BLACKROCK MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST II Form N-CSR November 02, 2018

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT

INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-21126

Name of Fund: BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II (BLE)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock Municipal

Income Trust II, 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 08/31/2018

Date of reporting period: 08/31/2018

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

2

AUGUST 31, 2018

ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust (BAF)

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust (BYM)

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II (BLE)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund (MFL)

BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc. (MVF)

Not FDIC Insured May Lose Value No Bank Guarantee

The Markets in Review

Dear Shareholder,

In the 12 months ended August 31, 2018, the strongest corporate profits in seven years drove the equity market higher, while rising interest rates constrained bond returns. Though the market s appetite for risk remained healthy, risk-taking was tempered somewhat, as shorter-term, higher-quality securities led the bond market, and U.S. equities outperformed most international stock markets.

Volatility in emerging market stocks rose as U.S.-China trade relations and debt concerns adversely affected the Chinese stock market, while Turkey and Argentina became embroiled in currency crises, largely due to hyperinflation in both countries. An economic slowdown in Europe led to modest performance for European equities.

Short-term U.S. Treasury interest rates rose the fastest, while longer-term rates slightly increased, leading to a negative return for long-term U.S. Treasuries and a substantial flattening of the yield curve. Many investors are concerned with the flattening yield curve as a harbinger of recession, but given the extraordinary monetary measures in the last decade, we believe a more accurate barometer for the economy is the returns along the risk spectrums in stock and bond markets. Although the fundamentals in credit markets remained relatively solid, investment-grade bonds declined slightly, and high-yield bonds posted modest returns.

In response to rising growth and inflation, the U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed) increased short-term interest rates three times during the reporting period. The Fed also reduced its \$4.2 trillion balance sheet by approximately \$230 billion during the reporting period, gradually reversing the unprecedented stimulus measures it enacted after the financial crisis. Meanwhile, the European Central Bank announced that its bond-purchasing program would conclude at the end of the year, while also expressing its commitment to low interest rates. In contrast, the Bank of Japan continued to expand its balance sheet through bond purchasing while lowering its expectations for inflation.

The U.S. economy continued to gain momentum despite the Fed s modest reduction of economic stimulus; unemployment declined to 3.9%, wages increased, and the number of job openings reached a record high. Strong economic performance may justify a more rapid pace of rate hikes in 2018, as the headline inflation rate and investors expectations for inflation have already surpassed the Fed s target of 2.0%.

While U.S. monetary policy is seeking to restrain economic growth and inflation, fiscal policy has produced new sources of growth that could nourish the economy for the next few years. Corporate tax cuts and repatriation of capital held abroad could encourage a virtuous cycle of business spending. Lower individual tax rates coupled with the robust job market may refresh consumer spending.

We continue to believe the primary risks to economic expansion are trade protectionism, rapidly rising interest rates, and geopolitical tension. Given the deflationary forces of technology and globalization, a substantial increase in inflation is unlikely to materialize as long as the unemployment rate remains above 3.0%. However, we are closely monitoring trade protectionism and the rise of populism in Western nations. In particular, the outcome of trade negotiations between the United States and China is likely to influence the global growth trajectory and set the tone for free trade in many other nations.

In this environment, investors need to think globally, extend their scope across a broad array of asset classes, and be nimble as market conditions change. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit **blackrock.com** for further insight about investing in today s markets.

Sincerely,

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Total Returns as of August 31, 2018

| | 6-month | 12-month |
|--|---------|----------|
| U.S. large cap equities | 7.96% | 19.66% |
| (S&P 500 [®] Index) | | |
| U.S. small cap equities | 15.84 | 25.45 |
| (Russell 2000 [®] Index) | | |
| International equities | (2.55) | 4.39 |
| (MSCI Europe, Australasia, | | |
| Far East Index) | | |
| Emerging market equities | (10.18) | (0.68) |
| (MSCI Emerging Markets Index) | | |
| 3-month Treasury bills | 0.93 | 1.52 |
| (ICE BofAML 3-Month U.S. Treasury Bill Index) | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 1.42 | (4.13) |
| (ICE BofAML 10-Year U.S. Treasury Index) | | |
| U.S. investment grade bonds | 1.15 | (1.05) |
| (Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index) | | |
| Tax-exempt municipal bonds | 1.78 | 0.61 |
| (S&P Municipal Bond Index) | | |
| U.S. high yield bonds | 2.26 | 3.40 |
| (Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer Capped | | |
| Index) | | |

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

THIS PAGE IS NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|---|------|
| The Markets in Review | 2 |
| Annual Report: | |
| Municipal Market Overview | 4 |
| The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging | 5 |
| <u>Derivative Financial Instruments</u> | 5 |
| <u>Trust Summaries</u> | 6 |
| Financial Statements: | |
| Schedules of Investments | 18 |
| Statements of Assets and Liabilities | 57 |
| Statements of Operations | 59 |
| Statements of Changes in Net Assets | 61 |
| Statements of Cash Flows | 65 |
| Financial Highlights | 67 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | 73 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 83 |
| <u>Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements</u> | 84 |
| Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plans | 88 |
| <u>Trustee and Officer Information</u> | 89 |
| Additional Information | 92 |
| Glossary of Terms Used in this Report | 95 |

Municipal Market Overview For the Reporting Period Ended August 31, 2018

Municipal Market Conditions

Municipal bonds experienced positive performance during the period despite rising interest rates resulting from continued Fed monetary policy normalization, firmer economic data, and the impacts of fiscal stimulus. Ongoing reassurance from the Fed that rates would be increased gradually and would likely remain low overall resulted in continued demand for fixed income investments. More specifically, investors favored the tax-exempt income, diversification, quality, and value of municipal bonds amid fiscal policy uncertainty, which saw tax reform ultimately lower the top individual tax rate just 2.6% while eliminating deductions and increasing demand for tax shelter. During the 12 months ended August 31, 2018, municipal bond funds experienced net inflows of approximately \$22 billion (based on data from the Investment Company Institute).

For the same 12-month period, total new issuance was moderate from a historical perspective at \$373 billion (below the \$390 billion issued in the prior 12-month period), but displayed significant month to month volatility. Notably, issuance in December posted the highest monthly total on record at \$56 billion, as issuers rushed deals to market ahead of the expected elimination of the tax-exemption for advanced refunding bonds and possibly private activity bonds (PABs). Ultimately, the final version of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act left PABs unchanged, though the elimination of advanced refundings has suppressed supply in 2018, providing a powerful technical tailwind.

A Closer Look at Yields

S&P Municipal Bond Index Total Returns as of August 31, 2018 6 months: 1.78%

12 months: 0.61%

2018, yields on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds increased by 32 basis points (bps) from 2.70% to 3.02%, while 10-year rates increased by 58 bps from 1.86% to 2.44% and 5-year rates increased by 90 bps from 1.12% to 2.02% (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). The municipal yield curve bear flattened over the 12-month period with the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities flattening by 53 bps, however remained a significant 94 bps steeper than the corresponding

From August 31, 2017 to August 31,

U.S. Treasury curve.

During the same time period, on a relative basis, tax-exempt municipal bonds strongly outperformed U.S. Treasuries with the greatest outperformance experienced in the front and intermediate portions of the yield curve. The relative positive performance of municipal bonds was driven largely by a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market as investors sought income and incremental yield in an environment where opportunities became increasingly scarce. The asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on

income as tax rates rise.

