

ACORDA THERAPEUTICS INC
Form 10-Q
August 08, 2018

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2018

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 000-50513

ACORDA THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation

or organization)

13-3831168

(I.R.S.

Employer

Identification

No.)

420 Saw Mill River Road, Ardsley, New York

(Address of principal executive offices)

10502

(Zip Code)

(914) 347-4300

(Registrant's telephone number,

including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

(Do not check if a small reporting company)

Small reporting company

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at July 31, 2018
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value	47,483,813 shares
per share	

ACORDA THERAPEUTICS, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2018 (unaudited) and December 31, 2017</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the Three and Six-month Periods Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (unaudited) for the Three and Six-month Periods Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the Six-month Periods Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017</u>	4
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	20
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	35
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	35
PART II—OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	37
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	39
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	43
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	44
<u>Signatures</u>	45

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward looking statements relating to future events and our future performance within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Stockholders are cautioned that such statements involve risks and uncertainties, including: the ability to realize the benefits anticipated from acquisitions, among other reasons because acquired development programs are generally subject to all the risks inherent in the drug development process and our knowledge of the risks specifically relevant to acquired programs generally improves over time; we may need to raise additional funds to finance our operations and may not be able to do so on acceptable terms; our ability to successfully market and sell Ampyra (dalfampridine) Extended Release Tablets, 10 mg in the U.S., which will likely be materially adversely affected by the March 2017 court decision in our litigation against filers of Abbreviated New Drug Applications to market generic versions of Ampyra in the U.S.; the risk of unfavorable results from future studies of Inbrija (levodopa inhalation powder) or from our other research and development programs, or any other acquired or in-licensed programs; we may not be able to complete development of, obtain regulatory approval for, or successfully market Inbrija or any other products under development; risks associated with complex, regulated manufacturing processes for pharmaceuticals, which could affect whether we have sufficient commercial supply of Inbrija to meet market demand, if it receives regulatory approval; third party payers (including governmental agencies) may not reimburse for the use of Ampyra, Inbrija or our other products at acceptable rates or at all and may impose restrictive prior authorization requirements that limit or block prescriptions; the occurrence of adverse safety events with our products; the outcome (by judgment or settlement) and costs of legal, administrative or regulatory proceedings, investigations or inspections, including, without limitation, collective, representative or class action litigation; competition; failure to protect our intellectual property, to defend against the intellectual property claims of others or to obtain third party intellectual property licenses needed for the commercialization of our products; and failure to comply with regulatory requirements could result in adverse action by regulatory agencies. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about the industry and markets in which we operate and management's beliefs and assumptions. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this report regarding our strategy, future operations, future financial position, future revenues, projected costs, prospects, plans and objectives of management are forward-looking statements. The words "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "may," "plans," "projects," "will," "would," and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. Actual results or events could differ materially from the plans, intentions and expectations disclosed in the forward-looking statements we make, and investors should not place undue reliance on these statements. In addition to the risks and uncertainties described above, we have included important factors in the cautionary statements included in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended by Amendment No.1 on Form 10-K/A, for the year ended December 31, 2017, particularly in the "Risk Factors" section (as updated by the disclosures in our subsequent quarterly reports, including this report), that we believe could cause actual results or events to differ materially from the forward-looking statements that we make. Our forward-looking statements do not reflect the potential impact of any future acquisitions, mergers, dispositions, joint ventures or investments that we may make. Forward-looking statements in this report are made only as of the date hereof, and we do not assume any obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements as a result of developments occurring after the date of this report.

We and our subsidiaries own several registered trademarks in the U.S. and in other countries. These registered trademarks include, in the U.S., the marks "Acorda Therapeutics," our stylized Acorda Therapeutics logo, "Biotie Therapies," "Ampyra" "Qutenza" and "ARCUS." Also, our mark "Fampyra" is a registered mark in the European Community Trademark Office and we have registrations or pending applications for this mark in other jurisdictions. Our trademark portfolio also includes several registered trademarks and pending trademark applications (e.g., "Inbrija") in the U.S. and worldwide for potential product names or for disease awareness activities. Third party trademarks, trade names, and service marks used in this report are the property of their respective owners.

PART I

Item 1. Financial Statements

ACORDA THERAPEUTICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except share data)	June 30, 2018 (unaudited)	December 31, 2017
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 243,345	\$ 307,068
Restricted cash	221	410
Short term investments	148,371	—
Trade accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$1,783 and \$845, as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively	64,360	81,403
Prepaid expenses	15,101	13,333
Finished goods inventory held by the Company	21,147	37,501
Other current assets	2,007	1,983
Total current assets	494,552	441,698
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	42,524	36,669
Goodwill	284,100	286,611
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization	428,762	430,603
Non-current portion of deferred cost of license revenue	—	1,638
Other assets	678	750
Total assets	\$ 1,250,616	\$ 1,197,969
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 15,400	\$ 27,367
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	97,761	100,128
Current portion of deferred license revenue	—	9,057
Current portion of loans payable	629	645
Current portion of liability related to sale of future royalties	7,081	6,763
Total current liabilities	120,871	143,960
Convertible senior notes (due 2021)	313,679	308,805
Non-current portion of acquired contingent consideration	109,174	112,722
Non-current portion of deferred license revenue	—	23,398
Non-current portion of loans payable	24,698	25,670
Deferred tax liability	37,586	22,459
Non-current portion of liability related to sale of future royalties	26,102	29,025
Other non-current liabilities	11,871	11,943
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value. Authorized 20,000,000 shares at June 30,	—	—

Edgar Filing: ACORDA THERAPEUTICS INC - Form 10-Q

2018 and December 31, 2017; no shares issued as of June 30,

2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively

Common stock, \$0.001 par value. Authorized 80,000,000 shares at June 30,

2018 and December 31, 2017; issued 47,223,027 and 46,441,428 shares,

including those held in treasury, as of June 30, 2018 and

December 31, 2017, respectively

47

46

Treasury stock at cost (79,275 shares at June 30, 2018 and 16,151 shares

at December 31, 2017)

(1,976

)

(389

)

Additional paid-in capital

993,292

968,580

Accumulated deficit

(389,527

)

(455,108

)

Accumulated other comprehensive income

4,799

6,858

Total stockholders' equity

606,635

519,987

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity

\$ 1,250,616

\$ 1,197,969

See accompanying Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

ACORDA THERAPEUTICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(unaudited)

	Three-month period ended June 30, 2018	Three-month period ended June 30, 2017	Six-month period ended June 30, 2018	Six-month period ended June 30, 2017
(In thousands, except per share data)				
Revenues:				
Net product revenues	\$ 150,412	\$ 132,756	\$ 253,415	\$ 245,349
Royalty revenues	2,890	4,418	6,052	8,946
License revenue	—	2,264	—	4,529
Total net revenues	153,302	139,438	259,467	258,824
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales	31,094	29,665	52,444	54,848
Cost of license revenue	—	159	—	317
Research and development	25,910	51,184	56,470	97,677
Selling, general and administrative	44,263	49,334	91,864	101,359
Changes in fair value of acquired contingent consideration	(7,000)	6,400	(800)	17,200
Total operating expenses	94,267	136,742	199,978	271,401
Operating income (loss)	59,035	2,696	59,489	(12,577)
Other (expense) income, net:				
Interest and amortization of debt discount expense	(5,414)	(5,460)	(10,911)	(9,603)
Interest income	910	35	1,236	73
Realized (loss) gain on foreign currency transactions	(2)	4	(7)	(440)
Other income	24	—	24	—
Total other expense, net	(4,482)	(5,421)	(9,658)	(9,970)
Income (loss) before taxes	54,553	(2,725)	49,831	(22,547)
Provision for income taxes	(8,356)	(5,471)	(11,833)	(4,552)
Net income (loss)	\$ 46,197	\$ (8,196)	\$ 37,998	\$ (27,099)
Net income (loss) per share—basic	\$ 0.99	\$ (0.18)	\$ 0.82	\$ (0.59)
Net income (loss) per share—diluted	\$ 0.98	\$ (0.18)	\$ 0.81	\$ (0.59)
Weighted average common shares outstanding used in				
computing net income (loss) per share—basic	46,799	45,943	46,546	45,876
Weighted average common shares outstanding used in				
computing net income (loss) per share—diluted	47,201	45,943	46,974	45,876

See accompanying Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

ACORDA THERAPEUTICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(unaudited)

	Three-month period ended June 30, 2018	Three-month period ended June 30, 2017	Six-month period ended June 30, 2018	Six-month period ended June 30, 2017
(In thousands)				
Net income (loss)	\$ 46,197	\$ (8,196)	\$ 37,998	\$ (27,099)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(4,529)	10,170	(1,982)	12,572
Unrealized income (loss) on available for sale debt securities	15	—	(77)	—
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(4,514)	10,170	(2,059)	12,572
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 41,683	\$ 1,974	\$ 35,939	\$ (14,527)

See accompanying Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

ACORDA THERAPEUTICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited)

	Six-month period ended June 30, 2018	Six-month period ended June 30, 2017
(In thousands)		
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ 37,998	\$ (27,099)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in)		
operating activities:		
Share-based compensation expense	11,112	18,616
Amortization of net premiums and discounts on investments	(78)	—
Amortization of debt discount and debt issuance costs	7,973	6,365
Depreciation and amortization expense	6,648	11,723
Change in acquired contingent consideration obligation	(800)	17,200
Unrealized foreign currency transaction loss	—	247
Non-cash royalty revenue	(5,326)	—
Deferred tax provision (benefit)	12,633	(1,618)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable	17,042	(3,325)
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses and other current assets	(1,640)	3,805
Decrease (increase) in inventory	16,355	(778)
Decrease in non-current portion of deferred cost of license revenue	—	317
Decrease (increase) in other assets	17	(3,924)
Decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current		
liabilities	(17,036)	(32,229)
Decrease in non-current portion of deferred license revenue	—	(4,529)
Increase in other non-current liabilities	61	69
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	84,959	(15,160)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(10,793)	(8,747)
Purchases of intangible assets	(162)	(207)
Purchases of investments	(148,371)	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(159,326)	(8,954)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock and option exercises	12,727	5,474
Refund of deposit for purchase of noncontrolling interest	—	2,722
Purchase of treasury stock	(1,587)	(60)
Repayment of loans payable	(656)	(2,409)
Net cash provided by financing activities	10,484	5,727

Edgar Filing: ACORDA THERAPEUTICS INC - Form 10-Q

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	(84)	906
Net decrease in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	(63,967)	(17,481)
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at beginning of period	308,039	158,871
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at end of period	\$244,072	\$141,390
Supplemental disclosure:		
Cash paid for interest	\$3,045	\$3,047
Cash paid for taxes	13,554	7,682

See accompanying Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

ACORDA THERAPEUTICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(unaudited)

(1) Organization and Business Activities

Acorda Therapeutics, Inc. (“Acorda” or the “Company”) is a biopharmaceutical company focused on developing therapies that restore function and improve the lives of people with neurological disorders.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) for interim financial information, Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 270-10 and with the instructions to Form 10-Q. Accordingly, these financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In management’s opinion, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included in the interim periods presented and all adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date of this filing. Operating results for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2018 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2018. When used in these notes, the terms “Acorda” or “the Company” mean Acorda Therapeutics, Inc. The December 31, 2017 consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. You should read these unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended by Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A, for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Certain reclassifications were made to prior period amounts in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes to conform with the current year presentation due to the adoption of ASU 2016-18 “Statement of Cash Flows” and Topic 230: Restricted Cash. See Note 2.

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Our critical accounting policies are detailed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended by Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A, for the year ended December 31, 2017. Effective January 1, 2018, the Company adopted ASU 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers” (Topic 606), ASU 2016-01, “Financial Instruments - Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities”, ASU 2016-15 “Statement of Cash Flows” (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments, ASU 2016-18 “Statement of Cash Flows” (Topic 230): Restricted Cash, ASU 2017-01, “Business Combinations” (Topic 805): Clarifying the Definition of a Business, and ASU 2017-09, “Compensation – Stock Compensation” (Topic 718): Scope of Modification Accounting and ASU 2017-01. Other than the adoption of the new accounting guidance, our critical accounting policies have not changed materially from December 31, 2017.

Revenue Recognition

On January 1, 2018, we adopted the new accounting standard ASC 606, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers” (Topic 606) (“ASC 606”) and the related amendments to all contracts with customers that were not completed as of the date of adoption using the modified retrospective method. ASC 606 supersedes prior revenue guidance under ASC 605 “Revenue Recognition” (“ASC 605”) and requires entities to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers at an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The Company completed its assessment of the new guidance and evaluated the

new requirements as applied to its existing revenue contracts not completed as of the date of initial application. As a result of the assessment, with the exception of the changes to our recognition of license revenue as further described below, the Company determined that adoption of the new standard did not have a significant impact on its revenue recognition methodology. In accordance with ASC 606, the Company recognizes revenue when the customer obtains control of a promised good or service, in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the Company expects to be entitled in exchange for the good or service.

The Company determined that the revenue recognition methodology for the deferred license revenue changed as a result of the adoption of ASC 606. License revenue recorded by the Company prior to January 1, 2018 related exclusively to the recognition of the upfront payment received from Biogen upon the execution of the License and Collaboration agreement

that granted Biogen an exclusive non sub-licensable license to sell Fampyra outside of the U.S. License revenue recorded prior to January 1, 2018 was recognized under ASC 605 on a pro rata basis as the Company's obligations were satisfied throughout the duration of the license and collaboration agreement. As of January 1, 2018, the Company adopted ASC 606 which changed the Company's determination of its distinct performance obligations resulting in an acceleration of the recognition of the revenue in the arrangement. The material performance obligations were completed prior to January 1, 2018, and as a result, the Company recognized its previously deferred revenue as a cumulative effect adjustment of \$27.6 million within the accumulated deficit on the consolidated balance sheet as of January 1, 2018.

The cumulative effect of applying ASC 606 to the company's consolidated balance sheet was as follows:

	Balance as of December 31, 2017	Net Adjustments	Balance as of January 1, 2018
(In thousands)			
Assets			
Other current assets	\$1,983	\$ (634) \$1,349
Non-current portion of deferred cost of license revenue	1,638	(1,638) —
Total Assets	\$1,197,969	\$ (2,272) \$1,195,697
Liabilities			
Current portion of deferred license revenue	\$9,057	\$ (9,057) \$—
Non-current portion of deferred license revenue	23,398	(23,398) —
Deferred tax liability	22,459	2,600	25,059
Accumulated deficit	(455,108)	27,583	(427,525)
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$1,197,969	\$ (2,272) \$1,195,697

The impact of the adoption of ASC 606 on the Company's consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2018 was as follows:

	Balance as of June 30, 2018	Prior to Adoption of ASC 606	Net Adjustments	Balance as of June 30, 2018 as Reported Under ASC 606
(In thousands)				
Assets				
Other current assets	\$2,641	\$ (634) \$2,007	
Non-current portion of deferred cost of license revenue	1,320	(1,320) —	
Total Assets	\$1,252,570	\$ (1,954) \$1,250,616	

Liabilities			
Current portion of deferred license revenue	\$9,057	\$ (9,057)	\$—
Non-current portion of deferred license revenue	18,870	(18,870)	—
Deferred tax liability	34,986	2,600	37,586
Accumulated deficit	(412,900)	23,373	(389,527)
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$1,252,570	\$ (1,954)	\$1,250,616

The impact of the adoption of ASC 606 on the Company's consolidated statement of operations for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018 was as follows:

	Three-Month Period Ended June 30, 2018 Balance Prior to	Effect of Change	Three-Month Period Ended June 30, 2018 Balance as Reported
(In thousands)	Adoption of ASC 606	Under ASC 606	
License revenue	\$ 2,264	\$(2,264)	\$ —
Cost of license revenue	159	(159)	—
Operating income (loss)	\$ 61,140	\$(2,105)	\$ 59,035
Net income (loss)	\$ 48,302	\$(2,105)	\$ 46,197
Net income (loss) per share—basic	\$ 1.03	\$(0.04)	\$ 0.99
Net income (loss) per share—diluted	\$ 1.02	\$(0.04)	\$ 0.98

The impact of the adoption of ASC 606 on the Company's consolidated statement of operations for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018 was as follows:

	Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2018 Balance Prior to	Effect of Change	Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2018 Balance as Reported
(In thousands)	Adoption of ASC 606	Under ASC 606	
License revenue	\$ 4,528	\$(4,528)	\$ —
Cost of license revenue	318	(318)	—
Operating income (loss)	\$ 63,699	\$(4,210)	\$ 59,489
Net income (loss)	\$ 42,208	\$(4,210)	\$ 37,998
Net income (loss) per share—basic	\$ 0.91	\$(0.09)	\$ 0.82
Net income (loss) per share—diluted	\$ 0.90	\$(0.09)	\$ 0.81

ASC 606 did not have an aggregate impact on the Company's net cash provided by operating activities.

ASC 606 outlines a five-step process for recognizing revenue from contracts with customers: i) identify the contract with the customer, ii) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (iii) determine the transaction price, iv) allocate the transaction price to the separate performance obligations in the contract, and (v) recognize revenue associated with the performance obligations as they are satisfied.

The Company only applies the five-step model to contracts when it is probable that the Company will collect the consideration it is entitled to in exchange for the goods or services it transfers to the customer. Once a contract is determined to be within the scope of ASC 606, the Company determines the performance obligations that are distinct. The Company recognizes as revenues the amount of the transaction price that is allocated to each respective performance obligation when the performance obligation is satisfied or as it is satisfied. Generally, the Company's performance obligations are transferred to customers at a point in time, typically upon receipt of the product by the customer.

ASC 606 requires entities to record a contract asset when a performance obligation has been satisfied or partially satisfied, but the amount of consideration has not yet been received because the receipt of the consideration is conditioned on something other than the passage of time. ASC 606 also requires an entity to present a revenue contract as a contract liability in instances when a customer pays consideration, or an entity has a right to an amount of consideration that is unconditional (e.g. receivable), before the entity transfers a good or service to the customer.

We currently do not have any contract assets. We recognize contract liabilities when a customer pays an upfront deposit upon contract execution for future obligations to be performed by us. As of June 30, 2018, we had contract liability in the amount of \$5.5 million which reflects an upfront deposit paid by a customer upon contract execution for future obligations to be performed by us. The amount is currently reported in accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the Balance Sheet. If the contract is canceled, these upfront deposits are refundable only if certain obligations have not been performed by us. We did not have any contract liability as of December 31, 2017.

Product Revenue, Net

Net revenue from product sales is recognized at the transaction price when the customer obtains control of the Company's products, which occurs at a point in time, typically upon receipt of the product by the customer. The Company's products are sold primarily to a network of specialty providers which are contractually obligated to hold no more than an agreed upon number of days of inventory. The Company's payment terms are between 30 to 34 days.

