

VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP

Form 10-Q

May 09, 2013

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2013

OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-11277

VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

New Jersey
(State or other jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

22-2477875
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

1455 Valley Road

Wayne, NJ
(Address of principal executive office)

07470
(Zip code)

973-305-8800

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files.) Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. Common Stock (no par value), of which 199,242,971 shares were outstanding as of May 2, 2013.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page Number
PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
<u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012</u>	5
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	43
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	76
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	76
PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	77
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	77
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	77
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	78
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	79

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (Unaudited)**

(in thousands, except for share data)

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 381,880	\$ 390,078
Interest bearing deposits with banks	588,156	463,022
Investment securities:		
Held to maturity (fair value of \$1,614,712 at March 31, 2013 and \$1,657,950 at December 31, 2012)	1,565,607	1,599,707
Available for sale	955,270	807,816
Trading securities	22,127	22,157
Total investment securities	2,543,004	2,429,680
Loans held for sale, at fair value	135,052	120,230
Non-covered loans	10,650,223	10,842,125
Covered loans	161,276	180,674
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(121,844)	(130,200)
Net loans	10,689,655	10,892,599
Premises and equipment, net	276,664	278,615
Bank owned life insurance	341,217	339,876
Accrued interest receivable	54,174	52,375
Due from customers on acceptances outstanding	2,778	3,323
FDIC loss-share receivable	43,413	44,996
Goodwill	428,234	428,234
Other intangible assets, net	34,972	31,123
Other assets	509,504	538,495
Total Assets	\$ 16,028,703	\$ 16,012,646
Liabilities		
Deposits:		
Non-interest bearing	\$ 3,575,768	\$ 3,558,053
Interest bearing:		
Savings, NOW and money market	5,258,989	5,197,199
Time	2,467,834	2,508,766
Total deposits	11,302,591	11,264,018
Short-term borrowings	147,260	154,323
Long-term borrowings	2,696,003	2,697,299
	190,734	188,522

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts (includes fair value of \$149,767 at March 31, 2013 and \$147,595 at December 31, 2012 for VNB Capital Trust I)

Bank acceptances outstanding	2,778	3,323
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	181,338	202,784
Total Liabilities	14,520,704	14,510,269
Shareholders Equity		
Preferred stock, (no par value, authorized 30,000,000 shares; none issued)		
Common stock, (no par value, authorized 232,023,233 shares; issued 199,096,457 shares at March 31, 2013 and 198,499,275 shares at December 31, 2012)	69,601	69,494
Surplus	1,394,079	1,390,851
Retained earnings	92,451	93,495
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(47,626)	(50,909)
Treasury stock, at cost (50,519 common shares at March 31, 2013 and 61,004 common shares at December 31, 2012)	(506)	(554)
Total Shareholders Equity	1,507,999	1,502,377
Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$ 16,028,703	\$ 16,012,646

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)**

(in thousands, except for share data)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Interest Income		
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 132,999	\$ 148,460
Interest and dividends on investment securities:		
Taxable	14,489	20,751
Tax-exempt	3,649	3,119
Dividends	1,680	1,751
Interest on federal funds sold and other short-term investments	216	55
Total interest income	153,033	174,136
Interest Expense		
Interest on deposits:		
Savings, NOW and money market	4,702	5,354
Time	8,111	10,185
Interest on short-term borrowings	144	253
Interest on long-term borrowings and junior subordinated debentures	30,040	30,885
Total interest expense	42,997	46,677
Net Interest Income	110,036	127,459
Provision for credit losses	1,769	5,697
Net Interest Income After Provision for Credit Losses	108,267	121,762
Non-Interest Income		
Trust and investment services	1,977	1,774
Insurance commissions	3,990	5,436
Service charges on deposit accounts	5,690	5,946
Gains (losses) on securities transactions, net	3,958	(157)
Trading losses, net	(2,202)	(988)
Fees from loan servicing	1,517	1,159
Gains on sales of loans, net	15,060	3,166
(Losses) gains on sales of assets, net	(268)	32
Bank owned life insurance	1,341	1,959
Change in FDIC loss-share receivable	(3,175)	(90)
Other	3,408	4,358
Total non-interest income	31,296	22,595
Non-Interest Expense		
Salary and employee benefits expense	50,572	51,026
Net occupancy and equipment expense	18,889	17,362
FDIC insurance assessment	3,353	3,619
Amortization of other intangible assets	1,603	1,958
Professional and legal fees	3,892	3,624

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Advertising	1,802	1,688
Other	15,328	15,271
Total non-interest expense	95,439	94,548
Income Before Income Taxes	44,124	49,809
Income tax expense	12,814	15,278
Net Income	\$ 31,310	\$ 34,531
Earnings Per Common Share:		
Basic	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.18
Diluted	0.16	0.18
Cash Dividends Declared per Common Share	0.16	0.16
Weighted Average Number of Common Shares Outstanding:		
Basic	198,924,995	196,930,733
Diluted	198,924,995	196,961,915

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)**

(in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Net income	\$ 31,310	\$ 34,531
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:		
Unrealized gains and losses on available for sale securities		
Net (losses) gains arising during the period	(687)	4,226
Less reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in net income	(2,299)	95
Total	(2,986)	4,321
Non-credit impairment losses on available for sale securities		
Net change in non-credit impairment losses on securities	4,743	7,070
Less reclassification adjustment for credit impairment losses included in net income	(66)	(190)
Total	4,677	6,880
Unrealized gains and losses on derivatives (cash flow hedges)		
Net (losses) gains on derivatives arising during the period	(77)	899
Less reclassification adjustment for losses included in net income	1,091	808
Total	1,014	1,707
Defined benefit pension plan		
Amortization of prior service cost	118	103
Amortization of net loss	460	338
Total	578	441
Total other comprehensive income	3,283	13,349
Total comprehensive income	\$ 34,593	\$ 47,880

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)**

(in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 31,310	\$ 34,531
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	4,949	4,457
Stock-based compensation	1,891	1,443
Provision for credit losses	1,769	5,697
Net amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on securities and borrowings	5,737	4,785
Amortization of other intangible assets	1,603	1,958
(Gains) losses on securities transactions, net	(3,958)	157
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	453,881	119,894
Gains on sales of loans, net	(15,060)	(3,166)
Originations of loans held for sale	(453,643)	(107,451)
Losses (gains) on sales of assets, net	268	(32)
Change in FDIC loss-share receivable (excluding reimbursements)	3,175	90
Net change in:		
Trading securities	30	(252)
Fair value of borrowings carried at fair value	2,172	1,240
Cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance	(1,341)	(1,959)
Accrued interest receivable	(1,799)	1,003
Other assets	31,769	119,438
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(22,415)	(40,432)
Net cash provided by operating activities	40,338	141,401
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Net loan originations	204,703	(176,974)
Loans purchased	(10,526)	(117,255)
Investment securities held to maturity:		
Purchases	(111,347)	(52,358)
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	142,206	142,260
Investment securities available for sale:		
Purchases	(192,809)	(49,012)
Sales	3,368	8,335
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	45,184	68,102
Death benefit proceeds from bank owned life insurance		1,689
Proceeds from sales of real estate property and equipment	984	3,276
Purchases of real estate property and equipment	(3,419)	(3,807)
(Payments to) reimbursements from the FDIC	(1,592)	4,372
Cash and cash equivalents acquired in acquisition		117,587
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	76,752	(53,785)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net change in deposits	38,573	(96,211)
Net change in short-term borrowings	(7,063)	197,900
Repayments of long-term borrowings	(1,000)	(30,000)

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Redemption of junior subordinated debentures		(10,000)
Dividends paid to common shareholders	(32,147)	(29,355)
Common stock issued, net	1,483	1,836
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(154)	34,170
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	116,936	121,786
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	853,100	379,049
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 970,036	\$ 500,835

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)**

(in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash payments for:		
Interest on deposits and borrowings	\$ 43,346	\$ 46,449
Federal and state income taxes	25	7,995
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing activities:		
Transfer of loans to other real estate owned	7,223	6,473
Acquisition:		
Non-cash assets acquired:		
Investment securities available for sale		275,650
Loans		1,088,421
Premises and equipment, net		9,457
Accrued interest receivable		5,294
Goodwill		109,758
Other intangible assets, net		8,050
Other assets		72,137
Total non-cash assets acquired	\$	\$ 1,568,767
Liabilities assumed:		
Deposits		1,380,293
Short-term borrowings		29,000
Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts		15,645
Other liabilities		52,998
Total liabilities assumed		1,477,936
Net non-cash assets acquired	\$	\$ 90,831
Net cash and cash equivalents acquired in acquisition	\$	\$ 117,587
Common stock issued in acquisition	\$	\$ 208,418

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The unaudited consolidated financial statements of Valley National Bancorp, a New Jersey Corporation (Valley), include the accounts of its commercial bank subsidiary, Valley National Bank (the Bank), and all of Valley's direct or indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries. All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated. The accounting and reporting policies of Valley conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) and general practices within the financial services industry. In accordance with applicable accounting standards, Valley does not consolidate statutory trusts established for the sole purpose of issuing trust preferred securities and related trust common securities.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly Valley's financial position, results of operations and cash flows at March 31, 2013 and for all periods presented have been made. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire fiscal year.

In preparing the unaudited consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP, management has made estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated statements of financial condition and results of operations for the periods indicated. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to change are: the allowance for loan losses; the evaluation of goodwill and other intangible assets, and investment securities for impairment; fair value measurements of assets and liabilities; and income taxes. Estimates and assumptions are reviewed periodically and the effects of revisions are reflected in the consolidated financial statements in the period they are deemed necessary. While management uses its best judgment, actual amounts or results could differ significantly from those estimates. The current economic environment has increased the degree of uncertainty inherent in these material estimates.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and industry practice have been condensed or omitted pursuant to rules and regulations of the SEC. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Effective January 1, 2012, Valley acquired State Bancorp, Inc., the holding company for State Bank of Long Island, a commercial bank. See the supplemental schedule of non-cash investing activities for additional information, as well as Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents**Note 2. Earnings Per Common Share**

The following table shows the calculation of both basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(in thousands, except for share data)	
Net income	\$ 31,310	\$ 34,531
Basic weighted-average number of common shares outstanding	198,924,995	196,930,733
Plus: common stock equivalents		31,182
Diluted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding	198,924,995	196,961,915
Earnings per common share:		
Basic	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.18
Diluted	0.16	0.18

Common stock equivalents, in the table above, represent the effect of outstanding common stock options and warrants to purchase Valley's common shares, excluding those with exercise prices that exceed the average market price of Valley's common stock during the periods presented and therefore, would have an anti-dilutive effect on the diluted earnings per common share calculation. Anti-dilutive common stock options and warrants totaled approximately 7.2 million shares and 7.4 million shares for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Note 3. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The following table presents the after-tax changes in the balances of each component of accumulated other comprehensive loss for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

	Components of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss				Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
	Unrealized Gains and Losses on Available for Sale (AFS) Securities	Non-credit Impairment Losses on AFS Securities	Unrealized Gains and Losses on Derivatives (in thousands)	Defined Benefit Pension Plan	
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 906	\$ (4,175)	\$ (12,676)	\$ (34,964)	\$ (50,909)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	(687)	4,743	(77)		3,979
Amounts reclassified from other comprehensive income	(2,299)	(66)	1,091	578	(696)
Other comprehensive income, net	(2,986)	4,677	1,014	578	3,283
Balance at March 31, 2013	\$ (2,080)	\$ 502	\$ (11,662)	\$ (34,386)	\$ (47,626)

Table of Contents

The following table presents amounts reclassified from each component of accumulated other comprehensive loss on a gross and net of tax basis for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Components of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013	
	Amounts Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss (in thousands)	Income Statement Line Item
Unrealized gains on AFS securities before tax	\$ 3,958	Gains (losses) on securities transactions, net
Tax effect	(1,659)	
Total net of tax	2,299	
Non-credit impairment losses on AFS securities before tax	113	Interest and dividends on investment securities (taxable)
Tax effect	(47)	
Total net of tax	66	
Unrealized losses on derivatives (cash flow hedges) before tax	(1,880)	Interest expense
Tax effect	789	
Total net of tax	(1,091)	
Defined benefit pension plan:		
Amortization of prior service cost	(202)*	
Amortization of net actuarial loss	(794)*	
Total before tax	(996)	
Tax effect	418	
Total net of tax	(578)	
Total reclassifications, net of tax	\$ 696	

* These accumulated other comprehensive loss components are included in the computation of net periodic pension cost.

Note 4. New Authoritative Accounting Guidance

Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, which requires disclosure of the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) on net income line items only for those items that are reported in their entirety in net income in the period of reclassification. For AOCI reclassification items that are not reclassified in their entirety into net income, a cross reference is required to other U.S. GAAP disclosures. The ASU No. 2013-02 was effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after December 15, 2012. Valley's adoption of ASU No. 2013-02 did not have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements. See Note 3 for related disclosures.

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

ASU No. 2012-06, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Subsequent Accounting for an Indemnification Asset Recognized at the Acquisition Date as a Result of a Government-Assisted Acquisition of a Financial Institution, addresses subsequent measurement of an indemnification asset recognized in a government-assisted acquisition of a financial institution that includes a loss-sharing agreement. When an entity recognizes an indemnification asset (in accordance with Subtopic 805-20) as a result of a government-assisted acquisition of a financial institution and subsequently a change in the cash flows expected to be collected on the indemnification asset occurs (as a result of a change in cash flows expected to be collected on the assets subject to indemnification), the entity should subsequently account for the change in the measurement of the indemnification asset on the same basis as the change in the assets subject to indemnification. Any amortization of changes in value should be limited to the contractual term of the indemnification agreement (i.e., the lesser of the term of the indemnification agreement and the remaining life of the indemnified assets). ASU No. 2012-06 was effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after December 15, 2012 with an early adoption permitted, and should be applied prospectively. Valley's adoption of ASU No. 2012-06 did not have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ASU No. 2011-11, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities, requires an entity to disclose both gross and net information about financial instruments, such as sales and repurchase agreements and reverse sale and repurchase agreements and securities borrowing/lending arrangements, and derivative instruments that are eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and/or subject an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement regardless of whether they are presented net in the financial statements. ASU No. 2011-11 was effective for annual and interim periods beginning on January 1, 2013, and it is required to be applied retrospectively. Valley's adoption of ASU No. 2011-11 did not have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements. See Note 14 for related disclosures.

Note 5. Fair Value Measurement of Assets and Liabilities

ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

- Level 1 Unadjusted exchange quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities, or identical liabilities traded as assets that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.
- Level 2 Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly (i.e., quoted prices on similar assets), for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.
- Level 3 Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported by little or no market activity).

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring and Non-recurring Basis

The following tables present the assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis by level within the fair value hierarchy as reported on the consolidated statements of financial condition at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The assets presented under nonrecurring fair value measurements in the table below are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments under certain circumstances (e.g., when an impairment loss is recognized).

Table of Contents

	March 31, 2013	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using:		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(in thousands)				
Recurring fair value measurements:				
Assets				
Investment securities:				
Available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 95,458	\$ 95,458	\$	\$
U.S. government agency securities	58,990		58,990	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	42,619		42,619	
Residential mortgage-backed securities	568,323		538,546	29,777
Trust preferred securities	66,329		18,186	48,143
Corporate and other debt securities	76,247	27,624	48,623	
Equity securities	47,304	26,300	21,004	
Total available for sale	955,270	149,382	727,968	77,920
Trading securities	22,127		22,127	
Loans held for sale ⁽¹⁾	135,052		135,052	
Other assets ⁽²⁾	7,469		7,469	
Total assets	\$ 1,119,918	\$ 149,382	\$ 892,616	\$ 77,920
Liabilities				
Junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital				
Trust I ⁽³⁾	149,767	149,767		
Other liabilities ⁽²⁾	25,492		25,492	
Total liabilities	\$ 175,259	\$ 149,767	\$ 25,492	\$
Non-recurring fair value measurements:				
Collateral dependent impaired loans ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 62,208	\$	\$	\$ 62,208
Loan servicing rights	11,154			11,154
Foreclosed assets	15,970			15,970
Total	\$ 89,332	\$	\$	\$ 89,332

Table of Contents

	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using:			
	December 31, 2012	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
	(in thousands)			
Recurring fair value measurements:				
Assets				
Investment securities:				
Available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 97,625	\$ 97,625	\$	\$
U.S. government agency securities	45,762		45,762	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	16,627		16,627	
Residential mortgage-backed securities	510,154		478,783	31,371
Trust preferred securities	57,432		17,129	40,303
Corporate and other debt securities	30,708	28,444	2,264	
Equity securities	49,508	28,608	20,900	
Total available for sale	807,816	154,677	581,465	71,674
Trading securities	22,157		22,157	
Loans held for sale ⁽¹⁾	120,230		120,230	
Other assets ⁽²⁾	7,916		7,916	
Total assets	\$ 958,119	\$ 154,677	\$ 731,768	\$ 71,674
Liabilities				
Junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital				
Trust I ⁽³⁾	\$ 147,595	\$ 147,595	\$	\$
Other liabilities ⁽²⁾	26,594		26,594	
Total liabilities	\$ 174,189	\$ 147,595	\$ 26,594	\$
Non-recurring fair value measurements:				
Collateral dependent impaired loans ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 65,231	\$	\$	\$ 65,231
Loan servicing rights	16,201			16,201
Foreclosed assets	33,251			33,251
Total	\$ 114,683	\$	\$	\$ 114,683

(1) Loans held for sale (which consist of residential mortgages) are carried at fair value and had contractual unpaid principal balances totaling approximately \$131.5 million and \$115.4 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(2) Derivative financial instruments are included in this category.

(3) The junior subordinated debentures had contractual unpaid principal obligations totaling \$146.7 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(4) Excludes covered loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions and other purchased credit-impaired loans acquired in the first quarter of 2012.

Table of Contents

The changes in Level 3 assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are summarized below:

	Available for Sale Securities	
	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(in thousands)	
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 71,674	\$ 77,311
Total net gains for the period included in other comprehensive income	8,033	11,107
Settlements	(1,787)	(3,698)
Balance, end of the period	\$ 77,920	\$ 84,720

No changes in unrealized losses on Level 3 securities held at March 31, 2013 and 2012 were included in earnings during the first quarters of 2013 and 2012. During the quarters ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, there were no transfers of assets between Level 1 and Level 2.

There have been no material changes in the valuation methodologies used at March 31, 2013 from December 31, 2012.

The following valuation techniques were used for financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis. All the valuation techniques described below apply to the unpaid principal balance excluding any accrued interest or dividends at the measurement date. Interest income and expense are recorded within the consolidated statements of income depending on the nature of the instrument using the effective interest method based on acquired discount or premium.

Available for sale and trading securities. All U.S. Treasury securities, certain corporate and other debt securities, and certain common and preferred equity securities (including certain trust preferred securities) are reported at fair values utilizing Level 1 inputs. The majority of other investment securities are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. The prices for these instruments are obtained through an independent pricing service or dealer market participants with whom Valley has historically transacted both purchases and sales of investment securities. Prices obtained from these sources include prices derived from market quotations and matrix pricing. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things. Management reviews the data and assumptions used in pricing the securities by its third party provider to ensure the highest level of significant inputs are derived from market observable data. For certain securities, the inputs used by either dealer market participants or an independent pricing service, may be derived from unobservable market information (Level 3 inputs). In these instances, Valley evaluates the appropriateness and quality of the assumption and the resulting price. In addition, Valley reviews the volume and level of activity for all available for sale and trading securities and attempts to identify transactions which may not be orderly or reflective of a significant level of activity and volume. For securities meeting these criteria, the quoted prices received from either market participants or an independent pricing service may be adjusted, as necessary, to estimate fair value and this results in fair values based on Level 3 inputs. In determining fair value, Valley utilizes unobservable inputs which reflect Valley's own assumptions about the inputs that market participants would use in pricing each security. In developing its assertion of market participant assumptions, Valley utilizes the best information that is both reasonable and available without undue cost and effort.

In calculating the fair value for the available for sale securities under Level 3, Valley prepared present value cash flow models for certain private label mortgage-backed securities and trust preferred securities. The cash flows for the residential mortgage-backed securities incorporated the expected cash flow of each security adjusted for default rates, loss severities and prepayments of the individual loans collateralizing the security. The cash flows for trust preferred securities reflected the contractual cash flow, adjusted if necessary for potential changes in the amount or timing of cash flows due to the underlying credit worthiness of each issuer.

Table of Contents

The following table presents quantitative information about Level 3 inputs used to measure the fair value of these securities at March 31, 2013:

Security Type	Valuation	Unobservable		Weighted Average
	Technique	Input	Range	
Private label mortgage-backed securities	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment rate	11.2 - 23.3%	16.1%
		Default rate	4.5 - 16.4	7.6
		Loss severity	40.3 - 59.7	51.3
Single issuer trust preferred securities	Discounted cash flow	Loss severity	0.0 - 100.0%	21.6%
		Market credit spreads	5.6 - 6.2	6.0
		Discount rate	5.9 - 8.1	7.2

Significant increases or decreases in any of the unobservable inputs in the table above in isolation would result in a significantly lower or higher fair value measurement of the securities. Generally, a change in the assumption used for the default rate is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the loss severity and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates.

For the Level 3 available for sale private label mortgage-backed securities, cash flow assumptions incorporated independent third party market participant data based on vintage year for each security. The discount rate utilized in determining the present value of cash flows for the mortgage-backed securities was arrived at by combining the yield on orderly transactions for similar maturity government sponsored mortgage-backed securities with (i) the historical average risk premium of similar structured private label securities, (ii) a risk premium reflecting current market conditions, including liquidity risk and (iii) if applicable, a forecasted loss premium derived from the expected cash flows of each security. The estimated cash flows for each private label mortgage-backed security were then discounted at the aforementioned effective rate to determine the fair value. The quoted prices received from either market participants or independent pricing services are weighted with the internal price estimate to determine the fair value of each instrument.

For two single issuer trust preferred securities in the Level 3 available for sale trust preferred securities, the resulting estimated future cash flows were discounted at a yield, comprised of market rates applicable to the index of the underlying security, estimated market credit spread for similar non-rated securities and an illiquidity premium, if appropriate. The discount rate for each security was applied to three alternative cash flow scenarios, and subsequently weighted based on management's expectations. The three cash flow alternatives for each security assume a scenario with full issuer repayment, a scenario with a partial issuer repayment and a scenario with a full issuer default.

For two pooled securities in the Level 3 available for sale trust preferred securities category, the resulting estimated future cash flows were discounted at a yield determined by reference to similarly structured securities for which observable orderly transactions occurred. The discount rate for each security was applied using a pricing matrix based on credit, security type and maturity characteristics to determine the fair value. The fair value calculations for both securities are received from an independent valuation advisor. In validating the fair value calculation from an independent valuation advisor, Valley reviews the accuracy of the inputs and the appropriateness of the unobservable inputs utilized in the valuation to ensure the fair value calculation is reasonable from a market participant perspective.

Loans held for sale. The conforming residential mortgage loans originated for sale are reported at fair value using Level 2 inputs. The fair values were calculated utilizing quoted prices for similar assets in active markets. To determine these fair values, the mortgages held for sale are put into multiple tranches, or pools, based on the coupon rate and maturity of each mortgage. The market prices for each tranche are obtained from both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The market prices represent a delivery price, which reflects the underlying price each institution would pay Valley for an immediate sale of an aggregate pool of mortgages. The market prices received from Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are then averaged and interpolated or extrapolated, where required, to calculate the fair value of each tranche. Depending upon the time elapsed since the origination of each loan held for sale, non-performance risk and changes therein were addressed in the estimate of fair value based upon the delinquency data provided to both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac for market pricing and changes in market credit spreads. Non-performance risk did not materially impact the fair value of mortgage loans held for sale at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 based on the short duration these assets were held, and the high credit quality of these loans.

