

TFS Financial CORP  
Form 10-K  
November 26, 2014  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014  
or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission File Number 001-33390

---

TFS FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

---

|   |   |
|---|---|
| United States of America<br>(State or Other Jurisdiction of<br>Incorporation or Organization) | 52-2054948<br>(I.R.S. Employer<br>Identification No.) |
|---|---|

|   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 7007 Broadway Avenue<br>Cleveland, Ohio<br>(Address of Principal Executive Offices)<br>(216) 441-6000 | 44105<br>(Zip Code) |
|---|---------------------|

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)  
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:  
Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share  
(Title of class)

The NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC  
(Name of exchange on which registered)  
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

---

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or

Edgar Filing: TFS Financial CORP - Form 10-K

information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer       Accelerated filer       Non-accelerated filer       Smaller reporting company   
(do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.) Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the Registrant, computed by reference to the last sale price on March 31, 2014, as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market, was approximately \$979.9 million.

At November 24, 2014 there were 300,224,689 shares of the Registrant's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding, of which 227,119,132 shares, or 75.65% of the Registrant's common stock, were held by Third Federal Savings and Loan Association of Cleveland, MHC, the Registrant's mutual holding company.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE (to the Extent Indicated Herein)

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference in Part III hereof.

---

Table of Contents

TFS Financial Corporation  
INDEX

Part I

|          |                                  |    |
|----------|----------------------------------|----|
| Item 1.  | <u>Business</u>                  | 4  |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u>              | 45 |
| Item 1B. | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 52 |
| Item 2.  | <u>Properties</u>                | 52 |
| Item 3.  | <u>Legal Proceedings</u>         | 53 |
| Item 4.  | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>   | 53 |

Part II

|          |   |    |
|----------|---|----|
| Item 5.  | <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 53 |
| Item 6.  | <u>Selected Financial Data</u>  | 56 |
| Item 7.  | <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation</u>                         | 59 |
| Item 7A. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>   | 80 |
| Item 8.  | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>  | 84 |
| Item 9.  | <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>                         | 84 |
| Item 9A. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u>  | 84 |
| Item 9B. | <u>Other Information</u>  | 87 |

Part III

|          |   |    |
|----------|---|----|
| Item 10. | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>   | 87 |
| Item 11. | <u>Executive Compensation</u>   | 88 |
| Item 12. | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 88 |
| Item 13. | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>                      | 88 |

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services 88

Part IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules 89

2

---

Table of Contents

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

TFS Financial Corporation provides the following list of acronyms as a tool for the reader. The acronyms identified below are used throughout the document.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| AOCI: Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income                           | GAAP: Generally Accepted Accounting Principles   |
| ARM: Adjustable Rate Mortgage  | GVA: General Valuation Allowances  |
| ASC: Accounting Standards Codification                                 | HARP: Home Affordable Refinance Program  |
| ASU: Accounting Standards Update                                       | High LTV: High loan-to-value   |
| Association: Third Federal Savings and Loan Association of Cleveland   | HPI: Home Price Index  |
| BAAS: OCC Bank Accounting Advisory Series                              | IRR: Interest Rate Risk  |
| CDs: Certificates of Deposit   | IRS: Internal Revenue Service  |
| CFPB: Consumer Financial Protection Bureau                             | IVA: Individual Valuation Allowance  |
| CLTV: Combined Loan-to-Value   | MGIC: Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation  |
| Company: TFS Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries                | MOU: Memorandum of Understanding   |
| DFA: Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 | MVA: Market Valuation Allowances   |
| DIF: Depository Insurance Fund   | NOW: Negotiable Order of Withdrawal  |
| EaR: Earnings at Risk  | OCC: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency   |
| ESOP: Third Federal Employee (Associate) Stock Ownership Plan          | OCI: Other Comprehensive Income  |
| EVE: Economic Value of Equity  | OTS: Office of Thrift Supervision  |
| FASB: Financial Accounting Standards Board                             | PMI: Private Mortgage Insurance  |
| FDIC: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation                            | PMIC: Private Mortgage Insurance Co.   |
| FHFA: Federal Housing Finance Agency                                   | QTL: Qualified Thrift Lender   |
| FHLB: Federal Home Loan Bank   | REMICs: Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits   |
| Fannie Mae: Federal National Mortgage Association                      | REIT: Real Estate Investment Trust   |
| FRB-Cleveland: Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland                       | SEC: United States Securities and Exchange Commission                                    |
| FRS: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System                  | SVA: Specific Valuation Allowances   |
|  | TDR: Troubled Debt Restructuring   |
|  | Third Federal Savings, MHC: Third Federal Savings and Loan Association of Cleveland, MHC |

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

Forward Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements, which can be identified by the use of such words as estimate, project, believe, intend, anticipate, plan, seek, expect and similar expressions. These forward-looking statements include, among other things:

- statements of our goals, intentions and expectations;
- statements regarding our business plans and prospects and growth and operating strategies;
- statements concerning trends in our provision for loan losses and charge-offs;
- statements regarding the trends in factors affecting our financial condition and results of operations, including asset quality of our loan and investment portfolios; and
- estimates of our risks and future costs and benefits.

These forward-looking statements are subject to significant risks, assumptions and uncertainties, including, among other things, the following important factors that could affect the actual outcome of future events:

- significantly increased competition among depository and other financial institutions;
- inflation and changes in the interest rate environment that reduce our interest margins or reduce the fair value of financial instruments;
- general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market areas, including employment prospects, real estate values and conditions that are worse than expected;
- decreased demand for our products and services and lower revenue and earnings because of a recession or other events;
- adverse changes and volatility in the securities markets, credit markets or real estate markets;
- legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect our business, including changes in regulatory costs and capital requirements and changes related to our ability to pay dividends and the ability of Third Federal Savings, MHC to waive dividends;
- our ability to enter new markets successfully and take advantage of growth opportunities, and the possible short-term dilutive effect of potential acquisitions or de novo branches, if any;
- changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits;
- changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the bank regulatory agencies, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board;
- future adverse developments concerning Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac;
- changes in monetary and fiscal policy of the U.S. Government, including policies of the U.S. Treasury and the FRS and changes in the level of government support of housing finance;
- changes in policy and/or assessment rates of taxing authorities that adversely affect us;
- changes in our organization, or compensation and benefit plans and changes in expense trends (including, but not limited to trends affecting non-performing assets, charge-offs and provisions for loan losses);
- the impact of the governmental effort to restructure the U.S. financial and regulatory system, including the extensive reforms enacted in the DFA and the continuing impact of our coming under the jurisdiction of new federal regulators;
- the inability of third-party providers to perform their obligations to us;
- a slowing or failure of the moderate economic recovery;
- the adoption of implementing regulations by a number of different regulatory bodies under the DFA, and uncertainty in the exact nature, extent and timing of such regulations and the impact they will have on us;
- the strength or weakness of the real estate markets and of the consumer and commercial credit sectors and its impact on the credit quality of our loans and other assets, and
- the ability of the U.S. Government to manage federal debt limits.

Because of these and other uncertainties, our actual future results may be materially different from the results indicated by any forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statement made by us in this report speaks only as

of the date on which it is made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise, except as may be required by law. Please see Item 1A. Risk Factors for a discussion of certain risks related to our business.

## Table of Contents

### TFS FINANCIAL CORPORATION

TFS Financial Corporation (“we,” “us,” or “our”) was organized in 1997 as the mid-tier stock holding company for the Association. We completed our initial public stock offering on April 20, 2007 and issued 100,199,618 shares of common stock, or 30.16% of our post-offering outstanding common stock, to subscribers in the offering. Additionally, at the time of the public offering, 5,000,000 shares of our common stock, or 1.50% of our outstanding shares, were issued to the newly formed charitable foundation, Third Federal Foundation. Third Federal Savings, MHC, our mutual holding company parent, holds the remainder of our outstanding common stock (227,119,132 shares). Net proceeds from our initial public stock offering were approximately \$886 million and reflected the costs we incurred in completing the offering as well as a \$106.5 million loan to the ESOP related to its acquisition of shares in the initial public stock offering.

Our ownership of the Association remains our primary business activity.

We also operate Third Capital, Inc. as a wholly-owned subsidiary. See Third Capital, Inc. below.

As the holding company of the Association, we are authorized to pursue other business activities permitted by applicable laws and regulations for savings and loan holding companies, which include making equity investments and the acquisition of banking and financial services companies.

Our cash flow depends primarily on earnings from the investment of the portion of the net offering proceeds we retained, and any dividends we receive from the Association and Third Capital, Inc. All of our officers are also officers of the Association. In addition, we use the services of the support staff of the Association from time to time. We may hire additional employees, as needed, to the extent we expand our business in the future.

### THIRD CAPITAL, INC.

Third Capital, Inc. is a Delaware corporation that was organized in 1998 as our wholly-owned subsidiary. At September 30, 2014, Third Capital, Inc. had consolidated assets of \$79.2 million, and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, Third Capital, Inc. had consolidated net income of \$0.3 million. Third Capital, Inc. has no separate operations other than as the holding company for its operating subsidiaries, and as a minority investor or partner in other entities including minority investments in private equity funds. The following is a description of the entities, other than the private equity funds, in which Third Capital, Inc. is the owner, an investor or a partner. Hazelmere Investment Group I, Ltd. This Ohio limited liability company engages in net lease transactions of commercial buildings in targeted markets. Third Capital, Inc. is a partner of this entity, receives a priority return on amounts contributed to acquire investment properties and has a 70% ownership interest in remaining earnings. Hazelmere Investment Group I, Ltd. incurred a net loss of \$0.2 million during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014.

Third Cap Associates, Inc. This Ohio corporation maintains minority investments in private equity funds, and owns 49% and 60% of two title agencies that provide escrow and settlement services in the State of Ohio, primarily to customers of the Association. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, Third Cap Associates, Inc. recorded net income of \$0.5 million.

Third Capital Mortgage Insurance Company. Through March 31, 2014, this Vermont corporation reinsured (on a second tier, excess loss basis) private mortgage insurance on residential mortgage loans originated by the Association. Effective March 31, 2014, all remaining contracts for reinsurance were terminated. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, Third Capital Mortgage Insurance Company recorded net income of \$0.1 million.

### THIRD FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION OF CLEVELAND

#### General

The Association is a federally chartered savings and loan association headquartered in Cleveland, Ohio, that was organized in 1938. In May 1997, the Association reorganized into its current two-tier mutual holding company structure. The Association’s principal business consists of originating and servicing residential real estate mortgage loans and attracting retail savings deposits.

The Association’s business strategy is to originate mortgage loans with interest rates that are competitive with those of similar products offered by other financial institutions in its markets. Similarly, the Association offers high-yield checking accounts and high-yield savings accounts and certificate of deposit accounts, each bearing interest rates that are competitive with similar products offered by other financial institutions in its markets. The Association expects to



continue to pursue this business philosophy. While this strategy does not enable the Association to earn the highest rates of interest on loans that it

5

---

## Table of Contents

offers or to pay the lowest rates on its deposit accounts, the Association believes that this strategy is the primary reason for its successful growth in the past and will continue to be a successful strategy in the future.

The Association attracts retail deposits from the general public in the areas surrounding its main office and its branch offices. It also utilizes its internet website, direct mail solicitation and its customer service call center to generate loan applications and attract retail deposits. Brokered CDs have also been used as a cost effective funding alternative since September, 2013. In addition to residential real estate mortgage loans, the Association originates residential construction loans. Currently, the Association offers home equity lines of credit subject to certain property and credit performance conditions. The Association retains in its portfolio a large portion of the loans that it originates. Loans that the Association sells consist primarily of long-term, fixed-rate residential real estate mortgage loans. However, in March 2013, the Association sold a pool of long-term, adjustable-rate residential real estate mortgage loans to a private investor. Between June 2010 and May 2013, the volume of loan sales decreased significantly because, until May 2013, the Association had not adopted certain loan origination requirements for the sale of loans to Fannie Mae that became effective on July 1, 2010. The Association retains the servicing rights on all loans that it sells. The Association's revenues are derived primarily from interest on loans and, to a lesser extent, interest on interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions, deposits maintained at the FRS, federal funds sold, and investment securities, including mortgage-backed securities. The Association also generates revenues from fees and service charges. The Association's primary sources of funds are deposits, borrowings, principal and interest payments on loans and securities and proceeds from loan sales.

The Association's website address is [www.thirdfederal.com](http://www.thirdfederal.com). Filings of the Company made with the SEC are available for free on the Association's website. Information on that website is not and should not be considered a part of this document.

### Market Area

The Association conducts its operations from its main office in Cleveland, Ohio, and from 38 additional, full-service branches and eight loan production offices located throughout the states of Ohio and Florida. In Ohio, the Association maintains 21 full-service offices located in the northeast Ohio counties of Cuyahoga, Lake, Lorain, Medina and Summit, four loan production offices located in the central Ohio counties of Franklin and Delaware (Columbus, Ohio) and four loan production offices located in the southern Ohio counties of Butler and Hamilton (Cincinnati, Ohio). In Florida, the Association maintains 17 full-service branches located in the counties of Pasco, Pinellas, Hillsborough, Sarasota, Lee, Collier, Palm Beach and Broward. While the economies and housing markets in Ohio and Florida were negatively impacted by the 2008 financial crisis and its aftermath, more recently, signs of improvement have begun to surface and are reflected in improving credit metrics (delinquencies, charge-offs). During the past year, the trend in employment has been stable in Ohio and positive in Florida and the trend in housing prices has also generally been increasing in both regions. However, the strength and sustainability of the recovery is not assured as, on an absolute basis, unemployment remains high and the economy's fragility persists.

The Association also provides savings products in all 50 states and first mortgage refinance loans and home equity loan products in 21 selected states and the District of Columbia through its customer service call center and its internet site.

### Competition

The Association faces intense competition in its market areas both in making loans and attracting deposits. Its market areas have a high concentration of financial institutions, including large money center and regional banks, community banks and credit unions, and it faces additional competition for deposits from money market funds, brokerage firms, mutual funds and insurance companies. Some of its competitors offer products and services that the Association currently does not offer, such as commercial business loans, trust services and private banking.

The majority of the Association's deposits are held in its offices located in Cuyahoga County, Ohio. As of June 30, 2014 (the latest date for which information is publicly available), the Association had \$4.7 billion of deposits in Cuyahoga County, and ranked third among all financial institutions with offices in the county in terms of deposits, with a market share of 11.02%. As of that date, the Association had \$6.1 billion of deposits in the State of Ohio, and ranked ninth among all financial institutions in the state in terms of deposits, with a market share of 2.25%. As of June 30, 2014, the Association had \$2.7 billion of deposits in the State of Florida, and ranked 24<sup>th</sup> among all financial

institutions in terms of deposits, with a market share of 0.58%.

The DFA, which was signed into law in July 2010, required that the FDIC amend its regulations on assessing insured institutions in order to fund the DIF. The resulting change effectively eliminated the funding cost advantage that borrowed funds generally had when compared to the funding cost associated with deposits. As a result, many financial institutions, including institutions that compete in our markets, have targeted retail deposit gathering as a more attractive funding source than borrowings, and have become more active and more competitive in their deposit product pricing. The combination of

6

---

Table of Contents

reduced demand for borrowed funds and more competition with respect to rates paid to depositors has created an increasingly difficult marketplace for attracting deposits, which could adversely affect future operating results. From October 2013 through September 30, 2014, per data furnished by MarketTrac<sup>®</sup>, the Association had the third largest market share of conventional purchase mortgage loans originated in Cuyahoga County, Ohio. For the same period, it also had the third largest market share of conventional purchase mortgage loans originated in the seven northeast Ohio counties which comprise the Cleveland and Akron metropolitan statistical areas. In addition, based on the same statistics, the Association has consistently been one of the ten largest lenders in both Franklin County (Columbus, Ohio) and Hamilton County (Cincinnati, Ohio) since it entered those markets in 1999.

The Association's primary strategy for increasing and retaining its customer base is to offer competitive deposit and loan rates and other product features, delivered with exceptional customer service, in each of the markets it serves. We rely on the Association's over 75-year history of serving its customers and the communities in which it operates, the Association's high capital levels, and liquidity alternatives to maintain and nurture customer and marketplace confidence. The Company's high capital ratio continues to reflect the beneficial impact of our April 2007 initial public offering, which raised net proceeds of \$886 million. At September 30, 2014, our ratio of shareholders' equity to total assets was 15.6%. Our liquidity alternatives include management and monitoring of the level of liquid assets held in our portfolio as well as the maintenance of alternative wholesale funding sources. For the year ended September 30, 2014, our liquidity ratio averaged 6.25% (which we compute as the sum of cash and cash equivalents plus unpledged investment securities for which ready markets exist, divided by total assets) and, through the Association, we had the ability to immediately borrow an additional \$32.1 million from the FHLB of Cincinnati under existing credit arrangements along with \$147.6 million from the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland. From the perspective of collateral value securing FHLB of Cincinnati advances, our capacity limit for additional borrowings beyond the immediately available limit at September 30, 2014 was \$4.70 billion, subject to satisfaction of the FHLB of Cincinnati common stock ownership requirement. To satisfy the common stock ownership requirement we would have to increase our ownership of FHLB of Cincinnati common stock by an additional \$94.1 million. See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation—Liquidity and Capital Resources."

We continue to utilize a multi-faceted approach to support our efforts to instill customer and marketplace confidence. First, we provide thorough and timely information to all of our associates so as to prepare them for their day-to-day interactions with customers and other individuals who are not part of the Company. We believe that it is important that our customers and others sense the comfort level and confidence of our associates throughout their dealings. Second, we encourage our management team to maintain a presence and to be available in our branches and other areas of customer contact, so as to provide more opportunities for informal contact and interaction with our customers and community members. Third, our CEO remains accessible to both local and national media, as a spokesman for our institution as well as an observer and interpreter of financial marketplace situations and events. Fourth, we periodically include advertisements in local newspapers that display our strong capital levels and history of service. We also continue to emphasize our traditional tagline—"STRONG \* STABLE \* SAFE"—in our advertisements and branch displays. Finally, for customers who adhere to the old adage of trust but verify, we refer them to the safety/security rankings of a nationally recognized, independent rating organization that specializes in the evaluation of financial institutions, which has awarded the Association its highest rating for more than one hundred consecutive quarters.

**Lending Activities**

The Association's principal lending activity is the origination of fixed-rate and adjustable-rate, first mortgage loans to purchase or refinance residential real estate. The Association also originates residential construction loans to individuals (for the construction of their personal residences by a qualified builder). The Association has also resumed its origination of home equity loans and lines of credit in Ohio and Florida. We are also offering home equity lines of credit in 20 additional states. Between June 28, 2010 and March 20, 2012 the Association suspended the acceptance of new home equity line of credit applications. Effective March 20, 2012, the Association began offering new home equity lines of credit to qualifying existing home equity customers. In February 2013 we modified the product design and offered the product to all customers in Ohio, Florida and selected counties in Kentucky and in April 2013 we extended the offer to both existing home equity customers and new consumers in Ohio, Florida and selected counties

in Kentucky. Over the course of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, we expanded the product offering to now include 21 states and the District of Columbia. Refer to Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation - Monitoring and Limiting Our Credit Risk for additional information regarding home equity loans and lines of credit. At September 30, 2014, residential real estate, fixed-rate and adjustable-rate, first mortgage loans totaled \$8.98 billion, or 83.7% of our loan portfolio, home equity loans and lines of credit totaled \$1.70 billion, or 15.8% of our loan portfolio, and residential construction loans totaled \$57.1 million, or 0.5% of our loan portfolio. At September 30, 2014 adjustable-rate, residential real estate, first mortgage loans totaled \$3.45 billion and comprised 32.1% of our loan portfolio.

Table of Contents

Loan Portfolio Composition. The following table sets forth the composition of the portfolio of loans held for investment, by type of loan segregated by geographic location for the periods indicated, excluding loans held for sale. The majority of our construction loan portfolio is secured by properties located in Ohio and the balances of consumer loans are immaterial. Therefore, neither was segregated by geographic location.

|                                       | September 30,<br>2014  |         | 2013         |         | 2012         |         | 2011        |         | 2010        |         |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
|                                       | Amount                 | Percent | Amount       | Percent | Amount       | Percent | Amount      | Percent | Amount      | Percent |
|                                       | (Dollars in thousands) |         |              |         |              |         |             |         |             |         |
| Real estate loans:                    |                        |         |              |         |              |         |             |         |             |         |
| Residential Core(1)                   |                        |         |              |         |              |         |             |         |             |         |
| Ohio                                  | \$5,986,801            |         | \$5,947,791  |         | \$6,088,264  |         | \$5,691,614 |         | \$4,843,804 |         |
| Florida                               | 1,570,087              |         | 1,465,907    |         | 1,396,612    |         | 1,269,242   |         | 1,168,701   |         |
| Other                                 | 1,271,951              |         | 704,813      |         | 458,289      |         | 159,933     |         | 125,949     |         |
| Total                                 | 8,828,839              | 82.2 %  | 8,118,511    | 79.4 %  | 7,943,165    | 76.5 %  | 7,120,789   | 71.5 %  | 6,138,454   | 65.4 %  |
| Residential Home Today(1)             |                        |         |              |         |              |         |             |         |             |         |
| Ohio                                  | 146,974                |         | 170,206      |         | 199,456      |         | 252,879     |         | 268,983     |         |
| Florida                               | 6,909                  |         | 7,826        |         | 8,540        |         | 10,784      |         | 10,940      |         |
| Other                                 | 313                    |         | 321          |         | 329          |         | 356         |         | 610         |         |
| Total                                 | 154,196                | 1.5     | 178,353      | 1.7     | 208,325      | 2.0     | 264,019     | 2.6     | 280,533     | 3.0     |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit |                        |         |              |         |              |         |             |         |             |         |
| Ohio                                  | 675,911                |         | 721,890      |         | 838,492      |         | 982,591     |         | 1,145,819   |         |
| Florida                               | 475,375                |         | 539,152      |         | 628,554      |         | 712,087     |         | 797,658     |         |
| California                            | 213,309                |         | 227,841      |         | 256,900      |         | 293,307     |         | 324,778     |         |
| Other                                 | 332,334                |         | 369,515      |         | 431,550      |         | 503,213     |         | 580,435     |         |
| Total                                 | 1,696,929              | 15.8    | 1,858,398    | 18.2    | 2,155,496    | 20.8    | 2,491,198   | 25.0    | 2,848,690   | 30.4    |
| Construction                          | 57,104                 | 0.5     | 72,430       | 0.7     | 69,152       | 0.7     | 82,048      | 0.8     | 100,404     | 1.1     |
| Consumer loans:                       |                        |         |              |         |              |         |             |         |             |         |
| Automobile                            | —                      | —       | —            | —       | —            | —       | —           | —       | 1           | —       |
| Other loans                           | 4,721                  | —       | 4,100        | —       | 4,612        | —       | 6,868       | 0.1     | 7,198       | 0.1     |
| Total loans receivable                | 10,741,789             | 100.0%  | 10,231,792   | 100.0%  | 10,380,750   | 100.0%  | 9,964,922   | 100.0%  | 9,375,280   | 100.0%  |
| Deferred loan fees, net               | (1,155)                | )       | (13,171)     | )       | (18,561)     | )       | (19,854)    | )       | (15,283)    | )       |
| Loans in process                      | (28,585)               | )       | (42,018)     | )       | (36,736)     | )       | (37,147)    | )       | (45,008)    | )       |
| Allowance for loan losses             | (81,362)               | )       | (92,537)     | )       | (100,464)    | )       | (156,978)   | )       | (133,240)   | )       |
| Total loans receivable,               | \$10,630,687           |         | \$10,084,066 |         | \$10,224,989 |         | \$9,750,943 |         | \$9,181,749 |         |

net

---

Residential Core and Home Today loans are primarily one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. See the (1) Residential Real Estate Mortgage Loans section which follows for a further description of Home Today and Core loans.

8

---

Table of Contents

Loan Portfolio Maturities. The following table summarizes the scheduled repayments of the loan portfolio at September 30, 2014, according to each loan's final due date. Demand loans, loans having no stated repayment schedule or maturity, are reported as being due in the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015. Maturities are based on the final contractual payment date and do not reflect the impact of prepayments and scheduled principal amortization.

| Due During the Years<br>Ending September 30, | Residential Real Estate |               | Home<br>Equity<br>Loans<br>and Lines of<br>Credit | Construction<br>Loans | Other<br>Consumer<br>Loans | Total        |
|--|-------------------------|---------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
|  | Core                    | Home<br>Today |   |                       |                            |              |
|  | (In thousands)          |               |   |                       |                            |              |
| 2015   | \$619                   | \$1           | \$1,942   | \$ —                  | \$ 4,721                   | \$7,283      |
| 2016   | 3,612                   | 15            | 1,654   | —                     | —                          | 5,281        |
| 2017   | 15,500                  | 52            | 2,016   | —                     | —                          | 17,568       |
| 2018 to 2019                                 | 88,711                  | 968           | 4,940   | —                     | —                          | 94,619       |
| 2020 to 2024                                 | 1,635,360               | 2,585         | 102,397   | 100                   | —                          | 1,740,442    |
| 2025 to 2029                                 | 1,429,446               | 716           | 1,427,888   | 8,203                 | —                          | 2,866,253    |
| 2030 and beyond                              | 5,655,591               | 149,859       | 156,092   | 48,801                | —                          | 6,010,343    |
| Total  | \$8,828,839             | \$154,196     | \$1,696,929                                       | \$57,104              | \$4,721                    | \$10,741,789 |

The following table sets forth the scheduled repayments of fixed- and adjustable-rate loans at September 30, 2014 that are contractually due after September 30, 2015.

|  | Due After September 30, 2015 |             |              |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
|  | Fixed                        | Adjustable  | Total        |
|  | (In thousands)               |             |              |
| Real estate loans:                       |                              |             |              |
| Residential Core                         | \$5,375,300                  | \$3,452,920 | \$8,828,220  |
| Residential Home Today                   | 154,097                      | 98          | 154,195      |
| Home Equity Loans and Lines of Credit(1) | 22,837                       | 1,672,150   | 1,694,987    |
| Construction                             | 37,747                       | 19,357      | 57,104       |
| Total                                    | \$5,589,981                  | \$5,144,525 | \$10,734,506 |

Residential Real Estate Mortgage Loans. The Association's primary lending activity is the origination of residential real estate mortgage loans. A comparison of 2014 data to the corresponding 2013 data can be found in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation." The Association currently offers fixed-rate conventional mortgage loans with terms of 30 years or less that are fully amortizing with monthly loan payments, and adjustable-rate mortgage loans that amortize over a period of up to 30 years, provide an initial fixed interest rate for three or five years and then adjust annually. Effective March 11, 2009, the Association discontinued offering adjustable-rate, "interest only" residential real estate mortgage loans, where the borrower pays interest for an initial period (one, three or five years), after which the loan converts to a fully amortizing loan. At September 30, 2014, there were no longer any "interest only" residential real estate mortgage loans held in the Association's portfolio.

Historically, residential real estate mortgage loans were generally underwritten according to Fannie Mae's dollar limit requirements, and the Association referred to loans that conformed to such limits as "conforming loans." Effective July 1, 2010, Fannie Mae, the Association's primary loan investor, implemented certain loan origination requirement changes affecting loan sale eligibility that, prior to May 2013, we had not fully adopted, as explained in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation - Controlling Our Interest Rate Risk Exposure. The Association generally originates both fixed- and adjustable-rate mortgage loans in amounts up to the maximum conforming loan limits as established by the Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight, which is currently \$417,000 and \$625,000, respectively, for single-family homes in most of our lending markets. The Association also originates loans in amounts that exceed the lending limit for conforming loans, which the Association refers to as "jumbo loans." The Association generally underwrites jumbo loans in a manner similar to conforming loans.



Jumbo loans are not uncommon in the Association's market areas. During periods of high market activity, these jumbo loans are generally eligible for sale to various firms that specialize in purchasing non-conforming loans although, since the beginning of the 2008 recessionary period, there has been very limited activity with respect to the sale of non-conforming loans.

Table of Contents

The Association has always considered the promotion of home ownership a primary goal. In that regard, it has historically offered affordable housing programs in all of its market areas. These programs are targeted toward low- and moderate-income home buyers. The Association's primary program is called Home Today and is described in detail below. Prior to March 27, 2009, loans originated under the Home Today program had higher risk characteristics. The Association did not classify Home Today as a sub-prime lending program based on the exclusion provided to community development loans in the Expanded Guidance for Sub-prime Lending issued by the OTS and the OCC. In the aftermath of the 2008 financial crisis, a great deal of attention was focused on sub-prime lending and its negative effect on borrowers and financial markets. Borrowers in our Home Today program are not charged higher fees or interest rates than our Core (non-Home Today) borrowers. Home Today loans are not "interest only" or negative amortizing and contain no low initial payment features or adjustable interest rates, which are features often associated with sub-prime lending. While the credit risk profiles of the Association's borrowers in the Home Today program are generally higher risk than the credit risk profiles of its Core borrowers, the Association attempts to mitigate that higher risk through the use of private mortgage insurance and continued pre- and post-purchase counseling. The Association's philosophy has been to provide borrowers the opportunity for home ownership within their financial means.

Prior to March 27, 2009, through the Home Today program, the Association originated loans with its standard terms to borrowers who might not have otherwise qualified for such loans. After March 27, 2009 borrowers under the Home Today program are subject to substantially the same qualification requirements as Core borrowers. To qualify for the Association's Home Today program, a borrower must complete financial management education and counseling and must be referred to the Association by a sponsoring organization with which the Association has partnered as part of the program. Borrowers must meet a minimum credit score threshold. The Association will originate loans with a loan-to-value ratio of up to 90% through its Home Today program, provided that any loan originated through this program with a loan-to-value ratio in excess of 80% must meet the underwriting criteria mandated by the Association's private mortgage insurance carrier. Because the Association previously applied less stringent underwriting and credit standards to these loans, the vast majority of loans originated under the Home Today program generally has greater credit risk than our Core residential real estate mortgage loans. Effective October 2007, the private mortgage insurance carrier that provides coverage for the Home Today loans with loan-to-value ratios in excess of 80% imposed more restrictive lending requirements that decreased the volume of Home Today lending, a decrease we expect will continue. As of September 30, 2014, the Association had \$154.2 million of loans outstanding that were originated through its Home Today program, most of which were originated prior to March 27, 2009. Originations under the Home Today program have decreased significantly as a result of these new requirements. At September 30, 2014, of the loans that were originated under the Home Today program, 16.8% were delinquent 30 days or more in repayments, compared to 0.6% for the portfolio of Core loans as of that date. At September 30, 2014, \$15.1 million, or 9.9%, of loans originated under the Home Today program were delinquent 90 days and over and \$30.0 million of Home Today loans were non-accruing loans, representing 22.1% of total non-accruing loans as of that date. See "—Non-performing Assets and Restructured Loans—Delinquent Loans" for a discussion of the asset quality of this portion of the Association's loan portfolio.

Prior to November 25, 2008 the Association also originated loans under its high loan-to-value program. These loans had initial loan-to-value ratios of 90% or greater and could be as high as 95%. To qualify for this program, the loan applicant was required to satisfy more stringent underwriting criteria (credit score, income qualification, and other criteria). Borrowers did not obtain private mortgage insurance with respect to these loans. High LTV loans were originated with higher interest rates than the Association's other residential real estate loans. The Association believes that the higher credit quality of this portion of the portfolio offsets the risk of not requiring private mortgage insurance. While these loans were not initially covered by private mortgage insurance, the Association had negotiated with a private mortgage insurance carrier a contract under which, at the Association's option, a pre-determined dollar amount of qualifying loans could be grouped and submitted to the carrier for pooled private mortgage insurance coverage. As of September 30, 2014, the Association had \$131.8 million of loans outstanding that were originated through its High LTV program, \$115.4 million of which the Association has insured through the private mortgage insurance carrier. The High LTV program was suspended on November 25, 2008.

For loans with loan-to-value ratios in excess of 80% but equal to or less than 90% (which are available only for purchase transactions), the Association requires private mortgage insurance, except that for adjustable-rate, first mortgage loans that meet enhanced credit qualification parameters, loan-to-value ratios of up to 85% may be obtained without private mortgage insurance. Loan-to-value ratios in excess of 80% are not available for refinance transactions except that for adjustable-rate, first mortgage loans that meet enhanced credit qualification parameters, loan-to-value ratios of up to 85% may be obtained without private mortgage insurance.

The Association actively monitors its interest rate risk position to determine the desirable level of investment in fixed-rate mortgages. Prior to July 2010, depending primarily on market interest rates and its capital and liquidity positions, the Association elected to: (1) retain all of its newly originated longer-term, fixed-rate residential mortgage loans, (2) sell all or a portion of such loans in the secondary mortgage market to Fannie Mae or other purchasers; or (3) securitize such loans by selling the loans in exchange for mortgage-backed securities. Securitized loans can be sold more readily to meet liquidity or

Table of Contents

interest rate risk management needs, and have a lower risk-weight than the underlying loans, which reduces the Association's regulatory capital requirements. While the sales of first mortgage loans remain strategically important for us, since fiscal 2010, they have played only a minor role in our management of interest rate risk.

The Association currently retains the servicing rights on all loans sold in order to generate fee income and reinforce its commitment to customer service. One- to four-family residential mortgage real estate loans that have been sold were underwritten generally to Fannie Mae guidelines and comply with applicable federal, state and local laws. At the time of the closing of these loans the Association owned the loans and subsequently sold them to Fannie Mae and others providing normal and customary representations and warranties, including representations and warranties related to compliance, generally with Fannie Mae underwriting standards. At the time of sale, the loans were free from encumbrances except for the mortgages filed by the Association which, with other underwriting documents, were subsequently assigned and delivered to Fannie Mae and others. For the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Association recognized servicing fees, net of amortization, related to these servicing rights of \$6.8 million and \$5.4 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2014 and 2013, the principal balance of loans serviced for others totaled \$2.51 billion and \$2.97 billion, respectively. On November 7, 2013, the Association entered into a resolution agreement with Fannie Mae pursuant to which, on November 14, 2013, the Association remitted \$3.1 million to Fannie Mae. The remittance amount included \$0.4 million related to outstanding mortgage insurance claim payments on 42 loans. Under the terms of the resolution agreement, Fannie Mae withdrew all outstanding repurchase and make-whole demands and generally waived its right to enforce future repurchase obligations with respect to all mortgage loans (approximately 23,400 active loans or loans with a remaining balance) that were originated by the Association between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2008 and delivered to Fannie Mae prior to January 1, 2009. At September 30, 2014, substantially all of the loans serviced for Fannie Mae and others were performing in accordance with their contractual terms and management believes that it has no material repurchase obligations associated with these loans. However, an accrual for \$1.1 million has been maintained for potential repurchase or loss reimbursement requests at September 30, 2014. Refer to Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation - Critical Accounting Policies: Mortgage Servicing Rights for further discussion. The Association currently offers "Smart Rate" adjustable-rate mortgage loan products secured by residential properties with interest rates that are fixed for an initial period of three or five years, after which the interest rate generally resets every year based upon a contractual spread or margin above the Prime Rate as published in the Wall Street Journal. These adjustable-rate loans provide the borrower with an attractive rate reset option, based on the Association's then current lending rates. Prior to July 2010, the Association's adjustable-rate mortgage loan products secured by residential properties offered interest rates that were fixed for an initial period ranging from one year to five years, after which the interest rate generally reset every year based upon a contractual spread or margin above the average yield on U.S. Treasury securities, adjusted to a constant maturity of one year, as published weekly by the FRS ("Traditional ARM"). All of the Association's adjustable-rate mortgage loans are subject to periodic and lifetime limitations on interest rate changes. Prior to March 11, 2009, the Association offered mortgage loans where the borrower paid only interest for a portion of the loan term ("Interest-only ARM"). All of its adjustable-rate mortgage loans with initial fixed-rate periods of one, three or five years have initial and periodic caps of two percentage points on interest rate changes, with a cap of six percentage points for the life of the loan for Traditional ARM and Interest-only ARM loans and five or six percentage points for the life of Smart Rate loans. Previously, the Association also offered Traditional ARM loans with an initial fixed-rate period of seven years. Loans originated under that program, which was discontinued in August 2007, had a cap of five percentage points on the initial change in interest rate, with a two percentage point cap on subsequent changes and a cap of five percentage points for the life of the loan. Many of the borrowers who select adjustable-rate mortgage loans have shorter-term credit needs than those who select long-term, fixed-rate mortgage loans. The Association will permit borrowers to convert non-"Smart Rate" adjustable-rate mortgage loans into fixed-rate mortgage loans at no cost to the borrower. The Association has never offered "Option ARM" loans, where borrowers can pay less than the interest owed on their loan, resulting in an increased principal balance during the life of the loan. At September 30, 2014, "Smart Rate" adjustable-rate mortgage loans totaled \$3.29 billion, or 94.6% of the adjustable-rate mortgage loan portfolio and Traditional ARMs totaled \$189.4 million, or 5.4% of the adjustable-rate mortgage loan portfolio.

Adjustable-rate mortgage loans generally present different credit risks than fixed-rate mortgage loans primarily because the underlying debt service payments of the borrowers increase as interest rates increase, thereby increasing the potential for default. "Interest only" loans present different credit risks than fully amortizing loans, as the principal balance of the loan does not decrease during the interest-only period. As a result, the Association's exposure to loss of principal in the event of default does not decrease during this period. At September 30, 2014, there were no longer any "interest only" residential real estate mortgage loans held in the Association's portfolio.

The Association requires title insurance on all of its residential real estate mortgage loans. The Association also requires that borrowers maintain fire and extended coverage casualty insurance (and, if appropriate, flood insurance up to \$250 thousand) in an amount at least equal to the lesser of the loan balance or the replacement cost of the improvements. A majority of its residential real estate mortgage loans have a mortgage escrow account from which disbursements are made for real estate

Table of Contents

taxes and to a lesser extent for hazard insurance and flood insurance. The Association does not conduct environmental testing on residential real estate mortgage loans unless specific concerns for hazards are identified by the appraiser used in connection with the origination of the loan.

Home Equity Loans and Home Equity Lines of Credit. The Association offers home equity loans and home equity lines of credit, which are primarily secured by a second mortgage on residences. The level of home equity products varied significantly between June 28, 2010 and September 30, 2014. Prior to June 28, 2010, the Association offered home equity loans and home equity lines of credit. The Association also offered a home equity lending product that was secured by a third mortgage, although the Association only originated this loan to borrowers where the Association also held the second mortgage. Between June 28, 2010 and March 19, 2012, we suspended the acceptance of new home equity credit applications with the exception of bridge loans and, in accordance with a reduction plan that was accepted by our primary federal banking regulator in December 2010, we actively pursued strategies to decrease the outstanding balance of our home equity lending portfolio as well as our exposure to undrawn home equity lines of credit. During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, we achieved the balance and exposure reduction targets included in the home equity lending reduction plan. Beginning March 20, 2012, we again offered new home equity lines of credit to qualifying existing home equity customers. In February 2013 we further modified the product design and in April 2013 we extended the offer to both existing home equity customers and new consumers in Ohio, Florida and selected counties in Kentucky. Over the course of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, we expanded the home equity product offering to now include 21 states and the District of Columbia. These offers were, and are, subject to certain property and credit performance conditions which, among other items, related to CLTV, geography, borrower income verification, minimum credit scores and draw period duration. At September 30, 2014 and 2013, home equity loans totaled \$162.1 million, or 1.5%, and \$167.0 million, or 1.6%, respectively, of total loans receivable (which included \$135.9 million and \$128.9 million respectively, of home equity lines of credit which were in the amortization period and no longer eligible to be drawn upon and \$1.1 million and \$1.5 million of bridge loans), and home equity lines of credit totaled \$1.53 billion, or 14.3%, and \$1.69 billion, or 16.5%, respectively, of total loans receivable. A bridge loan permits a borrower to utilize the existing equity in their current home to fund the purchase of a new home before the current home is sold. Bridge loans are originated for a one-year term, with no prepayment penalties. These loans have fixed interest rates, and are currently limited to a combined 80% loan-to-value ratio (first and second mortgage liens). The Association charges a closing fee with respect to bridge loans. Additionally, at September 30, 2014 and 2013, the unadvanced amounts of home equity lines of credit totaled \$1.13 billion and \$1.14 billion, respectively.

Prior to June 28, 2010, the underwriting standards for home equity loans and home equity lines of credit included an evaluation of the applicant's credit history, an assessment of the applicant's ability to meet existing obligations and payments on the proposed loan and the value of the collateral securing the loan. In addition, prior to June 28, 2010, through a series of modifications and program adjustments, the home equity lending parameters became increasingly restrictive and included the additional evaluation of the applicant's employment and income verification. From a geographic perspective, product offerings peaked in 2008 when offers were extended (primarily via direct mail) to targeted borrowers in 18 states. Generally, the least restrictive qualification, and the most attractive product features from a borrower's perspective were in place during portions of fiscal 2006 and 2007, when combined loan-to-value ratios of up to 89.99% were permitted, minimum credit scores reduced to 620, maximum loan amounts reached \$250,000 and pricing for lines of credit reached Prime minus 1.01% when drawn balances exceeded \$50,000. The Association originated its home equity loans and home equity lines of credit without application fees (except for bridge loans) or borrower-paid closing costs. Home equity loans were offered with fixed interest rates, were fully amortizing and had terms of up to 15 years. The Association's home equity lines of credit were offered with adjustable rates of interest indexed to the Prime Rate, as reported in The Wall Street Journal.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth credit exposure, principal balance, percent delinquent 90 days or more, the mean CLTV percent at the time of origination and the current CLTV percent of our home equity loans, home equity lines of credit and bridge loan portfolio as of September 30, 2014. Home equity lines of credit in the draw period are reported according to geographical distribution.

|  | Credit Exposure | Principal Balance | Percent Delinquent 90 days or more | Mean CLTV Percent at Origination(2) | Current Mean CLTV Percent(3) |   |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| (Dollars in thousands)   |                 |                   |                                    |                                     |                              |   |
| Home equity lines of credit in draw period (by state):             |                 |                   |                                    |                                     |                              |   |
| Ohio   | \$1,226,533     | \$578,484         | 0.18                               | % 59                                | % 61                         | % |
| Florida  | 637,856         | 455,730           | 0.52                               | % 62                                | % 75                         | % |
| California   | 297,030         | 203,798           | 0.11                               | % 67                                | % 65                         | % |
| Other(1)   | 501,662         | 296,804           | 0.30                               | % 63                                | % 66                         | % |
| Total home equity lines of credit in draw period                   | 2,663,081       | 1,534,816         | 0.29                               | % 61                                | % 65                         | % |
| Home equity lines in repayment, home equity loans and bridge loans | 162,113         | 162,113           | 2.79                               | % 67                                | % 52                         | % |
| Total  | \$2,825,194     | \$1,696,929       | 0.53                               | % 62                                | % 63                         | % |

(1) No individual other state has a committed or drawn balance greater than 10% of total loans and 5% of equities.

(2) Mean CLTV percent at origination for all home equity lines of credit is based on the committed amount.

Current Mean CLTV is based on best available first mortgage and property values as of September 30, 2014.

(3) Property values are estimated using HPI data published by the FHFA. Current Mean CLTV percent for home equity lines of credit in the draw period is calculated using the committed amount. Current Mean CLTV on home equity lines of credit in the repayment period is calculated using the principal balance.

At September 30, 2014, 42.8% of our home equity lending portfolio was either in first lien position (25.4%) or was in a subordinate (second) lien position behind a first lien that we held (8.2%) or behind a first lien that was held by a loan that we originated, sold and now service for others (9.2%). At September 30, 2014, 19.0% of our home equity line of credit portfolio in the draw period was making only the minimum payment on their outstanding line balance.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth by calendar year, the credit exposure, principal balance, percent delinquent 90 days or more, the mean CLTV percent at the time of origination and the current mean CLTV percent of our home equity loans, home equity lines of credit and bridge loan portfolio as of September 30, 2014. Home equity lines of credit in the draw period are included in the year originated:

|  | Credit Exposure | Principal Balance | Percent Delinquent 90 Days or More | Mean CLTV Percent at Origination(1) | Current Mean CLTV Percent(2) |   |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| (Dollars in thousands)   |                 |                   |                                    |                                     |                              |   |
| Home equity lines of credit in draw period:                        |                 |                   |                                    |                                     |                              |   |
| 2004 and prior   | \$560,936       | \$295,239         | 0.42                               | % 55                                | % 57                         | % |
| 2005   | 95,205          | 56,841            | 0.59                               | % 67                                | % 69                         | % |
| 2006   | 233,814         | 150,815           | 0.47                               | % 65                                | % 75                         | % |
| 2007   | 363,680         | 254,066           | 0.39                               | % 67                                | % 77                         | % |
| 2008   | 768,840         | 491,409           | 0.23                               | % 63                                | % 66                         | % |
| 2009   | 310,301         | 154,589           | 0.06                               | % 55                                | % 59                         | % |
| 2010   | 27,234          | 12,303            | —                                  | % 57                                | % 55                         | % |
| 2011 (3)   | 232             | 160               | —                                  | % 39                                | % 53                         | % |
| 2012   | 27,641          | 12,291            | —                                  | % 51                                | % 48                         | % |
| 2013   | 82,649          | 37,651            | —                                  | % 59                                | % 55                         | % |
| 2014   | 192,549         | 69,452            | —                                  | % 60                                | % 59                         | % |
| Total home equity lines of credit in draw period                   | 2,663,081       | 1,534,816         | 0.29                               | % 61                                | % 65                         | % |
| Home equity lines in repayment, home equity loans and bridge loans | 162,113         | 162,113           | 2.79                               | % 67                                | % 52                         | % |
| Total  | \$2,825,194     | \$1,696,929       | 0.53                               | % 62                                | % 63                         | % |

(1) Mean CLTV percent at origination for all home equity lines of credit is based on the committed amount.

Current Mean CLTV is based on best available first mortgage and property values as of September 30, 2014.

(2) Property values are estimated using HPI data published by the FHFA. Current Mean CLTV percent for home equity lines of credit in the draw period is calculated using the committed amount. Current Mean CLTV on home equity lines of credit in the repayment period is calculated using the principal balance.

(3) Amounts represent home equity lines of credit that were previously originated, and that were closed and subsequently replaced in 2011.

In general, the home equity line of credit product originated prior to June 2010 (when new home equity lending was temporarily suspended) was characterized by a ten year draw period followed by a ten year repayment period; however, there were two types of transactions that could result in a draw period that extended beyond ten years. The first transaction involved customer requests for increases in the amount of their home equity line of credit. When the customer's credit performance and profile supported the increase, the draw period term was reset for the ten year period following the date of the increase in the home equity line of credit amount. A second transaction that impacted the draw period involved extensions. For a period of time prior to June 2008, the Association had a program that evaluated home equity lines of credit that were nearing the end of their draw period and made a determination as to whether or not the customer should be offered an additional ten year draw period. If the account and customer met certain pre-established criteria, an offer was made to extend the otherwise expiring draw period by ten years from the date of the offer. If the customer chose to accept the extension, the origination date of the account remained unchanged but the account would have a revised draw period that was extended by ten years. As a result of these two programs, the reported draw periods for certain home equity line of credit accounts exceed ten years.





Table of Contents

The following table sets forth by fiscal year that the draw period expires, the principal balance of home equity lines of credit in the draw period as of September 30, 2014, segregated by the current combined LTV range.

| Home equity lines of credit in draw period (by End of Draw Fiscal Year): | Current CLTV Category  |            |           |           |          | Unknown (2) | Total |
|--|------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|-------|
|  | < 80%                  | 80 - 89.9% | 90 - 100% | >100%     |          |             |       |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |            |           |           |          |             |       |
| 2015   | \$51,497               | \$8,882    | \$8,153   | \$5,872   | \$1,073  | \$75,477    |       |
| 2016   | 81,562                 | 20,460     | 19,030    | 39,256    | 818      | 161,126     |       |
| 2017   | 127,045                | 35,683     | 29,427    | 68,788    | 4,235    | 265,178     |       |
| 2018 (1)   | 380,331                | 85,249     | 64,022    | 68,265    | 8,094    | 605,961     |       |
| 2019 (1)   | 321,392                | 48,931     | 12,543    | 5,555     | 5,918    | 394,339     |       |
| 2020   | 28,449                 | 3,017      | 528       | 314       | 214      | 32,522      |       |
| Post 2020  | 113                    | 6          | 44        | —         | 50       | 213         |       |
| Total  | \$990,389              | \$202,228  | \$133,747 | \$188,050 | \$20,402 | \$1,534,816 |       |

(1) Home equity lines of credit whose draw period ends in fiscal years 2018 and 2019, include \$19,446 and \$82,812, respectively, of lines where the customer has an amortizing payment during the draw period.

(2) Market data necessary for stratification is not readily available.

As shown in the origination by year table, which is the second preceding table above, the percents of loans delinquent 90 days or more (seriously delinquent) originated during the years preceding the 2008 financial and housing crisis are comparatively higher than the years following 2008. Those years saw rapidly increasing housing prices, especially in our Florida market. As the housing prices declined along with the general economic downturn and higher levels of unemployment that accompanied the 2008 financial crisis, we see that reflected in delinquencies for those years. Home equity lines of credit originated during those years also saw higher loan amounts, higher permitted loan-to-value ratios, and lower credit scores. Reflective of the general decrease in housing values since 2006 and through the aftermath of the 2008 financial crisis, current mean CLTV percentages remain higher than the mean CLTV percentages at origination.

In light of the past weakness in the housing market, the current level of delinquencies and the uncertainty with respect to future employment levels and economic prospects, we currently conduct an expanded loan level evaluation of our home equity lines of credit which are delinquent 90 days or more.

The following table sets forth the breakdown of current mean CLTV percentages for our home equity lines of credit in the draw period as of September 30, 2014.

|  | Credit Exposure        | Principal Balance | Percent of Total | Percent Delinquent 90 days or More | Mean CLTV Percent at Origination(2) | Current Mean CLTV Percent(3) |   |  |
|--|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |                   |                  |                                    |                                     |                              |   |  |
| Home equity lines of credit in draw period (by current mean CLTV): |                        |                   |                  |                                    |                                     |                              |   |  |
| < 80%  | \$1,950,752            | \$990,389         | 64.5             | % 0.26                             | % 55                                | % 54                         | % |  |
| 80 - 89.9%   | 300,706                | 202,228           | 13.2             | % 0.48                             | % 77                                | % 85                         | % |  |
| 90 - 100%  | 170,726                | 133,747           | 8.7              | % 0.26                             | % 79                                | % 94                         | % |  |
| > 100%   | 205,313                | 188,050           | 12.3             | % 0.31                             | % 80                                | % 120                        | % |  |
| Unknown (1)  | 35,584                 | 20,402            | 1.3              | % —                                | % 55                                | % (1                         | ) |  |
|  | \$2,663,081            | \$1,534,816       | 100.0            | % 0.29                             | % 61                                | % 65                         | % |  |

(1) Market data necessary for stratification is not readily available.

(2) Mean CLTV percent at origination for all home equity lines of credit is based on the committed amount.

Current Mean CLTV is based on best available first mortgage and property values as of September 30, 2014.  
(3) Property values are estimated using HPI data published by the FHFA. Current Mean CLTV percent for home equity lines of credit in

## Table of Contents

the draw period is calculated using the committed amount. Current Mean CLTV on home equity lines of credit in the repayment period is calculated using the principal balance.

Construction Loans. The Association originates construction loans to individuals for the construction of their personal single-family residence by a qualified builder (construction/permanent loans). The Association's construction/permanent loans generally provide for disbursements to the builder or sub-contractors during the construction phase as work progresses. During the construction phase, the borrower only pays interest on the drawn balance. Upon completion of construction, the loan converts to a permanent amortizing loan without the expense of a second closing. The Association offers construction/permanent loans with fixed or adjustable rates, and a current maximum loan-to-completed-appraised value ratio of 80%. At September 30, 2014, construction loans totaled \$57.1 million, or 0.5% of total loans receivable. At September 30, 2014, the unadvanced portion of these construction loans totaled \$28.6 million.

The Association's builder loans consisted of loans for homes that had been pre-sold as well as loans to developers that build homes before a buyer has been identified. Effective August 30, 2011, the Association made the strategic decision to exit the commercial construction loan business and ceased accepting new builder relationships. Builder commitments in place at that time were honored for a limited period, giving our customers the ability to secure new borrowing relationships. At September 30, 2014, no balances remain in the builder loan portfolio. The balance at September 30, 2013 was \$1.4 million.

Construction financing generally involves greater credit risk than long-term financing on improved, owner-occupied real estate. Risk of loss on a construction loan depends largely upon the accuracy of the initial estimate of the value of the property at completion of construction compared to the estimated cost (including interest) of construction and other assumptions. If the estimate of construction cost proves to be inaccurate, the Association may be required to advance additional funds beyond the amount originally committed in order to protect the value of the property.

Moreover, if the estimated value of the completed project proves to be inaccurate, the borrower may hold a property with a value that is insufficient to assure full repayment of the construction loan upon the sale of the property. This is more likely to occur when home prices are falling.

Loan Originations, Purchases, Sales, Participations and Servicing. Lending activities are conducted primarily by the Association's loan personnel (all of whom are salaried employees) operating at our main and branch office locations and at our loan production offices. All loans that the Association originates are underwritten pursuant to its policies and procedures, which are generally consistent with Fannie Mae underwriting guidelines, subject to the discussion below. The Association originates both adjustable-rate and fixed-rate loans and advertises extensively throughout its market area. Its ability to originate fixed- or adjustable-rate loans is dependent upon the relative consumer demand for such loans, which is affected by current market interest rates as well as anticipated future market interest rates. The Association's loan origination and sales activity may be adversely affected by a rising interest rate environment or economic recession, which typically results in decreased loan demand. Most of the Association's residential real estate mortgage loan originations are generated by its in-house loan representatives, by direct mail solicitations, by referrals from existing or past customers, by referrals from local builders and real estate brokers, from calls to its telephone call center and from the internet. From 2005 to October 2010, the Association maintained a relationship with one mortgage broker, which is affiliated with a national builder.

The Association decides whether to retain the loans that it originates, sell loans in the secondary market or securitize loans after evaluating current and projected market interest rates, its interest rate risk objectives, its liquidity needs and other factors. The Association sold to Fannie Mae, in either whole loan or security form, \$76.0 million of long-term, fixed-rate residential real estate mortgage loans (all on a servicing retained basis) during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014. In addition to sales to Fannie Mae, during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, the Association also sold to private parties, non-agency eligible, long-term fixed-rate and adjustable-rate loans on a servicing retained basis. As described in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation - Controlling Our Interest Rate Risk Exposure, effective July 1, 2010, Fannie Mae, historically the Association's primary loan investor, implemented certain loan origination requirement changes affecting loan eligibility that, prior to May 2013, we had not adopted. In May 2013, we implemented loan origination changes with respect to a portion of our loan originations, which were approved by Fannie Mae on November 15, 2013, which

allow that portion of our first mortgage loan originations that were processed using the revised procedures to be eligible for securitization and sale in Fannie Mae mortgage backed security form. The balance of loans held for sale was \$5.0 million at September 30, 2014 all of which were originated pursuant to the stipulations of Fannie Mae's HARP II.

Historically, the Association has retained the servicing rights on all residential real estate mortgage loans that it has sold, and intends to continue this practice into the future. At September 30, 2014, the Association serviced loans owned by others with a principal balance of \$2.51 billion, including \$4.8 million of loans sold to Fannie Mae subject to recourse. All recourse sales occurred prior to the year 2000. Loan servicing includes collecting and remitting loan payments, accounting for principal and interest, contacting delinquent borrowers, supervising foreclosures and property dispositions in the event of unremedied defaults, making certain insurance and tax payments on behalf of the borrowers and generally administering the loans. The

Table of Contents

Association retains a portion of the interest paid by the borrower on the loans it services as consideration for its servicing activities. The Association did not enter into any loan participations during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 and does not expect to do so in the near future.

Loan Approval Procedures and Authority. The Association's lending activities follow written, non-discriminatory underwriting standards and loan origination procedures established by its Board of Directors. The loan approval process is intended to assess the borrower's ability to repay the loan and the value of the property that will secure the loan. To assess the borrower's ability to repay, the Association reviews the borrower's employment and credit history and information on the historical and projected income and expenses of the borrower.

The Association's policies and loan approval limits are established by its Board of Directors. The Association's Board of Directors has delegated authority to its Executive Committee (consisting of the Association's Chief Executive Officer and two directors) to review and assign lending authorities to certain individuals of the Association to consider and approve loans within their designated authority. Residential real estate mortgage loans and construction loans in amounts above \$625,000 require the approval of two individuals with designated underwriting authority. Loans in amounts below \$625,000 require the approval of one individual with designated underwriting authority.

The Association also maintains automated underwriting systems for point-of-sale approvals of residential real estate mortgage loans. Applications for loans that meet certain credit and income criteria may receive a credit approval subject to an appraisal of the subject property.

The Association requires independent third-party appraisals of real property. Appraisals are performed by independent licensed appraisers.