The Company's net revenues represent total revenues adjusted for discounts and allowances, including estimated cash discounts, chargebacks, rebates, returns, copay assistance, data fees and wholesaler fees for services. These adjustments represent variable consideration under ASC 606 and are recorded for the Company's estimate of cash consideration expected to be given by the Company to a customer that is presumed to be a reduction of the transaction price of the Company's products and, therefore, are characterized as a reduction of revenue. These adjustments are established by management as its best estimate based on available information and will be adjusted to reflect known changes in the factors that impact such allowances. Adjustments for variable consideration are determined based on the contractual terms with customers, historical trends, communications with customers and the levels of inventory remaining in the distribution channel, as well as expectations about the market for the product and anticipated introduction of competitive products.

Discounts and Allowances

Revenue from product sales are recorded at the transaction price, which includes estimates for discounts and allowances for which reserves are established and includes cash discounts, chargebacks, rebates, returns, copay assistance, data fees and wholesaler fees for services. Discounts and allowances are recorded following shipment of product and the appropriate reserves are credited. These reserves are classified as reductions of accounts receivable (if the amount is payable to the Customer and right of offset exists) or a current liability (if the amount is payable to a party other than a Customer). These allowances are established by management as its best estimate based on historical experience and data points available and are adjusted to reflect known changes in the factors that impact such reserves. Allowances for customer credits, chargebacks, rebates, data fees and wholesaler fees for services, returns, and discounts are established based on contractual terms with customers and analyses of historical usage of these items. Actual amounts of consideration ultimately received may differ from the Company's estimates. If actual results in the future vary from the Company's estimates, the Company will adjust these estimates, which would affect net product revenue and earnings in the period such variances become known. The nature of our allowances and accruals requiring critical estimates, and the specific considerations it uses in estimating their amounts are as follows:

Government Chargebacks and Rebates: We contract for Medicaid and other U.S. Federal government programs to allow for our products to remain eligible for reimbursement under these programs. For Medicare, the Company also estimates the number of patients in the prescription drug coverage gap for whom the Company will owe an additional liability under the Medicare Part D program. Based upon our contracts and the most recent experience with respect to sales through each of these channels, we provide an allowance for chargebacks and rebates. We monitor the sales trends and adjust the chargeback and rebate percentages on a regular basis to reflect the most recent chargebacks and rebate experience. The Company's liability for these rebates consists of invoices received for claims from prior quarters that have not been paid or for which an invoice has not yet been received, estimates of claims for the current quarter, and estimated future claims that will be made for product that has been recognized as revenue, but remains in the distribution channel inventories at the end of each reporting period.

Managed Care Contract Rebates: We contract with various managed care organizations including health insurance companies and pharmacy benefit managers. These contracts stipulate that rebates and, in some cases, administrative fees, are paid to these organizations provided our product is placed on a specific tier on the organization's drug formulary. Based upon our contracts and the most recent experience with respect to sales through managed care

channels, we provide an allowance for managed care contract rebates. We monitor the sales trends and adjust the allowance on a regular basis to reflect the most recent rebate experience. The Company's liability for these rebates consists of invoices received for claims from prior quarters that have not been paid or for which an invoice has not yet been received, estimates of claims for the current quarter, and estimated future claims that will be made for product that has been recognized as revenue, but remains in the distribution channel inventories at the end of each reporting period.

Copay Mitigation Rebates: We offer copay mitigation to commercially insured patients who have coverage for our products (in accordance with applicable law) and are responsible for a cost share. Based upon our contracts and the most recent experience with respect to actual copay assistance provided, we provide an allowance for copay

mitigation rebates. We monitor the sales trends and adjust the rebate percentages on a regular basis to reflect the most recent rebate experience.

Cash Discounts: We sell directly to our network of specialty pharmacies, Kaiser and ASD Specialty Healthcare, Inc. We generally provide invoice discounts for prompt payment for our products. We estimate our cash discounts based on the terms offered to our customers. Discounts are estimated based on rates that are explicitly stated in the Company's contracts as it is expected they will take the discount and are recorded as a reduction of revenue at the time of product shipment when product revenue is recognized. We adjust estimates based on actual activity as necessary.

Product Returns: We either offer customers no return except for products damaged in shipping or consistent with industry practice, a limited right of return based on the product's expiration date. The Company estimates the amount of its product sales that may be returned by its customers and records this estimate as a reduction of revenue in the period the related product revenue is recognized. The company currently estimates product return liabilities using historical sales information and inventory remaining in the distribution channel.

Data Fees and Fees for Service Payable to Specialty Pharmacies: We have contracted with certain specialty pharmacies to obtain transactional data related to our products in order to develop a better understanding of our selling channel as well as patient activity and utilization by the Medicaid program and other government agencies and managed care organizations. We pay a variable fee to the specialty pharmacies to provide us the data. We also pay the specialty pharmacies a flat fee in exchange for providing distribution and inventory management services, including the provision of inventory management data to the Company. We estimate our fee for service accruals and allowances based on sales to each specialty pharmacy and the applicable contracted rate.

Royalty Revenue

Royalty revenue recorded by the Company relates exclusively to the Company's License and Collaboration agreement with Biogen which provides for ongoing royalties based on sales of Fampyra outside of the U.S. The Company recognizes revenue for royalties under ASC 606, which provides revenue recognition constraints by requiring the recognition of revenue at the later of the following: 1) sale or usage of the products or 2) satisfaction of the performance obligations. The Company has satisfied its performance obligations and therefore recognizes royalty revenue when the sales to which the royalties relate are completed.

Milestone Revenue

Milestone revenue relates to the License and Collaboration agreement with Biogen which provides for milestone payments for the achievement of certain regulatory and sales milestones during the term of the agreement. Regulatory milestones are contingent upon the approval of Fampyra for new indications outside of the U.S. Sales milestones are contingent upon the achievement of certain net sales targets for Fampyra sales outside of the U.S. The Company recognizes milestone revenue under ASC 606, which provides constraints for entities to recognize milestone revenue which is deemed to be variable by requiring the Company to estimate the amount of consideration to which it is entitled in exchange for transferring the promised goods or services to a customer. The Company recognizes an estimate of revenue to the extent that it is probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognized will not occur when the milestone is achieved. For regulatory milestones, the Company evaluates whether the milestones are considered probable of being reached and estimates the amount to be included in the transaction price using the most likely amount method. If it is probable that a significant revenue reversal would not occur, the associated milestone value is included in the transaction price. Milestone payments that are not within the Company's control or the licensee's control, such as regulatory approvals, are generally not considered probable of being achieved until those approvals are received. For sales-based milestones, the Company recognizes revenue upon the achievement of the specific sale milestones.

The following table disaggregates our revenue by major source (in thousands):

	Three-month period ended June 30, 2018	Three-month period ended June 30, 2017	Six-month period ended June 30, 2018	Six-month period ended June 30, 2017
Revenues:				
Net product revenues	\$ 150,412	\$ 132,756	\$ 253,415	\$ 245,349
Royalty revenues	2,890	4,418	6,052	8,946
License revenue	—	2,264	—	4,529
Total net revenues	\$ 153,302	\$ 139,438	\$ 259,467	\$ 258,824

Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currency of operations outside the United States of America is deemed to be the currency of the local country, unless otherwise determined that the United States dollar would serve as a more appropriate functional currency given the economic operations of the entity. Accordingly, the assets and liabilities of the Company's foreign subsidiary, Biotie, are translated into United States dollars using the period-end exchange rate; income and expense items are translated using the average exchange rate during the period; and equity transactions are translated at historical rates. Cumulative translation adjustments are reflected as a separate component of equity. Foreign currency transaction losses and gains are recognized in the period incurred and are reported as other (expense) income, net in the statement of operations.

Segment and Geographic Information

The Company is managed and operated as one business which is focused on developing therapies that restore function and improve the lives of people with neurological disorders. The entire business is managed by a single management team that reports to the Chief Executive Officer. The Company does not operate separate lines of business with respect to any of its products or product candidates and the Company does not prepare discrete financial information with respect to separate products or product candidates or by location. Accordingly, the Company views its business as one reportable operating segment. Net product revenues reported to date are derived from the sales of Ampyra and Qutenza in the U.S.

Subsequent Events

Subsequent events are defined as those events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date, but before the financial statements are filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company completed an evaluation of the impact of any subsequent events through the date these financial statements were issued, and determined the following subsequent events required disclosure in these financial statements.

On August 3, 2018, we reported the following updates on the Ampyra ANDA litigation with the three generic drug manufacturers that remain a party to the litigation: We have entered into a conditioned settlement agreement with Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. and affiliates, and as a result of the settlement agreement, Mylan will be permitted to market its generic version of Ampyra in the U.S. sometime in 2025 or earlier under certain circumstances; we have signed an interim agreement with Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., that addresses the period of time until August 31, 2018 (and potentially until the appellate court issues a decision on the merits); and we have signed an interim

agreement with West-Ward Pharmaceuticals International Limited and Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc., successors to Roxane Laboratories, Inc., that addresses the period of time until the appellate court issues a decision on the merits. The terms of the settlement agreement and interim agreements are otherwise confidential.

Accounting Pronouncements Adopted

As noted above, in May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers” (Topic 606) (ASU 2014-09). This new standard replaced all previous U.S. GAAP guidance on this topic and eliminated all industry-specific guidance. The new standard requires the application of a five-step model to determine the amount and timing of revenue to be recognized. The underlying principle is that revenue is to be recognized for the transfer of goods or services to customers that reflects the amount of consideration that the Company expects to be entitled to in exchange for those goods or services. The Company adopted the new standard effective January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective transition method. See discussion of the adoption above in Revenue Recognition.

In November 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ASU 2016-18 “Statement of Cash Flows” (Topic 230); Restricted Cash (ASU 2016-18), which defines new requirements for the presentation of restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents in the statement of cash flows. The amendments in this ASU require retrospective application to each period presented. The Company adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2018 retrospectively. This ASU requires the entities to present statement of cash flows in a manner such that it reconciles beginning and ending totals of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash or restricted cash equivalents. Also, when cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash or restricted cash equivalents are presented in more than one line item within the statement of financial position, an entity should, for each period that a statement of financial position is presented, present on the face of the statement of cash flows or disclose in the notes to the financial statements, the line items and amounts of cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash or restricted cash equivalents reported within the statement of financial position. The amounts, disaggregated by the line item in which they appear within the statement of financial position, shall sum to the total amount of cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash or restricted cash equivalents at the end of the corresponding period shown in the statement of cash flows.

The following table provides a reconciliation of cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash reported within the statement of financial position that sum to the total of the same amounts shown in the statement of cash flows:

(In thousands)	Six-month period ended June 30, 2018		Six-month period ended June 30, 2017	
	Beginning of period	End of period	Beginning of period	End of period
Cash and cash equivalents	\$307,068	\$243,345	\$158,537	\$141,135
Restricted cash	410	221	79	—
Restricted cash included in Other assets	561	506	255	255
Total Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash per statement of cash flows	\$308,039	\$244,072	\$158,871	\$141,390

Amounts included in restricted cash represent those amounts required to be set aside to cover the Company’s self-funded employee health insurance. Restricted cash included in other assets on the statement of financial position relates to cash collateralized standby letters of credit in connection with obligations under facility leases, which is included with other assets in the consolidated balance sheet due to the long-term nature of the letters of credit.

In June 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-07, which simplifies the accounting for share-based payments granted to nonemployees for goods and services. Under the ASU, most of the guidance on such payments to nonemployees would be aligned with the requirements for share-based payments granted to employees. Currently, share-based payment arrangements with employees are accounted for under ASC 718, while nonemployee share-based payments issued for goods and services are accounted for under ASC 505-50. ASC 505-50, before the amendments, differed significantly from ASC 718. However, FASB concluded that awards granted to employees are economically similar to awards granted to nonemployees and therefore two different accounting models were not justified. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods therein with early adoption permitted. The Company early adopted this guidance beginning June 1, 2018. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

In February 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2016-02, “Leases” (Topic 842). The main objective of this update is to increase transparency and comparability among organizations by recognizing lease assets and lease liabilities on the balance sheet and disclosing key information about leasing arrangements. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company has implemented a process to identify its outstanding lease portfolio and is currently evaluating its outstanding leases to

determine the impact the new standard will have on its financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2017-04, “Intangibles – Goodwill and Other” (Topic 350): Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment (ASU 2017-04). This new standard simplifies how an entity is required to test goodwill for impairment by eliminating Step 2 from the goodwill impairment test. ASU 2017-04 allows for prospective application and is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, and interim periods therein with early adoption permitted for interim or annual goodwill impairment tests performed on testing dates after January 1, 2017. The Company is currently evaluating whether it will adopt this guidance early. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2018, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2018-02, ‘Income Statement—Reporting Comprehensive Income’ (Topic 220): Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (ASU 2018-02). This new standard provides entities with an option to reclassify stranded tax effects within AOCI to retained earnings in each period in which the effect of the change in the U.S. federal corporate income tax rate in the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (or portion thereof) is recorded. ASC 740-10-35-4 requires that deferred tax assets and liabilities should be adjusted to account for any changes in tax laws or rates within the period that the enactment of these changes occurs and any adjustments to flow through income from continuing operations. Since the adjustments due to the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act are required to flow through income from continuing operations, the tax effects of items within accumulated other comprehensive income known now as “stranded tax effects,” do not reflect the appropriate tax rate. As such, FASB issued ASU 2018-02, in order to address these stranded income tax effects. The new standard requires entities to disclose the following:

- A description of the accounting policy for releasing income tax effects from AOCI;
- Whether they elect to reclassify the stranded income tax effects from the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, and
- Information about the other income tax effects that are reclassified.

The ASU is effective for all entities for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal years with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact it may have on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2018, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2018-05, “Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 118”. The ASU adds seven paragraphs to ASC 740, Income Taxes, that contain SEC guidance related to SAB 118 (codified as SEC SAB Topic 5.EE, “Income Tax Accounting Implications of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act”), which provides guidance for companies that are not able to complete their accounting for the income tax effects of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act in the period of enactment which is the period that includes December 22, 2017. The measurement period should not extend beyond one year from the enactment date. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of this guidance may have on its consolidated financial statements.

(3) Share-based Compensation

During the three month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, the Company recognized share-based compensation expense of \$5.2 million and \$11.7 million, respectively. During the six month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, the Company recognized share-based compensation expense of \$11.1 million and \$19.6 million, respectively. Activity in options and restricted stock during the six-month period ended June 30, 2018 and related balances outstanding as of that date are reflected below. The weighted average fair value per share of options granted to employees for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 were approximately \$14.32 and \$7.24, respectively. The weighted average fair value per share of options granted to employees for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 were approximately \$12.84 and \$10.75, respectively.

The following table summarizes share-based compensation expense included within the consolidated statements of operations:

For the	For the
three-month	six-month

Edgar Filing: ACORDA THERAPEUTICS INC - Form 10-Q

(In millions)	period ended		period ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Research and development expense	\$1.5	\$3.8	\$3.2	\$6.4
Selling, general and administrative expense	3.7	7.9	7.9	13.2
Total	\$5.2	\$11.7	\$11.1	\$19.6

A summary of share-based compensation activity for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018 is presented below:

Stock Option Activity

	Number of Shares (In thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Intrinsic Value (In thousands)
Balance at January 1, 2018	8,930	\$ 29.46		
Granted	705	25.12		
Cancelled	(401)	27.26		
Exercised	(638)	21.18		
Balance at June 30, 2018	8,596	\$ 29.82	5.9	\$ 19,315
Vested and expected to vest at				
June 30, 2018	8,545	\$ 29.85	5.9	\$ 19,096
Vested and exercisable at				
June 30, 2018	6,669	\$ 30.51	5.1	\$ 13,284

Restricted Stock and Performance Stock Unit Activity

(In thousands)	
Restricted Stock and Performance Stock Units	Number of Shares
Nonvested at January 1, 2018	697
Granted	—
Vested	(143)
Forfeited	(106)
Nonvested at June 30, 2018	448

Unrecognized compensation cost for unvested stock options, restricted stock awards and performance stock units as of June 30, 2018 totaled \$30.3 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 1.8 years.

During the three month period ended June 30, 2018, the Company repurchased 16,339 shares of common stock at an average price of \$23.58 per share or approximately \$0.4 million. During the six month period ended June 30, 2018, the Company repurchased 63,124 shares of common stock at an average price of \$25.15 per share or approximately \$1.6 million. The share repurchase consists primarily of common stock withheld to cover the tax liability in connection

with the settlement of vested restricted stock units and stock options that were exercised in the three and six-month period ended June 30, 2018.

(4) Earnings (Loss) Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017:

	Three-month period ended June 30, 2018	Three-month period ended June 30, 2017	Six-month period ended June 30, 2018	Six-month period ended June 30, 2017
(In thousands, except per share data)				
Basic and diluted				
Net income (loss)	\$ 46,197	\$ (8,196)	\$ 37,998	\$ (27,099)
Weighted average common shares outstanding used in				
computing net income (loss) per share—basic	46,799	45,943	46,546	45,876
Plus: net effect of dilutive stock options and restricted				
common shares	402	—	428	—
Weighted average common shares outstanding used in				
computing net income (loss) per share—diluted	47,201	45,943	46,974	45,876
Net income (loss) per share—basic	\$ 0.99	\$ (0.18)	\$ 0.82	\$ (0.59)
Net income (loss) per share—diluted	\$ 0.98	\$ (0.18)	\$ 0.81	\$ (0.59)

Securities that could potentially be dilutive are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share when a loss from continuing operations exists or when the exercise price exceeds the average closing price of the Company's common stock during the period, because their inclusion would result in an anti-dilutive effect on per share amounts.

The following amounts were not included in the calculation of net income (loss) per diluted share because their effects were anti-dilutive:

	Three-month period ended June 30, 2018	Three-month period ended June 30, 2017	Six-month period ended June 30, 2018	Six-month period ended June 30, 2017
(In thousands)				
Denominator				
Stock options and restricted common shares	7,356	10,197	7,660	9,672

Additionally, the impact of the convertible debt was determined to be anti-dilutive and excluded from the calculation of net income (loss) per diluted share for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017.

(5) Income Taxes

The Company's effective income tax rate differs from the U.S. statutory rate principally due to state taxes, Federal research and development tax credits, jurisdictions with pretax losses for which no tax benefit can be recognized, changes in the valuation allowance and the effects of share based compensation which are recorded discretely in the quarters in which they occur.

