

CVS HEALTH Corp  
Form 10-Q  
October 30, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2015

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission File Number 001-01011

CVS HEALTH CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State of Incorporation)

05-0494040

(I.R.S. Employer Identification  
Number)

One CVS Drive, Woonsocket, Rhode Island 02895

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (401) 765-1500

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  
[ ] No [X]

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, issued and outstanding at October 23, 2015:

1,107,317,129 shares

---

INDEX

	Page
<u>Part I</u>	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income (Unaudited) -     Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) -     Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) -     As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) -     Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Part II</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>46</u>

## Part I

## Item 1

CVS Health Corporation  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income  
(Unaudited)

In millions, except per share amounts	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net revenues	\$38,644	\$35,021	\$112,144	\$102,312
Cost of revenues	31,983	28,553	92,917	83,578
Gross profit	6,661	6,468	19,227	18,734
Operating expenses	4,330	4,222	12,502	12,256
Operating profit	2,331	2,246	6,725	6,478
Interest expense, net	261	153	562	469
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	—	521	—	521
Income before income tax provision	2,070	1,572	6,163	5,488
Income tax provision	833	624	2,433	2,165
Income from continuing operations	1,237	948	3,730	3,323
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	10	—	10	—
Net income	1,247	948	3,740	3,323
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(1	) —	(1	) —
Net income attributable to CVS Health	\$1,246	\$948	\$3,739	\$3,323
Basic earnings per share:				
Income from continuing operations attributable to CVS Health	\$1.10	\$0.82	\$3.31	\$2.84
Income from discontinued operations attributable to CVS Health	\$0.01	\$—	\$0.01	\$—
Net income attributable to CVS Health	\$1.11	\$0.82	\$3.32	\$2.84
Weighted average basic shares outstanding	1,114	1,157	1,122	1,167
Diluted earnings per share:				
Income from continuing operations attributable to CVS Health	\$1.10	\$0.81	\$3.28	\$2.82
Income from discontinued operations attributable to CVS Health	\$0.01	\$—	\$0.01	\$—
Net income attributable to CVS Health	\$1.11	\$0.81	\$3.29	\$2.82
Weighted average diluted shares outstanding	1,121	1,164	1,130	1,175
Dividends declared per share	\$0.350	\$0.275	\$1.050	\$0.825

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CVS Health Corporation  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income  
(Unaudited)

In millions	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net income	\$1,247	\$948	\$3,740	\$3,323
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax	(61	) (29	) (100	) (14
Cash flow hedges, net of tax	—	1	1	3
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(61	) (28	) (99	) (11
Comprehensive income	1,186	920	3,641	3,312
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(1	) —	(1	) —
Comprehensive income attributable to CVS Health	\$1,185	\$920	\$3,640	\$3,312

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CVS Health Corporation  
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets  
(Unaudited)

In millions, except per share amounts	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
<b>Assets:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2,890	\$2,481
Short-term investments	121	34
Accounts receivable, net	12,804	9,687
Inventories	13,282	11,930
Deferred income taxes	1,077	985
Other current assets	579	866
Total current assets	30,753	25,983
Property and equipment, net	9,494	8,843
Goodwill	37,135	28,142
Intangible assets, net	13,504	9,774
Other assets	1,476	1,445
Total assets	\$92,362	\$74,187
<b>Liabilities:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$7,064	\$6,547
Claims and discounts payable	7,283	5,404
Accrued expenses	6,636	5,816
Short-term debt	—	685
Current portion of long-term debt	451	575
Total current liabilities	21,434	19,027
Long-term debt	26,771	11,630
Deferred income taxes	5,449	4,036
Other long-term liabilities	1,528	1,531
Commitments and contingencies (Note 13)	—	—
<b>Shareholders' equity:</b>		
CVS Health shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01: 0.1 share authorized; none issued or outstanding	—	—
Common stock, par value \$0.01: 3,200 shares authorized; 1,698 shares issued and 1,110 shares outstanding at September 30, 2015 and 1,691 shares issued and 1,140 shares outstanding at December 31, 2014	17	17
Treasury stock, at cost: 587 shares at September 30, 2015 and 550 shares at December 31, 2014	(27,899	) (24,078
Shares held in trust: 1 share at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014	(31	) (31
Capital surplus	31,005	30,418
Retained earnings	34,398	31,849
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(316	) (217
Total CVS Health shareholders' equity	37,174	37,958
Noncontrolling interest	6	5

Total shareholders' equity	37,180	37,963
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$92,362	\$74,187

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CVS Health Corporation  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
In millions	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Cash receipts from customers	\$ 108,324	\$ 95,816
Cash paid for inventory and prescriptions dispensed by retail network pharmacies	(89,530 )	(77,067 )
Cash paid to other suppliers and employees	(11,240 )	(11,267 )
Interest received	15	11
Interest paid	(423 )	(458 )
Income taxes paid	(2,305 )	(2,321 )
Net cash provided by operating activities	4,841	4,714
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(1,490 )	(1,436 )
Proceeds from sale-leaseback transactions	34	328
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment and other assets	28	8
Acquisitions (net of cash acquired) and other investments	(9,503 )	(2,392 )
Purchase of available-for-sale investments	(184 )	(161 )
Sales/maturities of available-for-sale investments	115	119
Net cash used in investing activities	(11,000 )	(3,534 )
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Increase (decrease) in short-term debt	(685 )	775
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	14,808	1,483
Repayments of long-term debt	(2,898 )	(3,086 )
Dividends paid	(1,185 )	(971 )
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	277	378
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	132	89
Repurchase of common stock	(3,871 )	(2,801 )
Other	(2 )	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	6,576	(4,133 )
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(8 )	(4 )
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	409	(2,957 )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	2,481	4,089
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 2,890	\$ 1,132
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 3,740	\$ 3,323
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,510	1,442
Stock-based compensation	175	121
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	—	521
Deferred income taxes and other noncash items	(184 )	(64 )
Change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable, net	(2,530 )	(1,872 )
Inventories	(893 )	(449 )



Edgar Filing: CVS HEALTH Corp - Form 10-Q

Other current assets	591	(160	)
Other assets	(13	) (19	)
Accounts payable and claims and discounts payable	2,038	1,222	
Accrued expenses	523	676	
Other long-term liabilities	(116	) (27	)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$4,841	\$4,714	

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CVS Health Corporation  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

Note 1 – Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of CVS Health Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively “CVS Health” or the “Company”) have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) regarding interim financial reporting. In accordance with such rules and regulations, certain information and accompanying note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) have been condensed or omitted, although the Company believes the disclosures included herein are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, which are included in Exhibit 13 to the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 (“2014 Form 10-K”).

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods presented. Because of the influence of various factors on the Company’s operations, including business combinations, certain holidays and other seasonal influences, net income for any interim period may not be comparable to the same interim period in previous years or necessarily indicative of income for the full year.

Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its majority-owned subsidiaries and variable interest entities (“VIEs”) for which the Company is the primary beneficiary. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

The Company continually evaluates its investments to determine if they represent variable interests in a VIE. If the Company determines that it has a variable interest in a VIE, the Company then evaluates if it is the primary beneficiary of the VIE. The evaluation is a qualitative assessment as to whether the Company has the ability to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity’s economic performance. The Company consolidates a VIE if it is considered to be the primary beneficiary.

Assets and liabilities of VIEs for which the Company is the primary beneficiary were not significant to the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements. VIE creditors do not have recourse against the general credit of the Company.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company utilizes the three-level valuation hierarchy for the recognition and disclosure of fair value measurements. The categorization of assets and liabilities within this hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the measurement of fair value. The three levels of the hierarchy consist of the following:

Level 1 – Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 – Inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices in markets that are not active or inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the instrument.

Level 3 – Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable inputs based upon management’s best estimate of inputs market participants could use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date, including assumptions about risk.

As of September 30, 2015, the carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximated their fair value due to the nature of these financial instruments. The Company invests in money

7

---

market funds, commercial paper and time deposits that are classified as cash and cash equivalents within the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets, as these funds are highly liquid and readily convertible to known amounts of cash. These investments are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices. The Company's short-term investments of \$121 million at September 30, 2015, consist of certificates of deposit with initial maturities of greater than three months when purchased that mature within one year from the balance sheet date. These investments, which are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy, are carried at fair value, which approximated historical cost at September 30, 2015. The carrying amount and estimated fair value of the Company's total long-term debt was \$27.2 billion and \$28.5 billion, respectively, as of September 30, 2015. The fair value of the Company's long-term debt was estimated based on quoted prices currently offered in active markets for the Company's debt, which is considered Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

#### Related Party Transactions

The Company has an equity method investment in SureScripts, LLC ("SureScripts"), which operates a clinical health information network. The Pharmacy Services and Retail/LTC segments utilize this clinical health information network in providing services to client plan members and retail customers. The Company expensed fees of approximately \$16 million and \$7 million in the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$37 million and \$34 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, for the use of this network.

The Company's investment in SureScripts, and equity in earnings in SureScripts, for all periods presented is immaterial.

#### New Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). ASU No. 2014-09 outlines a single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes most current revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. This new guidance is expected to be effective for annual reporting periods (including interim reporting periods within those periods) beginning January 1, 2018; early adoption in 2017 is permitted. Companies have the option of using either a full retrospective or a modified retrospective approach to adopt the guidance. This update could impact the timing and amounts of revenue recognized. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that implementation of this update will have on its consolidated financial position and results of operations upon adoption, as well as the method of transition and required disclosures.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs (Topic 835-30). ASU No. 2015-03 requires the presentation of debt issuance costs in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset. Amortization of such costs is reported as interest expense, which is consistent with the Company's current policy. This change conforms the presentation of debt issuance costs with that of debt discounts. The ASU is effective for annual reporting periods (including interim reporting periods within those periods) beginning after December 15, 2015; early adoption is permitted. The guidance is required to be applied retrospectively to all prior periods. The Company has early adopted this standard as of June 30, 2015. The effect of the adoption of ASU 2015-03 on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet is a reduction of noncurrent assets and long-term debt of \$65 million as of December 31, 2014. The following is a reconciliation of the effect of this reclassification on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2014:

In millions	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Revised
Other assets	\$ 1,510	\$(65 )	\$ 1,445
Total assets	74,252	(65 )	74,187

Edgar Filing: CVS HEALTH Corp - Form 10-Q

Long-term debt	11,695	(65	)	11,630
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	74,252	(65	)	74,187

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-16, Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments. ASU No. 2015-16 requires that an acquirer recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period after an acquisition within the reporting period they are determined. This is a change from the previous requirement that the adjustments be recorded retrospectively. The ASU also requires disclosure of the effect on earnings of changes in depreciation, amortization or other income effects, if any, as a result of the adjustment to the provisional amounts, calculated as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. The ASU is effective for annual reporting periods (including

interim reporting periods within those periods) beginning after December 15, 2015; early adoption is permitted. The Company has early adopted the ASU as of September 30, 2015. The adoption did not have a material effect on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### Note 2 – Changes in Accounting Principle

Effective January 1, 2015, the Company changed its methods of accounting for “front store” inventories in the Retail/LTC Segment. Prior to 2015, the Company valued front store inventories at the lower of cost or market on a first-in, first-out (“FIFO”) basis in retail stores using the retail inventory method and in distribution centers using the FIFO cost method. Effective January 1, 2015, all front store inventories in the Retail/LTC Segment have been valued at the lower of cost or market using the weighted average cost method. These changes affected approximately 36% of consolidated inventories.

These changes were made primarily to provide the Company with better information to manage its retail front store operations and to bring all of the Company’s inventories to a common inventory valuation methodology. The Company believes the weighted average cost method is preferable to the retail inventory method and the FIFO cost method because it results in greater precision in the determination of cost of revenues and inventories at the stock keeping unit (“SKU”) level and results in a consistent inventory valuation method for all of the Company’s inventories as all of the Company’s remaining inventories, which consist of prescription drugs, were already being valued using the weighted average cost method.

The Company recorded the cumulative effect of these changes in accounting principle as of January 1, 2015. The Company determined that retrospective application for periods prior to 2015 is impracticable, as the period-specific information necessary to value front store inventories in the Retail/LTC Segment under the weighted average cost method is unavailable. The Company implemented a new perpetual inventory system to manage front store inventory at the SKU level and valued front store inventory as of January 1, 2015 and calculated the cumulative impact. The effect of these changes in accounting principle as of January 1, 2015, was a decrease in inventories of \$7 million, an increase in current deferred income tax assets of \$3 million and a decrease in retained earnings of \$4 million.

Had the Company not made these changes in accounting principle, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, income from continuing operations would have been lower by \$2 million and \$10 million, respectively. Basic earnings per share from continuing operations attributable to CVS Health for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations attributable to CVS Health for the three months ended September 30, 2015, would have been the same as reported. Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations attributable to CVS Health would have been approximately \$0.01 per share lower for the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

#### Note 3 – Acquisitions

##### Omnicare Acquisition

On August 18, 2015, the Company acquired 100% of the outstanding common shares and voting interests of Omnicare, Inc. (“Omnicare”), for \$98 per share for a total of \$9.6 billion and assumed long-term debt with a fair value of approximately \$3.1 billion. Additionally, holders of Omnicare restricted stock units and performance based restricted stock units received 738,765 CVS Health Corporation restricted stock awards with a fair value of approximately \$80 million as replacement awards. Omnicare is a leading health care services company that specializes in the management of complex pharmaceutical care. Omnicare’s long-term care (“LTC”) business is the nation’s largest provider of pharmaceuticals, related pharmacy consulting and other ancillary services to chronic care facilities and other care settings. In addition, Omnicare has a specialty pharmacy business operating under the name of Advanced

Care Scripts<sup>®</sup>, and provides commercialization services operating under the name of RxCrossroads<sup>®</sup>. The Company will include LTC and the commercialization services in its former Retail Pharmacy Segment, which has been renamed the “Retail/LTC Segment,” and will include the specialty pharmacy business in its Pharmacy Services Segment. The Company acquired Omnicare to expand its operations in dispensing prescription drugs to assisted-living and long-term care facilities, and to broaden its presence in the specialty pharmacy business as the Company seeks to serve a greater percentage of the growing senior patient population in the United States.