Delinquent Loans. The following tables set forth the number and recorded investment in loan delinquencies by type, segregated by geographic location and severity of delinquency at the dates indicated. The construction loan portfolio is secured by properties located in Ohio and there were no delinquencies in the consumer and other loan portfolios; therefore, neither was segregated by geography.

|   | Loans Delinquent For   |          |                 |          |        |          |
|---|------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|--------|----------|
|   | 30-89 Days             |          | 90 Days or Over |          | Total  |          |
|   | Number                 | Amount   | Number          | Amount   | Number | Amount   |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |          |                 |          |        |          |
| September 30, 2014                          |                        |          |                 |          |        |          |
| Real estate loans:                          |                        |          |                 |          |        |          |
| Residential Core                            |                        |          |                 |          |        |          |
| Ohio  | 108                    | \$10,416 | 263             | \$22,218 | 371    | \$32,634 |
| Florida                                     | 14                     | 2,006    | 141             | 14,291   | 155    | 16,297   |
| Other                                       | 3                      | 544      | 4               | 942      | 7      | 1,486    |
| Total Residential Core                      | 125                    | 12,966   | 408             | 37,451   | 533    | 50,417   |
| Residential Home Today                      |                        |          |                 |          |        |          |
| Ohio  | 168                    | 9,797    | 328             | 14,256   | 496    | 24,053   |
| Florida                                     | 9                      | 643      | 18              | 849      | 27     | 1,492    |
| Total Residential Home Today                | 177                    | 10,440   | 346             | 15,105   | 523    | 25,545   |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit       |                        |          |                 |          |        |          |
| Ohio  | 123                    | 3,753    | 214             | 3,637    | 337    | 7,390    |
| Florida                                     | 36                     | 2,365    | 184             | 3,010    | 220    | 5,375    |
| California                                  | 11                     | 753      | 16              | 298      | 27     | 1,051    |
| Other                                       | 21                     | 958      | 59              | 2,092    | 80     | 3,050    |
| Total Home equity loans and lines of credit | 191                    | 7,829    | 473             | 9,037    | 664    | 16,866   |
| Construction                                | 1                      | 200      | —               | —        | 1      | 200      |
| Other consumer loans                        | —                      | —        | —               | —        | —      | —        |
| Total                                       | 494                    | \$31,435 | 1,227           | \$61,593 | 1,721  | \$93,028 |



Table of Contents

|   | Loans Delinquent For<br>30-89 Days |          | 90 Days or Over |          | Total  |           |
|---|------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|   | Number                             | Amount   | Number          | Amount   | Number | Amount    |
| September 30, 2013                          |                                    |          |                 |          |        |           |
| Real estate loans:                          |                                    |          |                 |          |        |           |
| Residential Core                            |                                    |          |                 |          |        |           |
| Ohio  | 165                                | \$17,064 | 340             | \$31,498 | 505    | \$48,562  |
| Florida                                     | 17                                 | 2,743    | 200             | 24,405   | 217    | 27,148    |
| Other                                       | 3                                  | 465      | 3               | 581      | 6      | 1,046     |
| Total Residential Core                      | 185                                | 20,272   | 543             | 56,484   | 728    | 76,756    |
| Residential Home Today                      |                                    |          |                 |          |        |           |
| Ohio  | 213                                | 14,213   | 377             | 17,748   | 590    | 31,961    |
| Florida                                     | 6                                  | 373      | 16              | 593      | 22     | 966       |
| Total Residential Home Today                | 219                                | 14,586   | 393             | 18,341   | 612    | 32,927    |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit       |                                    |          |                 |          |        |           |
| Ohio  | 151                                | 5,304    | 200             | 5,132    | 351    | 10,436    |
| Florida                                     | 56                                 | 4,228    | 170             | 3,589    | 226    | 7,817     |
| California                                  | 9                                  | 749      | 27              | 1,479    | 36     | 2,228     |
| Other                                       | 30                                 | 1,990    | 49              | 1,842    | 79     | 3,832     |
| Total Home equity loans and lines of credit | 246                                | 12,271   | 446             | 12,042   | 692    | 24,313    |
| Construction                                | —                                  | —        | 2               | 41       | 2      | 41        |
| Other consumer loans                        | —                                  | —        | —               | —        | —      | —         |
| Total                                       | 650                                | \$47,129 | 1,384           | \$86,908 | 2,034  | \$134,037 |

|   | Loans Delinquent For<br>30-89 Days |          | 90 Days or Over |          | Total  |          |
|---|------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|--------|----------|
|   | Number                             | Amount   | Number          | Amount   | Number | Amount   |
| September 30, 2012                          |                                    |          |                 |          |        |          |
| Real estate loans:                          |                                    |          |                 |          |        |          |
| Residential Core                            |                                    |          |                 |          |        |          |
| Ohio  | 181                                | \$19,301 | 436             | \$43,871 | 617    | \$63,172 |
| Florida                                     | 32                                 | 5,974    | 258             | 30,873   | 290    | 36,847   |
| Other                                       | 2                                  | 401      | 1               | 63       | 3      | 464      |
| Total Residential Core                      | 215                                | 25,676   | 695             | 74,807   | 910    | 100,483  |
| Residential Home Today                      |                                    |          |                 |          |        |          |
| Ohio  | 208                                | 15,068   | 519             | 26,604   | 727    | 41,672   |
| Florida                                     | 7                                  | 542      | 21              | 913      | 28     | 1,455    |
| Total Residential Home Today                | 215                                | 15,610   | 540             | 27,517   | 755    | 43,127   |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit       |                                    |          |                 |          |        |          |
| Ohio  | 133                                | 4,572    | 145             | 5,994    | 278    | 10,566   |
| Florida                                     | 58                                 | 3,657    | 94              | 6,210    | 152    | 9,867    |
| California                                  | 16                                 | 1,637    | 20              | 1,863    | 36     | 3,500    |
| Other                                       | 27                                 | 2,020    | 43              | 2,520    | 70     | 4,540    |
| Total Home equity loans and lines of credit | 234                                | 11,886   | 302             | 16,587   | 536    | 28,473   |
| Construction                                | —                                  | —        | 8               | 377      | 8      | 377      |
| Other consumer loans                        | —                                  | —        | —               | —        | —      | —        |



Edgar Filing: TFS Financial CORP - Form 10-K

|       |     |          |       |           |       |           |
|-------|-----|----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Total | 664 | \$53,172 | 1,545 | \$119,288 | 2,209 | \$172,460 |
|-------|-----|----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|

18

---

Table of Contents

|   | Loans Delinquent For   |                 | Loans Delinquent For |                 | Total  |           |
|---|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------|-----------|
|   | 30-89 Days             | 90 Days or Over | 30-89 Days           | 90 Days or Over | Number | Amount    |
|   | Number                 | Amount          | Number               | Amount          | Number | Amount    |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |                 |                      |                 |        |           |
| September 30, 2011                          |                        |                 |                      |                 |        |           |
| Real estate loans:                          |                        |                 |                      |                 |        |           |
| Residential Core                            |                        |                 |                      |                 |        |           |
| Ohio  | 204                    | \$20,315        | 529                  | \$62,340        | 733    | \$82,655  |
| Florida                                     | 37                     | 8,438           | 272                  | 55,700          | 309    | 64,138    |
| Other                                       | 3                      | 574             | 4                    | 477             | 7      | 1,051     |
| Total Residential Core                      | 244                    | 29,327          | 805                  | 118,517         | 1,049  | 147,844   |
| Residential Home Today                      |                        |                 |                      |                 |        |           |
| Ohio  | 213                    | 18,395          | 634                  | 57,664          | 847    | 76,059    |
| Florida                                     | 11                     | 1,135           | 25                   | 2,321           | 36     | 3,456     |
| Total Residential Home Today                | 224                    | 19,530          | 659                  | 59,985          | 883    | 79,515    |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit       |                        |                 |                      |                 |        |           |
| Ohio  | 158                    | 5,457           | 227                  | 10,553          | 385    | 16,010    |
| Florida                                     | 103                    | 7,408           | 149                  | 16,211          | 252    | 23,619    |
| California                                  | 18                     | 1,789           | 20                   | 2,207           | 38     | 3,996     |
| Other                                       | 36                     | 2,771           | 81                   | 7,550           | 117    | 10,321    |
| Total Home equity loans and lines of credit | 315                    | 17,425          | 477                  | 36,521          | 792    | 53,946    |
| Construction                                | 1                      | 72              | 20                   | 3,770           | 21     | 3,842     |
| Other consumer loans                        | —                      | —               | —                    | —               | —      | —         |
| Total                                       | 784                    | \$66,354        | 1,961                | \$218,793       | 2,745  | \$285,147 |
|   | Loans Delinquent For   |                 | Loans Delinquent For |                 | Total  |           |
|   | 30-89 Days             | 90 Days or Over | 30-89 Days           | 90 Days or Over | Number | Amount    |
|   | Number                 | Amount          | Number               | Amount          | Number | Amount    |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |                 |                      |                 |        |           |
| September 30, 2010                          |                        |                 |                      |                 |        |           |
| Real estate loans:                          |                        |                 |                      |                 |        |           |
| Residential Core                            |                        |                 |                      |                 |        |           |
| Ohio  | 215                    | \$21,182        | 582                  | \$68,845        | 797    | \$90,027  |
| Florida                                     | 42                     | 8,597           | 244                  | 51,765          | 286    | 60,362    |
| Other                                       | 5                      | 902             | 4                    | 991             | 9      | 1,893     |
| Total Residential Core                      | 262                    | 30,681          | 830                  | 121,601         | 1,092  | 152,282   |
| Residential Home Today                      |                        |                 |                      |                 |        |           |
| Ohio  | 230                    | 20,879          | 807                  | 72,265          | 1,037  | 93,144    |
| Florida                                     | 9                      | 927             | 26                   | 2,566           | 35     | 3,493     |
| Total Residential Home Today                | 239                    | 21,806          | 833                  | 74,831          | 1,072  | 96,637    |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit       |                        |                 |                      |                 |        |           |
| Ohio  | 223                    | 6,830           | 354                  | 16,255          | 577    | 23,085    |
| Florida                                     | 118                    | 9,979           | 233                  | 23,277          | 351    | 33,256    |
| California                                  | 16                     | 1,401           | 27                   | 3,584           | 43     | 4,985     |
| Other                                       | 49                     | 3,167           | 111                  | 10,832          | 160    | 13,999    |
| Total Home equity loans and lines of credit | 406                    | 21,377          | 725                  | 53,948          | 1,131  | 75,325    |
| Construction                                | 2                      | 558             | 31                   | 3,980           | 33     | 4,538     |
| Other consumer loans                        | —                      | —               | 2                    | 1               | 2      | 1         |
| Total                                       | 909                    | \$74,422        | 2,421                | \$254,361       | 3,330  | \$328,783 |

Total loans seriously delinquent (i.e. delinquent 90 days or over) decreased 0.28% to 0.57% of total net loans at September 30, 2014, from 0.85% at September 30, 2013. Seriously delinquent loans to total net loans decreased in the residential Core portfolio from 0.56% to 0.35%. Such loans in the residential Home Today portfolio decreased from 0.18% to 0.14%; and in the home equity loans and lines of credit portfolio decreased from 0.10% to 0.08%. The SVA charge-off that was recorded during the quarter ended December 31, 2011 contributed to the decrease in the reported balances of delinquent and nonperforming loans during fiscal 2012. The balance of the SVA at September 30, 2011 was \$55.5 million. During the last

Table of Contents

several years, the inability of borrowers to repay their loans is primarily a result of high unemployment and uncertain economic prospects in our primary lending markets. Although regional employment levels have improved, the breadth and sustainability of the economic recovery remains tenuous and accordingly, we expect some borrowers who are current on their loans at September 30, 2014 to experience payment problems in the future. The excess number of housing units available for sale in certain markets today also may limit a borrower's ability to sell a home he or she can no longer afford. In many Florida areas, housing values continue to remain depressed due to prior rapid building and speculation, which has resulted in considerable inventory on the market and may limit a borrower's ability to sell a home. As a result, we expect the level of loans delinquent 90 days or more will remain elevated in the foreseeable future.

Non-performing Assets and Restructured Loans; Collection Procedures. Within 15 days of a borrower's delinquency, the Association attempts personal, direct contact with the borrower to determine the reason for the delinquency, to ensure that the borrower correctly understands the terms of the loan and to emphasize the importance of making payments on or before the due date. If necessary, subsequent late charges and delinquent notices are issued and the borrower's account will be monitored on a regular basis thereafter. The Association also mails system-generated reminder notices on a monthly basis. When a loan is more than 30 days past due, the Association attempts to contact the borrower and develop a plan of repayment. By the 90<sup>th</sup> day of delinquency, the Association may recommend foreclosure. By this date, if a repayment agreement has not been established, or if an agreement is established but is subsequently broken, the borrower's credit file is reviewed and, if considered necessary, the loan will be evaluated for impairment. For further discussion on evaluating loans for impairment, see Note 5. LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES. A summary report of all loans 30 days or more past due is provided to the Association's Board of Directors.

Loans are placed in non-accrual status when they are contractually 90 days or more past due or if collection of principal or interest in full is in doubt. Loans modified in troubled debt restructurings that were in non-accrual status prior to the restructurings remain in non-accrual status for a minimum of six months. Beginning with the quarter ended March 31, 2012, home equity loans and lines of credit which are subordinate to a first mortgage lien where the customer is seriously delinquent, are placed in non-accrual status. Beginning in the quarter ended September 30, 2012, loans in Chapter 7 bankruptcy status where all borrowers have been discharged from their mortgage obligation are placed in non-accrual status. Beginning in the quarter ended June 30, 2014, loans in Chapter 7 bankruptcy status where all borrowers had filed, and had not reaffirmed or been dismissed, are also placed in non-accrual status. For discussion on interest recognition, see Note 5. LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the recorded investments and categories of our non-performing assets and troubled debt restructurings at the dates indicated.

|   | September 30,          |           |           |           |           |   |
|---|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|
|   | 2014                   | 2013      | 2012      | 2011      | 2010      |   |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |           |           |           |           |   |
| Non-accrual loans:  |                        |           |           |           |           |   |
| Real estate loans:  |                        |           |           |           |           |   |
| Residential Core  | \$79,388               | \$91,048  | \$105,780 | \$125,014 | \$135,109 |   |
| Residential Home Today  | 29,960                 | 34,813    | 41,087    | 69,602    | 91,985    |   |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit(1)                                | 26,189                 | 29,943    | 35,316    | 36,872    | 54,481    |   |
| Construction  | —                      | 41        | 377       | 3,770     | 4,994     |   |
| Other consumer loans  | —                      | —         | —         | —         | 1         |   |
| Total non-accrual loans(2)(3)(4)  | 135,537                | 155,845   | 182,560   | 235,258   | 286,570   |   |
| Real estate owned   | 21,768                 | 22,666    | 19,647    | 19,155    | 15,912    |   |
| Other non-performing assets   | —                      | —         | —         | —         | —         |   |
| Total non-performing assets   | \$157,305              | \$178,511 | \$202,207 | \$254,413 | \$302,482 |   |
| Ratios:   |                        |           |           |           |           |   |
| Total non-accrual loans to total loans                                  | 1.27                   | % 1.53    | % 1.77    | % 2.37    | % 3.08    | % |
| Total non-accrual loans to total assets                                 | 1.15                   | % 1.38    | % 1.58    | % 2.16    | % 2.59    | % |
| Total non-performing assets to total assets                             | 1.33                   | % 1.58    | % 1.76    | % 2.34    | % 2.73    | % |
| Troubled debt restructurings (not included in non-accrual loans above): |                        |           |           |           |           |   |
| Real estate loans:  |                        |           |           |           |           |   |
| Residential Core  | \$59,630               | \$63,045  | \$66,988  | \$50,841  | \$39,167  |   |
| Residential Home Today  | 39,148                 | 46,435    | 57,168    | 67,240    | 47,601    |   |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit                                   | 8,117                  | 7,092     | 9,761     | 2,171     | 3,430     |   |
| Construction  | —                      | 259       | 613       | 863       | —         |   |
| Total   | \$106,895              | \$116,831 | \$134,530 | \$121,115 | \$90,198  |   |

The totals at September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012 include \$2.5 million, \$5.3 million and \$8.8 million of performing (1) home equity lines of credit, pursuant to regulatory guidance regarding senior lien delinquency issued in January 2012.

At September 30, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010 includes \$58.7 million, \$54.3 million, \$47.7 million, \$16.5 million and \$32.2 million respectively, in troubled debt restructurings which: are less than 90 days past due but (2) included with non-accrual loans for a minimum period of six months from the restructuring date due to their non-accrual status prior to restructuring; because they have been partially charged off; or because all borrowers have filed Chapter 7 bankruptcy, and had not reaffirmed or been dismissed.

Includes \$20.9 million, \$30.6 million, \$39.1 million, \$28.6 million, and \$12.3 million in troubled debt (3) restructurings that are 90 days or more past due as of September 30, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2011, in accordance with an OCC directive, our SVAs (which had a (4) balance of \$55.5 million as of September 30, 2011) were charged off, which reduced the balance of non-accrual loans.

The gross interest income that would have been recorded during the year ended September 30, 2014 on non-accrual loans if they had been accruing during the entire period and troubled debt restructurings if they had been current and performing in accordance with their original terms during the entire period was \$13.7 million. The interest income recognized on those loans included in net income for the year ended September 30, 2014 was \$6.7 million.

Impaired Loans. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Association will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. For discussion on impairment measurement, see Note 5. LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES.

The recorded investment of impaired loans includes accruing troubled debt restructurings and loans that are returned to accrual status when contractual payments are less than 90 days past due. Also, the recorded investment of non-accrual loans

Table of Contents

includes loans that are not included in the recorded investment of impaired loans because they are included in loans collectively evaluated for impairment. The table below sets forth a reconciliation of the recorded investments and categories between non-accrual loans and impaired loans at the dates indicated.

|                                   | At or For the Years Ended September 30, |           |           |           |           |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                                   | 2014                                    | 2013      | 2012      | 2011      | 2010      |
| Balance of Non-Accrual Loans      | \$135,537                               | \$155,845 | \$182,560 | \$235,258 | \$286,570 |
| Accruing TDRs                     | 106,895                                 | 116,831   | 134,530   | 121,115   | 90,243    |
| Performing Impaired Loans         | 5,389                                   | 7,761     | 2,776     | 7,975     | 8,600     |
| Less Loans Collectively Evaluated | (14,435 )                               | (17,396 ) | (20,996 ) | (24,576 ) | (44,700 ) |
| Balance of Total Impaired loans   | \$233,386                               | \$263,041 | \$298,870 | \$339,772 | \$340,713 |

The level of loan modifications has decreased, resulting in \$186.4 million of total (accrual and non-accrual) troubled debt restructurings recorded at September 30, 2014, a \$15.3 million decrease from September 30, 2013. Of the \$186.4 million of troubled debt restructurings recorded at September 30, 2014, \$105.6 million is in the residential, Core portfolio and \$60.1 million is in the Home Today portfolio.

Troubled debt restructuring is a method used to help families keep their homes and preserve our neighborhoods. This involves making changes to the borrowers' loan terms through interest rate reductions, either for a specific period or for the remaining term of the loan; term extensions including those beyond that provided in the original agreement; principal forgiveness; capitalization of delinquent payments in special situations; or some combination of the above. Loans discharged through Chapter 7 bankruptcy are also reported as TDRs per OCC interpretive guidance issued in July 2012. For discussion on impairment measurement, see Note 5. LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the recorded investments of accrual and non-accrual troubled debt restructurings, by the types of concessions granted as of September 30, 2014.

|   | Reduction in<br>Interest Rate | Payment<br>Extensions | Forbearance<br>or Other<br>Actions | Multiple<br>Concessions | Multiple<br>Modifications | Bankruptcy       | Total            |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|
|   | (In thousands)                |                       |                                    |                         |                           |                  |                  |
| <b>Accrual</b>                            |                               |                       |                                    |                         |                           |                  |                  |
| Residential Core                          | \$14,236                      | \$942                 | \$ 8,227                           | \$ 16,512               | \$ 11,549                 | \$ 8,164         | \$59,630         |
| Residential Home Today                    | 7,379                         | —                     | 4,615                              | 12,874                  | 13,180                    | 1,100            | 39,148           |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit     | 74                            | 1,632                 | 541                                | 1,018                   | 362                       | 4,490            | 8,117            |
| Construction                              | —                             | —                     | —                                  | —                       | —                         | —                | —                |
| <b>Total</b>                              | <b>\$21,689</b>               | <b>\$2,574</b>        | <b>\$ 13,383</b>                   | <b>\$ 30,404</b>        | <b>\$ 25,091</b>          | <b>\$ 13,754</b> | <b>\$106,895</b> |
| <b>Non-Accrual, Performing</b>            |                               |                       |                                    |                         |                           |                  |                  |
| Residential Core                          | \$1,803                       | \$169                 | \$ 541                             | \$ 3,412                | \$ 8,957                  | \$ 20,648        | \$35,530         |
| Residential Home Today                    | 1,698                         | 14                    | 1,060                              | 933                     | 4,740                     | 3,542            | 11,987           |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit     | —                             | 201                   | 135                                | 195                     | 349                       | 10,285           | 11,165           |
| Construction                              | —                             | —                     | —                                  | —                       | —                         | —                | —                |
| <b>Total</b>                              | <b>\$3,501</b>                | <b>\$384</b>          | <b>\$ 1,736</b>                    | <b>\$ 4,540</b>         | <b>\$ 14,046</b>          | <b>\$ 34,475</b> | <b>\$58,682</b>  |
| <b>Non-Accrual, Non-Performing</b>        |                               |                       |                                    |                         |                           |                  |                  |
| Residential Core                          | \$654                         | \$154                 | \$ 1,480                           | \$ 1,189                | \$ 2,181                  | \$ 4,764         | \$10,422         |
| Residential Home Today                    | 2,297                         | 64                    | 1,773                              | 1,278                   | 2,903                     | 659              | 8,974            |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit     | —                             | —                     | 93                                 | —                       | 108                       | 1,254            | 1,455            |
| Construction                              | —                             | —                     | —                                  | —                       | —                         | —                | —                |
| <b>Total</b>                              | <b>\$2,951</b>                | <b>\$218</b>          | <b>\$ 3,346</b>                    | <b>\$ 2,467</b>         | <b>\$ 5,192</b>           | <b>\$ 6,677</b>  | <b>\$20,851</b>  |
| <b>Total Troubled Debt Restructurings</b> |                               |                       |                                    |                         |                           |                  |                  |
| Residential Core                          | \$16,693                      | \$1,265               | \$ 10,248                          | \$ 21,113               | \$ 22,687                 | \$ 33,576        | \$105,582        |
| Residential Home Today                    | 11,374                        | 78                    | 7,448                              | 15,085                  | 20,823                    | 5,301            | 60,109           |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit     | 74                            | 1,833                 | 769                                | 1,213                   | 819                       | 16,029           | 20,737           |
| Construction                              | —                             | —                     | —                                  | —                       | —                         | —                | —                |
| <b>Total</b>                              | <b>\$28,141</b>               | <b>\$3,176</b>        | <b>\$ 18,465</b>                   | <b>\$ 37,411</b>        | <b>\$ 44,329</b>          | <b>\$ 54,906</b> | <b>\$186,428</b> |

Troubled debt restructurings in accrual status are loans accruing interest and performing according to the terms of the restructuring. To be performing, a loan must be less than 90 days past due as of the report date. Non-accrual, performing status indicates that a loan was: not accruing interest at the time of modification, continues not to accrue interest and is performing according to the terms of the restructuring, but has not been current for at least six consecutive months since its modification; has a partial charge-off; or is being classified as non-accrual per the OCC guidance on loans in Chapter 7 bankruptcy status, where all borrowers have filed and have not reaffirmed or been dismissed. Non-accrual, non-performing status includes loans that are not accruing interest because they are greater than 90 days past due and therefore not performing according to the terms of the restructuring.

Real Estate Owned. Real estate acquired as a result of foreclosure or by deed in lieu of foreclosure is classified as real estate owned until sold. When property is acquired, it is recorded at the estimated fair market value at the date of foreclosure less estimated costs to sell, establishing a new cost basis. Estimated fair value generally represents the sale price a buyer would be willing to pay on the basis of current market conditions. Subsequent to acquisition, real estate



owned is carried at the lower of the cost basis or estimated fair market value less estimated costs to sell. Increases in the fair market value are recognized through income not exceeding the valuation allowance. Holding costs and declines in estimated fair market value result in charges to expense after acquisition. At September 30, 2014, we had \$21.8 million in real estate owned.

Table of Contents

Classification of Assets. Our policies, consistent with regulatory guidelines, provide for the classification of loans and other assets that are considered to be of lesser quality as substandard, doubtful, or loss assets. An asset is considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the current payment capacity of the borrower or the collateral pledged has a defined weakness that jeopardizes the liquidation of the debt. Substandard assets include those assets characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as doubtful have all of the weaknesses inherent in those classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable or improbable. Assets (or portions of assets) classified as loss are those considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as assets is not warranted. Assets that do not expose us to risk sufficient to warrant classification in one of the aforementioned categories, but which possess potential weaknesses that deserve management's attention and may result in further deterioration in their repayment prospects and/or the Association's credit position, are required to be designated as special mention.

When we classify assets as either substandard or doubtful, we allocate a portion of the related general loss allowances to such assets as we deem prudent. The allowance for loan losses is the amount estimated by management as necessary to absorb credit losses incurred in the loan portfolio that are both probable and reasonably estimable at the balance sheet date. When we classify a problem asset as loss, we charge-off that portion of the asset that is uncollectible. Our determinations as to the classification of our assets and the amount of our loss allowances are subject to review by the Association's primary federal regulator, the OCC, which can require that we establish additional loss allowances. We regularly review our asset portfolio to determine whether any assets require classification in accordance with applicable regulations. On the basis of our review of assets at September 30, 2014, the recorded investment of classified assets consists of substandard assets of \$166.5 million, including \$21.8 million of real estate owned, and \$6.1 million of assets designated special mention. As of September 30, 2014, there were no individual assets with balances exceeding \$1 million, classified as substandard. Substandard assets at September 30, 2014 include \$61.6 million of loans 90 or more days past due and \$83.1 million of loans less than 90 days past due displaying a weakness sufficient to warrant an adverse classification, the majority of which are troubled debt restructurings.

Allowance for Loan Losses. We provide for loan losses based on the allowance method. Accordingly, all loan losses are charged to the related allowance and all recoveries are credited to it. Additions to the allowance for loan losses are provided by charges to income based on various factors which, in our judgment, deserve current recognition in estimating probable losses. We regularly review the loan portfolio and make provisions for loan losses in order to maintain the allowance for loan losses in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our allowance for loan losses consists of two components:

- (1) individual valuation allowances (IVAs) established for any impaired loans dependent on cash flows, such as performing troubled debt restructurings, and IVAs related to a portion of the allowance on loans individually reviewed that represents further deterioration in the fair value of the collateral not yet identified as uncollectible, and prior to December 31, 2011, SVAs for impaired loans;
- (2) general valuation allowances, which are comprised of quantitative GVAs, which are general allowances for loan losses for each loan type based on historical loan loss experience and qualitative GVAs which are adjustments to the quantitative GVAs, maintained to cover uncertainties that affect our estimate of incurred probable losses for each loan type.

In an October 2011 directive applicable to institutions subject to its regulation, the OCC required all SVAs on collateral dependent loans maintained by savings institutions to be charged off by March 31, 2012. As permitted, the Association elected to early-adopt this methodology effective for the quarter ended December 31, 2011. Additionally, the OCC issued guidance in July 2012 which requires loans, where at least one borrower has been discharged of their obligation, in Chapter 7 bankruptcy, to be classified as troubled debt restructurings. Also required is the charge off of performing loans to collateral value when all borrowers have had their obligations discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy, regardless of how long the loans have been performing. As a result, reported loan charge-offs for the year ended September 30, 2012 were impacted by the charge-off of SVAs, which had a balance of \$55.5 million at

September 30, 2011 and the charge-off of \$15.8 million in connection with the Chapter 7 related guidance. The one-time SVA related charge-off did not materially impact the provision for loan losses for the year ended September 30, 2012; however, reported loan charge-offs during the year ended September 30, 2012 increased and the balance of the allowance for loan losses as of September 30, 2012 decreased accordingly. Additionally, the SVA charge-off contributed to the decrease in the reported balances of seriously delinquent and non-accrual loans for the year ended September 30, 2012. As a result of our adoption of this required change, effective for the year ended September 30, 2012 and prospectively, the balance of the SVA component of the allowance for loan losses was and will be zero. The Chapter 7 related charge-offs increased the provision for loan losses for the year ended September 30, 2012. The qualitative GVAs expand our ability to identify and estimate probable losses and are based on our evaluation of the following factors, some of which are consistent with factors that impact the determination of quantitative GVAs. For example,

Table of Contents

delinquency statistics (both current and historical) are used in developing the quantitative GVAs while the trending of the delinquency statistics is considered and evaluated in the determination of the qualitative GVAs. Factors impacting the determination of qualitative GVAs include:

- changes in lending policies and procedures including underwriting standards, collection, charge-off or recovery practices;
- changes in national, regional, and local economic and business conditions and trends including housing market factors and trends, such as the status of loans in foreclosure, real estate in judgment and real estate owned, and unemployment statistics and trends;
- changes in the nature and volume of the portfolios including home equity lines of credit nearing the end of the draw period;
- changes in the experience, ability or depth of lending management;
- changes in the volume or severity of past due loans, volume of nonaccrual loans, or the volume and severity of adversely classified loans including the trending of delinquency statistics (both current and historical), historical loan loss experience and trends, the frequency and magnitude of re-modifications of loans previously the subject of troubled debt restructurings, and uncertainty surrounding borrowers' ability to recover from temporary hardships for which short-term loan modifications are granted;
- changes in the quality of the loan review system;
- changes in the value of the underlying collateral including asset disposition loss statistics (both current and historical) and the trending of those statistics, and additional charge-offs on individually reviewed loans;
- existence of any concentrations of credit;
- effect of other external factors such as competition, or legal and regulatory requirements including market conditions and regulatory directives that impact the entire financial services industry.

When loan modifications qualify as troubled debt restructurings and the loans are performing according to the terms of the restructuring, we record an IVA based on the present value of expected future cash flows, which includes a factor for subsequent potential defaults, discounted at the effective interest rate of the original loan contract. Potential defaults are distinguished from re-modifications as borrowers who default are not eligible for re-modification. At September 30, 2014, the balance of such IVAs was \$15.8 million. In instances when loans require re-modification, additional valuation allowances may be required. The new valuation allowance on a re-modified loan is calculated based on the present value of the expected cash flows, discounted at the effective interest rate of the original loan contract, considering the new terms of the modification agreement. Due to the immaterial amount of this exposure to date, we continue to capture this exposure as a component of our qualitative GVA evaluation. The significance of this exposure will be monitored and if warranted, we will enhance our loan loss methodology to include a new default factor (developed to reflect the estimated impact to the balance of the allowance for loan losses that will occur as a result of future re-modifications) that will be assessed against all loans reviewed collectively. If new default factors are implemented, the qualitative GVA methodology will be adjusted to preclude duplicative loss consideration. We evaluate the allowance for loan losses based upon the combined total of the quantitative and qualitative GVAs and IVAs. Generally when the loan portfolio increases, absent other factors, the allowance for loan loss methodology results in a higher dollar amount of estimated probable losses than would be the case without the increase. Generally when the loan portfolio decreases, absent other factors, the allowance for loan loss methodology results in a lower dollar amount of estimated probable losses than would be the case without the decrease.

Home equity loans and lines of credit generally have higher credit risk than traditional residential mortgage loans. These loans and lines are usually in a second lien position and when combined with the first mortgage, result in generally higher overall loan-to-value ratios. In a stressed housing market with high delinquencies and decreasing housing prices, as arose beginning in 2008, these higher loan-to-value ratios represent a greater risk of loss to the Company. A borrower with more equity in the property has a vested interest in keeping the loan current compared to a borrower with little or no equity in the property. In light of the past weakness in the housing market, the current level of delinquencies and the current uncertainty with respect to future employment levels and economic prospects, we currently conduct an expanded loan level evaluation of our home equity loans and lines of credit, including bridge loans, which are delinquent 90 days or more. This expanded evaluation is in addition to our traditional evaluation

procedures. Although the level of home equity loans and lines of credit charge-offs has been reduced during the year from previous levels, our home equity loans and lines of credit portfolio continued to comprise a significant portion of our net charge-offs during the current year. At September 30, 2014, we had a recorded investment of \$1.70 billion in home equity loans and equity lines of credit outstanding, 0.53% of which were delinquent 90 days or more.

Table of Contents

Construction loans generally have greater credit risk than traditional residential real estate mortgage loans. Construction loans also expose us to the risk that improvements will not be completed on time in accordance with specifications and projected costs. Effective August 30, 2011, the Association made the strategic decision to exit the commercial construction loan business and ceased accepting new builder relationships. Builder commitments in place at that time were honored for a limited period, giving our customers the ability to secure new borrowing relationships. We periodically evaluate the carrying value of loans and the allowance is adjusted accordingly. While we use the best information available to make evaluations, future additions to the allowance may be necessary based on unforeseen changes in loan quality and economic conditions. For more information regarding the allowance for loan losses, see Item 7 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation.”

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth activity in our allowance for loan losses segregated by geographic location for the periods indicated. Construction loans are on properties located in Ohio and the balances of Other consumer loans are immaterial; therefore neither was segregated.

|  | At or For the Years Ended September 30, |           |            |           |           |   |
|--|---|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|---|
|  | 2014                                    | 2013      | 2012       | 2011      | 2010      |   |
|  | (Dollars in thousands)                  |           |            |           |           |   |
| Allowance balance (beginning of the year)  | \$92,537                                | \$100,464 | \$156,978  | \$133,240 | \$95,248  |   |
| Charge-offs:   |   |           |            |           |           |   |
| Real estate loans:   |   |           |            |           |           |   |
| Residential Core   |   |           |            |           |           |   |
| Ohio   | 8,406                                   | 10,534    | 25,828     | 8,915     | 5,081     |   |
| Florida  | 7,782                                   | 6,129     | 29,285     | 8,889     | 7,425     |   |
| Other  | 32                                      | 56        | 249        | —         | 72        |   |
| Total Residential Core   | 16,220                                  | 16,719    | 55,362     | 17,804    | 12,578    |   |
| Residential Home Today   |   |           |            |           |           |   |
| Ohio   | 7,336                                   | 11,869    | 41,325     | 6,852     | 4,574     |   |
| Florida  | 286                                     | 433       | 1,890      | 99        | 104       |   |
| Total Residential Home Today   | 7,622                                   | 12,302    | 43,215     | 6,951     | 4,678     |   |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit(1)   |   |           |            |           |           |   |
| Ohio   | 4,879                                   | 4,604     | 13,957     | 10,564    | 7,471     |   |
| Florida  | 8,004                                   | 14,147    | 30,473     | 30,319    | 33,589    |   |
| California   | 1,021                                   | 2,490     | 5,747      | 4,895     | 4,002     |   |
| Other  | 2,039                                   | 2,302     | 12,858     | 5,636     | 5,146     |   |
| Total Home equity loans and lines of credit  | 15,943                                  | 23,543    | 63,035     | 51,414    | 50,208    |   |
| Construction   | 192                                     | 294       | 1,268      | 994       | 2,491     |   |
| Other consumer loans   | —                                       | —         | —          | 1         | —         |   |
| Total charge-offs  | 39,977                                  | 52,858    | 162,880    | 77,164    | 69,955    |   |
| Recoveries:  |   |           |            |           |           |   |
| Real estate loans:   |   |           |            |           |           |   |
| Residential Core   | 2,742                                   | 2,061     | 850        | 338       | 523       |   |
| Residential Home Today   | 1,909                                   | 775       | 162        | 108       | 23        |   |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit  | 4,918                                   | 4,964     | 3,318      | 1,921     | 1,390     |   |
| Construction   | 233                                     | 131       | 36         | 35        | 11        |   |
| Other consumer loans   | —                                       | —         | —          | —         | —         |   |
| Total recoveries   | 9,802                                   | 7,931     | 4,366      | 2,402     | 1,947     |   |
| Net charge-offs  | (30,175 )                               | (44,927 ) | (158,514 ) | (74,762 ) | (68,008 ) |   |
| Provision for loan losses  | 19,000                                  | 37,000    | 102,000    | 98,500    | 106,000   |   |
| Allowance balance (end of the year)  | \$81,362                                | \$92,537  | \$100,464  | \$156,978 | \$133,240 |   |
| Ratios:  |   |           |            |           |           |   |
| Net charge-offs to average loans outstanding   | 0.29                                    | % 0.44    | % 1.54     | % 0.76    | % 0.73    | % |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-accrual loans at end of the year                      | 60.03                                   | % 59.38   | % 55.03    | % 66.73   | % 46.49   | % |
| Allowance for loan losses to the total recorded investment in loans at end of the year | 0.76                                    | % 0.91    | % 0.97     | % 1.58    | % 1.43    | % |

Charge-offs decreased during the year ended September 30, 2014 in all portfolios when compared to the year ended September 30, 2013, reflecting the improving market conditions. We continue to evaluate loans becoming delinquent for potential losses and record provisions for our estimate of those losses.





Table of Contents

Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses. The following tables set forth the allowance for loan losses allocated by loan category, the percent of allowance in each category to the total allowance, and the percent of loans in each category to total loans at the dates indicated. The allowance for loan losses allocated to each category is not necessarily indicative of future losses in any particular category and does not restrict the use of the allowance to absorb losses in other categories.

|                                       | At September 30,<br>2014 |   |   |  | 2013     |   |   |  | 2012      |   |   |  |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|----------|---|---|--|-----------|---|---|--|
|                                       | Amount                   | Percent of Allowance to Total Allowance | Percent of Loans in Category to Total Loans |  | Amount   | Percent of Allowance to Total Allowance | Percent of Loans in Category to Total Loans |  | Amount    | Percent of Allowance to Total Allowance | Percent of Loans in Category to Total Loans |  |
| (Dollars in thousands)                |                          |   |   |  |          |   |   |  |           |   |   |  |
| Real estate loans:                    |                          |   |   |  |          |   |   |  |           |   |   |  |
| Residential Core                      | \$31,080                 | 38.2 %                                  | 82.2 %                                      |  | \$35,427 | 38.3 %                                  | 79.4 %                                      |  | \$31,618  | 31.5 %                                  | 76.5 %                                      |  |
| Residential Home Today                | 16,424                   | 20.2                                    | 1.5   |  | 24,112   | 26.0                                    | 1.7   |  | 22,588    | 22.5                                    | 2.0   |  |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 33,831                   | 41.6                                    | 15.8  |  | 32,818   | 35.5                                    | 18.2  |  | 45,508    | 45.3                                    | 20.8  |  |
| Construction                          | 27                       | —                                       | 0.5   |  | 180      | 0.2                                     | 0.7   |  | 750       | 0.7                                     | 0.7   |  |
| Other consumer loans                  | —                        | —                                       | —   |  | —        | —                                       | —   |  | —         | —                                       | —   |  |
| Total allowance                       | \$81,362                 | 100.0 %                                 | 100.0 %                                     |  | \$92,537 | 100.0 %                                 | 100.0 %                                     |  | \$100,464 | 100.0 %                                 | 100.0 %                                     |  |

|                                       | At September 30,<br>2011 |   |   |  | 2010      |   |   |  |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|-----------|---|---|--|
|                                       | Amount                   | Percent of Allowance to Total Allowance | Percent of Loans in Category to Total Loans |  | Amount    | Percent of Allowance to Total Allowance | Percent of Loans in Category to Total Loans |  |
| (Dollars in thousands)                |                          |   |   |  |           |   |   |  |
| Real estate loans:                    |                          |   |   |  |           |   |   |  |
| Residential Core                      | \$49,484                 | 31.5 %                                  | 71.5 %                                      |  | \$41,246  | 31.0 %                                  | 65.4 %                                      |  |
| Residential Home Today                | 31,025                   | 19.8                                    | 2.6   |  | 13,331    | 10.0                                    | 3.0   |  |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 74,071                   | 47.2                                    | 25.0  |  | 73,780    | 55.4                                    | 30.4  |  |
| Construction                          | 2,398                    | 1.5                                     | 0.8   |  | 4,882     | 3.6                                     | 1.1   |  |
| Other consumer loans                  | —                        | —                                       | 0.1   |  | 1         | —                                       | 0.1   |  |
| Total allowance                       | \$156,978                | 100.0 %                                 | 100.0 %                                     |  | \$133,240 | 100.0 %                                 | 100.0 %                                     |  |

During the year ended September 30, 2014, the total allowance for loan losses decreased \$11.2 million, to \$81.4 million from \$92.5 million at September 30, 2013, as we recorded a \$19.0 million provision for loan losses, which was less than the actual net charge-offs of \$30.2 million for the year. The decrease in the balance of the allowance for loan losses during the year ended September 30, 2014 was comprised of a \$0.1 million decrease in the allowance related to loans evaluated individually and a \$11.1 million decrease in the allowance related to loans evaluated collectively. Refer to the "Activity in the Allowance for Loan Losses" and "Analysis of the Allowance for Loan Losses" tables in Note 5 of the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements for more information. Other than the

less significant construction and other consumer loans segments, changes during the year ended September 30, 2014 in the balances of the GVAs, excluding changes in IVAs, related to the significant loan segments are described as follows:

Residential Core – The total balance of this segment of the loan portfolio increased 8.9% or \$721.2 million while the total allowance for loan losses for this segment decreased 12.3% or \$4.3 million. The portion of this loan segment’s allowance for loan losses that was determined by evaluating groups of loans collectively (i.e. those loans that were not individually evaluated), decreased \$6.1 million, or 21.6%, from \$28.3 million at September 30, 2013 to \$22.2 million at September 30, 2014. The ratio of this portion of the allowance for loan losses to the total balance of loans in this loan segment that were evaluated collectively, decreased to 0.26% for September 30, 2014 from 0.36% at September 30, 2013. The decreases in the balance and ratio of the allowance for loan losses were reflective of the improvements in the levels of loan delinquencies and the reduction in the amount of net charge offs during the current year when compared to prior periods. These improvements occurred as the balance of this loan segment grew during

Table of Contents

the year due to the addition of high credit quality, residential first mortgage loans. Total delinquencies decreased 34.3% to \$50.4 million at September 30, 2014 from \$76.8 million at September 30, 2013. Loans 90 or more days delinquent decreased 33.7% to \$37.5 million at September 30, 2014 from \$56.5 million at September 30, 2013. Net charge-offs during the current year were less at \$13.5 million as compared to \$14.7 million during the year ended September 30, 2013. As there continues to be a consistent improving trend in this portfolio, additional reductions in the allowance may be warranted.

Residential Home Today – The total balance of this segment of the loan portfolio decreased 13.5% or \$23.6 million as new originations have effectively stopped since the imposition of more restrictive lending requirements in 2009. The total allowance for loan losses for this segment decreased by \$7.7 million or 31.9%. The portion of this loan segment's allowance for loan losses that was determined by evaluating groups of loans collectively (i.e. those loans that were not individually evaluated), decreased by 38.8% from \$16.4 million at September 30, 2013 to \$10.1 million at September 30, 2014. Similarly, the ratio of this portion of the allowance to the total balance of loans in this loan segment that were evaluated collectively, decreased 5.2% to 11.9% at September 30, 2014 from 17.0% at September 30, 2013. Total delinquencies decreased from \$32.9 million at September 30, 2013 to \$25.5 million at September 30, 2014. Delinquencies greater than 90 days decreased from \$18.3 million to \$15.1 million during the same period. The credit profile of the remaining Home Today portfolio segment in total improved during the year and net charge-offs were less at \$5.7 million during the year ended September 30, 2014 as compared to \$11.5 million during the year ended September 30, 2013. Despite the improving trends, there still remains concern surrounding the overall credit profile of the Home Today borrowers based on the generally less stringent credit requirements that were in place at the time that these borrowers qualified for their loans. This increases the risk when impairment is identified through discharged Chapter 7 bankruptcy, modifications and a high portfolio delinquency when compared to the other portfolios.

Home Equity Loans and Lines of Credit - The total balance of this segment of the loan portfolio decreased 8.6% or \$161.2 million from \$1.87 billion at September 30, 2013 to \$1.70 billion at September 30, 2014. The total allowance for loan losses for this segment increased 3.1% to \$33.8 million from \$32.8 million at September 30, 2013. The portion of this loan segment's allowance for loan losses that was determined by evaluating groups of loans collectively (i.e. those loans that were not individually evaluated) increased by \$1.5 million, or 4.7%, from \$31.8 million to \$33.3 million during the year ended September 30, 2014. The ratio of this portion of the allowance to the total balance of loans in this loan segment that were evaluated collectively also increased to 2.0% at September 30, 2014 from 1.7% at September 30, 2013. Net charge-offs for this loan segment during the current year were less at \$11.0 million as compared to \$18.6 million for the year ended September 30, 2013. Total delinquencies for this portfolio segment decreased 30.6% to \$16.9 million at September 30, 2014 as compared to \$24.3 million at September 30, 2013. Delinquencies greater than 90 days decreased 25.0% to \$9.0 million at September 30, 2014 from \$12.0 million at September 30, 2013. While the credit metrics of this loan segment improved during the current year, the increases in the balance and ratio of this loan segment's allowance for loans losses reflect our consideration of the potentially adverse impact that required payment increases that occur as home equity lines of credit near the end of their draw periods may have on our borrowers ability to meet their debt service obligations.

While the portfolio performance has improved, loan losses on home equity loans and lines of credit continued to comprise a large component of our losses for 2014 and are expected to continue to represent a large portion of our losses for the foreseeable future, until non-performing loan balances begin to decrease by more than the charge-offs. Our analysis for evaluating the adequacy of and the appropriateness of our loan loss provision and allowance for loan losses is continually refined as new information becomes available and actual loss experience is acquired. During the last several years, numerous modifications to our procedures have been made including the following:

As of September 30, 2010, the individual loan loss review methodology for home equity loans and lines of credit was enhanced to include updated individual reviews for all home equity loans and lines of credit that were 90 days or more past due. Previously, updated individual reviews were not performed if the previous review had been performed within the last 12 months.

As of September 30, 2011, \$7.4 million of the SVA was reclassified as an IVA. This portion represents the allowance on individually reviewed loans dependent on cash flows, such as performing troubled debt restructurings, and a

portion of the allowance on loans that represents further deterioration in the fair value not supported by an appraisal. Reclassifications have also been made to the SVA balances as of September 30, 2010 and 2009.

As of September 30, 2012, home equity loans and lines of credit where the customer has a severely delinquent first mortgage are also placed in non-accrual status and classified Substandard, receiving a higher GVA factor than if they remained in the performing Pass category. Also, all loans in Chapter 7 bankruptcy status, where at least one borrower

Table of Contents

has been discharged of their obligation, have been added to the individually reviewed population. Those loans where all borrowers have had their obligation discharged are evaluated for impairment based on the fair value of the underlying collateral. Those loans where at least one borrower has not had the debt discharged are evaluated for impairment based on the present value of cash flow analysis. During the year ended September 30, 2012, in accordance with an OCC directive, our SVAs (which had a balance of \$55.5 million as of September 30, 2011) were charged off. This one-time charge-off did not impact the provision for loan losses for the year ended September 30, 2012; however, reported loan charge-offs during the year ended September 30, 2012 increased and the balances of loans, the allowance for loan losses, non-accrual status loans and loan delinquencies all decreased accordingly. During the years ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2014, no significant changes were made to the allowance or allowance methodology.

**Investments**

The Association's Board of Directors is responsible for establishing and overseeing the Association's investment policy. The investment policy is reviewed at least annually by management and any changes to the policy are recommended to the Board of Directors, or a committee thereof, and are subject to its approval. This policy dictates that investment decisions be made based on the safety of the investment, liquidity requirements, potential returns, the ability to provide collateral for pledging requirements, and consistency with our interest rate risk management strategy. The Association's Investment Committee, which consists of its chief operating officer, chief financial officer and other members of management, oversees its investing activities and strategies. The portfolio manager is responsible for making securities portfolio decisions in accordance with established policies. The portfolio manager has the authority to purchase and sell securities within specific guidelines established in the investment policy, but historically the portfolio manager has executed purchases only after extensive discussions with other Investment Committee members. All transactions are formally reviewed by the Investment Committee at least quarterly. Any investment which, subsequent to its purchase, fails to meet the guidelines of the policy is reported to the Investment Committee, which decides whether to hold or sell the investment.

The Association's current investment policy requires that it invest primarily in debt securities issued by the U.S. Government, agencies of the U.S. Government, and government-sponsored entities, which include Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The policy also permits investments in mortgage-backed securities, including pass-through securities issued and guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae as well as collateralized mortgage obligations and real estate mortgage investment conduits issued or backed by securities issued by these governmental agencies and government-sponsored entities. The investment policy also permits investments in asset-backed securities, banker's acceptances, money market funds, term federal funds, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements.

The Association's current investment policy does not permit investment in municipal bonds, corporate debt obligations, preferred or common stock of government agencies or equity securities other than its required investment in the common stock of the FHLB of Cincinnati. As of September 30, 2014, we held no asset-backed securities or securities with sub-prime credit risk exposure, nor did we hold any banker's acceptances, term federal funds, repurchase agreements or reverse repurchase agreements. As a federal savings association, the Association is not permitted to invest in equity securities. This general restriction does not apply to the Company. The Association's current investment policy permits the use of interest rate agreements (caps, floors and collars) and interest rate exchange contracts (swaps) in managing our interest rate risk exposure. The use of financial futures, however, is prohibited without specific approval from its Board of Directors.

FASB ASC 320, "Investments-Debt and Equity Securities," requires that, at the time of purchase, we designate a security as held to maturity, available-for-sale, or trading, depending on our ability and intent. Securities designated as available-for-sale are reported at fair value, while securities designated as held to maturity are reported at amortized cost. During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, the Company's primary regulator indicated that the Company's reported balance of liquid assets could not include any investment security not classified as available for sale. In response to the guidance of the Company's primary regulator, all of the Company's investment securities previously classified as held to maturity were transferred to the available for sale portfolio to ensure that the securities would be eligible for inclusion in the computation of regulatory liquidity. At September 30, 2014, all investment securities held by the

Company are classified as available for sale. We do not have a trading portfolio.

Our investment portfolio at September 30, 2014, primarily consisted of \$2.0 million of U.S. government and federal agency obligations, \$10.7 million in primarily fixed-rate securities guaranteed by Fannie Mae, and \$557.9 million of REMICs collateralized only by securities guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae.

U.S. Government and Federal Agency Obligations. While U.S. Government and federal agency securities generally provide lower yields than other investments in our securities investment portfolio, we maintain these investments, to the extent

Table of Contents

appropriate, for liquidity purposes, as collateral for borrowings and as an interest rate risk hedge in the event of significant mortgage loan prepayments.

**Mortgage-Backed Securities.** We purchase mortgage-backed securities insured or guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae. We invest in mortgage-backed securities to achieve positive interest rate spreads with minimal administrative expense, and to lower our credit risk as a result of the guarantees provided by Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae or Ginnie Mae. In September 2008, the Federal Housing Finance Agency placed Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae into conservatorship. The U.S. Treasury Department has established financing agreements to ensure that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac meet their obligations to holders of mortgage-backed securities that they have issued or guaranteed. Mortgage-backed securities are created by the pooling of mortgages and the issuance of a security with an interest rate that is less than the interest rate on the underlying mortgages. Mortgage-backed securities typically represent a participation interest in a pool of single-family or multi-family mortgages, although we invest primarily in mortgage-backed securities backed by one- to four-family mortgages. The issuers of such securities (generally Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac) pool and resell the participation interests in the form of securities to investors such as the Association, and guarantee the payment of principal and interest to investors. Mortgage-backed securities generally yield less than the loans that underlie such securities because of the cost of payment guarantees and credit enhancements. However, mortgage-backed securities are more liquid than individual mortgage loans since there is an active trading market for such securities. While there has been significant disruption in the demand for private issuer mortgage-backed securities, the U.S. Treasury support for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac guarantees has maintained an orderly market for the mortgage-backed securities the Company typically purchases. In addition, mortgage-backed securities may be used to collateralize our specific liabilities and obligations. Investments in mortgage-backed securities involve a risk that the timing of actual payments will be earlier or later than the timing estimated when the mortgage-backed security was purchased, which may require adjustments to the amortization of any premium or accretion of any discount relating to such interests, thereby affecting the net yield on our securities. We periodically review current prepayment speeds to determine whether prepayment estimates require modifications that could cause amortization or accretion adjustments.

REMICs are types of debt securities issued by a special-purpose entity that aggregates pools of mortgages and mortgage-backed securities and creates different classes of securities with varying maturities and amortization schedules, as well as a residual interest, with each class possessing different risk characteristics. The cash flows from the underlying collateral are generally divided into “tranches” or classes that have descending priorities with respect to the distribution of principal and interest cash flows, while cash flows on pass-through mortgage-backed securities are distributed pro rata to all security holders.

The following table sets forth the amortized cost and fair value of our securities portfolio (excluding FHLB of Cincinnati common stock) at the dates indicated.

|  | At September 30, |           | 2013      |           | 2012      |           |
|--|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|  | 2014             |           | 2013      |           | 2012      |           |
|  | Amortized        | Fair      | Amortized | Fair      | Amortized | Fair      |
|  | Cost             | Value     | Cost      | Value     | Cost      | Value     |
|  | (In thousands)   |           |           |           |           |           |
| Investments available for sale:                |                  |           |           |           |           |           |
| U.S. Government and agency obligations         | \$2,000          | \$2,023   | \$2,000   | \$2,037   | \$2,000   | \$2,056   |
| Freddie Mac certificates                       | —                | —         | 894       | 950       | 922       | 989       |
| Ginnie Mae certificates                        | —                | —         | 11,919    | 12,342    | 16,123    | 16,786    |
| REMICs   | 557,895          | 555,607   | 448,881   | 444,577   | 383,545   | 386,009   |
| Fannie Mae certificates                        | 10,654           | 11,238    | 11,495    | 11,995    | 7,125     | 7,889     |
| Money market accounts                          | —                | —         | 5,475     | 5,475     | 7,701     | 7,701     |
| Total investment securities available for sale | \$570,549        | \$568,868 | \$480,664 | \$477,376 | \$417,416 | \$421,430 |





Table of Contents

Portfolio Maturities and Yields. The composition and maturities of the investment securities portfolio and the mortgage-backed securities portfolio at September 30, 2014 are summarized in the following table. Maturities are based on the final contractual payment dates, and do not reflect the impact of prepayments or early redemptions that may occur. All of our securities at September 30, 2014 were taxable securities. There were no securities maturing in one year or less at September 30, 2014.

|   | More than<br>One Year<br>Through<br>Five years |                              | More than<br>Five Years<br>Through<br>Ten Years |                              | More than Ten<br>Years |                              |                   | Total Securities |                              |  |
|---|--|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--|
|   | Amortized<br>Cost                              | Weighted<br>Average<br>Yield | Amortized<br>Cost                               | Weighted<br>Average<br>Yield | Amortized<br>Cost      | Weighted<br>Average<br>Yield | Amortized<br>Cost | Fair<br>Value    | Weighted<br>Average<br>Yield |  |
| (Dollars in thousands)                    |  |                              |   |                              |                        |                              |                   |                  |                              |  |
| Investments<br>available-for-sale:        |  |                              |   |                              |                        |                              |                   |                  |                              |  |
| U.S. Government and<br>agency obligations | \$2,000  | 1.25 %                       | \$—   | — %                          | \$—                    | — %                          | \$2,000           | \$2,023          | 1.25 %                       |  |
| REMICs                                    | 666  | 2.35 %                       | 17,720  | 2.60 %                       | 539,509                | 1.00 %                       | 557,895           | 555,607          | 1.05 %                       |  |
| Fannie Mae certificates                   | 809  | 6.63 %                       | 4,991   | 1.74 %                       | 4,854                  | 7.17 %                       | 10,654            | 11,238           | 4.58 %                       |  |
| Total investment<br>securities            | \$3,475  | 2.71 %                       | \$22,711  | 2.41 %                       | \$544,363              | 1.05 %                       | \$570,549         | \$568,868        | 1.12 %                       |  |

available-for-sale  
Sources of Funds

General. Deposits traditionally have been the primary source of funds for the Association's lending and investment activities. The Association also borrows, primarily from the FHLB of Cincinnati and the FRB-Cleveland Discount Window, to supplement cash flow, to lengthen the maturities of liabilities for interest rate risk management purposes and to manage its cost of funds. Additional sources of funds are scheduled loan payments, maturing investments, loan prepayments, collateralized wholesale borrowings, income on other earning assets, the proceeds from loan sales, and beginning in September 2013, brokered CDs.

Deposits. The Association obtains deposits primarily from the areas in which its branch offices are located, as well as from its customer service call center, its internet website, and beginning in September 2013, from brokered CDs. It relies on its competitive pricing, convenient locations, and customer service to attract and retain its non-brokered deposits. It offers a variety of retail deposit accounts with a range of interest rates and terms. Its retail deposit accounts consist of savings accounts (primarily high-yield savings), NOW accounts (primarily high-yield checking accounts), CDs, individual retirement accounts, and other qualified plan accounts.

Interest rates paid, maturity terms, service fees, and withdrawal penalties are established on a periodic basis. Deposit rates and terms are based primarily on current operating strategies and market interest rates, liquidity requirements, interest rates paid by competitors, and our deposit growth goals.

