

ACETO CORP
Form 10-K
August 26, 2016

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016

Commission file number 000-04217

ACETO CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New York 11-1720520
(State or other
jurisdiction of (I.R.S.
incorporation Employer
or Identification
organization) Number)

4 Tri Harbor Court, Port Washington, NY 11050
(Address of principal executive offices)

(516) 627-6000

Edgar Filing: ACETO CORP - Form 10-K

(Registrant's
telephone
number,
including area
code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (b) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share (Title of Class)	The NASDAQ Global Select Market (Name of each exchange on which registered)
---	---

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every interactive data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: ACETO CORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock of the Company held by non-affiliates of the Company based on the closing price of the common stock on December 31, 2015 as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market was approximately \$774,640,722.

The Registrant has 29,648,664 shares of common stock outstanding as of August 22, 2016.

Documents incorporated by reference: The information required in response to Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is hereby incorporated by reference to the specified portions of the Registrant’s definitive proxy statement for the annual meeting of shareholders.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

FORM 10-K

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I		3
Item 1.	Business	3
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	8
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	21
Item 2.	Properties	21
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	21
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	22
PART II		22
Item 5.	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	22
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	24
Item 7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	42
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	43
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	43
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	43
Item 9B.	Other Information	45
PART III		45
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	45
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	45
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	45
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence	45
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	45
PART IV		45
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	45
Item 16.	Form 10-K Summary	50

SIGNATURES

89

2

PART I

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT RELATING TO THE SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements as that term is defined in the federal securities laws. The events described in forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K may not occur. Generally, these statements relate to our business plans or strategies, projected or anticipated benefits or other consequences of our plans or strategies, financing plans, projected or anticipated benefits from acquisitions that we may make, or projections involving anticipated revenues, earnings or other aspects of our operating results or financial position, and the outcome of any contingencies. Any such forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, estimates and projections of management. We intend for these forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe-harbor provisions for forward-looking statements. Words such as “may,” “will,” “expect,” “believe,” “anticipate,” “project,” “plan,” “intend,” “estimate,” and “continue,” and their opposites and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. We caution you that these statements are not guarantees of future performance or events and are subject to a number of uncertainties, risks and other influences, many of which are beyond our control, that may influence the accuracy of the statements and the projections upon which the statements are based. Factors that may affect our results include, but are not limited to, the risks and uncertainties discussed in Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Any one or more of these uncertainties, risks and other influences could materially affect our results of operations and whether forward-looking statements made by us ultimately prove to be accurate. Our actual results, performance and achievements could differ materially from those expressed or implied in these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether from new information, future events or otherwise.

NOTE REGARDING DOLLAR AMOUNTS

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, all dollar amounts are expressed in thousands, except share prices and per-share amounts.

Item 1. Business

General

Aceto Corporation, together with its consolidated subsidiaries, are referred to herein collectively as “Aceto”, “the Company”, “we”, “us”, and “our”, unless the context indicates otherwise. Aceto was incorporated in 1947 in the State of New York. We are an international company engaged in the marketing, sales and distribution of finished dosage form generic pharmaceuticals, nutraceutical products, pharmaceutical active ingredients and intermediates, specialty performance chemicals inclusive of agricultural intermediates and agricultural protection products. Our business is organized along product lines into three principal segments: Human Health, Pharmaceutical Ingredients and Performance Chemicals.

We believe our main business strengths are sourcing, regulatory support, quality assurance and marketing and distribution. We distribute more than 1,100 chemical compounds used principally as finished products or raw materials in the pharmaceutical, nutraceutical, agricultural, coatings and industrial chemical industries. With business operations in ten countries, we believe that our global reach is distinctive in the industry, enabling us to source and supply quality products on a worldwide basis. Leveraging local professionals, we source more than two-thirds of our products from Asia, buying from approximately 500 companies in China and 200 in India. No single supplier accounted for as much as 10% of purchases in fiscal 2016 and 2015.

Strategic relationships with manufacturers of pharmaceutical, nutraceutical, agricultural and specialty chemical products in the United States and internationally serve as a valuable resource to Aceto customers, enabling them to procure vital chemical based products necessary for their diverse and complex applications. A strong global technical network differentiates Aceto from commodity distribution companies. With regional managers in the United States, Europe and Asia, we provide regulatory support and quality assurance for customers and suppliers worldwide. Our regulatory network ensures that all products we distribute are produced to applicable required standards and conform to customer specifications for their intended end use.

Our presence in China, Germany, France, The Netherlands, Singapore, India, Hong Kong, Philippines, the United Kingdom and the United States, along with strategically located warehouses worldwide, enable us to respond quickly to demands from customers worldwide, assuring that a consistent, high-quality supply of pharmaceutical, nutraceutical, specialty chemicals and agricultural protection products are readily accessible. We are able to offer our customers competitive pricing, continuity of supply, and quality control. Highly experienced staff, many of whom are technically trained, enable Aceto to meet individual customer needs. Our marketing, sales, regulatory and technical professionals possess an intimate knowledge of worldwide sources of supply and product applications, as well as statutory and technical requirements. Many of our professionals are respected leaders in their industry, bringing 25 or more years of experience to customer applications. This longevity has fostered confidence and loyalty among customers and suppliers.

Aceto partners with customers during the product development process, creating new applications for existing products, as well as new product sourcing opportunities. We offer solutions for product and production challenges, while assisting with quality assurance, government approvals and compliance. All of these value-added services allow Aceto's customers to be more responsive to their end use customers and more competitive in the global marketplace. We believe our more than 65 years of experience, our reputation for reliability and stability, and our long-term relationships with suppliers have fostered loyalty among our customers.

We remain confident about our business prospects. We anticipate organic growth through our plans to introduce new products for finished dosage form generic drugs, the further globalization of our nutraceutical business, the continued globalization of our Performance Chemicals business, the expansion of our agricultural protection products by investing in product lines and intellectual property, the continued enhancement of our sourcing operations in China and India, and the steady improvement of our quality assurance and regulatory capabilities.

We believe our track record of continuous product introductions demonstrates our commitment to be recognized by the worldwide generic pharmaceutical industry as an important, reliable supplier. Our plans involve seeking strategic acquisitions that enhance our earnings and forming alliances with partners that add to our capabilities, when possible.

Other than product rights and license agreements for certain of our finished dosage form generic products which are part of our Human Health business and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) registrations for our Performance Chemicals, we hold no patents, franchises or concessions that we consider material to our operations.

Information concerning revenue and gross profit attributable to each of our reportable segments and geographic information is found in Part II, Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", and in Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

Human Health

Products that fall within the Human Health segment include finished dosage form generic drugs and nutraceutical products. Aceto sells niche generic prescription products and over-the-counter pharmaceutical products under the Rising label to leading wholesalers, chain drug stores, distributors and mass merchandisers. As part of our asset-light model, products are developed in collaboration with selected pharmaceutical development partners and with networks of finished dosage form manufacturing partners. Leveraging our extensive experience supplying active pharmaceutical ingredients and pharmaceutical intermediates, Aceto entered the end-user segment of the generic pharmaceuticals industry in 2010 through the acquisition of Rising, a U.S. marketer and distributor of finished dosage form generics

founded in the early 1990s. To supplement our organic growth and further expand into the U.S. generic pharmaceuticals industry, Rising Pharmaceuticals acquired PACK Pharmaceuticals, a national marketer and distributor of generic prescription and over-the-counter pharmaceutical products, in April, 2014. During fiscal 2015, PACK was fully integrated with Rising and is now part of Rising's operations in New Jersey. Rising, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Aceto, is an integral component of Aceto's continued strategy to become a Human Health oriented company.

In September 2015, we purchased three Abbreviated New Drug Applications (“ANDAs”) for the products Ciprofloxacin Ophthalmic Solution 3%, Levofloxacin Ophthalmic Solution 0.5%, and Diclofenac Sodium Ophthalmic Solution 0.1% from Nexus Pharmaceuticals. Also in September 2015, we purchased three ANDAs from a subsidiary of Endo International plc for the products Methimazole Tablets, Glycopyrrolate Tablets and Meclizine Tablets. In addition, in September 2014, we purchased three ANDAs from Par Pharmaceuticals, from which Dutasteride Softgel Capsules 0.5mg was launched in November 2015.

According to an IMS Health press release on April 14, 2016, “total spending on medicines in the U.S. reached \$310 billion in 2015 on an estimated net price basis, up 8.5 percent from the previous year, according to a new report issued today by the IMS Institute for Healthcare Informatics. The surge of new medicines remained strong last year and demand for recently launched brands maintained historically high levels. The savings from branded medicines facing generic competition were relatively low in 2015, and the impact of price increases on brands was limited due to higher rebates and price concessions from manufacturers. Specialty drug spending reached \$121 billion on a net price basis, up more than 15 percent from 2014. The study—*Medicines Use and Spending in the U.S.: A Review of 2015 and Outlook to 2020*—found that longer-term trends continued to play out last year, driven by the Affordable Care Act and ongoing response to rising overall healthcare costs. Increasingly, healthcare is being delivered by different types of healthcare professionals and from different facilities, while patients face higher out-of-pocket costs and access barriers. The outlook for medicine spending through 2020 is for mid-single digit growth, driven by clusters of innovative treatments and offset by the rising impact of brands facing generic or biosimilar competition.”

Aceto supplies the raw materials used in the production of nutritional and packaged dietary supplements, including vitamins, amino acids, iron compounds and biochemicals used in pharmaceutical and nutritional preparations.

Pharmaceutical Ingredients

The Pharmaceutical Ingredients segment has two product groups: Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs) and Pharmaceutical Intermediates.

We supply APIs to many of the major generic drug companies, who we believe view Aceto as a valued partner in their effort to develop and market generic drugs. The process of introducing a new API from pipeline to market spans a number of years and begins with Aceto partnering with a generic pharmaceutical manufacturer and jointly selecting an API, several years before the expiration of a composition of matter patent, for future genericizing. We then identify the appropriate supplier, and concurrently utilizing our global technical network, work to ensure that the supplier meets standards of quality to comply with regulations. Our client, the generic pharmaceutical company, will submit the ANDA for U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) approval or European-equivalent approval. The introduction of the API to market occurs after all the development testing has been completed and the ANDA or European-equivalent is approved and the patent expires or is deemed invalid. Our goal is to have, at all times, a pipeline of APIs at various stages of development both in the United States and Europe. Additionally, as the pressure to lower the overall cost of healthcare increases, Aceto has focused on, and works very closely with our customers to develop new API opportunities to provide alternative, more economical, second-source options for existing generic drugs. By leveraging our worldwide sourcing, regulatory and quality assurance capabilities, we provide to generic drug manufacturers an alternative, economical source for existing API products.

Aceto has long been a supplier of pharmaceutical intermediates, the complex chemical compounds that are the building blocks used in producing APIs. These are the critical components of all drugs, whether they are already on the market or currently undergoing clinical trials. Faced with significant economic pressures as well as ever-increasing regulatory barriers, the innovative drug companies look to Aceto as a source for high quality intermediates.

Aceto employs, on occasion, the same second source strategy for our pharmaceutical intermediates business that we use in our API business. Historically, pharmaceutical manufacturers have had one source for the intermediates needed to produce their products. Utilizing our global sourcing, regulatory support and quality assurance network, Aceto works with the large, global pharmaceutical companies, sourcing lower cost, quality pharmaceutical intermediates that will meet the same high level standards that their current commercial products adhere to.

According to an IMS Health press release on November 18, 2015, “more than half of the world’s population will live in countries where medicine use will exceed one dose per person per day by 2020, up from 31 percent in 2005, as the “medicine use gap” between developed and pharmerging markets narrows. According to new research released by the IMS Institute for Healthcare Informatics, total spending on medicines will reach \$1.4 trillion by 2020 due to greater patient access to chronic disease treatments and breakthrough innovations in drug therapies. Global spending is forecast to grow at a 4-7 percent compound annual rate over the next five years.” The IMS report, entitled, *Global Medicines Use in 2020: Outlook and Implications*, projects that “total global spend for pharmaceuticals will increase by \$349 billion on a constant-dollar basis, compared with \$182 billion during the past five years. Spending is measured at the ex-manufacturer level before adjusting for rebates, discounts, taxes and other adjustments that affect net sales received by manufacturers. The impact of these factors is estimated to reduce growth by \$90 billion, or approximately 25 percent of the growth forecast through 2020.”

Performance Chemicals

The Performance Chemicals segment includes specialty chemicals and agricultural protection products.

Aceto is a major supplier to many different industrial segments providing chemicals used in the manufacture of plastics, surface coatings, cosmetics and personal care, textiles, fuels and lubricants. The paint and coatings industry produces products that bring color, texture, and protection to houses, furniture, packaging, paper, and durable goods. Many of today's coatings are eco-friendly, by allowing inks and coatings to be cured by ultraviolet light instead of solvents, or allowing power coatings to be cured without solvents. These growing technologies are critical in protecting and enhancing the world's ecology. Aceto seeks to supply the specialty additives that make modern coating techniques possible.

The chemistry that makes much of the modern world possible is often done by building up simple molecules to sophisticated compounds in step-by-step chemical processes. The products that are incorporated in each step are known as intermediates and they can be as varied as the end uses they serve, such as crop protection products, dyes and pigments, textiles, fuel additives, electronics - essentially all things chemical.

Aceto provides various specialty chemicals for the food, flavor, fragrance, paper and film industries. Aceto's raw materials are also used in sophisticated technology products, such as high-end electronic parts used for photo tooling, circuit boards, production of computer chips, and in the production of many of today's modern gadgets.

According to a July 15, 2016 Federal Reserve Statistical Release, in the second quarter of calendar year 2016, the index for consumer durables, which impacts the Specialty Chemicals business of the Performance Chemicals segment, is expected to decrease at an annual rate of 0.3%.

Aceto's agricultural protection products include herbicides, fungicides and insecticides, which control weed growth as well as the spread of insects and microorganisms that can severely damage plant growth. One of Aceto's most widely used agricultural protection products is a sprout inhibitor that extends the storage life of potatoes. Utilizing our global sourcing and regulatory capabilities, we identify and qualify manufacturers either producing the product or with knowledge of the chemistry necessary to produce the product, and then file an application with the U.S. EPA for a product registration. Aceto has an ongoing working relationship with manufacturers in China and India to determine which of the non-patented or generic, agricultural protection products they produce can be effectively marketed in the Western world. We have successfully brought numerous products to market. We have a strong pipeline, which includes potential future additions to our product portfolio. The combination of our global sourcing and regulatory capabilities makes the generic agricultural market a niche for us. We expect to continue to offer new product additions in this market. In the National Agricultural Statistics Services release dated June 30, 2016, the total crop acreage planted in the United States in 2016 increased 1.5% to 323 million acres from 319 million acres in 2015. The number of peanut acres planted in 2016 decreased 2% from 2015 levels while sugarcane acreage harvested increased 3% from 2015. In addition, the potato acreage harvested in 2016 declined approximately 3% from the 2015 level.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses (R&D) represent investment in our generic finished dosage form product pipeline, which includes both Rising and PACK products. R&D expenses during fiscal years 2016, 2015 and 2014 were \$7,937, \$5,942 and \$5,222 respectively.

Long-lived Assets

Long-lived assets, excluding property held for sale, by geographic region as of June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 were as follows:

	Long-lived assets		
	2016	2015	2014
United States	\$ 152,701	\$ 152,886	\$ 160,544
Europe	2,504	2,544	3,458
Asia-Pacific	1,781	1,893	2,042
Total	\$ 156,986	\$ 157,323	\$ 166,044

Suppliers and Customers

We will only purchase products from specifically approved plants that meet our strict guidelines for quality. We periodically visit our suppliers to evaluate their ability to deliver satisfactory products on a timely and cost efficient basis, and their quality system, facilities and equipment system, materials system, production system, packaging and labeling system and laboratory control system. During the fiscal years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 approximately 56% and 65%, respectively, of our purchases were from Asia and approximately 22% and 12%, respectively, were from Europe.

Our customers are primarily located throughout the United States, Europe and Asia. We will continue our program of regular visits to our suppliers' plants, and will continue to educate them on the quality of product and service required by our customers. Aceto is uniquely able to do this, as almost all of our sales representatives are technically trained (chemists, chemical engineers, biologists, pharmacologists, etc.) most with in-plant or industrial laboratory experience that allows them to effectively communicate customer requirements to sourcing teams. Our customers include a wide range of companies in the industrial chemical, agricultural, and human health and pharmaceutical industries, and range from small trading companies to Fortune 500 companies. During fiscal years 2016, 2015 and 2014, sales made to customers in the United States totaled \$380,533, \$369,663 and \$325,190, respectively. Sales made to customers outside the United States during fiscal years 2016, 2015 and 2014 totaled \$177,991, \$177,288 and \$184,989, respectively, of which, approximately 56%, 62% and 59%, respectively, were to customers located in Europe. One customer (AmerisourceBergen Corporation) accounted for 14% of net sales in fiscal 2016 and 13% of net sales in 2015. No single customer accounted for as much as 10% of net sales in fiscal 2014. No single product accounted for as much as 10% of net sales in fiscal 2016, 2015 or 2014.

Competition

The Company operates in a highly competitive business environment. We compete by offering high-quality products produced around the world by both large and small manufacturers at attractive prices. Because of our long standing relationships with many suppliers as well as our sourcing operations in both China and India, we are able to ensure that any given product is manufactured at a facility that can meet the regulatory requirements for that product. For the most part, we store our inventory of chemical-based products in public warehouses strategically located throughout the United States, Europe, and Asia, and we can therefore fill our customer orders on a timely basis. We have developed ready access to key purchasing, research, and technical executives of our customers and suppliers. This allows us to ensure that when necessary, sourcing decisions can be made quickly. We will also continue to search for new products, as well as for new sources for products where we feel our existing sources have lapsed in either product or delivery quality, and/or have failed to meet the needs of our customers or markets.

Environmental and Regulatory

We are subject to extensive regulation by federal, state and local agencies in the countries in which we do business. Of particular importance is the FDA in the U.S. It has jurisdiction over testing, safety, effectiveness, manufacturing, labeling, marketing, advertising and post-marketing surveillance of our Human Health products.

Certain of our products involve the use, storage and transportation of toxic and hazardous materials. The Company's operations are subject to extensive laws and regulations relating to the storage, handling, transportation and discharge of materials into the environment and the maintenance of safe working conditions. We have designed safety procedures to comply with the standards prescribed by federal, state and local regulations. We promote the use of environmentally friendly recyclable packaging by our suppliers. We endeavor to meet our customers' packaging requirements. We only use warehouses and carriers approved to handle chemicals and that have appropriate permits and licenses.

Our global quality assurance network, with regional managers in the U.S., Europe and Asia, seeks to ensure that the quality of a product meets both its specifications and intended use. Our technical network performs a service that allows Aceto to source and qualify APIs, pharmaceutical intermediates, finished dosage form generics, agricultural products, specialty chemicals, and nutraceutical products from around the world. It also provides substantial regulatory support and technical assistance to manufacturers worldwide, enabling them to meet the stringent regulatory guidelines that govern the pharmaceutical, nutraceutical, specialty chemicals and agricultural protection industries.

A subsidiary of the Company markets certain agricultural protection products which are subject to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). FIFRA requires that test data be provided to the EPA to register, obtain and maintain approved labels for pesticide products. The EPA requires that follow-on registrants of these products compensate the initial registrant for the cost of producing the necessary test data on a basis prescribed in the FIFRA regulations. Follow-on registrants do not themselves generate or contract for the data. However, when FIFRA requirements mandate that new test data be generated to enable all registrants to continue marketing a pesticide product, often both the initial and follow-on registrants establish a task force to jointly undertake the testing effort. The Company is presently a member of several such task force groups, which requires payments for such memberships.

Employees

At June 30, 2016, we had 270 employees, none of whom were covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

Available information

We file annual, quarterly, and current reports, proxy statements, and other information with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). You may read and copy any document we file at the SEC’s public reference room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549.

You may call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for information on the public reference room. The SEC maintains a website that contains annual, quarterly, and current reports, proxy statements, and other information that issuers (including Aceto) file electronically with the SEC. The SEC’s website is www.sec.gov.

Our website is www.aceto.com. We make available free of charge through our Internet site, via a link to the SEC’s website at www.sec.gov, our annual reports on Form 10-K; quarterly reports on Form 10-Q; current reports on Form 8-K; Forms 3, 4 and 5 filed on behalf of our directors and executive officers; and any amendments to those reports and forms. We make these filings available as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. The information on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 1A. Risk factors

You should carefully consider the following risk factors and other information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial could also impair our business operations. If any of the following risk factors occur, our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

If we are unable to compete effectively with our competitors, many of which have greater market presence and resources than us, our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

Our financial condition and operating results are directly related to our ability to compete in the intensely competitive global pharmaceutical and chemical markets. We face intense competition from global and regional distributors of pharmaceutical and chemical products, many of which are large pharmaceutical and chemical manufacturers as well as distributors. Many of these companies have substantially greater resources than us, including, among other things, greater financial, marketing and distribution resources. We cannot assure you that we will be able to compete successfully with any of these companies. In addition, increased competition could result in price reductions, reduced margins and loss of market share for our products, all of which could materially adversely affect our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Our distribution operations of finished dosage form generic drugs and APIs are subject to the risks of the generic pharmaceutical industry.

The ability of our business to provide consistent, sequential quarterly growth is affected, in large part, by our participation in the launch of new products by generic manufacturers and the subsequent advent and extent of competition encountered by these products. Revenues and gross profit derived from the sales of generic pharmaceutical products tend to follow a pattern based on certain regulatory and competitive factors. This competition can result in significant and rapid declines in pricing with a corresponding decrease in net sales. Net selling prices of generic drugs typically decline over time, sometimes dramatically, as additional generic pharmaceutical companies receive approvals and enter the market for a given generic product and competition intensifies. When additional versions of one of our generic products enter the market, we generally lose market share and our selling prices and margins on that product decline.

The approval process for generic pharmaceutical products often results in the FDA granting final approval simultaneously or within close proximity to a number of generic pharmaceutical products at the time a patent claim for a corresponding branded product or other market exclusivity expires. This often forces a generic firm to face immediate competition when it introduces a generic product into the market. Additionally, further generic approvals often continue to be granted for a given product subsequent to the initial launch of the generic product. These circumstances generally result in significantly lower prices, as well as reduced margins, for generic products compared to branded products. New generic market entrants generally cause continued price and margin erosion over the generic product life cycle. As a result, we could be unable to grow or maintain market share with respect to our generic pharmaceutical products, which could materially adversely affect our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

We may experience declines in sales volumes or prices of certain of our products as the result of the concentration of sales to wholesalers and the continuing trend towards consolidation of such wholesalers and other customer groups which could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Wholesalers and retail drug chains have undergone, and are continuing to undergo, significant consolidation. This consolidation may result in these groups gaining additional purchasing leverage and consequently increasing the product pricing pressures facing our finished dosage form generic business. The result of these developments could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Our pipeline of products in development may be subject to regulatory delays at the FDA. Delays in key products could have material adverse effects on our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Our future revenue growth and profitability are partially dependent upon our ability to introduce new products on a timely basis in relation to our competitors' product introductions. Our failure to do so successfully could materially adversely affect our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows. Many products require FDA approval or the equivalent regulatory approvals in our overseas markets prior to being marketed. The process of obtaining FDA/regulatory approval to market new and generic pharmaceutical products is rigorous, time-consuming, costly and often unpredictable. We may be unable to obtain requisite FDA approvals on a timely basis for new generic products.

Pharmaceutical product quality standards are steadily increasing and all products, including those already approved, may need to meet current standards. If our products are not able to meet these standards, we may be required to discontinue marketing and/or recall such products from the market.

Steadily increasing quality standards are applicable to pharmaceutical products still under development and those already approved and on the market. These standards result from product quality initiatives implemented by the FDA, and updated U.S. Pharmacopeial Convention (“USP”) Reference Standards. The USP is a scientific nonprofit organization that sets standards for the identity, strength, quality, and purity of medicines, food ingredients, and dietary supplements manufactured, distributed, and consumed worldwide. Pharmaceutical products approved prior to the implementation of new quality standards may not meet these standards, which could require us to discontinue marketing and/or recall such products from the market, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

If brand pharmaceutical companies are successful in limiting the use of generics through their legislative and regulatory efforts, our sales of generic products may suffer.

Many brand pharmaceutical companies increasingly have used state and federal legislative and regulatory means to delay generic competition. These efforts have included:

- pursuing new patents for existing products which may be granted just before the expiration of one patent which could extend patent protection for additional years or otherwise delay the launch of generics;
- using the Citizen Petition process to request amendments to FDA standards;
- seeking changes to U.S. Pharmacopoeia, an organization which publishes industry recognized compendia of drug standards;
- attaching patent extension amendments to non-related federal legislation;
- engaging in state-by-state initiatives to enact legislation that restricts the substitution of some generic drugs, which could have an impact on products that we are developing;
- persuading regulatory bodies to withdraw the approval of brand name drugs for which the patents are about to expire and converting the market to another product of the brand company on which longer patent protection exists;
- entering into agreements whereby other generic companies will begin to market an authorized generic, a generic equivalent of a branded product, at the same time or after generic competition initially enters the market;
- filing suits for patent infringement and other claims that may delay or prevent regulatory approval, manufacture, and/or sale of generic products; and,
- introducing “next-generation” products prior to the expiration of market exclusivity for the reference product, which often materially reduces the demand for the generic or the reference product for which we seek regulatory approval.

In the U.S., some companies have lobbied Congress for amendments to the Hatch-Waxman Act that would give them additional advantages over generic competitors. For example, although the term of a company’s drug patent can be

extended to reflect a portion of the time an NDA is under regulatory review, some companies have proposed extending the patent term by a full year for each year spent in clinical trials rather than the one-half year that is currently permitted.

If proposals like these were to become effective, or if any other actions by our competitors and other third parties to prevent or delay activities necessary to the approval, manufacture, or distribution of our products are successful, our entry into the market and our ability to generate revenues associated with new products may be delayed, reduced, or eliminated, which could have material adverse effects on our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

A proposed FDA rule allowing generic companies to distribute revised labels that differ from the corresponding reference listed drug ("RLD") could have an adverse effect on our operations because of a potential increase in litigation exposure.

On November 13, 2013, the FDA issued a proposed rule (Docket No. FDA-2013-N-0500) titled "Supplemental Applications Proposing Labeling Changes for Approved Drugs and Biological Products." Pursuant to the rule, the FDA will change existing regulations to allow generic drug application holders, in advance of the FDA's review, to distribute revised labeling, to reflect safety-related changes based on newly acquired information. Currently, the labels of generic drugs must conform to those of the corresponding RLD and any failure-to-warn claims against generic companies are preempted under U.S. Federal law. Once this rule is released, we could be found liable under such failure-to-warn claims if we do not revise our labeling to reflect safety-related changes promptly upon receipt of applicable safety information. While we proactively conduct surveillance for reported safety issues with our products, we cannot guarantee that this will prevent us from being found liable under a failure-to-warn claim. When this proposed regulatory change is adopted, it could increase our potential liability with respect to failure-to-warn claims, which, even if successfully defended, could have material adverse effects on our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Our policies regarding returns, allowances and chargebacks, and marketing programs adopted by wholesalers may reduce our revenues in future fiscal periods.

Based on industry practice, generic drug manufacturers have liberal return policies and have been willing to give customers post-sale inventory allowances. Under these arrangements, from time to time we give our customers credits on our generic products that our customers already hold in inventory after we have decreased the market prices of the same generic products due to competitive pricing. Therefore, if new competitors enter the marketplace and significantly lower the prices of any of their competing products, we could reduce the price of our product. As a result, we would be obligated to provide credits to our customers who are then holding inventories of such products, which could reduce sales revenue and gross margin for the period the credit is provided. Like our competitors, we also give credits for chargebacks to wholesalers that have contracts with us for their sales to hospitals, group purchasing organizations, pharmacies or other customers.

A chargeback is the difference between the price the wholesaler pays and the price that the wholesaler's end-customer pays for a product. Although we establish reserves based on our prior experience and our best estimates of the impact that these policies may have in subsequent periods, we cannot ensure that our reserves are adequate or that actual product returns, allowances and chargebacks will not exceed our estimates.

The regulatory approval process outside the U.S. varies depending on foreign regulatory requirements, and failure to obtain regulatory approval in foreign jurisdictions would prevent the marketing of our products in those jurisdictions.

We have certain worldwide intellectual property rights to market some of our products and product candidates. We intend to seek approval to market certain of our products outside of the U.S. To market our products in the European Union and other foreign jurisdictions, we must obtain separate regulatory authorization and comply with numerous and varying regulatory requirements. Approval of a product by the comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries must be obtained prior to marketing that product in those countries. The approval procedure varies among countries and can involve additional testing, and the time required to obtain approval may differ from that required to obtain FDA approval. The foreign regulatory approval process includes all of the risks associated with obtaining FDA approval set forth herein and approval by the FDA does not ensure approval by the regulatory authorities of any other country, nor does the approval by foreign regulatory authorities in one country ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other foreign countries or the FDA. If we fail to comply with these regulatory requirements or obtain and maintain required approvals, our target market will be reduced and our ability to generate revenue from abroad will be adversely affected.

