over-runs and unforeseen environmental liability exposure. Once built, new hospitals must undergo the state and Medicare certification process, the duration of which may be beyond our control. We may be unable to operate newly constructed hospitals as profitably as expected, and those hospitals may involve significant additional cash expenditures and operating expenses that could, in the aggregate, have an adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

We may not be able to successfully integrate acquisitions or realize the anticipated benefits of any acquisitions.

We may undertake strategic acquisitions from time to time. For example, we completed the acquisitions of the home health business of EHHI Holdings, Inc. in 2014, the inpatient rehabilitation operations of Reliant Hospital Partners, LLC and affiliated entities in 2015, and the home health operations of CareSouth Health System, Inc. in 2015. Prior to consummation of any acquisition, the acquired business will have operated independently of us, with its own procedures, corporate culture, locations, employees and systems. We expect to integrate acquired businesses into our existing business utilizing certain common information systems, operating procedures, administrative functions, financial and internal controls and human resources practices to the extent practicable. There may be substantial difficulties, costs and delays involved in the integration of an acquired business with our business. Additionally, an acquisition could cause disruption to our business and operations and our relationships with customers, employees and other parties. In some cases, the acquired business has itself grown through acquisitions, as was the case with EHHI, and there may be legacy systems, operating policies and procedures, financial and administrative practices yet to be fully integrated. To the extent we are attempting to integrate multiple businesses at the same time, we may not be able to do so as efficiently or effectively as we initially anticipate. The failure to successfully integrate on a timely basis any acquired business with our existing business could have an adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

We anticipate our acquisitions will result in benefits including, among other things, increased revenues and an enhanced ability to provide a continuum of facility-based and home-based post-acute healthcare services. However, acquired businesses may not contribute to our revenues or earnings to the extent anticipated, and any synergies we expect may not be realized after the acquisitions have been completed. If the acquired businesses underperform and such underperformance is other than temporary, we may be required to take an impairment charge. Failure to achieve the anticipated benefits could result in the diversion of management's time and energy and could have an adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Table of Contents

Competition for staffing, shortages of qualified personnel, union activity or other factors may increase our labor costs and reduce profitability.

Our operations are dependent on the efforts, abilities, and experience of our medical personnel, such as physical therapists, occupational therapists, speech pathologists, nurses, and other healthcare professionals. We compete with other healthcare providers in recruiting and retaining qualified personnel responsible for the daily operations of each of our locations. In some markets, the lack of availability of medical personnel is a significant operating issue facing all healthcare providers. This issue may be exacerbated if immigration is more limited in the future. A shortage may require us to continue to enhance wages and benefits to recruit and retain qualified personnel or to contract for more expensive temporary personnel. We also depend on the available labor pool of semi-skilled and unskilled employees in each of the markets in which we operate.

If our labor costs increase, we may not experience reimbursement rate or pricing increases to offset these additional costs. Because a significant percentage of our revenues consists of fixed, prospective payments, our ability to pass along increased labor costs is limited. In particular, if labor costs rise at an annual rate greater than our net annual market basket update from Medicare, as is expected to happen in 2019, or we continue to experience a shift in our payor mix to lower rate payors such as Medicaid, our results of operations and cash flows will be adversely affected. Conversely, decreases in reimbursement revenues, such as with sequestration and the proposed PDGM reimbursement rate reductions, may limit our ability to increase compensation or benefits to the extent necessary to retain key employees, in turn increasing our turnover and associated costs. Union activity is another factor that may contribute to increased labor costs. We currently have a minimal number of union employees, so an increase in labor union activity could have a significant impact on our labor costs. Our failure to recruit and retain qualified medical personnel, or to control our labor costs, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

We are a defendant in various lawsuits, and may be subject to liability under qui tam cases, the outcome of which could have a material adverse effect on us.

We operate in a highly regulated industry in which healthcare providers are routinely subject to litigation. As a result, various lawsuits, claims, and legal and regulatory proceedings have been and can be expected to be instituted or asserted against us. We are a defendant in a number of lawsuits. The material lawsuits and investigations, including the investigation related to the subpoenas received from HHS-OIG, are discussed in Note 17, Contingencies and Other Commitments, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements. This investigation, under the direction of DOJ, has been pending six years. We are aware of no evidence of fraud, falsity or wrongdoing. However, based on recent discussions with the government as well as the burdens and distractions associated with continuing the investigation and the likely costs of future litigation, we now estimate a settlement value of \$48 million. Discussions are ongoing, and until they are concluded, there can be no certainty about the nature, timing or likelihood of a settlement.

Substantial damages, fines, or other remedies assessed against us or agreed to in settlements could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows, including indirectly as a result of the covenant defaults under our credit agreement or debt instruments or other claims such as those in securities actions. Additionally, the costs of defending litigation and investigations, even if frivolous or nonmeritorious, could be significant.

The False Claims Act allows private citizens, called “relators,” to institute civil proceedings on behalf of the United States alleging violations of the False Claims Act. These lawsuits, also known as “whistleblower” or “qui tam” actions, can involve significant monetary damages, fines, attorneys’ fees and the award of bounties to the relators who successfully prosecute or bring these suits to the government. Qui tam cases are sealed at the time of filing, which means knowledge of the information contained in the complaint typically is limited to the relator, the federal government, and the presiding court. The defendant in a qui tam action may remain unaware of the existence of a sealed complaint for years. While the complaint is under seal, the government reviews the merits of the case and may conduct a broad investigation and seek discovery from the defendant and other parties before deciding whether to intervene in the case and take the lead on litigating the claims. The court lifts the seal when the government makes its decision on whether to intervene. If the government decides not to intervene, the relator may elect to continue to pursue the lawsuit individually on behalf of the government. We are aware of an unsealed qui tam case involving one

of our hospitals in which the government has declined to intervene and the relator has decided to pursue on the government's behalf. We believe this case to be without merit. It is possible that qui tam lawsuits have been filed against us, which suits remain under seal, or that we are unaware of such filings or precluded by existing law or court order from discussing or disclosing the filing of such suits. We may be subject to liability under one or more undisclosed qui tam cases brought pursuant to the False Claims Act.

Home care services, by their very nature, are provided in an environment that is not in the substantial control of the healthcare provider. Accordingly, home care involves an increased level of risk of general and professional liability. On any given day, we have thousands of care providers driving to and from the homes of patients. We cannot predict the impact any

Table of Contents

claims arising out of the travel, the home visits or the care being provided (regardless of their ultimate outcomes) could have on our business or reputation or on our ability to attract and retain patients and employees. We also cannot predict the adequacy of any reserves for such losses or recoveries from any insurance or re-insurance policies. We insure a substantial portion of our professional liability, general liability, and workers' compensation liability risks, which may not include risks related to regulatory fines and penalties, through our captive insurance subsidiary, as discussed further in Note 10, Self-Insured Risks, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Changes in the number of these liability claims and the cost to resolve them impact the reserves for these risks. A variance between our estimated and actual number of claims or average cost per claim could have a material impact, either favorable or unfavorable, on the adequacy of the reserves for these liability risks, which could have an effect on our financial position and results of operations.

The proper function, availability, and security of our information systems are critical to our business.

We are and will remain dependent on the proper function, availability and security of our and third-party information systems, including our electronic clinical information system, referred to as ACE-IT, which plays a substantial role in the operations of the hospitals, and the information systems currently in use by our home health and hospice business. We undertake substantial measures to protect the safety and security of our information systems and the data maintained within those systems, and we periodically test the adequacy of our security and disaster recovery measures. We have implemented administrative, technical and physical controls on our systems and devices in an attempt to prevent unauthorized access to that data, which includes patient information subject to the protections of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 and the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act and other sensitive information. For additional discussion of these laws, see Item 1, Business, "Regulation."

We expend significant capital to protect against the threat of security breaches, including cyber attacks, email phishing schemes, malware and ransomware. Substantial additional expenditures may be required to alleviate any problems caused by breaches, including unauthorized access to or theft of patient data and protected health information stored in our information systems and the introduction of computer malware or ransomware to our systems. We also provide our employees training and regular reminders on important measures they can take to prevent breaches or phishing schemes. We routinely identify attempts to gain unauthorized access to our systems. However, given the rapidly evolving nature and proliferation of cyber threats, there can be no assurance our training and network security measures or other controls will detect, prevent or remediate security or data breaches in a timely manner or otherwise prevent unauthorized access to, damage to, or interruption of our systems and operations. For example, it has been widely reported that many well-organized international interests, in certain cases with the backing of sovereign governments, are targeting the theft of patient information through the use of advance persistent threats. Similarly, in recent years, several hospitals have reported being the victim of ransomware attacks in which they lost access to their systems, including clinical systems, during the course of the attacks. We are likely to face attempted attacks in the future. Accordingly, we may be vulnerable to losses associated with the improper functioning, security breach or unavailability of our information systems as well as any systems used in acquired operations. In January 2018, news reports widely circulated the discovery of two vulnerabilities, named Meltdown and Spectre, found in the most commonly used microchip processors. These vulnerabilities which affect nearly all computers could allow unauthorized parties to circumvent system protections exposing nearly any data device processes, such as passwords, proprietary information, or encrypted communications. We have taken and will continue to take corrective action to attempt to prevent an exploitation of these vulnerabilities on our systems.

To date, we are not aware of having experienced a material cyber breach or attack. However, given the increasing cyber security threats in the healthcare industry, there can be no assurance we will not experience business interruptions; data loss, ransom, misappropriation or corruption; theft or misuse of proprietary or patient information; or litigation, investigation, or regulatory action related to any of those, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations and harm our business reputation. In November 2018, an employee fell victim to a spoofed email purporting to be from a vendor seeking to change electronic payment instructions. We initiated payments for an aggregate amount less than \$1 million pursuant to those fraudulent instructions, but the fraud was detected and the funds frozen in the recipient account. The funds were returned to us in

January 2019. As a result of this incident, we reviewed and supplemented our procedures and training for processing changes in vendor information.

