

Maiden Holdings, Ltd.  
Form 10-K  
March 11, 2013

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 001-34042

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

(Exact Name of Registrant As Specified in Its Charter)

Bermuda

98-0570192

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or  
Organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

131 Front Street

Hamilton HM 12, Bermuda

(Address of Principal Executive Offices and Zip Code)

(441) 298-4900

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Shares, par value \$0.01 per share

NASDAQ Global Select Market

Series A Preference Shares, par value \$0.01 per share

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the

Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in the definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer  Accelerated Filer  Non-Accelerated Filer  Smaller Reporting Company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No   
The aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common shares held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2012 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter) was approximately \$449.3 million based on the closing sale price of the registrant's common shares on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on that date.

As of February 25, 2013, 72,421,951 common shares were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

---

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A with respect to the annual general meeting of the shareholders of the registrant scheduled to be held on May 7, 2013 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

---



Table of Contents

PART I

Special Note About Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, other than purely historical information, including estimates, projections, statements relating to our business plans, objectives and expected operating results and the assumptions upon which those statements are based are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements include in general statements both with respect to us and the insurance industry and generally are identified with the words “anticipate”, “believe”, “expect”, “predict”, “estimate”, “intend”, “plan”, “project”, “seek”, “potential”, “possible”, “could”, “might”, “may”, “should”, “will”, “will be”, “will continue”, “will likely result” and similar expressions. In light of the risks and uncertainties inherent in all forward-looking statements, the inclusion of such statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K should not be considered as a representation by us or any other person that our objectives or plans or other matters described in any forward-looking statement will be achieved. These statements are based on current plans, estimates assumptions and expectations. Actual results may differ materially from those projected in such forward-looking statements and therefore you should not place undue reliance on them. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in such forward-looking statements are set forth in Item 1A “Risk Factors” in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and include but are not limited to:

Our results will fluctuate from period to period and may not be indicative of our long-term prospects;

The property and casualty reinsurance and insurance markets may be affected by cyclical trends;

Rating agencies may downgrade or withdraw our ratings;

Loss of key executives could adversely impact our ability to implement our business strategy;

Our use of reinsurance brokers in contract negotiations and production of business;

Our inability to achieve our investment objectives; and

Our controlling shareholders’ ability to determine the outcome of matters requiring shareholder approval.

We caution that the foregoing list of important factors is not intended to be and is not exhaustive. We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as may be required by law, and all subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or individuals acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by this paragraph. If one or more risks or uncertainties materialize, or if our underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, our actual results may vary materially from what we projected. Any forward-looking statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K reflect our current view with respect to future events and are subject to these and other risks, uncertainties and assumptions relating to our operations, results of operations, growth, strategy and liquidity. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements which speak only as of the dates of the documents in which such statements were made.

References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to the terms “we”, “us”, “our”, “the Company” or other similar terms mean the consolidated operations of Maiden Holdings, Ltd. and our consolidated subsidiaries, unless the context requires otherwise. References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to the term “Maiden Holdings” or “Maiden” means Maiden

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

Holdings, Ltd. only. References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to \$ are to the lawful currency of the United States, unless otherwise indicated. Any discrepancies in the tables included herein between the amounts listed and the totals thereof are due to rounding.

1

---

Table of Contents

Item 1. Business.

General Overview

We are a Bermuda-based holding company, primarily focused on serving the needs of regional and specialty insurers in Bermuda, the United States, Europe and select other global markets by providing innovative reinsurance solutions designed to support their capital needs. We also provide customized reinsurance solutions internationally to clients in support of programs we design and implement for original equipment automobile manufacturers ("OEM's"). We specialize in reinsurance solutions that optimize financing by providing coverage within the more predictable and actuarially credible lower layers of coverage and/or reinsuring risks that are believed to be lower hazard, more predictable and generally not susceptible to catastrophe claims. Our tailored solutions include a variety of value added services focused on helping our clients grow and prosper. Our principal operating subsidiaries in Bermuda and the United States are rated "A-" (Excellent) with a stable outlook by A.M. Best Company ("A.M. Best"), which rating is the fourth highest of sixteen rating levels, and BBB+ (Good) with a stable outlook by Standard & Poor's ("S&P"), which is the eighth highest of twenty-two rating levels. Our common shares trade on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol "MHLD".

We provide reinsurance through our wholly owned subsidiaries, Maiden Insurance Company Ltd. ("Maiden Bermuda") and Maiden Reinsurance Company ("Maiden US") and have operations in Bermuda, the United States, Europe and select other global markets. On a more limited basis, Maiden Specialty Insurance Company ("Maiden Specialty"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Maiden US, provides primary insurance on a surplus lines basis focusing on non-catastrophe inland marine and property coverages. During 2013, it is our intention to substantially reduce our net exposure to natural hazard events written by Maiden Specialty. Maiden Bermuda does not underwrite any primary insurance business. Internationally, we provide reinsurance-related services through Maiden Global Holdings, Ltd. ("Maiden Global") and its subsidiaries. Maiden Global primarily focuses on providing branded auto and credit life insurance products through its insurer partners to retail customers in the European Union ("EU") and other global markets, which also produce reinsurance programs which are underwritten by Maiden Bermuda. Certain international credit life business is also written directly by Maiden Life Försäkrings AB ("Maiden LF"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Maiden Holdings, as part of Maiden Global's service offerings.

Since our founding in 2007, we have entered into a series of significant strategic transactions that have transformed the scope and scale of our business while keeping our low volatility, non-catastrophe oriented risk profile intact. These transactions have increased our gross written premium to an amount in excess of \$2.0 billion while significantly enhancing our capital position to approximately \$1.3 billion as of December 31, 2012. These transactions have included the quota share reinsurance agreement with a Bermuda subsidiary of AmTrust Financial Services, Inc. (the "AmTrust Quota Share") in 2007, the acquisition of the reinsurance operations of GMAC Insurance (the "GMAC Acquisition") in 2008, the private placement of trust preferred securities resulting in gross proceeds to the Company of \$260.1 million (the "TRUPS Offering") in 2009, and the quota share reinsurance agreement with a subsidiary of American Capital Acquisition Corp. (the "ACAC Quota Share") in 2010. More recent significant developments have included:

• Acquiring the majority of the reinsurance-related infrastructure, assets and liabilities of U.K. based GMAC International Insurance Services ("IIS") in November 2010 (the "IIS Acquisition");

• Completing a public debt offering of \$107.5 million in June 2011 ("2011 Senior Notes") and repurchasing a like amount of our outstanding subordinated debenture (the "Junior Subordinated Debt") in July 2011. The 2011 Senior

Notes trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "MHNA";

Completing a public debt offering of \$100.0 million in March 2012 ("2012 Senior Notes"). The 2012 Senior Notes trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "MHNB". The net proceeds of \$96.6 million have been used for working capital and general corporate purposes. The 2011 Senior Notes and 2012 Senior Notes may also be referred to as the "2011 Senior Note Offering" or the "2012 Senior Note Offering", respectively, and may collectively be referred to as the "Senior Note Offerings"; and

- Completing a public offering of \$150.0 million Preference Shares - Series A (the "Preference Shares"). The Company received net proceeds of \$145.0 million from the offering. The Preference Shares trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "MHPRA". The net proceeds from the offering are expected to be used for continued support and development of our reinsurance business and for other general corporate purposes, which may include repurchasing a portion of the Company's outstanding common shares and repurchasing the Company's outstanding 14% 30-year trust preferred securities ("TRUPS") issued in January 2009.



## Table of Contents

These significant transactions along with other unusual or non-recurring events should be considered when evaluating year-to-year comparability or when comparing our performance with other companies considered our peers and with whom we compete on a regular basis.

Additional information on the AmTrust Quota Share and the ACAC Quota Share can be found in this section of the Annual Report Form 10-K captioned "Our Operating Segments". Please also see the section entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K along with Note 4 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about the IIS Acquisition. Note 8 to our Consolidated Financial Statements contains information about the completion of the Senior Notes Offerings and the repurchase of the Junior Subordinated Debt in 2011.

## Business Strategy

Our goal is to leverage the competitive strengths of our organization and capital structure to generate stable long term returns on capital in excess of 15%. We seek to accomplish this by becoming a premier global preferred provider of customized reinsurance products and services to regional and specialty insurance companies. To achieve this goal, we have adopted the following strategies:

**Dedication to Predictable and Stable Operating Segments** — we execute this strategy in two ways: (1) focusing on traditional, lower volatility insurance lines of business that are more predictable and thus produce more stable long-term operating results and which require less capital to achieve those goals; and (2) placing emphasis on working layer and pro rata reinsurance participations where data is more abundant and predictable;

**Targeted Customer Focus** — we execute this strategy by developing significant and long term reinsurance relationships with targeted regional and specialty insurance companies for which reinsurance plays a critical element of their capital structure and supporting the long term needs of these companies by providing differentiated reinsurance products as well as an array of support services; and

**Efficient Operating Platform** — recognizing the mature nature of the reinsurance market, we are focused on maintaining operating expense ratios within the top quartile of the industry. Efficiency is a critical component of maintaining a disciplined underwriting approach.

To date despite achieving returns on capital generally in excess of our industry peers, we have not yet attained our targeted returns. Principally impacting our ability to achieve targeted returns in recent years has been a higher than targeted combined ratio, lower investment yields brought about by difficult investment conditions and a higher cost of capital as a result of the TRUPS Offering. We believe that we have measures within our control to make substantial progress toward attainment of those long-term targets in the coming 12 to 24 months. However, our future results, and our ability to generate our targeted return on capital, may be additionally impacted by risks and trends set forth in Item 1A, "Risk Factors", and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Our Principal Operating Subsidiaries

Maiden Bermuda is a registered Class 3B Bermuda insurance and reinsurance company that began operations in June 2007. Senior management and all of the staff of Maiden Bermuda are located in our Bermuda headquarters.

Maiden Holdings North America, Ltd. ("Maiden NA") is our wholly owned intermediate U.S. holding company and is domiciled in the state of Delaware. Maiden NA issued the underlying securities associated with our TRUPS Offering and the Senior Notes Offerings.

Maiden US, a wholly owned subsidiary of Maiden NA, is a licensed property and casualty insurance company domiciled in the state of Missouri.

Maiden Specialty, a wholly owned subsidiary of Maiden US and an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Maiden NA, underwrites primary insurance on a surplus lines basis.

Maiden Re Insurance Services, LLC (“Maiden Re”), a wholly owned subsidiary of Maiden NA, is a limited liability company organized in the state of Delaware in January 2008. Maiden Re operates as a managing general agent and underwriter for Maiden US.

Maiden Global, a wholly owned subsidiary, operates as a reinsurance services and holding company. Maiden Global is organized under the laws of England and Wales and formed in July 2010.

## Table of Contents

Opel Händler VersicherungsService GmbH ("OVS"), previously known as GMAC VersicherungsService GmbH ("Maiden VS"), is organized under the laws of Germany, operates as an insurance producer in Germany and is an indirect subsidiary of Maiden Global. On September 1, 2011, in exchange for a 10% interest in Maiden VS, we entered into cooperation agreements with VDOH Wirtschaftsdienst GmbH ("Opel Dealer Association") in Germany and the German auto manufacturer Opel. We also renamed Maiden VS to "Opel Händler VerisicherungsService GmbH" on that date as well.

Maiden LF, a wholly owned subsidiary, is a life insurer organized under the laws of Sweden and writes credit life insurance on a primary basis in support of Maiden Global's business development efforts.

### Our Operating Segments

We operate through three business segments: (i) Diversified Reinsurance; (ii) AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance; and (iii) ACAC Quota Share.

Our Diversified Reinsurance segment consists of a portfolio of predominantly property and casualty reinsurance business focusing on regional and specialty property and casualty insurance companies located in the United States and Europe. This segment includes the book of assumed reinsurance business purchased in the GMAC Acquisition and the IIS Acquisition. The business associated with the GMAC Acquisition is underwritten by Maiden US and Maiden Specialty. The business associated with the IIS Acquisition is underwritten by Maiden Bermuda, which also underwrites business independent of the business associated with the IIS Acquisition, the AmTrust Quota Shares and ACAC Quota Share.

Our AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance segment consists of the business ceded to us pursuant to our Quota Share Reinsurance Agreement (the "Reinsurance Agreement") with AmTrust Financial Services, Inc. ("AmTrust") and, commencing April 1, 2011, business ceded to us under a separate automatically renewing one-year 40% quota share agreement (the "European Hospital Liability Quota Share") with AmTrust subsidiaries AmTrust Europe Limited and AmTrust International Underwriters Limited to cover those entities medical liability business in Europe, substantially all of which is in Italy.

On March 7, 2013, after receipt of approval from the Company's and AmTrust's Audit Committee, the Company and AmTrust executed an amendment to the Reinsurance Agreement, which provides for the extension of the term of the Reinsurance Agreement to July 1, 2016. The amendment further provides that, effective January 1, 2013, AII will receive a ceding commission of 31% of ceded written premiums with respect to all Covered Business other than retail commercial package business, for which the ceding commission will remain 34.375%. Though this commission adjustment eliminates its variable feature, the Company anticipates operating for the foreseeable future at that commission rate. Lastly, with regards to the Specialty Program portion of Covered Business only, excluding workers' compensation business included in the AmTrust's Specialty Program segment from July 1, 2007 through December 31, 2012, AmTrust will be responsible for ultimate net loss otherwise recoverable from Maiden Bermuda to the extent that the loss ratio to Maiden Bermuda, which shall be determined on an inception to date basis from July 1, 2007 through the date of calculation, is between 81.5% and 95%. Above and below the defined corridor, the Company will continue to reinsure losses at its proportional 40% share per the Reinsurance Agreement. The Company believes that these contract revisions will help to maintain the stability of the overall performance for the Reinsurance Agreement.

Effective January 1, 2012, the quota share reinsurance contract with AmTrust Europe Limited and AmTrust International Underwriters Limited was amended, thereby increasing the maximum liability attaching to €10,000 or currency equivalent (on a 100% basis) per original claim for any one original policy. Furthermore, amendments were also made to the contract to expand the territorial scope to include new territories, specifically France.

Our ACAC Quota Share segment consists of the business ceded to us pursuant to our agreement with American Capital Acquisition Corp. ("ACAC") which, through its affiliates, cedes approximately 25% of its business to us pursuant to a quota share reinsurance agreement.

Financial data relating to our three segments is included in Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and in Note 3 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The net premiums written and earned in each segment for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

4

---

Table of Contents

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2012                                  |            | 2011                                  |            | 2010                                  |            |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|--|--|
|                                 | Net Premiums Written (\$ in Millions) | % of Total | Net Premiums Written (\$ in Millions) | % of Total | Net Premiums Written (\$ in Millions) | % of Total |  |  |
| Diversified Reinsurance         | \$765.3                               | 40.3 %     | \$798.0                               | 46.3 %     | \$554.1                               | 45.1 %     |  |  |
| AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance | 840.3                                 | 44.2 %     | 669.3                                 | 38.8 %     | 468.0                                 | 38.1 %     |  |  |
| ACAC Quota Share                | 295.7                                 | 15.5 %     | 256.2                                 | 14.9 %     | 205.7                                 | 16.8 %     |  |  |
| Total                           | \$1,901.3                             | 100.0 %    | \$1,723.5                             | 100.0 %    | \$1,227.8                             | 100.0 %    |  |  |

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2012                                 |            | 2011                                 |            | 2010                                 |            |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|------------|--|--|
|                                 | Net Premiums Earned (\$ in Millions) | % of Total | Net Premiums Earned (\$ in Millions) | % of Total | Net Premiums Earned (\$ in Millions) | % of Total |  |  |
| Diversified Reinsurance         | \$795.3                              | 44.1 %     | \$748.4                              | 48.3 %     | \$601.2                              | 51.5 %     |  |  |
| AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance | 727.8                                | 40.3 %     | 558.2                                | 35.9 %     | 445.1                                | 38.0 %     |  |  |
| ACAC Quota Share                | 280.7                                | 15.6 %     | 245.8                                | 15.8 %     | 123.5                                | 10.5 %     |  |  |
| Total                           | \$1,803.8                            | 100.0 %    | \$1,552.4                            | 100.0 %    | \$1,169.8                            | 100.0 %    |  |  |

A substantial majority of our premium written is generated by proportional reinsurance contracts, which are described in the General section of the Diversified Reinsurance segment below. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, 82.0%, 80.7% and 79.6%, respectively, of our consolidated gross premiums written is derived from proportional reinsurance contracts. This significant concentration of proportional reinsurance, combined with our focus on lines of business which are inherently less volatile, results in a less capital intensive business which enables the Company to target higher returns on equity for its shareholders. Maiden US non-renewed certain proportional reinsurance contracts during the latter part of 2012 which may reduce the percentage of proportional reinsurance written prospectively.

Financial data relating to geographic areas in which we operate and principal products may be found in Note 3 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### Diversified Reinsurance

#### General

The Diversified Reinsurance segment of our reinsurance business consists of a varied portfolio of property and casualty and accident and health reinsurance business focusing on regional and specialty property and casualty insurance companies located in the United States and internationally. This business is primarily written by Maiden US. On November 30, 2010, the business associated with the IIS Acquisition, which is primarily located in Europe and the Americas, became part of this segment and is underwritten by Maiden Bermuda, with the exception of certain credit life policies written by Maiden LF, which are not material to the overall results of the segment.

The Diversified Reinsurance segment also includes the net premiums written of Maiden Specialty, which are not material to the overall results of the segment. The reinsurance written by Maiden US is primarily written through treaties with other insurers on a quota share or excess of loss basis, as well as on a facultative basis, all of which are marketed primarily through third-party intermediaries and also on a direct basis. Maiden Bermuda also provides quota

share reinsurance support to Maiden US and Maiden LF.

In a proportional reinsurance arrangement (also known as pro rata reinsurance, quota share reinsurance or participating reinsurance), the reinsurer shares a proportional part of the original premiums of the reinsured. In return, the reinsurer assumes a proportional share of the losses incurred by the cedant. The reinsurer pays the ceding company a commission, which is generally based on the ceding company's cost of acquiring the business being reinsured (including commissions, premium taxes, assessments and miscellaneous administrative expenses) and may also include

5

---

Table of Contents

a profit sharing arrangement. Under proportional reinsurance contracts, ceding commission is often adjustable based upon loss experience which potentially reduces earnings volatility under such arrangements.

Non-proportional (or excess of loss) reinsurance indemnifies the reinsured against all or a specified portion of losses on underlying insurance policies in excess of a specified amount, which is called a level, retention or attachment point. Non-proportional business is written in layers and a reinsurer or group of reinsurers accepts a band of coverage up to a specified amount. The total coverage purchased by the cedant is referred to as a program and is typically placed with predetermined reinsurers in pre-negotiated layers. Any liability exceeding the upper limit of the program reverts to the ceding company.

Facultative reinsurance (proportional or non-proportional) is the reinsurance of individual risks. The reinsurer separately rates and underwrites each risk rather than assuming all or a portion of a class of risks as in the case of treaty reinsurance.

A combination of general market and competitive conditions, along with their underlying financial performance and capital levels including those considered by rating agencies and regulators, often influence reinsurance purchasing decisions of individual ceding companies. Historically, Maiden US has written greater amounts of quota share business than excess of loss business reflecting the needs of its clients. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, 52.4%, 53.6% and 52.9% of Maiden US' gross premiums written was written on a quota share basis, respectively. Maiden US non-renewed certain proportional reinsurance contracts during the latter part of 2012 which may reduce the percentage of proportional reinsurance written prospectively.

Maiden US began operations in 1983 through Maiden Re (previously GMAC RE LLC or "GMAC RE"). Since its inception, the business has focused on developing a portfolio of assumed reinsurance with an emphasis on relatively predictable reinsurance with low limits of participation on both a treaty and facultative basis. By design, the underwriting portfolio was developed to mitigate volatility and generate stable operating performance. Our underwriting strategy has de-emphasized property catastrophe reinsurance and participations in more volatile casualty lines such as D&O and professional liability. Over its years in operation, the underwriting infrastructure and capabilities were expanded to include an accident and health reinsurance portfolio, a specialty oriented property and casualty reinsurance and property excess and surplus lines insurance business and, the most significant portfolio, a regional and specialty oriented property and casualty treaty reinsurance business.

We employ sophisticated risk management, disciplined actuarially based pricing and strong technical underwriting in developing and maintaining this portfolio. We use both proprietary and vendor developed technology systems to administer and manage the portfolio. The business has been carefully developed under the active management of multi-functional underwriting teams with performance accountability. The entire related infrastructure of Maiden Re was acquired in the GMAC Acquisition and added to existing capabilities along with over 80 active client relationships. We are using this acquired infrastructure to continue to expand and develop the North American underwriting portfolio.

For certain clients, Maiden Re provides enhanced security in the form of an internally developed dedicated trust agreement for the reinsurance balances payable to that client. We believe this reinsurance security provides us with a sustainable competitive advantage that is both attractive to new clients and improves retention of existing ones. The trust accounts are funded on an individual client basis with cash and other fixed maturity securities. We can actively manage the cash and investments in the accounts and any interest earned is ours and does not remain in the trust accounts. The balances are adjusted quarterly to correspond to the liabilities owed to the client, including individually computed Incurred But Not Reported ("IBNR") reserves. The clients can withdraw assets from the trusts under contractually limited circumstances. As of December 31, 2012, we had cash and fixed maturity securities totaling \$855.8 million in these trusts, which is part of the \$2.2 billion restricted assets disclosed in Note 5(e) to our

Consolidated Financial Statements.

The business associated with the IIS Acquisition is written through treaties with other insurers on a quota share basis, which (as previously noted) are underwritten by Maiden Bermuda, with the exception of business written through Maiden LF which is underwritten on a primary basis. All of this business is marketed primarily through Maiden Global's business development teams who partner with automobile manufacturers and local primary insurers to design and implement point of sale insurance programs which generate revenue for the auto manufacturer and insurance premiums for the primary insurer. Typically the primary insurer agrees to reinsure an agreed upon percentage of the underlying business to Maiden Bermuda as part of the overall arrangement. Maiden Bermuda is generally not obligated to underwrite the OEM's programs Maiden Global designs.

There are transactions where Maiden Global only collects a fee for designing and facilitating the sale of insurance programs. Our fee income is primarily generated by OVS (previously known as Maiden VS) in Germany and Austria through its point of sale producers in select OEM's dealerships, with other smaller fee income programs in place globally. We seek to expand these fee generating arrangements through the Maiden Global business development teams contacts with automobile manufacturers globally. As noted on September 1, 2011, in exchange for a 10% interest in Maiden



Table of Contents

V.S., we entered into cooperation agreements with the Opel Dealer Association in Germany and the German auto manufacturer Opel. The cooperation agreements with both organizations are designed to increase the sales of OVS insurance products in Opel dealerships in Germany and increase fee and other revenues for Opel, the Opel Dealer Association, and Maiden via OVS, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and for the period from November 30, 2010 to December 31, 2010, we earned gross fee income of \$12.9 million, \$12.6 million and \$0, respectively. Please refer to Note 3 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the accounting treatment of these fees.

As of December 31, 2010, there were fifteen reinsurance programs that were part of the business associated with the IIS Acquisition. During 2011, thirteen of these programs were novated from GMAC International Insurance Company, Ltd. ("GMAC IICL") to Maiden Bermuda and one program was commuted. The remaining program will be novated in 2013.

The net premiums written associated with the IIS Acquisition were written in the following countries:

|           | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012  |            | For the Year Ended December 31, 2011  |            | For the Period from November 30 to December 31, 2010 |            |   |  |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|--|------------|---|--|
|           | Net Premiums Written (\$ in Millions) | % of Total | Net Premiums Written (\$ in Millions) | % of Total | Net Premiums Written (\$ in Millions)                | % of Total |   |  |
| Germany   | \$45.9                                | 43.9       | % \$53.4                              | 50.5       | % \$10.6   | 35.8       | % |  |
| UK        | 11.9                                  | 11.4       | % 4.2                                 | 3.9        | % 0.6  | 2.2        | % |  |
| Sweden    | 8.2                                   | 7.9        | % 8.5                                 | 8.0        | % 6.4  | 21.6       | % |  |
| Mexico    | 7.4                                   | 7.1        | % 5.5                                 | 5.2        | % 1.9  | 6.4        | % |  |
| Colombia  | 7.2                                   | 6.8        | % 2.3                                 | 2.2        | % 0.4  | 1.3        | % |  |
| Chile     | 7.0                                   | 6.7        | % 6.4                                 | 6.0        | % 2.2  | 7.4        | % |  |
| All other | 17.0                                  | 16.2       | % 25.5                                | 24.2       | % 7.5  | 25.3       | % |  |
| Total     | \$104.6                               | 100.0      | % \$105.8                             | 100.0      | % \$29.6   | 100.0      | % |  |

The breakdown of this business by line of business is as follows:

|               | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012  |            | For the Year Ended December 31, 2011  |            | For the Period from November 30 to December 31, 2010 |            |   |  |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|--|------------|---|--|
|               | Net Premiums Written (\$ in Millions) | % of Total | Net Premiums Written (\$ in Millions) | % of Total | Net Premiums Written (\$ in Millions)                | % of Total |   |  |
| Personal Auto | \$72.8                                | 69.6       | % \$72.1                              | 68.1       | % \$18.5   | 62.5       | % |  |
| Credit Life   | 31.8                                  | 30.4       | % 33.7                                | 31.9       | % 11.1   | 37.5       | % |  |
| Total         | \$104.6                               | 100.0      | % \$105.8                             | 100.0      | % \$29.6   | 100.0      | % |  |

The distribution of the premiums written by both country and by line of business for the period from November 30 to December 31, 2010 is not necessarily reflective of these respective distributions on a full calendar year basis. On a geographic distribution basis, Germany historically constitutes a greater proportion of the overall premiums written, typically over 40%. On a line of business basis, Personal Auto historically constitutes a greater proportion of the overall premiums written, typically 70%. However, future distributions of premium by country and by line of business may vary from historical experience.

## Strategy

Maiden Bermuda and Maiden US are specialty reinsurers with an efficient operating platform that target lines of business and types of contracts that are more predictable than the market as a whole, allowing stability of earnings over time. Maiden Specialty primarily provides specialty property coverage written on a surplus lines basis, but as noted, we expect to substantially reduce our net exposure to this business in 2013. Most business is written as reinsurance, that is, insurance of other insurance companies. The primary focus is regional and specialty customers who rely on reinsurance for capital support and/or to reduce their risk. The majority of our customers are regional or super-regional

Table of Contents

insurance companies or specialty insurers. With these customers, we believe it is possible to develop long term relationships which not only survive the insurance market cycles, but provide benefits to both reinsurer and customer during turbulent times.

In our Diversified Reinsurance segment, we reinsure property and casualty lines of business, but de-emphasize lines of business such as professional liability, which we consider more volatile, and we do not offer traditional catastrophe reinsurance on a stand-alone basis. We occasionally provide limited catastrophe coverage to customers that purchase other reinsurance from us.

We are primarily a lead reinsurer, meaning that we develop our own terms rather than accepting a small share of another reinsurer's program in a subscription market. We try to be the primary, if not sole, reinsurer for our customers. On business written as part of the IIS Acquisition, Maiden Bermuda is the only reinsurer on these contracts. Our handling of this business considers the economics of the individual customer and therefore is less susceptible to large increases and decreases following market cycles. We are able to attract preferred customers because we offer a secure product and an emphasis on client service. By maintaining significant relationships with customers, we are able to develop strong economies of scale and maintain highly competitive operating efficiencies, a critical element of our business strategy.

We offer reinsurance on both a quota share basis and excess of loss basis. We believe that our policy of providing our customers security for our reinsurance obligations through collateral trusts gives us a competitive advantage. In the current economic climate, we also believe that reinsurance brokers and insurers, as well as rating agencies, are scrutinizing the credit-worthiness of reinsurers more closely than in the recent past and recognize that our collateral trust product offers a high level of security. We also utilize a partnership concept developed over Maiden Re's twenty-nine year operating history to develop long-term customer relationships. This concept entails the offer to our customers of our expertise in underwriting, claims, actuarial, marketing and accounting, through tailored services which support their businesses and goals.

Within the primary excess property business underwritten by Maiden Specialty, an experienced and sophisticated team underwrites complex property business on an excess and layered basis as a surplus lines insurer. To reduce the exposure to natural catastrophes that some of these policies in this segment may contain, we purchase catastrophe reinsurance to limit our maximum exposure to any one event. We also purchase other reinsurance to limit the impact of individual large losses in this segment.

AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance

General

AmTrust is our largest customer and is a multinational specialty property and casualty insurance holding company with operations in the United States, Europe and Bermuda. AmTrust's principal operating subsidiaries are rated "A" (Excellent) with a stable outlook by A.M. Best, which rating is the third highest of 16 rating levels.

AmTrust has three business segments:

• Small commercial business insurance, which includes workers' compensation, commercial package and other commercial lines produced by retail agents and brokers in the U.S.;

• Specialty risk and extended warranty coverage for consumer and commercial goods and custom designed coverages, such as accidental damage plans and payment protection plans offered in connection with the sale of consumer and commercial goods, in the U.S., United Kingdom and certain other European countries; and

Specialty program property and casualty insurance for homogeneous, narrowly defined classes of insured's, requiring an in-depth knowledge of the insured's industry segment.

#### Reinsurance Agreement

Under our Reinsurance Agreement with AmTrust's Bermuda reinsurance subsidiary, AmTrust International Insurance, Ltd. ("AII"), effective July 1, 2007, we reinsure 40% of AmTrust's written premium (net of commissions, in the case of AmTrust's U.K. subsidiary), net of reinsurance with unaffiliated reinsurers, on AmTrust's existing lines of business on the effective date. In addition, we have the option to reinsure future lines of business added by AmTrust, and we have exercised that option from time to time.

Effective April 1, 2011, the Company entered into a series of contract modifications with AmTrust regarding the reinsurance coverage it provides under the Reinsurance Agreement, including the ceding commission arrangements contained within that contract. These changes include: (1) extension of the Reinsurance Agreement for one additional

Table of Contents

year, to July 1, 2014, while continuing the automatic three-year renewal subject to the provisions of the contract; (2) a reduction of the ceding commission payable under the Reinsurance Agreement to 30.0% for the period April 1 to December 31, 2011; and (3) subsequent to December 31, 2011, a provision which potentially reduces the ceding commission payable based on the mix of business ceded under the Reinsurance Agreement, excluding business related to the Unitrin Business Insurance (“UBI”) business to either 30.5% or 30.0%. In addition, either party is entitled to terminate on thirty days’ notice or less upon the occurrence of certain early termination events, which include a default in payment, insolvency, change in control of AII or Maiden Bermuda, run-off, or a reduction of 50% or more of the shareholders’ equity of Maiden Bermuda or the combined shareholders’ equity of AII and the AmTrust subsidiaries.

On March 7, 2013, after receipt of approval from the Company’s and AmTrust’s Audit Committee, the Company and AmTrust executed an amendment to the Reinsurance Agreement, which provides for the extension of the term of the Reinsurance Agreement to July 1, 2016. The amendment further provides that, effective January 1, 2013, AII will receive a ceding commission of 31% of ceded written premiums with respect to all Covered Business other than retail commercial package business, for which the ceding commission will remain 34.375%. Though this commission adjustment eliminates its variable feature, the Company anticipates operating for the foreseeable future at that commission rate. Lastly, with regards to the Specialty Program portion of Covered Business only, excluding workers’ compensation business included in the AmTrust’s Specialty Program segment from July 1, 2007 through December 31, 2012, AmTrust will be responsible for ultimate net loss otherwise recoverable from Maiden Bermuda to the extent that the loss ratio to Maiden Bermuda, which shall be determined on an inception to date basis from July 1, 2007 through the date of calculation, is between 81.5% and 95%. Above and below the defined corridor, the Company will continue to reinsure losses at its proportional 40% share per the Reinsurance Agreement. The Company believes that these contract revisions will help to maintain the stability of the overall performance for the Reinsurance Agreement.

European Hospital Liability Quota Share

On April 1, 2011, as amended on January 1, 2012, the Company entered into the European Hospital Liability Quota Share with AmTrust Europe Limited and AmTrust International Underwriters Limited to cover those entities’ medical liability business in Europe, substantially all of which is in Italy. The European Hospital Liability Quota Share has a term of one year and automatically renews for further one year terms thereafter unless either party notifies the other of its election in writing not to renew not less than four months prior to the end of any such term. Effective January 1, 2012, the Company’s maximum limit of liability is €4 million, previously €2million, and consistent with the original agreement, pays a ceding commission of 5.0% plus a profit share as defined in the agreement. The profit sharing is based upon the reinsured exceeding defined underwriting performance of each contract year, commencing two years after the beginning of each contract year. To the extent that the underwriting performance is exceeded, the Company will share 50% of the excess amounts computed. Pursuant to the terms of the European Hospital Liability Quota Share, the Company assumed the in-force and unearned premium as of April 1, 2011 which totaled \$45.9 million.

ACAC Quota Share

General

ACAC is our second largest customer and is an insurance holding company owned by the 2005 Michael Karfunkel Grantor Retained Annuity Trust (the “Trust”), which in turn is controlled by Leah Karfunkel, wife of Michael Karfunkel (“Karfunkel”), individually, and AmTrust. ACAC, on March 1, 2010, acquired from GMAC Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Motors Insurance Corporation (“Motors”) (collectively, “GMAC”), GMAC’s personal lines automobile business. Karfunkel is a Founding Shareholder of Maiden. In addition, Karfunkel is the chairman of the board of directors of both ACAC and AmTrust and Chief Executive Officer of ACAC.

On March 1, 2010, Maiden Bermuda entered into the ACAC Quota Share. Effective on that date, we reinsure 25% of the net premiums of the GMAC automobile business acquired by ACAC. ACP Re Ltd., a Bermuda reinsurer which is a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of the Trust, and AmTrust, are also reinsurers of this business.

The ACAC Quota Share provides that the reinsurers, severally, in accordance with their participation percentages, shall receive 50% of the net premium of the GMAC personal lines insurance companies and assume 50% of the related net losses. The ACAC Quota Share has an initial term of three years and renews automatically for successive three year terms unless terminated by written notice not less than nine months prior to the expiration of the current term. Neither party gave that notice prior to June 1, 2012.

Effective October 1, 2012, the ACAC Quota Share was amended to decrease the provisional ceding commission from 32.5% to 32.0% of ceded earned premium, net of inuring reinsurance, subject to adjustment. The ceding commission is subject to adjustment to a minimum of 30.0% (changed from 30.5%), if the loss ratio is 64.5% or greater. We believe that the terms, conditions and pricing of the ACAC Quota Share have been determined by arm's length negotiations and reflect current market terms and conditions.

## Table of Contents

Notwithstanding the foregoing, our participation in the ACAC Quota Share may be terminated by ACAC on 60 days written notice in the event the Company becomes insolvent, is placed into receivership, its financial condition is impaired by 50% of the amount of its surplus at the inception of the ACAC Quota Share or latest anniversary, whichever is greater, is subject to a change of control, or ceases writing new and renewal business. ACAC also may terminate the agreement on nine months written notice following the effective date of the initial public offering or private placement of stock by ACAC or a subsidiary. Maiden Bermuda may terminate its participation in the ACAC Quota Share on 60 days written notice in the event ACAC is subject to a change of control, ceases writing new and renewal business, effects a reduction in their net retention without Maiden Bermuda's consent or fails to remit premium as required by the terms of the ACAC Quota Share.

## Risk Management

### General

Central to the reinsurance business is the assumption and management of risk. Our risk management discipline therefore focuses on both quantitative and qualitative elements as the means to achieve targeted shareholder returns through a balanced analysis and assessment of these elements. The quantitative aspect of our risk management practice focuses on understanding and controlling a broad array of risk parameters in order to achieve desired returns. Our business model further mitigates the risk inherent in our business by focusing on lines of business which are less volatile and thus require less capital to support the exposures generated by those lines of business. The qualitative aspect of our risk management practice focuses on identifying and assessing risks, and taking the necessary steps to reduce or mitigate risks, or those risks that could threaten the achievement of our business objectives.

We believe that we have developed a strong risk management culture within Maiden through the establishment of various processes and controls which focus on our risk exposures. We are continually reviewing and enhancing these processes and developing additional processes that may be necessary to achieve our business strategies and objectives within our risk management practice. Specific risk management practices that have been or are being developed to meet our risk management goals include:

- Tracking portfolio volatility over time;
- Identifying risk mitigation opportunities and implementing them as appropriate;
- Understanding the capital required to support the underwriting portfolio and individual contracts;
- Monitoring and managing exposure by line of business and geographic concentration;
- Monitoring and limiting catastrophe aggregates and concentrations;
- Monitoring and managing operational risks across the organization; and
- Identifying, monitoring and managing emerging risks as they develop.

Our Risk Management Oversight Committee, which consists of members of the Company's executive management, focuses primarily on identifying correlations among our primary categories of risk, developing metrics to assess our overall risk appetite, establish appropriate risk parameters and tolerances, performing an annual risk assessment and continually reviewing factors that may impact our organizational risk. This risk governance structure is complemented by our internal audit department, which assesses the adequacy and effectiveness of our internal control systems and coordinates risk-based audits and compliance reviews and other specific initiatives to evaluate and address risk within

targeted areas of our business. Our Enterprise Risk Management (“ERM”) is dynamic, with periodic updates being made to reflect organizational processes, as well as staying current with changes within our industry and the global economic environment.

Our management’s internal ERM efforts are overseen by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. This Committee, comprised solely of independent directors, assesses whether management is addressing risk issues in a timely and appropriate manner. Internal controls and ERM can provide a reasonable but not absolute assurance that our control objectives will be met. The possibility of material financial loss remains in spite of our ERM efforts.

#### Underwriting Risk Management

Internal underwriting controls are established by our underwriting executives who are the Chief Underwriting Officer of Maiden Bermuda and the President of Maiden US, working in close coordination with our Chief Executive



## Table of Contents

Officer. Underwriting authority is delegated to the managers in each business segment and to underwriters in accordance with prudent practice and an understanding of each underwriter's capabilities. Our policy is to grant each underwriting team a specified limit, consistent with our operating guidelines. Our underwriters understand our return on equity guidelines. Our targeted performance goals and guidelines are regularly reviewed by management to reflect changes in market conditions, interest rates, capital requirements and market-expected returns.

We have a disciplined approach to underwriting and risk management that relies heavily upon the collective underwriting expertise of our management and staff. This expertise is in turn guided by the following underwriting principles:

- we will underwrite and accept only those risks we know and understand;
- we will perform our own independent pricing or risk review on all risks we accept; and
- we will accept only those risks that are expected to earn a risk-adjusted return on capital commensurate with the risk they present.

Before we review any program proposal, we consider the appropriateness of the client, including the quality of its management, its financial stability and its risk management strategy. In addition, we require each program to include significant information on the nature of the perils to be included and detailed exposure and loss information, including rate changes and changes in underwriting and claims handling guidelines over time. We often conduct an on-site audit of the client's operations prior to quoting. If a program meets our underwriting criteria, we then develop a proposal which contemplates the prospective client's needs, that account's risk/reward profile, as well as our corporate risk objectives. We have fully integrated our internal claims, underwriting and pricing actuarial staff into the underwriting and decision making process. We use in-depth actuarial, claims and exposure analyses to evaluate contracts prior to quoting. We underwrite and accept property and casualty reinsurance business, accident and health reinsurance business and certain specialty property insurance business. In general, we seek to underwrite reinsurance business that historically is lower in volatility and more predictable than other classes of reinsurance business such as catastrophe reinsurance, which we generally seek to avoid. As part of our risk management process, we seek to identify those casualty and specialty exposures that are most likely to be simultaneously influenced by significant events. These exposures are then jointly tracked to ensure that we do not develop an excessive accumulation of exposure to that particular type of event.

In addition to the above technical and analytical practices, our underwriters use a variety of means, including specific contract terms, to manage our exposure to loss. These include occurrence limits, adjustable ceding commissions and premiums, aggregate limits, reinstatement provisions and loss sensitive features. Additionally, our underwriters use appropriate exclusions, terms and conditions to further eliminate particular risks or exposures that our underwriting team deems to be outside of the intent of the coverage we are willing to offer.

In limited cases, the risks assumed by us are partially reinsured with other third party reinsurers. Reinsurance ceded varies by segment and line of business based on a number of factors, including market conditions. The benefits of ceding risks include reducing exposure on individual risks and/or protecting against catastrophic risks. Reinsurance ceded does not legally discharge us from our liabilities to the original policyholder in respect of the risk being reinsured. See Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Note 9 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Catastrophe Risk Management

While we generally avoid catastrophe exposed reinsurance risks, certain risks we reinsure are exposed to catastrophic loss events. As a general rule, we seek to limit our modeled one-in-250 year catastrophe exposure to any one event to not exceed our operating income. At December 31, 2012, our one-in-250 year catastrophe exposure to either a hurricane or earthquake event was approximately \$53.3 million. To achieve our catastrophe risk management objectives, we utilize commercially available tools to quantify and monitor the various risks we accept.

We have licensed catastrophe modeling software from one of the principal modeling firms, Applied Insurance Research (“AIR”). These software tools use exposure data provided by our insured’s and ceding company clients to simulate catastrophic losses. We take an active role in the evaluation of these commercial catastrophe models, providing feedback to AIR to improve the efficiencies and accuracy of their models. We use modeling not just for the underwriting of individual transactions but also to optimize the total return and risk of our underwriting portfolio. We have high standards for the quality and levels of detailed exposure data provided by our clients and have an expressed preference for the most detailed location information available, including data at the zip code or postal code level or finer. Data provided at more summary levels, such as counties, is conservatively modeled. The primary business underwritten by Maiden Specialty uses exposure information by location which is geo-coded. Data output from the software described above is incorporated in our proprietary pricing models. Our proprietary systems include those for modeling risks

## Table of Contents

associated with property catastrophe, property and workers' compensation business, various casualty and specialty pricing models, as well as our proprietary portfolio risk management model. These systems allow us to monitor our pricing and risk on a contract by contract basis in each of our segments and business lines.

### Reinsurance Including Retrocessions

We use reinsurance and retrocessional agreements to a limited extent to mitigate volatility and to reduce our exposure on certain specialty reinsurance risks and to mitigate the effect of major catastrophic events. These agreements provide for reduction of property risk losses, casualty occurrence losses and catastrophe occurrence losses on specific treaties. We remain liable to our cedants to the extent that the retrocessionaires do not meet their obligations under retrocessional agreements, and these retrocessions are subject to credit risk in all cases and to aggregate loss limits in certain cases. We maintain a credit risk review process that identifies authorized acceptable reinsurers and retrocessionaires and have no impaired balances. At December 31, 2012, we had approximately \$110.9 million of reinsurance recoverable under such agreements, of which \$79.7 million or 71.9% of this recoverable relate to reinsurance claims from Superstorm Sandy.

### Competition

The reinsurance industry is mature and highly competitive. Reinsurance companies compete on the basis of many factors, including premium rates, general reputation and perceived financial strength, the terms and conditions of the products offered, ratings assigned by independent rating agencies, speed of claims payments, reputation and experience in risks underwritten, capacity and coverages offered and various other factors. These factors operate at the individual market participant level and generally in the aggregate across the reinsurance industry. In addition, underlying economic conditions and variations in the reinsurance buying practices of ceding companies, by participant and in the aggregate, contribute to cyclical movements in rates, terms and conditions and may impact industry aggregate results and subsequently the level of completion in the reinsurance industry.

We compete with major U.S. and non-U.S. reinsurers, including other Bermuda-based reinsurers, on an international and regional basis. In our Diversified Reinsurance segment, we compete with reinsurers that provide property and casualty-based lines of reinsurance such as: Swiss Reinsurance Company Ltd., Munich Reinsurance America, Inc., General Reinsurance Corporation, PartnerRe Ltd., Hannover Re Group, QBE Insurance Group, Transatlantic Holdings, Inc., Endurance Specialty Holdings, Ltd., Scor Reinsurance Company, Platinum Underwriters Holdings, Ltd. and The TOA Reinsurance Company of America, W.R. Berkley Corporation and Everest Re Group, Ltd.

Many of these entities have significantly larger amounts of capital, higher ratings from rating agencies and more employees than Maiden Holdings and its subsidiaries; in addition, these entities have established long-term and continuing business relationships throughout the industry, which can be significant competitive advantages. However, we believe the enhanced security that we offer our clients through collateral trusts, our niche specialist orientation, our operating efficiency and our careful relationship management capabilities help offset these advantages and allow us to effectively compete for profitable business.

In addition, risk-linked securities and derivative and other non-traditional risk transfer mechanisms and vehicles are being developed and offered by other parties, including entities other than insurance and reinsurance companies. The availability of these non-traditional products could reduce the demand for traditional insurance and reinsurance.

A number of new, proposed or potential industry or legislative developments could further increase competition in our industry. New competition from these developments may result in fewer contracts written, lower premium rates, increased expenses for customer acquisition and retention and less favorable policy terms and conditions, which could have a material adverse impact on our growth and profitability.

Natural and man-made catastrophes occur each year that affect reinsurance industry results. In each of the last three years the insurance and reinsurance industry has experienced an extensive series of significant natural and man-made catastrophes, both globally and in the U.S., that negatively impacted overall industry performance. Consistent with our business model, we only experienced modest losses from the 2010 and 2011 global catastrophe events.

Although the combined ultimate impact of recent catastrophe activity, in particular Superstorm Sandy, and the fixed income investment environment remains unclear and is currently more uncertain in light of reinsurance industry performance, broad industry conditions brought about by these events remain supportive of improved pricing in the near term. To date however, industry financial conditions have limited the amount of enhanced pricing the industry would normally experience during periods of increased catastrophe losses. More recently, January 1 reinsurance renewals for the industry appeared to show limited pricing improvement as a result of Sandy. Finally, the scope and tenure of any improved pricing environment remains less certain.

Table of Contents

As market conditions continue to develop, we continue to maintain our adherence to disciplined underwriting by declining business when pricing, terms and conditions do not meet our underwriting standards. Depending on the ultimate impact of Superstorm Sandy combined with the continuing unfavorable investment environment on industry capital positions and profitability, a significant positive effect on competition and pricing is possible. We believe that we are well positioned to take advantage of market conditions should the pricing environment become more favorable.

## Our Financial Strength Ratings

Ratings are an important factor in establishing the competitive position of insurance and reinsurance companies and are important to our ability to market and sell our products. We believe that the primary users of such ratings include brokers, ceding companies and investors. Insurance ratings are also used by insurance and reinsurance intermediaries as an important means of assessing the financial strength and quality of insurers and reinsurers. Periodically, rating agencies evaluate us to confirm that we continue to meet their criteria for the ratings assigned to us by them.

A.M. Best and S&P have each developed a rating system to provide an opinion of an insurer's or reinsurer's financial strength and ability to meet ongoing obligations to its policyholders and not an opinion on an insurer's or reinsurer's overall capacity and willingness to meet its financial commitments as they become due. Each rating reflects that rating agency's independent opinion of the capitalization, management and sponsorship of the entity to which it relates, and is neither an evaluation directed to investors in our common shares nor a recommendation to buy, sell or hold our common shares.

A.M. Best maintains a letter scale rating system ranging from "A++" (Superior) to "F" (In Liquidation). S&P maintains a letter scale rating system ranging from "AAA" (Extremely Strong) to "R" (Under Regulatory Supervision).

Our subsidiaries, Maiden Bermuda, Maiden US and Maiden Specialty, each currently has a financial strength rating of "A-" (Excellent, the fourth highest out of sixteen rating levels) with a stable outlook from A.M. Best, and "BBB+" (Good, the eighth highest out of twenty-two rating levels) with a stable outlook from S&P.

We can offer no assurances that our ratings will remain at their current levels, or that our security will be accepted by brokers and our insureds and reinsureds. A ratings downgrade or the potential for such a downgrade, or failure to obtain a necessary rating, could adversely affect both our relationships with clients, brokers and other distributors of our existing products and services and new sales of our products and services. We believe the collateralization of reinsurance obligations provides additional financial protection for our clients and a significant point of differentiation from its competitors, allowing us to compete with higher rated reinsurers.

## Distribution of Our Reinsurance Products

We market our Diversified Reinsurance segment in the United States and Bermuda primarily through third-party intermediaries, as well as directly through our own marketing team. Our direct marketing activities are generally focused on insurers with a demonstrated preference and propensity to utilize direct distribution reinsurers. We believe this combination affords us flexibility and efficiency. In the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the sources of gross premiums written by our Diversified Reinsurance segment were as follows:

| % of Gross Premiums Written for the Year Ended December 31, | 2012  | 2011    | 2010    |   |
|---|-------|---------|---------|---|
| Brokers   | 68.8  | % 66.1  | % 73.0  | % |
| Direct  | 31.2  | % 33.9  | % 27.0  | % |
| Total   | 100.0 | % 100.0 | % 100.0 | % |

Table of Contents

In the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, our top three brokers represented approximately 34.1%, 39.4% and 41.1%, respectively, of gross premiums written by our Diversified Reinsurance segment. A further breakdown of the gross premiums written by our Diversified segment by broker for December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, are provided in the table below.

| % of Gross Premiums Written for the Year Ended December 31,<br>Broker | 2012  | 2011    | 2010    |  |   |
|---|-------|---------|---------|--|---|
| Marsh Inc. (including Guy Carpenter)                                  | 16.5  | % 18.1  | % 22.7  |  | % |
| Aon Benfield Group, Ltd.  | 9.3   | % 11.9  | % 12.3  |  | % |
| Beach & Associates Ltd.   | 8.3   | % 9.4   | % 6.1   |  | % |
| Other Brokers   | 34.7  | % 26.7  | % 31.9  |  | % |
| Total Broker  | 68.8  | % 66.1  | % 73.0  |  | % |
| Direct  | 31.2  | % 33.9  | % 27.0  |  | % |
| Total Diversified   | 100.0 | % 100.0 | % 100.0 |  | % |

## Reserve for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses

## General

We are required by applicable insurance laws and regulations in Bermuda, the United States, Sweden and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S. GAAP") to establish loss reserves to cover our estimated liability for the payment of all loss and loss adjustment expenses incurred with respect to premiums earned on the policies and treaties that we write. These reserves are balance sheet liabilities representing estimates of loss and loss adjustment expenses which ultimately we are required to pay for insured or reinsured claims that have occurred as of or before the balance sheet date. It is our policy to establish these losses and loss expense reserves using prudent actuarial methods after reviewing all information known to us at the date they are recorded.

These amounts include case reserves, additional case reserves ("ACRs") and provisions for IBNR reserves. Case reserves are established for losses that have been reported to us, and not yet paid. ACRs are established for particular circumstances where, on the basis of individual loss reports, we estimate that the particular loss or collection of losses covered by a treaty may be greater than those advised by the cedant. Our claims department evaluates all significant losses reported to us and if appropriate will include a provision for additional case reserves if we feel the ceding company's estimate of the claim is not adequate. IBNR reserves represent the estimated cost of losses that have occurred but have not been reported to us and include a provision for additional development on case reserves. We establish case reserves based on information from the ceding company, reinsurance intermediaries, and when appropriate, consultations with independent legal counsel. The IBNR reserves are established by management based on reported losses and loss expenses and actuarially determined estimates of ultimate loss and loss adjustment expenses.

We use a variety of standard actuarial methods to estimate ultimate expected loss and loss adjustment expenses applying appropriate actuarial judgment in the determination of ultimate losses.

The majority of business is reserved individually by cedant with the remainder reserved in homogeneous groupings. Ultimate losses across the reserve segments are converted to IBNR reserves by subtracting inception to date paid losses case reserves and ACRs from those amounts. The accumulation of case and IBNR reserves across the reserve segments results in indicated reserves which are the basis for the carried reserves for financial statements. Ultimate losses are also used to estimate premium and commission accruals for accounts with adjustable features.

Property catastrophe reserves are estimated by event and are revisited monthly. Estimated ultimate catastrophe losses may be based on output from catastrophe models early on and then on ceding company estimates and the reserving methods above.

Loss reserves do not represent an exact calculation of liability; rather, loss reserves are estimates of what we expect the ultimate resolution and administration of claims will cost. These estimates are based on actuarial and statistical projections and on our assessment of currently available data, as well as estimates of future trends in claims severity and frequency, judicial theories of liability and other factors. Loss reserve estimates are refined as experience develops and as claims are reported and resolved. Establishing an appropriate level of loss reserves is an inherently uncertain process. The uncertainties may be greater for reinsurers like us than for reinsurers with an established operating and claims history and a larger number of insurance and reinsurance transactions. In addition, the relatively long reporting

Table of Contents

periods between when a loss occurs and when it may be reported to our claims department for our casualty lines of business also increase the uncertainties of our reserve estimates in such lines. To assist us in establishing appropriate reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses, we analyze a significant amount of internal data and external insurance industry information with respect to the pricing environment and loss settlement patterns. In combination with our individual account pricing analyses and our internal loss settlement patterns, this industry information is used to guide our loss and loss expense estimates. These estimates are reviewed quarterly, at a high level of detail, and any adjustments are reflected in earnings in the periods in which they are determined.

There is a significant amount of estimation involved in determining ultimate losses and loss adjustment expenses. We believe that while our case reserves and IBNR reserves are sufficient to cover losses assumed by us, there can be no assurance that losses will not deviate from our reserves, possibly by material amounts. To the extent actual reported losses exceed estimated losses, the carried estimate of the ultimate losses will be increased, which represents unfavorable reserve development, and to the extent actual reported losses are less than our expectations, the carried estimate of ultimate losses will be reduced, which represents favorable reserve development.

#### Loss Portfolio Transfer of the GMAC RE Loss Reserves and Ongoing Novation of Certain Related Reserves and Liabilities

In connection with the GMAC Acquisition, Maiden Bermuda entered into a loss portfolio transfer agreement with Motors whereby it assumed the outstanding loss reserves, including a provision for IBNR reserves associated with the GMAC RE business acquired (\$755.6 million at October 31, 2008). We received cash and U.S. government and U.S. government agency fixed maturity investments equal to the amount of loss reserves.

The loss reserves assumed by Maiden Bermuda from Motors represented the estimate of the unpaid losses to be paid on all of the reinsurance contracts produced by GMAC RE from 1983 until October 31, 2008. Because the entire related infrastructure of GMAC RE, including the actuarial and claims procedures and personnel were acquired by us, the methodology for establishing the estimates for losses and loss expense have been consistently applied. While we believe that we have made a reasonable estimate of loss and loss expense reserves, the ultimate loss experience may be higher or lower than the total reserves recorded by us. A breakdown of the case and IBNR reserves assumed under the loss portfolio transfer as of October 31, 2008 by underwriting year is provided in the table below.

| Underwriting Year*            | Case Reserves<br>(\$ in Millions) | IBNR Reserves | Total Reserves |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| 2000 & Prior                  | \$27.3                            | \$20.7        | \$48.0         |
| 2001                          | 10.4                              | 10.8          | 21.2           |
| 2002                          | 20.1                              | 28.3          | 48.4           |
| 2003                          | 15.0                              | 28.3          | 43.3           |
| 2004                          | 16.5                              | 32.6          | 49.1           |
| 2005                          | 27.8                              | 51.5          | 79.3           |
| 2006                          | 59.4                              | 93.0          | 152.4          |
| 2007                          | 60.2                              | 112.0         | 172.2          |
| January 1 to October 31, 2008 | 48.3                              | 93.4          | 141.7          |
| Total                         | \$285.0                           | \$470.6       | \$755.6        |

\* Underwriting year comprises all policies written or renewed during the year and all losses relating to those same policies, whenever they may occur.

These loss reserves are treated as retroactive reinsurance under U.S. GAAP. Accordingly, any subsequent change in the estimate of the subject losses since the date of transfer are amortized into the Company's results of operations



based upon the cumulative payment of actual claims in relation to the subject losses transferred. A breakdown of the remaining case and IBNR reserves assumed under the loss portfolio transfer as of December 31, 2012 was as follows:

15

---

Table of Contents

| Underwriting Year*            | Case Reserves<br>(\$ in Millions) | IBNR Reserves | Total Reserves |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| 2000 & Prior                  | \$23.4                            | \$13.9        | \$37.3         |
| 2001                          | 9.1                               | 7.9           | 17.0           |
| 2002                          | 16.3                              | 12.7          | 29.0           |
| 2003                          | 12.7                              | 11.8          | 24.5           |
| 2004                          | 9.5                               | 8.6           | 18.1           |
| 2005                          | 10.3                              | 12.8          | 23.1           |
| 2006                          | 15.1                              | 17.0          | 32.1           |
| 2007                          | 19.3                              | 12.4          | 31.7           |
| January 1 to October 31, 2008 | 12.3                              | 0.4           | 12.7           |
| Total                         | \$128.0                           | \$97.5        | \$225.5        |

\* Underwriting year comprises all policies written or renewed during the year and all losses relating to those same policies, whenever they may occur.

Under the terms of the GMAC Acquisition, we had the right for a transition period of twenty-four months, which expired on October 31, 2010, to have Motors front certain reinsurance business in cases where we do not have the necessary regulatory licenses or approvals. In 2009, Maiden US received all of the necessary regulatory licenses and approvals. Therefore reinsurance premiums underwritten by Maiden Re in the U.S. have been recorded both in Maiden US and pursuant to the terms of the quota share reinsurance agreement between the companies, by Maiden Bermuda. This business is included in the Diversified Reinsurance segment and represents 86.2%, 84.8% and 91.6% of the gross written premium for this segment for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In June 2009, A.M. Best downgraded its rating of Motors to B++, which is an insufficient rating for many of our reinsurance clients. The impact of this downgrade is minimal as most of our clients have their liabilities collateralized in trusts. Nevertheless, for current clients we have offered the opportunity to novate all of their policies with Motors underwritten by Maiden Re. As of December 31, 2012, approximately \$144.1 million of liabilities relating to the loss portfolio transfer have been novated to Maiden US.

#### Loss Portfolio Transfer of the IIS Acquisition Loss Reserves and Ongoing Novation of Certain Related Reserves and Liabilities

In connection with the IIS Acquisition, Maiden Bermuda entered into a Loss Portfolio Transfer Agreement and Quota Share Reinsurance (“IIS Reinsurance Agreement”) with GMAC IICL whereby it assumed the outstanding loss reserves, including a provision for IBNR reserves associated with the IIS business (\$98.8 million at November 30, 2010). This does not include the \$3.2 million of outstanding loss reserves, including a provision for IBNR reserves associated with the acquisition of Maiden LF. Pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement, the substantial majority of the subject reinsurance contracts are collateralized by letters of credit or trust agreements. Until such time as those contracts were novated from GMAC IICL to Maiden Bermuda (which was required to be completed within twelve months of closing), the underlying assets were held by GMAC IICL subject to the provisions of the reinsurance agreement between GMAC IICL and Maiden Bermuda. However, all investment income produced by these assets is fully credited to Maiden Bermuda until novation. During 2011, thirteen of the fifteen reinsurance programs that were part of the business associated with the IIS Acquisition were novated from GMAC IICL to Maiden Bermuda and one was commuted. The remaining program is expected to be novated in 2013. The underlying assets in support of the remaining collateral arrangements, which total \$22.7 million, are recorded as Funds Withheld on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2012.

The loss reserves retroceded by GMAC IICL to Maiden Bermuda represented the estimate of the unpaid losses to be paid on all of the reinsurance contracts produced by GMAC IICL through November 30, 2010. Because the entire related infrastructure of GMAC IICL, including the claims procedures and personnel were acquired by us, the methodology for establishing the estimates for losses and loss expense have been consistently applied. While we believe that we have made a reasonable estimate of loss and loss expense reserves, the ultimate loss experience may be higher or lower than the total reserves recorded by us. A breakdown of the case and IBNR reserves assumed under the IIS Reinsurance Agreement as of November 30, 2010, by underwriting year is provided in the table below.

Table of Contents

| Underwriting Year*             | Case Reserves<br>(\$ in Millions) | IBNR Reserves | Total Reserves |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| 2000 & Prior                   | \$17.8                            | \$0.9         | \$18.7         |
| 2001                           | 2.0                               | —             | 2.0            |
| 2002                           | 1.6                               | —             | 1.6            |
| 2003                           | 2.8                               | 0.2           | 3.0            |
| 2004                           | 2.7                               | 0.4           | 3.1            |
| 2005                           | 3.4                               | 0.5           | 3.9            |
| 2006                           | 4.3                               | 0.4           | 4.7            |
| 2007                           | 5.3                               | 1.4           | 6.7            |
| 2008                           | 7.5                               | 1.5           | 9.0            |
| 2009                           | 9.1                               | 2.6           | 11.7           |
| January 1 to November 30, 2010 | 12.8                              | 21.6          | 34.4           |
| Total                          | \$69.3                            | \$29.5        | \$98.8         |

\* Underwriting year comprises all policies written or renewed during the year and all losses relating to those same policies, whenever they may occur.

These losses are treated as retroactive reinsurance under U.S. GAAP. Accordingly, any subsequent change in the estimate of the subject losses since the date of transfer are amortized into our results of operations based upon the cumulative payment of actual claims in relation to the subject losses transferred. A breakdown of the remaining case and IBNR reserves assumed under the loss portfolio transfer as of December 31, 2012 was as follows:

| Underwriting Year*             | Case Reserves<br>(\$ in Millions) | IBNR Reserves | Total Reserves |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| 2000 & Prior                   | \$16.5                            | \$0.3         | \$16.8         |
| 2001                           | 1.4                               | 0.1           | 1.5            |
| 2002                           | 1.2                               | 0.1           | 1.3            |
| 2003                           | 2.6                               | (0.3)         | 2.3            |
| 2004                           | 2.8                               | (0.6)         | 2.2            |
| 2005                           | 2.5                               | (0.7)         | 1.8            |
| 2006                           | 2.8                               | (0.6)         | 2.2            |
| 2007                           | 4.9                               | (1.4)         | 3.5            |
| 2008                           | 5.0                               | (1.2)         | 3.8            |
| 2009                           | 2.9                               | (0.8)         | 2.1            |
| January 1 to November 30, 2010 | 4.6                               | (0.6)         | 4.0            |
| Total                          | \$47.2                            | \$(5.7)       | \$41.5         |

\* Underwriting year comprises all policies written or renewed during the year and all losses relating to those same policies, whenever they may occur.

Pursuant to the IIS Reinsurance Agreement, Maiden Bermuda reinsures all of the existing reinsurance contracts written by GMAC IICL. Future new reinsurance contracts will be underwritten by Maiden Bermuda. According to the loss portfolio transfer provisions of the IIS Reinsurance Agreement, in addition to the loss reserves assumed by us described above, we also assumed unearned premium of approximately \$19.5 million, net of acquisition expenses as of November 30, 2010. The reinsurance premiums from the IIS Acquisition and underwritten by Maiden Bermuda are



Table of Contents

included in the Diversified Reinsurance segment and represent 13.7% 13.3% and 5.3% of the net premiums written for this segment for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

## Change in Reserves

The following tables (“Analysis of Consolidated Net Loss Reserves Development”) show the development of gross and net reserves for unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses for our business for calendar years 2010 through 2012. The tables do not present accident or policy year development data. Each table begins by showing the initial reported year-end gross and net reserves, including IBNR reserves, recorded at the balance sheet date for each of the three years presented. The next section of the table shows the re-estimated amount of the initial reported net reserves for up to four subsequent years, based on experience at the end of each subsequent year. The re-estimated net liabilities reflect additional information, received from cedants or obtained through reviews of industry trends, regarding claims incurred prior to the end of the preceding financial year. A (redundancy) or deficiency arises when the re-estimation of reserves is (less) or greater than its estimation at the preceding year-end. The cumulative redundancies (or deficiencies) reflect cumulative differences between the initial reported net reserves and the currently re-estimated net reserves. Annual changes in the estimates are reflected in the income statement for each year as the liabilities are re-estimated.

The lower section of the table shows the portion of the initial year-end net reserves that was paid (claims paid) as of the end of subsequent years. This section of the table provides an indication of the portion of the re-estimated net liability that is settled and is unlikely to develop in the future.

## Analysis of Consolidated Net Loss Reserves Development

The following table presents additional information regarding the development of gross loss reserves. The table below is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending liability for unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

| For the Year Ended December 31,  | 2012             | 2011      | 2010      |
|--|------------------|-----------|-----------|
|  | (\$ in Millions) |           |           |
| Gross unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses reserves at beginning of period | \$1,398.4        | \$1,226.8 | \$1,002.7 |
| Less: reinsurance recoverable at beginning of period                           | 20.3             | 6.7       | 8.4       |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expense reserves at beginning of period           | 1,378.1          | 1,220.1   | 994.3     |
| Net incurred losses related to:  |                  |           |           |
| Current year   | 1,239.0          | 1,028.9   | 788.0     |
| Prior years  | 23.3             | 14.2      | (32.9 )   |
|  | 1,262.3          | 1,043.1   | 755.1     |
| Net paid losses related to:  |                  |           |           |
| Current year   | (485.0 )         | (456.1 )  | (365.3 )  |
| Prior years  | (530.3 )         | (423.9 )  | (266.0 )  |
|  | (1,015.3 )       | (880.0 )  | (631.3 )  |
| Acquired loss and loss expense reserve   | —                | 0.4       | 102.0     |
| Effect of foreign exchange movement  | 4.3              | (5.5 )    | —         |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expense reserves at end of period                 | 1,629.4          | 1,378.1   | 1,220.1   |
| Reinsurance recoverable at end of period                                       | 110.9            | 20.3      | 6.7       |
| Gross unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses reserves at end of period       | \$1,740.3        | \$1,398.4 | \$1,226.8 |

The Company amortized gains as a reduction of losses incurred of \$9.1 million, \$28.9 million and \$25.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The total favorable development relating to the loss portfolio transfers since the closing of the GMAC Acquisition and IIS Acquisition has been \$75.7 million. Due to loss sensitive features of certain contracts, favorable (or unfavorable) loss reserve development does not necessarily result in additional (or reduced) underwriting income as ceding commission may be adjusted proportionally to the amount of loss development, pursuant to the terms of the individual contracts.

Table of Contents

## Analysis of Gross and Net Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses and Net Re-estimated Liability

| Development of Reserve for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses Cumulative Deficiency (Redundancy) Gross Losses<br>For the Year Ended December 31, | 2007             | 2008 <sup>(1)</sup> | 2009      | 2010 <sup>(2)</sup> | 2011      | 2012      |
|---|------------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
|   | (\$ in Millions) |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| <b>Gross</b>  |                  |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| As Originally Estimated   | \$38.5           | \$897.7             | \$1,002.7 | \$1,226.8           | \$1,398.4 | \$1,740.3 |
| <b>Liability Re-estimated as of:</b>  |                  |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| One Year later  | \$36.7           | \$886.3             | \$959.7   | \$1,232.7           | \$1,418.8 |           |
| Two Years later   | 37.3             | 869.8               | 963.8     | 1,224.1             |           |           |
| Three Years later   | 37.9             | 848.6               | 972.5     |                     |           |           |
| Four Years later  | 39.5             | 842.6               |           |                     |           |           |
| Five Years later  | 38.8             |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| Cumulative deficiency (redundancy)  | \$0.3            | \$(55.1 )           | \$(30.2 ) | \$(2.7 )            | \$20.4    |           |
| <b>Cumulative claims paid as of:</b>  |                  |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| One Year later  | \$16.6           | \$303.2             | \$266.0   | \$452.7             | \$592.8   |           |
| Two Years later   | 33.7             | 402.4               | 457.8     | 746.1               |           |           |
| Three Years later   | 34.1             | 542.2               | 607.0     |                     |           |           |
| Four Years later  | 37.6             | 665.0               |           |                     |           |           |
| Five Years later  | 38.0             |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| <b>Liability Re-estimated as of:</b>  |                  |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| One Year later  | 95.4             | % 98.7              | % 95.7    | % 100.5             | % 101.5   | %         |
| Two Years later   | 96.8             | % 96.9              | % 96.1    | % 99.8              |           |           |
| Three Years later   | 98.5             | % 94.5              | % 97.0    | %                   |           |           |
| Four Years later  | 102.5            | % 93.9              | %         |                     |           |           |
| Five Years later  | 100.9            | %                   |           |                     |           |           |
| Cumulative deficiency (redundancy)<br>on gross reserve  | 0.9              | % (6.1 )            | % (3.0 )  | % (0.2 )            | % 1.5     | %         |
| <b>Gross Loss and Loss Expense<br/>Cumulative Paid as a Percentage of<br/>Originally Estimated Liability</b>                                    |                  |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| One Year later  | 43.1             | % 31.9              | % 26.5    | % 36.9              | % 42.4    | %         |
| Two Years later   | 87.6             | % 44.8              | % 45.7    | % 60.8              | %         |           |
| Three Years later   | 88.6             | % 60.4              | % 60.5    | %                   |           |           |
| Four Years later  | 97.7             | % 74.1              | %         |                     |           |           |
| Five Years later  | 98.8             | %                   |           |                     |           |           |



Table of Contents

| For the Year Ended December 31,                                   | 2007             | 2008 <sup>(1)</sup> | 2009      | 2010 <sup>(2)</sup> | 2011      | 2012      |
|---|------------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
|   | (\$ in Millions) |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| Losses Net of Reinsurance   |                  |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| As Originally Estimated   | \$38.5           | \$897.7             | \$994.3   | \$1,220.1           | \$1,378.1 | \$1,629.4 |
| Liability Re-estimated as of:                                     |                  |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| One Year later  | \$36.7           | \$886.3             | \$961.4   | \$1,234.3           | \$1,401.4 |           |
| Two Years later   | 37.3             | 869.8               | 969.5     | 1,229.6             |           |           |
| Three Years later   | 37.9             | 852.9               | 967.8     |                     |           |           |
| Four Years later  | 39.5             | 842.6               |           |                     |           |           |
| Five Years later  | 38.8             |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| Cumulative deficiency (redundancy)                                | \$0.3            | \$(55.1 )           | \$(26.5 ) | \$9.5               | \$23.3    |           |
| Cumulative claims paid as of:                                     |                  |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| One Year later  | \$16.6           | \$303.2             | \$266.0   | \$423.9             | \$530.3   |           |
| Two Years later   | 33.7             | 402.4               | 444.3     | 682.9               |           |           |
| Three Years later   | 34.1             | 542.2               | 575.1     |                     |           |           |
| Four Years later  | 37.6             | 665.0               |           |                     |           |           |
| Five Years later  | 38.0             |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| Liability Re-estimated as of:                                     |                  |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| One Year later  | 95.4             | % 98.7              | % 96.7    | % 101.2             | % 101.7   | %         |
| Two Years later   | 96.8             | % 96.9              | % 97.5    | % 100.8             | %         |           |
| Three Years later   | 98.5             | % 95.0              | % 97.3    | %                   |           |           |
| Four Years later  | 102.5            | % 93.9              | %         |                     |           |           |
| Five Years later  | 100.9            | %                   |           |                     |           |           |
| Cumulative deficiency (redundancy) on net reserve                 | 0.9              | % (6.1 )            | % (2.7 )  | % 0.8               | % 1.7     | %         |
| Net Loss and Loss Expense   |                  |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| Cumulative Paid as a Percentage of Originally Estimated Liability |                  |                     |           |                     |           |           |
| One Year later  | 43.1             | % 33.8              | % 26.7    | % 34.7              | % 38.5    | %         |
| Two Years later   | 87.6             | % 44.8              | % 44.7    | % 56.0              | %         |           |
| Three Years later   | 88.6             | % 60.4              | % 57.8    | %                   |           |           |
| Four Years later  | 97.7             | % 74.1              | %         |                     |           |           |
| Five Years later  | 98.8             | %                   |           |                     |           |           |

(1) Reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses include the reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses of \$755.6 million, from the GMAC Acquisition, which we acquired in October 2008.

(2) Reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses include the reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses of \$98.8 million from the IIS Acquisition, which we acquired in November 2010.

For additional information concerning our reserves, see Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Critical Accounting Policies — Reserve for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses" for further information regarding the specific actuarial models we utilize and the uncertainties in establishing the reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses.

## Table of Contents

### Our Employees

As of February 25, 2013, we had a total of 214 full-time employees who are located in Bermuda, the United States, the United Kingdom, Germany, Austria, Russia, Netherlands, Uruguay and Australia. We may increase our staff over time commensurate with the expansion of operations. We believe that our employee relations are good. None of our employees are subject to collective bargaining agreements.