Our growth and development will depend on developing, commercializing and marketing new products, including both our own products and those developed with our collaboration partners. If we do not do so successfully, our growth and development will be impaired.

Our future revenues and profitability will depend, to a significant extent, upon our ability to successfully commercialize new generic pharmaceutical products in a timely manner. As a result, we must continually develop and test new products, and these new products must meet regulatory standards and receive requisite regulatory approvals. Products we are currently developing may or may not achieve the technology success or receive the regulatory approvals or clearances necessary for us to market them. Furthermore, the development and commercialization process is time-consuming and costly, and we cannot assure you that any of our products, if and when developed and approved, can be successfully commercialized. Some of our collaboration partners may decide to make substantial changes to a product's formulation or design, may experience financial difficulties or have limited financial resources, any of which may delay the development, commercialization and/or marketing of new products. In addition, if a co-developer on a new product terminates our collaboration agreement or does not perform under the agreement, we may experience delays and, possibly, additional costs in developing and marketing that product.

The time necessary to develop generic drugs may adversely affect whether, and the extent to which, we receive a return on our capital.

We generally begin our development activities for a new generic drug product several years in advance of the patent expiration date of the brand-name drug equivalent. The development process, including drug formulation, testing, and FDA review and approval, often takes three or more years. This process requires that we expend considerable capital to pursue activities that do not yield an immediate or near-term return. Also, because of the significant time necessary to develop a product, the actual market for a product at the time it is available for sale may be significantly less than the originally projected market for the product, including the possibility that the product has become eligible for OTC sales. If this were to occur, our potential return on our investment in developing the product, if approved for marketing by the FDA, would be adversely affected and we may never receive a return on our investment in the product.

.

If we experience product recalls, we may incur significant and unexpected costs, and our business reputation could be adversely affected.

We may be exposed to product recalls and adverse public relations if our products are alleged to cause injury or illness, or if we are alleged to have violated governmental regulations. A product recall could result in substantial and unexpected expenditures, which would reduce operating profit and cash flow. In addition, a product recall may require significant management attention. Product recalls may hurt the value of our brands and lead to decreased demand for our products. Product recalls also may lead to increased scrutiny by federal, state or international regulatory agencies of our operations and increased litigation and could have a material adverse effect on our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Dependence on a limited number of suppliers of Human Health and Pharmaceutical Ingredients products could lead to delays, lost revenue or increased costs.

Our future operating results may depend substantially on our suppliers' ability to timely provide Human Health and Pharmaceutical Ingredients products in connection with ANDAs and such suppliers' ability to supply us with these ingredients or materials in sufficient volumes to meet our production requirements. A number of the ingredients or materials that we use are available from only a single or limited number of qualified suppliers, and may be used across multiple product lines. If there is a significant increase in demand for an ingredient or other material resulting in an inability to meet demand, if an ingredient or material is otherwise in short supply or becomes wholly unavailable, or if a supplier has a quality issue, we may experience delays or increased costs in obtaining that ingredient or material. If we are unable to obtain sufficient quantities of ingredients or other necessary materials, we may experience production delays in our supply.

Each of the following could also interrupt the supply of, or increase the cost of, ingredients or other materials:

- an unwillingness of a supplier to supply ingredients or other materials to us;
 - consolidation of key suppliers;
 - failure of a key supplier's business process;
 - a key supplier's inability to access credit necessary to operate its business; or
- failure of a key supplier to remain in business, to remain an independent supplier, or to adjust to market conditions.

Any interruption in the supply or increase in the cost of ingredients or other materials provided by single or limited source suppliers could have a material adverse effect on our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Our success in our Human Health segment is linked to the size and growth rate of the generic pharmaceutical, vitamin, mineral and supplement markets and an adverse change in the size or growth rate of these markets could have a material adverse effect on us.

An adverse change in size or growth rate of the generic pharmaceutical, vitamin, mineral and supplement markets could have a material adverse effect on us. Underlying market conditions are subject to change based on economic conditions, consumer preferences and other factors that are beyond our control, including media attention and scientific research, which may be positive or negative.

Healthcare reform and a reduction in the reimbursement levels by governmental authorities, HMOs, MCOs or other third-party payors could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Third party payors increasingly challenge pricing of pharmaceutical products. The trend toward managed healthcare, the growth of organizations such as HMOs and MCOs and legislative proposals to reform healthcare and government insurance programs could significantly influence the purchase of pharmaceutical products, resulting in lower prices and a reduction in product demand. Such cost containment measures and healthcare reform could affect our ability to sell our products and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Any failure to comply with the complex reporting and payment obligations under Medicare, Medicaid and other government programs may result in litigation or sanctions.

We are subject to various federal and state laws pertaining to healthcare fraud and abuse, including anti-kickback, false claims, marketing and pricing laws. We are also subject to Medicaid and other government reporting and payment obligations that are highly complex and somewhat ambiguous. Violations of these laws and reporting obligations are punishable by criminal and/or civil sanctions and exclusion from participation in federal and state healthcare programs such as Medicare and Medicaid. The recent healthcare reform legislation made several changes to the federal anti-kickback statute, false claims laws, and health care fraud statute such as increasing penalties and making it easier for the government to bring sanctions against pharmaceutical companies. If our past, present or future operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above or other similar governmental regulations, we may be subject to the applicable penalty associated with the violation which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and negatively impact our financial results. Further, if there is a change in laws, regulations or administrative or judicial interpretations, we may have to change our business practices or our existing business practices could be challenged as unlawful, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Our future results could be materially affected by a number of public health issues whether occurring in the United States or abroad.

Public health issues, whether occurring in the United States or abroad, could disrupt our operations, disrupt the operations of suppliers or customers, or have a broader adverse impact on consumer spending and confidence levels that would negatively affect our suppliers and customers. We may be required to suspend operations in some or all of our locations, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Our revenue stream and related gross profit is difficult to predict.

Our revenue stream is difficult to predict because it is primarily generated as customers place orders and customers can change their requirements or cancel orders. Many of our sales orders are short-term and could be cancelled at any time. As a result, much of our revenue is not recurring from period to period, which contributes to the variability of our results from period to period. In addition, certain of our products carry a higher gross margin than other products, particularly in the Human Health and Pharmaceutical Ingredients segments. Reduced sales of these higher margin products could have a material adverse effect on our operating results. We believe that quarter-to-quarter comparisons of our operating results are not a good indication of our future performance.

From time to time we may need to rely on licenses to proprietary technologies, which may be difficult or expensive to obtain.

We may need to obtain licenses to patents and other proprietary rights held by third parties to develop, manufacture and market products. If we are unable to timely obtain these licenses on commercially reasonable terms, our ability to commercially market our products may be inhibited or prevented, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Changes to the industries and markets that Aceto serves could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

The business environment in which we operate remains challenging. Portions of our operations are subject to the same business cycles as those experienced by automobile, housing, and durable goods manufacturers. Our demand is largely derived from the demand for our customers' products, which subjects us to uncertainties related to downturns in our customers' business and unanticipated customer production shutdowns or curtailments. A material downturn in sales or gross profit due to weak end-user markets and loss of customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Our operating results could fluctuate in future quarters, which could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.

Our operating results could fluctuate on a quarterly basis as a result of a number of factors, including, among other things, the timing of contracts, orders, the delay or cancellation of a contract, and changes in government regulations. Any one of these factors could have a significant impact on our quarterly results. In some quarters, our revenue and operating results could fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors, which would likely cause the trading price of our common stock to decline.

We have significant inventories on hand.

The Company maintains significant inventories. Any significant unanticipated changes in future product demand or market conditions, including, among other things, the current uncertainty in the global market, could materially adversely affect the value of inventory and our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Failure to obtain products from outside manufacturers could adversely affect our ability to fulfill sales orders to our customers.

We rely on outside manufacturers to supply products for resale to our customers. Manufacturing problems, including, among other things, manufacturing delays caused by plant shutdowns, regulatory issues, damage or disruption to raw material supplies due to weather, including, among other things, any potential effects of climate change, natural disaster or fire, could occur. If such problems occur, we cannot assure you that we will be able to deliver our products to our customers profitably or on time.

Increases in the cost of shipping with our third-party shippers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Shipping is a significant expense in the operation of our business. Accordingly, any significant increase in shipping rates could have an adverse effect on our operating results. Similarly, strikes or other service interruptions by those shippers could cause our operating expenses to rise and adversely affect our ability to deliver products on a timely basis.

We could incur significant uninsured environmental and other liabilities inherent in the chemical/pharmaceutical distribution industry that could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

The business of distributing chemicals and pharmaceuticals is subject to regulation by numerous federal, state, local, and foreign governmental authorities. These regulations impose liability for loss of life, damage to property and equipment, pollution and other environmental damage that could occur in our business. Many of these regulations provide for substantial fines and remediation costs in the event of chemical spills, explosions and pollution. While we believe that we are in substantial compliance with all current laws and regulations, we can give no assurance that we will not incur material liabilities that are not covered by insurance or exceed our insurance coverage or that such

insurance will remain available on terms and at rates acceptable to us. Additionally, if existing environmental and other regulations are changed, or additional laws or regulations are passed, the cost of complying with those laws could be substantial, thereby materially adversely affecting our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

In fiscal years 2011, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company received letters from the Pulvair Site Group, a group of potentially responsible parties (PRP Group) who are working with the State of Tennessee (the State) to remediate a contaminated property in Tennessee called the Pulvair site. The PRP Group has alleged that Aceto shipped hazardous substances to the site which were released into the environment. The State had begun administrative proceedings against the members of the PRP Group and Aceto with respect to the cleanup of the Pulvair site and the PRP Group has begun to undertake cleanup. The PRP Group is seeking a settlement of approximately \$1,700 from the Company for its share to remediate the site contamination. Although the Company acknowledges that it shipped materials to the site for formulation over twenty years ago, the Company believes that the evidence does not show that the hazardous materials sent by Aceto to the site have significantly contributed to the contamination of the environment and thus believes that, at most, it is a de minimis contributor to the site contamination. Accordingly, the Company believes that the settlement offer is unreasonable. Management believes that the ultimate outcome of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or liquidity.

Our subsidiary, Arsynco, has environmental remediation obligations in connection with its former manufacturing facility in Carlstadt, New Jersey. Estimates of how much it would cost to remediate environmental contamination at this site have increased since the facility was closed in 1993. If the actual costs are significantly greater than estimated, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

In March 2006, Arsynco received notice from the EPA of its status as a PRP under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) for a site described as the Berry's Creek Study Area ("BCSA"). Arsynco is one of over 150 PRPs which have potential liability for the required investigation and remediation of the site. The estimate of the potential liability is not quantifiable for a number of reasons, including the difficulty in determining the extent of contamination and the length of time remediation may require. In addition, any estimate of liability must also consider the number of other PRPs and their financial strength. In July 2014, Arsynco received notice from the U.S. Department of Interior ("USDO") regarding the USDO's intent to perform a Natural Resource Damage (NRD) Assessment at the BCSA. Arsynco has to date declined to participate in the development and performance of the NRD assessment process. Based on prior practice in similar situations, it is possible that the State may assert a claim for natural resource damages with respect to the Arsynco site itself, and either the federal government or the State (or both) may assert claims against Arsynco for natural resource damages in connection with Berry's Creek; any such claim with respect to Berry's Creek could also be asserted against the approximately 150 PRPs which the EPA has identified in connection with that site. Any claim for natural resource damages with respect to the Arsynco site itself may also be asserted against BASF, the former owners of the Arsynco property. In September 2012, Arsynco entered into an agreement with three of the other PRPs that had previously been impleaded into New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, et al. v. Occidental Chemical Corporation, et al., Docket No. ESX-L-9868-05 (the "NJDEP Litigation") and were considering impleading Arsynco into the same proceeding. Arsynco entered into an agreement to avoid impleader. Pursuant to the agreement, Arsynco agreed to (1) a tolling period that would not be included when computing the running of any statute of limitations that might provide a defense to the NJDEP Litigation; (2) the waiver of certain issue preclusion defenses in the NJDEP Litigation; and (3) arbitration of certain potential future liability allocation claims if the other parties to the agreement are barred by a court of competent jurisdiction from proceeding against Arsynco. In July 2015, Arsynco was contacted by an allocation consultant retained by a group of the named PRPs, inviting Arsynco to participate in the allocation among the PRPs' investigation and remediation costs relating to the BCSA. Arsynco declined that invitation. Since an amount of the liability cannot be reasonably estimated at this time, no accrual is recorded for these potential future costs. The impact of the resolution of this matter on the Company's results of operations in a particular reporting period is not currently known.

The distribution and sale of some of our products are subject to prior governmental approvals and thereafter ongoing governmental regulation.

Our products are subject to laws administered by federal, state and foreign governments, including the Toxic Substances Control Act as well as regulations requiring registration and approval of many of our products. More stringent restrictions could make our products less desirable, which would adversely affect our revenues and profitability. Some of our products are subject to the EPA registration and re-registration requirements, and are registered in accordance with FIFRA. Such registration requirements are based, among other things, on data demonstrating that the product will not cause unreasonable adverse effects on human health or the environment when used according to approved label directions. Governmental regulatory authorities have required, and may require in the future, that certain scientific data requirements be performed on our products and this may require us, on our behalf or in joint efforts with other registrants, to perform additional testing. Responding to such requirements may cause delays in or the cessation of the sales of one or more of our products which would adversely affect our profitability. We can provide no assurance that any testing approvals or registrations will be granted on a timely basis, if at all, or that our resources will be adequate to meet the costs of regulatory compliance or that the economic benefit

of complying with the requirement will exceed our cost.

Incidents related to hazardous materials could materially adversely affect our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Portions of our operations require the controlled use of hazardous materials. Although we are diligent in designing and implementing safety procedures to comply with the standards prescribed by federal, state, and local regulations, the risk of accidental contamination of property or injury to individuals from these materials cannot be completely eliminated. In the event of such an incident, we could be liable for any damages that result, which could materially adversely affect our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

We are also continuing to expand our business in China and India, where environmental, health and safety regulations are still early in their development. As a result, we cannot determine how these laws will be implemented and the impact of such regulation on the Company.

Violations of cGMP and other government regulations could have a material adverse effect on our reputation, business, financial condition and results of operations.

All facilities and manufacturing techniques used to manufacture pharmaceutical products for clinical use or for commercial sale in the United States and other Aceto markets must be operated in conformity with current Good Manufacturing Practices ("cGMP") regulations as required by the FDA and other regulatory bodies. Our suppliers' facilities are subject to scheduled periodic regulatory and customer inspections to ensure compliance with cGMP and other requirements applicable to such products. A finding that we or one or more of our suppliers had materially violated these requirements could result in one or more regulatory sanctions, loss of a customer contract, disqualification of data for client submissions to regulatory authorities and a mandated closing of our suppliers' facilities, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Our business could give rise to product liability claims that are not covered by insurance or indemnity agreements or exceed insurance policy or indemnity agreement limitations.

The marketing, distribution and use of pharmaceutical and chemical products involve substantial risk of product liability claims. We could be held liable if any product we or our partners develop or distribute causes injury or is found otherwise unsuitable during product testing, manufacturing, marketing or sale. A successful product liability claim that we have not insured against, that exceeds our levels of insurance or for which we are not indemnified, may require us to pay a substantial amount of damages. In the event that we are forced to pay such damages, this payment could have a material adverse effect on our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

We source many of our products in China and changes in the political and economic policies of China's government could have a significant impact upon the business we may be able to conduct in China and our financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Our business operations could be materially adversely affected by the current and future political environment in China. China has operated as a socialist state since the mid-1900s and is controlled by the Communist Party of China. The Chinese government exerts substantial influence and control over the manner in which companies, such as ours, must conduct business activities in China. China has only permitted provincial and local economic autonomy and private economic activities since 1988. The government of China has exercised and continues to exercise substantial control over virtually every sector of the Chinese economy, through regulation and state ownership. Our ability to conduct business in China could be adversely affected by changes in Chinese laws and regulations, including, among others, those relating to taxation, import and export tariffs, raw materials, environmental regulations, land use rights, property and other matters. Under its current leadership, the government of China has been pursuing economic reform policies that encourage private economic activity and greater economic decentralization. There is no assurance, however, that the government of China will continue to pursue these policies, or that it will not significantly alter these policies from time to time without notice.

China's laws and regulations governing our current business operations in China are sometimes vague and uncertain. Any changes in such laws and regulations could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

China's legal system is a civil law system based on written statutes, in which system decided legal cases have little value as precedents unlike the common law system prevalent in the United States. There are substantial uncertainties regarding the interpretation and application of China's laws and regulations, including among others, the laws and regulations governing the conduct of business in China, or the enforcement and performance of arrangements with customers and suppliers in the event of the imposition of statutory liens, death, bankruptcy and criminal proceedings. The Chinese government has been developing a comprehensive system of commercial laws, and considerable progress has been made in introducing laws and regulations dealing with economic matters such as foreign investment,

corporate organization and governance, commerce, taxation and trade. However, because these laws and regulations are relatively new, and because of the limited volume of published cases and judicial interpretation and their lack of force as precedents, interpretation and enforcement of these laws and regulations involve significant uncertainties. New laws and regulations that affect existing and proposed future businesses may also be applied retroactively. We cannot predict what effect the interpretation of existing or new laws or regulations may have on our business in China. If the relevant authorities find that we are in violation of China's laws or regulations, they would have broad discretion in dealing with such a violation, including, among other things: (i) levying fines and (ii) requiring that we discontinue any portion or all of our business in China.

The promulgation of new laws, changes to existing laws and the pre-emption of local regulations by national laws may adversely affect foreign businesses conducting business in China. While the trend of legislation over the last 20 plus years has significantly enhanced the protection of foreign businesses in China, there can be no assurance that a change in leadership, social or political disruption, or unforeseen circumstances affecting China's political, economic or social life, will not affect China's government's ability to continue to support and pursue these reforms. Such a shift could have a material adverse effect on our business and prospects.

Our ability to compete in certain markets we serve is dependent on our ability to continue to expand our capacity in certain offshore locations. However, as our presence in these locations increases, we are exposed to risks inherent to these locations which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

A significant portion of our outsourcing has been shifted to India. As such, we are exposed to the risks inherent to operating in India including, among others, (1) a highly competitive labor market for skilled workers which may result in significant increases in labor costs as well as shortages of qualified workers in the future, and (2) the possibility that the U.S. federal government or the European Union may enact legislation which may disincentivize customers from producing in their local countries which would reduce the demand for the services we provide in India and could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

A substantial portion of our revenue is denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar because certain of our foreign subsidiaries operate in their local currencies. Our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows therefore could be materially adversely affected by fluctuations in the exchange rate between foreign currencies and the U.S. dollar.

Failure to comply with U.S. or non-U.S. laws regulating trade, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, could result in adverse consequences, including fines, criminal sanctions, or loss of access to markets.

We are subject to the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (“FCPA”), which, among other things, prohibits corporations and individuals from paying, offering to pay, or authorizing the payment of anything of value to any foreign government official, government staff member, political party, or political candidate in an attempt to obtain or retain business or to otherwise influence a person working in an official capacity. The FCPA also requires public companies to make and keep books and records that accurately and fairly reflect their transactions and to devise and maintain an adequate system of internal accounting controls. While our employees and agents are required to comply with these laws, we cannot assure you that our internal policies and procedures will always protect us from violations of these laws, despite our commitment to legal compliance and corporate ethics. The occurrence or allegation of these types of events could materially adversely affect our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Tax legislation and assessments by various tax authorities could be materially different than the amounts we have provided for in our consolidated financial statements.

We are regularly audited by federal, state, and foreign tax authorities. From time to time, these audits could result in proposed assessments. While we believe that we have adequately provided for any such assessments, future settlements could be materially different than we have provided for and thereby materially adversely affect our earnings and cash flows.

We operate in various tax jurisdictions, and although we believe that we have provided for income and other taxes in accordance with the relevant regulations, if the applicable regulations were ultimately interpreted differently by a taxing authority, we could be exposed to additional tax liabilities. Our effective tax rate is based on our expected geographic mix of earnings, statutory rates, intercompany transfer pricing, and enacted tax rules. Significant judgment is required in determining our effective tax rate and in evaluating our tax positions on a worldwide basis. We believe our tax positions, including, among others, intercompany transfer pricing policies, are consistent with the tax laws in

the jurisdictions in which we conduct our business. It is possible that these positions may be challenged by jurisdictional tax authorities and could have a significant impact on our effective tax rate. In addition, from time to time, various legislative initiatives could be proposed that could adversely affect our tax positions. There can be no assurance that our effective tax rate will not be adversely affected by these initiatives.

Changes in tax rules could adversely affect our future reported financial results or the way we conduct our business.

Our future reported financial results could be adversely affected if tax or accounting rules regarding unrepatriated earnings change. The Obama administration announced several proposals to reform United States tax rules, including, among others, proposals that could result in a reduction or elimination of the deferral of United States tax on our unrepatriated earnings, potentially requiring those earnings to be taxed at the United States federal income tax rate.

Our business is subject to a number of global economic risks.

From time to time, financial markets in the United States, Europe and Asia have and could experience extreme disruption, including, among other things, extreme volatility in security prices, severely diminished liquidity and credit availability, rating downgrades of certain investments and declining valuations of others. Governments have taken unprecedented actions intending to address extreme market conditions that include severely restricted credit and declines in values of certain assets.

An economic downturn in the businesses or geographic areas in which we sell our products could reduce demand for our products and result in a decrease in revenue that could have a negative impact on our results of operations. Continued volatility and disruption of financial markets in the United States, Europe and Asia could limit our customers' ability to obtain adequate financing or credit to purchase our products or to pay for outstanding invoices owed to us or to maintain operations, and result in a decrease in revenue or cash collections that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Making interest and principal payments on our Convertible Senior Notes due 2020 (the “Notes”), which were issued in November 2015, requires and will continue to require a significant amount of cash, and we may not have sufficient cash flows from our business to make future interest and principal payments.

Our ability to continue to make scheduled interest payments and to make future principal payments on the Notes depends on our future performance, which is subject to economic, financial, competitive, and other factors beyond our control. Our business may not continue to generate cash flows from operations sufficient to service our debt. If we are unable to generate such cash flows, we may be required to adopt one or more alternatives, such as selling assets, restructuring debt, or obtaining additional equity capital on terms that may be onerous or highly dilutive. Our ability to refinance our indebtedness will depend on the capital markets and our financial condition at such time. We may not be able to engage in any of these activities or engage in these activities on desirable terms, which could result in a default on our debt obligations, including the Notes, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

We may not have the ability to raise the funds necessary to settle conversions of the Notes that we issued in November 2015 or to repurchase such Notes upon a fundamental change, and our senior secured credit facility contains, and our future debt may contain, limitations on our ability to pay cash upon conversion or repurchase of such Notes.

Holders of our Notes have the right to require us to repurchase their notes upon the occurrence of certain fundamental events (each, a “fundamental change”) at a fundamental change repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any. In addition, upon conversion of the Notes, unless we elect to deliver solely shares of our common stock to settle such conversion (other than paying cash in lieu of delivering any fractional shares), we will be required to make cash payments in respect of the Notes being converted. However, we may not have enough available cash or be able to obtain financing at the time we are required to make repurchases of notes surrendered therefor or pay cash upon conversions of notes being converted. In addition, our ability to repurchase the Notes or to pay cash upon conversions of the Notes is limited by agreements governing our existing senior secured credit facility, and may be further limited by law, by regulatory authority or by agreements governing our future indebtedness. Our failure to repurchase notes at a time when the repurchase is required by the indenture governing the Notes or to pay any cash payable on future conversions of the Notes as required by the indenture would constitute a default under the indenture. A default under the indenture or the fundamental change itself could, if not cured within applicable time periods, lead to a default under agreements governing our existing senior secured credit facility, and could also lead to a default under agreements governing our future indebtedness. If the repayment of the related indebtedness were to be accelerated after any applicable notice or grace periods, we may not have sufficient funds to repay the indebtedness and repurchase the Notes or make cash payments upon conversions thereof.

Our senior secured credit facility limits our ability to pay any cash amount upon the conversion or repurchase of the Notes.

Our existing senior secured credit facility prohibits us from making any cash payments on the conversion or repurchase of the Notes if an event of default exists under that facility or if, after giving effect to such conversion or repurchase (and any additional indebtedness incurred in connection with such conversion or a repurchase), we would not be in pro forma compliance with our financial covenants under that facility. Any new credit facility that we may enter into in the future may have similar restrictions. Our failure to make cash payments upon the conversion or repurchase of the Notes as required under the terms of the Notes would permit holders of the Notes to accelerate our obligations under the Notes.

The conditional conversion feature of the Notes, if triggered, may adversely affect our financial condition and operating results.

In the event the conditional conversion feature of the Notes is triggered, holders of notes will be entitled to convert the Notes at any time during specified periods at their option. If one or more holders elect to convert their notes, unless we elect to satisfy our conversion obligation by delivering solely shares of our common stock (other than paying cash in lieu of delivering any fractional share), we would be required to settle a portion or all of our conversion obligation through the payment of cash, which could adversely affect our liquidity. In addition, even if holders do not elect to convert their notes, we could be required under applicable accounting rules to reclassify all or a portion of the outstanding principal of the Notes as a current rather than long-term liability, which would result in a material reduction of our net working capital.

The accounting method for convertible debt securities that may be settled in cash, such as the Notes, could have a material effect on our reported financial results.

In May 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement), which has subsequently been codified as Accounting Standards Codification 470-20, Debt with Conversion and Other Options (“ASC 470-20”). Under ASC 470-20, an entity must separately account for the liability and equity components of the convertible debt instruments (such as the Notes) that may be settled entirely or partially in cash upon conversion in a manner that reflects the issuer’s economic interest cost. The effect of ASC 470-20 on the accounting for the Notes is that the equity component is required to be included in the capital in excess of par value section of shareholders’ equity on our consolidated balance sheet, and the value of the equity component would be treated as original issue discount for purposes of accounting for the debt component of the Notes. As a result, we will be required to record a greater amount of non-cash interest expense in current periods presented as a result of the amortization of the discounted carrying value of the Notes to their face amount over the term of the Notes. We will report lower net income in our financial results because ASC 470-20 will require interest to include both the current period’s amortization of the debt discount and the instrument’s coupon interest, which could adversely affect our reported or future financial results, the trading price of our common stock and the trading price of the Notes.

In addition, under certain circumstances, convertible debt instruments (such as the Notes) that may be settled entirely or partly in cash are currently accounted for utilizing the treasury stock method, the effect of which is that the shares issuable upon conversion of the Notes are not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share except to the extent that the conversion value of the Notes exceeds their principal amount. Under the treasury stock method, for diluted earnings per share purposes, the transaction is accounted for as if the number of shares of common stock that would be necessary to settle such excess are issued (which is the policy we intend to follow for settling such excess). If we are unable to use the treasury stock method in the future for the shares issuable upon conversion of the Notes, then our diluted earnings per share would be adversely affected.

Our acquisition strategy is subject to a number of inherent risks, including, among other things, the risk that our acquisitions may not be successful.

We continually seek to expand our business through acquisitions of other companies that complement our own and through joint ventures, licensing agreements and other arrangements. Any decision regarding strategic alternatives would be subject to inherent risks, and we cannot guarantee that we will be able to identify the appropriate opportunities, successfully negotiate economically beneficial terms, successfully integrate any acquired business, retain key employees, or achieve the anticipated synergies or benefits of the strategic alternative selected. Acquisitions can require significant capital resources and divert our management’s attention from our existing business. Additionally, we may issue additional shares in connection with a strategic transaction, thereby diluting the holdings of our existing common shareholders, incur debt or assume liabilities, become subject to litigation, or consume cash, thereby reducing the amount of cash available for other purposes.

Any acquisition that we make could result in a substantial charge to our earnings.

We have previously incurred charges to our earnings in connection with acquisitions, and may continue to experience charges to our earnings for any acquisitions that we make, including, among other things, contingent consideration and impairment charges. These costs may also include substantial severance and other closure costs associated with eliminating duplicate or discontinued products, employees, operations and facilities. These charges could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and they could have a material adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

We have significant goodwill and other intangible assets. Consequently, potential impairment of goodwill and other intangibles may significantly impact our profitability.

Under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”), we are required to evaluate goodwill for impairment at least annually. If we determine that the fair value is less than the carrying value, an impairment loss will be recorded in our statement of income. The determination of fair value is a highly subjective exercise and can produce significantly different results based on the assumptions used and methodologies employed. If our projected long-term sales growth rate, profit margins or terminal rate are considerably lower and/or the assumed weighted average cost of capital is considerably higher, future testing may indicate impairment and we would have to record a non-cash goodwill impairment loss in our statement of income.

Our information technology systems could fail to perform adequately or we may fail to adequately protect such information technology systems against data corruption, cyber-based attacks, or network security breaches.

We rely on information technology networks and systems, including the Internet, to process, transmit, and store electronic information. In particular, we depend on our information technology infrastructure to effectively manage its business data, supply chain, logistics, accounting, and other business processes and electronic communications between our personnel and our customers and suppliers. If we do not allocate and effectively manage the resources necessary to build and sustain an appropriate technology infrastructure, our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows therefore could be materially adversely affected. In addition, security breaches or system failures of this infrastructure can create system disruptions, shutdowns, or unauthorized disclosure of confidential information. If we are unable to prevent such breaches or failures, our operations could be disrupted, or we may suffer financial damage or loss because of lost or misappropriated information.

