

GENERAL AMERICAN INVESTORS CO INC  
 Form 4  
 October 12, 2016

**FORM 4**

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
 Washington, D.C. 20549

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0287  
 Expires: January 31, 2015  
 Estimated average burden hours per response... 0.5

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction 1(b).

**STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES**

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person \*  
 TRUST F B O ALTSCHUL EMILY  
 H U A D 1 9 6 7

(Last) (First) (Middle)

C/O OVERBROOK  
 MANAGEMENT CORP., 122 E.  
 42ND STREET, SUITE 2500

(Street)

NEW YORK, NY 10168

(City) (State) (Zip)

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol  
 GENERAL AMERICAN  
 INVESTORS CO INC [GAM]

3. Date of Earliest Transaction  
 (Month/Day/Year)  
 10/10/2016

4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer

(Check all applicable)

Director  10% Owner  
 Officer (give title below)  Other (specify below)  
 Chairman

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line)  
 Form filed by One Reporting Person  
 Form filed by More than One Reporting Person

**Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned**

1. Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	3. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	4. Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Indirect Ownership (Instr. 4)
				(A) or (D)	Price		
				Code	V	Amount	
Common Stock	10/10/2016		S	3,000	D	\$ 32.5875	25,813 D

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

**Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.**

SEC 1474 (9-02)

**Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)**

Edgar Filing: GENERAL AMERICAN INVESTORS CO INC - Form 4

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	5. Number of Derivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5)	6. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date (Month/Day/Year)	7. Title and Amount of Underlying Securities (Instr. 3 and 4)	8. Price of Derivative Security (Instr. 5)	9. Number of Derivative Securities Owned Following Reporting Transaction (Instr. 6)
--	--	--------------------------------------	--	--------------------------------	---	--	---	--	---

## Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships				Title	Amount or Number of Shares
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other		
TRUST F B O ALTSCHUL EMILY H U A D 1 9 6 7 C/O OVERBROOK MANAGEMENT CORP. 122 E. 42ND STREET, SUITE 2500 NEW YORK, NY 10168	X				Chairman	

## Signatures

/s/ Spencer Davidson, Trustee, Trust FBO Emily H. Altschul U/A/D 1967  
 10/12/2016  
 \*\*Signature of Reporting Person Date

## Explanation of Responses:

\* If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).

\*\* Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, see Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. m">

**(8) Commitments and Contingencies**

Short-term investments include \$34,560,000 in current maturities of investments held by the Company's insurance segment at June 29, 2013. The non-current portion of the bond portfolio of \$67,157,000 is included in other assets. The short-term investments, together with \$22,047,000 of non-current investments, provide collateral for the \$50,946,000 of letters of credit issued to guarantee payment of insurance claims. As of June 29, 2013, Landstar also had \$34,752,000 of additional letters of credit outstanding under the Company's Credit Agreement.

As further described in periodic and current reports previously filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), on September 23, 2011, a jury sitting in a state court in Cobb County, Georgia, entered a damage award of approximately \$40.2 million (such amount, plus pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest and attorney fees awarded to the plaintiffs are collectively referred to herein as the "Damage Award") against Landstar Ranger, Inc., Landstar System Holdings, Inc. and Landstar System, Inc. (the "Landstar Defendants"). The Damage Award arises out of an accident that occurred in February 2007 involving a BCO Independent Contractor leased to Landstar Ranger, Inc. On May 28, 2013, the trial court issued an order denying post-trial motions for directed verdict and a new trial filed by the Landstar Defendants and entered an amended judgment that revised the Damage Award to include approximately \$1.0 million of pre-judgment interest, \$14.0 million of attorney fees and post-judgment interest at the rate of 6.25% per annum from and after September 23, 2011 on the aggregate sum of approximately \$55.2 million awarded to the plaintiffs. Under the terms of the commercial trucking insurance program that Landstar had in place in 2007, Landstar retained liability for up to \$5 million with respect to the accident giving rise to the Damage Award. Landstar has third party insurance and/or reinsurance policies in place that are expected to provide coverage for all amounts of the Damage Award in excess of such retention, including all related out-of-pocket expenses, such as the costs of an appeal bond, interest and attorney fees comprising the Damage Award that may be entered by the trial court or an appellate court in the future. The Company recorded a \$5 million charge representing its self-insured retention in respect of this accident in the consolidated financial results of the Company in the 2007 first quarter. Accordingly, that portion of the Damage Award has been previously recorded by the Company and therefore did not reduce consolidated operating income or net income for the Company's 2011 or 2012 fiscal years. Under the terms of the Company's insurance policies, the Company is the primary obligor of the amount of the Damage Award, and as such, the Company has reported a \$38.7 million receivable from the third party insurance providers in other receivables and a corresponding liability of the same amount in insurance claims in the unaudited consolidated balance sheets at June 29, 2013. The Landstar Defendants have filed a notice of appeal of the Damage Award and have posted the requisite supersedeas bond. No assurances can be given regarding the outcome of any such appeal, including as to the impact of the Damage Award on the premiums charged by the Company's third party insurers from time to time for commercial trucking insurance.

The Company is involved in certain claims and pending litigation, including those described herein, arising from the normal conduct of business. Many of these claims are covered in whole or in part by insurance. Based on knowledge of the facts and, in certain cases, opinions of outside counsel, management believes that adequate provisions have been made for probable losses with respect to the resolution of all such claims and pending litigation and that the ultimate outcome, after provisions therefor, will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of

the Company, but could have a material effect on the results of operations in a given quarter or year.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the attached interim consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, and with the Company's audited financial statements and notes thereto for the fiscal year ended December 29, 2012 and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in the 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The following is a "safe harbor" statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Statements contained in this document that are not based on historical facts are forward-looking statements. This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and other sections of this Form 10-Q contain forward-looking statements, such as statements which relate to Landstar's business objectives, plans, strategies and expectations. Terms such as anticipates, believes, estimates, expects, plans, predicts, may, should, the negative thereof and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements are by nature subject to uncertainties and risks, including but not limited to: an increase in the frequency or severity of accidents or other claims; unfavorable development of existing accident claims; dependence on third party insurance companies; dependence on independent commission sales agents; dependence on third party capacity providers; decreased demand for transportation services; substantial industry competition; disruptions or failures in our computer systems; dependence on key vendors; changes in fuel taxes; status of independent contractors; regulatory and legislative changes; catastrophic loss of a Company facility; acquired businesses; intellectual property; and other operational, financial or legal risks or uncertainties detailed in Landstar's Form 10-K for the 2012 fiscal year, described in Item 1A "Risk Factors", this report or in Landstar's other Securities and Exchange Commission filings from time to time. These risks and uncertainties could cause actual results or events to differ materially from historical results or those anticipated. Investors should not place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements and the Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements.

