WILSON BANK HOLDING CO Form 10-Q November 07, 2012 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission File Number 0-20402

WILSON BANK HOLDING COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Tennessee (State or other jurisdiction of

62-1497076 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

623 West Main Street, Lebanon, TN (Address of principal executive offices)

37087 (Zip Code)

(615) 444-2265

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES " NO x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common stock outstanding: 7,387,740 shares at November 7, 2012

Part I: <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>

Item 1. F	inancial Statements.	3
The unau	dited consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiary are as follows:	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.	3
	Consolidated Statements of Earnings - For the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.	4
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings - For the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.	6
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	29
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	41
	Disclosures required by Item 3 are incorporated by reference to Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures.	41
Part II:	OTHER INFORMATION	43
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings.</u>	43
Item 1A.	Risk Factors.	43
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	43
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities.	43
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures.	43
Item 5.	Other Information.	43
Item 6.	Exhibits.	43
Signature	<u>- 8</u>	44
EX-31.1	SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION OF THE CEO	
EX-31.2	SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION OF THE CFO	
EX-32.1	SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION OF THE CEO	
EX-32.2	SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION OF THE CFO	
EX -101	INTERACTIVE DATA FILE	

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

WILSON BANK HOLDING COMPANY

Consolidated Balance Sheets

September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011

(Unaudited)

		December 31, 2011 ousands Except Amounts)
<u>Assets</u>		
Loans	\$ 1,160,908	\$ 1,123,258
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(24,933)	(24,525)
Net loans	1,135,975	1,098,733
Securities:		
Held to maturity, at cost (market value \$16,079 and \$15,266, respectively)	15,261	14,464
Available-for-sale, at market (amortized cost \$340,872 and \$309,329, respectively)	344,857	310,731
Total securities	360,118	325,195
Loans held for sale	6,278	14,775
Restricted equity securities	3,012	3,012
Federal funds sold	19,105	13,215
Total earning assets	1,524,488	1,454,930
Cash and due from banks	52,553	40,959
Bank premises and equipment, net Accrued interest receivable	35,403	35,437
Deferred income tax asset	5,812	5,930
Other real estate	7,578 18,755	8,488 19,117
Other assets	7,265	7,592
Goodwill	4,805	4,805
Other intangible assets, net	4,003	112
Other manigable assets, net		112
Total assets	\$ 1,656,659	\$ 1,577,370
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Deposits	\$ 1,470,876	\$ 1,406,042
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	9,790	7,419
Accrued interest and other liabilities	8,634	6,561
Total liabilities	1,489,300	1,420,022
Stockholders equity:		

Common stock, \$2.00 par value; authorized 15,000,000 shares, issued 7,387,740 and 7,304,186 shares,		
respectively	14,775	14,608
Additional paid-in capital	49,948	46,734
Retained earnings	100,177	95,141
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, net of income taxes of \$1,526 and \$537, respectively	2,459	865
Total stockholders equity	167,359	157,348
• •		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,656,659	\$ 1,577,370
1 5	. , ,	. , ,

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

WILSON BANK HOLDING COMPANY

Consolidated Statements of Earnings

Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

(Unaudited)

	Septer	onths Ended mber 30,	Nine Mont	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(Do	llars In Thousands E	xcept Per Share Amo	ınts)
Interest income:				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 16,490	\$ 16,701	\$ 49,242	\$ 49,525
Interest and dividends on securities:				
Taxable securities	1,303	1,372	4,089	4,218
Exempt from Federal income taxes	127	104	329	318
Interest on loans held for sale	51	58	242	163
Interest on Federal funds sold	37	27	100	69
Interest and dividends on restricted securities	19	33	96	98
Total interest income	18,027	18,295	54,098	54,391
Interest expense:				
Interest on negotiable order of withdrawal accounts	470	545	1,484	1,652
Interest on money market and savings accounts	662	768	2,118	2,194
Interest on certificates of deposit	2,254	3,090	7,278	9,683
Interest on securities sold under repurchase agreements	14	12	42	39
Interest on Federal funds purchased	(1)		1	2
Total interest expense	3,399	4,415	10,923	13,570
Net interest income before provision for loan losses	14,628	13,880	43,175	40,821
Provision for loan losses	2,407	2,462	6,873	7,049
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	12,221	11,418	36,302	33,772
Non-interest income:				
Service charges on deposit accounts	1,121	1,402	3,487	4,020
Other fees and commissions	1,806	1,755	5,609	5,206
Gain on sale of loans	924	555	2,358	1,273
Gain on sale of other assets	,_,		6	-,
Gain on sale of securities		192	259	192
Other income			3	
Total non-interest income	3,851	3,904	11,722	10,691
Non-interest expense:				
Salaries and employee benefits	5,958	5,617	17,802	16,598
Occupancy expenses, net	700	668	1,969	1,859
Furniture and equipment expense	296	292	827	820
Data processing expense	349	370	1,063	1,053
Directors fees	173	173	546	546
Advertising	230	239	702	713

Edgar Filing: WILSON BANK HOLDING CO - Form 10-Q

FDIC insurance expense		430		328		1,359		1,424
Other operating expenses		2,123		2,117		6,606		6,613
Loss on sale of other real estate		170		1,141		1,754		2,141
Loss on sale of other assets				12				18
Total non-interest expense		10,429		10,957		32,628		31,785
Earnings before income taxes		5,643		4,365		15,396		12,678
Income taxes		2,184		1,702		5,963		4,924
Net earnings	\$	3,459	\$	2,663	\$	9,433	\$	7,754
Weighted average number of shares outstanding-basic	7,3	74,268	7,2	93,292	7,	351,127	7,	273,447
Weighted average number of shares outstanding-diluted	7,3	79,587	7,3	01,591	7,356,773		7,	280,876
Basic earnings per common share	\$.47	\$.37	\$	1.28	\$	1.07
Diluted earnings per common share	\$.47	\$.36	\$	1.28	\$	1.06
Dividends per share	\$.30	\$.30	\$.60	\$.60

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

WILSON BANK HOLDING COMPANY

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings

Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

(Unaudited)

	Septem	nths Ended aber 30,	Nine Mon Septem	
	2012	2011 (In Th	2012 ousands)	2011
Net earnings	\$ 3,459	\$ 2,663	\$ 9,433	\$ 7,754
Other comprehensive earnings, net of tax:				
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities arising during period, net of income taxes of \$656, \$905, \$1,088, and \$2,771, respectively	1,058	1,457	1,754	4,466
Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net earnings, net of taxes of \$0, \$74, \$99,	2,000	,	,	,
and \$74, respectively		(118)	(160)	(118)
Other comprehensive earnings	1,058	1,339	1,594	4,348
Comprehensive earnings	\$ 4,517	\$ 4,002	\$ 11,027	\$ 12,102

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

WILSON BANK HOLDING COMPANY

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents

(Unaudited)

	20)12 (In Thou		2011
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Interest received	\$ 5	6,718	\$	55,062
Fees and commissions received		9,096		9,226
Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale	9	6,341		67,974
Origination of loans held for sale	(8	5,486)	((69,756)
Interest paid	(1	1,752)	((14,554)
Cash paid to suppliers and employees	(2	6,081)	(24,207)
Income taxes paid	(6,358)		(5,211)
Other income		3		
Net cash provided by operating activities	3	2,481		18,534
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Proceeds from maturities, calls, and principal payments of held-to-maturity securities		1,195		2,082
Proceeds from maturities, calls, and principal payments of available-for-sale securities		6,771		58,133
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities		7,353		26,452
Purchase of held-to-maturity securities		2,073)		(3,348)
Purchase of available-for-sale securities		7,829)		81,043)
Loans made to customers, net of repayments	(5	1,557)		(41,831)
Purchase of premises and equipment	(1,060)		(2,358)
Proceeds from sale of other real estate		5,993		7,776
Proceeds from sale of other assets		44		65
Net cash used in investing activities	(8	1,163)	((34,072)
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Net increase in non-interest bearing, savings and NOW deposit accounts	8	5,763		70,130
Net decrease in time deposits	(2	0,929)	(16,358)
Net increase in securities sold under repurchase agreements		2,371		336
Dividends paid	(4,396)		(4,348)
Proceeds from sale of common stock pursuant to dividend reinvestment		3,200		3,218
Proceeds from exercise of stock options		157		77
Repurchase of common stock				(249)
Net cash provided by financing activities	6	6,166		52,806
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		7,484		37,268
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	5	4,174		38,282
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 7	1,658	\$	75,550

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

6

WILSON BANK HOLDING COMPANY

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, Continued

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents

(Unaudited)

	2012 (In Tho	2011 usands)
Reconciliation of net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Net earnings	\$ 9,433	\$ 7,754
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation, amortization, and accretion	3,708	2,793
Provision for loan losses	6,873	7,049
Loss on sale of other real estate	1,754	2,141
Loss (gain) on sale of other assets	(6)	18
Gain on sale of securities	(259)	(192)
Stock option compensation	23	18
Decrease in taxes payable	(146)	(1,309)
Decrease (increase) in loans held for sale	8,497	(3,055)
Decrease (increase) in deferred tax assets	(249)	1,022
Decrease (increase) in other assets, net	346	(1,148)
Decrease (increase) in interest receivable	118	(752)
Increase in other liabilities	3,218	5,179
Decrease in interest payable	(829)	(984)
Total adjustments	\$ 23,048	\$ 10,780
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 32,481	\$ 18,534
Supplemental schedule of non-cash activities:		
Unrealized gain in values of securities available-for-sale, net of taxes of \$989 and \$2,698 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively	\$ 1,594	\$ 4,348
Non-cash transfers from loans to other real estate	\$ 7,603	\$ 15,727
Non-cash transfers from other real estate to loans	\$ 218	\$ 7,946
Non-cash transfers from loans to other assets	\$ 57	\$ 71

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

WILSON BANK HOLDING COMPANY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Business Wilson Bank Holding Company (the Company) is a bank holding company whose primary business is conducted by its wholly-owned subsidiary, Wilson Bank & Trust (the Bank). The Bank is a commercial bank headquartered in Lebanon, Tennessee. The Bank provides a full range of banking services in its primary market areas of Wilson, Davidson, Rutherford, Trousdale, Sumner, Dekalb, and Smith Counties, Tennessee.