Our potential liability arising from our commitment to indemnify our directors, officers and employees could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

We have committed in our bylaws to indemnify our directors, officers and employees against the reasonable expenses incurred by these persons in connection with any action brought against them in such capacity, except in matters as to which they are adjudged to have breached a duty to us. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under this provision is unlimited. While we have "directors and officers" insurance policies that should cover all or some of this potential exposure, we could be adversely affected if we are required to pay damages or incur legal costs in connection with a claim above our insurance limits.

Our business could be materially adversely affected by terrorist activities.

Our business depends on the free flow of products and services through the channels of commerce worldwide. Instability due to military, terrorist, political and economic actions in other countries could materially disrupt our overseas operations and export sales. In fiscal years 2016 and 2015, approximately 32% and 33%, respectively of our revenues were attributable to operations conducted abroad and to sales generated from the United States to foreign countries. In addition, in fiscal year 2016, approximately 56% and 22% of our purchases came from Asia and Europe, respectively. In addition, in certain countries where we currently operate or export, intend to operate or export, or intend to expand our operations, we could be subject to other political, military and economic uncertainties, including, among other things, labor unrest, restrictions on transfers of funds and unexpected changes in regulatory environments.

We rely heavily on key executives for our financial performance.

Our financial performance is highly dependent upon the efforts and abilities of our key executives. The loss of the services of any of our key executives could therefore have a material adverse effect upon our financial position and operating results. We do not maintain “key-man” insurance on any of our key executives.

Shortage of qualified and technical personnel in a competitive marketplace may prevent us from growing our business.

We may be unable to hire or retain qualified and technical employees and there is substantial competition for highly skilled employees. If we fail to attract and retain key employees, our business could be adversely impacted.

Litigation could harm our business and our management and financial resources.

Substantial, complex or extended litigation could cause us to incur large expenditures and could distract our management. For example, lawsuits by employees, stockholders, collaborators, distributors, customers, or end-users of our products or services could be very costly and substantially disrupt our business. Disputes from time to time with such companies or individuals are not uncommon, and we cannot assure you that we will always be able to resolve such disputes out of court or on favorable terms.

The market price of our stock could be volatile.

The market price of our common stock has been subject to volatility and may continue to be volatile in the future, due to a variety of factors, including, among other things:

- quarterly fluctuations in our operating income and earnings per share results
- technological innovations or new product introductions by us or our competitors
- economic conditions
- tariffs, duties and other trade barriers including, among other things, anti-dumping duties
- disputes concerning patents or proprietary rights
- changes in earnings estimates and market growth rate projections by market research analysts
- any future issuances of our common stock, which may include primary offerings for cash, stock splits, issuances in connection with business acquisitions, restricted stock/units and the grant or exercise of stock options from time to time
- sales of common stock by existing security holders
- loss of key personnel
- securities class actions or other litigation

The market price for our common stock may also be affected by our ability to meet analysts' expectations. Any failure to meet such expectations, even slightly, could have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock. In addition, the stock market is subject to extreme price and volume fluctuations. This volatility has had a significant effect on the market prices of securities issued by many companies for reasons unrelated to the operating performance of these companies.

Our stock repurchase program could affect the price of our common stock and increase volatility. The repurchase program may be suspended or terminated at any time, which could result in a decrease in the trading price of our common stock.

In May 2014, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized the continuation of the Company's stock repurchase program, expiring in May 2017. Under the stock repurchase program, the Company is authorized, but not obligated, to purchase up to 5,000 shares of common stock in open market or private transactions, at prices not to exceed the market value of the common stock at the time of such purchase. Repurchases pursuant to our stock repurchase program could affect our stock price and increase the volatility of our common stock. The existence of a stock repurchase program could also potentially reduce the market liquidity for our stock. Although the stock repurchase program is intended to enhance long-term stockholder value, we cannot provide assurance that this will occur. The stock repurchase program may be suspended or terminated at any time, and we have no obligation to repurchase any amount of our common stock under the program.

There are inherent uncertainties involved in estimates, judgments and assumptions used in preparing financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Any changes in the estimates, judgments and assumptions we use could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

The consolidated financial statements included in the periodic reports we file with the SEC are prepared in accordance with GAAP. Preparing financial statements in accordance with GAAP involves making estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and income. Estimates, judgments and assumptions are inherently subject to change, and any such changes could result in corresponding changes to the reported amounts.

Changes in accounting standards issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) or other standard-setting bodies may adversely affect our financial statements.

Our financial statements are subject to the application of U.S. GAAP, which is periodically revised and/or expanded. Accordingly, from time-to-time we are required to adopt new or revised accounting standards issued by recognized authoritative bodies, including the FASB and the SEC. It is possible that future accounting standards we are required to adopt could change the current accounting treatment that we apply to our consolidated financial statements and that such changes could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Failure to maintain effective internal controls in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act could have material adverse effect on our business and stock price.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires us to evaluate annually the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting as of the end of each fiscal year and to include a management report assessing the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting in our Annual Report on Form 10-K . Section 404 also requires our independent registered public accounting firm to report on our internal controls over financial reporting. If we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal controls, we cannot assure you that we will be able to conclude in the future that we have effective internal controls over financial reporting. If we fail to maintain effective internal controls, we might be subject to sanctions or investigation by regulatory authorities, such as the Securities and Exchange Commission or NASDAQ. Any such action could adversely affect our financial results and the market price of our common stock and may also result in delayed filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Compliance with changing regulation of corporate governance and public disclosure could result in additional expenses.

Complying with changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including, among others, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and new SEC regulations, will require the Company to expend additional resources. We are committed to maintaining the highest standards of corporate governance and public disclosure. As a result, we may be required to continue to invest necessary resources to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards, and this investment could result in increased expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue-generating activities.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

In March 2010, we purchased a building in Port Washington, New York, which is the site of our global headquarters. We moved our corporate offices into this new building in April 2011. Our global headquarters consists of approximately 48,000 gross square feet and is subject to a mortgage, which at June 30, 2016, had an outstanding balance of \$2,960.

Since the closing of the Rising acquisition on December 31, 2010, the Company leases approximately 41,000 gross square feet of office space in Allendale, New Jersey. This lease expires in October 2017.

In November 2007, we purchased approximately 2,300 gross square meters of land along with 12,000 gross square feet of office space in Mumbai, India.

Arsynco owns a 12-acre parcel in Carlstadt, New Jersey.

In November 2004, we purchased approximately 1,300 gross square meters of office space located in Shanghai, China for our sales offices and investment purposes.

We also lease office space in Hamburg, Germany; Düsseldorf, Germany; Heemskerk, The Netherlands; Paris, France; Lyon, France, Singapore and the Philippines. These offices are used for sales and administrative purposes.

We believe that our properties are generally well maintained, in good condition and adequate for our present needs.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to various claims that have arisen in the normal course of business. We do not know what impact the final resolution of these matters will have on our results of operations in a particular reporting period.

In fiscal years 2011, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company received letters from the Pulvair Site Group, a group of potentially responsible parties (PRP Group) who are working with the State of Tennessee (the State) to remediate a contaminated property in Tennessee called the Pulvair site. The PRP Group has alleged that Aceto shipped hazardous substances to the site which were released into the environment. The State had begun administrative proceedings against the members of the PRP Group and Aceto with respect to the cleanup of the Pulvair site and the PRP Group has begun to undertake cleanup. The PRP Group is seeking a settlement of approximately \$1,700 from the Company for its share to remediate the site contamination. Although the Company acknowledges that it shipped materials to the site for formulation over twenty years ago, the Company believes that the evidence does not show that the hazardous materials sent by Aceto to the site have significantly contributed to the contamination of the environment and thus believes that, at most, it is a de minimis contributor to the site contamination. Accordingly, the Company believes that the settlement offer is unreasonable. Management believes that the ultimate outcome of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or liquidity.

In March 2006, Arsynco received notice from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) of its status as a PRP under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) for a site described as the Berry’s Creek Study Area (“BCSA”). Arsynco is one of over 150 PRPs which have potential liability for the required investigation and remediation of the site. The estimate of the potential liability is not quantifiable for a number of reasons, including the difficulty in determining the extent of contamination and the length of time remediation may require. In addition, any estimate of liability must also consider the number of other PRPs and their financial strength. In July 2014, Arsynco received notice from the U.S. Department of Interior (“USDOI”) regarding the USDOI’s intent to perform a Natural Resource Damage (NRD) Assessment at the BCSA. Arsynco has to date declined to participate in the development and performance of the NRD assessment process. Based on prior practice in similar situations, it is possible that the State may assert a claim for natural resource damages with respect to the Arsynco site itself, and either the federal government or the State (or both) may assert claims against Arsynco for natural resource damages in connection with Berry’s Creek; any such claim with respect to Berry’s Creek could also be asserted against the approximately 150 PRPs which the EPA has identified in connection with that site. Any claim for natural resource damages with respect to the Arsynco site itself may also be asserted against BASF, the former owner of the Arsynco property. In September 2012, Arsynco entered into an agreement with three of the other PRPs that had previously been impleaded into New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, et al. v. Occidental Chemical Corporation, et al., Docket No. ESX-L-9868-05 (the “NJDEP Litigation”) and were considering impleading Arsynco into the same proceeding. Arsynco entered into an agreement to avoid impleader. Pursuant to the agreement, Arsynco agreed to (1) a tolling period that would not be included when computing the running of any statute of limitations that might provide a defense to the NJDEP Litigation; (2) the waiver of certain issue preclusion defenses in the NJDEP Litigation; and (3) arbitration of certain potential future liability allocation claims if the other parties to the agreement are barred by a court of competent jurisdiction from proceeding against Arsynco. In July 2015, Arsynco was contacted by an allocation consultant retained by a group of the named PRPs, inviting Arsynco to participate in the allocation among the PRPs’ investigation and remediation costs relating to the BCSA. Arsynco declined that invitation. Since the amount of the liability cannot be reasonably estimated at this time, no accrual is recorded for these potential future costs. The impact of the resolution of this matter on the Company’s results of operations in a particular reporting period is not currently known.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market using the symbol "ACET." The following table states the fiscal year 2015 and 2016 high and low sales prices of our common stock as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market for the periods indicated.

	HIGH	LOW
FISCAL YEAR 2015		
First Quarter	\$22.75	\$16.52
Second Quarter	23.23	18.11
Third Quarter	22.64	19.21
Fourth Quarter	25.97	18.03
FISCAL YEAR 2016		
First Quarter	\$31.75	\$21.21
Second Quarter	32.20	24.27
Third Quarter	26.90	19.20
Fourth Quarter	24.84	20.00

Cash dividends of \$0.06 per common share were paid in September, December, March and June of fiscal years 2016, 2015 and 2014.

As of August 22, 2016, there were 248 holders of record of our common stock.

28,690,975 shares of our common stock were held by the nominee of the Depository Trust Company, the country's principal central depository. For purposes of determining the number of owners of our common stock, those shares are considered to be owned by one holder. Additional individual holdings in street name result in a sizable number of beneficial owners being represented on our records as owned by various banks and stockbrokers.

Performance Graph

The following graph compares on a cumulative basis the yearly percentage change, assuming dividend reinvestment, over the last five fiscal years in (a) the total shareholder return on our common stock with (b) the total return on the Standard & Poor's 500 Index, (c) the total return of our Prior Peer Group and (d) total return of our Current Peer Group. We have decided to change our peer group comparison to a new Peer Group (Current Peer Group) consisting of 14 companies selected by us to reflect our current business strategy of focusing more on the end market pharmaceutical space. The Current Peer group companies included: Albany Molecular Research, Inc., American Vanguard Corporation, Balchem Corporation, Cambrex Corporation, Impax Laboratories, Inc., Innophos Holdings, Inc., Innospec Inc., Lannett Company, Inc., Lawson Products, Inc., Medicines Company (The), Prestige Brand Holdings, Inc., Quaker Chemical Corporation, Sagent Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Usana Health Sciences, Inc. We believe that the companies included in the Current Peer Group are more comparable to Aceto on a combined basis by including more human health companies and fewer specialty chemical companies to reflect our continued strategy to become a Human Health oriented company. Going forward, we expect to include the Current Peer Group and not the Prior Peer Group.

The following graph assumes that \$100 had been invested in each of the Company, the Standard & Poor's 500 Index, the Prior Peer Group and the Current Peer Group on June 30, 2011. The stock price performance included in this graph is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

ASSUMES \$100 INVESTED ON JUNE 30, 2011

ASSUMES DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT

FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2016

	Aceto Corporation	S&P 500 Index	Prior Peer Group	Current Peer Group
June 30, 2011	100	100	100	100
June 30, 2012	138	105	110	106
June 30, 2013	218	127	140	139
June 30, 2014	287	158	164	175
June 30, 2015	394	170	188	217
June 30, 2016	354	177	182	192

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

(In thousands, except per-share amounts)

Fiscal years ended June 30,	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Net sales	\$558,524	\$546,951	\$510,179	\$499,690	\$444,388
Operating income	58,028	56,333	44,272	34,416	25,366
Net income	34,766	33,483	29,000	22,328	16,981
At year end					
Working capital	\$253,755	\$185,310	\$157,831	\$128,393	\$118,328
Total assets	540,778	489,774	467,984	323,430	299,280
Long-term liabilities (including long-term debt)	137,430	110,563	115,877	38,883	57,636
Shareholders' equity	304,442	254,211	233,584	194,640	168,003
Income per common share					
Basic income per common share from net income	\$1.19	\$1.17	\$1.04	\$0.83	\$0.64
Diluted income per common share from net income	\$1.18	\$1.14	\$1.02	\$0.81	\$0.63
Cash dividends per common share	\$0.24	\$0.24	\$0.24	\$0.22	\$0.20

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Executive Summary

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is intended to provide the readers of our financial statements with a narrative discussion about our business. The MD&A is provided as a supplement to and should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and the accompanying notes.

We are reporting net sales of \$558,524 for the year ended June 30, 2016, which represents a 2.1% increase from the \$546,951 reported in the comparable prior year. Gross profit for the year ended June 30, 2016 was \$142,785 and our gross margin was 25.6% as compared to gross profit of \$135,434 and gross margin of 24.8% in the comparable prior year. Our selling, general and administrative costs ("SG&A") for the year ended June 30, 2016 increased to \$76,820 from \$73,159 which we reported in the prior year. Our net income increased to \$34,766, or \$1.18 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$33,483, or \$1.14 per diluted share for the prior year.

Our financial position as of June 30, 2016, remains strong, as we had cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$67,709, working capital of \$253,755 and shareholders' equity of \$304,442.

Our business is separated into three principal segments: Human Health, Pharmaceutical Ingredients and Performance Chemicals.

Products that fall within the Human Health segment include finished dosage form generic drugs and nutraceutical products. Aceto sells niche generic prescription products and over-the-counter pharmaceutical products under the Rising label to leading wholesalers, chain drug stores, distributors and mass merchandisers. As part of our asset-light model, products are developed in collaboration with selected pharmaceutical development partners and with networks of finished dosage form manufacturing partners. Leveraging our extensive experience supplying active pharmaceutical ingredients and pharmaceutical intermediates, Aceto entered the end-user segment of the generic pharmaceuticals industry in 2010 through the acquisition of Rising, a U.S. marketer and distributor of finished dosage form generics founded in the early 1990s. To supplement our organic growth and further expand into the U.S. generic pharmaceuticals industry, Rising Pharmaceuticals acquired PACK Pharmaceuticals, a national marketer and distributor of generic prescription and over-the-counter pharmaceutical products, in April, 2014. During fiscal 2015, PACK was fully integrated with Rising and is now part of Rising's operations in New Jersey. Rising, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Aceto, is an integral component of Aceto's continued strategy to become a Human Health oriented company.

In September 2015, we purchased three ANDAs for the products Ciprofloxacin Ophthalmic Solution 3%, Levofloxacin Ophthalmic Solution 0.5%, and Diclofenac Sodium Ophthalmic Solution 0.1% from Nexus Pharmaceuticals. Also in September 2015, we purchased three ANDAs from a subsidiary of Endo International plc for the products Methimazole Tablets, Glycopyrrolate Tablets and Meclizine Tablets. In addition, in September 2014, we purchased three ANDAs from Par Pharmaceuticals, from which Dutasteride Softgel Capsules 0.5mg was launched in November 2015.

Aceto supplies the raw materials used in the production of nutritional and packaged dietary supplements, including vitamins, amino acids, iron compounds and biochemicals used in pharmaceutical and nutritional preparations.

The Pharmaceutical Ingredients segment has two product groups: Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs) and Pharmaceutical Intermediates.

We supply APIs to many of the major generic drug companies, who we believe view Aceto as a valued partner in their effort to develop and market generic drugs. The process of introducing a new API from pipeline to market spans a number of years and begins with Aceto partnering with a generic pharmaceutical manufacturer and jointly selecting an API, several years before the expiration of a composition of matter patent, for future genericizing. We then identify the appropriate supplier, and concurrently utilizing our global technical network, work to ensure they meet standards of quality to comply with regulations. Our client, the generic pharmaceutical company, will submit the Abbreviated New Drug Application (“ANDA”) for U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) approval or European-equivalent approval. The introduction of the API to market occurs after all the development testing has been completed and the ANDA or European-equivalent is approved and the patent expires or is deemed invalid. Aceto, at all times, has a pipeline of APIs at various stages of development both in the United States and Europe. Additionally, as the pressure to lower the overall cost of healthcare increases, Aceto has focused on, and works very closely with our customers to develop new API opportunities to provide alternative, more economical, second-source options for existing generic drugs. By leveraging our worldwide sourcing, regulatory and quality assurance capabilities, we provide to generic drug manufacturers an alternative, economical source for existing API products.

Aceto has long been a supplier of pharmaceutical intermediates, the complex chemical compounds that are the building blocks used in producing APIs. These are the critical components of all drugs, whether they are already on the market or currently undergoing clinical trials. Faced with significant economic pressures as well as ever-increasing regulatory barriers, the innovative drug companies look to Aceto as a source for high quality intermediates.

Aceto employs, on occasion, the same second source strategy for our pharmaceutical intermediates business that we use in our API business. Historically, pharmaceutical manufacturers have had one source for the intermediates needed to produce their products. Utilizing our global sourcing, regulatory support and quality assurance network, Aceto works with the large, global pharmaceutical companies, sourcing lower cost, quality pharmaceutical intermediates that

will meet the same high level standards that their current commercial products adhere to.

The Performance Chemicals segment includes specialty chemicals and agricultural protection products.

Aceto is a major supplier to many different industrial segments providing chemicals used in the manufacture of plastics, surface coatings, cosmetics and personal care, textiles, fuels and lubricants. The paint and coatings industry produces products that bring color, texture, and protection to houses, furniture, packaging, paper, and durable goods. Many of today's coatings are eco-friendly, by allowing inks and coatings to be cured by ultraviolet light instead of solvents, or allowing power coatings to be cured without solvents. These growing technologies are critical in protecting and enhancing the world's ecology and Aceto is focused on supplying the specialty additives that make modern coating techniques possible.

The chemistry that makes much of the modern world possible is often done by building up simple molecules to sophisticated compounds in step-by-step chemical processes. The products that are incorporated in each step are known as intermediates and they can be as varied as the end uses they serve, such as crop protection products, dyes and pigments, textiles, fuel additives, electronics - essentially all things chemical.

Aceto provides various specialty chemicals for the food, flavor, fragrance, paper and film industries. Aceto's raw materials are also used in sophisticated technology products, such as high-end electronic parts used for photo tooling, circuit boards, production of computer chips, and in the production of many of today's modern gadgets.

Aceto's agricultural protection products include herbicides, fungicides and insecticides, which control weed growth as well as the spread of insects and microorganisms that can severely damage plant growth. One of Aceto's most widely used agricultural protection products is a sprout inhibitor that extends the storage life of potatoes. Utilizing our global sourcing and regulatory capabilities, we identify and qualify manufacturers either producing the product or with knowledge of the chemistry necessary to produce the product, and then file an application with the U.S. EPA for a product registration. Aceto has an ongoing working relationship with manufacturers in China and India to determine which of the non-patented or generic, agricultural protection products they produce can be effectively marketed in the Western world. We have successfully brought numerous products to market. We have a strong pipeline, which includes future additions to our product portfolio. The combination of our global sourcing and regulatory capabilities makes the generic agricultural market a niche for us and we will continue to offer new product additions in this market.

We believe our main business strengths are sourcing, regulatory support, quality assurance and marketing and distribution. We distribute more than 1,100 chemical compounds used principally as finished products or raw materials in the pharmaceutical, nutraceutical, agricultural, coatings and industrial chemical industries. With business operations in ten countries, we believe that our global reach is distinctive in the industry, enabling us to source and supply quality products on a worldwide basis. Leveraging local professionals, we source more than two-thirds of our products from Asia, buying from approximately 500 companies in China and 200 in India.

In this MD&A, we explain our general financial condition and results of operations, including, among other things, the following:

- factors that affect our business
- our earnings and costs in the periods presented
- changes in earnings and costs between periods
- sources of earnings
- the impact of these factors on our overall financial condition

As you read this MD&A, refer to the accompanying consolidated statements of income, which present the results of our operations for the three years ended June 30, 2016. We analyze and explain the differences between periods in the specific line items of the consolidated statements of income.

Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies

This discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. In preparing

these financial statements, we were required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We regularly evaluate our estimates including those related to allowances for bad debts, partnered products, inventories, goodwill and indefinite-life intangible assets, long-lived assets, environmental and other contingencies, income taxes and stock-based compensation. We base our estimates on various factors, including historical experience, advice from outside subject-matter experts, and various assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, which together form the basis for our making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates. Since June 30, 2016, there have been no significant changes to the assumptions and estimates related to those critical accounting estimates and policies.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affected our more significant judgments and estimates used in preparing these consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from sales of any product when it is shipped and title and risk of loss pass to the customer. We have no acceptance or other post-shipment obligations and we do not offer product warranties or services to our customers.

Sales are recorded net of estimated returns of damaged goods from customers, which historically have been immaterial, and sales incentives offered to customers. Sales incentives include volume incentive rebates. We record volume incentive rebates based on the underlying revenue transactions that result in progress by the customer in earning the rebate.

The Company has arrangements with various third parties, such as drug store chains and managed care organizations, establishing prices for its finished dosage form generics. While these arrangements are made between Aceto and its customers, the customers independently select a wholesaler from which they purchase the products. Alternatively, certain wholesalers may enter into agreements with the customers, with the Company's concurrence, which establishes the pricing for certain products which the wholesalers provide. Upon each sale of finished dosage form generics, estimates of chargebacks, rebates, returns, government reimbursed rebates, sales discounts and other adjustments are made. These estimates are based on historical experience, future expectations, contractual arrangements with wholesalers and indirect customers, and other factors known to management at the time of accrual. These estimates are recorded as reductions to gross revenues, with corresponding adjustments either as a reduction of accounts receivable or as a liability for price concessions.

Under certain arrangements, Aceto will issue a credit (referred to as a “chargeback”) to the wholesaler for the difference between the invoice price to the wholesaler and the customer’s contract price. As sales to the large wholesale customers increase or decrease, the reserve for chargebacks will also generally increase or decrease. The provision for chargebacks varies in relation to changes in sales volume, product mix, pricing and the level of inventory at the wholesalers. The Company continually monitors the reserve for chargebacks and makes adjustments when management believes that expected chargebacks may differ from the actual chargeback reserve.

The Company estimates its provision for returns of finished dosage generics based on historical experience, product expiration dates, changes to business practices, credit terms and any extenuating circumstances known to management. While historical experience has allowed for reasonable estimations in the past, future returns may or may not follow historical trends. The Company continually monitors the reserve for returns and makes adjustments when management believes that actual product returns may differ from the established reserve. Generally, the reserve for returns increases as net sales increase.

Government rebate accruals are based on estimated payments due to governmental agencies for purchases made by third parties under various governmental programs. Other rebates are offered to the Company’s key chain drug store, distributor and wholesaler customers to promote customer loyalty and increase product sales. These rebate programs provide customers with credits upon attainment of pre-established volumes or attainment of net sales milestones for a specified period. Other promotional programs are incentive programs offered to the customers. The Company provides a provision for government reimbursed rebates and other rebates at the time of sale based on contracted rates and historical redemption rates. Assumptions used to establish the provision include level of customer inventories, contract sales mix and average contract pricing. Aceto regularly reviews the information related to these estimates and adjusts the provision accordingly.

Sales discount accruals are based on payment terms extended to customers.

Credits issued during a given period represent cash payments or credit memos issued to the Company’s customers as settlement for the related reserve. Management has the experience and access to relevant information that it believes is necessary to reasonably estimate the amounts of such deductions from gross revenues. The Company regularly reviews the information related to these estimates and adjusts its reserves accordingly, if and when actual experience differs from previous estimates.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts relating to estimated losses resulting from customers being unable to make required payments. Allowances for doubtful accounts are based on historical experience and known factors regarding specific customers and the industries in which those customers operate. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in their ability to make payments being impaired, additional allowances would be required.

Royalty Income

We have royalty agreements on certain products where third party pharmaceutical and agricultural protection companies market such products. We earn and collect royalty income based on percentages of net profits as defined in those agreements. Royalty income is included in net sales in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

Partnered Products

The Company has various products that are subject to one of two types of collaborative arrangements with certain pharmaceutical companies. One type of arrangement relates to the Company's Rising subsidiary acting strictly as a distributor and purchasing products at arm's length; in that type of arrangement, there is no profit sharing element. The second type of collaborative arrangement results in a profit sharing agreement between Rising and a developer and/or manufacturer of a finished dosage form generic drug. Both types of collaborative arrangements are conducted in the ordinary course of Rising's business. The nature and purpose of both of these arrangements is for the Company to act as a distributor of finished dose products to its customers. Under these arrangements, the Company maintains distribution rights with respect to specific drugs within the U.S. marketplace. Generally, the distribution rights are exclusive rights in the territory. In certain arrangements, Rising is required to maintain service level minimums including, but not limited to, market share and purchase levels, in order to preserve the exclusive rights. The Company's accounting policy with respect to these collaborative arrangements calls for the Company to present the sales and associated costs on a gross basis, with the amounts of the shared profits earned by the pharmaceutical companies on sales of these products, if applicable, included in cost of sales in the consolidated statements of income. The shared profits are settled on a quarterly basis. For each of the fiscal years 2016, 2015 and 2014, there was approximately \$41,036, \$51,352 and \$26,972 respectively, of shared profits included in cost of sales, related to these types of collaborative arrangements. In the case of a collaborative arrangement where Rising solely acts as a distributor and purchases product at arm's length, the costs of those purchases are included as a cost of sales similar to any other purchase arrangement.

Inventories

Inventories, which consist principally of finished goods, are stated at the lower of cost (first-in first-out method) or market. We write down our inventories for estimated excess and obsolete goods by an amount equal to the difference between the carrying cost of the inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. A significant sudden increase in demand for our products could result in a short-term increase in the cost of inventory purchases, while a significant decrease in demand could result in an increase in the excess inventory quantities on-hand. Additionally, we may overestimate or underestimate the demand for our products which would result in our understating or overstating, respectively, the write-down required for excess and obsolete inventory. Although we make every effort to ensure the accuracy of our forecasts of future product demand, any significant unanticipated changes in demand could have a significant impact on the value of our inventory and reported operating results.

Goodwill and Other Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets

Goodwill is calculated as the excess of the cost of purchased businesses over the value of their underlying net assets. Other indefinite-lived intangible assets principally consist of trademarks. Goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets are not amortized.

In accordance with GAAP, we test goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment on at least an annual basis. To determine the fair value of these intangible assets, we use many assumptions and estimates that directly impact the results of the testing. In making these assumptions and estimates, we use industry-accepted valuation models and appropriate market participant assumptions that are reviewed and approved by various levels of management. If our estimates or our related assumptions change in the future, we may be required to record impairment charges for these assets.

Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with GAAP, long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Identifiable intangible assets principally consist of customer relationships, product rights and related intangibles, EPA registrations and related data, patent license, and technology-based intangibles. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. Recoverability of assets held for sale is measured by comparing the carrying amount of the assets to their estimated fair value. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is

measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceed the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell.

Environmental and Other Contingencies

We establish accrued liabilities for environmental matters and other contingencies when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can reasonably be estimated. If the contingency is resolved for an amount greater or less than the accrual, or our share of the contingency increases or decreases, or other assumptions relevant to the development of the estimate were to change, we would recognize an additional expense or benefit in income in the period that the determination was made.

Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with GAAP. GAAP establishes financial accounting and reporting standards for the effects of income taxes that result from an enterprise's activities during the current and preceding years. It requires an asset-and-liability approach to financial accounting and reporting of income taxes.

As of June 30, 2016, we had current net deferred tax assets of \$3,244 and non-current net deferred tax assets of \$8,911. These net deferred tax assets have been recorded based on our projecting that we will have sufficient future earnings to realize these assets, and the net deferred tax assets have been provided for at currently enacted income tax rates. If we determine that we will not be able to realize a deferred tax asset, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset could result in a reduction of net income at that time.

