

NICHOLAS FINANCIAL INC
Form DEF 14A
July 09, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under Rule 14a-12

NICHOLAS FINANCIAL, INC.

(Name of registrant as specified in its charter)

(Name of person(s) filing proxy statement, if other than the registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

Edgar Filing: NICHOLAS FINANCIAL INC - Form DEF 14A

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

NICHOLAS FINANCIAL, INC.

Building C

2454 McMullen Booth Road

Clearwater, FL 33759-1343

(727) 726-0763

NOTICE OF ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING

To the Shareholders of Nicholas Financial, Inc:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the 2012 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders (the Meeting) of Nicholas Financial, Inc. (hereinafter called the Company) will be held at the Company s corporate headquarters, located at 2454 McMullen Booth Road, Building C, Clearwater, Florida, on Tuesday, August 7, 2012, at the hour of 11:00 AM (Clearwater, Florida time) for the following purposes:

1. to receive the Report of the Directors;
 2. to receive the consolidated financial statements of the Company for its fiscal year ended March 31, 2012 and the report of Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP, the Company s Independent Auditors, thereon;
 3. to elect two directors to hold office until the 2015 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders or until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified;
 4. to approve the appointment of Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP as the Company s Independent Auditors for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2013;
 5. to consider an advisory vote on compensation for our named executive officers; and
 6. to transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting.
- Accompanying this Notice are a Proxy Statement and Information Circular and Form of Proxy.

Shareholders of record as of the close of business on July 6, 2012 will be entitled to attend and vote at the Meeting, or any adjournment or postponement thereof. A shareholder entitled to attend and vote at the Meeting is entitled to appoint a proxy holder to attend and vote in his stead.

Your vote is important. If you are unable to attend the Meeting (or any adjournment or postponement thereof) in person, please read the Notes accompanying the Form of Proxy enclosed herewith and then complete and return the Proxy within the time set out in the Notes.

The enclosed Form of Proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors of the Company but, as set out in the Notes accompanying the Form of Proxy, you may amend it if you so desire by striking out the names listed therein and inserting in the space provided the name of the person you wish to represent you at the Meeting.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual

General Meeting of Shareholders to be Held on August 7, 2012

Pursuant to rules of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials both by sending you this full set of proxy materials, including a proxy card, and by notifying you of the availability of our proxy materials on the Internet. This Proxy Statement and Information Circular and our

Edgar Filing: NICHOLAS FINANCIAL INC - Form DEF 14A

**Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012, are available at
<http://www.materials.proxyvote.com/65373J>.**

DATED at Clearwater, Florida, July 9, 2012.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Ralph T. Finkenbrink

Secretary

NICHOLAS FINANCIAL, INC

Building C

2454 McMullen Booth Road

Clearwater, FL 33759-1343

(727) 726-0763

PROXY STATEMENT AND INFORMATION CIRCULAR

AS AT AND DATED JULY 7, 2012

This Proxy Statement and Information Circular accompanies the Notice of the 2012 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders (the Meeting) of Nicholas Financial, Inc. (hereinafter called the Company) to be held on Tuesday, August 7, 2012, at 11:00 a.m. (Clearwater, Florida time), at the Company's corporate headquarters, located at 2454 McMullen Booth Road, Building C, Clearwater, Florida, and is being furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies on behalf of the Board of Directors of the Company for use at that Meeting and at any adjournment thereof.

The Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012 (the Annual Report), together with this Proxy Statement and Information Circular and the accompanying proxy form (Proxy), are first being mailed on or about July 9, 2012 to shareholders entitled to vote at the Meeting. **Additional copies will be provided without charge upon written request to Ralph T. Finkenbrink, Secretary, Nicholas Financial, Inc., 2454 McMullen Booth Road, Building C, Clearwater, Florida 33759-1340. Exhibits filed with our Annual Report on Form 10-K will be provided upon written request, in the same manner noted above.**

REVOCABILITY OF PROXY

If the accompanying Proxy is completed, signed and returned, the shares represented thereby will be voted at the Meeting. The giving of the Proxy does not affect the right to vote in person should the shareholder be able to attend the Meeting. The shareholder may revoke the Proxy at any time prior to the voting thereof. If you would like to obtain directions to attend the Meeting, please contact Ralph Finkenbrink at (727) 726-0763.

In addition to revocation in any other manner permitted by law, a proxy may be revoked by an instrument in writing executed by the shareholder or his attorney authorized in writing, or if the shareholder is a corporation, by a duly authorized officer or attorney thereof, and deposited either at the registered office of the Company at any time up to and including the last business day preceding the day of the Meeting, or any adjournment thereof, or, as to any matter in respect of which a vote shall not already have been cast pursuant to such proxy, with the Chairman of the Meeting on the day of the Meeting, or any adjournment thereof, and upon either of such deposits the proxy is revoked.

PERSONS MAKING THE SOLICITATION

THE ENCLOSED PROXY IS BEING SOLICITED BY

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY

Solicitations will be made by mail and possibly supplemented by telephone or other personal contact to be made without special compensation by regular officers and employees of the Company. The Company may reimburse shareholders, nominees or agents (including brokers holding shares on behalf of clients) for the cost incurred in obtaining from their principals authorization to execute forms of proxy. No solicitation will be made by specifically engaged employees or soliciting agents. The cost of solicitation of proxies on behalf of the Board of Directors will be borne by the Company.

VOTING SHARES AND OWNERSHIP

OF MANAGEMENT AND PRINCIPAL HOLDERS

As of the date of this Proxy Statement and Information Circular, the Company is authorized to issue 50,000,000 Common shares without par value and 5,000,000 Preference shares without par value. As of the close of business on July 6, 2012, the record date for determining shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Meeting, there were issued and outstanding 12,085,238 Common shares and no Preference shares. At a General Meeting of the Company, on a show of hands, every shareholder present in person and entitled to vote shall have one vote, and on a poll, every shareholder present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote shall have one vote for each share of which such shareholder is the registered holder. Shares represented by proxy will only be voted on a poll.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of Common shares as of July 6, 2012 regarding (i) each of the Company's directors (including the nominees for re-election as directors), (ii) each of the Company's executive officers, (iii) all directors and officers as a group, and (iv) each person known by the Company to beneficially own, directly or indirectly, more than 5% of the outstanding Common shares. Please note that, unless expressly indicated otherwise, all share and pricing information contained in this Proxy Statement and Information Circular has been restated to reflect the 10% stock dividend completed on December 7, 2009. Except as otherwise indicated, each of the persons listed below has sole voting and investment power over the shares beneficially owned.

NAME	NUMBER OF SHARES	PERCENTAGE OWNED
Peter L. Vosotas (1) (2)	1,695,405	13.9%
Stephen Bragin (3) (4)	116,828	1.0
Alton R. Neal (5) (6)	25,850	*
Ralph T. Finkenbrink (7) (8)	217,503	1.8
Scott Fink (9) (10)	10,450	*
Mahan Family, LLC (11)	652,907	5.4
Southpoint Capital Advisors LLC (12)	1,036,220	8.6
All directors and officers as a group (5 persons) (13)	2,066,036	16.9%

* Less than 1%

- (1) Mr. Vosotas' business address is 2454 McMullen Booth Road, Building C, Clearwater, Florida 33759.
- (2) Includes 395,276 shares owned directly by Mr. Vosotas (of which 20,000 are unvested shares of restricted stock), 1,203,085 held in family trusts over which Mr. Vosotas retains voting and investment power and 14,544 shares held by Mr. Vosotas' spouse. Also includes 82,500 shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (3) Mr. Bragin's business address is c/o Nicholas Financial, Inc., 2454 McMullen Booth Road, Building C, Clearwater, Florida 33759.
- (4) Includes 8,250 shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (5) Mr. Neal's business address is c/o Nicholas Financial, Inc., 2454 McMullen Booth Road, Building C, Clearwater, Florida 33759.
- (6) Includes 8,250 shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (7) Mr. Finkenbrink's business address is 2454 McMullen Booth Road, Building C, Clearwater, Florida 33759.
- (8) Includes 35,000 shares of unvested restricted stock and 57,700 shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (9) Mr. Fink's business address is 3936 U.S. Highway 19, New Port Richey, Florida 34652.

- (10) Includes 8,250 shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (11) Mahan Family, LLC, together with Roger Mahan, Gary Mahan, Nancy Ernst, Kenneth Ernst and Mahan Children, LLC, filed a joint Schedule 13D/A on May 18, 2005. As reported in such Schedule 13D/A, Roger Mahan, Nancy Ernst and Gary Mahan are siblings. Kenneth Ernst is the husband of Nancy Ernst. Mahan Family, LLC is a New Jersey limited liability company of which Roger Mahan, Nancy Ernst and Gary Mahan are equity holders and the sole managers. The principal business address of Mahan Family, LLC is Stonehouse Road, P.O. Box 367, Millington, New Jersey. Mahan Children, LLC is a New Jersey limited liability company of which Roger Mahan, Nancy Ernst and Gary Mahan are the sole equity holders and managers. The principal business address of Mahan Children, LLC is Stonehouse Road, P.O. Box 367, Millington, New Jersey. Based upon information previously provided by the holder, in addition to 652,907 shares currently owned by Mahan Family, LLC, (i) Mahan Children, LLC owns 441,810 shares, (ii) Roger Mahan owns 132,000 shares, (iii) a daughter of Roger Mahan owns 549 shares, (iv) a son of Kenneth and Nancy Ernst owns 660 shares and (v) a son of Gary Mahan owns 660 shares. These shares collectively constitute approximately 10.4% of the Company's outstanding Common shares.

