

Chefs' Warehouse, Inc.
Form DEF 14A
April 03, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 14a-11(c) or Rule 14a-12

The Chefs' Warehouse, Inc.
(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Edgar Filing: Chefs' Warehouse, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- 1) Amount Previously Paid:
- 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- 3) Filing Party:
- 4) Date Filed:

The Chefs' Warehouse, Inc.

Notice Of Annual Meeting Of Stockholders

To Be Held On May 15, 2015

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") of The Chefs' Warehouse, Inc. (the "Company"), which will be held on Friday, May 15, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. eastern daylight time at The Ridgefield Playhouse, 80 East Ridge Street, Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877 and simultaneously on the Internet through a virtual web conference at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/chef15, and any adjournments or postponements of the Annual Meeting.

We are holding the Annual Meeting for the following purposes:

1. To elect Dominick Cerbone, John A. Couri, Joseph Cugine, Alan Guarino, Stephen Hanson, Christopher Pappas and John Pappas as directors to hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors are elected and qualified;
2. To ratify the selection of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 25, 2015;
3. To approve, on a non-binding, advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers as disclosed in the proxy statement that accompanies this notice; and
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the Annual Meeting.

These matters are more fully described in the accompanying proxy statement. We are not aware of any other business to be transacted at the Annual Meeting.

Only stockholders of record on our books at the close of business on Monday, March 18, 2015 will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting and any adjournments or postponements of the Annual Meeting. For 10 days prior to the Annual Meeting, a list of stockholders entitled to vote will be available for inspection by any stockholder for any purpose germane to the Annual Meeting at our principal executive offices located at 100 East Ridge Road, Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877. If you would like to view the stockholder list, please call our General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, Alexandros Aldous, at (203) 894-1345 to schedule an appointment. The stockholder list will also be available on the Internet through the virtual web conference during the Annual Meeting.

In accordance with rules approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission, we are furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders over the Internet. On or about April 3, 2015, we mailed to all stockholders of record as of the close of business on March 18, 2015 a notice containing instructions on how to access our Annual Report to Stockholders, which contains our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 26, 2014, and our proxy statement, proxy card and other items of interest to stockholders on the Internet website indicated in our notice, as well as instructions on how to vote. The April 3, 2015 notice also provides instructions on how you can request a paper copy of our proxy materials and Annual Report to Stockholders.

You may vote your shares in person at the Annual Meeting, via the Internet, by telephone or by completing, dating, signing and promptly returning a proxy card to us in the envelope provided, if you requested and received a paper copy of the proxy card by mail. The proxy materials provide you with details on how to vote by these methods. **We encourage you to vote in the method that suits you best so that your shares will be voted at the Annual Meeting.**

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Christopher Pappas,
Chairman of the Board

April 3, 2015

PLEASE VOTE. STOCKHOLDERS MAY VOTE IN PERSON AT THE ANNUAL MEETING OR BY INTERNET, TELEPHONE OR MAIL. PLEASE REFER TO YOUR PROXY CARD OR THE NOTICE OF PROXY AVAILABILITY DISTRIBUTED TO YOU ON OR ABOUT April 3, 2015 FOR INFORMATION ON HOW TO VOTE IN PERSON OR BY INTERNET, TELEPHONE OR MAIL.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEETING</u>	1
<u>Record Date and Share Ownership</u>	1
<u>Submitting and Revoking Your Proxy</u>	2
<u>How to Vote</u>	2
<u>Quorum</u>	3
<u>Votes Required</u>	3
<u>Attending the Annual Meeting</u>	4
<u>Householding</u>	4
<u>STOCK OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	5
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	7
<u>Summary</u>	7
<u>Director Independence</u>	8
<u>Lead Director</u>	8
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	9
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	10
<u>Risk Oversight</u>	11
<u>Compensation Risk</u>	11
<u>Nomination of Directors</u>	12
<u>Director Nominees Recommended by Stockholders</u>	13
<u>Board Meetings</u>	14
<u>Committees of the Board of Directors</u>	14
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	15
<u>Communication with the Board of Directors</u>	16
<u>PROPOSAL 1—ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	17
<u>Directors and Nominees for Director</u>	17
<u>Vote Required</u>	19
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	20
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	20
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	34
<u>Summary Compensation Table – Fiscal Years 2012-2014</u>	35
<u>2014 Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	37
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at 2014 Fiscal Year End</u>	38
<u>2014 Stock Vested Table</u>	40
<u>Change in Control and Termination Pay Tables</u>	41
<u>Director Compensation</u>	43
<u>Director Stock Ownership Requirement</u>	43

<u>PROPOSAL 2—RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	44
<u>Vote Required</u>	44
<u>Fees Paid to BDO USA, LLP</u>	44
<u>Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services</u>	45
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	46
<u>PROPOSAL 3—ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	47
<u>Vote Required</u>	47
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	48
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	48
<u>Stockholder Proposals for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	48

THE CHEFS' WAREHOUSE, INC.

100 East Ridge Road

Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON MAY 15, 2015

This proxy statement is being furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors (which we sometimes refer to as the "Board" in this proxy statement) of The Chefs' Warehouse, Inc. (the "Company") for use at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") to be held on Friday, May 15, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. eastern daylight time at The Ridgefield Playhouse, 80 East Ridge Street, Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877 and simultaneously on the Internet through a virtual web conference at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/chef15, and any adjournments or postponements of the Annual Meeting. The Board is soliciting proxies for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders. We will bear the cost of soliciting the proxies.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be Held on May 15, 2015:

As outlined in the notice we mailed to you on or about April 3, 2015 (the "Notice of Proxy Availability"), the proxy statement, proxy card and Annual Report to Stockholders for the fiscal year ended December 26, 2014 are available on the Internet at www.proxyvote.com.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEETING

Record Date and Share Ownership

Only stockholders of record on our books at the close of business on Monday, March 18, 2015 (the "Record Date") will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting and any adjournments or postponements of the Annual Meeting. As of the close of business on the Record Date, we had 25,087,911 shares of common stock outstanding and eligible to vote on each matter brought before the Annual Meeting. Each share of common stock entitles the record holder to one vote on each matter to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting. Copies of the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, this proxy statement, the proxy card and our Annual Report to Stockholders for the fiscal year ended December 26, 2014 are being made available to stockholders of record on or about April 3, 2015. We are making these materials available to you on the Internet or, upon your request, by delivering printed versions of these materials to you without charge by mail. On or about April 3, 2015, we mailed to all stockholders of record as of the Record Date the Notice of Proxy Availability, which contains instructions on how to access these materials and vote their shares of our common stock.

We will, upon written request of any stockholder, furnish without charge a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 26, 2014, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), without exhibits. Please address all such requests to the attention of Alexandros Aldous, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, The Chefs' Warehouse, Inc., 100 East Ridge Road, Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877. Exhibits will be provided upon written request to Mr. Aldous and payment of an appropriate processing fee.

Submitting and Revoking Your Proxy

If you complete and submit a proxy, the persons named as proxies will vote the shares represented by your proxy in accordance with your instructions. If you submit a proxy but do not complete the voting instructions, the persons named as proxies will vote the shares represented by your proxy as follows:

FOR the election of Dominick Cerbone, John A. Couri, Joseph Cugine, Alan Guarino, Stephen Hanson, Christopher Pappas and John Pappas as directors to hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors are elected and qualified (Proposal 1);

FOR the ratification of the selection of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2015 (Proposal 2); and

FOR the non-binding, advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement (Proposal 3).

If other matters come before the Annual Meeting, the persons named as proxies will vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment. We have not received notice of other matters that may properly be presented at the Annual Meeting.

You may revoke or revise your proxy at any time before it is exercised by (1) delivering to us a signed proxy card with a date later than your previously delivered proxy, (2) voting in person or via the Internet while attending the virtual Annual Meeting, (3) granting a subsequent proxy through the Internet or telephone, or (4) sending a written revocation to our corporate secretary at 100 East Ridge Road, Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877. Attendance at the Annual Meeting, whether in person or through the Internet, will not itself be deemed to revoke your proxy unless you vote in person or via the Internet while attending the Annual Meeting. If you attend the Annual Meeting in person and want to vote in person, you can request that your previously submitted proxy not be used. If your shares are held through a broker, bank or other institution in "street name," you will need to obtain a proxy form from the institution that holds your shares. Your most current proxy card or telephone or Internet proxy is the one that is counted.

If you hold shares of common stock in a stock brokerage account or through a bank or other nominee, you are considered to be the beneficial owner of shares held in "street name" and these proxy materials are being made available to you by your broker, bank or nominee. You may not vote directly any shares held in street name; however, as the beneficial owner of the shares, you have the right to direct your broker, bank or nominee on how to vote your shares.

If you do not provide your broker, bank or nominee instructions on how to vote your shares on non-discretionary items, a “broker non-vote” will occur. Proposal 1 (election of seven nominees as directors) and Proposal 3 (non-binding, advisory vote on executive compensation) are non-discretionary items for which your broker, bank or nominee will not be able to vote your shares without your instructions. Proposal 2 (ratification of the selection of BDO USA, LLP) is a discretionary, routine item, and your broker, bank or nominee may vote your shares in their discretion in the event that they do not receive voting instructions from you. Accordingly, it is possible for there to be broker non-votes for Proposals 1 and 3, but not for Proposal 2. In the case of a broker non-vote, your shares would be included in the number of shares considered present at the meeting for the purpose of determining whether there is a quorum. A broker non-vote, being shares not entitled to vote, would not have any effect on the outcome of the vote on Proposals 1 or 3.

In addition to solicitations by mail and the Internet, our directors, officers and employees may, without additional remuneration, solicit proxies by telephone, facsimile and personal interviews. We will request brokerage houses, banks and nominees to forward copies of the proxy materials to those persons for whom they hold shares and request instructions for voting the proxies. We will reimburse such brokerage houses, banks and other nominees for their reasonable expenses in connection with this distribution.

How to Vote

For Proposal 1 (election of seven nominees as directors), you may vote in favor of all nominees to the Board (“FOR ALL”), withhold votes as to all nominees (“WITHHOLD ALL”), or vote in favor of and withhold votes as to specific nominees (“FOR ALL EXCEPT”). A properly executed proxy marked “WITHHOLD ALL” or marked “FOR ALL EXCEPT” with respect to the election of one or more directors will not be voted with respect to the director or directors indicated, although it will be counted for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum. For Proposal 2 (ratification of the selection of BDO USA, LLP) and Proposal 3 (non-binding, advisory vote on executive compensation), you may vote “FOR” or “AGAINST” each proposal or “abstain” from voting on the proposal.

Stockholders of Record: If you are a stockholder of record on the Record Date, there are four ways to vote:

- by voting in person at the Annual Meeting;

by completing, signing, dating and returning your proxy card by mail, if you request a paper copy of the proxy materials;

- by making a toll-free telephone call within the United States or Canada using a touch-tone telephone to the toll-free number provided on your proxy card; or

by voting on the Internet. To vote on the Internet, go to the website address indicated on your Notice of Proxy Availability to complete an electronic proxy card. You will be asked to provide the control number from the Notice of Proxy Availability. You may also vote on the Internet while attending the Annual Meeting virtually through the Internet at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/chef15.

If you plan to vote by telephone or Internet in advance of the Annual Meeting, your vote must be received by 11:59 p.m., eastern daylight time, on May 14, 2015 to be counted. Internet voting during the Annual Meeting is also permissible through the virtual web meeting hosted at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/chef15.

Street Name Holders: If you hold your shares in street name, the Notice of Proxy Availability was forwarded to you by your brokerage firm, bank or other nominee and you should follow the voting instructions provided by your broker, bank or nominee. You may complete and return a voting instruction card to your broker, bank or nominee. Please check your Notice of Proxy Availability for more information. If you hold your shares in street name and wish to vote at the Annual Meeting, you must have your 12-digit control number from your Notice of Proxy Availability.

We provide Internet proxy voting to allow you to vote your shares online both before and during the Annual Meeting, with procedures designed to ensure the authenticity and correctness of your proxy vote instructions. However, please be aware that you must bear any costs associated with your Internet access, such as usage charges from Internet access providers and telephone companies.

Quorum

Presence by attendance at the Annual Meeting or by proxy of a majority of the shares of common stock outstanding at the close of business on the Record Date and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be required for a quorum.

Shares of common stock present by attendance at the Annual Meeting or represented by proxy (including shares that abstain or do not vote with respect to one or more of the matters presented for stockholder approval and broker non-votes) will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists at the Annual Meeting.

Votes Required

Proposal 1 (election of seven nominees as directors) is an uncontested director election. Our Bylaws require that each nominee be elected by a plurality of votes cast at any meeting for the election of directors at which a quorum is present. Broker non-votes will have no effect on Proposal 1 because they are not considered votes cast.

With respect to Proposal 2 (ratification of the selection of BDO USA, LLP) and Proposal 3 (non-binding, advisory vote on executive compensation), the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented at the meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal is necessary for approval. Abstentions will be equivalent to a vote against Proposals 2 and 3. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the results of Proposal 3 because they are not considered votes cast. However, regarding Proposal 2, the ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm is considered a “routine” item and brokerage firms may vote in their discretion on this matter on behalf of beneficial owners who have not furnished voting instructions before the date of the Annual Meeting.

Attending the Annual Meeting

We will be hosting the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders at The Ridgefield Playhouse, 80 East Ridge Street, Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877. Any stockholder can attend the Annual Meeting in person. We will also be hosting the Annual Meeting on the Internet through a virtual web conference at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/chef15. A summary of the information you need to attend the Annual Meeting online is provided below:

Any stockholder can attend the Annual Meeting live via the Internet at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/chef15.

- Webcast starts at 10:00 a.m. eastern daylight time.
- Please have your 12-digit control number to enter the Annual Meeting.
- Stockholders may vote and submit questions while attending the Annual Meeting on the Internet.

Instructions on how to attend and participate via the Internet, including how to demonstrate proof of stock ownership, are posted at www.proxyvote.com.

Questions regarding how to attend and participate via the Internet will be answered by calling 1-800-690-6903 on the day before the Annual Meeting and the day of the Annual Meeting.

Webcast replay of the Annual Meeting will be available at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/chef15 until May 14, 2016.

Householding

The SEC has adopted a rule concerning the delivery of annual reports and proxy statements. It permits us, with your permission, to send a single Notice of Proxy Availability and, to the extent requested, a single set of these proxy materials to any household at which two or more stockholders reside if we believe they are members of the same family. This rule is called "householding" and its purpose is to help reduce printing and mailing costs of proxy materials. To date, the Company has not instituted this procedure, but may do so in the future.

Edgar Filing: Chefs' Warehouse, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

A number of brokerage firms have instituted householding. If you and members of your household have multiple accounts holding shares of the Company's common stock, you may have received a householding notification from your broker. Please contact your broker directly if you have questions, require additional copies of this proxy statement, the 2014 Annual Report or other proxy materials or wish to revoke your decision to household. These options are available to you at any time.

STOCK OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

This table includes information regarding the amount of our common stock beneficially owned as of March 18, 2015 by (i) each of our directors, (ii) each of our executive officers named in “*EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION—2014 Summary Compensation Table*,” (iii) all of our directors and current executive officers as a group, and (iv) each person or entity known to us to own more than 5% of our outstanding common stock.

<u>Name and Address of Beneficial Owner(1)</u>	Number of Shares	Percentage
	Beneficially Owned(2)	Ownership
Directors and Named Executive Officers:		
Christopher Pappas (3)	3,271,715	13.0%
John Pappas (4)	2,085,115	8.3%
Dominick Cerbone	6,504	**
John A. Couri	12,771	**
Joseph Cugine	8,195	**
Alan Guarino	10,780	**
Stephen Hanson	47,771	**
John D. Austin	144,346	**
Alexandros Aldous	31,183	**
Patricia Lecouras	71,011	**
All directors and executive officers, as a group (11 persons) (5)	5,845,902	23.3%
Other Stockholders:		
Kayne Anderson Rudnick Investment Management, LLC (6)	3,574,784	14.2%
Wasatch Advisors Inc. (7)	2,827,609	11.3%
Baron Capital Group, Inc. and related persons (8)	2,093,960	8.3%
Columbia Wanger Asset Management, LLC (9)	1,441,300	5.7%
Arlington Value Capital, LLC (10)	1,358,136	5.4%
AllianceBernstein L.P. (11)	1,314,007	5.2%

** Less than 1%

(1) The address for each listed director and executive officer is c/o The Chefs' Warehouse, Inc., 100 East Ridge Road, Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877. The address of Kayne Anderson Rudnick Investment Management, LLC is 1800 Avenue of the Stars, 2nd Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067. The address of Wasatch Advisors, Inc. is 505 Wakara Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108. The address of Baron Capital Group, Inc. and related persons is 767

Fifth Avenue, 49th Floor, New York, New York 10153. The address of Columbia Wanger Asset Management, LLC is 227 West Monroe Street, Suite 3000, Chicago, Illinois 60606. The address of Arlington Value Capital, LLC is 222 South Main Street, Suite 1750, Salt Lake City, Utah 84101. The address of AllianceBernstein L.P. is 1345 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10105.

- The number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by each stockholder is determined under SEC rules, and the information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under such rules, beneficial ownership includes any shares as to which a person has sole or shared voting power or investment power and also any shares which a person has the right to acquire within 60 days after March 18, 2015 through the vesting and/or exercise of any equity award or other right. The inclusion herein of such shares, however, does not constitute an admission that the named stockholder is a direct or indirect beneficial owner of such shares. Unless otherwise indicated, each person named in the table has sole voting power and investment power (or shares such power with his or her spouse) with respect to all shares of common stock listed as owned by such person.
- (2) Does not include 620,000 shares of our common stock held by an irrevocable trust for the benefit of Christopher Pappas's children. This trust has an independent trustee and is irrevocable, and pursuant to the terms of the trust agreement no part of the trust estate may ever revert to Mr. C. Pappas, be used for Mr. C. Pappas's benefit or be
- (3) distributed in the discharge of Mr. C. Pappas's legal obligations. Mr. C. Pappas does have the power under the trust agreement acting in a nonfiduciary capacity to acquire any assets of the trust by substituting property of an equivalent value but has no current intention to do so. Mr. C. Pappas disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares of our common stock held in the trust to the extent that he would be deemed to beneficially own such shares.