### Regulatory Matters

#### General

The reinsurance and regulatory environment, in particular for offshore reinsurance companies, has become subject to increased scrutiny in many jurisdictions, including the United States and various states within the U.S.. In the past, there have been Congressional and other initiatives in the U.S. regarding increased supervision and regulation of the insurance industry. For example, in response to the tightening of supply in some insurance and reinsurance markets resulting from, among other things, the World Trade Center tragedy, the United States Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (“TRIA”), the Terrorism Risk Insurance Extension Act of 2005 (the “TRIA Extension of 2005”) and the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007 (the “TRIA Extension of 2007”) were enacted to ensure the availability of insurance coverage for terrorist acts in the United States. This law establishes a federal assistance program through the end of 2014 to help the commercial property and casualty insurance industry cover claims related to future terrorism related losses and regulates the terms of insurance relating to terrorism coverage. TRIA, the TRIA Extensions of 2005 and 2007 have had little impact on our business because few of our reinsurance clients are purchasing this coverage. Recent US federal budget proposals have contained provisions dealing with both the taxation of premium cessions to foreign affiliates and a recommendation supporting the termination of TRIA. We do not believe that either of these initiatives will have a significant impact on Maiden. We are in compliance with the recommended reinsurance cession limitation in the tax proposal. Given our focus on a diverse portfolio of regional and specialty clients and occurrence limitations contained within specific reinsurance contracts, we believe that exposure to the termination of TRIA would be limited.

#### Bermuda Insurance Regulation

The Insurance Act 1978 of Bermuda, as amended, and related regulations (together, the “Insurance Act”), which regulates the insurance business of Bermuda registered insurers, provides that no person shall carry on any insurance business in or from within Bermuda unless that person has been registered under the Insurance Act by the Bermuda Monetary Authority (the “BMA”). The BMA is responsible for the day-to-day supervision of insurers and insurance groups in respect of which it is the group supervisor. Under the Insurance Act, insurance business includes reinsurance business. The registration of an applicant as an insurer is subject to its complying with the terms of its registration and such other conditions as the BMA may impose from time to time.

The Insurance Act imposes solvency and liquidity standards and auditing and reporting requirements on Bermuda insurance companies and grants to the BMA powers to supervise, investigate and intervene in the affairs of insurance companies. The Insurance Act also imposes certain regulatory requirements on insurance groups where the BMA has determined that it should act as group supervisor. Certain significant aspects of the Bermuda insurance regulatory framework are set forth below.

**Cancellation of Insurer's Registration.** An insurer's registration may be canceled by the BMA on certain grounds specified in the Insurance Act, including failure of the insurer to comply with its obligations under the Insurance Act or if, in the opinion of the BMA, the insurer has not been carrying on business in accordance with sound insurance principles. We believe that we are in compliance with applicable regulations under the Insurance Act.

**Principal Office and Principal Representative.** An insurer is required to maintain a principal office in Bermuda and to appoint and maintain a principal representative in Bermuda. It is the duty of the principal representative, upon reaching the view that there is a likelihood of the insurer for which the principal representative acts becoming insolvent or that a reportable “event” has, to the principal representative's knowledge, occurred or is believed to have occurred, to immediately notify the BMA and to make a report in writing to the BMA within 14 days of the prior notification setting out all the particulars of the case that are available to the principal representative.

**Approved Loss Reserve Specialist.** As a registered Class 3B insurer, Maiden Bermuda is required to appoint an individual approved by the BMA as a person qualified to assess the adequacy of insurance loss reserves as a loss reserve specialist. Maiden Bermuda is required to submit an opinion of its approved loss reserve specialist with its statutory financial return in respect of its loss and loss expense provisions.

**Annual Financial Statements, Annual Statutory Financial Return and Annual Capital and Solvency Return.** Maiden Bermuda must prepare annual statutory financial statements as prescribed in the Insurance Act with respect to its general

Table of Contents

business. The statutory financial statements are distinct from the annual U.S. GAAP financial statements referred to below. Maiden Bermuda is also required to prepare and file with the BMA statutory financial returns with respect to its general business. The statutory financial return for a Class 3B insurer includes, among other things, a report of the approved independent auditor on the statutory financial statement of such insurer, declaration of the statutory ratios, solvency certificates, the statutory financial statements for the general business, the opinion of the loss reserve specialist, a schedule of reinsurance ceded and a statutory declaration in the matter of the insurance code of conduct as described below. Maiden Bermuda is also required to file audited U.S. GAAP annual financial statements, which must be available to the public.

In addition, Maiden Bermuda is required to file a capital and solvency return, which shall include the company's Bermuda Solvency Capital Requirement ("BSCR") model (described below), a schedule of fixed income investments by rating categories, a schedule of net reserves for losses and loss expense provisions by line of business, a schedule of premiums written by line of business, schedules of risk management, a schedule of fixed income securities, a schedule of commercial insurer's solvency self assessment ("CISSA"), a schedule of catastrophe risk return, a schedule of loss triangles or reconciliation of net loss reserves and a schedule of eligible capital.

**Independent Approved Auditor.** As a Class 3B insurer, Maiden Bermuda must appoint an approved independent auditor who will annually audit and report on the insurer's financial statements prepared under generally accepted accounting principles or international financial reporting standards ("U.S. GAAP financial statements") and statutory financial statements and the statutory financial return of the insurer, all of which, in the case of Maiden Bermuda, are required to be filed annually with the BMA.

**Minimum Liquidity Ratio.** The Insurance Act requires all general business insurers to maintain the value of its relevant assets at not less than 75% of the amount of its relevant liabilities. Relevant assets include cash and time deposits, quoted investments, unquoted bonds and debentures, first liens on real estate, investment income due and accrued, accounts and premiums receivable, reinsurance balances receivable and funds held by ceding reinsurers. There are certain categories of assets which, unless specifically permitted by the BMA, do not automatically qualify as relevant assets, such as unquoted equity securities, investments in and advances to affiliates and real estate and collateral loans. The relevant liabilities are total general business insurance reserves and total other liabilities less deferred income tax and sundry liabilities (by interpretation, those not specifically defined).

**Minimum Solvency Margin, Enhanced Capital Requirement and Restrictions on Dividends and Distributions.** Under the Insurance Act, Maiden Bermuda must ensure that the value of its general business assets exceeds the amount of its general business liabilities by an amount greater than its prescribed minimum solvency margin ("MSM") and its enhanced capital requirement ("ECR").

While not specifically referred to in the Insurance Act, the BMA has also established a target capital level ("TCL") for each insurer subject to an enhanced capital requirement equal to 120% of its ECR. While such an insurer is not currently required to maintain its statutory capital and surplus at this level, the TCL serves as an early warning tool for the BMA and failure to maintain statutory capital at least equal to the TCL will likely result in increased regulatory oversight.

**Fit and Proper Controllers.** The BMA maintains supervision over the controllers of all registered insurers in Bermuda. A controller includes (i) the managing director of the registered insurer or its parent company; (ii) the chief executive of the registered insurer or of its parent company; (iii) a shareholder controller; and (iv) any person in accordance with whose directions or instructions the directors of the registered insurer or of its parent company are accustomed to act.

**Notification by Registered Person of Change of Controllers and Officers.** All registered insurers are required to give written notice to the BMA of the fact that a person has become, or ceased to be, a controller or officer of the registered insurer within 45 days of becoming aware of such fact. An officer in relation to a registered insurer means a director, chief executive or senior executive performing duties of underwriting, actuarial, risk management, compliance, internal audit, finance or investment matters.

Notification of Material Changes. All registered insurers are required to give notice to the BMA of their intention to effect a material change within the meaning of the Insurance Act. For the purposes of the Insurance Act, the following changes are material: (i) the transfer or acquisition of insurance business being part of a scheme falling under section 25 of the Insurance Act or section 99 of the Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda (the "Companies Act"); (ii) the amalgamation with or acquisition of another firm; (iii) engaging in unrelated business that is retail business, (iv) the acquisition of a controlling interest in an undertaking that is engaged in non-insurance business which offers services and products to persons who are not affiliates of the insurer, (v) outsourcing all or substantially all of the company's actuarial, risk management and internal audit functions, (vi) outsourcing all or a material part of an insurer's underwriting activity, (vii) the transfer other than by way of reinsurance of all or substantially all of a line of business, and (viii) the expansion into a material new line of business.

Table of Contents

Code of Conduct. Maiden Bermuda is subject to the Insurance Code of Conduct (the “Code”) which prescribes the duties and standards which must be complied with to ensure it implements sound corporate governance, risk management and internal controls. Every Bermuda insurer is now required to submit as part of its annual statutory return, a statutory declaration confirming that the company is in compliance with the Code. Failure to comply with the requirements under the Code will be a factor taken into account by the BMA in determining whether an insurer is conducting its business in a sound and prudent manner as prescribed by the Insurance Act. Such failure to comply with the requirements of the Code could result in the BMA exercising its powers of intervention (see BMA's Powers of Intervention, Obtaining Information, Reports and Documents and Providing Information to other Regulatory Authorities below) and will be a factor in calculating the operational risk charge applicable in accordance with that insurer's BSCR model or approved internal model. We believe that we are in compliance with the Code.

Group Supervision. The BMA acts as group supervisor of the Maiden group of companies (the “Maiden Group”) and has designated Maiden Bermuda to be the designated insurer.

As group supervisor, the BMA will perform a number of supervisory functions including (i) coordinating the gathering and dissemination of information which is of importance for the supervisory task of other competent authorities; (ii) carrying out a supervisory review and assessment of the insurance group; (iii) carrying out an assessment of the insurance group's compliance with the rules on solvency, risk concentration, intra-group transactions and good governance procedures; (iv) planning and coordinating, through regular meetings (to be held at least annually) with other competent authorities, supervisory activities in respect of the insurance group, both as a going concern and in emergency situations; (v) coordinating any enforcement action that may need to be taken against the insurance group or any of its members; and (vi) planning and coordinating meetings of colleges of supervisors in order to facilitate the carrying out of the functions described above.

In carrying out its group supervisory functions, the BMA may make rules for (i) assessing the financial situation and the solvency position of the insurance group and/or its members and (ii) regulating intra group transactions, risk concentration, governance procedures, risk management and regulatory reporting and disclosure.

Group Solvency and Group Supervision. The current insurance group supervision and insurance group solvency rules (together, “Group Rules”) will apply to Maiden Bermuda and the Maiden Group so long as the BMA remains group supervisor. A summary of the Group Rules is set forth below.

The following requirements of the Group Rules discussed below are not yet required as at December 31, 2012: (i) compliance with a group enhanced capital requirement (“Group ECR”); (ii) compliance with group eligible capital requirements; (iii) filing of the opinion of an approved actuary; and (iv) compliance with certifications by management and the parent company of the insurance group on the business solvency certificate included in the group statutory financial return and on the group capital and solvency return.

Annual Group Financial Statements. Every insurance group is required to prepare and submit, on an annual basis, Group financial statements prepared in accordance with either the international financial reporting standards (“IFRS”) or generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”), together with group statutory financial statements. The Group GAAP financial statements must be audited annually by the group's approved auditor who is required to prepare an auditor's report thereon in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. In addition, every insurance group must prepare group statutory financial statements (which will include, in statutory form, a group balance sheet, a group income statement, a group statement of capital and surplus, and notes thereto). The Designated Insurer is required to file with the BMA the group statutory financial statements and the audited Group GAAP financial statements with the BMA within five months from the end of the relevant financial year (unless specifically extended).

Annual Group Statutory Financial Return and Annual Capital and Solvency Return. Every insurance group is required to prepare an annual group statutory financial return which shall include, among other things, a report of the approved group auditor, an insurance group business solvency certificate, the opinion of a group actuary, an insurance group capital and solvency certificate (and a declaration signed by two directors of the Designated Insurer and either the chief risk or chief financial officer of the parent company declaring that the return fairly represents the financial condition of the insurance group in all material respects ). Both the annual group statutory financial return and the group capital and solvency return must be submitted to the BMA by the Designated Insurer within five months after its financial year end (unless specifically extended).

Quarterly Group Financial Statements. The Designated Insurer is required to prepare and file quarterly group financial returns with the BMA on or before the last day of the months May, August and November of each year.

Group MSM and Group ECR. The Designated Insurer must ensure that the value of the insurance group's assets exceeds the amount of the group's liabilities by the Group MSM. A member is a qualifying member of the insurance group if it is subject to solvency requirements in the jurisdiction in which it is registered.

## Table of Contents

Where the parent company exercises control in relation to any member of the group, the minimum margin of solvency of such member shall be its individual MSM. Where the parent company exercises significant influence on any member of the group, the minimum margin of solvency applicable to that member for purposes of calculating the Group MSM shall be an amount equal to the parent company's percentage shareholding in the member multiplied by that member's minimum margin of solvency. "Control" and "significant influence" shall be determined in accordance with either the IFRS or GAAP used to prepare the insurance group's financial statements.

**Group Eligible Capital.** To enable the BMA to better assess the quality of the group's capital resources, the Designated Insurer is required to disclose the makeup of its group's capital in accordance with a "3-tiered capital system". Under this system, all of the insurance group's capital instruments will be classified as either basic or ancillary capital which in turn will be classified into one of 3 tiers based on their "loss absorbency" characteristics. Highest quality capital will be classified Tier 1 Capital, lesser quality capital will be classified as either Tier 2 Capital or Tier 3 Capital. Under this regime, not more than certain specified percentages of Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 Capital may be used to satisfy the Group's MSM and Group ECR requirements. Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 Capital may, until January 1 2024, include capital instruments that do not satisfy the requirement that the instrument be non-redeemable or settled only with the issuance of an instrument of equal or higher quality upon a breach, or if redemption would cause a breach, of the Group ECR.

**Group Governance.** Group Rules require the Parent Board to establish and effectively implement corporate governance policies and procedures, which it must be periodically review to ensure they continue to support the overall organizational strategy of the group. In particular, the Parent Board must:

- ensure that operational and oversight responsibilities of the group are clearly defined and documented and that the reporting of material deficiencies and fraudulent activities are transparent and devoid of conflicts of interest;
- establish systems for identifying on a risk sensitive basis those policies and procedures that must be reviewed annually and those policies and procedures that must be reviewed at other regular intervals;
- establish a risk management and internal controls framework and ensure that it is assessed regularly and such assessment is reported to the Parent Board and the chief and senior executives;
- establish and maintain sound accounting and financial reporting procedures and practices for the group; and
- establish and keep under review group functions relating to actuarial, compliance, internal audit and risk management functions which must address certain specific requirements as set out in the Group Rules.

**Designated Insurer Notification Obligations.** The Designated Insurer must notify the BMA upon reaching a view that there is a likelihood of the insurance group or any member of the group becoming insolvent or that a reportable "event" has, to the Designated Insurer's knowledge, occurred or is believed to have occurred. Examples of a reportable "event" include a failure by the insurance group or any member of the group to comply substantially with a requirement imposed upon it under the Group Rules relating to its solvency position, governance and risk management or supervisory reporting and disclosures; failure by the Designated Insurer to comply with a direction given to it under the Insurance Act in respect of the group or any of its members; a criminal conviction imposed upon any member of the group whether in Bermuda or abroad; material breaches of any statutory requirements by any member of the group located outside of Bermuda that could lead to supervisory or enforcement action by a competent authority; or a significant loss that is reasonably likely to cause the insurance group to be unable to comply with its Group ECR. Within 30 days of such notification to the BMA, the Designated Insurer must furnish the BMA with a written report setting out all the particulars of the case that are available to it and within 45 days it must furnish a group capital and solvency return that reflects the Group ECR that has been prepared using post-loss data and unaudited financial statements for such period as the BMA shall require together with a declaration of solvency in respect thereof.



In respect of the parent company of an insurance group, the Designated Insurer is required to give written notice to the BMA of the fact that a person has become, or ceased to be, a controller or officer of the parent company of an insurance group within 45 days of becoming aware of such fact. An officer in relation to the parent company of an insurance group means a director, chief executive or senior executive performing duties of underwriting, actuarial, risk management, compliance, internal audit, finance or investment matters.

BMA's Powers of Intervention, Obtaining Information, Reports and Documents and Providing Information to other Regulatory Authorities. The BMA has certain powers of investigation and intervention relating to insurers and their holding companies, subsidiaries and other affiliates, which it may exercise in the interest of such insurer's policyholders or if there is any risk of insolvency or of a breach of the Insurance Act or the insurer's license conditions.

## Table of Contents

### Certain Bermuda Law Considerations

Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda have been designated as non-resident for exchange control purposes by the BMA and are required to obtain the permission of the BMA for the issue and transfer of all of their shares. The BMA has given its consent for:

- the issue and transfer of Maiden Holdings' common shares, up to the amount of its authorized capital from time to time, to and among persons that are non-residents of Bermuda for exchange control purposes; and

- the issue and transfer of up to 20% of Maiden Holdings' common shares in issue from time to time to and among persons resident in Bermuda for exchange control purposes.

Transfers and issues of Maiden Holdings' common shares to any resident in Bermuda for exchange control purposes may require specific prior approval under the Exchange Control Act 1972. Maiden Bermuda's common shares cannot be issued or transferred without the consent of the BMA. Because we are designated as non-resident for Bermuda exchange control purposes, we are allowed to engage in transactions, and to pay dividends to Bermuda non-residents who are holders of our common shares, in currencies other than the Bermuda Dollar.

### United States

Maiden US, our lead U.S. insurer domiciled in Missouri, is an accredited reinsurer in 6 states and an authorized insurer in 45 jurisdictions. Maiden Specialty is a licensed insurer in its state of domicile, North Carolina, and is an eligible excess and surplus lines carrier in 50 jurisdictions (Maiden Specialty primarily writes insurance on a surplus lines basis). Regulatory, supervisory and administrative authority is primarily delegated to the states with the exception of federal authority over boycott, coercion and intimidation, federal antitrust laws and where federal law is enacted specifically to regulate the business of insurance. Among other things, state insurance departments regulate insurer solvency standards, insurer and agent licensing, authorized investments, premium rates, loss and expense reserves and provisions for unearned premiums, and deposits of securities for the benefit of policyholders. The states' regulatory schemes also extend to policy form approval and market conduct regulation. In addition, some states have enacted variations of competitive rate making laws, which allow insurers to set premium rates for certain classes of insurance without obtaining the prior approval of the state insurance department. Maiden US and Maiden Specialty are required to file detailed financial statements and other reports with the departments of insurance in all states in which they are licensed to transact business. These financial statements are subject to the supervision, regulation and periodic examination by the department of insurance ("DOI") in the state in which they are domiciled.

### State Insurance Department Examinations

Our U.S. insurance subsidiaries are subject to the supervision and regulation of the state in which they are domiciled. As part of their regulatory oversight process, state insurance departments conduct periodic detailed examinations of the financial reporting of insurance companies domiciled in their states, generally once every three to five years. Examinations are generally carried out in cooperation with the insurance departments of other states under guidelines promulgated by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC").

### Statutory Accounting Principles

Statutory accounting principles ("SAP") are a basis of accounting developed to assist insurance regulators in monitoring and regulating the solvency of insurance companies. SAP is primarily concerned with measuring an insurer's surplus to policyholders. Accordingly, statutory accounting focuses on valuing assets and liabilities of insurers at financial reporting dates in accordance with appropriate insurance law and regulatory provisions applicable in each insurer's domiciliary state.

U.S. GAAP is concerned with a company's solvency, but is also concerned with other financial measurements, principally income and cash flows. Accordingly, U.S. GAAP gives more consideration to appropriate matching of revenue and expenses and accounting for management's stewardship of assets than does SAP. As a direct result,

different assets and liabilities and different amounts of assets and liabilities will be reflected in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP compared to SAP.

Statutory accounting practices established by the NAIC and adopted in part by Missouri will determine, among other things, the amount of statutory surplus and statutory net income of Maiden US, and thus determine, in part, the amount of funds that are available to pay dividends to Maiden NA.

## Table of Contents

### Holding Company Regulation

Maiden US and Maiden Specialty are subject to U.S. statutory holding company laws of their respective states of domicile. The insurance holding company laws and regulations apply directly to individual insurers and indirectly to non-insurance holding companies. They vary from state to state, but generally require licensed insurers that are subsidiaries of insurance holding companies to register and file with state regulatory authorities certain reports including information concerning their capital structure, ownership, financial condition and general business operations. All transactions involving the insurers in a holding company system and their affiliates must be fair and reasonable and, if material, require prior notice and approval or non-disapproval by the state insurance department of their domicile. Prior to February 2, 2012, entry by Maiden US into certain material transactions with AmTrust or its affiliates required prior notice to and approval of the Missouri DOI. This requirement has been rescinded and now applies only to our direct and indirect subsidiaries.

Further, state insurance holding company laws typically place limitations on the amounts of dividends or other distributions payable by insurers. Payment of ordinary dividends by Maiden US requires prior approval of the Director of the Missouri DOI unless dividends will be paid out of "earned surplus". "Earned surplus" is an amount equal to the unassigned funds of an insurer as set forth in the most recent annual statement of the insurer including all or part of the surplus arising from unrealized capital gains or revaluation of assets. Extraordinary dividends generally require 30 days prior notice to and non-disapproval of the Missouri DOI before being paid. An extraordinary dividend includes any dividend whose fair market value together with that of other dividends or distributions made within the preceding 12 months exceeds the greater of: (1) 10% of the insurer's surplus as regards policyholders as of December 31 of the prior year, or (2) the net income of the insurer, not including realized capital gains, for the 12 month period ending December 31 of the prior year, but does not include pro rata distributions of any class of the insurer's own securities.

State insurance holding company laws also require prior notice and state insurance department approval of changes in control of an insurer or its holding company. "Control" is generally defined as the possession, direct or indirect, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of the company, whether through the ownership of voting securities, by contract (except a commercial contract for goods or non-management services) or otherwise. Maiden US is domiciled in Missouri where any beneficial owner of 10% or more of the outstanding voting securities of an insurance company or its holding company is presumed to have acquired control, unless this presumption is rebutted. Maiden Specialty is domiciled in North Carolina, which determines control in the same manner. Therefore, an investor who intends to acquire beneficial ownership of 10% or more of our outstanding voting securities may need to comply with these laws and would be required to file notices and reports with the Missouri DOI and receive approval from the Missouri DOI or rebut the presumption of control before such acquisition. An investor acquiring beneficial ownership would need to obtain approval as to the change of control of Maiden Specialty from the North Carolina DOI or rebut the presumption of control.

### Risk-Based Capital

U.S. insurers are also subject to risk-based capital ("RBC") guidelines that provide a method to measure the total adjusted capital (statutory capital and surplus plus other adjustments) of insurance companies taking into account the risk characteristics of a company's investments and products. The RBC formulas establish capital requirements for four categories of risk: asset risk, insurance risk, interest rate risk and business risk. For each category, the capital requirement is determined by applying factors to asset, premium and reserve items, with higher factors applied to items with greater underlying risk and lower factors for less risky items. Insurers that have less statutory capital than the RBC calculation required are considered to have inadequate capital and are subject to varying degrees of regulatory action depending upon the level of capital inadequacy. The RBC formulas have not been designed to differentiate among adequately capitalized companies that operate with higher levels of capital. Therefore, it is inappropriate and ineffective to use the formulas to rate or to rank such companies. Maiden US has satisfied the RBC formula and has exceeded all recognized industry solvency standards. As of December 31, 2012, Maiden US and

Maiden Specialty each had adjusted capital in excess of amounts requiring company or regulatory action.

#### Reinsurance

The ability of a primary insurer to take credit for the reinsurance purchased from reinsurance companies is a significant component of reinsurance regulation. Typically, a primary insurer will only enter into a reinsurance agreement if it can obtain credit to its reserves on its statutory financial statements for the reinsurance ceded to the reinsurer. With respect to U.S. domiciled reinsurers that reinsure U.S. insurers, credit is usually granted when the reinsurer is licensed or accredited in a state where the primary insurer is domiciled or, in some instances, in a state in which the primary insurer is licensed. States also generally permit primary insurers to take credit for reinsurance if the reinsurer is (i) domiciled in a state with a credit for reinsurance law that is substantially similar to the standards in the primary insurer's state of domicile, and (ii) meets certain financial requirements. Credit for reinsurance purchased from a reinsurer that does not meet the foregoing conditions is generally allowed to the extent that such reinsurer secures its obligations with

## Table of Contents

qualified collateral. Some states impose requirements that make it difficult to become licensed or accredited as a reinsurer.

### NAIC Ratios

The NAIC Insurance Regulatory Information System ("IRIS") was developed to help state regulators identify companies that may require special attention. IRIS is comprised of statistical and analytical phases consisting of key financial ratios whereby financial examiners review annual statutory basis statements and financial ratios. Each ratio has an established "usual range" of results and assists state insurance departments in executing their statutory mandate to oversee the financial condition of insurance companies. A ratio result falling outside the usual range of IRIS ratios is not considered a failing result; rather unusual values are viewed as part of the regulatory early monitoring system. Furthermore, in some years, it may not be unusual for financially sound companies to have several ratios with results outside the usual ranges. An insurance company may fall out of the usual range for one or more ratios because of specific transactions that are in themselves immaterial. Generally, an insurance company will become subject to regulatory scrutiny and may be subject to regulatory action if it falls outside the usual ranges of four or more of the ratios. As of December 31, 2012, Maiden US and Maiden Specialty did not have an IRIS ratio range warranting any regulatory action.

### State Legislative and Regulatory Changes

From time to time, various regulatory and legislative changes are proposed in the insurance industry. Among the proposals that have in the past been or are at present being considered are proposals in various state legislatures (some of which proposals have been enacted) to conform portions of their insurance laws and regulations to various model acts adopted by the NAIC.

The NAIC's Solvency Modernization Initiative ("SMI") began in 2008. The primary focus of SMI is the review of insurer solvency regulations throughout the U.S. and the development of long-term solvency modernization objectives. Included within the NAIC's scope of review for SMI purposes is the U.S. insurer solvency framework, international developments regarding insurance supervision, banking supervision, and international accounting standards and their potential use in U.S. insurance regulation. While the U.S. insurance solvency regulation is updated on a continuous basis, the SMI will focus on five key solvency areas: capital requirements; international accounting; insurance valuation; reinsurance; and group regulatory issues. The SMI will highlight the strengths of the state-based national system of insurance regulations and identify improvements that might be made. All work on the project is expected to be completed in 2013.

To enhance U.S. regulatory system for group supervision, the NAIC adopted the revised Insurance Holding Company System Regulatory Act (Model #440) and the Insurance Holding Company System Model Regulation with Reporting Forms and Instructions (Model #450) in 2010. The revisions included the following: expanded ability to evaluate any entity within an insurance holding company system; enhancements to the regulator's rights to access books and records and compelling production of information; establishment of expectation of funding with regard to regulator participation in supervisory colleges; and enhancements in corporate governance, such as board of directors and senior management responsibilities. Additionally, regulators adopted an expansion to the Insurance Holding Company System Annual Registration Statement (Form B) to broaden requirements to include financial statements of all affiliates. A new Form F (Enterprise Risk Report) has also been introduced for firms to identify and report their enterprise risk. "Enterprise Risk" is defined as any activity, circumstance, event or series of events involving one or more affiliates of an insurer that, if not remedied promptly, is likely to have a material adverse effect upon the financial condition or the liquidity of the insurer or its insurance holding company system as a whole. If and when adopted by a particular state, the Amended Model Act and Regulation would impose more extensive informational requirements on us in order to protect the licensed insurance companies from enterprise risk, including requiring us to prepare an annual enterprise risk report that identifies the material risks within the insurance company holding system that could pose enterprise risk to the licensed insurer. The Amended Model Act and Regulation must be adopted by

the individual states, and specifically states in which our U.S. insurance companies are domiciled, for the new requirements to apply to us. Ten states have adopted some or all of these changes; Missouri and North Carolina were not among the ten. It is anticipated that the NAIC will seek to make the amendments part of its accreditation standards for state solvency regulation, which would most likely motivate more states to adopt the amendments promptly. In addition to changes to NAIC Model # 440 and NAIC Model #450, U.S. insurance regulators are currently implementing the international concept of the Own Risk and Solvency Assessment ("ORSA"). In an ORSA, every U.S. insurer (or their holding company group) will complete a self-assessment of their risk management, stress tests and capital adequacy on a yearly basis. Through ORSA, U.S. regulators will be able to add to their existing assessment of group capital with analysis of the company's own assessment of group capital needs. In March 2012, the NAIC adopted the ORSA Guidance Manual that provides guidance to an insurer and/or the insurance group with regard to reporting an ORSA. In September 2012, the NAIC adopted the Risk Management and Own Risk and Solvency Assessment. The Model Law sets out the legal framework for requiring a risk management framework and the filing of the summary report.

## Table of Contents

We are unable to predict whether any of these laws and regulations will be adopted, the form in which any such laws and regulations would be adopted or the effect, if any, these developments would have on our operations and financial condition.

In July 2010, the President signed into law the Dodd-Frank Act, Title V of which included provisions known as the Non-admitted and Reinsurance Reform Act ("NRRRA"). Under the NRRRA, a ceding insurer's credit for reinsurance is determined only by the insurance regulatory in its domiciliary state if that state is accredited by the NAIC. Additional protections are provided against extraterritorial application of non-domiciliary state laws. In addition, in 2011, the NAIC adopted revisions to its credit for reinsurance model law and regulation under which the level of required collateral required by U.S. regulators for non-U.S. reinsurers that are certified for reduced collateral would depend upon the reinsurer's security rating and would range from 0% to 100% of gross assumed liabilities. A number of states are in the process of adopting and implementing the new models. Only Florida and New York have approved certified reinsurers for collateral reduction at this time. To the extent that these new state laws lead to a reduction of the collateral requirements for non-U.S. insurers, such changes could be beneficial to Maiden Bermuda by permitting Maiden Bermuda to post less collateral to secure its reinsurance obligations to its U.S. ceding companies. At this time, we are unable to determine whether any additional changes in the U.S. reinsurance regulatory framework will be implemented based on the NAIC proposal and the effect, if any, such changes would have on our operations or financial condition.

Our insurance subsidiaries are required to comply with a wide variety of laws and regulations applicable to insurance or reinsurance companies, both in the jurisdictions in which they are organized and where they sell their insurance and reinsurance products. The insurance and regulatory environment, in particular for offshore insurance and reinsurance companies, has become subject to increased scrutiny in many jurisdictions, including the U.S., various states within the U.S. and the EU. In the past, there have been Congressional and other initiatives in the U.S. regarding increased supervision and regulation of the insurance industry. It is not possible to predict the future impact of changes in laws and regulations on our operations. The cost of complying with any new legal requirements affecting our subsidiaries could have a material adverse effect on our business.

In addition, our subsidiaries may not always be able to obtain or maintain necessary licenses, permits, authorizations or accreditations. They also may not be able to fully comply with, or to obtain appropriate exemptions from, the laws and regulations applicable to them. Any failure to comply with applicable law or to obtain appropriate exemptions could result in restrictions on either the ability of the company in question, as well as potentially its affiliates, to do business in one or more of the jurisdictions in which they operate or on brokers on which we rely to produce business for us. In addition, any such failure to comply with applicable laws or to obtain appropriate exemptions could result in the imposition of fines or other sanctions. Any of these sanctions could have a material adverse effect on our business. To date, no material fine, penalty or restriction has been imposed on us for failure to comply with any insurance law or regulation.

### International Standards

U.S. federal and state regulators have committed in principle to adopting international standards with respect to basic regulatory issues such as accounting, risk management, and corporate governance. International regulatory considerations are increasingly being deliberated by the NAIC and could increase regulatory burdens for Maiden US and Maiden Specialty and have the potential to negatively impact all U.S. insurers, regardless of size. Various trade associations and industry participants are aggressively working to impact the NAIC adoption of these standards. However, the final outcome of these deliberations is unknown at this time.

### Federal

Although the U.S. federal government typically does not directly regulate the business of insurance and reinsurance, federal initiatives often have an impact on the insurance industry. From time to time, various federal regulatory and



legislative changes have been proposed in the insurance and reinsurance industry. Among the proposals that have in the past been or are at present being considered are the possible introduction of federal regulation in addition to, or in lieu of, the current system of state regulation of insurers. The extreme turmoil in the financial markets has increased the likelihood of changes in the way the financial services industry is regulated. While we cannot predict the exact nature, timing or scope of possible governmental initiatives, there may be increased regulatory intervention in our industry in the future. In recent years, the U.S. federal government has increased its scrutiny of the insurance regulatory framework and in July 2010 enacted the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd-Frank”), which is discussed below. Additionally, the 2014 budget proposed by President Obama includes a provision that would change the tax treatment for certain reinsurance premiums paid to affiliated foreign insurance companies. We are unable to predict what laws and regulations will be proposed or adopted, the form in which any such laws and regulations would be adopted, or the effect, if any, these developments would have on our operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

McCarran-Ferguson Act

Proposals to repeal the McCarran-Ferguson Act antitrust exemption for the insurance industry periodically are made, including in recent years, but have been unsuccessful. The antitrust exemption allows insurers to compile and share loss data, develop standard policy forms and manuals and predict future loss costs with greater reliability, among other things. The ability of the industry, under the exemption permitted in the McCarran-Ferguson Act, to collect loss cost data and build a credible database as a means of predicting future loss costs is an important part of cost-based pricing. If the ability to collect this data was removed in the future, the predictability of future loss costs and the reliability of pricing could be undermined.

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act

The Dodd-Frank became law in July 2010. Dodd-Frank creates a new source of regulation and supervision of the insurance industry at the federal level. Dodd-Frank's requirements include streamlining the state-based regulation of reinsurance and non-admitted insurance (property or casualty insurance placed from insurers that are eligible to accept insurance, but are not licensed to write insurance in a particular state). Dodd-Frank also establishes a new Federal Insurance Office ("FIO") within the U.S. Department of the Treasury with powers over all lines of insurance except health insurance, certain long-term care insurance and crop insurance, in order to, among other things, monitor aspects of the insurance industry, identify issues in the regulation of insurers that could contribute to a systemic crises in the insurance industry or the overall financial system, coordinate federal policy on international insurance matters and preempt state insurance measures under certain circumstances. Congress ultimately limited the scope of the FIO and recognized that it should not be a duplicate federal insurance regulator. The office is restricted primarily to monitoring the industry and advising Congress and federal agencies on insurance issues. However, federal regulators will have vast discretion over how this oversight is executed. Dodd-Frank calls for numerous studies and contemplates further regulation, the timing and impact of which is uncertain. Dodd-Frank clarification bills were advanced during 2011 that could reduce costly, duplicative information requests on insurers, and prevent insurers from being subjected to bank-centric rules. Any additional legislation or regulatory requirements imposed in connection with Dodd-Frank or other regulatory reform may have an adverse effect on the operation of the Company and its subsidiaries.

The Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007

The Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007 ("TRIA") was signed into law by President Bush on December 26, 2007. This law renews the prior federal terrorism risk insurance program through December 31, 2014. The program includes protections for acts of domestic terrorism. The insurer deductible is fixed at 20% of an insurer's direct earned premium, and the federal share of compensation is fixed at 85% of insured losses that exceed insurer deductibles, subject to a \$100 billion cap. The U.S. Treasury Department is required to promulgate regulations to determine the pro-rata share of insured losses if they exceed the \$100 billion cap. In addition, clear and conspicuous notice to policyholders of the \$100 billion cap is required. Under the program reauthorization, the trigger at which federal compensation becomes available remains fixed at \$100 million per year through 2014. Under the TRIA Extension of 2007, the definition of "acts of terrorism" has been expanded to include "domestic terrorism", which could impact insurance coverage and have an adverse effect on our clients, the industry and us. There is also no assurance that TRIA will be extended beyond 2014 on either a temporary or permanent basis and its expiration could have an adverse effect on our clients, the industry or us. TRIA does not apply to reinsurers directly but does apply directly to insurers and to excess and surplus lines insurers, like Maiden Specialty.

Taxation of the Company and its Subsidiaries

The following summary of the taxation of Maiden Holdings, Maiden US, Maiden Specialty, Maiden Bermuda and the companies formed and/or acquired in the IIS Acquisition, including Maiden Global, OVS and Maiden LF, is based upon current law. Legislative, judicial or administrative changes may be forthcoming that could affect this summary.

Certain subsidiaries of ours are subject to taxation related to operations in Australia, Germany, Russia, Sweden, the United Kingdom and the United States. The discussion below covers the principal locations for which the Company or its subsidiaries are subject to taxation.

#### Bermuda

Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda have each received from the Minister of Finance an assurance under The Exempted Undertakings Tax Protection Act, 1966, as amended of Bermuda, to the effect that in the event that there is any legislation enacted in Bermuda imposing tax computed on profits or income, or computed on any capital asset, gain or appreciation, or any tax in the nature of estate duty or inheritance tax, then the imposition of any such tax shall not be applicable to Maiden Holdings or Maiden Bermuda or to any of their operations or the shares, debentures or other obligations of Maiden Holdings or Maiden Bermuda until March 31, 2035. These assurances are subject to the proviso that they are not construed to prevent the application of any tax or duty to such persons as are ordinarily resident

Table of Contents

in Bermuda (Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda are not currently so designated) or to prevent the application of any tax payable in accordance with the provisions of The Land Tax Act, 1967 of Bermuda or otherwise payable in relation to the property leased to Maiden.

Germany

Maiden Germany GmbH (“Maiden Germany”), which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Maiden Global, is the majority shareholder of OVS. Maiden Germany is subject to German corporate income tax at a rate of 15.0% plus a solidarity surcharge of 5.5% thereon (in the aggregate, a rate of 15.825%). In addition, a German municipal trade tax at a rate of 13.65% resulting from the registered seat of the company in Russelsheim is paid.

Maiden Germany is not engaged in general commerce and Maiden Germany owns 90% of the shares in OVS. Maiden Germany. OVS implemented a tax unity by entering into a profit and loss pooling agreement with a retroactive effect from January 1, 2011, which results that all profits and losses generated at the level of OVS are attributed to Maiden Germany. The non-affiliated shareholder that holds the remaining 10% stake in OVS receives a fixed annual compensation of €45,000 from Maiden Germany, since all income is attributed to Maiden Germany as a result of the tax unity.

OVS, also with its registered seat in Russelsheim, is subject to the same German corporate income tax at a rate of 15% plus solidarity surcharge of 5.5% thereon (in the aggregate, a rate of 15.825%) and German trade tax at a rate of 13.65%. OVS is engaged in general commerce as an insurance agency. The taxable income of a German corporate entity is in principle, absent a Treaty exemption, the total amount of worldwide income (current profits, capital gains) after deduction of business expenses. In general, income from dividend and capital gains arising upon the sale of shares in corporate entities are, in principle, fully tax exempt. However, a lump sum of 5% of the dividend / capital gains is added back to the taxable income, representing non-deductible business expenses. Since there is a tax unity in place between Maiden Germany and OVS, the tax exemption for dividends received by OVS is (due to the tax unity) not granted to OVS, but rather to Maiden Germany, the 90% shareholder. Any income generated by OVS is directly attributable to Maiden Germany under the profit and loss pooling agreement and therefore taxed at the level of Maiden Germany. Thus, no dividend payment by OVS to Maiden Germany is required. However, 4/3 of the amount of the guaranteed dividend to the non-affiliated shareholder is taxed to OVS as its own taxable income.

Maiden Germany has obtained a withholding tax exemption certificate from the Federal Central Tax Office such that any dividend paid to Maiden Global is exempt from German withholding tax. There is no German withholding tax on (non-profit related) interest payments to corporate shareholders. Other than Maiden Germany and OVS, we believe that the Company has operated and will continue to operate its business in a manner that will not cause its affiliates to be treated as engaged in a trade or business within Germany. A trade or business in Germany requires a permanent establishment either in the form of a fixed place of business or by having a permanent representative on German ground. A subsidiary may qualify as permanent representative if it carries out business activities of its shareholder or an affiliate in Germany.

Germany imposes an insurance tax (excise tax) on auto insurance premiums paid to insurers which reside in Germany. The tax rate generally applicable is 19% of the insurance premium. If the insurer resides in a member state of the European Community or in a third country, the insurance tax on insurance premiums will in principle only be levied if the policy-holder is a resident of Germany or if the insured car is registered in Germany. There is generally no excise tax on reinsurance premiums.

Sweden

Maiden LF is subject to Swedish taxation on net profits irrespective of whether the profits are generated through business in general or capital. To the extent that net profits are generated, profits are taxed at a rate of 22%. Foreign entities are subject to tax in Sweden only to the extent they have a permanent establishment in Sweden or if the income is related to certain types of assets, typically real estate, or partnership income. Dividends paid to foreign shareholders may be subject to withholding tax with a maximum of 30% although in many cases tax is reduced as a result of a tax treaty or under domestic legislation. A foreign entity is deemed to have a permanent establishment in Sweden under the rules very similar to those applied by OECD. Other than Maiden LF, we believe that Maiden has operated and will continue to operate its business in a manner that will not cause it to be treated as having a permanent establishment in Sweden. There is no withholding tax on interest paid by a Swedish borrower to a foreign lender.

#### United Kingdom

Maiden Global is tax resident in the U.K. and is currently subject to corporation tax in the U.K. on its trading and other taxable profits. The full rate of U.K. corporation tax is currently 24%, falling to 23% from 1 April 2013. Non-U.K. resident corporations will only be within the charge to corporation tax in the U.K. if they carry on a trade in the U.K. through a permanent establishment in the U.K. Non-U.K. resident corporations which are not entitled to treaty

## Table of Contents

relief may be subject to U.K. income tax on U.K. source trading profits at the rate of 20% if they carry on a trade in the U.K. Reinsurance business developed by Maiden Global is underwritten by Maiden Bermuda in Bermuda. Other than in respect of Maiden Global, we believe that the Company has operated and will continue to operate its business in a manner that will not cause it to be treated as engaged in a trade within the U.K. Dividends paid by Maiden Global will not be subject to deduction or withholding for or on account of U.K. tax. Interest paid by Maiden Global will be subject to deduction of U.K. income tax at the rate of 20%, subject to the availability of treaty relief.

### United States

Maiden NA and its subsidiaries, including Maiden US and Maiden Specialty (collectively, the Maiden US Companies), transact business in and are subject to taxation in the United States. Other than the Maiden US Companies, we believe that we have operated and will continue to operate our business in a manner that will not cause us to be treated as engaged in a trade or business within the United States. On this basis, other than the Maiden US Companies, we do not expect to be required to pay US corporate income taxes (other than withholding taxes as described below). However, because there is considerable uncertainty as to the activities that constitute a trade or business in the United States, there can be no assurance that the Internal Revenue Service will not contend successfully that the Company or its non-U.S. subsidiaries are engaged in a trade or business in the United States. The maximum federal tax rate is currently 35% for a corporation's income that is effectively connected with a trade or business in the United States. In addition, U.S. branches of foreign corporations may be subject to the branch profits tax, which imposes a tax on U.S. branch after-tax earnings that are deemed repatriated out of the United States, for a potential maximum effective federal tax rate of approximately 54% on the net income connected with a U.S. trade or business.

Foreign corporations not engaged in a trade or business in the United States are subject to U.S. income tax, effected through withholding by the payer, on certain fixed or determinable annual or periodic gains, profits and income derived from sources within the United States as enumerated in Section 881(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, such as dividends and interest on certain investments.

The United States also imposes an excise tax on insurance and reinsurance premiums paid to foreign insurers or reinsurers with respect to risks located in the United States. The rate of tax applicable to reinsurance premiums paid to Maiden Bermuda is 1% of gross premiums.

### Where You Can Find More Information

We maintain our principal website at [www.maiden.bm](http://www.maiden.bm). The information on our websites is not incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We make available, free of charge through our principal website, our financial information, including the information contained in our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish such material to, the SEC. We also make available, free of charge through our principal website, our Audit Committee Charter, Compensation Committee Charter, Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee Charter, and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. Such information is also available in print for any shareholder who sends a request to Maiden Holdings, Ltd., Maiden House, 131 Front Street, Hamilton HM 12, Bermuda, Attention: Secretary. Reports and other information we file with the SEC may also be viewed at the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov) or viewed or obtained at the SEC Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. Information on the operation of the SEC Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 800-SEC-0330.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Introduction

Current and potential investors in the Company should be aware that, as with any publicly traded company, investing in our securities carries risk. Managing risk effectively is critical to our success, and our organization is built around intelligent risk assumptions and prudent risk management. We have identified what we believe reflect key significant risks to the organization, and in turn to our shareholders, which are outlined below. Any of the risks described below could result in a significant or material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition. In addition to these enumerated risks, we face numerous other strategic, operational and emerging risks that could in the aggregate lead to shortfalls to our long-term goals or add to short-term volatility in our earnings. The following review of important risk factors should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read in conjunction with other cautionary statements that are included herein or elsewhere. The words or phrases believe, anticipate, estimate, project, plan, expect, intend, hope, forecast, evaluate, will likely result or will continue or words or phrases of similar import generally involve forward-looking statements. All of the risks that may affect our financial or operating performance may not be material at this time but may become material in the future. As used in these Risk Factors, the terms “we”, “our” or “us” may,

Table of Contents

depending upon the context, refer to the Company, to one or more of the Company's consolidated subsidiaries or to all of them taken as a whole.

Business

Our business model is different than other Bermuda reinsurers.

We believe our underwriting and investment strategies differ from other participants in the property and casualty reinsurance markets, particularly those based in Bermuda. Many publicly traded Bermuda reinsurance companies write property catastrophe reinsurance as a fundamental portion of their underwriting strategy. Additionally, many of these same reinsurers have substantial primary insurance operations in the U.S. and globally. We do not write property catastrophe reinsurance nor do we maintain substantial primary insurance operations. We write a limited amount of excess property primary business through Maiden Specialty. As a result, you may not be able to compare our business's performance or prospects to other Bermuda-domiciled publicly traded reinsurers.

We have engaged in a series of significant transactions that may affect comparability and make it difficult for investors to evaluate our performance.

We began underwriting reinsurance transactions in July 2007. As a result, there is limited historical information available to help investors evaluate our performance. In addition, in light of a series of significant transactions during that time, including (but not limited to) entering into the ACAC Quota Share in March 2010, the IIS Acquisition in November 2010, the Senior Note Offerings, and the issuance of the Preference Shares in August 2012, our historical financial statements are not necessarily meaningful for evaluating the potential of our future operations over a long term basis.

We may not be able to manage our growth effectively.

We expect our business to grow in the future as we continue our relationships with existing customers while seeking opportunities to reinsure other insurance companies operating in similar niches. We do not have specific targets or time frames for growth. Expansion of our business in the U.S. and internationally could require additional capital, systems development and skilled personnel. We cannot assure you that we will be able to meet our capital needs, expand our systems effectively, allocate our human resources optimally, identify and hire qualified employees or incorporate effectively the components of any businesses we may acquire. The failure to manage our growth effectively could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Ongoing economic uncertainty could materially and adversely affect our business, our liquidity and financial condition.

Global economies and financial markets have experienced significant weakness and volatility since 2008, although the most extreme of these circumstances have abated since that time. Despite robust financial market performance since 2009, near-term U.S. economic prospects have only very gradually improved, with unemployment continuing at historically elevated levels. In addition, U.S. federal and state governments continue to experience significant structural fiscal deficits, creating uncertainty as to levels of taxation, inflation, regulation and other economic fundamentals that may impact future growth prospects. Significantly greater economic, fiscal and monetary uncertainty remains in Europe, due to the combination of poor economic growth, high unemployment and significant sovereign deficits which have called into question the future of the common currency used across most of Europe. While immediate concerns regarding the prospects of the European common currency abated somewhat in the second half of 2012, these issues remain unresolved and may have an indirect and potentially significant impact on the U.S. economy, although these prospects are not clearly defined at this time. Continuation of these conditions may



potentially affect (among other aspects of our business) the demand for and claims made under our products, the ability of customers, counterparties and others to establish or maintain their relationships with us, our ability to access and efficiently use internal and external capital resources and our investment performance. In the event that these conditions persist and result in a prolonged period of economic uncertainty, our results of operations, our financial condition and/or liquidity, our prospects and competitor landscape could be materially and adversely affected.

If opportunities for writing reinsurance and insurance through Maiden US do not materialize as we expect, our financial condition and results of operations may be materially adversely affected.

We believe that there will be opportunities to renew and write new reinsurance and insurance through Maiden US. However, we cannot assure you that Maiden US will retain its customers or write new business as we expect. Based upon industry developments in recent years, including the impact of Superstorm Sandy in 2012, pricing conditions may be improving which could enhance our ability to write new business. However, market conditions have been highly competitive for an extended period of time and the breadth (by line of business) and duration of any improved pricing environment which may develop is highly uncertain. In addition, other companies may continue to offer reinsurance

## Table of Contents

and insurance products on more competitive terms than we can provide. Under these circumstances, we might not be able to expand our specialty property/casualty reinsurance business and the failure to do so may have a material adverse effect on our ability to fully implement our business strategy, as well as on our financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our actual (re)insured losses may be greater than our reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses, which would negatively impact our financial condition and results of operations.

We expect that our success will depend upon our ability to assess accurately the risks associated with the businesses that we will reinsure. Significant periods of time often elapse between the occurrence of an insured loss, the reporting of the loss to an insurer and the reporting of the loss by the insurer to its reinsurer. After we begin to write reinsurance business and to recognize liabilities for unpaid losses, we will establish loss and loss adjustment expense reserves as balance sheet liabilities. These reserves will represent estimates of amounts needed to pay reported losses and unreported losses and the related loss adjustment expense. Loss reserves are only an estimate of what an insurer or reinsurer anticipates the ultimate costs of claims to be and do not represent an exact calculation of liability. Estimating loss reserves is a difficult and complex process involving many variables and subjective judgments. As part of our reserving process, we will review historical data as well as actuarial and statistical projections and consider the impact of various factors such as:

- trends in claim frequency and severity;
- changes in operations;
- emerging economic and social trends;
- inflation; and
- changes in the regulatory and litigation environments.

This process assumes that past experience, adjusted for the effects of current developments and anticipated trends, is an appropriate basis for predicting future events. There is no precise method, however, for evaluating the impact of any specific factor on the adequacy of reserves, and actual results are likely to differ from original estimates. In addition, unforeseen losses, the type or magnitude of which we cannot predict, may emerge in the future. We will establish or adjust reserves for our insurance subsidiaries in part based upon loss data received from the ceding companies with which we do business, including AmTrust and ACAC. There is a time delay that elapses between the receipt and recording of claims results by the ceding insurance companies or by the managing general agents and the receipt and recording of those results by us. Accordingly, establishment and adjustment of reserves for our insurance subsidiaries is dependent upon timely and accurately estimate reporting from cedants and agents.

To the extent our reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses is insufficient to cover actual loss and loss adjustment expenses, we will have to adjust our reserve and may incur charges to our earnings, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The inherent uncertainty of models and the use of such models as a tool to evaluate risk may have an adverse impact on our financial results.

We use both our own proprietary models and widely accepted and industry-recognized third party vendor analytic and modeling capabilities to provide us with pricing, capital modeling and objective risk assessment relating to risks in our reinsurance portfolio. In addition, we also use widely accepted and industry-recognized third party vendor analytic

and modeling capabilities to provide us with objective risk assessment relating to catastrophe risks in our reinsurance portfolio. These models help us control risk accumulation, inform management and other stakeholders of capital requirements and to improve the risk/return profile or minimize the amount of capital required to cover the risks in each reinsurance contract in our overall portfolio of reinsurance contracts. However, given the inherent uncertainty of modeling techniques and the application of such techniques, these models and databases may not accurately address the emergence of a variety of matters which might be deemed to impact certain of our coverages. Accordingly, these models may understate the exposures we are assuming and our financial results may be adversely impacted, perhaps significantly.

## Table of Contents

For our property and casualty reinsurance underwriting, we depend on the policies, procedures and expertise of ceding companies; these companies may fail to accurately assess and price the risks they underwrite, which may lead us to inaccurately assess and price the risks we assume.

Because we participate in property and casualty reinsurance markets, the success of our underwriting efforts depends, in part, upon the policies, procedures and expertise of the ceding companies making the original underwriting decisions. As is common among reinsurers, we do not separately evaluate each of the individual risks assumed under reinsurance treaties. We face the risk that these ceding companies may fail to accurately assess the risks that they assume initially, which, in turn, may lead us to inaccurately assess the risks we assume. If we fail to establish and receive appropriate premium rates or fail to contractually limit our exposure to such risks, we could face significant losses on these contracts, which could have a material adverse impact on our financial results.

Operational risks, including human or systems failures, are inherent in our business.

Operational risks and losses can result from many sources including fraud, errors by employees, failure to document transactions properly or to obtain proper internal authorization, failure to comply with regulatory requirements or information technology failures.

We believe our modeling, underwriting and information technology and application systems are critical to our business and reputation. Moreover, our technology and applications have been an important part of our underwriting process and our ability to compete successfully. Such technology is and will continue to be a very important part of our underwriting process. We have also licensed certain systems and data from third parties. We cannot be certain that we will have access to these, or comparable service providers, or that our technology or applications will continue to operate as intended. In addition, we cannot be certain that we would be able to replace these service providers or consultants without slowing our underwriting response time. A major defect or failure in our internal controls or information technology and application systems could result in management distraction, harm to our reputation, a loss or delay of revenues or increased expense.

The occurrence of severe catastrophic events may have a material adverse effect on our financial results and financial condition.

Although our business strategy generally precludes us from writing significant amounts of catastrophe exposed business in our reinsurance segment, most property reinsurance contains some exposure to catastrophic loss. Our Diversified Reinsurance segment includes only limited exposure to natural and man-made disasters, such as hurricane, typhoon, windstorm, flood, earthquake, acts of war, acts of terrorism and political instability. While we attempt to carefully manage our aggregate exposure to catastrophes, modeling errors and the incidence and severity of catastrophes, such as hurricanes, windstorms and large-scale terrorist attacks are inherently unpredictable, and our losses from catastrophes could be substantial. Further, many scientists believe that the earth's atmospheric and oceanic temperatures are increasing and that, in recent years, changing climate conditions have increased the unpredictability, severity and frequency of natural disasters in certain parts of the world. In addition, it is possible that we may experience an unusual frequency of smaller losses in a particular period, as we did in 2011. Conversely, in 2012, we incurred substantial losses from a single event, Superstorm Sandy which, while consistent with our stated risk tolerance, did result in an operating loss in the fourth quarter of 2012.

While we made an underwriting profit in both of those years, nonetheless the consequences could be substantial volatility in our financial condition or results of operations for any fiscal quarter or year, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations and our ability to write new business. These losses could deplete our shareholders' equity. Increases in the values and geographic concentrations of insured property and

the effects of inflation have resulted in increased severity of industry losses from catastrophic events in recent years and we expect that those factors will increase the severity of catastrophe losses in the future.

We may face substantial exposure to losses from terrorism, acts of war and political instability.

We have exposure to losses resulting from acts of terrorism, acts of war and political instability. U.S. insurers are required by state and federal law to offer coverage for terrorism in certain commercial lines. In response to the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, the Congress enacted legislation designed to ensure, among other things, the availability of insurance coverage for foreign terrorist acts, including the requirement that insurers offer such coverage in certain commercial lines. The TRIA requires commercial property and casualty insurance companies to offer coverage for certain acts of terrorism and established a federal assistance program through the end of 2005 to help such insurers cover claims related to future terrorism-related losses. The Terrorism Risk Insurance Extension Act ("TRIEA") extended the federal assistance program through 2007, but it also set a per-event threshold that had to be met before the federal program would become applicable and also increased insurers' statutory deductibles. The Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Revitalization Act ("TRIPRA") currently extends the federal assistance program through 2014.

Table of Contents

TRIPRA also expanded the definition of Act of Terrorism by removing the distinction between foreign and domestic acts of terrorism. The federal terrorism risk assistance provided by TRIA, TRIEA and TRIPRA will expire at the end of 2014. Any renewal may be on substantially less favorable terms.

Pursuant to the quota share agreements with AmTrust and ACAC and the reinsurance agreements that we anticipate that our reinsurance subsidiaries that write in the Diversified Reinsurance segment will enter into with others, our subsidiaries will reinsure a portion of each ceding insurer's losses resulting from terrorism. With respect to those reinsurance agreements that we have entered into to date, either terrorism coverage is specifically excluded or we do not consider exposure to terrorist acts to be significant. Although we expect that Maiden Bermuda will seek to retrocede some or all of this terrorism risk to unaffiliated reinsurers, it may be unable to do so on terms that it considers favorable, or at all.

We may or may not use retrocessional and reinsurance coverage to limit our exposure to risks. Any retrocessional or reinsurance coverage that we obtain may be limited, and credit and other risks associated with our retrocessional and reinsurance arrangements may result in losses which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We will provide reinsurance to our clients and in turn we may or may not retrocede reinsurance we assume to other insurers and reinsurers. If we do not use retrocessional coverage or reinsurance, our exposure to losses will be greater than if we did obtain such coverage. If we do obtain retrocessional or reinsurance coverage, some of the insurers or reinsurers to whom we may retrocede coverage or reinsure with may be domiciled in Bermuda or other non-U.S. locations. We would be subject to credit and other risks that depend upon the financial strength of these reinsurers. Further, we will be subject to credit risk with respect to any retrocessional or reinsurance arrangements because the ceding of risk to reinsurers and retrocessionaires would not relieve us of our liability to the clients or companies we insure or reinsure. Our failure to establish adequate reinsurance or retrocessional arrangements or the failure of any retrocessional arrangements to protect us from overly concentrated risk exposure could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operation. We will attempt to mitigate such risks by retaining collateral or trust accounts for premium and claims receivables, but nevertheless we cannot be assured that reinsurance will be fully collectable in the case of all potential claims outcomes.

The effects of emerging claim and coverage issues on our business are uncertain.

As industry practices and legal, judicial, social and other environmental conditions change, unexpected issues related to claims and coverage may emerge. These issues may adversely affect our business by either extending coverage beyond our underwriting intent or by increasing the number or size of claims. In some instances, these changes may not become apparent until sometime after we have issued insurance or reinsurance contracts that are affected by the changes. As a result, the full extent of liability under our reinsurance contracts may not be known for many years after a contract is issued. Our exposure to these uncertainties could be exacerbated by an increase in insurance and reinsurance contract disputes, arbitration and litigation. A recent example of emerging claims and coverage issues is the growing trend of plaintiffs targeting property and casualty insurers in purported class action litigation relating to claims-handling, insurance sales practices and other practices related to the conduct of business in our industry. The effects of this and other unforeseen emerging claim and coverage issues are extremely hard to predict and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The integration of acquired companies may not be as successful as we anticipate.

Acquisitions involve numerous risks, including operational, strategic, and financial risks such as potential liabilities associated with the acquired business. Difficulties in integrating an acquired company may result in the acquired

company performing differently than we currently expect or in our failure to realize anticipated expense-related efficiencies. Our existing businesses could also be negatively impacted by acquisitions.

Technology breaches or failures, including, but not limited to, those resulting from cyber-attacks on us or our business partners and service providers, could disrupt or otherwise negatively impact our business.

While technology can streamline many business processes and ultimately reduce the cost of operations, technology initiatives present certain risks. Our business is dependent upon our employees' and outsourcers' ability to perform, in an efficient and uninterrupted fashion, necessary business functions. Like all companies, our information technology systems are vulnerable to data breaches, interruptions or failures due to events that may be beyond our control, including, but not limited to, natural disasters, theft, terrorist attacks, computer viruses, hackers and general technology failures.

A shutdown or inability to access one or more of our facilities, a power outage, or a failure of one or more of our information technology, telecommunications or other systems could significantly impair our ability to perform such functions on a timely basis. If sustained or repeated, such a business interruption, system failure or service denial could

## Table of Contents

result in a deterioration of our ability to write and process business, provide customer service, pay claims in a timely manner or perform other necessary business functions. Furthermore, a significant portion of the communications between our employees and our business, banking and investment partners depends on information technology and electronic information exchange.

We believe that we have established and implemented appropriate security measures, controls and procedures to safeguard our information technology systems and to prevent unauthorized access to such systems and any data processed and/or stored in such systems, and we periodically employ third parties to evaluate and test the adequacy of such systems, controls and procedures. In addition, we have established a business continuity plan which is designed to ensure that we are able to maintain all aspects of our key business processes functioning in the midst of certain disruptive events, including any disruptions to or breaches of our information technology systems. Our business continuity plans are tested and evaluated for adequacy. Despite these safeguards, disruptions to and breaches of our information technology systems are possible and may negatively impact our business.

It is possible that insurance policies we have in place with third-parties would not entirely protect us in the event that we experienced a breach, interruption or widespread failure of our information technology systems. Furthermore, we have not secured any insurance coverage designed to specifically protect us from the result of such events.

Although we have experienced no known material or threatened cases involving unauthorized access to our information technology systems and data or unauthorized appropriation of such data to date, we have no assurance that such technology breaches will not occur in the future.

### Insurance and Reinsurance Markets

The property and casualty insurance and reinsurance industry is cyclical in nature, which may affect our overall financial performance.

Historically, the financial performance of the property and casualty insurance and reinsurance industry has tended to fluctuate in cyclical periods of price competition and excess capacity (known as a soft market) followed by periods of high premium rates and shortages of underwriting capacity (known as a hard market). Although the financial performance of an individual insurance or reinsurance company is dependent on its own specific business characteristics, the profitability of most property and casualty insurance and reinsurance companies tends to follow this cyclical market pattern.

Beginning in 2000 and accelerating in 2001, the property and casualty insurance and reinsurance industry experienced a market reflecting increasing premium rates and more conservative risk selection. These trends slowed beginning in 2004 and, in recent years market conditions have deteriorated at varying rates of speed with no reversals. Since that time through 2012, the market has been in a competitive environment in which underwriting capacity has expanded, risk selection became less discrete and price competition increased sharply. During that period, despite the significant financial turmoil that occurred in 2008, market participant's capital levels have continued to improve due to positive earnings and improved values of risk assets over that time. This additional underwriting capacity resulted in increased competition from other insurance and reinsurance companies expanding the types or amounts of business they write, or from companies seeking to maintain or increase market share at the expense of underwriting discipline.

Recent catastrophe activity since 2011 and including Superstorm Sandy in 2012 appear to have slowed the trend of competitive market conditions and potentially are suggestive of improved pricing conditions in the near term for market participants, particularly for primary insurers. General reinsurance industry pricing conditions excluding catastrophe results remain marginally positive presently. However, it is highly uncertain whether these market conditions are sustainable, and if so, for how long, given that industry capital levels appear to remain adequate.



Because this cyclicity is due in large part to the actions of our competitors and general economic factors beyond our control, we cannot predict with certainty the timing or duration of changes in the market cycle. These cyclical patterns, the actions of our competitors, and general economic factors could cause our revenues and net income to fluctuate, which may cause the price of our common shares to be volatile. The ultimate outcome of these events and their market impact is not known at this time.

Negative developments in the workers' compensation insurance industry could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

In 2012, reinsurance of workers' compensation insurance was 20.6% of net premiums written, which continues a trend of decreases in this line of business as a percentage of total net premiums written in recent years, as the Company continues to diversify. Nonetheless, negative developments in the economic, competitive or regulatory conditions affecting the workers' compensation insurance industry could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. For example, if legislators in our larger markets were to enact legislation to increase the scope or

Table of Contents

amount of benefits for employees under workers' compensation insurance policies without related premium increases or loss control measures, or if regulators made other changes to the regulatory system governing workers' compensation insurance, this could negatively affect the workers' compensation insurance industry in the affected markets. Currently, reductions in the number of people employed has affected the underlying payrolls which are generally the basis for insurance premiums charged and subsequently paid to reinsurers for the protection we offer.

In many states, including California, our largest state in terms of workers' compensation premium volume, there are active regulatory activities that oversee the level of rates that can be charged by individual insurers. As a result, there is a risk that our clients may not be able to implement needed rate increases to maintain sufficient levels of profitability on business we write.

We compete with a large number of companies in the reinsurance industry for underwriting revenues.

The reinsurance industry is mature and highly competitive. Reinsurance companies compete on the basis of many factors, including premium rates, general reputation and perceived financial strength, the terms and conditions of the products offered, ratings assigned by independent rating agencies, speed of claims payments, reputation and experience in risks underwritten, capacity and coverages offered and various other factors. These factors operate at the individual market participant level and generally in the aggregate across the reinsurance industry. In addition, underlying economic conditions and variations in the reinsurance buying practices of ceding companies, by participant and in the aggregate, contribute to cyclical movements in rates, terms and conditions and may impact industry aggregate results and subsequently the level of completion in the reinsurance industry. We compete with major U.S. and non-U.S. reinsurers, including other Bermuda-based reinsurers, on an international and regional basis. In our Diversified Reinsurance segment, we compete with reinsurers that provide property and casualty-based lines of reinsurance such as: Swiss Reinsurance Company Ltd., Munich Reinsurance America, Inc., General Reinsurance Corporation, PartnerRe Ltd., Hannover Re Group, QBE Insurance Group, Transatlantic Holdings, Inc., Endurance Specialty Holdings, Ltd., Scor Reinsurance Company, Platinum Underwriters Holdings, Ltd., The TOA Reinsurance Company of America, W.R. Berkley Corporation and Everest Re Group, Ltd.

Many of these entities have significantly larger amounts of capital, higher ratings from rating agencies and more employees than Maiden Holdings and its subsidiaries; in addition, these entities have established long-term and continuing business relationships throughout the industry, which can be significant competitive advantages. However, we believe the enhanced security that we offer our clients through collateral trusts, our niche specialist orientation, our operating efficiency and our careful relationship management capabilities help offset these advantages and allow us to effectively compete for profitable business.

In addition, risk-linked securities and derivative and other non-traditional risk transfer mechanisms and vehicles are being developed and offered by other parties, including entities other than insurance and reinsurance companies. The availability of these non-traditional products could reduce the demand for traditional insurance and reinsurance.

A number of new, proposed or potential industry or legislative developments could further increase competition in our industry. New competition from these developments may result in fewer contracts written, lower premium rates, increased expenses for customer acquisition and retention and less favorable policy terms and conditions, which could have a material adverse impact on our growth and profitability.

Consolidation in the insurance and reinsurance industry and increased competition on premium rates could lead to lower margins for us and less demand for our products and services.

The insurance and reinsurance industry continues to undergo a process of consolidation as industry participants seek to enhance their product and geographic reach, client base, operating efficiency and general market power through

merger and acquisition activities. We believe that the larger entities resulting from these mergers and acquisition activities may seek to use the benefits of consolidation, including improved efficiencies and economies of scale, to, among other things, implement price reductions for their products and services to increase their market shares. Consolidation among primary insurance companies may also lead to reduced use of reinsurance as the resulting larger companies may be able to retain more risk and may also have bargaining power in negotiations with reinsurers. If competitive pressures compel us to reduce our prices, our operating margins will decrease.

As the insurance and reinsurance industry consolidates, competition may become more intense and the importance of acquiring and properly servicing each customer will become greater. We could incur greater expenses relating to customer acquisition and retention, which could reduce our operating margins.

When the property-casualty insurance industry has exhibited a greater degree of competition, premium rates have come under downward pressure as a result. Greater competition could result in reduced volumes of reinsurance written and could reduce our profitability.

## Table of Contents

### Clients, Brokers and Financial Institutions

Our business is dependent upon reinsurance brokers and other producers, including third party administrators and financial institutions, and the failure to develop or maintain these relationships could materially adversely affect our ability to market our products and services.

We market our reinsurance products primarily through brokers and expect that we will derive a significant portion of our business from a limited number of brokers. Our failure to further develop or maintain relationships with brokers from whom we expect to receive our business could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our reliance on brokers subjects us to their credit risk.

In accordance with industry practice, we anticipate that we will frequently pay amounts owed on claims under our reinsurance contracts to brokers, and these brokers in turn are required to pay and will pay these amounts over to the clients that have purchased reinsurance from us. If a broker fails to make such a payment, in a significant majority of business that we will write, it is highly likely that we will be liable to the client for the deficiency under local laws or contractual obligations, notwithstanding the broker's obligation to make such payment. Likewise, when the client pays premiums for these policies to brokers for payment over to us, these premiums are considered to have been paid and, in most cases, the client will no longer be liable to us for those amounts, whether or not we actually receive the premiums from the brokers. Consequently, we will assume a degree of credit risk associated with brokers with whom we work with respect to most of our reinsurance business.

We could incur substantial losses and reduced liquidity if one of the financial institutions we use in our operations fails.

We have exposure to counterparties in many different industries and routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, and other investment funds and other institutions. Many of these transactions expose us to credit risk in the event of default of our counterparty. In addition, with respect to secured transactions, our credit risk may be exacerbated when the collateral held by us cannot be realized or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the obligation. Current reinsurance recoverables are subject to the credit risk of the reinsurers.

We maintain cash balances, including restricted cash held in premium trust accounts, significantly in excess of the FDIC insurance limits at various depository institutions. We also maintain cash balances in foreign banks and institutions and rely upon funding commitments from several banks and financial institutions that participate in our credit facility. If one or more of these financial institutions were to fail, our ability to access cash balances or draw down on our credit facility may be temporarily or permanently limited, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

### Financial Strength and Debt Ratings

Ratings downgrades of either Maiden Bermuda, Maiden US and Maiden Specialty may adversely affect our competitive position and our ability to meet our financial goals and capital requirements.

Competition in the types of insurance business that we intend to reinsure is based on many factors, including the perceived financial strength of the insurer and ratings assigned by independent rating agencies. Maiden Bermuda, Maiden US and Maiden Specialty have each received a financial strength rating of "A-" (Excellent) with a stable outlook from A.M. Best, which is the fourth highest of sixteen rating levels. These subsidiaries have also received a

financial strength rating of BBB+ (Good) with a stable outlook from S&P, which is the eighth highest of twenty-two rating levels.

Ratings from these agencies are an opinion of our financial strength and ability to meet ongoing obligations to our future policyholders, and it is not an evaluation directed to our investors in our common shares, preference shares, senior notes or trust preferred securities, nor is it a recommendation to buy, sell or hold our common shares, preference shares, senior notes or trust preferred securities. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

The ratings of Maiden Bermuda, Maiden US and Maiden Specialty are subject to periodic review by, and may be revised downward or revoked at any time at the sole discretion of A.M. Best and/or S&P. If A.M. Best were to downgrade Maiden Bermuda's rating below "A-", AII and other clients would have the right to terminate their respective reinsurance agreements. More generally, if A.M. Best or S&P were to downgrade Maiden Bermuda, Maiden US or Maiden Specialty, our competitive position would suffer, and our ability to market our products, to obtain customers and to compete in the reinsurance industry would be adversely affected. A subsequent downgrade, therefore, could result in a substantial loss of business because our insurance and reinsurance company clients may move to other reinsurers with higher claims paying and financial strength ratings.

Table of Contents

Liquidity, Capital Resources and Investments

A significant amount of our invested assets are subject to changes in interest rates and market volatility. If we were unable to realize our investment objectives, our financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

Investment income is an important component of our net income. We plan to invest approximately 90-95% of our investments in high grade marketable fixed income securities, cash and cash equivalents, and up to approximately 5-10% in other securities which may include high-yield securities and equity securities. As of December 31, 2012, the fixed income securities of \$2.6 billion in our investment portfolio represented 92.4% of our total cash and invested assets, of which \$2.9 million or 0.1% were in other investment funds. As a result of market conditions prevailing at a particular time, the allocation of our portfolio to various asset types may vary from these targets at times. The fair market value of these assets and the investment income from these assets will fluctuate depending on general economic and market conditions. Because we intend to classify substantially all of our invested assets as available-for-sale ("AFS"), we expect changes in the market value of our securities will be reflected in shareholders' equity.

Our board of directors has established our investment policies and our executive management is implementing our investment strategy with the assistance of AII Insurance Management Limited, our investment manager. Although these guidelines stress diversification and capital preservation, our investment results will be subject to a variety of risks, including risks related to changes in the business, financial condition or results of operations of the entities in which we invest, as well as changes in general economic conditions and overall market conditions, interest rate fluctuations and market volatility.

Our investment portfolio consists almost completely of interest rate-sensitive instruments, such as bonds, which may be adversely affected by changes in interest rates. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary policies and domestic and international economic and political conditions and other factors beyond our control. Because of the unpredictable nature of losses that may arise under reinsurance policies, our liquidity needs could be substantial and may increase at any time. Changes in interest rates could have an adverse effect on the value of our investment portfolio and future investment income. For example, changes in interest rates can expose us to prepayment risks on mortgage-backed securities included in our investment portfolio (all, excluding one Commercial Mortgage-Backed Security, are currently U.S. government agency-backed and AA+ rated). Increases in interest rates will decrease the value of our investments in fixed-income securities. If increases in interest rates occur during periods when we sell investments to satisfy liquidity needs, we may experience investment losses. If interest rates decline, reinvested funds will earn less than expected.