(12) As reported in a joint Schedule 13G/A filed on February 14, 2011, 1,036,220 shares are held by Southpoint Master Fund, LP, a Cayman Islands exempted limited partnership (the Master Fund), for which Southpoint Capital Advisors LP, a Delaware limited partnership (Southpoint Advisors), serves as the investment manager and Southpoint GP, LP, a Delaware limited partnership (Southpoint GP), serves as the general partner. Southpoint Capital Advisors, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Southport CA LLC), serves as the general partner of Southpoint Advisors, and Southpoint GP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, serves as the general partner of Southpoint GP. John S. Clark II serves as managing member of both Southpoint CA LLC and Southpoint GP, LLC. The Master Fund, Southpoint CA LLC, Southpoint GP, LLC, Southpoint GP, Southpoint Advisors and John S. Clark II have the shared power to vote and dispose of the 1,036,220 shares. The principal business address of the foregoing persons is 623 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2601, New York, New York 10022.

(13) Includes an aggregate of 164,950 shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding stock options exercisable within 60 days. The Board of Directors has determined that all shareholders of record as of the close of business on July 6, 2012 (the Record Date) will be entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Meeting. Those shareholders so desiring may be represented by proxy at the Meeting. The Proxy, and the power of attorney or other authority, if any, under which it is signed or a notarially certified copy thereof, must be deposited either at the office of the Registrar and Transfer Agent of the Company, Computershare Investor Services Inc., 510 Burrard Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6C 3B9, or at the Corporate Headquarters of the Company at Building C, 2454 McMullen Booth Road, Clearwater, Florida 33759-1343 not less than 48 hours, Saturdays and holidays excepted, prior to the time of the holding of the Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

Votes cast by proxy or in person at the Meeting will be tabulated by the inspector of elections appointed for the Meeting, who will also determine whether a quorum is present for the transaction of business. The Company's Articles provide that a quorum is present if two or more shareholders of the Company are present in person (or represented by proxy) holding an aggregate of at least 33-1/3% of the total issued and outstanding shares of the Company as of the Record Date for the Meeting. Abstentions will be counted as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present. Shares held by nominees for beneficial owners will also be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present if the nominee has the discretion to vote on at least one of the matters presented, even though the nominee may not exercise discretionary voting power with respect to other matters and even though voting instructions have not been received from the beneficial owner (a broker non-vote). Neither abstentions nor broker non-votes are counted in determining whether a proposal has been approved. The vote required for each proposal set forth herein, including the election of director, is set forth under the discussion herein of such proposal.

Shareholders are urged to indicate their votes in the spaces provided on the Proxy. Proxies solicited by the Board of Directors of the Company will be voted in accordance with the directions given therein. Except as indicated below in connection with the election of director and the advisory vote on the frequency of the advisory vote on compensation of our named executive officers, where no instructions are indicated signed Proxies will be voted FOR each proposal listed in the Notice of the Meeting as set forth more completely herein. Returning your completed Proxy will not prevent you from voting in person at the Meeting should you be present and wish to do so.

Only registered shareholders or duly appointed proxyholders are permitted to vote at the Meeting. Most shareholders of the Company are nominee, or non-registered, shareholders because the shares they own are not registered in their names but are instead registered in the name of the brokerage firm, bank or trust company through which they purchased the shares. More particularly, a person is not a registered shareholder in respect of shares which are held on behalf of the person (the Non-Registered Holder) but which are registered either: (a) in the name of a intermediary (an Intermediary) that the Non-Registered Holder deals with in respect of the shares (Intermediaries include, among others, banks, trust companies, securities dealers or brokers and trustees or administrators of self-administered RRSP s, RRIF s, RESP s and similar plans); or (b) in the name of a clearing agency (such as The Canadian Depository for Securities Limited) (CDS) of which the Intermediary is a participant. In accordance with the requirements as set out in National Instrument 54-101 (formerly National Policy Statement No. 41) of the Canadian Securities Administrators, the Company has distributed copies of the Notice of Meeting, this Information Circular and the Proxy (collectively, the Meeting Materials) to the clearing agencies and Intermediaries for onward distribution to Non-Registered Holders.

Intermediaries are required to forward the Meeting Materials to Non-Registered Holders unless a Non-Registered Holder has waived the right to receive them. Very often Intermediaries will use service companies to forward the Meeting Materials to Non-Registered Holders. Generally, Non-Registered Holders who have not waived the right to receive Meeting Material will either:

- (a) be given a form of proxy which has already been signed by the Intermediary (typically by a faxed, stamped signature), which is restricted as to the number of shares beneficially owned by the Non-Registered Holder but which is otherwise not completed. Because the Intermediary has already signed the form of proxy, this form of proxy is not required to be signed by the Non-Registered Holder when submitting the proxy. In this case, the Non-Registered Holder who wishes to submit a proxy should otherwise properly complete the form of proxy and deposit it with the Company's Registrar and Transfer Agent as provided above; or
- (b) more typically, be given a voting instruction form which is not signed by the Intermediary, and which, when properly completed and signed by the Non-Registered Holder and returned to the Intermediary or its service company, will constitute voting instructions (often called a proxy authorization form) which the Intermediary must follow. Typically, the proxy authorization form will consist of a one page preprinted form. Sometimes instead of the one page preprinted form, the proxy authorization form will consist of a regular printed proxy form accompanied by a page of instructions, which contains a removable label containing a bar code and other information. In order for the form of proxy to validly constitute a proxy authorization form, the Non-Registered Holder must remove the label from the instructions and affix it to the form of proxy, properly complete and sign the form of proxy and return it to the Intermediary or its service company in accordance with the instructions of the Intermediary or its service company.

In either case, the purpose of this procedure is to permit Non-Registered Holders to direct the voting of the shares, which they beneficially own. Should a Non-Registered Holder who receives one of the above forms wish to vote at the Meeting in person, the Non-Registered Holder should strike out the names of the Management Proxyholders named in the form and insert the Non-Registered Holder's name in the blank space provided. In either case, Non-Registered Holders should carefully follow the instructions of their Intermediary, including those regarding when and where the proxy or proxy authorization form is to be delivered. Please note that brokers or other nominees who hold shares for Non-Registered Holders no longer have the discretionary authority to vote uninstructed shares in the election of directors.

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors recommends each of the nominees set forth below for election as a Director and urges each shareholder to vote FOR each of the nominees. Proxies in the accompanying form will be voted at the Meeting, unless authority to do so is withheld, in favor of the election as a Director of each of the nominees named below. Brokers or other nominees who hold shares for a Non-Registered Holder no longer have the discretionary authority to vote uninstructed shares in the election of directors.

The Company's Board of Directors currently consists of five members divided into three classes, with the members of each class serving three-year terms expiring at the third Annual General Meeting of Shareholders after their election. The Company's Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, has nominated each of Scott Fink and Alton R. Neal to stand for re-election as a Director at the Meeting, to hold office for a term of three years expiring at the 2015 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, and until his successor has been duly elected and qualified. No other person has been nominated by the Board to stand for election as a director at the Meeting. Assuming a quorum is present, the election of each of Mr. Fink and Mr. Neal as a Director requires that a plurality of the total votes cast with respect to Common shares present, or represented, and entitled to vote at the Meeting vote in favor of his election. (Please note that brokers or other nominees who hold shares for you no longer have the discretionary authority to vote your uninstructed shares in the election of directors.) In the event Mr. Fink or Mr. Neal is unable to serve, the persons designated as proxies will cast votes for such other person in their discretion as a substitute nominee. The Board of Directors has no reason to believe that either nominee will be unavailable, or if elected, will decline to serve. Mr. Fink and Mr. Neal are residents of the United States. Certain information is set forth below for each of the nominees for Director, as well as for each Director whose term of office will continue after the Meeting.

NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR TERM TO EXPIRE 2015

Name	Age	Principal Occupation And Other Information
Scott Fink	51	<p>Mr. Fink has served as a director of the Company since August 11, 2004. In 2001, Mr. Fink was awarded the Hyundai of New Port Richey, Florida dealership, where he is currently President and Owner. He has since opened two additional automobile franchises in the Tampa Bay area Hyundai of Wesley Chapel and Mazda of Wesley Chapel. In 1998, Mr. Fink formed S&T Collision Centers, which currently operates out of locations in Clearwater and Brandon, Florida. Prior to 1998, Mr. Fink owned and operated a Toyota and a Mitsubishi Dealership in Clearwater, Florida. Mr. Fink also previously worked for Ford Motor Company in various management positions. Mr. Fink received his Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from Wagner College, Staten Island, New York.</p>

Given his extensive business experience Mr. Fink brings a unique combination of leadership, financial and business analytical skills and acute business judgment to the Board. This led to the conclusion that he should continue to serve as a Director of our Company.

Alton R. Neal	66	<p>Mr. Neal has served as a director of the Company since May 17, 2000. He retired from the private practice of law at the end of 2008. He had been in private practice since 1975 and had been a partner with the firm of Johnson, Blakely, Pope, Bokor, Ruppel & Burns, Tampa, Florida, since 1999. From 1994 until 1999, he was a partner in the firm of Forlizzo & Neal. Mr. Neal also previously served as a Vice President Corporate Finance for Raymond James & Associates, Inc. and worked at Lever Brothers in New York, New York. Mr. Neal received his Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from Lipscomb University and received his Juris Doctor degree from Emory University.</p>
---------------	----	---

Mr. Neal has served on the Company's Board for more than a decade, supporting institutional continuity with Company and industry knowledge accumulated through all phases of industry and economic cycles, and through the Company's expansion over that period. He also brings considerable legal and transactional skills to the Board, including experience with SEC filings and other securities law matters. This led to the conclusion that he should continue to serve as a Director of our Company.