### Introduction

Landstar System, Inc. and its subsidiary, Landstar System Holdings, Inc. (together, referred to herein as "Landstar" or the "Company"), is an asset-light provider of freight transportation services and integrated transportation management solutions. The Company offers services to its customers across multiple transportation modes, with the ability to arrange for individual shipments of freight to enterprise-wide solutions to manage all of a customer's transportation and logistics needs. Landstar provides services principally throughout the United States and to a lesser extent in Canada, and between the United States and Canada, Mexico and other countries around the world. The Company's services emphasize safety, information coordination and customer service and are delivered through a network of independent commission sales agents and third party capacity providers linked together by a series of technological applications which are provided and coordinated by the Company. The nature of the Company's business is such that a significant portion of its operating costs varies directly with revenue.

Landstar markets its freight transportation services and integrated transportation management solutions primarily through independent commission sales agents and exclusively utilizes third party capacity providers to transport and store customers' freight. Landstar's independent commission sales agents enter into contractual arrangements with the Company and are responsible for locating freight, making that freight available to Landstar's capacity providers and coordinating the transportation of the freight with customers and capacity providers. The Company's third party capacity providers consist of independent contractors who provide truck capacity to the Company under exclusive lease arrangements (the "BCO Independent Contractors"), unrelated trucking companies who provide truck capacity to the Company under non-exclusive contractual arrangements (the "Truck Brokerage Carriers"), air cargo carriers, ocean cargo carriers, railroads and independent warehouse capacity providers. Through this network of agents and capacity providers linked together by Landstar's information technology systems, Landstar operates a freight transportation services and integrated transportation management solutions business primarily throughout North America with revenue of \$2.8 billion during the most recently completed fiscal year. The Company reports the results of two operating segments: the transportation logistics segment and the insurance segment.

The transportation logistics segment provides a wide range of transportation services and supply chain solutions. Transportation services offered by the Company include truckload and less-than-truckload transportation, rail intermodal, air cargo, ocean cargo, expedited ground and air delivery of time-critical freight, heavy-haul/specialized, U.S.-Canada and U.S.-Mexico cross-border, project cargo and customs brokerage. Supply chain solutions are based on advanced technology solutions utilizing intellectual property that may be owned by the Company or licensed from third parties. Such solutions as offered by the Company may include integrated multi-modal solutions, outsourced logistics, supply chain engineering and warehousing. Industries serviced by the transportation logistics segment include automotive products, lumber and building products, metals, chemicals, foodstuffs, heavy machinery, retail, electronics,

## **Table of Contents**

ammunition and explosives and military equipment. In addition, the transportation logistics segment provides transportation services to other transportation companies, including logistics and less-than-truckload service providers. Each of the independent commission sales agents has the opportunity to market all of the services provided by the transportation logistics segment. Freight transportation services are typically charged to customers on a per shipment basis for the physical transportation of freight. Supply chain solution customers are generally charged fees for the services provided. Revenue recognized by the transportation logistics segment when providing capacity to customers to haul their freight is referred to herein as transportation services revenue and revenue for freight management services recognized on a fee-for-service basis is referred to herein as transportation management fees. During the twenty six weeks ended June 29, 2013, transportation services revenue hauled by BCO Independent Contractors, Truck Brokerage Carriers and railroads represented 50%, 43% and 3%, respectively, of the Company's transportation logistics segment revenue. Collectively, transportation services revenue hauled by air and ocean cargo carriers represented 3% of the Company's transportation logistics segment revenue in the twenty-six-week period ended June 29, 2013. Transportation management fees represented 1% of the Company's transportation logistics segment revenue in the twenty-six-week period ended June 29, 2013.

The insurance segment is comprised of Signature Insurance Company, a wholly owned offshore insurance subsidiary ( Signature ), and Risk Management Claim Services, Inc. This segment provides risk and claims management services to certain of Landstar's operating subsidiaries. In addition, it reinsures certain risks of the Company's BCO Independent Contractors and provides certain property and casualty insurance directly to certain of Landstar's operating subsidiaries. Revenue at the insurance segment represents reinsurance premiums from third party insurance companies that provide insurance programs to BCO Independent Contractors where all or a portion of the risk is ultimately borne by Signature. Revenue at the insurance segment represented approximately 1% of the Company's consolidated revenue for the twenty-six-week period ended June 29, 2013.

## **Changes in Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

Management believes the Company's success principally depends on its ability to generate freight through its network of independent commission sales agents and to efficiently deliver that freight utilizing third party capacity providers. Management believes the most significant factors to the Company's success include increasing revenue, sourcing capacity and controlling costs, including insurance and claims.

While customer demand, which is subject to overall economic conditions, ultimately drives increases or decreases in revenue, the Company primarily relies on its independent commission sales agents to establish customer relationships and generate revenue opportunities. Management's emphasis with respect to revenue growth is on revenue generated by independent commission sales agents who on an annual basis generate \$1 million or more of Landstar revenue ( Million Dollar Agents ). Management believes future revenue growth is primarily dependent on its ability to increase both the revenue generated by Million Dollar Agents and the number of Million Dollar Agents through a combination of recruiting new agents and increasing the revenue opportunities generated by existing independent commission sales agents. During the 2012 fiscal year, 504 independent commission sales agents generated \$1 million or more of Landstar revenue and thus qualified as Million Dollar Agents. During the 2012 fiscal year, the average revenue generated by a Million Dollar Agent was \$4,999,000 and revenue generated by Million Dollar Agents in the aggregate represented 90% of consolidated revenue.