For the three-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, the Company recorded a provision of \$8.4 million and \$5.5 million for income taxes, respectively. The effective income tax rates for the Company for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 were 15.3% and (200.8%), respectively. The variance in the effective tax rates for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018 as compared to the three-month period ended June 30, 2017 was due primarily to differences in pre-tax book income between the periods, the decrease in the federal statutory tax rate as a result of tax reform, the valuation allowance recorded on deferred tax assets for which no tax benefit can be recognized, state taxes, and the reduction in the research & development tax credit.

For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, the Company recorded a provision of \$11.8 million and \$4.6 million for income taxes, respectively. The effective income tax rates for the Company for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 were 23.9% and (20.2%), respectively. The variance in the effective tax rates for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018 as compared to the six-month period ended June 30, 2017 was due primarily to differences in pre-tax book income between the periods, the decrease in the federal statutory tax rate as a result of tax reform, the valuation allowance recorded on deferred tax assets for which no tax benefit can be recognized, state taxes, and the reduction in the research & development tax credit.

The Company continues to evaluate the realizability of its deferred tax assets and liabilities on a quarterly basis and will adjust such amounts in light of changing facts and circumstances including, but not limited to, future projections of taxable income, tax legislation, rulings by relevant tax authorities, the progress of ongoing tax audits and the regulatory approval of products currently under development. Any changes to the valuation allowance or deferred tax assets and liabilities in the future would impact the Company's income taxes.

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (the "Act") was enacted on December 22, 2017. The Act reduces the U.S. federal corporate tax rate from 35% to 21% effective for tax years beginning after December 31, 2017, requires companies to pay a one-time transition tax on earnings of certain foreign subsidiaries that were previously deferred and includes a variety of other changes.

On December 22, 2017, Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 118 ("SAB 118") was issued to address the application of U.S. GAAP in situations when a registrant does not have the necessary information available, prepared, or analyzed (including computations) in reasonable detail to complete the accounting for certain income tax effects of the Act. For the three and six- month periods ended June 30, 2018, the Company has not completed its accounting for the tax effects of the enactment of the Act; however, in certain cases, we have made a reasonable estimate of the effects on our existing deferred tax balances. In other cases, we have not been able to make a reasonable estimate and continue to account for those items based on our existing accounting under ASC 740, Income Taxes, and the provisions of the tax laws that were in effect immediately prior to the enactment. The Company has not obtained additional information affecting the provisional amounts initially recorded. The Company did not record a provision related to the one-time transition tax on mandatory repatriation of undistributed foreign earnings and profits per the Act, since a preliminary analysis has determined that there is no accumulated earnings and profits.

Additional work is still necessary for a more detailed analysis of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities and its historical foreign earnings as well as potential correlative adjustments. Any subsequent adjustment to these amounts will be recorded to current tax expense in the quarter of 2018 when the analysis is complete.

The Internal Revenue Service completed its examination of the Company's US income tax return for 2015 in the second quarter of 2018 with no material impact.

(6) Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized to determine such fair value. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize data points that are observable, such as quoted prices, interest rates, exchange rates and yield curves. Fair values determined by Level 3 inputs utilize unobservable data points for the asset or liability. The Company's Level 1 assets consist of time deposits and investments in a Treasury money market fund. The Company's level 2 assets consist of investments in corporate bonds and commercial paper which are categorized as short-term investments for investments with original maturities between three months and one year. The Company's Level 3 liabilities represent acquired contingent consideration related to the acquisition of Civitas and are valued using a probability weighted discounted cash flow valuation approach. No changes in valuation techniques occurred during the three or six-month periods ended June 30, 2018. The estimated fair values of all of our financial instruments approximate their carrying values at June 30, 2018, except for the fair value of the Company's convertible senior notes, which was approximately \$336.3 million as of June 30, 2018. The Company estimates the fair value of its notes utilizing market quotations for the debt (Level 2).

(In thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
June 30, 2018			
Assets Carried at Fair Value:			
Cash equivalents	\$11,381	\$—	\$—
Short-term investments	—	148,371	—
Liabilities Carried at Fair Value:			
Acquired contingent consideration	—	—	112,200
December 31, 2017			
Assets Carried at Fair Value:			
Cash equivalents	\$9,163	\$—	\$—
Liabilities Carried at Fair Value:			
Acquired contingent consideration	—	—	113,000

The following table presents additional information about liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and for which the Company utilizes Level 3 inputs to determine fair value.

Acquired contingent consideration

(In thousands)	Three-month period ended June 30, 2018	Three-month period ended June 30, 2017	Six-month period ended June 30, 2018	Six-month period ended June 30, 2017
Acquired contingent consideration:				
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 119,200	\$ 82,900	\$ 113,000	\$ 72,100
Fair value change to contingent consideration	(7,000)	6,400	(800)	17,200

included in the statement of operations				
Balance, end of period	\$ 112,200	\$ 89,300	\$ 112,200	\$ 89,300

The Company estimates the fair value of its acquired contingent consideration using a probability weighted discounted cash flow valuation approach based on estimated future sales expected from Inbrija (levodopa inhalation powder), our most advanced development program for the treatment of OFF periods in people with Parkinson's taking a carbidopa/levodopa regimen and CVT-427, a Phase I candidate. CVT-427 is an inhaled triptan intended for acute treatment of migraine using the ARCUS drug delivery technology. Using this approach, expected probability adjusted future cash flows are calculated over the expected life of the agreement and discounted to estimate the current value of the liability at the period end date. Some of the more significant assumptions made in the valuation include (i) the estimated Inbrija and CVT-427 revenue forecasts, (ii) probabilities of success, and (iii) discount periods and rate. The probability of achievement of revenue milestones ranged from 26.3% to 85.0% with milestone payment outcomes ranging from \$0 to \$60.9 million in the aggregate for Inbrija and CVT-427. The valuation is performed quarterly. Gains and losses are included in the statement of operations. For the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, changes in the

fair value of the acquired contingent consideration were due to the re-calculation of cash flows for the passage of time and updates to certain other estimated assumptions.

The acquired contingent consideration is classified as a Level 3 liability as its valuation requires substantial judgment and estimation of factors that are not currently observable in the market. If different assumptions were used for the various inputs to the valuation approach, including but not limited to, assumptions involving probability adjusted sales estimates for Inbrija and CVT-427 and estimated discount rates, the estimated fair value could be significantly higher or lower than the fair value determined.

(7) Investments

The Company has determined that all of its investments are classified as available-for-sale. Available-for-sale debt securities are carried at fair value with interest on these investments included in interest income and are recorded based primarily on quoted market prices. Available-for-sale investments consisted of the following at June 30, 2018:

	Amortized	Gross	Gross	Estimated
(In thousands)	Cost	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair
		Gains	Losses	Value
Short Term Investments	\$ 148,448	\$ 8	\$ (85)	\$ 148,371

Short-term investments with maturities of three months or less from date of purchase have been classified as cash equivalents, and amounted to approximately \$11.4 million as of June 30, 2018. Short-term investments have original maturities of greater than 3 months but less than 1 year and amounted to approximately \$148.4 million as of June 30, 2018. The aggregate fair value of short-term investments in an unrealized loss position amounted to approximately \$114.1 million as of June 30, 2018. The Company held no short-term investments at December 31, 2017. Short-term investments at June 30, 2018 primarily consisted of high-grade commercial paper and corporate bonds. Long-term investments have original maturities of greater than 1 year. There were no investments classified as long-term at June 30, 2018 or December 31, 2017. The Company has determined that there were no other-than-temporary declines in the fair values of its investments as of June 30, 2018 as the Company does not intend to sell its investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell its investments prior to the recovery of its amortized cost basis.

Unrealized holding gains and losses, which relate to debt instruments, are reported within accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) in the statements of comprehensive income. The changes in AOCI associated with the unrealized holding losses on available-for-sale investments during the six-month period ended June 30, 2018, were as follows (in thousands):

(In thousands)	Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Marketable Securities
----------------	---

Edgar Filing: ACORDA THERAPEUTICS INC - Form 10-Q

Balance at December 31, 2017	\$ —
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications	(170)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income	—
Net current period other comprehensive loss	(170)
Balance at June 30, 2018	\$ (170)

(8) Liability Related to Sale of Future Royalties

As of October 1, 2017, the Company completed a royalty purchase agreement with HealthCare Royalty Partners, or HCRP (“Royalty Agreement”). In exchange for the payment of \$40 million to the Company, HCRP obtained the right to receive Fampyra royalties payable by Biogen under the License and Collaboration Agreement between the Company and Biogen, up to an agreed upon threshold of royalties. When this threshold is met, if ever, the Fampyra royalties will revert

back to the Company and the Company will continue to receive the Fampyra royalties from Biogen until the revenue stream ends. The transaction does not include potential future milestones to be paid.

The Company maintained the rights under the license and collaboration agreement with Biogen, therefore, the Royalty Agreement has been accounted for as a liability that will be amortized using the effective interest method over the life of the arrangement, in accordance with the relevant accounting guidance. The Company recorded the receipt of the \$40 million payment from HCRP and established a corresponding liability in the amount of \$40 million, net of transaction costs of approximately \$2.2 million. The net liability is classified between the current and non-current portion of liability related to sale of future royalties in the consolidated balance sheets based on the recognition of the interest and principal payments to be received by HCRP in the next 12 months from the financial statement reporting date. The total net royalties to be paid, less the net proceeds received will be recorded to interest expense using the effective interest method over the life of the Royalty Agreement. The Company will estimate the payments to be made to HCRP over the term of the Agreement based on forecasted royalties and will calculate the interest rate required to discount such payments back to the liability balance. Over the course of the Royalty Agreement, the actual interest rate will be affected by the amount and timing of net royalty revenue recognized and changes in forecasted revenue. On a quarterly basis, the Company will reassess the effective interest rate and adjust the rate prospectively as necessary.

The Company recognized non-cash royalty revenue of approximately \$2.5 million, non-cash interest expense of approximately \$1.1 million and debt discount amortization costs of approximately \$0.2 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018. The Company recognized non-cash royalty revenue of approximately \$5.3 million, non-cash interest expense of approximately \$2.3 million and debt discount amortization costs of approximately \$0.4 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018. The interest and debt discount amortization expense is reflected as interest and amortization of debt discount expense in the Statement of Operations.

	Three-month period ended June 30, 2018	Six-month period ended June 30, 2018
(In thousands)		
Liability related to sale of future royalties - beginning balance	\$ 34,395	\$ 35,788
Deferred transaction costs recognized	198	401
Non-cash royalty revenue payable to HCRP	(2,544)	(5,326)
Non-cash interest expense recognized	1,134	2,320
Liability related to sale of future royalties - ending balance	\$ 33,183	\$ 33,183

(9) Convertible Senior Notes

On June 17, 2014, the Company issued \$345 million aggregate principal amount of 1.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2021 (the Notes) in an underwritten public offering. The net proceeds from the offering were \$337.5 million after deducting the Underwriter's discount and offering expenses paid by the Company.

The Notes are convertible into cash, shares of the Company's common stock or a combination of cash and shares of the Company's common stock, at the Company's election, under certain circumstances as outlined in the indenture, based on an initial conversion rate, subject to adjustment, of 23.4968 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes (representing an initial conversion price of approximately \$42.56 per share).

The Company may not redeem the Notes prior to June 20, 2017. The Company may redeem for cash all or part of the Notes, at the Company's option, on or after June 20, 2017, under certain circumstances as outlined in the indenture.

The Company pays 1.75% interest per annum on the principal amount of the Notes, payable semiannually in arrears in cash on June 15 and December 15 of each year. The Notes will mature on June 15, 2021.

If the Company undergoes a "fundamental change" (as defined in the Indenture), subject to certain conditions, holders may require the Company to repurchase for cash all or part of their Notes in principal amounts of \$1,000 or an integral multiple thereof. The Indenture contains customary terms and covenants and events of default. If an event of default (other than certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization involving the Company) occurs and is continuing, the Trustee by notice to the Company, or the holders of at least 25% in principal amount of the outstanding Notes by notice to the Company and the Trustee, may declare 100% of the principal of and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on all the Notes to be due and payable. Upon such a declaration of acceleration, such principal and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, will be due and payable immediately. Upon the occurrence of certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization involving

the Company, 100% of the principal and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on all of the Notes will become due and payable automatically. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Indenture provides that, to the extent the Company elects and for up to 270 days, the sole remedy for an event of default relating to certain failures by the Company to comply with certain reporting covenants in the Indenture consists exclusively of the right to receive additional interest on the Notes.

The Notes will be senior unsecured obligations and will rank equally with all of the Company's existing and future senior debt and senior to any of the Company's subordinated debt. The Notes will be structurally subordinated to all existing or future indebtedness and other liabilities (including trade payables) of the Company's subsidiaries and will be effectively subordinated to the Company's existing or future secured indebtedness to the extent of the value of the collateral. The Indenture does not limit the amount of debt that the Company or its subsidiaries may incur.

In accounting for the issuance of the Notes, the Company separated the Notes into liability and equity components. The carrying amount of the liability component was calculated by measuring the fair value of a similar liability that does not have an associated convertible feature. The carrying amount of the equity component representing the conversion option was determined by deducting the fair value of the liability component from the par value of the Notes as a whole. The equity component is not re-measured as long as it continues to meet the conditions for equity classification.

The outstanding note balance as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 consisted of the following:

(In thousands)	June 30,2018	December 31, 2017
Liability component:		
Principal	\$345,000	\$ 345,000
Less: debt discount and debt issuance costs, net	(31,321)	(36,195)
Net carrying amount	\$313,679	\$ 308,805
Equity component	\$61,195	\$ 61,195

In connection with the issuance of the Notes, the Company incurred approximately \$7.5 million of debt issuance costs, which primarily consisted of underwriting, legal and other professional fees, and allocated these costs to the liability and equity components based on the allocation of the proceeds. Of the total \$7.5 million of debt issuance costs, \$1.3 million were allocated to the equity component and recorded as a reduction to additional paid-in capital and \$6.2 million were allocated to the liability component and recorded as a reduction in the carrying amount of the debt liability on the balance sheet. The portion allocated to the liability component is amortized to interest expense over the expected life of the Notes using the effective interest method.

As of June 30, 2018, the remaining contractual life of the Notes is approximately 3.0 years. The effective interest rate on the liability component was approximately 4.8% for the period from the date of issuance through June 30, 2018.

The following table sets forth total interest expense recognized related to the Notes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017:

(In thousands)

	Three-month period ended June 30, 2018	Three-month period ended June 30, 2017	Six-month period ended June 30, 2018	Six-month period ended June 30, 2017
Contractual interest expense	\$ 1,509	\$ 1,509	\$ 3,019	\$ 3,019
Amortization of debt issuance costs	227	216	451	430
Amortization of debt discount	2,225	2,122	4,423	4,219
Total interest expense	\$ 3,961	\$ 3,847	\$ 7,893	\$ 7,668

(10) Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is currently party to various legal proceedings which are principally patent litigation matters. The Company has assessed such legal proceedings and does not believe that it is probable that a liability has been incurred or that the amount of any potential liability or range of losses can be reasonably estimated. As a result, the Company did not record any loss contingencies for any of these matters. Litigation expenses are expensed as incurred. Refer to Note 2 subsequent events for further details.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our consolidated financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our unaudited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Background

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on developing therapies that restore function and improve the lives of people with neurological disorders. We market two FDA-approved therapies, including Ampyra (dalfampridine) Extended Release Tablets, 10 mg, a treatment to improve walking in adult patients with multiple sclerosis, or MS, as demonstrated by an increase in walking speed. We have a pipeline of novel neurological therapies addressing a range of disorders, including Parkinson's disease and MS.

We currently derive substantially all our revenue from the sale of Ampyra. In March 2017, we announced a decision by the United States District Court for the District of Delaware in litigation with certain generic drug manufacturers upholding our Ampyra Orange Book-listed patent that expired on July 30, 2018, but invalidating our four other Orange Book-listed patents pertaining to Ampyra that were set to expire between 2025 and 2027. Under this decision, we maintained patent exclusivity with respect to Ampyra through July 30, 2018. We have appealed the ruling on the four invalidated patents. The appellate court held oral argument on June 7, 2018, and we await a decision on the appeal.

We expect to experience a rapid and significant decline in Ampyra sales beyond July 2018 due to competition from generic versions of Ampyra that may be marketed due to the July 30, 2018 expiration of the Ampyra patent upheld by the District Court, unless the District Court's decision on the four invalidated patents is overturned on appeal, which could include reversal or remand by the appeals court back to the District Court. Multiple ANDA filers may decide to launch at-risk generic versions of Ampyra. On July 24, 2018, the appeals court denied per curiam our motion for an injunction to prevent generic at-risk launch pending a decision in our appeal of the District Court's decision. On August 3, 2018, we reported the following updates on the litigation with the three generic drug manufacturers that remain a party to the litigation: We have entered into a conditioned settlement agreement with Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. and affiliates, and as a result of the settlement agreement, Mylan will be permitted to market its generic version of Ampyra in the U.S. sometime in 2025 or earlier under certain circumstances; we have signed an interim agreement with Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., that addresses the period of time until August 31, 2018 (and potentially until the appellate court issues a decision on the merits); and we have signed an interim agreement with West-Ward Pharmaceuticals International Limited and Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc., successors to Roxane Laboratories, Inc., that addresses the period of time until the appellate court issues a decision on the merits. The terms of the settlement agreement and interim agreements are otherwise confidential.

Inbrija, our most advanced development program, is a self-administered, inhaled formulation of levodopa, or L-dopa, being investigated for the treatment of OFF periods in people with Parkinson's disease who are taking a carbidopa/levodopa regimen. Inbrija is based on our proprietary ARCUS platform, a dry-powder pulmonary drug delivery technology that we believe has potential applications in multiple disease areas. We announced positive Phase 3 efficacy and safety data for this program in 2017. In February 2018, we announced that our New Drug Application, or NDA for Inbrija was accepted for filing by the FDA, and that under the Prescription Drug User Fee Act, or PDUFA, the FDA has set a target date of October 5, 2018, for issuing its decision on the NDA. Our commercial preparations for the launch of Inbrija continue. We are projecting that, if approved, annual peak net revenue of Inbrija in the U.S. alone could exceed \$800 million. We are seeking approval to market Inbrija in the European Union, and accordingly we filed a Marketing Authorization Application, or MAA, with the European Medicines Agency, or EMA, in March 2018. In May 2018, we announced that the EMA completed formal validation of the MAA for Inbrija,

and that the review of the MAA will be according to standard timelines, with an opinion of the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use, or CHMP, expected within 210 days of the May 24, 2018 validation notification date (plus any clock-stops to provide answers to questions which may arise during the review). After the adoption of a CHMP opinion, a final decision regarding the MAA is carried out by the European Commission. We are in discussions with potential partners regarding Inbrija outside of the U.S.

