HUMANA INC Form DEF 14A March 04, 2013 Table of Contents

# **UNITED STATES**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## **SCHEDULE 14A**

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a)** 

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

" Preliminary Proxy Statement

x Definitive Proxy Statement

" Definitive Additional Materials

" Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

" CONFIDENTIAL, FOR USE OF THE COMMISSION ONLY (AS PERMITTED BY RULE 14A-6(E)(2))

# Humana Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
  - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
  - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
  - (5) Total fee paid:

- " Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- " Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
  - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
  - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
  - (3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

500 West Main Street

Louisville, Kentucky 40202

March 4, 2013

Dear Fellow Stockholders:

We would like to invite you to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Humana Inc., to be held on Thursday, April 25, 2013, at 9:00 a.m., EDT, at the Company s headquarters, located at 500 West Main Street, 25th Floor Auditorium, in Louisville, Kentucky. The meeting will also be webcast via the Internet at the Investor Relations section of the Company s website<u>, www.humana.com</u>. This Proxy Statement contains information about our Company and the four proposals to be voted upon by stockholders at the meeting. Please give this information your careful attention.

This year, we will once again be taking advantage of Securities and Exchange Commission rules that allow us to furnish proxy materials to our stockholders on the Internet. These materials will be available on the Internet on or about March 4, 2013. We continue to believe that Internet delivery of our proxy materials allows us to provide our stockholders with the information they need, while lowering the costs of delivery and reducing the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting.

We hope you can attend the meeting. However, even if you are unable to join us, we urge you to still exercise your right as a stockholder and vote by telephone, mail or using the Internet. The vote of every stockholder is important.

This Proxy Statement is being mailed or transmitted on or about March 4, 2013 to our stockholders of record as of February 25, 2013.

Sincerely,

Michael B. McCallister

Chairman of the Board and Stockholder

Bruce D. Broussard

Director, President and Chief Executive Officer and Stockholder

# Notice of 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Time and Date:	9:00 a.m., EDT, on Thursday, April 25, 2013
Location:	Humana Building
	25th Floor Auditorium
	500 West Main Street
	Louisville, Kentucky 40202
Agenda:	1. Elect the eleven (11) director nominees named in the proxy statement.
	2. Ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company s independent
	registered public accounting firm for 2013.
	3. Non-binding advisory vote to approve the compensation of the Company s Named Executive Officers.
	Executive Officers.
	4. Consider and vote upon the stockholder proposal set forth in this proxy statement, if presented.
	5. Consider any other business properly brought before the meeting.
Record Date:	February 25, 2013. Humana stockholders of record at the close of business on that date will
	be entitled to vote.
Proxy Voting:	Your vote is important so that as many Shares as possible will be represented. Please vote by
riozy toung.	one of the following methods:

BY INTERNET

BY TELEPHONE

BY RETURNING YOUR PROXY CARD (if you elected to receive printed materials)

See instructions on your proxy card or at the voting site.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Joan O. Lenahan

Vice President and Corporate Secretary

March 4, 2013

# **Table of Contents**

Frequently Asked Questions	1
Corporate Governance	8
Proposal One: Election of Directors	20
Director Compensation	25
Stock Ownership Information	29
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	32
Organization & Compensation Committee Report	49
Executive Compensation	50
Certain Transactions with Management and Others	66
Audit Committee Report	67
Proposal Two: Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	69
<u>Proposal Three: Non-binding Advisory Vote With Respect to the Compensation of the Company</u> s <u>Named Executive Officers</u>	70
Proposal Four: Disclosure of Political Contributions and Expenditures	71
Incorporation by Reference	73
Additional Information	73

# FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

### Why am I receiving this Proxy Statement?

You are receiving a proxy statement because you owned shares of Humana common stock as of Monday, February 25, 2013, the record date, and that entitles you to vote at the Annual Meeting. Our Board of Directors has made these materials available to you on the Internet or, upon your request, has delivered printed versions of these materials to you by mail, in connection with the Board s solicitation of proxies on behalf of the Company for use at our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Your proxy will authorize specified people (proxies) to vote on your behalf at the Annual Meeting. By use of a proxy, you can vote, whether or not you attend the meeting.

This proxy statement describes the matters on which the Company would like you to vote, provides information on those matters, and provides information about the Company that we must disclose when we solicit your proxy.

# Why did I receive a one-page notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials instead of a full set of proxy materials?

Pursuant to rules adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials over the Internet. We believe that Internet delivery of our proxy materials allows us to provide our stockholders with the information they need, while lowering the costs of delivery and reducing the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting. Accordingly, we are sending a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, which we refer to as the Notice, to our stockholders and beneficial owners as of the Record Date. All stockholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials on a website referred to in the Notice or request to receive a printed set of the proxy materials. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the Internet or to request a printed copy may be found on the Notice. In addition, stockholders may request to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by e-mail on an ongoing basis by calling Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., or Broadridge, at 1-800-579-1639.

### How can I get electronic access to the proxy materials?

The Notice provides you with instructions regarding how to:

View our proxy materials for the Annual Meeting on the Internet; and

Instruct us to send our future proxy materials to you electronically by e-mail.

Choosing to receive your future proxy materials by e-mail will save us the cost of printing and mailing documents to you and will reduce the impact of our Annual Meetings on the environment. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by e-mail, you will receive an e-mail next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting site. Your election to receive proxy materials by e-mail will remain in effect until you terminate it.

### When and where is the Annual Meeting?

The Annual Meeting will be held on Thursday, April 25, 2013 at 9:00 a.m., EDT, at The Humana Building, 25<sup>th</sup> Floor Auditorium, 500 West Main Street, Louisville, Kentucky 40202.

### Who is entitled to vote?

Anyone who owns Humana Inc. common stock, which we refer to as Shares, as of the close of business on February 25, 2013, which we refer to as the Record Date, is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting or at any later meeting should the scheduled Annual Meeting be adjourned or postponed for any reason.

#### How many Shares are eligible to vote?

As of the Record Date, February 25, 2013, 158,713,178 Shares were outstanding and entitled to vote. Each Share is entitled to one vote on each of the matters to be considered at the Annual Meeting.

#### What will I be voting on?

Election of the eleven (11) director nominees named in this proxy statement to serve on the Board of Directors of the Company;

Ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for 2013;

A non-binding, advisory vote to approve the compensation of the Company s Named Executive Officers as disclosed in this proxy statement; and

A stockholder proposal as set forth in this proxy statement, if presented. The Board of Directors is not aware of any other matters to be presented for action at the Annual Meeting. However, if other matters are properly presented for a vote, the proxies will be voted for these matters in accordance with the judgment of the persons acting under the proxies.

#### How does the Board recommend I vote on each proposal?

The Board recommends that you vote your Shares as follows:

FOR the election of each of the eleven (11) director nominees named in this proxy statement;

**FOR** the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for 2013;

FOR the approval of the compensation of the Company s Named Executive Officers as disclosed in this proxy statement; and

AGAINST the stockholder proposal as set forth in this proxy statement, if presented.

All Shares that are represented at the Annual Meeting by properly executed proxies received before or at the Annual Meeting and not revoked will be voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with the instructions indicated in the proxies.

### How will my Shares be voted if I do not specify how they should be voted?

If you sign and return your proxy card without indicating how you want your Shares to be voted, our representatives will vote your Shares as follows:

FOR the election of each of the eleven (11) director nominees named in this proxy statement;

**FOR** the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for 2013;

FOR the approval of the compensation of the Company s Named Executive Officers as disclosed in this proxy statement; and

AGAINST the stockholder proposal as set forth in this proxy statement, if presented. What if my Shares are not registered in my name?

If you own your Shares in street name, meaning that your bank, broker or other nominee is actually the record owner, you should receive the Notice from your bank, broker or other nominee. In addition, stockholders may request, by calling Broadridge at 1-800-579-1639, to receive proxy materials in printed form, by mail or electronically by e-mail on an ongoing basis. When you own your Shares in street name, you are deemed a beneficial owner or holder for voting purposes.

If you hold Shares through an account with a bank, broker or other nominee and you do not provide voting instructions on your instructions form, your Shares may not be voted by the nominee with respect to certain proposals, including:

the election of directors;

the approval of the compensation of the Company s Named Executive Officers; or

the stockholder proposal as set forth in this proxy statement, if presented.

Banks, brokers and other nominees have the authority under the regulations of the New York Stock Exchange, or the NYSE, to vote shares for which their customers do not provide voting instructions only on certain routine matters, including the ratification of the appointment of the Company s independent registered public accounting firm. However, the proposals listed above are not considered routine matters, and therefore your Shares will not be voted with respect to such proposals if you do not provide voting instructions on your instruction form.

#### What is a broker non-vote ?

Table of Contents

A broker non-vote occurs when a nominee holding Shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because the nominee does not have discretionary voting power with respect to that item and has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner, but does have discretionary voting power over other items and submits votes for those matters. As discussed above, if you hold Shares through a bank, broker or other nominee and do not provide voting instructions to your bank, broker or other nominee, your Shares may not be voted with respect to certain proposals, such as the proposals listed above.

# How many votes are required to approve each proposal and what are the effects of abstentions, broker non-votes and unmarked proxy cards?

Proposal	Vote Required for Approval	Effect of Abstentions	Uninstructed Shares/Broker Non-Votes	Unmarked/Signed Proxy Cards
Election of Directors	The number of votes cast for a nominee exceeds the number of votes cast against that nominee. <sup>(1)</sup>	No effect	Not voted (2)	Voted For
Ratification of the appointment of the independent auditor	Majority of shares present and entitled to vote	Counted as against	Discretionary vote/Not Voted	Voted For
Approval of executive compensation	Majority of shares present and entitled to vote	Counted as against	Not voted <sup>(2)</sup>	Voted For
Stockholder proposal as set forth in this proxy statement, if presented	Majority of shares present and entitled to vote	Counted as against	Not voted (2)	Voted Against

(1) Under the Company's Majority Vote Policy adopted in January 2007, following election to our Board of Directors, a director is required to submit his or her irrevocable resignation to our Board of Directors conditioned upon (1) the director not achieving the requisite stockholder vote at any future meeting at which they face re-election, and (2) acceptance of the resignation by the Board of Directors following that election. The Board of Directors has 90 days after a director fails to achieve the requisite stockholder votes to determine whether or not to accept the director's resignation and to report this information to our stockholders.

(2) Pursuant to current NYSE regulations, brokers do not have discretionary voting power.

### What is a quorum ?

A quorum is a majority of the outstanding Shares. Shares may be voted at the Annual Meeting by a signed proxy card, by telephone instruction, or electronically on the Internet. There must be a quorum for the Annual Meeting to be held. Abstentions and broker non-votes are counted as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists.

### How do I vote?

There are four ways that you can vote your Shares. Voting by any of these methods will supersede any prior vote you made regardless of how that vote was made. **PLEASE CHOOSE ONLY ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:** 

1) By Internet. The website for voting is http://www.ProxyVote.com. In order to vote on the Internet, you need the control number on your proxy card. Each stockholder has a unique control number so we can ensure all voting instructions are genuine and prevent duplicate voting. The Internet voting system is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, April 24, 2013. Once you are logged on the Internet voting system, you can record and confirm (or change) your voting instructions. If you use the Internet voting system, you do not need to return your proxy card.

- 2) By telephone. If you are a registered holder in the United States or Canada, you should call 1-800-690-6903. The telephone voting system is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, April 24, 2013. In order to vote by telephone, you need the control number on your proxy card. Each stockholder has a unique control number so we can ensure all voting instructions are genuine and prevent duplicate voting. Once you are logged on the telephone voting system, a series of prompts will tell you how to record and confirm (or change) your voting instructions. If you use the telephone voting system, you do not need to return your proxy card.
- 3) **By mail.** Mark your voting instructions, sign and date the proxy card and then return it in the postage-paid envelope provided. If you mail your proxy card, we must receive it before 10:00 a.m. Eastern Time on Thursday, April 25, 2013, the day of the Annual Meeting. If you are returning your proxy card to Broadridge, they must receive it before 10:00 a.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, April 24, 2013, the day before the Annual Meeting.
- 4) **In person.** Attend the Annual Meeting. Mark your voting instructions and deliver to the Inspectors of Election. However, you can vote by methods 1, 2 or 3 above prior to the meeting and still attend the Annual Meeting. In all cases, a vote at the Annual Meeting will revoke any prior votes. Please note that if your Shares are held through a bank, broker or other nominee, you will need to bring proof of ownership to the Annual Meeting in order to vote.

# How do I vote the share equivalent units held in the Humana Common Stock Fund of the Humana Retirement Savings Plan or the Humana Puerto Rico Retirement Savings Plan?

If you have an interest in the Humana Common Stock Fund of the Humana Retirement Savings Plan or the Humana Puerto Rico Retirement Savings Plan on the Record Date, you may vote. Under the Humana Retirement Savings Plan and the Humana Puerto Rico Retirement Savings Plan, your voting rights are based on your interest the amount of money you and the Company have invested in your Humana Common Stock Fund.

You may exercise these voting rights in almost the same way that stockholders may vote their Shares, but you have an earlier deadline, and you should provide your voting instructions to Broadridge. Broadridge will aggregate the votes of all participants and provide voting information to the Trustee for the applicable plan. If your voting instructions are received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on Thursday, April 18, 2013, the Trustee will submit a proxy that reflects your instructions. If you do not give voting instructions (or give them late), the Trustee will vote your interest in the Humana Common Stock Fund in the same proportion as the Shares attributed to the Humana Retirement Savings Plan, or the Humana Puerto Rico Retirement Savings Plan, as applicable, are actually voted by the other participants in the applicable plan.

You should provide your instructions to Broadridge by using the Internet, registered holder telephone number (1-800-690-6903) or mail methods described above. **However, you cannot vote in person at the Annual Meeting**. Your voting instructions will be kept confidential under the terms of the Humana Retirement Savings Plan or the Humana Puerto Rico Retirement Savings Plan, as applicable.

### Who will count the votes?

Broadridge will tabulate the votes cast by proxy, whether by proxy card, Internet or telephone. Additionally, the Company s Inspectors of Election will tabulate the votes cast at the Annual Meeting together with the votes cast by proxy.

### How do I revoke my proxy?

You have the right to revoke your proxy at any time before the meeting.

Your method of doing so will depend upon how you originally voted (a later vote will supersede any prior vote you made regardless of how that vote was made):

	1)	By Internet	simply log in and resu	bmit your vote	Broadridge will	l only count the	last instructions
--	----	-------------	------------------------	----------------	-----------------	------------------	-------------------

- 2) By Telephone simply sign in and resubmit your vote Broadridge will only count the last instructions;
- 3) By Mail you must give written notice of revocation to Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717 or by fax at 1-515-254-7733, submit another properly signed proxy with a more recent date, or vote in person at the Annual Meeting. For written and fax notices, you must include the control number that is printed on the upper portion of the proxy card.

# What is the due date for stockholder proposals, including stockholder nominees for director, for inclusion in the Company s proxy materials for the 2014 Annual Meeting?

Stockholder proposals, including stockholder nominees for director, as permitted by SEC regulations for inclusion in our proxy materials relating to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must be submitted to the Corporate Secretary in writing no later than November 13, 2013. Proposals should be submitted to Joan O. Lenahan, Vice President and Corporate Secretary, Humana Inc., 500 W. Main Street, 21st Floor, Louisville, Kentucky 40202.

# May a stockholder present a proposal not included in our Proxy Statement at the April 25, 2013 Annual Meeting?

A stockholder can present a proposal at the Annual Meeting (a so-called floor resolution ) only if certain notice requirements are met. The SEC does not directly regulate meeting conduct. State law imposes only limited requirements, so meetings are governed by procedures set forth in our Bylaws. Humana s Bylaws require that a stockholder provide written notice of intent to bring a proposal no less than 60 days or more than 90 days prior to the scheduled date of the Annual Meeting of stockholders. If less than 70 days notice of the Annual Meeting is given, written notice by a stockholder would be deemed timely if made no later than the 10th day following such notice of the Annual Meeting. A proposal must also meet other requirements as to form and content set forth in our Bylaws. Stockholder proposals should be sent to Joan O. Lenahan, Vice President and Corporate Secretary, Humana Inc., 500 West Main Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor, Louisville, Kentucky 40202. A copy of our Bylaws is available on our website. From the <u>www.humana.com</u> website, click on Investor Relations, and then click on Corporate Governance, and then click on the link entitled, Bylaws.

### How will Humana solicit votes and who pays for the solicitation?

### Table of Contents

We have engaged D. F. King & Co., Inc. to assist in the distribution of proxy materials and solicitation of votes for approximately \$12,000 plus expenses. We have also engaged Broadridge to assist in the distribution of proxy materials and the accumulation of votes through the Internet, telephone and coordination of mail votes for approximately \$194,000 plus expenses. We will reimburse banks, brokers and other nominees for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses for forwarding proxy and solicitation material to our stockholders.

### How can I obtain additional information about the Company?

Included with this Proxy Statement (either in printed form or on the Internet) is a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which also contains the information required in our Annual Report to Stockholders. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K and all our other filings with the SEC also may be accessed via the Investor Relations section on our website at <u>www.humana.com</u>. We encourage you to visit our website. From the <u>www.humana.com</u> website, click on Investor Relations, and then click on the report you wish to review under the SEC Filings & Financial Reports subcategory.

### Where can I find voting results for this Annual Meeting?

The voting results will be published in a current report on Form 8-K which will be filed with the SEC no later than four business days after the Annual Meeting. The Form 8-K will also be available on our website at <u>www.humana.com</u>.

### What is householding ?

Householding occurs when a single copy of our Annual Report, Proxy Statement or Notice is sent to any household at which two or more stockholders reside if they appear to be members of the same family. Although we do not household for registered stockholders, a number of brokerage firms have instituted householding for Shares held in street name. This procedure reduces our printing and mailing costs and fees. Stockholders who participate in householding will continue to receive separate proxy cards, and householding will not affect the mailing of account statements or special notices in any way. If you wish to receive separate copies of our Annual Report, Proxy Statement or Notice in the future, please contact the bank, broker or other nominee through which you hold your Shares.

# **CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

Humana is committed to having sound corporate governance principles and operates within a comprehensive plan of corporate governance for the purpose of defining responsibilities, setting high standards of professional and personal conduct, and assuring compliance with such responsibilities and standards. Sound corporate governance is essential to running our business effectively and to maintaining our reputation of integrity in the marketplace. Our Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, which we refer to as the Guidelines, intended to comply with the requirements of Section 303A.09 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual. The Guidelines may be viewed on our website at <u>www.humana.com</u>. From the <u>www.humana.com</u> website, click on Investor Relations, then click on Corporate Governance, and then click on the link entitled Corporate Governance Guidelines.

# **Role of the Board and Board Leadership**

### **Role of the Board**

The business of the Company is managed under the direction of the Board, which is elected annually by the Company s stockholders. The basic responsibility of the Board is to lead the Company by exercising its business judgment to act in what each director reasonably believes to be the best interests of Humana and its stockholders, engaging in active and independent oversight of the management of the Company s business affairs and assets. In order to fulfill its responsibilities to the Company s stockholders, the Board, both directly and through its committees, regularly engages with management, ensures management accountability and reviews the most critical issues that face the Company, such as approval of the Company s strategy and mission, execution of the Company s financial and strategic goals, oversight of risk management, succession planning, and determination of executive compensation.

### **Board Oversight of Risk**

While management is responsible for designing and implementing the Company s risk management process, controls and oversight, the Board, both as a whole and through its committees, has overall responsibility for oversight of the Company s risk management. The Board implements this risk oversight function both as a whole and through various committees. The full Board regularly reviews risks that may be material to the Company, including those detailed in the Audit Committee s reports and as disclosed in the Company s quarterly and annual reports filed with the SEC.

*Audit Committee*. Pursuant to its charter, and in compliance with applicable NYSE listed company rules, the Audit Committee is responsible for discussing the Company's policies with respect to overall risk assessment and risk management, with primary responsibility for monitoring risks with respect to the Company's accounting and financial reporting principles and policies and internal audit controls and procedures. To accomplish this, the Audit Committee regularly reviews with both internal Company personnel and independent auditors the risks that may be material to the Company, as well as major legislative and regulatory developments which could materially impact the Company's risks. The members of the Audit Committee meet separately with representatives of our independent audit firm and members of management in charge of internal controls and procedures with respect to financial reporting. The Company has also instituted a management Enterprise Risk Management Committee to assess the risks of the Company and coordinate with and report to the Audit Committee.

*Organization & Compensation Committee*. The Board of Directors has delegated to the Organization & Compensation Committee the responsibility of assessing the risks associated with the Company s compensation practices and policies for employees, including a consideration of the counterbalance of risk-taking incentives and risk-mitigating factors in Company practices and policies.

The goal of these processes is to achieve serious and thoughtful board-level attention to the Company s risk management process and system, the nature of the material risks faced by the Company, and the adequacy of the Company s risk management process and system designed to respond to and mitigate these risks.

### **Board Leadership**

Leadership of the Board is essential to facilitate the Board acting effectively as a working group to the benefit of the Company and its performance. As previously disclosed, effective as of the close of business on December 31, 2012, Mr. McCallister retired as our Chief Executive Officer (but continues to serve as Chairman of the Board of Directors) and Mr. Broussard was elected President and Chief Executive Officer effective January 1, 2013. As Chairman of the Board, Mr. McCallister serves as Chair of regular sessions of the Board, runs the Board process and ensures effectiveness in all aspects of the Board s role, and leads the Board in anticipating and responding to crises.

The Board believes that the advisability of having a separate or combined chairman and chief executive officer positions is dependent upon the strengths of the individual or individuals that hold these positions and the most effective means of leveraging these strengths, in light of the challenges and circumstances facing the Company, which may change over time. At this time, given the composition of the Company s Board, the effective interaction between Mr. McCallister, as Chairman, and Mr. Broussard, as Chief Executive Officer, and the current challenges faced by the Company, the Board believes that separating the chief executive officer and Board chairman positions provides the Company with the right foundation to pursue the Company s strategic and operational objectives, while maintaining effective oversight and objective evaluation of the performance of the Company.

At this time, the Board has also decided to continue to elect an independent Lead Director with responsibility for:

coordinating the activities of the independent directors and acting as the principal liaison to Mr. McCallister, as Chairman, and Mr. Broussard, as Chief Executive Officer, for the view of, and any concerns and issues raised by, the independent directors (although all directors continue to have access to management);

convening, setting the agenda for, and presiding over all executive sessions of independent directors held by the Board;

presiding at all meetings of the Board when the Chairman is not present;

together with the Chairman, approving Board meeting agendas as well as the quality, quantity and timeliness of information sent to the Board;

together with the Chairman, approving Board meeting schedules to assure that there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items; and

together with the Chairman, leading the Board in its annual CEO evaluation (in conjunction with the recommendations of the Organization & Compensation Committee).

# **Qualifications and Process for Nominating Directors**

### **Director Qualifications**

The Guidelines contain Board membership criteria that apply to nominees recommended by the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee for a position on the Board. The Board has determined that each member of the Board (except Messrs. McCallister and Broussard, as a former and current employee of the Company, respectively) is independent according to criteria established in the Guidelines by the Board, and in accordance with requirements of the NYSE and the SEC. The members of the Organization & Compensation Committee must also meet the independence criteria of the Internal Revenue Code. The Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee reviews with the Board the requisite skills and characteristics for Board members. This assessment includes the desired experience, mix of skills and other qualities to assure appropriate Board composition, taking into account other Board members and the specific needs of the Company and the Board. Although the Board and the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee do not have a policy with regard to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees, the director nomination process is designed to ensure that the Board includes members with diverse backgrounds, including race, ethnicity, gender, skills and experience, including appropriate financial and other expertise relevant to the Company s business. The goal of this process is to assemble a group of board members with deep, varied experience, sound judgment, and commitment to the Company s success. For a discussion of the individual experience and qualifications of our board members, please refer to the section entitled, Proposal One: Election of Directors in this proxy statement.

### **Identifying Nominees for Directors**

The Board is responsible for selecting its own members and delegates the screening process for new directors to the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee, with counsel from the Chairman, our Chief Executive Officer, the Lead Director, and outside consultants as appropriate. The Committee utilizes a number of methods for identifying and evaluating nominees for Board membership. The Committee regularly assesses the appropriate size of the Board, and whether any vacancies are anticipated. The Committee considers potential candidates for director, which may come to the attention of the Committee through current Board members, professional search firms, stockholders, or other persons. The Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee selects candidates who possess a reputation and hold positions or affiliations befitting a director of a large publicly-held company, and are actively engaged in their occupations or professions or are otherwise regularly involved in the business, professional or academic community. In addition, from time to time, we engage a third-party search firm to assist the Board of Directors and the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee in identifying and recruiting candidates for Board membership.

### **Stockholder Nominees**

The policy of the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee is to consider properly submitted stockholder nominations for candidates for membership on the Board as described above under Identifying Nominees for Directors. In the course of evaluating nominations for Board membership, the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee will look for individuals who have displayed high ethical standards, integrity, and sound business judgment, taking into account the current make-up of the Board and the specific needs of the Company and the Board. Stockholder nominations for election to the Board of Directors are governed by specific provisions in our Bylaws, a copy of which is available on our website at <u>www.humana.com</u>. From the <u>www.humana.com</u> website, click on Investor Relations, and then click on Corporate Governance, and then

click on the link entitled, By-Laws. The Bylaws require that a stockholder provide written notice of intent to nominate a candidate for director no less than 60 days or more than 90 days prior to the scheduled date of the Annual Meeting of stockholders. If less than 70 days notice of the Annual Meeting is given, written notice by a stockholder would be deemed timely if made no later than the 10th day following such notice of the Annual Meeting. Any stockholder nominations proposed for consideration by the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee should include, among other information required by the Bylaws, the nominee s name, qualifications for Board membership and compliance with our Director Resignation Policy discussed in this proxy statement and should be sent to: Joan O. Lenahan, Vice President and Corporate Secretary, Humana Inc., 500 West Main Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor, Louisville, Kentucky 40202.

# **Director Independence**

The Guidelines contain independence standards to assist the Board in its determination of director independence. In addition, to qualify as independent under the Guidelines, the Board of Directors must affirmatively determine that a director has no material relationship with the Company, other than as a director.

Pursuant to the Guidelines, the Board undertakes an annual review of director independence. During this review, the Board considers transactions and relationships between each director or any member of his or her immediate family and the Company and its subsidiaries and affiliates, including transactions or relationships which could have been reported under Certain Transactions with Management and Others in this Proxy Statement. As provided in the Guidelines, the purpose of this review is to determine whether any such relationships or transactions are inconsistent with a determination that a director is independent.

In the course of this review for the current year, the Board specifically analyzed and discussed several matters:

- (1) a relationship between the Company and Pfizer Inc., or Pfizer, for which Frank A. D Amelio, one of our current directors, serves as an executive officer;
- (2) a relationship between the Company and Endo Health Solutions, or Endo, for which Dr. David B. Nash, one of our current directors, serves as a director;
- (3) a relationship between the Company and the Thomas Jefferson University, by which Dr. David B. Nash, one of our current directors, is employed as Founding Dean of the Jefferson School of Population Health;
- (4) a relationship between the Company and Ashland Inc., or Ashland, for which James J. O Brien, one of our current directors, serves as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer;
- (5) a relationship between the Company and JAPC, Inc., or JAPC, which is owned by the father of David A. Jones, Jr., one of our current directors;
- (6) a relationship between the Company and Chrysalis Ventures, LLC, or Chrysalis, for which David A. Jones, Jr., one of our current directors, serves as Chairman; and
- (7) business relationships between our subsidiary, Concentra Inc. (or its affiliates) and various companies for which our current directors serve as directors or executive officers.

*Pfizer.* The relationship between the Company and Pfizer consists of a negotiated rebate based on the volume of prescriptions of Pfizer drugs obtained by Humana members, an amount that includes Humana claims paid and the co-payments paid by our members for Pfizer drugs. These rebate amounts are significant. However, these payments to Humana from Pfizer result from activity with many intermediaries over whom

Humana exercises no control (i.e. the providers who prescribe these medications, the distributors who sell to the retailers, and the retailers from which our members get prescriptions). In 2012, the rebate amounted to approximately \$35 million. We have also agreed to complete various research studies for Pfizer, for which we were paid an

immaterial amount of fees by Pfizer in 2012. We also received voluntary critical illness plan premiums from Pfizer in the aggregate amount of approximately \$500,000. The premiums charged and benefits provided under this arrangements are comparable to those extended to our other non-affiliated customers.

*Endo.* The relationship between the Company and Endo consists of a negotiated rebate similar to the volume rebate described above. In 2012, the rebate amounted to approximately \$5 million.

*Thomas Jefferson University.* The relationship between the Company and Thomas Jefferson University consists of a data sharing arrangement whereby our subsidiary, Comprehensive Health Insights, Inc., provides data to Thomas Jefferson University at current commercial rates following our standard protocols for use in teaching and obtaining grants and pharmaceutical-related projects.

*Ashland.* In 2012, we received health care premium payments from Ashland in the aggregate amount of approximately \$1.2 million. The premiums charged and benefits provided under these arrangements are comparable to those extended to our other non-affiliated customers.

*JAPC, Inc.* In 2012, we provided hangar space, pilot services and maintenance for an airplane owned by JAPC, for which we were fully reimbursed by JAPC at a rate at least as favorable to the Company as market rates, which amounts were not material.

*Chrysalis.* In 2012, we received health care premium payments from Chrysalis in the aggregate amount of approximately \$150,000. The premiums charged and benefits provided under these arrangements are comparable to those extended to our other non-affiliated customers.

*Concentra Relationships.* In 2012, our subsidiary, Concentra Inc., and certain of its affiliates received payments from various companies for which our current directors serve as directors or executive officers. In each case, the amounts charged and the occupational medicine, urgent care, physical therapy, and health and wellness services provided under the arrangements are comparable to those extended to other non-affiliated customers.

At the conclusion of its review, the Board affirmatively determined that in each case the relationship between the Company or its affiliate and each director-related entity was not material, was below the thresholds for independence prescribed by the NYSE, and did not impact the independence of any of our directors. Each director recused themselves from the independence assessment relative to himself or herself.

Consistent with these considerations, and based on its review of director independence in light of the standards contained in the Guidelines, the Board determined that each member of the Board of Directors (except Messrs. McCallister and Broussard, as a former and current employee of the Company, respectively) is independent.

## **Committee Membership and Attendance**

The Board of Directors has the following committees: Audit; Organization & Compensation; Nominating & Corporate Governance; Executive; and Investment. Only directors meeting SEC and NYSE director independence standards may serve on the Audit Committee, the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee, and the Organization & Compensation Committee.

The number of Board committee meetings held in 2012 and membership as of March 1, 2013, were as follows:

		Organization &	Nominating & Corporate		
	Audit	Compensation	Governance	Executive	Investment
Number of Meetings in 2012	9	9	3	0	4
NAME					
Bruce D. Broussard					
Frank A. D Amelio	С				М
W. Roy Dunbar		М			С
Kurt J. Hilzinger				Μ	
David A. Jones, Jr.			С	М	
Michael B. McCallister				С	
William J. McDonald		С			М
William E. Mitchell	Μ		М		
David B. Nash, M.D.	Μ				
James J. O Brien	Μ				Μ
Marissa T. Peterson		М	М		

#### C = Chair

M = Member

Each Board committee operates pursuant to a charter, which may be viewed on our website at <u>www.humana.com</u>. From the <u>www.humana.com</u> website, click on Investor Relations, then click on Corporate Governance, and then you will see a link to the Committee Charters.

# **Audit Committee**

### **Committee Responsibilities**

Pursuant to its charter, the Audit Committee:

assists the Board of Directors with the oversight of the integrity of our financial statements and disclosures and internal controls, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the independent registered public accounting firm s qualifications and independence and the performance of our internal audit function and the independent registered public accounting firm;

bears responsibility for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of the independent registered public accounting firm engaged to prepare the audit report or perform other audit, review or attest services;

reviews with the independent registered public accounting firm, our internal audit department, and our financial and accounting personnel, the effectiveness of our accounting and financial controls and, where appropriate, makes recommendations for the improvement of these internal control procedures;

reviews the scope, funding and results of our internal audit function including the independence and authority of our reporting obligations, the proposed audit plans for the year, and the coordination of these plans with the independent registered public accounting firm;

reviews the scope, funding and results of our compliance program, including receiving, at least quarterly, an update from our internal compliance department regarding any significant matters regarding our compliance with regulatory requirements and contracts with government entities;

reviews the financial statements and other information contained in our Annual Report and other reports to stockholders with management and the independent registered public accounting firm to determine that the independent registered public accounting firm is satisfied with the disclosure and content of the financial statements to be presented to the stockholders and reviews any changes in accounting principles;

confers independently with our internal auditors, internal compliance department, key members of management, and our independent registered public accounting firm;

determines and approves the appropriateness of the fees for audit and permissible non-audit services performed by the independent registered public accounting firm;

discusses with management our compliance with applicable legal requirements and with our internal policies regarding related party transactions and conflicts of interest;

discusses our policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management;

maintains free and open means of communication between the members of our Board of Directors, our independent registered public accounting firm, our internal audit department, our internal compliance department, and our financial management; and

# annually evaluates its performance. Corporate Governance Determinations

The Board of Directors has determined that each of the members of the Audit Committee at February 21, 2013 is independent according to SEC and NYSE requirements, and each is financially literate, as defined in the NYSE listing standards. The Board of Directors has determined further that Messrs. D Amelio, O Brien and Mitchell each meet the definition of audit committee financial expert.

The Report of the Audit Committee for the year ended December 31, 2012 is set forth in this Proxy Statement under the caption Audit Committee Report.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, reports directly to the Audit Committee.

No member of the Board s Audit Committee serves on the audit committees of more than three publicly traded companies.

## **Organization & Compensation Committee**

### **Committee Responsibilities**

Pursuant to its charter, the Organization & Compensation Committee:

reviews and approves our goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, or CEO, evaluates the CEO s performance in light of those goals and objectives, and, either as a Committee or together with the other independent directors, determines and approves the CEO s compensation level based on this evaluation;

makes recommendations to the Board with respect to the CEO s and other executive officers base compensation, incentive-compensation plans and equity-based plans and approves programs for our executive officers;

approves equity-based grants to executive officers and other associates;

approves material elements of all employment, severance and Change in Control agreements for the executive officers;

reviews and discusses with management the Company s compensation plans and policies for all employees (including the Named Executive Officers) with respect to risk management and risk-inducing incentives;

ensures preparation of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and the Compensation Committee Report as required by SEC regulations; and

annually evaluates its performance. Scope of Authority, Processes and Procedures

The Organization & Compensation Committee acts on behalf of the Board of Directors to establish the compensation of our executive officers and provides oversight of our compensation philosophy, as described in this Proxy Statement under the caption Compensation Discussion and Analysis. The role of the executive officers and the outside compensation consultant in establishing executive compensation is discussed in this Proxy Statement under the caption Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Other than routine administrative matters, no executive compensation decisions are delegated to management.

### **Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

No member of the Organization & Compensation Committee:

is or has ever been an officer or employee of the Company; or

is or was, during the last fiscal year, a participant in a related person transaction requiring disclosure under Item 404 of the SEC s regulations (see discussion in this Proxy Statement under the caption Certain Transactions with Management and Others ); or

is an executive officer of another entity, at which one of our executive officers serves either as a director or on its compensation committee.

### **Compensation Risk Determination**

In 2012, the Organization & Compensation Committee assessed the risks associated with the Company s compensation practices and policies for employees, including a consideration of the counterbalance of risk-taking incentives and risk-mitigating factors in Company practices and policies. Following this assessment, the Organization & Compensation Committee determined that the risks arising from the Company s compensation practices and policies are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

## Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee

Pursuant to its charter, the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee:

recommends to the full Board criteria for the selection and qualification of the members of the Board;

evaluates and recommends for nomination by the Board candidates to be proposed for election by the stockholders at each annual meeting;

seeks out and assists in the recruitment of highly qualified candidates to serve on the Board;

recommends for Board approval candidates to fill vacancies on the Board which occur between annual meetings;

develops, periodically reviews and recommends to the Board revisions to the Guidelines;

studies and reviews with management the overall effectiveness of the organization of the Board and the conduct of its business, and makes appropriate recommendations to the Board;

reviews the overall relationship of the Board and management;

reviews issues and developments pertaining to corporate governance; and

annually evaluates its performance.

# **Executive Committee**

Pursuant to its charter, the Executive Committee possesses the authority to exercise all the powers of the Board of Directors except as otherwise provided by Delaware law and our Bylaws during intervals between meetings of the Board. The Executive Committee does not have the power, to, among other things, declare a dividend, issue stock, adopt a certificate of merger or sell substantially all of the Company s business.

# **Investment Committee**

Pursuant to its charter, the Investment Committee:

establishes investment objectives and policies for our various investment portfolios and investment options available under various employee benefit plans;

reviews investment results; and

annually evaluates its performance.

# **Corporate Governance Policies**

**Majority Vote Policy** 

Under our Bylaws, a director nominee will be elected if the number of votes cast for the nominee exceeds the number of votes cast against the nominee. In contested elections, those in which a stockholder has nominated a person for election to the Board, the voting standard is a plurality of votes cast. The Board has also adopted a policy to require the Board to nominate for election only nominees who agree that, if they are elected to the Board, they will tender an irrevocable resignation conditioned on, first, the failure to achieve the required vote for re-election at any future meeting at which they face re-election, and second, the Board s acceptance of their resignation following that election. In addition, the Board may fill director vacancies and new directorships only with candidates who agree to tender, promptly following their appointment to the Board, the same form of resignation tendered by other directors, as described above. The Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee will submit a recommendation for prompt consideration by the Board whether to accept the resignation. Any Director whose resignation is under consideration will abstain from participating in any decision regarding that resignation. The Bylaws also require stockholder nominees for director election to notify the Company whether or not such nominees intend to tender the same type of resignation required of the Board s director nominees.

### Change in Director s Primary Position

The Board has adopted a policy requiring that a director whose primary position or affiliation changes must promptly notify the Board and the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee of the change so that a determination may be made as to the value of his or her continued service on the Board.

### **Director Stock Ownership Policy**

Our Board believes that directors should be stockholders and have a significant personal financial stake in the company. Consequently, effective July 2, 2012, the Board replaced its former hold until retirement stock retention policy with the following revised stock ownership guidelines:

Each non-employee director must maintain a minimum equity ownership level of five times the annual cash retainer.

Share deferred at the election of the director are considered owned for purposes of the calculation of the ownership requirement.

Any shares owned by a non-employee director (or shares received upon the exercise of options or vesting of restricted stock, less an amount to cover the exercise price and/or current tax liabilities) must be held by the director until the minimum equity ownership level is reached and thereafter maintained.

Once the minimum equity ownership level has been achieved, any shares received upon the vesting of restricted stock, less an amount to cover current tax liabilities, must be held by the director until one year following the vesting date. Compliance with these guidelines is monitored by the Organization & Compensation Committee of the Board.

### **Director Attendance**

The Board has developed a number of specific expectations of directors to define their responsibilities and to promote the efficient conduct of the Board s business. With respect to the level of commitment expected of directors and related attendance protocols, as part of the Guidelines, the Board formally adopted a policy that all directors should make every effort to attend all meetings of the Board and the Committees of which they are members, and the Company s Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Attendance by telephone or video conference may be used to facilitate a Director s attendance.

During 2012, the Board of Directors met ten times. All directors attended at least 75% of the scheduled Board of Directors meetings and meetings held by Committees of which they were members. All director nominees who were directors in April 2012, attended the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held April 26, 2012, except Mr. D Amelio, Chief Financial Officer for Pfizer, Inc., who had a conflict with the Pfizer, Inc. Annual Shareholders meeting.

### **Executive Sessions of Non-Management Directors**

In 2012, our non-management directors held regularly scheduled, formal executive meetings, separate from management. Additional executive sessions of the Board are held as necessary or appropriate or upon the request of the Lead Director, the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee or any two other non-management directors. In addition, our non-management directors who qualify as independent within the meaning of our director independence guidelines meet in executive session at least once annually, and, in fact, met in 2012 in connection with each regularly scheduled Board of Directors meeting.

Effective January 1, 2013, executive sessions of our non-management directors are led by our Chairman, while executive sessions of our independent directors are led by our Lead Director, who is required by our Corporate Governance Guidelines to be an independent director.

### **Code of Ethics and Code of Business Conduct**

The Company has adopted the Code of Ethics for the Chief Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers, which we refer to as the Executive Code of Ethics, violations of which are reported to the Audit Committee. The Executive Code of Ethics may be viewed on our website at <u>www.humana.com</u>. Any amendment to or waiver of the application of the Executive Code of Ethics will be disclosed within four days of the amendment or waiver on our website at <u>www.humana.com</u>. To see either the Executive Code of Ethics or any amendments or waivers, go to <u>www.humana.com</u> website, then click on Investor Relations, then click on Corporate Governance, and then click on the relevant links.

In addition, we operate under the omnibus Humana Inc. Principles of Business Ethics, which we refer to as the Principles of Business Ethics, which applies to all associates (including executive officers) and directors. The Humana Ethics Office is responsible for the design and enforcement of our ethics policies. The Humana Ethics Office has created an Ethics Plan, the goal of which is to create a workplace climate in which ethics is so integral to day-to-day operations that ethical behavior is self-enforcing. All employees are required annually to review and affirm in writing their acceptance of the Principles of Business Ethics. The Principles of Business Ethics must be made by the Board of Directors, and will be disclosed within four days of the waiver on our website at <u>www.humana.com</u>. To see either the Principles of Business Ethics or any waivers, go to <u>www.humana.com</u>, then click on Investor Relations, then click on Corporate Governance, and then click on the relevant links.

### **Policy Regarding Transactions in Company Securities**

The Company has historically prohibited executive officers from engaging in hedging transactions using Company stock. In 2010, the Board adopted a policy prohibiting all associates (including executive officers) from: (1) engaging in short sales of Company securities; or (2) engaging in transactions in puts, calls or other derivative securities designed to hedge or offset any decrease in the market value of the Company s equity securities, on an exchange or in any other organized market. This policy also applies to all directors.

### **Communication with Directors**

Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate directly with our Chairman, Lead Director, non-management directors as a group, or any other individual director by writing to the special e-mail address published on our website at <u>www.humana.com</u>. Specifically, interested parties may visit our website at <u>http://apps.humana.com/bod/contact.asp</u>, where instructions for contacting these persons are available. All directors have access to this e-mail address. We use the staff of our Corporate Secretary to review correspondence received in this manner, and to filter advertisements, solicitations, spam, and other such items. Concerns related to accounting, internal controls or auditing matters are required to be brought immediately to the attention of our General Counsel and the Board and handled in accordance with procedures established by the Audit Committee with respect to such matters.

## **PROPOSAL ONE: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

The Board of Directors of the Company, in accordance with the provisions of the Company s Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws, has determined that the number of directors to be elected at the Annual Meeting of the Company shall be eleven. The directors are elected to hold office until the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2014 and until a successor is elected and qualified.

Each of the nominees has consented to be named as a nominee and agreed to serve if elected. If any nominee becomes unable to serve for any reason (which is not anticipated), the Shares represented by proxy may be voted for the substituted nominee as may be designated by the Board of Directors.

The following table shows certain information concerning the nominees at March 1, 2013.

Name	Age	Position	First Elected Director
Bruce D. Broussard	50	Director and President and Chief Executive Officer	01/13
Frank A. D Amelio	55	Director	09/03
W. Roy Dunbar	51	Director	04/05
Kurt J. Hilzinger	52	Lead Director	07/03
David A. Jones, Jr.	55	Director	05/93
Michael B. McCallister	60	Chairman of the Board	02/00
William J. McDonald	56	Director	10/07
William E. Mitchell	68	Director	04/09
David B. Nash, M.D.	57	Director	01/10
James J. O Brien	58	Director	04/06
Marissa T. Peterson	51	Director	08/08

### **Director Skills & Qualifications**

In evaluating a director candidate, the Committee considers factors that are in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders, including the knowledge, experience, integrity and judgment of each candidate, the potential contribution of each candidate to the diversity of backgrounds, experience and competencies which the Board desires to have represented, each candidate s ability to devote sufficient time and effort to his or her duties as a director, independence and willingness to consider all strategic proposals, and any core competencies or technical expertise necessary to staff Board committees. In addition, the Committee assesses whether a candidate possesses the integrity, business judgment, knowledge, experience, skills and expertise that are likely to enhance the Board s ability to manage and direct the affairs and business of the Company. We believe that the current Board members not only have and demonstrate these attributes, but also have a deep commitment to the Company s success, as evidenced by the key qualifications, skills and experiences of each director described below.

The information given in this Proxy Statement concerning the nominees is based upon statements made or confirmed to the Company by or on behalf of the nominees.

**Director Nominees Biographies** 

**Bruce D. Broussard** was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and elected to our Board of Directors, in each case effective January 1, 2013, completing a year-long transition plan to the Chief Executive Officer role. Mr. Broussard joined Humana as President in December 2011. Prior to joining Humana, Mr. Broussard was Chief Executive Officer of McKesson Specialty/US Oncology, Inc. (US Oncology was purchased by McKesson in December 2010). At US Oncology, he served in a number of senior executive roles, including Chief Financial Officer, President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board. Mr. Broussard is also a member of the Business Roundtable and a member of the Board of Directors of America s Health Insurance Plans (AHIP), also serving on AHIP s Executive Committee.

The Board believes that Mr. Broussard s wide range of executive leadership experience in publicly traded and private organizations within a variety of healthcare sectors, including oncology, pharmaceuticals, assisted living/senior housing, home care, physician practice management, surgical centers, and dental networks, brings valuable insight to the Board.

**Frank A. D** Amelio was initially elected to the Board in September 2003. He is Executive Vice President, Business Operations and Chief Financial Officer of Pfizer Inc, having held this position since December 2010, and having served as Chief Financial Officer since September 2007. Prior to that, Mr. D Amelio was Senior Executive Vice President of Integration and Chief Administrative Officer at Alcatel-Lucent from December 2006 to August 2007, and Chief Operating Officer of Lucent Technologies Inc. from March 2006 to November 2006. From May 2001 until February 2006, he was Executive Vice President, Administration and Chief Financial Officer of Lucent. Mr. D Amelio also serves as the Chairman of the Board of Zoetis, Inc. and on the board of directors of the Independent College Fund of New Jersey.

The Board believes that Mr. D Amelio s skills, global experience and proven leadership in both financial and operational roles contribute greatly to the Board s composition. As a senior executive at various global companies undergoing the kind of rapid and complex changes that the Company has undertaken in response to the rapidly changing markets and regulatory environment, Mr. D Amelio has extensive knowledge of the capital markets as well as broad experience working with the investment community, regulatory bodies and rating agencies.

**W. Roy Dunbar** was initially elected to the Board in April 2005. Mr. Dunbar was the Chairman of the Board of NetworkSolutions, and was the Chief Executive Officer from January 2008 to November 2009. Mr. Dunbar also served as the President of Global Technology and Operations for MasterCard Incorporated from September 2004 until January 2008. Mr. Dunbar worked at Eli Lilly and Company for 14 years, latterly as President of Intercontinental Operations from 2003 until he joined MasterCard, and Chief Information Officer from 1999 to 2003. Mr. Dunbar also serves on the Board of Directors of iGate Corporation and Lexmark International.

The Board believes that Mr. Dunbar s innovative, consumer-focused approach to information technology at a variety of global companies brings a valuable advantage to the Board. The Board benefits from Mr. Dunbar s expertise in leading companies focused on the development of information systems that are easy for consumers to understand and use effectively, which is critical to the Company s extension of its position as a leader in health care information technology. Mr. Dunbar s extensive experience in health care over three decades further contributes to the strategic composition of the Board.

**Kurt J. Hilzinger** was initially elected to the Board in July 2003, and was elected as Lead Director in August 2010. Mr. Hilzinger is a Partner at Court Square Capital Partners, LP, having held this position since November 2007. Prior to that, he was a Director of AmerisourceBergen Corporation from March 2004 to November 2007; and was also President and Chief Operating Officer of AmerisourceBergen Corporation from October 2002 to November 2007, having previously served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of AmerisourceBergen Corporation from August 2001 to October 2002.

The Board believes that Mr. Hilzinger is a strong operating executive with a finance and strategic background, whose operational experience and financial expertise in the health care sector contributes valuable insight to the Board.

**David A. Jones, Jr.** was initially elected to the Board in May 1993 and served as Chairman of the Board of the Company from April 2005 through August 2010, and Vice Chairman of the Board from September 1996 through April 2005. He is Chairman of Chrysalis Ventures, LLC, headquartered in Louisville, Kentucky.

As a successful venture capitalist, the Board believes that Mr. Jones brings strategic insight and leadership and a wealth of experience in health care to the Board, both in the Company s core businesses as well as in emerging technologies and business models.

**Michael B. McCallister** was initially elected to the Board in February 2000 and has served as Chairman of the Board since August 2010. Mr. McCallister was Chief Executive Officer of the Company from February 2000 until his retirement on December 31, 2012. Mr. McCallister joined the Company in June 1974. Mr. McCallister also serves on the Board of Directors of Fifth Third Bancorp, Zoetis, Inc. and AT&T Inc.

As a 38-year veteran of the Company, the Board believes that Mr. McCallister brings an unparalleled depth of experience in the health care sector combined with an intimate knowledge of the operational, financial and strategic development of the Company.

**William J. McDonald** was initially elected to the Board in October 2007. Mr. McDonald is Executive Vice President, Brand Management of Capital One Financial Corporation, having held that position since 1998.

The Board believes that Mr. McDonald s service in various senior executive marketing positions contributes significant experience and expertise in marketing and related disciplines.

**William E. Mitchell** was initially elected to the Board in April 2009. Mr. Mitchell is the managing partner of Sequel Capital Management, LLC. Prior to that, Mr. Mitchell served as the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Arrow Electronics, Inc. from May 2006 until December 31, 2009, and also served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Arrow Electronics, Inc. from February 2003 to May 1, 2009. Mr. Mitchell also serves on the Board of Directors of Brown-Forman Corporation, Rogers Corporation, and Spansion, Inc.

The Board believes that Mr. Mitchell s insights and experience running a complex global public company, as well as his significant experience in the governance of large publicly-traded corporations, will be valuable in helping to guide the Company in the years ahead.

**David B. Nash, M.D.** was initially elected to the Board effective January 1, 2010. He is the founding dean of the Jefferson School of Population Health, located on the campus of Thomas Jefferson University in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, having taken that position in 2008. Previously, Dr. Nash was the Chairman of the Department of Health Policy of the Jefferson Medical College from 2003 until 2008. Dr. Nash also serves on the board of directors of Endo Health Solutions and the medical advisory board of Medivo Inc., and previously served on the boards of Itrax Corporation and InforMedix.

The Board believes that Dr. Nash brings a unique and compelling set of attributes that enhance the Company s ability to help people achieve lifelong well-being. As a widely recognized innovator in an emerging medical discipline that unites population health, health policy, and individual health, Dr. Nash is internationally recognized for his work in outcomes management, medical staff development and quality-of-care improvement.

**James J. O Brien** was initially elected to the Board in April 2006. Since 2002, Mr. O Brien has been the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Ashland Inc. Prior to being named to this position, Mr. O Brien was President and Chief Operating Officer of Ashland Inc., and before that, Senior Vice President and Group Operating Officer. He currently serves on the Board of Directors of Ashland Inc. and Albemarle Corporation.

As a highly respected leader in the global business community with an extraordinary track record of success, the Board believes that Mr. O Brien s breadth of management experience adds valuable expertise and insight to the Board.

**Marissa T. Peterson** was initially elected to the Board in August 2008. Ms. Peterson was formerly Executive Vice President, Worldwide Operations, Services & Customer Advocacy for Sun Microsystems Inc. in Santa Clara, California, until her retirement in 2005 after 17 years with the company. She currently runs an executive coaching practice focused on helping grow and develop leaders in the high-technology space. Ms. Peterson currently serves on the board of directors for Ansell Limited, Oclaro Inc. and Quantros, and previously served on the board of directors of SUPERVALU INC., and Lucile Packard Children s Hospital at Stanford, and the board of trustees of Kettering University.

The Board believes that Ms. Peterson s operating and consumer-focused leadership, and experience developing and managing programs designed to help companies reduce the time, cost and risk of transforming their businesses by leveraging technology to architect, implement and maintain customers network computing infrastructures, bring valuable insights to the Board. Her commitment to a customer first ethic at Sun Microsystems Inc. established an industry leadership position for high quality and cost-effective product execution to a global customer base, a commitment that aligns with the Company s focus on consumerism.

### Vote Required and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

A director nominee will be elected if the number of votes cast for the nominee exceeds the number of votes cast against the nominee. Shares not present at the Annual Meeting and shares voting abstain or broker non-votes have no effect on the election of directors. Under the Company s Majority Vote Policy, following election to our Board of Directors, a director is required to submit his or her irrevocable resignation to our Board of Directors, conditioned upon (i) the director not achieving the requisite stockholder vote at any future meeting at which they face re-election, and (ii) acceptance of the resignation by the Board of Directors following that election. The Board of Directors has 90 days to determine whether or not to accept the director s resignation and to report this information to our stockholders.

# FOR THE REASONS STATED ABOVE, THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR ALL NOMINEES.

## **DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

### 2012 Director Compensation Program

During 2012, our directors were compensated pursuant to the following schedule:

Annual Retainer <sup>(1)</sup>	\$85,000
Non-Employee Chairman of the Board	
Additional Annual Retainer <sup>(2)</sup>	\$160,000
Lead Independent Director	
Additional Annual Retainer	\$25,000
Committee Chairman fee per year:	
1. Audit Committee Chair	\$25,000
2. Organization & Compensation Committee Chair	\$18,000
3. All other Committee Chairs	\$12,000
Executive Committee Member fee per year	\$12,000
Common Stock per year	\$140,000 in common stock
(1st Business Day of January) <sup>(1)(3)</sup>	(variable # of shares)
Charitable Contributions Annual Match	up to \$25,000
Group Life and Accidental Death Insurance	
(except Chairman)	\$150,000 of coverage
Group Life and Accidental Death Insurance Chairman	\$400,000 of coverage
Business Travel Accident Insurance	\$250,000 of coverage
Restricted Stock Units	
	Restricted Stock Unit grant equal to the dollar value of the
Granted Initial Date of Election <sup>(4)</sup>	then current annual stock grant for directors

(1) As an employee director elected to the Board effective January 1, 2013, Mr. Broussard will not receive the annual retainer or annual stock grant for service as a director. For all other directors, effective January 1, 2013, the annual common stock retainer will be paid in the form of restricted stock units and will be pro-rated for any service of less than the full year in respect of which the award is granted.

(2) Effective January 1, 2013, in accordance with our letter agreement with Mr. McCallister regarding his retirement as Chief Executive Officer, the additional annual retainer for service as non-employee Chairman of the Board was increased to \$175,000. In addition, Mr. McCallister is provided (a) office space at one of our existing facilities in Louisville, Kentucky and (b) administrative and other customer support, in each case as necessary to perform his duties as Chairman.

- (3) Effective July 1, 2012, pursuant to our revised Directors Stock Retention Policy, each non-employee director must maintain a minimum equity ownership level of five times the annual cash retainer. For additional information, please refer to *Corporate Governance Corporate Governance Director Stock Ownership Guidelines* in this proxy statement.
- (4) This initial award of Restricted Stock Units is forfeited if the director serves less than one year on the Company s Board of Directors.

### 2012 Compensation of Our Director Nominees

The following table shows the compensation earned by our non-employee director nominees (other than Mr. McCallister as discussed in footnote 1) in 2012 in connection with their service on our Board of Directors:

	Fees Earned or			Non-Equity	Change in Pension		
	Paid in		Option	Incentive Plan	Value and Nonqualified Deferred	All Other	
Name	Cash	Stock	Awards	Compensation	Compensation	Compensation	Total
(1)	(\$)(2)	Awards	(\$)(5)	(\$)(6)	Earnings(\$)(7)	(\$)(8)	(\$)
	<b>a</b> >	(\$)(2)(3)(4)			( <b>B</b> )		
(a) Frank A. D Amelio	( <b>b</b> ) 110,000	(c) 156,877	( <b>d</b> )	(e)	( <b>f</b> )	(g) 39,389	( <b>h</b> ) 306,266
W. Roy Dunbar	97,000	156,877				12,577	266,454
Kurt J. Hilzinger	122,000	154,342				42,169	318,511
David A. Jones, Jr.	109,000	144,812			16,734	30,896	301,442
William J. McDonald	103,000	157,704			10,701	25,785	286,489
William E. Mitchell	85,000	148,954				28,552	262,506
David B. Nash, M.D.	85,000	145,707				25,785	256,492
James J. O Brien	85,000	154,089				27,018	266,107
Marissa T. Peterson	85,000	148,954				4,053	238,007

- (1) During 2012, Mr. McCallister served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and therefore, as an employee director, did not earn compensation in connection with his service on our Board. Mr. McCallister s compensation as our Chief Executive Officer is discussed under Executive Compensation in this proxy statement.
- (2) Under the Humana Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors, which we refer to as the Deferred Compensation Plan, non-employee directors may make an irrevocable election each year to defer compensation paid to them by the Company in the form of cash or stock for services rendered as Board members. For 2012, all of our non-employee directors, with the exception of Mr. Jones, deferred their stock compensation. A director electing to defer cash can choose any of the investment options offered in the Deferred Compensation Plan using Charles Schwab s Retirement Plan Services (other than the Humana Common Stock Fund) or can invest in stock units that have a value relative to that of our common stock. For 2012, Messrs. McDonald and Hilzinger and Dr. Nash each elected to defer a portion or all of their cash compensation under the Schwab program.
- (3) On January 3, 2012, when the fair market value of our common stock was \$88.67 each director in office at that time, other than Mr. McCallister, was granted a stock award of 1,579 shares, representing the annual grant of \$140,000 in common stock. The amount shown in column (c) above is the grant-date fair market value times the number of shares awarded, as further discussed under Compensation Policies Based on Certain Tax and Accounting Rules, plus any dividends or dividend equivalent units accrued on such shares.

- (4) Through 2012, vested RSUs with a payout deferral made by the director accrue quarterly dividends that are reinvested into the director s account as additional RSUs and will be included in the final RSU payment when the shares are issued in accordance with the director s payout election. This column includes dividend equivalent units that have accrued through December 31, 2012.
- (5) Non-Employee Directors did not receive any stock options as part of the 2012 Directors Compensation Program.
- (6) Non-Employee Directors did not receive any non-equity incentive plan compensation as part of the 2012 Directors Compensation Program.
- (7) A director who is not an employee must retire at the annual meeting following his or her seventy-third birthday. Non-employee directors elected subsequent to 1997 do not receive any retirement benefits. As he was first elected to the board in 1993, David A. Jones, Jr. is the only director nominee that will have retirement benefits under this former retirement policy, including: (A) at the director s election, either: (x) an annual retirement benefit for the life of the director in the amount of \$38,000, the annual retainer fee in effect for 1997; or (y) in lieu thereof, an actuarially equivalent joint and survivor annuity payment; and (B) an annual matching charitable contribution benefit of \$19,000 for the life of the director. The Retirement Plan present values were determined based on a discount rate of 3.75% and a post-retirement mortality assumption using the RP-2000 Mortality Tables for males and females projected to 2013, with 100% white collar adjustment. No pre-retirement mortality is assumed.

(8) We pay for or reimburse our directors travel, lodging and other reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in connection with attendance at board, committee and stockholder meetings. From time to time, we may transport one or more directors to and from such meetings or other Company business on company aircraft. We also reimburse the director for other reasonable expenses related to board service, such as director education, which amounts are not included in the table above. In addition, we paid certain local occupational taxes and life and accidental death insurance premiums per outside director, in each case as disclosed below, and provided a matching charitable gift program. Directors may elect to participate in the medical and dental benefit programs offered to all our employees at a comparable rate as paid by employees. In 2012, Messrs. Dunbar and Mitchell, and Ms. Peterson, elected to participate. The All Other Compensation amount above includes the following amounts earned in connection with service on our Board of Directors:

Director	Matching aritable Gift	Occ	cupational Tax	Life trance	Total Il Other npensation
Frank A. D Amelio	\$ 20,867	\$	17,748	\$ 774	\$ 39,389
W. Roy Dunbar	\$ 10,750	\$	1,413	\$ 414	\$ 12,577
Kurt J. Hilzinger	\$ 25,000	\$	16,755	\$ 414	\$ 42,169
David A. Jones, Jr.	\$ 25,000	\$	5,482	\$ 414	\$ 30,896
William J. McDonald	\$ 25,000	\$	11	\$ 774	\$ 25,785
William E. Mitchell	\$ 25,000	\$	1,266	\$ 2,286	\$ 28,552
David B. Nash, M.D.	\$ 25,000	\$	11	\$ 774	\$ 25,785
James J. O Brien	\$ 25,000	\$	1,244	\$ 774	\$ 27,018
Marissa T. Peterson	\$ 2,400	\$	1,239	\$ 414	\$ 4,053

### **Outstanding Equity Outside Director Nominees**

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2012 on the stock options held by our non-employee director nominees (other than Mr. McCallister) as of February 1, 2013 (the Company s Director Compensation Program currently does not award stock options to non-employee directors, and only Messrs. D Amelio and Jones currently hold stock options granted under a former director compensation program).

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Awards Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$/Sh)	Option Expiration Date
( <b>a</b> ) <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>(b)</b>	( <b>c</b> )	( <b>d</b> )	(e)	( <b>f</b> )
Frank A. D Amelio	2,500			23.0500	01/02/2014 <sup>(2)</sup>
David A. Jones, Jr.	2,500			23.0500	01/02/2014 <sup>(2)</sup>

(1) During 2012, Mr. McCallister served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Mr. McCallister s outstanding equity, awarded for service as an employee of the Company rather than for his service on our Board of Directors, is discussed under Executive Compensation in this proxy statement.

(2) Options granted on January 2, 2004, pursuant to the Company s 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, shares are fully vested. The following table sets forth the restricted stock units held (or deferred) by our non-employee director nominees (other than Mr. McCallister) as of the date indicated (see Footnote 2 to the table entitled 2012 Compensation of Our Director Nominees in this proxy statement):

	December 31, 2012
Frank A. D Amelio	18,631
W. Roy Dunbar	18,750
Kurt J. Hilzinger	16,116
David A. Jones, Jr.	5,117
William J. McDonald	28,217
William E. Mitchell	17,832
David B. Nash, M.D.	14,417
James J. O Brien	23,484
Marissa T. Peterson	17,856

Effective December 31, 2012, Mr. McCallister retired as Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Mr. McCallister s outstanding restricted stock units, awarded for service as an employee of the Company rather than for his service on our Board of Directors, is discussed under Executive Compensation in this proxy statement.

## STOCK OWNERSHIP INFORMATION

### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act, requires our directors and executive officers, and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities, to file with the SEC and the NYSE reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our common stock and our other equity securities. These reports generally are due within two business days of the transaction. Executive officers, directors, and greater than ten percent stockholders are required to furnish us with copies of all the forms they file.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, based upon our knowledge of stock transfers, a review of copies of these reports and written representations by persons subject to Section 16(a) as furnished to us, all executive officers, directors, and greater than ten percent beneficial owners of our common stock complied with Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to us. We have a program to oversee the compliance of our executive officers and directors in their reporting obligations.

### Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners of Company Common Stock

We know of no person or entity that may be deemed to own beneficially more than 5% of our outstanding common stock except for:

	Number of Shares	Percent of Class Outstanding
BlackRock, Inc.		
40 East 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street		
New York, New York 10022	14,226,679 shares	8.99% <sup>(1)(2)</sup>
JP Morgan Chase & Co.		
270 Park Avenue		
New York, New York 10017	11,091,319 shares	$7.01\%^{(1)(3)}$

(1) The percentage of ownership is based on 158,331,865 shares of our common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2012.

(2) Based upon a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC for the period ended December 31, 2012, BlackRock, Inc. reports that through various subsidiaries, it has sole power to vote 14,226,679 shares and has dispositive power over 14,226,679 shares.

(3)

Based upon a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC for the period ended December 31, 2012, JP Morgan Chase & Co. reports that through various subsidiaries, it has sole power to vote 10,065,167 shares, shared power to vote 230,252 shares, sole dispositive power over 10,832,029 shares, and shared dispositive power over 259,175 shares.

### Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers

The following table shows stock ownership as of January 15, 2013 by (i) each of our directors, (ii) Michael B. McCallister, our Chief Executive Officer during 2012, (iii) James H. Bloem, our Chief Financial Officer, (iv) each of our three other highest compensated executive officers serving at December 31, 2012, (we collectively refer to these officers in this proxy statement as our Named Executive Officers), and (iv) by all our directors and executive officers as a group.

	Company Common Stock Beneficially Owned as of January 15, 2013 (1)(2)	Percent of Class as of January 15, 2013 (3)
Michael B. McCallister	779,352	
Frank A. D Amelio	20,829	
W. Roy Dunbar	9,687	
Kurt J. Hilzinger	17,422	
David A. Jones, Jr.	159,439	
William J. McDonald	250	
William E. Mitchell	100	
David B. Nash, M.D.	112	
James J. O Brien	1,000	
Marissa T. Peterson	1,347	
Bruce D. Broussard	117,053	
James H. Bloem	119,191	
James E. Murray	198,596	
Paul B.K. Kusserow	61,690	
All directors and executive officers as a group (23 in number, including		
those named above)	1,814,880	1.15%

(1) Beneficial ownership of Shares, for purposes of this proxy statement, includes Shares as to which a person has or shares voting and/or investment power. Therefore, any restricted stock for which a person has voting power and all share equivalents in the Humana Retirement Savings Plan are included. These footnotes describe whenever an individual shares voting and/or investment power over the Shares beneficially owned by them.

The number of Shares listed:

- (a) Includes certain Share equivalents held for the benefit of the individuals in the Humana Retirement Savings Plan as of December 31, 2012, over which the employee participant has voting power and investment power. As of December 31, 2012, our Named Executive Officers did not hold any such Share equivalents in the Humana Retirement Savings Plan, while all of our executive officers as a group (13 in number) held 17,952 such Share equivalents.
- (b) Includes unvested restricted stock unit awards which are scheduled to vest within 60 days of January 15, 2013. As of January 15, 2013, our Named Executive Officers did not hold any such unvested restricted stock units, and all of our executive officers as a group (13 in number) held 24,262 such unvested restricted stock units.

(c) Includes Shares which may be acquired by these individuals through the exercise of options, which are exercisable currently or within 60 days after January 15, 2013 under the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan. They are as follows:

Michael B. McCallister	468,773
Frank A. D Amelio	2,500
W. Roy Dunbar	0
Kurt J. Hilzinger	0
David A. Jones, Jr.	2,500
William J. McDonald	0
William E. Mitchell	0
David B. Nash, M.D.	0
James J. O Brien	0
Marissa T. Peterson	0
Bruce D. Broussard	53,767
James H. Bloem	75,621
James E. Murray	86,595
Paul B.K. Kusserow	44,498
All directors and executive officers as a group (numbering 23, including those named herein)	878,595

(d) Does not include stock awards to certain of our director nominees that have been deferred pursuant to our Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors. These deferred stock awards include the initial award of 7,500 restricted stock units to each of Messrs. McDonald, Mitchell and O Brien, Dr. Nash and Ms. Peterson when first elected a director, which by its terms must be held until the recipient is no longer serving on our Board of Directors. As of January 15, 2013, the Shares deferred were as follows (includes accrued dividend equivalent units on deferred shares and deferred cash that was invested in the Humana Common Stock Fund):

	December 31,
	2012
Frank A. D Amelio	18,631
W. Roy Dunbar	18,750
Kurt J. Hilzinger	16,116
David A. Jones, Jr.	5,117
William J. McDonald	28,218
William E. Mitchell	17,832
David B. Nash, M.D.	14,417
James J. O Brien	23,484
Marissa T. Peterson	17,856

- (e) Does not include the January 2, 2013 annual stock retainer of 2,026 restricted stock units granted to each of our director nominees (other than Mr. Broussard) pursuant to our 2013 director compensation program, which restricted stock units will vest at the end 2013.
- (2) As of March 1, 2013, no shares of stock are pledged by any of our executive officers or directors.
- (3) Unless indicated, less than 1% of the class.

## **COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis presents in detail our compensation policies and practices, describing each element of compensation and the decision-making process that supports it. It addresses how we compensate our Named Executive Officers, and how we uphold our compensation philosophy through a governance system that includes internal oversight as well as expert independent outside review. We believe that our compensation policies and practices achieve our compensation goals, and that the total mix of compensation provided to our Named Executive Officers is consistent with a philosophy of motivation and reward for actual achievements.

## **Executive Summary**

### Humana s Compensation Philosophy

The compensation program for our Named Executive Officers supports our philosophy that compensation should be market-based, competency-paced and contribution-driven. Our compensation programs are designed to challenge participants as well as reward them for superior performance for our Company and our stockholders. In furtherance of this philosophy, we believe that our compensation program must:

Be competitive to attract, motivate and retain highly qualified executives;

Provide appropriate rewards for outstanding financial and individual performance in support of our business strategy; and

Align executives interests with those of our stockholders by including a significant portion of executive pay that is at risk in the form of both annual incentive awards that are paid, if at all, based on Company performance, and, in the case of longer term incentive awards, tied closely to increases in the Company s stock price.

### Humana s 2012 Performance

Humana, one of the country s leading health care companies, offers a wide range of insurance products and health and wellness services that incorporate an integrated approach to lifelong well-being. By leveraging the strengths of our core businesses, we believe we can better explore opportunities for existing and emerging adjacencies in health care that can further enhance wellness opportunities for the millions of people across the nation with whom we have relationships.

Our 2012 earnings per share of \$7.47 reflect the continued implementation of our strategy to enhance our integrated care delivery model, our approach to primary care physician-directed care for our members that aims to provide quality care that is consistent, integrated, cost-effective and member-focused. The model is designed to improve health outcomes and affordability for individuals and for the health system as a whole, while offering our members a simple, seamless health care experience. Our operational discipline led to significant Medicare membership growth, further progress on our 15 percent solution (our commitment to provide Medicare medical benefits for at least 15 percent less than it costs the federal government for comparable benefits in traditional Medicare, with the same or better quality) and continued focus on prudent administrative spending. Revenues increased by 6% to \$39.1 billion from \$36.8 billion in 2011. Medical membership of 12.1 million at December 31, 2012 increased 8.1% from 11.2 million a year earlier.

### Humana s Compensation Program: Strong Corporate Governance

Highlighted below are some of the key elements of our compensation program that the Board s Organization & Compensation Committee, which we refer to as the Committee, believes evidence strong corporate governance and prudent compensation decisions:

*Pay for Performance.* The key elements of direct executive compensation salary, cash incentives, and equity awards are designed to put a substantial portion of executive pay at risk to motivate and challenge our executives to achieve positive returns for our stockholders. In 2012, 80% of the direct compensation of our named executive officers was at risk, in the form of annual incentive and long-term incentive compensation. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, we reported earnings per share of \$7.47, above management s expectations for the year of \$7.25 to \$7.35.

*Internal and External Benchmarking.* We benchmark our executive compensation both against other publicly traded companies that are comparable to us and within our company to ensure relativity of competitive pay between all executive officers.

*Recoupment.* Our clawback provision allows us to recoup cash-based incentives earned by an executive officer in the event of a material restatement of the Company s financials as a result of misconduct or fraud on the part of that executive officer.

*Committee Independence*. All members of the Committee are independent according to SEC and NYSE independence standards. Our independent compensation consultant, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc., or Cook, is retained directly by and reports to the Committee, and provides no additional services to the Company.

*Stock Ownership Guidelines*. Our stock ownership guidelines for executive officers links a significant amount of each executive s current and potential future net worth to the Company s success, as reflected in the stock price, to give the executive a stake similar to that of our stockholders. According to the guidelines, any shares owned by an executive officer (or shares received upon the exercise of options or vesting of restricted stock, less an amount to cover current tax liabilities) must be held by the executive officer until the relevant ownership level is reached and thereafter maintained.

*Hedging.* The Company prohibits all associates, including executive officers, from: (1) engaging in short sales of Company securities; or (2) engaging in transactions in puts, calls or other derivative securities designed to hedge or offset any decrease in the market value of the Company securities, on an exchange or in any other organized market.

### **Our Compensation Program**

### **Compensation Philosophy**

Our Named Executive Officer compensation includes direct compensation that is:

<u>Market-based</u> Competitive with our peer group and general industry standards, with total compensation targeted on average at market medians, but typically ranging from the  $25^{\text{th}}$  to  $75^{\text{th}}$  percentiles, depending on the level of competency and contribution;

<u>Competency-paced</u> Flexible enough to match the progress of fast-rising performers but resistant to salary advancement for those whose competency level has remained static; and

<u>Contribution-driven</u> Reward those who make a difference, creating meaningful compensation distinctions among different levels of performance and achievement, while avoiding annual compensation actions that foster an entitlement mentality.

Named Executive Officer compensation also includes indirect compensation in the form of a mix of cost-effective benefit programs that promote security and well-being, including health benefits, life and disability coverage that provides income protection, retirement plans, and services accessed or purchased on a group basis to assist in the maintenance of an appropriate work/life balance.

In determining compensation for our Named Executive Officers, other than our Chief Executive Officer, the Committee solicits input from the Chief Executive Officer regarding the duties and responsibilities of the other Named Executive Officers and the results of his evaluations of their performance. The Chief Executive Officer, with guidance from the Company s Human Resources Department, discusses with the Committee the Chief Executive Officer s recommendations for the compensation of his direct reports and the rationale for those recommendations. The Committee s independent consultant, Cook, provides guidance to the Committee when determining the compensation of the Chief Executive Officers. The Committee independently makes all compensation decisions.

### **Compensation Risk Determination**

In 2012, the Organization & Compensation Committee assessed the risks associated with the Company s compensation practices and policies for employees, including a consideration of the counterbalance of risk-taking incentives and risk-mitigating factors in Company practices and policies. Following this assessment, the Organization & Compensation Committee determined that the risks arising from the Company s compensation practices and policies are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

### **External Benchmarking**

We benchmark our compensation practices to other publicly traded companies that are comparable to us in significant ways. For Named Executive Officers, we use comparisons from competitors within our peer group, based on revenue size and market capitalization, as well as our closest industry competitors. Using different screening criteria (e.g., line of business, industry, market cap, etc.) yields multiple perspectives that enrich our understanding of competitive executive pay practices. Company comparators are reviewed every year to ensure continued appropriateness of our compensation program. We believe benchmarking with reference to comparable companies provides the Company with the most comprehensive means of ensuring that our senior-level compensation is market-competitive.

We believe our peer group is comprised of companies with whom we compete for talent and whose revenues, market capitalization, and business focus are similar to ours. For 2012 compensation determinations, the Committee approved the following peer group of public companies to serve as our peer group:

Aetna Inc.	CNA Financial Corporation
AFLAC Inc.	Coventry Health Care, Inc.
Allstate Corp.	Express Scripts, Inc.
CIGNA Corporation	Genworth Financial Corp.

Health Net, Inc. MedcoHealth Solutions, Inc. Principal Financial Group, Inc. Progressive Corp. The Travelers Companies, Inc. UnitedHealth Group, Inc. Unum Group Wellpoint, Inc.

In October 2012, the Committee approved updates to this group, replacing certain financial services firms with insurance and health care companies to create a more appropriate and balanced peer group. For our 2013 compensation determinations, therefore, we replaced CNA Financial Corporation, Genworth Financial Corp., MedcoHealth Solutions, Inc., and Principal Financial Group, Inc. with Amerisource Bergen, Cardinal Health, DaVita Inc., Eli Lilly, Hartford Financial Services, McKesson Corporation, and Prudential Financial, Inc.

We use this competitive market data and the overall performance of the Company as the starting points for our analysis, conducted with the assistance of the Committee s independent consultant, Cook. In addition, the Committee takes into consideration an executive s overall performance, his or her potential, the presence of any unique or hard-to-replace skills, as well as the executive s judgment, leadership ability and competencies. The performance of the executive s business function and his or her ability to build effective teams and develop talent are also important factors.

### **Internal Benchmarking**

The total compensation of each Named Executive Officer is also determined based in part on an internal benchmarking that considers the relativity of pay between all the Named Executive Officers and the total compensation of the Chief Executive Officer. The Company and the Committee believe that appropriate internal pay equity:

- (i) leads to better employee relations and a stronger company, as it avoids a disconnect in compensation across a group of Named Executive Officers that must work together as a cohesive team;
- (ii) is economical, as it provides the Committee with a more balanced check of total compensation, rather than relying solely on external benchmarking data that may only compare each pay element independently; and

(iii) mitigates market bias that may favor certain positions but does not reflect their relative importance to the Company. The Company prepares tally sheets for all of its executive officers for consideration by the Committee as it determines whether executive compensation decisions are appropriate in the context of the Company s compensation philosophy and performance. Tally sheets summarize current actual and target compensation, equity holdings (stock options and restricted stock), retirement and deferred compensation values, and potential payouts upon termination of employment.

Each year, our Chief Executive Officer conducts an informal analysis of internal pay equity, taking into account each Named Executive Officer s individual contributions, performance, potential, skills, judgment, leadership ability and competencies, and makes a recommendation to the Committee regarding relativity of compensation. Although the Committee does not have established target ratios or a formula for calculating the relative compensation of the Chief Executive Officer as compared to each Named Executive Officer, or for each Named Executive Officer as compared to any other, the Committee does, in its discretion, review historical pay ratios to ensure that the compensation of one Named Executive Officer has not unintentionally risen in a disproportionate manner relative to the others. Following a subjective discussion among the Committee, the Chief Executive Officer and Cook, the Committee considers this informal analysis of internal pay equity in making executive compensation decisions. For 2012, the Committee concluded that the relative levels of compensation among the Named Executive Officers were appropriate.

## **Elements of Compensation**

The discussion below provides information about the various elements of our 2012 compensation program for our Named Executive Officers, including base salary, annual cash incentives, equity awards, retirement plans, severance, and perquisites.

### At a Glance Our Primary Compensation Elements

<b>Compensation Element</b>	Objective	Key Features
Base Salary	Ensure the attraction, development and retention of superior talent while also taking into account an individual executive s performance.	Represents the fixed portion of the total compensation package, determined based on:
		overall Company performance;
		individual Named Executive Officer performance;
		internal pay equity;
		changes in individual Named Executive Officer responsibilities; and
		relevant external benchmarking.
Annual Cash Incentive	Ensure that a portion of each Named Executive Officer s compensation is risk by linking such portion of compensation to certain key performance objectives.	We use performance-based annual incentive awards to recognize athe achievement of annual Company results and align our Named Executive Officers with the same incentives as our stockholders. The amounts paid are based on percentages of 2012 base earnings that:
	Motivate and reward achievement of short-term financial, operational and strategic business goals.	are paid at threshold, target and maximum levels based on the attainment of pre-established earnings per share objectives;

were established through a process of external benchmarking of total compensation against our external peer group; and

		reflect our philosophy of targeting total compensation at the median, while recognizing that a significant percentage of total compensation should be performance-based.
Equity Awards	Provide a vital link between the long-term results achieved for our stockholders and the financial rewards provided to our Named Executive Officers.	We use a mix of stock options and restricted stock awards to compensate our Named Executive Officers, which we believe provides an appropriate balance between inducement, retention and motivation of executives and the creation of stockholder value.
		The amounts and terms of equity awards are set by the Committee following a review of stock programs and competitive practices at peer companies, along with an analysis of equity cost.

### **Compensation of our former Chief Executive Officer**

On October 31, 2012, in accordance with our year-long transition plan, Michael B. McCallister, our Chief Executive Officer, gave us notice pursuant to his employment agreement (which we refer to as the McCallister Employment Agreement) that he would not renew that agreement and would retire as Chief Executive Officer effective December 31, 2012. In connection with his retirement, we entered into a letter agreement with Mr. McCallister providing for the following compensation elements in accordance with the McCallister Employment Agreement:

We exercised our option to enforce our non-compete agreement with Mr. McCallister for the period commencing on January 1, 2013 and ending on the second anniversary of the termination of Mr. McCallister s service as a member of the Board of Directors and, as consideration for that non-compete agreement and in accordance with the terms of the McCallister Employment Agreement, paid Mr. McCallister a lump sum in the amount of \$2,170,000 (which was equal to two times Mr. McCallister s base salary as of the date of the letter agreement).

Mr. McCallister s annual bonus for 2012 will be paid in the ordinary course.

While serving as Chairman of our Board of Directors, Mr. McCallister will continue to be provided benefits either under the medical, accident and life insurance plans available to our directors or under our now-terminated plan that provided for health benefits for officers and their eligible dependents upon retirement until the earlier of attainment of age 65 or obtaining other coverage. Following his service as Chairman, Mr. McCallister will continue to be provided benefits under that former plan (into which Mr. McCallister was grandfathered while an employee).

### **Compensation of our President and Chief Executive Officer**

On November 2, 2012, we entered into an amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Bruce D. Broussard, our President and Chief Executive Officer, which we refer to as the Broussard Employment Agreement. The Broussard Employment Agreement provides for the following compensation elements:

Annual base salary of not less than \$1,085,000

Target annual incentive equal to 150% of his base salary and a maximum annual incentive equal to 150% of his target incentive (i.e., 225% of his base salary)

A long-term incentive award to be granted in February 2013 with a value of \$5,000,000 (determined on the same basis as the Committee values such awards generally) and in a form and on terms consistent with the long-term incentive awards to be granted in 2013 to our other senior executives

Participation in all benefit plans, including retirement plans and perquisites, made available by the Company to other senior executives

In assessing the reasonableness of the package offered to Mr. Broussard, the Committee took into account an evaluation of his responsibilities and experience, both at the Company during our year-long transition plan and in his prior employment, the compensation packages of companies experiencing executive leadership transitions similar to ours, compensation packages for new executive officers at peer and other public companies, publicly-available executive compensation information of peer companies, internal pay equity, competitive market and retention objectives, severance package best practices, and incentives needed to continue to motivate and reward exceptional performance by our executive officers.

### **Base Salary**

Base salary for our Named Executive Officers is determined by an assessment of:

overall Company performance;

individual Named Executive Officer performance;

internal pay equity;

changes in individual Named Executive Officer responsibilities; and

relevant external benchmarking.

While vital aspects of performance can be measured in financial terms, we also evaluate executive management in areas that must be assessed more subjectively. These areas include the development and execution of strategic plans, the exercise of leadership in the development of management talent, innovation and improvement in our products and processes, as well as the executive s involvement in industry groups and in the communities that we serve.

Base salary levels are established to ensure the attraction, development and retention of superior talent while also taking into account an individual executive s performance. For our Named Executive Officers, base salaries were established, in conjunction with other components of total compensation, to approximate the market median.

As in prior years, the Committee reviewed base salary information developed by our human resources associates and Cook to establish market median data. We generally target the market median when establishing individual salaries, and they typically range from the 25<sup>th</sup> to the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile. The information reviewed by the Committee suggested that the market median data for competitive base salaries in our peer group had increased by approximately 2-3% from 2011 to 2012. Base salaries of the Named Executive Officers in 2012 (based upon actual amounts paid in 2012), and their relationship to market medians, are listed in the table below.

### Named Executive

Officer	2012 Base Salary <sup>(1)</sup>	2012 Market Median <sup>(2)</sup>
Michael B. McCallister	\$1,085,000	\$1,261,433 <sup>(3)</sup>
Bruce D. Broussard	\$900,000	\$1,261,433 <sup>(3)</sup>
James H. Bloem	\$625,000	\$674,279
James E. Murray	\$750,000	\$732,365
Paul B.K. Kusserow	\$500,000	\$340,655

(1) As previously disclosed, on November 4, 2011, the Company announced that it had entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Broussard to serve as President of the Company with an annual base salary of not less than \$900,000, and that the base salaries of Messrs. Bloem and Murray for 2012 had increased to \$625,000 and \$750,000, respectively. For each Named Executive Officer, the

amounts disclosed represent the actual amounts paid in 2012.

- (2) Based on relevant external benchmarking and the proxy statements of the peer group in December 2012.
- (3) For 2012, the majority of our peer group against which we conducted our external benchmarking does not distinguish between the roles of chief executive officer and president. As previously disclosed, Mr. Broussard was hired as the President and as the eventual successor to Mr. McCallister as Chief Executive Officer, and therefore both the compensation package offered to Mr. Broussard for 2012 and the benchmarking for his position reflect that level of responsibility.

### **Annual Cash Incentives**

#### Incentive Plan Objectives

Our annual incentive compensation plans ensure a portion of each Named Executive Officer s compensation is at risk by linking such portion of compensation to certain key performance objectives and rewarding them, when appropriate, for their efforts in optimizing our profitability and growth consistent with sound and ethical business practices and appropriate risk-taking.

#### Description of the Plan for Named Executive Officers

The Humana Inc. Executive Management Incentive Compensation Plan, which we refer to as the Management Incentive Plan, is administered by the Committee. The Committee annually selects those executive officers and other key executives eligible to participate in the Management Incentive Plan and establishes specific performance targets based on predetermined business goals, and an objective formula or standard to determine the minimum and maximum awards payable to each participating executive. The Committee has sole discretion to determine the form, amount and terms of each award, which need not be uniform among the persons eligible to receive awards. The Committee may determine at the time the performance targets are established that certain adjustments will be made in evaluating whether the performance targets have been met (e.g., disposition or acquisition of a business, gains or losses resulting from material litigation, or the effect of changes in accounting principles during the performance period). During 2012, each of our Named Executive Officers participated in the Management Incentive Plan.

#### 2012 Management Incentive Plan Compensation

For all Named Executive Officers, the 2012 performance target was based on the attainment of a pre-established earnings per share, or EPS, objective. The Committee selected this single performance target because it believed that those individuals with the greatest responsibility for the strategy, implementation and success of the organization should have a substantial portion of their compensation linked to the achievement of this financial goal. The Committee felt that attainment of an EPS goal was the best reflection of the success of our financial objectives and business plan, and the goal was therefore established to provide a direct link between executive compensation and this key performance objective of the Company.

For 2012 there were threshold, target and maximum achievement payout levels that impacted the final value of the award for our Named Executive Officers. The EPS target for 2012 of \$7.65 was based on our business plans and also considered the earnings per share guidance that we provided during the year to our stockholders. The target was considered a challenging goal, based on the prospects of our businesses, including the impact of health care reform and an uncertain economy. Performance below the threshold of \$7.40 EPS would yield no award. The maximum EPS of \$8.50 was set to encourage increased performance within a tolerable level of risk.

The percentages of 2012 base earnings paid at each of the threshold, target and maximum levels were established through a process of external benchmarking of total compensation against our external peer group. These percentages reflect our philosophy of targeting total compensation at the median, while recognizing that a significant percentage of total compensation should be performance-based.

The following summarizes the results of the Company s external benchmarking of the 16 companies comprising the Company s peer group with respect to annual incentive compensation:

Target Bonus Opportunity							
	(as a perc	entage of	Maximum Bonus Opportunity as a percentage of base salary				
	base s	alary)					
	Humana <sup>(1)</sup> Peers <sup>(2)</sup>		Humana <sup>(1)</sup>	Peers <sup>(2)</sup>			
CEO/President	150%	150%	225%	300%			
Other Named Executive Officers	100%	100%	150%	200%			

(1) Percentages based on 2012 compensation, as reported in this proxy statement.

(2) Percentages based on 2011 compensation, as used by the Committee in external benchmarking to set 2012 compensation. The target and maximum bonus opportunities of the peer group were based upon the median percentage in each case.

The following table sets forth the potential range of payments our Named Executive Officers could have earned under the Management Incentive Plan, expressed in total dollars and as a percentage of 2012 base salary:

		Threshold EPS of \$7.40 % of		Target		Maximum		
				EPS of \$7.65		EPS of \$8.50		
	2012 Base				% of	MIP	% of	MIP
Named Executive Officer	Salary <sup>(1)</sup>	Base	MI	P Payment	Base	Payment	Base	Payment
Michael B. McCallister	\$ 1,085,000	75%	\$	813,750	150%	\$ 1,627,500	200%	\$ 2,170,000
Bruce D. Broussard	\$ 900,000	75%	\$	675,000	150%	\$ 1,350,000	225%	\$ 2,025,000
James H. Bloem	\$ 625,000	50%	\$	312,500	100%	\$ 625,000	150%	\$ 937,500
James E. Murray	\$ 750,000	50%	\$	375,000	100%	\$ 750,000	150%	\$ 1,125,000
Paul B.K. Kusserow	\$ 500,000	50%	\$	250,000	100%	\$ 500,000	150%	\$ 750,000

(1) For each Named Executive Officer, the amounts disclosed represent the actual amounts paid in 2012.

Our EPS for 2012 was \$7.47, which was lower than the target EPS set by the Committee at the beginning of 2012. However, when the performance was considered by the Committee in light of the negative effect of the settlement of previously-disclosed litigation, the Committee decided to award payments for our Named Executive Officers at the target level, which ranged from 100% to 150% of annual base earnings, as provided in the table above. See the Summary Compensation Table in the Executive Compensation section of this proxy statement for the specific amounts paid to the Named Executive Officers.

### **Equity Awards**

In 2012, we used a mix of stock options and restricted stock awards to compensate our Named Executive Officers, an equity compensation program which we believe provides an appropriate balance between inducement, retention and motivation of executives and the creation of stockholder value. Equity-based compensation provides a vital link between the long-term results achieved for our stockholders and the financial rewards provided to our Named Executive Officers. We use a mix of stock options and restricted stock awards to compensate our Named Executive Officers because we believe that each form of equity compensation provides us with different benefits.

*Stock Options.* The value recognized under our stock option grants reflects the economic performance of the Company over time. We use stock options to motivate and challenge our executives to achieve positive returns for our stockholders by placing key elements of executive compensation at risk, with a secondary benefit of retention derived from vesting conditions imposed on the stock options and a non-compete covenant embedded in our stock option agreements.

*Restricted Stock.* Restricted stock generally provides value regardless of whether our stock price increases from the date of grant. We use restricted stock grants for inducement, retention purposes through vesting conditions imposed on the restricted stock and a non-compete covenant embedded in our restricted stock agreements, and to motivate and challenge our executives. A secondary benefit is derived from the potential added appreciation opportunity as our stock price increases.

All stock options and restricted stock awards are granted at regularly scheduled meetings of our Board of Directors, or of the Committee, although the Committee may also approve equity grants to newly-hired executive officers, or in connection with acquisitions of new companies, at the Board or Committee meeting at which such activity is approved. The Committee, with the assistance of Cook and management, determines the aggregate amounts and terms of stock option and restricted stock awards for each Named Executive Officer following a review of stock programs and competitive practices at peer companies, along with an analysis of equity cost.

The grant value of each award reflects the executive s level of responsibility and contributions to the Company s performance. In making equity awards, the Committee reviews and approves the dollar value of an award to be granted to each Named Executive Officer, based on the internal and external benchmarking of total compensation discussed above. The number of shares subject to the award is then determined using the fair market value of the option on the grant date, which, in the case of stock options, is determined by the Black-Scholes methodology.

With respect to external benchmarking, the Committee uses competitive market data as a starting point for its analysis of the compensation of the Company s Named Executive Officers. The companies in our peer group utilize a mix of stock options, restricted stock, performance shares/units, and cash incentive plans for long-term incentive compensation. The following summarizes the results of the Committee s external benchmarking with respect to total direct compensation (comprised of base salary, cash incentive plan and equity long-term incentive compensation):

	Market Median	Humana
	Total Direct Compensation	Total Direct Compensation
Named Executive Officer	(\$000)	(\$000)
Chief Executive Officer	10,655	7,712
President	10,655	2,250(1)
Second Highest-Paid Executive	3,775	5,150 <sup>(2)</sup>
Third Highest-Paid Executive	3,390	3,400 <sup>(2)</sup>
Fourth Highest-Paid Executive	919	2,200

(1) As noted above, Mr. Broussard was hired as the President and as the eventual successor to Mr. McCallister as Chief Executive Officer, and therefore both the compensation package offered to Mr. Broussard for 2012 and the benchmarking for his position reflect that level of responsibility. In accordance with the terms of the Broussard Employment Agreement, Mr. Broussard was not eligible for an equity long-term incentive compensation grant in 2012.

(2) Amount includes the vested portion of restricted stock units granted in November 2011 for retention purposes. Our stockholder-approved equity incentive compensation plans, the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan and the 2011 Stock Incentive Plan, each provide that all stock options are granted at the average of the high and low stock trading price on the NYSE composite tape (fair market value) on the date of grant. For the last several years, the annual Company-wide option and restricted share awards have been made at the Committee meeting held in the first quarter of the year, following the announcement of our annual results for the prior year. The Board and Committee schedules are determined more than a year in advance. In addition to the annual grant, options and/or restricted stock are generally granted for promotions, new hires, retention purposes, or increases in

responsibilities at the six regularly scheduled meetings of the Board or the Committee. As noted above, the Committee may approve equity grants in connection with new hires of executive officers and/or acquisitions by the Company at special meetings of the Board or Committee.

2012 Awards

In 2012, our Named Executive Officers were awarded stock options and restricted stock designed, in conjunction with other components of total compensation, to be competitive in relation to the market median, as described above.

In this proxy statement, the table entitled, Grants of Plan-Based Awards, shows the number of stock options and restricted stock awarded to each Named Executive Officer and the aggregate grant date fair value for each award, the table entitled, Summary Compensation Table, reports the aggregate grant date fair value of awards for each fiscal year, in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, *Compensation Stock Compensation*, and the table entitled Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End, lists the options and restricted stock outstanding by grant date and price for each Named Executive Officer. These awards reflect the years of service of each Named Executive Officer and the frequency with which an individual exercises the options granted.

The Committee routinely reviews the outstanding equity information for each executive officer to examine the value of prior compensation decisions. The value of outstanding equity awards may be taken into account in establishing the level of equity awards to be made.

### **Retirement Plans**

During 2012, we had three retirement plans covering our Named Executive Officers:

The Humana Retirement Savings Plan, which we refer to as the HRSP, is a qualified, 401(k) plan providing for both participant and Company contributions, and is available to all associates;

The Humana Retirement Equalization Plan, which we refer to as the HREP, is a nonqualified, unfunded, defined contribution plan that restores Company contributions to the Humana Retirement Savings Plan, which are restricted by Internal Revenue Code, or IRC, compensation limits; and

The Humana Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, or the Deferred Compensation Plan, an unfunded plan maintained for the purpose of providing to a select group of highly compensated executives a vehicle to defer a portion of their annual performance-based cash income for purposes of personal savings and tax planning. There are no Company contributions to this plan. For 2012, none of the Named Executive Officers participated in the Deferred Compensation Plan.

For additional details on our retirement plans see the table entitled Nonqualified Deferred Compensation which covers the HREP and the Deferred Compensation Plan, and the section entitled Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control, which discusses all of our retirement plans.

We believe that our retirement programs will provide our executives with competitive levels of income replacement upon retirement, reflecting the executive s years of service with our Company, and provide us with a package that will both attract and retain key talent in the Company.

### Severance/Change in Control

#### Mr. McCallister

On October 31, 2012, in accordance with our year-long transition plan, Mr. McCallister, our former Chief Executive Officer, gave us notice pursuant to the McCallister Employment Agreement that he would not renew that agreement and would retire as Chief Executive Officer effective December 31, 2012. In connection with his retirement, we entered into a letter agreement with Mr. McCallister providing for certain compensation elements in accordance with the McCallister Employment Agreement. For a description of those elements, please refer to the section entitled, Compensation Discussion & Analysis Elements of Compensation Compensation of our Former Chief Executive Officer.

#### Mr. Broussard

In the event that Mr. Broussard s employment is terminated by the Company without Cause or by Mr. Broussard for Good Reason within twenty-four months following a Change in Control (as each term is defined in the Broussard Employment Agreement), or by the Company without Cause under certain circumstances prior to a Change in Control, in addition to the accrued benefits, the Company would pay or provide to Mr. Broussard: (i) a lump-sum payment equal to 2 times the amount equal to the sum of (A) Mr. Broussard s then-current base salary plus (B) the maximum annual incentive that Mr. Broussard could have earned for the fiscal year in which termination occurs, (ii) a pro-rated incentive payment for that fiscal year, (iii) continuation, at the Company s expense, of all life, medical, dental, accidental death and dismemberment and disability insurance for Mr. Broussard and his dependents for 24 months following the termination date and (iv) accelerated vesting of the stock options and restricted stock awards granted by the Company in connection with his employment agreement. There are no excise tax or other tax gross-up provisions in the Broussard Employment Agreement. For a description of the severance benefits to which Mr. Broussard would be entitled upon a termination of employment occurring outside the context of a change in control of the Company, see the section entitled Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control of the Company.

#### Other Named Executive Officers

We operate in a highly competitive, complex and consolidating industry. Therefore, we have entered into Change in Control severance agreements, which we refer to as CIC Agreements, with all executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers (except for Messrs. McCallister and Broussard, whose severance is governed by the McCallister Employment Agreement and the Broussard Employment Agreement, respectively, in each case as described above). Pursuant to the CIC Agreements, in the event that the executive s employment is terminated by the Company without Cause or by the executive for Good Reason within twenty-four months following a Change in Control (as each term is defined in the CIC Agreements), or by the Company without Cause under certain circumstances prior to a Change in Control, certain benefits will be provided to these executives.

Under the CIC Agreements, these individuals would be entitled to receive severance pay which generally is determined by multiplying the sum of each individual s annual base salary and the maximum target incentive compensation payable to him or her, by a multiple ranging from one to one and one-half. Each of the Named Executive Officers (except for Messrs. McCallister and Broussard, whose severance is governed by the McCallister Employment Agreement and the Broussard Employment Agreement, respectively, in each case as described above) would receive a payment in the amount of one and one-half times the sum of his base salary and maximum target incentive payment in the event of a termination of employment under the circumstances described above. Health, life and disability insurance coverage would be provided, generally for a two-year period following termination unless the participant dies or is eligible for comparable coverage from another source.

All of the CIC Agreements (including the Change in Control provisions of the McCallister Employment Agreement and the Broussard Employment Agreement) provide for severance benefits payable only upon a double trigger (i.e., two events must occur before any severance payment is made: the executive officer must be terminated or constructively terminated as described in the CIC Agreement, and such termination must have occurred after the Company entered into a definitive agreement, the consummation of which would result in a Change in Control, or the Change in Control has occurred), although the executives may be entitled to accelerated vesting of equity compensation upon solely the occurrence of a change in control pursuant to the terms of the applicable award agreement as described in more detail below. The Committee opted for a double-trigger, rather than providing for payments solely on the basis of a Change in Control, because we believe this to be more consistent with the purpose of encouraging the continued employment of our Named Executive Officers following a Change in Control. In the health benefits industry, mergers and acquisitions resulting in a Change in Control are common. We believe that the CIC Agreements for our Named Executive Officers allow our executives to devote their time to the duties of running our Company without being distracted by a potential Change in Control. Furthermore, the CIC Agreements have a significant retention value to the Company with respect to our Named Executive Officers. We believe that the severance multiples provided for in the CIC Agreements are appropriate because they are comparable to similarly situated senior executives across U.S. industries. For a discussion of the payments each of our Named Executive Officers would receive in the event of a termination of employment in connection with a Change in Control or in the event of a termination of employment in other circumstances, see the section entitled Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control of the Company in this proxy statement.

### **Perquisites**

We also provide certain other benefits to our Named Executive Officers as part of our competitive compensation program. The amounts expended through these programs are explained in detail in the footnotes that follow the Summary Compensation Table. As noted, not all Named Executive Officers participate in each benefit. The benefits include limited personal use of Company aircraft for the Named Executive Officers, an annual physical, a matching charitable gift program, supplemental life insurance benefits, financial planning assistance, commuting and local housing allowances, and club memberships (used for business purposes; if from time to time used for personal reasons, the executive must reimburse the Company).

# **Other Compensation Considerations**

## **Compensation Mix**

The key elements of direct executive compensation salary, cash incentives, and equity awards are designed to place a substantial portion of executive pay at risk. While salaries are intended to be assured, the other two elements only have value if certain key performance results are achieved. Cash incentives are paid only upon the achievement of defined financial objectives. Grants of stock options only have value to executives if the value of the Company increases through common stock price appreciation and any applicable vesting conditions are satisfied, providing a retention benefit to the Company.

We believe that having a larger measure of key pay elements at risk motivates and challenges our executives to achieve positive returns for our stockholders, reflecting our philosophy that, in addition to being market-based, the total compensation of our Named Executive Officers should be competency-paced and contribution-driven. The chart and table below illustrate the relative mix of pay at risk in 2012 for our Named Executive Officers, comprised of base salary, the potential value of the target annual cash incentive earned in 2012 though paid in 2013, and the aggregate grant date fair value of the 2012 grants of stock options (based on a Black-Scholes valuation at the time of grant) and restricted stock awards. See the tables entitled Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards that follow this report for greater detail.

	Compensation At-Risk-2012							
Named Executive	Base	Annual	Long-Term					
Officer	Salary	Incentive	Incentive <sup>(1)</sup>	Total				
Michael B. McCallister	14%	21%	65%	100%				
Bruce D. Broussard	40%	60%	0%	100%				
James H. Bloem	23%	23%	53%	100%				
James E. Murray	19%	19%	62%	100%				
Paul B.K. Kusserow	23%	23%	55%	100%				
Average for NEOs:	20%	26%	54%	100%				

(1) Includes aggregate grant date fair value of stock option awards. In accordance with the terms of the Broussard Employment Agreement, Mr. Broussard was not eligible for an equity-based long-term incentive award in 2012.

### Clawbacks

In 2009, the Committee adopted a clawback policy to supplement those provisions set forth in the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and related regulations.

The clawback policy:

applies to all executive officers;

permits the recoupment of compensation in the event of a material restatement of the Company s financials as a result of the misconduct or fraud on the part of the executive officer;

permits the recoupment of all cash-based incentives earned by the executive officer involved in the misconduct or fraud during the twelve month period following the first public issuance of the financials that are the subject of the restatement; and

grants discretion to the Committee with respect to the application of the clawback provision. **Stock Ownership Guidelines** 

The Board believes that linking a significant amount of an executive s current and potential future net worth to the Company s success, as reflected in the stock price, gives the executive a stake similar to that of our stockholders. Consistent with this philosophy, in 2005 the Board of Directors established stock ownership guidelines for the Company s executive officers.

Expressed as a multiple of base salary, minimum levels of Humana common stock ownership, excluding shares held in retirement accounts and unexercised stock options, are:

Chief Executive Officer: Five times base salary
Direct reports to the Chief Executive Officer (including all Named
Executive Officers): Three times base salary
All other Section 16 officers: Two times base salary
In 2009, the Committee added a further condition to the stock ownership guidelines to provide that any shares owned by an executive officer (or

shares received upon the exercise of options or vesting of restricted stock, less an amount to cover current tax liabilities) must be held by the

## **Compensation Policies Based on Certain Tax Rules**

executive officer until the relevant multiple is reached and thereafter maintained.

Table of Contents

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act amended Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (limiting the deductibility of compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to our Named Executive Officers) to provide that, in general, for compensation which is paid or would otherwise become deductible after 2012, no deduction will be allowed to the extent total compensation for an individual exceeds \$500,000 for the year. This limitation applies to most individuals compensated by a health insurance provider such as Humana. We view preserving tax deductibility as a contributing objective in establishing executive compensation. In specific instances we have authorized and may continue to authorize from time to time compensation arrangements that are not fully tax deductible, but which promote other important objectives of the Company. The Committee believes that all compensation paid to our Named Executive Officers for 2012 will be deductible for federal income tax purposes, except \$3,314,664.

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 materially changed the tax rules applicable to nonqualified deferred compensation arrangements, codified in Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code (Section 409A). Section 409A provides that compensation deferrals under nonqualified deferred compensation plans, like the Company s Supplemental Plan, are currently counted as gross income for all taxable years to the extent that the amounts are not subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture and have not previously been included in gross income, unless certain requirements are met. We believe that the HREP, the CIC Agreements, the Management Incentive Plan, the Deferred Compensation Plan, and our severance program are in compliance with the statutory provisions currently in effect so that any compensation payable under the plans either is not considered deferred compensation under Section 409A or is deferred in a manner that complies with Section 409A.

### **Consideration of Advisory Votes**

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, enacted on July 21, 2010 (which we refer to as the Dodd-Frank Act), requires that we include in our proxy statement a non-binding advisory stockholder vote to approve the compensation of our Named Executive Officers as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the compensation tables and the accompanying narrative disclosure, set forth in the proxy statement for that meeting (commonly referred to as a Say-on-Pay vote). At our 2011 Annual Meeting, our stockholders voted for the approval of an annual advisory vote with respect to our Named Executive Officer compensation, and our Board of Directors considered and accepted this stockholder vote and management s recommendation regarding the frequency of future stockholder advisory votes.

Last year, at our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, our stockholders again voted their approval of the compensation of our Named Executive Officers with approximately 94.5% of the votes cast. The Committee has considered the results of this advisory vote in determining the Company s compensation policies and decisions for 2013, and has determined that these policies and decisions are and have been appropriate and in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders at this time.

### **Organization & Compensation Committee**

The current members of the Committee are William J. McDonald, Chairman, W. Roy Dunbar, and Marissa T. Peterson. Considering (i) the source of each director s compensation, including any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fees paid by Humana; and (ii) whether each director has an affiliate relationship with Humana, a subsidiary of Humana or an affiliate of a subsidiary of Humana, the Board determined that each member of the Organization & Compensation Committee of the Board is independent, as defined by the New York Stock Exchange and U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, and are considered outside directors under Section 162(m) of the Code.

During 2012, the Committee met nine times. The Committee operates pursuant to a charter which is reviewed and approved each year. There were no changes to the Committee s charter during 2012. The full text of the Committee charter may be viewed on our corporate website. From the <u>www.humana.com</u> website, click on Investor Relations, then click on Corporate Governance, and then you will see a link to the Committee Charters.

### **Compensation Consultants**

Since August 2004, the Committee has retained Cook as its independent compensation consultant. Cook s role is to ensure that the Committee has objective information needed to make informed decisions in the best

interests of stockholders based on compensation trends and practices in public companies, and to provide assistance to the Committee in evaluating our executive compensation policy and programs. As the Committee s independent consultant, Cook advises on the interpretation of various rules and regulations impacting executive compensation, reviews with the Committee management s proposals and initiatives, provides certain data on competitive pay levels, and undertakes special projects on behalf of the Committee.

The Committee has considered certain factors to determine whether Cook s service as its independent compensation consultant raised a conflict of interest, including, among other things:

Whether Cook provided other services to Humana;

The amount of fees received by Cook from Humana, as a percentage of Cook s total revenue;

Cook s policies and procedures that are designed to prevent conflicts of interest;

Whether Cook s representatives providing services to the Committee have any business or personal relationship with a member of the Committee;

Whether Cook s representatives providing services to the Committee own Humana stock; and

Whether Cook s representatives providing services to the Committee, or Cook, have any business or personal relationship with any of our executive officers.

Following this consideration, the Committee determined that Cook s services as its independent compensation consultant does not raise any conflict of interest.

In 2012, a representative of Cook attended all of the Committee meetings, including, when invited, executive sessions. In accordance with the Committee s Charter, the Committee has the sole authority to determine the compensation for, and to terminate the services of, Cook. For services provided to the Committee in 2012, we paid Cook approximately \$285,000.

In accordance with our practices, Cook, as an independent compensation consultant, may not provide any other services to the Company without the prior authorization of the Committee. In 2012, Cook provided no additional services to the Company.

# **ORGANIZATION & COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT**

The Organization & Compensation Committee of the Company has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis for the year ended December 31, 2012 with management. In reliance on these reviews and discussions, the Organization & Compensation Committee recommended to the Company s Board of Directors, and the Board of Directors has approved, the inclusion of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis in this Proxy Statement.

All members of the Organization & Compensation Committee of the Company whose names follow submit the foregoing report.

### **ORGANIZATION & COMPENSATION COMMITTEE**

William J. McDonald, Chairman

W. Roy Dunbar

Marissa T. Peterson

# **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

### **Summary Compensation Table**

The following Summary Compensation Table shows the compensation earned for the time period served as an executive officer during the last three fiscal years by (i) Michael B. McCallister, our Chief Executive Officer during 2012, (ii) James H. Bloem, our Chief Financial Officer, (iii) each of our three other highest compensated executive officers serving at December 31, 2012 (collectively, the Named Executive Officers).

As described in our Compensation Discussion and Analysis, for each Named Executive Officer, of the total compensation listed below, base salary represented approximately 20%, the potential value of the annual cash incentive in 2012 represented approximately 26%, and the aggregate fair value of the 2012 grant of stock options and restricted stock represented approximately 54%.

							Change in		
							Pension Value		
							and		
						Non-Equity	Nonqualified		
						Incentive	Deferred	All	
				Stock		Plan	Compensatio	n Other	
Name and Principal		Salary	Bonus	Awards	Option	Compensation	Earnings	Compensation	Total
Position		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	Awards(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
(a)	Year (b)	(c)	( <b>d</b> )	(e) <sup>(1)</sup>	( <b>f</b> ) <sup>(1)</sup>	(g) <sup>(2)</sup>	( <b>h</b> )	(i) <sup>(3)</sup>	(j)
Michael B. McCallister, Chairman of the	2012	1,085,000	( <b>u</b> )	1,746,650	3,307,823	1,627,500	(11)	667.012	8,433,985
Board <sup>(4)</sup>	2011	1,056,875		1,276,907	2,312,843	2,113,750		546,486	7,306,861
	2010	1,026,182			2,500,097	2,052,364		569,274	6,147,917
Bruce D. Broussard, President and Chief	2012	900,000		0	0	1,350,000		631,154	2,881,153
Executive Officer <sup>(5)</sup>	2011	41,538		6,999,907	3,488,618	0		2,219	10,532,282
	2010								
James H. Bloem, Senior Vice President &	2012	625,000		489,108	926,192	625,000		141,215	2,806,515
Chief Financial Officer & Treasurer	2011	573,250		1,825,508	594,740	859,875		138,499	3,991,872
	2010	550,057			700,019	825,085		170,398	2,245,559
James E. Murray, Executive Vice	2012	750,000		838,420	1,587,743	725,000		246,317	4,147,480
President & Chief Operating Officer	2011	702,478		3,060,680	1,024,253	1,053,717		211,428	6,052,556
	2010	676,087		110 010	1,100,038	1,014,131		212,944	3,003,200
Paul B.K. Kusserow, Senior Vice				419,210	793,888	500,000		156,561	2,369,659
	2012	500,000		,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		,	
President and Chief Strategy and Development Officer	2012 2011 2010	484,712 469,965		328,362	637,425 700,019	727,068 704,948		163,824 88,187	2,341,391 1,963,119

(1) The amounts listed under the columns Stock Awards and Option Awards in the Summary Compensation Table above disclose the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards and options awards granted in the fiscal year, as well as in prior periods, calculated in accordance with

Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, *Compensation Stock Compensation*. Note 13 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, describes the assumptions used to determine the grant date fair value for overall Company options. The assumptions used for valuing the Named Executive Officers options as a group, applying the Black-Scholes methodology, were as follows:

	2012	2011	2010
Weighted Average Fair Value at Grant Date	\$ 32.23	\$ 27.99	\$ 19.26
Expected Option Life (Years)	4.7	4.9	4.9
Expected Volatility	47.1%	46.4%	44.3%
Risk Free Interest Rate	0.8%	1.9%	2.5%
Dividend Yield	1.136%	0.369	N/A

(2) For a discussion of the potential ranges that could have been earned in 2012 under the Management Incentive Plan, see the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table.

(3) The amounts listed under the column entitled All Other Compensation in the Summary Compensation Table above include: Company contributions to the Humana Retirement Equalization Plan and the Humana Retirement Savings Plan; personal use of Company aircraft for the Named Executive Officers and in some cases members of their families; a matching charitable gift program; life insurance benefits; and financial planning assistance. In addition, we pay for club memberships for certain Named Executive Officers. These are used for business purposes, and if used from time to time for personal reasons, the Named Executive Officer must reimburse us for any expense.

**Company Contributions to the Humana Retirement Equalization Plan** (this amount is also listed in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table):

Michael B. McCallister	\$ 221,156
Bruce D. Broussard	\$ 48,750
James H. Bloem	\$ 92,616
James E. Murray	\$116,529
Paul B.K. Kusserow	\$ 73,280

**Personal Use of Company Aircraft:** The costs of personal use of Company aircraft was based on the aggregate incremental costs to the Company, including the lost tax deduction to the Company and personal deadhead hours.

Michael B. McCallister	\$3	359,751	
Bruce D. Broussard	\$3	856,102*	
James H. Bloem	\$	0	
James E. Murray	\$	72,984	
Paul B.K. Kusserow	\$	23,112	

\* Of this figure, approximately \$323,000 represents the use of company aircraft by Mr. Broussard for commuting purposes in accordance with the previously-disclosed Broussard Employment Agreement, pursuant to which Mr. Broussard has agreed to relocate to the Louisville, Kentucky area by August 31, 2013.

Matching Charitable Contributions:

Michael B. McCallister	\$ 25,000
Bruce D. Broussard	\$ 5,699
James H. Bloem	\$ 15,000
James E. Murray	\$ 24,944
Paul B.K. Kusserow	\$ 2,050
ning	

Financial Planning:

Michael B. McCallister	\$ 18,595
Bruce D. Broussard	\$ 17,164
James H. Bloem	\$ 0
James E. Murray	\$ 0
Paul B.K. Kusserow	\$ 0
Company Contributions to the Humana Retirement Savings Plan:	

Michael B. McCallister\$ 18,750Bruce D. Broussard\$ 18,750James H. Bloem\$ 18,750

	James E. Murray	\$	18,750
	Paul B.K. Kusserow	\$	18,750
es i	life insurance, reimbursement for relocation expenses, commuting and housing allowances, and gu	iest	expenses wi

*Other* (Includes life insurance, reimbursement for relocation expenses, commuting and housing allowances, and guest expenses while on business travel):

Michael B. McCallister	\$ 23,760
Bruce D. Broussard	\$ 184,689
James H. Bloem	\$ 14,849
James E. Murray	\$ 13,110
Paul B.K. Kusserow	\$ 39,369

- (4) On May 16, 2008, we entered into an amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. McCallister, which we refer to as the McCallister Employment Agreement, pursuant to which Mr. McCallister served as our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. The McCallister Employment Agreement was renewed on January 1, 2012, for a one-year period. Pursuant to the McCallister Employment Agreement, Mr. McCallister was paid an annual base salary of not less than \$1,025,000 and was eligible to participate in all benefit plans and programs made available by us to our employees, including participation in bonus and incentive compensation plans and programs on terms determined by the Organization & Compensation Committee. As previously disclosed, on October 31, 2012, in accordance with our year-long transition plan, Michael B. McCallister, our Chief Executive Officer, gave us notice pursuant to his employment agreement (which we refer to as the McCallister Employment Agreement) that he would not renew that agreement and would retire as Chief Executive Officer effective December 31, 2012. In connection with his retirement, we entered into a letter agreement with Mr. McCallister pursuant to which we exercised our option to enforce our non-compete agreement with Mr. McCallister during the period commencing on January 1, 2013 and ending on the second anniversary of the termination of Mr. McCallister s service as a member of the Board of Directors and, as consideration for that non-compete agreement, paid Mr. McCallister a lump sum in the amount of \$2,170,000. For a description of the compensation of our Former Chief Executive Officer.
- (5) On November 2, 2012, we entered into an amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Broussard, which we refer to as the Broussard Employment Agreement, pursuant to which Mr. Broussard serves as our President and Chief Executive Officer. Pursuant to the Broussard Employment Agreement, Mr. Broussard will be paid an annual base salary of not less than \$1,085,000 and will be eligible to participate in all benefit plans and programs made available by us to our employees, including participation in bonus and incentive compensation plans and programs on terms determined by the Organization & Compensation Committee. In addition, Mr. Broussard will be eligible to receive a target annual incentive equal to 150% of his base salary and a maximum annual incentive equal to 150% of his target incentive, payable pursuant to the Company s Executive Management Incentive Compensation Plan. Mr. Broussard will also be entitled to a long-term incentive award in 2013 with a value of \$5,000,000 (such to be determined on the same basis as the Committee s values such awards generally). In recognition of the fact that Mr. Broussard will need to temporarily commute to the Louisville area, the Broussard Employment Agreement also provides for: (i) standard relocation benefits under the company s relocation policy; (ii) use of the Company aircraft for purposes of commuting from his current residence to the Company s principal offices in Louisville, Kentucky; and (iii) a housing allowance in the amount of \$10,000 per month. These commutation and housing allowances will be provided until the earlier of (a) Mr. Broussard s relocation to Louisville, Kentucky, and (b) August 31, 2013, with no gross-ups for any taxes Mr. Broussard may incur with respect to these payments or benefits. In addition, Mr. Broussard is subject to non-competition and non-solicitation covenants during his term of employment and for two years following his termination, as well as to a perpetual covenant not to use or disclose confidential information and trade secrets. Mr. Broussard was also entitled to be reimbursed for legal fees incurred in connection with the negotiation of the Broussard Employment Agreement. The Broussard Employment Agreement also provides for payments in the event Mr. Broussard terminates his employment. For a description of these payment provisions see the section entitled Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control of the Company.

## **Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

The following table provides information about stock options and restricted stock granted in 2012 under our Amended and Restated 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, which we refer to as the 2003 Stock Plan, or our 2011 Stock Incentive Plan, which we refer to as the 2011 Stock Plan, and the range of potential payments earned in 2012 under the Humana Inc. Executive Management Incentive Compensation Plan, which we refer to as the Management Incentive Plan. A discussion of the features of each type of award is included in the footnotes that follow the table.

								All Other Stock	All Other			
								Awards:	Option	Exercise		
								Number	Awards:	or Base		
								Of Shares	Number of	Price		
								Of	Securities	of		Grant
							e Payouts ncentive	Stock	Underlying	g Option	Closing Market Price	Date Fair Value of Stock
	Grant	Under M	ted Possible Non-Equity 1	Incentive		Plan Awards		or Units	Options		on Grant Date	and Option Awards
	Date	Threshold	lan Awards Target	Maximum	Threshold			(#) <sup>(2)</sup>	(#) <sup>(3)</sup>	(\$/Sh) <sup>(4)</sup>	(\$/Sh)	(\$)
Name (a)	(b)	(\$) (c)	(\$) (d)	(\$) (e)	(\$) (f)	(\$) (g)	(\$) (h)	(i)	(j)	( <b>k-1</b> )	( <b>k-2</b> )	(1)
Michael B. McCallister	01/01/2012 02/23/2012 02/23/2012	813,750	1,627,500	2,170,000	(1)	(g)	(1)	19,741	101,557	( <b>R</b> -1) 88.65	87.78 87.78	1,746,650 3,307,823
Bruce D. Broussard	1/01/2012	675,000	1,350,000	2,025,000								
James H. Bloem	01/01/2012 02/23/2012 02/23/2012	312,500	625,000	937,500				5,528	28,436	88.65	87.78 87.78	489,108 926,192
James E. Murray	01/01/2012 02/23/2012 02/23/2012	375,000	750,000	1,125,000				9,476	48,747	88.65	87.78 87.78	838,420 1,587,743
Paul B.K. Kusserow	01/01/2012 02/23/2012 02/23/2012	250,000	500,000	750,000				4,738	24,374	88.65	87.78 87.78	419,210 793,888

(1) The actual payment of incentive compensation is shown in the Summary Compensation Table under Executive Compensation in this proxy statement. For the EPS objective under our Management Incentive Plan, there are threshold, target and maximum achievement payout levels that impact the final value of the award. Performance below the threshold yields no award. See the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained herein for a discussion of incentive compensation for our Named Executive Officers. The Committee has sole discretion to determine whether to actually pay the entire permissible award, to decrease an award, or to defer payment of any award. The Committee is also authorized to establish additional conditions and terms of payment for awards, including the achievement of other or additional financial, strategic or individual goals, which may be objective or subjective, as it deems appropriate. The Committee may not waive the basic performance targets as to the business criteria chosen for any performance period.

- (2) Amounts in this column represent restricted stock units awarded to our Named Executive Officers that fully vest three years from the date of grant.
- (3) Stock options awarded to our Named Executive Officers are incentive stock options to the extent allowed by regulation and the balance are treated as nonqualified stock options. Stock options granted to our Named Executive Officers vest and become exercisable in equal annual one-third installments from the date of grant. The above options expire seven years from the date of grant. In the event of a Change in Control of the Company, as defined in the 2003 Stock Plan, all outstanding stock options become fully vested and immediately exercisable in their entirety. In addition, during the 60-day period following the Change in Control, any stock option (or portion thereof) granted under the 2003 Stock Plan may generally be surrendered for cancellation for a payment of the difference between the adjusted fair market value of the underlying common stock and option price as more fully described in the 2003 Stock Plan. (See the section entitled Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control of the Company herein for further detail and for a quantification of the acceleration of stock options upon a Change in Control.) The exercise price may be paid in cash or, at the discretion of the Organization & Compensation Committee, in shares of our common stock valued at the fair market value on the date of exercise or any combination thereof. Under our incentive stock plans, the Board may not reduce the exercise price for options or stock appreciation rights by repricing or replacing any option award.

(4) Options under our stock incentive plans cannot be granted at less than the Fair Market Value. The Fair Market Value, as defined in our stock incentive plans, is the average of the highest and lowest reported sales prices of our common stock in transactions reported on the NYSE composite tape on the grant date. Each of the 2003 Stock Plan and the 2011 Stock Plan was approved by our Board of Directors and by our stockholders.

# Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table provides information on the stock option and restricted stock holdings of our Named Executive Officers as of December 31, 2012.

	Option A	wards			Stock	x Awards	Equity		
								Equity	Incentive
								Incentive	Plan
								Plan	Awards:
			Equity					Awards:	Market or
			Incentive					Number of	Payout
			Plan			Number		Unearned	Value of
			Awards:			of Shares	Market	Shares,	Unearned
	Number of	Number of	Number of			or Units	Value of	Units or	Shares, Units
	Securities	Securities	Securities			of Steely	Shares or	Other	or Other
	Underlying	Underlying	Underlying			Stock	Units of	Rights	<b>Rights That</b>
	Unexercised	Unexercised	Unexercised	Option		That	Stock That	That Have	Have Not
	Options	Options	Unearned	Exercise	Option	Have Not	Have Not	Not Vested	Vested
	(#)	(#)	Options	Price	Expiration	Vested	Vested	(#)	(\$)
Name	Exercisable	Jnexercisable	(#)	(\$/Sh)	Date	(#)	(\$)	N/A	N/A
(a)	<b>(b</b> )	(c)	( <b>d</b> )	(e)	( <b>f</b> )	(g) <sup>(8)</sup>	(h) <sup>(9)</sup>	(i)	( <b>j</b> )
Michael B. McCallister <sup>(11)</sup>	150,000	<- <i>7</i>	x-7	53.9600	02/23/13(6)	0	~ /	-/	
	129,758			62.1000	02/22/14(3)				
	159,585			69.4750	02/21/15 <sup>(1)</sup>				
	43,277	43,277		46.4000	02/18/17 <sup>(7)</sup>				
	29,512	59,025		61.1800	02/17/18(4)				
		101,557		88.6475	02/23/19 <sup>(10)</sup>	20.54	0.000		
Davies D. Davies and	52 767	52 767		00 6026	12/01/19(2)	39,764	2,729,003		
Bruce D. Broussard	53,767	53,767		88.6836	12/01/18 <sup>(2)</sup>	39,465	2,708,483		
James H. Bloem	46,436			69.4750	02/21/15(1)	,	,,		
		12,118		46.4000	02/18/17(7)				
		15,178		61.1800	02/17/18(4)				
		28,436		88.6475	02/23/19 <sup>(10)</sup>				

				27,878	1,913,267	
James E. Murray	38,234	69.4750	02/21/15(1)			
	19,042	46.4000	02/18/17(7)			
	26,140	61.1800	02/17/18(4)			
	48,747	88.6475	02/23/19(10)			
				47,011	3,226,365	
Paul B.K. Kusserow	16,667	29.5300	04/28/16 <sup>(5)</sup>			
	12,118	46.4000	02/18/17(7)			
	15,178	61.1800	02/17/18(4)			
	24,374	88.6475	02/23/19(10)			
				9,887	678,545	

- (1) Options granted on February 21, 2008, pursuant to the Company s 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, fully vested.
- (2) Options granted on December 1, 2011, pursuant to the Company s 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, vesting ratably over two years, with full vesting on December 1, 2013.
- (3) Options granted on February 22, 2007, pursuant to the Company s 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, fully vested.
- (4) Options granted on February 17, 2011, pursuant to the Company s 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, vesting ratably over three years, with full vesting on February 17, 2014.

- (5) Options granted on April 28, 2009, pursuant to the Company s 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, fully vested.
- (6) Options granted on February 23, 2006, pursuant to the Company s 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, fully vested.
- (7) Options granted on February 18, 2010, pursuant to the Company s 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, vesting ratably over three years, with full vesting on February 18, 2013.
- (8) Restricted stock units awarded to Messrs. McCallister, Bloem, Murray and Kusserow on February 17, 2011, fully vest three years from the date of grant. Restricted stock units awarded to Messrs. Bloem and Murray on November 7, 2011, fully vest two years from the date of grant. Restricted stock awarded to Mr. Broussard on December 1, 2011, vests in equal annual one-half installments from the date of grant.
- (9) Based on the closing stock price on December 31, 2012 of \$68.63.
- (10) Options granted on February 23, 2012, pursuant to the Company s 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, vesting ratably over three years, with full vesting on February 23, 2015.
- (11) For Mr. McCallister, who retired effective December 31, 2012, any outstanding options that were exercisable as of his retirement date may be exercised at any time prior to the expiration of such options or December 31, 2014, whichever period is shorter.

## **Option Exercises and Stock Vested**

The following table provides information on the stock options exercised by the Named Executive Officers in 2012.

	Optio	n Awards	Stock Awards Number of				
	Number of	Value Realized	Shares Acquired	Value Realized			
	Shares	on Exercise	on Vesting	on Vesting			
Name	Acquired on Exercise (#)	<b>(\$)</b> <sup>(1)</sup>	(#)	(\$)			
(a)	<b>(b</b> )	(c)	( <b>d</b> )	(e)			
Michael B. McCallister	225,523	7,443,929	0	0			
Bruce D. Broussard	0	0	39,465	2,583,774			
James H. Bloem	87,526	2,870,768	0	0			
James E. Murray	164,919	5,718,767	0	0			
Paul B.K. Kusserow	0	0	0	0			

(1) The Value Realized on Exercise is based on the difference between the Fair Market Value of our common stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange composite tape and the exercise price of the options on the date of exercise. Options exercised may or may not have been sold by a particular Named Executive Officer, and the inclusion in this table of such information should not be understood to imply the actual receipt of monies.

### **Nonqualified Deferred Compensation**

The following table and narrative that follows provides information on the Humana Retirement Equalization Plan contributions and earnings for the Named Executive Officers in 2012.

	Executive Contributions in Last FY	Registrant Contributions in Last FY	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE
Name	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
(a)	( <b>b</b> )	(c)(1)	( <b>d</b> )	(e)	( <b>f</b> )
Michael B. McCallister		221,156	218,565		10,162,614
Bruce D. Broussard		48,750	0		48,750

James H. Bloem	92,616	196,042	1,677,529
James E. Murray	116,529	(343,205)	4,042,591
Paul B.K. Kusserow	73,280	5,674	170,878

(1) The amounts listed above under Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (column c) are also included under the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table in this proxy statement.

We have a 401(k) plan, the Humana Retirement Savings Plan, and a nonqualified, unfunded, defined contribution plan, the Humana Retirement Equalization Plan. The Internal Revenue Code imposes limitations on the contributions that may be made to a qualified plan, like our Humana Retirement Savings Plan. In 2012, once an individual is paid \$250,000 in compensation, both individual and Company contributions to the Pretax Savings Account of the Humana Retirement Savings Plan are credited to the Pretax Savings Account of the Humana Retirement Savings Plan are credited to the Pretax Savings Account of the Humana Retirement Savings Plan are credited to the Pretax Savings Account of the Humana Retirement Savings Plan are credited to the Pretax Savings Account of the Humana Retirement Savings Plan are credited to the Pretax Savings Account of the Humana Retirement Savings Plan are credited to the Pretax Savings Account of the Humana Retirement Savings Plan are credited to the Pretax Savings Account of the Humana Retirement Savings Plan are credited to the Pretax Savings Account of the Humana Retirement Savings Plan are credited to the Pretax Savings Account of the Humana Retirement Savings Plan are credited to the Pretax Savings Account of the Humana Retirement Equalization Plan.

The benefits accrued under the Humana Retirement Equalization Plan are those Company contributions that cannot be made to the qualified Humana Retirement Savings Plan because of the IRS limitations. The maximum percentage of compensation (base salary and incentive compensation) that can be contributed by a highly compensated employee to the Humana Retirement Savings Plan is 35% for 2012. The Company matches 125% of the first 6% of employee salary deferrals. Accruals under the Humana Retirement Equalization Plan, which is unfunded, are deemed to be invested in the accounts selected by the participants. The Humana Retirement Equalization Plan, as directed by the participants, are distributable upon termination of employment, death, total disability, retirement or a Change in Control of the Company. Distribution of benefits may take the form of a lump sum, periodic installments not to exceed twenty (20) years, or an annuity if the Humana Retirement Equalization Plan balance exceeds \$100,000. All of the Named Executive Officers, except Mr. Broussard, eligible for a contribution under the Humana Retirement Equalization Plan in 2012 will receive a lump sum payment upon termination. Mr. Broussard has elected installments for 10 years following termination.

### Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control of the Company

The Named Executive Officers would each receive certain payments upon termination from the Company which vary in amount depending on the reason for termination. Each Named Executive Officer would also receive a specified payment in connection with a Change in Control of the Company. The table below provides dollar amounts for all potential payments that would be paid by us to each Named Executive Officer under various scenarios involving either a termination or a Change in Control. The amounts disclosed assume the Named Executive Officer s termination under the various scenarios occurred on December 31, 2012. The payments to our Named Executive Officers are governed by the various agreements or arrangements described in the footnotes to the table (with the exception of Mr. Broussard, whose payments are governed by his employment agreement as described in footnote (2) below). The timing of the payments described below to the Named Executive Officers may also be subject to the provisions of Section 409A which may delay payment.

. .

Name and Form of Payment(1)	Voluntary ermination (a)	ivoluntary ermination without Cause (b)	T	nvoluntary ermination for Cause (c)	Re	tirement(7) (d)	D	Death or bisability(8) (e)	Change in Control(5) (f)
Bruce D. Broussard (2)	()	()		(-)		(-)		(-)	(-)
Severance	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,800,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 5,850,000
Life, Health & Other Benefits (8)	0	0		0		0		0	45,610
Stock Options (accelerated) (4)	0	0		0		0		0	0
Restricted Stock (accelerated) (4)	0	2,673,951		0		0		2,673,951	2,673,951
Subtotal: Termination Related Payments	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 4,473,951	\$	0	\$	0	\$	2,673,951	\$ 8,569,561
Stock Options (vested) (4)	0	0		0		0		0	0
Supplemental Retirement Plan (6)	48,750	48,750		48,750		48,750		48,750	48,750
Subtotal: Currently Vested Amounts	\$ 48,750	\$ 48,750	\$	48,750	\$	48,750	\$	48,750	\$ 48,750
Mr. Broussard s Totals	\$ 1,848,750	\$ 4,522,701	\$	48,750	\$	48,750	\$	2,722,701	\$ 8,618,311
James H. Bloem									
Severance (3)	\$ 0	\$ 937,500	\$		\$	0	\$		\$ 2,343,750
Life, Health & Other Benefits (8)	19,495	19,495		0		19,495		19,495	40,940
Stock Options (accelerated) (4)	0	0		0		0		358,713	358,713
Restricted Stock (accelerated) (4)	0	0		0		0		1,888,874	1,888,874
Subtotal: Termination Related Payments	\$ 19,495	\$ 956,995	\$	0	\$	19,495	\$	2,267,082	\$ 4,632,277
Stock Options (vested) (4)	0	0		0		0		0	0
Supplemental Retirement Plan (6)	1,677,529	1,677,529		1,677,529		1,677,529		1,677,529	1,677,529
Subtotal: Currently Vested Amounts	\$ 1,677,529	\$ 1,677,529	\$	1,677,529	\$	1,677,529	\$	1,677,529	\$ 1,677,529
Mr. Bloem s Totals	\$ 1,697,024	\$ 2,634,524	\$	1,677,529	\$	1,697,024	\$	3,944,611	\$ 6,309,806
James E. Murray									
Severance (3)	\$ 0	\$ 1,125,000	\$		\$	0	\$		\$ 2,812,500
Life, Health & Other Benefits (8)	15,595	15,595		0		15,595		15,595	32,750
Stock Options (accelerated) (4)	0	0		0		0		578,738	578,738
Restricted Stock (accelerated) (4)	0	0		0		0		3,185,230	3,185,230

Subtotal: Termination Related Payments	\$ 15,595	\$ 1,140,595	\$ 0	\$ 15,595	\$ 3,779,563	\$ 6,609,218
Stock Options (vested) (4)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Supplemental Retirement Plan (6)	4,042,591	4,042,591	4,042,591	4,042,591	4,042,591	4,042,591
Subtotal: Currently Vested Amounts	\$ 4,042,591	\$ 4,042,591	\$ 4,042,591	\$ 4,042,591	\$ 4,042,591	\$ 4,042,591
Mr. Murray s Totals	\$ 4,058,186	\$ 5,183,186	\$ 4,042,591	\$ 4,058,186	\$ 7,822,154	\$ 10,651,809
Paul B.K. Kusserow						
Severance (3)	\$ 0	\$ 625,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,875,000
Life, Health & Other Benefits (8)	0	0	0	0	0	39,229
Stock Options (accelerated) (4)	0	0	0	0	358,713	358,713
Restricted Stock (accelerated) (4)	0	0	0	0	669,894	669,894
Subtotal: Termination Related Payments	\$ 0	\$ 625,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,028,607	\$ 2,942,836
Stock Options (vested) (4)	637,179	637,179	0	637,179	637,179	637,179
Supplemental Retirement Plan (6)	170,878	170,878	170,878	170,878	170,878	170,878
Subtotal: Currently Vested Amounts	\$ 808,057	\$ 808,057	\$ 170,878	\$ 808,057	\$ 808,057	\$ 808,057
Mr. Kusserow s Totals	\$ 808,057	\$ 1,433,057	\$ 170,878	\$ 808,057	\$ 1,836,664	\$ 3,750,893

#### (1) Payments Made to our Former Chief Executive Officer

On May 16, 2008, we entered into an amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. McCallister, which we refer to as the McCallister Employment Agreement, pursuant to which he served as our President and Chief Executive Officer at an annual base salary in an amount not less than \$1,025,000, and was eligible to participate in all benefit plans and programs made available by us for our employees, including participation in bonus and incentive compensation plans and programs on terms determined by the Organization and Compensation Committee. As previously disclosed, on October 31, 2012, in accordance with our year-long transition plan, Mr. McCallister gave us notice pursuant to the McCallister Employment Agreement that he would not renew that agreement and would retire as Chief Executive Officer effective December 31, 2012. In connection with his retirement, we entered into a letter agreement with Mr. McCallister providing for certain compensation elements. For a description of those elements, please refer to the section entitled, Compensation Discussion & Analysis Elements of Compensation Compensation of our Former Chief Executive Officer. For purposes of this table, the term Named Executive Officers therefore does not include Mr. McCallister.

#### (2) Broussard Employment Agreements

On November 2, 2012, we entered into an amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Broussard, which we refer to as the Broussard Employment Agreement, under which he serves as our President and Chief Executive Officer for an initial three-year term that commenced on January 1, 2013. The table above discloses potential payments upon termination or a change in control that would be owed to Mr. Broussard under the Broussard Employment Agreement.

Under the Broussard Employment Agreement, if Mr. Broussard s employment is terminated by the Company without Cause or by Mr. Broussard for Good Reason (as such terms are defined in the Employment Agreement), or if the Term expires by reason of the Company providing Mr. Broussard with written notice that the Term shall not be extended and Mr. Broussard terminates his employment with the Company for any reason within thirty (30) days after the expiration of the Term, in addition to accrued benefits, the Company would pay or provide to Mr. Broussard, (i) a pro-rated portion of the annual incentive he would have earned for the entire year based on the Company s actual performance (a Pro-Rated Incentive Payment ), (ii) an amount equal to two times Mr. Broussard s then-current base salary, (iii) reimbursement of a portion of the COBRA premiums for Mr. Broussard and his dependents under the Company s medical and dental benefit plans for 18 months following termination and (iv) accelerated vesting of all equity awards (except for performance-vested equity-based awards other than stock options, in which case a pro-rated number of shares or stock units subject to the award (based on the number of days in the performance period that have elapsed through the Termination Date) shall remain outstanding until the end of the applicable performance period and the percentage of such shares or stock units that become vested shall be determined based on the actual level of performance attained). Mr. Broussard would have Good Reason to resign and trigger severance benefits upon the occurrence of one of the following: (i) a material and adverse change in duties, authorities and responsibilities, (ii) a reduction in base salary or target incentive opportunity, other than in connection with an across-the-board reduction applicable to all senior executives of the Company, (iii) following his relocation to Louisville, the relocation of his principal place of business resulting in an increase in one-way commute of more than thirty (30) miles, or (iv) the failure of the Company to continue in effect a material incentive or other compensation plan unless the Company substitutes a plan providing substantially equivalent compensation opportunities.

In the event that Mr. Broussard s employment is terminated by the Company without Cause or by Mr. Broussard for Good Reason within 24 months following a Change in Control (as defined in the Employment Agreement), or by the Company without Cause under certain circumstances prior to a Change in Control, in addition to the accrued benefits, the Company would pay or provide to Mr. Broussard: (i) a Pro-Rated Incentive Payment, (ii) a lump-sum payment equal to two times the amount equal to the sum of (A) his then-current base salary plus (B) the maximum annual incentive that he could have earned for the fiscal year in which termination

occurs, (iii) continuation, at the Company s expense, of all life, medical, dental, accidental death and dismemberment and disability insurance for him and his dependents for 24 months following the termination date and (iv) accelerated vesting of all equity awards (except for performance-vested equity-based awards other than stock options, in which case a pro-rated number of shares or stock units subject to the award (based on the number of days in the performance period that have elapsed through the Termination Date) shall remain outstanding until the end of the applicable performance period and the percentage of such shares or stock units that become vested shall be determined based on the actual level of performance attained).

In the event that Mr. Broussard s employment is terminated due to his death or disability, the Company would pay or provide (i) a Pro-Rated Incentive Payment and (ii) continuation of health and welfare benefits comparable to those described above.

If (i) Mr. Broussard becomes entitled to payments that would be parachute payments in connection with a change in control of the Company that would subject him to the excise tax under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code and (ii) the aggregate amount of such payments that he would receive, after all taxes, is less than he would receive if such payments were reduced below the threshold above which the excise tax would apply, then (iii) such payments shall be reduced to \$1.00 below such threshold so that Mr. Broussard does not become subject to the excise tax.

#### (3) Severance Policy

Our executive officer severance policy, which we refer to as the Severance Policy, was adopted in 1999. The Severance Policy covers all of our Named Executive Officers, except for Mr. Broussard (whose payments as of December 31, 2012 were covered by the Broussard Employment Agreement, as described above) and Mr. McCallister. Under the Severance Policy, an executive officer involuntarily terminated for reasons not meeting the definition of Good Cause will receive one year s base salary plus one additional month s base salary for each of the first six full years of service up to a maximum of eighteen months base pay. The terminated executive officer would remain eligible to receive prorated incentive compensation to be paid at the normal time after year end, provided plan targets and other plan provisions were met. Since the calculations in the table assume a December 31, 2012 termination, incentive compensation would be paid for the full year. Under the Severance Policy, Messrs. Bloem and Murray would be entitled to one year s base salary plus six months base salary, since each has been with the Company for more than six years, while Mr. Kusserow would be entitled to one year s base salary plus three months base salary, since he joined the Company in 2009. These amounts are included in the table. If the Named Executive Officers were terminated in connection with a change in control, they would be eligible to receive benefits pursuant to their respective CIC Agreements (described in further detail below) instead of pursuant to the Severance Policy.

In connection with the receipt of any severance payments described above, the Named Executive Officer would be required to enter into a written agreement that would forbid him or her from competing with us for a period of twelve months. Such an agreement would also contain other provisions intended to prohibit the Named Executive Officer from making any disparaging remarks about us, and would also have clauses regarding cooperation and specific enforcement.

#### (4) Stock Option and Restricted Stock Agreements

At December 31, 2012, the Named Executive Officers have stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units outstanding under our 2003 Stock Incentive Plan and our 2011 Stock Incentive Plan, which we refer to collectively as the Stock Plans. The treatment of these equity awards will vary depending upon the nature of the termination. The amounts disclosed in the table assume treatment of stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units based on the December 31, 2012 fair market value of \$67.76.

*Voluntary Termination*. Under the Stock Plans, upon a voluntary termination for reasons not having to do with Cause or Retirement, in each case as defined below, each Named Executive Officer would have 90 days to exercise any vested options, but in no event beyond the expiration date. Any unvested shares of restricted stock would be forfeited upon a voluntary termination for reasons not having to do with Cause or Retirement, in each case as defined below; provided, however, that the Committee may determine, in its sole discretion, that the restrictions on some or all of such unvested shares of restricted stock shall immediately lapse upon such termination.

*Involuntary Termination without Cause.* Under the Stock Plans, upon an involuntary termination by the Company for reasons other than Cause, all unvested stock options held by our Named Executive Officers (with the exception of stock options held by Mr. Broussard from his initial grant of stock options upon joining the Company) would be forfeited. Any unvested shares of restricted stock or restricted stock units held by our Named Executive Officers (with the exception of unvested restricted stock held by Mr. Broussard s from his initial grant upon joining the Company) would also be forfeited. Pursuant to the terms of the Broussard Employment Agreement, any unvested stock options or shares of restricted stock held by Mr. Broussard from his initial equity grant upon joining the Company would immediately vest upon an involuntary termination of Mr. Broussard by the Company for reasons other than Cause.

*Involuntary Termination for Cause.* Under the Stock Plans, in the event of termination for Cause, all options and unvested shares of restricted stock are forfeited for all Named Executive Officers, regardless of whether the options are vested. Under the Stock Plans, Cause is defined as a felony conviction of a Participant or the failure of a Participant to contest prosecution for a felony, or a Participant s willful misconduct or dishonesty, any of which is determined by the Committee to be directly and materially harmful to the business or reputation of the Company or its Subsidiaries.

*Retirement.* Under the Stock Plans, with respect to grants of options prior to January 1, 2010, in the event of Retirement, each Named Executive Officer would have two years to exercise any vested options, but in no event beyond the expiration date. Any unvested stock options would be forfeited. With respect to grants of restricted stock prior to January 1, 2010, in the event of Retirement, any unvested shares of restricted stock would be forfeited upon Retirement; provided, however, that the Committee may determine, in its sole discretion, that the restrictions on some or all of such unvested shares of restricted stock shall immediately lapse upon Retirement.

On June 25, 2009, the Committee approved certain amendments to the Company s benefit plans, including the Stock Plans, that modify the definition of eligible retirement under each of the Stock Plans to mean a combination of age and years of service with the Company totaling 65 or greater, with a minimum required age of 55 and a minimum requirement of five years of service. With respect to grants of options on or after January 1, 2010, in the event of an eligible Retirement by a Named Executive Officer, any outstanding options (x) that have vested as of the retirement date of that Named Executive Officer will be exercisable within two years of such retirement date, and (y) that have not vested as of the retirement date of that Named Executive Officer will continue to vest according to their original vesting schedule and will be exercisable within two years of the vesting date of such options. With respect to grants of restricted stock on or after January 1, 2010, in the event of an eligible Retirement by a Named Executive Officer, any unvested shares of restricted stock will continue to vest according to their original vesting to their original vesting schedule.

Messrs. Broussard and Kusserow are not retirement eligible, therefore any stock options and unvested restricted stock held by them would be forfeited upon Retirement. Messrs. Murray and Bloem each met the definition for eligible retirement on January 1, 2010, therefore options awarded to Messrs. Murray and Bloem in 2010, 2011 and 2012, and restricted stock awarded to them in 2011 and 2012 (except for the restricted stock units awarded to Messrs. Bloem and Murray in November of 2011) would in each case continue to vest according to their original vesting schedule upon retirement. The November 2011 restricted stock units awarded to Messrs. Bloem and Murray were for retention purposes and therefore were not subject to continued vesting upon

retirement. For additional information on the stock options and restricted stock held by each of our Named Executive Officers, please refer to the table entitled, Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End in this proxy statement.

*Death or Disability.* Under the Stock Plans, in the event of death or Disability of a Named Executive Officer, all outstanding options shall become immediately exercisable in full and the Named Executive Officer, or his estate or representative shall have two years to exercise the options regardless of the expiration date. At December 31, 2012, the Named Executive Officers had options with a spread based on the December 31, 2012 fair market value of \$67.76 as set forth in the table below. Under the Stock Plans, in the event of death or Disability of a Named Executive Officer, any unvested shares of restricted stock shall immediately vest.

*Change in Control.* In the event of a Change in Control, all unvested shares of restricted stock shall immediately vest, and all options shall become immediately exercisable in full. If a Named Executive Officer is terminated (except for Cause) within three years of the Change in Control, the Named Executive Officer shall have two years to exercise these options, but in no event beyond the expiration date. See the amounts as set forth in the table under Change in Control.

Alternatively, under the 2003 Stock Plan, a Named Executive Officer would be permitted to surrender for cancellation within 60 days after a Change in Control, any unexercised option or a portion of an unexercised option and would be entitled to receive a payment in an amount equal to the difference between the greater of the Fair Market Value on the date of surrender or the Adjusted Fair Market Value (as defined below), and the option exercise price, times the number of shares under option so surrendered. The Adjusted Fair Market Value means, in the event of a Change in Control, the greater of (a) the highest price per share paid to stockholders in the transaction resulting in the Change in Control, or (b) the highest Fair Market Value of a share of our common stock during the 90 day period ending on the date of a Change in Control. The Fair Market Value of a share of our common stock on December 31, 2012 was \$67.76, and the highest Fair Market Value of a share of our common stock in the 90 day period preceding December 31, 2012 was \$75.97. The table set forth below presents the incremental value assuming that each Named Executive Officer surrenders all outstanding options at December 31, 2012, for the Adjusted Fair Market Value, have been excluded from the relevant values).

	Value of allValue of allVestedUnvested OptionsOptions atatDecember 31,December 31,		Val Adj	remental lue due to usted Fair Market					
Named Executive Officer		2012	2012		Value		Total		
Bruce D. Broussard	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0		
James H. Bloem	\$	0	\$ 358,713	\$	525,838	\$	884,551		
James E. Murray	\$	0	\$ 578,738	\$	619,500	\$1	,198,238		
Paul B.K. Kusserow	\$	637,179	\$ 358,713	\$	361,155	\$1	,357,047		

#### (5) Change in Control Agreements and Benefits

We have entered into agreements with all executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers (other than Mr. Broussard, whose payments in the event of a Change in Control are covered by the Broussard Employment Agreement, and Mr. McCallister), as well as certain key management employees, which provide certain benefits in the event the executive s employment is terminated by the Company without Cause or by the executive with Good Reason within twenty-four months following a Change in Control (as each term is defined in the CIC Agreements), or by the Company without Cause under certain circumstances prior to a Change in Control. Under these agreements, these individuals would be entitled to receive severance pay which generally is determined by multiplying the sum of each individual s annual base salary, and the maximum target incentive compensation payable to him or her, by a specified multiple. Messrs. Bloem, Murray and Kusserow would each receive a payment in the amount of one and one-half times the sum of his base salary and maximum target bonus, in the event of a Change in Control. Assuming a Change in Control had occurred at December 31, 2012, the payments set forth in the table above would have been made within ten days of the termination event (or such later date as may be required by Section 409A) by the surviving company in the Change in Control.

In addition, pursuant to the terms of the applicable award agreements, in the event of a Change in Control generally all outstanding options and restricted stock would immediately vest. See the discussion herein under Note 4 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Agreements.

Under the Change in Control agreements, each Named Executive Officer is entitled to receive all life insurance, health insurance, dental insurance, accidental death and dismemberment insurance and disability insurance under plans and programs in which the Named Executive Officer and/or the Named Executive Officer s dependents and beneficiaries participated immediately prior to the date of termination. These benefits shall continue until the earlier of (a) the second anniversary of the date of termination, (b) the effective date of coverage under equivalent benefits from a new employer, or (c) the death of the Named Executive Officer. These benefits are valued at the amounts listed in the table above for the two year period.

In March 2009, the Organization & Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors determined that the Company will not enter into any new or materially amended agreements with executive officers that include excise tax gross-up provisions with respect to payments contingent upon a change in control. As of January 1, 2013, we do not have any agreements with executive officers that include an excise tax gross-up provision with respect to payments contingent upon a change in control (during 2012, the McCallister Employment Agreement, which terminated effective December 31, 2012, was the only such agreement with us that provided for a tax gross up payment, which would have applied only in the context of a change in control).

#### (6) Pension and Retirement Plans

In the event of termination, each Named Executive Officer would receive their account balance under the Humana Retirement Equalization Plan disclosed in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table together with their Humana Retirement Savings Plan benefit. The Humana Retirement Savings Plan is a qualified 401(k) plan generally available to all Humana associates. The amounts below include both the individual s contribution and the Company s contributions. At December 31, 2012, the account balances under the Humana Retirement Savings Plan for the Named Executive Officers are as follows (which amounts are not included in the table):

Bruce D. Broussard	\$ 33,970
James H. Bloem	\$ 646,119
James E. Murray	\$ 1,866,295
Paul B.K. Kusserow	\$ 128,384

The Humana Retirement Savings Plan amounts are payable under various forms of distribution, the specific form to be elected by the participant. The forms of distribution are a single lump sum in cash or our common stock (if invested in the Humana common stock fund); substantially equal monthly, quarterly, or annual installments for a period of 5, 10, 15 or 20 years not to exceed the life expectancy of the participant, or the joint and last survivor expectancy of the participant and a designated beneficiary.

#### (7) Retirement

As noted above, on June 25, 2009, the Committee approved certain amendments to the Company s benefit plans, including the Stock Plans, that modify the definition of retirement eligibility under each of the Stock Plans to mean a combination of age and years of service with the Company totaling 65 or greater, with a minimum required age of 55 and a minimum requirement of five years of service. For additional information on the stock options and restricted stock held by each of our Named Executive Officers, please refer to the table entitled,

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End in this proxy statement. The table above does not include amounts that would be realized from this continued vesting of stock option and restricted stock awards.

#### (8) Life, Health and Other Benefits

Upon termination (other than a termination in connection with a Change in Control as described above), all officers elected by our Board of Directors, including our Named Executive Officers, are eligible for continuation of health and dental coverage pursuant to COBRA (other than Messrs. Bloem and Murray, who are grandfathered under a previously-existing benefit that provides, upon termination, health benefits for themselves and their eligible dependents until the earlier of attainment of age 65 or obtaining other coverage). Such coverage is not included in the table above (other than for Messrs. Bloem and Murray, for each of whom a one year expense for health benefits under the previously-existing benefit is assumed, except for a Change in Control where the amount covers a two year period).

In the event of death, the estate of each Named Executive Officer is entitled to receive a life insurance benefit in the amount of three times the current base salary of the officer (up to a maximum of \$3 million). As of December 31, 2012, the amount payable under such death benefit, which is not included in the table above, is as follows for our Named Executive Officers:

Bruce D. Broussard	\$ 2,700,000
James H. Bloem	\$ 1,875,000
James E. Murray	\$ 2,250,000
Paul B.K. Kusserow	\$ 1,500,000

# **CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS WITH MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS**

The Board of Directors has determined that there are no material transactions involving a director nominee of the Company. For a discussion of the transactions reviewed, please see the discussion under Independent Directors herein.

The Board of Directors has determined that there are no material transactions involving an executive officer or greater than 5% shareholder, other than as follows:

A brother-in-law of Mr. Murray is employed as a Director, Service Operations in the Accounts Installation Department, and received a salary and incentive of approximately \$325,000 for 2012, as well as benefits consistent with those provided to other employees with equivalent qualifications and responsibilities.

During 2012, the Company had an agreement with BlackRock, Inc., or BlackRock, which holds greater than five percent of the Company s outstanding stock as of December 31, 2012. Under this agreement, BlackRock provided fixed income investment management services to the Company, for which the Company paid approximately \$5 million in fees for the year ended December 31, 2012. The fees were determined solely on the amount of assets under management, and were comparable to those of non-affiliated customers.

During 2012, the Company had various business relationships with JP Morgan Chase & Co., or JPMorgan, which holds greater than five percent of the Company s outstanding stock as of December 31, 2012. Under these relationships, JPMorgan: (i) provided agent services and credit in connection with our 2011 amended and restated credit agreement; (ii) served as joint book-running manager in connection with our 2012 offering of senior notes; (iii) served as the issuer of our travel and entertainment corporate credit cards; (iv) provided investment custody services; and (v) paid our subsidiary, Concentra Inc., or its affiliates an immaterial amount of fees for occupational medicine, urgent care, physical therapy, and health and wellness services. For all of the services provided to Humana by JPMorgan, we paid approximately \$8.5 million in fees and expenses to JPMorgan for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the rates in each case were comparable to those of non-affiliated customers.

The Board of Directors has adopted a policy for review, approval and monitoring of transactions involving the Company and directors and executive officers or their immediate family members, or stockholders owning five percent or greater of the Company s outstanding stock. The policy covers any related person transaction that meets the minimum threshold for disclosure under the SEC s regulations. The Related Party Transaction Approval Policy may be viewed on our website. From the <u>www.humana.com</u> website, click on Investor Relations, then click on Corporate Governance, and then click on the link entitled Related Persons Transactions Policy.

# AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

Our Audit Committee currently is comprised of four directors. All members are independent and are financially literate as defined in the New York Stock Exchange listing standards. The Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. D Amelio, O Brien and Mitchell each meet the definition of audit committee financial expert. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Committee.

The Audit Committee reviews Humana's financial reporting processes on behalf of the Board of Directors. In fulfilling its responsibilities, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements contained in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 with Humana's management and its independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, or PwC. Management is responsible for the financial statements and the reporting process, including its assessment of our internal control over financial reporting. PwC is responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of those audited financial statements with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Management has represented to PwC and the Audit Committee that our consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Audit Committee discussed with PwC the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 114, *The Auditor s Communication With Those Charged With Governance*. In addition, the Audit Committee has discussed with PwC, the auditors independence from Humana and its management including the matters in the written disclosures required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the audit committee concerning independence.

During 2012, the Audit Committee met independently with our Chief Audit Officer, our Chief Compliance Officer, key members of management, and PwC regarding our business, current and planned audit activities, and risks that could impact the Company and management actions to mitigate those risks. The Audit Committee, in consultation with management, Internal Audit, and PwC reviewed our quarterly financial statements and earnings releases. The Audit Committee reviewed our annual financial statements. Audit Committee members individually reviewed our monthly operating and financial information as well as internal audits of controls over operations, financial processes, and compliance with laws and regulations.

The Audit Committee reviewed and evaluated the relevant requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the rules of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange regarding audit committee procedures and responsibilities, including a review of our internal controls and procedures.

The Audit Committee reviewed and approved the services provided by PwC to us consisting of the following:

		For The Years Ended December 31		
	2012	2011		
Audit Fees	\$ 5,291,500	\$4,575,800		
Audit Related Fees	940,000	899,800		
Tax Fees	291,800	179,600		
All Other Fees	13,800	1,500		
TOTAL	\$ 6,537,100	\$ 5,656,700		

Audit Fees include activities relating to the attestation of our consolidated financial statements, the audit of internal control over financial reporting, statutory and other separate company audits and related services, and consultations related to miscellaneous SEC and financial reporting matters.

Audit-Related Fees include activities relating to the attestation of our employee benefit plans, reports issued pursuant to Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 16, *Reporting on Controls at a Service Organization*, and mandated regulatory and compliance reviews. No amounts were paid for financial systems design and implementation.

Tax Fees include activities relating to tax compliance services and tax consultation and related services.

All Other Fees include the annual renewal of software licenses for accounting research and human resources software.

The Audit Committee discussed with our internal auditors and with PwC the overall scope and plans for their respective audits. At each meeting, the Audit Committee is provided the opportunity to meet with the internal auditors and with PwC with and without management present, and, in fact, met with the internal auditors and with PwC with and without management present in connection with each regularly scheduled Board of Directors meeting in 2012.

The Audit Committee has established policies and procedures for pre-approving all audit, review and attest services that are required under the securities laws and all other permissible tax and non-audit services necessary to assure PwC s continued independence. The Audit Committee annually pre-approves the following permissible non-audit services:

related assurance and attestation services;

risk and control services;

transaction services; and

#### tax services.

The fees shown in the table above were all pre-approved in accordance with these policies and procedures. The Audit Committee separately will consider any proposed retention of the independent registered public accounting firm for permissible non-audit services other than those listed above.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board has approved, that the audited financial statements be included in the Humana Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

All members of the Audit Committee of Humana submit the foregoing report:

### AUDIT COMMITTEE

Frank A. D Amelio, Chairman

William E. Mitchell

David B. Nash, M.D.

# PROPOSAL TWO: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

### Background

The Board of Directors, in accordance with the recommendation of its Audit Committee, has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC) as the independent registered public accounting firm to audit the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ending December 31, 2013. In making this appointment, the Board considered the performance and independence of PwC, including whether any non-audit services performed by PwC are compatible with maintaining independence. The Audit Committee and Board of Directors believe that PwC has invaluable long-term knowledge of Humana. While preserving that knowledge, partners and employees of PwC engaged in audits of Humana are periodically changed, giving Humana access to new expertise, experience and perspectives. This year, we are asking our stockholders to ratify the appointment of PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm. Although ratification is not required by our Charter, Bylaws, Delaware law or otherwise, the Board is submitting the appointment of PwC to our stockholders for ratification because we value our stockholders views on our independent registered public accounting firm. If our stockholders fail to ratify the appointment, it will be considered as a non-binding recommendation to the Board and the Audit Committee to consider the appointment of a different firm for fiscal year 2014. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Board and the Audit Committee may select a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders. Representatives of PwC will be present at the Annual Meeting and will be afforded the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and to respond to appropriate questions.

### Vote Required and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on the proposal is required for the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm. Shares not present at the meeting and shares voting abstain or broker non-votes have no effect on the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. Pursuant to NYSE regulations, brokers have discretionary voting power over the ratification of the appointment of the Company s independent registered public accounting firm.

# THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AS THE COMPANY S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM.

# PROPOSAL THREE: NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE WITH RESPECT TO THE COMPENSATION OF THE COMPANY S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

### Background

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, enacted on July 21, 2010 (which we refer to as the Dodd-Frank Act), required that we include in our 2011 proxy statement a non-binding advisory stockholder vote with respect to the frequency of future advisory votes regarding the compensation of our Named Executive Officers as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the compensation tables and the accompanying narrative disclosure, set forth in this proxy statement (commonly referred to as Say-on-Pay).

At our 2011 Annual Meeting, held on April 21, 2011, our stockholders recommended an annual Say-on-Pay vote, and our Board of Directors subsequently adopted that recommendation. In 2013, we are therefore asking our stockholders to vote on the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of Humana Inc. approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company s Named Executive Officers, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the compensation tables, and the accompanying narrative disclosure, set forth in the Company s proxy statement.

The compensation of our Named Executive Officers is disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables, and the related disclosures contained in this proxy statement. As discussed in those disclosures, our philosophy is that compensation should be market-based, competency-paced and contribution-driven. Our compensation programs are designed to challenge participants as well as reward them for superior performance for our Company and our stockholders, with an emphasis on pay-for-performance principles to align the interests of our Named Executive Officers with those of our stockholders. Our compensation practices and policies enable us to attract and retain talented and experienced executives to lead the Company successfully in a competitive environment.

Your vote on this Proposal 3 is an advisory one, and therefore is not binding on the Company, the Organization & Compensation Committee, or the Board. The vote will not be construed to create or imply any change to the fiduciary duties of the Company or the Board, or to create or imply any additional fiduciary duties for the Company or the Board. Nevertheless, our Board and our Organization & Compensation Committee value the opinions of our stockholders, and intend to consider any stockholder concerns evidenced by this vote. We will evaluate and disclose whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns.

## Vote Required and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on the proposal is required for the approval of the non-binding advisory vote with respect to the compensation of the Company s Named Executive Officers. Shares not present at the meeting and broker non-votes have no effect on the approval of this non-binding advisory vote, while abstentions will count as votes against . Pursuant to NYSE regulations, brokers do not have discretionary voting power over this proposal, and therefore, if you hold Shares through a bank, broker or other nominee and do not provide voting instructions to your bank, broker or other nominee, your Shares may not be voted with respect to this proposal. If you timely submit a signed proxy but fail to specify instructions to vote with respect to this proposal, the accompanying proxy will be voted FOR this proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE PROPOSAL TO APPROVE THE COMPENSATION OF THE COMPANY S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AS DESCRIBED IN THE COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS, THE COMPENSATION TABLES, AND THE RELATED DISCLOSURES CONTAINED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

# PROPOSAL FOUR: DISCLOSURE OF POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES

### Background

We have been notified by a group of stockholders that they intend to submit the resolution set forth below at the Annual Meeting for action by the stockholders. Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(l)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we will provide the name, address and number of shares of our common stock held by the proponents of the stockholder proposal set forth below promptly upon receipt of a written or oral request. Requests should be submitted to Humana Inc., Attention: Corporate Secretary, 500 W. Main Street, Louisville, Kentucky 40202.

### **Proposal**

**Resolved,** that the shareholders of Humana Inc. ( Company ) hereby request that the Company provide a report, updated semiannually, disclosing the Company s:

1. Policies and procedures for making, with corporate funds or assets, contributions and expenditures (direct or indirect) to (a) participate or intervene in any political campaign on behalf of (or in opposition to) any candidate for public office, or (b) influence the general public, or any segment thereof, with respect to an election or referendum.

2. Monetary and non-monetary contributions and expenditures (direct and indirect) used in the manner described in section 1 above, including:

a. The identity of the recipient as well as the amount paid to each; and

b. The title(s) of the person(s) in the Company responsible decision-making.

The report shall be presented to the board of directors or relevant board committee and posted on the Company s website.

### **Stockholder Supporting Statement**

As long-term shareholders of Humana, we support transparency and accountability in corporate spending on political activities. These include any activities considered intervention in any political campaign under the Internal Revenue Code, such as direct and indirect political contributions to candidates, political parties, or political organizations; independent expenditures; or electioneering communications on behalf of federal, state or local candidates.

Disclosure is consistent with public policy, in the best interest of the company and its shareholders, and critical for compliance with federal ethics laws. Moreover, the Supreme Court s *Citizens United* decision recognized the importance of political spending disclosure for shareholders when it said, [D]isclosure permits citizens and shareholders to react to the speech of corporate entities in a proper way. This transparency enables the electorate to make informed decisions and give proper weight to different speakers and messages. Gaps in transparency and accountability may expose the company to reputational and business risks that could threaten long-term shareholder value.

## Table of Contents

Humana has contributed at least \$3.03 million in corporate funds since the 2002 election cycle. (CQ: http://moneyline.cq.com and National Institute on Money in State Politics: http://www.followthemoney.org)

However, relying on publicly available data does not provide a complete picture of the Company s political spending. For example, the Company s payments to trade associations used for political activities are undisclosed and unknown. In some cases, even management does not know how trade associations use their company s money politically. The proposal asks the Company to disclose all of its political spending, including payments to trade associations and other tax exempt organizations used for political purposes. This would bring our Company in line with a growing number of leading companies, including Exelon, Merck and Microsoft that support political disclosure and accountability and present this information on their websites.

The Company s Board and its shareholders need comprehensive disclosure to be able to fully evaluate the political use of corporate assets. We urge your support for this critical governance reform.

### Vote Required and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on the proposal is required to adopt the resolution. Shares not present at the meeting and Shares voting abstain or broker non-votes have no effect on the approval of this non-binding advisory vote. Pursuant to NYSE regulations, brokers do not have discretionary voting power over this proposal, and therefore, if you hold Shares through a bank, broker or other nominee and do not provide voting instructions to your bank, broker or other nominee, your Shares may not be voted with respect to this proposal. If you timely submit a signed proxy but fail to specify instructions to vote with respect to this proposal, the accompanying proxy will be voted FOR this proposal.

# THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS WILL OPPOSE THIS PROPOSAL IF IT IS INTRODUCED AT THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING AND RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

Humana is an active participant in the political process at all levels of government and seeks to promote political interests that are aligned with the business interests of the Company, its shareholders and its members. We agree that transparency and accountability with respect to political expenditures are important. That is why we publish semi-annually our Political Contributions and Related Activity Report, including, among other disclosures, the amount that portion of dues we pay to state and federal trade associations and other tax exempt organizations whose total dues exceed \$50,000, that in each case, were allocated to non-deductible lobbying activity under the Internal Revenue Code, as well as the total amount of membership dues we pay to those entities which report nondeductible lobbying activities. The report also affirmatively states our current, ongoing policy that we do not make disbursements for the purpose of funding public communications expressly advocating for or against clearly identified candidate(s), make express advocacy communications to the public directly, or give money to other groups in furtherance of such communications. Each such report is available on our website at www.humana.com/resources/about/public\_policy/get\_involved/humana\_pac.aspx.

The Company complies fully with all state and federal laws concerning the disclosure of its political and lobbying activity. With respect to oversight, our Board of Directors reviews the Political Contributions and Related Activity Report prior to publication. In addition, management regularly discusses public policy issues and political activities with our full Board. Given the importance of public policy to the health care industry and our business, our Board will continue to exercise oversight with respect to public policy and political matters.

We believe that the information currently available to shareholders is easily accessible and understandable and, coupled with the oversight of the Company s political activities by the Board, is in the best interest of the Company. As a result, we do not believe additional disclosure is warranted at this time.

For these reasons, the Board believes that this proposal is not in the best interests of Humana or our stockholders.

# **INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE**

The Organization & Compensation Committee Report and the Audit Committee Report (including the reference to the independence and financial expertise of the Audit Committee members), each contained in this Proxy Statement, are not deemed filed with the SEC and shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any prior or future filings made by Humana under the Securities Act, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate such information by reference into any of these future filings.

# **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, excluding certain of its exhibits, is included with the transmittal of this Proxy Statement. We will provide a copy without charge to anyone who makes a written request to Humana Inc., Investor Relations Department, 500 West Main Street, Louisville, KY 40202. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K and all other filings with the SEC may also be accessed via the Investor Relations page on our website at <u>www.humana.com</u>. From the <u>www.humana.com</u> website, click on Investor Relations, and then click on the report you wish to review under the SEC Filings & Financial Reports subcategory.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Joan O. Lenahan,

Vice President and Corporate Secretary

HUMANA INC.

500 WEST MAIN STREET

21st FLOOR

LOUISVILLE, KY 40202

ATTN: JOAN O. LENAHAN

#### YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

#### VOTE BY TELEPHONE OR INTERNET OR MAIL

#### VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on April 24, 2013. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the website and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

### ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

#### VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on April 24, 2013. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

### VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Humana Inc., c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717. PLEASE DO NOT MAIL BACK YOUR PROXY CARD IF YOU ARE VOTING BY TELEPHONE OR THE INTERNET.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

M54102-P33258 KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS

DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

HUMANA INC.

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR proposals 1, 2, and 3:

**Vote on Directors** 

### 1. Election of Directors.

Nominees:	For	Against	Abstain		For	Against	Abstain
1a) Bruce D. Broussard				2. The ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm.			
1b) Frank A. D Amelio				3. The approval of the compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed in the 2013 proxy statement.			
1c) W. Roy Dunbar				The Board of Directors recommends you	vote A	GAINST pro	posal 4
1d) Kurt J. Hilzinger				4. Stockholder Proposal on political contributions			
1e) David A. Jones, Jr.							
1f) Michael B. McCallister							
1g) William J. McDonald							
1h) William E. Mitchell							
1i) David B. Nash, M.D.							
1j) James J. O Brien							
1k) Marissa T. Peterson				At their discretion, the Proxies are authoriz matters as may come before the Annual Me		ote upon any o	ther

Signatures of stockholders should correspond exactly with the names shown on this proxy card. Attorneys, trustees, executors, administrators, guardians and others signing in a representative capacity should designate their full titles. When shares of Company Common Stock are held by joint tenants, both should sign. If a corporation, please sign in full corporate name by authorized officer. If a partnership, please sign in partnership name by an authorized person.

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX] Date

Signature (Joint Owners)

Date

A-1

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting:

The Notice and Proxy Statement and Annual Report are available at www.proxyvote.com.

M54103-P33258

#### HUMANA INC.

### ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

THURSDAY, APRIL 25, 2013

#### 9:00 AM, EDT

### HUMANA BUILDING

### 25<sup>th</sup> FLOOR AUDITORIUM

### **500 WEST MAIN STREET**

### LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY 40202

### PROXY SOLICITED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

### FOR 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

The undersigned hereby appoints Michael B. McCallister and Kurt J. Hilzinger, and each of them, their attorneys and agents, with full power of substitution to vote as Proxy for the undersigned, as herein stated, at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Humana Inc. (the Annual Meeting) to be held in the Auditorium on the 25th Floor of the Humana Building, 500 West Main Street, Louisville, Kentucky on Thursday, the 25<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2013 at 9:00 a.m., EDT, and at any postponements or adjournments thereof, according to the number of votes the undersigned would be entitled to vote on the proposals as set forth on the reverse side if personally present.

### THE SHARES OF COMMON STOCK COVERED BY THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED AS SPECIFIED. IF NO SPECIFICATION IS MADE, THE PROXY WILL BE VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS, FOR THE RATIFICATION OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP, FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF THE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT, AND AGAINST THE STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL AS SET FORTH IN THE PROXY STATEMENT, IF PRESENTED. THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY REVOKES ANY PROXY HERETOFORE GIVEN TO VOTE OR ACT WITH RESPECT TO THE ANNUAL MEETING.

Address Changes/Comments:

(If you noted any Address Changes/Comments above, please mark corresponding box on the reverse side.)

(SEE REVERSE SIDE TO VOTE)

A-2