The fair value of the consideration transferred on the date of acquisition consisted of the following:

(in millions)

Cash paid to Omnicare shareholders	\$9,636
Fair value of replacement equity awards issued to Omnicare employees for precombination services	9
Total consideration	\$9,645

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

(in millions)

Current assets (including cash of \$298)	\$1,682
Property and equipment	314
Goodwill	9,035
Intangible assets	3,962
Other noncurrent assets	64
Current liabilities	(704)
Long-term debt	(3,110)
Deferred income tax liabilities	(1,533)
Other noncurrent liabilities	(65)
Total consideration	\$9,645

The assessment of fair value is preliminary and is based on information that was available to management at the time the condensed consolidated financial statements were prepared. Accordingly, such amounts may change. The most significant open items included the accounting for deferred income taxes and contingencies as management is awaiting additional information to complete its assessment of these matters. The goodwill represents future economic benefits expected to arise from the Company's expanded presence in the pharmaceutical care market, the assembled workforce acquired, expected purchasing and revenue synergies, as well as operating efficiencies and cost savings. Goodwill of \$8.6 billion was allocated to the Retail/LTC Segment and the remaining goodwill of \$0.4 billion was allocated to the Pharmacy Services Segment. Approximately \$0.4 billion of the goodwill is deductible for income tax purposes. Intangible assets acquired include customer relationships and trade names of \$3.9 billion and \$74 million, respectively, with estimated weighted average useful lives of 19.1 and 2.9 years, respectively, and 18.8 years in total.

The fair value of trade accounts receivable acquired is \$600 million, with the gross contractual amount being \$857 million. The Company expects \$257 million of trade accounts receivable to be uncollectible. The fair value of other receivables acquired is \$147 million, with the gross contractual amount being \$161 million. The Company expects \$14 million of other receivables to be uncollectible.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company incurred transaction costs of \$52 million and \$68 million, respectively, associated with the acquisition of Omnicare that were recorded within operating expenses.

The Company's consolidated results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, include \$710 million of revenue and a net loss of \$3 million associated with the operating results of Omnicare from August 18, 2015 to September 30, 2015. These Omnicare operating results include severance costs and accelerated stock-based compensation.

The following unaudited pro forma information presents a summary of the Company's combined results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 as if the Omnicare acquisition and the related financing transactions had occurred on January 1, 2014. The following pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations as they would have been had the transactions been effected on the



assumed date, nor is it necessarily an indication of trends in future results for a number of reasons, including, but not limited to, differences between the assumptions used to prepare the pro forma information, basic shares outstanding and dilutive equivalents, cost savings from operating efficiencies, potential synergies, and the impact of incremental costs incurred in integrating the businesses.

(in millions, except per share data)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Total revenues	\$39,374	\$36,390	\$115,652	\$106,391
Income from continuing operations	1,318	929	3,774	3,261
Basic earnings per share from continuing operations	\$1.18	\$0.80	\$3.35	\$2.78
Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations	\$1.17	\$0.79	\$3.32	\$2.76

Pro forma income from continuing operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, excludes \$113 million and \$129 million, respectively, related to severance costs, accelerated stock-based compensation and transaction costs incurred in connection with the Omnicare acquisition. Pro forma income from continuing operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, includes a \$521 million loss on the early extinguishment of debt recorded by CVS Health.

#### Proposed Target Pharmacy Asset Acquisition

On June 12, 2015, CVS Pharmacy, Inc. ("CVS Pharmacy"), a wholly owned subsidiary of CVS Health, entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement with Target Corporation ("Target") pursuant to which Target agreed to sell its pharmacy and clinic businesses to CVS Pharmacy (the "Target Pharmacy Acquisition"). The purchase price is \$1.887 billion, payable in cash at closing and is subject to certain adjustments. The timing of the closing is uncertain, and is subject to receipt of regulatory approval and other customary conditions.

#### Note 4 – Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill and indefinitely-lived trade names are not amortized, but are subject to annual impairment reviews, or more frequent reviews if events or circumstances indicate there may be impairment. During the three months ended September 30, 2015, the Company performed its required annual impairment tests and concluded there was no impairment of goodwill or trade names. Intangible assets with finite useful lives are amortized over their estimated useful life.

Below is a summary of the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2015:

In millions	Pharmacy Services	Retail/LTC	Total
Balance, December 31, 2014	\$21,234	\$6,908	\$28,142
Acquisition	444	8,591	9,035
Foreign currency translation adjustments	—	(40)	(40)
Other <sup>(1)</sup>	(1)	(1)	(2)
Balance, September 30, 2015	\$21,677	\$15,458	\$37,135

(1) "Other" represents immaterial purchase accounting adjustments for acquisitions.

The increase in goodwill for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 primarily relates to the Omnicare acquisition.

The following is a summary of the Company's intangible assets as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

In millions	September 30, 2015			December 31, 2014		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount

Edgar Filing: CVS HEALTH Corp - Form 10-Q

Trademarks (indefinitely-lived)	\$6,398	\$—	\$6,398	\$6,398	\$—	\$6,398
Customer contracts and relationships and covenants not to compete	10,536	(3,921	) 6,615	6,521	(3,549	) 2,972
Favorable leases and other	1,087	(596	) 491	880	(476	) 404
	\$18,021	\$ (4,517	) \$13,504	\$13,799	\$ (4,025	) \$9,774

The Company amortizes intangible assets with finite lives over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets, which have a weighted average useful life of 15.6 years. The weighted average useful lives of the Company's customer contracts and relationships and covenants not to compete are 15.6 years. The amortization expense related to finite-lived intangible assets for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 was \$160 million and \$419 million, respectively. The amortization expense related to finite-lived intangible assets for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$126 million and \$391 million, respectively.

#### Note 5 – Borrowings

The following table is a summary of the Company's borrowings as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

12

---

In millions	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Commercial paper	\$—	\$685
3.25% senior notes due 2015	—	550
1.2% senior notes due 2016	750	750
6.125% senior notes due 2016	421	421
5.75% senior notes due 2017	1,080	1,080
1.9% senior notes due 2018	2,250	—
2.25% senior notes due 2018	1,250	1,250
2.25% senior notes due 2019	850	850
6.6% senior notes due 2019	394	394
2.8% senior notes due 2020	2,750	—
4.75% senior notes due 2020	450	450
4.125% senior notes due 2021	550	550
2.75% senior notes due 2022	1,250	1,250
3.5% senior notes due 2022	1,500	—
4.75% senior notes due 2022	400	—
4% senior notes due 2023	1,250	1,250
3.375% senior notes due 2024	650	650
5% senior notes due 2024	300	—
3.875% senior notes due 2025	3,000	—
6.25% senior notes due 2027	453	453
3.25% senior debentures due 2035	4	—
3.25% senior exchange debentures due 2035	5	—
4.875% senior notes due 2035	2,000	—
6.125% senior notes due 2039	734	734
5.75% senior notes due 2041	493	493
5.3% senior notes due 2043	750	750
5.125% senior notes due 2045	3,500	—
Capital lease obligations	393	391
Other	28	41
Total debt principal	27,455	12,992
Debt premiums	45	—
Debt discounts and deferred financing costs	(278)	(102)
	27,222	12,890
Less:		
Short-term debt (commercial paper)	—	(685)
Current portion of long-term debt	(451)	(575)
Long-term debt	\$26,771	\$11,630

On May 20, 2015, in connection with the acquisition of Omnicare, the Company entered into a \$13 billion unsecured bridge loan facility. The Company paid approximately \$52 million in fees in connection with the facility. The fees were capitalized and amortized as interest expense over the period the bridge facility was outstanding. The bridge loan facility expired on July 20, 2015 upon the Company's issuance of unsecured senior notes with an aggregate principal of \$15 billion as discussed below. The bridge loan facility fees were fully amortized during the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

On July 20, 2015, the Company issued an aggregate of \$2.25 billion of 1.9% unsecured senior notes due 2018 ("2018 Notes"), an aggregate of \$2.75 billion of 2.8% unsecured senior notes due 2020 ("2020 Notes"), an aggregate of \$1.5

billion of 3.5%

13

---

unsecured senior notes due 2022 (“2022 Notes”), an aggregate of \$3 billion of 3.875% unsecured senior notes due 2025 (“2025 Notes”), an aggregate of \$2 billion of 4.875% unsecured senior notes due 2035 (“2035 Notes”), and an aggregate of \$3.5 billion of 5.125% unsecured senior notes due 2045 (“2045 Notes” and, together with the 2018 Notes, 2020 Notes, 2022 Notes, 2025 Notes and 2035 Notes, the “Notes”) for total proceeds of approximately \$14.8 billion, net of discounts and underwriting fees. The Notes pay interest semi-annually and contain redemption terms which allow or require the Company to redeem the Notes at a defined redemption price plus accrued and unpaid interest at the redemption date. The net proceeds of the Notes were used to fund the Omnicare acquisition and will be used to fund the Target Pharmacy Acquisition. Any remaining proceeds will be used for general corporate purposes.

Upon the closing of the Omnicare acquisition in August 2015, the Company assumed the long-term debt of Omnicare that had a fair value of approximately \$3.1 billion, \$2 billion of which was previously convertible into Omnicare shares that holders were able to redeem subsequent to the acquisition. During the period from August 18, 2015 to September 30, 2015, all but \$9 million of the \$2 billion of previously convertible debt was redeemed and repaid and approximately \$0.4 billion in Omnicare term debt assumed was repaid for total repayments of Omnicare debt of approximately \$2.4 billion during the third quarter of 2015. The remaining principal of the Omnicare debt assumed is comprised of senior unsecured notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$700 million (\$400 million of 4.75% senior notes due 2022 and \$300 million of 5% senior notes due 2024). In September 2015, the Company commenced exchange offers for the 4.75% senior notes due 2022 and the 5% senior notes due 2024 to exchange all validly tendered and accepted notes issued by Omnicare for notes to be issued by the Company. This offer expired on October 20, 2015 and the aggregate principal amounts below of each of the Omnicare notes were validly tendered and exchanged for notes issued by the Company.

Interest Rate and Maturity	Aggregate Principal Amount (In Millions)	Percentage of Total Outstanding Principal Amount Exchanged	
4.75% senior notes due 2022	\$388	96.8	%
5% senior notes due 2024	296	98.8	%
Total senior notes issued under exchange transaction	\$684		

The Company expects to record this exchange transaction as a modification of the original debt instruments. As such, no gain or loss on extinguishment will be recognized in the Company's consolidated income statement as a result of this exchange transaction and issuance costs will be expensed as incurred.

The following is a summary of the Company's required principal debt repayments, excluding unamortized debt discounts, deferred financing costs and debt premiums, due during each of the next five years and thereafter, as of September 30, 2015:

Year Ending December 31:

In millions

2016	\$1,207
2017	1,103
2018	3,526
2019	1,262
2020	3,219
Thereafter	17,138
Total	\$27,455

Note 6 – Leasing

The Company leases most of its retail and mail order locations, ten of its distribution centers and certain corporate offices under noncancelable operating leases, typically with initial terms of 15 to 25 years and with options that permit renewals for additional periods. The Company also leases certain equipment and other assets under noncancelable operating leases, typically with initial terms of three to 10 years. Minimum rent is expensed on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. In addition



to minimum rental payments, certain leases require additional payments based on sales volume, as well as reimbursement for real estate taxes, common area maintenance and insurance, which are expensed as incurred.

The following table is a summary of the Company's net rental expense for operating leases:

In millions	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Minimum rentals	\$576	\$569	\$1,721	\$1,692
Contingent rentals	9	9	25	26
	585	578	1,746	1,718
Less: sublease income	(5	) (5	) (16	) (16
	\$580	\$573	\$1,730	\$1,702

The Company finances a portion of its store development program through sale-leaseback transactions. The properties are generally sold at net book value, which approximates fair value, and the resulting leases typically qualify and are accounted for as operating leases. The Company does not have any retained or contingent interests in the stores and does not provide any guarantees, other than a guarantee of lease payments, in connection with the sale-leaseback transactions. Proceeds from sale-leaseback transactions totaled \$34 million and \$328 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

#### Note 7 – Share Repurchase Programs

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015 the Company had the following outstanding share repurchase programs that were authorized by the Company's Board of Directors:

In billions	Authorized	Remaining
Authorization Date		
December 15, 2014 (“2014 Repurchase Program”)	\$10.0	\$8.8
December 17, 2013 (“2013 Repurchase Program”)	\$6.0	—
		\$8.8

The share repurchase programs, each of which was effective immediately, permit the Company to effect repurchases from time to time through a combination of open market repurchases, privately negotiated transactions, accelerated share repurchase transactions, and/or other derivative transactions. The repurchase programs may be modified or terminated by the Board of Directors at any time.

During the three months ended September 30, 2015, the Company repurchased an aggregate of approximately 8.9 million shares of common stock for approximately \$1.0 billion pursuant to the 2014 Repurchase Program. During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company repurchased an aggregate of approximately 37.8 million shares of common stock for approximately \$3.9 billion pursuant to the 2013 and 2014 Repurchase Programs. This activity includes the accelerated share repurchase agreements (“ASR”) described below. As of September 30, 2015, the 2013 Repurchase Program is complete.