At September 30, 2014, deposits totaled \$8.65 billion. NOW accounts totaled \$990.3 million (including \$919.1 million of high-yield checking accounts) and savings accounts totaled \$1.66 billion (including \$1.54 billion of high-yield savings accounts). At September 30, 2014, the Association had a total of \$6.00 billion in CDs (including \$356.7 million of brokered CDs), of which \$2.51 billion had remaining maturities of one year or less. Based on historical experience and its current pricing strategy, management believes the Association will retain a large portion of these accounts upon maturity.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the distribution of the Association's average total deposit accounts, by account type, for the fiscal years indicated.

|                         | For the Years Ended September 30, |         |                       |                 |         |                       |                 |         |                       |  |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------------|--|
|                         | 2014                              |         | 2013                  |                 | 2012    |                       |                 |         |                       |  |
| Deposit type:           | Average Balance                   | Percent | Weighted Average Rate | Average Balance | Percent | Weighted Average Rate | Average Balance | Percent | Weighted Average Rate |  |
|                         | (Dollars in thousands)            |         |                       |                 |         |                       |                 |         |                       |  |
| NOW                     | 1,019,909                         | 12.1 %  | 0.14 %                | \$1,023,442     | 11.8 %  | 0.22 %                | \$986,198       | 11.2 %  | 0.29 %                |  |
| Savings                 | 1,756,608                         | 20.7 %  | 0.19 %                | 1,804,127       | 20.7 %  | 0.31 %                | 1,756,840       | 19.9 %  | 0.43 %                |  |
| Certificates of deposit | 5,695,063                         | 67.2 %  | 1.55 %                | 5,877,695       | 67.5 %  | 1.76 %                | 6,064,950       | 68.9 %  | 2.35 %                |  |
| Total deposits          | \$8,471,580                       | 100.0 % | 1.10 %                | \$8,705,264     | 100.0 % | 1.28 %                | \$8,807,988     | 100.0 % | 1.74 %                |  |

As of September 30, 2014, the aggregate amount of the Association's outstanding CDs in amounts greater than or equal to \$100,000 was approximately \$2.54 billion. The following table sets forth the maturity of those CDs as of September 30, 2014.

|                                      | At<br>September 30, 2014<br>(In thousands) |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Three months or less                 | \$ 294,104                                 |
| Over three months through six months | 224,078                                    |
| Over six months through one year     | 361,820                                    |
| Over one year to three years         | 948,931                                    |
| Over three years                     | 713,289                                    |
| Total                                | \$ 2,542,222                               |

The following table sets forth, by interest rate ranges, information concerning the Association's CDs at September 30, 2014.

| Interest Rate Range: | Period to Maturity             |                            |                              |                       | Total       | Percent of Total |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|
|                      | Less Than or Equal to One Year | More Than One to Two Years | More Than Two to Three Years | More Than Three Years |             |                  |
| 0.99% and below      | \$1,477,816                    | \$534,741                  | \$52,514                     | \$10,764              | \$2,075,835 | 34.60 %          |
| 1.00% to 1.99%       | 517,881                        | 141,374                    | 965,830                      | 1,048,994             | 2,674,079   | 44.57 %          |
| 2.00% to 2.99%       | 124,021                        | 134,196                    | 266,502                      | 140,789               | 665,508     | 11.09 %          |
| 3.00% to 3.99%       | 355,051                        | 152,346                    | 746                          | 9,306                 | 517,449     | 8.62 %           |
| 4.00% and above      | 35,538                         | 12,527                     | 14,130                       | 5,150                 | 67,345      | 1.12 %           |
| Total                | \$2,510,307                    | \$975,184                  | \$1,299,722                  | \$1,215,003           | \$6,000,216 | 100.00 %         |

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the Association's CDs classified by interest rate at the dates indicated.

| Interest Rate   | At September 30, |             |             |
|-----------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                 | 2014             | 2013        | 2012        |
|                 | (In thousands)   |             |             |
| 0.99% and below | \$2,075,835      | \$2,276,511 | \$1,961,447 |
| 1.00% to 1.99%  | 2,674,079        | 1,790,363   | 1,746,089   |
| 2.00% to 2.99%  | 665,508          | 732,648     | 900,178     |
| 3.00% to 3.99%  | 517,449          | 623,032     | 752,638     |
| 4.00% and above | 67,345           | 205,295     | 836,967     |
| Total           | \$6,000,216      | \$5,627,849 | \$6,197,319 |

Borrowings. At September 30, 2014, the Association had \$1.14 billion of borrowings from the FHLB of Cincinnati. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, the Association's only third party borrowings consisted of loans, commonly referred to as "advances," from the FHLB of Cincinnati. Borrowings from the FHLB of Cincinnati are secured by the Association's investment in the common stock of the FHLB of Cincinnati as well as by a blanket pledge of its mortgage portfolio not otherwise pledged. Our current, immediate additional borrowing capacity with the FHLB of Cincinnati is \$32.1 million as limited by the amount of FHLB of Cincinnati common stock that we own. Based on the amount of collateral that is subject to the blanket pledge that secures advances, in addition to the existing available capacity, our capacity limit for additional borrowings from the FHLB of Cincinnati at September 30, 2014 was \$4.70 billion, subject to satisfaction of the FHLB of Cincinnati common stock ownership requirement. To satisfy the common stock ownership requirement, we would have to increase our ownership of FHLB of Cincinnati common stock by an additional \$94.1 million. The ability to borrow from the FRB-Cleveland Discount Window is also available to the Association and is secured by a pledge of specific loans in the Association's mortgage portfolio. At September 30, 2014, the Association had the capacity to borrow up to \$147.6 million from the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland and had no amount outstanding as of that date.

The following table sets forth information concerning balances and interest rates on the Association's borrowings at and for the periods shown:

|   | At or For The Fiscal Years |           |           |   |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|---|
|   | Ended September 30,        |           |           |   |
|   | 2014                       | 2013      | 2012      |   |
|   | (Dollars in thousands)     |           |           |   |
| Balance at end of year                        | \$1,138,639                | \$745,117 | \$488,191 |   |
| Average balance during year                   | \$974,644                  | \$435,342 | \$359,666 |   |
| Maximum outstanding at any month end          | \$1,138,639                | \$745,117 | \$569,733 |   |
| Weighted average interest rate at end of year | 1.16                       | % 0.90    | % 0.60    | % |
| Average interest rate during year             | 1.03                       | % 0.92    | % 0.71    | % |

Since September 30, 2010, when the level of the loan securitizations with Fannie Mae was substantially reduced and the proceeds from loan sales no longer provided a significant source of recurring liquidity, the Association has utilized borrowings from the FHLB of Cincinnati to manage its on-balance sheet liquidity. Beginning in September 2012, the Association began to more actively utilize borrowings from the FHLB of Cincinnati to replace maturing, high rate CDs at a lower cost.

## Federal Taxation

General. The Company and the Association are subject to federal income taxation in the same general manner as other corporations, with certain exceptions. Prior to the completion of our initial public stock offering on April 20, 2007, the Company and the Association were included as part of Third Federal Savings, MHC's consolidated tax group.

However, upon completion of the offering, the Company and the Association are no longer a part of Third Federal Savings, MHC's consolidated tax group because Third Federal Savings, MHC no longer owns at least 80% of the common stock of the Company. At November 24, 2014, Third Federal Savings, MHC, owned 75.65% of the common

stock of the Company. Beginning on September 30, 2007 and for each subsequent fiscal year thereafter, the Company has filed consolidated tax returns with the Association and Third Capital Inc., its wholly-owned subsidiaries. On November 27, 2012, the IRS completed an audit of the federal tax returns of the Company and its subsidiaries for fiscal years ended 2008, 2009 and 2010.

## Table of Contents

The following discussion of federal taxation is intended only to summarize certain pertinent federal income tax matters and is not a comprehensive description of the tax rules applicable to the Company or its subsidiaries.

**Bad Debt Reserves.** Historically, the Third Federal Savings, MHC consolidated group used the specific charge off method to account for bad debt deductions for income tax purposes, and the Company has used and intends to use the specific charge off method to account for tax bad debt deductions in the future.

**Taxable Distributions and Recapture.** Prior to 1996, bad debt reserves created prior to 1988 were subject to recapture into taxable income if the Association failed to meet certain thrift asset and definitional tests or made certain distributions. Tax law changes in 1996 eliminated thrift-related recapture rules. However, under current law, pre-1988 tax bad debt reserves remain subject to recapture if the Association makes certain non-dividend distributions, repurchases any of its common stock, pays dividends in excess of earnings and profits, or fails to qualify as a bank for tax purposes.

At September 30, 2014, the total federal pre-base year bad debt reserve of the Association was approximately \$105.0 million.

### State Taxation

Following its initial public stock offering in 2007, the Company converted from a qualified passive investment company domiciled in the State of Delaware to a qualified holding company in Ohio. Through 2013, the Company was subject to Ohio tax levied on income and a significant majority of state taxes paid by the remaining entities in our corporate structure were also paid to the State of Ohio. The Association was subject to Ohio franchise tax based on equity capital reduced by certain exempted assets taxed at a rate of 1.3%. The other Ohio subsidiaries of the Company were taxed on the greater of a tax based on net income or net worth.

Effective January 1, 2014 for Ohio tax filings based on 2013 financial results, the Third Federal Savings, MHC consolidated group is subject to the Ohio Financial Institutions Tax. The Financial Institutions Tax is based on total equity capital apportioned to Ohio using a single gross receipts factor. Ohio equity capital is taxed at a three-tiered rate of 0.8% on the first \$200 million, 0.4% on amounts greater than \$200 million and less than or equal to \$1.3 billion, and 0.25% on amounts greater than \$1.3 billion.

On April 29, 2013, the State of Ohio Department of Taxation completed an audit of the Association's Ohio Franchise Tax Returns for fiscal years ended September 30, 2009, 2010 and 2011.

## SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

### General

The Company is a savings and loan holding company, and is required to file certain reports with, is subject to examination by, and otherwise must comply with the rules and regulations of, the FRS. The Company is also subject to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission under the federal securities laws.

The Association is a federal savings association that is currently examined and supervised by the OCC and the CFPB, and is subject to examination by the FDIC. This regulation and supervision establishes a comprehensive framework of activities in which an institution may engage and is intended primarily for the protection of the FDIC's deposit insurance fund and depositors. Under this system of federal regulation, financial institutions are periodically examined to ensure that they satisfy applicable standards with respect to their capital adequacy, assets, management, earnings, liquidity and sensitivity to market interest rates. Following completion of its examination, the federal agency critiques the institution's operations and assigns its rating (known as an institution's CAMELS rating). Under federal law, an institution may not disclose its CAMELS rating to the public. The Association also is a member of and owns stock in the FHLB of Cincinnati, which is one of the twelve regional banks in the FHLB System. The Association is also regulated to a lesser extent by the FRS, governing reserves to be maintained against deposits and other matters. The OCC will examine the Association and prepare reports for the consideration of the Association's Board of Directors on any operating deficiencies. The CFPB, which is discussed further in the Federal Legislation section that follows, has examination and enforcement authority over the Association. The Association's relationship with its depositors and borrowers also is regulated to a great extent by federal law and, to a much lesser extent, state law, especially in matters concerning the ownership of deposit accounts and the form and content of the Association's mortgage documents. Any change in these laws or regulations, whether by the FDIC, OCC, FRS, CFPB or Congress, could have a material adverse impact on the Company, the Association and their operations.



## Table of Contents

Certain statutes and regulations of the regulatory requirements that are applicable to the Association and the Company are described below. This description of statutes and regulations is not intended to be a complete explanation of such statutes and regulations and their effects on the Association and the Company, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the actual statutes and regulations.

### Dodd-Frank Act

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, enacted on July 21, 2010, has changed bank regulation and the lending, investment, trading and operating activities of depository institutions and their holding companies. The DFA eliminated our former primary federal regulator, the OTS, and required the Association to be regulated by the OCC (the primary federal regulator for national banks). The DFA also authorized the FRS to supervise and regulate all savings and loan holding companies, including mutual holding companies and their mid-tier holding companies, like Third Federal Savings, MHC and the Company, in addition to bank holding companies that the FRS already regulated. Third Federal Savings, MHC will require the approval of the FRS before it may waive the receipt of any dividends from the Company under the standards specified in the DFA and implementing FRS regulations. The DFA also requires the FRS to set minimum capital levels for depository institution holding companies that are as stringent as those required for the insured depository subsidiaries with the components of Tier 1 capital restricted to capital instruments that are currently considered to be Tier 1 capital for insured depository institutions. The legislation also establishes a floor for capital of insured depository institutions that cannot be lower than the standards in effect on July 21, 2010, and directs the federal banking regulators to implement new leverage and capital requirements within 18 months from the enactment of the DFA that take into account off-balance sheet activities and other risks, including risks relating to securitized products and derivatives. A final rule implementing these requirements will be effective January 1, 2015.

The DFA also created the CFPB with substantial power to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The CFPB has broad rulemaking authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions such as the Association, including the authority to prohibit “unfair, deceptive or abusive” acts and practices. The CFPB has examination and enforcement authority over all banks and savings institutions with more than \$10 billion in assets. Banks and savings institutions with \$10 billion or less in assets are examined by their applicable federal bank regulators. The banking agencies used June 30, 2011 financial information for purposes of initially determining CFPB authority. Since the Association had more than \$10 billion of total assets on that date, it is subject to CFPB examination and enforcement authority. The legislation also weakened the federal preemption available for national banks and federal savings associations, and gives state attorneys general the ability to enforce applicable federal consumer protection laws.

The legislation broadened the base for FDIC insurance assessments. Assessments are now based on the average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital of a financial institution. The DFA also permanently increased the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor, retroactive to January 1, 2008, and non-interest bearing transaction accounts had unlimited deposit insurance through December 31, 2012. The DFA increased stockholder influence over boards of directors by requiring companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and so-called “golden parachute” payments. The legislation also directs the FRS to promulgate rules prohibiting excessive compensation paid to bank holding company executives, regardless of whether the company is publicly traded. The DFA provided for originators of certain securitized loans to retain a percentage of the risk for transferred loans, directed the FRS to regulate pricing of certain debit card interchange fees and contained a number of reforms related to mortgage origination. Many of the provisions of the DFA have delayed effective dates and the legislation requires various federal agencies to promulgate numerous and extensive implementing regulations over the next several years. Although the substance and scope of these regulations cannot be completely determined at this time, it is expected that the legislation and implementing regulations has and will continue to increase our operating and compliance costs.

### Federal Banking Regulation

Business Activities. A federal savings association derives its lending and investment powers from the Home Owners’ Loan Act, as amended, and federal regulations. Under these laws and regulations, the Association may invest in

mortgage loans secured by residential real estate without limitations as a percentage of assets, and may invest in non-residential real estate loans up to 400% of capital in the aggregate. The Association may also invest in commercial business loans up to 20% of assets in the aggregate and consumer loans up to 35% of assets in the aggregate, and in certain types of debt securities and certain other assets. An association may also establish subsidiaries that may engage in certain activities not otherwise permissible for an association, including real estate investment and securities and insurance brokerage. The DFA authorized depository institutions to commence paying interest on business checking accounts, effective July 21, 2011.



## Table of Contents

Capital Requirements. Federal regulations require savings associations to meet three minimum capital standards: a 1.5% tangible capital ratio, a 4% leverage ratio (3% for savings associations receiving the highest rating on the CAMELS rating system and meeting certain other requirements) and an 8% risk-based capital ratio.

The risk-based capital standard for savings associations requires the maintenance of Tier 1 (core) and total capital (which is defined as core capital and supplementary capital) to risk-weighted assets of at least 4% and 8%, respectively. In determining the amount of risk-weighted assets, all assets, including certain off-balance sheet assets, are multiplied by a risk-weight factor of 0% to 100% (or 200% for certain residual interests in transferred assets), assigned by the applicable regulatory agency, based on the risks believed inherent in the type of asset. Core capital is defined as common shareholders' equity (including retained earnings), certain non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock and related surplus and minority interests in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, less intangibles other than certain mortgage servicing rights and credit card relationships. The components of supplementary capital currently include cumulative preferred stock, long-term perpetual preferred stock, mandatory convertible securities, subordinated debt and intermediate preferred stock, the allowance for loan and lease losses limited to a maximum of 1.25% of risk-weighted assets and up to 45% of net unrealized gains on available-for-sale equity securities with readily determinable fair market values. Overall, the amount of supplementary capital included as part of total capital cannot exceed 100% of core capital. Additionally, a savings association that retains credit risk in connection with an asset sale may be required to maintain additional regulatory capital because of the recourse back to the savings association.

At September 30, 2014, the Association's capital exceeded all applicable requirements.

In July 2013, the OCC and the other federal bank regulatory agencies issued a final rule that will revise their leverage and risk-based capital requirements and the method for calculating risk-weighted assets to make them consistent with agreements that were reached by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and certain provisions of the DFA. Among other things, the rule establishes a new common equity Tier 1 minimum capital requirement (4.5% of risk-weighted assets), establishes a uniform 4% leverage ratio, increases the minimum Tier 1 capital to risk-based assets requirement (from 4% to 6% of risk-weighted assets) and assigns a higher risk weight (150%) to exposures that are more than 90 days past due or are on nonaccrual status and to certain commercial real estate facilities that finance the acquisition, development or construction of real property. The final rule also requires unrealized gains and losses on certain "available-for-sale" securities holdings to be included for purposes of calculating regulatory capital requirements unless a one-time opt-in or opt-out is exercised. The rule limits a banking organization's capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments to executive officers if the banking organization does not hold a "capital conservation buffer" consisting of 2.5% of common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets in addition to the amount necessary to meet its minimum risk-based capital requirements. The final rule is effective January 1, 2015. The "capital conservation buffer" will be phased in from January 1, 2016 to January 1, 2019, when the full capital conservation buffer will be effective.

Loans-to-One Borrower. Generally, a federal savings association may not make a loan or extend credit to a single or related group of borrowers in excess of 15% of unimpaired capital and surplus. An additional amount may be loaned, equal to 10% of unimpaired capital and surplus, if the loan is secured by readily marketable collateral, which generally does not include real estate. As of September 30, 2014, the Association was in compliance with the loans-to-one borrower limitations.

Qualified Thrift Lender Test. As a federal savings association, the Association must satisfy the qualified thrift lender test. Under the QTL test, the Association must maintain at least 65% of its "portfolio assets" in "qualified thrift investments" (primarily residential mortgages and related investments, including mortgage-backed securities) in at least nine months of the most recent 12-month period. "Portfolio assets" generally means total assets of a savings institution, less the sum of specified liquid assets up to 20% of total assets, goodwill and other intangible assets, and the value of property used in the conduct of the savings association's business.

The Association also may satisfy the QTL test by qualifying as a "domestic building and loan association" as defined in the Internal Revenue Code.

A savings association that fails the qualified thrift lender test must operate under specified restrictions. Under the DFA, non-compliance with the QTL test may subject the Association to agency enforcement action for a violation of

law. At September 30, 2014, the Association satisfied the QTL test.

Capital Distributions. Federal regulations govern capital distributions by a federal savings association, which include cash dividends, stock repurchases and other transactions charged to the capital account. A federal savings association must file an application with the OCC and the FRS for approval of a capital distribution if:

- the total capital distributions for the applicable calendar year exceed the sum of the savings association's net income for that year to date plus the savings association's retained net income for the preceding two years;
- the savings association would not be at least adequately capitalized following the distribution;

## Table of Contents

•the distribution would violate any applicable statute, regulation, agreement or condition imposed by a regulator; or  
•the savings association is not eligible for expedited treatment of its filings.

Even if an application is not otherwise required, every savings association that is a subsidiary of a holding company must still file a notice with the FRS at least 30 days before the board of directors declares a dividend or approves a capital distribution.

The OCC and the FRS have established similar criteria for approving an application or notice, and may disapprove an application or notice if:

- the savings association would be undercapitalized following the distribution;
- the proposed capital distribution raises safety and soundness concerns; or
- the capital distribution would violate a prohibition contained in any statute, regulation or agreement.

In addition, the Federal Deposit Insurance Act provides that an insured depository institution may not make any capital distribution, if the institution would be undercapitalized after the distribution.

In December 2013, the Association, in compliance with the preceding requirements, paid an \$85 million cash dividend to the Company. There were no dividends paid to the Company by the Association during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013 or 2012 and there were no dividends paid to the Company by Third Capital during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 or 2012.

On April 1, 2014, the FRS terminated the February 7, 2011 memorandum of understanding that was issued by the OTS, the Company's former regulator, and that, among other things, reaffirmed the June 2010 OTS directive that instructed the Company to not declare or pay any cash dividend or other capital distributions or purchase, repurchase or redeem or commit to purchase, repurchase or redeem any of its equity stock without providing 45 days prior written notice to its primary federal regulator and receiving the primary federal regulator's written non-objection. During the quarter ended December 31, 2013, the Company, after complying with the preceding requirement in effect at the time, completed the repurchase of 2,156,250 shares of its common stock, which remained outstanding in its fourth stock repurchase program that was announced in March 2009. Additional stock repurchase programs, the fifth for 5,000,000 shares and the sixth stock repurchase program for 10,000,000 shares were announced by the Company on April 4, 2014 and September 9, 2014, respectively. The Company's fifth stock repurchase program was completed on September 17, 2014, and on which date the sixth stock repurchase program commenced.

On August 28, 2014 the Company's Board of Directors declared a \$0.07 per share dividend, payable on September 26, 2014. Also on August 28, 2014, the Company announced that on July 31, 2014, Third Federal Savings, MHC had received the approval of its members (depositors and certain loan customers of the Association) with respect to the waiver of dividends, and subsequently received the non-objection of the FRB-Cleveland, to waive receipt of dividends on the Company's common stock that Third Federal Savings, MHC owned, up to \$0.28 per share during the 12 months ending July 31, 2015. Third Federal Savings, MHC waived its right to receive the \$0.07 per share dividend payment on September 26, 2014.

Liquidity. A federal savings association is required to maintain a sufficient amount of liquid assets to ensure its safe and sound operation.

Community Reinvestment Act and Fair Lending Laws. All savings associations have a responsibility under the Community Reinvestment Act and federal regulations to help meet the credit needs of their communities, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. In connection with its examination of a federal savings association, the OCC is required to assess the savings association's record of compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act. In addition, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act prohibit lenders from discriminating in their lending practices on the basis of characteristics specified in those statutes. A savings association's failure to comply with the provisions of the Community Reinvestment Act could, at a minimum, result in denial of certain corporate applications such as branches or mergers, or in restrictions on its activities. The failure to comply with the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act could result in enforcement actions by the OCC, as well as other federal regulatory agencies and the Department of Justice.

The Association received a satisfactory Community Reinvestment Act rating in its most recent federal examination.

Transactions with Related Parties. A federal savings association's authority to engage in transactions with its affiliates is limited by FRS regulations and by Sections 23A and 23B of the FRS Act and its implementing Regulation W. An

affiliate is a company that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with an insured depository institution such as the Association. Third Federal Savings, MHC and the Company are affiliates of the Association. In general, loan transactions between an insured depository institution and its affiliates are subject to certain quantitative and collateral requirements. In this

Table of Contents

regard, transactions between an insured depository institution and its affiliates are limited to 10% of the institution's unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus for transactions with any one affiliate and 20% of unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus for transactions in the aggregate with all affiliates. Collateral in specified amounts ranging from 100% to 130% of the amount of the transaction must usually be provided by affiliates in order to receive loans from the savings association. In addition, federal regulations prohibit a savings association from lending to any of its affiliates that are engaged in activities that are not permissible for bank holding companies and from purchasing the securities of any affiliate, other than a subsidiary. Finally, transactions with affiliates must be consistent with safe and sound banking practices, not involve low-quality assets and be on terms that are as favorable to the institution as comparable transactions with non-affiliates. Savings associations are required to maintain detailed records of all transactions with affiliates.

The Association's authority to extend credit to its directors, executive officers and 10% shareholders, as well as to entities controlled by such persons, is currently governed by the requirements of Sections 22(g) and 22(h) of the FRS Act and Regulation O of the FRS. Among other things, these provisions require that extensions of credit to insiders:

- are subject to certain exceptions for loan programs made available to all employees, be made on terms that are substantially the same as, and follow credit underwriting procedures that are not less stringent than, those prevailing
- (i) for comparable transactions with unaffiliated persons and that do not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features, and
- (ii) do not exceed certain limitations on the amount of credit extended to such persons, individually and in the aggregate, which limits are based, in part, on the amount of the Association's capital.

In addition, extensions of credit in excess of certain limits must be approved by the Association's Board of Directors. Enforcement. The OCC has primary enforcement responsibility over federal savings institutions and has the authority to bring enforcement action against all "institution-affiliated parties," including shareholders, attorneys, appraisers and accountants who knowingly or recklessly participate in wrongful action likely to have an adverse effect on an insured institution. Formal enforcement action by the OCC may range from the issuance of a capital directive or cease and desist order, to removal of officers and/or directors of the institution and the appointment of a receiver or conservator. Civil penalties cover a wide range of violations and actions, and range up to \$25,000 per day, unless a finding of reckless disregard is made, in which case penalties may be as high as \$1 million per day. The FDIC also has the authority to terminate deposit insurance or to recommend to the OCC that enforcement action be taken with respect to a particular savings institution. If action is not taken by the OCC, the FDIC has authority to take action under specified circumstances.

Standards for Safety and Soundness. Federal law requires each federal banking agency to prescribe certain standards for all insured depository institutions. These standards relate to, among other things, internal controls, information systems, audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate risk exposure, asset growth, compensation, and other operational and managerial standards as the agency deems appropriate. The federal banking agencies adopted Interagency Guidelines Prescribing Standards for Safety and Soundness to implement the safety and soundness standards required under federal law. The guidelines set forth the safety and soundness standards that the federal banking agencies use to identify and address problems at insured depository institutions before capital becomes impaired. If the appropriate federal banking agency determines that an institution fails to meet any standard prescribed by the guidelines, the agency may require the institution to submit to the agency an acceptable plan to achieve compliance with the standard. If an institution fails to meet these standards, the appropriate federal banking agency may require the institution to submit a compliance plan. On June 11, 2010, the OTS, the Association's former regulator, notified the Company that it had failed to develop appropriate credit administration and account management procedures and acceptable loss mitigation processes to correspond with the growth in its home equity line of credit portfolio. The OTS concluded that these procedural deficiencies constituted an unsafe and unsound condition and directed the Company, as well as the Association and Third Federal Savings, MHC, not to declare or pay any cash dividends or other capital distributions or purchase, repurchase or redeem or commit to purchase, repurchase or redeem any of the Company's equity stock without providing 45 days prior written notice to the Regional Director of the OTS and receiving the Regional Director's written non-objection. Further, the OTS advised the Company that until the Association satisfactorily addressed the problems associated with the home equity line of

credit portfolio, the OTS would remove the Association from the FDIC's prospective bidders list. Effective April 1, 2014 the last of the regulator's concerns have been resolved, and the restrictions related to capital deployments by the Company, as described above, have been terminated.

Prompt Corrective Action Regulations. Under the prompt corrective action regulations, the OCC is required and authorized to take supervisory actions against undercapitalized savings associations. For this purpose, a savings association is placed in one of the following five categories based on the savings association's capital:  
well-capitalized (at least 5% leverage capital, 6% Tier 1 risk-based capital and 10% total risk-based capital, and is not subject to any written agreement, order, capital directive or prompt corrective action directive issued under certain statutes and regulations, to maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure);

Table of Contents

adequately capitalized (at least 4% leverage capital (3% for savings banks with a composite examination rating of 1), 4% Tier 1 risk-based capital and 8% total risk-based capital);

undercapitalized (less than 4% leverage capital (3% for savings banks with a composite examination rating of 1), 4% Tier 1 risk-based capital or 8% total risk-based capital);

significantly undercapitalized (less than 3% leverage capital, 3% Tier 1 risk-based capital or 6% total risk-based capital); and

critically undercapitalized (less than 2% tangible capital).

The recent final rule that will strengthen regulatory capital requirements will adjust the prompt corrective actions categories accordingly.

Generally, the banking regulator is required to appoint a receiver or conservator for a savings association that is “critically undercapitalized” within specific time frames. The regulations also provide that a capital restoration plan must be filed with the OCC within 45 days of the date a savings association receives notice that it is “undercapitalized,” “significantly undercapitalized” or “critically undercapitalized.” The criteria for an acceptable capital restoration plan include, among other things, the establishment of the methodology and assumptions for attaining adequately capitalized status on an annual basis, procedures for ensuring compliance with restrictions imposed by applicable federal regulations, the identification of the types and levels of activities the savings association will engage in while the capital restoration plan is in effect, and assurances that the capital restoration plan will not appreciably increase the current risk profile of the savings association. Any holding company for a savings association required to submit a capital restoration plan must guarantee the lesser of an amount equal to 5% of the savings association’s assets at the time it was notified or deemed to be undercapitalized by the OCC, or the amount necessary to restore the savings association to adequately capitalized status. This guarantee remains in place until the OCC notifies the savings association that it has maintained adequately capitalized status for each of four consecutive calendar quarters, and the OCC has the authority to require payment and collect payment under the guarantee. Failure by a holding company to provide the required guarantee will result in certain operating restrictions on the savings association, such as restrictions on the ability to declare and pay dividends, pay executive compensation and management fees, and increase assets or expand operations. The OCC may also take any one of a number of discretionary supervisory actions against undercapitalized associations, including the issuance of a capital directive and the replacement of senior executive officers and directors.

As of September 30, 2014 the Association exceeded all regulatory requirements to be considered “Well Capitalized” as presented in the table below (dollar amounts in thousands).

|  | Actual       |       |   | Required (Well Capitalized) |       |   |
|--|--------------|-------|---|-----------------------------|-------|---|
|  | Amount       | Ratio |   | Amount                      | Ratio |   |
| Total Capital to Risk Weighted Assets    | \$ 1,665,477 | 25.25 | % | \$ 659,627                  | 10.00 | % |
| Core Capital to Adjusted Tangible Assets | 1,584,115    | 13.47 | % | 588,141                     | 5.00  | % |
| Tier I Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets   | 1,584,115    | 24.02 | % | 395,776                     | 6.00  | % |

Insurance of Deposit Accounts. The DFA permanently increased the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor, retroactive to January 1, 2008. Also, under the DFA, noninterest-bearing checking accounts had unlimited deposit insurance through December 31, 2012.

Effective April 1, 2011, the FDIC implemented a requirement of the DFA to revise its assessment system to base the assessments on each institution’s total assets less Tier 1 capital, instead of deposits. The FDIC also revised its assessment schedule so that it ranges from 2.5 basis points for the least risky institutions to 45 basis points for the riskiest. Institutions with over \$10 billion of total assets, such as the Association, are classified for assessment purposes as “Large Institutions”, and unless otherwise classified, are subjected to a large institution pricing system that includes a separate “scorecard” methodology, also adopted in 2011.

Insurance of deposits may be terminated by the FDIC upon a finding that an institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC. The Association does not believe that it is taking, or is subject to, any action, condition or violation that could lead to termination of its deposit insurance.

All FDIC-insured institutions are required to pay a pro rata portion of the interest due on obligations issued by the Financing Corporation (“FICO”) for anticipated payments, issuance costs and custodial fees on bonds issued by the FICO in the 1980s to recapitalize the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation. The bonds issued by the FICO are due to mature

40

---



Table of Contents

in 2017 through 2019. For the quarter ended September 30, 2014, the annualized FICO assessment was equal to 0.62 basis points of total assets less Tier 1 capital. The DFA increased the minimum target ratio for the Deposit Insurance Fund from 1.15% of estimated insured deposits to 1.35% of estimated insured deposits. The FDIC must seek to achieve the 1.35% ratio by September 30, 2020. Insured institutions with assets of \$10 billion or more are supposed to fund the increase. The DFA eliminated the 1.5% maximum fund ratio, instead leaving the ratio to be set at the discretion of the FDIC. The FDIC has exercised that discretion by establishing a long-term fund ratio of 2%.

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, the Association paid \$605 thousand related to the FICO bonds and \$8.0 million, applicable to deposit insurance assessments. The deposit insurance payments are assessed on an arrears basis. At September 30, 2014, the balance of the Association's accrued deposit insurance assessment was \$2.0 million.

**Prohibitions Against Tying Arrangements.** Federal savings associations are prohibited, subject to some exceptions, from extending credit to or offering any other service, or fixing or varying the consideration for such extension of credit or service, on the condition that the customer obtain some additional service from the institution or its affiliates or not obtain services of a competitor of the institution.

**Federal Home Loan Bank System.** The Association is a member of the FHLB System, which consists of 12 regional FHLBs. The FHLB System provides a central credit facility primarily for member institutions. As a member of the FHLB of Cincinnati, the Association is required to acquire and hold shares of capital stock in the FHLB.

As of September 30, 2014, outstanding borrowings (including accrued interest) from the FHLB of Cincinnati were \$1.14 billion and the Association was in compliance with the stock investment requirement.

**Other Regulations**

Interest and other charges collected or contracted for by the Association are subject to state usury laws and federal laws concerning interest rates. The Association's operations are also subject to federal laws applicable to credit transactions, such as the:

- Truth-In-Lending Act, governing disclosures of credit terms to consumer borrowers;
- Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, requiring financial institutions to provide information to enable the public and public officials to determine whether a financial institution is fulfilling its obligation to help meet the housing needs of the community it serves;
- Equal Credit Opportunity Act, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, creed or other prohibited factors in extending credit;
- Fair Credit Reporting Act, governing the use and provision of information to credit reporting agencies;
- Fair Debt Collection Act, governing the manner in which consumer debts may be collected by collection agencies; and
- rules and regulations of the various federal agencies charged with the responsibility of implementing such federal laws.

The operations of the Association also are subject to:

- The Right to Financial Privacy Act, which imposes a duty to maintain confidentiality of consumer financial records and prescribes procedures for complying with administrative subpoenas of financial records;
- The Electronic Funds Transfer Act and Regulation E promulgated thereunder, which govern automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers' rights and liabilities arising from the use of automated teller machines and other electronic banking services;
- The Check Clearing for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Act (also known as "Check 21"), which gives "substitute checks," such as digital check images and copies made from those images, the same legal standing as the original paper check;
- Title III of The Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (referred to as the "USA PATRIOT Act"), which significantly expanded the responsibilities of financial institutions, including savings associations, in preventing the use of the U.S. financial system to fund terrorist activities. Among other provisions, the USA PATRIOT Act and the related regulations of the OCC require savings associations operating in the United States to develop new anti-money laundering compliance programs, due diligence policies and controls to ensure the detection and reporting of money laundering. Such compliance programs are intended to supplement existing compliance requirements, also applicable to financial institutions, under the Bank Secrecy Act and the Office of Foreign Assets Control Regulations; and



## Table of Contents

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, which placed limitations on the sharing of consumer financial information by financial institutions with unaffiliated third parties. Specifically, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act requires all financial institutions offering financial products or services to retail customers to provide such customers with the financial institution's privacy policy and provide such customers the opportunity to "opt out" of the sharing of certain personal financial information with unaffiliated third parties.

### Holding Company Regulation

General. Third Federal Savings, MHC, and the Company are non-diversified savings and loan holding companies within the meaning of the Home Owners' Loan Act. As such, Third Federal Savings, MHC and the Company are registered with the FRS and subject to FRS regulations, examinations, supervision and reporting requirements. In addition, the FRS has enforcement authority over Third Federal Savings, MHC, the Company and the Association. Among other things, this authority permits the FRS to restrict or prohibit activities that are determined to be a serious risk to the Association. As federal corporations, Third Federal Savings, MHC and the Company are generally not subject to state business organization laws.

Permitted Activities. Pursuant to Section 10(o) of the Home Owners' Loan Act and FRS regulations, a mutual holding company, such as Third Federal Savings, MHC and its mid-tier companies, such as the Company, may, with appropriate regulatory approval, engage in the following activities:

- (i) investing in the stock of a savings association;
- (ii) acquiring a mutual association through the merger of such association into a savings association subsidiary of such holding company or an interim savings association subsidiary of such holding company;
- (iii) merging with or acquiring another holding company, one of whose subsidiaries is a savings association;
- (iv) investing in a corporation, the capital stock of which is available for purchase by a savings association under federal law or under the law of any state where the subsidiary savings association has its home offices;
- (v) furnishing or performing management services for a savings association subsidiary of such company;
- (vi) holding, managing or liquidating assets owned or acquired from a savings association subsidiary of such company;
- (vii) holding or managing properties used or occupied by a savings association subsidiary of such company;
- (viii) acting as trustee under deeds of trust;
- (ix) any other activity:

(A) that the FRS, by regulation, has determined to be permissible for bank holding companies under Section 4(c) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, unless the Director, by regulation, prohibits or limits any such activity for savings and loan holding companies; or

(B) in which multiple savings and loan holding companies were authorized (by regulation) to directly engage on March 5, 1987;

(x) if the savings and loan holding company meets the criteria to qualify as a financial holding company, any activity permissible for financial holding companies under Section 4(k) of the Bank Holding Company Act, including securities and insurance underwriting; and

(xi) purchasing, holding, or disposing of stock acquired in connection with a qualified stock issuance if the purchase of such stock by such savings and loan holding company is approved by the Director. If a mutual holding company acquires or merges with another holding company, the holding company acquired or the holding company resulting from such merger or acquisition may only invest in assets and engage in activities listed in (i) through (x) above, and has a period of two years to cease any nonconforming activities and divest any nonconforming investments.

The Home Owners' Loan Act prohibits a savings and loan holding company, including the Company, directly or indirectly, or through one or more subsidiaries, from acquiring more than 5% of another savings institution or holding company thereof, without prior written approval of the FRS. It also prohibits the acquisition or retention of, with certain exceptions, more than 5% of a non-subsidiary company engaged in activities other than those permitted by the Home Owners' Loan Act or acquiring or retaining control of an institution that is not federally insured. In evaluating applications by holding companies to acquire savings institutions, the FRS must consider the financial and managerial resources, future prospects of the company and institution involved, the effect of the acquisition on the risk to the federal deposit insurance fund, the convenience and needs of the community and competitive factors.



## Table of Contents

The FRS is prohibited from approving any acquisition that would result in a multiple savings and loan holding company controlling savings institutions in more than one state, subject to two exceptions:

- (i) the approval of interstate supervisory acquisitions by savings and loan holding companies; and
- (ii) the acquisition of a savings institution in another state if the laws of the state of the target savings institution specifically permit such acquisition.

The states vary in the extent to which they permit interstate savings and loan holding company acquisitions.

Capital. Savings and loan holding companies are not currently subject to specific regulatory capital requirements. The DFA, however, requires the FRS to promulgate consolidated capital requirements for depository institution holding companies that are no less stringent, both quantitatively and in terms of components of capital, than those applicable to depository institutions themselves. Instruments such as cumulative preferred stock and trust preferred securities will no longer be includable as Tier 1 capital, as is currently permitted for bank holding companies.

The previously discussed final rule regarding regulatory capital requirements implements the DFA's directive as to savings and loan holding companies. Consolidated regulatory capital requirements identical to those applicable to the subsidiary depository institutions will apply to savings and loan holding companies as of January 1, 2015. As is the case with institutions themselves, the capital conservation buffer will be phased in between 2016 and 2019.

Dividends and Repurchases. The FRS has issued a policy statement regarding the payment of dividends and the repurchase of shares of common stock by bank holding companies that it has made applicable to savings and loan holding companies as well. In general, the policy provides that dividends should be paid only out of current earnings and only if the prospective rate of earnings retention by the holding company appears consistent with the organization's capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. Regulatory guidance provides for prior regulatory review of capital distributions in certain circumstances such as where the company's net income for the past four quarters, net of dividends previously paid over that period, is insufficient to fully fund the dividend or the company's overall rate of earnings retention is inconsistent with the company's capital needs and overall financial condition. The ability of a holding company to pay dividends may be restricted if a subsidiary bank becomes undercapitalized. The policy statement also provides for regulatory review prior to a holding company redeeming or repurchasing regulatory capital instruments when the holding company is experiencing financial weaknesses or redeeming or repurchasing common stock or perpetual preferred stock that would result in a net reduction as of the end of a quarter in the amount of such equity instruments outstanding compared with the beginning of the quarter in which the redemption or repurchase occurred. These regulatory policies could affect the ability of the Company to pay dividends, repurchase shares of common stock or otherwise engage in capital distributions.

Source of Strength. The DFA has extended the "source of strength" doctrine, which has traditionally been applicable to bank holding companies, to savings and loan holding companies as well. The FRS has issued regulations requiring that all savings and loan holding companies serve as a source of strength to their subsidiary depository institutions by providing capital, liquidity and other support in times of financial stress.

Waivers of Dividends by Third Federal Savings, MHC. Federal regulations require Third Federal Savings, MHC to notify the FRS of any proposed waiver of its receipt of dividends from the Company. The OTS, the previous regulator for Third Federal Savings, MHC, allowed dividend waivers provided the mutual holding company's Board of Directors determined that the waiver was consistent with its fiduciary duties and the waiver would not be detrimental to the safety and soundness of its subsidiary institution. In February 2008, the Company declared its first quarterly dividend and continued to declare and pay quarterly dividends through May 2010, when the Company suspended future dividend payments until concerns expressed by OTS regarding the Association's home equity line of credit portfolio were satisfactorily resolved. Prior to the suspension of the dividends, Third Federal Savings, MHC waived its right to receive each dividend paid by the Company. Section 625(a) of DFA preserved, for mutual holding companies, including Third Federal Savings, MHC, that had reorganized into mutual holding company form, issued minority stock and waived dividends prior to December 1, 2009, the right to waive dividends if the waiver was not detrimental to the safe and sound operation of the savings association and the board of directors expressly determines that the waiver is consistent with the fiduciary duties of the board to the mutual members of the mutual holding company. However, on August 12, 2011, the FRS issued an interim final rule that added a requirement that a majority of the

mutual holding company's members eligible to vote must approve a dividend waiver by a mutual holding company within 12 months prior to the declaration of the dividend being waived. The FRS is reviewing comments on the interim final rule, which were required to be submitted by November 1, 2011, as part of its rulemaking process, and there can be no assurance that the final rule will not require such a member vote. On July 31, 2014, Third Federal Savings, MHC received the approval of its members (depositors and certain loan customers of the Association) with respect to the waiver of dividends, and subsequently received the non-objection of the FRB-Cleveland, to waive receipt of dividends on the Company's common stock the MHC owns up to \$0.28 per share during the 12 months ending July 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

Conversion of Third Federal Savings, MHC to Stock Form. Federal regulations permit Third Federal Savings, MHC to convert from the mutual form of organization to the capital stock form of organization (a “Conversion Transaction”). There can be no assurance when, if ever, a Conversion Transaction will occur, and the Board of Directors has no current intention or plan to undertake a Conversion Transaction. In a Conversion Transaction, a new stock holding company would be formed as the successor to the Company, Third Federal Savings, MHC’s corporate existence would end, and certain depositors of the Association would receive the right to subscribe for additional shares of common stock of the new holding company. In a Conversion Transaction, each share of common stock held by stockholders other than Third Federal Savings, MHC (“Minority Stockholders”) would be automatically converted into a number of shares of common stock of the new holding company determined pursuant to an exchange ratio that ensures that Minority Stockholders own the same percentage of common stock in the new holding company as they owned in the Company immediately prior to the Conversion Transaction. Under a provision of the DFA applicable to Third Federal Savings, MHC, Minority Stockholders should not be diluted because of any dividends waived by Third Federal Savings, MHC (and waived dividends should not be considered in determining an appropriate exchange ratio), in the event Third Federal Savings, MHC converts to stock form. Any such Conversion Transaction would require various member and stockholder approvals, as well as regulatory approval.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and related regulations address, among other issues, corporate governance, auditing and accounting, executive compensation, and enhanced and timely disclosure of corporate information. We have prepared policies, procedures and systems designed to ensure compliance with these regulations.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Future changes in interest rates could reduce our net income.

Our net income largely depends on our net interest income, which could be negatively affected by changes in interest rates. Net interest income is the difference between the interest income we earn on our interest-earning assets, such as loans and securities, and the interest we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings.

The vast majority of our assets and liabilities are financial in nature, and as a result, changes in market and competitive interest rates can impact our customers' actions as well as the types and amount of business opportunities that are available to us. In general, when changes occur in interest rates that prompt our existing customers to pursue strategies that are beneficial to them, the results are generally unfavorable for us.

For example, if mortgage interest rates decline, our customers may seek to refinance, without penalty, their mortgage loans with us or repay their mortgage loans with us and borrow from another lender. When that happens, either the yield that we earn on the customer's loan is reduced (if the customer refinances with us) or the mortgage is paid off and we are faced with the challenge of reinvesting the cash received to repay the mortgage in a lower interest rate environment. This is frequently referred to as reinvestment risk, which is the risk that we may not be able to reinvest the proceeds of loan prepayments at rates that are comparable to the rates we earned on the loans prior to receipt of the repayment. Reinvestment risk also exists with the securities in our investment portfolio that are backed by mortgage loans.

Another example of changes in interest rates that can have an unfavorable impact on our net interest income occurs in situations where interest rates paid on certificates of deposit experience a significant increase. In this circumstance, a CD customer may determine that it is in his/her best interest to incur the existing penalty for early withdrawal, tender the certificate for cash and either reinvest the proceeds in a new CD with us, or withdraw the funds and leave us. As a result, we either establish a new, higher rate certificate (if the customer stays with us) or we must fund the customer's withdrawal by: (1) reducing our cash reserves; (2) selling assets to generate cash to fund the withdrawal; (3) attracting deposits from another customer at the then-higher interest rate; or (4) borrowing from a wholesale lender like the FHLB of Cincinnati, again at the then-higher interest rate. Each of these alternatives can have an unfavorable impact on us.

Our net interest income can also be negatively impacted when assets and funding sources with seemingly similar, but not identical re-pricing characteristics react differently to changing interest rates. An example is our home equity lines of credit loan portfolio and our high yield checking and high yield savings deposit products. Interest rates charged on our home equity lines of credit loans are linked to the prime rate of interest, which generally adjusts in a direct relationship to changes in the FRS's Federal Funds target rate. Similarly, our High Yield Checking and High Yield Savings deposit products are generally expected to adjust when changes are made to the Federal Funds target rate.

However, to the extent that increases or decreases are made to the Federal Funds target rate, and those increases or decreases translate into increases or decreases of the prime rate and the rate charged on our home equity lines of credit loans, but do not extend to equivalent adjustments to our High Yield Checking and High Yield Savings deposit products, we can experience a reduction in our net interest income. At September 30, 2014, we held \$1.70 billion of home equity lines of credit loans and \$2.46 billion of High Yield Checking and High Yield Savings deposits.

Our net income can also be reduced by the impact that changes in interest rates can have on the value of our capitalized mortgage servicing rights. As of September 30, 2014, we serviced \$2.51 billion of loans sold to third parties, and the mortgage servicing rights associated with such loans had an amortized cost of \$11.7 million and an estimated fair value, at that date, of \$27.4 million. Because the estimated life and estimated income to be derived from servicing the underlying mortgage loans generally increase with rising interest rates and decrease with falling interest rates, the value of mortgage servicing rights generally increases as interest rates rise and decreases as interest rates fall. If interest rates fall and the value of our capitalized servicing rights decrease, we may be required to recognize an additional impairment charge against income for the amount by which amortized cost exceeds estimated fair market value.



In general, changes in market and competitive interest rates result from events that we do not control and over which we generally have little or no influence. As a result, mitigation of the adverse affects of changing interest rates is generally limited to controlling the composition of the assets and liabilities that we hold. To monitor our positions, we maintain an interest rate risk modeling system which is designed to measure our interest rate risk sensitivity. Using customized modeling software, the Association prepares periodic estimates of the amounts by which the net present value of its cash flows from assets, liabilities and off balance sheet items (the institution's economic value of equity) would change in the event of a range of assumed changes in market interest rates. The simulation model uses a discounted cash flow analysis and an option-based pricing

Table of Contents

approach in measuring the interest rate sensitivity of EVE. At September 30, 2014, in the event of an immediate 200 basis point increase in all interest rates, our model projects that we would experience a \$385.8 million, or 17.36%, decrease in EVE. Our calculations further project that, at September 30, 2014, in the event of an immediate 200 basis point increase in all interest rates, we would expect our projected net interest income for the twelve months ended September 30, 2015 to decrease by 2.2%. See “Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.” Historically low interest rates may adversely affect our net interest income and profitability.

During the past several years it has been the policy of the Board of Governors of the FRS to maintain interest rates at historically low levels through its targeted federal funds rate and the purchase of U.S. Treasury and mortgage-backed securities. As a result, market rates on the loans we have originated and the yields on securities we have purchased have been at lower levels than available prior to 2008. This has been a significant factor in the decrease in the amount of our interest income to \$374.7 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 from \$384.0 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 and from \$550.2 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008. As a general matter, our interest-bearing liabilities reprice or mature more quickly than our interest-earning assets, which has generally resulted in progressive increases in net interest income since 2008. However, because interest rates have been low for so long, our ability to further lower our interest expense may become increasingly difficult while the average yield on our interest-earning assets may continue to decrease. Accordingly, our net interest income (the difference between interest income earned on assets and interest expense paid on liabilities) may be adversely affected which may have an adverse effect on our profitability.

Our ability to reduce interest rate risk has been adversely affected by the fact that the Association has been restricted in selling long-term fixed-rate residential loans to Fannie Mae and we continue to experience decreases in the balance of our home equity line of credit portfolio.

Effective July 1, 2010, Fannie Mae, historically the Association’s primary loan investor, promulgated certain loan origination requirement changes affecting loan eligibility that, prior to May 2013, we did not adopt. Accordingly, the Association’s ability to reduce interest rate risk via our traditional sales of longer-term fixed-rate residential loans for those loans that were originated prior to May 2013, which were not originated under our revised Fannie Mae-conforming procedures, is limited unless and until Fannie Mae revises its loan eligibility standards. In the absence of such a revision by Fannie Mae, and except for certain specific circumstances as described below, sales of longer-term fixed-rate mortgage loans will be predominantly limited to those loans that have been originated in accordance with our revised (beginning in May 2013), Fannie Mae-conforming procedures or have established payment histories, strong borrower credit profiles, are supported by adequate collateral values and are acceptable to market participants other than Fannie Mae. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, we sold \$76.0 million of longer-term fixed-rate residential loans to Fannie Mae, compared to \$72.3 million and \$11.4 million during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Sales of longer-term fixed-rate mortgage loans to market participants other than Fannie Mae totaled \$148.7 million during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. During the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014 and 2012, all sales were to Fannie Mae and none were to other market participants.

Because the level of the Association's loan sales declined so significantly subsequent to fiscal 2010 (when loan sales totaled \$1.03 billion) and except for the increase during fiscal 2013, the Association is also generating less non-interest income. During the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we realized net gains on the sale of loans of \$2.0 million, \$8.3 million and \$688 thousand, respectively, compared to net gains on the sale of loans of \$25.3 million during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. The Association’s ability to reduce interest rate risk exposure may be further compromised as, between June 28, 2010 and March 20, 2012, due to the deterioration in overall housing conditions including concerns for loans and lines in a second lien position, home equity lines of credit and home equity loans were not offered by the Association. Beginning in March, 2012, the Association offered redesigned home equity lines of credit to qualifying existing home equity customers, subject to certain property and credit performance conditions. In February 2013 the Association further modified the product design and the terms included monthly principal and interest payments throughout the entire term. In April 2013 we extended the offer to both existing home equity customers and new consumers in Ohio, Florida and selected counties in Kentucky. Over the course of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, we expanded the home equity product offering to now include 21

states and the District of Columbia. These changes have had the effect of only slowing the pace of the reduction in the home equity lending portfolio. While we have been originating SmartRate adjustable-rate mortgages, since July 2010, which have improved interest rate characteristics as compared to long-term fixed-rate mortgages, the production volume of such loans may be insufficient to offset the increased interest rate risk resulting from the Association's restricted ability to sell fixed-rate loans that were originated prior to May 2013, to Fannie Mae.

## Table of Contents

Negative developments in the financial industry and the domestic and international credit markets may adversely affect our operations and results.

Since the latter half of 2007, negative developments in the global credit and securitization markets have resulted in uncertainty in the financial markets and a lingering, general economic downturn which contributed to deteriorated loan portfolio quality at many institutions, including the Company. In addition, the value of real estate collateral supporting many home mortgages has declined and may continue to decline. Bank and bank holding company stock prices were negatively affected, as was the ability of banks and bank holding companies to raise capital or borrow in the debt markets. These negative developments along with the turmoil and uncertainties that have accompanied them have heavily influenced the formulation and enactment of the DFA, along with its implications as described elsewhere in this Risk Factors section. In addition to the many future implementing rules and regulations of the DFA, the potential exists for other new federal or state laws and regulations regarding lending and funding practices and liquidity standards to be enacted. Bank regulatory agencies are expected to continue to be active in responding to concerns and trends identified in examinations. Negative developments in the financial industry and the domestic and international credit markets, and the impact of new legislation in response to those developments, may negatively impact our operations by increasing our costs, restricting our business operations, including our ability to originate or sell loans, and adversely impact our financial performance. In addition, these risks could affect the value of our loan portfolio as well as the value of our investment portfolio, which would also negatively affect our financial performance.

Difficult market conditions have already affected us and our industry and may continue to do so.

Our performance is significantly impacted by the general economic conditions in our primary markets in the states of Ohio and Florida, and surrounding areas, which have been severely affected by recent and persisting economic issues.

The continuation of difficult market conditions is likely to result in continued high levels of unemployment, which will further weaken an already distressed local economy and could result in additional defaults of mortgage loans. Most of the loans in our loan portfolio are secured by real estate located in our primary market areas. Negative conditions, such as layoffs, in the markets where collateral for a mortgage loan is located could adversely affect a borrower's ability to repay the loan and the value of the collateral securing the loan. Declines in the U.S. housing market during and in the aftermath of the 2008 financial crisis, as manifested by falling home prices and increasing foreclosures, as well as unemployment and under-employment, all negatively impacted the credit performance of mortgage loans and resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by financial institutions. These write-downs, initially of mortgage-backed securities but spreading to derivative and traditional securities, in turn, have caused many financial institutions to seek additional capital from private and government entities, to merge with larger and stronger financial institutions and, in some cases, fail. Our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected by recessionary or impaired recovery conditions that are longer or deeper than expected.

Reflecting concern about the stability of the financial markets generally, many lenders and institutional investors reduced or ceased providing funding to borrowers, including other financial institutions. This market turmoil and tightening of credit led to an increased level of commercial and consumer delinquencies, lack of consumer confidence, increased market volatility and widespread reduction of business activity generally. The resulting economic pressure on consumers and lack of confidence in the financial markets adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. While the economy has progressed on a tenuous road to recovery and we have seen improvements in the credit metrics in our mortgage portfolio, a relapse or worsening of the conditions associated with the 2008 financial crisis would likely exacerbate the adverse effects that those difficult market conditions had on us and others in the financial industry. In particular, we may face the following risks in connection with these events:

- We already face and we expect to continue to face increased regulation of our industry and compliance with such regulation that may increase our costs and limit our ability to pursue business opportunities.

- Our ability to assess the creditworthiness of our customers may be impaired if the models and approaches we use to select, manage, and underwrite our customers become less predictive of future behaviors.

- The processes we use to estimate losses inherent in our credit exposure require difficult, subjective, and complex judgments, including forecasts of economic conditions and how these economic predictions might impair the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans, which may no longer be capable of viable estimation and which may, in turn,

impact the reliability of the processes.

Our ability to engage in sales of mortgage loans to third parties (including mortgage loan securitization transactions with governmental entities) on favorable terms or at all could be adversely affected by further disruptions in the capital markets or other events, including deteriorating investor expectations.

• Competition in our industry could intensify as a result of increasing consolidation of financial services companies in connection with current market conditions.

## Table of Contents

If our allowance for loan losses is not sufficient to cover actual loan losses, our earnings and capital could decrease. We make various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of our loan portfolio, including the creditworthiness of our borrowers and the value of the real estate and other assets serving as collateral for the repayment of many of our loans. In determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses, we review our loans and our loss and delinquency experience, as well as the experience of other similarly situated institutions, and we evaluate other factors including, among other things, current economic conditions. If our assumptions are incorrect, or if delinquencies do not continue to improve or non-accrual and non-performing loans increase, our allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to cover losses inherent in our loan portfolio, which would require additions to our allowance. Material additions to our allowance would materially decrease our net income.

In addition, bank regulators periodically review our allowance for loan losses and, based on information available to them at the time of their review, may require us to increase our allowance for loan losses or recognize further loan charge-offs. An increase in our allowance for loan losses or loan charge-offs as required by these regulatory authorities may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Proposed and final regulations could restrict our ability to originate and sell loans.

The CFPB has issued a rule designed to clarify for lenders how they can avoid legal liability under the DFA, which would hold lenders accountable for ensuring a borrower's ability to repay a mortgage. Loans that meet this "qualified mortgage" definition will be presumed to have complied with the new ability-to-repay standard. Under the CFPB's rule, a "qualified mortgage" loan must not contain certain specified features, including:

- excessive upfront points and fees (those exceeding 3% of the total loan amount, less "bona fide discount points" for prime loans);

- interest-only payments;

- negative-amortization; and

- terms longer than 30 years.

Also, to qualify as a "qualified mortgage," a borrower's total monthly debt-to-income ratio may not exceed 43%. Lenders must also verify and document the income and financial resources relied upon to qualify the borrower for the loan and underwrite the loan based on a fully amortizing payment schedule and maximum interest rate during the first five years, taking into account all applicable taxes, insurance and assessments. The CFPB's rule on qualified mortgages could limit our ability or desire to make certain types of loans or loans to certain borrowers, or could make it more expensive and/or time consuming to make these loans, which could limit our growth or profitability.

In addition, the DFA requires the regulatory agencies to issue regulations that require securitizers of loans to retain not less than 5% of the credit risk for any asset that is not a "qualified residential mortgage." The regulatory agencies have issued a proposed rule to implement this requirement. The DFA provides that the definition of "qualified residential mortgage" can be no broader than the definition of "qualified mortgage" issued by the CFPB for purposes of its regulations (as described above). Although the final rule with respect to the retention of credit risk has not yet been issued, the final rule could have a significant effect on the secondary market for loans and the types of loans we originate, and restrict our ability to make loans.

Financial reform legislation enacted by Congress in 2010, among other things, eliminated the OTS, tightened capital standards, created the CFPB and resulted in new laws and regulations that are expected to increase our costs of operations.

Effective July 21, 2010, Congress enacted the DFA. This law significantly changed the bank regulatory structure and affected the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The DFA required various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new implementing rules and regulations, and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting the implementing rules and regulations, and consequently, many of the details and much of the ultimate impact of the DFA may not be known for many years.