Certain categories of fixed income securities can experience significant price declines for reasons unrelated to interest rates. Since 2007, global financial markets and credit markets in particular have experienced unprecedented volatility due to the effects of global economic weakness and resulting fiscal and monetary crises. Both the U.S. and other sovereign governments, particularly in Europe, have enacted and continue to enact significant fiscal and monetary measures which have elevated levels of liquidity in the credit market place in order to ensure economic stability and sustain recent limited economic growth. These measures have reduced interest rates to historically low levels and could continue to affect many types of fixed income securities, continuing the current period of higher than average price volatility. Based on the statements of the U.S. Federal Reserve and other central banks globally, this period of low interest rates is widely expected to continue for at least the next two years. In addition, these measures could increase the likelihood of inflation which would likely reduce the value of our fixed income securities and reduce our shareholders' equity.

In order to limit our exposure to unexpected interest rate increases which would reduce the value of our fixed income securities and reduce our shareholders' equity, we have maintained the duration of our investment portfolio at 3.5 years as of December 31, 2012, which although higher than the duration of 2.8 years as of December 31, 2011, remains shorter than our duration of our loss reserves, which was 3.6 years at December 31, 2012. In order to provide additional portfolio protection, we also maintain 11.8% of our portfolio in variable or floating rate fixed maturity securities. This increased duration is likely to increase the amount of investment income generated by our portfolio in 2013 and beyond, if the current interest rate environment does not change.

We may invest a portion of our portfolio in below investment-grade securities. Borrowers that issue below investment-grade securities are more sensitive to adverse economic conditions, including a recession. The risk of default by these borrowers and the risk that we may not be able to recover our investment are significantly greater than for other borrowers. We also may invest a portion of our portfolio in equity securities, including other investment funds, which are more speculative and more volatile than debt securities.

While we believe we have substantially mitigated our exposure to liquidity risk through prudent duration management and strong operating cash flow, if we do not structure our investment portfolio so that it is appropriately

Table of Contents

matched with our reinsurance liabilities, we may be forced to liquidate investments prior to maturity at a significant loss to cover such liabilities. For this or any of the other reasons discussed above, investment losses could significantly decrease our asset base, which would adversely affect our ability to conduct business. Any significant decline in our investment income would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The further downgrade of U.S. government securities by credit rating agencies could adversely impact the value of the U.S. government and other securities in our investment portfolio and create uncertainty in the market generally.

The further downgrade of the U.S. government securities by credit rating agencies has the potential to adversely impact the value of the U.S. government and other securities in our investment portfolio. A further downgrade in the rating of U.S. government securities may cause our investment portfolio's average credit rating to fall and may result in the Company no longer being in compliance with its current investment policy at its current level of U.S. government security holdings. In addition to the foregoing, a further downgrade in the rating of U.S. government securities may have an adverse impact on fixed income markets, which in turn could cause our net investment income to decline or have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

We may require additional capital in the future, which may not be available on favorable terms or at all.

Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including our growth and our ability to write new business successfully and to establish premium rates and reserves at levels sufficient to cover our losses. While we have been successful to date in raising the capital necessary to prudently manage our business, our business has grown rapidly and we may need to raise additional funds to further capitalize Maiden Bermuda, Maiden US and Maiden Specialty, or expand our IIS business. We anticipate that any such additional funds would be raised through equity, debt or hybrid financings. While we currently have no commitment from any lender with respect to a credit facility or a loan facility, we may enter into an unsecured revolving credit facility or a term loan facility with one or more syndicates of lenders. Any equity, debt or hybrid financing, if available at all, may be on terms that are not favorable to us. If we are able to raise capital through equity financings, the interest of shareholders in our Company would be diluted, and the securities we issue may have rights, preferences and privileges that are senior to those of our common shares. In addition, the Senior Notes are both rated BBB- by S&P, which is considered investment grade by S&P, and the Preference Shares are rated BB by S&P, which is considered non-investment grade. To the extent that any of these securities experience a ratings downgrade or if our holding company experiences a downgrade of its Counterparty Credit rating by S&P, this could impact our ability to execute those financings or at reasonable terms. Similarly, our access to funds may be impaired if regulatory authorities take negative actions against us. Our internal sources of liquidity may prove to be insufficient, and in such case, we may not be able to successfully obtain additional financing on favorable terms, or at all. Finally, the possibility that customers or lenders could develop a negative perception of our long or short-term financial prospects if we incur large investment losses or if the level of our business activity decreases due to a market downturn could affect our ability to obtain financing.

In addition to company-specific factors, the availability of additional financing will depend on a variety of other factors such as market conditions, the general availability of capital, the volume of trading activities and the overall availability of capital to the financial services industry. Such market conditions may limit our ability to replace, in a timely manner, maturing liabilities; satisfy statutory capital requirements; generate fee income and market-related revenue to meet liquidity needs; and access the capital necessary to grow our business. As such, we may be forced to delay raising capital, issue shorter tenor securities than we prefer, or bear an unattractive cost of capital which could decrease our profitability and significantly reduce our financial flexibility. If we cannot obtain adequate capital, our business prospects, results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

We have debt and preference shares outstanding that could adversely affect our financial flexibility.



In connection with the TRUPS Offering, Maiden NA issued a subordinated debenture in the principal amount of \$260.0 million, which is the subject of a subordinated guarantee by Maiden Holdings, which currently has an outstanding principal balance of \$152.5 million. In addition, in connection with the Senior Note Offerings, Maiden NA has issued senior notes in the principal amount of \$207.5 million, which is subject to a guarantee by Maiden Holdings and which is senior to the guarantee issued with the debenture issued in connection with the TRUPS Offering. In 2012, we issued \$150.0 million in Preference Shares which are required to be paid before common shareholders are eligible for dividend payments. We may also incur additional indebtedness in the future. The level of debt outstanding could adversely affect our financial flexibility.

Table of Contents

Our indebtedness could have adverse consequences, including:

• limiting our ability to pay dividends to our common shareholders;

• increasing our vulnerability to changing economic, regulatory and industry conditions;

• limiting our ability to compete and our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry;

• limiting our ability to borrow additional funds;

• requiring us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our debt, thereby reducing funds available for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and other purposes; and  
• impacting rating agencies and regulators assessment of our capital position, adequacy and flexibility and therefore, the financial strength ratings of rating agencies and regulators assessment of our solvency.

Our failure to comply with restrictive covenants contained in the indentures governing our Senior Notes or any future credit facility could trigger prepayment obligations, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The indentures governing our Senior Notes contain covenants that impose restrictions on us and certain of our subsidiaries with respect to, among other things, the incurrence of liens and the disposition of capital stock of these subsidiaries. In addition, any future credit facility may require us and/or certain of our subsidiaries to comply with certain covenants, which may include the maintenance of a minimum consolidated net tangible worth and restrictions on the payment of dividends. Our failure to comply with these covenants could result in an event of default under the indentures or any future credit facility, which, if not cured or waived, could result in us being required to repay the notes or any amounts outstanding under such credit facility prior to maturity. As a result, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. For more details on our indebtedness, see Note 8 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Under the terms of our TRUPS Offering, if we prepay the TRUPS before January 20, 2014 we are required to pay a premium to security holders. This premium, along with an amortized discount currently recorded as a reduction of a liability, would affect both results of operations and our book value.

The terms of the TRUPS Offering stipulate that a premium equal to 14% of the value of the TRUPS would be payable to the holders of the TRUPS if we were to pay off the securities prior to January 20, 2014. Also, at the time of the TRUPS Offering, we issued 11.7 million common shares to the holders of the TRUPS Offering as an inducement to invest in the TRUPS. The value assigned to these shares, which was \$26.2 million at December 31, 2012, is recorded as a reduction of the liability for the TRUPS on our balance sheet and is being amortized into expense over the term of the TRUPS Offering (30 years) using the effective yield method.

In connection with the 2011 Senior Note Offering, we repurchased \$107.5 million of the TRUPS and as a result, pursuant to the terms of the TRUPS Offering, we incurred a non-recurring repurchase expense of approximately \$15.1 million, which was reported in our 2011 results of operations. As a result of the repurchase, we also incurred in 2011 an additional non-recurring non-cash charge of approximately \$20.3 million, which represents the accelerated amortization of original issue discount and issuance costs associated with equity issued in conjunction with the TRUPS Offering.

If we were to fully pay off the remaining securities prior to January 20, 2014, we would incur \$21.4 million in additional expenses along with incurring additional amortization charges to write off the remaining unamortized amounts which are presently \$26.2 million. Thus our results of operations and book value would be reduced commensurately.

Although we have sufficient liquidity at this time to pay off the remaining securities associated with the TRUPS Offering, given the proximity to the expiration of that date, it is unlikely that we would pay off these securities prior to January 20, 2014 unless we were able to achieve savings in excess of the remaining interest we are required to pay until that time, including any prepayment premium. At such time that we do pay off the remaining securities associated with the TRUPS Offering, we will incur a charge for the remaining unamortized amounts, whether it is before or after January 20, 2014.

## Table of Contents

The Series A Preference Shares are equity and are subordinate to our existing and future indebtedness and other liabilities.

The Series A Preference Shares are equity interests and do not constitute indebtedness. As such, the Series A Preference Shares will rank junior to all of our indebtedness and other non-equity claims of our creditors with respect to assets available to satisfy our claims, including in our liquidation. As of December 31, 2012, our total consolidated debt was \$333.8 million and our total consolidated liabilities were \$3.1 billion. We may incur additional debt and liabilities in the future. Our existing and future indebtedness may restrict payments of dividends on the Series A Preference Shares. Additionally, unlike indebtedness, where principal and interest would customarily be payable on specified due dates, in the case of preference shares like the Series A Preference Shares, dividends are payable only if declared by our Board of Directors (or a duly authorized committee of the Board).

The availability and cost of security arrangements for reinsurance transactions may materially impact our ability to provide reinsurance from Bermuda to insurers domiciled in the U. S.

Maiden Bermuda is not licensed, approved or accredited as a reinsurer anywhere in the U.S. and, therefore, under the terms of most of its contracts with U.S. ceding companies, it is required to provide collateral to its ceding companies for unpaid ceded liabilities, including when our obligations to these ceding companies exceed negotiated amounts, in a form acceptable to state insurance commissioners. Typically, this type of collateral takes the form of letters of credit issued by a bank, the establishment of a trust, or funds withheld. The amount of collateral we are required to provide typically represents a portion of the obligations we may owe the ceding company, often including estimates of unpaid losses made by the ceding company. Since we may be required to provide collateral based on the ceding company's estimate, we may be obligated to provide collateral that exceeds our estimates of the ultimate liability to the ceding company. It is also unclear what, if any, the impact would be in the event of the liquidation of a ceding company with which we have a collateral arrangement. If these facilities are unavailable, not sufficient or if we are unable to arrange for other types of security on commercially acceptable terms, Maiden Bermuda's ability to provide reinsurance to U.S. based clients may be severely limited.

## International Operations

Our offices that operate in jurisdictions outside the Bermuda and U.S. are subject to certain limitations and risks that are unique to foreign operations.

Our international operations are regulated in various jurisdictions with respect to licensing requirements, currency, security deposits, reserves and other matters. International operations may be harmed by political developments in foreign countries, which may be hard to predict in advance. Regulations governing technical reserves and remittance balances in some countries may hinder remittance of profits and repatriation of assets.

As a result of the IIS Acquisition, we have entered and will enter into a variety of global insurance and reinsurance markets that we have limited experience with and results may differ from our expectations, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

The business associated with the IIS Acquisition and underwritten by Maiden Bermuda is primarily written in Germany, United Kingdom, Latin America, Australia and other global markets that we have limited experience with. We have retained the entire management team and staff of GMAC IIS and OVS to improve the likelihood that the IIS Acquisition will achieve its expected results. We expect the transaction to generally perform within its overall stated targets. In addition, we have secured an arrangement with the largest primary insurer in the IIS Acquisition portfolio to continue to reinsure business with us for a period of three years. Further we have entered into cooperation

agreements with the dealer association and manufacturer in that country to increase sales penetration through these arrangements. Despite these measures, there can be no guarantee that the IIS Acquisition will achieve the targets anticipated, or that the transaction could result in losses that would adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Foreign currency fluctuations may reduce our net income and our capital levels adversely affecting our financial condition.

We conduct business in a variety of non-U.S. currencies, the principal exposures being the Euro, the British pound, the Canadian dollar, the Swedish krona and the Russian ruble. Assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are exposed to changes in currency exchange rates. Our reporting currency is the U.S. dollar, and exchange rate fluctuations relative to the U.S. dollar may materially impact our results and financial position. Our principal exposure to foreign currency risk is our obligation to settle claims in foreign currencies. In addition, we maintain and expect to continue to maintain a portion of our investment portfolio in investments denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. While the Company may be able to match its foreign currency denominated assets against its net reinsurance

Table of Contents

liabilities both by currency and duration to protect the Company against foreign exchange and interest rate risks, a natural offset does not exist for all currencies.

As of December 31, 2012, foreign currency denominated assets exceed foreign currency denominated liabilities for each of the individual non-U.S. currencies in which the Company transacts business. We may employ various strategies (including hedging) to manage our exposure to foreign currency exchange risk. To the extent that these exposures are not fully hedged or the hedges are ineffective, our results or equity may be reduced by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates that could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. At December 31, 2012, no such hedges or hedging strategies were in force or had been entered into.

If the European common currency, the Euro, were to collapse, be devalued or undergo structural changes in its participating countries or the basis on which they participate, we could be impacted, potentially significantly by the subsequent effects of such a circumstance. Further, we have exposure to the European sovereign debt crisis which could have a negative impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

We conduct a wide variety of business in countries in which the Euro is the local currency. We report our financial results in U.S. dollars and use widely reported exchange rates to convert this currency into U.S. dollars. Countries whose currency is the Euro have experienced significant economic uncertainty in recent years, which continues through the present time. These circumstances are the cumulative result of the effect of excessive sovereign debt, deficits by numerous participating countries in the Euro, uncertainty regarding the monetary policies of the EU and their underlying funding mechanisms and poor economic growth and prospects for the EU as a whole.

While economic policy measures and commitments did stabilize the currency's volatility in the second half of 2012, the EU's fiscal outlook remains negative, and permanent solutions to resolve these issues by participating countries and other institutions to stabilize the EU and improve its economic outlook have not been resolved.

While not likely at this time, without satisfactory and timely resolution of these issues, the collapse or modification of the Euro cannot be ruled out at this time, with further uncertainty as to what forms of currency would take its place. As a result, we could be exposed to significantly greater foreign currency exposure than we estimate at this time. If the currency were impaired or disrupted to any significant degree, it could also impact our ability to conduct normal business operations in those participating countries.

Irrespective of the ultimate future of the currency, the impact of these efforts may cause a further deterioration in the value of the Euro and consequently exacerbate instability in global credit markets, and increase credit concerns resulting in the widening of bond yield spreads. In addition, recent rating agency downgrades on European sovereign debt and a growing concern of the potential default of government issuers has contributed to this uncertainty. The impact of these developments, while potentially severe, remains extremely difficult to predict. However, should European governments default on their obligations, there will be a negative impact on government and non-government issued bonds, government guaranteed corporate bonds and bonds and equities issued by financial institutions and held within the country of default which in turn could adversely impact Euro-denominated assets held in our investment portfolio.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, 9.4% of our net premiums written and 9.6% of our reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses is Euro denominated. As of December 31, 2012 our fixed income portfolio contains: (1) \$38.8 million of Euro-denominated non-U.S. government bonds, which constitutes 1.5% of the fixed income portfolio; and (2) \$152.9 million of Euro-denominated non-U.S. corporate bonds, which constitutes 5.8% of the fixed income portfolio. Of the Euro-denominated non-U.S. government bonds, 53.4% were from Germany and the Netherlands. We hold no sovereign bonds of Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal or Spain.

**Regulation**

Compliance by our insurance subsidiaries with the legal and regulatory requirements to which they are subject is expensive. Any failure to comply could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our insurance subsidiaries are required to comply with a wide variety of laws and regulations applicable to insurance or reinsurance companies, both in the jurisdictions in which they are organized and where they sell their insurance and reinsurance products. The insurance and regulatory environment, in particular for offshore insurance and reinsurance companies, has become subject to increased scrutiny in many jurisdictions, including the U.S., various states within the U.S. and the EU. In the past, there have been Congressional and other initiatives in the U.S. regarding increased supervision and regulation of the insurance industry. It is not possible to predict the future impact of changes in laws and regulations on our operations. The cost of complying with any new legal requirements affecting our subsidiaries could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Table of Contents

In addition, our subsidiaries may not always be able to obtain or maintain necessary licenses, permits, authorizations or accreditations. They also may not be able to fully comply with, or to obtain appropriate exemptions from, the laws and regulations applicable to them. Any failure to comply with applicable law or to obtain appropriate exemptions could result in restrictions on either the ability of the company in question, as well as potentially its affiliates, to do business in one or more of the jurisdictions in which they operate or on brokers on which we rely to produce business for us. In addition, any such failure to comply with applicable laws or to obtain appropriate exemptions could result in the imposition of fines or other sanctions. Any of these sanctions could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Insurance statutes and regulations in jurisdictions outside and inside the U.S. could affect our profitability and restrict our ability to operate.

Maiden Bermuda is licensed as a Bermuda insurance company and is subject to regulation and supervision in Bermuda. The applicable Bermuda statutes and regulations generally are designed to protect insureds and ceding insurance companies, not our shareholders. We do not intend Maiden Bermuda to be registered or licensed as an insurance company in any jurisdiction outside Bermuda or to conduct any insurance or reinsurance activities in the U.S. or elsewhere outside of Bermuda. Nevertheless, we expect that a large portion of the gross premiums written by Maiden Bermuda will be derived from (1) the Reinsurance Agreement with AII, (2) the quota share agreement with ACAC, and (3) from reinsurance contracts entered into with entities mostly domiciled in the U.S. and Europe. Inquiries into or challenges to the insurance activities of Maiden Bermuda may still be raised by U.S. or European insurance regulators in the future.

In addition, even if Maiden Bermuda, as a reinsurer, is not directly regulated by applicable laws and regulations governing insurance in the jurisdictions where its ceding companies operate, these laws and regulations, and changes in them, can affect the profitability of the business that is ceded to Maiden Bermuda, and thereby affect our results of operations. The laws and regulations applicable to direct insurers could indirectly affect us in other ways as well, such as collateral requirements in various U.S. states to enable such insurers to receive credit for reinsurance ceded to us.

In the past, there have been Congressional and other proposals in the U.S. regarding increased supervision and regulation of the insurance industry, including proposals to supervise and regulate reinsurers domiciled outside the U.S. Our exposure to potential regulatory initiatives could be heightened by the fact that Maiden Bermuda is intended to be domiciled in, and operate exclusively from, Bermuda. Bermuda is a small jurisdiction and may be disadvantaged when participating in global or cross-border regulatory matters compared with larger jurisdictions such as the U.S. or the leading EU countries. This disadvantage could be amplified by the fact that Bermuda, which is currently an overseas territory of the United Kingdom, may consider changes to its relationship with the United Kingdom in the future, including potentially seeking independence.

If Maiden Bermuda were to become subject to any insurance laws and regulations of the U.S. or any U.S. state, which are generally more restrictive than Bermuda laws and regulations, at any time in the future, it might be required to post deposits or maintain minimum surplus levels and might be prohibited from engaging in lines of business or from writing specified types of policies or contracts. Complying with those laws could have a material adverse effect on our ability to conduct business and on our financial condition and results of operations.

In recent years, the state insurance regulatory framework in the U.S. has come under increased federal scrutiny, and some state legislatures have considered or enacted laws that may alter or increase state authority to regulate insurance and reinsurance companies and insurance holding companies. Further, the NAIC and state insurance regulators are re-examining existing laws and regulations, specifically focusing on modifications to holding company regulations, interpretations of existing laws and the development of new laws. Any proposed or future legislation or NAIC initiatives may be more restrictive than current regulatory requirements or may result in higher costs.



In 2008, the BMA introduced new risk-based capital standards for insurance companies as a tool to assist the BMA both in measuring risk and in determining appropriate levels of capitalization. The amended Bermuda insurance statutes and regulations pursuant to the new risk-based supervisory approach required additional filings by insurers to be made to the BMA. The required statutory capital and surplus of our Bermuda-based operating subsidiary increased under the BSCR. While our Bermuda-based operating subsidiary currently has excess capital and surplus under these new requirements, there can be no assurance that such requirement or similar regulations, in their current form or as may be amended in the future, will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

#### Europe

Within the EU, the EU Reinsurance Directive of November 2005 (the "Directive") was adopted. Member States of the EU and the European Economic Area ("EEA") were required to implement this by December 2007, however several Member States were late in the implementation of the Directive and, in a few cases, further legislation is still necessary. The Directive requires member countries to lift barriers to trade within the EU for companies that are

Table of Contents

domiciled in an EU country, therefore, allowing reinsurers established in the EU to provide services to all EEA states. As a result, Maiden LF, being established in Sweden and regulated by the Swedish Finansinspektionen ("Swedish FSA"), is able, subject to regulatory notifications and there being no objection from the Swedish FSA and the Member States concerned, to provide insurance and reinsurance services in all EEA Member States.

The Directive also does not prohibit EEA insurers from obtaining reinsurance from reinsurers licensed outside the EEA. As such, and subject to the specific rules in particular Member States, Maiden Bermuda may do business from Bermuda with insurers in EEA Member States, but it may not directly operate its reinsurance business within the EEA. Currently, each individual EEA Member State may impose conditions on reinsurance provided by Bermuda based reinsurers which could restrict their future provision of reinsurance to the EEA Member State concerned. A number of EEA Member States currently restrict the extent to which Bermudian reinsurers may promote their services in those Member States, and a few have certain prohibitions on the purchase of insurance from reinsurers not authorized in the EEA.

In addition to the Directive, the EU is introducing a new regulatory regime for the regulation of the insurance and reinsurance sector known as "Solvency II". Solvency II is a principles-based regulatory regime which seeks to promote financial stability, enhance transparency and facilitate harmonization among insurance and reinsurance companies within the EC. Solvency II employs a risk-based approach to setting capital requirements for insurers and reinsurers. One aspect of Solvency II (the details of which are currently being developed) concerns the treatment of reinsurance ceded by EC insurers to reinsurers headquartered in a state outside the EC. For example, consideration is being given as to whether reinsurance ceded to a non-EC reinsurer should be treated in the same way as reinsurance ceded to an EC reinsurer, and whether EC decants should require their non-EC reinsurers to provide collateral to cover unearned premium and outstanding claims provisions. The Solvency II directive proposes that EC and non-EC reinsurers shall be treated in the same way provided that the non-EC jurisdiction is found to have a regulatory regime "equivalent" to that of Solvency II. Our reinsurance subsidiaries are headquartered in non-EC countries. If the regulatory regimes of such countries are found not to be equivalent to that of Solvency II and if our reinsurance subsidiaries fall below a certain minimum credit rating, then cedants in the EC may be prevented from recognizing the reinsurance provided to them by our reinsurance subsidiaries for the purpose of meeting their capital requirements or we may be required to provide collateral for our obligations to EC insurers. This could have a material adverse impact on our ability to conduct our business. There remains uncertainty whether Solvency II will be fully implemented by the end of 2013.

United States

In the U.S., licensed reinsurers are highly regulated and must comply with financial supervision standards comparable to those governing primary insurers. For additional discussion of the regulatory requirements to which Maiden Holdings, as a holding company, and its subsidiaries are subject, see Item 1 "Business — Regulatory Matters" in this Form 10-K. Any failure to comply with applicable laws could result in the imposition of significant restrictions on our ability to do business, and could also result in fines and other sanctions, any or all of which could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, these statutes and regulations may, in effect, restrict the ability of our subsidiaries to write new business or, as indicated below, distribute funds to Maiden Holdings. In recent years, some U.S. state legislatures have considered or enacted laws that may alter or increase state authority to regulate insurance companies and insurance holding companies. Moreover, the NAIC and state insurance regulators regularly re-examine existing laws and regulations and interpretations of existing laws and develop new laws. The new interpretations or laws may be more restrictive or may result in higher costs to us than current statutory requirements.

In addition, the federal government has undertaken initiatives, including Dodd-Frank, in several areas that may impact the reinsurance industry, including tort reform, corporate governance and the taxation of reinsurance companies. The Dodd-Frank Act became effective on July 21, 2011. In addition to introducing sweeping reform of the U.S. financial

services industry, the Dodd-Frank Act has changed the regulation of reinsurance in the U.S. The Dodd-Frank Act prohibits a state from denying credit for reinsurance if the state of domicile of the insurer purchasing the reinsurance recognizes credit for reinsurance. At present, it appears the changes specific to reinsurance in the Dodd-Frank Act will not have a material adverse effect for non-U.S. reinsurers such as us, however, there is still significant uncertainty as to how these and other provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act will be implemented in practice.

Applicable insurance laws regarding the change of control of insurance companies may limit the acquisition of our shares.

Under Bermuda law, for so long as Maiden Holdings has an insurance subsidiary registered under the Insurance Act, the BMA may at any time, by written notice, object to a person holding 10% or more of its common shares if it appears to the BMA that the person is not or is no longer fit and proper to be such a holder. In such a case, the BMA may require the shareholder to reduce its holding of common shares in Maiden Holdings and direct, among other things, that such shareholder's voting rights attaching to the common shares shall not be exercisable. A person who does not comply with such a notice or direction from the BMA will be guilty of an offense. This may discourage potential

## Table of Contents

acquisition proposals and may delay, deter or prevent a change of control of our Company, including through transactions, and in particular unsolicited transactions, that some or all of our shareholders might consider to be desirable.

In addition to the foregoing, we are subject to U.S. state statutes governing insurance holding companies, which generally require that any person or entity desiring to acquire direct or indirect control of any of our U.S. insurance company subsidiaries obtain prior regulatory approval. "Control" is generally defined as the possession, direct or indirect, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of the company, whether through the ownership of voting securities, by contract (except a commercial contract for goods or non-management services) or otherwise. Under the laws of most U.S. states, any beneficial owner of 10% or more of the outstanding voting securities of an insurance company or its holding company is presumed to have acquired control, unless this presumption is rebutted. These laws may also discourage potential acquisition proposals and may delay, deter or prevent a change of control of our company, including through transactions, and in particular unsolicited transactions, that some or all of our shareholders might consider to be desirable.

Any person having a shareholding of 10% or more of the issued share capital in Maiden Holdings would be considered to have an indirect holding in our U.S. insurance subsidiaries at or over the 10% limit. Any change that resulted in the indirect acquisition or disposal of a shareholding of greater than or equal to 10% in the share capital of Maiden Holdings may require approval of the relevant U.S. state insurance regulators prior to the transaction.

Changes in accounting principles and financial reporting requirements could result in material changes to our reported results and financial condition.

U.S. GAAP and related financial reporting requirements are complex, continually evolving and may be subject to varied interpretation by the relevant authoritative bodies. Such varied interpretations could result from differing views related to specific facts and circumstances. Changes in U.S. GAAP and financial reporting requirements, or in the interpretation of U.S. GAAP or those requirements, could result in material changes to our reported results and financial condition. Moreover, the SEC is currently evaluating IFRS to determine whether IFRS should be incorporated into the financial reporting system for U.S. issuers. Certain of these standards could result in material changes to our reported results of operation.

## Employee Issues

We are dependent on our key executives. We may not be able to attract and retain key employees or successfully integrate our new management team to fully implement our newly formulated business strategy.

Our success depends largely on our senior management, which includes, among others, Art Raschbaum, our President and Chief Executive Officer, John Marshaleck, our Chief Financial Officer, Karen Schmitt, our President of Maiden US and Maiden Specialty, Patrick J. Haveron, our Executive Vice President, and Ronald M. Judd, our President of Maiden Global. We have entered into employment agreements with each of these executive officers, as well as with additional former key employees of GMAC RE and GMAC IIS. These employees were instrumental in developing the book of business with the former GMAC RE and GMAC IIS and have been managing the retention of that business as it has transferred to Maiden US, Maiden Specialty or Maiden Bermuda. Our inability to attract and retain additional personnel or the loss of the services of any of our senior executives or key employees could delay or prevent us from fully implementing our business strategy and could significantly and negatively affect our business.

Our business in Bermuda could be adversely affected by Bermuda employment restrictions.

Currently, we employ twelve non-Bermudians in our Bermuda office including our President and Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and our Chief Underwriting Officer. We may hire additional non-Bermudians as our business grows. Under Bermuda law, non-Bermudians (other than spouses of Bermudians, holders of permanent residents' certificates and holders of working residents' certificates) may not engage in any gainful occupation in Bermuda without a valid government work permit. A work permit may be granted or renewed upon showing that, after proper public advertisement, no Bermudian, spouse of a Bermudian, or holder of a permanent resident's or working resident's certificate who meets the minimum standards reasonably required by the employer has applied for the job. The Bermuda government's policy places a six year term limit on individuals with work permits, subject to certain exemptions for key employees. A work permit is issued with an expiry date (up to five years) and no assurances can be given that any work permit will be issued or, if issued, renewed upon the expiration of the relevant term. We may not be able to use the services of one or more of our non-Bermudian employees if we are not able to obtain work permits for them, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

Our holding company structure and certain regulatory and other constraints affect our ability to pay dividends and make other payments.

Maiden Holdings is a holding company. As a result, we do not have, and will not have, any significant operations or assets other than our ownership of the shares of our subsidiaries.

We expect that dividends and other permitted distributions from Maiden Bermuda, Maiden Global (and its subsidiaries), Maiden LF and Maiden NA (and its subsidiaries) will be our sole source of funds to pay dividends to common and preference shareholders and meet ongoing cash requirements, including debt service payments, if any, and other expenses. Bermuda law and regulations, including, but not limited to, Bermuda insurance regulations, will restrict the declaration and payment of dividends and the making of distributions by Maiden Bermuda, unless specific regulatory requirements are met. In addition, Maiden Bermuda might enter into contractual arrangements in the future that could impose restrictions on any such payments. If we cannot receive dividends or other permitted distributions from Maiden Bermuda as a result of such restrictions, we will be unable to pay dividends on our common shares and preference shares as currently contemplated by our board of directors. It is anticipated Maiden Bermuda can pay us dividends of approximately \$217.7 million. The inability of Maiden Bermuda to pay dividends in an amount sufficient to enable us to meet our cash requirements at the holding company level could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to Bermuda regulatory constraints that will affect our ability to pay dividends on our shares and make other payments. Under the Companies Act, we may declare or pay a dividend out of distributable reserves only if we have reasonable grounds for believing that we are, or would after the payment be, able to pay our liabilities as they become due and if the realizable value of our assets would thereby not be less than the aggregate of our liabilities and issued share capital and share premium accounts.

The ability of Maiden US and Maiden Specialty to pay dividends is regulated, and under certain circumstances, restricted, pursuant to applicable law. If Maiden US and Maiden Specialty cannot pay dividends to Maiden NA, Maiden NA may not, in turn, be able to pay dividends to Maiden Holdings, which may not, in turn, be able to pay dividends to shareholders. As of December 31, 2012, Maiden US could pay dividends to Maiden NA of approximately \$0 and Maiden Specialty could pay dividends to Maiden US of \$4.6 million without prior regulatory approval. Any dividends paid by Maiden US and Maiden Specialty would reduce its surplus.

Under the Insurance Act, Maiden Bermuda is required to prepare Statutory Financial Statements and to file a Statutory Financial Return in Bermuda. The Insurance Act also requires Maiden Bermuda to maintain a minimum share capital of \$120. To satisfy these requirements, the statutory capital and surplus of Maiden Bermuda at December 31, 2012 was approximately \$942.8 million (2011 – \$693.4 million) and the amount required to be maintained under Bermuda law, the Minimum Solvency Margin, was \$231.1 million (2011 – \$226.5 million) at December 31, 2012. Maiden Bermuda was also required to maintain a minimum liquidity ratio. All requirements were met by Maiden Bermuda throughout the period. In addition, Maiden Bermuda is subject to statutory and regulatory restrictions under the Insurance Act that limit the maximum amount of annual dividends or distributions to be paid by Maiden Bermuda to Maiden Holdings without notification to the BMA of such payment (and in certain cases prior approval of the BMA). Maiden Bermuda is allowed to pay dividends provided the payment of the dividends does not result in Maiden Bermuda failing to comply with the ECR as calculated by the BSCR. Maiden Bermuda is currently completing its 2012 BSCR and as of December 31, 2012, it is anticipated Maiden Bermuda can pay dividends or distributions not exceeding \$217.7 million.

Maiden Bermuda is registered as a Class 3B reinsurer under the Insurance Act and therefore must maintain capital at a level equal to its ECR which is established by reference to the BSCR model. The BSCR employs a standard mathematical model that correlates the risk underwritten to the capital that is dedicated to the business. The regulatory requirements are designed to have insurers operate at or above a threshold capital level, which exceeds the BSCR. While not specifically referred to in the Insurance Act, the BMA has established a TCL for each Class 3B insurer equal to 120% of its ECR. While a Class 3B insurer is not currently required to maintain its statutory capital and surplus at this level, the TCL serves as an early warning tool for the BMA and failure to maintain statutory capital at least equal to the TCL will likely result in increased BMA regulatory oversight. Maiden Bermuda is currently completing its 2012 BSCR and believes that it will meet the ECR as of December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

A few significant shareholders may influence or control the direction of our business. If the ownership of our common shares continues to be highly concentrated, it may limit your ability and the ability of other shareholders to influence significant corporate decisions.

The interests of our Founding Shareholders may not be fully aligned with your interests, and this may lead to a strategy that is not in your best interest. As of February 25, 2013, our Founding Shareholders beneficially control approximately 28.4% of our outstanding common shares. Although they do not act as a group, our Founding Shareholders exercise significant influence over matters requiring shareholder approval, and their concentrated holdings may delay or deter possible changes in control of Maiden Holdings, which may reduce the market price of our common shares.

We currently intend to pay a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.09 per common share; however, any determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors.

Our board of directors currently intends to authorize the payment of a cash dividend of \$0.09 per common share each quarter. Any determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will be dependent upon our results of operations and cash flows, our financial position and capital requirements, general business conditions, legal, tax, regulatory, rating agency and any contractual restrictions on the payment of dividends and any other factors our board of directors deems relevant, including Bermuda legal and regulatory constraints. Payment of dividends to common shareholders is also predicated on the payment of dividends to holders of Series A Preference Shares before any such common dividend can be paid. If required dividend payments on the Series A Preference Shares are not made, dividends to common shareholders may not be made until such time that Series A Preference Share dividend payments resume.

Dividends on the Series A Preference Shares are non-cumulative.

Dividends on the Series A Preference Shares are non-cumulative and payable only out of lawfully available funds of Maiden under Bermuda law. Consequently, if Maiden's Board of Directors (or a duly authorized committee of the Board) does not authorize and declare a dividend for any dividend period with respect to the Series A Preference Shares, holders of the Series A Preference Shares would not be entitled to receive any such dividend, and such unpaid dividend will not accumulate and will never be payable. Maiden will have no obligation to pay dividends for a dividend period on or after the dividend payment date for such period if its Board of Directors (or a duly authorized committee of the Board) has not declared such dividend before the related dividend payment date. If dividends on the Series A Preference Shares are authorized and declared with respect to any subsequent dividend period, Maiden will be free to pay dividends on any other series of preference shares and/or our common shares.

Our revenues and results of operations may fluctuate as a result of factors beyond our control, which may cause the price of our shares to be volatile.

The revenues and results of operations of reinsurance companies historically have been subject to significant fluctuations and uncertainties. Our profitability can be affected significantly by:

- fluctuations in interest rates, inflationary pressures and other changes in the investment environment that affect returns on invested assets;

- changes in the frequency or severity of claims;

-



volatile and unpredictable developments, including man-made, weather-related and other natural catastrophes or terrorist attacks;

price competition;

inadequate loss and loss adjustment expense reserves;

cyclical nature of the property and casualty insurance market;

negative developments in the specialty property and casualty reinsurance sectors in which we operate; and

reduction in the business activities of AmTrust, ACAC or any of our ceding insurers.

If our revenues and results of operations fluctuate as a result of one or more of these factors, the price of our shares may be volatile.

Table of Contents

Future sales of shares may adversely affect their price.

Future sales of our common shares by our shareholders or us, or the perception that such sales may occur, could adversely affect the market price of our common shares. As of February 25, 2013, 72,421,951 common shares were outstanding. In addition, we have reserved 10,000,000 common shares for issuance under our Amended and Restated 2007 Share Incentive Plan. As of February 25, 2013, the total options outstanding was 2,717,433. Sales of substantial amounts of our shares, or the perception that such sales could occur, could adversely affect the prevailing price of the shares and may make it more difficult for us to sell our equity securities in the future, or for shareholders to sell their shares, at a time and price that they deem appropriate.

We are subject to additional financial and other reporting and corporate governance requirements that may be difficult for us to satisfy.

We are subject to financial and other reporting and corporate governance requirements, including the requirements of the NASDAQ Global Market, the New York Stock Exchange and certain provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the regulations promulgated thereunder, which impose significant compliance obligations upon us. In particular, we are, or will be, required to:

- enhance the roles and duties of our board of directors, our board committees and management;

- supplement our internal accounting function, including hiring staff with expertise in accounting and financial reporting for a public company, as well as implement appropriate and sufficient accounting and reporting systems, and enhance and formalize closing procedures at the end of our accounting periods;

- prepare and distribute periodic public reports in compliance with our obligations under the U.S. federal securities laws;

- involve and retain to a greater degree outside counsel and accountants in the activities listed above;

- establish or outsource an internal audit function;

- enhance our investor relations function; and

- establish new control policies, such as those relating to disclosure controls and procedures, segregation of duties and procedures and insider trading.

These obligations require a significant commitment of additional resources. We may not be successful in implementing these requirements, and implementing or maintaining them could adversely affect our business or operating results. In addition, if we fail to implement or maintain the requirements with respect to our internal accounting and audit functions, our ability to report our operating results on a timely and accurate basis would be impaired.

Provisions in our bye-laws may reduce or increase the voting rights of our shares.

In general, and except as provided under our bye-laws and as provided below, the common shareholders have one vote for each common share held by them and are entitled to vote, on a non-cumulative basis, at all meetings of shareholders. However, if, and so long as, the shares of a shareholder are treated as “controlled shares” (as determined pursuant to Sections 957 and 958 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”)) of any U.S. Person

(as that term is defined in the risk factors under the section captioned “Taxation” within this Item on page 54 (that owns shares directly or indirectly through non-U.S. entities) and such controlled shares constitute 9.5% or more of the votes conferred by our issued shares, the voting rights with respect to the controlled shares owned by such U.S. Person will be limited, in the aggregate, to a voting power of less than 9.5%, under a formula specified in our bye-laws. The formula is applied repeatedly until the voting power of all 9.5% U.S. Shareholders has been reduced to less than 9.5%. In addition, our board may limit a shareholder’s voting rights when it deems it appropriate to do so to (i) avoid the existence of any 9.5% U.S. Shareholder; and (ii) avoid certain material adverse tax, legal or regulatory consequences to us, any of our subsidiaries or any direct or indirect shareholder or its affiliates. “Controlled shares” include, among other things, all shares that a U.S. Person is deemed to own directly, indirectly or constructively (within the meaning of section 958 of the Code). The amount of any reduction of votes that occurs by operation of the above limitations will generally be reallocated proportionately among our other shareholders whose shares were not “controlled shares” of the 9.5% U.S. Shareholder so long as such reallocation does not cause any person to become a 9.5% U.S. Shareholder.

Under these provisions, certain shareholders may have their voting rights limited, while other shareholders may have voting rights in excess of one vote per share. Moreover, these provisions could have the effect of reducing the

Table of Contents

votes of certain shareholders who would not otherwise be subject to the 9.5% limitation by virtue of their direct share ownership.

We are authorized under our bye-laws to request information from any shareholder for the purpose of determining whether a shareholder's voting rights are to be reallocated under the bye-laws. If any holder fails to respond to this request or submits incomplete or inaccurate information, we may, in our sole discretion, eliminate the shareholder's voting rights.

Anti-takeover provisions in our bye-laws could impede an attempt to replace or remove our directors, which could diminish the value of our common shares.

Our bye-laws contain provisions that may entrench directors and make it more difficult for shareholders to replace directors even if the shareholders consider it beneficial to do so. In addition, these provisions could delay or prevent a change of control that a shareholder might consider favorable. For example, these provisions may prevent a shareholder from receiving the benefit from any premium over the market price of our common shares offered by a bidder in a potential takeover. Even in the absence of an attempt to effect a change in management or a takeover attempt, these provisions may adversely affect the prevailing market price of our common shares if they are viewed as discouraging changes in management and takeover attempts in the future.

Examples of provisions in our bye-laws that could have such an effect include the following:

our board of directors may reduce the total voting power of any shareholder in order to avoid adverse tax, legal or regulatory consequences to us or any direct or indirect holder of our shares or its affiliates; and

our directors may, in their discretion, decline to record the transfer of any common shares on our share register, if they are not satisfied that all required regulatory approvals for such transfer have been obtained or if they determine such transfer may result in a non-de minimis adverse tax, legal or regulatory consequence to us or any direct or indirect holder of shares or its affiliates.

It may be difficult for a third party to acquire us.

Provisions of our organizational documents may discourage, delay or prevent a merger, amalgamation, tender offer or other change of control that holders of our shares may consider favorable. These provisions impose various procedural and other requirements that could make it more difficult for shareholders to effect various corporate actions. These provisions could:

have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of us;

discourage bids for our securities at a premium over the market price;

adversely affect the price of, and the voting and other rights of the holders of our securities; or

impede the ability of the holders of our securities to change our management.

In addition, AII and ACAC are entitled to terminate their respective quota share agreements if we undergo a change in control. Because we expect the business we reinsure from AmTrust and ACAC to constitute a substantial portion of our business, this termination right may deter parties who are interested in acquiring us, may prevent shareholders from receiving a premium over the market price of our common shares and may depress the price of our common shares below levels that might otherwise prevail.

U.S. persons who own our shares may have more difficulty in protecting their interests than U.S. persons who are shareholders of a U.S. corporation.

The Companies Act, which applies to us, differs in certain material respects from laws generally applicable to U.S. corporations and their shareholders. As a result of these differences, U.S. persons who own our shares may have more difficulty protecting their interests than U.S. persons who own shares of a U.S. corporation. Set forth below is a summary of certain significant provisions of the Companies Act, including modifications adopted pursuant to our bye-laws, applicable to us which differ in certain respects from provisions of Delaware corporate law. Because the following statements are summaries, they do not discuss all aspects of Bermuda law that may be relevant to us and our shareholders.

**Interested Directors.** Bermuda law provides that if a director has a personal interest in a transaction to which the company is also a party and if the director discloses the nature of this personal interest at the first opportunity, either at a meeting of directors or in writing to the directors, then the company will not be able to declare the transaction void solely due to the existence of that personal interest and the director will not be liable to the company for any profit

## Table of Contents

realized from the transaction. In addition, Bermuda law and our bye-laws provide that, after a director has made the declaration of interest referred to above, he is allowed to be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present and to vote on a transaction in which he has an interest, unless disqualified from doing so by the chairman of the relevant board meeting. Under Delaware law such transaction would not be voidable if:

the material facts as to such interested director's relationship or interests are disclosed or are known to the board of directors and the board in good faith authorizes the transaction by the affirmative vote of a majority of the disinterested directors;

such material facts are disclosed or are known to the shareholders entitled;

- to vote on such transaction and the transaction is specifically approved in good faith by vote of the majority of shares entitled to vote thereon; or

the transaction is fair as to the corporation as of the time it is authorized, approved or ratified.

Under Delaware law, such interested director could be held liable for a transaction in which such director derived an improper personal benefit.

**Mergers and Similar Arrangements.** The amalgamation of a Bermuda company with another company or corporation (other than certain affiliated companies) requires the amalgamation agreement to be approved by the company's board of directors and by its shareholders. Under our bye-laws, we may, with the approval of a majority of votes cast at a general meeting of our shareholders at which a quorum is present, amalgamate with another Bermuda company or with a body incorporated outside Bermuda. In the case of an amalgamation, a shareholder may apply to a Bermuda court for a proper valuation of such shareholder's shares if such shareholder is not satisfied that fair value has been paid for such shares. Under Delaware law, with certain exceptions, a merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all the assets of a corporation must be approved by the board of directors and a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote thereon. Under Delaware law, a shareholder of a corporation participating in certain major corporate transactions may, under certain circumstances, be entitled to appraisal rights pursuant to which such shareholder may receive cash in the amount of the fair value of the shares held by such shareholder (as determined by a court) in lieu of the consideration such shareholder would otherwise receive in the transaction.

**Shareholders' Suit.** The rights of shareholders under Bermuda law are not as extensive as the rights of shareholders under legislation or judicial precedent in many U.S. jurisdictions. Class actions and derivative actions are generally not available to shareholders under the laws of Bermuda. However, the Bermuda courts ordinarily would be expected to follow English case law precedent, which would permit a shareholder to commence an action in the name of the company to remedy a wrong done to the company where the act complained of is alleged to be beyond the corporate power of the company, is illegal or would result in the violation of our memorandum of association or bye-laws. Furthermore, consideration would be given by the court to acts that are alleged to constitute a fraud against the minority shareholders or where an act requires the approval of a greater percentage of our shareholders than actually approved it. The winning party in such an action generally would be able to recover a portion of attorneys' fees incurred in connection with such action. Our bye-laws provide that shareholders waive all claims or rights of action that they might have, individually or in the right of the company, against any director or officer for any act or failure to act in the performance of such director's or officer's duties, except with respect to any fraud or dishonesty of such director or officer. Class actions and derivative actions generally are available to shareholders under Delaware law for, among other things, breach of fiduciary duty, corporate waste and actions not taken in accordance with applicable law. In such actions, the court has discretion to permit the winning party to recover attorneys' fees incurred in connection with such action.

Indemnification of Directors. We may indemnify our directors or officers in their capacity as directors or officers of any loss arising or liability attaching to them by virtue of any rule of law in respect of any negligence, default, breach of duty or breach of trust of which a director or officer may be guilty in relation to the company other than in respect of his own fraud or dishonesty. Under Delaware law, a corporation may indemnify a director or officer of the corporation against expenses (including attorneys' fees), judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred in defense of an action, suit or proceeding by reason of such position if such director or officer acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, such director or officer had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful. In addition, we have entered into indemnification agreements with our directors and officers.

We are a Bermuda company and it may be difficult for you to enforce judgments against us or our directors and executive officers.

We are incorporated under the laws of Bermuda and our business is based in Bermuda. In addition, most of our directors and officers reside outside Bermuda and a substantial portion of our assets will be and the assets of these

Table of Contents

persons are, and will continue to be, located in jurisdictions outside Bermuda. As such, it may be difficult or impossible to effect service of process within the U.S. upon us or those persons or to recover against us or them on judgments of U.S. courts, including judgments predicated upon civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal securities laws. Further, no claim may be brought in Bermuda against us or our directors and officers in the first instance for violation of U.S. federal securities laws because these laws have no extraterritorial jurisdiction under Bermuda law and do not have force of law in Bermuda. A Bermuda court may, however, impose civil liability, including the possibility of monetary damages, on us or our directors and officers if the facts alleged in a complaint constitute or give rise to a cause of action under Bermuda law.

We have been previously advised by Conyers Dill & Pearman, our Bermuda counsel, that there is doubt as to whether the courts of Bermuda would enforce judgments of U.S. courts obtained in actions against us or our directors and officers, as well as the experts named in this Report, predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal securities laws or original actions brought in Bermuda against us or these persons predicated solely upon U.S. federal securities laws. Further, we have been advised by Conyers Dill & Pearman that there is no treaty in effect between the U.S. and Bermuda providing for the enforcement of judgments of U.S. courts, and there are grounds upon which Bermuda courts may not enforce judgments of U.S. courts. Some remedies available under the laws of U.S. jurisdictions, including some remedies available under the U.S. federal securities laws, may not be allowed in Bermuda courts as contrary to that jurisdiction's public policy. Because judgments of U.S. courts are not automatically enforceable in Bermuda, it may be difficult for you to recover against us based upon such judgments.

Our internal control and reporting systems might not be effective in the future, which could increase the risk that we would become subject to restatements of our financial results or to regulatory action or litigation or other developments that could adversely affect our business.

Our ability to produce accurate financial statements and comply with applicable laws, rules and regulations is largely dependent on our maintenance of internal control and reporting systems, as well as on our ability to attract and retain qualified management and accounting and actuarial personnel to further develop our internal accounting function and control policies. If we fail to effectively establish and maintain such reporting and accounting systems or fail to attract and retain personnel who are capable of designing and operating such systems, these failures will increase the likelihood that we may be required to restate our financial results to correct errors or that we will become subject to legal and regulatory infractions, which may entail civil litigation and investigations by regulatory agencies including the SEC. In addition, if our management or our independent registered public accounting firm were to conclude that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective, investors could lose confidence in our reported financial information, and our financial flexibility and the value of our common shares could be adversely impacted.

Relationship with AmTrust and ACAC

We are dependent on AmTrust and its subsidiaries for a substantial portion of our business.

AmTrust is Maiden's largest client relationship and we will continue to derive a substantial portion of our business from AmTrust in the near term. We commenced our reinsurance business by providing traditional quota share reinsurance to AmTrust through the Reinsurance Agreement with AmTrust's Bermuda reinsurance subsidiary AII, assuming initially a 40% quota share portion of the net liabilities less recoveries of the policies written by AmTrust. In 2011, we provided additional quota share reinsurance through the European Hospital Liability Quota Share which is a separate one-year 40% quota share agreement with AmTrust Europe Limited and AmTrust International Underwriters Limited. The European Hospital Liability Quota Share covers those entities medical liability business in Europe, all of which is in Italy and France at the present time.



We are still dependent, however, on AmTrust and its subsidiaries for a substantial portion of our business and underwriting income. Our Reinsurance Agreement with AII has been renewed for an additional three years (until June 30, 2014), subject to certain early termination provisions (including if the A.M. Best rating of Maiden Bermuda is reduced below "A-"). The Reinsurance Agreement will be extended for additional terms of three years unless either party elects not to renew. There is no assurance that this agreement will not terminate. The termination of the Reinsurance Agreement would significantly reduce our revenues and could have a material adverse effect on us.

At the same time, there are risks related to the business of AmTrust and its insurance subsidiaries that may adversely impact our ability to continue doing business with them. In addition, we are not able to control the types or amounts of reinsurance AmTrust purchases from unaffiliated reinsurers, and any changes AmTrust makes to such reinsurance may affect our profitability and ability to write additional business.

Table of Contents

Our initial arrangements with AmTrust were negotiated while we were its affiliate. The arrangements could be challenged as not reflecting terms that we would agree to in arm's-length negotiations with an independent third party; moreover, our business relationship with AmTrust and its subsidiaries may present, and may make us vulnerable to, possible adverse tax consequences, difficult conflicts of interest, and legal claims that we have not acted in the best interest of our shareholders.

We entered into a quota share agreement with AII, which reinsures AmTrust's insurance company subsidiaries, and a Master Agreement with AmTrust, pursuant to which we and AmTrust agreed that we will cause Maiden Bermuda to enter into the quota share agreement. The asset management agreement with an AmTrust subsidiary, the reinsurance brokerage agreement with an AmTrust subsidiary, the warrants previously issued to our Founding Shareholders (which were exchanged for restricted common shares in September 2010) and the expired provisional employment agreement with our former Chief Executive Officer, Max G. Caviet, were negotiated while we were an affiliate of AmTrust. These circumstances could increase the likelihood that the IRS would claim that the agreements between us and AmTrust were not executed on an arm's-length basis and any such assertion, if not disproved by us, could result in adverse tax consequences to us.

Because (i) our Founding Shareholders collectively own or control approximately 59% of the outstanding shares of AmTrust's common stock, (ii) our Founding Shareholders sponsored our formation, and (iii) our Founding Shareholders' common shares represent approximately 28.3% of our outstanding common shares; we therefore may be deemed an affiliate of AmTrust. Due to our close business relationship with AmTrust, we may be presented with situations involving conflicts of interest with respect to the agreements and other arrangements we will enter into with AmTrust and its subsidiaries, exposing us to possible claims that we have not acted in the best interest of our shareholders. The arrangements between us and AmTrust were modified somewhat after they were originally entered into and there could be future modifications.

Our non-executive Chairman of the Board currently holds the positions of President, Chief Executive Officer and director of AmTrust, and our former Chief Executive Officer and director is currently employed by AmTrust as an executive officer. These dual positions may present, and make us vulnerable to, difficult conflicts of interest and related legal challenges.

Barry D. Zyskind, our non-executive Chairman of the Board, is the President, Chief Executive Officer and director of AmTrust and, as such, he does not serve our Company on a full-time basis. Mr. Zyskind is expected to continue in both of his positions for the foreseeable future. In addition, Max G. Caviet, our former Chief Executive Officer and director, is currently employed by AmTrust as an executive officer. Conflicts of interest could arise with respect to business opportunities that could be advantageous to AmTrust or its subsidiaries, on the one hand, and us or our subsidiary, on the other hand. In addition, potential conflicts of interest may arise should the interests of Maiden Holdings and AmTrust diverge. Because AmTrust was our only significant customer until November 2008, remains our largest customer, and is expected to remain our largest customer for at least the next several years, AmTrust could have the ability to significantly influence such situations. However, the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors, which consists entirely of independent directors, does review and approve all related party transactions, except those related to compensation, which our independent Compensation Committee reviews.

One of our Founding Shareholders owns the majority of the common stock of ACAC, and AmTrust has an investment in ACAC. This may present, and make us vulnerable to, difficult conflicts of interest and related legal challenges.

In November 2009, we announced an agreement in principal with ACAC regarding a multi-year 25% quota share agreement expected to generate over \$200 million in annual revenue. The contract commenced on March 1, 2010 after final regulatory approval and the closing of ACAC's acquisition of GMACI's U.S. consumer property and casualty

insurance business, as well as a small amount of commercial auto business. ACAC is owned by one of our Founding Shareholders, Michael Karfunkel, and the Michael Karfunkel 2005 Grantor Retained Annuity Trust (the "Trust"), which is controlled by Leah Karfunkel, wife of Michael Karfunkel. The Trust currently owns 72.4% of ACAC's issued and outstanding common stock, Michael Karfunkel currently owns 27.6% of ACAC's issued and outstanding common stock and AmTrust owns preferred shares convertible into 21.25% of the issued and outstanding common stock of ACAC.

Conflicts of interest could arise with respect to business opportunities that could be advantageous to ACAC or its subsidiaries, on the one hand, and disadvantageous to us or our subsidiary, on the other hand. In addition, potential conflicts of interest may arise should the interests of Maiden Holdings and ACAC diverge. Because it is anticipated that ACAC will be a significant customer for at least the next several years, one of our Founding Shareholders could have the ability to significantly influence such situations. However, the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, which consists entirely of independent directors, does review and approve all related party transactions, except those related to compensation, which our independent Compensation Committee reviews.

Table of Contents

Our funds will be loaned to AII to be placed in trusts for the benefit of AmTrust's insurance companies or will be placed in trusts for the benefit of other ceding companies.

Maiden Bermuda has agreed to collateralize its obligations under the Reinsurance Agreement by one or more of the following methods at the election of Maiden Bermuda:

by lending funds (which may include cash or investments) on an unsecured basis to AII pursuant to a loan agreement between Maiden Bermuda and AII with such funds being deposited by AII into the trust accounts established or to be established by AII for the sole benefit of AmTrust's U.S. insurance subsidiaries pursuant to the reinsurance agreements between AII and those AmTrust subsidiaries;

by transferring to AII assets for deposit into those trust accounts;

by delivering letters of credit to the applicable U.S. AmTrust insurance subsidiaries on behalf of AII; or

by requesting that AII cause such AmTrust insurance subsidiary to withhold premiums in lieu of remitting such premiums to AII.

As a result of our use of Regulation 114 trusts accounts or letters of credit and our election to lend funds to AII, a substantial portion of our assets will not be available to us for other uses, which could reduce our financial flexibility.

If collateral is required to be provided to any other AmTrust insurance company subsidiaries under applicable law or regulatory requirements, Maiden Bermuda will provide collateral to the extent required, although Maiden Bermuda does not expect that such collateral will be required unless an AmTrust insurance company subsidiary is domiciled in the U.S. Maiden Bermuda currently is satisfying its collateral requirements under the Master Agreement by lending funds (which may include cash or investments) on an unsecured basis to AII pursuant to a loan agreement. As of December 31, 2012, \$168.0 million was on loan to AII.

Maiden Bermuda is not a party to the reinsurance agreements between AII and AmTrust's U.S. insurance subsidiaries or the related reinsurance trust agreements and has no rights there under. If one or more of these AmTrust subsidiaries withdraws Maiden Bermuda's assets from their trust account, draws down on its letter of credit or misapplies withheld funds that are due to Maiden and that subsidiary is or becomes insolvent, we believe it may be more difficult for Maiden Bermuda to recover any such amounts to which we are entitled than it would be if Maiden Bermuda had entered into reinsurance and trust agreements with these AmTrust subsidiaries directly. AII has agreed to immediately return to Maiden Bermuda any collateral provided by Maiden Bermuda that one of those subsidiaries improperly utilizes or retains, and AmTrust has agreed to guarantee AII's repayment obligation and AII's payment obligations under its loan agreement with Maiden Bermuda. We are subject to the risk that AII and/or AmTrust may be unable or unwilling to discharge these obligations. In addition, if AII experiences a change in control and Maiden Bermuda chooses not to terminate the Reinsurance Agreement, AmTrust's guarantee obligations will terminate immediately and automatically.

We will not be able to control AmTrust's or ACAC's decisions relating to its other reinsurance, and AmTrust and/or ACAC may change its reinsurance in ways that could adversely affect us.

The reinsurance ceded by AmTrust and ACAC is net of any reinsurance that AmTrust and ACAC obtain from unaffiliated reinsurers. For example, Maiden Bermuda will receive 40% of AmTrust's premiums (net of commissions in the case of AmTrust's U.K. subsidiary) net of premiums ceded to unaffiliated reinsurers, and will be liable for 40% of losses and loss adjustment expenses on the ceded business net of any reinsurance recoverable (whether collectible or not) from unaffiliated reinsurers. We are not able to control the types or amounts of reinsurance that AmTrust or

ACAC purchases from unaffiliated reinsurers. If AmTrust and/or ACAC chose to purchase additional reinsurance from unaffiliated reinsurers, AmTrust and/or ACAC would reduce the premium revenue ceded to us. The purchase of such additional reinsurance would however, in general inure to our benefit.

#### Taxation

We may become subject to taxes in Bermuda after 2035, which may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results and on an investment in our shares.

The Bermuda Minister of Finance, under the Exempted Undertakings Tax Protection Act 1966, as amended, of Bermuda, has given each of Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda an assurance that if any legislation is enacted in Bermuda that would impose tax computed on profits or income, or computed on any capital asset, gain or appreciation, or any tax in the nature of estate duty or inheritance tax, then the imposition of any such tax will not be applicable to Maiden Holdings, Maiden Bermuda or any of their respective operations or their respective shares, debentures or other obligations (except insofar as such tax applies to persons ordinarily resident in Bermuda or to any taxes payable by them in respect of real property or leasehold interests in Bermuda held by them) until March 31, 2035. Given the limited

## Table of Contents

duration of the Minister of Finance's expected assurance, we cannot be certain that we will not be subject to any Bermuda tax after March 31, 2035. Since Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda are incorporated in Bermuda, we will be subject to changes of law or regulation in Bermuda that may have an adverse impact on our operations, including imposition of tax liability.

The impact of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development's directive to eliminate harmful tax practices is uncertain and could adversely affect our tax status in Bermuda.

The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (the "OECD") has published reports and launched a global dialogue among member and non-member countries on measures to limit harmful tax competition. These measures are largely directed at counteracting the effects of tax havens and preferential tax regimes in countries around the world. In the OECD's report dated April 18, 2002 and as periodically updated, Bermuda was not listed as an uncooperative tax haven jurisdiction because it had previously committed to eliminate harmful tax practices and to embrace international tax standards for transparency, exchange of information and the elimination of any aspects of the regimes for financial and other services that attract business with no substantial domestic activity. We are not able to predict what changes will arise from the commitment or whether such changes will subject us to additional taxes.

We may be subject to U.S. federal income tax, which would have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations and on an investment in our shares.

If either Maiden Holdings or Maiden Bermuda were considered to be engaged in a trade or business in the U.S., it could be subject to U.S. federal income and additional branch profits taxes on the portion of its earnings that are effectively connected to such U.S. business or in the case of Maiden Bermuda, if it is entitled to benefits under the U.S. income tax treaty with Bermuda and if Maiden Bermuda were considered engaged in a trade or business in the U.S. through a permanent establishment, Maiden Bermuda could be subject to U.S. federal income tax on the portion of its earnings that are attributable to its permanent establishment in the U.S., in which case its results of operations could be materially adversely affected. Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda are Bermuda companies. We intend to manage our business so that each of these companies should operate in such a manner that neither of these companies should be treated as engaged in a U.S. trade or business and, thus, should not be subject to U.S. federal taxation (other than the U.S. federal excise tax on insurance and reinsurance premium income attributable to insuring or reinsuring U.S. risks and U.S. federal withholding tax on certain U.S. source investment income). However, because (i) there is considerable uncertainty as to activities which constitute being engaged in a trade or business within the U.S.; (ii) a significant portion of Maiden Bermuda's business is reinsurance of AmTrust's insurance subsidiaries and ACAC's insurance subsidiaries; (iii) Maiden Bermuda has entered into a brokerage services agreement with IGI Intermediaries, Inc. ("IGI Inc.") (an AmTrust subsidiary that provides brokerage services in the U.S.); (iv) our non-executive Chairman of the Board is AmTrust's President and Chief Executive Officer, and certain of our executive officers or directors and former executive officers are also either executive officers of AmTrust or related to directors of AmTrust, including (a) our former interim Chief Financial Officer for part of 2007 was at the time and is AmTrust's Chief Financial Officer, (b) our former Chief Executive Officer is currently an executive officer of AmTrust, and (c) one of our directors is related to a significant shareholder of AmTrust; (v) one of our Founding Shareholders, Michael Karfunkel, controls ACAC; (vi) we have an asset management agreement with a subsidiary of AmTrust and may also have additional contractual relationships with AmTrust and its subsidiaries in the future, and (vii) the activities conducted outside the U.S. related to Maiden Bermuda's start-up were limited, thus we cannot be certain that the IRS will not contend successfully that we are engaged in a trade or business in the U.S.

### Potential Additional Application of the Federal Insurance Excise Tax.

The IRS, in Revenue Ruling 2008-15, has formally announced its position that the U.S. federal insurance excise tax (the "FET") is applicable (at a 1% rate on premiums) to all reinsurance cessions or retrocessions of risks by non-U.S.

insurers or reinsurers to non-U.S. reinsurers where the underlying risks are either (i) risks of a U.S. entity or individual located wholly or partly within the U.S. or (ii) risks of a non-U.S. entity or individual engaged in a trade or business in the U.S. which are located within the U.S. (“U.S. Situs Risks”), even if the FET has been paid on prior cessions of the same risks. The legal and jurisdictional basis for, and the method of enforcement of, the IRS’s position is unclear. Maiden Bermuda has not determined if the FET should be applicable with respect to risks ceded to it by, or by it to, a non-U.S. insurance company. If the FET is applicable, it should apply at a 1% rate on premium for all U.S. Situs Risks ceded to Maiden Bermuda by a non-U.S. insurance company, or by Maiden Bermuda to a non-U.S. insurance company, even though the FET also applies at a 1% rate on premium ceded to Maiden Bermuda with respect to such risks.

Holders of 10% or more of our shares may be subject to U.S. income taxation under the controlled foreign corporation rules.

If you are a “10% U.S. Shareholder” of a non-U.S. corporation (defined as a U.S. Person who owns (directly, indirectly through non-U.S. entities or constructively (as defined below)) at least 10% of the total combined voting

Table of Contents

power of all classes of shares entitled to vote) that is a controlled foreign corporation, which we refer to as a CFC, for an uninterrupted period of 30 days or more during a taxable year, and you own shares in the CFC directly or indirectly through non-U.S. entities on the last day of the CFC's taxable year, you must include in your gross income for U.S. federal income tax purposes your pro rata share of the CFC's "subpart F income", even if the subpart F income is not distributed. "Subpart F income" of a non-U.S. insurance corporation typically includes foreign personal holding company income (such as interest, dividends and other types of passive income), as well as insurance and reinsurance income (including underwriting and investment income). A non-U.S. corporation is considered a CFC if 10% U.S. Shareholders own (directly, indirectly through non-U.S. entities or by attribution by application of the constructive ownership rules of section 958(b) of the Code) (that is, "constructively") more than 50% of the total combined voting power of all classes of voting shares of that non-U.S. corporation or the total value of all stock of that corporation.

For purposes of taking into account insurance income, a CFC also includes a non-U.S. insurance company in which more than 25% of the total combined voting power of all classes of shares (or more than 25% of the total value of the shares) is owned (directly, indirectly through non-U.S. entities or constructively) by 10% U.S. shareholders on any day during the taxable year of such corporation.

For purposes of this discussion, the term "U.S. Person" means: (i) an individual citizen or resident of the U.S., (ii) a partnership or corporation created or organized in or under the laws of the U.S., or under the laws of any State thereof (including the District of Columbia), (iii) an estate, the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source, (iv) a trust if either (1) a court within the U.S. is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of such trust and one or more U.S. Persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of such trust or (2) the trust has a valid election in effect to be treated as a U.S. Person for U.S. federal income tax purposes or (v) any other person or entity that is treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as if it were one of the foregoing.

Because our Founding Shareholders owned all of the shares of Maiden Holdings prior to July 3, 2007, Maiden Holdings was a CFC during the period of 2007 prior to July 3, 2007. Following the 2007 private offering, Barry Zyskind may be treated as a 10% U.S. Shareholder of Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda as a result of his seat on the board of Maiden Holdings, George Karfunkel and/or Michael Karfunkel may be treated as a 10% U.S. Shareholder of Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda as a result of Yehuda Neuberger's seat on the board of Maiden Holdings, because of Mr. Neuberger's significant familial connections to the Karfunkels and, through them, to AmTrust. We believe, subject to the discussion below, that because of provisions in our organizational documents that limit voting power and other factors, no U.S. Person who acquired our shares directly or indirectly through one or more non-U.S. entities should be treated as owning (directly, indirectly through non-U.S. entities or constructively) 10% or more of the total voting power of all classes of Maiden Holdings' or Maiden Bermuda's shares. However, the IRS could challenge the effectiveness of the provisions in our organizational documents and a court could sustain such a challenge. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that a U.S. Person (other than the Founding Shareholders) who owns our shares will not be characterized as a 10% U.S. Shareholder.

U.S. Persons who hold our shares may be subject to U.S. federal income taxation at ordinary income rates on their proportionate share of Maiden Bermuda's related person insurance income.

If U.S. persons are treated as owning 25% or more of Maiden Bermuda's shares (by vote or by value) (as is expected to be the case) and the related person insurance income ("RPII") of Maiden Bermuda (determined on a gross basis) were to equal or exceed 20% of Maiden Bermuda's gross insurance income in any taxable year and direct or indirect insureds (and persons related to those insureds) own directly or indirectly through entities 20% or more of the voting power or value of our shares, then a U.S. Person who owns any shares of Maiden Bermuda (directly or indirectly through non-U.S. entities) on the last day of the taxable year would be required to include in its income for U.S. federal income tax purposes such person's pro rata share of Maiden Bermuda's RPII for the entire taxable year,



determined as if such RPII were distributed proportionately only to U.S. Persons at that date, regardless of whether such income is distributed. In addition, any RPII that is includible in the income of a U.S. tax-exempt organization generally will be treated as unrelated business taxable income. The amount of RPII earned by Maiden Bermuda (generally, premium and related investment income from the direct or indirect insurance or reinsurance of any direct or indirect U.S. holder of shares or any person related to such holder) will depend on a number of factors, including the identity of persons directly or indirectly insured or reinsured by Maiden Bermuda. As of December 31, 2012, we believe that either (i) the direct or indirect insureds of Maiden Bermuda (and related persons) should not directly or indirectly own 20% or more of either the voting power or value of our shares or (ii) the RPII (determined on a gross basis) of Maiden Bermuda should not equal or exceed 20% of Maiden Bermuda's gross insurance income for the taxable year ended December 31, 2012 and we do not expect both of these thresholds to be exceeded in the foreseeable future. However, we cannot be certain that this will be the case because some of the factors which determine the extent of RPII may be beyond our control.

Table of Contents

U.S. Persons who dispose of our shares may be subject to U.S. federal income taxation at the rates applicable to dividends on a portion of their gains if any.

The RPII rules provide that if a U.S. Person disposes of shares in a non-U.S. insurance corporation in which U.S. Persons own 25% or more of the shares (even if the amount of gross RPII is less than 20% of the corporation's gross insurance income and the ownership of its shares by direct or indirect insureds and related persons is less than the 20% threshold), any gain from the disposition will generally be treated as a dividend to the extent of the holder's share of the corporation's undistributed earnings and profits that were accumulated during the period that the holder owned the shares (whether or not such earnings and profits are attributable to RPII). In addition, such a holder will be required to comply with certain reporting requirements, regardless of the amount of shares owned by the holder. These RPII rules should not apply to dispositions of our shares because Maiden Holdings will not be directly engaged in the insurance business. The RPII provisions, however, have never been interpreted by the courts or the U.S. Treasury Department in final regulations, and regulations interpreting the RPII provisions of the Code exist only in proposed form. It is not certain whether these regulations will be adopted in their proposed form or what changes or clarifications might ultimately be made thereto or whether any such changes, as well as any interpretation or application of the RPII rules by the IRS, the courts, or otherwise, might have retroactive effect. The U.S. Treasury Department has authority to impose, among other things, additional reporting requirements with respect to RPII. Accordingly, the meaning of the RPII provisions and the application thereof to Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda is uncertain.

U.S. Persons who hold our shares will be subject to adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences if Maiden Holdings is considered to be a passive foreign investment company.

If Maiden Holdings is considered a passive foreign investment company, or a PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, a U.S. Person who owns directly or, in some cases, indirectly (e.g. through a non-U.S. partnership) any of our shares will be subject to adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences, including subjecting the investor to a greater tax liability than might otherwise apply and subjecting the investor to a tax on amounts in advance of when such tax would otherwise be imposed, in which case your investment could be materially adversely affected. In addition, if Maiden Holdings were considered a PFIC, upon the death of any U.S. individual owning our shares, such individual's heirs or estate would not be entitled to a "step-up" in the basis of the shares which might otherwise be available under U.S. federal income tax laws. We believe that we are not, and we currently do not expect to become, a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes; however, there can be no assurance that we will not be deemed a PFIC by the IRS. There are currently no regulations regarding the application of the PFIC provisions to an insurance company. New regulations or pronouncements interpreting or clarifying these rules may be forthcoming. We cannot predict what impact, if any, such guidance would have on a shareholder that is subject to U.S. federal income taxation.

The Quota Share Agreements between Maiden Bermuda and AmTrust and ACAC, respectively, may be subject to recharacterization or other adjustment for U.S. federal income tax purposes, which may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results.

Under section 845 of the Code, the IRS may allocate income, deductions, assets, reserves, credits and any other items related to a reinsurance agreement among certain related parties to the reinsurance agreement, or in circumstances where one party is an agent of the other, recharacterize such items, or make any other adjustment, in order to reflect the proper source, character or amount of the items for each party. In addition, if a reinsurance contract has a significant tax avoidance effect on any party to the contract, the IRS may make adjustments with respect to such party to eliminate the tax avoidance effect. No regulations have been issued under section 845 of the Code. Accordingly, the application of such provisions is uncertain and we cannot predict what impact, if any, such provisions may have on us.

Changes in U.S. federal income tax law could materially adversely affect an investment in our shares.

In the past, legislation has been introduced in the U.S. Congress (but not enacted) intended to eliminate certain perceived tax advantages of companies (including insurance companies) that have legal domiciles outside the U.S. but have certain U.S. connections. It is possible that legislation could be introduced and enacted by the current Congress or future Congresses that could have an adverse effect on us, or our shareholders. For example, President Obama's 2011 budget proposal would reduce or eliminate the tax deduction for reinsurance premiums paid by a U.S. insurer or reinsurer to an affiliate in a lower tax jurisdiction, such as Bermuda. Another proposal would treat foreign corporations as U.S. corporations for tax purposes if management and control occur primarily in the U.S. Any such change in U.S. tax law could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Additionally, the U.S. federal income tax laws and interpretations regarding whether a company is engaged in a trade or business within the U.S., or is a PFIC or whether U.S. Persons would be required to include in their gross income the "subpart F income" or the RPII of a CFC are subject to change, possibly on a retroactive basis. There are currently no regulations regarding the application of the PFIC rules to insurance companies and the regulations regarding RPII are still in proposed form. New regulations or pronouncements interpreting or clarifying such rules may be

Table of Contents

forthcoming. We cannot be certain if, when or in what form such regulations or pronouncements may be provided and whether such guidance will have a retroactive effect.