DIRECTORS CONTINUING IN OFFICE TERM TO EXPIRE 2013

Name	Age	Principal Occupation And Other Information
Peter L. Vosotas	70	<p>Mr. Vosotas founded the Company in 1985 and has served as Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company since its inception. Prior to founding the Company, Mr. Vosotas held a variety of Sales and Marketing positions with Ford Motor Company, GTE and AT&T Paradyne Corporation. Mr. Vosotas attended the United States Naval Academy and earned a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering from The University of New Hampshire.</p> <p><i>As our Chief Executive Officer and President, Mr. Vosotas provides the Board with information gained from hands-on management of Company operations, identifying near-term and long-term goals, challenges and opportunities. As the Company's founder, he brings the continuity of mission and values on which the Company was established. This led to the conclusion that he should serve as a Director of our Company.</i></p>
Ralph T. Finkenbrink	51	<p>Mr. Finkenbrink has served as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of the Company since 1997 and served as Vice President Finance of the Company from 1992 to July 1997. He joined the Company in 1988 and served as Controller of Nicholas Financial and NDS until 1992. Prior to joining the Company, he was a staff accountant for MBI, Inc. from January 1984 to March 1985 and Inventory Control Manager for the Dress Barn, Inc. from March 1985 to December 1987. Mr. Finkenbrink received his Bachelor of Science Degree in Accounting from Mount St. Mary's University in Emmitsburg, Maryland.</p> <p><i>Mr. Finkenbrink has been with the Company for 24 years, serving in various senior financial capacities. He brings valuable financial analytical skills and experience, as well as industry knowledge, to the Board. This led to the conclusion that he should serve as a Director of our Company.</i></p>

DIRECTOR CONTINUING IN OFFICE TERM TO EXPIRE 2014

Name	Age	Principal Occupation And Other Information
Stephen Bragin	82	Mr. Bragin has served as a director of the Company since February 10, 1999. Mr. Bragin is currently the Vice President, Treasurer and a member of the Board of Directors of Curlew Hills Memory Gardens. He is the retired Regional Development Director at the University of South Florida. Mr. Bragin is also a former principal and Vice President (retired) of David Bilgore & Company and a former member of the Board of Directors of Interest Bank. He served in the U.S. Army and is a Korean War veteran. Mr. Bragin received his Bachelor of Science degree from the University of Pennsylvania (Wharton School).

Mr. Bragin has served on the Company's Board for over a decade, supporting institutional continuity with Company and industry knowledge accumulated through all phases of industry and economic cycles, and through the Company's expansion over that period. Mr. Bragin's diverse and considerable experience allows him to bring to the Board significant leadership skills, as well as a diversity of viewpoint in judgment. This led to the conclusion that he should serve as a Director of our Company.

PROPOSAL 2: APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The Board of Directors and Audit Committee recommend the approval of the appointment of Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP as Independent Auditors of the Company for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2013, and urge each shareholder to vote FOR such proposal. Executed and unmarked proxies in the accompanying form will be voted at the Meeting in favor of such proposal.

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012, the Company engaged Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP to provide certain audit services, including the audit of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, quarterly reviews of the condensed consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Forms 10-Q, services performed in connection with filing this Proxy Statement and Information Circular and the Annual Report on Form 10-K by the Company with the SEC, attendance at meetings with the Audit Committee and consultation on matters relating to accounting, tax and financial reporting. Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP has acted as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company since December 31, 2003.

The Board of Directors and Audit Committee propose the appointment of Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP as Independent Auditors of the Company for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2013. No representative of Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP will be present at the Company's Annual General Meeting or available at the Meeting to answer any questions or make any statements with respect to the Company.

Vote Required

Assuming a quorum is present, approval of the appointment of Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP as Independent Auditors of the Company for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2013 requires that a majority of the total votes cast with respect to Common shares present, or represented, and entitled to vote at the Meeting vote in favor of such proposal.

Fees for Audit and Non-Audit Related Matters

The fees charged by Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP for professional services rendered to the Company in connection with all audit and non-audit related matters were as follows:

	Fiscal Year Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Audit Fees (1)	\$270,000	\$247,306
Audit Related Fees (2)	\$ 18,000	\$ 18,000
Tax Fees (3)	\$ 56,035	\$ 51,723
All Other Fees	None	None

(1) Audit fees consist of fees for the integrated audit of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting and reviews of the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements included in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.

(2) Audit related fees consist primarily of fees for the audit of the Company's retirement plan.

(3) Fees incurred were for income tax return preparation and other compliance services.

The Audit Committee has concluded that Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP's provision of the services described above is compatible with maintaining Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP's independence. The Audit Committee pre-approved all of such services. The Audit Committee has established pre-approval policies and procedures with respect to audit and permitted non-audit services to be provided by the Company's independent auditors.

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditors

The Audit Committee's policy is to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the Company's independent auditors in order to assure that the provision of such services does not impair the auditor's independence. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. Pre-approval is generally provided for up to one year and any pre-approval is detailed as to the particular service or category of services and is generally subject to a specific budget. Management is required to periodically report to the Audit Committee regarding the extent of services provided by the independent auditors in accordance with this pre-approval, and the fees for the services performed to date. During each of the fiscal years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, all services were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with this policy.

**PROPOSAL 3: ADVISORY VOTE ON COMPENSATION
OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The Board Of Directors recommends a vote FOR the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the Executive Compensation Discussion And Analysis section and the accompanying compensation tables and narrative discussion contained in this Proxy Statement and Information Circular. Abstentions and broker nonvotes will not be counted for purposes of determining whether a majority of votes has been cast in favor of this proposal. Proxies solicited by the Board will be voted FOR approval of the compensation, unless a shareholder specifies otherwise.

Under legislation that Congress enacted in 2010, our shareholders may approve, on a non-binding, advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in accordance with the executive compensation disclosure rules contained in Item 402 of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's Regulation S-K. Accordingly, we are seeking input from shareholders with this advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers. The vote on this proposal is not intended to address any specific element of compensation; rather, the vote relates to the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and the accompanying executive compensation tables and narrative discussion contained in this Proxy Statement and Information Circular. The Company asks that you support the compensation of our named executive officers as so disclosed. Because your vote is advisory, it will not be binding on the Compensation Committee, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, the Board or the Company. However, the Board will review the voting results and take them into consideration when making future decisions regarding executive compensation.

The Company's compensation philosophy emphasizes pay for performance. The goal is to provide an opportunity for total compensation that is competitive and sufficient to attract and retain executives and is reflective of our overall executive compensation philosophy which is designed to:

help attract and retain the most qualified individuals by being competitive with compensation paid to persons having similar responsibilities and duties in other companies in the same and closely related businesses;

relate to the value created for shareholders by being directly tied to the financial performance of the Company and the particular executive officer's contribution to such performance;

motivate and reward individuals who help the Company achieve its short-term and long-term objectives and thereby contribute significantly to the success of the Company; and

reflect the qualifications, skills, experience, and responsibilities of the particular executive officer.

We describe the individual elements that make up our total compensation more fully in the Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement and Information Circular. We believe our executive compensation programs are structured to support the Company and its business objectives.

Accordingly, for the reasons discussed above, the Board recommends that shareholders vote in favor of the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, compensation tables and narrative discussion.

Vote Required

Assuming a quorum is present, approval of the compensation of our named executive officers requires that a majority of the total votes cast with respect to Common shares present, or represented, and entitled to vote at the Meeting vote in favor of such proposal.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Committees of the Board of Directors and Meeting Attendance

The Company has not adopted a formal policy that each Director must attend each annual general meeting of shareholders, although Directors are encouraged to do so. The Company expects all members of the Board to attend the Meeting barring other significant commitments or special circumstances. All of the Company's Board members attended the Company's 2011 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders. During the Company's fiscal year ended March 31, 2012, there were six meetings of the Board, and each incumbent Director attended at least 75% of the aggregate number of Board meetings and meetings of all committees of the Board on which he served.

The Board of Directors of the Company has the standing committees listed below.

Audit Committee. The Board of Directors has established an Audit Committee. From April 1, 2004 until June 30, 2005, the Audit Committee was comprised of two members, namely Messrs. Neal (Chair) and Bragin. Effective June 30, 2005, the size of the Audit Committee was expanded from two to three members, and Mr. Fink was added to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee held five meetings during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012. The Board has determined that Messrs. Neal, Bragin and Fink satisfy the independence requirements of current Securities and Exchange Commission rules and NASDAQ Global Select Market listing standards. The Board also has determined that Mr. Fink qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined under these rules and listing standards.

The Audit Committee assists the Board of Directors with its responsibilities by (A) overseeing the Company's accounting and financial reporting processes and the audits of the Company's consolidated financial statements and (B) monitoring (i) the Company's compliance with legal, risk management and regulatory requirements, (ii) the Company's independent auditors' qualifications and independence, (iii) the performance of the Company's audit function and independent auditors, and (iv) the Company's systems of internal control with respect to the integrity of financial records, adherence to its policies and compliance with legal requirements. The Audit Committee: has sole responsibility to retain and terminate the Company's independent auditors, subject to shareholder ratification; has sole authority to pre-approve all audit and non-audit services performed by the Company's independent auditors and the fees and terms of each engagement; reviews the scope and results of each annual internal audit; and reviews the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and related public disclosures, earnings press releases and other financial information and earnings guidance provided to analysts or rating agencies. The Audit Committee is governed by a written charter, which sets forth the specific functions and responsibilities of the Audit Committee. A copy of the current Audit Committee charter is included as [Appendix A](#) to this Proxy Statement and Information Circular. The Audit Committee charter is not currently available on the Company's web site.

Compensation Committee. On June 30, 2005, the Board of Directors established a Compensation Committee, which is comprised of three directors, namely Messrs. Bragin, Fink and Neal (Chair). The Compensation Committee held two meetings during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012. The Board has determined that Messrs. Bragin, Fink and Neal satisfy the independence requirements of current NASDAQ Global Select Market listing standards.

The principal responsibilities of the Compensation Committee are to evaluate the performance and approve the compensation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers; prepare an annual report on executive compensation for inclusion in proxy statements of the Company; and oversee the Company's compensation and benefit plans for key employees and non-employee directors.

The Compensation Committee reviews and approves corporate goals and objectives relevant to the Company's Chief Executive Officer's compensation, evaluates the Chief Executive Officer's performance in light of these goals and objectives and establishes his compensation levels based on its evaluation. This Committee is also responsible for administration of the Nicholas Financial, Inc. Equity Incentive Plan, the Nicholas Financial, Inc. Employee Stock Option Plan and the Nicholas Financial, Inc. Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan. The specific functions and responsibilities of the Compensation Committee are set forth in its written charter. A copy of the current Compensation Committee charter is included as [Appendix B](#) to this Proxy Statement and Information Circular. The Compensation Committee charter is not currently available on the Company's web site.

Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee. On June 30, 2005, the Board of Directors established a Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, which is comprised of two directors, namely Messrs. Bragin and Neal. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee held one meeting during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012. The Board has determined that Messrs. Bragin and Neal satisfy the independence requirements of current NASDAQ Global Select Market listing standards. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee is governed by a written charter, which will be reviewed on an annual basis. A copy of the current Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee charter is included as [Appendix C](#) to this Proxy Statement and Information Circular. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee charter is not currently available on the Company's web site.

The principal functions of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee are to: identify, consider and recommend to the Board qualified director nominees for election at the Company's annual meeting; review and make recommendations on matters involving the general operation of the Board and its committees and recommend to the Board nominees for each committee of the Board; and develop and recommend to the Board the adoption and appropriate revision of the Company's corporate governance practices.

Nominations of Directors

The entire Board by majority vote selects the Director nominees to stand for election at the Company's annual general meetings of shareholders and to fill vacancies occurring on the Board, based on the recommendations of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee. In selecting nominees to recommend to the Board to stand for election as Directors, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will examine each Director nominee on a case-by-case basis regardless of who recommended the nominee and take into account all factors it considers appropriate. While the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee does not have a formal policy relating specifically to the consideration of diversity in its process to select and evaluate Director nominees, the Committee does consider diversity as part of its overall evaluation of candidates for Director nominees. Specifically, the Company's Corporate Governance Policies provide that the selection of potential directors should be based on all factors the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee and the Board consider appropriate, which include issues of diversity, age, background and training, business or administrative experience or skills, dedication and commitment, business judgment, analytical skills, problem-solving abilities and familiarity with regulatory environment. To this end, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee believes that the following minimum qualifications must be met by a Director nominee to be recommended to stand for election as Director:

Each Director must display high personal and professional ethics, integrity and values.

Each Director must have the ability to exercise sound business judgment.

Each Director must be highly accomplished in his or her respective field, with broad experience at the executive or policy-making level in business, government, education, technology or public interest.

Each Director must have relevant expertise and experience, and be able to offer advice and guidance based on that expertise and experience.

Each Director must be able to represent all shareholders of the Company and be committed to enhancing long-term shareholder value.

Each Director must have sufficient time available to devote to activities of the Board and to enhance his or her knowledge of the Company's business.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee may use various sources for identifying and evaluating nominees for Directors, including referrals from the Company's current Directors, management and shareholders. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will review the resume and qualifications of each candidate identified through any of the sources referenced above, and determine whether the candidate would add value to the Board. With respect to candidates that are determined by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee to be potential nominees, one or more members of the Committee will contact such candidates to determine the candidate's general availability and interest in serving. Once it is determined that a candidate is a good prospect, the candidate will be invited to meet with the full Committee, which will conduct a personal interview with the candidate. During the interview, the Committee will evaluate whether the candidate meets the guidelines and criteria adopted by the Board as well as exploring any special or unique qualifications, expertise and experience offered by the candidate and how such qualifications, expertise and/or experience may complement that of existing Board members. If the candidate is approved by the Committee as a result of the Committee's determination that the candidate will be able to add value to the Board and the candidate expresses his or her interest in serving on the Board, the Committee will then review its conclusions with the Board and recommend that the candidate be selected by the Board to stand for election by the shareholders or fill a vacancy or newly created position on the Board.

Pursuant to the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee charter, the Committee will investigate and consider shareholder recommendations for Director nominations submitted in writing by a shareholder (or group of shareholders) owning 5% or more of the Company's outstanding Common shares for at least one year. Recommendations for Director nominees to be considered by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, including recommendations from shareholders of the Company, should be sent in writing, together with a description of each proposed nominee's qualifications and other relevant biographical information concerning such proposed nominee, to the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors, care of the Secretary of the Company, at the Company's headquarters, and must be received at least 120 days prior to the anniversary date of the release of the proxy statement relating to the prior year's Annual General Meeting of Shareholders.

Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight

Mr. Vosotas, the founder of the Company, has served as our Chief Executive Officer, or CEO, and Chairman of the Board, since the Company's inception in 1985. Our Board does not have a policy on whether or not the roles of CEO and chairman should be separate; indeed, the Board has the authority to choose its chairman in any way it deems best for our Company at any given point in time. Accordingly, our Board reserves the right to vest the responsibilities of the CEO and chairman in the same person or in two different individuals, depending upon what it believes is in the best interests of the Company. Our Board currently believes that Mr. Vosotas is uniquely qualified to serve as both our chairman and CEO, given his historical leadership of our Board, his long history with our Company, his significant ownership interest in the Company and the current size of both the Company and our Board. Our Board believes, however, that there is no single Board leadership structure that would be most effective in all circumstances, and therefore the Board retains the authority to modify this structure to best address our Company's and Board's then current circumstances as and when appropriate.

Our Board, and, in particular, the Audit Committee are involved on an ongoing basis in the general oversight of our material identified enterprise-related risks. Each of our CEO and Chief Financial Officer, with input as appropriate from other appropriate management members, reports and provides relevant information directly to either our Board and/or the Audit Committee on various types of identified material financial, reputational, legal and business risks to which we are or may be subject, as well as mitigation strategies for certain key identified material risks. Our Board's and Audit Committee's roles in our risk oversight process have not affected our Board leadership structure.

Communications with Board of Directors

Shareholders may communicate with the full Board or individual Directors by submitting such communications in writing to Nicholas Financial, Inc., Attention: Board of Directors (or the individual Director(s)), Building C, 2454 McMullen Booth Road, Clearwater, Florida 33759. Such communications will be delivered directly to the appropriate Director(s).

Report of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee oversees the Company's financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors. Management has the primary responsibility for the consolidated financial statements and the reporting process including the systems of internal controls. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Committee reviewed the audited consolidated financial statements in the Annual Report with management including a discussion of the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments, and the clarity of disclosures in the consolidated financial statements.

The Committee reviewed with the Company's Independent Auditors, who are responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of those audited consolidated financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles, their judgments as to the quality, not just the acceptability, of the Company's accounting principles and such other matters as are required to be discussed with the Committee under standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. The Audit Committee also discussed with the Company's Independent Auditors matters related to the financial reporting process required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, Communication with Audit Committees. In addition, the Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the Independent Auditors required by Rule 3526 of the Public Company Accounting Standards Board, as currently in effect, and the Audit Committee discussed with the Independent Auditors that firm's independence and considered the compatibility of nonaudit services with the Independent Auditors' independence.

The Committee discussed with the Company's Independent Auditors the overall scope and plans for their audit. The Committee meets with the independent auditors, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations, their evaluations of the Company's internal controls, and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting. The Committee held five meetings during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors (and the Board has approved) that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Annual Report for filing with the Commission. The Committee and the Board have also recommended, subject to shareholder approval, the appointment of Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP as the Company's Independent Auditors for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2013.

The foregoing report of the Audit Committee does not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other Company filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent the Company specifically incorporates such report by reference therein.

Alton R. Neal, Audit Committee Chair

Scott Fink, Audit Committee Member

Stephen Bragin, Audit Committee Member

June 14, 2012

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND COMPENSATION

The Company currently has two (2) executive officers: Peter L. Vosotas, Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President; and Ralph T. Finkenbrink, Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary. Mr. Vosotas has a son who is an employee of the Company. For additional information regarding Messrs. Vosotas and Finkenbrink, see Proposal 1: Election of Directors above.

Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview of Executive Compensation Philosophy

The primary objectives of the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors with respect to executive compensation are to attract, motivate and retain the best executive talent available and to align the Company's executive compensation structure with shareholder value creation. More specifically, the Compensation Committee believes that executive compensation should:

- ∩ help attract and retain the most qualified individuals by being competitive with compensation paid to persons having similar responsibilities and duties in other companies in the same and closely related businesses;
- ∩ relate to the value created for shareholders by being directly tied to the financial performance of the Company and the particular executive officer's contribution to such performance;
- ∩ motivate and reward individuals who help the Company achieve its short-term and long-term objectives and thereby contribute significantly to the success of the Company; and
- ∩ reflect the qualifications, skills, experience, and responsibilities of the particular executive officer.

Role of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for:

∩

evaluating the performance and determining and approving the compensation of the Company's executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer (the "CEO"); and

is overseeing the Company's compensation and benefit plans for key employees and non-employee directors, including the Company's equity plans.

Through this process, the Committee reviews and determines all aspects of compensation for the Named Executive Officers (as defined below) of the Company. The Named Executive Officers of the Company are: Mr. Peter L. Vosotas, Chairman of the Board, CEO and President; and Mr. Ralph T. Finkenbrink, Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary.

Process for Determining Executive Compensation

The Compensation Committee is responsible for establishing and monitoring adherence to the Company's compensation programs. When setting executive compensation, the Compensation Committee applies a consistent approach for all Named Executive Officers. It intends that the combination of elements of executive compensation closely aligns the executives' interest with those of the Company's shareholders. Target total compensation is comprised of base salary, annual cash bonus and long-term incentive compensation in the form of equity grants. The Compensation Committee generally reviews and adjusts executive target total compensation levels annually in February and March of each year.

In fiscal 2006, the Board of Directors and Compensation Committee retained an independent compensation consulting firm to perform analyses of competitive performance and compensation levels for the Company's Named Executive Officers. This consulting firm developed recommendations that were reviewed by the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors in connection with approving executive compensation for fiscal 2007. Neither the Board of Directors nor the Compensation Committee has retained any independent compensation consultants since that time.