Deferred taxes have not been provided for on the majority of undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries since substantially all of these earnings are expected to be indefinitely reinvested in our foreign operations. A deferred tax liability is recognized when we expect that we will recover those undistributed earnings in a taxable manner, such as through receipt of dividends or sale of the investments. The Company intends to indefinitely reinvest any undistributed earnings and has no plan for further repatriation. Determination of the amount of the unrecognized U.S. income tax liability on undistributed earnings is not practical because of the complexities of the hypothetical calculation. In addition, we believe unrecognized foreign tax credit carryforwards would be available to reduce a portion of such U.S. tax liability.

Stock-based Compensation

In accordance with GAAP, we are required to record the fair value of stock-based compensation awards as an expense. All restricted stock grants include a service requirement for vesting. We have also granted restricted stock units that include either a performance or market condition. The fair value of restricted stock unit with either solely a service requirement or with the combination of service and performance requirements is based on the closing fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. The fair value of market condition-based awards is estimated at the date of grant using a binomial lattice model or Monte Carlo Simulation. All models incorporate various assumptions such as the risk-free interest rate, expected volatility, expected dividend yield and expected life of the awards. Share-based compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the service period or over our best estimate of the period over which the performance condition will be met, as applicable.

Results of Operations

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Net Sales by Segment

Year ended June 30,

Segment	2016		2015		Comparison 2016 Over/(Under) 2015		%
	Net sales	% of Total	Net sales	% of Total	\$ Change	% Change	
Human Health	\$228,035	40.8 %	\$225,263	41.2 %	\$2,772	1.2	%
Pharmaceutical Ingredients	161,011	28.8	149,296	27.3	11,715	7.8	
Performance Chemicals	169,478	30.4	172,392	31.5	(2,914)	(1.7)	
Net sales	\$558,524	100.0%	\$546,951	100.0%	\$11,573	2.1	%

Gross Profit by Segment

Year ended June 30,

Segment	2016		2015		Comparison 2016 Over/(Under) 2015		%
	Gross Profit	% of Sales	Gross Profit	% of Sales	\$ Change	% Change	
Human Health	\$77,880	34.2 %	\$75,749	33.6 %	\$2,131	2.8	%
Pharmaceutical Ingredients	28,752	17.9	26,683	17.9	2,069	7.8	
Performance Chemicals	36,153	21.3	33,002	19.1	3,151	9.5	
Gross profit	\$142,785	25.6 %	\$135,434	24.8 %	\$7,351	5.4	%

Net Sales

Net sales increased \$11,573 or 2.1%, to \$558,524 for the year ended June 30, 2016, compared with \$546,951 for the prior year. We reported sales increases in our Human Health and Pharmaceutical Ingredients segments and a decrease in the Performance Chemicals segment.

Human Health

Products that fall within the Human Health segment include finished dosage form generic drugs and nutraceutical products. Net sales for the Human Health segment increased by \$2,772 for the year ended June 30, 2016, to \$228,035, which represents a 1.2% increase over net sales of \$225,263 for the prior year, largely due to an increase in sales of Rising products of \$2,951. The increase in Rising sales was primarily driven by price increases experienced in the prior year on certain products, partially offset by increased competition on certain products in our generic drugs portfolio.

Pharmaceutical Ingredients

Net sales for the Pharmaceutical Ingredients segment increased by \$11,715 for the year ended June 30, 2016, to \$161,011, which represents a 7.8% increase from net sales of \$149,296 for the prior year. The increase in sales for this segment was due in part to a \$14,479 rise in sales volume of APIs sold abroad, specifically by our Singapore and German operations. This increase was partially offset by a decline of \$3,560 in sales of intermediates, which represent key components used in the manufacture of certain drug products. The primary reasons for the decline in intermediates was a reduction of demand and a delay in timing of orders for several products that are sold domestically, the majority of which are expected to be realized in future quarters.

Performance Chemicals

Net sales for the Performance Chemicals segment decreased to \$169,478 for the year ended June 30, 2016, representing a decrease of \$2,914 or 1.7%, from net sales of \$172,392 for the prior year. The primary reason for the decrease in net sales for Performance Chemicals was a decline of \$13,775 in domestic sales of products sold by our Specialty Chemicals business. This decrease in domestic specialty chemicals sales includes an \$8,833 drop in sales of agricultural, dye, pigment and miscellaneous intermediates, as well as a \$1,553 decline in sales of polymer additives and a \$1,915 decrease in products sold to the food, beverage and cosmetic industries. In addition, overall sales of

Specialty Chemicals are down due to the government devaluation of the Chinese Renminbi, as well as the severe drop in oil prices, resulting in reduced customer pricing. The decreases in the Specialty Chemicals business are partially offset by an increase of \$8,941 in sales of our agricultural protection products, predominantly from an increase in sales of a wide-range insecticide that is used on various crops including cereals, citrus, cotton, grapes, ornamental grasses and vegetables, as well as an increase in sales volume of our sprout inhibitor products, which extends the storage life of potatoes and an herbicide used to control sedge on rice.

Gross Profit

Gross profit increased \$7,351 or 5.4% to \$142,785 (25.6% of net sales) for the year ended June 30, 2016, as compared to \$135,434 (24.8% of net sales) for the prior year.

Human Health

Human Health segment's gross profit of \$77,880 for the year ended June 30, 2016 increased \$2,131, or 2.8%, over the prior year. The gross margin of 34.2% was higher than the prior year's gross margin of 33.6%. The increase in gross profit and gross margin in the Human Health segment predominantly relates to price increases experienced in the prior year on certain Rising products. Overall, our Human Health segment has experienced gross profit pressure, including increased chargebacks, from the consolidation of wholesalers with retail drug chains. We expect the overall trend will persist, but Aceto will continue to defend its price position.

Pharmaceutical Ingredients

Gross profit for the year ended June 30, 2016 for the Pharmaceutical Ingredients business increased by \$2,069 or 7.8% over the prior year. The gross margin of 17.9% was unchanged from the prior year. The increase in gross profit is predominantly the result of the increase in the sales volume of APIs sold abroad, specifically by our Singapore and German operations, as well as favorable product mix on sales of domestic APIs.

Performance Chemicals

Gross profit for the Performance Chemicals segment increased to \$36,153 for the year ended June 30, 2016, versus \$33,002 for the prior year, an increase of \$3,151, or 9.5%. The gross margin at 21.3% for the year ended June 30, 2016 was also higher than the prior year's gross margin of 19.1%. The increase in gross profit is due to \$2,292 rise in gross profit for the Agricultural Protection Products business, primarily due to increased sales volume of a wide-range insecticide that is used on various crops, a sprout inhibitor that extends the storage life of potatoes, as well as an herbicide used to control sedge on rice. The Performance Chemicals segment also experienced favorable gross margin impact in the Specialty Chemicals business resulting in overall increased gross profit of \$859, due to a decline in sales of lower margin products, as well as \$376 of duty refunds related to the Generalized System of Preferences, a tariff system which expired in July 2013 and was not renewed until July 2015. In addition, both gross profit and gross margin of the Specialty Chemicals business were favorably impacted by the overall decline in costs of products sourced from China, due to the devaluation of the Chinese Renminbi.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

SG&A increased \$3,661, or 5.0%, to \$76,820 for the year ended June 30, 2016 compared to \$73,159 for the prior year. As a percentage of sales, SG&A increased from 13.4% to 13.8% for the year ended June 30, 2016 versus the prior year. The increase in SG&A is primarily due to increased stock-based compensation expense of \$2,182. SG&A for the current year also included \$1,213 of transaction costs related to a potential acquisition of a target company that we evaluated during the year but ultimately determined not to pursue, as well as \$1,313 environmental remediation charge related to Arsynco. These increases in SG&A were offset in part by \$833 reversal of contingent consideration related to the PACK acquisition and \$241 reversal of contingent consideration related to the acquisition of a company in France, due to management's evaluation and assessment of the potential earnout amounts defined in the purchase agreements. SG&A for the prior year included \$1,618 environmental remediation charge related to Arsynco and \$3,468 reversal of contingent consideration related to the PACK acquisition.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses ("R&D") increased \$1,995 or 33.6% to \$7,937 for the year ended June 30, 2016 compared to \$5,942 for the prior year. R&D expenses represent investment in our generic finished dosage form product pipeline, which includes both Rising and PACK products. The majority of the R&D expenses are milestone based, which will likely cause fluctuation from quarter to quarter.

Operating Income

Fiscal 2016 operating income was \$58,028 compared to \$56,333 in the prior year, an increase of \$1,695 or 3.0%.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$6,997 for the year ended June 30, 2016, an increase of \$3,043 from the prior year. The increase is primarily due to a \$420 payment associated with the termination of an interest rate swap, as well as \$2,974 amortization of the debt discount associated with the offering of Convertible Senior Notes.

Interest and Other Income, Net

Interest and other income, net was \$2,823 for the year ended June 30, 2016, an increase of \$1,337 from the prior year, primarily due to decreases in unrealized foreign exchange losses as well as an increase in income related to a joint venture for one of our agricultural protection products. For the year ended June 30, 2015, we experienced unrealized foreign exchange losses resulting from mark-to-market valuation of foreign currency futures contracts and the strong U.S. dollar compared to the Euro.

Provision for Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the year ended June 30, 2016 decreased to 35.4% compared to 37.8% for the prior year. The decrease in the effective tax rate was due to the mix of profits from the lower tax rate jurisdictions of Europe and Asia compared to the Federal tax rate in the United States as well as a change in the business allocation percentages in certain states in the U.S.

Results of Operations

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014

Net Sales by Segment

Year ended June 30,

Segment	2015		2014		Comparison 2015 Over/(Under) 2014	
	Net sales	% of Total	Net sales	% of Total	\$ Change	% Change
Human Health	\$225,263	41.2 %	\$160,217	31.4 %	\$65,046	40.6 %
Pharmaceutical Ingredients	149,296	27.3	176,425	34.6	(27,129)	(15.4)
Performance Chemicals	172,392	31.5	173,537	34.0	(1,145)	(0.7)
Net sales	\$546,951	100.0%	\$510,179	100.0%	\$36,772	7.2 %

Gross Profit by Segment

Year ended June 30,

Segment	2015		2014		Comparison 2015 Over/(Under) 2014	
	Gross Profit	% of Sales	Gross Profit	% of Sales	\$ Change	% Change
Human Health	\$75,749	33.6 %	\$48,496	30.3 %	\$27,253	56.2 %
Pharmaceutical Ingredients	26,683	17.9	36,615	20.8	(9,932)	(27.1)
Performance Chemicals	33,002	19.1	29,592	17.1	3,410	11.5
Gross profit	\$135,434	24.8 %	\$114,703	22.5 %	\$20,731	18.1 %

Net Sales

Net sales increased \$36,772, or 7.2%, to \$546,951 for the year ended June 30, 2015, compared with \$510,179 for the prior year. We reported sales increases in our Human Health business while our Performance Chemicals and Pharmaceutical Ingredients business segments declined from the prior year.

Human Health

Products that fall within the Human Health segment include finished dosage form generic drugs and nutraceutical products. Net sales for the Human Health segment increased by \$65,046 for the year ended June 30, 2015, to \$225,263, which represents a 40.6% increase over net sales of \$160,217 for the prior year, largely driven by an increase in sales of Rising products of \$80,919 due to the PACK acquisition, as well as new generic product launches during the past two years and price increases on certain products. In addition, net sales were favorably impacted by a change in estimate for product returns due to the most recent returns experience. On April 30, 2014, Rising acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding membership interests of PACK, which is included in our Human Health segment. This increase was offset by a \$15,873 decline in sales of nutritional products, sold both domestically and abroad due to soft reorders resulting from high customer inventory levels, as well as increased competition. Our nutritional business also saw a decline of \$2,264 in royalty income for the year ended June 30, 2015 on the sale of certain proprietary ingredients.

Pharmaceutical Ingredients

Net sales for the Pharmaceutical Ingredients segment decreased by \$27,129 for the year ended June 30, 2015, to \$149,296, which represents a 15.4% decrease from net sales of \$176,425 for the prior year. The primary reason for the decrease was due to a decline in sales of domestic APIs due to large reorders of a customer-launched API that occurred in the first and second quarters of fiscal 2014. Although we had two small orders for this product in fiscal 2015, the customer's market success will ultimately dictate our on-going success with respect to this product; therefore we did not expect to see the same volume of business in fiscal 2015 as we did in fiscal 2014 for this product. In addition, domestic sales of APIs decreased due to a drop in reorders of two existing products. International sales of pharmaceutical ingredient products declined by \$8,476 primarily due to an unfavorable impact from the strong U.S. dollar compared to the Euro. Of our three business segments, the Pharmaceutical Ingredients business has the largest proportion of its business in the Euro zone.

Performance Chemicals

Net sales for the Performance Chemicals segment remained relatively flat at \$172,392 for the year ended June 30, 2015, representing a decrease of \$1,145 or 0.7%, from net sales of \$173,537 for the prior year.

Gross Profit

Gross profit increased \$20,731 or 18.1% to \$135,434 (24.8% of net sales) for the year ended June 30, 2015, as compared to \$114,703 (22.5% of net sales) for the prior year.

Human Health

Human Health segment's gross profit of \$75,749 for the year ended June 30, 2015 increased \$27,253, or 56.2%, over the prior year. The gross margin of 33.6% was higher than the prior year's gross margin of 30.3%. The increase in gross profit and gross margin in the Human Health segment related to the addition of PACK, the acquisition that occurred on April 30, 2014, sales volume increase related to product launches that occurred in the past two years and price increases on certain products. This increase was offset by a decline in gross profit on nutritional products attributable to the related sales volume decrease, as well as a drop in royalty income. In addition, wholesalers and retail drug chains have undergone significant consolidation, therefore gross margin in our generic business has been adversely affected by this consolidation in the industry.

Pharmaceutical Ingredients

Gross profit for the year ended June 30, 2015 for the Pharmaceutical Ingredients business decreased by \$9,932 or 27.1% over the prior year. The gross margin of 17.9% was also lower than the prior year's gross margin of 20.8%. The decrease in both gross profit and gross margin was predominantly the result of the decline in the sales volume of reorders of a certain API, which typically yields a significantly higher gross margin.

Performance Chemicals

Gross profit for the Performance Chemicals segment increased to \$33,002 for the year ended June 30, 2015, versus \$29,592 for the prior year, an increase of \$3,410, or 11.5%. The gross margin at 19.1% for the year ended June 30, 2015 was also higher than the prior year's gross margin of 17.1%. The increase in gross profit and gross margin was primarily due to increased sales volume of agricultural, dye, pigment and miscellaneous intermediates as well as a favorable product mix on these specialty chemical items. In addition, the rise in gross profit and gross margin was due to a fungicide used to prevent disease on pecan crops, which is sold by our agricultural protection products business.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

SG&A increased \$7,950, or 12.2%, to \$73,159 for the year ended June 30, 2015 compared to \$65,209 for the prior year. As a percentage of sales, SG&A increased from 12.8% to 13.4% for the year ended June 30, 2015 versus the prior year. On April 30, 2014, Rising acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding membership interests of PACK, thus we had approximately \$10,158 of SG&A related to PACK during the year ended June 30, 2015, of which \$4,790 of amortization expense related to acquired intangible assets, compared to \$2,352 of SG&A for PACK in the prior year. In addition, we recorded \$350 related to the UPL litigation settlement, as well as \$1,618 environmental remediation charge related to Arsynco and \$612 for separation and relocation costs during the year ended June 30, 2015. SG&A also included \$3,468 reversal of contingent consideration related to the PACK acquisition. There was also a rise in SG&A due to increased payroll and fringe benefits due to additional hiring and annual salary increases and increased stock-based compensation expense. The SG&A for the prior year included \$1,874 of transaction costs related to acquisitions, which did not occur in fiscal 2015.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses ("R&D") increased \$720 or 13.8% to \$5,942 for the year ended June 30, 2015 compared to \$5,222 for the prior year. R&D expenses represent investment in our generic finished dosage form product pipeline, which includes both Rising and PACK products. The majority of the R&D expenses are milestone based, which will likely cause fluctuation from quarter to quarter.

Operating Income

Fiscal 2015 operating income was \$56,333 compared to \$44,272 in the prior year, an increase of \$12,061 or 27.2%.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$3,954 for the year ended June 30, 2015, an increase of \$1,854 from the prior year. The increase was primarily due to higher average loan balance outstanding during the year ended June 30, 2015, pursuant to the Credit Agreement entered into in connection with the purchase of PACK.

Interest and Other Income, Net

Interest and other income, net was \$1,486 for the year ended June 30, 2015, a decrease of \$1,016 from the prior year, primarily due to increases in unrealized foreign exchange losses resulting from mark-to-market valuation of foreign currency futures contracts and the strong U.S. dollar compared to the Euro.

Provision for Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the year ended June 30, 2015 increased to 37.8% compared to 35.1% for the prior year. The increase in the effective tax rate was due to the mix of profits from the higher tax rate jurisdiction of the United States compared to Europe in fiscal 2015.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flows

At June 30, 2016, we had \$66,828 in cash, of which \$39,473 was outside the United States, \$881 in short-term investments, all of which is held outside the United States and \$118,789 in long-term debt (including the current portion), all of which is an obligation in the United States. Working capital was \$253,755 at June 30, 2016 compared to \$185,310 at June 30, 2015. The \$39,473 of cash held outside of the United States is fully accessible to meet any liquidity needs of the countries in which we operate. The cash located outside of the United States can be transferred into the United States. Although these amounts are fully accessible, transferring these amounts into the United States or any other countries could have certain tax consequences. A deferred tax liability will be recognized when we expect that we will recover undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries in a taxable manner, such as through receipt of dividends or sale of the investments. We intend to indefinitely reinvest these undistributed earnings and have no plan for further repatriation. A portion of our cash is held in operating accounts that are with third party financial institutions. While we monitor daily the cash balances in our operating accounts and adjust the cash balances as appropriate, these cash balances could be impacted if the underlying financial institutions fail or are subject to other adverse conditions in the financial markets. To date, we have experienced no loss or lack of access to cash in our operating accounts.

Our cash position at June 30, 2016 increased \$32,808 from the amount at June 30, 2015. Operating activities for the year ended June 30, 2016 provided cash of \$31,831 for this period, as compared to cash provided of \$8,343 for the prior year. The \$31,831 resulted from \$34,766 in net income and \$21,150 derived from adjustments for non-cash items less a net \$24,085 decrease from changes in operating assets and liabilities. The non-cash items included \$12,698 in depreciation and amortization expense, \$2,060 of earnings on an equity investment in a joint venture, \$18 for deferred income taxes, \$3,496 for amortization of debt issuance costs and debt discount, \$1,074 reversal of contingent consideration, \$1,313 environmental remediation charge related to Arsynco and \$6,719 in non-cash stock compensation expense. Trade accounts receivable increased \$6,149 during the year ended June 30, 2016, due predominantly to an increase in days sales outstanding, particularly at our Rising subsidiary, whose customers typically yield a longer payment term due to industry standards and recent consolidation of wholesalers and retail drug chains. Inventories increased by \$2,489 and accounts payable decreased by \$8,937 due primarily to increased inventories held in stock by our Agricultural Protection Products subsidiary as a result of a delay in sales of a fungicide used to prevent disease on pecan crops expected to be shipped in the first quarter of fiscal 2017 and a build-up of inventory at our Rising subsidiary for both new and existing products. Accrued expenses and other liabilities decreased \$7,689 due primarily to a decline in price concessions for our Rising subsidiary and timing of income tax payments for international tax jurisdictions.

Our cash position at June 30, 2015 decreased \$8,877 from the amount at June 30, 2014. Operating activities for the year ended June 30, 2015 provided cash of \$8,343 for this period, as compared to cash provided of \$25,056 for the comparable period. The \$8,343 was comprised of \$33,483 in net income and \$11,385 derived from adjustments for

non-cash items less a net \$36,525 decrease from changes in operating assets and liabilities. The non-cash items included \$11,849 in depreciation and amortization expense, \$3,468 reversal of contingent consideration in connection with the PACK acquisition, \$1,761 of earnings on an equity investment in a joint venture, \$1,874 for deferred income taxes, \$1,618 environmental remediation charge related to Arsynco and \$4,537 in non-cash stock compensation expense. Trade accounts receivable increased \$44,181 during the year ended June 30, 2015, predominantly due to an increase in sales from the fourth quarter of 2014 of Rising products, which typically yield a longer payment term due to industry standards and recent consolidation of wholesalers and retail drug chains, as well as the addition of PACK, which historically has had longer payment terms, causing an increase in days sales outstanding. Other receivables increased \$5,644 due primarily to the timing of domestic income taxes paid as we were anticipating a tax refund of U.S. income taxes at that time, as well as remediation activity with BASF in connection with Arsynco and increase in value added taxes receivables for our France subsidiary. Accounts payable increased by \$8,133 due to timing of payments processed at the end of the year. Accrued expenses and other liabilities increased \$1,816 primarily due to an increase in price concessions and partnered products liabilities related to increased sales from Rising. This increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities was offset by timing of income tax payments. Distributions from a joint venture provided cash of \$2,022. Our cash position at June 30, 2014 increased \$9,666 from the amount at June 30, 2013. Operating activities for the year ended June 30, 2014 provided cash of \$25,056 for this period, as compared to cash provided of \$25,476 for the comparable 2013 period. The \$25,056 was comprised of \$29,000 in net income and \$6,148 derived from adjustments for non-cash items less a net \$10,092 decrease from changes in operating assets and liabilities.

Investing activities for the year ended June 30, 2016 used cash of \$9,894. This use of cash reflects purchases of intangible assets and property and equipment of \$12,377, partially offset by sales of investments in time deposits of \$2,517. In September 2015, we purchased three ANDAs for the products Ciprofloxacin Ophthalmic Solution 3%, Levofloxacin Ophthalmic Solution 0.5%, and Diclofenac Sodium Ophthalmic Solution 0.1% from Nexus Pharmaceuticals. Also in September 2015, we purchased three ANDAs from a subsidiary of Endo International plc for the products Methimazole Tablets, Glycopyrrolate Tablets and Meclizine Tablets. In addition, in September 2014, we purchased three ANDAs from Par Pharmaceuticals, from which Dutasteride Softgel Capsules 0.5mg was launched in November 2015. Investing activities for the year ended June 30, 2015 used cash of \$4,901 for purchases of property and equipment, intangible assets and investments. Investing activities for the year ended June 30, 2014 used cash of \$86,633, primarily from \$86,140 of payments for net assets of businesses acquired and \$1,891 for purchases of property and equipment and intangible assets. This use of cash was partially offset by cash received of \$1,506 from the sale of investments.

Financing activities for the year ended June 30, 2016 provided cash of \$10,855. In November 2015, we offered \$143,750 of 2% convertible senior notes due 2020 in a private offering. In conjunction with the issuing of the notes, we paid \$5,153 for debt issuance costs, purchased a hedge for \$27,174 and received \$13,685 in proceeds from the sale of warrants. In addition, as a direct result of the convertible debt offering, we repaid \$122,697 of bank borrowings. Financing activities also included \$1,500 payment of contingent consideration to the former owners of Rising, bank borrowings of \$15,500, \$420 payment for terminating an interest rate swap, \$7,084 payment of cash dividends and \$1,219 of excess income tax benefits on stock option exercises and restricted stock. Financing activities for the year ended June 30, 2015 used cash of \$8,245 primarily from \$14,344 of repayment of bank borrowings, \$6,964 payment of cash dividends, \$4,500 payment of contingent consideration to the former owners of Rising, as well as \$3,500 deferred consideration paid to these former owners. This use of cash was offset by bank borrowings of \$19,000, proceeds of \$1,273 received from the exercise of stock options and \$790 of excess income tax benefit on stock option exercises and restricted stock. Financing activities for the year ended June 30, 2014 provided cash of \$70,533 primarily from bank borrowings of \$114,145, proceeds of \$3,655 received from the exercise of stock options and \$1,752 of excess income tax benefit on stock option exercises and restricted stock. This was offset by the use of cash of \$40,713 for the repayment of bank borrowings, \$1,500 of deferred consideration to the sellers of Rising and \$6,806 payment of cash dividends.

Credit Facilities

We have available credit facilities with certain foreign financial institutions. At June 30, 2016, the Company had available lines of credit with foreign financial institutions totaling \$7,397, all of which is available for borrowing by the respective foreign territories. We are not subject to any financial covenants under these arrangements.

On October 28, 2015, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the “A&R Credit Agreement”), which amended and restated in its entirety the Credit Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2014 with three domestic financial institutions, as amended on June 25, 2015 by Amendment No. 1 to the Credit Agreement (together, the “First Amended Credit Agreement”). The A&R Credit Agreement increases the aggregate available revolving commitment under the First Amended Credit Agreement from \$75,000 to an initial aggregate available revolving commitment of \$150,000 (the “Initial Revolving Commitment”), which may be increased in accordance with the terms and conditions of the A&R Credit Agreement by an aggregate amount not to exceed \$100,000 (the “Expansion Commitment” and, together with the Initial Revolving Commitment, the “Revolving Commitment”). Under the A&R Credit Agreement, the Company may borrow, repay and reborrow loans up to the Revolving Commitment from and as of October 28, 2015, to but excluding the earlier of October 28, 2020 and the termination of the Revolving Commitment, in amounts up to, but not exceeding at any one time, the Revolving Commitment. The A&R Credit Agreement does not provide for any term loan commitment. The proceeds from initial borrowings under the A&R Credit Agreement have been used to repay all amounts outstanding pursuant to the term loan commitment and revolving loan commitment under Aceto’s First Amended Credit Agreement. The proceeds from the issuance of the Notes were used to pay initial borrowings under the A&R Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2016, there were no amounts outstanding under the A&R Credit Agreement.

The A&R Credit Agreement provides for (i) Eurodollar Loans (as such term is defined in the A&R Credit Agreement), (ii) ABR Loans (as such term is defined in the A&R Credit Agreement) or (iii) a combination thereof. Borrowings under the A&R Credit Agreement will bear interest per annum at a base rate or, at the Company's option, LIBOR, plus an applicable margin ranging from 0.00% to 0.75% in the case of ABR Loans, and 1.00% to 1.75% in the case of Eurodollar Loans. The applicable interest rate margin percentage will be determined by the Company's senior secured net leverage ratio.

The A&R Credit Agreement, similar to Aceto's First Amended Credit Agreement, provides that commercial letters of credit shall be issued to provide the primary payment mechanism in connection with the purchase of any materials, goods or services in the ordinary course of business. The Company had open letters of credit of approximately \$0 and \$21 at June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015 respectively.

The A&R Credit Agreement, like Aceto's First Amended Credit Agreement, provides for a security interest in substantially all of the personal property of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries. The A&R Credit Agreement contains several financial covenants including, among other things, maintaining a minimum level of debt service. Under the A&R Credit Agreement, the Company and its subsidiaries are also subject to certain restrictive covenants, including, among other things, covenants governing liens, limitations on indebtedness, limitations on guarantees, limitations on sales of assets and sales of receivables, and limitations on loans and investments. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at June 30, 2016.

Working Capital Outlook

Working capital was \$253,755 at June 30, 2016, compared to \$185,310 at June 30, 2015. We continually evaluate possible acquisitions of or investments in businesses that are complementary to our own, and such transactions may require the use of cash.

In October 2015, we filed a universal shelf registration statement with the SEC, which is now effective, to allow us to potentially offer an indeterminate principal amount and number of securities in the future with a proposed maximum aggregate offering price of up to \$200,000. Under the shelf registration statement, we will have the flexibility to publicly offer and sell from time to time common stock, debt securities, preferred stock, warrants and units or any combination of such securities.

In November 2015, we offered \$125,000 aggregate principal amount of 2% Convertible Senior Notes due 2020 in a private offering to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. In addition, we granted the initial purchasers for the offering an option to purchase up to an additional \$18,750 aggregate principal amount pursuant to the initial purchasers' option to purchase additional notes, which was exercised in November 2015. Therefore the total offering was \$143,750 aggregate principal amount. The remaining net proceeds received from the offering, after paying down our credit facilities and costs associated with the offering and a related hedge transaction, were or will be used for general corporate purposes, increasing working capital and funding capital expenditures.

In connection with our agricultural protection business, we plan to continue to acquire product registrations and related data filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency as well as make payments to various task force groups, which could approximate \$1,802 through fiscal 2017.

In connection with our environmental remediation obligation for Arsynco, we anticipate paying \$9,180 towards remediation of the property in fiscal 2017.

We believe that our cash, other liquid assets, operating cash flows, borrowing capacity and access to the equity capital markets, taken together, provide adequate resources to fund ongoing operating expenditures, the repayment of our Notes and bank loans and the anticipated continuation of cash dividends for the next twelve months.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Commitments and Contingencies

We have no material financial commitments other than those under bank borrowings, convertible debt, operating lease agreements, letters of credit and unconditional purchase obligations. We have certain contractual cash obligations and other commercial commitments that will affect our short and long-term liquidity. At June 30, 2016, we had no significant obligations for capital expenditures.