Pursuant to the authorization under the 2013 Repurchase Program, effective January 2, 2015, the Company entered into a \$2.0 billion fixed dollar ASR with JPMorgan Chase Bank (“JPMorgan”). Upon payment of the \$2.0 billion purchase price on January 5, 2015, the Company received a number of shares of its common stock equal to 80% of the \$2.0 billion notional amount of the ASR or approximately 16.8 million shares, which were placed into treasury stock in January 2015. On May 1, 2015, the Company received approximately 3.1 million shares of common stock, representing the remaining 20% of the \$2.0 billion notional amount of the ASR, thereby concluding the ASR. The

remaining 3.1 million shares of common stock delivered to the Company by JPMorgan were placed into treasury stock in May 2015.

The ASR was accounted for as an initial treasury stock transaction for \$1.6 billion and a forward contract for \$0.4 billion. The forward contract was classified as an equity instrument and was recorded within capital surplus on the condensed consolidated balance sheet. The forward contract was reclassified to treasury stock upon the settlement of the ASR in May 2015. The initial and final receipt of shares resulted in an immediate reduction of the outstanding shares used to calculate the weighted average common shares outstanding for basic and diluted net income per share.

Note 8 – Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Accumulated other comprehensive income consists of foreign currency translation adjustments, unrealized losses on cash flow hedges executed in previous years associated with the issuance of long-term debt, and changes in the net actuarial gains and losses associated with pension and other postretirement benefit plans. The following table summarizes the activity within the components of accumulated other comprehensive income.

Changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component are shown on the next page:

16

---

Edgar Filing: CVS HEALTH Corp - Form 10-Q

In millions	Three Months Ended September 30, 2015 <sup>(1)</sup>			
	Foreign Currency	Losses on Cash Flow Hedges	Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits	Total
Balance, June 30, 2015	\$(104 )	\$(8 )	\$(143 )	\$(255 )
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	(61 )	—	—	(61 )
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income <sup>(2)</sup>	—	—	—	—
Net other comprehensive income (loss)	(61 )	—	—	(61 )
Balance, September 30, 2015	\$(165 )	\$(8 )	\$(143 )	\$(316 )

In millions	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 <sup>(1)</sup>			
	Foreign Currency	Losses on Cash Flow Hedges	Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits	Total
Balance, June 30, 2014	\$(15 )	\$(11 )	\$(106 )	\$(132 )
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	(29 )	—	—	(29 )
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income <sup>(2)</sup>	—	1	—	1
Net other comprehensive income (loss)	(29 )	1	—	(28 )
Balance, September 30, 2014	\$(44 )	\$(10 )	\$(106 )	\$(160 )

In millions	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 <sup>(1)</sup>			
	Foreign Currency	Losses on Cash Flow Hedges	Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits	Total
Balance, December 31, 2014	\$(65 )	\$(9 )	\$(143 )	\$(217 )
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	(100 )	1	—	(99 )
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income <sup>(2)</sup>	—	—	—	—
Net other comprehensive income (loss)	(100 )	1	—	(99 )
Balance, September 30, 2015	\$(165 )	\$(8 )	\$(143 )	\$(316 )

In millions	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 <sup>(1)</sup>			
	Foreign Currency	Losses on Cash Flow Hedges	Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits	Total
Balance, December 31, 2013	\$(30 )	\$(13 )	\$(106 )	\$(149 )
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	(14 )	—	—	(14 )
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income <sup>(2)</sup>	—	3	—	3

Edgar Filing: CVS HEALTH Corp - Form 10-Q

Net other comprehensive income (loss)	(14	)	3	—	(11	)
Balance, September 30, 2014	\$(44	)	\$(10	)	\$(106	)
					\$(160	)

(1) All amounts are net of tax.

The amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income for losses on cash flow hedges are recorded within interest expense, net on the condensed consolidated statement of income. The amounts reclassified (2) from accumulated other comprehensive income for pension and other postretirement benefits are included in operating expenses on the condensed consolidated statement of income.

17

---

## Note 9 – Stock-Based Compensation

In millions	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Stock-based compensation:				
Stock options	\$22	\$26	\$67	\$76
Restricted stock awards	65	18	108	45
Total stock-based compensation	\$87	\$44	\$175	\$121

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company granted 4 million stock options with a weighted average fair value of \$13.97 and a weighted average fair value exercise price of \$102.28. The Company had 25 million stock options outstanding as of September 30, 2015 with a weighted average exercise price of \$57.32 and a weighted average contractual term of 4.11 years. During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company granted 3 million restricted stock awards with a weighted average fair value of \$100.84. The Company had 6 million restricted stock awards unvested as of September 30, 2015 with a weighted average fair value of \$59.78. Stock-based compensation for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 includes \$38 million associated with accelerated vesting of restricted stock replacement awards issued to Omnicare executives who were terminated subsequent to the acquisition.

## Note 10 – Interest Expense

The following are the components of net interest expense:

In millions	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Interest expense	\$268	\$158	\$577	\$480
Interest income	(7	) (5	) (15	) (11
Interest expense, net	\$261	\$153	\$562	\$469

## Note 11 – Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share is computed using the two-class method. Options to purchase 3.5 million and 2.4 million shares of common stock were outstanding, but were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, because the options' exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares and, therefore, the effect would be antidilutive. For the same reason, options to purchase approximately 4.1 million and 2.8 million shares of common stock were outstanding, but were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.



The following is a reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations for the respective periods:

In millions, except per share amounts	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Numerator for earnings per share calculations:				
Income from continuing operations <sup>(1)</sup>	\$1,230	\$944	\$3,711	\$3,310
Denominators for earnings per share calculations:				
Weighted average shares, basic	1,114	1,157	1,122	1,167
Effect of dilutive securities	7	7	8	8
Weighted average shares, diluted	1,121	1,164	1,130	1,175
Earnings per share from continuing operations:				
Basic	\$1.10	\$0.82	\$3.31	\$2.84
Diluted	\$1.10	\$0.81	\$3.28	\$2.82

(1) Comprised of income from continuing operations less net income attributable to noncontrolling interest and amounts allocable to participating securities of \$6 million and \$4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$18 million and \$13 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

#### Note 12 – Segment Reporting

The Company has three reportable segments: Pharmacy Services, Retail/LTC and Corporate. The Retail/LTC Segment includes the operating results of the Company's Retail Pharmacy and LTC operating segments as the operations and economic characteristics are similar. The Company's segments maintain separate financial information for which operating results are evaluated on a regular basis by the Company's chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company evaluates its Pharmacy Services and Retail/LTC segments' performance based on net revenue, gross profit and operating profit before the effect of nonrecurring charges and gains and certain intersegment activities. The Company evaluates the performance of its Corporate Segment based on operating expenses before the effect of nonrecurring charges and gains and certain intersegment activities.

The Pharmacy Services Segment provides a full range of pharmacy benefit management ("PBM") services including plan design and administration, formulary management, Medicare Part D services, mail order, specialty pharmacy and infusion services, retail pharmacy network management services, prescription management systems, clinical services, disease management services and medical spend management. The Company's clients are primarily employers, insurance companies, unions, government employee groups, health plans, Managed Medicaid plans and other sponsors of health benefit plans, and individuals throughout the United States. A portion of covered lives primarily within the Managed Medicaid, health plan and employer markets have access to our services through public and private exchanges. Through the Company's SilverScript Insurance Company subsidiary, the Company is a national provider of drug benefits to eligible beneficiaries under the federal government's Medicare Part D program. The Pharmacy Services Segment operates under the CVS/caremark<sup>TM</sup> Pharmacy Services, Caremark<sup>®</sup>, CVS Caremark<sup>TM</sup>, CVS/caremark<sup>TM</sup>, CarePlus CVS/pharmacy<sup>®</sup>, CVS/specialty<sup>TM</sup>, RxAmerica<sup>®</sup>, Accordant<sup>®</sup>, SilverScript<sup>®</sup>, NovoLogix<sup>®</sup>, Coram<sup>®</sup>, Navarro<sup>®</sup> Health Services and Advanced Care Scripts<sup>®</sup> names. As of September 30, 2015, the Pharmacy Services Segment operated 24 retail specialty pharmacy stores, 11 specialty mail order pharmacies, five mail service dispensing pharmacies, and 83 branches for infusion and enteral services, including approximately 73



ambulatory infusion suites and six centers of excellence, located in 40 states, Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia.

The Retail/LTC Segment sells prescription drugs and a wide assortment of general merchandise, including over-the-counter drugs, beauty products and cosmetics, personal care products, convenience foods, photo finishing, seasonal merchandise and greeting cards through the Company's CVS/pharmacy<sup>®</sup>, CVS<sup>®</sup>, Longs Drugs<sup>®</sup>, Navarro Discount Pharmacy<sup>®</sup> and Drogeria Onofre<sup>®</sup> retail stores and online through CVS.com<sup>®</sup>, Navarro.com<sup>™</sup> and Onofre.com.br<sup>™</sup>. As of September 30, 2015, the Retail/LTC Segment included 7,911 retail drugstores (of which 7,852 operated a pharmacy), 33 onsite pharmacies, 1,020 retail medical clinics, and the online retail websites, CVS.com, Navarro.com and Onofre.com.br. The retail drugstores are located in 44 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and Brazil. The retail medical clinics operate under the MinuteClinic<sup>®</sup> name, and 1,013 are located within CVS/pharmacy stores. MinuteClinics utilize nationally-recognized medical protocols to diagnose and treat minor health conditions, perform health screenings, monitor chronic conditions and deliver vaccinations. The clinics are staffed by board-certified nurse practitioners and physician assistants who provide access to affordable care without

appointment. With the acquisition of Omnicare, the Retail/LTC Segment now includes LTC operations, which is comprised of providing the distribution of pharmaceuticals, related pharmacy consulting and other ancillary services to chronic care facilities and other care settings, as well as commercialization services which are provided by RxCrossroads®. LTC is comprised of 113 spoke pharmacies that primarily handle new prescription orders and 32 hub pharmacies that use automation to support spoke pharmacies with refill prescriptions. LTC primarily operates under the Omnicare® and NeighborCare® names.

The Corporate Segment provides management and administrative services to support the Company. The Corporate Segment consists of certain aspects of executive management, corporate relations, legal, compliance, human resources, corporate information technology and finance departments.

In millions	Pharmacy Services Segment(1)	Retail/LTC Segment	Corporate Segment	Intersegment Eliminations(2)	Consolidated Totals
Three Months Ended					
September 30, 2015:					
Net revenues	\$25,528	\$17,912	\$—	\$(4,796)	) \$38,644
Gross profit	1,468	5,373	—	(180)	) 6,661
Operating profit (loss) <sup>(3)</sup>	1,162	1,643	(309)	) (165)	) 2,331
September 30, 2014:					
Net revenues	22,534	16,749	—	(4,262)	) 35,021
Gross profit	1,403	5,237	—	(172)	) 6,468
Operating profit (loss)	1,087	1,527	(196)	) (172)	) 2,246
Nine Months Ended					
September 30, 2015:					
Net revenues	73,849	52,105	—	(13,810)	) 112,144
Gross profit	3,735	15,990	—	(498)	) 19,227
Operating profit (loss) <sup>(3)</sup>	2,837	5,050	(712)	) (450)	) 6,725
September 30, 2014:					
Net revenues	64,566	50,100	—	(12,354)	) 102,312
Gross profit	3,533	15,719	—	(518)	) 18,734
Operating profit (loss)	2,605	4,982	(591)	) (518)	) 6,478

(1) Net revenues of the Pharmacy Services Segment include approximately \$2.1 billion and \$1.9 billion of retail co-payments for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, as well as \$6.8 billion and \$6.1 billion of retail co-payments for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

(2) Intersegment eliminations relate to intersegment revenue generating activities that occur between the Pharmacy Services Segment and the Retail/LTC Segment. These occur in the following ways: when members of Pharmacy Services Segment clients (“members”) fill prescriptions at retail stores to purchase covered products, when members enrolled in programs such as Maintenance Choice® elect to pick up maintenance prescriptions at a retail drugstore instead of receiving them through the mail, or when members have prescriptions filled at long-term care facilities. When these occur, both the Pharmacy Services and Retail/LTC segments record the revenues, gross profit and operating profit on a standalone basis.

(3) The Corporate Segment operating loss includes \$115 million and \$135 million of acquisition-related transaction and integration costs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively.

#### Note 13 – Commitments and Contingencies

##### Lease Guarantees

Between 1991 and 1997, the Company sold or spun off a number of subsidiaries, including Bob's Stores, Linens 'n Things, Marshalls, Kay-Bee Toys, Wilsons, This End Up and Footstar. In many cases, when a former subsidiary leased a store, the Company provided a guarantee of the store's lease obligations. When the subsidiaries were disposed of, the Company's guarantees remained in place, although each initial purchaser has agreed to indemnify the Company for any lease obligations the Company was required to satisfy. If any of the purchasers or any of the former subsidiaries were to become insolvent and failed to make the required payments under a store lease, the Company could be required to satisfy these obligations.

As of September 30, 2015, the Company guaranteed approximately 72 such store leases (excluding the lease guarantees related to Linens 'n Things, which have been recorded as a liability on the condensed consolidated balance sheet), with the maximum

remaining lease term extending through 2026. Management believes the ultimate disposition of any of the remaining guarantees will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or future cash flows.

#### Legal Matters

The Company is a party to legal proceedings, investigations and claims in the ordinary course of its business, including the matters described below. The Company records accruals for outstanding legal matters when it believes it is probable that a loss will be incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. The Company evaluates, on a quarterly basis, developments in legal matters that could affect the amount of any accrual and developments that would make a loss contingency both probable and reasonably estimable. If a loss contingency is not both probable and estimable, the Company does not establish an accrued liability. None of the Company's accruals for outstanding legal matters are material individually or in the aggregate to the Company's financial position.