Certain provisions of the DFA have already impacted the Company. For example, on July 21, 2011, the OTS, which was the primary federal regulator for the Company and the Association, was dissolved, and the OCC, which is the primary federal regulator for national banks, became the primary federal regulator for federal thrifts. Also on that date, the FRS assumed supervisory and regulatory responsibilities for all savings and loan holding companies that were

formerly regulated by the OTS, including the Company. Moreover, Third Federal Savings, MHC now requires the approval of the FRS before it may waive the receipt of any dividends from the Company, and there is no assurance that the FRS will approve future dividend

## Table of Contents

waivers or what conditions it may impose on such waivers. See the risk factor “—Financial reform legislation may have an adverse effect on our ability to pay dividends, which would adversely affect the value of our common stock.”

The DFA also broadens the base for FDIC insurance assessments. Assessments are based on the average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital of a financial institution. The DFA also permanently increased the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor.

The DFA requires publicly traded companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and so-called “golden parachute” payments. The legislation also directs the FRS to promulgate rules prohibiting excessive compensation paid to bank holding company executives, regardless of whether the company is publicly traded or not.

The DFA created a new CFPB with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The CFPB has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions, including the authority to prohibit “unfair, deceptive or abusive” acts and practices. The CFPB has examination and enforcement authority over all banks and savings institutions with more than \$10 billion in assets.

The DFA also weakens the federal preemption rules that have been applicable for national banks and federal savings associations, and gives state attorneys general the ability to enforce federal consumer protection laws.

It is not possible to predict at this time what specific impact the DFA and the future implementing rules and regulations will have on community banks. However, it is expected that at a minimum they will increase our operating and compliance costs and could increase our interest expense.

The short-term and long-term impact of the changing regulatory capital requirements and new capital rules is unknown.

In July, 2013, the FDIC and the FRS approved a new rule that will substantially amend the regulatory risk-based capital rules applicable to the Association, the Company and Third Federal Savings, MHC. The final rule implements the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (“Basel III”) regulatory capital reforms and changes required by the DFA. The final rule includes new minimum risk-based capital and leverage ratios, which will be effective for the Association, the Company and Third Federal Savings, MHC on January 1, 2015, and refines the definition of what constitutes “capital” for purposes of calculating these ratios. The new minimum capital requirements will be: (i) a new common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5%; (ii) a Tier 1 to risk-based assets capital ratio of 6% (increased from 4%); (iii) a total capital ratio of 8% (unchanged from current rules); and (iv) a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4%. The final rule also establishes a “capital conservation buffer” of 2.5% above the new regulatory minimum capital ratios, and when fully effective in 2019, will result in the following minimum ratios: (i) a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 7.0%, (ii) a Tier 1 to risk-based assets capital ratio of 8.5%, and (iii) a total capital ratio of 10.5%. The new capital conservation buffer requirement would be phased in beginning in January 2016 at 0.625% of risk-weighted assets and would increase each year until fully implemented in January 2019. An institution will be subject to limitations on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases, and paying discretionary bonuses if its capital level falls below the buffer amount. These limitations will establish a maximum percentage of eligible retained income that can be utilized for such activities.

The application of more stringent capital requirements for the Association, the Company and Third Federal Savings, MHC could, among other things, result in lower returns on equity, require the raising of additional capital, and result in regulatory actions such as the inability to pay dividends or repurchase shares if we were to be unable to comply with such requirements. Furthermore, the imposition of liquidity requirements in connection with the implementation of requirements of Basel III could result in our having to lengthen the term of our funding, restructure our business models, and/or increase our holdings of liquid assets. Implementation of changes to asset risk weightings for risk-based capital calculations, items included or deducted in calculating regulatory capital and/or additional capital conservation buffers could result in management modifying its business strategy.

Financial reform legislation may have an adverse effect on our ability to pay dividends, which would adversely affect the value of our common stock.

The value of the Company’s common stock is significantly affected by our ability to pay dividends to our public stockholders. The Company’s ability to pay dividends to our stockholders is subject to the availability of cash at the holding company and, in the event earnings are not sufficient to fund the dividends, eventually, the ability of the Association to make capital distributions to the Company. Moreover, our ability to pay dividends and the amount of



such dividends is affected by the ability of Third Federal Savings, MHC, our mutual holding company, to waive the receipt of dividends declared by the Company.

Table of Contents

Federal regulations require Third Federal Savings, MHC to notify the FRS of any proposed waiver of its receipt of dividends from the Company. The OTS, the previous regulator for Third Federal Savings, MHC, allowed dividend waivers provided the mutual holding company's Board of Directors determined that the waiver was consistent with its fiduciary duties and the waiver would not be detrimental to the safety and soundness of its subsidiary institution. In August 2011, the FRS issued an interim final rule pursuant to the DFA, providing that the FRS "may not" object to dividend waivers by grandfathered mutual holding companies, such as Third Federal Savings, MHC, under standards substantially similar to those previously required by the OTS. However, the interim final rule added a requirement that a majority of the mutual holding company's members eligible to vote must approve a dividend waiver by a mutual holding company within 12 months prior to the declaration of the dividend being waived. As part of its rulemaking process, the FRS is reviewing comments on the interim final rule and there can be no assurance that the final rule will not require such a member vote. Third Federal Savings, MHC received the approval of its members in July 2014 to waive the receipt of dividends for a twelve-month period.

Changes in laws and regulations and the cost of compliance with new laws and regulations may adversely affect our operations and our income.

We are subject to extensive regulation, supervision and examination by the FRS, the OCC, the CFPB and the FDIC. These regulatory authorities have extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities, including the ability to impose restrictions on a bank's operations, reclassify assets, determine the adequacy of a bank's allowance for loan losses and determine the level of deposit insurance premiums assessed. Because our business is highly regulated, the laws and applicable regulations are subject to frequent change. Any change in these regulations and oversight, whether in the form of regulatory policy, new regulations or legislation or additional deposit insurance premiums could have a material impact on our operations.

In response to the 2008 financial crisis, Congress has taken actions that are intended to strengthen confidence and encourage liquidity in financial institutions, and the FDIC has taken actions to increase insurance coverage on deposit accounts. In addition, there have been proposals made by members of Congress and others that would reduce the amount delinquent borrowers are otherwise contractually obligated to pay under their mortgage loans and limit an institution's ability to foreclose on mortgage collateral. Previously, a number of the largest mortgage lenders in the United States voluntarily imposed a temporary moratorium on all foreclosures due to document verification deficiencies.

The potential exists for additional federal or state laws and regulations, or changes in policy, affecting lending and funding practices and liquidity standards. Moreover, bank regulatory agencies have been active in responding to concerns and trends identified in examinations, and have issued many formal enforcement orders requiring capital ratios in excess of regulatory requirements. Bank regulatory agencies, such as the FRS, the OCC, the CFPB and the FDIC, govern the activities in which we may engage, primarily for the protection of depositors, and not for the protection or benefit of potential investors. In addition, new laws and regulations may increase our costs of regulatory compliance and of doing business, and otherwise affect our operations. New laws and regulations may significantly affect the markets in which we do business, the markets for and value of our loans and investments, the fees we can charge and our ongoing operations, costs and profitability.

Non-compliance with the USA PATRIOT Act, Bank Secrecy Act, or other laws and regulations could result in fines or sanctions.

The USA PATRIOT and Bank Secrecy Acts require financial institutions to develop programs to prevent financial institutions from being used for money laundering and terrorist activities. If such activities are detected, financial institutions are obligated to file suspicious activity reports with the U.S. Treasury's Office of Financial Crimes Enforcement Network. These rules require financial institutions to establish procedures for identifying and verifying the identity of customers seeking to open new financial accounts. Failure to comply with these regulations could result in fines or sanctions. During the last year, several banking institutions have received large fines for non-compliance with these laws and regulations. While we have developed policies and procedures designed to assist in compliance with these laws and regulations, these policies and procedures may not be effective in preventing violations of these laws and regulations.

Legal and regulatory proceedings and related matters could adversely affect us or the financial services industry in general.

We, and other participants in the financial services industry upon whom we rely to operate, have been and may in the future become involved in legal and regulatory proceedings. Most of the proceedings we consider to be in the normal course of our business or typical for the industry; however, it is inherently difficult to assess the outcome of these matters, and other participants in the financial services industry or we may not prevail in any proceeding or litigation. There could be substantial

## Table of Contents

cost and management diversion in such litigation and proceedings, and any adverse determination could have a materially adverse effect on our business, brand or image, or our financial condition and results of our operations. Loans originated through our Home Today program have higher delinquency rates than the remainder of our loan portfolio.

Prior to March 27, 2009, we offered loans through our Home Today program with our standard terms to borrowers who might not otherwise qualify for such loans. To qualify for our Home Today program, a borrower must complete financial management education and counseling and must be referred to us by a sponsoring organization with which we have partnered as part of the program and must meet a minimum credit score threshold. Because we applied less stringent underwriting and credit standards to these loans, loans originated under the Home Today program prior to March 27, 2009 have greater credit risk than traditional residential real estate mortgage loans. As of September 30, 2014, we had \$154.2 million of outstanding loans that were originated through our Home Today program, 16.8% of which were delinquent 30 days or more, compared to 0.6% for our portfolio of Core loans as of that date. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, we incurred gross charge-offs of \$7.6 million, (4.7% of the average balance of Home Today loans) on loans originated through our Home Today program, compared to \$16.2 million, (0.2% of the average balance of Core loans) of gross charge-offs for our Core portfolio. Effective March 27, 2009, the Home Today underwriting guidelines are substantially the same as those for our traditional mortgage product.

Declines in property values can increase the loan-to-value ratios on our residential mortgage loan portfolio, which could expose us to greater risk of loss.

Some of our residential mortgage loans are secured by liens on mortgage properties in which the borrowers have little or no equity because either we originated the loan with a relatively high combined loan-to-value ratio or because of the decline in home values in our market areas. Residential loans with high combined loan-to-value ratios will be more sensitive to declining property values than those with lower combined loan-to-value ratios and therefore may experience a higher incidence of default and severity of losses. In addition, if the borrowers sell their homes, such borrowers may be unable to repay their loans in full from the sale proceeds. As a result, these loans may experience higher rates of delinquencies, defaults and losses.

Changes in the valuation of our securities portfolio could hurt our profits.

Our securities portfolio may be impacted by fluctuations in market value, potentially reducing accumulated other comprehensive income and/or earnings. Fluctuations in market value may be caused by changes in market interest rates, lower market prices for securities and limited investor demand. Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment on a monthly basis, with more frequent evaluation for selected issues. In analyzing a debt issuer's financial condition, management considers whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred, industry analysts' reports and, to a lesser extent given the relatively insignificant levels of depreciation in our debt portfolio, spread differentials between the effective rates on instruments in the portfolio compared to risk-free rates. Changes in interest rates can also have an adverse effect on our financial condition, as our available-for-sale securities are reported at their estimated fair value, and therefore are impacted by fluctuations in interest rates. We increase or decrease our stockholders' equity by the amount of change in the estimated fair value of the available-for-sale securities, net of taxes. The declines in market value could result in other-than-temporary impairments of these assets, which would lead to accounting charges that could have a material adverse effect on our net income and capital levels.

Effective December 10, 2013, pursuant to the DFA, federal banking and securities regulators issued final rules to implement Section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Act (the "Volcker Rule"). Generally, subject to a transition period and certain exceptions, the Volcker Rule restricts insured depository institutions and their affiliated companies from engaging in short-term proprietary trading of certain securities, investing in funds with collateral comprised of less than 100% loans that are not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission and from engaging in hedging activities that do not hedge a specific identified risk. After the transition period, the Volcker Rule prohibitions and restrictions will apply to banking entities unless an exception applies. We are currently analyzing the impact of the Volcker Rule on our investment portfolio, and if any changes are required to our investment strategies that could negatively affect our earnings.

Hurricanes or other adverse weather events could negatively affect the economy in our Florida market area or cause disruptions to our branch office locations, which could have an adverse effect on our business or results of operations. A significant portion of our operations are conducted in the State of Florida, a geographic region with coastal areas that are susceptible to hurricanes and tropical storms. Such weather events can disrupt our operations, result in damage to our branch office locations and negatively affect the local economy in which we operate. We cannot predict whether or to what extent damage caused by future hurricanes or tropical storms will affect our operations or the economy in our market area, but

Table of Contents

such weather events could result in fewer loan originations and greater delinquencies, foreclosures or loan losses. These and other negative effects of future hurricanes or tropical storms may adversely affect our business or results of operations.

Strong competition within our market areas may limit our growth and profitability.

Competition in the banking and financial services industry is intense. In our market areas, we compete with commercial banks, savings institutions, mortgage brokerage firms, credit unions, finance companies, mutual funds, money market funds, insurance companies, and brokerage and investment banking firms operating locally and elsewhere. Some of our competitors have greater name recognition and market presence that benefit them in attracting business, and offer certain services that we do not or cannot provide. In addition, larger competitors may be able to price loans and deposits more aggressively than we do. Troubled financial institutions may significantly increase the interest rates paid to depositors in pursuit of retail deposits when wholesale funding sources are not available to them. Our profitability depends upon our continued ability to successfully compete in our market areas. For additional information see PART 1 Item 1. Business—THIRD FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION OF CLEVELAND—Competition.

Our information systems may experience an interruption or breach in security.

We rely heavily on communications and information systems to conduct our business. Any failure, interruption, or breach in security or operational integrity of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in our customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan, and other systems. While we have policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of the failure, interruption, or security breach of our information systems, we cannot assure you that any such failures, interruptions, or security breaches will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately addressed. The occurrence of any failures, interruptions, or security breaches of our information systems could damage our reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose us to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, we outsource selected portions of our data processing to certain third-party providers. If these third-party providers encounter difficulties, or if we have difficulty communicating with them, our ability to adequately process and account for transactions could be affected, and our business operations could be adversely affected. Threats to information security also exist in the processing of customer information through various other vendors and their personnel.

We have experienced no known material breaches.

Our stock value may be affected negatively by our mutual holding company structure.

Third Federal Savings, MHC, as our majority shareholder, is able to control the outcome of virtually all matters presented to our shareholders for their approval, including any proposal to acquire us. Accordingly, Third Federal Savings, MHC may prevent the sale of control or merger of the Company or its subsidiaries even if such a transaction were favored by a majority of the public shareholders of the Company.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

We operate from our main office in Cleveland, Ohio, our 38 full-service branch offices located in Ohio and Florida and our eight loan production offices located in Ohio. Our branch offices are located in the Ohio counties of Cuyahoga, Lake, Lorain, Medina and Summit and in the Florida counties of Broward, Collier, Hillsborough, Lee, Palm Beach, Pasco, Pinellas and Sarasota. Our loan production offices are located in the Ohio counties of Franklin, Butler, Delaware and Hamilton. The Company owns the building in which its home office and executive offices are located, and five other office locations. The net book value of our land, premises, equipment and software was \$56.4 million at September 30, 2014. Included in the net book value are two commercial buildings located in Canton, Massachusetts, valued at \$18.0 million, which are owned by our Hazelmere entity and leased to third parties in net lease transactions.



Table of Contents

## Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the resolution of these legal actions is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operation, or statements of cash flows.

## Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is listed and traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "TFSL". As of November 24, 2014, we had 8,135 shareholders of record, which number does not include persons or entities holding shares in "nominee" or "street" name through brokerage firms. Shares of our common stock began trading on April 23, 2007 following the completion of our initial public offering. Quarterly trading information for the periods indicated is provided by NASDAQ and included in the following table.

|                                  | Traded Market Prices |        |           |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------|-----------|
|                                  | High                 | Low    | Dividends |
| Quarter ended December 31, 2012  | \$9.62               | \$8.18 | —         |
| Quarter ended March 31, 2013     | 10.90                | 9.82   | —         |
| Quarter ended June 30, 2013      | 11.27                | 10.20  | —         |
| Quarter ended September 30, 2013 | 12.32                | 10.88  | —         |
| Quarter ended December 31, 2013  | 12.38                | 11.48  | —         |
| Quarter ended March 31, 2014     | 12.47                | 11.38  | —         |
| Quarter ended June 30, 2014      | 14.48                | 12.07  | —         |
| Quarter ended September 30, 2014 | 14.60                | 13.32  | 0.07      |

Payment of dividends is subject to declaration by our Board of Directors and is dependent on a number of factors, including:

- our capital requirements and, to the extent that funds for any such dividend are provided by the Association, the regulatory capital requirements imposed on the Association by the OCC;
- our financial position and results of operations;
- tax considerations;
- our alternative uses of funds;
- statutory and regulatory limitations; and
- general economic conditions.

In June 2010, and as subsequently reaffirmed on February 7, 2011, the Company, through an MOU, was directed not to declare or pay any cash dividend, purchase or redeem any common stock or make any other capital distribution without providing 45 days prior written notice to the Central Regional Director of the OTS and receiving the Regional Director's written non-objection. This restriction continued to apply when, under the provisions of DFA, primary supervisory responsibilities for the Company were transferred to the FRS.

On September 26, 2013, the Company announced that it had received the FRS's written non-objection to the resumption of its fourth stock repurchase plan that, at that time, had 2,156,250 shares of its outstanding common stock remaining to be purchased under the terms of the plan. Repurchases began on October 1, 2013 and were completed November 19, 2013. Although the Company had received a written non-objection with respect to its fourth stock repurchase plan, the Company's ability to extend its stock repurchase program and initiate the process required to pay a dividend remained subject to receipt of the FRS's written non-objection as specified in the MOU.





Table of Contents

On April 4, 2014, the Company announced it had received written notification that the FRB-Cleveland terminated the MOU with the Company and Third Federal Savings, MHC. As described below, on April 4, 2014, the Company also announced its fifth stock repurchase plan of 5,000,000 shares, and on September 9, 2014, the Company announced its sixth stock repurchase program of up to an additional 10,000,000 shares of its outstanding common stock.

Pursuant to IRS regulations, any payment of dividends by the Association to the Company that would be deemed to be drawn from the Association's bad debt reserves would require a payment of taxes at the then-current tax rate by the Association on the amount of earnings deemed to be removed from the reserves for such distribution. The Association does not intend to make any distribution to the Company that would create such a federal tax liability.

Through September 30, 2010, Third Federal Savings, MHC, waived its right to receive dividends. The waivers complied with regulatory authorizations (in the form of non-objection) obtained by Third Federal Savings, MHC. Requests for future regulatory authorizations to waive receipts of dividends will be submitted to the FRS. Please refer to the preceding discussion of dividend waivers presented in Part I, Item 1. Business, SUPERVISION AND REGULATION, Holding Company Regulation, sections—Dividends and Waivers of Dividends by Third Federal Savings, MHC. Regulatory non-objection is subject to periodic regulatory review and no assurances can be given regarding future regulatory non-objection. In addition, interim final rules issued by the FRS on August 12, 2011 require that a majority of the mutual holding company's members eligible to vote must approve a dividend waiver by a mutual holding company within 12 months prior to the declaration of the dividend being waived. As part of its rulemaking process, the FRS is reviewing comments on the interim final rule that were required to be submitted by November 1, 2011 and there can be no assurance that the final rule will not require such a member vote.

On July 31, 2014, at a special meeting of members of Third Federal Savings, MHC, the members of Third Federal Savings, MHC (depositors and certain loan customers of the Association) voted to approve Third Federal Savings, MHC's proposed waiver of dividends, aggregating up to \$0.28 per share, to be declared on the Company's common stock during the twelve months subsequent to the members' approval (i.e., through July 31, 2015). The members approved the waiver by casting 68% of the eligible votes in favor of the waiver. Of the votes cast, 97% were in favor of the proposal.

Following the receipt of the members' approval at the July 31, 2014 special meeting, Third Federal Savings, MHC filed a notice with, and subsequently received the non-objection of the FRB-Cleveland, to waive receipt of dividends on the Company's common stock Third Federal Savings, MHC owns up to \$0.28 per share during the 12 months ending July 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

In the table and graph that follow, we have provided summary information regarding the performance of the cumulative total return of our common stock from September 30, 2009 through September 30, 2014, relative to the cumulative total return on stocks included in the SNL Bank and Thrift Index, SNL Thrift Index and NASDAQ Composite, in each case for the same period. The cumulative return data is presented in dollars, based on starting investments of \$100 and assuming the reinvestment of dividends.

| Index (with base price at 9/30/2009) | Measurement Date |           |           |           |           |           |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                                      | 9/30/2009        | 9/30/2010 | 9/30/2011 | 9/30/2012 | 9/30/2013 | 9/30/2014 |
| TFS Financial Corporation            | 100.00           | 78.52     | 69.46     | 77.49     | 102.27    | 122.94    |
| SNL Bank and Thrift Index            | 100.00           | 91.25     | 72.31     | 102.17    | 132.91    | 156.65    |
| SNL Thrift Index                     | 100.00           | 99.87     | 84.68     | 110.04    | 132.48    | 146.12    |
| NASDAQ Composite                     | 100.00           | 112.74    | 116.12    | 151.70    | 186.60    | 225.17    |

We did not sell any securities during the quarter ended September 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our stock repurchase activity during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and the stock repurchase plans approved by our Board of Directors.

| Period                                       | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans (1)(2) | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| July 1, 2014 through July 31, 2014           | 664,600                          | 13.81                        | 664,600   | 1,191,350  |
| August 1, 2014 through August 31, 2014       | 773,400                          | 13.82                        | 773,400   | 417,950  |
| September 1, 2014 through September 30, 2014 | 1,032,000                        | 14.48                        | 1,032,000   | 9,385,950  |
|  | 2,470,000                        | 14.09                        | 2,470,000   |  |

1. On April 4, 2014, the Company announced its fifth stock repurchase plan of 5,000,000 shares. The repurchase plan commenced on April 9, 2014 and was completed on September 17, 2014.

2. On September 9, 2014, the Company announced its sixth stock repurchase program, which authorized the repurchase of up to an additional 10,000,000 shares of the Company's outstanding common stock. Purchases under the program will be on an ongoing basis and subject to the availability of stock, general market conditions, the trading price of the stock, alternative uses of capital, and our financial performance. Repurchased shares will be held as treasury stock and be available for general corporate use. The program has 9,385,950 shares yet to be purchased as of September 30, 2014.

## Item 6.

## Selected Financial Data

|                                      | At September 30, |              |              |              |              |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                                      | 2014             | 2013         | 2012         | 2011         | 2010         |
|                                      | (In thousands)   |              |              |              |              |
| Selected Financial Condition Data:   |                  |              |              |              |              |
| Total assets                         | \$11,803,195     | \$11,269,346 | \$11,518,125 | \$10,892,948 | \$11,076,027 |
| Cash and cash equivalents            | 181,403          | 285,996      | 308,262      | 294,846      | 743,740      |
| Investment securities:               |                  |              |              |              |              |
| Available for sale                   | 568,868          | 477,376      | 421,430      | 15,899       | 24,619       |
| Held to maturity                     | —                | —            | —            | 392,527      | 646,940      |
| Loans held for sale                  | 4,962            | 4,179        | 124,528      | —            | 25,027       |
| Loans, net                           | 10,630,687       | 10,084,066   | 10,224,989   | 9,750,943    | 9,181,749    |
| Bank owned life insurance            | 190,152          | 183,724      | 177,279      | 170,845      | 164,334      |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets(1) | 64,880           | 71,639       | 90,720       | 88,853       | 100,461      |
| Deposits                             | 8,653,878        | 8,464,499    | 8,981,419    | 8,715,910    | 8,851,941    |
| Borrowed funds                       | 1,138,639        | 745,117      | 488,191      | 139,856      | 70,158       |
| Shareholders' equity                 | 1,839,457        | 1,871,477    | 1,806,850    | 1,773,924    | 1,752,897    |

(1) Prepaid expenses and other assets include the remaining balance in prepaid FDIC assessments of \$12.1 million at September 30, 2012, \$23.4 million at September 30, 2011, and \$39.5 million at September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

|   | For the Years Ended September 30,        |           |           |           |           |
|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|   | 2014                                     | 2013      | 2012      | 2011      | 2010      |
|   | (In thousands, except per share amounts) |           |           |           |           |
| Selected Operating Data:                            |  |           |           |           |           |
| Interest income                                     | \$374,684                                | \$383,972 | \$417,853 | \$427,493 | \$437,891 |
| Interest expense                                    | 103,251                                  | 115,419   | 155,646   | 179,845   | 210,385   |
| Net interest income                                 | 271,433                                  | 268,553   | 262,207   | 247,648   | 227,506   |
| Provision for loan losses                           | 19,000                                   | 37,000    | 102,000   | 98,500    | 106,000   |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 252,433                                  | 231,553   | 160,207   | 149,148   | 121,506   |
| Non-interest income                                 | 21,900                                   | 28,468    | 24,463    | 30,982    | 58,638    |
| Non-interest expenses                               | 175,476                                  | 177,660   | 171,058   | 168,055   | 161,933   |
| Earnings before income tax expense                  | 98,857                                   | 82,361    | 13,612    | 12,075    | 18,211    |
| Income tax expense                                  | 32,966                                   | 26,402    | 2,133     | 2,735     | 6,873     |
| Net earnings after income tax expense               | \$65,891                                 | \$55,959  | \$11,479  | \$9,340   | \$11,338  |
| Earnings per share—basic and fully diluted          | \$0.22                                   | \$0.18    | \$0.04    | \$0.03    | \$0.04    |
| Cash dividends declared per share                   | \$0.07                                   | \$—       | \$—       | \$—       | \$0.21    |

Table of Contents

|   | At or For The Years Ended September 30, |          |          |          |          |   |
|---|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|---|
|   | 2014                                    | 2013     | 2012     | 2011     | 2010     |   |
| Selected Financial Ratios and Other Data:                               |   |          |          |          |          |   |
| Performance Ratios:   |   |          |          |          |          |   |
| Return on average assets  | 0.57                                    | % 0.50   | % 0.10   | % 0.09   | % 0.10   | % |
| Return on average equity  | 3.52                                    | % 3.05   | % 0.64   | % 0.53   | % 0.65   | % |
| Interest rate spread(1)   | 2.26                                    | % 2.25   | % 2.11   | % 1.97   | % 1.77   | % |
| Net interest margin(2)  | 2.42                                    | % 2.46   | % 2.39   | % 2.32   | % 2.16   | % |
| Efficiency ratio(3)   | 59.82                                   | % 59.81  | % 59.67  | % 60.31  | % 56.59  | % |
| Noninterest expense to average total assets                             | 1.53                                    | % 1.58   | % 1.52   | % 1.54   | % 1.50   | % |
| Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities | 118.51                                  | % 119.58 | % 119.60 | % 120.39 | % 119.70 | % |
| Dividend payout ratio(4)  | 31.82                                   | % —      | % —      | % —      | % 525.00 | % |
| Asset Quality Ratios:   |   |          |          |          |          |   |
| Non-performing assets as a percent of total assets(5)                   | 1.33                                    | % 1.58   | % 1.76   | % 2.34   | % 2.73   | % |
| Non-accruing loans as a percent of total loans(5)                       | 1.27                                    | % 1.53   | % 1.77   | % 2.37   | % 3.08   | % |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percent of non-accruing loans(5)         | 60.03                                   | % 59.38  | % 55.03  | % 66.73  | % 46.49  | % |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans(5)                | 0.76                                    | % 0.91   | % 0.97   | % 1.58   | % 1.43   | % |
| Capital Ratios:   |   |          |          |          |          |   |
| Association   |   |          |          |          |          |   |
| Total risk-based capital (to risk weighted assets)(6)                   | 25.25                                   | % 26.16  | % 22.19  | % 22.29  | % 19.17  | % |
| Tier 1 core capital (to adjusted tangible assets)                       | 13.47                                   | % 14.18  | % 13.31  | % 13.90  | % 12.14  | % |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital (to risk weighted assets)(6)                  | 24.02                                   | % 24.91  | % 20.94  | % 21.04  | % 18.00  | % |
| TFS Financial Corporation(7)  |   |          |          |          |          |   |
| Total risk-based capital (to risk weighted assets)(6)                   | 29.00                                   | % 29.11  | % 25.03  | % NA     | NA       |   |
| Tier 1 core capital (to adjusted tangible assets)                       | 15.60                                   | % 16.59  | % 15.33  | % NA     | NA       |   |
| Tangible capital (to tangible assets)                                   | 15.60                                   | % 16.59  | % 15.33  | % NA     | NA       |   |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital (to risk weighted assets)(6)                  | 27.77                                   | % 30.36  | % 23.78  | % NA     | NA       |   |
| Average equity to average total assets                                  | 16.28                                   | % 16.38  | % 16.00  | % 16.07  | % 16.19  | % |
| Other Data:   |   |          |          |          |          |   |
| Association   |   |          |          |          |          |   |
| Number of full service offices  | 38                                      | 38       | 39       | 39       | 39       |   |
| Loan production offices   | 8                                       | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        |   |

(1) Represents the difference between the weighted-average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted-average cost of interest-bearing liabilities for the year.

(2) The net interest margin represents net interest income as a percent of average interest-earning assets for the year.

(3) The efficiency ratio represents non-interest expense divided by the sum of net interest income and non-interest income.

Represents dividends paid per share divided by diluted earnings per share. Receipt of dividends on shares owned (4) by Third Federal Savings, MHC has been waived and dividends paid on unallocated shares of the ESOP are used to pay down the loan to the ESOP.

(5) The SVA was eliminated during fiscal year 2012.

The Association and TFS Financial Corporation risk-based capital ratios for 2013 reflect amended amounts related (6) to the lower risk-weighting, conversion factor that is applied to unfunded commitments of home equity lines of credit that are subjected to effective account management procedures.

(7) TFS Financial Corporation capital ratios were not calculated prior to 2012.



Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

Overview

Our business strategy is to operate as a well-capitalized and profitable financial institution dedicated to providing exceptional personal service to our customers.

Since being organized in 1938, we grew to become, at the time of our initial public offering of stock in April 2007, the nation's largest mutually-owned savings and loan association based on total assets. We credit our success to our continued emphasis on our primary values: "Love, Trust, Respect, and a Commitment to Excellence, along with Having Fun." Our values are reflected in the design and pricing of our loan and deposit products, and historically, in our Home Today program, as described below. Our values are further reflected in the Broadway Redevelopment Initiative (a long-term revitalization program encompassing the three-mile corridor of the Broadway-Slavic Village neighborhood in Cleveland, Ohio where our main office was established and continues to be located) and the educational programs we have established and/or supported. We intend to continue to adhere to our primary values and to support our customers and the communities in which we operate.

In connection with the financial crisis of 2008 and its subsequent turmoil, regionally high unemployment, weak residential real estate values, less than robust capital and credit markets, and a general lack of confidence in the financial services sector of the economy presented significant challenges for us. Since the latter portion of calendar 2012 however, improving regional employment levels, recovering residential real estate values, recovering capital and credit markets and greater confidence in the financial services sector have resulted in better credit metrics and improved operating results for us.

Management believes that the following matters are those most critical to our success: (1) controlling our interest rate risk exposure; (2) monitoring and limiting our credit risk; (3) maintaining access to adequate liquidity and diverse funding sources; and (4) monitoring and controlling operating expenses.

Controlling Our Interest Rate Risk Exposure. Although housing and credit quality issues have had and, to a lesser extent, continue to have a negative effect on our operating results and, as described below, are certainly a matter of significant concern for us, historically our greatest risk has been our exposure to changes in interest rates. When we hold long-term, fixed-rate assets, funded by liabilities with shorter re-pricing characteristics, we are exposed to potentially adverse impacts from rising interest rates. Generally, and particularly over extended periods of time that encompass full economic cycles, interest rates associated with longer-term assets, like fixed-rate mortgages, have been higher than interest rates associated with shorter-term funding sources, like deposits. This difference has been an important component of our net interest income and is fundamental to our operations. We manage the risk of holding long-term, fixed-rate mortgage assets primarily by maintaining high levels of Tier 1/Core capital and by promoting adjustable-rate loans and shorter-term, fixed-rate loans.

High Levels of Tier 1/Core Capital

At September 30, 2014, the Company's Tier1/Core capital totaled \$1.84 billion or 15.60% of adjusted tangible assets and 27.77% of risk-weighted assets, while the Association's Tier1/Core capital totaled \$1.58 billion or 13.47% of adjusted tangible assets and 24.02% of risk-weighted assets. Each of these measures were more than twice the minimum requirements currently in effect for the Association, and applicable to the Company in the future, for designation as "well capitalized" under regulatory prompt corrective action provisions which set minimum levels of 5.00% of adjusted tangible assets and 6.00% of risk-weighted assets.

Promotion of Adjustable-Rate Loans and Shorter-Term, Fixed-Rate Loans

In July 2010 we began marketing an adjustable-rate mortgage loan product that provides us with improved interest rate risk characteristics when compared to a 30-year, fixed-rate mortgage loan. Since its introduction, the "Smart Rate" adjustable rate mortgage has offered borrowers an interest rate lower than that of a 30-year, fixed-rate loan. The interest rate in the Smart Rate mortgage is locked for three or five years then resets annually after that. It contains a feature to re-lock the rate an unlimited number of times at our then current interest rate and fee schedule, for another three or five years (which must be the same as the original lock period) without having to complete a full refinance transaction. Re-lock eligibility is subject to a satisfactory payment performance history by the borrower (never 60 days late, no 30-day delinquencies during the last twelve months, current at the time of re-lock, and no foreclosures or bankruptcies since the Smart Rate application was taken). In addition to a satisfactory payment history, re-lock



eligibility requires that the property continues to be the borrower's primary residence. The loan term cannot be extended in connection with a re-lock nor can new funds be advanced. All interest rate caps and floors remain as originated.

Beginning in the latter portion of fiscal 2012, we began to feature a ten-year, fully amortizing fixed-rate first mortgage loan in our product promotions. The ten-year, fixed-rate loan has a less severe interest rate risk profile when compared to loans

Table of Contents

with fixed-rate terms of 15 to 30 years and helps us to more effectively manage our interest rate risk exposure, yet provides our borrowers with the certainty of a fixed interest rate throughout the life of the obligation. The following tables set forth our first mortgage loan production and balances segregated by loan structure at origination.

|   | For the Years Ended September 30, |         |                    |         |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
|   | 2014                              |         | 2013               |         |
|   | Amount                            | Percent | Amount             | Percent |
| First Mortgage Loan Originations:                           | (in thousands)                    |         |                    |         |
| ARM (all Smart Rate) production                             | \$834,262                         | 39.3 %  | \$968,134          | 44.2 %  |
| Fixed-rate production:                                      |                                   |         |                    |         |
| Terms less than or equal to 10 years                        | 841,036                           | 39.6    | 562,415            | 25.7    |
| Terms greater than 10 years                                 | 449,356                           | 21.1    | 657,593            | 30.1    |
| Total fixed-rate production                                 | 1,290,392                         | 60.7    | 1,220,008          | 55.8    |
| Total First Mortgage Loan Originations:                     | \$2,124,654                       | 100.0 % | \$2,188,142        | 100.0 % |
|   | September 30, 2014                |         | September 30, 2013 |         |
|   | Amount                            | Percent | Amount             | Percent |
| Balances of Residential Mortgage Loans Held For Investment: | (in thousands)                    |         |                    |         |
| ARM (primarily Smart Rate) Loans                            | \$3,453,067                       | 38.4 %  | \$3,185,909        | 38.4 %  |
| Fixed-rate Loans:   |                                   |         |                    |         |
| Terms less than or equal to 10 years                        | 1,494,206                         | 16.6    | 833,397            | 10.0    |
| Terms greater than 10 years                                 | 4,035,762                         | 45.0    | 4,277,558          | 51.6    |
| Total fixed-rate loans                                      | 5,529,968                         | 61.6    | 5,110,955          | 61.6    |
| Total Residential Mortgage Loans Held For Investment:       | \$8,983,035                       | 100.0 % | \$8,296,864        | 100.0 % |

The following table sets forth the balances as of September 30, 2014 for all ARM loans segregated by the next scheduled interest rate reset date.

|  | Current Balance of ARM Loans Scheduled for Interest Rate Reset |
|--|--|
|  | (in thousands)   |
| During the Fiscal Years Ending September 30, |  |
| 2015   | \$ 171,079   |
| 2016   | 328,137  |
| 2017   | 982,418  |
| 2018   | 1,048,613  |
| 2019   | 806,750  |
| 2020   | 116,070  |
| Total  | \$ 3,453,067   |

At September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, mortgage loans held for sale, all of which were long-term, fixed-rate first mortgage loans and all of which were held for sale to Fannie Mae, totaled \$5.0 million and \$4.2 million, respectively.

**Other Interest Rate Risk Management Tools**

In years prior to fiscal 2010, in addition to maintaining high levels of Tier1/Core capital, we also managed interest rate risk by actively selling long-term, fixed-rate mortgage loans in the secondary market, a strategy pursuant to which we were able to modulate the amount of long-term, fixed-rate loans held in our portfolio. Also prior to fiscal 2010, we actively marketed home equity lines of credit which carry an adjustable rate of interest indexed to the prime rate and provide interest rate



Table of Contents

sensitivity to that portion of our assets. In light of the economic and regulatory environments that existed between 2010 and 2012, neither of these strategies were utilized in managing our interest rate risk exposure. Beginning in March 2012, the Association began offering redesigned home equity lines of credit subject to certain property and credit performance conditions. Through these redesigned products, we plan to re-establish home equity line of credit lending as a meaningful strategy used to manage our interest rate risk profile. At September 30, 2014, home equity lines of credit totaled \$1.53 billion. Our home equity lending is discussed in the next section of this Overview - Monitoring and Limiting our Credit Risk, and in the preceding Lending Activities section of Item 1. Business in Part I.—THIRD FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION OF CLEVELAND.

While the sales of first mortgage loans and originations of new home equity lines of credit remain strategically important for us, since fiscal 2010, they currently play only minor roles in our management of interest rate risk. Loan sales are discussed later in this Part II, Item 7. under the heading Liquidity and Capital Resources, and in Part II, Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Notwithstanding our efforts to the contrary, should a rapid and substantial increase occur in general market interest rates, it is probable that, prospectively and particularly over a multi-year time horizon, the level of our net interest income would be adversely impacted.

**Monitoring and Limiting Our Credit Risk.** While, historically, we had been successful in limiting our credit risk exposure by generally imposing high credit standards with respect to lending, the confluence of unfavorable regional and macro-economic events that culminated in the 2008 housing market collapse and financial crisis, coupled with our pre-2010 expanded participation in the second lien mortgage lending markets, significantly refocused our attention with respect to credit risk. In response to the evolving economic landscape, we continuously revise and update our quarterly analysis and evaluation procedures, as needed, for each category of our lending with the objective of identifying and recognizing all appropriate credit impairments. At September 30, 2014, 90% of our assets consisted of residential real estate loans (both “held for sale” and “held for investment”) and home equity loans and lines of credit, which were originated predominantly to borrowers in the states of Ohio and Florida. Our analytic procedures and evaluations include specific reviews of all home equity loans and lines of credit that become 90 or more days past due, as well as specific reviews of all first mortgage loans that become 180 or more days past due. We transfer performing home equity lines of credit subordinate to first mortgages delinquent greater than 90 days to non-accrual status. We also charge-off performing loans to collateral value and classify those loans as non-accrual within 60 days of notification of all borrowers filing Chapter 7 bankruptcy, that have not reaffirmed or been dismissed, or upon discharge through Chapter 7 bankruptcy, regardless of how long the loans have been performing. Loans where at least one borrower has been discharged of their obligation in Chapter 7 bankruptcy, are classified as troubled debt restructurings. At September 30, 2014, \$54.9 million of loans in Chapter 7 bankruptcy status were included in total troubled debt restructurings. At September 30, 2014, the recorded investment in non-accrual status loans included \$49.0 million of performing loans in Chapter 7 bankruptcy status, of which \$46.2 million are also reported as TDRs. In response to the unfavorable regional and macro-economic environment that arose beginning in 2008, and in an effort to limit our credit risk exposure and improve the credit performance of new customers, we have tightened our credit eligibility criteria in evaluating a borrower’s ability to successfully fulfill his or her repayment obligation and we have revised the design of many of our loan products to require higher borrower down-payments, limited the products available for condominiums, eliminated certain product features (such as interest-only adjustable-rate loans and loans above certain loan-to-value ratios), and suspended home equity lending products with the exception of bridge loans between June 2010 and March 2012. The delinquency level related to loan originations prior to 2009, compared to originations in 2009 and after, reflect the higher credit standards to which we have subjected all new originations. As of September 30, 2014, loans originated prior to 2009 had a balance of \$3.10 billion, of which \$84.1 million, or 2.7%, were delinquent, while loans originated in 2009 and after had a balance of \$7.62 billion, of which \$8.9 million, or 0.1%, were delinquent.

One aspect of our credit risk concern relates to high concentrations of our loans that are secured by residential real estate in specific states, such as Ohio and Florida, particularly in light of the difficulties that arose in connection with the 2008 housing crisis with respect to the real estate markets in those two states. At September 30, 2014, approximately 68.0% and 17.7% of the combined total of our residential, Core and construction loans held for

investment were secured by properties in Ohio and Florida, respectively. Our 30 or more days delinquency ratios on those loans in Ohio and Florida at September 30, 2014 were 0.5% and 1.0%, respectively. Our 30 or more days delinquency ratio for the Core portfolio as a whole was 0.6% at September 30, 2014. Also, at September 30, 2014, approximately 39.8% and 28.0% of our home equity loans and lines of credit were secured by properties in Ohio and Florida, respectively. Our 30 days or more delinquency ratios on those loans in Ohio and Florida at September 30, 2014 were 1.09% and 1.13%, respectively. Our 30 or more days delinquency ratio for the home equity loans and lines of credit portfolio as a whole at September 30, 2014 was 1.0%. While we focus our attention on, and are concerned with respect to the resolution of, all loan delinquencies, our highest concern relates to loans that are secured by properties in Florida. The “Allowance for Loan Losses” portion in the preceding Lending Activities section of Item 1. Business

Table of Contents

in Part I.—THIRD FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION OF CLEVELAND, provides extensive details regarding our loan portfolio composition, delinquency statistics, our methodology in evaluating our loan loss provisions and the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses. In an effort to moderate the concentration of our credit risk exposure in individual states, particularly Ohio and Florida, we have utilized direct mail marketing, our internet site and our customer service call center to extend our lending activities to other attractive geographic locations. Currently, in addition to Ohio and Florida, we are actively lending in 19 other states and the District of Columbia, and as a result of that activity, the concentration ratios of the combined total of our residential, Core and construction loans held for investment for Ohio and Florida, as disclosed earlier in this paragraph, have trended downward from their September 30, 2010 levels when the concentrations were 79.1% in Ohio and 19.0% in Florida. Of the total mortgage and equity loan originations for the years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, 31.9% and 16.9%, respectively, are secured by properties in states other than Ohio or Florida. Notwithstanding the modest reductions in geographic concentrations and in spite of recent improving credit metrics and reduced regional unemployment levels, Florida housing values remain depressed, and the breadth and sustainability of the economic recovery has slowed. Our residential Home Today loans are another area of credit risk concern. Although the recorded investment in these loans totaled \$152.0 million at September 30, 2014, and constituted only 1.5% of our total “held for investment” loan portfolio balance, these loans comprised 24.5% and 27.5% of our 90 days or greater delinquencies and our total delinquencies, respectively. At September 30, 2014, approximately 95.3% and 4.5% of our residential, Home Today loans were secured by properties in Ohio and Florida, respectively. At September 30, 2014, the percentages of those loans delinquent 30 days or more in Ohio and Florida were 16.6% and 21.9%, respectively. The disparity between the portfolio composition ratio and delinquency composition ratio reflects the nature of the Home Today loans. We do not offer, and have not offered, loan products frequently considered to be designed to target sub-prime borrowers containing features such as higher fees or higher rates, negative amortization, or low initial payment features with adjustable interest rates. Our Home Today loans, the majority of which were entered into with borrowers that had credit profiles that would not have otherwise qualified for our loan products due to deficient credit scores, generally contained the same features as loans offered to our Core borrowers. The overriding objective of our Home Today lending, just as it is with our Core lending, was to create successful homeowners. We have attempted to manage our Home Today credit risk by requiring that borrowers attend pre- and post-borrowing financial management education and counseling and that the borrowers be referred to us by a sponsoring organization with which we have partnered. Further, to manage the credit aspect of these loans, inasmuch as the majority of these buyers do not have sufficient funds for required down payments, many loans include private mortgage insurance. At September 30, 2014, 41.9% of Home Today loans included private mortgage insurance coverage. From a peak recorded investment of \$306.6 million at December 31, 2007, the total recorded investment of the Home Today portfolio has declined to \$152.0 million at September 30, 2014. This trend generally reflects the evolving conditions in the mortgage real estate market and the tightening of standards imposed by issuers of private mortgage insurance. As part of our effort to manage credit risk, effective March 27, 2009, the Home Today underwriting guidelines were revised to be substantially the same as our traditional mortgage product. At September 30, 2014, the recorded investment in Home Today loans originated subsequent to March 27, 2009 was \$2.5 million. Unless private mortgage insurance requirements loosen, among other things, we expect the Home Today portfolio to continue to decline in balance due to contractual amortization.

**Maintaining Access to Adequate Liquidity and Diverse Funding Sources.** For most insured depositories, customer and community confidence are critical to their ability to maintain access to adequate liquidity and to conduct business in an orderly fashion. The Company believes that maintaining high levels of capital is one of the most important factors in nurturing customer and community confidence. Accordingly, we have managed the pace of our growth in a manner that reflects our emphasis on high capital levels. At September 30, 2014, the Association’s ratio of core capital to adjusted tangible assets (a basic industry measure under which 5.00% is deemed to represent a “well capitalized” status) was 13.47%. We expect to continue to remain a well capitalized institution.

In managing its level of liquidity, the Company monitors available funding sources, which include attracting new deposits (including brokered CDs), borrowing from others, the conversion of assets to cash and the generation of funds through profitable operations. The Company has traditionally relied on retail deposits as its primary means in meeting its funding needs. At September 30, 2014, deposits totaled \$8.65 billion (including \$356.7 million of

brokered CDs), while borrowings totaled \$1.14 billion and borrowers' advances and servicing escrows totaled \$130.9 million, combined. In evaluating funding sources, we consider many factors, including cost, duration, current availability, expected sustainability, impact on operations and capital levels.

To attract deposits, we offer our customers attractive rates of return on our deposit products. Our deposit products typically offer rates that are highly competitive with the rates on similar products offered by other financial institutions. We intend to continue this practice, subject to market conditions.

We preserve the availability of alternative funding sources through various mechanisms. First, by maintaining high capital levels, we retain the flexibility to increase our balance sheet size without jeopardizing our capital adequacy. Effectively, this permits us to increase the rates that we offer on our deposit products thereby attracting more potential customers. Second,

Table of Contents

we pledge available real estate mortgage loans and investment securities with the FHLB of Cincinnati and the FRB-Cleveland. At September 30, 2014 these collateral pledge support arrangements provide the ability to immediately borrow an additional \$32.1 million from the FHLB of Cincinnati and \$147.6 million from the FRB-Cleveland Discount Window. From the perspective of collateral value securing FHLB of Cincinnati advances, our capacity limit for additional borrowings beyond the immediately available limits at September 30, 2014 was \$4.70 billion, subject to satisfaction of the FHLB of Cincinnati common stock ownership requirement. To satisfy the common stock ownership requirement we would need to increase our ownership of FHLB of Cincinnati common stock by an additional \$94.1 million. Third, we invest in high quality marketable securities that exhibit limited market price variability, and to the extent that they are not needed as collateral for borrowings, can be sold in the institutional market and converted to cash. At September 30, 2014, our investment securities portfolio totaled \$568.9 million. Finally, cash flows from operating activities have been a regular source of funds. During the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, cash flows from operations totaled \$103.5 million and \$140.8 million, respectively. Historically, a portion of the residential first mortgage loans that we originated were considered to be highly liquid as they were eligible for delivery/sale to Fannie Mae. However, due to delivery requirement changes imposed by Fannie Mae during and subsequent to the 2008 financial crisis, effective July 1, 2010, that was no longer an available source of liquidity. In response to Fannie Mae's delivery requirement changes, during fiscal 2013 we took the following measures: (1) we completed \$276.9 million of non-agency eligible, whole loan sales, all on a servicing retained basis; and (2) we implemented certain loan origination changes required by Fannie Mae which resulted in our November 15, 2013 reinstatement as an approved seller to Fannie Mae. The non-agency sales, which included both fixed-rate and Smart Rate loans, demonstrated that, with adequate lead time, the majority of our residential, first mortgage loan portfolio could be available for liquidity management purposes. Also, implementation of the loan origination changes required by Fannie Mae, to which a portion of our loan production will be subjected, elevates the level of liquidity available for those loans. At September 30, 2014, \$5.0 million of agency eligible, long-term, fixed-rate HARP II first mortgage loans were classified as "held for sale". During the twelve months ended September 30, 2014, \$27.6 million of agency-compliant HARP II loans and \$48.5 million of long-term, fixed-rate, agency-compliant, non-HARP II first mortgage loans were sold to Fannie Mae.

Overall, while customer and community confidence can never be assured, the Company believes that our liquidity is adequate and that we have adequate access to alternative funding sources.

**Monitoring and Controlling Operating Expenses.** We continue to focus on managing operating expenses. Our ratio of non-interest expense to average assets was 1.53% for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 and 1.58% for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. As of September 30, 2014, our average assets per full-time employee and our average deposits per full-time employee were \$11.9 million and \$8.7 million, respectively. We believe that each of these measures compares favorably with the averages for our peer group. Our average deposits held at our branch offices (\$227.7 million per branch office as of September 30, 2014) contribute to our expense management efforts by limiting the overhead costs of serving our deposit customers. We will continue our efforts to control operating expenses as we grow our business.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that involve significant judgments and uncertainties, and could potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. We believe that the most critical accounting policies upon which our financial condition and results of operations depend, and which involve the most complex subjective decisions or assessments, are our policies with respect to our allowance for loan losses, mortgage servicing rights, income taxes, pension benefits and stock-based compensation.

**Allowance for Loan Losses.** The allowance for loan losses is the amount estimated by management as necessary to absorb credit losses incurred in the loan portfolio that are both probable and reasonably estimable at the balance sheet date. The amount of the allowance is based on significant estimates and the ultimate losses may vary from such estimates as more information becomes available or conditions change. The methodology for determining the allowance for loan losses is considered a critical accounting policy by management due to the high degree of judgment involved, the subjectivity of the assumptions used and the potential for changes in the economic environment that could result in changes to the amount of the recorded allowance for loan losses. At September 30,



2014, the allowance for loan losses was \$81.4 million or 0.76% of total loans. An increase or decrease of 10% in the allowance at September 30, 2014 would result in a \$8.1 million charge or credit, respectively, to income before income taxes.

As a substantial percentage of our loan portfolio is collateralized by real estate, appraisals of the underlying value of property securing loans are critical in determining the charge-offs for specific loans. Assumptions are instrumental in determining the value of properties. Overly optimistic assumptions or negative changes to assumptions could significantly affect the valuation of a property securing a loan and the related allowance determined. Management carefully reviews the

Table of Contents

assumptions supporting such appraisals to determine that the resulting values reasonably reflect amounts realizable on the related loans.

Management performs a quarterly evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. We consider a variety of factors in establishing this estimate including, but not limited to, current economic conditions, delinquency statistics, geographic concentrations, the adequacy of the underlying collateral, the financial strength of the borrower, results of internal loan reviews and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates by management that may be susceptible to significant change based on changes in economic and real estate market conditions.

Historically, the evaluation has been comprised of a specific component and a general component. The specific component relates to loans that are delinquent or otherwise identified as a problem loan through the application of our loan review process and our loan grading system. All such loans are evaluated individually, with principal consideration given to the value of the collateral securing the loan. Through September 30, 2011, SVAs were established as required by this analysis and charge-offs, when necessary, were recorded when the loan was resolved through deed in lieu, foreclosure or short sales. In September 2011, a portion of the SVA was reclassified as IVA. This portion represented the allowance on individually reviewed loans dependent on cash flows, such as performing TDRs, and a portion of the allowance on loans that represented further deterioration in the fair value not supported by an appraisal. During the quarter ended December 31, 2011, the SVA (exclusive of the reclassified IVA) was charged-off. This one-time charge-off of SVAs, which was \$55.5 million at September 30, 2011, was recorded by the Company in connection with the adoption of the OCC's prescribed methodology regarding loan impairments. Refer to the Allowance for Loan Losses section in PART I, Item 1, Business—THIRD FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION OF CLEVELAND—Lending Activities, for additional details. Additionally, effective September 30, 2012, pursuant to OCC issued guidance, \$15.8 million of performing loans, where all borrowers have been discharged of their obligation through Chapter 7 bankruptcy procedures, were charged-off. The general component of the evaluation is determined by segregating the remaining loans by type of loan, risk weighting (if applicable) and payment history. We also analyze historical loss experience, delinquency trends, general economic conditions and geographic concentrations. Quantitative loss factors used in determining an appropriate allowance level are supplemented by more qualitative factors that impact potential losses. Qualitative factors include various market conditions, such as collateral values and unemployment rates. This analysis establishes factors that are applied to the loan groups to determine the amount of the general component of the allowance for loan losses.

Actual loan losses may be significantly more than the allowances we have established, which would have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

**Mortgage Servicing Rights.** Mortgage servicing rights represent the present value of the estimated future net servicing fees expected to be received pursuant to the right to service loans that are in our loan servicing portfolio but are owned by others. Mortgage servicing rights are recognized as assets for both purchased rights and for the allocated value of retained servicing rights on loans sold. The most critical accounting policy associated with mortgage servicing is the methodology used to determine the fair value of capitalized mortgage servicing rights. A number of estimates affect the capitalized value and include: (1) the mortgage loan prepayment speed assumption; (2) the estimated prospective cost expected to be incurred in connection with servicing the mortgage loans; and (3) the discount factor used to compute the present value of the mortgage servicing right. The mortgage loan prepayment speed assumption is significantly affected by interest rates. In general, during periods of falling interest rates, mortgage loans prepay faster and the value of our mortgage servicing assets decreases. Conversely, during periods of rising rates, the value of mortgage servicing rights generally increases due to slower rates of prepayments. The estimated prospective cost expected to be incurred in connection with servicing the mortgage loans is deducted from the retained servicing fee (gross mortgage loan interest rate less amounts remitted to third parties – investor pass-through rate, guarantee fee, mortgage insurance fee, etc.) to determine the net servicing fee for purposes of capitalization computations. To the extent that prospective actual costs incurred to service the mortgage loans differ from the estimate, our future results will be adversely (or favorably) impacted. The discount factor selected to compute the present value of the servicing right reflects expected marketplace yield requirements.



Table of Contents

The amount and timing of mortgage servicing rights amortization is adjusted monthly based on actual results. In addition, on a quarterly basis, we perform a valuation review of mortgage servicing rights for potential decreases in value. This quarterly valuation review entails applying current assumptions to the portfolio classified by interest rates and, secondarily, by prepayment characteristics. At September 30, 2014, the capitalized value of our right to service \$2.51 billion of loans for others was \$11.7 million, or 0.46% of the serviced loan portfolio, and was based on an estimated weighted-average life of 5.0 years. Activity in the balance of mortgage servicing rights is summarized as follows:

|   | Year Ended September 30, 2014 |           |           |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|   | Mortgage                      | Valuation |           |
|   | Servicing Asset               | Allowance | Net       |
| Balance - beginning of period             | \$ 14,074                     | \$—       | \$ 14,074 |
| Additions from loan securitizations/sales | 396                           |           | 396       |
| Amortization                              | (2,801 )                      |           | (2,801 )  |
| Net change in valuation allowance         |                               | —         | —         |
| Balance - end of period                   | \$ 11,669                     | \$—       | \$ 11,669 |
| Fair value of capitalized amounts         |                               |           | \$ 27,417 |

|   | Year Ended September 30, 2013 |           |           |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|   | Mortgage                      | Valuation |           |
|   | Servicing Asset               | Allowance | Net       |
| Balance - beginning of period             | \$ 19,613                     | \$—       | \$ 19,613 |
| Additions from loan securitizations/sales | 1,089                         |           | 1,089     |
| Amortization                              | (6,628 )                      |           | (6,628 )  |
| Net change in valuation allowance         |                               | —         | —         |
| Balance - end of period                   | \$ 14,074                     | \$—       | \$ 14,074 |
| Fair value of capitalized amounts         |                               |           | \$ 28,784 |

|   | Year Ended September 30, 2012 |           |           |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|   | Mortgage                      | Valuation |           |
|   | Servicing Asset               | Allowance | Net       |
| Balance - beginning of period             | \$ 28,919                     | \$—       | \$ 28,919 |
| Additions from loan securitizations/sales | 43                            |           | 43        |
| Amortization                              | (9,349 )                      |           | (9,349 )  |
| Net change in valuation allowance         |                               | —         | —         |
| Balance - end of period                   | \$ 19,613                     | \$—       | \$ 19,613 |
| Fair value of capitalized amounts         |                               |           | \$ 25,294 |

Table of Contents

At September 30, 2014, substantially all of the 26,918 loans serviced for Fannie Mae and others were performing in accordance with their contractual terms and management believes that it has no material repurchase obligations associated with these loans. The following tables summarize our repurchases and loss reimbursements to investors, charges related to default servicing non-compliance and compensatory fees incurred during the indicated periods. All transactions were related to loans serviced for Fannie Mae. There were no material repurchase or loss reimbursement requests outstanding at September 30, 2014. An accrual for \$1.1 million has been established for probable losses. On November 7, 2013, the Association entered into a resolution agreement with Fannie Mae pursuant to which, on November 14, 2013, the Association remitted \$3.1 million to Fannie Mae. The remittance amount included \$0.4 million related to outstanding mortgage insurance claim payments on 42 loans. Under the terms of the resolution agreement, Fannie Mae withdrew all outstanding repurchase and make-whole demands and generally waived its right to enforce future repurchase obligations with respect to all mortgage loans (approximately 23,400 active loans or loans with a remaining balance) that were originated by the Association between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2008 and delivered to Fannie Mae prior to January 1, 2009. The Association believes that by entering into this resolution agreement, a potentially large uncertainty with respect to future performance has been substantially reduced.

|   | For the Fiscal Years Ended September 30, |                            |                 |                            |                 |                            |                 |                            |                            |
|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
|   | 2014                                     |                            |                 | 2013                       |                 |                            | 2012            |                            |                            |
|   | Number of Loans                          | Losses or Charges Incurred | Number of Loans | Losses or Charges Incurred | Number of Loans | Losses or Charges Incurred | Number of Loans | Losses or Charges Incurred | Losses or Charges Incurred |
|   | (Dollars in thousands)                   |                            |                 |                            |                 |                            |                 |                            |                            |
| Repurchased loans:                                |  |                            |                 |                            |                 |                            |                 |                            |                            |
| Non-recourse, non-performing loans(1)             | —  | \$—                        | \$ —            | 6                          | \$ 1,138        | \$ 18                      | 22              | \$ 4,133                   | \$ 568                     |
| Recourse, non-performing loans(2)                 | —  | —                          | —               | —                          | —               | —                          | 3               | 86                         | 3                          |
| Non-recourse, performing loans(3)                 | —  | —                          | —               | 5                          | 779             | —                          | —               | —                          | —                          |
| Post-disposition file reviews (4)                 | 1  | —                          | 51              | 16                         | —               | 1,164                      | 24              | —                          | 1,713                      |
| Compensatory fees related to default servicing(5) | —  | —                          | 157             | —                          | —               | 418                        | —               | —                          | 89                         |
|   | 1  | \$—                        | \$ 208          | 27                         | \$ 1,917        | \$ 1,600                   | 49              | \$ 4,219                   | \$ 2,373                   |

(1) Repurchases of non-recourse, non-performing loans were generally attributed to underwriting (primarily debt-to-income ratio) non-compliance.