At June 30, 2016, contractual cash obligations and other commercial commitments were as follows:

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due and/or Amount of Commitment (Expiration per Period)				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	After 5 years
Long-term debt obligations (a)	\$146,710	\$197	\$394	\$146,119	\$ -
Interest on long term debt obligations (b)	12,458	2,875	5,750	3,833	-
Operating leases	2,745	1,419	1,254	72	-
Standby letters of credit	1,758	1,758	-	-	-
Unconditional purchase obligations	77,367	77,367	-	-	-
Total	\$241,038	\$83,616	\$7,398	\$150,024	\$ -

(a) Long-term debt obligations includes Convertible Senior Notes due November 2020 and assumes that no notes are converted prior to the November 1, 2020 maturity date. (See Note 9, Debt, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.).

(b) Represents 2% interest due semi-annually on our Convertible Senior Notes due November 2020 and assumes all interest is paid and the notes are not converted prior to the November 1, 2020 due date. This amount could change if any noteholders convert their notes prior to the due date.

Other significant commitments and contingencies include the following:

1. A subsidiary of ours markets certain agricultural protection products which are subject to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). FIFRA requires that test data be provided to the EPA to register, obtain and maintain approved labels for pesticide products. The EPA requires that follow-on registrants of these products compensate the initial registrant for the cost of producing the necessary test data on a basis prescribed in the FIFRA regulations. Follow-on registrants do not themselves generate or contract for the data. However, when FIFRA

requirements mandate that new test data be generated to enable all registrants to continue marketing a pesticide product, often both the initial and follow-on registrants establish a task force to jointly undertake the testing effort. We are presently a member of several such task force groups, which requires payments for such memberships. In addition, in connection with our agricultural protection business, we plan to acquire product registrations and related data filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency to support such registrations and other supporting data for several products. The acquisition of these product registrations and related data filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency as well as payments to various task force groups could approximate \$1,802 through fiscal 2017, of which \$0 has been accrued as of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015.

We, together with our subsidiaries are subject to various claims which have arisen in the normal course of business. We provide for costs related to contingencies when a loss from such claims is probable and the amount is reasonably determinable. In determining whether it is possible to provide an estimate of loss, or range of possible loss, we review and evaluate our litigation and regulatory matters on a quarterly basis in light of potentially relevant factual and legal developments. If we determine an unfavorable outcome is not probable or reasonably estimable, we do not accrue for a potential litigation loss. While we have determined that there is a reasonable possibility that a loss has been incurred, no amounts have been recognized in the financial statements, other than what has been discussed below, because the amount of the liability cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

The Company has environmental remediation obligations in connection with Arsynco, Inc. (“Arsynco”), a subsidiary formerly involved in manufacturing chemicals located in Carlstadt, New Jersey, which was closed in 1993 and is currently held for sale. Based on continued monitoring of the contamination at the site and the approved plan of remediation, Arsynco received an estimate from an environmental consultant stating that the costs of remediation could be between \$19,400 and \$21,200. Remediation commenced in fiscal 2010, and as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, a liability of \$12,532 and \$11,079, respectively, is included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets for this matter. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2016, \$1,313 environmental remediation charge was recorded and³ included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statement of income. In accordance with GAAP, management believes that the majority of costs incurred to remediate the site will be capitalized in preparing the property which is currently classified as held for sale. An appraisal of the fair value of the property by a third-party appraiser supports the assumption that the expected fair value after the remediation is in excess of the amount required to be capitalized. However, these matters, if resolved in a manner different from those assumed in current estimates, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, operating results and cash flows when resolved in a future reporting period.

In connection with the environmental remediation obligation for Arsynco, in July 2009, Arsynco entered into a settlement agreement with BASF Corporation (“BASF”), the former owners of the Arsynco property. In accordance with the settlement agreement, BASF paid for a portion of the prior remediation costs and going forward, will co-remediate the property with the Company. The contract requires that BASF pay \$550 related to past response costs and pay a proportionate share of the future remediation costs. Accordingly, the Company had recorded a gain of \$550 in fiscal 2009. This \$550 gain relates to the partial reimbursement of costs of approximately \$1,200 that the Company had previously expensed. The Company also recorded an additional receivable from BASF, with an offset against property held for sale, representing its estimated portion of the future remediation costs. The balance of this receivable for future remediation costs as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 is \$5,639 and \$4,985, respectively, which is included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

4. In March 2006, Arsynco received notice from the EPA of its status as a PRP under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) for a site described as the Berry’s Creek Study Area (“BCSA”). Arsynco is one of over 150 PRPs which have potential liability for the required investigation and remediation of the site. The estimate of the potential liability is not quantifiable for a number of reasons, including the difficulty in determining the extent of contamination and the length of time remediation may require. In addition, any estimate of liability must also consider the number of other PRPs and their financial strength. In July 2014, Arsynco received notice from the U.S. Department of Interior (“USDOJ”) regarding the USDOJ’s intent to perform a Natural Resource Damage (NRD) Assessment at the BCSA. Arsynco has to date declined to participate in the development and performance of the NRD assessment process. Based on prior practice in similar situations, it is possible that the State may assert a claim for natural resource damages with respect to the Arsynco site itself, and either the federal government or the State (or both) may assert claims against Arsynco for natural resource damages in connection with Berry’s Creek; any such claim with respect to Berry’s Creek could also be asserted against the approximately 150 PRPs which the EPA has identified in connection with that site. Any claim for natural resource damages with respect to the Arsynco site itself may also be asserted against BASF, the former owners of the Arsynco property. In September 2012, Arsynco entered into an agreement with three of the other PRPs that had previously been impleaded into New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, et al. v. Occidental Chemical Corporation, et al., Docket No. ESX-L-9868-05 (the “NJDEP Litigation”) and were considering impleading Arsynco into the same proceeding. Arsynco entered into an agreement to avoid impleader. Pursuant to the

agreement, Arsynco agreed to (1) a tolling period that would not be included when computing the running of any statute of limitations that might provide a defense to the NJDEP Litigation; (2) the waiver of certain issue preclusion defenses in the NJDEP Litigation; and (3) arbitration of certain potential future liability allocation claims if the other parties to the agreement are barred by a court of competent jurisdiction from proceeding against Arsynco. In July 2015, Arsynco was contacted by an allocation consultant retained by a group of the named PRPs, inviting Arsynco to participate in the allocation among the PRPs' investigation and remediation costs relating to the BCSA. Arsynco declined that invitation. Since the amount of the liability cannot be reasonably estimated at this time, no accrual is recorded for these potential future costs. The impact of the resolution of this matter on the Company's results of operations in a particular reporting period is not currently known.

In fiscal years 2011, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we received letters from the Pulvair Site Group, a group of potentially responsible parties (PRP Group) who are working with the State of Tennessee (the State) to remediate a contaminated property in Tennessee called the Pulvair site. The PRP Group has alleged that Aceto shipped hazardous substances to the site which were released into the environment. The State had begun administrative proceedings against the members of the PRP Group and Aceto with respect to the cleanup of the Pulvair site and the PRP Group has begun to undertake cleanup. The PRP Group is seeking a settlement of approximately \$1,700 from us for our share to remediate the site contamination. Although we acknowledge that we shipped materials to the site for formulation over twenty years ago, we believe that the evidence does not show that the hazardous materials sent by Aceto to the site have significantly contributed to the contamination of the environment and thus believe that, at most, it is a de minimis contributor to the site contamination. Accordingly, we believe that the settlement offer is unreasonable. Management believes that the ultimate outcome of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or liquidity.

Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2016-09, *Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting*, which will change certain aspects of accounting for share-based payments to employees. ASU 2016-09 is effective for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the provisions of ASU 2016-09.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)* that replaces existing lease guidance. The new standard is intended to provide enhanced transparency and comparability by requiring lessees to record right-of-use assets and corresponding lease liabilities on the balance sheet. The new guidance will continue to classify leases as either finance or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense recognition in the statement of income. ASU 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the provisions of ASU 2016-02.

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, *Income Taxes (Topic 740) Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Assets*. This ASU is intended to simplify the presentation of deferred taxes on the balance sheet and will require an entity to present all deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities as non-current on the balance sheet. Under the current guidance, entities are required to separately present deferred taxes as current or non-current. Netting deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities by tax jurisdiction will still be required under the new guidance. This guidance will be effective for Aceto beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2018, with early adoption permitted. The Company does not believe this new accounting standard update will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-16, *Business Combinations (Topic 805); Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments*. This ASU requires that an acquirer in a business combination recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which the adjustments amounts are determined. This is in contrast to existing guidance that requires retrospective adjustments to provisional amounts recognized in a business combination. This guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015. The Company does not believe that this updated standard will have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-11, *Inventory (Topic 330) – Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory*. This ASU requires that an entity measure inventory at the lower of cost and net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal and transportation. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this guidance.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, *Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs*. The FASB issued ASU 2015-03 to simplify the presentation of debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability to present the debt issuance costs as a direct deduction from the carrying value of the debt liability rather than showing the debt issuance costs as a deferred charge on the balance sheet. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-15, *Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30) Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements*, which clarified that debt issuance costs associated with line of credit arrangements may continue to be presented as an asset, regardless of whether there are any outstanding borrowings on the line of credit arrangement. This guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015, with early adoption permitted. As previously discussed in Note 9, the Company adopted ASU 2015-03 during the second quarter of fiscal year 2016.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, *Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis*. ASU 2015-02 changes the analysis that a reporting entity must perform to determine whether it should consolidate certain types of legal entities. ASU 2015-02 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. The Company believes the adoption of ASU 2015-02 will not have an impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, *Presentation of Financial Statements-Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40)*. This ASU provides guidance to determine when and how to disclose going-concern uncertainties in the financial statements. The new standard requires management to assess an entity's ability to continue as a going concern, and to provide related footnote disclosure in certain circumstances. ASU 2014-15 will be effective for all entities in the first annual period ending after December 15, 2016. Earlier adoption is permitted. ASU 2014-15 will be effective for the Company beginning June 30, 2017. The Company does not believe that this pronouncement will have an impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*, which is the new comprehensive revenue recognition standard that will supersede all existing revenue recognition guidance under U.S. GAAP. The standard's core principle is that a company will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to a customer in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. In August 2015, the FASB subsequently issued ASU 2015-14, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers - Deferral of the Effective Date*, which approved a one year deferral of ASU 2014-09 for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period. In March 2016 and April 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-08, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers - Principal versus Agent Considerations (Reporting Revenue Gross versus Net)*, and ASU 2016-10, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers - Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing*, respectively, which further clarify the guidance related to those specific topics within ASU 2014-09. Additionally, in May 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-12, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers - Narrow Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients*, to reduce the risk of diversity in practice for certain aspects in ASU 2014-09, including collectibility, noncash consideration, presentation of sales tax and transition. The Company has not determined the impact of adoption on its consolidated financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market Risk Sensitive Instruments

The market risk inherent in our market-risk-sensitive instruments and positions is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in investment market prices, foreign currency exchange-rates and interest rates.

Investment Market Price Risk

We had short-term investments of \$881 at June 30, 2016 and \$3,416 at June 30, 2015. Those short-term investments consisted of time deposits. Time deposits are short-term in nature and are accordingly valued at cost plus accrued interest, which approximates fair value.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

In order to reduce the risk of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, we hedge some of our transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currencies applicable to each of our various entities. The

instruments used for hedging are short-term foreign currency contracts (futures). The changes in market value of such contracts have a high correlation to price changes in the currency of the related hedged transactions. At June 30, 2016, we had foreign currency contracts outstanding that had a notional amount of \$58,087. At June 30, 2015, our outstanding foreign currency contracts had a notional amount of \$51,252. The difference between the fair market value of the foreign currency contracts and the related commitments at inception and the fair market value of the contracts and the related commitments at June 30, 2016, was not material.

We are subject to risk from changes in foreign exchange rates for our subsidiaries that use a foreign currency as their functional currency and are translated into U.S. dollars. These changes result in cumulative translation adjustments, which are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). On June 30, 2016, we had translation exposure to various foreign currencies, with the most significant being the Euro. The potential loss as of June 30, 2016, resulting from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in quoted foreign currency exchange rates amounted to \$8,143. On June 30, 2015, such potential loss amounted to \$7,440. Actual results may differ.

Interest Rate Risk

Due to our financing, investing and cash-management activities, we are subject to market risk from exposure to changes in interest rates. We utilize a balanced mix of debt maturities along with both fixed-rate and variable-rate debt to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates. Our financial instrument holdings at year-end were analyzed to determine their sensitivity to interest rate changes. In this sensitivity analysis, we used the same change in interest rate for all maturities. All other factors were held constant. If there were an adverse change in interest rates of 10%, the expected effect on net income related to our financial instruments would be immaterial. However, there can be no assurances that interest rates will not significantly affect our results of operations.

In conjunction with the Credit Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2014, the Company entered into an interest rate swap on April 30, 2014 for an additional interest cost of 1.63% on a notional amount of \$25,750, which had been designated as a cash flow hedge. The expiration date of this interest rate swap was April 30, 2019. In November 2015, the Company terminated the interest rate swap agreement resulting in a termination payment of \$420, which is included in interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income for the year ended June 30, 2016. Pursuant to the requirements of the Credit Agreement, dated December 31, 2010, the Company was required to deliver Hedging Agreements (as defined in the agreement) fixing the interest rate on not less than \$20,000 of the term loan at that time. Accordingly, in March 2011, the Company entered into an interest rate swap for an additional interest cost of 1.91% on a notional amount of \$20,000, which had been designated as a cash flow hedge and which expired on December 31, 2015. Aceto's interest rate swaps were previously classified within Level 2 as the fair value of this hedge was primarily based on observable interest rates.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The financial statements and supplementary data required by this Item 8 are set forth later in this report.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”)) are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Our disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, with assistance from other members of our management, have reviewed the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2016 and, based on their evaluation, have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of such date.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that occurred during the three months ended June 30, 2016 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as that term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, we assessed, as of June 30, 2016, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. This assessment was based on criteria established in the framework in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our assessment using those criteria, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2016, was effective.

Our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2016, has been audited by BDO USA, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its report, which is included herein.

Internal control over financial reporting is defined as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;

- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit the preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorization of our management and directors; and

- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the internal control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations of any internal control system, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues, if any, within a company have been detected.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Aceto Corporation

Port Washington, NY

We have audited Aceto Corporation and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Aceto Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become

inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Aceto Corporation and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2016, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Aceto Corporation and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2016 and our report dated August 26, 2016, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

Melville, New York
August 26, 2016

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to our annual meeting of shareholders.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to our annual meeting of shareholders.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this Item, not already provided under the table presented below, is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to our annual meeting of shareholders.

The following table states certain information with respect to our equity compensation plans at June 30, 2016:

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under
---------------	--	--	--

	exercise of outstanding options	options	equity compensation plans
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	302	\$ 7.19	4,424
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
Total	302	\$ 7.19	4,424

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to our annual meeting of shareholders.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to our annual meeting of shareholders.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

The following documents are filed as part of this Report:

The financial statements listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements are filed as part of this Annual (a) Report on Form 10-K. All financial statement schedules have been included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes thereto.

(b)Exhibits

Exhibit Number Description

- 2.1 Asset Purchase Agreement by and among Aceto Corporation, Sun Acquisition Corp., Rising Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ronald Gold, and David B. Rosen, dated as of December 15, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 20, 2010).
- 2.2 Membership Interest Purchase Agreement, dated March 26, 2014, by and among PACK Pharmaceuticals, LLC, the Aschenbrand and O'Brien Family Trust, dated March 2001, Bryan Aschenbrand – Trustee, Dushyant Chipalkatty, Chris Dungan, Aceto Corporation, Rising Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Chris Dungan, solely in his capacity as the representative of the Sellers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 28, 2014).
- 2.3 Form of Lock-up Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 28, 2014).
- 3.1 Amended and Restated Certification of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2015).
- 3.2 Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2015).
- 3.3 Aceto Corporation By-Laws, amended July 28, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 31, 2014).
- 4.1 Indenture, dated November 16, 2015 between ACETO Corporation and Citibank, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 16, 2015).
- 4.2 Form of Global 2.00% Convertible Senior Note due 2020 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 16, 2015).
- 10.1 Aceto Corporation 401(k) Retirement Plan, as amended and restated as of July 1, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 (File Number: 000-04217, Film Number: 041025874)).
- 10.2 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended and restated effective June 30, 2004 and frozen as of December 31, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 (File Number: 000-04217, Film Number: 041025874)).
- 10.3 Aceto Corporation Stock Option Plan (as Amended and Restated effective as of September 19, 1990) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010).

- 10.4 1998 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(v) (c) to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1999 (File Number: 000-04217, Film Number: 99718824)).
- 10.5 2002 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(i) to Registration Statement No. 333-110653 on Form S-8).
- 10.6 Supplemental Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, effective March 14, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 17, 2005 (File Number: 000-04217, Film Number: 05688328)).
- 10.7 2007 Long-Term Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(i) to Registration Statement No. 333-149586 on Form S-8).

- 10.8 Supplemental Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, amended and restated effective December 8, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2009).
- 10.9 Purchase and Sale Agreement among Schweizerhall Holding AG, Chemische Fabrik Schweizerhall, Schweizerhall, Inc., Aceto Corporation and Aceto Holding B.V., I.O., dated as of January 28, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 4, 2001 (File Number: 000-04217, Film Number: 1595350)).
- 10.10 Form of purchase agreement between Shanghai Zhongjin Real Estate Development Company Limited and Aceto (Hong Kong) Limited, dated November 10, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004 (File Number: 000-04217, Film Number: 05588472)).
- 10.11 Guarantee by Aceto Corporation and subsidiaries in favor of Deutsche Bank, AG, dated March 22, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2001 (File Number: 000-04217, Film Number: 1748270)).
- 10.12 Reaffirmation Agreement by Aceto Corporation, Aceto Agricultural Chemicals Corporation, CDC Products Corporation, Aceto Pharma Corp., Aceto Realty LLC, Acci Realty Corp. and Arsynco Inc., dated as of April 23, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 28, 2010).
- 10.13 First Amendment to Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2010, by and among Aceto Corporation, Sun Acquisition Corp., Rising Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ronald Gold and David B. Rosen (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 5, 2011).
- 10.14 Aceto Corporation 2010 Equity Participation Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on October 13, 2010).
- 10.15 Aceto Corporation Severance Policy (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 17, 2012).
- 10.16 Consulting Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Michael Feinman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 3, 2012).
- 10.17 Aceto Corporation Executive Performance Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on October 18, 2012).
- 10.18 Amended and Restated Aceto Corporation 2010 Equity Participation Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on October 18, 2012).
- 10.19 Second Amendment, dated as of December 21, 2012, to Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 15, 2010, by and among Aceto Corporation, Rising Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Pearl Ventures Inc., Ronald Gold and David B. Rosen (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2012).

- 10.20 Enhanced Severance Protection Letter Agreement, dated April 3, 2013 between Aceto Corporation and Douglas Roth (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 5, 2013).
- 10.21 Aceto Corporation 2013 Senior Executive Retirement Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013).
- 10.22 Note Modification Agreement, dated October 21, 2013, between Aceto Realty LLC and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2013).

- 10.23 Amendment No. 1, dated as of December 26, 2013 to the Change in Control Agreement, dated as of July 2, 2012, by and between Aceto Corporation and Salvatore J. Guccione (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2013).
- 10.24 Commitment Letter dated March 26, 2014, by and among, Aceto Corporation and the Lead Arrangers and Commitment Lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 28, 2014).
- 10.25 Credit Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2014, by and among Aceto Corporation, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo, as Syndication Agent, and the Lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 2, 2014).
- 10.26 Employment Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2015, between Aceto Corporation and Salvatore Guccione (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 18, 2014).
- 10.27 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Terry Kippley, dated as of November 5, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2014).
- 10.28 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Carlos Restrepo, dated as of November 5, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2014).
- 10.29 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Salvatore Guccione (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 18, 2015).
- 10.30 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Albert L. Eilender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 18, 2015).
- 10.31 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Douglas Roth (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 18, 2015).
- 10.32 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Frank DeBenedittis (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 18, 2015).
- 10.33 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Satish Srinivasan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 18, 2015).
- 10.34 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Charles J. Alaimo, dated as of February 13, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015).
- 10.35 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Raymond B. Bartone, dated as of February 13, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015).

10.36 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Terry Kippley, dated as of February 13, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015).

10.37 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Carlos Restrepo, dated as of February 13, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015).

10.38 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Steven S. Rogers, dated as of February 13, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015).

- 10.39 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Nicholas I. Shackley, dated as of February 13, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015).
- 10.40 Amendment No. 1, dated as of June 25, 2015, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2014, by and among Aceto Corporation, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent and the Lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 25, 2015).
- 10.41 Aceto Corporation 2015 Equity Participation Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on October 26, 2015).
- 10.42 Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 28, 2015, by and among Aceto Corporation, the other loan parties thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A., as administrative agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as syndication agent, and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 28, 2015).
- 10.43 Purchase Agreement, dated November 10, 2015, by and among ACETO Corporation and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, as representatives of the initial purchasers named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 12, 2015).
- 10.44 Convertible Note Hedge Confirmation, dated November 10, 2015, between ACETO Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 12, 2015).
- 10.45 Convertible Note Hedge Confirmation, dated November 10, 2015, between ACETO Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 12, 2015).
- 10.46 Warrant Confirmation, dated November 10, 2015, between ACETO Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 12, 2015).
- 10.47 Warrant Confirmation, dated November 10, 2015, between ACETO Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 12, 2015).
- 10.48 Amendment No. 1 to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 28, 2015, by and among Aceto Corporation, the other loan parties thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as syndication agent, and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 12, 2015).
- 10.49 Additional Convertible Note Hedge Confirmation, dated November 18, 2015, between Aceto Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 23, 2015).
- 10.50 Additional Convertible Note Hedge Confirmation, dated November 18, 2015, between Aceto Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report

on Form 8-K dated November 23, 2015).

10.51 Additional Warrant Confirmation, dated November 18, 2015, between Aceto Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 23, 2015).

10.52 Additional Warrant Confirmation, dated November 18, 2015, between Aceto Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 23, 2015).

10.53 Letter Agreement between Aceto Corporation and Walter J. Kaczmarek III (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 18, 2016).

- 10.54 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Walter J. Kaczmarek III (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 18, 2016).
- 21* Subsidiaries of the Company.
- 23* Consent of BDO USA, LLP.
- 31.1* Certifications of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2* Certifications of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1** Certifications of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2** Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Filed herewith

** Furnished herewith

Item 16. Form 10-K Summary

None

50

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated financial statements:

Consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated statements of income for the years ended June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014

Consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the years ended June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014

Consolidated statements of cash flows for the years ended June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014

Consolidated statements of shareholders' equity for the years ended June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014

Notes to consolidated financial statements

Schedules:

II - Valuation and qualifying accounts

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required or the information required is given in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Aceto Corporation

Port Washington, NY

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Aceto Corporation and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2016. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we have also audited the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index. These consolidated financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements and schedule. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Aceto Corporation and subsidiaries at June 30, 2016 and 2015, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2016, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Also, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Aceto Corporation and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated August 26, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

Melville, New York

August 26, 2016

52

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****AS OF JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015**

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

	2016	2015
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$66,828	\$34,020
Investments	881	3,416
Trade receivables: less allowance for doubtful accounts (2016, \$513; 2015, \$691)	167,612	161,521
Other receivables	12,650	10,611
Inventory	98,107	95,596
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	3,339	3,096
Deferred income tax asset, net	3,244	2,050
Total current assets	352,661	310,310
Property and equipment, net	10,044	10,456
Property held for sale	6,868	6,574
Goodwill	67,871	67,870
Intangible assets, net	79,071	78,997
Deferred income tax asset, net	18,053	9,972
Other assets	6,210	5,595
TOTAL ASSETS	\$540,778	\$489,774
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$197	\$10,197
Accounts payable	46,034	54,962
Accrued expenses	52,675	59,841
Total current liabilities	98,906	125,000
Long-term debt	118,592	99,960
Long-term liabilities	6,344	7,542
Environmental remediation liability	3,352	2,995
Deferred income tax liability	9,142	66
Total liabilities	236,336	235,563
Commitments and contingencies (Note 16)		
Shareholders' equity:		

Edgar Filing: ACETO CORP - Form 10-K

Preferred stock, 2,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding	-	-
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 75,000 shares authorized at June 30, 2016 and 40,000 shares authorized at June 30, 2015; 29,595 and 29,147 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively	296	292
Capital in excess of par value	115,667	93,807
Retained earnings	194,804	167,208
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(6,325)	(7,096)
Total shareholders' equity	304,442	254,211
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$540,778	\$489,774

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014**

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Net sales	\$558,524	\$546,951	\$510,179
Cost of sales	415,739	411,517	395,476
Gross profit	142,785	135,434	114,703
Selling, general and administrative expenses	76,820	73,159	65,209
Research and development expenses	7,937	5,942	5,222
Operating income	58,028	56,333	44,272
Other (expense) income:			
Interest expense	(6,997)	(3,954)	(2,100)
Interest and other income, net	2,823	1,486	2,502
	(4,174)	(2,468)	402
Income before income taxes	53,854	53,865	44,674
Provision for income taxes	19,088	20,382	15,674
Net income	\$34,766	\$33,483	\$29,000
Basic income per common share	\$1.19	\$1.17	\$1.04
Diluted income per common share	\$1.18	\$1.14	\$1.02
Weighted average shares outstanding:			
Basic	29,110	28,731	28,001
Diluted	29,581	29,247	28,563

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014**

(in thousands)

	2016	2015	2014
Net income	\$34,766	\$33,483	\$29,000
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Foreign currency translation adjustments	368	(12,354)	2,609
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps	(149)	99	(179)
Reclassification for realized loss on interest rate swap included in interest expense	487	-	-
Defined benefit plans, net of tax of \$31, \$100 and \$19 respectively	65	(213)	40
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	771	(12,468)	2,470
Comprehensive income	\$35,537	\$21,015	\$31,470

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014**

(in thousands)

	2016	2015	2014
Operating activities:			
Net income	\$34,766	\$33,483	\$29,000
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		-	-
Depreciation and amortization	12,698	11,849	8,091
Amortization of debt issuance costs and debt discount	3,496	-	-
Provision for doubtful accounts	76	484	8
Non-cash stock compensation	6,719	4,537	3,156
Deferred income taxes	(18)	(1,874)	(3,083)
Earnings on equity investment in joint venture	(2,060)	(1,761)	(2,024)
Contingent consideration	(1,074)	(3,468)	-
Environmental remediation charge	1,313	1,618	-
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Trade receivables	(6,149)	(44,181)	(19,400)
Other receivables	136	(5,644)	1,353
Inventory	(2,489)	(229)	(7,764)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(243)	304	(232)
Other assets	(557)	1,254	57
Accounts payable	(8,937)	8,133	5,216
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(7,689)	1,816	8,868
Distributions from joint venture	1,843	2,022	1,810
Net cash provided by operating activities	31,831	8,343	25,056
Investing activities:			
Payment for net assets of businesses acquired	-	-	(86,140)
Purchases of investments	(34)	(2,720)	(108)
Sales of investments	2,517	-	1,506
Payments for intangible assets	(11,249)	(1,564)	(746)
Purchases of property and equipment, net	(1,128)	(617)	(1,145)
Net cash used in investing activities	(9,894)	(4,901)	(86,633)
Financing activities:			
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	729	1,273	3,655
Excess income tax benefit on stock option exercises and restricted stock	1,219	790	1,752
Payment of cash dividends	(7,084)	(6,964)	(6,806)
Payment of deferred consideration	-	(3,500)	(1,500)

Edgar Filing: ACETO CORP - Form 10-K

Payment of contingent consideration	(1,500)	(4,500)	-
Proceeds from convertible senior notes	143,750	-	-
Payment for debt issuance costs	(5,153)	-	-
Proceeds from sold warrants	13,685	-	-
Purchase of call option (hedge)	(27,174)	-	-
Termination payment for interest rate swap	(420)	-	-
Borrowings of bank loans	15,500	19,000	114,145
Repayment of bank loans	(122,697)	(14,344)	(40,713)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	10,855	(8,245)	70,533
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash	16	(4,074)	710
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	32,808	(8,877)	9,666
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	34,020	42,897	33,231
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$66,828	\$34,020	\$42,897

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

	Common Stock		Capital in Excess of	Retained	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	
	Shares	Amount	Par Value	Earnings	Income (Loss)	Total
Balance at June 30, 2013	27,831	\$ 278	\$ 72,845	\$ 118,615	\$ 2,902	\$ 194,640
Net income	-	-	-	29,000	-	29,000
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	2,470	2,470
Stock issued pursuant to employee stock incentive plans	7	-	93	-	-	93
Issuance of restricted stock, including dividends and net of forfeitures	282	3	(3)	-	-	-
Stock issued in connection with the PACK acquisition	260	3	5,682	-	-	5,685
Dividends declared (\$0.24 per share)	-	-	-	(6,847)	-	(6,847)
Share-based compensation	-	-	3,136	-	-	3,136
Exercise of stock options	392	4	3,651	-	-	3,655
Tax benefit from employee stock incentive plans	-	-	1,752	-	-	1,752
Balance at June 30, 2014	28,772	\$ 288	\$ 87,156	\$ 140,768	\$ 5,372	\$ 233,584
Net income	-	-	-	33,483	-	33,483
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	(12,468)	(12,468)
Stock issued pursuant to employee stock incentive plans	5	-	77	-	-	77
Issuance of restricted stock, including dividends and net of forfeitures	224	2	(2)	-	-	-
Dividends declared (\$0.24 per share)	-	-	-	(7,043)	-	(7,043)
Share-based compensation	-	-	4,515	-	-	4,515
Exercise of stock options	146	2	1,271	-	-	1,273
Tax benefit from employee stock incentive plans	-	-	790	-	-	790
Balance at June 30, 2015	29,147	\$ 292	\$ 93,807	\$ 167,208	\$ (7,096)	\$ 254,211
Net income	-	-	-	34,766	-	34,766
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	771	771
Stock issued pursuant to employee stock incentive plans	7	-	113	-	-	113

Edgar Filing: ACETO CORP - Form 10-K

Issuance of restricted stock, net of forfeitures	346	3	(3)	-	-	-
Sale of warrants	-	-	13,685	-	-	13,685
Purchase of call option (hedge)	-	-	(27,174)	-	-	(27,174)
Allocation of proceeds from convertible senior notes	-	-	27,241	-	-	27,241
Equity component of debt issuance costs	-	-	(976)	-	-	(976)
Deferred taxes related to convertible senior notes	-	-	330	-	-	330
Dividends declared (\$0.24 per share)	-	-	-	(7,170)	-	(7,170)
Share-based compensation	-	-	6,697	-	-	6,697
Exercise of stock options	95	1	728	-	-	729
Tax benefit from employee stock incentive plans	-	-	1,219	-	-	1,219
Balance at June 30, 2016	29,595	\$ 296	\$ 115,667	\$ 194,804	\$ (6,325)	\$ 304,442

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

(1) Description of Business

Aceto Corporation and subsidiaries (“Aceto” or the “Company”) is primarily engaged in the sourcing, regulatory support, quality assurance, marketing, sales and distribution of finished dosage form generics, nutraceutical products, pharmaceutical intermediates and active ingredients, agricultural protection products and specialty chemicals used principally as finished products or raw materials in the pharmaceutical, nutraceutical, agricultural, coatings and industrial chemical consuming industries.