**Table of Contents**

Management monitors business activity by tracking the number of loads (volume) and revenue per load by mode of transportation. Revenue per load can be influenced by many factors other than a change in price. Those factors include the average length of haul, freight type, special handling and equipment requirements, fuel costs and delivery time requirements. For shipments involving two or more modes of transportation, revenue is classified by the mode of transportation having the highest cost for the load. The following table summarizes this data by mode of transportation:

	Twenty Six Weeks Ended		Thirteen Weeks Ended	
	June 29, 2013	June 30, 2012	June 29, 2013	June 30, 2012
<b>Revenue generated through (in thousands):</b>				
BCO Independent Contractors	\$ 645,548	\$ 701,248	\$ 341,499	\$ 371,886
Truck Brokerage Carriers	551,822	578,932	281,181	308,090
Rail intermodal	36,688	36,220	18,677	18,838
Ocean and air cargo carriers	45,021	40,127	23,918	22,458
Other <sup>(1)</sup>	28,582	28,469	14,065	14,701
	\$ 1,307,661	\$ 1,384,996	\$ 679,340	\$ 735,973
<b>Number of loads:</b>				
BCO Independent Contractors	392,370	415,150	204,600	215,950
Truck Brokerage Carriers	331,740	333,600	167,780	175,570
Rail intermodal	14,390	14,820	7,370	7,660
Ocean and air cargo carriers	8,040	7,910	4,070	3,930
	746,540	771,480	383,820	403,110
<b>Revenue per load:</b>				
BCO Independent Contractors	\$ 1,645	\$ 1,689	\$ 1,669	\$ 1,722
Truck Brokerage Carriers	1,663	1,735	1,676	1,755
Rail intermodal	2,550	2,444	2,534	2,459
Ocean and air cargo carriers	5,600	5,073	5,877	5,715

(1) Includes premium revenue generated by the insurance segment and warehousing and transportation management fee revenue generated by the transportation logistics segment.

Also critical to the Company's success is its ability to secure capacity, particularly truck capacity, at rates that allow the Company to profitably transport customers' freight. The following table summarizes available truck capacity providers:

	June 29, 2013	June 30, 2012
BCO Independent Contractors	7,876	7,959
Truck Brokerage Carriers:		
Approved and active <sup>(1)</sup>	20,844	19,283
Other approved	11,228	9,051
	32,072	28,334
Total available truck capacity providers	39,948	36,293
Number of trucks provided by BCO Independent Contractors	8,368	8,478

<sup>(1)</sup> Active refers to Truck Brokerage Carriers who moved at least one load in the 180 days immediately preceding the fiscal quarter end. The Company incurs costs that are directly related to the transportation of freight that include purchased transportation and commissions to agents. The Company incurs indirect costs associated with the transportation of freight that include other operating costs and insurance and claims. In addition, the Company incurs selling, general and administrative costs essential to administering its business operations. Management continually monitors all components of the costs incurred by the Company and establishes annual cost budgets which, in general, are used to benchmark costs incurred on a monthly basis.

Purchased transportation represents the amount a BCO Independent Contractor or other third party capacity provider is paid to haul freight. The amount of purchased transportation paid to a BCO Independent Contractor is primarily based on a contractually agreed-upon percentage of revenue generated by the haul. Purchased transportation paid to a Truck Brokerage Carrier is based on either a negotiated rate for each load hauled or a contractually agreed-upon rate. Purchased transportation paid to railroads, air cargo carriers or ocean cargo carriers is based on contractually agreed-upon fixed rates. Purchased transportation as a percentage of revenue for truck brokerage, rail intermodal and ocean cargo services is normally higher than that of BCO Independent Contractor and air cargo services. Purchased



## Table of Contents

transportation is the largest component of costs and expenses and, on a consolidated basis, increases or decreases in proportion to the revenue generated through BCO Independent Contractors and other third party capacity providers, transportation management fees and revenue from the insurance segment. Purchased transportation as a percent of revenue also increases or decreases in relation to the availability of truck brokerage capacity and the price of fuel on revenue hauled by Truck Brokerage Carriers. Purchased transportation costs are recognized upon the completion of freight delivery.

Commissions to agents are based on contractually agreed-upon percentages of revenue or net revenue, defined as revenue less the cost of purchased transportation, or net revenue less a contractually agreed upon percentage of revenue retained by Landstar. Commissions to agents as a percentage of consolidated revenue will vary directly with fluctuations in the percentage of consolidated revenue generated by the various modes of transportation, transportation management fees and revenue from the insurance segment and with changes in net revenue margin, defined as net revenue divided by revenue, on services provided by Truck Brokerage Carriers, railroads, air cargo carriers and ocean cargo carriers. Commissions to agents are recognized upon the completion of freight delivery.

The Company defines gross profit as revenue less the cost of purchased transportation and commissions to agents. Gross profit divided by revenue is referred to as gross profit margin. The Company's operating margin is defined as operating income divided by gross profit.

In general, gross profit margin on revenue hauled by BCO Independent Contractors represents a fixed percentage of revenue due to the nature of the contracts that pay a fixed percentage of revenue to both the BCO Independent Contractors and independent commission sales agents. For revenue hauled by Truck Brokerage Carriers, gross profit margin is either fixed or variable as a percent of revenue, depending on the contract with each individual independent commission sales agent. Under certain contracts with independent commission sales agents, the Company retains a fixed percentage of revenue and the agent retains the amount remaining less the cost of purchased transportation (the retention contracts). Gross profit margin on revenue hauled by railroads, air cargo carriers, ocean cargo carriers and Truck Brokerage Carriers, other than those under retention contracts, is variable in nature as the Company's contracts with independent commission sales agents provide commissions to agents at a contractually agreed upon percentage of net revenue for these types of loads. Approximately 60% of the Company's revenue in the twenty-six-week period ended June 29, 2013 was generated under contracts that have a fixed gross profit margin.

Maintenance costs for Company-provided trailing equipment and BCO Independent Contractor recruiting costs are the largest components of other operating costs. Also included in other operating costs are the provision for uncollectible advances and other receivables due from BCO Independent Contractors and independent commission sales agents and gains/losses, if any, on sales of Company-owned trailing equipment.

Potential liability associated with accidents in the trucking industry is severe and occurrences are unpredictable. For commercial trucking claims, Landstar retains liability up to \$5,000,000 per occurrence. The Company also retains liability for each general liability claim up to \$1,000,000, \$250,000 for each workers' compensation claim and up to \$250,000 for each cargo claim. The Company's exposure to liability associated with accidents incurred by Truck Brokerage Carriers, railroads and air and ocean cargo carriers who transport freight on behalf of the Company is reduced by various factors including the extent to which such carriers maintain their own insurance coverage. A material increase in the frequency or severity of accidents, cargo claims or workers' compensation claims or the material unfavorable development of existing claims could have a material adverse effect on Landstar's cost of insurance and claims and its results of operations.

Employee compensation and benefits account for over sixty percent of the Company's selling, general and administrative costs.

Depreciation and amortization primarily relate to depreciation of trailing equipment, amortization of intangible assets and depreciation of information technology hardware and software.

**Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth the percentage relationship of purchased transportation and commissions to agents, both being direct costs, to revenue and indirect costs as a percentage of gross profit for the periods indicated:

	Twenty Six Weeks Ended		Thirteen Weeks Ended	
	June 29, 2013	June 30, 2012	June 29, 2013	June 30, 2012
Revenue	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Purchased transportation	75.9	76.2	76.0	76.5
Commissions to agents	7.9	7.7	7.9	7.7
Gross profit margin	16.2%	16.1%	16.1%	15.9%
Gross profit	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Investment income	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3
Indirect costs and expenses:				
Other operating costs	4.5	5.0	3.8	4.0
Insurance and claims	11.2	9.2	10.9	8.0
Selling, general and administrative	33.5	34.3	32.7	32.3
Depreciation and amortization	7.1	6.0	7.2	5.7
Total costs and expenses	56.3	54.6	54.6	50.0
Operating margin	44.1%	45.8%	45.7%	50.4%

**TWENTY SIX WEEKS ENDED JUNE 29, 2013 COMPARED TO TWENTY SIX WEEKS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012**

Revenue for the 2013 twenty-six-week period was \$1,307,661,000, a decrease of \$77,335,000, or 6%, compared to the 2012 twenty-six-week period. Revenue decreased \$77,835,000, or 6%, at the transportation logistics segment. The decrease in revenue at the transportation logistics segment was primarily attributable to a 3% decrease in the number of loads hauled and decreased revenue per load of approximately 3%. Included in the 2013 and 2012 twenty-six-week periods was \$10,294,000 and \$10,650,000, respectively, of transportation management fee revenue. Revenue at the insurance segment, representing reinsurance premiums from third party insurance companies that provide insurance programs to BCO Independent Contractors where all or a portion of the risk of loss is ultimately borne by Signature, was \$18,102,000 and \$17,602,000 for the 2013 and 2012 twenty-six-week periods, respectively.

Truck transportation revenue hauled by BCO Independent Contractors and Truck Brokerage Carriers (together, the third party truck capacity providers ) for the twenty-six-week period ended June 29, 2013, was \$1,197,370,000, or 92% of total revenue, a decrease of \$82,810,000, or 6%, compared to the 2012 twenty-six-week period. The number of loads hauled by third party truck capacity providers in the 2013 twenty-six-week period decreased 3% compared to the 2012 twenty-six-week period, and revenue per load decreased 3% compared to the 2012 twenty-six-week period. The decrease in the number of loads hauled by third party truck capacity providers was primarily due to lower demand for transportation services provided on un-sided/platform equipment, with more than half of that decrease from heavy/specialized services. The decrease in revenue per load was partly due to a change in revenue mix, primarily as it relates to decreased heavy/specialized services, which typically have a higher revenue per load, and a general softness in revenue per load on loads hauled on unsided/platform equipment. Fuel surcharges on Truck Brokerage Carrier revenue identified separately in billings to customers and included as a component of Truck Brokerage Carrier revenue were \$54,944,000 and \$55,548,000 in the 2013 and 2012 periods, respectively. Fuel surcharges billed to customers on revenue hauled by BCO Independent Contractors are excluded from revenue.

Transportation revenue hauled by rail intermodal, air cargo and ocean cargo carriers (collectively, the multimode capacity providers ) for the twenty-six-week period ended June 29, 2013, was \$81,709,000, or 6% of total revenue, an increase of \$5,362,000, or 7%, compared to the 2012 twenty-six-week period. The number of loads hauled by multimode capacity providers in the 2013 twenty-six-week period decreased 1% compared to the 2012 twenty-six-week period, while revenue per load on revenue hauled by multimode capacity providers increased 8% over the same period. Revenue per load on revenue hauled by multimode capacity providers is influenced by many factors, including the revenue mix among the various modes of transportation used, length of haul, complexity of freight, density of freight lanes, fuel costs and availability of capacity.

## Edgar Filing: GENERAL AMERICAN INVESTORS CO INC - Form 4

Purchased transportation was 75.9% and 76.2% of revenue in the 2013 and 2012 twenty-six-week periods, respectively. The decrease in purchased transportation as a percentage of revenue was primarily attributable to a decrease in the rate of purchased transportation paid to Truck Brokerage Carriers. Commissions to agents were 7.9% and 7.7% of revenue in the 2013 and 2012 periods, respectively. The increase in commissions to agents as a percentage of revenue was primarily attributable to an increased net revenue margin on revenue hauled by Truck Brokerage Carriers.

Investment income at the insurance segment was \$745,000 and \$792,000 in the 2013 and 2012 twenty-six-week periods, respectively. The decrease in investment income was primarily due to lower average rates of return on investments held by the insurance segment in the 2013 period.

**Table of Contents**

Other operating costs were 4.5% and 5.0% of gross profit in the 2013 and 2012 twenty-six-week periods, respectively. The decrease in other operating costs as a percentage of gross profit was primarily attributable to decreased trailer equipment maintenance and other trailing equipment costs in the 2013 twenty-six-week period. Insurance and claims were 11.2% of gross profit in the 2013 period and 9.2% of gross profit in the 2012 period. The increase in insurance and claims as a percentage of gross profit was primarily due to increased net unfavorable development of prior year claims of \$4,645,000, primarily related to two claims, in the 2013 period compared to \$241,000 in the 2012 period. Selling, general and administrative costs were 33.5% of gross profit in the 2013 period and 34.3% of gross profit in the 2012 period. The decrease in selling, general and administrative costs as a percentage of gross profit was primarily attributable to a decreased provision for bonuses under the Company's incentive compensation plan and a decrease in the provision for customer bad debt, partially offset by the effect of decreased gross profit in the 2013 period. Depreciation and amortization was 7.1% of gross profit in the 2013 period and 6.0% of gross profit in the 2012 period. The increase in depreciation and amortization as a percentage of gross profit was primarily due to depreciation on new trailing equipment that replaced older, fully depreciated trailing equipment and the effect of decreased gross profit in the 2013 period.

The provisions for income taxes for both the 2013 and 2012 twenty-six-week periods were based on estimated full year combined effective income tax rates of 38.2%, adjusted for discrete events recognized during the period, primarily benefits resulting from disqualifying dispositions of the Company's common stock by employees who obtained the stock through exercises of incentive stock options. The effective income tax rates for the 2013 and 2012 twenty-six-week periods were 37.7% and 37.6%, respectively, which were higher than the statutory federal income tax rate primarily as a result of state taxes, the meals and entertainment exclusion and non-deductible stock compensation expense. The increase in the effective income tax rate in the 2013 twenty-six-week period compared to the 2012 twenty-six-week period was due to a decrease in income tax benefits recognized in the 2013 period compared to the 2012 period resulting from fewer disqualifying dispositions of the Company's common stock by employees who obtained the stock through exercises of incentive stock options in such year.