Does not include 620,000 shares of our common stock held by irrevocable trusts for the benefit of John Pappas's children. Each of these trusts has an independent trustee and is irrevocable, and pursuant to the terms of each trust agreement no part of the trust estate may ever revert to Mr. J. Pappas, be used for Mr. J. Pappas's benefit or be (4) distributed in the discharge of Mr. J. Pappas's legal obligations. Mr. J. Pappas does have the power under the trust agreements acting in a nonfiduciary capacity to acquire any assets of the trusts by substituting property of an equivalent value but has no current intention to do so. Mr. J. Pappas disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares of our common stock held in the trusts to the extent that he would be deemed to beneficially own such shares.

(5) This group includes all of our current directors and executive officers.

Kayne Anderson Rudnick Investment Management, LLC has sole power to vote or to direct the vote of 3,574,784 shares and sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition of 3,574,784 shares. The foregoing information is (6) based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed by Kayne Anderson Rudnick Investment Management, LLC with the SEC on February 5, 2015.

Wasatch Advisors, Inc. has sole power to vote or to direct the vote of 2,827,609 shares and sole power to dispose (7) or to direct the disposition of 2,827,609 shares. The foregoing information is based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed by Wasatch Advisors, Inc. with the SEC on February 17, 2015.

BAMCO, Inc. and Baron Capital Management, Inc. are subsidiaries of Baron Capital Group, Inc. ("BCG"). Ronald Baron owns a controlling interest in BCG. Baron Small Cap Fund is an advisory client of BAMCO, Inc. BAMCO, (8) Inc., BCG, Baron Small Cap Fund and Ronald Baron have shared power to vote or direct the vote and shared power to dispose or direct the disposition of 2,093,960 shares. The foregoing information is based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed by BCG, BAMCO, Inc., Baron Capital Management, Inc., Baron Small Cap Fund and Ronald Baron with the SEC on February 17, 2015.

(9) Columbia Wanger Asset Management, LLC has sole power to vote or to direct the vote of 1,338,300 shares and sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition of 1,441,300 shares. Columbia Wanger Asset Management, LLC is the investment adviser of various unregistered and registered investment companies and managed accounts and does not directly own any shares of our common stock. Columbia Wanger Asset Management, LLC disclaims beneficial ownership of all of the shares of our common stock included in the beneficial ownership table above. The foregoing information is based solely on a Schedule 13G filed by Columbia Wanger Asset Management, LLC with the SEC on February 11, 2015.

Arlington Value Capital, LLC has sole power to vote or to direct the vote of 1,180,811 shares, shared power to (10) vote or to direct the vote of 177,325 shares and sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition of 978,694 shares. The foregoing information is based solely on a Schedule 13G filed by Arlington Value Capital, LLC with the SEC on February 17, 2015.

AllianceBernstein L.P. has sole power to vote or to direct the vote of 1,113,941 shares, sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition of 1,256,788 shares and shared power to dispose or to direct the disposition of 57,219 (11) shares. AllianceBernstein L.P. may be deemed to share beneficial ownership of 57,219 shares with reporting persons of its parent companies, AXA SA and AXA Financial, Inc. The foregoing information is based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed by AllianceBernstein L.P. with the SEC on February 10, 2015.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Summary

We are committed to maintaining strong corporate governance practices and principles. The Board actively monitors developments relating to the corporate governance of public corporations, and the Board has consulted with our legal counsel and independent registered public accounting firm to evaluate our current corporate governance and other practices in light of these developments. We believe our policies and practices reflect corporate governance best practices and compliance with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, SEC rules and regulations and the Listing Rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market (“NASDAQ”). For example:

The Board has adopted our Corporate Governance Guidelines, which were most recently revised in March 2014 and which outline the roles and responsibilities of the Board and its committees and establish policies regarding governance matters such as Board meetings and communications, performance evaluations of the Board and our chief executive officer, director stock ownership guidelines, and director orientation and continuing education;

A majority of the members of the Board are “independent directors” within the NASDAQ Listing Rules’ definition, and the Board makes an affirmative determination regarding the independence of each director annually;

All members of the Board’s standing committees—the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee—are “independent directors” within the NASDAQ Listing Rules’ definition;

- The independent members of the Board meet regularly without the presence of management;

We have designated an independent director to serve as our “Lead Director” to coordinate the activities of the other independent members of the Board;

We have a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to our principal executive officer and all members of our finance department, including our principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and controller;

We have an Insider Trading Policy that is applicable to all of our employees and directors and their affiliates which, among other things, prohibits hedging of Company securities by such persons;

- The charters of the Board’s committees clearly establish their respective roles and responsibilities; and

The Audit Committee has procedures in place for the anonymous submission of employee complaints on accounting, internal controls or auditing matters.

In addition, our Corporate Governance Guidelines limit the directors serving on our Audit Committee from serving on more than three public company audit committees without the Board's determination that such simultaneous service would not impair the ability of such member to effectively serve on the Company's Audit Committee. Directors must notify the chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee in advance of accepting an invitation to serve on another corporate board. Directors are also required to notify the Board when their principal occupation or business association changes, at which point the Board will evaluate the propriety of continued Board service.

We maintain a corporate governance page on our website that includes key information about our corporate governance initiatives. The corporate governance page can be found at www.chefswarehouse.com, by clicking on “Investors,” then clicking on “Corporate Governance.” Copies of our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our Insider Trading Policy and the charters for each of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee can be found on the corporate governance page of our website. We have also adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (“Code of Ethics”) that applies to all of our employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and controller. Our Code of Ethics is publicly available on the corporate governance page of our website (www.chefswarehouse.com) and can be found by clicking on “Investors,” then clicking on “Corporate Governance.” Our Code of Ethics is also available free of charge by writing to The Chefs’ Warehouse, Inc., 100 East Ridge Road, Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877, Attn: Investor Relations. If we make any substantive amendments to the Code of Ethics or grant any waiver, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of the Code of Ethics to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, we intend to make any legally required disclosures regarding such amendments or waivers on the Investor Relations section of our website (www.chefswarehouse.com). Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this proxy statement or considered to be part of this document.

Director Independence

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines require a majority of the members of the Board to be “independent directors” as such term is defined in the NASDAQ Listing Rules. The Board, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, has determined that five of its seven members are independent. Our five independent directors are Dominick Cerbone, John A. Couri, Joseph Cugine, Alan Guarino and Stephen Hanson. Christopher Pappas and John Pappas are our employees; therefore, Messrs. C. Pappas and J. Pappas are not independent directors. In making this independence determination, the Board considered our transactions with a New York City-based multi-concept restaurant operator holding company, of which Mr. Hanson was the president and a 50% owner until December 2013 and the Board determined that Mr. Hanson still qualifies as an “independent director” as such term is defined in the NASDAQ Listing Rules.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines and the charter for each of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee require all members of these committees to be “independent” within the meaning of the SEC’s rules and regulations and the NASDAQ Listing Rules. The charter of the Audit Committee also requires each of its members to meet the definition of independence under Section 10A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), and the SEC’s rules thereunder. The charter of the Compensation Committee requires each of its members to be a non-employee director within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act and an outside director within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended (the “Code”).

Lead Director

The Board has created the position of Lead Director. Mr. John A. Couri, chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, currently serves as the Lead Director. In accordance with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Lead Director must be “independent” within the meaning of the SEC’s rules and regulations and the NASDAQ Listing Rules. The Lead Director is responsible for coordinating the activities of the other independent directors and for performing such other duties and responsibilities as the Board may determine from time to time, including the following:

• Serving as a liaison between Christopher Pappas, our chief executive officer and chairman of the Board, and the independent directors of the Board;

• Advising the chairman of the Board as to an appropriate schedule of and agenda for the Board’s meetings and ensuring the Board’s input into the agenda for the Board’s meetings;

• Advising the chief executive officer as to the quality, quantity and timeliness of the information submitted by the Company’s management that is necessary or appropriate for the independent directors to effectively and responsibly perform their duties;

• Assisting the Board, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and our officers in better ensuring compliance with and implementation of our corporate governance principles; and

• Serving as the chairman for executive sessions of the Board’s independent directors and acting as chairman of the Board’s regular and special meetings when the chairman of the Board is unable to preside.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Review and Approval of Related Person Transactions

The Board recognizes that transactions or relationships with us and our subsidiaries and our directors, director nominees, executive officers and greater than 5% beneficial owners of our common stock, and the immediate family members of each of the foregoing, may involve potential conflicts of interest. As a result, the Board adopted a written Related Party Transaction Policy (the "Policy") requiring the prior approval of the Audit Committee before a related party may enter into a transaction or relationship in which we or a subsidiary of ours is a participant and the related party would have a direct or indirect interest, unless such transaction involves less than \$120,000. Under the Policy, any proposed related party transactions are reviewed at the regularly scheduled meetings of the Audit Committee. Additionally, ongoing relationships are periodically reviewed and assessed to determine whether, based on all relevant facts, information and circumstances (including our and our subsidiaries' contractual obligations), the related party transaction remains appropriate or should otherwise be modified or terminated. Any member of the Audit Committee who is a related party with respect to a transaction under review will not be able to vote on the approval or ratification of the transaction; however, the director shall provide all material information and may, if so requested by the chairman of the Audit Committee, participate in some or all of the Audit Committee's discussions concerning the related party transaction. We require that any related party transaction must be on terms no less favorable to us than could be obtained from unaffiliated third parties. As required under SEC regulations, those transactions or series of similar transactions to which we or a subsidiary of ours is or will be a participant in which the amount involved exceeded or will exceed \$120,000 and in which any related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest is disclosed in this proxy statement if required to so be disclosed by SEC regulations.

Other than the transactions described below and the arrangements described under "*EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION— Compensation Discussion and Analysis*," since December 28, 2013, there has not been, and there is not currently proposed, any transaction or series of similar transactions required to be disclosed by SEC regulations to which we or any of our subsidiaries were or will be a participant in which the amount involved exceeded or will exceed \$120,000 and in which any related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Transactions with Related Persons

Warehouse and Office Leases

We lease two warehouse and office facilities from two entities that are wholly-owned by two of our directors and one of their "family members" (as such term is defined in the NASDAQ Listing Rules), who is also a former member of our Board, pursuant to long-term operating lease agreements. Our subsidiary, Dairyland USA Corporation, subleases a

warehouse and office facility in the Bronx, New York from The Chefs' Warehouse Leasing Co., LLC, a New York limited liability company that is wholly-owned equally by Christopher Pappas, John Pappas and Dean Facatselis, a "family member" of each of Christopher Pappas and John Pappas and a former member of our Board. The Chefs' Warehouse Leasing Co., LLC leases the facility from the New York City Industrial Development Agency and subleases the facility to Dairyland USA Corporation pursuant to a sublease agreement dated December 29, 2004, which supplements a separate sublease agreement dated December 1, 2004 between Dairyland USA Corporation and The Chefs' Warehouse Leasing Co., LLC. The December 1, 2004 sublease contains general terms regarding the sublease arrangement and expires on June 29, 2030. The December 29, 2004 sublease, which provides more specific terms regarding the economic terms of the sublease arrangement, was amended in January 2015 to (i) extend the term of the sublease until April 30, 2015 and (ii) increase base rent to \$108,000 per month. After April 30, we may continue to lease the office portion of the premises on a month-to-month basis at a monthly rent of approximately \$43,194. The annual base rent under the sublease arrangement equals the amount of rent payable by The Chefs' Warehouse Leasing Co., LLC to the New York City Industrial Development Agency plus an amount necessary to allow The Chefs' Warehouse Leasing Co., LLC to service the indebtedness it incurred to finance the completion of the facility. Dairyland USA Corporation paid The Chefs' Warehouse Leasing Co., LLC \$1,247,443 under the terms of the sublease arrangement in fiscal 2014.

From January 1, 2015 through June 29, 2030, the aggregate amount of all periodic payments due under the sublease agreement is approximately \$9.3 million. In connection with this sublease arrangement, Dairyland USA Corporation and two of our other subsidiaries were initially required to act as conditional guarantors of The Chefs' Warehouse Leasing Co., LLC's mortgage obligation on the distribution center. The mortgage payoff date is December 2029 and the potential obligation under this conditional guarantee totaled \$9,328,440 at December 26, 2014. On July 1, 2005 the Company entered into a consent and release agreement with the mortgagee in which the entity guarantors were conditionally released from their respective obligations. During fiscal 2014, the Company and the entity guarantors were in full in compliance with the specified conditions. The Chefs' Warehouse Leasing Co., LLC is in the process of renegotiating its mortgage with another lender. In connection therewith, we believe that as a result of that renegotiation the Company and its subsidiaries will be unconditionally and fully released from any guaranty of The Chefs' Warehouse Leasing Co., LLC's mortgage loan. The Chefs' Warehouse Leasing Co., LLC has the ability to opt out of its lease agreement with the New York City Industrial Development Agency by giving 60 days' notice. This action would terminate the sublease agreement dated December 1, 2004. Dairyland USA Corporation does not have an option to acquire the facility under any of the agreements governing this facility.

Dairyland USA Corporation also leases a warehouse and office facility in Hanover, Maryland from Candlewood Road Property, LLC, a Maryland limited liability company that is wholly-owned by Christopher Pappas, John Pappas and Dean Facatselis, pursuant to a lease agreement dated September 14, 2004. Candlewood Road Property, LLC is the owner of the property. The lease was amended on September 30, 2014 to (i) extend the term of the lease until September 30, 2019 and (ii) increase annual base rent to \$486,588, subject to cumulative annual increases of 2.0%. In fiscal 2014, Dairyland USA Corporation paid Candlewood Road Property, LLC \$456,587 in rent under the terms of the lease.

Employment of Family Members

John Pappas's brother-in-law, Constantine Papataros, is one of our employees. We paid him approximately \$169,205 in total compensation for fiscal 2014.

Transactions with Directors or Entities Affiliated with Directors

Each of Christopher Pappas, John Pappas and Dean Facatselis owns 8.33% of a New York City-based restaurant customer of the Company and its subsidiaries that purchased an aggregate of approximately \$143,844 of products from us during fiscal 2014. Messrs. C. Pappas, J. Pappas and Facatselis have no other interest in the restaurant other than these equal interests and are not involved in the day-to-day operation or management of this restaurant. Terms provided to this customer were determined in the ordinary course of business, at arm's length and materially consistent with those of other customers with similar volumes and purchasing patterns.

An entity owned by Messrs. C. Pappas, J. Couri and S. Hanson owns an interest in an aircraft that we use for business purposes in the course of our operations. Each of Messrs. C. Pappas, J. Couri and S. Hanson paid for their respective ownership interests (25% for each individual) in the aircraft himself and bears his respective share of all operating, personnel and maintenance costs associated with the operation of the aircraft. In fiscal 2014, we made payments of \$279,841 for use of such aircraft, which included \$263,746 paid directly to an entity not owned by Messrs. C. Pappas, J. Couri or S. Hanson that manages the aircraft.

Board Leadership Structure

The Board currently combines the roles of chairman of the Board and chief executive officer. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines do not require the chairman of the Board to be independent and do not specify whether the positions of chairman of the Board and chief executive officer must be separated. Considering the Company's successful performance over extended periods under Christopher Pappas's leadership, the Board believes that the Company benefits from Mr. C. Pappas's services in both roles. Mr. C. Pappas has served as our chief executive officer since 1985. The Board believes Mr. C. Pappas to be the most qualified to serve as our chairman because he is the director most familiar with the Company's business and the foodservice distribution industry and as a result is best suited to effectively identify strategic priorities and lead the discussion and execution of strategy. The Board believes the combined position of chairman and chief executive officer promotes a unified direction and leadership for the Board and gives a single, clear focus for the chain of command for our organization, strategy and business plans. In addition, the Board believes that the combined position of chairman and chief executive officer is better positioned to act as a bridge between management and the Board, facilitating the regular flow of information.

As discussed above, the Board also maintains an independent lead director. John A. Couri currently serves as our independent lead director. We believe it is important to have an independent lead director to establish a system of checks and balances between the independent directors of the Board and Mr. C. Pappas. The lead director provides leadership to the Board to ensure it operates in an independent, cohesive manner. The Board believes that having a lead director vested with key duties and responsibilities (as discussed above) and the Board's standing committees comprised of and chaired by independent directors (as discussed below) provides a formal structure for strong independent oversight of our management team.

Risk Oversight

The Board has overall responsibility for risk oversight. The Board oversees risk management with a focus on our primary areas of risk: risk related to our business strategy, financial risk, legal/compliance risk and operational risk. Our president and chief executive officer and each of our other executive officers are responsible for managing risk in their respective areas of authority and expertise, identifying key risks to the Board and explaining to the Board how those risks are being addressed.

Certain standing committees of the Board also have responsibility for risk oversight. The Audit Committee focuses on financial risk, including fraud risk and risks relating to our internal controls over financial reporting. The Compensation Committee addresses risks relating to our executive compensation strategies and is tasked with monitoring our executive compensation program to ensure that it does not encourage our executive officers to take unnecessary and excessive risks. The Board receives regular reports from the chairs of the Audit Committee and Compensation Committee regarding these committees' risk management efforts and receives reports and other meeting materials provided to each of the committees. In addition, we have established a Disclosure Committee which consists of our executive officers and assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibility with respect to regulatory compliance and receives regular reports from our general counsel and other employees responsible for our regulatory compliance. All committees report to the full Board as appropriate, including when a matter rises to the level of a material or enterprise level risk. We believe the division of risk management responsibilities described above is an effective approach for addressing the risks we face.