A compromise of our network security measures or other controls, or of those businesses and vendors with whom we interact, which results in confidential information being accessed, obtained, damaged or used by unauthorized or improper persons or unavailability of systems necessary to the operation of our business, could impact patient care, harm our reputation, and expose us to significant remedial costs as well as regulatory actions (fines and penalties) and claims from patients, financial institutions, regulatory and law enforcement agencies, and other persons, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows. The nature of our business requires the sharing of protected health information and other sensitive information among employees and physician partners, many of whom carry and access portable devices outside of our physical locations, which in turn increases the risk of loss, theft or inadvertent

Table of Contents

disclosure of that information. Moreover, a security breach, or threat thereof, could require that we expend significant resources to repair or improve our information systems and infrastructure and could distract management and other key personnel from performing their primary operational duties. In the case of a material breach or cyber attack, the associated expenses and losses may exceed our current insurance coverage for such events. Some adverse consequences are not insurable, such as reputational harm and third-party business interruption. Failure to maintain proper function, security, or availability of our information systems or protect our data against unauthorized access could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. ACE-IT is subject to a licensing, implementation, technology hosting, and support agreement with Cerner Corporation. Similarly, we have an agreement to license, host, and support a comprehensive home care management and clinical information system, Homecare HomebaseSM. Our inability, or the inability of software vendors, to continue to maintain and upgrade our information systems, software, and hardware could disrupt or reduce the efficiency of our operations, including affecting patient care. A security breach or other system failure at Cerner, Homecare Homebase or another technology vendor could compromise our patient data or proprietary information or disrupt our ability to operate. In addition, costs, unexpected problems, and interruptions associated with the implementation or transition to new systems or technology or with adequate support of those systems or technology across numerous hospitals and agencies could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Successful execution of our current business plan depends on our key personnel.

The success of our current business plan depends in large part upon the leadership and performance of our executive management team and other key employees and our ability to retain and motivate these individuals. We rely upon their ability, expertise, experience, judgment, discretion, integrity and good faith. However, there is no guarantee we will be able to retain our key personnel. Our only employment agreements with members of management, which were put in place with the home health management team as part of the acquisition at the end of 2014, expire at the end of 2019. If we are unable to retain one or more key members of management, we may be unable to replace them with personnel of comparable experience in, or knowledge of, the healthcare provider industry or our specific post-acute segments. The loss of the services of any of these individuals could prevent us from successfully executing our business plan and could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We may incur additional indebtedness in the future, and that debt or the associated increased leverage may have negative consequences for our business.

As of December 31, 2018, we have approximately \$2.3 billion of long-term debt outstanding (including that portion of long-term debt classified as current and excluding \$263.8 million in capital leases). See Note 9, Long-term Debt, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Subject to specified limitations, our credit agreement and the indentures governing our debt securities permit us and our subsidiaries to incur material additional debt. If new debt is added to our current debt levels, the risks described here could intensify.

Our indebtedness could have important consequences, including:

- limiting our ability to borrow additional amounts to fund working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, debt service requirements, execution of our business strategy and other general corporate purposes;
- making us more vulnerable to adverse changes in general economic, industry and competitive conditions, in government regulation and in our business by limiting our flexibility in planning for, and making it more difficult for us to react quickly to, changing conditions;
- placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared with competing providers that have less debt; and
- exposing us to risks inherent in interest rate fluctuations for outstanding amounts under our credit facility, which could result in higher interest expense in the event of increases in interest rates, as discussed in Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

We are subject to contingent liabilities, prevailing economic conditions, and financial, business, and other factors beyond our control. Although we expect to make scheduled interest payments and principal reductions, we cannot provide assurance that changes in our business or other factors will not occur that may have the effect of preventing us from satisfying obligations under our credit agreement or debt instruments. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flow from operations in the future to service our debt and meet our other needs or have an unanticipated cash payment

obligation, we may have to

34

Table of Contents

refinance all or a portion of our debt, obtain additional financing or reduce expenditures or sell assets we deem necessary to our business. We cannot provide assurance these measures would be possible or any additional financing could be obtained.

The restrictive covenants in our credit agreement and the indentures governing our senior notes could affect our ability to execute aspects of our business plan successfully.

The terms of our credit agreement and the indentures governing our senior notes do, and our future debt instruments may, contain various provisions that limit our ability and the ability of certain of our subsidiaries to, among other things:

- incur or guarantee indebtedness;
- pay dividends on, or redeem or repurchase, our capital stock; or repay, redeem or repurchase our subordinated obligations;
- issue or sell certain types of preferred stock;
- make investments;
- incur obligations that restrict the ability of our subsidiaries to make dividends or other payments to us;
- sell assets;
- engage in transactions with affiliates;
- create certain liens;
- enter into sale/leaseback transactions; and
- merge, consolidate, or transfer all or substantially all of our assets.

These covenants could adversely affect our ability to finance our future operations or capital needs and pursue available business opportunities. For additional discussion of our material debt covenants, see the “Liquidity and Capital Resources” section of Item 7, Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Note 9, Long-term Debt, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

In addition, our credit agreement requires us to maintain specified financial ratios and satisfy certain financial condition tests. See the “Liquidity and Capital Resources” section of Item 7, Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Note 9, Long-term Debt, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Although we remained in compliance with the financial ratios and financial condition tests as of December 31, 2018, we cannot provide assurance we will continue to do so. Events beyond our control, including changes in general economic and business conditions, may affect our ability to meet those financial ratios and financial condition tests. A severe downturn in earnings, failure to realize anticipated earnings from acquisitions, or, if we have outstanding borrowings under our credit facility at the time, a rapid increase in interest rates could impair our ability to comply with those financial ratios and financial condition tests and we may need to obtain waivers from the required proportion of the lenders to avoid being in default. If we try to obtain a waiver or other relief from the required lenders, we may not be able to obtain it or such relief might have a material cost to us or be on terms less favorable than those in our existing debt. If a default occurs, the lenders could exercise their rights, including declaring all the funds borrowed (together with accrued and unpaid interest) to be immediately due and payable, terminating their commitments or instituting foreclosure proceedings against our assets, which, in turn, could cause the default and acceleration of the maturity of our other indebtedness. A breach of any other restrictive covenants contained in our credit agreement or the indentures governing our senior notes would also (after giving effect to applicable grace periods, if any) result in an event of default with the same outcome.

As of December 31, 2018, approximately 70% of our consolidated Property and equipment, net was held by our company and its guarantor subsidiaries under its credit agreement. See Note 9, Long-term Debt, and Note 20, Condensed Consolidating Financial Information, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements, and Item 2, Properties.

Uncertainty in the capital markets could adversely affect our ability to carry out our development objectives.

In recent years, the global and sovereign credit markets have experienced significant disruptions, and the debt ceiling and federal budget disputes in the United States affected capital markets. Future market shocks could negatively affect the availability or terms of certain types of debt and equity financing, including access to revolving lines of credit.

Future business

Table of Contents

needs combined with market conditions at the time may cause us to seek alternative sources of potentially less attractive financing and may require us to adjust our business plan accordingly. For example, tight credit markets, such as might result from further turmoil in the sovereign debt markets, would likely make additional financing more expensive and difficult to obtain. In 2018, the equity markets experienced volatility, which is believed to have been driven in part by concerns associated with rising interest rates. The inability to obtain additional financing at attractive rates or prices could have a material adverse effect on our financial performance or our growth opportunities.

As a result of credit market uncertainty, we could face potential exposure to counterparties who may be unable to adequately service our needs, including the ability of the lenders under our credit agreement to provide liquidity when needed. We monitor the financial strength of our depositories, creditors, and insurance carriers using publicly available information, as well as qualitative inputs.

If any of our hospitals or home health or hospice agencies fail to comply with the Medicare conditions of participation, that hospital or agency could be terminated from the Medicare program.

Each of our hospitals and home health and hospice agencies must comply with extensive conditions of participation for certification in the Medicare program. If any fail to meet any of the Medicare conditions of participation, we may receive a notice of deficiency from the applicable survey agency. If that hospital or agency then fails to institute an acceptable plan of correction and correct the deficiency within the applicable correction period, it could lose the ability to bill Medicare. A hospital or agency could be terminated from the Medicare program if it fails to address the deficiency within the applicable correction period. If CMS terminates one hospital or agency, it may increase its scrutiny of others under common control. Any termination of one or more of our hospitals or agencies from the Medicare program for failure to satisfy the conditions of participation could adversely affect our business, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

We currently maintain our principal executive office at 9001 Liberty Parkway, Birmingham, Alabama, the lease for which expires in 2033 and has multiple renewal options for additional 5-year terms.

In addition to our principal executive office, as of December 31, 2018, we leased or owned through various consolidated entities 427 locations to operate or support our business. All but three of our hospital leases, which represent the largest portion of our rent expense, have at least five years remaining on their terms after taking into consideration one or more renewal options. Our consolidated entities associated with our leased hospitals are generally responsible for property taxes, property and casualty insurance, and routine maintenance expenses. Our home health and hospice business is based in Dallas, Texas where it leases office space for corporate and administrative functions. The remaining home health and hospice locations are in the localities served by that business and are subject to relatively small space leases, primarily 4,000 square feet or less. Those space leases are typically six years or less in term. We do not believe any one of our individual properties is material to our consolidated operations.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information regarding our hospital properties (excluding the one hospital that has 51 licensed beds and operates as a joint venture which we account for using the equity method of accounting) and our home health and hospice locations (excluding two of the home health locations that operate as joint ventures which we account for using the equity method of accounting) as of December 31, 2018:

State	Licensed Beds	Number of Hospitals			Total	Home Health and Hospice Locations
		Building and Land Owned	Building and Land Leased	Building and Land Leased		
Alabama *+	427	2	3	2	7	13
Arizona	335	1	1	3	5	5
Arkansas *+	360	3	1	1	5	5
California	184	2	—	1	3	—
Colorado	104	1	—	1	2	6
Connecticut*	—	—	—	—	—	1
Delaware *	37	—	1	—	1	—
Florida *	927	10	—	2	12	15
Georgia *+	160	2 ⁽¹⁾	1	—	3	26
Idaho	—	—	—	—	—	12
Illinois *	65	—	1	—	1	3
Indiana	103	—	—	1	1	1
Kansas	242	1	—	2	3	6
Kentucky *+	323	2	1	—	3	3
Louisiana	47	1	—	—	1	3
Maine *	100	—	—	1	1	—
Maryland *+	64	1	—	—	1	3
Massachusetts *	560	2	—	2	4	4
Mississippi*+	33	—	—	1	1	20
Missouri *	191	—	2	—	2	2
Nevada	219	2	—	1	3	4
New Hampshire	50	—	1	—	1	—
New Jersey *+	199	1	1	1	3	—
New Mexico	87	1	—	—	1	7
North Carolina *+	68	1	—	—	1	6
Ohio	210	1	—	2	3	1
Oklahoma	40	—	1	—	1	22
Oregon*	—	—	—	—	—	2
Pennsylvania	734	5	—	4	9	3
Puerto Rico *+	72	—	—	2	2	—
South Carolina *+	410	2	4	1	7	3
Tennessee *+	493	5	4	—	9	10
Texas	1,473	11	2	9	22	62
Utah	84	1	—	—	1	15
Virginia *	297	2	1	3	6	11
West Virginia *+	268	1	3	—	4	—
Wyoming	—	—	—	—	—	2
	8,966	61	28	40	129	276

(2)

Table of Contents

* Hospital certificate of need state or U.S. territory

+ Home health certificate of need state or U.S. territory

The inpatient rehabilitation hospitals in Augusta and Newnan, Georgia are parties to industrial development bond financings that reduce the ad valorem taxes payable by each hospital. In connection with each of these bond structures, title to the related property is held by the local development authority. We lease the related hospital property and hold the bonds issued by that authority, the payment on which equals the amount payable under the lease. We may terminate each bond financing and the associated lease at any time at our option without penalty, and fee title to the related hospital property will return to us.

