

Edgar Filing: NORTHEAST UTILITIES SYSTEM - Form U-1/A

NORTHEAST UTILITIES SYSTEM

Form U-1/A

May 07, 2001

File No 70-9853

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D. C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 1  
TO  
APPLICATION/DECLARATION  
ON  
FORM U-1  
UNDER THE  
PUBLIC UTILITY HOLDING COMPANY ACT OF 1935

Northeast Utilities  
174 Brush Hill Road  
West Springfield, MA 01089

(Names of companies filing this statement and addresses of principal executive offices)

NORTHEAST UTILITIES  
(Name of top registered holding company)

Gregory B. Butler  
Vice President, Secretary and General Counsel  
Northeast Utilities Service Company  
107 Selden Street  
Berlin, CT 06037

(Name and address of agent for service)

The Commission is requested to mail signed copies of all orders, notices and communications to:

Jeffrey C. Miller  
Assistant General Counsel  
Northeast Utilities  
Service Company  
P.O. Box 270  
Hartford, CT 06141-0270

David R. McHale  
Vice President and Treasurer  
Northeast Utilities  
Service Company  
P.O. Box 270  
Hartford, CT 06141-0270

The Application/Declaration in this File is hereby amended and restated as follows:

ITEM 1  
Description of Proposed Transaction

1. The purpose of this Application/Declaration is to seek the Commission's authorization for Northeast Utilities ("NU"), a public utility holding company registered under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 (the "Act"), to issue guarantees and other forms of credit support (collectively, the "Guarantee") to or for the benefit of its utility subsidiary, Holyoke Water Power Company (HWP) in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$45 million through June 30, 2004 to support HWP's obligations under

## Edgar Filing: NORTHEAST UTILITIES SYSTEM - Form U-1/A

certain Reimbursement Agreements described herein.

### Background

2. HWP, a Massachusetts corporation formed in 1859 and located in Holyoke, Massachusetts, is a public utility under the Act, but is considered a manufacturing company under Massachusetts law. HWP furnishes retail electric service to approximately 32 retail customers in and around Holyoke under contracts at negotiated rates and sells power to its wholly-owned subsidiary, Holyoke Power and Electric Company, at rates accepted by FERC. HWP owns 200 Mw of generating assets, 13.3 pole miles (14.5 circuit miles) of overhead transmission lines, 18.47 pole miles of overhead distribution lines and 2.24 bank miles (4.3 cable miles) of underground distribution lines. 1

3. In 1988, the City of Holyoke, Massachusetts, acting by and through its Industrial Development Financing Authority ("IDFA") issued \$8,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds (Holyoke Water Power Company Project) Series 1988 (the "1988 Bonds") pursuant to an Indenture of Trust dated as of November 1, 1988 (the "1988 Indenture"), between IDFA and Baybank Middlesex, as trustee, and lent the proceeds of the sale of the 1988 Bonds to HWP pursuant to a Loan Agreement between IDFA and HWP, dated as of November 1, 1988. (See, HCAR No. 24742, Nov. 9, 1988). In order to provide security for the payment when due of the principal of, and interest on, the 1988 Bonds, HWP requested Toronto Dominion Bank ("TD") to issue an irrevocable letter of credit (the "1988 TD LOC") initially in the amount of \$8,666,667 pursuant to a Reimbursement and Security Agreement (the "1988 Reimbursement Agreement") (See, HCAR No. 26208, December 29, 1994).

4. In 1990, The Massachusetts Industrial Finance Agency ("MIFA") issued \$15,300,000 aggregate principal amount of its Pollution Control Revenue Bonds (Holyoke Water Power Company Project) Series 1990 (the "1990 Bonds") pursuant to an Indenture of Trust dated as of December 1, 1990 (the "1990 Indenture"), between the MIFA and Baybank Middlesex, as trustee, and lent the proceeds of the sale of the 1990 Bonds to HWP pursuant to a Loan Agreement between MIFA and HWP, dated as of December 1, 1990. In order to provide security for the payment when due of the principal of, and interest on, the 1990 Bonds, HWP requested TD to issue an irrevocable letter of credit (the "1990 TD LOC") initially in the amount of \$16,575,000 pursuant to a Reimbursement and Security Agreement (the "1990 Reimbursement Agreement") (See, HCAR No. 25219, December 20, 1990).