Net income was \$57,206,000, or \$1.23 per common share (\$1.23 per diluted share), in the 2013 twenty-six-week period. Net income was \$62,702,000, or \$1.34 per common share (\$1.33 per diluted share), in the 2012 twenty-six-week period.

**THIRTEEN WEEKS ENDED JUNE 29, 2013 COMPARED TO THIRTEEN WEEKS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012**

Revenue for the 2013 thirteen-week period was \$679,340,000, a decrease of \$56,633,000, or 8%, compared to the 2012 thirteen-week period. Revenue decreased \$56,864,000, or 8%, at the transportation logistics segment. The decrease in revenue at the transportation logistics segment was primarily attributable to a 5% decrease in the number of loads hauled and decreased revenue per load of approximately 3%. Included in the 2013 and 2012 thirteen-week periods was \$4,902,000 and \$5,754,000, respectively, of transportation management fee revenue. Revenue at the insurance segment, representing reinsurance premiums from third party insurance companies that provide insurance programs to BCO Independent Contractors where all or a portion of the risk of loss is ultimately borne by Signature, was \$9,062,000 and \$8,831,000 for the 2013 and 2012 thirteen-week periods, respectively.

Truck transportation revenue hauled by third party truck capacity providers for the thirteen-week period ended June 29, 2013, was \$622,680,000, or 92% of total revenue, a decrease of \$57,296,000, or 8%, compared to the 2012 thirteen-week period. The number of loads hauled by third party truck capacity providers in the 2013 thirteen-week period decreased 5% compared to the 2012 thirteen-week period, and revenue per load decreased 4% compared to the 2012 thirteen-week period. The decrease in the number of loads hauled by third party truck capacity providers was primarily due to lower demand for transportation services provided on un-sided/platform equipment, with half of that decrease from heavy/specialized services. The decrease in revenue per load was partly due to a change in revenue mix, primarily as it relates to decreased heavy/specialized services, which typically have a higher revenue per load, and general softness in revenue per load on loads hauled on unsided/platform equipment. Fuel surcharges on Truck Brokerage Carrier revenue identified separately in billings to customers and included as a component of Truck Brokerage Carrier revenue were \$26,618,000 and \$30,107,000 in the 2013 and 2012 periods, respectively.

Transportation revenue hauled by multimode capacity providers for the thirteen-week period ended June 29, 2013, was \$42,595,000, or 6% of total revenue, an increase of \$1,299,000, or 3%, compared to the 2012 thirteen-week period. The number of loads hauled by multimode capacity providers in the 2013 thirteen-week period decreased 1% compared to the 2012 thirteen-week period, while revenue per load on revenue hauled by multimode capacity providers increased 4% over the same period. Revenue per load on revenue hauled by multimode capacity providers is influenced by many factors, including the revenue mix among the various modes of transportation used, length of haul, complexity of freight, density of freight lanes, fuel costs and availability of capacity.

**Table of Contents**

Purchased transportation was 76.0% and 76.5% of revenue in the 2013 and 2012 thirteen-week periods, respectively. The decrease in purchased transportation as a percentage of revenue was primarily attributable to a decrease in the rate of transportation paid to Truck Brokerage Carriers. Commissions to agents were 7.9% and 7.7% of revenue in the 2013 and 2012 periods, respectively. The increase in commissions to agents as a percentage of revenue was primarily attributable to an increased net revenue margin on revenue hauled by Truck Brokerage Carriers.

Investment income at the insurance segment was \$371,000 and \$405,000 in the 2013 and 2012 thirteen-week periods, respectively. The decrease in investment income was primarily due to lower average rates of return on investments held by the insurance segment in the 2013 period.

Other operating costs were 3.8% and 4.0% of gross profit in the 2013 and 2012 thirteen-week periods, respectively. The decrease in other operating costs as a percentage of gross profit was primarily attributable to decreased trailer equipment maintenance and other trailing equipment costs in the 2013 thirteen-week period. Insurance and claims were 10.9% of gross profit in the 2013 period and 8.0% of gross profit in the 2012 period. The increase in insurance and claims as a percentage of gross profit was primarily due to net unfavorable development of prior year claims of \$2,282,000, primarily related to one claim, in the 2013 period compared to net favorable development of \$513,000 in the 2012 period. Selling, general and administrative costs were 32.7% of gross profit in the 2013 period and 32.3% of gross profit in the 2012 period. The increase in selling, general and administrative costs as a percentage of gross profit was primarily attributable to approximately \$2.3 million in costs associated with the Company's annual agent convention, which was held in the first quarter in 2012 as opposed to the second quarter in 2013, and the effect of decreased gross profit in the 2013 period, partially offset by a decreased provision for bonuses under the Company's incentive compensation plan in the 2013 period. Depreciation and amortization was 7.2% of gross profit in the 2013 period and 5.7% of gross profit in the 2012 period. The increase in depreciation and amortization as a percentage of gross profit was primarily due to depreciation on new trailing equipment that replaced older, fully depreciated trailing equipment and the effect of decreased gross profit in the 2013 period.

The provisions for income taxes for both the 2013 and 2012 thirteen-week periods were based on estimated full year combined effective income tax rates of 38.2%, adjusted for discrete events recognized during the period, primarily benefits resulting from disqualifying dispositions of the Company's common stock by employees who obtained the stock through exercises of incentive stock options. The effective income tax rates for the 2013 and 2012 thirteen-week periods were 38.1% and 38.2%, respectively, which were higher than the statutory federal income tax rate primarily as a result of state taxes, the meals and entertainment exclusion and non-deductible stock compensation expense.

Net income was \$30,426,000, or \$0.66 per common share (\$0.66 per diluted share), in the 2013 thirteen-week period. Net income was \$35,855,000, or \$0.76 per common share (\$0.76 per diluted share), in the 2012 thirteen-week period.

**CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY**

Working capital and the ratio of current assets to current liabilities were \$231,553,000 and 1.7 to 1, respectively, at June 29, 2013, compared with \$247,605,000 and 1.7 to 1, respectively, at December 29, 2012. Landstar has historically operated with current ratios within the range of 1.5 to 1 to 2.0 to 1. Cash provided by operating activities was \$84,765,000 in the 2013 twenty-six-week period compared with \$54,810,000 in the 2012 twenty-six-week period. The increase in cash flow provided by operating activities was primarily attributable to the timing of collections of trade receivables.