(1) This total includes 218 locations where we provide home health services and 58 locations where we provide hospice services.

Our principal executive office, hospitals, and other properties are suitable for their respective uses and are, in all material respects, adequate for our present needs. Information regarding the utilization of our licensed beds and other operating statistics can be found in Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Information relating to certain legal proceedings in which we are involved is included in Note 17, Contingencies and Other Commitments, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information

Shares of our common stock trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol "EHC." As discussed in Item 1, Business, effective as of January 1, 2018, the NYSE ticker symbol for our common stock changed from "HLS" to "EHC."

Holders

As of February 13, 2019, there were 98,743,918 shares of Encompass Health common stock issued and outstanding, net of treasury shares, held by approximately 7,785 holders of record.

Dividends

On February 21, 2019, our board of directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.27 per share, payable on April 15, 2019 to stockholders of record on April 1, 2019. We expect quarterly dividends to continue to be paid in January, April, July, and October. However, the actual declaration of any future cash dividends, and the setting of record and payment dates as well as the per share amounts, will be at the discretion of our board each quarter after consideration of various factors, including our capital position and alternative uses of funds.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2018, information concerning compensation plans under which our securities are authorized for issuance. The table does not reflect grants, awards, exercises, terminations, or expirations since that date. All share amounts and exercise prices have been adjusted to reflect stock splits that occurred after the date on which any particular underlying plan was adopted, to the extent applicable.

	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options ⁽¹⁾	Number of securities available for future issuance
Plans approved by stockholders	2,862,849 ⁽²⁾	\$ 35.23	10,592,123 ⁽³⁾
Plans not approved by stockholders	86,830 ⁽⁴⁾		—
Total	2,949,679	\$ 35.23	10,592,123

⁽¹⁾ This calculation does not take into account awards of restricted stock, restricted stock units, or performance share units.

⁽²⁾ This amount assumes maximum performance by performance-based awards for which the performance has not yet been determined.

⁽³⁾ This amount represents the number of shares available for future equity grants under the 2016 Omnibus Performance Incentive Plan approved by our stockholders in May 2016.

⁽⁴⁾ This amount represents 86,830 restricted stock units issued under the 2004 Amended and Restated Director Incentive Plan, the material terms of which are described below.

2004 Amended and Restated Director Incentive Plan

The 2004 Amended and Restated Director Incentive Plan (the "2004 Plan") provided for the grant of common stock, awards of restricted common stock, and the right to receive awards of common stock, which we refer to as "restricted stock units," to our non-employee directors. The 2004 Plan expired in March 2008 and was replaced by the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. Some awards remain outstanding. Awards granted under the 2004 Plan at the time of its termination will continue in effect in accordance with their terms. Awards of restricted stock units were fully vested

when awarded and will be settled in

39

Table of Contents

shares of common stock on the earlier of the six-month anniversary of the date on which the director ceases to serve on the board of directors or certain change in control events. The restricted stock units generally cannot be transferred. Awards are generally protected against dilution upon the issuance of stock dividends and in the event of a stock split, recapitalization, or other major corporate restructuring.

Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table summarizes our repurchases of equity securities during the three months ended December 31, 2018:

Period	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased ⁽¹⁾	Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit) (\$)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs ⁽²⁾
October 1 through October 31, 2018	596	\$ 75.28	—	\$250,000,000
November 1 through November 30, 2018	—	—	—	\$250,000,000
December 1 through December 31, 2018	—	—	—	\$250,000,000
Total	596	\$ 75.28	—	

In October, 596 shares were purchased pursuant to our Directors' Deferred Stock Investment Plan. This plan is a nonqualified deferral plan allowing non-employee directors to make advance elections to defer a fixed percentage

(1) of their director fees. The plan administrator acquires the shares in the open market which are then held in a rabbi trust. The plan also provides that dividends paid on the shares held for the accounts of the directors will be reinvested in shares of our common stock which will also be held in the trust. The directors' rights to all shares in the trust are nonforfeitable, but the shares are only released to the directors after departure from our board.

On October 28, 2013, we announced our board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$200 million of our common stock. On February 14, 2014, our board approved an increase in this common stock repurchase authorization from \$200 million to \$250 million. On July 24, 2018, our board approved resetting the aggregate common stock repurchase authorization to \$250 million. The repurchase authorization does not require the

(2) repurchase of a specific number of shares, has an indefinite term, and is subject to termination at any time by our board of directors. Subject to certain terms and conditions, including a maximum price per share and compliance with federal and state securities and other laws, the repurchases may be made from time to time in open market transactions, privately negotiated transactions, or other transactions, including trades under a plan established in accordance with Rule 10b5-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Company Stock Performance

Set forth below is a line graph comparing the total returns of our common stock, the Standard & Poor's 500 Index ("S&P 500"), and the S&P Health Care Services Select Industry Index ("SPSIHP"), an equal-weighted index of at least 35 companies in healthcare services that are also part of the S&P Total Market Index and subject to float-adjusted market capitalization and liquidity requirements. Our compensation committee has in prior years used the SPSIHP as a benchmark for a portion of the awards under our long-term incentive program. The graph assumes \$100 invested on December 31, 2013 in our common stock and each of the indices. The returns below assume reinvestment of dividends paid on the related common stock. We have paid a quarterly cash dividend on our common stock since October 2013.

The information contained in the performance graph shall not be deemed "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the SEC nor shall such information be deemed incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of

1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent we specifically incorporate it by reference into such filing.

40

Table of Contents

The comparisons in the graph below are based upon historical data and are not indicative of, nor intended to forecast, future performance of our common stock. Research Data Group, Inc. provided the data for the indices presented below. We assume no responsibility for the accuracy of the indices' data, but we are not aware of any reason to doubt its accuracy.

COMPARISON OF 5-YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN

Among Encompass Health Corporation, the S&P 500 Index, and the S&P Health Care Services Select Industry Index

For the Year Ended December 31,

Company/Index Name	Cumulative Total Return					
	Base Period	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Encompass Health Corporation	100.00	117.90	109.06	132.31	161.88	205.38
Standard & Poor's 500 Index	100.00	113.69	115.26	129.05	157.22	150.33
S&P Health Care Services Select Industry Index	100.00	120.81	124.75	111.51	118.70	120.17

Table of Contents

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

We derived the selected consolidated financial data presented below as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 and for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 from our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this filing. We derived the selected historical consolidated financial data presented below as of December 31, 2016 and as of and for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 from our consolidated financial statements and related notes not included herein. Refer to Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and the notes to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the financial data presented below, including matters that might cause this data not to be indicative of our future financial position or results of operations.

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
	(In Millions, Except per Share Data)				
Statement of Operations Data: ⁽¹⁾					
Net operating revenues	\$4,277.3	\$3,913.9	\$3,642.6	\$3,115.7	\$2,374.3
Operating earnings ⁽²⁾	555.2	578.3	588.1	485.7	418.4
Provision for income tax expense ⁽³⁾	118.9	145.8	163.9	141.9	110.7
Income from continuing operations*	374.3	350.6	318.1	253.7	276.2
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	1.1	(0.4)	—	(0.9)	5.5
Net income*	375.4	350.2	318.1	252.8	281.7
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(83.1)	(79.1)	(70.5)	(69.7)	(59.7)
Net income attributable to Encompass Health*	292.3	271.1	247.6	183.1	222.0
Less: Convertible perpetual preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	(1.6)	(6.3)
Net income attributable to Encompass Health common shareholders*	\$292.3	\$271.1	\$247.6	\$181.5	\$215.7
Weighted average common shares outstanding: ⁽⁵⁾					
Basic	97.9	93.7	89.1	89.4	86.8
Diluted	99.8	99.3	99.5	101.0	100.7
Earnings per common share:					
Basic earnings per share attributable to Encompass Health common shareholders:*					
Continuing operations	\$2.97	\$2.88	\$2.77	\$2.03	\$2.40
Discontinued operations	0.01	—	—	(0.01)	0.06
Net income	\$2.98	\$2.88	\$2.77	\$2.02	\$2.46
Diluted earnings per share attributable to Encompass Health common shareholders:*					
Continuing operations	\$2.92	\$2.84	\$2.59	\$1.92	\$2.24
Discontinued operations	0.01	—	—	(0.01)	0.05
Net income	\$2.93	\$2.84	\$2.59	\$1.91	\$2.29
Cash dividends per common share ⁽⁶⁾	\$1.04	\$0.98	\$0.94	\$0.88	\$0.78
Amounts attributable to Encompass Health:					
Income from continuing operations*	\$291.2	\$271.5	\$247.6	\$184.0	\$216.5
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	1.1	(0.4)	—	(0.9)	5.5
Net income attributable to Encompass Health*	\$292.3	\$271.1	\$247.6	\$183.1	\$222.0

Table of Contents

	As of December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
	(In Millions)				
Balance Sheet Data: ⁽¹⁾					
Working capital	\$(10.4)	\$184.7	\$178.9	\$172.3	\$322.3
Total assets* ⁽⁷⁾	5,175.0	4,864.5	4,663.8	4,592.2	3,386.1
Long-term debt, including current portion ^{(4) (7)}	2,514.4	2,577.7	3,016.4	3,171.5	2,111.2
Convertible perpetual preferred stock ⁽⁴⁾	—	—	—	—	93.2
Encompass Health shareholders' equity* ⁽⁴⁾	1,276.7	1,152.5	717.8	597.5	471.0

During the preparation of our December 31, 2018 financial statements, an error was identified in our recognition of deferred tax assets which resulted in an incorrect overstatement of our Total assets and Encompass Health shareholders' equity of \$18.1 million, \$13.9 million, and \$2.2 million in 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. The ^(*) impact of correcting this error to our 2017 consolidated financial statements is discussed in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, "Revision of Previously Issued Financial Statements," to the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The amounts included in the tables above have been revised to reflect the correction of this error.

We acquired the home health and hospice business of EHHI Holdings, Inc. ("EHHI") on December 31, 2014. ⁽¹⁾ Because the acquisition took place on December 31, 2014, our consolidated results of operations prior to 2015 do not include any results of operations from EHHI. Assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and redeemable noncontrolling interests were recorded at their estimated fair values as of the acquisition date.