Compensation Risk

Our Compensation Committee is comprised solely of independent directors and is principally responsible for establishing, overseeing and administering our compensation plans and policies for our executive officers, including our equity incentive plans. Our Compensation Committee is also responsible for overseeing risks related to our compensation programs and practices. Our Compensation Committee has assessed the risk associated with our compensation policies and practices for our employees and determined that the risks associated with such policies and practices are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us. Our Compensation Committee utilizes compensation practices that it believes discourage our employees from excessive risk-taking that could be reasonably

likely to have a materially adverse effect on us, including the following:

- The base salary component of compensation does not encourage risk-taking because it is a fixed amount.
- We have a combination of both short-term and long-term elements of executive compensation.

The equity awards granted to our employees following our IPO were granted in the form of either time-based vesting restricted stock which vests pro-rata over a period of one to five years or performance-based vesting restricted stock which vests over three to four years if we achieve certain performance targets. The time-based vesting structure disincentivizes short-term risk-taking at the expense of long-term stockholder value and a performance-based award can be earned only upon the achievement of challenging corporate goals selected to motivate executives to achieve our corporate objectives and enhance stockholder value.

Our chief executive officer and vice chairman maintain a significant ownership interest in our company, which closely aligns their interests with our stockholders' interests and disincentivizes them from engaging in, or encouraging our other executive officers to engage in, unreasonable or excessive risk-taking.

We have instituted a clawback, or recoupment, policy on awards granted under our annual incentive cash compensation program.

A majority of the awards to executives under the Company's annual incentive cash compensation program are based on the achievement of at least two objective performance measures, thus diversifying the risk associated with any single indicator of performance.

Assuming achievement of a threshold level of performance, payouts under our annual incentive cash compensation program result in some compensation at levels below full target achievement, rather than an "all-or-nothing" approach, which could encourage excessive risk-taking.

Our Compensation Committee determines achievement levels under the Company's annual incentive cash compensation plan after reviewing Company and executive performance.

Our Compensation Committee is being advised by an independent compensation consultant who also reviews the results of our annual analysis and assessment of our compensation programs.

Nomination of Directors

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviews the qualifications of every person recommended as a nominee to the Board to determine whether the recommended nominees are qualified to serve on the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has adopted qualitative standards by which it determines if nominees are qualified to serve on the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates recommended nominees in accordance with the following criteria:

Personal characteristics. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers the personal characteristics of each nominee, including the nominee's integrity, accountability, ability to make informed judgments, financial literacy, professionalism and willingness to meaningfully contribute to the Board (including by possessing the ability to communicate persuasively and address difficult issues). In addition, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates whether the nominee's previous experience reflects a willingness to establish and meet high standards of performance, both for him or herself and for others.

Core Competencies. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers whether the nominee's knowledge and experience would contribute to the Board's achievement of certain core competencies. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes that the Board, as a whole, should possess competencies in accounting and finance, business judgment, management best practices, crisis response, industry knowledge, leadership, strategy and vision.

Board Independence. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers whether the nominee would qualify as an “independent director” under the NASDAQ Listing Rules.

Director Commitment. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee expects that each of our directors will prepare for and actively participate in meetings of the Board and its committees, provide advice and counsel to our management, develop a broad knowledge of our business and industry and, with respect to an incumbent director, maintain the expertise that led the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to initially select the director as a nominee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates each nominee on his or her ability to provide this level of commitment if elected to the Board.

Additional Considerations. Each nominee also is evaluated based on the overall needs of the Board and the diversity of experience he or she can bring to the Board, whether in terms of specialized knowledge, skills or expertise. Although we do not have a formal policy with regard to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee strives to nominate directors with a variety of complementary skills so that, as a group, the Board will possess the appropriate talent, skills and expertise to oversee the Company’s businesses.

Following this evaluation, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will make recommendations for membership on the Board and review such recommendations with the Board, which will decide whether to invite the candidate to be a nominee for election to the Board.

Director Nominees Recommended by Stockholders

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates nominees recommended by stockholders on the same basis as nominees recommended by any other sources, including making a determination whether the candidate is qualified to serve on the Board based on the qualitative standards described above. To be considered by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, a stockholder who wishes to recommend a director nominee must deliver or send by first class U.S. mail a written notice addressed to Alexandros Aldous, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, The Chefs' Warehouse, Inc., 100 East Ridge Road, Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877. The written notice must be received by our corporate secretary not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the date of the annual meeting; provided, however, that in the event the annual meeting is scheduled to be held on a date more than 30 days prior to or delayed by more than 60 days after the anniversary date of the last annual meeting of stockholders, notice by the stockholder in order to be timely must be so received not later than the close of business on the 10th day following the earlier of the day on which notice of the date of the meeting was mailed or public disclosure of the meeting was first made. The notice to our corporate secretary must include the information specified in our bylaws, including the following: (a) as to each proposed nominee (i) the name, age, business address and, if known, residence address of each such nominee, (ii) the principal occupation or employment of each such nominee, (iii) the class or series and number of our shares which are owned beneficially or of record by each such nominee, (iv) any other information concerning the nominee that must be disclosed as to nominees in proxy solicitations pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act (including such person's written consent to be named as a nominee and to serve as a director if elected), and (v) a description of all direct and indirect compensation and other material monetary agreements, arrangements and understandings during the past three years, and any other material relationships, between or among such stockholder and beneficial owner, if any, and their respective affiliates and associates, or others acting in concert therewith, on the one hand, and each proposed nominee, and his or her respective affiliates and associates, or others acting in concert therewith, on the other hand, including without limitation all information that would be required to be disclosed pursuant to Rule 404 promulgated under the SEC's Regulation S-K if the stockholder making the nomination and any beneficial owner on whose behalf the nomination is made, if any, or any affiliate or associate thereof or person acting in concert therewith, were the "registrant" for purposes of such rule and the nominee were a director or executive officer of such registrant; and (b) as to the stockholder giving the notice and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the proposal is made (i) the name and record address of such stockholder, as it appears on the Company's books, and of such beneficial owner, if applicable, and of their respective affiliates or associates or others acting in concert therewith, (ii)(A) the class or series and number of shares of capital stock of the Company which are owned beneficially or of record by such stockholder and such beneficial owner, as applicable, and their respective affiliates or associates or others acting in concert therewith, (B) any option, warrant, convertible security, stock appreciation right, or similar right with an exercise or conversion privilege or a settlement payment or mechanism at a price related to any class or series of shares of the Company or with a value derived in whole or in part from the value of any class or series of shares of the Company, any derivative or synthetic arrangement having the characteristics of a long position in any class or series of shares of the Company, or any contract, derivative, swap or other transaction or series of transactions designed to produce economic benefits and risks that correspond substantially to the ownership of any class or series of shares of the Company, including due to the fact that the value of such contract, derivative, swap or other transaction or series of transactions is determined by reference to the price,

value or volatility of any class or series of shares of the Company, whether or not such instrument, contract or right shall be subject to settlement in the underlying class or series of shares of the Company, through the delivery of cash or other property, or otherwise, and without regard of whether the stockholder of record, the beneficial owner, if any, or any affiliates or associates or others acting in concert therewith, may have entered into transactions that hedge or mitigate the economic effect of such instrument, contract or right, or any other direct or indirect opportunity to profit or share in any profit derived from any increase or decrease in the value of shares of the Company (any of the foregoing, a "Derivative Instrument") directly or indirectly owned beneficially by such stockholder, the beneficial owner, if any, or any affiliates or associates or others acting in concert therewith, (C) any proxy, contract, arrangement, understanding, or relationship pursuant to which such stockholder has a right to vote any class or series of shares of the Company, (D) any agreement, arrangement, understanding, relationship or otherwise, including any repurchase or similar so-called "stock borrowing" agreement or arrangement, involving such stockholder, directly or indirectly, the purpose or effect of which is to mitigate loss to, reduce the economic risk (of ownership or otherwise) of any class or series of the shares of the Company by, manage the risk of share price changes for, or increase or decrease the voting power of, such stockholder with respect to any class or series of the shares of the Company, or which provides, directly or indirectly, the opportunity to profit or share in any profit derived from any decrease in the price or value of any class or series of the shares of the Company (any of the foregoing, a "Short Interest"), (E) any rights to dividends on the shares of the Company owned beneficially by such stockholder that are separated or separable from the underlying shares of the Company, (F) any proportionate interest in shares of the Company or Derivative Instruments held, directly or indirectly, by a general or limited partnership in which such stockholder is a general partner or, directly or indirectly, beneficially owns an interest in a general partner of such general or limited partnership, (G) any performance-related fees (other than an asset-based fee) that such stockholder is entitled to based on any increase or decrease in the value of shares of the Company or Derivative Instruments, if any, including without limitation any such interests held by members of such stockholder's immediate family sharing the same household, (H) any significant equity interests or any Derivative Instruments or Short Interests in any principal competitor of the Company held by such stockholder, and (I) any direct or indirect interest of such stockholder in any contract with the Company, any affiliate of the Company or any principal competitor of the Company (including, in any such case, any employment agreement, collective bargaining agreement or consulting agreement), (iii) a description of all arrangements or understandings between such stockholder and/or beneficial owner, if applicable, and each proposed nominee and any other person or persons (including their names) pursuant to which the nomination(s) are to be made by such stockholder, (iv) a representation that such stockholder intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the persons named in its notice and (v) any other information relating to such stockholder and beneficial owner, if any, that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement or other filings required to be made in connection with solicitations of proxies for election of directors pursuant to the SEC's Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act. We may require any proposed nominee to furnish such other information as may be reasonably required by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to determine the eligibility of such proposed nominee to serve as a member of the Board.

Board Meetings

During the fiscal year ended December 26, 2014, the Board met ten (10) times, six (6) times in person and four (4) times telephonically. Following three of the four in-person meetings of the Board, the independent directors met in executive session without the presence of management. All directors attended at least 75% of the aggregate meetings of the Board and of the committees on which they served in fiscal year 2014. We encourage each member of the Board to attend our annual meetings of stockholders. All of the members of our Board at the time of our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders attended the 2014 annual meeting, either in person or via internet participation.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board currently has a Compensation Committee, an Audit Committee and a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Upon recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the full Board appoints members of each of those committees and designates the chairperson of each of those committees.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee evaluates and establishes the compensation of our executive officers. The Compensation Committee also establishes or approves all policies and procedures related to our incentive compensation programs and administers our incentive plans, including our 2011 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan and annual cash incentive compensation plans. For a description of the role of the Compensation Committee, its consultants and management in setting compensation, please see “*EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION—Compensation Discussion and Analysis.*” The Compensation Committee also approves our compensation discussion and analysis included in our annual proxy statements.

The agenda for meetings of the Compensation Committee is determined by its chairman with the assistance of our chief executive officer, chief human resources officer and general counsel. Compensation Committee meetings are regularly attended by the chief executive officer and chairman of the Board, chief human resources officer and general counsel (except during deliberations and voting regarding such named executive officers' compensation). At certain meetings during fiscal 2014, the Compensation Committee met in executive session without any member of management present. The chairman of the Compensation Committee reports the Compensation Committee's recommendations on executive compensation to the Board. Independent advisors and the Company's finance, human resources and legal departments support the Compensation Committee in its duties and may be delegated authority to fulfill certain administrative duties regarding the compensation programs. The Compensation Committee has authority under its charter to retain, approve fees for and terminate advisors, consultants and agents as it deems necessary to assist in the fulfillment of its responsibilities.

The Compensation Committee's charter is available on our website, www.chefswarehouse.com, by clicking on "Investors," then clicking on "Corporate Governance," and then clicking on "Compensation Committee Charter." The Compensation Committee held nine (9) meetings during fiscal 2014. The current members of the Compensation Committee are Alan Guarino (chairman), John A. Couri and Joseph Cugine, each of whom is an independent director.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act. The Audit Committee is responsible for overseeing management's maintenance of the reliability and integrity of our accounting policies and financial reporting and our disclosure practices; overseeing management's establishment and maintenance of processes to assure that an adequate system of internal control is functioning and to assure our compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and corporate policies; reviewing the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm; and making decisions regarding the appointment or termination of our independent registered public accounting firm and considering and approving any non-audit services proposed to be performed by the independent registered public accounting firm. Among the Audit Committee's duties are review of the results and scope of the audit and other services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm.

The Audit Committee's charter is available on our website, www.chefswarehouse.com, by clicking on "Investors," then clicking on "Corporate Governance," and then clicking on "Audit Committee Charter." The Audit Committee held fourteen (14) meetings during fiscal 2014. The current members of the Audit Committee are Dominick Cerbone (chairman), Joseph Cugine and Stephen Hanson, each of whom is an independent director. The Board has determined that Mr. Cerbone, who is an "independent director" as such term is defined in the NASDAQ Listing Rules, is also an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by the rules and regulations of the SEC. For more information regarding the qualifications and experience of Mr. Cerbone, see "Proposal 1 – Election of Directors – Directors and Nominees for Director – Dominick Cerbone" on page 17 of this proxy statement.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board is responsible for developing, reviewing and recommending to the Board for adoption our Corporate Governance Guidelines; identifying and nominating candidates for election to the Board; assessing and making recommendations to the Board regarding the size and composition of the Board and the size, composition, scope of authority, responsibilities and reporting obligations of each of the Board's committees; assisting the Board in conducting performance reviews of the Board and its committees; and formulating and assessing our senior management succession plans with our chief executive officer and chairman of the Board. For additional information regarding the director nomination process undertaken by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, see "*CORPORATE GOVERNANCE—Nomination of Directors.*"

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's charter is available on our website, www.chefswarehouse.com, by clicking on "Investors," then clicking on "Corporate Governance," and then clicking on "Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter." The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held five (5) meetings during fiscal 2014. The current members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are John A. Couri (chairman), Alan Guarino and Stephen Hanson, each of whom is an independent

director.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The current members of our Compensation Committee are Messrs. Guarino, Couri and Cugine. All members of the Compensation Committee are “independent directors” within the meaning of the NASDAQ Listing Rules and no member is an employee or former employee of the Company. During fiscal 2014, no member of the Compensation Committee had any relationship requiring disclosure under the section “*CORPORATE GOVERNANCE—Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.*” None of our executive officers served during fiscal 2014 as a member of the compensation committee (or other committee serving an equivalent function) of any other entity, one of whose executive officers served as a director on the Board or as a member of the Compensation Committee. None of our executive officers served during fiscal 2014 as a director of any other entity, one of whose executive officers served as a director on the Board or as a member of the Compensation Committee.

Communication with the Board of Directors

Our stockholders may communicate directly with the Board. All communications should be in written form and directed to Alexandros Aldous, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, The Chefs' Warehouse, Inc., 100 East Ridge Road, Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877. Communications should be enclosed in a sealed envelope that prominently indicates that it is intended for the Board. Each communication intended for the Board and received by the corporate secretary that is related to our operations and is relevant to a specific director's service on the Board will, following its clearance through normal review and appropriate security procedures, be forwarded to our independent lead director for review and approval and then, upon approval, to the specified party.

PROPOSAL 1—ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Directors and Nominees for Director

Currently, the Board is comprised of seven directors, all of whom are elected annually. The term of each current director will expire at the Annual Meeting. Each of our current directors has been nominated to stand for re-election as a director at the Annual Meeting to hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2016 and until his successor is elected and qualified. Each nominee has indicated his willingness to serve, if elected. If any nominee should be unable to serve, the person acting under the proxy may vote the proxy for a substitute nominee. We have no reason to believe any of the nominees will be unable to serve if elected.

We have described below information concerning the business experience and qualifications of each of our incumbent directors.

The Board unanimously recommends that stockholders vote “FOR” each of the director nominees. Proxies received by the Board will be voted “FOR” each of the nominees unless a contrary choice is specified in the proxy.

The following is certain information regarding each of the director nominees:

Christopher Pappas, age 55, is our founder and has served as our chief executive officer since 1985 and has been a director on our board and our board chairman since our IPO, and he also served as a director and the chairman of the board of our predecessor company, Chefs' Warehouse Holdings, LLC. He has been our president since April 11, 2009 and before that was our president from our formation to January 1, 2007. Prior to founding our company, Mr. C. Pappas played basketball professionally in Europe for several years following his graduation from Adelphi University in 1981 with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration. Mr. C. Pappas currently oversees all of our business activities, with a focus on product procurement, sales, marketing, strategy development, business development and operations. Mr. C. Pappas's qualifications to serve on the Board include his extensive knowledge of our company and the specialty food products distribution business and his years of leadership at the Company.

John Pappas, age 51, is a founder of our company and currently serves as our vice chairman, a position he has held since March 1, 2011. From our founding in 1985 to March 1, 2011, he served as our chief operating officer. Mr. J. Pappas has been a director on our board since our IPO, and he also served as a director on the board of our predecessor company, Chefs' Warehouse Holdings, LLC. He has over 25 years of experience in logistics, facility management and global procurement and oversees our network of distribution centers in North America. Mr. J.

Pappas is also active in the development of our corporate strategy. Mr. J. Pappas's qualifications to serve on the Board include his extensive knowledge of our company and the specialty food products distribution industry and his years of leadership at the Company.

Dominick Cerbone, age 70, has been a director on our board since May 24, 2012. Mr. Cerbone brings over 40 years of financial and accounting experience, which have all been spent at Ernst & Young. Most recently, he was a senior partner and consultant of the national office of Ernst & Young from 2006 to 2008. Prior to that position, Mr. Cerbone was a senior partner of transaction advisory services for Ernst & Young from 1990 to 2005, where he was responsible for structuring, negotiating, facilitating and integrating business transactions involving global public and private entities in numerous diversified industries. Other positions held at Ernst & Young include cross-border market leader of the transaction advisory services department, a partner of the audit practice and managing partner of the Stamford, CT office. Mr. Cerbone is a certified public accountant and a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the Connecticut Society of Certified Public Accountants. Mr. Cerbone holds a Bachelor of Business Administration degree, with a major in Accounting, from Iona College. Mr. Cerbone's qualifications to serve on our board include his extensive background and experience in accounting. Furthermore, he qualifies as our "audit committee financial expert," as such term is defined in the rules and regulations of the SEC.