4

Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers

The majority of municipal credits remain strong, despite well-publicized problems among a few issuers. Four of the five states with the largest amount of debt outstanding California, New York, Texas and Florida continue to exhibit improved credit fundamentals. However, several states with the largest unfunded pension liabilities are faced with elevated borrowing costs and difficult budgetary decisions. Across the country on the local level, property values support credit stability. Revenue bonds continue to drive performance as investors continue to seek higher yield bonds in the tobacco sector. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will remain minimal and in the periphery while the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to advocate careful credit research and believe that a thoughtful approach to structure and security selection remains imperative amid uncertainty in a modestly improving economic environment.

The opinions expressed are those of BlackRock as of August 31, 2018, and are subject to change at any time due to changes in market or economic conditions. The comments should not be construed as a recommendation of any individual holdings or market sectors. Investing involves risk including loss of principal. Bond values fluctuate in price so the value of your investment can go down depending on market conditions. Fixed income risks include interest-rate and credit risk. Typically, when interest rates rise, there is a corresponding decline in bond values. Credit risk refers to the possibility that the bond issuer will not be able to make principal and interest payments. There may be less information on the financial condition of municipal issuers than for public corporations. The market for municipal bonds may be less liquid than for taxable bonds. Some investors may be subject to Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT). Capital gains distributions, if any, are taxable.

The Standard & Poor s Municipal Bond Index, a broad, market value-weighted index, seeks to measure the performance of the U.S. municipal bond market. All bonds in the index are exempt from U.S. federal income taxes or subject to the AMT. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

2018 BLACKROCK ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the distribution rate on, and net asset value (NAV) of, their common shares (Common Shares). However, there is no guarantee that these objectives can be achieved in all interest rate environments.

In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of leverage, which is based on short-term interest rates, is normally lower than the income earned by a Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments purchased with the proceeds from leverage. To the extent that the total assets of the Trusts (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Trusts—shareholders benefit from the incremental net income. The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trust s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it utilizes leverage for an additional \$30 million, creating a total value of \$130 million available for investment in longer-term income securities. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longer-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, a Trust s financing costs on the \$30 million of proceeds obtained from leverage are based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by a Trust with the proceeds from leverage earn income based on longer-term interest rates. In this case, a Trust s financing cost of leverage is significantly lower than the income earned on a Trust s longer-term investments acquired from such leverage proceeds, and therefore the holders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

However, in order to benefit Common Shareholders, the return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds must exceed the ongoing costs associated with the leverage. If interest and other costs of leverage exceed the Trusts—return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds, income to shareholders is lower than if the Trusts had not used leverage. Furthermore, the value of the Trusts—portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the value of the Trusts—obligations under their respective leverage arrangements generally does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trusts—NAVs positively or negatively. Changes in the future direction of interest rates are very difficult to predict accurately, and there is no assurance that the Trusts intended leveraging strategy will be successful.

The use of leverage also generally causes greater changes in each Trust s NAV, market price and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. In a declining market, leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the NAV and market price of a Trust s Common Shares than if the Trust were not leveraged. In addition, each Trust may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Trusts to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit a Trust s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies. Each Trust incurs expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares. Moreover, to the extent the calculation of the Trusts investment advisory fees includes assets purchased with the proceeds of leverage, the investment advisory fees payable to the Trusts investment adviser will be higher than if the Trusts did not use leverage.

To obtain leverage, each Trust has issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares (VRDP Shares), Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (VMTP Shares) (collectively, Preferred Shares) and/or leveraged its assets through the use of tender option bond trusts (TOB Trusts) as described in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), each Trust is permitted to issue debt up to 33 1/3% of its total managed assets or equity securities (e.g., Preferred Shares) up to 50% of its total managed assets. A Trust may voluntarily elect to limit its leverage to less than the maximum amount permitted under the 1940 Act. In addition, a Trust may also be subject to certain asset coverage, leverage or portfolio composition requirements imposed by the Preferred Shares—governing instruments or by agencies rating the Preferred Shares, which may be more stringent than those imposed by the 1940 Act.

If a Trust segregates or designates on its books and records cash or liquid assets having a value not less than the value of a Trust s obligations under the TOB Trust (including accrued interest), then the TOB Trust is not considered a senior security and is not subject to the foregoing limitations and requirements imposed by the 1940 Act.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Trusts may invest in various derivative financial instruments. These instruments are used to obtain exposure to a security, commodity, index, market, and/or other assets without owning or taking physical custody of securities, commodities and/or other referenced assets or to manage market, equity, credit, interest rate, foreign currency exchange rate, commodity and/or other risks. Derivative financial instruments may give rise to a form of economic leverage and involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the instrument. The Trusts successful use of a derivative financial instrument depends on the investment adviser s ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of these instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may limit the amount of appreciation a Trust can realize on an investment and/or may result in lower distributions paid to shareholders. The Trusts investments in these instruments, if any, are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

THE BENEFITS AND RISKS OF LEVERAGING / DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

5

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2018

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust s (**BBK**) (the **Trust**) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular U.S. federal income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from regular U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality or, if unrated, determined to be of comparable quality by the investment adviser at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information

| Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) | BBK |
|--|----------------|
| Initial Offering Date | April 30, 2002 |
| Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2018 (\$14.35)(a) | 5.31% |
| Tax Equivalent Yield ^(b) | 8.97% |
| Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ^(c) | \$0.0635 |
| Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ^(c) | \$0.7620 |
| Economic Leverage as of August 31, 2018 ^(d) | 38% |

- (a) Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- (b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal tax rate of 40.8%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- (c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- (d) Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended August 31, 2018 were as follows:

| | Returns Based On | | |
|--|------------------|-------|--|
| | Market Price | NAV | |
| $BBK^{(a)(b)}$ | (5.45)% | 1.87% | |
| Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged)(c) | (4.49) | 0.77 | |

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Trust s discount to NAV widened during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds posted a narrow gain in the 12-month period, with the contribution from yield offsetting negative price performance. Although tax-exempt issues sold off sharply in early 2018 because of a spike in U.S. Treasury yields, the market ultimately stabilized due to the combination of municipal issuers improving fundamentals and a sharp decline in new-issue supply from January onward.

The Trust sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Since Treasury yields rose, as prices fell, this strategy had a positive effect on returns.

Holdings in Illinois and New Jersey state tax-backed securities outpaced the national market and contributed to performance. Both states benefited from their above-average yields and the strong price performance that resulted from improved market sentiment and the successful passage of their budgets.

The Trust s investments in high yield bonds (those rated BBB and below) also added value, as this market segment outpaced investment-grade debt. In particular, positions in the tobacco sector outperformed the broader market due to their higher income and price gains stemming from investors robust demand for liquid, higher-yielding securities. A large number of tobacco issues were refinanced during the period, boosting demand for those that continued to offer attractive yields. Security selection among BBB rated health care issues was a further contributor.

The Trust s use of leverage, while amplifying the impact of weak price performance, was a net contributor since it provided additional income.

The Trust s positions in longer-dated, higher-quality securities issued in the past year were the largest detractors. These bonds had longer call structures, which translated to higher durations and less income compared to issues with lower ratings and/or shorter call dates. This was especially true for lower coupon bonds (4% or less), which lagged the market s more common 5% coupons due to their longer duration. (Duration is a measure of interest rate sensitivity; a call is when an issuer redeems a bond prior to its maturity date.)

Short-term bonds lagged longer-term issues during the period. As a result, the Trust s positions in short-dated securities especially pre-refunded bonds underperformed despite their lower duration profile. The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and

are no guarantee of future results.