Table of Contents

Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts. The junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I are reported at fair value using Level 1 inputs. The fair value was estimated using quoted prices in active markets for similar assets, specifically the quoted price of the VNB Capital Trust I preferred stock traded under ticker symbol VLYPRA on the New York Stock Exchange. The preferred stock and Valley's junior subordinated debentures issued to the Trust have identical financial terms and therefore, the preferred stock's quoted price moves in a similar manner to the estimated fair value and current settlement price of the junior subordinated debentures. The preferred stock's quoted price includes market considerations for Valley's credit and non-performance risk and is deemed to represent the transfer price that would be used if the junior subordinated debenture were assumed by a third party. Valley's potential credit risk did not materially impact the fair value measurement of the junior subordinated debentures at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Derivatives. Derivatives are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. The fair value of Valley's derivatives are determined using third party prices that are based on discounted cash flows analyses using observed market inputs, such as the LIBOR and Overnight Index Swap rate curves. The fair value of mortgage banking derivatives, consisting of interest rate lock commitments to fund residential mortgage loans and forward commitments for the future delivery of such loans (including certain loans held for sale at March 31, 2013), is determined based on the current market prices for similar instruments provided by Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae. The fair values of most of the derivatives incorporate credit valuation adjustments, which consider the impact of any credit enhancements to the contracts, to account for potential nonperformance risk of Valley and its counterparties. The credit valuation adjustments were not significant to the overall valuation of Valley's derivatives at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-recurring Basis

The following valuation techniques were used for certain non-financial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, including impaired loans reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral, loan servicing rights, other real estate owned and other repossessed assets (upon initial recognition or subsequent impairment) as described below.

Impaired loans. Certain impaired loans are reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral and are commonly referred to as collateral dependent impaired loans. Collateral values are estimated using Level 3 inputs, consisting of individual appraisals that are significantly adjusted based on customized discounting criteria. At March 31, 2013, non-current appraisals were discounted up to 15.9 percent based on specific market data by location and property type. During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, collateral dependent impaired loans were individually re-measured and reported at fair value through direct loan charge-offs to the allowance for loan losses and/or a specific valuation allowance allocation based on the fair value of the underlying collateral. The collateral dependent loan charge-offs to the allowance for loan losses totaled \$8.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2013, collateral dependent impaired loans with a total recorded investment of \$71.5 million were reduced by specific valuation allowance allocations totaling \$9.3 million to a reported total net carrying amount of \$62.2 million.

Loan servicing rights. Fair values for each risk-stratified group of loan servicing rights are calculated using a fair value model from a third party vendor that requires inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (Level 3). The fair value model is based on various assumptions, including but not limited to, prepayment speeds, internal rate of return (discount rate), servicing cost, ancillary income, float rate, tax rate, and inflation. The prepayment speed and the discount rate are considered two of the most significant inputs in the model. At March 31, 2013, the fair value model used prepayment speeds (stated as constant prepayment rates) from 6 percent up to 25 percent and a discount rate of 8 percent for the valuation of the loan servicing rights. A significant degree of judgment is involved in valuing the loan servicing rights using Level 3 inputs. The use of different assumptions could have a significant positive or negative effect on the fair value estimate. Impairment charges are recognized on loan servicing rights when the amortized cost of a risk-stratified group of loan servicing rights exceeds the estimated fair value. Valley recognized net recoveries of impairment charges totaling \$1.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Foreclosed assets. Certain foreclosed assets (consisting of other real estate owned and other repossessed assets), upon initial recognition and transfer from loans, are re-measured and reported at fair value through a charge-off to the allowance for loan losses based upon the fair value of the foreclosed assets. The fair value of a foreclosed asset, upon initial recognition, is typically estimated using Level 3 inputs, consisting of an appraisal that is adjusted based on customized discounting criteria, similar to the criteria used for impaired loans described above. The discounts on appraisals of foreclosed assets were immaterial at March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2013, foreclosed assets included \$16.0 million of assets that were measured at fair value upon initial recognition and subsequent re-measurement during the first quarter of 2013. In connection with the measurement and the initial recognition of the foreclosed assets, Valley recognized charge-offs to the allowance for loan losses totaling \$1.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The re-measurement of repossessed assets at fair value subsequent to their initial recognition resulted in a loss of \$570 thousand within non-interest expense for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.

Other Fair Value Disclosures

The following table presents the amount of gains and losses from fair value changes included in income before income taxes for financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

Reported in Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition	Reported in Consolidated Statements of Income	Gains (Losses) on Change in Fair Value Three Months Ended March 31,	
		2013 (in thousands)	2012
Assets:			
Trading securities	Trading losses, net	\$ (30)	\$ 252
Loans held for sale	Gains on sales of loans, net	15,060	3,166
Liabilities:			
Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts	Trading losses, net	(2,172)	(1,240)
		\$ 12,858	\$ 2,178

ASC Topic 825, Financial Instruments, requires disclosure of the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including those financial assets and financial liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis or non-recurring basis.

The fair value estimates presented in the following table were based on pertinent market data and relevant information on the financial instruments available as of the valuation date. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the entire portfolio of financial instruments. Because no market exists for a portion of the financial instruments, fair value estimates may be based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Fair value estimates are based on existing balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. For instance, Valley has certain fee-generating business lines (e.g., its mortgage servicing operation, trust and investment management departments) that were not considered in these estimates since these activities are not financial instruments. In addition, the tax implications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in any of the estimates.

Table of Contents

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments not measured and not reported at fair value on the consolidated statements of financial condition at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Fair Value Hierarchy	March 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
		Carrying Amount	Fair Value (in thousands)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Financial assets					
Cash and due from banks	Level 1	\$ 381,880	\$ 381,880	\$ 390,078	\$ 390,078
Interest bearing deposits with banks	Level 1	588,156	588,156	463,022	463,022
Investment securities held to maturity:					
U.S. Treasury securities	Level 1	99,832	114,244	99,869	115,329
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	Level 2	545,597	566,083	506,473	531,966
Residential mortgage-backed securities	Level 2	752,220	772,617	813,647	838,116
Trust preferred securities	Level 2	115,747	102,871	127,505	113,657
Corporate and other debt securities	Level 2	52,211	58,897	52,213	58,882
Total investment securities held to maturity		1,565,607	1,614,712	1,599,707	1,657,950
Net loans	Level 3	10,689,655	10,702,636	10,892,599	10,908,742
Accrued interest receivable	Level 1	54,174	54,174	52,375	52,375
Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank stock ⁽¹⁾	Level 1	138,488	138,488	138,533	138,533
Financial liabilities					
Deposits without stated maturities	Level 1	8,834,757	8,834,757	8,755,252	8,755,252
Deposits with stated maturities	Level 2	2,467,834	2,512,836	2,508,766	2,563,726
Short-term borrowings	Level 1	147,260	147,260	154,323	154,323
Long-term borrowings	Level 2	2,696,003	3,076,968	2,697,299	3,100,173
Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts	Level 2	40,967	41,451	40,927	40,776
Accrued interest payable ⁽²⁾	Level 1	15,574	15,574	15,917	15,917

⁽¹⁾ Included in other assets.

⁽²⁾ Included in accrued expenses and other liabilities.

The following methods and assumptions that were used to estimate the fair value of other financial assets and financial liabilities in the table above:

Cash and due from banks and interest bearing deposits with banks. The carrying amount is considered to be a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the short maturity of these items.

Investment securities held to maturity. Fair values are based on prices obtained through an independent pricing service or dealer market participants with whom Valley has historically transacted both purchases and sales of investment securities. Prices obtained from these sources include prices derived from market quotations and matrix pricing. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things (Level 2 inputs). Additionally, Valley reviews the volume and level of activity for all classes of held to maturity securities and attempts to identify transactions which may not be orderly or reflective of a significant level of activity and volume. For securities meeting these criteria, the quoted prices received from either market participants or an independent pricing service may be adjusted, as necessary. If applicable, the adjustment to fair value is derived based on present value cash flow model projections prepared by Valley utilizing assumptions similar to those incorporated by market participants.

Loans. Fair values of non-covered loans (i.e., loans which are not subject to loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC) and covered loans (i.e., loans subject to loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC) are estimated by discounting the projected future cash flows using market discount rates that reflect the credit and interest-rate risk inherent in the loan. The discount rate is a product of both the applicable index and credit spread, subject to the estimated current new loan interest rates. The credit spread component is static for all maturities and may not necessarily reflect the value of estimating all actual cash flows repricing. Projected future cash flows are calculated based upon contractual maturity or call dates,

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

projected repayments and prepayments of principal. Fair values estimated in this manner do not fully incorporate an exit-price approach to fair value, but instead are based on a comparison to current market rates for comparable loans.

Table of Contents

Accrued interest receivable and payable. The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate their fair value due to the short-term nature of these items.

Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank stock. FRB and FHLB stock are non-marketable equity securities and are reported at their redeemable carrying amounts, which approximate the fair value.

Deposits. The carrying amounts of deposits without stated maturities (i.e., non-interest bearing, savings, NOW, and money market deposits) approximate their estimated fair value. The fair value of time deposits is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows using estimated rates currently offered for alternative funding sources of similar remaining maturity.

Short-term and long-term borrowings. The carrying amounts of certain short-term borrowings, including securities sold under agreement to repurchase (and from time to time, federal funds purchased and FHLB borrowings) approximate their fair values because they frequently re-price to a market rate. The fair values of other short-term and long-term borrowings are estimated by obtaining quoted market prices of the identical or similar financial instruments when available. When quoted prices are unavailable, the fair values of the borrowings are estimated by discounting the estimated future cash flows using current market discount rates of financial instruments with similar characteristics, terms and remaining maturity.

Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts (excluding VNB Capital Trust I). There is no active market for the trust preferred securities issued by Valley capital trusts, except for the securities issued by VNB Capital Trust I whose related debentures are carried at fair value. Therefore, the fair value of debentures not carried at fair value is estimated utilizing the income approach, whereby the expected cash flows, over the remaining estimated life of the security, are discounted using Valley's credit spread over the current yield on a similar maturity of U.S. Treasury security or the three-month LIBOR for the variable rate indexed debentures (Level 2 inputs). Valley's credit spread was calculated based on the exchange quoted price for Valley's trust preferred securities issued by VNB Capital Trust I.

Note 6. Investment Securities

As of March 31, 2013, Valley had approximately \$1.6 billion, \$955.3 million, and \$22.1 million in held to maturity, available for sale, and trading investment securities, respectively. Valley records impairment charges on its investment securities when the decline in fair value is considered other-than-temporary. Numerous factors, including lack of liquidity for re-sales of certain investment securities; decline in the creditworthiness of the issuer; absence of reliable pricing information for investment securities; adverse changes in business climate; adverse actions by regulators; prolonged decline in value of equity investments; or unanticipated changes in the competitive environment could have a negative effect on Valley's investment portfolio and may result in other-than-temporary impairment on certain investment securities in future periods. Valley's investment portfolios include private label mortgage-backed securities, trust preferred securities principally issued by bank holding companies (including three pooled trust preferred securities), corporate bonds primarily issued by banks, and perpetual preferred and common equity securities issued by banks. These investments may pose a higher risk of future impairment charges by Valley as a result of the unpredictable nature of the U.S. economy and its potential negative effect on the future performance of the security issuers and, if applicable, the underlying mortgage loan collateral of the security. See the Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Analysis section below for further discussion.

Table of Contents**Held to Maturity**

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value of securities held to maturity at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
	(in thousands)			
March 31, 2013				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 99,832	\$ 14,412	\$	\$ 114,244
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	545,597	21,653	(1,167)	566,083
Residential mortgage-backed securities	752,220	21,214	(817)	772,617
Trust preferred securities	115,747	485	(13,361)	102,871
Corporate and other debt securities	52,211	6,686		58,897
Total investment securities held to maturity	\$ 1,565,607	\$ 64,450	\$ (15,345)	\$ 1,614,712
December 31, 2012				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 99,869	\$ 15,460	\$	\$ 115,329
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	506,473	25,690	(197)	531,966
Residential mortgage-backed securities	813,647	24,824	(355)	838,116
Trust preferred securities	127,505	930	(14,778)	113,657
Corporate and other debt securities	52,213	6,669		58,882
Total investment securities held to maturity	\$ 1,599,707	\$ 73,573	\$ (15,330)	\$ 1,657,950

The age of unrealized losses and fair value of related securities held to maturity at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Less than Twelve Months		More than Twelve Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
	(in thousands)					
March 31, 2013						
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 84,770	\$ (1,167)	\$	\$	\$ 84,770	\$ (1,167)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	85,977	(817)			85,977	(817)
Trust preferred securities	6,080	(96)	50,112	(13,265)	56,192	(13,361)
Total	\$ 176,827	\$ (2,080)	\$ 50,112	\$ (13,265)	\$ 226,939	\$ (15,345)
December 31, 2012						
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 15,518	\$ (197)	\$	\$	\$ 15,518	\$ (197)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	80,152	(355)			80,152	(355)
Trust preferred securities	28,690	(208)	48,802	(14,570)	77,492	(14,778)
Total	\$ 124,360	\$ (760)	\$ 48,802	\$ (14,570)	\$ 173,162	\$ (15,330)

Table of Contents

The unrealized losses on investment securities held to maturity are primarily due to changes in interest rates (including, in certain cases, changes in credit spreads) and lack of liquidity in the marketplace. The total number of security positions in the securities held to maturity portfolio in an unrealized loss position at March 31, 2013 was 56 as compared to 34 at December 31, 2012.

At March 31, 2013, the unrealized losses reported for trust preferred securities primarily related to 4 non-rated single-issuer securities, issued by bank holding companies. All single-issuer trust preferred securities classified as held to maturity are paying in accordance with their terms, have no deferrals of interest or defaults and, if applicable, the issuers meet the regulatory capital requirements to be considered well-capitalized institutions at March 31, 2013.

Management does not believe that any individual unrealized loss as of March 31, 2013 included in the table above represents other-than-temporary impairment as management mainly attributes the declines in fair value to changes in interest rates, widening credit spreads, and lack of liquidity in the market place, not credit quality or other factors. Based on a comparison of the present value of expected cash flows to the amortized cost, management believes there are no credit losses on these securities. Valley does not have the intent to sell, nor is it more likely than not that Valley will be required to sell, the securities contained in the table above before the recovery of their amortized cost basis or maturity.

As of March 31, 2013, the fair value of investments held to maturity that were pledged to secure public deposits, repurchase agreements, lines of credit, and for other purposes required by law, was \$836.8 million.

The contractual maturities of investments in debt securities held to maturity at March 31, 2013 are set forth in the table below. Maturities may differ from contractual maturities in residential mortgage-backed securities because the mortgages underlying the securities may be prepaid without any penalties. Therefore, residential mortgage-backed securities are not included in the maturity categories in the following summary.

	March 31, 2013	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
	(in thousands)	
Due in one year	\$ 123,929	\$ 123,973
Due after one year through five years	45,516	47,965
Due after five years through ten years	251,536	276,705
Due after ten years	392,406	393,452
Residential mortgage-backed securities	752,220	772,617
 Total investment securities held to maturity	 \$ 1,565,607	 \$ 1,614,712

Actual maturities of debt securities may differ from those presented above since certain obligations provide the issuer the right to call or prepay the obligation prior to scheduled maturity without penalty.

The weighted-average remaining expected life for residential mortgage-backed securities held to maturity was 3.2 years at March 31, 2013.

Table of Contents**Available for Sale**

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value of securities available for sale at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
	(in thousands)			
March 31, 2013				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 99,841	\$	\$ (4,383)	\$ 95,458
U.S. government agency securities	57,400	1,597	(7)	58,990
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	42,513	738	(632)	42,619
Residential mortgage-backed securities	567,697	5,634	(5,008)	568,323
Trust preferred securities*	68,902	4,843	(7,416)	66,329
Corporate and other debt securities	73,595	2,883	(231)	76,247
Equity securities	48,049	936	(1,681)	47,304
Total investment securities available for sale	\$ 957,997	\$ 16,631	\$ (19,358)	\$ 955,270
December 31, 2012				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 99,843	\$	\$ (2,218)	\$ 97,625
U.S. government agency securities	44,215	1,547		45,762
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	16,210	417		16,627
Residential mortgage-backed securities	506,695	6,818	(3,359)	510,154
Trust preferred securities*	68,931	240	(11,739)	57,432
Corporate and other debt securities	28,274	2,728	(294)	30,708
Equity securities	49,306	2,071	(1,869)	49,508
Total investment securities available for sale	\$ 813,474	\$ 13,821	\$ (19,479)	\$ 807,816

* Includes three pooled trust preferred securities, principally collateralized by securities issued by banks and insurance companies. The age of unrealized losses and fair value of related securities available for sale at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Less than Twelve Months		More than Twelve Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
	(in thousands)					
March 31, 2013						
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 95,458	\$ (4,383)	\$	\$	\$ 95,458	\$ (4,383)
U.S. government agency securities	17,734	(7)			17,734	(7)
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	26,211	(632)			26,211	(632)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	321,565	(2,988)	20,963	(2,020)	342,528	(5,008)
Trust preferred securities			32,458	(7,416)	32,458	(7,416)
Corporate and other debt securities	34,240	(135)	2,404	(96)	36,644	(231)
Equity securities	947	(9)	12,787	(1,672)	13,734	(1,681)
Total	\$ 496,155	\$ (8,154)	\$ 68,612	\$ (11,204)	\$ 564,767	\$ (19,358)

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

December 31, 2012

U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 97,625	\$ (2,218)	\$	\$	\$ 97,625	\$ (2,218)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	269,895	(1,256)	21,089	(2,103)	290,984	(3,359)
Trust preferred securities	760	(511)	27,865	(11,228)	28,625	(11,739)
Corporate and other debt securities	5,394	(58)	2,264	(236)	7,658	(294)
Equity securities	969	(75)	12,664	(1,794)	13,633	(1,869)
Total	\$ 374,643	\$ (4,118)	\$ 63,882	\$ (15,361)	\$ 438,525	\$ (19,479)

Table of Contents

The unrealized losses on investment securities available for sale are primarily due to changes in interest rates (including, in certain cases, changes in credit spreads) and lack of liquidity in the marketplace. The total number of security positions in the securities available for sale portfolio in an unrealized loss position at March 31, 2013 was 84 as compared to 74 at December 31, 2012.

Of the \$5.0 million unrealized losses within the residential mortgage-backed securities category of the available for sale portfolio at March 31, 2013, \$1.5 million relates to one non-investment grade private label mortgage-backed security. The remaining \$3.5 million of unrealized losses consists of \$3.0 million and \$477 thousand related to several investment grade residential mortgage back securities and two private label mortgage-backed securities that were other-than-temporarily impaired prior to December 31, 2012, respectively.

The unrealized losses for trust preferred securities at March 31, 2013 in the table above relate to 3 pooled trust preferred and 9 single-issuer bank issued trust preferred securities. The unrealized losses include \$4.6 million attributable to 3 pooled trust preferred securities with an amortized cost of \$17.4 million and a fair value of \$12.8 million and \$1.9 million attributable to trust preferred securities of one issuance by one deferring bank holding company with an amortized cost of \$16.5 million and a fair value of \$14.6 million. The three pooled trust preferred securities included one security with an unrealized loss of \$3.0 million and an investment grade rating at March 31, 2013. The other two pooled trust preferred securities had non-investment grade ratings and were initially other-than-temporarily impaired in 2008 with additional estimated credit losses recognized during the period 2009 through 2011. The trust preferred issuances by one deferring holding company were initially other-than-temporarily impaired in 2011 with additional estimated credit impairments recognized during 2012. See *Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Analysis* section below for more details. All of the remaining single-issuer trust preferred securities are all paying in accordance with their terms and have no deferrals of interest or defaults and, if applicable, meet the regulatory capital requirements to be considered well-capitalized institutions at March 31, 2013.

The unrealized losses existing for more than twelve months for equity securities are mostly related to two perpetual preferred security positions with a combined \$10.0 million amortized cost and a \$1.6 million unrealized loss. At March 31, 2013, these perpetual preferred securities had investment grade ratings and are currently performing and paying quarterly dividends.

Management does not believe that any individual unrealized loss as of March 31, 2013 represents an other-than-temporary impairment, as management mainly attributes the declines in value to changes in interest rates and recent market volatility and wider credit spreads, not credit quality or other factors. Based on a comparison of the present value of expected cash flows to the amortized cost, management believes there are no credit losses on these securities. Valley has no intent to sell, nor is it more likely than not that Valley will be required to sell, the securities contained in the table above before the recovery of their amortized cost basis or, if necessary, maturity.

As of March 31, 2013, the fair value of securities available for sale that were pledged to secure public deposits, repurchase agreements, lines of credit, and for other purposes required by law, was \$356.2 million.

Table of Contents

The contractual maturities of investment securities available for sale at March 31, 2013 are set forth in the following table. Maturities may differ from contractual maturities in residential mortgage-backed securities because the mortgages underlying the securities may be prepaid without any penalties. Therefore, residential mortgage-backed securities are not included in the maturity categories in the following summary.

	March 31, 2013	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
	(in thousands)	
Due in one year	\$ 895	\$ 905
Due after one year through five years	43,991	44,163
Due after five years through ten years	111,791	113,750
Due after ten years	185,574	180,825
Residential mortgage-backed securities	567,697	568,323
Equity securities	48,049	47,304
Total investment securities available for sale	\$ 957,997	\$ 955,270

Actual maturities of debt securities may differ from those presented above since certain obligations provide the issuer the right to call or prepay the obligation prior to scheduled maturity without penalty.

The weighted average remaining expected life for residential mortgage-backed securities available for sale at March 31, 2013 was 3.7 years.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Analysis

To determine whether a security's impairment is other-than-temporary, Valley considers several factors that include, but are not limited to the following:

The severity and duration of the decline, including the causes of the decline in fair value, such as an issuer's credit problems, interest rate fluctuations, or market volatility;

Adverse conditions specifically related to the issuer of the security, an industry, or geographic area;

Failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest or principal payments;

Any changes to the rating of the security by a rating agency or, if applicable, any regulatory actions impacting the security issuer;

Recoveries or additional declines in fair value after the balance sheet date;

Our ability and intent to hold equity security investments until they recover in value, as well as the likelihood of such a recovery in the near term; and

Our intent to sell debt security investments, or if it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell such securities before recovery of their individual amortized cost basis.

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

For debt securities, the primary consideration in determining whether impairment is other-than-temporary is whether or not we expect to collect all contractual cash flows.

In assessing the level of other-than-temporary impairment attributable to credit loss for debt securities, Valley compares the present value of cash flows expected to be collected with the amortized cost basis of the security. The portion of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to credit loss is recognized in earnings, while the amount related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income or loss. The total other-than-temporary impairment loss is presented in the consolidated statements of income, less the portion recognized in other comprehensive income or loss. Subsequent assessments may result in additional estimated credit losses on previously impaired securities. These additional estimated credit losses are recorded as reclassifications from the portion of other-than-temporary impairment previously recognized in other comprehensive income or loss to earnings in the period of such assessments. The amortized cost basis of an impaired debt security is reduced by the portion of the total impairment related to credit loss.

Table of Contents

For residential mortgage-backed securities, Valley estimates loss projections for each security by stressing the cash flows from the individual loans collateralizing the security using expected default rates, loss severities, and prepayment speeds, in conjunction with the underlying credit enhancement (if applicable) for each security. Based on collateral and origination vintage specific assumptions, a range of possible cash flows is identified to determine whether other-than-temporary impairment exists. No other-than-temporary impairment losses were recognized as a result of our impairment analysis of these securities at March 31, 2013.

For the single-issuer trust preferred securities and corporate and other debt securities, Valley reviews each portfolio to determine if all the securities are paying in accordance with their terms and have no deferrals of interest or defaults. Over the past several years, an increasing number of banking institutions have been required to defer trust preferred payments and various banking institutions have been put in receivership by the FDIC. A deferral event by a bank holding company for which Valley holds trust preferred securities may require the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment charge if Valley determines that it is more likely than not that all contractual interest and principal cash flows may not be collected. Among other factors, the probability of the collection of all interest and principal determined by Valley in its impairment analysis declines if there is an increase in the estimated deferral period of the issuer. Additionally, a FDIC receivership for any single-issuer would result in an impairment and significant loss. Including the other factors outlined above, Valley analyzes the performance of the issuers on a quarterly basis, including a review of performance data from the issuers' most recent bank regulatory report, if applicable, to assess their credit risk and the probability of impairment of the contractual cash flows of the applicable security. All of the issuers had capital ratios at March 31, 2013 that were at or above the minimum amounts to be considered a well-capitalized financial institution, if applicable, and/or have maintained performance levels adequate to support the contractual cash flows of the trust preferred securities.

