RADIAN GROUP INC Form S-4 January 16, 2013 Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 16, 2013

Registration Statement No. 333-

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington D.C. 20549

FORM S-4

REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

RADIAN GROUP INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

6351 (Primary Standard Industrial 23-2691170 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Classification Code Number)

Identification No.)

1601 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

(215) 231-1000

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant s principal executive offices)

Edward J. Hoffman

Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Radian Group Inc.

1601 Market Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

(215) 231-1000

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copies of all communications to:

F. Douglas Raymond, III

Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP

One Logan Square, Suite 2000

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

(215) 988-2700

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale of the securities to the public: As soon as practicable after this registration statement becomes effective.

If the securities being registered on this form are being offered in connection with the formation of a holding company and there is compliance with General Instruction G, check the following box.

If this form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same

offering. "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer " Smaller Reporting Company "

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

		Proposed		
		3.6	Proposed	
		Maximum		
			Maximum	
Title of Each Class of		Offering Price	Aggregate	
	Amount to Be			Amount of
Securities to Be Registered	Registered	per Security	Offering Price ⁽¹⁾	Registration Fee ⁽¹⁾
9.000% Senior Notes due 2017	\$195,176,000	100%	\$195,176,000	\$26,622

(1) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee pursuant to Rule 457(f) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED JANUARY 16, 2013

PROSPECTUS

Offer to Exchange

\$195,176,000 aggregate principal amount of 9.000% Senior Notes due 2017

(that we refer to as the Old Notes)

(CUSIP Nos. 750236 AL5 and U74927 AB7)

for

\$195.176,000 aggregate principal amount of 9.000% Senior Notes due 2017

(that we refer to as the New Notes)

(CUSIP No. 750236 AM3)

that have been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act)

The exchange offer will expire at 11:59 p.m.,

New York City time, on , 2013, unless extended.

We hereby offer, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in this prospectus and the accompanying letter of transmittal (the Letter of Transmittal) (which together constitute the Exchange Offer), to exchange up to \$195,176,000 aggregate principal amount of our outstanding Old Notes (CUSIP Nos. 750236 AL5 and U74927 AB7) for a like principal amount of our New Notes (CUSIP No. 750236 AM3) that have been registered under the Securities Act. When we use the term notes in this prospectus, the term includes the Old Notes and the New Notes unless otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires. The terms of the Exchange Offer are summarized below and are more fully described in this prospectus.

The terms of the New Notes are substantially identical to the terms of the Old Notes in all material respects, except that the New Notes are registered under the Securities Act and the transfer restrictions under federal and state

securities laws and registration rights applicable to the Old Notes do not apply to the New Notes.

We will accept for exchange any and all Old Notes validly tendered and not validly withdrawn prior to 11:59 p.m., New York City time, on , 2013, unless extended (the Expiration Date).

You may withdraw tenders of Old Notes at any time prior to the expiration of the Exchange Offer.

We will not receive any proceeds from the Exchange Offer.

The exchange of Old Notes for New Notes will not be a taxable event for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

No public market currently exists for the Old Notes. We do not intend to list the New Notes on any securities exchange and, therefore, no active public market is anticipated.

Each broker-dealer that receives New Notes for its own account pursuant to the Exchange Offer in exchange for Old Notes that were acquired as a result of market making or other trading activities must acknowledge that it will deliver a prospectus in connection with any resale of such New Notes. The Letter of Transmittal states that by so acknowledging and by delivering a prospectus, a broker-dealer will not be deemed to admit that it is an underwriter within the meaning of the Securities Act. This prospectus, as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time, may be used by a broker-dealer in connection with resales of New Notes received in exchange for original securities where such original securities were acquired by such broker-dealer as a result of market-making activities or other trading activities. We have agreed that, for a period ending on the earlier of (i) 180 days from the date on which the registration statement covering the Exchange Offer by the Securities and Exchange Commission is declared effective and (ii) the date on which broker-dealers are no longer required to deliver a prospectus in connection with market-making or other trading activities, we will make this prospectus available to any broker-dealer for use in connection with any such resale. See Plan of Distribution.

See <u>Risk Factors</u> beginning on page 10 to read about important factors you should consider before tendering your Old Notes.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus is , 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	i
<u>SUMMARY</u>	1
RISK FACTORS	10
<u>USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	41
RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES	41
<u> FHE EXCHANGE OFFER</u>	42
DESCRIPTION OF NEW NOTES	51
REGISTRATION RIGHTS AGREEMENT	61
MATERIAL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES	64
PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION	65
LEGAL MATTERS	65
EXPERTS	65
AVAILABLE INFORMATION	66

This prospectus incorporates business and financial information about us that is not included in or delivered with this prospectus, contains summaries of the material terms of certain documents and refers you to certain documents that we have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). See Available Information. Copies of these documents, except for certain exhibits and schedules, will be made available to you without charge upon written or oral request to:

Radian Group Inc.

1601 Market Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

Attention: Investor Relations

Telephone: (215) 564-6600

In order to obtain timely delivery of such materials, you must request information from us no later than five business days prior to the Expiration Date.

No information in this prospectus constitutes legal, business or tax advice, and you should not consider it as such. You are encouraged to consult your own attorney, business advisor and tax advisor for legal, business and tax advice regarding the Exchange Offer.

Notice to Holders Outside the United States

This prospectus is not a prospectus for the purposes of the European Union s Directive 2003/71/EC (and any amendments thereto) as implemented in Member States of the European Economic Area. This prospectus does not constitute an offer to sell, buy or exchange or the solicitation of an offer to sell, buy or exchange the Old Notes and/or the New Notes, as applicable, in any circumstances in which such offer or solicitation is unlawful. Each holder of Old Notes tendering for New Notes will be deemed to have represented, warranted and agreed that, if it is a person resident in a Member State of the European Economic Area, it is a qualified investor for the purposes of Article 2(1)(e) of Directive 2003/71/EC as amended by Directive 2010/73/EU.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus, including the information incorporated by reference herein, contains statements relating to events, developments or results that we expect or anticipate may occur in the future. These statements are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. In most cases, forward-looking statements may be identified by words such as anticipate, may, will, could, should, would, expect, intend, plan, goal, contemplate, believe, estimate, continue, or the negative or other variations on these words and other similar expressions. These statements, which may include, without limitation, projections regarding our future performance and financial condition, are made on the basis of management s current views and assumptions with respect to future events. Any forward-looking statement is not a guarantee of future performance and actual results could differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statement. These statements speak only as of the date they were made, and we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. We operate in a changing environment. New risks emerge from time to time and it is not possible for us to predict all risks that may affect us. The forward-looking statements, as well as our prospects as a whole, are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements, including the following:

changes in general economic and political conditions, including high unemployment rates and weakness in the U.S. housing and mortgage credit markets, the U.S. economy reentering a recessionary period, a significant downturn in the global economy, a lack of meaningful liquidity in the capital or credit markets, changes or volatility in interest rates or consumer confidence and changes in credit spreads, each of which may be accelerated or intensified by, among other things, legislative activity or inactivity or actual or threatened downgrades of U.S. credit ratings;

changes in the way customers, investors, regulators or legislators perceive the strength of private mortgage insurers or financial guaranty providers, in particular in light of developments in the private mortgage insurance and financial guaranty industries in which certain of our former competitors have ceased writing new insurance business and have been placed under supervision or receivership by insurance regulators;

catastrophic events or economic changes in geographic regions, including those affecting governments and municipalities, where our mortgage insurance exposure is more concentrated or where we have financial guaranty exposure;

our ability to maintain sufficient holding company liquidity to meet our short- and long-term liquidity needs, including in particular, the repayment of our long-term debt and additional capital contributions that may be required to support our mortgage insurance business:

a reduction in, or prolonged period of depressed levels of, home mortgage originations due to reduced liquidity in the lending market, tighter underwriting standards, and general reduced housing demand in the U.S., which may be exacerbated by regulations impacting home mortgage originations, including requirements established under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act);

the potential adverse impact on the mortgage origination market and on private mortgage insurers due to increased capital requirements for mortgage loans under proposed interagency rules to implement the third Basel Capital Accord (Basel III), including in particular, the possibility that loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) will receive a more favorable regulatory capital treatment than loans with private mortgage insurance;

our ability to maintain an adequate risk-to-capital position, minimum policyholder position and other surplus requirements in our mortgage insurance business, including if necessary, our ability to write new mortgage insurance while maintaining a capital position that is in excess of risk-

i

based capital limitations imposed in certain states, either through waivers of these limitations or through use of another mortgage insurance subsidiary, and the possibility that state regulators could pursue regulatory actions or proceedings, including possible supervisory or receivership actions, against Radian Guaranty Inc. (Radian Guaranty), in the event Radian Guaranty s capital position is not in compliance with levels that are acceptable to such regulators;

our ability to continue to effectively mitigate our mortgage insurance and financial guaranty losses;

a more rapid than expected decrease in the level of insurance rescissions and claim denials from the current elevated levels, which have reduced our paid losses and resulted in a significant reduction in our loss reserves, including a decrease in rescissions or denials resulting from an increase in the number of successful challenges to previously rescinded policies or claim denials, or caused by the government-sponsored entities (GSEs) intervening in mortgage insurers loss mitigation practices, including settlements of disputes regarding loss mitigation activities;

the negative impact our insurance rescissions and claim denials or claim curtailments may have on our relationships with customers and potential customers, including the potential loss of business and the heightened risk of disputes and litigation;

the need, in the event that we are unsuccessful in defending our rescissions, denials or claim curtailments, to increase our loss reserves for, and reassume risk on, rescinded loans, and to pay additional claims, including amounts previously curtailed;

any disruption in the servicing of mortgages covered by our insurance policies, as well as poor servicer performance;

adverse changes in the severity or frequency of losses associated with certain products that we formerly offered (and which remain in our insured portfolio) that are riskier than traditional mortgage insurance or financial guaranty insurance policies;

a decrease in the persistency rates of our mortgage insurance policies, which has the effect of reducing our premium income without a corresponding decrease in incurred losses;

heightened competition for our mortgage insurance business from others such as the FHA, the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) and other private mortgage insurers (in particular, the FHA and those private mortgage insurers that have been assigned higher ratings than we have, that may have access to greater amounts of capital than we do, or that are new entrants to the industry and are therefore not burdened by legacy obligations);

changes in the charters or business practices of, or rules or regulations applicable to, Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and Freddie Mac, the largest purchasers of mortgage loans that we insure, and our ability to remain an eligible provider to both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac;

changes to the current system of housing finance, including the possibility of a new system in which private mortgage insurers are not required or their products are significantly limited in effect or scope;

the effect of the Dodd-Frank Act on the financial services industry in general and on our mortgage insurance and financial guaranty businesses in particular, including whether and to what extent loans with mortgage insurance are considered qualified residential mortgages for purposes of the Dodd-Frank Act securitization provisions;

the application of existing federal or state laws and regulations, or changes in these laws and regulations or the way they are interpreted, including, without limitation: (i) the resolution of

ii

existing, or the possibility of additional, lawsuits or investigations; and (ii) legislative and regulatory changes (a) impacting the demand for private mortgage insurance, (b) limiting or restricting the products we may offer or increasing the amount of capital we are required to hold, (c) affecting the form in which we execute credit protection, or (d) otherwise impacting our existing businesses;

the amount and timing of potential payments or adjustments associated with federal or other tax examinations;

the possibility that we may fail to estimate accurately the likelihood, magnitude and timing of losses in connection with establishing loss reserves for our mortgage insurance or financial guaranty businesses or premium deficiencies for our mortgage insurance business, or to estimate accurately the fair value amounts of derivative instruments in determining gains and losses on these instruments;

volatility in our earnings caused by changes in the fair value of our assets and liabilities carried at fair value, including our derivative instruments;

our ability to realize the tax benefits associated with our gross deferred tax assets, which will depend on our ability to generate sufficient sustainable taxable income in future periods;

changes in GAAP or statutory accounting principles, rules and guidance, or their interpretation; and

legal and other limitations on amounts we may receive from our subsidiaries as dividends or through our tax- and expense-sharing arrangements with our subsidiaries.

For more information regarding these risks and uncertainties as well as certain additional risks that we face, investors should review the discussion under Risk Factors in this prospectus and the documents incorporated by reference into this prospectus, including the discussion under Risk Factors and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2012, our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2012 and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, and those risks detailed in our subsequent reports and registration statements filed from time to time with the SEC.

iii

SUMMARY

This summary contains basic information about us, the New Notes and the Exchange Offer. It highlights selected information contained in or incorporated by reference in this document. Because this is a summary, it does not contain all of the information that you should consider before investing in the New Notes. Before making an investment decision, you should read this entire document, including the section entitled Risk Factors, our financial statements and the accompanying notes to the financial statements and the other documents incorporated by reference into this document.

Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this prospectus to Radian, the Company, we, our, ours and us refer to Radian Group Inc. and all of its consolidated subsidiaries, except that with respect to the Old Notes and the New Notes, such terms refer solely to Radian Group Inc. and not to any of its subsidiaries. We generally refer to Radian Group Inc. alone, without its consolidated subsidiaries, as Radian Group.

The Company

We are a credit enhancement company with a primary strategic focus on domestic first-lien residential mortgage insurance.

We currently have two operating business segments mortgage insurance and financial guaranty:

Our mortgage insurance segment provides credit-related insurance coverage, principally through private mortgage insurance, and risk management services to mortgage lending institutions.

Our financial guaranty segment previously offered direct insurance and reinsurance on credit-based risks through Radian Asset Assurance Inc. (Radian Asset Assurance), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Radian Guaranty, and also offered credit protection on various asset classes through financial guarantees and credit default swaps. While we discontinued writing new financial guaranty business in 2008, Radian Asset Assurance continues to provide financial guaranty insurance on our existing portfolio of structured finance and public finance credits. Our financial guaranty business continues to serve as an important source of capital support for Radian Guaranty.

Radian Group acts principally as a holding company for its insurance subsidiaries and does not have any significant operations of its own.

Our principal executive offices are located at 1601 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Our telephone number is (215) 231-1000. We maintain a website at *www.radian.biz* where general information about us is available. We are not incorporating the contents of the website into this prospectus.

1

Summary of the Exchange Offer

On January 4, 2013, in connection with a private exchange offer, Radian Group issued an aggregate of \$195,176,000 principal amount of 9.000% Senior Notes due 2017 in exchange for the same aggregate principal amount of 5.375% Senior Notes due 2015. As part of that issuance, we entered into a registration rights agreement with the dealer manager of the private exchange offer, dated as of January 4, 2013 (the Registration Rights Agreement), in which we agreed, among other things, to use our commercially reasonable efforts to complete an exchange offer for the Old Notes.

Below is a summary of the Exchange Offer. It may not contain all the information that is important to you. For a more complete description of the terms of the Exchange Offer, see The Exchange Offer.

Issuer Radian Group Inc.

Securities Offered

\$195,176,000 aggregate principal amount of 9.000% Senior Notes due 2017 that have been registered under the Securities Act (the New Notes). The form and terms of the New Notes are substantially identical in all material respects to those of the Old Notes, except that the New Notes are registered under the Securities Act and the transfer restrictions under federal and state securities laws and registration rights applicable to the Old Notes

do not apply to the New Notes.

Exchange Offer

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this prospectus, we are offering to exchange up to \$195,176,000 principal amount of the outstanding Old Notes for a like principal amount of the New Notes. You may tender Old Notes only in denominations of \$1,000 and integral multiples thereof. We will issue the New Notes promptly after the

\$1,000 and integral multiples thereof. We will issue the New Notes promptly after the expiration of the Exchange Offer. In order to be exchanged, an Old Note must be validly tendered, not validly withdrawn and accepted. Subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions of the Exchange Offer, all Old Notes that are validly tendered and not validly withdrawn will be exchanged. As of the date of this prospectus, there is \$195,176,000 aggregate principal amount of Old Notes outstanding. The Old Notes were issued under the Senior Indenture, dated as of June 7, 2005, between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association (the Trustee), as successor trustee to Wells Fargo Bank, NA (the Senior Indenture) and the officers certificate under which we designated the terms of the

notes pursuant to the Senior Indenture. If all outstanding Old Notes are tendered for exchange, there will be \$195,176,000 principal amount of New Notes (that have been registered under the Securities Act) outstanding after this Exchange Offer.

Accrued Interest On the New Notes and Old Notes

Withdrawal Rights

The New Notes will bear interest from January 4, 2013. If your Old Notes are accepted for exchange, you will receive interest on the New Notes and not on the Old Notes, provided that you will receive interest on the Old Notes and not the New Notes if and to the extent the record date for such interest payment occurs prior to completion of the Exchange Offer. Any Old Notes not tendered will remain outstanding and continue to accrue interest according to their terms.

Tenders may be withdrawn at any time before 11:59 p.m., New York City time, on the Expiration Date (the Withdrawal Date). See The Exchange Offer Withdrawal Rights.

Expiration Date; Tenders

The Exchange Offer will expire at 11:59 p.m., New York City time, on
2013, which is the twentieth business day of the offering period, unless we extend the

period of time during which the Exchange Offer is open. In the event of any material change in the offer, we will, to the extent

2

required by applicable law, extend the period of time during which the Exchange Offer is open, if necessary, so that at least five business days remain in the Exchange Offer period following notice of the material change. By signing or agreeing to be bound by the Letter of Transmittal, you will represent, among other things, that:

you are not our affiliate;

you are not a broker-dealer tendering Old Notes that you acquired in exchange for securities acquired directly from us for your own account;

the Old Notes being exchanged have been, and any New Notes to be received by you will be, acquired in the ordinary course of your business;

you have no arrangement or understanding with any person to participate in, and are not engaged in and do not intend to engage in, the distribution, within the meaning of the Securities Act, of the New Notes in violation of the provisions of the Securities Act; and

if you are a broker-dealer that will receive New Notes for your own account in exchange for Old Notes that were acquired as a result of market making or other trading activities, you will deliver a prospectus (or, to the extent permitted by law, make available a prospectus to purchasers) in connection with any resale of such New Notes. For further information regarding resales of the New Notes by broker-dealers, see the discussion under the caption Plan of Distribution.

If we decide for any reason not to accept any Old Notes you have tendered for exchange, those Old Notes will be returned to you without cost promptly after the expiration or termination of the Exchange Offer. See Exchange Offer Procedures for Tendering Old Notes for a more complete description of the tender provisions.

Conditions to the Exchange Offer

The Exchange Offer is subject to customary conditions, which we may waive in our sole discretion. If we materially change the terms of the Exchange Offer, we will, to the extent required by applicable law, resolicit tenders of the Old Notes and extend the Exchange Offer period if necessary so that at least five business days remain in the Exchange Offer period following notice of any such material change. See The Exchange Offer Conditions to the Exchange Offer for more information regarding conditions to the Exchange Offer.

Denominations on New Notes

The New Notes will be issued in denominations of \$1,000 and integral multiples thereof.

Certain U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences

Your exchange of Old Notes for New Notes pursuant to the Exchange Offer will not be a taxable event for U.S. federal income tax purposes. See Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences.

Use of Proceeds; Expenses

We will not receive any proceeds from the issuance of New Notes in the Exchange Offer. We have agreed to pay all expenses incident to the Exchange Offer other than commissions or concessions of any brokers or dealers.

Exchange Agent

Global Bondholder Services Corporation is serving as exchange agent (the Exchange Agent) in connection with the Exchange Offer. The address and telephone number of the Exchange Agent are listed under the heading The Exchange Offer Exchange Agent.

Procedures for Tendering Old Notes

A tendering holder must, at or prior to the Expiration Date:

transmit a properly completed and duly executed Letter of Transmittal, including all other documents required by the Letter of Transmittal, to the Exchange Agent at the address listed in this prospectus; or

if Old Notes are tendered in accordance with the book-entry procedures described in this prospectus, the tendering holder must transmit an agent s message to the Exchange Agent at the address listed in this prospectus.

See The Exchange Offer Procedures for Tendering Old Notes.

Special Procedures for Beneficial Holders

If you wish to participate in the Exchange Offer and your Old Notes are held by a custodial entity, such as a bank, broker, dealer, trust company or other nominee through DTC, you may do so through the automated tender offer program, or ATOP, of DTC. By participating in the Exchange Offer through ATOP, you will agree to be bound by the Letter of Transmittal that we are providing with this prospectus as though you had signed the Letter of Transmittal. See The Exchange Offer Procedures for Tendering Old Notes.

Accounting Treatment

For accounting purposes, we will recognize no gain or loss as a result of the Exchange Offer. The New Notes will be recorded at the same carrying value as the Old Notes, as reflected in our accounting records on the date of the exchange. The costs of the Exchange Offer will be amortized over the life of the New Notes.

Consequences of Failure to Exchange

If you do not exchange your Old Notes in the Exchange Offer, you will continue to be subject to the restrictions on transfer described in the legend on your Old Notes. In general, you may offer or sell your Old Notes only:

if they are registered under the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws;

if they are offered or sold under an exemption from registration under the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws; or

if they are offered or sold in a transaction not subject to the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws.

Although your Old Notes will continue to accrue interest, they will generally retain no rights under the Registration Rights Agreement and will remain outstanding and continue to be subject to their existing terms. We currently do not intend to register the Old Notes

for resale under the Securities Act. Under some circumstances, holders of the Old Notes, including holders who are not permitted to participate in the Exchange Offer

4

or who would not be able to freely sell New Notes received in the Exchange Offer, may require us to file, and to cause to become effective, a shelf registration statement covering resales of the Old Notes by these holders. For more information regarding the consequences of not tendering your Old Notes and our obligations to file a shelf registration statement with respect to the Old Notes, see The Exchange Offer Consequences of Exchanging or Failing to Exchange the Old Notes and Registration Rights Agreement.

Acceptance of Old Notes and Delivery of New Notes Subject to our right to terminate the Exchange Offer and the conditions stated in the section The Exchange Offer Conditions to the Exchange Offer of this prospectus, we will accept for exchange any and all Old Notes which are properly tendered in the Exchange Offer and not validly withdrawn before 11:59 p.m., New York City time, on the Expiration Date. The New Notes will be delivered promptly after the Expiration Date. See The Exchange Offer Terms of the Exchange Offer.

Regulatory Requirements

Following the effectiveness of the registration statement covering the Exchange Offer by the SEC, no other material federal regulatory requirement must be complied with in connection with this Exchange Offer.

Resales

Based on existing interpretations of the Securities Act by the SEC staff set forth in several no-action letters to third parties, and subject to the immediately following sentence, we believe that New Notes issued under this Exchange Offer in exchange for Old Notes may be offered for resale, resold and otherwise transferred by the holders thereof (other than holders who are broker dealers) without further compliance with the registration and prospectus delivery provisions of the Securities Act. However, any holder of Old Notes who is an affiliate of ours or who intends to participate in the Exchange Offer for the purpose of distributing the New Notes, or any broker dealer who purchased the Old Notes from us for its own account and not as a result of market-making or trading activities, (i) will not be able to rely on the interpretations of the SEC staff set forth in the above mentioned no action letters, (ii) will not be entitled to tender its Old Notes in the Exchange Offer, and (iii) must comply with the registration and prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act in connection with any sale or transfer of the Old Notes unless such sale or transfer is made pursuant to an exemption from such requirements.

Risk Factors

See Risk Factors beginning on page 10 of this prospectus for a discussion of factors you should consider carefully before deciding to participate in the Exchange Offer.

5

Summary of the New Notes

The following is a summary of the terms of the New Notes. The form and terms of the New Notes are substantially identical in all material respects to those of the Old Notes except that the New Notes are registered under the Securities Act and the transfer restrictions under federal and state securities laws and registration rights applicable to the Old Notes do not apply to the New Notes. The New Notes will evidence the same debt as the Old Notes and will be governed by the same indenture. Certain of the terms and conditions described below are subject to important limitations and exceptions. For a more detailed description of the terms and conditions of the New Notes, see the section of this prospectus entitled Description of New Notes.

Issuer Radian Group Inc.

Notes Offered \$195,176,000 aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes due 2017.

Maturity Date June 15, 2017.

Interest Rate The unpaid principal amount of the New Notes will bear interest at a rate of 9.000% per annum, until paid or duly provided for. Interest on the notes will be computed on the

basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months.

Interest Payment Dates Interest will accrue from, and including, January 4, 2013, and will be payable

semi-annually in arrears on June 15 and December 15 of each year, commencing on June 15, 2013, provided, however, that to the extent the record date for an interest payment on the Old Notes occurs prior to completion of the Exchange Offer, interest will accrue from

the last interest payment date on which interest was paid on the Old Notes.

Ranking The New Notes will be the direct, unsecured obligations of Radian Group and will rank

equally in right of payment with each other and with all other existing and future unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness of Radian Group, including any Old Notes that remain outstanding after the Exchange Offer. Radian Group currently has

approximately \$780 million of indebtedness outstanding.

The New Notes will be effectively subordinated to all of the secured indebtedness of Radian Group to the extent of the assets securing such indebtedness. We currently have no secured indebtedness for borrowed money. The New Notes will also be structurally subordinated to all of the secured and unsecured indebtedness and other liabilities of our subsidiaries. As of September 30, 2012, our subsidiaries had approximately \$137.9 million face value of indebtedness outstanding (exclusive of claims with respect to

insured policies and trade payables) that would effectively rank senior to the New Notes.

The Senior Indenture governing the New Notes does not limit the amount of additional indebtedness that we may incur.

Optional RedemptionWe may redeem the New Notes, in whole or in part, at our option at any time or from time to time prior to maturity at a redemption price equal to the greater of (i) 100% of the

aggregate principal amount of the New Notes to be redeemed and (ii) the make-whole amount, which is the present value of the New Notes discounted at the Treasury Rate plus 50 basis points, plus, in each case, accrued interest thereon to the redemption date. See Description of New Notes Optional Redemption.

6

Covenants

The Senior Indenture governing the New Notes contains limited covenants for your benefit. These covenants restrict our ability to, among other things, create liens on any capital stock of our designated subsidiaries (as defined under Description of New Notes) or engage in sales of the capital stock of our designated subsidiaries. See Description of New Notes Certain Covenants.

Form and Denomination

The New Notes will be issued in fully registered form in minimum denominations of \$1,000 and integral multiples thereof. The New Notes will be represented by one or more global securities of the same series in definitive, fully registered form, deposited with DTC and registered in the name of Cede & Co., a nominee of DTC, as Depository. Except in the limited circumstances described herein, New Notes represented by the global securities will not be exchangeable for, and will not otherwise be issuable as, New Notes in certificated form. See Description of New Notes Book-Entry; Delivery and Form.

No Listing of the New Notes

We do not intend to apply to list the New Notes for trading on any securities exchange or to arrange for quotation on any automated dealer quotation system. The New Notes will generally be freely transferrable but will be a new issue of securities for which there will not initially be a public market. Accordingly, we cannot provide assurance as to the development or liquidity of any market for the New Notes.

Further Issuances

We may, from time to time, without notice to or the consent of the holders or the beneficial owners of the New Notes, create and issue additional notes having the same terms as the New Notes in all respects (except for the issue date, issue price, payment of interest accruing prior to the issue date of the notes and, in some cases, the initial interest payment date of the notes), so that such additional notes may be consolidated and form a single series with the New Notes. If the additional notes are not fungible with the previously outstanding New Notes for United States (U.S.) federal income tax purposes, such additional notes will have a separate CUSIP number.

Governing Law

The Senior Indenture and the New Notes will be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of New York.

Trustee

U.S. Bank National Association.

Risk Factors

See Risk Factors beginning on page 10 of this prospectus for a discussion of factors you should consider carefully before deciding to participate in the Exchange Offer and invest in the New Notes.

7

Summary Selected Historical Financial Information

The following table sets forth our summary selected historical financial information. The summary selected historical financial information as of and for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, 2009, 2008 and 2007, are derived from the five-year financial summary contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, which is incorporated by reference in this prospectus. The selected historical financial information as of and for the periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are derived from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any future period. The summary selected historical financial information should be read in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2012, June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2012, which are incorporated by reference herein, and our audited consolidated financial statements and unaudited consolidated interim financial statements, and the related notes thereto, incorporated by reference herein. See Available Information.

Dollars in millions (except per share data)					
Years ended December 31,	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Consolidated Statements of Operations					
Net premiums earned-insurance	\$ 756.0	\$ 825.7	\$ 825.9	\$ 971.8	\$ 912.3
Net investment income	163.5	178.8	214.2	263.0	256.1
Net gains (losses) on investments	202.2	139.9	257.1	(109.8)	63.0
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(1.2)	(0.1)	(9.3)	(55.2)	(9.4)
Change in fair value of derivative instruments	628.4	(558.7)	100.0	710.9	(1,214.4)
Net gains (losses) on other financial instruments	193.3	(211.7)	(88.6)	15.5	
Gain on sale of affiliate		34.8			181.7
Other income	5.6	8.7	14.0	11.7	11.7
Total revenues	1,947.8	417.5	1,313.4	1,808.0	201.0
Provision for losses	1,296.5	1,739.2	1,337.6	2,205.3	1,308.1
Change in reserve for premium deficiency	(7.1)	(14.6)	(61.5)	(108.8)	195.6
Policy acquisition costs	52.8	53.5	63.0	136.4	113.2
Other operating expenses	175.8	191.9	203.8	255.5	183.5
Interest expense	61.4	41.8	46.0	53.5	53.0
Equity in net income (loss) of affiliates	0.1	14.7	33.2	59.8	(416.5)
Pretax income (loss)	368.5	(1,579.7)	(242.3)	(674.1)	(2,068.9)
Net income (loss)	302.2	(1,805.9)	(147.9)	(410.6)	(1,290.3)
Diluted net income (loss) per share ⁽¹⁾	2.26	(15.74)	(1.80)	(5.12)	(16.22)
Cash dividends declared per share	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.045	0.08
Average shares outstanding-diluted	133.9	114.7	81.9	80.3	79.6
Consolidated Balance Sheets					
Total assets	6,656.8	7,620.9	8,057.2	8,116.1	8,210.2
Total investments	5,783.6	6,628.9	6,137.2	5,981.6	6,411.0
Unearned premiums	637.4	686.4	823.6	916.7	1,094.7
Reserve for losses and LAE	3,310.9	3,596.7	3,579.0	3,224.5	1,598.8
Reserve for premium deficiency	3.6	10.7	25.4	86.9	195.6
Long-term debt and other borrowings	818.6	964.8	698.2	857.8	953.5

Table of Contents					
VIE debt	228.2	520.1	296.1	160.0	
Derivative liabilities	\$ 126.0	\$ 723.6	\$ 238.7	\$ 519.3	\$ 1,305.7
Stockholders equity	1,182.3	859.8	2,005.0	2,030.7	2,720.7
Book value per share	8.88	6.46	24.22	25.06	33.83
Selected Ratios Mortgage Insurance					
Loss ratio	189.8%	234.0%	179.6%	250.4%	143.5%
Expense ratio	24.7	24.0	23.2	29.3	22.4
Combined ratio	214.5%	258.0%	202.8%	279.7%	165.9%
Selected Ratios Financial Guarant ²⁾					
Loss ratio	3.5%	9.8%	36.2%	52.7%	50.2%
Expense ratio	80.3	78.9	101.2	67.6	48.2
Combined ratio	83.8%	88.7%	137.4%	120.3%	98.4%
Other Data Mortgage Insurance					
Primary new insurance written	15,510	11,558	16,969	32,513	57,132
Direct primary insurance in force	126,185	129,566	144,268	155,239	143,066
Direct primary risk in force	30,692	31,461	33,765	34,951	31,622
Total pool risk in force	2,068	2,453	2,698	2,950	3,004
Total non-traditional risk in force ⁽³⁾	214	455	1,000	5,119	10,511
Persistency (12 months ended)	85.4%	81.8%	82.0%	85.8%	75.4%
Other Data Financial Guarant(\$\frac{4}{9})					
Net par outstanding	69,189	78,756	87,420	100,726	116,022
Net debt service outstanding	88,203	101,169	110,208	138,431	164,347
Ratio of net (loss) earnings to fixed charges ⁽⁶⁾	6.8x	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)

- (1) Diluted net (loss) income per share and average share information in accordance with the accounting standard regarding earnings per share.
- (2) Calculated using amounts determined under GAAP, using provision for losses to calculate the loss ratio and policy acquisition costs and other operating expenses, excluding merger expenses in 2007, to calculate the expense ratio as a percentage of net premiums earned. The 2008 expense ratio for our mortgage insurance segment includes the write-off of \$50.8 million of deferred policy acquisition costs as a result of the establishment of a first-lien premium deficiency reserve. The financial guaranty expense ratio increased due to our discontinuation of new business writings in 2008 and the recaptures of reinsurance business by certain of our primary reinsurance customers noted in (4) below.
- (3) Consists mostly of international insurance risk, second-lien mortgage insurance risk and other structured mortgage-related insurance risk.
- (4) Reflects the recaptures of reinsurance business by certain of our financial guaranty ceding companies in 2008 and 2009.
- (5) In 2010, 2009, 2008 and 2007, earnings were not adequate to cover fixed charges in the amount of \$(1,521,450), \$(215,960), \$(642,423) and \$(1,545,048), respectively.
- (6) Interest on tax accruals that are non-third party indebtedness is excluded from the calculation. See RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES elsewhere in this prospectus.

(Dollars in millions)		
As of September 30,	2012	2011
Investment in subsidiaries, at equity in net assets	\$ 1,412.2	\$ 1,408.1
Total assets	1,714.5	2,196.6
Total long term debt	659.1	814.9
Total liabilities	799.2	908.2
Total stockholders equity	915.3	1,288.4
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	1,714.5	2,196.6

RISK FACTORS

Investing in the New Notes involves risks. Please see the risk factors described in Item 1A. Risk Factors beginning on page 61 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, Item 1A. Risk Factors beginning on page 124 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 and Item 1A. Risk Factors beginning on page 131 of our Quarterly Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, which are incorporated by reference in this prospectus. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider these risks as well as other information we include or incorporate by reference in this prospectus. The risks and uncertainties we have described are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also affect our business operations. These risks could materially affect our business, results of operations or financial condition and cause the value of our securities to decline. You could lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to the Exchange Offer

Some holders may be required to comply with the registration and prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act.

If you exchange your Old Notes in the Exchange Offer for the purpose of participating in a distribution of the New Notes, you may be deemed to have received restricted securities and, if so, you will be required to comply with the registration and prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act in connection with any resale transaction. In addition, a broker-dealer that purchased Old Notes for its own account as part of market-making or trading activities must deliver a prospectus when it sells the New Notes it receives in exchange for Old Notes in the Exchange Offer. Our obligation to keep the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part effective is limited. Accordingly, we cannot guarantee that a current prospectus will be available at all times to broker-dealers wishing to resell their New Notes.

You must comply with the Exchange Offer procedures in order to receive the New Notes.

The New Notes will be issued in exchange for the Old Notes only after you have properly completed and duly executed a Letter of Transmittal, with any required signature guarantee or, in the case of a book-entry transfer, an agent s message in lieu of such Letter of Transmittal, and any other required documents that must be received by the Exchange Agent, at the address set forth under the heading The Exchange Offer Exchange Agent. In addition, a timely confirmation of a book-entry transfer of such Old Notes into the Exchange Agent s account at DTC pursuant to the procedure for book-entry transfer must be received by the Exchange Agent prior to the Expiration Date. Neither we nor the Exchange Agent is under any duty to notify you of any defects or irregularities with respect to the tenders of Old Notes for exchange, and no one will be liable for failing to provide such notification. Old Notes that are not tendered or are tendered but not accepted will, following the Exchange Offer, continue to be subject to their existing terms. You should refer to The Exchange Offer for information about how to tender your Old Notes.

The trading market for unexchanged Old Notes could be limited.

The trading market for unexchanged Old Notes could become significantly more limited after the Exchange Offer due to the reduction in the amount of Old Notes outstanding upon consummation of the Exchange Offer. Therefore, if your Old Notes are not exchanged for New Notes in the Exchange Offer because you do not participate in the Exchange Offer or because your Old Notes are tendered but not accepted, it may become more difficult for you to sell or otherwise transfer your Old Notes. This reduction in liquidity may in turn reduce the market price, and increase the price volatility, of the Old Notes. There is a risk that an active trading market in the unexchanged Old Notes will not exist, develop or be maintained and we cannot give you any assurances regarding the prices at which the unexchanged Old Notes may trade in the future.

10

Risks Related to the New Notes

Because the New Notes will be issued by Radian Group, which is a holding company, the New Notes will be structurally subordinated to the obligations of our subsidiaries.

Radian Group is a holding company whose assets primarily consist of the capital stock of its subsidiaries. Because Radian Group is a holding company, holders of the New Notes will have a junior position to the claims of creditors of its subsidiaries on their assets and earnings. As is the case with the Old Notes, the New Notes will be unsecured and unsubordinated obligations and will:

rank equally in right of payment with all of our other unsecured and unsubordinated senior indebtedness, including any Old Notes that remain outstanding after the Exchange Offer and any other senior unsecured indebtedness issued under the indenture under which the New Notes will be issued;

be effectively subordinated in right of payment to all our secured indebtedness to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness;

be effectively subordinated to all existing and future obligations (including insurance obligations) of our subsidiaries; and

not be guaranteed by any of our subsidiaries.

Currently, the aggregate amount of Radian Group s outstanding indebtedness is approximately \$780 million, of which none is secured. All of this unsecured indebtedness (less that amount of any Old Notes exchanged for New Notes) would rank equally in right of payment with the New Notes. All obligations (including insurance obligations) of our subsidiaries would be effectively senior to the New Notes. As of September 30, 2012, our subsidiaries had approximately \$137.9 million face value of indebtedness (exclusive of claims with respect to insured policies and trade payables) that would effectively rank senior to the New Notes.

Furthermore, in the event of insolvency, bankruptcy, liquidation, dissolution, receivership, reorganization or similar event involving a subsidiary, the assets of that subsidiary would be used first to satisfy claims of policyholders and creditors of the subsidiary rather than Radian Group's creditors. As a result of the application of the subsidiary s assets to satisfy claims of policyholders and creditors, the value of the stock of the subsidiary would be diminished and perhaps rendered worthless. Any such diminution in the value of the shares of Radian Group's subsidiaries would adversely impact its financial condition and possibly impair its ability to meet its obligations on the New Notes. In addition, any liquidation of the assets of Radian Group is subsidiaries to satisfy claims of such subsidiary is policyholders and creditors might make it impossible for such subsidiary to pay dividends to Radian Group. The ability of Radian Guaranty, and other insurance subsidiaries of Radian Group, to declare and pay dividends to Radian Group are subject to among other things, various conditions imposed by the insurance regulations of the states where they are domiciled, by Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae, and by certain rating agencies (to avoid a downgrade). As a result, we may be unable to gain access to the cash flow or assets of our subsidiaries.

Furthermore, although we have entered into tax- and expense-sharing agreements with certain of our principal subsidiaries that require those subsidiaries to pay their share of holding company expenses, including interest payments on our outstanding long-term debt, our subsidiaries have no direct obligation to pay the premium or interest on, or the principal or redemption price of the New Notes. Additionally, these expense-sharing arrangements have been approved by applicable state insurance departments and such approval may be modified or revoked at any time. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation Capital Resources and Liquidity Regulatory Restrictions in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, each of which is incorporated herein by reference. Any inability to pay dividends would further impair Radian Group s ability to satisfy its obligations under the New Notes.

Table of Contents 27

11

The indenture under which the New Notes will be issued will contain only limited protection for holders of the New Notes in the event Radian Group is involved in certain transactions, including a highly leveraged transaction, reorganization, restructuring, merger or similar transaction, in the future.

The indenture under which the New Notes will be issued may not sufficiently protect holders of New Notes in the event Radian Group is involved in certain transactions, including a highly leveraged transaction, reorganization, restructuring, merger or similar transaction. The indenture will not contain any provisions restricting Radian Group s ability to:

incur additional debt, including debt senior in right of payment to the New Notes;

pay dividends on or purchase or redeem capital stock;

sell assets (other than certain restrictions on Radian Group s ability to consolidate, merge or sell all or substantially all of its assets and its ability to sell the stock of certain subsidiaries);

enter into transactions with affiliates;

create liens (other than certain limitations on creating liens on the stock of certain subsidiaries) or enter into sale and leaseback transactions; or

create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to Radian Group from its subsidiaries.

Additionally, the indenture will not require Radian Group to offer to purchase the New Notes in connection with a change of control or require that Radian Group adhere to any financial tests or ratios or specified levels of net worth. Radian Group s ability to recapitalize, incur additional debt and take a number of other actions that are not limited by the terms of the New Notes could have the effect of diminishing Radian Group s ability to make payments on the New Notes when due.

An adverse rating of the notes, or a downgrade or potential downgrade of our credit ratings, may cause the trading price of the notes to fall.

We do not expect to seek a rating on the notes; however, if a rating agency rates the notes, it may assign a rating that is lower than investors expectations. Ratings agencies also may lower ratings on the notes in the future. If rating agencies assign a lower-than-expected rating or reduce, or indicate that they may reduce, their ratings in the future, the trading price or liquidity of the notes could significantly decline. A downgrade or potential downgrade of our credit ratings may also cause the trading price of the notes to fall. Ratings on the notes are not a recommendation to buy the notes and such ratings may be withdrawn or changed at any time.

An active trading market for the New Notes may not develop.

There is no existing trading market for the New Notes. We do not intend to apply for listing of the New Notes on any securities exchange or for quotation through any automated dealer quotation system. Even if a trading market for the New Notes develops, the liquidity of any market for the New Notes will depend upon the number of holders of the New Notes, our performance, the market for similar securities, the interest of securities dealers in making a market in the New Notes and other factors. Accordingly, no assurance can be given as to the liquidity of, or adequate trading markets for, the New Notes. Historically, the market for non-investment grade debt has been subject to disruptions that have caused substantial volatility in the prices of securities similar to the New Notes. The market, if any, for the New Notes may experience similar disruptions and any such disruptions may adversely affect the prices at which you may sell your New Notes.

We cannot assure you as to the market price for the New Notes; therefore, you may suffer a loss.

We cannot assure you as to the market price for the New Notes. If you are able to resell your New Notes, the price you receive will depend on many other factors that may vary over time, including:

the number of potential buyers;

the level of liquidity of the New Notes;

our credit ratings or the ratings of our insurance subsidiaries financial strength and claims paying ability published by major credit ratings agencies;

12

our financial	performance;

the amount of total indebtedness we have outstanding;

the level, direction and volatility of market interest rates generally;

the market for similar securities;

the repayment and redemption features of the New Notes; and

the time remaining until the New Notes mature.

As a result of these and other factors, you may be able to sell your New Notes only at a price below that which you believe to be appropriate, including a price below the price you paid for them.

We may redeem your New Notes at our option, which may adversely affect your return.

We may redeem the New Notes, in whole or in part, at our option at any time or from time to time. Prevailing interest rates at the time we redeem the New Notes may be lower than the interest rate on the New Notes. As a result, you may not be able to reinvest the redemption proceeds in a comparable security at an interest rate equal to or higher than the interest rate on the New Notes. See Description of New Notes Optional Redemption for a more detailed description of the conditions under which we may redeem the New Notes.

Risks Related to the Company and its Business

Losses in our mortgage insurance and financial guaranty businesses have reduced Radian Guaranty's statutory surplus and increased Radian Guaranty's risk-to-capital ratio; additional losses in these businesses, without a corresponding increase in new capital or capital relief, would further negatively impact this ratio, which could limit Radian Guaranty's ability to write new insurance and increase restrictions and requirements placed on Radian Guaranty.

We and our insurance subsidiaries are subject to comprehensive, detailed regulation by the insurance departments in the states where our insurance subsidiaries are licensed to transact business. These regulations are principally designed for the protection of our insured policyholders rather than for the benefit of investors. Insurance laws vary from state to state, but generally grant broad supervisory powers to state agencies or officials to examine insurance companies and enforce rules or exercise discretion affecting almost every significant aspect of the insurance business, including the power to revoke or restrict an insurance company s ability to write new business.

The GSEs and state insurance regulators impose various capital requirements on our insurance subsidiaries. These include risk-to-capital ratios, risk-based capital measures and surplus requirements that potentially limit the amount of insurance that each of our insurance subsidiaries may write. The GSEs and our state insurance regulators also possess significant discretion with respect to our insurance subsidiaries. Our failure to maintain adequate levels of capital, among other things, could lead to intervention by the various insurance regulatory authorities or the GSEs, which could materially and adversely affect our business, business prospects and financial condition.

Under state insurance regulations, Radian Guaranty is required to maintain minimum surplus levels and, in certain states, a minimum amount of statutory capital relative to the level of net risk in force (RIF), or risk-to-capital. Sixteen states (the risk-based capital or RBC States) currently impose a statutory or regulatory risk-based capital requirement (the Statutory RBC Requirement), the most common of which requires that a mortgage insurer s risk-to-capital ratio not exceed 25 to 1. In the RBC States that do not impose a risk-to-capital requirement, Radian Guaranty is required to maintain a minimum policyholder position (the MPP Requirement). Unless an RBC State grants a waiver or other form of relief, if a mortgage insurer is not in compliance with the Statutory RBC Requirement of an RBC State, it may be prohibited from writing new mortgage insurance business in that state. Radian Guaranty s domiciliary state, Pennsylvania, is not one of the RBC States. In 2011, and during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the RBC States accounted for approximately 50.5% and 54.7%, respectively, of Radian Guaranty s total primary new insurance written.

As a result of ongoing losses, Radian Guaranty s risk-to-capital ratio has increased. As of September 30, 2012, Radian Guaranty s risk-to-capital ratio was to 20.1 to 1. The ultimate amount of losses we incur and the timing of these losses will depend, in part, on general economic conditions and other factors, including the health of credit markets, home prices and unemployment rates, all of which are difficult to predict and beyond our control. Based on our current projections, we expect Radian Guaranty s risk-to-capital ratio to increase over time. Absent any further risk-to-capital support, we expect Radian Guaranty to exceed the 25 to 1 risk-to-capital ratio requirement during 2013. Further, it is possible that Radian Guaranty s policyholder position may have fallen below the MPP Requirement in two states as of the end of 2012. Each of these MPP States has issued to Radian Guaranty a waiver of its MPP Requirement. These waivers will allow Radian Guaranty to continue writing new business in these states regardless of whether the MPP Requirement has been met.

Our mortgage insurance incurred losses are driven primarily by new mortgage insurance defaults and adverse developments in the assumptions used to determine our loss reserves. Establishing loss reserves in our businesses requires significant judgment by management with respect to the likelihood, magnitude and timing of anticipated losses. This judgment has been made more difficult in the current period of prolonged economic uncertainty. Our estimate of the rate at which we expect defaults will ultimately result in paid claims (the default to claim rate) is a significant assumption in our reserving methodology. Our assumed aggregate weighted average default to claim rate (which incorporates the expected impact of rescissions and denials) was approximately 43% as of December 31, 2011, and 46% as of September 30, 2012. Assuming all other factors remain constant, for each one percentage point increase in our aggregate weighted average default to claim rate as of September 30, 2012, incurred losses would increase by approximately \$56 million. Radian Guaranty s statutory capital would be reduced by the after-tax impact of these incurred losses. Our level of incurred losses is also dependent on our estimate of anticipated rescissions and denials, including our estimate of the likely number of successful challenges to previously rescinded policies or claim denials, among other assumptions. If the actual losses we ultimately realize are in excess of the loss estimates we use in establishing loss reserves, we may be required to take unexpected charges to income, which could adversely affect Radian Guaranty s statutory capital position.

If Radian Guaranty is not in compliance with a state sapplicable Statutory RBC Requirement, it may be prohibited from writing new business in that state until it is back in compliance or it receives a waiver of or similar relief from the requirement from the applicable state insurance regulator, as discussed in more detail below. In those states that do not have a Statutory RBC Requirement, it is not clear what actions the applicable state regulators would take if a mortgage insurer fails to meet the Statutory RBC Requirement established by another state. Accordingly, if Radian Guaranty fails to meet the Statutory RBC Requirement in one or more states, it could be required to suspend writing business in some or all of the states in which it does business. In addition, the GSEs and our mortgage lending customers may decide not to conduct new business with Radian Guaranty (or may reduce current business levels) or impose restrictions on Radian Guaranty while its capital position remained at such levels. The franchise value of our mortgage insurance business would likely be significantly diminished if we were prohibited from writing new business or restricted in the amount of new business we could write in one or more states.

Radian Guaranty s capital position also is dependent on the performance of our financial guaranty portfolio. During the third quarter of 2008, we contributed our ownership interest in Radian Asset Assurance to Radian Guaranty. While this reorganization provided Radian Guaranty with substantial regulatory capital and dividends, it also makes the capital adequacy of our mortgage insurance business dependent, to a significant degree, on the successful run-off of our financial guaranty business. Any decrease in the statutory capital in our financial guaranty business would therefore have a negative impact on Radian Guaranty s capital position and its ability to remain in compliance with the Statutory RBC Requirements. If our financial guaranty portfolio performs worse than anticipated, including if we are required to establish (or increase) statutory reserves on defaulted obligations that we have insured, or if we make net commutation payments to terminate insured financial guaranty obligations in excess of the then established statutory reserves for such obligations, the statutory capital of Radian Guaranty also would be negatively impacted.

We actively manage Radian Guaranty s capital position in various ways, including: (1) through internal and external reinsurance arrangements; (2) by seeking opportunities to reduce our risk exposure through commutations or other negotiated transactions; (3) by contributing additional capital from Radian Group to our mortgage insurance subsidiaries; and (4) by realizing gains in our investment portfolio through open market sales of securities. Radian

14

Group had unrestricted cash and liquid investments of \$368.3 million as of September 30, 2012, which amount includes approximately \$38.7 million of future expected corporate expenses and interest payments that have been accrued for and paid by certain subsidiaries to Radian Group as of that date. Radian Group currently has \$79.4 million of outstanding debt due in February 2013, \$54.8 million of outstanding debt due in 2015, \$195.2 million of outstanding debt due in June 2017 and an additional \$450 million of convertible debt due in November 2017. Our remaining available liquidity may be used to further support Radian Guaranty s risk-to-capital position. Depending on the extent of our future statutory incurred losses in our mortgage insurance subsidiaries and in Radian Asset Assurance, as well as the level of new insurance written and other factors, the amount of capital contributions required for Radian Guaranty to remain in compliance with the Statutory RBC Requirements could be substantial and could exceed amounts available at Radian Group.

Our ability to continue to reduce Radian Guaranty s risk through affiliated reinsurance arrangements may be limited. These arrangements are subject to regulation by state insurance regulators who could decide to limit, or require the termination of, such arrangements. In addition, certain of these affiliated reinsurance companies currently are operating at or near minimum capital levels and have required, and may continue to require, additional capital contributions from Radian Group in the future. One of these affiliated insurance companies, which provides reinsurance to Radian Guaranty for coverage in excess of 25% of certain loans insured by Radian Guaranty, is a sister company of Radian Guaranty, and therefore, any contributions to this insurer would not be consolidated with Radian Guaranty s capital for purposes of calculating Radian Guaranty s risk-to-capital position. In addition, we must obtain prior approval from one or both of the GSEs to enter into new, or to modify existing, reinsurance arrangements. If we are limited in, or prohibited from, using reinsurance arrangements to reduce Radian Guaranty s risk, it would adversely affect Radian Guaranty s risk-to-capital position.

In order to maximize our financial flexibility, we have applied for waivers or similar relief for Radian Guaranty in each of the RBC States. Of the 16 RBC states, New York does not possess the regulatory authority to grant waivers and Iowa, Kansas and Ohio have declined to grant waivers to Radian Guaranty. In addition, Oregon has indicated that it will not consider our waiver application until such time that Radian Guaranty has exceeded its Statutory RBC Requirement, and we have a waiver application pending in Idaho. Radian Guaranty has received waivers or similar relief from the ten remaining RBC States: Illinois, Kentucky, Wisconsin, Arizona, Missouri, New Jersey, North Carolina, California, Florida and Texas. The waivers in Illinois, California, New Jersey and Florida expired at the end of 2012, and we currently are pursuing a renewal of these waivers. Certain of the existing waivers contain conditions, including requirements that Radian Guaranty s risk-to-capital ratio may not exceed a revised maximum ratio, ranging from 30 to 1 up to 35 to 1. There can be no assurance that: (1) Radian Guaranty will be granted a waiver in Idaho or Oregon, the remaining RBC States, or a renewal of the waivers that have expired in Illinois, California, New Jersey and Florida; (2) for any waiver granted, such regulator will not revoke or terminate the waiver, which the regulator generally has the authority to do at any time; (3) for any waiver granted, it will be renewed or extended after its original expiration date; or (4) additional requirements will not be imposed as a condition to such waivers or their renewal or extension and, if so, whether we will be able to comply with such conditions.

In addition to filing for waivers in the RBC States, we intend to write new first-lien mortgage insurance business in Radian Mortgage Assurance Inc. (RMAI) in any RBC State that does not permit Radian Guaranty to continue writing insurance while it is out of compliance with applicable Statutory RBC Requirements. RMAI is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Radian Guaranty and is licensed to write mortgage insurance in each of the fifty states and the District of Columbia. Fannie Mae has approved RMAI to write new mortgage insurance business in any RBC State where Radian Guaranty would be prohibited from writing new business if it were not in compliance with the state s Statutory RBC Requirement, without a waiver or other similar relief (the Fannie Mae Approval). The Fannie Mae Approval expires on December 31, 2013. Freddie Mac also has approved RMAI as a limited mortgage insurer to write business in those RBC States for which we have been denied a waiver. On December 20, 2012, Freddie Mac amended this approval to extend it for an additional one year period that will expire on December 31, 2013 (the Freddie Mac Approval, and together with the Fannie Mae Approval, the GSE Approvals). Pursuant to the Freddie Mac Approval, RMAI currently is eligible to write business in New York, Ohio, Iowa, Kansas, and, subject to certain conditions, Oregon and Idaho.

The GSE Approvals are temporary and are conditioned upon our compliance with a broad range of conditions and restrictions, including without limitation, minimum capital and liquidity requirements, a maximum

15

risk-to-capital ratio of 20 to 1 for RMAI, restrictions on the payment of dividends and restrictions on affiliate transactions involving Radian Guaranty or RMAI. Under the GSE Approvals, Radian Group is required to contribute \$50 million of additional capital to RMAI (under the current terms of the Fannie Mae Approval, any contributions from Radian Guaranty to RMAI must be made on or before February 27, 2013), if Radian Guaranty fails to comply with applicable Statutory RBC Requirements. The Freddie Mac Approval also includes a condition specifying the time frame by which Radian Guaranty will evaluate and resolve claims. There can be no assurance that: (1) we will be able to comply with the conditions imposed by the GSEs approval for RMAI; (2) the GSEs will not revoke or terminate their approvals, which they generally have the authority to do at any time; (3) the approvals will be renewed or extended after their expiration dates; or (4) additional requirements will not be imposed as a condition to such on-going approvals, including their renewal or extension.

The GSE Approvals are limited to the RBC States. It is possible that if Radian Guaranty were not able to comply with the Statutory RBC Requirements of one or more states, the insurance regulatory authorities in states other than the RBC States could prevent Radian Guaranty from continuing to write new business in such states. If this were to occur, we would need to seek approval from the GSEs to expand the scope of their approvals to allow RMAI to write business in states other than the RBC States.

Our existing capital resources may not be sufficient to successfully manage Radian Guaranty s capital position. Our ability to utilize waivers and RMAI to continue to write business if Radian Guaranty s capital position is not in compliance with Statutory RBC Requirements is subject to conditions that we may be unable to satisfy. As a result, even if we are successful in implementing this strategy, additional capital contributions or other risk-to-capital support or relief could be necessary, which we may not have the ability to provide. Further, regardless of the waivers and the GSEs approval of RMAI, we may choose to use our existing capital at Radian Group to maintain compliance with the Statutory RBC Requirements. Depending on the extent of our future incurred losses along with other factors, the amount of capital contributions that may be required to maintain compliance with the Statutory RBC Requirements could be significant and could exceed all of our remaining available capital. In the event we contribute a significant amount of Radian Group s available capital to Radian Guaranty and RMAI, our financial flexibility would be significantly reduced, making it more difficult for Radian Group to meet its obligations in the future, including future principal payments on our outstanding debt.

We have incurred significant losses on our insured products as a result of the economic downturn that began in 2007 and we expect to incur significant additional losses in the future.

As a seller of credit protection, our results are subject to macroeconomic conditions and specific events that impact the credit performance of our underlying insured assets. Many of these conditions are beyond our control, including national and regional economic conditions, housing prices, unemployment levels, interest rate changes, the availability of credit, and other factors. The economic downturn that began in the United States in 2007, characterized by a dramatic decline in home prices, high unemployment, deteriorating credit performance of mortgage and other assets and reduced liquidity for many participants in the mortgage and financial services industries, has had, and continues to have, a negative impact on the operating environment and results of operations for our business segments. Our results of operations and financial condition during this period have been particularly affected by depreciating home values and high unemployment.

Since 2007, we have experienced high levels of defaults and claims in our mortgage insurance business, primarily driven by the poor performance of our insurance written from 2005 through 2008. High levels of unemployment and a broad decline in home prices have increased the likelihood that borrowers will default on their mortgages. In addition, falling home prices have increased the likelihood that borrowers will be unable to sell their homes, if necessary, in order to avoid default, and that borrowers with the ability to make their mortgage payments may voluntarily default on their mortgages when their mortgage balances exceed the value of their homes. We also believe that some borrowers may voluntarily default to take advantage of certain loan modification programs currently being offered or that may be offered in the future, which may reduce their mortgage payments. Falling home prices make it more difficult for us to mitigate our loss when a default occurs. See *Our loss mitigation strategies are less effective in markets where housing values fail to appreciate or continue to decline*.

At September 30, 2012, approximately 54% of our primary mortgage insurance risk in force was concentrated in 10 states, with the highest percentages being in California and Florida. As mortgage credit performance in Florida and California has deteriorated, given the size of these markets, our loss experience has been significantly affected and will continue to be negatively affected if conditions deteriorate further or fail to improve.

16

Our financial guaranty portfolio has also been negatively impacted by deterioration in the credit markets and the overall economy. See *Our financial guaranty portfolio has experienced deterioration as a result of general erosion in credit markets and the overall economy and is susceptible to further deterioration which could have a material adverse effect on the capital adequacy of Radian Guaranty.*

Although there has been some stabilization of the U.S. economy, it is difficult to predict with any degree of certainty if and when a full recovery of the economy will occur, including a meaningful reduction in unemployment and a broad and lasting recovery in the domestic housing market. As a result, there is a great deal of uncertainty regarding our ultimate loss performance, which we expect to depend primarily on the performance of our 2005 through 2008 vintage portfolios. The potential for prolonged difficult economic conditions, including rising or continued high unemployment rates and further deterioration in the housing market, may add further stress on the performance of our insured assets, which would negatively impact our financial condition and results of operations. As a result, we can provide no assurance if and when we may return to profitability.

In addition to the impact of housing and credit market conditions, our results of operations and financial condition could be negatively impacted by natural disasters or other catastrophic events, acts of terrorism, war or other severe conflicts, event-specific economic depressions or other negative economic conditions or harmful events in the regions, including in foreign countries, where we do business.

Our loss mitigation strategies are less effective in markets where housing values fail to appreciate or continue to decline.

The amount of mortgage insurance loss we suffer depends in part on the extent to which the home of a borrower who has defaulted on a mortgage can be sold for an amount that will the unpaid principal and interest on the mortgage and the expenses of the sale. In the event of a claim under our standard mortgage insurance policy, we generally have the option of paying the entire loss amount and taking title to a mortgaged property or instead paying our coverage percentage. In the past, we were able to take title to properties underlying certain defaulted loans and sell the properties quickly at prices that allowed us to recover some or all of our losses. In the current housing market, our ability to mitigate our losses in this manner has been significantly reduced. Further, in certain cases and subject to certain conditions, we consent to a sale of the property by the borrower for less than the amount needed to cover the borrower's mortgage obligation (a short sale), which has the effect of reducing our ultimate claim payment obligation. If housing values fail to stabilize or decline further on either a broad geographic basis or in the regions where our business is concentrated, the frequency of defaulted loans resulting in claims under our policies could increase and our ability to mitigate our losses on defaulted mortgages through permissible short sales or resale of properties we acquire may continue to be significantly reduced, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

A portion of our mortgage insurance risk in force consists of higher risk loans, such as high-loan-to-value (LTV) and non-prime loans, as well as pool mortgage insurance.

High-LTV Mortgages. We provide mortgage insurance on residential mortgage loans made mostly to home buyers who make down payments of less than 20% of the home s purchase price. As a result, we typically insure loans where borrowers have less equity at risk at origination than borrowers who make larger down payments; therefore, with respect to this loan characteristic, the loans we insure have a higher propensity to default relative to the total mortgage market. In addition, of the mortgage loans that we have insured, 12.2% of our mortgage insurance total primary insurance in force at September 30, 2012 consisted of insurance on mortgage loans with LTVs at origination of greater than 95%. We believe mortgage loans with LTVs greater than 95%, absent other mitigating factors such as high Fair Isaac and Company (FICO) scores, default substantially more often than those with lower LTVs. In addition, when we are required to pay a claim on a higher LTV loan, it is generally more difficult to recover our costs from the underlying property, especially in areas with declining property values. Beginning in 2008, we altered our underwriting criteria to significantly reduce the number of new loans we are insuring with LTVs greater than 95% and we have adopted more stringent guidelines for loans with LTVs greater than 90%. While we believe these changes have improved the overall risk profile of our new business written, it is likely that our results of operations and financial condition will continue to be negatively impacted by the performance of our existing insured loans with high-LTVs, especially those loans originated in 2005 through 2008.

17

Non-Prime Loans. A large percentage of the mortgage insurance we wrote in years 2005 through 2008 and, consequently, our existing mortgage insurance risk in force, is related to non-prime loans. At September 30, 2012, our non-prime mortgage insurance risk in force, including Alternative-A (Alt-A), was approximately 12.6% of our total primary insurance risk in force. Historically, non-prime loans are more likely to result in claims than prime loans. In addition, our non-prime business, in particular Alt-A loans, tends to have larger loan balances relative to other loans, which often results in larger claims. We have experienced a significant number of loan defaults related to Alt-A loans originated in 2005 through 2008. These losses have occurred more rapidly and well in excess of historical loss patterns, and have contributed in large part to the significant increase in our provision for losses. Although currently we are not originating any new non-prime loans, if defaults and claim rates on our insured portfolio of non-prime loans remain elevated or continue to increase, our results of operations and financial condition will continue to be negatively affected.

Pool Mortgage Insurance. In the past, we offered pool mortgage insurance, which exposes us to an increased risk of greater loss severity on individual loans compared to primary mortgage insurance. Our pool mortgage insurance products generally cover all losses in a pool of loans up to our aggregate exposure limit, which generally is between 1% and 10% of the initial aggregate loan balance of the entire pool of loans. Under pool mortgage insurance, we could be required to pay the full claim amount of every loan in the pool up to our aggregate exposure limits, rather than a percentage of the amount of each defaulted loan, as is the case with traditional primary mortgage insurance. At September 30, 2012, approximately 5.3% of our total mortgage insurance risk in force was attributable to pool mortgage insurance. Under most of our pool mortgage insurance policies, the property underlying a defaulted loan must be sold before a claim may be submitted to us. Therefore, in a weak housing market as currently exists, we expect to pay higher pool mortgage insurance claims when homes are sold after a prolonged period of home price depreciation, in particular when homes remain unsold for extended periods of time as is currently the case in many markets. Further declines in housing values could result in increases in the average claim size of our pool insured loans. Pool mortgage claims may continue to adversely affect our results of operations and could negatively impact our financial condition.

We insure adjustable rate loans that have resulted in significant losses and are expected to result in further losses.

At September 30, 2012, approximately 9.2% of our primary mortgage insurance risk in force consisted of ARMs, which include loans with negative amortization features, such as pay option ARMs. Our claim frequency on ARMs has been higher than on fixed-rate loans due to monthly payment increases that occur when interest rates rise or when the teaser rate (an initial interest rate that does not fully reflect the index which determines subsequent rates) expires. We consider a loan to be an ARM if the interest rate for that loan will reset at any point during the life of the loan. However, it has been our experience that ARMs with resets within five years from origination are more likely to result in a claim than longer-term ARMs. ARMs with resets within five years from origination represented approximately 3.8% of our total primary risk in force as of September 30, 2012. Approximately 12.5% of the ARMs that we insure had initial interest rate resets in 2012 and an additional 8.7% are scheduled to have initial interest rate resets in 2013.

At September 30, 2012, approximately 5.0% of our primary mortgage insurance risk in force consisted of interest-only mortgages (including approximately 2.2% of our primary mortgage insurance risk in force where the interest-only mortgages are ARMs), where the borrower pays only the interest on a mortgage for a specified period of time, usually five to ten years, after which the loan payment increases to include principal payments. We believe that, like ARMs, these loans are more likely to default because of possible payment shocks after the initial low payment period expires and because the borrower does not build equity as payments are made.

Reduced liquidity in the mortgage market, tighter underwriting standards, and declining home prices in many regions in the United States have combined to make it more difficult for many borrowers with ARMs and interest-only mortgages to refinance their mortgages into fixed-rate products. As a result, without available alternatives, many borrowers have defaulted when their interest rates reset to a higher rate or when principal becomes payable. Although there can be no assurance, the historically low level of interest rates in the current

18

mortgage market may help to reduce the size of interest payment increases (and in some cases eliminate any increase) for loans resetting in the near future, but these loans will remain vulnerable to payments shocks if and when interest rates rise in the future.

In the long term, absent a change in the current lending environment or a positive impact from federal and private measures aimed at reducing defaults from adjustable rate resets, defaults related to these products may continue to increase. If this occurs, our results of operations and financial condition could be negatively affected.

Insurance rescissions and claim denials are not expected to continue at the increased levels we have been experiencing and a number of our lender customers are challenging our loss mitigation actions.

Since 2008, the amount of insurance we have rescinded due to fraud, misrepresentation, underwriting negligence or other non-compliance with our insurance policies has increased significantly. Likewise, the number of claims that we have denied has also increased, primarily due to the inability of our servicing customers to provide the loan origination file or other servicing records that are necessary for our review within the time periods required to perfect a claim.

These rescissions and denials have materially mitigated our paid losses and resulted in a significant reduction in our loss reserves. Our estimate of future expected rescissions and denials on defaulted loans reduced our loss reserves as of September 30, 2012, by approximately \$477 million. During 2011 and the first nine months of 2012, we rescinded or denied approximately \$645 million and \$731 million, respectively, of first-lien claims submitted to us for payment (submitted claims), net of those loans for which we reinstated coverage following an initial rescission or denial decision, compared to approximately \$800 million for 2010. Of the claims we rescinded or denied in 2011 and the first nine months of 2012, approximately \$494 million and \$621 million, respectively, related to claims from policies for which we were in a first loss position and with respect to which we would have paid the claim absent the rescission or denial, while approximately \$151 million and \$110 million, respectively, related to claims where we were in a second loss position. Although claims where we were in a second loss position may not have resulted in a claim payment obligation due to deductibles and other exposure limitations included in our policies, the rescissions and denials for these loans generally have had the effect of protecting the existing deductible or other exposure limitations in the transaction. The amounts referenced above also include a small amount of submitted claims that were subsequently withdrawn by the insured.

We do not expect that rescissions and denials will, in the longer-term, continue to mitigate paid losses at the same levels we have recently experienced, in particular as the 2005 through 2008 origination years continue to decrease as a total percentage of our insured portfolio. In addition, during the second quarter of 2012, we began writing a portion of our new insurance under an endorsement to our master insurance policy with our lending customers (Master Policy) that limits our ability to rescind coverage on loans that meet the conditions in that endorsement.

As part of our claims review process, we assess whether defaulted loans were serviced appropriately in accordance with our insurance policies and servicing guidelines. To the extent a servicer has failed to satisfy its servicing obligations, our policies provide that we may curtail the claim payment for such default, and in certain circumstances, cancel coverage or deny the claim. In 2012, claim curtailments due to servicer noncompliance with our insurance policies and servicing guidelines have increased both in frequency and in amount, which has contributed to a reduction in the severity of our claim payments during this period. Further, we have identified a significant number of loans in our total defaulted portfolio (in particular, our older defaulted portfolio) for which Appropriate Proceedings (actions or proceedings such as foreclosure that provide the insured with title to the property) may not have been commenced within the outermost deadline in our master policy. We currently are in discussions with the servicers for these loans regarding this potential violation and our corresponding rights under the master policy. While we can provide no assurance regarding the outcome of these conversations or the ultimate resolution of these issues, it is possible that this matter could result in arbitration or legal proceedings. While we cannot give assurance regarding the extent or level at which such claim curtailments will continue, we expect this trend to continue for the immediate future in light of well publicized issues in the servicing industry and our existing portfolio of aged defaults.

19

Under our Master Policy, any suit or action arising from any right of the insured under the policy generally must be commenced within two years after such right arose and within three years for certain other policies, including certain pool insurance policies. We have faced an increasing number of challenges from certain lender customers regarding our insurance rescissions and claim denials, which have led us to reverse a number of our prior decisions regarding rescissions and denials. The total amount of first-lien claims submitted to us for payment that have been rescinded since January 1, 2009, and then subsequently were challenged (rebutted) by the lenders and policyholders, but not reinstated, for the period from January 1, 2009 through September 30, 2012, was \$769 million. We are currently in active discussions with customers regarding a portion of these rescissions, as well as claims we have denied or curtailed. These discussions, if not resolved, could result in arbitration or judicial proceedings, which could be brought with respect to all rescissions, denials and claim curtailments that have been challenged by such customers.

On August 1, 2011, Radian Guaranty filed a lawsuit against Quicken Loans Inc. (Quicken) in the United States District Court for the Eastern District, seeking a declaratory judgment that Radian Guaranty properly rescinded mortgage insurance coverage under our Master Policy and delegated underwriting endorsement for approximately 221 home mortgage loans originated by Quicken based upon deficiencies and improprieties in the underwriting process. We may be unsuccessful in this proceeding, or other similar proceedings that may be brought with respect to rescissions, denials and claim curtailments, which may be costly and time consuming. Our rescission practices with respect to Quicken s loans are the same as for other lenders and servicers. Therefore, any adverse result in the Quicken proceeding or other similar proceedings may adversely affect the outcome or ultimate result of rescissions involving other lenders and servicers.

In April 2011, Freddie Mac advised its servicers that they must obtain its prior approval for settlements of claims to rescind policies and Fannie Mae advised its servicers that they are prohibited from entering into such settlements. In addition, under the terms of the GSE Approvals, we may be required to obtain their prior consent for any settlements. There can be no assurance that the GSEs will approve any settlement agreements. The heightened risk of disputes with our customers regarding our increased rescissions, denials and claim curtailments could have a negative impact on our relationships with such customers or potential customers, including the potential loss of business and an increased risk of disputes and litigation.

The determination of our reserve for losses involves significant use of estimates with regard to the likelihood, magnitude and timing of a loss, including an estimate of the number of defaulted loans that will be successfully rescinded or denied. If the actual amount of rescissions and denials is significantly lower than our estimate, as a result of a greater than anticipated number of successful challenges to our rescissions and denials, litigation, settlements or other factors, or if the levels of rescission and denials decrease faster than we expect, our losses may materially increase, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Similarly, if a significant amount of our claim curtailments are successfully challenged, it could result in our payment of additional claims, which could adversely affect our financial condition.

Because most of the mortgage loans that we insure are sold to Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae, changes in their charters or business practices could significantly impact our mortgage insurance business.

Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae are the beneficiaries of the majority of our mortgage insurance policies. Freddie Mac s and Fannie Mae s federal charters generally prohibit them from purchasing any mortgage with a loan amount that exceeds 80% of a home s value, unless that mortgage is insured by a qualified insurer, the mortgage seller retains at least a 10% participation in the loan, or the seller agrees to repurchase or replace the loan in the event of a default. As a result, high-LTV mortgages purchased by Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae generally are insured with private mortgage insurance. Changes in the charters or business practices of Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae could reduce the number of mortgages they purchase that are insured by us and consequently diminish our franchise value. In particular, Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae have the ability to:

implement new eligibility requirements for mortgage insurers and alter or liberalize underwriting standards on low-down-payment mortgages they purchase (see We could lose our eligibility status with the GSEs, causing Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae to decide not to purchase mortgages insured by us, which would significantly impair our mortgage insurance franchise.);

Table of Contents 38

20

Table of Contents

alter the terms on which mortgage insurance coverage may be canceled before reaching the cancellation thresholds established by law:

establish and change the terms to be included in mortgage insurance policies for loans that they purchase;

require private mortgage insurers to perform activities intended to avoid or mitigate loss on insured mortgages that are in default;

establish and require changes to the amount of loan level delivery fees (which result in higher cost to borrowers) that the GSEs charge on loans that require mortgage insurance (see *Our mortgage insurance business faces intense competition.*);

intervene in mortgage insurers rescission practices or rescission settlement practices with lenders; and

influence a mortgage lender s selection of the mortgage insurer providing coverage.

Some of Freddie Mac s and Fannie Mae s more recent programs require less insurance coverage than they historically have required, and they have the ability to further reduce coverage requirements, which could reduce the amount of mortgage insurance purchased and have an adverse effect on our business and revenues. For a number of years, the GSEs have had programs under which lenders could choose, for certain loans, a mortgage insurance coverage percentage that was the minimum required by the GSE s charter, with the GSEs paying a lower price for these loans (charter coverage). In the second quarter of 2010, Fannie Mae broadly expanded the types of loans eligible for charter coverage. To the extent lenders selling loans to Fannie Mae choose charter coverage for loans that we insure, our revenues would likely be reduced.

The GSEs business practices may be impacted by their results of operations as well as legislative or regulatory changes governing their operations. In July 2008, an overhaul of regulatory oversight of the GSEs was enacted. The provisions contained within the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, encompass substantially all of the GSEs operations. This new law abolished the former regulator for the GSEs and created a new regulator, the FHFA, in addition to other oversight reforms.

In September 2008, the FHFA was appointed as the conservator of the GSEs to control and direct the operations of the GSEs. The continued role of the conservator may increase the likelihood that the business practices of the GSEs will be changed in ways that may have a material adverse effect on us. In particular, if the private mortgage insurance industry does not have the ability, due to capital constraints, to continue to write sufficient business to meet the needs of the GSEs, the GSEs may seek alternatives other than private mortgage insurance to conduct their business.

In February 2011, the U.S. Presidential administration (the Administration) delivered a report to the U.S. Congress with recommendations for reforming the U.S. housing finance market. As part of this report, the Administration recommended the winding down of the GSEs over a period of time, including by increasing pricing at the GSEs, reducing the size of loans that the GSEs may purchase, requiring borrowers to provide a 10% down payment for GSE loans, and decreasing the GSEs investment portfolios by at least 10% each year. In addition, the report encouraged the GSEs to pursue additional credit-loss protection from private insurers and other capital providers in order to increase the level of private capital in the housing finance system. These recommendations require legislative action in order to implement; thus, some of them have been and will continue to be the subject of significant Congressional focus and debate in the near future. As such, it is difficult to predict when Congressional action would be final and how long any associated phase-in period may last.

Since 2011, there have been numerous legislative proposals that are intended to wind down the GSEs in a piecemeal fashion. Among other changes, these bills, if ultimately enacted, would gradually reduce the GSEs maximum portfolio size, prohibit the GSEs from engaging in any new activities or businesses, and repeal the GSEs affordable housing goals. In addition, there were several comprehensive housing finance reform proposals

Table of Contents

introduced in Congress. Each of these proposals has been designed to eliminate the GSEs, while most of them would also replace the GSEs with a new mortgage financing system. The proposals vary greatly with regard to the government s role in the housing market, and more specifically, with regard to the existence of an explicit or implicit government guarantee. Most of the proposals would maintain the current role of private mortgage insurance, while some of the proposals would provide for deeper mortgage insurance coverage. It is difficult to predict whether any of these proposals will become law or the impact any future legislation will have on our business and prospects.

The future structure of the residential housing finance system remains uncertain, including the impact of any such changes on our business. Although we believe that traditional private mortgage insurance will continue to play an important role in any future housing finance structure, it is reasonably possible that new federal legislation could reduce the level of private mortgage insurance coverage used by the GSEs as credit enhancement, or even eliminate the requirement altogether, which would reduce our available market and could adversely affect our mortgage insurance business.

Our financial guaranty portfolio has experienced deterioration as a result of general erosion in credit markets and the overall economy and is susceptible to further deterioration which could have a material adverse effect on the capital adequacy of Radian Guaranty.

During the third quarter of 2008, Radian Group contributed its ownership interest in Radian Asset Assurance to Radian Guaranty. While this reorganization has provided Radian Guaranty with substantial regulatory capital and dividends, it also makes the capital adequacy of our mortgage insurance business dependent, to a significant degree, on the successful run-off of our financial guaranty business. If our financial guaranty portfolio performs significantly worse than anticipated, including if we are required to establish (or increase) statutory reserves on defaulted obligations that we have insured, or if we make net commutation payments to terminate insured financial guaranty obligations in excess of the then established statutory reserves for such obligations, the statutory capital of Radian Guaranty also would be negatively impacted. Any decrease in the capital support derived from our financial guaranty business could, therefore, lead to Radian Guaranty s inability to continue to write new mortgage insurance business.

We have guaranteed structured finance obligations that expose us to a variety of complex credit risks, and indirectly, to market, political and other risks beyond those that generally apply to financial guarantees of public finance obligations. We have insured and reinsured certain asset-backed transactions and securitizations secured by one or a few classes of assets, such as residential mortgages, auto loans and leases and other consumer assets, both funded and synthetic. We have also insured obligations under credit default swaps (CDSs), including collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) of several asset classes, such as corporate debt, trust preferred securities (TruPs), residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS), commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) and other asset-backed securities (ABS) obligations. We have experienced credit deterioration in our financial guaranty structured finance portfolio, particularly in our insured portfolio of TruPs CDOs, and CMBS CDOs, as a result of the general deterioration in the commercial real estate and credit markets and the overall economy. Losses associated with our structured finance insured portfolio are difficult to predict accurately and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results, especially given the recent economic disruptions.

In addition to our structured finance risk, we have significant exposure to public finance obligations that also are susceptible to default in an economic downturn. Historically, our financial guaranty public finance business was focused on smaller, regional, lower investment-grade issuers and structures that were uneconomical for other financial guarantors to insure. As a result, compared to other monoline financial guarantors, a greater percentage of our total exposure is concentrated in sectors such as healthcare, long-term care and education, which have historically had higher default rates than other public finance sectors. These credits, which generally cover smaller, more rural and specialized issuers, tend to be lower rated and more susceptible to default in an economic downturn.

Our public finance insured portfolio continues to experience stress from the general economic downturn and slow economic recovery. More hospitals have been experiencing a decrease in patient revenues as a result of a significant decline in patient volumes, increased charity care and limited increases in commercial and government reimbursements. Many healthcare institutions are reporting that further expense reduction efforts are unrealistic and that operating losses are expected as healthcare inflation outpaces weak revenue growth. Further, long-term care facilities have been generally experiencing gradually declining occupancies, reduced debt service coverage margins and slowly eroding cash positions. If these trends continue, it could result in further credit deterioration and require increases in our net claim liability related to our healthcare and long-term care credits.

Table of Contents 40

22

Table of Contents

We expect the negative trend in the public finance sector to continue through at least the end of 2013 and most likely into 2014, due to the slow economic recovery, federal funding reductions (including the end of federal stimulus revenues and potential sequestration), expected Medicare cuts and continued stress on tax-based revenue receipts (in particular where tax revenues are derived from the value of real estate as discussed below), which collectively are expected to continue to strain the ability of government entities to maintain balanced budgets and adequate liquidity to meet near-term financial obligations. We may continue to experience further credit deterioration and municipal defaults in our government-related insured credits, which could require increases in our net claim liability with respect to these credits.

We have seen credit deterioration in our insured portfolio of other tax supported bond transactions, in particular, those that are payable from real estate tax revenues derived from the value of real estate in narrowly defined districts or from special assessments for improvements on certain properties. Declining property values have reduced the assessed value of the tax base in these jurisdictions, resulting in reduced tax revenues being available to pay interest and principal on these insured bonds. We may experience further credit deterioration in these transactions, which would increase the likelihood that ultimately we would be required to make claim payments with respect to these bonds, especially those from special districts.

Further deterioration in our financial guaranty portfolio could reduce Radian Asset Assurance s statutory surplus and negatively impact its ability to pay dividends to Radian Guaranty.

The performance of our financial guaranty business affects whether Radian Asset Assurance can pay dividends to Radian Guaranty in the future as it has in past years, and the amount of any such dividends. As of September 30, 2012, Radian Asset Assurance maintained claims paying resources of \$1.8 billion, including statutory surplus of approximately \$1.1 billion. In July 2012, Radian Asset Assurance paid an ordinary dividend of \$54 million to Radian Guaranty. We expect that Radian Asset Assurance will next have the capacity to pay an ordinary dividend to Radian Guaranty in the third quarter of 2013. This dividend is expected to be approximately \$35 million. Radian Asset Assurance paid dividends to Radian Guaranty in 2010 and 2011 totaling \$69.2 million and \$53.4 million, respectively.

The timing and amount of any future dividend payments will depend on the dividend capacity of our financial guaranty business, which is governed by New York insurance laws. If the performance of our financial guaranty portfolio deteriorates materially, or the amount we pay to terminate any particular financial guaranty exposure is larger than the amount of the reserves for such exposure, Radian Asset Assurance s statutory surplus may be reduced. If this were to occur, Radian Asset Assurance would likely have less capacity to pay dividends to Radian Guaranty, and could be prohibited from paying dividends altogether.

We face risks associated with our exposure to other financial guaranty insurers.

As of September 30, 2012, Radian Asset Assurance had approximately \$6.3 billion outstanding par on its total reinsurance portfolio. On January 9, 2013, Radian Asset Assurance commuted the remaining \$827 million of outstanding par reinsured by Radian Asset Assurance from Financial Guaranty Insurance Company (FGIC), representing 13% of Radian Asset Assurance s total reinsurance portfolio as of September 30, 2012, for a commutation payment from Radian Asset of approximately \$52.4 million (the FGIC Commutation).

After giving effect to the FGIC Commutation, substantially all of our remaining financial guaranty reinsurance business is currently assumed from affiliates of one Company, Assured Guaranty Ltd. (Assured). Our financial guaranty ceding customers, including Assured, have the right to take back or recapture all of their business previously ceded to us under their reinsurance agreements with us. While our treaties with Assured do not permit it to selectively recapture business previously ceded to us under its treaties, because we have entered into multiple treaties with Assured, it is possible that it may choose to recapture business only under those treaties that it perceives as covering less risky portions of our reinsurance portfolio. This selective recapture, if it occurs, could potentially leave us with risk that is more concentrated in troubled asset classes or exposures.

23

Table of Contents

Our ceding customers are primarily responsible for surveillance, loss mitigation and salvage on the risks that they cede to us. Our ceding customers face substantial earnings pressure on their financial guaranty insurance business, and therefore, may be less willing to perform these tasks to the extent necessary to minimize potential losses and/or maximize potential salvage on the credits we reinsure. These customers may have different incentives to eliminate long-term liabilities than we do. We generally do not have direct access to the insured obligation or the right to perform our own loss mitigation or salvage work on these transactions. We also have limited visibility with respect to the performance of many of the obligations we reinsure. See *If the estimates we use in establishing loss reserves are incorrect, we may be required to take unexpected charges to income, which could adversely affect our capital position.*

Primary ceding customers sometimes delegate their loss adjustment functions to third parties, the cost of which is then proportionally allocated to us and any other reinsurers for the insured transaction. Accordingly, the losses and loss adjustment expenses allocated to us on our reinsured risks may be higher than otherwise would have been the case if we were responsible for surveillance, loss mitigation and salvage for these risks. In addition, should a primary insurer become insolvent, there is a risk that the recoveries that it receives in any given transaction may become a part of its general estate rather than being allocated among the reinsurers paying the related claim. These factors could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results.

In addition to reinsurance, we have insured certain transactions on a second-to-pay basis, meaning that we are obligated to pay claims in these transactions only to the extent that both the underlying obligation defaults and another insurer, who is the primary obligor for claims, fails to pay a valid claim. Consequently, if the conservator for an insolvent financial guarantor rejects payment of all or a portion of a valid claim, we may be required to pay all or a portion of such valid claim. Because many insurers are currently experiencing significant financial difficulties, the likelihood of our having to pay a claim on our second-to-pay transactions, due to another insurer s failure to pay, has increased. As of September 30, 2012, Syncora Guaranty Inc. (Syncora), FGIC and Ambac Assurance Corporation are the primary insurers on \$0.8 billion net par outstanding (or 35.5%) of our second-to-pay exposure, and \$232.6 million (or 28.8%) of this exposure is internally rated below investment grade.

In 2009, Syncora and FGIC suspended all claims payments following orders by the New York Insurance Department (NYID). FGIC is currently in rehabilitation and therefore the timing and amount of any claims payments from FGIC are uncertain and could result in additional claim payments by us on those transactions for which FGIC is the primary and insurer and we have insured on a second-to-pay basis. While the NYID lifted the suspension of payments by Syncora in June 2010, Syncora has subsequently posted additional losses and the NYID could implement the suspension again in the future, which could increase the likelihood that we would be obligated to make claim payments on second-to-pay transactions for which Syncora is the primary insurer.

We could lose our eligibility status with the GSEs, causing Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae to decide not to purchase mortgages insured by us, which would significantly impair our mortgage insurance franchise.

In order to maintain the highest level of eligibility with Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae, mortgage insurers have historically been required to maintain an insurer financial strength rating of AA- or Aa3 from at least two of the three ratings agencies by which they are customarily rated. If a mortgage insurer were to lose such eligibility, Freddie Mac and/or Fannie Mae could restrict the mortgage insurer from conducting certain types of business with them or take actions that may include not purchasing loans insured by such mortgage insurer. In light of the housing market downturn, both Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae have indicated that loss of mortgage insurer eligibility due to such a downgrade will no longer be automatic and will be subject to review if and when the downgrade occurs. Radian Guaranty has been downgraded substantially below AA-/Aa3 by Standard & Poor s Rating System (S&P) and Moody s Investor Service (Moody s). Because of these ratings, we have presented business and financial remediation plans to Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae for how to restore profitability and ultimately regain a higher rating for our mortgage insurance business. If the rating agencies and GSEs believe that our plans will not provide the capital required by our mortgage insurance business, or otherwise are not satisfied, we could lose our eligibility with the GSEs and/or be further downgraded by the rating agencies. We cannot be certain whether, or for how long, either of the GSEs will continue to accept our existing remediation plans.

In addition to ratings requirements, the current eligibility requirements impose limitations on the type of risk insured, standards for the geographic and customer diversification of risk, procedures for claims handling,

24

Table of Contents

standards for acceptable underwriting practices, standards for certain reinsurance cessions and financial requirements that generally mirror state insurance regulatory requirements. The GSEs currently are in discussions with mortgage insurers regarding potential revisions to the GSEs standard mortgage insurer eligibility requirements, including certain changes that are more stringent than the current requirements, such as imposing more onerous capital requirements than those that are currently in effect. We do not know whether or when such modifications may be implemented, or the form that any such modifications may take.

In February 2012, RMAI was approved as an eligible insurer on a limited basis in certain states, subject to the terms and conditions of the GSE Approvals. The current GSE Approvals are scheduled to expire on December 31, 2013. The GSE Approvals are conditioned upon our compliance with a broad range of conditions and restrictions, including without limitation, minimum capital and liquidity requirements, a maximum risk-to-capital ratio of 20 to 1 for RMAI, restrictions on payment of dividends, and requirements governing the manner in which Radian Guaranty and RMAI conduct affiliate transactions. There can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain compliance with the requirements of the GSE Approvals or that the GSEs will not revoke their approvals. Failure by RMAI to maintain compliance with the GSE Approvals could impact Radian Guaranty a eligibility status with the GSEs.

We cannot be certain that Radian Guaranty and RMAI will be able to retain eligibility status with the GSEs. Loss of our eligibility status with the GSEs would likely have an immediate and material adverse impact on the franchise value of our mortgage insurance business and our future prospects, and would negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition.

A decrease in the volume of home mortgage originations has resulted in fewer opportunities for us to write new insurance business.

Our ability to write new business depends, among other things, on a steady flow of high-LTV mortgages that require our mortgage insurance. Losses from the housing market downturn have caused lenders to substantially reduce the availability of these loans, and to significantly tighten their underwriting standards. Fewer loan products and tighter loan qualifications, while improving the overall quality of new mortgage originations, have in turn reduced the number of qualified homebuyers and made it more difficult for buyers (in particular first-time buyers) to obtain mortgage financing or to refinance their existing mortgages. In addition, the significant disruption in the housing and related credit markets has led to reduced investor demand for mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities (MBS) in the secondary market, which historically has been a source of funding for many mortgage lenders. This has significantly reduced liquidity in the mortgage funding marketplace, forcing many lenders to retain a larger portion of their mortgage loans and MBS and leaving them with less capacity to continue to originate new mortgages.

Total domestic mortgage originations have decreased significantly from the \$2.7 trillion in originations during 2006 (pre-dating the housing downturn) to approximately \$1.9 trillion for 2012. If the volume of new mortgage originations continues to remain at low levels for a prolonged period, we will likely experience fewer opportunities to write new insurance business and we may be subject to increased competition with respect to these opportunities, which could reduce the size of our mortgage insurance business and have a significant negative effect on both our ability to execute our business plans and our overall franchise value. See *Our mortgage insurance business faces intense competition*. Further, the Dodd Frank Act s reforms to strengthen lending standards, improve underwriting standards and increase accountability in the loan origination and securitization processes could further reduce the total number of mortgage originations in the future, in particular with respect to the high-LTV market. In addition, the proposed Basel III guidelines, unlike previous Basel rules, do not give credit for mortgage insurance when calculating a loan s risk weighting, which could discourage the use of mortgage insurance and result in fewer opportunities for us to write new business. See *Legislation and regulatory changes and interpretations could harm our mortgage insurance business*. and *The implementation of the Basel III capital adequacy requirements and the Basel III guidelines may discourage the use of mortgage insurance*.

Our new insurance written and franchise value could decline if we lose a significant customer.

Our mortgage insurance business depends on our relationships with our customers, and in particular, our relationships with our largest lending customers. As of September 30, 2012, our top 10 mortgage insurance

25

Table of Contents

customers (measured by new insurance written) were generally responsible for approximately 25.6% of our primary new insurance written in 2012. Since 2011 we have been focused on expanding and diversifying our customer base, and in the first nine months of 2012, 20% of our new insurance written was from customers new to us in 2011 and 2012. Notwithstanding these efforts, maintaining our business relationships and business volumes with our largest lending customers remains critical to the success of our business.

In response to the general deterioration in housing markets, we have tightened our underwriting guidelines, which has resulted in our declining to insure some of the loans originated by our larger customers. We have also increased our pricing to reflect the increased risk of default in the current economic and housing downturns. Our increased pricing, tighter guidelines and increased level of loss mitigation activity, has negatively affected our relationships with certain of our customers, and could result in customers choosing to limit the amount of business they conduct with us or cease to do business with us entirely. See *Insurance rescissions and claim denials are not expected to continue at the increased levels we have been experiencing and a number of our lender customers are challenging our loss mitigation actions.*

Our Master Policies and related lender agreements do not, and by law cannot, require our mortgage insurance customers to do business with us. Although we have taken steps to significantly expand and diversify our customer base in recent years, we cannot be certain that any loss of business from a single lender would be replaced from other new or existing lending customers in the industry. In addition, as a result of current market conditions, our lending customers may decide to write business only with certain mortgage insurers based on their views with respect to an insurer s pricing, underwriting guidelines, loss mitigation practices, financial strength or other factors. In addition, many of our customers currently are placing a significant portion of their mortgage insurance business with us. Our customers may choose to diversify the mortgage insurers with which they do business, which could negatively affect our level of new insurance written and our market share.

Certain of our mortgage insurance competitors are affiliates of much larger companies that have significantly larger consolidated capital positions than we have, which could make it more likely that customers may choose to do business with them. See *Our mortgage insurance business faces intense competition*. Under the terms of our master policies, our customers or the parties they designate to service the loans we insure have the unilateral right to cancel our insurance coverage at any time for any loan that we insure. Upon cancellation of coverage, subject to the type of coverage, we may be required to refund to the insured lender unearned premiums, if any.

The economic downturn and challenging market conditions of the recent past have adversely affected the financial condition of a number of our largest lending customers. If the U.S. economy fails to fully recover or re-enters a recessionary period, these customers could again become subject to serious financial constraints that may jeopardize the viability of their business plans or their access to additional capital, forcing them to consider alternatives such as bankruptcy or consolidation with others in the industry. The loss of business from a significant customer could have a material adverse effect on the amount of new business we are able to write, and consequently, our franchise value.

Our mortgage insurance business faces intense competition.

The U.S. mortgage insurance industry is intensely competitive. Our competitors include other private mortgage insurers and federal and state governmental and quasi-governmental agencies, principally the FHA, which has significantly increased its competitive position in the mortgage insurance market in recent years.

We compete with other private mortgage insurers on the basis of price, customer relationships, reputation, financial strength and service. The improvement in the credit quality of new loans being insured in the current market, combined with the deterioration of the financial positions of many existing private mortgage insurance companies (which led insurance regulators to take action with respect to certain companies), in part due to their legacy books of insured mortgages, is bringing new entrants to our industry and could encourage additional new competitors. Certain of our private mortgage insurance competitors are subsidiaries of larger corporations or are not burdened by legacy credit risks, and therefore, may have access to greater amounts of capital and financial resources than we do, and may have stronger financial strength ratings than we have. If we are unable to compete with other providers, including new entrants that are not burdened by legacy credit risks or by their rescission, denial and other loss mitigation actions on legacy insurance portfolios, it could have a material adverse effect on our business position, financial condition and operating results.

26

We also compete with governmental and quasi-governmental entities that typically do not have the same capital requirements or business objectives that we and other private mortgage insurance companies have, and therefore, generally have had greater financial flexibility in their pricing guidelines and capacity that could put us at a competitive disadvantage. Beginning in 2008, the FHA, which historically had not been a significant competitor, substantially increased its share of the mortgage insurance market, including by insuring a number of loans that would meet our current underwriting guidelines, sometimes at a lower monthly cost to the borrower than a loan that carries our mortgage insurance.

The FHA may continue to maintain a strong market position and could even increase its market position to the point that private mortgage insurers may be perceived as less significant to the future of the housing finance market. Factors that could cause the FHA to maintain or increase its share of the mortgage insurance market include:

past and potential future capital constraints of the private mortgage insurance industry;

the tightening by private mortgage insurers of underwriting guidelines based on past loan performance or other risk concerns;

the increased levels of loss mitigation activity by private mortgage insurers on older vintage portfolios compared to the FHA s practice of engaging in limited loss mitigation activities;

the imposition of loan level delivery fees by the GSEs on loans that require mortgage insurance;

the perceived operational ease of using FHA insurance compared to the products of private mortgage insurers; and

the implementation of new regulations under the Dodd-Frank Act and the Basel III guidelines that may be more favorable to the FHA compared to private mortgage insurers (see *The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act may have a material effect on our mortgage insurance and financial guaranty businesses* and *The implementation of the Basel II capital adequacy requirements and the Basel III guidelines may discourage the use of mortgage insurance.*).

In the event that a government-owned or government-sponsored entity in one of our markets decides to reduce prices significantly or alter the terms and conditions of its mortgage insurance or other credit enhancement products in furtherance of political, social or other goals rather than a profit motive, we may be unable to compete in that market effectively, which could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

One or more private mortgage insurers may seek to regain market share from the FHA or other mortgage insurers by reducing pricing, loosening their underwriting guidelines, or relaxing their loss mitigation practices, which could, in turn, improve their competitive position in the industry and negatively impact the level of our new insurance written.

In addition, before the recent housing downturn, an increasing number of alternatives to traditional private mortgage insurance developed, many of which reduced the demand for our mortgage insurance. As a result of the disruptions in the housing finance and credit markets, however, many of the alternatives to private mortgage insurance are not currently available. If market conditions were to change, however, or new alternatives are developed, we again could face significant competition from these alternatives as well as others that may develop.

Our business depends, in part, on effective and reliable loan servicing, which could continue to be negatively impacted by the current disruption in the housing and mortgage credit markets.

We depend on reliable, consistent third-party servicing of the loans that we insure. Dependable servicing generally ensures timely billing and effective loss mitigation opportunities for delinquent or near-delinquent loans. As part of our claims review process, we assess whether defaulted loans were serviced appropriately in accordance with our insurance policies and servicing guidelines. In the high claims environment of the recent past, we have found a high frequency of servicer negligence with respect to the loans we have insured, which makes us more susceptible to greater losses on these loans.

Table of Contents

Many of our customers also service the loans that we insure, whether the loans were originated by the customer or another lender. The same challenging economic and market conditions affecting our customers that are described above in *Our new insurance written and franchise value could decline if we lose a significant customer*, also affect their ability to effectively maintain their servicing operations. In addition, current housing trends have led to a significant increase in the number of delinquent mortgage loans. These increases have strained the resources of servicers, reducing their ability to undertake loss mitigation efforts in a timely manner, including the processing of potential loan modifications, such as through the U.S. Department of the Treasury s Homeowner Affordable Modification Program (HAMP), which could help limit our losses. Further, due to the strain on the resources of servicers, delinquent loan servicing is increasingly being transferred to specialty servicers. The transfer of servicing can cause a disruption in the servicing of delinquent loans. Additionally, specialty servicers may not have sufficient resources to effectively handle the substantially higher volume of delinquent loans. Managing a substantially higher volume of under-performing loans has created operational difficulties that servicers have had difficulty overcoming or responding to effectively.

Recent state and federal inquiries and investigations into whether servicers have acted improperly in foreclosure proceedings, including the cost of and conditions imposed in settlements of such inquiries or investigations, may further strain the resources of servicers. The U.S. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) is expected to finalize proposed rules in January 2013 to establish national servicing standards for servicing residential mortgage loans that would impose new and potentially more burdensome requirements and procedures and standards. Complying with the new rules, if enacted, could cause additional disruptions in the servicing of mortgage loans covered by our insurance policies.

If a disruption occurs in the servicing of mortgage loans covered by our insurance policies, this, in turn, could contribute to a rise in delinquencies and/or claims among those loans and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

Loan modification, refinancing and other similar programs may not continue to provide us with a material benefit.

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the GSEs and various lenders have adopted programs to modify loans to make them more affordable to borrowers with the goal of reducing the number of foreclosures. In addition, in 2009, the U.S. Department of the Treasury implemented the Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP), which provides guidelines for loan modifications. Some of the eligibility criteria for these programs require information about borrowers, such as the borrowers—current income and non-mortgage debt obligations. Because the GSEs and the lenders do not share such information with us, we cannot determine with certainty the number of loans in our default inventory that remain eligible to participate in such programs. While modifications continue to be made under these programs, it is unclear how many successful loan modifications will result from these programs, in particular in light of the high level of re-default rates for loans that have been modified through these programs. To the extent modifications cure previously defaulted loans, our loss reserves do not account for potential re-defaults unless at the time the reserve is established, the re-default has already occurred. We believe that we have realized the majority of the benefits from HAMP because the number of loans insured by us that we are aware are entering HAMP trial modification periods has decreased significantly over time.

Some mortgage lenders and other agencies have implemented private modification programs with a similar goal to HAMP. While we do not have complete information regarding which of our insured loans may be entering these programs, we believe that a material number of our defaulted insured loans may be subject to private modification programs. It is uncertain how many of these loans may be successfully modified and, if modified, how many will remain current following such modification. If a mortgage balance is reduced as a result of this HAMP program, we are still responsible under our master insurance policy to pay the original balance if the borrower re-defaults on that mortgage after its balance has been reduced.

28

In 2009, the GSEs began offering the Homeowner Affordable Refinance Program (HARP). HARP allows a borrower who is not delinquent to refinance a mortgage if such borrower has been unable to take advantage of lower interest rates because the borrower s home has decreased in value. In November 2011, the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) made enhancements to the HARP program (HARP 2) that expanded the number of borrowers who can qualify for refinancing. Under HARP 2, among other changes, the FHFA: (i) removed the 125% LTV ceiling for fixed-rate mortgages backed by the GSEs, which had prevented some borrowers whose home values had declined from participating; (ii) eliminated certain risk-based fees for borrowers who refinance into shorter-term mortgages; (iii) waived certain representations and warranties required to be made by the borrower; and (iv) extended the program so that it now expires at the end of 2013. Importantly, Radian Guaranty and other private mortgage insurers have reached an agreement with the FHFA to facilitate the transfer of mortgage insurance on loans to be refinanced without regard to LTV. While HARP 2 may result in fewer delinquent loans and claims, our ability to rescind coverage on HARP loans will be limited in certain circumstances pursuant to our agreement with the FHFA. The changes implemented by HARP 2 have increased the number of borrowers who may benefit from the program and, as of September 30, 2012, approximately 8% of our total primary risk in force had successfully completed a HARP refinance.

We cannot ascertain the total benefits we may derive from these programs, particularly given the uncertainty around the re-default rates for loans that have been modified through these programs. Re-defaults can result in losses that could be greater than we would have paid had the loan not been modified. HARP 2 will expire at the end of 2013 unless further extended by the FHFA and there can be no assurance that other loan modification, refinancing or other similar programs will continue to be available. The expiration, termination or temporary cessation of any of these programs could result in an increased number of claims in our mortgage insurance business and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Foreclosure moratoriums may extend the period of time that a loan remains in our delinquent loan inventory and increase the severity of claims we are required to pay once the moratoriums expire.

Various government entities and private parties have enacted foreclosure (or equivalent) moratoriums to allow time to determine whether delinquent loans could be modified. Moratoriums also have been imposed in response to allegations that certain mortgage servicers and other parties acted improperly in foreclosure proceedings. Generally, moratoriums do not stop the accrual of interest or affect other expenses on a loan, and unless a loan is cured during a moratorium, at the expiration of the moratorium, our paid claim amount may include additional interest (subject to a two-year limitation under our insurance policies) and expenses. However, where our claim amount is increased because of foreclosure delays caused by a failure to appropriately service or meet other conditions under our insurance policies, we are entitled to adjust claims appropriately. The various moratoriums may further delay our receipt of claims, resulting in an increase in the period that a loan remains in our delinquent loan inventory, and may increase the severity of claims that we are ultimately required to pay.

Our success depends on our ability to assess and manage our underwriting risks; the premiums we charge may not be adequate to compensate us for our liability for losses.

Our mortgage insurance and financial guaranty premium rates may not be adequate to cover future losses. The estimates and expectations we use to establish premium rates are based on assumptions made at the time our insurance is written. Our mortgage insurance premiums are based on our long-term expected risk of claims on insured loans, and take into account, among other factors, each loan s LTV, type (e.g., prime vs. non-prime or fixed vs. variable payments), premium structure (e.g., single lump sum or monthly), term, coverage percentage and whether there is a deductible in front of our loss position. Our financial guaranty premiums are based on our expected risk of claim on the insured obligation, and take into account, among other factors, the rating and creditworthiness of the issuer and of the insured obligations, the type of insured obligation, the policy term and the structure of the transaction being insured. These assumptions may ultimately prove to be inaccurate. In particular, the predictive value of historical data may be less reliable during periods of greater economic stress and, accordingly, our ability to correctly estimate our premium requirements may be impaired during periods of economic uncertainty such as we are currently experiencing.

29

We generally cannot cancel or elect not to renew the mortgage insurance or financial guaranty insurance coverage we provide, and because we generally fix premium rates for the life of a policy when issued, we cannot adjust renewal premiums or otherwise adjust premiums during the life of a policy. Therefore, even if the risk underlying many of the mortgage or financial guaranty products we have insured develops more adversely than we anticipated, including as a result of the ongoing weakness in many parts of the economy and housing market, and the premiums our customers are currently paying for similar coverage on new business from us and others has increased, we generally cannot increase the premium rates on this in-force business, or cancel coverage or elect not to renew coverage, to mitigate the effects of such adverse developments. Our premiums earned and the associated investment income on those premiums may ultimately prove to be inadequate to compensate for the losses that we may incur with respect to those insured risks.

See We have incurred significant losses on our insured products as a result of the economic downturn that began in 2007 and we expect to incur significant additional losses in the future.

Our delegated underwriting program may subject our mortgage insurance business to unanticipated claims.

In our mortgage insurance business, we enter into agreements with our mortgage lender customers that commit us to insure loans made by them using pre-established underwriting guidelines. Once we accept a lender into our delegated underwriting program, we generally insure a loan originated by that lender even if the lender has not followed our specified underwriting guidelines. Under this program, a lender could commit us to insure a material number of loans with unacceptable risk profiles before we discover the problem and terminate that lender s delegated underwriting authority or pursue other rights that may be available to us, such as our rights to rescind coverage or deny claims.

We face risks associated with our contract underwriting business.

We provide contract underwriting services for certain of our mortgage lender customers, including on loans for which we are not providing mortgage insurance. For substantially all of the existing loans that were originated through our contract underwriting services, we have agreed that if we make a material error in providing these services and the error leads to a default, the mortgage lender may, subject to certain conditions, require us to purchase the loan, issue mortgage insurance on the loan, or indemnify the lender against future loss associated with the loan. Accordingly, we have assumed some credit risk and interest-rate risk in connection with providing these services. We also face regulatory risk in providing these services. See *Legislation and regulatory changes and interpretations could harm our mortgage insurance business.

Our current below investment grade ratings and the potential for future downgrades of our credit ratings or the insurance financial strength ratings assigned to any of our mortgage insurance or financial guaranty subsidiaries could weaken our competitive position and affect our financial condition and future prospects

The credit ratings of Radian Group and the insurance financial strength ratings assigned to our insurance subsidiaries have been downgraded multiple times since 2008, remain below investment grade and may be downgraded again in the future. Although S&P raised the ratings of Radian Group to CCC+ from CCC on October 15, 2012, and also confirmed its financial strength ratings for Radian Guaranty in the same action, these ratings reflect an outlook of Negative and reflect S&P s views regarding risk of significant adverse reserve development, the trajectory of operating performance and the impact of ongoing losses. On April 17, 2012, Moody s downgraded Radian Group s rating to Caa2 from Caa1. This downgrade reflects Moody s views regarding Radian Group s current liquidity position, the ongoing stress at our mortgage insurance subsidiaries and Radian Group s upcoming debt maturities. In the same action, Moody s confirmed its financial strength ratings for our mortgage insurance and financial guaranty subsidiaries. Although we remain in frequent contact with the rating agencies and have prepared action plans to address rating agency actions, we are generally not provided with much advance notice of ratings decisions, which could come at any time.

On August 25, 2011, S&P published its updated methodologies and assumptions for rating bond insurers, which significantly re-calibrated its bond insurance criteria. The new criteria, among other things, increased capital requirements, especially to obtain S&P s highest ratings, and added a new leverage test. On November 17, 2011, as a consequence of these updated methodologies and assumptions, S&P downgraded the financial strength ratings of Radian Asset Assurance to B+, and maintained its outlook for Radian Asset Assurance as Negative. On August 2, 2012, S&P affirmed its ratings for Radian Asset Assurance.

30

Table of Contents

Historically, our ratings have been critical to our ability to market our products and to maintain our competitive position and customer confidence in our products. A further downgrade in these ratings or the announcement of the potential of a further downgrade, or any other concern relating to the ongoing financial strength of our insurance subsidiaries, could make it difficult or impossible for our insurance subsidiaries to continue to write new, profitable business or could create a competitive advantage for other industry participants that maintain higher ratings than us.

Further, although we believe the GSEs have not been as concerned with ratings as they have been in past periods, we expect that they will renew their focus on ratings as markets improve, and any future downgrade of the insurance financial strength ratings for our mortgage insurance business could negatively impact our eligibility status with the GSEs. In addition, to the extent that there is a future restructuring of the U.S. housing finance system, we believe that ratings may once again become a more critical factor in our ability to effectively participate in any such new system.

A ratings downgrade may also make it more difficult for us to successfully raise capital, including by limiting us to terms that are less attractive or not acceptable to us or by limiting the size of such an offering to an amount that would not be sufficient to restore or stabilize our ratings.

Because we do not establish reserves in our mortgage insurance business until a borrower has failed to make two monthly payments our financial statements do not reflect our ultimate expected obligation for losses on our entire portfolio of insured mortgages.

We do not establish reserves in our mortgage insurance business until we are notified that a borrower has failed to make at least two monthly payments when due. Because our mortgage insurance reserving does not account for the impact of future losses that we expect to incur with respect to currently non-defaulted loans, our obligation for ultimate losses that we expect to incur at any period end is not reflected in our financial statements, except to the extent that a premium deficiency exists. As a result, future losses beyond what we have recorded in our financial statements may have a material impact on future results as defaults occur.

If the estimates we use in establishing loss reserves are incorrect, we may be required to take unexpected charges to income, which could adversely affect our capital position.

We establish loss reserves in both our mortgage insurance and financial guaranty businesses to provide for the estimated cost of future claims. Because our reserves represent only our best estimate of claims to be paid in the future, these reserves may be insufficient to satisfy the full amount of claims that we ultimately have to pay. Setting our loss reserves requires significant judgment by management with respect to the likelihood, magnitude and timing of each potential loss. The models, assumptions and estimates we use to establish loss reserves may not prove to be accurate, especially during an extended economic downturn or a period of extreme market volatility and uncertainty, as currently exists.

Many of the programs and initiatives that have been implemented to prevent or forestall foreclosures in our mortgage insurance business have resulted in fewer defaulted loans moving to claim, and consequently, an increase in the aging of our inventory of defaulted loans. As a result, the number of our defaulted loans that have been in default for 240 or more days, which represents our most aged category of defaulted loans, currently represents a significantly larger portion of our default inventory than has historically been the case. While these loans are generally assigned a higher loss reserve based on our belief that they are more likely to result in a claim, we also assume, based on historical trends, that a significant portion of these loans will cure or otherwise not result in a claim. Given current market conditions, the limited number of cures we are currently seeing among this inventory of loans, and the significant period of time that these loans have been in default, it is possible that the ultimate cure rate for these defaulted loans will be significantly less than historical rates, and therefore, less than our current estimates of cures for this inventory of defaults. Further, the foreclosure moratoriums and other delays that have been imposed in response to allegations that certain mortgage servicers and other parties acted improperly in foreclosure proceedings is likely resulting in further aging of our defaulted loan portfolio, which has decreased claim payments

31

Table of Contents

(perhaps only temporarily) and created additional uncertainty regarding the likelihood, magnitude and timing of anticipated losses. If our estimates are inadequate, we may be required to increase our reserves, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, capital position and operating results, as well as our ability to continue to write new business.

In addition to establishing mortgage insurance loss reserves for defaulted loans, under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) we are required to establish a premium deficiency reserve for our mortgage insurance products if the amount by which the net present value of expected future losses for a particular product and the expenses for such product exceeds the net present value of expected future premiums and existing reserves for such product. We evaluate whether a premium deficiency exists at the end of each fiscal quarter. As of September 30, 2012, a premium deficiency reserve of approximately \$5.1 million existed for our second-lien mortgage insurance business. Our evaluation of premium deficiency is based on our best estimates for future losses, expenses and premiums. This evaluation depends upon many significant assumptions, including assumptions regarding future macroeconomic conditions, and therefore, is inherently uncertain and may prove to be inaccurate. Although no premium deficiency existed on our first-lien mortgage insurance business at September 30, 2012, there can be no assurance that premium deficiency reserves will not be required for this product or our other mortgage insurance products in future periods.

It also is difficult to estimate appropriate loss reserves for our financial guaranty business because of the nature of potential losses in this business, which are largely influenced by the particular circumstances surrounding individual troubled credits, including the availability of loss mitigation. As a result, our loss reserves are less capable of being evaluated based on historical assumptions or precedent. See *Our financial guaranty portfolio has experienced deterioration as a result of general erosion in credit markets and the overall economy and is susceptible to further deterioration which could have a material adverse effect on the capital adequacy of Radian Guaranty.* In addition, in our financial guaranty reinsurance business, we rely in part on information provided by our ceding customers in order to establish reserves. If this information is incomplete, inaccurate or untimely, our loss reserves may not be estimated accurately and could require material adjustment in future periods as new or corrected information becomes available.

Our success depends, in part, on our ability to manage risks in our investment portfolio.

Income from our investment portfolio is one of our primary sources of cash flow to support our operations and claim payments. If we underestimate our policy liabilities, or if we improperly structure our investments to meet those liabilities, we could have unexpected losses, including losses resulting from the forced liquidation of investments before their maturity. We maintain an investment policy to manage our investments and those of our insurance subsidiaries that are subject to state insurance laws. In addition, the Freddie Mac Approval for RMAI requires us to maintain a certain level and specific type of liquid assets at Radian Guaranty. We may be forced to change our investments or investment policies depending upon regulatory, economic and market conditions, and our existing or anticipated financial condition and operating requirements, including the tax position, of our business segments.

Our investment objectives may not be achieved. Although our portfolio consists mostly of highly-rated investments and complies with applicable regulatory requirements, the success of our investment activity is affected by general economic conditions, which may adversely affect the markets for credit and interest-rate-sensitive securities, including the extent and timing of investor participation in these markets, the level and volatility of interest rates and, consequently, the value of our fixed-income securities. Volatility or illiquidity in the markets in which we hold positions has reduced the market value of some of our investments and has caused certain other-than-temporary impairments within our portfolio, which, if this worsens substantially, could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, financial condition and operating results.

Compared to historical averages, interest rates and investment yields on our investments generally have declined in recent years, which has reduced the investment income we generate. In addition, we have kept a larger portion of our investment portfolio in shorter maturity investments in order to meet the expected liquidity needs of our operating subsidiaries. This, in turn, has further reduced our investment income, as interest rates on short-term investments have been minimal. We depend on our investments as a source of revenue, and a prolonged period of lower than expected investment yields would have an adverse impact on our revenues and could potentially adversely affect our results of operations.

32

Radian Group's sources of liquidity may be insufficient to fund its obligations.

Radian Group serves as the holding company for our insurance subsidiaries and does not have any significant operations of its own. Radian Group's principal liquidity demands include funds for: (i) the payment of certain corporate expenses; (ii) interest payments on our outstanding debt; (iii) repayment of the principal amount of our outstanding debt, currently including approximately \$80 million in principal amount due in 2013, approximately \$55 million in principal amount due in 2015, approximately \$195 million in principal amount due in June 2017 and \$450 million in principal amount of convertible debt due in November 2017; (iv) potential capital support for our mortgage insurance subsidiaries; (v) potential payments to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) resulting from its examination of our 2000 through 2007 tax years; and (vi) the payment of dividends on our common stock. Radian Group had immediately available unrestricted cash and liquid investments of \$368.3 million at September 30, 2012.

In light of on-going losses in our mortgage insurance business, Radian Group may be required to make additional capital contributions to Radian Guaranty in order to support Radian Guaranty s ability to continue writing insurance in those states that impose Statutory RBC Requirements. See Losses in our mortgage insurance and financial guaranty businesses have reduced Radian Guaranty s statutory surplus and increased Radian Guaranty s risk-to-capital ratio; additional losses in these businesses, without a corresponding increase in new capital or capital relief, would further negatively impact this ratio, which could limit Radian Guaranty s ability to write new insurance and increase restrictions and requirements placed on Radian Guaranty. Radian Group also could be required to provide capital support for our other mortgage insurance subsidiaries if additional capital is required pursuant to insurance laws and regulations, by the GSEs or the rating agencies.

Radian Group s U.S. Consolidated federal income tax returns, which include the federal tax returns of our wholly-owned subsidiary, Commonwealth Mortgage Assurance Company of Texas (CMAC of Texas), are under examination by the IRS for tax years 2000 through 2007. We are currently contesting proposed adjustments resulting from the IRS examination of these tax years, which would, if sustained, increase our tax liability by approximately \$128 million in addition to any associated penalties and interest. Radian Group has agreed to indemnify CMAC of Texas for any tax payments ultimately due to the IRS for the proposed adjustments, which relate to the recognition of certain tax losses and deductions that were generated through our investment in a portfolio of residual interests in Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits (&#