⁽²⁾ We define operating earnings as income from continuing operations attributable to Encompass Health before (1) loss on early extinguishment of debt; (2) interest expense and amortization of debt discounts and fees; (3) other income; (4) loss on interest rate swaps; and (5) income tax expense or benefit.

For information related to our Provision for income tax expense, see Item 7, Management's Discussion and ⁽³⁾ Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Note 15, Income Taxes, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

⁽⁴⁾ During the fourth quarter of 2013, we exchanged \$320 million in aggregate principal amount of newly issued 2.00% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes due 2043 ("Convertible Notes") for 257,110 shares of our then outstanding 6.50% Series A Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock. On April 23, 2015, we exercised our rights to force conversion of all remaining outstanding shares of our Convertible perpetual preferred stock into common stock. During the second quarter of 2017, we exercised the early redemption option and subsequently retired all \$320 million of the Convertible Notes reducing our long-term debt balance by approximately \$278 million. Substantially all of the holders elected to convert their Convertible Notes to shares of our common stock, which resulted in the issuance of 8.9 million shares from treasury stock. See Note 9, Long-term Debt and Note 16, Earnings per Common Share, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

⁽⁵⁾ During 2017, we repurchased 0.9 million shares of our common stock in the open market for \$38.1 million. During 2016, we repurchased 1.7 million shares of our common stock in the open market for \$65.6 million. During 2015, we repurchased 1.3 million shares of our common stock in the open market for \$45.3 million. During 2014, we repurchased 1.3 million shares of our common stock in the open market for \$43.1 million.

⁽⁶⁾ In July 2014, our board of directors approved an increase in our quarterly cash dividend to \$0.21 per share. In July 2015, our board of directors approved an increase in our quarterly cash dividend of \$0.23 per share. In July 2016, our board of directors approved an increase in our quarterly cash dividend of \$0.24 per share. In July 2017, our board of directors approved an increase in our quarterly cash dividend of \$0.25 per share. In July 2018, our board of directors approved an increase in our quarterly cash dividend of \$0.27 per share. See Note 16, Earnings per Common Share, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

⁽⁷⁾ The EHHI acquisition resulted in total cash consideration delivered at closing of \$695.5 million. We funded the cash purchase price in the acquisition entirely with draws under the revolving and expanded term loan facilities of our credit agreement. On October 1, 2015, we acquired Reliant Hospital Partners, LLC and affiliated entities. The total cash consideration delivered at closing was approximately \$730 million. We funded the cash purchase price in

the

43

Table of Contents

acquisition with proceeds from our August and September 2015 senior notes issuances and borrowings under our senior secured credit facility. On November 2, 2015, we acquired the home health agency operations of CareSouth Health System, Inc. The total cash consideration delivered at closing was approximately \$170 million. We funded the cash purchase price with our term loan facility capacity and cash on hand. In May 2018, we acquired Camellia Healthcare and affiliated entities using cash on hand and borrowings under our revolving credit facility. See Note 2, Business Combinations, and Note 9, Long-term Debt, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (“MD&A”) should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes. This MD&A is designed to provide the reader with information that will assist in understanding our consolidated financial statements, the changes in certain key items in those financial statements from year to year, and the primary factors that accounted for those changes, as well as how certain accounting principles affect our consolidated financial statements. See “Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements” on page ii of this report for a description of important factors that could cause actual results to differ from expected results. See also Item 1A, Risk Factors.

We have revised our financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017 to correct an error in the accounting for deferred income taxes. Accordingly, the MD&A set forth below reflect the effects of this revision. See details in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, “Revision of Previously Issued Financial Statements,” to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Executive Overview

Our Business

We are a leading provider of integrated healthcare services, offering both facility-based and home-based patient care through our network of inpatient rehabilitation hospitals, home health agencies, and hospice agencies. As of December 31, 2018, our national footprint spans 36 states and Puerto Rico. As discussed in this Item, “Segment Results of Operations,” we manage our operations in two operating segments which are also our reportable segments:

(1) inpatient rehabilitation and (2) home health and hospice. For additional information about our business, see Item 1, Business.

In 2018, we undertook a rebranding to reinforce our strategy and position as an integrated provider of facility-based and home-based patient care. As part of the rebranding, we changed our corporate name from HealthSouth Corporation to Encompass Health Corporation and the NYSE ticker symbol for our common stock from “HLS” to “EHC.”

Inpatient Rehabilitation

We are the nation’s largest owner and operator of inpatient rehabilitation hospitals in terms of patients treated and discharged, revenues, and number of hospitals. We provide specialized rehabilitative treatment on both an inpatient and outpatient basis. We operate hospitals in 32 states and Puerto Rico, with concentrations in the eastern half of the United States and Texas. As of December 31, 2018, we operate 130 inpatient rehabilitation hospitals, including one hospital that operates as a joint venture that we account for using the equity method of accounting. In addition to our hospitals, we manage five inpatient rehabilitation units through management contracts. Our inpatient rehabilitation segment represented approximately 78% of our Net operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Home Health and Hospice

Our home health and hospice business is the nation’s fourth largest provider of Medicare-certified skilled home health services in terms of revenues. Our home health services include a comprehensive range of Medicare-certified home nursing services to adult patients in need of care. These services include, among others, skilled nursing, physical, occupational, and speech therapy, medical social work, and home health aide services. We also provide hospice services to terminally ill patients and their families that address patients’ physical needs, including pain control and symptom management, and to provide emotional and spiritual support. As of December 31, 2018, we provide home health and hospice services across 278 locations and 30 states, with concentrations in the Southeast and Texas. In addition, two of these home health agencies operate as joint ventures that we account for using the equity method of accounting. Our home health and hospice segment represented approximately 22% of our Net operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2018.

See also Item 1, Business, and Item 1A, Risk Factors, of this report, Note 18, Segment Reporting, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements, and the “Results of Operations” section of this Item.

Table of Contents

2018 Overview

In 2018, we focused on the following strategic priorities:

- providing high-quality, cost-effective care to patients in our existing markets;
- achieving organic growth at our existing inpatient rehabilitation hospitals, home health agencies, and hospice agencies;
- expanding our services to more patients who require these services by constructing and acquiring hospitals in new markets and acquiring and opening home health and hospice agencies in new markets;
- making shareholder distributions via common stock dividends and repurchases of our common stock; and
- positioning the Company for success in the evolving healthcare delivery system through key operational initiatives that include implementing the rebranding and name change, developing and implementing post-acute solutions, enhancing clinical collaboration between our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals and home health locations, refining and expanding use of clinical data analytics to further improve patient outcomes, and participating in alternative payment models.

During 2018, Net operating revenues increased 9.3% over 2017 due primarily to pricing and volume growth in our inpatient rehabilitation segment and volume growth in our home health and hospice segment. Within our inpatient rehabilitation segment, discharge growth of 4.6% coupled with a 2.2% increase inpatient revenue per discharge in 2018 generated 6.5% growth in net patient revenue compared to 2017. Discharge growth included a 2.8% increase in same-store discharges. Within our home health and hospice segment, home health admission growth of 10.0% coupled with the impact of a 0.6% decrease in revenue per episode in 2018 contributed to 20.5% growth in home health and hospice revenue compared to 2017. Home health admission growth included a 5.6% increase in same-store admissions. Many of our quality and outcome measures remained above both inpatient rehabilitation and home health industry averages. Not only did we treat more patients and enhance outcomes, we did so in a cost-effective manner. See the “Results of Operations” section of this Item.

Our growth efforts continued to yield positive results in 2018. In our inpatient rehabilitation segment, we:

- entered into an agreement with Saint Alphonsus Regional Medical Center in February 2018 to own and operate a new 40-bed inpatient rehabilitation hospital in Boise, Idaho. The joint venture hospital is expected to begin operating in the third quarter of 2019 subject to customary closing conditions, including regulatory approvals;
- began operating our new 34-bed inpatient rehabilitation hospital in Shelby County, Alabama in April 2018 and our new 38-bed inpatient rehabilitation hospital in Bluffton, South Carolina (formerly referred to as Hilton Head, South Carolina) in June 2018;
- began operating a 29-bed inpatient rehabilitation hospital in Murrells Inlet, South Carolina with our joint venture partner, Tideland Health, in September 2018;
- began operating our new 68-bed inpatient rehabilitation hospital in Winston-Salem, North Carolina with our joint venture partner, Novant Health Inc., in October 2018;
- continued the construction of our new 40-bed joint venture hospital with University Medical Center Health System in Lubbock, Texas. The hospital is expected to begin operating in the second quarter of 2019;
- continued our capacity expansions by adding 26 new beds to existing hospitals; and

Table of Contents

continued development of the following de novo hospitals:

Location	# of Beds	Actual / Expected Construction Start Date	Expected Operational Date
Murrieta, California	50	Q2 2018	Q4 2019
Katy, Texas	40	Q3 2018	Q4 2019
Sioux Falls, South Dakota	40	Q2 2019	Q2 2020
Coralville, Iowa	40	Q2 2019	Q2 2020

We also continued our growth efforts in our home health and hospice segment. On May 1, 2018, we completed the acquisition of privately owned Camellia Healthcare and affiliated entities (“Camellia”). The Camellia portfolio consisted of 18 hospice, 14 home health, and 2 private duty locations in Mississippi, Alabama, Louisiana and Tennessee. The Camellia acquisition leveraged our home health and hospice operating platform across key certificate of need states and strengthened our geographic presence in the Southeastern United States. We funded the cash purchase price of the acquisition with cash on hand and borrowings under our revolving credit facility. We expect to realize a tax benefit with an estimated present value of \$20 million to \$25 million related to this transaction.

In addition to completing the Camellia transaction, we acquired two home health locations located in Birmingham, Alabama, and Talladega, Alabama and four hospice locations located in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; Las Vegas, Nevada; Talladega, Alabama; and El Paso, Texas. We also began accepting patients at our seven new home health locations in Owasso, Oklahoma; Atlanta, Georgia; Vernon, Alabama; Boise, Idaho; Henderson, Nevada; Worcester, Massachusetts; and Bluffton, South Carolina.

We also continued our shareholder distributions by paying a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.25 per share on our common stock in the first three quarters of 2018. On July 24, 2018, our board of directors approved an increase in our quarterly cash dividend to \$0.27 per share. See the “Liquidity and Capital Resources” section of this Item.

We further positioned ourselves for the healthcare industry’s movement to integrated delivery payment models, value-based purchasing, and post-acute site neutrality. We recently completed our company-wide rebranding and name change initiative to reflect and reinforce our expanding national footprint and our strategy to deliver high-quality, cost-effective care across the post-acute continuum. We increased the clinical collaboration rate between our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals and home health locations. We developed and piloted a longitudinal patient record to manage patients across the post-acute continuum and a 90-day post-acute readmission prediction model. We began using patient care navigators to follow a patient throughout an episode of care. We also refined and expanded use of clinical data analytics to further improve patient outcomes and lower cost of care.