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant inter-company balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses reported in those financial statements and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. These judgments can be subjective and complex, and consequently actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions. The Company’s most critical accounting policies relate to revenue recognition; allowance for doubtful accounts; inventory; goodwill and other indefinite-life intangible assets; long-lived assets; environmental matters and other contingencies; income taxes; and stock-based compensation.

Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments with original maturities at the time of purchase of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Included in cash equivalents as of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015 is \$104 and \$58, respectively, of restricted cash.

Investments

The Company classifies investments in marketable securities as trading, available-for-sale or held-to-maturity at the time of purchase and periodically re-evaluates such classifications. Trading securities are carried at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses included in earnings. Held-to-maturity securities are recorded at cost and are adjusted for the amortization or accretion of premiums or discounts over the life of the related security. Unrealized holding gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are excluded from earnings and are reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until realized. In determining realized gains and losses, the cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. Interest and dividends on the investments are accrued at the balance sheet date.

Inventory

Inventory, which consists principally of finished goods, are stated at the lower of cost (first-in first-out method) or market. The Company writes down its inventory for estimated excess and obsolete goods by an amount equal to the difference between the carrying cost of the inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions.

Environmental and Other Contingencies

The Company establishes accrued liabilities for environmental matters and other contingencies when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability is reasonably estimable. If the contingency is resolved for an amount greater or less than the accrual, or the Company's share of the contingency increases or decreases, or other assumptions relevant to the development of the estimate were to change, the Company would recognize an additional expense or benefit in the consolidated statements of income in the period such determination was made.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014***(in thousands, except per-share amounts)***Pension Benefits**

In connection with certain historical acquisitions in Germany, the Company assumed defined benefit pension plans covering certain employees who meet certain eligibility requirements. The net pension benefit obligations recorded and the related periodic costs are based on, among other things, assumptions of the discount rate, estimated return on plan assets, salary increases and the mortality of participants. The obligation for these claims and the related periodic costs are measured using actuarial techniques and assumptions. Actuarial gains and losses are deferred and amortized over future periods. The Company's plans are funded in conformity with the funding requirements of applicable government regulations.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 are as follows:

	2016	2015
Cumulative foreign currency translation adjustments	\$(6,120)	\$(6,488)
Fair value of interest rate swaps	-	(338)
Defined benefit plans, net of tax	(205)	(270)
Total	\$(6,325)	\$(7,096)

The foreign currency translation adjustments for the year ended June 30, 2016 primarily relate to the fluctuation of the conversion rate of the Euro. The currency translation adjustments are not adjusted for income taxes as they relate to indefinite investments in non-US subsidiaries.

Common Stock

At the annual meeting of shareholders of the Company, held on December 15, 2015, the Company's shareholders approved the proposal to amend Aceto's Certificate of Incorporation to increase the total number of authorized shares of common stock from 40,000 shares to 75,000 shares.

Cash dividends of \$0.06 per common share were paid in September, December, March and June of fiscal years 2016, 2015 and 2014. On August 25, 2016, the Company's board of directors declared a regular quarterly dividend of \$0.065 per share to be distributed on September 20, 2016 to shareholders of record as of September 9, 2016.

On May 8, 2014, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized the continuation of the Company's stock repurchase program, expiring in May 2017. Under the stock repurchase program, the Company is authorized to purchase up to 5,000 shares of common stock in open market or private transactions, at prices not to exceed the market value of the common stock at the time of such purchase. The Company did not repurchase shares in fiscal 2016 or fiscal 2015.

The Board of Directors has authority under the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation to issue shares of preferred stock with voting and other relative rights to be determined by the Board of Directors.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

Stock-based Compensation

GAAP requires that all stock-based compensation be recognized as an expense in the financial statements and that such costs be measured at the fair value of the award. GAAP also requires that excess tax benefits related to stock option exercises be reflected as financing cash inflows.

All restricted stock grants include a service requirement for vesting. The Company has also granted restricted stock units that include either a performance or market condition. The fair value of restricted stock unit with either solely a service requirement or with the combination of service and performance requirements is based on the closing fair market value of Aceto's common stock on the date of grant. The fair value of market condition-based awards is estimated at the date of grant using a binomial lattice model or Monte Carlo Simulation. All models incorporate various assumptions such as the risk-free interest rate, expected volatility, expected dividend yield and expected life of the awards. Stock-based compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the service period or over our best estimate of the period over which the performance condition will be met, as applicable.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue from product sales at the time of shipment and passage of title and risk of loss to the customer. The Company has no acceptance or other post-shipment obligations and does not offer product warranties or services to its customers.

Sales are recorded net of estimated returns of damaged goods from customers, which historically have been immaterial, and sales incentives offered to customers. Sales incentives include volume incentive rebates. The Company records volume incentive rebates based on the underlying revenue transactions that result in progress by the customer in earning the rebate.

The Company has arrangements with various third parties, such as drug store chains and managed care organizations, establishing prices for its finished dosage form generics. While these arrangements are made between Aceto and its customers, the customers independently select a wholesaler from which they purchase the products. Alternatively, certain wholesalers may enter into agreements with the customers, with the Company's concurrence, which establishes the pricing for certain products which the wholesalers provide. Upon each sale of finished dosage form generics, estimates of chargebacks, rebates, returns, government reimbursed rebates, sales discounts and other adjustments are made. These estimates are based on historical experience, future expectations, contractual arrangements with wholesalers and indirect customers, and other factors known to management at the time of accrual. These estimates are recorded as reductions to gross revenues, with corresponding adjustments either as a reduction of accounts receivable or as a liability for price concessions.

Under certain arrangements, Aceto will issue a credit (referred to as a "chargeback") to the wholesaler for the difference between the invoice price to the wholesaler and the customer's contract price. As sales to the large wholesale customers increase or decrease, the reserve for chargebacks will also generally increase or decrease. The provision for chargebacks varies in relation to changes in sales volume, product mix, pricing and the level of inventory at the wholesalers. The Company continually monitors the reserve for chargebacks and makes adjustments when management believes that expected chargebacks may differ from the actual chargeback reserve.

The Company estimates its provision for returns of finished dosage generics based on historical experience, product expiration dates, changes to business practices, credit terms and any extenuating circumstances known to management. While historical experience has allowed for reasonable estimations in the past, future returns may or may not follow historical trends. The Company continually monitors the reserve for returns and makes adjustments when management believes that actual product returns may differ from the established reserve. Generally, the reserve for returns increases as net sales increase.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014***(in thousands, except per-share amounts)*

Government rebate accruals are based on estimated payments due to governmental agencies for purchases made by third parties under various governmental programs. Other rebates are offered to the Company's key chain drug store, distributor and wholesaler customers to promote customer loyalty and increase product sales. These rebate programs provide customers with credits upon attainment of pre-established volumes or attainment of net sales milestones for a specified period. Other promotional programs are incentive programs offered to the customers. The Company provides a provision for government reimbursed rebates and other rebates at the time of sale based on contracted rates and historical redemption rates. Assumptions used to establish the provision include level of customer inventories, contract sales mix and average contract pricing. Aceto regularly reviews the information related to these estimates and adjusts the provision accordingly.

Sales discount accruals are based on payment terms extended to customers.

The following table summarizes activity in the consolidated balance sheet for contra assets and liability for price concessions for the years ended June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014:

	Accruals for Chargebacks, Returns and Other Allowances				
	Chargebacks	Returns	Government Reimbursed Rebates	Other Rebates	Sales Discounts
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 3,007	\$ 8,092	\$ 502	\$ 1,545	\$-
Current year provision	60,469	17,312	2,503	20,811	4,339
Credits issued during the year	(52,490)	(5,155)	(2,000)	(18,726)	(3,649)
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ 10,986	\$ 20,249	\$ 1,005	\$ 3,630	\$ 690
Current year provision	208,965	21,403	4,259	36,923	9,381
Credits issued during the year	(187,784)	(10,960)	(4,326)	(36,218)	(7,389)
Balance at June 30, 2015	\$ 32,167	\$ 30,692	\$ 938	\$ 4,335	\$ 2,682
Current year provision	247,186	7,618	5,124	90,915	10,267
Credits issued during the year	(256,638)	(15,482)	(4,750)	(88,048)	(10,526)
Balance at June 30, 2016	\$ 22,715	\$ 22,828	\$ 1,312	\$ 7,202	\$ 2,423

Credits issued during a given period represent cash payments or credit memos issued to the Company's customers as settlement for the related reserve. Management has the experience and access to relevant information that it believes is necessary to reasonably estimate the amounts of such deductions from gross revenues. The Company regularly reviews the information related to these estimates and adjusts its reserves accordingly, if and when actual experience differs from previous estimates. The Company has not experienced any significant changes in its estimates as it relates to its chargebacks, rebates or sales discounts in each of the years in the three year period ended June 30, 2016. During the year ended June 30, 2015, the Company recorded \$3,497 in additional gross profit related to a change in estimate for product returns due to the most recent returns experience. The Company had not experienced any significant changes in its estimates as it relates to its product returns during the years ended June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2014.

Partnered Products

The Company has various products that are subject to one of two types of collaborative arrangements with certain pharmaceutical companies. One type of arrangement relates to the Company's Rising subsidiary acting strictly as a distributor and purchasing products at arm's length; in that type of arrangement, there is no profit sharing element. The second type of collaborative arrangement results in a profit sharing agreement between Rising and a developer and/or manufacturer of a finished dosage form generic drug. Both types of collaborative arrangements are conducted in the ordinary course of Rising's business. The nature and purpose of both of these arrangements is for the Company to act as a distributor of finished dose products to its customers. Under these arrangements, the Company maintains distribution rights with respect to specific drugs within the U.S. marketplace. Generally, the distribution rights are exclusive rights in the territory. In certain arrangements, Rising is required to maintain service level minimums including, but not limited to, market share and purchase levels, in order to preserve the exclusive rights. The Company's accounting policy with respect to these collaborative arrangements calls for the Company to present the sales and associated costs on a gross basis, with the amounts of the shared profits earned by the pharmaceutical companies on sales of these products, if applicable, included in cost of sales in the consolidated statements of income. The shared profits are settled on a quarterly basis. For each of the fiscal years 2016, 2015 and 2014, there was approximately \$41,036, \$51,352 and \$26,972 respectively, of shared profits included in cost of sales, related to these types of collaborative arrangements. In the case of a collaborative arrangement where Rising solely acts as a distributor and purchases product at arm's length, the costs of those purchases are included as a cost of sales similar to any other purchase arrangement.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014***(in thousands, except per-share amounts)***Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs**

All amounts billed to a customer in a sales transaction related to shipping and handling represent revenues earned and are included in net sales. The costs incurred by the Company for shipping and handling are reported as a component of cost of sales. Cost of sales also includes inbound freight, receiving, inspection, warehousing, distribution network, and customs and duty costs.

Net Income Per Common Share

Basic income per common share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted income per common share includes the dilutive effect of potential common shares outstanding. The following table sets forth the reconciliation of weighted average shares outstanding and diluted weighted average shares outstanding for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014:

	2016	2015	2014
Weighted average shares outstanding	29,110	28,731	28,001
Dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock awards and units	471	516	562
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	29,581	29,247	28,563

The Convertible Senior Notes (see Note 9) will only be included in the dilutive net income per share calculations using the treasury stock method during periods in which the average market price of Aceto's common stock is above the applicable conversion price of the Convertible Senior Notes, or \$33.215 per share, and the impact would not be anti-dilutive.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014***(in thousands, except per-share amounts)***Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment are stated at cost and are depreciated using the straight line method over the estimated useful lives of the related asset. The Company allocates depreciation and amortization to cost of sales. Expenditures for improvements that extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Ordinary repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any related gains or losses are included in income.

The components of property and equipment were as follows:

	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	Estimated useful life (years)
Machinery and equipment	\$ 405	\$ 401	3-7
Leasehold improvements	1,056	1,065	Shorter of asset life or lease term
Computer equipment and software	6,048	5,233	3-5
Furniture and fixtures	2,365	2,472	5-10
Automobiles	184	185	3
Building	8,690	8,682	20
Land	1,960	1,970	-
	20,708	20,008	
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	10,664	9,552	
	\$ 10,044	\$ 10,456	

Property held for sale represents land and land improvements of \$6,868 and \$6,574 at June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. See Note 8, "Environmental Remediation" for further discussion on property held for sale.

Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment amounted to \$1,522, \$1,571 and \$1,430 for the years ended June 30, 2016, 2015, and 2014 respectively.

Goodwill and Other Intangibles

Goodwill is calculated as the excess of the cost of purchased businesses over the fair value of their underlying net assets. Other intangible assets principally consist of customer relationships, license agreements, technology-based intangibles, EPA registrations and related data, trademarks and product rights and related intangibles. Goodwill and other intangible assets that have an indefinite life are not amortized.

In accordance with GAAP, the Company tests goodwill and other intangible assets for impairment on at least an annual basis. Goodwill impairment exists if the net book value of a reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value. Initially, an assessment of qualitative factors is conducted in order to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If the Company determines that it is more likely than not that its carrying amount is greater than its fair value for a reporting unit, then it proceeds with the subsequent two-step process: (i) the Company determines impairment by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying value, and (ii) if there is an impairment, the Company measures the amount of impairment loss by comparing the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. To determine the fair value of these intangible assets, the Company uses many assumptions and estimates using a market participant approach that directly impact the results of the testing. In making these assumptions and estimates, the Company uses industry accepted valuation models and set criteria that are reviewed and approved by various levels of management. The Company has the option to bypass the initial qualitative assessment stage and proceed directly to perform step one of the two-step process. In fiscal 2016, the Company performed a qualitative assessment and in fiscal 2015, the Company performed step one of the two-step process.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed of

Long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. Recoverability of assets held for sale is measured by comparing the carrying amount of the assets to their estimated fair value. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceed the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell.

Accounting for Derivatives and Hedging Activities

The Company accounts for derivatives and hedging activities under the provisions of GAAP which establishes accounting and reporting guidelines for derivative instruments and hedging activities. GAAP requires the recognition of all derivative financial instruments as either assets or liabilities in the statement of financial condition and measurement of those instruments at fair value. Changes in the fair values of those derivatives are reported in earnings or other comprehensive income depending on the designation of the derivative and whether it qualifies for hedge accounting. The accounting for gains and losses associated with changes in the fair value of a derivative and the effect on the consolidated financial statements depends on its hedge designation and whether the hedge is highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of the asset or liability hedged. The method that is used for assessing the effectiveness of a hedging derivative, as well as the measurement approach for determining the ineffective aspects of the hedge, is established at the inception of the hedged instrument.

The Company operates internationally, therefore its earnings, cash flows and financial positions are exposed to foreign currency risk from foreign-currency-denominated receivables and payables, which, in the U.S., have been denominated in various foreign currencies, including, among others, Euros, British Pounds, Japanese Yen, Singapore Dollars and Chinese Renminbi and at certain foreign subsidiaries in U.S. dollars and other non-local currencies.

Management believes it is prudent to minimize the risk caused by foreign currency fluctuation. Management minimizes the currency risk on its foreign currency receivables and payables by purchasing foreign currency contracts (futures) with one of its financial institutions. Futures are traded on regulated U.S. and international exchanges and represent commitments to purchase or sell a particular foreign currency at a future date and at a specific price. Since futures are purchased for the amount of the foreign currency receivable or for the amount of foreign currency needed to pay for specific purchase orders, and the futures mature on the due date of the related foreign currency vendor invoices or customer receivables, the Company believes that it eliminates risks relating to foreign currency fluctuation. The Company takes delivery of all futures to pay suppliers in the appropriate currency. The gains or losses for the changes in the fair value of the foreign currency contracts are recorded in cost of sales (sales) and offset the gains or losses associated with the impact of changes in foreign exchange rates on trade payables (receivables) denominated in foreign currencies. Senior management and members of the financial department continually monitor foreign currency risks and the use of this derivative instrument.

In conjunction with the Credit Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2014, the Company entered into an interest rate swap on April 30, 2014 for a notional amount of \$25,750, which had been designated as a cash flow hedge. The expiration date of this interest rate swap was April 30, 2019. In November 2015, the Company terminated the interest rate swap agreement resulting in a termination payment of \$420. Pursuant to the requirements of the Credit Agreement, dated December 31, 2010, the Company was required to deliver Hedging Agreements (as defined in the agreement) fixing the interest rate on not less than \$20,000 of the term loan at that time. Accordingly, in March 2011, the Company entered into an interest rate swap for a notional amount of \$20,000, which had been designated as a cash flow hedge and which expired on December 31, 2015.

Foreign Currency

The financial statements of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars in accordance with GAAP. Where the functional currency of a foreign subsidiary is its local currency, balance sheet accounts are translated at the current exchange rate and income statement items are translated at the average exchange rate for the period. Exchange gains or losses resulting from the translation of financial statements of foreign operations are accumulated in other comprehensive income. Where the local currency of a foreign subsidiary is not its functional currency, financial statements are translated at either current or historical exchange rates, as appropriate.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

(3) Business Combinations

PACK Pharmaceuticals, LLC

On April 30, 2014, Rising Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Rising”), a wholly owned subsidiary of Aceto, acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding membership interests of PACK Pharmaceuticals, LLC (“PACK”). PACK, a national marketer and distributor of generic prescription and over-the-counter pharmaceutical products, had headquarters in Buffalo Grove, Illinois, a suburb of Chicago, Illinois. The Company believes that the acquisition of PACK by Rising has advanced Aceto’s strategy to expand further into the finished dosage pharmaceutical business. PACK and Rising had very similar business models including operating their businesses in collaboration with selected pharmaceutical development partners and with networks of finished dosage form manufacturing partners, focusing on niche products and selling generic prescription products and over-the-counter pharmaceutical products under their respective labels to leading wholesalers, chain drug stores, distributors and mass market merchandisers. The purchase price was approximately \$91,596, which was comprised of the issuance of 260 shares of Aceto common stock, valued at \$5,685, and a cash payment of approximately \$85,911. The purchase agreement also provided for a three-year earn-out of up to \$15,000 in cash based on the achievement of certain performance-based targets. As of June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company accrued \$0 and \$783 respectively, related to this contingent consideration. In the third quarter of fiscal 2016 and the fourth quarter of fiscal 2015, the Company reversed \$833 and \$3,468, respectively, of contingent consideration due to management’s evaluation and assessment of the performance-based targets. The \$833 and \$3,468 reversals are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015 respectively.

Other

On December 10, 2013, the Company acquired all of the outstanding stock of a company in France which has been accounted for as a business combination. In the third quarter of fiscal 2016, the Company recorded \$241 reversal of contingent consideration related to this acquisition due to management’s evaluation and assessment of the potential earnout amounts defined in the purchase agreements. The \$241 reversal is included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the year ended June 30, 2016.

(4) Investments

A summary of short-term investments was as follows:

	June 30, 2016		June 30, 2015	
	Fair Value	Cost Basis	Fair Value	Cost Basis
Held to Maturity Investments				
Time deposits	\$ 881	\$ 920	\$3,416	\$ 3,393

The Company has classified all investments with maturity dates of greater than three months as current since it has the ability to redeem them within the year and amounts are available for current operations.

(5) Fair Value Measurements

GAAP defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly fashion between market participants at the measurement date. GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy for those instruments measured at fair value that distinguishes between assumptions based on market data (observable inputs) and the Company's assumptions (unobservable inputs). The hierarchy consists of three levels:

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

Level 1 – Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 – Inputs other than Level 1 inputs that are either directly or indirectly observable; and

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

On a recurring basis, Aceto measures at fair value certain financial assets and liabilities, which consist of cash equivalents, investments and foreign currency contracts. The Company classifies cash equivalents and investments within Level 1 if quoted prices are available in active markets. Level 1 assets include instruments valued based on quoted market prices in active markets which generally include corporate equity securities publicly traded on major exchanges. Time deposits are very short-term in nature and are accordingly valued at cost plus accrued interest, which approximates fair value, and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. The Company uses foreign currency futures contracts to minimize the risk caused by foreign currency fluctuation on its foreign currency receivables and payables by purchasing futures with one of its financial institutions. Futures are traded on regulated U.S. and international exchanges and represent commitments to purchase or sell a particular foreign currency at a future date and at a specific price. Aceto's foreign currency derivative contracts are classified within Level 2 as the fair value of these hedges is primarily based on observable futures foreign exchange rates. At June 30, 2016, the Company had foreign currency contracts outstanding that had a notional amount of \$58,087. Unrealized losses on hedging activities for the years ended June 30, 2016, 2015, and 2014, amounted to \$10, \$703 and \$40, respectively, and are included in interest and other income, net, in the consolidated statements of income. The contracts have varying maturities of less than one year.

In conjunction with the Credit Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2014, the Company entered into an interest rate swap on April 30, 2014 for an additional interest cost of 1.63% on a notional amount of \$25,750, which had been designated as a cash flow hedge. The expiration date of this interest rate swap was April 30, 2019. In November 2015, the Company terminated the interest rate swap agreement resulting in a termination payment of \$420, which is included in interest expense in the consolidated statement of income for the year ended June 30, 2016. Pursuant to the requirements of the Credit Agreement, dated December 31, 2010, the Company was required to deliver Hedging Agreements (as defined in the agreement) fixing the interest rate on not less than \$20,000 of the term loan at that time.

Accordingly, in March 2011, the Company entered into an interest rate swap for an additional interest cost of 1.91% on a notional amount of \$20,000, which had been designated as a cash flow hedge and which expired on December 31, 2015. Aceto's interest rate swaps were previously classified within Level 2 as the fair value of this hedge was primarily based on observable interest rates.

As of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015, the Company had \$0 and \$783, respectively, of contingent consideration related to the PACK acquisition, which was completed in April 2014 and \$132 and \$359, respectively, of contingent consideration related to the acquisition of a company in France, which occurred in December 2013. In addition, as of June 30, 2015, the Company had \$1,480, of contingent consideration that was recorded at fair value in the Level 3 category, which related to the acquisition of Rising that was completed during fiscal 2011. The Rising contingent consideration was paid in September 2015. The contingent consideration was calculated using the present value of a probability weighted income approach.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014***(in thousands, except per-share amounts)*

Changes in contingent consideration during 2016 and 2015 are as follows:

Balance as of June 30, 2014	\$9,904
Reversal of fair value of liability-PACK	(3,468)
Payments	(4,500)
Accrued interest expense	765
Change in foreign currency exchange rate	(79)
Balance as of June 30, 2015	\$2,622
Reversal of fair value of liability-PACK	(833)
Reversal of fair value of liability-France	(241)
Payments	(1,500)
Accrued interest expense	85
Change in foreign currency exchange rate	(1)
Balance as of June 30, 2016	\$132

During the fourth quarter of each year, the Company evaluates goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level using a discounted cash flow model using Level 3 inputs. Additionally, on a nonrecurring basis, the Company uses fair value measures when analyzing asset impairment. Long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangible assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. If it is determined such indicators are present and the review indicates that the assets will not be fully recoverable, based on undiscounted estimated cash flows over the remaining amortization periods, their carrying values are reduced to estimated fair value. Measurements based on undiscounted cash flows are considered to be Level 3 inputs.

In November 2015, the Company issued \$143,750 aggregate principal amount of Notes (see Note 9). Since Aceto has the option to settle the potential conversion of the Notes in cash, the Company separated the embedded conversion option feature from the debt feature and accounts for each component separately, based on the fair value of the debt component assuming no conversion option. The calculation of the fair value of the debt component required the use of Level 3 inputs, and was determined by calculating the fair value of similar non-convertible debt, using a theoretical borrowing rate of 6.5%. The value of the embedded conversion option was determined using an expected present value technique (income approach) to estimate the fair value of similar non-convertible debt and included utilization of convertible investors' credit assumptions and high yield bond indices. The carrying amount of the Notes

approximates a fair value of \$134,400 at June 30, 2016 giving effect for certain factors, including the term of the Notes, current stock price of Aceto stock and effective interest rate. A portion of the offering proceeds was used to simultaneously enter into privately negotiated convertible note hedge transactions with option counterparties, which are affiliates of certain of the initial purchasers in the offering of the Notes and privately negotiated warrant transactions with the option counterparties (see Note 9). The Company calculated the fair value of the bond hedge based on the price that was paid to purchase the call. The Company also calculated the fair value of the warrant based on the price at which the affiliate purchased the warrants from the Company. Since the convertible note hedge and warrant are both indexed to the Company's common stock and otherwise would be classified as equity, Aceto recorded both elements as equity, resulting in a net reduction to capital in excess of par value of \$13,489.

The carrying values of all financial instruments classified as a current asset or current liability are deemed to approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments. The fair values of the Company's notes receivable and short-term and long-term bank loans were based upon current rates offered for similar financial instruments to the Company.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

The following tables summarize the valuation of the Company's financial assets and liabilities which were determined by using the following inputs at June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2016 Using			
	Quoted			
	Prices	Significant	Significant	Total
	in	Other	Unobservable	
	Active	Observable	Inputs	
	Markets	Inputs	(Level 3)	
	(Level	(Level 2)		
	1)			
Cash equivalents:				
Time deposits	-	\$ 6,249	-	\$ 6,249
Investments:				
Time deposits	-	881	-	881
Foreign currency contracts-assets (1)	-	160	-	160
Foreign currency contracts-liabilities (2)	-	169	-	169
Contingent consideration (3)	-	-	\$ 132	132

(1) Included in "Other receivables" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2016.

(2) Included in "Accrued expenses" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2016.

(3) Included in "Long-term liabilities" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2016.

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2015 Using			
	Quoted			
	Prices	Significant	Significant	Total
	in	Other	Unobservable	
	Active	Observable	Inputs	
	Markets	Inputs	(Level 3)	
	(Level	(Level 2)		
	1)			
Cash equivalents:				

Edgar Filing: ACETO CORP - Form 10-K

Time deposits	-	\$ 6,376	-	\$ 6,376
Investments:				
Time deposits	-	3,416	-	3,416
Foreign currency contracts-assets (4)	-	119	-	119
Foreign currency contracts-liabilities (5)	-	767	-	767
Derivative liability for interest rate swap (6)	-	338	-	338
Contingent consideration (7)	-	-	\$ 2,622	2,622

(4) Included in "Other receivables" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2015.

(5) Included in "Accrued expenses" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2015.

(6) \$13 included in "Accrued expenses" and \$325 included in "Long-term liabilities" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2015.

(7) \$1,480 included in "Accrued expenses" and \$1,142 included in "Long-term liabilities" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2015.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014***(in thousands, except per-share amounts)***(6) Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets**

As of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015, there was goodwill of \$67,871 and \$67,870, respectively.

