

INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC
Form 10-Q
August 12, 2013
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2013

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-13783

Integrated Electrical Services, Inc.

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware **76-0542208**
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)
5433 Westheimer Road, Suite 500, Houston, Texas 77056

(Address of principal executive offices and ZIP code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (713) 860-1500

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

On August 12, 2013, there were 15,105,846 shares of common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

	Page
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	8
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	9
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	34
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	51
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	51
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	52
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	53
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	56
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	56
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	56
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	56
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	56
<u>Signatures</u>	58
Exhibit 10.1	
Exhibit 31.1	
Exhibit 31.2	
Exhibit 32.1	
Exhibit 32.2	

Table of Contents

PART I

DEFINITIONS

In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the words "IES", the "Company", the "Registrant", "we", "our", "ours" and "us" refer to Integrated Electrical Inc. and, except as otherwise specified herein, to our subsidiaries.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes certain statements that may be deemed "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, all of which are based upon various estimates and assumptions that the Company believes to be reasonable as of the date hereof. These statements discuss future expectations, contain projections of financial condition or results of operation, or state other "forward-looking" information. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may", "will", "could", "should", "expect", "plan", "project", "intend", "anticipate", "believe", "predict", "potential", "pursue", "target", "continue", the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. These statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause the Company's actual future outcomes to differ materially from those set forth in such statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

fluctuations in operating activity due to downturns in levels of construction, seasonality and differing regional economic conditions;

competition in our respective industries, both from third parties and former employees, which could result in the loss of one or more customers or lead to lower margins on new projects;

a general reduction in the demand for our services;

a change in the mix of our customers, contracts and business;

our ability to successfully manage projects;

possibility of errors when estimating revenue and progress to date on percentage-of-completion contracts;

inaccurate estimates used when entering into fixed-priced contracts;

challenges integrating new businesses into the Company or new types of work or new processes into our divisions;

the cost and availability of qualified labor;

accidents resulting from the physical hazards associated with our work and the potential for accidents;

success in transferring, renewing and obtaining electrical and construction licenses;

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

our ability to pass along increases in the cost of commodities used in our business, in particular, copper, aluminum, steel, fuel and certain plastics;

potential supply chain disruptions due to credit or liquidity problems faced by our suppliers;

loss of key personnel and effective transition of new management;

warranty losses, damages or other latent defect claims in excess of our existing reserves and accruals;

warranty losses or other unexpected liabilities stemming from former divisions which we have sold or closed;

growth in latent defect litigation in states where we provide residential electrical work for home builders not otherwise covered by insurance;

limitations on the availability of sufficient credit or cash flow to fund our working capital needs;

difficulty in fulfilling the covenant terms of our credit facilities;

increased cost of surety bonds affecting margins on work and the potential for our surety providers to refuse bonding or require additional collateral at their discretion;

increases in bad debt expense and days sales outstanding due to liquidity problems faced by our customers;

changes in the assumptions made regarding future events used to value our stock options and performance-based stock awards;

the recognition of potential goodwill, long-lived assets and other investment impairments;

uncertainties inherent in estimating future operating results, including revenues, operating income or cash flow;

disagreements with taxing authorities with regard to tax positions we have adopted;

the recognition of tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions;

complications associated with the incorporation of new accounting, control and operating procedures;

the financial impact of new or proposed accounting regulations;

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

the ability of our controlling shareholder to take action not aligned with other shareholders;

the possibility that certain tax benefits of our net operating losses may be restricted or reduced in a change in ownership;

credit and capital market conditions, including changes in interest rates that affect the cost of construction financing and mortgages, and the inability for some of our customers to retain sufficient financing which could lead to project delays or cancellations, and potentially impede the collectability of our accounts receivable;

the sale or disposition of the shares of our common stock held by our majority shareholder, which, under certain circumstances, would trigger change of control provisions in contracts such as employment agreements and financing and surety arrangements;

additional closures or sales of facilities could result in significant future charges and a significant disruption of our operations;

the successful integration of acquisitions;

the inability to consummate the transactions contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of March 13, 2013, by and among IES, MISCOR Group, Ltd., an Indiana corporation (MISCOR), and IES Subsidiary Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of IES (Merger Sub), pursuant to which MISCOR will be merged with and into Merger Sub, with Merger Sub surviving as a wholly-owned subsidiary of IES (the Merger); and

the inability to achieve, or difficulties and delays in achieving, synergies and cost savings relating to the Merger.

Table of Contents

You should understand that the foregoing, as well as other risk factors discussed in this document, including those listed in Part II, Item 1A of this report under the heading *Risk Factors* as well as the other risk factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, and Part II, Item 1A of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2013, could cause future outcomes to differ materially from those experienced previously or those expressed in such forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any information, including information concerning our controlling shareholder, net operating losses, restructuring efforts, borrowing availability, cash position, pro forma financial statements or any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that may arise after the date of this report. Forward-looking statements are provided in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q pursuant to the safe harbor established under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and should be evaluated in the context of the estimates, assumptions, uncertainties and risks described herein.

INDUSTRY AND MARKET DATA

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q may include certain industry and market data that we obtain from independent industry publications or other published independent sources. These publications generally state that the information contained therein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, although they do not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information. While we believe that these publications are reliable, we do not independently verify any of the data from third-party sources nor do we ascertain the underlying economic or operational assumptions relied upon therein.

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(In Thousands, Except Share Information)**

	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 15,134	\$ 18,729
Restricted cash	7,052	7,155
Accounts receivable:		
Trade, net of allowance of \$1,174 and \$1,788, respectively	67,547	76,259
Retainage	18,525	17,004
Inventories	12,280	15,141
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	6,517	8,180
Assets held for sale	900	1,110
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	3,474	3,807
Total current assets	131,429	147,385
LONG-TERM RECEIVABLE, net of allowance of \$0 and \$0, respectively	203	259
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net	5,433	6,480
GOODWILL	8,631	4,446
INTANGIBLE ASSETS, net of amortization of \$329	561	
OTHER NON-CURRENT ASSETS, net	5,216	6,143
Total assets	\$ 151,473	\$ 164,713
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 3,198	\$ 456
Current maturities of long-term debt, related party		10,000
Current maturities of long-term debt, total	3,198	10,456
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	65,530	68,673
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	22,133	25,255
Total current liabilities	90,861	104,384
LONG-TERM DEBT, net of current maturities	1,667	24
LONG-TERM DEFERRED TAX LIABILITY	285	285
OTHER NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES	6,617	6,863
Total liabilities	99,430	111,556
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized; 15,407,802 and 15,407,802 shares issued and 15,105,846 and 14,977,400 outstanding, respectively	154	154
Treasury stock, at cost, 301,956 and 430,402 shares, respectively	(2,839)	(4,546)
Additional paid-in capital	162,763	163,871

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive income	19	
Retained deficit	(108,054)	(106,322)
Total stockholders' equity	52,043	53,157
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 151,473	\$ 164,713

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income****(In Thousands, Except Share Information)**

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
Revenues	\$ 121,552	\$ 116,128
Cost of services	105,899	101,872
Gross profit	15,653	14,256
Selling, general and administrative expenses	16,576	14,956
Gain on sale of assets	(16)	(9)
Income (loss) from operations	(907)	(691)
Interest and other (income) expense:		
Interest expense	372	524
Interest income		(8)
Other (income) expense, net	(649)	(2)
Interest and other expense, net	(277)	514
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(630)	(1,205)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	95	8
Net loss from continuing operations	\$ (725)	\$ (1,213)
Discontinued operations (Note 12)		
Loss from discontinued operations	(421)	(1,996)
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(8)	(33)
Net loss from discontinued operations	(413)	(1,963)
Net loss	\$ (1,138)	\$ (3,176)
Unrealized gain on interest hedge, before tax	8	
Comprehensive loss	\$ (1,130)	\$ (3,176)
Loss per share:		
Continuing operations	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.08)
Discontinued operations	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.14)
Basic	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.22)
Diluted loss per share:		
Continuing operations	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.08)
Discontinued operations	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.14)
Diluted	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.22)
Shares used in the computation of loss per share		

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Basic	14,937,434	14,642,293
Diluted	14,937,434	14,642,293

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income****(In Thousands, Except Share Information)**

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
Revenues	\$ 370,810	\$ 332,734
Cost of services	321,182	291,496
Gross profit	49,628	41,238
Selling, general and administrative expenses	48,104	42,048
Gain on sale of assets	(56)	(165)
Income (loss) from operations	1,580	(645)
Interest and other (income) expense:		
Interest expense	1,425	1,612
Interest income	(123)	(23)
Other (income) expense, net	1,048	(66)
Interest and other expense, net	2,350	1,523
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(770)	(2,168)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	264	40
Net loss from continuing operations	\$ (1,034)	\$ (2,208)
Discontinued operations (Note 12)		
Loss from discontinued operations	(711)	(7,936)
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(14)	185
Net loss from discontinued operations	(697)	(8,121)
Net loss	\$ (1,731)	\$ (10,329)
Unrealized gain on interest hedge, before tax	19	
Income tax related to unrealized gain on interest hedge		
Comprehensive loss	\$ (1,712)	\$ (10,329)
Loss per share:		
Continuing operations	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.15)
Discontinued operations	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.56)
Basic	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.71)
Diluted loss per share:		
Continuing operations	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.15)
Discontinued operations	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.56)
Diluted	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.71)

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Shares used in the computation of loss per share		
Basic	14,882,687	14,616,513
Diluted	14,882,687	14,616,513

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(In Thousands)**

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss	\$ (1,731)	\$ (10,329)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Bad debt expense	26	(519)
Deferred financing cost amortization	257	108
Depreciation and amortization	1,956	1,592
Reserve for uncollectible surety deposit	1,425	
Loss (gain) on sale of assets	80	(315)
Share based compensation expense	945	534
Impairment	200	
Unrealized gain on interest swap	19	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
(Increase), decrease in- Accounts receivable	5,676	6,505
Inventories, net	2,861	(8,145)
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings	1,664	3,463
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(1,585)	(1,406)
Other non-current assets	(462)	1,197
Increase, (decrease) in- Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(4,264)	(5,562)
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	(3,122)	7,096
Other non-current liabilities	(285)	(164)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	3,660	(5,945)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(327)	(1,169)
Cash paid in conjunction with business combination	(828)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,155)	(1,169)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Borrowings of debt	5,000	
Repayments of debt	(10,858)	(194)
Purchase of treasury stock	(346)	(94)
Change in restricted cash	104	(9,512)
Net cash used in financing activities	(6,100)	(9,800)
NET DECREASE IN CASH EQUIVALENTS	(3,595)	(16,914)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	18,729	35,577
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 15,134	\$ 18,663
	2013	2012
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Cash paid for interest, net	\$	836	\$	1,248
Cash paid for income taxes	\$	424	\$	383

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)

1. BUSINESS

Description of the Business

Integrated Electrical Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation, is a leading provider of infrastructure services to the residential, commercial and industrial industries as well as for data centers and other mission critical environments. We operate primarily in the electrical infrastructure markets, with a corporate focus on expanding into other markets through strategic acquisitions or investments. Originally established as IES in 1997, we provide services from our 54 domestic locations as of June 30, 2013. Our operations are organized into three principal business segments, based upon the nature of our current products and services:

Communications Nationwide provider of products and services for mission critical infrastructure, such as data centers, of large corporations.

Residential Regional provider of electrical installation services for single-family housing and multi-family apartment complexes.

Commercial & Industrial Provider of electrical design, construction, and maintenance services to the commercial and industrial markets in various regional markets and nationwide in certain areas of expertise, such as the power infrastructure market.

The words IES, the Company, we, our, and us refer to Integrated Electrical Services, Inc. and, except as otherwise specified herein, to our wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Our Communications segment is a leading provider of network infrastructure products and services for data centers and other mission critical environments. Services offered include the design, installation and maintenance of network infrastructure for the financial, medical, hospitality, government, high-tech manufacturing, educational and information technology industries. We also provide the design and installation of audio/visual, telephone, fire, wireless and intrusion alarm systems as well as design/build, service and maintenance of data network systems. We perform services across the United States from our ten offices as of June 30, 2013, which includes our Communications headquarters located in Tempe, Arizona, allowing for dedicated onsite maintenance teams at our customer's sites.

Our Residential segment provides electrical installation services for single-family housing and multi-family apartment complexes and CATV cabling installations for residential and light commercial applications. In addition to our core electrical construction work, the Residential segment has expanded its offerings by providing services for the installation of residential solar power, smart meters, electric car charging stations and stand-by generators, both for new construction and existing residences. The Residential segment is made up of 26 total locations as of June 30, 2013, which includes our Residential headquarters in Houston. These segment locations geographically cover Texas, California, the Sun-Belt, and the Western and Mid-Atlantic regions of the United States, including Hawaii.

Our Commercial & Industrial segment is one of the largest providers of electrical contracting services in the United States. The segment offers a broad range of electrical design, construction, renovation, engineering and maintenance services to the commercial and industrial markets. The Commercial & Industrial segment consists of 18 total locations as of June 30, 2013, which includes our Commercial & Industrial headquarters in Houston, Texas. These locations geographically cover Texas, Nebraska, Colorado, Oregon and the Mid-Atlantic region. Services include the design of electrical systems within a building or complex, procurement and installation of wiring and connection to power sources, end-use equipment and fixtures, as well as contract maintenance. We focus on projects that require special expertise, such as design-and-build projects that utilize the capabilities of our in-house experts, or projects which require specific market expertise, such as transmission and distribution and power generation facilities. We also focus on service, maintenance and certain renovation and upgrade work, which tends to be either recurring or have lower sensitivity to economic cycles, or both. We provide services for a variety of projects, including: high-rise residential and office buildings, power plants, manufacturing facilities, data centers, chemical plants, refineries, wind farms, solar facilities, municipal infrastructure and health care facilities, and residential developments. Our utility services consist of overhead and underground installation and maintenance of

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

electrical and other utilities transmission and distribution networks, installation and splicing of high-voltage transmission and distribution lines, substation construction and substation and right-of-way maintenance. Our maintenance services generally provide recurring revenues that are typically less affected by levels of construction activity. Service and maintenance revenues are derived from service calls and routine maintenance contracts, which tend to be recurring and less sensitive to short term economic fluctuations.

Table of Contents

INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)

Sale of Non-Strategic Manufacturing Facility

On November 30, 2010, a subsidiary of the Company sold substantially all the assets and certain liabilities of a non-strategic manufacturing facility engaged in manufacturing and selling fabricated metal buildings housing electrical equipment, such as switchgears, motor starters and control systems, to Siemens Energy, Inc. As part of this transaction, Siemens Energy, Inc. also acquired the real property upon which the fabrication facilities are located from a subsidiary of the Company. The transaction was completed on December 10, 2010 for a purchase price of \$10,086 at which time we recognized a gain of \$6,763.

Sale of Non-Core Electrical Distribution Facility

On February 28, 2011, Key Electrical Supply, Inc. a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, sold substantially all the assets and certain liabilities of a non-core electrical distribution facility engaged in distributing wiring, lighting, electrical distribution, power control and generators for residential and commercial applications to Elliot Electric Supply, Inc. for a purchase price of \$6,676. The loss on this transaction was immaterial.

Related Party Transactions

On December 12, 2007, we entered into a \$25,000 senior subordinated loan agreement with Tontine Capital Partners, L.P. and its affiliates (collectively, Tontine), our controlling shareholder (the Tontine Term Loan). The Tontine Term Loan bore interest at 11.0% per annum and was due on May 15, 2013. Interest was payable quarterly in cash or in-kind at our option. Any interest paid in-kind also bore interest at 11.0% in addition to the loan principal. In 2010, we prepaid \$15,000, and on February 13, 2013, we repaid the remaining \$10,000 of principal on the Tontine Term Loan with existing cash on hand and proceeds from our \$5,000 term loan with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo).

The Tontine Term Loan was subordinated to the 2012 Credit Facility. The Tontine Term Loan was an unsecured obligation of the Company and its subsidiary borrowers and contained no financial covenants or restrictions on dividends or distributions to stockholders. The Tontine Term Loan was amended on August 9, 2012 in connection with the Company entering into the 2012 Credit Facility. The amendment did not materially impact the Company's obligations under the Tontine Term Loan. For a description of the 2012 Credit Facility, please see Note 4 Debt *The 2012 Revolving Credit Facility* in the Notes to these Consolidated Financial Statements.

While Tontine is subject to restrictions under federal securities laws on sales of its shares as an affiliate, Tontine is party to a Registration Rights Agreement with the Company under which it has the ability, subject to certain restrictions, to demand registration of its shares in order to permit unrestricted sales of those shares. On February 20, 2013, pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, Tontine delivered a request to the Company for registration of all of its shares of IES common stock, and on February 21, 2013, the Company filed a shelf registration statement (as amended, the Shelf Registration Statement) to register Tontine's shares. The Shelf Registration Statement was declared effective by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on June 18, 2013. As long as the Shelf Registration remains effective, Tontine has the ability to resell any or all of its shares from time to time in one or more offerings, as described in the Shelf Registration Statement and in any prospectus supplement filed in connection with an offering pursuant to the Shelf Registration Statement.

On March 13, 2013, the Company and MISCOR Group, Ltd., an Indiana corporation, (MISCOR) announced that they had entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated March 13, 2013, as amended by the First Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of July 10, 2013 (as amended, the Merger Agreement), pursuant to which IES will acquire 100% of the common stock of MISCOR in a stock and cash transaction. The transaction is currently expected to close in September 2013. As of July 24, 2013, Tontine beneficially owned 49.9% of the issued and outstanding shares of MISCOR common stock. Given Tontine's significant holdings in both the Company and MISCOR, only the disinterested members of the IES Board of Directors voted on, and unanimously approved, the Merger Agreement. In addition, MISCOR established a special committee of independent directors that voted on and approved the Merger Agreement and recommended approval of the Merger Agreement by the full MISCOR board of directors. After receiving approval from the special committee, the disinterested members of the MISCOR board of directors unanimously approved the Merger Agreement. For additional information on the proposed Merger with

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

MISCOR, please refer to Note 15, Subsequent Events in the Notes to these Consolidated Financial Statements.