We may be subject to United Kingdom taxes, which would have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations and on an investment in our shares.

A company which is resident in the U.K. for U.K. corporation tax purposes is subject to U.K. corporation tax in respect of its worldwide income and gains. While Maiden Global is a U.K. company, neither Maiden Holdings nor Maiden Bermuda are incorporated in the U.K. Nevertheless, Maiden Holdings or Maiden Bermuda would be treated as being resident in the U.K. for U.K. corporation tax purposes if its central management and control were exercised in the U.K. The concept of central management and control is indicative of the highest level of control of a company's affairs, which is wholly a question of fact. The directors and officers of both Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda intend to manage their affairs so that both companies are resident in Bermuda, and not resident in the U.K., for U.K. tax purposes. However, Her Majesty's Revenue & Customs could challenge our tax residence status.

A company which is not resident in the U.K. for U.K. corporation tax purposes can nevertheless be subject to U.K. corporation tax at the rate of 24%, falling to 23% from 1 April 2013, if it carries on a trade in the U.K. through a permanent establishment in the U.K., but the charge to U.K. corporation tax is limited to profits (including income profits and chargeable gains) attributable directly or indirectly to such permanent establishment.

The directors and officers of Maiden Bermuda intend to operate the business of Maiden Bermuda in such a manner that it does not carry on a trade in the U.K. through a permanent establishment in the U.K. Nevertheless, Her Majesty's Revenue & Customs might contend successfully that Maiden Bermuda is trading in the U.K. through a permanent establishment in the U.K. because there is considerable uncertainty as to the activities which constitute carrying on a trade in the U.K. through a permanent establishment in the U.K.

The U.K. has no income tax treaty with Bermuda. Companies that are neither resident in the U.K. nor entitled to the protection afforded by a double tax treaty between the U.K. and the jurisdiction in which they are resident are liable to income tax in the U.K., at the basic rate of 22%, on the profits of a trade carried on in the U.K., where that trade is not carried on through a permanent establishment in the U.K. The directors and officers of Maiden Bermuda intend to operate the business in such a manner that Maiden Bermuda will not fall within the charge to income tax in the U.K. (other than by way of deduction or withholding) in this respect.

If either Maiden Holdings or Maiden Bermuda were treated as being resident in the U.K. for U.K. corporation tax purposes, or if Maiden Bermuda were treated as carrying on a trade in the U.K., whether through a permanent establishment or otherwise, the results of our operations would be materially adversely affected.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

We currently lease office space in Bermuda (our corporate headquarters), the United States, the United Kingdom, Germany, Austria and Russia for the operation of our business. We also lease a property for employee use in Bermuda. Our office leases have remaining terms ranging from 3 months to approximately 5 years in length. We renew and enter into new leases in the ordinary course of business as needed. While we believe that the office space from these leased properties is sufficient for us to conduct our operations for the foreseeable future, we may need to expand into additional facilities to accommodate future growth. For more information on our leasing arrangements,

please see Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We renewed our office space lease in Hamilton, Bermuda commencing December 1, 2012 for Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda. The term of this agreement expires on November 30, 2017 with an option to renew for another five years. We have an office space lease in Mount Laurel, New Jersey expiring on May 31, 2015, for use by Maiden Re, Maiden US and Maiden Specialty. We have also executed an office space lease in Beaconsfield, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom commencing on October 1, 2010, for Maiden Global; the initial term of this agreement expires on October 1, 2015, with one option of five years. We also have ten other office space leases in the U.S., one property lease in Bermuda and one office space lease in each of Germany, Austria and Russia, respectively, with various expiry dates.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

We may become involved in various claims and legal proceedings that arise in the normal course of our business, which are not likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

In April 2009, the Company learned that Bentzion S. Turin, the former Chief Operating Officer, General Counsel and Secretary of Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda, sent a letter to the U.S. Department of Labor claiming that his employment with the Company was terminated in retaliation for corporate whistle blowing in violation of the whistle blower protection provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Mr. Turin alleged concerns regarding corporate governance with respect to negotiation of the terms of the TRUPS Offering and seeks reinstatement as Chief Operating Officer, General Counsel and Secretary of Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda, back pay and legal fees incurred. The Company believes that it had ample reason for terminating such employment for good and sufficient legal cause, and the Company believes that the claim is without merit and is vigorously defending this claim. On December 31, 2009, the U.S. Secretary of Labor found no reasonable cause for Mr. Turin's claim and dismissed the complaint in its entirety. Mr. Turin objected to the Secretary's findings and requested a hearing before an administrative law judge in the U.S. Department of Labor. The Company moved to dismiss Mr. Turin's complaint, and its motion was granted by the Administrative Law Judge on June 30, 2011. On July 13, 2011, Mr. Turin filed a petition for review of the Administrative Law Judge's decision with the Administrative Review Board in the U.S. Department of Labor. The Company filed its brief in opposition to the petition for review on October 19, 2011.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Our common shares began publicly trading on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "MHL" on May 6, 2008. The following table sets out the high and low prices for our common shares for the periods indicated as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market. Such prices reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and do not necessarily represent actual transactions.

|                | High   | Low    |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| 2011           |        |        |
| First quarter  | \$8.48 | \$7.10 |
| Second quarter | \$9.75 | \$7.14 |
| Third quarter  | \$9.88 | \$7.32 |
| Fourth quarter | \$8.95 | \$6.99 |
| 2012           |        |        |
| First quarter  | \$9.73 | \$8.25 |
| Second quarter | \$8.79 | \$7.84 |
| Third quarter  | \$9.52 | \$8.16 |
| Fourth quarter | \$9.21 | \$8.10 |

At February 25, 2013, the last reported sale price of our common share was \$9.98 per share and there were 22 holders of record of our common shares. This figure does not represent the actual number of beneficial owners of our common shares because shares are frequently held in "street name" by securities dealers and others for the benefit of beneficial owners who may vote the shares.

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we declared regular quarterly dividends totaling \$0.33 and \$0.30 per common share, respectively. The continued declaration and payment of dividends to holders of common shares is expected but will be at the discretion of our board of directors and subject to specified legal, regulatory, financial and other restrictions.

On December 24, 2012, the Company adopted a written trading plan to facilitate the repurchase of its common shares in accordance with the Company's existing share purchase reauthorization whereby in August 2012, the Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to \$75 million common shares. During the year ended, December 31, 2012, there was no common shares repurchased by the Company.

As a holding company, our principal source of income is dividends or other statutorily permissible payments from our subsidiaries. The ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends is limited by the applicable laws and regulations of the various countries in which we operate, including Bermuda and the U.S. See Item 1 Business — Regulatory Matters, Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition, and Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources — Restrictions, Collateral and Specific Requirements, and Note 17 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The following information is not deemed to be “soliciting material” or to be “filed” with the SEC or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and the report shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any prior or subsequent filing by the Company under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

The following graph shows the cumulative total return, including reinvestment of dividends, on the common shares compared to such return for S&P 500 Composite Stock Price Index (“S&P 500”), and NASDAQ Insurance Index for the period beginning on May 6, 2008, the date of our listing on NASDAQ, and ending on December 31, 2012, assuming \$100 was invested on May 6, 2008. The measurement point on the graph represents the cumulative shareholder return as measured by the last reported sale price on such date during the relevant period.

Total Return To Shareholders  
(Includes Reinvestment of Dividends)  
Comparison of Cumulative Total Return



Table of Contents

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following tables set forth our summary historical statement of operations data and summary balance sheet data as of and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. Statement of operations data and balance sheet data are derived from our audited Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. These historical results are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected from any future period. For further discussion of this risk see Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. You should read the following selected financial data in conjunction with the other information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data".

| For the Year Ended December 31,   | 2012   | 2011       | 2010       |
|---|--|------------|------------|
|   | (\$ in Millions, Except per Share<br>Amounts and Ratios) |            |            |
| Summary Consolidated Statement of Income Data:                                  |  |            |            |
| Gross premiums written  | \$2,001.0  | \$1,812.6  | \$1,298.1  |
| Net premiums written  | \$1,901.3  | \$1,723.5  | \$1,227.8  |
| Net premiums earned   | \$1,803.8  | \$1,552.4  | \$1,169.8  |
| Other insurance revenue   | 12.9   | 12.6       | —          |
| Net investment income   | 81.2   | 74.9       | 71.6       |
| Net realized and unrealized gains on investments                                | 1.9  | 0.5        | 6.6        |
| Total revenues  | 1,899.8  | 1,640.4    | 1,248.0    |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expenses   | 1,262.3  | 1,043.1    | 755.1      |
| Commissions and other acquisition expenses                                      | 492.1  | 438.8      | 336.7      |
| General and administrative expenses   | 53.8   | 53.9       | 42.2       |
| Interest and amortization expenses  | 36.4   | 34.1       | 36.5       |
| Accelerated amortization of junior subordinated debt discount and issuance cost | —  | 20.3       | —          |
| Junior subordinated debt repurchase expense                                     | —  | 15.1       | —          |
| Amortization of intangible assets   | 4.4  | 5.0        | 5.8        |
| Foreign exchange (gains) losses   | (1.6   | ) (0.3     | ) 0.5      |
| Income tax expense  | 2.2  | 1.9        | 1.3        |
| Income attributable to noncontrolling interests                                 | 0.1  | —          | —          |
| Total expenses  | 1,849.7  | 1,611.9    | 1,178.1    |
| Dividends on preference shares  | (3.6   | ) —        | —          |
| Net income attributable to Maiden common shareholders                           | \$46.5   | \$28.5     | \$69.9     |
| Per Share Data:   |  |            |            |
| Earnings per common share <sup>(1)</sup> :                                      |  |            |            |
| Basic   | \$0.64   | \$0.40     | \$0.99     |
| Diluted   | \$0.64   | \$0.39     | \$0.98     |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:                           |  |            |            |
| Basic   | 72,263,022   | 72,155,503 | 70,799,966 |
| Diluted   | 73,105,531   | 72,903,688 | 71,372,688 |
| Dividends declared per common share   | \$0.33   | \$0.30     | \$0.265    |



Table of Contents

| For the Year Ended December 31,                                   | 2012                                       | 2011    | 2010    |   |
|---|--|---------|---------|---|
| Selected Consolidated Ratios:                                     |  |         |         |   |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio <sup>(2)</sup>             | 69.5                                       | % 66.6  | % 64.6  | % |
| Commission and other acquisition expense ratio <sup>(3)</sup>     | 27.1                                       | % 28.0  | % 28.8  | % |
| General and administrative expense ratio <sup>(4)</sup>           | 2.9  | % 3.5   | % 3.5   | % |
| Expense ratio <sup>(5)</sup>                                      | 30.0                                       | % 31.5  | % 32.3  | % |
| Combined ratio <sup>(6)</sup>                                     | 99.5                                       | % 98.1  | % 96.9  | % |
| December 31,  | 2012                                       | 2011    | 2010    |   |
|   | (\$ in Millions, Except per Share Amounts) |         |         |   |
| Summary Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:                          |  |         |         |   |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$81.5                                     | \$188.1 | \$96.2  |   |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents                              | 132.3                                      | 114.9   | 89.8    |   |
| Investments at fair market value                                  | 2,621.6                                    | 2,022.9 | 1,880.3 |   |
| Reinsurance balances receivable, net                              | 522.6                                      | 423.4   | 226.3   |   |
| Funds withheld  | 42.7                                       | 42.6    | 152.7   |   |
| Loan to related party   | 168.0                                      | 168.0   | 168.0   |   |
| Deferred commission and other acquisition expenses                | 270.7                                      | 248.4   | 203.6   |   |
| Total assets  | 4,138.2                                    | 3,395.1 | 2,982.6 |   |
| Reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses                     | 1,740.3                                    | 1,398.4 | 1,226.8 |   |
| Unearned premiums   | 936.5                                      | 832.0   | 657.6   |   |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value | —  | —       | 76.2    |   |
| Senior notes  | 207.5                                      | 107.5   | —       |   |
| Junior subordinated debt  | 126.3                                      | 126.3   | 215.2   |   |
| Total Maiden shareholders' equity                                 | 1,015.2                                    | 768.6   | 750.2   |   |
| Book value per common share <sup>(7)</sup>                        | \$11.96                                    | \$10.64 | \$10.40 |   |

(1) Please refer to Note 13 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per common share.

(2) Calculated by dividing net loss and loss adjustment expenses by the sum of net premiums earned and other insurance revenue.

(3) Calculated by dividing commission and other acquisition expenses by the sum of net premiums earned and other insurance revenue.

(4) Calculated by dividing general and administrative expenses by the sum of net premiums earned and other insurance revenue.

(5) Calculated by combining the commission and other acquisition expense ratio and the general and administrative expense ratio.

(6) Calculated by combining the net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio, commission and other acquisition expense ratio and general and administrative expense ratio.

Basic book value per common share is defined as total shareholders' equity available to common shareholders (7)divided by the number of common shares issued and outstanding as of the end of the period, giving no effect to dilutive securities.



Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Amounts in tables may not reconcile due to rounding differences. Some of the information contained in this discussion and analysis or set forth elsewhere in this Report, including information with respect to the Company's plans and strategy for its business, includes forward-looking statements that involve risk and uncertainties. Please see the "Special Note About Forward-Looking Statements" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information on factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results described in or implied by any forward-looking statements contained in this discussion and analysis. You should review the "Risk Factors" set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results described in or implied by the forward-looking statements contained herein.

Since our founding in 2007, we have entered into a series of significant strategic transactions that have transformed the scope and scale of our business while keeping our low volatility, non-catastrophe risk profile intact. These transactions have increased our gross premiums written to in excess of \$2.0 billion in 2012 while strongly positioning our capital to extend its business platform both in the U.S. and internationally and include:

- Entering into a quota share reinsurance agreement with American Capital Acquisition Corporation ("ACAC") in 2010 (the "ACAC Quota Share");

- Acquiring the majority of the reinsurance-related infrastructure, assets and liabilities of U.K.-based GMAC International Insurance Services, Ltd. in 2010 (the "IIS Acquisition");

- Completing a public debt offering of \$107.5 million in June 2011 and repurchasing a like amount of our outstanding TRUPS Offering securities in July 2011 ("2011 Senior Notes");

- Completing a public debt offering of \$100.0 million in March 2012 ("2012 Senior Notes"). The net proceeds of \$96.6 million have been used for working capital and general corporate purposes; and

Completing a public offering of \$150.0 million Preference Shares - Series A (the "Preference Shares"). The Company received net proceeds of \$145.0 million from the offering. The net proceeds from the offering are expected to be used for continued support and development of our reinsurance business and for other general corporate purposes, which may include repurchasing a portion of the Company's outstanding common shares and repurchasing the Company's outstanding 14% 30-year trust preferred securities ("TRUPS") issued in January 2009.

These significant transactions along with other unusual or non-recurring events should be considered when evaluating year-to-year comparability or when comparing our performance with other companies considered our peers and with whom we compete on a regular basis.

Overview

We are a Bermuda-based holding company formed in June 2007 primarily focused on serving the needs of regional and specialty insurers in the United States and Europe by providing innovative reinsurance solutions designed to support their capital needs. We specialize in reinsurance solutions that optimize financing by providing coverage

within the more predictable and actuarially credible lower layers of coverage and/or reinsuring risks that are believed to be lower hazard, more predictable and generally not susceptible to catastrophe claims. Our tailored solutions include a variety of value added services focused on helping our clients grow and prosper.

We provide reinsurance through our wholly owned subsidiaries, Maiden US and Maiden Bermuda and have operations in the United States and Bermuda. On a more limited basis, Maiden Specialty, a wholly owned subsidiary of Maiden US, provides primary insurance on a surplus lines basis focusing on non-catastrophe inland marine and property coverages. During 2013, it is our intention to substantially reduce our exposure to these coverages. Maiden Bermuda does not underwrite any primary insurance business. Maiden LF is a life insurer organized in Sweden and writes credit life insurance on a primary basis in support of Maiden Global business development efforts.

We currently operate our business through three segments: Diversified Reinsurance, AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance and ACAC Quota Share. As of December 31, 2012, we had approximately \$4.1 billion in total assets, \$1.0 billion of total shareholders' equity and \$1.3 billion in total capital, which includes shareholders' equity, the senior notes and junior subordinated debt.

## Table of Contents

The market conditions in which we operate have historically been cyclical, experiencing cycles of price erosion followed by rate strengthening as a result of catastrophes or other significant losses that affect the overall capacity of the industry to provide coverage. During the period covered by this discussion, the reinsurance market has been characterized by significant competition in most lines of business.

Natural and man-made catastrophes occur each year that affect reinsurance industry results. In each of the last three years the insurance and reinsurance industry has experienced an extensive series of significant natural and man-made catastrophes, both globally and in the U.S., that negatively impacted overall industry performance. Consistent with our business model, the Company only experienced modest losses from the 2010 and 2011 global catastrophe events.

Despite the elevated levels of global and U.S. catastrophe losses affecting the industry during this period, industry financial conditions, taken as a whole, have continued to improve through a combination of very positive non-catastrophe underwriting results, enhanced balance sheets resulting from strong fixed income market performance and readily available capital sources for industry participants. As a result, capital positions across the insurance and reinsurance industry appeared to remain adequate through December 31, 2012.

However, the property and casualty industry invests significant portions of its premiums and retained underwriting profits in fixed income maturities and relies significantly on investment income to generate acceptable levels of net income. Yields on these securities have continued to decline and remain at historically low levels. Interest rates are widely forecast to persist at such levels for the foreseeable future. During the third quarter of 2012, the U.S. Federal Reserve announced additional policy measures designed to provide greater liquidity to certain credit markets, in particular the mortgage-backed securities market. The U.S. Federal Reserve announced additional actions in the fourth quarter of 2012 further increasing liquidity in credit markets. The likely continued existence of these investment conditions should continue to adversely impact the results of the property and casualty industry generally, placing additional pressure on both insurance and reinsurance companies underwriting results.

Although the combined ultimate impact of recent catastrophe activity, in particular Superstorm Sandy, and the fixed income investment environment remains unclear and is currently more uncertain in light of reinsurance industry performance, broad industry conditions brought about by these events remain supportive of improved pricing in primary insurance markets in the near term. To date however, industry financial conditions have limited the amount of enhanced reinsurance pricing the industry would normally experience during periods of increased catastrophe losses. More recently, January 1 reinsurance renewals for the industry appeared to show limited pricing improvement as a result of Sandy. However, the scope and tenure of any improved pricing environment remains less certain.

As market conditions continue to develop, we continue to maintain our adherence to disciplined underwriting by declining business when pricing, terms and conditions do not meet our underwriting standards. Depending on the ultimate impact of Superstorm Sandy combined with the continuing unfavorable investment environment on industry capital positions and profitability, a significant positive effect on competition and pricing is possible. We believe we are well positioned to take advantage of market conditions should the pricing environment become more favorable.

## Recent Developments

### Losses Incurred from Catastrophic Events

As we have described, our business model is designed to minimize our exposure to catastrophic property losses. Despite this approach, we periodically do incur losses from such events which exceed our provisions for normalized catastrophe activity, which occurred both in 2011 and 2012.

In 2011, the unusually high frequency of loss activity from U.S. thunderstorm and tornado impacted our U.S. clients in the second quarter of 2011, adversely affecting the Company's results. The 2011 results include \$9.5 million in losses incurred by Maiden US related to thunderstorm and tornado activity across the U.S. in the second quarter, net of the Company's quarterly provisions for normalized catastrophe activity. These losses increased our loss ratio and combined ratio for that period by 0.6% on a consolidated basis.

In 2012, we incurred significant losses as a result of Superstorm Sandy which struck the Northeast U.S. on October 29, 2012. Presently, industry insured losses are likely to exceed \$20 billion making it the largest catastrophe loss in U.S. history. Based on a comprehensive analysis of the Company's exposure in the affected area, as well as loss reports and estimates from clients, we initially expected the underwriting impact from Superstorm Sandy, net of applicable reinsurance and the Company's provision for normalized catastrophe losses to be in the range of between \$25 million and \$35 million. Maiden's exposure to this event emanates predominantly from the Company's excess property insurance business written by Maiden Specialty, and to a lesser extent from the U.S. assumed treaty reinsurance business written by Maiden US and the ACAC Quota Share.



Table of Contents

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company has presently incurred \$31.1 million in losses from Sandy, which increased its loss ratio and combined ratio by 1.7% for the year. The sources of these losses are as follows:

| For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 | Maiden US<br>(\$ in Millions) | Maiden Specialty | ACAC  | Total   |   |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------|---------|---|
| Losses Incurred                      | \$6.5                         | \$22.6           | \$2.0 | \$31.1  |   |
| % of Total                           | 20.9                          | % 72.7           | % 6.4 | % 100.0 | % |

Other U.S.-based catastrophe experience in 2011 and 2012 were within the Company's expected parameters which are incorporated into the pricing of our Maiden US accounts. Despite these elevated level of weather-related losses in 2011 and 2012, consistent with its operating model, Maiden has maintained profitable underwriting results in both years.

#### Issuance of Preference Shares

On August 22, 2012, the Company issued six million 8.25% Preference Shares, par value \$0.01 per share, at \$25 per share. The Company received net proceeds of \$145.0 million from the offering, after deducting expenses and underwriting discounts of \$5.0 million. The Preference Shares have no stated maturity date and are redeemable in whole or in part at the option of the Company any time on or after August 29, 2017 at a redemption price of \$25 per share plus any declared and unpaid dividends, without accumulation of any undeclared dividends.

Dividends on the Preference Shares are non-cumulative. Consequently, in the event dividends are not declared on the Preference Shares for any dividend period, holders of Preference Shares will not be entitled to receive a dividend for such period, and such undeclared dividend will not accrue and will not be payable. The holders of Preference Shares will be entitled to receive dividend payments only when, as and if declared by the Company's board of directors or a duly authorized committee of the board of directors. Any such dividends will be payable from, and including, the date of original issue on a non-cumulative basis, quarterly in arrears. To the extent declared, these dividends will accumulate, with respect to each dividend period, in an amount per share equal to 8.25% of the \$25 liquidation preference per annum.

The holders of the Preference Shares have no voting rights other than the right to elect up to two directors if preference share dividends are not declared and paid for six or more dividend periods. The Preference Shares have been listed on the New York Stock Exchange and trading commenced on August 31, 2012 under the symbol "MHPRA".

#### Senior Note Offerings

On June 24, 2011, the Company's wholly-owned U.S. holding company subsidiary, Maiden NA, closed the offering of \$107.5 million aggregate principal amount of 8.25% Senior Notes due June 15, 2041 ("2011 Senior Notes"), which are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by Maiden Holdings. The 2011 Senior Notes are redeemable for cash, in whole or in part, on or after June 15, 2016, at 100% of the principal amount of the 2011 Senior Notes to be repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest up to but excluding the redemption date. Maiden NA has listed the 2011 Senior Notes on the New York Stock Exchange and trading commenced on July 21, 2011 under the symbol "MHNA".

Total net proceeds from the offering were approximately \$104.7 million, after deducting the underwriting discount and estimated offering expenses payable by Maiden NA and the Company. The net proceeds were used to repurchase a portion of the TRUPS Offering securities. The Company repurchased \$107.5 million of Junior Subordinated Debt issued in the TRUPS Offering securities on July 15, 2011. Pursuant to the terms of the TRUPS Offering, the Company

incurred a non-recurring repurchase expense of approximately \$15.1 million, which was reported in the Company's results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011. The Company will save approximately \$6.2 million annually as a result of the refinancing, and approximately \$15.9 million from the closing of the 2011 Senior Notes offering until January 20, 2014, the date on which the repurchase or redemption penalty associated with the TRUPS Offering expires. As a result of the repurchase, the Company also incurred an additional non-recurring non-cash charge of approximately \$20.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, which represents the accelerated amortization of original issue discount and issuance costs associated with equity issued in conjunction with the TRUPS Offering.

On March 27 2012, the Company, through Maiden NA, issued \$100.0 million principal amount of 8.00% Senior Notes ("2012 Senior Notes") due on March 27, 2042, which are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Company. The 2012 Senior Notes are redeemable for cash, in whole or in part, on or after March 27, 2017, at 100% of the principal amount to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to but excluding the redemption date. Maiden NA has listed

Table of Contents

the 2012 Senior Notes on the New York Stock Exchange and trading commenced on March 29, 2012 under the symbol "MHNB".

The net proceeds from the 2012 Senior Notes of \$96.6 million have been used for working capital and general corporate purposes.

The 2011 Senior Notes and 2012 Senior Notes may also be referred to as the "2011 Senior Note Offering" or the "2012 Senior Note Offering", respectively, and may collectively be referred to as the "Senior Note Offerings".

2012 Financial Highlights

2012 Consolidated Results of Operations

Net income attributable to Maiden common shareholders of \$46.5 million, or \$0.64 basic and diluted earnings per common share for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$28.5 million, or \$0.40 basic and \$0.39 diluted earnings per common share for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Operating earnings<sup>(1)</sup> of \$48.5 million, or \$0.67 basic and \$0.66 diluted operating earnings per common share<sup>(1)</sup> for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$69.6 million, or \$0.97 basic and \$0.96 diluted operating earnings per common share for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Gross premiums written of \$2.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012, a 10.4% increase over the year ended December 31, 2011.

Net premiums earned of \$1.8 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012, a 16.2% increase over the year ended December 31, 2011.

Underwriting income of \$18.7 million and combined ratio<sup>(2)</sup> of 99.5% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$42.8 million and 98.1% for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Net investment income of \$81.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, a 8.4% increase over the year ended December 31, 2011.

2012 Consolidated Financial Condition

Operating return on common equity<sup>(1)</sup> of 5.9% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 9.2% for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Common shareholders' equity of \$865.2 million at December 31, 2012 compared to \$768.6 million at December 31, 2011; book value per common share of \$11.96 and \$10.64 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Total cash and investments of \$2.8 billion and \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively; fixed maturities comprise 92.4% and 86.9% of total invested assets, of which 48.0% and 60.1% have a credit rating of AA+ or better and an overall average credit rating of A+ at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Total assets of \$4.1 billion at December 31, 2012 compared to \$3.4 billion at December 31, 2011.

Reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses of \$1.7 billion and \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Total debt of \$333.8 million and \$233.8 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and a debt to total capitalization ratio of 24.7% and 23.3% at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Operating earnings, operating earnings per share and operating return on equity are non-GAAP financial measures.

(1) See “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” for additional information and a reconciliation to the nearest U.S. GAAP financial measure (net income).

(2) Combined ratio is an operating metric. See “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” for additional information.

Table of Contents

## Non-GAAP Financial Measures

In presenting the Company's results, management has included and discussed certain non-GAAP financial measures. Management believes that these non-GAAP measures, which may be defined differently by other companies, better explain the Company's results of operations in a manner that allows for a more complete understanding of the underlying trends in the Company's business. However these measures should not be viewed as a substitute for those determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP. These non-GAAP measures are:

**Operating Earnings and Operating Earnings per Common Share:** In addition to presenting net income determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP, we believe that showing operating earnings enables investors, analysts, rating agencies and other users of our financial information to more easily analyze our results of operations in a manner similar to how management analyzes our underlying business performance. Operating earnings should not be viewed as a substitute for U.S. GAAP net income. Operating earnings are an internal performance measure used in the management of our operations and represents operating results excluding, as applicable on a recurring basis, the following:

- Net realized and unrealized gains or losses on investment;
- Foreign exchange and other gains or losses;
- Amortization of intangible assets; and
- Non-cash deferred tax expenses;

We exclude net realized and unrealized gains or losses on investment and foreign exchange and other gains or losses as we believe that both are heavily influenced in part by market opportunities and other factors. We do not believe amortization of intangible assets are representative of our ongoing business. We believe all of these amounts are largely independent of our business and underwriting process and including them distorts the analysis of trends in our operations.

We also exclude certain non-recurring expenditures that are material to understanding our results of operations, including the following:

• for 2011 and 2010, we exclude transaction expenses related to the IIS Acquisition as these are non-recurring; and in 2011, we exclude the Junior Subordinated Debt repurchase expense and the accelerated amortization of Junior Subordinated Debt discount and issuance costs.

The following is a reconciliation of operating earnings to its most closely related GAAP measure, net income.

| For the Year Ended December 31,   | 2012             | 2011   | 2010   |
|---|------------------|--------|--------|
|   | (\$ in Millions) |        |        |
| Net income attributable to Maiden common shareholders                           | \$46.5           | \$28.5 | \$69.9 |
| Add (subtract):   |                  |        |        |
| Net realized and unrealized gains on investment                                 | (1.9             | ) (0.5 | ) (6.6 |
| Foreign exchange (gains) losses   | (1.6             | ) (0.3 | ) 0.5  |
| Amortization of intangible assets   | 4.4              | 5.0    | 5.8    |
| Junior subordinated debt repurchase expense                                     | —                | 15.1   | —      |
| Accelerated amortization of junior subordinated debt discount and issuance cost | —                | 20.3   | —      |
| Non-recurring general and administrative expenses relating to IIS Acquisition   | —                | 0.2    | 1.9    |
| Non-cash deferred tax expense   | 1.1              | 1.3    | 1.2    |

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

|   |        |        |        |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Operating earnings attributable to Maiden common shareholders | \$48.5 | \$69.6 | \$72.7 |
| Operating earnings per common share:                          |        |        |        |
| Basic operating earnings per common share                     | \$0.67 | \$0.97 | \$1.03 |
| Diluted operating earnings per common share                   | \$0.66 | \$0.96 | \$1.02 |

68

---

Table of Contents

Operating Return on Common Equity ("Operating ROCE"): Management uses operating return on average common shareholders' equity as a measure of profitability that focuses on the return to common shareholders. It is calculated using operating earnings available to common shareholders (as defined above) divided by average common shareholders' equity. Management has set as a target a long-term average of 15% Operating ROCE, which management believes provides an attractive return to shareholders for the risk assumed. Operating ROCE for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is computed as follows:

| For the Year Ended December 31,                     | 2012             | 2011    | 2010    |   |   |
|---|------------------|---------|---------|---|---|
|   | (\$ in Millions) |         |         |   |   |
| Operating earnings available to common shareholders | \$48.5           | \$69.6  | \$72.7  |   |   |
| Opening common shareholders' equity                 | \$768.6          | \$750.2 | \$676.5 |   |   |
| Ending common shareholders' equity                  | \$865.2          | \$768.6 | \$750.2 |   |   |
| Average common shareholders' equity                 | \$816.9          | \$759.4 | \$713.4 |   |   |
| Operating return on common equity                   | 5.9              | % 9.2   | % 10.2  | % | % |

The decrease in Operating ROCE for the year ended December 31, 2012 is primarily due to lower net income in 2012 as a result of losses from Superstorm Sandy. In addition, Operating ROCE was further reduced by greater increases in the common shareholders' equity in 2012, the combined effect of net income along with higher other comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2012, the result of a \$79.9 million increase in unrealized gains on the Company's fixed maturity investment portfolio.

Book Value per Common Share: Management uses growth in book value per common share as a prime measure of the value the Company is generating for its common shareholders, as management believes that growth in the Company's book value per common share ultimately translates into growth in the Company's share price. Book value per common share is calculated using common shareholders' equity (shareholders' equity excluding the aggregate liquidation value of our preference shares) divided by the number of common shares outstanding. Preference Shares are not included in the computation of book value per common share. Book value per common share is impacted by the Company's net income and external factors such as interest rates, which can drive changes in unrealized gains or losses on its investment portfolio. Book value per common share as of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is computed as follows:

| December 31,                       | 2012             | 2011       | 2010       |
|------------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------|
|                                    | (\$ in Millions) |            |            |
| Ending common shareholders' equity | \$865.2          | \$768.6    | \$750.2    |
| Common shares outstanding          | 72,343,947       | 72,221,428 | 72,107,100 |
| Book value per common share        | \$11.96          | \$10.64    | \$10.40    |

#### Certain Operating Measures

Underwriting Income and Combined Ratio: The combined ratio is used in the insurance and reinsurance industry as a measure of underwriting profitability. Management measures underwriting results on an overall basis and for each segment on the basis of the combined ratio. The combined ratio is the sum of the net loss and loss expense ratio and the expense ratio and the computations of each component are described below. A combined ratio under 100% indicates underwriting profitability, as the total loss and loss adjustment expenses, commission and other acquisition expenses and general and administrative expenses are less than the net premiums earned and other insurance revenue on that business. We have generated underwriting income in each year since our inception. Underwriting income is calculated by subtracting net loss and loss adjustment expenses, commissions and other acquisition expenses and applicable general and administrative expenses from the net premiums earned and other insurance revenue and is the

monetized counterpart of the combined ratio.

For purposes of these operating measures, the fee-generating business (“IIS Fee Business”) associated with the IIS Acquisition which is included in the Diversified Reinsurance segment, is considered part of the underwriting operations of the Company. Certain portions of the IIS Fee Business are directly associated with the underlying reinsurance contracts recorded in the Diversified Reinsurance segment. To the extent that the fees are generated on underlying insurance contracts sold to third parties that are then ceded under quota share reinsurance contracts to Maiden Bermuda,



## Table of Contents

a proportionate share of the fee is offset against the related acquisition expense. To the extent that IIS Fee Business is not directly associated with premium revenue generated under the applicable reinsurance contracts, that fee revenue is separately reported on the line captioned "Other insurance revenue" in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income.

While an important metric of success, underwriting income and combined ratio do not reflect all components of profitability, as they do not recognize the impact of investment income earned on premiums between the time premiums are received and the time loss payments are ultimately paid to clients. Because we do not manage our cash and investments by segment, investment income and interest expense are not allocated to individual reportable segments. Certain general and administrative expenses are allocated to segments based on various factors, including staff count and each segment's proportional share of gross premiums written.

The "net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio" is derived by dividing net loss and loss adjustment expenses by the sum of net premiums earned and other insurance revenue. The "commission and other acquisition expense ratio" is derived by dividing commission and other acquisition expenses by the sum of net premiums earned and other insurance revenue. The "general and administrative expense ratio" is derived by dividing general and administrative expenses by the sum of net premiums earned and other insurance revenue. The "expense ratio" is the sum of the commission and other acquisition expense ratio and the general and administrative expense ratio.

### Relevant Factors

#### Revenues

We derive our revenues primarily from premiums on our insurance policies and reinsurance contracts, net of any reinsurance or retrocessional coverage purchased. Insurance and reinsurance premiums are a function of the amounts and types of policies and contracts we write, as well as prevailing market prices. Our prices are determined before our ultimate costs, which may extend far into the future, are known.

The Company's revenues also include fee income generated by the IIS Fee Business as well as income generated from its investment portfolio. The Company's investment portfolio is comprised of fixed maturity investments, held as AFS, and other investments. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, these investments are carried at fair market value and unrealized gains and losses on the Company's investments are generally excluded from earnings. These unrealized gains and losses are included on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet in accumulated other comprehensive income as a separate component of shareholders' equity. If unrealized losses are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired, such losses are included in earnings as a realized loss.

#### Expenses

Our expenses consist largely of net loss and loss adjustment expenses, commission and other acquisition expenses, general and administrative expenses, amortization of intangible assets and foreign exchange and other gains or losses. Net loss and loss adjustment expenses are comprised of three main components:

- losses paid, which are actual cash payments to insureds, net of recoveries from reinsurers;

- change in outstanding loss or case reserves, which represent management's best estimate of the likely settlement amount for known claims, less the portion that can be recovered from reinsurers; and

- change in IBNR reserves, which are reserves established by us for changes in the values of claims that have been reported to us but are not yet settled, as well as claims that have occurred but have not yet been reported. The portion

recoverable from reinsurers is deducted from the gross estimated loss.

Commission and other acquisition expenses are comprised of commissions, brokerage fees and insurance taxes. Commissions and brokerage fees are usually calculated as a percentage of premiums and depend on the market and line of business and can, in certain instances, vary based on loss sensitive features of reinsurance contracts. Commission and other acquisition expenses are reported after (1) deducting commissions received on ceded reinsurance, (2) deducting the part of commission and other acquisition expenses relating to unearned premiums and (3) including the amortization of previously deferred commission and other acquisition expenses.

General and administrative expenses include personnel expenses including share-based compensation charges, rent expense, professional fees, information technology costs and other general operating expenses.

## Table of Contents

### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

It is important to understand our accounting policies in order to understand our financial position and results of operations. The Company's Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The following presents a discussion of those accounting policies and estimates that management believes are the most critical to its operations and require the most difficult, subjective and complex judgment. If actual events differ significantly from the underlying assumptions and estimates used by management, there could be material adjustments to prior estimates that could potentially adversely affect the Company's results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. These critical accounting policies and estimates should be read in conjunction with the Company's Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, including Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies, for a full understanding of the Company's accounting policies.

### Reserve for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses

#### General

The amount of time that elapses before a claim is reported to the cedant and then subsequently reported to the reinsurer is commonly referred to in the industry as the reporting tail. Lines of business for which claims are reported quickly are commonly referred to as short-tail lines; and lines of business for which a longer period of time elapses before claims are reported to the reinsurer are commonly referred to as long-tail lines. In general, for reinsurance, the time lags are longer than for primary business due to the delay that occurs between the cedant becoming aware of a loss and reporting the information to its reinsurer(s). The delay varies by reinsurance market (country of cedant), type of treaty, whether losses are paid by the cedant and the size of the loss. The delay could vary from a few weeks to a year or sometimes longer.

Because a significant amount of time can elapse between the assumption of risk, particularly on longer-tail lines of business, occurrence of a loss event, the reporting of the event to an insurance company (the primary company or the cedant), the subsequent reporting to the reinsurance company ("the reinsurer") and the ultimate payment of the claim on the loss event by the reinsurer, the Company's liability for unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses ("loss reserves") is based largely upon estimates. The Company categorizes loss reserves into three types of reserves: reported outstanding loss reserves ("case reserves"), ACRs and IBNR reserves. Case reserves represent unpaid losses reported by the Company's cedants and recorded by the Company. ACRs are established for particular circumstances where, on the basis of individual loss reports, the Company estimates that the particular loss or collection of losses covered by a treaty may be greater than those advised by the cedant. IBNR reserves represent a provision for claims that have been incurred but not yet reported to the Company, as well as future loss development on losses already reported, in excess of the case reserves and ACRs. The Company updates its estimates for each of the aforementioned categories on a quarterly basis using information received from its cedants. The Company also estimates the future unallocated loss adjustment expenses ("ULAE") associated with the loss reserves and these form part of the Company's loss adjustment expense reserves.

For excess of loss treaties, cedents generally are required to report losses that either exceed 50% of the retention, have a reasonable probability of exceeding the retention or meet defined reporting criteria. All reinsurance claims that are reserved are reviewed at least every six months. For proportional treaties, cedents are required to give a periodic statement of account, generally monthly or quarterly. These periodic statements typically include information regarding written premiums, earned premiums, unearned premiums, ceding commissions, brokerage amounts, applicable taxes, paid losses and outstanding losses. They can be submitted 60 to 90 days after the close of the reporting period. Some proportional treaties have specific language regarding earlier notice of serious claim.

For all lines, the Company's objective is to estimate ultimate loss and loss adjustment expenses. Total loss reserves are then calculated by subtracting losses paid. Similarly, IBNR reserves are calculated by subtraction of case reserves and ACRs from total loss reserves. IBNR is the estimated liability for (1) changes in the values of claims that have been reported to us but are not yet settled, as well as (2) claims that have occurred but have not yet been reported. Each claim is settled individually based upon its merits, and particularly for longer-tailed lines of business, it is not unusual for a claim to take years after being reported to settle, especially if legal action is involved. As a result, the reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses include significant estimates for IBNR reserves.

The reserve for IBNR is estimated by management for each account based on various factors, including our underwriting teams expectations about loss experience, actuarial analysis and loss experience to date. Our actuaries employ standard actuarial methodologies to determine estimated ultimate loss reserves.

In selecting its best estimate, the Company considers the appropriateness of each methodology to the individual circumstances of the treaties and underwriting year for which the projection is made. The methodologies that the

Table of Contents

Company employs include, but may not be limited to, the Expected Loss Ratio method, the Reported Loss Development method and the Incurred and (as applicable) Paid Bornhuetter-Ferguson ("B-F") methods. In addition, the Company uses other methodologies to estimate liabilities for specific types of occurrences. For example, external and vendor catastrophe models are typically used in the estimation of loss and loss adjustment expenses at the early stages of catastrophe losses before loss information is reported to the reinsurer.

The reserve methodologies employed by the Company are dependent on data that the Company collects. This data consists primarily of loss amounts and loss payments reported by the Company's cedants, and premiums written and earned reported by cedants or estimated by the Company. The actuarial methods used by the Company to project loss reserves in the Diversified Reinsurance segment that it will pay in the future (future liabilities) do not generally include methodologies that are dependent on claim counts reported, claim counts settled or claim counts open as, due to the nature of the Company's business, this information is not routinely provided by cedants for every treaty. However, the Company does use actuarial methods in the AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance and ACAC Quota Share segments that are dependent on claim counts reported, claim counts settled or claim counts open. Consequently, actuarial methods relying on this information cannot be used by the Company to estimate loss reserves in the Diversified Reinsurance segment.

The reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 comprised:

| December 31,   | 2012             | 2011      |
|--|------------------|-----------|
|  | (\$ in Millions) |           |
| Reserve for reported loss and loss adjustment expenses | \$1,029.6        | \$820.8   |
| Reserve for losses incurred but not reported           | 710.7            | 577.6     |
| Reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses          | \$1,740.3        | \$1,398.4 |

While management believes that our case reserves and IBNR are sufficient to cover losses assumed by us, there can be no assurance that losses will not deviate from our reserves, possibly by material amounts. The methodology of estimating loss reserves is periodically reviewed to ensure that the assumptions made continue to be appropriate. To the extent actual reported losses exceed estimated losses, the carried estimate of the ultimate losses will be increased (i.e. unfavorable reserve development), and to the extent actual reported losses are less than our expectations, the carried estimate of ultimate losses will be reduced (i.e. favorable reserve development). We record any changes in our loss reserve estimates and the related reinsurance recoverable in the periods in which they are determined.

Loss reserves do not represent an exact calculation of liability. Rather, loss reserves are estimates of what we expect the ultimate resolution and administration of claims will cost. These estimates are based on actuarial projections and on our assessment of currently available data, as well as estimates of future trends in claims severity and frequency, judicial theories of liability and other factors. Loss reserve estimates are refined as experience develops and as claims are reported and resolved. In addition, the relatively long periods between when a loss occurs and when it may be reported to our claims department for our casualty reinsurance lines of business also increase the uncertainties of our reserve estimates in such lines.

#### Actuarial Methods Used to Estimate Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves

We utilize a variety of standard actuarial methods in our analysis. The selections from these various methods are based on the loss development characteristics of the specific line of business. The actuarial methods we utilize include:

The Expected Loss Ratio ("ELR") method is a technique that multiplicatively applies an expected loss ratio to earned premium to yield estimated ultimate losses. The ELR assumption is derived most often from the pricing of the

business that is being reserved but can be based on historical experience of the business. This method is frequently used for the purpose of stability in the early valuations of an underwriting year with large and uncertain loss development factors. This technique does not take into account actual loss experience for the underwriting year being projected. As an underwriting year matures and actual loss experience becomes available, other methods may be applied in determining the estimated ultimate losses.

The Reported Loss Development (“RLD”) method is a common reserving method in which ultimate losses are estimated by applying a loss development factor to actual loss experience. This method fully utilizes actual experience. Multiplication of underwriting year actual reported (or paid) losses by its respective development factor produces the estimated ultimate losses. The RLD method is based upon the assumption that the relative change in a given underwriting year’s losses from one evaluation point to the next is similar to the relative change in prior underwriting years’ losses at similar evaluation points. In addition, this method is based on the assumption that the reserving and payment patterns as well as the claim handling procedures have not changed substantially over time. When a company has a sufficiently

## Table of Contents

reliable loss development history, a development pattern based on the company's historical indications may be used to develop losses to ultimate values.

The BF reserving technique is commonly used for long-tailed or erratic lines. It is also useful in situations where the reported loss experience is relatively immature and/or lacks sufficient credibility for the application of methods that are more heavily reliant on emerged experience. The BF method is an additive IBNR method that combines the ELR and RLD techniques by splitting the expected loss into two pieces — expected reported (or paid) losses and expected unreported (or unpaid) losses. Expected unreported (unpaid) losses are added to the current actual reported (or paid) losses to produce an estimate of ultimate losses by underwriting year. The BF method introduces an element of stability that moderates the impact of inconsistent changes in paid and reported amounts.

With the guidance of the methods above, actuarial judgment is applied in the determination of ultimate losses. In general, the Company's segments have varying levels of seasoning with which the Company has direct experience and as a result, differing methods are utilized to estimate loss and loss adjustment expenses reserves in each segment.

In the Diversified Reinsurance segment, as of December 31, 2012, 90.5% of the loss reserves in the Diversified Reinsurance segment are associated with the business acquired in the GMAC Acquisition (which includes new business written subsequent to that transaction). The Company's executive and technical management, including claims and underwriting, have significant experience with this book of business, which also has more than 25 years of loss experience associated with it. In general for the Diversified Reinsurance segment we utilize the ELR approach at the onset of reserving an account, the BF method for business with less but maturing loss experience, and as the experience matures the RLD Method.

The Company has underwritten the AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance segment since July 1, 2007. This segment consists of business written under the Reinsurance Agreement since that time, and commencing April 1, 2011, the business associated with the European Hospital Liability Quota Share. In addition, certain aspects of this segment are associated with recent acquisitions by AmTrust and while the underlying experience of the book has significant seasoning, the combination of the shorter time frame with which the Company has direct experience with this business and the relative lack of experience the Company has with certain aspects of this business may result in a greater range of volatility. As a result, we have tended to rely on a weighted approach which primarily employs the RLD method for aspects of the segment with ample historical data, while also considering the ELR method for exposure resulting from recent acquisitions, or a relative business with a more limited level of experience. The Company's actuarial analysis of this book of business is more refined in that it utilizes a combination of monthly and quarterly data instead of contract period data in totality. As a result, a range of loss development factors are utilized due to the relative lack of seasoning of the underlying business as regards the Company's experience. Because of the refinement of the data, this allows for greater use of the loss development method earlier on in the maturity of the book than would ordinarily occur.

### Significant Assumptions Employed in the Estimation of Reserve for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses

The most significant assumptions used as of December 31, 2012 to estimate the reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses within the Company's segments are as follows:

1. the information developed from internal and independent external sources can be used to develop meaningful estimates of the likely future performance of business bound by the Company;
2. the loss and exposure information provided by ceding companies, insureds and brokers in support of their submissions can be used to derive meaningful estimates of the likely future performance of business bound with respect to each contract and policy;

3. historic loss development and trend experience is assumed to be indicative of future loss development and trends;  
and
4. no significant emergence of losses or types of losses that are not represented in the information supplied to the Company by its brokers, ceding companies and insureds will occur.

The above four assumptions most significantly influence the Company's determination of initial expected loss ratios and expected loss reporting patterns that are the key inputs which impact potential variability in the estimate of the reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses and are applicable to each of the Company's business segments. While there can be no assurance that any of the above assumptions will prove to be correct, we believe that these assumptions represent a realistic and appropriate basis for estimating the reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses.

Our reporting factors and expected loss ratios are based on a blend of our own experience, cedant experience and industry benchmarks. The benchmarks selected were those that we believe are most similar to our underwriting business.



Table of Contents

Factors Creating Uncertainty in the Estimation of the Reserve for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses.

While management does not at this time include an explicit or implicit provision for uncertainty in its reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses, certain of the Company's business lines are by their nature subject to additional uncertainties, which are discussed in detail below. In addition, the Company's reserves are subject to additional factors which add to the uncertainty of estimating reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses. Time lags in the reporting of losses can also introduce further ambiguity to the process of estimating reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses.

The inherent uncertainty of estimating the Company's reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses increases principally due to:

- i. the lag in time between the time claims are reported to the ceding company and the time they are reported through one or more reinsurance broker intermediaries to the Company;
- ii. the differing reserving practices among ceding companies;
- iii. the diversity of loss development patterns among different types of reinsurance treaties or contracts; and
- iv. the Company's need to rely on its ceding companies for loss information, which also exposes the Company to changes in the reserving philosophy of the ceding company and the adequacy of its underlying case reserves.

In order to verify the accuracy and completeness of the information provided to the Company by its ceding company counterparties, the Company's underwriters, actuaries, accounting and claims personnel perform underwriting and claims reviews of the Company's ceding companies. Any material findings are communicated to the ceding companies and utilized in the establishment or revision of the Company's case reserves and related IBNR reserve. On occasion, these reviews reveal that the ceding company's reported loss and loss adjustment expenses do not comport with the terms of the contract with the Company. In such events, the Company strives to resolve the outstanding differences in an amicable fashion. The large majority of such differences are resolved in this manner. In the infrequent instance where an amicable solution is not feasible, the Company's policy is to vigorously defend its position in litigation or arbitration. As of December 31, 2012, the Company was not involved in any material claims litigation or arbitration proceedings.

Due to the large volume of potential transactions that must be recorded in the insurance and reinsurance industry, backlogs in the recording of the Company's business activities can also impair the accuracy of its loss and loss adjustment expense reserve estimates. As of December 31, 2012, there were no significant backlogs related to the processing of policy or contract information in the Company's segments.

The Company assumes in its loss and loss adjustment expense reserving process that, on average, the time periods between the recording of expected losses and the reporting of actual losses are predictable when measured in the aggregate and over time. The time period over which all losses are expected to be reported to the Company varies significantly by line of business. This period can range from a few quarters for some lines, such as property, to many years for some casualty lines of business. To the extent that actual reported losses are reported more quickly or more slowly than expected, the Company may adjust its estimate of ultimate loss.

Potential Volatility in the Reserve for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses. In addition to the factors creating uncertainty in the Company's estimate of loss and loss adjustment expenses, the Company's estimated reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses can change over time because of unexpected changes in the external environment. Potential changing external factors include:

- changes in the inflation rate for goods and services related to the covered damages;
- changes in the general economic environment that could cause unanticipated changes in claim frequency or severity;
- changes in the litigation environment regarding the representation of plaintiffs and potential plaintiffs;
- changes in the judicial and/or arbitration environment regarding the interpretation of policy and contract provisions relating to the determination of coverage and/or the amount of damages awarded for certain types of claims;
- changes in the social environment regarding the general attitude of juries in the determination of liability and damages;
- changes in the legislative environment regarding the definition of damages;

Table of Contents

new types of injuries caused by new types of injurious activities or exposures; and

in the case of assumed reinsurance, changes in ceding company case reserving and reporting patterns.

The Company's estimates of reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses can also change over time because of changes in internal company operations, such as:

alterations in claims handling procedures;

growth in new lines of business where exposure and loss development patterns are not well established; or

changes in the quality of risk selection or pricing in the underwriting process.

Due to the inherent complexity of the assumptions used in establishing the Company's loss and loss adjustment expense reserve estimates, final claim settlements made by the Company may vary significantly from the present estimates, particularly when those settlements may not occur until well into the future.

In addition, the Company's segments have varying levels of seasoning with which the Company has direct experience and as a result, the reasonably likely variance of our expected loss ratio for each segment varies commensurately with that experience. As of December 31, 2012, 89.6% of the loss reserves in the Diversified Reinsurance segment are associated with the business acquired in the GMAC Acquisition. The Company's executive and technical management, including claims and underwriting, have significant experience with this book of business, which also has more than 25 years of loss experience associated with it. We believe the possible variance of our expected loss ratio for all applicable loss years for the Diversified Reinsurance segment was approximately one percentage point as of December 31, 2012. If our final loss ratio for the Diversified Reinsurance segment were to vary by approximately one percentage point from the expected loss ratios in the aggregate, our required reserves after reinsurance recoverable would increase or decrease by approximately \$28.0 million.

The Company has underwritten the AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance segment since July 1, 2007. This segment consists of business written under the Reinsurance Agreement since that time, and commencing April 1, 2011, the business associated with the European Hospital Liability Quota Share. In addition, certain aspects of this segment are associated with recent acquisitions by AmTrust and while the underlying experience of the book has significant seasoning, the combination of the shorter time frame with which the Company has direct experience with this business and the relative inexperience of certain aspects of this business may result in a greater range of volatility in the reasonably likely variance of our expected loss ratio for all applicable loss years in the segment compared to the Diversified Reinsurance segment. We believe a possible variance of our expected loss ratio for all applicable loss years for the AmTrust Quota Share segment was approximately four percentage points as of December 31, 2012. If our final loss ratio for the AmTrust Quota Share segment were to vary by four percentage points from the expected loss ratios in aggregate, our required reserves after reinsurance recoverable would increase or decrease by approximately \$101.1 million.

The Company has underwritten the ACAC Quota Share segment since March 1, 2010. ACAC's executive and technical management, including claims and underwriting, have significant experience with this book of business, which also has more than 15 years of loss experience associated with it. In addition, our management has experience with this book of business when part of our business and ACAC were owned by GMAC. As a result, we believe the possible variance of our expected loss ratio for all applicable loss years for the ACAC Quota Share segment was approximately one percentage point as of December 31, 2012. If our final loss ratio for the ACAC Quota Share segment were to vary by approximately one percentage point from the expected loss ratios in the aggregate, our

required reserves after reinsurance recoverable would increase or decrease by approximately \$6.5 million.

#### Premiums and Commissions and Other Acquisition Expenses

For pro-rata contracts and excess-of-loss contracts where no deposit or minimum premium is specified in the contract, written premium is recognized based on estimates of ultimate premiums provided by the ceding companies. Initial estimates of written premium are recognized in the period in which the underlying risks are inception. Subsequent adjustments, based on reports of actual premium by the ceding companies, or revisions in estimates, are recorded in the period in which they are determined. Reinsurance premiums assumed are generally earned on a pro rata basis over the terms of the underlying policies or reinsurance contracts. Contracts and policies written on a “losses occurring” basis cover claims that may occur during the term of the contract or policy, which is typically 12 months. Accordingly, the premium is earned evenly over the term.

Table of Contents

Contracts which are written on a “risks attaching” basis cover claims which attach to the underlying insurance policies written during the terms of such contracts. Premiums earned on such contracts usually extend beyond the original term of the reinsurance contract, typically resulting in recognition of premiums earned over a 24-month period.

Reinsurance premiums on specialty risk and extended warranty are earned based on the estimated program coverage period. These estimates are based on the expected distribution of coverage periods by contract at inception, because a single contract may contain multiple coverage period options and these estimates are revised based on the actual coverage period selected by the original insured. Unearned premiums represent the portion of premiums written which is applicable to the unexpired term of the contract or policy in force. These premiums can be subject to estimates based upon information received from ceding companies and any subsequent differences arising on such estimates are recorded in the period in which they are determined.

The Company provides proportional and non-proportional reinsurance coverage to cedants (insurance companies). In most cases, cedants seek protection for business that they have not yet written at the time they enter into reinsurance agreements and thus have to estimate the volume of premiums they will cede to the Company. Reporting delays are inherent in the reinsurance industry and vary in length by type of treaty. As delays can vary from a few weeks to a year or sometimes longer, the Company produces accounting estimates to report premiums and commission and other acquisition expenses until it receives the cedants’ actual results.

Under proportional treaties, which represented 82.0% of gross premiums written for the year December 31, 2012, the Company shares proportionally in both the premiums and losses of the cedant and pays the cedant a commission to cover the cedant’s acquisition expenses. Under this type of treaty, the Company’s ultimate premiums written and earned and acquisition expenses are not known at the inception of the treaty and must be estimated until the cedant reports its actual results to the Company. Under non-proportional treaties, which represented 18.0% of gross premiums written for the year December 31, 2012, the Company is typically exposed to loss events in excess of a predetermined dollar amount or loss ratio and receives a fixed or minimum premium, which is subject to upward adjustment depending on the premium volume written by the cedant.

Reported premiums written and earned and commission and other acquisition expenses on proportional treaties are generally based upon reports received from cedants and brokers, supplemented by the Company’s own estimates of premiums written and commission and other acquisition expenses for which ceding company reports have not been received. Premium and acquisition expenses estimates are determined at the individual treaty level. The determination of estimates requires a review of the Company’s experience with cedants, a thorough understanding of the individual characteristics of each line of business and the ability to project the impact of current economic indicators on the volume of business written and ceded by the Company’s cedants. Estimates for premiums and commission and other acquisition expenses are updated continuously as new information is received from the cedants. Differences between such estimates and actual amounts are recorded in the period in which estimates are changed or the actual amounts are determined.

Assessing whether or not a reinsurance contract meets the condition for risk transfer requires judgment. The determination of risk transfer is critical to reporting premiums written and is based, in part, on the use of actuarial and pricing models and assumptions. If we determine that a reinsurance contract does not transfer sufficient risk, we account for the contract as deposit liability.

Commission and other acquisition expenses represent the costs of writing business that vary with, and are primarily related to, the production of insurance and reinsurance business. Policy and contract commission and other acquisition expenses, including assumed commissions and other direct operating expenses are deferred and recognized as expense as related premiums are earned. The Company considers anticipated investment income in determining the recoverability of these costs and believes they are fully recoverable. A premium deficiency at segment level is

recognized if the sum of anticipated losses and loss adjustment expenses, unamortized acquisition expenses and anticipated investment income exceed unearned premium.

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company currently classifies its fixed maturity investments as AFS, other investments at fair value in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 944, "Financial Services" ("ASC 944") and short-term investments as AFS. Pursuant to U.S. GAAP, these investments are carried at estimated fair value, with net unrealized gains or losses excluded from earnings and included in shareholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income.

FASB ASC Topic 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" ("ASC 820") defines fair value as the price to sell an asset or transfer a liability (i.e. the "exit price") in an orderly transaction between market participants. Additionally, ASC 820 establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the reliability of inputs as follows:

76

---

## Table of Contents

Level 1 — Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access. Valuation adjustments and block discounts are not applied to Level 1 instruments. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment. Examples of assets and liabilities utilizing Level 1 inputs include: exchange-traded equity securities, U.S. Treasury securities, and listed derivatives that are actively traded.

Level 2 — Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in inactive markets, or for which significant inputs are observable (e.g. interest rates, yield curves, prepayment speeds, default rates, loss severities, etc.) or can be corroborated by observable market data. Examples of assets and liabilities utilizing Level 2 inputs include: listed derivatives that are not actively traded; U.S. government-sponsored agency securities; non-U.S. government obligations; corporate and municipal bonds; mortgage-backed securities (“MBS”) and asset-backed securities (“ABS”); short-duration high yield fund, and over-the-counter (“OTC”) derivatives (e.g. foreign currency options and forward contracts).

Level 3 — Valuations based on models where significant inputs are not observable. The unobservable inputs reflect our own assumptions about assumptions that market participants would use. Examples of assets and liabilities utilizing Level 3 inputs include: insurance and reinsurance derivative contracts; hedge and credit funds with partial transparency; and collateralized loan obligation (“CLO”) — equity tranche securities that are traded in less liquid markets.

The availability of observable inputs can vary from financial instrument to financial instrument and is affected by a wide variety of factors, including, for example, the type of financial instrument, whether the financial instrument is new and not yet established in the marketplace, and other characteristics particular to the transaction. To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires significantly more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by management in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. We use prices and inputs that are current as of the measurement date. In periods of market dislocation, the observability of prices and inputs may be reduced for many instruments. This condition could cause an instrument to be reclassified between levels.

For investments that have quoted market prices in active markets, the Company uses the quoted market prices as fair value and includes these prices in the amounts disclosed in the Level 1 hierarchy. To date, we have only included U.S. government fixed maturity instruments as level 1. The Company receives the quoted market prices from third party, nationally, recognized pricing services (“Pricing Service”). When quoted market prices are unavailable, the Company utilizes the Pricing Service to determine an estimate of fair value. The fair value estimates are included in the Level 2 hierarchy. The Pricing Service utilizes evaluated pricing models that vary by asset class and incorporate available trade, bid and other market information and for structured securities, cash flow and, when available, loan performance data. The Pricing Service’s evaluated pricing applications apply available information as applicable through processes such as benchmark curves, benchmarking of like securities, sector groupings and matrix pricing, to prepare evaluations. In addition, the Pricing Service uses model processes, such as the Option Adjusted Spread model, to assess interest rate impact and develop prepayment scenarios. The market inputs that the Pricing Service normally seeks for evaluations of securities, listed in approximate order of priority, include: benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data including market research publications.

The Company typically utilizes the fair values received from the Pricing Service. If quoted market prices and an estimate from the Pricing Service are unavailable, the Company produces an estimate of fair value based on dealer quotations for recent activity in positions with the same or similar characteristics to that being valued or through consensus pricing of a pricing service. Depending on the level of observable inputs, the Company will then determine if the estimate is Level 2 or Level 3 hierarchy. Approximately 98.3% and 97.7%, respectively of the Company’s fixed

maturity investments are categorized as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, we have not adjusted any pricing provided by the Pricing Services.

The Company will challenge any prices for its investments which are not considered to represent fair value. If a fair value is challenged, the Company will typically obtain a non-binding quote from a broker-dealer; multiple quotations are not typically sought. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, one security and three securities, respectively, are valued using the market approach. At those dates, approximately \$26.1 million and \$11.4 million, respectively or less than 1.0% and 0.6%, respectively of Level 2 fixed maturities, was priced using a quotation from a broker and/or custodian as opposed to the Pricing Service. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, we have not adjusted any pricing provided to us based on the review performed by our investment managers.



## Table of Contents

To validate prices, the Company compares the fair value estimates to its knowledge of the current market and will investigate prices that it considers not to be representative of fair value. In addition, our process to validate the market prices obtained from the Pricing Service includes, but is not limited to, periodic evaluation of model pricing methodologies and analytical reviews of certain prices. We also periodically perform testing, as appropriate, of the market to determine trading activity, or lack of trading activity, as well as evaluating the variability of market prices. Securities sold during the quarter are also “back-tested” (i.e., the sales prices are compared to the previous month end reported market price to determine the reasonableness of the reported market price). There were no material differences between the prices from the Pricing Service and the prices obtained from our validation procedures as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company has no fixed income investments that are guaranteed by third parties. We do not have any direct exposure to third party guarantors as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

U.S. Government and U.S. Government agencies: Comprised primarily of bonds issued by the U.S. Treasury, the Federal Home Loan Bank, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Government National Mortgage Association and the Federal National Mortgage Association. The fair values of U.S. government securities are based on quoted market prices in active markets, and are included in the Level 1 fair value hierarchy. We believe the market for U.S. Treasury securities is an actively traded market given the high level of daily trading volume. The fair values of U.S. government agency securities are priced using the spread above the risk-free yield curve. As the yields for the risk-free yield curve and the spreads for these securities are observable market inputs, the fair values of U.S. government agency securities are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Non-U.S. government bonds: Comprised of bonds issued by non-U.S. governments and their agencies along with supranational organizations. These securities are generally priced by pricing services. The pricing services may use current market trades for securities with similar quality, maturity and coupon. If no such trades are available, the pricing service typically uses analytical models which may incorporate spreads, interest rate data and market/sector news. As the significant inputs used to price non-U.S. government bonds are observable market inputs, the fair values of non-U.S. government bonds are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Other mortgage-backed securities: Other mortgage-backed bonds consist of a commercial mortgage-backed security (“CMBS”). This security is priced by independent pricing services and brokers. The pricing provider applies dealer quotes and other available trade information, prepayment speeds, yield curves and credit spreads to the valuation. As the significant inputs used to price the CMBS are observable market inputs, the fair value of the CMBS is included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Corporate bonds: Comprised of bonds issued by corporations that on acquisition are rated BBB-/Baa3 or higher. These securities are generally priced by pricing services. The fair values of corporate bonds that are short-term are priced, by the pricing services, using the spread above the London Interbank Offering Rate (“LIBOR”) yield curve and the fair value of corporate bonds that are long-term are priced using the spread above the risk-free yield curve. The spreads are sourced from broker/dealers, trade prices and the new issue market. Where pricing is unavailable from pricing services, we obtain non-binding quotes from broker dealers. As the significant inputs used to price corporate bonds are observable market inputs, the fair values of corporate bonds are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Municipal bonds: Municipal bonds comprise bonds and auction rate securities issued by U.S. state and municipality entities or agencies. The fair values of municipal bonds are generally priced by pricing services. The pricing services typically use spreads obtained from broker-dealers, trade prices and the new issue market. As the significant inputs used to price the municipal bonds are observable market inputs, municipals are classified within Level 2. Municipal auction rate securities are reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at cost which approximates their fair value.

Other investments: The fair values of the investment in limited partnerships are determined by the fund manager based on recent filings, operating results, balance sheet stability, growth and other business and market sector fundamentals, and as such, the fair values are included in the Level 3 fair value hierarchy.

Reinsurance balance receivable: The carrying values reported in the accompanying balance sheets for these financial instruments approximate their fair value due to short term nature of the assets.

Loan to related party: The carrying values reported in the accompanying balance sheets for these financial instruments approximate their fair value. The underlying investments of the loan are generally priced by pricing services. As the significant inputs used to price the underlying investments are observable market inputs, the fair values of Loan to related party are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

## Table of Contents

Senior notes: The amount reported in the accompanying balance sheets for these financial instruments represents the carrying value of the notes. The fair values are based on quoted prices of identical instruments in inactive markets and as such, are included in the Level 2 hierarchy. At December 31, 2012, the fair value of the 2011 Senior Notes was \$112.8 million and the fair value of the 2012 Senior Notes was \$105.6 million.

Junior subordinated debt: The amount reported in the accompanying balance sheets for these financial instruments represents the carrying value of the debt. The fair value of the debt was derived using the Black-Derman-Toy model. As the fair value of the junior subordinated debt is determined using observable market inputs in the Black-Derman-Toy model, the fair value is included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy. At December 31, 2012, the fair value of the debt was \$166.9 million.

### Other-than-Temporary Impairment (“OTTI”) of Investments

Impairments of investment securities results in a charge to operations when a market decline below cost is deemed to be other than temporary. To determine the recovery period of a fixed maturity security, we consider the facts and circumstances surrounding the underlying issuer including, but not limited to, the following:

Historic and implied volatility of the security;

Length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost;

Adverse conditions specifically related to the security or to specific conditions in an industry or geographic area;

Failure, if any, of the issuer of the security to make scheduled payments; and

Recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date.

When assessing our intent to sell a fixed maturity security or if it is more likely that we will be required to sell a fixed maturity security before recovery of its cost basis, we evaluate facts and circumstances such as, but not limited to, decisions to reposition our security portfolio, sale of securities to meet cash flow needs and sales of securities to capitalize on favorable pricing. In order to determine the amount of the credit loss for a fixed maturity security, we calculate the recovery value by performing a discounted cash flow analysis based on the current cash flows and future cash flows we expect to recover. The discount rate is the effective interest rate implicit in the underlying fixed maturity security. The effective interest rate is the original yield or the coupon if the fixed maturity security was previously impaired. If OTTI exists and we have the intent to sell the security, we conclude that the entire OTTI is credit-related and the amortized cost for the security is written down to current fair value with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income. If we do not intend to sell a fixed maturity security or it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell a fixed maturity security before recovery of its amortized cost basis but the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost of the fixed maturity security (referred to as the credit loss), we conclude that an OTTI has occurred and the amortized cost is written down to the estimated recovery value with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income, as this is also deemed the credit portion of the OTTI. The remainder of the decline to fair value is recorded to other comprehensive income (“OCI”), as an unrealized OTTI loss on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, as this is considered a noncredit (i.e., recoverable) impairment.

There were no other-than-temporary impaired securities during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

### Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The GMAC Acquisition and IIS Acquisition created certain assets separately described in our financial statements as Goodwill and Intangible Assets, respectively. Goodwill is calculated as the excess of purchase price over the net fair value of assets acquired. Intangible Assets consist of finite and indefinite life assets. Finite life intangible assets include customer and producer relationships and trademarks with useful life of 15 years. Insurance company licenses are considered indefinite life intangible assets.

ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations requires that the Company make an annual assessment as to whether the value of the Company's goodwill and intangible assets are impaired. Impairment, which can be either partial or full, is based on a fair value analysis by individual reporting unit. Based upon the Company's assessment at the reporting unit level, there was no impairment of its goodwill and intangible assets as of December 31, 2012 of \$94.4 million.

In making an assessment of the value of its goodwill and intangible assets, the Company uses both market based and non-market based valuations. Assumptions underlying these valuations include an analysis of the Company's share

Table of Contents

price relative to both its book value and its net income in addition to forecasts of future cash flows and future profits. Significant changes in the data underlying these assumptions could result in an assessment of impairment of the Company's goodwill asset. In addition, if the current economic environment and/or the Company's financial performance were to deteriorate significantly, this could lead to an impairment of goodwill and intangible, the write-off of which would be recorded against net income in the period such deterioration occurred. If a 5% decline in the fair value of the reporting units occurred, this would not result in an impairment of the goodwill asset at December 31, 2012.

80

---

Table of Contents

## Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our selected Consolidated Statement of Income data for each of the periods indicated.

| For the Year Ended December 31,   | 2012             | 2011       | 2010      |   |
|---|------------------|------------|-----------|---|
|   | (\$ in Millions) |            |           |   |
| Gross premiums written  | \$2,001.0        | \$1,812.6  | \$1,298.1 |   |
| Net premiums written  | \$1,901.3        | \$1,723.5  | \$1,227.8 |   |
| Net premiums earned   | \$1,803.8        | \$1,552.4  | \$1,169.8 |   |
| Other insurance revenue   | 12.9             | 12.6       | —         |   |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expenses   | (1,262.3         | ) (1,043.1 | ) (755.1  | ) |
| Commission and other acquisition expenses                                       | (492.1           | ) (438.8   | ) (336.7  | ) |
| General and administrative expenses   | (43.6            | ) (40.3    | ) (27.9   | ) |
| Total underwriting income   | 18.7             | 42.8       | 50.1      |   |
| Other general and administrative expenses                                       | (10.2            | ) (13.6    | ) (14.3   | ) |
| Net investment income   | 81.2             | 74.9       | 71.6      |   |
| Net realized and unrealized gains on investments                                | 1.9              | 0.5        | 6.6       |   |
| Junior subordinated debt repurchase expense                                     | —                | (15.1      | ) —       |   |
| Accelerated amortization of junior subordinated debt discount and issuance cost | —                | (20.3      | ) —       |   |
| Amortization of intangible assets   | (4.4             | ) (5.0     | ) (5.8    | ) |
| Foreign exchange gains (losses)   | 1.6              | 0.3        | (0.5      | ) |
| Interest and amortization expenses  | (36.4            | ) (34.1    | ) (36.5   | ) |
| Income tax expense  | (2.2             | ) (1.9     | ) (1.3    | ) |
| Income attributable to noncontrolling interests                                 | (0.1             | ) —        | —         |   |
| Dividends on preference shares  | (3.6             | ) —        | —         |   |
| Net income attributable to Maiden common shareholders                           | \$46.5           | \$28.5     | \$69.9    |   |
| Ratios  |                  |            |           |   |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio*                                     | 69.5             | % 66.6     | % 64.6    | % |
| Commission and other acquisition expense ratio**                                | 27.1             | % 28.0     | % 28.8    | % |
| General and administrative expense ratio***                                     | 2.9              | % 3.5      | % 3.5     | % |
| Expense ratio   | 30.0             | % 31.5     | % 32.3    | % |
| Combined ratio****  | 99.5             | % 98.1     | % 96.9    | % |

\* Calculated by dividing net loss and loss adjustment expenses by the sum of net premiums earned and other insurance revenue.

\*\* Calculated by dividing commission and other acquisition expenses by the sum of net premiums earned and other insurance revenue.

\*\*\* Calculated by dividing general and administrative expenses by the sum of net premiums earned and other insurance revenue.

\*\*\*\* Calculated by adding together net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio, commission and other acquisition expense ratio and general and administrative expense ratio.



Table of Contents

## Net Income

## 2012 vs. 2011

Net income attributable to Maiden common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$46.5 million as compared to \$28.5 million for the same period in 2011.

In 2012, net income was reduced by \$31.1 million due to the underwriting impact of Superstorm Sandy, which is net of applicable reinsurance and the Company's provision for normalized catastrophe activity.