Business Outlook

We believe our business outlook remains positive. Favorable demographic trends, such as population aging, should increase long-term demand for the services we provide. While we treat patients of all ages, most of our patients are 65 and older, and the number of Medicare enrollees is expected to grow approximately 3% per year for the foreseeable future. We believe the demand for the services we provide will continue to increase as the U.S. population ages. We believe these factors align with our strengths in, and focus on, post-acute services. In addition, we believe we can address the demand for facility-based and home-based post-acute care services in markets where we currently do not have a presence by constructing or acquiring new hospitals and by acquiring or opening home health and hospice agencies in those extremely fragmented industries.

We are a leading provider of integrated healthcare services, offering both facility-based and home-based patient care through our network of inpatient rehabilitation hospitals, home health agencies, and hospice agencies. We are committed to delivering high-quality, cost-effective, integrated patient care across the healthcare continuum with a primary focus on the post-acute sector. As the nation’s largest owner and operator of inpatient rehabilitation hospitals in terms of patients treated and discharged, revenues, and number of hospitals, we believe we differentiate ourselves from our competitors based on the quality of our clinical outcomes, our cost-effectiveness, our financial strength, and our extensive application of technology. As the fourth largest provider of Medicare-certified skilled home health services in terms of revenues, we believe we differentiate ourselves from our competitors by the application of a highly integrated technology platform, our ability to manage a variety of care pathways, and a proven track record of consummating and integrating acquisitions.

Table of Contents

We have invested considerable resources into clinical and management systems and protocols that have allowed us to consistently produce high-quality outcomes for our patients while continuing to contain cost growth. Our proprietary hospital management reporting system aggregates data from each of our key business systems into a comprehensive reporting package used by the management teams in our hospitals, as well as executive management, and allows them to analyze data and trends and create custom reports on a timely basis. Our commitment to technology also includes our electronic clinical information system (“ACE-IT”). ACE-IT allows us to interface with the clinical information systems of acute care hospitals to facilitate patient transfers, reduce readmissions, and enhance patient outcomes. We also believe this system will improve patient care and safety, enhance staff recruitment and retention, and set the stage for connectivity with other providers and health information exchanges. Our home health and hospice segment uses information technology to enhance patient care and manage the business by utilizing Homecare HomebaseSM, an industry leading comprehensive information platform designed to manage the entire patient work flow and allow home health providers to process clinical, compliance, financial, and marketing information as well as analyze data and trends for management purposes using custom reports on a timely basis. Homecare Homebase also allows us to share valuable data with payors to promote better patient outcomes on a more cost-effective basis. All of these systems allow us to enhance our clinical and business processes. Our information systems allow us to collect, analyze, and share information on a timely basis, making us an ideal partner for other healthcare providers in a coordinated care delivery environment.

Our priorities include operational initiatives that build on momentum from 2018. Through clinical collaboration between our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals and home health agencies we further our pursuit of quality patient outcomes and improved patient experiences. We believe our clinical collaboration efforts have and will continue to contribute to reductions in discharges to skilled nursing facilities, higher discharges to home, and improved patient satisfaction. Due to the need of post-acute care for stroke patients, we are attempting to build our stroke market share by leveraging our strategic sponsorship of the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association, clinical collaboration, and hospital participation in The Joint Commission's Disease-Specific Care Certification Program. We will also continue to develop and implement post-acute solutions by leveraging our clinical expertise, large post-acute datasets, electronic medical record technologies, and strategic partnerships to drive improved patient outcomes and lower cost of care across the entire post-acute episode. The Medicare reimbursement systems for both inpatient rehabilitation and home health are subject to potentially significant changes. We will prepare for the transition to the new IRF patient assessment measures, commonly referred to as “CARE Tool” measures, and the implementation of the home health Patient-Driven Groupings Model (“PDGM”). For additional information see “Key Challenges” below as well as Item 1, Business, and Item 1A, Risk Factors.

The nature and timing of the transformation of the current healthcare system to coordinated care delivery and payment models is uncertain, as the development and implementation of new care delivery and payment systems will require significant time and resources. Furthermore, many of the alternative approaches being explored may not work as intended. However, as outlined in Item 1, Business, “Competitive Strengths”, our goal is to position the Company in a prudent manner to be responsive to industry shifts. We have invested in our core business and created an infrastructure that enables us to provide high-quality care on a cost-effective basis. We have been disciplined in creating a capital structure that is flexible with no significant debt maturities prior to 2022. We continue to have a strong, well-capitalized balance sheet, including a substantial portfolio of owned real estate. We have significant availability under our revolving credit facility, and we continue to generate strong cash flows from operations. Strong and consistent free cash flow generated by our Company, together with our relatively low financial leverage and the unfunded commitment of our revolving credit facility, provides substantial capacity to pursue growth opportunities in both of our business segments while continuing to invest in our operational initiatives and capital structure strategy. For these and other reasons, we believe we will be able to adapt to changes in reimbursement, sustain our business model, and grow through acquisition and consolidation opportunities as they arise.

Key Challenges

Healthcare is a highly-regulated industry facing many well-publicized regulatory and reimbursement challenges. The industry also is facing uncertainty associated with the efforts to identify and implement workable coordinated care and integrated delivery payment models as well as post-acute site neutrality in Medicare reimbursement. The Medicare

reimbursement systems for both inpatient rehabilitation and home health are subject to potentially significant changes in the next two years. The future of many aspects of healthcare regulation remains uncertain. Successful healthcare providers are those able to adapt to changes in the regulatory and operating environments, build strategic relationships across the healthcare continuum, and consistently provide high-quality, cost-effective care. We believe we have the necessary capabilities — change agility, strategic relationships, quality of patient outcomes, cost effectiveness, and ability to capitalize on growth opportunities — to adapt to and succeed in a dynamic, highly regulated industry, and we have a proven track record of doing so.

Table of Contents

As we continue to execute our business plan, the following are some of the challenges we face.

Operating in a Highly Regulated Industry. We are required to comply with extensive and complex laws and regulations at the federal, state, and local government levels. These rules and regulations have affected, or could in the future affect, our business activities by having an impact on the reimbursement we receive for services provided or the costs of compliance, mandating new documentation standards, requiring additional licensure or certification, regulating our relationships with physicians and other referral sources, regulating the use of our properties, and limiting our ability to enter new markets or add new capacity to existing hospitals and agencies. Ensuring continuous compliance with extensive laws and regulations is an operating requirement for all healthcare providers.

We have invested, and will continue to invest, substantial time, effort, and expense in implementing and maintaining training programs as well as internal controls and procedures designed to ensure regulatory compliance, and we are committed to continued adherence to these guidelines. More specifically, because Medicare comprises a significant portion of our Net operating revenues, failure to comply with the laws and regulations governing the Medicare program and related matters, including anti-kickback and anti-fraud requirements, could materially and adversely affect us. The federal government's reliance on sub-regulatory guidance, such as handbooks, FAQs, internal memoranda, and press releases, presents a unique challenge to compliance efforts. Such sub-regulatory guidance purports to explain validly promulgated regulations but often expands or supplements existing regulations without constitutionally and statutorily required notice and comment and other procedural protections. Without procedural protections, sub-regulatory guidance poses a risk above and beyond reasonable efforts to follow validly promulgated regulations, particularly when the agency or Medicare Administrative Contractor ("MAC") seeking to enforce such sub-regulatory guidance is not the agency or MAC issuing the guidance. If we were unable to remain compliant with these regulations, our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows could be materially, adversely impacted. Concerns held by federal policymakers about the federal deficit and national debt levels, as well as other healthcare policy priorities, could result in enactment of legislation affecting portions of the Medicare program, including post-acute care services we provide. It is not clear what, if any, Medicare-related changes may ultimately be enacted and signed into law or otherwise implemented or caused by the Trump Administration through regulatory procedures, but it is possible that any reductions in Medicare spending will have a material impact on reimbursements for healthcare providers generally and post-acute providers specifically. We cannot predict what, if any, changes in Medicare spending or modifications to the healthcare laws and regulations will result from future budget or other legislative or regulatory initiatives.

On February 9, 2018, President Trump signed into law the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (the "2018 Budget Act"). The 2018 Budget Act requires CMS to update the home health prospective payment system (the "HH-PPS") with a market basket update of 1.5% and eliminates the productivity adjustment for 2020. The 2018 Budget Act also mandates several significant changes to the HH-PPS, including replacing in 2020 the 60-day unit of payment with a 30-day unit of payment methodology, while retaining the 60-day episode. We cannot predict the impact of these significant changes to the HH-PPS on our home health agencies and their Medicare reimbursements. See Item 1A, Risk Factors, for additional discussion on changes included in the 2018 Budget Act.

The Medicare Payment Advisory Commission ("MedPAC") is an independent agency that advises Congress on issues affecting Medicare and makes payment policy recommendations to Congress and CMS for a variety of Medicare payment systems including, among others, the inpatient rehabilitation facility prospective payment system (the "IRF-PPS") and the HH-PPS. Congress and CMS are not obligated to adopt MedPAC recommendations, and, based on outcomes in previous years, there can be no assurance those recommendations will be adopted. However, MedPAC's recommendations have, and may in the future, become the basis for subsequent legislative or regulatory action. In recent years, MedPAC has made several recommendations that would significantly impact post-acute reimbursement systems if ultimately adopted. See Item 1A, Risk Factors, for additional discussion on MedPAC's payment policy recommendations.

Each year, CMS adopts rules that update pricing and otherwise amend the respective payment systems. On July 31, 2018, CMS released its notice of final rulemaking for Fiscal Year 2019 under the IRF-PPS (the "2019 IRF Rule"). Based on our analysis which utilizes, among other things, the acuity of our patients over the 12-month period prior to the 2019 IRF Rule's release and incorporates other adjustments included in it, we believe the 2019 Final IRF Rule will

result in a net increase to our Medicare payment rates of approximately 1.2% effective October 1, 2018. Additionally, the 2019 IRF Rule finalized a change to the IRF-PPS, effective October 1,

48

Table of Contents

2019, that replaces the FIM™ assessment instrument with new patient assessment measures, commonly referred to as “CARE Tool” measures. We and the IRF industry are still assessing the nature and magnitude of any impact this might have on aggregate Medicare reimbursements, which impact will depend in part on additional data and updates to be included in future rulemaking by CMS.