Changes in the Company's goodwill during 2016 and 2015 are as follows:

	Human Health Segment	Pharmaceutical Ingredients Segment	Performance Chemicals Segment	Total Goodwill
Balance as of June 30, 2014	\$ 64,461	\$ 1,832	\$ 223	\$ 66,516
Measurement period adjustments	1,578	-	-	1,578
Changes in foreign currency exchange rates	-	(182)	(42)	(224)
Balance as of June 30, 2015	66,039	1,650	181	67,870
Changes in foreign currency exchange rates	-	1	-	1
Balance as of June 30, 2016	\$ 66,039	\$ 1,651	\$ 181	\$ 67,871

Intangible assets subject to amortization as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 were as follows:

	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value
June 30, 2016			
Customer relationships	\$21,761	\$ 7,815	\$ 13,946
Trademarks	1,868	1,800	68
Product rights and related intangibles	83,048	23,511	59,537
License agreements	6,611	5,531	1,080
EPA registrations and related data	13,591	9,927	3,664
Technology-based intangibles	155	140	15

Edgar Filing: ACETO CORP - Form 10-K

\$ 127,034 \$ 48,724 \$ 78,310

	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value
June 30, 2015			
Customer relationships	\$21,664	\$ 6,013	\$ 15,651
Trademarks	1,868	1,756	112
Product rights and related intangibles	73,261	16,410	56,851
License agreements	6,037	4,568	1,469
EPA registrations and related data	12,800	8,683	4,117
Technology-based intangibles	155	118	37
	\$115,785	\$ 37,548	\$ 78,237

Intangible assets with definitive useful lives are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives. The straight-line method is utilized as it best reflects the use of the asset. The estimated useful lives of customer relationships, trademarks, product rights and related intangibles, license agreements, EPA registrations and related data and technology-based intangibles are 7-11 years, 3-4 years, 3-14 years, 6-11 years, 10 years, and 7 years, respectively.

As of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015, the Company also had \$761 and \$760, respectively, of intangible assets pertaining to trademarks which have indefinite lives and are not subject to amortization. The change in trademarks with indefinite lives is attributable to foreign currency exchange rates used to translate the financial statements of foreign subsidiaries.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014***(in thousands, except per-share amounts)*

Amortization expense for intangible assets subject to amortization amounted to \$11,176, \$10,278 and \$6,662 for the years ended June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The estimated aggregate amortization expense for intangible assets subject to amortization for each of the succeeding years ending June 30, 2017 through June 30, 2022 are as follows: 2017: \$10,584; 2018: \$9,815; 2019: \$9,320; 2020: \$8,830; 2021: \$8,784 and 2022 and thereafter: \$30,977.

(7) Accrued Expenses

The components of accrued expenses as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 were as follows:

	2016	2015
Accrued compensation	\$6,880	\$6,942
Accrued environmental remediation costs-current portion	9,180	8,084
Reserve for price concessions	31,342	35,965
Other accrued expenses	5,273	8,850
	\$52,675	\$59,841

(8) Environmental Remediation

In fiscal years 2011, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company received letters from the Pulvair Site Group, a group of potentially responsible parties (PRP Group) who are working with the State of Tennessee (the State) to remediate a contaminated property in Tennessee called the Pulvair site. The PRP Group has alleged that Aceto shipped hazardous substances to the site which were released into the environment. The State had begun administrative proceedings against the members of the PRP Group and Aceto with respect to the cleanup of the Pulvair site and the PRP Group has begun to undertake cleanup. The PRP Group is seeking a settlement of approximately \$1,700 from the Company for its share to remediate the site contamination. Although the Company acknowledges that it shipped materials to the site for formulation over twenty years ago, the Company believes that the evidence does not show that the hazardous materials sent by Aceto to the site have significantly contributed to the contamination of the environment and thus believes that, at most, it is a de minimis contributor to the site contamination. Accordingly, the Company believes that

the settlement offer is unreasonable. Management believes that the ultimate outcome of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or liquidity.

The Company has environmental remediation obligations in connection with Arsynco, Inc. ("Arsynco"), a subsidiary formerly involved in manufacturing chemicals located in Carlstadt, New Jersey, which was closed in 1993 and is currently held for sale. Based on continued monitoring of the contamination at the site and the approved plan of remediation, Arsynco received an estimate from an environmental consultant stating that the total cost of remediation could be between \$19,400 and \$21,200. Remediation commenced in fiscal 2010, and as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, a liability of \$12,532 and \$11,079, respectively, is included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets for this matter. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2016, \$1,313 environmental remediation charge was recorded and included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statement of income. In accordance with GAAP, management believes that the majority of costs incurred to remediate the site will be capitalized in preparing the property which is currently classified as held for sale. An appraisal of the fair value of the property by a third-party appraiser supports the assumption that the expected fair value after the remediation is in excess of the amount required to be capitalized. However, these matters, if resolved in a manner different from those assumed in current estimates, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, operating results and cash flows when resolved in a future reporting period.

In connection with the environmental remediation obligation for Arsynco, in July 2009, Arsynco entered into a settlement agreement with BASF Corporation ("BASF"), the former owners of the Arsynco property. In accordance with the settlement agreement, BASF paid for a portion of the prior remediation costs and going forward, will co-remediate the property with the Company. The contract requires that BASF pay \$550 related to past response costs and pay a proportionate share of the future remediation costs. Accordingly, the Company had recorded a gain of \$550 in fiscal 2009. This \$550 gain relates to the partial reimbursement of costs of approximately \$1,200 that the Company had previously expensed. The Company also recorded an additional receivable from BASF, with an offset against property held for sale, representing its estimated portion of the future remediation costs. The balance of this receivable for future remediation costs as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 is \$5,639 and \$4,985, respectively, which is included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

In March 2006, Arsynco received notice from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) of its status as a PRP under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) for a site described as the Berry’s Creek Study Area (“BCSA”). Arsynco is one of over 150 PRPs which have potential liability for the required investigation and remediation of the site. The estimate of the potential liability is not quantifiable for a number of reasons, including the difficulty in determining the extent of contamination and the length of time remediation may require. In addition, any estimate of liability must also consider the number of other PRPs and their financial strength. In July 2014, Arsynco received notice from the U.S. Department of Interior (“USDOI”) regarding the USDOI’s intent to perform a Natural Resource Damage (NRD) Assessment at the BCSA. Arsynco has to date declined to participate in the development and performance of the NRD assessment process. Based on prior practice in similar situations, it is possible that the State may assert a claim for natural resource damages with respect to the Arsynco site itself, and either the federal government or the State (or both) may assert claims against Arsynco for natural resource damages in connection with Berry’s Creek; any such claim with respect to Berry’s Creek could also be asserted against the approximately 150 PRPs which the EPA has identified in connection with that site. Any claim for natural resource damages with respect to the Arsynco site itself may also be asserted against BASF, the former owner of the Arsynco property. In September 2012, Arsynco entered into an agreement with three of the other PRPs that had previously been impleaded into New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, et al. v. Occidental Chemical Corporation, et al., Docket No. ESX-L-9868-05 (the “NJDEP Litigation”) and were considering impleading Arsynco into the same proceeding. Arsynco entered into an agreement to avoid impleader. Pursuant to the agreement, Arsynco agreed to (1) a tolling period that would not be included when computing the running of any statute of limitations that might provide a defense to the NJDEP Litigation; (2) the waiver of certain issue preclusion defenses in the NJDEP Litigation; and (3) arbitration of certain potential future liability allocation claims if the other parties to the agreement are barred by a court of competent jurisdiction from proceeding against Arsynco. In July 2015, Arsynco was contacted by an allocation consultant retained by a group of the named PRPs, inviting Arsynco to participate in the allocation among the PRPs’ investigation and remediation costs relating to the BCSA. Arsynco declined that invitation. Since the amount of the liability cannot be reasonably estimated at this time, no accrual is recorded for these potential future costs. The impact of the resolution of this matter on the Company’s results of operations in a particular reporting period is not currently known.

(9) Debt

Long-term debt

	June 30,	
	2016	2015
Convertible Senior Notes, net	\$ 115,829	\$-
Revolving bank loans	-	45,000
Term bank loans	-	62,000
Mortgage	2,960	3,157
	118,789	110,157
Less current portion	197	10,197
	\$ 118,592	\$ 99,960

Convertible Senior Notes

In November 2015, Aceto offered \$125,000 aggregate principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes due 2020 (the "Notes") in a private offering to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. In addition, Aceto granted the initial purchasers for the offering an option to purchase up to an additional \$18,750 aggregate principal amount pursuant to the initial purchasers' option to purchase additional Notes, which was exercised in November 2015. Therefore the total offering was \$143,750 aggregate principal amount. The Notes are unsecured obligations of Aceto and rank senior in right of payment to any of Aceto's subordinated indebtedness, equal in right of payment to all of Aceto's unsecured indebtedness that is not subordinated, effectively junior in right of payment to any of Aceto's secured indebtedness to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness and structurally junior in right of payment to all indebtedness and other liabilities (including trade payables) of Aceto's subsidiaries. Interest will be payable semi-annually in arrears. The Notes will be convertible into cash, shares of Aceto common stock or a combination thereof, at Aceto's election, upon the satisfaction of specified conditions and during certain periods. The Notes will mature in November 2020. After deducting the underwriting discounts and commissions and other expenses (including the net cost of the bond hedge and warrant, discussed below), the net proceeds from the offering was approximately \$125,108. The Notes pay 2.0% interest semi-annually in arrears on May 1 and November 1 of each year, which commenced on May 1, 2016. The Notes are convertible into 4,328 shares of common stock, based on an initial conversion price of \$33.215 per share.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

Holders may convert all or any portion of their notes, in multiples of one thousand dollar principal amount, at their option at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding May 1, 2020 only under the following circumstances: (i) during any calendar quarter (and only during such calendar quarter), if the last reported sale price of the common stock for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter is greater than or equal to 130% of the conversion price on each applicable trading day, (ii) during the five consecutive business day period after any five consecutive trading day period (which is referred to as the “measurement period”) in which the trading price per one thousand dollar principal amount of Notes for each trading day of the measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last reported sale price of Aceto’s common stock and the conversion rate on each such trading day; or (iii) upon the occurrence of specified corporate events.

Upon conversion by the holders, the Company may elect to settle such conversion in shares of its common stock, cash, or a combination thereof. As a result of its cash conversion option, the Company separately accounted for the value of the embedded conversion option as a debt discount (with an offset to capital in excess of par value) of \$27,241. The value of the embedded conversion option was determined based on the estimated fair value of the debt without the conversion feature, which was determined using an expected present value technique (income approach) to estimate the fair value of similar non-convertible debt (see Note 5); the debt discount is being amortized as additional non-cash interest expense using the effective interest method over the term of the Notes.

Offering costs of \$5,153 have been allocated to the debt and equity components in proportion to the allocation of proceeds to the components, as debt issuance costs and equity issuance costs, respectively. The debt issuance costs of \$4,177 are being amortized as additional non-cash interest expense using the straight-line method over the term of the debt, since this method was not significantly different from the effective interest method. The \$976 portion allocated to equity issuance costs was charged to capital in excess of par value. As discussed in Note 18, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Update 2015-03, *Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs* in the second quarter of fiscal 2016. The Company presents debt issuance costs as a direct deduction from the carrying value of the debt liability rather than showing the debt issuance costs as a deferred charge on the balance sheet.

In connection with the offering of the Notes, Aceto entered into privately negotiated convertible note hedge transactions with option counterparties, which are affiliates of certain of the initial purchasers. The convertible Note

hedge transactions are expected generally to reduce the potential dilution to Aceto's common stock and/or offset any cash payments Aceto is required to make in excess of the principal amount of converted Notes upon any conversion of Notes. Aceto also entered into privately negotiated warrant transactions with the option counterparties. The warrant transactions could separately have a dilutive effect to the extent that the market price per share of Aceto's common stock as measured over the applicable valuation period at the maturity of the warrants exceeds the applicable strike price of the warrants. By entering into these transactions with the option counterparties, the Company issued convertible debt and a freestanding "call-spread." A call-spread consists of Aceto's (1) purchasing a call option on its own shares with an exercise price of \$33.215 and (2) writing a call option on its own shares at a higher strike price of \$44.71 (premium of 75%) (i.e., issuing a warrant). The purchased call option has an exercise price equal to the conversion price of Aceto's convertible debt, which economically reduces the potential common stock dilution that may arise from the conversion of the Notes. The written call option has a higher strike price to partially finance the purchased call option. Since the convertible note hedge and warrant are both indexed to the Company's common stock and otherwise would be classified as equity, Aceto recorded both elements as equity, resulting in a net reduction to capital in excess of par value of \$13,489.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014***(in thousands, except per-share amounts)*

The carrying value of the Notes is as follows:

	June 30, 2016
Principal amount	\$ 143,750
Unamortized debt discount	(24,267)
Unamortized debt issuance costs	(3,654)
Net carrying value	\$ 115,829

The following table sets forth the components of total “interest expense” related to the Notes recognized in the accompanying consolidated statements of income for the year ended June 30:

	Year Ended June 30, 2016
Contractual coupon	\$ 1,788
Amortization of debt discount	2,974
Amortization of debt issuance costs	522
	\$ 5,284

Credit Facilities

On October 28, 2015, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the “A&R Credit Agreement”), which amended and restated in its entirety the Credit Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2014 with three domestic financial institutions, as amended on June 25, 2015 by Amendment No. 1 to the Credit Agreement (together, the “First Amended Credit Agreement”). The A&R Credit Agreement increases the aggregate available revolving

commitment under the First Amended Credit Agreement from \$75,000 to an initial aggregate available revolving commitment of \$150,000 (the “Initial Revolving Commitment”), which may be increased in accordance with the terms and conditions of the A&R Credit Agreement by an aggregate amount not to exceed \$100,000 (the “Expansion Commitment” and, together with the Initial Revolving Commitment, the “Revolving Commitment”). Under the A&R Credit Agreement, the Company may borrow, repay and reborrow loans up to the Revolving Commitment from and as of October 28, 2015, to but excluding the earlier of October 28, 2020 and the termination of the Revolving Commitment, in amounts up to, but not exceeding at any one time, the Revolving Commitment. The A&R Credit Agreement does not provide for any term loan commitment. The proceeds from initial borrowings under the A&R Credit Agreement have been used to repay all amounts outstanding pursuant to the term loan commitment and revolving loan commitment under Aceto’s First Amended Credit Agreement. The proceeds from the issuance of the Notes were used to pay initial borrowings under the A&R Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2016, there were no amounts outstanding under the A&R Credit Agreement.

The A&R Credit Agreement provides for (i) Eurodollar Loans (as such term is defined in the A&R Credit Agreement), (ii) ABR Loans (as such term is defined in the A&R Credit Agreement) or (iii) a combination thereof. Borrowings under the A&R Credit Agreement will bear interest per annum at a base rate or, at the Company’s option, LIBOR, plus an applicable margin ranging from 0.00% to 0.75% in the case of ABR Loans, and 1.00% to 1.75% in the case of Eurodollar Loans. The applicable interest rate margin percentage will be determined by the Company’s senior secured net leverage ratio.

The A&R Credit Agreement, similar to Aceto’s First Amended Credit Agreement, provides that commercial letters of credit shall be issued to provide the primary payment mechanism in connection with the purchase of any materials, goods or services in the ordinary course of business. The Company had open letters of credit of approximately \$0 and \$21 at June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015 respectively.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

The A&R Credit Agreement, like Aceto's First Amended Credit Agreement, provides for a security interest in substantially all of the personal property of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries. The A&R Credit Agreement contains several financial covenants including, among other things, maintaining a minimum level of debt service. Under the A&R Credit Agreement, the Company and its subsidiaries are also subject to certain restrictive covenants, including, among other things, covenants governing liens, limitations on indebtedness, limitations on guarantees, limitations on sales of assets and sales of receivables, and limitations on loans and investments. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at June 30, 2016.

The Company has available lines of credit with foreign financial institutions. At June 30, 2016, the Company had available lines of credit with foreign financial institutions totaling \$7,397. At June 30, 2015, the Company had available lines of credit with foreign financial institutions totaling \$7,391. The Company has issued a cross corporate guarantee to the foreign banks. Short term loans under these agreements bear interest at a fixed rate of 4.5% at June 30, 2016 and 5.0% at June 30, 2015 and 2014. The Company is not subject to any financial covenants under these arrangements.

Under the above financing arrangements, the Company had \$0 in bank loans and \$0 in letters of credit leaving an unused facility of \$155,639 at June 30, 2016. At June 30, 2015 the Company had \$107,000 in bank loans and \$21 in letters of credit leaving an unused facility of \$37,370.

Mortgage

On June 30, 2011, the Company entered into a mortgage payable for \$3,947 on its new corporate headquarters, in Port Washington, New York. This mortgage payable is secured by the land and building and is being amortized over a period of 20 years. The mortgage payable, which was modified in October 2013, bears interest at 4.92% as of June 30, 2016 and matures on June 30, 2021.

Maturity of Long-term Debt

Long-term debt matures by fiscal year as follows:

2017	\$ 197
2018	197
2019	197
2020	197
2021	118,001
Thereafter	-
	\$ 118,789

(10) Stock Based Compensation Plans

At the annual meeting of shareholders of the Company, held on December 15, 2015, the Company's shareholders approved the Aceto Corporation 2015 Equity Participation Plan (the "2015 Plan"). Under the 2015 Plan, grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other stock-based awards ("Stock Awards") may be offered to employees, non-employee directors, consultants and advisors of the Company, including the chief executive officer, chief financial officer and other named executive officers. The maximum number of shares of common stock of the Company that may be issued pursuant to Stock Awards granted under the 2015 Plan will not exceed, in the aggregate, 4,250 shares. Stock Awards that are intended to qualify as "performance-based compensation" for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, may be granted. Performance-based awards may be granted, vested and paid based on the attainment of specified performance goals.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

At the annual meeting of shareholders of the Company, held on December 6, 2012, the Company's shareholders approved the amended and restated Aceto Corporation 2010 Equity Participation Plan (the "2010 Plan"). Under the 2010 Plan, grants of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights, and stock bonuses may be made to employees, non-employee directors and consultants of the Company. The maximum number of shares of common stock of the Company that may be issued pursuant to awards granted under the 2010 Plan will not exceed, in the aggregate, 5,250 shares. In addition, restricted stock may be granted to an eligible participant in lieu of a portion of any annual cash bonus earned by such participant. Such award may include additional shares of restricted stock (premium shares) greater than the portion of bonus paid in restricted stock. The restricted stock award is vested at issuance and the restrictions lapse ratably over a period of years as determined by the Board of Directors, generally three years. The premium shares vest when all the restrictions lapse, provided that the participant remains employed by the Company at that time.

At the annual meeting of shareholders of the Company held December 6, 2007, the shareholders approved the Aceto Corporation 2007 Long-Term Performance Incentive Plan (the "2007 Plan"). The Company has reserved 700 shares of common stock for issuance under the 2007 Plan to the Company's employees and non-employee directors. There are five types of awards that may be granted under the 2007 Plan—options to purchase common stock, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance incentive units.

As of June 30, 2016, there were 4,250, 174 and 0 shares of common stock available for grant under the 2015, 2010 and 2007 Plans, respectively.

In September 2002, the Company adopted the Aceto Corporation 2002 Stock Option Plan (2002 Plan), which was ratified by the Company's shareholders in December 2002. The 2002 Plan expired in December 2012. Outstanding options survive the expiration of the 2002 Plan.

In December 1998, the Company adopted the Aceto Corporation 1998 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (1998 Plan). The 1998 Plan expired in December 2008. Outstanding options survive the expiration of the 1998 Plan.

Edgar Filing: ACETO CORP - Form 10-K

The following summarizes the shares of common stock under options for all plans at June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, and the activity with respect to options for the respective years then ended:

	Shares subject to option	Weighted average exercise price per share	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Balance at June 30, 2013	960	\$ 8.36	
Granted	-	-	
Exercised	(392)) 9.34	
Forfeited (including cancelled options)	(17)) 6.58	
Balance at June 30, 2014	551	\$ 7.72	
Granted	-	-	
Exercised	(146)) 8.74	
Forfeited (including cancelled options)	(8)) 10.94	
Balance at June 30, 2015	397	\$ 7.28	
Granted	-	-	
Exercised	(95)) 7.56	
Forfeited (including cancelled options)	-	-	
Balance at June 30, 2016	302	\$ 7.19	\$ 4,439
Options exercisable at June 30, 2016	302	\$ 7.19	\$ 4,439

The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the years ended June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 was approximately \$1,700, \$1,713 and \$3,607, respectively. The weighted average remaining contractual life of options outstanding at June 30, 2016 was approximately 4 years.

There were no stock options granted in fiscal years 2016, 2015 or 2014.

Under the 2010 Plan, 2002 Plan and the 1998 Plan, compensation expense is recorded for the fair value of the restricted stock awards in the year the related bonus is earned and over the vesting period for the market value at the date of grant of the premium shares granted. In fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, restricted stock awarded and premium shares vested of 7, 5 and 7 common shares, respectively, were issued under employee incentive plans, which increased stockholders' equity by \$113, \$77 and \$93, respectively. The related non-cash compensation expense related to the vesting of premium shares during the year was \$22, \$22 and \$20 in fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Additionally, non-cash compensation expense of \$0, \$21 and \$207 was recorded in fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, relating to stock option grants, which is included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

During the year ended June 30, 2016, the Company granted 221 shares of restricted common stock to its employees that vest over three years and 14 shares of restricted common stock to its non-employee directors, which vest over approximately one year as well as 46 restricted stock units that have varying vest dates through July 2017. In addition, the Company also issued a target grant of 142 performance-vested restricted stock units, which grant could be as much as 248 if certain performance criteria and market conditions are met. Performance-vested restricted stock units will cliff vest 100% at the end of the third year following grant in accordance with the performance metrics set forth in the applicable employee performance-vested restricted stock unit grant.

During the year ended June 30, 2015, the Company granted 165 shares of restricted common stock to its employees that vest over three years and 12 shares of restricted common stock to its non-employee directors, which vest over approximately one year as well as 67 restricted stock units that have varying vest dates through August 2016. In addition, the Company also issued a target grant of 116 performance-vested restricted stock units, which grant could be as much as 203 if certain performance criteria and market conditions are met. Performance-vested restricted stock units will cliff vest 100% at the end of the third year following grant in accordance with the performance metrics set forth in the applicable employee performance-vested restricted stock unit grant.

During the year ended June 30, 2014, the Company granted 214 shares of restricted common stock to its employees that vest over three years and 11 shares of restricted common stock to its non-employee directors, which vest over approximately one year as well as 32 restricted stock units that have varying vest dates from August 2014 through July 2015. In addition, the Company also issued a target grant of 131 performance-vested restricted stock units, which grant could be as much as 196 if certain performance criteria and market conditions are met. Performance-vested restricted stock units will cliff vest 100% at the end of the third year following grant in accordance with the performance metrics set forth in the applicable employee performance-vested restricted stock unit grant.

For the years ended June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$6,697, \$4,494, and \$2,929, respectively, which is included in selling, general and administrative expenses, for shares of restricted common stock and restricted stock units.

The remaining stock-based compensation expense for restricted stock awards and units is approximately \$7,997 at June 30, 2016 and the related weighted average period over which it is expected that such unrecognized compensation cost will be recognized is approximately 1.8 years.

A summary of restricted stock awards including restricted stock units as of June 30, 2016, is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted average grant date fair value
Non-vested at beginning of year	688	\$ 15.81
Granted	422	22.99
Vested	(274)	12.64
Forfeited	(41)	15.49
Non-vested at June 30, 2016	795	\$ 20.73

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014***(in thousands, except per-share amounts)***(11) Interest and Other Income**

Interest and other income during fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014 was comprised of the following:

	2016	2015	2014
Dividends	\$222	\$233	\$257
Interest	313	282	237
Foreign government subsidies received	25	22	38
Joint venture equity earnings	2,060	1,761	2,024
Foreign currency gains (losses)	56	(1,065)	(102)
Rental income	154	151	144
Miscellaneous (expense) income	(7)	102	(96)
	\$2,823	\$1,486	\$2,502

The Company's joint venture earnings represent the Company's investment in a corporate joint venture established for the purpose of selling a particular agricultural protection product. The Company's initial investment was \$6 in fiscal 2009, representing a 30% ownership and the Company accounts for this joint venture using the equity method of accounting.

(12) Income Taxes

The components of income before the provision for income taxes are as follows:

	2016	2015	2014
Domestic operations	\$43,906	\$48,276	\$30,884
Foreign operations	9,948	5,589	13,790

\$53,854 \$53,865 \$44,674

The components of the provision for income taxes are as follows:

	2016	2015	2014
Federal:			
Current	\$15,129	\$18,393	\$12,720
Deferred	(204)	(1,357)	(2,728)
State and local:			
Current	755	1,526	1,547
Deferred	173	189	(113)
Foreign:			
Current	3,222	2,337	4,490
Deferred	13	(706)	(242)
	\$19,088	\$20,382	\$15,674

Income taxes payable, which is included in accrued expenses, was \$2,119 and \$0 at June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014***(in thousands, except per-share amounts)*

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to the deferred tax assets and liabilities at June 30, 2016 and 2015 are presented below:

	2016	2015
Deferred tax assets:		
Accrued deferred compensation	\$4,122	\$3,025
Accrual for sales deductions not currently deductible	5,925	6,388
Additional inventoried costs for tax purposes	389	262
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable	106	132
Depreciation and amortization	7,784	6,899
Debt issuance costs	9,462	-
Accrual for payments to former senior management and other personnel related costs	-	29
Contingent consideration	-	286
Foreign deferred tax assets	1,121	1,201
Domestic net operating loss carryforwards	109	132
Foreign net operating loss carryforwards	685	678
Total gross deferred tax assets	29,703	19,032
Valuation allowances	(794)	(810)
	28,909	18,222
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Foreign deferred tax liabilities	(27)	(66)
Goodwill	(7,586)	(6,117)
Original issue discount – convertible senior notes	(9,115)	-
Other	(26)	(83)
Total gross deferred tax liabilities	(16,754)	(6,266)
Net deferred tax assets	\$12,155	\$11,956

The following table shows the current and non-current deferred tax assets (liabilities) at June 30, 2016 and 2015:

2016	2015
------	------

Current deferred tax assets, net	\$3,244	\$2,050
Non-current deferred tax assets, net	18,053	9,972
Current deferred tax liabilities	-	-
Non-current deferred tax liabilities	(9,142)	(66)
Net deferred tax assets	\$12,155	\$11,956

The net change in the total valuation allowance for the years ended June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015 was a decrease of \$16 and \$205, respectively. A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion, or all, of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The Company has established valuation allowances primarily for net operating loss carryforwards in certain foreign countries. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets are not expected to be realized. The assessment of the amount of value assigned to the Company's deferred tax assets under the applicable accounting rules is judgmental. Management is required to consider all available positive and negative evidence in evaluating the likelihood that the Company will be able to realize the benefit of its deferred tax assets in the future. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which net operating loss carryforwards are utilizable and temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, taxable income in carryback years if carryback is permitted and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. In order to fully realize the net deferred tax assets recognized at June 30, 2016, the Company will need to generate future taxable income of approximately \$33,400.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014***(in thousands, except per-share amounts)*

Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for taxable income over the periods which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes it is more likely than not the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences. There can be no assurance, however, that the Company will generate any earnings or any specific level of continuing earnings in the future. The amount of the deferred tax asset considered realizable, however, could be reduced in the near term if estimates of future taxable income during the carryforward period are reduced.

Deferred taxes have not been provided for undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries amounting to approximately \$106,597 at June 30, 2016 since substantially all of these earnings are expected to be indefinitely reinvested in foreign operations. A deferred tax liability will be recognized when the Company expects that it will recover these undistributed earnings in a taxable manner, such as through the receipt of dividends or sale of the investments. The Company intends to indefinitely reinvest the remaining undistributed earnings and has no plan for further repatriation. Determination of the amount of unrecognized deferred U.S. income tax liabilities, net of unrecognized foreign tax credits, is not practical to calculate because of the complexity of this hypothetical calculation resulting in various methods available, each with different U.S. tax consequences.

A reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate and the effective tax rate for continuing operations for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 follows:

	2016	2015	2014
Federal statutory tax rate	35.0 %	35.0 %	35.0 %
State and local taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	1.7	2.4	2.5
Decrease (increase) in valuation allowance	-	0.4	(0.1)
Foreign tax rate differential	(0.4)	(0.9)	(1.1)
Other	(0.9)	0.9	(1.2)
Effective tax rate	35.4 %	37.8 %	35.1 %

The Company operates in various tax jurisdictions, and although we believe that we have provided for income and other taxes in accordance with the relevant regulations, if the applicable regulations were ultimately interpreted differently by a taxing authority, we may be exposed to additional tax liabilities.

There are no material unrecognized tax benefits included in the consolidated balance sheet that would, if recognized, have a material effect on the Company's effective tax rate. The Company is continuing its practice of recognizing interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. The Company did not recognize interest and penalties during the years ended June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015. The Company files U.S. federal, U.S. state, and foreign tax returns, and is generally no longer subject to tax examinations for fiscal years prior to 2012 (in the case of certain foreign tax returns, fiscal year 2011).