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 14 of 31

Oregon Trail Financial Corp. (“OTFC”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on December 15, 2000. In January 2001, we met with the management of OTFC to discuss our concerns that management was not maximizing shareholder value, and we proposed that OTFC voluntarily place our representative on the board. OTFC rejected our proposal, and we announced our intention to solicit proxies to elect a board nominee. We demanded OTFC’s shareholder list, but OTFC refused to give it to us. We sued OTFC in Baker County, Oregon, and the court ruled in our favor and sanctioned OTFC. We also sued two OTFC directors alleging that one had violated OTFC’s residency requirement and that the other had committed perjury. Both suits were dismissed pre-trial but we filed an appeal in one suit and were permitted to re-file the other suit in state court. On August 16, 2001, we started soliciting proxies to elect Kevin D. Padrick, Esq. to the board. We argued in our proxy materials that OTFC should have repurchased its shares at prices below book value. OTFC announced the hiring of an investment banker. Then, the day after the 9/11 attacks, OTFC sued us in Portland, Oregon and moved to invalidate our proxies; the court denied the motion and the election proceeded.

On October 12, 2001, OTFC’s shareholders elected our candidate by a two-to-one margin. In the five months after the filing of our first proxy statement (i.e., from August 1 through December 31, 2001), OTFC repurchased approximately 15% of its shares. On March 12, 2002, we entered into a standstill agreement with OTFC. OTFC agreed to: (a) achieve annual targets for return on equity, (b) reduce its current capital ratio, (c) obtain advice from an investment banker regarding annual 10% stock repurchases, (d) re-elect our director to the board, (e) reimburse a portion of our expenses, and (f) withdraw its lawsuit. On February 24, 2003, OTFC and FirstBank NW Corp. announced their merger.

American Physicians Capital, Inc. (“ACAP”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on November 25, 2002. The Schedule 13D disclosed that on January 18, 2002, Michigan’s Insurance Department had approved our request to solicit proxies to elect two directors to ACAP’s board. On January 29, 2002, we noticed our intention to nominate two directors at the 2002 annual meeting. On February 20, 2002, we entered into a three-year standstill agreement with ACAP, providing for ACAP to add our nominee to its board. ACAP also agreed to consider using a portion of its excess capital to repurchase ACAP’s shares in each of the fiscal years 2002 and 2003 so that its outstanding share count would decrease by 15% for each of those years. In its 2002 fiscal year, ACAP repurchased 15% of its outstanding shares; these repurchases were highly accretive to per share book value. On November 6, 2003, ACAP announced a reserve charge and that it would explore options to maximize shareholder value. It also announced that it would exit the healthcare and workers’ compensation insurance businesses. ACAP then announced that it had retained Sandler O’Neill & Partners, L.P., to assist the board. On December 2, 2003, ACAP announced the early retirement of its president and CEO. On December 23, 2003, ACAP named R. Kevin Clinton its new president and CEO.

On June 24, 2004, ACAP announced that it had decided that the best means to maximize shareholder value would be to shed non-core businesses and focus on its core business line in its core markets. We increased our holdings in ACAP, and we announced that we intended to seek additional board representation. On November 10, 2004, ACAP

invited Joseph Stilwell to sit on the board, and we entered into a new standstill agreement. This agreement was terminated in November 2007, with our representatives remaining on ACAP's board. On May 8, 2008, our representatives were re-elected to three-year terms expiring in 2011. Upon the passage of federal healthcare legislation in 2010, ACAP became concerned about the fundamentals of its business and promptly acted to assess its strategic alternatives. On October 22, 2010, ACAP was acquired by The Doctors Company, and our shares were converted in a cash deal.

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 15 of 31

SCPIE Holdings Inc. (“SKP”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on January 19, 2006. We announced we would run our slate of directors at the 2006 annual meeting and demanded SKP’s shareholder list. SKP initially refused to timely produce the list, but did so after we sued it in Delaware Chancery Court. We engaged in a proxy contest at the 2006 annual meeting, but SKP’s directors were elected. Subsequently on December 14, 2006, SKP agreed to place Joseph Stilwell on its board. On October 16, 2007, Mr. Stilwell resigned from SKP’s board after it approved a sale of SKP that Mr. Stilwell believed was an inferior offer. We solicited shareholder proxies in opposition to the proposed sale; however, the sale was approved, and our shares were converted in a cash deal.

Colonial Financial Services, Inc. (“COBK”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on August 24, 2011. On December 18, 2013, we reached an agreement with COBK to have a director of our choice appointed to its board of directors. Our nominee, Corissa J. Briglia, joined COBK’s board of directors on March 25, 2014. On September 10, 2014, COBK announced its sale to Cape Bancorp, Inc., and the cash/stock deal was completed on April 1, 2015.

Naugatuck Valley Financial Corporation (“NVSL”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on July 11, 2011. On February 13, 2014, we reported our intention to seek board representation. On March 12, 2014, we reached an agreement with NVSL for our representative to join NVSL's board of directors and for NVSL not to seek approval for stock benefit plans. On June 4, 2015, NVSL announced its sale to Liberty Bank in Middletown, CT, and the cash deal was completed on January 15, 2016.

Fraternity Community Bancorp, Inc. (“FRTR”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on April 11, 2011. We reached an agreement with FRTR, and on November 18, 2014, our representative, Corissa J. Briglia, was appointed to the board of directors. On October 13, 2015, FRTR's sale was announced, and the cash deal was completed on May 13, 2016.

III. After we asserted shareholder rights, we believe the following issuers took steps to maximize shareholder value, and we subsequently exited our activist positions:

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc. (“FPIC”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on June 30, 2003. On August 12, 2003, Florida’s Insurance Department approved our request to hold more than 5% of FPIC’s shares, to solicit proxies to hold board seats, and to exercise shareholder rights. On November 10, 2003, FPIC invited our nominee, John G. Rich, Esq., to join the board, and we signed a confidentiality agreement. On June 7, 2004, we disclosed that because FPIC had taken steps to increase shareholder value, such as multiple share repurchases, and because its market price increased and reflected fair value in our estimation, we sold our shares in the open market,

decreasing our holdings below 5%. Our nominee was invited to remain on the board.

Prudential Bancorp, Inc. of Pennsylvania (“PBIP”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on June 20, 2005. Most of PBIP’s shares were held by the Prudential Mutual Holding Company (the “MHC”), which was controlled by PBIP’s board. The MHC controlled most corporate decisions requiring a shareholder vote, such as the election of directors. However, regulations promulgated by the FDIC previously barred the MHC from voting on PBIP’s management stock benefit plans, and PBIP’s IPO prospectus indicated that the MHC would not vote on the plans. We announced in August 2005 that we would solicit proxies to oppose adoption of the plans as a referendum to place Joseph Stilwell on PBIP’s board. PBIP decided not to put the plans up for a vote at the 2006 annual meeting.

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 16 of 31

In December 2005, we solicited proxies to withhold votes on the election of directors as a referendum to place Mr. Stilwell on the board. At the 2006 annual meeting, 71% of PBIP's voting public shares were withheld from voting on management's nominees.

On April 6, 2006, PBIP announced that just after we had filed our Schedule 13D, it had secretly solicited a letter from an FDIC staffer (which it concealed from the public) that the MHC would be allowed to vote in favor of the management stock benefit plans. PBIP also announced a special meeting to vote on the plans. We alerted the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Fed") about this announcement, and PBIP was directed to seek Fed approval before adopting the plans. On April 19, 2006, PBIP postponed the special meeting. The Fed subsequently followed the FDIC's position in September 2006. In December 2006, we solicited proxies to withhold votes on the election of PBIP's directors at the 2007 annual meeting. At the meeting, 75% of PBIP's voting public shares were withheld. Also during the annual meeting, PBIP's President and Chief Executive Officer was unable to state the meaning of per share return on equity despite Mr. Stilwell's holding up a \$10,000 check for the charity of the CEO's choice if he could promptly answer the question. On March 7, 2007, we disclosed that we were publicizing the results of PBIP's elections and its directors' unwillingness to hold a democratic vote on the stock plans by placing billboard advertisements throughout Philadelphia.

In December 2007, we filed proxy materials for the solicitation of proxies to withhold votes on the election of PBIP's directors at the 2008 annual meeting. At the 2008 annual meeting, an average of 77% of PBIP's voting public shares withheld their votes. Excluding shares held in PBIP's ESOP, an average of 88% of the voting public shares withheld their votes in this election.

On October 4, 2006, we sued PBIP, the MHC, and the directors of PBIP and the MHC in federal court in Philadelphia seeking an order to prevent the MHC from voting in favor of the management stock benefit plans. On August 15, 2007, the court dismissed some claims, but sustained our cause of action against the MHC as majority shareholder of PBIP for breach of fiduciary duties. Discovery proceeded and all the directors were deposed. Both sides moved for summary judgment, but the court ordered the case to trial, which was scheduled for June 2008. On May 22, 2008, we voluntarily discontinued the lawsuit after determining that it would be more effective and appropriate to pursue the directors on a personal basis in a derivative action. On June 11, 2008, we filed a notice to appeal certain portions of the lower court's August 15, 2007, order dismissing portions of the lawsuit.

We entered into a settlement agreement and an expense agreement with PBIP in November 2008 under which we agreed to support PBIP's management stock benefit plans, drop our litigation and withdraw our shareholder demand, and generally support management; and in exchange, PBIP agreed, subject to certain conditions, to repurchase up to three million of its shares (including shares previously purchased), reimburse a portion of our expenses, and either adopt a second step conversion or add our nominee who meets certain qualification requirements to its board if the

repurchases were not completed by a specified time.

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 17 of 31

On March 5, 2010, we reported that our ownership in PBIP had dropped below 5% as a result of open market sales and sales of common stock to PBIP.