The Company's contingencies are subject to significant uncertainties, including, among other factors: (i) the procedural status of pending matters; (ii) whether class action status is sought and certified; (iii) whether asserted claims or allegations will survive dispositive motion practice; (iv) the extent of potential damages, fines or penalties, which are often unspecified or indeterminate; (v) the impact of discovery on the legal process; (vi) whether novel or unsettled legal theories are at issue; (vii) the settlement posture of the parties, and/or (viii) in the case of certain government agency investigations, whether a sealed qui tam lawsuit ("whistleblower" action) has been filed and whether the government agency makes a decision to intervene in the lawsuit following an investigation.

Except as otherwise noted, the Company cannot predict with certainty the timing or outcome of the legal matters described below, and is unable to reasonably estimate a possible loss or range of possible loss in excess of amounts already accrued for these matters.

Caremark (the term "Caremark" being used herein to generally refer to any one or more PBM subsidiaries of the Company, as applicable) was named in a putative class action lawsuit filed in October 2003 in Alabama state court by John Lauriello, purportedly on behalf of participants in the 1999 settlement of various securities class action and derivative lawsuits against Caremark and others. Other defendants include insurance companies that provided coverage to Caremark with respect to the settled lawsuits. The Lauriello lawsuit seeks approximately \$3.2 billion in compensatory damages plus other non-specified damages based on allegations that the amount of insurance coverage available for the settled lawsuits was misrepresented and suppressed. A similar lawsuit was filed in November 2003 by Frank McArthur, also in Alabama state court, naming as defendants, among others, Caremark and several insurance companies involved in the 1999 settlement. This lawsuit was stayed as a later-filed class action, but McArthur was subsequently allowed to intervene in the Lauriello action. Following the close of class discovery, the trial court entered an Order on August 15, 2012 that granted the plaintiffs' motion to certify a class pursuant to Alabama Rule of Civil Procedures 23(b)(3) but denied their request that the class also be certified pursuant to Rule 23(b)(1). In addition, the August 15, 2012 Order appointed class representatives and class counsel. On September 12, 2014, the Alabama Supreme Court affirmed the trial court's August 15, 2012 Order. The case is proceeding and trial is currently scheduled to begin in February 2016.

- Beginning in August 2003, various lawsuits were filed by pharmacies alleging that Caremark and other PBMs were violating certain antitrust laws. In August 2003, Bellevue Drug Co., Robert Schreiber, Inc. d/b/a Burns Pharmacy and Rehn-Huerbinger Drug Co. d/b/a Parkway Drugs #4, together with Pharmacy Freedom Fund and the National Community Pharmacists Association filed a putative class action against Caremark in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, seeking treble damages and injunctive relief. This case was initially sent to arbitration based on the contract terms between the pharmacies and Caremark, but later returned to federal court, where it currently remains. In addition, in

October 2003, two independent pharmacies, North Jackson Pharmacy, Inc. and C&C, Inc. d/b/a Big C Discount Drugs, Inc., filed three separate putative class action complaints in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, all seeking treble damages and injunctive relief. One complaint named three Caremark entities as defendants, and the other two complaints named PBM competitors. The North Jackson Pharmacy case against two of the Caremark entities was transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois; the case against the third Caremark entity was sent to arbitration based on contract terms between the pharmacies and that entity. The arbitration was stayed at the parties' request and later closed by the American Arbitration Association.

In August 2006, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation issued an order transferring all related PBM antitrust cases, including the North Jackson Pharmacy cases, to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for coordinated and consolidated proceedings with the cases originally filed in that court, including the Bellevue matter. The consolidated action is now known as *In re Pharmacy Benefit Managers Antitrust Litigation*. A motion for class certification filed by the North Jackson Pharmacy plaintiffs against the Caremark defendants on August 31, 2015 is currently pending. In the Bellevue matter, the parties are in the early stages of discovery.

In February 2006, two substantially similar putative class action lawsuits were filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Kentucky, and were consolidated and entitled *Indiana State Dist. Council of Laborers & HOD Carriers Pension & Welfare Fund v. Omnicare, Inc., et al.*, No. 2:06cv26. The consolidated complaint was filed against Omnicare, three of its officers and two of its directors and purported to be brought on behalf of all open-market purchasers of Omnicare common stock from August 3, 2005 through July 27, 2006, as well as all purchasers who bought shares of Omnicare common stock in Omnicare's public offering in December 2005. The complaint alleged violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 and sought, among other things, compensatory damages and injunctive relief. After dismissals and appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, the United States Supreme Court remanded the case to the district court. In October 2015, the court granted plaintiffs' motion to file a third amended complaint.

In December 2007, the Company received a document subpoena from the Office of Inspector General ("OIG") within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, requesting information relating to the processing of Medicaid and certain other government agency claims on behalf of its clients (which allegedly resulted in underpayments from our pharmacy benefit management clients to the applicable government agencies) on one of the Company's adjudication platforms. In September 2014, the Company settled the OIG's claims, as well as related claims by the Department of Justice and private plaintiffs, without any admission of liability. The Company is in discussions with the OIG concerning other claim processing issues.

In November 2009, a securities class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island by Richard Medoff, purportedly on behalf of purchasers of CVS Health Corporation stock between May 5, 2009 and November 4, 2009. An amended complaint extended that time period back to October 30, 2008. The lawsuit names the Company and certain officers as defendants and includes allegations of securities fraud relating to public disclosures made by the Company concerning the PBM business and allegations of insider trading. In addition, a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed by Mark Wuotila in December 2009 in the same court against the directors and certain officers of the Company. This lawsuit, which has remained stayed pending developments in the related securities class action, includes allegations of, among other things, securities fraud, insider trading and breach of fiduciary duties and further alleges that the Company was damaged by the purchase of stock at allegedly inflated prices under its share repurchase program. In January 2011, both lawsuits were transferred to the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire. In August 2015, the Parties reached an agreement in principle to settle the Medoff action for \$48 million. In September 2015, the Parties filed a joint stipulation seeking preliminary approval for this settlement. The Company denies any wrongdoing, and agreed to a settlement to avoid the burden, uncertainty and distraction of litigation. The settlement will be funded by insurance proceeds. The Wuotila derivative matter remains pending.

As part of a previously disclosed civil settlement agreement entered into by Omnicare with the U.S. Attorney's Office, for the District of Massachusetts in November 2009, Omnicare also entered into an amended and restated corporate integrity agreement ("CIA") with the OIG with a term of five years from November 2, 2009 with certain provisions continuing for a period after the term. In October 2015, Omnicare received a closure letter from the OIG. The Company is continuing discussions with the OIG around the CIA and its compliance program.

In March 2010, the Company learned that various State Attorneys General offices and certain other government agencies were conducting a multi-state investigation of certain of the Company's business practices similar to those being investigated at that time by the U.S. Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"). Twenty-eight states, the District of Columbia and the County of Los Angeles are known to be participating in this investigation. The prior FTC investigation, which commenced in August 2009, was officially concluded in May 2012 when the consent order entered into between the FTC and the Company became final. The Company has cooperated with the multi-state investigation.

In March 2010, the Company received a subpoena from the OIG requesting information about programs under which the Company has offered customers remuneration conditioned upon the transfer of prescriptions for drugs or

medications to the Company's pharmacies in the form of gift cards, cash, non-prescription merchandise or discounts or coupons for non-prescription merchandise. The subpoena relates to an investigation of possible false or otherwise improper claims for payment under the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The Company has provided documents and other information in response to this request for information.

On October 29, 2010, a qui tam complaint entitled United States et al., ex rel. Banigan and Templin v. Organon USA, Inc., Omnicare, Inc. and PharMerica Corporation, Civil No. 07-12153-RWZ, that had been filed under seal with the U.S. District Court in Boston, Massachusetts, was ordered unsealed by the court. The complaint was brought by James Banigan and Richard Templin, former employees of Organon, as private party qui tam relators on behalf of the federal government and several state and local governments. The action alleges civil violations of the False Claims Act based on allegations that Organon and its affiliates paid Omnicare and several other long-term care pharmacies rebates, post-purchase discounts and other forms of remuneration in return for purchasing pharmaceuticals from Organon and taking steps to increase the purchase of Organon's drugs in violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute. The U.S. Department of Justice declined to intervene in this action. The court denied Omnicare's motion to dismiss in June 2012. Discovery is closed in this matter. The Company believes that the allegations are without merit.

In January 2012, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania unsealed a first amended qui tam complaint filed in August 2011 by an individual relator, Anthony Spay, who is described in the complaint as having once been employed by a firm providing pharmacy prescription benefit audit and recovery services. The complaint seeks monetary damages and alleges that Caremark's processing of Medicare claims on behalf of one of its clients violated the federal False Claims Act. The United States declined to intervene in the lawsuit. In September 2015, the Court granted Caremark's motion for summary judgment in its entirety, and entered judgment in favor of Caremark and against Spay.

In November 2012, the Company received a subpoena from the OIG requesting information concerning automatic refill programs used by pharmacies to refill prescriptions for customers. The Company has been cooperating and providing documents and other information in response to this request for information.

- In 2013, Omnicare received subpoenas seeking information regarding Omnicare's nationwide billing practices with regard to National Drug Code overrides and Omnicare's May 2008 acquisition of Pure Service Pharmacy.
- In 2014, Omnicare received subpoenas seeking information regarding Omnicare's Auto Label Verification system and Omnicare's per diem arrangements. Omnicare has produced documents and provided information in response to these subpoenas and continues to cooperate in the investigations.

On March 22, 2013, a qui tam complaint entitled United States et al. ex rel. Susan Ruscher v. Omnicare, Inc. et al., Civil No. 08-cv-3396, which had been filed under seal in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas, was unsealed by the court. The complaint was brought by Susan Ruscher as a private party qui tam relator on behalf of the federal government and several state governments alleging violations of the federal False Claims Act and analogous state laws based upon allegations that Omnicare's practices relating to customer collections violated the Anti-Kickback Statute. In September 2015, the court granted summary judgment dismissing all claims against Omnicare and denied relator's motion for summary judgment related to Omnicare's counterclaims and thereafter, on October 1, 2015, the court entered a final judgment for Omnicare and stayed trial on the counterclaims pending an appeal from the relator.

In January 2014, the U.S. District Court in the Southern District of New York unsealed a qui tam action in which the Company is a defendant. The suit originally was filed under seal in 2011 by relator David Kester, a former employee of Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp. ("Novartis"). The suit alleges that Novartis, the Company, and other specialty pharmacies violated the federal False Claims Act, as well as the false claims acts of several states, by using pharmacists, nurses and other staff to recommend and increase the sales and market share for certain Novartis



specialty drugs in exchange for patient referrals, rebates and discounts provided by Novartis. The federal government has intervened in the case as to some allegations against Novartis but has declined to intervene as to any of the allegations against the Company. Kester continued the litigation against the Company, but on June 16, 2015, filed a notice of settlement with the Court. The parties have filed a stipulation of dismissal as to the Company.

In March 2014, the Company received a subpoena from the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Rhode Island, requesting documents and information concerning bona fide service fees and rebates received from pharmaceutical manufacturers in connection with certain drugs utilized under Part D of the Medicare Program, as well

as the reporting of those fees and rebates to Part D plan sponsors. The Company has been cooperating with the government and providing documents and information in response to the subpoena.

The U.S. Department of Justice, through the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Western District of Virginia, investigated whether Omnicare's activities in connection with the agreements it had with the manufacturer of the pharmaceutical Depakote violated the False Claims Act or the Anti-Kickback Statute. Omnicare cooperated with this investigation and believes that it has complied with applicable laws and regulations with respect to this matter. In connection with this matter, on December 22, 2014, the U.S. Department of Justice filed a civil complaint-in-intervention in two qui tam complaints, entitled United States, et al., ex rel. Spetter v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., Omnicare, Inc., and PharMerica Corp., No. 1:07-cv-00006 and United States, et al., ex rel. McCoy v. Abbott Laboratories, Omnicare, Inc., PharMerica Corp., and Miles White, No. 1:07-cv-00081, alleging civil violations of the False Claims Act in connection with the manufacturer agreements described above. In July 2015, the parties filed a Joint Motion to Stay the Litigation stating that the parties have reached a proposed resolution of the monetary terms of a potential settlement agreement. These financial terms are contingent on approval by authorized officials at the Department of Justice, negotiation of terms of a settlement agreement, approval and releases from the OIG, the National Association of Medicaid Fraud Control Units, and the Department of Justice, and coordination with discussions with the United States regarding other ongoing matters. While the Company believes that a final settlement will be reached, there can be no assurance that any final settlement agreement will be reached or as to the final terms of such settlement.

In May 2015, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Middle District of Florida, resolving alleged violations of the Controlled Substances Act ("CSA"). The Company paid a fine of \$22 million in connection with the settlement. The Company is also undergoing several audits by the Drug Enforcement Agency ("DEA") Administrator and is in discussions with the DEA and the U.S. Attorney's Office in several locations concerning allegations that the Company has violated certain requirements of the CSA. Whether agreements can be reached and on what terms is uncertain.

In May 2015, the Company received a subpoena from the OIG requesting information and documents concerning the Company's automatic refill programs, adherence outreach programs, and pharmacy customer incentives, particularly in connection with claims for reimbursement made to the Minnesota Medicaid program. The Company has been cooperating with the investigation and providing information in response to the subpoena.

In July 2015, the U.S. District Court in the District of Massachusetts dismissed all claims alleged in a qui tam lawsuit that had been brought against the Company by a pharmacy auditor and a former CVS pharmacist. The lawsuit, which was initially filed under seal in 2011, alleged that the Company violated the federal False Claims Act, as well as the false claims acts of several states, by overcharging state and federal governments in connection with prescription drugs available through the Company's Health Savings Pass program, a membership-based program that allows enrolled customers special pricing for typical 90-day supplies of various generic prescription drugs. The federal government had declined to intervene in the case. The plaintiffs are appealing the dismissal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for First Circuit.