On October 31, 2018, CMS released its notice of final rulemaking for calendar year 2019 for home health agencies under the HH-PPS (the “2019 HH Rule”). Based on our analysis, we believe the 2019 HH Rule will result in a net increase to our Medicare home health payment rates of approximately 1.5% effective for episodes ending in calendar year 2019. Additionally, pursuant to the requirements of the 2018 Budget Act, the 2019 HH Rule sets out significant changes to the HH-PPS that will be adopted effective for calendar year 2020. The new payment system, referred to as the Patient-Driven Groupings Model (“PDGM”), replaces the current 60-day episode of payment methodology with 30-day payment periods and eliminates therapy usage as a factor in adjusting case-mix weighting. CMS previously proposed but has not yet adopted a 6.4% reduction in the base payment rate for 2020 intended to offset the provider behavioral changes that CMS assumes PDGM will drive. Based on 2017 data, and assuming no change in the foregoing and other factors, which are subject to potentially significant change, we estimate an approximate 3.8% incremental reduction (after 1.3% net market basket update mandated by the 2018 Budget Act) in Medicare payments assuming the PDGM is implemented on a budget neutral basis. For additional details of the 2019 IRF Rule, 2019 HH Rule, and other proposed and adopted legislative and regulatory actions that may be material to our business, see Item 1A, Risk Factors.

Reimbursement claims made by healthcare providers, including inpatient rehabilitation hospitals as well as home health and hospice agencies, are subject to audit from time to time by governmental payors and their agents, such as the MACs, fiscal intermediaries and carriers, as well as the Office of Inspector General, CMS, and state Medicaid programs. These audits as well as the ordinary course claim reviews of our billings result in payment denials, including recoupment of previously paid claims from current account receivables. Healthcare providers can challenge any denials through an administrative appeals process that can be extremely lengthy, taking up to eight years or longer. For additional details of these claim reviews, See Item 1A, Risk Factors and Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, “Accounts Receivable,” to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

See also Item 1, Business, “Sources of Revenues” and “Regulation,” and Item 1A, Risk Factors, to this report and Note 17, Contingencies and Other Commitments, “Governmental Inquiries and Investigations,” to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Changes to Our Operating Environment Resulting from Healthcare Reform. Many provisions within the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws have impacted, or could in the future impact, our business. Most notable for us are Medicare reimbursement reductions, such as reductions to annual market basket updates to providers and reimbursement rate rebasing adjustments, and promotion of alternative payment models, such as accountable care organizations (“ACOs”) and bundled payment initiatives such as the Bundled Payment for Care Improvement Initiative (“BPCI”), the Comprehensive Care for Joint Replacement (“CJR”) program, and the BPCI-Advanced program. Our challenges related to healthcare reform are discussed in Item 1, Business, “Sources of Revenues,” and Item 1A, Risk Factors.

While the change in administration has added to regulatory uncertainty, the healthcare industry in general has been facing uncertainty associated with the efforts to identify and implement workable coordinated care and integrated delivery payment models. In these models, hospitals, physicians, and other care providers work together to provide coordinated healthcare on a more efficient, patient-centered basis. These providers are then paid based on the efficiency and overall value and quality of the services they provide to a patient. While this is consistent with our goal and proven track record of being a high-quality, cost-effective provider, broad-based implementation of a new care delivery and payment model would represent a significant transformation for the healthcare industry. As the industry and its regulators explore this transformation, we are attempting to position the Company in preparation for whatever changes are ultimately made to the delivery system.

As discussed in Item 1, Business, the future of the 2010 Healthcare Reform Laws as well as the nature and substance of any replacement reform legislation enacted remain uncertain, nor can we predict whether other legislation affecting Medicare and post-acute care providers will be enacted, or what actions the Trump Administration may take or cause through the regulatory process that may result in modifications to the 2010 Healthcare Laws or the Medicare program.

Therefore, the ultimate nature and timing of the transformation of the healthcare delivery system is uncertain, and will likely remain so for some time. We will continue to evaluate these laws and regulations and position the Company for this industry shift. Based on our track record, we believe

Table of Contents

we can adapt to these regulatory and industry changes. Further, we have engaged, and will continue to engage, actively in discussions with key legislators and regulators to attempt to ensure any healthcare laws or regulations adopted or amended promote our goal of high-quality, cost-effective care.

Additionally, in October 2014, President Obama signed into law the IMPACT Act. The IMPACT Act was developed on a bi-partisan basis by the House Ways and Means and Senate Finance Committees and incorporated feedback from healthcare providers and provider organizations that responded to the Committees' solicitation of post-acute payment reform ideas and proposals. It directs the United States Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS"), in consultation with healthcare stakeholders, to implement standardized data collection processes for post-acute quality and outcome measures. Although the IMPACT Act does not specifically call for the development of a new post-acute payment system, we believe this act will lay the foundation for possible future post-acute payment policies that would be based on patients' medical conditions and other clinical factors rather than the setting where the care is provided, also referred to as "site neutral" reimbursement. For additional details on the IMPACT Act and efforts to implement a unified post-acute care payment system, see Item 1A, Risk Factors.

Maintaining Strong Volume Growth. Various factors, including competition and increasing regulatory and administrative burdens, may impact our ability to maintain and grow our hospital, home health, and hospice volumes. In any particular market, we may encounter competition from local or national entities with longer operating histories or other competitive advantages, such as acute care hospitals who provide post-acute services similar to ours or other post-acute providers with relationships with referring acute care hospitals or physicians. Aggressive payment review practices by Medicare contractors, aggressive enforcement of regulatory policies by government agencies, and restrictive or burdensome rules, regulations or statutes governing admissions practices may lead us to not accept patients who would be appropriate for and would benefit from the services we provide. In addition, from time to time, we must get regulatory approval to expand our services and locations in states with certificate of need laws. This approval may be withheld or take longer than expected. In the case of new-store volume growth, the addition of hospitals, home health agencies, and hospice agencies to our portfolio also may be difficult and take longer than expected.

Recruiting and Retaining High-Quality Personnel. See Item 1A, Risk Factors, for a discussion of competition for staffing, shortages of qualified personnel, and other factors that may increase our labor costs. Recruiting and retaining qualified personnel, including management, for our inpatient hospitals and home health and hospice agencies remain a high priority for us. We attempt to maintain a comprehensive compensation and benefits package that allows us to remain competitive in this challenging staffing environment while remaining consistent with our goal of being a high-quality, cost-effective provider of post-acute services.

See also Item 1, Business, and Item 1A, Risk Factors.

These key challenges notwithstanding, we believe we have a strong business model, a strong balance sheet, and a proven track record of achieving strong financial and operational results. We are attempting to position the Company to respond to changes in the healthcare delivery system and believe we will be in a position to take advantage of any opportunities that arise as the industry moves to this new stage. We believe we are positioned to continue to grow, adapt to external events, and create value for our shareholders in 2019 and beyond.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

Payor Mix

During 2018, 2017, and 2016, we derived consolidated Net operating revenues from the following payor sources:

	For the Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Medicare	75.9 %	76.0 %	75.6 %
Medicare Advantage	9.2 %	8.6 %	7.8 %
Managed care	8.8 %	9.3 %	9.7 %
Medicaid	2.6 %	2.5 %	3.0 %
Other third-party payors	1.1 %	1.3 %	1.4 %
Workers' compensation	0.7 %	0.7 %	0.8 %
Patients	0.5 %	0.5 %	0.5 %
Other income	1.2 %	1.1 %	1.2 %
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Our payor mix is weighted heavily towards Medicare. We receive Medicare reimbursements under the IRF-PPS, the HH-PPS, and the Hospice-PPS. For additional information regarding Medicare reimbursement, see the “Sources of Revenues” section of Item 1, Business.

As part of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, Congress created a program of private, managed healthcare coverage for Medicare beneficiaries. This program has been referred to as Medicare Part C, or “Medicare Advantage.” The program offers beneficiaries a range of Medicare coverage options by providing a choice between the traditional fee-for-service program (under Medicare Parts A and B) or enrollment in a health maintenance organization, preferred provider organization, point-of-service plan, provider sponsor organization, or an insurance plan operated in conjunction with a medical savings account.

Our consolidated Net operating revenues consist primarily of revenues derived from patient care services. Net operating revenues also include other revenues generated from management and administrative fees and other non-patient care services. These other revenues are included in “other income” in the above table.

Table of Contents

Our Results

From 2016 through 2018, our consolidated results of operations were as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,			Percentage Change		
	2018	2017	2016	2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016	
	(In Millions)					
Net operating revenues	\$4,277.3	\$3,913.9	\$3,642.6	9.3	% 7.4	%
Operating expenses:						
Salaries and benefits	2,354.0	2,154.6	1,985.9	9.3	% 8.5	%
Other operating expenses	585.1	531.6	490.6	10.1	% 8.4	%
Occupancy costs	78.0	73.5	71.3	6.1	% 3.1	%
Supplies	158.7	149.3	140.0	6.3	% 6.6	%
General and administrative expenses	220.2	171.7	133.4	28.2	% 28.7	%
Depreciation and amortization	199.7	183.8	172.6	8.7	% 6.5	%
Government, class action, and related settlements	52.0	—	—	N/A	N/A	
Total operating expenses	3,647.7	3,264.5	2,993.8	11.7	% 9.0	%
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	—	10.7	7.4	(100.0)%	44.6	%
Interest expense and amortization of debt discounts and fees	147.3	154.4	172.1	(4.6)	% (10.3)%	
Other income	(2.2)	(4.1)	(2.9)	(46.3)	% 41.4	%
Equity in net income of nonconsolidated affiliates	(8.7)	(8.0)	(9.8)	8.8	% (18.4)%	
Income from continuing operations before income tax expense	493.2	496.4	482.0	(0.6)	% 3.0	%
Provision for income tax expense*	118.9	145.8	163.9	(18.4)	% (11.0)%	
Income from continuing operations*	374.3	350.6	318.1	6.8	% 10.2	%
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	1.1	(0.4)	—	(375.0)%	N/A	
Net income*	375.4	350.2	318.1	7.2	% 10.1	%
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(83.1)	(79.1)	(70.5)	5.1	% 12.2	%
Net income attributable to Encompass Health*	\$292.3	\$271.1	\$247.6	7.8	% 9.5	%

(*) 2017 amounts have been revised to correct an error in our deferred tax assets as discussed in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, "Revision of Previously Issued Financial Statements," to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Operating Expenses as a % of Net Operating Revenues

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Operating expenses:			
Salaries and benefits	55.0%	55.0%	54.5%
Other operating expenses	13.7%	13.6%	13.5%
Occupancy costs	1.8 %	1.9 %	2.0 %
Supplies	3.7 %	3.8 %	3.8 %
General and administrative expenses	5.1 %	4.4 %	3.7 %
Depreciation and amortization	4.7 %	4.7 %	4.7 %
Government, class action, and related settlements	1.2 %	— %	— %
Total operating expenses	85.3%	83.4%	82.2%

Table of Contents

In the discussion that follows, we use “same-store” comparisons to explain the changes in certain performance metrics and line items within our financial statements. We calculate same-store comparisons based on hospitals and home health locations open throughout both the full current period and prior periods presented. These comparisons include the financial results of market consolidation transactions in existing markets, as it is difficult to determine, with precision, the incremental impact of these transactions on our results of operations.