Roma Financial Corp. (“ROMA”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on July 27, 2006. Prior to its acquisition by Investors Bancorp, Inc., in December 2013, nearly 70% of ROMA’s shares were held by a mutual holding company controlled by ROMA’s board. In April 2007, we engaged in a proxy solicitation at ROMA’s first annual meeting, urging shareholders to withhold their vote from management’s slate. ROMA did not put their stock benefit plans up for a vote at that meeting. We then met with ROMA management. In the four months after ROMA became eligible to repurchase its shares, it announced and substantially completed repurchases of 15% of its publicly held shares, which were accretive to shareholder value. In our judgment, management came to understand the importance of proper capital allocation. Based on ROMA management’s prompt implementation of shareholder-friendly capital allocation plans, we supported management’s adoption of stock benefit plans at the 2008 shareholder meeting. In our estimation, ROMA’s market price increased and reflected fair value, and we sold our shares in the open market.

First Savings Financial Group, Inc. (“FSFG”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on December 29, 2008. We met with management, after which FSFG announced a stock repurchase plan and began repurchasing its shares. In December 2009, we reported that our beneficial ownership in the outstanding FSFG common stock had fallen below 5%.

Alliance Bancorp, Inc. of Pennsylvania (“ALLB”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on March 12, 2009. When we announced our reporting position, a majority of ALLB’s shares were held by a mutual holding company controlled by ALLB’s board. However, on August 11, 2010, ALLB announced its intention to undertake a second step offering, selling all shares to the public. The plan of conversion and reorganization was approved by depositors at a special meeting held December 29, 2010. We strongly supported ALLB’s action. Following completion of the conversion of Alliance Bank from the mutual holding company structure to the stock holding company structure, we increased our stake with the belief that shareholders and ALLB would do well if management focused on profitability. We believe management and the board acted in good faith and took steps to increase shareholder value, such as multiple share repurchases. In our estimation, ALLB’s market price increased and reflected fair value; and on November 21, 2013, we disclosed that we sold shares in the open market, decreasing our holdings below 5%.

Standard Financial Corp. (“STND”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on October 18, 2010. We believe management and the board acted in good faith and took steps to increase shareholder value, such as multiple share repurchases. In our estimation, STND’s market price increased and reflected fair value; and on March 19, 2013, we disclosed that we sold our shares in the open market, decreasing our holdings below 5%.

Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. of Louisiana (“HFBL”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on January 3, 2011. We believe management and the board acted in good faith and took steps to increase shareholder value, such as multiple share repurchases. In our estimation, the HFBL’s market price increased and reflected fair value; and on February 7, 2013, we disclosed that we sold shares in the open market, decreasing our holdings below 5%.

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 18 of 31

ASB Bancorp, Inc. (“ASBB”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on October 24, 2011. On August 23, 2013, we met with management to assess the best way to maximize shareholder value. We believe management and the board acted in good faith by cleaning up non-performing assets and repurchasing shares, and ASBB’s market price increased to reflect fair value. On July 18, 2014, we disclosed that we sold our shares to ASBB.

United Insurance Holdings Corp. (“UIHC”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on September 29, 2011. On December 17, 2012, we disclosed that we sold shares in the open market, decreasing our holdings below 5%.

United Community Bancorp (“UCBA”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on January 22, 2013. We believe management and the board acted in good faith and took steps to increase shareholder value, such as multiple share repurchases. In our estimation, UCBA’s market price increased to reflect fair value; and on November 9, 2015, we disclosed that we sold shares to UCBA, decreasing our holdings below 5%.

West End Indiana Bancshares, Inc. (“WEIN”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on January 19, 2012. We believe management and the board acted in good faith and took steps to increase shareholder value, such as multiple share repurchases. In our estimation, WEIN’s market price increased to reflect fair value; and on November 12, 2015, we disclosed that we sold our shares in the open market.

IV. After successfully seeking board representation, we seated directors who currently serve on the boards of the following issuers:

Malvern Federal Bancorp, Inc. (“MLVF”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on May 30, 2008. When we announced our reporting position, a majority of MLVF’s shares were held by a mutual holding company controlled by MLVF’s board. On October 26, 2010, we demanded that MLVF pursue a derivative action against its directors for breach of their fiduciary duties. MLVF failed to pursue the action and, on June 3, 2011, we sued MLVF’s directors in Chester County, Pennsylvania, demanding that the court, among other things, order the directors to properly consider pursuing a second step conversion. On November 9, 2011, The Honorable Judge Howard F. Riley, Jr., overruled the director defendants’ preliminary objections to the derivative lawsuit.

On January 17, 2012, MLVF announced its intention to undertake a second step conversion and we withdrew the lawsuit. The conversion and stock offering were completed on October 11, 2012, and our shares were converted into

shares of Malvern Bancorp, Inc. On September 5, 2013, we notified MLVF of our intention to nominate John P. O'Grady for election as a director at its 2014 annual meeting, but we later reached an agreement with MLVF for Mr. O'Grady to join its board of directors. On November 25, 2014, we terminated our standstill agreement with MLVF, including the agreement's performance targets. After meeting with the new CEO and the new chairman of the board, we believe that management and the board of directors are now focused on maximizing shareholder value. John P. O'Grady remains a director on the board.

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 19 of 31

First Financial Northwest, Inc. (“FFNW”) – We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on September 12, 2011. On January 11, 2012, a representative of the Group became a member of FFNW’s board. On February 15, 2012, our representative resigned and we announced our intention to run a contested election at FFNW’s 2012 annual meeting. We mailed our proxy materials to FFNW’s shareholders in April 2012 seeking election of our nominee. At FFNW’s 2012 annual meeting of shareholders held on May 24, 2012, our nominee defeated Victor Karpiak, the chairman and president, by a substantial percentage. FFNW attempted to invalidate our votes, and we sued to enforce our rights. In accordance with the settlement we reached with FFNW in December 2012, our nominee, Kevin Padrick, was appointed to FFNW’s board on March 14, 2013, and Victor Karpiak resigned as chairman. Subsequently, Mr. Karpiak retired and a new CEO was hired. Since then, FFNW has pursued multiple share repurchases, cleaned up its non-performing assets and reached a good level of profitability.

Poage Bankshares, Inc. (“PBSK”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on September 23, 2011. We believed PBSK's board was not focused on maximizing shareholder value and nominated a director for election at PBSK's 2014 annual meeting. Our nominee was not elected, so we nominated a director at PBSK's 2015 annual meeting. On July 21, 2015, our nominee, Stephen S. Burchett, was elected as a director with a mandate to maximize shareholder value. Subsequently, the CEO left the company. We believe management and the board are acting in good faith to maximize shareholder value.

Sunshine Financial, Inc. (“SSNF”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on April 18, 2011. We reached an agreement with SSNF, and on February 5, 2016, our representative, Corissa J. Briglia, was appointed to the board of directors.

V. We hope to work with management and the boards of the following issuers:

William Penn Bancorp, Inc. (“WMPN”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on May 23, 2008. A majority of WMPN’s shares are held by a mutual holding company controlled by WMPN’s board. We met with management and the board to explain our views on proper capital allocation and following the financial crisis, we continued to urge WMPN to take the steps necessary to maximize shareholder value. On December 3, 2014, WMPN announced and subsequently completed its plan to repurchase 10% of its shares outstanding and has completed several additional share repurchases. We believe management and the board have acted in good faith to date to maximize shareholder value through shareholder-friendly capital allocation.

Wayne Savings Bancshares, Inc. (“WAYN”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on October 8, 2010. We supported H. Stewart Fitz Gibbon III’s appointment as president and CEO effective November 3, 2014 and

as a director on the executive committee of WAYN's board. We believed management and the board acted in good faith to position WAYN to maximize shareholder value. We encourage WAYN to resume share repurchases or sell the bank.

Wolverine Bancorp. Inc. ("WBKC") - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on February 7, 2011. We support WBKC's consistent efforts to maximize shareholder value through share repurchases and payments of special dividends.

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 20 of 31

Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. (“JXSB”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on July 5, 2011. We support JXSB’s consistent efforts to maximize shareholder value through share repurchases and payments of special dividends.

Provident Financial Holdings, Inc. (“PROV”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on October 7, 2011. We support PROV’s consistent efforts to maximize shareholder value through share repurchases.

Sound Financial, Inc. (“SFBC”) – We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on November 21, 2011. We urged management and the board to pursue a second step conversion. On August 22, 2012, Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc. (“SFBC”) announced completion of its second step conversion and our shares of SNFL were converted into shares of SFBC. We support SFBC’s consistent efforts to maximize shareholder value.

IF Bancorp, Inc. (“IROQ”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on March 5, 2012. We believe IROQ is positioned to consistently repurchase its shares, and we have urged management and the board to do so. We believe IROQ must increase its rate of share repurchases while the shares remain below book value.

Hamilton Bancorp, Inc. (“HBK”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on October 22, 2012. We believe HBK’s acquisition of FMTB and FRTR is in the best interest of shareholders.

Delanco Bancorp, Inc. (“DLNO”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on October 28, 2013. We believe management and the board are acting in good faith to position DLNO to maximize shareholder value.

Carroll Bancorp, Inc. (“CROL”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on March 17, 2014. We are evaluating management and the board’s actions regarding maximizing shareholder value.

Sugar Creek Financial Corp. (“SUGR”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on April 21, 2014. We believe management and the board have acted in good faith to position SUGR to maximize shareholder value. We have urged management and the board to repurchase shares. To date, SUGR has completed the minimum number of share repurchases to maintain our support.

Seneca-Cayuga Bancorp, Inc. (“SCAY”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on September 15, 2014. We believe SCAY is positioned to provide meaningful returns to its shareholders either through a second-step conversion or by effectuating a shareholder-friendly capital allocation program. We are encouraging management and the board to choose a path that will maximize shareholder value.

Pinnacle Bancshares, Inc. (“PCLB”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on September 23, 2014. On November 14, 2014, PCLB announced the continuation of its share repurchase plan and announced a new repurchase plan on May 25, 2016. We believe management and the board have acted in good faith to date to maximize shareholder value through share repurchases.

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 21 of 31

MB Bancorp, Inc. (“MBCQ”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on January 9, 2015. We urged management and the board to repurchase shares and on March 30, 2016, MBCQ announced and subsequently completed its plan to repurchase an initial 10% of its shares outstanding. We urge management and the board to complete another 10% share repurchase plan.