On July 27, 2015, a consolidated class action complaint was filed by plaintiffs naming Omnicare, the members of the Omnicare Board of Directors, CVS Health, CVS Pharmacy and its merger subsidiary as defendants. The complaint alleged that the members of the Omnicare Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties to Omnicare's stockholders during merger negotiations by entering into the merger agreement and approving the merger, and the CVS parties aided and abetted such breaches of fiduciary duties. In September 2015, the court granted plaintiffs' voluntary notice of dismissal of all allegations against the defendants.

The Attorney General of the State of Texas issued civil investigative demands and other requests in February 2012, May 2014, and May 2015, and has continued its investigation concerning the Health Savings Pass program and other

pricing practices with respect to claims for reimbursement from the Texas Medicaid program.

- In July and September 2015, related putative class actions were filed against the Company in the U.S. District Court in the Northern District of California and the Northern District of Illinois, respectively. The first case was brought by Christopher Corcoran and six other individuals who allegedly overpaid for prescriptions for generic drugs filled at CVS pharmacies. The second case was brought by Robert Podgorny and another individual on the same theory. Both complaints seek damages and injunctive relief under the consumer protection statutes and common laws of certain states.

In September 2015, Omnicare was served with an administrative subpoena by the DEA. The subpoena seeks documents related to controlled substance policies, procedures, and practices at eight pharmacy locations from May 2012 to present. The Company currently is evaluating the subpoena and intends to cooperate with any investigation.

The Company is also a party to other legal proceedings, government investigations, inquiries and audits arising in the normal course of its business, none of which is expected to be material to the Company. The Company can give no assurance, however, that its business, financial condition and results of operations will not be materially adversely affected, or that the Company will not be required to materially change its business practices, based on: (i) future enactment of new health care or other laws or regulations; (ii) the interpretation or application of existing laws or regulations as they may relate to the Company's business, the pharmacy services, retail pharmacy, long-term care pharmacy or retail clinic industries or to the health care industry generally; (iii) pending or future federal or state governmental investigations of the Company's business or the pharmacy services, retail pharmacy, long-term care pharmacy or retail clinic industry or of the health care industry generally; (iv) pending or future government enforcement actions against the Company; (v) adverse developments in any pending qui tam lawsuit against the Company, whether sealed or unsealed, or in any future qui tam lawsuit that may be filed against the Company; or (vi) adverse developments in pending or future legal proceedings against the Company or affecting the pharmacy services, retail pharmacy, long-term care pharmacy or retail clinic industry or the health care industry generally.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders  
CVS Health Corporation:

We have reviewed the condensed consolidated balance sheet of CVS Health Corporation (the Company) as of September 30, 2015, and the related condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2015 and 2014. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company has elected changes in its methods of accounting for front store inventories in the Retail/LTC Segment effective January 1, 2015.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of CVS Health Corporation as of December 31, 2014, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended not presented herein, and in our report dated February 10, 2015, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2014, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

October 30, 2015  
Boston, Massachusetts

Part I

Item 2

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview of Our Business

CVS Health Corporation, together with its subsidiaries (collectively "CVS Health," the "Company," "we," "our" or "us"), is a pharmacy innovation company helping people on their path to better health. At the forefront of a changing health care landscape, the Company has an unmatched suite of capabilities and the expertise needed to drive innovations that will help shape the future of health.

We are currently the only integrated pharmacy health care company with the ability to impact consumers, payors, and providers with innovative, channel-agnostic solutions. We have a deep understanding of their diverse needs through our unique integrated model, and we are bringing them innovative solutions that help increase access to quality care, deliver better health outcomes, and lower overall health care costs.

Through our more than 7,900 retail drugstores, more than 1,000 walk-in health care clinics, a leading pharmacy benefits manager with more than 70 million plan members, a dedicated senior pharmacy care business serving more than one million patients per year, and expanding specialty pharmacy services, we enable people, businesses, and communities to manage health in more effective ways. We are delivering break-through products and services, from advising patients on their medications at our CVS/pharmacy® locations, to introducing unique programs to help control costs for our clients at CVS/caremark™, to innovating how care is delivered to our patients with complex conditions through CVS/specialty™, or by expanding access to high-quality, low-cost care at CVS/minuteclinic™.

On August 18, 2015, the Company acquired 100% of the outstanding common shares and voting interests of Omnicare, Inc. ("Omnicare"), for \$98 per share for a total of \$9.6 billion and assumed long-term debt with a fair value of approximately \$3.1 billion. Omnicare is a leading healthcare services company that specializes in the management of complex pharmaceutical care. As a result of the acquisition of Omnicare, the Company's segments have been expanded. The Company's Pharmacy Services Segment now also includes the specialty pharmacy operations of Omnicare. The Company's Retail Pharmacy Segment now also includes the long-term care ("LTC") operations, as well as the commercialization services of Omnicare, and has been renamed the "Retail/LTC Segment." The LTC operations include providing the distribution of pharmaceuticals, related pharmacy consulting and other ancillary services to chronic care facilities and other care settings. The Company's Corporate Segment now also includes certain aspects of Omnicare's corporate expenses.

We have three reportable segments: Pharmacy Services, Retail/LTC and Corporate.

Pharmacy Services Segment

Our Pharmacy Services Segment generates revenue from a full range of pharmacy benefit management ("PBM") services, including plan design and administration, formulary management, Medicare Part D services, mail order, specialty pharmacy and infusion services, retail pharmacy network management services, prescription management systems, clinical services, disease management services and medical spend management. Our clients are primarily employers, insurance companies, unions, government employee groups, health plans, Managed Medicaid plans and other sponsors of health benefit plans, and individuals throughout the United States. A portion of covered lives primarily within the Managed Medicaid, health plan and employer markets have access to our services through public and private exchanges. As a pharmacy benefits manager, we manage the dispensing of pharmaceuticals through our mail order pharmacies, specialty pharmacies and national network of more than 68,000 retail pharmacies, consisting of approximately 41,000 chain pharmacies (which includes our CVS/pharmacy stores) and 27,000 independent

pharmacies, to eligible members in the benefit plans maintained by our clients and utilize our information systems to perform, among other things, safety checks, drug interaction screenings and brand to generic substitutions.

Our specialty pharmacies support individuals that require complex and expensive drug therapies. Our specialty pharmacy business includes mail order and retail specialty pharmacies that operate under the CVS Caremark™, CarePlus CVS/pharmacy®, Navarro® Health Services and Advanced Care Scripts® names. The Pharmacy Services Segment also provides health management programs, which include integrated disease management programs for 17 conditions, through our Accordant® rare disease management offering. In addition, through our SilverScript Insurance Company subsidiary, we are a national provider of drug benefits to eligible beneficiaries under the federal government's Medicare Part D program. The Pharmacy Services Segment operates under the CVS/caremark™ Pharmacy Services, Caremark®, CVS Caremark™, CVS/caremark™, CarePlus

CVS/pharmacy<sup>®</sup>, RxAmerica<sup>®</sup>, Accordant<sup>®</sup>, SilverScript<sup>®</sup>, Coram<sup>®</sup>, CVS/specialty<sup>™</sup>, NovoLogix<sup>®</sup>, Navarro<sup>®</sup> Health Services and Advanced Care Scripts<sup>®</sup> names. As of September 30, 2015, the Pharmacy Services Segment operated 24 retail specialty pharmacy stores, 11 specialty mail order pharmacies, five mail service dispensing pharmacies, and 83 branches for infusion and enteral services, including approximately 73 ambulatory infusion suites and six centers of excellence, located in 40 states, Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia.

#### Retail/LTC Segment

Our Retail/LTC Segment sells prescription drugs and a wide assortment of general merchandise, including over-the-counter drugs, beauty products and cosmetics, personal care products, convenience foods, photo finishing, seasonal merchandise and greeting cards through our CVS/pharmacy<sup>®</sup>, CVS<sup>®</sup>, Longs Drugs<sup>®</sup>, Navarro Discount Pharmacy<sup>®</sup> and Drogaria Onofre<sup>™</sup> retail stores and online through CVS.com<sup>®</sup>, Navarro.com<sup>™</sup> and Onofre.com.br<sup>™</sup>. With the acquisition of Omnicare, the Retail/LTC Segment now also includes providing the distribution of pharmaceuticals, related pharmacy consulting and other ancillary services to chronic care facilities and other care settings, as well as commercialization services which are provided by RxCrossroads<sup>®</sup>. Our Retail/LTC Segment derives the majority of its revenues through the sale of prescription drugs, which are dispensed by our 26,000 pharmacists. Our Retail/LTC Segment also provides health care services through our CVS/minuteclinic offering. MinuteClinics are staffed by nurse practitioners and physician assistants who utilize nationally recognized protocols to diagnose and treat minor health conditions, perform health screenings, monitor chronic conditions, and deliver vaccinations. As of September 30, 2015, our Retail/LTC Segment included 7,911 retail drugstores (of which 7,852 operated a pharmacy) located in 44 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and Brazil operating primarily under the CVS/pharmacy<sup>®</sup>, CVS<sup>®</sup>, Longs Drugs<sup>®</sup>, Navarro Discount Pharmacy<sup>®</sup> or Drogaria Onofre<sup>™</sup> names, 33 onsite pharmacies, 1,020 retail medical clinics operating under the MinuteClinic<sup>®</sup> name (of which 1,013 were located in CVS/pharmacy stores), and our online retail websites, CVS.com, Navarro.com and Onofre.com.br. LTC is comprised of 113 spoke pharmacies that primarily handle new prescription orders and 32 hub pharmacies that use proprietary automation to support spoke pharmacies with refill prescriptions. LTC operates primarily under the Omnicare<sup>®</sup> and NeighborCare<sup>®</sup> names.

#### Corporate Segment

The Corporate Segment provides management and administrative services to support the Company. The Corporate Segment consists of certain aspects of our executive management, corporate relations, legal, compliance, human resources, corporate information technology and finance departments.

#### Proposed Acquisition

On June 12, 2015, CVS Pharmacy, Inc. (“CVS Pharmacy”), a wholly owned subsidiary of CVS Health, entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement with Target Corporation (“Target”) pursuant to which Target agreed to sell its pharmacy and clinic businesses to CVS Pharmacy (the “Target Pharmacy Acquisition”). The purchase price is \$1.887 billion, payable in cash at closing and is subject to certain adjustments. The timing of the closing is uncertain, and is subject to receipt of regulatory approval and other customary conditions.

We used the net proceeds from the July 2015 debt offering to fund the acquisition of Omnicare and will use a portion of the \$14.8 billion in net proceeds for the Target Pharmacy Acquisition. Any remaining proceeds from the offering will be used for general corporate purposes.

#### Results of Operations



The following discussion explains the material changes in our results of operations for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, and the significant developments affecting our financial condition since December 31, 2014. We strongly recommend that you read our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included as Exhibit 13 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 ("2014 Form 10-K") along with this report.

## Summary of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Results:

In millions	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net revenues	\$38,644	\$35,021	\$112,144	\$102,312
Cost of revenues	31,983	28,553	92,917	83,578
Gross profit	6,661	6,468	19,227	18,734
Operating expenses	4,330	4,222	12,502	12,256
Operating profit	2,331	2,246	6,725	6,478
Interest expense, net	261	153	562	469
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	—	521	—	521
Income before income tax provision	2,070	1,572	6,163	5,488
Income tax provision	833	624	2,433	2,165
Income from continuing operations	1,237	948	3,730	3,323
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	10	—	10	—
Net income	1,247	948	3,740	3,323
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(1	) —	(1	) —
Net income attributable to CVS Health	\$1,246	\$948	\$3,739	\$3,323

## Net Revenues

Net revenues increased approximately \$3.6 billion, or 10.3%, and \$9.8 billion, or 9.6%, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, as compared to the prior year. The increase in the Pharmacy Services Segment was primarily driven by growth in specialty pharmacy and increased volume in pharmacy network claims. The increase in the Retail/LTC Segment was primarily due to an increase in pharmacy same store sales and revenue from new stores. Both segments benefited from the Omnicare acquisition. Net revenues in both periods were negatively affected by increased generic dispensing rates for both the Pharmacy Services and Retail/LTC segments. However, the year-over-year increase in generic dispensing rates was not as significant in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to the corresponding periods in the prior year. Generic prescription drugs typically have a lower selling price than brand name prescription drugs.

Please see the section entitled “Segment Analysis” below for additional information regarding net revenues.

## Gross Profit

Gross profit dollars increased \$193 million, or 3.0%, and \$493 million, or 2.6%, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, as compared to the prior year. Gross profit as a percentage of net revenues decreased approximately 120 basis points in both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 to 17.2% and 17.1%, respectively, as compared to the prior year. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net revenues was driven by a change in the mix of business with the Pharmacy Services Segment growing faster than the Retail/LTC Segment, as well as moderate declines in gross margin in both segments. Gross profit dollars for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, were positively impacted by an increase in generic dispensing rates compared to the prior year.

Please see the section entitled “Segment Analysis” below for additional information regarding gross profit.

## Operating Expenses

Operating expenses increased \$108 million, or 2.6%, and \$246 million, or 2.0%, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, as compared to the prior year. Operating expenses as a percentage of net revenues decreased approximately 80 basis points to 11.2% and 11.1% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, as compared to 12.1% and 12.0% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. The increase in operating expense dollars in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, was primarily due to incremental store operating costs associated with operating more stores in our Retail/LTC Segment, as well as the addition of LTC through the Omnicare acquisition in August 2015. The decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 was driven by higher legal costs in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Please see the section entitled “Segment Analysis” below for additional information regarding operating expenses.