Our strategic priorities for the remainder of 2018 and 2019 are as follows:

- Attain approval for and initiate the U.S. launch of Inbrija. Importantly, we kept our commercial team intact despite a 2017 restructuring. We believe we have built a leading neuro-specialty sales and marketing team through our commercialization of Ampyra, and that our commercial launch of Inbrija in the U.S., if approved, will benefit from the experiences and capabilities of this team.

20

Subject to attaining approval for and launching Inbrija in the U.S., accelerate our efforts to develop additional therapeutics based on our proprietary ARCUS pulmonary drug delivery technology, looking at central nervous system, or CNS, as well as non-CNS opportunities. We expect our first priority to be accelerating our CVT-427 program to develop an inhalable triptan for treatment of acute migraine, which is further described below. A Phase 1 clinical trial of CVT-427 showed increased bioavailability and faster absorption compared to oral and nasal administration of the same active ingredient in healthy adults. However, our next step for this program is to reformulate to address evidence of bronchoconstriction shown in some subjects in a 2016 special population study of safe inhalation in people with asthma and in smokers.

• We are also continuing to evaluate business development opportunities for late stage neurology assets that would leverage our neurology expertise and commercial capabilities.

As of June 30, 2018, we had cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of approximately \$391.7 million and we are projecting a 2018 year-end cash balance in excess of \$300.0 million. We have \$345 million of convertible senior notes due in 2021 with a conversion price of \$42.56. We believe that we are sufficiently capitalized to fund operations through the launch of Inbrija in the U.S., pending approval from the FDA.

Ampyra

General

Ampyra was approved by the FDA in January 2010 to improve walking in adults with MS. To our knowledge, Ampyra is the first and only drug approved for this indication. Efficacy was shown in people with all four major types of MS (relapsing remitting, secondary progressive, progressive relapsing and primary progressive). Ampyra was made commercially available in the United States in March 2010. Net revenue for Ampyra was \$150.3 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018 and \$131.6 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017.

Since the March 2010 launch of Ampyra, approximately 132,000 people with MS in the U.S. have tried Ampyra. We believe that Ampyra is increasingly considered by many physicians a standard of care to improve walking in adults with MS. Eight years after approval, Ampyra continues to grow, reflecting the continued unmet medical need among adults with MS for a treatment to improve walking. As of June 30, 2018, approximately 70% of all people with MS who were prescribed Ampyra received a first refill, and approximately 40% of all people with MS who were prescribed Ampyra have been dispensed at least six months of the medicine through refills, consistent with previously reported trends. These refill rates exclude patients who started Ampyra through our 60-day free trial program. Our 60-day free trial program provides eligible patients with two months of Ampyra at no cost. During 2017, on average, approximately 80% of new Ampyra patients enrolled in 60-day free trial. The program is in its seventh year, and data show that 60-day free trial participants have higher compliance and persistency rates over time compared to patients not in the program. Approximately 50% of patients who initiate therapy with the 60-day free trial program convert to paid prescriptions.

Ampyra is marketed in the U.S. through our own specialty sales force and commercial infrastructure. We currently have approximately 90 sales representatives in the field calling on a priority target list of approximately 7,000 physicians. We also have established teams of Medical Science Liaisons, Regional Reimbursement Directors, and Market Access Account Directors who provide information and assistance to payers and physicians on Ampyra; a National Trade Account Director who works with our limited network of specialty pharmacies; and Market Development Managers who work collaboratively with field teams and corporate personnel to assist in the execution of the Company's strategic initiatives.

Ampyra is distributed in the U.S. primarily through a limited network of specialty pharmacy providers that deliver the medication to patients by mail; Kaiser Permanente, which distributes Ampyra to patients through a closed network of on-site pharmacies; and ASD Specialty Healthcare, Inc. (an AmerisourceBergen affiliate), which distributes Ampyra

to the U.S. Bureau of Prisons, the U.S. Department of Defense, the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, or VA, and other federal agencies. The specialty pharmacy providers that deliver Ampyra by mail, and Kaiser Permanente, are contractually obligated to hold no more than a specified maximum amount of inventory, the highest being 20 business days of inventory, and some have agreed to hold a minimum of 8 to 10 business days of inventory.

The Company has recently established relationships with six new pharmacies through which Ampyra is available. These additional pharmacies do not participate in our existing limited network of specialty pharmacies that dispense Ampyra, but rather receive prescriptions for Ampyra directly from prescribers without first being routed through our patient support

hub, described below. Each of these pharmacies is either affiliated with an integrated health delivery network or an academic medical center.

We have contracted with a third party organization with extensive experience in coordinating patient benefits to run Ampyra Patient Support Services, or APSS, a dedicated resource that coordinates the prescription process among healthcare providers, people with MS, and insurance carriers. Processing of most incoming requests for prescriptions by APSS begins within 24 hours of receipt. Patients will experience a range of times to receive their first shipment based on the processing time for insurance requirements. As with any prescription product, patients who are members of benefit plans that have restrictive prior authorizations may experience delays in receiving their prescription.

Three of the largest national health plans in the U.S. – Aetna, Cigna and United Healthcare – have listed Ampyra on their commercial formulary. Approximately 75% of insured individuals in the U.S. continue to have no or limited prior authorizations, or PA's, for Ampyra. We define limited PAs as those that require only an MS diagnosis, documentation of no contraindications, and/or simple documentation that the patient has a walking impairment; such documentation may include a Timed 25-Foot Walk (T25W) test. The access figure is calculated based on the number of pharmacy lives reported by health plans.

License and Collaboration Agreement with Biogen

Ampyra is marketed as Fampyra outside the U.S. by Biogen International GmbH, or Biogen, under a license and collaboration agreement that we entered into in June 2009. Fampyra has been approved in a number of countries across Europe, Asia and the Americas. Under our agreement with Biogen, we are entitled to receive double-digit tiered royalties on sales of Fampyra and we are also entitled to receive additional payments based on achievement of certain regulatory and sales milestones. We received a \$25 million milestone payment from Biogen in 2011, which was triggered by Biogen's receipt of conditional approval from the European Commission for Fampyra. The next expected milestone payment would be \$15 million, due when ex-U.S. net sales exceed \$100 million over four consecutive quarters. In November 2017, we announced a \$40 million Fampyra royalty monetization transaction with HealthCare Royalty Partners, or HCRP. In return for the payment to us, HCRP obtained the right to receive these Fampyra royalties up to an agreed-upon threshold. Until this threshold is met, if ever, we will not receive Fampyra royalties although we have retained the right to receive any potential future milestone payments, described above. The HCRP transaction is accounted for as a liability, as described in Note 8 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report.

Ampyra Patent Update

We have six issued patents listed in the Orange Book for Ampyra. The five initial Orange Book-listed patents are the subject of litigation in U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware commenced in 2014 with certain generic drug manufacturers, as further described below in this report. The sixth Orange Book-listed patent, not involved in the litigation, was issued more recently and was listed in the Orange Book in April 2018.

The first of the five Orange Book-listed patents involved in the litigation is U.S. Patent No. 5,540,938, the claims of which relate to methods for treating a neurological disease, such as MS, and cover the use of a sustained release dalfampridine formulation, such as Ampyra (dalfampridine) Extended Release Tablets, 10 mg for improving walking in people with MS. This patent was held valid by the District Court in the litigation, but it expired on July 30, 2018.

The other four Orange Book-listed patents involved in the litigation were held invalid by the District Court in the litigation with generic drug manufacturers. These patents, which had been set to expire in 2025 through 2027, consist of U.S. Patent No. 8,007,826, with claims relating to methods to improve walking in patients with MS by administering 10 mg of sustained release 4-aminopyridine (dalfampridine) twice daily; U.S. Patent No. 8,354,437,

which includes claims relating to methods to improve walking, increase walking speed, and treat walking disability in patients with MS by administering 10 mg of sustained release 4-aminopyridine (dalfampridine) twice daily; U.S. Patent No. 8,440,703, which includes claims directed to methods of improving lower extremity function and walking and increasing walking speed in patients with MS by administering less than 15 mg of sustained release 4-aminopyridine (dalfampridine) twice daily; and U.S. Patent No. 8,663,685 with claims relating to methods to improve walking in patients with MS by administering 10 mg of sustained release 4-aminopyridine (dalfampridine) twice daily.

The sixth Orange Book-listed patent is U.S. Patent No. 9,918,973, the claims of which relate to methods of increasing walking speed in patients with MS by administering 10 mg of sustained release 4-aminopyridine (dalfampridine) twice daily.

This patent will expire in 2024. We note that this patent does not entitle us to any additional statutory stay of approval under the Hatch-Waxman Act against the generic drug manufacturers that are involved in the patent litigation described in this report.

The patent litigation relates to Paragraph IV Certification Notices received from ten generic drug manufacturers in 2014 and 2015, who submitted Abbreviated New Drug Applications, or ANDAs, with the FDA seeking marketing approval for generic versions of Ampyra (dalfampridine) Extended Release Tablets, 10mg. The ANDA filers challenged the validity of the five initial Orange Book-listed patents for Ampyra, and they also asserted that generic versions of their products do not infringe certain claims of these patents. In 2015 and 2016, we reached settlement agreements with six of the generic companies. A bench trial against the remaining four generic companies was completed in September 2016. In February 2017, we announced that we had reached a settlement agreement with one of those four generic companies. In March 2017, the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware rendered a decision upholding our Orange Book-listed patent for Ampyra that expired in July 2018, but invalidating the four other initial Orange Book-listed patents. In May 2017, we appealed the ruling on these four patents. Both the Biotechnology Innovation Organization (BIO) and Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America (PhRMA) filed amicus briefs in support of our appeal, raising important issues in conjunction with biopharmaceutical innovation. The appellate court held oral argument on June 7, 2018, and we await a decision on the appeal.

We expect to experience a rapid and significant decline in Ampyra sales beyond July 2018 due to competition from generic versions of Ampyra that may be marketed due to the July 30, 2018 expiration of the Ampyra patent that was upheld by the District Court, unless the District Court's decision on the four invalidated patents is overturned on appeal, which could include reversal or remand by the appeals court back to the District Court. Multiple ANDA filers may decide to launch at-risk generic versions of Ampyra. On July 24, 2018, the appeals court denied per curiam our motion for an injunction to prevent generic at-risk launch pending a decision in our appeal of the District Court's decision. On August 3, 2018, we reported the following updates on the litigation with the three generic drug manufacturers that remain a party to the litigation: We have entered into a conditioned settlement agreement with Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. and affiliates, and as a result of the settlement agreement, Mylan will be permitted to market its generic version of Ampyra in the U.S. sometime in 2025 or earlier under certain circumstances; we have signed an interim agreement with Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., that addresses the period of time until August 31, 2018 (and potentially until the appellate court issues a decision on the merits); and we have signed an interim agreement with West-Ward Pharmaceuticals International Limited and Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc., successors to Roxane Laboratories, Inc., that addresses the period of time until the appellate court issues a decision on the merits. The terms of the settlement agreement and interim agreements are otherwise confidential.

In 2011, the European Patent Office, or EPO, granted EP 1732548, with claims relating to, among other things, use of a sustained release aminopyridine composition, such as dalfampridine (known under the trade name Fampyra in the European Union), to increase walking speed. In March 2012, Synthon B.V. and neuraxpharm Arzneimittel GmbH filed oppositions with the EPO challenging the EP 1732548 patent. We defended the patent, and in December 2013, we announced that the EPO Opposition Division upheld amended claims in this patent covering a sustained release formulation of dalfampridine for increasing walking in patients with MS through twice daily dosing at 10 mg. Both Synthon B.V. and neuraxpharm Arzneimittel GmbH have appealed the decision. In December 2013, Synthon B.V., neuraxpharm Arzneimittel GmbH and Actavis Group PTC EHF filed oppositions with the EPO challenging our EP 2377536 patent, which is a divisional of the EP 1732548 patent. In February 2016, the EPO Opposition Division rendered a decision that revoked the EP 2377536 patent. We believe the claims of this patent are valid and we have appealed the decision. Both European patents, if upheld as valid, are set to expire in 2025, absent any additional exclusivity granted based on regulatory review timelines. Fampyra also has 10 years of market exclusivity in the European Union that is set to expire in 2021.

We will vigorously defend our intellectual property rights.

Legal proceedings relating to our Ampyra patents are described in further detail in Part II, Item 1 of this report.

Qutenza

Qutenza is a dermal patch containing 8% prescription strength capsaicin the effects of which can last up to three months and is approved by the FDA for the management of neuropathic pain associated with post-herpetic neuralgia, also known as post-shingles pain. We acquired commercialization rights to Qutenza in July 2013 from NeurogesX, Inc. These rights include the U.S., Canada, Latin America and certain other territories. Grunenthal GmbH (as the assignee of Astellas Pharma Europe Ltd.) has exclusive commercialization rights for Qutenza in the European Economic Area (EEA) including the 28 countries of the European Union, Iceland, Norway, and Liechtenstein as well as Switzerland, certain countries in Eastern Europe, the Middle East and Africa.

Research & Development Programs

We have a pipeline of novel neurological therapies addressing a range of disorders, including Parkinson's disease and MS. Inbrija (levodopa inhalation powder) is our most advanced development program and our highest priority. This program and the other programs in our pipeline are described below.

Inbrija (levodopa inhalation powder)/Parkinson's Disease

Inbrija is a self-administered, inhaled formulation of levodopa, or L-dopa, for the treatment of OFF periods in people with Parkinson's disease who are taking a carbidopa/levodopa regimen. Parkinson's disease is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder resulting from the gradual loss of certain neurons in the brain responsible for producing dopamine. The disease causes a range of symptoms such as impaired ability to move, muscle stiffness and tremor. The standard of care for the treatment of Parkinson's disease is oral carbidopa/levodopa, but oral medication can be associated with wide variability in the timing and amount of absorption and there are significant challenges in creating a regimen that consistently maintains therapeutic effects as Parkinson's disease progresses. The re-emergence of symptoms is referred to as an OFF period, and despite optimized regimens with current therapeutic options and strategies, OFF periods remain one of the most challenging aspects of the disease.

Inbrija delivers a precise dose of dry-powder formulation of L-dopa to the lung using a breath-actuated proprietary inhaler. Oral medication can be associated with slow and variable onset of action, as the medicine is absorbed through the gastrointestinal (digestive) tract before reaching the brain. Inhaled treatments enter the body through the lungs and reach the brain shortly thereafter, bypassing the digestive system. Inbrija is based on our proprietary ARCUS platform, a dry-powder pulmonary drug delivery technology that we believe has potential applications in multiple disease areas. A key feature of our ARCUS technology is the large porous particles that allow for consistent and precise delivery of significantly larger doses of medication than are possible with conventional dry powder pulmonary systems. This in turn provides the potential for pulmonary delivery of a much wider variety of pharmaceutical agents. We have worldwide rights to our ARCUS drug delivery technology, which is protected by extensive know-how and trade secrets and various U.S. and foreign patents, including patents that protect the Inbrija dry powder capsules beyond 2030.

In 2016, we completed a Phase 3 efficacy and safety clinical trial of Inbrija for the treatment of OFF periods in Parkinson's disease. In February 2017, we announced efficacy and safety data from this clinical trial, showing a statistically significant improvement in motor function in people with Parkinson's experiencing OFF periods. The clinical trial had three arms: Inbrija 84 mg and 60 mg doses (equivalent to 50 mg and 35 mg fine particle doses, respectively), and placebo. The trial met its primary outcome measure of improvement in motor function as measured by the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale-Part 3 (UPDRS Part III) in people with Parkinson's experiencing OFF periods. UPDRS III is a validated scale, which measures Parkinson's disease motor impairment. The primary endpoint was measured at 30 minutes post-treatment for the 84 mg dose at the 12-week visit. UPDRS Part III change was -9.83 compared to -5.91 for placebo with a p value of 0.009. The magnitude of Inbrija's benefit versus baseline was consistent with the data from the prior Phase 2b clinical trial, further described below, and represents a statistically significant, clinically meaningful improvement in motor function. The placebo-adjusted difference was lower in the Phase 3 clinical trial than the Phase 2b clinical trial but still represented a clinically important difference. In June 2017, we announced additional data from the Inbrija Phase 3 efficacy and safety trial at the International Congress of Parkinson's Disease and Movement Disorders (MDS). The secondary endpoints of achievement of an ON state with maintenance through 60 minutes (statistically significant), Patient Global Impression of Change (PGIC), and reduction in UPDRS III score at 10 minutes were supportive of the primary endpoint result.

The safety profile of Inbrija in the trial was consistent with that observed in a prior Phase 2b clinical trial:

84 mg, 60 mg and Placebo: Adverse events reported in any study arm at greater than 5% were cough, upper respiratory tract infection, throat irritation, nausea and sputum discoloration. Cough was the most common adverse event, reported by approximately 15% of subjects who received Inbrija. When reported, it was typically mild and reported once per participant during the course of treatment. Three of 227 participants receiving Inbrija discontinued the study due to cough. Reports of serious adverse events were: 3, or 2.7% in the placebo arm, 6, or 5.3% in the 60 mg arm, and 2, or 1.8% in the 84 mg arm. There was one death in the study, a suicide in the 60 mg group, judged by the investigator not to be related to drug.

84 mg: The most commonly reported adverse events in the Inbrija 84 mg group compared to the placebo group were: cough (14.9% vs. 1.8%, reported mostly once/subject), upper respiratory tract infection (6.1% vs. 2.7%), nausea (5.3% vs. 2.7%), sputum discoloration (5.3% vs. 0%) and dyskinesia (3.5% vs. 0.0%). When cough was

reported, it was typically characterized as mild. Two of 114 participants receiving Inbrija 84 mg discontinued the study due to cough.

Results from a separate Phase 3 study to assess the long-term safety profile of Inbrija in people with Parkinson's showed no statistical difference in pulmonary function between the group receiving Inbrija and an observational control group. These results are consistent with the previously reported Phase 2b and Phase 3 clinical trials. In March 2017, we announced results from separate clinical studies that assessed the safety profile of Inbrija in people with asthma, smokers and early morning OFF.