2018 Compared to 2017

Net Operating Revenues

Our consolidated Net operating revenues increased in 2018 compared to 2017 primarily from pricing and volume growth in our inpatient rehabilitation segment and volume growth in our home health and hospice segment. Our consolidated Net operating revenues in 2018 benefited from a year-over-year reduction in revenue reserves as a result of a reduction in pre-payment claims denials in our inpatient rehabilitation segment.

Salaries and Benefits

Salaries and benefits are the most significant cost to us and represent an investment in our most important asset: our employees. Salaries and benefits include all amounts paid to full- and part-time employees who directly participate in or support the operations of our hospitals and home health and hospice agencies, including all related costs of benefits provided to employees. It also includes amounts paid for contract labor.

Salaries and benefits increased in 2018 compared to 2017 primarily due to increased patient volumes, including an increase in the number of full-time equivalents as a result of our development activities, salary increases for our employees, and an increase in benefit costs. Salaries and benefits as a percent of Net operating revenues during 2018 was flat compared to 2017.

Other Operating Expenses

Other operating expenses include costs associated with managing and maintaining our hospitals and home health and hospice agencies. These expenses include such items as contract services, non-income related taxes, professional fees, utilities, insurance, and repairs and maintenance.

Other operating expenses increased during 2018 compared to 2017 primarily due to increased patient volumes and hurricane-related expenses and losses. Other operating expenses increased as a percent of Net operating revenues during 2018 compared to 2017 due primarily to increases in contract services and provider taxes.

Supplies

Supplies expense includes all costs associated with supplies used while providing patient care. Specifically, these costs include pharmaceuticals, food, needles, bandages, and other similar items. Supplies increased during 2018 compared to 2017 due primarily to increased patient volumes.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses primarily include administrative expenses such as information technology services, human resources, corporate accounting, legal services, and internal audit and controls that are managed from our home office in Birmingham, Alabama. These expenses also include stock-based compensation expenses.

General and administrative expenses increased in 2018 compared to 2017 in terms of dollars and as a percent of Net operating revenues due primarily to increased corporate salary and benefit costs, including expenses associated with stock appreciation rights, and costs associated with our rebranding. The 2018 SARs expense was approximately \$56 million compared to approximately \$26 million in 2017. The 2018 rebranding expenses were approximately \$11 million compared to approximately \$6 million in 2017. For additional information on stock appreciation rights, see Note 13, Share-Based Payments, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements, and on the rebranding, see the “Executive Overview” section of this Item.

Table of Contents

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization increased during 2018 compared to 2017 due to our capital expenditures and development activities throughout 2017 and 2018. We expect Depreciation and amortization to increase going forward as a result of our recent and ongoing capital investments.

Government, Class Action, and Related Settlements

The amount in Government, class action, and related settlements in 2018 related primarily to a \$48 million loss contingency accrual we established in the fourth quarter of 2018 for the potential settlement of the investigation being conducted by the United States Department of Justice. See Note 17, Contingencies and Other Commitments, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional information on this matter.

Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt

The Loss on early extinguishment of debt during 2017 primarily resulted from exercising the early redemption option on all \$320 million of Convertible Notes resulting in the issuance of 8.9 million shares of common stock. See Note 9, Long-term Debt, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Interest Expense and Amortization of Debt Discounts and Fees

The decrease in Interest expense and amortization of debt discounts and fees in 2018 compared to 2017 primarily resulted from the redemption of the 2.0% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes due 2043 in June 2017. Cash paid for interest approximated \$150 million and \$151 million in 2018 and 2017, respectively. See Note 9, Long-term Debt, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Tax Expense

Our pre-tax income from continuing operations in 2018 decreased compared to 2017 due primarily to the contingency accrual we established in the fourth quarter of 2018, as discussed above.

Provision for Income Tax Expense

Our effective income tax rate for 2018 was 24.1% which was greater than the federal statutory rate primarily due to: (1) state and other income tax expense and (2) nondeductible settlements offset by (3) the impact of noncontrolling interests. See Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, "Income Taxes," for a discussion of the allocation of income or loss related to pass-through entities, which is referred to as the impact of noncontrolling interests in this discussion. Our Provision for income tax expense declined in 2018 compared to 2017 due primarily to the impact of the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the "Tax Act") discussed below and in Note 15, Income Taxes, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Our effective income tax rate for 2017 was 29.4% which was less than the federal statutory rate primarily due to: (1) the impact of noncontrolling interests, (2) the impact of the Tax Act and (3) share-based windfall tax benefits offset by (4) state and other income tax expense. On December 22, 2017, the US enacted the Tax Act. The Tax Act, which is commonly referred to as "US tax reform," significantly changes US corporate income tax laws by, among other things, reducing the US corporate income tax rate from 35% to 21% starting in 2018.

Our cash payments for income taxes approximated \$115 million, net of refunds, in 2018. These payments were based on estimates of taxable income for 2018. We estimate we will pay approximately \$110 million to \$130 million of cash income taxes, net of refunds, in 2019. Our estimate of 2019 cash taxes considers that some or all of the deferred revenue discussed in Note 15, Income Taxes, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements, will be reversed. In 2018 and 2017, current income tax expense was \$128.0 million and \$85.0 million, respectively.

In certain jurisdictions, we do not expect to generate sufficient income to use all of the available state NOLs and other credits prior to their expiration. This determination is based on our evaluation of all available evidence in these jurisdictions including results of operations during the preceding three years, our forecast of future earnings, and prudent tax planning strategies. It is possible we may be required to increase or decrease our valuation allowance at some future time if our forecast of future earnings varies from actual results on a consolidated basis or in the applicable tax jurisdiction, if the timing of future tax deductions differs from our expectations, or pursuant to changes in state tax laws and rates.

Table of Contents

We recognize the financial statement effects of uncertain tax positions when it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, a position will be sustained upon examination by and resolution with the taxing authorities. Total remaining gross unrecognized tax benefits were \$0.9 million and \$0.3 million as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

See Note 15, Income Taxes, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements and the “Critical Accounting Estimates” section of this Item.

Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests

The increase in Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests during 2018 compared to the same period of 2017 primarily resulted from our 2017 joint ventures and increased profitability of our existing joint ventures. See Note 2, Business Combinations, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements of this report for information regarding our 2017 joint ventures.

2017 Compared to 2016

Net Operating Revenues

Our consolidated Net operating revenues increased in 2017 compared to 2016 primarily from pricing and volume growth in our inpatient rehabilitation segment and volume growth in our home health and hospice segment. Our consolidated Net operating revenues in 2017 benefited from a year-over-year reduction in revenue reserves as a result of a reduction in pre-payment claims denials in our inpatient rehabilitation segment.

Salaries and Benefits

Salaries and benefits increased in 2017 compared to 2016 primarily due to increased patient volumes, including an increase in the number of full-time equivalents as a result of our 2017 and 2016 development activities, salary increases for our employees, and an increase in benefit costs. Salaries and benefits as a percent of Net operating revenues increased during 2017 compared to 2016 primarily as a result of an increase in full-time equivalents, which contributed to higher employees per occupied bed, and salary and benefit cost increases. Full-time equivalents increased due to staffing increases at the former Reliant hospitals since their acquisition on October 1, 2015 and the ramping up of new hospitals in Hot Springs, Arkansas; Bryan, Texas; Broken Arrow, Oklahoma; Modesto, California; Gulfport, Mississippi; Westerville, Ohio; Jackson, Tennessee; and Pearland, Texas.

Other Operating Expenses

Other operating expenses increased during 2017 compared to 2016 primarily due to increased patient volumes and hurricane-related expenses and losses. Other operating expenses during 2016 included a \$3.3 million gain from the divestiture of our home health pediatric services in November 2016. See Note 7, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Other operating expenses increased as a percent of Net operating revenues during 2017 compared to 2016 due to the aforementioned divestiture gain, IME adjustment described in the “Segment Results of Operations” section of this Item, and hurricane-related expenses and losses.

Supplies

Supplies increased in terms of dollars during 2017 compared to 2016 due primarily to increased patient volumes.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased in 2017 compared to 2016 in terms of dollars and as a percent of Net operating revenues due primarily to increased corporate salary and benefit costs, including expenses associated with stock appreciation rights, our rebranding and name change, and the TeamWorks clinical collaboration initiative. For additional information on stock appreciation rights, see Note 13, Share-Based Payments, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements, on the rebranding and name change, see the “Executive Overview” section of this Item, and on the TeamWorks clinical collaboration initiative, see Item 1, Business, “Overview of the Company—Competitive Strengths,” of this report.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization increased during 2017 compared to 2016 due to our acquisitions and capital expenditures throughout 2016 and 2017.

Table of Contents

Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt

The Loss on early extinguishment of debt during 2017 primarily resulted from exercising the early redemption option on all \$320 million of Convertible Notes resulting in the issuance of 8.9 million shares of common stock. The Loss on early extinguishment of debt during 2016 resulted from the redemptions of our 2022 Notes in 2016. See Note 9, Long-term Debt, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Interest Expense and Amortization of Debt Discounts and Fees

The decrease in Interest expense and amortization of debt discounts and fees in 2017 compared to 2016 primarily resulted from the redemptions of our 2022 Notes in 2016. Cash paid for interest approximated \$151 million and \$164 million in 2017 and 2016, respectively. See Note 9, Long-term Debt, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Tax Expense

Our pre-tax income from continuing operations in 2017 increased compared to 2016 due to increased Net operating revenues as discussed above.

Provision for Income Tax Expense

Our effective income tax rate for 2017 was 29.4% which was less than the federal statutory rate primarily due to: (1) the impact of noncontrolling interests, (2) the impact of the Tax Act and (3) share-based windfall tax benefits offset by (4) state and other income tax expense. As discussed above, 2017 also included the impact of the Tax Act. Our effective income tax rate for 2016 was 34.0%. Our Provision for income tax expense in 2016 was less than the federal statutory rate primarily due to: (1) the impact of noncontrolling interests offset by (2) state and other income tax expense.

Total remaining gross unrecognized tax benefits were \$0.3 million and \$2.8 million as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. See Note 15, Income Taxes, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements and the “Critical Accounting Estimates” section of this Item.

Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests

The increase in Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests during 2017 compared to the same period of 2016 primarily resulted from increased profitability of our joint ventures and a net tax benefit resulting from the application of the Tax Act’s new corporate income tax rate to our joint venture entities’ deferred tax liabilities.

Impact of Inflation

The impact of inflation on the Company will be primarily in the area of labor costs. The healthcare industry is labor intensive. Wages and other expenses increase during periods of inflation and when labor shortages occur in the marketplace. There can be no guarantee we will not experience increases in the cost of labor, as the need for clinical healthcare professionals is expected to grow. In addition, increases in healthcare costs are typically higher than inflation and impact our costs under our employee benefit plans. Managing these costs remains a significant challenge and priority for us.

Suppliers pass along rising costs to us in the form of higher prices. Our supply chain efforts and our continual focus on monitoring and actively managing pharmaceutical costs has enabled us to accommodate increased pricing related to supplies and other operating expenses over the past few years. However, we cannot predict our ability to cover future cost increases.

It should be noted that we have little or no ability to pass on these increased costs associated with providing services to Medicare and Medicaid patients due to federal and state laws that establish fixed reimbursement rates.

Relationships and Transactions with Related Parties

Related party transactions were not material to our operations in 2018, 2017, or 2016, and therefore, are not presented as a separate discussion within this Item.

Table of Contents

Segment Results of Operations

Our internal financial reporting and management structure is focused on the major types of services provided by Encompass Health. We manage our operations using two operating segments which are also our reportable segments: (1) inpatient rehabilitation and (2) home health and hospice. For additional information regarding our business segments, including a detailed description of the services we provide, financial data for each segment, and a reconciliation of total segment Adjusted EBITDA to income from continuing operations before income tax expense, see Note 18, Segment Reporting, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Inpatient Rehabilitation

During the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, our inpatient rehabilitation segment derived its Net operating revenues from the following payor sources:

	For the Year Ended					
	December 31,					
	2018		2017		2016	
Medicare	73.2	%	73.6	%	73.7	%
Medicare Advantage	9.2	%	8.3	%	7.7	%
Managed care	10.3	%	10.7	%	11.0	%
Medicaid	3.0	%	3.0	%	2.9	%
Other third-party payors	1.5	%	1.6	%	1.7	%
Workers' compensation	0.8	%	0.9	%	1.0	%
Patients	0.6	%	0.6	%	0.6	%
Other income	1.4	%	1.3	%	1.4	%
Total	100.0%		100.0%		100.0%	

Table of Contents

Additional information regarding our inpatient rehabilitation segment's operating results for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, is as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,			Percentage Change		
	2018	2017	2016	2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016	
(In Millions, Except Percentage Change)						
Net operating revenues:						
Inpatient	\$3,247.9	\$3,039.3	\$2,853.9	6.9 %	6.5 %	%
Outpatient and other	98.3	102.0	110.2	(3.6)%	(7.4)%	%
Inpatient rehabilitation segment revenues	3,346.2	3,141.3	2,964.1	6.5 %	6.0 %	%
Operating expenses:						
Salaries and benefits	1,701.5	1,603.8	1,493.4	6.1 %	7.4 %	%
Other operating expenses	502.3	462.5	431.5	8.6 %	7.2 %	%
Supplies	140.6	135.7	128.8	3.6 %	5.4 %	%
Occupancy costs	63.8	61.9	61.2	3.1 %	1.1 %	%
Other income	(3.6)	(4.1)	(2.9)	(12.2)%	41.4 %	%
Equity in net income of nonconsolidated affiliates	(7.5)	(7.3)	(9.1)	2.7 %	(19.8)%	%
Noncontrolling interests	77.2	67.6	64.0	14.2 %	5.6 %	%
Segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$871.9	\$821.2	\$797.2	6.2 %	3.0 %	%

(Actual Amounts)						
Discharges	179,846	171,922	165,305	4.6 %	4.0 %	%
Net patient revenue per discharge	\$18,059	\$17,678	\$17,264	2.2 %	2.4 %	%
Outpatient visits	488,754	576,345	640,702	(15.2)%	(10.0)%	%
Average length of stay (days)	12.6	12.7	12.8	(0.8)%	(0.8)%	%
Occupancy %	69.3 %	67.8 %	67.8 %	2.2 %	— %	%
# of licensed beds	8,966	8,851	8,504	1.3 %	4.1 %	%
Full-time equivalents*	21,335	20,802	19,833	2.6 %	4.9 %	%
Employees per occupied bed	3.43	3.47	3.44	(1.2)%	0.9 %	%

* Full-time equivalents included in the above table represent our employees who participate in or support the operations of our hospitals and include an estimate of full-time equivalents related to contract labor.

We actively manage the productive portion of our Salaries and benefits utilizing certain metrics, including employees per occupied bed, or "EPOB." This metric is determined by dividing the number of full-time equivalents, including an estimate of full-time equivalents from the utilization of contract labor, by the number of occupied beds during each period. The number of occupied beds is determined by multiplying the number of licensed beds by our occupancy percentage.

Operating Expenses as a % of Net Operating Revenues

	For the Year Ended		
	2018	2017	2016
Operating expenses:			
Salaries and benefits	50.8%	51.1%	50.4%
Other operating expenses	15.0%	14.7%	14.6%
Supplies	4.2 %	4.3 %	4.3 %
Occupancy costs	1.9 %	2.0 %	2.1 %

Table of Contents

2018 Compared to 2017

Net Operating Revenues

Net operating revenues were 6.5% higher for 2018 compared to 2017. This increase included a 4.6% increase in patient discharges and a 2.2% increase in net patient revenue per discharge. Discharge growth included a 2.8% increase in same-store discharges. Discharge growth from new stores resulted from our joint ventures in Gulfport, Mississippi (April 2017), Westerville, Ohio (April 2017), Jackson, Tennessee (July 2017), Murrells Inlet, South Carolina (September 2018) and Winston-Salem, North Carolina (October 2018), as well as wholly owned hospitals in Pearland, Texas (October 2017), Shelby County, Alabama (April 2018), and Bluffton, South Carolina (June 2018). Growth in net patient revenue per discharge primarily resulted from an increase in reimbursement rates from all payors and improvements in discharge destinations. The decrease in outpatient and other revenues in 2018 compared to 2017 was primarily due to the continued closures of hospital-based outpatient programs.

See Note 2, Business Combinations, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements of this report for information regarding our joint ventures discussed above.

Adjusted EBITDA

The increase in Adjusted EBITDA for the inpatient rehabilitation segment in 2018 compared to 2017 primarily resulted from revenue growth, as discussed above. Expense ratios benefited from retroactive price adjustments and a year-over-year reduction in revenue reserves, as discussed above. Salaries and benefits as a percent of Net operating revenues benefited from labor management and higher volumes, which contributed to lower employees per occupied bed. Other operating expenses increased as a percent of Net operating revenues primarily due to increases in contract services and provider taxes.

2017 Compared to 2016

Net Operating Revenues

Net operating revenues were 6.0% higher for 2017 compared to 2016. This increase included a 4.0% increase in patient discharges and a 2.4% increase in net patient revenue per discharge. Discharge growth included a 3.2% increase in same-store discharges. Discharge growth from new stores resulted from our joint ventures in Hot Springs, Arkansas (February 2016), Bryan, Texas (August 2016), Broken Arrow, Oklahoma (August 2016), Gulfport, Mississippi (April 2017), Westerville, Ohio (April 2017), and Jackson, Tennessee (July 2017), as well as the opening of wholly owned hospitals in Modesto, California (October 2016) and Pearland, Texas (October 2017). Growth in net patient revenue per discharge resulted primarily from patient mix (higher percentage of stroke and neurological patients) offset by the negative impact of an approximate \$5 million reduction in prior period cost report adjustments and a 2016 benefit of a retroactive indirect medical education (“IME”) adjustment of approximately \$4 million at the former Reliant hospital in Woburn, Massachusetts. The decrease in outpatient and other revenues in 2017 compared to 2016 was primarily due to the closure of six outpatient programs in the latter half of 2016.

See Note 2, Business Combinations, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements of this report for information regarding our joint ventures discussed above.

Adjusted EBITDA

The increase in Adjusted EBITDA for the inpatient rehabilitation segment in 2017 compared to 2016 primarily resulted from revenue growth, as discussed above. A decline in revenue reserves and flat group medical expenses also contributed to the growth. Expense ratios were negatively impacted by the aforementioned IME adjustment and hurricane-related expenses. The lack of growth in group medical expense favorably impacted Salaries and benefits as a percent of Net operating revenues and served to offset the impact of merit and incentive compensation increases and the ramping up of new stores on this ratio. Other operating expenses increased as a percent of Net operating revenues primarily due to increased provider tax expense in the fourth quarter of 2017 and the impact of favorable franchise tax recoveries in the fourth quarter of 2016.

Table of Contents

Home Health and Hospice

During the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, our home health and hospice segment derived its Net operating revenues from the following payor sources:

	For the Year Ended					
	December 31,					
	2018		2017		2016	
Medicare	85.3	%	85.7	%	83.4	%
Medicare Advantage	9.5	%	9.7	%	8.7	%
Managed care	3.6	%	3.8	%	3.9	%
Medicaid	1.2	%	0.6	%	3.8	%
Workers' compensation	0.2	%	—	%	—	%
Patients	0.1	%	0.1	%	0.1	%
Other income	0.1	%	0.1	%	0.1	%
Total	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%

Table of Contents

Additional information regarding our home health and hospice segment's operating results for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, is as follows:

For the Year Ended December 31,			Percentage Change	
2018	2017	2016	2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016

(In Millions, Except Percentage Change)

Net operating revenues:				
Home health	\$814.6	\$702.4	\$630.8	16.0 % 11.4 %
Hospice	116.5	70.2	47.7	66.0 % 47.2 %
Home health and hospice segment revenues	931.1	772.6	678.5	20.5 % 13.9 %
Operating expenses:				
Cost of services sold (excluding depreciation and amortization)	438.4	363.3	333.1	20.7 % 9.1 %
Support and overhead costs	323.5	277.2	237.2	16.7 % 16.9 %
Other income	(0.5)	—	—	100.0 % N/A
Equity in net income of nonconsolidated affiliates	(1.2)	(0.7)	(0.7)	71.4 % — %
Noncontrolling interests	8.5	6.9	6.5	23.2 % 6.2 %
Segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$162.4	\$125.9	\$102.4	29.0 % 22.9 %

(Actual Amounts)

Home health:				
Admissions	137,396	124,870	106,712	10.0 % 17.0 %
Recertifications	111,581	92,989	82,195	20.0 % 13.1 %
Episodes	243,698	211,743	185,737	15.1 % 14.0 %
Revenue per episode	\$2,968	\$2,984	\$3,017	(0.5