The Company did not pay cash dividends during the twenty-six-week period ended June 29, 2013. On December 5, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.50 per share to holders of record of its Common Stock as of December 17, 2012. In conjunction with the announcement of this dividend, the Company announced that it does not expect the declaration and payment of dividends in connection with its quarterly earnings results for the four quarters of fiscal 2013 and for the first three quarters of fiscal 2014. The Company paid \$0.11 per share, or \$5,157,000, in cash dividends during the twenty-six-week periods ended June 30, 2012. During the twenty-six-week period ended June 29, 2013, the Company purchased 884,327 shares of its common stock at a total cost of \$46,603,000. As of June 29, 2013, the Company may purchase up to an additional 1,107,550 shares of its common stock under its authorized stock purchase program. Long-term debt, including current maturities, was \$119,285,000 at June 29, 2013, \$5,144,000 higher than at December 29, 2012.

Equity was \$392,244,000, or 77% of total capitalization (defined as long-term debt including current maturities plus equity), at June 29, 2013, compared to \$379,454,000, or 77% of total capitalization, at December 29, 2012. The increase in equity was primarily a result of net income in the 2013 twenty-six-week period, partially offset by purchases of shares of the Company's common stock.

---

**Table of Contents**

On June 29, 2012, Landstar entered into a credit agreement with a syndicate of banks and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (the Credit Agreement ). The Credit Agreement, which matures on June 29, 2017, provides \$225,000,000 of borrowing capacity in the form of a revolving credit facility, \$75,000,000 of which may be utilized in the form of letter of credit guarantees.

The Credit Agreement contains a number of covenants that limit, among other things, the incurrence of additional indebtedness. The Company is required to, among other things, maintain a minimum Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement, and maintain a Leverage Ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement, below a specified maximum. The Credit Agreement provides for a restriction on cash dividends and other distributions to stockholders on the Company's capital stock to the extent there is a default under the Credit Agreement. In addition, the Credit Agreement under certain circumstances limits the amount of such cash dividends and other distributions to stockholders to the extent that, after giving effect to any payment made to effect such cash dividend or other distribution, the Leverage Ratio would exceed 2.5 to 1 on a pro forma basis as of the end of the Company's most recently completed fiscal quarter. The Credit Agreement provides for an event of default in the event that, among other things, a person or group acquires 25% or more of the outstanding capital stock of the Company or obtains power to elect a majority of the Company's directors. None of these covenants are presently considered by management to be materially restrictive to the Company's operations, capital resources or liquidity. The Company is currently in compliance with all of the debt covenants under the Credit Agreement.

At June 29, 2013, the Company had \$20,000,000 in borrowings outstanding and \$34,752,000 of letters of credit outstanding under the Credit Agreement. At June 29, 2013, there was \$170,248,000 available for future borrowings under the Credit Agreement. In addition, the Company has \$50,946,000 in letters of credit outstanding as collateral for insurance claims that are secured by investments totaling \$56,607,000 at June 29, 2013. Investments, all of which are carried at fair value, include primarily investment-grade bonds and U.S. Treasury obligations having maturities of up to five years. Fair value of investments is based primarily on quoted market prices. See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion on measurement of fair value of investments.

Historically, the Company has generated sufficient operating cash flow to meet its debt service requirements, fund continued growth, both internal and through acquisitions, complete or execute share purchases of its common stock under authorized share purchase programs, pay dividends and meet working capital needs. As an asset-light provider of integrated transportation management solutions, the Company's annual capital requirements for operating property are generally for trailing equipment and information technology hardware and software. In addition, a significant portion of the trailing equipment used by the Company is provided by third party capacity providers, thereby reducing the Company's capital requirements. During the 2013 twenty-six-week period, the Company purchased \$2,749,000 of operating property and acquired \$36,704,000 of trailing equipment by entering into capital leases. Landstar anticipates acquiring approximately \$24,000,000 in operating property, primarily new trailing equipment to replace older trailing equipment and information technology equipment, during the remainder of fiscal year 2013 either by purchase or lease financing.

Management believes that cash flow from operations combined with the Company's borrowing capacity under the Credit Agreement will be adequate to meet Landstar's debt service requirements, fund continued growth, both internal and through acquisitions, complete the authorized share purchase program and meet working capital needs.

**LEGAL MATTERS**

As further described in periodic and current reports previously filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ), on September 23, 2011, a jury sitting in a state court in Cobb County, Georgia, entered a damage award of approximately \$40.2 million (such amount, plus pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest and attorney fees awarded to the plaintiffs are collectively referred to herein as the Damage Award ) against Landstar Ranger, Inc., Landstar System Holdings, Inc. and Landstar System, Inc. (the Landstar Defendants ). The Damage Award arises out of an accident that occurred in February 2007 involving a BCO Independent Contractor leased to Landstar Ranger, Inc. On May 28, 2013, the trial court issued an order denying post-trial motions for directed verdict and a new trial filed by the Landstar Defendants and entered an amended judgment that revised the Damage Award to include approximately \$1.0 million of pre-judgment interest, \$14.0 million of attorney fees and post-judgment interest at the rate of 6.25% per annum from and after September 23, 2011 on the aggregate sum of approximately \$55.2 million awarded to the plaintiffs. Under the terms of the commercial trucking insurance program that Landstar had in place in 2007, Landstar retained liability for up to \$5 million with respect to the accident giving rise to the Damage Award. Landstar has third party insurance and/or reinsurance policies in place that are expected to provide coverage for all amounts of the Damage Award in excess of such retention, including all related out-of-pocket expenses, such as the costs of an appeal bond, interest and attorney fees comprising the Damage Award that may be entered by the trial court or an appellate court in the future. The Company recorded a \$5 million charge representing its self-insured retention in respect of

**Table of Contents**

this accident in the consolidated financial results of the Company in the 2007 first quarter. Accordingly, that portion of the Damage Award has been previously recorded by the Company and therefore did not reduce consolidated operating income or net income for the Company's 2011 or 2012 fiscal years. Under the terms of the Company's insurance policies, the Company is the primary obligor of the amount of the Damage Award, and as such, the Company has reported a \$38.7 million receivable from the third party insurance providers in other receivables and a corresponding liability of the same amount in insurance claims in the unaudited consolidated balance sheets at June 29, 2013. The Landstar Defendants have filed a notice of appeal of the Damage Award and have posted the requisite supersedeas bond. No assurances can be given regarding the outcome of any such appeal, including as to the impact of the Damage Award on the premiums charged by the Company's third party insurers from time to time for commercial trucking insurance.