The results in 2011 were adversely affected by non-recurring charges related to the 2011 Senior Note Offering which included \$15.1 million of junior subordinated debt repurchase expense and \$20.3 million of accelerated amortization of subordinated debt discount and issuance costs. The 2011 results also include \$9.5 million in losses related to thunderstorm and tornado activity across the U.S. in the second quarter, net of the Company's quarterly provisions for normalized catastrophe activity.

Excluding the catastrophe losses in both 2012 and 2011, and the 2011 non-recurring charges, net income in 2012 increased to \$77.6 million from \$73.4 million in 2011, primarily as a result of improved investment income and investment and foreign exchange gains, which were partially offset by higher interest expenses and dividends on Preference Shares.

## 2011 vs. 2010

Net income attributable to Maiden common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$28.5 million compared to \$69.9 million for the same period in 2010. The lower result in 2011 was due to charges related to the 2011 Senior Note Offering which include \$15.1 million of junior subordinated debt repurchase expense and \$20.3 million of accelerated amortization of junior subordinated debt discount and issuance costs. The 2011 results reflect continued strong premium growth in all of the Company's operating segments, offset by higher combined ratios in each of its segments. The 2011 results include \$9.5 million in losses related to thunderstorm and tornado activity across the U.S. in the second quarter, net of the Company's quarterly provisions for normalized catastrophe activity. In addition, the lower result reflects realized and unrealized gains on investments of \$0.5 million in 2011 compared to realized and unrealized gains on investments of \$6.6 million in 2010.

We evaluate our business by segment, distinguishing between Diversified Reinsurance, AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance and ACAC Quota Share segments.

## Net Premiums Written

## Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Net premiums written increased by \$177.8 million, or 10.3%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The table below compares net premiums written by segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2012  |            | 2011  |            | Change in |   |
|---------------------------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|-----------|---|
|                                 | Total | % of Total | Total | % of Total | \$        | % |



Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

|                                 | (\$ in<br>Millions) |       | (\$ in<br>Millions) |            | (\$ in<br>Millions) |   |            |        |   |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|---|------------|--------|---|
| Diversified Reinsurance         | \$ 765.3            | 40.3  | %                   | \$ 798.0   | 46.3                | % | \$ (32.7 ) | (4.1 ) | % |
| AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance | 840.3               | 44.2  | %                   | 669.3      | 38.8                | % | 171.0      | 25.6   | % |
| ACAC Quota Share                | 295.7               | 15.5  | %                   | 256.2      | 14.9                | % | 39.5       | 15.4   | % |
| Total                           | \$ 1,901.3          | 100.0 | %                   | \$ 1,723.5 | 100.0               | % | \$ 177.8   | 10.3   | % |

The increase in net premiums written was primarily the result of the following:

Growth on recurring business in the AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance segment - The results for the year ended December 31, 2011 include the \$45.9 million in force and unearned premium assumed at the commencement of the European Hospital Liability Quota Share on April 1, 2011. Excluding that non-recurring item, net premiums written increased by \$216.9 million or 34.8% for the year ended December 31, 2012

Table of Contents

compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. This increase reflects AmTrust's continuing expansion through acquisition and ongoing organic growth, both of which are benefiting from improved rate levels.

Growth in the ACAC Quota Share segment - For the year ended December 31, 2012, net premiums written increased by \$39.5 million or 15.4% compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, as ACAC continues to expand its business.

These increases were offset by reductions in business written in the Diversified Reinsurance segment, primarily by Maiden US, which experienced a decrease in premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2012 of \$17.3 million or 2.6% compared to December 31, 2011. In addition to writing fewer new accounts in 2012, Maiden US added a number of large proportional contracts in the second half of 2011 which had sizable in-force and unearned premiums assumed, which did not recur in 2012. Finally, several large proportional reinsurance contracts that no longer met Maiden US' profitability criteria were non-renewed, contributing to the decrease.

Maiden Bermuda and IIS also decreased their written premium by \$15.4 million or 12.0% during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011, largely due to non-renewals of certain accounts which were partially offset by new account activity.

#### Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

Net premiums written increased by \$495.7 million, or 40.4%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. The table below compares net premiums written by segment for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2011             |            | 2010             |            | Change in        |        |  |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|--------|--|
|                                 | Total            | % of Total | Total            | % of Total | \$               | %      |  |
|                                 | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |        |  |
| Diversified Reinsurance         | \$ 798.0         | 46.3 %     | \$ 554.1         | 45.1 %     | \$ 243.9         | 44.0 % |  |
| AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance | 669.3            | 38.8 %     | 468.0            | 38.1 %     | 201.3            | 43.0 % |  |
| ACAC Quota Share                | 256.2            | 14.9 %     | 205.7            | 16.8 %     | 50.5             | 24.5 % |  |
| Total                           | \$ 1,723.5       | 100.0 %    | \$ 1,227.8       | 100.0 %    | \$ 495.7         | 40.4 % |  |

The increase in net premiums written was primarily the result of the following:

• Premium from the IIS Acquisition. The IIS Acquisition was completed on November 30, 2010; IIS contributed \$105.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$29.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

• Continued underwriting discipline by Maiden US. Maiden US continues to maintain its underwriting discipline in the face of ongoing significant market competition. However, Maiden US continues to see demand for its products and the year ended December 31, 2011, was successful in securing a series of new accounts and experienced strong organic growth, which resulted in increased premiums of \$167.4 million, or 33.4% during the year.

• Growth in the AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance segment premium. The commencement of the European Hospital Liability Quota Share increased premiums written by \$95.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2011, while the business assumed under the Reinsurance Agreement increased \$106.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2011.

•

Growth in the ACAC Quota Share segment. 2011 includes a full year of results compared to only ten months in 2010, resulting in growth of \$50.5 million or 24.5% for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 2010.

Excluding the business associated with the European Hospital Liability Quota Share, \$197.9 million or 49.4% of the increase in net premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was attributable to the automobile line of business, primarily personal auto.

83

---

Table of Contents

## Net Premiums Earned

## Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Net premiums earned increased by \$251.4 million, or 16.2%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The table below compares net premiums earned by segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2012             |            | 2011             |            | Change in        |        |  |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|--------|--|
|                                 | Total            | % of Total | Total            | % of Total | \$               | %      |  |
|                                 | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |        |  |
| Diversified Reinsurance         | \$ 795.3         | 44.1 %     | \$ 748.4         | 48.3 %     | \$ 46.9          | 6.3 %  |  |
| AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance | 727.8            | 40.3 %     | 558.2            | 35.9 %     | 169.6            | 30.4 % |  |
| ACAC Quota Share                | 280.7            | 15.6 %     | 245.8            | 15.8 %     | 34.9             | 14.2 % |  |
| Total                           | \$ 1,803.8       | 100.0 %    | \$ 1,552.4       | 100.0 %    | \$ 251.4         | 16.2 % |  |

The increase in net premiums earned was primarily the result of the following:

Growth in Maiden US business in the Diversified Reinsurance segment in 2011- Continued underwriting discipline and strong organic premium written growth in 2011, particularly the second half of that year, resulted in increased earned premiums by Maiden US of \$73.8 million or 12.3% during the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. This growth in earned premium was partially offset by slower premium written growth in 2012 in Maiden US as noted. Additionally, reduced writings by Maiden Bermuda and the Company's international operations in 2011, as certain accounts reduced in size or were non-renewed, affected earned premium in 2012.

Growth in the AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance segment - The commencement of the European Hospital Liability Quota Share on April 1, 2011 increased premiums earned by \$49.4 million or 72.6% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, while the business assumed under the Reinsurance Agreement increased \$120.2 million or 24.5% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011.

Growth in the ACAC Quota Share segment - For the year ended December 31, 2012, net premiums earned increased by \$34.9 million or 14.2% compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, as ACAC continues to expand its business.

## Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

Net premiums earned increased by \$382.6 million, or 32.7%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. The table below compares net premiums earned by segment for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2011  |            | 2010  |            | Change in |   |  |
|---------------------------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|-----------|---|--|
|                                 | Total | % of Total | Total | % of Total | \$        | % |  |

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

|                                 | (\$ in<br>Millions) |         | (\$ in<br>Millions) |         | (\$ in<br>Millions) |        |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|--------|--|--|
| Diversified Reinsurance         | \$ 748.4            | 48.3 %  | \$ 601.2            | 51.5 %  | \$ 147.2            | 24.5 % |  |  |
| AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance | 558.2               | 35.9 %  | 445.1               | 38.0 %  | 113.1               | 25.4 % |  |  |
| ACAC Quota Share                | 245.8               | 15.8 %  | 123.5               | 10.5 %  | 122.3               | 99.1 % |  |  |
| Total                           | \$ 1,552.4          | 100.0 % | \$ 1,169.8          | 100.0 % | \$ 382.6            | 32.7 % |  |  |

84

---

Table of Contents

The increase in net premiums earned was primarily the result of the following:

First full calendar year of the IIS Acquisition. The IIS Acquisition was completed on November 30, 2010 and thus the year ended December 31, 2011 represents the first full calendar year of operations for the business associated with the IIS Acquisition, which contributed \$107.3 million of the overall increase in net premiums earned year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 2010.

Growth in the AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance segment premium. The commencement of the European Hospital Liability Quota Share on April 1, 2011 increased premiums earned by \$68.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 while the business assumed under the Reinsurance Agreement increased \$45.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, respectively.

Growth in the ACAC Quota Share segment. As noted, this new segment commenced on March 1, 2010 and thus the year ended December 31, 2011 represents a full year of operations compared to only ten months in 2010, contributing \$122.3 million of the increase for the year ended December 31, 2011.

#### Other Insurance Revenue

Other insurance revenue represents the IIS Fee Business, which consists primarily of commissions on German auto business produced, that is not directly associated with premium revenue assumed by the Company and increased 2.0% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011.

#### Net Investment Income and Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Investments

##### Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Net Investment Income - Net investment income increased by \$6.3 million or 8.4% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011.

The following table details the Company's average invested assets and average book yield for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011.

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2012             | 2011      |   |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------|---|
|                                 | (\$ in Millions) |           |   |
| Average invested assets         | \$2,764.6        | \$2,360.0 |   |
| Average book yield*             | 2.9              | % 3.2     | % |

\*Ratio of net investment income over average invested assets, at fair value, including cash and cash equivalents and loan to related party.

Despite the Company reducing the amount of cash held during 2012 and investing in longer term assets, the continuing decline in interest rates to historically low levels continue to reduce the Company's overall portfolio yield. Despite the lower portfolio yields, the increase in net investment income for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 is the result of the 17.1% growth in average invested assets. The growth in average invested assets during this period is the result of: 1) continued profitable growth in the overall book of business in all segments as described herein; 2) strong positive cash flow from operations during the year ended December 31, 2012; and 3) the issuance of the 2012 Senior Notes and the Preference Shares.

As a result, despite the increase in average invested assets, the historically low interest rate environment has continued to limit the growth of investment income in the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 2011. Growth in net investment income in 2012 was additionally impacted negatively by increases in prepayments in excess of initially expected levels of the Company's U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities portfolio, resulting in increased levels of amortization of bond premiums by \$5.1 million in 2012 compared to 2011.

Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Investments - Net realized gains on investments were \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to net realized and unrealized gains of \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, see "Liquidity and Capital Resources - Investments" on page 103 for further information.

Table of Contents

## Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

Net Investment Income - Net investment income increased by \$3.3 million, or 4.5%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2011             | 2010      |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
|                                 | (\$ in Millions) |           |
| Average invested assets         | \$2,360.0        | \$2,080.6 |
| Average book yield*             | 3.2              | % 3.5 %   |

\*Ratio of net investment income over average invested assets, at fair value, including cash and cash equivalents and loan to related party.

Continued growth in the overall book of business combined with positive cash flow from operations contributed to the growth in invested assets. Despite the Company's premium growth and the increase in average invested assets, investment income grew at a slower rate in 2011 compared to 2010 reflecting:

the continued significant accumulation of cash and cash equivalents which occurred during 2011 and 2010 due largely to continuing strong operating cash flow; and  
a continuing decline in the duration of the Company's investment portfolio, brought about largely by an increase in prepayments in the Company's mortgage-backed securities portfolio.

Yields in fixed income securities in general as well as in cash and cash equivalents have continued to be at historically low levels throughout 2011, resulting in downward pressure on yields as available cash is newly invested at lower rates than maturing securities previously yielded. Interest on the loan to AmTrust amounted to \$1.9 million in 2011 compared to \$2.0 million for the same period in 2010 due to a reduction in average 30 day LIBOR interest rates during 2011. Investment management fees and expenses of \$3.5 million and \$3.0 million were incurred during the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Please refer to Liquidity and Capital Resources for a more detailed discussion of the Company's investing position relative to the continuing accumulation of cash and cash equivalents, along with the Company's operating and investing cash flow results.

Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Investments - Net realized and unrealized gains on investments were \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$6.6 million for the same period in 2010. In 2011, these were offset by \$3.5 million in net unrealized losses on short sales of certain U.S. Treasury securities. For additional information on the Company's investments and realized and unrealized gains and losses, please refer to Liquidity and Capital Resources.

## Net Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses

## Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Net loss and loss adjustment expenses increased by \$219.2 million, or 21.0%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 2011. The net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios were 69.5% and 66.6% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As noted, catastrophic losses increased the Company's loss ratios in 2012 and 2011, particularly the Diversified Reinsurance segment, the result of Superstorm Sandy in 2012 and thunderstorm and tornado activity in the U.S. in the second quarter of 2011. These events increased the net loss and loss adjustment



expense ratios by 1.7% and 0.6% in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Excluding losses from catastrophic events, net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios were 67.8% and 66.0% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increased net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios were largely the result of poor performance on certain accounts, primarily in Maiden US. The Company amortized gains as a reduction of losses assumed from the GMAC Acquisition and the IIS Acquisition of \$9.1 million for year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$28.9 million in 2011. The higher net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios occurred in the Diversified Reinsurance segment, in particular Maiden US and the ACAC Quota Share segment.

Table of Contents

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

Net loss and loss adjustment expenses increased by \$288.0 million, or 38.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, primarily reflecting the continuing growth in the Company as a result of the commencement of the ACAC Quota Share in 2010 and the continuing growth of the AmTrust Quota Share in 2011 compared to 2010.

The net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios were 66.6% and 64.6% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The underwriting impact of U.S. storm activity in 2011 increased the net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio by 0.6 % in 2011. Without the storm losses, the Company's net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio for the year ended December 31, 2011 would have been 66.0%, reflecting stable net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios in the Diversified Reinsurance segment as a result of the IIS Acquisition, offset by higher net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios in the AmTrust and ACAC segments.

The total favorable development relating to the loss portfolio transfers since the closings of the GMAC Acquisition and the IIS Acquisition has been \$68.9 million and the remaining \$2.6 million is recorded as a deferred gain and is part of the Company's reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses at December 31, 2011 that are included in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in the total favorable development were amortized gains from the loss portfolio acquired as part of the GMAC Acquisition and the IIS Acquisition, recorded as a reduction of losses incurred of \$28.9 million and \$25.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Commission and Other Acquisition Expenses

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Commission and other acquisition expenses increased by \$53.3 million, or 12.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 2011 due to the ongoing premium growth of the Company. However, the commission and other acquisition expense ratio decreased to 27.1% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 28.0% in 2011, respectively. The reduced ratio largely reflects: (1) the impact of loss sensitive features on ceding commission in the Diversified Reinsurance segment, in particular business written by Maiden US, due to higher loss ratios in that segment; (2) continued growth and ongoing changes in the mix of business in the AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance segment, including the impact of a full year of modifications to ceding commission made under the Reinsurance Agreement along with lower ceding commission and profit share under the European Hospital Liability Quota Share, effective April 1, 2011 and discussed in further detail in that segment's results of operations; (3) modifications made to ceding commission made to the ACAC Quota Share effective October 1, 2012.

These changes were partially offset by the adoption of new accounting standards regarding the recognition of deferred commission and other acquisition expenses in the first quarter of 2012 which increased commission and other acquisition expenses by \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 2011.

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

Commission and other acquisition expenses increased by \$102.1 million, or 30.3%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 due to the ongoing premium growth of the Company. However, the commission and other acquisition expense ratio decreased to 28.0% for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 28.8% for the same periods in 2010. This reflects the growth in earned premium offset by: (1) modifications to the ceding commission made under the Reinsurance Agreement; (2) lower ceding commissions under the European Hospital Liability Quota Share; and (3) a lower ceding commission under the ACAC Quota Share due to an increase

in the loss ratio (under the loss sensitive feature of that segment's reinsurance contract).

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses include expenses which are segregated for analytical purposes as a component of underwriting income. General and administrative expenses consist of:

| For the Year Ended December 31,                 | 2012             | 2011   | 2010   |
|---|------------------|--------|--------|
|   | (\$ in Millions) |        |        |
| General and administrative expenses – segments  | \$43.6           | \$40.3 | \$27.9 |
| General and administrative expenses – corporate | 10.2             | 13.6   | 14.3   |
| Total general and administrative expenses       | \$53.8           | \$53.9 | \$42.2 |

Table of Contents

## Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Total general and administrative expenses decreased by \$0.1 million, or 0.2%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 2011. The general and administrative expense ratio is 2.9% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 3.5% in 2011. The decrease for the year reflects the continuing growth of larger quota share accounts which enable the Company to operate more efficiently.

The small decrease in total general and administrative expenses is primarily a result of decreases in office and technology expenses offset by increases in regulatory, legal and other professional fees.

## Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

Total general and administrative expenses increased by \$11.7 million, or 27.8%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 2010. The general and administrative expense ratio was 3.5% for both years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. The increase for the year reflects a full years expenses relating to the IIS Acquisition in November 2010 offset by reductions in non-recurring legal and professional expenses relating to the IIS Acquisition.

The following represents the major factors contributing to the increase:

• Salary and employee welfare costs, including share compensation expense, increased approximately \$7.9 million due to the 50 additional employees added in November 2010 as part of the IIS Acquisition;

• Legal, audit and other professional fees decreased by \$1.1 million - decreases in fees, primarily legal, arising due to smaller number and scale non-recurring activities in 2011 offset partially by increases relating to additional overseas locations;

• Travel and office related expenses increased by \$1.9 million, substantially all related to full years expenses relating to employees and offices acquired as part of the IIS Acquisition on November 30, 2010; and

• Other expenses, including regulatory, depreciation and technology expenses, increased in by approximately \$3.0 million.

## Interest and Amortization Expense

The interest and amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 comprises:

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2012             | 2011   | 2010   |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--------|--------|
|                                 | (\$ in Millions) |        |        |
| TRUPS Offering                  | \$21.4           | \$29.5 | \$36.5 |
| Senior Note Offerings           | 15.0             | 4.6    | —      |
| Total                           | \$36.4           | \$34.1 | \$36.5 |

## Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

The increase in interest and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was due to the issuance of 2012 Senior Notes during the first quarter 2012. These increases were offset by savings in interest expense realized due to the repurchase on July 15, 2011 of \$107.5 million of the Junior

Subordinated Debt, the repurchase of which was financed with the issuance of 2011 Senior Notes. The weighted average interest rate was 11.7% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 14.8% in 2011.

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

The decrease in interest and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was due to the repurchase on July 15, 2011 of \$107.5 million of the TRUPS Offering which have a coupon of 14.0%, the repurchase of which was financed with the issuance of the 2011 Senior Notes, which have a coupon of 8.25%. The weighted average interest rate was 14.8% for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 16.95% for the same period in 2010.

Table of Contents

## Income Tax Expense

The Company recorded a current income tax expense of \$1.1 million, \$0.6 million and \$0.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 respectively. These amounts relate to income tax on the earnings of its international subsidiaries and state taxes incurred by its U.S. subsidiaries. The effective rate of current income tax was 1.9%, 2.1% and 0.2% for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Company recorded a deferred tax expense of \$1.1 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. These amounts are related to the goodwill associated with the Company's acquisition of its U.S. subsidiaries in the GMAC Acquisition. The effect of this expense will be reversed as: (1) we develop U.S. taxable income to permit recognition of the net deferred tax asset; and (2) the amortization period of the goodwill for tax purposes is exhausted.

## Dividends on Preference Shares

Dividends on Preference Shares in 2012 cover the period from the date of issuance of the Preference Shares on August 22, 2012 to the date of the first dividend payment which was December 17, 2012.

## Underwriting Results by Operating Segments

The results of operations for our three segments, Diversified Reinsurance, AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance and ACAC Quota Share are discussed below.

## Diversified Reinsurance Segment

Results of this segment include the results of operations from the IIS Acquisition, which completed its first full year of operations in 2011. The following table summarizes the underwriting results and associated ratios for the Diversified Reinsurance segment for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

| For the Year Ended December 31,                | 2012             | 2011     | 2010     |   |
|--|------------------|----------|----------|---|
|  | (\$ in Millions) |          |          |   |
| Net premiums written                           | \$765.3          | \$798.0  | \$554.1  |   |
| Net premiums earned                            | \$795.3          | \$748.4  | \$601.2  |   |
| Other insurance revenue                        | 12.9             | 12.6     | —        |   |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expenses          | (584.0)          | ) (502.4 | ) (394.6 | ) |
| Commission and other acquisition expenses      | (203.2)          | ) (200.2 | ) (152.7 | ) |
| General and administrative expenses            | (40.9)           | ) (36.4  | ) (26.1  | ) |
| Underwriting (loss) income                     | \$(19.9          | ) \$22.0 | \$27.8   |   |
| Ratios   |                  |          |          |   |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio     | 72.3             | % 66.0   | % 65.6   | % |
| Commission and other acquisition expense ratio | 25.1             | % 26.3   | % 25.4   | % |
| General and administrative expense ratio       | 5.1              | % 4.8    | % 4.4    | % |
| Expense ratio                                  | 30.2             | % 31.1   | % 29.8   | % |
| Combined ratio                                 | 102.5            | % 97.1   | % 95.4   | % |

## Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

The combined ratio increased to 102.5% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 97.1% in 2011. As noted, catastrophic losses increased this segments combined ratios in 2012 and 2011, the result of Superstorm Sandy in 2012 and thunderstorm and tornado activity in the U.S. in the second quarter of 2011. These events increased the segment combined ratios by 3.6% and 1.2% in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Excluding losses from catastrophic events, combined ratios were 98.9% and 95.9% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increased net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios were primarily the result of

Table of Contents

were largely the result of poor performance on certain accounts, primarily in Maiden US and were partially offset by a lower commission and other acquisition expense ratio as a result of reductions in ceding commission from loss sensitive contract features, primarily written by Maiden US.

Premiums - Net premiums written decreased by \$32.7 million, or 4.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The table below illustrates net premiums written by line of business in this segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2012             |            | 2011             |            | Change in        |   |         |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|---|---------|
|                                 | Total            | % of Total | Total            | % of Total | \$               | % |         |
| Net Premiums Written            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |   |         |
| Property                        | \$190.1          | 24.8       | % \$208.0        | 26.1       | % \$(17.9        | ) | (8.6)%  |
| Casualty                        | 433.3            | 56.6       | % 441.6          | 55.3       | % (8.3           | ) | (1.9)%  |
| Accident and Health             | 37.3             | 4.9        | % 42.6           | 5.3        | % (5.3           | ) | (12.6)% |
| International                   | 104.6            | 13.7       | % 105.8          | 13.3       | % (1.2           | ) | (1.1)%  |
| Total Diversified Reinsurance   | \$765.3          | 100.0      | % \$798.0        | 100.0      | % \$(32.7        | ) | (4.1)%  |

The table below illustrates net premiums earned by line of business in this segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2012             |            | 2011             |            | Change in        |      |         |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------|---------|
|                                 | Total            | % of Total | Total            | % of Total | \$               | %    |         |
| Net Premiums Earned             | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |      |         |
| Property                        | \$212.0          | 26.7       | % \$197.0        | 26.3       | % \$15.0         | 7.6  | %       |
| Casualty                        | 444.7            | 55.9       | % 395.5          | 52.8       | % 49.2           | 12.4 | %       |
| Accident and Health             | 42.0             | 5.3        | % 43.2           | 5.8        | % (1.2           | )    | (2.9)%  |
| International                   | 96.6             | 12.1       | % 112.7          | 15.1       | % (16.1          | )    | (14.3)% |
| Total Diversified Reinsurance   | \$795.3          | 100.0      | % \$748.4        | 100.0      | % \$46.9         | 6.3  | %       |

The reduction in premium written in the segment was primarily attributable to Maiden US, which experienced a decrease in premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2012 of \$17.3 million or 2.6% compared to December 31, 2011. In addition to writing fewer new accounts in 2012, Maiden US added a number of large proportional contracts in the second half of 2011 which had sizable in-force and unearned premiums assumed, which did not recur in 2012. Finally, several large proportional reinsurance contracts that no longer met Maiden US' profitability criteria were non-renewed, further contributing to the decrease.

Maiden Bermuda and IIS also decreased their written premium by \$15.4 million or 12.0% during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011, largely due to non-renewals of certain accounts which were partially offset by new account activity.

Despite the decrease in premiums written, strong organic premium written growth in 2011, particularly the second half of that year, resulted in increased earned premiums by Maiden US of \$73.8 million or 12.3% during the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. This growth in earned premium was partially offset by slower premium written growth in 2012 in Maiden US as noted. Additionally, reduced writings by Maiden Bermuda and the Company's international operations, as certain accounts reduced in size or were non-renewed, affected earned premium in 2012.



Other Insurance Revenue - Other insurance revenue represents the IIS Fee Business, which consists primarily of commissions on German auto business produced, that is not directly associated with premium revenue assumed by the Company and increased 2.0% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Net Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses - Net loss and loss adjustment expenses increased by \$81.6 million, or 16.2%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 2011. Net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios were 72.3% and 66.0% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As noted, catastrophic losses increased this segments net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios in 2012 and 2011, the result of Superstorm Sandy in 2012 and thunderstorm and tornado activity in the U.S. in the second quarter of 2011. These events increased the net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios by 3.6% and 1.2 % in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Excluding losses from catastrophic events, net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios were 68.7% and 64.8% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increased net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios were largely the result of poor performance on certain accounts, primarily in Maiden US. The Company amortized gains as a reduction of losses assumed from the GMAC Acquisition and the IIS Acquisition of \$9.1 million for year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$28.9 million in 2011. In addition to the lower amortized gains in 2012, the higher net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios were also impacted by higher current underwriting year loss ratios in both Maiden US and the international business written from the IIS Acquisition, in particular German Auto, as well as development from business written by Maiden Bermuda in prior years.

Commission and Other Acquisition Expenses - Commission and other acquisition expenses increased by \$3.0 million, or 1.5%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 2011. The increase during the year reflects the growth of the segment in 2012 compared to 2011, consistent with the reasons cited in the discussion of the change in earned premiums. In addition, as a result of the adoption of new accounting standards regarding the recognition of deferred commission and other acquisition expenses in the first quarter 2012, commission and other acquisition expenses increased an additional \$2.0 million during year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 2011. The implementation of this new accounting standard increased the commission and other acquisition expense ratio for the segment by 0.3% for the year ended December 31, 2012.

These increases were offset by the impact of loss sensitive features on ceding commission in the segment, in particular business written by Maiden US, due to higher loss ratios in 2012 from the impact of both Superstorm Sandy and non-catastrophe underwriting results. For the year ended December 31, 2012, 54.8% of the Maiden US net premiums written have loss sensitive features, which results in lower ceding commissions when loss ratios increase. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the net effect of loss sensitive features on Maiden US reinsurance contracts reduced ceding commission by \$10.4 million, compared to \$10.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Thus despite the increase in commission and other acquisition expenses in 2012 compared to 2011, the commission and other acquisition expense ratio decreased to 25.1% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 26.3% in 2011.

General and Administrative Expenses - Consistent with the Company's growth, general and administrative expenses increased by \$4.5 million, or 12.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 2011. The general and administrative expense ratio was 5.1% and 4.8% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The overall expense ratio (including commission and other acquisition expenses) was 30.2% and 31.1% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

The combined ratio increased to 97.1% for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 95.4% in the same period ended 2010. As discussed previously, the 2011 results include the underwriting impact of U.S. storm activity, which increased the combined ratio by 1.2 % for the year ended December 31, 2011. Adjusted for the storm losses, the segment's combined ratio for the year ended December 31, 2011 was 95.9% compared to 95.4% for the same period in 2010, reflecting a small deterioration in the loss ratios (as adjusted) in the segment.

Premiums - Net premiums written increased by \$243.9 million, or 44.0%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The table below illustrates net premiums written by line of business in this segment for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

91

---

Table of Contents

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2011             |            | 2010             |            | Change in        |         |  |  |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|---------|--|--|
|                                 | Total            | % of Total | Total            | % of Total | \$               | %       |  |  |
| Net Premiums Written            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |         |  |  |
| Property                        | \$208.0          | 26.1 %     | \$168.9          | 30.5 %     | \$39.1           | 23.1 %  |  |  |
| Casualty                        | 441.6            | 55.3 %     | 311.9            | 56.3 %     | 129.7            | 41.6 %  |  |  |
| Accident and Health             | 42.6             | 5.3 %      | 43.7             | 7.9 %      | (1.1)            | (2.4) % |  |  |
| International                   | 105.8            | 13.3 %     | 29.6             | 5.3 %      | 76.2             | 257.1 % |  |  |
| Total Diversified Reinsurance   | \$798.0          | 100.0 %    | \$554.1          | 100.0 %    | \$243.9          | 44.0 %  |  |  |

The table below illustrates net premiums earned by line of business in this segment for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2011             |            | 2010             |            | Change in        |           |  |  |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|-----------|--|--|
|                                 | Total            | % of Total | Total            | % of Total | \$               | %         |  |  |
| Net Premiums Earned             | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |           |  |  |
| Property                        | \$197.0          | 26.3 %     | \$176.5          | 29.4 %     | \$20.5           | 11.6 %    |  |  |
| Casualty                        | 395.5            | 52.8 %     | 356.4            | 59.3 %     | 39.1             | 11.0 %    |  |  |
| Accident and Health             | 43.2             | 5.8 %      | 62.9             | 10.5 %     | (19.7)           | (31.4) %  |  |  |
| International                   | 112.7            | 15.1 %     | 5.4              | 0.8 %      | 107.3            | 1,997.5 % |  |  |
| Total Diversified Reinsurance   | \$748.4          | 100.0 %    | \$601.2          | 100.0 %    | \$147.2          | 24.5 %    |  |  |

The IIS Acquisition was completed on November 30, 2010 and the year ended December 31, 2011 represents the first full year of operations, which accounted for \$76.2 million of the increase in net premiums written. For the year ended December 31, 2011, approximately 68.1% of the net premiums written of the International line of business was Personal Automobile business associated with the IIS Acquisition. The remainder of the net premiums written in the International line of business was Credit Life business associated with the IIS Acquisition. Further, approximately 50.5% of the net premiums written in the International line of business originated in Germany. No other country in International exceeded 10% of net premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2011. In addition, the business written by Maiden US experienced strong growth in the year ended December 31, 2011 of \$167.4 million or 33.4% compared to the same period in 2010, when certain accounts were not renewed or more premiums was retained by clients. A series of new accounts were successfully added in 2011, both quota share and excess of loss, accounting for the increase in this business.

Other Insurance Revenue - Other insurance revenue of \$12.6 million represents the IIS Fee Business that is not directly associated with premium revenue generated by the Company. The year ended December 31, 2011 represents the first full calendar periods of operations for the IIS Fee Business and is primarily in respect of the German auto business produced by OVS.

Net Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses - Net loss and loss adjustment expenses increased by \$107.8 million, or 27.3%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. Net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios were 66.0% and 65.6% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The underwriting impact of U.S. storm activity increased the net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio by 1.2% for the year ended December 31, 2011. Adjusted for the storm losses, the Company's net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio for the year ended December 31, 2011 was 64.8%.

The total favorable development relating to the loss portfolio transfer since the closing of the GMAC Acquisition and the IIS Acquisition has been \$68.9 million and the remaining \$2.6 million is recorded as a deferred gain in the

Company's reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses at December 31, 2011 that are included in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet.

92

---

Table of Contents

Commission and Other Acquisition Expenses - Commission and other acquisition expenses increased by \$47.5 million, or 31.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, primarily reflecting the increased quota share business as a result of the first full year of business from the IIS Acquisition, which typically has a higher ceding commission associated with that business. As a result, the commission and other acquisition expense ratio increased to 26.3% for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 25.4% for the same period in 2010.

General and Administrative Expenses - General and administrative expenses increased by \$10.3 million, or 39.2%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The general and administrative expense ratio was 4.8% and 4.4% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, primarily as a result of the first full year of operations from the IIS Acquisition. The overall expense ratio (including commission and other acquisition expenses) was 31.1% and 29.8% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance Segment

Effective April 1, 2011, the Company entered into a series of contract modifications with AmTrust regarding the reinsurance coverage it provides under the Reinsurance Agreement, including the ceding commission arrangements contained within that contract. These changes include: (1) extension of the Reinsurance Agreement for one additional year, to July 1, 2014, while continuing the automatic three-year renewal subject to the provisions of the contract; (2) a reduction of the ceding commission payable under the Reinsurance Agreement to 30.0% for the period April 1, 2011 to December 31, 2011; and (3) subsequent to December 31, 2011, a provision which potentially reduces the ceding commission payable based on the mix of business ceded under the Reinsurance Agreement, excluding business related to the Unitrin Business Insurance ("UBI") business to either 30.5% or 30.0%.

On March 7, 2013, after receipt of approval from each of the Company's and AmTrust's Audit Committee, the Company and AmTrust executed an amendment to the Reinsurance Agreement, which provides for the extension of the term of the Reinsurance Agreement to July 1, 2016. The amendment further provides that, effective January 1, 2013, AII will receive a ceding commission of 31% of ceded written premiums with respect to all Covered Business other than retail commercial package business, for which the ceding commission will remain 34.375%. Though this commission adjustment eliminates its variable feature, the Company anticipates operating for the foreseeable future at that commission rate. Lastly, with regards to the Specialty Program portion of Covered Business only, excluding workers' compensation business included in the AmTrust's Specialty Program segment from July 1, 2007 through December 31, 2012, AmTrust will be responsible for ultimate net loss otherwise recoverable from Maiden Bermuda to the extent that the loss ratio to Maiden Bermuda, which shall be determined on an inception to date basis from July 1, 2007 through the date of calculation, is between 81.5% and 95%. Above and below the defined corridor, the Company will continue to reinsure losses at its proportional 40% share per the Reinsurance Agreement. The Company believes that these contract revisions will help to maintain the stability of the overall performance for the Reinsurance Agreement.

On April 1, 2011, the Company entered into a separate one-year 40% quota share agreement with AmTrust Europe Limited and AmTrust International Underwriters Limited to cover those entities medical liability business in Europe ("European Hospital Liability Quota Share"), substantially all of which is in Italy. The Company's maximum limit of liability is €2 million and it will pay a ceding commission of 5.0% plus a profit share as defined in the agreement. The profit sharing is based upon the reinsured exceeding defined underwriting performance of each contract year, commencing two years after the beginning of each contract year. To the extent that the underwriting performance is exceeded, the Company will share 50% of the excess amounts computed. Pursuant to the terms of the European Hospital Liability Quota Share, the Company assumed the in-force and unearned premium as of April 1 which totaled \$45.9 million. The business written under this agreement is included in the Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty line

of business in the discussion that follows. As a result of the additional agreement with AmTrust, this segment has been renamed AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance.

Effective January 1, 2012, the European Hospital Liability Quota Share was amended, thereby increasing the maximum liability attaching to €10,000 or currency equivalent (on a 100% basis) per original claim for any one original policy. Furthermore, amendments were also made to the contract to expand the territorial scope to include new territories, specifically France.

The following table summarizes the underwriting results and associated ratios for the AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance segment for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

Table of Contents

| For the Year Ended December 31,                | 2012             | 2011    | 2010    |   |   |
|--|------------------|---------|---------|---|---|
|  | (\$ in Millions) |         |         |   |   |
| Net premiums written                           | \$840.3          | \$669.3 | \$468.0 |   |   |
| Net premiums earned                            | \$727.8          | \$558.2 | \$445.1 |   |   |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expenses          | (494.6)          | (380.3) | (280.9) | ) | ) |
| Commission and other acquisition expenses      | (200.6)          | (160.5) | (144.7) | ) | ) |
| General and administrative expenses            | (1.9)            | (2.3)   | (1.5)   | ) | ) |
| Underwriting income                            | \$30.7           | \$15.1  | \$18.0  |   |   |
| Ratios   |                  |         |         |   |   |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio     | 68.0             | % 68.1  | % 63.1  |   | % |
| Commission and other acquisition expense ratio | 27.6             | % 28.8  | % 32.5  |   | % |
| General and administrative expense ratio       | 0.2              | % 0.4   | % 0.3   |   | % |
| Expense ratio                                  | 27.8             | % 29.2  | % 32.8  |   | % |
| Combined ratio                                 | 95.8             | % 97.3  | % 95.9  |   | % |

## Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

The combined ratio decreased to 95.8% for year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 97.3% in 2011, reflecting generally stable loss ratios and a lower commission and other acquisition expense ratio, which reflects ongoing changes in this segments mix of business and modifications to the Reinsurance Agreement's ceding commission.

Premiums - Net premiums written increased by \$171.0 million, or 25.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The results for the year ended December 31, 2011 include the \$45.9 million in force and unearned premium assumed at the commencement of the European Hospital Liability Quota Share on April 1, 2011. Excluding that non-recurring item, net premiums written increased by \$216.9 million or 34.8% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 2011.

During 2012, business written under the Reinsurance Agreement increased by \$139.3 million or 24.3% compared to 2011 and this increase reflects AmTrust's continuing expansion through acquisition and ongoing organic growth, both of which are benefiting from improved rate levels, particularly in workers' compensation. Business written under the European Hospital Liability Quota Share increased by \$31.8 million or 33.4% in 2012 compared to 2011, reflecting the first full year of writings from that contract.

The table below illustrates net premiums written by AmTrust's segments for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

| For the Year Ended December 31,       | 2012             |            | 2011             |            | Change in        |      |   |  |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------|---|--|
|                                       | Total            | % of Total | Total            | % of Total | \$               | %    |   |  |
| Net Premiums Written                  | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |      |   |  |
| Small Commercial Business             | \$364.1          | 43.3       | % \$237.6        | 35.5       | % \$126.5        | 53.3 | % |  |
| Specialty Program                     | 95.9             | 11.4       | % 93.7           | 14.0       | % 2.2            | 2.3  | % |  |
| Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty  | 380.3            | 45.3       | % 338.0          | 50.5       | % 42.3           | 12.5 | % |  |
| Total AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance | \$840.3          | 100.0      | % \$669.3        | 100.0      | % \$171.0        | 25.6 | % |  |

Net premiums earned increased by \$169.6 million, or 30.4% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011. The increase reflects the ongoing growth of business written under the Reinsurance Agreement and the European Hospital Liability Quota Share. The table below details net premiums earned by line of business for



the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

94

---

Table of Contents

| For the Year Ended December 31,       | 2012             |            | 2011             |            | Change in        |        |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|--------|
|                                       | Total            | % of Total | Total            | % of Total | \$               | %      |
| Net Premiums Earned                   | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |        |
| Small Commercial Business             | \$313.1          | 43.0 %     | \$215.9          | 38.7 %     | \$97.2           | 45.0 % |
| Specialty Program                     | 85.8             | 11.8 %     | 81.3             | 14.6 %     | 4.5              | 5.6 %  |
| Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty  | 328.9            | 45.2 %     | 261.0            | 46.7 %     | 67.9             | 26.0 % |
| Total AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance | \$727.8          | 100.0 %    | \$558.2          | 100.0 %    | \$169.6          | 30.4 % |

Net Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses - Net loss and loss expenses increased by \$114.3 million, or 30.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the the year ended December 31, 2011. Net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios were 68.0% and 68.1% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Improved loss ratios in the workers' compensation line of business within Small Commercial were largely offset by higher loss ratios in Specialty Program. The Specialty Risk and Warranty loss ratios were generally stable.

Commission and Other Acquisition Expenses - Commission and other acquisition expenses increased by \$40.1 million, or 24.9%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. Expenses have increased in 2012 as a result of ongoing growth in earned premium under both the Reinsurance Agreement and the European Hospital Liability Quota Share. The commission and other acquisition expense ratio declined to 27.6% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 28.8% in 2011. The change in both the expenses and ratio reflects the modifications to ceding commission made under the Reinsurance Agreement and the lower ceding commission under the European Hospital Liability Quota Share, both effective April 1, 2011. The impact of the lower ceding commission rate reduced the amount of ceding commission paid to AmTrust by \$6.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

General and Administrative Expenses - General and administrative expenses decreased by \$0.4 million, or 14.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The general and administrative expense ratio also decreased to 0.2% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 0.4% for the year ended December 31, 2011. The overall expense ratio (including commission and other acquisition expenses) was 27.8% and 29.2% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, reflecting the changes in the commission and other acquisition expense ratio.

## Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

The combined ratio increased to 97.3% for year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 95.9% in 2010. The cause of the increase was due to an increase in the overall loss ratio, offset by a reduction in commissions as a result of a negotiated reduction in the ceding commission of 1.0% effective April 1, 2011, and the change in mix of business due to the commencement of the European Hospital Liability Quota Share.

Premiums - Net premiums written increased by \$201.3 million, or 43.0%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 2010. For the year ended December 31, 2011, net premiums written for the European Hospital Liability Quota Share were \$95.3 million. Excluding this new coverage, the net premiums written in the segment increased by \$106.0 million or 22.6%, primarily due to continuing increases in each line of business. The table below illustrates net premiums written by AmTrust's segments for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2011  |            | 2010  |            | Change in |   |
|---------------------------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|-----------|---|
|                                 | Total | % of Total | Total | % of Total | \$        | % |

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

| Net Premiums Written                  | (\$ in<br>Millions) |         | (\$ in<br>Millions) |         | (\$ in<br>Millions) |        |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|--------|--|--|
| Small Commercial Business             | \$ 237.6            | 35.5 %  | \$ 197.0            | 42.1 %  | \$ 40.6             | 20.6 % |  |  |
| Specialty Program                     | 93.7                | 14.0 %  | 73.9                | 15.8 %  | 19.8                | 26.8 % |  |  |
| Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty  | 338.0               | 50.5 %  | 197.1               | 42.1 %  | 140.9               | 71.5 % |  |  |
| Total AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance | \$ 669.3            | 100.0 % | \$ 468.0            | 100.0 % | \$ 201.3            | 43.0 % |  |  |

95

---

Table of Contents

Net premiums earned increased by \$113.1 million, or 25.4% for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to 2010. The increase in net premiums earned was primarily due to the commencement of the European Hospital Liability Quota Share effective April 1, 2011, which accounted for \$68.1 million of the overall increase. Excluding this new coverage, the net premiums earned in the segment increased by \$45.0 million or 10.1%.

The table below details net premiums earned by line of business for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

| For the Year Ended December 31,       | 2011             |            | 2010             |            | Change in        |        |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|--------|
|                                       | Total            | % of Total | Total            | % of Total | \$               | %      |
| Net Premiums Earned                   | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |        |
| Small Commercial Business             | \$215.9          | 38.7 %     | \$202.7          | 45.5 %     | \$13.2           | 6.5 %  |
| Specialty Program                     | 81.3             | 14.6 %     | 71.6             | 16.1 %     | 9.7              | 13.5 % |
| Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty  | 261.0            | 46.7 %     | 170.8            | 38.4 %     | 90.2             | 52.8 % |
| Total AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance | \$558.2          | 100.0 %    | \$445.1          | 100.0 %    | \$113.1          | 25.4 % |

The increase in earned premium reflects and is consistent with the growth in written premiums described above.

Net Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses - Net loss and loss expenses increased by \$99.4 million, or 35.4%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 2010. Net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios were 68.1% and 63.1% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase in the net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios reflects the ongoing shift in the mix of business to Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty under the Reinsurance Agreement and the European Hospital Liability Quota Share, which historically produce higher loss ratios than the other lines of business.

Commission and Other Acquisition Expenses - Commission and other acquisition expenses increased by \$15.8 million, or 11.0%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 2010. The commission and other acquisition expense ratio declined to 28.8% for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 32.5% in 2010. The change in both the expenses and ratio reflects the modifications to ceding commission made under the Reinsurance Agreement and the lower ceding commission under the European Hospital Liability Quota Share, both effective April 1, 2011. The impact of the lower ceding commission rate reduced the amount of ceding commission paid to AmTrust by \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Expenses have also increased in both periods as a result of ongoing growth in earned premium.

General and Administrative Expenses - General and administrative expenses increased by \$0.8 million, or 52.2%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 2010. The general and administrative expense ratio also increased to 0.4% for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 0.3% for the year ended December 31, 2010. The overall expense ratio (including commission and other acquisition expenses) was 29.2% and 32.8% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, reflecting the changes in the commission and other acquisition expense ratio.

Table of Contents

## ACAC Quota Share Segment

The following table summarizes the underwriting results and associated ratios for the ACAC Quota Share segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the period March 1 to December 31, 2010:

| For the Year Ended December 31,                | 2012             | 2011    | 2010    |   |
|--|------------------|---------|---------|---|
|  | (\$ in Millions) |         |         |   |
| Net premiums written                           | \$295.7          | \$256.2 | \$205.7 |   |
| Net premiums earned                            | \$280.7          | \$245.8 | \$123.5 |   |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expenses          | (183.7)          | (160.4) | (79.7)  | ) |
| Commission and other acquisition expenses      | (88.3)           | (78.1)  | (39.3)  | ) |
| General and administrative expenses            | (0.8)            | (1.6)   | (0.3)   | ) |
| Underwriting income                            | \$7.9            | \$5.7   | \$4.2   |   |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio     | 65.5             | % 65.3  | % 64.5  | % |
| Commission and other acquisition expense ratio | 31.5             | % 31.7  | % 31.9  | % |
| General and administrative expense ratio       | 0.2              | % 0.7   | % 0.2   | % |
| Expense ratio                                  | 31.7             | % 32.4  | % 32.1  | % |
| Combined ratio                                 | 97.2             | % 97.7  | % 96.6  | % |

## Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

The combined ratio decreased to 97.2% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 97.7% for the year ended December 31, 2011. In October 2012, ACAC incurred losses from Superstorm Sandy. Our share, net of inuring reinsurance, under the ACAC Quota Share was \$2.0 million, which increased the net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio and combined ratio for the year ended December 31, 2012 by 0.7%. Excluding losses from Superstorm Sandy, the combined ratio decreased to 96.5% in 2012 from 97.7% in 2011. The cause of the decrease was a lower expense ratio in 2012, attributable in part to lower ceding commissions in 2012, the result of changes to the reinsurance agreement with ACAC discussed below.

Effective October 1, 2012, the parties amended the reinsurance agreement to decrease the provisional ceding commission from 32.5% to 32.0% of ceded earned premium, net of premiums ceded by the personal lines companies for inuring reinsurance, subject to adjustment. The ceding commission is subject to adjustment to a minimum of 30.0% (changed from 30.5%), if the loss ratio is 64.5% or greater. The Company believes that the terms, conditions and pricing of the ACAC Quota Share have been determined by arm's length negotiations and reflect current market terms and conditions. For the three months ended December 31, 2012, the effect of this contract amendment reduced ceded commission by \$0.4 million.

Premiums - Net premiums written increased by \$39.5 million, or 15.4% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The table below details net premiums written by line of business in this segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2012             |            | 2011             |            | Change in        |        |  |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|--------|--|
|                                 | Total            | % of Total | Total            | % of Total | \$               | %      |  |
| Net Premiums Written            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |        |  |
| Automobile liability            | \$ 159.9         | 54.1 %     | \$ 147.4         | 57.5 %     | \$ 12.5          | 8.5 %  |  |
| Automobile physical damage      | 135.8            | 45.9 %     | 108.8            | 42.5 %     | 27.0             | 24.8 % |  |

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

|                        |         |         |         |         |        |        |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| Total ACAC Quota Share | \$295.7 | 100.0 % | \$256.2 | 100.0 % | \$39.5 | 15.4 % |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|

Table of Contents

Net premium earned increased by \$34.9 million, or 14.2% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, as a result of the increase in premium written. The table below details net premiums earned by line of business in this segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2012             |            | 2011             |            | Change in        |        |  |  |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|--------|--|--|
|                                 | Total            | % of Total | Total            | % of Total | \$               | %      |  |  |
| Net Premiums Earned             | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |        |  |  |
| Automobile liability            | \$ 155.3         | 55.3 %     | \$ 141.2         | 57.4 %     | \$ 14.1          | 10.0 % |  |  |
| Automobile physical damage      | 125.4            | 44.7 %     | 104.6            | 42.6 %     | 20.8             | 19.8 % |  |  |
| Total ACAC Quota Share          | \$ 280.7         | 100.0 %    | \$ 245.8         | 100.0 %    | \$ 34.9          | 14.2 % |  |  |

Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses - Net losses and loss expenses increased by \$23.3 million or 14.5% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011. As noted above, in October 2012, ACAC incurred losses from Superstorm Sandy. Our share, net of inuring reinsurance, under the ACAC Quota Share was \$2.0 million, which increased the net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio for the year ended December 31, 2012 by 0.7%. Excluding losses from Superstorm Sandy, net loss and loss adjustment expense ratios decreased to 64.8% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 65.3% for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Commission and Other Acquisition Expenses - The ACAC Quota Share, as amended, provides that the reinsurers pay a provisional ceding commission equal to 32.0% of ceded earned premium, net of premiums ceded by the personal lines companies for inuring reinsurance, subject to adjustment. The ceding commission is subject to adjustment to a maximum of 34.5% if the loss ratio for the reinsured business is 60.5% or less and a minimum of 30.5% if the loss ratio is 64.5% or higher.

For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the commission and other acquisition expense ratio of 31.5% and 31.7%, respectively, reflects the adjusted ceding commission recorded in addition to the U.S. Federal excise tax payable on this premium.

#### Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

The ACAC segment commenced on March 1, 2010. As a result, comparability between the 2011 and 2010 periods is affected by the fact that 2010 only reflects ten months of results.

The combined ratio increased to 97.7% for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 96.6% for the period ended December 31, 2010. The cause of the increase was due to an increase in the overall loss ratio to 65.3% for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 64.5% for the period ended December 31, 2010.

Premiums - Net premiums written increased by \$50.5 million, or 24.5% for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the period ended December 31, 2010. The table below details net premiums written by line of business in this segment for the year ended December 31, 2011 and for the period from March 1 to December 31, 2010:

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2011             |            | 2010             |            | Change in        |        |  |  |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|--------|--|--|
|                                 | Total            | % of Total | Total            | % of Total | \$               | %      |  |  |
| Net Premiums Written            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |        |  |  |
| Automobile liability            | \$ 147.4         | 57.5 %     | \$ 118.0         | 57.3 %     | \$ 29.4          | 24.9 % |  |  |

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

|                            |         |       |   |         |       |   |        |      |   |
|----------------------------|---------|-------|---|---------|-------|---|--------|------|---|
| Automobile physical damage | 108.8   | 42.5  | % | 87.7    | 42.7  | % | 21.1   | 24.0 | % |
| Total ACAC Quota Share     | \$256.2 | 100.0 | % | \$205.7 | 100.0 | % | \$50.5 | 24.5 | % |

Net premium earned increased by \$122.3 million, or 99.1% for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the period ended December 31, 2010. The table below details net premiums earned by line of business in this segment for the year ended December 31, 2011 and for the period from March 1 to December 31, 2010:



Table of Contents

| For the Year Ended December 31, | 2011             |            | 2010             |            | Change in        |         |  |  |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|---------|--|--|
|                                 | Total            | % of Total | Total            | % of Total | \$               | %       |  |  |
| Net Premiums Earned             | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |         |  |  |
| Automobile liability            | \$ 141.2         | 57.4 %     | \$ 69.5          | 56.3 %     | \$ 71.7          | 103.3 % |  |  |
| Automobile physical damage      | 104.6            | 42.6 %     | 54.0             | 43.7 %     | 50.6             | 93.8 %  |  |  |
| Total ACAC Quota Share          | \$ 245.8         | 100.0 %    | \$ 123.5         | 100.0 %    | \$ 122.3         | 99.1 %  |  |  |

Commission and Other Acquisition Expenses - The ACAC Quota Share provides that the reinsurers pay a provisional ceding commission equal to 32.5% of ceded earned premium, net of premiums ceded by the personal lines companies for inuring reinsurance, subject to adjustment. The ceding commission is subject to adjustment to a maximum of 34.5% if the loss ratio for the reinsured business is 60.5% or less and a minimum of 30.5% if the loss ratio is 64.5% or higher. For the year ended December 31, 2011 and the period from March 1 to December 31, 2010, the commission and other acquisition expense ratio of 31.7% and 31.9%, respectively, reflects the adjusted ceding commission recorded in addition to the U.S. Federal excise tax payable on this premium.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

## Liquidity

Maiden Holdings is a holding company and transacts no business of its own. We therefore rely on cash flows to Maiden Holdings in the form of dividends, advances and loans and other permitted distributions from its subsidiary companies to make dividend payments on its common shares.

The jurisdictions in which our operating subsidiaries are licensed to write business impose regulations requiring companies to maintain or meet various defined statutory ratios, including solvency and liquidity requirements. Some jurisdictions also place restrictions on the declaration and payment of dividends and other distributions.

The payment of dividends from Maiden Holdings' Bermuda-domiciled operating subsidiary Maiden Bermuda is, under certain circumstances, limited under Bermuda law, which requires our Bermuda operating subsidiary to maintain certain measures of solvency and liquidity including the BSCR. At December 31, 2012, the statutory capital and surplus of Maiden Bermuda was \$942.8 million. Maiden Bermuda is currently determining its BSCR as of December 31, 2012 and we estimate that Maiden Bermuda will be allowed to pay dividends or distributions not exceeding \$217.7 million. During 2012 and 2011, Maiden Bermuda did not pay any dividends to Maiden Holdings.

Maiden Holdings' U.S. domiciled operating subsidiaries, Maiden US and Maiden Specialty, are subject to significant regulatory restrictions limiting their ability to declare and pay dividends by the states of Missouri and North Carolina, respectively, the states in which those subsidiaries are domiciled. In addition, there are restrictions based on risk-based capital a test which is the threshold that constitutes the authorized control level. If Maiden US's or Maiden Specialty's statutory capital and surplus falls below the authorized control level, their respective domiciliary insurance regulators are authorized to take whatever regulatory actions are considered necessary to protect policyholders and creditors. At December 31, 2012, Maiden US and Maiden Specialty statutory capital and surplus were \$267.9 million and \$46.2 million, respectively, in excess of its authorized control level. The inability of the subsidiaries of Maiden Holdings to pay dividends and other permitted distributions could have a material adverse effect on Maiden Holdings' cash requirements and ability to make principal, interest and dividend payments on its debt, preference shares and common shares. During 2012 and 2011, Maiden US and Maiden Specialty paid no dividends.

Our sources of funds primarily consist of premium receipts net of commissions, investment income, net proceeds from capital raising activities, which may include the issuance of common shares, and proceeds from sales and redemption of investments. Cash is used primarily to pay loss and loss adjustment expenses, general and administrative expenses and dividends, with the remainder made available to our investment managers for investment in accordance with our investment policy. A summary of cash flows provided by (used in) operating, investing and financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

Table of Contents

| For the Year Ended December 31,                          | 2012             | 2011     | 2010    |   |
|--|------------------|----------|---------|---|
|  | (\$ in Millions) |          |         |   |
| Operating activities                                     | \$319.1          | \$181.3  | \$151.6 |   |
| Investing activities                                     | (637.5           | ) 13.3   | (125.2  | ) |
| Financing activities                                     | 208.8            | (99.5    | ) (37.2 | ) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on foreign currency cash | 3.1              | (3.1     | ) (0.4  | ) |
| Total (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents   | \$(106.5         | ) \$92.0 | \$(11.2 | ) |

## Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Cash flows from operations for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$319.1 million compared to \$181.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in the amount of cash provided by operations in the year ended December 31, 2012 reflects the significant growth in the Company during both 2011 and 2012, along with continuing profitable combined ratios, despite the losses incurred by the Company in Superstorm Sandy. The Company's assets grew by \$743.1 million or 21.9% as of December 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011, primarily reflecting the operating cash flows described, in addition to the issuance of the 2012 Senior Notes and the Preference Shares. Although the Company's rate of premium growth has slowed in recent calendar quarters, the combination of expected premium growth and stable combined ratios should continue to generate positive cash flow from operations resulting in continued growth in the Company's invested assets.

Cash flows from operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 were \$181.3 million compared to \$151.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Cash flows from operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 were again strong due to continued positive underwriting results and reflect the continued overall growth of the Company in all segments. These positive factors were somewhat offset by the adverse net underwriting impact of second quarter 2011 U.S. thunderstorm and tornado activity totaling \$9.5 million and lower growth in investment income during 2011.

## Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Investing cash flows consist primarily of proceeds from the sales and maturities of investments and payments for investments acquired. Net cash used in investing activities was \$637.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$13.3 million provided by investing activities for the ended December 31, 2011. Despite the current interest rate environment which continues to provide historically low fixed income yield levels, the Company continues to deploy available cash for longer-term investments as quickly as investment conditions permit and to maintain, where possible, cash and cash equivalents balances at low levels. Continuation of current market conditions however, may result in the Company accumulating elevated levels of cash and cash equivalents which may result in slower growth in investment income and in certain instances, reductions in investment income despite the increase in invested assets. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the purchases of fixed maturity securities exceeded the proceeds of sales, maturities and calls of such instruments by \$619.2 million. Investing cash flows consist primarily of proceeds on the sale or maturity of fixed-maturity investments and payments for fixed-maturity investments acquired.

We generated \$13.2 million in net cash from investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to using \$125.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. This change was the result of an increase in restricted cash balances and prepayments from the Company's mortgage-backed securities portfolio.

## Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Cash flows provided by financing activities were \$208.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$99.5 million and \$37.2 million used in the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The following summarizes the net cash inflow (outflow) from financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and

2010.

100

---

Table of Contents

| For the Year Ended December 31,                              | 2012             | 2011     | 2010      |
|--|------------------|----------|-----------|
|  | (\$ in Millions) |          |           |
| Cash flows from Financing Activities                         |                  |          |           |
| Senior notes issuance, net of issuance costs                 | \$96.6           | \$104.7  | \$—       |
| Repayment of junior subordinated debt                        | —                | (107.5)  | ) —       |
| Preference shares - Series A issuance, net of issuance costs | 145.0            | —        | —         |
| Dividends paid to Maiden common shareholders                 | (29.6            | ) (20.9  | ) (18.4   |
| Dividends paid on preference shares                          | (3.6             | ) —      | —         |
| Other, net   | 0.4              | (75.8    | ) (18.8   |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities          | \$208.8          | \$ (99.5 | ) \$(37.2 |

## Restrictions, Collateral and Specific Requirements

Maiden Bermuda is neither licensed nor admitted as an insurer, nor is it accredited as a reinsurer, in any jurisdiction in the U.S. As a result, it is generally required to post collateral security with respect to any reinsurance liabilities it assumes from ceding insurers domiciled in the U.S. in order for U.S. ceding companies to obtain credit on their U.S. statutory financial statements with respect to insurance liabilities ceded to them. Under applicable statutory provisions, the security arrangements may be in the form of letters of credit, reinsurance trusts maintained by trustees or funds withheld arrangements where assets are held by the ceding company.

At this time, Maiden Bermuda uses trust accounts primarily to meet collateral requirements — cash and cash equivalents and investments pledged in favor of ceding companies in order to comply with relevant insurance regulations.

Maiden US also offers to its clients, on a voluntary basis, the ability to collateralize certain liabilities related to the reinsurance contracts it issues. Under these arrangements, Maiden retains broad investment discretion in order to achieve its business objectives while offering clients the additional security a collateralized arrangement offers. We believe this offers the Company a significant competitive advantage and improves the Company's retention of high-quality clients. As a result of the transition of relationships as a result of the GMAC Acquisition, as of December 31, 2012 certain of these liabilities and collateralized arrangements are on the records of Maiden Bermuda while the remaining liabilities and collateralized arrangements are on the records of Maiden US.

As of December 31, 2012, total cash and cash equivalents and fixed maturity investments used as collateral were \$2.2 billion compared to \$1.6 billion as of December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily attributable to the increase in assets provided as collateral for the AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance agreement.

The following table details additional information on those assets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| December 31,                    | 2012                                |                     |         | 2011                                |                     |         |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|
|                                 | Restricted<br>Cash &<br>Equivalents | Fixed<br>Maturities | Total   | Restricted<br>Cash &<br>Equivalents | Fixed<br>Maturities | Total   |
|                                 | (\$ in Millions)                    |                     |         | (\$ in Millions)                    |                     |         |
| Maiden US                       | \$34.0                              | \$722.7             | \$756.7 | \$19.4                              | \$624.4             | \$643.8 |
| Maiden Bermuda                  | 64.5                                | 398.3               | 462.8   | 50.8                                | 368.4               | 419.2   |
| Diversified Reinsurance         | 98.5                                | 1,121.0             | 1,219.5 | 70.2                                | 992.8               | 1,063.0 |
| Maiden Bermuda                  | 32.4                                | 824.6               | 857.0   | 41.7                                | 419.5               | 461.2   |
| AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance | 32.4                                | 824.6               | 857.0   | 41.7                                | 419.5               | 461.2   |
| Maiden Bermuda                  | 1.4                                 | 89.4                | 90.8    | 3.0                                 | 59.0                | 62.0    |
| ACAC Quota Share                | 1.4                                 | 89.4                | 90.8    | 3.0                                 | 59.0                | 62.0    |

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

|   |         |           |           |         |           |           |   |
|---|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|---|
| Total   | \$132.3 | \$2,035.0 | \$2,167.3 | \$114.9 | \$1,471.3 | \$1,586.2 |   |
| As a % of Consolidated Balance Sheet captions | 100.0   | % 77.7    | % 78.8    | % 100.0 | % 72.8    | % 74.3    | % |

101

---

Table of Contents

As part of the Reinsurance Agreement, Maiden Bermuda has also loaned funds to AmTrust totaling \$168.0 million as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, to satisfy collateral requirements with AII.

Collateral arrangements with ceding insurers may subject our assets to security interests or require that a portion of our assets be pledged to, or otherwise held by, third parties. Both our trust accounts and letters of credit are fully collateralized by assets held in custodial accounts. Although the investment income derived from our assets while held in trust accrues to our benefit, the investment of these assets is governed by the terms of the letter of credit facilities or the investment regulations of the state or territory of domicile of the ceding insurer, which may be more restrictive than the investment regulations applicable to us under Bermuda law. The restrictions may result in lower investment yields on these assets, which may adversely affect our profitability.

We do not currently anticipate that the restrictions on liquidity resulting from restrictions on the payments of dividends by our subsidiary companies or from assets committed in trust accounts or to collateralize the letter of credit facilities will have a material impact on our ability to carry out our normal business activities, including, our ability to make dividend payments on our common shares.

**IIS Acquisition — Funds Withheld**

The substantial majority of the premiums and losses underwritten by GMAC IICL are subject to collateral requirements in the form of letters of credit and trust agreements. At the closing of the IIS Acquisition, the Company settled cash balances applicable to the subject reinsurance contracts with GMAC IICL of \$26.2 million. Actual assets in support of the liabilities assumed under the IICL Agreement have been transferred to the Company when the subject individual agreements were novated to Maiden Bermuda. Until such time, under the funds withheld provisions of the IICL Agreement, the Company is fully credited for the investment income earned by the underlying assets which support the letters of credit and trust agreements GMAC IICL has provided to its ceding companies. As of December 31, 2012, one contract had not yet been novated and this is expected to occur in 2013. Maiden Bermuda now provides collateral in the form of both trusts and letters of credit as required by the respective reinsurance contracts.

The pre-existing funds withheld amounts (“IIS Funds Withheld”) and cash transferred to GMAC IICL are included in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as Funds Withheld. During 2011, the substantial majority of underlying reinsurance contracts were novated to Maiden Bermuda per the terms of the IICL Agreement. As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the IIS Funds Withheld balance consisted of the following:

| December 31,                            | 2012                              |            | 2011                              |            |    |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|----|
|   | Fair Value<br>(\$ in<br>Millions) | % of Total | Fair Value<br>(\$ in<br>Millions) | % of Total |    |
| Fixed maturities, at fair value         | \$26.4                            | 116.4      | % \$27.3                          | 112.2      | %  |
| Cash and cash equivalents               | 0.1                               | 0.4        | % 2.4                             | 10.1       | %  |
| Funds held on underlying business       | 0.5                               | 2.3        | % 0.6                             | 2.5        | %  |
| Insurance balances receivable and other | (4.3                              | ) (19.1    | )% (6.0                           | ) (24.8    | )% |
| Total IIS Funds Withheld                | \$22.7                            | 100.0      | % \$24.3                          | 100.0      | %  |

The IIS Funds Withheld constituted 53.1% and 57.2% of the total funds withheld balance on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The fixed maturity portfolio consists primarily of non-U.S. government debt, 100.0% of which is rated AAA as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. All corporate bonds held as of December 31, 2012 are investment grade securities. The fixed maturities

consisted of the following:

| December 31,          | 2012                              |            | 2011                              |            |   |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|---|--|
|                       | Fair Value<br>(\$ in<br>Millions) | % of Total | Fair Value<br>(\$ in<br>Millions) | % of Total |   |  |
| U.K. government bonds | \$20.0                            | 75.7       | % \$27.3                          | 100.0      | % |  |
| Corporate bonds       | 6.4                               | 24.3       | % —                               | —          | % |  |
| Total                 | 26.4                              | 100.0      | % 27.3                            | 100.0      | % |  |

102

---



Table of Contents

We do not have any non-U.S. government and government related obligations related to Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal or Spain as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. See the discussion in Counterparty Credit Risk in Item 7A of Part II of this Form 10-K related to the release of assets forming part of the IIS Funds Withheld.

## Investments

Our funds are primarily invested in liquid, high-grade fixed income securities and are designated AFS with an orientation to generating current income. As of December 31, 2012, the weighted average duration of our fixed maturity investment portfolio was 3.5 years and there were approximately \$143.5 million of net unrealized gains in the portfolio, compared to a duration of 2.8 years and net unrealized gains of \$63.6 million in the portfolio as of December 31, 2011. The duration on the Company's portfolio as of December 31, 2012 was higher as a result of an increased allocation to purchases of longer-duration corporate bonds during 2012, which were partially offset by continuing faster prepayments on its U.S. government agency bonds - mortgage-backed.

The Company's AFS fixed maturity investments increased by \$598.0 million or 29.6% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011, which was the result of: (1) the Company's continued strong premium growth; (2) continuing profitable combined ratios which have generated significant positive cash flow from operations; and (3) the issuance of the 2012 Senior Notes and the Preference Shares. The table below shows the aggregate amounts of our invested AFS assets and other investments at fair value including the average yield and duration at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

| December 31, 2012                         | Original<br>or<br>Amortized<br>Cost | Gross<br>Unrealized<br>Gains | Gross<br>Unrealized<br>Losses | Fair<br>Value | Average<br>yield* | Average<br>duration |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Available-for-sale securities             | (\$ in Millions)                    |                              |                               |               |                   |                     |
| U.S. treasury bonds                       | \$42.7                              | \$ 1.2                       | \$ —                          | \$43.9        | 1.9               | % 1.2 years         |
| U.S. agency bonds – mortgage-backed       | 962.6                               | 31.0                         | (1.4 )                        | 992.2         | 2.6               | % 2.5 years         |
| U.S. agency bonds – other                 | 11.7                                | 1.4                          | —                             | 13.1          | 4.4               | % 4.8 years         |
| Non-U.S. government bonds                 | 55.2                                | 2.2                          | —                             | 57.4          | 1.8               | % 2.9 years         |
| Other mortgage-backed securities          | 23.1                                | 0.9                          | —                             | 24.0          | 2.8               | % 3.8 years         |
| Corporate bonds                           | 1,247.3                             | 113.5                        | (6.5 )                        | 1,354.3       | 4.6               | % 4.8 years         |
| Municipal bonds                           | 132.6                               | 1.2                          | —                             | 133.8         | 0.8               | % 0.7 years         |
| Total available-for-sale fixed maturities | 2,475.2                             | 151.4                        | (7.9 )                        | 2,618.7       | 3.5               | % 3.5 years         |
| Other investments                         | 2.6                                 | 0.4                          | (0.1 )                        | 2.9           |                   |                     |
| Total investments                         | \$2,477.8                           | \$ 151.8                     | \$ (8.0 )                     | \$2,621.6     |                   |                     |

Table of Contents

| December 31, 2011                         | Original or Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Average yield* | Average duration |
|---|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------------|------------------|
| Available-for-sale securities             | (\$ in Millions)           |                        |                         |            |                |                  |
| U.S. treasury bonds                       | \$44.2                     | \$1.8                  | \$—                     | \$46.0     | 1.8            | % 2.1 years      |
| U.S. agency bonds – mortgage-backed       | 928.9                      | 43.3                   | (0.1 )                  | 972.1      | 3.4            | % 2.7 years      |
| U.S. agency bonds – other                 | 10.4                       | 0.6                    | —                       | 11.0       | 2.6            | % 1.6 years      |
| Non-U.S. government bonds                 | 52.5                       | 0.1                    | (0.3 )                  | 52.3       | 1.1            | % 2.7 years      |
| Other mortgage-backed securities          | 9.9                        | —                      | —                       | 9.9        | 2.7            | % 1.6 years      |
| Corporate bonds                           | 742.9                      | 47.7                   | (30.2 )                 | 760.4      | 4.8            | % 3.5 years      |
| Municipal bonds                           | 168.3                      | 0.7                    | —                       | 169.0      | 0.7            | % 0.5 years      |
| Total available-for-sale fixed maturities | 1,957.1                    | 94.2                   | (30.6 )                 | 2,020.7    | 3.6            | % 2.8 years      |
| Other investments                         | 2.0                        | 0.3                    | (0.1 )                  | 2.2        |                |                  |
| Total investments                         | \$1,959.1                  | \$94.5                 | \$(30.7 )               | \$2,022.9  |                |                  |

\*Average yield is calculated by dividing annualized investment income for each sub-component of available-for sale securities (including amortization of premium or discount) by amortized cost and therefore does not include investment income earned on cash and cash equivalents or other short-term investments.

We review our investment portfolio for impairment on a quarterly basis. Impairments of investment securities results in a charge to operations when a market decline below cost is deemed to be other than temporary. To determine the recovery period of a fixed maturity security, we consider the facts and circumstances surrounding the underlying issuer including, but not limited to, the following:

Historic and implied volatility of the security;

Length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost;

Adverse conditions specifically related to the security or to specific conditions in an industry or geographic area;

Failure, if any, of the issuer of the security to make scheduled payments; and

Recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date.

When assessing our intent to sell a fixed maturity security or if it is more likely that we will be required to sell a fixed maturity security before recovery of its cost basis, we evaluate facts and circumstances such as, but not limited to, decisions to reposition our security portfolio, sale of securities to meet cash flow needs and sales of securities to capitalize on favorable pricing. In order to determine the amount of the credit loss for a fixed maturity security, we calculate the recovery value by performing a discounted cash flow analysis based on the current cash flows and future cash flows we expect to recover. The discount rate is the effective interest rate implicit in the underlying fixed maturity security. The effective interest rate is the original yield or the coupon if the fixed maturity security was previously impaired. If OTTI exists and we have the intent to sell the security, we conclude that the entire OTTI is credit-related and the amortized cost for the security is written down to current fair value with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income. If we do not intend to sell a fixed maturity security or it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell a fixed maturity security before recovery of its amortized cost basis but the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost of the fixed maturity security (referred to as the credit loss), we conclude that an OTTI has occurred and the amortized cost is written down to the estimated recovery value with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of

Income, as this is also deemed the credit portion of the OTTI. The remainder of the decline to fair value is recorded to OCI, as an unrealized OTTI loss on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, as this is considered a noncredit (i.e., recoverable) impairment.

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized no OTTI. Based on our qualitative and quantitative impairment review of each asset class within our fixed maturity portfolio, the remaining unrealized losses on fixed maturities at December 31, 2012, were primarily due to widening of credit spreads relating to the market illiquidity, rather than credit events. Because we do not intend to sell these securities and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell these securities until a recovery of fair value to amortized cost, we currently believe it

Table of Contents

is probable that we will collect all amounts due according to their respective contractual terms. Therefore we do not consider these fixed maturities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2012.