5. In 1992, MIFA issued, pursuant to a Loan and Trust Agreement dated as of December 1, 1992 (the "1992 Indenture") \$15,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Massachusetts Industrial Finance Agency Pollution Control Refunding Revenue Bonds (Holyoke Water Power Company Project - 1992 Series A) (the "1992 Bonds"). In order to provide security for the payment when due of the principal of, and interest on, the 1992 Bonds, HWP requested Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, New York Agency ("CIBC") to issue an irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of \$15,222,000 (the "CIBC LOC") pursuant to a Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement (the "1992 Reimbursement Agreement" and, collectively with the 1988 Reimbursement Agreement and the 1990 Reimbursement Agreement, the "Reimbursement Agreements") (See, HCAR No. 25692, December 3, 1992).

6. Pursuant to provisions in each of the Reimbursement Agreements, HWP agreed, among other things, that, so long as the letter of credits were outstanding, it would not allow the ratio of its common equity to total capitalization to decline below 30%. In addition, in connection with each of the Reimbursement Agreements, NU entered into equity support agreements on behalf of HWP (the "NU Support Agreements"). These agreements were in the nature of contractual undertakings on the part of NU to maintain specified ownership levels in HWP and to cause HWP to maintain a minimum common equity

## Edgar Filing: NORTHEAST UTILITIES SYSTEM - Form U-1/A

to capitalization ratio.

7. In October 2000, HWP entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (the "MOU") with the City of Holyoke Gas & Electric Department pursuant to which the two parties are negotiating the proposed sale of certain of HWP's assets (the "Subject Assets"). As a result of the proposed sale and as a consequence of entering into the MOU, HWP has recognized a reduction of \$33.4 million (\$19.7 million after taxes) in the carrying value of the Subject Assets and its resulting common equity ratio of 6.79% at December 31, 2000 fails the consolidated common equity maintenance covenant contained in each of the Reimbursement Agreements.

8. HWP has asked TD and CIBC (collectively, the "Banks") to consent to the decline in HWP's common equity to capitalization ratio as a result of the sale of the Subject Assets and the Banks have agreed, conditioned upon the issuance by NU of guarantees or other forms of credit support guaranteeing HWP's obligations under the Reimbursement Agreements. If such guarantees are not issued, HWP will be in default under the Reimbursement Agreements and NU will be in default under the NU Support Agreements. In addition, in the consent executed by CIBC, if the Guarantee is not issued by May 25, 2001, although there will not be deemed an event of default under the 1992 Reimbursement Agreement, CIBC will have the remedies for default set forth in the 1992 Reimbursement Agreement available to it, including the right to declare all advances and all other principal amounts outstanding under the CIBC LOC, together with all interest thereon and all other amounts payable thereunder to be due and payable. Accordingly, NU requests Commission authorization to issue the Guarantees to support HWP's obligations under the Reimbursement Agreements.

9. The Guarantees may take the form of NU agreeing to guarantee, undertake reimbursement obligations, assume liabilities or other obligations with respect to the letters of credit and the Reimbursement Agreements. NU undertakes that any Guarantees it issues will be without recourse to any other operating company in the NU system.

### ITEM 2

Fees, Commissions and Expenses

10. The fees, commissions and expenses paid or incurred, or to be paid or incurred, directly or indirectly, in connection with the proposed transactions by the Applicants are not expected to exceed \$10,000 and are expected to be comprised primarily of fees for ordinary legal and accounting services. None of such fees, commissions or expenses will be paid to any associate company or affiliate of NU except for payments to Northeast Utilities Service Company services.

### ITEM 3

Applicable Statutory Provisions

11. The sections of the Act and the related regulations of the Commission which are or may be applicable to the transactions as herein proposed are set forth below:

Loan guarantees or other forms of  
guarantees by NU of HWP

Sections 6, 7 and 12(b),  
Rule 45.