#### Interest Expense, net

Interest expense, net, increased \$108 million and \$93 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, as compared to the prior year. The increase in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 was primarily due to the amortization of bridge facility fees of \$52 million for the unsecured bridge facility that was entered into on May 20, 2015 and was amortized to interest expense over the period it was outstanding, the \$15 billion debt issuance in July 2015 and the debt assumed through the Omnicare acquisition. See Note 5, “Borrowings” for additional information.

For additional information on our financing activities, please see the “Liquidity and Capital Resources” section later in Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

#### Income Tax Provision

Our effective income tax rate was 40.2% and 39.5% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to 39.7% and 39.4% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, was primarily due to nondeductible acquisition costs incurred in the Omnicare acquisition.

#### Income from Discontinued Operations

The income from discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 consisted of \$10 million of lease-related income associated with guarantees of store lease obligations of Linens 'n Things, a former subsidiary of the Company that became insolvent subsequent to its disposition.

See Note 13, “Commitments and Contingencies” for additional information about our lease guarantees.

#### Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest represents the minority shareholders' portion of the net income from our majority owned subsidiaries. The net income attributable to noncontrolling interest for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 was \$1 million.

## Segment Analysis

We evaluate the performance of our Pharmacy Services and Retail/LTC segments based on net revenue, gross profit and operating profit before the effect of nonrecurring charges and gains and certain intersegment activities. We evaluate the performance of our Corporate Segment based on operating expenses before the effect of nonrecurring charges and gains and certain intersegment activities. The following is a reconciliation of our segments to the condensed consolidated financial statements:

In millions	Pharmacy Services Segment(1)	Retail/LTC Segment	Corporate Segment	Intersegment Eliminations (2)	Consolidated Totals
Three Months Ended					
September 30, 2015:					
Net revenues	\$25,528	\$17,912	\$—	\$(4,796)	) \$38,644
Gross profit	1,468	5,373	—	(180)	) 6,661
Operating profit (loss) <sup>(3)</sup>	1,162	1,643	(309)	) (165)	) 2,331
September 30, 2014:					
Net revenues	22,534	16,749	—	(4,262)	) 35,021
Gross profit	1,403	5,237	—	(172)	) 6,468
Operating profit (loss)	1,087	1,527	(196)	) (172)	) 2,246
Nine Months Ended					
September 30, 2015:					
Net revenues	73,849	52,105	—	(13,810)	) 112,144
Gross profit	3,735	15,990	—	(498)	) 19,227
Operating profit (loss) <sup>(3)</sup>	2,837	5,050	(712)	) (450)	) 6,725
September 30, 2014:					
Net revenues	64,566	50,100	—	(12,354)	) 102,312
Gross profit	3,533	15,719	—	(518)	) 18,734
Operating profit (loss)	2,605	4,982	(591)	) (518)	) 6,478

Net revenues of the Pharmacy Services Segment include approximately \$2.1 billion and \$1.9 billion of retail (1) co-payments for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, as well as \$6.8 billion and \$6.1 billion of retail co-payments for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Intersegment eliminations relate to intersegment revenue generating activities that occur between the Pharmacy Services Segment and the Retail/LTC Segment. These occur in the following ways: when members of Pharmacy Services Segment clients (“members”) fill prescriptions at retail stores to purchase covered products, when members (2) enrolled in programs such as Maintenance Choice<sup>®</sup> elect to pick up maintenance prescriptions at a retail drugstore instead of receiving them through the mail, or when members have prescriptions filled at long-term care facilities. When these occur, both the Pharmacy Services and Retail/LTC segments record the revenues, gross profit and operating profit on a standalone basis.

(3) The Corporate Segment operating loss includes \$115 million and \$135 million of acquisition-related transaction and integration costs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively.

## Pharmacy Services Segment

The following table summarizes our Pharmacy Services Segment's performance for the respective periods:

In millions	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Net revenues	\$25,528	\$22,534	\$73,849	\$64,566	
Gross profit	1,468	1,403	3,735	3,533	
Gross profit % of net revenues	5.8	% 6.2	% 5.1	% 5.5	%
Operating expenses	306	316	898	928	
Operating expense % of net revenues	1.2	% 1.4	% 1.2	% 1.4	%
Operating profit	1,162	1,087	2,837	2,605	
Operating profit % of net revenues	4.6	% 4.8	% 3.8	% 4.0	%
Net revenues:					
Mail choice <sup>(2)</sup>	\$9,735	\$8,054	\$27,592	\$22,641	
Pharmacy network <sup>(1)(3)</sup>	15,716	14,420	46,043	41,748	
Other	77	60	214	177	
Pharmacy claims processed:					
Total	251.0	230.3	752.3	689.1	
Mail choice <sup>(2)</sup>	21.9	20.7	63.5	61.1	
Pharmacy network <sup>(1)(3)</sup>	229.1	209.6	688.8	628.0	
Generic dispensing rate:					
Total	83.8	% 82.5	% 83.7	% 82.3	%
Mail choice <sup>(2)</sup>	76.5	% 75.0	% 76.3	% 74.5	%
Pharmacy network <sup>(1)(3)</sup>	84.5	% 83.2	% 84.4	% 83.1	%
Mail choice penetration rate	21.1	% 21.7	% 20.5	% 21.5	%

(1) Pharmacy network net revenues, claims processed and generic dispensing rates do not include Maintenance Choice®, which are included within the mail choice category.

(2) Mail choice is defined as claims filled at a Pharmacy Services mail facility, which includes specialty mail claims inclusive of Specialty Connect® claims filled at retail, as well as prescriptions filled at retail under the Maintenance Choice program.

(3) Pharmacy network is defined as claims filled at retail and specialty pharmacies, including our retail drugstores and long-term care pharmacies, but excluding Maintenance Choice activity.

## Net Revenues

Net revenues in our Pharmacy Services Segment increased \$3.0 billion, or 13.3%, to \$25.5 billion in the three months ended September 30, 2015, as compared to the prior year. The increase is primarily due to growth in specialty pharmacy, driven by new clients and increased volume from new products, as well as inflation and increased pharmacy network claims. As you review our Pharmacy Services Segment's performance in this area, we believe you should consider the following important information that impacted the three months ended September 30, 2015:

- Our mail choice claims processed increased 5.6% to 21.9 million claims in the three months ended September 30, 2015, compared to 20.7 million claims in the prior year. The increase in mail choice claims was driven by specialty and continuing adoption of our Maintenance Choice offerings.

Our average revenue per mail choice claim increased by 14.4%, compared to the prior year. This increase was primarily due to growth in specialty pharmacy.

- Our pharmacy network claims processed increased 9.3% to 229.1 million claims in the three months ended September 30, 2015, compared to 209.6 million claims in the prior year. The increase in the pharmacy network claim volume was primarily due to net new business, as well as growth in Managed Medicaid.

Our average revenue per pharmacy network claim processed remained relatively flat compared to the prior year.

Our mail choice generic dispensing rate increased to 76.5% in the three months ended September 30, 2015, compared to 75.0% in the prior year. Our pharmacy network generic dispensing rate increased to 84.5%, compared to 83.2% in the prior year. These continued increases in mail choice and pharmacy network generic dispensing rates were primarily due to the impact of new generic drug introductions, and our continuous efforts to encourage plan members to use generic drugs when they are available. We believe our generic dispensing rates will continue to increase in future periods, albeit at a slower pace. This increase will be affected by, among other things, the number of new generic drug introductions and our success at encouraging plan members to utilize generic drugs when they are available and clinically appropriate.

Net revenues increased \$9.3 billion, or 14.4%, to \$73.8 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, as compared to the prior year. The increase is primarily due to growth in specialty pharmacy, driven by increased volume from new products and new clients, as well as inflation and increased pharmacy network claims. As you review our Pharmacy Services Segment's performance in this area, we believe you should consider the following important information that impacted the nine months ended September 30, 2015:

Our mail choice claims processed increased 4.1% to 63.5 million claims in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to 61.1 million claims in the prior year. The increase in mail choice claims was driven by specialty claim volume and increased claims associated with the continuing adoption of our Maintenance Choice offerings.

Our average revenue per mail choice claim increased by 17.1%, compared to the prior year. This increase was primarily due to growth in specialty pharmacy.

Our pharmacy network claims processed increased 9.7% to 688.8 million claims in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to 628.0 million claims in the prior year. The increase in the pharmacy network claim volume was primarily due to net new business, as well as growth in Managed Medicaid.

Our average revenue per pharmacy network claim processed remained relatively flat compared to the prior year.

Our mail choice generic dispensing rate increased to 76.3% in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to 74.5% in the prior year. Our pharmacy network generic dispensing rate increased to 84.4%, compared to 83.1% in the prior year. These continued increases in mail choice and pharmacy network generic dispensing rates were primarily due to the impact of new generic drug introductions, and our continuous efforts to encourage plan members to use generic drugs when they are available. We believe our generic dispensing rates will continue to increase in future periods, albeit at a slower pace. This increase will be affected by, among other things, the number of new generic drug introductions and our success at encouraging plan members to utilize generic drugs when they are available and clinically appropriate.

#### Gross Profit

Gross profit in our Pharmacy Services Segment includes net revenues less cost of revenues. Cost of revenues includes (i) the cost of pharmaceuticals dispensed, either directly through our mail service, specialty mail and specialty retail pharmacies or indirectly through our retail pharmacy networks, (ii) shipping and handling costs and (iii) the operating costs of our mail service dispensing pharmacies, customer service operations and related information technology support.

Gross profit increased \$65 million, or 4.7%, to approximately \$1.5 billion in the three months ended September 30, 2015, as compared to the prior year. Gross profit as a percentage of net revenues decreased to 5.8% in the three months ended September 30, 2015, compared to 6.2% in the prior year. Gross profit increased \$202 million, or 5.7%,



to approximately \$3.7 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, as compared to the prior year. Gross profit as a percentage of net revenues decreased to 5.1% in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to 5.5% in the prior year. The increase in gross profit dollars was primarily due to volume increases and higher generic dispensing, as well as favorable purchasing and rebate economics, partially offset by price compression. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net revenues was primarily due to price compression, partially offset by favorable generic dispensing, as well as favorable purchasing and rebate economics.

As you review our Pharmacy Services Segment's performance in this area, we believe you should consider the following important information that had an impact on the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015:

Our gross profit dollars and gross profit as a percentage of net revenues continued to be impacted by our efforts to (i) retain existing clients, (ii) obtain new business and (iii) maintain or improve the rebates and/or discounts we received from manufacturers, wholesalers and retail pharmacies. In particular, competitive pressures in the PBM industry have caused us and other PBMs to continue to share a larger portion of rebates and/or discounts received from pharmaceutical manufacturers with clients. In addition, market dynamics and regulatory changes have impacted our ability to offer plan sponsors pricing that includes retail network “differential” or “spread”. We expect these trends to continue. The “differential” or “spread” is any difference between the drug price charged to plan sponsors, including Medicare Part D plan sponsors, by a PBM and the price paid for the drug by the PBM to the dispensing provider. The increased use by patients of generic drugs has positively impacted our gross profit margins but has resulted in third party payors augmenting their efforts to reduce reimbursement payments for prescriptions. This trend, which we expect to continue, reduces the benefit we realize from brand to generic product conversions.

Our gross profit as a percentage of revenues benefited from the increase in our total generic dispensing rate, which increased to 83.8% and 83.7% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to our generic dispensing rate of 82.5% and 82.3% in the prior year, respectively. This increase was primarily due to new generic drug introductions and our continual efforts to encourage plan members to use clinically appropriate generic drugs when they are available. We expect the trend in generic introductions to continue, albeit at a slower pace.

#### Operating Expenses

Operating expenses in our Pharmacy Services Segment include selling, general and administrative expenses; depreciation and amortization related to selling, general and administrative activities; and expenses related to specialty retail pharmacies, which includes store and administrative payroll, employee benefits and occupancy costs.

Operating expenses decreased \$10 million to \$306 million, or 1.2% as a percentage of net revenues, in the three months ended September 30, 2015, compared to \$316 million, or 1.4% as a percentage of net revenues, in the prior year. Operating expenses decreased \$30 million to \$898 million, or 1.2% as a percentage of net revenues, in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to \$928 million, or 1.4% as a percentage of net revenues, in the prior year. Operating expenses as a percentage of net revenues improved slightly for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in operating expense dollars for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 was primarily due to lower integration costs from the Coram acquisition which occurred in January 2014.

## Retail/LTC Segment

The following table summarizes our Retail/LTC Segment's performance for the respective periods:

In millions	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Net revenues	\$17,912	\$16,749	\$52,105	\$50,100	
Gross profit	5,373	5,237	15,990	15,719	
Gross profit % of net revenues	30.0	% 31.3	% 30.7	% 31.4	%
Operating expenses	3,730	3,710	10,940	10,737	
Operating expense % of net revenues	20.8	% 22.1	% 21.0	% 21.4	%
Operating profit	1,643	1,527	5,050	4,982	
Operating profit % of net revenues	9.2	% 9.1	% 9.7	% 9.9	%
Prescriptions filled (90 Day = 3 Rx) <sup>(1)</sup>	258.7	233.7	744.1	691.1	
Net revenue increase (decrease):					
Total	6.9	% 3.1	% 4.0	% 3.5	%
Pharmacy	10.4	% 5.3	% 7.0	% 5.0	%
Front store	(2.4)	)% (3.7)	)% (3.7)	)% (1.6)	)%
Total prescription volume (90 Day = 3 Rx) <sup>(1)</sup>	10.7	% 6.4	% 7.7	% 4.6	%
Same store increase (decrease) <sup>(2)</sup> :					
Total sales	1.7	% 2.0	% 1.1	% 2.3	%
Pharmacy sales	4.6	% 4.8	% 4.3	% 4.5	%
Front store sales <sup>(3)</sup>	(5.8)	)% (4.5)	)% (6.6)	)% (2.9)	)%
Prescription volume (90 Day = 3 Rx) <sup>(1)</sup>	4.4	% 5.1	% 4.8	% 3.7	%
Generic dispensing rate	84.8	% 83.3	% 84.7	% 83.3	%
Pharmacy % of total revenues	74.1	% 71.8	% 72.5	% 70.5	%

Includes the adjustment to convert 90-day, non-specialty prescriptions to the equivalent of three 30-day (1)prescriptions. This adjustment reflects the fact that these prescriptions include approximately three times the amount of product days supplied compared to a normal prescription.