The Company may, from time to time, engage in investment activity that will be considered trading activity, in amounts generally less than \$100 million. This trading activity is generally focused on taking long or short positions in United States Treasury securities. These periodic activities are classified as trading for the purpose of augmenting where possible investment returns. Unrealized gains and losses from trading activities are recorded in net realized and unrealized gains on investment on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income.

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded realized (losses) gains from these trading activities of \$(1.6) million, \$0.8 million and \$(1.5) million, respectively. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had no open positions, long or short, that would be classified as trading activities.

The following table presents information regarding our available-for-sale securities and other investments that were in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and split by the length of time the assets are in a continuous unrealized loss position:

|   | Less Than 12 Months |                   | 12 Months or More |                   | Total      |                   |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
|   | Fair Value          | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value        | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| December 31, 2012   | (\$ in Millions)    |                   |                   |                   |            |                   |
| Available-for-sale securities:                                  |                     |                   |                   |                   |            |                   |
| U.S. agency bonds – mortgage-backed                             | \$ 158.6            | \$(1.4 )          | \$—               | \$—               | \$ 158.6   | \$(1.4 )          |
| Corporate bonds   | 94.7                | (1.1 )            | 141.9             | (5.4 )            | 236.6      | (6.5 )            |
|   | 253.3               | (2.5 )            | 141.9             | (5.4 )            | 395.2      | (7.9 )            |
| Other investments   | —                   | —                 | 2.0               | (0.1 )            | 2.0        | (0.1 )            |
| Total temporarily impaired AFS securities and other investments | \$ 253.3            | \$(2.5 )          | \$ 143.9          | \$(5.5 )          | \$ 397.2   | \$(8.0 )          |

As of December 31, 2012, there were approximately 32 securities in an unrealized loss position with a fair value of \$397.2 million and unrealized losses of \$8.0 million. Of these securities, there are 9 securities that have been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or greater with a fair value of \$143.9 million and unrealized losses of \$5.5 million.

|   | Less Than 12 Months |                   | 12 Months or More |                   | Total      |                   |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
|   | Fair Value          | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value        | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| December 31, 2011   | (\$ in Millions)    |                   |                   |                   |            |                   |
| Available-for-sale securities:                                  |                     |                   |                   |                   |            |                   |
| U.S. agency bonds – mortgage-backed                             | \$ 30.4             | \$(0.1 )          | \$—               | \$—               | \$ 30.4    | \$(0.1 )          |
| Non-U.S. government bonds                                       | 43.6                | (0.3 )            | —                 | —                 | 43.6       | (0.3 )            |
| Corporate bonds   | 227.4               | (7.4 )            | 125.1             | (22.8 )           | 352.5      | (30.2 )           |
|   | 301.4               | (7.8 )            | 125.1             | (22.8 )           | 426.5      | (30.6 )           |
| Other investments   | 1.2                 | (0.1 )            | —                 | —                 | 1.2        | (0.1 )            |
| Total temporarily impaired AFS securities and other investments | \$ 302.6            | \$(7.9 )          | \$ 125.1          | \$(22.8 )         | \$ 427.7   | \$(30.7 )         |

As of December 31, 2011, there were approximately 62 securities in an unrealized loss position with a fair value of \$427.7 million and unrealized losses of \$30.7 million. Of these securities, there are 8 securities that have been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or greater with a fair value of \$125.1 million and unrealized losses of \$22.8 million.



Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the fair value by contractual maturity of our AFS fixed maturity investment portfolio as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| December 31,                           | 2012             |            | 2011             |            |   |
|--|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|---|
|  | (\$ in Millions) | % of Total | (\$ in Millions) | % of Total |   |
| Due in one year or less                | \$58.7           | 2.2        | % \$54.3         | 2.7        | % |
| Due after one year through five years  | 387.9            | 14.8       | % 299.9          | 14.8       | % |
| Due after five years through ten years | 981.5            | 37.5       | % 502.9          | 24.9       | % |
| Due after ten years                    | 174.4            | 6.7        | % 181.6          | 9.0        | % |
|  | 1,602.5          | 61.2       | % 1,038.7        | 51.4       | % |
| U.S. agency bonds – mortgage-backed    | 992.2            | 37.9       | % 972.1          | 48.1       | % |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities  | 24.0             | 0.9        | % 9.9            | 0.5        | % |
| Total AFS securities                   | \$2,618.7        | 100.0      | % \$2,020.7      | 100.0      | % |

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, 98.6% and 99.1%, respectively, of our fixed income portfolio consisted of investment grade securities. We define a security as being below-investment grade if it has an S&P credit rating of BB+ or less. The following table summarizes the composition of the fair value of our fixed maturity investments at the dates indicated by ratings as assigned by S&P (and/or other rating agencies when S&P ratings were not available):

| December 31, 2012    | Amortized Cost   | Fair Value | % of Total Fair Value |   |
|----------------------|------------------|------------|-----------------------|---|
|                      | (\$ in Millions) |            |                       |   |
| Ratings              |                  |            |                       |   |
| U.S. treasury bonds  | \$42.7           | \$43.9     | 1.7                   | % |
| U.S. agency bonds    | 974.3            | 1,005.3    | 38.4                  | % |
| AAA                  | 171.1            | 184.0      | 7.0                   | % |
| AA+, AA, AA-         | 186.5            | 196.7      | 7.5                   | % |
| A+, A, A-            | 477.2            | 515.4      | 19.7                  | % |
| BBB+, BBB, BBB-      | 587.9            | 637.1      | 24.3                  | % |
| BB+ or lower         | 35.5             | 36.3       | 1.4                   | % |
| Total AFS securities | \$2,475.2        | \$2,618.7  | 100.0                 | % |

Table of Contents

| December 31, 2011    | Amortized<br>Cost<br>(\$ in Millions) | Fair<br>Value | % of Total<br>Fair Value |   |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---|
| Ratings              |                                       |               |                          |   |
| U.S. treasury bonds  | \$44.2                                | \$46.0        | 2.3                      | % |
| U.S. agency bonds    | 939.3                                 | 983.1         | 48.6                     | % |
| AAA                  | 160.3                                 | 161.9         | 8.0                      | % |
| AA+, AA, AA-         | 151.0                                 | 153.3         | 7.6                      | % |
| A+, A, A-            | 327.8                                 | 328.4         | 16.3                     | % |
| BBB+, BBB, BBB-      | 316.1                                 | 330.2         | 16.3                     | % |
| BB+ or lower         | 18.4                                  | 17.8          | 0.9                      | % |
| Total AFS securities | \$1,957.1                             | \$2,020.7     | 100.0                    | % |

Substantially all the Company's U.S. government agency securities holdings are mortgage-backed bonds. Additional details on the mortgage-backed bonds component of our U.S. government agency bonds portfolio as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

| December 31,                            | 2012                |            | 2011                |            |   |
|---|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|---|
|   | Fair Value          | % of Total | Fair Value          | % of Total |   |
|   | (\$ in<br>Millions) |            | (\$ in<br>Millions) |            |   |
| Mortgage-backed securities              |                     |            |                     |            |   |
| Residential mortgage-backed (RMBS)      |                     |            |                     |            |   |
| GNMA – fixed rate                       | \$100.8             | 10.0       | % \$185.3           | 18.8       | % |
| FNMA – fixed rate                       | 573.0               | 57.0       | % 487.3             | 49.6       | % |
| FNMA – variable rate                    | 46.9                | 4.7        | % 77.8              | 7.9        | % |
| FHLMC – fixed rate                      | 257.7               | 25.6       | % 221.7             | 22.6       | % |
| FHLMC – variable rate                   | 13.8                | 1.4        | % —                 | —          | % |
| Total RMBS                              | 992.2               | 98.7       | % 972.1             | 98.9       | % |
| Total agency mortgage-backed securities | 992.2               | 98.7       | % 972.1             | 98.9       | % |
| Non-MBS fixed rate agency securities    | 13.1                | 1.3        | % 11.0              | 1.1        | % |
| Total U.S. agency bonds                 | \$1,005.3           | 100.0      | % \$983.1           | 100.0      | % |

Table of Contents

The following table provides a summary of changes in fair value associated with the Company's U.S. government agency bonds – mortgage-backed portfolio for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| December 31,   | 2012             | 2011     |
|--|------------------|----------|
|  | (\$ in Millions) |          |
| U.S. agency bonds - mortgage-backed:   |                  |          |
| Beginning balance  | \$972.1          | \$969.5  |
| Purchases  | 481.9            | 264.8    |
| Sales and paydowns   | (438.8           | ) (283.5 |
| Net realized gains (losses) on sales – included in net income                    | (1.3             | ) 4.2    |
| Change in net unrealized (gains) losses – included in other comprehensive income | (11.3            | ) 22.4   |
| Amortization of bond premium and discount  | (10.4            | ) (5.3)  |
| Ending balance   | \$992.2          | \$972.1  |

The Company continued to experience elevated levels of paydowns of its U.S. government agency mortgage-backed bond portfolio for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to the December 31, 2011. The increased paydowns reflect the ongoing decline in interest rates in the U.S. and globally in recent years, resulting in higher refinancing activity in the U.S. mortgage markets. This market environment was reinforced during the 2012 when the U.S. Federal Reserve enacted new policy measures designed to provide greater liquidity to certain credit markets, in particular the mortgage-backed securities market. These measures may, combined with an already low interest rate environment, have the effect of increasing the level of paydowns on certain mortgage-backed securities in the Company's portfolio, consequently increasing the amount of amortization of bond premium we have incurred. These increased paydowns have in turn increased the amount of premium amortization recognized by the Company for those periods, reducing the amount of net investment income reported by the Company as a result.

Our U.S. government agency mortgage-backed bond portfolio is 37.9% of our fixed maturity investments as of December 31, 2012. Given the relative size of this portfolio to our total investments, if these faster prepayment patterns continue over an extended period of time, this could potentially have the effect of limiting the growth in our investment income, or in certain circumstances, or even potentially reducing the total amount of investment income we earn.

The Company holds no asset-backed securities other than the mortgage-backed securities it has described herein.

During 2012, the Company increased its holdings in investment-grade corporate bonds to: (1) offset accelerating prepayment patterns in its agency MBS portfolio which had reduced both portfolio duration and yield; (2) take advantage of higher yielding securities while maintaining asset quality; and (3) provide greater balance to its fixed maturity holdings. Security holdings by sector and financial strength rating by S&P in this asset class as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

| December 31, 2012      | Ratings* |              |           |                 |             | Fair Value<br>(\$ in Millions) | % of Corporate bonds portfolio |
|------------------------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                        | AAA      | AA+, AA, AA- | A+, A, A- | BBB+, BBB, BBB- | B+ or lower |                                |                                |
| Corporate bonds        |          |              |           |                 |             |                                |                                |
| Financial Institutions | 7.1      | % 5.2        | % 31.1    | % 13.7          | % 0.1       | % \$775.1                      | 57.2 %                         |
| Industrials            | —        | % 1.2        | % 4.8     | % 30.8          | % 1.7       | % 520.9                        | 38.5 %                         |



Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

|                       |     |       |        |        |       |             |       |   |
|-----------------------|-----|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------------|-------|---|
| Utilities/Other       | —   | % —   | % 0.9  | % 2.5  | % 0.9 | % 58.3      | 4.3   | % |
| Total Corporate bonds | 7.1 | % 6.4 | % 36.8 | % 47.0 | % 2.7 | % \$1,354.3 | 100.0 | % |

108

---

Table of Contents

| December 31, 2011      | Ratings* |              |           |                 |             | Fair Value<br>(\$ in Millions) | % of Corporate bonds portfolio |
|------------------------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                        | AAA      | AA+, AA, AA- | A+, A, A- | BBB+, BBB, BBB- | B+ or lower |                                |                                |
| Corporate bonds        |          |              |           |                 |             |                                |                                |
| Financial Institutions | 7.5      | % 2.6        | % 36.8    | % 22.1          | % 0.8       | % \$531.1                      | 69.8 %                         |
| Industrials            | —        | % 3.1        | % 4.3     | % 19.0          | % —         | % 199.9                        | 26.4 %                         |
| Utilities/Other        | —        | % —          | % —       | % 2.3           | % 1.5       | % 29.4                         | 3.8 %                          |
| Total Corporate bonds  | 7.5      | % 5.7        | % 41.1    | % 43.4          | % 2.3       | % \$760.4                      | 100.0 %                        |

\*Ratings as assigned by S&P

As noted, during the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company increased its allocation to investment grade corporate bonds, in particular sectors other than the Financial Institutions sector. These purchases have marginally increased the duration of the Company's AFS fixed maturity portfolio as of December 31, 2012. The Company has also increased its allocation to corporate bonds with credit ratings of BBB, in order to take advantage of more attractive yield opportunities in those bonds, while staying within its established investment guidelines.

The Company's 10 largest corporate holdings, all of which are in the Financial Institutions sector, as of December 31, 2012 as carried at fair value and as a percentage of all fixed income securities are as follows:

| December 31, 2012                                      | Fair Value<br>(\$ in Millions) | % of Holdings Based on Fair Value of All Fixed Income Securities | Rating* |
|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| Morgan Stanley FLT, Due 10/18/2016 <sup>(1)</sup>      | \$38.0                         | 1.5  | % A-    |
| Citigroup FLT, Due 6/9/2016 <sup>(1)</sup>             | 25.5                           | 1.0  | % BBB+  |
| Northern Rock Asset Mgt., 3.875% Due 11/16/2020        | 25.1                           | 1.0  | % AAA   |
| BNP Paribas, Due 1/15/2021                             | 22.5                           | 0.9  | % A+    |
| Rabobank Nederland UTREC, 3.875% Due 2/8/2022          | 21.5                           | 0.8  | % AA-   |
| Barclays Bank PLC NY FLT, Due 2/24/2020 <sup>(1)</sup> | 20.0                           | 0.8  | % A+    |
| SLM Corp FLT, Due 1/27/2014 <sup>(1)</sup>             | 19.8                           | 0.8  | % BBB-  |
| Bear Stearns FLT, 11/21/2016 <sup>(1)</sup>            | 19.7                           | 0.8  | % A     |
| JPMorgan Chase & Co FLT, Due 6/13/2016 <sup>(1)</sup>  | 19.4                           | 0.7  | % A     |
| HSBC Financial FLT, Due 6/1/2016 <sup>(1)</sup>        | 19.4                           | 0.7  | % A     |
| Total  | \$230.9                        | 9.0  | %       |

\* Ratings as assigned by S&P

(1)Securities with the notation FLT are floating rate securities.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, 17.5% and 33.0% of its corporate securities were floating rate securities, respectively, all of which were in the Financial Institutions sector. These securities enable the Company to maintain flexibility in the face of volatile fixed income market conditions and to quickly take advantage of any unanticipated increases in interest rates which may occur.

Given the Company's status as a Bermuda domicile with limited U.S. Federal tax exposure, to the extent that the Company invests in fixed maturity securities issued by U.S. state and local governments, these investments are made

on the merits of the underlying investment and not on the tax-exempt status of those securities under U.S. Federal tax law. As a result, as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, municipal securities only composed 5.1% and 8.4% of the Company's fixed maturity portfolio, respectively.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, we own the following securities not denominated in U.S. dollars:

| December 31,                         | 2012                              |            | 2011                              |            |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
|                                      | Fair Value<br>(\$ in<br>Millions) | % of Total | Fair Value<br>(\$ in<br>Millions) | % of Total |
| Corporate bonds                      | \$156.5                           | 73.1 %     | \$65.7                            | 55.7 %     |
| Non-U.S. government bonds            | 57.4                              | 26.9 %     | 52.3                              | 44.3 %     |
| Total non-U.S. dollar AFS securities | \$213.9                           | 100.0 %    | \$118.0                           | 100.0 %    |

The increase in these assets held during 2012 is primarily the result of funds relating to the European Hospital Liability Quota Share, which incepted on April 1, 2011 and under which the Company provides collateral which is denominated in Euro. These securities were invested in the following currencies:

| December 31,                         | 2012                              |               | 2011                              |               |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
|                                      | Fair Value<br>(\$ in<br>Millions) | % of<br>Total | Fair Value<br>(\$ in<br>Millions) | % of<br>Total |
| Euro                                 | \$191.7                           | 89.6 %        | \$107.2                           | 90.8 %        |
| Swedish Krona                        | 10.9                              | 5.1 %         | 9.9                               | 8.4 %         |
| Australian Dollar                    | 7.7                               | 3.6 %         | —                                 | — %           |
| British Pound                        | 2.9                               | 1.4 %         | 0.9                               | 0.8 %         |
| All other                            | 0.7                               | 0.3 %         | —                                 | — %           |
| Total non-U.S. dollar AFS securities | \$213.9                           | 100.0 %       | \$118.0                           | 100.0 %       |

We do not have any non-U.S. government and government related obligations of Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, 90.1% and 90.4% of the Company's non-sovereign government issuers were rated AA or higher by S&P. The four largest non-U.S. government issuers held by the Company as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 are:

| December 31,                    | 2012                              |               | 2011                              |               |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
|                                 | Fair Value<br>(\$ in<br>Millions) | % of<br>Total | Fair Value<br>(\$ in<br>Millions) | % of<br>Total |
| Germany                         | \$24.8                            | 43.1 %        | \$28.6                            | 54.6 %        |
| European Investment Bank        | 12.5                              | 21.7 %        | —                                 | — %           |
| Sweden                          | 6.1                               | 10.7 %        | 8.8                               | 16.8 %        |
| Netherlands                     | 5.9                               | 10.3 %        | 6.4                               | 12.2 %        |
| All other                       | 8.1                               | 14.2 %        | 8.5                               | 16.4 %        |
| Total non-U.S. government bonds | \$57.4                            | 100.0 %       | \$52.3                            | 100.0 %       |

For corporate bonds not denominated in U.S. dollars, the following table summarizes the composition of the fair value of our fixed maturity investments at the dates indicated by ratings as assigned by S&P and/or other rating agencies when S&P ratings were not available:

Table of Contents

| December 31,                                      | 2012             |            | 2011             |            |   |
|---|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|---|
|   | Fair Value       | % of Total | Fair Value       | % of Total |   |
|   | (\$ in Millions) |            | (\$ in Millions) |            |   |
| AAA   | \$61.8           | 39.5       | % \$22.7         | 34.5       | % |
| AA+, AA, AA-                                      | 7.9              | 5.0        | % 13.1           | 20.0       | % |
| A+, A, A-   | 52.3             | 33.4       | % 7.8            | 11.9       | % |
| BBB+, BBB, BBB-                                   | 33.1             | 21.1       | % 22.1           | 33.6       | % |
| BB+ or lower                                      | 1.4              | 1.0        | % —              | —          | % |
| Total non-U.S. dollar denominated corporate bonds | \$156.5          | 100.0      | % \$65.7         | 100.0      | % |

The Company does not employ any credit default protection against any of the fixed maturities held in non-U.S. denominated currencies.

## Reserve for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses

The Company establishes loss reserves to cover the estimated liability for the payment of all loss and loss adjustment expenses incurred with respect to premiums earned on the contracts that the Company writes. Loss reserves do not represent an exact calculation of the liability. Estimates of ultimate liabilities are contingent on many future events and the eventual outcome of these events may be different from the assumptions underlying the reserve estimates. The Company believes that the recorded unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses represent management's best estimate of the cost to settle the ultimate liabilities based on information available at December 31, 2012.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recorded gross reserves for unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses of \$1.7 billion and \$1.4 billion, respectively, and net reserves for unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses of \$1.6 billion and \$1.4 billion, respectively.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the net reserves for unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

| For the Year Ended December 31,  | 2012             | 2011      | 2010      |
|--|------------------|-----------|-----------|
|  | (\$ in Millions) |           |           |
| Gross unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses reserves at beginning of period | \$1,398.4        | \$1,226.8 | \$1,002.7 |
| Less: reinsurance recoverable at beginning of period                           | 20.3             | 6.7       | 8.4       |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expense reserves at beginning of period           | 1,378.1          | 1,220.1   | 994.3     |
| Net incurred losses related to:  |                  |           |           |
| Current year   | 1,239.0          | 1,028.9   | 788.0     |
| Prior years  | 23.3             | 14.2      | (32.9)    |
|  | 1,262.3          | 1,043.1   | 755.1     |
| Net paid losses related to:  |                  |           |           |
| Current year   | (485.0)          | (456.1)   | (365.3)   |
| Prior years  | (530.3)          | (423.9)   | (266.0)   |
|  | (1,015.3)        | (880.0)   | (631.3)   |
| Acquired loss and loss expense reserve   | —                | 0.4       | 102.0     |
| Effect of foreign exchange movement  | 4.3              | (5.5)     | —         |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expense reserves at end of period                 | 1,629.4          | 1,378.1   | 1,220.1   |
| Reinsurance recoverable at end of period                                       | 110.9            | 20.3      | 6.7       |
| Gross unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses reserves at end of period       | \$1,740.3        | \$1,398.4 | \$1,226.8 |



Table of Contents

See Business — Reserve for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses in Item 1 of Part I of this Report, Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates — Reserve for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses and Results of Operations above for a discussion of loss and loss adjustment expenses and prior years' reserve developments.

## Financial Strength Ratings

Financial strength ratings represent the opinions of rating agencies on our capacity to meet our obligations. Some of our reinsurance treaties contain special funding and termination clauses that are triggered in the event that we or one of our subsidiaries is downgraded by one of the major rating agencies to levels specified in the treaties, or our capital is significantly reduced. If such an event were to happen, we would be required, in certain instances, to post collateral in the form of letters of credit and/or trust accounts against existing outstanding losses, if any, related to the treaty. In a limited number of instances, the subject treaties could be cancelled retroactively or commuted by the cedant and might affect our ability to write business. Our principal operating subsidiaries are rated "A-" (Excellent) with a stable outlook by A.M. Best, which rating is the fourth highest of sixteen rating levels and BBB+ (Good) with a stable outlook by S&P, which is the eighth highest of twenty-two rating levels. Our 2011 Senior Notes and 2012 Senior Notes are both rated BBB- by S&P and the Preference Shares are rated BB by S&P.

## Other Material Changes in Financial Position

The following summarizes other material changes in the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| December 31,                                       | 2012             | 2011       |
|--|------------------|------------|
|  | (\$ in Millions) |            |
| Reinsurance balances receivable, net               | \$522.6          | \$423.4    |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums                       | 38.7             | 35.4       |
| Reinsurance Recoverable on unpaid losses           | 110.9            | 20.3       |
| Deferred commission and other acquisition expenses | 270.7            | 248.4      |
| Reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses      | (1,740.3         | ) (1,398.4 |
| Unearned premiums                                  | (936.5           | ) (832.0   |

In general, the increases in these balances reflect the continued growth of the Company, in particular in 2012 in both the AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance segment and the ACAC Quota Share segment, including a full year of business under the European Hospital Liability Agreement. At December 31, 2012, the reinsurance recoverable increased by \$90.6 million compared to 2011, of which \$79.7 million or 71.9% relates to reinsurance claims from Superstorm Sandy.

## Capital Resources

Capital resources consist of funds deployed or available to be deployed in support of our business operations. Our total capital resources at December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| December 31,                | 2012             | 2011      |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------|
|                             | (\$ in Millions) |           |
| Senior notes                | \$207.5          | \$107.5   |
| Junior subordinated debt    | 126.3            | 126.3     |
| Maiden shareholders' equity | 1,015.2          | 768.6     |
| Total capital resources     | \$1,349.0        | \$1,002.4 |

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

|  |      |   |      |   |
|--|------|---|------|---|
| Ratio of debt to total capital resources | 24.7 | % | 23.3 | % |
|--|------|---|------|---|

As of December 31, 2012, our shareholders' equity was \$1,015.2 million, a 32.1% increase compared to \$768.6 million as of December 31, 2011. In addition to the issuance of the 2012 Senior Notes and the Preference Shares described previously, the remainder of the increase was due primarily to net income for the year ended December 31,

112

---



## Table of Contents

2012 of \$46.5 million and the increase in unrealized gains on investments of \$79.9 million offset by common dividends declared of \$23.9 million, and an unfavorable foreign currency translation adjustment of \$2.9 million.

On August 22, 2012, the Company issued 6 million of 8.25% Preference Shares - Series A, par value \$0.01 per share, at \$25 per share. The Company received net proceeds of \$145.0 million from the offering. The net proceeds from the offering are expected to be used for continued support and development of our reinsurance business and for other general corporate purposes, which may include repurchasing the Company's outstanding common shares and repurchasing the Company's outstanding 14% 30-year trust preferred securities issued in January 2009.

Also on that date, the Company's Board of Directors authorized management at its discretion to purchase its outstanding common shares in an amount not exceeding 50% of the net proceeds of the Preference Share Offering. Repurchases under the program may be made in open market or privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, from time to time, depending on market conditions. On December 24, 2012, Maiden Holdings adopted a written trading plan under Rule 10b5-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), to facilitate the repurchase of its common shares in accordance with Maiden's existing share repurchase authorization. For the period August 22, 2012 through December 31, 2012, the Company did not repurchase any of its common shares.

### Senior Note Offerings

On June 24, 2011, the Company completed an offering of \$107.5 million aggregate principal amount of 8.25% Senior Notes due June 15, 2041, including \$7.5 million aggregate principal amount of 2011 Senior Notes to be issued and sold by the Company pursuant to the underwriters' exercise in part of their overallotment option. The 2011 Senior Notes are redeemable for cash, in whole or in part, on or after June 15, 2016, at 100% of the principal amount of the Senior Notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to but excluding the redemption date.

The net proceeds from the 2011 Senior Note Offering were approximately \$104.7 million, after deducting the underwriting discount and offering expenses. With the underwriters' exercise part of a portion of their over-allotment option, the Company repurchased \$107.5 million aggregate liquidation amount of TRUPS Offering on July 15, 2011. Pursuant to the terms of the TRUPS Offering, in the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company incurred a non-recurring call premium charge of approximately \$15.1 million. The Company also incurred an additional non-recurring non-cash charge of \$20.3 million, which represents the accelerated amortization of original issue discount and issuance costs associated with equity issued along with the TRUPS Offering. These charges have decreased the shareholders' equity as of December 31, 2011 by \$35.4 million. Our shareholders' equity is expected to increase in the future from interest expense savings that will result from the 2011 Senior Note Offering.

On March 27, 2012, the Company completed an offering of \$100.0 million aggregate principal amount of 8.00% Senior Notes due on March 27, 2042. The 2012 Senior Notes are redeemable for cash, in whole or in part, on or after March 27, 2017, at 100% of the principal amount to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to but excluding the redemption date. The net proceeds from the 2012 Senior Notes will be used for working capital and general corporate purposes.

### Junior Subordinated Debt

On January 20, 2009, the Company established a special purpose trust for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities. This involved private placement of 260,000 units (the "Units"), each Unit consisting of \$1,000 principal amount of capital securities (the "Trust Preferred Securities") of Maiden Capital Financing Trust (the "Trust") and 45 common shares, \$.01 par value, of the Company, for a purchase price of \$1,000.45 per Unit.

As part of the transaction, the Company issued 11,700,000 common shares to the purchasers of the Trust Preferred Securities. The Trust Preferred Securities mature in 2039 and carry an interest rate of 14% and an effective rate of interest of 16.76%. The proceeds from such issuances, together with the proceeds of the related issuances of common securities of the trusts, were invested by the trusts in subordinated debentures issued by the Company. The gross proceeds to the Company were approximately \$260.1 million in the form of junior subordinated debt, before approximately \$4.3 million of placement agent fees and expenses.

The value of the common shares issued to purchasers of the Trust Preferred Securities are being carried as a reduction of the liability for the Trust Preferred Securities with the value being amortized against the Company's earnings over the 30-year term of the Trust Preferred Securities. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the unamortized amount carried as a reduction of the Company's liability for the junior subordinated debt was \$26.2 million in both periods. If the Company were to repay the Trust Preferred Securities in full or in part at any time prior to their maturity date, the Company would have to recognize a commensurate amount as a reduction of earnings at that time.

Table of Contents

Under the terms of the TRUPS Offering, the Company can repay the principal balance in full or in part at any time. However, if the Company repays such principal within five years of the date of issuance, it is required to pay an additional amount equal to one full year of interest on the amount of Trust Preferred Securities repaid. If we were to fully pay off the remaining securities prior to January 20, 2014, we would incur \$21.4 million in additional expenses along with (as noted above) incurring additional amortization charges to write off the remaining unamortized amounts which are presently \$26.2 million. Thus our results of operations and book value would be reduced commensurately.

Although the Company has sufficient liquidity at this time to pay off the remaining securities associated with the TRUPS Offering, given the proximity to the expiration of that date, it is unlikely that we would pay off these securities prior to January 20, 2014 unless we were able to achieve savings in excess of the remaining interest we are required to pay until that time, including any prepayment premium. At such time that we do pay off the remaining securities associated with the TRUPS Offering, we will incur a charge for the remaining unamortized amounts

## Aggregate Contractual Obligations

In the normal course of business, the Company is a party to a variety of contractual obligations as summarized below. These contractual obligations are considered by the Company when assessing its liquidity requirements and the Company is confident in its ability to meet all of its obligations. The Company's aggregate contractual obligations as of December 31, 2012 are summarized as follows:

| December 31, 2012                             | Payment Due by Period |                     |             |             |                      |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|
|   | Total                 | Less than<br>1 Year | 1 – 3 Years | 3 – 5 Years | More than<br>5 Years |
|   | (\$ in Millions)      |                     |             |             |                      |
| Contractual Obligations                       |                       |                     |             |             |                      |
| Operating lease obligations                   | \$5.6                 | \$2.0               | \$2.6       | \$1.0       | \$—                  |
| Junior subordinated debt and interest         | 713.0                 | 21.4                | 42.7        | 42.7        | 606.2                |
| Senior notes and interest                     | 694.3                 | 16.9                | 33.7        | 33.7        | 610.0                |
| Reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses | 1,740.3               | 548.9               | 571.8       | 268.7       | 350.9                |
| Other investments - unfunded commitments      | 3.1                   | 1.0                 | 2.1         | —           | —                    |
| Total   | \$3,156.3             | \$590.2             | \$652.9     | \$346.1     | \$1,567.1            |

The amounts included for reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses reflect the estimated timing of expected loss payments on known claims and anticipated future claims as of December 31, 2012. Both the amount and timing of cash flows are uncertain and do not have contractual payout terms. For a discussion of these uncertainties, refer to "Critical Accounting Policies — Reserve for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses". Due to the inherent uncertainty in the process of estimating the timing of these payments, there is a risk that the amounts paid in any period will differ significantly from those disclosed. Total estimated obligations will be funded by existing cash and investments.

## Currency and Foreign Exchange

We conduct business in a variety of foreign (non-U.S.) currencies, the principal exposures being the Euro, the British pound, the Australian dollar, the Canadian dollar, the Swedish krona and the Russian ruble. Assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are exposed to changes in currency exchange rates. Our reporting currency is the U.S. dollar, and exchange rate fluctuations relative to the U.S. dollar may materially impact our results and financial position. Our principal exposure to foreign currency risk is our obligation to settle claims in foreign currencies. In addition, in order to minimize this risk we maintain and expect to continue to maintain a portion of our investment portfolio in investments denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. We may employ various strategies

(including hedging) to manage our exposure to foreign currency exchange risk. To the extent that these exposures are not fully hedged or the hedges are ineffective, our results of operations or equity may be reduced by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. At December 31, 2012, no such hedges or hedging strategies were in force or had been entered into. We measure monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies at year end exchange rates, with the resulting foreign exchange gains and losses recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Revenues and expenses in foreign currencies are converted at average exchange rates during the year. The effect of the translation adjustments for foreign operations is included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

Net foreign exchange gains amounted to \$1.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$0.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 and losses of \$0.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2010.

Effects of Inflation

The effects of inflation are considered implicitly in pricing and estimating reserves loss and loss adjustment expenses. The effects of inflation could cause the severity of claims to rise in the future. To the extent inflation causes these costs, particularly medical treatments and litigation costs, to increase above reserves established for these claims, the Company will be required to increase the reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses with a corresponding reduction in its earnings in the period in which the deficiency is identified. The actual effects of inflation on the results of operations of the Company cannot be accurately known until claims are ultimately settled.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2012, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements as defined by Item 303(a)(4) of Regulation S-K.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Item 8, Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion on recently issued accounting pronouncements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk is the risk that we will incur losses in our investments due to adverse changes in market rates and prices. Market risk is directly influenced by the volatility and liquidity in the market in which the related underlying assets are invested. We believe that we are principally exposed to two types of market risk: changes in interest rates and changes in credit quality of issuers of investment securities and reinsurers.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that we may incur economic losses due to adverse changes in interest rates. The primary market risk to the investment portfolio is interest rate risk associated with investments in fixed maturity securities. Fluctuations in interest rates have a direct impact on the market valuation of these securities. At December 31, 2012, we had fixed maturity securities with a fair value of \$2.6 billion that are subject to interest rate risk.

The table below summarizes the interest rate risk associated with our fixed maturity securities by illustrating the sensitivity of the fair value and carrying value of our fixed maturity securities as of December 31, 2012 to selected hypothetical changes in interest rates, and the associated impact on our shareholders' equity. Temporary changes in the fair value of our fixed maturity securities that are held as AFS do impact the carrying value of these securities and are reported in our shareholders' equity as a component of other comprehensive income. The selected scenarios in the table below are not predictions of future events, but rather are intended to illustrate the effect such events may have on the fair value and carrying value of our fixed maturity securities and on our shareholders' equity, as of December 31, 2012:

| Hypothetical Change in Interest Rates | Fair Value | Estimated Change in | Hypothetical % (Decrease) |
|---------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
|---------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------------|

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

|                          |                  | Fair Value | Increase in Shareholders' Equity |    |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------|----------------------------------|----|
|                          | (\$ in Millions) |            |                                  |    |
| 200 basis point increase | \$2,418.2        | \$(200.5   | ) (19.7                          | )% |
| 100 basis point increase | 2,514.3          | (104.4     | ) (10.3                          | )% |
| No change                | 2,618.7          | —          | —                                | %  |
| 100 basis point decrease | 2,727.1          | 108.4      | 10.7                             | %  |
| 200 basis point decrease | 2,845.2          | 226.5      | 22.3                             | %  |

115

---

Table of Contents

The interest rate sensitivity on the \$168.0 million loan to related party which carries an interest rate of one month LIBOR plus 90 basis points, an increase of 100 and 200 basis points in LIBOR would increase our earnings and cash flows by \$1.7 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, on an annual basis, but would not affect the carrying value of the loan.

## Counterparty Credit Risk

The concentrations of the Company's counterparty credit risk exposures have not changed materially compared to December 31, 2011.

The Company has exposure to credit risk primarily as a holder of fixed income securities. The Company controls this exposure by emphasizing investment grade credit quality in the fixed income securities it purchases. The table below summarizes the credit ratings by major rating category of the Company's fixed maturity investments as of December 31 for each of the years presented:

| For the Year Ended December 31,<br>Ratings* | 2012  | 2011    |   |
|---|-------|---------|---|
| AA+ or better                               | 48.0  | % 60.1  | % |
| AA, AA-, A+, A, A-                          | 26.3  | % 22.7  | % |
| BBB+, BBB, BBB-                             | 24.3  | % 16.3  | % |
| BB+ or lower                                | 1.4   | % 0.9   | % |
|   | 100.0 | % 100.0 | % |

\* Ratings as assigned by S&P

The Company believes this high quality concentration reduces its exposure to credit risk on fixed income investments to an acceptable level.

At December 31, 2012, the Company is not exposed to any significant credit concentration risk on its investments, excluding securities issued by the U.S. governments which are rated AA+ (see Investments in Item 7 of Part II of this Annual Report on Form 10-K), with the single largest corporate issuer and the top 10 corporate issuers accounting for only 1.5% and 9.0% of the Company's total fixed income securities, respectively.

The Company is subject to the credit risk of its cedants in the event of their insolvency or their failure to honor the value of the funds held balances due to the Company for any other reason. However, the Company's credit risk in some jurisdictions is mitigated by a mandatory right of offset of amounts payable by the Company to a cedant against amounts due to the Company. In certain other jurisdictions the Company is able to mitigate this risk, depending on the nature of the funds held arrangements, to the extent that the Company has the contractual ability to offset any shortfall in the payment of the funds held balances with amounts owed by the Company to cedants for losses payable and other amounts contractually due. Funds held balances for which the Company receives an investment return based upon either the results of a pool of assets held by the cedant or the investment return earned by the cedant on its investment portfolio are exposed to an additional layer of credit risk.

The IIS Funds Withheld account due to the Company is related to one cedant, GMAC IICL, whereby GMAC IICL and the Company entered into the IICL Agreement to assume business written by GMAC IICL. Under the IICL Agreement, the individual balances by cedant which comprise the IIS Funds Withheld account have been transferred to the Company upon novation of the underlying reinsurance contract from GMAC IICL to the Company. As of December 31, 2012, one contract had not yet been novated and this is expected to occur in 2013. At December 31, 2012, the IIS Funds Withheld account due from GMAC IICL was \$22.7 million, including \$26.4 million in a segregated investment portfolio which represents collateral pledged as required by the underlying reinsurance

contracts offset by other net liabilities of \$4.3 million. The investments underlying the IIS Funds Withheld account are maintained in separate investment portfolios by GMAC IICL and managed by the Company.

The Company is subject to the credit risk of this cedant in the event of insolvency or GMAC IICL's failure to honor the value of the funds held balances for any other reason. However, the Company's credit risk is somewhat mitigated by the fact that the Company generally has the right to offset any shortfall in the payment of the funds held balances with amounts owed by the Company to the cedant for losses payable and other amounts contractually due.

The Company has exposure to credit risk as it relates to its business written through brokers if any of the Company's brokers is unable to fulfill their contractual obligations with respect to payments to the Company. In addition, in some jurisdictions, if the broker fails to make payments to the insured under the Company's policy, the Company might



## Table of Contents

remain liable to the insured for the deficiency. The Company's exposure to such credit risk is somewhat mitigated in certain jurisdictions by contractual terms. See Business and Risk Factors in Item 1 and 1A of Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, respectively, for detailed information on three brokers that accounted for approximately 34.1% of the Company's gross premiums written in the Diversified Reinsurance segment for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The Company has exposure to credit risk as it relates to its reinsurance balances receivable and reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses. We are subject to the credit risk that AII and/or AmTrust will fail to perform their obligations to pay interest on and repay principal of amounts loaned to AII pursuant to its loan agreement with Maiden Bermuda, and to reimburse Maiden Bermuda for any assets or other collateral of Maiden that AmTrust's U.S. insurance company subsidiaries apply or retain, and income on those assets. Reinsurance balances receivable from the Company's clients at December 31, 2012 were \$522.6 million, including balances both currently due and accrued.

The Company believes that credit risk related to these balances is mitigated by several factors, including but not limited to, credit checks performed as part of the underwriting process and monitoring of aged receivable balances. In addition, as the vast majority of its reinsurance agreements permit the Company the right to offset reinsurance balances receivable from clients against losses payable to them, the Company believes that the credit risk in this area is substantially reduced. Provisions are made for amounts considered potentially uncollectible. There was no allowance for uncollectible reinsurance balances receivable at December 31, 2012.

The Company purchases limited amounts of retrocessional reinsurance and requires its reinsurers to have adequate financial strength. The Company evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers and monitors its concentration of credit risk on an ongoing basis. Provisions are made for amounts considered potentially uncollectible. The balance of reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses was \$110.9 million at December 31, 2012. This balance is elevated as a result of \$79.7 million in estimated reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses from reinsurers as a result of Superstorm Sandy, almost exclusively on business written by Maiden Specialty. As at December 31, 2012, 88.4% of the reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses was due from reinsurers with credit ratings from A.M Best of A, or better, 11.3% due from reinsurers with credit ratings of A- and the remaining 0.3% of the reinsurance recoverable was primarily due from state pools.

### Foreign Currency Risk

Through its international reinsurance operations, the Company conducts business in a variety of non-U.S. currencies, with the principal exposures being the Euro and British pound. As the Company's reporting currency is the U.S. dollar, foreign exchange rate fluctuations may materially impact the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company is generally able to match foreign currency denominated assets against its net reinsurance liabilities both by currency and duration to protect the Company against foreign exchange and interest rate risks. However, a natural offset does not exist for all currencies. For the year ended December 31, 2012, 9.4% of our net premiums written and 9.6% of our reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses were transacted in the Euro.

Countries that participate in the Euro have experienced significant economic uncertainty in recent years, which continues through the present time. These circumstances are the cumulative result of the effect of excessive sovereign debt, deficits by numerous participating countries in the Euro, uncertainty regarding the monetary policies of the EU and their underlying funding mechanisms and poor economic growth and prospects for the EU as a whole.

While economic policy measures and commitments did stabilize the currency's volatility in the second half of 2012, the EU's fiscal outlook remains negative, and permanent solutions to resolve these issues by participating countries and other institutions to reduce debt levels of EU members and improve its economic outlook have not been resolved.

While not likely at this time, without satisfactory and timely resolution of these issues, the collapse or modification of the Euro cannot be ruled out at this time, with further uncertainty as to what forms of currency would take its place.

As a result, we could be exposed to significantly greater foreign currency exposure than we estimate at this time. If the currency were impaired or disrupted to any significant degree, it could also impact our ability to conduct normal business operations in those participating countries.

We may employ various strategies to manage our exposure to foreign currency exchange risk. To the extent that these exposures are not fully hedged or the hedges are ineffective, our results of operations or equity may be reduced by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. At December 31, 2012, no hedging instruments have been entered into.

Our principal foreign currency exposure is to the Euro and British pound, however assuming all other variables remain constant and disregarding any tax effects, a strengthening (weakening) of the U.S. dollar exchange rate of 10%

Table of Contents

or 20% relative to the non-U.S. currencies held by the Company would result in a decrease (increase) in the Company's net assets of \$15.9 million and \$31.8 million, respectively.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

See our Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto and required financial statement schedules commencing on pages F-1 through F-53 and S-1 through S-7 below.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

In connection with the preparation of this Report, our management has performed an evaluation, with the participation of our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of December 31, 2012. Based on their evaluation, our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2012, our Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act and for the assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. As defined by the SEC, internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of our assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In connection with the preparation of our annual consolidated financial statements, management has undertaken an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO Framework). Management's assessment included an evaluation of the design of our internal control over financial reporting and testing of the operational effectiveness of those controls. Based on

this evaluation, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting is effective as of December 31, 2012 based on those criteria.

The Company's independent auditors have issued an audit report on our assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. This report appears below.

#### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

No changes were made in our internal controls over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15(d) – 15(f), during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

118

---

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Shareholders  
Maiden Holdings, Ltd.

We have audited Maiden Holdings, Ltd. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Maiden Holdings, Ltd.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Item 9A, Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Maiden Holdings, Ltd. and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Maiden Holdings, Ltd. as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, and our report dated March 8, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

New York, New York  
March 8, 2013

119

---

Table of Contents

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the information responsive thereto in the sections in the proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 7, 2013 (the "Proxy Statement") captioned "Election of Directors", "Executive Officers", "Audit Committee", "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" and "Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee".

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for all employees. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available free of charge on our website at [www.maiden.bm](http://www.maiden.bm) and is available in print to any shareholder who requests it. We intend to disclose any amendments to this code by posting such information on our website, and disclose any waivers of this code applicable to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller and other executive officers who perform similar functions through such means or by filing a Form 8-K.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the information responsive thereto in the sections in the Proxy Statement captioned "Compensation Discussion and Analysis", "Director Compensation for 2012", "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation" and "Compensation Committee Report".

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the information responsive thereto in the sections in the Proxy Statement captioned "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners", "Equity Compensation Plan Information" and "Security Ownership of Management".

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the information responsive thereto in the sections in the Proxy Statement captioned "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions", "Audit Committee", "Board Independence", "Compensation Committee" and "Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee".

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the information responsive thereto in the section in the Proxy Statement captioned "Appointment of Independent Auditors of Maiden Holdings, Ltd."

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) Financial statement schedules

Financial statement schedules listed in the accompanying index to our Consolidated Financial Statements starting on page F-1 are filed as part of this Form 10-K, and are included in Item 8. All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable, and therefore have been omitted.

(b) Exhibits

The exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index starting on page E-1 following the signature page are filed herewith, which Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.

120

---



Table of Contents

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in Hamilton, Bermuda on March 8, 2013.

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

By:

/s/ Arturo M. Raschbaum

Name: Arturo M. Raschbaum

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature                                      | Title   | Date          |
|--|---|---------------|
| /s/ Arturo M. Raschbaum<br>Arturo M. Raschbaum | President and Chief Executive Officer<br>(Principal Executive Officer)  | March 8, 2013 |
| /s/ John M. Marshaleck<br>John M. Marshaleck   | Chief Financial Officer<br>(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | March 8, 2013 |
| /s/ Barry D. Zyskind<br>Barry D. Zyskind       | Chairman  | March 8, 2013 |
| /s/ Raymond M. Neff<br>Raymond M. Neff         | Director  | March 8, 2013 |
| /s/ Simcha G. Lyons<br>Simcha G. Lyons         | Director  | March 8, 2013 |
| /s/ Yehuda L. Neuberger<br>Yehuda L. Neuberger | Director  | March 8, 2013 |
| /s/ Steven H. Nigro<br>Steven H. Nigro         | Director  | March 8, 2013 |

Table of Contents

## EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Description  | Reference |
|-------------|--|-----------|
| 3.1         | Memorandum of Association (as amended)   | (1)       |
| 3.2         | Bye-Laws   | (2)       |
| 4.1         | Form of Common Share Certificate   | (2)       |
| 4.2         | Registration Rights Agreement by and between Maiden Holdings, Ltd. and Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc., dated as of July 3, 2007  | (2)       |
| 4.3         | Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust by and among Wilmington Trust Company, as Institutional Trustee and as Delaware Trustee, Maiden Holdings North America, Ltd., as Sponsor, and the Administrators (as named therein), dated as of January 20, 2009  | (3)       |
| 4.4         | Indenture by and between Maiden Holdings North America, Ltd. and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, relating to Fixed Rate Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures Due 2039 (including the form of debenture), dated January 20, 2009   | (3)       |
| 4.5         | Guarantee Agreement by and between Maiden Holdings, Ltd., as Guarantor, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, dated as of January 20, 2009   | (3)       |
| 4.6         | Guarantee Agreement by and between Maiden Holdings North America, Ltd., as Guarantor, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, dated as of January 20, 2009   | (3)       |
| 4.7*        | Form of Purchase Agreement by and among Maiden Holdings, Ltd., Maiden Capital Financing Trust, Maiden Holdings North America, Ltd. and various institutional investors, dated as of January 14, 2009   | (3)       |
| 4.8         | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, dated as of July 14, 2011, by and among Maiden Holdings North America, Ltd., Arturo M. Raschbaum, John M. Marshaleck and Karen L. Schmitt, as all of the Administrators, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Institutional Trustee and Delaware Trustee | (4)       |
| 4.9         | Form of Indenture for Debt Securities by and among Maiden Holdings North America, Ltd., Maiden Holdings, Ltd., as guarantor, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee  | (5)       |
| 4.10        | First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 24, 2011, by and among Maiden Holdings North America, Ltd., Maiden Holdings, Ltd., as guarantor, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee   | (6)       |
| 4.11        | Form of 8.25% Notes due 2041 (included in Exhibit 4.10)  | (6)       |
| 4.12        | Second Supplemental Indenture, dated March 27, 2012, by and among Maiden Holdings North America, Ltd., Maiden Holdings, Ltd., as guarantor, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee   | (7)       |
| 4.13        | Form of 8.000% Notes due 2042 (included in Exhibit 4.12)   | (7)       |
| 4.14        | Certificate of Designations of 8.25% Non-Cumulative Preference Shares, Series A, adopted on August 7, 2012   | (8)       |
| 4.15        | Form of stock certificate evidencing 8.25% Series A Preference Share (included in Exhibit 4.14)  | (8)       |
| 10.1*       | Amended and Restated Maiden Holdings, Ltd. 2007 Share Incentive Plan as of July 26, 2011   | (4)       |
| 10.2*       | Form of Share Option Agreement for Employee Recipients of Options under 2007 Share Incentive Plan  | (2)       |
| 10.3*       | Form of Share Option Agreement for Non-Employee Recipients of Options under 2007 Share Incentive Plan  | (2)       |
| 10.4*       | Form of Performance-Based Restricted Share Unit Agreement for Employee Recipients of Restricted Share Units under the Amended and Restated 2007 Share Incentive Plan   | (4)       |
| 10.5*       | Form of Employment Agreement by and between Maiden and Arturo Raschbaum, John Marshaleck, Patrick J. Haveron, Karen Schmitt and Lawrence F. Metz, dated as of November   | (9)       |

1, 2011  
10.6\* Employment Agreement by and between Maiden Holdings, Ltd. and Ronald M. Judd, dated (10)  
as of December 1, 2010

E-1

---

Table of Contents

|       |   |      |
|-------|---|------|
| 10.7* | Master Agreement by and between Maiden Holdings, Ltd. and AmTrust Financial Services, Inc., dated as of July 3, 2007  | (2)  |
| 10.8* | Amendment No. 1 to the Master Agreement by and between Maiden Holdings, Ltd. and AmTrust Financial Services, Inc., dated as of September 17, 2007   | (2)  |
| 10.9  | Amended and Restated Quota Share Reinsurance Agreement by and between Maiden Insurance Company Ltd. and AmTrust International Insurance, Ltd. and dated as of June 1, 2008  | (11) |
| 10.10 | Loan Agreement by and between AmTrust International Insurance, Ltd. and Maiden Insurance Company Ltd., dated as of November 16, 2007  | (12) |
| 10.11 | Amendment No. 1 to the Loan Agreement by and between AmTrust International Insurance, Ltd. and Maiden Insurance Company Ltd., dated as of February 15, 2008   | (12) |
| 10.12 | Asset Management Agreement by and between AII Insurance Management Limited and Maiden Insurance Company Ltd., dated as of July 3, 2007  | (2)  |
| 10.13 | First Amendment to Asset Management Agreement by and between AII Insurance Management Limited, Maiden Insurance Company Ltd., Maiden Holdings, Ltd., and Maiden Holdings North America, Ltd., dated as of November 3, 2008  | (10) |
| 10.14 | Second Amendment to Asset Management Agreement by and between AII Insurance Management Limited, Maiden Insurance Company Ltd., Maiden Holdings, Ltd., Maiden Holdings North America, Ltd. and Maiden Reinsurance Company, dated as of December 23, 2008                                   | (10) |
| 10.15 | Third Amendment to Asset Management Agreement by and between AII Insurance Management Limited, Maiden Insurance Company Ltd., Maiden Holdings, Ltd., Maiden Holdings North America, Ltd., Maiden Reinsurance Company and Maiden Specialty Insurance Company dated as of September 1, 2009 | (10) |
| 10.16 | Fourth Amendment to Asset Management Agreement by and between AII Insurance Management Limited, Maiden Insurance Company Ltd., Maiden Holdings, Ltd., Maiden Holdings North America, Ltd., Maiden Reinsurance Company and Maiden Specialty Insurance Company dated as of August 6, 2010   | (10) |
| 10.17 | Reinsurance Brokerage Agreement by and between Maiden Insurance Company Ltd. and AII Reinsurance Broker Ltd., dated as of July 3, 2007  | (2)  |
| 10.18 | Brokerage Services Agreement between Maiden Insurance Company Ltd. and IGI Intermediaries Limited, dated as of January 1, 2008  | (12) |
| 10.19 | Reinsurance Brokerage Services Agreement between Maiden Insurance Company Ltd. and IGI Intermediaries, Inc., dated as of April 3, 2008  | (13) |
| 10.20 | Endorsement No. 1 to the Amended and Restated Quota Share Reinsurance Agreement by and between Maiden Insurance Company Ltd. and AmTrust International Insurance, Ltd. dated as of July 26, 2011  | (4)  |
| 10.21 | Endorsement No. 2 to the Quota Share Reinsurance Contract by and between Maiden Insurance Company Ltd. and AmTrust International Insurance, Ltd. dated as of March 7, 2013  | †    |
| 10.22 | Quota Share Reinsurance Contract by and between Maiden Insurance Company Ltd. and AmTrust Europe Limited and/or AmTrust International Underwriters Limited dated as of April 1, 2011  | (4)  |
| 10.23 | Endorsement No. 1 to the Quota Share Reinsurance Contract by and between Maiden Insurance Company Ltd. and AmTrust Europe Limited and/or AmTrust International Underwriters Limited dated as of July 26, 2011   | (4)  |
| 10.24 | Endorsement No. 2 to the Quota Share Reinsurance Contract by and between Maiden Insurance Company Ltd. and AmTrust Europe Limited and/or AmTrust International Underwriters Limited dated as of August 7, 2012  | (14) |

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

- 10.25 Portfolio Transfer and Quota Share Reinsurance Agreement by and between Maiden Insurance Company Ltd. and Motors Insurance Corporation, dated as of October 31, 2008 (15)
- 10.26 Personal and Commercial Automobile Quota Share Reinsurance Agreement by and between Maiden Insurance Company Ltd. and Integon National Insurance Company, dated as March 1, 2010 (10)

E-2

---

Table of Contents

|        |   |      |
|--------|---|------|
| 10.27  | Addendum No. 1 to Personal and Commercial Automobile Quota Share Reinsurance Agreement by and between Maiden Insurance Company Ltd. and Integon National Insurance Company and others, dated as October 1, 2012 | †    |
| 10.28  | Form of Indemnification Agreement between Maiden Holdings, Ltd. and its officers and directors  | (12) |
| 10.29  | Warrant Exchange Agreement by and between Michael Karfunkel and Maiden Holdings, Ltd. as of September 20, 2010  | (16) |
| 10.30  | Warrant Exchange Agreement by and between George Karfunkel and Maiden Holdings, Ltd. as of September 20, 2010   | (16) |
| 10.31* | Warrant Exchange Agreement by and between Barry Zyskind and Maiden Holdings, Ltd. as of September 20, 2010  | (16) |
| 10.32  | Lockup Agreement by and between Michael Karfunkel and Maiden Holdings, Ltd. as of September 20, 2010  | (16) |
| 10.33  | Lockup Agreement by and between George Karfunkel and Maiden Holdings, Ltd. as of September 20, 2010   | (16) |
| 10.34  | Lockup Agreement by and between Barry Zyskind and Maiden Holdings, Ltd. as of September 20, 2010  | (16) |
| 21.1   | Subsidiaries of the registrant  | †    |
| 23.1   | Consent of BDO USA, LLP   | †    |
| 31.1   | Section 302 Certification of CEO  | †    |
| 31.2   | Section 302 Certification of CFO  | †    |
| 32.1   | Section 906 Certification of CEO  | †    |
| 32.2   | Section 906 Certification of CFO  | †    |

(1) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with the registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on May 18, 2010 (File No. 333-166934).

(2) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with the registrant's Registration Statement on S-1 initially filed with the SEC on September 17, 2007, subsequently amended and declared effective May 6, 2008 (File No. 333-146137).

(3) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 26, 2009 (File No. 001-34042).

(4) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2010 filed with the SEC on August 8, 2011 (File No. 001-34042).

(5) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with the registrant's Registration Statement on S-3 filed with the SEC on February 7, 2011 (File Nos. 333-172107 and 333-172107-01).

(6) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 17, 2011 (File No. 001-34042).

(7) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 27, 2012 (File No. 001-34042).

(8) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 22, 2012 (File No. 001-34042).

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

- (9) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the SEC on March 13, 2012 (File No. 001-34042).
- (10) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the SEC on March 14, 2011 (File No. 001-34042).
- (11) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 filed with the SEC on March 31, 2009 (File No. 001-34042).

E-3

---

Table of Contents

- (12) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with Amendment No. 2 to the registrant's Registration Statement on S-1 filed with the SEC on March 28, 2008 (No. 333-146137).
- (13) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with Amendment No. 3 to the registrant's Registration Statement on S-1 filed with the SEC on April 24, 2008 (No. 333-146137).
- (14) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 filed with the SEC on August 9, 2012 (File No. 001-34042)
- (15) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 7, 2008 (File No. 001-34042).
- (16) Incorporated by reference to the filing of such exhibit with the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2010 filed with the SEC on November 9, 2010 (File No. 001-34042).

† Filed herewith.

\* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement



Table of Contents

|  |             |
|--|-------------|
| Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data  |             |
| Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Related Notes   | Page        |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>   | <u>F-2</u>  |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011</u>  | <u>F-3</u>  |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>                          | <u>F-4</u>  |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>            | <u>F-5</u>  |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u> | <u>F-6</u>  |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>                      | <u>F-7</u>  |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>  |             |
| <u>Note 1 — Organization</u>   | <u>F-9</u>  |
| <u>Note 2 — Significant Accounting Policies</u>  | <u>F-9</u>  |
| <u>Note 3 — Segment Information</u>  | <u>F-16</u> |
| <u>Note 4 — Acquisitions</u>   | <u>F-22</u> |
| <u>Note 5 — Investments</u>  | <u>F-24</u> |
| <u>Note 6 — Fair Value Measurements</u>  | <u>F-29</u> |
| <u>Note 7 — Goodwill and Intangible Assets</u>   | <u>F-32</u> |
| <u>Note 8 — Long-Term Debt</u>   | <u>F-34</u> |
| <u>Note 9 — Reinsurance</u>  | <u>F-35</u> |
| <u>Note 10 — Reserve for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses</u>   | <u>F-36</u> |
| <u>Note 11 — Related Party Transactions</u>  | <u>F-38</u> |
| <u>Note 12 — Commitments and Contingencies</u>   | <u>F-42</u> |
| <u>Note 13 — Earnings Per Common Share</u>   | <u>F-44</u> |
| <u>Note 14 — Shareholders' Equity</u>  | <u>F-45</u> |
| <u>Note 15 — Share Compensation and Pension Plans</u>  | <u>F-46</u> |
| <u>Note 16 — Taxation</u>  | <u>F-49</u> |
| <u>Note 17 — Statutory Financial Information</u>   | <u>F-51</u> |
| <u>Note 18 — Subsequent Events</u>   | <u>F-52</u> |
| <u>Note 19 — Condensed Quarterly Financial Data — Unaudited</u>  | <u>F-53</u> |
| Supplementary Information  |             |
| <u>Summary of Investments — Other than Investments in Related Parties (Schedule I)</u>                                 | <u>S-1</u>  |
| <u>Condensed Financial Information of Registrant (Schedule II)</u>   | <u>S-2</u>  |
| <u>Supplementary Insurance Information (Schedule III)</u>  | <u>S-5</u>  |
| <u>Supplementary Reinsurance Information (Schedule IV)</u>   | <u>S-6</u>  |
| <u>Supplementary Insurance Information Concerning Property and Casualty Insurance Operations (Schedule VI)</u>         | <u>S-7</u>  |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
Maiden Holdings, Ltd.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Maiden Holdings, Ltd. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. In connection with our audits of the financial statements, we have also audited the financial statement schedules listed in the accompanying index. These financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Maiden Holdings, Ltd. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Also, in our opinion, the financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Maiden Holdings, Ltd.’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organization of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 8, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

New York, New York  
March 8, 2013

F-2

---

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

|   | 2012        | 2011        |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>   |             |             |
| Investments:  |             |             |
| Fixed maturities, available-for-sale, at fair value (Amortized cost 2012: \$2,475,202; 2011: \$1,957,106)   | \$2,618,697 | \$2,020,661 |
| Other investments, at fair value (Cost 2012: \$2,599; 2011: \$1,955)  | 2,901       | 2,192       |
| Total investments   | 2,621,598   | 2,022,853   |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | 81,543      | 188,082     |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents  | 132,327     | 114,895     |
| Accrued investment income   | 21,007      | 13,215      |
| Reinsurance balances receivable, net (includes \$265,766 and \$178,745 from related parties in 2012 and 2011, respectively)   | 522,614     | 423,355     |
| Funds withheld  | 42,712      | 42,605      |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums (includes \$743 and \$7,265 from related parties in 2012 and 2011, respectively)   | 38,725      | 35,381      |
| Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses (includes \$9,387 and \$7,207 from related parties in 2012 and 2011, respectively)   | 110,858     | 20,289      |
| Loan to related party   | 167,975     | 167,975     |
| Deferred commission and other acquisition expenses (includes \$187,387 and \$147,743 from related parties in 2012 and 2011, respectively)   | 270,669     | 248,436     |
| Goodwill and intangible assets, net   | 94,393      | 98,755      |
| Other assets  | 33,742      | 19,270      |
| Total assets  | \$4,138,163 | \$3,395,111 |
| <b>LIABILITIES</b>  |             |             |
| Reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses (includes \$610,810 and \$396,198 from related parties in 2012 and 2011, respectively)  | \$1,740,281 | \$1,398,438 |
| Unearned premiums (includes \$612,903 and \$483,935 from related parties in 2012 and 2011, respectively)  | 936,497     | 832,047     |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities  | 111,957     | 161,883     |
| Senior notes  | 207,500     | 107,500     |
| Junior subordinated debt  | 126,317     | 126,263     |
| Total liabilities   | 3,122,552   | 2,626,131   |
| Commitments and Contingencies   |             |             |
| <b>EQUITY</b>   |             |             |
| Preference shares - Series A  | 150,000     | —           |
| Common shares (\$0.01 par value; 73,306,283 and 73,183,764 shares issued in 2012 and 2011, respectively; 72,343,947 and 72,221,428 shares outstanding in 2012 and 2011, respectively) | 733         | 732         |
| Additional paid-in capital  | 575,869     | 579,004     |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income  | 141,130     | 64,059      |
| Retained earnings   | 151,308     | 128,648     |
| Treasury shares, at cost (2012 and 2011: 962,336 shares)  | (3,801      | ) (3,801 )  |
| Total Maiden shareholders' equity   | 1,015,239   | 768,642     |
| Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries   | 372         | 338         |
| Total equity  | 1,015,611   | 768,980     |

|   |             |             |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Total liabilities and equity                                | \$4,138,163 | \$3,395,111 |
| See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements |             |             |

F-3

---

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

For the Year Ended December 31,

|   | 2012        | 2011        | 2010        |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Revenues:   |             |             |             |
| Gross premiums written  | \$2,000,992 | \$1,812,597 | \$1,298,055 |
| Net premiums written  | \$1,901,285 | \$1,723,521 | \$1,227,831 |
| Change in unearned premiums   | (97,505 )   | (171,093 )  | (58,041 )   |
| Net premiums earned   | 1,803,780   | 1,552,428   | 1,169,790   |
| Other insurance revenue   | 12,890      | 12,640      | —           |
| Net investment income   | 81,188      | 74,891      | 71,651      |
| Net realized and unrealized gains on investments                                | 1,907       | 481         | 6,604       |
| Total revenues  | 1,899,765   | 1,640,440   | 1,248,045   |
| Expenses:   |             |             |             |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expenses   | 1,262,348   | 1,043,054   | 755,122     |
| Commission and other acquisition expenses                                       | 492,031     | 438,812     | 336,697     |
| General and administrative expenses   | 53,804      | 53,892      | 42,180      |
| Interest and amortization expenses  | 36,384      | 34,155      | 36,466      |
| Accelerated amortization of junior subordinated debt discount and issuance cost | —           | 20,313      | —           |
| Junior subordinated debt repurchase expense                                     | —           | 15,050      | —           |
| Amortization of intangible assets   | 4,362       | 5,033       | 5,808       |
| Foreign exchange (gains) losses   | (1,638 )    | (323 )      | 580         |
| Total expenses  | 1,847,291   | 1,609,986   | 1,176,853   |
| Income before income taxes  | 52,474      | 30,454      | 71,192      |
| Income taxes:   |             |             |             |
| Current tax expense   | 1,020       | 632         | 160         |
| Deferred tax expense  | 1,193       | 1,295       | 1,170       |
| Income tax expense  | 2,213       | 1,927       | 1,330       |
| Net income  | 50,261      | 28,527      | 69,862      |
| Add: (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest                      | (107 )      | (3 )        | 4           |
| Net income attributable to Maiden shareholders                                  | 50,154      | 28,524      | 69,866      |
| Dividends on preference shares  | (3,644 )    | —           | —           |
| Net income attributable to Maiden common shareholders                           | \$46,510    | \$28,524    | \$69,866    |
| Basic earnings per share attributable to Maiden common shareholders             | \$0.64      | \$0.40      | \$0.99      |
| Diluted earnings per share attributable to Maiden common shareholders           | \$0.64      | \$0.39      | \$0.98      |
| Dividends declared per common share   | \$0.33      | \$0.30      | \$0.27      |
| Weighted average number of basic common shares outstanding                      | 72,263,022  | 72,155,503  | 70,799,966  |
| Weighted average number of diluted common shares outstanding                    | 73,105,531  | 72,903,688  | 71,372,688  |

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

| For the Year Ended December 31,  | 2012      | 2011     | 2010     |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|
| Comprehensive income:  |           |          |          |
| Net income   | \$50,261  | \$28,527 | \$69,862 |
| Other comprehensive income   |           |          |          |
| Unrealized holdings net gains arising during the period                        | 82,915    | 12,189   | 30,154   |
| Adjustment for reclassification of net realized gains recognized in net income | (2,987    | ) (3,206 | ) (8,147 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment  | (2,852    | ) 733    | (420     |
| Other comprehensive income   | 77,076    | 9,716    | 21,587   |
| Comprehensive income   | 127,337   | 38,243   | 91,449   |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest                      | (107      | ) (3     | ) 4      |
| Other comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest      | (5        | ) 9      | —        |
| Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest            | (112      | ) 6      | 4        |
| Comprehensive income attributable to Maiden shareholders                       | \$127,225 | \$38,249 | \$91,453 |

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In thousands of U. S. dollars)

| For the Year Ended December 31,                           | 2012         | 2011       | 2010       |
|---|--------------|------------|------------|
| Preference shares - Series A                              |              |            |            |
| Beginning balance   | \$—          | \$—        | \$—        |
| Issuance of preference shares                             | 150,000      | —          | —          |
| Ending balance  | 150,000      | —          | —          |
| Common shares   |              |            |            |
| Beginning balance   | 732          | 731        | 713        |
| Exercise of options and issuance of shares                | 1            | 1          | —          |
| Exchange of warrants                                      | —            | —          | 18         |
| Ending balance  | 733          | 732        | 731        |
| Additional paid-in capital                                |              |            |            |
| Beginning balance   | 579,004      | 577,135    | 576,086    |
| Exercise of options and issuance of common shares         | 477          | 421        | 52         |
| Exchange of Warrants                                      | —            | —          | (18 )      |
| Issuance costs of preference shares                       | (4,959 )     | —          | —          |
| Partial disposal of interest in subsidiary                | —            | 141        | —          |
| Share based compensation expense                          | 1,347        | 1,307      | 1,015      |
| Ending balance  | 575,869      | 579,004    | 577,135    |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income                    |              |            |            |
| Beginning balance   | 64,059       | 54,334     | 32,747     |
| Change in net unrealized gains on investments             | 79,928       | 8,983      | 22,007     |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment                   | (2,857 )     | 742 )      | (420 )     |
| Ending balance  | 141,130      | 64,059     | 54,334     |
| Retained earnings   |              |            |            |
| Beginning balance   | 128,648      | 121,775    | 70,781     |
| Net income attributable to Maiden shareholders            | 50,154       | 28,524     | 69,866     |
| Dividends on preference shares                            | (3,644 )     | —          | —          |
| Dividends on common shares                                | (23,850 )    | (21,651 )  | (18,872 )  |
| Ending balance  | 151,308      | 128,648    | 121,775    |
| Treasury shares   |              |            |            |
| Beginning balance   | (3,801 )     | (3,801 )   | (3,801 )   |
| Ending balance  | (3,801 )     | (3,801 )   | (3,801 )   |
| Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries                   |              |            |            |
| Beginning balance   | 338          | 275        | —          |
| Acquisition of subsidiary                                 | —            | —          | 279        |
| Partial disposal of interest in subsidiary                | —            | 69         | —          |
| Dividend paid to noncontrolling interest                  | (78 )        | —          | —          |
| Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest | 107          | 3          | (4 )       |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment                   | 5            | (9 )       | —          |
| Ending balance  | 372          | 338        | 275        |
| Total equity  | \$ 1,015,611 | \$ 768,980 | \$ 750,449 |

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars)

| For the Year Ended December 31,   | 2012        | 2011      | 2010        |
|---|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities:   |             |           |             |
| Net income  | \$50,261    | \$28,527  | \$69,862    |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:                               |             |           |             |
| Depreciation and amortization of intangibles  | 6,258       | 8,599     | 7,205       |
| Net realized and unrealized gains on investments  | (1,907)     | (481)     | (6,604)     |
| Foreign exchange (gains) losses   | (1,638)     | (323)     | 580         |
| Amortization of share-based compensation expense, bond premium and discount and subordinated debt discount, net | 10,949      | 22,236    | (3,586)     |
| Changes in assets – (increase) decrease:  |             |           |             |
| Reinsurance balances receivable, net  | (98,987)    | (168,338) | (29,036)    |
| Funds withheld  | 917         | 16,074    | (152,713)   |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums  | (3,344)     | (6,389)   | (240)       |
| Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses  | (90,567)    | (13,632)  | 1,684       |
| Accrued investment income   | (7,719)     | 836       | (2,686)     |
| Deferred commission and other acquisition expenses  | (22,073)    | (45,037)  | (30,648)    |
| Other assets  | (13,277)    | (14,422)  | 1,583       |
| Changes in liabilities – increase (decrease):   |             |           |             |
| Reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses   | 337,348     | 176,869   | 220,932     |
| Unearned premiums   | 103,796     | 178,436   | 68,413      |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities  | 49,072      | (1,685)   | 6,833       |
| Net cash provided by operating activities   | 319,089     | 181,270   | 151,579     |
| Cash flows from investing activities:   |             |           |             |
| Purchases of investments:   |             |           |             |
| Purchases of fixed-maturity securities – available-for-sale   | (1,193,768) | (636,141) | (1,010,142) |
| Purchases of fixed-maturity securities – trading and short sales  | (102,073)   | (663,339) | (1,293,386) |
| Purchases of other investments  | (940)       | (1,173)   | (424)       |
| Sale of investments:  |             |           |             |
| Proceeds from sales of fixed-maturity securities – available-for-sale   | 142,694     | 304,499   | 331,593     |
| Proceeds from sales of fixed-maturity securities – trading and short sales                                      | 49,883      | 720,100   | 1,291,843   |
| Proceeds from maturities and calls of fixed maturity securities   | 484,091     | 310,526   | 507,326     |
| Proceeds from redemption of other investments   | 340         | 4,896     | 108         |
| (Increase) decrease in restricted cash and cash equivalents   | (17,432)    | (25,139)  | 55,657      |
| Acquisition of subsidiaries (net of cash acquired)  | —           | 635       | (4,893)     |
| Purchase of capital assets  | (341)       | (1,538)   | (2,875)     |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities   | (637,546)   | 13,326    | (125,193)   |
| Cash flows from financing activities:   |             |           |             |
| Repurchase agreements, net  | —           | (76,225)  | (19,176)    |
| Senior notes issuance, net of issuance costs  | 96,594      | 104,689   | —           |
| Repayment of junior subordinated debt   | —           | (107,500) | —           |
| Preference shares - Series A issuance, net of issuance costs  | 145,041     | —         | —           |
| Contribution of noncontrolling interest   | —           | —         | 279         |
| Common share issuance   | 478         | 422       | 52          |
| Dividends paid to Maiden common shareholders  | (29,630)    | (20,921)  | (18,394)    |
| Dividends paid on preference shares   | (3,644)     | —         | —           |



Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

|  |         |         |   |         |   |
|--|---------|---------|---|---------|---|
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities      | 208,839 | (99,535 | ) | (37,239 | ) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on foreign currency cash | 3,079   | (3,130  | ) | (392    | ) |

F-7

---

Table of Contents

|   |            |           |           |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents                        | (106,539 ) | 91,931    | (11,245 ) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period                              | 188,082    | 96,151    | 107,396   |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period                                    | \$81,543   | \$188,082 | \$96,151  |
| Supplemental information on cash flows:                                     |            |           |           |
| Interest paid   | \$36,219   | \$36,850  | \$36,400  |
| Taxes paid  | 55         | 429       | 129       |
| Supplemental information about non cash investing and financing activities: |            |           |           |
| Acquisition of fixed maturities, available-for-sale                         | —          | 81,930    | 17,806    |
| Funds withheld  | —          | (81,930 ) | —         |
| Reinsurance balances receivable   | —          | —         | (17,806 ) |
| Additional paid-in capital  | —          | —         | 18        |
| Common shares issued in exchange of warrants                                | —          | —         | (18 )     |
| Redemption of other investment  | —          | —         | (4,751 )  |
| Purchase of other investment  | —          | —         | 4,751     |

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

1. Organization

Maiden Holdings, Ltd. (sometimes referred to as “Maiden Holdings” or “Parent Company”) is a Bermuda-based holding company formed in June 2007, primarily focused on serving the needs of regional and specialty insurers in the United States and Europe by providing innovative reinsurance solutions designed to support their capital needs. Together with its subsidiaries (collectively referred to as the “Company”, “We” or “Maiden”), Maiden specializes in reinsurance solutions that optimize financing by providing coverage within the more predictable and actuarially credible lower layers of coverage and/or reinsure risks that are believed to be lower hazard, more predictable and generally not susceptible to catastrophe claims. Our tailored solutions include a variety of value added services focused on helping our clients grow and prosper. Our principal operating subsidiaries in Bermuda and the United States are rated “A-” (Excellent) with a stable outlook by A.M. Best Company (“A.M. Best”), which rating is the fourth highest of sixteen rating levels, and BBB+ (Good) with a stable outlook by Standard & Poor's (“S&P”), which is the eighth highest of twenty-two rating levels.