Within the available for sale portfolio, Valley has other-than-temporarily impaired trust preferred securities issued by one deferring bank holding company with a combined amortized cost and fair value of \$41.8 million and \$44.5 million, respectively, after credit impairment charges at March 31, 2013. The issuer of the trust preferred securities has deferred interest payments on these securities since late 2009 as required by an operating agreement with its bank regulators. In assessing whether a credit loss exists for the securities of the deferring issuer, Valley considers numerous other factors, including but not limited to, such factors highlighted in the bullet points above. From the dates of deferral up to and including the bank holding company's most recent regulatory filing, the bank issuer continued to accrue and capitalize the interest owed, but not remitted to its trust preferred security holders. Additionally, the bank subsidiary of the issuer continued to report capital ratios that were above the minimum amounts to be considered a well-capitalized financial institution in its most recent regulatory filing. During the fourth quarter of 2011, Valley estimated a decline in the expected cash flows from the securities as it lengthened the estimate of the timeframe over which it could reasonably anticipate receiving such cash flows, and during the third quarter of 2012, Valley estimated an additional decline in cash flows under one of three weighted alternative scenarios utilized to assess impairment of the securities. The declines in estimated cash flows, after careful assessment of all other available factors, resulted in credit impairment charges of \$18.3 million and \$4.5 million during the fourth quarter of 2011 and third quarter of 2012, respectively. Valley no longer accrued interest on the securities after the initial impairment in 2011. No additional impairment was recognized as a result of our impairment analysis of these securities at March 31, 2013. See Note 5 for information regarding the Level 3 valuation technique used to measure the fair value of these trust preferred securities at March 31, 2013.

For the three pooled trust preferred securities, Valley evaluates the projected cash flows from each of its tranches in the three securities to determine if they are adequate to support their future contractual principal and interest payments. Valley assesses the credit risk and probability of impairment of the contractual cash flows by projecting the default rates over the life of the security. Higher projected default rates will decrease the expected future cash flows from each security. If the projected decrease in cash flows affects the cash flows projected for the tranche held by Valley, the security would be considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. Two of the pooled trust preferred securities were initially impaired in 2008 with additional estimated credit losses recognized during 2009 and 2011, and are not accruing interest.

The perpetual preferred securities, reported in equity securities, are hybrid investments that are assessed for impairment by Valley as if they were debt securities. Therefore, Valley assessed the creditworthiness of each security issuer, as well as any potential change in the anticipated cash flows of the securities as of March 31, 2013. Based on this analysis, management believes the declines in fair value of these securities are attributable to a lack of liquidity in the marketplace and are not reflective of any deterioration in the creditworthiness of the issuers.

Table of Contents**Realized Gains and Losses**

Gross gains (losses) realized on sales, maturities and other securities transactions related to investment securities included in earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(in thousands)	
Sales transactions:		
Gross gains	\$ 3,380	\$ 140
Gross losses		(298)
	3,380	(158)
Maturities and other securities transactions:		
Gross gains	608	13
Gross losses	(30)	(12)
	578	1
Total gains (losses) on securities transactions, net	\$ 3,958	\$ (157)

Valley recognized gross gains from sales transactions totaling \$3.4 million for the first quarter of 2013 primarily due to the sales of zero percent yielding Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae perpetual preferred stock with amortized cost totaling \$941 thousand.

The following table presents the changes in the credit loss component of cumulative other-than-temporary impairment losses on debt securities classified as either held to maturity or available for sale that Valley has recognized in earnings, for which a portion of the impairment loss (non-credit factors) was recognized in other comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(in thousands)	
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 33,290	\$ 29,070
Accretion of credit loss impairment due to an increase in expected cash flows	(113)	(303)
Balance, end of period	\$ 33,177	\$ 28,767

The credit loss component of the impairment loss represents the difference between the present value of expected future cash flows and the amortized cost basis of the security prior to considering credit losses. The beginning balance represents the credit loss component for debt securities for which other-than-temporary impairment occurred prior to each period presented. Other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings for credit impaired debt securities are presented as additions in two components based upon whether the current period is the first time the debt security was credit impaired (initial credit impairment) or is not the first time the debt security was credit impaired (subsequent credit impairment). The credit loss component is reduced if Valley sells, intends to sell or believes it will be required to sell previously credit impaired debt securities. Additionally, the credit loss component is reduced if (i) Valley receives cash flows in excess of what it expected to receive over the remaining life of the credit impaired debt security, (ii) the security matures or (iii) the security is fully written down.

Table of Contents**Trading Securities**

The fair value of trading securities (consisting of 3 single-issuer bank trust preferred securities) was \$22.1 million and \$22.2 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Interest income on trading securities totaled \$442 thousand and \$422 thousand for the quarters ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Note 7. Loans

The detail of the loan portfolio as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was as follows:

	March 31, 2013		December 31, 2012			
	Non-PCI Loans	PCI Loans	Total	Non-PCI Loans	PCI Loans	Total
	(in thousands)					
Non-covered loans:						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,811,561	\$ 233,953	\$ 2,045,514	\$ 1,832,743	\$ 252,083	\$ 2,084,826
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial real estate	3,757,693	593,598	4,351,291	3,772,084	645,625	4,417,709
Construction	413,074	25,600	438,674	399,855	25,589	425,444
Total commercial real estate loans	4,170,767	619,198	4,789,965	4,171,939	671,214	4,843,153
Residential mortgage	2,336,821	15,739	2,352,560	2,445,627	16,802	2,462,429
Consumer:						
Home equity	421,698	40,599	462,297	438,881	46,577	485,458
Automobile	811,060		811,060	786,528		786,528
Other consumer	188,564	263	188,827	179,417	314	179,731
Total consumer loans	1,421,322	40,862	1,462,184	1,404,826	46,891	1,451,717
Total non-covered loans	\$ 9,740,471	\$ 909,752	\$ 10,650,223	\$ 9,855,135	\$ 986,990	\$ 10,842,125
Covered loans:						
Commercial and industrial	\$	\$ 34,691	\$ 34,691		46,517	46,517
Commercial real estate		109,598	109,598		120,268	120,268
Construction		6,021	6,021		1,924	1,924
Residential mortgage		9,011	9,011		9,659	9,659
Consumer		1,955	1,955		2,306	2,306
Total covered loans		161,276	161,276		180,674	180,674
Total loans	\$ 9,740,471	\$ 1,071,028	\$ 10,811,499	\$ 9,855,135	\$ 1,167,664	\$ 11,022,799

Total non-covered loans are net of unearned discount and deferred loan fees totaling \$6.8 million and \$3.4 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The outstanding balances (representing contractual balances owed to Valley) for non-covered PCI loans and covered loans totaled \$1.0 billion and \$300.7 million at March 31, 2013, and \$1.1 billion and \$321.9 million at December 31, 2012, respectively.

There were no sales of loans from the held for investment portfolio during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans (Including Covered Loans)

Purchased Credit-Impaired (PCI) loans, which include loans acquired in FDIC-assisted transactions (covered loans) subject to loss-sharing agreements, are acquired at a discount that is due, in part, to credit quality. PCI loans are accounted for in accordance with ASC Subtopic 310-30

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

and are initially recorded at fair value (as determined by the present value of expected future cash flows) with no valuation allowance (i.e., the allowance for loan losses), and aggregated and accounted for as pools of loans based on common risk characteristics. The difference between the undiscounted cash flows expected at acquisition and the initial carrying amount (fair value) of the PCI loans, or the accretable yield, is recognized as interest income utilizing the level-yield method over the life of each pool. Contractually required payments for interest and principal that exceed the undiscounted cash flows expected at

Table of Contents

acquisition, or the non-accretable difference, are not recognized as a yield adjustment, as a loss accrual or a valuation allowance. Reclassifications of the non-accretable difference to the accretable yield may occur subsequent to the loan acquisition dates due to increases in expected cash flows of the loan pools.

The following table presents changes in the accretable yield for PCI loans during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(in thousands)	
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 169,309	\$ 66,724
Acquisitions		168,271
Accretion	(16,235)	(23,184)
Net reclassification from non-accretable difference	64	
Balance, end of period	\$ 153,138	\$ 211,811

The net reclassification from the non-accretable difference in the table above is due to increases in expected cash flows for certain pools of covered loans and is recognized prospectively as an adjustment to the yield over the life of the individual pools.

FDIC Loss-Share Receivable

The receivable arising from the loss-sharing agreements (referred to as the FDIC loss-share receivable on our consolidated statements of financial condition) is measured separately from the covered loan portfolio because the agreements are not contractually part of the covered loans and are not transferable should the Bank choose to dispose of the covered loans.

Changes in the FDIC loss-share receivable for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(in thousands)	
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 44,996	\$ 74,390
Discount accretion of the present value at the acquisition dates	33	81
Effect of additional cash flows on covered loans (prospective recognition)	(1,482)	(1,637)
Decrease in the provision for losses on covered loans	(2,678)	
Other reimbursable expenses	952	1,466
Payments to (reimbursements from) the FDIC	1,592	(4,372)
Balance, end of the period	\$ 43,413	\$ 69,928

Valley recognized a \$3.2 million and \$90 thousand reduction in non-interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to discount accretion and the post-acquisition adjustments to the FDIC loss-share receivable included in the table above.

Loan Portfolio Risk Elements and Credit Risk Management

Credit risk management. For all of its loan types discussed below, Valley adheres to a credit policy designed to minimize credit risk while generating the maximum income given the level of risk. Management reviews and approves these policies and procedures on a regular basis with subsequent approval by the Board of Directors annually. Credit authority relating to a significant dollar percentage of the overall portfolio is centralized and controlled by the Credit Risk Management Division and by the Credit Committee. A reporting system supplements the management review process by providing management with frequent reports concerning loan production, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies, non-performing, and potential problem loans. Loan portfolio diversification is an important factor utilized by Valley to

manage its risk across business sectors and through cyclical economic circumstances.

Table of Contents

Commercial and industrial loans. A significant proportion of Valley's commercial and industrial loan portfolio is granted to long standing customers of proven ability, strong repayment performance, and high character. Underwriting standards are designed to assess the borrower's ability to generate recurring cash flow sufficient to meet the debt service requirements of loans granted. While such recurring cash flow serves as the primary source of repayment, a significant number of the loans are collateralized by borrower assets intended to serve as a secondary source of repayment should the need arise. Anticipated cash flows of borrowers, however, may not be as expected and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value, or in the case of loans secured by accounts receivable, the ability of the borrower to collect all amounts due from its customers. Short-term loans may be made on an unsecured basis based on a borrower's financial strength and past performance. Valley, in most cases, will obtain the personal guarantee of the borrower's principals to mitigate the risk. Unsecured loans, when made, are generally granted to the Bank's most credit worthy borrowers. Unsecured commercial and industrial loans totaled \$296.7 million and \$307.0 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Commercial real estate loans. Commercial real estate loans are subject to underwriting standards and processes similar to commercial and industrial loans. Commercial real estate loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real property. Loans generally involve larger principal balances and longer repayment periods as compared to commercial and industrial loans. Repayment of most loans is dependent upon the cash flow generated from the property securing the loan or the business that occupies the property. Commercial real estate loans may be more adversely affected by conditions in the real estate markets or in the general economy and accordingly conservative loan to value ratios are required at origination, as well as stress tested to evaluate the impact of market changes relating to key underwriting elements. The properties securing the commercial real estate portfolio represent diverse types, with most properties located within Valley's primary markets.

Construction loans. With respect to loans to developers and builders, Valley originates and manages construction loans structured on either a revolving or non-revolving basis, depending on the nature of the underlying development project. These loans are generally secured by the real estate to be developed and may also be secured by additional real estate to mitigate the risk. Non-revolving construction loans often involve the disbursement of substantially all committed funds with repayment substantially dependent on the successful completion and sale, or lease, of the project. Sources of repayment for these types of loans may be from pre-committed permanent loans from other lenders, sales of developed property, or an interim loan commitment from Valley until permanent financing is obtained elsewhere. Revolving construction loans (generally relating to single family residential construction) are controlled with loan advances dependent upon the presale of housing units financed. These loans are closely monitored by on-site inspections and are considered to have higher risks than other real estate loans due to their ultimate repayment being sensitive to interest rate changes, governmental regulation of real property, general economic conditions and the availability of long-term financing.

Residential mortgages. Valley originates residential, first mortgage loans based on underwriting standards that generally comply with Fannie Mae and/or Freddie Mac requirements. Appraisals and valuations of real estate collateral are contracted directly with independent appraisers or from valuation services and not through appraisal management companies. The Bank's appraisal management policy and procedure is in accordance with regulatory requirements and guidance issued by the Bank's primary regulator. Credit scoring, using FICO[®] and other proprietary, credit scoring models is employed in the ultimate, judgmental credit decision by Valley's underwriting staff. Valley does not use third party contract underwriting services. Residential mortgage loans include fixed and variable interest rate loans secured by one to four family homes generally located in northern and central New Jersey, the New York City metropolitan area, and eastern Pennsylvania. Valley's ability to be repaid on such loans is closely linked to the economic and real estate market conditions in this region. In deciding whether to originate each residential mortgage, Valley considers the qualifications of the borrower as well as the value of the underlying property.

Home equity loans. Home equity lending consists of both fixed and variable interest rate products. Valley mainly provides home equity loans to its residential mortgage customers within the footprint of its primary lending territory. Valley generally will not exceed a combined (i.e., first and second mortgage) loan-to-value ratio of 75 percent when originating a home equity loan.

Table of Contents

Automobile loans. Valley uses both judgmental and scoring systems in the credit decision process for automobile loans. Automobile originations (including light truck and sport utility vehicles) are largely produced via indirect channels, originated through approved automobile dealers. Automotive collateral is generally a depreciating asset and there are times in the life of an automobile loan where the amount owed on a vehicle may exceed its collateral value. Additionally, automobile charge-offs will vary based on strength or weakness in the used vehicle market, original advance rate, when in the life cycle of a loan a default occurs and the condition of the collateral being liquidated. Where permitted by law, and subject to the limitations of the bankruptcy code, deficiency judgments are sought and acted upon to ultimately collect all money owed, even when a default resulted in a loss at collateral liquidation. Valley uses a third party to actively track collision and comprehensive risk insurance required of the borrower on the automobile and this third party provides coverage to Valley in the event of an uninsured collateral loss.

Other consumer loans. Valley's other consumer loan portfolio includes direct consumer term loans, both secured and unsecured. The other consumer loan portfolio includes minor exposures in credit card loans, personal lines of credit, personal loans and loans secured by cash surrender value of life insurance. Valley believes the aggregate risk exposure of these loans and lines of credit was not significant at March 31, 2013. Unsecured consumer loans totaled approximately \$115.8 million and \$126.8 million, including \$8.0 million and \$8.6 million of credit card loans, at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Credit Quality

The following table presents past due, non-accrual and current loans (excluding PCI loans, which are accounted for on a pool basis) by loan portfolio class at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Past Due and Non-Accrual Loans					
	30-89 Days Past Due Loans	Past Due 90 Days Or More Past Due	Non-Accrual Loans	Total Past Due Loans	Current Non-PCI Loans	Total Non-PCI Loans
	(in thousands)					
March 31, 2013						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 7,656	\$ 31	\$ 21,692	\$ 29,379	\$ 1,782,182	\$ 1,811,561
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial real estate	21,665	259	56,042	77,966	3,679,727	3,757,693
Construction	8,812		13,199	22,011	391,063	413,074
Total commercial real estate loans	30,477	259	69,241	99,977	4,070,790	4,170,767
Residential mortgage	12,424	1,885	31,905	46,214	2,290,607	2,336,821
Consumer loans:						
Home equity	994		1,948	2,942	418,756	421,698
Automobile	3,482	192	251	3,925	807,135	811,060
Other consumer	620	37	567	1,224	187,340	188,564
Total consumer loans	5,096	229	2,766	8,091	1,413,231	1,421,322
Total	\$ 55,653	\$ 2,404	\$ 125,604	\$ 183,661	\$ 9,556,810	\$ 9,740,471
December 31, 2012						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 3,578	\$ 283	\$ 22,424	\$ 26,285	\$ 1,806,458	\$ 1,832,743
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial real estate	13,245	2,950	58,625	74,820	3,697,264	3,772,084
Construction	6,685	2,575	14,805	24,065	375,790	399,855
Total commercial real estate loans	19,930	5,525	73,430	98,885	4,073,054	4,171,939
Residential mortgage	18,951	2,356	32,623	53,930	2,391,697	2,445,627
Consumer loans:						
Home equity	702		2,398	3,100	435,781	438,881

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Automobile	5,443	469	305	6,217	780,311	786,528
Other consumer	1,082	32	628	1,742	177,675	179,417
Total consumer loans	7,227	501	3,331	11,059	1,393,767	1,404,826
Total	\$ 49,686	\$ 8,665	\$ 131,808	\$ 190,159	\$ 9,664,976	\$ 9,855,135

Table of Contents

Impaired loans. Impaired loans, consisting of non-accrual commercial and industrial loans and commercial real estate loans over \$250 thousand and all loans which were modified in troubled debt restructuring, are individually evaluated for impairment. PCI loans are not classified as impaired loans because they are accounted for on a pool basis. The following table presents the information about impaired loans by loan portfolio class at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Recorded Investment With No Related Allowance	Recorded Investment With Related Allowance	Total Recorded Investment (in thousands)	Unpaid Contractual Principal Balance	Related Allowance
March 31, 2013					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 2,308	\$ 46,325	\$ 48,633	\$ 66,294	\$ 9,759
Commercial real estate:					
Commercial real estate	18,475	94,195	112,670	128,450	12,726
Construction	5,874	12,422	18,296	20,082	1,752
Total commercial real estate loans	24,349	106,617	130,966	148,532	14,478
Residential mortgage	9,417	18,407	27,824	30,282	3,897
Consumer loans:					
Home equity	992	252	1,244	1,587	16
Total consumer loans	992	252	1,244	1,587	16
Total	\$ 37,066	\$ 171,601	\$ 208,667	\$ 246,695	\$ 28,150
December 31, 2012					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 3,236	\$ 46,461	\$ 49,697	\$ 62,183	\$ 12,088
Commercial real estate:					
Commercial real estate	26,724	84,151	110,875	125,875	11,788
Construction	6,339	14,002	20,341	23,678	4,793
Total commercial real estate loans	33,063	98,153	131,216	149,553	16,581
Residential mortgage	8,232	16,659	24,891	27,059	2,329
Consumer loans:					
Home equity	672	258	930	1,169	15
Total consumer loans	672	258	930	1,169	15
Total	\$ 45,203	\$ 161,531	\$ 206,734	\$ 239,964	\$ 31,013

Table of Contents

The following table presents by loan portfolio class, the average recorded investment and interest income recognized on impaired loans for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized (in thousands)	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
Commercial and industrial	\$ 55,266	\$ 376	\$ 55,336	\$ 409
Commercial real estate:				
Commercial real estate	113,401	751	91,897	689
Construction	19,158	69	22,076	49
Total commercial real estate loans	132,559	820	113,973	738
Residential mortgage	28,268	183	20,595	136
Consumer loans:				
Home equity	1,214	12	279	4
Total consumer loans	1,214	12	279	4
Total	\$ 217,307	\$ 1,391	\$ 190,183	\$ 1,287

Interest income recognized on a cash basis (included in the table above) was immaterial for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

Troubled debt restructured loans. From time to time, Valley may extend, restructure, or otherwise modify the terms of existing loans, on a case-by-case basis, to remain competitive and retain certain customers, as well as assist other customers who may be experiencing financial difficulties. If the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and a concession has been made at the time of such modification, the loan is classified as a troubled debt restructured loan (TDR). Valley's PCI loans are excluded from the TDR disclosures below because they are evaluated for impairment on a pool by pool basis. When an individual PCI loan within a pool is modified as a TDR, it is not removed from its pool. All TDRs are classified as impaired loans and are included in the impaired loan disclosures above.

The majority of the concessions made for TDRs involve lowering the monthly payments on loans through either a reduction in interest rate below a market rate, an extension of the term of the loan without a corresponding adjustment to the risk premium reflected in the interest rate, or a combination of these two methods. The concessions rarely result in the forgiveness of principal or accrued interest. In addition, Valley frequently obtains additional collateral or guarantor support when modifying such loans. If the borrower has demonstrated performance under the previous terms and Valley's underwriting process shows the borrower has the capacity to continue to perform under the restructured terms, the loan will continue to accrue interest. Non-accruing restructured loans may be returned to accrual status when there has been a sustained period of repayment performance (generally six consecutive months of payments) and both principal and interest are deemed collectible.

Performing TDRs (not reported as non-accrual loans) totaled \$108.7 million and \$105.4 million as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Non-performing TDRs totaled \$43.5 million and \$41.8 million as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table presents loans by loan portfolio class modified as TDRs during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. The pre-modification and post-modification outstanding recorded investments disclosed in the table below represent the loan carrying amounts immediately prior to the modification and the carrying amounts at March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Troubled Debt			
Restructurings	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
		(\$ in thousands)	
March 31, 2013			
Commercial and industrial	4	\$ 2,838	\$ 1,590
Commercial real estate:			
Commercial real estate	5	4,454	4,461
Construction	1	538	538
Total commercial real estate loans	6	4,992	4,999
Residential mortgage	17	3,164	3,091
Consumer	5	378	329
Total	32	\$ 11,372	\$ 10,009
March 31, 2012			
Commercial and industrial	5	\$ 1,422	\$ 1,230
Commercial real estate:			
Commercial real estate	6	2,049	1,988
Construction	2	2,154	2,154
Total commercial real estate loans	8	4,203	4,142
Residential mortgage	4	852	853
Consumer	2	69	68
Total	19	\$ 6,546	\$ 6,293

The majority of the TDR concessions made during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 involved an extension of the loan term and/or an interest rate reduction. The TDRs presented in the table above had allocated specific reserves for loan losses totaling \$1.6 million and \$747 thousand at March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These specific reserves are included in the allowance for loan losses for loans individually evaluated for impairment disclosed in Note 8. One commercial loan modified as a TDRs included in the table above resulted in a \$1.1 million charge-off during the quarter ended March 31, 2013. There were no charge-offs resulting from loans modified as TDRs during the quarter ended March 31, 2012.

The following table presents non-PCI loans modified as TDRs within the previous 12 months from, and for which there was a payment default (90 days or more past due) during the three months ended March 31, 2013:

Troubled Debt	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013	
Restructurings		
Subsequently Defaulted	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment
	(\$ in thousands)	
Residential mortgage	10	\$ 2,389
Consumer	2	222

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Total	12	\$ 2,611
-------	----	----------

Credit quality indicators. Valley utilizes an internal loan classification system as a means of reporting problem loans within commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, and construction loan portfolio classes. Under Valley's internal risk rating system, loan relationships could be classified as Pass, Special Mention, Substandard, Doubtful, and Loss. Substandard loans include loans that exhibit well-defined weakness and are characterized by

Table of Contents

the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Loans classified as Doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as Substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full, based on currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. Loans classified as Loss are those considered uncollectible with insignificant value and are charged-off immediately to the allowance for loan losses. Loans that do not currently pose a sufficient risk to warrant classification in one of the aforementioned categories, but pose weaknesses that deserve management's close attention are deemed to be Special Mention. Loans rated as Pass loans do not currently pose any identified risk and can range from the highest to average quality, depending on the degree of potential risk. Risk ratings are updated any time the situation warrants.

The following table presents the risk category of loans (excluding PCI loans) by class of loans based on the most recent analysis performed at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Credit exposure - by internally assigned risk rating	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard (in thousands)	Doubtful	Total Non-PCI Loans
March 31, 2013					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,648,269	\$ 67,385	\$ 95,907	\$	\$ 1,811,561
Commercial real estate	3,551,178	58,687	147,828		3,757,693
Construction	352,826	34,652	19,796	5,800	413,074
Total	\$ 5,552,273	\$ 160,724	\$ 263,531	\$ 5,800	\$ 5,982,328
December 31, 2012					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,673,604	\$ 64,777	\$ 94,184	\$ 178	\$ 1,832,743
Commercial real estate	3,563,530	59,175	149,379		3,772,084
Construction	340,357	32,817	19,521	7,160	399,855
Total	\$ 5,577,491	\$ 156,769	\$ 263,084	\$ 7,338	\$ 6,004,682

For residential mortgages, automobile, home equity and other consumer loan portfolio classes (excluding PCI loans), Valley also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity. The following table presents the recorded investment in those loan classes based on payment activity as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Credit exposure - by payment activity	Performing Loans	Non-Performing Loans (in thousands)	Total Non-PCI Loans
March 31, 2013			
Residential mortgage	\$ 2,304,916	\$ 31,905	\$ 2,336,821
Home equity	419,750	1,948	421,698
Automobile	810,809	251	811,060
Other consumer	187,997	567	188,564
Total	\$ 3,723,472	\$ 34,671	\$ 3,758,143
December 31, 2012			
Residential mortgage	\$ 2,413,004	\$ 32,623	\$ 2,445,627
Home equity	436,483	2,398	438,881
Automobile	786,223	305	786,528
Other consumer	178,789	628	179,417
Total	\$ 3,814,499	\$ 35,954	\$ 3,850,453

Table of Contents

Valley evaluates the credit quality of its PCI loan pools based on the expectation of the underlying cash flows of each pool, derived from the aging status and by payment activity of individual loans within the pool. The following table presents the recorded investment in PCI loans by class based on individual loan payment activity as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Credit exposure - by payment activity	Performing Loans	Non-Performing Loans (in thousands)	Total PCI Loans
March 31, 2013			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 264,578	\$ 4,066	\$ 268,644
Commercial real estate	654,900	48,296	703,196
Construction	19,861	11,760	31,621
Residential mortgage	21,059	3,691	24,750
Consumer	41,508	1,309	42,817
Total	\$ 1,001,906	\$ 69,122	\$ 1,071,028
December 31, 2012			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 292,163	\$ 6,437	\$ 298,600
Commercial real estate	715,812	50,081	765,893
Construction	17,967	9,546	27,513
Residential mortgage	22,173	4,288	26,461
Consumer	47,689	1,508	49,197
Total	\$ 1,095,804	\$ 71,860	\$ 1,167,664

Note 8. Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses consists of the allowance for losses on non-covered loans and allowance for losses on covered loans related to credit impairment of certain covered loan pools subsequent to acquisition, as well as the allowance for unfunded letters of credit. Management maintains the allowance for credit losses at a level estimated to absorb probable loan losses of the loan portfolio and unfunded letter of credit commitments at the balance sheet date. The allowance for losses on non-covered loans is based on ongoing evaluations of the probable estimated losses inherent in the non-covered loan portfolio, including unexpected credit impairment of non-covered PCI loan pools subsequent to the acquisition date.