(2) Same store sales and prescriptions exclude revenues from MinuteClinic, and revenue and prescriptions from stores in Brazil, LTC operations and from commercialization services.

Front store same store sales would have been approximately 490 and 690 basis points higher for the three and nine (3)months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, if tobacco and the estimated associated basket sales were excluded from the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

As of September 30, 2015, we operated 7,911 retail drugstores, compared to 7,779 retail drugstores as of September 30, 2014.

## Net Revenues

Net revenues in our Retail/LTC Segment increased \$1.2 billion, or 6.9%, to approximately \$17.9 billion in the three months ended September 30, 2015, as compared to the prior year. Net revenues increased \$2.0 billion, or 4.0%, to approximately \$52.1 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, as compared to the prior year. As you review our Retail/LTC Segment's performance in this area, we believe you should consider the following important information that had an impact on the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015:

- Net revenues from new stores accounted for approximately 140 basis points and 160 basis points of the increase in our total net revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively. Total net revenues were also positively affected by the addition of LTC operations.

Front store same store sales decreased by 5.8% and 6.6% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to the prior year. The decrease is primarily due to the Company's decision to stop selling tobacco products and softer customer traffic. On a comparable basis, front store same store sales would have been approximately 490 and 690 basis points higher for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, if tobacco and the estimated associated basket sales were excluded from both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Pharmacy same store sales increased 4.6% and 4.3% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, as compared to the prior year. The increase in pharmacy same store sales was primarily due to the increase in same store script growth of 4.4% and 4.8% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively.

Pharmacy revenues continue to be negatively impacted by the conversion of brand name drugs to equivalent generic drugs, which typically have a lower selling price. Pharmacy same store sales were negatively impacted by approximately 450 and 370 basis points for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, due to recent generic introductions. The generic dispensing rate grew to 84.8% and 84.7% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to 83.3% for both of the comparable periods in the prior year, respectively. In addition, our pharmacy revenue growth has also been affected by continued reimbursement pressure, the lack of significant new brand name drug introductions and an increase in the number of over-the-counter remedies that were historically only available by prescription.

Pharmacy revenue growth continued to benefit from the increased utilization by Medicare Part D beneficiaries, our ability to attract and retain managed care customers and favorable industry trends. These trends include an aging American population; “baby boomers” are now in their fifties and sixties and are consuming a greater number of prescription drugs, as well as expanded coverage from the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (“ACA”). In addition, the increased use of pharmaceuticals as the first line of defense for individual health care contributed to the growing demand for pharmacy services. We believe these favorable industry trends will continue.

#### Gross Profit

Gross profit in our Retail/LTC Segment includes net revenues less the cost of merchandise sold in the period and the related purchasing costs, warehousing costs, delivery costs and actual and estimated inventory losses.

Gross profit increased \$136 million, or 2.6%, to \$5.4 billion in the three months ended September 30, 2015, as compared to the prior year. Gross profit increased \$271 million, or 1.7%, to \$16.0 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, as compared to the prior year. Gross profit as a percentage of net revenues decreased to 30.0% and 30.7% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to 31.3% and 31.4% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

The increase in gross profit dollars was primarily driven by the addition of LTC, same store sales and new store sales, as well as favorable purchasing economics, partially offset by continued reimbursement pressure. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net revenues was primarily driven by a decline in pharmacy margins due to continued reimbursement pressure, partially offset by favorable pharmacy purchasing economics, as well as increased front store margins due to changes in the mix of products sold.

As you review our Retail/LTC Segment’s performance in this area, we believe you should consider the following important information that impacted the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015:

Front store revenues as a percentage of total revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, was 25.3% and 26.9%, respectively, compared to 27.7% and 29.0% in the prior year, respectively. On average, our gross profit on front store revenues is higher than our gross profit on pharmacy revenues. Pharmacy revenues as a percentage of total revenues increased approximately 250 and 200 basis points in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to the prior year. This was due to pharmacy revenues growing faster than front store revenues, as well as the acquisition of Omnicare. The mix effect from a higher proportion of pharmacy sales had a negative effect on our overall gross profit for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015,

respectively, compared to the prior year. This negative effect was partially offset by increased generic drug dispensing rates, the removal of tobacco products from our stores and increased store brand penetration.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, our front store gross profit as a percentage of net revenues increased compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase is primarily related to a change in the mix of products sold, including the removal of tobacco products from our stores, and higher store brand sales.

Our pharmacy gross profit rates have been adversely affected by the efforts of managed care organizations, PBMs and governmental and other third-party payors to reduce their prescription drug costs, as well as changes in the mix of our business within pharmacy. In the event this trend accelerates, we may not be able to sustain our current rate of revenue

growth and gross profit dollars could be adversely impacted. The increased use of generic drugs has positively impacted our gross profit but has resulted in third-party payors augmenting their efforts to reduce reimbursement payments to retail pharmacies for prescriptions. This trend, which we expect to continue, reduces the benefit we realize from brand to generic product conversions.

### Operating Expenses

Operating expenses in our Retail/LTC Segment include payroll and employee benefits, occupancy costs, selling expenses, advertising expenses, depreciation and amortization expense and certain administrative expenses.

Operating expenses increased \$20 million to \$3.7 billion, or 20.8% as a percentage of net revenues, in the three months ended September 30, 2015, as compared to \$3.7 billion, or 22.1% as a percentage of net revenues, in the prior year. Operating expenses increased \$203 million to \$10.9 billion, or 21.0% as a percentage of net revenues, in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, as compared to \$10.7 billion, or 21.4% as a percentage of net revenues, in the prior year. The increase in operating expense dollars for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, was primarily due to the addition of LTC, including acquisition-related integration costs of \$12 million, and incremental store operating costs associated with operating more stores. Operating expenses as a percentage of net revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 improved primarily due to higher legal costs in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

### Corporate Segment

#### Operating Expenses

Operating expenses in our Corporate Segment include expenses from the Company's executive management, corporate relations, legal, compliance, human resources, corporate information technology and finance departments. Operating expenses increased \$113 million, or 57.6%, to \$309 million and \$121 million, or 20.4%, to \$712 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, as compared to the prior year. The increase in operating expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 was primarily due to acquisition-related transaction and integration costs associated with the acquisition of Omnicare and the proposed Target Pharmacy Acquisition. Acquisition-related transaction and integration costs were \$115 million and \$135 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

We maintain a level of liquidity sufficient to allow us to cover our cash needs in the short-term. Over the long-term, we manage our cash and capital structure to maximize shareholder return, strengthen our financial position and maintain flexibility for future strategic initiatives. We continuously assess our working capital needs, debt and leverage levels, capital expenditure requirements, dividend payouts, potential share repurchases and future investments or acquisitions. We believe our operating cash flows, commercial paper program, sale-leaseback program, as well as any potential future borrowings, will be sufficient to fund these future payments and long-term initiatives.

The change in cash and cash equivalents is as follows:

in millions	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$4,841	\$4,714
Net cash used in investing activities	(11,000)	(3,534)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	6,576	(4,133)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(8)	(4)

Edgar Filing: CVS HEALTH Corp - Form 10-Q

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$409	\$(2,957	)
--	-------	----------	---

Net cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$4.8 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to \$4.7 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The \$0.1 billion increase in cash provided by operating activities is primarily due to various changes in working capital.

Net cash used in investing activities was approximately \$11.0 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to \$3.5 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The increase in cash used in investing activities is primarily due

37

---



to the \$9.6 billion in cash consideration paid for the acquisition of Omnicare in August 2015 compared to the \$2.1 billion paid for the Coram acquisition in January 2014.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$6.6 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$4.1 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The \$10.7 billion increase in cash provided by financing activities was primarily due to higher net borrowings in 2015 including the \$14.8 billion in net proceeds received from the July 2015 debt issuance. This was partially offset by an increase in share repurchases and changes in commercial paper outstanding.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company had the following outstanding share repurchase programs that were authorized by the Company's Board of Directors:

In billions		
Authorization Date	Authorized	Remaining
December 15, 2014 ("2014 Repurchase Program")	\$10.0	\$8.8
December 17, 2013 ("2013 Repurchase Program")	\$6.0	—
		\$8.8

The share repurchase programs, each of which was effective immediately, permit the Company to effect repurchases from time to time through a combination of open market repurchases, privately negotiated transactions, accelerated share repurchase transactions, and/or other derivative transactions. The repurchase programs may be modified or terminated by the Board of Directors at any time.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company repurchased an aggregate of approximately 37.8 million shares of common stock for approximately \$3.9 billion pursuant to the 2013 and 2014 Repurchase Programs which includes the accelerated share repurchase agreement ("ASR") described below. As of September 30, 2015, the 2013 Repurchase Program is complete.

Pursuant to the authorization under the 2013 Repurchase Program, effective January 2, 2015, the Company entered into a \$2.0 billion fixed dollar ASR with JPMorgan Chase Bank ("JPMorgan"). Upon payment of the \$2.0 billion purchase price on January 5, 2015, the Company received a number of shares of its common stock equal to 80% of the \$2.0 billion notional amount of the ASR or approximately 16.8 million shares, which were placed into treasury stock in January 2015. On May 1, 2015, the Company received approximately 3.1 million shares of common stock, representing the remaining 20% of the \$2.0 billion notional amount of the ASR, thereby concluding the ASR. The remaining 3.1 million shares of common stock delivered to the Company by JPMorgan were placed into treasury stock.

The ASR was accounted for as an initial treasury stock transaction for \$1.6 billion and a forward contract for \$0.4 billion. The forward contract was classified as an equity instrument and was recorded within capital surplus on the condensed consolidated balance sheet. The forward contract was reclassified to treasury stock upon the settlement of the ASR in May 2015. The initial and final receipt of shares resulted in an immediate reduction of the outstanding shares used to calculate the weighted average common shares outstanding for basic and diluted net income per share.

We did not have any outstanding commercial paper as of September 30, 2015. In connection with our commercial paper program, we maintain a \$1.0 billion, five-year unsecured back-up credit facility, which expires on May 23, 2018, and \$1.25 billion, five-year unsecured back-up credit facility, which expires on July 24, 2019. In addition, in July 2015, the Company entered into a new \$1.25 billion, five-year unsecured back-up credit facility, which expires on July 1, 2020. Upon the closing of that new credit facility, the Company terminated a \$1.25 billion five-year unsecured back-up credit facility which was to expire on February 17, 2017. The credit facilities allow for borrowings at various rates that are dependent, in part, on the Company's public debt ratings and require the Company to pay a

weighted average quarterly facility fee of approximately 0.03%, regardless of usage. As of September 30, 2015, there were no borrowings outstanding under the back-up credit facilities.

Our back-up credit facilities and unsecured senior notes contain customary restrictive financial and operating covenants. These covenants do not include a requirement for the acceleration of our debt maturities in the event of a downgrade in our credit rating. We do not believe the restrictions contained in these covenants materially affect our financial or operating flexibility.

On May 20, 2015, in connection with the acquisition of Omnicare, the Company entered into a \$13 billion unsecured bridge loan facility. The Company paid approximately \$52 million in fees in connection with the facility. The fees were capitalized and

amortized as interest expense over the period the bridge facility was outstanding. The bridge loan facility expired on July 20, 2015 upon the Company's issuance of unsecured senior notes with an aggregate principal of \$15 billion as discussed below. The bridge loan facility fees were fully amortized during the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

On July 20, 2015, the Company issued an aggregate of \$2.25 billion of 1.9% unsecured senior notes due 2018 ("2018 Notes"), an aggregate of \$2.75 billion of 2.8% unsecured senior notes due 2020 ("2020 Notes"), an aggregate of \$1.5 billion of 3.5% unsecured senior notes due 2022 ("2022 Notes"), an aggregate of \$3 billion of 3.875% unsecured senior notes due 2025 ("2025 Notes"), an aggregate of \$2.00 billion of 4.875% unsecured senior notes due 2035 ("2035 Notes"), and an aggregate of \$3.5 billion of 5.125% unsecured senior notes due 2045 ("2045 Notes" and, together with the 2018 Notes, 2020 Notes, 2022 Notes, 2025 Notes and 2035 Notes, the "Notes") for total proceeds of approximately \$14.8 billion, net of discounts and underwriting fees. The Notes pay interest semi-annually and contain redemption terms which allow or require the Company to redeem the Notes at a defined redemption price plus accrued and unpaid interest at the redemption date. The net proceeds of the Notes were used to fund the acquisition of Omnicare and will be used to fund the Target Pharmacy Acquisition. Any remaining proceeds will be used for general corporate purposes.