Basis of Presentation The accompanying unaudited, consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with instructions to Form 10-Q and therefore do not include all information and footnotes necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. All adjustments consisting of normally recurring accruals that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations for the periods covered by the report have been included. The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing in the 2011 Annual Report previously filed on Form 10-K.

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary. Significant intercompany transactions and accounts are eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the balance sheet date and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term include the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of deferred tax assets, determination of any impairment of intangibles, other-than-temporary impairment of securities, the valuation of other real estate, and the fair value of financial instruments. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with Wilson Bank Holding Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. There have been no significant changes to Wilson Bank Holding Company s significant accounting policies as disclosed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Loans are reported at their outstanding principal balances less unearned income, the allowance for loan losses and any deferred fees or costs on originated loans. Interest income on loans is accrued based on the principal balance outstanding. Loan origination fees, net of certain loan origination costs, are deferred and recognized as an adjustment to the related loan yield using a method which approximates the interest method.

Loans are charged off when management believes that the full collectability of the loan is unlikely. As such, a loan may be partially charged-off after a confirming event has occurred which serves to validate that full repayment pursuant to the terms of the loan is unlikely.

Loans are placed on nonaccrual status when there is a significant deterioration in the financial condition of the borrower, which often is determined when the principal or interest is more than 90 days past due, unless the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection. Generally, all interest accrued but not collected for loans that are placed on nonaccrual status, is reversed against current income. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received while the loan is classified as nonaccrual, but interest income recognition is reviewed on a case-by-case

basis. A nonaccrual loan is returned to accruing status once the loan has been brought current and collection is reasonably assured or the loan has been well-secured through other techniques. Past due status is determined based on the contractual due date per the underlying loan agreement.

All loans that are placed on nonaccrual are further analyzed to determine if they should be classified as impaired loans. At December 31, 2011 and at September 30, 2012, there were no loans classified as nonaccrual that were not also deemed to be impaired except for those loans not individually evaluated for impairment as described below. A loan is considered to be impaired when it is probable the Company will be unable to collect all principal and interest payments due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. This determination is made using a variety of techniques, which include a review of the borrower s financial condition, debt-service coverage ratios, global cash flow analysis, guarantor support, other loan file information, meetings with borrowers, inspection or reappraisal of collateral and/or consultation with legal counsel as well as results of reviews of other similar industry credits (e.g. builder loans, development loans, church loans, etc). Generally, loans with an identified weakness and principal balance of \$100,000 or more are subject to individual identification for impairment. Individually identified impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected payments using the loan s original effective rate as the discount rate, the loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the recorded investment in the impaired loan exceeds the measure of fair value, a specific valuation allowance is established as a component of the allowance for loan losses or, in the case of collateral dependent loans, the excess is charged off. Changes to the valuation allowance are recorded as a component of the provision for loan losses. Any subsequent adjustments to present value calculations for impaired loan valuations as a result of the passage of time, such as changes in the anticipated payback period for repayment, are recorded as a component of the provision for loan losses. For loans less than \$100,000, the Company assigns a valuation allowance to these loans utilizing an allocation rate equal to the allocation rate calculated for loans of a similar type greater than \$100,000.

Allowance for Loan Losses The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level that management believes to be adequate to absorb probable losses in the loan portfolio. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when they are known. Subsequent recoveries are credited to the allowance. Management s determination of the adequacy of the allowance is based on an evaluation of the portfolio, current economic conditions, volume, growth, composition of the loan portfolio, homogeneous pools of loans, risk ratings of specific loans, historical loan loss factors, loss experience of various loan segments, identified impaired loans and other factors related to the portfolio. This evaluation is performed quarterly and is inherently subjective, as it requires material estimates that are susceptible to significant change including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on any impaired loans.

In assessing the adequacy of the allowance, we also consider the results of our ongoing independent loan review process. We undertake this process both to ascertain whether there are loans in the portfolio whose credit quality has weakened over time and to assist in our overall evaluation of the risk characteristics of the entire loan portfolio. Our loan review process includes the judgment of management, independent loan reviewers, and reviews that may have been conducted by third-party reviewers. We incorporate relevant loan review results in the loan impairment determination. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, will periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses, and may require the Company to record adjustments to the allowance based on their judgment about information available to them at the time of their examinations.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, *Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards* (Topic 820)-Fair Value Measurement (ASU 2011-04), to provide a consistent definition of fair value and ensure that the fair value measurement and disclosure requirements are similar between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU 2011-04 changes certain fair value measurement principles and enhances the disclosure requirements particularly for level 3 fair value

9

Table of Contents

measurements. ASU 2011-04 was effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and will be applied prospectively. This adoption did not have an impact on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income*, new disclosure guidance related to the presentation of the Statement of Comprehensive Income. This guidance eliminates the then current option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in equity and required presentation of reclassification adjustments on the face of the income statement. This adoption did not have any impact on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-8, *Intangibles-Goodwill and Other*, regarding testing goodwill for impairment. The new guidance provides an entity the option to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If an entity determines that this is the case, it is required to perform the currently prescribed two-step goodwill impairment test to identify potential goodwill impairment and measure the amount of goodwill impairment loss to be recognized for that reporting unit (if any). Based on the qualitative assessment, if an entity determines that the fair value of a reporting unit is more than its carrying amount, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. The new guidance was effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2012. This adoption did not have an impact on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

Other than those pronouncements discussed above, there were no other recently issued accounting pronouncements that are expected to impact the Company.

Note 2. Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

For financial reporting purposes, the Company classifies its loan portfolio based on the underlying collateral utilized to secure each loan. This classification is consistent with those utilized in the Quarterly Report of Condition and Income filed by the Bank with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

10

The following schedule details the loans of the Company at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	(In Thousands)			
	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011		
Mortgage Loans on real estate	2012	2011		
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 335,075	\$ 344,029		
Multifamily	13,003	9,791		
Commercial	468,439	422,531		
Construction and land development	189,274	166,460		
Farmland	26,754	35,691		
Second mortgages	12,905	14,711		
Equity lines of credit	38,092	39,307		
Total mortgage loans on real estate	1,083,542	1,032,520		
Commercial loans	32,399	38,736		
Agricultural loans	2,421	2,556		
Consumer installment loans				
Personal	39,170	41,521		
Credit cards	2,923	3,168		
Total consumer installment loans	42,093	44,689		
Total consumer instanment loans	42,073	44,007		
Other loans	3,076	6,788		
	1,163,531	1,125,289		
Net deferred loan fees	(2,623)	(2,031)		
Total loans	1,160,908	1,123,258		
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(24,933)	(24,525)		
Net Loans	\$ 1,135,975	\$ 1,098,733		

The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is assessed at the end of each calendar quarter. The level of the allowance is based upon evaluation of the loan portfolio, past loan loss experience, current asset quality trends, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrowers ability to repay (including the timing of future payment), the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, economic conditions, historical loss experience, industry and peer bank loan quality indications and other pertinent factors, including regulatory recommendations.

Transactions in the allowance for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and year ended December 31, 2011 are summarized as follows:

	Residential		Commercial Real		(In	n Thousands) Second l	Equity Lines	3	I	nstallment and	
	1-4 Family	Multifamily		Construction	Farmland	Mortgages	of Credit	CommercialA	gricultural	Other	Total
September 30, 2012											
Allowance for loan losses:											
Beginning balance	\$ 5,414	54	8,242	6,223	1,829	326	653	1,309	19	456	24,525
Provision Charge-offs	1,098 (1,023	18	4,313 (2,736)	1,681	232 (462)	18 (68)	(24) (41)	(620) (368)	5	152 (319)	6,873 (6,993)
Recoveries	45	,	99	169	7	1	(11)	61		146	528
Ending balance	\$ 5,534	72	9,918	6,097	1,606	277	588	382	24	435	24,933
Ending balance individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,425		2,715	2,031	1,089	47	44				7,351
Ending balance collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 4,109	72	7,203	4,066	517	230	544	382	24	435	17,582
Ending balance loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$										
Loans:											
Ending balance	\$ 335,075	13,003	468,439	189,274	26,754	12,905	38,092	32,399	2,421	45,169	1,163,531
Ending balance individually evaluated for											
impairment	\$ 10,138		19,615	13,806	2,838	760	273				47,430
Ending balance collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 324,937	13,003	448,824	175,468	23,916	12,145	37,819	32,399	2,421	45,169	1,116,101
Ending balance loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$										