The Company is involved in certain claims and pending litigation, including those described herein, arising from the normal conduct of business. Many of these claims are covered in whole or in part by insurance. Based on knowledge of the facts and, in certain cases, opinions of outside counsel, management believes that adequate provisions have been made for probable losses with respect to the resolution of all such claims and pending litigation and that the ultimate outcome, after provisions therefor, will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the Company, but could have a material effect on the results of operations in a given quarter or year.

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES**

The allowance for doubtful accounts for both trade and other receivables represents management's estimate of the amount of outstanding receivables that will not be collected. Historically, management's estimates for uncollectible receivables have been materially correct. Although management believes the amount of the allowance for both trade and other receivables at June 29, 2013 is appropriate, a prolonged period of low or no economic growth may adversely affect the collection of these receivables. In addition, liquidity concerns and/or unanticipated bankruptcy proceedings at any of the Company's larger customers in which the Company is carrying a significant receivable could result in an increase in the provision for uncollectible receivables and have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations in a given quarter or year. However, it is not expected that an uncollectible accounts receivable resulting from an individual customer would have a significant impact on the Company's financial condition. Conversely, a more robust economic environment or the recovery of a previously provided for uncollectible receivable from an individual customer may result in the realization of some portion of the estimated uncollectible receivables.

Landstar provides for the estimated costs of self-insured claims primarily on an actuarial basis. The amount recorded for the estimated liability for claims incurred is based upon the facts and circumstances known on the applicable balance sheet date. The ultimate resolution of these claims may be for an amount greater or less than the amount estimated by management. The Company continually revises its existing claim estimates as new or revised information becomes available on the status of each claim. Historically, the Company has experienced both favorable and unfavorable development of prior years' claims estimates. During the 2013 and 2012 twenty-six-week periods, insurance and claims costs included \$4,645,000 and \$241,000 of net unfavorable adjustments to prior years' claims estimates, respectively. The unfavorable development of prior year claims in the 2013 twenty-six-week period relates primarily to two claims. It is reasonably likely that the ultimate outcome of settling all outstanding claims will be more or less than the estimated claims reserve at June 29, 2013.

The Company utilizes certain income tax planning strategies to reduce its overall cost of income taxes. If the Company were to be subject to an audit, it is possible that certain strategies might be disallowed resulting in an increased liability for income taxes. Certain of these tax planning strategies result in a level of uncertainty as to whether the related tax positions taken by the Company would result in a recognizable benefit. The Company has provided for its estimated exposure attributable to such tax positions due to the corresponding level of uncertainty with respect to the amount of income tax benefit that may ultimately be realized. Management believes that the provision for liabilities resulting from the uncertainty in certain income tax positions is appropriate. To date, the Company has not experienced an examination by governmental revenue authorities that would lead management to believe that the Company's past provisions for exposures related to the uncertainty of such income tax positions are not appropriate.

The Company tests for impairment of goodwill at least annually, typically in the fourth quarter, based on a two-step impairment test. The first step compares the fair value of each reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. Fair value of each reporting unit is estimated using a discounted cash flow model. The model includes a number of significant assumptions and estimates including future cash flows and discount rates. Such assumptions and estimates necessarily involve management judgments concerning, among other things, future revenues and profitability. If the carrying amount exceeds fair value under the first step of the impairment test, then the second step is performed to measure the amount of any impairment loss. Only the first step of the impairment test was required in 2012 as the estimated fair value of the reporting units significantly exceeded carrying value.

---

## Table of Contents

Significant variances from management's estimates for the amount of uncollectible receivables, the ultimate resolution of self-insured claims, the provision for uncertainty in income tax positions and impairment of goodwill could each be expected to positively or negatively affect Landstar's earnings in a given quarter or year. However, management believes that the ultimate resolution of these items, given a range of reasonably likely outcomes, will not significantly affect the long-term financial condition of Landstar or its ability to fund its continuing operations.

### EFFECTS OF INFLATION

Management does not believe inflation has had a material impact on the results of operations or financial condition of Landstar in the past five years. However, inflation in excess of historic trends might have an adverse effect on the Company's results of operations in the future.

### SEASONALITY

Landstar's operations are subject to seasonal trends common to the trucking industry. Results of operations for the quarter ending in March are typically lower than the quarters ending June, September and December.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company is exposed to changes in interest rates as a result of its financing activities, primarily its borrowings on the revolving credit facility, and investing activities with respect to investments held by the insurance segment.

On June 29, 2012, Landstar entered into a credit agreement with a syndicate of banks and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (the Credit Agreement). The Credit Agreement, which matures on June 29, 2017, provides \$225,000,000 of borrowing capacity in the form of a revolving credit facility, \$75,000,000 of which may be utilized in the form of letter of credit guarantees.

Depending upon the specific type of borrowing, borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest based on either (a) the prime rate, (b) the federal funds effective rate, (c) the rate at the time offered to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. in the Eurodollar market or (d) the London Interbank Offered Rate, plus a margin that is determined based on the level of the Company's Leverage Ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement. As of June 29, 2013 and December 29, 2012, the weighted average interest rate on borrowings outstanding was 1.20% and 1.22%, respectively. During the second quarter of 2013, the average outstanding balance under the Credit Agreement was approximately \$21,100,000. Assuming that debt levels on the Credit Agreement remain at \$20,000,000, the balance at June 29, 2013, a hypothetical increase of 100 basis points in current rates provided for under the Credit Agreement is estimated to result in an increase in interest expense of \$200,000 on an annualized basis. Based on the borrowing rates in the Credit Agreement and the repayment terms, the fair value of the outstanding borrowings as of June 29, 2013 was estimated to approximate carrying value. All amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement are payable on June 29, 2017, the maturity date of the Credit Agreement.

Long-term investments, all of which are available-for-sale and are carried at fair value, include primarily investment-grade bonds and U.S. Treasury obligations having maturities of up to five years. Assuming that the long-term portion of investments remains at \$67,157,000, the balance at June 29, 2013, a hypothetical increase or decrease in interest rates of 100 basis points would not have a material impact on future earnings on an annualized basis. Short-term investments consist of short-term investment-grade instruments and the current maturities of investment-grade corporate bonds and U.S. Treasury obligations. Accordingly, any future interest rate risk on these short-term investments would not be material.