On March 29, 2012, we entered into a sublease agreement with Tontine Associates, LLC, an affiliate of our controlling shareholder, for corporate office space in Greenwich, Connecticut. The lease extends from April 1, 2012 through March 31, 2014, with monthly payments due in the amount of \$6. The lease has terms at market rates and payments by the Company are at a rate consistent with that paid by Tontine Associates, LLC to its landlord.

Table of Contents

INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

These unaudited consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position as of, and the results of operations for, the periods presented. All adjustments are considered to be normal and recurring unless otherwise described herein. Interim period results are not necessarily indicative of results of operations or cash flows for the full year. During interim periods, we follow the same accounting policies disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. Please refer to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, when reviewing our interim financial results set forth herein.

Adoption of New Accounting Pronouncement

In June 2011, the FASB issued amended authoritative guidance associated with comprehensive income, which requires companies to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This update eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in equity. This amendment to the authoritative guidance associated with comprehensive income was effective for the Company on October 1, 2012 and has been applied retrospectively. We have adopted a single continuous statement of comprehensive income.

In December 2011, the FASB deferred the effective date of the specific requirement to present items that are reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income to net income alongside their respective components of net income and other comprehensive income. We will adopt this requirement effective October 1, 2013, though it will have no impact to our financial statements.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Our financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, notes receivable, investments, accounts payable, a line of credit, notes payable issued to finance our insurance policies, a contingent consideration agreement, and an interest rate swap agreement and a term loan with Wells Fargo. We believe that the carrying value of financial instruments, with the exception of the Tontine Term Loan and our cost method investment in EnerTech Capital Partners II L.P. (EnerTech), in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets approximates their fair value due to their short-term nature.

We evaluate the fair value of the Tontine Term Loan and our investment in EnerTech on a non-recurring basis. While the carrying value of the Tontine Term Loan was zero at June 30, 2013, we estimated the fair value in prior periods using level 3 inputs, including an estimated interest rate reflecting current market conditions. We estimate the fair value of our investment in EnerTech to be \$1,047 at June 30, 2013, using level 3 inputs, including quarterly valuation estimations provided by management of the fund.

For a detailed discussion of financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, please refer to Note 10, Fair Value Measurements in the Notes to these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)

Goodwill

Goodwill attributable to each reporting unit is tested for impairment by comparing the fair value of each reporting unit with its carrying value. Fair value is determined using discounted cash flows. These impairment tests are required to be performed at least annually. Significant estimates used in the methodologies include estimates of future cash flows, future short-term and long-term growth rates, and weighted average cost of capital for each of the reportable units. On an ongoing basis (absent any impairment indicators), we perform an impairment test annually using a measurement date of September 30.

Asset Impairment

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, the Company recorded a pretax non-cash asset impairment charge of \$688 related to real estate held by our Commercial & Industrial segment. The real estate was held within a location selected for closure during 2011. This impairment was to adjust the carrying value of real estate held for sale to the estimated current market value less expected selling expenses, the value at which we expected to sell this real estate within one year. In July 2013, we entered into an agreement to sell this real estate for \$200 less than our carrying value. We recorded an additional asset impairment charge of \$200 as of June 30, 2013. The real estate is classified as assets held for sale within our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires the use of estimates and assumptions by management in determining the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosures of contingent liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Estimates are primarily used in our revenue recognition of construction in progress, fair value assumptions in analyzing goodwill, investments, long-lived asset impairments and adjustments, allowance for doubtful accounts receivable, stock-based compensation, reserves for legal matters, realizability of deferred tax assets, and self-insured claims liabilities and related reserves.

Tax Provision

A reliable estimate of the annual effective tax rate cannot be determined. Therefore, the Company is using year to date income tax expense to determine the income tax provision for the three months ended and nine months ended June 30, 2013.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. We use restricted cash to collateralize our letters of credit.

Seasonality and Quarterly Fluctuations

Results of operations from our Residential construction segment are seasonal, depending on weather trends, with typically higher revenues generated during spring and summer and lower revenues during fall and winter. The Communications and Commercial & Industrial segments of our business are less subject to seasonal trends, as work in these segments generally is performed inside structures protected from the weather. Our service and maintenance business is generally not affected by seasonality. In addition, the construction industry has historically been highly cyclical. Our volume of business may be adversely affected by declines in construction projects resulting from adverse regional or national economic conditions. Quarterly results may also be materially affected by the timing of new construction projects. Accordingly, operating results for any fiscal period are not necessarily indicative of results that may be achieved for any subsequent fiscal period.

Table of Contents

INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)

2. CONTROLLING SHAREHOLDER

At June 30, 2013, Tontine Capital Partners, L.P. and its affiliates (collectively, "Tontine"), was the controlling shareholder of the Company's common stock. Accordingly, Tontine has the ability to exercise significant control over our affairs, including the election of directors and any action requiring the approval of shareholders.

While Tontine is subject to restrictions under federal securities laws on sales of its shares as an affiliate, Tontine is party to a Registration Rights Agreement with the Company under which it has the ability, subject to certain restrictions, to demand registration of its shares in order to permit unrestricted sales of those shares. On February 20, 2013, pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, Tontine delivered a request to the Company for registration of all of its shares of IES common stock, and on February 21, 2013, the Company filed the Shelf Registration Statement to register Tontine's shares. The Shelf Registration Statement was declared effective by the SEC on June 18, 2013. As long as the Shelf Registration remains effective, Tontine has the ability to resell any or all of its shares from time to time in one or more offerings, as described in the Shelf Registration Statement and in any prospectus supplement filed in connection with an offering pursuant to the Shelf Registration Statement.

Should Tontine sell or exchange all or a portion of its position in IES, a change in ownership could occur. A change in ownership, as defined by Internal Revenue Code Section 382, could reduce the availability of net operating losses ("NOLs") for federal and state income tax purposes. On January 28, 2013, the Company implemented a tax benefit protection plan (the "NOL Rights Plan") that is designed to deter an acquisition of the Company's stock in excess of a threshold amount that could trigger a change of control within the meaning of Internal Revenue Code Section 382. The NOL Rights Plan was filed as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on January 28, 2013 and any description thereof is qualified in its entirety by the terms of the NOL Rights Plan. There can be no assurance that the NOL Rights Plan will be effective in deterring a change of control or protecting the NOLs. Furthermore, a change in control would trigger the change of control provisions in a number of our material agreements, including our 2012 Credit Facility, bonding agreements with our sureties and certain employment contracts with certain officers and employees of the Company.

3. STRATEGIC ACTIONS

The 2011 Restructuring Plan

In the second quarter of our 2011 fiscal year, we began a restructuring program (the "2011 Restructuring Plan") that was designed to consolidate operations within our Commercial & Industrial business. Pursuant to the 2011 Restructuring Plan, we began the closure of certain underperforming facilities within our Commercial & Industrial operations. The 2011 Restructuring Plan was a key element of our commitment to return the Company to profitability.

The facilities directly affected by the 2011 Restructuring Plan were in several locations throughout the country, including Arizona, Florida, Iowa, Massachusetts, Louisiana, Nevada and Texas. These facilities were selected due to business prospects at that time and the extended time frame needed to return the facilities to a profitable position. Closure costs associated with the 2011 Restructuring Plan included equipment and facility lease termination expenses, incremental management consulting expenses and severance costs for employees. The Company is in the final stages of winding down these facilities. As part of our restructuring charges reported within discontinued operations for our Commercial & Industrial segment we recognized \$(4) and \$(58) in severance reversals, \$63 and \$951 in consulting services, and zero and \$124 in costs related to lease terminations for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)**

The 2011 Restructuring Plan pertained only to our Commercial & Industrial segment. The following table summarizes the activities related to our restructuring activities by component:

	Severance Charges	Consulting Charges	Lease Termination & Other Charges	Total
Restructuring liability at September 30, 2012	\$ 201	\$ 10	\$ 329	\$ 539
Restructuring charges (reversals) incurred	(4)	63		59
Cash payments made	(22)	(73)	(147)	(242)
Restructuring liability at June 30, 2013	\$ 175	\$	\$ 182	\$ 356

4. DEBT

Debt consists of the following:

	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
Tontine Term Loan, due May 15, 2013, bearing interest at 11.00%	\$	\$ 10,000
Wells Fargo Term Loan, paid in installments thru Feb 12, 2015, bearing interest at 6% + 3 Month LIBOR	4,167	
Insurance Financing Agreements, bearing interest between 1.99% and 2.75%	601	196
Capital leases and other	97	284
Total debt	4,865	10,480
Less Short-term debt and current maturities of long-term debt	(3,198)	(10,456)
Total long-term debt	\$ 1,667	\$ 24

Future payments on debt at June 30, 2013 are as follows:

	Capital Leases and Other	Insurance Financing	Term Debt	Total
2013	79	601	625	1,305
2014	26		2,500	2,526
2015			1,042	1,042
2016				
Thereafter				
Less: Imputed Interest	(8)			(8)

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Total	\$	97	\$	601	\$	4,167	\$	4,865
-------	----	----	----	-----	----	-------	----	-------

For the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we incurred interest expense of \$372 and \$524, respectively. For the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we incurred interest expense of \$1,425 and \$1,612, respectively.

The 2012 Credit Facility

On August 9, 2012, we entered into a Credit and Security Agreement (the *Credit Agreement*), for a \$30,000 revolving credit facility (the *2012 Credit Facility*) with Wells Fargo. The 2012 Credit Facility originally matured on August 9, 2015, unless earlier terminated. On February 12, 2013, we entered into an amendment of our 2012 Credit Facility with Wells Fargo (the *Amendment*). The Amendment extended the term of the 2012 Credit Facility to August 9, 2016 and added IES Renewable Energy, LLC as a borrower on the 2012 Credit Facility. In addition, pursuant to the Amendment, Wells Fargo provided the Company with a \$5,000 term loan (the *Wells Fargo Term Loan*). The Credit Agreement was filed as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)**

The 2012 Credit Facility contains customary affirmative, negative and financial covenants. The 2012 Credit Facility requires that we maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.0:1.0 at any time that our aggregate amount of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents on hand plus Excess Availability (as defined in the Credit Agreement) is less than \$20,000 or Excess Availability is less than \$7,500.

Borrowings under the 2012 Credit Facility may not exceed a borrowing base that is determined monthly by our lenders based on available collateral, primarily certain accounts receivables and inventories. Under the terms of the 2012 Credit Facility, amounts outstanding other than amounts outstanding on the Wells Fargo Term Loan bear interest at a per annum rate equal to a Daily Three Month LIBOR (as defined in the Credit Agreement), plus an interest rate margin, which is determined quarterly, based on the following thresholds:

Level	Thresholds	Interest Rate Margin
I	Liquidity £ \$20,000 at any time during the period; or Excess Availability £ \$7,500 at any time during the period; or Fixed charge coverage ratio < 1.0:1.0	4.00 percentage points
II	Liquidity > \$20,000 at all times during the period; and Liquidity £ \$30,000 at any time during the period; and Excess Availability \$7,500; and Fixed charge coverage ratio ³ 1.0:1.0	3.50 percentage points
III	Liquidity > \$30,000 at all times during the period	3.00 percentage points

While borrowings under the Wells Fargo Term Loan bear interest at a per annum rate equal to Daily Three Month LIBOR plus 6.00%, the Company and Wells Fargo entered into an interest rate swap agreement on March 1, 2013, whereby the Company has caused the interest rate for borrowings under the Wells Fargo Term Loan to be fixed at 7.00% per annum. Interest is payable in monthly installments over a 24-month period. The Company may prepay the Wells Fargo Term Loan in part or in whole prior to its stated maturity upon the payment of the outstanding principal amount, accrued but unpaid interest and prepayment fees.

In addition, under the 2012 Credit Facility, we are charged monthly in arrears for (1) an unused commitment fee of 0.50% per annum, (2) a collateral monitoring fee ranging from \$1 to \$2, based on the then-applicable interest rate margin, (3) a letter of credit fee based on the then-applicable interest rate margin and (4) certain other fees and charges as specified in the Credit Agreement.

The 2012 Credit Facility is guaranteed by our subsidiaries and secured by first priority liens on substantially all of our subsidiaries existing and future acquired assets, exclusive of collateral provided to our surety providers. The 2012 Credit Facility also restricts us from paying cash dividends and places limitations on our ability to repurchase our common stock. On February 13, 2013, we repaid the remaining \$10,000 of principal on the Tontine Term Loan plus accrued interest with existing cash on hand and proceeds from the Wells Fargo Term Loan.

At June 30, 2013, we had \$14,868 available to us under the 2012 Credit Facility, \$7,052 in outstanding letters of credit with Wells Fargo and no outstanding borrowings outside the Wells Fargo Term Loan. The terms surrounding the 2012 Credit Facility agreement with Wells Fargo require that we cash collateralize 100% of our letter of credit balance. As such, we have \$7,052 classified as restricted cash within the Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2013.

At June 30, 2013, we were subject to the financial covenant under the 2012 Credit Facility requiring that we maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.0:1.0 at any time that our aggregate amount of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents on hand plus Excess Availability is less than \$20,000 or Excess Availability is less than \$7,500. As of June 30, 2013, our aggregate amount of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents on hand plus Excess Availability was in excess of \$20,000 and Excess Availability was in excess of \$7,500; had we not met these thresholds at June 30, 2013, we would not have met the required 1.0:1.0 fixed charge coverage ratio test.

While we expect to meet our financial covenants, in the event that we are not able to meet the covenants of our 2012 Credit Facility in the future and are unsuccessful in obtaining a waiver from our lenders, the Company expects to have adequate cash on hand to provide sufficient cash for ongoing operations.

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)***The 2006 Revolving Credit Facility*

On May 12, 2006, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the *Loan and Security Agreement*), for a revolving credit facility (the *2006 Credit Facility*) with Bank of America, N.A. and certain other lenders.

Under the terms of the amended 2006 Credit Facility, the size of the facility was reduced to \$40,000 and the maturity date was November 12, 2012. On August 9, 2012, the 2006 Credit Facility was replaced by the 2012 Credit Facility. Under the terms of the amended 2006 Credit Facility, we were required to cash collateralize all of our letters of credit issued by the banks. The cash collateral was added to the borrowing base calculation at 100% throughout the term of the agreement. The 2006 Credit Facility required that we maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.0:1.0 at any time that our aggregate amount of unrestricted cash on hand plus availability was less than \$25,000 and, thereafter, until such time as our aggregate amount of unrestricted cash on hand plus availability had been at least \$25,000 for a period of 60 consecutive days. The amended Agreement also called for cost of borrowings of 4.0% over LIBOR per annum. Cost for letters of credit was the same as borrowings and also included a 25 basis point fronting fee. All other terms and conditions remained unchanged. In connection with the amendment, we incurred an amendment fee of \$60 which, together with unamortized balance of the prior amendment was amortized using the straight line method through August 30, 2012.

The 2006 Credit Facility was guaranteed by our subsidiaries and secured by first priority liens on substantially all of our subsidiaries' existing and future acquired assets, exclusive of collateral provided to our surety providers. The 2006 Credit Facility contained customary affirmative, negative and financial covenants. The 2006 Credit Facility also restricted us from paying cash dividends and placed limitations on our ability to repurchase our common stock.

Borrowings under the 2006 Credit Facility could not exceed a borrowing base that was determined monthly by our lenders based on available collateral, primarily certain accounts receivables and inventories. Under the terms of the 2006 Credit Facility in effect as of August 30, 2012, interest for loans and letter of credit fees was based on our Total Liquidity, which is calculated for any given period as the sum of average daily availability for such period plus average daily unrestricted cash on hand for such period as follows:

Total Liquidity	Annual Interest Rate for Loans	Annual Interest Rate for Letters of Credit
Greater than or equal to \$60,000	LIBOR plus 3.00% or Base Rate plus 1.00%	3.00% plus 0.25% fronting fee
Greater than \$40,000 and less than \$60,000	LIBOR plus 3.25% or Base Rate plus 1.25%	3.25% plus 0.25% fronting fee
Less than or equal to \$40,000	LIBOR plus 3.50% or Base Rate plus 1.50%	3.50% plus 0.25% fronting fee

For the nine months ended June 30, 2012, we paid no interest for loans under the 2006 Credit Facility and had a weighted average interest rate, including fronting fees, of 3.75% for letters of credit. In addition, we were charged monthly in arrears (1) an unused commitment fee of 0.50%, and (2) certain other fees and charges as specified in the Loan and Security Agreement, as amended.

As of August 9, 2012, we were subject to the financial covenant under the 2006 Credit Facility requiring that we maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.0:1.0 at any time that our aggregate amount of unrestricted cash on hand plus availability was less than \$25,000 and, thereafter, until such time as our aggregate amount of unrestricted cash on hand plus availability has been at least \$25,000 for a period of 60 consecutive days. As of August 9, 2012, our Total Liquidity was in excess of \$25,000.

The Tontine Term Loan

On December 12, 2007, we entered into the Tontine Term Loan, a \$25,000 senior subordinated loan agreement, with Tontine, which the Company terminated and prepaid in full subsequent to the first quarter of fiscal 2013, as further described below.

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)**

The Tontine Term Loan bore interest at 11.0% per annum and was due on May 15, 2013. Interest was payable quarterly in cash or in-kind at our option. Any interest paid in-kind would bear interest at 11.0% in addition to the loan principal. The Tontine Term Loan was subordinated to the 2012 Credit Facility. The Tontine Term Loan was an unsecured obligation of the Company and its subsidiary borrowers and contained no financial covenants or restrictions on dividends or distributions to stockholders. The Tontine Term Loan was amended on August 9, 2012 in connection with the Company entering into the 2012 Credit Facility. The amendment did not materially impact the Company's obligations under the Tontine Term Loan.