12

Table of Contents

	Residential		Commercial Real		(In	Thousands) Second	Equity Lines	S	:	Installment and	
	1-4 Family	Multifamily		Construction	Farmland	Mortgages	of Credit	CommercialA	gricultural	Other	Total
December 31, 2011											
Allowance for loan losses:											
Beginning balance	\$ 5,140	46	7,285	5,558	988	276	767	1,163	67	887	22,177
Provision	2,311	8	2,228	2,279	1,137	311	18	640	(47)	(207)	8,678
Charge-offs	(2,108))	(1,283)	(1,681)	(296)	(268)	(148)	(516)	(1)	(461)	(6,762)
Recoveries	71		12	67		7	16	22		237	432
Ending balance	\$ 5,414	54	8,242	6,223	1,829	326	653	1,309	19	456	24,525
Ending balance individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,053		3,744	2,228	1,193	41	15	754			9,028
Ending balance collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 4,361	54	4,498	3,995	636	285	638	555	19	456	15,497
Ending balance loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$				-6						
Loans:											
Ending balance	\$ 344,029	9,791	422,531	166,460	35.6916	14,711	39,307	38,736	2,556	51,477	1,125,289
Ending balance individually evaluated for											
impairment	\$ 11,573	412	23,682	16,633	.4,2616	922	170	849			58,502
Ending balance collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 332,456	9,379	398,849	149,827	,31.4306	13,789	39,137	37.887	2,556	51,477	1,066,787
Ending balance loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$										

At September 30, 2012, the Company had certain impaired loans of \$22,043,000 which were on non-accruing interest status. At December 31, 2011, the Company had certain impaired loans of \$24,965,000 which were on non-accruing interest status. In each case, at the date such loans were placed on nonaccrual status, the Company reversed all previously accrued interest income against current year earnings. The following table presents the Company s impaired loans at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	In Thousands Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
September 30, 2012					
With no related allowance recorded:					
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 3,412	3,412		5,323	142
Multifamily				274	
Commercial real estate	6,039	6,879		5,905	81
Construction	4,101	4,101		9,010	47
Farmland				49	
Second mortgages	606	606		688	
Equity lines of credit					
Commercial					
Agricultural					
	\$ 14,158	14,998		21,249	270
With allowance recorded:					
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 6,726	6,726	1,425	5,657	240
Multifamily					
Commercial real estate	13,576	14,718	2,715	16,403	374
Construction	9,705	10,705	2,031	7,410	55
Farmland	2,838	2,838	1,089	3,738	41
Second mortgages	154	154	47	209	7
Equity lines of credit	273	273	44	205	11
Commercial				571	
Agricultural					
	\$ 33,272	35,414	7,351	34,194	728
	+ 30,2,2	,	,,,,,,	- 1,17	. 23
Total					
Residential 1-4 family	10,138	10,138	1,425	10,980	382
Multifamily	20,220	,	-, :	274	5.52
Commercial real estate	19,615	21,597	2,715	22,309	455
Construction	13,806	14,806	2,031	16,420	102
Farmland	2,838	2,838	1,089	3,787	41
Second mortgages	760	760	47	896	7
Equity lines of credit	273	273	44	205	11
Commercial				571	
Agricultural					
	\$ 47,430	50,412	7,351	55,443	998

14

		In Thousands				
		Unpaid		Average	Interest	
	Recorded	Principal	Related	Recorded	Income	
December 21 2011	Investment	Balance	Allowance	Investment	Recognized	
December 31, 2011						
With no related allowance recorded:						
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 6,263	6,439		4,670	271	
Multifamily	412	412		414	23	
Commercial real estate	6,711	6,711		4,461	268	
Construction	8,418	8,918		7,327	186	
Farmland				1,366		
Second mortgages	606	606		606		
Equity lines of credit				93		
Commercial		176		51		
Agricultural						
	\$ 22,410	23,262		18,988	748	
With allowance recorded:						
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 5,310	5,310	1,053	7,361	262	
Multifamily						
Commercial real estate	16,971	16,971	3,744	15,826	673	
Construction	8,215	8,215	2.228	12,250	137	
Farmland	4,261	4,261	1,193	3,181	129	
Second mortgages	316	316	41	199	10	
Equity lines of credit	170	170	15	43	8	
Commercial	849	849	754	928	32	
Agricultural						
	\$ 36,092	36,092	9,028	39,788	1,251	
Total						
Residential 1-4 family	11,573	11,749	1,053	12,031	533	
Multifamily	412	412	,	414	23	
Commercial real estate	23,682	23,682	3,744	20,287	941	
Construction	16,633	17,133	2,228	19,577	323	
Farmland	4,261	4,261	1,193	4,547	129	
Second mortgages	922	922	41	805	10	
Equity lines of credit	170	170	15	136	8	
Commercial	849	1,025	754	979	32	
Agricultural						
	\$ 58,502	59,354	9,028	58,776	1,999	
		,	*	* * *	*	

Impaired loans also include loans that the Company may elect to formally restructure due to the weakening credit status of a borrower such that the restructuring may facilitate a repayment plan that minimizes the potential losses that the Company may have to otherwise incur. These loans are classified as impaired loans and, if on non-accruing status as of the date of restructuring, the loans are included in the nonperforming loan balances noted above. Not included in nonperforming loans are loans that have been restructured that were performing as of the restructure date.

The following table outlines the amount of each troubled debt restructuring categorized by loan classification for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and the year ended December 31, 2011:

		September 30), 2012		December 31, 2011				
			Post					Post	
			Modification Outstanding					lification	
		Pre		Pre		standing			
		Modification Recorded				dification		ecorded	
		Outstanding	Investment,			standing		estment,	
	Number of	Recorded Net of Related Number o				ecorded	Net of Related Allowance		
D 11 11 10 11	Contracts	Investment	Allowance	Contracts		estment			
Residential 1-4 family		\$	\$	1	\$	3,938	\$	3,088	
Multifamily									
Commercial real estate	1	425	418						
Construction	4	6,543	4,734						
Farmland									
Second mortgages									
Equity lines of credit									
Commercial	1	245	85	1		245		95	
Agricultural, installment and other	1	3	2						
Total	7	\$ 7,216	\$ 5,239	2	\$	4,183	\$	3,183	

Table of Contents

Potential problem loans, which include nonperforming loans, amounted to approximately \$56.4 million at September 30, 2012 compared to \$67.3 million at December 31, 2011. Potential problem loans represent those loans with a well defined weakness and where information about possible credit problems of borrowers has caused management to have serious doubts about the borrower s ability to comply with present repayment terms. This definition is believed to be substantially consistent with the standards established by the FDIC, the Company s primary federal regulator, for loans classified as special mention, substandard, or doubtful, excluding the impact of nonperforming loans.

The following table presents our loan balances by primary loan classification and the amount classified within each risk rating category. Pass rated loans include all credits other than those included in special mention, substandard and doubtful which are defined as follows:

Special mention loans have potential weaknesses that deserve management s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or in the Company s credit position at some future date

Substandard loans are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Assets so classified must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize liquidation of the debt. Substandard loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful loans have all the characteristics of substandard loans with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. The Company considers all doubtful loans to be impaired and places the loan on nonaccrual status.

17

					(Ir	n Thousands)					
							Equity				
	Residential		Commercial Real			Second	Lines]	Installment and	
	1-4 Family	Multifamily	Estate	Construction	Farmland	Mortgages	of Credit	Commercial	Agricultural	Other	Total
September 30, 2012											
Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade											
Pass	\$ 318,812	12,950	448,235	175,042	23,782	11,485	37,496	32,204	2,396	44,711	1,107,113
Special Mention	10,209	53	6,188	235	38	508	463	34	5	129	17,862
Substandard	6,054		14,016	13,997	2,934	912	133	161	20	329	38,556
Doubtful											
Total	\$ 335,075	13,003	468,439	189,274	26,754	12,905	38,092	32,399	2,421	45,169	1,163,531
December 31, 2011											
Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade											
Pass	\$ 326,406	9,245	398,459	149,451	31,251	13,158	38,803	37,691	2,534	51,010	1,058,008
Special Mention	9,537	53	7,963	459	76	517	316	37,031	2,00	157	19,115
Substandard	8,086	493	16,109	16,550	4,364	1,036	188	1,008	22	310	48,166
Doubtful	2,200	.,,	10,107	10,000	.,	1,000	130	1,000		2.10	.5,150
_ 5300001											
Total	\$ 344,029	9,791	422,531	166,460	35,691	14,711	39,307	38,736	2,556	51,477	1,125,289

Note 3. Debt and Equity Securities

Debt and equity securities have been classified in the consolidated balance sheet according to management s intent. Debt and equity securities at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are summarized as follows:

	September 30, 2012							
		Securities Avai	lable-For-Sale					
		In Tho	usands					
		Gross	Estimated					
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Market				
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value				
U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs)*	\$ 138,935	\$ 482	\$ 137	\$ 139,280				
Mortgage-backed:								
GSE residential	194,164	3,521	31	197,654				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	7,773	155	5	7,923				
	\$ 340,872	\$ 4,158	\$ 173	\$ 344,857				

	September 30, 2012 Securities Held-To-Maturity In Thousands							
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealize Gains	ed	Gross Unrealized Losses		Estimated Market Value		
Mortgage-backed:	Cost	Gains		Los	303	varue		
GSE residential	\$ 3,168	\$ 14	7	\$		\$ 3,315		
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	12,093	67	6		5	12,764		
	\$ 15,261	\$ 82	3	\$	5	\$ 16,079		

^{*} Such as Federal National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Federal Home Loan Banks, Federal Farm Credit Banks, and Government National Mortgage Association.