(2) At September 30, 2014 the Association serviced 151 loans with a principal balance of \$4.8 million for Fannie Mae that were subject to recourse. Of these, six loans with principal balances that totaled \$171 thousand were delinquent 30 days or more. All other loans serviced for others were sold without recourse.

(3) Repurchases of non-recourse, performing loans were the result of post-sales file reviews that identified underwriting (primarily debt-to-income ratio) non-compliance.

(4) Post-disposition file reviews resulted in losses or charges when loans which had been sold to Fannie Mae failed to perform; the underlying collateral was sold; a loss was incurred; and a post-disposition file review identified underwriting (primarily debt-to-income ratio) non-compliance.

(5) Compensatory fees related to default servicing represented instances in which the Association's default servicing procedures did not comply with Fannie Mae's servicing requirements.

Income Taxes. We consider accounting for income taxes a critical accounting policy due to the subjective nature of certain estimates that are involved in the calculation. We use the asset/liability method of accounting for income taxes in which deferred tax assets and liabilities are established for the temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of our assets and liabilities. We must assess the realization of the deferred tax asset and, to the extent that we believe that recovery is not likely, a valuation allowance is established. Adjustments to

increase or decrease existing valuation allowances, if any, are charged or credited, respectively, to income tax expense. At September 30, 2014, no valuation allowances were outstanding and even though we have determined a valuation allowance is not required for deferred tax assets at September 30, 2014, there is no guarantee that those assets will be recognizable in the future.

Pension Benefits. The determination of our obligations and expense for pension benefits is dependent upon certain assumptions used in calculating such amounts. Key assumptions used in the actuarial valuations include the discount rate and

Table of Contents

expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. Actual results could differ from the assumptions and market driven rates may fluctuate. Significant differences in actual experience or significant changes in the assumptions could materially affect future pension obligations and expense.

Stock-based Compensation. We recognize the cost of associate and director services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments based on the grant date fair value of those awards in accordance with FASB ASC 718, "Compensation—Stock Compensation."

We estimate the per share value of option grants using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using assumptions for expected dividend yield, expected stock price volatility, risk-free interest rate and expected option term. These assumptions are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties, and therefore, cannot be determined with precision. The per share value of options is highly sensitive to changes in assumptions. In general, the per share fair value of options will move in the same direction as changes in the expected stock price volatility, risk-free interest rate and expected option term, and in the opposite direction from changes in expected dividend yield. For example, the per share fair value of options will generally increase as expected stock volatility increases, risk-free interest rate increases, expected option term increases and expected dividend yield decreases. The use of different assumptions or different option pricing models could result in materially different per share fair values of options.

Comparison of Financial Condition at September 30, 2014 and 2013

Total assets increased \$533.8 million, or 5%, to \$11.80 billion at September 30, 2014 from \$11.27 billion at September 30, 2013. This increase was mainly the result of increases in the balances of our loans held for investment portfolio and investment securities available for sale portfolio, partially offset by a decrease in the balance of cash and cash equivalents.

Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$104.6 million, or 37%, to \$181.4 million at September 30, 2014 from \$286.0 million at September 30, 2013, as our most liquid assets have been reinvested into investment securities and loans, which increased our interest income.

Investment securities increased \$91.5 million, or 19%, to \$568.9 million at September 30, 2014 from \$477.4 million at September 30, 2013. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 there were \$250.8 million in purchases of investment securities, which were partially offset by \$38.7 million in sales, \$118.7 million in principal paydowns and \$3.8 million of net acquisition premium amortization which occurred in the mortgage-backed securities portfolio. The sales of investment securities, all of which were adjustable-rate, were entered into to ease the administrative burden related to numerous securities that had amortized down to small remaining balances and to increase the yield of the investment securities portfolio by investing the proceeds in higher yielding, fixed-rate alternatives. Differences between the cost and fair value for investment securities held in the available for sale portfolio increased the balance of investment securities by \$1.6 million during the fiscal year.

Loans held for investment, net, increased \$546.6 million, or 5%, to \$10.63 billion at September 30, 2014 from \$10.08 billion at September 30, 2013. Supported by consistent loan growth, residential mortgage loans increased \$686.2 million, or 8%, to \$8.98 billion during the year ended September 30, 2014 from \$8.30 billion at September 30, 2013 as new originations exceeded principal repayments, loan sales and net charge-offs. The increase in residential mortgage loans includes the negative impact of \$19.2 million in net charge-offs from both Home Today and Core residential mortgage loans during the year ended September 30, 2014. The total allowance for loan losses decreased \$11.1 million, or 12%, to \$81.4 million from \$92.5 million at September 30, 2013, primarily reflecting our improved credit metrics, including reduced net charge-offs and lower loan delinquencies. During the year ended September 30, 2014, \$834.3 million of three- and five-year "SmartRate" loans were originated while \$1.29 billion of 10, 15, and 30 year fixed-rate first mortgage loans were originated. Between September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2014 the total fixed-rate portion of our first mortgage loan portfolio increased \$419.0 million and was comprised of an increase of \$660.8 million in the balance of fixed-rate loans with original terms of 10 years or less, and a decrease of \$241.8 million in the balance of fixed-rate loans with original terms greater than 10 years. Historically, the preponderance of our new loan originations was comprised of fixed-rate loans which were frequently offset by fixed-rate loan sales. The relatively low volume of long-term, fixed-rate first mortgage loan sales since June 30, 2010 reflected the impact of changes imposed by Fannie Mae, the Association's primary loan investor, related to requirements for loans that it accepts, as well as the strategy of originating adjustable-rate loans to be held for investment on our balance sheet. The

sale of non-HARP II loans in the current fiscal year is the result of our recent implementation of certain loan origination changes required by Fannie Mae, and which resulted in our November 15, 2013 reinstatement as an approved seller to Fannie Mae. Refer to the Controlling Our Interest Rate Risk Exposure section of the Overview for additional discussion regarding our management of interest rate risk.



Table of Contents

Partially offsetting the increase in residential mortgage loans was a \$161.5 million decrease in home equity loans and lines of credit. Between June 28, 2010 and March 20, 2012, we suspended the acceptance of new home equity loan and line of credit applications with the exception of bridge loans. Beginning in March, 2012, we offered redesigned home equity lines of credit to qualifying existing home equity customers, subject to certain property and credit performance conditions. At September 30, 2014, the recorded investment related to home equity lines of credit originated subsequent to March 20, 2012, totaled \$122.4 million. At September 30, 2014, pending commitments to extend new home equity lines of credit totaled \$39.4 million. Refer to the Controlling Our Interest Rate Risk Exposure section of the Overview for additional information.

Mortgage loan servicing rights, net, decreased \$2.4 million, or 17%, to \$11.7 million at September 30, 2014 from \$14.1 million at September 30, 2013. This net change reflects the reduced level, as compared to pre-2010 levels, of loan sales, and accompanying creation of new mortgage loan servicing rights, that resulted from the delivery requirement changes imposed by Fannie Mae as described in Maintaining Access to Adequate Liquidity and Diverse Funding Sources above. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 amortization of mortgage loan servicing rights totaled \$2.8 million while asset generation from \$76.0 million in new sales totaled \$0.4 million. The majority of the amortization is linked to normal curtailments and paydowns and to a lesser extent the low level of mortgage interest rates that prompt refinance activity by borrowers. The principal balance of loans serviced decreased \$460.0 million, or 15%, during the year ended September 30, 2014 to \$2.51 billion from \$2.97 billion at September 30, 2013.

Deposits increased \$189.4 million, or 2%, to \$8.65 billion at September 30, 2014 from \$8.46 billion at September 30, 2013. The increase in deposits was the result of a \$372.4 million increase in our CDs partially offset by a \$140.3 million decrease in our high-yield savings accounts (a subcategory of our savings accounts) and a \$36.8 million decrease in our high-yield checking accounts (a subcategory of our negotiable order of withdrawal accounts) during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014. The change in CDs is attributed to a \$31.1 million net increase in our traditional CDs combined with a \$341.3 million increase (net of premium) in brokered CDs acquired in the current fiscal year. The balance of CDs at September 30, 2014 included \$356.7 million in brokered CDs. We believe that our high-yield savings accounts as well as our high-yield checking accounts provide a stable source of funds. In addition, our high yield savings accounts are expected to reprice in a manner similar to our equity loan products, and, therefore, assist us in managing interest rate risk.

Borrowed funds, all from the FHLB of Cincinnati, increased \$393.5 million, or 53%, to \$1.14 billion at September 30, 2014 from \$745.1 million at September 30, 2013. The increase reflects an additional \$449.7 million of mainly four- to five- year term advances and a \$6.0 million decrease in lower cost, short-term borrowings, offset by principal repayments on maturing term advances. The increase in advances, as well as CDs, was used to fund loan growth and the purchase of investment securities. To facilitate the increase in FHLB borrowings, an additional \$4.8 million of FHLB stock was purchased during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014.

Principal, interest, and related escrow owed on loans serviced decreased \$21.1 million, or 28%, to \$54.7 million at September 30, 2014 from \$75.7 million at September 30, 2013. Principal and interest collected decreased \$17.4 million along with a \$3.7 million decrease in retained tax payments collected from borrowers in the current period. Principal and interest will fluctuate based on normal curtailments and paydowns which are influenced by the relative level of market interest rates and retained tax payments will fluctuate based on the timing of semi-annual remittances to the taxing authorities. Additionally, the balance is generally reflective of the balance of the portfolio of loans serviced for others which decreased from \$2.97 billion at September 30, 2013 to \$2.51 billion at September 30, 2014. Shareholders' equity decreased \$32.0 million, or 2%, to \$1.84 billion at September 30, 2014 from \$1.87 billion at September 30, 2013. This net decrease primarily reflected the effect of \$103.1 million of repurchases of outstanding common stock and \$4.9 million of dividend payments, which were partially offset by \$65.9 million of net income and the positive impact related to awards under the stock-based compensation plan and the allocation of shares held by the ESOP. Pursuant to the non-objection of the FRS, the Company's fourth stock repurchase program was completed during the quarter ended December 31, 2013. On April 4, 2014, the Company announced its fifth stock repurchase plan of 5,000,000 shares. That repurchase plan commenced on April 9, 2014 and was completed on September 17, 2014. On September 9, 2014, the Company announced its sixth stock repurchase program. Refer to Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities for additional

details regarding the repurchase of shares of common stock.

68

---

Table of Contents

## Analysis of Net Interest Income

Net interest income represents the difference between the income we earn on our interest-earning assets and the expense we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income depends on the volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the rates earned on such assets and the rates paid on such liabilities.

Average balances and yields. The following table sets forth average balances, average yields and costs, and certain other information at and for the fiscal years indicated. No tax-equivalent yield adjustments were made, as the effect thereof was not material. Average balances are derived from daily average balances. Non-accrual loans were included in the computation of average balances, but have been reflected in the table as loans carrying a zero yield. The yields set forth below include the effect of deferred fees, discounts and premiums that are amortized or accreted to interest income or interest expense.

|   | For the Fiscal Years Ended September 30, |                                |                |                    |                                |                |                    |                                |                |
|---|--|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
|   | 2014                                     |                                |                | 2013               |                                |                | 2012               |                                |                |
|   | Average<br>Balance                       | Interest<br>Income/<br>Expense | Yield/<br>Cost | Average<br>Balance | Interest<br>Income/<br>Expense | Yield/<br>Cost | Average<br>Balance | Interest<br>Income/<br>Expense | Yield/<br>Cost |
|   | (Dollars in thousands)                   |                                |                |                    |                                |                |                    |                                |                |
| Interest-earning assets:                |  |                                |                |                    |                                |                |                    |                                |                |
| Other interest-earning cash equivalents | \$217,928                                | \$554                          | 0.25%          | \$243,538          | \$635                          | 0.26%          | \$279,053          | \$697                          | 0.25%          |
| Investment securities                   | 3,759                                    | 28                             | 0.74%          | 8,980              | 36                             | 0.40%          | 10,212             | 38                             | 0.37%          |
| Mortgage-backed securities              | 499,083                                  | 9,184                          | 1.84%          | 441,907            | 4,905                          | 1.11%          | 375,513            | 6,202                          | 1.65%          |
| Loans                                   | 10,435,065                               | 363,409                        | 3.48%          | 10,200,360         | 376,840                        | 3.69%          | 10,264,117         | 409,400                        | 3.99%          |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock            | 38,951                                   | 1,509                          | 3.87%          | 35,620             | 1,556                          | 4.37%          | 35,620             | 1,516                          | 4.26%          |
| Total interest-earning assets           | 11,194,786                               | 374,684                        | 3.35%          | 10,930,405         | 383,972                        | 3.51%          | 10,964,515         | 417,853                        | 3.81%          |
| Noninterest-earning assets              | 311,078                                  |                                |                | 286,993            |                                |                | 282,346            |                                |                |
| Total assets                            | \$11,505,864                             |                                |                | \$11,217,398       |                                |                | \$11,246,861       |                                |                |
| Interest-bearing liabilities:           |  |                                |                |                    |                                |                |                    |                                |                |
| NOW accounts                            | \$1,019,909                              | 1,442                          | 0.14%          | \$1,023,442        | 2,273                          | 0.22%          | \$986,198          | 2,839                          | 0.29%          |
| Savings accounts                        | 1,756,608                                | 3,420                          | 0.19%          | 1,804,127          | 5,669                          | 0.31%          | 1,756,840          | 7,533                          | 0.43%          |
| Certificates of deposit                 | 5,695,063                                | 88,316                         | 1.55%          | 5,877,695          | 103,466                        | 1.76%          | 6,064,950          | 142,728                        | 2.35%          |
| Borrowed funds                          | 974,644                                  | 10,073                         | 1.03%          | 435,342            | 4,011                          | 0.92%          | 359,666            | 2,546                          | 0.71%          |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities      | 9,446,224                                | 103,251                        | 1.09%          | 9,140,606          | 115,419                        | 1.26%          | 9,167,654          | 155,646                        | 1.70%          |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities         | 186,777                                  |                                |                | 239,702            |                                |                | 279,909            |                                |                |
| Total liabilities                       | 9,633,001                                |                                |                | 9,380,308          |                                |                | 9,447,563          |                                |                |

Edgar Filing: TFS Financial CORP - Form 10-K

|  |              |           |              |           |              |           |
|--|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Shareholders' equity   | 1,872,863    |           | 1,837,090    |           | 1,799,298    |           |
| Total liabilities and<br>shareholders' equity  | \$11,505,864 |           | \$11,217,398 |           | \$11,246,861 |           |
| Net interest income  |              | \$271,433 |              | \$268,553 |              | \$262,207 |
| Interest rate<br>spread(1)   |              |           | 2.26%        |           | 2.25%        | 2.11%     |
| Net interest-earning<br>assets(2)  | \$1,748,562  |           | \$1,789,799  |           | \$1,796,861  |           |
| Net interest<br>margin(3)  |              | 2.42%     |              | 2.46%     |              | 2.39%     |
| Average<br>interest-earning<br>assets to<br>average<br>interest-bearing<br>liabilities |              | 118.51%   |              | 119.58%   |              | 119.60%   |

(1) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the yield on average interest-earning assets and the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities.

(2) Net interest-earning assets represent total interest-earning assets less total interest-bearing liabilities.

(3) Net interest margin represents net interest income divided by total interest-earning assets.

Table of Contents

Rate/Volume Analysis. The following table presents the effects of changing rates (yields) and volumes (average balances) on our net interest income for the fiscal years indicated. The rate column shows the effects attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume). The volume column shows the effects attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate). The net column represents the sum of the prior columns. For purposes of this table, changes attributable to both rate and volume, which cannot be segregated, have been allocated proportionately, based on the changes due to rate and the changes due to volume.

|   | For the Fiscal Years Ended<br>September 30, 2014 vs. 2013 |            |           | For the Fiscal Years Ended<br>September 30, 2013 vs. 2012 |           |           |
|---|---|------------|-----------|---|-----------|-----------|
|   | Increase (Decrease)                                       |            |           | Increase (Decrease)                                       |           |           |
|   | Due to  |            | Net       | Due to  |           | Net       |
| Volume                                  | Rate  | Volume     |           | Rate  |           |           |
|   | (In thousands)  |            |           |   |           |           |
| Interest-earning assets:                |   |            |           |   |           |           |
| Other interest-earning cash equivalents | \$(65 )   | \$(16 )    | \$(81 )   | \$(91 )   | \$29      | \$(62 )   |
| Investment securities                   | (28 )   | 20         | (8 )      | (4 )  | 2         | (2 )      |
| Mortgage-backed securities              | 703   | 3,576      | 4,279     | 971   | (2,268 )  | (1,297 )  |
| Loans                                   | 8,529   | (21,960 )  | (13,431 ) | (2,528 )  | (30,032 ) | (32,560 ) |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock            | 138   | (185 )     | (47 )     | —   | 40        | 40        |
| Total interest-earning assets           | 9,277   | (18,565 )  | (9,288 )  | (1,652 )  | (32,229 ) | (33,881 ) |
| Interest-bearing liabilities:           |   |            |           |   |           |           |
| NOW accounts                            | (8 )  | (823 )     | (831 )    | 102   | (668 )    | (566 )    |
| Passbook savings                        | (146 )  | (2,103 )   | (2,249 )  | 197   | (2,061 )  | (1,864 )  |
| Certificates of deposit                 | (3,136 )  | (12,014 )  | (15,150 ) | (4,286 )  | (34,976 ) | (39,262 ) |
| Borrowed funds                          | 5,520   | 542        | 6,062     | 602   | 863       | 1,465     |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities      | 2,230   | (14,398 )  | (12,168 ) | (3,385 )  | (36,842 ) | (40,227 ) |
| Net change in net interest income       | \$7,047   | \$(4,167 ) | \$2,880   | \$1,733   | \$4,613   | \$6,346   |

#### Comparison of Operating Results for the Fiscal Years Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013

General. Net income increased \$9.9 million, or 18%, to \$65.9 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$56.0 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. This change was attributed to an \$18.0 million, decrease in the provision for loan losses and further impacted by an increase in net interest income of \$2.8 million and a decrease of \$2.2 million in non-interest expense partially offset by a \$6.3 million decrease in gain on sale of loans.

Interest Income. Gross interest income decreased \$9.3 million, or 2%, to \$374.7 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$384.0 million for the prior fiscal year. The decrease in interest income resulted primarily from a decrease in interest income from loans that was partially offset by an increase in interest income from mortgage-backed securities.

Interest income on mortgage-backed securities increased \$4.3 million, or 88%, to \$9.2 million from \$4.9 million for the prior fiscal year. The average yield on mortgage-backed securities increased 73 basis points to 1.84% compared to 1.11% in the prior fiscal year. The increase in market interest rates during the year provided higher yields on newly purchased securities and extended the expected durations for the securities held in portfolio, most of which had been purchased at a premium, which, in turn, increased our expected yields as the purchase premiums will be amortized over longer periods of time. The average balance of mortgage-backed securities increased \$57.2 million to \$499.1 million compared to \$441.9 million for the prior fiscal year. There were \$250.8 million in purchases which occurred in the current fiscal year which was partially offset by \$157.4 million in sales, principal paydowns and maturities. Interest income on loans decreased \$13.4 million, or 4%, to \$363.4 million compared to \$376.8 million for the prior fiscal year. This change was attributed to a 21 basis point decrease in the yield to 3.48% from 3.69% as historically low interest rates have kept the amount of refinance activity high, or approximately 74% of total originations, resulting in new originations at rates that are lower compared to the rest of the portfolio. Additionally, both our "Smart Rate" adjustable-rate first mortgage loan and our 10-year, fixed-rate first mortgage loan originations for the fiscal year

ended September 30, 2014, were originated at interest rates below rates offered on our traditional 15- and 30-year fixed-rate products and contributed to the lower average yield. The decrease in interest income on loans during fiscal 2014 was partially offset by a \$234.7 million increase in the

70

---

Table of Contents

average balance of loans to \$10.44 billion for fiscal 2014 compared to \$10.20 billion for the prior fiscal year. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, loan sales, which did not include any sales to private investors, totaled \$76.0 million while during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, loan sales, including \$276.9 million of sales to private investors, totaled \$349.2 million.

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense decreased \$12.1 million, or 10%, to \$103.3 million for the 2014 fiscal year from \$115.4 million for the 2013 fiscal year. The change resulted primarily from a decrease in interest expense on CDs combined with modest decreases in interest expense on NOW accounts and savings accounts partially offset by a \$6.1 million increase in interest expense on borrowed funds.

Interest expense on CDs decreased \$15.2 million, or 15%, to \$88.3 million compared to \$103.5 million for fiscal 2013. The change was attributed to a 21 basis point decrease in the average rate we paid on CDs to 1.55% from 1.76% combined with a \$182.6 million, or 3%, decrease in the average balance to \$5.70 billion from \$5.88 billion. Rates were adjusted on deposits in response to changes in general market rates as well as to changes in the rates paid by our competition on short-term CDs. Additionally, to optimally manage our funding costs during the current fiscal year, many maturing, higher rate CDs were replaced with lower rate borrowed funds.

Interest expense on borrowed funds increased \$6.1 million, or 153%, to \$10.1 million compared to \$4.0 million for fiscal 2013. The increase was attributed to a \$539.3 million increase in the average balance of borrowed funds to \$974.6 million from \$435.3 million during fiscal 2013. In addition, the average rate paid on borrowed funds increased 11 basis points to 1.03% from 0.92% for fiscal 2013. To better manage funding costs, longer term borrowed funds from the FHLB of Cincinnati were used to replace maturing higher rate CDs.

**Net Interest Income.** Net interest income increased approximately \$2.8 million, or 1%, to \$271.4 million compared to \$268.6 million for the prior fiscal year. Our interest rate spread increased one basis point to 2.26% compared to 2.25% for the prior fiscal year. Our net interest margin decreased four basis points to 2.42% compared to 2.46% for the prior fiscal year. Our average net interest-earning assets decreased \$41.2 million, to \$1.75 billion for the current fiscal year compared to \$1.79 billion for the prior fiscal year.

**Provision for Loan Losses.** We establish provisions for loan losses, which are charged to operations, in order to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level we consider necessary to absorb credit losses incurred in the loan portfolio that are both probable and reasonably estimable at the balance sheet date. The amount of the allowance is based on estimates and the ultimate losses may vary from such estimates as more information becomes available or conditions change. We assess the allowance for loan losses on a quarterly basis and make provisions for loan losses in order to maintain the allowance at an appropriate level.

Based on our evaluation we recorded a provision for loan losses of \$19.0 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 and a provision of \$37.0 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. The current provision reflected reduced levels of loan delinquencies but was tempered by our awareness of the relative values of residential properties in comparison to their cyclical peaks as well as the uncertainty that persists in the current economic environment, which continues to challenge many of our loan customers. The reduced level of net charge-offs during the 2014 fiscal year, \$30.2 million as compared to \$44.9 million during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, was attributable to the improvement in credit quality in the current fiscal year. As delinquencies in the portfolio have been resolved through pay-off, short sale or foreclosure, or management determines the collateral is not sufficient to satisfy the loan, uncollected balances have been charged against the allowance for loan losses previously provided. The net charge-offs of \$30.2 million during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 included \$5.3 million of loans charged-off due to a new practice, instituted this year, of fully charging off loans that have not been resolved due to prolonged foreclosure proceedings and have remained delinquent for more than 1,500 days. These loans previously were recorded at estimated net realizable value, with the potential for additional loss recognized within the allowance for loan losses. Any future foreclosure proceeds on these loans will result in recoveries of prior charge-offs. Net charge-offs also included \$1.3 million in recoveries that were recorded during the March 2014 quarter, representing the cumulative one-time payment received as a result of PMIC increasing the cash percentage of the partial claim payment plan as discussed in Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements: LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES. Net charge-offs exceeded the \$19.0 million loan loss provision recorded for the current fiscal year and resulted in a decrease in the balance of the allowance for loan losses. Net charge-offs of

\$44.9 million recorded for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 exceeded the loan loss provision of \$37.0 million. The allowance for loan losses was \$81.4 million, or 0.76% of the total recorded investment in loans receivable, at September 30, 2014, compared to \$92.5 million, or 0.91% of the total recorded investment in loans receivable, at September 30, 2013. Balances of recorded investments are net of deferred fees and any applicable loans-in-process.



Table of Contents

The total recorded investment in non-accrual loans decreased \$20.3 million during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 compared to a \$26.8 million decrease during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. The recorded investment in non-accrual loans in our residential, Core portfolio decreased \$11.7 million, or 13%, during the current fiscal year, to \$79.4 million at September 30, 2014, compared to a \$14.7 million decrease during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. At September 30, 2014, the recorded investment in our Core portfolio was \$8.82 billion, compared to \$8.10 billion at September 30, 2013. During the current fiscal year, Core portfolio net charge-offs were \$13.5 million, inclusive of \$4.4 million of charge-offs related to loans delinquent more than 1,500 days and \$0.9 million of recoveries related to the PMIC partial claim catch-up payment, as compared to net charge-offs of \$14.7 million during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. The \$79.4 million balance at September 30, 2014 includes \$35.5 million in troubled debt restructurings which are current but included with non-accrual loans for a minimum period of six months from their restructuring date.

The recorded investment in non-accrual loans in our residential, Home Today portfolio decreased \$4.9 million, or 14% during the current fiscal year, to \$30.0 million at September 30, 2014 compared to a \$6.3 million decrease during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. At September 30, 2014, the recorded investment in our Home Today portfolio was \$152.0 million, compared to \$175.6 million at September 30, 2013. During the current fiscal year, Home Today net charge-offs were \$5.7 million, inclusive of \$0.9 million of charge-offs related to loans delinquent more than 1,500 days and \$0.4 million of recoveries related to the PMIC partial claim catch-up payment, as compared to net charge-offs of \$11.5 million during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. The \$30.0 million balance in Home Today non-accrual loans includes \$12.0 million in troubled debt restructurings which are current but included with non-accrual loans for a minimum period of six months from their restructuring date.

The recorded investment in non-accrual home equity loans and lines of credit decreased \$3.8 million, or 13%, during the current fiscal year, to \$26.2 million at September 30, 2014 compared to a \$5.4 million decrease during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. The recorded investment in our home equity loans and lines of credit portfolio at September 30, 2014, was \$1.70 billion, compared to \$1.87 billion at September 30, 2013. During the current fiscal year, home equity loans and lines of credit net charge-offs were \$11.0 million as compared to net charge-offs of \$18.6 million during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. There were no charge-offs related to loans delinquent more than 1,500 days or recoveries due to PMIC claim payments. We believe that non-performing home equity loans and lines of credit are, on a relative basis, of greater concern than Core loans as these home equity loans and lines of credit generally hold subordinated positions, and accordingly, represent a higher level of risk. The non-performing balances of home equity loans and lines of credit were \$9.0 million, or less than 1%, of the home equity loans and lines of credit portfolio at September 30, 2014 compared to \$12.0 million, also less than 1%, at September 30, 2013.

At September 30, 2014 and 2013, we believe we had recorded an allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2014 and 2013, that provides for all losses that are both probable and reasonable to estimate at September 30, 2014 and 2013. Refer to Item 1. Business for additional discussion and disclosure related to our provisions for loan losses.

Non-Interest Income. Non-interest income decreased \$6.6 million, or 23%, to \$21.9 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$28.5 million for the prior fiscal year mainly as a result of net gain on the sale of loans.

Net gain on the sale of loans decreased \$6.3 million, to \$2.0 million during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 from \$8.3 million the prior fiscal year as a result of \$76.0 million in loan sales during the current year as compared to \$349.2 million (which included \$276.9 million in fixed- and adjustable-rate first mortgage loans to four private investors) in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. There were no sales to private investors in the current fiscal year.

Non-Interest Expense. Non-interest expense decreased \$2.2 million, or 1%, to \$175.5 million for fiscal 2014 when compared to \$177.7 million for fiscal 2013. This net reduction occurred as decreases in other operating expenses and federal insurance premiums and assessments exceeded increases in salaries and employee benefits, marketing and real estate owned expense (which includes associated legal and maintenance expenses and the amount of net gains/losses on the disposal of properties). The decrease in other operating expenses primarily reflected lower costs (including loss reimbursements and the cost of negotiated settlements) in the current fiscal year related to our portfolio of loans serviced for others. Salaries and employee benefits increased \$3.8 million, or 4%, to \$90.3 million for the fiscal year

ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$86.5 million for the prior fiscal year, reflecting normal annual salary merit and cost of living adjustments, higher health care costs and increased expense recognition related to our employee stock ownership plan that occurred as the market price of the Company's common stock rose. Increased real estate owned expense resulted primarily from net losses that were recognized on property disposals in the current fiscal year as compared to net gains in fiscal 2013.

Income Tax Expense. The provision for income taxes was \$33.0 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$26.4 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. The provision for fiscal 2014 included \$32.6 million

Table of Contents

of federal income tax provision and \$324 thousand of state income tax provision. The provision for fiscal 2013 included \$26.2 million of federal income tax provision and \$165 thousand of state income tax provision. Our federal effective tax rate increased to 33.0% during fiscal 2014 from 31.9% during fiscal year 2013. Our expected federal effective income tax rate is less than the federal statutory rate of 35.0%, primarily because of our ownership of bank-owned life insurance contracts. Non-taxable income on bank owned insurance contracts was \$6.4 million during fiscal 2014 and \$6.5 million during fiscal 2013. The impact it has on the federal effective tax rate has decreased in the current fiscal year as a result of increased pre-tax income. Income before income taxes was \$98.9 million and \$82.4 million during the fiscal years ended 2014 and 2013, respectively.

On September 13, 2013 and August 18, 2014, the IRS released final tangible property regulations under Sections 162 and 263 and Section 168, respectively, of the Internal Revenue Code. These regulations generally apply to taxable years beginning after January 1, 2014 and will affect all taxpayers that acquire, produce or improve tangible property. We do not expect the adoption of these regulations to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

#### Comparison of Operating Results for the Fiscal Years Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

**General.** Net income increased \$44.5 million, or 388%, to \$56.0 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$11.5 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. This change was attributed to a lower, by \$65.0 million, provision for loan losses and increases in net interest income of \$6.4 million and gain on sale of loans of \$7.6 million, partially offset by an increase of \$6.6 million in non-interest expenses.

**Interest Income.** Gross interest income decreased \$33.9 million, or 8%, to \$384.0 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$417.9 million for the prior fiscal year. The decrease in interest income resulted primarily from decreases in interest income from loans and mortgage-backed securities.

Interest income on mortgage-backed securities decreased \$1.3 million, or 21%, to \$4.9 million from \$6.2 million for the prior fiscal year. The average yield on mortgage-backed securities decreased 54 basis points to 1.11% compared to 1.65% in the prior fiscal year as interest rates on adjustable-rate securities that collateralize certain mortgage-backed securities reset to lower current rates and higher, fixed-rate securities that collateralize other mortgage-backed securities continued to experience accelerated paydowns and were replaced by securities that provided lower yields. The average balance of mortgage-backed securities increased \$66.4 million to \$441.9 million compared to \$375.5 million for the prior fiscal year. The \$206.4 million in principal paydowns and maturities which occurred in the current fiscal year were more than offset by \$276.5 million in purchases. There were no sales of mortgage-backed securities during fiscal year 2013.

Interest income on loans decreased \$32.6 million, or 8%, to \$376.8 million compared to \$409.4 million for the prior fiscal year. This change was attributed to a 30 basis point decrease in the yield to 3.69% from 3.99% as historically low interest rates have kept the amount of refinance activity high, or approximately 74% of total originations. During the current fiscal year \$968.1 million, or 44.2% of the total originations, were "Smart Rate" adjustable-rate first mortgage loans which were originated at interest rates below rates offered on fixed-rate products, and which contributed to the lower average yield. The decrease in interest income on loans during fiscal 2013 was combined with a \$63.8 million decrease in the average balance of loans to \$10.20 billion for fiscal 2013 compared to \$10.26 billion for the prior fiscal year as repayments and loan sales exceeded new loan production.

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense decreased \$40.2 million, or 26%, to \$115.4 million for the 2013 fiscal year from \$155.6 million for the 2012 fiscal year. The change resulted primarily from a decrease in interest expense on certificates of deposit combined with modest decreases in interest expense on NOW accounts and savings accounts. Interest expense on CDs decreased \$39.2 million, or 27%, to \$103.5 million compared to \$142.7 million for fiscal 2012. The change was attributed to a 59 basis point decrease in the average rate we paid on CDs to 1.76% from 2.35% combined with a \$187.3 million, or 3%, decrease in the average balance to \$5.88 billion from \$6.06 billion. Rates were adjusted on deposits in response to changes in general market rates as well as to changes in the rates paid by our competition on short-term CDs. Additionally, to optimally manage our funding costs during the current fiscal year, maturing, higher rate CDs were replaced by other lower rate savings products or borrowed funds. Accordingly, interest expense on borrowed funds increased \$1.5 million, or 58%, to \$4.0 million compared to \$2.5 million in the

prior fiscal year.

Interest expense on savings accounts decreased \$1.8 million, or 24%, to \$5.7 million compared to \$7.5 million for the prior fiscal year. The change was attributed to a 12 basis point decrease in the average rate we paid on savings accounts to 0.31% from 0.43%, partially offset by a \$47.3 million, or a 3%, increase in the average balance to \$1.80 billion from \$1.76

73

---

Table of Contents

billion for the prior fiscal year, reflecting customer preferences for savings accounts when rates are comparable to our short-term certificates of deposit.

**Net Interest Income.** Net interest income increased approximately \$6.4 million, or 2%, to \$268.6 million compared to \$262.2 million for the prior fiscal year. Our interest rate spread increased 14 basis points to 2.25% compared to 2.11% for the prior fiscal year. Our net interest margin increased seven basis points to 2.46% compared to 2.39% for the prior fiscal year. Our average net interest-earning assets decreased \$7.1 million, to \$1.79 billion for the current fiscal year compared to \$1.80 billion for the prior fiscal year.

**Provision for Loan Losses.** We establish provisions for loan losses, which are charged to operations, in order to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level we consider necessary to absorb credit losses incurred in the loan portfolio that are both probable and reasonably estimable at the balance sheet date. The amount of the allowance is based on estimates and the ultimate losses may vary from such estimates as more information becomes available or conditions change. We assess the allowance for loan losses on a quarterly basis and make provisions for loan losses in order to maintain the allowance at an appropriate level.

We recorded a provision for loan losses of \$37.0 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 and a provision of \$102.0 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. The current provision reflected improvement in our net charge-off experience, and reduced levels of loan delinquencies, but was tempered by our awareness of the relative values of residential properties in comparison to their cyclical peaks as well as the uncertainty that persists in the current economic environment, which continues to challenge many of our loan customers. The decreased level of net charge-offs during the 2013 fiscal year, \$44.9 million as compared to \$158.5 million during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, was attributable to the elimination of the SVAs in the prior fiscal year, the Chapter 7 bankruptcy related charge-offs in the prior fiscal year and improvement in credit quality in the current fiscal year. As delinquencies in the portfolio have been resolved through pay-off, short sale or foreclosure, or management determines the collateral is not sufficient to satisfy the loan, uncollected balances have been charged against the allowance for loan losses previously provided. The net charge-offs of \$44.9 million during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 exceeded the \$37.0 million loan loss provision recorded for the current fiscal year. The loan loss provision of \$102.0 million recorded for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 was exceeded by net charge-offs of \$158.5 million which included the OCC-mandated charge-off of SVAs of \$55.5 million and \$15.8 million of OCC mandated charge-offs of performing loans discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy. The allowance for loan losses was \$92.5 million, or 0.91% of the total recorded investment in loans receivable, at September 30, 2013, compared to \$100.5 million, or 0.97% of the total recorded investment in loans receivable, at September 30, 2012. Balances of recorded investments are net of deferred fees and any applicable loans-in-process.

The total recorded investment in non-accrual loans decreased \$26.8 million during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 compared to a \$52.7 million decrease during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in the prior fiscal year was largely impacted by the elimination of \$55.5 million of SVAs during the quarter ended December 31, 2011 in accordance with an OCC directive.

The recorded investment in non-accrual loans in our residential, Core portfolio decreased \$14.7 million, or 14%, during the current fiscal year, to \$91.0 million at September 30, 2013, compared to a \$19.2 million decrease during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. At September 30, 2013, the recorded investment in our Core portfolio was \$8.10 billion, compared to \$7.92 billion at September 30, 2012. During the current fiscal year, Core net charge-offs were \$14.7 million as compared to net charge-offs of \$32.0 million (excluding the December 31, 2011 elimination of SVAs) during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. The \$91.0 million balance at September 30, 2013 includes \$29.6 million in troubled debt restructurings which are current but included with non-accrual loans for a minimum period of six months from their restructuring date.

The recorded investment in non-accrual loans in our residential, Home Today portfolio decreased \$6.3 million, or 15% during the current fiscal year, to \$34.8 million at September 30, 2013 compared to a \$28.5 million decrease during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. At September 30, 2013, the recorded investment in our Home Today portfolio was \$175.6 million, compared to \$204.9 million at September 30, 2012. During the current fiscal year, Home Today net charge-offs were \$11.5 million as compared to net charge-offs of \$24.8 million (excluding the December 31, 2011 elimination of SVAs) during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. The \$34.8 million balance in Home

Today non-accrual loans includes \$13.6 million in troubled debt restructurings which are current but included with non-accrual loans for a minimum period of six months from their restructuring date. The recorded investment in non-accrual home equity loans and lines of credit decreased \$5.4 million, or 15%, during the current fiscal year, to \$29.9 million at September 30, 2013 compared to a \$1.6 million decrease during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. The recorded investment in our home equity loans and lines of credit portfolio at September 30, 2013, was \$1.87 billion, compared to \$2.16 billion at September 30, 2012. During the current fiscal year, home equity loans and lines of

## Table of Contents

credit net charge-offs were \$18.6 million as compared to net charge-offs of \$46.0 million (excluding the December 31, 2011 elimination of SVAs) during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. We believe that non-performing home equity loans and lines of credit are, on a relative basis, of greater concern than Core loans as these home equity loans and lines of credit generally hold subordinated positions, and accordingly, represent a higher level of risk. The non-performing balances of home equity loans and lines of credit were \$12.0 million, or less than 1%, of the home equity loans and lines of credit portfolio at September 30, 2013 compared to \$16.6 million, also less than 1%, at September 30, 2012.

We believe we have recorded all losses that are both probable and reasonable to estimate for fiscal years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

Refer to Item 1. Business for additional discussion and disclosure related to our provisions for loan losses.

**Non-Interest Income.** Non-interest income increased \$4.0 million, or 16%, to \$28.5 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$24.5 million for the prior fiscal year mainly as a result of net gain on the sale of loans. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in net loan servicing fees received in connection with the smaller portfolio of loans serviced for others.

Loan fees and service charges decreased \$2.6 million, or 23%, to \$8.9 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$11.5 million for the prior fiscal year. This change is attributed to reduced loan servicing fees in the current fiscal year as the balance of our portfolio of sold loans that we are servicing for others has decreased 22% from September 30, 2012 resulting in a \$1.9 million decrease in loan servicing fees collected in the current period compared to the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in the portfolio of sold loans that we are servicing for others can be attributed to the increased paydowns in addition to the significantly lower volume of loan sales when compared to pre-2010 levels.

Net gain on the sale of loans increased \$7.6 million, to \$8.3 million during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 from \$700 thousand the prior fiscal year as a result of \$349.2 million in loan sales during the current year as compared to \$11.4 million in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012.

**Non-Interest Expense.** Non-interest expense increased \$6.6 million, or 4%, to \$177.7 million for fiscal 2013 when compared to \$171.1 million for fiscal 2012, primarily from increases in salaries and employee benefits and marketing expenses partially offset by decreases in Federal insurance premiums, real estate owned expenses (which includes associated legal and maintenance expenses partially offset by gains (losses) on the disposal of properties) and other operating expenses. Salaries and employee benefits increased \$6.4 million, or 8%, to \$86.5 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$80.1 million for the prior fiscal year, reflecting normal annual salary merit and cost of living adjustments and increased bonus awards.

**Income Tax Expense.** The provision for income taxes was \$26.4 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$2.1 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. The provision for fiscal 2013 included \$26.2 million of federal income tax provision and \$165 thousand of state income tax provision. The provision for fiscal 2012 included \$2.0 million of federal income tax provision and \$98 thousand of state income tax provision. Our federal effective tax rate increased to 31.9% during fiscal 2013 from 15.1% during fiscal year 2012. Our expected federal effective income tax rate is below the federal statutory rate primarily because of our ownership of bank-owned life insurance contracts. The non-taxable income from bank-owned life insurance contracts was \$6.5 million during both fiscal years, but had less of an impact on the effective tax rate during fiscal 2013 when income before income taxes was greater. Income before income taxes was \$82.4 million and \$13.6 million during the fiscal years ended 2013 and 2012, respectively.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability to meet current and future financial obligations of a short-term nature. Our primary sources of funds consist of deposit inflows, loan repayments, advances from the FHLB of Cincinnati, borrowings from the FRB-Cleveland Discount Window, proceeds from brokered CDs transactions, principal repayments and maturities of securities, and sales of loans. As described below, the available liquidity from loan sales has decreased significantly from pre-June 2010 levels.

In addition to the primary sources of funds described above, we have the ability to obtain funds through the use of collateralized borrowings in the wholesale markets, and from sales of securities. Also, access to the equity capital markets via a supplemental minority stock offering or a full (second step) transaction remain as other potential sources of liquidity, although these channels generally require six to nine months of lead time.

While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and securities are predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and mortgage prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition. The Association's



Table of Contents

Asset/Liability Management Committee is responsible for establishing and monitoring our liquidity targets and strategies in order to ensure that sufficient liquidity exists for meeting the borrowing needs and deposit withdrawals of our customers as well as unanticipated contingencies. We seek to maintain a minimum liquidity ratio of 5% (which we compute as the sum of cash and cash equivalents plus unencumbered investment securities for which ready markets exist, divided by total assets). For the year ended September 30, 2014, our liquidity ratio averaged 6.25%. We believe that we had sufficient sources of liquidity to satisfy our short- and long-term liquidity needs as of September 30, 2014. We regularly adjust our investments in liquid assets based upon our assessment of expected loan demand, expected deposit flows, yields available on interest-earning deposits and securities and the objectives of our asset/liability management program. Excess liquid assets are generally invested in interest-earning deposits and short- and intermediate-term securities.

Our most liquid assets are cash and cash equivalents. The levels of these assets are dependent on our operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. At September 30, 2014, cash and cash equivalents totaled \$181.4 million which represented a decrease of 37% from September 30, 2013. The decrease can be attributed to the current year redeployment of cash into investment securities.

Investment securities classified as available-for-sale, which provide additional sources of liquidity, totaled \$568.9 million at September 30, 2014.

Between July 1, 2010 and May 2013, our traditional mortgage loan processing did not comply with Fannie Mae's standard requirements and accordingly, during that time, and until Fannie Mae reinstated the Association as an approved seller on November 15, 2013, our ability to meaningfully manage liquidity through the use of loan sales was limited. In response to this limitation and the accompanying interest rate risk management implications, the following steps were taken:

- during the quarter ended June 30, 2012, the Association implemented the procedures necessary for participation in Fannie Mae's HARP II program;

- during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, the Association negotiated several loan sales with private investors; and

- in May 2013, the Association adopted the loan origination process changes required by Fannie Mae that are now applied to a portion of its fixed-rate loan originations and subsequent to the Association's November 15, 2013 reinstatement as an approved seller by Fannie Mae, which enables the Association to securitize and sell those loans that are originated using the Fannie Mae compliant procedures, in the secondary market.

During the year ended September 30, 2014, loan sales totaled \$76.0 million, which included \$27.6 million of loans that qualified under Fannie Mae's HARP II initiative with the remainder comprised of long-term, fixed-rate residential, non-HARP II first mortgage loans, which were sold to Fannie Mae subsequent to the Association's reinstatement as an approved seller. Loans originated under the HARP II initiative are classified as "held for sale" at origination. Loans originated under non-HARP II Fannie Mae compliant procedures are classified as "held for investment" until they are specifically identified for sale. At September 30, 2014, \$5.0 million of long-term, fixed-rate residential first mortgage loans were classified as "held for sale", all of which qualified under Fannie Mae's HARP II initiative. There were \$4.6 million in loan sale commitments outstanding at September 30, 2014.

Our cash flows are derived from operating activities, investing activities and financing activities as reported in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows included in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

At September 30, 2014, we had \$459.2 million in loan commitments outstanding. In addition to commitments to originate loans, we had \$1.13 billion in undisbursed home equity lines of credit to borrowers. CDs due within one year of September 30, 2014 totaled \$2.51 billion, or 29.0% of total deposits. If these deposits do not remain with us, we will be required to seek other sources of funds, including loan sales, sales of investment securities, other deposit products, including new CDs, brokered CDs, FHLB advances, borrowings from the FRB-Cleveland Discount Window or other collateralized borrowings. Depending on market conditions, we may be required to pay higher rates on such deposits or other borrowings than we currently pay on the CDs due on or before September 30, 2015. We believe, however, based on past experience, that a significant portion of such deposits will remain with us. Generally, we have the ability to attract and retain deposits by adjusting the interest rates offered.

Our primary investing activities are originating residential mortgage loans and purchasing investments. During the year ended September 30, 2014, we originated \$2.12 billion of residential mortgage loans, and during the year ended September 30, 2013, we originated \$2.19 billion of residential mortgage loans. We purchased \$250.8 million of securities during the year ended September 30, 2014, and \$276.5 million during the year ended September 30, 2013.

76

---

Table of Contents

Financing activities consist primarily of changes in deposit accounts, changes in the balances of principal and interest owed on loans serviced for others, FHLB advances and borrowings from the FRB-Cleveland Discount Window. We experienced a net increase in total deposits of \$189.4 million during the year ended September 30, 2014, which reflected the active management of the offered rates on maturing, medium term (four to six years) CDs, compared to a net decrease of \$516.9 million during the year ended September 30, 2013. Deposit flows are affected by the overall level of interest rates, the interest rates and products offered by us and our local competitors, and by other factors. The net increase in total deposits during the year ended September 30, 2014 resulted entirely from the \$343.7 million increase in the balance of brokered CDs, to \$356.7 million, from \$13.0 million at September 30, 2013. Principal and interest owed on loans serviced for others decreased \$21.1 million during the year ended September 30, 2014 compared to a net decrease of \$51.8 million during the year ended September 30, 2013. This change primarily reflected a slight decrease in the level of loan refinance activity between the two periods and the reduced size of the serviced loan portfolio. During the year ended September 30, 2014 we increased our advances from the FHLB of Cincinnati by \$393.5 million as we actively managed our liquidity ratio. During the year ended September 30, 2013, our advances from the FHLB of Cincinnati increased by \$256.9 million.

Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term function of business management. If we require funds beyond our ability to generate them internally, borrowing agreements exist with the FHLB of Cincinnati and the FRB-Cleveland Discount Window, each of which provides an additional source of funds. Additionally, we may participate in the brokered CDs market. At September 30, 2014 we had \$1.14 billion of FHLB of Cincinnati advances and no outstanding borrowings from the FRB-Cleveland Discount Window. Additionally, at September 30, 2014 we had \$356.7 million of brokered CDs. During the year ended September 30, 2014, we had average outstanding advances from the FHLB of Cincinnati of \$974.6 million as compared to average outstanding advances of \$435.3 million during the year ended September 30, 2013. At September 30, 2014 we had the ability to immediately borrow an additional \$32.1 million from the FHLB of Cincinnati and \$147.6 million from the FRB-Cleveland Discount Window. From the perspective of collateral value securing FHLB of Cincinnati advances, our capacity limit for additional borrowings beyond the immediately available limits at September 30, 2014 was \$4.70 billion, subject to satisfaction of the FHLB of Cincinnati common stock ownership requirement. To satisfy the common stock ownership requirement, we would have to increase our ownership of FHLB of Cincinnati common stock by an additional \$94.1 million. During the 2014 fiscal year, we purchased an additional \$4.8 million of FHLB of Cincinnati common stock. Additionally, we can consider the brokered CD market in evaluating funding alternatives.

The Association is subject to various regulatory capital requirements, including a risk-based capital measure. The risk-based capital guidelines include both a definition of capital and a framework for calculating risk-weighted assets by assigning balance sheet assets and off-balance sheet items to broad risk categories. In July 2013, the OCC and the other federal bank regulatory agencies issued a final rule that will revise their leverage and risk-based capital requirements and the method for calculating risk-weighted assets to make them consistent with agreements that were reached by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and certain provisions of the DFA. Among other things, the rule establishes a new common equity Tier 1 minimum capital requirement (4.5% of risk-weighted assets), increases the minimum Tier 1 capital to risk-based assets requirement (from 4% to 6% of risk-weighted assets) and assigns a higher risk weight (150%) to exposures that are more than 90 days past due or are on nonaccrual status and to certain commercial real estate facilities that finance the acquisition, development or construction of real property. The final rule also requires unrealized gains and losses on certain "available-for-sale" securities holdings to be included for purposes of calculating regulatory capital requirements unless a one-time opt-in or opt-out is exercised. The rule limits a banking organization's capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments if the banking organization does not hold a "capital conservation buffer" consisting of 2.5% of common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets in addition to the amount necessary to meet its minimum risk-based capital requirements.

The final rule becomes effective for the Association on January 1, 2015. The capital conservation buffer requirement will be phased in beginning January 1, 2016 and ending January 1, 2019, when the full capital conservation buffer requirement will be effective. The final rule also implements consolidated capital requirements for savings and loan holding companies effective January 1, 2015.

The weighted capital ratios pursuant to asset risk weightings as they are structured under the new rule differ unfavorably when compared to current computations. The Company estimates the impact of the new rule on reported risk weighted capital ratios to be immaterial, and believes that both the Company and the Association would fully satisfy the new rules assuming full implementation as of September 30, 2014.

As of September 30, 2014, the Association exceeded all regulatory capital requirements to be considered "Well Capitalized".

Prior to its July 21, 2011 merger into the OCC, the OTS issued, effective February 7, 2011, memoranda of understanding covering the Association, Third Federal Savings, MHC and the Company. On December 22, 2012, the Association's primary regulator terminated the MOU applicable to the Association. On April 1, 2014, the FRS, the primary regulator for Third Federal

Table of Contents

Savings, MHC and the Company terminated the MOUs applicable to Third Federal Savings, MHC and the Company. The items in the MOUs applicable to Third Federal Savings, MHC and the Company during the year ended September 30, 2014, pertained to plans for new debt, dividends or stock repurchases and the further refinement and enhancement of our enterprise risk management processes. Specifically, the Company was required to submit a written request for non-objection to the FRS at least 45 days prior to the anticipated date of proposed debt, dividend or capital distribution (e.g. stock repurchase) transactions and without the receipt of a written non-objection from the FRS, was prohibited from consummating any such proposed transaction. On September 26, 2013, the Company announced that it had received the FRS's written non-objection to the resumption of its fourth stock repurchase plan that, at that time, had 2,156,250 shares of its outstanding common stock remaining to be purchased under the terms of the plan. Repurchases of those shares were completed during the quarter ended December 31, 2013. Concurrently with the April 4, 2014 announcement of the termination of the MOUs enforced by the FRS, the Company announced its fifth stock repurchase plan, covering 5,000,000 shares. The fifth repurchase plan was completed on September 17, 2014. On September 9, 2014, the Company announced its sixth stock repurchase program, covering 10,000,000 shares. There were 614,050 shares repurchased under the sixth authorized program between September 17, 2014, when it began, and September 30, 2014.

In addition to the operational liquidity considerations described above, which are primarily those of the Association, the Company, as a separate legal entity, also monitors and manages its own, parent company only liquidity which provides the source of funds necessary to support all of the parent company's stand-alone operations, including its capital distribution strategies which encompass its share repurchase and dividend payment programs. The Company's primary source of liquidity is dividends received from the Association. The amount of dividends that the Association may declare and pay to the Company in any calendar year, without the receipt of prior approval from the OCC but with prior notice to the FRB-Cleveland, cannot exceed net income for the current calendar year-to-date period plus retained net income (as defined) for the preceding two calendar years, reduced by prior dividend payments made during those periods. During the year ended September 30, 2014 the Company received an \$85 million dividend from the Association (in December 2013) and repurchased \$101.4 million of treasury shares. On August 28, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors declared a \$0.07 per share dividend, payable on September 26, 2014. Also on August 28, 2014, the Company announced that on July 31, 2014, Third Federal Savings, MHC had received the approval of its members (depositors and certain loan customers of the Association) with respect to the waiver of dividends, and subsequently received the non-objection of the FRB-Cleveland, to waive receipt of dividends on the Company's common stock that Third Federal Savings, MHC owned, up to \$0.28 per share during the 12 months ending July 31, 2015. Third Federal Savings, MHC waived its right to receive the \$0.07 per share dividend payment on September 26, 2014. During the year ended September 30, 2014, common stock dividends paid by the Company totaled \$4.9 million. At September 30, 2014, the Company had, in the form of cash and a demand loan from the Association, \$158.0 million of funds readily available to support its stand-alone operations.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations**

**Commitments.** As a financial services provider, we routinely are a party to various financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risks, such as commitments to extend credit and unused lines of credit. While these contractual obligations represent our future cash requirements, a significant portion of commitments to extend credit may expire without being drawn upon. Such commitments are subject to the same credit policies and approval process accorded to loans we make. In addition, we routinely enter into commitments to securitize and sell mortgage loans. For additional information, see Note 15 of the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Contractual Obligations.** In the ordinary course of our operations, we enter into certain contractual obligations. Such obligations include operating leases for premises and equipment, agreements with respect to borrowed funds and deposit liabilities and agreements with respect to investments.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our significant fixed and determinable contractual obligations and other funding needs by payment date at September 30, 2014. The payment amounts represent those amounts due to the recipient and do not include any unamortized premiums or discounts or other similar carrying amount adjustments.

| Contractual Obligations      | Payments due by period |                       |                        |                         | Total       |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
|                              | Less than<br>One year  | One to<br>Three years | Three to<br>Five years | More than<br>Five years |             |
|                              | (In thousands)         |                       |                        |                         |             |
| FHLB advances(1)             | \$315,025              | \$224,322             | \$530,000              | \$69,292                | \$1,138,639 |
| Operating leases             | 4,783                  | 7,805                 | 4,437                  | 2,938                   | 19,963      |
| Certificates of deposit(1)   | 2,330,625              | 2,455,947             | 1,107,687              | 107,316                 | 6,001,575   |
| Private equity investments   | 12,941                 | —                     | —                      | —                       | 12,941      |
| Total                        | \$2,663,374            | \$2,688,074           | \$1,642,124            | \$179,546               | \$7,173,118 |
| Commitments to extend credit | \$1,808,501 (2)        | \$—                   | \$—                    | \$—                     | \$1,808,501 |

(1) Includes accrued interest payable, computed on an actual days outstanding basis, at September 30, 2014.

(2) Includes the unused portion (including commitments for accounts suspended as a result of material default or a decline in equity) of home equity lines of credit of \$1.32 billion.

The Company had assumed a portion of the mortgage guaranty insurance on an excess of loss basis for the mortgage guaranty risks of certain mortgage loans in its own portfolio through reinsurance contracts with two primary mortgage insurance companies. One contract was terminated effective January 8, 2014 under a Commutation and Release Agreement that reduced the Company's maximum loss remaining under the contract by \$6.4 million in exchange for a \$1.0 million payment. The second contract was terminated effective March 31, 2014 under a Commutation and Mutual Release Agreement that eliminated the Company's then remaining loss exposure of \$308 thousand under the contracts in exchange for a \$200 thousand payment. The Company has no remaining loss liability under these contracts as of September 30, 2014.

#### Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

Our consolidated financial statements and related notes have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. GAAP generally requires the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without consideration for changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The impact of inflation is reflected in the increased cost of our operations. Unlike industrial companies, our assets and liabilities are primarily monetary in nature. As a result, changes in market interest rates have a greater impact on performance than the effects of inflation.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Pending as of September 30, 2014

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-11, Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures. Under the amendments in ASU 2014-11, repurchase-to-maturity transactions and repurchase agreements executed as repurchase financing transactions are required to be accounted for as secured borrowings. ASU 2014-11 requires additional disclosures about certain transactions accounted for as sales in which the transferor retains substantially all of the exposure to the economic return on the transferred financial assets through an agreement with the same counterparty and about the types of collateral pledged in repurchase agreements and similar transactions accounted for as secured borrowings. The accounting changes and disclosures for certain transactions accounted for as a sale are effective for interim or annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014. Disclosures for transactions accounted for as secured borrowings are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014 and interim periods beginning after March 15, 2015. The Company does not expect the amendments in ASU 2014-11 to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), affecting any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards. ASC Topic 606 does not apply to rights or obligations associated with financial instruments. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity

should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. An entity should disclose sufficient information to enable users of financial statements to understand the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. The amendments in this update become effective for annual periods and interim periods within those

Table of Contents

annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the amendments on its consolidated financial statements.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360), Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity, that revises the criteria for determining when disposals should be reported as discontinued operations and modifies the disclosure requirements. The amendments are effective for public business entities for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this accounting guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-04, Receivables - Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40), Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure to reduce diversity by clarifying when an in-substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, that is, when a creditor should be considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan such that the loan receivable should be derecognized and the real estate property recognized. The amendments require interim and annual disclosure of both (1) the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and (2) the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction. The amendments are effective for public business entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The impact of these amendments on the Company's consolidated financial statements is being evaluated.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-01, Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects which will permit entities to make an accounting policy election to account for investments in qualified affordable housing projects using the proportional amortization method if certain conditions are met. Under the proportional amortization method, an entity amortizes the initial cost of the investment in proportion to the tax credits and other tax benefits received and recognizes the net investment performance in the income statements as a component of income tax expense or benefit. The amendments in ASU 2014-01 are effective for annual and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014, with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the amendments of ASU 2014-01 on its consolidated financial statements.

Adopted in fiscal year ended September 30, 2014

FASB ASU 2013-02, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220), Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income" supersedes ASU 2011-12, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220), Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05" and the presentation requirements for reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income in ASU 2011-05. ASU 2013-02 requires entities to present separately significant amounts reclassified out of each component of OCI, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, if the amount reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other significant amounts, entities shall provide cross-references to the notes where additional details about the effect of the reclassifications are disclosed. The disclosures required by this amendment are included in Note 6. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss).

The Company has determined that all other recently issued accounting pronouncements will not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements or do not apply to its operations.

**Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

**General.** The majority of our assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. Consequently, our most significant form of market risk has historically been interest rate risk. In general, our assets, consisting primarily of mortgage loans, have longer maturities than our liabilities, consisting primarily of deposits. As a result, a principal part of our business strategy is to manage interest rate risk and limit the exposure of our net interest income to changes in market interest rates. Accordingly, our Board of Directors has established risk parameter limits deemed appropriate given our business strategy, operating environment, capital, liquidity and performance objectives. Additionally, our Board of Directors has also authorized the formation of an Asset/Liability Management Committee comprised of key operating



personnel which is responsible for managing this risk consistent with the guidelines and risk limits approved by the Board of Directors. Further, the Board has established the Directors Risk Committee which, among other responsibilities, conducts regular oversight and review of the guidelines, policies and deliberations of the Asset/Liability Management Committee. We have sought to manage our interest rate risk in order to control

80

---

Table of Contents

the exposure of our earnings and capital to changes in interest rates. As part of our ongoing asset-liability management, we have historically used the following strategies to manage our interest rate risk:

- (i) marketing adjustable-rate and shorter-maturity (10-year, fixed-rate mortgage) loan products; lengthening the weighted average remaining term of major funding sources, primarily by offering attractive
- (ii) interest rates on deposit products, particularly longer-term CDs and through the use of longer-term advances from the FHLB of Cincinnati and longer-term brokered CDs;
- (iii) investing in shorter- to medium-term investments and mortgage-backed securities;
- (iv) maintaining high levels of capital; and
- (v) securitizing and/or selling long-term, fixed-rate residential real estate mortgage loans.

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, \$76.0 million of long-term (15 to 30 years), fixed-rate mortgage loans were sold to Fannie Mae, all on a servicing retained basis, and, at September 30, 2014, \$5.0 million of Fannie Mae-eligible, long-term, fixed-rate residential first mortgage loans were classified as “held for sale”. Included in the fiscal 2014 loan sales were \$27.6 million of long-term, fixed-rate first mortgage loans under Fannie Mae's HARP II program. The remainder of the fiscal 2014 loan sales were also to Fannie Mae pursuant to our reinstated qualified seller status. At September 30, 2014, outstanding loan sales commitments, all of which were agency-compliant HARP II loans, totaled \$4.6 million.

Fannie Mae, historically the Association's primary loan investor, implemented, effective July 1, 2010, certain loan origination requirement changes affecting loan eligibility that we chose not to adopt until May 2013. Subsequent to the May 2013 implementation date of our revised procedures, and, upon review and validation by Fannie Mae which was received on November 15, 2013, fixed-rate, first mortgage loans (primarily fixed-rate, mortgage refinances with terms of 15 years or more and HARP II loans) that are originated under the revised procedures are eligible for sale to Fannie Mae either as whole loans or as mortgage-backed securities. We expect that certain loan types (i.e. our Smart Rate adjustable-rate loans, purchase fixed-rate loans and 10-year fixed-rate loans) will continue to be originated under our legacy procedures. For loans originated prior to May 2013 and for those loans originated subsequent to April 2013 that are not originated under the revised (Fannie Mae) procedures, the Association's ability to reduce interest rate risk via loan sales is limited to those loans that have established payment histories, strong borrower credit profiles and are supported by adequate collateral values that meet the requirements of private third-party investors similar to the four transactions that were completed during fiscal 2013.

In response to the evolving secondary market environment, since July 2010, we have actively marketed an adjustable-rate mortgage loan product and beginning in fiscal 2012, have promoted a 10-year fixed-rate mortgage loan product. Each of these products provides us with improved interest rate risk characteristics when compared to longer-term, fixed-rate mortgage loans. Shortening the average maturity of our interest-earning assets by increasing our investments in shorter-term loans and investments, as well as loans and investments with variable rates of interest, helps to better match the maturities and interest rates of our assets and liabilities, thereby reducing the exposure of our net interest income to changes in market interest rates. By following these strategies, we believe that we are better positioned to react to increases in market interest rates.

**Economic Value of Equity.** Using customized modeling software, the Association prepares periodic estimates of the amounts by which the net present value of its cash flows from assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items (the institution's economic value of equity or EVE) would change in the event of a range of assumed changes in market interest rates. The simulation model uses a discounted cash flow analysis and an option-based pricing approach in measuring the interest rate sensitivity of EVE. The model estimates the economic value of each type of asset, liability and off-balance sheet contract under the assumption that instantaneous changes (measured in basis points) occur at all maturities along the United States Treasury yield curve and other relevant market interest rates. A basis point equals one, one-hundredth of one percent, and 100 basis points equals one percent. An increase in interest rates from 2% to 3% would mean, for example, a 100 basis point increase in the “Change in Interest Rates” column below. The model is tailored specifically to our organization, which, we believe, improves its predictive accuracy. The following table presents the estimated changes in the Association's EVE at September 30, 2014 that would result from the indicated instantaneous changes in the United States Treasury yield curve and other relevant market interest rates. Computations of prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions, including relative

levels of market interest rates, loan prepayments and deposit decay, and should not be relied upon as indicative of actual results.

81

---

Table of Contents

| Change in Interest Rates (basis points) (1) | Estimated EVE (2)      | Estimated Increase (Decrease) in EVE |           |  | EVE as a Percentage of Present Value of Assets (3) |                                    |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|--|--|------------------------------------|
|   |                        | Amount                               | Percent   |  | EVE Ratio (4)                                      | Increase (Decrease) (basis points) |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |                                      |           |  |  |                                    |
| +300  | \$1,598,467            | \$(623,527 )                         | (28.06 )% |  | 14.80 %  | (366 )                             |
| +200  | 1,836,221              | (385,773 )                           | (17.36 )% |  | 16.37 %  | (209 )                             |
| +100  | 2,055,813              | (166,181 )                           | (7.48 )%  |  | 17.66 %  | (80 )                              |
| 0   | 2,221,994              | —                                    | — %       |  | 18.46 %  | —                                  |
| -100  | 2,270,550              | 48,556                               | 2.19 %    |  | 18.39 %  | (7 )                               |

(1) Assumes an instantaneous uniform change in interest rates at all maturities.

(2) EVE is the discounted present value of expected cash flows from assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet contracts.

(3) Present value of assets represents the discounted present value of incoming cash flows on interest-earning assets.

(4) EVE Ratio represents EVE divided by the present value of assets.

The table above indicates that at September 30, 2014, in the event of an increase of 200 basis points in all interest rates, the Association would experience a 17.36% decrease in EVE. In the event of a 100 basis point decrease in interest rates, the Association would experience a 2.19% increase in EVE.

The following table is based on the calculations contained in the previous table, and sets forth the change in the EVE at a +200 basis point rate of shock at September 30, 2014, with comparative information as of September 30, 2013. The Association measures and manages its interest rate risk for interest rate shocks relative to established risk tolerances in EVE.

| Risk Measure (+200 bp Rate Shock)   | At September 30, |    |        |    |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|----|--------|----|
|                                     | 2014             |    | 2013   |    |
| Pre-Shock EVE Ratio                 | 18.46            | %  | 18.97  | %  |
| Post-Shock EVE Ratio                | 16.37            | %  | 17.28  | %  |
| Sensitivity Measure in basis points | (209             | )  | (169   | )  |
| Percentage Change in EVE Ratio      | (17.36           | )% | (14.19 | )% |

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the methodologies used in measuring interest rate risk through changes in EVE. Modeling changes in EVE requires making certain assumptions that may or may not reflect the manner in which actual yields and costs respond to changes in market interest rates. In this regard, the EVE tables presented above assume:

• no new growth or business volumes;

• that the composition of our interest-sensitive assets and liabilities existing at the beginning of a period remains constant over the period being measured, except for reductions to reflect mortgage loan principal repayments along with modeled prepayments and defaults; and

• that a particular change in interest rates is reflected uniformly, and instantaneously, across the yield curve regardless of the duration or repricing of specific assets and liabilities.

Accordingly, although the EVE tables provide an indication of our interest rate risk exposure as of the indicated dates, such measurements are not intended to and do not provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in market interest rates on our EVE and will differ from actual results. In addition to our core business activities, which primarily sought to originate Smart Rate and 10 year fixed loans funded by borrowings from the FHLB and intermediate term CDs (including brokered CDs), and which generally had a favorable impact on our IRR profile, the impact of three other items resulted in the net deterioration of (3.17)% in the Percentage Change in EVE Ratio measure at September 30, 2014 when compared to the measure at September 30, 2013. First, in December 2013, the Association paid an \$85 million cash dividend to the Company. Because of its intercompany nature, this payment had no impact on the Company's capital position or the Company's overall IRR profile but reduced the Association's regulatory capital and

regulatory capital ratios and negatively impacted the Association's percentage change in EVE by approximately 0.58%. Second, additional enhancements of the model's expected collateral performance assumptions along with the installation of an updated version of the model negatively impacted the percentage change in EVE by approximately 6.15%. As further explained in the next paragraph, refinement of the assumptions

82

---

Table of Contents

used in our model is an iterative and continual process. As the current low interest rate environment has persisted, our data set of Smart Rate and fixed rate portfolio actual performance metrics continues to grow. Interpretation of this additional information resulted in the unfavorable modification of the model's assumptions. The third item that impacted our EVE estimates during the year were changes in market interest rates. For interest sensitive assets and liabilities with terms of two years through five years, market interest rates increased during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, in a range of 25 basis points for the two year term to 38 basis points for the five year term, while the market interest rate for the ten year term decreased by 12 basis points. Overall, the changes in market interest rates provided a favorable impact on the Association's percentage change in EVE of approximately 1.47%. On a combined basis these three items, negatively impacted the Association's percentage change in EVE by approximately 5.26% during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014. Partially offsetting the net unfavorable impact of the preceding items was the positive impact, approximately 2.09%, of the Association's fundamental business strategies that focused on reduced asset duration lending by promoting Smart Rate and 10 year fixed rate loans and the extension of our liability funding duration by utilizing FHLB advances and CDs, including brokered CDs. The IRR simulation results presented above were in line with management's expectations and were within the risk limits established by our Board of Directors.

Our simulation model possesses random patterning capabilities and accommodates extensive regression analytics applicable to the prepayment and decay profiles of our borrower and depositor portfolios. The model facilitates the generation of alternative modeling scenarios and provides us with timely decision making data that is integral to our IRR management processes. Modeling our IRR profile and measuring our IRR exposure are processes that are subject to continuous revision, refinement, modification, enhancement, back testing and validation. We continually evaluate, challenge and update the methodology and assumptions used in our IRR model, including behavioral equations that have been derived based on third-party studies of our customer historical performance patterns. Changes to the methodology and/or assumptions used in the model will result in reported IRR profiles and reported IRR exposures that will be different, and perhaps significantly, from the results reported above.

Earnings at Risk. In addition to EVE calculations, we use our simulation model to analyze the sensitivity of our net interest income to changes in interest rates (the institution's EaR). Net interest income is the difference between the interest income that we earn on our interest-earning assets, such as loans and securities, and the interest that we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. In our model, we estimate what our net interest income would be for prospective 12 and 24 month periods using customized (based on our portfolio characteristics) assumptions with respect to loan prepayment rates, default rates and deposit decay rates, and the implied forward yield curve as of the market date for assumptions as to projected interest rates. We then calculate what the net interest income would be for the same period in the event of instantaneous changes in market interest rates. The simulation process is subject to continual enhancement, modification, refinement and adaptation in order that it might most accurately reflect our current circumstances, factors and expectations. As of September 30, 2014, using our enhanced customer behavioral assumptions and reflective of other modeling modifications, we estimated that our EaR for the 12 months ending September 30, 2015 would decrease by 2.2% in the event of an instantaneous 200 basis point increase in market interest rates. The deterioration in this estimated decrease when compared to our September 30, 2013 estimated decrease of 0.5% for the then ensuing 12 month period, primarily reflects the use of enhanced customer behavioral assumptions and other modeling modifications that have been subjected to further refinement.

Certain shortcomings are also inherent in the methodologies used in determining interest rate risk through changes in EaR. Modeling changes in EaR require making certain assumptions that may or may not reflect the manner in which actual yields and costs respond to changes in market interest rates. In this regard, the interest rate risk information presented above assumes that the composition of our interest-sensitive assets and liabilities existing at the beginning of a period remains substantially constant over the period being measured and assumes that a particular change in interest rates is reflected uniformly across the yield curve regardless of the duration or repricing of specific assets and liabilities. Accordingly, although interest rate risk calculations provide an indication of our interest rate risk exposure at a particular point in time, such measurements are not intended to and do not provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in market interest rates on our net interest income and will differ from actual results. In addition to the preparation of computations as described above, we also formulate simulations based on a variety of non-linear

changes in interest rates and a variety of non-constant balance sheet composition scenarios.

Other Considerations. The EVE and EaR analyses are similar in that they both start with the same month end balance sheet amounts, weighted average coupon and maturity. The underlying prepayment, decay and default assumptions are also the same and they both start with the same month end "markets" (Treasury and Libor yield curves, etc.). From that similar starting point, the models follow divergent paths. EVE is a stochastic model using 300 different interest rate paths to compute market value at the cohorted transaction level for each of the categories on the balance sheet whereas EaR uses the implied forward curve to compute interest income/expense at the cohorted transaction level for each of the categories on the balance sheet.

Table of Contents

EVE is considered as a point in time calculation with a "liquidation" view of the Association where all the cash flows (including interest, principal and prepayments) are modeled and discounted using discount factors derived from the current market yield curves. It provides a long term view and helps to define changes in equity and duration as a result of changes in interest rates. On the other hand, EaR is based on balance sheet projections going one year and two year forward and assumes new business volume and pricing to calculate net interest income under different interest rate environments. EaR is calculated to determine the sensitivity of net interest income under different interest rate scenarios. With each of these models specific policy limits have been established that are compared with the actual month end results. These limits have been approved by the Association's Board of Directors and are used as benchmarks to evaluate and moderate interest rate risk. In the event that there is a breach of policy limits, management is responsible for taking such action, similar to those described under the preceding heading of General, as may be necessary in order to return the Association's interest rate risk profile to a position that is in compliance with the policy. At September 30, 2014 the IRR profile as disclosed above did not breach our internal limits.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The Financial Statements are included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Form 10-K.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure  
Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision of and with the participation of the Company's management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based upon that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report Regarding Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as such terms are defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act of 1934. Our system of internal controls is designed to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements that we provide to the public are fairly presented.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect transactions and dispositions of assets, (ii) provide reasonable assurances that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and the directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Accordingly, absolute assurance cannot be provided that the effectiveness of the internal control systems may not become inadequate in future periods because of changes in conditions, or because the degree of compliance



with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

84

---

Table of Contents

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2014. In making this assessment, the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) was utilized. Based on this assessment, management believes that, as of September 30, 2014, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm has issued an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302 Certifications have been filed as Exhibit 31.1 and Exhibit 31.2 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
TFS Financial Corporation  
Cleveland, OH

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of TFS Financial Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of September 30, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report Regarding Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's Board of Directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2014, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended September 30, 2014 of the Company and our report dated November 26, 2014, expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP  
Cleveland, OH  
November 26, 2014

86

---

Table of Contents

Item 9B. Other Information  
Not applicable.

## PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Incorporated by reference from the Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the “Proxy Statement”) sections entitled “Proposal One: Election of Directors,” “Executive Compensation,” “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” and “Corporate Governance.” Such information will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

The table below sets forth information, as of September 30, 2014, regarding our executive officers other than Mr. Stefanski and Ms. Weil.

| Name               | Title  | Age |
|--------------------|--|-----|
| David S. Huffman   | Chief Financial Officer  | 62  |
| Paul J. Huml       | Chief Accounting Officer<br>Chief Operating Officer, the Company | 55  |
| Anna M. Motta      | Chief Information Officer, the Association                       | 55  |
| Terence C. Paulett | Chief Risk Officer   | 61  |
| Cathy W. Zbanek    | Chief Marketing and Human Resources Officer, the<br>Association  | 41  |

The executive officers of the Company and the Association are elected annually and hold office until their respective successors are elected or until death, resignation, retirement or removal by the Board of Directors.

#### The Business Background of Our Executive Officers

The business experience for the past five years of each of our executive officers other than Mr. Stefanski and Ms. Weil is set forth below. Unless otherwise indicated, executive officers have held their positions for the past five years.

David S. Huffman joined the Association in 1993, and has served as its Chief Financial Officer since 2000. He has also served as Chief Financial Officer of the Company since 2004. Mr. Huffman has more than 30 years of experience in the financial institutions industry, including serving as Chief Financial Officer of First American Savings Bank of Canton, Ohio, from 1989 to 1993.

Paul J. Huml joined the Association as a Vice President in 1998 and was appointed Chief Operating Officer of the Company in 2002 and Chief Accounting Officer in June 2009. Prior to joining the Association, Mr. Huml spent 10 years in the hotel industry, focusing on the areas of finance, real estate development and risk management. Mr. Huml is a certified public accountant in the state of Ohio.

Anna M. Motta joined the Association in 1989 and was named Chief Information Officer in 2014. During her time with the Association, Ms. Motta has managed a number of different operational areas including Northeast Ohio Retail Operations, Customer Service, Internet Services, Loan Servicing, Default Servicing, Deposit Operations, and Information Services. Ms. Motta’s more than 30 years in the banking industry also included serving as Treasurer of ParkView Federal Savings and Loan, in Cleveland, Ohio, from 1987 to 1989.

Terence C. Paulett joined the Association in 1994 and was named Chief Risk Officer in 2011. During his time with the Association, Mr. Paulett has managed many different operational departments, including underwriting, risk, compliance, legal and internal audit. Mr. Paulett’s more than 30 years in the banking industry have also included overseeing several credit and audit functions with both Commerce Exchange Bank and AmeriTrust.

Table of Contents

Cathy W. Zbanek joined the Association in 2001 and was named the Chief Marketing Officer in January 2013 and also serves as the Human Resource Officer for the Association. Prior to her current role, she directed several key strategic business projects as well as systems design and development. She also managed several departments, including Customer Service. Before joining the Association, Ms. Zbanek served as a senior consultant with Waterstone Consulting, working in their Management Consulting Group. Her experience also includes working with the consulting group, Price Waterhouse Coopers.

The Company has adopted a policy statement entitled CODE OF ETHICS FOR SENIOR FINANCIAL OFFICERS that applies to our chief executive officer and our senior financial officers. A copy of the CODE OF ETHICS FOR SENIOR FINANCIAL OFFICERS is available on our website, [www.thirdfederal.com](http://www.thirdfederal.com).

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Incorporated by reference from the sections of the Proxy Statement entitled “Executive Compensation,” “Compensation Committee Report,” and “Director Compensation.” Such information will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters  
Incorporated by reference from the section of the Proxy Statement entitled “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management.” Such information will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

The Company’s only equity compensation program that was not approved by shareholders is its employee stock ownership plan which was established in conjunction with our initial stock offering completed in April 2007.

The following table provides information as of September 30, 2014 regarding our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan that was approved by shareholders on May 29, 2008:

| Plan Category  | Number of Shares to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Rights and Warrants | Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Rights and Warrants | Number of Shares Remaining Available Under the Plan |
|--|---|---|---|
| Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Stockholders     | 8,086,852   | \$ 9.27 (1)   | 13,485,006  |
| Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Stockholders | N/A   | N/A   | N/A   |
| Total  | 8,086,852   | \$ 9.27 (1)   | 13,485,006  |

(1) Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Rights and Warrants is calculated using 1,352,777 shares of restricted stock awards at \$0.00 and 6,734,075 shares of stock option awards at \$11.13.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Incorporated by reference from the sections of the Proxy Statement entitled “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions” and “Corporate Governance.” Such information will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Incorporated by reference from the section of the Proxy Statement entitled “Fees Paid to Deloitte & Touche LLP.” Such information will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.



Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a)(1) Financial Statements

The following documents are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

a. The consolidated financial statements of TFS Financial Corporation and subsidiaries contained in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

Consolidated Statements of Condition as of September 30, 2014 and 2013;

Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012;

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012;

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012,

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012; and

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

b. The exhibits listed in the Exhibits Index beginning on Page 138 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.



Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
TFS Financial Corporation  
Cleveland, OH

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of condition of TFS Financial Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2014. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of TFS Financial Corporation and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2014, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2014, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated November 26, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP  
Cleveland, OH  
November 26, 2014

Table of Contents

## TFS FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION

As of September 30, 2014 and 2013

(In thousands, except share data)

|  | 2014                | 2013                |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>  |                     |                     |
| Cash and due from banks  | \$26,886            | \$34,694            |
| Other interest-bearing cash equivalents  | 154,517             | 251,302             |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | 181,403             | 285,996             |
| Investment securities available for sale (amortized cost \$570,549 and \$480,664, respectively)  | 568,868             | 477,376             |
| Mortgage loans held for sale, at lower of cost or market (\$4,570 and \$3,369 measured at fair value, respectively)  | 4,962               | 4,179               |
| Loans held for investment, net:  |                     |                     |
| Mortgage loans   | 10,708,483          | 10,185,674          |
| Other loans  | 4,721               | 4,100               |
| Deferred loan fees, net  | (1,155 )            | (13,171 )           |
| Allowance for loan losses  | (81,362 )           | (92,537 )           |
| Loans, net   | 10,630,687          | 10,084,066          |
| Mortgage loan servicing assets, net  | 11,669              | 14,074              |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost  | 40,411              | 35,620              |
| Real estate owned, net   | 21,768              | 22,666              |
| Premises, equipment, and software, net   | 56,443              | 58,517              |
| Accrued interest receivable  | 31,952              | 31,489              |
| Bank owned life insurance contracts  | 190,152             | 183,724             |
| Other assets   | 64,880              | 71,639              |
| <b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>  | <b>\$11,803,195</b> | <b>\$11,269,346</b> |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>  |                     |                     |
| Deposits   | \$8,653,878         | \$8,464,499         |
| Borrowed funds   | 1,138,639           | 745,117             |
| Borrowers' advances for insurance and taxes  | 76,266              | 71,388              |
| Principal, interest, and related escrow owed on loans serviced   | 54,670              | 75,745              |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities   | 40,285              | 41,120              |
| Total liabilities  | 9,963,738           | 9,397,869           |
| Commitments and contingent liabilities   |                     |                     |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding  | —                   | —                   |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 700,000,000 shares authorized; 332,318,750 shares issued; 301,654,581 and 309,230,591 outstanding at September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, respectively | 3,323               | 3,323               |
| Paid-in capital  | 1,702,441           | 1,696,370           |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 30,664,169 and 23,088,159 shares at September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, respectively   | (379,109 )          | (278,215 )          |
| Unallocated ESOP shares  | (66,084 )           | (70,418 )           |
| Retained earnings—substantially restricted   | 589,678             | 529,021             |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss   | (10,792 )           | (8,604 )            |
| Total shareholders' equity   | 1,839,457           | 1,871,477           |

|  |              |              |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$11,803,195 | \$11,269,346 |
|--|--------------|--------------|

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## TFS FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

For each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2014

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

|   | 2014            | 2013            | 2012            |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| <b>INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME:</b>                                    |                 |                 |                 |
| Loans, including fees   | \$363,409       | \$376,840       | \$409,400       |
| Investment securities available for sale                                | 9,212           | 4,941           | 1,995           |
| Investment securities held to maturity                                  | —               | —               | 4,245           |
| Other interest and dividend earning assets                              | 2,063           | 2,191           | 2,213           |
| Total interest and dividend income                                      | 374,684         | 383,972         | 417,853         |
| <b>INTEREST EXPENSE:</b>  |                 |                 |                 |
| Deposits  | 93,178          | 111,408         | 153,100         |
| Borrowed funds  | 10,073          | 4,011           | 2,546           |
| Total interest expense  | 103,251         | 115,419         | 155,646         |
| <b>NET INTEREST INCOME</b>  | <b>271,433</b>  | <b>268,553</b>  | <b>262,207</b>  |
| <b>PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES</b>  | <b>19,000</b>   | <b>37,000</b>   | <b>102,000</b>  |
| <b>NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES</b>              | <b>252,433</b>  | <b>231,553</b>  | <b>160,207</b>  |
| <b>NON-INTEREST INCOME:</b>   |                 |                 |                 |
| Fees and service charges, net of amortization                           | 9,266           | 8,921           | 11,473          |
| Net gain on the sale of loans   | 2,031           | 8,267           | 688             |
| Increase in and death benefits from bank owned life insurance contracts | 6,439           | 6,464           | 6,484           |
| Other   | 4,164           | 4,816           | 5,818           |
| Total non-interest income   | 21,900          | 28,468          | 24,463          |
| <b>NON-INTEREST EXPENSE:</b>  |                 |                 |                 |
| Salaries and employee benefits  | 90,333          | 86,471          | 80,113          |
| Marketing services  | 14,256          | 12,983          | 9,799           |
| Office property, equipment, and software                                | 20,694          | 21,009          | 20,489          |
| Federal insurance premium and assessments                               | 9,911           | 13,019          | 14,294          |
| State franchise tax   | 6,503           | 6,627           | 6,039           |
| Real estate owned expense, net  | 9,337           | 6,724           | 8,190           |
| Other operating expenses  | 24,442          | 30,827          | 32,134          |
| Total non-interest expense  | 175,476         | 177,660         | 171,058         |
| <b>INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES</b>                                       | <b>98,857</b>   | <b>82,361</b>   | <b>13,612</b>   |
| <b>INCOME TAX EXPENSE</b>   | <b>32,966</b>   | <b>26,402</b>   | <b>2,133</b>    |
| <b>NET INCOME</b>   | <b>\$65,891</b> | <b>\$55,959</b> | <b>\$11,479</b> |
| Earnings per share—basic and diluted                                    | \$0.22          | \$0.18          | \$0.04          |
| Weighted average shares outstanding                                     |                 |                 |                 |
| Basic   | 298,974,062     | 301,832,758     | 301,226,639     |
| Diluted   | 300,556,767     | 302,746,766     | 301,770,338     |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsTFS FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

For each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2014

(In thousands)

|   | 2014      | 2013      | 2012      |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net income  | \$ 65,891 | \$ 55,959 | \$ 11,479 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax                           |           |           |           |
| Change in net unrealized income (loss) on securities available for sale | 1,044     | (4,746    | ) 2,520   |
| Change in pension obligation  | (3,232    | ) 2,058   | 7,841     |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss)                                 | (2,188    | ) (2,688  | ) 10,361  |
| Total comprehensive income  | \$ 63,703 | \$ 53,271 | \$ 21,840 |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.            |           |           |           |

Table of ContentsTFS FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

For each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2014

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

|  | Common<br>stock | Paid-in<br>capital | Treasury<br>stock | Unallocated<br>common stock<br>held by<br>ESOP | Retained<br>earnings | Accumulated<br>other<br>comprehensive<br>income (loss) | Total<br>shareholders'<br>equity |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|----------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Balance at September 30,<br>2011                     | \$ 3,323        | 1,686,216          | (282,090 )        | (79,084 )                                      | 461,836              | (16,277 )  | \$ 1,773,924                     |
| Comprehensive income                                 |                 |                    |                   |  |                      |  |                                  |
| Net income   | —               | —                  | —                 | —  | 11,479               | —  | 11,479                           |
| Other comprehensive income,<br>net of tax            | —               | —                  | —                 | —  | —                    | 10,361   | 10,361                           |
| ESOP shares allocated or<br>committed to be released | —               | (330 )             | —                 | 4,333  | —                    | —  | 4,003                            |
| Compensation costs for<br>stock-based plans          | —               | 7,112              | —                 | —  | —                    | —  | 7,112                            |
| Treasury stock allocated to<br>restricted stock plan | —               | (1,114 )           | 1,153             | —  | (68 )                | —  | (29 )                            |
| Balance at September 30,<br>2012                     | \$ 3,323        | 1,691,884          | (280,937 )        | (74,751 )                                      | 473,247              | (5,916 )   | \$ 1,806,850                     |
| Comprehensive income                                 |                 |                    |                   |  |                      |  |                                  |
| Net income   | —               | —                  | —                 | —  | 55,959               | —  | 55,959                           |
| Other comprehensive loss, net<br>of tax              | —               | —                  | —                 | —  | —                    | (2,688 )   | (2,688 )                         |
| ESOP shares allocated or<br>committed to be released | —               | 166                | —                 | 4,333  | —                    | —  | 4,499                            |
| Compensation costs for<br>stock-based plans          | —               | 6,703              | —                 | —  | —                    | —  | 6,703                            |
| Treasury stock allocated to<br>restricted stock plan | —               | (2,383 )           | 2,722             | —  | (185 )               | —  | 154                              |
| Balance at September 30,<br>2013                     | \$ 3,323        | 1,696,370          | (278,215 )        | (70,418 )                                      | 529,021              | (8,604 )   | \$ 1,871,477                     |
| Comprehensive income                                 |                 |                    |                   |  |                      |  |                                  |
| Net income   | —               | —                  | —                 | —  | 65,891               | —  | 65,891                           |
| Other comprehensive loss, net<br>of tax              | —               | —                  | —                 | —  | —                    | (2,188 )   | (2,188 )                         |
| ESOP shares allocated or<br>committed to be released | —               | 1,221              | —                 | 4,334  | —                    | —  | 5,555                            |
| Compensation costs for<br>stock-based plans          | —               | 6,862              | —                 | —  | —                    | —  | 6,862                            |
| Excess tax benefit from<br>stock-based compensation  | —               | 91                 | —                 | —  | —                    | —  | 91                               |
| Purchase of treasury stock<br>(7,770,300 shares)     | —               | —                  | (103,085 )        | —  | —                    | —  | (103,085 )                       |
| Treasury stock allocated to<br>restricted stock plan | —               | (2,103 )           | 2,191             | —  | (348 )               | —  | (260 )                           |
|  | —               | —                  | —                 | —  | (4,886 )             | —  | (4,886 )                         |

Dividends paid to common  
shareholders (\$0.07 per  
common share)

|                                  |          |           |           |         |   |         |         |   |             |
|----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---|---------|---------|---|-------------|
| Balance at September 30,<br>2014 | \$ 3,323 | 1,702,441 | (379,109) | (66,084 | ) | 589,678 | (10,792 | ) | \$1,839,457 |
|----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---|---------|---------|---|-------------|

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

94

---

Table of ContentsTFS FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2014

(In thousands)

|   | 2014        | 2013        | 2012        |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                      |             |             |             |
| Net income  | \$65,891    | \$55,959    | \$11,479    |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |             |             |             |
| ESOP and stock-based compensation expense   | 12,157      | 11,356      | 11,115      |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 13,285      | 21,315      | 22,767      |
| Deferred income taxes   | 9,659       | 6,486       | (19,270 )   |
| Provision for loan losses   | 19,000      | 37,000      | 102,000     |
| Net gain on the sale of loans   | (2,031 )    | (8,267 )    | (688 )      |
| Net gain on the sale of securities  | (276 )      | —           | —           |
| Other net losses (gains)  | 2,529       | (756 )      | 2,027       |
| Principal repayments on and proceeds from sales of loans held for sale            | 27,475      | 74,170      | 26,585      |
| Loans originated for sale   | (27,907 )   | (65,545 )   | (9,640 )    |
| Increase in and death benefits for bank owned life insurance contracts            | (6,449 )    | (6,468 )    | (6,480 )    |
| Net (increase) decrease in interest receivable and other assets                   | (2,392 )    | 16,908      | 10,180      |
| Net (decrease) increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities                 | (7,537 )    | (1,739 )    | 4,920       |
| Other   | 104         | 391         | 631         |
| Net cash provided by operating activities   | 103,508     | 140,810     | 155,626     |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                      |             |             |             |
| Loans originated  | (2,425,032) | (2,459,635) | (2,928,682) |
| Principal repayments on loans   | 1,783,108   | 2,369,786   | 2,185,787   |
| Proceeds from sales, principal repayments and maturities of:                      |             |             |             |
| Securities available for sale   | 157,389     | 206,388     | 74,589      |
| Securities held to maturity   | —           | —           | 139,533     |
| Proceeds from sale of:  |             |             |             |
| Loans   | 48,564      | 282,221     | —           |
| Real estate owned   | 25,738      | 25,817      | 22,731      |
| Purchases of:   |             |             |             |
| FHLB Stock  | (4,791 )    | —           | —           |
| Securities available for sale   | (250,832 )  | (276,454 )  | (134,488 )  |
| Securities held to maturity   | —           | —           | (93,509 )   |
| Premises and equipment  | (2,816 )    | (2,819 )    | (7,332 )    |
| Other   | 25          | (116 )      | 8           |
| Net cash (used in) provided by in investing activities                            | (668,647 )  | 145,188     | (741,363 )  |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                      |             |             |             |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits   | 189,379     | (516,920 )  | 265,509     |
| Net increase in borrowers' advances for insurance and taxes                       | 4,878       | 3,524       | 9,629       |
| Net decrease in principal and interest owed on loans serviced                     | (21,075 )   | (51,794 )   | (24,320 )   |
| Net (decrease) increase in short term borrowed funds                              | (5,430 )    | (52,732 )   | 316,335     |
| Proceeds from long term borrowed funds  | 450,000     | 320,000     | 35,000      |
| Repayment of long term borrowed funds   | (51,048 )   | (10,342 )   | (3,000 )    |
| Purchase of treasury shares   | (101,363 )  | —           | —           |
| Excess tax benefit related to stock-based compensation                            | 91          | —           | —           |
| Dividends paid to common shareholders   | (4,886 )    | —           | —           |



Edgar Filing: TFS Financial CORP - Form 10-K

|   |            |            |           |
|---|------------|------------|-----------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) in financing activities                | 460,546    | (308,264 ) | 599,153   |
| NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS                  | (104,593 ) | (22,266 )  | 13,416    |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS—Beginning of year                           | 285,996    | 308,262    | 294,846   |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS—End of year                                 | \$181,403  | \$285,996  | \$308,262 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:                     |            |            |           |
| Cash paid for interest on deposits                                    | \$92,143   | \$111,707  | \$153,463 |
| Cash paid for interest on borrowed funds                              | 9,503      | 3,743      | 2,541     |
| Cash paid for income taxes  | 25,100     | 19,642     | 19,794    |
| SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULES OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES: |            |            |           |
| Transfer of loans to real estate owned                                | 27,000     | 27,741     | 23,006    |
| Transfer of loans from held for investment to held for sale           | 48,088     | 337,009    | 245,920   |
| Transfer of loans from held for sale to held for investment           | —          | 155,028    | 104,657   |
| Transfer of investments from held to maturity to available for sale   | —          | —          | 343,687   |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.          |            |            |           |

Table of Contents

TFS FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As of and for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012

(Dollars in thousands unless otherwise indicated)

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting and reporting policies of TFS Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and to general practices within the thrift industry. In preparing the accompanying consolidated financial statements, subsequent events were evaluated through the time the consolidated financial statements were issued. No material subsequent events have occurred requiring recognition in the consolidated financial statements or disclosure in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

The following is a description of the significant accounting and reporting policies, which the Company follows in preparing and presenting its consolidated financial statements.

**Business**—TFS Financial Corporation, a federally chartered stock holding company, conducts its principal activities through its wholly owned subsidiaries. The principal line of business of the Company is retail consumer banking, including mortgage lending, deposit gathering, and other insignificant financial services. Third Federal Savings and Loan Association of Cleveland, MHC, its federally chartered mutual holding company parent, owned 75.29% of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Company at September 30, 2014.

The Company's primary operating subsidiaries include the Association and Third Capital, Inc. The Association is a federal savings association, which provides retail loan and savings products to its customers in Ohio and Florida, through its 38 full-service branches, eight loan production offices, customer service call center and internet site. The Association also provides savings products and first mortgage refinance loans and home equity lines of credit in states outside of its branch footprint. Third Capital, Inc. was formed to hold non-thrift investments and subsidiaries, which include a limited liability company that acquires and manages commercial real estate, a Vermont captive reinsurance company, which as of September 30, 2014 had no reinsurance contracts remaining, and an entity that pursues merger and acquisition opportunities and investments in private equity investment funds.

**Principles of Consolidation**—The consolidated financial statements of the Company include the accounts of TFS Financial Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiaries. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

**Cash and Cash Equivalents**—Cash and cash equivalents consist of working cash on hand, and demand and interest bearing deposits at other financial institutions with maturities of three months or less. For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents also includes federal funds sold. The Company has acknowledged informal agreements with banks where it maintains deposits. Under these agreements, service fees charged to the Company are waived provided certain average compensating balances are maintained throughout each month.

**Investment Securities**—Securities held to maturity are securities that the Company has the positive intent and the ability to hold to maturity; these securities are reported at amortized cost and adjusted for unamortized premiums and discounts. All other securities are classified as available for sale. Securities held as available for sale are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, reported as a component of AOCI. Management determines the appropriate classification of securities based on the intent and ability at the time of purchase.

Gains and losses on the sale of investment and mortgage-backed securities available for sale are computed on a specific identification basis. Purchases and sales of securities are accounted for on a trade-date or settlement-date basis, depending on the settlement terms.

A decline in the fair value of any available for sale or held to maturity security, below cost, that is deemed to be other than temporary, results in a reduction in the carrying amount to fair value. The impairment loss is bifurcated between that related to credit loss which is recognized in non-interest income and that related to all other factors which is recognized in other comprehensive income. To determine whether an impairment is other than temporary, the Company considers, among other things, the duration and extent to which the fair value of an investment is less than its cost, changes in value subsequent to year end, forecast performance of the issuer, and whether the Company has the intent to hold the investment until market price recovery, or, for debt securities, whether the Company has the intent to

sell the security or more likely than not will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery. Premiums and discounts are amortized using the level-yield method.

## Table of Contents

**Mortgage Banking Activity**—Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value in the aggregate. Mortgage loans included in pending agency contracts to sell and securitize loans are carried at fair value. Fair value is based on quoted secondary market pricing for loan portfolios with similar characteristics and includes consideration of deferred fees (costs). Net unrealized losses, or net unrealized gains and losses on loans carried at fair value, are recognized in a valuation allowance by charges to income.

The Company retains servicing on loans that are sold and initially recognizes an asset for mortgage loan servicing rights based on the fair value of the servicing rights. Residential mortgage loans represent the single class of servicing rights and are measured at the lower of cost or fair value on a recurring basis. Mortgage loan servicing rights are reported net of accumulated amortization, which is recorded in proportion to, and over the period of, estimated net servicing revenues. The Company monitors prepayments and changes amortization of mortgage servicing rights accordingly. The impairment analysis is based on predominant risk characteristics of the loans serviced, such as type, fixed and adjustable rate loans, original terms and interest rates. Fair values are estimated using discounted cash flows based on current interest rates and prepayment assumptions, and impairment is monitored each quarterly reporting period. The amount of impairment recognized is the amount by which the mortgage loan servicing assets exceed their fair value.

Servicing fee income net of amortization and other loan fees collected on loans serviced for others are included in Fees and service charges, net of amortization on the financial statements.

**Derivative Instruments**—The Company enters into certain transactions, referred to as forward commitments, for the sale of mortgage loans principally to protect against the risk of adverse interest rate movements on the value of those assets. The Company recognizes the fair value of the contracts when the characteristics of those contracts meet the definition of a derivative. These derivatives are not designated in a hedging relationship; therefore, gains and losses are recognized immediately in the statement of income.

The Company enters into commitments to originate loans which, when funded, will be classified as held for sale. Such commitments meet the definition of a derivative and are not designated in a hedging relationship; therefore, gains and losses are recognized immediately in the statement of income.

**Loans and Related Fees**—Loans originated with the intent to hold into the foreseeable future are carried at unpaid principal balances adjusted for partial charge-offs, the allowance for loan losses and net deferred origination fees. Interest on loans is accrued and credited to income as earned. Interest is not accrued on loans when collectability is uncertain.

Loan fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and recognized as an adjustment to interest income using the level-yield method over the contractual lives of related loans, if the loans are held for investment. If the loans are held for sale, net deferred fees (costs) are not amortized, but rather are recognized when the related loans are sold.

Loans are classified as troubled debt restructurings when the original contractual terms are modified to provide a concession to a borrower experiencing financial difficulty under terms that would not otherwise be available and the modification is the result of an agreement between the Company and the borrower or is imposed by a court or law. Concessions granted in troubled debt restructurings may include a reduction of the stated interest rate, a reduction or forbearance of principal, an extension of the maturity date, a significant delay in payments, the removal of one or more borrowers from the obligation, or any combination of these.

**Allowance for Loan Losses**—The allowance for loan losses is assessed on a quarterly basis and provisions for loan losses are made in order to maintain the allowance at a level sufficient to absorb credit losses in the portfolio. Impairment evaluations are performed on loans segregated into homogeneous pools based on similarities in credit profile, product and property types. Through the evaluation, general allowances for loan losses are assessed based on historical loan loss experience for each homogeneous pool. General allowances are adjusted to address other factors that affect estimated probable losses including the size of the portion of the portfolio that is not subjected to individual review; current delinquency statistics; the status of loans in foreclosure, real estate in judgment and real estate owned; national, regional and local economic factors and trends; asset disposition loss statistics (both current and historical); and the relative level of individually allocated valuation allowances to the balances of loans individually reviewed. The allowance for loan losses is increased by charges to income and decreased by charge-offs

(net of recoveries). Management believes the allowance is adequate.

For further discussion on the allowance for loan losses, non-accrual, impairment, and troubled debt restructurings, see Note 5. Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses.

Real Estate Owned, net—Real estate owned, net represents real estate acquired through foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure and is initially recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell. Subsequent to acquisition, real estate owned is carried at the lower of cost or fair value less estimated selling costs. Management performs periodic valuations and a valuation

Table of Contents

allowance is established by a charge to income for any excess of the carrying value over the fair value less estimated costs to sell the property. Recoveries in fair value during the holding period are recognized until the valuation allowance is reduced to zero. Costs related to holding and maintaining the property are charged to expense.

**Premises, Equipment, and Software—**Depreciation and amortization of premises, equipment and software is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Estimated lives are 20 to 50 years for office facilities and three to 10 years for equipment and software. Amortization of leasehold improvements is computed on a straight-line basis over the lesser of the lease term or the life of the related asset.

**Impairment of Long-Lived Assets—**Long-lived assets, consisting of premises, equipment and software, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the recovery amount or estimated fair value of the assets. No events or changes in circumstances have occurred causing management to evaluate the recoverability of the Company's long-lived assets.

**Bank Owned Life Insurance—**Life insurance is provided under both whole and split dollar life insurance agreements. Policy premiums were prepaid and the Company will recover the premiums paid from the proceeds of the policies. The Company recognizes death benefits and growth in the cash surrender value of the policies in other non-interest income.

**Goodwill—**The excess of purchase price over the fair value of net assets of acquired companies is classified as goodwill and reported in Other Assets. Goodwill was \$9,732 at September 30, 2014 and 2013. Goodwill is reviewed for impairment on an annual basis as of September 30. No impairment was identified as of September 30, 2014 or 2013.

**Taxes on Income—**Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Additional information about policies related to income taxes is included in Note 12. Income Taxes.

**Deposits—**Interest on deposits is accrued and charged to expense monthly and is paid or credited in accordance with the terms of the accounts.

**Treasury Stock—**Acquisitions of treasury stock are recorded at cost using the cost method of accounting. Repurchases may be made through open market purchases, block trades and in negotiated private transactions, subject to the availability of stock, general market conditions, the trading price of the stock, alternative uses for capital, and the Company's financial performance. Repurchased shares will be available for general corporate purposes.

**Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss—**Accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of pension liability adjustments and gains (losses) on securities available for sale, net of the related tax effects.

**Share-Based Compensation—**Compensation expense for awards of equity instruments is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC 718 "Compensation—Stock Compensation". Share-based compensation expense is included in Salaries and employee benefits in the consolidated statements of income.

The grant date fair value of stock options is estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model using assumptions for the expected option term, expected stock price volatility, risk-free interest rate, and expected dividend yield. Due to limited historical data on exercise of share options, the simplified method is used to estimate expected option term.

**Marketing Costs—**Marketing costs are expensed as incurred.

**Earnings per Share—**Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average shares of common stock outstanding. Outstanding shares include shares sold to subscribers, shares held by the Third Federal Foundation, shares of the Employee Stock Ownership Plan which have been allocated or committed to be released for allocation to participants, and shares held by Third Federal Savings, MHC.

Diluted earnings per share is computed using the same method as basic earnings per share, but reflects the potential dilution, if any, of unexercised stock options and unvested shares of restricted stock units that could occur if stock options were exercised and restricted stock units were issued and converted into common stock. These potentially dilutive shares would then

Table of Contents

be included in the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period using the treasury stock method. At September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, potentially dilutive shares include stock options and restricted stock units issued through stock-based compensation plans.

Use of Estimates—The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

## 2. STOCK TRANSACTIONS

TFS Financial Corporation completed its initial public stock offering on April 20, 2007 and sold 100,199,618 shares, or 30.16% of its post-offering outstanding common stock, to subscribers in the offering. Third Federal Savings, MHC, the Company's mutual holding company parent, holds 227,119,132 shares of TFS Financial Corporation's outstanding common stock. TFS Financial Corporation issued 5,000,000 shares of common stock, or 1.50% of its post-offering outstanding common stock, to Third Federal Foundation.

In November 2013, the fourth repurchase program allowing the repurchase of up to 3,300,000 shares of TFS Financial Corporation's outstanding common stock, which was originally authorized by the Board of Directors in March, 2009, was completed. The Board of Directors authorized a fifth repurchase program for the repurchase of 5,000,000 shares in April, 2014 which was completed in September, 2014. The Board of Directors authorized a sixth repurchase program for the repurchase of up to 10,000,000 shares in September, 2014. A total of 7,770,300 shares were repurchased during the year ended September 30, 2014. No shares were repurchased during the year ended September 30, 2013. At September 30, 2014, there were 9,385,950 shares remaining to be purchased under the sixth repurchase program. The Company had suspended its repurchase program between July, 2010 and October, 2013 as a result of concerns previously communicated to the Company by its regulators, which have since been resolved. The Company previously repurchased 23,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock as part of the first three previous Board of Directors-approved share repurchase programs.

## 3. REGULATORY MATTERS

The Association is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the financial statements of the Association. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Association must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of its assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Association to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in table below) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and core capital (as defined) to adjusted assets (as defined). The risk-based capital guidelines include both a definition of capital and a framework for calculating risk-weighted assets by assigning balance sheet assets and off-balance sheet assets to broad risk categories. At September 30, 2014, the Association exceeded all regulatory capital requirements and is considered "well capitalized" under regulatory guidelines. The following table summarizes the actual capital amounts and ratios of the Association as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, compared to the minimum capital adequacy requirements and the requirements for classification as a well capitalized institution. The Association's risk based capital and capital ratios for 2013 reflect amended amounts related to the lower risk-weighting, conversion factor that is applied to unfunded commitments of home equity lines of credit that are subjected to effective account management procedures.



Table of Contents

|  | Actual       |         | Minimum Requirements          |        |   |         |
|--|--------------|---------|-------------------------------|--------|---|---------|
|  | Amount       | Ratio   | For Capital Adequacy Purposes |        | To be "Well Capitalized" Under Prompt Corrective Action Provision |         |
|  |              |         | Amount                        | Ratio  | Amount  | Ratio   |
| September 30, 2014                       |              |         |                               |        |   |         |
| Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets    | \$ 1,665,477 | 25.25 % | \$ 527,702                    | 8.00 % | \$ 659,627  | 10.00 % |
| Core Capital to Adjusted Tangible Assets | 1,584,115    | 13.47 % | 470,513                       | 4.00 % | 588,141   | 5.00 %  |
| Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets   | 1,584,115    | 24.02 % | N/A                           | N/A    | 395,776   | 6.00 %  |
| September 30, 2013                       |              |         |                               |        |   |         |
| Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets    | \$ 1,671,397 | 26.16 % | \$ 511,155                    | 8.00 % | \$ 638,943  | 10.00 % |
| Core Capital to Adjusted Tangible Assets | 1,591,373    | 14.18 % | 449,023                       | 4.00 % | 561,279   | 5.00 %  |
| Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets   | 1,591,373    | 24.91 % | N/A                           | N/A    | 417,850   | 6.00 %  |

The following table reconciles the Association's total capital under GAAP to reported regulatory capital amounts as of September 30, 2014 and 2013.

|                                      | 2014         | 2013         |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Total capital as reported under GAAP | \$ 1,579,573 | \$ 1,589,459 |
| Goodwill and software                | (6,250 )     | (6,690 )     |
| AOCI related to pension obligation   | 9,699        | 6,467        |
| Other                                | 1,093        | 2,137        |
| Total core and tier 1 capital        | 1,584,115    | 1,591,373    |
| Allowable allowance for loan losses  | 81,362       | 80,024       |
| Total risk based capital             | \$ 1,665,477 | \$ 1,671,397 |

On December 27, 2013, the Association paid a dividend of \$85,000 to the Company. There were no dividends paid to the Company during the year ended September 30, 2013.

In April, 2014, the FRS terminated the remaining MOU that had been issued by the OTS in February, 2011, prior to the OTS' July 21, 2011 merger into the OCC. The requirements of the MOU dealt with enterprise risk management issues, which have all been resolved. A related MOU had been terminated by the OCC in December, 2012. As a result of the MOU, the Company had not declared or paid dividends or repurchased any of its outstanding stock between 2010 and October, 2013. The Company resumed stock repurchases in October, 2013, and in September, 2014, paid its first quarterly dividend (\$0.07 per share) since May, 2010. On July 31, 2014, as dictated under interim final rules issued by the FRS on August 12, 2011, a majority of Third Federal Savings, MHC's members eligible to vote, approved Third Federal Savings, MHC waiving its right to receive dividends on the Company's stock that Third Federal Savings, MHC owns, up to \$0.28 per share during the 12 months ending July 31, 2015. Prior to May, 2010, Third Federal Savings, MHC had waived its right to receive each dividend paid by the Company. Unless the FRS amends its interim rule, a member vote will be required for Third Federal Savings, MHC to waive its right to receive dividends beyond July 31, 2015.

4. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Investments available for sale are summarized as follows:

|  | September 30, 2014 |                        |            |            |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|
|  | Amortized Cost     | Gross Unrealized Gains | Losses     | Fair Value |
| U.S. government and agency obligations | \$2,000            | \$23                   | \$—        | \$2,023    |
| REMICs                                 | 557,895            | 1,896                  | (4,184 )   | 555,607    |
| Fannie Mae certificates                | 10,654             | 749                    | (165 )     | 11,238     |
|  | \$570,549          | \$2,668                | \$(4,349 ) | \$568,868  |



Table of Contents

|  | September 30, 2013 |                        |            | Fair Value |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|
|  | Amortized Cost     | Gross Unrealized Gains | Losses     |            |
| U.S. government and agency obligations | \$2,000            | \$37                   | \$—        | \$2,037    |
| Freddie Mac certificates               | 894                | 56                     | —          | 950        |
| Ginnie Mae certificates                | 11,919             | 423                    | —          | 12,342     |
| REMICs                                 | 448,881            | 1,506                  | (5,810 )   | 444,577    |
| Fannie Mae certificates                | 11,495             | 805                    | (305 )     | 11,995     |
| Money market accounts                  | 5,475              | —                      | —          | 5,475      |
|  | \$480,664          | \$2,827                | \$(6,115 ) | \$477,376  |

For the year ended September 30, 2014 proceeds from sales of investment securities available for sale portfolio were \$38,725, which resulted in net realized gain of \$276. There were no sales from the investment securities available for sale portfolio or the investment securities held-to-maturity portfolio during the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

Gross unrealized losses on securities and the estimated fair value of the related securities, aggregated by investment category and length of time the individual securities have been in a continuous loss position, at September 30, 2014 and 2013, were as follows:

|                         | September 30, 2014   |                 |                      |                 |                      |                 |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|
|                         | Less Than 12 Months  |                 | 12 Months or More    |                 | Total                |                 |
|                         | Estimated Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Estimated Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Estimated Fair Value | Unrealized Loss |
| Available for sale—     |                      |                 |                      |                 |                      |                 |
| REMICs                  | \$182,151            | \$947           | \$162,321            | \$3,237         | \$344,472            | \$4,184         |
| Fannie Mae certificates | —                    | —               | 4,826                | 165             | 4,826                | 165             |
| Total                   | \$182,151            | \$947           | \$167,147            | \$3,402         | \$349,298            | \$4,349         |
|                         | September 30, 2013   |                 |                      |                 |                      |                 |
|                         | Less Than 12 Months  |                 | 12 Months or More    |                 | Total                |                 |
|                         | Estimated Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Estimated Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Estimated Fair Value | Unrealized Loss |
| Available for sale—     |                      |                 |                      |                 |                      |                 |
| REMICs                  | \$237,774            | \$4,984         | \$45,768             | \$826           | \$283,542            | \$5,810         |
| Fannie Mae certificates | 4,806                | 305             | —                    | —               | 4,806                | 305             |
| Total                   | \$242,580            | \$5,289         | \$45,768             | \$826           | \$288,348            | \$6,115         |

The unrealized losses on investment securities were attributable to market interest rate increases. The contractual terms of U.S. government and agency obligations do not permit the issuer to settle the security at a price less than the par value of the investment. The contractual cash flows of mortgage-backed securities are guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae. REMICs are issued by or backed by securities issued by these governmental agencies. It is expected that the securities would not be settled at a price substantially less than the amortized cost of the investment. During the financial market upheaval of 2008, concern arose about the financial health of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and, therefore, the viability of the payment guarantees issued by the agencies. This market was preserved when, in September 2008, the Federal Housing Finance Agency placed Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac into conservatorship. Shortly after taking control, the U.S. Treasury Department established financing agreements to ensure Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's ability to meet their obligations to holders of mortgage-backed securities that they have issued or guaranteed.

Since the decline in value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality and because the Association has neither the intent to sell the securities nor is it more likely than not the Association will be required to sell the

securities for the time periods necessary to recover the amortized cost, these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

At September 30, 2014, the amortized cost and fair value of U.S. government and agency obligations available for sale due in more than one year but less than five years are \$2,000 and \$2,023, respectively.

Table of Contents

## 5. LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Loans held for investment consist of the following:

|                                       | September 30,<br>2014 | 2013         |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Real estate loans:                    |                       |              |
| Residential Core                      | \$8,828,839           | \$8,118,511  |
| Residential Home Today                | 154,196               | 178,353      |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 1,696,929             | 1,858,398    |
| Construction                          | 57,104                | 72,430       |
| Real estate loans                     | 10,737,068            | 10,227,692   |
| Other consumer loans                  | 4,721                 | 4,100        |
| Less:                                 |                       |              |
| Deferred loan fees—net                | (1,155                | ) (13,171    |
| Loans-in-process (“LIP”)              | (28,585               | ) (42,018    |
| Allowance for loan losses             | (81,362               | ) (92,537    |
| Loans held for investment, net        | \$10,630,687          | \$10,084,066 |

At September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, \$4,962 and \$4,179 of long-term, fixed-rate loans were classified as mortgage loans held for sale.

A large concentration of the Company’s lending is in Ohio and Florida. As of September 30, 2014 and 2013, the percentage of total Residential Core and Home Today loans held in Ohio were 68% and 74%, and the percentage held in Florida were 17% and 18%, respectively. As of September 30, 2014 and 2013, equity loans and lines of credit were concentrated in the states of Ohio (40% and 39%), Florida (28% and 29%) and California (13% and 12%). The economic conditions and market for real estate in Ohio and Florida have impacted the ability of borrowers in those areas to repay their loans.

Home Today is an affordable housing program targeted to benefit low- and moderate-income home buyers. Through this program the Association provided the majority of loans to borrowers who would not otherwise qualify for the Association’s loan products, generally because of low credit scores. Although the credit profiles of borrowers in the Home Today program might be described as sub-prime, Home Today loans generally contain the same features as loans offered to our Core borrowers. Borrowers in the Home Today program must complete financial management education and counseling and must be referred to the Association by a sponsoring organization with which the Association has partnered as part of the program. Borrowers must also meet a minimum credit score threshold. Because the Association applied less stringent underwriting and credit standards to the majority of Home Today loans, loans originated under the program have greater credit risk than its traditional residential real estate mortgage loans. While effective March 27, 2009, the Home Today underwriting guidelines were changed to be substantially the same as the Association’s traditional first mortgage product, the majority of loans in this program were originated prior to that date. As of September 30, 2014 and 2013, the principal balance of Home Today loans originated prior to March 27, 2009 was \$151,164 and \$174,974 respectively. The Association does not offer, and has not offered, loan products frequently considered to be designed to target sub-prime borrowers containing features such as higher fees or higher rates, negative amortization, a loan-to-value ratio greater than 100%, or option adjustable-rate mortgages. The Association currently offers home equity lines of credit that include monthly principal and interest payments throughout the entire term. Between June 28, 2010 and March 20, 2012, due to the deterioration in overall housing conditions including concerns for loans and lines in a second lien position, home equity lines of credit and home equity loans were not offered by the Association. Prior to March 11, 2009, the Association offered residential mortgage loan products where the borrower pays only interest for a portion of the loan term. The recorded investment in interest only loans is comprised of the following:

|  | September 30,<br>2014 | 2013 |
|--|-----------------------|------|
|--|-----------------------|------|

Edgar Filing: TFS Financial CORP - Form 10-K

|                        |             |             |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Residential Core       | \$—         | \$2,353     |
| Equity lines of credit | 1,542,020   | 1,680,917   |
| Total                  | \$1,542,020 | \$1,683,270 |

102

---

Table of Contents

Home equity lines of credit prior to February 2013 require interest only payments for a maximum of 10 years and convert to fully amortizing for the remaining term, up to 20 years, at which time they are included in the home equity loan balance. Residential loans were interest only for a maximum of 5 years and converted to fully amortizing for the remaining term of up to 30 years.

An age analysis of the recorded investment in loan receivables that are past due at September 30, 2014 and 2013 is summarized in the following tables. When a loan is more than one month past due on its scheduled payments, the loan is considered 30 days or more past due. Balances are net of deferred fees and any applicable loans-in-process.

|                                       | 30-59 Days<br>Past Due | 60-89 Days<br>Past Due | 90 Days<br>or More<br>Past Due | Total Past<br>Due | Current      | Total        |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| September 30, 2014                    |                        |                        |                                |                   |              |              |
| Real estate loans:                    |                        |                        |                                |                   |              |              |
| Residential Core                      | \$9,067                | \$3,899                | \$37,451                       | \$50,417          | \$8,772,180  | \$8,822,597  |
| Residential Home Today                | 7,887                  | 2,553                  | 15,105                         | 25,545            | 126,417      | 151,962      |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 6,044                  | 1,785                  | 9,037                          | 16,866            | 1,687,349    | 1,704,215    |
| Construction                          | 200                    | —                      | —                              | 200               | 28,354       | 28,554       |
| Total real estate loans               | 23,198                 | 8,237                  | 61,593                         | 93,028            | 10,614,300   | 10,707,328   |
| Other consumer loans                  | —                      | —                      | —                              | —                 | 4,721        | 4,721        |
| Total                                 | \$23,198               | \$8,237                | \$61,593                       | \$93,028          | \$10,619,021 | \$10,712,049 |

|                                       | 30-59<br>Days<br>Past Due | 60-89<br>Days<br>Past Due | 90 Days<br>or More<br>Past Due | Total Past<br>Due | Current      | Total        |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| September 30, 2013                    |                           |                           |                                |                   |              |              |
| Real estate loans:                    |                           |                           |                                |                   |              |              |
| Residential Core                      | \$15,398                  | \$4,874                   | \$56,484                       | \$76,756          | \$8,024,657  | \$8,101,413  |
| Residential Home Today                | 8,597                     | 5,989                     | 18,341                         | 32,927            | 142,666      | 175,593      |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 7,495                     | 4,776                     | 12,042                         | 24,313            | 1,841,111    | 1,865,424    |
| Construction                          | —                         | —                         | 41                             | 41                | 30,032       | 30,073       |
| Total real estate loans               | 31,490                    | 15,639                    | 86,908                         | 134,037           | 10,038,466   | 10,172,503   |
| Other consumer loans                  | —                         | —                         | —                              | —                 | 4,100        | 4,100        |
| Total                                 | \$31,490                  | \$15,639                  | \$86,908                       | \$134,037         | \$10,042,566 | \$10,176,603 |

The recorded investment of loan receivables in non-accrual status is summarized in the following table. Balances are net of deferred fees.

|                                       | September 30, |           |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|
|                                       | 2014          | 2013      |
| Real estate loans:                    |               |           |
| Residential Core                      | \$79,388      | \$91,048  |
| Residential Home Today                | 29,960        | 34,813    |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 26,189        | 29,943    |
| Construction                          | —             | 41        |
| Total non-accrual loans               | \$135,537     | \$155,845 |

Loans are placed in non-accrual status when they are contractually 90 days or more past due. Loans modified in troubled debt restructurings that were in non-accrual status prior to the restructurings remain in non-accrual status for a minimum of six months after restructuring. Additionally, home equity loans and lines of credit where the customer has a severely delinquent first mortgage loan and loans in Chapter 7 bankruptcy status where all borrowers have filed,

and not reaffirmed or been dismissed, are placed in non-accrual status. Prior to June 30, 2014, loans in Chapter 7 bankruptcy status where all borrowers filed were only placed in non-accrual status upon discharge. At September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, respectively, the

103

---



Table of Contents

recorded investment in non-accrual loans includes \$73,946 and \$68,937 which are performing according to the terms of their agreement, of which \$49,019 and \$42,042 are loans in Chapter 7 bankruptcy status, primarily where all borrowers have filed, and have not reaffirmed or been dismissed.

Interest on loans in accrual status, including certain loans individually reviewed for impairment, is recognized in interest income as it accrues, on a daily basis. Accrued interest on loans in non-accrual status is reversed by a charge to interest income and income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received. Cash payments on loans in non-accrual status are applied to the oldest scheduled, unpaid payment first. Cash payments on loans with a partial charge-off are applied fully to principal, then to recovery of the charged off amount prior to interest income being recognized. A non-accrual loan is generally returned to accrual status when contractual payments are less than 90 days past due. However, a loan may remain in nonaccrual status when collectability is uncertain, such as a troubled debt restructuring that has not met minimum payment requirements, a loan with a partial charge-off, an equity loan or line of credit with a delinquent first mortgage greater than 90 days, or a loan in Chapter 7 bankruptcy status where all borrowers have filed, and have not reaffirmed or been dismissed. The number of days past due is determined by the number of scheduled payments that remain unpaid, assuming a period of 30 days between each scheduled payment.

The recorded investment in loan receivables at September 30, 2014 and 2013 is summarized in the following table. The table provides details of the recorded balances according to the method of evaluation used for determining the allowance for loan losses, distinguishing between determinations made by evaluating individual loans and determinations made by evaluating groups of loans not individually evaluated. Balances of recorded investments are net of deferred fees and any applicable loans-in-process.

|                                       | September 30,<br>2014 |              |              | 2013         |              |              |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                                       | Individually          | Collectively | Total        | Individually | Collectively | Total        |
| Real estate loans:                    |                       |              |              |              |              |              |
| Residential Core                      | \$131,719             | \$8,690,878  | \$8,822,597  | \$149,102    | \$7,952,311  | \$8,101,413  |
| Residential Home Today                | 67,177                | 84,785       | 151,962      | 79,065       | 96,528       | 175,593      |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 34,490                | 1,669,725    | 1,704,215    | 34,387       | 1,831,037    | 1,865,424    |
| Construction                          | —                     | 28,554       | 28,554       | 487          | 29,586       | 30,073       |
| Total real estate loans               | 233,386               | 10,473,942   | 10,707,328   | 263,041      | 9,909,462    | 10,172,503   |
| Other consumer loans                  | —                     | 4,721        | 4,721        | —            | 4,100        | 4,100        |
| Total                                 | \$233,386             | \$10,478,663 | \$10,712,049 | \$263,041    | \$9,913,562  | \$10,176,603 |

An analysis of the allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2014 and 2013 is summarized in the following table. The analysis provides details of the allowance for loan losses according to the method of evaluation, distinguishing between allowances for loan losses determined by evaluating individual loans and allowances for loan losses determined by evaluating groups of loans not individually evaluated.

|                                       | September 30,<br>2014 |              |          | 2013         |              |          |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|--------------|----------|
|                                       | Individually          | Collectively | Total    | Individually | Collectively | Total    |
| Real estate loans:                    |                       |              |          |              |              |          |
| Residential Core                      | \$8,889               | \$22,191     | \$31,080 | \$7,138      | \$28,289     | \$35,427 |
| Residential Home Today                | 6,366                 | 10,058       | 16,424   | 7,677        | 16,435       | 24,112   |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 532                   | 33,299       | 33,831   | 1,018        | 31,800       | 32,818   |
| Construction                          | —                     | 27           | 27       | 5            | 175          | 180      |
| Total real estate loans               | 15,787                | 65,575       | 81,362   | 15,838       | 76,699       | 92,537   |
| Other consumer loans                  | —                     | —            | —        | —            | —            | —        |
| Total                                 | \$15,787              | \$65,575     | \$81,362 | \$15,838     | \$76,699     | \$92,537 |

At September 30, 2014, individually evaluated loans that required an allowance were comprised only of loans evaluated for impairment based on the present value of cash flows, such as performing troubled debt restructurings,

and loans with a

104

---

Table of Contents

further deterioration in the fair value of collateral not yet identified as uncollectible. All other individually evaluated loans received a charge-off if applicable.

Because many variables are considered in determining the appropriate level of general valuation allowances, directional changes in individual considerations do not always align with the directional change in the balance of a particular component of the general valuation allowance. At September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, allowances on individually reviewed loans evaluated for impairment based on the present value of cash flows, such as performing troubled debt restructurings were \$15,787 and \$15,749; and allowances on loans with further deteriorations in the fair value of collateral not yet identified as uncollectible were \$0 and \$89.

Residential Core mortgage loans represent the largest piece of the residential real estate portfolio. The Company believes the allowance aligns with the overall credit risk based on the nature, composition, collateral, products, lien position and performance of the portfolio. The portfolio does not include loan types or structures that have recently experienced severe performance problems at other financial institutions (sub-prime, no documentation or pay option adjustable rate mortgages).

As described earlier in this note, Home Today loans have greater credit risk than traditional residential real estate mortgage loans. At September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, approximately 42% and 50% of Home Today loans include private mortgage insurance coverage. The majority of the coverage on these loans was provided by PMI Mortgage Insurance Co., which the Arizona Department of Insurance seized in 2011 and indicated that all claims payments would be reduced by 50%. In March 2013, PMIC notified the Association that all payments would be paid at 55% of the claim with the remainder deferred. In March 2014, PMIC notified the Association that the cash percentage of the partial claim payment plan would increase further to 67% of the claim. Appropriate adjustments have been made to all of the Association's affected valuation allowances and charge-offs, as well as the estimated loss severity factors that are used for loans evaluated collectively. The amount of loans in our owned portfolio covered by mortgage insurance provided by PMIC as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, was \$186,233 and \$236,713 of which \$170,128 and \$214,920 was current. The amount of loans in our owned portfolio covered by mortgage insurance provided by Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, was \$74,254 and \$91,478 of which \$73,616 and \$90,099 was current. As of September 30, 2014, MGIC's long-term debt rating, as published by the major credit rating agencies, did not meet the requirements to qualify as "investment grade"; however, MGIC continues to make claims payments in accordance with its contractual obligations and the Association has not increased its estimated loss given default factors related to MGIC's claim paying ability. No other loans were covered by mortgage insurers that were deferring claim payments or which we assessed as being non-investment grade.

Home equity lines of credit represent a significant portion of the residential real estate portfolio. The state of the economy and low housing prices continue to have an adverse impact on a portion of this portfolio since the home equity lines generally are in a second lien position. Post-origination deterioration in economic and housing market conditions may also impact a borrower's ability to afford the higher payments required during the end of draw repayment period that follows the period of interest only payments on home equity lines of credit originated prior to 2012 or the ability to secure alternative financing. When the Association began to offer new home equity lines of credit again, the product was designed with prudent property and credit performance conditions to reduce future risk. Beginning in February 2013, the terms on new home equity lines of credit included monthly principal and interest payments throughout the entire term to minimize the potential payment differential between the during draw and after draw periods.

Construction loans generally have greater credit risk than traditional residential real estate mortgage loans. The repayment of these loans depends upon the availability of permanent financing upon completion of all improvements. In the event the Association makes a loan on a property that is not yet approved for the planned development, there is the risk that approvals will not be granted or will be delayed. These events may adversely affect the borrower and the collateral value of the property. Construction loans also expose the Association to the risk that improvements will not be completed on time in accordance with specifications and projected costs.

Other consumer loans are comprised of loans secured by certificate of deposit accounts, which are fully recoverable in the event of non-payment.



Table of Contents

The recorded investment and the unpaid principal balance of impaired loans, including those whose terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings, as of September 30, 2014 and 2013 are summarized as follows. Balances of recorded investments are net of deferred fees.

|                                       | September 30,<br>2014  |                                |                      | 2013                   |                                |                      |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
|                                       | Recorded<br>Investment | Unpaid<br>Principal<br>Balance | Related<br>Allowance | Recorded<br>Investment | Unpaid<br>Principal<br>Balance | Related<br>Allowance |
| With no related IVA recorded:         |                        |                                |                      |                        |                                |                      |
| Residential Core                      | \$72,840               | \$94,419                       | \$—                  | \$86,040               | \$114,799                      | \$—                  |
| Residential Home Today                | 28,045                 | 57,854                         | —                    | 33,163                 | 66,366                         | —                    |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 26,618                 | 38,046                         | —                    | 27,494                 | 58,267                         | —                    |
| Construction                          | —                      | —                              | —                    | 422                    | 544                            | —                    |
| Total                                 | \$127,503              | \$190,319                      | \$—                  | \$147,119              | \$239,976                      | \$—                  |
| With an IVA recorded:                 |                        |                                |                      |                        |                                |                      |
| Residential Core                      | \$58,879               | \$59,842                       | \$8,889              | \$63,062               | \$64,468                       | \$7,138              |
| Residential Home Today                | 39,132                 | 39,749                         | 6,366                | 45,902                 | 46,698                         | 7,677                |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 7,872                  | 7,909                          | 532                  | 6,893                  | 6,996                          | 1,018                |
| Construction                          | —                      | —                              | —                    | 65                     | 65                             | 5                    |
| Total                                 | \$105,883              | \$107,500                      | \$15,787             | \$115,922              | \$118,227                      | \$15,838             |
| Total impaired loans:                 |                        |                                |                      |                        |                                |                      |
| Residential Core                      | \$131,719              | \$154,261                      | \$8,889              | \$149,102              | \$179,267                      | \$7,138              |
| Residential Home Today                | 67,177                 | 97,603                         | 6,366                | 79,065                 | 113,064                        | 7,677                |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 34,490                 | 45,955                         | 532                  | 34,387                 | 65,263                         | 1,018                |
| Construction                          | —                      | —                              | —                    | 487                    | 609                            | 5                    |
| Total                                 | \$233,386              | \$297,819                      | \$15,787             | \$263,041              | \$358,203                      | \$15,838             |

At September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, the recorded investment in impaired loans includes \$186,428 and \$201,692 of loans modified in troubled debt restructurings of which \$20,851 and \$30,550 are 90 days or more past due.

For all classes of loans, a loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered in determining that a loan is impaired may include the deteriorating financial condition of the borrower indicated by missed or delinquent payments, a pending legal action, such as bankruptcy or foreclosure, or the absence of adequate security for the loan.

Charge-offs on residential mortgage loans, home equity loans and lines of credit, and construction loans are recognized when triggering events, such as foreclosure actions, short sales, or deeds accepted in lieu of repayment, result in less than full repayment of the recorded investment in the loans.

Partial or full charge-offs are also recognized for the amount of impairment on loans considered collateral dependent that meet the conditions described below.

• For residential mortgage loans, payments are greater than 180 days delinquent;

• For home equity lines of credit, equity loans, and residential loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring, payments are greater than 90 days delinquent;

• For all classes of loans, a sheriff sale is scheduled within 60 days to sell the collateral securing the loan;

• For all classes of loans, all borrowers have been discharged of their obligation through a Chapter 7 bankruptcy;

• For all classes of loans, within 60 days of notification, all borrowers obligated on the loan have filed Chapter 7 bankruptcy and have not reaffirmed or been dismissed;

•

For all classes of loans, a borrower obligated on a loan has filed bankruptcy and the loan is greater than 30 days delinquent;

For all classes of loans, it becomes evident that a loss is probable.

Table of Contents

Collateral dependent residential mortgage loans and construction loans are charged off to the extent the recorded investment in a loan, net of anticipated mortgage insurance claims, exceeds the fair value less costs to dispose of the underlying property. Management can determine the loan is uncollectible for reasons such as foreclosures exceeding a reasonable time frame and recommend a full charge-off. Home equity loans or lines of credit are charged off to the extent the recorded investment in the loan plus the balance of any senior liens exceeds the fair value less costs to dispose of the underlying property or management determines the collateral is not sufficient to satisfy the loan. A loan in any portfolio that is identified as collateral dependent will continue to be reported as impaired until it is no longer considered collateral dependent, is less than 30 days past due and does not have a prior charge-off. A loan in any portfolio that has a partial charge-off consequent to impairment evaluation will continue to be individually evaluated for impairment until, at a minimum, the impairment has been recovered.

The following summarizes the effective dates of charge-off policies that changed or were first implemented during the current and previous four fiscal years and the portfolios to which those policies apply.

| Effective Date | Policy   | Portfolio(s) Affected |
|----------------|--|-----------------------|
| 6/30/2014      | A loan is considered collateral dependent and any collateral shortfall is charged off when, within 60 days of notification, all borrowers obligated on a loan filed Chapter 7 bankruptcy and have not reaffirmed or been dismissed (1) | All                   |
| 9/30/2012      | Pursuant to an OCC directive, a loan is considered collateral dependent and any collateral shortfall is charged off when all borrowers obligated on a loan are discharged through Chapter 7 bankruptcy                                 | All                   |
| 6/30/2012      | Loans in any form of bankruptcy greater than 30 days past due are considered collateral dependent and any collateral shortfall is charged off  | All                   |
| 12/31/2011     | Pursuant to an OCC directive, impairment on collateral dependent loans previously reserved for in the allowance were charged off. Charge-offs are recorded to recognize confirmed collateral shortfalls on impaired loans (2)          | All                   |
| 9/30/2010      | Timing of impairment evaluation was accelerated to include equity loans greater than 90 days delinquent (3)  | Home Equity Loans     |

(1) Prior to 6/30/2014, collateral shortfalls on loans in Chapter 7 bankruptcy were charged off when all borrowers were discharged of the obligation or when the loan was 30 days or more past due. Adoption of this policy did not result in a material change to total charge-offs or the provision for loan losses in the fiscal year ending September 30, 2014.

(2) Prior to 12/31/2011, partial charge-offs were not used, but a reserve in the allowance was established when the recorded investment in the loan exceeded the fair value of the collateral less costs to dispose. Individual loans were only charged off when a triggering event occurred, such as a foreclosure action was culminated, a short sale was approved, or a deed was accepted in lieu of repayment.

(3) Prior to 9/30/2010, impairment evaluations on equity loans were performed when the loan was greater than 180 days delinquent.

Loans modified in troubled debt restructurings that are not evaluated based on collateral are separately evaluated for impairment on a loan by loan basis at the time of restructuring and at each subsequent reporting date for as long as they are reported as troubled debt restructurings. The impairment evaluation is based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the effective interest rate of the original loan. Expected future cash flows include a discount factor representing a potential for default. Valuation allowances are recorded for the excess of the recorded investments over the result of the cash flow analysis. Loans discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy are reported as troubled debt restructurings and also evaluated based on the present value of expected future cash flows unless evaluated based on collateral. We evaluate these loans using the expected future cash flows because we expect the borrower, not liquidation of the collateral, to be the source of repayment for the loan. Other consumer loans are not considered for restructuring. A loan modified in a troubled debt restructuring is classified as an impaired loan for a

minimum of one year. After one year, that loan may be reclassified out of the balance of impaired loans if the loan was modified to yield a market rate for loans of similar credit risk at the time of restructuring and the loan is not impaired based on the terms of the restructuring agreement. No loans whose terms were modified in troubled debt restructurings were reclassified from impaired loans during the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012.



Table of Contents

The average recorded investment in impaired loans and the amount of interest income recognized during the time within the period that the loans were impaired are summarized below.

|                                       | For the Years Ended September 30, |                            |                             |                            |                             |                            |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
|                                       | 2014                              |                            | 2013                        |                            | 2012                        |                            |
|                                       | Average Recorded Investment       | Interest Income Recognized | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
| With no related IVA recorded:         |                                   |                            |                             |                            |                             |                            |
| Residential Core                      | \$ 79,440                         | \$ 1,125                   | \$ 91,134                   | \$ 1,169                   | \$ 64,470                   | \$ 854                     |
| Residential Home Today                | 30,604                            | 261                        | 34,871                      | 234                        | 22,596                      | 513                        |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 27,056                            | 357                        | 25,946                      | 467                        | 18,259                      | 293                        |
| Construction                          | 211                               | 6                          | 696                         | 18                         | 884                         | 36                         |
| Total                                 | \$ 137,311                        | \$ 1,749                   | \$ 152,647                  | \$ 1,888                   | \$ 106,209                  | \$ 1,696                   |
| With an IVA recorded:                 |                                   |                            |                             |                            |                             |                            |
| Residential Core                      | \$ 60,971                         | \$ 2,792                   | \$ 65,978                   | \$ 3,198                   | \$ 98,053                   | \$ 3,164                   |
| Residential Home Today                | 42,517                            | 2,110                      | 52,340                      | 2,487                      | 92,272                      | 2,625                      |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 7,383                             | 245                        | 9,756                       | 266                        | 20,118                      | 227                        |
| Construction                          | 33                                | —                          | 237                         | 10                         | 2,670                       | 36                         |
| Total                                 | \$ 110,904                        | \$ 5,147                   | \$ 128,311                  | \$ 5,961                   | \$ 213,113                  | \$ 6,052                   |
| Total impaired loans:                 |                                   |                            |                             |                            |                             |                            |
| Residential Core                      | \$ 140,411                        | \$ 3,917                   | \$ 157,112                  | \$ 4,367                   | \$ 162,523                  | \$ 4,018                   |
| Residential Home Today                | 73,121                            | 2,371                      | 87,211                      | 2,721                      | 114,868                     | 3,138                      |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 34,439                            | 602                        | 35,702                      | 733                        | 38,377                      | 520                        |
| Construction                          | 244                               | 6                          | 933                         | 28                         | 3,554                       | 72                         |
| Total                                 | \$ 248,215                        | \$ 6,896                   | \$ 280,958                  | \$ 7,849                   | \$ 319,322                  | \$ 7,748                   |

Interest on loans in non-accrual status is recognized on a cash-basis. The amount of interest income on impaired loans recognized using a cash-basis method is \$1,213, \$1,463 and \$1,734 for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Cash payments on loans with a partial charge-off are applied fully to principal, then to recovery of the charged off amount prior to interest income being recognized. Interest income on the remaining impaired loans is recognized on an accrual basis.

The recorded investment in troubled debt restructurings as of September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013 is shown in the tables below.

| September 30, 2014                    | Reduction in Interest Rates | Payment Extensions | Forbearance or Other Actions | Multiple Concessions | Multiple Modifications | Bankruptcy | Total      |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|
| Residential Core                      | \$ 16,693                   | \$ 1,265           | \$ 10,248                    | \$ 21,113            | \$ 22,687              | \$ 33,576  | \$ 105,582 |
| Residential Home Today                | 11,374                      | 78                 | 7,448                        | 15,085               | 20,823                 | 5,301      | 60,109     |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 74                          | 1,833              | 769                          | 1,213                | 819                    | 16,029     | 20,737     |
| Construction                          | —                           | —                  | —                            | —                    | —                      | —          | —          |
| Total                                 | \$ 28,141                   | \$ 3,176           | \$ 18,465                    | \$ 37,411            | \$ 44,329              | \$ 54,906  | \$ 186,428 |

Table of Contents

| September 30, 2013                    | Reduction in Interest Rates | Payment Extensions | Forbearance or Other Actions | Multiple Concessions | Multiple Modifications | Bankruptcy | Total      |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|
| Residential Core                      | \$ 17,861                   | \$ 1,670           | \$ 12,773                    | \$ 21,227            | \$ 17,733              | \$ 39,530  | \$ 110,794 |
| Residential Home Today                | 14,855                      | 131                | 9,107                        | 18,331               | 20,998                 | 6,547      | 69,969     |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 82                          | 596                | 675                          | 225                  | 561                    | 18,512     | 20,651     |
| Construction                          | —                           | 278                | —                            | —                    | —                      | —          | 278        |
| Total                                 | \$ 32,798                   | \$ 2,675           | \$ 22,555                    | \$ 39,783            | \$ 39,292              | \$ 64,589  | \$ 201,692 |

Troubled debt restructured loans may be modified more than once. Among other requirements, a re-modification may be available for a borrower upon the expiration of temporary modification terms if the borrower cannot return to regular loan payments. If the borrower is experiencing an income curtailment that temporarily has reduced his/her capacity to repay, such as loss of employment, reduction of hours, non-paid leave or short term disability, a temporary modification is considered. If the borrower lacks the capacity to repay the loan at the current terms due to a permanent condition, a permanent modification is considered. In evaluating the need for a re-modification, the borrower's ability to repay is generally assessed utilizing a debt to income and cash flow analysis. As the economy slowly improves, the need for re-modifications continues to linger. Beginning with the quarter ended December 31, 2012, loans discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy are classified as multiple modifications if the loan's original terms had also been modified by the Association.

For all loans modified during the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012 (set forth in the tables below), the pre-modification outstanding recorded investment was not materially different from the post-modification outstanding recorded investment.

The following tables set forth the recorded investment in troubled debt restructured loans modified during the years presented, according to the types of concessions granted.

|                                       | For the Year Ended September 30, 2014 |                    |                              |                      |                        |            |           |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|
|                                       | Reduction in Interest Rates           | Payment Extensions | Forbearance or Other Actions | Multiple Concessions | Multiple Modifications | Bankruptcy | Total     |
|                                       | (Dollars in thousands)                |                    |                              |                      |                        |            |           |
| Residential Core                      | \$ 3,330                              | \$ —               | \$ 890                       | \$ 5,316             | \$ 6,716               | \$ 5,084   | \$ 21,336 |
| Residential Home Today                | 340                                   | —                  | 542                          | 443                  | 4,016                  | 761        | 6,102     |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | —                                     | 1,442              | 211                          | 1,013                | 401                    | 2,282      | 5,349     |
| Construction                          | —                                     | —                  | —                            | —                    | —                      | —          | —         |
| Total                                 | \$ 3,670                              | \$ 1,442           | \$ 1,643                     | \$ 6,772             | \$ 11,133              | \$ 8,127   | \$ 32,787 |

|                                       | For the Year Ended September 30, 2013 |                    |                              |                      |                        |            |           |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|
|                                       | Reduction in Interest Rates           | Payment Extensions | Forbearance or Other Actions | Multiple Concessions | Multiple Modifications | Bankruptcy | Total     |
|                                       | (Dollars in thousands)                |                    |                              |                      |                        |            |           |
| Residential Core                      | \$ 3,470                              | \$ —               | \$ —                         | \$ 5,108             | \$ 4,957               | \$ 8,156   | \$ 21,691 |
| Residential Home Today                | 409                                   | —                  | —                            | 693                  | 8,433                  | 1,517      | 11,052    |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 13                                    | 129                | —                            | 67                   | 117                    | 3,673      | 3,999     |
| Construction                          | —                                     | —                  | —                            | —                    | —                      | —          | —         |
| Total                                 | \$ 3,892                              | \$ 129             | \$ —                         | \$ 5,868             | \$ 13,507              | \$ 13,346  | \$ 36,742 |



Table of Contents

For the Year Ended September 30, 2012

|                                       | Reduction in<br>Interest<br>Rates | Payment<br>Extensions | Forbearance or<br>Other Actions | Multiple<br>Concessions | Multiple<br>Modifications | Bankruptcy | Total    |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------|
|                                       | (Dollars in thousands)            |                       |                                 |                         |                           |            |          |
| Residential Core                      | \$7,965                           | \$521                 | \$ 1,812                        | \$ 8,668                | \$ 3,287                  | \$12,671   | \$34,924 |
| Residential Home Today                | 1,793                             | 88                    | 1,821                           | 2,768                   | 4,313                     | 2,308      | 13,091   |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 46                                | 13                    | 60                              | 30                      | 231                       | 4,435      | 4,815    |
| Construction                          | —                                 | —                     | —                               | —                       | —                         | 153        | 153      |
| Total                                 | \$9,804                           | \$622                 | \$ 3,693                        | \$ 11,466               | \$ 7,831                  | \$19,567   | \$52,983 |

The following table provides information on troubled debt restructured loans modified within the previous 12 months of the period listed for which there was a subsequent payment default, at least 30 days past due on one scheduled payment, during the period presented.

| Troubled Debt Restructurings That<br>Subsequently Defaulted | For the Year Ended<br>September 30, 2014 |                                      | For the Year Ended<br>September 30, 2013 |                                      | For the Year Ended<br>September 30, 2012 |                                      |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
|   | Number of Recorded<br>Contracts          | Investment<br>(Dollars in thousands) | Number of Recorded<br>Contracts          | Investment<br>(Dollars in thousands) | Number of Recorded<br>Contracts          | Investment<br>(Dollars in thousands) |
| Residential Core  | 35                                       | \$3,384                              | 61                                       | \$6,709                              | 87                                       | \$9,917                              |
| Residential Home Today                                      | 46                                       | 2,073                                | 70                                       | 3,368                                | 77                                       | 4,427                                |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit                       | 53                                       | 1,078                                | 68                                       | 1,277                                | 41                                       | 1,764                                |
| Construction  | —  | —                                    | —  | —                                    | 3  | 153                                  |
| Total   | 134                                      | \$6,535                              | 199                                      | \$11,354                             | 208                                      | \$16,261                             |

The following tables provide information about the credit quality of residential loan receivables by an internally assigned grade. Balances are net of deferred fees and any applicable LIP.

|                                       | Pass         | Special<br>Mention | Substandard | Loss | Total        |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------|------|--------------|
| September 30, 2014                    |              |                    |             |      |              |
| Real Estate Loans:                    |              |                    |             |      |              |
| Residential Core                      | \$8,739,183  | \$—                | \$83,414    | \$—  | \$8,822,597  |
| Residential Home Today                | 120,827      | —                  | 31,135      | —    | 151,962      |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 1,667,939    | 6,084              | 30,192      | —    | 1,704,215    |
| Construction                          | 28,554       | —                  | —           | —    | 28,554       |
| Total                                 | \$10,556,503 | \$6,084            | \$144,741   | \$—  | \$10,707,328 |

|                                       | Pass        | Special<br>Mention | Substandard | Loss | Total        |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|------|--------------|
| September 30, 2013                    |             |                    |             |      |              |
| Real Estate Loans:                    |             |                    |             |      |              |
| Residential Core                      | \$8,004,890 | \$—                | \$96,523    | \$—  | \$8,101,413  |
| Residential Home Today                | 139,481     | —                  | 36,112      | —    | 175,593      |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 1,822,371   | 9,223              | 33,830      | —    | 1,865,424    |
| Construction                          | 29,651      | —                  | 422         | —    | 30,073       |
| Total                                 | \$9,996,393 | \$9,223            | \$166,887   | \$—  | \$10,172,503 |

Residential loans are internally assigned a grade that complies with the guidelines outlined in the OCC's Handbook for Rating Credit Risk. Pass loans are assets well protected by the current paying capacity of the borrower. Special Mention loans have a potential weakness that the Association feels deserve management's attention and may result in

further deterioration in their repayment prospects and/or the Association's credit position. Substandard loans are inadequately protected by the current payment capacity of the borrower or the collateral pledged with a defined weakness that jeopardizes the liquidation of the debt.

110

---

Table of Contents

Also included in Substandard are performing home equity loans and lines of credit where the customer has a severely delinquent first mortgage to which the performing home equity loan or line of credit is subordinate and loans in Chapter 7 bankruptcy status where all borrowers have filed, and have not reaffirmed or been dismissed. Loss loans are considered uncollectible and are charged off when identified.

At September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, the recorded investment of impaired loans includes \$103,459 and \$113,520 of troubled debt restructurings that are individually evaluated for impairment, but have adequately performed under the terms of the restructuring and are classified as pass loans. At September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, there are \$14,814 and \$17,396 of loans classified substandard and \$6,084 and \$9,193 of loans classified special mention that are not included in the recorded investment of impaired loans; rather, they are included in loans collectively evaluated for impairment.

Consumer loans are internally assigned a grade of nonperforming when they are considered 90 days or more past due. At September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, no consumer loans were graded as nonperforming.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, \$1,300 in recoveries were recorded representing the cumulative one-time payment received as a result of PMIC increasing the cash percentage of the partial claim payment plan as discussed earlier in this note. During the quarter ended December 31, 2013, \$5,321 of residential loans were deemed uncollectible and fully charged-off as a result of implementing a new practice of charging off the remaining balance on loans that had remained delinquent and in the foreclosure process for greater than 1,500 days. These loans previously were recorded at estimated net realizable value, with the potential for additional loss recognized within the allowance for loan losses. Any future foreclosure proceeds on these loans would result in recoveries of prior charge-offs.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses is summarized as follows:

|                                       | For the Year Ended September 30, 2014 |            |             |            |                |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
|                                       | Beginning Balance                     | Provisions | Charge-offs | Recoveries | Ending Balance |
| Real estate loans:                    |                                       |            |             |            |                |
| Residential Core                      | \$35,427                              | \$9,131    | \$(16,220 ) | \$2,742    | \$31,080       |
| Residential Home Today                | 24,112                                | (1,975 )   | (7,622 )    | 1,909      | 16,424         |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 32,818                                | 12,038     | (15,943 )   | 4,918      | 33,831         |
| Construction                          | 180                                   | (194 )     | (192 )      | 233        | 27             |
| Total                                 | \$92,537                              | \$19,000   | \$(39,977 ) | \$9,802    | \$81,362       |

|                                       | For the Year Ended September 30, 2013 |            |             |            |                |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
|                                       | Beginning Balance                     | Provisions | Charge-offs | Recoveries | Ending Balance |
| Real estate loans:                    |                                       |            |             |            |                |
| Residential Core                      | \$31,618                              | \$18,467   | \$(16,719 ) | \$2,061    | \$35,427       |
| Residential Home Today                | 22,588                                | 13,051     | (12,302 )   | 775        | 24,112         |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 45,508                                | 5,889      | (23,543 )   | 4,964      | 32,818         |
| Construction                          | 750                                   | (407 )     | (294 )      | 131        | 180            |
| Total                                 | \$100,464                             | \$37,000   | \$(52,858 ) | \$7,931    | \$92,537       |

|                                       | For the Year Ended September 30, 2012 |            |             |            |                |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
|                                       | Beginning Balance                     | Provisions | Charge-offs | Recoveries | Ending Balance |
| Real estate loans:                    |                                       |            |             |            |                |
| Residential Core                      | \$49,484                              | \$36,646   | \$(55,362 ) | \$850      | \$31,618       |
| Residential Home Today                | 31,025                                | 34,616     | (43,215 )   | 162        | 22,588         |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 74,071                                | 31,154     | (63,035 )   | 3,318      | 45,508         |
| Construction                          | 2,398                                 | (416 )     | (1,268 )    | 36         | 750            |

Edgar Filing: TFS Financial CORP - Form 10-K

|       |           |           |             |         |           |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------|-----------|
| Total | \$156,978 | \$102,000 | \$(162,880) | \$4,366 | \$100,464 |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------|-----------|

111

---

Table of Contents**6. MORTGAGE LOAN SERVICING RIGHTS**

The Company sells certain types of loans through whole loan sales and through securitizations. In each case, the Company retains a servicing interest in the loans or securitized loans. Certain assumptions and estimates are used to determine the fair value allocated to these retained interests at the date of transfer and at subsequent measurement dates. These assumptions and estimates include loan repayment rates and discount rates.

Changes in interest rates can affect the average life of loans and mortgage-backed securities and the related servicing rights. A reduction in interest rates normally results in increased prepayments, as borrowers refinance their debt in order to reduce their borrowing costs. This creates reinvestment risk, which is the risk that the Company may not be able to reinvest the proceeds of loan and securities prepayments at rates that are comparable to the rates earned on the loans or securities prior to receipt of the repayment.

During 2014, 2013 and 2012, \$76,039, \$349,192 and \$11,363, respectively, of mortgage loans were securitized and/or sold including accrued interest thereon. In these transactions, the Company retained residual interests in the form of mortgage loan servicing rights. Primary economic assumptions used to measure the value of the Company's retained interests at the date of sale resulting from the completed transactions were as follows (per annum):

|   | 2014 | 2013 |   |   |
|---|------|------|---|---|
| Primary prepayment speed assumptions (weighted average annual rate) | 10.5 | 22.1 | % | % |
| Weighted average life (years)                                       | 21.0 | 24.8 |   |   |
| Amortized cost to service loans (weighted average)                  | 0.12 | 0.12 | % | % |
| Weighted average discount rate                                      | 12   | 12   | % | % |

Key economic assumptions and the sensitivity of the current fair value of mortgage loan servicing rights to immediate 10% and 20% adverse changes in those assumptions are as presented in the following table. The three key economic assumptions that impact the valuation of the mortgage loan servicing rights are: (1) the prepayment speed, or how long the mortgage servicing right will be outstanding; (2) the estimate of servicing costs that will be incurred in fulfilling the mortgage servicing right responsibilities; and (3) the discount factor applied to future net cash flows to convert them to present value. The Company established these factors based on independent analysis of our portfolio and reviews these assumptions periodically to ensure that they reasonably reflect current market conditions and our loan portfolio experience. Additionally, to confirm the appropriateness of the Company's mortgage loan servicing rights valuation, an independent third party is engaged at least annually, and more frequently if warranted by market volatility, to value our mortgage loan servicing rights portfolio. The results of the third party valuation are compared and reconciled to the Company's valuation, thereby validating the Company's approach and assumptions.

|   | September 30,<br>2014 |   |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| Fair value of mortgage loan servicing rights                          | \$27,417              |   |
| Prepayment speed assumptions (weighted average annual rate)           | 18.0                  | % |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change                            | \$(1,120)             | ) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change                            | \$(2,133)             | ) |
| Estimated prospective annual cost to service loans (weighted average) | 0.12                  | % |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change                            | \$(2,704)             | ) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change                            | \$(5,408)             | ) |
| Discount rate   | 12.0                  | % |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change                            | \$(937)               | ) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change                            | \$(1,805)             | ) |

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. As indicated in the table above, changes in fair value based on a 10% variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship in the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the retained interest is calculated without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another (for example, increases in market interest rates may result in lower prepayments), which could magnify or counteract the sensitivities.





Table of Contents

Servicing rights are evaluated periodically for impairment based on the fair value of those rights. Nineteen risk tranches are used in evaluating servicing rights for impairment, segregated primarily by interest rate stratum within original term to maturity categories with additional strata for less uniform account types.

Activity in mortgage servicing rights is summarized as follows:

|   | Year Ended September 30, |          |          |
|---|--------------------------|----------|----------|
|   | 2014                     | 2013     | 2012     |
| Balance—beginning of year                 | \$14,074                 | \$19,613 | \$28,919 |
| Additions from loan securitizations/sales | 396                      | 1,089    | 43       |
| Amortization                              | (2,801 )                 | (6,628 ) | (9,349 ) |
| Net change in valuation allowance         | —                        | —        | —        |
| Balance—end of year                       | \$11,669                 | \$14,074 | \$19,613 |
| Fair value of capitalized amounts         | \$27,417                 | \$28,784 | \$25,294 |

The Company receives annual servicing fees ranging from 0.01% to 0.98% of the outstanding loan balances.

Servicing income, net of amortization of capitalized servicing rights, included in Non-interest income, amounted to \$6,759 in 2014, \$5,435 in 2013 and \$7,327 in 2012. The unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans serviced for others was approximately \$2,511,864, \$2,971,909 and \$3,810,786 at September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The ratio of capitalized servicing rights to the unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans serviced for others was 0.46%, 0.47%, and 0.52% at September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### 7. PREMISES, EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE, NET

Premises, equipment and software at cost are summarized as follows:

|  | September 30, |           |
|--|---------------|-----------|
|  | 2014          | 2013      |
| Land   | \$11,050      | \$11,050  |
| Office buildings                               | 69,381        | 69,643    |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment              | 35,759        | 34,240    |
| Software                                       | 15,348        | 15,202    |
| Leasehold improvements                         | 11,864        | 11,784    |
|  | 143,402       | 141,919   |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (86,959 )     | (83,402 ) |
| Total  | \$56,443      | \$58,517  |

During the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, depreciation and amortization expense on premises, equipment, and software was \$4,621, \$5,392 and \$5,414, respectively.

The Company leases certain of its branches under renewable operating lease agreements. Future minimum payments under non-cancelable operating leases with initial or remaining terms of one year or more consisted of the following at September 30, 2014:

| Years Ended September 30, |         |
|---------------------------|---------|
| 2015                      | \$4,783 |
| 2016                      | 4,230   |
| 2017                      | 3,575   |
| 2018                      | 2,750   |
| 2019                      | 1,687   |
| Thereafter                | 2,938   |

During the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, rental expense was \$6,363, \$6,187 and \$6,019, respectively.

Table of Contents

The Company, as lessor, leases certain commercial office buildings. The Company anticipates receiving future minimum payments of the following as of September 30, 2014:

| Years Ended September 30, |         |
|---------------------------|---------|
| 2015                      | \$1,254 |
| 2016                      | 1,269   |
| 2017                      | 1,077   |
| 2018                      | 1,077   |
| 2019                      | 669     |

During each of the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012, rental income was \$1,290, \$1,254 and \$893 respectively, and appears in other non-interest income in the accompanying statements.

**8. ACCRUED INTEREST RECEIVABLE**

Accrued interest receivable is summarized as follows:

|                       | September 30, |          |
|-----------------------|---------------|----------|
|                       | 2014          | 2013     |
| Investment securities | \$1,285       | \$1,032  |
| Loans                 | 30,667        | 30,456   |
| Other                 | —             | 1        |
| Total                 | \$31,952      | \$31,489 |

**9. DEPOSITS**

Deposit account balances are summarized by interest rate as follows:

|   | Stated<br>Interest<br>Rate | September 30, |         | 2013        |         |
|---|----------------------------|---------------|---------|-------------|---------|
|   |                            | Amount        | Percent | Amount      | Percent |
| Negotiable order of withdrawal accounts | 0.00–0.30%                 | \$990,326     | 11.4 %  | \$1,027,316 | 12.1 %  |
| Savings accounts                        | 0.00–0.55                  | 1,661,920     | 19.2    | 1,808,953   | 21.4    |
| Subtotal                                |                            | 2,652,246     | 30.6    | 2,836,269   | 33.5    |
| Certificates of deposit                 | 0.00–0.99                  | 2,075,835     | 24.0    | 2,276,511   | 26.9    |
|   | 1.00–1.99                  | 2,674,079     | 30.9    | 1,790,363   | 21.1    |
|   | 2.00–2.99                  | 665,508       | 7.7     | 732,648     | 8.6     |
|   | 3.00–3.99                  | 517,449       | 6.0     | 623,032     | 7.4     |
|   | 4.00 and above             | 67,345        | 0.8     | 205,295     | 2.5     |
| Subtotal                                |                            | 6,000,216     | 69.4    | 5,627,849   | 66.5    |
| Accrued interest                        |                            | 1,416         | —       | 381         | —       |
| Total deposits                          |                            | \$8,653,878   | 100.0 % | \$8,464,499 | 100.0 % |

At September 30, 2014 and 2013, the weighted average interest rate was 0.19% and 0.23% on savings accounts, respectively; 0.14% and 0.14% on negotiable order of withdrawal accounts, respectively; 1.58% and 1.63% on certificates of deposit, respectively; and 1.15% and 1.15% on total deposits, respectively.

The aggregate amount of certificates of deposit in denominations of \$100 or more totaled approximately \$2,542,222 and \$2,076,585 at September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. On July 21, 2010, the DFA was signed into law, which, in part, permanently increased the maximum amount of deposit insurance to \$250 per depositor, retroactive to January 1, 2008.

Brokered certificates of deposit, which are used as a cost effective funding alternative, totaled \$356,685 and \$13,000 at September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, respectively. The FDIC places restrictions on banks with regard to issuing

Table of Contents

brokered deposits based on the bank's capital classification. A well-capitalized institution may accept brokered deposits without FDIC restrictions. An adequately capitalized institution must obtain a waiver from the FDIC in order to accept brokered deposits, while an undercapitalized institution is prohibited by the FDIC from accepting brokered deposits.

The scheduled maturity of certificates of deposit is as follows:

|                   | September 30, 2014 |         |   |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------|---|
|                   | Amount             | Percent |   |
| 12 months or less | \$2,510,307        | 41.8    | % |
| 13 to 24 months   | 975,184            | 16.3    | % |
| 25 to 36 months   | 1,299,722          | 21.7    | % |
| 37 to 48 months   | 786,876            | 13.1    | % |
| 49 to 60 months   | 320,811            | 5.3     | % |
| Over 60 months    | 107,316            | 1.8     | % |
| Total             | \$6,000,216        | 100.0   | % |

Interest expense on deposits is summarized as follows:

|   | Year Ended September 30, |           |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|
|   | 2014                     | 2013      |
| Certificates of deposit                 | \$88,316                 | \$103,466 |
| Negotiable order of withdrawal accounts | 1,442                    | 2,273     |
| Savings accounts                        | 3,420                    | 5,669     |
| Total                                   | \$93,178                 | \$111,408 |

**10. BORROWED FUNDS**

Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings at September 30, 2014 are summarized in the table below:

|                     | Amount      | Weighted<br>Average<br>Rate |   |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Maturing in:        |             |                             |   |
| 2015                | \$314,000   | 0.11                        | % |
| 2016                | 24,322      | 2.05                        | % |
| 2017                | 200,000     | 1.16                        | % |
| 2018                | 275,000     | 1.53                        | % |
| 2019                | 255,000     | 1.88                        | % |
| thereafter          | 69,292      | 1.52                        | % |
| Total FHLB Advances | 1,137,614   | 1.16                        | % |
| Accrued interest    | 1,025       |                             |   |
| Total               | \$1,138,639 |                             |   |

The Association's maximum borrowing capacity at the FHLB, under the most restrictive measure, was an additional \$32,063 at September 30, 2014. Pursuant to collateral agreements with FHLB of Cincinnati, advances are secured by a blanket lien on qualifying first mortgage loans. In addition to the existing available capacity, the Association's capacity limit for additional borrowings from the FHLB of Cincinnati was \$4,703,841 at September 30, 2014, subject to satisfaction of the FHLB of Cincinnati common stock ownership requirement. To satisfy the common stock ownership requirement, we would have to increase our ownership of FHLB of Cincinnati common stock by an additional \$94,077. The terms of the advances include various restrictive covenants including limitations on the acquisition of additional debt in excess of specified levels. As of September 30, 2014, the Association was in compliance with all such covenants. The Association's borrowing capacity at the FRB-Cleveland Discount Window was \$147,575 at September 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

## 11. OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The change in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component is as follows:

|  | Unrealized<br>gains (losses) on<br>securities<br>available for<br>sale | Defined<br>Benefit Plan | Total       |
|--|--|-------------------------|-------------|
| Fiscal year 2012 activity  |  |                         |             |
| Balance at September 30, 2011  | \$90   | \$(16,367 )             | \$(16,277 ) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications, net of tax expense of \$5,478            | 2,520  | 7,653                   | 10,173      |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax expense of \$101 | —  | 188                     | 188         |
| Other comprehensive income   | 2,520  | 7,841                   | 10,361      |
| Balance at September 30, 2012  | \$2,610  | \$(8,526 )              | \$(5,916 )  |
| Fiscal year 2013 activity  |  |                         |             |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications, net of tax benefit of \$1,916            | (4,746 )   | 1,188                   | (3,558 )    |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax expense of \$468 | —  | 870                     | 870         |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income  | (4,746 )   | 2,058                   | (2,688 )    |
| Balance at September 30, 2013  | \$(2,136 )   | \$(6,468 )              | \$(8,604 )  |
| Fiscal year 2014 activity  |  |                         |             |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications, net of tax benefit of \$1,504            | 1,223  | (4,017 )                | (2,794 )    |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax expense of \$326 | (179 )   | 785                     | 606         |
| Other comprehensive income (loss)  | 1,044  | (3,232 )                | (2,188 )    |
| Balance at September 30, 2014  | \$(1,092 )   | \$(9,700 )              | \$(10,792 ) |

The following table presents the reclassification adjustment out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) included in net income and the corresponding line item on the consolidated statements of income for the periods indicated:

| Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive<br>Income Components | For the Years Ended September 30, |        |        | Line Item in the<br>Statement of Income |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|---|
|  | 2014                              | 2013   | 2012   |   |
| Securities available for sale:                                     |                                   |        |        |   |
| Net realized loss (gain) on securities available for sale          | \$(276 )                          | \$—    | \$—    | Other                                   |
| Income tax expense (benefit)                                       | 97                                | —      | —      | Income tax expense                      |
| Net of income tax expense (benefit)                                | \$(179 )                          | \$—    | \$—    |   |
| Amortization of pension plan:                                      |                                   |        |        |   |
| Actuarial loss   | \$296                             | \$556  | 572    | (a)                                     |
| Prior service benefit  | —                                 | —      | (15 )  | (a)                                     |
| Realized gain due to curtailment                                   | —                                 | —      | (267 ) | (a)                                     |
| Realized loss due to settlement                                    | 912                               | 782    | —      | (a)                                     |
| Income tax benefit   | (423 )                            | (468 ) | (102 ) | Income tax expense                      |
| Net of income tax benefit  | 785                               | 870    | 188    |   |
| Total reclassifications for the period                             | \$606                             | \$870  | \$188  |   |

(a) These items are included in the computation of net period pension cost. See Note 13. Employee Benefit Plans for additional disclosure.

116

---

Table of Contents

## 12. INCOME TAXES

The components of the income tax provision are as follows:

|                                 | Year Ended September 30, |          |           |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|
|                                 | 2014                     | 2013     | 2012      |
| Current tax expense:            |                          |          |           |
| Federal                         | \$22,983                 | \$19,751 | \$21,305  |
| State                           | 324                      | 165      | 98        |
| Deferred tax expense (benefit): |                          |          |           |
| Federal                         | 9,659                    | 6,486    | (19,270 ) |
| Income tax provision            | \$32,966                 | \$26,402 | \$2,133   |

Reconciliation from tax at the statutory rate to the income tax provision is as follows:

|   | Year Ended September 30, |   |      |   |       |   |
|---|--------------------------|---|------|---|-------|---|
|   | 2014                     |   | 2013 |   | 2012  |   |
| Tax at statutory rate                                       | 35.0                     | % | 35.0 | % | 35.0  | % |
| State tax, net  | 0.2                      |   | 0.1  |   | 0.5   |   |
| Non-taxable income from bank owned life insurance contracts | (2.3                     | ) | (2.7 | ) | (16.7 | ) |
| Change in valuation allowance for deferred tax assets       | —                        |   | —    |   | (3.7  | ) |
| Other, net  | 0.4                      |   | (0.3 | ) | 0.6   |   |
| Income tax provision  | 33.3                     | % | 32.1 | % | 15.7  | % |

Temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax basis of assets and liabilities that gave rise to significant portions of net deferred taxes relate to the following:

|   | September 30, |          |
|---|---------------|----------|
|   | 2014          | 2013     |
| Deferred tax assets:                              |               |          |
| Loan loss reserve                                 | \$39,745      | \$43,452 |
| Deferred compensation                             | 12,843        | 11,024   |
| Pension   | 2,895         | 3,482    |
| Property, equipment and software basis difference | 2,460         | 2,160    |
| Other   | 2,453         | 5,302    |
| Total deferred tax assets                         | 60,396        | 65,420   |
| Deferred tax liabilities:                         |               |          |
| FHLB stock basis difference                       | 7,696         | 7,695    |
| Mortgage servicing rights                         | 1,110         | 1,131    |
| Goodwill  | 3,406         | 3,162    |
| Deferred loan costs, net of fees                  | 4,470         | 70       |
| Other   | 2,114         | 3,281    |
| Total deferred tax liabilities                    | 18,796        | 15,339   |
| Net deferred tax asset                            | \$41,600      | \$50,081 |

In the accompanying statement of condition the net deferred tax asset is included in Other assets.

A valuation allowance is established to reduce deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not that the related tax benefits will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. There was no valuation allowance required at September 30, 2014 or 2013.

Retained earnings at September 30, 2014 and 2013 included approximately \$104,861 for which no provision for federal income tax has been made. This amount represents allocations of income during years prior to 1988 to bad debt deductions for





Table of Contents

tax purposes only. These qualifying and nonqualifying base year reserves and supplemental reserves will be recaptured into income in the event of certain distributions and redemptions. Such recapture would create income for tax purposes only, which would be subject to the then current corporate income tax rate. However, recapture would not occur upon the reorganization, merger, or acquisition of the Association, nor if the Association is merged or liquidated tax-free into a bank or undergoes a charter change. If the Association fails to qualify as a bank or merges into a nonbank entity, these reserves will be recaptured into income.

The provisions of Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, codified within FASB ASC 740 “Income Taxes,” prescribe a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement for a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FASB ASC 740 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. Tax positions must meet a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold in order for the related tax benefit to be recognized or continue to be recognized. As of September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits. The Company does not anticipate the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly change within the next 12 months.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties on income tax assessments or income tax refunds, where applicable, in the financial statements as a component of its provision for income taxes. The Company recognized interest expense (benefit) of \$1, \$(186) and \$1,013, net of tax, during the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Total interest accrued was \$0 at September 30, 2014 and 2013.

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state and city jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to federal and state income tax examinations for tax years prior to 2011. Federal income tax audits have been completed through September 30, 2010 and State of Ohio audits have been completed through September 30, 2011.

**13. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS**

**Defined Benefit Plan**—The Third Federal Savings Retirement Plan (the “Plan”) is a defined benefit pension plan. Effective December 31, 2002, the Plan was amended to limit participation to employees who met the Plan’s eligibility requirements on that date. Effective December 31, 2011, the Plan was amended to freeze future benefit accruals for participants in the Plan. After December 31, 2002, employees not participating in the Plan, upon meeting the applicable eligibility requirements, and those eligible participants who no longer receive service credits under the Plan, participate in a separate tier of the Company’s defined contribution 401(k) Savings Plan. Benefits under the Plan are based on years of service and the employee’s average annual compensation (as defined in the Plan) through December 31, 2011. The funding policy of the Plan is consistent with the funding requirements of U.S. federal and other governmental laws and regulations. In fiscal years 2014 and 2013, settlement adjustments were recognized as a result of lump sum payments exceeding the sum of interest and service costs for the year.

The following table sets forth the change in projected benefit obligation for the defined benefit plan:

|   | September 30, |          |
|---|---------------|----------|
|   | 2014          | 2013     |
| Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$68,044      | \$70,788 |
| Interest cost                                     | 3,204         | 2,938    |
| Actuarial loss and other                          | 7,527         | 287      |
| Settlement  | (4,491 )      | (5,348 ) |
| Benefits paid                                     | (802 )        | (621 )   |
| Projected benefit obligation at end of year       | \$73,482      | \$68,044 |

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles the beginning and ending balances of the fair value of Plan assets and presents the funded status of the Plan recognized in the statement of condition at the September 30 measurement dates:

|  | September 30, |            |
|--|---------------|------------|
|  | 2014          | 2013       |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of the year | \$60,937      | \$58,675   |
| Actual return on plan assets                       | 5,568         | 6,231      |
| Employer contributions                             | 2,000         | 2,000      |
| Benefits paid                                      | (802 )        | (621 )     |
| Settlement   | (4,491 )      | (5,348 )   |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year           | \$63,212      | \$60,937   |
| Funded status of the plan—asset (liability)        | \$(10,270 )   | \$(7,107 ) |

The components of net periodic benefit cost recognized in the statement of income are as follows:

|  | Year Ended September 30, |          |          |
|--|--------------------------|----------|----------|
|  | 2014                     | 2013     | 2012     |
| Service cost                           | \$—                      | \$—      | \$1,005  |
| Interest Cost                          | 3,204                    | 2,938    | 2,952    |
| Expected return on plan assets         | (4,221 )                 | (4,116 ) | (3,727 ) |
| Amortization of net loss and other     | 296                      | 556      | 572      |
| Amortization of prior service benefit  | —                        | —        | (15 )    |
| Recognized net gain due to curtailment | —                        | —        | (267 )   |
| Recognized net loss due to settlement  | 912                      | 782      | —        |
| Net periodic benefit cost              | \$191                    | \$160    | \$520    |

There were no required minimum employer contributions during the twelve months ended September 30, 2014. The Company made a voluntary contribution of \$2,000 during the fiscal year.