The following table summarizes the allowance for credit losses at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(in thousands)	
Components of allowance for credit losses:		
Allowance for non-covered loans	\$ 114,664	\$ 120,708
Allowance for covered loans	7,180	9,492
Total allowance for loan losses	121,844	130,200
Allowance for unfunded letters of credit	2,520	2,295
Total allowance for credit losses	\$ 124,364	\$ 132,495

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the provision for credit losses for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(in thousands)	
Components of provision for credit losses:		
Provision for non-covered loans	\$ 3,710	\$ 5,374
Provision for covered loans	(2,166)	
Total provision for loan losses	1,544	5,374
Provision for unfunded letters of credit	225	323
Total provision for credit losses	\$ 1,769	\$ 5,697

The following table details activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the quarters ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
	(in thousands)					
Quarter Ended March 31, 2013						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Beginning balance	\$ 64,370	\$ 44,069	\$ 9,423	\$ 5,542	\$ 6,796	\$ 130,200
Loans charged-off	(7,325)	(1,993)	(892)	(1,509)		(11,719)
Charged-off loans recovered	1,338	15	70	396		1,819
Net charge-offs	(5,987)	(1,978)	(822)	(1,113)		(9,900)
Provision for loan losses	(2,651)	2,104	730	1,031	330	1,544
Ending balance	\$ 55,732	\$ 44,195	\$ 9,331	\$ 5,460	\$ 7,126	\$ 121,844
Quarter Ended March 31, 2012						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Beginning balance	\$ 73,649	\$ 34,637	\$ 9,120	\$ 8,677	\$ 7,719	\$ 133,802
Loans charged-off	(4,807)	(1,080)	(1,176)	(1,483)		(8,546)
Charged-off loans recovered	1,005	120	514	601		2,240
Net charge-offs	(3,802)	(960)	(662)	(882)		(6,306)
Provision for loan losses	3,464	738	1,379	145	(352)	5,374
Ending balance	\$ 73,311	\$ 34,415	\$ 9,837	\$ 7,940	\$ 7,367	\$ 132,870

Table of Contents

The following table represents the allocation of the allowance for loan losses and the related loans by loan portfolio segment disaggregated based on the impairment methodology at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Residential Mortgage (in thousands)	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
March 31, 2013						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 9,759	\$ 14,478	\$ 3,897	\$ 16	\$	\$ 28,150
Collectively evaluated for impairment	45,461	23,285	5,201	5,441	7,126	86,514
Loans acquired with discounts related to credit quality	512	6,432	233	3		7,180
Total	\$ 55,732	\$ 44,195	\$ 9,331	\$ 5,460	\$ 7,126	\$ 121,844
Loans:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 48,633	\$ 130,966	\$ 27,824	\$ 1,244	\$	\$ 208,667
Collectively evaluated for impairment	1,762,928	4,039,801	2,308,997	1,420,078		9,531,804
Loans acquired with discounts related to credit quality	268,644	734,817	24,750	42,817		1,071,028
Total	\$ 2,080,205	\$ 4,905,584	\$ 2,361,571	\$ 1,464,139	\$	\$ 10,811,499
December 31, 2012						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 12,088	\$ 16,581	\$ 2,329	\$ 15	\$	\$ 31,013
Collectively evaluated for impairment	44,877	25,463	7,032	5,527	6,796	89,695
Loans acquired with discounts related to credit quality	7,405	2,025	62			9,492
Total	\$ 64,370	\$ 44,069	\$ 9,423	\$ 5,542	\$ 6,796	\$ 130,200
Loans:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 49,697	\$ 131,216	\$ 24,891	\$ 930	\$	\$ 206,734
Collectively evaluated for impairment	1,783,046	4,040,723	2,420,736	1,403,896		9,648,401
Loans acquired with discounts related to credit quality	298,600	793,406	26,461	49,197		1,167,664
Total	\$ 2,131,343	\$ 4,965,345	\$ 2,472,088	\$ 1,454,023	\$	\$ 11,022,799

Note 9. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill totaled \$428.2 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. There were no changes to the carrying amounts of goodwill allocated to Valley's business segments, or reporting units thereof, for goodwill impairment analysis (as reported in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012). There was no impairment of goodwill during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

The following table summarizes other intangible assets as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Gross Intangible Assets	Accumulated Amortization	Valuation Allowance	Net Intangible Assets
-------------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------------

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

(in thousands)

March 31, 2013				
Loan servicing rights	\$ 63,288	\$ (39,716)	\$ (1,759)	\$ 21,813
Core deposits	35,194	(25,024)		10,170
Other	5,878	(2,889)		2,989
Total other intangible assets	\$ 104,360	\$ (67,629)	\$ (1,759)	\$ 34,972
December 31, 2012				
Loan servicing rights	\$ 63,377	\$ (43,393)	\$ (3,046)	\$ 16,938
Core deposits	35,194	(24,160)		11,034
Other	5,878	(2,727)		3,151
Total other intangible assets	\$ 104,449	\$ (70,280)	\$ (3,046)	\$ 31,123

Loan servicing rights are accounted for using the amortization method. Under this method, Valley amortizes the loan servicing assets in proportion to, and over the period of estimated net servicing revenues. On a quarterly basis, Valley stratifies its loan servicing assets into groupings based on risk characteristics and assesses each group for impairment

Table of Contents

based on fair value. Impairment charges on loan servicing rights are recognized in earnings when the book value of a stratified group of loan servicing rights exceeds its estimated fair value. Valley recorded net recoveries of impairment charges on its loan servicing rights totaling \$1.3 million and \$420 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Core deposits are amortized using an accelerated method and have a weighted average amortization period of 11 years. The line item labeled Other included in the table above primarily consists of customer lists and covenants not to compete, which are amortized over their expected lives generally using a straight-line method and have a weighted average amortization period of approximately 17 years. Valley evaluates core deposits and other intangibles for impairment when an indication of impairment exists. No impairment was recognized during the quarters ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

The following presents the estimated future amortization expense of other intangible assets for the remainder of 2013 through 2017:

	Loan Servicing Rights	Core Deposits (in thousands)	Other
2013	\$ 4,299	\$ 2,213	\$ 380
2014	5,332	2,359	466
2015	4,024	1,758	434
2016	3,009	1,195	233
2017	2,268	815	220

Valley recognized amortization expense on other intangible assets, including net impairment recoveries on loan servicing rights, totaling approximately \$1.6 million and \$2.0 million for the quarters ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Note 10. Pension Plan

The Bank has a non-contributory defined benefit plan (qualified plan) covering most of its employees. Effective July 1, 2011, the Bank closed the qualified plan to new employees hired on or after such date. The Plan continues to operate and accrue normal benefits for existing participants. In conjunction with the eligibility change for the qualified plan, the Bank amended its 401(k) plan to increase the Bank's matching percentage of employee contributions for non-pension participants, within certain statutory limits.

The qualified plan benefits are based upon years of credited service and the employee's highest average compensation as defined. It is the Bank's funding policy to contribute annually an amount that can be deducted for federal income tax purposes. Additionally, the Bank has a supplemental non-qualified, non-funded retirement plan (non-qualified plan) which is designed to supplement the pension plan for key officers.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the components of net periodic pension expense related to the qualified and non-qualified plans for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(in thousands)	
Service cost	\$ 1,987	\$ 1,964
Interest cost	1,657	1,598
Expected return on plan assets	(2,559)	(2,233)
Amortization of prior service cost	202	177
Amortization of actuarial loss	794	582
 Total net periodic pension expense	 2,081	 2,088
 Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income:		
Amortization of prior service cost	(202)	(177)
Amortization of actuarial loss	(794)	(582)
	(996)	(759)
 Total amount recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income (before tax)	 \$ 1,085	 \$ 1,329

The fair value of qualified plan assets increased approximately \$9.8 million or 7.1 percent to \$148.7 million at March 31, 2013 from \$138.9 million at December 31, 2012. Valley made a \$25.0 million discretionary contribution to the qualified plan during April 2013. Valley does not expect to make additional contributions to the qualified plan for the remainder of 2013.

Note 11. Stock Based Compensation

Valley currently has one active employee stock option plan, the 2009 Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan (the "Employee Stock Incentive Plan"), administered by the Compensation and Human Resources Committee (the "Committee") appointed by Valley's Board of Directors. The Committee can grant awards to officers and key employees of Valley. The purpose of the Employee Stock Incentive Plan is to provide additional incentive to officers and key employees of Valley and its subsidiaries, whose substantial contributions are essential to the continued growth and success of Valley, and to attract and retain competent and dedicated officers and other key employees whose efforts will result in the continued and long-term growth of Valley's business.

Under the Employee Stock Incentive Plan, Valley may award shares to its employees for up to 7.4 million shares of common stock in the form of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock awards. The essential features of each award are described in the award agreement relating to that award. The grant, exercise, vesting, settlement or payment of an award may be based upon the fair value of Valley's common stock on the last sale price reported for Valley's common stock on such date or the last sale price reported preceding such date. An incentive stock option's maximum term to exercise is ten years from the date of grant and is subject to a vesting schedule. There were no stock options granted by Valley during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. Valley awarded restricted stock totaling 465 thousand shares and 540 thousand shares during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of March 31, 2013, 5.0 million shares of common stock were available for issuance under the Employee Stock Incentive Plan.

Valley recorded stock-based compensation expense for incentive stock options and restricted stock awards of \$1.9 million and \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The fair values of stock awards are expensed over the shorter of the vesting or required service period. As of March 31, 2013, the unrecognized amortization expense for all stock-based employee compensation totaled approximately \$13.7 million and will be recognized over an average remaining vesting period of approximately 4 years.

Table of Contents**Note 12. Guarantees**

Guarantees that have been entered into by Valley include standby letters of credit of \$227.8 million as of March 31, 2013. Standby letters of credit represent the guarantee by Valley of the obligations or performance of a customer in the event the customer is unable to meet or perform its obligations to a third party. Of the total standby letters of credit, \$145.5 million, or 63.9 percent are secured and, in the event of non-performance by the customer, Valley has rights to the underlying collateral, which includes commercial real estate, business assets (physical plant or property, inventory or receivables), marketable securities and cash in the form of bank savings accounts and certificates of deposit. As of March 31, 2013, Valley had a \$760 thousand liability related to the standby letters of credit.

Note 13. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Valley is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. Valley principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. Valley manages economic risks, including interest rate and liquidity risks, primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its assets and liabilities and, from time to time, the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, Valley enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. Valley's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of Valley's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments related to assets and liabilities outlined below.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk. Valley's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, Valley uses interest rate swaps and caps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the payment of either fixed or variable-rate amounts in exchange for the receipt of variable or fixed-rate amounts from a counterparty. Interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract in exchange for an up-front premium.

At March 31, 2013, Valley had the following cash flow hedge derivatives:

Four forward starting interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$300 million to hedge the changes in cash flows associated with certain prime-rate-indexed deposits, consisting of consumer and commercial money market deposit accounts. Two of the four swaps, totaling \$200 million, expire in October 2016 and require Valley to pay fixed-rate amounts at approximately 4.73 percent, in exchange for the receipt of variable-rate payments at the prime rate. Starting in July 2012, the other two swaps totaling \$100 million require the payment by Valley of fixed-rate amounts at approximately 5.11 percent in exchange for the receipt of variable-rate payments at the prime rate and expire in July 2017.

Two interest rate caps with a total notional amount of \$100 million, strike rates of 2.50 percent and 2.75 percent, and a maturity date of May 1, 2013 used to hedge the variability in cash flows associated with customer repurchase agreements and money market deposit accounts that have variable interest rates based on the federal funds rate.

Two interest rate caps with a total notional amount of \$100 million, strike rates of 6.00 percent and 6.25 percent, and a maturity date of July 15, 2015 used to hedge the total change in cash flows associated with prime-rate-indexed deposits, consisting of consumer and commercial money market deposit accounts, which have variable interest rates indexed to the prime rate.

Fair Value Hedges of Fixed Rate Assets and Liabilities. Valley is exposed to changes in the fair value of certain of its fixed rate assets or liabilities due to changes in benchmark interest rates based on one-month LIBOR. From time to time, Valley uses interest rate swaps to manage its exposure to changes in fair value. Interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges involve the receipt of variable rate payments from a counterparty in exchange for Valley making fixed rate payments over the life of the agreements without the exchange of the underlying notional amount.

Table of Contents

At March 31, 2013, Valley had the following fair value hedge derivatives:

One interest rate swap with a notional amount of approximately \$8.7 million used to hedge the change in the fair value of a commercial loan.

One interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$51.0 million, maturing in March 2014, used to hedge the change in the fair value of certain fixed-rate brokered certificates of deposit.

For derivatives that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges, the gain or loss on the derivative as well as the loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in earnings. Valley includes the gain or loss on the hedged items in the same income statement line item as the loss or gain on the related derivatives.

Non-designated Hedges. Derivatives not designated as hedges may be used to manage Valley's exposure to interest rate movements or to provide service to customers but do not meet the requirements for hedge accounting under U.S. GAAP. Derivatives not designated as hedges are not entered into for speculative purposes. Under a program, Valley executes interest rate swaps with commercial lending customers to facilitate their respective risk management strategies. These interest rate swaps with customers are simultaneously offset by interest rate swaps that Valley executes with a third party, such that Valley minimizes its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions. As the interest rate swaps associated with this program do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements, changes in the fair value of both the customer swaps and the offsetting swaps are recognized directly in earnings. As of March 31, 2013, Valley had a total of 41 interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$171.5 million related to this program.

Valley also enters into mortgage banking derivatives which are non-designated hedges. These derivatives include interest rate lock commitments provided to customers to fund certain residential mortgage loans to be sold into the secondary market and forward commitments for the future delivery of such loans. Valley enters into forward commitments for the future delivery of residential mortgage loans when interest rate lock commitments are entered into in order to economically hedge the effect of future changes in interest rates on Valley's commitments to fund the loans as well as on its portfolio of mortgage loans held for sale. As of March 31, 2013, Valley had mortgage banking derivatives with an aggregate notional amount of \$235.3 million.

Table of Contents

Amounts included in the consolidated statements of financial condition related to the fair value of Valley's derivative financial instruments were as follows:

	Balance Sheet Line Item	Fair Value	
		March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Asset Derivatives:			
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Cash flow hedge interest rate caps and swaps	Other Assets	\$ 16	\$ 23
Fair value hedge interest rate swaps	Other Assets	512	652
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 528	\$ 675
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Interest rate swaps	Other Assets	\$ 6,508	\$ 7,002
Mortgage banking derivatives	Other Assets	433	239
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 6,941	\$ 7,241
Liability Derivatives:			
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Cash flow hedge interest rate caps and swaps	Other Liabilities	\$ 16,122	\$ 17,198
Fair value hedge interest rate swaps	Other Liabilities	2,100	2,197
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 18,222	\$ 19,395
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Interest rate swaps	Other Liabilities	\$ 6,508	\$ 6,999
Mortgage banking derivatives	Other Liabilities	762	200
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 7,270	\$ 7,199

Gains (losses) included in the consolidated statements of income and in other comprehensive income, on a pre-tax basis, related to interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of cash flows were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Interest rate caps on short-term borrowings and deposit accounts:		
Amount of loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense	\$ (1,880)	\$ (1,392)
Amount of (loss) gain recognized in other comprehensive income	(133)	1,549

Valley recognized net gains of \$73 thousand in other expense for hedge ineffectiveness on the cash flow hedge interest rate caps for the quarter ended March 31, 2012. There were no net gains or losses related to the hedge ineffectiveness recognized during the quarter ended March 31, 2013. The accumulated net after-tax losses related to effective cash flow hedges included in accumulated other comprehensive loss were \$11.7 million and \$12.7 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to cash flow interest rate derivatives are reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the hedged variable interest rate liabilities. Valley estimates that \$8.3 million will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense over the next twelve months.

Table of Contents

Gains (losses) included in the consolidated statements of income related to interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of fair value were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 2012 (in thousands)	
Derivative interest rate swaps:		
Interest income interest and fees on loans	\$ 97	\$ 130
Interest expense interest on time deposits	(140)	40
Hedged item loans and deposits:		
Interest income interest and fees on loans	\$ (97)	\$ (130)
Interest expense interest on time deposits	143	(32)

During the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, the net loss and gain recognized in non-interest expense related to fair value hedge ineffectiveness, respectively, were immaterial. Valley recognized a net reduction to interest expense of \$142 thousand and \$136 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to Valley's fair value hedges on brokered time deposits, which includes net settlements on the derivatives.

The net (losses) gains included in the consolidated statements of income related to derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 2012 (in thousands)	
Non-designated hedge interest rate derivatives		
Other non-interest income	(371)	216

Credit Risk Related Contingent Features. By using derivatives, Valley is exposed to credit risk if counterparties to the derivative contracts do not perform as expected. Management attempts to minimize counterparty credit risk through credit approvals, limits, monitoring procedures and obtaining collateral where appropriate. Credit risk exposure associated with derivative contracts is managed at Valley in conjunction with Valley's consolidated counterparty risk management process. Valley's counterparties and the risk limits monitored by management are periodically reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors.

Valley has agreements with its derivative counterparties providing that if Valley defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then Valley could also be declared in default on its derivative counterparty agreements. Additionally, Valley has an agreement with several of its derivative counterparties that contains provisions that require Valley's debt to maintain an investment grade credit rating from each of the major credit rating agencies, from which it receives a credit rating. If Valley's credit rating is reduced below investment grade or such rating is withdrawn or suspended, then the counterparty could terminate the derivative positions, and Valley would be required to settle its obligations under the agreements. As of March 31, 2013, Valley was in compliance with all of the provisions of its derivative counterparty agreements.

As of March 31, 2013, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was \$24.6 million. Valley has derivative counterparty agreements that require minimum collateral posting thresholds for certain counterparties. No collateral has been assigned or posted by Valley's counterparties under the agreements at March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2013, Valley had \$23.7 million in collateral posted with its counterparties.

Table of Contents**Note 14. Balance Sheet Offsetting**

Certain financial instruments, including derivatives (interest rate caps and swaps) and repurchase agreements (accounted for as secured long-term borrowings), may be eligible for offset in the consolidated balance sheet and/or subject to master netting arrangements or similar agreements. Valley is party to master netting arrangements with its financial institution counterparties; however, Valley does not offset assets and liabilities under these arrangements for financial statement presentation purposes. The master netting arrangements provide for a single net settlement of all swap agreements, as well as collateral, in the event of default on, or termination of, any one contract. Collateral, usually in the form of cash or marketable investment securities, is posted by the counterparty with net liability positions in accordance with contract thresholds. Master repurchase agreements which include right of set-off provisions generally have a legally enforceable right to offset recognized amounts. In such cases, the collateral would be used to settle the fair value of the repurchase agreement should Valley be in default.

The table below presents a gross presentation, the effects of offsetting, and a net presentation of Valley's financial instruments that are eligible for offset in the consolidated statements of financial condition as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The net amounts of derivative assets or liabilities can be reconciled to the fair value of Valley's derivative financial instruments disclosed in Note 13.

	Gross Amounts Recognized	Gross Amounts Offset	Net Amounts Presented (in thousands)	Gross Amounts Not Offset Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral	Net Amount
March 31, 2013						
Assets:						
Interest rate caps and swaps	\$ 7,036	\$	\$ 7,036	\$ (528)	\$	\$ 6,508
Liabilities:						
Interest rate caps and swaps	\$ 24,730	\$	\$ 24,730	\$ (528)	\$ (23,668)	\$ 534
Repurchase agreements	520,000		520,000		(520,000)*	
Total	\$ 544,730	\$	\$ 544,730	\$ (528)	\$ (543,668)	\$ 534
December 31, 2012						
Assets:						
Interest rate caps and swaps	\$ 7,677	\$	\$ 7,677	\$ (675)	\$	\$ 7,002
Liabilities:						
Interest rate caps and swaps	\$ 26,395	\$	\$ 26,395	\$ (675)	\$ (25,720)	\$
Repurchase agreements	520,000		520,000		(520,000)*	
Total	\$ 546,395	\$	\$ 546,395	\$ (675)	\$ (545,720)	\$

* Represents fair value of investment securities pledged.

At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, Valley had both assets and liabilities under only one of its counterparty arrangements.

Note 15. Business Segments

The information under the caption "Business Segments" in Management's Discussion and Analysis is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

The following MD&A should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. The words Valley, the Company, we, our and us refer to Valley National Bancorp and its wholly owned subsidiaries, unless we indicate otherwise. Additionally, Valley's principal subsidiary, Valley National Bank, is commonly referred as the Bank in this MD&A.

The MD&A contains supplemental financial information, described in the sections that follow, which has been determined by methods other than U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) that management uses in its analysis of our performance. Management believes these non-GAAP financial measures provide information useful to investors in understanding our underlying operational performance, our business and performance trends and facilitates comparisons with the performance of others in the financial services industry. These non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for or superior to financial measures calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Table of Contents

Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, both in the MD&A and elsewhere, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements are not historical facts and include expressions about management's confidence and strategies and management's expectations about new and existing programs and products, acquisitions, relationships, opportunities, taxation, technology, market conditions and economic expectations. These statements may be identified by such forward-looking terminology as "should," "expect," "believe," "view," "opportunity," "allow," "continues," "reflects," "typically," "usually," "anticipate," or similar statements or variations. Such forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties and our actual results may differ materially from such forward-looking statements. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements in addition to those risk factors disclosed in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, include, but are not limited to:

a severe decline in the general economic conditions of New Jersey and the New York Metropolitan area;

higher than expected loan delinquencies, loss of collateral, decreased service revenues, and other potential negative effects on our business from the recent damages to our primary markets by Hurricane Sandy;

declines in value in our investment portfolio, including additional other-than-temporary impairment charges on our investment securities;

unexpected significant declines in the loan portfolio due to the lack of economic expansion, increased competition, large prepayments or other factors;

unanticipated deterioration in our loan portfolio;

an unanticipated reduction in our originate and sell residential mortgage strategy or a slowdown in new and refinanced residential mortgage loan activity;

Valley's inability to pay dividends at current levels, or at all, because of inadequate future earnings, regulatory restrictions or limitations, and changes in the composition of qualifying regulatory capital and minimum capital requirements (including those resulting from the U.S. implementation of Basel III requirements);

higher than expected increases in our allowance for loan losses;

higher than expected increases in loan losses or in the level of non-performing loans (including additional losses and elevated levels of non-accrual loans caused by the lengthy foreclosure process in the State of New Jersey);

unexpected changes in market interest rates for interest earning assets and/or interest bearing liabilities;

government intervention in the U.S. financial system and the effects of and changes in trade and monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including the interest rate policies of the Federal Reserve;

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

higher than expected tax rates, including increases resulting from changes in tax laws, regulations and case law;

an unexpected decline in real estate values within our market areas;

charges against earnings related to the change in fair value of our junior subordinated debentures;

higher than expected FDIC insurance assessments;

the failure of other financial institutions with whom we have trading, clearing, counterparty and other financial relationships;

lack of liquidity to fund our various cash obligations;

unanticipated reduction in our deposit base;

potential acquisitions that may disrupt our business;

legislative and regulatory actions (including the impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and related regulations) subject us to additional regulatory oversight which may result in higher compliance costs and/or require us to change our business model;

changes in accounting policies or accounting standards;

our inability to promptly adapt to technological changes;

Table of Contents

our internal controls and procedures may not be adequate to prevent losses;

claims and litigation pertaining to fiduciary responsibility, environmental laws and other matters;

the inability to realize expected revenue synergies from recent acquisitions in the amounts or in the timeframe anticipated;

inability to retain customers and employees;

lower than expected cash flows from purchased credit impaired loans;

potential cyber attacks, computer viruses or other malware that may breach the security of our websites or other systems to obtain unauthorized access to confidential information, destroy data, disable or degrade service, or sabotage our systems; and

other unexpected material adverse changes in our operations or earnings.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Valley's accounting policies are fundamental to understanding management's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations. Our significant accounting policies are presented in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements included in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. We identified our policies on the allowance for loan losses, security valuations and impairments, goodwill and other intangible assets, and income taxes to be critical because management has to make subjective and/or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain and because it is likely that materially different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. Management has reviewed the application of these policies with the Audit Committee of Valley's Board of Directors. Our critical accounting policies are described in detail in Part II, Item 7 in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

New Authoritative Accounting Guidance

See Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements for a description of new authoritative accounting guidance including the respective dates of adoption and effects on results of operations and financial condition.