John A. Couri, age 73, has been a director on our board since our IPO, and he also served as a director on the board of our predecessor company, Chefs' Warehouse Holdings, LLC. Mr. Couri is the president of Couri Foundation, Inc., which was founded in 1988 to operate youth programs for underprivileged children; the president of the Hammond Street Senior Center Foundation, Inc., which operates a senior center in Bangor, Maine; and the president of Founders Hall Foundation, Inc., which is a donor-supported education and recreation center for senior citizens in the Ridgefield, Connecticut area. In 1983, Mr. Couri co-founded Duty Free International (DFI), a New York Stock Exchange-listed public company, now Duty Free Americas, and served as president and chief executive officer of that company until it was sold to BAA in 1997. Mr. Couri served as a member of the Listed Company Advisory Board of the New York Stock Exchange from January 1993 to December 1995 and served as chairman of the Board of Trustees of Syracuse University from May 2004 to May 2008. Mr. Couri holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics, with a minor in Business, from Syracuse University and received an honorary doctorate degree from Syracuse University in 2008. Mr. Couri's qualifications to serve on the Board include his experiences as having been a founder, president and chief executive officer of a publicly-traded company, his expertise involving listed companies and his understanding of corporate finance matters.

Joseph Cugine, age 54, has been a director on our board since September 7, 2012. He is currently the president of BarFresh Food Group, Inc., a publicly-traded manufacturer of pre-packaged all natural blended beverages; the owner of Cugine Foods, which owns restaurant franchises in New York; and co-founder and partner of a multi-unit restaurant and real estate holding company in New Jersey. Previously, Mr. Cugine was the president and co-owner of Argo Tea, a retail and wholesale tea company based in Chicago, from 2011–2015 and, prior to that, was chief customer officer and senior vice president of PepsiCo Foodservice division from 2004–2010. Prior to that role he held a series of general manager and sales leadership roles throughout PepsiCo and Procter & Gamble. Mr. Cugine serves as a member of the board of directors of BarFresh, Argo Tea and the Ridgefield Playhouse in Ridgefield, Connecticut and also serves as an advisor to R4 Technology in Ridgefield, Connecticut. Mr. Cugine's qualifications to serve on the Board include his more than 25 years of experience in the food distribution industry, as well as his general business and investing background.

Alan Guarino, age 55, has been a director on our board since November 7, 2012. Mr. Guarino brings over 24 years of global recruiting and talent management experience to our board. Currently, Mr. Guarino is the vice chairman of Global Financial Markets at Korn Ferry International after having served as the global sector leader of FinTech, Electronic Trading, and Transaction Services at Korn Ferry International from 2007 until April 2012. He also serves as a senior partner in the Board Services and CEO practice of Korn Ferry International. In 1993, Mr. Guarino launched the start-up company Cornell International that grew into a top ranked firm engaged in retained executive search and strategic human resource consulting on Wall Street and was sold to Adecco in March of 2007. Prior to that role, he served as chief operating officer of Career Directions, a regional staffing firm; as a department head in Global Securities Processing at The Bank of New York; and as a captain in the U.S. Army. Since October 2014, Mr. Guarino has served on the board of directors of Liquid Holdings Group, Inc., a publicly-traded company which provides proprietary cloud-based trading and portfolio management solutions. He also serves as a member of that company's compensation committee. Mr. Guarino's qualifications to serve on the Board include his extensive knowledge of human resources, compensation matters and organizational development.

Stephen Hanson, age 65, has been a director on our board since July 27, 2011. He was the founder of B.R. Guest Restaurants, a New York multi-concept operator that began with one restaurant in 1987 and has since expanded to over 20 properties in New York City, Las Vegas and Florida. Mr. Hanson was also the president of B.R. Guest Restaurants until his retirement in December 2013. Mr. Hanson is a member of the Department of Consumer Affairs' Consumers Council for New York City, a position he has held since January 2011. He also sits on the boards of directors for Publicolor, a not-for-profit organization that uses color, collaboration, design and the painting process to empower students to transform themselves, their schools and their communities, and City Harvest, a not-for-profit organization dedicated to ending hunger in New York City. Mr. Hanson earned a business degree from New York University's Stern School of Business in 1976. Mr. Hanson's qualifications to serve on the Board include his more than twenty years of experience in the restaurant industry, as well as his general business and investing background.

Vote Required

The affirmative vote of a plurality of the shares of our common stock represented at the Annual Meeting in person (including by webcast) or by properly executed proxy and entitled to vote on Proposal 1 is required to approve the election of each of the nominees for election as a director. All nominees for election as directors at the Annual Meeting currently serve on the Board. If no voting specification is made on a properly returned or voted proxy card, the proxy card will vote FOR the election of Dominick Cerbone, John A. Couri, Joseph Cugine, Alan Guarino, Stephen Hanson, Christopher Pappas and John Pappas as directors to hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors are elected and qualified. Broker non-votes will have no effect on Proposal 1 because they are not considered votes cast.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview

This compensation discussion and analysis discusses the objectives and elements of our compensation programs and the compensation awarded to our named executive officers in the 2014 fiscal year. This information should be read in conjunction with the Summary Compensation Table and the related tables and narratives that follow this compensation discussion and analysis. For fiscal 2014, the following individuals were our named executive officers:

- Christopher Pappas, our chairman, president and chief executive officer;
- John Pappas, our vice chairman;
- John Austin, our chief financial officer;
- Alexandros Aldous, our general counsel and corporate secretary; and
- Patricia Lecouras, our chief human resources officer.

Overview of Compensation Process

We have established a compensation committee of our board of directors, which consists solely of directors that are “independent” under the rules and regulations of the SEC and NASDAQ. The Compensation Committee has overall responsibility for the compensation program for our executive officers, including our named executive officers. For fiscal 2014, the Compensation Committee engaged a compensation consultant, Hay Group, to help it in establishing executive officer and director compensation for fiscal 2014. A representative of Hay Group attends Compensation Committee meetings when requested, reviews compensation data with the Compensation Committee, and participates in general discussions regarding executive compensation issues. The Compensation Committee has authorized Hay Group to interact with management on behalf of the Compensation Committee as needed in connection with advising the Compensation Committee. While the Compensation Committee considers input from Hay Group, the Compensation Committee’s decisions ultimately reflect many factors and considerations. The Compensation

Committee evaluated the independence of Hay Group pursuant to SEC rules and the NASDAQ Listing Rules and concluded that Hay Group is independent and that its work for the Compensation Committee does not raise any conflict of interest.

The Compensation Committee typically meets during the first quarter of a fiscal year to set compensation for that fiscal year. Hay Group and management play integral roles in the compensation-setting process. The Compensation Committee actively works with Hay Group to formulate compensation decisions for our chief executive officer. Management, with input from Hay Group, makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee for the rest of the executive officers. Management's and Hay Group's recommendations are accompanied by competitive market data from the peer groups established by the Compensation Committee based on recommendations from Hay Group. This peer group analysis is discussed in further detail beginning on page 21 of this proxy statement.

In setting fiscal 2014 compensation for our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee, following discussions with management and Hay Group, considered the compensation practices of the companies within our peer groups, our operating performance in fiscal 2013 and each named executive officer's performance of his or her duties in fiscal 2013. Our chief executive officer was not present when the Compensation Committee deliberated or voted on his fiscal 2014 compensation package.

2014 Non-Binding, Advisory Say-on-Pay Proposal

In May 2014, our stockholders approved a non-binding, advisory say-on-pay proposal at our 2014 annual meeting with over 95% of the shares represented at the meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal voting **FOR** the proposal. The Compensation Committee reviewed the results of the stockholder vote and took the high percentage of votes cast in favor of the proposal as an indication that our stockholders supported the pay-for-performance approach that we had adopted. The Compensation Committee will continue to monitor our current compensation structure and consider the results of future non-binding, advisory say-on-pay votes of our stockholders when establishing our pay programs in the future.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The principal objectives of our executive compensation program are to attract, motivate and retain highly-qualified executives by providing total compensation for each position that the Compensation Committee believes is competitive within our business sector and compared to the companies within our peer groups. We also seek to provide appropriate incentives for our named executive officers to achieve performance metrics related to our company-wide performance and, in most instances, the individual's particular performance goals. Finally, through the issuance of equity-based incentives, we seek to retain our key employees that do not already own significant stakes in our Company and reward performance that enhances our long-term value.

Our Compensation Committee has strived to implement a compensation program that enables us to attract and retain high-quality leadership and to ensure that our named executive officers are compensated in a manner consistent with stockholder interests, the policies adopted by the Compensation Committee, internal equity considerations, competitive practice and the requirements of appropriate regulatory bodies. In determining the relevant amounts of each of these components, our Compensation Committee has adopted a compensation program that it believes consists of a mix of compensation that:

- aligns interests of all eligible employees with our business plans;
- incentivizes achievement of annual financial, functional, and individual objectives;
- provides a systematic plan for establishing definitive performance goals; and
- creates a fair and measureable compensation model for rewarding performance.

Competitive Marketplace Assessment

Although the Compensation Committee does not engage in benchmarking, the Compensation Committee, with the assistance of management and Hay Group, does compare our performance and compensation against a group of companies identified by Hay Group. Market data from our primary peer group was taken into consideration in setting the fiscal 2014 compensation of each of our named executive officers except Alexandros Aldous. The primary peer group was also referenced in our review of program designs, including an assessment of pay vehicles and performance metrics. In assisting the Compensation Committee in determining a primary peer group for fiscal 2014, Hay Group considered the following factors:

- Company Type – The companies in the primary peer group are all publicly traded on a U.S. exchange.

Size – Annual revenues of the companies in the primary peer group range from approximately \$460 million to approximately \$2 billion. This size range reflected our near-term aggressive growth plans and our need to recruit executive talent with larger company experience to aid us as we seek to achieve such growth.

Business – The companies in the primary peer group represent multiple industry segments, including packaged foods, non-food related specialty and online retailers, other non-food related wholesalers and distributors and trucking and warehousing. The foodservice distribution industry is a highly fragmented industry with several very large national players and numerous small, privately-held local players; accordingly, it was necessary to select our primary peer group from various industry segments.

- ISS Selection – For our primary peer group, we also considered companies listed in our ISS-selected peer group.

Our primary peer group for fiscal 2014 was changed from our primary peer group for fiscal 2013 to remove two companies from the fiscal 2013 group – Frozen Food Express Industries, Inc. and Nutrisystem, Inc. – and add one new company to the fiscal 2014 group – Boulder Brands, Inc. We believe these changes result in a primary peer group that better reflects the changing size of our business and the distribution side of our business.

Reflecting the factors outlined above, our primary peer group for fiscal 2014 consisted of the following companies:

Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.	The Hain Celestial Group, Inc.	SunOpta Inc.
Myers Industries, Inc.	J&J Snack Foods Corp.	AMCON Distributing Company
John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc.	1-800-FLOWERS.COM, Inc.	DXP Enterprises, Inc.
Calavo Growers, Inc.	Celadon Group, Inc.	B&G Foods, Inc.
Voxx International Corporation	Farmer Bros. Co.	Boulder Brands, Inc.
Pool Corporation	Quality Distribution, Inc.	Tootsie Roll Industries, Inc.

In setting the fiscal 2014 compensation for all of our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee, with the assistance of the Hay Group, also established a secondary peer group, which consisted of large foodservice distribution companies, to test compensation design and performance metrics; however, due to the size of these companies, they were not appropriate to reference in determining fiscal 2014 pay levels of our named executive officers. Our secondary peer group for fiscal 2014 was unchanged from our secondary peer group for fiscal 2013, except that Spartan Stores, Inc. merged with Nash-Finch Company in November 2013. Our secondary peer group consisted of the following companies:

	Core-Mark	
Sysco Corporation		SpartanNash Company United Natural Foods, Inc.
	Holding Company, Inc.	

Finally, in setting the fiscal 2014 compensation for Alexandros Aldous, Hay Group referenced Hay Group's 2013 General Market U.S. National Executive Compensation Report to determine market levels of compensation. Mr. Aldous' position was evaluated using job points obtained from Hay Group's job evaluation methodology, which focuses not on job title or personal attributes, but rather on know-how, problem solving and accountability.

We do not benchmark compensation in the traditional manner, as we do not directly tie individual components of compensation to particular benchmarks. In general, the Compensation Committee references the 25th and 75th percentiles and the median of the competitive compensation marketplace as well as data regarding compensation design and performance metrics, but market data is only one factor among many considered by the Compensation Committee when making determinations regarding executive compensation. Other factors considered include individual performance, scope of responsibilities, tenure, criticality of the position, retention concerns and the need to recruit new officers.

Components of Fiscal 2014 Compensation for Our Named Executive Officers

Taking into account the above-described objectives and our peer group analyses, when setting fiscal 2014 compensation the Compensation Committee focused on designing a compensation package for our named executive officers that consisted of base salaries and performance-based, annual cash incentive awards. Base salary provides a competitive rate of fixed pay and reflects different levels of responsibility within the Company, the skills and experience required for the job, individual performance and labor market conditions. Performance-based, annual cash incentive awards are designed to reward the annual achievement of financial, strategic and, in some cases, individual objectives. Although in 2012 and 2013 the Compensation Committee granted to our then named executive officers other than Christopher Pappas equity-based awards consisting of a mix of time-based vesting and performance based-vesting restricted stock awards, no equity-based awards were granted to our named executive officers in fiscal 2014 because the equity-based awards granted in 2013 were larger than historical awards and intended to cover the recipients for at least three years. Long-term, equity-based awards, like those we awarded in fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2013, are intended to align the interests of executives with those of stockholders, instill an ownership culture, enhance the personal stake of executive officers in the growth and success of the Company and provide an incentive for continued service at the Company. Each of the three compensation components is discussed in greater detail below:

Base Salary.

We provide our named executive officers with a base salary to compensate them for performing their daily responsibilities during the year. We believe that base salaries must be competitive based upon the named executive officer's scope of responsibilities and what we believe to be market rates of compensation for executives performing similar functions for comparable companies within our peer groups. We also periodically review the performance of our named executive officers and, in some instances, award merit-based base salary increases as a result of these reviews. For fiscal 2014, the base salaries of our chief executive officer and vice chairman were set by the Compensation Committee, following discussions with the Compensation Committee, our chief financial officer, our chief human resources officer and Hay Group. The fiscal 2014 base salaries for our named executive officers other than our chief executive officer and vice chairman were established by the Compensation Committee, following discussions with our chief executive officer, our chief financial officer, our chief human resources officer and Hay Group. Notwithstanding our chief executive officer's and vice chairman's strong performance, their base salaries were unchanged in fiscal 2014 from fiscal 2013, and the Compensation Committee decided to focus instead on variable awards related to performance. The fiscal 2014 base salaries for our named executive officers other than our chief executive officer and vice chairman were principally based on the Compensation Committee's and management's assessment of our operating performance in fiscal 2013 and the individual named executive officer's performance of his or her duties, as well as our understanding of compensation of executive officers in comparable positions within our peer groups. Taking all of these factors into account, the Compensation Committee approved the following base salaries for our named executive officers for the 2014 fiscal year:

Name	2014 Base Salary
-------------	---------------------------------

Christopher Pappas	\$750,000
John Pappas	\$250,000
John Austin	\$309,000
Alexandros Aldous	\$242,050
Patricia Lecouras	\$242,050

As described above, the base salaries of Messrs. C. Pappas and J Pappas for fiscal 2014 were unchanged from their base salaries for fiscal 2013. The fiscal 2014 base salary for each of Mr. Austin, Mr. Aldous and Ms. Lecouras was approximately 3.0% higher than his or her fiscal 2013 base salary. All of the base salary increases were a result of general market increases, peer group analyses and fiscal 2013 individual performance.

Performance-Based, Annual Cash Incentive Compensation.

Overview

To closely align our named executive officers' compensation to our goals, we believe that a significant portion of a named executive officer's compensation should be incentive-based. Accordingly, we have utilized, and continue to utilize, an annual cash incentive compensation program that provides our named executive officers with the opportunity to earn substantial cash incentive compensation for the achievement of annual goals related to our performance and, in some cases, the named executive officer's individual performance.

Each of our named executive officers was eligible to receive performance-based cash incentive payments in the first quarter of 2015 under our 2014 Cash Incentive Plan (the "2014 Plan") upon (i) the Company's achievement of performance targets related to our fiscal 2014 revenue (excluding revenue from 2014 acquisitions) and adjusted EBITDA (including adjusted EBITDA for fiscal 2014 acquisitions, excluding startup costs associated with the opening of our new Chicago distribution facility, and giving effect to the other exclusions and adjustments specifically identified in the 2014 Plan) ("AEBITDA"), each of which were to be weighted equally, and (ii) for each named executive officer other than Messrs. C. Pappas and J. Pappas, the individual's achievement of certain individual performance goals, provided that no individual was to receive payment for individual performance goals unless we achieved either the threshold revenue goal or threshold AEBITDA goal. In choosing performance metrics for the 2014 Plan, the Compensation Committee considered the annual incentive plan designs of members of our peer groups, as well as our specific corporate goals and budgetary considerations. The target awards under the 2014 Plan, expressed as a percentage of annual base salary, for each of Messrs. C. Pappas, J. Pappas, Austin and Aldous and Ms. Lecouras were as set forth below:

Name	Total Target Award as a Percentage of Annual Base Salary	% of Award Based on Corporate Goals (1)	% of Award Based on Individual Performance Goals
Christopher Pappas	100%	100%	0%
John Pappas	100%	100%	0%
John Austin	75%	75%	25%
Alexandros Aldous	50%	50%	50%
Patricia Lecouras	50%	50%	50%

(1) The portion of each individual's award related to corporate goals is based 50% on our fiscal 2014 revenue and 50% on our fiscal 2014 AEBITDA.

The maximum possible payout under the 2014 Plan with respect to the foregoing named executive officers was 200% of the target. Any payout exceeding 100% of a named executive officer's target would be paid in restricted stock which would vest on the first anniversary of the date of grant.

In setting the corporate performance targets under the 2014 Plan, the Compensation Committee considered historic levels of our performance for revenue and AEBITDA, taking into consideration our acquisitions in fiscal 2013, and based the corporate performance targets on results that were improvements over the prior year's results. Furthermore, the Compensation Committee set the corporate performance targets at levels that required successful implementation of corporate operating objectives for meaningful payouts to occur. The Compensation Committee believed that the targets related to threshold performance were highly achievable in light of budgeted expectations, but the payouts for maximum performance required significant improvement over the prior year's comparable performance. The performance targets selected by the Committee for each of the named executive officers during fiscal 2014 are set forth in the tables below.

Christopher Pappas

Mr. C. Pappas's total target award under the 2014 Plan was 100% of his annual base salary. All of Mr. C. Pappas's possible award under the 2014 Plan was based on our achievement of certain levels of AEBITDA and revenue. The targets and possible payouts associated with Mr. C. Pappas's award are set forth in the tables below:

AEBITDA Target (\$)	a % of Annual Base Salary	Payout Related to AEBITDA Target as Payout Related to AEBITDA Target (\$)
\$60 million or greater	100%	\$750,000
\$56 million	50%	\$375,000
\$52 million or below	0%	\$0

* If AEBITDA was greater than \$52 million but less than \$56 million, Mr. C. Pappas was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 0% and 50% of his base salary that was proportional to the AEBITDA actually achieved. If AEBITDA was greater than \$56 million but less than \$60 million, Mr. C. Pappas was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 50% and 100% of his base salary that was proportional to the AEBITDA actually achieved.

Revenue Target (\$)	Payout Related to Revenue Target as a % of Annual Base Salary	Payout Related to Revenue Target (\$)
\$860 million or greater	100%	\$750,000
\$820 million	50%	\$375,000
\$780 million or below	0%	\$0

* If revenue was greater than \$780 million but less than \$820 million, Mr. C. Pappas was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 0% and 50% of his base salary that was proportional to the revenue actually achieved. If revenue was greater than \$820 million but less than \$860 million, Mr. C. Pappas was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 50% and 100% of his base salary that was proportional to the revenue actually achieved.

John Pappas

Mr. J. Pappas's total target award under the 2014 Plan was 100% of his annual base salary. All of Mr. J. Pappas's possible award under the 2014 Plan was based on our achievement of certain levels of AEBITDA and revenue. The targets and possible payouts associated with Mr. J. Pappas's award are set forth in the tables below:

AEBITDA Target (\$)	Payout Related to AEBITDA Target as a % of Annual Base Salary	Payout Related to AEBITDA Target (\$)
\$60 million or greater	100%	\$250,000
\$56 million	50%	\$125,000
\$52 million or below	0%	\$0

* If AEBITDA was greater than \$52 million but less than \$56 million, Mr. J. Pappas was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 0% and 50% of his base salary that was proportional to the AEBITDA actually achieved. If AEBITDA was greater than \$56 million but less than \$60 million, Mr. J. Pappas was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 50% and 100% of his base salary that was proportional to the AEBITDA actually achieved.

Revenue Target (\$)	Payout Related to Revenue Target as a % of Annual Base Salary	Payout Related to Revenue Target (\$)
\$860 million or greater	100%	\$250,000
\$820 million	50%	\$125,000
\$780 million or below	0%	\$0

* If revenue was greater than \$780 million but less than \$820 million, Mr. J. Pappas was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 0% and 50% of his base salary that was proportional to the revenue actually achieved. If revenue was greater than \$820 million but less than \$860 million, Mr. J. Pappas was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 50% and 100% of his base salary that was proportional to the revenue actually achieved.

John Austin

Mr. Austin's total target award under the 2014 Plan was 75% of his annual base salary. Of Mr. Austin's possible award under the 2014 Plan, 75% of the award was based on our achievement of certain levels of AEBITDA and revenue and 25% of the award was tied to individual performance goals. The targets and possible payouts associated with the portion of Mr. Austin's award based on the corporate goals are set forth in the tables below:

AEBITDA Target (\$)	Payout Related to AEBITDA Target as a % of Annual Base Salary	Payout Related to AEBITDA Target (\$)
\$60 million or greater	56.25%	\$173,813
\$56 million	28.125%	\$86,906
\$52 million or below	0%	\$0

* If AEBITDA was greater than \$52 million but less than \$56 million, Mr. Austin was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 0% and 28.125% of his base salary that was proportional to the AEBITDA actually achieved. If AEBITDA was greater than \$56 million but less than \$60 million, Mr. Austin was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 28.125% and 56.25% of his base salary that was proportional to the AEBITDA actually achieved.

Revenue Target (\$)	Payout Related to Revenue Target as a % of Annual Base Salary	Payout Related to Revenue Target (\$)
\$860 million or greater	56.25%	\$173,813
\$820 million	28.125%	\$86,906
\$780 million or below	0%	\$0

* If revenue was greater than \$780 million but less than \$820 million, Mr. Austin was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 0% and 28.125% of his base salary that was proportional to the revenue actually achieved. If revenue was greater than \$820 million but less than \$860 million, Mr. Austin was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 28.125% and 56.25% of his base salary that was proportional to the revenue actually achieved.

Of Mr. Austin's possible award under the 2014 Plan, 25% of the award, which is equivalent to 18.75% of his annual base salary, or \$57,937.50, was based on his achievement as determined by the Compensation Committee following discussions with management, of certain individual goals, including goals related to revamping reporting systems in our protein division, developing additional staff infrastructure in the finance department, including the implementation of a succession plan for key personnel, and ensuring no significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal controls. In order for Mr. Austin to earn any annual incentive payout tied to individual performance goals, we had to achieve at least the threshold level of revenue or AEBITDA.

Alexandros Aldous

Mr. Aldous's total target award under the 2014 Plan was 50% of his annual base salary. Of Mr. Aldous's possible award under the 2014 Plan, 50% of the award was based on our achievement of certain levels of AEBITDA and revenue and 50% of the award was tied to individual performance goals. The targets and possible payouts associated with the portion of Mr. Aldous's award based on the corporate goals are set forth in the tables below:

AEBITDA Target (\$)	Payout Related to AEBITDA Target as a % of Annual Base Salary	Payout Related to AEBITDA Target (\$)
\$60 million or greater	25%	\$60,513
\$56 million	12.5%	\$30,256
\$52 million or below	0%	\$0

* If AEBITDA was greater than \$52 million but less than \$56 million, Mr. Aldous was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 0% and 12.5% of his base salary that was proportional to the AEBITDA actually achieved. If AEBITDA was greater than \$56 million but less than \$60 million, Mr. Aldous was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 12.5% and 25% of his base salary that was proportional to the AEBITDA actually achieved.

Revenue Target (\$)	Payout Related to Revenue Target as a % of Annual Base Salary	Payout Related to Revenue Target (\$)
\$860 million or greater	25%	\$60,513
\$820 million	12.5%	\$30,256
\$780 million or below	0%	\$0

* If revenue was greater than \$780 million but less than \$820 million, Mr. Aldous was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 0% and 12.5% of his base salary that was proportional to the revenue actually achieved. If revenue was greater than \$820 million but less than \$860 million, Mr. Aldous was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 12.5% and 25% of his base salary that was proportional to the revenue actually achieved.

Of Mr. Aldous's possible award under the 2014 Plan, 50% of the award, which is equivalent to 25% of his annual base salary, or \$60,513, was based on his achievement, as determined by the Compensation Committee following discussions with management, of certain individual goals, including goals related to the Company's legal budget and the handling of the Company's legal affairs. In order for Mr. Aldous to earn any annual incentive payout tied to individual performance goals, we had to achieve at least the threshold level of revenue or AEBITDA.

Patricia Lecouras

Ms. Lecouras's total target award under the 2014 Plan was 50% of her annual base salary. Of Ms. Lecouras's possible award under the 2014 Plan, 50% of the award was based on our achievement of certain levels of AEBITDA and revenue and 50% of the award was tied to individual performance goals. The targets and possible payouts associated with the portion of Ms. Lecouras's award based on the corporate goals are set forth in the table below:

AEBITDA Target (\$)	Payout Related to AEBITDA Target as a % of Annual Base Salary	Payout Related to AEBITDA Target (\$)
\$60 million or greater	25%	\$60,513
\$56 million	12.5%	\$30,256
\$52 million or below	0%	\$0

* If AEBITDA was greater than \$52 million but less than \$56 million, Ms. Lecouras was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 0% and 12.5% of her base salary that was proportional to the AEBITDA actually achieved. If AEBITDA was greater than \$56 million but less than \$60 million, Ms. Lecouras was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 12.5% and 25% of her base salary that was proportional to the AEBITDA actually achieved.

Revenue Target (\$)	Payout Related to Revenue Target as a % of Annual Base Salary	Payout Related to Revenue Target (\$)
\$860 million or greater	25%	\$60,513
\$820 million	12.5%	\$30,256
\$780 million or below	0%	\$0

* If revenue was greater than \$780 million but less than \$820 million, Ms. Lecouras was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 0% and 12.5% of her base salary that was proportional to the revenue actually achieved. If revenue was greater than \$820 million but less than \$860 million, Ms. Lecouras was eligible to receive a payout on a sliding scale basis between 12.5% and 25% of her base salary that was proportional to the revenue actually achieved.

Of Ms. Lecouras's possible award under the 2014 Plan, 50% of the award, which is equivalent to 25% of her annual base salary, or \$60,513, was based on her achievement, as determined by the Compensation Committee following

discussions with management, of certain individual goals, including goals related to labor matters, employee legal matters, and succession planning. In order for Ms. Lecouras to earn any annual incentive payout tied to individual performance goals, we had to achieve at least the threshold level of revenue or AEBITDA.

Fiscal 2014 Results and Payouts

No award distributions were to be made under the 2014 Plan unless we achieved either AEBITDA of above \$52 million or revenue of above \$780 million for fiscal 2014, excluding, in the case of revenue, revenue of approximately \$600,000 from businesses we acquired in fiscal 2014. Our AEBITDA for fiscal 2014 of \$42.4 million was less than the threshold level of AEBITDA required for payouts under the 2014 Plan to Mr. C. Pappas, Mr. J. Pappas, Mr. Austin, Mr. Aldous and Ms. Lecouras; accordingly, we made no payments to our named executive officers on account of our AEBITDA. However, our fiscal 2014 revenue (excluding revenue from businesses acquired in fiscal 2014) was \$836.6 million, which was higher than the threshold level of revenue required for payouts under the 2014 Plan. Mr. C. Pappas, Mr. J. Pappas, Mr. Austin, Mr. Aldous and Ms. Lecouras each received award distributions under the 2014 Plan based upon our achievement of the threshold revenue level and, for all named executive officers other than Messrs. C. Pappas J. Pappas, their achievement of certain individual performance goals in fiscal 2014, as determined by the Compensation Committee. The amounts paid to our named executive officers under the 2014 Plan, and the related target amounts, for fiscal 2014 performance are set forth in the following table:

NAME	TARGET AWARD(1)	ACTUAL AWARD
Christopher Pappas	\$ 750,000	\$ 530,625
John Pappas	\$ 250,000	\$ 176,875
John Austin	\$ 231,750	\$ 156,576(2)
Alexandros Aldous	\$ 121,025	\$ 103,325(3)
Patricia Lecouras	\$ 121,025	\$ 103,325(4)

(1) For Messrs. C. Pappas, J. Pappas, Austin and Aldous and Ms. Lecouras, target awards were based on the fiscal 2014 annual base salary amounts set by the Compensation Committee in March 2014.

(2) Reflects a payment to Mr. Austin of \$122,972 based on our fiscal 2014 revenue and \$33,604 based on his achievement of his individual performance goals related to the implementation of inventory systems and ensuring no significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal controls.

(3) Reflects a payment to Mr. Aldous of \$60,513 based on our fiscal 2014 revenue and \$42,812 based on his achievement of each of his individual performance goals.

(4) Reflects a payment to Ms. Lecouras of \$60,513 based on our fiscal 2014 revenue and \$42,812 based on her achievement of each of her individual performance goals.

Long-Term Equity Compensation.

The Company's Compensation Committee, in consultation with the Hay Group and the Company's management, granted time-based vested restricted stock awards and performance-based restricted stock awards to each of our

named executive officers (other than Messrs. C. Pappas and J. Pappas, who were not granted any equity awards in light of their existing significant holdings of the Company's common stock) in 2013 and 2012. The Compensation Committee believed the 2013 awards sufficiently balanced the need for retention and motivation of our executives with the need to provide a stockholder-aligned performance-based long-term incentive program where the named executive officers are rewarded for improved results for stockholders. As a result, at the time of the fiscal 2013 grants, it was the Compensation Committee's intention that, beginning in fiscal 2013 and going forward, the Compensation Committee would make equity grants to the named executive officers once every three years. We made no additional equity grants to our named executive officers during fiscal 2014.

In fiscal 2014, the Compensation Committee, in consultation with the Hay Group and the Company's management, reevaluated the fiscal 2013 rewards and determined that the likelihood of the Company attaining the performance metrics required for the performance-based restricted stock awards to vest was not probable. To encourage our executives to achieve or exceed financial and other performance targets that the Compensation Committee believes will contribute to increased stockholder value, we made additional grants of time-based vesting restricted stock awards and performance-based vesting restricted stock awards to certain of our named executive officers in the first quarter of fiscal 2015, as discussed under the section "*EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION—Compensation Discussion and Analysis—2015 Compensation of Named Executive Officers.*"

Vesting of Fiscal 2013 Performance-Based Vesting Restricted Stock Awards

In fiscal 2013, the Compensation Committee granted the named executive officers (other than Messrs. C. Pappas and J. Pappas) performance-based vesting restricted stock awards. Mr. Austin's award was equal to 180% of his 2013 annual base salary, while each of Mr. Aldous and Ms. Lecouras received a number of shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock equal to 120% of his or her 2013 annual base salary. The performance-based vesting restricted stock vests in one-third increments each year based upon the Company's achievement of certain EPS performance targets for the 2013, 2014 and 2015 fiscal years. Additionally, to encourage the long-term growth of the Company, vesting of the performance-based restricted stock is cumulative, such that should the restrictions with respect to shares of performance-based restricted stock not lapse for a particular performance period because the Company's EPS for the fiscal year applicable to that performance period was not met, the restrictions with respect to those shares of performance-based restricted stock shall lapse if the Company's EPS for any subsequent fiscal year within the applicable three-year performance period equals or exceeds the EPS amount required for the forfeiture restrictions with respect to the shares of performance-based restricted stock associated with that fiscal year to lapse.

For fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2014, the EPS target was \$0.95 and \$1.02, respectively. Because our EPS for fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2014 were below this targeted amount, the forfeiture restrictions with respect to the portion of the fiscal 2013 performance-based vesting restricted stock grants to Mr. Austin, Mr. Aldous and Ms. Lecouras related to fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2014 performance did not lapse; however, as noted above, the forfeiture restrictions with respect to the portion of these awards related to fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2014 performance may lapse if the EPS target for fiscal 2015 is achieved.

Vesting of Fiscal 2012 Performance-Based Vesting Restricted Stock Awards

In fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee granted the named executive officers other than Messrs. C. Pappas and J. Pappas performance-based vesting restricted stock awards, which vest in one-third increments each year based upon the Company's achievement of certain EPS performance targets for the 2012, 2013 and 2014 fiscal years. As with the 2013 grants of performance-based vesting restricted stock awards discussed above, to encourage the long-term growth of the Company, vesting of the fiscal 2012 performance-based vesting restricted stock awards is cumulative, such that should the restrictions with respect to shares of performance-based restricted stock not lapse for a particular performance period because the Company's EPS for the fiscal year applicable to that performance period was not met, the restrictions with respect to those shares of performance-based restricted stock shall lapse if the Company's EPS for any subsequent fiscal year within the applicable three-year performance period equals or exceeds the EPS amount required for the forfeiture restrictions with respect to the shares of performance-based restricted stock associated with that fiscal year to lapse.

In fiscal 2012, Mr. Aldous and Ms. Lecouras received a number of shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock equal to 25% and 45%, respectively, of his or her 2012 annual base salary. Mr. Austin's employment as our chief financial officer was effective July 1, 2012. In connection with his employment, he received a number of shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock equal to 45% of his pro-rated 2012 annual base salary.

The Company did not achieve any of the fiscal 2012, fiscal 2013 or fiscal 2014 EPS targets of \$0.93, \$1.08 and \$1.26, respectively, under the fiscal 2012 performance-based vesting restricted stock awards. As a result, all of the 2012 performance-based vesting restricted stock awards were forfeited.

Retirement Plans and Other Benefits.

We believe that an important aspect of attracting and retaining qualified individuals to serve as executive officers involves providing health and welfare benefits as well as methods for those individuals to save for retirement. Accordingly, we provide our named executive officers with the following benefits:

Health Insurance. We provide each of our named executive officers and their spouses and children the same health, dental and vision insurance coverage we make available to our other eligible employees. We pay both our portion and the executive's portion of the premiums for these benefits.

- Disability Insurance. We provide each of our named executive officers with short-term disability insurance.

Life Insurance. For each of our named executive officers, we pay the premiums for life insurance in an amount equal to their annual base salary, up to \$300,000.

Retirement Benefits. We do not provide pension arrangements or post-retirement health coverage for our named executive officers or employees; however, our named executive officers and other eligible employees are eligible to participate in our 401(k) defined contribution plan. We make matching contributions for each of our employees, including our named executive officers, in an amount equal to 6% of the employee's contributions up to \$2,500.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation. We do not currently provide any nonqualified defined contribution or other deferred compensation plans to any of our employees.

Employment Agreements, Offer Letters and Severance Benefits

Christopher Pappas's Employment Agreement.

We entered into an employment agreement with Christopher Pappas immediately prior to the consummation of our IPO. Mr. C. Pappas's employment agreement has a three-year term and will allow for the automatic extension of the term for successive one-year terms unless either party to the agreement elects not to renew the agreement at least 60 days prior to the end of the term. The agreement provides for an annual base salary of \$750,000, an annual cash bonus opportunity to be determined by the Board (or a committee thereof) and the right to participate in our equity-based incentive plans. Additionally, the agreement provides for four weeks of paid vacation annually, a monthly car allowance of \$2,000 and participation in our employee benefit plans and programs for salaried employees to the extent permissible under such plans or programs.

The agreement also provides for severance benefits if Mr. C. Pappas is terminated by us without cause, pursuant to which he would receive the continued payment of base salary for one year from the date of termination and the right to receive any bonus that has been earned but remains unpaid on the date of termination; provided, however, that any severance or benefits payable to Mr. C. Pappas in the event of a change in control of the Company shall be determined in accordance with the Executive CIC Plan. Mr. C. Pappas's employment agreement also includes a non-competition and non-solicitation provision, pursuant to which Mr. C. Pappas agrees, among other things, that for one year following the termination of his employment with us, he will not (i) compete with us or our subsidiaries; (ii) induce a customer or supplier of ours to cease doing business with us; or (iii) induce an employee of ours to leave our employ. For purposes of Mr. C. Pappas's employment agreement, "cause" is defined as (i) engaging in willful misconduct that is injurious to our company or our affiliates or (ii) the embezzlement or misappropriation of our, or our affiliates', funds or property; provided, however, that no act, or failure to act, is to be considered "willful" unless done, or omitted to be done, not in good faith and without reasonable belief that the action or omission was in the best interest of our company.

John Pappas's Employment Agreement.

We entered into an employment agreement with John Pappas on January 12, 2012. Mr. J. Pappas's employment agreement has a three-year term and will allow for the automatic extension of the term for successive one-year terms unless either party to the agreement elects not to renew the agreement at least 60 days prior to the end of the term. The agreement provides for an annual base salary of \$250,000, an annual cash bonus opportunity to be determined by the Board (or a committee thereof) and the right to participate in our equity-based incentive plans. Additionally, the agreement provides for four weeks of paid vacation annually, a monthly car allowance of \$2,000 and participation in our employee benefit plans and programs for salaried employees to the extent permissible under such plans or programs.

The agreement also provides for severance benefits if Mr. J. Pappas is terminated by us without cause, pursuant to which he would receive the continued payment of base salary for one year from the date of termination and the right to receive any bonus that has been earned but remains unpaid on the date of termination; provided, however, that any severance or benefits payable to Mr. J. Pappas in the event of a change in control of the Company shall be determined in accordance with the Executive CIC Plan. Mr. J. Pappas's employment agreement also includes a non-competition and non-solicitation provision, pursuant to which Mr. J. Pappas agrees, among other things, that for one year following the termination of his employment with us, he will not (i) compete with us or our subsidiaries; (ii) induce a customer or supplier of ours to cease doing business with us; or (iii) induce an employee of ours to leave our employ. For purposes of Mr. J. Pappas's employment agreement, "cause" is defined as (i) engaging in willful misconduct that is injurious to our company or our affiliates or (ii) the embezzlement or misappropriation of our, or our affiliates', funds or property; provided, however, that no act, or failure to act, is to be considered "willful" unless done, or omitted to be done, not in good faith and without reasonable belief that the action or omission was in the best interest of our company.

Offer Letters and Other Severance Benefits.

John Austin

The terms of John Austin's employment are described in an offer letter provided to him by the Company. This offer letter sets forth that Mr. Austin's employment with the Company is at-will and either party can terminate the relationship at any time with or without "cause" and with or without notice. Pursuant to the terms of the offer letter, Mr. Austin received the following compensation and benefits: (i) an annual base salary of \$290,000 (subject to adjustment in the discretion of the Compensation Committee or the Board), which was subsequently increased to \$300,000 in January 2013 and further increased to \$309,000 in January 2014; (ii) participation in an annual cash incentive program; (iii) participation in the Company's 2011 Incentive Plan; (iv) temporary travel, living and relocation expense reimbursement; (v) eligibility to participate in all employee benefit plans or programs of the Company available to salaried employees and/or executive officers; and (vi) four weeks of vacation annually. Additionally, pursuant to the terms of Mr. Austin's offer letter and a time-based vesting restricted share award agreement entered into between Mr. Austin and us, Mr. Austin received a one-time sign-on bonus equal to 100,000 restricted shares of the Company's common stock, which will vest in equal one-fifth increments as of the first through fifth anniversary dates of the commencement of Mr. Austin's employment with us.

If the Company terminates Mr. Austin's employment without "cause," Mr. Austin will be entitled to receive his then current base salary for a period of twelve months following such termination; provided, however, that any severance or benefits payable to Mr. Austin in the event that his employment is terminated by the Company without "cause" (as defined in the Executive CIC Plan) or by Mr. Austin for "good reason" (as defined in the Executive CIC Plan) within two years following a change in control of the Company shall be determined in accordance with the Executive CIC Plan. "Cause" is defined in Mr. Austin's offer letter to mean termination of Mr. Austin's employment by us due to (i) his conviction of, or plea of, nolo contendere, with respect to any felony, or any act of fraud, embezzlement or dishonesty by Mr. Austin against us or any of our subsidiaries, or any act of moral turpitude or any conduct in which he engages during his employment that tends to bring us or any of our subsidiaries into substantial public disgrace or disrepute, (ii) the commission of any act or omission by Mr. Austin involving fraud with respect to us or any of our subsidiaries or in connection with any relationship between us or any of our subsidiaries and any customer or supplier, (iii) Mr. Austin's use of illegal drugs or repetitive abuse of other drugs or repetitive excess consumption of alcohol interfering with the performance of his duties, (iv) the gross negligence or willful misconduct in the performance of Mr. Austin's duties with respect to us or any of our subsidiaries, or (v) Mr. Austin's failure to follow the lawful directives of our president and chief executive officer where Mr. Austin has been given written notice of the acts or omissions constituting such failure and Mr. Austin failed to cure such conduct, where susceptible to cure, within 30 days following such notice.

Alexandros Aldous

The terms of Alexandros Aldous's employment are described in an offer letter provided to him by the Company. This offer letter has no specific term and provides that Mr. Aldous is an at-will employee. Mr. Aldous's annual base salary under the offer letter was \$155,000, and his base salary subsequently increased to \$175,000 in January 2012, to \$200,000 in March 2012, to \$235,000 in January 2013, and to \$242,500 in January 2014. Under his offer letter, Mr. Aldous was initially eligible to participate in our annual, performance-based cash incentive program at a target of 25% of his annual base salary. The Compensation Committee subsequently increased his target under the annual, performance-based cash incentive program to 35% of his annual base salary for fiscal 2012, and then further increased the target to 50% of his annual base salary for fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2014.

In August 2014, Mr. Aldous entered into a severance agreement with the Company whereby Mr. Aldous is entitled to receive an amount equal to twelve (12) months of his base salary as in effect as of the date of the severance agreement or on the effective date of his termination, whichever is greater, following the Company's termination of his employment without cause (as defined in the severance agreement); provided, however, that any severance or benefits payable to Mr. Aldous in the event that his employment is terminated by the Company without "cause" (as defined in the Executive CIC Plan) or by Mr. Aldous for "good reason" (as defined in the Executive CIC Plan) within two years following a change in control of the Company shall be determined in accordance with the Executive CIC Plan.

Patricia Lecouras

The terms of Patricia Lecouras's employment are described in an offer letter provided to her by the Company. This offer letter has no specific term and provides that Ms. Lecouras is an at-will employee. Ms. Lecouras's annual base salary under the offer letter was initially \$200,000, and her base salary subsequently increased to \$218,500 in September 2009, to \$235,000 in January 2012, and to \$242,500 in January 2014. Ms. Lecouras is eligible to participate in our annual, performance-based cash incentive program at a target of 50% of her annual base salary. Ms. Lecouras's offer letter also provides that she is entitled to receive her base salary for a period of twelve months following her termination by us without "cause," which is defined as termination of employment by us due to (i) conviction of, or plea of, nolo contendere, with respect to any felony, or any act of fraud, embezzlement or dishonesty against us or any of our subsidiaries, or any act of moral turpitude or any conduct in which she engages during her employment that tends to bring us or any of our subsidiaries into substantial public disgrace or disrepute, (ii) the commission of any act or omission involving fraud with respect to us or any of our subsidiaries or in connection with any relationship between us or any of our subsidiaries and any customer or supplier, (iii) use of illegal drugs or repetitive abuse of other drugs or repetitive excess consumption of alcohol interfering with the performance of her duties, (iv) the gross negligence or willful misconduct in the performance of her duties with respect to us or any of our subsidiaries or (v) failure to follow the lawful directives of our president; provided, however, that any severance or benefits payable to Ms. Lecouras in the event that her employment is terminated by the Company without "cause" (as defined in the Executive CIC Plan) or by Ms. Lecouras for "good reason" (as defined in the Executive CIC Plan) within two years following a change in control of the Company shall be determined in accordance with the Executive CIC Plan.

Executive Change in Control Plan

In August 2014, upon approval and recommendation by the Compensation Committee, the Board approved and adopted The Chefs' Warehouse, Inc. Executive Change in Control Plan (the "Executive CIC Plan") for certain senior executives of the Company, including the named executive officers. Under the Executive CIC Plan, if during the two years following a "change in control" of the Company (as defined in the Executive CIC Plan) either a named executive officer's employment is terminated by the Company without "cause" (as defined in the Executive CIC Plan) or such named executive resigns for "good reason" (as defined in the Executive CIC Plan), such named executive officer will be entitled to the following: (i) a cash amount equal to the named executive officer's base salary multiplied by an applicable severance multiple; (ii) a cash amount equal to the named executive officer's reference bonus multiplied by an applicable severance multiple; (iii) if the termination of employment occurs during the calendar year in which the change in control occurs, a prorated target annual bonus for the year of termination, and if the termination of employment occurs in a calendar year following the calendar year in which the change in control occurs, a prorated annual bonus for the year of termination paid at the same time and in the same form as annual bonuses are paid to active employees generally based on actual performance in respect of the performance year provided that all individual performance goals will be deemed attained at 100%; and (iv) a lump-sum cash payment in lieu of benefits continuation. The applicable severance multiples for each of Messrs. C. Pappas, J. Pappas, Austin and Aldous and Ms. Lecouras are 3, 2, 2, 2, and 2, respectively. In addition, if any payments or benefits provided to a named executive officer pursuant to the Executive CIC Plan would trigger the payment of the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or any similar tax imposed by state or local law, the named executive

officer will receive (i) the full payment or (ii) a payment reduced to the minimum amount necessary to avoid any such excise tax, whichever amount is greater on a post-tax basis. In connection with any payments or benefits provided pursuant to the Executive CIC Plan, in no event is the Company responsible to gross-up or indemnify any named executive officer for excise taxes paid or reductions to payments and benefits received to avoid such excise taxes. The amounts payable under the Executive CIC Plan to each named executive officer are set forth in greater detail within the section captioned “*COMPENSATION—Change in Control and Termination Pay Tables*” below.

Our executive officers generally have long tenure with the Company and have provided the vision and leadership that have built the Company into the successful enterprise that it is today. The Compensation Committee believes that the interests of stockholders are best served by ensuring that the interests of our senior management are aligned with those of our stockholders. Change in control benefits are intended to eliminate, or at least reduce, the reluctance of senior management to pursue potential change in control transactions that may be in stockholders’ best interests. The security of competitive change in control arrangements serves to eliminate distraction caused by uncertainty about personal financial circumstances during a period in which the Company requires focused and thoughtful leadership to ensure a successful outcome. Accordingly, the Executive CIC Plan was adopted to provide the “double trigger” severance benefits described above to the covered executives in the event of their termination under certain circumstances following a change in control. The Compensation Committee believes a “double trigger” severance benefit provision is most appropriate, as it provides an incentive for greater continuity in management following a change in control. “Double trigger” benefits also require that two events occur in order for severance to be paid—a change in control followed by the executive’s involuntary termination of employment.

The Compensation Committee reviewed the Executive CIC Plan with the assistance of the Hay Group to evaluate its effectiveness and competitiveness. This review resulted in the plan design described above. The amounts payable under the Executive CIC Plan to each named executive officer and under various other termination scenarios are set forth in greater detail within the section captioned “*COMPENSATION—Change in Control and Termination Pay Tables*” below.

2015 Compensation of Named Executive Officers

For fiscal 2015, the annual base salary for Mr. C. Pappas will remain \$750,000. The annual base salaries for Mr. J. Pappas, Mr. Austin, Mr. Aldous and Ms. Lecouras, respectively, will increase to \$350,000, \$350,000, \$300,000 and \$250,000. The increases to the base salaries of Mr. J. Pappas, Mr. Austin, Mr. Aldous and Ms. Lecouras are based on market increases, peer group comparisons and individual performance in fiscal 2014.

Each of our named executive officers will also be eligible to receive performance-based cash incentive payments in the first quarter of 2016 under our 2015 Cash Incentive Plan upon the Company’s achievement of performance targets related to our fiscal 2015 revenues and adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or adjusted EBITDA, each of which will be weighted equally, and, in the case of Mr. Austin, Mr. Aldous and Ms. Lecouras, upon the individual’s achievement of certain individual performance goals, so long as we achieve each of the minimum levels of revenue and adjusted EBITDA required under the terms of the 2015 Cash Incentive Plan. The revenue target will exclude revenue from new acquisitions in 2015 (except with respect to our pending acquisition of Del Monte) and give effect to the other exclusions and adjustments specifically identified in the 2015 Cash Incentive Plan. The adjusted EBITDA target will include adjusted EBITDA from new acquisitions in 2015, exclude certain one-time costs (including asset write-downs, litigation judgments or settlements, and expenses related to the consummation and integration of business acquisitions, among others), and give effect to the other exclusions and adjustments in each case specifically identified in the 2015 Cash Incentive Plan. The target awards under our 2015 Cash Incentive Plan, expressed as a percentage of annual base salary, for each of Messrs. C. Pappas, J. Pappas, Austin and Aldous and Ms. Lecouras are 100%, 100%, 75%, 50% and 50%. The maximum payout under the 2015 Cash Incentive Plan with respect to the foregoing named executive officers is 200% of the target. Any payout exceeding 100% of an individual’s target will be paid in restricted stock which will vest on the first anniversary of the date of grant.

In fiscal 2014, the Compensation Committee, in consultation with the Hay Group and the Company’s management, reevaluated the fiscal 2013 equity grants and determined that the likelihood of the Company attaining the performance metrics required for the performance-based vesting restricted stock awards to vest was not probable. To ensure that our executives are incentivized to achieve or exceed financial and other performance targets that our Board believes will contribute to increased stockholder value, the Compensation Committee determined in the first quarter of fiscal 2015 to make additional grants of time-based vesting restricted stock awards and performance-based vesting restricted stock awards to each of our named executive officers other than Mr. C. Pappas and Mr. J. Pappas. These awards were market-driven based on peer group data, survey information, and input from the Company’s Compensation Committee. Once the level of compensation was determined, it was decided that the named executive officers (other than Mr. C.

Pappas and Mr. J. Pappas, who were not granted any equity awards in light of their existing significant holdings of our common stock) should have a higher percentage of their awards be performance based, as those individuals typically have a greater opportunity to more directly impact the results of the Company's business. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee determined to weight 70% of the equity grants to each of Messrs. Austin and Aldous and Ms. Lecouras in fiscal 2015 as performance-based vesting restricted stock awards rather than time-based vesting restricted stock awards to motivate the executives to achieve our corporate objectives and enhance stockholder value.

Mr. Austin, Mr. Aldous and Ms. Lecouras each received his or her fiscal 2015 equity-based awards in the first quarter of fiscal 2015, which awards were issued under the 2011 Incentive Plan. Each individual received an amount of shares equal in value to \$100,000 as of the grant date. Each of Mr. Austin, Mr. Aldous and Ms. Lecouras received 1,435 shares of time-based vesting restricted stock, representing 30% of his or her total fiscal 2015 equity-based award. The time-based vesting restricted stock vests in equal one-fourth increments as of the first through fourth anniversary dates of the grant date.

Each of Mr. Austin, Mr. Aldous and Ms. Lecouras also received 3,348 shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock, representing 70% of his or her total fiscal 2015 equity-based award. The number of shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock eligible to vest is based upon the Company's achievement of certain EPS performance targets for the 2015 fiscal year, adjusted to exclude expenses related to asset write-downs, litigation judgments or settlements, business acquisitions (including legal, due diligence and integration costs as well as transaction bonuses), stock compensation and certain other expenses that are not expected to be recurring. Following the end of the 2015 fiscal year, the Compensation Committee will determine to what extent such EPS performance targets were achieved and, based on such determination, the number of shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock eligible to vest, which shares will thereafter vest in equal one-fourth installments on the determination date and the first through third anniversary dates of the determination date.

Tax and Accounting Implications

Deductibility of Executive Compensation.

Our Compensation Committee considers the effect of accounting and tax treatment regarding executive compensation when making decisions regarding the amount and form of compensation that we pay our named executive officers. For instance, our Compensation Committee reviews and considers the deductibility of executive compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code, which generally disallows tax deductions to public companies for certain compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 that is paid in any one tax year to certain of our most highly compensated employees. There is an exception to the limit on deductibility for performance-based compensation that meets certain requirements. We believe that the compensation paid under the 2011 Incentive Plan, including any performance-based cash incentive compensation paid under our 2014 Plan, should be fully deductible for federal income tax purposes. In certain situations, however, we may approve compensation that will not meet these requirements in order to ensure competitive levels of total compensation for our named executive officers.

Accounting for Equity-Based Compensation.

Accounting rules require that we expense equity-based compensation awards, including awards under the 2011 Incentive Plan.

Compensation Committee Report

We have reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management, as required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K. Based on our review and discussions with management, we have recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2014.

The Chefs' Warehouse, Inc. Compensation Committee

Alan Guarino (chairman)
John A. Couri
Joseph Cugine

The foregoing Report of the Compensation Committee shall not be considered soliciting material, nor shall it be deemed "filed" for any purpose, including for the purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that Section. The Report of the Compensation Committee shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), or under the Exchange Act, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

Summary Compensation Table – Fiscal Years 2012-2014

The table below summarizes the compensation paid or accrued by us during the fiscal years indicated for our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and each of our next three highest paid executive officers whose total compensation exceeded \$100,000 for the 2014 fiscal year.