We provide reinsurance through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Maiden Insurance Company Ltd. (“Maiden Bermuda”) and Maiden Reinsurance Company (“Maiden US”) and have operations in Bermuda and the United States, respectively. On a more limited basis, Maiden Specialty Insurance Company (“Maiden Specialty”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Maiden US, provides primary insurance on a surplus lines basis focusing on non-catastrophe property and inland marine. Maiden Bermuda does not underwrite any primary insurance business. Internationally, we provide reinsurance-related services through Maiden Global Holdings, Ltd. (“Maiden Global”) and its subsidiaries. Maiden Global primarily focuses on providing branded auto and credit life insurance products through its insurer partners to retail customers in the European Union and other global markets, which also produce reinsurance programs which are underwritten by Maiden Bermuda. Certain international credit life business is also written directly by Maiden Life Försäkrings AB (“Maiden LF”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Maiden Holdings, as part of Maiden Global’s service offerings.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

**Basis of Reporting and Consolidation** — These Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”). The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Maiden Holdings and all of its subsidiaries. These Consolidated Financial Statements reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the period and all such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated in the Consolidated Financial Statements. Certain prior year comparatives have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

**Estimates** — The preparation of these Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Consolidated Financial Statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The significant estimates reflected in the Company’s financial statements include, but are not limited to:

• reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses;

• recoverability of deferred commission and other acquisition expenses;

•determination of impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets;

•valuation of financial instruments; and

•determination of other-than-temporary impairment of investments.

Investments — The Company currently classifies all of its fixed maturity investments as “available-for-sale” and, accordingly, they are carried at estimated fair value. The fair value of fixed maturity securities is generally determined from quotations received from nationally recognized pricing services, or when such prices are not available, by reference to broker or underwriter bid indications. Short-term investments comprise securities due to mature within one year of the date of purchase.

F-9

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

The Company accounts for its other investments at fair value in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 944, “Financial Services” (“ASC 944”). Other investments comprise investments in limited partnerships which are reported at fair value based on the financial information received from the fund managers and other information available to management. Unrealized gains or losses on other investments are reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.

Purchases and sales of investments are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains or losses on sales of investments are determined based on the first in first out cost method. Net investment income is recognized when earned and includes interest and dividend income together with amortization of market premiums and discounts using the effective yield method and is net of investment management fees and other expenses. For mortgage-backed securities and any other holdings for which there is a prepayment risk, prepayment assumptions are evaluated and revised as necessary. Any adjustments required due to the change in effective yields and maturities are recognized on a prospective basis through yield adjustments.

Impairments of investment securities results in a charge to operations when a market decline below cost is deemed to be other than temporary. To determine the recovery period of a fixed maturity security, we consider the facts and circumstances surrounding the underlying issuer including, but not limited to, the following:

• Historic and implied volatility of the security;

• Length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost;

• Adverse conditions specifically related to the security or to specific conditions in an industry or geographic area;

• Failure, if any, of the issuer of the security to make scheduled payments; and

• Recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date.

When assessing our intent to sell a fixed maturity security or if it is more likely that we will be required to sell a fixed maturity security before recovery of its cost basis, we evaluate facts and circumstances such as, but not limited to, decisions to reposition our security portfolio, sale of securities to meet cash flow needs and sales of securities to capitalize on favorable pricing. In order to determine the amount of the credit loss for a fixed maturity security, we calculate the recovery value by performing a discounted cash flow analysis based on the current cash flows and future cash flows we expect to recover. The discount rate is the effective interest rate implicit in the underlying fixed maturity security. The effective interest rate is the original yield or the coupon if the fixed maturity security was previously impaired. If an other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) exists and we have the intent to sell the security, we conclude that the entire OTTI is credit-related and the amortized cost for the security is written down to current fair value with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income. If we do not intend to sell a fixed maturity security or it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell a fixed maturity security before recovery of its amortized cost but the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost of the fixed maturity security (referred to as the credit loss), we conclude that an OTTI has occurred and the amortized cost is written down to the estimated recovery value with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income, as this is also deemed the credit portion of the OTTI. The

remainder of the decline to fair value is recorded to other comprehensive income (“OCI”), as an unrealized OTTI loss on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, as this is considered a noncredit (i.e. recoverable) impairment.

Fair Value Measurements — FASB ASC Topic 820, “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures” (“ASC 820”) defines fair value as the price to sell an asset or transfer a liability (i.e. the “exit price”) in an orderly transaction between market participants. Additionally, ASC 820 establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the reliability of inputs as follows:

Level 1 — Valuations based on unadjusted quoted market prices for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access. Valuation adjustments and block discounts are not applied to Level 1 instruments. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment. Examples of assets and liabilities utilizing Level 1 inputs include: exchange-traded equity securities, U.S. Treasury securities, and listed derivatives that are actively traded.

F-10

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Level 2 — Valuations based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in inactive markets, or valuations based on models where the significant inputs are observable (e.g. interest rates, yield curves, prepayment speeds, default rates, loss severities, etc.) or can be corroborated by observable market data. Examples of assets and liabilities utilizing Level 2 inputs include: listed derivatives that are not actively traded; U.S. government-sponsored agency securities; non-U.S. government obligations; corporate and municipal bonds; mortgage-backed securities (“MBS”) and asset-backed securities (“ABS”); short-duration high yield fund, and over-the-counter (“OTC”) derivatives (e.g. foreign currency options and forward contracts).

Level 3 — Valuations based on models where significant inputs are not observable. The unobservable inputs reflect our own assumptions about assumptions that market participants would use. Examples of assets and liabilities utilizing Level 3 inputs include: insurance and reinsurance derivative contracts; hedge and credit funds with partial transparency; and collateralized loan obligation (“CLO”) — equity tranche securities that are traded in less liquid markets.

The availability of observable inputs can vary from financial instrument to financial instrument and is affected by a wide variety of factors, including, for example, the type of financial instrument, whether the financial instrument is new and not yet established in the marketplace, and other characteristics particular to the transaction. To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires significantly more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by management in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. We use prices and inputs that are current as of the measurement date. In periods of market dislocation, the observability of prices and inputs may be reduced for many instruments. This condition could cause an instrument to be reclassified between levels.

For investments that have quoted market prices in active markets, the Company uses the quoted market prices as fair value and includes these prices in the amounts disclosed in the Level 1 hierarchy. The Company receives the quoted market prices from third party, nationally, recognized pricing services (“pricing service”). When quoted market prices are unavailable, the Company utilizes a pricing service to determine an estimate. The fair value estimates are included in the Level 2 hierarchy. The Company will challenge any prices for its investments which are considered to not represent fair value. If quoted market prices and an estimate from a pricing service are unavailable, the Company produces an estimate of fair value based on dealer quotations for recent activity in positions with the same or similar characteristics to that being valued or through consensus pricing of a pricing service. Depending on the level of observable inputs, the Company will then determine if the estimate is Level 2 or Level 3 hierarchy. The Company bases its estimates of fair values for assets on the bid price as it represents what a third party market participant would be willing to pay in an arm’s length transaction.

Cash and Cash Equivalents — The Company maintains its cash accounts in several banks and brokerage institutions. Cash equivalents consist of investments in money market funds and short-term investments with an original maturity of 90 days or less and are stated at cost, which approximates fair value. Restricted cash and cash equivalents are separately reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Accordingly, changes in restricted cash and cash equivalents are reported as an investing activity in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The Company maintains certain cash and investments in Trust accounts to be used primarily as collateral for unearned premiums and loss and loss adjustment expenses reserves owed to insureds. The Company is required to maintain minimum balances in these accounts based on pre-determined formulas. See Note 5(e) for additional details.





Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

**Premiums and Related Costs** — For pro-rata contracts and excess-of-loss contracts where no deposit or minimum premium is specified in the contract, written premium is recognized based on estimates of ultimate premiums provided by the ceding companies. Initial estimates of written premium are recognized in the period in which the underlying risks are incepted. Subsequent adjustments, based on reports of actual premium by the ceding companies, or revisions in estimates, are recorded in the period in which they are determined. Reinsurance premiums assumed are generally earned on a pro-rata basis over the terms of the underlying policies or reinsurance contracts. Contracts and policies written on a “losses occurring” basis cover claims that may occur during the term of the contract or policy, which is typically 12 months. Accordingly, the premium is earned evenly over the term. Contracts which are written on a “risks attaching” basis cover claims which attach to the underlying insurance policies written during the terms of such contracts. Premiums earned on such contracts usually extend beyond the original term of the reinsurance contract, typically resulting in recognition of premiums earned over a 24-month period. Reinsurance premiums on specialty risk and extended warranty are earned based on the estimated program coverage period. These estimates are based on the expected distribution of coverage periods by contract at inception, because a single contract may contain multiple coverage period options and these estimates are revised based on the actual coverage period selected by the original insured. Unearned premiums represent the portion of premiums written which is applicable to the unexpired term of the contract or policy in force. These premiums can be subject to estimates based upon information received from ceding companies and any subsequent differences arising on such estimates are recorded in the period in which they are determined.

The unearned portion of reinsurance purchased by the Company (retrocession or reinsurance premiums ceded) is reported as prepaid reinsurance premiums and amortized over the contract period in proportion to the amount of insurance protection provided. The ultimate amount of premiums, including adjustments, is recognized as premiums ceded, and amortized over the applicable contract period to which they apply. Reserves are established for the earned portion of premiums ceded and recorded as an asset called reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses. Premiums earned are reported net of reinsurance in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Assumed and ceded reinsurance contracts that lack a significant transfer of risk are treated as deposits.

Acquisition expenses represent the costs of writing business that vary with, and are primarily related to, the production of insurance and reinsurance business. Policy and contract acquisition expenses, including assumed commissions and other direct operating expenses that are related to successful contracts are deferred and recognized as expense as related premiums are earned. The Company considers anticipated investment income in determining the recoverability of these costs and believes they are fully recoverable. A premium deficiency is recognized if the sum of anticipated losses and loss adjustment expenses, unamortized acquisition expenses and anticipated investment income exceed unearned premium.

**Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses Incurred** — Loss and loss adjustment expenses (“LAE”) represent the estimated ultimate net costs of all reported and unreported losses incurred through December 31. The reserve for loss and LAE is estimated using individual case-basis valuations and statistical analysis and is not discounted. Although considerable variability is inherent in the estimates of reserves for loss and LAE, management believes that the reserve for loss and LAE is adequate. In estimating reserves, the Company utilizes a variety of standard actuarial methods. The estimates are continually reviewed and adjusted as necessary as experience develops or new information becomes known. Such adjustments are included in current operations.

Capital Assets — Capital assets are recorded at cost. Maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred. Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets, as follows:

|                                 |             |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Furniture and fixtures          | 3 – 7 years |
| Computer equipment and software | 3 years     |
| Vehicles                        | 3 years     |
| Leasehold improvements          | Lease term  |

F-12

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

**Business Combinations, Goodwill and Intangible Assets** — A purchase price that is in excess of the fair value of the net assets acquired arising from a business combination is recorded as goodwill, and is not amortized. Other intangible assets with a finite life are amortized over the estimated useful life of the asset. Other intangible assets with an indefinite useful life are not amortized.

Goodwill and other indefinite life intangible assets are tested for impairment on an annual basis or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Definite life intangible assets are reviewed for indicators of impairment on an annual basis or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable, and tested for impairment if appropriate. For purposes of the annual impairment evaluation, goodwill is assigned to the applicable reporting unit of the acquired entities giving rise to the goodwill.

The Company has established October 1 as the date for performing its annual impairment tests. If goodwill or other intangible assets are impaired, they are written down to their estimated fair values with a corresponding loss reflected in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income.

**Non Controlling Interests** — The Company accounts for its noncontrolling interest in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 810 "Consolidations", and presents such noncontrolling shareholders' interest in the equity section of the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests is presented separately in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income.

**Income Taxes** — The Company accounts for income taxes using FASB ASC Topic 740 "Income Taxes" for its subsidiaries operating in taxable jurisdictions. Deferred income taxes reflect the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and amounts used for income tax purposes. A valuation allowance is recorded if it is more likely than not that some or all of a deferred tax asset may not be realized. The Company considers future taxable income and feasible tax planning strategies in assessing the need for a valuation allowance. In the event the Company determines that it will not be able to realize all or part of its deferred income tax assets in the future, an adjustment to the deferred income tax assets would be charged to income in the period in which such determination is made. In addition, if the Company subsequently assesses that the valuation allowance is no longer needed, a benefit would be recorded to income in the period in which such determination is made. U.S. GAAP allows for the recognition of tax benefits of uncertain tax positions only where the position is more likely than not to be sustained assuming examination by tax authorities. A liability is established for any tax benefit claimed in a tax return in excess of this threshold. Income tax related interest and penalties are included as income tax expense.

**Share Compensation Expense** — The Company recognizes the compensation expense for share option grants, based on the fair value of the award on the date of grant, over the vesting period, which is the requisite service period. The fair value of the grant will be amortized ratably over its vesting period as a charge to compensation expense and an increase to additional paid in capital in Shareholders' Equity.

**Earnings Per Share** — Basic earnings per share are computed based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Dilutive earnings per share are computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period adjusted for the dilutive impact of share options using the treasury stock method.

**Treasury Shares** — Treasury shares are common shares repurchased by the Company and not subsequently cancelled. These shares are recorded at cost and result in a reduction of our shareholders' equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

**Foreign Currency Transactions** — The functional currency of the Company and many of its subsidiaries is the U.S. dollar. For these companies, we translate monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies at year-end exchange rates, with the resulting foreign exchange gains and losses recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Revenues and expenses in foreign currencies are converted at average exchange rates during the year. Monetary assets and liabilities include investments, cash and cash equivalents, reinsurance balances receivable, reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses and accrued expenses and other liabilities. Accounts that are classified as non-monetary, such as deferred commission and other acquisition expenses and unearned premiums, are not revalued.

F-13

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Assets and liabilities of subsidiaries and divisions, whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar, are translated at prevailing year-end exchange rates. Revenues and expenses of such foreign entities are translated at average exchange rates during the year. The effects of the translation adjustments for foreign entities are included in accumulated other comprehensive income. The amount of cumulative translation adjustment as of December 31, 2012 was \$(2,535) (2011 - \$322).

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards Updates

Transfers and Servicing: Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreement

In April 2011, the FASB amended its guidance on accounting for repurchase agreements. The amendments simplify the accounting by eliminating the requirement that the transferor demonstrate it has adequate collateral to fund substantially all the cost of purchasing replacement assets. Under the amended guidance, a transferor maintains effective control over transferred financial assets (and thus accounts for the transfer as a secured borrowing) if there is an agreement that both entitles and obligates the transferor to repurchase the financial assets before maturity and if all of the following conditions previously required are met; (i) financial assets to be repurchased or redeemed are the same or substantially the same as those transferred, (ii) repurchase or redemption date before maturity at a fixed or determinable price, and (iii) the agreement is entered into contemporaneously with, or in contemplation of, the transfer. As a result, more arrangements could be accounted for as secured borrowings rather than sales. The updated guidance is effective on a prospective basis for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011, but early adoption was prohibited. The adoption of this guidance did not have any effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS

In May 2011, the FASB issued updated guidance that addresses the objective of the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") to develop common requirements for measuring and for disclosing information about fair value measurements with U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). The FASB and the IASB worked together to ensure that fair value has the same meaning in U.S. GAAP and IFRS and that their respective fair value measurement and disclosure requirements are the same (except for minor differences in wording and style). The FASB and the IASB concluded that this guidance will improve comparability of fair value measurements presented and disclosed in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The guidance explains how to measure fair value. This updated guidance does not require additional fair value measurements and are not intended to establish valuation standards or affect valuation practices outside of financial reporting. The updated guidance is effective during interim and annual periods after December 15, 2011. Early application was not permitted. The adoption of this guidance did not have any effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

Presentation of Comprehensive Income

In June 2011, the FASB issued updated guidance, Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2011-05, to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income by eliminating the option of presenting components of comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholders' equity. The updated guidance requires that

all non-owner changes in shareholders' equity be presented either as a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. Under this guidance, an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The updated guidance is to be applied retrospectively and is effective January 1, 2012, except for the provision requiring entities to present components of reclassifications of other comprehensive income on the face of the income statement, which the FASB voted to defer indefinitely during the fourth quarter of 2011. Early adoption was permitted. The adoption of this guidance resulted in a change in the presentation of the Company's financial statements but did not have any impact on the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

F-14

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts

In October 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-26, which modifies the definition of the types of costs incurred by insurance entities that can be capitalized in the acquisition of new or renewal insurance contracts. The amended guidance specifies that certain costs incurred in the successful acquisition of new and renewal insurance contracts should be capitalized. Those costs include incremental direct costs of contract acquisition that result directly from and are essential to the contract transaction and would not have been incurred had the contract transaction not occurred. All other acquisition-related costs, such as costs incurred for soliciting business, administration, and unsuccessful acquisition or renewal efforts should be charged to expense as incurred. Administrative costs, including rent, depreciation, occupancy, equipment, and all other general overhead costs are considered indirect costs and should also be charged to expense as incurred. ASU 2010-26 is effective for fiscal periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011 with prospective or retrospective application permitted. The Company applied the new provisions of ASU 2010-26 prospectively. As a result of adopting ASU 2010-26, commission and other acquisition expenses have increased by \$2,033 and net income attributable to Maiden shareholders decreased by the same amount for the year ended December 31, 2012. The impact of the change on basic and diluted earnings per common share is a decrease of \$0.03 for the year ended December 31, 2012. The application of the new provisions means that \$2,614 of unamortized deferred commission and other acquisition expenses as of January 1, 2012, that had been deferred under prior guidance, would not have been deferrable and would have been recognized as an expense over the original amortization period. If the Company had followed ASU 2010-26 in 2011, commission and other acquisition expenses would have increased by \$2,614 for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Intangibles - Goodwill and Other: Testing Goodwill for Impairment

In September 2011, the FASB issued updated guidance on goodwill impairment that gives companies the option to perform a qualitative assessment that may allow them to skip the annual two-step test and reduce costs. Under the new guidance, an entity has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. The FASB provided a sample list of events and circumstances that an entity can consider in performing its qualitative assessment. Under the amended guidance, an entity has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment and proceed directly to performing the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test and may resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period. The amendments are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption was permitted. The annual impairment test is done during the fourth quarter and the adoption of this guidance did not have any effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

Technical Corrections and Improvements

In October 2012, FASB issued ASU 2012-04, Technical Corrections and Improvements. The amendments in this ASU represent changes to clarify the Codification, correct unintended application of guidance, or make minor improvements to the Codification that are not expected to have a significant effect on current accounting practice or create a significant administrative cost to most entities. Additionally, the amendments will make the Codification

easier to understand and the fair value measurement guidance easier to apply by eliminating inconsistencies and providing needed clarifications. Transition guidance is provided for amendments the FASB believes could change practice. The amendments in this ASU that will not have transition guidance will be effective upon issuance for both public and nonpublic entities. For public entities, the amendments that are subject to the transition guidance will be effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of this guidance did not have any effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

F-15

---



Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Recently Issued Accounting Standards Updates Not Yet Adopted

Qualitative Impairment Test For Indefinite-Lived Intangibles

On July 27, 2012, the FASB issued final guidance adding an optional qualitative assessment for determining whether an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. This ASU 2012-02 is similar to last year's goodwill guidance which allows companies to perform a qualitative assessment to test goodwill for impairment. This guidance gives companies the option to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not (a likelihood of more than 50%) that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. If a company determines that it is more likely than not that the fair value of such asset exceeds its carrying amount, it would not need to calculate the fair value of the asset in that year. However, if a company concludes otherwise, it must calculate the fair value of the asset, compare that value with its carrying amount and record an impairment charge, if any. To perform a qualitative assessment, a company must identify and evaluate changes in economic, industry and company-specific events and circumstances that could affect the significant inputs used to determine the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset. The guidance is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have any effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

Balance Sheet Offsetting

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11 requiring additional disclosures about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either: (1) offset for balance sheet presentation purposes or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar arrangement, regardless of whether they are offset for balance sheet presentation purposes. In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01 to address implementation issues about the scope of ASU 2011-11. This new guidance clarifies that the scope, of the offsetting disclosures required, applies to derivatives accounted for in accordance with ASC 815 Derivatives and Hedging, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities lending transactions. This guidance will be effective on January 1, 2013, with retrospective presentation of the new disclosures required. As this new guidance is disclosure-related only and does not amend the existing balance sheet offsetting guidance, the adoption of this guidance is not expected to have an impact on our results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

Comprehensive Income - Reporting of amounts reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, which adds new disclosure requirements for items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The ASU expands the current disclosure guidance by requiring entities to present separately, for each component of other comprehensive income, current period reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income and other amounts of current period other comprehensive income. In addition, the ASU requires entities to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes to the financial statements, significant amounts reclassified out of other comprehensive income by component of accumulated other comprehensive income. The new disclosure requirements are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2012. Early adoption of the guidance is permitted and shall be applied prospectively. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have an impact on our

results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

### 3. Segment Information

The Company currently operates three business segments, Diversified Reinsurance, AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance and the ACAC Quota Share. The Company evaluates segment performance based on segment profit separately from the results of our investment portfolio. Other operating expenses allocated to the segments are called General and Administrative expenses which are allocated on an actual basis except salaries and benefits where management's judgment is applied; the Company does not allocate general corporate expenses to the segments. In determining total assets by segment the Company identifies those assets that are attributable to a particular segment such as reinsurance balances receivable, funds withheld, prepaid reinsurance premiums, reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses, deferred commission and other acquisition expenses, loans, goodwill and intangible assets, restricted cash and cash equivalents and investments. All remaining assets are allocated to Corporate.

F-16

---

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 3. Segment Information (continued)

The fee-generating business (“IIS Fee Business”) associated with the acquisition of certain companies, businesses and assets comprising the international insurance services business of GMAC Insurance Holdings Ltd. (the “IIS Acquisition”), which is included in the Diversified Reinsurance segment, is considered part of the underwriting operations of the Company. Certain portions of the IIS Fee Business are directly associated with the underlying reinsurance contracts recorded in the Diversified Reinsurance segment. To the extent that the fees are generated on underlying insurance contracts sold to third parties that are then ceded under quota share reinsurance contracts to Maiden Bermuda, a proportionate share of the fee is offset against the related acquisition expense. To the extent that IIS Fee Business is not directly associated with premium revenue generated under the applicable reinsurance contracts, that fee revenue is separately reported on the line captioned “Other insurance revenue” in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income.

The following tables summarize the underwriting results of our operating segments:

| For the Year Ended December 31, 2012                                    | Diversified Reinsurance | AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance | ACAC Quota Share | Total       |   |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|---|
| Net premiums written  | \$765,293               | \$840,346                       | \$295,646        | \$1,901,285 |   |
| Net premiums earned   | \$795,341               | \$727,781                       | \$280,658        | \$1,803,780 |   |
| Other insurance revenue   | 12,890                  | —                               | —                | 12,890      |   |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expenses                                   | (583,970)               | (494,633)                       | (183,745)        | (1,262,348) | ) |
| Commission and other acquisition expenses                               | (203,209)               | (200,546)                       | (88,276)         | (492,031)   | ) |
| General and administrative expenses                                     | (40,951)                | (1,949)                         | (737)            | (43,637)    | ) |
| Underwriting (loss) income  | \$(19,899)              | \$30,653                        | \$7,900          | 18,654      |   |
| Reconciliation to net income attributable to Maiden common shareholders |                         |                                 |                  |             |   |
| Net investment income and realized and unrealized gains on investments  |                         |                                 |                  | 83,095      |   |
| Amortization of intangible assets                                       |                         |                                 |                  | (4,362)     | ) |
| Foreign exchange gains  |                         |                                 |                  | 1,638       |   |
| Interest and amortization expenses                                      |                         |                                 |                  | (36,384)    | ) |
| Other general and administrative expenses                               |                         |                                 |                  | (10,167)    | ) |
| Income tax expense  |                         |                                 |                  | (2,213)     | ) |
| Income attributable to noncontrolling interest                          |                         |                                 |                  | (107)       | ) |
| Dividends on preference shares  |                         |                                 |                  | (3,644)     | ) |
| Net income attributable to Maiden common shareholders                   |                         |                                 |                  | \$46,510    |   |
| Net loss and loss expense ratio*  | 72.3                    | % 68.0                          | % 65.5           | % 69.5      | % |
| Commission and other acquisition expense ratio**                        | 25.1                    | % 27.6                          | % 31.5           | % 27.1      | % |
| General and administrative expense ratio***                             | 5.1                     | % 0.2                           | % 0.2            | % 2.9       | % |
| Combined ratio****  | 102.5                   | % 95.8                          | % 97.2           | % 99.5      | % |



Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 3. Segment Information (continued)

| For the Year Ended December 31, 2011  | Diversified<br>Reinsurance | AmTrust Quota<br>Share<br>Reinsurance | ACAC<br>Quota Share | Total       |   |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|---|
| Net premiums written  | \$798,037                  | \$669,283                             | \$256,201           | \$1,723,521 |   |
| Net premiums earned   | \$748,387                  | \$558,197                             | \$245,844           | \$1,552,428 |   |
| Other insurance revenue   | 12,640                     | —                                     | —                   | 12,640      |   |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expenses   | (502,375)                  | (380,263)                             | (160,416)           | (1,043,054) | ) |
| Commission and other acquisition expenses   | (200,239)                  | (160,522)                             | (78,051)            | (438,812)   | ) |
| General and administrative expenses   | (36,374)                   | (2,283)                               | (1,635)             | (40,292)    | ) |
| Underwriting income   | \$22,039                   | \$15,129                              | \$5,742             | 42,910      |   |
| Reconciliation to net income attributable to<br>Maiden common shareholders            |                            |                                       |                     |             |   |
| Net investment income and realized<br>and unrealized gains on investments             |                            |                                       |                     | 75,372      |   |
| Amortization of intangible assets   |                            |                                       |                     | (5,033)     | ) |
| Foreign exchange gains  |                            |                                       |                     | 323         |   |
| Interest and amortization expenses  |                            |                                       |                     | (34,155)    | ) |
| Accelerated amortization of junior<br>subordinated debt discount and issuance<br>cost |                            |                                       |                     | (20,313)    | ) |
| Junior subordinated debt repurchase<br>expense  |                            |                                       |                     | (15,050)    | ) |
| Other general and administrative expenses   |                            |                                       |                     | (13,600)    | ) |
| Income tax expense  |                            |                                       |                     | (1,927)     | ) |
| Income attributable to noncontrolling<br>interest                                     |                            |                                       |                     | (3)         | ) |
| Net income attributable to Maiden common<br>shareholders                              |                            |                                       |                     | \$28,524    |   |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio*   | 66.0                       | % 68.1                                | % 65.3              | % 66.6      | % |
| Commission and other acquisition expense<br>ratio**                                   | 26.3                       | % 28.8                                | % 31.7              | % 28.0      | % |
| General and administrative expense<br>ratio***  | 4.8                        | % 0.4                                 | % 0.7               | % 3.5       | % |
| Combined ratio****  | 97.1                       | % 97.3                                | % 97.7              | % 98.1      | % |

F-18

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 3. Segment Information (continued)

| For the Year Ended December 31, 2010                                    | Diversified<br>Reinsurance | AmTrust Quota<br>Share<br>Reinsurance | ACAC<br>Quota Share | Total       |   |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|---|
| Net premiums written  | \$554,049                  | \$468,043                             | \$205,739           | \$1,227,831 |   |
| Net premiums earned   | \$601,254                  | \$445,081                             | \$123,455           | \$1,169,790 |   |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expenses                                   | (394,604 )                 | (280,890 )                            | (79,628 )           | (755,122 )  |   |
| Commission and other acquisition expenses                               | (152,698 )                 | (144,655 )                            | (39,344 )           | (336,697 )  |   |
| General and administrative expenses                                     | (26,123 )                  | (1,500 )                              | (243 )              | (27,866 )   |   |
| Underwriting income   | \$27,829                   | \$18,036                              | \$4,240             | 50,105      |   |
| Reconciliation to net income attributable to Maiden common shareholders |                            |                                       |                     |             |   |
| Net investment income and realized and unrealized gains on investments  |                            |                                       |                     | 78,255      |   |
| Amortization of intangible assets                                       |                            |                                       |                     | (5,808 )    |   |
| Foreign exchange losses   |                            |                                       |                     | (580 )      |   |
| Interest and amortization expenses                                      |                            |                                       |                     | (36,466 )   |   |
| Other general and administrative expenses                               |                            |                                       |                     | (14,314 )   |   |
| Income tax expense  |                            |                                       |                     | (1,330 )    |   |
| Loss attributable to noncontrolling interest                            |                            |                                       |                     | 4           |   |
| Net income attributable to Maiden common shareholders                   |                            |                                       |                     | \$69,866    |   |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio*                             | 65.6                       | % 63.1                                | % 64.5              | % 64.6      | % |
| Commission and other acquisition expense ratio**                        | 25.4                       | % 32.5                                | % 31.9              | % 28.8      | % |
| General and administrative expense ratio***                             | 4.4                        | % 0.3                                 | % 0.2               | % 3.5       | % |
| Combined ratio****  | 95.4                       | % 95.9                                | % 96.6              | % 96.9      | % |

\* Calculated by dividing net loss and loss adjustment expenses by the sum of net premiums earned and other insurance revenue.

\*\* Calculated by dividing commission and other acquisition expenses by the sum of net premiums earned and other insurance revenue.

\*\*\* Calculated by dividing general and administrative expenses by the sum of net premiums earned and other insurance revenue.

\*\*\*\* Calculated by adding together net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio, commission and other acquisition expense ratio and general and administrative expense ratio.

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 3. Segment Information (continued)

The following table summarizes the financial position of our operating segments as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

|  | Diversified<br>Reinsurance | AmTrust<br>Quota Share<br>Reinsurance | ACAC<br>Quota Share | Total       |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| December 31, 2012                                    |                            |                                       |                     |             |
| Reinsurance balances receivable, net                 | \$260,161                  | \$170,983                             | \$91,470            | \$522,614   |
| Funds withheld                                       | 42,712                     | —                                     | —                   | 42,712      |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums                         | 38,725                     | —                                     | —                   | 38,725      |
| Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses             | 110,858                    | —                                     | —                   | 110,858     |
| Deferred commission and other acquisition expenses   | 83,287                     | 153,530                               | 33,852              | 270,669     |
| Loan to related party                                | —                          | 167,975                               | —                   | 167,975     |
| Goodwill and intangible assets, net                  | 94,393                     | —                                     | —                   | 94,393      |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents and investments | 1,219,454                  | 857,013                               | 90,851              | 2,167,318   |
| Corporate and other assets                           | 5,864                      | —                                     | —                   | 722,899     |
| Total Assets   | \$1,855,454                | \$1,349,501                           | \$216,173           | \$4,138,163 |
| December 31, 2011                                    |                            |                                       |                     |             |
| Reinsurance balances receivable, net                 | \$244,610                  | \$102,003                             | \$76,742            | \$423,355   |
| Funds withheld                                       | 42,605                     | —                                     | —                   | 42,605      |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums                         | 35,381                     | —                                     | —                   | 35,381      |
| Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses             | 20,289                     | —                                     | —                   | 20,289      |
| Deferred commission and other acquisition expenses   | 98,712                     | 120,369                               | 29,355              | 248,436     |
| Loan to related party                                | —                          | 167,975                               | —                   | 167,975     |
| Goodwill and intangible assets, net                  | 98,755                     | —                                     | —                   | 98,755      |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents and investments | 1,063,010                  | 461,216                               | 62,017              | 1,586,243   |
| Corporate and other assets                           | 2,429                      | —                                     | —                   | 772,072     |
| Total Assets   | \$1,605,791                | \$851,563                             | \$168,114           | \$3,395,111 |

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 3. Segment Information (continued)

The following table shows an analysis of the Company's gross and net premiums written and net premiums earned by geographic location for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. In case of business assumed from AmTrust Financial Services, Inc. ("AmTrust"), it is the location of the relevant AmTrust subsidiaries.

| For the Year Ended December 31,                       | 2012        | 2011        | 2010        |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Gross premiums written – North America                | \$1,575,452 | \$1,400,114 | \$1,098,672 |
| Gross premiums written – Other (predominantly Europe) | 425,540     | 412,483     | 199,383     |
| Net premiums written – North America                  | 1,481,076   | 1,317,265   | 1,028,518   |
| Net premiums written – Other (predominantly Europe)   | 420,209     | 406,256     | 199,313     |
| Net premiums earned – North America                   | 1,413,596   | 1,194,628   | 1,038,859   |
| Net premiums earned – Other (predominantly Europe)    | 390,184     | 357,800     | 130,931     |

The following tables set forth financial information relating to net premiums written by major line of business for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

| For the Year Ended December 31,       | 2012        |            | 2011          |            | 2010          |            |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|
|                                       | Total       | % of Total | Total         | % of Total | Total         | % of Total |
| Net premiums written                  |             |            |               |            |               |            |
| Diversified Reinsurance               |             |            |               |            |               |            |
| Property                              | \$190,125   | 10.0       | % \$207,993   | 12.1       | % \$168,919   | 13.8       |
| Casualty                              | 433,307     | 22.8       | % 441,666     | 25.6       | % 311,852     | 25.4       |
| Accident and Health                   | 37,244      | 2.0        | % 42,604      | 2.5        | % 43,658      | 3.5        |
| International                         | 104,617     | 5.5        | % 105,774     | 6.1        | % 29,620      | 2.4        |
| Total Diversified Reinsurance         | 765,293     | 40.3       | % 798,037     | 46.3       | % 554,049     | 45.1       |
| AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance       |             |            |               |            |               |            |
| Small Commercial Business             | 364,123     | 19.2       | % 237,560     | 13.8       | % 197,097     | 16.0       |
| Specialty Program                     | 95,902      | 5.0        | % 93,701      | 5.4        | % 73,881      | 6.0        |
| Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty  | 380,321     | 20.0       | % 338,022     | 19.6       | % 197,065     | 16.1       |
| Total AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance | 840,346     | 44.2       | % 669,283     | 38.8       | % 468,043     | 38.1       |
| ACAC Quota Share                      |             |            |               |            |               |            |
| Automobile Liability                  | 159,861     | 8.4        | % 147,362     | 8.6        | % 117,962     | 9.6        |
| Automobile Physical Damage            | 135,785     | 7.1        | % 108,839     | 6.3        | % 87,777      | 7.2        |
| Total ACAC Quota Share                | 295,646     | 15.5       | % 256,201     | 14.9       | % 205,739     | 16.8       |
|                                       | \$1,901,285 | 100.0      | % \$1,723,521 | 100.0      | % \$1,227,831 | 100.0      |



Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 3. Segment Information (continued)

The following tables set forth financial information relating to net premiums earned by major line of business for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

| For the Year Ended December 31,       | 2012        |            | 2011          |            | 2010          |            |   |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|---|
|                                       | Total       | % of Total | Total         | % of Total | Total         | % of Total |   |
| Net premiums earned                   |             |            |               |            |               |            |   |
| Diversified Reinsurance               |             |            |               |            |               |            |   |
| Property                              | \$211,997   | 11.7       | % \$196,947   | 12.7       | % \$176,538   | 15.1       | % |
| Casualty                              | 444,775     | 24.7       | % 395,533     | 25.5       | % 356,389     | 30.5       | % |
| Accident and Health                   | 41,968      | 2.3        | % 43,210      | 2.8        | % 62,954      | 5.4        | % |
| International                         | 96,601      | 5.4        | % 112,697     | 7.3        | % 5,373       | 0.5        | % |
| Total Diversified Reinsurance         | 795,341     | 44.1       | % 748,387     | 48.3       | % 601,254     | 51.5       | % |
| AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance       |             |            |               |            |               |            |   |
| Small Commercial Business             | 313,110     | 17.3       | % 215,941     | 13.9       | % 202,716     | 17.3       | % |
| Specialty Program                     | 85,812      | 4.8        | % 81,281      | 5.2        | % 71,596      | 6.1        | % |
| Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty  | 328,859     | 18.2       | % 260,975     | 16.8       | % 170,769     | 14.6       | % |
| Total AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance | 727,781     | 40.3       | % 558,197     | 35.9       | % 445,081     | 38.0       | % |
| ACAC Quota Share                      |             |            |               |            |               |            |   |
| Automobile Liability                  | 155,266     | 8.6        | % 141,173     | 9.1        | % 69,444      | 5.9        | % |
| Automobile Physical Damage            | 125,392     | 7.0        | % 104,671     | 6.7        | % 54,011      | 4.6        | % |
| Total ACAC Quota Share                | 280,658     | 15.6       | % 245,844     | 15.8       | % 123,455     | 10.5       | % |
|                                       | \$1,803,780 | 100.0      | % \$1,552,428 | 100.0      | % \$1,169,790 | 100.0      | % |

## 4. Acquisitions

## a) IIS Acquisition

On November 30, 2010, the Company completed its IIS Acquisition, including renewal rights on nearly \$100 million of predominantly personal auto quota share reinsurance as well as the supporting business development subsidiaries in Europe. The transaction includes the assumption of more than \$100 million of loss reserves and net unearned premiums as well as the corresponding assets, and was funded through existing cash. The businesses primarily focus on providing branded auto and auto-related insurance products through its insurer partners to retail customers in the European Union and other global markets.

The IIS Acquisition also includes the acquisition of GMAC Life Försäkrings AB ("GMAC LF"), a life insurance company organized under the laws of Sweden which writes credit life insurance on a primary basis. GMAC LF was renamed Maiden LF.

Under the terms of the acquisition, the Company acquired 100% of the share capital and net assets of GMAC LF, GMAC Australia (Finance) Limited, GMAC VersicherungsService GmbH (subsequently renamed Opel Händler VersicherungsService GmbH ("OVS")) following a cooperation agreement being entered into with VDOH

Wirtschaftsdienst GmbH (“Opel Dealer Association”) in Germany and the German auto manufacturer Opel in exchange for a 10% interest in OVS) and 60% of the share capital and net assets of GMAC VersicherungsService GmbH Austria. The agreement provided for a base purchase cost of \$4,000 adjustable based on the renewal premium written net of commissions over the next three years plus an amount equal to the net assets of the acquired entities.

F-22

---

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 4. Acquisitions (continued)

The Company finalized the purchase price allocation in 2011 which resulted in a total consideration of \$21,632 (2010 estimate - \$22,274). The Company recorded goodwill of \$5,695 (2010 estimate - \$5,812) and incurred non-recurring acquisition expenses of \$1,841 as a result of the acquisition. The results of the operations have been included in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements since the acquisition date. The cost of acquisition was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on estimated fair values as of the acquisition date, with the amount exceeding the fair value recorded as goodwill.

The fair value of the net assets acquired is summarized as follows:

|   | 2011 Actual | 2010 Estimate |
|---|-------------|---------------|
| Cash  | \$ 14,971   | \$ 15,595     |
| Restricted cash                               | 450         | 469           |
| Fixed maturity investments                    | 13,480      | 13,999        |
| Other assets                                  | 303         | 191           |
| Goodwill                                      | 5,695       | 5,812         |
| Assets acquired                               | 34,899      | 36,066        |
| Reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses | 4,739       | 3,192         |
| Unearned premiums                             | 5,454       | 5,665         |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities        | 3,074       | 4,935         |
| Liabilities acquired                          | 13,267      | 13,792        |
| Total purchase price                          | \$ 21,632   | \$ 22,274     |

In conjunction with the IIS Acquisition on November 30, 2010, Maiden Bermuda and GMAC International Insurance Company Ltd. ("GMAC International Insurance") entered into a loss portfolio transfer and quota share reinsurance agreement under which Maiden Bermuda reinsured 100% of the existing contracts written by GMAC International Insurance in respect of the businesses acquired in the IIS Acquisition. As a result of the agreement, Maiden Bermuda assumed estimated liabilities of \$122,574. The loss portfolio transfer and quota share reinsurance agreement required Maiden Bermuda to fund the existing funds withheld collateral arrangements of GMAC International Insurance and its cedants. The initial funds withheld collateral requirements were \$140,520 and Maiden Bermuda transferred cash on closing in the amount of \$26,204 in order to meet such collateral requirements.

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 5. Investments

## a) Fixed Maturities and Other Investments

The original or amortized cost, estimated fair value and gross unrealized gains and losses of available-for-sale fixed maturities and other investments as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, are as follows:

| December 31, 2012                         | Original or<br>amortized<br>cost | Gross<br>unrealized<br>gains | Gross<br>unrealized<br>losses | Fair value    |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Available-for-sale securities:            |                                  |                              |                               |               |
| U.S. treasury bonds                       | \$42,671                         | \$1,260                      | \$—                           | \$43,931      |
| U.S. agency bonds – mortgage-backed       | 962,649                          | 30,998                       | (1,473                        | ) 992,174     |
| U.S. agency bonds – other                 | 11,682                           | 1,407                        | —                             | 13,089        |
| Non-U.S. government bonds                 | 55,169                           | 2,264                        | —                             | 57,433        |
| Other mortgage-backed bonds               | 23,167                           | 901                          | —                             | 24,068        |
| Corporate bonds                           | 1,247,260                        | 113,386                      | (6,492                        | ) 1,354,154   |
| Municipal bonds                           | 132,604                          | 1,244                        | —                             | 133,848       |
| Total available-for-sale fixed maturities | 2,475,202                        | 151,460                      | (7,965                        | ) 2,618,697   |
| Other investments                         | 2,599                            | 353                          | (51                           | ) 2,901       |
| Total investments                         | \$2,477,801                      | \$151,813                    | \$(8,016                      | ) \$2,621,598 |
|   |                                  |                              |                               |               |
| December 31, 2011                         | Original or<br>amortized<br>cost | Gross<br>unrealized<br>gains | Gross<br>unrealized<br>losses | Fair value    |
| Available-for-sale securities:            |                                  |                              |                               |               |
| U.S. treasury bonds                       | \$44,175                         | \$1,774                      | \$—                           | \$45,949      |
| U.S. agency bonds – mortgage-backed       | 928,944                          | 43,230                       | (75                           | ) 972,099     |
| U.S. agency bonds – other                 | 10,374                           | 622                          | —                             | 10,996        |
| Non-U.S. government bonds                 | 52,489                           | 78                           | (293                          | ) 52,274      |
| Other mortgage-backed bonds               | 9,919                            | 1                            | —                             | 9,920         |
| Corporate bonds                           | 742,867                          | 47,726                       | (30,236                       | ) 760,357     |
| Municipal bonds                           | 168,338                          | 728                          | —                             | 169,066       |
| Total available-for-sale fixed maturities | 1,957,106                        | 94,159                       | (30,604                       | ) 2,020,661   |
| Other investments                         | 1,955                            | 318                          | (81                           | ) 2,192       |
| Total investments                         | \$1,959,061                      | \$94,477                     | \$(30,685                     | ) \$2,022,853 |

The contractual maturities of our fixed maturities are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 5. Investments (continued)

| December 31, 2012                      | Amortized cost | Fair value  | % of Total fair value |   |
|--|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|---|
| Maturity                               |                |             |                       |   |
| Due in one year or less                | \$57,675       | \$58,672    | 2.2                   | % |
| Due after one year through five years  | 373,208        | 387,882     | 14.8                  | % |
| Due after five years through ten years | 888,694        | 981,504     | 37.5                  | % |
| Due after ten years                    | 169,809        | 174,397     | 6.7                   | % |
|  | 1,489,386      | 1,602,455   | 61.2                  | % |
| U.S. agency bonds - mortgage-backed    | 962,649        | 992,174     | 37.9                  | % |
| Other mortgage-backed bonds            | 23,167         | 24,068      | 0.9                   | % |
| Total                                  | \$2,475,202    | \$2,618,697 | 100.0                 | % |

The following tables summarize fixed maturities in an unrealized loss position and the aggregate fair value and gross unrealized loss by length of time the security has continuously been in an unrealized loss position:

| December 31, 2012  | Less than 12 Months |                   | 12 Months or More |                   | Total      |                   |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
|  | Fair value          | Unrealized losses | Fair value        | Unrealized losses | Fair value | Unrealized losses |
| Available-for-sale securities:   |                     |                   |                   |                   |            |                   |
| U.S. agency bonds – mortgage-backed  | \$158,591           | \$(1,473 )        | \$—               | \$—               | \$158,591  | \$(1,473 )        |
| Corporate bonds  | 94,742              | (1,098 )          | 141,842           | (5,394 )          | 236,584    | (6,492 )          |
|  | 253,333             | (2,571 )          | 141,842           | (5,394 )          | 395,175    | (7,965 )          |
| Other investments  | —                   | —                 | 2,011             | (51 )             | 2,011      | (51 )             |
| Total temporarily impaired available-for-sale securities and other investments | \$253,333           | \$(2,571 )        | \$143,853         | \$(5,445 )        | \$397,186  | \$(8,016 )        |

As of December 31, 2012, there were approximately 32 securities in an unrealized loss position with a fair value of \$397,186 and unrealized losses of \$8,016. Of these securities, there are 9 securities that have been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or greater with a fair value of \$143,853 and unrealized losses of \$5,445.

| December 31, 2011  | Less than 12 Months |                   | 12 Months or More |                   | Total      |                   |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
|  | Fair value          | Unrealized losses | Fair value        | Unrealized losses | Fair value | Unrealized losses |
| Available-for-sale securities:   |                     |                   |                   |                   |            |                   |
| U.S. agency bonds – mortgage-backed  | \$30,447            | \$(75 )           | \$—               | \$—               | \$30,447   | \$(75 )           |
| Non-U.S. government bonds  | 43,629              | (293 )            | —                 | —                 | 43,629     | (293 )            |
| Corporate bonds  | 227,367             | (7,406 )          | 125,089           | (22,830 )         | 352,456    | (30,236 )         |
|  | 301,443             | (7,774 )          | 125,089           | (22,830 )         | 426,532    | (30,604 )         |
| Other investments  | 1,214               | (81 )             | —                 | —                 | 1,214      | (81 )             |
| Total temporarily impaired available-for-sale securities and other investments | \$302,657           | \$(7,855 )        | \$125,089         | \$(22,830 )       | \$427,746  | \$(30,685 )       |

As of December 31, 2011, there were approximately 62 securities in an unrealized loss position with a fair value of \$427,746 and unrealized losses of \$30,685. Of these securities, there are 8 securities that have been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or greater with a fair value of \$125,089 and unrealized losses of \$22,830.

F-25

---

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 5. Investments (continued)

## OTTI

We review our investment portfolio for impairment on a quarterly basis. Impairment of investments results in a charge to operations when a fair value decline below cost is deemed to be other-than-temporary. As of December 31, 2012, we reviewed our portfolio to evaluate the necessity of recording impairment losses for other-than-temporary declines in the fair value of investments.

During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company recognized no OTTI. Based on our qualitative and quantitative OTTI review of each investment within our fixed maturity portfolio, unrealized losses on fixed maturities at December 31, 2012, were primarily due to widening of credit spreads relating to the market illiquidity, rather than credit events. Because we do not intend to sell these securities and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell these securities until a recovery of fair value to amortized cost, we currently believe it is probable that we will collect all amounts due according to their respective contractual terms. Therefore, we do not consider these fixed maturities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2012.

The following summarizes the credit ratings of our fixed maturities:

| Rating* as of December 31, 2012 | Amortized<br>cost | Fair<br>value | % of Total<br>fair value |   |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---|
| U.S. treasury bonds             | \$42,671          | \$43,931      | 1.7                      | % |
| U.S. agency bonds               | 974,331           | 1,005,263     | 38.4                     | % |
| AAA                             | 171,136           | 183,950       | 7.0                      | % |
| AA+, AA, AA-                    | 186,495           | 196,797       | 7.5                      | % |
| A+, A, A-                       | 477,236           | 515,383       | 19.7                     | % |
| BBB+, BBB, BBB-                 | 587,858           | 637,089       | 24.3                     | % |
| BB+ or lower                    | 35,475            | 36,284        | 1.4                      | % |
| Total                           | \$2,475,202       | \$2,618,697   | 100.0                    | % |
| Rating* as of December 31, 2011 | Amortized<br>cost | Fair<br>value | % of Total<br>fair value |   |
| U.S. treasury bonds             | \$44,175          | \$45,949      | 2.3                      | % |
| U.S. agency bonds               | 939,318           | 983,095       | 48.6                     | % |
| AAA                             | 160,319           | 161,945       | 8.0                      | % |
| AA+, AA, AA-                    | 150,961           | 153,303       | 7.6                      | % |
| A+, A, A-                       | 327,794           | 328,448       | 16.3                     | % |
| BBB+, BBB, BBB-                 | 316,150           | 330,156       | 16.3                     | % |
| BB+ or lower                    | 18,389            | 17,765        | 0.9                      | % |
| Total                           | \$1,957,106       | \$2,020,661   | 100.0                    | % |

\*Ratings as assigned by S&P

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 5. Investments (continued)

## b) Other Investments

The table below shows our portfolio of other investments:

| December 31,                       | 2012    |       | 2011      |       |   |  |
|------------------------------------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|---|--|
| Investment in limited partnerships | \$2,901 | 100.0 | % \$2,192 | 100.0 | % |  |
| Total other investments            | \$2,901 | 100.0 | % \$2,192 | 100.0 | % |  |

The Company has an unfunded commitment on its investment in limited partnerships of approximately \$3,099 as of December 31, 2012.

## c) Net Investment Income

Net investment income was derived from the following sources:

| For the Year Ended December 31,                                    | 2012     | 2011     | 2010     |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Fixed maturities   | \$79,891 | \$72,050 | \$71,607 |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | 1,439    | 925      | 1,680    |
| Funds withheld   | 1,648    | 4,235    | 407      |
| Loan to related party  | 1,945    | 1,925    | 1,996    |
|  | 84,923   | 79,135   | 75,690   |
| Less:  |          |          |          |
| Investment expenses  | (3,735)  | (3,488)  | (2,992)  |
| Interest expense on securities sold under agreements to repurchase | —        | (756)    | (1,047)  |
| Total  | \$81,188 | \$74,891 | \$71,651 |

## d) Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Investments

Realized gains or losses on the sale of investments are determined on the basis of the first in first out cost method and include any adjustments to the cost basis of investments for declines in value that are considered to be other-than-temporary. The following provides an analysis of net realized and unrealized gains on investment:

| For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 | Gross gains | Gross losses | Net       |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|
| Available-for-sale securities        | \$3,468     | \$(13)       | ) \$3,455 |
| Trading securities and short sales   | —           | (1,592)      | ) (1,592) |
| Other investments                    | 55          | (11)         | ) 44      |
| Net realized gains on investments    | \$3,523     | \$(1,616)    | ) \$1,907 |
| For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 | Gross gains | Gross losses | Net       |
| Available-for-sale securities        | \$5,091     | \$(1,812)    | ) \$3,279 |
| Trading securities and short sales   | 2,709       | (1,902)      | ) 807     |
| Other investments                    | 43          | (116)        | ) (73)    |
| Net realized gains                   | 7,843       | (3,830)      | ) 4,013   |
| Unrealized losses on short sales     | —           | (3,532)      | ) (3,532) |



Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

|  |         |          |         |
|--|---------|----------|---------|
| Net realized and unrealized gains on investments | \$7,843 | \$(7,362 | ) \$481 |
|--|---------|----------|---------|

F-27

---

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 5. Investments (continued)

| For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Gross gains | Gross losses | Net       |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|
| Available-for-sale securities        | \$10,372    | \$(1,976)    | ) \$8,396 |
| Trading securities and short sales   | 6,372       | (7,915)      | ) (1,543) |
| Other investments                    | —           | (249)        | ) (249)   |
| Net realized gains on investments    | \$16,744    | \$(10,140)   | ) \$6,604 |

Proceeds from sales of fixed maturities classified as available-for-sale were \$142,694, \$304,499 and \$331,593, and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Net unrealized gains (losses) were as follows:

| December 31,   | 2012      | 2011     | 2010     |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|
| Available-for-sale securities                              | \$143,495 | \$63,555 | \$54,658 |
| Other investments  | 302       | 237      | 96       |
| Total net unrealized gains                                 | 143,797   | 63,792   | 54,754   |
| Deferred income tax  | (132)     | ) (55)   | ) —      |
| Net unrealized gains, net of deferred income tax           | \$143,665 | \$63,737 | \$54,754 |
| Change in net unrealized gains, net of deferred income tax | \$79,928  | \$8,983  | \$22,007 |

## e) Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents and Investments

We are required to maintain assets on deposit to support our reinsurance operations and to serve as collateral for our reinsurance liabilities under various reinsurance agreements. The assets on deposit are available to settle reinsurance liabilities. We also utilize trust accounts to collateralize business with our reinsurance counterparties. These trust accounts generally take the place of letter of credit requirements. The assets in trust as collateral are primarily highly rated fixed maturity securities. The fair value of our restricted assets was as follows:

| December 31,  | 2012        | 2011        |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents – third party agreements   | \$97,695    | \$67,627    |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents – related party agreements   | 33,882      | 46,729      |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents – U.S. state regulatory authorities  | 750         | 539         |
| Total restricted cash and cash equivalents  | 132,327     | 114,895     |
| Restricted investments – in trust for third party agreements at fair value (Amortized cost: 2012 – \$1,048,827; 2011 – \$950,103) | 1,101,971   | 972,130     |
| Restricted investments – in trust for related party agreements at fair value (Amortized cost: 2012 – \$851,873; 2011 – \$458,105) | 919,557     | 485,468     |
| Restricted investments – in trust for U.S. state regulatory authorities (Amortized cost: 2012 – \$12,744; 2011 – \$12,862)        | 13,463      | 13,750      |
| Total restricted investments  | 2,034,991   | 1,471,348   |
| Total restricted cash and cash equivalents and investments  | \$2,167,318 | \$1,586,243 |

## f) Other

Securities sold but not yet purchased represent obligations of the Company to deliver the specified security at the contracted price and, thereby, create a liability to purchase the security in the market at prevailing prices. The Company's liability for securities to be delivered is measured at their fair value and as of December 31, 2012 was \$0 (December 31, 2011 - \$55,830). This amount was included in accrued expenses and other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Collateral of an equivalent amount was pledged to the clearing broker.

F-28

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

6. Fair Value Measurements

a) Fair Values of Financial Instruments

ASC 825, "Disclosure About Fair Value of Financial Instruments", requires all entities to disclose the fair value of their financial instruments, both assets and liabilities recognized and not recognized in the balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate fair value.

The following describes the valuation techniques used by the Company to determine the fair value of financial instruments held as of December 31, 2012.

U.S. Government and U.S. Government agencies — Comprised primarily of bonds issued by the U.S. Treasury, the Federal Home Loan Bank, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Government National Mortgage Association and the Federal National Mortgage Association. The fair values of U.S. government securities are based on quoted market prices in active markets, and are included in the Level 1 fair value hierarchy. We believe the market for U.S. Treasury securities is an actively traded market given the high level of daily trading volume. The fair values of U.S. government agency securities are priced using the spread above the risk-free yield curve. As the yields for the risk-free yield curve and the spreads for these securities are observable market inputs, the fair values of U.S. government agency securities are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Non-U.S. government bonds — Comprised of bonds issued by non-U.S. governments and their agencies along with supranational organizations. These securities are generally priced by pricing services. The pricing services may use current market trades for securities with similar quality, maturity and coupon. If no such trades are available, the pricing service typically uses analytical models which may incorporate spreads, interest rate data and market/sector news. As the significant inputs used to price non-U.S. government bonds are observable market inputs, the fair values of non-U.S. government bonds are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Other mortgage-backed securities — Other mortgage-backed bonds consist of a commercial mortgage-backed security ("CMBS"). This security is priced by independent pricing services and brokers. The pricing provider applies dealer quotes and other available trade information, prepayment speeds, yield curves and credit spreads to the valuation. As the significant inputs used to price the CMBS are observable market inputs, the fair value of the CMBS is included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Corporate bonds — Comprised of bonds issued by corporations that on acquisition are rated BBB-/Baa3 or higher. These securities are generally priced by pricing services. The fair values of corporate bonds that are short-term are priced, by the pricing services, using the spread above the London Interbank Offering Rate ("LIBOR") yield curve and the fair value of corporate bonds that are long-term are priced using the spread above the risk-free yield curve. The spreads are sourced from broker/dealers, trade prices and the new issue market. Where pricing is unavailable from pricing services, we obtain non-binding quotes from broker dealers. As the significant inputs used to price corporate bonds are observable market inputs, the fair values of corporate bonds are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Municipal bonds — Municipal bonds comprise bonds and auction rate securities issued by U.S. state and municipality entities or agencies. The fair values of municipal bonds are generally priced by pricing services. The pricing services typically use spreads obtained from broker-dealers, trade prices and the new issue market. As the significant inputs used to price the municipal bonds are observable market inputs, municipals are classified within Level 2. Municipal

auction rate securities are reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at cost which approximates their fair value.

Other investments — The fair values of the investment in limited partnerships are determined by the fund manager based on recent filings, operating results, balance sheet stability, growth and other business and market sector fundamentals, and as such, the fair values are included in the Level 3 fair value hierarchy.

Reinsurance balance receivable — The carrying values reported in the accompanying balance sheets for these financial instruments approximate their fair value due to short term nature of the assets.

Loan to related party — The carrying value reported in the accompanying balance sheets for this financial instrument approximates its fair value. The underlying investments of the loan are generally priced by pricing services. As the significant inputs used to price the underlying investments are observable market inputs, the fair value of the loan to related party is included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

F-29

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 6. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Senior notes — The amount reported in the accompanying balance sheets for these financial instruments represents the carrying value of the notes. The fair values are based on quoted prices of identical instruments in inactive markets and as such, are included in the Level 2 hierarchy.

Junior subordinated debt — The amount reported in the accompanying balance sheets for this financial instrument represents the carrying value of the debt. The fair value of the debt was derived using the Black-Derman-Toy model. As the fair value of the junior subordinated debt is determined using observable market inputs in the Black-Derman-Toy model, the fair value is included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

## b) Fair Value Hierarchy

The Company's estimates of fair value for financial assets and financial liabilities are based on the framework established in ASC 820. The framework is based on the inputs used in valuation and gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and requires that observable inputs be used in the valuations when available. The disclosure of fair value estimates in the ASC 820 hierarchy is based on whether the significant inputs into the valuation are observable. In determining the level of the hierarchy in which the estimate is disclosed, the highest priority is given to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs that reflect the Company's significant market assumptions.

In accordance with ASC 820, the Company determines fair value based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, we classified our financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis in the following valuation hierarchy:

|                                     | Quoted Prices<br>in Active<br>Markets for<br>Identical Assets<br>(Level 1) | Significant<br>Other<br>Observable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>Unobservable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 3) | Total Fair<br>Value |   |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|---------------------|---|
| December 31, 2012                   |  |   |  |                     |   |
| Assets                              |  |   |  |                     |   |
| Fixed maturities                    |  |   |  |                     |   |
| U.S. treasury bonds                 | \$43,931   | \$—   | \$—  | \$43,931            |   |
| U.S. agency bonds – mortgage-backed | —  | 992,174   | —  | 992,174             |   |
| U.S. agency bonds – other           | —  | 13,089  | —  | 13,089              |   |
| Non-U.S. government bonds           | —  | 57,433  | —  | 57,433              |   |
| Other mortgage-backed securities    | —  | 24,068  | —  | 24,068              |   |
| Corporate bonds                     | —  | 1,354,154   | —  | 1,354,154           |   |
| Municipal bonds                     | —  | 133,848   | —  | 133,848             |   |
| Other investments                   | —  | —   | 2,901  | 2,901               |   |
| Total                               | \$43,931   | \$2,574,766   | \$2,901  | \$2,621,598         |   |
| As a percentage of total assets     | 1.1  | % 62.2  | % 0.1  | % 63.4              | % |



Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 6. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

| December 31, 2011                              | Quoted Prices<br>in Active<br>Markets for<br>Identical Assets<br>(Level 1) | Significant<br>Other<br>Observable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>Unobservable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 3) | Total Fair<br>Value |  |   |
|--|--|---|--|---------------------|--|---|
| <b>Assets</b>                                  |  |   |  |                     |  |   |
| Fixed maturities                               |  |   |  |                     |  |   |
| U.S. treasury bonds                            | \$45,949   | \$—   | \$—  | \$45,949            |  |   |
| U.S. agency bonds – mortgage-backed            | —  | 972,099   | —  | 972,099             |  |   |
| U.S. agency bonds – other                      | —  | 10,996  | —  | 10,996              |  |   |
| Non-U.S. government bonds                      | —  | 52,274  | —  | 52,274              |  |   |
| Other mortgage-backed securities               | —  | 9,920   | —  | 9,920               |  |   |
| Corporate bonds                                | —  | 760,357   | —  | 760,357             |  |   |
| Municipal bonds                                | —  | 169,066   | —  | 169,066             |  |   |
| Other investments                              | —  | —   | 2,192  | 2,192               |  |   |
| <b>Total</b>                                   | <b>\$45,949</b>  | <b>\$1,974,712</b>  | <b>\$2,192</b>                                     | <b>\$2,022,853</b>  |  |   |
| As a percentage of total assets                | 1.4  | % 58.1  | % 0.1  | % 59.6              |  | % |
| <b>Liabilities</b>                             |  |   |  |                     |  |   |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | \$—  | \$55,830  | \$—  | \$55,830            |  |   |
| As a percentage of total liabilities           | —  | % 2.1   | % —  | % 2.1               |  | % |

The Company utilized a pricing service to estimate fair value measurements for approximately 99.7% of its fixed maturities. The pricing service utilizes market quotations for fixed maturity securities that have quoted market prices in active markets. Since fixed maturities other than U.S. treasury securities generally do not trade on a daily basis, the pricing service prepares estimates of fair value measurements using relevant market data, benchmark curves, sector groupings and matrix pricing and these have been classified as level 2.

Other investments: The Company has \$2,901 or approximately 0.1% of its investment portfolio in limited partnerships where the fair value estimate is determined by the fund manager based on recent filings, operating results, balance sheet stability, growth and other business and market sector fundamentals. Due to the significant unobservable inputs in these valuations, the Company includes the estimate in the amount disclosed as Level 3. The Company has determined that its investments in Level 3 securities are not material to its financial position or results of operations.



Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 6. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

## c) Level 3 Financial Instruments

The following table presents changes in Level 3 for our financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

|   | For the Year Ended December 31, |         |
|---|---------------------------------|---------|
|   | 2012                            | 2011    |
| Other investments:  |                                 |         |
| Balance at beginning of period  | \$2,192                         | \$5,847 |
| Net realized and unrealized gains – included in net income  | 44                              | —       |
| Net realized and unrealized (losses) – included in net income   | —                               | (73)    |
| Change in net unrealized gains – included in other comprehensive income   | 65                              | 141     |
| Change in net unrealized losses – included in other comprehensive income  | —                               | —       |
| Purchases   | 940                             | 1,173   |
| Sales and redemptions   | (340)                           | (4,896) |
| Transfers into Level 3  | —                               | —       |
| Transfers out of Level 3  | —                               | —       |
| Balance at end of period  | \$2,901                         | \$2,192 |
| Level 3 gains (losses) included in net income attributable to the change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets held at the reporting date | \$—                             | \$—     |

## d) Fair Value of Liabilities

The following table presents the carrying values and fair values of the Senior Notes and Junior Subordinated Debt as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

|                          | Interest Rate | December 31, 2012 |            | December 31, 2011 |            |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
|                          |               | Carrying Value    | Fair Value | Carrying Value    | Fair Value |
| 2011 Senior Notes        | 8.25 %        | \$107,500         | \$112,832  | \$107,500         | \$104,888  |
| 2012 Senior Notes        | 8.00 %        | 100,000           | 105,600    | —                 | —          |
| Junior Subordinated Debt | 14.00 %       | 126,317           | 166,919    | 126,263           | 173,621    |

## 7. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

## Goodwill

Goodwill is calculated as the excess of purchase price over the net fair value of assets acquired. The Company performs an annual impairment analysis to identify potential goodwill impairment and measures the amount of a goodwill impairment loss to be recognized. This annual test is performed during the fourth quarter of each year or more frequently if events or circumstances change in a way that requires the Company to perform the impairment analysis on an interim basis. Goodwill impairment testing requires an evaluation of the estimated fair value of each reporting unit to its carrying value, including the goodwill. An impairment charge is recorded if the estimated fair value is less than the carrying amount of the reporting unit. No impairments have been identified to date.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of finite and indefinite life assets. Finite life intangible assets include customer and producer relationships and trademarks. Insurance company licenses are considered indefinite life intangible assets subject to annual impairment testing.

F-32

---

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 7. Goodwill and Intangible Assets (continued)

The following tables show the analysis of goodwill and intangible assets:

|                            | Goodwill | Intangible Assets | Total     |
|----------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|
| December 31, 2010          | \$58,429 | \$45,476          | \$103,905 |
| Adjustment during the year | (117     | ) —               | (117      |
| Amortization               | —        | (5,033            | ) (5,033  |
| December 31, 2011          | 58,312   | 40,443            | 98,755    |
| Amortization               | —        | (4,362            | ) (4,362  |
| December 31, 2012          | \$58,312 | \$36,081          | \$94,393  |

| December 31, 2012      | Gross     | Accumulated Amortization | Net        | Useful Life               |
|------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| Goodwill               | \$58,312  | \$—                      | \$58,312   | Indefinite                |
| State licenses         | 7,727     | —                        | 7,727      | Indefinite                |
| Customer relationships | 51,400    | (23,046                  | ) 28,354   | 15 years double declining |
| Net balance            | \$117,439 | \$(23,046                | ) \$94,393 |                           |

| December 31, 2011      | Gross     | Accumulated Amortization | Net        | Useful Life               |
|------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| Goodwill               | \$58,312  | \$—                      | \$58,312   | Indefinite                |
| State licenses         | 7,727     | —                        | 7,727      | Indefinite                |
| Customer relationships | 51,400    | (18,684                  | ) 32,716   | 15 years double declining |
| Net balance            | \$117,439 | \$(18,684                | ) \$98,755 |                           |

The goodwill and intangible assets were recognized as a result of the IIS Acquisition (see note 4) and the acquisition of the reinsurance operations of GMAC Insurance (“GMACI”), including its book of assumed reinsurance business, GMAC RE Insurance Services LLC (renamed Maiden Re), GMAC Direct Insurance Company (renamed Maiden US) and Integon Specialty Insurance Company (renamed Maiden Specialty) (referred to as the “GMAC Acquisition”) on October 31, 2008. The goodwill and intangible assets are assigned to Diversified Reinsurance segment and are subject to annual impairment testing. No impairment was recorded during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. However, during 2011 as a result of the adjusted price allocation relating to the IIS Acquisition, the amount of goodwill was reduced to \$5,695 (2010 - \$5,812). The estimated amortization of intangible assets for the next five years is:

|      |         |
|------|---------|
| 2013 | \$3,781 |
| 2014 | 3,276   |
| 2015 | 2,840   |
| 2016 | 2,461   |
| 2017 | 2,133   |

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

8. Long-Term Debt

Senior Notes

In June 2011, the Company, through its wholly owned subsidiary Maiden Holdings North America, Ltd. ("Maiden NA"), issued \$107,500 principal amount of 8.25% Senior Notes ("2011 Senior Notes") due on June 15, 2041, which are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Company. The 2011 Senior Notes are redeemable for cash, in whole or in part, on or after June 15, 2016, at 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest to but excluding the redemption date. In order to ensure that issuance of the 2011 Senior Notes resulted in a long term favorable impact to Maiden's shareholders, the Company sought to repurchase a portion of the Trust Preferred Securities, described below, with the proceeds of the 2011 Senior Notes offering. Under the redemption notice provisions of the Trust Preferred Securities, the Company was required to give at least 30 days' notice in advance of the next interest payment (July 15, 2011) prior to redemption, or incur an additional quarter's interest payments. Since the 2011 Senior Notes offering was initiated after the 30 day notice period on June 16, 2011, the Company offered to all holders an option to have a portion of their Trust Preferred Securities repurchased on a pro rata basis from the proceeds of the 2011 Senior Notes offering in exchange for a waiver of such notice provisions and an agreement to accept interest through July 15, 2011. Certain of the Trust Preferred Securities holders accepted the offer by June 16, 2011. All proceeds of the 2011 Senior Notes offering were used to repurchase the Trust Preferred Securities of the holders who accepted the offer. The 2011 Senior Notes are an unsecured and unsubordinated obligation of the Company and rank ahead of the Junior Subordinated Debt, described below. The effective interest rate of the 2011 Senior Notes, based on the net proceeds received, was 8.47%. The net proceeds from the sale of the 2011 Senior Notes were \$104,689, after placement agent fees and expense or debt issuance cost of \$2,811, and were used to repurchase \$107,500 principal amount portion of the outstanding Junior Subordinated Debt. The issuance costs related to the 2011 Senior Notes were capitalized and will be amortized over the life of the notes. Amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$94 (for the period from June 15, 2011 to December 31, 2011 - \$49).

The interest on the 2011 Senior Notes is payable each quarter beginning on September 15, 2011. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$8,869 (for the period from June 15, 2011 to December 31, 2011 - \$4,607), of which \$394 was accrued as of December 31, 2012 (2011 - \$394).

In March 2012, the Company, through Maiden NA, issued \$100,000 principal amount of 8.00% Senior Notes ("2012 Senior Notes") due on March 27, 2042, which are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Company. The 2012 Senior Notes are redeemable for cash, in whole or in part, on or after March 27, 2017, at 100% of the principal amount to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest up to but excluding the redemption date. The 2012 Senior Notes are an unsecured and unsubordinated obligation of the Company and rank ahead of the Junior Subordinated Debt, described below. The effective interest rate of the 2012 Senior Notes, based on the net proceeds received, was 8.28%. The net proceeds from the sale of the 2012 Senior Notes were \$96,594, after placement agent fees and other expenses of \$3,406, and will be used for general corporate purposes and working capital. The issuance costs related to the 2012 Senior Notes were capitalized and will be amortized over the life of the notes. Amortization expense for the period from March 27, 2012 to December 31, 2012 was \$87.

The interest on the 2012 Senior Notes is payable each quarter beginning on June 27, 2012 and will include accrued interest from March 27, 2012. Interest expense for the period from March 27, 2012 to December 31, 2012 was \$6,111, of which \$111 was accrued as of December 31, 2012.

### Junior Subordinated Debt

On January 20, 2009, the Company completed a private placement of 260,000 units (the “Units”), each Unit consisting of \$1,000 principal amount of capital securities (the “Trust Preferred Securities”) of Maiden Capital Financing Trust (the “Trust”), a special purpose trust established by Maiden NA, and 45 common shares, \$0.01 par value, of the Company for a purchase price of \$1,000.45 per Unit (the “TRUPS Offering”). In the aggregate, 11,700,000 common shares were issued to the purchasers in the TRUPS Offering. This resulted in gross proceeds to the Company of \$260,117, before \$4,342 of placement agent fees and expenses.

Certain trusts established by Michael Karfunkel and George Karfunkel, two of the Company's Founding Shareholders, purchased an aggregate of 159,000 of the Units, or 61.12%. The remaining 101,000 Units were purchased by existing institutional shareholders of the Company.

The Trust used the proceeds from the sale of the Trust Preferred Securities to purchase a subordinated debenture (the “Junior Subordinated Debt”) in the principal amount of \$260,000 issued by Maiden NA.