Executive Summary

Company Overview. At March 31, 2013, Valley had consolidated total assets of \$16.0 billion, total net loans of \$10.7 billion, total deposits of \$11.3 billion and total shareholders' equity of \$1.5 billion. Our commercial bank operations include branch office locations in northern and central New Jersey and the New York City Boroughs of Manhattan, Brooklyn and Queens, as well as Long Island, New York. Of our current 209 branch network, 79 percent and 21 percent of the branches are located in New Jersey and New York, respectively. We have grown both in asset size and locations significantly over the past several years primarily through both bank acquisitions and de novo branch expansion. See Item 1 of Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for more details regarding our past merger activity.

Quarterly Results. Net income for the first quarter of 2013 was \$31.3 million, or \$0.16 per diluted common share, compared to \$34.5 million, or \$0.18 per diluted common share for the first quarter of 2012. The \$3.2 million decrease in quarterly net income as compared to the same quarter one year ago was largely due to: (i) a \$17.4 million decrease in net interest income largely due to lower yields on new loans and investments caused by the historically low level of market interest rates and the repayment of higher yielding financial instruments within these same categories, partially offset by (ii) a \$8.7 million increase in non-interest income resulting primarily from higher gains on sales of residential loans originated for sale and an increase in net gains on securities transactions within our available for sale investment securities portfolio, partly offset by lower net trading gains and a reduction in non-interest income related to the change in our FDIC loss-share receivable, (iii) a \$3.9 million decrease in our provision for credit losses caused by a \$2.1 million decrease in the estimated additional impairment on certain covered loan pools acquired in FDIC-assisted transactions, as well as improved expected loss experience and outlook for our commercial and

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

commercial real estate loan portfolios and (iv) a 1.7 percent decrease in our effective tax rate. See the Net Interest Income, Other Income, and Loan Portfolio sections below for more details on the items above impacting our first quarter of 2013 results.

Table of Contents

Economic Overview and Indicators. During the first quarter of 2013, the U.S. economy modestly progressed in its recovery despite uncertainty surrounding the federal budget sequester which introduced \$85 billion of spending cuts beginning March 1, 2013. At this point, it is unclear how the decrease in federal spending will effect economic growth throughout the remainder of 2013. In any case, improving housing markets and consumer spending will likely boost the first quarter gross domestic product results (when reported in late May 2013) following the soft fourth quarter of 2012. In addition, businesses rebuilt depleted inventories in the first quarter, a positive for economic growth early in the year.

The unemployment rate edged downward from 7.9 percent in January 2013 to 7.5 percent in April 2013. After upward revisions for both February and March 2013, job growth has averaged 196 thousand jobs per month through April 2013 as compared to a monthly average of approximately 183 thousand jobs for the entire year of 2012. While not robust, the current year average is still enough to make a case for modest private sector-led growth. Private industry created 176 thousand jobs in April 2013, while federal, state and local government sector contracted by 11 thousand jobs, netting a gain of 165 thousand jobs. The federal budget sequester may continue to put downward pressure on public sector employment and the national employment growth for the months ahead. While there can still be resiliency in the private sector, the ongoing political uncertainty surrounding the debt limit and long-term deficit reduction negotiations affect businesses' willingness to commit to long-term expansion and therefore job creation.

During the quarter, the Federal Reserve remained consistent with its previously announced intentions to keep short-term interest rates low, in the zero to 0.25 percent range, for at least the next two years in an effort to enliven economic growth. Their effort continues to be supported by the current round of quantitative easing, known as QE3, by which the Federal Reserve is actively purchasing approximately \$85 billion per month of U.S. Treasuries and mortgage-backed investment securities to help drive down the level of market interest rates. This action is aimed to lower borrowing costs for businesses and consumers at the risk of potentially encouraging inflation. However, core inflation (which excludes volatile food and energy prices) remains muted at about a two percent annual rate. This is partially due to soft job creation and sub-par economic growth which may also be discouraging more discretionary spending amongst consumers and a willingness amongst consumers to take on more debt (which can negatively impact Valley's ability to generate meaningful loan growth). The Federal Reserve has maintained that this rate of inflation is well within its target range for price increases and not enough to spur policymakers to alter current quantitative easing policies.

The housing sector has showed increasing demand and recovering housing prices as existing home sales rose above a 4.98 million annual rate in February for the first time since November 2009, when the first-time home buyers credit helped to enhance sale volumes. On a national level, home sales and housing starts continue to show some positive momentum as mortgage interest rates are at multi-generational lows, giving qualified borrowers the ability to enter the market. Increased refinance activity has had a positive impact on our residential lending operations and to the extent that new and existing home sales grow, there will be a multiplier effect throughout the economy, improving conditions for realtors, movers, lawyers, the home furnishings industries and potentially many of our commercial customers.

While upward pressure on inflation could lead to an increase in long-term interest rates prior to the Federal Reserve's projections, we believe the current low interest-rate and high unemployment environment will continue to challenge our business operations and results in many ways during the remainder of 2013 and the foreseeable future, as highlighted throughout the remaining MD&A discussion below.

Table of Contents

The following economic indicators are just a few of the many factors that may be used to assess the market conditions in our primary markets of northern and central New Jersey and the New York City metropolitan area. Generally, market conditions have improved from one year ago, however as outlined above, economic uncertainty, persistent unemployment, as well as high vacancy rates may continue to put pressure on the performance of some borrowers and the level of new loan demand within our area.

Key Economic Indicators:	For the Month Ended				
	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	March 31, 2012
Unemployment rate:					
U.S.	7.60%	7.80%	7.80%	8.20%	8.20%
New York Metro Region*	8.80%	8.50%	8.50%	9.50%	8.90%
New Jersey	9.00%	9.60%	9.80%	9.60%	9.00%
New York	8.20%	8.20%	8.90%	8.90%	8.50%

Personal income:	Three Months Ended				
	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012 (\$ in millions)	June 30, 2012	March 31, 2012
New Jersey					
	NA	\$ 483,404	\$ 473,813	\$ 472,756	\$ 471,492
New York					
	NA	\$ 1,044,218	\$ 1,012,959	\$ 1,011,170	\$ 1,003,281
New consumer bankruptcies:					
New Jersey	NA	0.12%	0.12%	0.14%	0.15%
New York	NA	0.08%	0.08%	0.09%	0.08%
Change in home prices:					
U.S.	NA	-0.30%	-2.10%	7.10%	-1.70%
New York Metro Region*	NA	0.97%	-0.50%	0.91%	-1.78%
New consumer foreclosures:					
New Jersey	NA	0.08%	0.08%	0.05%	0.08%
New York	NA	0.04%	0.06%	0.05%	0.06%
Rental housing vacancy rates:					
New Jersey	8.90%	11.70%	10.80%	10.50%	11.60%
New York	4.80%	5.20%	5.00%	5.60%	6.30%

NA - not available

* As reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the NY-NJ-PA Metropolitan Statistical Area.

Sources: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Federal Reserve Bank of New York, S&P Indices, and the U.S. Census Bureau.

Loans. Overall, our total loan portfolio declined by 7.7 percent on an annualized basis during the first quarter of 2013 largely due to a substantial amount of refinance activity within our residential mortgage loan portfolio and the fact that approximately 79 percent of our total first quarter mortgage origination production was sold in the secondary market primarily due to our current interest rate risk management strategies. Total non-covered loans (i.e., loans which are not subject to our loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC) decreased by \$191.9 million, or 7.1 percent on an annualized basis, to \$10.7 billion at March 31, 2013 from December 31, 2012 largely due to our aforementioned decision to sell the majority of our new and refinanced residential mortgage loans, some large repayments and reduced line usage within the commercial loan portfolios and continued strong competition for high quality commercial borrowers. Despite the strong competition and prepayments, the commercial and industrial loan portfolio combined with the commercial real estate portfolio had loan originations of approximately \$305 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to a strong linked fourth quarter of 2012 totaling \$354 million (the highest

Table of Contents

quarterly amount since 2008). Additionally, our automobile and other consumer loan portfolios increased \$24.5 million and \$9.1 million, or 12.5 percent and 20.2 percent on an annualized basis, respectively, during the first quarter of 2013. Total covered loans (i.e., loans subject to our loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC) decreased to \$161.3 million, or 1.5 percent of our total loans, at March 31, 2013 as compared to \$180.7 million at December 31, 2012, mainly due to normal collection activity.

Our new and refinanced residential mortgage loan originations of \$577 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and were up almost 9 percent as compared to a strong fourth quarter of 2012. The increased volume is largely the result of the historically low interest rate environment, the success of our low-fixed price residential mortgage refinance programs and the continued housing market recovery. The current Federal Reserve monetary policies contributed to the decision to maintain our mostly originate and sell model started in the second half of 2012, as we attempt to maximize mortgage banking revenues within non-interest income, while the low level of market interest rates continues to apply pressure to our net interest income and margin. As a result, Valley sold nearly \$454 million of residential mortgages during the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$361 million in the prior fourth quarter of 2012, and had \$135.1 million in loans held for sale, at fair value, at March 31, 2013. The increased secondary sales and mark to market gains on loans held for sale at fair value materially increased the total gains on the sale of loans recognized in our non-interest income as compared to the first quarter of 2012 and declined slightly from the linked fourth quarter due to some contraction in loan spreads, while allowing us to maintain the appropriate mix of earning assets and an acceptable level of interest rate risk on our balance sheet. Additionally, loan servicing rights recognized for the retained servicing of the loans sold during the first quarter totaled \$5.5 million at March 31, 2013. During the early part of the second quarter of 2013, mortgage application volume continues to be strong, and we believe this activity will continue into the foreseeable future assuming that market conditions do not adversely change. See further details on our loan activities, including the covered loan portfolio, under the Loan Portfolio section below.

Asset Quality. Given the slow economic recovery, elevated unemployment levels, personal bankruptcies, and higher delinquency rates reported throughout the banking industry, we believe our loan portfolio's credit performance remained at an acceptable level at March 31, 2013. Our past due loans and non-accrual loans, discussed further below, exclude purchased credit-impaired (PCI) loans. Under U.S. GAAP, the PCI loans (acquired at a discount that is due, in part, to credit quality) are accounted for on a pool basis and are not subject to delinquency classification in the same manner as loans originated by Valley.

Total loans (excluding PCI loans) past due in excess of 30 days decreased 0.03 percent to 1.70 percent of our total loan portfolio of \$10.8 billion as of March 31, 2013 compared to 1.73 percent of \$11.0 billion in total loans at December 31, 2012 mainly due to moderate declines in the level of non-accrual loans in each of our loan types. Total non-accrual loans decreased \$6.2 million to \$125.6 million, or 1.16 percent of total loans at March 31, 2013 as compared to \$131.8 million, or 1.20 percent of total loans at December 31, 2012. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, our non-accrual loans also included performing residential mortgage and home equity loans totaling \$6.0 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, which were classified as non-accrual loans due to Office of the Comptroller of the Currency guidance issued during 2012. Although the timing of collection is uncertain, we believe most of our non-accrual loans are well secured and, ultimately, collectible. Our lending strategy is based on underwriting standards designed to maintain high credit quality and we remain optimistic regarding the overall future performance of our loan portfolio. However, due to the potential for future credit deterioration caused by the unpredictable future strength of the U.S. economic and housing recoveries and high levels of unemployment, management cannot provide assurance that our non-performing assets will remain at, or decline from, the levels reported as of March 31, 2013. See the Non-performing Assets section below for further analysis of our credit quality.

Table of Contents

Selected Performance Indicators. The following table presents our annualized performance ratios for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Return on average assets	0.79%	0.88%
Return on average shareholders' equity	8.31	9.34
Return on average tangible shareholders' equity (ROATE)	11.97	13.43

ROATE, which is a non-GAAP measure, is computed by dividing net income by average shareholders' equity less average goodwill and average other intangible assets, as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(\$ in thousands)	
Net income	\$ 31,310	\$ 34,531
Average shareholders' equity	1,506,968	1,478,133
Less: Average goodwill and other intangible assets	(460,502)	(449,285)
Average tangible shareholders' equity	\$ 1,046,466	\$ 1,028,848
Annualized ROATE	11.97%	13.43%

Management believes the ROATE measure provides information useful to management and investors in understanding our underlying operational performance, our business and performance trends and the measure facilitates comparisons with the performance of others in the financial services industry. This non-GAAP financial measure should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for or superior to financial measures calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

All of the above ratios are, from time to time, impacted by net trading gains and losses, net gains and losses on securities transactions, net gains on sales of loans and net impairment losses on securities recognized in non-interest income. These amounts can vary widely from period to period due to the recognition of non-cash gains or losses on the change in the fair value of our junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value and our trading securities portfolio, the level of sales of our investment securities classified as available for sale and residential mortgage loan originations, and the results of our quarter impairment analysis of the held to maturity and available for sale investment portfolios. See the Non-Interest Income section below for more details.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income on a tax equivalent basis decreased \$8.4 million to \$112.1 million for the first quarter of 2013 from the fourth quarter of 2012 and declined \$17.1 million as compared to the first quarter of 2012. Interest income on a tax equivalent basis decreased \$9.8 million from the fourth quarter of 2012 mainly due to the combination of lower yields on loans and investments and a \$228.2 million decrease in average loans. The decrease in interest income was partially offset by a \$1.4 million decline in interest expense, which was mostly driven by lower average balances for time deposits as well as a 5 basis point decrease in the cost of average deposits and a 6 basis point decline in the cost of average long-term borrowings.

Average interest earning assets increased to \$14.1 billion for the first quarter of 2013 as compared to approximately \$14.0 billion for the first quarter of 2012 largely due to increases of \$294.5 million and \$91.9 million in average federal funds sold and other interest bearing deposits and loans, respectively. The increase in average federal funds sold and other interest bearing deposits mainly resulted from excess liquidity (mostly held in overnight interest bearing deposits

Table of Contents

at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York) caused by the net proceeds in investment sales, calls and repayments and an increase in our average deposits which outpaced loan volumes. The increase in average loans resulted from modest organic growth within our commercial real estate, automobile, and other consumer loan portfolios and residential mortgage loans over the last twelve month period, despite our shift to an originate and sell strategy for most of our new and refinanced residential mortgage loan originations starting in the third quarter of 2012 and continued loan run-off in the PCI loan portfolios. Compared to the fourth quarter of 2012, average interest earning assets decreased only \$17.3 million. However, the mix of average loans and investments changed as average loans declined by \$228.2 million, or 2.0 percent, to \$11.0 billion for the first quarter of 2013 and average investments increased \$223.8 million, or 9.2 percent, to \$2.7 billion as compared to the fourth quarter of 2012. The decline in average loans quarter over quarter was mainly due to the aforementioned residential mortgage sales of refinanced loans, soft commercial loan demand and intense competition for quality loan borrowers in our marketplace. The increase in average investments during the first quarter of 2013 was comprised of increases totaling \$160.1 million and \$63.7 million in taxable and non-taxable investment securities, respectively, mainly due to the purchases of U.S. Treasuries, corporate bonds and municipal bond securities over the last six month period.

Average interest bearing liabilities decreased \$260.0 million to approximately \$10.8 billion for the first quarter of 2013 compared with the first quarter of 2012 mainly due to the normal run-off of maturing high cost certificate of deposit balances over the past twelve month period and a decline in the use of short-term FHLB borrowings for funding residential mortgage originations as our average non-interest bearing deposits increased by \$336.4 million as compared to the first quarter of 2012. Compared to the fourth quarter of 2012, average interest bearing liabilities decreased \$94.1 million for the first quarter of 2013, again, mostly due to the run-off of maturing higher rate certificates of deposit and the decline in use of short-term FHLB borrowings.

The net interest margin on a tax equivalent basis was 3.18 percent for the first quarter of 2013, a decrease of 23 basis points from 3.41 percent in the linked fourth quarter of 2012, and a 52 basis point decline from 3.70 percent for the quarter ended March 31, 2012. The yield on average interest earning assets decreased by 27 basis points on a linked quarter basis mainly as a result of lower yields on average loans and investment securities caused by the current and prolonged low level of market yields on new loans and securities, the continued refinance and repayment of higher yielding interest earning assets, and two less days during the first quarter of 2013. The yield on average loans also decreased 27 basis points to 4.82 percent for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from the fourth quarter of 2012. The aggregate net change in infrequent items that impact our loan yields from time to time, such as accelerated interest accretion recognized on certain PCI loan pools that were fully repaid and loan prepayment penalty fees accounted for approximately six basis points of the decline in our loan yield for the first quarter as compared to the fourth quarter of 2012. Additionally, the repayment volume of higher yielding non-PCI loans (with and without contractual prepayment penalties) remained elevated for the first quarter of 2013 and negatively impacted the overall yield on average loans. The overall cost of average interest bearing liabilities decreased by approximately 3 basis points from 1.63 percent in the linked fourth quarter of 2012 mainly due to declines ranging from 1 to 6 basis points for borrowing costs across all of our interest bearing liability categories during the first quarter of 2013, partly caused by two less days during the first quarter of 2013. Higher cost maturing time deposits mostly contributed to the decline in deposit costs and resulted in a \$132.4 million decrease in average time deposits as compared to the fourth quarter of 2012. Our cost of total deposits was 0.46 percent for the first quarter of 2013 compared to 0.49 percent for the three months ended December 31, 2012.

We believe our margin will continue to face the risk of compression into the foreseeable future due to the current low level of interest rates on most interest earning asset alternatives combined with the re-pricing risk related to the maturity and prepayment of loans and investments yielding higher than market interest rates. Additionally, our interest income on loans may increase or decrease each period due to prospective yield adjustments resulting from unexpected changes in the actual cash flows from PCI loans pools (see discussion under the Covered Loans section below). However, we continue to tightly manage our balance sheet and our cost of funds to optimize our returns. During the remainder of 2013, we will continue to explore ways to reduce our costs and optimize our net interest margin, including potential reductions in interest rates on certain deposit products whenever possible and minimizing the levels of excess liquidity caused by prepayment activity. Additionally, two interest rate caps with a total notional amount of \$100 million used to hedge the cash flows of certain borrowings will expire on May 1, 2013, which is expected to have a slightly positive impact on our cost of funds from that point forward in 2013. Although we cannot make any guarantees as to the potential future benefits to our net interest margin, we believe these actions and other asset/liability strategies will at least partially temper the negative impact of the current interest rate environment.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the components of net interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012:

Quarterly Analysis of Average Assets, Liabilities and Shareholders Equity and**Net Interest Income on a Tax Equivalent Basis**

	March 31, 2013			Three Months Ended December 31, 2012			March 31, 2012		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate
(\$ in thousands)									
Assets									
Interest earning assets:									
Loans (1)(2)	\$ 11,048,612	\$ 133,054	4.82%	\$ 11,276,804	\$ 143,470	5.09%	\$ 10,956,666	\$ 148,470	5.42%
Taxable investments (3)	2,091,866	16,169	3.09	1,931,717	15,916	3.30	2,469,057	22,502	3.65
Tax-exempt investments (1)(3)	568,827	5,614	3.95	505,156	5,210	4.13	439,927	4,799	4.36
Federal funds sold and other interest bearing deposits	388,669	216	0.22	401,595	253	0.25	94,127	55	0.23
Total interest earning assets	14,097,974	155,053	4.40	14,115,272	164,849	4.67	13,959,777	175,826	5.04
Allowance for loan losses	(130,723)			(131,201)			(135,449)		
Cash and due from banks	408,964			425,708			467,313		
Other assets	1,450,530			1,427,257			1,453,509		
Unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net	(5,525)			(1,987)			(32,005)		
Total assets	\$ 15,821,220			\$ 15,835,049			\$ 15,713,145		
Liabilities and shareholders equity									
Interest bearing liabilities:									
Savings, NOW and money market deposits	\$ 5,260,535	\$ 4,702	0.36%	\$ 5,163,073	\$ 4,995	0.39%	\$ 5,072,431	\$ 5,354	0.42%
Time deposits	2,493,288	8,111	1.30	2,625,681	8,779	1.34	2,812,582	10,185	1.45
Total interest bearing deposits	7,753,823	12,813	0.66	7,788,754	13,774	0.71	7,885,013	15,539	0.79
Short-term borrowings	140,600	144	0.41	197,442	209	0.42	237,676	253	0.43
Long-term borrowings (4)	2,886,509	30,040	4.16	2,888,797	30,457	4.22	2,918,216	30,885	4.23
Total interest bearing liabilities	10,780,932	42,997	1.60	10,874,993	44,440	1.63	11,040,905	46,677	1.69
Non-interest bearing deposits	3,448,327			3,382,494			3,111,959		
Other liabilities	84,993			60,887			82,148		
Shareholders equity	1,506,968			1,516,675			1,478,133		

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 15,821,220		\$ 15,835,049		\$ 15,713,145	
Net interest income/interest rate spread (5)	\$ 112,056	2.80%	\$ 120,409	3.04%	\$ 129,149	3.35%
Tax equivalent adjustment	(2,020)		(1,880)		(1,690)	
Net interest income, as reported	\$ 110,036		\$ 118,529		\$ 127,459	
Net interest margin (6)		3.12%		3.36%		3.65%
Tax equivalent effect		0.06%		0.05%		0.05%
Net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis (6)		3.18%		3.41%		3.70%

- (1) Interest income is presented on a tax equivalent basis using a 35 percent federal tax rate.
- (2) Loans are stated net of unearned income and include non-accrual loans.
- (3) The yield for securities that are classified as available for sale is based on the average historical amortized cost.
- (4) Includes junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts which are presented separately on the consolidated statements of financial condition.
- (5) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest earning assets and the average cost of interest bearing liabilities and is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis.
- (6) Net interest income as a percentage of total average interest earning assets.

Table of Contents

The following table demonstrates the relative impact on net interest income of changes in the volume of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and changes in rates earned and paid by us on such assets and liabilities. Variances resulting from a combination of changes in volume and rates are allocated to the categories in proportion to the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each category.

Change in Net Interest Income on a Tax Equivalent Basis

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared with March 31, 2012		
	Change Due to Volume	Change Due to Rate (in thousands)	Total Change
Interest Income:			
Loans*	\$ 1,236	\$ (16,652)	\$ (15,416)
Taxable investments	(3,176)	(3,157)	(6,333)
Tax-exempt investments*	1,305	(490)	815
Federal funds sold and other interest bearing deposits	164	(3)	161
Total decrease in interest income	(471)	(20,302)	(20,773)
Interest Expense:			
Savings, NOW and money market deposits	193	(845)	(652)
Time deposits	(1,094)	(980)	(2,074)
Short-term borrowings	(100)	(9)	(109)
Long-term borrowings and junior subordinated debentures	(333)	(512)	(845)
Total decrease in interest expense	(1,334)	(2,346)	(3,680)
Total increase (decrease) in net interest income	\$ 863	\$ (17,956)	\$ (17,093)

* Interest income is presented on a tax equivalent basis using a 35 percent tax rate.

Table of Contents**Non-Interest Income**

The following table presents the components of non-interest income for each of the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(in thousands)	
Trust and investment services	\$ 1,977	\$ 1,774
Insurance commissions	3,990	5,436
Service charges on deposit accounts	5,690	5,946
Gains (losses) on securities transactions, net	3,958	(157)
Trading (losses) gains, net:		
Trading securities	(30)	252
Junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value	(2,172)	(1,240)
Total trading losses, net	(2,202)	(988)
Fees from loan servicing	1,517	1,159
Gains on sales of loans, net	15,060	3,166
(Losses) gains on sales of assets, net	(268)	32
Bank owned life insurance	1,341	1,959
Change in FDIC loss-share receivable	(3,175)	(90)
Other	3,408	4,358
Total non-interest income	\$ 31,296	\$ 22,595

Insurance commissions decreased \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, as compared to the same period in 2012 mainly due to lower third party commissions generated by our title insurance subsidiaries, and a moderate decline in revenues at our all-line insurance agency.