6

2018 BLACKROCK ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2018 (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 | Change | High | Low |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Market Price | \$ 14.35 | \$ 15.99 | (10.26)% | \$ 16.14 | \$13.96 |
| Net Asset Value | 15.78 | 16.32 | (3.31) | 16.49 | 15.64 |

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments

SECTOR ALLOCATION

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 |
|--|----------|----------|
| County/City/Special District/School District | 20% | 23% |
| Health | 18 | 18 |
| Transportation | 17 | 18 |
| Education | 13 | 11 |
| Utilities | 12 | 9 |
| State | 7 | 11 |
| Tobacco | 5 | 5 |
| Corporate | 5 | 5 |
| Housing | 3 | |

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE (c)

| Calendar Year Ended December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|----|
| 2018 | 5% |
| 2019 | 4 |
| 2020 | 6 |
| 2021 | 10 |
| 2022 | 10 |

(c) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION (a)

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 |
|------------|----------|----------|
| AAA/Aaa | 3% | 3% |
| AA/Aa | 36 | 40 |
| A | 26 | 26 |
| BBB/Baa | 17 | 15 |
| BB/Ba | 6 | 6 |
| В | 3 | 3 |
| $NR^{(b)}$ | 9 | 7 |

- (a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor s (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- (b) The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2018 and August 31, 2017, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment adviser to be investment grade represents less than 1% and 3%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

Trust Summary 7

^{*} Excludes short-term securities.

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2018

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust s (BAF) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from U.S. federal income tax, including the alternative minimum tax and Florida intangible property tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal circumstances, at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal bonds exempt from U.S. federal income taxes, including the alternative minimum tax. The Trust also invests at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment or, if unrated, determined to be of comparable quality by the investment adviser at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Due to the repeal of the Florida intangible personal property tax, in September 2008, the Board gave approval to permit the Trust the flexibility to invest in municipal obligations regardless of geographic location since municipal obligations issued by any state or municipality that provides income exempt from regular U.S. federal income tax would now satisfy the foregoing objective and policy.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information

| Symbol on NYSE | BAF |
|--|------------------|
| Initial Offering Date | October 31, 2002 |
| Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2018 (\$13.54) ^(a) | 5.18% |
| Tax Equivalent Yield ^(b) | 8.75% |
| Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ^(c) | \$0.0585 |
| Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ^(c) | \$0.7020 |
| Economic Leverage as of August 31, 2018 ^(d) | 41% |

- (a) Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- (b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal tax rate of 40.8%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- (c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- (d) Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended August 31, 2018 were as follows:

Returns Based On Market Price NAV

| $BAF^{(a)(b)}$ | (5.22)% | 0.18% |
|--|---------|-------|
| Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged)(c) | (4.49) | 0.77 |

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Trust s discount to NAV widened during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds posted a narrow gain in the 12-month period, with the contribution from yield offsetting negative price performance. Although tax-exempt issues sold off sharply in early 2018 because of a spike in U.S. Treasury yields, the market ultimately stabilized due to the combination of municipal issuers improving fundamentals and a sharp decline in new-issue supply from January onward.

The Trust s positions in longer-dated securities (those with maturities of 20 years and above) contributed to performance. Long-term bonds, which are less sensitive to Fed policy than shorter-dated issues, generated both higher income and stronger price performance.

On the other end of the spectrum, positions in shorter-dated and intermediate maturities underperformed. However, holdings in pre-refunded bonds that the Trust purchased when yields were meaningfully higher helped offset some of the price weakness in shorter-dated securities.

Holdings in A and BBB rated bonds, which outperformed higher-quality securities, also added value. Positions in the transportation sector, as well as in Illinois and New Jersey, were particularly strong performers in this market segment. Illinois passed its budget and moved closer to achieving fiscal balance and stability in its credit rating. In New Jersey, legislation that redirected roughly \$1 billion annually in lottery proceeds to its pension funds helped stabilize the state scredit rating and contributed to robust returns relative to the national market.

Conversely, the Trust s allocation to higher-quality securities produced weaker returns. The Trust s quality investment guidelines restrict the purchase of non-investment grade securities.

The Trust sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Since Treasury yields rose, as prices fell, this strategy had a positive effect on returns.

The Trust s use of leverage, while amplifying the impact of weak price performance, was a net contributor since it provided additional income. However, the cost of leverage increased due to rising short-term interest rates.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

8 2018 BlackRock Annual Report to Shareholders

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2018 (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 | Change | High | Low |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Market Price | \$ 13.54 | \$ 15.11 | (10.39)% | \$ 15.58 | \$13.32 |
| Net Asset Value | 14.86 | 15.69 | (5.29) | 15.75 | 14.85 |

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments

SECTOR ALLOCATION

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 |
|--|----------|----------|
| County/City/Special District/School District | 26% | 28% |
| Transportation | 25 | 31 |
| Utilities | 16 | 15 |
| Health | 11 | 12 |
| State | 6 | 6 |
| Education | 5 | 5 |
| Health Care | 3 | |
| Financing & Development | 3 | |
| Housing | 2 | 1 |
| Tobacco | 1 | 1 |
| General Government | 1 | |
| Corporate | 1 | 1 |

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE (b)

| Calendar Year Ended December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|----|
| 2018 | 5% |
| 2019 | 16 |
| 2020 | 2 |

| 2021 | 26 |
|------|----|
| 2022 | 5 |

(b) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION (a)

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 |
|-------------|----------|----------|
| AAA/Aaa | 3% | 3% |
| AA/Aa | 62 | 70 |
| A | 21 | 17 |
| BBB/Baa | 8 | 8 |
| BB/Ba | 1 | |
| $N/R^{(b)}$ | 5 | 2 |

- (a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P s or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- (b) The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2018 and August 31, 2017, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment adviser to be investment grade represents less than 1% of the Trust s total investments.

Trust Summary 9

^{*} Excludes short-term securities.

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2018

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust s (BYM) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from U.S. federal income taxes, including the alternative minimum tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal circumstances, at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal bonds exempt from U.S. federal income taxes, including the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax. The Trust also invests at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment or, if unrated, determined to be of comparable quality by the investment adviser at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information

| Symbol on NYSE | BYM |
|--|------------------|
| Initial Offering Date | October 31, 2002 |
| Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2018 (\$13.09) ^(a) | 4.77% |
| Tax Equivalent Yield ^(b) | 8.06% |
| Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ^(c) | \$0.0520 |
| Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ^(c) | \$0.6240 |
| Economic Leverage as of August 31, 2018 ^(d) | 39% |

- (a) Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- (b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal tax rate of 40.8%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- (c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- (d) Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended August 31, 2018 were as follows:

| | Returns Based On | |
|--|------------------|-------|
| | Market Price | NAV |
| $BYM^{(a)(b)}$ | (7.34)% | 0.80% |
| Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged)(c) | (4.49) | 0.77 |

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Trust s discount to NAV widened during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds posted a narrow gain in the 12-month period, with the contribution from yield offsetting negative price performance. Although tax-exempt issues sold off sharply in early 2018 due to a spike in U.S. Treasury yields, the market ultimately stabilized due to the combination of municipal issuers improving fundamentals and a sharp decline in new-issue supply from January onward.

Holdings in Illinois and New Jersey state tax-backed securities outpaced the national market and contributed to performance. Both states benefited from their above-average yields and the strong price performance that resulted from improved market sentiment and the successful passage of their budgets.

The Trust s positions in the tobacco sector outperformed the broader market due to their higher income and price gains stemming from increased investor demand for liquid, higher-yielding securities. A large number of tobacco issues were refinanced during the period, boosting demand for those that continued to offer higher yields.

The Trust sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Since Treasury yields rose, as prices fell, this strategy had a positive effect on returns.

The use of leverage contributed to performance by enhancing portfolio income, but some of the gains were offset by the decline in bond prices.

The Trust s positions in longer-dated, higher-quality securities that were issued in the past year were the largest detractors. These bonds had longer call structures, which translated to higher durations and less income compared to issues with lower ratings and/or shorter call dates. (Duration is a measure of interest rate sensitivity; a call is when an issuer redeems a bond prior to its maturity date.)

The Trust had a modest weighting in zero-coupon bonds, which were especially vulnerable to market sell-offs due to their long durations. The Trust maintained its position in these securities due to their above-average income. The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

2018 BLACKROCK ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2018 (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 | Change | High | Low |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Market Price | \$ 13.09 | \$ 14.84 | (11.79)% | \$ 15.24 | \$12.92 |
| Net Asset Value | 14.70 | 15.32 | (4.05) | 15.40 | 14.55 |

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments

SECTOR ALLOCATION

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Transportation | 23% | 32% |
| County/City/Special District/School District | 15 | 22 |
| Health | 15 | 14 |
| Utilities | 14 | 15 |
| Education | 9 | 5 |
| State | 6 | 7 |
| Health Care | 5 | |
| Financing & Development | 3 | 2 |
| General Government | 3 | |
| Tobacco | 3 | 3 |
| Housing | 2 | |
| Corporate | 2 | |

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE (b)

| Calendar Year Ended December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|----|
| 2018 | 3% |
| 2019 | 8 |
| 2020 | 7 |

| 2021 | 9 |
|------|---|
| 2022 | 9 |

⁽b) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION (a)

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 |
|---------|----------|----------|
| AAA/Aaa | 10% | 11% |
| AA/Aa | 46 | 48 |
| A | 21 | 24 |
| BBB/Baa | 16 | 11 |
| N/R | 7 | 6 |

(a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P s or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

Trust Summary 11

^{*} Excludes short-term securities.

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2018

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II s (BLE) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular U.S. federal income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment or, if unrated, determined to be of comparable quality by the investment adviser at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information

| Symbol on NYSE | BLE |
|--|---------------|
| Initial Offering Date | July 30, 2002 |
| Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2018 (\$13.77) ^(a) | 5.05% |
| Tax Equivalent Yield ^(b) | 8.53% |
| Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ^(c) | \$0.0580 |
| Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share(c) | \$0.6960 |
| Economic Leverage as of August 31, 2018 ^(d) | 39% |

- (a) Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- (b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal tax rate of 40.8%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- (c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- (d) Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended August 31, 2018 were as follows:

| | Returns Based On | |
|--|------------------|-------|
| | Market Price | NAV |
| $BLE^{(a)(b)}$ | (5.82)% | 1.35% |
| Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged)(c) | (4.49) | 0.77 |

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Trust moved from a premium to NAV to a discount during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds posted a narrow gain in the 12-month period, with the contribution from yield offsetting negative price performance. Although tax-exempt issues sold off sharply in early 2018 because of a spike in U.S. Treasury yields, the market ultimately stabilized due to the combination of municipal issuers improving fundamentals and a sharp decline in new-issue supply from January onward.

Portfolio income, enhanced by leverage, made the largest contribution to performance in a period characterized by a robust increase in municipal yields. Leverage amplified the impact of falling prices, however, offsetting some of the benefit from added income. (Bond prices and yields move in opposite directions.)

Allocations to the tobacco, corporate, health care and transportation sectors added value. The Trust s yield curve positioning was an additional positive, as the portfolio s barbell structure emphasized both bonds with long-dated maturities and short-term securities with above-average income.

Holdings in lower-rated investment-grade and high yield bonds performed well amid investors ongoing appetite for credit risk. Conversely, positions in higher-rated bonds generally lagged.

The Trust sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Since Treasury yields rose, as prices fell, this strategy had a positive effect on returns.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

2018 BLACKROCK ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

12

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2018 (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 | Change | High | Low |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Market Price | \$ 13.77 | \$ 15.45 | (10.87)% | \$ 15.59 | \$13.31 |
| Net Asset Value | 14.55 | 15.17 | (4.09) | 15.23 | 14.52 |

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments

SECTOR ALLOCATION

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Transportation | 25% | 24% |
| Utilities | 17 | 16 |
| Health | 14 | 12 |
| County/City/Special District/School District | 14 | 14 |
| State | 10 | 11 |
| Corporate | 7 | 7 |
| Education | 6 | 8 |
| Tobacco | 5 | 7 |
| Housing | 2 | 1 |

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE (c)

| Calendar Year Ended December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|----|
| 2018 | 8% |
| 2019 | 16 |
| 2020 | 13 |
| 2021 | 14 |
| 2022 | 10 |

- (c) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.
- * Excludes short-term securities.

CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION (a)

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 |
|-------------|----------|----------|
| AAA/Aaa | 6% | 4% |
| AA/Aa | 36 | 40 |
| A | 19 | 19 |
| BBB/Baa | 22 | 19 |
| BB/Ba | 7 | 7 |
| В | 5 | 2 |
| $N/R^{(b)}$ | 5 | 9 |

- (a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P s or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- (b) The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2018, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment adviser to be investment grade represents less than 1% of the Trust s total investments.

Trust Summary 13

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2018

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund

Trust Overview

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund s (MFL) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide shareholders with current income exempt from U.S. federal income tax and to provide shareholders with the opportunity to own shares the value of which is exempt from Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in long-term, investment grade (as rated or, if unrated, determined to be of comparable quality by the investment adviser at the time of investment) municipal obligations exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations with remaining maturities of one year or more at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Due to the repeal of the Florida intangible personal property tax, in September 2008, the Board gave approval to permit the Trust the flexibility to invest in municipal obligations regardless of geographic location since municipal obligations issued by any state or municipality that provides income exempt from regular U.S. federal income tax would now satisfy the foregoing objective and policy.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information

| Symbol on NYSE | MFL |
|--|--------------------|
| Initial Offering Date | September 26, 1997 |
| Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2018 (\$12.73) ^(a) | 5.33% |
| Tax Equivalent Yield ^(b) | 9.00% |
| Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ^(c) | \$0.0565 |
| Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ^(c) | \$0.6780 |
| Economic Leverage as of August 31, 2018 ^(d) | 42% |

- (a) Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- (b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal tax rate of 40.8%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- (c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- (d) Represents VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended August 31, 2018 were as follows:

| | Market Price | NAV |
|--|--------------|---------|
| $MFL^{(a)(b)}$ | (10.42)% | (0.05)% |
| Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged)(c) | (4.49) | 0.77 |

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Trust moved from a premium to NAV to a discount during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds posted a narrow gain in the 12-month period, with the contribution from yield offsetting negative price performance. Although tax-exempt issues sold off sharply in early 2018 due to a spike in U.S. Treasury yields, the market ultimately stabilized due to the combination of municipal issuers improving fundamentals and a sharp decline in new-issue supply from January onward.

The Trust s positions in more highly rated investment-grade holdings (those rated AA and AAA), which lagged the lower-rated segments of the market, detracted from performance. The investment adviser maintained the underweight in lower-rated bonds on the belief that yields did not adequately compensate investors for the associated risks.

At a time of weak price performance for the municipal market, income made the largest contribution to the Trust s return.

The use of leverage detracted from performance by amplifying the effect of falling bond prices. However, some of the shortfall was offset by the contribution from higher income.

The Trust also benefited from its investments in bonds that it purchased in a higher-rate environment. In addition to generating above-average income, these holdings had lower sensitivity to the negative effects of rising interest rates.

The Trust sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Since Treasury yields rose, as prices fell, this strategy had a positive effect on returns.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

2018 BLACKROCK ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2018 (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 | Change | High | Low |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Market Price | \$ 12.73 | \$ 15.03 | (15.30)% | \$ 15.29 | \$ 12.64 |
| Net Asset Value | 14.09 | 14.91 | (5.50) | 14.96 | 14.06 |

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments

SECTOR ALLOCATION

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Transportation | 37% | 41% |
| County/City/Special District/School District | 15 | 12 |
| State | 15 | 8 |
| Health | 14 | 15 |
| Utilities | 13 | 17 |
| Education | 4 | 5 |
| Housing | 1 | 1 |
| Tobacco | 1 | 1 |
| Corporate ^(a) | | |

⁽a) Represents less than 1% of total investments.

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE (c)

| Calendar Year Ended December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|----|
| 2018 | 4% |
| 2019 | 19 |
| 2020 | 4 |
| 2021 | 16 |

2022

(c) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

* Excludes short-term securities.

CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION (b)

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 |
|---------|----------|----------|
| AAA/Aaa | 6% | 9% |
| AA/Aa | 62 | 59 |
| A | 24 | 26 |
| BBB/Baa | 4 | 4 |
| N/R | 4 | 2 |

(b) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P s or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

Trust Summary 15

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2018

BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc.

Trust Overview

BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc. s (MVF) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from U.S. federal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of an aggregate of the Trust s net assets (including proceeds from the issuance of any preferred shares) and the proceeds of any borrowing for investment purposes, in municipal obligations exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Trust primarily invests in long term municipal obligations rated investment grade at the time of investment (or, if unrated, are considered by the Trust s investment adviser to be of comparable quality at the time of investment) and in long term municipal obligations with maturities of more than ten years at the time of investment. The Trust may invest up to 20% of its total assets in securities rated below investment grade or deemed equivalent at the time of purchase. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information

| Symbol on NYSE | MVF |
|---|--------------------|
| Initial Offering Date | September 29, 1988 |
| Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2018 (\$8.81) ^(a) | 5.58% |
| Tax Equivalent Yield ^(b) | 9.43% |
| Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ^(c) | \$0.0410 |
| Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ^(c) | \$0.4920 |
| Economic Leverage as of August 31, 2018 ^(d) | 37% |

- (a) Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- (b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal tax rate of 40.8%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- (c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- (d) Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended August 31, 2018 were as follows:

Returns Based On Market Price NAV MVF $^{(a)(b)}$ (5.22)% 1.52% Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) $^{(c)}$ (4.49) 0.77

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Trust moved from a premium to NAV to a discount during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds posted a narrow gain in the 12-month period, with the contribution from yield offsetting negative price performance. Although tax-exempt issues sold off sharply in early 2018 because of a spike in U.S. Treasury yields, the market ultimately stabilized due to the combination of municipal issuers improving fundamentals and a sharp decline in new-issue supply from January onward.

At a time of weak price performance for the municipal market, income made the largest contribution to the Trust s return.

Positions in longer-dated securities (those with maturities of 20 years and above) also contributed. Long-term bonds, which are less sensitive to Fed policy than shorter-dated issues, benefited from both higher income and stronger price performance. The Trust sallocation to pre-refunded bonds also added value despite their short maturities. Holdings in this area benefited from their attractive yields and lower sensitivity to market movements.

The Trust sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Since Treasury yields rose, as prices fell, this strategy had a positive effect on returns.

Investments in lower-rated investment-grade bonds contributed to results, as the improving domestic economy led to strengthening fundamentals for the underlying issuers. In addition, the combination of limited supply and strong investor demand fueled healthy price gains in this area. Conversely, the Trust s positions in higher-quality issues detracted.

The Trust s holdings in the tobacco sector outperformed the broader market due to their higher income and the robust demand for liquid, higher-yielding securities. A large number of tobacco issues were refinanced during the period, boosting demand for those that continued to offer higher yields.

Positions in Illinois and New Jersey state tax-backed securities outpaced the national market and contributed to performance. Both states benefited from their above-average yields and upgrades to their credit ratings.

Reinvestment had an adverse effect on the Trust s income, as the proceeds of higher-yielding bonds that matured or were called needed to be reinvested at lower prevailing rates.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

16

2018 BLACKROCK ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2018 (continued)

BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc.

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 | Change | High | Low |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|--------|
| Market Price | \$ 8.81 | \$ 9.84 | (10.47)% | \$ 9.97 | \$8.59 |
| Net Asset Value | 9.35 | 9.75 | (4.10) | 9.78 | 9.32 |

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments

SECTOR ALLOCATION

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Transportation | 24% | 26% |
| Health | 22 | 23 |
| County/City/Special District/School District | 11 | 11 |
| Education | 11 | 9 |
| Corporate | 9 | 8 |
| Tobacco | 7 | 3 |
| Utilities | 6 | 7 |
| State | 6 | 7 |
| Housing | 4 | 6 |

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE (c)

| Calendar Year Ended December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|-----|
| 2018 | 10% |
| 2019 | 18 |
| 2020 | 14 |
| 2021 | 5 |
| 2022 | 4 |

- (c) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.
- * Excludes short-term securities.

CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION (a)

| | 08/31/18 | 08/31/17 |
|-------------|----------|----------|
| AAA/Aaa | 6% | 7% |
| AA/Aa | 33 | 39 |
| A | 18 | 16 |
| BBB/Baa | 23 | 21 |
| BB/Ba | 4 | 4 |
| В | 3 | 2 |
| $N/R^{(b)}$ | 13 | 11 |

- (a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P s or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings
- (b) The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2018 and August 31, 2017, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment adviser to be investment grade each represents 1% and 2%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

Trust Summary 17

| Schedule of Investments BlackRe | BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK) | | |
|--|--|----------------------|--|
| August 31, 2018 (Percentage | (Percentages shown are based on Net Asse | | |
| Security Municipal Bonds 137.5% | Par (000) | Value | |
| Alabama 0.6% Opelika Utilities Board, Refunding RB, 4.00%, 06/01/41 | \$ 960 | \$ 987,082 | |
| Arizona 7.5% Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Phoenix Children s Hospital, S 5.00%, 02/01/42 City of Phoenix Arizona IDA, Refunding RB, Basis Schools, Inc. Projects, 5.00%, 0 | 2,200 | 2,307,888 470,369 | |
| County of Pinal Arizona Electric District No.3, Refunding RB: 4.75%, 07/01/21 ^(b) 4.75%, 07/01/31 Salt Verde Financial Corp., RB, Senior: | 680 3,070 | 734,359 3,253,555 | |
| 5.00%, 12/01/32 5.00%, 12/01/37 University Medical Center Corp., RB, 6.50%, 07/01/19 ^(b) University Medical Center Corp., Refunding RB, 6.00%, 07/01/21 ^(b) | 1,500 2,065 500 900 | | |
| Arkansas 2.3% | 700 | 12,491,953 | |
| City of Benton Arkansas, RB, 4.00%, 06/01/39 City of Fort Smith Arkansas Water & Sewer Revenue, Refunding RB, 4.00%, 10/01 | 505 1/40 840 | 521,453 861,328 | |

| | | • |
|---|-------|-----------|
| | | 3,763,134 |
| California 21.2% | | |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Sutter Health: | | |
| Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/48 | 1,050 | 1,191,414 |
| Series B, 5.88%, 08/15/20 ^(b) | 1,900 | 2,058,688 |
| Carlsbad California Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B, 0.00%, | | |
| 05/01/34 ^(c) | 1,000 | 1,162,790 |
| City & County of San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Wastewater Revenue, | | |
| Refunding RB, Sewer System, Series B, 4.00%, 10/01/42 | 500 | 514,380 |
| City of San Jose California, Refunding ARB, Norman Y Mineta San Jose International | | |
| Airport SJC, Series A-1, AMT, 5.75%, 03/01/34 | 2,000 | 2,166,980 |
| County of San Diego Regional Airport Authority, ARB, Subordinate, Series B, AMT, 5.00%, | | |
| 07/01/47 | 1,405 | 1,567,095 |
| Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., Refunding RB, Series A-1, 5.25%, 06/01/47 | 525 | 547,176 |
| Hartnell Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series D, | | |
| 0.00%, 08/01/34 ^(c) | 1,650 | 1,744,033 |
| Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 2002, Series E | | |
| (AGC), 0.00%, 08/01/38 ^(d) | 8,000 | 3,497,840 |
| | | |