To the extent any other sections of the Act or rules thereunder may be applicable to the proposed transactions, the Applicants request appropriate orders thereunder.

Other Matters

## Edgar Filing: NORTHEAST UTILITIES SYSTEM - Form U-1/A

12. Except in accordance with the Act, neither NU nor any subsidiary thereof (a) has acquired an ownership interest in an exempt wholesale generator ("EWG") or a foreign utility company ("FUCO") as defined in Sections 32 and 33 of the Act, or (b) now is or as a consequence of the transactions proposed herein will become a party to, or has or will as a consequence of the transactions proposed herein have a right under, a service, sales, or construction contract with an EWG or a FUCO. None of the proceeds from the transactions proposed herein will be used by NU and its subsidiaries to acquire any securities of, or any interest in, an EWG or a FUCO.

13. NU currently meets all of the conditions of Rule 53(a), except for clause (1). At December 31, 2000, NU's "aggregate investment," as defined in Rule 53(a)(1), in EWGs and FUCOs was approximately \$469.5 million, or approximately 76.1% of NU's average "consolidated retained earnings," also as defined in Rule 53(a)(1), for the four quarters ended December 31, 2000 (\$617.3 million). With respect to Rule 53(a)(1), however, the Commission has determined that NU's financing of its investment in Northeast Generation Company ("NGC"), NU's only current EWG or FUCO, in an amount not to exceed \$481 million or 83% of "average consolidated retained earnings" would not have either of the adverse effects set forth in Rule 53(c). See Northeast Utilities, HCAR No. 27148 (March 7, 2000). NU continues to assert that its EWG investment in NGC will not adversely affect the NU system.

14. In addition, NU and its subsidiaries are in compliance with the other provisions of Rule 53(a) and (b), as demonstrated by the following determinations:

(i) NGC maintains books and records, and prepares financial statements in accordance with Rule 53(a)(2). Furthermore, NU has undertaken to provide the Commission access to such books and records and financial statements, as it may request.

(ii) No employees of NU's public utility subsidiaries have rendered services to NGC.

(iii) NU has submitted (a) a copy of each Form U-1 and Rule 24 certificate that has been filed with the Commission under Rule 53 and (b) a copy of Item 9 of the Form U5S and Exhibits G and H thereof to each state regulator having jurisdiction over the retail rates of NU's public utility subsidiaries.

(iv) Neither NU nor any subsidiary has been the subject of a bankruptcy or similar proceeding unless a plan of reorganization has been confirmed in such proceeding.

(v) NU's average consolidated retained earnings for the four most recent quarterly periods have not decreased by 10% or more from the average for the previous four quarterly periods.

(vi) In the previous fiscal year, NU did not report operating losses attributable to its investment in EWGs/FUCOs exceeding 3 percent of NU's consolidated retained earnings.

15. The proposed transactions, considered in conjunction with the effect of the capitalization and earnings of NU's EWGs and FUCOs, would not have a material adverse effect on the financial integrity of the NU system, or an adverse impact on NU's public-utility subsidiaries, their customers, or the ability of State commissions to protect such public-utility customers.

16. The Rule 53(c) Order was predicated, in part, upon an assessment of NU's overall financial condition which took into account, among other

## Edgar Filing: NORTHEAST UTILITIES SYSTEM - Form U-1/A

factors, NU's consolidated capitalization ratio and its retained earnings, both of which have improved since the date of the order. NU's EWG investment (it has no FUCO investment) has been profitable for the periods beginning March 7, 2000 and ending March 31, 2000, June 30, 2000, September 30, 2000 and December 31, 2000, respectively (NGC was acquired in March 2000). As of December 31, 1999, the most recent period for which financial statement information was evaluated in the Rule 53(c) Order, NU's consolidated capitalization consisted of 35.3% common equity and 64.7% debt (including long and short-term debt, preferred stock, capital leases and guarantees). As of June 30, 2000, the end of the first quarter after the issuance of the Rule 53(c) Order, the consolidated capitalization ratios of NU, with consolidated debt including all short-term debt and non-recourse debt of the EWG was as follows:

	As of June 30, 2000 (thousands of dollars)	%
Common shareholders' equity	2,365,854	36.9
Preferred stock	277,700	4.3
Long-term and short-term debt	3,768,353	58.8
	6,411,907	100.0

17. The consolidated capitalization ratios of NU as of September 30, 2000, with consolidated debt including all short-term debt and non-recourse debt of the EWG was as follows:

	As of September 30, 2000 (thousands of dollars)	%
Common shareholders' equity	2,413,785	37.9
Preferred stock	277,700	4.3
Long-term and short-term debt	3,683,667	57.8
	6,375,152	100.0

The consolidated capitalization ratios of NU as of December 31, 2000, with consolidated debt including all short-term debt and non-recourse debt of the EWG was as follows:

	As of December 31, 2000 (thousands of dollars)	%
Common shareholders' equity	2,218,583	36.1
Preferred stock	276,968	4.5
Long-term and short-term debt	3,653,843	59.4
	6,149,394	100.0

18. NU's consolidated retained earnings have decreased from \$582 million as of December 31, 1999 to \$495.9 million as of December 31, 2000, mainly as a result of an after-tax write-off of \$225 million by Public Service Company of New Hampshire as part of an industry restructuring settlement and also recognition of a loss due to a decision by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission lowering the price for acquiring installed generating capacity in New England. NU's interest in NGC (its only EWG or FUCO) has made a positive contribution to earnings in that time by contributing \$26.4 million to NU's retained earnings with revenues of \$108.5 million and net income of \$26.4

## Edgar Filing: NORTHEAST UTILITIES SYSTEM - Form U-1/A

million. Accordingly, since the date of the Rule 53(c) Order, the capitalization and earnings attributable to NU's investments in EWGs and FUCOs has not had an adverse impact on NU's financial integrity.

### ITEM 4

#### Regulatory Approvals

19. No state or Federal regulatory approval, other than the approval of the Commission pursuant to this Application, is required to consummate the transactions described herein.

### ITEM 5

#### Procedure

20. Northeast Utilities respectfully requests the Commission's approval, pursuant to this Application, of all transactions described herein, whether under the sections of the Act and Rules thereunder enumerated in Item 3 or otherwise. It is further requested that the Commission issue an order authorizing the transactions proposed herein at the earliest practicable date. As a condition to the consent and waiver given by the Banks, NU covenanted to file this Application and diligently seek the issuance of an order from the Commission. Additionally, NU (i) requests that there not be any recommended decision by a hearing officer or by any responsible officer of the Commission, (ii) consents to the Office of Public Utility Regulation within the Division of Investment Management assisting in the preparation of the Commission's decision, and (iii) waives the 30-day waiting period between the issuance of the Commission's order and on the date on which it is to become effective, since it is desired that the Commission's order, when issued, become effective immediately.

### ITEM 6

#### Exhibit and Financial Statements

(all items were filed with the Application/Declaration)

#### 21. (a) Exhibits

F. Opinion of Counsel

H. Proposed Form of Notice

#### (b) Financial Statements

1.1 Balance Sheet of NU and consolidated subsidiaries, as of September 30, 2000 (incorporated by reference to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of NU for the period ended September 30, 2000) (File No. 1-5324).

1.2 Statements of Income of NU and consolidated subsidiaries for the period ended September 30, 2000 (incorporated by reference to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Alliant for the period ended September 30, 2000) (File No. 1-5324).

The pro-forma effect on NU's financial statements of the issuance of the proposed guarantees by NU is not material and therefore no pro forma financial statements are filed

### ITEM 7

#### INFORMATION AS TO ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

27. (a) The transactions described herein do not involve a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human

Edgar Filing: NORTHEAST UTILITIES SYSTEM - Form U-1/A

environment.

(b) No other federal agency has prepared or is preparing an environmental impact statement with regard to the proposed transaction.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended, the undersigned company has duly caused this statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NORTHEAST UTILITIES

By: /S/ Randy A. Shoop  
Name: Randy A. Shoop  
Title: Assistant Treasurer-Finance

Date: 5/7/01