	December 31, 2011							
		Securities Ava	ilable-For-Sale					
	In Thousands							
		Gross	Gross	Estimated				
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Market				
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value				
U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs)*	114,819	268	161	114,926				
Mortgage-backed:								
GSE residential	192,989	1,379	201	194,167				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	1,521	117		1,638				
-								
	\$ 309,329	\$ 1,764	\$ 362	\$ 310,731				

Table of Contents 24

19

December 31, 2011 Securities Held-To-Maturity In Thousands Gross Estimated Gross Market Amortized Unrealized Unrealized Cost Gains Losses Value Mortgage-backed: GSE residential \$ 2,425 103 \$ 2,528 Obligations of states and political subdivisions 12,039 699 12,738 \$ 14,464 \$ 802 \$ \$ 15,266

The amortized cost and estimated market value of debt securities at September 30, 2012, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Held-to-	Maturity	Availabl	e-for-sale		
		In Th	ousands			
		Estimated				
	Amortized	Amortized	Market			
	Cost	Value	Cost	Value		
Due in one year or less	\$ 1,882	\$ 1,911	\$	\$		
Due after one year through five years	5,522	5,856	35,248	35,352		
Due after five years through ten years	3,035	3,217	208,799	211,106		
Due after ten years	4,822	5,095	96,825	98,399		
	\$ 15,261	\$ 16,079	\$ 340,872	\$ 344,857		

^{*} Such as Federal National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Federal Home Loan Banks, Federal Farm Credit Banks, and Government National Mortgage Association.

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of the Company s investments with unrealized losses that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

	Less	than 1	2 Mont		rands, Except Number of Securities 12 Months or More Per Number Of			Total			
September 30, 2012	Fair Value		ealized osses			Unrealized Losses			Fair Value		realized osses
Held to Maturity Securities:											
Mortgage-backed:											
GSE residential	\$	\$			\$	\$		\$		\$	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	678		5	2					678		5
	\$ 678	\$	5	2	\$			\$	678	\$	5
Available-for-Sale Securities:											
US Government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs) Mortgage-backed:	\$ 47,862	\$	137	17	\$	\$		\$	47,862	\$	137
GSE residential	8,221		31	4					8,221		31
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	511		5	1					511		5
	\$ 56,594	\$	173	22	\$	\$		\$	56,594	\$	173
December 31, 2011	Less Fair Value	Unre	2 Mont		1	ept Number of 2 Months or Unrealized Losses	More Number of		To: Fair Value	Uni	realized
Held to Maturity Securities:	, arac		,,,,,	1110111111111	· urue	200000	meradea		, arac	_	0000
Mortgage-backed:											
GSE residential	\$	\$			\$	\$		\$		\$	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions											
	\$	\$			\$	\$		\$		\$	
Available-for-Sale Securities:											
U.S. Government - Sponsored enterprises (GSEs)	\$ 48,810	\$	161	14	\$	\$		\$	48,810	\$	161
Mortgage-backed:											
GSE residential	58,130		201	12					58,130		201
Obligations of states and political subdivisions											

Because the Company does not intend to sell these securities and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be at maturity, the Company does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2012.

The carrying values of the Company s investment securities could decline in the future if the financial condition of issuers deteriorate and management determines it is probable that the Company will not recover the entire amortized cost bases of the securities. As a result, there is a risk that other-than-temporary impairment charges may occur in the future given the current economic environment.

Note 4. Earnings Per Share

The computation of basic earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted earnings per share for the Company begins with the basic earnings per share plus the effect of common shares contingently issuable from stock options.

The following is a summary of components comprising basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 2011 (Dollars in Thousands Except Per Share Amounts)			2011 ads		2012 (Dollars in	nber 30, Thousar	er 30, 2011	
Basic EPS Computation:									
Numerator Earnings available to common Stockholders	\$	3,459	\$	2,663	\$	9,433	\$	7,754	
Denominator Weighted average number of common shares									
outstanding	7.	374,268	7.3	293,292	7.	351,127	7.	273,447	
	. ,		. , ,		,	,	.,	,	
Basic earnings per common share	\$.47	\$.37	\$	1.28	\$	1.07	
Diluted EPS Computation:									
Numerator Earnings available to common Stockholders	\$	3,459	\$	2,663	\$	9,433	\$	7,754	
Denominator Weighted average number of common shares									
outstanding	7	374,268	7	293,292	7	351,127	7	273,447	
Dilutive effect of stock options	7,	5,319	,,,	8,299	,	5,646	7,	7,429	
	7	379,587	7	301,591	7	356,773	7	280,876	
	7,	317,301	7,.	501,571	7,	550,115	7,	200,070	
Diluted earnings per common share	\$.47	\$.36	\$	1.28	\$	1.06	

Note 5. Income Taxes

Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 740, *Income Taxes*, defines the threshold for recognizing the benefits of tax return positions in the financial statements as more-likely-than-not to be sustained by the taxing authority. This section also provides guidance on the derecognition, measurement and classification of income tax uncertainties, along with any related interest and penalties, and includes guidance concerning accounting for income tax uncertainties in interim periods. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits related to Federal or State income tax matters and does not anticipate any material increase or decrease in unrecognized tax benefits relative to any tax positions taken prior to September 30, 2012.

As of September 30, 2012, the Company has accrued no interest and no penalties related to uncertain tax positions. The Company s policy is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

The Company and its subsidiaries file consolidated U.S. Federal and state of Tennessee income tax returns. The Company is currently open to audit under the statute of limitations by the state of Tennessee for the years ended December 31, 2008 through 2011 and the IRS for the years ended December 31, 2007 through 2011.

Note 6. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

In the normal course of business, the Company has entered into off-balance sheet financial instruments which include commitments to extend credit (i.e., including unfunded lines of credit) and standby letters of credit. Commitments to extend credit are usually the result of lines of credit granted to existing borrowers under agreements that the total outstanding indebtedness will not exceed a specific amount during the term of the indebtedness. Typical borrowers are commercial concerns that use lines of credit to supplement their treasury management functions, thus their total outstanding indebtedness may fluctuate during any time period based on the seasonality of their business and the resultant timing of their cash flows. Other typical lines of credit are related to home equity loans granted to consumers. Commitments to extend credit generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee.

Standby letters of credit are generally issued on behalf of an applicant (our customer) to a specifically named beneficiary and are the result of a particular business arrangement that exists between the applicant and the beneficiary. Standby letters of credit have fixed expiration dates and are usually for terms of two years or less unless terminated beforehand due to criteria specified in the standby letter of credit. A typical arrangement involves the applicant routinely being indebted to the beneficiary for such items as inventory purchases, insurance, utilities, lease guarantees or other third party commercial transactions. The standby letter of credit would permit the beneficiary to obtain payment from the Company under certain prescribed circumstances. Subsequently, the Company would then seek reimbursement from the applicant pursuant to the terms of the standby letter of credit.

The Company follows the same credit policies and underwriting practices when making these commitments as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. Each customer s creditworthiness is evaluated on a case-by-case basis, and the amount of collateral obtained, if any, is based on management s credit evaluation of the customer. Collateral held varies but may include cash, real estate and improvements, marketable securities, accounts receivable, inventory, equipment, and personal property.

The contractual amounts of these commitments are not reflected in the consolidated financial statements and would only be reflected if drawn upon. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the contractual amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. However, should the commitments be drawn upon and should our customers default on their resulting obligation to us, the Company s maximum exposure to credit loss, without consideration of collateral, is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments.

A summary of the Company s total contractual amount for all off-balance sheet commitments at September 30, 2012 is as follows:

Commitments to extend credit \$199,289,000 Standby letters of credit \$22,603,000

The Company originates residential mortgage loans, sells them to third-party purchasers, and does not retain the servicing rights. These loans are originated internally and are primarily to borrowers in the Company s geographic market footprint. These sales are typically on a best efforts basis to investors that follow conventional government sponsored entities (GSE) and the Department of Housing and Urban Development/U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (HUD/VA) guidelines. Generally, loans held for sale are underwritten by the Company, including HUD/VA loans.

23

Table of Contents

Each purchaser has specific guidelines and criteria for sellers of loans, and the risk of credit loss with regard to the principal amount of the loans sold is generally transferred to the purchasers upon sale. While the loans are sold without recourse, the purchase agreements require the Company to make certain representations and warranties regarding the existence and sufficiency of file documentation and the absence of fraud by borrowers or other third parties such as appraisers in connection with obtaining the loan. If it is determined that the loans sold were in breach of these representations or warranties, the Company has obligations to either repurchase the loan for the unpaid principal balance and related investor fees or make the purchaser whole for the economic benefits of the loan.

To date, repurchase activity pursuant to the terms of these representations and warranties has been insignificant and has resulted in insignificant losses to the Company.

Based on information currently available, management believes that it does not have significant exposure to contingent losses that may arise relating to the representations and warranties that it has made in connection with its mortgage loan sales.

Various legal claims also arise from time to time in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the resolution of these claims outstanding at September 30, 2012 will not have a material impact on the Company s financial statements.

Note 7. Fair Value Measurements

FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. FASB ASC 820 applies only to fair-value measurements that are already required or permitted by other accounting standards and is expected to increase the consistency of those measurements. The definition of fair value focuses on the exit price, i.e., the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, not the entry price, i.e., the price that would be paid to acquire the asset or received to assume the liability at the measurement date. The statement emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, the fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

Valuation Hierarchy

FASB ASC 820 establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

24

A financial instrument s categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such assets and liabilities pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Assets

Securities available for sale Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities include highly liquid government securities and certain other products. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flows and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. In certain cases where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, securities are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Impaired loans A loan is considered to be impaired when it is probable the Company will be unable to collect all principal and interest payments due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected payments using the loan's original effective rate as the discount rate, the loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the recorded investment in the impaired loan exceeds the measure of fair value, a valuation allowance may be established as a component of the allowance for loan losses or the expense is recognized as a charge-off. Impaired loans are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy due to the unobservable inputs used in determining their fair value, such as collateral values and the borrowers underlying financial condition.

Other real estate Other real estate, consisting of properties obtained through foreclosure or in satisfaction of loans, is initially recorded at fair value, determined on the basis of current appraisals, comparable sales, and other estimates of value obtained principally from independent sources, adjusted for estimated selling costs. At the time of foreclosure, any excess of the loan balance over the fair value of the real estate held as collateral is treated as a charge against the allowance for loan losses. Gains or losses on sale and any subsequent adjustments to the fair value are recorded as a component of foreclosed real estate expense. Other real estate is included in Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy due to the lack of observable market inputs into the determination of fair value. Appraisal values are property specific and sensitive to the changes in the overall economic environment.

Other assets Included in other assets are certain assets carried at fair value, including the cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance policies. The carrying amount of the cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance is based on information received from the insurance carriers indicating the financial performance of the policies and the amount the Company would receive should the policies be surrendered. The Company reflects these assets within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy due to unobservable inputs included in the valuation of these items.

The following tables present the financial instruments carried at fair value as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, by caption on the consolidated balance sheets and by FASB ASC 820 valuation hierarchy (as described above).

25

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2012

(in Thousands)	Carrying Value at September 30, 2012	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Available-for-sale securities	\$ 344,857	\$	\$ 344,857	\$
Cash surrender value of life insurance	2,290			2,290

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2011

	Carrying	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for	Significant Other				
	Value at	Identical	Observable	Significant			
	December 31,	December 31, Assets		December 31, Assets Inputs (Level			
(in Thousands)	2011	(Level 1)	2)	Inputs (Level 3)			
Assets:							
Available-for-sale securities	\$ 310,731	\$	\$ 310,731	\$			
Cash surrender value of life insurance	2,001			2,001			

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below:

Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2012

(in Thousands) Assets:	•	Carrying Value at tember 30, 2012	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Uno	gnificant observable ts (Level 3)
Impaired loans	\$	40,079	\$	\$	\$	40,079
Other real estate		18,755				18,755
Repossessed assets		27				27

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2011

(in Thousands) Assets:	Carrying Value at December 31, 2011	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Impaired loans	\$ 49,474	\$	\$	\$ 49,474
Other real estate	19,117			19,117
Repossessed assets	9			9

Changes in Level 3 fair value measurements

The table below includes a roll forward of the balance sheet amounts for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 (including the change in fair value) for financial instruments classified by the Company within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. When a determination is made to classify a financial instrument within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurements. However, since Level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 components, observable components (that is, components that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources), the gains and losses in the table below include changes in fair value due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology.

The following table presents, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

	2012		2011	
	Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
Fair value, January 1	\$ 2,001	\$	\$ 1,554	\$
Total realized gains included in income	26		46	
Purchases, issuances and settlements, net	263			
Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3				
	# 2 200	φ.	¢ 1 (00	ф
Fair value, September 30	\$ 2,290	\$	\$ 1,600	\$
Total realized gains (losses) included in income related to financial assets and				
liabilities still on the consolidated balance sheet at September 30	\$	\$	\$	\$

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments that are not measured at fair value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using discounted cash flow models. Those models are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rates and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, could not be realized in immediate settlement of the instrument. The use of different methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. The fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Such amounts have not been revalued for purposes of these consolidated financial statements since those dates and, therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein.

32

Cash, Due From Banks and Federal Funds Sold The carrying amounts of cash, due from banks, and federal funds sold approximate their fair value.

Securities held to maturity Estimated fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices where available. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated using pricing models that use observable inputs or quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics.

Loans For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently and have no significant change in credit risk, fair values approximate carrying values. For other loans, fair values are estimated using discounted cash flow models, using current market interest rates offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. Fair values for impaired loans are estimated using discounted cash flow models or based on the fair value of the underlying collateral.

Mortgage loans held-for-sale Mortgage loans held-for-sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. The estimate of fair value is equal to the carrying value of these loans as they are usually sold within a few weeks of their origination.

Deposits, Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase The carrying amounts of demand deposits, savings deposits, and securities sold under agreements to repurchase approximate their fair values. Fair values for certificates of deposit are estimated using discounted cash flow models, using current market interest rates offered on certificates, advances and other borrowings with similar remaining maturities.

Off-Balance Sheet Instruments The fair values of the Company s off-balance sheet financial instruments are based on fees charged to enter into similar agreements. However, commitments to extend credit do not represent a significant value to the Company s until such commitments are funded.

The following table presents the carrying amounts, estimated fair value of the Company s financial instruments at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. For short-term financial assets such as cash and cash equivalents, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value due to the relatively short time between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization. For financial liabilities such as non-interest bearing demand, interest-bearing demand, and savings deposits, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value due to these products having no stated maturity.

		In Thousands			
	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		
	Carrying		Carrying		
	Amount	Fair Value	Amount	Fair Value	
Financial assets:					
Cash and short-term Investments	\$ 52,553	52,553	\$ 54,174	54,174	
Securities available-for-sale	344,857	344,857	310,731	310,731	
Securities, held to maturity	15,261	16,078	14,464	15,266	
Loans, net of unearned Interest	1,160,908		1,123,258		
Less: allowance for loan Losses	(24,933)		24,525		
Loans, net of allowance	1,135,975	1,161,245	1,098,733	1,107,440	
Loans held for sale	6,278	6,278	14,775	14,775	
Restricted equity securities	3,012	3,012	3,012	3,012	
Accrued interest receivable	5,812	5,812	5,930	5,930	
Cash surrender value of life insurance	2,290	2,290	2,001	2,001	
Other real estate	18,755	18,755	19,117	19,117	
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	1,470,876	1,470,247	1,406,042	1,408,071	
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	9,790	9,780	7,419	7,389	
Accrued interest payable	2,169	2,169	2,998	2,998	
Unrecognized financial instruments:					
Commitments to extend credit					

Standby letters of credit

28

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The purpose of this discussion is to provide insight into the financial condition and results of operations of the Company and its bank subsidiary. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this report. Reference should also be made to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for a more complete discussion of factors that impact liquidity, capital and the results of operations.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements regarding, among other things, the anticipated financial and operating results of the Company. Investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly release any modifications or revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

In connection with the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Company cautions investors that future financial and operating results may differ materially from those projected in forward-looking statements made by, or on behalf of, the estimate and similar expr Company. The words expect, intend, should, may, could, believe, suspect, anticipate, seek, plan, identify such forward-looking statements, but other statements not based on historical fact may also be considered forward-looking. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to those described in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, and also include, without limitation, (i) deterioration in the financial condition of borrowers resulting in significant increases in loan losses and provisions for these losses, (ii) greater than anticipated deterioration in the real estate market conditions in the Company s market areas, (iii) increased competition with other financial institutions, (iv) the deterioration of the economy in the Company s market area, (v) continuation of the extremely low short-term interest rate environment or rapid fluctuations in short-term interest rates, (vi) significant downturns in the business of one or more large customers, (vii) the inability of the Company to comply with regulatory capital requirements, including those resulting from recently proposed changes to capital calculation methodologies and required capital maintenance levels; (viii) changes in state or Federal regulations, policies, or legislation applicable to banks and other financial service providers, including regulatory or legislative developments arising out of current unsettled conditions in the economy, including implementation of the Dodd Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, (ix) changes in capital levels and loan underwriting, credit review or loss reserve policies associated with economic conditions, examination conclusions, or regulatory developments, (x) inadequate allowance for loan losses, (xi) the effectiveness of the Company s activities

29

in improving, resolving or liquidating lower quality assets, (xii) results of regulatory examinations, and (xiii) loss of key personnel. These risks and uncertainties may cause the actual results or performance of the Company to be materially different from any future results or performance expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The Company s future operating results depend on a number of factors which were derived utilizing numerous assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in forward-looking statements.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The accounting principles we follow and our methods of applying these principles conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and with general practices within the banking industry. In connection with the application of those principles, we have made judgments and estimates which, in the case of the determination of our allowance for loan losses and the assessment of impairment of the intangibles resulting from our mergers with Dekalb Community Bank and Community Bank of Smith County in 2005 have been critical to the determination of our financial position and results of operations. There have been no significant changes to our critical accounting policies as discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Allowance for Loan Losses (allowance). Our management assesses the adequacy of the allowance prior to the end of each calendar quarter. This assessment includes procedures to estimate the allowance and test the adequacy and appropriateness of the resulting balance. The level of the allowance is based upon management s evaluation of the loan portfolio, past loan loss experience, current asset quality trends, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower s ability to repay (including the timing of future payment), the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, economic conditions, industry and peer bank loan quality indications and other pertinent factors, including regulatory recommendations. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans that may be susceptible to significant change. Loan losses are charged off when management believes that the full collectability of the loan is unlikely. A loan may be partially charged-off after a confirming event has occurred which serves to validate that full repayment pursuant to the terms of the loan is unlikely. Allocation of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management s judgment, is deemed to be uncollectible.

A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Collection of all amounts due according to the contractual terms means that both the interest and principal payments of a loan will be collected as scheduled in the loan agreement.

An impairment allowance is recognized if the fair value of the loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan (recorded investment in the loan is the principal balance plus any accrued interest, net of deferred loan fees or costs and unamortized premium or discount). The impairment is recognized through the allowance. Loans that are impaired are recorded at the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, or if the loan is collateral dependent, impairment measurement is based on the fair value of the collateral, less estimated disposal costs. If the measure of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, the Company recognizes an impairment by creating a valuation allowance with a corresponding charge to the provision for loan losses or by adjusting an existing valuation allowance for the impaired loan with a corresponding charge or credit to the provision for loan losses. Management believes it follows appropriate accounting and regulatory guidance in determining impairment and accrual status of impaired loans.

The level of allowance maintained is believed by management to be adequate to absorb probable losses inherent in the portfolio at the balance sheet date. The allowance is increased by provisions charged to expense and decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries of amounts previously charged-off.

30

Table of Contents

In assessing the adequacy of the allowance, we also consider the results of our ongoing loan review process. We undertake this process both to ascertain whether there are loans in the portfolio whose credit quality has weakened over time and to assist in our overall evaluation of the risk characteristics of the entire loan portfolio. Our loan review process includes the judgment of management, the input from our independent loan reviewers, and reviews that may have been conducted by bank regulatory agencies as part of their usual examination process. We incorporate loan review results in the determination of whether or not it is probable that we will be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of a loan.

As part of management s quarterly assessment of the allowance, management divides the loan portfolio into twelve segments based on bank call reporting requirements. Each segment is then analyzed such that an allocation of the allowance is estimated for each loan segment.

The allowance allocation begins with a process of estimating the probable losses in each of the twelve loan segments. The estimates for these loans are based on our historical loss data for that category over the last eight quarters.

The estimated loan loss allocation for all twelve loan portfolio segments is then adjusted for several environmental factors. The allocation for environmental factors is particularly subjective and does not lend itself to exact mathematical calculation. This amount represents estimated probable inherent credit losses which exist, but have not yet been identified, as of the balance sheet date, and are based upon quarterly trend assessments in delinquent and nonaccrual loans, unanticipated charge-offs, credit concentration changes, prevailing economic conditions, changes in lending personnel experience, changes in lending policies or procedures and other influencing factors. These environmental factors are considered for each of the twelve loan segments and the allowance allocation, as determined by the processes noted above for each component, is increased or decreased based on the incremental assessment of these various environmental factors.

We then test the resulting allowance by comparing the balance in the allowance to industry and peer information. Our management then evaluates the result of the procedures performed, including the result of our testing, and concludes on the appropriateness of the balance of the allowance in its entirety. The board of directors reviews and approves the assessment prior to the filing of quarterly and annual financial information.

Other-than-temporary Impairment. A decline in the fair value of any available-for-sale or held-to-maturity security below cost that is deemed to be other-than-temporary results in a reduction in the carrying amount of the security. To determine whether impairment is other-than-temporary, management considers whether the entity expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security by reviewing the present value of the future cash flows associated with the security. The shortfall of the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected in relation to the amortized cost basis is referred to as a credit loss and is deemed to be other-than temporary impairment. If a credit loss is identified, the credit loss is recognized as a charge to earnings and a new cost basis for the security is established. If management concludes that no credit loss exists and it is not more-likely-than-not that the Company will be required to sell the security before maturity, then the security is not other-than-temporarily impaired and the shortfall is recorded as a component of equity.

31

Results of Operations

Net earnings increased 21.7% to \$9,433,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$7,754,000 in the first nine months of 2011. The increase in net earnings for the period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was related primarily to an increase in net interest income and non-interest income, offset in part by an increase in non-interest expense. Net earnings were \$3,459,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, an increase of \$796,000, or 29.9%, from \$2,663,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and an increase of \$310,000, or 9.8%, over the quarter ended June 30, 2012. The increase in net earnings for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to an increase in net interest income. Net yield on earning assets was 2.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to 3.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and the net interest spread was 3.6% for both the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in net interest yield for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 reflects an increase in average earning assets exceeding the increase in net interest income.

The average balances, interest, and average rates for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are presented in the following table:

	Septe	September 30, 2011				
	Average	Interest	Income/	Average	Interest	Income/
	Balance	Rate	Expense	Balance	Rate	Expense
Loans, net of unearned interest	\$ 1,129,873	5.81%	49,242	\$ 1,103,555	5.98%	49,525
Investment securities - taxable	324,051	1.68	4,089	262,700	2.14	4,218
Investment securities - tax exempt	15,382	1.88	217	12,951	3.27	318
Taxable equivalent adjustment		0.97	112		1.99	163
Total tax-exempt investment securities	15,382	2.85	329	12,951	4.96	481
Total investment securities	339,433	1.74	4,418	275,651	2.27	4,699
Loans held for sale	9,827	3.28	242	6,029	3.60	163
Federal funds sold	66,746	.20	100	35,317	.26	69
Restricted equity securities	3,012	4.25	96	3,012	4.34	98
Total earning assets	1,548,891	4.66%	54,098	1,423,564	5.11%	54,554
Cash and due from banks	8,712			24,286		
Allowance for loan losses	(25,838)			(23,352)		
Bank premises and equipment	35,468			32,541		
Other assets	45,509			44,209		
Total assets	\$ 1,612,742			\$ 1,501,248		

32

	Septe	201, 20 ember	2	September 30, 2011			
	Average	Interest	Income/	Average	Interest	Income/	
	Balance	Rate	Expense	Balance	Rate	Expense	
Deposits:							
Negotiable order of withdrawal accounts	\$ 269,849	0.73%	1,484	\$ 238,125	0.93%	1,652	
Money market demand accounts	316,216	0.65	1,530	265,909	0.79	1,585	
Individual retirement accounts	98,999	1.75	1,302	96,611	2.17	1,572	
Other savings deposits	96,812	0.81	588	71,558	1.13	609	
Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and over	263,339	1.55	3,070	270,553	2.02	4,090	
Certificates of deposit under \$100,000	275,450	1.41	2,906	292,720	1.83	4,021	
•							
Total interest-bearing deposits	1,320,665	1.10	10,880	1,235,476	1.46	13,529	
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	7,893	0.71	42	5,934	0.88	39	
Federal funds purchased	138	0.97	1	280	0.97	2	
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank							
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,328,696	1.10	10,923	1,241,690	1.48	13,570	
Demand deposits	115,770			105,656			
Other liabilities	7,629			7,151			
Stockholders equity	160,647			146,751			
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,612,742			\$ 1,501,248			
1 3	. , ,			. , ,			
Net interest income			\$ 43,175			\$ 40,984	
			,			,	
Net yield on earning assets (1)		2.79%			3.84%		
		=.,,,,,			2.0.70		
Net interest spread (2)		3.56%			3.63%		

- (1) Net interest income divided by average earning assets.
- (2) Average interest rate on earning assets less average interest rate on interest-bearing liabilities.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income represents the amount by which interest earned on various earning assets exceeds interest paid on deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities and is the most significant component of the Company's earnings. The Company's total interest income, excluding tax equivalent adjustments relating to tax exempt securities, decreased \$293,000, or 0.5%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. Total interest income decreased \$268,000, or 1.5%, for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2011 and decreased \$139,000, or 0.8%, over the second quarter of 2012. The decreases for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the prior year's comparable periods were primarily attributable to the continuing impact of low interest rate policies initiated by the Federal Reserve Board and the growth in the Company's lower yielding securities portfolio outpacing the Company's loan growth. The ratio of average earning assets to total average assets was 96.0% and 94.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively.

Interest expense decreased \$2,647,000, or 19.5%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. Interest expense decreased \$1,016,000, or 23.0%, for the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. Interest expense decreased \$177,000, or 5.0%, for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 over the quarter ended June 30, 2012. The decreases for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the prior year s comparable periods were primarily due to a decrease in the rates paid on deposits, particularly time deposits, reflecting the low interest rate environment and a shift in the mix of deposits from certificates of deposits to transaction and money market accounts.

Interest expense declined more than interest income which resulted in an increase in net interest income, before the provision for loan losses, of \$2,354,000, or 5.8%, for the first nine months of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011 and an increase of \$748,000, or 5.4%, for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 when compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2011. The Company experienced an increase of \$38,000,

or 0.3% in net interest income when compared to the second quarter of 2012.

33

Provision for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses totaled 2.15% as of September 30, 2012 compared to 2.18% as of December 2011 and 2.23% as of September 30, 2011. An analytical model based on historical loss experience, current trends and economic conditions as well as reasonably foreseeable events is used to determine the amount of provision to be recognized and to test the adequacy of the loan loss allowance. The volume of net loans charged off for first three quarters 2012 totaled approximately \$6.5 million compared to approximately \$4.4 million and \$5.8 million for the first three quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Overall net charge offs were up for the three quarters ended 2012 due to the bank aggressively partially charging down impaired loans that were previously specifically allowed for. Although the Bank has experienced modest loan growth of 4% and an overall stabilization in the loan portfolio, management has decided to continue to fund the allowance for loan losses through general provisions. The loan loss expense totaled \$6,873,000 for the nine month ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$7,049,000 for the same period in 2011. The provision for loan losses during the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$2,407,000 and \$2,462,000, respectively.

The allowance for loan losses is based on past loan experience and other factors which, in management s judgment, deserve current recognition in estimating possible loan losses. Such factors include growth and composition of the loan portfolio, review of specific problem loans, review of updated appraisals and borrower financial information, the recommendations of the Company s regulators, and current economic conditions that may affect the borrower s ability to repay. Management has in place a system designed for monitoring its loan portfolio and identifying potential problem loans. The provision for loan losses raised the allowance for loan losses (net of charge-offs and recoveries) to \$24,933,000, an increase of 1.7% from \$24,525,000 at December 31, 2011 and a decrease of \$334,000, or 1.3%, from June 30, 2012. The allowance for loan losses was 2.15%, 2.23%, and 2.27% of total loans at September 30, 2012, June 30, 2012, and March 31, 2012.

Management believes the allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2012 to be adequate, but if economic conditions continue to deteriorate beyond management s current expectations and additional charge-offs are incurred, the allowance for loan losses may require an increase through additional provision for loan losses which would negatively impact earnings.

Non-Interest Income

The components of the Company s non-interest income include service charges on deposit accounts, other fees and commissions and gain on sale of loans. Total non-interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased 9.6% to \$11,722,000 from \$10,691,000 for the same period in 2011 and decreased \$53,000, or 1.4%, during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 when compared to the third quarter of 2011. Non-interest income decreased \$330,000, or 7.9%, during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 when compared to the second quarter of 2012. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the comparable period in 2011 related primarily to an increase in gain on sale of loans. Gain on sale of loans increased \$1,085,000, or 85.2%, to \$2,358,000 during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The increase on gain on sale of loans was \$369,000, or 66.5%, during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 compared to the third quarter of 2011, and there was an increase of \$111,000, or 13.7%, over the second quarter of 2012. The increase in gain on sale of loans during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and the third quarter of 2012 related primarily to the increase in mortgage originations and refinancing which occurred during the first nine months of 2012. The Company s non-interest income in the first nine months of 2012 increased from the nine months of 2011 in part due to gains of \$259,000 recognized on sale of investments from portfolio restructuring in the 2012 period. Service charges on deposit accounts decreased \$533,000, or 13.3%, to \$3,487,000 during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 and decreased \$281,000, or 20.0%, during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 compared to the third quarter of 2011 as a result of consumers continuing to slow their spending due to the current economic environment. The Company expects to see a continued decline, albeit not as significant as that experienced in the first nine months of 2012, in service charges on deposit accounts due to the FDIC Final Overdraft Payment Supervisory Guidance. Other fees and commissions increased \$403,000, or 7.7%, to \$5,609,000 during the nine months ended September 30,

34

2012 compared to the same period in 2011 and increased \$51,000, or 2.9%, during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 compared to the third quarter of 2011. Other fees and commissions include income on brokerage accounts, insurance policies sold, debit card interchange fee income and various other fees.

Non-Interest Expenses

Non-interest expenses consist primarily of employee costs, occupancy expenses, furniture and equipment expenses, advertising and marketing expenses, data processing expenses, director s fees, loss on sale of other real estate, and other operating expenses. Total non-interest expenses increased \$843,000, or 2.7%, to \$32,628,000 during the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. Total non-interest expenses decreased \$528,000, or 4.8%, for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the comparable quarter in 2011 and \$1,010,000, or 8.8%, as compared to the second quarter of 2012. The increases in non-interest expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 when compared to the comparable period in 2011 is primarily attributable to an increase in employee salaries and benefits associated with the number of employees necessary to support the Company s operations offset in part by a decrease in loss on sale of other real estate. The decrease in loss on sale of other real estate is contributed to the Company aggressively writing down potential future losses in several other real estate properties during the second quarter of 2012. Other operating expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 decreased to \$6,606,000 from \$6,613,000 for the comparable period in 2011. Other operating expenses increased \$6,000, or 0.3%, during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. Loss on the sale of other real estate decreased \$387,000 or 18.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011 and decreased \$971,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011 and decreased \$971,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same time period in 2011.

Income Taxes

The Company s income tax expense was \$5,963,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, an increase of \$1,039,000 over the comparable period in 2011. Income tax expense was \$2,184,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, an increase of \$482,000 over the same period in 2011. The percentage of income tax expense to net income before taxes was 38.7% and 38.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 and 38.7% and 39.0% for the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The percentage of income tax expense to net income before taxes was 39.0% and 38.8% for the first and second quarters of 2012, respectively.

Financial Condition

Balance Sheet Summary

The Company s total assets increased 5.0% to \$1,656,659,000 during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$1,577,370,000 at December 31, 2011. Total assets increased \$27,368,000 during the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 and increased \$462,000 during the three-month period ended March 31, 2012. Loans, net of allowance for loan losses, totaled \$1,135,975,000 at September 30, 2012, a 3.4% increase compared to \$1,098,733,000 at December 31, 2011. Net loans increased \$27,007,000, or 2.4% during the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 and decreased \$3,658,000, or 0.3%, for the three-month period ended June 30, 2012. Securities increased \$34,923,000, or 10.7%, to \$360,118,000 at September 30, 2012 from \$325,195,000 at December 31, 2011. Securities increased \$1,025,000, or 0.3%, during the three months ended September 30, 2012. Federal funds sold increased to \$19,105,000 at September 30, 2012 from \$13,215,000 at December 31, 2011, reflecting a growth in deposits that exceeded loan growth.

Total liabilities increased by 4.9% to \$1,489,300,000 at September 30, 2012 compared to \$1,420,022,000 at December 31, 2011. During the third quarter of 2012, total liabilities increased \$23,414,000 or 1.6%. The increase in total liabilities since December 31, 2011 was composed of a

35

\$64,834,000, or 4.6%, increase in total deposits, a \$2,371,000, or 32.0%, increase in securities sold under repurchase agreements, and a \$2,073,000 or 31.6% increase in accrued interest and other liabilities. Included in other liabilities is federal and state taxes payable, bonus payable, and escrow payable.

Non Performing Assets

The following tables present the Company s non-accrual loans and past due loans as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Loans on Nonaccrual Status

	In The	ousands
	2012	2011
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 1,519	2,256
Multifamily		
Commercial real estate	6,535	4,995
Construction	12,091	14,378
Farmland	1,259	2,695
Second mortgages	606	606
Equity lines of credit		
Commercial	33	35
Installment and other		
Total	\$ 22,043	\$ 24,965

	(In thousands)							
	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Non Accrual and Greater Than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans	Inv Gre	ecorded vestment ater Than Days and ccruing
September 30, 2012								
Residential 1-4 family Multifamily	\$ 3,724	1,686	2,844	8,254	326,821 13,003	335,075 13,003	\$	1,325
Commercial real estate	722		7,236	7,958	460,481	468,439		701
Construction	245		12,234	12,479	176,795	189,274		143
Farmland	95	•	1,259	1,354	25,400	26,754		
Second Mortgages	82	39	606	727	12,178	12,905		404
Equity Lines of Credit	154		134	288	37,804	38,092		134
Commercial	85	53	173	311	32,088	32,399		140
Agricultural	23	3		26	2,395	2,421		
Installment and other	426	46	220	692	44,477	45,169		220
Total	\$ 5,556	1,827	24,706	32,089	1,131,442	1,163,531	\$	2,663
December 31, 2011								
Residential 1-4 family Multifamily	\$ 4,003 53	1,029	3,566	8,598 53	335,431 9,738	344,029 9,791	\$	1,310
Commercial real estate	548	1,803	8,990	11,341	411,190	422,531		3,995
Construction	329		14,473	14,802	151,658	166,460		95
Farmland	46		2,695	2,741	32,950	35,691		

Edgar Filing: WILSON BANK HOLDING CO - Form 10-Q

Second Mortgages	49	50	640	739	13,972	14,711	34
Equity Lines of Credit	36	64		100	39,207	39,307	
Commercial	64	44	148	256	38,480	38,736	113
Agricultural	24			24	2,532	2,556	
Installment and other	303	172	123	598	50,879	51,477	123
Total	\$ 5,455	3,162	30,635	39,252	1,086,037	1,125,289	\$ 5,670

Table of Contents

Generally, at the time a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, all interest accrued on the loan in the current fiscal year is reversed from income, and all interest accrued and uncollected from the prior year is charged off against the allowance for loan losses. Thereafter, interest on nonaccrual loans is recognized as interest income only to the extent that cash is received and future collection of principal is not in doubt. A nonaccrual loan may be restored to accruing status when principal and interest are no longer past due and unpaid and future collection of principal and interest on a timely basis is not in doubt.

Non-performing loans, which included non-accrual loans and loans 90 days past due, at September 30, 2012 totaled \$24,706,000, a decrease from \$30,635,000 at December 31, 2011. The decrease in non-performing loans during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 of \$5,929,000 is due primarily to a decrease in non-performing construction real estate mortgage loans of \$2,239,000, a decrease in non-performing farmland loans of \$1,436,000, and a decrease in non-performing commercial real estate of \$1,754,000. The decrease in non-performing loans relates primarily to the transfer of two large loan relationships to ORE. Management believes that it is probable that it will incur losses on these loans but believes that these losses should not exceed the amount in the allowance for loan losses already allocated to these loans, unless there is further deterioration of local real estate values.

Other loans may be classified as impaired when the current net worth and financial capacity of the borrower or of the collateral pledged, if any, is viewed as inadequate. Such loans generally have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt, and if such deficiencies are not corrected, there is a probability that the Company will sustain some loss. In such cases, interest income continues to accrue as long as the loan does not meet the Company s criteria for nonaccrual status.

The decrease in impaired loans in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to the above-referenced foreclosure of two large properties. The Company s market areas have seen an increase in the residential real estate market and the commercial real estate market remains steady. The allowance for loan loss related to impaired loans was measured based upon the estimated fair value of related collateral.

Loans are charged-off in the month when the determination is made that a loss will be incurred. Net charge-offs for the nine months ended September, 2012 were \$6,465,000 as compared to \$4,350,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

The collateral values securing potential problem loans, including impaired loans, based on estimates received by management, total approximately \$77,598,000. The internally classified loans have decreased \$10,863,000, or 16.1%, from \$67,281,000 at December 31, 2011. Loans are listed as classified when information obtained about possible credit problems of the borrower has prompted management to question the ability of the borrower to comply with the repayment terms of the loan agreement. The loan classifications do not represent or result from trends or uncertainties which management expects will materially impact future operating results, liquidity or capital resources.

The largest category of internally graded loans at September 30, 2012 was real estate mortgage loans. Included within this category are residential real estate construction and development loans, including loans to home builders and developers of land, as well as one to four family mortgage loans, and commercial real estate loans. Residential real estate loans, including construction and land development loans that are internally classified totaled \$35,536,000 and \$41,675,000 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. These loans have been graded accordingly due to bankruptcies, inadequate cash flows and delinquencies. Borrowers within this segment have continued to experience stress during the current recession due to a combination of declining demand for residential

37

Table of Contents

real estate and the resulting price and collateral declines. In addition, housing starts in the Company s market areas are at very low levels. Continuation of the current challenging economic conditions will likely cause the Company s real estate mortgage loans to continue to underperform and may result in increased levels of internally graded loans which, if they continue to deteriorate, may negatively impact the Company s results of operations. Management does not anticipate losses on these loans to exceed the amount already allocated to loan losses, unless there is further deterioration of local real estate values.