City of Little Rock Arkansas, RB, 4.00%, 07/01/41

County of Pulaski Arkansas Public Facilities Board, RB, 5.00%, 12/01/42

1,874,140

506,213

1,835

465

| Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: | | |
|---|----------|---------------|
| 0.00%, 08/01/30 ^(d) | 1,500 | 1,042,440 |
| 0.00%, 08/01/33 ^(d) | 4,000 | |
| 0.00%, 08/01/39 ^(c) | 2,605 | 2,576,475 |
| San Diego Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 0.00%, 08/01/33 ^(c) | 2,800 | 3,305,680 |
| State of California, GO, Refunding, Various Purposes, 5.00%, 02/01/38 State of California, GO, Various Purposes: | 3,000 | 3,325,500 |
| 6.50%, 04/01/19 ^(b) | 1,055 | 1,086,038 |
| 5.75%, 04/01/31 | 2,000 | 2,048,000 |
| 6.00%, 03/01/33 | 1,000 | 1,064,790 |
| 6.50%, 04/01/33 | 895 | * |
| 5.50%, 03/01/40 | 2,350 | 2,475,396 |
| | Par | |
| Security | (000) | Value |
| California (continued) | | |
| Visalia Unified School District, COP, (AGM), 4.00%, 05/01/48 | \$ 1,225 | \$ 1,229,239 |
| | | 35,168,071 |
| Colorado 0.6% | | 33,100,071 |
| Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series D, 6.25%, | | |
| 10/01/33 | 1,070 | 1,073,702 |
| 10/01/33 | 1,070 | 1,075,702 |
| Connecticut 1.0% | | |
| Connecticut State Health & Educational Facility Authority, Refunding RB, Lawrence & | | |
| Memorial Hospital, Series F, 5.00%, 07/01/21 ^(b) | 550 | 592,212 |
| State of Connecticut, GO, Series E, 5.00%, 09/15/37 ^(e) | 970 | 1,070,376 |
| | | -, -, -, -, - |
| | | 1,662,588 |
| Delaware 2.2% | | |
| County of Kent Delaware, RB, CHF-Dover, LLC-Delaware State University Project, Series A: | | |
| 5.00%, 07/01/40 | 330 | 355,186 |
| 5.00%, 07/01/48 | 900 | 962,901 |
| County of Sussex Delaware, RB, NRG Energy, Inc., Indian River Power LLC Project, | | |
| 6.00%, 10/01/40 | 1,200 | 1,271,664 |
| Delaware Transportation Authority, RB, U.S. 301 Project, 5.00%, 06/01/55 | 950 | 1,041,409 |
| | | 3,631,160 |
| Florida 3.6% | | |
| Capital Trust Agency Inc., RB, M/F Housing, The Gardens Apartment Project, Series A, | 600 | 600 750 |
| 4.75%, 07/01/40 | 600 | 609,750 |
| County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB, AMT, Seaport Department, Series B, 6.00%, 10/01/31 County of Orange Florida Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Mayflower Retirement | 4,135 | 4,695,789 |
| Center, 5.00%, 06/01/36 | 125 | 130,415 |
| Stevens Plantation Community Development District, RB, Special Assessment, Series A, | 123 | 130,113 |
| 7.10%, 05/01/35 ^{(f)(g)} | 860 | 602,000 |
| | | |
| | | 6,037,954 |
| Georgia 0.3% | | |
| County of Georgia Housing & Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Series A: | | |
| 3.95%, 12/01/43 | 295 | 295,634 |

| 4.00%, 12/01/48 | 210 | 209,990 |
|---|-------|-----------|
| | | 505,624 |
| Hawaii 0.3% State of Hawaii Department of Budget & Finance, Refunding RB, Special Purpose, Senior Living, Kahala Nui, 5.25%, 11/15/37 | 400 | 439,344 |
| Idaho 0.3% | | |
| Idaho Health Facilities Authority, RB, St. Lukes Health System Project, Series A, 5.00%, 03/01/39 | 500 | 543,660 |
| Illinois 6.3% | | |
| Chicago Board of Education, GO, Refunding Dedicated Revenues: | | |
| Series H, 5.00%, 12/01/36 | 235 | 242,708 |
| Project, Series C, 5.25%, 12/01/35 | 775 | 804,125 |
| Chicago Board of Education, GO, Refunding, Series C, 5.00%, 12/01/34 | 235 | 243,787 |
| Chicago Board of Education, GO, Dedicated Revenues: | | |
| Series C, 5.00%, 12/01/25 | 425 | 447,092 |
| Series F, 5.00%, 12/01/23 | 310 | 325,550 |
| City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding ARB, O Hare International Airport Passenger Facility | | |
| Charge, Series B, AMT, 4.00%, 01/01/29 | 1,600 | 1,643,536 |
| City of Chicago Illinois Midway International Airport, Refunding GARB, 2nd Lien, Series | | |
| A, AMT, 5.00%, 01/01/41 | 870 | 937,642 |

2018 BLACKROCK ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

18

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK)

August 31, 2018

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Security | (| <i>Par</i> 000) | Value |
|--|----|-----------------|---------------|
| Illinois (continued) | (| , | |
| City of Chicago Illinois Transit Authority, RB, Sales Tax Receipts, 5.25%, 12/01/40 Illinois Finance Authority, RB, Chicago LLC, University of Illinois at Chicago Project, | \$ | 665 | \$ 707,015 |
| Series A: | | 200 | 226020 |
| 5.00%, 02/15/37 | | 300 | 326,838 |
| 5.00%, 02/15/47 | | 205 | 221,242 |
| 5.00%, 02/15/50 | | 100 | 107,533 |
| Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB: | | | |
| OSF Healthcare System, 6.00%, 05/15/39 | | 205 | 215,512 |
| OSF Health Care System, Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/45 | 1 | ,205 | 1,301,508 |
| Roosevelt University Project: | | | |
| 6.50%, 10/01/19 ^(b) | | 395 | 414,837 |
| 6.50%, 04/01/44 | | 605 | 623,204 |
| Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB, 6.00%, 06/01/21 ^(b) | 1 | ,150 | 1,276,189 |
| State of Illinois, GO, Series D, 5.00%, 11/01/28 | | 645 | 685,364 |
| | | | , |
| Iowa 0.3% | | | 10,523,682 |
| Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Lifespace Communities, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/43 Iowa Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Iowa Fertilizer Co. Project, Series B, 5.25%, | | 205 | 219,297 |
| 12/01/50 ^(h) | | 250 | 265,475 |
| Kansas 2.7% | | | 484,772 |
| County of Seward Kansas Unified School District No. 480 Liberal, GO, Refunding: | | | |
| 5.00%, 09/01/22 ^(b) | 3 | ,280 | 3,652,772 |
| 5.00%, 09/01/39 | | 720 | 793,685 |
| 3.00 %, 07/01/37 | | 120 | 773,003 |
| Kentucky 3.7% | | | 4,446,457 |
| County of Boyle Kentucky, Refunding RB, Centre College of Kentucky, 5.00%, 06/01/37 Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, RB, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series | | ,500 | 2,754,375 |
| A, 5.38%, 01/01/40 | | ,830 | 1,964,908 |
| Kentucky Public Transportation Infrastructure Authority, RB, Downtown Crossing Project, Convertible CAB, 1st Tier, Series C ^(c) : | 1 | ,050 | 1,501,500 |
| 0.00%, 07/01/34 | | 500 | 473,820 |
| 0.00%, 07/01/39 | | 830 | 780,366 |
| 0.00%, 07/01/43 | | 270 | 253,816 |
| 0.00 %, 07/01/43 | | 270 | 233,610 |
| Louisiana 1.5% | | | 6,227,285 |
| City of Alexandria Louisiana Utilities, RB, 5.00%, 05/01/39 | | 860 | 946,473 |
| City of Alexandria Louisiana Cunices, KD, 5.00 /0, 05/01/57 | 1 | ,050 | 1,141,948 |
| | 1 | ,030 | 1,141,940 |

| Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Chemical Corp. Project, Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35 Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, RB, Belle Chasse Educational Foundation Project, | | 122.110 |
|---|--------------|----------------------|
| 6.50%, 05/01/31 | 400 | 422,140 |
| | | 2,510,561 |
| Maryland 0.2% County of Anne Arundel Maryland Consolidated, RB, Special Taxing District, Villages at Two Rivers Project: | | |
| 5.13%, 07/01/36 | 170 | 171,991 |
| 5.25%, 07/01/44 | 170 | 171,776 |
| | | 343,767 |
| Massachusetts 3.0% | | |
| Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, RB, Emerson College Issue, Series A: 5.00%, 01/01/48 | 1,115 | 1,215,495 |
| 5.00%, 01/01/47 | 630 | 682,069 |
| 5.25%, 01/01/42 | 565 | 623,800 |
| | Par | |
| Security | (000) | Value |
| Massachusetts (continued) Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Refunding RB: | | |
| Emmanuel College Issue, Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/43 | \$ 750 | \$ 814,215 |
| International Charter School, 5.00%, 04/15/40 | 400 | 423,736 |
| Western New England University, 5.00%, 09/01/43 | 750 | 821,610 |
| Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency, RB, M/F Housing, Series A: | 4.60 | 4.50.400 |
| 3.80%, 12/01/43 | 160 | 158,198 |
| 3.85%, 06/01/46 | 205 | 202,577 |
| | | 4,941,700 |
| Michigan 6.2% | | |
| Michigan Finance Authority, RB, Detroit Water & Sewage Disposal System, Senior Lien, | 240 | 255 226 |
| Series 2014 C-2, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/44 Michigan Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Henry Ford Health System, 5.00%, 11/15/41 | 240 5,560 | 255,326 6,128,621 |
| Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Trinity Health Credit Group, | 3,300 | 0,120,021 |
| Series C, 4.00%, 12/01/32 | 2,100 | 2,159,430 |
| Michigan State Housing Development Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Series A, 3.80%, | | |
| 10/01/38 | 1,690 | 1,682,902 |
| State of Michigan Building Authority, Refunding RB, Facilities Program, Series I, 6.25%, 10/15/38 | 40 | 40,201 |
| | | 10,266,480 |
| Minnesota 4.0% | | |
| City of Maple Grove Minnesota, Refunding RB, Maple Grove Hospital, Corp., 4.00%, 05/01/37 | 880 | 887,665 |
| City of Minneapolis Minnesota, Refunding RB, Fairview Health Services, Series B (AGC), 6.50%, 11/15/38 | 3,890 | 3,927,344 |
| Minneapolis-St. Paul Metropolitan Airports Commission, Refunding ARB, Sub Series D, | 3,070 | 3,721,377 |
| AMT, 5.00%, 01/01/41 | 290 | 321,378 |
| Minnesota Higher Education Facilities Authority, RB: | | |
| Augsburg College, Series B, 4.25%, 05/01/40 | 1,075 | 1,074,989 |

| College of St. Benedict, Series 8-K, 4.00%, 03/01/43 | 385 | 388,477 |
|--|-------|-----------|
| | | 6,599,853 |
| Mississippi 1.9% | | |
| County of Warren Mississippi, RB, Gulf Opportunity Zone Bonds, International Paper Co. | | |
| Project, Series A, 5.38%, 12/01/35 | 400 | 438,296 |
| Mississippi Development Bank, RB, Special Obligation: | | |
| CAB, Hinds Community College District (AGM), 5.00%, 04/01/21(b) | 845 | 912,879 |
| County of Jackson Limited Tax Note (AGC), 5.50%, 07/01/32 | 1,750 | 1,803,147 |
| | | 3,154,322 |
| Missouri 2.7% | | |
| Missouri Development Finance Board, RB, Annual Appropriation Sewer System, Series B, | | |
| 5.00%, 11/01/41 | 900 | 966,132 |
| Missouri State Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB: | | , |
| A.T. Still University of Health Sciences, 5.25%, 10/01/31 | 500 | 542,570 |
| A.T. Still University of Health Sciences, 4.25%, 10/01/32 | 320 | 332,266 |
| A.T. Still University of Health Sciences, 5.00%, 10/01/39 | 500 | 545,735 |
| Heartland Regional Medical Center, 4.13%, 02/15/43 | 300 | 307,599 |
| University of Central Missouri, Series C-2, 5.00%, 10/01/34 | 1,000 | 1,106,820 |
| Missouri State Health & Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Kansas City | -, | -,, |
| University of Medicine and Biosciences, Series A, 5.00%, 06/01/42 | 540 | 600,075 |
| | | 4,401,197 |
| Nebraska 1.1% | | 7,401,197 |
| Central Plains Nebraska Energy Project, RB, Gas Project No. 3, 5.00%, 09/01/42 | 600 | 653,748 |

Schedules of Investments 19

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK)

August 31, 2018

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Security Nebraska (continued) | Par (000) | Value |
|--|--------------|----------------------|
| County of Douglas Nebraska Hospital Authority No. 3, Refunding RB, Health Facilities Nebraska Methodist Health System, 5.00%, 11/01/45 | \$ 400 | \$ 437,672 |
| Nebraska Public Power District, Refunding RB, Series A: 5.00%, 01/01/32 4.00%, 01/01/44 | 250 400 | 270,545 406,204 |
| N. 1 446 | | 1,768,169 |
| Nevada 1.1% City of Las Vegas Nevada, RB, Special Assessment, No. 809 Summerlin Area, 5.65%, 06/01/23 | 820 | 825,871 |
| County of Clark Nevada, Refunding ARB, Department of Aviation, Subordinate Lien, Series A-2, 4.25%, 07/01/36 | 1,000 | 1,044,520 |
| N. W. 11. 0.0% | | 1,870,391 |
| New Hampshire 0.2% New Hampshire Business Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Resource Recovery, Covanta | | |
| Project ^{(a)(e)} : Series B, 4.63%, 11/01/42 | 255 | 256,010 |
| Series C, AMT, 4.88%, 11/01/42 | 145 | 145,631 |
| | | 401,641 |
| New Jersey 12.8% New Jersey EDA, RB: | | |
| Continental Airlines, Inc. Project, Series B, AMT, 5.63%, 11/15/30 | 660 | 745,318 |
| Goethals Bridge Replacement Project (AGM), AMT, 5.13%, 07/01/42 | 200 | 217,978 |
| School Facilities Construction, Series UU, 5.00%, 06/15/40 | 425 | 449,178 |
| New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, Special Assessment, Kapkowski Road Landfill Project, | | |
| 6.50%, 04/01/28 | 7,500 | 8,716,125 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB: | | |
| Hospital Asset Transfer Program, 5.00%, 10/01/37 | 685 | 744,122 |
| St. Barnabas Health Care System, Series A: | 510 | 540.201 |
| 4.63%, 07/01/21 ^(b) | 510 | 548,291 |
| 5.63%, 07/01/21 ^(b) | 1,700 | 1,874,148 |
| 5.00%, 07/01/25 New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, RB, Series E, 5.00%, 01/01/45 | 500 1,860 | 548,725 2,055,784 |
| New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB: | 1,000 | 2,033,764 |
| CAB, Transportation System, Series A, 0.00%, 12/15/35 ^(d) | 1,000 | 458,860 |
| Transportation Program, Series AA, 5.00%, 06/15/45 | 900 | 954,558 |
| Transportation Program, Series AA, 5.00%, 06/15/46 | 400 | 424,004 |
| South Jersey Port Corp., RB, Marine Terminal, Series B, AMT, 5.00%, 01/01/35 | 625 | 685,438 |
| Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Series A: | | |
| 5.00%, 06/01/36 | 750 | 836,227 |

| 5.25%, 06/01/46 | 1,810 | 2,020,304 |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | | 21,279,060 |
| New Mexico 0.3% New Mexico Hospital Equipment Loan Council, Refunding RB, Presbyterian Healthcare Services, 5.00%, 08/01/44 | 450 | 497,466 |
| New York 5.0% City of New York Industrial Development Agency, RB, PILOT (AMBAC), 5.00%, 01/01/39 | 925 | 936,183 |
| Counties of New York Tobacco Trust IV, Refunding RB, Settlement Pass-Through Turbo, Series A, 6.25%, 06/01/41 ^(a) Erie Tobacco Asset Securitization Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A, 5.00%, 06/01/45 | 900 | 932,391 |
| | 1,160 <i>Par</i> | 1,148,377 |
| Security New York (continued) | (000) | Value |
| New York (continued) New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB: | | |
| 2nd Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant Park Project, Class 3, 6.38%, 07/15/49 3 World Trade Center Project, Class 2, 5.38%, 11/15/40 ^(a) | \$ 800 405 | \$ 839,328 445,771 |
| New York Transportation Development Corp., ARB, LaGuardia Airport Terminal B Redevelopment Project, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/41 | 1,000 | 1,068,560 |
| New York Transportation Development Corp., Refunding ARB, American Airlines, Inc., AMT, 5.00%, 08/01/31 Niagara Area Development Corp., Refunding RB, Solid Waste Disposal Facility, Covanta | 1,295 | 1,351,099 |
| Energy Project, Series A, AMT, 5.25%, 11/01/42 ^(a) | 400 | 402,864 |
| State of New York Mortgage Agency, Refunding RB, Series 211, 3.75%, 10/01/43 | 1,190 | 1,180,409 |
| | | 8,304,982 |
| North Carolina 0.5% North Carolina Medical Care Commission, Refunding RB, The United Methodist Retirement Homes, Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/47 | 695 | 760,532 |
| North Dakota 0.3% County of Burleigh North Dakota, Refunding RB, St. Alexius Medical Center Project, Series A, 5.00%, 07/01/21 ^(b) | 480 | 519,586 |
| Ohio 4.1% | | |
| Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, RB, Asset-Backed, Senior Turbo Term, Series A-2, 6.50%, 06/01/47 | 2,000 | 2,041,440 |
| City of Dayton Ohio Airport Revenue, Refunding ARB, James M. Cox Dayton International Airport, Series A (AGM), AMT, 4.00%, 12/01/32 | 2,000 | 2,029,060 |
| Northwest Local School District/Hamilton & Butler Counties, GO, School Improvements, 4.00%, 12/01/50 | 1,135 | 1,147,258 |
| State of Ohio, Refunding RB, University Hospitals Health System, Series A, 5.00%, 01/15/41 | 1,500 | 1,579,950 |
| Oblahama 22% | | 6,797,708 |
| Oklahoma 2.2% Norman Oklahoma Regional Hospital Authority, Refunding RB, 4.00%, 09/01/37 | 1,275 | 1,295,056 |
| Oklahoma City Public Property Authority, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 10/01/39 | 720 | 806,263 |
| Oklahoma Development Finance Authority, RB: OU Medicine Project, Series B, 5.25%, 08/15/48 | 605 | 674,418 |

| Provident Oklahoma Education Resources, Inc., Cross Village Student Housing Project, Series A, 5.25%, 08/01/57 | 820 | 803,862 |
|---|-------|-----------|
| | | 3,579,599 |
| Oregon 1.4% | | |
| Oregon Health & Science University, RB, Series A, 4.00%, 07/01/37 | 675 | 704,052 |
| State of Oregon State Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, University of Portland Project, Series A, 5.00%, 04/01/45 | 1,475 | 1,629,373 |
| | 1,.,, | 1,02>,575 |
| | | 2,333,425 |
| Pennsylvania 9.7% | | |
| Commonwealth Financing Authority, RB, Tobacco Master Settlement Payment: | | |
| 5.00%, 06/01/33 | 335 | 378,215 |
| 5.00%, 06/01/34 | 750 | 843,443 |
| (AGM), 4.00%, 06/01/39 | 1,365 | 1,393,679 |
| County of Allegheny Pennsylvania IDA, Refunding RB, U.S. Steel Corp. Project, 6.55%, | | |
| 12/01/27 | 1,695 | 1,754,579 |

20

2018 BLACKROCK ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK)

August 31, 2018

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Security Pennsylvania (continued) | ((| Par (000) | Value |
|---|----|--------------|---------------|
| County of Montgomery Higher Education & Health Authority, Refunding RB, Thomas Jefferson University, Series A, 4.00%, 09/01/49 Delaware River Port Authority, RB: | \$ | 495 | \$ 494,124 |
| 4.50%, 01/01/32 | 1 | ,500 | 1,599,210 |
| Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 01/01/40 | 2 | 2,600 | 2,701,556 |
| Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, Refunding RB, Turnpike Subordinate Revenue, Second Series, 5.00%, 12/01/35 | 5 | 5,000 | 5,549,900 |
| Pottsville Hospital Authority, Refunding RB, Lehigh Valley Health Network, Series B, 5.00%, 07/01/45 | 1 | ,250 | 1,372,937 |
| Puerto Rico 1.1% | | | 16,087,643 |
| Children s Trust Fund, Refunding RB, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds: | | | |
| 5.50%, 05/15/39 | | 940 | 951,562 |
| 5.63%, 05/15/43 | | 890 | 901,089 |
| | | | 1,852,651 |
| Rhode Island 4.5% | | | |
| Narragansett Bay Commission, Refunding RB, Series A, 4.00%, 09/01/43 | | 975 | 990,093 |
| Rhode Island Health & Educational Building Corp., Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 3.75%, 05/15/32 | | ,155 | 1,165,210 |
| Rhode Island Housing & Mortgage Finance Corp., RB, (FHA), S/F Housing, Series 3-B, 4.13%, 10/01/49 | | 480 | 483,158 |
| State of Rhode Island, COP, School for the Deaf Project, Series C (AGC), 5.38%, | | | |
| 04/01/19 ^(b) | | 900 | 919,476 |
| Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB: Series A, 5.00%, 06/01/40 | 1 | ,000 | 1,068,240 |