On April 30, 2010, we prepaid \$15,000 of principal on the Tontine Term Loan. On May 1, 2010, Tontine assigned the Tontine Term Loan to Tontine Capital Overseas Master Fund II, L.P, also a related party. Pursuant to its terms, we were permitted to repay the Tontine Term Loan at any time prior to the maturity date at par, plus accrued interest without penalty within the restrictions of the 2012 Credit Facility. On February 13, 2013, we repaid the remaining \$10,000 of principal on the Tontine Term Loan, plus accrued interest, with existing cash on hand and proceeds from the Wells Fargo Term Loan.

Capital Lease

The Company leases certain equipment under agreements, which are classified as capital leases and included in property, plant and equipment. Amortization of this equipment for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$46 and \$137, respectively.

5. PER SHARE INFORMATION

Basic earnings per share is calculated as income (loss) available to common stockholders, divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. If the effect is dilutive, participating securities are included in the computation of basic earnings per share. Our participating securities do not have a contractual obligation to share in the losses in any given period. As a result, these participating securities will not be allocated any losses in the periods of net losses, but will be allocated income in the periods of net income using the two-class method.

The following table reconciles the components of the basic and diluted income (loss) per share for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
Numerator:		
Net loss from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders	\$ (725)	\$ (1,213)
Net loss from continuing operations attributable to restricted shareholders	\$	\$
Net loss from continuing operations	\$ (725)	\$ (1,213)
Net loss from discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders	\$ (413)	\$ (1,963)
Net loss from discontinued operations attributable to restricted shareholders	\$	\$
Net loss from discontinued operations	\$ (413)	\$ (1,963)

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Net loss attributable to common shareholders	\$ (1,138)	\$ (3,176)
Net loss attributable to restricted shareholders	\$	\$
Net loss	\$ (1,138)	\$ (3,176)
Denominator:		
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	14,937,434	14,642,293
Effect of dilutive stock options and non-vested restricted stock		
Weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding diluted	14,937,434	14,642,293

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)**

Basic loss per share:		
Basic loss per share from continuing operations	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.08)
Basic loss per share from discontinued operations	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.14)
Basic loss per share	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.22)
Diluted loss per share:		
Diluted loss per share from continuing operations	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.08)
Diluted loss per share from discontinued operations	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.14)
Diluted loss per share	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.22)

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
Numerator:		
Net loss from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders	\$ (1,034)	\$ (2,208)
Net loss from continuing operations attributable to restricted shareholders	\$	\$
Net loss from continuing operations	\$ (1,034)	\$ (2,208)
Net loss from discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders	\$ (697)	\$ (8,121)
Net loss from discontinued operations attributable to restricted shareholders	\$	\$
Net loss from discontinued operations	\$ (697)	\$ (8,121)
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	\$ (1,731)	\$ (10,329)
Net loss attributable to restricted shareholders	\$	\$
Net loss	\$ (1,731)	\$ (10,329)
Denominator:		
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	14,882,687	14,616,513
Effect of dilutive stock options and non-vested restricted stock		
Weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding diluted	14,882,687	14,616,513
Basic loss per share:		
Basic loss per share from continuing operations	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.15)
Basic loss per share from discontinued operations	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.56)
Basic loss per share	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.71)
Diluted loss per share:		
Diluted loss per share from continuing operations	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.15)
Diluted loss per share from discontinued operations	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.56)
Diluted loss per share	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.71)

6. OPERATING SEGMENTS

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

We manage and measure performance of our business in three distinct operating segments: Communications, Residential and Commercial & Industrial. These segments are reflective of how the Company's Chief Operating Decision Maker (CODM) reviews operating results for the purposes of allocating resources and assessing performance. The Company's CODM is its Chief Executive Officer. The Communications segment is a nationwide provider of products and services for mission critical infrastructure, such as

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)**

data centers, of large corporations. The Residential segment is a regional provider of electrical installation services for single-family housing and multi-family apartment complexes. The Commercial & Industrial segment provides electrical design, construction, and maintenance services to the commercial and industrial markets in various regional markets and nationwide in certain areas of expertise, such as the power infrastructure market.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. We evaluate performance based on income from operations of the respective business units prior to the allocation of Corporate office expenses. Transactions between segments are eliminated in consolidation. Our Corporate office provides general and administrative as well as support services to our three operating segments. Management allocates costs between segments for selling, general and administrative expenses and depreciation expense.

Segment information for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013				
	Communications	Residential	Commercial & Industrial	Corporate	Total
Revenues	\$ 24,161	\$ 44,511	\$ 52,880	\$	\$ 121,552
Cost of services	19,737	37,400	48,762		105,899
Gross profit	4,424	7,111	4,118		15,653
Selling, general and administrative	3,373	6,812	3,600	2,791	16,576
Loss (gain) on sale of assets			(16)		(16)
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 1,051	\$ 299	\$ 534	\$ (2,791)	\$ (907)
Other data:					
Depreciation and amortization expense	\$ 94	\$ 340	\$ 66	\$ 295	\$ 795
Capital expenditures	117	135	96		348
Total assets	\$ 22,058	\$ 40,450	\$ 54,237	\$ 34,728	\$ 151,473

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012				
	Communications	Residential	Commercial & Industrial	Corporate	Total
Revenues	\$ 31,068	\$ 35,232	\$ 49,828	\$	\$ 116,128
Cost of services	26,839	29,655	45,378		101,872
Gross profit	4,229	5,577	4,450		14,256
Selling, general and administrative	4,219	5,163	3,788	1,786	14,956
Loss (gain) on sale of assets		1	(10)		(9)
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 10	\$ 413	\$ 672	\$ (1,786)	\$ (691)
Other data:					
Depreciation and amortization expense	\$ 66	\$ 99	\$ 50	\$ 303	\$ 518

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Capital expenditures	\$	\$ 286	\$ 49	\$ 15	\$ 350
Total assets	\$ 34,240	\$ 29,201	\$ 63,465	\$ 45,199	\$ 172,105

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)**

	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2013				
	Communications	Residential	Commercial & Industrial	Corporate	Total
Revenues	\$ 96,085	\$ 119,860	\$ 154,865	\$	\$ 370,810
Cost of services	78,599	99,863	142,720		321,182
Gross profit	17,486	19,997	12,145		49,628
Selling, general and administrative	10,232	18,452	10,945	8,475	48,104
Loss (gain) on sale of assets		(21)	(35)		(56)
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 7,254	\$ 1,566	\$ 1,235	\$ (8,475)	\$ 1,580
Other data:					
Depreciation and amortization expense	\$ 273	\$ 607	\$ 182	\$ 894	\$ 1,956
Capital expenditures	223	185	247		655
Total assets	\$ 22,058	\$ 40,450	\$ 54,237	\$ 34,728	\$ 151,473

	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012				
	Communications	Residential	Commercial & Industrial	Corporate	Total
Revenues	\$ 84,660	\$ 94,132	\$ 153,942	\$	\$ 332,734
Cost of services	72,809	79,376	139,311		291,496
Gross profit	11,851	14,756	14,631		41,238
Selling, general and administrative	10,094	14,109	12,396	5,449	42,048
Loss (gain) on sale of assets	(61)	8	(112)		(165)
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 1,818	\$ 639	\$ 2,347	\$ (5,449)	\$ (645)
Other data:					
Depreciation and amortization expense	\$ 183	\$ 271	\$ 190	\$ 893	\$ 1,537
Capital expenditures	\$ 260	\$ 554	\$ 54	\$ 876	\$ 1,744
Total assets	\$ 34,240	\$ 29,201	\$ 63,465	\$ 45,199	\$ 172,105

7. STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

The 2006 Equity Incentive Plan became effective on May 12, 2006 (as amended, the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan). The 2006 Equity Incentive Plan provides for grants of stock options as well as grants of stock, including restricted stock. We have approximately 1.0 million shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan.

Treasury Stock

During the nine months ended June 30, 2013, we repurchased 74,760 common shares from our employees to satisfy minimum tax withholding requirements upon the vesting of restricted stock issued under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. We issued 203,206 shares out of treasury stock under our share-based compensation programs. Of these shares issued, 48,706 shares were to satisfy phantom stock unit vestings for two members of the Board of Directors whose units vested upon their respective departures from the Board of Directors.

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

During the nine months ended June 30, 2012, we repurchased 34,578 common shares from our employees to satisfy minimum tax withholding requirements upon the vesting of restricted stock issued under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, and 27,242 unvested shares were forfeited by former employees and returned to treasury stock. We issued 100,000 shares out of treasury stock under our share-based compensation programs.

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)***Restricted Stock*

Restricted Stock Awards:

Fiscal Year	Shares Granted	Weighted Average Fair Value at Date of Grant	Vested	Forfeitures	Shares Outstanding	Expense recognized through June 30, 2013
2008	101,650	\$ 19.17	85,750	15,900		\$ 1,779
2009	185,100	\$ 8.71	146,400	38,700		\$ 1,344
2010	225,486	\$ 3.64	148,047	77,439		\$ 495
2011	320,000	\$ 3.39	161,049	77,205	81,746	\$ 661
2012	107,500	\$ 2.07	33,334		74,166	\$ 123
2013	12,500	\$ 5.00			12,500	\$ 14

During the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we recognized \$275 and \$405, respectively, in compensation expense related to these restricted stock awards. At June 30, 2013, the unamortized compensation cost related to outstanding unvested restricted stock was \$298. We expect to recognize \$92 of this unamortized compensation during the remaining three months of our 2013 fiscal year and \$206 thereafter. A summary of restricted stock awards for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is provided in the table below:

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	2011
Unvested at beginning of year	257,826	376,200	352,086
Granted	12,500	107,500	320,000
Vested	(101,914)	(192,973)	(165,628)
Forfeited		(32,901)	(130,258)
Unvested at end of year	168,412	257,826	376,200

All the restricted shares granted under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (vested or unvested) participate in dividends issued to common shareholders, if any.

Phantom Stock Units

Phantom stock units (PSUs) are primarily granted to the members of the Board of Directors as part of their overall compensation. These PSUs are paid via unrestricted stock grants to each director upon their departure from the Board of Directors. We record compensation expense for the full value of the grant on the date of grant. For the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we recognized \$266 and \$34, respectively in compensation expense related to these grants. Two directors departed the Board of Directors during the nine months ended June 30, 2013, resulting in an immediate vesting of 48,706 PSUs.

From time to time, PSUs are granted to employees. These PSUs are paid via unrestricted stock grants to each employee upon the satisfaction of the grant terms. We record compensation expense for the PSUs granted to employees over the grant vesting period. For the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we recognized \$363 and zero, respectively, in compensation expense related to these grants.

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)***Stock Options*

We utilize a binomial option pricing model to measure the fair value of stock options granted. Our determination of fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to, our expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, the risk-free rate of return, and actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors. The expected life of stock options is not considered under the binomial option pricing model that we utilize. The assumptions used in the fair value method calculation for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 are disclosed in the following table:

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	2011
Weighted average value per option granted during the period	\$ 3.43	\$ N/A	\$ 2.05
Dividends (1)	\$	\$ N/A	\$
Stock price volatility (2)	66.6%	N/A	69.9%
Risk-free rate of return	0.9%	N/A	1.9%
Option term	10.0 years	N/A	10.0 years
Expected life	6.0 years	N/A	6.0 years
Forfeiture rate (3)	10.0%	N/A	0.0%

(1) We do not currently pay dividends on our common stock.

(2) Based upon the Company's historical volatility.

(3) The forfeiture rate for the 2011 options was assumed on the date of grant to be zero based on the limited number of employees who have been awarded stock options. The forfeiture rate for the 2013 options was for a larger number of employees, and based on historical data.

Stock-based compensation expense recognized during the period is based on the value of the portion of the share-based payment awards that is ultimately expected to vest during the period. Stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income is based on awards ultimately expected to vest. We estimate our forfeitures at the time of grant and revise, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

The following table summarizes activity under our stock option plans.

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding, September 30, 2010	158,500	\$ 18.66
Options granted	20,000	3.24
Exercised		
Forfeited and Cancelled	(158,500)	18.66
Outstanding, September 30, 2011	20,000	\$ 3.24
Options granted		
Exercised		
Forfeited and Cancelled		

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Outstanding, September 30, 2012	20,000	\$	3.24
Options granted	150,000		5.76
Exercised			
Forfeited and Cancelled			
Outstanding, June 30, 2013	170,000	\$	5.46

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)**

The following table summarizes options outstanding and exercisable at June 30, 2013:

Range of Exercise Prices	Outstanding as of June 30, 2013	Remaining Contractual Life in Years	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Exercisable as of June 30, 2013	Weighted- Average Exercise Price
\$3.24	20,000	8.05	\$ 3.24	6,667	\$ 3.24
\$5.76	150,000	9.83	\$ 5.76		\$
	170,000		\$ 5.46	6,667	\$ 3.24

Our 2011 options vest over a three-year period at a rate of one-third per year upon the annual anniversary date of the grant. Our 2013 options cliff vest at the end of a two year period ending at the anniversary date of the grant. All options expire ten years from the grant date if they are not exercised. Upon exercise of stock options, it is our policy to first issue shares from treasury stock, then to issue new shares. Unexercised stock options expire July 2021 and May 2023.

During the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we recognized \$49 in compensation expense related to these awards. At June 30, 2013, the unamortized compensation cost related to outstanding unvested stock options was \$439. We expect to recognize \$61 of this unamortized compensation expense during the remaining three months of our 2013 fiscal year, and \$378 thereafter.

The intrinsic value of stock options outstanding and exercisable was \$39 and zero at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The intrinsic value is calculated as the difference between the fair value as of the end of the period and the exercise price of the stock options.

8. SECURITIES AND EQUITY INVESTMENTS*Investment in EnerTech*

In April 2000, we committed to invest up to \$5,000 in EnerTech. As of September 30, 2009, we fulfilled our \$5,000 investment under this commitment. As our investment is 2.21% of the overall ownership in EnerTech at June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, we account for this investment using the cost method of accounting. EnerTech's investment portfolio from time to time results in unrealized losses reflecting a possible, other-than-temporary, impairment of our investment.

The following table presents the reconciliation of the carrying value and unrealized gains to the fair value of the investment in EnerTech as of June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012:

	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
Carrying value	\$ 919	\$ 919
Unrealized gains	128	69
Fair value	\$ 1,047	\$ 988

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

At each reporting date, the Company performs evaluations of impairment for this investment to determine if any unrealized losses are other-than-temporary. This evaluation considers a number of factors including, but not limited to, the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer and management's ability and intent to hold the securities until fair value recovers. The assessment of the ability and intent to hold these securities to recovery focuses on liquidity needs, asset and liability management objectives and securities portfolio objectives. Based on the results of this evaluation, we believe the unrealized gain at June 30, 2013 indicated our investment was not impaired. As of June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, the carrying value of this investment was \$919. See Note 1, *Business* in the Notes to these Consolidated Financial Statements for related disclosures relative to fair value measurements.

In June 2012, we received a distribution from Enertech of \$84, which was applied as a reduction in the carrying value of the investment.

Table of Contents

INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)

On December 31, 2012, EnerTech's general partner, with the consent of the fund's investors, extended the fund through December 31, 2013. The fund will terminate on this date unless extended by the fund's valuation committee. The fund may be extended for another one-year period through December 31, 2014 with the consent of the fund's valuation committee.

9. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

401(k) Plan

In November 1998, we established the Integrated Electrical Services, Inc. 401(k) Retirement Savings Plan (the "401(k) Plan"). All full-time IES employees are eligible to participate on the first day of the month subsequent to completing sixty days of service and attaining age twenty-one. On February 1, 2013, we reinstated the employer match portion of the 401(k) plan. Participants become vested in our matching contributions following three years of service.

Executive Savings Plan

Under the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan adopted on July 1, 2004 (the "Executive Savings Plan"), certain employees are permitted to defer a portion (up to 75%) of their base salary and/or bonus for a Plan Year. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors may, in its sole discretion, credit one or more participants with an employer deferral (contribution) in such amount as the Committee may choose ("Employer Contribution"). The Employer Contribution, if any, may be a fixed dollar amount, a fixed percentage of the participant's compensation, base salary, or bonus, or a matching amount with respect to all or part of the participant's elective deferrals for such plan year, and/or any combination of the foregoing as the Committee may choose.

Post Retirement Benefit Plans

Certain individuals at one of the Company's locations are entitled to receive fixed annual payments that reach a maximum amount, as specified in the related agreements, for a ten year period following retirement or, in some cases, the attainment of 62 years of age. We recognize the unfunded status of the plan as a non-current liability in our Consolidated Balance Sheet. Benefits vest 50% after ten years of service, which increases by 10% per annum until benefits are fully vested after 15 years of service. We had an unfunded benefit liability of \$830 and \$802 recorded as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

10. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair Value Measurement Accounting

Fair value is considered the price to sell an asset, or transfer a liability, between market participants on the measurement date. Fair value measurements assume that the asset or liability is (1) exchanged in an orderly manner, (2) the exchange is in the principal market for that asset or liability, and (3) the market participants are independent, knowledgeable, able and willing to transact an exchange. Fair value accounting and reporting establishes a framework for measuring fair value by creating a hierarchy for observable independent market inputs and unobservable market assumptions and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Considerable judgment is required to interpret the market data used to develop fair value estimates. As such, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized in a current exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methods could have a material effect on the estimated fair value.

We estimate the fair value of our interest rate swap agreement with Wells Fargo to be \$19 at June 30, 2013, using Level 2 inputs, including an estimated market valuation from Wells Fargo Bank.

We estimate the fair value of the contingent consideration to be \$327 at June 30, 2013, using Level 3 inputs, including a discounted revenue projection. The fair value of this contingent liability will vary depending on actual revenues earned.

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)**

Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2013, are summarized in the following table by the type of inputs applicable to the fair value measurements:

	Total Fair Value	Quoted Prices (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable (Level 3)
Executive savings plan assets	\$ 552	\$ 552	\$	\$
Executive savings plan liabilities	(438)	(438)		
Interest rate swap agreement	19		19	
Contingent consideration agreement	(327)			(327)
Total	\$ (194)	\$ 114	\$ 19	\$ (327)

The table below presents a reconciliation of the fair value of our contingent consideration obligation, which uses significant unobservable inputs (level 3) (in thousands).