(13) Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Cash paid for interest and income taxes during fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014 was as follows:

	2016	2015	2014
Interest	\$2,970	\$3,954	\$2,100
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$16,076	\$25,459	\$14,645

The Company had non-cash items excluded from the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows during the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 of \$294 and \$726, respectively, related to capitalized environmental remediation costs and property held for sale and \$1,578 measurement period adjustments to goodwill during the year ended June 30, 2015. In connection with the acquisition of PACK, the Company issued shares of Aceto common stock with a fair market value of \$5,685 which is a non-cash item and is excluded from the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows during the year ended June 30, 2014.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

(14) Retirement Plans

Defined Contribution Plans

The Company has defined contribution retirement plans in which certain employees are eligible to participate, including deferred compensation plans (see below). The Company's annual contribution per employee, which is at management's discretion, is based on a percentage of the employee's compensation. The Company's provision for these defined contribution plans amounted to \$1,957, \$1,849 and \$1,474 in fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Defined Benefit Plans

The Company sponsors certain defined benefit pension plans covering certain employees of its German subsidiaries who meet the plan's eligibility requirements. The accrued pension liability as of June 30, 2016 was \$853. The accrued pension liability as of June 30, 2015 was \$926. Net periodic pension costs, which consists principally of interest cost and service cost was \$28 in fiscal 2016, \$53 in fiscal 2015 and \$80 in fiscal 2014. The Company's plans are funded in conformity with the funding requirements of the applicable government regulations. An assumed weighted average discount rate of 1.9%, 1.6% and 3.0% and a compensation increase rate of 0.0%, 0.0% and 0.0% were used in determining the actuarial present value of benefit obligations as of June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Deferred Compensation Plans

To comply with the requirements of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, as of December 2004, the Company froze its non-qualified Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the Frozen Plan) and has not allowed any further deferrals or contributions to the Frozen Plan after December 31, 2004. All of the earned benefits of the participants in the Frozen Plan as of December 31, 2004, will be preserved under the existing plan provisions.

On March 14, 2005, the Company's Board of Directors adopted the Aceto Corporation Supplemental Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (the Plan). The Plan is a non-qualified deferred compensation plan intended to provide certain qualified executives with supplemental benefits beyond the Company's 401(k) plan, as well as to permit additional deferrals of a portion of their compensation. The Plan is intended to comply with the provisions of section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and is designed to provide comparable benefits to those under the Frozen Plan. Substantially all compensation deferred under the Plan, as well as Company contributions, is held by the Company in a grantor trust, which is considered an asset of the Company. The assets held by the grantor trust are in life insurance policies. Effective July 1, 2013, the Plan was frozen and a new plan, entitled "Aceto Corporation 2013 Senior Executive Retirement Plan" was adopted by the Company's Board of Directors.

As of June 30, 2016, the Company recorded a liability under the Plans of \$3,046 (of which \$3,028 is included in long-term liabilities and \$18 is included in accrued expenses) and an asset (included in other assets) of \$2,693, primarily representing the cash surrender value of policies owned by the Company. As of June 30, 2015, the Company recorded a liability under the Plans of \$2,974 (of which \$2,855 is included in long-term liabilities and \$119 is included in accrued expenses) and an asset (included in other assets) of \$2,550, primarily representing the cash surrender value of policies owned by the Company.

(15) Financial Instruments

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company is exposed to credit losses in the event of non-performance by the financial institutions, who are the counterparties, on its future foreign currency contracts. The Company anticipates, however, that the financial institutions will be able to fully satisfy their obligations under the contracts. The Company does not obtain collateral to support financial instruments, but monitors the credit standing of the financial institutions.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

Off-Balance Sheet Risk

Commercial letters of credit are issued by the Company during the ordinary course of business through major banks as requested by certain suppliers. The Company had open letters of credit of approximately \$0 and \$21 as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The terms of these letters of credit are all less than one year. No material loss is anticipated due to non-performance by the counterparties to these agreements.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values of all financial instruments classified as a current asset or current liability are deemed to approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments. The fair value of the Company's notes receivable and accrued expenses was based upon current rates offered for similar financial instruments to the Company. The Company believes that borrowings outstanding under its long-term bank loans and mortgage approximate fair value because such borrowings bear interest at current variable market rates.

Business and Credit Concentration

Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of trade receivables. The Company's customers are dispersed across many industries and are located throughout the United States as well as in Canada, France, Germany, Malaysia, The Netherlands, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, and other countries. The Company estimates an allowance for doubtful accounts based upon the creditworthiness of its customers as well as general economic conditions. Consequently, an adverse change in those factors could affect the Company's estimate of this allowance. At June 30, 2016, three customers approximated 34%, 20% and 11%, respectively, of net trade accounts receivable. At June 30, 2015, two customers approximated 40% and 21%, respectively, of net trade accounts receivable.

One customer accounted for 14% of net sales in fiscal 2016. One customer accounted for 13% of net sales in fiscal 2015. No single customer accounted for as much as 10% of net sales in fiscal 2014. No single product accounted for as much as 10% of net sales in fiscal 2016, 2015 or 2014.

During the fiscal years ended June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, approximately 56%, 65% and 64%, respectively, of the Company's purchases came from Asia and approximately 22%, 12% and 14%, respectively, came from Europe.

The Company maintains operations located outside of the United States. Net assets located in Europe and Asia approximated \$62,399 and \$48,846, respectively at June 30, 2016. Net assets located in Europe and Asia approximated \$57,161 and \$47,097, respectively at June 30, 2015.

(16) Commitments, Contingencies and Other Matters

As of June 30, 2016, the Company has outstanding purchase obligations totaling \$77,367 with suppliers to the Company's domestic and foreign operations to acquire certain products for resale to third party customers.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to various claims which have arisen in the normal course of business. The Company provides for costs related to contingencies when a loss from such claims is probable and the amount is reasonably determinable. In determining whether it is possible to provide an estimate of loss, or range of possible loss, the Company reviews and evaluates its litigation and regulatory matters on a quarterly basis in light of potentially relevant factual and legal developments. If the Company determines an unfavorable outcome is not probable or reasonably estimable, the Company does not accrue for a potential litigation loss. While the Company has determined that there is a reasonable possibility that a loss has been incurred, no amounts have been recognized in the financial statements, other than what has been discussed below, because the amount of the liability cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

In fiscal years 2011, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company received letters from the Pulvair Site Group, a group of potentially responsible parties (PRP Group) who are working with the State of Tennessee (the State) to remediate a contaminated property in Tennessee called the Pulvair site. The PRP Group has alleged that Aceto shipped hazardous substances to the site which were released into the environment. The State had begun administrative proceedings against the members of the PRP Group and Aceto with respect to the cleanup of the Pulvair site and the PRP Group has begun to undertake cleanup. The PRP Group is seeking a settlement of approximately \$1,700 from the Company for its share to remediate the site contamination. Although the Company acknowledges that it shipped materials to the site for formulation over twenty years ago, the Company believes that the evidence does not show that the hazardous materials sent by Aceto to the site have significantly contributed to the contamination of the environment and thus believes that, at most, it is a de minimis contributor to the site contamination. Accordingly, the Company believes that the settlement offer is unreasonable. Management believes that the ultimate outcome of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or liquidity.

The Company has environmental remediation obligations in connection with Arsynco, Inc. ("Arsynco"), a subsidiary formerly involved in manufacturing chemicals located in Carlstadt, New Jersey, which was closed in 1993 and is currently held for sale. Based on continued monitoring of the contamination at the site and the approved plan of remediation, Arsynco received an estimate from an environmental consultant stating that the costs of remediation could be between \$19,400 and \$21,200. Remediation commenced in fiscal 2010, and as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, a liability of \$12,532 and \$11,079, respectively, is included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets for this matter. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2016, \$1,313 environmental remediation charge was recorded and included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statement of income. In accordance with GAAP, management believes that the majority of costs incurred to remediate the site will be capitalized in preparing the property which is currently classified as held for sale. An appraisal of the fair value of the property by a third-party appraiser supports the assumption that the expected fair value after the remediation is in excess of the amount required to be capitalized. However, these matters, if resolved in a manner different from those assumed in current estimates, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, operating results and cash flows when resolved in a future reporting period.

In connection with the environmental remediation obligation for Arsynco, in July 2009, Arsynco entered into a settlement agreement with BASF Corporation ("BASF"), the former owners of the Arsynco property. In accordance with the settlement agreement, BASF paid for a portion of the prior remediation costs and going forward, will co-remediate the property with the Company. The contract requires that BASF pay \$550 related to past response costs and pay a proportionate share of the future remediation costs. Accordingly, the Company had recorded a gain of \$550

in fiscal 2009. This \$550 gain relates to the partial reimbursement of costs of approximately \$1,200 that the Company had previously expensed. The Company also recorded an additional receivable from BASF, with an offset against property held for sale, representing its estimated portion of the future remediation costs. The balance of this receivable for future remediation costs as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 is \$5,639 and \$4,985, respectively, which is included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

In March 2006, Arsynco received notice from the EPA of its status as a PRP under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) for a site described as the Berry's Creek Study Area ("BCSA"). Arsynco is one of over 150 PRPs which have potential liability for the required investigation and remediation of the site. The estimate of the potential liability is not quantifiable for a number of reasons, including the difficulty in determining the extent of contamination and the length of time remediation may require. In addition, any estimate of liability must also consider the number of other PRPs and their financial strength. In July 2014, Arsynco received notice from the U.S. Department of Interior ("USDO") regarding the USDO's intent to perform a Natural Resource Damage (NRD) Assessment at the BCSA. Arsynco has to date declined to participate in the development and performance of the NRD assessment process. Based on prior practice in similar situations, it is possible that the State may assert a claim for natural resource damages with respect to the Arsynco site itself, and either the federal government or the State (or both) may assert claims against Arsynco for natural resource damages in connection with Berry's Creek; any such claim with respect to Berry's Creek could also be asserted against the approximately 150 PRPs which the EPA has identified in connection with that site. Any claim for natural resource damages with respect to the Arsynco site itself may also be asserted against BASF, the former owners of the Arsynco property. In September 2012, Arsynco entered into an agreement with three of the other PRPs that had previously been impleaded into New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, et al. v. Occidental Chemical Corporation, et al., Docket No. ESX-L-9868-05 (the "NJDEP Litigation") and were considering impleading Arsynco into the same proceeding. Arsynco entered into an agreement to avoid impleader. Pursuant to the agreement, Arsynco agreed to (1) a tolling period that would not be included when computing the running of any statute of limitations that might provide a defense to the NJDEP Litigation; (2) the waiver of certain issue preclusion defenses in the NJDEP Litigation; and (3) arbitration of certain potential future liability allocation claims if the other parties to the agreement are barred by a court of competent jurisdiction from proceeding against Arsynco. In July 2015, Arsynco was contacted by an allocation consultant retained by a group of the named PRPs, inviting Arsynco to participate in the allocation among the PRPs' investigation and remediation costs relating to the BCSA. Arsynco declined that invitation. Since an amount of the liability cannot be reasonably estimated at this time, no accrual is recorded for these potential future costs. The impact of the resolution of this matter on the Company's results of operations in a particular reporting period is not currently known.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014***(in thousands, except per-share amounts)*

A subsidiary of the Company markets certain agricultural protection products which are subject to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). FIFRA requires that test data be provided to the EPA to register, obtain and maintain approved labels for pesticide products. The EPA requires that follow-on registrants of these products compensate the initial registrant for the cost of producing the necessary test data on a basis prescribed in the FIFRA regulations. Follow-on registrants do not themselves generate or contract for the data. However, when FIFRA requirements mandate that new test data be generated to enable all registrants to continue marketing a pesticide product, often both the initial and follow-on registrants establish a task force to jointly undertake the testing effort. The Company is presently a member of several such task force groups, which requires payments for such memberships. In addition, in connection with our agricultural protection business, the Company plans to acquire product registrations and related data filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency to support such registrations and other supporting data for several products. The acquisition of these product registrations and related data filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency as well as payments to various task force groups could approximate \$1,802 through fiscal 2017, of which \$0 has been accrued as of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015.

The Company leases office facilities in the United States, The Netherlands, Germany, France, Singapore and the Philippines expiring at various dates between October 2014 and June 2021.

At June 30, 2016, the future minimum lease payments for office facilities and equipment for each of the five succeeding years and in the aggregate are as follows:

Fiscal year	Amount
2017	\$ 1,419
2018	877
2019	377
2020	69
2021	3
Thereafter	-
	\$ 2,745

Total rental expense amounted to \$1,265, \$1,567 and \$1,576 for fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

(17) Related Party Transactions

During fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company purchased inventory from its joint venture in the amount of \$2,831, \$3,204 and \$2,808, respectively.

(18) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2016-09, *Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting*, which will change certain aspects of accounting for share-based payments to employees. ASU 2016-09 is effective for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the provisions of ASU 2016-09.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)* that replaces existing lease guidance. The new standard is intended to provide enhanced transparency and comparability by requiring lessees to record right-of-use assets and corresponding lease liabilities on the balance sheet. The new guidance will continue to classify leases as either finance or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense recognition in the statement of income. ASU 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the provisions of ASU 2016-02.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, *Income Taxes (Topic 740) Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Assets*. This ASU is intended to simplify the presentation of deferred taxes on the balance sheet and will require an entity to present all deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities as non-current on the balance sheet. Under the current guidance, entities are required to separately present deferred taxes as current or non-current. Netting deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities by tax jurisdiction will still be required under the new guidance. This guidance will be effective for Aceto beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2018, with early adoption permitted. The Company does not believe this new accounting standard update will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-16, *Business Combinations (Topic 805); Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments*. This ASU requires that an acquirer in a business combination recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which the adjustments amounts are determined. This is in contrast to existing guidance that requires retrospective adjustments to provisional amounts recognized in a business combination. This guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015. The Company does not believe that this updated standard will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-11, *Inventory (Topic 330) – Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory*. This ASU requires that an entity measure inventory at the lower of cost and net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal and transportation. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this guidance.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, *Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs*. The FASB issued ASU 2015-03 to simplify the presentation of debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability to present the debt issuance costs as a direct deduction from the carrying value of the debt liability rather than showing the debt issuance costs as a deferred charge on the balance sheet. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-15, *Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30) Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements*, which clarified that debt issuance costs associated with line of credit arrangements may continue to be presented as an asset, regardless of whether there are any outstanding borrowings on the line of credit arrangement. This guidance is effective for fiscal

years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015, with early adoption permitted. As previously discussed in Note 9, the Company adopted ASU 2015-03 during the second quarter of fiscal year 2016.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, *Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis*. ASU 2015-02 changes the analysis that a reporting entity must perform to determine whether it should consolidate certain types of legal entities. ASU 2015-02 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. The Company believes the adoption of ASU 2015-02 will not have an impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, *Presentation of Financial Statements-Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40)*. This ASU provides guidance to determine when and how to disclose going-concern uncertainties in the financial statements. The new standard requires management to assess an entity's ability to continue as a going concern, and to provide related footnote disclosure in certain circumstances. ASU 2014-15 will be effective for all entities in the first annual period ending after December 15, 2016. Earlier adoption is permitted. ASU 2014-15 will be effective for the Company beginning June 30, 2017. The Company does not believe that this pronouncement will have an impact on its consolidated financial statements.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*, which is the new comprehensive revenue recognition standard that will supersede all existing revenue recognition guidance under U.S. GAAP. The standard's core principle is that a company will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to a customer in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. In August 2015, the FASB subsequently issued ASU 2015-14, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers - Deferral of the Effective Date*, which approved a one year deferral of ASU 2014-09 for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period. In March 2016 and April 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-08, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers - Principal versus Agent Considerations (Reporting Revenue Gross versus Net)*, and ASU 2016-10, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers - Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing*, respectively, which further clarify the guidance related to those specific topics within ASU 2014-09. Additionally, in May 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-12, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers - Narrow Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients*, to reduce the risk of diversity in practice for certain aspects in ASU 2014-09, including collectibility, noncash consideration, presentation of sales tax and transition. The Company has not determined the impact of adoption on its consolidated financial statements.

(19) Segment Information

The Company's business is organized along product lines into three principal segments: Human Health, Pharmaceutical Ingredients and Performance Chemicals.

Human Health - includes finished dosage form generic drugs and nutraceutical products.

Pharmaceutical Ingredients – includes pharmaceutical intermediates and active pharmaceutical ingredients (“APIs”).

Performance Chemicals - The Performance Chemicals segment is made up of two product groups: Specialty Chemicals and Agricultural Protection Products. Specialty Chemicals include a variety of chemicals used in the

manufacture of plastics, surface coatings, cosmetics and personal care, textiles, fuels and lubricants, perform to their designed capabilities. Dye and pigment intermediates are used in the color-producing industries such as textiles, inks, paper, and coatings. Organic intermediates are used in the production of agrochemicals.

Agricultural Protection Products include herbicides, fungicides and insecticides that control weed growth as well as control the spread of insects and other microorganisms that can severely damage plant growth.

The Company's chief operating decision maker evaluates performance of the segments based on net sales, gross profit and income before income taxes. Unallocated corporate amounts are deemed by the Company as administrative, oversight costs, not managed by the segment managers. The Company does not allocate assets by segment because the chief operating decision maker does not review the assets by segment to assess the segments' performance, as the assets are managed on an entity-wide basis. During all periods presented, our chief operating decision maker has been the Chief Executive Officer of the Company. In accordance with GAAP, the Company has aggregated certain operating segments into reportable segments because they have similar economic characteristics, and the operating segments are similar in all of the following areas: (a) the nature of the products and services; (b) the nature of the production processes; (c) the type or class of customer for their products and services; (d) the methods used to distribute their products or provide their services; and (e) the nature of the regulatory environment.

	Human Health	Pharmaceutical Ingredients	Performance Chemicals	Unallocated Corporate	Consolidated Totals
2016					
Net sales	\$228,035	\$ 161,011	\$ 169,478	\$ -	\$ 558,524
Gross profit	77,880	28,752	36,153	-	142,785
Income before income taxes	36,362	11,856	17,799	(12,163)	53,854
2015					
Net sales	\$225,263	\$ 149,296	\$ 172,392	\$ -	\$ 546,951
Gross profit	75,749	26,683	33,002	-	135,434
Income before income taxes	35,152	8,697	14,289	(4,273)	53,865
2014					
Net sales	\$160,217	\$ 176,425	\$ 173,537	\$ -	\$ 510,179
Gross profit	48,496	36,615	29,592	-	114,703
Income before income taxes	19,710	17,557	13,273	(5,866)	44,674

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014***(in thousands, except per-share amounts)*

Net sales and gross profit by source country for the years ended June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 were as follows:

	Net Sales			Gross Profit		
	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014
United States	\$400,883	\$407,101	\$355,715	\$117,180	\$111,734	\$82,573
Germany	76,666	69,889	84,024	15,154	14,660	22,614
Netherlands	16,217	14,656	14,869	1,598	1,325	1,581
France	30,177	27,976	29,412	4,043	3,634	4,182
Asia-Pacific	34,581	27,329	26,159	4,810	4,081	3,753
Total	\$558,524	\$546,951	\$510,179	\$142,785	\$135,434	\$114,703

Sales generated from the United States to foreign countries amounted to \$23,810, \$38,295 and \$31,156 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Long-lived assets by geographic region as of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015 were as follows:

	Long-lived assets	
	2016	2015
United States	\$152,701	\$152,886
Europe	2,504	2,544
Asia-Pacific	1,781	1,893
Total	\$156,986	\$157,323

(20) Unaudited Quarterly Financial Data

The following is a summary of the unaudited quarterly results of operations for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015.

Edgar Filing: ACETO CORP - Form 10-K

Fiscal year ended June 30, 2016	For the quarter ended			
	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2016(1)	June 30, 2016(2)
Net sales	\$133,500	\$ 131,674	\$ 157,926	\$135,424
Gross profit	34,581	35,868	38,289	34,047
Net income	9,298	8,270	10,424	6,774
Net income per diluted share	\$0.32	\$ 0.28	\$0.35	\$0.23

Fiscal year ended June 30, 2015	For the quarter ended			
	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2014	March 31, 2015	June 30, 2015(3)
Net sales	\$130,803	\$ 123,765	\$ 145,796	\$146,587
Gross profit	27,651	30,019	36,598	41,166
Net income	4,828	6,608	8,411	13,636
Net income per diluted share	\$0.17	\$ 0.23	\$0.29	\$0.46

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

The net income per common share calculation for each of the quarters is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding in each period. Therefore, the sum of the quarters in a year does not necessarily equal the year's net income per common share.

(1) Includes pretax items consisting of \$833 reversal of contingent consideration related to the PACK acquisition and \$241 reversal of contingent consideration related to the acquisition of a company in France.

(2) Includes pretax item of \$1,313 environmental remediation charge in connection with Arsynco.

(3) Includes pretax items consisting of \$1,618 environmental remediation charge in connection with Arsynco, \$3,468 reversal of contingent consideration related to the PACK acquisition and \$3,497 change in estimate for product returns.

Schedule II

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

For the years ended June 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014

(dollars in thousands)

Description	Balance at beginning of year	Charged to costs and expenses	Charged to other accounts	Deductions	Balance at end of year
Year ended June 30, 2016					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 691	\$ 76	-	\$ 254	(a) \$ 513
Year ended June 30, 2015					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 517	\$ 484	-	\$ 310	(a) \$ 691
Year ended June 30, 2014					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 1,294	\$ 8	-	\$ 785	(a) \$ 517

(a) Specific accounts written off as uncollectible.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ACETO
CORPORATION

By/s/ Salvatore Guccione
Salvatore Guccione,
President and Chief
Executive Officer
(Principal Executive
Officer)

Date: August 26, 2016

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Company and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signatures	Title	Date
/s/Salvatore Guccione Salvatore Guccione	President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	08-26-16
/s/Douglas Roth Douglas Roth	Assistant Secretary/Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	08-26-16
/s/ Albert L. Eilender Albert L. Eilender	Chairman	08-26-16
/s/Hans C. Noetzli Hans C. Noetzli	Director	08-26-16
/s/William N. Britton William Britton	Director	08-26-16
/s/ Natasha Giordano	Director	08-26-16

Natasha Giordano

/s/Alan G. Levin Director 08-26-16
Alan G. Levin

/s/ Daniel Yarosh Director 08-26-16
Daniel Yarosh

89

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number Description

- 2.1 Asset Purchase Agreement by and among Aceto Corporation, Sun Acquisition Corp., Rising Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ronald Gold, and David B. Rosen, dated as of December 15, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 20, 2010).
- 2.2 Membership Interest Purchase Agreement, dated March 26, 2014, by and among PACK Pharmaceuticals, LLC, the Aschenbrand and O'Brien Family Trust, dated March 2001, Bryan Aschenbrand – Trustee, Dushyant Chipalkatty, Chris Dungan, Aceto Corporation, Rising Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Chris Dungan, solely in his capacity as the representative of the Sellers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 28, 2014).
- 2.3 Form of Lock-up Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 28, 2014).
- 3.1 Amended and Restated Certification of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2015).
- 3.2 Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2015).
- 3.3 Aceto Corporation By-Laws, amended July 28, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 31, 2014).
- 4.1 Indenture, dated November 16, 2015 between ACETO Corporation and Citibank, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 16, 2015).
- 4.2 Form of Global 2.00% Convertible Senior Note due 2020 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 16, 2015).
- 10.1 Aceto Corporation 401(k) Retirement Plan, as amended and restated as of July 1, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 (File Number: 000-04217, Film Number: 041025874)).
- 10.2 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended and restated effective June 30, 2004 and frozen as of December 31, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 (File Number: 000-04217, Film Number: 041025874)).
- 10.3 Aceto Corporation Stock Option Plan (as Amended and Restated effective as of September 19, 1990) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the

Edgar Filing: ACETO CORP - Form 10-K

fiscal year ended June 30, 2010).

10.4 1998 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(v) (c) to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1999 (File Number: 000-04217, Film Number: 99718824)).

10.5 2002 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(i) to Registration Statement No. 333-110653 on Form S-8).

10.6 Supplemental Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, effective March 14, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 17, 2005 (File Number: 000-04217, Film Number: 05688328)).

10.7 2007 Long-Term Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(i) to Registration Statement No. 333-149586 on Form S-8).

90

- 10.8 Supplemental Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, amended and restated effective December 8, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2009).
- 10.9 Purchase and Sale Agreement among Schweizerhall Holding AG, Chemische Fabrik Schweizerhall, Schweizerhall, Inc., Aceto Corporation and Aceto Holding B.V., I.O., dated as of January 28, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 4, 2001 (File Number: 000-04217, Film Number: 1595350)).
- 10.10 Form of purchase agreement between Shanghai Zhongjin Real Estate Development Company Limited and Aceto (Hong Kong) Limited, dated November 10, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004 (File Number: 000-04217, Film Number: 05588472)).
- 10.11 Guarantee by Aceto Corporation and subsidiaries in favor of Deutsche Bank, AG, dated March 22, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2001 (File Number: 000-04217, Film Number: 1748270)).
- 10.12 Reaffirmation Agreement by Aceto Corporation, Aceto Agricultural Chemicals Corporation, CDC Products Corporation, Aceto Pharma Corp., Aceto Realty LLC, Acci Realty Corp. and Arsynco Inc., dated as of April 23, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 28, 2010).
- 10.13 First Amendment to Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2010, by and among Aceto Corporation, Sun Acquisition Corp., Rising Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ronald Gold and David B. Rosen (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 5, 2011).
- 10.14 Aceto Corporation 2010 Equity Participation Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on October 13, 2010).
- 10.15 Aceto Corporation Severance Policy (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 17, 2012).
- 10.16 Consulting Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Michael Feinman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 3, 2012).
- 10.17 Aceto Corporation Executive Performance Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on October 18, 2012).
- 10.18 Amended and Restated Aceto Corporation 2010 Equity Participation Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on October 18, 2012).
- 10.19 Second Amendment, dated as of December 21, 2012, to Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 15, 2010, by and among Aceto Corporation, Rising Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Pearl Ventures Inc., Ronald Gold and David B. Rosen (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2012).

- 10.20 Enhanced Severance Protection Letter Agreement, dated April 3, 2013 between Aceto Corporation and Douglas Roth (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 5, 2013).
- 10.21 Aceto Corporation 2013 Senior Executive Retirement Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013).
- 10.22 Note Modification Agreement, dated October 21, 2013, between Aceto Realty LLC and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2013).

10.23 Amendment No. 1, dated as of December 26, 2013 to the Change in Control Agreement, dated as of July 2, 2012, by and between Aceto Corporation and Salvatore J. Guccione (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2013).

Commitment Letter dated March 26, 2014, by and among, Aceto Corporation and the Lead Arrangers and
10.24 Commitment Lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 28, 2014).

10.25 Credit Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2014, by and among Aceto Corporation, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo, as Syndication Agent, and the Lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 2, 2014).

10.26 Employment Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2015, between Aceto Corporation and Salvatore Guccione (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 18, 2014).

Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Terry Kippley, dated as of November 5,
10.27 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2014).

Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Carlos Restrepo, dated as of November
10.28 5, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2014).

10.29 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Salvatore Guccione (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 18, 2015).

10.30 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Albert L. Eilender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 18, 2015).

10.31 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Douglas Roth (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 18, 2015).

10.32 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Frank DeBenedittis (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 18, 2015).

10.33 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Satish Srinivasan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 18, 2015).

Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Charles J. Alaimo, dated as of February
10.34 13, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015).

Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Raymond B. Bartone, dated as of
10.35 February 13, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015).

10.36 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Terry Kippley, dated as of February 13, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015).

10.37 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Carlos Restrepo, dated as of February 13, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015).

10.38 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Steven S. Rogers, dated as of February 13, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015).

- 10.39 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Nicholas I. Shackley, dated as of February 13, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015).
- 10.40 Amendment No. 1, dated as of June 25, 2015, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2014, by and among Aceto Corporation, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent and the Lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 25, 2015).
- 10.41 Aceto Corporation 2015 Equity Participation Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on October 26, 2015).
- 10.42 Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 28, 2015, by and among Aceto Corporation, the other loan parties thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A., as administrative agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as syndication agent, and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 28, 2015).
- 10.43 Purchase Agreement, dated November 10, 2015, by and among ACETO Corporation and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, as representatives of the initial purchasers named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 12, 2015).
- 10.44 Convertible Note Hedge Confirmation, dated November 10, 2015, between ACETO Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 12, 2015).
- 10.45 Convertible Note Hedge Confirmation, dated November 10, 2015, between ACETO Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 12, 2015).
- 10.46 Warrant Confirmation, dated November 10, 2015, between ACETO Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 12, 2015).
- 10.47 Warrant Confirmation, dated November 10, 2015, between ACETO Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 12, 2015).
- 10.48 Amendment No. 1 to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 28, 2015, by and among Aceto Corporation, the other loan parties thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as syndication agent, and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 12, 2015).
- 10.49 Additional Convertible Note Hedge Confirmation, dated November 18, 2015, between Aceto Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 23, 2015).
- 10.50 Additional Convertible Note Hedge Confirmation, dated November 18, 2015, between Aceto Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report

on Form 8-K dated November 23, 2015).

10.51 Additional Warrant Confirmation, dated November 18, 2015, between Aceto Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 23, 2015).

10.52 Additional Warrant Confirmation, dated November 18, 2015, between Aceto Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 23, 2015).

- 10.53 Letter Agreement between Aceto Corporation and Walter J. Kaczmarek III (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 18, 2016).
- 10.54 Change in Control Agreement by and between Aceto Corporation and Walter J. Kaczmarek III, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 18, 2016).
- 21* Subsidiaries of the Company.
- 23* Consent of BDO USA, LLP.
- 31.1* Certifications of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2* Certifications of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1** Certifications of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2** Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Filed herewith

** Furnished herewith