Assets and liabilities of the Company's Canadian operations are translated from their functional currency to U.S. dollars using exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date and revenue and expense accounts are translated at average monthly exchange rates during the period. Adjustments resulting from the translation process are included in accumulated other comprehensive income. Transactional gains and losses arising from receivable and payable balances, including intercompany balances, in the normal course of business that are denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the operation are recorded in the statements of income when they occur. The assets held at the Company's Canadian subsidiary at June 29, 2013 were, as translated to U.S. dollars, less than 1% of total consolidated assets. Accordingly, any translation gain or loss related to the Canadian operation would not be material.



---

**Table of Contents**

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, an evaluation was carried out, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ) and Chief Financial Officer ( CFO ), of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 29, 2013 to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

There were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the Company's fiscal quarter ended June 29, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

In designing and evaluating controls and procedures, Company management recognizes that any disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Because of the inherent limitation in any control system, no evaluation or implementation of a control system can provide complete assurance that all control issues and all possible instances of fraud have been or will be detected.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

As further described in periodic and current reports previously filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ), on September 23, 2011, a jury sitting in a state court in Cobb County, Georgia, entered a damage award of approximately \$40.2 million (such amount, plus pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest and attorney fees awarded to the plaintiffs are collectively referred to herein as the Damage Award ) against Landstar Ranger, Inc., Landstar System Holdings, Inc. and Landstar System, Inc. (the Landstar Defendants ). The Damage Award arises out of an accident that occurred in February 2007 involving a BCO Independent Contractor leased to Landstar Ranger, Inc. On May 28, 2013, the trial court issued an order denying post-trial motions for directed verdict and a new trial filed by the Landstar Defendants and entered an amended judgment that revised the Damage Award to include approximately \$1.0 million of pre-judgment interest, \$14.0 million of attorney fees and post-judgment interest at the rate of 6.25% per annum from and after September 23, 2011 on the aggregate sum of approximately \$55.2 million awarded to the plaintiffs. Under the terms of the commercial trucking insurance program that Landstar had in place in 2007, Landstar retained liability for up to \$5 million with respect to the accident giving rise to the Damage Award. Landstar has third party insurance and/or reinsurance policies in place that are expected to provide coverage for all amounts of the Damage Award in excess of such retention, including all related out-of-pocket expenses, such as the costs of an appeal bond, interest and attorney fees comprising the Damage Award that may be entered by the trial court or an appellate court in the future. The Company recorded a \$5 million charge representing its self-insured retention in respect of this accident in the consolidated financial results of the Company in the 2007 first quarter. Accordingly, that portion of the Damage Award has been previously recorded by the Company and therefore did not reduce consolidated operating income or net income for the Company's 2011 or 2012 fiscal years. Under the terms of the Company's insurance policies, the Company is the primary obligor of the amount of the Damage Award, and as such, the Company has reported a \$38.7 million receivable from the third party insurance providers in other receivables and a corresponding liability of the same amount in insurance claims in the unaudited consolidated balance sheets at June 29, 2013. The Landstar Defendants have filed a notice of appeal of the Damage Award and have posted the requisite supersedeas bond. No assurances can be given regarding the outcome of any such appeal, including as to the impact of the Damage Award on the premiums charged by the Company's third party insurers from time to time for commercial trucking insurance.

The Company is involved in certain claims and pending litigation, including those described herein, arising from the normal conduct of business. Many of these claims are covered in whole or in part by insurance. Based on knowledge of the facts and, in certain cases, opinions of outside counsel, management believes that adequate provisions have been made for probable losses with respect to the resolution of all such claims and pending litigation and that the ultimate outcome, after provisions therefor, will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the Company, but could have a material effect on the results of operations in a given quarter or year.



**Table of Contents****Item 1A. Risk Factors**

For a discussion identifying risk factors and other important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated, see the discussions under Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 29, 2012, and in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**  
**Purchases of Equity Securities by the Company**

The following table provides information regarding the Company's purchase of its common stock during the period from March 31, 2013 to June 29, 2013, the Company's second fiscal quarter:

Fiscal Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program
March 30, 2013				1,991,877
March 31, 2013 – April 27, 2013		\$		1,991,877
April 28, 2013 – May 25, 2013	305,252	53.87	305,252	1,686,625
May 26, 2013 – June 29, 2013	579,075	52.08	579,075	1,107,550
<b>Total</b>	<b>884,327</b>	<b>\$ 52.70</b>	<b>884,327</b>	

On July 25, 2012, Landstar System, Inc. announced that it had been authorized by its Board of Directors to purchase up to 2,000,000 shares of its common stock from time to time in the open market and in privately negotiated transactions. As of June 29, 2013, the Company has authorization to purchase 1,107,550 shares of its common stock under this program. No specific expiration date has been assigned to the July 25, 2012 authorization.

The Company did not pay cash dividends during the twenty-six-week period ended June 29, 2013. On December 5, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.50 per share to holders of record of its Common Stock as of December 17, 2012. In conjunction with the announcement of this dividend, the Company announced that it does not expect the declaration and payment of dividends in connection with its quarterly earnings results for the four quarters of fiscal 2013 and for the first three quarters of fiscal 2014.

On June 29, 2012, Landstar entered into a credit agreement with a syndicate of banks and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (the Credit Agreement). The Credit Agreement provides for a restriction on cash dividends and other distributions to stockholders on the Company's capital stock in the event there is a default under the Credit Agreement. In addition, the Credit Agreement, under certain circumstances, limits the amount of such cash dividends and other distributions to stockholders to the extent that, after giving effect to any payment made to effect such cash dividend or other distribution, the Leverage Ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement) would exceed 2.5 to 1 on a pro forma basis as of the end of the Company's most recently completed fiscal quarter.

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**  
None.**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures**  
Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information  
None.

Item 6. Exhibits  
The exhibits listed on the Exhibit Index are furnished as part of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

**Table of Contents**

EXHIBIT INDEX

Registrant's Commission File No.: 0-21238

Exhibit No.	Description
(31)	Certifications Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002:
31.1 *	Chief Executive Officer certification, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2 *	Chief Financial Officer certification, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
(32)	Certifications Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1 **	Chief Executive Officer certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2 **	Chief Financial Officer certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Schema Document
101.CAL**	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB**	XBRL Labels Linkbase Document
101.PRE**	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF**	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document

\* Filed herewith

\*\* Furnished herewith

**Table of Contents**

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

LANDSTAR SYSTEM, INC.

Date: August 2, 2013

/s/ Henry H. Gerken  
Henry H. Gerken  
Chairman, President and  
Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 2, 2013

/s/ James B. Gattoni  
James B. Gattoni  
Executive Vice President and Chief  
Financial Officer