Liquidity and Asset Management

The Company s management seeks to maximize net interest income by managing the Company s assets and liabilities within appropriate constraints on capital, liquidity and interest rate risk. Liquidity is the ability to maintain sufficient cash levels necessary to fund operations, meet the requirements of depositors and borrowers, and fund attractive investment opportunities. Higher levels of liquidity bear corresponding costs, measured in terms of lower yields on short-term, more liquid earning assets and higher interest expense involved in extending liability maturities.

Liquid assets include cash and cash equivalents and investment securities and money market instruments that will mature within one year. At September 30, 2012, the Company s liquid assets totaled \$239,827,000. The Company maintains a formal asset and liability management process to quantify, monitor and control interest rate risk and to assist management in maintaining stability in the net interest margin under varying interest rate environments. The Company accomplishes this process through the development and implementation of lending, funding and pricing strategies designed to maximize net interest income under varying interest rate environments subject to specific liquidity and interest rate risk guidelines.

Analysis of rate sensitivity and rate gap analysis are the primary tools used to assess the direction and magnitude of changes in net interest income resulting from changes in interest rates. Included in the analysis are cash flows and maturities of financial instruments held for purposes other than trading, changes in market conditions, loan volumes and pricing and deposit volume and mix. These assumptions are inherently uncertain, and, as a result, net interest income can not be precisely estimated nor can the impact of higher or lower interest rates on net interest income be precisely predicted. Actual results will differ due to timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes and changes in market conditions and management s strategies, among other factors.

The Company sprimary source of liquidity is a stable core deposit base. In addition, loan payments, investment security maturities and short-term borrowings provide a secondary source.

Interest rate risk (sensitivity) management focuses on the earnings risk associated with changing interest rates. Management seeks to maintain profitability in both immediate and long-term earnings through funds management/interest rate risk management. The Company s rate sensitivity position has an important impact on earnings. Senior management of the Company meets monthly to analyze its rate sensitivity position. These meetings focus on the spread between the Company s cost of funds and interest yields generated primarily through loans and investments.

The Company s securities portfolio consists of earning assets that provide interest income. For those securities classified as held-to-maturity, the Company has the ability and intent to hold these securities to maturity or on a long-term basis. Securities classified as available-for-sale include securities intended to be used as part of the Company s asset/liability strategy and/or securities that may be sold in response to changes in interest rate, prepayment risk, the need or desire to increase capital and similar economic factors. Securities totaling approximately \$1,889,000 mature or will be subject to rate adjustments within the next twelve months.

A secondary source of liquidity is the Company s loan portfolio. At September 30, 2012, loans totaling approximately \$310.1 million either will become due or will be subject to rate adjustments within twelve months from that date. Continued emphasis will be placed on structuring adjustable rate loans.

38

As for liabilities, certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or greater totaling approximately \$186.4

million will become due or reprice during the next twelve months. Historically, there has been no significant reduction in immediately withdrawable accounts such as negotiable order of withdrawal accounts, money market demand accounts, demand deposit accounts and regular savings accounts. Management anticipates that there will be no significant withdrawals from these accounts in the future.

Management believes that with present maturities, the anticipated growth in deposit base, and the efforts of management in its asset/liability management program, liquidity will not pose a problem in the near term future. At the present time there are no known trends or any known commitments, demands, events or uncertainties that will result in or that are reasonably likely to result in the Company s liquidity changing in a materially adverse way.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

At September 30, 2012, we had unfunded loan commitments outstanding of \$199.3 million and outstanding standby letters of credit of \$22.6 million. Because these commitments generally have fixed expiration dates and many will expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment level does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. If needed to fund these outstanding commitments, the Company s bank subsidiary has the ability to liquidate Federal funds sold or securities available-for-sale or on a short-term basis to borrow and purchase Federal funds from other financial institutions. Additionally, the Company s bank subsidiary could sell participations in these or other loans to correspondent banks. As mentioned above, the Company s bank subsidiary has been able to fund its ongoing liquidity needs through its stable core deposit base, loan payments, its investment security maturities and short-term borrowings.

Capital Position and Dividends

At September 30, 2012, total stockholders equity was \$167,359,000, or 10.1% of total assets, which compares with \$157,348,000, or 10.0% of total assets, at December 31, 2011. The dollar increase in stockholders equity during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 results from the Company s net income of \$9,433,000, proceeds from the issuance of common stock related to exercise of stock options of \$157,000, the net effect of a \$2,583,000 unrealized gain on investment securities net of applicable income taxes of \$989,000, cash dividends declared of \$4,396,000 of which \$3,200,000 was reinvested under the Company s dividend reinvestment plan, and \$23,000 related to stock option compensation.

The Company s principal regulators have established minimum risk-based capital requirements and leverage capital requirements for the Company and its subsidiary bank. These guidelines classify capital into two categories of Tier I and Total risk-based capital. Total risk-based capital consists of Tier I (or core) capital (essentially common equity less intangible assets) and Tier II capital (essentially qualifying long-term debt, of which Wilson Bank has none, and a part of the allowance for possible loan losses). In determining risk-based capital requirements, assets are assigned risk-weights of 0% to 100%, depending on regulatory assigned levels of credit risk associated with such assets. Under the Federal Reserve's regulations, for a bank holding company, like the Company, to be considered well capitalized it must maintain a Total risk-based capital ratio of at least 10%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least 6% and not be subject to a written agreement, order or directive to maintain a specific capital level. In addition, the Federal Reserve has established minimum leverage ratio guidelines for bank holding companies. These guidelines provide that a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to average assets, less goodwill and other specified intangible assets, of at least 4% should be maintained by most bank holding companies. Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following

39

table) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and of Tier 1 capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company and the Bank are considered to be well capitalized under regulatory definitions. To be categorized as well capitalized, an institution must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the following tables.

The Company s and the Bank s actual capital amounts and ratios as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, are also presented in the tables:

					Minimum	
					Well	
					Capitalized	
					Applica	
			Minimum (Capital	Regulat	ory
	Actual		Requirer	nent	Provisio	ons
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
			(dollars in th	ousands)		
<u>September 30, 2012:</u>						
Total capital to risk weighted assets:						
Consolidated	\$ 175,825	14.1%	\$ 99,759	8.0%	\$ 124,699	10.0%
Wilson Bank	175,284	14.0	99,948	8.0	124,935	10.0
Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets:						
Consolidated	160,095	12.8	50,030	4.0	75,045	6.0
Wilson Bank	159,554	12.8	49,978	4.0	74,967	6.0
70' 1 '.1.						
Tier 1 capital to average assets:						
Consolidated	160,095	9.8	65,345	4.0	N/A	N/A
Wilson Bank	159,554	9.8	65,191	4.0	81,488	5.0

40

					Minimum' Well Capitalized	Under
			Minimum C	Capital	Applica Regulat	
	Actual		Requiren		Provisio	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount (dollars in the	Ratio ousands)	Amount	Ratio
December 31, 2011:			·	,		
Total capital to risk weighted assets:						
Consolidated	\$ 166,534	14.0%	\$ 95,162	8.0%	\$ 118,953	10.0%
Wilson Bank	164,775	13.9	94,835	8.0	118,543	10.0
Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets:						
Consolidated	151,566	12.8	47,364	4.0	71,047	6.0
Wilson Bank	149,817	12.6	47,561	4.0	71,341	6.0
Tier 1 capital to average assets:						
Consolidated	151,566	9.7	62,501	4.0	N/A	N/A
Wilson Bank	149,817	9.6	62,424	4.0	78,030	5.0
Impact of Inflation						

Although interest rates are significantly affected by inflation, the inflation rate is immaterial when reviewing the Company s results of operations.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company s primary component of market risk is interest rate volatility. Fluctuations in interest rates will ultimately impact both the level of income and expense recorded on a large portion of the Company s assets and liabilities, and the market value of all interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, other than those which possess a short term to maturity. Based upon the nature of the Company s operations, the Company is not subject to foreign currency exchange or commodity price risk.

Interest rate risk (sensitivity) management focuses on the earnings risk associated with changing interest rates. Management seeks to maintain profitability in both short-term and long-term earnings through funds management/interest rate risk management. The Company s rate sensitivity position has an important impact on earnings. Senior management of the Company meets monthly to analyze the rate sensitivity position. These meetings focus on the spread between the cost of funds and interest yields generated primarily through loans and investments.

There have been no material changes in reported market risks during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The Company

Table of Contents

carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting during the Company s fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

42

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. None	LEG	AL PROCEEDINGS
	no ma	K FACTORS terial changes to the Company s risk factors as previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of the Company s Annual Report of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.
Item 2.	UNR	REGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS
	(a)	None
	(b)	Not applicable.
	(c)	None.
Item 3.	DEF	AULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES
	(a)	None
	(b)	Not applicable
Item 4. Not applica		E SAFETY DISCLOSURES
Item 5. None	ОТН	VER INFORMATION

Item 6. EXHIBITS

- 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101 Interactive Data File

43

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

WILSON BANK HOLDING COMPANY

(Registrant)

DATE: November 7, 2012 /s/ Randall Clemons

Randall Clemons

President and Chief Executive Officer

DATE: November 7, 2012 /s/ Lisa Pominski

Lisa Pominski

Senior Vice President & Chief Financial Officer

44