	Contingent Consideration Agreement	Total
Fair Value at September 2012	\$	\$
Issuances	665	665
Settlements		
Adjustments to Fair Value	(338)	(338)
Fair Value at June 30, 2013	\$ 327	\$ 327

Below is a description of the inputs used to value the assets summarized in the preceding table:

Level 1 Inputs represent unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets exchanged in active markets.

Level 2 Inputs include directly or indirectly observable inputs other than Level 1 inputs such as quoted prices for similar assets exchanged in active or inactive markets; quoted prices for identical assets exchanged in inactive markets; and other inputs that are considered in fair value determinations of the assets.

Level 3 Inputs include unobservable inputs used in the measurement of assets. Management is required to use its own assumptions regarding unobservable inputs because there is little, if any, market activity in the assets or related observable inputs that can be corroborated at the measurement date.

11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES*Legal Matters*

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

From time to time we are a party to various claims, lawsuits and other legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. We maintain various insurance coverages to minimize financial risk associated with these proceedings. None of these proceedings, separately or in the aggregate, are expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. With respect to all such proceedings, we record reserves when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. We expense routine legal costs related to these proceedings as they are incurred.

Table of Contents

INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)

The following is a discussion of our significant legal matters:

Ward Transformer Site

One of our subsidiaries has been identified as one of more than 200 potentially responsible parties (PRPs) with respect to the clean-up of an electric transformer resale and reconditioning facility, known as the Ward Transformer Site, located in Raleigh, North Carolina. The facility built, repaired, reconditioned and sold electric transformers from approximately 1964 to 2005. We did not own or operate the facility but a subsidiary that we acquired in January 1999 is believed to have sent transformers to the facility during the 1990s. During the course of its operation, the facility was contaminated by Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs), which also have been found to have migrated off the site. Based on our investigation to date, there is evidence to support our defense that our subsidiary contributed no PCB contamination to the site.

Four PRPs have commenced clean-up of on-site contaminated soils under an Emergency Removal Action pursuant to a settlement agreement and Administrative Order on Consent entered into between the four PRPs and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in September 2005. We are not a party to that settlement agreement or Order on Consent. In April 2009, two of these PRPs, Carolina Power and Light Company and Consolidation Coal Company, filed suit against us and most of the other PRPs in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina (Western Division) to contribute to the cost of the clean-up.

In addition to the on-site clean-up, the EPA has selected approximately 50 PRPs to which it sent a Special Notice Letter in late 2008 to organize the clean-up of soils off site and address contamination of groundwater and other miscellaneous off-site issues. We were not a recipient of that letter. On January 8, 2013, the EPA held a meeting to discuss potential settlement of its costs associated with the site. The meeting included a number of the defendants, as well as other PRPs not currently in the litigation. The Company was invited to attend this meeting and counsel for the Company attended. The EPA has notified all parties that they must indicate by March 15, 2013 whether they will participate in settlement discussions. This settlement is separate from the 2009 litigation filed by PRPs against the Company and others. The Company notified the EPA that it intends to participate in the settlement discussions. The Company also intends to present to the EPA the evidence developed in litigation to support the argument that the Company did not contribute PCB contamination to the site. The Company tendered a demand for indemnification to the former owner of the acquired corporation that may have transacted business with the facility. As of June 30, 2013, we have not recorded a reserve for this matter, as we believe the likelihood of our responsibility for damages is not probable and a potential range of exposure is not estimable.

Hamilton Wage and Hour

On August 29, 2012, the Company was served with a wage and hour suit seeking class action certification. On December 4, 2012, the Company was served with a second suit, which included the same allegations but different named plaintiffs. On June 24, 2013, the Company was served with a third lawsuit, again alleging the same claims but with different plaintiffs. Each of these cases is among several others filed by Plaintiffs attorney against contractors working in the Port Arthur, Texas Motiva plant on various projects over the last few years. The claims are based on alleged failure to compensate for time spent bussing to and from the plant, donning safety wear and other activities. It does not appear the Company will face significant exposure for any unpaid wages. In a separate earlier case based on the same allegations, a federal district court ruled that the time spent traveling on the busses is not compensable. In early January 2013, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit upheld the district court s ruling finding no liability for wages for time spent bussing into the facility. Our investigation indicates that all other activities alleged either were inapplicable to the Company s employees or took place during times for which the Company s employees were compensated. We have filed responsive pleadings and, following initial discovery, will seek dismissal of the case through summary judgment. As of June 30, 2013, we have not recorded a reserve for this matter, as we believe the likelihood of our responsibility for damages is not probable and a potential range of exposure is not estimable.

Risk-Management

We retain the risk for workers compensation, employer s liability, automobile liability, general liability and employee group health claims, resulting from uninsured deductibles per accident or occurrence which are subject to annual aggregate limits. Our general liability program

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

provides coverage for bodily injury and property damage. Losses up to the deductible amounts are accrued based upon our known claims incurred and an estimate of claims incurred but not reported. As a result, many of our claims are effectively self-insured. Many claims against our insurance are in the form of litigation. At June 30, 2013, we had \$3,858 accrued for insurance liabilities. We are also subject to construction defect liabilities, primarily within our Residential segment. As of June 30, 2013, we had \$543 reserved for these claims.

Table of Contents

INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)

Some of the underwriters of our casualty insurance program require us to post letters of credit as collateral. This is common in the insurance industry. To date, we have not had a situation where an underwriter has had reasonable cause to effect payment under a letter of credit. At June 30, 2013, \$6,852 of our outstanding letters of credit were utilized to collateralize our insurance program.

Surety

Many customers, particularly in connection with new construction, require us to post performance and payment bonds issued by a surety. Those bonds provide a guarantee to the customer that we will perform under the terms of our contract and that we will pay our subcontractors and vendors. If we fail to perform under the terms of our contract or to pay subcontractors and vendors, the customer may demand that the surety make payments or provide services under the bond. We must reimburse the sureties for any expenses or outlays they incur on our behalf. To date, we have not been required to make any reimbursements to our sureties for bond-related costs.

As is common in the surety industry, sureties issue bonds on a project-by-project basis and can decline to issue bonds at any time. We believe that our relationships with our sureties will allow us to provide surety bonds as they are required. However, current market conditions, as well as changes in our sureties' assessment of our operating and financial risk, could cause our sureties to decline to issue bonds for our work. If our sureties decline to issue bonds for our work, our alternatives would include posting other forms of collateral for project performance, such as letters of credit or cash, seeking bonding capacity from other sureties, or engaging in more projects that do not require surety bonds. In addition, if we are awarded a project for which a surety bond is required but we are unable to obtain a surety bond, the result can be a claim for damages by the customer for the costs of replacing us with another contractor.

As of June 30, 2013, the estimated cost to complete our bonded projects was approximately \$49,522. We evaluate our bonding requirements on a regular basis, including the terms offered by our sureties. We believe the bonding capacity presently provided by our current sureties is adequate for our current operations and will be adequate for our operations for the foreseeable future. As of June 30, 2013, we had cash totaling \$999 to collateralize our obligations to certain of our previous sureties (as is included in Other Non-Current Assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheet). Posting letters of credit in favor of our sureties reduces the borrowing availability under our 2012 Credit Facility.

On May 7, 2013, the Company and certain of its current and future subsidiaries and affiliates entered into a new agreement of indemnity (the Surety Agreement) with certain entities affiliated with Suremerica Surety Underwriting Services, LLC (Suremerica). Pursuant to the Surety Agreement, we have agreed to assign to Suremerica, among other things, as collateral to secure our obligations under the Surety Agreement, our rights, title and interest in, and all accounts receivable and related proceeds arising pursuant to, any contract bonded by Suremerica on our behalf. Further, under the Surety Agreement, we have also agreed that, upon written demand, we will deposit with Suremerica, as additional collateral, an amount determined by Suremerica to be sufficient to discharge any claim made against Suremerica on a bond issued on our behalf.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

Some of our customers and vendors require us to post letters of credit as a means of guaranteeing performance under our contracts and ensuring payment by us to subcontractors and vendors. If our customer has reasonable cause to effect payment under a letter of credit, we would be required to reimburse our creditor for the letter of credit. At June 30, 2013, \$200 of our outstanding letters of credit were to collateralize our vendors.

On January 9, 2012, we entered into a settlement agreement with regard to \$2,000 of collateral held by a surety who previously issued construction payment and performance bonds for us. The agreement called for a total settlement of \$2,200 to be paid in monthly installments through February 2013. We received installments totaling \$175 through April 2012; however, the surety then failed to make any payments from April 2012 to August 2012. We filed a motion to enter judgment on the note, and then on August 7, 2012, reached a new payment agreement with the surety. The amended agreement provided for additional collateral and called for the total settlement amount of \$2,025 (\$2,200 less the \$175 already received) to be paid in monthly installments beginning September 30, 2012 through July 2014 with an interest rate of 12%. The surety subsequently negotiated a postponement of the initial installment and began payments with \$50 tendered on October 31, 2012 and a second payment of \$50 tendered in early December 2012. The surety then requested another postponement and amendment to the payment

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

agreement to modify payment dates based on the production rates of the surety's investment in a coal mining operation. On January 2, 2013, the Company tendered a notice of default to the

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)**

surety and its coal mining operations, which make up the additional collateral negotiated in the first amendment to the settlement agreement. Given the surety's failure to make the payments due on December 31, 2012, and January 31, 2013, and its continued attempts to restructure the underlying settlement agreement, the Company concluded the collection of the receivable was not probable as of December 31, 2012, and recorded a reserve in the amount \$1,725, bringing the receivable's net carrying value to zero. The charge was recorded as other expense within our Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income and the reserve was recorded within our current assets within the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

On March 8, 2013, the Company issued a notice of acceleration of the promissory notes signed by the two mining companies which formed the collateral supporting the amended payment agreement, and then filed suit a week later to enforce the acceleration. On April 17, 2013, the Company filed the necessary documents to domesticate the agreed judgment against the surety in Virginia. Following these two actions, the surety proposed a new payment agreement. After negotiations, the Company entered an amended agreement with all defendants in exchange for payment of \$300, which was received on June 24, 2013. The amended agreement provides for additional monthly installments, with final payment due June 30, 2014. The first two such installments, totaling in aggregate \$100, were received in full following June 30, 2013. If the defendants default on any further installments under the agreement, the Company will move forward with the collection activities that led to the June amendment and payment. The extent of recovery of the remaining balance, if any, cannot be determined. However, the possibility of a partial or full recovery exists as IES aggressively pursues the collection of the collateral. We have classified the \$300 received in June 2013 as other income within our Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income. Additionally, any subsequent recovery will be included in other income.

Between October 2004 and September 2005, we sold all or substantially all of the assets of certain of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. As these sales were assets sales, rather than stock sales, we may be required to fulfill obligations that were assigned or sold to others, if the purchaser is unwilling or unable to perform the transferred liabilities. If this were to occur, we would seek reimbursement from the purchasers. These potential liabilities will continue to diminish over time. To date, we have not been required to perform on any projects sold under this divestiture program.

From time to time, we may enter into firm purchase commitments for materials such as copper or aluminum wire which we expect to use in the ordinary course of business. These commitments are typically for terms less than one year and require us to buy minimum quantities of materials at specific intervals at a fixed price over the term. As of June 30, 2013, we had no such open purchase commitments.

12. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

In 2011, we initiated the closure of all or portions of our Commercial & Industrial and Communications facilities in Arizona, Florida, Iowa, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Nevada and Texas. The closure of these facilities was a key aspect of our commitment to return the Company to profitability and selected based on their business prospects at that time and the extended time frame needed to return the facilities to a profitable position. We substantially concluded the closure of these facilities as of September 30, 2012. Results from operations of these facilities for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are presented in our Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income as discontinued operations.

The components of the results of discontinued operations for these facilities are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
Revenues	\$ 331	\$ 3,172
Cost of services	467	4,449

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Gross profit	(136)	(1,277)
Selling, general and administrative	87	569
(Gain) on sale of assets		(3)
Asset Impairment	200	
Restructuring charge	(2)	153
Loss from discontinued operations	(421)	(1,996)
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(8)	(33)
Net loss from discontinued operations	\$ (413)	\$ (1,963)

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)**

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
Revenues	\$ 1,393	\$ 14,667
Cost of services	1,391	19,430
Gross profit	2	(4,763)
Selling, general and administrative	455	2,074
(Gain) loss on sale of assets	(1)	83
Asset Impairment	200	
Restructuring charge	59	1,016
Loss from discontinued operations	(711)	(7,936)
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(14)	185
Net loss from discontinued operations	\$ (697)	\$ (8,121)

Included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 are the following major classes of assets and liabilities associated with discontinued operations:

	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
Assets of discontinued operations	\$ 2,434	\$ 6,127
Liabilities of discontinued operations	\$ 864	\$ 3,005

13. BUSINESS COMBINATION*Acquisition of Assets from the Acro Group*

On February 8, 2013, IES Renewable Energy, LLC (IES Renewable), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement with a group of entities operating under the name of the Acro Group: Residential Renewable Technologies, Inc., Energy Efficiency Solar, Inc. and Lonestar Renewable Technologies Acquisition Corp. (collectively, the Acro Group). Pursuant to the terms of the Asset Purchase Agreement, the Company agreed to acquire certain assets in connection with the Acro Group s turn-key residential solar integration business (the Acquired Assets). The Acquired Assets include, but are not limited to, assets relating to the Acro Group s solar installation sales and marketing platform and the backlog of contracts entered into by the Acro Group with residential solar customers, which provide for the payment of sales and marketing fees in connection with the sale, installation and third-party financing of residential solar equipment. The transaction closed on February 15, 2013 (the Closing Date).

Following consummation of the transaction, IES Residential, Inc. (IES Residential), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, began offering full-service residential solar integration services, including design, procurement, permitting, installation, financing services through third parties and warranty services for residential customers. IES Residential had previously provided solar installation subcontracting services to the Acro Group, and as of February 8, 2013, was owed \$3,800 for subcontracting services provided up to that date (such balance, as of the day prior to the Closing Date, the Accounts Receivable Balance).

Total consideration received by the Acro Group for the Acquired Assets consists of (i) IES Residential s release of the Accounts Receivable Balance, (ii) payment by IES Renewable to the Acro Group of a percentage of future gross revenue generated from the Acquired Assets in an amount not to exceed \$2,000 over the 12-month period beginning the first full month following the Closing Date, subject to certain reductions as

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

described in the Asset Purchase Agreement, and (iii) \$828 representing amounts paid by IES Residential, to the Acro Group to fund certain of its operating expenses between January 4, 2013 and the Closing Date.

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)****Purchase Price and Fair Value of Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed**

The Company accounted for the Transaction under the acquisition method of accounting, which requires recording assets and liabilities at fair value (Level 3). These level 3 fair value assessments were measured based on a third party valuation, utilizing methodologies including discounted cash flow, replacement cost, and excess earnings, which are subject to finalization. The total estimated purchase price was allocated to the tangible assets and separately identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their preliminary estimated fair values on the Closing Date.

The valuations derived from estimated fair value assessments and assumptions used by management are preliminary. While management believes that its preliminary estimates and assumptions underlying the valuations are reasonable, different estimates and assumptions could result in different values being assigned to individual assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The final valuations are pending appraisal valuations of certain tangible and intangible assets acquired, such as property, plant and equipment and technology assets, which may result in adjustments to the preliminary amounts recorded and goodwill, which could be material. The preliminary valuation on the Closing Date was as follows:

(In thousands, except exchange ratio and per share amounts)

IES receivable from the Acro Group as of December 31, 2012 (a)	\$ 2,263
IES deferred cost recorded in connection with transactions with Acro Group between January 1, 2013 and February 15, 2013	1,042
Cash purchase consideration	828
Fair value of contingent consideration (b)	665
Total consideration transferred	\$ 4,798

- (a) As of the Closing Date, IES had a receivable from the Acro Group from past transactions between the two companies. This receivable was forgiven by IES as a portion of the consideration paid to acquire the Acro Group assets and liabilities.
- (b) The contingent consideration is based on a formula of the Acro Group's revenue for the first 12 months after February 15, 2013, with a maximum and minimum amount payable by IES.

Total estimate of consideration expected to be transferred	\$ 4,798
Allocation to fair value of net assets acquired and liabilities assumed:	
Trade receivables	\$ 318
Prepaid commissions	46
Inventory	16
Property and equipment	40
Order backlog	350
Covenant not-to-complete	140
Developed technology	400
Goodwill (c)	4,184
Vacation payable	(26)
Customer incentive payable	(70)

Deferred revenue	(600)
------------------	-------

Fair Value of Net Assets Acquired:	\$ 4,798
---	----------

- (c) The goodwill is attributable to the workforce of the acquired business and other intangibles that do not qualify for separate recognition.

Table of Contents

INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)

The Acro Group Results of Operations

From February 15, 2013 through June 30, 2013, the Company's acquisition of the assets of the Acro Group contributed \$607 of revenue and a net loss of \$628, inclusive of \$329 of amortization related to intangible assets acquired and \$339 of other income due to a reduction to the fair value of contingent consideration. Intangible assets acquired are being amortized over the average useful life of 2.5 years. These amounts are included in the Company's accompanying statement of comprehensive income for the period ended June 30, 2013. The results of the acquired assets of the Acro Group are included in the Residential segment.

Supplemental Pro Forma Financial Information

The following unaudited pro forma information gives effect to the transaction as if it had occurred on October 1, 2011. The unaudited pro forma financial information reflects certain adjustments related to the acquisition, such as (1) to record incremental depreciation expense in connection with fair value adjustments to property and equipment, (2) incremental amortization expense in connection with recording acquired identifiable intangible assets at fair value, (3) to eliminate the impact of historical transactions between IES and the Acro Group that would have been treated as intercompany transactions had the companies been consolidated, and (4) to record the related tax effects. The unaudited pro forma financial information also includes the effect of certain non-recurring items as of October 1, 2011 such as \$187 in acquisition related costs incurred during the nine months ended June 30, 2013. The unaudited pro forma financial statements include these acquisition related costs as if they had been incurred on October 1, 2011. The unaudited pro forma financial information is for illustrative purposes only and should not be relied upon as being indicative of the historical results that would have been obtained if the transaction had actually occurred on that date, nor the results of operations in the future.