Net gains on securities transactions increased \$4.1 million to \$4.0 million for the first quarter of 2013 from a net loss of \$157 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2012 primarily due to the sale of zero percent yielding Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae perpetual preferred stock classified as available for sale with amortized cost totaling \$941 thousand.

Net trading gains and losses represent the non-cash mark to market valuation of our junior subordinated debentures (issued by VNB Capital Trust I) carried at fair value and the non-cash mark to market valuations of a small number of single-issuer trust preferred securities held in our trading securities portfolio. Net trading losses increased \$1.2 million to a loss of \$2.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012 mainly due to the change in the non-cash mark to market adjustments on our junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value based upon the exchange traded market prices on the related trust preferred securities. See Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements for more details.

Net gains on sales of loans increased \$11.9 million to \$15.1 million for the first quarter of 2013 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2012 primarily due to our decision to sell a larger portion of our residential mortgage originations (starting in the second half of 2012) combined with record mortgage originations during the first quarter of 2013 caused, in part, by the low level of market interest rates, the continued success of Valley's low fixed-price refinance programs, and gradual signs of a housing recovery. Our net gains on sales of loans for each period are comprised of both gains on sales of residential mortgages and the net change in the mark to market gains (or losses) on our loans held for sale carried at fair value each period end. Actual sales of mortgages contributed approximately \$16.3 million in gains for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to \$3.6 million in the first quarter of 2012. These gains were partially offset by the net change in the fair value of loans held for sale resulting in losses of approximately \$1.2 million and \$433 thousand in the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively. We expect the overall level of net gains recognized during the first quarter of 2013 to continue into the second quarter of 2013 as we currently intend to sell a large portion of our mortgage loan production, dependent upon, amongst other factors, the levels of interest rates, consumer demand, the economy and our ability to maintain the appropriate level of interest rate risk on our balance sheet. See further discussions of our residential mortgage loan origination activity under **Loans** in the executive summary section of this MD&A above and the fair valuation of our loans held for sale at Note 5 of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

The Bank and the FDIC share in the losses on loans and real estate owned as part of the loss-sharing agreements entered into on both of our FDIC-assisted transactions completed in March 2010. The asset arising from the loss-sharing agreements is referred to as the FDIC loss-share receivable on our consolidated statements of financial condition. Within the non-interest income category, we may recognize income or expense related to the change in the FDIC loss-share receivable resulting from (i) a change in the estimated credit losses on the pools of covered loans, (ii) income from reimbursable expenses incurred during the period, (iii) accretion of the discount resulting from the present value of the receivable recorded at the acquisition dates, and (iv) prospective recognition of decreases in the receivable attributable to better than originally expected cash flows on certain covered loan pools. During the first quarter of 2013, the aggregate effect of changes in the FDIC loss-share receivable amounted to a \$3.2 million net reduction in non-interest income largely due to a \$2.7 million reduction in the FDIC's portion of estimated losses related to estimated additional credit impairment of certain loan pools subsequent to acquisition. The decrease in estimated additional credit impairment for the loan pools also reduced our provision for losses on covered loans by \$2.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. See FDIC Loss-Share Receivable Related to Covered Loans and Foreclosed Assets section below in this MD&A and Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements for further details.

Non-Interest Expense

The following table presents the components of non-interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(in thousands)	
Salary and employee benefits expense	\$ 50,572	\$ 51,026
Net occupancy and equipment expense	18,889	17,362
FDIC insurance assessment	3,353	3,619
Amortization of other intangible assets	1,603	1,958
Professional and legal fees	3,892	3,624
Advertising	1,802	1,688
Other	15,328	15,271
 Total non-interest expense	 \$ 95,439	 \$ 94,548

Total non-interest expense was \$95.4 million for the first quarter of 2013 and remained relatively unchanged as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2012. Net occupancy and equipment expenses increased \$1.5 million to \$18.9 million for the first quarter as compared to the same period one year ago primarily due to higher normal seasonal maintenance expenses, as well as an increase in depreciation expense primarily related to technological updates to certain systems, including new deposit automation systems implemented throughout our branch network during 2012. Amortization of other intangibles decreased as compared to the first quarter of 2012 mainly due to a \$867 thousand increase in net recoveries of impairment charges on certain loan servicing rights during the first quarter of 2013, partially offset by higher amortization expense caused, in part, by additional loan servicing rights recorded since we implemented an originate and sell model for a large portion of our mortgage loan originations starting in the second half of 2012.

The efficiency ratio measures total non-interest expense as a percentage of net interest income plus total non-interest income. Our efficiency ratio was 67.53 percent for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to 63.01 percent for the same period in 2012. The negative upward movement in our efficiency ratio in first quarter of 2013 was largely attributable to an \$17.4 million decline in net interest income. We strive to maintain a low efficiency ratio through diligent management of our operating expenses and balance sheet. We believe this non-GAAP measure provides a meaningful comparison of our operational performance and facilitates investors' assessments of business performance and trends in comparison to our peers in the banking industry.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

Income tax expense was \$12.8 million and \$15.3 million for the quarters ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The provision for income taxes for the first quarter of 2013 resulted in an effective tax rate of 29 percent compared with 30.7 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease in tax rate and expense as compared to the first quarter of 2012 was primarily due to a decrease in pre-tax income for the first quarter of 2013 and an increase in tax credit investments.

U.S. GAAP requires that any change in judgment or change in measurement of a tax position taken in a prior annual period be recognized as a discrete event in the quarter in which it occurs, rather than being recognized as a change in effective tax rate for the current year. Our adherence to these tax guidelines may result in volatile effective income tax rates in future quarterly and annual periods. Factors that could impact management's judgment include changes in income, tax laws and regulations, and tax planning strategies. For the remainder of 2013, we anticipate that our effective tax rate will approximate 29 percent.

Business Segments

We have four business segments that we monitor and report on to manage our business operations. These segments are consumer lending, commercial lending, investment management, and corporate and other adjustments. Our reportable segments have been determined based upon Valley's internal structure of operations and lines of business. Each business segment is reviewed routinely for its asset growth, contribution to income before income taxes and return on average interest earning assets and impairment (if events or circumstances indicate a possible inability to realize the carrying amount). Expenses related to the branch network, all other components of retail banking, along with the back office departments of our subsidiary bank are allocated from the corporate and other adjustments segment to each of the other three business segments. Interest expense and internal transfer expense (for general corporate expenses) are allocated to each business segment utilizing a pool funding methodology, which involves the allocation of uniform funding cost based on each segment's average earning assets outstanding for the period. The financial reporting for each segment contains allocations and reporting in line with our operations, which may not necessarily be comparable to any other financial institution. The accounting for each segment includes internal accounting policies designed to measure consistent and reasonable financial reporting, and may result in income and expense measurements that differ from amounts under U.S. GAAP. Furthermore, changes in management structure or allocation methodologies and procedures may result in changes in reported segment financial data. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the financial data for each business segment for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013				Total
	Consumer Lending	Commercial Lending	Investment Management (\$ in thousands)	Corporate and Other Adjustments	
Average interest earning assets	\$ 3,879,698	\$ 7,168,914	\$ 3,049,362	\$	\$ 14,097,974
Income (loss) before income taxes	20,237	23,808	1,026	(947)	44,124
Annualized return on average interest earning assets (before tax)	2.09%	1.33%	0.13%	N/A	1.25%

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012				Total
	Consumer Lending	Commercial Lending	Investment Management (\$ in thousands)	Corporate and Other Adjustments	
Average interest earning assets	\$ 3,728,428	\$ 7,228,238	\$ 3,003,111	\$	\$ 13,959,777
Income (loss) before income taxes	13,005	37,362	7,168	(7,726)	49,809
Annualized return on average interest earning assets (before tax)	1.40%	2.07%	0.95%	N/A	1.43%

Consumer Lending

This segment, representing 35.4 percent of our loan portfolio at March 31, 2013, is mainly comprised of residential mortgage loans, home equity loans and automobile loans. The duration of the residential mortgage loan portfolio, which including covered loans represented 21.8 percent of our loan portfolio at March 31, 2013, is subject to movements in the market level of interest rates and forecasted prepayment speeds. The weighted average life of the automobile loans (representing 7.5 percent of total loans at March 31, 2013) is relatively unaffected by movements in the market level of interest rates. However, the average life may be impacted by new loans as a result of the availability of credit within the automobile marketplace and consumer demand for purchasing new or used automobiles. The consumer lending segment also includes the Wealth Management Division, comprised of trust, asset management, insurance services, and asset-based lending support services.

Average assets for the three months ended March 31, 2013 increased \$151.3 million as compared to the first quarter of 2012. The increase in average loans mainly resulted from modest organic growth within our automobile and other consumer loan portfolios over the last twelve-month period. The increase in automobile loan balances as compared to the first quarter of 2012 was due, in part, to solid loan demand, slowed run-off, as well as purchased loans.

Income before income taxes increased \$7.2 million to \$20.2 million for the first quarter of 2013 as compared to the first quarter of 2012. The increase was mainly due to higher non-interest income and a decrease in the provision for loan losses. Non-interest income increased \$11.3 million to \$25.2 million for the first quarter of 2013 due to an increase in net gains on sales of residential mortgage loans as we sold a large portion of our mortgage loan originations. During the first quarter of 2013, we originated \$577 million in new and refinanced loans as compared to \$523 million in the same period of 2012, and retained only 21 percent of these loans in our portfolio at March 31, 2013. The provision for credit losses decreased \$2.2 million to \$423 thousand during the first quarter of 2013 as compared to the first quarter of 2012 mainly due to improved expected loss experience and economic outlook since one year ago.

Table of Contents

The net interest margin decreased 42 basis points to 3.03 percent for the first quarter of 2013 as compared to the same quarter one year ago mainly as a result of a 53 basis point decrease in yield on average loans caused by the current and prolonged low level of market yields on new loans, partially offset by an 11 basis point decrease in costs associated with our funding sources. The decrease in our cost of funds was mainly due the run-off of maturing high cost certificates of deposit, lower interest rates offered on most of our deposit products over the past twelve month coupled with decline in the cost of long-term borrowings.

Commercial Lending

The commercial lending segment is mainly comprised of floating rate and adjustable rate commercial and industrial loans, as well as fixed rate owner occupied and commercial real estate loans. Due to the portfolio's interest rate characteristics, commercial lending is Valley's business segment that is most sensitive to movements in market interest rates. Commercial and industrial loans, including \$34.7 million of covered loans, totaled approximately \$2.1 billion and represented 19.2 percent of the total loan portfolio at March 31, 2013. Commercial real estate loans and construction loans, including \$115.6 million of covered loans, totaled \$4.9 billion and represented 45.4 percent of the total loan portfolio at March 31, 2013.

Average assets for the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased \$59.3 million as compared to the first quarter of 2012. This decrease was primarily attributable to continued loan repayments including some full loan repayments from a few large borrowers, lower line of credit usage during the first quarter of 2013, and continued strong market competition for quality credits.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, income before income taxes decreased \$13.6 million to \$23.8 million as compared to the first quarter of 2012 mostly due to a \$9.7 million decrease in net interest income mainly driven by lower yields on average loans, as well as a decrease in average loan balances as repayments outpaced loan volumes in the commercial and industrial loan portfolio. Additionally, non-interest income decreased \$3.6 million as compared to the first quarter of 2012 largely due to the aggregate effect of changes in the FDIC loss-share receivable. The negative impact of these items was partially offset by a \$1.8 million decrease in the provision for credit losses during the first quarter of 2013 as compared to the first quarter of 2012 mainly due to a decline in additional estimated credit losses on covered loans during the first quarter of 2013.

The net interest margin decreased 51 basis points to 4.11 for the first quarter of 2013 as compared to the same quarter one year ago mainly as a result of a 62 basis point decrease in yield on average loans, partially offset by the 11 basis point decrease in the costs of our funding sources.

Investment Management

The investment management segment generates a large portion of our income through investments in various types of securities and interest-bearing deposits with other banks. These investments are mainly comprised of fixed rate securities, trading securities, and depending on our liquid cash position, federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits with banks (primarily the Federal Reserve Bank of New York), as part of our asset/liability management strategies. The fixed rate investments are one of Valley's least sensitive assets to changes in market interest rates. However, a portion of the investment portfolio is invested in shorter-duration securities to maintain the overall asset sensitivity of our balance sheet (see the *Asset/Liability Management* section below for further analysis). Net gains and losses on the change in fair value of trading securities and net impairment losses on securities are reflected in the corporate and other adjustments segment.

Average investments increased \$46.3 million during the first quarter of 2013 as compared to the first quarter in 2012 primarily due to the excess liquidity from the lack of loan growth used to purchase various types of investment securities and held in overnight interest bearing deposits with banks.

Table of Contents

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, income before income taxes decreased \$6.2 million to \$1.0 million for the first quarter of 2013 compared to \$7.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 mostly due to a \$4.7 million decrease in net interest income and a \$580 thousand decrease in non-interest income. The decrease in net interest income was driven by a 77 basis point decline in the yield on investments mainly resulting from the reinvestment of principal and interest received from higher yielding securities into new securities yielding lower market interest rates over the last twelve months as well as an increase in the amortization of premiums on certain mortgage-backed securities.

The net interest margin decreased 66 basis points to 1.8 percent for the first quarter of 2013 as compared to the same quarter one year ago mainly as a result of a 77 basis point decrease in the yield on investments, partially offset by lower costs associated with our funding sources.

Corporate and other adjustments

The amounts disclosed as corporate and other adjustments represent income and expense items not directly attributable to a specific segment, including net trading and securities gains and losses, and net impairment losses on securities not reported in the investment management segment above, interest expense related to the junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts, the change in fair value of Valley's junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value, interest expense related to certain subordinated notes, as well as income and expense from derivative financial instruments.

The loss before income taxes for the corporate segment decreased \$6.8 million to \$947 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to a \$7.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Internal transfer income increased \$3.1 million and non-interest expense decreased \$2.4 million as compared to the same quarter one year ago. Additionally, non-interest income increased \$1.6 million mainly due to an increase in net gains on securities transactions, partially offset by an increase in net trading losses as compared to the first quarter of 2012. Net gains on securities transactions increased \$4.1 million from a net loss of \$157 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2012 primarily due to the sale of zero percent yielding Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae perpetual preferred stock classified as available for sale during the first quarter of 2013. Net trading losses increased \$1.2 million during the first quarter of 2013 as compared to the same period year ago mainly due to the change in the non-cash mark to market adjustments on our junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value.

ASSET/LIABILITY MANAGEMENT

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Our success is largely dependent upon our ability to manage interest rate risk. Interest rate risk can be defined as the exposure of our interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities to the movement in interest rates. Our Asset/Liability Management Committee is responsible for managing such risks and establishing policies that monitor and coordinate our sources and uses of funds. Asset/Liability management is a continuous process due to the constant change in interest rate risk factors. In assessing the appropriate interest rate risk levels for us, management weighs the potential benefit of each risk management activity within the desired parameters of liquidity, capital levels and management's tolerance for exposure to income fluctuations. Many of the actions undertaken by management utilize fair value analysis and attempts to achieve consistent accounting and economic benefits for financial assets and their related funding sources. We have predominately focused on managing our interest rate risk by attempting to match the inherent risk and cash flows of financial assets and liabilities. Specifically, management employs multiple risk management activities such as optimizing the level of (currently lower yielding) new residential mortgage originations retained in our mortgage portfolio through sales in the secondary market, product pricing levels, the desired maturity levels for new originations, the composition levels of both our interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, as well as several other risk management activities.

We use a simulation model to analyze net interest income sensitivity to movements in interest rates. The simulation model projects net interest income based on various interest rate scenarios over a twelve and twenty-four month period. The model is based on the actual maturity and re-pricing characteristics of rate sensitive assets and liabilities. The model incorporates certain assumptions which management believes to be reasonable regarding the impact of changing interest rates and the prepayment assumptions of certain assets and liabilities as of March 31, 2013. The model assumes changes in interest rates without any proactive change in the composition or size of the balance sheet by management. In the model, the forecasted shape of the yield curve remains static as of March 31, 2013. The impact of interest rate derivatives, such as interest rate swaps and caps, is also included in the model.

Table of Contents

Our simulation model is based on market interest rates and prepayment speeds prevalent in the market as of March 31, 2013. Although the size of Valley's balance sheet is forecasted to remain static as of March 31, 2013 in our model, the composition is adjusted to reflect new interest earning assets and funding originations coupled with rate spreads utilizing our actual originations during the first quarter of 2013. The model utilizes an immediate parallel shift in the market interest rates at March 31, 2013.

The following table reflects management's expectations of the change in our net interest income over the next twelve months period in light of the aforementioned assumptions:

Changes in Interest Rates (in basis points)	Estimated Change in Future Net Interest Income	
	Dollar Change (\$ in thousands)	Percentage Change
+200	\$ 21,220	4.93%
+100	7,899	1.83

The assumptions used in the net interest income simulation are inherently uncertain. Actual results may differ significantly from those presented in the table above, due to the frequency and timing of changes in interest rates, and changes in spreads between maturity and re-pricing categories. Overall, our net interest income is affected by changes in interest rates and cash flows from our loan and investment portfolios. We actively manage these cash flows in conjunction with our liability mix, duration and interest rates to optimize the net interest income, while structuring the balance sheet in response to actual or potential changes in interest rates. Additionally, our net interest income is impacted by the level of competition within our marketplace. Competition can negatively impact the level of interest rates attainable on loans and increase the cost of deposits, which may result in downward pressure on our net interest margin in future periods. Other factors, including, but not limited to, the slope of the yield curve and projected cash flows will impact our net interest income results and may increase or decrease the level of asset sensitivity of our balance sheet.

Convexity is a measure of how the duration of a financial instrument changes as market interest rates change. Potential movements in the convexity of bonds held in our investment portfolio, as well as the duration of the loan portfolio may have a positive or negative impact on our net interest income in varying interest rate environments. As a result, the increase or decrease in forecasted net interest income may not have a linear relationship to the results reflected in the table above. Management cannot provide any assurance about the actual effect of changes in interest rates on our net interest income.

As noted in the table above, a 100 basis point immediate increase in interest rates is projected to increase net interest income over the next twelve months by 1.83 percent. Our balance sheet sensitivity to such a move in interest rates at March 31, 2013 increased as compared to December 31, 2012 (which was an increase of 0.60 percent in net interest income over a 12 twelve month period) largely due to a \$125.1 million increase in interest bearing deposits with banks, comprised mostly of overnight cash deposits that are immediately sensitive to a rise in interest rates. These cash deposits, largely held at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, increased due to excess liquidity from a large volume of loan and investment prepayments and normal payments received during the first quarter of 2013, combined with higher deposit balances. Much of this liquidity is expected to be redeployed during the second quarter and, as a result, our asset sensitivity may decline as compared to March 31, 2013. Additionally, our current positive sensitivity to a 100 basis point increase in interest rates is somewhat limited by the fact that many of our adjustable rate loans are tied to the Valley prime rate (set by management), which currently exceeds the U.S. prime rate by 125 basis points. Due to its current level above the U.S. prime rate, the Valley prime rate is not projected to increase under the 100 basis point immediate increase scenario in our simulation, but would increase and positively impact our net interest income in a 200 basis point immediate increase in interest rates scenario. Other factors, including, but not limited to, the slope of the yield curve and projected cash flows will impact our net interest income results and may increase or decrease the level of asset sensitivity of our balance sheet.

Table of Contents

As a result of the current low interest rate environment, we do not anticipate any significant declines in interest rates over the next twelve months. For this reason, we did not use an interest rate sensitivity simulation that assumes an immediate decline in the level of interest rates over the next twelve months.

Although we do not expect our Valley prime rate loan portfolio to have an immediate benefit to our interest income in a rising interest rate environment, we attempt to manage the Bank's aggregate sensitivity in a manner to mitigate the potential lag in the portfolios re-pricing. We expect interest income on many of our residential mortgage-backed securities with unamortized purchase premiums to improve if interest rates were to move upward and prepayment speeds on the underlying mortgages decline. The decline in prepayments will lengthen the expected life of each security and reduce the amount of premium amortization expense recognized against interest income each period. However, many of the residential mortgage-backed securities have rapidly paid down in the current low interest rate environment, and the resulting acceleration of the securities' premium amortization has negatively impacted our interest income during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and may continue to do so if the market interest rates remain at historically low levels.

Our interest rate swaps and caps designated as cash flow hedging relationships are designed to protect us from upward movements in interest rates on certain deposits and short-term borrowings based on the prime rate (as reported by The Wall Street Journal) and effective federal funds rates, respectively. We have 4 cash flow hedge interest rate swaps with a total notional value of \$300 million at March 31, 2013 that currently pay fixed and receive floating rates. Additionally, we utilize fair value and non-designated hedge interest rate swaps at times to effectively convert fixed rate loans and deposits to floating rate instruments. Most of these actions are expected to benefit our net interest income in a rising interest rate environment. However, due to the prolonged low level of market interest rates and the strike rate of these instruments, the cash flow hedge interest rate swaps and caps negatively impacted our net interest income during both the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. We expect this negative trend to continue into the foreseeable future due to the Federal Reserve's pledge to keep market interest rates low in an effort to help the ailing economy. See Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements for further details on our derivative transactions.

Liquidity

Bank Liquidity

Liquidity measures the ability to satisfy current and future cash flow needs as they become due. A bank's liquidity reflects its ability to meet loan demand, to accommodate possible outflows in deposits and to take advantage of interest rate opportunities in the marketplace. Liquidity management is monitored by our Asset/Liability Management Committee and the Investment Committee of the Board of Directors of Valley National Bank, which review historical funding requirements, current liquidity position, sources and stability of funding, marketability of assets, options for attracting additional funds, and anticipated future funding needs, including the level of unfunded commitments. Our goal is to maintain sufficient asset-based liquidity to cover potential funding requirements in order to minimize our dependence on volatile and potentially unstable funding markets.

The Bank has no required regulatory liquidity ratios to maintain; however, it adheres to an internal liquidity policy. The current policy maintains that we may not have a ratio of loans to deposits in excess of 120 percent and non-core funding (which generally includes certificates of deposit \$100 thousand and over, federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements and FHLB advances) greater than 50 percent of total assets. The Bank was in compliance with the foregoing policies at March 31, 2013.

On the asset side of the balance sheet, the Bank has numerous sources of liquid funds in the form of cash and due from banks, interest bearing deposits with banks (including the Federal Reserve Bank of New York), investment securities held to maturity that are maturing within 90 days or would otherwise qualify as maturities if sold (i.e., 85 percent of original cost basis has been repaid), investment securities available for sale, trading securities, loans held for sale, and, from time to time, federal funds sold and receivables related to unsettled securities transactions. These liquid assets

Table of Contents

totaled approximately \$2.2 billion, representing 15.3 percent of earning assets, at March 31, 2013 and \$1.9 billion, representing 13.4 percent of earning assets, at December 31, 2012. Of the \$2.2 billion of liquid assets at March 31, 2013, approximately \$356 million of various investment securities were pledged to counterparties to support our earning asset funding strategies. We anticipate the receipt of approximately \$529 million in principal from securities in the total investment portfolio over the next twelve months due to normally scheduled principal repayments and expected prepayments of certain securities, primarily residential mortgage-backed securities.

Additional liquidity is derived from scheduled loan payments of principal and interest, as well as prepayments received. Loan principal payments (including loans held for sale at March 31, 2013) are projected to be approximately \$3.8 billion over the next twelve months. As a contingency plan for significant funding needs, liquidity could also be derived from the sale of conforming residential mortgages from our loan portfolio, or from the temporary curtailment of lending activities.

On the liability side of the balance sheet, we utilize multiple sources of funds to meet liquidity needs. Our core deposit base, which generally excludes certificates of deposit over \$100 thousand as well as brokered certificates of deposit, represents the largest of these sources. Core deposits averaged approximately \$10.0 billion and \$9.9 billion for the first quarter of 2013 and for the year ended December 31, 2012, respectively, representing 71.2 percent and 70.3 percent of average earning assets for the same periods of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The level of interest bearing deposits is affected by interest rates offered, which is often influenced by our need for funds and the need to match the maturities of assets and liabilities.