NAME AND PRINCIPAL POSITION	YEAR	SALARY (\$)	BONUS (\$)	STOCK AWARDS (\$)(1)	NON-EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN COMPENSATION (\$)(2)	ALL OTHER COMPENSATION (\$)(3)	TOTAL (\$)
Christopher Pappas <i>Chief Executive Officer</i>	2014	749,986	— (5)	—	530,625	40,205	1,320,816
	2013	\$749,986	— (6)	—	\$475,875	\$42,872	\$1,268,733
	2012	749,986	— (5)	—	—	45,078	795,064
John Pappas (4) <i>Vice Chairman</i>	2014	250,000	— (5)	—	176,875	38,167	465,042
John Austin <i>Chief Financial Officer</i>	2014	307,273	— (5)	—	156,576	13,609	477,458
	2013	299,414	— (7)	\$674,992 (8)	174,573 (9)	93,097	1,242,076
	2012	139,348	\$1,805,000	145,747	—	35,147	2,125,242
Alexandros Aldous <i>General Counsel</i>	2014	240,694	—	—	103,325	7,122	351,141
	2013	232,981	—	352,490	104,840	8,178	698,489
	2012	194,807	—	100,008	38,500	8,029	341,344
Patricia Lecouras <i>Chief Human Resources Officer</i>	2014	240,694	— (5)	—	103,325	10,008	354,027
	2013	235,000	— (6)	352,490	94,853	13,512	695,855
	2012	234,683	—	176,250	47,000	12,087	470,020

(1) Reflects the grant date fair value of our awards to certain of our named executive officers of restricted shares of our common stock consistent with FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 “Compensation-Stock Compensation” (“ASC Topic 718”). The grant date fair value for these awards of restricted stock was determined by taking the closing market price of the Company’s common stock on the date of grant (or the last day on which there was a closing market price of our common stock when grants were made on days when there was no trading in our common stock) and multiplying it by the number of shares awarded. The grant date fair value for awards of

performance-based restricted stock reflects payouts at “target” levels of performance, which is the same as the “maximum” level of performance.

Amounts reflect those amounts earned by the named executive officer under our performance-based, annual cash incentive compensation program. For a description of this program, please see the information under the caption (2) “Performance-Based, Annual Cash Incentive Compensation” within the section captioned “*EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION—Compensation Discussion and Analysis*” above.

(3) The following table breaks out the components of the “All Other Compensation” paid to our named executive officers in fiscal 2014:

NAME	MEDICAL, DENTAL AND VISION INSURANCE PREMIUMS (a)	LIFE INSURANCE PREMIUMS (b)	SHORT- TERM DISABILITY INSURANCE PREMIUMS (c)	401(k) PLAN MATCH (d)	AUTOMOBILE (e)	TOTAL
Christopher Pappas	\$ 9,810	\$ 3,571	\$ 324	\$ 2,500	\$ 24,000	\$40,205
John Pappas	9,809	1,534	324	2,500	24,000	38,167
John Austin	10,075	537	324	2,673	—	13,609
Alexandros Aldous	4,173	125	324	2,500	—	7,122
Patricia Lecouras	6,277	907	324	2,500	—	10,008

(a) This amount reflects each named executive officer’s portion of the premiums for such individual and his or her family’s medical, dental and vision insurance that we pay on such individual’s behalf.

(b) This amount reflects each named executive officer’s portion of the premiums for such individual’s life insurance that we pay on such individual’s behalf.

(c) This amount reflects the premiums we pay for each named executive officer’s short-term disability insurance.

(d) This amount reflects our matching contribution to each named executive officer’s 401(k) plan.

(e) Mr. C. Pappas and Mr. J. Pappas each received a monthly car allowance of \$2,000 during fiscal 2014.

(4) Mr. J. Pappas was not a named executive officer during fiscal 2013 or fiscal 2012. Therefore, only compensation for fiscal 2014 is included in the summary compensation table.

(5) The amount reported in the “Salary” column for each of Mr. C. Pappas, Mr. J. Pappas, Mr. Austin and Ms. Lecouras includes an amount of vacation pay earned but not used in fiscal 2013 and carried over to fiscal 2014 equal to approximately \$14,423, \$4,808, \$2,378 and \$2,793, respectively.

(6) The amount reported in the “Salary” column for each of Mr. C. Pappas and Ms. Lecouras includes an amount of vacation pay earned but not used in fiscal 2011 and carried over to fiscal 2012 equal to approximately \$8,654 and \$904, respectively.

Mr. Austin's annual base salary in 2012 was \$290,000. The Summary Compensation Table reflects his prorated base salary for employment as our chief financial officer from July 1, 2012 through the remainder of our 2012 (7) fiscal year, as well as \$9,478 in cash he received for service on our board of directors during fiscal 2012. No amount is included for the equity grant made to Mr. Austin on May 17, 2012, which was part of his annual Board compensation that he forfeited upon his resignation from the Board.

In connection with Mr. Austin's employment as our chief financial officer, and pursuant to the terms of his offer letter and a time-based vesting restricted share award agreement between Mr. Austin and us, Mr. Austin received a one-time sign-on bonus equal to 100,000 restricted shares of our common stock, which will vest in equal one-fifth increments on the first through fifth anniversary dates of the commencement of his employment with us. The (8) amount in the chart reflects the grant date fair value of this equity award to Mr. Austin consistent with ASC Topic 718. The grant date fair value for this award of restricted stock was determined by taking the closing market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant (or the last day on which there was a closing market price of our common stock when grants were made on days when there was no trading in our common stock) and multiplying it by the number of shares awarded.

Includes a grant of 1,802 shares of time-based vesting restricted common stock Mr. Austin received on May 17, (9) 2012 for service as a member of our Board. The closing price of our common stock on May 17, 2012 was \$20.53. Mr. Austin forfeited all 1,802 shares in connection with his resignation from our Board on May 24, 2012.

2014 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

We granted no equity-based awards to our named executive officers in fiscal 2014. The following table provides information about plan-based awards granted to the named executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 26, 2014:

Name	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)		
	Threshold (\$) (2)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)
Christopher Pappas		\$750,000	\$1,500,000
John Pappas		\$250,000	\$500,000
John Austin		\$231,750	\$463,500
Alexandros Aldous		\$121,025	\$242,050
Patricia Lecouras		\$121,025	\$242,050

Represents the possible performance-based, cash incentive award payments pursuant to our 2014 Plan. For a description of the 2014 Plan and awards made pursuant thereto, see “*EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION – Compensation Discussion and Analysis – Components of Fiscal 2013 Compensation for Our Named Executive Officers – Performance-Based, Annual Cash Incentive Compensation*” beginning on page 23 of this proxy statement, and for a description of the payments actually made pursuant to the 2014 Plan, see “*EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION – Summary Compensation Table – Fiscal Years 2012-2014*” beginning on page 35 of this proxy statement.

There were not threshold payouts under the 2014 Plan, as the possible performance-based, cash incentive award payments under the 2014 Plan were to be paid on a sliding scale basis from \$0 up to a certain percentage of a named executive officer’s fiscal 2014 annual base salary based on our achievement of certain revenue or AEBITDA (2) targets. These sliding scale payments and the related revenue and AEBITDA targets are described more fully under “*Executive Compensation – Compensation Discussion and Analysis – Components of Fiscal 2014 Compensation for Our Named Executive Officers – Performance-Based, Annual Cash Incentive Compensation*” beginning on page 23 of this proxy statement.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2014 Fiscal Year End

The table below summarizes the amount of unvested time-based vesting and performance-based vesting restricted stock awards granted for each named executive officer as of December 26, 2014. The vesting schedule for each grant can be found in the footnotes to this table, based on the grant date. We have not issued stock options to any of our named executive officers. For additional information on our equity awards, see “*EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION – Compensation Discussion and Analysis – Components of Fiscal 2014 Compensation for Our Named Executive Officers – Long-Term Equity Compensation*” on page 28 of this proxy statement.

STOCK AWARDS

NAME	TYPE OF STOCK	NUMBER OF SHARES OF STOCK THAT HAVE NOT VESTED (#)	MARKET VALUE OF SHARES OF STOCK THAT HAVE NOT VESTED (\$) (1)	EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN AWARDS: NUMBER OF UNEARNED SHARES, OTHER RIGHTS THAT HAVE NOT VESTED (#)	EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN AWARDS: MARKET OR PAYOUT VALUE OF UNEARNED SHARES, OTHER RIGHTS THAT HAVE NOT VESTED (\$) (1)
Christopher Pappas	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
John Pappas	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
John Austin	Restricted Stock	67,014(2)	\$1,476,318	38,476(5)	\$847,626
Alexandros Aldous	Restricted Stock	4,490(3)	\$98,915	21,119(6)	\$465,252
Patricia Lecouras	Restricted Stock	5,088(4)	\$112,089	24,368(7)	\$536,827

- (1) The value presented in the table is equal to the product of the number of shares that had not vested as of December 26, 2014 multiplied by the closing price of our common stock on that day, which was \$22.03.

- (2) Represents 67,014 shares of time-based vesting restricted stock held by Mr. Austin which were unvested at the end of fiscal 2014. Of the 67,014 shares of time-based vesting restricted stock, 602 shares will vest on each of January 12, 2015 and January 12, 2016; 20,000 shares will vest on each of July 1, 2015, July 1, 2016, and July 1, 2017; and 2,905 shares will vest on each of January 21, 2015 and January 21, 2016.

- (3) Represents 4,490 shares of time-based vesting restricted stock held by Mr. Aldous which were unvested at the end of fiscal 2014. Of the 4,490 shares of time-based vesting restricted stock, 728 shares will vest on each of January 12, 2015 and January 12, 2016; and 1,517 shares will vest on each of January 21, 2015 and January 21, 2016.

(4) Represents 5,088 shares of time-based vesting restricted stock held by Ms. Lecouras which were unvested at the end of fiscal 2014. Of the 5,088 shares of time-based vesting restricted stock, 1,027 shares will vest on each of January 12, 2015 and January 12, 2016; and 1,517 shares will vest on each of January 21, 2015 and January 21, 2016.

Represents 38,476 shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock held by Mr. Austin which were unvested at the end of fiscal 2014. Of the 38,476 shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock, 3,615 shares were granted in fiscal 2012 with vesting in three equal tranches based upon our attainment of certain performance goals related to EPS for fiscal years 2012, 2013 and 2014, and 34,861 shares were granted in fiscal 2013 with vesting in three equal tranches based upon our attainment of certain performance goals related to EPS for fiscal years 2013, 2014 and 2015; provided, however, that vesting of the performance-based vesting restricted stock is cumulative, such that should the restrictions with respect to shares of the performance-based vesting restricted stock not lapse for a particular performance period because our EPS for the fiscal year applicable to that performance period was (5) not met, the restrictions with respect to those shares of performance-based restricted stock shall lapse if our EPS for any subsequent fiscal year within the applicable three-year performance period equals or exceeds the EPS amount required for the forfeiture restrictions with respect to the shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock associated with that fiscal year to lapse. We did not achieve the performance goals related to EPS for fiscal year 2012 or fiscal year 2013 and subsequent to the filing of our audited financial statements for fiscal year 2014 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 11, 2015, our Compensation Committee determined that we did not achieve the performance goals related to EPS for fiscal year 2014. Accordingly, the 3,615 shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock granted to Mr. Austin in fiscal 2012 were forfeited subsequent to the end of fiscal year 2014.

Represents 21,119 shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock held by Mr. Aldous which were unvested at the end of fiscal 2014. Of the 21,119 shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock, 2,914 shares were granted in fiscal 2012 with vesting in three equal tranches based upon our attainment of certain performance goals related to EPS for fiscal years 2012, 2013 and 2014, and 18,205 shares were granted in fiscal 2013 with vesting in three equal tranches based upon our attainment of certain performance goals related to EPS for fiscal years 2013, 2014 and 2015; provided, however, that vesting of the performance-based vesting restricted stock is cumulative, such that should the restrictions with respect to shares of the performance-based vesting restricted stock not lapse for a particular performance period because our EPS for the fiscal year applicable to that performance period was (6) not met, the restrictions with respect to those shares of performance-based restricted stock shall lapse if our EPS for any subsequent fiscal year within the applicable three-year performance period equals or exceeds the EPS amount required for the forfeiture restrictions with respect to the shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock associated with that fiscal year to lapse. We did not achieve the performance goals related to EPS for fiscal year 2012 or fiscal year 2013 and subsequent to the filing of our audited financial statements for fiscal year 2014 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 11, 2015, our Compensation Committee determined that we did not achieve the performance goals related to EPS for fiscal year 2014. Accordingly, the 2,914 shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock granted to Mr. Aldous in fiscal 2012 were forfeited subsequent to the end of fiscal year 2014.

(7) Represents 24,368 shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock held by Ms. Lecouras which were unvested at the end of fiscal 2014. Of the 24,368 shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock, 6,163 shares were granted in fiscal 2012 with vesting in three equal tranches based upon our attainment of certain performance goals related to EPS for fiscal years 2012, 2013 and 2014, and 18,205 shares were granted in fiscal 2013 with vesting in three equal tranches based upon our attainment of certain performance goals related to EPS for fiscal years 2013, 2014 and 2015; provided, however, that vesting of the performance-based vesting restricted stock is cumulative, such that should the restrictions with respect to shares of the performance-based vesting restricted stock not lapse for a particular performance period because our EPS for the fiscal year applicable to that performance period was not met, the restrictions with respect to those shares of performance-based restricted stock shall lapse if our EPS for any subsequent fiscal year within the applicable three-year performance period equals or exceeds the EPS

amount required for the forfeiture restrictions with respect to the shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock associated with that fiscal year to lapse. We did not achieve the performance goals related to EPS for fiscal year 2012 or fiscal year 2013 and subsequent to the filing of our audited financial statements for fiscal year 2014 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 11, 2015, our Compensation Committee determined that we did not achieve the performance goals related to EPS for fiscal year 2014. Accordingly, the 6,163 shares of performance-based vesting restricted stock granted to Ms. Lecouras in fiscal 2012 were forfeited subsequent to the end of fiscal year 2014.

2014 Stock Vested Table

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the number of shares of restricted stock that our named executive officers received upon vesting in fiscal 2014:

NAME	STOCK AWARDS	
	NUMBER OF SHARES ACQUIRED ON VESTING (#)	VALUE REALIZED ON VESTING
Christopher Pappas	N/A	N/A
John Pappas	N/A	N/A
John Austin(1)	23,508	\$492,884
Alexandros Aldous(2)	2,246	62,841
Patricia Lecouras(3)	2,544	71,128

Of Mr. Austin's 23,508 shares of restricted stock which vested in fiscal 2014, 603 shares vested on January 12, 2014, which was a Sunday. The value realized on vesting of those 603 shares is calculated based on the closing price of our common stock on Friday, January 10, 2014, which was \$27.81. 2,905 shares of restricted stock vested (1) on January 21, 2014, and the value realized on vesting of those 2,905 shares is calculated based on the closing price of our common stock on January 21, 2014, which was \$28.06. Mr. Austin's remaining 20,000 shares which vested in fiscal 2014 vested on July 1, 2014. The value realized on vesting of those 20,000 shares is calculated based on the closing price of our common stock on July 1, 2014, which was \$19.73.

Of Mr. Aldous's 2,246 shares of restricted stock which vested in fiscal 2014, 729 shares vested on January 12, 2014, which was a Sunday. The value realized on vesting of those 729 shares is calculated based on the closing (2) price of our common stock on Friday, January 10, 2014, which was \$27.81. Mr. Aldous's remaining 1,517 shares of restricted stock which vested in fiscal 2014 vested on January 21, 2014. The value realized on vesting of those 1,517 shares is calculated based on the closing price of our common stock on January 21, 2014, which was \$28.06.

Of the 2,544 shares of restricted stock of Ms. Lecouras which vested in fiscal 2014, 1,027 shares vested on January 12, 2014, which was a Sunday. The value realized on vesting of those 1,027 shares is calculated based on the (3) closing price of our common stock on Friday, January 10, 2014, which was \$27.81. The remaining 1,517 shares of restricted stock of Ms. Lecouras which vested in fiscal 2014 vested on January 21, 2014. The value realized on vesting of those 1,517 shares is calculated based on the closing price of our common stock on January 21, 2014, which was \$28.06.

Change in Control and Termination Pay Tables

The tables below reflect the amount of compensation payable to each of our named executive officers in the event of termination of such executive's employment and in certain situations following a change in control of the Company. The amount of compensation payable to each named executive officer upon voluntary termination, early or normal retirement and involuntary not-for-cause termination, in the event of disability or death of the executive, and in certain situations following a change in control of the Company is shown below. The amounts shown in the tables below assume that such termination was effective as of December 26, 2014, and thus include amounts earned through such time, and are estimates of the amounts which would be paid out to the executives upon their termination. The actual amounts to be paid out can only be determined at the time of such executive's separation from us.