Table of Contents**INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)**

The supplemental pro forma results of operations for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, as if the assets of the Acro Group had been acquired on October 1, 2011, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012	Unaudited Nine Months Ended June 30, 2013	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012
Revenues	\$ 122	\$ 118	\$ 374	\$ 339
Net loss from continuing operations	\$ (515)	\$ (1,978)	\$ (2,915)	\$ (6,439)

14. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

On March 1, 2013, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement with Wells Fargo in conjunction with our Wells Fargo Term Loan to hedge interest rate risk. Borrowings under the Wells Fargo Term Loan bear interest at a per annum rate equal to Daily Three Month LIBOR plus 6.00%. Our interest rate swap agreement bears interest of 1.00% less the per annum rate equal to Daily Three Month LIBOR, thus mitigating the interest rate risk associated with the Daily Three Month LIBOR and ensuring a fixed rate of 7.00% per annum for borrowings under the Wells Fargo Term Loan.

Our derivative instrument is held at fair value on our consolidated balance sheet. Related cash flows are recorded as operating activities on the consolidated statement of cash flows. Gains and losses related to this derivative instrument will be recognized within other comprehensive income. As of June 30, 2013, the interest rate swap agreement was 100% effective, as interest for both the Wells Fargo Term Loan and interest rate swap agreement is calculated utilizing the Daily Three Month LIBOR rate.

The following table presents the gross fair value of our interest rate swap derivative, and the line items where it appears on our consolidated balance sheet:

	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
Assets		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 19	\$
Stockholders equity		
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 19	\$

15. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**The MISCOR Transaction**

On March 13, 2013, the Company entered into the Merger Agreement with MISCOR pursuant to which IES and MISCOR agreed that, subject to the satisfaction of certain closing conditions (including the approval by each company's stockholders), MISCOR will merge with and into IES as a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of IES. The transaction is currently expected to close in September 2013. The Merger Agreement provides for the exchange of MISCOR common stock for the right to receive IES common stock, cash, or IES common stock and cash. However, the maximum cash consideration paid to MISCOR shareholders is limited to 50% of the total merger consideration.

Table of Contents

INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(All Amounts in Thousands Except Share Amounts)

Upon completion of the Merger, the net debt of MISCOR (MISCOR debt), as defined in the Merger Agreement, will be retired. Total merger consideration payable to MISCOR shareholders, as defined within the Merger Agreement, is \$24,000, less MISCOR debt. However, the Merger Agreement provides for a maximum and minimum IES stock value (collectively the Collar). To the extent the value ascribed to IES common stock falls outside the Collar, the merger consideration, as defined within the Merger Agreement, will not equal \$24,000. Additionally, the Merger Agreement ascribes certain values to IES common stock, and the MISCOR debt, which may not be equal to the values at closing. As such, total merger consideration will not equal the merger consideration as defined within the Merger Agreement. The differences between the values of IES common stock and MISCOR debt, as measured by the Merger Agreement, and as of the closing date will impact the final merger consideration as follows:

MISCOR debt

If MISCOR debt as measured by the Merger Agreement is higher than MISCOR debt as of the closing date, merger consideration will decrease; or

If MISCOR debt as measured by the Merger Agreement is lower than MISCOR debt as of the closing date, merger consideration will increase.

Collar

If IES stock value, as defined within the Merger Agreement, is higher than the Collar, merger consideration will increase; or

If IES stock value, as defined within the Merger Agreement, is lower than the Collar, merger consideration will decrease.

IES common stock

If IES stock value, as defined within the Merger Agreement, is greater than the stock value upon closing, merger consideration will decrease; or

If IES stock value, as defined within the Merger Agreement, is less than the stock value upon closing, merger consideration will increase.

Commitment Letter for Acquisition Term Loan

IES obligation to complete the Merger is not conditioned upon its obtaining financing. The Company expects, however, to obtain financing for some or all of the cash component of the merger consideration, the repayment of outstanding MISCOR debt and the transaction expenses associated with the Merger (the Merger Payments). On April 10, 2013, the Company entered into a commitment letter with Wells Fargo, pursuant to which Wells Fargo committed to provide the Company, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, a new amortizing term loan in a principal amount of up to \$14,000 (as amended on July 10, 2013, the Acquisition Term Loan) under the 2012 Credit Facility in order to finance the Merger Payments. For a description of the 2012 Credit Facility, please see Note 4, Debt *The Revolving Credit Facility* in the Notes to these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Upon entering into the commitment letter, IES incurred an amendment fee in the amount of \$37.5. The Acquisition Term Loan, which will mature on August 9, 2016, will be fully reserved from availability under the 2012 Credit Facility and will be subject to principal reduction on a 48-month straight-line amortization. The Acquisition Term Loan will bear interest at a per annum rate equal to the average Daily Three Month LIBOR plus 5.00% for the first year; thereafter, the margin will be determined based on the following grid:

Average Liquidity	LIBOR Spread
£ \$20,000	5.00%
³ \$20,000 but < \$30,000	4.50%
³ \$30,000	4.00%

Proceeds of the Acquisition Term Loan may be used only to (i) fund Merger Payments, (ii) refinance IES existing \$5,000 term loan under the 2012 Credit Facility, and (iii) as otherwise may be permitted by Wells Fargo. Except as specified in the Acquisition Term Loan, all other terms, conditions and provisions of the Acquisition Term Loan shall be as set forth in the Credit and Security Agreement for the 2012 Credit Facility.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements, the related notes, and management's discussion and analysis included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012. This discussion contains forward-looking statements and involves numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to the risk factors discussed in the Risk Factors sections of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2013, and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Actual results may differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements

OVERVIEW

Executive Overview

Please refer to Item 1. *Business* of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012 for a discussion of the Company's services and corporate strategy. Integrated Electrical Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation, is a leading provider of infrastructure services to the residential, commercial and industrial industries as well as for data centers and other mission critical environments. We operate primarily in the electrical infrastructure markets, with a corporate focus on expanding into other markets through strategic acquisitions or investments.

Recent Developments

MISCOR Transaction

On March 13, 2013, the Company and MISCOR Group, Ltd. (*MISCOR*), an Indiana corporation that provides mechanical and electrical services for mission critical power equipment, announced that they had entered into a definitive agreement pursuant to which IES will acquire 100% of the common stock of MISCOR in a stock and cash transaction. IES, MISCOR and IES Subsidiary Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of IES (*Merger Sub*), have entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated March 13, 2013, pursuant to which MISCOR will be merged with and into Merger Sub, with Merger Sub surviving as a wholly-owned subsidiary of IES (the *Merger*). On July 10, 2013, the Company entered into the First Amendment (the *Amendment*) to the Agreement and Plan of Merger (as amended, the *Merger Agreement*), pursuant to which (i) the Termination Date (as defined in the Merger Agreement) was extended to October 31, 2013 and (ii) the default merger consideration election provision was amended. All other terms of the Merger Agreement remain unchanged. The Merger is currently expected to close in September 2013. For a description of the proposed Merger with MISCOR, please refer to Note 15, *Subsequent Events* in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Commitment Letter for Acquisition Term Loan

IES' obligation to complete the Merger is not conditioned upon its obtaining financing. The Company expects, however, to obtain financing for some or all of the cash component of the merger consideration, the repayment of outstanding MISCOR debt and the transaction expenses associated with the Merger (the *Merger Payments*). On April 10, 2013, the Company entered into a commitment letter with Wells Fargo, National Association (*Wells Fargo*), pursuant to which Wells Fargo committed to provide the Company, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, a new amortizing term loan in a principal amount of up to \$14 million under the Company's revolving credit facility in order to finance the Merger Payments. The commitment letter was amended on July 10, 2013 to extend its termination to October 31, 2013 to correspond to the termination date of the Merger Agreement as amended. For a description of the proposed acquisition term loan, please refer to Note 15, *Subsequent Events* in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

We report our operating results across three operating segments: Communications, Residential and Commercial & Industrial. Expenses associated with our Corporate office are classified as a fourth segment. The following table presents selected historical results of operations of IES and subsidiaries.

	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2013		2012	
	\$	%	\$	%
	(Dollars in thousands, Percentage of revenues)			
Revenues	\$ 121,552	100.0%	\$ 116,128	100.0%
Cost of services	105,899	87.1%	101,872	87.7%
Gross profit	15,653	12.9%	14,256	12.3%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	16,576	13.6%	14,956	12.9%
Gain on sale of assets	(16)	0.0%	(9)	0.0%
Loss from operations	(907)	(0.7)%	(691)	(0.6)%
Interest and other (income) expense, net	(277)	(0.2)%	514	0.4%
Income from operations before income taxes	(630)	(0.5)%	(1,205)	(1.0)%
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	95	0.1%	8	0.0%
Net loss from continuing operations	(725)	(0.6)%	(1,213)	(1.0)%
Net loss from discontinued operations	(421)	(0.3)%	(1,996)	(1.7)%
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(8)	0.0%	(33)	0.0%
Net loss from discontinued operations	(413)	(0.3)%	(1,963)	(1.7)%
Net loss	\$ (1,138)	(0.3)%	\$ (3,176)	0.7%

Consolidated revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2013 were \$5.4 million greater than for the three months ended June 30, 2012, an increase of 4.7%. The increase in revenues resulted primarily from increased revenues in our Residential segment, offset by decreased large project activity from a significant customer in our Communications segment during the three months ended June 30, 2013.

The \$1.4 million increase in our consolidated gross profit for the three months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012, was primarily the result of increased profitability in our Residential and Communications segments, offset by a decrease in gross profits in our Commercial & Industrial segment. Our overall gross profit percentage increased to 12.9% during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to 12.3% during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Selling, general and administrative expenses include costs not directly associated with performing work for our customers. These costs consist primarily of compensation and benefits related to corporate, division and branch management (including incentive-based compensation), occupancy and utilities, training, professional services, information technology costs, consulting fees, travel and certain types of depreciation and amortization. We allocate certain corporate selling, general and administrative costs across our segments as we believe this more accurately reflects the costs associated with operating each segment.

During the three months ended June 30, 2013, our selling, general and administrative expenses were \$16.6 million, an increase of \$1.6 million, or 10.8%, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses resulted from costs associated with increased personnel in our Communications and Residential segments, a \$0.8 million increase in acquisition related costs, offset by a \$1.4 million decrease in legal fees in our Communications segment.

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2013		2012	
	\$	%	\$	%
	(Dollars in thousands, Percentage of revenues)			
Revenues	\$ 370,810	100.0%	\$ 332,734	100.0%
Cost of services	321,182	86.6%	291,496	87.6%
Gross profit	49,628	13.4%	41,238	12.4%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	48,104	13.0%	42,047	12.6%
Gain on sale of assets	(56)	0.0%	(165)	0.0%
Income (loss) from operations	1,580	0.4%	(644)	(0.2)%
Interest and other (income) expense, net	2,350	0.6%	1,523	0.5%
Income from operations before income taxes	(770)	(0.2)%	(2,167)	(0.7)%
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	264	0.1%	40	0.0%
Net loss from continuing operations	(1,034)	(0.3)%	(2,207)	(0.7)%
Net loss from discontinued operations	(711)	(0.2)%	(7,936)	(2.4)%
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(14)	0.0%	185	0.1%
Net loss from discontinued operations	(697)	(0.2)%	(8,121)	(2.5)%
Net loss	\$ (1,731)	(0.1)%	\$ (10,328)	1.7%

Consolidated revenues for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 were \$38.1 million greater than for the nine months ended June 30, 2012, an increase of 11.4%. The increase in revenues resulted primarily due to increased revenues in our Residential and Communications segments.

The \$8.4 million increase in our consolidated gross profit for the nine months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012, was primarily the result of increased profitability in our Residential and Communications segments, offset by a decrease in gross profits in our Commercial & Industrial segment. Our overall gross profit percentage increased to 13.4% during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to 12.4% during the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

Selling, general and administrative expenses include costs not directly associated with performing work for our customers. These costs consist primarily of compensation and benefits related to corporate, division and branch management (including incentive-based compensation), occupancy and utilities, training, professional services, information technology costs, consulting fees, travel and certain types of depreciation and amortization. We allocate certain corporate selling, general and administrative costs across our segments as we believe this more accurately reflects the costs associated with operating each segment.

During the nine months ended June 30, 2013, our selling, general and administrative expenses were \$48.1 million, an increase of \$6.1 million, or 14.4%, as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses resulted from costs associated with increased personnel in our Residential and Communications segments, a \$1.6 million increase in acquisition related costs, and a \$0.3 million increase in non-cash amortization costs offset by a \$1.7 million decrease in legal fees in our Communications segment. Excluding amortization expense, selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$5.8 million, or 13.7%, to \$47.8 million.

Communications

	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2013		2012	
	\$	%	\$	%
	(Dollars in thousands, Percentage of revenues)			
Revenue	\$ 24,161	100.0%	\$ 31,068	100.0%

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Gross Profit	4,424	18.3%	4,229	13.6%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	3,373	14.0%	4,219	13.6%

Table of Contents

Revenue. Our Communications segment revenues decreased \$6.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013, a 22.2% decrease compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This decrease is primarily due to a decrease in activity on a significant high-tech manufacturing project during the three months ended June 30, 2013 versus the three months ended June 30, 2012. Revenues attributable to data centers were \$6.1 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$8.6 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. Revenues from high-tech manufacturing projects were \$4.3 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$8.1 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

Gross Profit. Our Communications segment's gross profit during the three months ended June 30, 2013 increased \$0.2 million, or 4.6%, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue increased 4.7% to 18.3% for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, due primarily to improved project execution in multiple data center and high-tech manufacturing projects.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Our Communications segment's selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.8 million, or 20.1%, during the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues in the Communication segment increased 0.4% to 14.0% of segment revenue during the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we experienced higher selling, general and administrative costs in our San Diego operations, due primarily to a legal settlement and associated fees of \$1.4 million. These legal costs were not duplicated in the three months ended June 30, 2013. Offsetting the decrease in legal costs was an increase in personnel costs for the three months ended June 30, 2013.

	Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2013		2012	
	\$	%	\$	%
	(Dollars in thousands, Percentage of revenues)			
Revenue	\$ 96,085	100.0%	\$ 84,660	100.0%
Gross Profit	17,486	18.2%	11,851	14.0%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	10,232	10.6%	10,094	11.9%

Revenue. Our Communications segment revenues increased by \$11.4 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2013, a 13.5% increase compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. This increase is primarily due to increased activity from multiple large data center and high-tech manufacturing projects during the nine months ended June 30, 2013. Revenues attributable to data centers were \$30 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$26 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Revenues from high-tech manufacturing projects were \$25 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$19 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Although the growth in data center and high-tech manufacturing projects continued to be significant for the nine months ended June 30, 2013, and we continue to bid on significant project opportunities, we do not necessarily expect to sustain the level of business growth experienced during 2012, as our large size project work is periodically awarded.

Gross Profit. Our Communications segment's gross profit during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 increased \$5.6 million, or 47.5%, as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue increased 4.2% to 18.2% for the nine months ended June 30, 2013, due primarily to the increased productivity through the completion of data center and high-tech manufacturing projects and, to a lesser extent, increased supplier rebates during the nine months ended June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Our Communications segment's selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$0.1 million, or 1.4%, during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues in the Communication segment decreased 1.3% to 10.6% of segment revenue during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. During the nine months ended June 30, 2012, we experienced higher selling, general and administrative costs in our San Diego operations, due primarily to a legal settlement and associated fees of \$1.7 million. These legal costs were not duplicated in the three months ended June 30, 2013. Offsetting the decrease in legal costs was an increase in personnel costs for the nine months ended June 30, 2013.

Residential

	Three Months Ended June 30,		2012	
	2013	%	\$	%
	(Dollars in thousands, Percentage of revenues)			
Revenue	\$ 44,511	100.0%	\$ 35,232	100.0%
Gross Profit	7,111	16.0%	5,577	15.8%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	6,812	15.3%	5,163	14.7%

Revenue. Our Residential segment revenues increased \$9.3 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013, an increase of 26.3% as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Revenues for our multi-family construction increased by \$7.1 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, as overall market conditions have continued to improve. Multi-family construction projects were primarily driven by increased demand for rental housing in Texas and throughout the eastern region. Single family construction revenues increased by \$4.1 million, primarily in Texas where the economy has experienced continued growth and population expansion. Revenue was impacted to a lesser degree by decreases in solar installations and increases in service activity.

Gross Profit. During the three months ended June 30, 2013, our Residential segment experienced a \$1.5 million, or 27.5%, increase in gross profit as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Gross profit increased \$1.9 million due to higher volume of both single-family and multi-family projects, offset by lower volume and reduced gross margin percentage in solar projects. Gross margin percentage increased by 0.2%, due primarily to increases in gross margin percentage within single family projects, offset by \$0.5 million of excess costs related to job underperformance on two multi-family projects.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Our Residential segment experienced a \$1.6 million, or 31.9%, increase in selling, general and administrative expenses during the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues in the Residential segment increased 0.6% to 15.3% of segment revenue during the three months ended June 30, 2013. This increase is attributable to additional personnel costs in response to increased profitability for the three months ended June 30, 2013.

	Nine Months Ended June 30,		2012	
	2013	%	\$	%
	(Dollars in thousands, Percentage of revenues)			
Revenue	\$ 119,860	100.0%	\$ 94,132	100.0%
Gross Profit	19,997	16.7%	14,756	15.7%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	18,452	15.4%	14,109	15.0%

Revenue. Our Residential segment revenues increased \$25.7 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2013, an increase of 27.3% as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Revenues for our multi-family construction increased by \$17 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2013, as overall market conditions have continued to improve. Multi-family construction projects were primarily driven by the increased demand for rental housing in Texas and throughout the eastern region. Single family construction revenues increased by \$13.3 million, primarily in Texas where the economy has experienced continued growth and population expansion. Revenue was impacted to a lesser degree by decreases in solar and increases in service.

Table of Contents

Gross Profit. During the nine months ended June 30, 2013, our Residential segment experienced a \$5.2 million, or 35.5%, increase in gross profit as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Gross profit increased \$5.4 million due to higher volume of both single-family and multi-family projects, and due to an increase in the gross margin for the segment. Gross margin percentage increased by 1.0%, due primarily to increases in gross margin percentage within single family projects, offset by \$1.0 million of excess costs related to job underperformance on two multi-family projects.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Our Residential segment experienced a \$4.3 million, or 30.8%, increase in selling, general and administrative expenses during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues in the Residential segment increased 0.4% to 15.4% of segment revenue during the nine months ended June 30, 2013. This increase is attributable to \$4.1 million of additional personnel costs in response to increased profitability for the nine months ended June 30, 2013, combined with a \$0.3 million increase of legal expenses during the nine months ended June 30, 2013.

Commercial & Industrial

	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2013		2012	
	\$	%	\$	%
	(Dollars in thousands, Percentage of revenues)			
Revenue	\$ 52,880	100.0%	\$ 49,828	100.0%
Gross Profit	4,118	7.8%	4,450	8.9%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	3,600	6.8%	3,788	7.6%

Revenue. Revenues in our Commercial & Industrial segment increased \$3.1 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013, an increase of 6.1% compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Our Commercial & Industrial segment is impacted not only by industry construction trends, but also specific industry and local economic trends. Impacts from these trends on our revenues may be delayed due to the long lead time of our projects. During the period ended June 30, 2013, our revenue increase was the result of increased utility and industrial projects.

Gross Profit. Our Commercial & Industrial segment's gross profit during the three months ended June 30, 2013 decreased by \$0.3 million, or 7.4%, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Commercial & Industrial's gross margin percentage decreased 1.1% to 7.8% during the three months ended June 30, 2013. The decrease in margin was primarily due to a total of \$0.7 million of excess costs related to job underperformance on two projects in one of our commercial branches, and due to the recognition of higher projected costs on a significant commercial project that commenced in 2009 and is scheduled to be completed in early 2014. The higher costs related to this significant commercial project are due to various delays and other impacts resulting in lower productivity rates than originally estimated and which are anticipated to continue for the remainder of the project. These projected costs resulted in a lower anticipated gross profit percentage on the project and a reduction in gross profit recognized to date. We are pursuing cost recovery for these impacts, which, if successful, could have a positive impact on the profitability of this project. To date, we are unable to reasonably quantify the likelihood of our success in recovering these costs. While we expect the project to be completed and to be ultimately profitable, there can be no assurance that this will be the case as the project is outside of the maximum size and duration criteria within our risk management parameters that were implemented in mid-2011. Offsetting the impact of this commercial project were improvements in gross profits in multiple projects throughout the Commercial & Industrial division. While we have experienced some reprieve in project bid margins, particularly in our industrial branches, the competitive market that has existed during the prolonged recession has continued to constrain significant increases in project bid margins in most commercial markets.

Table of Contents

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Our Commercial & Industrial segment's selling, general and administrative expenses during the three months ended June 30, 2013 decreased by \$0.2 million, or 5.0%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues in the Commercial & Industrial segment decreased by 0.8% during the three months ended June 30, 2013, reflective of improved management of overhead costs.

	Nine Months Ended June 30,		2012	
	2013	%	\$	%
	(Dollars in thousands, Percentage of revenues)			
Revenue	\$ 154,865	100.0%	\$ 153,942	100.0%
Gross Profit	12,145	7.8%	14,631	9.5%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	10,945	7.1%	12,396	8.1%

Revenue. Revenues in our Commercial & Industrial segment increased by \$0.9 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2013, an increase of 0.6% compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Our Commercial & Industrial segment is impacted not only by industry construction trends, but also specific industry and local economic trends. Impacts from these trends on our revenues may be delayed due to the long lead time of our projects. During the period ended June 30, 2013, our revenue increase was the result of increased utility and industrial projects.

Gross Profit. Our Commercial & Industrial segment's gross profit during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 decreased \$2.5 million, or 17.0%, as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Commercial & Industrial's gross margin percentage decreased by 1.7% to 7.8% during the nine months ended June 30, 2013. The decrease in margin was primarily due to a total of \$0.9 million of excess costs related to job underperformance on two projects in one of our commercial branches, and due to the recognition of higher projected costs on a significant commercial project that commenced in 2009 and is scheduled to be completed in early 2014. The higher costs related to this significant commercial project are due to delays and other impacts resulting in lower productivity rates than originally estimated and which are anticipated to continue for the remainder of the project. These projected costs resulted in a lower anticipated gross profit percentage on the project and a reduction in gross profit recognized to date. We are pursuing cost recovery of these impacts, which, if successful, could have a positive impact on the profitability of this project. To date, we are unable to reasonably quantify the likelihood of our success in recovering these costs. While we expect the project to be completed and to be ultimately profitable, there can be no assurance that this will be the case as the project is outside of the maximum size and duration criteria within our risk management parameters that were implemented in mid-2011. Offsetting the impact of this commercial project were improvements in gross profits in multiple projects throughout the Commercial & Industrial division. While we have experienced some reprieve in project bid margins, particularly in our industrial branches, the competitive market that has existed during the prolonged recession has continued to constrain significant increases in project bid margins in most commercial markets.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Our Commercial & Industrial segment's selling, general and administrative expenses during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 decreased \$1.5 million, or 11.7%, compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues in the Commercial & Industrial segment decreased by 1.0% during the nine months ended June 30, 2013, reflective of improved management of overhead costs.

Restructuring Charges

In the second quarter of our 2011 fiscal year, we began the 2011 Restructuring Plan that was designed to consolidate operations within our Commercial & Industrial business. Pursuant to the 2011 Restructuring Plan, we planned to either sell or close certain underperforming facilities within our Commercial & Industrial operations. The 2011 Restructuring Plan was a key element of our commitment to return the Company to profitability. The results of operations related to the 2011 Restructuring Plan are included in the net loss from discontinued operations within our Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

The facilities directly affected by the 2011 Restructuring Plan were in several locations throughout the country, including Arizona, Florida, Iowa, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Nevada and Texas. These facilities were selected due to their business prospects at that time and the extended time frame needed to return the facilities to a profitable position. As part of our restructuring charges within our Commercial & Industrial segment we recognized \$(4) thousand and \$69 thousand in severance costs, \$47 thousand and \$483 thousand in consulting services, and \$0 and \$48 in costs related to lease terminations for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the elements of costs incurred for the 2011 Restructuring Plan:

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
	(In thousands)	
Severance compensation	\$ (4)	\$ (58)
Consulting and other charges	63	951
Lease termination costs		124
Total restructuring charges	\$ 59	\$ 1,017

Interest and Other (Income) Expense, net

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
	(In thousands)	
Interest expense	\$ 226	\$ 486
Deferred financing charges	146	38
Total interest expense	372	524
Interest income	0	(8)
Other (income) expense, net	(649)	(2)
Total interest and other expense, net	\$ (277)	\$ 514

Interest Expense

During the three months ended June 30, 2013, we incurred interest expense of \$0.2 million primarily comprised of interest expense from the Wells Fargo Term Loan (as defined in Working Capital below), the Insurance Financing Agreements (as defined in Working Capital below), an average letter of credit balance of \$7.1 million under the 2012 Credit Facility (as defined in Working Capital below) and an average unused line of credit balance of \$23.0 million. This compares to interest expense of \$0.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, on a debt balance primarily comprised of the Tontine Term Loan, the Insurance Financing Agreements, an average letter of credit balance of \$9.5 million under the 2006 Credit Facility (as defined in Working Capital below) and an average unused line of credit balance of \$37.7 million.

Interest Income

For the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we earned interest income of zero and \$8 thousand, respectively, on the average Cash and Cash Equivalents balances of \$13.5 million and \$28.1 million, respectively.

Other (Income) Expense

In June, 2013 we recovered \$0.3 million from a settlement agreement with a former surety. The settlement agreement was fully reserved during our first fiscal quarter 2013. The recovery was recorded as other expense within our Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income. Please refer to Note 11, Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for additional information.

We recorded a liability of \$0.7 million for contingent purchase consideration in conjunction with the Asset Purchase Agreement with the Acro Group. As of June 30, 2013, we have determined the settlement of this liability will be less than the amount recorded at the time of the transaction. Accordingly, we have reduced the liability to \$0.3 million and recorded \$0.4 million as other income within our Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
	(In thousands)	
Interest expense	\$ 1,018	\$ 1,612
Deferred financing charges	407	0
Total interest expense	1,425	1,612
Interest income	(123)	(23)
Other (income) expense, net	1,048	(66)
Total interest and other expense, net	\$ 2,350	\$ 1,523

Interest Expense

During the nine months ended June 30, 2013, we incurred interest expense of \$1.0 million primarily comprised of interest expense from the Tontine Term Loan, the Wells Fargo Term Loan, the Insurance Financing Agreements, an average letter of credit balance of \$7.1 million under the 2012 Credit Facility and an average unused line of credit balance of \$23.0 million. This compares to interest expense of \$1.6 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012, on a debt balance primarily comprised of the Tontine Term Loan, the Insurance Financing Agreements, an average letter of credit balance of \$9.5 million under the 2006 Credit Facility and an average unused line of credit balance of \$37.7 million.

Interest Income

For the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we earned interest income of \$123 thousand and \$23 thousand, respectively, on the average Cash and Cash Equivalents balances of \$13.5 million and \$28.1 million, respectively. We received \$109 in conjunction with a legal settlement within our Commercial & Industrial segment during the nine months ended June 30, 2013

Other (Income) Expense

During the nine months ended June 30, 2013, we fully reserved for an outstanding receivable for a settlement agreement with a former surety. The surety has failed to make payments in accordance with the settlement agreement, and has proposed a modified payment structure to satisfy the debt. The Company concluded that collectability was not probable as of December 31, 2012, and has recorded a reserve for the entire balance of \$1.7 million. The reserve was recorded as other expense within our Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income. In June 2013, we recovered \$0.3 million of this settlement. The recovery was recorded as other income within our Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income. Please refer to Note 11, Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for additional information.

We recorded a liability of \$0.7 million for contingent purchase consideration in conjunction with the Asset Purchase Agreement with the Acro Group. As of June 30, 2013, we have determined the settlement of this liability will be less than the amount recorded at the time of the transaction. Accordingly, we have reduced the liability to \$0.3 million and recorded \$0.4 million as other income within our Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

Sale of Non-Strategic Manufacturing Facility

On November 30, 2010, a subsidiary of the Company sold substantially all the assets and certain liabilities of a non-strategic manufacturing facility engaged in manufacturing and selling fabricated metal buildings housing electrical equipment, such as switchgears, motor starters and control systems, to Siemens Energy, Inc. As part of this transaction, Siemens Energy, Inc. also acquired certain real property where the fabrication facilities are located from another subsidiary of the Company. The purchase price of \$10.1 million was adjusted to reflect working capital variances. The transaction was completed on December 10, 2010 at which time we recognized a gain of \$6.8 million.

Sale of Non-Core Electrical Distribution Facility

On February 28, 2011, Key Electrical Supply, Inc. a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, sold substantially all the assets and certain liabilities of a non-core electrical distribution facility engaged in distributing wiring, lighting, electrical distribution, power control and generators for residential and commercial applications to Elliot Electric Supply, Inc. The purchase price of \$6.7 million was adjusted to reflect working capital variances. The loss on this transaction was immaterial.

Table of Contents

PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES

Our provision for income taxes increased from \$8 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2012 to an expense of \$95 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The increase is mainly attributable to an increase federal tax expense and an increase in state tax expense. A reliable estimate of the estimated annual effective tax rate cannot be determined. Therefore, the Company is using year to date income tax expense to determine the income tax provision for the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Our provision for income taxes increased from \$40 thousand for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 to \$0.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The increase is mainly attributable to an increase federal tax expense and an increase in state tax expense. A reliable estimate of the annual effective tax rate cannot be determined. Therefore, the Company is using year to date income tax expense to determine the income tax provision for the nine months ended June 30, 2013.

WORKING CAPITAL

During the nine months ended June 30, 2013, working capital decreased by \$2.3 from September 30, 2012, reflecting a \$15.7 million decrease in current assets and a \$13.5 million decrease in current liabilities during the period.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our current assets decreased by \$15.7 million, or 10.7%, to \$131.6 million, as compared to \$147.4 million as of September 30, 2012. Cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$3.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to September 30, 2012, primarily due to a \$5.0 million cash payment to satisfy the Tontine Term Loan. The current trade accounts receivables, net, decreased by \$8.7 million at June 30, 2013, as compared to September 30, 2012. Days sales outstanding (DSOs) decreased to 59 as of June 30, 2013 from 67 as of September 30, 2012. The improvement was driven predominantly by increased collection efforts. While the rate of collections may vary, our secured position, resulting from our ability to secure liens against our customers' overdue receivables, reasonably assures that collection will occur eventually to the extent that our security retains value. Inventory decreased \$2.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to September 30, 2012, due primarily to the completion of large projects within our Communications division. We also experienced a \$1.5 million decrease in retainage during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to September 30, 2012.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our total current liabilities decreased by \$13.5 million to \$90.9 million, compared to \$104.4 million as of September 30, 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, accounts payable and accrued expenses decreased \$3.1 million. Billings in excess of costs decreased by \$3.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to September 30, 2012. Finally, current maturities of long-term debt decreased by \$7.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to September 30, 2012 primarily due to the repayment of the Tontine Term Loan.

The 2012 Revolving Credit Facility

On August 9, 2012, we entered into a Credit and Security Agreement (the Credit Agreement), for a \$30 million revolving credit facility (the 2012 Credit Facility) with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo). The 2012 Credit Facility originally matured on August 9, 2015, unless earlier terminated. On February 12, 2013, we entered into an amendment of our 2012 Credit Facility with Wells Fargo (the Amendment). The Amendment extends the term of the 2012 Credit Facility to August 9, 2016 and adds IES Renewable Energy, LLC as a borrower on the 2012 Credit Facility. In addition, pursuant to the Amendment, Wells Fargo provided the Company with a \$5.0 million term loan. The Credit Agreement was filed as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending September 30, 2012 and any description thereof is qualified in its entirety by the terms of the Credit Agreement, and the Amendment was filed as an exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended December 31, 2012, and any description thereof is qualified in its entirety by the terms of the Amendment.

The 2012 Credit Facility contains customary affirmative, negative and financial covenants. The 2012 Credit Facility requires that we maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.0:1.0 at any time that our aggregate amount of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents on hand plus Excess Availability (as defined in the Credit Agreement) is less than \$20 million or Excess Availability is less than \$7.5 million.

Borrowings under the 2012 Credit Facility may not exceed a borrowing base that is determined monthly by our lenders based on available collateral, primarily certain accounts receivables and inventories. Under the terms of the 2012 Credit Facility, amounts outstanding other than amounts outstanding on the Wells Fargo Term Loan bear interest at a per annum rate equal to a Daily Three Month LIBOR (as defined in the Credit Agreement), plus an interest rate margin, which is determined quarterly, based on the following thresholds:

Table of Contents

Level	Thresholds	Interest Rate Margin
I	Liquidity £ \$20.0 million at any time during the period; or Excess Availability £ \$7.5 million at any time during the period; or Fixed charge coverage ratio < 1.0:1.0	4.00 percentage points
II	Liquidity > \$20.0 million at all times during the period; and Liquidity £ \$30.0 million at any time during the period; and Excess Availability \$7.5 million; and Fixed charge coverage ratio ³ 1.0:1.0	3.50 percentage points
III	Liquidity > \$30.0 million at all times during the period	3.00 percentage points

While borrowings under the Wells Fargo Term Loan bear interest at a per annum rate equal to Daily Three Month LIBOR plus 6.00%, the Company and Wells Fargo entered into an interest rate swap, whereby the Company will cause the interest rate for borrowings under the Wells Fargo Term Loan to be fixed at 7.00% per annum. Interest is payable in monthly installments over a 24-month period. The Company may prepay the Wells Fargo Term Loan in part or in whole prior to its stated maturity upon the payment of the outstanding principal amount, accrued but unpaid interest and prepayment fees.

In addition, under the 2012 Credit Facility, we are charged monthly in arrears for (1) an unused commitment fee of 0.50% per annum, (2) a collateral monitoring fee ranging from \$1 thousand to \$2 thousand, based on the then-applicable interest rate margin, (3) a letter of credit fee based on the then-applicable interest rate margin and (4) certain other fees and charges as specified in the Credit Agreement.

The 2012 Credit Facility is guaranteed by our subsidiaries and secured by first priority liens on substantially all of our subsidiaries existing and future acquired assets, exclusive of collateral provided to our surety providers. The 2012 Credit Facility also restricts us from paying cash dividends and places limitations on our ability to repurchase our common stock. On February 13, 2013, we prepaid the remaining \$10 million of principal on the Tontine Term Loan plus accrued interest with existing cash on hand and proceeds from the Wells Fargo Term Loan.

At June 30, 2013, we had \$16.5 million available to us under the 2012 Credit Facility, \$7.1 million in outstanding letters of credit with Wells Fargo and no outstanding borrowings outside the Wells Fargo Term Loan. The terms surrounding the 2012 Credit Facility agreement with Wells Fargo require that we cash collateralize 100% of our letter of credit balance. As such, we have \$7.1 million classified as restricted cash within the Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2013.

At June 30, 2013, we were subject to the financial covenant under the 2012 Credit Facility requiring that we maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.0:1.0 at any time that our aggregate amount of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents on hand plus Excess Availability is less than \$20.0 million or Excess Availability is less than \$7.5 million. As of June 30, 2013, our aggregate amount of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents on hand plus Excess Availability was in excess of \$20.0 million and Excess Availability was in excess of \$7.5 million; had we not met these thresholds at June 30, 2013, we would not have met the required 1.0:1.0 fixed charge coverage ratio test.

While we expect to meet our financial covenants, in the event that we are not able to meet the covenants of our 2012 Credit Facility in the future and are unsuccessful in obtaining a waiver from our lenders, the Company expects to have adequate cash on hand to fully collateralize our outstanding letters of credit and to provide sufficient cash for ongoing operations.

Table of Contents

Commitment Letter for Acquisition Term Loan

On April 10, 2013, the Company entered into a commitment letter with Wells Fargo, pursuant to which Wells Fargo committed to provide the Company, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, a new amortizing term loan in a principal amount of up to \$14 million (the Acquisition Term Loan) under the 2012 Credit Facility in order to finance the Merger Payments. The commitment letter was amended on July 10, 2013 to extend its termination to October 31, 2013 to correspond to the termination date of the Merger Agreement as amended.

Upon entering into the commitment letter, IES incurred an amendment fee in the amount of \$37,500. The Acquisition Term Loan, which will mature on August 9, 2016, will be fully reserved from availability under the 2012 Credit Facility and will be subject to principal reduction on a 48-month straight-line amortization. The Acquisition Term Loan will bear interest at a per annum rate equal to the average Daily Three Month LIBOR plus 5.00% for the first year; thereafter, the margin will be determined based on the following grid:

Average Liquidity	LIBOR Spread
< \$20 million	5.00%
³ \$20 million but < \$30 million	4.50%
³ \$30 million	4.00%

Proceeds of the Acquisition Term Loan may be used only to (i) fund Merger Payments, (ii) refinance the Wells Fargo Term Loan, and (iii) as otherwise may be permitted by Wells Fargo. Except as specified in the Acquisition Term Loan, all other terms, conditions and provisions of the Acquisition Term Loan shall be as set forth in the Credit Agreement.

The 2006 Revolving Credit Facility

On May 12, 2006, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the Loan and Security Agreement), for a revolving credit facility (as amended, the 2006 Credit Facility) with Bank of America, N.A. and certain other lenders. Under the terms of the amended 2006 Credit Facility, the size of the facility was \$40.0 million and the maturity date was November 12, 2012. On August 9, 2012, the amended 2006 Credit Facility was replaced by the 2012 Credit Facility.

Under the terms of the amended 2006 Credit Facility, we were required to cash collateralize all of our letters of credit issued by the banks. The cash collateral was added to the borrowing base calculation at 100% throughout the term of the agreement. The 2006 Credit Facility required that we maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.0:1.0 at any time that our aggregate amount of unrestricted cash on hand plus availability was less than \$25.0 million and, thereafter, until such time as our aggregate amount of unrestricted cash on hand plus availability had been at least \$25.0 million for a period of 60 consecutive days. The amended Agreement also called for cost of borrowings of 4.0% over LIBOR per annum. Cost for letters of credit was the same as borrowings and also included a 25 basis point fronting fee. In connection with the most recent amendment to the 2006 Credit Facility, we incurred an amendment fee of \$0.1 million which, together with unamortized balance of the prior amendment was amortized using the straight line method through August 30, 2012.

The 2006 Credit Facility was guaranteed by our subsidiaries and secured by first priority liens on substantially all of our subsidiaries existing and future acquired assets, exclusive of collateral provided to our surety providers. The 2006 Credit Facility contained customary affirmative, negative and financial covenants. The 2006 Credit Facility also restricted us from paying cash dividends and placed limitations on our ability to repurchase our common stock.

Borrowings under the 2006 Credit Facility could not exceed a borrowing base that was determined monthly by our lenders based on available collateral, primarily certain accounts receivables and inventories. Under the terms of the 2006 Credit Facility in effect as of August 30, 2012, interest for loans and letter of credit fees was based on our Total Liquidity, which is calculated for any given period as the sum of average daily availability for such period plus average daily unrestricted cash on hand for such period as follows:

Total Liquidity	Annual Interest Rate for Loans	Annual Interest Rate for Letters of Credit
Greater than or equal to \$60.0 million	LIBOR plus 3.00% or Base Rate plus 1.00%	3.00% plus 0.25% fronting fee
Greater than \$40.0 million and less than \$60.0 million	LIBOR plus 3.25% or Base Rate plus 1.25%	3.25% plus 0.25% fronting fee
Less than or equal to \$40.0 million	LIBOR plus 3.50% or Base Rate plus 1.50%	3.50% plus 0.25% fronting fee

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2013, we had \$0 in outstanding letters of credit with Bank of America.

For the three months ended June 30, 2013, we paid no interest for loans under the 2006 Credit Facility and had a weighted average interest rate, including fronting fees, of 3.49% for letters of credit. In addition, we were charged monthly in arrears (1) an unused commitment fee of 0.50%, and (2) certain other fees and charges as specified in the Loan and Security Agreement, as amended.

As of August 9, 2012, we were subject to the financial covenant under the 2006 Credit Facility requiring that we maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.0:1.0 at any time that our aggregate amount of unrestricted cash on hand plus availability is less than \$25.0 million and, thereafter, until such time as our aggregate amount of unrestricted cash on hand plus availability has been at least \$25.0 million for a period of 60 consecutive days. As of August 9, 2012, our Total Liquidity was in excess of \$25.0 million.

The Tontine Term Loan

On December 12, 2007, we entered into a \$25.0 million senior subordinated loan agreement, with Tontine Capital Partners, L.P. and its affiliates (collectively, Tontine), our controlling shareholder, which the Company terminated and repaid in full subsequent to the first quarter of fiscal 2013, as further described below.

The Tontine Term Loan bore interest at 11.0% per annum and was due on May 15, 2013. Interest was payable quarterly in cash or in-kind at our option. Any interest paid in-kind would bear interest at 11.0% in addition to the loan principal. The Tontine Term Loan was subordinated to the 2012 Credit Facility. The Tontine Term Loan was an unsecured obligation of the Company and its subsidiary borrowers and contained no financial covenants or restrictions on dividends or distributions to stockholders. The Tontine Term Loan was amended on August 9, 2012 in connection with the Company entering into the 2012 Credit Facility. The amendment did not materially impact the Company's obligations under the Tontine Term Loan.

On April 30, 2010, we prepaid \$15.0 million of principal on the Tontine Term Loan. On May 1, 2010, Tontine assigned the Tontine Term Loan to Tontine Capital Overseas Master Fund II, L.P, also a related party. Pursuant to its terms, we were permitted to repay the Tontine Term Loan at any time prior to the maturity date at par, plus accrued interest without penalty within the restrictions of the 2012 Credit Facility. On February 13, 2013, we repaid the remaining \$10.0 million of principal on the Tontine Term Loan, plus accrued interest, with existing cash on hand and proceeds from the Wells Fargo Term Loan.

Surety

Many customers, particularly in connection with new construction, require us to post performance and payment bonds issued by a surety. These bonds provide a guarantee to the customer that we will perform under the terms of our contract and that we will pay our subcontractors and vendors. If we fail to perform under the terms of our contract or to pay subcontractors and vendors, the customer may demand that the surety make payments or provide services under the bond. We must reimburse the sureties for any expenses or outlays they incur on our behalf. To date, we have not been required to make any reimbursements to our sureties for bond-related costs.

As is common in the surety industry, sureties issue bonds on a project-by-project basis and can decline to issue bonds at any time. We believe that our relationships with our sureties will allow us to provide surety bonds as they are required. However, current market conditions, as well as changes in our sureties' assessment of our operating and financial risk, could cause our sureties to decline to issue bonds for our work. If our sureties decline to issue bonds for our work, our alternatives would include posting other forms of collateral for project performance, such as letters of credit or cash, seeking bonding capacity from other sureties, or engaging in more projects that do not require surety bonds. In addition, if we are awarded a project for which a surety bond is required but we are unable to obtain a surety bond, the result could be a claim for damages by the customer for the costs of replacing us with another contractor.

As of June 30, 2013, the estimated cost to complete our bonded projects was approximately \$49.5 million. We believe the bonding capacity presently provided by our sureties is adequate for our current operations and will be adequate for our operations for the foreseeable future. On May 7, 2013, the Company and certain of its current and future subsidiaries and affiliates entered into a new agreement of indemnity (the Surety Agreement) with certain entities affiliated with Suremerica Surety Underwriting Services, LLC (Suremerica). Pursuant to the Surety Agreement, we have assigned to Suremerica, among other things, as collateral to secure our obligations under the Surety Agreement, our rights, title and interest in, and all accounts receivable and related proceeds arising pursuant to, any contract bonded by Suremerica on our behalf. Further, under the Surety Agreement, we have also agreed that, upon written demand, we will deposit with Suremerica, as additional collateral, an amount determined by Suremerica to be sufficient to discharge any claim made against Suremerica on a bond issued on our behalf. The Surety Agreement is filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2013, and is incorporated herein by reference. The foregoing summary does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Surety Agreement. As of

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

June 30, 2013, we utilized \$1 million of cash (as is included in Other Non-Current Assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheet) as collateral for certain of our previous bonding programs.

Table of Contents

Capital Lease

The Company leases certain equipment under agreements, which are classified as capital leases and included in property, plant and equipment. Amortization of this equipment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$46 thousand and \$137 thousand.

Insurance Financing Agreements

From time to time, we elect to finance our commercial insurance policy premiums over a term equal to or less than the term of the policy (each, an Insurance Financing Agreement). The terms of the Insurance Financing Agreements for fiscal year 2013 were for twelve months with an interest rate range of 1.99% to 2.75%. The Insurance Financing Agreements were collateralized by the gross unearned premiums on the respective insurance policies plus any payments for losses claimed under the policies and are subject to an intercreditor agreement with Wells Fargo. The remaining balance due on the Insurance Financing Agreements at June 30, 2013 was \$0.6 million, as compared to \$0.3 million at June 30, 2012.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As of June 30, 2013, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$15.1 million, working capital of \$40.8 million, \$7.1 million of letters of credit and \$16.5 million of available capacity under our 2012 Credit Facility. We anticipate that the combination of cash on hand, cash flows and available capacity under our 2012 Credit Facility will provide sufficient cash to enable us to meet our working capital needs, debt service requirements and capital expenditures for property and equipment through the next twelve months. Our ability to generate cash flow is dependent on many factors, including demand for our services, the availability of projects at margins acceptable to us, the ultimate collectability of our receivables, and our ability to borrow on our 2012 Credit Facility, if needed. We were not required to test our covenants under our 2012 Credit Facility during the period. Had we been required to test our covenants, we would have failed at June 30, 2013.

We continue to closely monitor the financial markets and general national and global economic conditions. To date, we have experienced no loss or lack of access to our invested cash or cash equivalents; however, we can provide no assurances that access to our invested cash and cash equivalents will not be impacted in the future by adverse conditions in the financial markets.

Operating Activities

Our cash flow from operations is not only influenced by cyclical demand for our services, operating margins and the type of services we provide, but can also be influenced by working capital needs such as the timing of our receivable collections. Working capital needs are generally lower during our fiscal first and second quarters due to the seasonality that we experience in many regions of the country.

Operating activities provided net cash of \$3.7 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to \$5.9 million of net cash used in the nine months ended June 30, 2012. We utilized a substantial portion of our inventory on hand, producing \$2.9 million in net cash during the nine months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$8.1 million in net cash used to purchase inventory during the nine months ended June 30, 2012. This production of cash was partially offset by a significant reduction in billings in excess of costs during the nine months ended June 30, 2013.

Investing Activities

In the nine months ended June 30, 2013, net cash from investing activities used \$1.2 million as compared to \$1.2 million of net cash used by investing activities in the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Investing activities in the nine months ended June 30, 2013 was comprised primarily of \$0.8 million used in conjunction with the Asset Purchase Agreement with the Acro Group within our Residential segment, expanding our solar division, combined with \$0.3 million in capital expenditures. Investing activities in the nine months ended June 30, 2012 was entirely comprised of capital expenditures.

Table of Contents

Financing Activities

Financing activities used net cash of \$6.1 million in the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$9.8 million used in the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Financing activities in the nine months ended June 30, 2013 included \$5.0 million to repay the Tontine Term Loan and \$0.4 million used to purchase treasury stock to satisfy payroll tax obligations. Financing activities in the nine months ended June 30, 2012 included an increase of \$8.8 million in restricted cash to satisfy the requirements of our 2012 Credit Facility.

Bonding Capacity

At June 30, 2013, we had adequate surety bonding capacity under our surety agreements. Our ability to access this bonding capacity is at the sole discretion of our surety providers. As of June 30, 2013, the expected cumulative cost to complete for projects covered by our surety providers was \$49.5 million. We believe we have adequate remaining available bonding capacity to meet our current needs, subject to the sole discretion of our surety providers. On May 7, 2013, the Company entered into a new surety agreement with affiliates of Suremerica Surety Underwriting Services, LLC. For a description of the agreement, please refer to Working Capital Surety above. For additional information on our surety arrangements, please refer to Note 11, *Commitments and Contingencies - Surety* in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONTROLLING SHAREHOLDER

On July 21, 2011, Tontine filed an amended Schedule 13D indicating its ownership level of 57.4% of the Company's outstanding common stock. While Tontine is subject to restrictions under federal securities laws on sales of its shares as an affiliate, Tontine is party to a Registration Rights Agreement with the Company under which it has the ability, subject to certain restrictions, to demand registration of its shares in order to permit unrestricted sales of those shares. On February 20, 2013, pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, Tontine delivered a request to the Company for registration of all of its shares of IES common stock, and on February 21, 2013, the Company filed a shelf registration statement (as amended, the Shelf Registration Statement) to register Tontine's shares. The Shelf Registration Statement was declared effective by the SEC on June 18, 2013. As long as the Shelf Registration Statement remains effective, Tontine will have the ability to resell any or all of its shares from time to time in one or more offerings, as described in the Shelf Registration Statement and in any prospectus supplement filed in connection with an offering pursuant to the Shelf Registration Statement.

Should Tontine sell or exchange all or a portion of its position in IES, a change in ownership could occur. A change in ownership, as defined by Internal Revenue Code Section 382, could reduce the availability of net operating losses for federal and state income tax purposes. As of September 30, 2012 we have approximately \$452 million of federal NOLs that are available to use to offset taxable income, inclusive of NOLs from the amortization of additional tax goodwill. As of September 30, 2012 we had approximately \$313 million of federal NOLs that are available to use to offset taxable income, exclusive of NOLs from the amortization of additional tax goodwill. On January 28, 2013, the Company implemented a tax benefit protection plan (the NOL Rights Plan) that was designed to deter an acquisition of the Company's stock in excess of a threshold amount that could trigger a change of control within the meaning of Internal Revenue Code Section 382. The NOL Rights Plan was filed as an Exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 28, 2013 and any description thereof is qualified in its entirety by the terms of the NOL Rights Plan. There can be no assurance that the NOL Rights Plan will be effective in deterring a change of control or protecting the NOLs. Furthermore, a change in control would trigger the change of control provisions in a number of our material agreements, including our 2012 Credit Facility, bonding agreements with our sureties and certain employment contracts with certain officers and employees of the Company.

On April 30, 2010, we prepaid \$15.0 million of the original \$25.0 million principal outstanding on the Tontine Term Loan; accordingly at December 31, 2012, \$10.0 million remained outstanding under the Tontine Term Loan, which was scheduled to mature on May 15, 2013. On February 13, 2013, we repaid the remaining \$10.0 million of principal on the Tontine Term Loan, plus accrued interest, with existing cash on hand and proceeds from the Wells Fargo Term Loan. Pursuant to its terms, we were permitted to repay the Tontine Term Loan at any time prior to the maturity date at par, plus accrued interest without penalty within the restrictions of the 2012 Credit Facility.

On March 13, 2013, the Company announced the entry into the Agreement and Plan of Merger with MISCOR, which was amended by the First Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of July 10, 2013. As of July 24, 2013, Tontine beneficially owned 49.9% of the issued and outstanding shares of MISCOR common stock. Given Tontine's significant holdings in both the Company and MISCOR, only the disinterested members of the IES Board of Directors voted on, and unanimously approved, the Merger Agreement. In addition, MISCOR established a special committee of independent directors that voted on and approved the Merger Agreement and recommended approval of the Merger Agreement by the MISCOR full board of directors. After receiving approval from the special committee, the disinterested members of the MISCOR board of directors unanimously approved the Merger Agreement. For a description of the proposed Merger with MISCOR, please refer to Note 1, *Business - Subsequent Events* in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

On March 29, 2012, we entered into a sublease agreement with Tontine Associates, LLC, an affiliate of our controlling shareholder, for corporate office space in Greenwich, Connecticut. The lease extends from April 1, 2012 through March 31, 2014, with monthly payments due in the amount of \$6 thousand. The lease has terms at market rates and payments by the Company are at a rate consistent with that paid by Tontine Associates, LLC to its landlord.

James M. Lindstrom has served as Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company since October 3, 2011. Mr. Lindstrom previously served in such capacities on an interim basis since June 2011 and has served as Chairman of the Company's Board of Directors since February 2011. Mr. Lindstrom was an employee of Tontine from 2006 until October 2011.

David B. Gendell has served as a member of the Company's Board of Directors since February 2012. Mr. Gendell, who is the brother of Jeffrey Gendell, the founder and managing member of Tontine, is also an employee of Tontine.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS AND CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

As is common in our industry, we have entered into certain off-balance sheet arrangements that expose us to increased risk. Our significant off-balance sheet transactions include commitments associated with non-cancelable operating leases, letter of credit obligations, firm commitments for materials and surety guarantees.

We enter into non-cancelable operating leases for many of our vehicle and equipment needs. These leases allow us to retain our cash when we do not own the vehicles or equipment, and we pay a monthly lease rental fee. At the end of the lease, we have no further obligation to the lessor. We may cancel or terminate a lease before the end of its term. Typically, we would be liable to the lessor for various lease cancellation or termination costs and the difference between the fair market value of the leased asset and the implied book value of the leased asset as calculated in accordance with the lease agreement.

Some of our customers and vendors require us to post letters of credit as a means of guaranteeing performance under our contracts and ensuring payment by us to subcontractors and vendors. If our customer has reasonable cause to effect payment under a letter of credit, we would be required to reimburse our creditor for the letter of credit. At June 30, 2013, \$0.2 million of our outstanding letters of credit were to collateralize our customers and vendors.

Some of the underwriters of our casualty insurance program require us to post letters of credit as collateral, as is common in the insurance industry. To date, we have not had a situation where an underwriter has had reasonable cause to effect payment under a letter of credit. At June 30, 2013, \$6.9 million of our outstanding letters of credit were to collateralize our insurance programs.

From time to time, we may enter into firm purchase commitments for materials such as copper wire and aluminum wire, among others, which we expect to use in the ordinary course of business. These commitments are typically for terms less than one year and require us to buy minimum quantities of materials at specified intervals at a fixed price over the term. As of June 30, 2013, we did not have any open purchase commitments.

Many of our customers require us to post performance and payment bonds issued by a surety. Those bonds guarantee the customer that we will perform under the terms of a contract and that we will pay subcontractors and vendors. In the event that we fail to perform under a contract or pay subcontractors and vendors, the customer may demand the surety to pay or perform under our bond. Our relationship with our sureties is such that we will indemnify the sureties for any expenses they incur in connection with any of the bonds they issue on our behalf. In addition, we have in some cases agreed to assign to a surety as collateral to secure our obligations under the surety agreement, our rights, title and interest in, and all accounts receivable and related proceeds arising pursuant to, any contract bonded by the surety on our behalf and that, upon written demand, we will deposit with the surety, as additional collateral, an amount determined by the surety to be sufficient to discharge any claim made against surety on a bond issued on our behalf. To date, we have not incurred any costs to indemnify our sureties for expenses they incurred on our behalf.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2013, our future contractual obligations due by September 30 of each of the following fiscal years include (in thousands) (1):

	Less than 1 Year	1 to 3 Years	3 to 5 Years	More than 5 Years	Total
Long-term debt obligations	\$ 1,226	\$ 3,542	\$	\$	\$ 4,768
Operating lease obligations	\$ 976	\$ 4,952	\$ 1,865	\$ 751	\$ 8,544
Capital lease obligations	\$ 79	\$ 26	\$	\$	\$ 105
Total	\$ 2,281	\$ 8,520	\$ 1,865	\$ 751	\$ 13,417

(1) The tabular amounts exclude the interest obligations that will be created if the debt and capital lease obligations are outstanding for the periods presented.

Our other commitments expire by September 30 of each of the following fiscal years (in thousands):

	2013	2014	2015	Thereafter	Total
Standby letters of credit	\$ 2,450	\$ 4,602	\$	\$	\$ 7,052
Other commitments	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Total	\$ 2,450	\$ 4,602	\$	\$	\$ 7,052

Table of Contents**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

Management is actively involved in monitoring exposure to market risk and continues to develop and utilize appropriate risk management techniques. Our exposure to significant market risks includes fluctuations in commodity prices for copper, aluminum, steel and fuel. Commodity price risks may have an impact on our results of operations due to the fixed price nature of many of our contracts. We are also exposed to interest rate risk with respect to our outstanding debt obligations on the 2012 Credit Facility and the Wells Fargo Term Loan. For additional information see *Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements* in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Commodity Risk

Our exposure to significant market risks includes fluctuations in commodity prices for copper, aluminum, steel and fuel. Commodity price risks may have an impact on our results of operations due to fixed nature of many of our contracts. Over the long-term, we expect to be able to pass along a portion of these costs to our customers, as market conditions in the construction industry will allow.

Interest Rate Risk

We are also exposed to interest rate risk, with respect to our outstanding revolving debt obligations as well as our letters of credit.

The following table presents principal or notional amounts and related interest rates by fiscal year of maturity for our debt obligations at June 30, 2013 (Dollar amounts in thousands):

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total
Debt Obligations Fixed Rate:						
Capital Lease (22%)	\$ 79	\$ 26	\$	\$	\$	\$ 105
Fair Value of Debt:						
Fixed Rate	\$ 75	\$ 25	\$	\$	\$	\$ 100

Item 4. Controls and Procedures**Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

In accordance with Exchange Act Rule 13a-15 and 15d-15, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2013 to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Our disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. *Legal Proceedings*

For further information regarding legal proceedings, see Note 11, "Commitments and Contingencies - Legal Matters" in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Except as set forth below, there have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed under Item 1A Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 and in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2012. You should consider carefully the risks described below and in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, and in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2012, as well as the other information included in this document before making an investment decision. Our business, results of operations or financial condition could be materially and adversely affected by any of these risks, and the value of your investment may decrease due to any of these risks.

Risks Related to the MISCOR Merger

The Exchange Ratio used to determine the number of shares of IES common stock into which each share of MISCOR common stock will be convertible will fluctuate due to fluctuations in the market value of IES common stock.

At the effective time of the Merger, each outstanding share of MISCOR common stock (other than shares held by MISCOR stockholders who do not vote in favor of the adoption of the Merger Agreement and who are entitled to and properly demand appraisal rights in accordance with Indiana law and shares to be cancelled pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement) will be converted into the right to receive merger consideration comprised of, at the election of the holder, either the Cash Consideration or the Stock Consideration.

The number of shares of IES common stock into which each share of MISCOR common stock will be convertible at the effective time of the Merger will be based on the Exchange Ratio, the denominator of which is the 60-day VWAP of IES common stock ending with the 15th business day prior to the closing date. As such, the number of shares of IES common stock constituting the Stock Consideration that MISCOR shareholders may elect to receive in the Merger will depend, in part, on the market value of IES common stock. The market price per share of IES common stock and MISCOR common stock will fluctuate between the date of this prospectus and the completion of the Merger. Therefore, IES stockholders cannot be sure of the number of shares of IES common stock that will be issued to the MISCOR shareholders in the Merger. In addition, because the Exchange Ratio will be determined using a period that ends fifteen business days prior to the closing date of the Merger, the number of shares of IES common stock to be issued will likely be different than it would be if the price on the closing date were to be used.

Any delay in completing the Merger and integrating the businesses may reduce the benefits expected to be obtained from the Merger.

The Merger is subject to a number of conditions that are beyond the control of IES and that may prevent, delay or otherwise materially adversely affect its completion. IES cannot predict whether or when the conditions to closing will be satisfied. Any delay in completing the Merger and integrating the businesses may reduce the benefits that IES expects to achieve in the Merger.

The Merger may not be completed on a timely basis or at all. Failure to complete the Merger could negatively impact the stock price and the future business and financial results of IES.

IES cannot assure you that the Merger Agreement will be adopted by the MISCOR shareholders, that the issuance of the shares of IES common stock will be approved by the IES stockholders, or that the other conditions to the completion of the Merger will be satisfied. In addition, both IES and MISCOR have the right to terminate the Merger Agreement under certain conditions. If the Merger is not completed, IES will not receive any of the expected benefits of the Merger and will be subject to risks and/or liabilities, including the following:

failure to complete the Merger might be followed by a decline in the market price of IES common stock;

IES will be required to reimburse MISCOR for its out-of-pocket and documented expenses incurred in connection with the Merger, in an amount not to exceed \$250,000, if the Merger Agreement is terminated under certain conditions;

certain costs relating to the Merger (such as legal and accounting fees) will be payable by IES regardless of whether the Merger is completed; and

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

the proposed Merger may disrupt the businesses of IES and distract its management and employees from day-to-day operations, because work related to the Merger (including integration planning) requires substantial time and resources, which could otherwise have been devoted to other business opportunities for the benefit of IES.

Table of Contents

If the Merger is not completed, these risks and liabilities may materially adversely affect IES' business, financial results, financial condition, and stock price.

In addition, there can be no assurance that IES will be successful in obtaining expected financing. Although financing is not a condition to closing of the Merger, if IES were not able to obtain the expected financing, or not able to obtain the financing on commercially reasonable terms, it may not receive required third party consents to complete the Merger or otherwise might not be able to complete the Merger.

Risks Related to IES Following the MISCOR Merger

IES may experience difficulties in integrating MISCOR's business and could fail to realize potential benefits of the Merger.

Achieving the anticipated benefits of the Merger will depend in part upon whether IES is able to integrate MISCOR's business in an efficient and effective manner. IES may not be able to accomplish this integration process smoothly or successfully. The difficulties of combining the two companies' businesses potentially will include, among other things:

geographically separated organizations and possible differences in corporate cultures and management philosophies;

significant demands on management resources, which may distract management's attention from day-to-day business;

differences in the disclosure systems, compliance requirements, accounting systems, and accounting controls and procedures of the two companies, which may interfere with the ability of IES to make timely and accurate public disclosure; and

the demands of managing new locations, new personnel and new lines of business acquired from MISCOR in the Merger. Any inability to realize the potential benefits of the Merger, as well as any delays in integration, could have an adverse effect upon the revenues, level of expenses and operating results of the combined company, which may adversely affect the value of IES common stock following the Merger.

Failure to retain key employees of MISCOR could adversely affect IES following the Merger.

IES' performance following the Merger could be adversely affected if it is unable to retain certain key employees of MISCOR, which may adversely affect the value of IES common stock following the Merger. The loss of the services of one or more of these key employees, including Michael P. Moore, Marc Valentin and James I. DePew, could adversely affect IES' future operating results because of their experience and knowledge of the business of MISCOR. IES does not currently have any agreements with MISCOR's senior management regarding their continued employment following the Merger.

IES and MISCOR will incur substantial costs in connection with the Merger.

IES and MISCOR expect to incur a number of non-recurring transaction fees and other costs associated with completing the Merger and combining the operations of the two companies, including legal and accounting fees and potential expenses related to shareholder litigation. Based on current estimates, it is anticipated that aggregate fees and expenses incurred or expected to be incurred by IES, MISCOR and Merger Sub in connection with the Merger will total approximately \$2 million. Many of these fees and expenses will be incurred regardless of whether the Merger is consummated. Additional unanticipated costs may also be incurred in the integration of the businesses of IES and MISCOR. If the total costs and indebtedness incurred in completing the Merger exceed estimates, the financial results of the combined company may be materially adversely affected, which may adversely affect the value of IES common stock following the Merger.

IES expects to incur additional debt in connection with the Merger, which could impact its financial condition and results of operations.

While IES' obligation to complete the Merger is not conditioned upon its obtaining financing, IES expects to obtain financing to fund some or all of the cash component of the merger consideration, the repayment of outstanding MISCOR debt and the transaction expenses associated with the

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Merger (the Merger Payments). On April 10, 2013, IES entered into a commitment letter with Wells Fargo, pursuant to which Wells Fargo committed to provide IES, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions precedent, a new amortizing term loan in a principal amount of up to \$14 million under IES revolving credit facility with Wells Fargo (which we refer to as the Acquisition Term Loan). Proceeds of the new term loan will be used only to (i) fund Merger Payments, (ii) refinance IES existing \$5 million term loan with Wells Fargo under its revolving credit facility, and (iii) as otherwise may be permitted by Wells Fargo. The commitment letter was amended on July 10, 2013 to extend its termination to October 31, 2013 to correspond to the termination date of the Merger Agreement as amended.

Table of Contents

The final size and terms of the Acquisition Term Loan, as well as any draw made by IES thereunder, will depend on, among other things, IES liquidity at closing and its funding obligations in connection with the Merger Payments, including (i) the aggregate Cash Consideration to be paid to MISCOR shareholders in connection with the Merger and (ii) MISCOR's debt outstanding at the closing date of the Merger. As of July 24, 2013, MISCOR's Net Debt (for the 30-day period ending on that date), was approximately \$5.994 million. MISCOR estimates that its Net Debt as of the Merger Consideration Determination Date could range from \$7.300 million to \$5.500 million. In order to finance some or all of the Merger Payments, IES expects to utilize its existing cash balances and incur incremental indebtedness of up to \$10.0 million under the Acquisition Term Loan.

IES' increased debt could impact its financial condition and results of operations. In particular, it could:

require IES to dedicate an increased portion of its cash flow from operations to payments on its indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of its cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, other debt service requirements and other general corporate purposes;

place IES at a competitive disadvantage compared to its competitors that have less debt; and

limit IES' ability to borrow additional funds.

Subject to the considerations described above, IES' total debt at closing is expected to be approximately \$14.0 million.

Risks Related to Ownership of IES Common Stock Following the MISCOR Merger

The issuance of shares of IES common stock to MISCOR shareholders in the Merger will dilute the ownership interests of current IES stockholders.

After the Merger, each IES stockholder will have the same number of shares of IES common stock that the stockholder held immediately prior to the Merger. However, because IES will be issuing new shares of IES common stock to MISCOR shareholders in the merger, each share of IES common stock outstanding immediately prior to the Merger will represent a smaller percentage of the aggregate number of shares of IES common stock outstanding after the Merger, and current IES stockholders will own a significantly smaller percentage of the combined company than they currently own of IES. As a result, the relative percentage ownership interest of current IES stockholders with respect to earnings, voting, liquidation value, book value and market value will be reduced in proportion to the number of shares held by MISCOR shareholders who elect to receive Stock Consideration (as defined in the Merger Agreement) in the Merger and could be further reduced based on the final determination of the Exchange Ratio (as defined in the Merger Agreement) used to calculate the amount of Stock Consideration to be received by such shareholders. If the Merger fails to produce the results that IES and MISCOR anticipate, the acquisition may not be accretive to IES stockholders on a per share basis.

If the Merger Consideration Determination Date (as defined in the Merger Agreement) had occurred on July 24, 2013, current IES stockholders would own in the aggregate approximately 94.8% of the combined corporation (including the shares of IES common stock to be issued to Tontine in the Merger), based on the assumptions described in Note 3 to the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements beginning on page F-2 of Amendment No. 4 to IES' Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed with the SEC on August 5, 2013 (the "Merger Registration Statement"), which assumptions will not be definitively determined until the Merger Consideration Determination, and assuming 15,105,846 shares of IES common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the Merger. Consequently, IES stockholders, as a general matter, will have less influence over the management and policies of IES than they currently exercise over the management and policies of IES. See Note 3 to the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements beginning on page F-2 of the Merger Registration Statement on Form S-4/A as filed on July 30, 2013, for further discussion of these assumptions and a sensitivity analysis related to the potential consideration that may be received by MISCOR shareholders.

The price of IES common stock will continue to fluctuate after the Merger and may be affected differently from the separate factors that currently affect the prices of IES common stock and MISCOR common stock.

IES' results of operations, as well as the price of IES common stock following the Merger, may be affected differently from those factors currently separately affecting IES' or MISCOR's results of operations and the prices of IES common stock and MISCOR common stock.

Table of Contents

The market value of IES common stock could decline if large amounts of IES common stock are sold following the Merger.

Following the Merger, stockholders of IES and former stockholders of MISCOR will own interests in a combined company operating an expanded business with more assets and a different mix of liabilities. Current stockholders of IES and MISCOR may not wish to continue to invest in the additional operations of the combined company, or for other reasons may wish to dispose of some or all of their interests in the combined company. On February 21, 2013, pursuant to a registration rights agreement with Tontine, IES filed a shelf registration statement (as amended, the Shelf Registration Statement) to register all of Tontine's shares of IES common stock. The Shelf Registration Statement was declared effective by the SEC on June 18, 2013. For so long as it remains effective, Tontine will have the ability to resell any or all of the shares of IES common stock included in the Shelf Registration Statement from time to time in one or more offerings, as described in the Shelf Registration Statement and in any prospectus supplement filed in connection with an offering pursuant to the Shelf Registration Statement. IES has received no indication from Tontine that it intends to resell any securities pursuant to the Shelf Registration Statement prior to the closing of the Merger, nor has Tontine made any such sale pursuant to the Shelf Registration Statement to date. If, following the Merger, large amounts of IES common stock are sold, the price of IES common stock could decline.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Financial Statement Schedules and Exhibits

See Index to Financial Statements under Item 8, *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* of this Form 10-Q.

(b) Exhibits

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of March 13, 2013, by and among, Integrated Electrical Services, Inc., MISCOR Group, Ltd. and IES Subsidiary Holdings, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 13, 2013)
- 2.2 First Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of July 10, 2013, by and among, Integrated Electrical Services, Inc., MISCOR Group, Ltd. and IES Subsidiary Holdings, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 10, 2013)
- 3.1 Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Integrated Electrical Services, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's registration statement on Form S-8 filed on May 12, 2006)

Table of Contents

3.2	Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 28, 2013).
3.3	Bylaws of Integrated Electrical Services, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's registration statement on Form S-8, filed on May 12, 2006)
4.1	Tax Benefit Protection Plan Agreement by and between Integrated Electrical Services, Inc. and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, as Rights Agent, dated as of January 28, 2013, including the forms of Certificate of Designation and of Rights Certificate and Summary of Stockholder Rights Plan attached thereto as Exhibits A, B and C, respectively (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 28, 2013).
10.1	Agreement of Indemnity, dated May 7, 2013, by Integrated Electrical Services, Inc. and certain of its present and future subsidiaries and affiliates and XL Specialty Insurance Company, XL Reinsurance America, Inc. and Greenwich Insurance Company and their affiliates, subsidiaries, successors and assigns. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 13, 2013)
* 31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of James M. Lindstrom, Chief Executive Officer
* 31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Robert W. Lewey, Chief Financial Officer
* 32.1	Section 1350 Certification of James M. Lindstrom, Chief Executive Officer
* 32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Robert W. Lewey, Chief Financial Officer
** 101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
** 101.SCH	XBRL Schema Document
** 101.LAB	XBRL Label Linkbase Document
** 101.PRE	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document
** 101.DEF	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document
** 101.CAL	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document
* Filed herewith	
** Furnished herewith	

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on August 12, 2013.

INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

By: /s/ ROBERT W. LEWEY
Robert W. Lewey
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer