CAMCO FINANCIAL CORP Form 424B3 November 13, 2012 Table of Contents

Filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3)

under the Securities Act of 1933

Registration No. 333-182719

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D. C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 0-25196

# **CAMCO FINANCIAL CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

	Dela	ware	
(State or	other	iurisdiction of	

51-0110823 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization) Identification Number) 814 Wheeling Avenue, Cambridge, Ohio 43725-9757

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (740) 435-2020

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer	•	Accelerated filer	
Non-accelerated filer		Smaller reporting company	X
Indicate by checkmark	whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)	. Yes "No x	

As of October 31, 2012, the latest practicable date, 7,518,750 shares of the registrant s common stock, \$1.00 par value, were outstanding.

## **Table of Contents**

## Camco Financial Corporation

## **INDEX**

		Page
PART I -	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition	3
	Consolidated Statements of Operations	4
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	7
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	9
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	28
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	42
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	43
PART II -	OTHER INFORMATION	44
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	44
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	44
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	44
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	44
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	44
Item 5.	Other Information	44
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	45
SIGNATU	RES	46

2

#### **Item 1. Financial Statements**

## **Camco Financial Corporation**

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

(In thousands, except share data)

	Sep	otember 30,	Dec	cember 31,
	(1	2012 unaudited)		2011
ASSETS				
Cash and due from banks	\$	14,462	\$	16,420
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions		12,458		21,954
Cash and cash equivalents		26,920		38,374
Securities available for sale, at market		79,709		17,845
Securities held to maturity, at cost		939		3,083
Loans held for sale - at lower of cost or fair value		6,341		8,090
Loans receivable net		579,522		639,177
Office premises and equipment net		8,066		8,645
Real estate acquired through foreclosure		11,886		10,888
Federal Home Loan Bank stock - at cost		9,888		9,888
Accrued interest receivable		2,742		2,945
Mortgage servicing rights at lower of cost or market		3,185		3,263
Prepaid expenses and other assets		4,647		4,927
Cash surrender value of life insurance		20,397		19,893
Total assets	\$	754,242	\$	767,018
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Deposits	\$	630,304	\$	629,259
Other borrowings		11,095		16,681
Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank		53,371		63,604
Advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance		1,553		2,100
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		10,497		9,769
Total liabilities		706,820		721,413
Commitments		0		0
Stockholders equity:				
Preferred stock - \$1 par value; authorized 100,000 shares; no shares outstanding		0		0
Common stock - \$1 par value; authorized 29,900,000 shares; 9,144,477 and 8,884,508 shares issued at				
September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 respectively		9,144		8,885
Unearned compensation		(457)		(31)
Additional paid-in capital		60,982		60,528
Retained earnings		1,729		350
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) net of related tax effects		138		(13)
Treasury stock 1,678,913 shares at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, at cost		(24,114)		(24,114)
Total stockholders equity		47,422		45,605

Total liabilities and stockholders equity \$ 754,242 \$ 767,018

3

## **Camco Financial Corporation**

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)	Nine months ended September 30,				mber 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011			
Interest and dividend income							
Loans	\$ 23,434	\$ 26,455	\$ 7,522	\$ 8,715			
Investment securities	350	511	131	72			
Other interest-earning accounts	330	602	112	99			
Total interest and dividend income	24,114	27,568	7,765	8,886			
Interest Expense		<b>-</b> 0.11		. =			
Deposits	4,191	5,841	1,235	1,734			
Borrowings	1,836	2,215	545	686			
Total interest expense	6,027	8,056	1,780	2,420			
Net interest income	18,087	19,512	5,985	6,466			
Provision for losses on loans	1,599	3,038	457	228			
	,	,					
Net interest income after provision for losses on loans	16,488	16,474	5,528	6,238			
Other income							
Late charges, rent and other	872	901	321	336			
Loan servicing fees	849	905	283	300			
Service charges and other fees on deposits	1,513	1,580	515	548			
Gain (loss) on sale of loans	1,714	(26)	633	85			
Mortgage servicing rights net	(78)	(213)	(117)	(352)			
Gain (loss) on sale of fixed assets	(3)	15	0	11			
Gain (loss) on sale of investments	1	1,267	0	(9)			
Income on cash surrender value of life insurance	642	659	216	222			
Total other income	5,510	5,088	1,851	1,141			
	3,310	3,000	1,031	1,141			
General, administrative and other expenses	0.202	0.565	2.006	2.024			
Employee compensation and benefits	9,392	9,565	2,996	3,034			
Occupancy and equipment	2,192	2,219	725	767			
Federal deposit insurance premiums and other insurance	1,380	1,541	457	444			
Data processing	821	834	250	273			
Advertising Franchise taxes	296 583	277 514	101 199	95 166			
Postage, supplies and office expenses	755	709	248	238			
Travel, training and insurance Professional services	207	185	86 468	65 391			
Deposit and transaction processing	1,357 628	1,093 559	468 229	191			
Real estate owned and other expenses	2,195	3,020	959	1,365			
Loan expenses	891	1,152	230	1,303			
Loan expenses	091	1,132	230	102			
Total general, administrative and other expense	20,697	21,668	6,948	7,211			
Earnings (loss) before federal income taxes	1,301	(106)	431	168			

Federal income taxes (benefit)	(78)	542	(53)	5
NET EARNINGS (LOSS)	\$ 1,379	\$ (648)	\$ 484	\$ 163
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE Basic	\$ 0.19	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.07	\$ .02
Diluted	\$ 0.19	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.07	\$ .02

4

## **Camco Financial Corporation**

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(In thousands)

	Nine mon	ths ended		
(unaudited)	Septem 2012	ber 30, 2011	Three mor Septem 2012	
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 1,379	(648)	\$ 484	\$ 163
Other comprehensive income, net of tax: Unrealized holding gains (losses) on securities during the period, net of tax effects of \$78 and \$(103), \$53 and \$10 for the respective periods	152	(1,040)	103	3
Reclassification adjustment for realized gains included in net earnings, net of taxes of \$0 and \$(434), and \$0 and \$0 for the respective periods	(1)	1,267	(1)	(9)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 1,530	\$ (421)	\$ 586	\$ 157

#### **CAMCO FINANCIAL CORPORATION**

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(In thousands, except share data)

			Additional		Ace	cumulated other					
	Shares	Common	paid-in	D. C. I		prehensive			<b>T</b>		Total
	outstanding	stock	capital	Retained earnings		income (loss)		earned bensation	Treasury stock		ckholders equity
Balance at December 31, 2010	7,205,595	\$ 8,885	\$ 60,260	\$ 136	\$	1,030	\$	(94)	\$ (24,114)	\$	<b>46,103</b>
	,,	, -,	, , , , , ,	,	Ċ	,	·	( )	, , ,	•	.,
Stock Option Expense	0	0	234	0		0		0	0		234
Net earnings for the nine months											
ended September 30, 2012	0	0	0	(648)		0		0	0		(648)
Restricted shares expense	0	0	0	0		0		55	0		55
Unrealized (losses) of securities											
designated as available for sale,											
net of related tax benefits	0	0	0	0		(1,040)		0	0		(1,040)
Balance at September 30, 2011	7,205,595	\$ 8,885	\$ 60,494	\$ (512)	\$	(10)	\$	(39)	\$ (24,114)	\$	44,704
Balance at December 31, 2011	7,205,595	\$ 8,885	\$ 60,528	\$ 350	\$	(13)	\$	(31)	\$ (24,114)	\$	45,605
Stock Option Expense	0	0	75	0		0		0	0		75
Net earnings for the nine months											
ended September 30, 2012	0	0	0	1,379		0		0	0		1,379
Restricted shares granted	259,968	259	379	0		0		(426)	0		212
Unrealized gains on securities designated as available for sale,											
net of related tax benefits	0	0	0	0		151		0	0		151
Balance at September 30, 2012	7,465,563	\$ 9,144	\$ 60,982	\$ 1,729	\$	138	\$	(457)	\$ (24,114)	\$	47,422

## **Camco Financial Corporation**

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the nine months ended September 30,

(In thousands)

	2012		2011
		(unaudited)	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net earnings (loss) for the period	\$ 1,3	79 \$	(648)
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Amortization of deferred loan origination fees	(1	15)	(256)
Amortization of premiums and discounts on investment and mortgage-backed securities net		21	(56)
Amortization of mortgage servicing rights net	9	46	879
Depreciation and amortization	1,0	42	922
Provision for losses on loans	1,5	99	3,038
Stock based compensation expense	2	87	289
Provisions for losses on REO	9	10	627
(Gain) / loss on sale of real estate acquired through foreclosure	(	99)	423
(Gain) on sale of investments		(1)	(1,267)
(Gain) on sale of loans	(1,7		(129)
(Gain)/loss on sale of assets		3	(14)
Loans originated for sale in the secondary market	(77,4	24)	(51,557)
Proceeds from sale of loans in the secondary market	80,8	87	43,449
Net increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	(5	04)	(529)
Increase (decrease) in cash due to changes in:	Ì	ĺ	
Accrued interest receivable	2	03	491
Prepaid expenses and other assets	2	02	(509)
Accrued interest and other liabilities	7	28	(446)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	8,3	50	(5,293)
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities:			
Principal repayments, maturities on securities held to maturity	2,1	41	452
Principal repayments, maturities on securities available for sale	14,5		7,602
Purchases of investment securities designated as available for sale	(76,1		(15,395)
Proceeds from sale of investments	,	8	27,205
Redemption of FHLB Stock		0	20,000
Loan principal repayments	207,2	91	153,194
Loan disbursements and purchased loans	(154,6		(132,695)
Proceeds from sale of office premises and equipment	( - )-	19	1,081
Proceeds from surrender of life insurance		0	199
Additions to office premises and equipment	(4	85)	(847)
Proceeds from sale of real estate acquired through foreclosure	2,8	/	6,205
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(4,4		67,001
	(1,1	,	37,001
Net cash provided by operating and investing activities balance carried forward	3,8	67	61,708
The table provided by operating and involving activities buttines buttines for that	5,0	~ ·	31,700

## **Camco Financial Corporation**

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)

For the nine months ended September 30,

(In thousands)

	2012		2012	
Net cash provided by operating and investing activities (balance brought forward)	\$	3,867	\$	61,708
Cash flows used in financing activities:				
Net increase (decrease) in deposits		1,045		(27,489)
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings		113,401		149,659
Repayment of Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings	(	(129,220)	(	142,265)
Decrease in advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance		(547)		(1,020)
Net cash used in financing activities		(15,321)		(21,115)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(11,454)		40,593
		20.254		20.444
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		38,374		29,114
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	26,920	\$	69,707
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:				
Cash paid during the period for:				
Interest on deposits and borrowings	\$	6,015	\$	8,074
Income taxes paid		25		475
Transfers from loans to real estate acquired through foreclosure		4,671		9,751

#### 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with instructions for Form 10-Q and, therefore, do not include information or footnotes necessary for a complete presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAP). Accordingly, these financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of Camco Financial Corporation (Camco or the Corporation) included in Camco s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. However, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring accruals) which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements have been included. The results of operations for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, are not necessarily indicative of the results which may be expected for the entire year.

#### 2. Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Camco and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Advantage Bank ( Advantage or the Bank ). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

On March 31, 2011, Camco Financial Corporation dissolved Camco Title Agency, Inc. and sold certain of its assets to a third party. The balance sheet and results of operations of Camco Title were not material to the Corporation s consolidated financial statements. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, Camco Title s operations resulted in net income of \$15,000.

#### 3. <u>Critical Accounting Policies</u>

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, as well as disclosures found elsewhere in this report, are based upon Camco s consolidated financial statements, which are prepared in accordance with US GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements require Camco to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Several factors are considered in determining whether or not a policy is critical in the preparation of financial statements. These factors include, among other things, whether the estimates are significant to the financial statements, the nature of the estimates, the ability to readily validate the estimates with other information including third parties or available prices, and sensitivity of the estimates to changes in economic conditions and whether alternative accounting methods may be utilized under US GAAP.

Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of mortgage servicing rights, the valuation of deferred tax assets, other real estate owned and valuation of investments. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Summary

We believe the accounting estimates related to the allowance for loan losses, the capitalization, amortization, and valuation of mortgage servicing rights, deferred income taxes, other real estate owned and securities are critical accounting estimates because: (1) the estimates are highly susceptible to change from period to period because they require us to make assumptions concerning the changes in the types and volumes of the portfolios, rates of future prepayments, and anticipated economic conditions, and (2) the impact of recognizing an impairment or loan loss could have a material effect on Camco s assets reported on the balance sheet as well as its net earnings.

#### Allowance for Loan Losses

The procedures for assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses reflect management sevaluation of credit risk after careful consideration of all information available to management. In developing this assessment, management must rely on estimates and exercise judgment regarding matters where the ultimate outcome is unknown such as economic factors, developments affecting companies in specific industries and issues with respect to single borrowers. Depending on changes in circumstances, future assessments of credit risk may yield materially different results, which may require an increase or a decrease in the allowance for loan losses.

9

#### **Table of Contents**

Each quarter, management analyzes the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses based on review of the loans in the portfolio along with an analysis of external factors (including trends such as: current housing price depreciation, homeowners loss of equity, etc.) and historical delinquency and loss trends. The allowance is developed through specific components: 1) the specific allowance for loans subject to individual analysis, 2) the allowance for classified loans not otherwise subject to individual analysis 3) the allowance for non-classified loans (primarily homogeneous) and 4) qualitative analysis.

Classified loans with indication or acknowledgment of deterioration are subject to individual analysis. Loan classifications are those used by regulators consisting of Special Mention, Substandard, Doubtful and Loss. In evaluating these loans for impairment, the measure of expected loss is based on the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, a loan's observable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. All other classified assets and non-classified assets are combined with the homogeneous loan pools and segregated into loan segments. The segmentation is based on grouping loans with similar risk characteristics (one-to-four family, home equity, etc.). Loss rate factors are developed for each loan segment which are used to estimate losses and determine an allowance. The loss factors for each segment are derived from historical delinquency, classification, and charge-off rates and adjusted for economic factors.

The allowance is reviewed by management to determine whether the amount is considered adequate to absorb probable, incurred losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Management is evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance is an estimate based on management is current judgment about the credit quality of the loan portfolio. This evaluation includes specific loss estimates on certain individually reviewed loans, statistical loss estimates for loan pools that are based on historical loss experience, and general loss estimates that are based upon the size, quality, and concentration characteristics of the various loan portfolios, adverse situations that may affect a borrower is ability to repay, and current economic and industry conditions. Also considered as part of that judgment is a review of the Bank is trends in delinquencies and loan losses, as well as trends in delinquencies and losses for the region and nationally, and economic factors. While the Corporation strives to reflect all known risk factors in its evaluations, judgment errors may occur.

#### Mortgage Servicing Rights

To determine the fair value of its mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) each reporting quarter, the Corporation provides information to a third party valuation firm, representing loan information in each pooling period accompanied by escrow amounts. The third party then evaluates the possible impairment of MSRs as described below.

MSRs are recognized as separate assets or liabilities when loans are sold with servicing retained. A pooling methodology, in which loans with similar characteristics are pooled together, is applied for valuation purposes. Once pooled, each grouping of loans is evaluated on a discounted earnings basis to determine the present value of future earnings that the Bank could expect to realize from the portfolio. Earnings are projected from a variety of sources including loan service fees, net interest earned on escrow balances, miscellaneous income and costs to service the loans. The present value of future earnings is the estimated fair value for the pool, calculated using consensus assumptions that a third party purchaser would utilize in evaluating a potential acquisition of the MSRs.

Events that may significantly affect the estimates used are changes in interest rates and the related impact on mortgage loan prepayment speeds and the payment performance of the underlying loans. The interest rate for net interest earned on escrow balances, which is supplied by management, takes into consideration the investment portfolio average yield as well as current short duration investment yields. Management believes this methodology provides a reasonable estimate. Mortgage loan prepayment speeds are calculated by the third party provider utilizing the Economic Outlook as published by the Office of Chief Economist of Freddie Mac earnings and provides a specific scenario with each evaluation. Based on the assumptions discussed, pre-tax projections are prepared for each pool of loans serviced. These earnings are used to calculate the approximate cash flow that could be received from the servicing portfolio. Valuation results are based on these earnings and presented quarterly to management. At that time, management reviews the information and MSRs are marked to lower of amortized cost or fair value for the current quarter.

10

#### **Deferred Income Taxes**

Camco recognizes expense for federal income taxes currently payable as well as for deferred federal taxes for estimated future tax effects of temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets. Realization of a deferred tax asset is dependent upon generating sufficient taxable income in either the carry forward or carry back periods to cover net operating losses and the reversal of temporary differences. A valuation allowance is provided for deferred tax assets if it is determined that it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. If different assumptions and conditions were to prevail, the valuation allowance may not be adequate to absorb unrealized deferred taxes and the amount of income taxes payable may need to be adjusted by way of a charge to expense. Furthermore, income tax returns are subject to audit by the IRS. Income tax expense for current and prior periods is subject to adjustment based upon the outcome of such audits. During 2011, the IRS began an examination of the Corporation s tax returns for the year ended December 31, 2009. Accrual of income taxes payable and valuation allowances against deferred tax assets are estimates subject to change based upon the outcome of future events.

#### Other Real Estate

Assets acquired through or in lieu of foreclosure, primarily other real estate owned, are initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. New real estate appraisals are generally obtained at the time of foreclosure and are used to establish fair value. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Estimating the initial and ongoing fair value of these properties involves a number of factors and judgments including updated real estate appraisal, holding time, costs to complete, holding costs, discount rate, absorption and other factors.

#### **Securities**

The securities investment portfolio accounted for 10.7% of total assets at September 30, 2012, of which approximately 98.8% of the securities were classified as available-for-sale. Camco carries these securities at fair value on its Consolidated Balance Sheets, with any unrealized gain or loss recorded in stockholders—equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. As a result, both the investment and equity sections of Camco—s Consolidated Balance Sheet are sensitive to changes in the overall market value of the investment portfolio, due to changes in market interest rates, investor confidence and other factors affecting market values.

While temporary changes in the fair value of available-for-sale securities are not recognized in earnings, Camco is required to evaluate all investment securities with an unrealized loss on a quarterly basis to identify potential other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses. This analysis requires management to consider various factors that involve judgment and estimation, including duration and the decline in value, the financial condition of the issuer or pool of issuers and structure of the security.

Under current US GAAP, an OTTI loss is recognized in earnings only when (1) Camco intends to sell the debt security; (2) it is more likely than not that Camco will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis or (3) Camco does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. In situations where Camco intends to sell or when it is more likely than not that Camco will be required to sell the security, the entire OTTI loss must be recognized in earnings. In all other situations, only the portion of the OTTI losses representing the credit loss must be recognized in earnings, with the remaining portion being recognized in stockholders equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.

For additional information regarding Investment Securities see Note 8. Investment Securities.

11

#### 4. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed based upon the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed including the dilutive effect of additional potential shares issuable under outstanding stock options. Diluted earnings per share is not computed for periods in which an operating loss is sustained. The computations were as follows for the periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

	For the nine months		For the th	ree months	
	ended Sep	tember 30,	ended September		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
	(In thou	ısands, except p	er share infor	rmation)	
BASIC:					
Net Earnings	\$ 1,379	\$ (648)	\$ 484	\$ 163	
Weighted average common shares outstanding	7,385	7,206	7,467	7,206	
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.19	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.02	
DILUTED:					
Net Earnings	\$ 1,379	\$ (648)	\$ 484	\$ 163	
Weighted average common shares outstanding	7,385	7,206	7,467	7,206	
Dilutive effect of stock options	3	0	6	0	
Total common shares and dilutive potential common shares	7,388	7,206	7,473	7,206	
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.19	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.02	

Anti-dilutive options to purchase 424,480 and 599,078 shares of common stock with respective weighted-average exercise prices of \$5.54 and \$4.85 were outstanding at September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, but were excluded from the computation of common stock equivalents for each of the three and nine month periods, because the exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the shares of common stock.

#### Stock Based Compensation

The Corporation follows a fair-value based method for valuing stock-based compensation that measures compensation cost at the grant date based on the fair value of the award.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the modified Black-Scholes options-pricing model. In 2012 no options were granted. The following table details the fair value and assumptions used to value stock options as of the grant date that were granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2011:

	2	2011
Fair value, calculated	\$	1.49
Exercise Price	\$	2.14
Risk-free interest rate		3.58%
Expected stock price volatility		57.30%
Expected dividend yield		0
Expected Life	10	) years

12

A summary of the status of the Corporation s stock option plans as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and changes during the periods ending on those dates is presented below:

	Nine Months ended  September 30, 2012  Weighted- average exercise			Year ended			
						1, 2011 Weighted- average exercise	
	Shares	I	orice	Shares	J	price	
Outstanding at beginning of period	587,342	\$	4.68	463,642	\$	5.84	
Granted	0		0	161,538		2.14	
Exercised	0		0	0		0	
Forfeited	(5,454)		10.63	(29,338)		7.03	
Expired	0		0	(8,500)		11.93	
Outstanding at end of period	581,888	\$	4.62	587,342	\$	4.68	
Options exercisable at period end	395,233	\$	5.70	317,467	\$	6.58	
Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the period	,	\$	N/A	Ź	\$	1.49	

The following information applies to options outstanding at September 30, 2012:

		Options Outstanding		Options E		
		Weighted-Average Weighted-			Weighted-	
		Remaining	Average		Average	
	Number	Contractual	Exercise	Number	Exercise	
Range of Exercise Prices	Outstanding	Life (Years)	Price	Exercisable	Price	
\$1.90 - \$2.51	470,687	7.6	\$ 2.39	284,032	\$ 2.42	
\$8.92	18,877	5.3	8.92	18,877	8.92	
\$11.36 - \$14.16	43,473	3.6	13.69	43,473	13.69	
\$16.13 - \$17.17	48,851	1.5	16.44	48,851	16.44	
	581,888	6.7	\$ 4.62	395,233	\$ 5.70	

In 2009, Camco granted 50,000 restricted shares of stock out of the current authorized common stock related to an employment agreement. The 2009 issuance of restricted stock will vest in four equal annual increments beginning in 2010.

In March 2012, Camco granted 262,492 shares of restricted stock awards with an impact to unearned/deferred compensation of \$625,000. The stock was granted under the Camco Financial Corporation 2010 Equity Plan to officers based on 2011 performance. The issuance of the restricted stock vests over three years, 20% on the date of the award, 20% on the date that the Compensation Committee certifies Camco s 2013 financial results and 60% on the date that the Compensation Committee certifies Camco s 2014 financial results (such results are expected to be certified in the first quarter of 2014 and 2015, respectively). However, if Camco s pre-tax earnings for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013 or 2014 are not equal to or greater than the pre-tax earnings for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012; the participant will forfeit 25% of the equity award that is to vest on such date. Additionally, the vested shares have restrictions that generally lapse after one year. The grant date fair value per share of restricted stock is the stock price at close on grant date, which is being expensed on a straight-line basis during the vesting period. The shares represented by restricted stock awards are considered outstanding at the grant date, as the recipients are entitled to voting rights and dividends if declared. A summary of restricted stock award activity for the period is presented below:

Edgar Filing: CAMCO FINANCIAL CORP - Form 424B3

	Non-vested Number of Shares	_	Average Grant Fair Value
Non-vested balance at January 1, 2011	37,500	\$	2.50
Vested	(12,500)		2.50
Non-vested balance at December 31, 2011	25,000	\$	2.50
Granted	262,492		2.38
Vested	(64,979)		2.40
Forfeit	(2,524)		2.38
Non-vested balance at September 30, 2012	219,989	\$	2.39

#### **Table of Contents**

At September 30, 2012, there was approximately \$422,000 of compensation cost that has not yet been recognized related to restricted stock awards. That cost is expected to be recognized over a remaining period of two years.

#### 6. Fair Value

The fair value framework as disclosed in the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure Topic of FASB ASC Topic 825, Financial Instruments (Fair Value Topic) includes a hierarchy which focuses on prioritizing the inputs used in valuation techniques. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1), a lower priority to observable inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities (Level 2), and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities, the Corporation looks to active markets to price identical assets or liabilities whenever possible and classifies such items in Level 1. When identical assets and liabilities are not traded in active markets, the Corporation looks to observable market data for similar assets and liabilities and classifies such items as Level 2. Certain assets and liabilities are not actively traded in observable markets and the Corporation must use alternative techniques, based on unobservable inputs, to determine the fair value and classifies such items as Level 3. The level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant in the fair value measurement.

As a financial services corporation, the carrying value of certain financial assets and liabilities is impacted by the application of fair value measurements, either directly or indirectly. In certain cases, an asset or liability is measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis, such as available-for-sale investment securities. In other cases, management must rely on estimates or judgments to determine if an asset or liability not measured at fair value warrants an impairment write-down or whether a valuation reserve should be established. Given the inherent volatility, the use of fair value measurements may have a significant impact on the carrying value of assets or liabilities, or result in material changes to the financial statements, from period to period.

The following methods, assumptions, and valuation techniques were used by the Corporation to measure different financial assets and liabilities at fair value and in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments.

<u>Cash and Cash Equivalents</u>: The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated statements of financial condition for cash and cash equivalents is deemed to approximate fair value and are classified as Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

Investment Securities: Fair values for investment securities are determined by quoted market prices if available (Level 1). For securities where quoted prices are not available, fair values are calculated based on market prices of similar securities. For securities where quoted prices or market prices of similar securities are not available, fair values are calculated using matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value investment securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific investment securities but rather relying on the investment securities relationship to other benchmark quoted investment securities (Level 2). Any investment securities not valued based upon the methods above is considered Level 3.

The Corporation utilizes information provided by a third-party investment securities portfolio manager in analyzing the investment securities portfolio in accordance with the fair value hierarchy of the Fair Value Topic. The portfolio manager is evaluation of investment security portfolio pricing is performed using a combination of prices and data from other sources, along with internally developed matrix pricing models. The third-party is month-end pricing process includes a series of quality assurance activities where prices are compared to recent market conditions, previous evaluation prices, and between the various pricing services. These processes produce a series of quality assurance reports on which price exceptions are identified, reviewed and where appropriate, securities are re-priced. In the event of a materially different price, the third party will report the variance and review the pricing methodology in detail. The results of the quality assurance process are incorporated into the selection of pricing providers by the third party.

#### **Table of Contents**

<u>Loans Held for Sale:</u> Mortgage loans held for sale are classified as Level 2 and are estimated using fair value which is determined using quoted prices and if available the contracted sales price of loans committed for delivery, which is determined on the date of sale commitment. Gains and losses on the sale of loans are recorded as net gains from sales of loans within noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Loans Receivable: The loan portfolio has been segregated into categories with similar characteristics, such as one- to four-family residential real estate, multi-family residential real estate, installment and other. These loan categories were further delineated into fixed-rate and adjustable-rate loans. The fair values for the resultant loan categories were computed via discounted cash flow analysis, using current interest rates offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. The methods utilized to estimate the fair value of loans do not necessarily represent an exit price and due to the significant judgment involved in evaluating credit quality, loans are classified within Level 3 classification.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock: The carrying amount presented in the consolidated statements of financial condition is deemed to approximate fair value.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable: The carrying value for accrued interest is equal to the carrying amounts resulting in a Level 3 classification which is consistent with its underlying asset.

<u>Deposits</u>: The fair values of deposits with no stated maturity, such as money market demand deposits, savings and NOW accounts have been analyzed by management and assigned estimated maturities and cash flows which are then discounted to derive a value. The fair value of fixed-rate certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The Corporation classifies the estimated fair value of deposit liabilities as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank: The fair value of these advances is estimated using the rates currently offered for similar advances of similar remaining maturities or, when available, quoted market prices.

Repurchase Agreements: The fair value of repurchase agreements is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows using rates currently offered for similar maturities. The Corporation classifies the estimated fair value of short-term borrowings as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

<u>Subordinated Debentures:</u> The fair value of subordinated debentures is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows using rates currently offered for smaller maturities.

Advances by Borrowers for Taxes and Insurance: The carrying amount of advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance is is equial to the carrying amounts resulting in a Level 3 classification which is consistent with its underlying asset.

Commitments to Extend Credit: For fixed-rate and adjustable-rate loan commitments, the fair value estimate considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and committed rates. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the fair value of loan commitments was not material.

Listed below are three levels of inputs that Camco uses to measure fair value:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Quoted prices on identical assets or liabilities that are not actively traded. Also consists of an observable market price for a similar asset or liability. This includes the use of matrix pricing used to value debt securities absent the exclusive use of quoted prices.

Level 3: Consists of unobservable inputs that are used to measure fair value when observable market inputs are not available. This could include the use of internally developed models, financial forecasting, etc.

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or transfer a liability between market participants at the balance sheet date. When possible, the Corporation looks to active and observable markets to price identical assets or liabilities. When identical assets and liabilities are not traded in active markets, the Corporation looks to observable market data for similar assets and liabilities. However, certain assets and liabilities are not traded in observable markets and Camco must use other valuation methods to develop a fair value. The fair value of impaired loans is based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, which is estimated through third party appraisals or internal estimates of collateral values.

Based on the foregoing methods and assumptions, the carrying value and fair value of the Corporation s financial instruments are as follows:

	Carrying	Fair			
(in thousands as of September 30, 2012)	value	value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Financial assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 26,920	\$ 26,920	\$ 26,920	\$ 0	\$ 0
Investment securities available for sale	79,709	79,709	0	79,665	44
Investment securities held to maturity	939	997	0	997	0
Loans held for sale	6,341	6,519	0	6,519	0
Loans receivable	579,522	574,682	0	0	574,682
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	9,888	9,888	0	0	9,888
Accrued interest receivable	2,742	2,742	0	0	2,742
Financial liabilities					
Deposits	\$ 630,304	\$ 625,293	\$ 68,321	\$ 556,972	\$ 0
Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank	53,371	56,684	0	56,684	0
Repurchase agreements	6,095	6,095	0	0	6,095
Subordinated debentures	5,000	4,938	0	0	4,938
Advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance	1,553	1,553	0	0	1,553
Accrued interest payable	1,704	1,704	0	0	1,704

		Fair
(in thousands as of December 31, 2011)	Carrying value	value
Financial assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 38,374	\$ 38,374
Investment securities available for sale	17,845	17,845
Investment securities held to maturity	3,083	3,135
Loans held for sale	8,090	8,250
Loans receivable	639,177	639,477
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	9,888	9,888
Accrued interest receivable	2,945	2,945
Financial liabilities		
Deposits	\$ 629,259	\$ 623,145
Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank	63,604	67,951
Repurchase agreements	11,681	11,681
Subordinated debentures	5,000	4,928
Advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance	2,100	2,100
Accrued interest payable	1,693	1,693

Table of Contents 21

16

The following table presents financial assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis:

		Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date U					
(in thousands)	Balance	Level 1	Level 2		Leve		
<b>September 30, 2012</b>							
Securities available for sale:							
U.S. government sponsored enterprises	\$ 74,083	\$ 0	\$	74,083	\$	0	
Corporate equity securities	44	0		0		44	
Corporate bonds	4,307	0		4,307		0	
Mortgage-backed securities	1,275	0		1,275		0	
December 31, 2011							
Securities available for sale:							
U.S. government sponsored enterprises	\$ 16,292	\$ 0	\$	16,292	\$	0	
Corporate equity securities	52	0		0		52	
Mortgage-backed securities	1,501	0		1,501		0	

The following table presents financial assets and liabilities measured on a non-recurring basis:

		Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Usin				
		Level	Level Level			
(in thousands)	Balance	1	2	]	Level 3	
September 30, 2012						
Impaired loans	\$ 25,071	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	25,071	
Real estate acquired through foreclosure	11,886	0	0		11,886	
December 31, 2011						
Impaired loans	\$ 22,956	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	22,956	
Real estate acquired through foreclosure	10,888	0	0		10,888	

Impaired loans are measured and reported at fair value when management believes collection of contractual interest and principal payments is doubtful. Management s determination of the fair value for these loans represents the estimated net proceeds to be received from the sale of the collateral based on observable market prices and market value provided by independent, licensed or certified appraisers.

Fair value for real estate acquired through foreclosure is generally determined by obtaining recent appraisals on the properties. Other types of valuing include broker price opinions and valuations pertaining to the current and anticipated regional economy and real estate market, as evidenced by, among other things, changes in the local population, unemployment rates, vacancy rates, borrower delinquencies, property values and rental price trends, differences between foreclosure appraisals and real estate owned sales prices, and an increase in concessions and other forms of discounting or other items approved by our asset classification committee. The fair value under such appraisals is determined by using one of the following valuation techniques: income, cost or comparable sales. The fair value is then reduced by management s estimate for the direct costs expected to be incurred in order to sell the property. Holding costs or maintenance expenses are recorded as period costs when occurred and are not included in the fair value estimate.

#### 7. Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is a reserve established through a provision which is charged to expense and represents management s best estimate of probable losses that could be incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The Corporation s allowance for possible loan loss methodology is based on historical loss experience by type of credit and internal risk grade, specific homogeneous risk pools and specific loss allocations, with adjustments for current events and conditions. The provision for possible loan losses reflects loan quality trends, including the levels of and trends related to non-accrual loans, past due loans, potential problem loans, criticized loans and net charge-offs or recoveries, among other factors. The amount of the provision reflects not only the necessary allowance for possible loan losses related to newly identified criticized loans, but it also reflects actions taken related to other loans including, among other things, any necessary increases or decreases in required allowances for specific loans or loan pools. While management utilizes its best judgment and information available, the ultimate adequacy of the allowance is dependent upon a variety of factors beyond the Corporation's control, including, among other things, changes in market interest rates and other factors in the local

economies that we serve, such as unemployment rates and real estate market values.

17

The Corporation s allowance for possible loan losses consists of three elements: (i) specific valuation allowances on probable losses on specific loans; (ii) historical valuation allowances based on historical loan loss experience for similar loans with similar characteristics and trends, adjusted, as necessary, to reflect the impact of current conditions; and (iii) general valuation allowances based on general economic conditions and other qualitative risk factors both internal and external to the Corporation.

Loans identified as losses by management are charged-off. Furthermore, consumer loan accounts are charged-off automatically based on past due history and regulatory requirements.

Allowance for loan losses for the three and nine months period ending September 30, 2012 and 2011 are summarized as follows:

(in thousands) Allowance for credit losses:	Cons	struction	Co	nsumer	Multi- Family		nd, Farm Ag Loans	Re	sidential		mmercial & Non- esidential		nmercial and dustrial	Total
Beginning balance December 31,														
2011	\$	35	\$	80	\$ 2,484	\$	554	\$	8,277	\$	2,565	\$	537	\$ 14,532
Charge-offs		0		(130)	(11)		(358)		(1,931)		(79)		(58)	(2,567)
Recoveries		0		17	9		5		164		684		65	944
Provision		502		134	(409)		273		(168)		406		861	1,599
Ending balance September 30, 2012	\$	537	\$	101	\$ 2,073	\$	474	\$	6,342	\$	3,576	\$	1,405	\$ 14,508
Beginning balance June 30, 2012	\$	554	\$	49	\$ 1,688	\$	768	\$	6,396	\$	4.118	\$	612	\$ 14,185
Charge-offs		0		(128)	0		(2)	Ċ	(704)	•	(14)	·	(7)	(855)
Recoveries		0		16	0		2		54		648		1	721
Provision		(17)		164	385		(294)		596		(1,176)		799	457
Ending balance September 30, 2012	\$	537	\$	101	\$ 2,073	\$	474	\$	6,342	\$	3,576	\$	1,405	\$ 14,508
Beginning balance December 31,														
2010	\$	166	\$	246	\$ 2,860	\$	849	\$	8.050	\$	3,638	\$	1.061	\$ 16,870
Charge-offs	·	0		(60)	(85)		(107)		(2,000)		(1,066)		(48)	(3,366)
Recoveries		0		3	156		210		488		118		98	1,073
Provision		(142)		(66)	113		(183)		2,420		1,395		(499)	3,038
F. II. 1. 1	ф		Φ.		Φ 2 0 4 4	Φ.		Φ.	,	Φ.	,	Φ.		<b>4.17.615</b>
Ending balance September 30, 2011	\$	24	\$	123	\$ 3,044	\$	769	\$	8,958	\$	4,085	\$	612	\$ 17,615
Beginning balance June 30, 2011	\$	25	\$	114	\$ 2,933	\$	628	\$	8,974	\$	5,102	\$	575	\$ 18,351
Charge-offs		0		(3)	(52)		0		(553)		(487)		(39)	(1,134)
Recoveries		0		1	40		6		105		9		9	170
Provision		(1)		11	123		135		432		(539)		67	228
Ending balance September 30, 2011	\$	24	\$	123	\$ 3,044	\$	769	\$	8,958	\$	4,085	\$	612	\$ 17,615

Allocation of the allowance for loan loss by segment to loans individually and collectively evaluated for impairment as follows:

						Commercial		
						&	Commercial	
			Multi-	Land, Farm		Non-	and	
At September 30, 2012 (in thousands)	Construction	Consumer	Family	& Ag Loans	Residential	Residential	Industrial	Total

Edgar Filing: CAMCO FINANCIAL CORP - Form 424B3

Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1	\$ 39	\$ 406	\$ 100	\$ 595	\$ 524	\$ 34	\$ 1,699
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 537	\$ 62	\$ 1,666	\$ 374	\$ 5,747	\$ 3,052	\$ 1,371	\$ 12,809
Portfolio balances:								
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 29,635	\$ 3,550	\$ 68,172	\$ 13,241	\$ 272,680	\$ 143,589	\$ 38,092	\$ 568,959
Individually evaluated for impairment								
With no related allowance	0	0	0	0	589	1,143	66	1,798
With related allowance	16	526	4,565	900	10,825	5,949	492	23,273
Ending balance	\$ 29,651	\$ 4,076	\$ 72,737	\$ 14,141	\$ 284,094	\$ 150,681	\$ 38,650	\$ 594,030

						Commercial &		
							Commercial	
			Multi-	Land, Farm		Non-	and	
At December 31, 2011 (in thousands)	Construction	Consumer	Family	& Ag Loans	Residential	Residential	Industrial	Total
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 3	\$ 41	\$ 426	\$ 208	\$ 720	\$ 335	\$ 27	\$ 1,760
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 32	\$ 39	\$ 2,058	\$ 346	\$ 7,557	\$ 2,230	\$ 510	\$ 12,772
Portfolio balances:								
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 23,857	\$ 3,402	\$ 83,246	\$ 16,619	\$ 307,057	\$ 156,457	\$ 38,355	\$ 628,993
Individually evaluated for impairment								
With no related allowance	0	0	51	0	1,945	695	112	2,803
With related allowance	19	128	4,633	1,203	8,922	6,612	396	21,913
Ending balance	\$ 23,876	\$ 3,530	\$ 87,930	\$ 17,822	\$ 317,924	\$ 163,764	\$ 38,863	\$ 653,709

Non-accrual and Past Due Loans. Loans are considered past due if the required principal and interest payments have not been received as of the date such payments were due. Loans are placed on non-accrual status when the loan is more than three payments past due, as well as when required by regulatory provisions. Loans may be placed on non-accrual status regardless of whether or not such loans are considered past due. When interest accrual is discontinued, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed. Interest income is recognized when the loan is returned to accrual status and all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current (minimum of six months), or future payments are reasonably assured. Future payments of interest income will be recognized while the previous payments of interest (during non-accrual status) will not be recognized until loan is paid off.

The following table details non-accrual loans at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	Nor	n-Accrual	Non-Accrual			
(in thousands)	September 30, 2012 D			December 31, 2011		
Construction	\$	16	\$	19		
Land, Farmland, Ag Loans		986		367		
Residential		19,152		22,277		
Commercial and non-residential		2,068		1,879		
Consumer		499		113		
Commercial and industrial		66		212		
Multi Family		0		51		
Total	\$	22,787	\$	24,918		

Detailed below is an age analysis of past due loans, segregated by class. Total past due has decreased \$7.3 million, or 30.5% since December 31, 2011 to \$16.7 million at September 30, 2012. The majority of the decrease relates to a decrease in subprime residential loans of \$8.9 million, or 53.0%. This decrease is significant, because subprime refers to the credit quality of particular borrowers, who have weakened credit histories and are characterized with a higher risk of loan default than prime borrowers.

September 30, 2012 (in thousands)	Loans 30- 59 Days Past Due		59 Days Past - 89 I		Loans 60 Loai - 89 Past Day Due I		Total Past Due		Current	Total Loans	Accruing Loans 90 Days Past Due	
Construction	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 29,65	\$ 29,651	\$	0
Land, Farmland, Ag Loans		0		0		119		119	14,02	22 14,141		0
Residential / prime	1,	136		685		5,462	7	,283	218,15	3 225,436		0
Residential / subprime	1,	576		677		5,593	7	,846	50,81	2 58,658		0
Commercial and non-residential		118		816		326	1	,260	149,42	150,681		0
Consumer		34		24		35		93	3,98	33 4,076		0

Edgar Filing: CAMCO FINANCIAL CORP - Form 424B3

Commercial and industrial	0	0	66	66	38,584	38,650	0
Multi Family	0	0	0	0	72,737	72,737	0
Total	\$ 2,864	\$ 2,202	\$ 11,601	\$ 16,667	\$ 577,363	\$ 594,030	\$ 0

December 31, 2011	Loans 30-	Loans 60 -	Loans 90+	Total			Accruing Loans 90
	59 Days	89 Past	Days Past	Past		Total	Days Past
(in thousands)	Past Due	Due	Due	Due	Current	Loans	Due
Construction	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 23,876	\$ 23,876	\$ 0
Land, Farmland, Ag Loans	103	0	136	239	17,583	17,822	0
Residential / prime	638	269	4,139	5,046	235,502	240,548	0
Residential / subprime	5,380	1,818	9,499	16,697	60,679	77,376	0
Commercial and non-residential	462	527	638	1,627	162,137	163,764	0
Consumer	54	76	18	148	3,382	3,530	0
Commercial and industrial	45	0	114	159	38,704	38,863	0
Multi Family	0	0	51	51	87,879	87,930	0
•							
Total	\$ 6,682	\$ 2,690	\$ 14,595	\$ 23,967	\$ 629,742	\$ 653,709	\$ 0

Although we believe that the allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2012 is adequate to cover losses inherent in the loan portfolio at that date based upon the available facts and circumstances, there can be no assurance that additions to the allowance for loan losses will not be necessary in future periods, which could adversely affect our results of operations. Unemployment rates in our markets and Ohio in general, are close to the national average. Ohio did experience decreased values of residential real estate from 2008 through 2011 but the decline in values has stabilized in 2012. Nonetheless, these factors, compounded by an uncertain national economic outlook, may continue to increase the level of future losses beyond our current expectations.

Impaired loans. Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable Advantage will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan agreement, including scheduled principal and interest payments. Impairment is evaluated in total for smaller-balance loans of a similar nature and on an individual loan basis for other larger commercial credits. If a loan is collateral dependent and payment is expected solely from the collateral, or is less than the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan s existing rate and the calculated value is not sufficient it is considered impaired. If it is impaired a specific valuation allowance is allocated, so that the loan is reported net, or at the loan s fair sale value. All payments on impaired loans are typically applied to principal unless collectability of the principal amount is reasonably assured in which case interest is recognized on an accrual basis. Impaired loans or portions of loans are charged off when deemed uncollectible.

We have included the following information with respect to impairment measurements relating to collateral-dependent loans for better understanding of our process and procedures relating to fair value of loans:

Based on policy, a loan is typically deemed impaired/non-performing once it has gone over three payments or 90 days delinquent or is considered a modification. *See* the **Modifications** section below. Our management of the troubled credit will vary as will the timing of valuations, loan loss provision and charge offs based on a multitude of factors such as: cash flow of the business/borrower, responsiveness of the borrower, communication with the commercial banker, property inspections, property deterioration, and delinquency. Typically, a nonperforming, non-homogeneous collateral dependent loan will be valued and adjusted (if needed) within a time frame as short as 30 days or as many as 180 days after determination of impairment. If impaired, the collateral is then evaluated and an updated appraisal is most typically ordered. Upon receipt of an appraisal or other valuation, we complete an analysis to determine if the impaired loan requires a specific reserve or to be charged down to estimated net realizable value. The time frame may be as short as 30 days or as much as 180 days, when an appraisal is ordered.

Camco s credit risk management process consistently monitors key performance metrics across both the performing and non-performing assets to identify any further degradation of credit quality. Additionally, impaired credits are monitored in weekly loan committee discussions, monthly Asset Classification Committee meetings and quarterly loan loss reserve reviews. Strategy documents and exposure projections are completed on a monthly basis to ensure that the current status of the troubled asset is clearly understood and reported.

The Asset Classification Committee oversees the management of all impaired loans and any subsequent loss provision or charge off that is considered. When a loan is deemed impaired, the valuation is obtained to determine any existing loss that may be present as of the valuation date. Policy dictates that any differences from fair market value, less costs to sell, are to be recognized as loss during the current period (loan loss provision or charge off). Any deviations from this policy will be identified by amount and contributing reasons for the policy departure during our quarterly reporting process.

Camco s policies dictate that an impaired loan subject to partial charge off will remain in a nonperforming status until it is brought current. Typically, this occurs when a loan is paid current and completes a period of on-time payments that demonstrate that the loan can perform and there is some certainty that payments will continue. Camco monitors through various system reports any loan whose terms have been modified. These reports identify troubled debt restructures, modifications, and renewals.

When property values are below a set threshold they are not subject to an updated appraisal; Camco will use the underlying collateral s fair market value as estimated in the following ways:

Camco s personnel property inspections combined with original appraisal review

County Auditor values

Broker price opinions

Various on-line fair market value estimation programs (i.e. Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae, etc.). Impaired loans are set forth in the following table:

(in thousands)		corded estment	Unpaid Principal Balance September 30, 2012				elated owance
With no related allowance recorded:						_	
Construction	\$	0	\$	0		\$	0
Land, Farmland, Ag Loans		0		0			0
Residential		589		673			0
Commercial and non-residential		1,143		1,143			0
Consumer		0		0			0
Commercial and industrial		66		66			0
Multi Family		0		660			0
Total	\$	1,798	\$	2,542		\$	0
With a related specific allowance recorded:							
Construction	\$	16	\$	16		\$	1
Land, Farmland, Ag Loans		900		1,256			100
Residential	1	0,825		11,202			595
Commercial and non-residential		5,949		5,949			524
Consumer		526		568			39
Commercial and industrial		492		492			34
Multi Family		4,565		4,565			406
Total	\$ 2	3,273	\$ :	24,048		\$	1,699

Table of Contents

30

(in thousands)		corded estment	Unpaid Principal Balance December 31, 2011			elated owance
With no related allowance recorded:						
Construction	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Land, Farmland, Ag Loans		0		0		0
Residential		1,945		3,579		0
Commercial and non-residential		695		2,015		0
Consumer		0		0		0
Commercial and industrial		112		151		0
Multi Family		51		971		0
Total	\$	2,803	\$	6,716	\$	0
With a related specific allowance recorded:						
Construction	\$	19	\$	0	\$	3
Land, Farmland, Ag Loans		1,203		1,216		208
Residential		8,922		9,033		720
Commercial and non-residential		6,612		6,612		335
Consumer		128		100		41
Commercial and industrial		396		396		27
Multi Family		4,633		4,633		426
Total	\$ 2	1,913	\$ 2	21,990	\$	1,760

		Average		terest		Average		terest		Average		terest		Average		estment
		ecorded		come	_	lecorded		come	_	Recorded		come		ecorded		come
(in thousands)		vestment onths Ended		ognized		vestment		ognized		vestment		ognized		vestment		ognized
With no related allowance	J IVIO	iiuis Liided	вері.	50, 2012	JIVIC	mins Lindec	Берг	50, 2011	) IVIC	muis Liidee	г Берг.	50, 2012	.) IVIO	muis Liidee	г Берг	50, 2011
recorded:																
Construction	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Land, Farmland, Ag Loans		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Residential		619		1		512		0		551		0		402		3
Commercial and non-residential		1,170		36		1,176		0		504		0		919		38
Consumer		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Commercial and industrial		66		0		704		16		102		0		669		29
Multi Family		0		0		310		26		0		0		167		0
•																
Total	\$	1,855	\$	37	\$	2,702	\$	42	\$	1,157	\$	0	\$	2,157	\$	70
With a related specific allowance																
recorded:																
Construction	\$	16	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	18	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Land, Farmland, Ag Loans		902		25		1,220		45		243		9		1,258		53
Residential		10,954		186		9,754		190		11,756		194		9,446		289
Commercial and non-residential		5,544		163		5,899		123		8,361		253		5,905		219
Consumer		553		12		0		0		280		8		0		0
Commercial and industrial		308		4		314		9		377		12		318		13
Multi Family		4,577		107		5,601		141		4,611		107		5,267		209
Total	\$	22,854	\$	497	\$	22,788	\$	508	\$	25,646	\$	583	\$	22,194	\$	783

The Corporation categorizes loans and leases into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Corporation analyzes loans and leases individually by classifying the loans and leases as to credit risk. The loans monitored utilizing the risk categories listed below refer to commercial, commercial and industrial, construction, land, farmland and agriculture loans. All non-homogeneous loans are monitored through delinquency reporting. This analysis is performed on a quarterly basis. The Corporation uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

22

#### **Un-criticized Assets (Grade 1-3)**

Un-criticized assets exhibit no material problems, credit deficiencies or payment problems. These assets generally are well protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or by the value of the asset or underlying collateral. Such credits are graded as follows: Excellent (1), Good (2) or Satisfactory (3).

#### Watch (Grade 4)

Watch rated credits are of acceptable credit quality, but exhibit one or more characteristics which merit closer monitoring or enhanced structure. Such characteristics include higher leverage, lower debt service coverage, industry issues or a construction loan without preleasing commitments (generally multifamily projects).

#### **Special Mention Assets (Grade 5)**

Special Mention Assets have potential weaknesses or pose financial risk that deserves management s close attention. If left uncorrected, these weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or in the Bank s credit position at some future date. Special Mention Assets are not adversely classified and do not expose the Bank to sufficient risk to warrant adverse classification.

#### Substandard Assets (Grade 6)

An asset classified Substandard is protected inadequately by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor, or by the collateral pledged, if any. Assets so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. The possibility that liquidation would not be timely requires a substandard classification even if there is little likelihood of total loss.

Assets classified as Substandard may exhibit one or more of the following weaknesses:

The primary source of repayment is gone or severely impaired and the Bank may have to rely upon a secondary source.

Loss does not seem likely but sufficient problems have arisen to cause the Bank to go to abnormal lengths to protect its position in order to maintain a high probability of repayment.

Obligors are unable to generate enough cash flow for debt reduction.

Collateral has deteriorated.

The collateral is not subject to adequate inspection and verification of value (if the collateral is expected to be the source of repayment).

Flaws in documentation leave the Bank in a subordinated or unsecured position if the collateral is needed for the repayment of the loan.

For assets secured by real estate, the appraisal does not conform to FDIC appraisal standards or the assumptions underlying the appraisal are demonstrably incorrect.

#### Doubtful Assets (Grade 7)

An asset classified Doubtful has all the weaknesses inherent in one classified as Substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

#### Loss Assets (Grade 8)

An asset, or portion thereof, classified Loss is considered uncollectible and of such little value that its continuance on the books is not warranted. This classification does not mean that the asset has absolutely no recovery or salvage value; rather, it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off an essentially worthless asset (or portion thereof), even though partial recovery may occur in the future.

23

Loans and leases not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be pass rated loans and leases.

Based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of non-homogeneous loans and leases is as follows:

	(In Thousands)									
		Special								
September 30, 2012	Pass	Watch	Mention	Substandard	Total(1)					
Construction	\$ 22,324	\$ 7,311	\$ 0	\$ 16	\$ 29,651					
Land, Farmland, Ag Loans	13,090	0	0	1,051	14,141					
Commercial and non-residential	109,803	28,016	5,502	7,360	150,681					
Commercial and industrial	36,533	1,936	0	181	38,650					
Multi Family	46,910	18,416	3,936	3,475	72,737					
Total	\$ 228,660	\$ 55,679	\$ 9,438	\$ 12,083	\$ 305,860					

			Special		
December 31, 2011	Pass	Watch	Mention	Substandard	Total(1)
Construction	\$ 16,263	\$ 7,594	\$ 0	\$ 19	\$ 23,876
Land, Farmland, Ag Loans	15,894	173	292	1,463	17,822
Commercial and non-residential	129,446	17,112	4,959	12,247	163,764
Commercial and industrial	33,064	5,154	336	309	38,863
Multi Family	57,353	24,470	4,138	1,969	87,930
Total	\$ 252,020	\$ 54,503	\$ 9,725	\$ 16,007	\$ 332,255

Homogeneous loans are monitored at 60+ days delinquent. See the above schedule on page 18 related to change in allowance for loans which includes all class of loans including the loans related to residential and consumer.

# (1) There were no doubtful loans as of September 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011. **Modifications.**

A modification of a loan constitutes a troubled debt restructuring ( TDR ) when a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and the modification constitutes a concession. The Corporation offers various types of concessions when modifying a loan, however, forgiveness of principal is rarely granted. Commercial and industrial loans modified in a TDR often involve temporary interest-only payments, term extensions, and converting revolving credit lines to term loans. Additional collateral and/or guarantors may be requested.

Commercial mortgage and construction loans modified in a TDR often involve a temporary or permanent interest rate reduction, extending the maturity date at an interest rate lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk, and/or substituting or adding a new borrower or guarantor. Construction loans modified in a TDR may also involve extending the interest-only payment period. Residential mortgage loans modified in a TDR are primarily comprised of loans where monthly payments are lowered to accommodate the borrowers—financial needs. This is accomplished by temporary interest only payment periods, temporarily lowering the interest rate, extending the maturity date or a combination of these strategies. The accrual status of modified residential mortgages is dependent on the delinquency status before, during and after the modification process. Home equity modifications are uniquely designed to meet the specific needs of each borrower. Modified terms for home equity loans include renewal of an interest only payment stream, extending the maturity date, converting to a principal and interest payment, amortizing the balance due, or a combination of these strategies. Automobile loans are typically not modified.

Loans modified in a TDR may be in accrual status, non-accrual status, partial charge-offs, not delinquent, delinquent or any combination of these criteria. As a result, loans modified in a TDR for the Corporation may have the financial effect of increasing the specific allowance

associated with individual loans. An allowance for impaired consumer and commercial loans that have been modified in a TDR is measured based either on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan soriginal effective interest rate, or the estimated fair value of the collateral, less any selling costs, if the loan is collateral dependent. Management exercises significant judgment in developing these estimates.

24

The following presents by class, information related to loans modified in a TDR during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

		lified as a TDR Three Ended September 2012	Loans Modified as a TDR for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012			
<b>Troubled Debt Restructurings</b>	Number of	(as of period			(as	d Investment of period
(dollars in thousands)	Contracts	end) <sup>1</sup>		Contracts	(	end) <sup>1</sup>
Land, Farmland, Ag Loans	0	\$	0	2	\$	732
Residential - prime	6		503	54		3,281
Residential - subprime	2		187	10		966
Commercial and non-residential	0		0	4		1,711
C Consumer Other	5		223	10		417
Multi Family	0		0	0		0
Total	13	\$	913	80	\$	7,107

The following presents by class, loans modified in a TDR from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012 that subsequently defaulted (i.e., 60 days or more past due following a modification) during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

(dollars in thousands)	Within the Pr Subsequently	Inve	Months ring the	Within the Pro Subsequently	Modified as a TDR Previous Twelve Monti That  dy Defaulted During th Twelve ded September 30, 201 Recorded  Investmen (as of perio		
Residential - prime	1	\$	98	2	\$	nd) <sup>1</sup> 166	
Residential -subprime	3	Ψ	153	3	Ψ	153	
Consumer	1		20	1		20	
Total	5	\$	271	6	\$	339	

The period end balances are inclusive of all partial pay downs and charge-offs since the modification date. Loans modified in a TDR that were fully paid down, charged-off, or foreclosed upon by period end are not reported.

## 8. <u>Investment Securities</u>

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses and estimated fair values of investment securities at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

The period end balances are inclusive of all partial pay downs and charge-offs since the modification date. Loans modified in a TDR that were fully paid down, charged-off, or foreclosed upon by period end are not reported.

		<b>September 30, 2012</b>							
	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains (In th	nrealized unrealized			timated fair value			
Available for sale:									
U.S. Government sponsored enterprises	\$ 73,958	\$ 130	\$	5	\$	74,083			
Corporate bonds	4,211	96		0		4,307			
Corporate equity securities	100	0		56		44			
Mortgage-backed securities	1,230	45		0		1,275			
Total investment securities available for sale	\$ 79,499	\$ 271	\$	61	\$	79,709			
Held to maturity:									
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 939	\$ 58	\$	0	\$	997			
Total investment securities held to maturity	\$ 939	\$ 58	\$	0	\$	997			

		Decemb				
	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains (In th	unre	ross ealized sses s)	Estimated fair value	
Available for sale:						
U.S. Government sponsored enterprises	\$ 16,289	\$ 6	\$	3	\$ 16	5,292
Corporate equity securities	106	0		54		52
Mortgage-backed securities	1,469	32		0	1	,501
Total investment securities available for sale	\$ 17,864	\$ 38	\$	57	\$ 17	7,845
Held to maturity:						
Municipal bonds	\$ 2,008	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 2	2,008
Mortgage-backed securities	1,075	52		0	1	,127
Total investment securities held to maturity	\$ 3,083	\$ 52	\$	0	\$ 3	3,135

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities at September 30, 2012 by contractual term to maturity are shown below.

	Available	e for Sale	Held to Maturity			
		Estimated		Estimated		
	Amortized cost	<b>fair</b> <b>value</b> (In thou:	Amortized cost sands)	fair value		
Due in one year or less	\$ 6,498	\$ 6,499	\$ 0	\$ 0		
Due after one year through five years	71,671	71,891	0	0		
Due after five years through ten years	0	0	0	0		
Due after ten years	0	0	0	0		
Subtotal	78,169	78,390	0	0		
Mortgage-backed securities	1,230	1,275	939	997		
Corporate equity securities	100	44	0	0		
Total	\$ 79,499	\$ 79,709	\$ 939	\$ 997		

Proceeds from sales of investment securities during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, totaled \$8,000, resulting in gross realized gains of \$1,000, and for the year ended December 31, 2011, proceeds from sales totaled \$27.2 million, resulting in gross realized gains of \$1.3 million.

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were \$3.0 million and \$7.0 million of securities in an unrealized loss position less than twelve months and \$44,000 and \$52,000 of securities in an unrealized loss position more than twelve months, respectively. The table below indicates the length of time individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

		September 30, 2012									
	Less than	Less than 12 months			More than 12 months						
	Fair	Unrealized	No. of	Fair	Unrealized	No. of					
(In thousands)	value	losses	Securities	value	losses	Securities					
Available for sale:											

Corporate equity securities	\$ 0	\$ 0	0	44	\$ 56	1
U.S. Government sponsored enterprises	2,993	5	1	0	0	0
Total	\$ 2,993	\$ 5	1	\$ 44	\$ 56	1

### **Table of Contents**

	December 31, 2011						
	Less than 12 months						
	Fair	Unre	alized	No. of	Fair	Unrealized	No. of
(In thousands)	value	los	sses	Securities	value	losses	Securities
Available for sale:							
Corporate equity securities	\$ 0	\$	0	0	\$ 52	\$ 54	2
U.S. Government sponsored enterprises	6,994		3	3	0	0	0
Total	\$ 6,994	\$	3	3	\$ 52	\$ 54	2

Management has the intent and ability to hold these securities for the foreseeable future and the decline in the fair value is primarily due to an increase in market interest rates. The fair values are expected to recover as securities approach maturity dates.

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 approximately \$10.0 million and \$13.7 million, respectively, of investment securities were pledged in accordance with federal and state requirements to secure deposits and repurchase agreements.

#### Federal Taxes

The Corporation has net deferred tax assets of \$7.2 million at September 30, 2012. A valuation allowance offsetting the entire amount has been recorded reflecting the current uncertainty about future realization of those benefits. We will continue to evaluate our estimate of future realization of those benefits and adjust the valuation allowance as future realization becomes more probable.

27

# <u>Item 2.</u> <u>Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation Forward Looking Statements</u>

This Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) and this Form 10-Q include forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act), as amended, which can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as may, might, could, would, believe, expect, intend, plan, seek, anticipate, estimate, project or continue or the negative thereof or comparable terminology. All statements other than statements of historical fact included in this document regarding our outlook, financial position and results of operation, liquidity, capital resources and interest rate sensitivity are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements also include, but are not limited to:

not limited to:
anticipated changes in industry conditions created by state and federal legislation and regulations;
anticipated changes in general interest rates and the impact of future interest rate changes on our profitability, capital adequacy and the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities;
retention of our existing customer base and our ability to attract new customers;
the development of new products and services and their success in the marketplace;
the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses; and
statements regarding our anticipated loan and deposit account growth, expense levels, liquidity and capital resources and projection of earnings.  These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results to be materially different from any future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Although we believe the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance such expectations will prove to have been correct. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements also include, but are not limited to:
competition in the industry and markets in which we operate;
changes in general interest rates;
rapid changes in technology affecting the financial services industry;
changes in government regulation; and
general economic and business conditions

This MD&A is intended to give stockholders a more comprehensive review of the issues facing management than could be obtained from an examination of the financial statements alone. This analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related footnotes and the selected financial data elsewhere in this report. As used herein and except as the context may otherwise require, references to Camco, the Corporation, we, us, or our means, collectively, Camco Financial Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary, Advantage Banl and through March 31, 2011, its formerly wholly-owned subsidiary, Camco Title Agency.

## Overview

Camco is a full-service provider of financial products through its subsidiary, Advantage Bank. Products and services include traditional banking products, such as deposit accounts and lending products within Ohio, Kentucky and West Virginia. Services are provided through 22 financial offices, 20 ATMs and telephone and internet-based banking. Brokerage services are offered exclusively through an unaffiliated registered broker-dealer at certain locations.

## Summary of Transactions and Events

The following is a summary of transactions or events that have impacted or are expected to impact Camco s results of operations or financial condition:

Since early 2009, Camco s loan quality has been negatively impacted by adverse conditions within the commercial real estate market and economy as a whole. The deterioration in the economic conditions of the country has created challenges for the Corporation, including the following:

Volatile equity markets that declined significantly

28

Stress on the banking industry with significant financial assistance to many financial institutions, extensive regulatory and congressional scrutiny and regulatory requirements

Low interest rate environment particularly given the government involvement in the financial markets, and

Continued high levels of unemployment nationally and in our local markets

The above factors resulted in the continued movement of loans to nonperforming status during the past few years. In addition, many of these loans are collateral dependent real estate loans that the Bank is required to write down to fair value less estimated costs to sell, with the fair values determined primarily based on third party appraisals. Beginning in 2009 and continuing through 2012, the Corporation has made noted improvements. *See* Note 7. Allowance for Loan Losses and related tables above and Note C in the 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2011.

The Corporation continues to address credit quality issues by directing the efforts of experienced workout specialists solely to manage the resolution of nonperforming assets. We continue to deal with the economic challenges in our markets, as we continue to recognize the results of difficult economic conditions. The real estate market continues to create a very challenging environment as bankruptcies, foreclosures and unemployment continues to be higher than pre-2008 in Ohio. It is the Corporation s goal to remove the majority of the nonperforming assets from its balance sheet while still obtaining reasonable value for these assets. Given the current conditions in the real estate market, accomplishing this goal is a significant undertaking, requiring both time and considerable effort of staff. We believe that we are taking the appropriate steps forward in managing our classified assets. We have devoted and will continue to devote substantial management resources toward the resolution of all delinquent and non-performing assets, but no assurance can be made that management s efforts will be successful.

In 2011, the Corporation took steps to improve capital ratios through the reduction of assets and borrowings. Assets were reduced through the sale of \$27.2 million in investments that created a gain of \$1.3 million. The Bank used the proceeds of the sale to pay \$21.0 million in FHLB borrowings, including a prepayment penalty of \$216,000. Additionally, Camco launched a rights offering on September 24, 2012 with a subsequent public offering to sell up to an aggregate of \$10.0 million in Camco common stock, which offerings closed on November 7, 2012. Although the offerings were successful in raising \$10.0 million in additional capital, we cannot provide any assurance that we will not need to seek additional financing or engage in additional capital offerings in the future. Based on our capital ratios at September 30, 2012, with the fully subscribed offerings we will not meet the capital requirements imposed by Advantage s regulators, as described below.

Net interest income, the amount by which interest income exceeds interest expense, is affected by various factors, including changes in market interest rates due to the Federal Reserve Board's monetary policy, the level and degree of pricing competition for both loans and deposits in our markets and the amount and composition of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. We have found that core deposit growth coupled with decreased balances and re-pricing of our certificates of deposit have decreased the cost of deposits 34 basis points since September 2011, while the average cost of borrowings has increased to 3.32% due to a higher mix of longer term FHLB advances with higher rates. We will continue to pay off maturing advances and review possible restructuring to decrease related expenses. The extended low rate environment and increased competition for deposits continue to put pressure on marginal funding costs, despite the continuing low market rates. Earnings related to net interest income continue to be challenged as net interest income and margin are impacted by changes in market interest rates based upon actions taken by the Federal Reserve either directly or through its Open Market Committee.

## Discussion of Financial Condition Changes from December 31, 2011 to September 30, 2012

At September 30, 2012, Camco s consolidated assets totaled \$754.2 million, a decrease of \$12.8 million, from December 31, 2011. The decrease in total assets resulted primarily from decreases in loans and loans held for sale and cash and cash equivalents, offset partially by increases in securities available for sale. Loans receivable has continued to decrease in 2012, primarily due to the slowed portfolio production in the first six months of 2012, which increased slightly in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter of 2012. This decrease was coupled with expected payoffs, which in turn has decreased our concentration levels.

Advantage continues to monitor certain types of commercial loan growth related to regulatory guidance that suggests financial institutions not exceed 3x risk based capital in a concentration of commercial real estate. At September 30, 2012, Advantage s ratio for this concentration was 3.23x risk based capital, approximately \$13.4 million over the guidance limitation. Advantage continues to monitor and control our concentration exposure through our concentration management plan that was implemented in 2011. Further, Camco intends to contribute a significant portion of the additional capital raised from the rights and public offerings to the Bank to help eliminate the exposure and concentration limits.

### **Table of Contents**

Residential loan production increased slightly in the third quarter of 2012. High unemployment rates in Ohio have fallen from 10.0% in 2010 to 7.2% at September 30, 2012. This coupled with a slight decrease in loan rates in 2012 has resulted in some new residential home purchases and additional refinancing in the first nine months of 2012.

Management s continued focus at the Bank has been on managing credit, reducing risk and concentrations within the loan portfolio and maintaining sufficient liquidity and capital in a distressed economic environment. These efforts have contributed to a \$7.3 million, or 30.5% decrease in total past due loans to \$16.7 million at September 30, 2012. The majority of the decrease relates to a decrease in subprime residential loans of \$8.9 million, or 53.0%. This decrease is significant because subprime refers to the credit quality of particular borrowers, who have weakened credit histories and are characterized with a higher risk of loan default than prime borrowers. We will continue our efforts to address each of these issues, but we expect the distressed economic environment to continue through the remainder of 2012 and into 2013.

Cash and interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions totaled \$26.9 million at September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$11.5 million, or 29.8%, from December 31, 2011. Cash was previously held at higher levels as we continued to restructure the balance sheet by decreasing assets and liabilities when possible to improve our capital position in conjunction with ensuring that liquidity continues to be adequate.

As of September 30, 2012, securities totaled \$80.6 million, an increase of \$59.7 million, or 285.4%, from December 31, 2011, due to the purchase of \$76.2 million in securities at a weighted rate of 0.71%, offset partially by principal repayments and maturities of \$16.6 million. The decreases of \$59.7 million in the loan portfolio created additional liquidity that was utilized to purchase securities in the first nine months of 2012

Loans receivable, including loans held for sale, totaled \$585.9 million at September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$61.4 million, or 9.5%, from December 31, 2011. The decrease resulted primarily from principal repayments of \$207.3 million, loan sales of \$79.2 million, \$4.7 million of loans transferred to real estate owned, and an addition of \$1.6 million provision for losses on loans, all of which was offset partially by loan disbursements/additions totaling \$232.1 million. Principal repayments and payoffs on loans were higher than 2011, which have decreased the portfolio balances and improved our concentration levels related to commercial production. The reduction in residential real estate loan balances was intensified by the secondary market offering historically low long-term fixed rates during the current year. New purchase customers are currently more likely to choose fixed rate loans during this low rate environment. Due to the fact that Advantage normally sells fixed rate loans and does not hold them, the portfolio balance of residential loans continued to decrease. In September of 2012, the Bank held in portfolio approximately \$500,000, of ten and fifteen year fixed residential loans that were secondary market quality. The Bank plans to continue to build the portfolio in the fourth quarter of 2012 to approximately \$2.0 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 to stabilize margin.

Loan originations during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 included \$129.5 million of commercial loans, \$91.2 million in loans secured by one- to four-family residential real estate and \$11.4 million in consumer and other loans. Our intent is to continue to service our communities in one- to four-family residential, consumer and commercial real estate lending and continue with our strategic plan of generating additional lending opportunities and core relationships.

The Bank continues to originate fixed-rate, single-family loans in its marketplace, with most originated for sale in the secondary market rather than for its portfolio. The origination and sale of fixed-rate loans has historically generated gains on sale and allowed the Bank to manage its investment in loans serviced, without assuming the interest-rate risk associated with holding long-term fixed-rate assets, which facilitates the maintenance of liquidity levels. Mortgage application volume has remained elevated in the current quarter, due to a low interest rate environment, and consisted predominantly of loan refinancing highly correlated to interest rate movements and levels. New home sales continue to remain somewhat weak in the current economic environment, limiting new home financing opportunities.

During the first nine months of 2012, the average yield on loans was 5.19% a decrease of 35 basis points as compared to 5.54% for the same period in 2011. The decrease in yield is due to lower mix of loan balances coupled with effective rates in the loan portfolio during 2012. As we continue to have payoffs and adjustable rate loans re-price and originate new loans at the current lower rate environment we expect the yield on loans to continue to decrease slightly throughout 2012 and into 2013.

The allowance for loan losses totaled \$14.5 million and \$14.5 million at September 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively, representing 63.7% and 58.3% of nonperforming loans, respectively, at those dates. Nonperforming loans (loans

30

with three payments delinquent plus nonaccrual loans) totaled \$22.8 million and \$24.9 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, constituting 3.8% of total net loans for September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, including loans held for sale. *See* Note 7. Allowance for Loan Losses above for additional information related to change in allowance and portfolio balances. Net charge-offs totaled \$1.6 million and \$2.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 respectively.

The following table sets forth information with respect to Advantage s nonperforming assets for the periods indicated.

Loans accounted for on nonaccrual basis:

	Sep	tember 30,	Dec	cember 31,	De	cember 31,	Dec	cember 31,	Dec	ember 31,
(dollars in thousands)	_	2012		2011		2010		2009		2008
Total nonperforming loans	\$	22,787	\$	24,918	\$	33,779	\$	36,449	\$	53,528
Other real estate owned		11,886		10,888		10,096		9,660		5,841
Total nonperforming assets	\$	34,673	\$	35,806	\$	43,875	\$	46,109	\$	59,369
Allowance for loan losses	\$	14,508	\$	14,532	\$	16,870	\$	16,099	\$	15,747
Nonperforming loans as a percent of total net loans		3.84%		3.85%		4.92%		5.40%		6.91%
Nonperforming assets to total assets		4.60%		4.67%		5.38%		5.47%		5.93%
Allowances for loan losses as a percent of										
nonperforming loans		63.7%		58.3%		49.9%		44.2%		29.4%
Memo section:										
Troubled debt restructurings										
Loans and leases restructured and in compliance										
with modified terms	\$	14,420	\$	16,095	\$	7,122	\$	16,645	\$	11,440
Loans and leases restructured and not in										
compliance with modified terms	\$	6,904	\$	7,161	\$	9,276	\$	4,783	\$	12,882

Nonaccrual status denotes loans greater than three payments past due, loans for which, in the opinion of management, the collection of additional interest is unlikely, or loans that meet nonaccrual criteria as established by regulatory authorities. Payments received on a nonaccrual loan are either applied to the outstanding principal balance or recorded as an asset which holds the interest income, depending on management s assessment of the collectability of the loan.

At September 30, 2012, the Bank's other real estate owned (REO) consisted of 170 repossessed properties with a net book value of \$11.9 million, an increase of \$1.0 million, compared to December 31, 2011. Initial loss is recorded as a charge to the allowance for loan losses. Thereafter, if there is a further deterioration in value, a specific valuation allowance is established and charged to operations. Charge downs related to the real estate owned properties for the nine months of 2012 were \$874,000 compared to \$550,000 for the first nine months of 2011. The charge downs are primarily due to decreased appraisal values and lack of market absorption. The Bank reflects costs to carry REO as period costs. When property is acquired through foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure, it is initially recorded at the fair value of the related assets at the date of foreclosure, less estimated costs to sell the property.

The Bank works with borrowers to avoid foreclosure if possible. Furthermore, if it becomes inevitable that a borrower will not be able to retain ownership of their property, the Bank often seeks a deed in lieu of foreclosure in order to gain control of the property earlier in the recovery process. The strategy of pursuing deeds in lieu of foreclosure more aggressively should result in a reduction in the holding period for nonperforming assets and ultimately reduce economic losses.

31

Deposits totaled \$630.3 million at September 30, 2012, an increase of \$1.0 million, or 0.2%, from the total at December 31, 2011. The following table details our deposit portfolio balances and the average rate paid on our deposit portfolio at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2012		December 3	1, 2011	Change		
	Balance	Rate	Balance	Rate	Balance	Rate	
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 69,775	0.00%	\$ 62,881	0.00%	\$ 6,894	0.00%	
Interest-bearing demand	69,167	0.14	64,213	0.18	4,954	(0.04)	
Money market	120,756	0.31	114,503	0.45	6,253	(0.14)	
Savings	53,131	0.05	42,417	0.10	10.714	(0.05)	
Certificates of deposit	317,475	1.32	345,245	1.65	(27,770)	(0.33)	
Total deposits	\$ 630,304	0.74%	\$ 629,259	1.01%	\$ 1,045	(0.27)%	

The decline in retail certificates of deposit continues to be strategically directed as part of management s relationship pricing initiative which targets rate sensitive, non-relationship deposits for reduction, coupled with an emphasis on increasing core relationships and commercial deposits. The Bank continues to focus on its collection of core deposits. Core deposit balances, generated from customers throughout the Bank s branch network, are generally a stable source of funds similar to long-term funding, but core deposits such as checking and savings accounts are typically less costly than alternative fixed-rate funding. The Bank believes that this cost advantage makes core deposits a superior funding source, in addition to providing cross-selling opportunities and fee income possibilities. Management will continue to modify its noncore deposit strategies to support the funding needs of the Bank s loan activities, while maintaining appropriate liquidity levels, as it executes its strategies to diversify its funding mix by expanding core deposit relationships and building business deposits. To the extent the Bank is able to grow its core deposits and continues to pay down borrowings and higher cost funds such as certificates of deposits, the costs related to these liabilities should decrease.

In 2010, we implemented a number of organizational and product development initiatives including a new suite of commercial and small business checking accounts, enhancements to our online business cash management system, and the launch of remote deposit capture solution. We believe these products will continue to help us be more competitive for business checking accounts. Additionally, in 2011 we implemented paperless statements that are less costly to the Bank, more efficient for many customers, and strategically add convenient products to enhance our banking products with current technology.

Effective January 1, 2010, interest rates paid by Advantage on deposits became subject to limitations as a result of a consent order Advantage entered into with the FDIC and Ohio Division of Financial Institutions in July 2009 (Prior Consent Order). Deposits solicited by the Bank cannot significantly exceed the prevailing rates in our market areas. The FDIC has implemented by regulation the statutory language significantly exceeds as meaning more than 75 basis points. Although the rule became effective January 1, 2010, Advantage has complied with these standards since mid-year 2009.

Advances from the FHLB and other borrowings totaled \$64.5 million at September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$15.8 million, or 19.7%, from the total at December 31, 2011. The decrease in borrowings was due to decreased balances in repurchase agreements which is timing related to customer needs coupled with the payoff of \$10.0 million of matured advances.

The decrease in advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance of \$0.5 million for the period ended September 30, 2012 was attributable to timing differences between the collection and payment of taxes and insurance. The increase of \$0.7 million in accrued expenses and other liabilities was primarily the result of accruals related to trust preferred interest, incentives and compensation and professional services rendered but not yet billed.

Stockholders equity totaled \$47.4 million at September 30, 2012, an increase of \$1.8 million, or 4.0%, from December 31, 2011. The increase resulted primarily from net earnings of \$1.4 million, coupled with \$260,000 of restricted stock awards related to the 2011 officer incentive plan and an increase in other comprehensive income of \$151,000 related to the fair value of our investment securities. This was partially offset by \$28,000 of net changes related to stock based compensation.

## Comparison of Results of Operations for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

Camco s net income is dependent primarily on its net interest income, which is the difference between interest earned on its loans and investments and interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income is determined by (i) the difference between yields earned on

interest-earning assets and rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities ( interest-rate spread ) and (ii) the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Camco s interest-rate spread is affected by regulatory, economic and competitive factors that influence interest rates, loan demand, the collectability of loans, and deposit flows. Net interest income also includes amortization of loan origination fees, net of origination costs.

Camco s net income is also affected by the generation of non-interest income, which primarily consists of loan servicing income, service fees on deposit accounts and gains on sales of loans held for sale. In addition, net income is affected by the level of operating expenses, loan loss provisions, and costs associated with the acquisition, maintenance and disposal of real estate.

Camco recognized net earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, of \$1.4 million, an increase of \$2.0 million, or 312.8%, from the net loss of \$(648,000) reported in the comparable 2011 period. On a per share basis, the net earnings were \$0.19, compared to \$(.09) per share in the first nine months of 2012 and 2011 respectively. The increase in earnings was primarily attributable to the additional \$1.4 million of provision for loan losses recorded in the 2011 period compared to the 2012 period.

#### Net Interest Income

Net interest income totaled \$18.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$1.4 million or 7.3%, compared to the nine month period ended September 30, 2011, generally reflecting the effects of a \$11.4 million decrease in the average balance of interest earning assets coupled with the decrease of average yield on earning assets of 58 basis points. This was partially offset by a \$36.6 million decrease in interest-bearing liabilities and a decrease of 33 basis points related to the cost of funding. Due to these changes, the net interest margin decreased 21 basis points to 3.45% in 2012 compared to 3.66% in 2011.

The following table presents for the periods indicated the total dollar amount of interest income from average interest-earning assets and the resulting yields, and the interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed both in dollars and rates, and the net interest margin. The table does not reflect any effect of income taxes. Balances are based on the average of month-end balances which, in the opinion of management, do not differ materially from daily balances.

Nine Months Ended September 30,		2012		2011				
	Average outstanding	Interest earned/	Average yield/	Average outstanding	Interest earned/	Average yield/		
(Dollars in thousands)	balance	paid	rate	balance	paid	Rate		
Interest-earning assets:								
Loans receivable (1)	\$ 601,849	\$ 23,434	5.19%	\$ 636,264	\$ 26,455	5.54%		
Securities	62,961	350	0.74%	21,309	511	3.20%		
FHLB stock	9,888	321	4.33%	11,888	592	6.64%		
Other Interest-bearing accounts	25,123	9	0.05%	41,759	10	.03%		
Total interest-earning assets	699,821	24,114	4.59%	711,220	27,568	5.17%		
Noninterest-earning assets (2)	71,255			77,650				
Total average assets	\$ 771,076			\$ 788,870				
Interest-bearing liabilities:								
Deposits	\$ 570,811	\$ 4,191	0.98%	\$ 590,454	\$ 5,841	1.32%		
FHLB advances and other	73,650	1,836	3.32%	90,584	2,215	3.26%		
Total interest-bearing liabilities	644,461	6,027	1.25%	681,038	8,056	1.58%		
Noninterest-bearing deposits	67,406			51,395				
Noninterest-bearing liabilities	12,837			10,928				
Total average liabilities	724,701			743,361				
Total average stockholders equity	46,375			45,509				
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 771,076			\$ 788,870				
Net interest income/Interest rate spread		\$ 18,087	3.34%		\$ 19,512	3.59%		

Net interest margin (3)	3.45%	3.66%
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing		
liabilities	108.6%	104.4%

- (1) Includes loans held for sale. Loan fees are immaterial.
- (2) Includes nonaccrual loans, mortgage servicing rights and allowance for loan losses
- (3) Net interest income as a percent of average interest-earning assets

33

### **Table of Contents**

Interest income on loans totaled \$23.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$3.0 million, or 11.4%, from the comparable 2011 period. The decrease resulted primarily from a decrease in the average balance outstanding of \$34.4 million, or 5.4%, from the comparable 2011 period. The average loan balances continued to be impacted by loan payments and payoffs, which are not being totally replaced by new portfolio loan production, as well as movement of loans to real estate owned and the change related to balances in non-accrual and charged off loans during the period. In order to manage concentrations commercial production was slowed in the fourth quarter of 2011 and first quarter of 2012, but the pipeline began to increase in the second and third quarter of 2012 and continued through September 30, 2012. The higher loan payoffs that occurred in the current year were at higher rates than new production which is being generated at our current lower interest rate environment. This created a 35 basis point decrease in the average yield on loans.

Interest income on securities totaled \$350,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$161,000, or 31.5%, from the nine months ending 2011. The decrease was due primarily to a 246 basis point decrease in the average yield to 0.74% for the nine months of 2012. In early 2011 we sold investments for a gain on sale and have since purchased new investments with additional cash on hand liquidity. These investments are shorter term and at lower interest rates, which affected the average yield on investments.

Dividend income on FHLB stock is paid a quarter in arrears. Due to our redemption of \$20.0 million of FHLB stock in January 2011, the yield was inflated for the first quarter of 2011. Therefore in the first quarter of 2011, interest was paid on \$29.8 million. The current interest yield on the asset is applicable to actual rates received on this investment. Interest income on other interest bearing accounts continues to be low due to higher balances needed to compensate for charges at correspondent banks, leaving less balance for interest calculation offset partially by a slight increase in rates. We will continue to deploy cash when available by paying down advances and borrowings in order to generate additional income.

Interest expense on deposits totaled \$4.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$1.7 million, or 28.3%, compared to the same period in 2011 due primarily to a 34 basis point decrease in the average cost of deposits to 0.98% in the current period, coupled with a \$19.6 million, or 3.3%, decrease in average interest bearing deposits outstanding. While the cost of deposits was lower in 2012 compared to 2011, the cost of funds in 2012 and into 2013 is expected to stabilize as rates have been at low levels for the past few years. However, we will continue to re-price certificates of deposit in the current lower interest rate environment in 2012, which should decrease costs slightly if rates continue to be at the current low levels. Although, competitive pressures may limit our ability to reduce interest rates paid on deposits.

Interest expense on borrowings totaled \$1.8 million, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$379,000, or 17.1%, from the same 2011 nine months period. The decrease resulted primarily from a \$16.9 million or 18.7% decrease in the average mix of borrowings outstanding, offset partially by a 6 basis point increase in the average cost of borrowings to 3.32%. In early June 2012, we restructured \$22.0 million of advances with a weighted rate of 2.52%. After the restructure the weighted rate on the replacement advances was 1.53%, which should decrease expense going forward by approximately \$18,000 per month.

## Provision for Losses on Loans

A provision for losses on loans is charged to earnings to bring the total allowance for loan losses to a level considered appropriate by management based on historical experience, the volume and type of lending conducted by the Bank, the status of past due principal and interest payments, general economic conditions, particularly as such conditions relate to the Bank s market areas, and other factors related to the collectability of the Bank s loan portfolio.

Camco s loan quality has been negatively impacted by conditions within our market areas which have caused declines in real estate values and deterioration in the financial condition of some of our borrowers. These conditions have led Camco to downgrade the loan quality ratings on various loans through our loan review process. In addition, some of our loans became under-collateralized due to reductions in the estimated net realizable fair value of the underlying collateral. As a result, Camco s provision for loan losses, net charge-offs and non-performing loans were significantly higher in 2008, 2009 and 2010. Camco has made noted improvement in non-performing loans in 2011 and continues to improve in 2012. *See* Note 7. Allowance for Loan Losses and related tables above in the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Camco s net loan charge-offs and provision for loan losses in recent years has been impacted by ongoing workout efforts on existing impaired loans. The efforts have included negotiating reduced payoffs and the sale of underlying collateral or short sales coupled with charging down values to net realizable or fair value of the underlying collateral. Management believes these actions continue to be prudent during the current economic environment.

### **Table of Contents**

Based upon an analysis of these factors, the continued economic outlook and new production, we recorded a provision for losses on loans of \$1.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. We believe our loans are adequately reserved for probable losses inherent in our loan portfolio at September 30, 2012. However, there can be no assurance that the loan loss allowance will be adequate to absorb actual losses. *See* Note 7. Allowance for Loan Losses for additional information above in the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Other Income

Other income totaled \$5.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, an increase of \$425,000, or 8.4%, from the comparable 2011 period. The increase in other income was primarily attributable to a \$1.7 million increase in gain on sale of loans partially offset by a decrease of \$1.3 million in gain on sale of investments for the prior period.

The 2012 increase in gain on sale of loans was due to 2011 including the sale of three portfolio loans at a loss of \$433,000. The decrease in gain on sale of loans was offset by the decrease in gain on sale of investments which is attributed to the fact that there was only one sale in 2012.

The first nine months of 2011 included a gain on the sale of residential mortgage loans of \$407,000, a decrease of \$1.3 million from the current nine months of 2012. Current year sales increased by \$11.4 million from the nine months ended 2011, which were coupled with higher margins on sales and values related to capitalization.

#### General, Administrative and Other Expense

General, administrative and other expense totaled \$20.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 a decrease of \$971,000 or 4.5%, from the comparable period in 2011. The decrease in general, administrative and other expense was primarily due to decreases in real estate owned - other expense and other loan fee expenses. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in professional services.

The decrease in real estate owned - other expense of \$825,000 is primarily due to losses incurred in 2011 on the sale of real estate owned of \$423,000 versus current year gain on sale of \$100,000. The decrease of \$261,000 in other loan expense was due to lower classified asset expenses in the third quarter of 2012.

The increase in professional services is related to additional legal expenses related to the rights and public offering.

#### Federal Income Taxes

Federal income tax benefit totaled \$78,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 a decrease of \$620,000 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011. This decrease reflects the change for 2012 in the valuation allowance against the Corporation s net deferred tax asset. In 2011, the Corporation sold available for sale investments that were no longer carrying a deferred position and recorded tax expense related to such transactions.

Furthermore, income tax returns are subject to audit by the IRS. Income tax expense for current and prior periods is subject to adjustment based upon the outcome of such audits. During 2011, the IRS began an examination of the Corporation s tax returns for the year ended December 31, 2009. The examination is near completion. The IRS has taken the position the Corporation took bad debt deductions prematurely. The Corporation disagrees. If the matter is unable to be resolved at the examination level, the Corporation will contest the matter at the IRS Office of Appeals. Management believes it is more likely than not that the Corporation will be successful in the appeals process. If the IRS prevails, the Corporation may be required to repay approximately \$1.57 million of tax refunds it had received as a result of a carryback of a net operating loss and the Corporation will increase their net operating loss tax carry forward by the same amount as the disallowed deduction. The Corporation has a 100% valuation allowance against its deferred tax asset. In the event that the Corporation does not prevail on appeals and the deferred tax asset has a 100% valuation allowance, the Corporation will be required to take a charge of income tax expense in the amount of \$1.57 million.

Table of Contents 53

35

### **Table of Contents**

Accrual of income taxes payable and valuation allowances against deferred tax assets are estimates subject to change based upon the outcome of future events. The Corporation recorded a 100% valuation allowance against the net deferred tax asset in 2010. Based on the available evidence, it is more-likely-than-not that some portion or the entire deferred tax asset will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible and net operating losses are utilized. In making such judgments, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified. A cumulative tax loss position is considered significant negative evidence in assessing the realization of a net deferred tax asset, which is difficult to overcome. Reversal of the valuation allowance can be realized in the future based on estimates of projected taxable income.

The Corporation has a net operating loss carry forward for tax purposes of approximately \$3.6 million at September 30, 2012. This compares to a net operating loss carry forward of approximately \$7.2 million at December 31, 2011. As the Corporation returns to profitability, future earnings may benefit from the current operating loss carry-forwards.

## Comparison of Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

Camco s net earnings for the three months ended September 30, 2012, totaled \$484,000, an increase of \$321,000, from \$163,000 reported in the comparable 2011 period. On a per share basis, the net earnings for the three months ended 2012 were \$0.07, compared to \$0.02 per share in the three months of 2011. The increase in earnings was attributable to increased other income related to gain on sale of loans and the mortgage servicing right income coupled with decreased general administrative and other expenses of real estate owned and other expenses offset partially by decreased net interest income.

#### Net Interest Income

Net interest income totaled \$6.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$481,000 or 7.4%, compared to the three months period ended September 30, 2011, generally reflecting the effects of a decrease in the average yield on earning assets of 60 basis points coupled with the decrease of a \$7.2 million in average balance of interest earning assets. This was partially offset by a \$29.7 million decrease in interest-bearing liabilities and a decrease of 34 basis points related to the cost of funding. Due to these changes the net interest margin decreased 24 basis points to 3.49% in 2012 compared to 3.73% of 2011.

The following table presents for the periods indicated the total dollar amount of interest income from average interest-earning assets and the resulting yields, and the interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed both in dollars and rates, and the net interest margin. The table does not reflect any effect of income taxes. Balances are based on the average of month-end balances which, in the opinion of management, do not differ materially from daily balances.

36

Three Months Ended September 30,	Average outstanding	2012 Interest earned/	Average yield/	Average outstanding	2011 Interest earned/	Average yield/
(Dollars in thousands)	balance	paid	rate	balance	paid	Rate
Interest-earning assets:						
Loans receivable (1)	\$ 583,587	\$ 7,522	5.16%	635,662	8,715	5.48%
Securities	78,729	131	0.67%	15,216	72	1.89%
FHLB stock	9,888	104	4.21%	9,888	98	3.96%
Other Interest-bearing accounts	14,605	8	0.22%	33,218	1	0.01%
Total interest-earning assets	686,809	7,765	4.52%	693,984	8,886	5.12%
Noninterest-earning assets (2)	75,685			77,419		
	70,000			77,129		
Total average assets	\$ 762,494			\$ 771,403		
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Deposits	565,020	1,235	0.87%	574,338	1,734	1.21%
FHLB advances and other	68,908	545	3.16%	89,295	686	3.07%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	633,928	1,780	1.12%	663,633	2,420	1.46%
Noninterest-bearing deposits	69,543			52,887		
Noninterest-bearing liabilities	11,984			10,328		
Total average liabilities	715,455			726,788		
Total average stockholders equity	47,039			44,615		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 762,494			\$ 771,403		
Net interest income/Interest rate spread		\$ 5,985	3.40%		\$ 6,466	3.66%
Net interest margin (3)			3.49%			3.73%
			J.T/10			3.13/0
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities			108.3%			104.6%

Interest income on loans totaled \$7.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$1.2 million, or 13.7%, from the comparable 2011 period. The decrease resulted primarily from a decrease in the average balance outstanding of \$52.1 million, or 8.2%, from the comparable 2011 period. This is primarily related to slowed commercial production in the last quarter of 2011 and first half of 2012. This was coupled with higher loan payoffs, which were at higher rates than the new production that is being generated in our current low interest rate environment. This created a 32 basis point decrease in the average yield on loans.

Interest income on securities totaled \$131,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012, an increase of \$59,000, or 81.9%, from the third quarter of 2011. The increase was due primarily to an increase in the average balances of \$63.5 million, or 417.4%, offset partially by a 122 basis point decrease in the average yield to 0.67% for the 2012 period. In early 2011, we sold investments for a gain on sale and have purchased new investments with additional cash on hand liquidity. These investments are shorter term and at current lower interest rates.

Dividend income on FHLB stock is paid a quarter in arrears. The current interest yield on the asset is the actual rate paid on such investments. Interest income on other interest bearing accounts continues to be low due to higher balances needed to compensate for charges at correspondent banks, leaving less balance for interest calculation offset partially by a slight increase in rates. We will continue to deploy cash when available

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes loans held for sale. Loan fees are immaterial.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes nonaccrual loans, mortgage servicing rights and allowance for loan losses

<sup>(3)</sup> Net interest income as a percent of average interest-earning assets

by paying down advances and borrowings in order to generate additional income.

Interest expense on deposits totaled \$1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$499,000, or 28.8%, compared to the same period in 2011 due primarily to a 34 basis point decrease in the average cost of deposits to 0.87% in the current period, coupled with a \$9.3 million, or 1.6% decrease in average interest bearing deposits outstanding. While the cost of deposits was lower in 2012 compared to 2011, the cost of funds is expected to stabilize as rates have been at low levels for the past few years. However, we will continue to re-price certificates of deposit in the current lower interest rate environment, which should decrease costs slightly if rates continue to be at the current low levels. Although, competitive pressures may limit our ability to reduce interest rates paid on deposits.

37

### **Table of Contents**

Interest expense on borrowings totaled \$545,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$141,000, or 20.6%, from the same 2011 three-month period. The decrease resulted primarily from a \$20.4 million or 22.8% decrease in the average borrowings outstanding, offset partially by a 9 basis point increase in the average cost of borrowings to 3.16%. In early June of 2012 we restructured \$22.0 million of advances with a weighted rate of 2.52%. After the restructure the weighted rate on the replacement advances was 1.53%, which should continue to decrease expense by approximately \$18,000 per month going forward.

## Provision for Losses on Loans

We recorded a provision for losses on loans of \$457,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012. We believe our loans are adequately reserved for probable losses inherent in our loan portfolio at September 30, 2012. However, there can be no assurance that the loan loss allowance will be adequate to absorb actual losses. *See* Note 7. Allowance for Loan Losses for additional information above in the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Other Income

Other income totaled \$1.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, an increase of \$710,000, or 62.2%, from the comparable 2011 period. The increase in other income was primarily attributable to a \$548,000 increase in gain on sale of loans. In 2011, we incurred a loss on the sale of three portfolio loans of \$283,000, which was partially offset by the normal residential fixed rate secondary market activity gain on the sale of \$368,000. When comparing the three months ended September 30, 2012 versus 2011 the gain on sale of mortgage loans increased \$265,000.

#### General, Administrative and Other Expense

General, administrative and other expense totaled \$7.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 a decrease of \$263,000 or 3.7%, from the comparable period in 2011. The decrease in general, administrative and other expense was primarily due to decreases in real estate owned and other expense offset partially by increases in professional services.

The decrease in real estate owned and other expense of \$406,000 is related to expenses in 2011 which included operational funding / expenses related to the golf course. In 2012, no operational funding was needed in the third quarter of 2012. The increase of \$77,000 in professional services is related to additional legal expenses related to our common stock offering.

## Federal Income Taxes

Federal income tax benefit totaled \$53,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to federal income taxes of \$5,000 recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2011. This reflects the changes in each of the quarters related to the valuation allowance against the Corporation s net deferred tax asset.

Income tax returns are subject to audit by the IRS. Income tax expense for current and prior periods is subject to adjustment based upon the outcome of such audits. During 2011, the IRS began an examination of the Corporation s tax returns for the year ended December 31, 2009. The examination is near completion. The IRS has taken the position the Corporation took bad debt deductions prematurely. The Corporation disagrees. If the matter is unable to be resolved at the examination level, the Corporation will contest the matter at the IRS Office of Appeals. Management believes it is more likely than not that the Corporation will be successful in the appeals process. If the IRS prevails, the Corporation may be required to repay approximately \$1.57 million of tax refunds it had received as a result of a carryback of a net operating loss and the Corporation will increase their net operating loss tax carry-forward by the same amount as the disallowed deduction. The Corporation has a 100% valuation allowance against its deferred tax asset. In the event that the Corporation does not prevail on appeals and the deferred tax asset has a 100% valuation allowance, the Corporation will be required to take a charge of income tax expense in the amount of \$1.57 million.

The Corporation recorded a 100% valuation allowance against the net deferred tax asset in 2010. Based on the available evidence, it is more-likely-than-not that some portion or the entire deferred tax asset will not be realized. The ultimate

### **Table of Contents**

realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. In making such judgments, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified. A cumulative tax loss position is considered significant negative evidence in assessing the realization of a net deferred tax asset, which is difficult to overcome. Reversal of the valuation allowance can be realized in the future based on estimates of projected taxable income.

The Corporation has a net operating loss carry forward for tax purposes of approximately \$3.6 million at September 30, 2012. This compares to a net operating loss carry forward of approximately \$7.2 million at December 31, 2011. As the Corporation returns to profitability, future earnings may benefit from the current operating loss carry-forwards.

### Additional Capital

The FDIC and Ohio Division entered into a new consent order (the New Consent Order ) with Advantage in February 2012, which replaced the Prior Consent Order. This New Consent Order requires, Advantage, among other things, to: (i) add two independent directors to Advantage s Board; (ii) increase its Tier 1 leverage capital to 9.0% and its total risk based capital to 12.0%; (iii) develop and submit a capital plan; (iv) implement plans to reduce its classified assets and loan concentrations; (v) ensure the establishment of a comprehensive policy and methodology for determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses; (vi) seek regulatory approval prior to declaring or paying any cash dividend; and (vii) adopt and develop a strategic plan, liquidity plan, profit plan and budget. The Corporation s Tier 1 capital at September 30, 2012 did not meet the requirements set forth in the New Consent Order. As a result, the Corporation will need to increase capital levels to meet the standards set forth by the FDIC and Ohio Division. On September 24, 2012, Camco launched a rights offering of common shares for up to \$10.0 million. Under the terms of the rights offering, all record holders of the Company s common stock as of July 29, 2012 received, at no charge, one subscription right for each share of common stock held as of the record date. Each subscription right entitled the holder to purchase one share of Company common stock at \$1.75. In addition, for every two shares purchased in the offering, investors received one warrant to purchase Company common stock within five years at \$2.10 per share. Rights holders were given the opportunity to purchase shares in excess of their basic subscription rights, subject to the availability and certain limitations. Although the rights offering and the public offering were subscribed for the full \$10.0 million, our capital levels are not yet expected to meet the requirements of the New Consent Order.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the Corporation s ability to generate adequate cash flows to meet the demands of its customers and provide adequate flexibility for the Corporation to take advantage of market opportunities. Cash is used to fund loans, purchase investments, fund the maturity of liabilities, and at times to fund deposit outflows and operating activities. The Corporation s principal sources of funds are deposits; amortization, prepayments and sales of loans; maturities, sales and principal receipts from securities; borrowings; and operations. Managing liquidity entails balancing the need for cash or the ability to borrow against the objectives of maximizing profitability and minimizing interest rate risk. The most liquid types of assets typically carry the lowest yields.

Camco is a single bank holding company with its primary source of liquidity derived from dividends received from the Bank which are dependent on the Bank s cash flow and earnings. Ohio statutes also impose certain limitations on a bank s payment of dividends and other capital distributions. Currently, the New Consent Order prohibits the Bank from paying a dividend to Camco without prior approval of the FDIC and Ohio Division. Camco has \$5.0 million in trust preferred securities outstanding with a maturity date of 2037 and a provision that provides for a deferment of interest payment for up to 20 consecutive quarters without default, if required. Based on notification received from the Federal Reserve Board of Governors (FRB) on April 30, 2009, Camco was required to exercise this provision to defer interest payments and has deferred \$1.2 million which is a total of fourteen quarters as of September 30, 2012. Further, as a result of entering into a Memorandum of Understanding (the MOU) with FRB on March 4, 2009, Camco is prohibited from paying dividends to our stockholders without first obtaining the approval of the FRB. Our ability to pay dividends to stockholders is dependent on our net earnings. Increases in loan losses or higher regulatory capital reserve requirements may also jeopardize our ability to pay dividends.

The objective of the Bank s liquidity management is to maintain ample cash flows to meet obligations for depositor withdrawals, fund the borrowing needs of loan customers, and to fund ongoing operations. Core relationship deposits are the primary source of the Bank s liquidity. As such, the Bank focuses on deposit relationships with local business and consumer clients with a strategy to increase the number of services/products per client. The Corporation views such deposits as the foundation of its long-term liquidity, because it believes such core deposits are more stable and less sensitive to changing interest rates and other economic factors compared to large time deposits or wholesale purchased funds.

### **Table of Contents**

Liquidity is monitored and assessed daily in order to meet deposit, loan and operational needs of the Bank. A liquidity contingency funding plan at both the Camco and Bank levels identifies liquidity thresholds and red flags that may evidence liquidity concerns or future crises. The contingency plan details specific actions to be taken by management and the Board of Directors should such an incident arise and identifies sources of both asset and liability based liquidity. In conjunction with the Corporation s asset/liability and interest rate risk management activities, we actively monitor liquidity risk and analyze various scenarios that could impact or impair Camco s ability to access emergency funding during a liquidity crisis.

Liquid assets consist of cash and interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions, investments and mortgage-backed securities. Approximately \$6.5 million, or 8.1%, of our investment portfolio is expected to mature or prepay during the next 12 months. While these maturities could provide a source of liquidity in the short term, the collateral requirements of public deposits and repurchase agreements limit our ability to use these funds freely. State and local political subdivision deposits equaled \$15,900 at September 30, 2012, and \$2.0 million at December 31, 2011.

Liquidity sources also include deposits, borrowings and principal and interest repayments on loans. While scheduled loan repayments and maturing investments are relatively predictable, deposit flows and early loan and security prepayments are influenced more by interest rates, general economic conditions, and competition and are difficult to predict. Approximately \$135.2 million of the Corporation s certificate of deposit portfolio is scheduled to mature during the next 12 months. Depositors continue a preference toward short-term certificates or other issuances less than 18 months but our current rates have incented customers to choose longer term certificates ranging from 24 to 60 months. Although some customers are choosing the longer term certificates, the shorter term preference places additional liquidity pressure on the Corporation, however, management has seen a weakening in competition for deposits in the current economic environment. A material loss of these short-term deposits could force us to seek funding through contingency sources, which may negatively impact earnings.

Management may augment liquidity and core deposit funding utilizing diversified and reliable sources of wholesale funds. Borrowings with up to one year maturity may be used to compensate for reduction in other sources of funds or to support lending activities. The Bank s loan portfolio and FHLB stock provide collateral to support its borrowing needs. Management believes that its focus on core relationship deposits coupled with access to borrowing through reliable counterparties provides reasonable and prudent assurance that ample liquidity is available. However, depositor or counterparty behavior could change in response to competition, economic or market situations or other unforeseen circumstances, which could have liquidity implications that may require different strategic or operational actions. One wholesale funding source historically used by the Bank is brokered deposits. At September 30, 2012 all such deposits have matured and been paid off.

FHLB advances are another funding source. While significant strategic and tactical focus is currently being placed on deposit growth, borrowings and additional borrowing capacity at the FHLB are still vital sources of liquidity and growth funding. However, our total borrowing capacity at the FHLB is dependent on the level of eligible collateral assets held by the Bank and the Bank s credit rating with the FHLB. Available capacity at the FHLB has been increased by pay downs of advances during 2012. The inability of the Bank to access contingency funding from the FHLB may significantly limit our growth and negatively affect earnings; however, the Bank has improved on-balance-sheet liquidity in response to FHLB s higher collateral maintenance requirements.

We plan to continue to monitor our funding sources through wholesale deposits and FHLB borrowings, but recognize that our current credit risk profile may restrict these sources. Our Funds Management Group monitors the market deposit rates to allow for competitive pricing in order to raise funds through deposits. Funds in excess of loan demand and available borrowing repayments will be held in short-term investments or federal funds sold. We are taking these actions to proactively prepare for the possibility of continued balance sheet management opportunities, possible credit markets deteriorations and non-performing loan status changes, which may change our borrowing capacity at the FHLB further.

40

The following table sets forth information regarding the Bank s obligations and commitments to make future payments under contract as September 30, 2012.

	Payments due by period Less								
	than		1 3	3	5		ore an		
	1 year		Years	yea (In thousa		5 y	ears	Total	
Contractual obligations:									
Operating lease obligations	\$ 3	03	550	\$	386	\$	55	\$ 1,2	94
Advances from the FHLB		15	47,348	5,	000	1.	,008	53,3	71
Repurchase agreements	6,0	95	0		0		0	6,0	95
Certificates of deposit	135,2	14	142,765	39,	496		0	317,4	75
Subordinated debentures (1)		0	0		0	5	,000	5,0	00
Ohio equity funds for housing		96	196	:	246		5	5	43
Deferred Compensation	2	16	411		400	1.	,101	2,1	28
Amount of commitments expiring per period:									
Commitments to originate loans:									
Revolving open-end lines secured by 1-4 residential properties	\$ 38,5	84 \$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 38,5	84
Commercial and industrial loans	23,9	36	0		0		0	23,9	36
One- to four-family construction loan	1,8	50	0		0		0	1,8	50
Commercial real estate, other construction loan and land development	21,3	32	0		0		0	21,3	32
Commercial and industrial and other unused commitments	7,6	95	0		0		0	7,6	95
Letters of credit	3	64	0		0		0	3	64
Total contractual obligations	\$ 235,7	00 \$	5 191,270	\$ 45,	528	\$ 7	,169	\$ 479,6	67

(1) The subordinated debentures are redeemable, at Camco s option. The debentures mature on September 15, 2037. We anticipate that we will have sufficient funds available to meet our current loan commitments. Based upon historical deposit flow data, the Bank s competitive pricing in its market and management s experience, we believe that a significant portion of our maturing certificates of deposit in the next 12 months will remain with the Bank, but recognize the risk related to a large portion of the certificates of deposit maturing within three years.

Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term management process. In the event that we should require funds beyond our ability to generate them internally, additional funds are available through the use of FHLB advances, internet deposits, and through the sales of loans or securities.

As a result of the MOU with the FRB, Camco has deferred further interest payments on the subordinated debt securities relating to the trust preferred securities of Camco Financial Corporation Trust 1. Camco has the right under the indenture for the subordinated debt securities to defer interest payments for up to 20 consecutive calendar quarters. The deferral provisions for the securities were intended to provide the Corporation with a measure of financial flexibility during times of financial stress due to market conditions, such as the current state of the financial and real estate markets.

As a result of the Corporation s exercise of its contractual right to defer interest payments on its subordinated debt securities, it is likely that the Corporation will not have access to the trust preferred securities market until the Corporation becomes current on those obligations. This may also adversely affect the Corporation s ability in the market to obtain debt financing. Therefore, the Corporation is likely to have greater difficulty in obtaining financing and, thus, will have fewer sources to enhance its capital and liquidity position. In addition, the Corporation will be unable to pay dividends on its common stock until such time as the Corporation is current on interest payments on its subordinated debt securities. Currently, there is no market for trust preferred securities.

Camco and Advantage are required to maintain minimum regulatory capital pursuant to federal regulations and the New Consent Order. The following tables present certain information regarding compliance by Camco and Advantage with applicable regulatory capital requirements at September 30, 2012:

T. L. .....11

	Actu		For cap	urposes	capitalized prompt cor action prov	under rective visions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount (Dollars in th	Ratio ousands)	Amount	Ratio	
Total capital to risk-weighted assets:							
Camco Financial Corporation	\$ 59,215	10.33%	≥\$ 45,846	≥8.0%	≥\$ 57,307	10.0%	
Advantage Bank	\$ 57,127	9.97%	≥\$ 45,817	≥8.0%	≥\$ 57,271	10.0%	
Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets:							
Camco Financial Corporation	\$ 51,966	9.07%	≥\$ 22,923	≥4.0%	≥\$ 34,384	6.0%	
Advantage Bank	\$ 49,878	8.71%	≥\$ 22,909	≥4.0%	<b>≥</b> \$ 34,363	6.0%	
Tier I leverage to average assets:							
Camco Financial Corporation	\$ 51,966	6.80%	≥\$ 30,551	≥4.0%	<b>≥</b> \$ 38,188	5.0%	
Advantage Bank	\$ 49,878	6.55%	≥\$ 30,480	≥4.0%	≥\$ 38,099	5.0%	

Federal law prohibits a financial institution from making a capital distribution to anyone or paying management fees to any person having control of the institution if, after such distribution or payment, the institution would be undercapitalized. Additionally, the payment of dividends by Advantage Bank to its parent and by Camco Financial Corporation to stockholders is subject to restriction by regulatory agencies. These restrictions normally limit dividends from the Bank to the sum of the Bank s current and prior two years—earnings, as defined by the agencies.

The MOU prohibits Camco from engaging in certain activities while the MOU is in effect, including, without the prior written approval of the FRB, (1) the declaration or payment of dividends to stockholders or (2) the repurchase of Camco s stock.

On April 30, 2009, Camco was notified by the FRB that it had conducted a surveillance review as of December 31, 2008. Based on that review, the FRB notified Camco that it must (i) eliminate stockholder dividends and (ii) defer interest payments on its 30-year junior subordinated deferrable interest notes that were issued to its wholly-owned subsidiary, Camco Statutory Trust I, in its trust preferred financing that was completed in July 2007. These prohibitions were memorialized in a written agreement with the FRB on August 5, 2009. Camco and Camco Statutory Trust I are permitted to defer interest and dividend payments, respectively, for up to five consecutive years without resulting in a default. Camco may not resume these dividend or interest payments until it receives approval from the FRB.

As a result of the surveillance review, Camco entered into a Written Agreement (the Camco Agreement ) with the FRB on August 5, 2009. The Camco Agreement memorializes the requirements imposed on April 30, 2009 and requires Camco to obtain FRB approval prior to: (i) declaring or paying any dividends; (ii) receiving dividends or any other form of payment representing a reduction in capital from Advantage; (iii) making any distributions of interest, principal or other sums on subordinated debentures or trust preferred securities; (iv) incurring, increasing or guaranteeing any debt; or (v) repurchasing any Camco stock.

The New Consent Order issued by the FDIC and Ohio Division requires Advantage to, among other things, (i) increase its Tier 1 risk based capital to 9.0% by March 31, 2012; and (ii) seek regulatory approval prior to declaring or paying any cash dividend. Therefore, Advantage must maintain 9.0% Tier 1 Capital to be deemed adequately capitalized. As a result of the New Consent Order, Advantage remains disqualified as a public depository under Ohio law and will incur higher premiums for FDIC insurance of its accounts. Currently, Advantage is not in compliance with the Tier 1 capital requirement of the New Consent Order and is not expected to be even after the rights and public offerings for \$10.0 million in common stock.

Due to the regulatory restrictions that prohibit dividends between the Bank and Camco, Camco may become unable to meet its financial obligations in the normal course of business. Further, a material failure to comply with the provisions of the MOU, Camco Agreement or New Consent Order could result in additional enforcement actions by the FDIC, the Ohio Division or the FRB.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments due to changes in interest rates, exchange rates and equity prices. The Corporation s market risk is generally composed of interest rate risk.

42

### **Table of Contents**

Asset/Liability Management: The Corporation s asset and liability committee ( ALCO ) monitors and considers methods of managing the rate sensitivity and re-pricing characteristics of the balance sheet components consistent with maintaining acceptable levels of changes in net portfolio value ( NPV ) and net interest income. The Corporation s asset and liability management program is designed to minimize the impact of sudden and sustained changes in interest rates on NPV and net interest income.

The Corporation s exposure to interest rate risk is reviewed on a quarterly basis by the ALCO and the Corporation s Board of Directors. Exposure to interest rate risk is measured with the use of interest rate sensitivity analysis to determine the Corporation s change in NPV in the event of hypothetical changes in interest rates, while interest rate sensitivity gap analysis is used to determine the re-pricing characteristics of the Corporation s assets and liabilities. If estimated changes to NPV and net interest income are not within the limits established by the Board, the Board may direct management to adjust its asset and liability mix to bring interest rate risk within Board-approved limits.

In order to reduce the exposure to interest rate fluctuations, the Corporation has developed strategies to manage its liquidity, shorten the effective maturity and increase the interest rate sensitivity of its asset base. Management has sought to decrease the average maturity of its assets by emphasizing the origination of adjustable-rate loans and loans with shorter balloon maturities which are retained by the Corporation for its portfolio. Additionally, all long-term fixed-rate mortgages are underwritten according to guidelines of the Freddie Mac and the Fannie Mae, which are then sold directly for cash in the secondary market. The Corporation carefully monitors the maturity and re-pricing of its interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities to minimize the effect of changing interest rates on its NPV. The Corporation s interest rate risk position is the result of the re-pricing characteristics of assets and liabilities.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Camco s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer evaluated the effectiveness of Camco s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined under Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of September 30, 2012. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that Camco s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2012. It should be noted that the design of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures is based in part upon certain reasonable assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no reasonable assurance that any design of disclosure controls and procedures will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote, but the Company s executive and financial officers have concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are, in fact, effective at a reasonable assurance level. During the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, there was no change in internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

43

#### PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>

In the ordinary course of their respective businesses or operations, Camco or its subsidiaries may be named as a plaintiff, a defendant, or a party to a legal proceeding or any of their respective properties may be subject to various pending and threatened legal proceedings and various actual and potential claims. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of such matters, Camco cannot state what the eventual outcome of any such matters will be; however, based on current knowledge and after consultation with legal counsel, management believes that these proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity of Camco.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There are no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in the Corporation s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 or in its Form S-1 that was filed with the SEC on July 17, 2012, as thereafter amended. The risk factors described in the Annual Report on Form 10-K and the Form S-1 are not the only risks facing the Corporation. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to the Corporation or that management currently deems to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect the Corporation s business, financial condition or operating results. Moreover, the Corporation undertakes no obligation and disclaims any intention to publish revised information or updates to forward looking statements contained in such risk factors or in any other statement made at any time by the Corporation or any of its directors, officers, employees or other representatives, unless and until any such revisions or updates are expressly required to be disclosed by securities laws or regulations.

## Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>

- (a) Not applicable
- (b) Not applicable
- (c) Not applicable

## Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>

Not applicable

## Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>

Not applicable

## Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable

## Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>

Exhibit 3(i)	Third Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Camco Financial Corporation, as amended	Incorporated by reference to Camco s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, Film no. 04668873 Exhibit 3(i)
Exhibit 3(ii)	2003 Amended and Restated By-Laws of Camco Financial Corporation	Incorporated by reference to Camco s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 Exhibit 3(ii)
Exhibit 31(i)	Section 302 certification by Chief Executive Officer	Filed herewith
Exhibit 31(ii)	Section 302 Certification by Chief Financial Officer	Filed herewith
Exhibit 32(i)	Section 1350 certification by Chief Executive Officer	Filed herewith
Exhibit 32(ii)	Section 1350 certification by Chief Financial Officer	Filed herewith
Exhibit 101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	
Exhibit 101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	
Exhibit 101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Link base Document	
Exhibit 101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Link base Document	
Exhibit 101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Link base Document	t

## **Table of Contents**

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CAMCO FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Date: November 13, 2012 By: /s/ James E. Huston

James E. Huston Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 13, 2012 By: /s/ John E. Kirksey

John E. Kirksey

Chief Financial Officer

46

Exhibit 31.1

#### **SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION**

- I, James E. Huston, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Form 10-Q of Camco Financial Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant s other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

## **Table of Contents**

b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 13, 2012 /s/ James E. Huston

James E. Huston, Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Exhibit 31.2

#### **SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION**

- I, John E. Kirksey, certify that:
  - 1. I have reviewed this Form 10-Q of Camco Financial Corporation;
  - 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
  - 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
  - 4. The registrant s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
    - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
    - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
    - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
    - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting; and
  - 5. The registrant s other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
    - All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting
      which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial

information; and

## **Table of Contents**

b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 13, 2012 /s/ John E. Kirksey John E. Kirksey

Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

Exhibit 32.1

## **CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO**

## 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,

## AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

## SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Camco Financial Corporation (the Company) on Form 10-Q for the period ending September 30, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the Report), I, James E. Huston, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: November 13, 2012 By: /s/ James E. Huston

James E. Huston, Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Exhibit 32.2

## **CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO**

## 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,

## AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

## SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Camco Financial Corporation (the Company) on Form 10-Q for the period ending September 30, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the Report), I, John E. Kirksey, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: November 13, 2012 By: /s/ John E. Kirksey

John E. Kirksey, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.