In February 2018, we announced that our Inbrija NDA was accepted for filing by the FDA, and that under the Prescription Drug User Fee Act, or PDUFA, the FDA has set a target date of October 5, 2018, for issuing its decision on the NDA. The NDA was submitted under section 505(b)(2) of the Food Drug and Cosmetic Act, referencing data from the branded L-dopa product Sinemet®. We believe the Phase 3 efficacy and safety clinical trial, combined with data from additional Phase 3 long-term safety studies and supported by existing Phase 2b data, are sufficient for the NDA filing. Our commercial preparations for the launch of Inbrija continue. We believe we have built a leading neuro-specialty sales and marketing team through our commercialization of Ampyra, and that our launch of Inbrija in the U.S., if approved, will benefit from the experiences and capabilities of this team. We are projecting that, if approved, annual peak net revenue of Inbrija in the U.S. alone could exceed \$800 million. We are seeking approval to market Inbrija in the European Union, and accordingly we filed a Marketing Authorization Application, or MAA, with the European Medicines Agency, or EMA, in March 2018. In May 2018, we announced that the EMA completed formal validation of the MAA for Inbrija, and that the review of the MAA will be according to standard timelines, with an opinion of the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use, or CHMP, expected within 210 days of the May 24, 2018 validation notification date (plus any clock-stops to provide answers to questions which may arise during the review). After the adoption of a CHMP opinion, a final decision regarding the MAA is carried out by the European Commission. We are in discussions with potential partners regarding Inbrija outside of the U.S.

In April 2018, we presented new Inbrija data from four accepted abstracts during two oral platform presentations at the American Academy of Neurology Annual Meeting. These presentations included a safety assessment in early morning OFF symptoms in patients with Parkinson's disease and long-term pulmonary safety and efficacy of inhaled levodopa in Parkinson's disease.

ARCUS Product Development

In addition to Inbrija (levodopa inhalation powder), discussed above, our strategic priorities include exploring opportunities for other proprietary products in which inhaled delivery using our ARCUS drug delivery technology can provide a significant therapeutic benefit to patients. Disorders of the central nervous system, or CNS, in addition to Parkinson's disease, may be addressed by ARCUS products with the delivery of active agents to the CNS with rapid onset and reduced systemic exposure. We are also considering non-CNS opportunities for ARCUS.

CVT-427 is our most advanced ARCUS program other than Inbrija, and one of our strategic priorities. CVT-427 is an inhaled triptan (zolmitriptan) intended for acute treatment of migraine by using the ARCUS drug delivery technology. Triptans are the class of drug most commonly prescribed for acute treatment of migraine. Oral triptans, which account for the majority of all triptan doses, can be associated with slow onset of action and gastrointestinal challenges. The slow onset of action, usually 30 minutes or longer, can result in poor response rates. Patients cite the need for rapid relief from migraine symptoms as their most desired medication attribute. Additionally, individuals with migraine may suffer from nausea and delayed gastric emptying which further impact the consistency and efficacy of the oral route of administration. Triptans delivered subcutaneously (injection) provide the most rapid onset of action, but are not convenient for patients. Many triptans are also available in nasally delivered formulations. However, based on available data, we believe that nasally delivered triptans generally have an onset of action similar to orally administered triptans.

In December 2015, we initiated and completed a Phase 1 safety/tolerability and pharmacokinetic clinical trial of CVT-427 for acute treatment of migraine. In June 2016, at the 58th Annual Scientific Meeting of the American Headache Society, we presented pharmacokinetic data from the Phase 1 trial which showed increased bioavailability and faster absorption compared to oral and nasal administration of the same active ingredient in healthy adults. In particular, the data showed that CVT-427 had a median T_{max} of about 12 minutes for all dose levels compared to 1.5 hours for the oral tablet and 3.0 hours for the nasal spray. There were no serious adverse events, dose-limiting toxicities, evidence of bronchoconstriction or discontinuations due to adverse events reported in this study. The most commonly reported treatment-emergent adverse events were cough, chest discomfort, headache, and feeling hot. Apart from cough, single dose CVT-427 tolerability was generally consistent with the known safety profile of zolmitriptan. In December 2016, we completed a special population

study to evaluate safe inhalation of CVT-427 in people with asthma and in smokers. Some subjects showed evidence of acute, reversible bronchoconstriction, post-inhalation. We plan to accelerate work on reformulating to move the program forward as a strategic priority, subject attaining approval for and launching Inbrija in the U.S.

In July 2015, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation awarded us a \$1.4 million grant to support the development of a formulation and delivery system for a dry powder version of lung surfactant, a treatment for neonatal respiratory distress syndrome, or nRDS. In collaboration with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, we developed a novel formulation and delivery device based on our proprietary ARCUS drug delivery technology. nRDS is a condition affecting prematurely born infants in which their lungs are underdeveloped and thus lack a sufficient amount of lung surfactant. It can be fatal, or lead to severe, chronic health issues caused by a lack of oxygen getting to the baby's brain and other organs. Delivering liquid surfactant to the lungs via intubation is the standard of care. We believe that our formulation and delivery system may present a more practical alternative for use in developing areas of the world, where intubation poses numerous problems. This program is not aimed at developing a commercial product, but our work on this program could potentially generate information that is useful for adapting the ARCUS drug delivery technology to commercial pediatric uses.

We are also beginning to formulate potential ARCUS products for two different rare lung diseases.

Other Research and Development Programs

Following is a description of our other research and development programs.

SYN120: SYN120 is a potential treatment for Parkinson's-related dementia, which we acquired with Biotie Therapies. Data from a Phase 2 exploratory study that we completed in 2017 showed that several of the outcome measures trended in favor of drug versus placebo, particularly with respect to neuropsychiatric symptoms. However, neither the primary nor key secondary endpoints achieved statistical significance. We are continuing to review the data, which will be presented at an upcoming medical meeting.

BTT1023: Through Biotie Therapies, we are also developing BTT1023 (timolumab), a product candidate for the orphan disease Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis, or PSC, a chronic and progressive liver disease. There are no approved drug therapies for PSC and liver transplant is the only treatment. Interim data from an ongoing Phase 2 proof-of-concept clinical trial of BTT1023 for PSC are expected in the third quarter of 2018.

rHlgM22: We are developing rHlgM22, a remyelinating antibody, as a potential therapeutic for MS. We believe a therapy that could repair myelin sheaths has the potential to restore neurological function to those affected by demyelinating conditions. We have completed and analyzed data from a Phase 1 trial using one of two doses of rHlgM22 or placebo in 27 people with MS who experienced an acute relapse. In addition to assessing safety and tolerability during an acute relapse, the study included exploratory efficacy measures such as a timed walk, magnetization transfer ratio imaging of lesion myelination in the brain and various biomarkers. Data from the trial showed that a single dose of rHlgM22 was not associated with any safety signals. The trial's primary objectives were safety and tolerability of a single dose following a relapse. The study was not powered to show efficacy and exploratory measures showed no difference between the treatment groups. We are considering next steps for the program.

Cimaglermin alfa: Cimaglermin alfa is a member of the neuregulin growth factor family, and has been shown to promote recovery after neurological injury, as well as enhance heart function in animal models of heart failure. In 2013, we commenced a Phase 1b single-infusion trial in people with heart failure, which assessed the tolerability of three dose levels of cimaglermin, and also included an assessment of drug-drug interactions and several exploratory measures of efficacy. In 2015 we announced that we had stopped enrollment in this trial based on the occurrence of a case of hepatotoxicity (liver injury) manifested by clinical symptoms and an elevation in liver chemistry tests meeting the FDA Drug-Induced Liver Injury Guidance (FDA 2009) stopping rules. We also received a notification of clinical hold from the FDA following submission of this information. The abnormal blood tests resolved within two

to three weeks. We subsequently conducted additional analyses and non-clinical studies to further define the nature of the hepatotoxicity, and met with the FDA to present these data as part of our request that the program be removed from the clinical hold. The FDA lifted the clinical hold in April 2017. We are seeking to partner or out-license this program.

NP-1998 is a Phase 3 ready, 20% prescription strength capsaicin topical solution that we were previously assessing for the treatment of neuropathic pain. In 2013, we acquired development and commercialization rights in the U.S., Canada, Latin America and certain other territories. We believe NP-1998 has the potential to treat multiple neuropathies, but we have not invested in further development of NP-1998 for several years and we are seeking to partner or out-license this program.

Financial Guidance for 2018

We are providing the following guidance with respect to our 2018 financial performance:

- We expect 2018 net revenue from the sale of Ampyra to range from \$330 million to \$350 million.
 - Research and development (R&D) expenses in 2018 are expected to range from \$100 million to \$110 million, excluding share-based compensation charges and including manufacturing expenses associated with Inbrija.
 - Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses in 2018 are expected to range from \$170 million to \$180 million, excluding share-based compensation charges.
- We are projecting a 2018 year-end cash balance in excess of \$300 million.

The guidance above is subject to revision in the case of a positive outcome of the pending decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in our appeal of a March 2017 U.S. District Court decision invalidating certain Ampyra patents, as further described in this report.

The projected range of R&D and SG&A expenses in 2018 are provided on a non-GAAP basis, as both excluding share-based compensation charges. Due to the forward looking nature of this information, the amount of compensation charges and benefits needed to reconcile these measures to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures is dependent on future changes in the market price of our common stock and is not available at this time. Non-GAAP financial measures are not an alternative for financial measures prepared in accordance with GAAP. However, we believe the presentation of these non-GAAP financial measures, when viewed in conjunction with actual GAAP results, provides investors with a more meaningful understanding of our projected operating performance because they exclude non-cash charges that are substantially dependent on changes in the market price of our common stock. We believe these non-GAAP financial measures help indicate underlying trends in our business, and are important in comparing current results with prior period results and understanding expected operating performance. Also, our management uses these non-GAAP financial measures to establish budgets and operational goals, and to manage our business and to evaluate its performance.

Results of Operations

Three-Month Period Ended June 30, 2018 Compared to June 30, 2017

Net Product Revenues

Ampyra

We recognize product sales of Ampyra following receipt of product primarily by our network of specialty pharmacy providers, Kaiser Permanente and ASD Specialty Healthcare, Inc. We recognized net revenue from the sale of Ampyra to these customers of \$150.3 million and \$131.6 million for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, an increase of \$18.7 million, or 14.2%. The net revenue increase is comprised of net price increases, net of discount and allowance adjustments of \$12.0 million and increased net volume of \$6.7 million. Effective January 1, 2018, we increased our list sale price to our customers by 9.5%.

Discounts and allowances which are included as an offset in net revenue consist of allowances for customer credits, including estimated chargebacks, rebates and discounts. Discounts and allowances are recorded following shipment of Ampyra tablets to our customers. Adjustments are recorded for estimated chargebacks, rebates, and discounts. Discounts and allowances also consist of discounts provided to Medicare beneficiaries whose prescription drug costs cause them to be subject to the Medicare Part D coverage gap (i.e., the “donut hole”). Payment of coverage gap discounts is required under the

Affordable Care Act, the health care reform legislation enacted in 2010. Discounts and allowances may increase as a percentage of sales as we enter into managed care contracts in the future.

Other Net Product Revenues

We recognized net revenue from the sale of other products of \$0.1 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018, as compared to \$1.2 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017, a decrease of \$1.1 million. The decrease was due to the sale of Zanaflex assets in fiscal 2017.

Discounts and allowances, which are included as an offset in net revenue, consist of allowances for customer credits, including estimated chargebacks, rebates, returns and discounts.

License Revenue

We recognized \$2.3 million in license revenue for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017, related to the \$110.0 million received from Biogen in 2009 as part of our collaboration agreement. As of January 1, 2018, we adopted ASC 606 “Revenue from Contracts with Customers” (“ASC 606). Under ASC 606, revenue related to the upfront payment is recognized at a point in time rather than over time. As a result of adopting ASC 606, we recognized the remaining deferred revenue as of January 1, 2018 as a cumulative effect adjustment to the accumulated deficit on the consolidated balance sheet as of January 1, 2018.

Royalty Revenue

We recognized \$2.9 million in royalty revenue for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 related to ex-U.S. sales of Fampyra by Biogen.

We recognized \$0.7 million in royalty revenue for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017, related to the authorized generic sale of Zanaflex Capsules and \$0.8 million in royalty revenue for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017, related to sales of Selincro. We sold Zanaflex and monetized Selincro in fiscal 2017.

Cost of Sales

We recorded cost of sales of \$31.1 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018 as compared to \$29.7 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017. Cost of sales for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018 consisted primarily of \$27.0 million in inventory costs related to recognized revenues, \$3.4 million in royalty fees based on net product shipments, and \$0.7 million for costs related to the amortization of intangible assets. Cost of sales for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017 consisted primarily of \$23.0 million in inventory costs related to recognized revenues, \$3.0 million in royalty fees based on net product shipments and costs related to Biotie of \$2.3 million.

Cost of License Revenue

We recorded cost of license revenue of \$0.2 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017. Cost of license revenue represented the recognition of a portion of the deferred \$7.7 million paid to Alkermes in 2009 in connection with the \$110.0 million received from Biogen as a result of our collaboration agreement. As of January 1, 2018, we adopted ASC 606 “Revenue from Contracts with Customers” (“ASC 606). As a result of adopting ASC 606, we recognized the remaining deferred cost of license revenue as of January 1, 2018 as a cumulative effect adjustment to the accumulated deficit on the consolidated balance sheet as of January 1, 2018.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018 were \$25.9 million as compared to \$51.2 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017, a decrease of approximately \$25.3 million, or 49.4%. The decrease was due primarily to reductions in spending of \$11.5 million due to the termination of tozadenant development program, \$2.7 million for Inbrija and CVT-427 as the clinical trials for Inbrija are winding down, \$1.4 million for Ampyra

life cycle management program, \$3.3 million for salaries and benefits related costs, \$5.3 million decrease in restructuring costs and \$1.0 million in reduced spending for certain other programs.

Selling, General and Administrative

Sales and marketing expenses for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018 were \$23.1 million compared to \$25.9 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017, a decrease of approximately \$2.8 million, or 10.8%. The decrease was attributable to a decrease in marketing related spending of \$1.6 million, a decrease in overall salaries and benefits of \$1.3 million, partially offset by an increase in pre-launch activities related to Inbrija of \$0.1 million.

General and administrative expenses for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018 were \$21.1 million compared to \$23.4 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017, a decrease of approximately \$2.3 million, or 9.8%. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in salaries and benefits related costs of \$2.7 million, restructuring costs of \$2.0 million partially offset by an increase in Biotie spending of \$0.4 million and an increase in legal costs of \$2.0 million.

Changes in Fair Value of Acquired Contingent Consideration

As a result of the original Civitas spin out of Alkermes, part of the consideration to Alkermes was a future royalty to be paid to Alkermes on Civitas products. Acorda acquired this contingent consideration as part of the Civitas acquisition. The fair value of that future royalty is assessed quarterly. We recorded income pertaining to changes in the fair-value of acquired contingent consideration of \$7.0 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018 as compared to an expense of \$6.4 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017. Changes in the fair-value of the acquired contingent consideration were due to the re-calculation of discounted cash flows for the passage of time and changes to certain other estimated assumptions.

Other Expense

Other expense was \$4.5 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018 compared to other expense of \$5.4 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017, a decrease in expense of \$0.9 million. The increase was due primarily to an increase in interest income.

Provision for Income Taxes

For the three-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, the Company recorded an \$8.4 million and \$5.5 million provision for income taxes, respectively. The effective income tax rates for the Company for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 were 15.3% and (200.8%), respectively. The variance in the effective tax rates for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018 as compared to the three-month period ended June 30, 2017 was due primarily to differences in pre-tax book income between the periods, the decrease in the federal statutory tax rate as a result of tax reform, the valuation allowance recorded on deferred tax assets for which no tax benefit can be recognized, state taxes, and the reduction in the research and development tax credit.

The Company continues to evaluate the realizability of its deferred tax assets and liabilities on a quarterly basis and will adjust such amounts in light of changing facts and circumstances including, but not limited to, future projections of taxable income, tax legislation, rulings by relevant tax authorities, the progress of ongoing tax audits and the regulatory approval of products currently under development. Any changes to the valuation allowance or deferred tax

assets and liabilities in the future would impact the Company's income taxes.

Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2018 Compared to June 30, 2017

Net Product Revenues

Ampyra

We recognize product sales of Ampyra following receipt of product primarily by our network of specialty pharmacy providers, Kaiser Permanente and ASD Specialty Healthcare, Inc. We recognized net revenue from the sale of Ampyra to these customers of \$253.1 million and \$243.5 million for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, an increase of \$9.6 million, or 3.9%. The net revenue increase is comprised of net price increases, net of discount and allowance adjustments of \$12.9 million, offset by decreased net volume of \$3.3 million. Effective January 1, 2018, we increased our list sale price to our customers by 9.5%.

Discounts and allowances which are included as an offset in net revenue consist of allowances for customer credits, including estimated chargebacks, rebates and discounts. Discounts and allowances are recorded following shipment of Ampyra tablets to our customers. Adjustments are recorded for estimated chargebacks, rebates, and discounts. Discounts and allowances also consist of discounts provided to Medicare beneficiaries whose prescription drug costs cause them to be subject to the Medicare Part D coverage gap (i.e., the “donut hole”). Payment of coverage gap discounts is required under the Affordable Care Act, the health care reform legislation enacted in 2010. Discounts and allowances may increase as a percentage of sales as we enter into managed care contracts in the future.

Other Net Product Revenues

We recognized net revenue from the sale of other products of \$0.3 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018, as compared to \$1.8 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2017, a decrease of \$1.5 million. The decrease was due to the sale of Zanaflex assets in fiscal 2017.

Discounts and allowances, which are included as an offset in net revenue, consist of allowances for customer credits, including estimated chargebacks, rebates, returns and discounts.

License Revenue

We recognized \$4.5 million in license revenue for the six-month period ended June 30, 2017, related to the \$110.0 million received from Biogen in 2009 as part of our collaboration agreement. As of January 1, 2018, we adopted ASC 606 “Revenue from Contracts with Customers” (“ASC 606”). Under ASC 606, revenue related to the upfront payment is recognized at a point in time rather than over time. As a result of adopting ASC 606, we recognized the remaining deferred revenue as of January 1, 2018 as a cumulative effect adjustment to the accumulated deficit on the consolidated balance sheet as of January 1, 2018.

Royalty Revenue

We recognized \$6.1 million and \$5.4 million in royalty revenue for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, related to ex-U.S. sales of Fampyra by Biogen.

We recognized \$2.0 million in royalty revenue for the six-month period ended June 30, 2017, related to the authorized generic sale of Zanaflex Capsules and \$1.5 million in royalty revenue for the six-month period ended June 30, 2017, related to sales of Selincro. We sold Zanaflex and monetized Selincro in fiscal 2017.

Cost of Sales

We recorded cost of sales of \$52.4 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018 as compared to \$54.8 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2017. Cost of sales for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018 consisted primarily of \$45.1 million in inventory costs related to recognized revenues, \$5.8 million in royalty fees based on net product shipments, and \$1.4 million for costs related to the amortization of intangible assets. Cost of sales for the six-month period

ended June 30, 2017 consisted primarily of \$43.2 million in inventory costs related to recognized revenues, \$5.5 million in royalty fees based on net product shipments and costs related to Biotie of \$4.4 million.