F-34

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

8. Long Term Debt (continued)

Under the terms of the Trust Preferred Securities, the Company can repay the principal balance in full or in part at any time. However, if the Company repays such principal within five years of the date of issuance, it is required to pay an additional amount equal to one full year of interest on the amount of Trust Preferred Securities repaid. If the remaining amount of the Trust Preferred Securities were repaid within five years of the date of issuance (adjusted for the \$107,500 repurchase of Junior Subordinated Debt, which occurred on July 15, 2011), the additional amount due would be \$21,350, which would be a reduction in earnings.

Pursuant to separate Guarantee Agreements dated as of January 20, 2009 with Wilmington Trust Company, as guarantee trustee, each of the Company and Maiden NA has agreed to guarantee the payment of distributions and payments on liquidation or redemption of the Trust Preferred Securities.

As a consequence of the issuance of a majority of the Units to a related party under ASC Topic 810 "Consolidation", the Trust is a variable interest entity and the Company is deemed not to be the primary beneficiary of the Trust, therefore it is not consolidated. The issuance of common shares associated with the Trust Preferred Securities resulted in an original issuance discount of \$44,928 based on market price of \$3.85 on January 20, 2009. The discount is amortized over 30 years based on the effective interest method. The Junior Subordinated Debt and Trust Preferred Securities mature in 2039 and carry a stated or coupon rate of 14% with an effective interest rate of 16.95%.

Using the proceeds from the 2011 Senior Notes offering and existing cash, the Company repurchased principal amount of \$107,500 of the Junior Subordinated Debt on July 15, 2011. Pursuant to the terms of the TRUPS Offering, the Company incurred and paid a repurchase expense equivalent to one year's interest expense of \$15,050. The Company also accelerated the amortization of the issuance cost and discount related to the repurchased Junior Subordinated Debt which amounted to \$20,313.

As of December 31, 2012, the stated value of the Junior Subordinated Debt was \$126,317 which comprises the principal amount of \$152,500 and unamortized discount of \$26,183. Amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$54 (2011 - \$46, 2010 - \$66). Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$21,350 (2011 - \$29,502, 2010 - \$36,400), of which \$4,448 was accrued as of December 31, 2012 (2011 - \$4,448).

9. Reinsurance

The Company utilizes reinsurance and retrocessional reinsurance ("ceded reinsurance") agreements to reduce its exposure to large claims and catastrophic loss occurrences with various reinsurance companies. These agreements provide for recovery from reinsurers of a portion of losses and LAE under certain circumstances without relieving the Company of its obligations to the policyholders. The Company remains liable to the extent that any reinsurance company fails to meet its obligations. Losses and LAE incurred and premiums earned are reported after deduction for reinsurance. In the event that one or more of the reinsurers are unable to meet their obligations under these reinsurance agreements, the Company would not realize the full value of the reinsurance recoverable balances.

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 9. Reinsurance (continued)

The effect of retrocessional activity on net premiums written and earned and on net loss and loss adjustment expenses for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

| For the Year Ended December 31,         | 2012         | 2011         | 2010         |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Premiums written                        |              |              |              |
| Direct                                  | \$ 122,412   | \$ 114,036   | \$ 71,625    |
| Assumed                                 | 1,878,580    | 1,698,561    | 1,226,430    |
| Ceded                                   | (99,707 )    | (89,076 )    | (70,224 )    |
| Net                                     | \$ 1,901,285 | \$ 1,723,521 | \$ 1,227,831 |
| Premiums earned                         |              |              |              |
| Direct                                  | \$ 119,398   | \$ 112,308   | \$ 68,967    |
| Assumed                                 | 1,780,745    | 1,523,685    | 1,168,116    |
| Ceded                                   | (96,363 )    | (83,565 )    | (67,293 )    |
| Net                                     | \$ 1,803,780 | \$ 1,552,428 | \$ 1,169,790 |
| Loss and loss adjustment expenses       |              |              |              |
| Gross loss and loss adjustment expenses | \$ 1,457,404 | \$ 1,103,821 | \$ 788,815   |
| Loss and loss adjustment expenses ceded | (195,056 )   | (60,767 )    | (33,693 )    |
| Net                                     | \$ 1,262,348 | \$ 1,043,054 | \$ 755,122   |

The reinsurers with the three largest balances accounted for 30.2%, 18.0% and 11.4%, respectively, of the Company's reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses balance at December 31, 2012 (2011 – 31.1%, 26.2% and 12.6%, respectively). At December 31, 2012, 88.4% of the reinsurance recoverable on unpaid loss and loss adjusted expenses ceded was due from reinsurers with credit ratings from A.M Best of A, or better, 11.3% due from reinsurers with credit ratings of A- and the remaining 0.3% of the reinsurance recoverable was primarily due from state pools. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had no valuation allowance against reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses.

## 10. Reserve for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses

Our reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses comprise the following:

| December 31,   | 2012         | 2011         |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Reserve for reported loss and loss adjustment expenses | \$ 1,029,594 | \$ 820,795   |
| Reserve for losses incurred but not reported           | 710,687      | 577,643      |
| Reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses          | \$ 1,740,281 | \$ 1,398,438 |

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 10. Reserve for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses (continued)

The following table represents a reconciliation of our beginning and ending net losses and loss expense reserves:

| For the Year Ended December 31,  | 2012         | 2011         | 2010         |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Gross unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses reserves at beginning of period | \$ 1,398,438 | \$ 1,226,773 | \$ 1,002,676 |
| Less: reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses at beginning of period          | 20,289       | 6,656        | 8,340        |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expense reserves at beginning of period           | 1,378,149    | 1,220,117    | 994,336      |
| Net incurred losses related to:  |              |              |              |
| Current year   | 1,239,016    | 1,028,855    | 787,967      |
| Prior years  | 23,332       | 14,199       | (32,845 )    |
|  | 1,262,348    | 1,043,054    | 755,122      |
| Net paid losses related to:  |              |              |              |
| Current year   | (485,015 )   | (456,149 )   | (365,343 )   |
| Prior years  | (530,294 )   | (423,855 )   | (265,991 )   |
|  | (1,015,309 ) | (880,004 )   | (631,334 )   |
| Acquired loss and loss expense reserve   | —            | 450          | 102,020      |
| Effect of foreign exchange movements   | 4,235        | (5,468 )     | (27 )        |
| Net loss and loss adjustment expense reserves at end of period                 | 1,629,423    | 1,378,149    | 1,220,117    |
| Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses at end of period                      | 110,858      | 20,289       | 6,656        |
| Gross unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses reserves at end of period       | \$ 1,740,281 | \$ 1,398,438 | \$ 1,226,773 |

Management believes that its use of both historical experience and industry-wide loss development factors provide a reasonable basis for estimating future losses. As the Company writes more business and develops more credible data, the Company expects to assign more weight to its own historical experience than to AmTrust's historical experience and industry-wide results. In either case, future events beyond the control of management, such as changes in law, judicial interpretations of law, and inflation may favorably or unfavorably impact the ultimate settlement of the Company's loss and LAE reserves.

The anticipated effect of inflation is implicitly considered when estimating liabilities for losses and LAE. While anticipated changes in claim costs due to inflation are considered in estimating the ultimate claim costs, changes in average severity of claims are caused by a number of factors that vary with the individual type of policy written. Future average severities are projected based on historical trends adjusted for implemented changes in underwriting standards, policy provisions, and general economic trends. Those anticipated trends are monitored based on actual development and are modified if necessary.

During 2012, the Company recorded estimated net adverse development on prior year loss reserves of \$23,332 compared to net adverse development of \$14,199 in the prior year and net favorable development of \$32,845 in 2010. Included in the total is \$9,134 (2011 - \$28,898, 2010 - \$25,332) of gains relating to the loss portfolio transfers acquired as part of the GMAC Acquisition and the IIS Acquisition. The total gain to date from the loss portfolio transfer reserves is \$75,656 (2011 - \$68,882, 2010 - \$43,811) of which \$4 remains as of December 31, 2012 (2011 - \$2,570). The gain is being amortized into income in proportion to the actual paydown of the reserves acquired.



Prior period development arises from changes to loss estimates recognized in the current year that relate to loss reserves first reported in previous calendar years. The development reflects changes in the actuarial assessments of the ultimate losses under the relevant reinsurance policies.

F-37

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

11. Related Party Transactions

The Founding Shareholders of the Company are Michael Karfunkel, George Karfunkel and Barry Zyskind. Michael Karfunkel is the non-executive chairman of the board of AmTrust Financial Services, Inc. ("AmTrust"), George Karfunkel is a director of AmTrust, and Barry Zyskind is the president, chief executive officer and director of AmTrust. The Founding Shareholders own or control approximately 59% of the outstanding shares of AmTrust. In addition, the Michael Karfunkel 2005 Grantor Retained Annuity Trust (which is controlled by Leah Karfunkel, wife of Michael Karfunkel) ("Annuity Trust"), currently owns 72.4% of the issued and outstanding common stock of American Capital Acquisition Corporation ("ACAC"), Michael Karfunkel currently owns 27.6% of ACAC's issued and outstanding common stock, and AmTrust owns preferred shares convertible into 21.25% of the issued and outstanding common stock of ACAC (see below for a description of our common business arrangements with AmTrust and ACAC). Michael Karfunkel is the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of ACAC.

AmTrust

The following describes transactions between the Company and AmTrust.

AmTrust Quota Share Reinsurance Agreement

Effective July 1, 2007, the Company and AmTrust entered into a master agreement, as amended (the "Master Agreement"), by which they caused Maiden Bermuda, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, and AmTrust's Bermuda reinsurance subsidiary, AmTrust International Insurance, Ltd. ("AII"), to enter into a quota share reinsurance agreement (the "Reinsurance Agreement") by which (a) AII retrocedes to Maiden Bermuda an amount equal to 40% of the premium written by subsidiaries of AmTrust, net of the cost of unaffiliated inuring reinsurance (and in the case of AmTrust's U.K. insurance subsidiary, AmTrust Europe, Limited, net of commissions) and 40% of losses and (b) AII transferred to Maiden Bermuda 40% of the AmTrust subsidiaries' unearned premiums, effective July 1, 2007, with respect to the current lines of business, excluding risks for which the AmTrust subsidiaries' net retention exceeds \$5,000 ("Covered Business"). Effective January 1, 2010, the Company agreed to assume its proportionate share of AmTrust's workers' compensation exposure and shared the benefit of the 2010 excess reinsurance protection. AmTrust also has agreed to cause AII, subject to regulatory requirements, to reinsure any insurance company which writes Covered Business in which AmTrust acquires a majority interest to the extent required to enable AII to cede to Maiden Bermuda 40% of the premiums and losses related to such Covered Business. The Master Agreement further provided that AII receives a ceding commission of 31% of ceded written premiums.

On June 11, 2008, Maiden Bermuda and AII amended the Reinsurance Agreement to add Retail Commercial Package Business to the Covered Business as a consequence of AmTrust's acquisition of Unitrin Business Insurance ("UBI"). Under the amendment, AmTrust's subsidiaries ceded, upon collection, to Maiden Bermuda 100% of \$82.2 million of unearned premium (net of inuring reinsurance) from the acquisition of UBI's in-force book of business. Additionally, AmTrust cedes to Maiden Bermuda 40% of net premium written, effective as of June 1, 2008. Maiden Bermuda will pay to AmTrust a ceding commission of 34.375% on the unearned premium cession and the Retail Commercial Package Business. The \$2,000 maximum liability for a single loss provided in the Reinsurance Agreement shall not be applicable to Retail Commercial Package Business.

On February 9, 2009, Maiden Bermuda and AII amended the Reinsurance Agreement to clarify that (i) AII would offer Maiden Bermuda the opportunity to reinsure Excess Retention Business, which is defined as a policy issued by

an AmTrust insurance subsidiary with respect to which the insurance subsidiary's retention is greater than \$5,000 and (ii) the deduction for the cost of inuring reinsurance from Affiliate Subject Premium (as defined in the Reinsurance Agreement) retroceded to Maiden Bermuda is net of ceding commission.

Effective April 1, 2011, Maiden Bermuda and AII amended the Master Agreement to reduce the commission on all business ceded except Retail Commercial Package Business to 30% until December 31, 2011. Thereafter the rate shall be 31% subject to an adjustment of 1% to 30% if the proportion of Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty premium ceded is greater than or equal to 42% of the Covered Business (excluding Retail Commercial Package Business). If the proportion of Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty premium ceded is greater than or equal to 38% but less than 42% of the Covered Business (excluding Retail Commercial Package Business), the commission rate shall be reduced by 0.5% to 30.5%. In addition, the collateral requirements were restated to clarify that balances relating to all AmTrust subsidiaries are subject to collateral requirements and the Reinsurance Agreement was extended by one year through June 30, 2014, and shall automatically renew for successive three-year periods thereafter. If the AII or Maiden Bermuda elects to so terminate the Reinsurance Agreement, it shall give written notice to the other party hereto not less than nine months prior to either July 1, 2014 or the expiration of any successive three-year period.

F-38

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

11. Related Party Transactions (continued)

In addition, either party is entitled to terminate on thirty days' notice or less upon the occurrence of certain early termination events, which include a default in payment, insolvency, change in control of AII or Maiden Bermuda, run-off, or a reduction of 50% or more of the shareholder's equity of Maiden Bermuda or the combined shareholders' equity of AII and the AmTrust subsidiaries.

Maiden Bermuda recorded approximately \$185,574, \$150,140 and \$139,092 of ceding commission expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, as a result of this transaction.

AmTrust European Hospital Liability Quota Share Agreement (“European Hospital Liability Quota Share”)

Effective April 1, 2011, the Company, through Maiden Bermuda, entered into a quota share reinsurance contract with AmTrust Europe Limited and AmTrust International Underwriters Limited, both wholly owned subsidiaries of AmTrust. Pursuant to the terms of the contract, Maiden Bermuda assumed 40% of the premiums and losses related to policies classified as European Hospital Liability, including associated liability coverages and policies covering physician defense costs, written or renewed on or after April 1, 2011. The contract also covers policies written or renewed on or before March 31, 2011, but only with respect to losses that occur, accrue or arise on or after April 1, 2011. The maximum limit of liability attaching shall be €5,000 or currency equivalent (on a 100% basis) per original claim for any one original policy. Maiden Bermuda will pay a ceding commission of 5% and shall allow the reinsured a profit share on original net premiums ceded under the contract. The profit sharing is based upon the reinsured exceeding defined underwriting performance of each contract year, commencing two years after the beginning of each contract year. To the extent that the underwriting performance is exceeded, the Company will share 50% of the excess amounts computed.

Effective January 1, 2012, the quota share reinsurance contract with AmTrust Europe Limited and AmTrust International Underwriters Limited was amended, thereby increasing the maximum liability attaching to €10,000 or currency equivalent (on a 100% basis) per original claim for any one original policy. Furthermore, amendments were also made to the contract to expand the territorial scope to include new territories, specifically France.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded approximately \$5,876 (2011- \$3,405) of commission expense as a result of this transaction.

Other Reinsurance Agreements

Effective January 1, 2008, Maiden Bermuda and AmTrust entered into an agreement to reinsure a 45% participation in the \$9,000 in excess of \$1,000 layer of AmTrust's workers' compensation excess of loss program. This layer provides reinsurance to AmTrust for losses per occurrence in excess of \$1,000 up to \$10,000, subject to an annual aggregate deductible of \$1,250. This participation was sourced through a reinsurance intermediary via open market placement in which competitive bids were solicited by an independent broker. The remaining 55% participation was placed with a single carrier. This coverage expired on January 1, 2010; as a result, under the Reinsurance Agreement, Maiden Bermuda therefore now reinsures 40% of the subject workers' compensation business up to \$10,000, subject to certain additional inuring reinsurance protection that AmTrust has purchased.

Effective September 1, 2010, the Company through its indirect wholly owned subsidiary, Maiden Specialty, entered into a quota share reinsurance agreement with Technology Insurance Company, Inc. ("Technology"), a subsidiary of AmTrust. Under the agreement, Maiden Specialty will cede (a) 90% of its gross liability written under the Open Lending Program ("OPL") and (b) 100% of its surplus lines general liability business under the Naxos Avondale Specialty Casualty Program ("NAXS"). Maiden Specialty's involvement is limited to certain states where Technology is not fully licensed. The agreement also provides that Maiden Specialty receives a ceding commission of 5% of ceded written premiums. The reinsurance agreement had a term of three years and remained continuously in force until terminated in accordance with the contract. The OPL program was terminated on December 31, 2011 on a run-off basis and the NAXS program terminated on October 31, 2012. Maiden Specialty recorded approximately \$7,363 of premiums earned ceded and \$2,171 ceding commission for the year ended December 31, 2012 (2011 - \$10,276 and \$3,155, respectively, 2010 - \$88 and \$26, respectively).

Effective September 1, 2010, the Company, through its indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, Maiden US, entered into a reinsurance agreement with Security National Insurance Company ("SNIC"), a subsidiary of AmTrust. Under the agreement, SNIC will cede 80% of the gross liabilities produced under the Southern General Agency program to Maiden US. The agreement provides SNIC with a 5% commission of ceded written premiums. The agreement has a term of one year and automatically renews annually unless terminated pursuant to the terms of the agreement. Under this agreement, Maiden US recorded approximately \$2,145 of premiums earned and \$107 commission expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 (2011 - \$7 and \$0.1, respectively, 2010 - \$1 and \$0.2, respectively).

F-39

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

11. Related Party Transactions (continued)

Collateral provided to AmTrust

In order to provide AmTrust's U.S. insurance subsidiaries with credit for reinsurance on their statutory financial statements, AII, as the direct reinsurer of the AmTrust's insurance subsidiaries, has established trust accounts ("Trust Accounts") for their benefit. Maiden Bermuda has agreed to provide appropriate collateral to secure its proportional share under the Reinsurance Agreement of AII's obligations to the AmTrust subsidiaries to whom AII is required to provide collateral. This collateral may be in the form of (a) assets loaned by Maiden Bermuda to AII for deposit into the Trust Accounts, pursuant to a loan agreement between those parties, (b) assets transferred by Maiden Bermuda for deposit into the Trust Accounts, (c) a letter of credit obtained by Maiden Bermuda and delivered to an AmTrust subsidiary on AII's behalf (a "Letter of Credit"), or (d) premiums withheld by an AmTrust subsidiary at Maiden Bermuda's request in lieu of remitting such premiums to AII ("Withheld Funds"). Maiden Bermuda may provide any or a combination of these forms of collateral, provided that the aggregate value thereof equals Maiden Bermuda's proportionate share of its obligations under the Reinsurance Agreement with AII. The amount of collateral Maiden Bermuda is required to maintain, which is determined quarterly, equals its proportionate share of (a) the amount of ceded paid losses for which AII is responsible to such AmTrust subsidiaries but has not yet paid, (b) the amount of ceded loss reserves (including ceded reserves for claims reported but not resolved and losses incurred but not reported) for which AII is responsible to AmTrust subsidiaries, and (c) the amount of ceded reserves for unearned premiums ceded by AmTrust subsidiaries to AII.

Maiden Bermuda satisfied its collateral requirements under the Reinsurance Agreement with AII as follows:

- by lending funds in the amount of \$167,975 as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 to AII pursuant to a loan agreement entered into between those parties. This loan is carried at cost. Pursuant to the Reinsurance Agreement, AmTrust has agreed to cause AII not to commingle Maiden Bermuda's assets with AII's other assets and to cause the AmTrust subsidiaries not to commingle Maiden Bermuda's assets with the AmTrust subsidiaries' other assets if an AmTrust subsidiary withdraws those assets. AII has agreed that, if an AmTrust subsidiary returns to AII excess assets withdrawn from a Trust Account, drawn on a Letter of Credit or maintained by such AmTrust subsidiary as Withheld Funds, AII will immediately return to Maiden Bermuda its proportionate share of such excess assets. AII has further agreed that if the aggregate fair market value of the amount of Maiden Bermuda's assets held in the Trust Account exceeds Maiden Bermuda's proportionate share of AII's obligations, or if an AmTrust subsidiary misapplies any such collateral, AII will immediately return to Maiden Bermuda an amount equal to such excess or misapplied collateral, less any amounts AII has paid to Maiden Bermuda. In addition, if an AmTrust subsidiary withdraws Maiden Bermuda's assets from a Trust Account and maintains those assets on its books as withheld funds, AII has agreed to pay to Maiden Bermuda interest at the rate equivalent to the one-month LIBOR plus 90 basis points per annum computed on the basis of a 360-day year on the loan (except to the extent Maiden Bermuda's proportionate share of AII's obligations to that AmTrust subsidiary exceeds the value of the collateral Maiden Bermuda has provided), and net of unpaid fees Maiden Bermuda owes to AII Insurance Management Limited ("AIIM") and its share of fees owed to the trustee of the Trust Account. The amount of accrued interest relating to the loan was \$0 and \$0 as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively; and

- effective December 1, 2008, the Company entered into a Reinsurer Trust Assets Collateral agreement to provide to AII sufficient collateral to secure its proportional share of AII's obligations to the U.S. AmTrust subsidiaries. The amount of the collateral, as of December 31, 2012 was approximately \$857,013 (2011 - \$461,216) and the accrued

interest was \$6,967 (2011 - \$4,131). (See Note 5(e)).

#### Reinsurance Brokerage Agreements

Effective July 1, 2007, the Company entered into a reinsurance brokerage agreement with AII Reinsurance Broker Ltd. ("AIIB"), a subsidiary of AmTrust. Pursuant to the brokerage agreement, AIIB provides brokerage services relating to the Reinsurance Agreement and, beginning on April 1, 2011, the European Hospital Liability Quota Share agreement for a fee equal to 1.25% of the premium assumed from AII. The brokerage fee is payable in consideration of AIIB's brokerage services. AIIB is not the Company's exclusive broker. AIIB may, if mutually agreed, also produce reinsurance business for the Company from other ceding companies, and in such cases the Company will negotiate a mutually acceptable commission rate. Following the initial one-year term, the agreement may be terminated upon 30 days written notice by either party. Maiden Bermuda recorded approximately \$9,097, \$6,977 and \$5,564 of reinsurance brokerage expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and deferred reinsurance brokerage of \$6,299 and \$4,891 as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, as a result of this agreement.

The Company paid brokerage fees to AmTrust's subsidiary, AmTrust North America, of \$61, \$111 and \$83 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, for acting as insurance intermediary in relation to certain insurance placements.

F-40

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

11. Related Party Transactions (continued)

Asset Management Agreement

Effective July 1, 2007, the Company entered into an asset management agreement with AII Insurance Management Limited (“AIIM”), an AmTrust subsidiary, pursuant to which AIIM has agreed to provide investment management services to the Company. Pursuant to the asset management agreement, AIIM provides investment management services for a quarterly fee of 0.05% if the average value of the account for the previous calendar quarter is less than or equal to \$1 billion and 0.0375% if the average value of the account for the previous calendar quarter is greater than \$1 billion. Following the initial one-year term, the agreement may be terminated upon 30 days written notice by either party. The Company recorded approximately \$3,697, \$3,158 and \$2,643 of investment management fees for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, as a result of this agreement.

Other

On March 1, 2011, the Company entered into a time sharing agreement for the lease of aircraft owned by AmTrust Underwriters, Inc. (“AUI”), a wholly owned subsidiary of AmTrust. The lease is for 10 months ending on December 31, 2012 and automatically renews for successive one-year terms unless terminated in accordance with the provisions of the agreement. Pursuant to the agreement, the Company will reimburse AUI for actual expenses incurred as allowed by Federal Aviation Regulations. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded an expense of \$38 (2011- \$96) for the use of the aircraft.

ACAC

The following describes transactions between the Company and ACAC and its subsidiaries:

ACAC Quota Share Reinsurance Agreement

Maiden Bermuda, effective March 1, 2010, reinsures 25% of the net premiums of the GMAC personal lines business, pursuant to a quota share reinsurance agreement (“ACAC Quota Share”) with the GMAC personal lines insurance companies, as cedents, and Maiden Bermuda, ACP Re Ltd., a Bermuda reinsurer which is a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of the Annuity Trust, and AmTrust, as reinsurers. Maiden Bermuda has a 50% participation in the ACAC Quota Share, by which it receives 25% of net premiums of the personal lines automobile business. The ACAC Quota Share provides that the reinsurers, severally, in accordance with their participation percentages, shall receive 50% of the net premium of the GMAC personal lines insurance companies and assume 50% of the related net losses. The ACAC Quota Share has an initial term of three years and shall renew automatically for successive three years terms unless terminated by written notice not less than nine months prior to the expiration of the current term.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, Maiden Bermuda's participation in the ACAC Quota Share may be terminated by ACAC on 60 days written notice in the event Maiden Bermuda becomes insolvent, is placed into receivership, its financial condition is impaired by 50% of the amount of its surplus at the inception of the ACAC Quota Share or latest anniversary, whichever is greater, is subject to a change of control, or ceases writing new and renewal business.

ACAC also may terminate the agreement on nine months written notice following the effective date of initial public offering or private placement of stock by ACAC or a subsidiary. Maiden Bermuda may terminate its participation in the ACAC Quota Share on 60 days written notice in the event ACAC is subject to a change of control, ceases writing new and renewal business, effects a reduction in their net retention without Maiden Bermuda's consent or fails to remit



premium as required by the terms of the ACAC Quota Share.

The ACAC Quota Share provides that the reinsurers pay a provisional ceding commission equal to 32.5% of ceded earned premium, net of premiums ceded by the personal lines companies for inuring reinsurance, subject to adjustment. The ceding commission is subject to adjustment to a maximum of 34.5% if the loss ratio for the reinsured business is 60.0% or less and a minimum of 30.5% if the loss ratio is 64.5% or greater.

Effective October 1, 2012, the parties amended the reinsurance agreement to decrease the provisional ceding commission from 32.5% to 32.0% of ceded earned premium, net of premiums ceded by the personal lines companies for inuring reinsurance, subject to adjustment. The ceding commission is subject to adjustment to a minimum of 30.0% (changed from 30.5%), if the loss ratio is 64.5% or greater. The Company believes that the terms, conditions and pricing of the ACAC Quota Share have been determined by arm's length negotiations and reflect current market terms and conditions.

Maiden Bermuda recorded approximately \$85,296 of ceding commission expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 (2011- \$74,983, 2010 - \$37,654) as a result of this transaction.

F-41

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

11. Related Party Transactions (continued)

Other

Effective September 12, 2012, the Company through its indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, Maiden Re Insurance Services, LLC ("Maiden Re"), entered into a consulting agreement with Integon Association Management LLC ("Integon"), a wholly owned subsidiary of ACAC, pursuant to which Maiden Re has agreed to provide to Integon underwriting, and pricing support for a fee of \$25 per month, and also a fee of \$0.1 for each policy quote evaluation and an additional \$0.1 for each policy re-quote evaluation. The initial term of this agreement is for a period of one year, unless terminated earlier by either party. This agreement shall be renewed automatically upon expiration of the initial term for successive one year periods, unless a party delivers written notice of non-renewal to the other party at least 120 days before the end of the initial term or any renewal term. The Company recorded \$100 consulting fee income for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Maiden Specialty entered into a reinsurance arrangement with New South Insurance Company ("New South"), a subsidiary of ACAC. Pursuant to the agreement, Maiden Specialty cedes 100% of certain personal lines business to New South. On March 1, 2010, Maiden Specialty entered into a novation agreement with Motors and New South whereby New South replaced Motors as the reinsurer for all of this business. Maiden Specialty recorded approximately \$0 and \$0 of ceded premium and ceding commissions, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2012 (2011 - \$(0.6) and \$0.2, respectively, 2010 - \$398 and \$61, respectively).

In June 2011, the Company, through Maiden NA, issued \$107,500 principal amount of 8.25% Senior Notes due on June 15, 2041, which are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Company. The 2011 Senior Notes were used to repurchase on a pro rata basis \$107,500 of the \$260,000 outstanding Trust Preferred Securities. The Company offered all Trust Preferred Securities holders the option to have their securities repurchased on the same terms. ACP Re Ltd., an entity owned by the Annuity Trust controlled by Michael Karfunkel accepted the offer to repurchase its \$79,066 in principal amount of Trust Preferred Securities on July 15, 2011. George Karfunkel purchased \$25,000, and ACAC and AII each purchased \$12,500, of the principal amount of the 2011 Senior Notes. The Company's Audit Committee reviewed and approved ACAC's, AII's, and George Karfunkel's participation in the 2011 Senior Notes offering.

Warrant Exchange

Please see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

12. Commitments and Contingencies

a) Concentrations of Credit Risk

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company's assets primarily consisted of investments, cash, loan to related party and reinsurance balances receivable.

The Company manages concentration of credit risk in the investment portfolio through issuer and sector exposure limitations. The Company believes it bears minimal credit risk in its cash on deposit.

The Company also monitors the credit risk related to the loan to related party and its reinsurance balances receivable, within which the largest balances are due from AmTrust, ACAC and Motors. To mitigate credit risk we generally have a contractual right of offset thereby allowing us to settle claims net of any premiums or loan receivable, the Company believes these balances will be fully collectible.

b) Concentrations of Revenue

During 2012, our gross premiums written for AmTrust and ACAC accounted for 56.8% (2011 – 51.0%, 2010 – 51.9%) of our total gross premiums written. AmTrust accounted for \$840,346 or 42.0% (2011 – \$669,283 or 36.9%, 2010 – \$468,043 or 36.1%) and ACAC accounted for \$295,646 or 14.8% ( 2011 – \$256,201 or 14.1%, 2010 – \$205,739 or 15.8%).

F-42

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

12. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

c) Brokers

We produce our reinsurance business for our Diversified Reinsurance segment primarily through brokers. During 2012, three brokers accounted for 49.7% (2011 – 59.7%, 2010 – 56.3%) of our total gross premiums written through brokers for the Diversified Reinsurance segment. Marsh & McLennan Inc.(including Guy Carpenter) accounted for 24.1% (2011 – 27.4%, 2010 – 31.0%), Aon Benfield Group, Ltd. for 13.5% (2011– 18.1%, 2010 – 16.9%) and Beach & Associates, Ltd. for 12.1% (2011 – 14.2%, 2010 – 8.4%).

d) Letters of Credit

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, we had letters of credit outstanding of \$101,411 and \$97,486, respectively. The letters of credit are secured by cash and marketable investments of \$113,717 (2011 - \$109,337).

e) Employment agreements

The Company has entered into employment agreements with certain individuals. The employment agreements provide for option awards, executive benefits and severance payments under certain circumstances.

f) Operating Lease Commitments

The Company leases office space, an apartment, equipment and vehicles under operating leases expiring in various years through 2017. Total rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$2,485, \$2,283 and \$1,969, respectively. Future minimum lease payments as of December 31, 2012 under non-cancellable operating leases for the next five years are approximately as follows:

|      | December 31, |
|------|--------------|
|      | 2012         |
| 2013 | \$2,004      |
| 2014 | 1,646        |
| 2015 | 942          |
| 2016 | 482          |
| 2017 | 482          |
|      | \$5,556      |

g) Unfunded Commitments

The Company has an unfunded commitment on its investment in limited partnerships of approximately \$3,099 as of December 31, 2012.

h) Loans and Other Collateral

Please see Note 11 for the discussion related to loan provided to AmTrust.

i) Deposit Insurance

The Company maintains cash and cash equivalents balances at financial institutions in the U.S., Bermuda and other international jurisdictions. In the U.S., the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation secures account up to \$250. In certain other international jurisdictions, there exist similar protections. Management monitors balances in excess of insured limits and believes they do not represent a significant credit risk to the Company.

j) Legal Proceedings

Except as noted below, the Company is not a party to any material legal proceedings. From time to time, the Company is subject to routine legal proceedings, including arbitrations, arising in the ordinary course of business. These legal proceedings generally relate to claims asserted by or against the Company in the ordinary course of insurance or reinsurance operations. Based on the Company's opinion, the eventual outcome of these legal proceedings is not expected to have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations.

F-43

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 12. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

In April 2009, the Company learned that Bentzion S. Turin, the former Chief Operating Officer, General Counsel and Secretary of Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda, sent a letter to the U.S. Department of Labor claiming that his employment with the Company was terminated in retaliation for corporate whistle blowing in violation of the whistle blower protection provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Mr. Turin alleged concerns regarding corporate governance with respect to negotiation of the terms of the TRUPS Offering and seeks reinstatement as Chief Operating Officer, General Counsel and Secretary of Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda, back pay and legal fees incurred. The Company believes that it had ample reason for terminating such employment for good and sufficient legal cause, and the Company believes that the claim is without merit and is vigorously defending this claim. On December 31, 2009, the U.S. Secretary of Labor found no reasonable cause for Mr. Turin's claim and dismissed the complaint in its entirety. Mr. Turin objected to the Secretary's findings and requested a hearing before an administrative law judge in the U.S. Department of Labor. The Company moved to dismiss Mr. Turin's complaint, and its motion was granted by the Administrative Law Judge on June 30, 2011. On July 13, 2011, Mr. Turin filed a petition for review of the Administrative Law Judge's decision with the Administrative Review Board in the U.S. Department of Labor. The Company filed its brief in opposition to the petition for review on October 19, 2011.

## k) Dividends declared

During the fourth quarter, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the following quarterly dividends payable to shareholders:

|                              | Dividend per Share | Payable on:       | Record date:      |
|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Common shares                | \$0.09             | December 28, 2012 | December 14, 2012 |
| Preference shares - Series A | \$0.6073           | December 17, 2012 | December 1, 2012  |

On December 4, 2012, the Company announced the acceleration of the common share dividend record date and payment date for the Company's previously announced quarterly cash dividend of \$0.09 per common share. The common share dividend was paid on December 28, 2012 to shareholders of record as of December 14, 2012. Prior to this announcement, the record date and the payment date of the quarterly dividends to common shareholders were January 2, 2013 and January 15, 2013 respectively.

## 13. Earnings per Common Share

The following is a summary of the elements used in calculating basic and diluted earnings per common share:

| For the Year Ended December 31,                                      | 2012       | 2011       | 2010       |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Net income attributable to Maiden common shareholders                | \$46,510   | \$28,524   | \$69,866   |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding – basic         | 72,263,022 | 72,155,503 | 70,799,966 |
| Potentially dilutive securities:                                     |            |            |            |
| Share options  | 842,509    | 748,185    | 572,722    |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding – diluted       | 73,105,531 | 72,903,688 | 71,372,688 |
| Basic earnings per share attributable to Maiden common shareholders: | \$0.64     | \$0.40     | \$0.99     |

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

|  |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Diluted earnings per share attributable to Maiden common shareholders: | \$0.64 | \$0.39 | \$0.98 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|

As of December 31, 2012, 2,072,989 share options (2011 – 2,137,836; 2010 – 1,820,626) were excluded from diluted earnings per common share as they were anti-dilutive.

F-44

---

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 14. Shareholders' Equity

## a) Common Shares

The following table shows the summary of changes in common shares issued and outstanding:

|   | For the Year Ended December 31, |            |            |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|------------|
|   | 2012                            | 2011       | 2010       |
| Issued and outstanding shares – January 1   | 72,221,428                      | 72,107,100 | 70,291,289 |
| Exercise of options                         | 122,519                         | 114,328    | 15,811     |
| Exchange of warrants                        | —                               | —          | 1,800,000  |
| Issued and outstanding shares – December 31 | 72,343,947                      | 72,221,428 | 72,107,100 |

(i) Authorized and Issued — The Company's authorized share capital is 150,000,000 common shares with a par value of \$0.01 per share, of which there are 72,343,947 common shares issued and outstanding. A total of 7,800,000 common shares were issued to the Founding Shareholders in consideration of their investment of \$50,000 in the Company and a further 51,750,000 common shares were sold by the Company in a private placement which raised approximately \$479,929 in net proceeds in July 2007. On January 20, 2009, the Company issued an additional 11,700,000 common shares as a result of another private placement which was discussed in more detail under Note 8. A further 122,519, 114,328, and 15,811 common shares were issued in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, relating to the exercise of share options. The holders of our common shares are entitled to receive dividends and are allocated one vote per common share, subject to downward adjustment under certain circumstances. See below for the discussion relating to the exchange of warrants in 2010.

On December 24, 2012, the Company adopted a written trading plan to facilitate the repurchase of its common shares in accordance with the Company's existing share purchase reauthorization whereby in August 2012, the Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to \$75 million of the Company's common shares. During the year ended, December 31, 2012, there was no common shares repurchased by the Company.

(ii) Warrants — In connection with the formation by our Founding Shareholders, the Company issued to the Founding Shareholders 10-year warrants to purchase up to 4,050,000 common shares of the Company at \$10 per share. The warrants were effective June 14, 2007 and were to expire on June 14, 2017. The warrants were initially measured at an aggregate fair value of \$19,521 which was recorded as an addition to additional paid-in-capital with an offsetting charge to additional paid-in-capital as well. The fair value of the warrants issued was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The volatility assumption used, 34.53%, was derived from the historical volatility of the share price of a range of publicly-traded companies with similar types of business to that of the Company. No allowance was made for any potential illiquidity associated with the private trading of the Company's common shares. The other assumptions in the option pricing model were as follows: risk free interest rate of 5.16%, expected life of 10 years and a dividend yield of 1%.

On September 20, 2010, the Company entered into Warrant Exchange Agreements, under which each of the Founding Shareholders agreed to surrender the warrants in exchange for a total of 1,800,000 of the Company's common shares. These common shares are restricted under Lockup Agreements under which the Founding Shareholders may not sell or transfer the shares awarded without the prior written consent of the Company for a period of 36 months following the exchange. The fair value of the warrants at the time of exchange was \$2.06 per warrant or \$8,343 while the fair



value of the 1,800,000 restricted common shares issued was \$4.56 per share or \$8,208. The terms of the exchange of the warrants and issuance of the common shares were negotiated and unanimously approved by the Audit and Compensation Committees of the Company's Board of Directors. In connection with their review, the Committees were advised by independent legal counsel and obtained an independent appraisal of the fair value of the warrants and the restricted common shares. The issuance of the restricted common shares was recorded as an offset to additional paid-in-capital where the warrants were originally recorded.

(iii) Treasury Shares — On October 14, 2008, a hedge fund that the Company had invested in decided to close and liquidate its investments and return cash to shareholders in stages over an 18 month period. This hedge fund was also a shareholder in the Company. Maiden agreed to receive its shares from the hedge fund, in lieu of the cash that the Company would have received upon the redemption of 90% of its investment in the fund. As a result of this transaction the Company received 962,336 shares at the valuation price of \$3.95 per share. The Company holds these shares as Treasury Shares.

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

14. Shareholders' Equity (continued)

b) Preference Shares - Series A

On August 22, 2012, the Company issued six million 8.25% Preference Shares - Series A (the "Preference Shares"), par value \$0.01 per share, at a price of \$25 per share. The Company received net proceeds of \$145,041 from its offering, after deducting expenses and underwriting discounts of \$4,959. The Preference Shares have no stated maturity date and are redeemable in whole or in part at the option of the Company any time after August 29, 2017 at a redemption price of \$25 per preference share plus any declared and unpaid dividends, without accumulation of any undeclared dividends.

Dividends on the Preference Shares are non-cumulative. Consequently, in the event dividends are not declared on the Preference Shares for any dividend period, holders of Preference Shares will not be entitled to receive a dividend for such period, and such undeclared dividend will not accrue and will not be payable. The holders of Preference Shares will be entitled to receive dividend payments only when, as and if declared by the Company's Board of Directors or a duly authorized committee of the Board of Directors. Any such dividends will be payable from, and including, the date of original issue on a non-cumulative basis, quarterly in arrears.

To the extent declared, these dividends will accumulate, with respect to each dividend period, in an amount per share equal to 8.25% of the \$25 liquidation preference per annum. During any dividend period, so long as any Preference Shares remain outstanding, unless the full dividends for the latest completed dividend period on all outstanding Preference Shares have been declared and paid, no dividend shall be paid or declared on the common shares.

The holders of the Preference Shares have no voting rights other than the right to elect up to two directors if preference share dividends are not declared and paid for six or more dividend periods.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company declared and paid \$3,644 in preference share dividends.

15. Share Compensation and Pension Plans

The Company's Amended and Restated 2007 Share Incentive Plan (the "Plan"), provides for grants of options, restricted common shares and restricted share units. The total number of common shares currently reserved for issuance under the Plan is 10,000,000. The Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. Exercise prices of options will be established at or above the fair market value of the Company's common shares at the date of grant. Under the Plan, unless otherwise determined by the Compensation Committee and provided in an award agreement, 25% of the options will become exercisable on the first anniversary of the grant date, with an additional 6.25% of the options vesting each quarter thereafter based on the grantee's continued employment over a four-year period, and will expire ten years after grant date.

Share Options

The fair value of each option grant is separately estimated for each vesting date. The fair value of each option is amortized into compensation expense on a straight-line basis between the grant date for the award and each vesting date. The Company has estimated the fair value of all share option awards on the date of the grant by applying the Black-Scholes-Merton multiple-option pricing valuation model. The application of this valuation model involves

assumptions that are judgmental and highly sensitive in the determination of compensation expense. The adoption of ASC Topic 718 "Compensation - Stock Compensation" fair value method has resulted in share-based expenses (a component of salaries and benefits) in the amount of approximately \$1,347, \$1,307 and \$1,015 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The key assumptions used in determining the fair value of options granted in 2012, 2011 and 2010 and a summary of the methodology applied to develop each assumption were as follows:

F-46

---

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 15. Share Compensation and Pension Plans (continued)

|  | 2012          |   | 2011          |   | 2010            |   |
|--|---------------|---|---------------|---|-----------------|---|
| Assumptions:                             |               |   |               |   |                 |   |
| Volatility                               | 45.30 – 47.60 | % | 45.55 – 47.60 | % | 29.50 – 46.00   | % |
| Risk-free interest rate                  | 0.85 – 1.29   | % | 1.29 – 1.62   | % | 1.62 – 3.21     | % |
| Weighted average expected lives in years | 6.1 years     |   | 6.1 years     |   | 5.5 – 6.1 years |   |
| Forfeiture rate                          | 1.60          | % | 0             | % | 0               | % |
| Dividend yield rate                      | 3.04 – 3.55   | % | 3.04 – 3.27   | % | 1.00 – 3.57     | % |

**Expected Price Volatility** — This is a measure of the amount by which a price has fluctuated or is expected to fluctuate. It was not possible to use actual experience to estimate the expected volatility of the price of the common shares in estimating the value of the options granted because the Company's common shares only began trading in May 2008, thus, it does not have enough history over which to calculate an expected volatility representative of the volatility over the expected lives of the options. As a substitute for such estimate, the Company blended its historical volatility with the historical volatilities of a set of comparable companies in the industry in which the Company operates.

**Risk-Free Interest Rate** — This is the U.S. Treasury rate for the week of the grant having a term equal to the expected life of the option. An increase in the risk-free interest rate will increase compensation expense.

**Expected Lives** — This is the period of time over which the options granted are expected to remain outstanding giving consideration to vesting schedules, historical exercise and forfeiture patterns. The Company uses the simplified method outlined in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 to estimate expected lives for options granted during the period as historical exercise data is not available and the options meet the requirements set out in the Bulletin. Options granted have a maximum term of ten years. An increase in the expected life will increase compensation expense.

**Forfeiture Rate** — This is the estimated percentage of options granted that are expected to be forfeited or cancelled before becoming fully vested. An increase in the forfeiture rate will decrease compensation expense.

**Dividend Yield** — This is calculated by dividing the expected annual dividend by the share price of the Company at the valuation date. An increase in the dividend yield will decrease compensation expense.

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 15. Share Compensation and Pension Plans (continued)

The following schedule shows all options granted, exercised, expired and exchanged under the Plan for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

|   | Number of<br>Share<br>Options | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price | Fair Value<br>of Options | Weighted<br>Average<br>Remaining<br>Contractual<br>Term | Aggregate<br>Intrinsic<br>Value | Range of<br>Exercise<br>Prices |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Outstanding, December 31, 2009                    | 2,036,542                     | \$5.79                                   |                          | 8.86 years  | \$1,548                         | \$3.28 – 10.00                 |
| Granted   | 931,333                       | \$7.57                                   | \$2.45                   | 9.60 years  |                                 | \$6.94 – 7.99                  |
| Exercised   | (15,811 )                     | \$3.28                                   |                          |   | \$68                            |                                |
| Expired   | (688 )                        | \$3.28                                   |                          |   |                                 |                                |
| Forfeited   | (10,500 )                     | \$3.28                                   |                          |   |                                 |                                |
| Outstanding, December 31, 2010                    | 2,940,876                     | \$6.41                                   |                          | 8.40 years  | \$5,286                         | \$3.28 – 10.00                 |
| Granted   | 133,500                       | \$8.57                                   | \$2.89                   | 9.77 years  |                                 | \$7.63 – 9.40                  |
| Exercised   | (114,328 )                    | \$3.69                                   |                          |   | \$587                           |                                |
| Expired   | (375 )                        | \$7.65                                   |                          |   |                                 |                                |
| Forfeited   | (43,530 )                     | \$7.19                                   |                          |   |                                 |                                |
| Outstanding, December 31, 2011                    | 2,916,143                     | \$6.61                                   |                          | 7.55 years  | \$6,866                         | \$3.28 – 10.00                 |
| Granted   | 117,000                       | \$8.89                                   | \$2.65                   | 9.65 years  |                                 | \$8.14 – 9.42                  |
| Exercised   | (122,519 )                    | \$3.90                                   |                          |   | \$616                           |                                |
| Expired   | (103,847 )                    | \$9.87                                   |                          |   |                                 |                                |
| Forfeited   | (11,340 )                     | \$7.54                                   |                          |   |                                 |                                |
| Outstanding, December 31, 2012                    | 2,795,437                     | \$6.70                                   |                          | 6.75 years  | \$7,271                         | \$3.28 – 10.00                 |
| Total options exercisable at<br>December 31, 2012 | 2,127,141                     | \$6.35                                   |                          | 6.35 years  | \$6,336                         | \$3.28 – 10.00                 |

The weighted average grant date fair value was \$2.05, \$2.01 and \$1.91 for all options outstanding at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. There was approximately \$1,528 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements as of December 31, 2012 which will be recognized during the next 4 years. Cash in the amount of \$478 was received from employees as a result of employee share option exercises during the year ended December 31, 2012 (2011 – \$422; 2010 – \$52). The Company issues new common shares upon the exercise of an option. In connection with these exercises, there was no tax benefit realized by the Company.

## Performance-Based Restricted Share Units (PB-RSUs)

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approved the formation of a long-term incentive program under the Plan on March 1, 2011. On that date, the Committee determined to award PB-RSUs to executive officers and senior Company employees. The formula for determining the amount of PB-RSUs awarded uses a combination of a percentage of the employee's base salary (based on a benchmarking analysis from the Company's compensation consultant) divided by the closing price on NASDAQ Global Select Market of our common shares on that date. The grants are performance based which require that certain criteria such as return on equity, underwriting performance, revenue growth and operating expense be met during the performance period to attain a payout. Each metric has a

corresponding weighted percentage with a target, threshold and maximum level of performance goal set to achieve a payout. Settlement of the grants can be made in either common shares or cash upon the decision of the Compensation Committee of the Company. The first performance cycle is for two years, 2011-2012, and subsequent performance cycles will be for three years. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, no accrual was recognized as the calculated weighted percentage of the performance results of the Company did not meet the target level.

F-48

---

Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

15. Share Compensation and Pension Plans (continued)

CEO Non-Performance-Based Restricted Share Units

On March 1, 2012, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approved an award of non-performance-based restricted share units to the Company's CEO. The award consists of 86,705 restricted share units, of which one-third automatically vest by December 31, 2012, of which one-third automatically vest by December 31, 2013, and of which one-third automatically vest by December 31, 2014. Each share unit has a fair value of \$8.56 which is amortized over 34 months. The unamortized compensation cost related to this grant is \$524 as of December 31, 2012.

Pension Plans

The Company provides pension benefits to eligible employees principally through various defined contribution plans sponsored by the Company which vary for each subsidiary.

The Company's expenses for its defined contribution plans were \$2,529, \$2,813 and \$2,326 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

16. Taxation

Under current Bermuda law, Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda, have received an undertaking from the Bermuda government exempting them from all local income, withholding and capital gains taxes until March 31, 2035. At the present time, no such taxes are levied in Bermuda. Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda believe that they operate in a manner such that they will not be considered to be engaged in a trade or business in the U.S. Accordingly, Maiden Holdings and Maiden Bermuda have not recorded any provision for U.S. taxation.

Our U.S. subsidiaries are subject to federal, state and local corporate income taxes and other taxes applicable to U.S. corporations. The provision for federal income taxes has been determined under the principles of the consolidated tax provisions of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code and Regulations. Should the U.S. subsidiaries pay a dividend outside the U.S. group, withholding taxes will apply. Our U.S. subsidiaries were under examination for tax years 2009 and 2010. The audits have been closed. There was no impact on the financial statements as a result. Subsequent tax years are not under examination but remain subject to examination in the U.S.

The Company has subsidiary operations in various other jurisdictions around the world, including but not limited to Australia, Austria, Germany, Netherlands, Russia and the U.K., that are subject to relevant taxes in those jurisdictions.

Deferred income taxes have not been accrued with respect to certain undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries as it is the intention that such earnings will remain reinvested or will not be taxable. If the earnings were to be distributed, as dividends or otherwise, such amounts may be subject to withholding taxation in the country of the paying entity. Currently however, no withholding taxes have been accrued.

There were no unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

Income tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 and net deferred tax liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| For the Year Ended December 31,            | 2012    | 2011    | 2010    |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Current tax expense – U.S.                 | \$34    | \$79    | \$130   |
| Current tax expense – Other jurisdictions  | 986     | 553     | 30      |
| Total current tax expense                  | 1,020   | 632     | 160     |
| Deferred tax expense – U.S.                | 1,161   | 1,161   | 1,170   |
| Deferred tax expense – Other jurisdictions | 32      | 134     | —       |
| Total deferred tax expense                 | 1,193   | 1,295   | 1,170   |
| Total income tax expense                   | \$2,213 | \$1,927 | \$1,330 |

F-49

---



Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 16. Taxation (continued)

The following table is a reconciliation of the actual income tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 to the amount computed by applying the effective tax rate of 0.0% under Bermuda law to income before taxes:

| For the Year Ended December 31,                                 | 2012     | 2011     | 2010     |  |    |
|---|----------|----------|----------|--|----|
| Income before income taxes                                      | \$52,474 | \$30,454 | \$71,192 |  |    |
| Income tax expense  | 2,213    | 1,927    | 1,330    |  |    |
| Net income  | \$50,261 | \$28,527 | \$69,862 |  |    |
| Reconciliation of effective tax rate (% of income before taxes) |          |          |          |  |    |
| Bermuda tax rate  | —        | % —      | % —      |  | %  |
| U.S. taxes at statutory rates                                   | (9.4     | )% (67.2 | )% (18.8 |  | )% |
| Valuation allowance in respect of U.S. taxes                    | 11.7     | % 71.3   | % 20.6   |  | %  |
| Other jurisdictions   | 1.9      | % 2.2    | % 0.1    |  | %  |
| Actual tax rate   | 4.2      | % 6.3    | % 1.9    |  | %  |

Deferred income taxes reflect the tax impact of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting and income tax purposes. The significant components of our deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| December 31,   | 2012     | 2011     |
|--|----------|----------|
| Deferred tax assets:   |          |          |
| Net operating losses   | \$42,014 | \$38,279 |
| Unearned premiums  | 8,929    | 5,595    |
| Discounting of net loss and loss adjustment expense reserves | 10,585   | 5,212    |
| Accruals not currently deductible                            | 88       | 1,647    |
| Amortization of intangibles                                  | 2,988    | 2,680    |
| Others   | 913      | 343      |
| Deferred tax assets before valuation allowance               | 65,517   | 53,756   |
| Valuation allowance  | 41,231   | 36,208   |
| Deferred tax assets, net                                     | 24,286   | 17,548   |
| Deferred tax liabilities:                                    |          |          |
| Deferred commission and other acquisition expenses           | 13,054   | 7,829    |
| Indefinite lived intangible                                  | 2,870    | 2,870    |
| Amortization of goodwill                                     | 4,837    | 3,676    |
| Net unrealized gains on investments                          | 10,249   | 9,111    |
| Market discount on bonds                                     | 488      | 497      |
| Others   | 488      | 24       |
| Deferred tax liabilities                                     | 31,986   | 24,007   |
| Net deferred tax liability                                   | \$7,700  | \$6,459  |



Table of Contents

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

16. Taxation (continued)

The net deferred tax liability at December 31, 2012 is \$7,700. A valuation allowance has been established against the net U.S. deferred tax assets which is primarily attributable to net operating losses, unearned premium and loss reserve discounting. At this time, we believe it is necessary to establish a valuation allowance against the net deferred tax assets due to insufficient positive evidence regarding the utilization of these losses. During 2012, the Company recorded an increase in the valuation allowance of \$6,104 (2011 - \$21,713) which was recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income and a decrease of \$1,081 (2011 - increase of \$1,545) was recorded as a component of other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity.

At December 31, 2012, the Company has available U.S. net operating loss carry-forward of approximately \$119,991 for income tax purposes which will expire beginning in 2029.

17. Statutory Financial Information

Under The Insurance Act 1978 (Bermuda), amendments thereto and related regulations (the "Insurance Act"), Maiden Bermuda is required to prepare Statutory Financial Statements and to file a Statutory Financial Return in Bermuda. The Insurance Act also requires Maiden Bermuda to maintain a minimum share capital of \$120. To satisfy these requirements, the statutory capital and surplus of Maiden Bermuda at December 31, 2012 was approximately \$942,806 (2011 - \$693,435) and the amount required to be maintained under Bermuda law, the Minimum Solvency Margin, was \$231,133 (2011 - \$226,468) at December 31, 2012. Maiden Bermuda was also required to maintain a minimum liquidity ratio. All requirements were met by Maiden Bermuda throughout the period. In addition, Maiden Bermuda is subject to statutory and regulatory restrictions under the Insurance Act that limit the maximum amount of annual dividends or distributions to be paid by Maiden Bermuda to Maiden Holdings without notification to the Bermuda Monetary Authority of such payment (and in certain cases prior approval of the Bermuda Monetary Authority). Maiden Bermuda is also restricted in paying dividends that would result in Maiden Bermuda failing to comply with the enhanced capital requirement ("ECR") as calculated based on the Bermuda Solvency Requirement ("BSCR"). Maiden Bermuda is currently completing its BSCR as of December 31, 2012 and it is anticipated Maiden Bermuda will be allowed to pay dividends or distributions not exceeding \$217,652.

Maiden Bermuda is registered as a Class 3B reinsurer under the Insurance Act and therefore must maintain capital at a level equal to its ECR which is established by reference to the BSCR model. The BSCR employs a standard mathematical model that correlates the risk underwritten to the capital that is dedicated to the business. The regulatory requirements are designed to have insurers operate at or above a threshold capital level, which exceeds the BSCR. While not specifically referred to in the Insurance Act, the BMA has established a target capital level ("TCL") for each Class 3B insurer equal to 120% of its ECR. While a Class 3B insurer is not currently required to maintain its statutory capital and surplus at this level, the TCL serves as an early warning tool for the BMA and failure to maintain statutory capital at least equal to the TCL will likely result in increased BMA regulatory oversight. Maiden Bermuda is currently completing its BSCR as of December 31, 2012 and believes that it exceeds the ECR level of required capital.

For Bermuda registered insurance companies, there are some differences between financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and those prepared on a statutory basis. Certain assets are non-admitted under Bermuda regulations and so deferred commission and other acquisition expenses have been fully expensed and prepaid expenses and fixed assets removed from the statutory balance sheet.

The Company's insurance subsidiaries in the U.S., Maiden US and Maiden Specialty, file financial statements in accordance with statutory accounting practices ("SAP") prescribed or permitted by domestic or foreign insurance regulatory authorities. The differences between statutory financial statements and financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP vary between domestic and foreign jurisdictions. The principal differences relate to (1) acquisition expenses incurred in connection with acquiring new business which are charged to expense under SAP but under GAAP are deferred and amortized as the related premiums are earned; (2) limitation on net deferred tax assets created by the tax effects of temporary differences; (3) unpaid losses and loss expense, and unearned premium reserves are presented gross of reinsurance with a corresponding asset recorded; and (4) fixed maturity portfolios that qualify as available-for-sale are carried at fair value and changes in fair value are reflected directly in unassigned surplus, net of related deferred taxes.

Without prior approval of its domiciliary commissioner, dividends to shareholders are limited by the laws of the US companies' state of domicile, Missouri and North Carolina, to the greater of 10% of statutory policyholders' surplus as of the preceding December 31, or net income, less net realized capital gain on investments, for the 12-month period ending December 31 of the preceding year. Accordingly, the maximum dividend payments that can be made in the next year without prior approval by the Missouri Department of Insurance and North Carolina Department of Insurance is \$0 and \$4,616, respectively.

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 17. Statutory Financial Information (continued)

The Company's insurance subsidiary in Sweden, Maiden LF, is regulated by the Swedish Finansinspektionen ("Swedish FSA"). Maiden LF was required to maintain a minimum level of statutory capital and surplus of \$4,618 at December 31, 2012 (2011 - \$4,536). This requirement was met by Maiden LF throughout the period. The statutory assets were approximately \$29,378 (2011 - \$31,761). Maiden LF is subject to statutory and regulatory restrictions under the Swedish FSA that limit the maximum amount of annual dividends or distributions paid by Maiden LF to the Company. As of December 31, 2012, Maiden LF is allowed to pay dividends or distributions not exceeding the capital surplus of \$2,007.

The Statutory equity and net income of the Company's insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries were as follows:

|                                      | Maiden<br>Bermuda | Maiden US  | Maiden<br>Specialty | Maiden LF |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Statutory Capital and Surplus        |                   |            |                     |           |
| December 31, 2012                    | \$942,806         | \$267,863  | \$46,164            | \$8,603   |
| December 31, 2011                    | 693,435           | 268,055    | 36,280              | 7,866     |
| Statutory Net Income (Loss)          |                   |            |                     |           |
| For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 | \$79,113          | \$(19,156) | \$1,227             | \$464     |
| For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 | 30,070            | (1,684)    | 119                 | 753       |
| For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 | 89,562            | 1,268      | 1,675               | 486       |

## 18. Subsequent Events

On February 19, 2013, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the following quarterly dividends:

|                              | Dividend per<br>Share | Payable on:    | Record date:  |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Common shares                | \$0.09                | April 15, 2013 | April 1, 2013 |
| Preference shares - Series A | \$0.515625            | March 15, 2013 | March 1, 2013 |

On March 7, 2013, Maiden Bermuda and AII executed an amendment to the Reinsurance Agreement, which provides for the extension of the term of the Quota Share to July 1, 2016. The amendment further provides that, effective January 1, 2013, AII will receive a ceding commission of 31% of ceded written premiums with respect to all Covered Business other than retail commercial package business, for which the ceding commission will remain 34.375%. Lastly, with regards to the Specialty Program portion of Covered Business only, excluding workers' compensation business included in the AmTrust's Specialty Program segment from July 1, 2007 through December 31, 2012, AmTrust will be responsible for ultimate net loss otherwise recoverable from Maiden Bermuda to the extent that the loss ratio to Maiden Bermuda, which shall be determined on an inception to date basis from July 1, 2007 through the date of calculation, is between 81.5% and 95%. Above and below the defined corridor, the Company will continue to reinsure losses at its proportional 40% share per the Reinsurance Agreement.

Table of Contents

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

## 19. Condensed Quarterly Financial Data — Unaudited

The following tables summarize our quarterly financial data:

|  | 2012 Quarters Ended |           |           |           |
|--|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|  | Mar 31              | Jun 30    | Sep 30    | Dec 31    |
| Total revenues   | \$463,052           | \$456,536 | \$475,555 | \$504,622 |
| Net income (loss)  | 20,378              | 14,606    | 21,934    | (6,657 )  |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Maiden common shareholders                 | 20,377              | 14,541    | 21,919    | (10,327 ) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) - attributable to Maiden shareholders            | 47,167              | 19,250    | 63,802    | (2,994 )  |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share attributable to Maiden shareholders   | \$0.28              | \$0.20    | \$0.30    | \$(0.14 ) |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per common share attributable to Maiden shareholders | \$0.28              | \$0.20    | \$0.30    | \$(0.14 ) |
|  |                     |           |           |           |
|  | 2011 Quarters Ended |           |           |           |
|  | Mar 31              | Jun 30    | Sep 30    | Dec 31    |
| Total revenues   | \$370,378           | \$390,371 | \$440,656 | \$439,035 |
| Net income (loss)  | 19,345              | (24,372 ) | 16,002    | 17,552    |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Maiden common shareholders                 | 19,342              | (24,366 ) | 16,004    | 17,544    |
| Comprehensive income (loss) - attributable to Maiden shareholders            | 24,212              | (5,722 )  | 12,918    | 6,841     |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share attributable to Maiden shareholders   | \$0.27              | \$(0.34 ) | \$0.22    | \$0.25    |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per common share attributable to Maiden shareholders | \$0.27              | \$(0.34 ) | \$0.22    | \$0.24    |

Table of Contents

## Schedule I

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## SUMMARY OF INVESTMENTS

## OTHER THAN INVESTMENTS IN RELATED PARTIES

(in thousands of U.S. dollars)

| December 31, 2012                         | Amortized<br>Cost* | Fair<br>Value | Amount at<br>Which Shown<br>in the<br>Balance Sheet |
|---|--------------------|---------------|---|
| Available-for-sale securities:            |                    |               |   |
| U.S. treasury bonds                       | \$42,671           | \$43,931      | \$43,931  |
| U.S. agency bonds – mortgage-backed       | 962,649            | 992,174       | 992,174   |
| U.S. agency bonds – other                 | 11,682             | 13,089        | 13,089  |
| Non-U.S. government bonds                 | 55,169             | 57,433        | 57,433  |
| Other mortgage-backed bonds               | 23,167             | 24,068        | 24,068  |
| Corporate bonds                           | 1,247,260          | 1,354,154     | 1,354,154   |
| Municipal bonds                           | 132,604            | 133,848       | 133,848   |
| Total available-for-sale fixed maturities | 2,475,202          | 2,618,697     | 2,618,697   |
| Other investments                         | 2,599              | 2,901         | 2,901   |
| Total investments                         | \$2,477,801        | \$2,621,598   | \$2,621,598   |

\* Original cost of other investments and, for fixed maturities, original cost reduced by repayments and adjusted for amortization of premiums or discounts

Table of Contents

## Schedule II

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS — PARENT COMPANY

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011

(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

|   | 2012         | 2011         |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Assets:   |              |              |
| Fixed maturities, available-for-sale, at fair value (Amortized cost: \$103,049)   | \$ 103,651   | \$—          |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | 3,147        | 550          |
| Investment in subsidiaries  | 1,213,865    | 983,816      |
| Balances due from subsidiaries  | 55,370       | 137,733      |
| Other assets  | 1,063        | 233          |
| Total assets  | \$ 1,377,096 | \$ 1,122,332 |
| Liabilities:  |              |              |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities  | \$ 1,138     | \$ 8,495     |
| Balances due to subsidiaries  | 360,719      | 345,195      |
| Total liabilities   | 361,857      | 353,690      |
| Shareholders' equity  |              |              |
| Preference shares - Series A  | 150,000      | —            |
| Common shares (\$0.01 par value; 73,306,283 and 73,183,764 shares issued in 2012 and 2011, respectively; 72,343,947 and 72,221,428 shares outstanding in 2012 and 2011, respectively) |              | 732          |
| Additional paid-in capital  | 575,869      | 579,004      |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income  | 141,130      | 64,059       |
| Retained earnings   | 151,308      | 128,648      |
| Treasury shares, at cost (2012 and 2011: 962,336 shares)  | (3,801)      | (3,801)      |
| Total shareholders' equity  | 1,015,239    | 768,642      |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity  | \$ 1,377,096 | \$ 1,122,332 |

S-2



Table of Contents

## Schedule II

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME — PARENT COMPANY

For the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

(In thousands of U.S. dollars)

|   | For the Year Ended December 31, |            |           |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|-----------|
|   | 2012                            | 2011       | 2010      |
| Revenues:   |                                 |            |           |
| Net investment income (loss)                                | \$795                           | \$408      | \$(118)   |
| Net realized and unrealized gains on investments            | 229                             | —          | —         |
|   | 1,024                           | 408        | (118)     |
| Expenses:   |                                 |            |           |
| General and administrative expenses                         | 8,030                           | 10,806     | 7,076     |
| Foreign exchange (gains) losses                             | (225)                           | ) 31       | —         |
|   | 7,805                           | 10,837     | 7,076     |
| Loss before equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries | (6,781)                         | ) (10,429) | ) (7,194) |
| Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries             | 56,935                          | 38,953     | 77,060    |
| Net income attributable to Maiden shareholders              | 50,154                          | 28,524     | 69,866    |
| Dividends on preference shares                              | (3,644)                         | ) —        | —         |
| Net income attributable to Maiden common shareholders       | \$46,510                        | \$28,524   | \$69,866  |

S-3

Table of Contents

## Schedule II

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS — PARENT COMPANY

For the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

(In thousands of U.S. dollars)

|   | 2012     | 2011      | 2010      |
|---|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash flows provided by operating activities:                                  |          |           |           |
| Net income attributable to Maiden shareholders                                | \$50,154 | \$28,524  | \$69,866  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities: |          |           |           |
| Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries                               | (56,935  | ) (38,953 | ) (77,060 |
| Amortization of bond premium and discount                                     | 786      | —         | —         |
| Net realized and unrealized gains on investments                              | (229     | ) —       | —         |
| Foreign exchange (gains) losses   | (225     | ) 31      | —         |
| Non-cash share compensation expense   | 1,347    | 1,307     | 1,015     |
| Changes in assets – decrease (increase):                                      |          |           |           |
| Balance due from subsidiaries   | 82,588   | (36,414   | ) (4,209) |
| Other assets  | (829     | ) 230     | (57)      |
| Changes in liabilities – (decrease) increase:                                 |          |           |           |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities                                      | (1,579   | ) 1,746   | 387       |
| Balances due to subsidiaries  | 15,524   | 63,633    | 36,485    |
| Net cash provided by operating activities                                     | 90,602   | 20,104    | 26,427    |
| Cash flows used in investing activities:                                      |          |           |           |
| Purchases of fixed-maturity securities – available-for-sale                   | (137,486 | ) —       | —         |
| Proceeds from sales of fixed-maturity securities – available-for-sale         | 9,452    | —         | —         |
| Proceeds from maturities and calls of fixed maturity securities               | 24,427   | —         | —         |
| Investment in subsidiaries  | (96,643  | ) 148     | (7,476    |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities                           | (200,250 | ) 148     | (7,476    |
| Cash flows used in financing activities:                                      |          |           |           |
| Preference shares - Series A issuance, net of issuance costs                  | 145,041  | —         | —         |
| Dividends paid on preference shares   | (3,644   | ) —       | —         |
| Dividends paid to Maiden common shareholders                                  | (29,630  | ) (20,921 | ) (18,394 |
| Issuance of common shares   | 478      | 422       | 52        |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities                           | 112,245  | (20,499   | ) (18,342 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents                          | 2,597    | (247      | ) 609     |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year                                  | 550      | 797       | 188       |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year  | \$3,147  | \$550     | \$797     |

S-4

Table of Contents

## Schedule III

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INSURANCE INFORMATION

(In thousands of U.S. dollars)

|                                 | December 31, 2012                                  |   |                   | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 |                       |                                  |  |                                     |                      |
|---------------------------------|--|---|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
|                                 | Deferred commission and other acquisition expenses | Reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses | Unearned premiums | Net premiums earned                  | Net investment income | Net loss and adjustment expenses | Amortization of deferred commission and other acquisition expenses | General and administrative expenses | Net premiums written |
| Diversified Reinsurance AmTrust | \$83,287   | \$1,139,179                                   | \$324,954         | \$795,341                            | \$—                   | \$583,970                        | \$203,209  | \$40,951                            | \$765,293            |
| Quota Share Reinsurance         | 153,530  | 521,924                                       | 503,915           | 727,781                              | —                     | 494,633                          | 200,546  | 1,949                               | 840,346              |
| ACAC Quota Share                | 33,852   | 79,178  | 107,628           | 280,658                              | —                     | 183,745                          | 88,276   | 737                                 | 295,646              |
| Corporate                       | —  | —   | —                 | —                                    | 81,188                | —                                | —  | 10,167                              | —                    |
| Total                           | \$270,669  | \$1,740,281                                   | \$936,497         | \$1,803,780                          | \$81,188              | \$1,262,348                      | \$492,031  | \$53,804                            | \$1,901,285          |
|                                 | December 31, 2011                                  |   |                   | For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 |                       |                                  |  |                                     |                      |
|                                 | Deferred commission and other acquisition expenses | Reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses | Unearned premiums | Net premiums earned                  | Net investment income | Net loss and adjustment expenses | Amortization of deferred commission and other acquisition expenses | General and administrative expenses | Net premiums written |
| Diversified Reinsurance AmTrust | \$98,712   | \$1,011,431                                   | \$348,131         | \$748,387                            | \$—                   | \$502,375                        | \$200,239  | \$36,374                            | \$798,037            |
| Quota Share Reinsurance         | 120,369  | 327,101                                       | 391,275           | 558,197                              | —                     | 380,263                          | 160,522  | 2,283                               | 669,283              |
| ACAC Quota Share                | 29,355   | 59,906  | 92,641            | 245,844                              | —                     | 160,416                          | 78,051   | 1,635                               | 256,201              |
| Corporate                       | —  | —   | —                 | —                                    | 74,891                | —                                | —  | 13,600                              | —                    |
| Total                           | \$248,436  | \$1,398,438                                   | \$832,047         | \$1,552,428                          | \$74,891              | \$1,043,054                      | \$438,812  | \$53,892                            | \$1,723,521          |
|                                 | December 31, 2010                                  |   |                   | For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 |                       |                                  |  |                                     |                      |
|                                 | Deferred commission and other acquisition expenses | Reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses | Unearned premiums | Net premiums earned                  | Net investment income | Net loss and adjustment expenses | Amortization of deferred commission and other acquisition expenses | General and administrative expenses | Net premiums written |
|                                 | \$85,252   | \$971,317                                     | \$291,148         | \$601,254                            | \$—                   | \$394,604                        | \$152,698  | \$26,123                            | \$554,049            |

Edgar Filing: Maiden Holdings, Ltd. - Form 10-K

|  |           |             |           |             |          |           |           |          |             |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| Diversified<br>Reinsurance<br>AmTrust<br>Quota Share | 92,155    | 222,812     | 284,124   | 445,081     | —        | 280,890   | 144,655   | 1,500    | 468,043     |
| Reinsurance<br>ACAC Quota<br>Share                   | 26,224    | 32,644      | 82,284    | 123,455     | —        | 79,628    | 39,344    | 243      | 205,739     |
| Corporate  | —         | —           | —         | —           | 71,651   | —         | —         | 14,314   | —           |
| Total  | \$203,631 | \$1,226,773 | \$657,556 | \$1,169,790 | \$71,651 | \$755,122 | \$336,697 | \$42,180 | \$1,227,831 |

S-5

---

Table of Contents

## Schedule IV

## MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

## SUPPLEMENTARY REINSURANCE INFORMATION

(In thousands of U.S. dollars)

| For the Year Ended December 31,   | (a)<br>Gross | (b)<br>Ceded to<br>other<br>companies | (c)<br>Assumed<br>from<br>other<br>companies | (d)<br>Net amount<br>(a) - (b) + (c) | Percentage of<br>amount<br>to net<br>(c)/(d) |   |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| 2012 Premiums – General Insurance | \$122,412    | \$99,707                              | \$1,878,580                                  | \$1,901,285                          | 98.8   | % |
| 2011 Premiums – General Insurance | 114,036      | 89,076                                | 1,698,561                                    | 1,723,521                            | 98.6   | % |
| 2010 Premiums – General Insurance | 71,625       | 70,224                                | 1,226,430                                    | 1,227,831                            | 99.9   | % |

S-6

Table of Contents

Schedule VI

MAIDEN HOLDINGS, LTD.

SUPPLEMENTARY INSURANCE INFORMATION

CONCERNING PROPERTY/CASUALTY INSURANCE OPERATIONS

(In thousands of U.S. dollars)

| For the Year Ended December 31, | Net loss and loss adjustment expenses |            | Paid loss and loss adjustment expenses |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|--|
|                                 | Current Year                          | Prior Year |  |
| 2012                            | \$1,239,016                           | \$23,332   | \$1,015,309                            |
| 2011                            | 1,028,855                             | 14,199     | 880,004                                |
| 2010                            | 787,967                               | (32,845    | ) 631,334                              |

S-7