Additional funding may be provided from short-term liquidity borrowings through deposit gathering networks and in the form of federal funds purchased obtained through our well established relationships with several correspondent banks. While there are no firm lending commitments currently in place, management believes that we could borrow approximately \$970 million for a short time from these banks on a collective basis. The Bank is also a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York and has the ability to borrow from them in the form of FHLB advances secured by pledges of certain eligible collateral, including but not limited to U.S. government and agency mortgage-backed securities and a blanket assignment of qualifying first lien mortgage loans, consisting of both residential mortgage and commercial real estate loans. Furthermore, we are able to obtain overnight borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank via the discount window as a contingency for additional liquidity. At March 31, 2013, our borrowing capacity under the Fed's discount window was approximately \$1.1 billion.

We also have access to other short-term and long-term borrowing sources to support our asset base, such as securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repos). Our short-term borrowings decreased \$7.0 million to \$147.3 million at March 31, 2013 as compared to \$154.3 million at December 31, 2012 due to lower repo balances. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, all short-term repos represent customer deposit balances being swept into this vehicle overnight.

Corporation Liquidity

Valley's recurring cash requirements primarily consist of dividends to common shareholders and interest expense on junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts. These cash needs are routinely satisfied by dividends collected from the Bank. Projected cash flows from the Bank are expected to be adequate to pay common dividends, if declared, and interest expense payable to capital trusts, given the current capital levels and current profitable operations of the bank subsidiary. In addition to dividends received from the Bank, Valley can satisfy its cash requirements by utilizing its own funds, cash and sale of investments, as well as potential borrowed funds from outside sources. In the event Valley would exercise the right to defer payments on the junior subordinated debentures, and therefore distributions on its trust preferred securities, Valley would be unable to pay dividends on its common stock until the deferred payments are made.

As part of our on-going asset/liability management strategies, Valley could use cash to repurchase shares of its outstanding common stock under its share repurchase program or redeem its callable junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I, State Bancorp Capital Trust I, and State Bancorp Capital Trust II using Valley's own funds and/or dividends received from the Bank, as well as new borrowed funds or capital issuances.

Table of Contents

Investment Securities Portfolio

As of March 31, 2013, we had approximately \$1.6 billion, \$955.3 million, and \$22.1 million in held to maturity, available for sale and trading securities, respectively. At March 31, 2013, our investment portfolio was comprised of U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. government agencies, tax-exempt issues of states and political subdivisions, residential mortgage-backed securities (including 15 private label mortgage-backed securities), single-issuer trust preferred securities principally issued by bank holding companies (including 3 pooled securities), high quality corporate bonds and perpetual preferred and common equity securities issued by banks. There were no securities in the name of any one issuer exceeding 10 percent of shareholders' equity, except for residential mortgage-backed securities issued by Ginnie Mae.

Among other securities, our investments in the private label mortgage-backed securities, trust preferred securities, perpetual preferred securities, equity securities, and bank issued corporate bonds may pose a higher risk of future impairment charges to us as a result of the persistently weak economic conditions and its potential negative effect on the future performance of the security issuers and, if applicable, the underlying mortgage loan collateral of the security.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Analysis

We may be required to record impairment charges on our investment securities if they suffer a decline in value that is considered other-than-temporary. Numerous factors, including lack of liquidity for re-sales of certain investment securities, absence of reliable pricing information for investment securities, adverse changes in business climate, adverse actions by regulators, or unanticipated changes in the competitive environment could have a negative effect on our investment portfolio and may result in other-than temporary impairment on our investment securities in future periods.

Other-than-temporary impairment means we believe the security's impairment is due to factors that could include its inability to pay interest or dividends, its potential for default, and/or other factors. As a result of the current authoritative accounting guidance, when a held to maturity or available for sale debt security is assessed for other-than-temporary impairment, we have to first consider (i) whether we intend to sell the security, and (ii) whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis. If one of these circumstances applies to a security, an other-than-temporary impairment loss is recognized in the statement of income equal to the full amount of the decline in fair value below amortized cost. If neither of these circumstances applies to a security, but we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis, an other-than-temporary impairment loss has occurred that must be separated into two categories: (i) the amount related to credit loss, and (ii) the amount related to other factors. In assessing the level of other-than-temporary impairment attributable to credit loss, we compare the present value of cash flows expected to be collected with the amortized cost basis of the security. As discussed above, the portion of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to credit loss is recognized in earnings, while the amount related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income or loss. The total other-than-temporary impairment loss is presented in the statement of income, less the portion recognized in other comprehensive income or loss. The amount of an additional other-than-temporary impairment related to credit losses recognized during the period may be recorded as a reclassification adjustment from the accumulated other comprehensive loss. When a debt security becomes other-than-temporarily impaired, its amortized cost basis is reduced to reflect the portion of the total impairment related to credit loss. To determine whether a security's impairment is other-than-temporary, Valley considers several factors that include, but are not limited to the following:

The severity and duration of the decline, including the causes of the decline in fair value, such as credit problems, interest rate fluctuations, or market volatility;

Adverse conditions specifically related to the security, an industry, or geographic area;

Failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest or principal payments;

Any changes to the rating of the security by a rating agency or, if applicable, any regulatory actions impacting the security issuer;

Recoveries or additional declines in fair value after the balance sheet date;

Table of Contents

Our ability and intent to hold equity security investments until they recover in value, as well as the likelihood of such a recovery in the near term; and

Our intent to sell debt security investments, or if it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell such securities before recovery of their individual amortized cost basis.

For debt securities, the primary consideration in determining whether impairment is other-than-temporary is whether or not we expect to collect all contractual cash flows. See Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Analysis section of Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding our quarterly impairment analysis by security type.

The investment grades in the table below reflect the most current independent analysis performed by third parties of each security as of the date presented and not necessarily the investment grades at the date of our purchase of the securities. For many securities, the rating agencies may not have performed an independent analysis of the tranches owned by us, but rather an analysis of the entire investment pool. For this and other reasons, we believe the assigned investment grades may not accurately reflect the actual credit quality of each security and should not be viewed in isolation as a measure of the quality of our investment portfolio.

The following table presents the held to maturity and available for sale investment securities portfolios by investment grades at March 31, 2013.

	Amortized Cost	March 31, 2013		Fair Value
		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	
		(in thousands)		
Held to maturity investment grades:*				
AAA Rated	\$ 965,637	\$ 41,980	\$ (1,247)	\$ 1,006,370
AA Rated	286,104	13,676	(731)	299,049
A Rated	36,263	1,591	(6)	37,848
BBB Rated	68,405	5,946	(71)	74,280
Non-investment grade	29,319	1,223	(225)	30,317
Not rated	179,879	34	(13,065)	166,848
Total investment securities held to maturity	\$ 1,565,607	\$ 64,450	\$ (15,345)	\$ 1,614,712
Available for sale investment grades:*				
AAA Rated	\$ 705,454	\$ 6,632	\$ (8,018)	\$ 704,068
AA Rated	9,866	1,052	(1)	10,917
A Rated	47,689	1,280	(3,118)	45,851
BBB Rated	73,372	1,483	(1,741)	73,114
Non-investment grade	50,057	711	(4,402)	46,366
Not rated	71,559	5,473	(2,078)	74,954
Total investment securities available for sale	\$ 957,997	\$ 16,631	\$ (19,358)	\$ 955,270

* Rated using external rating agencies (primarily S&P and Moody's). Ratings categories include the entire range. For example, A rated includes A+, A, and A-. Split rated securities with two ratings are categorized at the higher of the rating levels.

The held to maturity portfolio includes \$179.9 million in investments not rated by the rating agencies with aggregate unrealized losses of \$13.1 million at March 31, 2013. The unrealized losses for this category almost entirely relate to 4 single-issuer bank trust preferred issuances with a combined amortized cost of \$35.9 million. All single-issuer bank trust preferred classified as held to maturity, including the aforementioned four securities, are paying in accordance with their terms and have no deferrals of interest or defaults. Additionally, we analyze the performance of each issuer on a quarterly basis, including a review of performance data from the issuer's most recent bank regulatory report to assess

Table of Contents

the company's credit risk and the probability of impairment of the contractual cash flows of the applicable security. Based upon our quarterly review at March 31, 2013, all of the issuers appear to meet the regulatory capital minimum requirements to be considered a well-capitalized financial institution and/or have maintained performance levels adequate to support the contractual cash flows of the security.

The available for sale portfolio includes investments with non-investment grade ratings with amortized costs and fair values totaling \$50.1 million and \$46.4 million, respectively, at March 31, 2013. The \$4.4 million in unrealized losses for this category are largely related to 3 private label mortgage-backed securities and 2 pooled trust preferred securities. Of the five securities, four were found to be other-than-temporarily impaired prior to December 31, 2012. The available for sale portfolio also includes investments not rated by the rating agencies with aggregate fair values and unrealized losses of \$75.0 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, at March 31, 2013. The unrealized losses for this category almost entirely are attributable to trust preferred securities issued by one deferring bank holding company that were other-than-temporarily impaired prior to December 31, 2012. See further details regarding these impaired securities and our other-than-temporary analysis in Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements.

Loan Portfolio

The following table reflects the composition of the loan portfolio as of the dates presented:

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012 (\$ in thousands)	June 30, 2012	March 31, 2012
Non-covered loans					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 2,045,514	\$ 2,084,826	\$ 2,118,870	\$ 2,165,656	\$ 2,170,378
Commercial real estate:					
Commercial real estate	4,351,291	4,417,709	4,445,338	4,441,026	4,347,542
Construction	438,674	425,444	435,939	411,639	430,906
Total commercial real estate	4,789,965	4,843,153	4,881,277	4,852,665	4,778,448
Residential mortgage	2,352,560	2,462,429	2,499,554	2,745,101	2,531,166
Consumer:					
Home equity	462,297	485,458	492,338	499,749	507,560
Automobile	811,060	786,528	789,248	778,181	764,082
Other consumer	188,827	179,731	160,118	155,963	145,703
Total consumer loans	1,462,184	1,451,717	1,441,704	1,433,893	1,417,345
Total non-covered loans	10,650,223	10,842,125	10,941,405	11,197,315	10,897,337
Covered loans ⁽¹⁾	161,276	180,674	207,533	226,537	252,185
Total loans ⁽²⁾	\$ 10,811,499	\$ 11,022,799	\$ 11,148,938	\$ 11,423,852	\$ 11,149,522
As a percent of total loans:					
Commercial and industrial	18.9%	19.0%	19.0%	18.9%	19.4%
Commercial real estate	44.3	43.9	43.8	42.5	42.9
Residential mortgage	21.8	22.3	22.4	24.0	22.7
Consumer loans	13.5	13.2	12.9	12.6	12.7
Covered loans	1.5	1.6	1.9	2.0	2.3
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

- (1) Covered loans primarily consist of commercial real estate loans and commercial and industrial loans.
- (2) Total loans are net of unearned discount and deferred loan fees totaling \$ 6.8 million, \$3.4 million, \$ 3.8 million, \$ 1.2 million, and \$4.4 million at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, September 30, 2012, June 30, 2012, and March 31, 2012, respectively.

Non-covered Loans

Non-covered loans (loans not subject to loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC) decreased \$191.9 million to \$10.7 billion at March 31, 2013 from December 31, 2012 mainly due to our decision to sell the majority of our new and refinanced residential mortgage loans, some large repayments and reduced line usage within the commercial loan categories of the PCI loan portfolio, and continued strong competition for high quality commercial borrowers.

Table of Contents

Commercial and industrial loans decreased \$39.3 million from December 31, 2012 primarily due to elevated levels of repayments including full loan repayments from a few large borrowers, strong market competition for quality credits, as well as a reduction in line of credit usage during the first quarter of 2013.

Commercial real estate loans (including construction loans) declined \$53.2 million from December 31, 2012 to \$4.8 billion at March 31, 2013 due to a \$52.0 million decrease in non-covered PCI loans acquired and purchased in 2012. The decline in PCI loans was due to normal payments, as well as prepayments caused by strong competition in the Long Island market and excess borrower liquidity. However, construction loans within non-PCI loan portion of the commercial real estate loan portfolio increased \$13.2 million largely due to advances on existing construction loan projects and moderate improvement in demand, mainly in our New Jersey market.

Residential mortgage loans decreased \$109.9 million from December 31, 2012 mostly due to a high volume of first quarter refinancing activity, with many of the new loans either sold in the secondary market or held for sale at March 31, 2013. Loans held for sale carried at fair value increased to \$135.1 million (with \$131.5 million in unpaid contractual balances) at March 31, 2013 as compared to \$120.2 million (with \$115.4 million in unpaid contractual balances) at December 31, 2012. Our residential mortgage pipeline has remained very robust mainly due to the continued success of our low fixed-price refinance programs and the current low level of market interest rates. During the first quarter of 2013, we originated over \$577 million in new and refinanced residential mortgage loans and retained approximately 21 percent of these loans in our loan portfolio at March 31, 2013. We expect to continue an originate and sell model for a large portion of our mortgage loan originations during the remainder of 2013 assuming that market conditions do not adversely change and we are able to maintain an appropriate mix of residential mortgage loans on our balance sheet.

Total consumer loans increased \$10.5 million from December 31, 2012 largely due to increases in automobile and other consumer loan portfolios, partially offset by paydowns of home equity loans during the first quarter of 2013.

Automobile loans increased by \$24.5 million to \$811.1 million at March 31, 2013 as compared to December 31, 2012 largely due to increased new loan volumes as compared to the fourth quarter of 2012. During the first quarter of 2013, we also purchased approximately \$10.5 million in auto loans as compared to \$6.6 million in purchased loans during the fourth quarter of 2012. From time to time, the Bank purchases automobile loans originated by, and sometimes serviced by, other financial institutions based on several factors, including current loan origination volumes, market interest rates, excess liquidity and other asset/liability management strategies. All of the purchased automobile loans are selected using Valley's normal underwriting criteria at the time of purchase. Home equity loans declined \$23.2 million to \$462.3 million at March 31, 2013 as compared to December 31, 2012 due to normal repayment activity outpacing new loan origination volumes. Other consumer loans increased \$9.1 million to \$188.8 million at March 31, 2013 as compared to \$179.7 million at December 31, 2012 mainly due to higher collateralized personal lines of credit balances. Despite the growth in other consumer loans, overall consumer demand has remained somewhat soft as borrowers' appetite for additional debt continues to be tempered by the uncertain sustainability of a slowly improving economy.

Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans (Including Covered Loans)

PCI loans are comprised of loans acquired and purchased in the first quarter of 2012 and covered loans for which the Bank will share losses with the FDIC which totaled \$909.8 million and \$161.3 million, respectively, at March 31, 2013. Our covered loans, consisting primarily of commercial real estate loans and commercial and industrial loans, were acquired from LibertyPointe Bank and The Park Avenue Bank as a part of two FDIC-assisted transactions in 2010. As required by U.S. GAAP, all of our PCI loans are accounted under ASC Subtopic 310-30. This accounting guidance requires the PCI loans to be aggregated and accounted for as pools of loans based on common risk characteristics. A pool is accounted for as one asset with a single composite interest rate, an aggregate fair value and expected cash flows.

For PCI loan pools accounted for under ASC Subtopic 310-30, the difference between the contractually required payments due and the cash flows expected to be collected, considering the impact of prepayments, is referred to as the non-accretible difference. The contractually required payments due represent the total undiscounted amount of all uncollected principal and interest payments. Contractually required payments due may increase or decrease for a variety

Table of Contents

of reasons, e.g. when the contractual terms of the loan agreement are modified, when interest rates on variable rate loans change, or when principal and/or interest payments are received. The Bank estimates the undiscounted cash flows expected to be collected by incorporating several key assumptions including probability of default, loss given default, and the amount of actual prepayments after the acquisition dates. The non-accretable difference, which is neither accreted into income nor recorded on our consolidated balance sheet, reflects estimated future credit losses and uncollectable contractual interest expected to be incurred over the life of the loans. The excess of the undiscounted cash flows expected at the acquisition date over the carrying amount (fair value) of the PCI loans is referred to as the accretable yield. This amount is accreted into interest income over the remaining life of the loans, or pool of loans, using the level yield method. The accretable yield is affected by changes in interest rate indices for variable rate loans, changes in prepayment assumptions, and changes in expected principal and interest payments over the estimated lives of the loans. Prepayments affect the estimated life of PCI loans and could change the amount of interest income, and possibly principal, expected to be collected. Reclassifications of the non-accretable difference to the accretable yield may occur subsequent to the loan acquisition dates due to increases in expected cash flows of the loan pools.

At both acquisition and subsequent quarterly reporting dates, Valley uses a third party service provider to assist with determining the contractual and estimated cash flows. Valley provides the third party with updated loan-level information derived from Valley's main operating system, contractually required loan payments and expected cash flows for each loan pool individually reviewed by Valley. Using this information, the third party provider determines both the contractual cash flows and cash flows expected to be collected. The loan-level information used to reforecast the cash flows is subsequently aggregated on a pool basis. The expected payment data, discount rates, impairment data and changes to the accretable yield received back from the third party are reviewed by Valley to determine whether this information is accurate and the resulting financial statement effects are reasonable.

Similar to contractual cash flows, we reevaluate expected cash flows on a quarterly basis. Unlike contractual cash flows which are determined based on known factors, significant management assumptions are necessary in forecasting the estimated cash flows. We attempt to ensure the forecasted expectations are reasonable based on the information currently available; however, due to the uncertainties inherent in the use of estimates, actual cash flow results may differ from our forecast and the differences may be significant. To mitigate such differences, we carefully prepare and review the assumptions utilized in forecasting estimated cash flows.

At the time of acquisition, the estimated cash flows on our PCI loans were based on observable market information, as well as Valley's own specific assumptions regarding each loan. Valley performed credit due diligence on the majority of the loans acquired in 2012 and the FDIC-assisted transactions. In addition, Valley engaged a third party to perform credit valuations and expected cash flow forecasts on the acquired loans. The initial expected cash flows for loans accounted for under ASC Subtopic 310-30 were prepared on a loan-level basis utilizing the assumptions developed by Valley in conjunction with the third party. In accordance with ASC Subtopic 310-30, the individual loan-level cash flow assumptions were then aggregated on the basis of pools of loans with similar risk characteristics. Thereafter, on a quarterly basis, Valley analyzes the actual cash flow versus the forecasts at the loan pool level and variances are reviewed to determine their cause. In re-forecasting future estimated cash flow, Valley will adjust the credit loss expectations for loan pools, as necessary. These adjustments are based, in part, on actual loss severities recognized for each loan type, as well as changes in the probability of default. For periods in which Valley does not reforecast estimated cash flows, the prior reporting period's estimated cash flows are adjusted to reflect the actual cash received and credit events which transpired during the current reporting period.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the changes in the carrying amounts of non-covered PCI loans and covered loans (net of the allowance for losses on covered loans), and the accretable yield on these loans for the quarters ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2013	2012		
	Carrying Amount, Net	Accretable Yield	Carrying Amount, Net	Accretable Yield
	(in thousands)			
Non-covered PCI loans:				
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 986,990	\$ 126,749	\$	\$
Acquisitions*			1,088,421	168,271*
Accretion	12,797	(12,797)	14,812	(14,812)
Payments received	(90,035)		(65,846)	
Balance, end of the period	\$ 909,752	\$ 113,952	\$ 1,037,387	\$ 153,459
Covered loans:				
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 171,182	\$ 42,560	\$ 258,316	\$ 66,724
Accretion	3,438	(3,438)	8,372	(8,372)
Payments received	(19,156)		(23,436)	
Net increase in expected cash flows		64		
Transfers to other real estate owned	(3,534)		(4,595)	
Provision for losses on covered loans	2,166			
Balance, end of the period	\$ 154,096	\$ 39,186	\$ 238,657	\$ 58,352

* Excludes the estimated accretable yield for \$111.8 million of commercial real estate loans purchased on March 28, 2012. These purchased loans had an outstanding principal balances totaling \$ 117.0 million at March 31, 2012.

Covered loans in the table above are presented net of the allowance for losses on covered loans, which totaled \$7.2 million at March 31, 2013 as compared to \$13.5 million at March 31, 2012. This allowance was established due to a decrease in the expected cash flows for certain pools of covered loans based on higher levels of credit impairment than originally forecasted by us at the acquisition dates. During the first quarter of 2013, we recorded a credit to the provision for losses on covered loans totaling \$2.2 million as a component of our provision for credit losses in the consolidated statement of income.

Although we recognized credit impairment for certain pools prior to 2012, on an aggregate basis the acquired pools of covered loans continue to perform better than originally expected. Based on our current estimates, we expect to receive more future cash flows than originally modeled at the acquisition dates. For the pools with better than expected cash flows, the forecasted increase is recorded as a prospective adjustment to our interest income on these loan pools over future periods. The decrease in the FDIC loss-share receivable due to the increase in expected cash flows for these loan pools is recognized on a prospective basis over the shorter period of the lives of the loan pools and the loss-share agreements accordingly. During the first quarter of 2013, we reduced our FDIC loss-share receivable by \$1.5 million due to the prospective recognition of the effect of additional cash flows from pooled loans with a corresponding reduction in non-interest income for the period (see table in the next section below).

FDIC Loss-Share Receivable Related to Covered Loans and Foreclosed Assets

The receivable arising from the loss sharing agreements (referred to as the FDIC loss-share receivable on our statements of financial condition) is measured separately from the covered loan pools because the agreements are not contractually part of the covered loans and are not transferable should the Bank choose to dispose of the covered loans. As of the acquisition dates for the two FDIC-assisted transactions, we recorded an aggregate FDIC loss-share receivable of \$108.0 million, consisting of the present value of the expected future cash flows the Bank expected to receive from the FDIC under the loss sharing agreements. The FDIC loss-share receivable is reduced as the loss sharing payments are received from the FDIC for losses realized on covered loans and other real estate owned acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions. Actual

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

or expected losses in excess of the acquisition date estimates, accretion of the acquisition date present value discount, and other reimbursable expenses covered by the FDIC loss-sharing agreements will result in an

Table of Contents

increase in the FDIC loss-share receivable and the immediate recognition of non-interest income in our financial statements, together with an increase in the non-accretable difference. A decrease in expected losses would generally result in a corresponding decline in the FDIC loss-share receivable and the non-accretable difference. Reductions in the FDIC loss-share receivable due to actual or expected losses that are less than the acquisition date estimates are recognized prospectively over the shorter of (i) the estimated life of the applicable pools of covered loans or (ii) the term of the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC.

The following table presents changes in the FDIC loss-share receivable for the quarters ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(in thousands)	
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 44,996	\$ 74,390
Discount accretion of the present value at the acquisition dates	33	81
Effect of additional cash flows on covered loans (prospective recognition)	(1,482)	(1,637)
Decrease in the provision for losses on covered loans	(2,678)	
Other reimbursable expenses	952	1,466
Payments to (reimbursements from) the FDIC	1,592	(4,372)
Balance, end of the period	\$ 43,413	\$ 69,928

Valley recognized a \$3.2 million and \$90 thousand reduction in non-interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to discount accretion and the post-acquisition adjustments to the FDIC loss-share receivable included in the table above.

Non-performing Assets

Non-performing assets (excluding PCI loans) include non-accrual loans, other real estate owned (OREO), and other repossessed assets which consist of five aircraft and several automobiles at March 31, 2013. Loans are generally placed on non-accrual status when they become past due in excess of 90 days as to payment of principal or interest. Exceptions to the non-accrual policy may be permitted if the loan is sufficiently collateralized and in the process of collection. OREO is acquired through foreclosure on loans secured by land or real estate. OREO and other repossessed assets are reported at the lower of cost or fair value, less cost to sell at the time of acquisition and at the lower of fair value, less estimated costs to sell, or cost thereafter. Given the state of the economic recovery, and comparable to many of our peers, the level of non-performing assets remained relatively low as a percentage of the total loan portfolio and non-performing assets at March 31, 2013, but has increased over the last twelve month period (as shown in the table below).