Cost of License Revenue

We recorded cost of license revenue of \$0.3 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2017. Cost of license revenue represented the recognition of a portion of the deferred \$7.7 million paid to Alkermes in 2009 in connection with the \$110.0 million received from Biogen as a result of our collaboration agreement. As of January 1, 2018, we adopted ASC 606 “Revenue from Contracts with Customers” (“ASC 606”). As a result of adopting ASC 606, we recognized the remaining deferred cost of license revenue as of January 1, 2018 as a cumulative effect adjustment to the accumulated deficit on the consolidated balance sheet as of January 1, 2018.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018 were \$56.5 million as compared to \$97.7 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2017, a decrease of approximately \$41.2 million, or 42.2%. The decrease was due primarily to reductions in spending of \$15.2 million due to the termination of the tozadenant development program, \$8.8 million for Inbrija and CVT-427 as the clinical trials for Inbrija are winding down, \$4.3 million for Ampyra life cycle management program, \$6.8 million for salaries and benefits related costs, \$4.3 million decrease in restructuring costs and \$1.6 million for certain other programs.

Selling, General and Administrative

Sales and marketing expenses for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018 were \$46.1 million compared to \$51.0 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2017, a decrease of approximately \$4.9 million, or 9.6%. The decrease was attributable to a decrease in marketing related spending of \$5.7 million, a decrease in overall salaries and benefits of \$0.3 million, partially offset by an increase in pre-launch activities related to Inbrija of \$1.1 million.

General and administrative expenses for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018 were \$45.8 million compared to \$50.3 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2017, a decrease of approximately \$4.5 million, or 8.9%. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in restructuring costs of \$2.0 million, and a decrease in salaries and benefits related costs of \$2.0 million and a decrease in business development and other related expenses of \$0.7 million.

Changes in Fair Value of Acquired Contingent Consideration

As a result of the original Civitas spin out of Alkermes, part of the consideration to Alkermes was a future royalty to be paid to Alkermes on Civitas products. Acorda acquired this contingent consideration as part of the Civitas acquisition. The fair value of that future royalty is assessed quarterly. We recorded income pertaining to changes in the fair-value of acquired contingent consideration of \$0.8 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018 as compared to an expense of \$17.2 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2017. Changes in the fair-value of the acquired contingent consideration were due to the re-calculation of discounted cash flows for the passage of time and changes to certain other estimated assumptions.

Other Expense

Other expense was \$9.7 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018 compared to other expense of \$10.0 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2017, a decrease in expense of \$0.3 million. The decrease was due primarily to an increase in interest income of approximately \$1.2 million and a decrease in realized losses on foreign currency exchange of approximately \$0.4 million partially offset by an increase in interest and amortization of debt

discount expense of \$1.3 million

Provision for Income Taxes

For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, the Company recorded a \$11.8 million and \$4.6 million provision for income taxes, respectively. The effective income tax rates for the Company for the six-month periods ended

31

June 30, 2018 and 2017 were 23.9% and (20.2%), respectively. The variance in the effective tax rates for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018 as compared to the six-month period ended June 30, 2017 was due primarily to differences in pre-tax book income between the periods, the decrease in the federal statutory tax rate as a result of tax reform, the valuation allowance recorded on deferred tax assets for which no tax benefit can be recognized, state taxes, and the reduction in the research and development tax credit.

The Company continues to evaluate the realizability of its deferred tax assets and liabilities on a quarterly basis and will adjust such amounts in light of changing facts and circumstances including, but not limited to, future projections of taxable income, tax legislation, rulings by relevant tax authorities, the progress of ongoing tax audits and the regulatory approval of products currently under development. Any changes to the valuation allowance or deferred tax assets and liabilities in the future would impact the Company's income taxes.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Since our inception, we have financed our operations primarily through private placements and public offerings of our common stock and preferred stock, a convertible debt offering, payments received under our collaboration and licensing agreements, sales of Ampyra, Zanaflex and Qutenza, and, to a lesser extent, from loans, government and non-government grants and other financing arrangements.

At June 30, 2018, we had \$391.7 million of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, compared to \$307.1 million at December 31, 2017. We expect that our existing cash and cash flows from operations will be sufficient to fund our ongoing operations over the next 12 months from the financial statement filing date.

In April 2017, following a Federal District Court's decision which invalidated certain of the Company's patents relating to Ampyra, we implemented a corporate restructuring to reduce our cost structure and focus our resources on our most important and valuable initiatives, including our Inbrija development program and maximizing Ampyra value. As part of this restructuring, we reduced headcount by approximately 20%. The majority of the reduction was completed in April 2017. We believe that the operating expense reductions from the restructuring, as well as additional expense reductions due to the termination of our tozadenant development program in November 2017, will enable us to fund operations through the launch of Inbrija, pending approval from the FDA. However, there can be no guarantee that we will have sufficient funding to do so. We may need to seek additional equity or debt financing or strategic collaborations to complete our product development activities, and could require substantial funding to commercialize any products that we successfully develop. We may not be able to raise additional capital on favorable terms, or at all.

Our future capital requirements will depend on a number of factors, including the amount of revenue generated from sales of Ampyra, the time of approval (if ever) and launch of Inbrija, the continued progress of our research and development activities, the amount and timing of milestone or other payments payable under collaboration, license and acquisition agreements, the costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining, defending and enforcing patent claims and other intellectual property rights, and capital required or used for future acquisitions or to in-license new products and compounds including the development costs relating to those products or compounds. To the extent our capital resources are insufficient to meet future operating requirements we will need to raise additional capital, reduce planned expenditures, or incur indebtedness to fund our operations. If we require additional financing in the future, we cannot assure you that it will be available to us on favorable terms, or at all.

Financing Arrangements

Convertible Senior Notes

In June 2014, the Company entered into an underwriting agreement (the Underwriting Agreement) with J.P. Morgan Securities LLC (the Underwriter) relating to the issuance by the Company of \$345 million aggregate principal amount of 1.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2021 (the Notes) in an underwritten public offering pursuant to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (the Registration Statement) and a related preliminary and final prospectus supplement, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Offering). The net proceeds from the offering, after deducting the Underwriter's discount and the offering expenses paid by the Company, were approximately \$337.5 million.

The Notes are governed by the terms of an indenture, dated as of June 23, 2014 (the Base Indenture) and the first supplemental indenture, dated as of June 23, 2014 (the Supplemental Indenture, and together with the Base Indenture, the

Indenture), each between the Company and Wilmington Trust, National Association, as trustee (the Trustee). The Notes will be convertible into cash, shares of the Company's common stock or a combination of cash and shares of the Company's common stock, at the Company's election, based on an initial conversion rate, subject to adjustment, of 23.4968 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes (which represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$42.56 per share), only in the following circumstances and to the following extent: (1) during the five business day period after any five consecutive trading day period (the "measurement period") in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes for each trading day of the measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last reported sale price of the Company's common stock and the conversion rate on each such trading day; (2) during any calendar quarter commencing after the calendar quarter ending on September 30, 2014 (and only during such calendar quarter), if the last reported sale price of the common stock for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on, and including, the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter is greater than or equal to 130% of the conversion price on each applicable trading day; (3) if the Company calls any or all of the Notes for redemption, at any time prior to the close of business on the scheduled trading day immediately preceding the redemption date; (4) upon the occurrence of specified events described in the Indenture; and (5) at any time on or after December 15, 2020 through the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date. As of June 30, 2018, the Notes did not meet the criteria to be convertible.

The Company may redeem for cash, all or part of the Notes, at the Company's option, on or after June 20, 2017 if the last reported sale price of the Company's common stock has been at least 130% of the conversion price then in effect for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during any 30 consecutive trading day period (including the last trading day of such period) ending within five trading days prior to the date on which the Company provides notice of redemption at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes to be redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the redemption date.

The Company will pay 1.75% interest per annum on the principal amount of the Notes, payable semiannually in arrears in cash on June 15 and December 15 of each year.

If the Company undergoes a "fundamental change" (as defined in the Indenture), subject to certain conditions, holders may require the Company to repurchase for cash all or part of their Notes in principal amounts of \$1,000 or an integral multiple thereof. The fundamental change repurchase price will be equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the fundamental change repurchase date. If a make-whole fundamental change, as described in the Indenture, occurs and a holder elects to convert its Notes in connection with such make-whole fundamental change, such holder may be entitled to an increase in the conversion rate as described in the Indenture.

The Indenture contains customary terms and covenants and events of default. If an event of default (other than certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization involving the Company) occurs and is continuing, the Trustee by notice to the Company, or the holders of at least 25% in principal amount of the outstanding Notes by notice to the Company and the Trustee, may declare 100% of the principal of and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on all the Notes to be due and payable. Upon such a declaration of acceleration, such principal and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, will be due and payable immediately. Upon the occurrence of certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization involving the Company, 100% of the principal and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on all of the Notes will become due and payable automatically. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Indenture provides that, to the extent the Company elects and for up to 270 days, the sole remedy for an event of default relating to certain failures by the Company to comply with certain reporting covenants in the Indenture consists exclusively of the right to receive additional interest on the Notes.

The Notes will be senior unsecured obligations and will rank equally with all of the Company's existing and future senior debt and senior to any of the Company's subordinated debt. The Notes will be structurally subordinated to all

existing or future indebtedness and other liabilities (including trade payables) of the Company's subsidiaries and will be effectively subordinated to the Company's existing or future secured indebtedness to the extent of the value of the collateral. The Indenture does not limit the amount of debt that the Company or its subsidiaries may incur.

In accounting for the issuance of the Notes, the Company separated the Notes into liability and equity components. The carrying amount of the liability component was calculated by measuring the fair value of a similar liability that does not have an associated convertible feature. The carrying amount of the equity component representing the conversion option was determined by deducting the fair value of the liability component from the par value of the Notes as a whole. The excess of the principal amount of the liability component over its carrying amount, referred to as the debt discount, is amortized to

interest expense over the seven-year term of the Notes using the effective interest method. The equity component is not re-measured as long as it continues to meet the conditions for equity classification.

Our outstanding note balances as of June 30, 2018 consisted of the following:

	June 30,
(In thousands)	2018
Liability component:	
Principal	\$345,000
Less: debt discount and debt issuance costs, net	(31,321)
Net carrying amount	\$313,679
Equity component	\$61,195

Non-Convertible Capital Loans

The Non-Convertible Capital Loans (“Tekes Loans”) which were granted to Biotie by Tekes, a Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation, had a fair value of \$20.5 million (€18.2 million) at the date of acquisition. The Tekes loans have a carrying value of approximately \$23.4 million as of June 30, 2018. The Tekes Loans consist of fourteen non-convertible loans that bear interest based on the greater of 3% or the base rate set by Finland’s Ministry of Finance minus one (1) percentage point. The maturity dates for these loans range from eight to ten years from the date of issuance, however, according to certain terms and conditions of the loans, Biotie may repay the principal and accrued and unpaid interest of the loans only when the consolidated retained earnings of Biotie is sufficient to fully repay the loans.

Research and Development Loans

The Research and Development Loans (“R&D Loans”) which were granted to Biotie by Tekes had a fair value of \$2.9 million (€2.6 million) at the date of acquisition. The R&D Loans have a carrying value of approximately \$1.9 million as of June 30, 2018. The R&D Loans bear interest based on the greater of 1% or the base rate set by Finland’s Ministry of Finance minus three (3) percentage points. The principal on these loans will be paid in five equal annual installments beginning in 2017 through 2021.

Investment Activities

At June 30, 2018, cash, cash equivalents and short-term investment were approximately \$391.7 million, as compared to \$307.1 million at December 31, 2017. Our cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at date of purchase and consist of time deposits and investments in money market funds. Our short term investments consist of high-grade corporate debt securities and commercial paper with original maturities of twelve months or less at date of purchase. Also, we maintain cash balances with financial institutions in excess of insured limits. We do not anticipate any losses with respect to such cash balances.

Net Cash Provided by Operations

Net cash provided by operations was \$85.0 million for the six-month period ending June 30, 2018. Cash provided by operations for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018 was primarily due to a net income of \$38.0 million, a decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$17.0 million, non-cash royalty revenue of \$5.3 million, an increase in other current assets of \$1.6 million, and a change in contingent consideration liability of \$0.8 million. This

was partially offset by a decrease in accounts receivable of \$17.0 million, stock compensation expense of \$11.1 million, depreciation and amortization of \$6.6 million, amortization of debt discount and debt issuance costs of \$8.0 million, deferred tax provision of \$12.6 million and a decrease in inventory of \$16.4 million.

Net Cash Used in Investing

Net cash used in investing activities for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018 was \$159.3 million, which was due primarily to purchases of short-term investments and property and equipment of \$148.4 million and \$10.8 million, respectively.

Net Cash Provided by Financing

Net cash provided by financing activities for the six-month period ended June 30, 2018 was \$10.5 million, which was due to \$12.7 million in net proceeds from the issuance of common stock and stock option exercises, partially offset by the repurchase of treasury stock of \$1.6 million and repayment of loans payable of \$0.7 million.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

A summary of our minimum contractual obligations related to our material outstanding contractual commitments is included in Note 14 of our Annual report on Form 10-K, as amended by Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A, for the year ended December 31, 2017. Our long-term contractual obligations include commitments and estimated purchase obligations entered into in the normal course of business.

Under certain agreements, we are required to pay royalties or license fees and milestones for the use of technologies and products in our R&D activities and in the commercialization of products. The amount and timing of any of the foregoing payments are not known due to the uncertainty surrounding the successful research, development and commercialization of the products. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2018, commitments related to the purchase of inventory increased as compared to December 31, 2017. As of June 30, 2018, we have inventory-related purchase commitments totaling approximately \$24.5 million.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our critical accounting policies are detailed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended by Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A, for the year ended December 31, 2017. As of June 30, 2018, with the exception of the adoption of ASU 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers" (Topic 606), ASU 2016-15 and ASU 2016-18 "Statement of Cash Flows" (Topic 230), ASU 2017-09, "Compensation – Stock Compensation" (Topic 718): Scope of Modification Accounting and ASU 2017-01, and "Business Combinations" (Topic 805): Clarifying the Definition of a Business, our critical accounting policies have not changed materially from December 31, 2017.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our financial instruments consist of cash equivalents, short-term investments, convertible senior notes, non-convertible capital loans, research and development loans and accounts payable. The estimated fair values of all of our financial instruments approximate their carrying values at June 30, 2018, except for the fair value of the Company's convertible senior notes which was approximately \$336.3 million as of June 30, 2018.

We have cash equivalents and short-term investments at June 30, 2018, which are exposed to the impact of interest rate changes and our interest income fluctuates as our interest rates change. Due to the nature of our investments in money market funds, high-grade corporate bonds and commercial paper, the carrying value of our cash equivalents and short-term investments approximate their fair value at June 30, 2018. At June 30, 2018, we held \$391.7 million in cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments which had an average interest rate of approximately 1.7%.

We maintain an investment portfolio in accordance with our investment policy. The primary objective of our investment policy is to preserve principal, maintain proper liquidity and to meet operating needs. Although our investments are subject to credit risk, our investment policy specifies credit quality standards for our investments and limits the amount of credit exposure from any single issue, issuer or type of investment. Our investments are also subject to interest rate risk and will decrease in value if market interest rates increase. However, interest rate risk is mitigated due to the conservative nature and relatively short duration of our investments.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under

35

the Exchange Act, as of the end of the second quarter of 2018, the period covered by this report. This evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief, Business Operations and Principal Accounting Officer. Based on that evaluation, these officers have concluded that, as of June 30, 2018, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to achieve their stated purpose.

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules, regulations, and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief, Business Operations and Principal Accounting Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

Change in internal control over financial reporting

In connection with the evaluation required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(d), our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief, Business Operations and Principal Accounting Officer, concluded that there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2018, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Beginning January 1, 2018, we implemented ASC 606 - Revenue from Contracts with Customers. As a result of our implementation of ASC 606, we enhanced our control documentation related to revenue, although, with the exception of the adjustments to the recognition of our license revenue, the adoption of ASC 606 did not have a significant impact on our results of operations, cash flows, or financial position. The enhancements included revisions to our revenue recognition policy to apply the five-step model provided for in ASC 606 and other documentation enhancements to support ongoing monitoring activities in order to provide reasonable assurance regarding the fair presentation of our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

Limitations on the effectiveness of controls

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of our disclosure control system are met. Because of inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues, if any, within a company have been detected.

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Ampyra ANDA Litigation

Overview. As further described below, our five initial Orange Book-listed patents for Ampyra are the subject of lawsuits relating to Paragraph IV Certification Notices received from ten generic drug manufacturers in 2014 and 2015, who submitted Abbreviated New Drug Applications, or ANDAs, with the FDA seeking marketing approval for generic versions of Ampyra (dalfampridine) Extended Release Tablets, 10mg. In 2015 and 2016, we reached settlement agreements with six of the generic companies, and in February 2017, we announced that we had reached a settlement agreement with one additional generic company. As to the remaining three generic manufacturers, in March 2017, the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware (the “District Court”) rendered a decision from a bench trial held in September 2016. The District Court upheld our Ampyra Orange Book-listed patent that expired in July 2018, but invalidated the four other Orange Book-listed patents pertaining to Ampyra that are the subject of the litigation that were set to expire between 2025 and 2027. We have appealed the decision on the four invalidated patents. As further described below, in April 2017 we received a Paragraph IV Certification Notice from an additional generic drug manufacturer, who submitted an ANDA with the FDA seeking marketing approval for a generic version of Ampyra (dalfampridine) Extended Release Tablets, 10mg., but we have reached a settlement with this generic drug manufacturer.

A sixth Ampyra patent was recently issued and listed in the Orange Book. We note that this patent does not entitle us to any additional statutory stay of approval under the Hatch-Waxman Act against the generic drug manufacturers that are involved in the patent litigation.

First ANDA Filers. In June and July of 2014, we received eight separate Paragraph IV Certification Notices from Accord Healthcare, Inc., Actavis Laboratories FL, Inc. (“Actavis”), Alkem Laboratories Ltd. and its affiliate Ascend Laboratories, LLC (“Alkem”), Apotex Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd. (“Aurobindo”), Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. (“Mylan”), Roxane Laboratories, Inc. (“Roxane”), and Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. (“Teva”), advising that each of these companies had submitted an ANDA to the FDA seeking marketing approval for generic versions of Ampyra (dalfampridine) Extended Release Tablets, 10 mg. The ANDA filers challenged the validity of the five initial Orange Book-listed patents for Ampyra, and they also asserted that generic versions of their products do not infringe certain claims of these patents. In response to the filing of these ANDAs, in July 2014, we filed lawsuits against these generic pharmaceutical manufacturing companies and certain affiliates in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware asserting infringement of our U.S. Patent Nos. 5,540,938, 8,007,826, 8,354,437, 8,440,703, and 8,663,685. Requested judicial remedies included recovery of litigation costs and injunctive relief, including a request that the effective date of any FDA approval for these generic companies to make, use, offer for sale, sell, market, distribute, or import the proposed generic products be no earlier than the dates on which the Ampyra Orange Book-listed patents expire, or any later expiration of exclusivity to which we are or become entitled. These lawsuits with the ANDA filers were consolidated into a single case. A bench trial was completed in September 2016, and the District Court issued a decision in March 2017. The District Court upheld U.S. Patent No. 5,540,938 (the ‘938 patent), which expired on July 30, 2018, but invalidated U.S. Patent Nos. 8,663,685, 8,007,826, 8,440,703, and 8,354,437. In May 2017, we appealed the ruling on these patents to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (the “Appellate Court”). Generic versions of Ampyra may be marketed due to the July 30, 2018 expiration of the Ampyra patent upheld by the District Court, unless the Appellate Court overturns the District Court’s decision on the four invalidated patents, which could include reversal or remand of the case back to the District Court. Multiple ANDA filers may decide to launch at-risk generic versions of Ampyra. On July 24, 2018, the Appellate Court denied per curiam our motion for an injunction to prevent generic at-risk launch pending a decision in our appeal of the District Court’s decision. Both the Biotechnology Innovation Organization (BIO) and Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America (PhRMA) filed amicus

briefs in support of our appeal, raising important issues in conjunction with biopharmaceutical innovation. The Appellate Court held oral argument on June 7, 2018, and we await a decision on the appeal.

In October and December 2015, we entered into settlement agreements with Actavis and Aurobindo to resolve the patent litigation that we brought against them in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, described above. As a result of the settlement agreements, Actavis and Aurobindo will be permitted to market generic versions of Ampyra in the U.S. at a specified date in 2027, or potentially earlier under certain circumstances. The District Court entered an order dismissing the case against Actavis without prejudice in October 2015. As a result of the settlement agreement with Aurobindo, and upon the request of the parties, the District Court entered a Consent Order, in which it dismissed our

litigation against Aurobindo in December 2015. The parties have submitted the agreements to the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice, as required by federal law.

In August 2016, we entered into a settlement agreement with Alkem to resolve the patent litigation that we brought against Alkem in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, described above. As a result of the settlement agreement, Alkem will be permitted to market a generic version of Ampyra in the U.S. at a specified date in 2027, or potentially earlier under certain circumstances. As a result of the settlement agreement with Alkem, and upon the request of the parties, the District Court entered a Consent Order, in which it dismissed our litigation against Alkem in August of 2016. The parties have submitted the agreement to the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice, as required by Federal law.

In August 2016, we entered into a settlement agreement with Accord Healthcare, Inc. and Intas Pharmaceuticals Limited (collectively "Accord") to resolve the patent litigation that we brought against Accord in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, described above. As a result of the settlement agreement, Accord will be permitted to market a generic version of Ampyra in the U.S. at a specified date in 2027, or potentially earlier under certain circumstances. As a result of the settlement agreement with Accord, and upon the request of the parties, the District Court entered a Consent Order, in which it dismissed our litigation against Accord in August of 2016. The parties have submitted the agreement to the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice, as required by state law. The settlements with Actavis, Aurobindo, Alkem and Accord do not resolve the patent litigation that we brought against the other ANDA filers, as described in this report.

In February 2017, we entered into a settlement agreement with Apotex Inc. and its subsidiary Apotex Corporation (collectively "Apotex") to resolve the patent litigation that we brought against them in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, described above. As a result of the settlement agreement, Apotex will be permitted to market a generic version of Ampyra in the U.S. at a specified date in 2025, or potentially earlier under certain circumstances. The District Court has entered a Consent Order, in which it has dismissed our litigation against Apotex referred to above. The parties have submitted the agreement to the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice, as required by federal law. The settlement with Apotex does not resolve the patent litigation that we brought against other ANDA filers, as described in this report.

On August 3, 2018, we reported the following updates on the litigation with the three generic drug manufacturers that remain a party to the litigation: We have entered into a conditioned settlement agreement with Mylan and affiliates, and as a result of the settlement agreement, Mylan will be permitted to market its generic version of Ampyra in the U.S. sometime in 2025 or earlier under certain circumstances; we have signed an interim agreement with Teva that addresses the period of time until August 31, 2018 (and potentially until the Appellate Court issues a decision on the merits); and we have signed an interim agreement with West-Ward Pharmaceuticals International Limited and Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc., successors to Roxane ("Hikma"), that addresses the period of time until the appellate court issues a decision on the merits. The terms of the settlement agreement and interim agreements with these parties are otherwise confidential.

Second ANDA Filers. In May 2015, we received a Paragraph IV Certification Notice from Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited and Sun Pharmaceuticals Industries Inc. ("Sun") advising that they had submitted an ANDA to the FDA seeking marketing approval for a generic version of Ampyra (dalfampridine) Extended Release Tablets, 10 mg. Sun challenged the validity of four of the five initial Orange Book-listed patents for Ampyra, and did not file against our U.S. Patent No. 5,540,938, and also asserted that generic versions of its products may not infringe certain claims of these patents. In response to the filing of the ANDA, in May 2015 we filed a lawsuit against Sun in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware asserting infringement of our U.S. Patent Nos. 8,007,826, 8,354,437, 8,440,703, and 8,663,685. In October 2015, we entered into a settlement agreement with Sun to resolve this patent litigation. As a result of the settlement agreement, Sun will be permitted to market a generic version of Ampyra in the U.S. at a

specified date in 2027, or potentially 181 days after a first ANDA filer has entered the market. As a result of the settlement agreement, and upon request of the parties, the District Court entered a Consent Order, in which it dismissed our litigation against Sun in October 2015. The parties have submitted the agreement to the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice, as required by federal law. The settlement with Sun does not resolve the patent litigation that we brought against the other ANDA filers, described in this report.

In September 2015, we received a Paragraph IV Certification Notice from Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. ("Par") advising that it had submitted an ANDA to the FDA seeking marketing approval for a generic version of Ampyra (dalfampridine) Extended Release Tablets, 10 mg. Par challenged the validity of four of the five initial Orange Book-listed patents for Ampyra, and did not file against our U.S. Patent No. 5,540,938, and it also asserted that generic versions of its products may

not infringe certain claims of these patents. In response to the filing of the ANDA, in September 2015 we filed a lawsuit against Par in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware asserting infringement of our U.S. Patent Nos. 8,007,826, 8,354,437, 8,440,703, and 8,663,685. In January 2016, we entered into a settlement agreement with Par to resolve this patent litigation. As a result of the settlement agreement, Par will be permitted to market a generic version of Ampyra in the U.S. at a specified date in 2027, or potentially 181 days after a first ANDA filer has entered the market. As a result of the settlement agreement, and upon the request of the parties, the District Court entered a Consent Order, in which it dismissed our litigation against Par in January 2016. The parties have submitted the agreement to the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice, as required by federal law. The settlement with Par does not resolve the patent litigation that we brought against the other ANDA filers, described in this report.

In April 2017, we received a Paragraph IV Certification Notice from Micro Labs Ltd. (“Micro”) advising that it had submitted an ANDA to the FDA seeking marketing approval for a generic version of Ampyra (dalfampridine) Extended Release Tablets, 10mg. Micro challenged the validity of four of the five initial Orange Book-listed patents for Ampyra, and did not file against our U.S. Patent No. 5,540,938, and it also asserted that a generic version of its product does not infringe certain claims of these patents. In response to the filing of the ANDA, in May 2017 we filed a lawsuit against Micro in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey, asserting infringement of our U.S. Patent Nos. 8,007,826, 8,354,437, 8,440,703, and 8,663,685. In January 2018, we entered into a settlement agreement with Micro to resolve this patent litigation. As a result of the settlement agreement, Micro will be permitted to market a generic version of Ampyra in the U.S. at a specified date in 2026, or potentially earlier under certain circumstances. As a result of the settlement agreement, and upon the request of the parties, the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey entered a Dismissal Order, in which it dismissed our litigation against Micro in January 2018. The parties have submitted the agreement to the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice, as required by federal law. The settlement with Micro does not resolve the patent litigation that we brought against the other ANDA filers, described in this report.

We will vigorously defend our intellectual property rights.

Item 1 of Part II of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2018 includes prior updates to the legal proceedings described above.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risk factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended by Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2017, all of which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. These risks are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results. Following is the restated text of certain risk factors to report changes since our publication of risk factors in our 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended by Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A and our update to the risk factors in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2018.

We have a history of operating losses and were last profitable in 2015, and may not be able to achieve or sustain profitability in the future; we expect to continue to be substantially dependent on revenues from the sale of Ampyra for the foreseeable future and those revenues may rapidly and significantly decline due to potential generic competition.

We have been highly dependent on the commercial success of Ampyra in the U.S. We currently derive substantially all of our revenue from the sale of Ampyra. Our Orange Book-listed patents have been the subject of lawsuits relating

to Paragraph IV Certification Notices received from generic drug manufacturers, who have submitted Abbreviated New Drug Applications, or ANDAs, with the FDA seeking marketing approval for generic versions of Ampyra (dalfampridine) Extended Release Tablets, 10mg. The ANDA filers challenged the validity of our Orange Book-listed patents for Ampyra, and they also asserted that generic versions of their products do not infringe certain claims of these patents. In March 2017, we announced a decision by the United States District Court for the District of Delaware upholding our Ampyra Orange Book-listed patent that expired on July 30, 2018, but invalidating our four other Orange Book-listed patents pertaining to Ampyra set to expire between 2025 and 2027. Under this decision, we maintained patent exclusivity with respect to Ampyra through July 30, 2018. We have appealed the ruling on the four invalidated patents. The appellate court held oral argument on June 7, 2018, and we await a decision on the appeal. We may experience a significant decline in Ampyra revenues as a result of the announcement of the Court decision in 2017, and we expect to experience a rapid and significant decline in Ampyra sales beyond July 2018 due to competition from generic versions of Ampyra that may be marketed due to the July

30, 2018 expiration of the Ampyra patent upheld by the District Court, unless the District Court's decision to invalidate the four other patents is overturned on appeal, which could include reversal or a remand by the appeals court back to the District Court. Multiple ANDA filers may decide to launch at-risk generic versions of Ampyra. On July 24, 2018, the appeals court denied per curiam our motion for an injunction to prevent generic at-risk launch pending a decision in our appeal of the District Court's decision. We may be unable to achieve profitability again or sustain profitability and positive cash flow from operations because of this development and also because we expect to continue investing significant amounts to continue product development and research and development activities, and, potentially, to acquire new products and product candidates.

As of June 30, 2018, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$389.5 million. We had net losses of \$223.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 and \$34.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. Our prospects for achieving and sustaining profitability in the future will depend primarily on how successful we are in:

- successfully defending our intellectual property relating to Ampyra, including our appeal of the March 2017 ruling by the United States District Court for the District of Delaware;
- obtaining NDA approval for Inbrija (levodopa inhalation powder), a self-administered, inhaled formulation of levodopa using our proprietary ARCUS drug delivery technology, for the treatment of OFF periods in people with Parkinson's taking a carbidopa/levodopa regimen;
- successfully launching Inbrija in the U.S.;
- obtaining MAA approval in the E.U. for Inbrija and commercializing through potential ex-U.S. partner
- continuing to advance and/or out-license our earlier-stage clinical development programs; and
- expanding our product development pipeline through the potential in-licensing and/or acquisition of additional products and technologies.

If we are not successful in executing our business plan, we may not achieve or sustain profitability and even if we do so, we may not meet sales expectations. Also, even if we are successful in executing our business plan, our profitability may fluctuate from period to period due to our level of investments in sales and marketing, research and development, and product and product candidate acquisitions. For example, in 2018 we expect to invest a significant amount to support our most advanced program, Inbrija.

If our competitors develop and market products that are more effective, safer or more convenient than our approved products, or obtain marketing approval before we obtain approval of future products, our commercial opportunity will be reduced or eliminated.

Competition in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries is intense and is expected to increase. Many biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, as well as academic laboratories, are involved in research and/or product development for various neurological conditions, including Parkinson's disease, or PD, and multiple sclerosis, or MS.

Our competitors may succeed in developing products that are more effective, safer or more convenient than our products or the ones we have under development or that render our approved or proposed products or technologies noncompetitive or obsolete. In addition, our competitors may achieve product commercialization before we do. If any of our competitors develops a product that is more effective, safer or more convenient for patients, or is able to obtain FDA approval for commercialization before we do, we may not be able to achieve market acceptance for our products, which would harm our ability to generate revenues and recover the substantial development costs we have incurred and will continue to incur.

Our products may be subject to competition from lower-priced versions of such products and competing products imported into the U.S. from Canada, Mexico and other countries where there are government price controls or other market dynamics that cause the products to be priced lower.

Ampyra. In addition to the potential introduction of generic versions of Ampyra after July 30, 2018, further described below, we are aware of other companies developing products that may compete with Ampyra. These include Adamas Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which is developing ADS-5102 (amantadine hydrochloride) for patients with MS who have walking impairment, and Catalyst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which is developing a 3,4-diaminopyridine product, licensed from Biomarin. Furthermore, several companies are engaged in developing products that include novel immune system approaches and cell

therapy approaches to remyelination for the treatment of people with MS. These programs are in early stages of development and may compete in the future with Ampyra or some of our product candidates. In addition, in certain circumstances, pharmacists are not prohibited from formulating certain drug compounds to fill prescriptions on an individual patient basis, which is referred to as compounding. We are aware that at present compounded dalfampridine is used by some people with MS and it is possible that some people will want to continue to use compounded formulations even though Ampyra is commercially available.

Ampyra could become subject to competition from generic drug manufacturers. In March 2017, we announced a decision by the United States District Court for the District of Delaware in litigation with certain generic drug manufacturers upholding our Ampyra Orange Book-listed patent that expired on July 30, 2018, but invalidating our four other Orange Book-listed patents pertaining to Ampyra that were set to expire between 2025 and 2027. Under this decision, we maintained patent exclusivity with respect to Ampyra through July 30, 2018. We have appealed the ruling on the four invalidated patents. The appellate court held oral argument on June 7, 2018, and we await a decision on the appeal. We expect to experience a rapid and significant decline in Ampyra sales beyond July 2018 due to competition from generic versions of Ampyra that may be marketed due to the July 30, 2018 expiration of the Ampyra patent upheld by the District Court, unless the District Court's decision on the four invalidated patents is overturned on appeal, which could include reversal or remand by the appeals court back to the District Court. Multiple generic drug manufacturers may decide to launch at-risk generic versions of Ampyra. On July 24, 2018, the appeals court denied per curiam our motion for an injunction to prevent generic at-risk launch pending a decision in our appeal of the District Court's decision. Our litigation with these generic drug manufacturers is described in further detail in Part II, Item I of this report. We will need to continue devoting significant resources to this litigation, and we can provide no assurance concerning its duration or outcome.

Inbrija (levodopa inhalation powder). If approved for the treatment of OFF periods, (re-emergence of symptoms) Inbrija would compete against on-demand therapies that aim to specifically address Parkinson's disease symptoms. Apokyn, an injectable formulation of apomorphine, is approved for the treatment of OFF periods. Apokyn was approved for this use in the U.S. in 2004 and in Europe in 1993. Also, Sunovion Pharmaceuticals Inc. is developing a sublingual, or under the tongue, formulation of apomorphine. This program is in Phase 3 clinical development and could potentially be commercially launched ahead of Inbrija. In January 2018, Sunovion announced positive topline results from their pivotal Phase 3 study for this program, and in March 2018 they submitted a New Drug Application to the FDA.

The standard of care for the treatment of Parkinson's disease is oral carbidopa/levodopa, but oral medication can be associated with wide variability in the timing and the amount of absorption and there are significant challenges in creating a regimen that consistently maintains therapeutic effects as Parkinson's disease progresses. Inbrija may face competition from therapies that can limit the occurrence of OFF periods. Approaches to achieve consistent levodopa plasma concentrations include new formulations of carbidopa/levodopa, such as extended-release and intestinal infusions, and therapies that prolong the effect of levodopa. Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (formerly Impax Laboratories) has received FDA approval for RYTARY, an extended-release formulation of oral carbidopa/levodopa, and extended release formulations of oral and patch carbidopa/levodopa are being developed by others including Intec Pharma and Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation. Also, Abbvie Inc. has developed a continuous administration of a gel-containing levodopa through a tube that is surgically implanted into the intestine. This therapy, known as Duopa, has been approved by the FDA and is approved in the EU.

One or more of our competitors may utilize their expertise in pulmonary delivery of drugs to develop and obtain approval for pulmonary delivery products that may compete with Inbrija and any other of our other ARCUS drug delivery technology product candidates. These competitors may include smaller companies such as Alexza Pharmaceuticals, Inc., MannKind Corporation, Pulmatrix, Inc. and Vectura Group plc and larger companies such as Allergan, Inc., GlaxoSmithKline plc and Novartis AG. If approved, our product candidates may face competition in

the target commercial areas.

If we cannot protect, maintain and, if necessary, enforce our intellectual property, our ability to develop and commercialize our products will be severely limited.

Our success will depend in part on our and our licensors' ability to obtain, maintain and enforce patent and trademark protection for the technologies, compounds and products, if any, resulting from our licenses and research and development programs. Without protection for the intellectual property we use or intend to use, other companies could offer substantially identical products for sale without incurring the sizable discovery, research, development and licensing costs that we have incurred. Our ability to recover these expenditures and realize profits upon the sale of products could be diminished.

We have patent portfolios relating to Ampyra/aminopyridines, Inbrija (levodopa inhalation powder), CVT-427 and our ARCUS drug delivery technology, SYN120, BTT1023, cimaglermin alfa/neuregulins, remyelinating antibodies/antibodies relating to nervous system disorders, Qutenza and NP-1998/topical capsaicin formulations, comprised of both our own and in-licensed patents and patent applications. For some of our proprietary technologies, for example our ARCUS drug delivery technology, we rely on a combination of patents, trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements to protect our intellectual property rights. Our intellectual property also includes copyrights and a portfolio of trademarks.

The process of obtaining patents and trademarks can be time consuming and expensive with no certainty of success. Even if we spend the necessary time and money, a patent or trademark may not issue, it may not issue in a timely manner, or it may not have sufficient scope or strength to protect the technology it was intended to protect or to provide us with any commercial advantage. We may never be certain that we were the first to develop the technology or that we were the first to file a patent application for the particular technology because patent applications are confidential until they are published, and publications in the scientific or patent literature lag behind actual discoveries. The degree of future protection for our proprietary rights will remain uncertain if our pending patent applications are not allowed or issued for any reason or if we are unable to develop additional proprietary technologies that are patentable. Furthermore, third parties may independently develop similar or alternative technologies, duplicate some or all of our technologies, design around our patented technologies or challenge our issued patents or trademarks or the patents or trademarks of our licensors.