Plan assets carried at fair value are classified into one of the three levels of the fair value hierarchy based on an assessment of inputs used in the valuation techniques. See Note. 16 Fair Value for additional information about fair value measurements, the fair value hierarchy, and a description of the inputs used within each level of the hierarchy. Plan assets consist of investments in pooled separate accounts that invest in mutual funds, equity securities, debt securities, or real estate investments. Pooled separate accounts are valued at net asset value of shares held by the Plan at the reporting date. Net asset value is categorized as a level 2 fair value measurement except when the investment so measured could not have been redeemed at net asset value as of the measurement date. At September 30, 2014 and 2013, there were no such restrictions on Plan assets. Unless otherwise restricted, pooled separate accounts can be redeemed on a daily basis.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the fair value of Plan assets by asset category at the measurement date.

| Asset Category:                      | September 30,<br>2014 | Recurring Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date<br>Using            |  |                              |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|------------------------------|
|                                      |                       | Quoted Prices in<br>Active Markets for<br>Identical Assets<br>(Level 1) | Significant<br>Observable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Other<br>Inputs<br>(Level 3) |
| U.S. large cap equity portfolios     | \$ 18,830             | \$ —  | \$ 18,830  | \$ —                         |
| U.S. small/mid cap equity portfolios | 4,762                 | —   | 4,762  | —                            |
| International equity portfolios      | 7,798                 | —   | 7,798  | —                            |
| Debt securities(1)                   | 25,455                | —   | 25,455   | —                            |
| Balanced/asset allocation portfolios | 3,174                 | —   | 3,174  | —                            |
| Real estate investments portfolios   | 3,193                 | —   | 3,193  | —                            |
| Total                                | \$ 63,212             | \$ —  | \$ 63,212  | \$ —                         |

| Asset Category:                      | September 30,<br>2013 | Recurring Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date<br>Using               |  |                              |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|------------------------------|
|                                      |                       | Quoted Prices in<br>Active Markets<br>for<br>Identical Assets<br>(Level 1) | Significant<br>Observable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Other<br>Inputs<br>(Level 3) |
| U.S. large cap equity portfolios     | \$ 19,508             | \$ —   | \$ 19,508  | \$ —                         |
| U.S. small/mid cap equity portfolios | 5,152                 | —  | 5,152  | —                            |
| International equity portfolios      | 8,434                 | —  | 8,434  | —                            |
| Debt securities(1)                   | 21,565                | —  | 21,565   | —                            |
| Balanced/asset allocation portfolios | 3,153                 | —  | 3,153  | —                            |
| Real estate investments portfolios   | 3,125                 | —  | 3,125  | —                            |
| Total                                | \$ 60,937             | \$ —   | \$ 60,937  | \$ —                         |

(1) Includes pooled separate accounts that invest mainly in fixed income securities such as corporate bonds, asset backed securities, commercial mortgage backed securities or in a single mutual fund.

Asset allocation ranges have been established by broad asset categories to build an efficient, well-diversified portfolio. The ranges are designed to provide an appropriate balance between risk and return, while positioning Plan assets, over extended economic cycles, in a manner consistent with the long-term return assumptions used in measurements and valuations. For equity securities the target is 50% to 60% while the target for debt and real estate securities (including cash equivalents) is 40% to 50%.

The following additional information is provided with respect to the Plan:

|  | September 30, |          |               |   |
|--|---------------|----------|---------------|---|
|  | 2014          | 2013     | 2012          |   |
| Assumptions and dates used to determine benefit obligations: |               |          |               |   |
| Discount rate  | 4.40          | % 4.90   | % 4.30        | % |
| Rate of compensation increase                                | n/a           | n/a      | n/a           |   |
| Census date  | 1/1/2014      | 1/1/2013 | 1/1/2012      |   |
| Assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost:     |               |          |               |   |
| Discount rate  | 4.90          | % 4.30   | % 4.95%/4.40% |   |

Edgar Filing: TFS Financial CORP - Form 10-K

|  |      |   |      |   |      |   |
|--|------|---|------|---|------|---|
| Long-term rate of return on plan assets      | 7.50 | % | 7.50 | % | 7.50 | % |
| Rate of compensation increase (graded scale) | n/a  |   | n/a  |   | 4.55 | % |

The discount rate for fiscal year 2012 was 4.95% for the three months ended December 31, 2011, which was the date future benefit accruals were frozen and 4.40% for the remainder of the year. The expected long-term return on assets

120

---

Table of Contents

assumption has been derived based upon the average rates of earnings expected on the funds invested to provide for Plan benefits. Management evaluates the historical performance of the various asset categories, as well as current expectations in determining the adequacy of the assumed rates of return in meeting Plan obligations. If warranted, the assumption is modified.

The following table provides estimates of expected future benefit payments during each of the next five fiscal years, as well as in the aggregate for years six through ten. Additionally, the table includes the minimum employer contributions expected during the next fiscal year.

Expected Benefit Payments During the Fiscal Years Ending September 30:

|      |         |
|------|---------|
| 2015 | \$4,710 |
| 2016 | 4,830   |
| 2017 | 4,590   |
| 2018 | 4,240   |
| 2019 | 4,990   |

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| Aggregate expected benefit payments during the five fiscal year period beginning October 1, 2020, and ending September 30, 2024 | \$24,240 |
|---|----------|

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Minimum employer contributions expected to be paid during the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015 | \$— |
|---|-----|

Effective September 30, 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of FASB ASC 715 “Compensation – Retirement Benefits” which requires an employer to recognize the funded status of its Plan in the statement of financial condition by a charge to AOCI. For the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012, AOCI includes \$14,922, \$9,950, and \$13,116, respectively, of net actuarial losses, which have not been recognized as components of net periodic benefit costs as of the measurement date (there was no transition obligation at any date).

The Company expects that \$760 of net actuarial losses will be recognized as AOCI components of net periodic benefit cost during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015.

401(k) Savings Plan—The Company maintains a 401(k) savings plan that is comprised of three tiers. The first tier allows eligible employees to contribute up to 75% of their compensation to the plan, subject to limitations established by the Internal Revenue Service, with the Company matching 100% of up to 4% on funds contributed. The second tier permits the Company to make a profit-sharing contribution at its discretion. The first and second tiers cover substantially all employees who have reached age 21 and have worked 1,000 hours in one year of service. The third tier permits the Company to make discretionary contributions allocable to eligible employees including those eligible employees who are participants, but no longer receiving service credits, under the Company’s defined benefit pension plan. Voluntary contributions made by employees are vested at all times whereas Company contributions and Company matching contributions are subject to various vesting periods which range from immediately vested to fully vesting upon five years of service.

The total of the Company’s matching and discretionary contributions related to the 401(k) savings plan for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$2,907, \$2,972 and \$2,717 respectively.

Employee (Associate) Stock Ownership Plan —The Company established an ESOP for its employees effective January 1, 2006. The ESOP is a tax-qualified plan designed to invest primarily in the Company’s common stock and provides employees with an opportunity to receive a funded retirement benefit, based primarily on the value of the Company’s common stock. The ESOP covers all eligible employees of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. Employees are eligible to participate in the ESOP after attainment of age 18, completion of 1,000 hours of service, and employment on the last day of the plan’s calendar year. Company contributions to the plan are at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The ESOP is accounted for in accordance with the provisions for stock compensation in FASB ASC 718. Compensation expense for the ESOP is based on the market price of the Company’s stock and is recognized as shares are committed to be released to participants. The total compensation expense related to this plan in the 2014, 2013 and 2012 fiscal years was \$5,554, \$4,499 and \$4,004, respectively.

The ESOP was authorized to purchase, and did purchase, 11,605,824 shares of the Company’s common stock at a price of \$10 per share with a 2006 plan year cash contribution and the proceeds of a loan from the Company to the ESOP.

The outstanding loan principal balance as of September 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$72,644 and \$76,066, respectively.

Shares of the Company's common stock pledged as collateral for the loan are released from the pledge for allocation to participants as loan payments are made. At September 30, 2014, 4,672,389 shares have been allocated to participants and 325,005 shares were committed to be released. Shares that are committed to be released will be allocated to participants at the end of the plan year (December 31). ESOP shares that are unallocated or not yet committed to be released totaled 6,608,430 at September 30, 2014, and had a fair market value of \$94,633. Participants have the option to receive dividends on allocated shares in cash or leave the dividend in the ESOP. Dividends are reinvested in Company stock for those participants who choose to leave their

Table of Contents

dividends in the ESOP or who do not make an election. The purchase of Company stock for reinvestment of dividends is made in the open market on or about the date of the cash disbursement to the participants who opt to take dividends in cash. Dividends on unallocated shares held in the Employer Stock fund were paid to the trustee to be used to make payments on the outstanding loan obligation.

**14. EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN**

At a special meeting of shareholders held on May 29, 2008, shareholders of the Company approved the TFS Financial Corporation 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (the "Equity Plan"). The Company adopted the provisions related to share-based compensation in FASB ASC 718 and FASB ASC 505, upon approval of the Equity Plan, and began to expense the fair value of all share-based compensation granted over the requisite service periods.

During the year ended September 30, 2014, the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors approved the issuance of an additional 419,300 stock options and 98,900 restricted stock units to certain directors, officers and employees of the Company. The awards were made pursuant to the Equity Plan.

FASB ASC 718 requires the Company to report as a financing cash flow the benefits of realized tax deductions in excess of the deferred tax benefits previously recognized for compensation expense. The Company recorded an excess tax benefit of \$91, \$0, and \$0 for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The stock options have a contractual term of 10 years and vest over a one to seven year service period. The Company recognizes compensation expense for the fair values of these awards, which have installment vesting, on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the awards.

Restricted stock units vest over a one to ten year service period. The product of the number of units granted and the grant date market price of the Company's common stock determines the fair value of restricted stock units under the Equity Plan. The Company recognizes compensation expense for the fair value of restricted stock units on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period.

During the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded \$6,862, \$6,703 and \$7,112, respectively, of share-based compensation expense, comprised of stock option expense of \$3,195, \$3,303 and \$3,570, respectively and restricted stock units expense of \$3,667, \$3,400 and \$3,542, respectively. The tax benefit recognized in net income related to share-based compensation expense was \$2,342, \$2,099 and \$3,664, respectively.

The following is a summary of the status of the Company's restricted stock units as of September 30, 2014 and changes therein during the year then ended:

|  | Number of<br>Shares<br>Awarded | Weighted<br>Average<br>Grant Date<br>Fair Value |
|--|--------------------------------|---|
| Outstanding at September 30, 2013                  | 1,454,184                      | \$ 10.76  |
| Granted  | 98,900                         | 11.73   |
| Exercised  | (191,307 )                     | 10.36   |
| Forfeited  | (9,000 )                       | 8.61  |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2014                  | 1,352,777                      | \$ 10.90  |
| Vested and exercisable, at September 30, 2014      | 370,378                        | \$ 12.02  |
| Vested and expected to vest, at September 30, 2014 | 1,351,665                      | \$ 10.90  |

The weighted average grant date fair value of restricted stock units granted during the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$11.73, \$9.43 and \$8.61 per share, respectively. The total fair value of restricted stock units vested during the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$2,235, \$2,921, and \$2,383, respectively. Expected future compensation expense relating to the non-vested restricted stock units at September 30, 2014 is \$3,156 over a weighted average period of 1.39 years.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of the Company's stock option activity and related information for the Equity Plan for the year ended September 30, 2014:

|  | Number of<br>Stock Options | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price | Weighted<br>Average<br>Remaining<br>Contractual<br>Life (years) | Aggregate<br>Intrinsic<br>Value |
|--|----------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at September 30, 2013                | 6,519,650                  | \$ 11.10                                 | 6.00  | \$6,484                         |
| Granted  | 419,300                    | \$ 11.65                                 |   |                                 |
| Exercised  | (177,500 )                 | \$ 11.45                                 |   | \$ 313                          |
| Forfeited  | (27,375 )                  | \$ 9.68                                  |   | \$ 101                          |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2014                | 6,734,075                  | \$ 11.13                                 | 5.23  | \$21,457                        |
| Vested and exercisable at September 30, 2014     | 4,548,675                  | \$ 11.56                                 | 4.51  | \$12,576                        |
| Vested or expected to vest at September 30, 2014 | 6,733,723                  | \$ 11.13                                 | 5.23  | \$21,455                        |

The fair value of the option grants was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions.

|                                 | 2014  |   | 2013  |   |
|---------------------------------|-------|---|-------|---|
| Expected dividend yield         | —     | % | —     | % |
| Expected volatility             | 26.12 | % | 26.89 | % |
| Risk-free interest rate         | 1.77  | % | 0.98  | % |
| Expected option term (in years) | 5.98  |   | 6.00  |   |

The expected dividend yield was assumed to be 0% since, at the date the award was granted, no dividends had been paid since May 2010. Volatility of the company's stock was used in the estimation of fair value. Management estimated the expected life of the options using the simplified method allowed under SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin 110, which expresses the views of the SEC regarding the use of a "simplified" method, as discussed in Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107. The five and seven year Treasury yield in effect at the time of the grant provides the risk-free rate of return for periods within the expected term of the options.

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$3.39, \$2.64, and \$2.58 per share, respectively. Expected future compensation expense relating to the non-vested options outstanding as of September 30, 2014 is \$2,504 over a weighted average period of 1.13 years.

Upon exercise of vested options, management expects to draw on treasury stock as the source of the shares. At September 30, 2014, the number of common shares authorized for award under the Equity Plan was 23,000,000, of which 13,485,006 shares remain available for future award.

#### 15. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into commitments with off-balance-sheet risk to meet the financing needs of its customers. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments to originate loans generally have fixed expiration dates of 60 to 360 days or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Unfunded commitments related to home equity lines of credit generally expire from 5 to 10 years following the date that the line of credit was established, subject to various conditions including compliance with payment obligation, adequacy of collateral securing the line and maintenance of a satisfactory credit profile by the borrower. Since some of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Off-balance sheet commitments to extend credit involve elements of credit risk and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated statements of condition. The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the commitment is represented by the contractual amount of the commitment. The Company generally uses the same credit policies in making commitments as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments. Interest rate risk on commitments to extend credit results from the possibility that interest rates may have



moved unfavorably from the position of the Company since the time the commitment was made.

123

---

Table of Contents

At September 30, 2014, the Company had commitments to originate loans as follows:

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| Fixed-rate mortgage loans                               | \$202,302 |
| Adjustable-rate mortgage loans                          | 216,383   |
| Equity loans and lines of credit including bridge loans | 40,519    |
| Total   | \$459,204 |

At September 30, 2014, the Company had unfunded commitments outstanding as follows:

|                            |             |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| Equity lines of credit     | \$1,128,265 |
| Construction loans         | 28,585      |
| Private equity investments | 12,941      |
| Total                      | \$1,169,791 |

At September 30, 2014, the unfunded commitment on home equity lines of credit, including commitments for accounts suspended as a result of material default or a decline in equity, is \$1,320,712.

The Company had assumed a portion of the mortgage guaranty insurance on an excess of loss basis for the mortgage guaranty risks of certain mortgage loans in its own portfolio through reinsurance contracts with two primary mortgage insurance companies. One contract was terminated effective January 8, 2014 under a Commutation and Release Agreement that reduced the Company's maximum loss remaining under the contract by \$6,385 in exchange for a \$1,000 payment. The second contract was terminated effective March 31, 2014 under a Commutation and Mutual Release Agreement that eliminated the Company's then remaining loss exposure of \$308 under the contracts in exchange for a \$200 payment. The Company has no remaining loss liability under these contracts as of September 30, 2014.

The following table summarizes the activity in the liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses:

|                              | September 30, |          |          |
|------------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|
|                              | 2014          | 2013     | 2012     |
| Balance, beginning of year   | \$2,158       | \$3,351  | \$4,023  |
| Incurred increase (decrease) | (182 )        | 287      | 797      |
| Paid claims                  | (1,976 )      | (1,480 ) | (1,469 ) |
| Balance, end of period       | \$—           | \$2,158  | \$3,351  |

At September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company had commitments to securitize and sell mortgage loans which totaled \$4,570 and \$3,295, respectively.

In management's opinion, the above commitments will be funded through normal operations.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the resolution of these legal actions is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operation, or statements of cashflows.

#### 16. FAIR VALUE

Under U.S. GAAP, fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset, or paid to transfer a liability, in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date and a fair value framework is established whereby assets and liabilities measured at fair value are grouped into three levels of a fair value hierarchy, based on the transparency of inputs and the reliability of assumptions used to estimate fair value. The Company's policy is to recognize transfers between levels of the hierarchy as of the end of the reporting period in which the transfer occurs. The three levels of inputs are defined as follows:

Level 1 – quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets

Level 2 – or liabilities in markets with few transactions, or model-based valuation techniques using assumptions that are observable in the market.

Level 3 – a company's own assumptions about how market participants would price an asset or liability.

Table of Contents

As permitted under the fair value guidance in U.S. GAAP, the Company elects to measure at fair value, mortgage loans classified as held for sale that are subject to pending agency contracts to securitize and sell loans. This election is expected to reduce volatility in earnings related to market fluctuations between the contract trade and settlement dates. At September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, there were \$4,570 and \$3,369 loans held for sale, with unpaid principal balances of \$4,491 and \$3,295, subject to pending agency contracts for which the fair value option was elected. For the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, net gain (loss) on the sale of loans includes \$14, \$(113) and \$210, respectively, related to changes during the period in the fair value of loans held for sale subject to pending agency contracts.

Presented below is a discussion of the methods and significant assumptions used by the Company to estimate fair value.

**Investment Securities Available for Sale**—Investment securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. At September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, this includes \$568,868 and \$471,901 of investments in U.S. government and agency obligations including U.S. Treasury notes and sequentially structured, highly liquid collateralized mortgage obligations issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Ginnie Mae and \$0 and \$5,475 of secured institutional money market deposits insured by the FDIC up to the current coverage limits, with any excess collateralized by the holding institution. Both are measured using the market approach. The fair values of treasury notes and collateralized mortgage obligations represent unadjusted price estimates obtained from third party independent nationally recognized pricing services using pricing models or quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics and are included in Level 2 of the hierarchy. At the time of initial measurement and, subsequently, when changes in methodologies occur, management obtains and reviews documentation of pricing methodologies used by third party pricing services to verify that prices are determined in accordance with fair value guidance in U.S. GAAP and to ensure that assets are properly classified in the fair value hierarchy. Additionally, third party pricing is reviewed on a monthly basis for reasonableness based on the market knowledge and experience of company personnel that interact daily with the markets for these types of securities. The carrying amount of the money market deposit accounts is considered a reasonable estimate of their fair value because they are cash deposits in interest bearing accounts valued at par. These accounts are included in Level 1 of the hierarchy.

**Mortgage Loans Held for Sale**—The fair value of mortgage loans held for sale is estimated on an aggregate basis using a market approach based on quoted secondary market pricing for loan portfolios with similar characteristics. Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value except, as described above, the Company elects the fair value measurement option for mortgage loans held for sale subject to pending agency contracts to securitize and sell loans. Loans held for sale are included in Level 2 of the hierarchy. At September 30, 2014 and 2013 there were \$4,570 and \$3,369, respectively, of loans held for sale measured at fair value and \$392 and \$810, respectively, of loans held for sale carried at cost.

**Impaired Loans**—Impaired loans represent certain loans held for investment that are subject to a fair value measurement under U.S. GAAP because they are individually evaluated for impairment and that impairment is measured using a fair value measurement, such as the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to dispose. Impairment is measured using the market approach based on the fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to dispose for loans the Company considers to be collateral-dependent due to a delinquency status or other adverse condition severe enough to indicate that the borrower can no longer be relied upon as the continued source of repayment. These conditions are described more fully in Note 5. Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses. To calculate impairment of collateral-dependent loans, the fair market values of the collateral, estimated using exterior appraisals in the majority of instances, are reduced by calculated costs to dispose derived from historical experience and recent market conditions. Any indicated impairment is recognized by a charge to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent increases in collateral values or principal pay downs on loans with recognized impairment could result in an impaired loan being carried below its fair value. When no impairment loss is indicated, the carrying amount is considered to approximate the fair value of that loan to the Company because contractually that is the maximum recovery the Company can expect. The recorded investment of loans individually evaluated for impairment based on the fair value of the collateral are included in Level 3 of the hierarchy with assets measured at fair value on a

non-recurring basis. The range and weighted average impact of costs to dispose on fair values is determined at the time of impairment or when additional impairment is recognized and is included in quantitative information about significant unobservable inputs later in this note.

Loans held for investment that have been restructured in troubled debt restructurings and are performing according to the modified terms of the loan agreement are individually evaluated for impairment using the present value of future cash flows based on the loan's effective interest rate, which is not a fair value measurement. At September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, this included \$105,954 and \$116,011 in recorded investment of troubled debt restructurings with related allowances for loss of \$15,787 and \$15,749.

Real Estate Owned—Real estate owned includes real estate acquired as a result of foreclosure or by deed in lieu of foreclosure and is carried at the lower of the cost basis or fair value less estimated costs to dispose. Fair value is estimated

Table of Contents

under the market approach using independent third party appraisals. As these properties are actively marketed, estimated fair values may be adjusted by management to reflect current economic and market conditions. At September 30, 2014 and 2013, these adjustments were not significant to reported fair values. At September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, \$17,970 and \$19,644 of real estate owned is included in Level 3 of the hierarchy with assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis where the cost basis equals or exceeds the estimate of fair values less costs to dispose of these properties. Real estate owned, as reported in the Consolidated Statements of Condition, includes estimated costs to dispose of \$1,667 and \$1,986 related to properties measured at fair value and \$5,465 and \$5,008 of properties carried at their original or adjusted cost basis at September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Derivatives—Derivative instruments include interest rate locks on commitments to originate loans for the held for sale portfolio and forward commitments on contracts to deliver mortgage loans. Derivatives are reported at fair value in other assets or other liabilities on the Consolidated Statement of Condition with changes in value recorded in current earnings. Fair value is estimated using a market approach based on quoted secondary market pricing for loan portfolios with characteristics similar to loans underlying the derivative contracts. The fair value of interest rate lock commitments is adjusted by a closure rate based on the estimated percentage of commitments that will result in closed loans. The range and weighted average impact of the closure rate is included in quantitative information about significant unobservable inputs later in this note. A significant change in the closure rate may result in a significant change in the ending fair value measurement of these derivatives relative to their total fair value. Because the closure rate is a significantly unobservable assumption, interest rate lock commitments are included in Level 3 of the hierarchy. Forward commitments on contracts to deliver mortgage loans are included in Level 2 of the hierarchy.

Assets and liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis in the Consolidated Statements of Condition at September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013 are summarized below.

|  |                       | Recurring Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date<br>Using<br>Quoted Prices in |   |  |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|--|
|  | September 30,<br>2014 | Active Markets for<br>Identical<br>Assets<br>(Level 1)                           | Significant Other<br>Observable Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>Unobservable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 3) |
| <b>Assets</b>                                      |                       |  |   |  |
| Investment securities available for sale:          |                       |  |   |  |
| U.S. government and agency obligations             | \$ 2,023              | \$—  | \$ 2,023  | \$—  |
| REMIC's  | 555,607               | —  | 555,607   | —  |
| Fannie Mae certificates                            | 11,238                | —  | 11,238  | —  |
| Mortgage loans held for sale                       | 4,570                 | —  | 4,570   | —  |
| Derivatives:                                       |                       |  |   |  |
| Interest rate lock commitments                     | 59                    | —  | —   | 59   |
| Total  | \$ 573,497            | \$—  | \$ 573,438  | \$59   |
| <b>Liabilities</b>                                 |                       |  |   |  |
| Derivatives:                                       |                       |  |   |  |
| Forward commitments for the sale of mortgage loans | 14                    | —  | 14  | —  |
| Total  | \$ 14                 | \$—  | \$ 14   | \$—  |

Table of Contents

|  | September 30,<br>2013 | Recurring Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using<br>Quoted Prices in<br>Active Markets for<br>Identical Assets<br>(Level 1) | Significant Other<br>Observable Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>Unobservable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 3) |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| <b>Assets</b>                                      |                       |  |   |  |
| Investment securities available for sale:          |                       |  |   |  |
| U.S. government and agency obligations             | \$ 2,037              | \$ —   | \$ 2,037  | \$ —   |
| Freddie Mac certificates                           | 950                   | —  | 950   | —  |
| Ginnie Mae certificates                            | 12,342                | —  | 12,342  | —  |
| REMIC's  | 444,577               | —  | 444,577   | —  |
| Fannie Mae certificates                            | 11,995                | —  | 11,995  | —  |
| Money market accounts                              | 5,475                 | 5,475  | —   | —  |
| Mortgage loans held for sale                       | 3,369                 | —  | 3,369   | —  |
| Derivatives:                                       |                       |  |   |  |
| Interest rate lock commitments                     | 158                   | —  | —   | 158  |
| <b>Total</b>                                       | <b>\$ 480,903</b>     | <b>\$ 5,475</b>  | <b>\$ 475,270</b>                                   | <b>\$ 158</b>                                      |
| <b>Liabilities</b>                                 |                       |  |   |  |
| Derivatives:                                       |                       |  |   |  |
| Forward commitments for the sale of mortgage loans | 6                     | —  | 6   | —  |
| <b>Total</b>                                       | <b>\$ 6</b>           | <b>\$ —</b>  | <b>\$ 6</b>   | <b>\$ —</b>  |

The table below presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances and the location within the Consolidated Statements of Income where gains due to changes in fair value are recognized on interest rate lock commitments which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

|   | Interest Rate Lock Commitments<br>Year Ended September 30, |        |        |
|---|--|--------|--------|
|   | 2014   | 2013   | 2012   |
| Beginning balance   | \$ 158   | \$ 404 | \$ —   |
| Gain (loss) during the period due to changes in fair value:   |  |        |        |
| Included in other non-interest income   | (99 )  | (246 ) | 404    |
| Ending balance  | \$ 59  | \$ 158 | \$ 404 |
| Change in unrealized gains for the period included in earnings for assets held at end of the reporting date | \$ 59  | \$ 158 | \$ 404 |

Summarized in the tables below are those assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. This includes loans held for investment that are individually evaluated for impairment, excluding performing troubled debt restructurings valued using the present value of cash flow method, and properties included in real estate owned that are carried at fair value less estimated costs to dispose at the reporting date.

|                                  | September 30,<br>2014 | Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using<br>Quoted Prices in<br>Active Markets for<br>Identical Assets<br>(Level 1) | Significant Other<br>Observable Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>Unobservable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 3) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| Impaired loans, net of allowance | \$ 127,432            | \$ —  | \$ —  | \$ 127,432   |
| Real estate owned <sup>(1)</sup> | 17,970                | —   | —   | 17,970   |
| <b>Total</b>                     | <b>\$ 145,402</b>     | <b>\$ —</b>   | <b>\$ —</b>   | <b>\$ 145,402</b>                                  |

(1) Amounts represent fair value measurements of properties before deducting estimated costs to dispose.



Table of Contents

|                                  | September 30,<br>2013 | Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date<br>Using         |   |  |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|
|                                  |                       | Quoted Prices in<br>Active Markets for<br>Identical Assets<br>(Level 1) | Significant Other<br>Observable Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>Unobservable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 3) |
| Impaired loans, net of allowance | \$ 146,941            | \$ —  | \$ —  | \$ 146,941   |
| Real estate owned <sup>(1)</sup> | 19,644                | —   | —   | 19,644   |
| Total                            | \$ 166,585            | \$ —  | \$ —  | \$ 166,585   |

(1) Amounts represent fair value measurements of properties before deducting estimated costs to dispose.

The following provides quantitative information about significant unobservable inputs categorized within Level 3 of the Fair Value Hierarchy.

|  | Fair Value<br>9/30/2014 | Valuation Technique(s)  | Unobservable Input   | Range    | Weighted<br>Average |
|--|-------------------------|---|--|----------|---------------------|
| Impaired<br>loans, net of<br>allowance | \$127,432               | Market comparables of<br>collateral discounted to<br>estimated net proceeds | Discount appraised value to estimated<br>net proceeds based on historical<br>experience:<br>• Residential Properties | 0 - 24%  | 8.3%                |
| Interest rate<br>lock<br>commitments   | \$59                    | Quoted Secondary<br>Market pricing  | Closure rate   | 0 - 100% | 76.0%               |
|  | Fair Value<br>9/30/2013 | Valuation Technique(s)  | Unobservable Input   | Range    | Weighted<br>Average |
| Impaired<br>loans, net of<br>allowance | \$146,941               | Market comparables of<br>collateral discounted to<br>estimated net proceeds | Discount appraised value to estimated<br>net proceeds based on historical<br>experience:<br>• Residential Properties | 0 - 24%  | 9.3%                |
| Interest rate<br>lock<br>commitments   | \$158                   | Quoted Secondary<br>Market pricing  | Closure rate   | 0 - 100% | 53.2%               |



Table of Contents

The following table presents the estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments. The estimated fair value amounts have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is required to interpret market data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

|   | September 30, 2014 |                            |          |             |            |
|---|--------------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
|   | Carrying Amount    | Estimated Fair Value Total | Level 1  | Level 2     | Level 3    |
| <b>Assets:</b>  |                    |                            |          |             |            |
| Cash and due from banks                               | \$26,886           | \$26,886                   | \$26,886 | \$—         | \$—        |
| Other interest bearing cash equivalents               | 154,517            | 154,517                    | 154,517  | —           | —          |
| Investment securities:                                |                    |                            |          |             |            |
| Available for sale                                    | 568,868            | 568,868                    | —        | 568,868     | —          |
| Mortgage loans held for sale                          | 4,962              | 4,974                      | —        | 4,974       | —          |
| Loans-net:  |                    |                            |          |             |            |
| Mortgage loans held for investment                    | 10,625,966         | 10,876,564                 | —        | —           | 10,876,564 |
| Other loans   | 4,721              | 4,894                      | —        | —           | 4,894      |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock                          | 40,411             | 40,411                     | N/A      | —           | —          |
| Private equity investments                            | 551                | 551                        | —        | —           | 551        |
| Accrued interest receivable                           | 31,952             | 31,952                     | —        | 31,952      | —          |
| Derivatives   | 59                 | 59                         | —        | —           | 59         |
| <b>Liabilities:</b>                                   |                    |                            |          |             |            |
| NOW and passbook accounts                             | \$2,652,246        | \$2,652,246                | \$—      | \$2,652,246 | \$—        |
| Certificates of deposit                               | 6,001,632          | 5,875,499                  | —        | 5,875,499   | —          |
| Borrowed funds  | 1,138,639          | 1,139,647                  | —        | 1,139,647   | —          |
| Borrowers' advances for taxes and insurance           | 76,266             | 76,266                     | —        | 76,266      | —          |
| Principal, interest and escrow owed on loans serviced | 54,670             | 54,670                     | —        | 54,670      | —          |
| Derivatives   | 14                 | 14                         | —        | 14          | —          |

Table of Contents

|   | September 30, 2013 |                            |           |              |            |
|---|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|
|   | Carrying Amount    | Estimated Fair Value Total | Level 1   | Level 2      | Level 3    |
| <b>Assets:</b>  |                    |                            |           |              |            |
| Cash and due from banks                               | \$ 34,694          | \$ 34,694                  | \$ 34,694 | \$—          | \$—        |
| Other interest bearing cash equivalents               | 251,302            | 251,302                    | 251,302   | —            | —          |
| Investment securities:                                |                    |                            |           |              |            |
| Available for sale                                    | 477,376            | 477,376                    | 5,475     | 471,901      | —          |
| Mortgage loans held for sale                          | 4,179              | 4,222                      | —         | 4,222        | —          |
| Loans-net:  |                    |                            |           |              |            |
| Mortgage loans held for investment                    | 10,079,966         | 10,344,246                 | —         | —            | 10,344,246 |
| Other loans   | 4,100              | 4,353                      | —         | —            | 4,353      |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock                          | 35,620             | 35,620                     | N/A       | —            | —          |
| Private equity investments                            | 654                | 654                        | —         | —            | 654        |
| Accrued interest receivable                           | 31,489             | 31,489                     | —         | 31,489       | —          |
| Derivatives   | 158                | 158                        | —         | —            | 158        |
| <b>Liabilities:</b>                                   |                    |                            |           |              |            |
| NOW and passbook accounts                             | \$ 2,836,269       | \$ 2,836,269               | \$—       | \$ 2,836,269 | \$—        |
| Certificates of deposit                               | 5,628,230          | 5,510,241                  | —         | 5,510,241    | —          |
| Borrowed funds  | 745,117            | 745,294                    | —         | 745,294      | —          |
| Borrowers' advances for taxes and insurance           | 71,388             | 71,388                     | —         | 71,388       | —          |
| Principal, interest and escrow owed on loans serviced | 75,745             | 75,745                     | —         | 75,745       | —          |
| Derivatives   | 6                  | 6                          | —         | 6            | —          |

Presented below is a discussion of the valuation techniques and inputs used by the Company to estimate fair value.

**Cash and Due from Banks, Interest Bearing Cash Equivalents**—The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

**Investment and Mortgage-Backed Securities**—Estimated fair value for investment and mortgage-backed securities is based on quoted market prices, when available. If quoted prices are not available, management will use as part of their estimation process fair values which are obtained from third party independent nationally recognized pricing services using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flows.

**Mortgage Loans Held for Sale**— Fair value of mortgage loans held for sale is based on quoted secondary market pricing for loan portfolios with similar characteristics.

**Loans**—For mortgage loans held for investment and other loans, fair value is estimated by discounting contractual cash flows adjusted for prepayment estimates using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining term. The use of current rates to discount cash flows reflects current market expectations with respect to credit exposure. Impaired loans are measured at the lower of cost or fair value as described earlier in this footnote.

**Federal Home Loan Bank Stock**—It is not practical to estimate the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions on its transferability. The fair value is estimated to be the carrying value, which is par. All transactions in capital stock of the FHLB Cincinnati are executed at par.

**Private Equity Investments**—Private equity investments are initially valued based upon transaction price. The carrying value is subsequently adjusted when it is considered necessary based on current performance and market conditions. The carrying values are adjusted to reflect expected exit values. These investments are included in Other Assets in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Condition at fair value.

Table of Contents

Deposits—The fair value of demand deposit accounts is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is estimated using discounted cash flows and rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Borrowed Funds—Estimated fair value for borrowed funds is estimated using discounted cash flows and rates currently charged for borrowings of similar remaining maturities.

Accrued Interest Receivable, Borrowers' Advances for Insurance and Taxes, and Principal, Interest and Related Escrow Owed on Loans Serviced—The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Derivatives—Fair value is estimated based on the valuation techniques and inputs described earlier in this footnote.

**17. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS**

The Company has entered into forward commitments for the sale of mortgage loans principally to protect against the risk of adverse interest rate movements on net income. The Company recognizes the fair value of the contracts when the characteristics of those contracts meet the definition of a derivative. These derivatives are not designated in a hedging relationship; therefore, gains and losses are recognized immediately in the statement of income. In addition, the Company enters into commitments to originate a portion of its loans, which when funded, are classified as held for sale. Such commitments meet the definition of a derivative and are not designated in a hedging relationship; therefore, gains and losses are recognized immediately in the statement of income. The Company had no derivatives designated as hedging instruments under FASB ASC 815, "Derivatives and Hedging," at September 30, 2014 or 2013.

The following tables provide the locations within the Consolidated Statements of Condition and the fair values for derivatives not designated as hedging instruments.

|  | Asset Derivatives     |            |                       |            |
|--|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|
|  | At September 30, 2014 |            | At September 30, 2013 |            |
|  | Location              | Fair Value | Location              | Fair Value |
| Interest rate lock commitments                     | Other Assets          | \$ 59      | Other Assets          | \$ 158     |
|  | Liability Derivatives |            |                       |            |
|  | At September 30, 2014 |            | At September 30, 2013 |            |
|  | Location              | Fair Value | Location              | Fair Value |
| Forward commitments for the sale of mortgage loans | Other Liabilities     | \$ 14      | Other Liabilities     | \$ 6       |

The following table summarizes the location and amount of the gains and losses recognized within the Consolidated Statements of Income on derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments.

|  | Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income | Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative |           |        |
|--|---|---|-----------|--------|
|  |   | Year Ended September 30,                                    |           |        |
|  |   | 2014  | 2013      | 2012   |
| Interest rate lock commitments                     | Other non-interest income                       | \$ (99 )  | \$ (246 ) | \$ 404 |
| Forward commitments for the sale of mortgage loans | Net gain (loss) on the sale of loans            | (8 )  | 237       | (243 ) |
| Total  |   | \$ (107 )   | \$ (9 )   | \$ 161 |

Table of Contents

## 18. PARENT COMPANY ONLY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following condensed financial statements for TFS Financial Corporation (parent company only) reflect the investments in, and transactions with, its wholly-owned subsidiaries. Intercompany activity is eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

|  | September 30, |             |
|--|---------------|-------------|
|  | 2014          | 2013        |
| Statements of Condition  |               |             |
| Assets:  |               |             |
| Cash and due from banks  | \$2,099       | \$2,099     |
| Other loans:   |               |             |
| Demand loan due from Third Federal Savings and Loan  | 155,908       | 170,068     |
| Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) loan receivable   | 72,644        | 76,066      |
| Accrued interest receivable  | 1,281         | 1,851       |
| Investments in:  |               |             |
| Third Federal Savings and Loan   | 1,579,414     | 1,589,298   |
| Non-thrift subsidiaries  | 78,347        | 78,010      |
| Prepaid federal and state taxes  | 2,177         | 1,898       |
| Deferred income taxes  | 2,985         | 2,494       |
| Other assets   | 5,463         | 4,957       |
| Total assets   | \$1,900,318   | \$1,926,741 |
| Liabilities and shareholders' equity:  |               |             |
| Line of credit due non-thrift subsidiary   | \$57,188      | \$53,120    |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities   | 3,673         | 2,144       |
| Total liabilities  | 60,861        | 55,264      |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding  | —             | —           |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 700,000,000 shares authorized; 332,318,750 shares issued; 301,654,581 and 309,230,591 outstanding at September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, respectively | 3,323         | 3,323       |
| Paid-in capital  | 1,702,441     | 1,696,370   |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 30,664,169 and 23,088,159 shares at September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, respectively   | (379,109 )    | (278,215 )  |
| Unallocated ESOP shares  | (66,084 )     | (70,418 )   |
| Retained earnings—substantially restricted   | 589,678       | 529,021     |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss   | (10,792 )     | (8,604 )    |
| Total shareholders' equity   | 1,839,457     | 1,871,477   |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity   | \$1,900,318   | \$1,926,741 |

Table of Contents

|  | Years Ended September 30, |          |          |
|--|---------------------------|----------|----------|
|  | 2014                      | 2013     | 2012     |
| Statements of Comprehensive Income   |                           |          |          |
| Interest income:   |                           |          |          |
| Loans, including amortization of deferred costs                                    | \$—                       | \$—      | \$3      |
| Demand loan due from Third Federal Savings and Loan                                | 166                       | 203      | 164      |
| ESOP loan  | 2,388                     | 2,499    | 2,608    |
| Mortgage backed securities—available for sale                                      | —                         | —        | 6        |
| Total interest income  | 2,554                     | 2,702    | 2,781    |
| Interest expense:  |                           |          |          |
| Borrowed funds from non-thrift subsidiaries  | 168                       | 116      | 107      |
| Total interest expense   | 168                       | 116      | 107      |
| Net interest income  | 2,386                     | 2,586    | 2,674    |
| Non-interest income:   |                           |          |          |
| Intercompany service charges   | 600                       | 600      | 600      |
| Dividend from Third Federal Savings and Loan                                       | 85,000                    | —        | —        |
| Total other income   | 85,600                    | 600      | 600      |
| Non-interest expenses:   |                           |          |          |
| Salaries and employee benefits   | 5,921                     | 6,015    | 4,981    |
| Professional services  | 1,014                     | 904      | 980      |
| Office property and equipment  | 13                        | 13       | 13       |
| Other operating expenses   | 380                       | 40       | 20       |
| Total non-interest expenses  | 7,328                     | 6,972    | 5,994    |
| Income (loss) before income taxes  | 80,658                    | (3,786 ) | (2,720 ) |
| Income tax benefit   | (1,870 )                  | (1,715 ) | (1,951 ) |
| Income (loss) before undistributed earnings of subsidiaries                        | 82,528                    | (2,071 ) | (769 )   |
| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries (dividend in excess of earnings): |                           |          |          |
| Third Federal Savings and Loan   | (16,974 )                 | 57,516   | 11,769   |
| Non-thrift subsidiaries  | 337                       | 514      | 479      |
| Net income   | 65,891                    | 55,959   | 11,479   |
| Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale           | 1,044                     | (4,746 ) | 2,520    |
| Change in pension obligation   | (3,232 )                  | 2,058    | 7,841    |
| Total other comprehensive (loss) income  | (2,188 )                  | (2,688 ) | 10,361   |
| Total comprehensive income   | \$63,703                  | \$53,271 | \$21,840 |

Table of Contents

|  | Years Ended September 30, |           |           |
|--|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|  | 2014                      | 2013      | 2012      |
| Statements of Cash Flows   |                           |           |           |
| Cash flows from operating activities:  |                           |           |           |
| Net income   | \$65,891                  | \$55,959  | \$11,479  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:  |                           |           |           |
| (Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries) dividend in excess of earnings: |                           |           |           |
| Third Federal Savings and Loan   | 16,974                    | (57,516 ) | (11,769 ) |
| Non-thrift subsidiaries  | (337 )                    | (514 )    | (479 )    |
| Deferred income taxes  | (491 )                    | (960 )    | 530       |
| ESOP and Stock-based compensation expense  | 2,879                     | 3,010     | 2,787     |
| Net increase in interest receivable and other assets                               | (215 )                    | (561 )    | (712 )    |
| Net increase (decrease) in accrued expenses and other liabilities                  | (193 )                    | 874       | 65        |
| Other  | —                         | 6         | (6 )      |
| Net cash provided by operating activities  | 84,508                    | 298       | 1,895     |
| Cash flows from investing activities:  |                           |           |           |
| Principal collected on loans, net of originations                                  | —                         | —         | 42        |
| Proceeds from principal repayments and maturities of securities available for sale | —                         | 385       | 612       |
| (Increase) decrease in balances lent to Third Federal Savings and Loan             | 14,160                    | (5,553 )  | (7,536 )  |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities                                | 14,160                    | (5,168 )  | (6,882 )  |
| Cash flows from financing activities:  |                           |           |           |
| Principal reduction of ESOP loan   | 3,422                     | 3,315     | 3,210     |
| Purchase of treasury shares  | (101,363 )                | —         | —         |
| Dividends paid to common shareholders  | (4,886 )                  | —         | —         |
| Excess tax benefit related to stock-based compensation                             | 91                        | —         | —         |
| Net increase in borrowings from non-thrift subsidiaries                            | 4,068                     | 1,948     | 2,420     |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities                                | (98,668 )                 | 5,263     | 5,630     |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents  | —                         | 393       | 643       |
| Cash and cash equivalents—beginning of year  | 2,099                     | 1,706     | 1,063     |
| Cash and cash equivalents—end of year  | \$2,099                   | \$2,099   | \$1,706   |

**19. EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Basic earnings per share is the amount of earnings available to each share of common stock outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share is the amount of earnings available to each share of common stock outstanding during the reporting period adjusted to include the effect of potentially dilutive common shares. For purposes of computing earnings per share amounts, outstanding shares include shares held by the public, shares held by the ESOP that have been allocated to participants or committed to be released for allocation to participants, the 227,119,132 shares held by Third Federal Savings, MHC, and, for purposes of computing dilutive earnings per share, stock options and restricted stock units with a dilutive impact. At September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, the ESOP held 6,608,430 and 7,041,770 shares that were neither allocated to participants nor committed to be released to participants.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of the Company's earnings per share calculations.

|  | For the Year Ended September 30, 2014         |             |                  |
|--|---|-------------|------------------|
|  | Income  | Shares      | Per share amount |
|  | (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) |             |                  |
| Net income                                       | \$ 65,891                                     |             |                  |
| Less: income allocated to restricted stock units | 384   |             |                  |
| Basic earnings per share:                        |   |             |                  |
| Income available to common shareholders          | 65,507  | 298,974,062 | \$ 0.22          |
| Diluted earnings per share:                      |   |             |                  |
| Effect of dilutive potential common shares       |   | 1,582,705   |                  |
| Income available to common shareholders          | \$ 65,507                                     | 300,556,767 | \$ 0.22          |

|  | For the Year Ended September 30, 2013         |             |                  |
|--|---|-------------|------------------|
|  | Income  | Shares      | Per share amount |
|  | (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) |             |                  |
| Net income                                       | \$ 55,959                                     |             |                  |
| Less: income allocated to restricted stock units | 286   |             |                  |
| Basic earnings per share:                        |   |             |                  |
| Income available to common shareholders          | 55,673  | 301,832,758 | \$ 0.18          |
| Diluted earnings per share:                      |   |             |                  |
| Effect of dilutive potential common shares       |   | 914,008     |                  |
| Income available to common shareholders          | \$ 55,673                                     | 302,746,766 | \$ 0.18          |

|  | For the Year Ended September 30, 2012         |             |                  |
|--|---|-------------|------------------|
|  | Income  | Shares      | Per share amount |
|  | (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) |             |                  |
| Net income                                       | \$ 11,479                                     |             |                  |
| Less: income allocated to restricted stock units | 60  |             |                  |
| Basic earnings per share:                        |   |             |                  |
| Income available to common shareholders          | 11,419  | 301,226,639 | \$ 0.04          |
| Diluted earnings per share:                      |   |             |                  |
| Effect of dilutive potential common shares       |   | 543,699     |                  |
| Income available to common shareholders          | \$ 11,419                                     | 301,770,338 | \$ 0.04          |

The following is a summary of outstanding stock options and restricted stock units that are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

|                            | For the Year Ended September 30, |           |           |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                            | 2014                             | 2013      | 2012      |
| Options to purchase shares | 829,300                          | 5,297,050 | 6,199,591 |
| Restricted stock units     | —                                | 20,000    | 30,000    |

**20. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

The Company has made loans and extensions of credit, in the ordinary course of business, to certain Directors. These loans were under normal credit terms, including interest rate and collateralization, and do not represent more than the normal risk of collection. The aggregate amount of loans to such related parties at September 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$197 and \$205, respectively. None of these loans were past due, considered impaired or on nonaccrual at

September 30, 2014.

135

---



Table of Contents

## 21. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Pending as of September 30, 2014

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), affecting any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards. ASC Topic 606 does not apply to rights or obligations associated with financial instruments. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. An entity should disclose sufficient information to enable users of financial statements to understand the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. The amendments in this update become effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the amendments on its consolidated financial statements.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-04, Receivables - Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40), Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure, to reduce diversity by clarifying when an in-substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, that is, when a creditor should be considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan such that the loan receivable should be derecognized and the real estate property recognized. The amendments require interim and annual disclosure of both (1) the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and (2) the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction. The amendments are effective for public business entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The impact of these amendments on the Company's consolidated financial statements is being evaluated.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-01, Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects, which will permit entities to make an accounting policy election to account for investments in qualified affordable housing projects using the proportional amortization method if certain conditions are met. Under the proportional amortization method, an entity amortizes the initial cost of the investment in proportion to the tax credits and other tax benefits received and recognizes the net investment performance in the income statements as a component of income tax expense or benefit. The amendments in ASU 2014-01 are effective for annual and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014, with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the amendments of ASU 2014-01 on its consolidated financial statements.

Adopted in fiscal year ended September 30, 2014

FASB ASU 2013-02, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220), Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income" supersedes ASU 2011-12, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220), Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05" and the presentation requirements for reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income in ASU 2011-05. ASU 2013-02 requires entities to present separately significant amounts reclassified out of each component of OCI, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, if the amount reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other significant amounts, entities shall provide cross-references to the notes where additional details about the effect of the reclassifications are disclosed. The disclosures required by this amendment are included in Note 11. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss). The Company has determined that all other recently issued accounting pronouncements will not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements or do not apply to its operations.

Table of Contents

## 22. SELECTED QUARTERLY DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following tables are a summary of certain quarterly financial data for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

|   | Fiscal 2014 Quarter Ended             |          |          |              |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|
|   | December 31                           | March 31 | June 30  | September 30 |
|   | (In thousands, except per share data) |          |          |              |
| Interest income                                     | \$93,019                              | \$93,345 | \$93,756 | \$ 94,564    |
| Interest expense                                    | 25,224                                | 24,311   | 25,884   | 27,832       |
| Net interest income                                 | 67,795                                | 69,034   | 67,872   | 66,732       |
| Provision for loan losses                           | 6,000                                 | 5,000    | 4,000    | 4,000        |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 61,795                                | 64,034   | 63,872   | 62,732       |
| Non-interest income                                 | 5,078                                 | 5,534    | 5,710    | 5,578        |
| Non-interest expense                                | 42,859                                | 44,931   | 42,849   | 44,837       |
| Income before income tax                            | 24,014                                | 24,637   | 26,733   | 23,473       |
| Income tax expense                                  | 7,990                                 | 8,252    | 9,102    | 7,622        |
| Net income  | \$16,024                              | \$16,385 | \$17,631 | \$ 15,851    |
| Earnings per share—basic and diluted                | \$0.05                                | \$0.05   | \$0.06   | \$ 0.05      |

|   | Fiscal 2013 Quarter Ended             |          |          |              |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|
|   | December 31                           | March 31 | June 30  | September 30 |
|   | (In thousands, except per share data) |          |          |              |
| Interest income                                     | \$100,388                             | \$96,835 | \$94,204 | \$ 92,545    |
| Interest expense                                    | 31,972                                | 28,905   | 28,076   | 26,466       |
| Net interest income                                 | 68,416                                | 67,930   | 66,128   | 66,079       |
| Provision for loan losses                           | 18,000                                | 10,000   | 5,000    | 4,000        |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 50,416                                | 57,930   | 61,128   | 62,079       |
| Non-interest income                                 | 8,247                                 | 6,106    | 8,824    | 5,291        |
| Non-interest expense                                | 42,534                                | 45,229   | 46,266   | 43,631       |
| Income before income tax                            | 16,129                                | 18,807   | 23,686   | 23,739       |
| Income tax expense                                  | 4,976                                 | 6,017    | 7,439    | 7,970        |
| Net income  | \$11,153                              | \$12,790 | \$16,247 | \$ 15,769    |
| Earnings per share—basic and diluted                | \$0.04                                | \$0.04   | \$0.05   | \$ 0.05      |

Per share amounts for the full fiscal year, as reported in the Consolidated Statements of Income may differ from the totals of the four fiscal quarters as presented above, due to rounding.

Table of Contents

## FORM 10-K EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit  | If Incorporated by Reference, Documents with Which Exhibit was Previous Filed with SEC   |
|----------------|---|--|
| 2.1            | TFS Financial Corporation Stock Issuance Plan, dated May 25, 2006                       | Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 333-139295 (filed with the SEC on December 13, 2006; Exhibit 2 therein)                     |
| 3.1            | Amended and Restated Charter of TFS Financial Corporation, dated January 16, 2007       | Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 333-139295 (filed with the SEC on February 9, 2006; Exhibit 3.2 therein) |
| 3.2            | Amended and Restated Bylaws of TFS Financial Corporation                                | Current Report on Form 8-K No. 001-33390 (filed with the SEC on April 28, 2008; Exhibit 3.2 therein)                               |
| 4.1            | Form of Common Stock Certificate of TFS Financial Corporation                           | Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 333-139295 (filed with the SEC on December 13, 2006; Exhibit 4 therein)                     |
| 10.1           | Employee Stock Ownership Plan, dated January 1, 2006                                    | Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 333-139295 (filed with the SEC on December 13, 2006; Exhibit 10.1 therein)                  |
| 10.2           | Financial, Retirement & Estate Planning Program as amended and restated January 1, 2006 | Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 333-139295 (filed with the SEC on December 13, 2006; Exhibit 10.2 therein)                  |
| 10.3           | Resolution Regarding Executive Physical Program, dated May 16, 2002                     | Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 333-139295 (filed with the SEC on December 13, 2006; Exhibit 10.3 therein)                  |
| 10.4           | Company Car Program, dated February 24, 1995  | Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 333-139295 (filed with the SEC on December 13, 2006; Exhibit 10.4 therein)                  |
| 10.5           | Executive Retirement Benefit Plan I, dated January 1, 2006                              | Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 333-139295 (filed with the SEC on December 13, 2006; Exhibit 10.5 therein)                  |
| 10.6           | Benefit Equalization Plan, dated January 1, 2005  | Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 333-139295 (filed with the SEC on December 13, 2006; Exhibit 10.6 therein)                  |
| 10.7           | Split Dollar Agreement, dated January 29, 2002  | Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 333-139295 (filed with the SEC on December 13, 2006; Exhibit 10.7 therein)                  |

Edgar Filing: TFS Financial CORP - Form 10-K

|       |  |   |
|-------|--|---|
| 10.8  | Resolution Regarding Supplemental Split Dollar Life Insurance Plan, dated August 22, 2002                  | Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 333-139295 (filed with the SEC on December 13, 2006; Exhibit 10.8 therein) |
| 10.9  | Amendment No. 1 to Employee Stock Ownership Plan, dated February 22, 2007                                  | Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q No. 001-33390 (filed with the SEC on May 15, 2007; Exhibit 10.9 therein)            |
| 10.10 | 2008 Equity Incentive Plan   | Current Report on Form 8-K No. 001-33390 (filed with the SEC on May 30, 2008; Exhibit 10.1 therein)               |
| 10.11 | Management Incentive Compensation Plan   | Current Report on Form 8-K No. 001-33390 (filed with the SEC on May 30, 2008; Exhibit 10.2 therein)               |
| 10.12 | First Amendment to the Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (August 11, 2008 award), dated August 9, 2012 | Current Report on Form 8-K No. 001-33390 (filed with the SEC on August 9, 2012; Exhibit 10.1 therein)             |

138

---

Edgar Filing: TFS Financial CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit  | If Incorporated by Reference, Documents with Which Exhibit was Previous Filed with SEC   |
|----------------|---|--|
| 10.13          | First Amendment to the Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (May 12, 2009 award), dated August 9, 2012   | Current Report on Form 8K No. 001-33390 (filed with the SEC on August 9, 2012; Exhibit 10.2 therein)   |
| 10.14          | First Amendment to the Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (May 14, 2010 award), dated August 9, 2012   | Current Report on Form 8K No. 001-33390 (filed with the SEC on August 9, 2012; Exhibit 10.3 therein)   |
| 14             | Code of Ethics  | Available on our website, <a href="http://www.thirdfederal.com">www.thirdfederal.com</a>   |
| 21.1           | Subsidiaries of Registrant  | Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 333-139295 (filed with the SEC on December 13, 2006; Exhibit 21 therein)  |
| 23.1           | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm  | Filed herewith   |
| 31.1           | Certification of chief executive officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  | Filed herewith   |
| 31.2           | Certification of chief financial officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  | Filed herewith   |
| 32             | Certification of chief executive officer and chief financial officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 | Filed herewith   |
| 100            | XBRL related documents  | The following financial statements from TFS Financial Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2014 filed on November 26, 2014 formatted in XBRL: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Equity, (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. |
| 101.INS        | Interactive datafile  | XBRL Instance Document   |
| 101.SCH        | Interactive datafile  | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document  |
| 101.CAL        | Interactive datafile  |  |

Edgar Filing: TFS Financial CORP - Form 10-K

XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation  
Linkbase Document

101.DEF Interactive datafile

XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase  
Document

101.LAB Interactive datafile

XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase  
Document

101.PRE Interactive datafile

XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation  
Linkbase Document

139

---

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TFS Financial Corporation

Dated: November 26, 2014

/S/ MARC A. STEFANSKI  
Marc A. Stefanski  
Chairman of the Board, President  
and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Dated: November 26, 2014

/S/ MARC A. STEFANSKI  
Marc A. Stefanski  
Chairman of the Board, President  
and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: November 26, 2014

/S/ DAVID S. HUFFMAN  
David S. Huffman  
Chief Financial Officer and Secretary  
(Principal Financial Officer)

Dated: November 26, 2014

/S/ PAUL J. HUML  
Paul J. Huml  
Chief Accounting Officer  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Dated: November 26, 2014

/S/ ANTHONY J. ASHER  
Anthony J. Asher, Director

Dated: November 26, 2014

/S/ MARTIN J. COHEN  
Martin J. Cohen, Director

Dated: November 26, 2014

/S/ ROBERT A. FIALA  
Robert A. Fiala, Director

Dated: November 26, 2014

/S/ ROBERT B. HEISLER JR.  
Robert B. Heisler Jr., Director

Dated: November 26, 2014

/S/ BERNARD S. KOBAK  
Bernard S. Kobak, Director

Dated: November 26, 2014

/S/ WILLIAM C. MULLIGAN  
William C. Mulligan, Director

Dated: November 26, 2014

/S/ TERRENCE R. OZAN  
Terrence R. Ozan, Director

Dated: November 26, 2014

/S/ PAUL W. STEFANIK  
Paul W. Stefanik, Director

Dated: November 26, 2014

/S/ BEN S. STEFANSKI III  
Ben S. Stefanski III, Director

Dated: November 26, 2014

/S/ MEREDITH S. WEIL  
Meredith S. Weil, Director

140