Ben Franklin Financial, Inc. (“BFFI”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on February 9, 2015. We will urge management and the board to repurchase shares as soon as BFFI is permitted.

Alamogordo Financial Corp. (“ALMG”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on May 11, 2015. We urged management and the board to provide meaningful returns to shareholders either through a second-step conversion or by effectuating a shareholder-friendly capital allocation program. On March 7, 2016, ALMG announced its plan to complete a second-step conversion which we believe will maximize shareholder value.

FSB Community Bankshares, Inc. (“FSBC”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on October 26, 2015. We urged management and the board to provide meaningful returns to shareholders either through a second-step conversion or by effectuating a shareholder-friendly capital allocation program. On March 3, 2016, FSBC announced its plan to complete a second-step conversion which we believe will maximize shareholder value.

Central Federal Bancshares, Inc. (“CFDB”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on January 25, 2016. We will urge management and the board to repurchase shares as soon as CFDB is permitted.

First Federal of Northern Michigan Bancorp, Inc. (“FFNM”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on February 29, 2016. We believe FFNM is positioned to repurchase shares, and we urge management and the board to do so.

VI. We believe the following issuers should be sold:

Anchor Bancorp (“ANCB”) - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on May 7, 2012. We previously urged ANCB to maximize shareholder value by increasing share repurchases or selling the bank. We believe continued poor performance now means that ANCB should be sold. We intend to seek board representation at the

upcoming annual meeting if ANCB has not announced its sale.

HopFed Bancorp. Inc. ("HFBC") - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on February 25, 2013. We opposed HFBC's purchase of Sumner Bank & Trust and mailed our proxy materials to HFBC's stockholders on April 5, 2013, seeking election of our nominee as a director at HFBC's 2013 annual meeting. On May 15, 2013, our nominee was elected by a two-to-one margin, and on August 23, 2013, HFBC's acquisition of Sumner Bank & Trust was terminated. Our nominee did not seek re-election for a second term at HFBC's 2016 annual meeting.

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 22 of 31

VII. The following issuer is the focus of a shareholder derivative litigation:

NorthEast Community Bancorp, Inc. ("NECB") - We filed our original Schedule 13D reporting our position on November 5, 2007. A majority of NECB's shares are held by a mutual holding company controlled by NECB's board. In July of 2010, we delivered a written demand to NECB demanding to inspect its shareholder list, but NECB refused to supply us with the list. We sued NECB in federal court in New York seeking an order compelling compliance. In August of 2010, NECB produced the list of shareholders to us. In the fall of 2011, we sent a letter to NECB's board of directors demanding that NECB expand the board with disinterested directors to consider a second step conversion. In October of 2011, we filed a lawsuit in New York state court against NECB, the mutual holding company, and their boards of directors, personally and derivatively, for breach of fiduciary duty arising out of failure to fairly consider a second step conversion. The case has proceeded through protracted litigation including discovery and motion practice.

Members of the Group may seek to make additional purchases or sales of shares of Common Stock. Except as described in this filing, no member of the Group has any plans or proposals which relate to, or could result in, any of the matters referred to in paragraphs (a) through (j), inclusive, of Item 4 of Schedule 13D. Members of the Group may, at any time and from time to time, review or reconsider their positions and formulate plans or proposals with respect thereto.

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 23 of 31

Item 5. Interest in Securities of the Issuer

The members of the Group beneficially own an aggregate of 4,491,741 shares of Common Stock, consisting of (i) 3,772,218 shares of Common Stock owned of record, (ii) 82,143 shares of Common Stock which are issuable upon the conversion of 13,143 shares of Class A Preferred Shares, Series 1 (“Series 1 Shares”), and (iii) 637,380 shares issuable upon conversion of exercisable Series B Warrants. The percentages used in this filing are calculated based on 22,514,994 outstanding shares of Common Stock, reflecting 21,795,471 outstanding shares of Common Stock as reported by the Issuer on www.tmx.com as of September 16, 2016, plus 82,143 of Common Stock issuable upon conversion of the Series 1 Shares, plus 637,380 shares of Common Stock issuable upon conversion of exercisable Series B Warrants. All dispositions of shares of Common Stock reported herein were sales made in open-market transactions.

(A) Stilwell Value Partners III

(a) Aggregate number of shares beneficially owned: 4,491,741

Percentage: 19.95%

- (b) 1. Sole power to vote or to direct vote: 0
- 2. Shared power to vote or to direct vote: 4,491,741
- 3. Sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition: 0
- 4. Shared power to dispose or to direct disposition: 4,491,741

(c) Stilwell Value Partners III has not purchased or sold any shares of Common Stock in the past 60 days.

(d) Because he is the managing member and owner of Stilwell Value LLC, which is the general partner of Stilwell Value Partners III, Joseph Stilwell has the power to direct the affairs of Stilwell Value Partners III, including the voting and disposition of shares of Common Stock held in the name of Stilwell Value Partners III. Therefore, Joseph Stilwell is deemed to share voting and disposition power with Stilwell Value Partners III with regard to those shares of Common Stock.

(B) Stilwell Value Partners IV

(a) Aggregate number of shares beneficially owned: 0
Percentage: 0.0%

- (b) 1. Sole power to vote or to direct vote: 0
- 2. Shared power to vote or to direct vote: 0
- 3. Sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition: 0
- 4. Shared power to dispose or to direct disposition: 0

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 24 of 31

(c) Within the past 60 days, Stilwell Value Partners IV has sold shares of Common Stock as follows:

Date	Number of Shares (Sold)	Purchase Price Per Share	Total (Sale) Price
09/30/2016	1,148,263	\$ 5.74	\$ 6,591,030

Within the past 60 days, Stilwell Value Partners IV has sold Series B Warrants to purchase shares of Common Stock as follows:

Date	Number of Series B Warrants (Sold)	Purchase Price Per Series B Warrant	Total (Sale) Price
09/30/2016	285,913	\$ 2.00	\$ 571,826

(d) Because he is the managing member and owner of Stilwell Value LLC, which is the general partner of Stilwell Value Partners IV, Joseph Stilwell has the power to direct the affairs of Stilwell Activist Fund, including the voting and disposition of shares of Common Stock held in the name of Stilwell Value Partners IV. Therefore, Joseph Stilwell is deemed to share voting and disposition power with Stilwell Value Partners IV with regard to those shares of Common Stock.

(C) Stilwell Activist Fund

(a) Aggregate number of shares beneficially owned: 4,491,741
Percentage: 19.95%

- (b) 1. Sole power to vote or to direct vote: 0
2. Shared power to vote or to direct vote: 4,491,741
3. Sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition: 0
4. Shared power to dispose or to direct disposition: 4,491,741

(c) Within the past 60 days, Stilwell Activist Fund has purchased shares of Common Stock as follows:

Date	Number of Shares Acquired	Purchase Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price
09/30/2016	75,441	\$ 5.74	\$ 433,031

Within the past 60 days, Stilwell Activist Fund has purchased Series B Warrants to purchase shares of Common Stock as follows:

Date	Number of Series B Warrants Acquired	Purchase Price Per Series B Warrant	Total Purchase Price
09/30/2016	18,784	\$ 2.00	\$ 37,568

(d) Because he is the managing member and owner of Stilwell Value LLC, which is the general partner of Stilwell Activist Fund, Joseph Stilwell has the power to direct the affairs of Stilwell Activist Fund, including the voting and disposition of shares of Common Stock held in the name of Stilwell Activist Fund. Therefore, Joseph Stilwell is deemed to share voting and disposition power with Stilwell Activist Fund with regard to those shares of Common Stock.

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 25 of 31

(D) Stilwell Activist Investments

(a) Aggregate number of shares beneficially owned: 4,491,741
 Percentage: 19.95%

(b) 1. Sole power to vote or to direct vote: 0
 2. Shared power to vote or to direct vote: 4,491,741
 3. Sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition: 0
 4. Shared power to dispose or to direct disposition: 4,491,741

(c) Within the past 60 days, Stilwell Activist Investments has purchased shares of Common Stock as follows:

Date	Number of Shares Acquired	Purchase Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price
09/30/2016	1,072,822	\$ 5.74	\$ 6,157,998

Within the past 60 days, Stilwell Activist Investments has purchased Series B Warrants to purchase shares of Common Stock as follows:

Date	Number of Series B Warrants Acquired	Purchase Price Per Series B Warrant	Total Purchase Price
09/30/2016	267,129	\$ 2.00	\$ 534,258

(d) Because he is the managing member and owner of Stilwell Value LLC, which is the general partner of Stilwell Activist Investments, Joseph Stilwell has the power to direct the affairs of Stilwell Activist Investments, including the voting and disposition of shares of Common Stock held in the name of Stilwell Activist Investments. Therefore, Joseph Stilwell is deemed to share voting and disposition power with Stilwell Activist Investments with regard to those shares of Common Stock.

(E) Stilwell Associates

(a) Aggregate number of shares beneficially owned: 4,491,741
Percentage: 19.95%

- (b) 1. Sole power to vote or to direct vote: 0
2. Shared power to vote or to direct vote: 4,491,741
3. Sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition: 0
4. Shared power to dispose or to direct disposition: 4,491,741

(c) Stilwell Associates has not purchased or sold any shares of Common Stock in the past 60 days.

(d) Because he is the managing member and owner of Stilwell Value LLC, which is the general partner of Stilwell Associates, Joseph Stilwell has the power to direct the affairs of Stilwell Associates, including the voting and disposition of shares of Common Stock held in the name of Stilwell Associates. Therefore, Joseph Stilwell is deemed to share voting and disposition power with Stilwell Associates with regard to those shares of Common Stock.

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 26 of 31

(F) Stilwell Value LLC

(a) Aggregate number of shares beneficially owned: 4,491,741
Percentage: 19.95%

- (b) 1. Sole power to vote or to direct vote: 0
- 2. Shared power to vote or to direct vote: 4,491,741
- 3. Sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition: 0
- 4. Shared power to dispose or to direct disposition: 4,491,741

(c) Stilwell Value LLC has not purchased or sold any shares of Common Stock in the past 60 days.

(d) Because he is the managing member and owner of Stilwell Value LLC, Joseph Stilwell has the power to direct the affairs of Stilwell Value LLC. Stilwell Value LLC is the general partner of Stilwell Value Partners III, Stilwell Value Partners IV, Stilwell Activist Fund, Stilwell Activist Investments and Stilwell Associates. Therefore, Stilwell Value LLC may be deemed to share with Joseph Stilwell voting and disposition power with regard to the shares of Common Stock held by Stilwell Value Partners III, Stilwell Value Partners IV, Stilwell Activist Fund, Stilwell Associates and Stilwell Activist Investments.

(G) Joseph Stilwell

(a) Aggregate number of shares beneficially owned: 4,491,741
Percentage: 19.95%

- (b) 1. Sole power to vote or to direct vote: 0
- 2. Shared power to vote or to direct vote: 4,491,741
- 3. Sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition: 0
- 4. Shared power to dispose or to direct disposition: 4,491,741

(c) Joseph Stilwell has not purchased or sold any shares of Common Stock in the past 60 days.

Item 6. Contracts, Arrangements, Understandings or Relationships With Respect to Securities of the Issuer

As previously reported, members of the Group purchased Units of the Issuer in a rights offering on September 16, 2013 (the "Rights Offering"), in which Units were sold entitling the purchasers to one share of Common Stock, one Series A Warrant and one Series B Warrant for each Unit. The terms and conditions of the Series B Warrants are summarized below. The Series A Warrants held by members of the Group have been fully exercised, and the Issuer announced on September 19, 2014, that all unexercised Series A Warrants had been redeemed.

As previously reported, members of the Group purchased Units of the Issuer in a private placement of securities consummated on February 3, 2014 (the "Private Placement"), in which the Issuer sold Units entitling the purchasers to one Class A Preferred Share, Series 1 (a "Series 1 Share"), and 6.25 Series C Warrants for each Unit purchased. In July 2014, the Series C Warrants were exchanged for Series B Warrants under a mandatory exchange procedure. Additional terms and conditions of the Series 1 Shares and Series B Warrants are summarized below.

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 27 of 31

Each Series 1 Share is convertible at the holder's election into 6.25 shares of Common Stock at a conversion price of \$4.00 per share until April 1, 2021. The Issuer will redeem all Series 1 Shares outstanding on April 1, 2021, for \$25.00 per Series 1 Share, plus accrued but unpaid dividends. Beginning February 3, 2016, the Issuer may redeem all or any part of the then outstanding Series 1 Shares for \$28.75 per Series 1 Share, plus accrued but unpaid dividends. For additional terms and conditions of the Series 1 Shares, see Exhibit 22 to the Twenty-Second Amendment, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Each Series B Warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of Common Stock. The exercise of the Series B Warrants is subject to the terms of a Warrant Agreement filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Issuer's Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 10, 2014. The Series B Warrants have an exercise price of \$5.00 per share and are non-redeemable. The Series B Warrants may be exercised from September 16, 2016 through September 15, 2023, provided, however, that exercise is subject to the limits set forth in that certain Statement of Undertaking effective as of July 15, 2016, by and between members of the Group and the Issuer, attached as Exhibit 26 to this Twenty-Fourth Amendment and described more fully below. For additional terms and conditions of the Series A, Series B, and Series C Warrants, see Exhibits 18, 19, and 23, which are incorporated herein by reference.

The Issuer entered into a Registration Rights Agreement with the Private Placement purchasers providing for both demand and piggyback registration rights with respect to the Series 1 Shares, the Series B Warrants, and the Common Stock issuable upon the exercise or conversion thereof. For additional terms and conditions of the Registration Rights Agreement, see Exhibit 21 to the Twenty-Second Amendment, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Effective as of July 15, 2016, members of the Group entered into a Statement of Undertaking with the Issuer (the "Undertaking"), pursuant to which each of the members of the Group holding Series B Warrants undertook not to exercise the Series B Warrants held by it, and the Issuer acknowledged that, for so long as such Undertaking exists, it shall not give effect to any such exercise of such Series B Warrants, if and to the extent that, after giving effect to such exercise, such member of the Group (individually or together with the other members of the Group) would in the aggregate beneficially own that number of shares of Common Stock which is in excess of 19.95% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock or voting power of the Issuer. The Undertaking will terminate immediately upon the earlier of: (i) that date that is two (2) years after the effective date of the Undertaking; or (ii) the execution by all of the members of the Group holding Series B Warrants and the Issuer of a written instrument that terminates the Undertaking. For additional terms and conditions of the Undertaking, see Exhibit 26 to this Twenty-Fourth Amendment, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Other than the Series 1 Shares, Series B Warrants, the Stock Option Agreement filed as Exhibit 10 to the Eighth Amendment, the Registration Rights Agreement filed as Exhibit 21 to the Twenty-Second Amendment, the Undertaking filed as Exhibit 26 to this Twenty-Fourth Amendment, and the Amended Joint Filing Agreement filed as

Exhibit 27 to this Twenty-Fourth Amendment, there are no contracts, arrangements, understandings or relationships among the persons named in Item 2 hereof and between such persons and any person with respect to any securities of the Issuer, including but not limited to transfer or voting of any of the securities, finders' fees, joint ventures, loan or option arrangements, puts or calls, guarantees of profits, divisions of profits or losses, or the giving or withholding of proxies, except for sharing of profits. Stilwell Value LLC, in its capacity as general partner of Stilwell Value Partners III, Stilwell Value Partners IV, Stilwell Activist Fund, Stilwell Activist Investments and Stilwell Associates; and Joseph Stilwell, in his capacity as the managing member and owner of Stilwell Value LLC, are entitled to an allocation of a portion of profits.

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 28 of 31

See Items 1 and 2 above regarding disclosure of the relationships between members of the Group, which disclosure is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 7. Material to be Filed as Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
1	Joint Filing Agreement, dated November 7, 2008, filed with the Original Schedule 13D
2	Amended Joint Filing Agreement, dated November 14, 2008, filed with the First Amendment
3	Requisition Notice, dated November 11, 2008, filed with the First Amendment
4	Press Release, dated November 11, 2008, filed with the First Amendment
5	Letter to Joseph Stilwell from W. Shaun Jackson, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Issuer, dated November 17, 2008, filed with the Second Amendment
6	Letter to W. Shaun Jackson from Joseph Stilwell dated November 17, 2008, filed with the Second Amendment
7	Settlement Agreement, dated January 7, 2009, between the Issuer and members of the Group, filed with the Fifth Amendment
8	Press Release, dated January 30, 2009, filed with the Sixth Amendment
9	Requisition Notice, dated January 29, 2009, filed with the Sixth Amendment
10	Stock Option Agreement dated as of January 7, 2009 among Spencer L. Schneider, Stilwell Value Partners III and Stilwell Associates, filed with the Eighth Amendment
11	Reserved
12	Amended Joint Filing Agreement, dated April 8, 2009, filed with the Ninth Amendment
13	Amended Joint Filing Agreement, dated April 28, 2009, filed with the Tenth Amendment
14	Amended Joint Filing Agreement, dated August 4, 2009, filed with the Eleventh Amendment
15	Amended Joint Filing Agreement, dated November 16, 2009, filed with the Twelfth Amendment
16	Amended Joint Filing Agreement, dated September 21, 2012, filed with the Seventeenth Amendment
17	Amended Joint Filing Agreement, dated June 11, 2013, filed with the Nineteenth Amendment
18	Form of Series A Warrant Certificate, incorporated by reference to Schedule A to Exhibit 10.1 to the Issuer's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 19, 2013

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 29 of 31

- 19 Form of Series B Warrant Certificate, incorporated by reference to Schedule A to Exhibit 10.2 to the Issuer's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 19, 2013
- 20 Amended Joint Filing Agreement, dated February 12, 2014
- 21 Registration Rights Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Issuer's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 4, 2014
- 22 Kingsway Financial Services Inc. Class A Preferred Shares, Series 1 Rights, Privileges Restrictions and Conditions, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Issuer's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 27, 2013
- 23 Form of Series C Warrant Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Issuer's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 27, 2013 (terminated and exchanged for Series B Warrants)
- 24 Amended Joint Filing Agreement, dated February 12, 2014, filed with the Twenty-Second Amendment
- 25 Notice of Redemption of Series A Warrants of Kingsway Financial Services Inc., dated August 18, 2014, filed with the Twenty-Third Amendment
- 26 Statement of Undertaking, effective as of July 15, 2016, between the Issuer and members of the Group
- 27 Amended Joint Filing Agreement, dated October 7, 2016

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 30 of 31

SIGNATURES

After reasonable inquiry and to the best of our knowledge and belief, we certify that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

Date: October 7, 2016

STILWELL VALUE
PARTNERS III, L.P.

By: STILWELL
VALUE LLC
General Partner

/s/ Megan Parisi
By: Megan Parisi
Member

STILWELL VALUE
PARTNERS IV,
L.P.

By: STILWELL
VALUE LLC
General Partner

/s/ Megan Parisi
By: Megan Parisi
Member

STILWELL
ACTIVIST FUND,
L.P.

By: STILWELL
VALUE LLC
General Partner

/s/ Megan Parisi
By: Megan Parisi
Member

STILWELL
ACTIVIST
INVESTMENTS,
L.P.

By: STILWELL
VALUE LLC
General Partner

/s/ Megan Parisi
By: Megan Parisi
Member

CUSIP No. 496904202 SCHEDULE 13D Page 31 of 31

STILWELL
ASSOCIATES, L.P.

By: STILWELL
VALUE LLC
General Partner

/s/ Megan Parisi
By: Megan Parisi
Member

STILWELL
VALUE LLC

/s/ Megan Parisi
By: Megan Parisi
Member

JOSEPH
STILWELL

/s/ Joseph
Stilwell*
Joseph Stilwell

*/s/ Megan Parisi
Megan Parisi
Attorney-In-Fact