For example, in 2014 and 2015, ten generic drug manufacturers filed Abbreviated New Drug Applications, or ANDAs, for generic versions of Ampyra with the FDA. Since 2015, we reached settlement agreements with seven of the generic companies. In filing these ANDAs for Ampyra, the generic drug manufacturers challenged all of the Orange Book-listed patents that protect the Ampyra franchise. As such, to protect our intellectual property rights we filed lawsuits against the ANDA filers, which were consolidated into a single case, asserting the challenged Orange Book-listed patents against these generic drug manufacturers. A bench trial against four generic companies was conducted in September 2016 (we have since reached a settlement agreement with one of those four companies). In March 2017, the United States District Court for the District of Delaware rendered a decision in the lawsuit upholding our Ampyra Orange Book-listed patent that expired on July 30, 2018, but invalidated our four other Orange Book-listed patents pertaining to Ampyra set to expire between 2025 and 2027. We appealed the ruling on the four invalidated patents. The appellate court held oral argument on June 7, 2018, and we await a decision on the appeal. We expect to experience a rapid and significant decline in Ampyra sales beyond July 2018 due to competition from generic versions of Ampyra that may be marketed due to the July 30, 2018 expiration of the Ampyra patent upheld by the District Court, unless the District Court's decision on the four invalidated patents is overturned on appeal, which could include reversal or remand by the appeals court back to the District Court. Multiple ANDA filers may decide to launch at-risk generic versions of Ampyra. On July 24, 2018, the appellate court denied per curiam our motion for an injunction to prevent generic at-risk launch pending a decision in our appeal of the District Court's decision. In April 2017, we received a Paragraph IV Certification Notice from an additional drug manufacturer, advising that it had submitted an ANDA to the FDA seeking marketing approval for a generic version of Ampyra (dalfampridine) Extended Release Tablets, 10mg. In response to the filing of the ANDA, in May 2017, we filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey, asserting infringement of our U.S. Patent Nos. 8,007,826, 8,354,437, 8,440,703, and 8,663,685. In January 2018, we reached a settlement agreement with the additional drug manufacturer.

Also, the validity of our patents can be challenged by third parties pursuant to procedures introduced by American Invent's Act, specifically inter partes review and/or post grant review before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. For example, in February 2015, a hedge fund (acting with affiliated entities and individuals and proceeding under the name of the Coalition for Affordable Drugs) filed two separate inter partes review (IPR) petitions with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, challenging two of the five Ampyra Orange Book-listed patents. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Patent Trials and Appeals Board, or PTAB, chose not to institute inter partes review of these

patents. The hedge fund filed motions for reconsideration requesting that the denial to institute these two IPRs be reversed, but the motions were denied in April 2016. In addition, in September 2015 the same hedge fund filed four additional IPR petitions challenging four of the five Orange Book-listed patents, including two of the same patents that were the subject of the February 2015 IPR petitions. We opposed the requests to institute these IPRs, but in March 2016 the PTAB decided to institute the IPR proceedings on all four patents. In March 2017 the PTAB issued a ruling and upheld all four of the challenged patents. The ruling has become final, as the hedge fund did not appeal the ruling before the May 2017 appeal deadline. However, the PTAB decision does not prevent parties from filing additional IPR petitions challenging our patents. Also, the PTAB's decision does not affect the District Court's decision invalidating the four patents in the ANDA litigation described above.

Patent litigation, IPR proceedings, and other legal proceedings involve complex legal and factual questions. We need to devote significant resources to the existing ANDA and IPR legal proceedings, and we may need to devote significant resources to other legal proceedings that arise in the future. If we are not successful, we could lose some or all of our Orange

Book listed patents and our business could be materially harmed. We can provide no assurance concerning the duration or the outcome of any such lawsuits and legal proceedings.

We may initiate actions to protect our intellectual property (including, for example, in connection with the filing of an ANDA as described above) and in any litigation in which our intellectual property or our licensors' intellectual property is asserted, a court may determine that the intellectual property is invalid or unenforceable. Even if the validity or enforceability of that intellectual property is upheld by a court, a court may not prevent alleged infringement on the grounds that such activity is not covered by, for example, the patent claims. In addition, effective intellectual property enforcement may be unavailable or limited in some foreign countries for a variety of legal and public policy reasons. From time to time we may receive notices from third parties alleging infringement of their intellectual property rights. Any litigation, whether to enforce our rights to use our or our licensors' patents or to defend against allegations that we infringe third party rights, would be costly, time consuming, and may distract management from other important tasks.

As is commonplace in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industry, we employ individuals who were previously employed at other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. To the extent our employees are involved in areas that are similar to those areas in which they were involved at their former employers, we may be subject to claims that such employees and/or we have inadvertently or otherwise used or disclosed the alleged trade secrets or other proprietary information of the former employers. Litigation may be necessary to defend against such claims, which could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management and which could have an adverse effect on us, even if we are successful in defending such claims.

We also rely in our business on trade secrets, know-how and other proprietary information. We seek to protect this information, in part, through the use of confidentiality agreements with employees, consultants, collaborators, advisors and others. Nonetheless, those agreements may not provide adequate protection for our trade secrets, know-how or other proprietary information and prevent their unauthorized use or disclosure. To the extent that consultants, collaborators, key employees or other third parties apply technological information independently developed by them or by others to our proposed products, joint ownership may result, which could undermine the value of the intellectual property to us or disputes may arise as to the proprietary rights to such information which may not be resolved in our favor. The risk that other parties may breach confidentiality agreements or that our trade secrets become known or independently discovered by competitors, could harm us by enabling our competitors, who may have greater experience and financial resources, to copy or use our trade secrets and other proprietary information in the advancement of their products, methods or technologies. Policing unauthorized use of our or our licensors' intellectual property is difficult, expensive and time-consuming, and we may be unable to determine the extent of any unauthorized use. Adequate remedies may not exist in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

This table provides information about our purchases of shares of Acorda stock during the three-month period ended June 30, 2018.

Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs		Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per	

Period	(1)	Share		
April 1-30, 2018	3,682	\$23.90	-	-
May 1-31, 2018	12,657	\$23.49	-	-
June 1-30, 2018	-	-	-	-
Total	16,339	\$23.58	-	-

(1) Share repurchases reported in this column consist of shares of Acorda's common stock tendered by employees in April and May 2018 to cover taxes relating to the vesting of restricted stock awards (7,612 shares) and shares of Acorda's common stock withheld in May 2018 to cover the exercise price of stock options that were exercised prior to their 2018 expiration date (8,727 shares).

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit
No.

Description

10.1* Acorda Therapeutics, Inc. 2015 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan as amended June 27, 2018. Incorporated herein by reference to Appendix A to the Registrant's 2018 Proxy Statement filed as Schedule 14A (SEC File Number 000-50513) on April 27, 2018.

31.1 Certification by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

	2,197,499	88,621	473,795	2,333,473	136,306	548,158
Amortization expense	166,276	47,685	103,938	239,603	59,151	191,556
Amortization period	(a)	2 years	5 years	(a)	2 years	5 years

(a) Amortized on a straight line method over the concession period until April 2013, for GT and until November, 2013 for TCO
The estimated aggregate amortization expense for the next five years is as follows:

	Amount
July 1 to December 31, 2004	302,445
2005	520,239
2006	445,721
2007	401,454

Edgar Filing: ACORDA THERAPEUTICS INC - Form 10-Q

2008	286,305
January 1 to June 30, 2009	111,893

f. Capital Leases

The future minimum payments, by year and in the aggregate, under the Company's non-cancelable lease obligations classified as capital leases are as follows:

2004	2,501
2005	416
	<hr/>
Current liabilities recorded in the balance sheet for U.S. GAAP purposes	2,917
	<hr/>

The following summarizes the amounts related to the assets and accumulated depreciation for U.S. GAAP purposes of the related assets under the Company's capital lease obligations:

Property, plant and equipment	70,774
Less: accumulated amortization	(31,909)
	<hr/>
Property, plant and equipment, net	38,865
	<hr/>

TELESP CELULAR PARTICIPAÇÕES S.A.

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

g. New accounting pronouncements

FIN No. 46 Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an interpretation of ARB No. 51

In December 2003, the FASB issued a revision to Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51 (FIN 46R or the Interpretation). FIN 46R clarifies the application of ARB No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements , to certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. FIN 46R requires the consolidation of these entities, known as variable interest entities (VIEs), by the primary beneficiary of the entity. The primary beneficiary is the entity, if any, that will absorb a majority of the entity's expected losses, receive a majority of the entity's expected residual returns, or both.

Among other changes, the revisions of FIN 46R (a) clarified some requirements of the original FIN 46, which had been issued in January 2003, (b) eased some implementation problems, and (c) added new scope exceptions. FIN 46R deferred the effective date of the Interpretation for public companies, to the end of the first reporting period ending after March 15, 2004, except that all public companies must at a minimum apply the provisions of the Interpretation to entities that were previously considered special-purpose entities under the FASB literature prior to the issuance of FIN 46R by the end of the first reporting period ending after December 15, 2003. The adoption of FIN 46R did not have any impact on the Company's financial position, cash flows and results of operations.

PART II**INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS****Item 8 Indemnification of Directors and Officers**

Neither the laws of Brazil nor other constitutive documents provide for indemnification of directors and officers. However, the registrant's directors and officers and certain of its controlling persons benefit from insurance against civil liabilities, including civil liabilities in connection with the registration, offering and sale of the securities.

Item 9 Exhibits and Financial Statements**(a) Exhibits.**

The following documents are filed as exhibits to the registration statement:

Exhibit Number	Description
4.1	Amended and Restated Deposit Agreement dated as of November 2, 1998, between Telesp Celular Participações S.A. and The Bank of New York, as depositary, incorporated by reference to the registration statement on Form F-6EF of Telesp Celular Participações S.A. filed on October 18, 2002 (SEC File No. 333-100644).
4.2	Note Purchase Agreement relating to the issuance by Telesp Celular Participações S.A. of 416,050,488.19 of floating rate notes due 2004, dated November 28, 2001, among Portugal Telecom International. Finance B.V., Telesp Celular Participações S.A. and Citibank N.A. London, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 24 of the Annual Report on Form 20-F of Telesp Celular Participações S.A. for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2001 filed on June 21, 2002 (the 2001 TCP 20-F) (SEC File No. 001-14493).
4.3	Agency Agreement relating to the issuance by Telesp Celular Participações S.A. of 416,050,488.19 of floating rate notes due 2004, dated November 28, 2001, among Citibank N.A. London and Telesp Celular Participações S.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 25 of the 2001 TCP 20-F.
4.4	Financing Agreement (<i>Contrato de Financiamento</i>) dated July 19, 2001, among the Brazilian Social and Economic Development Bank (Lender), Global Telecom S.A. (Borrower) and Telesp Celular S.A. (Guarantor)(English summary) (incorporated by reference to our Annual Report Form 20-F for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2001, filed on June 21, 2002).
4.5	Financing Agreement (<i>Contrato de Financiamento</i>) dated July 19, 2001, among Banco Bradesco S.A. and Banco Alfa de Investimento S.A. (Lenders) and Global Telecom S.A. (Borrower) and Telesp Celular S.A. (Guarantor)(English summary)(incorporated by reference to our Annual Report Form 20-F for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2001, filed on June 21, 2002).
4.6	Form of Rights Agency Agreement between the registrant and The Bank of New York, as rights agent (including form of ADS rights certificate).
5.1	Opinion of Machado, Meyer, Sendacz e Opice Advogados regarding the preferred shares of Telesp Celular Participações S.A.
8.1	Opinion of Machado, Meyer, Sendacz e Opice Advogados regarding tax matters and certain other matters.
23.1	Consent of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Auditores Independentes.
23.2	Consent of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Auditores Independentes.

Edgar Filing: ACORDA THERAPEUTICS INC - Form 10-Q

- 23.3 Consent of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Auditores Independentes.
- 23.4 Consent of Ernst & Young Auditores Independentes S.S.
- 23.5 Consent of Machado, Meyer, Sendacz e Opice Advogados (included in Exhibits 5.1 and 8.1).
- 24.1 Powers of attorney of certain officers and directors of Telesp Celular Participações S.A. (included in the signature pages to, and incorporated by reference to, the Registration Statement on Form F-3 of Telesp Celular Participações S.A. filed on October 12, 2004 (SEC File No. 333-119695))

There are omitted from the exhibits filed with or incorporated by reference into this registration statement certain promissory notes and other instruments and agreements with respect to our long-term

II-1

debt, none of which authorizes securities in a total amount that exceeds 10% of our total assets. We hereby agree to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission copies of any such omitted promissory notes or other instruments or agreements as the Commission requests.

(b) Schedules.

None required.

Item 10 Undertakings

(a) The undersigned registrant hereby undertakes that, for purposes of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, each filing of registrant's annual report pursuant to Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that is incorporated by reference in the registration statement shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial *bona fide* offering thereof.

(b) Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the registrant has been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Act and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the registrant of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person of the registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the registrant will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

(c) The undersigned Registrant hereby undertakes that:

(1) For purposes of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, the information omitted from the form of prospectus filed as part of this registration statement in reliance upon Rule 430A and contained in a form of prospectus filed by the registrant pursuant to Rule 424(b)(1) or (4) or 497(h) under the Securities Act shall be deemed to be part of this registration statement as of the time it was declared effective.

(2) For the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, each post-effective amendment that contains a form of prospectus shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial *bona fide* offering thereof.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the registrant certifies that it has reasonable grounds to believe that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form F-3 and has duly caused this registration statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in São Paulo, Brazil, on this 9th day of November, 2004.

TELESP CELULAR PARTICIPAÇÕES S.A.

By: /s/ FRANCISCO JOSÉ AZEVEDO PADINHA

Name: Francisco José Azevedo Padinha
Title: Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ PAULO CESAR PEREIRA TEIXEIRA

Name: Paulo Cesar Pereira Teixeira
Title: Executive Vice President for
Finance, Planning and Control

II-3

Edgar Filing: ACORDA THERAPEUTICS INC - Form 10-Q

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended, this amendment to the registration statement has been signed by the following persons in the capacities held on November 9, 2004.

Signature	Title
/s/ FRANCISCO JOSÉ AZEVEDO PADINHA	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Francisco José Azevedo Padinha	
/s/ PAULO CESAR PEREIRA TEIXEIRA	Executive Vice President for Finance, Planning and Control and Investor Relations Officer (Principal Financial Officer)
Paulo Cesar Pereira Teixeira	Principal Accounting Officer
*	
Carlos Cesar Mazur	President of the Board of Directors
*	
Félix Pablo Ivorra Cano	Director
*	
Shakhaf Wine	Director
*	
Fernando Xavier Ferreira	Director
*	
Luis Miguel Gilpérez López	Director
*	
Ernesto Lopez Mozo	Director
*	
Ignacio Aller Malo	Director
*	
Zeinal Abedin M. Bava	Director
Carlos Manuel L. Vasconcellos Cruz	Director
*	

Edgar Filing: ACORDA THERAPEUTICS INC - Form 10-Q

Eduardo Perestrelo Correia de Matos

*

Director

Pedro Manuel Brandão Rodrigues

*

Director

Antonio Gonçalves de Oliveira

II-4

Signature

Title

/s/ DONALD J. PUGLISI

Authorized Representative in the United States

Donald J. Puglisi
Managing Director
Puglisi & Associates

*By: /s/ FRANCISCO JOSÉ AZEVEDO PADINHA

Francisco José Azevedo Padinha
as Attorney-in-Fact

II-5

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
4.1	Amended and Restated Deposit Agreement dated as of November 2, 1998, between Telesp Celular Participações S.A. and The Bank of New York, as depositary, incorporated by reference to the registration statement on Form F-6EF of Telesp Celular Participações S.A. filed on October 18, 2002 (SEC File No. 333-100644).
4.2	Note Purchase Agreement relating to the issuance by Telesp Celular Participações S.A. of 416,050,488.19 of floating rate notes due 2004, dated November 28, 2001, among Portugal Telecom International. Finance B.V., Telesp Celular Participações S.A. and Citibank N.A. London, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.4 of the Annual Report on Form 20-F of Telesp Celular Participações S.A. for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2001 filed on June 21, 2002 (the 2001 TCP 20-F) (SEC File No. 001-14493).
4.3	Agency Agreement relating to the issuance by Telesp Celular Participações S.A. of 416,050,488.19 of floating rate notes due 2004, dated November 28, 2001, among Citibank N.A. London and Telesp Celular Participações S.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.5 of the 2001 TCP 20-F.
4.4	Financing Agreement (<i>Contrato de Financiamento</i>) dated July 19, 2001, among the Brazilian Social and Economic Development Bank (Lender), Global Telecom S.A. (Borrower) and Telesp Celular S.A. (Guarantor)(English summary) (incorporated by reference to our Annual Report Form 20-F for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2001, filed on June 21, 2002).
4.5	Financing Agreement (<i>Contrato de Financiamento</i>) dated July 19, 2001, among Banco Bradesco S.A. and Banco Alfa de Investimento S.A. (Lenders) and Global Telecom S.A. (Borrower) and Telesp Celular S.A. (Guarantor)(English summary)(incorporated by reference to our Annual Report Form 20-F for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2001, filed on June 21, 2002).
4.6	Form of Rights Agency Agreement between the registrant and The Bank of New York, as rights agent (including form of ADS rights certificate).
5.1	Opinion of Machado, Meyer, Sendacz e Opice Advogados regarding the preferred shares of Telesp Celular Participações S.A.
8.1	Opinion of Machado, Meyer, Sendacz e Opice Advogados regarding tax matters and certain other matters.
23.1	Consent of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Auditores Independentes.
23.2	Consent of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Auditores Independentes.
23.3	Consent of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Auditores Independentes.
23.4	Consent of Ernst & Young Auditores Independentes S.S.
23.5	Consent of Machado, Meyer, Sendacz e Opice Advogados (included in Exhibits 5.1. and 8.1).
24.1	Powers of attorney of certain officers and directors of Telesp Celular Participações S.A. (included in the signature pages to, and incorporated by reference to, the Registration Statement on Form F-3 of Telesp Celular Participações S.A. filed on October 12, 2004 (SEC File No. 333-119695).

There are omitted from the exhibits filed with or incorporated by reference into this registration statement certain promissory notes and other instruments and agreements with respect to our long-term debt, none of which authorizes securities in a total amount that exceeds 10% of our total assets. We hereby agree to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission copies of any such omitted promissory notes or other instruments or agreements as the Commission requests.