Change in Control and Termination Payments to All Named Executive Officers

EXECUTIVE BENEFITS AND PAYMENTS UPON SEPARATION	INVOLUNTARY NOT-FOR-CAUSE TERMINATION ON 12/26/2014	DISABILITY ON 12/26/2014	DEATH ON 12/26/2014	CHANGE IN CONTROL ON 12/26/2014(1)	TERMINATION BY EXECUTIVE FOR DEATH, DISABILITY, NORMAL RETIREMENT, EARLY RETIREMENT OR GOOD REASON OR INVOLUNTARY NOT-FOR-CAUSE TERMINATION BY THE COMPANY, IN EACH CASE WITHIN ONE YEAR FOLLOWING A CHANGE IN CONTROL ON 12/26/2014	TERMINATION BY EXECUTIVE FOR GOOD REASON OR BY THE COMPANY WITHOUT CAUSE DURING THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD FOLLOWING A CHANGE IN CONTROL ON 12/26/14(2)(3)
---	---	--------------------------	---------------------	------------------------------------	--	---

Edgar Filing: Chefs' Warehouse, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Christopher Pappas

Acceleration of Vesting of Restricted Stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cash Severance Payment	\$ 750,000	(4)	—	—	—	—	(9) \$ 3,767,470
Total	\$ 750,000	—	—	—	—	—	\$ 3,767,470

John Pappas

Acceleration of Vesting of Restricted Stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cash Severance Payment	\$ 250,000	(4)	—	—	—	—	(9) \$ 944,372
Total	\$ 250,000	—	—	—	—	—	\$ 944,372

John Austin

Acceleration of Vesting of Restricted Stock	\$ 1,762,400	(5)	\$ 2,244,306	\$ 2,244,306	\$ 2,244,306	\$ 2,244,306	(10) —
Cash Severance Payment	\$ 309,000	(6)	—	—	—	—	(9) \$ 1,291,845
Total	\$ 2,071,400		\$ 2,244,306	\$ 2,244,306	\$ 2,244,306	\$ 2,244,306	\$ 1,291,845

Alexandros Aldous

Acceleration of Vesting of Restricted Stock	—		\$ 499,971	\$ 499,971	\$ 499,971	\$ 499,971	(10) —
Cash Severance Payment	\$ 242,500	(7)	—	—	—	—	(9) \$ 785,137
Total	\$ 242,500		\$ 499,971	\$ 499,971	\$ 499,971	\$ 499,971	\$ 785,137

Patricia Lecouras

Acceleration of Vesting of Restricted Stock	—		\$ 513,145	\$ 513,145	\$ 513,145	\$ 513,145	(10) —
---	---	--	------------	------------	------------	------------	--------

Edgar Filing: Chefs' Warehouse, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Cash Severance Payment	\$ 242,500	(8)	—	—	—	—	(9)	\$ 783,650
Total	\$ 242,500		\$ 513,145	\$ 513,145	\$ 513,145	\$ 513,145		\$ 783,650

Amounts in this column assume the individual's awards of time-based vesting and performance-based vesting restricted shares of our common stock were not assumed in the change in control transaction and therefore vested (1) immediately prior to the change in control transaction, and it also assumes the Compensation Committee determined to vest all of the individual's performance-based vesting restricted shares upon the change in control transaction.

Please see “*EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION—Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Employment Agreements, Offer Letters and Severance Benefits—Offer Letters and Other Severance Benefits—Executive Change in Control Plan*” for a discussion of the Executive CIC Plan and an explanation of the calculation of amounts due in connection with a termination by the individual for good reason or termination by the Company without cause during the two-year period following a change in control transaction. In addition, under the Executive CIC Plan, amounts are reduced in the event that the individual would be subject to excise taxes imposed under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or any similar tax imposed by state or local law, but only where the after-tax payments received by the individual would be greater than the after-tax payments without regard to such reduction. The total amounts payable above have been calculated assuming no reduction would apply to avoid excise taxes under Section 4999 or state or local law.

Amounts in this column may be awarded to individuals covered by the Executive CIC Plan in addition to the accelerated vesting of restricted shares of our common stock in connection with a termination by the executive for death, disability, normal retirement, early retirement or good reason or involuntary not-for-cause termination by the Company, in each case within one year following a change in control on December 26, 2014, as set forth in the previous column.

Pursuant to our employment agreements with each of Christopher Pappas and John Pappas, if such named executive officer is terminated by us without “cause” (as that term is defined in his employment agreement), he is entitled to receive an amount equal to his annual base salary, payable for a period of one (1) year from the date of his termination and on the same terms and with the same frequency as his annual base salary was paid prior to such termination.

Represents the acceleration of vesting of the remaining unvested shares of restricted stock granted to Mr. Austin as a one-time sign-on bonus pursuant to the terms of his offer letter and a time-based vesting restricted share award agreement between Mr. Austin and us.

Mr. Austin is entitled to receive his base salary for twelve months following our termination of his employment without “cause” (as that term is defined in his offer letter).

Mr. Aldous is entitled to receive an amount equal to twelve months of his base salary as in effect as of the date of his severance agreement or on the effective date of his termination, whichever is greater, following our termination of his employment without “cause” (as that term is defined in his severance agreement).

Ms. Lecouras is entitled to receive her base salary for twelve months following our termination of her employment without “cause” (as that term is defined in her offer letter).

In the event that the individual’s employment is terminated by the Company without cause or by the individual for good reason, he or she would be entitled to receive a cash severance payment in the amount equal to the cash severance payment set forth in the following column.

Amounts assume the individual’s awards of time-based vesting and performance-based vesting restricted shares of our common stock were assumed in the change in control transaction and thereafter accelerated in connection with a termination event.

Director Compensation

Pursuant to our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board is responsible for setting the compensation of its independent directors. For the portion of fiscal 2014 up to our annual meeting of stockholders held on May 16, 2014, we paid each of our independent, non-management directors \$6,250 as a portion of their cash retainer for board membership from the annual meeting of stockholders in fiscal 2013 to the annual meeting of stockholders in fiscal 2014. For the portion of fiscal 2014 commencing on the day after the Annual Meeting until the date of our annual meeting of stockholders in fiscal 2015, our directors will be paid a retainer of \$75,000, which will consist of a mix of \$25,000 in cash, paid in quarterly installments on the first day of each fiscal quarter, and \$50,000 in time-based vesting restricted stock, which will vest at our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders. In fiscal 2014, we did not pay directors for attending meetings of the Board or its committees, but we did pay directors for committee membership and chairmanship and reimbursed our independent directors for their expenses incurred in attending Board and committee meetings. Our directors receive retainers for their participation as members of committees of the Board equal to \$8,000 for Audit Committee membership, \$5,000 for Compensation Committee membership and \$4,000 for Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee membership. Directors who serve as a chairman of a committee of the Board receive retainers equal to \$15,000 for the Audit Committee chairmanship, \$10,000 for the Compensation Committee chairmanship, and \$7,500 for the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee chairmanship.

The table below summarizes the compensation paid by us to our directors for the 2014 fiscal year:

2014 DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE

	FEES EARNED OR PAID IN CASH (\$)	STOCK AWARDS (\$) ⁽²⁾	ALL OTHER COMPENSATION (\$)	TOTAL (\$)
Christopher Pappas (1)	—	—	—	—
John Pappas (1)	—	—	—	—
Dominick Cerbone	\$ 48,952	\$ 49,999	—	\$98,951
John A. Couri	\$ 41,525	\$ 49,999	—	\$91,524
Joseph Cugine	\$ 38,000	\$ 49,999	—	\$87,999
Alan Guarino	\$ 45,136	\$ 49,999	—	\$95,135
Stephen Hanson	\$ 37,000	\$ 49,999	—	\$86,999

(1) These individuals did not receive any compensation for their service as a director, and they have no outstanding options or stock awards as of the end of fiscal 2014.

(2) Each of these restricted stock awards was unvested as of the end of fiscal 2014, and they will each vest at the 2015 Annual Meeting. Consistent with ASC Topic 718, the amounts in the table reflect the grant date fair value of our awards to each of our directors, other than Messrs. C. Pappas and J. Pappas, of 2,787 restricted shares of our common stock on May 16, 2014. The grant date fair value for these awards of restricted stock was determined by taking the closing market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant, which was \$17.94, and multiplying it by the number of shares awarded.

Director Stock Ownership Requirement

To further align the interests of the Board with the interests of the Company's stockholders, the Company believes that its independent directors should be stockholders and have a significant personal financial stake in the Company. Accordingly, each independent director is required to own shares of the Company's common stock valued at three times the director's equity component of the then-current annual retainer. Each director has three years from the date of the director's election to the Board to attain such level of stock ownership. After achieving the minimum level of stock ownership, ownership of the minimum amount must be maintained as long as the director remains on the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee in its discretion may extend the period of time for attainment of such ownership levels in appropriate circumstances. Until the stock ownership requirements are met, directors are required to retain the net number of shares of the Company's common stock received by the directors pursuant to awards granted to the directors or exercised by the directors pursuant to the 2011 Incentive Plan.

PROPOSAL 2—RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee has selected BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 25, 2015 and has recommended to the Board that this selection be submitted to our stockholders for ratification at the Annual Meeting. Stockholder ratification of the selection of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm is not required by law or otherwise. However, the Board, upon the recommendation of the Audit Committee, is submitting the selection of BDO USA, LLP to stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate governance. If stockholders do not ratify the selection of BDO USA, LLP, the Audit Committee will reconsider the matter.

Representatives of BDO USA, LLP, which served as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ended December 26, 2014, will be present at the Annual Meeting to respond to appropriate questions and to make such statements as they may desire.

The Board unanimously recommends that stockholders vote “FOR” ratification of the selection of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2015. Proxies received by the Board will be voted “FOR” ratification of BDO USA, LLP unless a contrary choice is specified in the proxy.

Vote Required

An affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented at the Annual Meeting in person (including by webcast) or by properly executed proxy and entitled to vote on Proposal 2 is necessary to ratify the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 25, 2015. Abstentions will be equivalent to a vote against this proposal. If no voting specification is made on a properly returned or voted proxy card, the proxy card will vote FOR Proposal 2.

Fees Paid to BDO USA, LLP

In addition to retaining BDO USA, LLP to audit our financial statements for fiscal 2014, we engaged the firm from time to time during the year to perform other services. The following table sets forth the aggregate fees billed by BDO USA, LLP in connection with services rendered during the last two fiscal years.

Edgar Filing: Chefs' Warehouse, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Fee Category	Fiscal 2014	Fiscal 2013
Audit Fees	\$695,962	\$630,857
Audit-Related Fees	10,000	35,000
Tax Fees	0	2,140
All Other Fees	0	0
	\$705,962	\$667,997

Audit Fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered in connection with the audit of our annual financial statements, the review of the interim financial statements included in quarterly reports and services that are normally provided by BDO USA, LLP in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements. Fees for audit services in fiscal 2014 include fees billed for the audit of our annual financial statements and fees related to BDO USA, LLP's assessment of internal control over financial reporting. Fees for audit services in fiscal 2013 include fees billed for the audit of our annual financial statements, fees related to BDO USA, LLP's assessment of internal control over financial reporting and fees billed for professional services related to our public offering of common stock, including providing comfort letters and consents.

Audit-Related Fees consist of fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and are not reported under "Audit Fees." In fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013, fees for audit-related services include fees billed for agreed upon procedures work performed in connection with the Company's acquisitions.

Tax Fees consist of fees billed for professional services for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning. These services include assistance regarding federal and state tax compliance, tax audit defense and mergers and acquisitions.

All Other Fees consist of fees for services other than the services reported above. In fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013, no services other than those discussed above were provided by BDO USA, LLP.

The Audit Committee has considered whether the provision of the non-audit services described above by BDO USA, LLP is compatible with maintaining auditor independence and determined that BDO USA, LLP's provision of non-audit services did not compromise its independence as our independent registered public accounting firm.

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy requiring pre-approval of all audit and non-audit related services to be provided by the Company's independent auditor regardless of amount. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other related services. BDO USA, LLP and management are required to periodically report to the Audit Committee regarding the extent of services provided by BDO USA, LLP in accordance with this pre-approval and the fees for the services performed to date. The Audit Committee may also pre-approve particular services on a case-by-case basis. In fiscal 2014, all services provided by BDO USA, LLP were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with this policy.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee of the Board is responsible for providing independent, objective oversight of the Company's accounting functions and internal controls and processes for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations. The Audit Committee is composed of "independent directors," as defined in the NASDAQ Listing Rules, and acts under a written charter in compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and other regulations adopted by the SEC and NASDAQ.

Audit Committee Disclosures

With respect to the fiscal year ended December 26, 2014, the Audit Committee hereby reports as follows:

1. The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with the Company's management.

The Audit Committee has discussed with its independent registered public accounting firm, BDO USA, LLP, the 2. matters required to be discussed by the statement on Auditing Standards No. 16, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1. AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T.

The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning 3. independence and has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the independent registered public accounting firm's independence. In addition, the Audit Committee has discussed and considered whether the provision of non-audit services by the Company's principal auditor, as described above, is compatible with maintaining auditor independence.

Based on the review and discussion referred to in paragraphs (1) through (3) above, the Audit Committee 4. recommended to the Company's Board of Directors the inclusion of the audited financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 26, 2014, for filing with the SEC.

The Chefs' Warehouse, Inc. Audit Committee

Dominick Cerbone (chairman)
Joseph Cugine
Stephen Hanson

Edgar Filing: Chefs' Warehouse, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

The foregoing Report of the Audit Committee shall not be considered soliciting material, nor shall it be deemed “filed” for any purpose, including for the purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that Section. The Report of the Audit Committee shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or under the Exchange Act, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

PROPOSAL 3—ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

As described in this proxy statement under the caption “*EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION—Compensation Discussion and Analysis*,” the Compensation Committee’s goal in setting executive compensation is to attract and retain highly-qualified executives by providing total compensation for each position that our board of directors and chief executive officer believe is competitive within our business sector. We also seek to provide appropriate incentives for our named executive officers to achieve performance metrics related to company-wide performance and the individual’s relevant performance goals. In applying these principles, we seek to integrate compensation with our short- and long-term strategic plans and to align the interests of our named executive officers with the long-term interests of our stockholders.

Stockholders are urged to read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this proxy statement, which discusses how our compensation policies and procedures implement our compensation objectives and philosophies, as well as the summary compensation table set forth in “*EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION—Summary Compensation Table—Fiscal Years 2012-2014*” and other related compensation tables and narrative disclosure which describe the compensation of our named executive officers in fiscal 2014.

The Compensation Committee and the Board believe that the policies and procedures articulated in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis are effective in aligning the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders and in incentivizing performance that supports our short- and long-term strategic objectives and that the compensation of our named executive officers in fiscal 2014 reflects and supports these compensation policies and procedures.

As required by Section 14A of the Exchange Act and as a matter of good corporate governance, stockholders will be asked at the Annual Meeting to approve the following advisory resolution:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company’s named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby APPROVED.

This advisory vote, commonly referred to as a “say-on-pay” advisory vote, is non-binding on the Board. At our 2012 annual meeting, a majority of our stockholders voted, on a non-binding, advisory basis, to hold the “say-on-pay” advisory vote every year, and our Board subsequently determined that the Company will do so until the next required vote on the frequency of such “say-on-pay” advisory votes occurs. Accordingly, we will hold the next “say-on-pay” advisory vote at our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders. Although non-binding, the Board and the Compensation Committee will review the voting results of the “say-on-pay” advisory vote and take them into consideration when making future decisions regarding our executive compensation programs.

The Board unanimously recommends that stockholders vote “FOR” the non-binding, advisory vote on executive compensation. Proxies received by the Board will be voted “FOR” the non-binding, advisory vote on executive compensation unless a contrary choice is specified in the proxy.

Vote Required

An affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented at the Annual Meeting in person (including by webcast) or by properly executed proxy and entitled to vote on Proposal 3 is necessary for approval. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal, and abstentions will be equivalent to a vote against this proposal. If no voting specification is made on a properly returned or voted proxy card, the proxy card will vote FOR Proposal 3.

OTHER MATTERS

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors, executive officers and holders of more than 10% of our common stock ("Reporting Persons") to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of common stock and other equity securities. To the Company's knowledge, based solely upon a review of Forms 3, 4 and 5 and amendments thereto furnished to the Company for the fiscal year ended December 26, 2014 pursuant to Rule 16a-3(e) of the Exchange Act and written representations from Reporting Persons that all required reports had been filed, the Company believes that all Reporting Persons filed the required reports on a timely basis, except that John Austin, Alex Aldous, Frank O'Dowd and Patricia Lecouras each had one late report for January 2014 transactions relating to each such executive officer's surrender of stock back to the Company to cover withholding taxes on a release of restricted stock.

Stockholder Proposals for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

The 2016 annual meeting of stockholders is expected to be held on May 13, 2016, although this date may change. Eligible stockholders interested in submitting a proposal for inclusion in the proxy materials for the annual meeting of stockholders in 2016 may do so by following the procedures prescribed in Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act. In order to be considered timely for inclusion in the Company's proxy materials for the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, stockholder proposals must be received by the Company at 100 East Ridge Road, Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877, addressed to the corporate secretary of the Company, not later than December 5, 2015. Eligible stockholders interested in submitting a matter to be brought before the Company's 2015 annual meeting although not included in the Company's proxy materials may do so by following the procedures prescribed in the Company's Bylaws. In order for the proposal to be considered timely for the Company's 2016 annual meeting, such stockholder proposal must be received by the Company at the address stated above not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting of stockholders (i.e., not earlier than January 16, 2016 and not later than February 15, 2016); provided, however, that if the date of the annual meeting is advanced more than 30 days prior to such anniversary date or delayed more than 60 days after such anniversary date, then to be timely such notice must be so received not later than the tenth (10th) day following the day on which notice of the date of the annual meeting was mailed or public disclosure of the date of the annual meeting was first made, whichever occurs first.

The stockholder's submission must include certain specified information concerning the proposal and the stockholder, including such stockholder's ownership of our common stock. As we will not entertain any proposals at an annual meeting that do not meet these requirements, we strongly encourage stockholders to seek advice from legal counsel before submitting a proposal. Submitting a stockholder proposal does not guarantee that we will include it in our proxy statement.

THE BOARD HOPES THAT STOCKHOLDERS WILL ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING IN PERSON OR ON THE INTERNET THROUGH A VIRTUAL WEB CONFERENCE. REGARDLESS OF WHETHER YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, YOU ARE URGED TO VOTE EITHER IN PERSON OR VIA THE INTERNET, BY TELEPHONE, OR BY COMPLETING, SIGNING, DATING AND RETURNING THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD (IF RECEIVED BY MAIL) AS SOON AS POSSIBLE TO ENSURE THAT YOUR SHARES ARE REPRESENTED AT THE MEETING. STOCKHOLDERS OF RECORD OR BENEFICIAL STOCKHOLDERS NAMED AS PROXIES BY THEIR STOCKHOLDERS OF RECORD WHO ATTEND THE MEETING MAY REVOKE THEIR PROXIES AND CAST THEIR VOTES ELECTRONICALLY OVER THE INTERNET DURING THE MEETING.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Christopher Pappas,
Chairman of the Board

April 3, 2015