Upon the closing of the Omnicare acquisition in August 2015, the Company assumed the indebtedness of Omnicare that had a fair value of approximately \$3.1 billion, \$2 billion of which was previously convertible into Omnicare shares that holders were able to redeem subsequent to the acquisition. During the period from August 18, 2015 to September 30, 2015, all but \$9 million of the \$2 billion of previously convertible debt was redeemed and repaid and approximately \$0.4 billion in Omnicare term debt assumed was repaid for total repayments of Omnicare debt of approximately \$2.4 billion during the third quarter of 2015. The remaining principal of the Omnicare debt assumed is comprised of senior unsecured notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$700 million (\$400 million of 4.75% senior notes due 2022 and \$300 million of 5% senior notes due 2024). In September 2015, the Company commenced exchange offers for the 4.75% senior notes due 2022 and the 5% senior notes due 2024 to exchange all validly tendered and accepted notes issued by Omnicare for notes to be issued by the Company. This offer expired on October 20, 2015 and the aggregate principal amounts below of each of the Omnicare notes were validly tendered and exchanged for notes issued by the Company.

Interest Rate and Maturity	Aggregate Principal Amount (In Millions)	Percentage of Total Outstanding Principal Amount Exchanged	
4.75% senior notes due 2022	\$388	96.8	%
5% senior notes due 2024	296	98.8	%
Total senior notes issued under exchange transaction	\$684		

The Company expects to record this exchange transaction as a modification of the original debt instruments. As such, no gain or loss on extinguishment will be recognized in the Company's consolidated income statement as a result of this exchange transaction and issuance costs will be expensed as incurred.

As of September 30, 2015, our long-term debt was rated "Baa1" by Moody's with a stable outlook and "BBB+" by Standard & Poor's with a stable outlook, and our commercial paper program was rated "P-2" by Moody's and "A-2" by Standard & Poor's. In assessing our credit strength, we believe that both Moody's and Standard & Poor's considered, among other things, our capital structure and financial policies as well as our consolidated balance sheet, our historical acquisition activity and other financial information. Although we currently believe our long-term debt ratings will remain investment grade, we cannot guarantee the future actions of Moody's and/or Standard & Poor's. Our debt ratings have a direct impact on our future borrowing costs, access to capital markets and new store operating lease costs.



### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In connection with executing operating leases, we provide a guarantee of the lease payments. We also finance a portion of our new store development through sale-leaseback transactions, which involve selling stores to unrelated parties and then leasing the stores back under leases that generally qualify and are accounted for as operating leases. We do not have any retained or contingent interests in the stores, and we do not provide any guarantees, other than a guarantee of the lease payments, in connection with the transactions. In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”), such operating leases are not reflected in our condensed consolidated balance sheet. See Note 13 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for a detailed discussion of these guarantees.

### Critical Accounting Policies

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP, which requires management to make certain estimates and apply judgment. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experience, current trends and other factors that management believes to be important at the time the condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared. On a regular basis, we review our accounting policies and how they are applied and disclosed in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

While we believe that the historical experience, current trends and other factors considered support the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP, actual results could differ from our estimates and such differences could be material.

During the three months ended September 30, 2015, we performed our required annual impairment tests of goodwill. The results of the impairment tests indicated that there was no impairment of goodwill. The goodwill impairment tests resulted in the fair values of our reporting units exceeding their carrying values by a significant margin.

For a full description of our other critical accounting policies, please refer to Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in our 2014 Form 10-K.

### Proposed Accounting Standard Update

In May 2013, the FASB issued a revised proposed accounting standard update on lease accounting that will require entities to recognize assets and liabilities arising from lease contracts on the balance sheet. The proposed accounting standard update states that lessees and lessors should apply a “right-of-use model” in accounting for all leases. Under the proposed model, lessees would recognize an asset for the right to use the leased asset, and a liability for the obligation to make rental payments over the lease term. The lease term is defined as the noncancelable term that takes into account renewal options and termination options if it is reasonably certain an entity will exercise or not exercise the option. The accounting by a lessor would reflect its retained exposure to the risks or benefits of the underlying leased asset. A lessor would recognize an asset representing its right to receive lease payments based on the expected term of the lease. The Company cannot presently determine the potential impact the proposed standard would have on its results of operations. While the Company believes that the proposed standard, as currently drafted, will likely have a material impact on its financial position, it will not have a material impact on its liquidity; however, until the proposed standard is finalized, such evaluation cannot be completed.

### Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

This quarterly report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. In addition, the Company and its representatives may, from time to time, make written or verbal forward-looking statements, including statements contained in the Company's filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and in its reports to stockholders, press releases, webcasts, conference calls, meetings and other communications. Generally, the inclusion of the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "estimate," "project," "anticipate," "will," "should" and similar expressions identify statements that constitute forward-looking statements. All statements addressing operating performance of CVS Health Corporation or any subsidiary, events or developments that the Company expects or anticipates will occur in the future, including statements relating to corporate strategy; revenue growth; earnings or earnings per common share growth; adjusted earnings or adjusted earnings per common share growth; free cash flow; debt ratings; inventory levels; inventory turn and loss rates; store development; relocations and new market entries; retail pharmacy business, sales trends and operations; PBM business, sales trends and operations; the Company's ability to attract or retain customers and clients; Medicare Part D competitive bidding, enrollment and operations; new product development; and the impact of industry developments, as well as statements expressing optimism or pessimism about future operating results or events, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws.

The forward-looking statements are and will be based upon management's then-current views and assumptions regarding future events and operating performance, and are applicable only as of the dates of such statements. The Company undertakes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

By their nature, all forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements for a number of reasons as described in our SEC filings, including those set forth in the Risk Factors section within the 2014 Form 10-K, and including, but not limited to:

- Risks relating to the health of the economy in general and in the markets we serve, which could impact consumer purchasing power, preferences and/or spending patterns, drug utilization trends, the financial health of our PBM clients or other payors doing business with the Company and our ability to secure necessary financing, suitable store locations and sale-leaseback transactions on acceptable terms.

- Efforts to reduce reimbursement levels and alter health care financing practices, including pressure to reduce reimbursement levels for generic drugs.

- The possibility of PBM client loss and/or the failure to win new PBM business, including as a result of failure to win renewal of expiring contracts, contract termination rights that may permit clients to terminate a contract prior to expiration and early or periodic renegotiation of pricing by clients prior to expiration of a contract.

- The possibility of loss of Medicare Part D business and/or failure to obtain new Medicare Part D business, whether as a result of the annual Medicare Part D competitive bidding process or otherwise.

- Risks related to the frequency and rate of the introduction of generic drugs and brand name prescription products.

- Risks of declining gross margins in the PBM industry attributable to increased competitive pressures, increased client demand for lower prices, enhanced service offerings and/or higher service levels and market dynamics and regulatory changes that impact our ability to offer plan sponsors pricing that includes the use of retail "differential" or "spread."

-

Regulatory changes, business changes and compliance requirements and restrictions that may be imposed by Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (“CMS”), Office of Inspector General or other government agencies relating to the Company’s participation in Medicare, Medicaid and other federal and state government-funded programs, including sanctions and remedial actions that may be imposed by CMS on its Medicare Part D business.

Risks and uncertainties related to the timing and scope of reimbursement from Medicare, Medicaid and other government-funded programs, including the impact of sequestration, the impact of other federal budget, debt and deficit negotiations and legislation that could delay or reduce reimbursement from such programs and the impact of any closure, suspension or other changes affecting federal or state government funding or operations.

Possible changes in industry pricing benchmarks used to establish pricing in many of our PBM client contracts, pharmaceutical purchasing arrangements, retail network contracts, specialty payor agreements and other third party payor contracts.

A highly competitive business environment, including the uncertain impact of increased consolidation in the PBM industry, uncertainty concerning the ability of our retail pharmacy business to secure and maintain contractual relationships with PBMs and other payors on acceptable terms, uncertainty concerning the ability of our PBM business to secure and maintain competitive access, pricing and other contract terms from retail network pharmacies in an environment where some PBM clients are willing to consider adopting narrow or more restricted retail pharmacy networks.

The Company's ability to timely identify or effectively respond to changing consumer preferences and spending patterns, an inability to expand the products being purchased by our customers, or the failure or inability to obtain or offer particular categories of products.

Risks relating to our ability to secure timely and sufficient access to the products we sell from our domestic and/or international suppliers.

Reform of the U.S. health care system, including ongoing implementation of ACA, continuing legislative efforts, regulatory changes and judicial interpretations impacting our health care system and the possibility of shifting political and legislative priorities related to reform of the health care system in the future.

Risks relating to any failure to properly maintain our information technology systems, our information security systems and our infrastructure to support our business and to protect the privacy and security of sensitive customer and business information.

Risks related to compliance with a broad and complex regulatory framework, including compliance with new and existing federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to health care, accounting standards, corporate securities, tax, environmental and other laws and regulations affecting our business.

Risks related to litigation, government investigations and other legal proceedings as they relate to our business, the pharmacy services, retail pharmacy or retail clinic industries or to the health care industry generally.

The risk that any condition related to the closing of any proposed acquisition may not be satisfied on a timely basis or at all, including the inability to obtain required regulatory approvals of any proposed acquisition, or on the terms desired or anticipated; the risk that such approvals may result in the imposition of conditions that could adversely affect the resulting combined company or the expected benefits of any proposed transaction; and the risk that the proposed transactions fail to close for any other reason.

The possibility that the anticipated synergies and other benefits from any acquisition by us will not be realized, or will not be realized within the expected time periods

The risks and uncertainties related to our ability to integrate the operations, products, services and employees of any entities acquired by us and the effect of the potential disruption of management's attention from ongoing business operations due to any pending acquisitions.

The accessibility or availability of adequate financing on a timely basis and on reasonable terms in connection with any proposed acquisition.



Risks related to the outcome of any legal proceedings related to, or involving any entity that is a part of, any proposed acquisition contemplated by us.

Other risks and uncertainties detailed from time to time in our filings with the SEC.

The foregoing list is not exhaustive. There can be no assurance that the Company has correctly identified and appropriately assessed all factors affecting its business. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to the Company or that it currently believes to be immaterial also may adversely impact the Company. Should any risks and uncertainties develop into actual events, these developments could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and

results of operations. For these reasons, you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the Company's forward-looking statements.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

As of September 30, 2015, the Company did not have any interest rate, foreign currency exchange rate or commodity derivative instruments in place and believes that as of September 30, 2015 its exposure to interest rate risk (inherent in the Company's debt portfolio), foreign currency exchange rate risk and commodity price risk is not material.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures: The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 (f) and 15d-15(f)) as of September 30, 2015, have concluded that as of such date the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were adequate and effective and designed to provide reasonable assurance that material information relating to the Company and its subsidiaries would be made known to such officers on a timely basis.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting: On August 18, 2015, the Company completed its acquisition of Omnicare. In conducting our evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, we have currently elected to exclude Omnicare from our evaluation for fiscal year 2015, as permitted under existing SEC rules. We are in the process of integrating Omnicare's historical internal controls over financial reporting with the rest of the Company. Other than the foregoing, there have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-15 or Rule 15d-15 that occurred in the three months ended September 30, 2015 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## Part II

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

## I. Legal Proceedings

We refer you to Note 13 - “Commitments and Contingencies - Legal Matters” contained in the “Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements” of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 for a description of our legal proceedings.

## II. Environmental Matters

Item 103 of SEC Regulation S-K requires disclosure of certain environmental legal proceedings if management reasonably believes that the proceedings involve potential monetary sanctions of \$100,000 or more. The Company is in the process of negotiating with United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6 to resolve claims of alleged historical noncompliance with hazardous waste regulations in connection with certain Omnicare pharmacies in Texas, Louisiana, Arkansas, New Mexico and Oklahoma. The Company is cooperating with regulators. These proceedings are not material to the Company’s business or financial position.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

## (c) Stock Repurchases

The following table presents the total number of shares purchased in the three months ended September 30, 2015, the average price paid per share and the approximate dollar value of shares that still could have been purchased at the end of the applicable fiscal period, pursuant to the 2014 Repurchase Program. See Note 7 - “Share Repurchase Programs” contained in the “Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements” of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015.

Fiscal Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
July 1, 2015 through July 31, 2015	4,600,705	\$107.94	4,600,705	\$9,261,564,176
August 1, 2015 through August 31, 2015	2,204,100	\$105.58	2,204,100	\$9,028,861,199
September 1, 2015 through September 30, 2015	2,043,853	\$101.79	2,043,853	\$8,820,813,163
Totals	8,848,658		8,848,658	

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits:

Exhibits marked with an asterisk (\*) are hereby incorporated by reference to exhibits or appendices previously filed by the Registrant as indicated in brackets following the description of the exhibit.

Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of 3.1\* the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996 (Commission File No. 001-01011)].

Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, effective May 13, 1998 3.1A\* [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1A to Registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-52055 on Form S-3/A dated May 18, 1998 (Commission File No. 001-01001)].

Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation [incorporated by reference 3.1B\* to Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 22, 2007 (Commission File No. 001-01011)].

Certificate of Merger dated May 9, 2007 [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1C to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated November 1, 2007 (Commission File No. 001-01011)]. 3.1C\*

Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation [incorporated by reference 3.1D\* to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 13, 2010 (Commission File No. 001-01011)].

Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation [incorporated by reference 3.1E\* to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 10, 2012 (Commission File No. 001-01011)].

Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation [incorporated by reference 3.1F\* to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 13, 2013 (Commission File No. 001-01011)].

Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation [incorporated by reference 3.1G\* to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 3, 2014 (Commission File No. 001-01011)].

By-laws of Registrant, as amended and restated [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 3, 2014 (Commission File No. 001-01011)]. 3.2\*

Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of October 9, 2015, by and among the Registrant and the dealer 4.1\* managers named therein [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 6, 2015 (Commission File No. 001-01011)].

15.1 Letter re: Unaudited Interim Financial Information.

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The following materials from the CVS Health Corporation Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended September 30, 2015 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Condensed 101 Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (v) related Footnotes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Signatures:

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CVS Health Corporation  
(Registrant)

/s/ David M. Denton

David M. Denton  
Executive Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer  
October 30, 2015