Our past due loans and non-accrual loans in the table below exclude our non-covered and covered PCI loans. Under U.S. GAAP, the PCI loans (acquired at a discount that is due, in part, to credit quality) are accounted for on a pool basis and are not subject to delinquency classification in the same manner as loans originated by Valley.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth by loan category, accruing past due and non-performing assets on the dates indicated in conjunction with our asset quality ratios:

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012 (\$ in thousands)	June 30, 2012	March 31, 2012
Accruing past due loans:⁽¹⁾					
30 to 89 days past due:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 7,656	\$ 3,578	\$ 17,459	\$ 2,275	\$ 5,531
Commercial real estate	21,665	13,245	6,236	11,483	8,897
Construction	8,812	6,685		270	9,312
Residential mortgage	12,424	18,951	16,961	10,148	12,988
Consumer	5,096	7,227	6,463	5,872	5,330
Total 30 to 89 days past due	55,653	49,686	47,119	30,048	42,058
90 or more days past due:					
Commercial and industrial	31	283		512	
Commercial real estate	259	2,950	221		711
Construction		2,575	1,024		
Residential mortgage	1,885	2,356	1,051	727	1,749
Consumer	229	501	197	246	214
Total 90 or more days past due	2,404	8,665	2,493	1,485	2,674
Total accruing past due loans	\$ 58,057	\$ 58,351	\$ 49,612	\$ 31,533	\$ 44,732
Non-accrual loans:⁽¹⁾					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 21,692	\$ 22,424	\$ 12,296	\$ 12,652	\$ 24,196
Commercial real estate	56,042	58,625	58,541	61,864	47,433
Construction	13,199	14,805	15,139	16,502	17,704
Residential mortgage	31,905	32,623	31,564	32,045	32,291
Consumer	2,766	3,331	3,831	3,165	3,583
Total non-accrual loans	125,604	131,808	121,371	126,228	125,207
Other real estate owned (OREO) ⁽²⁾	18,463	15,612	15,403	14,724	14,119
Other repossessed assets	8,053	7,805	7,733	8,548	1,769
Non-accrual debt securities ⁽³⁾	48,143	40,303	40,779	45,921	38,502
Total non-performing assets (NPAs)	\$ 200,263	\$ 195,528	\$ 185,286	\$ 195,421	\$ 179,597
Performing troubled debt restructured loans	\$ 108,654	\$ 105,446	\$ 109,282	\$ 113,610	\$ 96,152
Total non-accrual loans as a % of loans	1.16%	1.20%	1.09%	1.10%	1.12%
Total NPAs as a % of loans and NPAs	1.82	1.74	1.63	1.68	1.59
Total accruing past due and non-accrual loans as a % of loans	1.70	1.73	1.53	1.38	1.52
Allowance for losses on non-covered loans as a % of non-accrual loans	91.29	91.58	98.67	93.55	95.32

⁽¹⁾ Past due loans and non-accrual loans exclude PCI loans that are accounted for on a pool basis.

⁽²⁾

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

This table excludes OREO properties related to the FDIC-assisted transactions totaling \$11.1 million and \$8.9 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, \$11.2 million at both September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012, and \$11.0 million at March 31, 2012, and is subject to the loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC.

- (3) Include other-than-temporarily impaired trust preferred securities classified as available for sale, which are presented at carrying value net of unrealized gains totaling \$965 thousand at March 31, 2013, and net unrealized losses totaling \$6.9 million, \$6.4 million, \$5.8 million and \$3.2 million at December 31, 2012, September 30, 2012, June 30, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively.

Total NPAs increased \$4.7 million from December 31, 2012 to \$200.3 million at March 31, 2013 mainly due to a \$7.8 million increase in the estimated fair value of non-accrual debt securities (consisting of other-than-temporarily impaired trust preferred securities classified as available for sale) totaling \$48.1 million at March 31, 2013. The increase in the carrying value of non-accrual debt securities from December 31, 2012 was entirely due to a decrease in the unrealized losses (or non-credit impairment) on such securities. There was no change in the number of debt securities on non-accrual status during the first quarter of 2013. See Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information on the valuation techniques used to fair value these securities.

Table of Contents

Loans past due 30 to 89 days increased \$6.0 million to \$55.7 million at March 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012 mainly due to higher delinquencies within commercial real estate loans. Within this past due category, commercial real estate loans increased \$8.4 million to \$21.7 million at March 31, 2013 largely due to one \$6.4 million impaired loan (which subsequently paid down to \$4.6 million and became current to all contractually past due payments during April 2013), and \$3.1 million in matured performing loans in the normal process of renewal. Commercial and industrial loans and construction loans past due 30 to 89 days also included matured performing loans in the normal process of renewal totaling approximately \$3.3 million and \$3.9 million, respectively, at March 31, 2013. Valley believes the majority of all loan types in this past due category are well secured, in the process of collection and do not represent a material negative trend within the loan portfolio.

Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing decreased \$6.3 million to \$2.4 million at March 31, 2013 compared to \$8.7 million at December 31, 2012. The decrease in this past due category was mostly due to matured performing loans in the normal process of renewal within the commercial real estate and construction loan portfolios at December 31, 2012 that were subsequently renewed and performing as of March 31, 2013.

Non-accrual loans decreased \$6.2 million to \$125.6 million at March 31, 2013 as compared to \$131.8 million at December 31, 2012 due, in part, to \$3.0 million in loan charge-offs related to the valuation of collateral dependent impaired loans, \$988 thousand of transfers to OREO, as well as \$1.8 million of commercial impaired loans paid off during the first quarter of 2013. Our non-accrual loans also included performing residential mortgage and home equity loans totaling \$6.0 million at March 31, 2013 and \$3.0 million at both December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012, which were classified as non-accrual loans due to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) guidelines on borrowers in Chapter 7 bankruptcy issued during the second half of 2012. Although the timing of collection is uncertain, management believes that most of the non-accrual loans are well secured and largely collectible based on, in part, our quarterly review of impaired loans. Our impaired loans, mainly consisting of non-accrual and troubled debt restructured commercial and commercial real estate loans, totaled \$208.7 million at March 31, 2013 and had \$28.1 million in related specific reserves included in our total allowance for loan losses.

OREO and other repossessed assets (which consists of 44 commercial and residential properties), excluding OREO subject to loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC, totaled \$18.5 million and \$8.1 million, respectively, at March 31, 2013 as compared to \$15.6 million and \$7.8 million, respectively, at December 31, 2012. The \$2.9 million increase in OREO was mainly due to the completed foreclosure of 8 additional residential properties during the first quarter of 2013. Our residential mortgage loan foreclosure activity remains low due to the nominal amount of individual loan delinquencies within the residential mortgage and home equity portfolios and the average time to complete a foreclosure in the State of New Jersey, which currently exceeds two and a half years. We believe this lengthy legal process negatively impacts the level of our non-accrual loans, NPA s, and the ability to compare our NPA levels to similar banks located outside of our primary markets.

Troubled debt restructured loans (TDRs) represent loan modifications for customers experiencing financial difficulties where a concession has been granted. Performing TDRs (i.e., TDRs not reported as loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing or as non-accrual loans) totaled \$108.7 million at March 31, 2013 and consisted of 98 loans (primarily in the commercial and industrial loan and commercial real estate portfolios) as compared to 88 loans totaling \$105.4 million at December 31, 2012. On an aggregate basis, the \$108.7 million in performing TDRs at March 31, 2013 had a modified weighted average interest rate of approximately 4.88 percent as compared to a pre-modification weighted average interest rate of 5.54 percent. See Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements for more information regarding our TDR loans.

Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses consists of the allowance for losses on non-covered loans, the allowance for unfunded letters of credit, and the allowance for losses on covered loans related to credit impairment of certain covered loan pools subsequent to acquisition. Management maintains the allowance for credit losses at a level estimated to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio and unfunded letters of credit commitments at the balance sheet dates, based on ongoing evaluations of the loan portfolio. Our methodology for evaluating the appropriateness of the allowance for non-covered loans includes:

segmentation of the loan portfolio based on the major loan categories, which consist of commercial, commercial real estate (including construction), residential mortgage and other consumer loans;

Table of Contents

tracking the historical levels of classified loans and delinquencies;

assessing the nature and trend of loan charge-offs;

providing specific reserves on impaired loans;

evaluating the non-covered PCI loan pools for additional credit impairment subsequent to the acquisition dates; and

applying economic outlook factors, assigning specific incremental reserves where necessary.

Additionally, the volume of non-performing loans, concentration risks by size, type, and geography, new markets, collateral adequacy, credit policies and procedures, staffing, underwriting consistency, loan review and economic conditions are taken into consideration when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses. Allowance for credit losses methodology and accounting policy are fully described in Part II, Item 7 and Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

While management utilizes its best judgment and information available, the ultimate adequacy of the allowance for credit losses is dependent upon a variety of factors largely beyond our control, including the view of the OCC toward loan classifications, performance of the loan portfolio, and the economy. The OCC may require, based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination, that certain loan balances be charged off or require that adjustments be made to the allowance for loan losses when their credit evaluations differ from those of management.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the relationship among loans, loans charged-off, loan recoveries, the provision for credit losses and the allowance for credit losses for the periods indicated:

	March 31, 2013	Three Months Ended December 31, 2012 (\$ in thousands)	March 31, 2012
Average loans outstanding	\$ 11,048,612	\$ 11,276,804	\$ 10,956,666
Beginning balance Allowance for credit losses	\$ 132,495	\$ 131,597	\$ 136,185
Loans charged-off:			
Commercial and industrial	(7,325)	(2,241)	(4,807)
Commercial real estate	(598)	(917)	(570)
Construction	(1,395)	(576)	(510)
Residential mortgage	(892)	(889)	(1,176)
Consumer	(1,509)	(1,730)	(1,483)
	(11,719)	(6,353)	(8,546)
Charged-off loans recovered:			
Commercial and industrial	1,338	1,565	1,005
Commercial real estate	15	20	120
Construction			
Residential mortgage	70	63	514
Consumer	396	403	601
	1,819	2,051	2,240
Net charge-offs *	(9,900)	(4,302)	(6,306)
Provision charged for credit losses	1,769	5,200	5,697
Ending balance Allowance for credit losses	\$ 124,364	\$ 132,495	\$ 135,576
Components of allowance for credit losses:			
Allowance for non-covered loans	\$ 114,664	\$ 120,708	\$ 119,342
Allowance for covered loans	7,180	9,492	13,528
Allowance for loan losses	121,844	130,200	132,870
Allowance for unfunded letters of credit	2,520	2,295	2,706
Allowance for credit losses	\$ 124,364	\$ 132,495	\$ 135,576
Components of provision for credit losses:			
Provision for losses on non-covered loans	\$ 3,710	\$ 5,255	\$ 5,374
Provision for losses on covered loans	(2,166)		
Provision for loan losses	1,544	5,255	5,374
Provision for unfunded letters of credit	225	(55)	323
Provision for credit losses	\$ 1,769	\$ 5,200	\$ 5,697
Ratio of net charge-offs of non-covered loans to average loans outstanding	0.35%	0.15%	0.23%

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Ratio of total net charge-offs to average loans outstanding	0.36	0.15	0.23
Allowance for non-covered loan losses as a % of non-covered loans	1.08	1.11	1.10
Allowance for credit losses as a % of total loans	1.15	1.20	1.22

* Include covered loan charge-offs totaling \$146 thousand the three months ended March 31, 2013. There were no charge-offs of covered loans for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012. These charge-offs are substantially offset by reimbursements under the FDIC loss-sharing agreements.

Net loan charge-offs totaling \$9.9 million for the first quarter of 2013 increased \$5.6 million and \$3.6 million from the three months ended December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively. The increase from the fourth quarter was largely as a result of a \$5.0 million loss related to one commercial loan participation (caused by the borrower's bankruptcy precipitated by fraudulent employee activities), as well as higher charge-offs related to the normal quarterly valuation of collateral dependent impaired loans. Additionally, there were charge-offs totaling \$146 thousand on loans in our covered loan pools for the first quarter of 2013 as compared to no charge-offs during both the three months ended December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012. Covered loan charge-offs are substantially covered by loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC.

Table of Contents

The provision for credit losses totaled \$1.8 million for the first quarter of 2013 as compared to \$5.2 million for the linked fourth quarter of 2012 and \$5.7 million for the first quarter of 2012. The decrease from the fourth quarter of 2012 was largely due to a \$2.2 million credit to the provision for losses on covered loans during the first quarter of 2013 related to a decrease in the estimated additional credit impairment of certain loan pools subsequent to acquisition.

During the first and fourth quarters of 2012, we did not record a provision for losses on covered loans. The provision for loan losses on non-covered loans decreased \$1.5 million as compared to the fourth quarter of 2012. The decrease was due to several factors, including improved expected loss experience and outlook for the commercial loan portfolios, lower specific reserves for impaired loans, the lack of loan growth within the commercial and residential mortgage loan portfolios, and moderately improving economic indicators during the first quarter of 2013.

The following table summarizes the allocation of the allowance for credit losses to specific loan portfolio categories and the allocations as a percentage of each loan category:

Loan Category:	March 31, 2013		December 31, 2012		March 31, 2012	
	Allowance Allocation	Allocation as a % of Loan Category	Allowance Allocation	Allocation as a % of Loan Category	Allowance Allocation	Allocation as a % of Loan Category
Commercial and Industrial loans *	\$ 57,740	2.82%	\$ 59,260	2.84%	\$ 65,061	3.00%
Commercial real estate loans:						
Commercial real estate	25,910	0.60%	24,651	0.56%	18,568	0.43%
Construction	11,853	2.70%	17,393	4.09%	13,337	3.10%
Total commercial real estate loans	37,763	0.79%	42,044	0.87%	31,905	0.67%
Residential mortgage loans	9,098	0.39%	9,361	0.38%	9,775	0.39%
Consumer loans:						
Home equity	1,695	0.37%	1,807	0.37%	2,245	0.44%
Auto and other consumer	3,762	0.38%	3,735	0.39%	5,695	0.63%
Total consumer loans	5,457	0.37%	5,542	0.38%	7,940	0.56%
Unallocated	7,126		6,796		7,367	
Allowance for non-covered loans and unfunded letters of credit	117,184	1.10%	123,003	1.13%	122,048	1.12%
Allowance for covered loans	7,180	4.45%	9,942	5.25%	13,528	5.36%
Total allowance for credit losses	\$ 124,364	1.15%	\$ 132,945	1.20%	\$ 135,576	1.22%

* Includes the reserve for unfunded letters of credit.

The allowance for non-covered loans and unfunded letters of credit as a percentage of total non-covered loans was 1.10 percent at March 31, 2013 as compared to 1.13 percent and 1.12 percent at December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively. The allocation percentages for the construction loan category at March 31, 2013 shown in the table above decreased 1.39 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012 largely due to improved expected loss experience and outlook for this portfolio, as well as a \$3.0 million decline in specific reserves for one impaired collateral dependent loan. Of the \$3.0 million decrease in specific reserves, \$1.6 million was due to an increase in the valuation of the loans underlying collateral based upon a current appraisal and the remaining \$1.4 million was charged-off during the three months ended March 31, 2013. The impaired loan had a carrying value of \$5.8 million at March 31, 2013.

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Our allowance for non-covered loans and unfunded letters of credit as a percentage of total non-covered loans (excluding non-covered PCI loans with carrying values totaling approximately \$909.8 million) was 1.20 percent at March 31, 2013 as compared to 1.25 percent at December 31, 2012. PCI loans are accounted for on a pool basis and initially recorded net of fair valuation discounts related to credit, which may be used to absorb future losses on such loans before any allowance for loan losses is recognized subsequent to acquisition. There were no allocated reserves for non-covered PCI loans at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Management believes that the unallocated allowance is appropriate given the uncertain strength of the economic and housing market recoveries, the size of the loan portfolio and level of loan delinquencies at March 31, 2013.

Loan Repurchase Contingencies

We engage in the origination of residential mortgages for sale into the secondary market. Such loan sales increased significantly since the third quarter of 2012 due to our shift to an originate and sell model for most of our mortgage loan production, which is likely to continue into the foreseeable future due to the low level of interest rates and our successful loan origination platform. In connection with loan sales, we make representations and warranties, which, if breached, may require us to repurchase such loans, substitute other loans or indemnify the purchasers of such loans for actual losses incurred due to such loans. However, the performance of our loans sold has been historically strong due to our strict underwriting standards and procedures. Over the past several years, we have experienced a nominal amount of repurchase requests (including only one request in 2013), of which none of the loan repurchases resulted in losses. No reserves pertaining to loans sold were established on our consolidated financial statements at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. See Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors We may incur future losses in connection with repurchases and indemnification payments related to mortgages that we have sold into the secondary market of Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for additional information.

Capital Adequacy

A significant measure of the strength of a financial institution is its shareholders' equity. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, shareholders' equity totaled approximately \$1.5 billion or 9.4 percent of total assets. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, total shareholders' equity increased \$5.6 million, which comprised of (i) net income of \$31.3 million, (ii) a \$3.3 million decrease in our accumulated other comprehensive loss, (iii) a \$2.0 million in net proceeds from 205 thousand shares from the reissuance of treasury stock or authorized common shares issued under our dividend reinvestment plan, and (iv) a \$1.3 million increase attributable to the effect of our stock incentive plan, partially offset by cash dividends declared on common stock totaling \$32.3 million. See Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding changes in our accumulated other comprehensive loss during the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Risk-based capital guidelines define a two-tier capital framework. Tier 1 capital consists of common shareholders' equity and eligible long-term borrowing related to VNB Capital Trust I, GCB Capital Trust III, State Bancorp Capital Trust I and State Bancorp Capital Trust II less disallowed intangibles and adjusted to exclude unrealized gains and losses, net of deferred tax. Total risk-based capital consists of Tier 1 capital, Valley National Bank's subordinated borrowings and the allowance for credit losses up to 1.25 percent of risk-adjusted assets. Risk-adjusted assets are determined by assigning various levels of risk to different categories of assets and off-balance sheet activities.

Valley's Tier 1 capital position included \$186.3 million of its outstanding trust preferred securities issued by capital trusts as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, Valley's outstanding trust preferred securities issued by its capital trusts continue to qualify as Tier 1 capital but Valley will be unable to issue replacement or additional trust preferred securities that would qualify as Tier 1 capital. However, the U.S. federal banking agencies issued three notices of proposed rulemaking (NPRs) in June 2012 that would revise and/or replace the current regulatory capital rules outlined above with the Basel III final capital framework discussed in detail under the Basel III section in Part I Item 1 of Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The NPRs proposed, among other rules, to revise risk-based and leverage capital requirements for all insured banks and savings associations, and top-tier savings and loan holding companies domiciled in the United States. The NPRs and the Basel III final framework require the banking institutions to meet the following minimum capital ratios: 4.5 percent Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, 8.0 percent Total capital to risk-weighted assets, and a new capital measure called Common Equity Tier 1 to risk-weighted assets of 3.5 percent. The NPRs also propose that our Tier 1 capital treatment of the trust preferred securities issued by our capital trusts (currently allowable under the Dodd-Frank Act) be disallowed pro rata over a 3 to 10 year phase-in period of the new rules, dependent upon varying interpretations of the current proposed rules. The proposals suggested an effective date of January 1, 2013, however the NPRs have not been revised or made final as of the filing date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

The following table presents Valley's and Valley National Bank's actual capital positions and ratios under risk-based capital guidelines at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

	Actual		Minimum Capital Requirements		To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provision	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of March 31, 2013						
Total Risk-based Capital						
Valley	\$ 1,414,688	12.5%	\$ 903,059	8.0%	\$ N/A	N/A%
Valley National Bank	1,386,606	12.3	901,400	8.0	1,126,750	10.0
Tier 1 Risk-based Capital						
Valley	1,250,324	11.1	451,529	4.0	N/A	N/A
Valley National Bank	1,222,242	10.9	450,700	4.0	676,050	6.0
Tier 1 Leverage Capital						
Valley	1,250,324	8.2	613,201	4.0	N/A	N/A
Valley National Bank	1,222,242	8.0	612,359	4.0	765,449	5.0
As of December 31, 2012						
Total Risk-based Capital						
Valley	\$ 1,413,901	12.4%	\$ 913,402	8.0%	\$ N/A	N/A%
Valley National Bank	1,374,059	12.1	912,179	8.0	1,140,224	10.0
Tier 1 Risk-based Capital						
Valley	1,241,316	10.9	456,701	4.0	N/A	N/A
Valley National Bank	1,201,499	10.5	456,090	4.0	684,134	6.0
Tier 1 Leverage Capital						
Valley	1,241,316	8.1	613,471	4.0	N/A	N/A
Valley National Bank	1,201,499	7.8	612,636	4.0	765,795	5.0

Management believes the tangible book value per share ratio provides information useful to management and investors in understanding our underlying operational performance, our business and performance trends and facilitates comparisons with the performance of others in the financial services industry. This non-GAAP financial measure should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for or superior to financial measures calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Tangible book value is computed by dividing shareholders' equity less goodwill and other intangible assets by common shares outstanding as follows:

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(\$ in thousands except for share data)	
Common shares outstanding	199,045,938	198,438,271
Shareholders' equity	\$ 1,507,999	\$ 1,502,377
Less: Goodwill and other intangible assets	463,206	459,357
Tangible shareholders' equity	\$ 1,044,793	\$ 1,043,020
Tangible book value per common share	\$ 5.25	\$ 5.26
Book value per share	\$ 7.58	\$ 7.57

Typically, our primary source of capital growth is through retention of earnings. Our rate of earnings retention is derived by dividing undistributed earnings per common share by earnings (or net income) per common share. Our common cash dividend pay-out per common share was greater than our earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2013, thereby causing our earnings retention to be zero for the same period. The cash dividends paid on our common stock exceeded net income mainly due to the continued negative impact of the low interest rate environment on our net interest income, as well other factors, including the non-cash mark to market losses recognized on our junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value during the first quarter of 2013. While we expect that our rate of earnings

Table of Contents

retention will improve in future periods due, in part, to our redeployment of a large amount of the excess liquidity at March 31, 2013 into new investment securities and loans during the early part of the second quarter, the potential future downward pressure on our net interest margin due to both the current low market interest rates and lack of meaningful loan growth (caused by unexpected prepayments, intense market competition, or low customer demand), mark to market losses on our junior subordinated debentures, net impairment losses on securities, and other deterioration in earnings and our balance sheet resulting from the weak economic conditions may negatively impact our future earnings and ability to maintain our cash dividend at current levels.

Cash dividends declared amounted to \$0.16 per common share for both the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 but, consistent with its conservative philosophy, the Board is committed to examine and weigh relevant facts and considerations, including its commitment to shareholder value, each time it makes a cash dividend decision in this economic environment. The Federal Reserve has cautioned bank holding companies about distributing dividends which reduce its capital. Also, the OCC has cautioned banks to carefully consider the dividend payout ratio to ensure they maintain sufficient capital to be able to lend to credit worthy borrowers.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, Contractual Obligations and Other Matters

For a discussion of Valley's off-balance sheet arrangements and contractual obligations see information included in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 in the MD&A section Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Notes 12 and 13 to the consolidated financial statements included in this report.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk refers to potential losses arising from changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices, and commodity prices. Valley's market risk is composed primarily of interest rate risk. See page 58 for a discussion of interest rate sensitivity.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Valley's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), with the assistance of other members of Valley's management, have evaluated the effectiveness of Valley's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on such evaluation, Valley's CEO and CFO have concluded that Valley's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Valley's CEO and CFO have also concluded that there have not been any changes in Valley's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Valley's internal control over financial reporting.

Valley's management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, provides reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. The design of a control system reflects resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because there are inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within Valley have been or will be detected.

These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. There can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Table of Contents**PART II OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

In the normal course of business, we may be a party to various outstanding legal proceedings and claims. There have been no material changes in the legal proceedings previously disclosed under Part I, Item 3 of Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There has been no material change in the risk factors previously disclosed under Part I, Item 1A of Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

During the quarter, we did not sell any equity securities not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Purchases of equity securities by the issuer and affiliated purchasers during the three months ended March 31, 2013:

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans ⁽¹⁾	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans ⁽¹⁾
January 1, 2013 to January 31, 2013	1,556 ⁽²⁾	\$ 9.97		4,112,465
February 1, 2013 to February 28, 2013	48,162 ⁽²⁾	9.88		4,112,465
March 1, 2013 to March 31, 2013				4,112,465
Total	49,718			

⁽¹⁾ On January 17, 2007, Valley publicly announced its intention to repurchase up to 4.7 million outstanding common shares in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. The repurchase plan has no stated expiration date. No repurchase plans or programs expired or terminated during the three months ended March 31, 2013.

⁽²⁾ Represents repurchases made in connection with the vesting of employee stock awards.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

(3) Articles of Incorporation and By-laws:

- A.** Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant, incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's Form 8-K Current Report filed on May 24, 2012.
 - B.** Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant, incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's Form 8-K Current Report filed on May 20, 2011.
 - C.** By-laws of the Registrant, as amended, incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's Form 8-K Current Report filed on January 31, 2011.
- (31.1)** Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) signed by Gerald H. Lipkin, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company.*
- (31.2)** Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) signed by Alan D. Eskow, Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company.*
- (32)** Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed by Gerald H. Lipkin, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and Alan D. Eskow, Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company.*

(101) Interactive Data File * **

* Filed herewith.

** As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP

(Registrant)

Date: May 9, 2013

/s/ Gerald H. Lipkin
Gerald H. Lipkin
Chairman of the Board, President and
Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 9, 2013

/s/ Alan D. Eskow
Alan D. Eskow
Senior Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer