

Hyatt Hotels Corp
Form DEF 14A
April 23, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only** (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i) (1) and 0-11.

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

- (5) Total fee paid:

- .. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:

- .. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

 - (1) Amount previously paid:

 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

 - (3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago IL 60606 Tel: 312.750.1234

www.hyatt.com

April 23, 2012

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the *Annual Meeting*) of Hyatt Hotels Corporation to be held at Grand Hyatt New York, 109 East 42nd Street at Grand Central Terminal, New York, New York 10017, on Wednesday, June 13, 2012, at 9:30 a.m., local time.

At the Annual Meeting you will be asked to (a) elect four directors to our board of directors, (b) ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, (c) approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to our named executive officers and (d) transact any other business as properly may come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

It is important that your shares be represented and voted whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person. You may vote on the Internet, by telephone or by completing and mailing a proxy card. Voting over the Internet, by telephone or by written proxy will ensure your shares are represented at the Annual Meeting. If you do attend the Annual Meeting, you may withdraw your proxy should you wish to vote in person. Please read the enclosed information carefully before voting.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. Pritzker

Executive Chairman of the Board

Mark S. Hoplamazian

President and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION

71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60606

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held June 13, 2012

NOTICE HEREBY IS GIVEN that the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the *Annual Meeting*) of Hyatt Hotels Corporation (*Hyatt*) will be held at Grand Hyatt New York, 109 East 42nd Street at Grand Central Terminal, New York, New York 10017, on Wednesday, June 13, 2012 at 9:30 a.m., local time, for the following purposes:

1. To elect four directors to hold office until the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders;
2. To ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Hyatt's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012;
3. To conduct an advisory vote to approve the compensation paid to our named executive officers; and
4. To transact any other business as properly may come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Information relating to the above matters is set forth in the attached proxy statement. Stockholders of record at the close of business on April 16, 2012 are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

This Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, proxy statement and proxy card are being sent to stockholders beginning on or about April 23, 2012.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Rena Hozore Reiss

Executive Vice President, General Counsel

and Secretary

Chicago, Illinois

April 23, 2012

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

Stockholder Meeting to be Held on June 13, 2012.

The proxy statement for the Annual Meeting and Annual Report

for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 are available at <http://wfss.mobular.net/wfss/h/>.

PLEASE CAREFULLY READ THE ATTACHED PROXY STATEMENT. EVEN IF YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, PLEASE PROMPTLY COMPLETE, EXECUTE, DATE AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD IN THE ACCOMPANYING POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE. NO POSTAGE IS NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES. YOU MAY ALSO VOTE ELECTRONICALLY VIA THE INTERNET OR BY TELEPHONE BY FOLLOWING THE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE PROXY CARD. IF YOU VOTE BY INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, THEN YOU NEED NOT RETURN A WRITTEN PROXY CARD BY MAIL. STOCKHOLDERS WHO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING MAY REVOKE THEIR PROXIES AND VOTE IN PERSON IF THEY SO DESIRE.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>ARTICLE I: PROXY MATERIALS AND ANNUAL MEETING</u>	1
<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND THE ANNUAL MEETING</u>	1
<u>1. Q: Why am I receiving these materials?</u>	1
<u>2. Q: When and where is the Annual Meeting?</u>	1
<u>3. Q: What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?</u>	1
<u>4. Q: How can I attend the Annual Meeting?</u>	2
<u>5. Q: What should I do if I receive more than one set of proxy materials?</u>	2
<u>6. Q: What is the difference between holding shares as a record holder versus a beneficial owner?</u>	2
<u>7. Q: Who can vote and how do I vote?</u>	3
<u>8. Q: What are my voting choices, and how many votes are required for approval or election?</u>	3
<u>9. Q: How will Hyatt's dual class ownership structure impact the outcome of the voting at the Annual Meeting?</u>	4
<u>10. Q: How will voting agreements entered into with or among Hyatt's major stockholders impact the outcome of the voting at the Annual Meeting?</u>	4
<u>11. Q: What is the effect of an abstain vote on the proposals to be voted on at the Annual Meeting?</u>	5
<u>12. Q: What is the effect of a broker non-vote on the proposals to be voted on at the Annual Meeting?</u>	5
<u>13. Q: Who counts the votes?</u>	5
<u>14. Q: Revocation of proxy: May I change my vote after I return my proxy?</u>	6
<u>15. Q: What if I sign and return a proxy card but do not specify a choice for a matter when returning the proxy?</u>	6
<u>16. Q: What constitutes a quorum?</u>	6
<u>17. Q: Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?</u>	6
<u>18. Q: Who will pay the costs of soliciting these proxies?</u>	6
<u>19. Q: What happens if additional matters are presented at the Annual Meeting?</u>	6
<u>20. Q: What is the deadline under Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, for stockholders to propose actions to be included in our proxy statement relating our 2013 annual meeting of stockholders and identified in our form of proxy relating to the 2013 annual meeting?</u>	7
<u>21. Q: What is the deadline under our bylaws for stockholders to nominate persons for election to the board of directors or propose other matters to be considered at our 2013 annual meeting of stockholders?</u>	7
<u>22. Q: How do I submit a potential director nominee for consideration by the board of directors for nomination?</u>	7
<u>ARTICLE II: CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	8
<u>PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	8
<u>OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u>	8
<u>COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u>	15
<u>CODE OF BUSINESS CONDUCT AND ETHICS</u>	16
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GUIDELINES</u>	16
<u>DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	16
<u>COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u>	17
<u>COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS</u>	23
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	26
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION</u>	26
<u>ARTICLE III: EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	26
<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	26

Table of Contents

<u>ARTICLE IV: INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	50
<u>PROPOSAL 2 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	50
<u>INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM S FEES</u>	50
<u>POLICY ON AUDIT COMMITTEE PREAPPROVAL OF AUDIT AND PERMISSIBLE NONAUDIT SERVICES OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	51
<u>ARTICLE V: REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u>	51
<u>ARTICLE VI: ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	52
<u>PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	52
<u>ARTICLE VII: STOCK</u>	53
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	53
<u>SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	65
<u>ARTICLE VIII: SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION</u>	
<u>PLANS</u>	66
<u>ARTICLE IX: CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS</u>	67
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS</u>	67
<u>RELATED PARTY TRANSACTION POLICY AND PROCEDURES</u>	78
<u>ARTICLE X: MISCELLANEOUS</u>	79
<u>AVAILABILITY OF ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K</u>	79
<u>LIST OF THE COMPANY S STOCKHOLDERS</u>	79
<u>DELIVERY OF PROXY MATERIALS TO HOUSEHOLDS</u>	79
<u>OTHER MATTERS THAT MAY COME BEFORE THE ANNUAL MEETING</u>	80

Table of Contents

HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION

71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60606

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held June 13, 2012

The board of directors of Hyatt Hotels Corporation (referred to herein as *Hyatt*, *we*, *us* or the *Company*) solicits your proxy to vote at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the *Annual Meeting*) to be held on Wednesday, June 13, 2012, beginning at 9:30 a.m., local time, at Grand Hyatt New York, 109 East 42nd Street at Grand Central Terminal, New York, New York 10017, and at any adjournments or postponements thereof. This proxy statement is first being released to stockholders by the Company on or about April 23, 2012.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the

Stockholder Meeting to be Held on June 13, 2012.

The proxy statement for the Annual Meeting and Annual Report

for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 are available at <http://wfss.mobular.net/wfss/h/>.

ARTICLE I: PROXY MATERIALS AND ANNUAL MEETING

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND THE ANNUAL MEETING

1. **Q: Why am I receiving these materials?**

A: We are furnishing the enclosed Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, proxy statement and proxy card to you, and to all stockholders of record as of the close of business on April 16, 2012, because the board of directors of Hyatt is soliciting your proxy to vote at the Annual Meeting and at any adjournment or postponement thereof. Also enclosed is our Annual Report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, which, along with our proxy statement, is also available online at <http://wfss.mobular.net/wfss/h/>.

2. **Q: When and where is the Annual Meeting?**

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

A: The Annual Meeting will be held at Grand Hyatt New York, 109 East 42nd Street at Grand Central Terminal, New York, New York 10017, on Wednesday, June 13, 2012, at 9:30 a.m., local time.

3. Q: What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?

A: At our Annual Meeting, stockholders will act upon the matters outlined in this proxy statement and in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders included with this proxy statement, including the election of four directors; the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm; the advisory vote to approve compensation paid to our named executive officers; and such other matters as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Table of Contents

4. Q: How can I attend the Annual Meeting?

A: Only stockholders who own shares of Hyatt common stock as of the close of business on April 16, 2012, the record date, will be entitled to attend the Annual Meeting. A valid admittance slip (or other written proof of stock ownership as described below) and a photo identification (such as a valid driver's license or passport) will be required for admission to the Annual Meeting.

If your shares are registered in your name and you received your proxy materials by mail, an admittance slip appears at the back of this proxy statement. You should bring that admittance slip with you to the Annual Meeting.

If you are a beneficial owner of shares of common stock and your shares are held in a brokerage account or by another nominee as further described in Question 6 below, you will be admitted to the Annual Meeting only if you present either a valid legal proxy from your bank or broker as to your shares, an admittance slip, or a recent bank or brokerage statement demonstrating that you owned shares of Hyatt common stock as of the close of business on April 16, 2012.

No cameras, recording devices, other electronic devices or large packages will be permitted at the Annual Meeting. Photographs taken at the Annual Meeting by or at the request of Hyatt may be used by Hyatt, and by attending the Annual Meeting, you waive any claim or rights with respect to those photographs and their use.

5. Q: What should I do if I receive more than one set of proxy materials?

A: You may receive more than one set of voting materials, including multiple copies of this proxy statement and multiple proxy cards or voting instruction cards. For example, if you hold your shares in more than one brokerage account, you may receive a separate voting instruction card for each brokerage account. If you are a stockholder of record and your shares are registered in more than one name, you will receive more than one proxy card. Please vote each proxy card and voting instruction card that you receive.

6. Q: What is the difference between holding shares as a record holder versus a beneficial owner?

A: Most Hyatt stockholders hold their shares through a broker or other nominee rather than directly in their own name. There are some distinctions between shares held of record and those owned beneficially:

Record Holders: If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., you are considered, with respect to those shares, the stockholder of record or record holder. As the stockholder of record, you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to Hyatt or to vote in person at the Annual Meeting. We have enclosed a proxy card for you to use.

Beneficial Owner: If your shares are held in a brokerage account or by another nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you automatically, along with a voting instruction card from your broker, bank or nominee. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank or nominee how to vote and are also invited to attend the Annual Meeting. Since a beneficial owner is not the stockholder of record, you may not vote these shares in person at the meeting unless you obtain a legal proxy from the broker, bank or nominee that holds your shares, giving you the right to vote the shares at the meeting. Your broker, bank or nominee has enclosed or provided voting instructions for you to use in directing how to vote your shares. If you do not provide specific voting instructions by the deadline set forth in the materials you receive from your broker, bank or other nominee, your broker, bank or nominee can vote your shares with respect to discretionary

Table of Contents

items, but not with respect to non-discretionary items. The election of directors and the advisory vote to approve compensation paid to our named executive officers are considered non-discretionary items, while the ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm is considered a discretionary item. For non-discretionary items for which you do not give your broker instructions, the shares will be treated as broker non-votes. See Question 12 below for more information about broker non-votes.

7. Q: Who can vote and how do I vote?

A: Only holders of our common stock at the close of business on April 16, 2012, the record date, will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. To ensure that your vote is recorded promptly, please vote as soon as possible, even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person. Most stockholders have four options for submitting their votes:

in person at the Annual Meeting with a proxy card/legal proxy;

by mail, using the paper proxy card;

by telephone, by calling the toll-free telephone number on the proxy card; or

through the Internet, using the procedures and instructions described on the proxy card.

Beneficial owners may vote by telephone or Internet if their bank or broker makes those methods available, in which case the bank or broker will enclose the instructions with the proxy materials.

For further instructions on voting, see your proxy card. If you vote by proxy using the paper proxy card, by telephone or through the Internet, the shares represented by the proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you attend the Annual Meeting, you may also submit your vote in person, and any previous votes that you submitted by mail, telephone or Internet will be superseded by the vote that you cast at the Annual Meeting. Please note, however, that if your shares are held of record by a broker, bank or other nominee and you wish to vote at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain from the record holder a legal proxy issued in your name.

8. Q: What are my voting choices, and how many votes are required for approval or election?

A: In the vote on the election of four director nominees identified in this proxy statement to serve until the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors have been duly elected and qualified, stockholders may (1) vote in favor of all nominees or specific nominees; or (2) withhold authority to vote for all nominees or specific nominees. A plurality of the voting power of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote with respect to the election of directors shall elect the directors. **The board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees.**

In the vote on the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Hyatt's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2012, stockholders may (1) vote in favor of the ratification; (2) vote against the ratification; or (3) abstain from voting on the ratification. Ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2012 will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting power of the shares present or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal, however, stockholder ratification is not required to authorize the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm. **The board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2012.**

Table of Contents

In the vote on the proposal to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to our named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the *SEC*), stockholders may (1) vote in favor of the proposal; (2) vote against the proposal; or (3) abstain from voting on the proposal. Approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation paid to our named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the *SEC*'s compensation disclosure rules will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting power of the shares present or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal. **The board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation paid to our named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the *SEC*'s compensation disclosure rules.**

9. Q: How will Hyatt's dual class ownership structure impact the outcome of the voting at the Annual Meeting?

A: The holders of our Class A common stock are entitled to one vote per share and the holders of our Class B common stock are entitled to ten votes per share on all matters to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting. Holders of Class A common stock and Class B common stock will vote together as a single class on all matters to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting.

At the close of business on April 16, 2012, we had outstanding and entitled to vote 45,905,224 shares of Class A common stock and 119,614,584 shares of Class B common stock. Collectively, the holders of Class A common stock on such date will be entitled to an aggregate of 45,905,224 votes, and, collectively, the holders of Class B common stock on such date will be entitled to an aggregate of 1,196,145,840 votes, on all matters to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting. Therefore, for all matters to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting, the holders of our Class B common stock will collectively hold approximately 96.3% of the total voting power of our outstanding common stock. See Question 10 for additional information.

10. Q: How will voting agreements entered into with or among Hyatt's major stockholders impact the outcome of the voting at the Annual Meeting?

A: Voting agreements entered into with or among Hyatt's major stockholders will result in all of the shares of our Class B common stock being voted consistent with the recommendations of Hyatt's board of directors. Pursuant to the terms of the Amended and Restated Global Hyatt Agreement (the *Amended and Restated Global Hyatt Agreement*) and the Amended and Restated Foreign Global Hyatt Agreement (the *Amended and Restated Foreign Global Hyatt Agreement*), Pritzker family business interests, which beneficially own in the aggregate 94,502,498 shares of our Class B common stock, or approximately 76.1% of the total voting power of our outstanding common stock, have agreed to vote their shares of our common stock consistent with the recommendation of our board of directors with respect to all matters (assuming agreement as to any such matter by a majority of a minimum of three independent directors (excluding for such purposes any Pritzker)) or, in the case of transactions involving us and an affiliate, assuming agreement of all of such minimum of three independent directors (excluding for such purposes any Pritzker). This voting agreement expires on the later to occur of January 1, 2015, and the date upon which more than 75% of our fully diluted shares of common stock is owned by non-Pritzker family business interests. In addition, other existing stockholders, including entities affiliated with Goldman, Sachs & Co. and Madrone GHC, LLC, that beneficially own in the aggregate 25,112,086 shares of our Class B common stock, or approximately 20.2% of the total voting power of our outstanding common stock, have entered into a voting agreement with us under which they have agreed to vote their shares of Class B common stock consistent with the recommendation of our board of directors, without any separate requirement that our independent directors agree with the recommendation. These voting agreements expire on the later to occur of December 31, 2013 and

Table of Contents

the date that Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker is no longer chairman of our board of directors. While these voting agreements are in effect, they may provide our board of directors with effective control over matters requiring stockholder approval. Because our board of directors (including all of our independent directors) has recommended a vote FOR proposal one, FOR proposal two and FOR proposal three, each stockholder party to the voting agreements will be contractually obligated to vote in favor of proposal one, in favor of proposal two and in favor of proposal three. Because the holders of our Class B common stock hold approximately 96.3% of the total voting power of our outstanding common stock, these voting agreements will cause the outcome of the vote on each of the matters to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting to be consistent with the recommendations of our board of directors.

As used in this Proxy Statement, the term **Pritzker family business interests** means (1) various lineal descendants of Nicholas J. Pritzker (deceased) and spouses and adopted children of such descendants; (2) various trusts for the benefit of the individuals described in clause (1) and trustees thereof; and (3) various entities owned and/or controlled, directly and/or indirectly, by the individuals and trusts described in (1) and (2).

11. Q: What is the effect of an abstain vote on the proposals to be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

A: An abstain vote with respect to any proposal is considered present and entitled to vote with respect to that proposal, but is not considered a vote cast with respect to that proposal. Therefore, an abstention will not have any effect on the election of directors. Because each of the other proposals requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting power of the shares present or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal in order to pass, an abstention will have the effect of a vote against the remaining proposals.

12. Q: What is the effect of a broker non-vote on the proposals to be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

A: A broker non-vote occurs if your shares are not registered in your name and you do not provide the record holder of your shares (usually a bank, broker, or other nominee) with voting instructions on a matter and the record holder is not permitted to vote on the matter without instructions from you under applicable rules of the New York Stock Exchange (*NYSE*). A broker non-vote is considered present for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists, but is not considered a vote cast or entitled to vote with respect to such matter. Therefore, broker non-votes will not have any effect on any of the matters to be voted on at the Annual Meeting.

Under NYSE rules, the election of directors and the advisory vote to approve compensation paid to our named executive officers are not considered discretionary items. Therefore, if you do not provide instructions to the record holder of your shares with respect to these three proposals, broker non-votes will result with respect thereto. The ratification of appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm is a routine item under NYSE rules. As a result, brokers who do not receive instructions as to how to vote on these matters generally may vote on this matter in their discretion.

13. Q: Who counts the votes?

A: Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., will count the votes. The board of directors has appointed Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as the inspector of elections.

Table of Contents

14. Q: Revocation of proxy: May I change my vote after I return my proxy?

A: Yes, you may revoke your proxy if you are a record holder by:

filing written notice of revocation with Hyatt's corporate secretary at our principal executive offices at 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606;

signing a proxy bearing a later date than the proxy being revoked and submitting it to Hyatt's corporate secretary at our principal executive offices at 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606; or

voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

If your shares are held in street name through a broker, bank, or other nominee, you need to contact the record holder of your shares regarding how to revoke your proxy.

15. Q: What if I sign and return a proxy card but do not specify a choice for a matter when returning the proxy?

A: Unless you indicate otherwise, the persons named as proxies on the proxy card will vote your shares: FOR all of the nominees for director named in this proxy statement; FOR the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2012; and FOR the approval of the compensation paid to our named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the SEC's compensation disclosure rules.

16. Q: What constitutes a quorum?

A: Presence at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the voting power of the issued and outstanding shares of Hyatt's common stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will constitute a quorum, permitting the Annual Meeting to proceed and business to be conducted. Proxies received but marked as abstentions or broker non-votes will be included in the calculation of the number of votes considered to be present at the meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present.

17. Q: Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

A: We will publish final results on a Current Report on Form 8-K within four business days after the Annual Meeting.

18. Q: Who will pay the costs of soliciting these proxies?

A: We will bear the entire cost of solicitation of proxies, including preparation, assembly, printing and mailing of this proxy statement, the proxy card and any additional information furnished to stockholders. Copies of solicitation materials will be furnished to banks, brokerage houses, fiduciaries and custodians holding shares of common stock beneficially owned by others to forward to such beneficial owners. We may reimburse persons representing beneficial owners of common stock for their reasonable costs of forwarding solicitation materials to such beneficial owners. Original solicitation of proxies may be

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

supplemented by electronic means, mail, facsimile, telephone or personal solicitation by our directors, officers or other employees. No additional compensation will be paid to our directors, officers or other employees for such services.

19. Q: What happens if additional matters are presented at the Annual Meeting?

A: Other than the three proposals described in this proxy statement, we are not aware of any other properly submitted business to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting. If you grant a proxy, the persons named as proxy holders, Messrs. Mark S. Hoplamazian and Harmit J. Singh and Ms. Rena

Table of Contents

Hozore Reiss, will have the discretion to vote your shares on any additional matters properly presented for a vote at the Annual Meeting, including matters of which the Company did not receive timely notice. If, for any unforeseen reason, any of our nominees for director are unavailable, or are unable to serve or for good cause will not serve, the persons named as proxy holders will vote your proxy for such other candidate or candidates as may be nominated by the board of directors.

20. Q: What is the deadline under Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, for stockholders to propose actions to be included in our proxy statement relating our 2013 annual meeting of stockholders and identified in our form of proxy relating to the 2013 annual meeting?

A: December 24, 2012 is the deadline for stockholders to submit proposals to be included in our proxy statement and identified in our form of proxy under Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the *Exchange Act*). Proposals by stockholders must comply with all requirements of applicable rules of the SEC, including Rule 14a-8, and be mailed to our corporate secretary at our principal executive offices at 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606. We reserve the right to reject, rule out of order, or take other appropriate action with respect to any proposal that does not comply with Rule 14a-8 and other applicable requirements.

21. Q: What is the deadline under our bylaws for stockholders to nominate persons for election to the board of directors or propose other matters to be considered at our 2013 annual meeting of stockholders?

A: Stockholders who wish to nominate persons for election to our board of directors or propose other matters to be considered at our 2013 annual meeting of stockholders must provide us advance notice of the director nomination or stockholder proposal, as well as the information specified in our bylaws, no earlier than February 13, 2013 and no later than March 15, 2013. Stockholders are advised to review our bylaws, which contain the requirements for advance notice of director nominations and stockholder proposals. Notice of director nominations and stockholder proposals must be mailed to our corporate secretary at our principal executive offices at 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606. The requirements for advance notice of stockholder proposals under our bylaws do not apply to proposals properly submitted under Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act, as those stockholder proposals are governed by Rule 14a-8. We reserve the right to reject, rule out of order, or take other appropriate action with respect to any director nomination or stockholder proposal that does not comply with our bylaws and other applicable requirements.

22. Q: How do I submit a potential director nominee for consideration by the board of directors for nomination?

A: You may submit names of potential director nominees for consideration by the board of directors nominating and corporate governance committee for nomination by our board of directors at the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders. Your submission should be directed to our corporate secretary at our principal executive offices at 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606. The section titled *Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee* below describes the information required to be set forth in your submission, and provides information on the nomination process used by our nominating and corporate governance committee and our board of directors. The deadline has passed to submit a potential director nominee to be considered for nomination by our board of directors at the 2012 Annual Meeting. December 1, 2012 is the deadline to submit a potential director nominee for consideration by our board of directors for nomination at the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders.

Table of Contents

ARTICLE II: CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Hyatt's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation provides that the total number of members of the board of directors shall consist of not less than five nor more than fifteen members, with the precise number of directors to be determined by a vote of a majority of the entire board of directors. At present, the board of directors has fixed the number of members of the board of directors at twelve. Hyatt's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation further provides that the board of directors will be divided into three classes, as nearly equal in number as is practicable, designated Class I, Class II and Class III. Members of each class of the board of directors are elected for a term of office to expire at the third succeeding annual meeting of stockholders after their election, with each director to hold office until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified.

Class III, the class of directors whose term expires at the Annual Meeting, currently consists of four persons. In accordance with the recommendation of the nominating and corporate governance committee, the board of directors has unanimously nominated Richard A. Friedman, Susan D. Kronick, Mackey J. McDonald and Gregory B. Penner, the incumbent directors whose terms expire at the Annual Meeting, to stand for re-election to the board of directors. Each of Mr. Friedman, Ms. Kronick, Mr. McDonald and Mr. Penner has been nominated to hold office until the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors have been duly elected and qualified. Unless otherwise instructed by the stockholder, the persons named in the enclosed proxy card will vote the shares represented by such proxy for the election of the nominees named in this proxy statement.

Pursuant to a stockholders' agreement among us and certain of our investors (referred to in this proxy statement as the *2007 Stockholders Agreement*), Madrone GHC, LLC and GS Capital Partners VI Parallel, L.P., an affiliate of Goldman, Sachs & Co., each previously had the right to designate, and our board of directors previously appointed, one representative to the board of directors. See the section titled *Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions* below for more information about the *2007 Stockholders Agreement*. Mr. Penner was originally appointed as Madrone GHC, LLC's designee and Mr. Friedman was originally appointed as GS Capital Partners VI Parallel, L.P.'s designee. The right of these investors to designate representatives for appointment to our board of directors terminated immediately prior to the consummation of the initial public offering of our Class A common stock.

Each of the nominees has consented to serve as a director if elected. If any of the nominees should be unavailable to serve for any reason (which is not anticipated), the board of directors may designate a substitute nominee or nominees (in which event the persons named on the enclosed proxy card will vote the shares represented by all valid proxy cards for the election of such substitute nominee or nominees). Alternatively, the board of directors may reduce the size of the board of directors or allow the vacancy or vacancies to remain open until a suitable candidate or candidates are located.

The board of directors unanimously recommends that the stockholders vote FOR each of Richard A. Friedman, Susan D. Kronick, Mackey J. McDonald and Gregory B. Penner as directors to serve and hold office until the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors have been duly elected and qualified.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Set forth below is information regarding the business experience of each of our directors that has been furnished to us by the respective director. Each director has been principally engaged in the employment indicated for the last five years unless otherwise stated. Also set forth below for each director is a discussion of the experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led the board of directors to conclude that the director nominee or director is qualified and should serve as a director of Hyatt.

Table of Contents

Directors Standing for Re-Election

Richard A. Friedman

Director since 2009
Age 54

Richard A. Friedman has been a member of our board of directors since June 2009. Mr. Friedman joined Goldman, Sachs & Co., a full-service global investment banking and securities firm, in 1981, and has been a Partner there since 1990. He has been a Managing Director at Goldman Sachs & Co. since 1996 and is the Head of the Merchant Banking Division of Goldman, Sachs & Co. Mr. Friedman is also the Chairman of the Corporate Investment Committee, the Real Estate Investment Committee and the Infrastructure Investment Committee of the Merchant Banking Division and a Member of the Management Committee of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Mr. Friedman is the Chairman of Yankees Entertainment and Sports Network, LLC.

As the Head of the Merchant Banking Division of Goldman, Sachs & Co. and Chairman of the Corporate Investment Committee and the Real Estate Investment Committee of the Merchant Banking Division, Mr. Friedman brings to our board of directors deep expertise and experience in a wide variety of areas, including mergers and acquisitions, strategic investments, corporate finance, real estate, corporate governance and human resources. Mr. Friedman has an extensive network of contacts and relationships with investors, financing sources and experienced managers who can be of help to Hyatt.

Susan D. Kronick

Director since 2009
Age 60

Susan D. Kronick has been a member of our board of directors since June 2009. From March 2003 until March 2010, Ms. Kronick served as Vice Chair of Macy's, Inc., an operator of Macy's and Bloomingdale's department stores. Ms. Kronick served as Group President, Regional Department Stores of Macy's, Inc. from April 2001 to February 2003; and prior thereto she served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Macy's Florida from June 1997 to March 2001. Ms. Kronick served as a Director of The Pepsi Bottling Group, Inc. from March 1999 to February 2010.

Ms. Kronick brings to our board of directors a strong background in marketing and experience in building industry leading brands as a result of the various management positions she has held with Macy's, Inc., most recently as Vice Chair. As a result of her positions with Macy's, Inc., Ms. Kronick also has gained valuable financial and operations experience. Additionally, she contributes to the gender diversity of the board of directors.

Mackey J. McDonald

Director since 2009
Age 65

Mackey J. McDonald has been a member of our board of directors since June 2009. Mr. McDonald has served as a Senior Advisor to Crestview Partners, a private equity firm, since 2008. Mr. McDonald is the retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of VF Corporation, an apparel manufacturer. Mr. McDonald served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of VF Corporation from 1998 until his retirement in August 2008. From 1996 to 2006, he was the President of VF Corporation; and prior thereto he served as VF Group Vice President. Mr. McDonald is a Director of Kraft Foods, Inc. and Bernhardt Industries, Inc. Mr. McDonald also currently serves as a Director of Wells Fargo and Company, but will not be standing for re-election in April, 2012. Mr. McDonald served as a Director of VF Corporation from 1993 to 2008, as a Director of The Hershey Company from 1996 to 2007, and as a Director of Tyco International Ltd. from 2002 to 2007.

Mr. McDonald brings to our board of directors deep management and operations experience as well as experience building internationally recognized brands as a result of his leadership positions with VF Industries. The board of directors also values Mr. McDonald's experience as a chief executive officer and significant public

Table of Contents

company board of directors and executive compensation experience, including his service on the Human Resources Committee of Wells Fargo and Company and former service as Chairman of the Compensation and Human Resources Committee of Tyco International Ltd. and on the Compensation and Executive Organization Committee of The Hershey Company.

Gregory B. Penner

Director since 2007
Age 42

Gregory B. Penner has been a member of our board of directors since August 2007. Mr. Penner has been a General Partner at Madrone Capital Partners, LLC, an investment management firm, since 2005. From 2002 to 2005, he was the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Wal-Mart Japan, and he serves as a Director of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., Baidu, Inc., and eHarmony.com, Inc. In addition, Mr. Penner serves as a Director of 99Bill Corporation based in Shanghai, China. He has previously served as Director of The Seiyu, Ltd., from 2003 to 2008. Prior to joining Wal-Mart, Mr. Penner was a Manager at Peninsula Capital, an early stage venture capital fund and a financial analyst for Goldman, Sachs & Co.

Mr. Penner brings to our board of directors international business experience, particularly in Asia, as a result of his former position with Wal-Mart Japan and from his service as a director of Baidu, Inc. He is sophisticated in financial and accounting matters and has meaningful operations experience. Additionally, Mr. Penner has experience with public company boards of directors.

Continuing Directors

Thomas J. Pritzker

Director since 2004
Age 61

Thomas J. Pritzker has been a member of our board of directors since August 2004 and our Executive Chairman since August 2004. Mr. Pritzker served as our Chief Executive Officer from August 2004 to December 2006. Mr. Pritzker was appointed President of Hyatt Corporation in 1980 and served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Hyatt Corporation from 1999 to December 2006. Mr. Pritzker is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of The Pritzker Organization, LLC (*TPO*), the principal financial and investment advisor to various Pritzker family business interests. Mr. Pritzker is Chairman of Marmon Holdings, Inc. and also serves as a Director of Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. He served as a Director of TransUnion Corp., a credit reporting service company, until June 2010. Mr. Pritzker is a Director and Vice President of Pritzker Foundation, a charitable foundation; Director and President of The Pritzker Family Philanthropic Fund, a charitable organization; and Chairman and President of Hyatt Foundation, a charitable foundation which established The Pritzker Architecture Prize. Mr. Pritzker is a first cousin of Ms. Penny Pritzker, who is also a member of our board of directors.

Mr. Pritzker brings to our board of directors a deep understanding of Hyatt's operations and extensive knowledge of the hospitality industry as a result of his more than 30 year history with Hyatt, including as our former Chief Executive Officer. The Company also benefits from Mr. Pritzker's extensive network of contacts and relationships with owners and developers of hotels around the world as we pursue new opportunities and seek to enter into new management and franchise agreements. Additionally, Mr. Pritzker has significant experience leading boards of directors of for-profit and not-for-profit organizations.

Bernard W. Aronson

Director since December 2004
Age 65

Bernard W. Aronson has been a member of our board of directors since December 2004. Mr. Aronson is the founder and Managing Partner of ACON Investments, LLC, a private equity firm, and has served in this position since 1996. Prior to that, Mr. Aronson served as International Advisor to Goldman, Sachs & Co. and as Assistant

Table of Contents

Secretary of State for Inter-American Affairs. Mr. Aronson serves as a Director of Liz Claiborne, Inc., Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd., Chroma Oil & Gas, LP, Northern Tier Energy Inc. and The Nature Conservancy (Maryland/District of Columbia Chapter). Mr. Aronson served as a Director of Mariner Energy, Inc. from 2004 until 2010. Mr. Aronson is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations and serves on a number of not-for-profit boards, including the National Democratic Institute for International Affairs.

Mr. Aronson's experience in international affairs and foreign policy, particularly in Latin America, is valuable to our board of directors given Hyatt's global presence and strategy of expanding the presence of its brands in attractive markets worldwide. Mr. Aronson's background in private equity gives him extensive experience in mergers and acquisitions and financing transactions and working with management teams. Mr. Aronson also brings to the board of directors valuable experience in and knowledge of the travel and tourism industry as a result of his service as a member of the board of directors of Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. Mr. Aronson has significant corporate governance experience as a result of having served on a number of public company boards of directors and board committees.

Mark S. Hoplamazian

Director since 2006
Age 48

Mark S. Hoplamazian has been a member of our board of directors since November 2006. He has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer since December 2006, as interim President from July 2006 to December 2006 and Vice President from August 2004 to December 2004. From April 2004 to August 2009, Mr. Hoplamazian served as President and Director of TPO, the principal financial and investment advisor to various Pritzker family business interests. Mr. Hoplamazian served in various capacities with TPO and its predecessors since its formation in 1997, including managing its merchant banking and investment activities. From August 2009 to December 2010, Mr. Hoplamazian was a Vice President of TPO. Mr. Hoplamazian is the current Chairman of the National Advisory Council on Minority Business Enterprise. He serves on the Advisory Board of Facing History and Ourselves, the Council on the University of Chicago Booth School of Business, the board of directors of New Schools for Chicago, the Executive Committee of the board of directors of World Business Chicago, and the Henry Crown Fellowship Program Board of Overseers. Mr. Hoplamazian is a member of the World Travel & Tourism Council, and the Commercial Club of Chicago, and is member of the Discovery Class of the Henry Crown Fellowship.

As Hyatt's President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Hoplamazian provides our board of directors with valuable insight regarding Hyatt's operations, management team, associates and culture, as a result of his day-to-day involvement in the operations of the business, and he performs a critical role in board discussions regarding strategic planning and development for the Company. The board of directors also benefits from Mr. Hoplamazian's historical knowledge of Hyatt. Prior to becoming our President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Hoplamazian regularly advised Hyatt on business and financial matters in his various roles at TPO. Mr. Hoplamazian is financially sophisticated and also has significant mergers and acquisitions and corporate finance experience.

Penny Pritzker

Director since 2004
Age 52

Penny Pritzker has been a member of our board of directors since August 2004 and served on the board of directors of Hyatt Corporation and Hyatt International Corporation from 1999 to 2004. Ms. Pritzker serves as Chairman of Pritzker Realty Group, L.L.C., a real estate investment and advisory firm; is co-founder and former Chairman of The Parking Spot, a near-airport parking company; serves as Chairman of TransUnion Corp., a credit reporting service company; serves as co-founder and chair of Artemis Real Estate Partners, L.L.C., a real estate investment firm; is a Director and President of The Pritzker Foundation, a charitable foundation; and served as National Finance Chair of Barack Obama's 2008 presidential campaign. Ms. Pritzker served as a Director of the Marmon Group, Inc. until March 2008 and as a Director of LaSalle Bank Corporation, N.A. from 2004 to 2007. Ms. Pritzker is the first cousin of Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker, who is our executive chairman.

Table of Contents

Ms. Pritzker has a long history with Hyatt and deep knowledge of the Company's business having served as a director since the Company's formation in 2004 and as a former director of Hyatt Corporation and Hyatt International Corporation from 1999 to 2004. Through her work with Pritzker Realty Group, L.L.C. and various other Pritzker business interests, Ms. Pritzker brings to our board of directors extensive experience in real estate and finance matters. Additionally, Ms. Pritzker has significant experience serving on boards of directors for profit and not-for-profit organizations. Ms. Pritzker also contributes to the gender diversity of the board of directors.

Michael A. Rocca

Director since 2008
Age 67

Michael A. Rocca has been a member of our board of directors since March 2008. From 1994 to 2000, Mr. Rocca served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Mallinckrodt Inc., a pharmaceutical and medical device manufacturer. Prior to 1994, Mr. Rocca served in a variety of finance positions with Honeywell Inc., a diversified technology and manufacturing company, including Vice President, Treasurer and Vice President, Finance Europe. Mr. Rocca also serves as a Director of St. Jude Medical Inc. Mr. Rocca previously served as a Director of Lawson Software, Inc. from 2003-2011.

Mr. Rocca is an audit committee financial expert and has extensive experience chairing public company audit committees. His background as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Mallinckrodt Inc., various finance positions with Honeywell Inc. and overall financial and accounting expertise make Mr. Rocca particularly well-suited to assist our board of directors with its oversight responsibilities regarding Hyatt's financial statements and its financial reporting and disclosure practices.

Byron D. Trott

Director since 2007
Age 53

Byron D. Trott has been a member of our board of directors since August 2007. He serves as Chairman and CEO of BDT Capital Partners. BDT Capital Partners is a Chicago-based private partnership that combines a \$2.7 billion investment fund with advisory services to address the long-term strategic and financing needs of family and/or founder controlled companies. Mr. Trott has 30 years of experience advising and investing with public and private closely held companies. Prior to founding BDT Capital Partners in 2009, Mr. Trott had a distinguished 27-year career at Goldman, Sachs & Co., where most recently he was Vice Chairman of the global Investment Banking Division and head of the Chicago office and the Midwest Region. He also served on the Investment Committee of Goldman's Principal Investment Area and the firm-wide Partnership Committee. Civically, Mr. Trott is a director of Conservation International and a trustee on the boards of the University of Chicago and the Art Institute of Chicago. In 2011, Mr. Trott was inducted into the Horatio Alger Association of Distinguished Americans in recognition of his professional accomplishments, philanthropic contributions and dedication to hard work, integrity and perseverance.

Mr. Trott has extensive historical knowledge of Hyatt's business, deep knowledge of the capital markets and expertise in mergers and acquisitions and corporate finance. Through his role at BDT Capital Partners and his former leadership position at Goldman, Sachs & Co., Mr. Trott has had significant exposure to a number of different companies and business models and has advised numerous companies through a variety of economic cycles, which the board of directors believes provides him with valuable insight regarding Hyatt's capital structure and in developing strategy. Additionally, Mr. Trott has significant experience in advising closely held companies and brings to Hyatt his knowledge of the travel and tourism industry through his affiliation as an Advisory Director of Enterprise Rent-A-Car Company and the boards of directors of two other private companies, Pilot Flying J and Weber-Stephen Products LLC.

Table of Contents

Richard C. Tuttle

Director since 2004
Age 56

Richard C. Tuttle has been a member of our board of directors since December 2004. Mr. Tuttle is a founding Principal at Prospect Partners, LLC, a lower-middle-market private equity firm, and has held this position since 1998. Prior to founding Prospect Partners, he was Executive Vice President of Corporate Development for Health Care & Retirement Corp., now Manor Care, Inc., a healthcare services company. He served as a Director of Manor Care until December 2007 and served as a Director of Cable Design Technologies, Inc., now Belden Inc., for 17 years. Mr. Tuttle is Chairman of the boards of directors of Velvac Holdings, Inc., ESI Lighting, Inc., Office Resources, Inc., Tender Products Corporation, Polymer Holding Corporation and World Data Products, Inc. and is a Director of Pipp Mobile Storage Systems, Inc.

Mr. Tuttle contributes to our board of directors expertise in financing transactions and experience in working with operating companies and management teams as a result of his 20 years of experience in private equity. Having served as a director of the Company for over five years, Mr. Tuttle's long-standing knowledge of and familiarity with Hyatt and our operations benefits the board of directors. Additionally, he is sophisticated in financial and accounting matters.

James H. Wooten, Jr.

Director since 2011
Age 63

James H. Wooten, Jr. served as the Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of Illinois Tool Works Inc. (*ITW*), a worldwide manufacturer of engineered products and equipment from 2006 until his retirement on March 1, 2012. Mr. Wooten joined ITW in 1988 as Senior Attorney. He was named Associate General Counsel in 2000, and in 2005, he was promoted to Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. Prior to joining ITW, Mr. Wooten practiced law at the firm of Gardner, Carton & Douglas, which is currently part of Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP. Mr. Wooten currently serves as a Director of Children's Memorial Hospital, Window to the World Communications, Inc., Congo Square Theatre and National Merit Scholarship Corporation. He also serves on the Audit Committee of Children's Memorial Hospital and Compensation Committee of Window to the World Communications, Inc.

Mr. Wooten brings to our board of directors extensive experience as an executive officer of a Fortune 200 company. Throughout his more than 20 years with ITW, Mr. Wooten has developed deep expertise and experience in the areas of risk assessment and management, SEC reporting issues and the general financial and operational aspects of managing a global enterprise. The board of directors also values Mr. Wooten's experience on various private and not-for-profit company boards of directors and committees. As an African-American, Mr. Wooten contributes to the diversity of the board of directors.

Other than the relationships of Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker and Ms. Penny Pritzker as described above, there are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Our Class I directors, whose terms will expire at the annual meeting of stockholders held during calendar year 2013, are Messrs. Aronson, Hoplamazian and Rocca and Ms. Pritzker.

Our Class II directors, whose terms will expire at the annual meeting of stockholders held during calendar year 2014, are Messrs. Pritzker, Trott, Tuttle and Wooten.

While voting agreements entered into with or among our major stockholders are in effect, they may provide our board of directors with effective control over the election of directors. Directors can be removed from our board of directors only for cause. Vacancies on our board of directors, and any newly created director positions created by the expansion of the board of directors, can be filled only by a majority of remaining directors then in office.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to our employment letter with Mr. Pritzker, we have agreed that so long as he is a member of our board of directors we will use our commercially reasonable efforts to appoint him as our executive chairman as long as he is willing and able to serve in that office. If he is not re-appointed as executive chairman, he will be entitled to terminate his employment with the rights and entitlements available to him under our severance policies as if his employment were terminated by us without cause.

Pursuant to our employment letter with Mr. Hoplamazian, we have agreed that so long as he is our president and chief executive officer, we will use our commercially reasonable efforts to nominate him for re-election as a director prior to the end of his term. If he is not re-elected to the board of directors, he will be entitled to terminate his employment with the rights and entitlements available to him under our severance policies as if his employment were terminated by us without cause.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, Hyatt's board of directors held nine meetings (and took action two times by written consent). The audit committee held 12 meetings, the compensation committee held six meetings, the nominating and corporate governance committee held six meetings, and the finance committee held eight meetings (and took action one time by written consent). Mr. Penner attended fewer than 75% of the total number of meetings of the board of directors and committees on which he served during 2011 (attending seven of the nine meetings of the board of directors held in 2011 and five of the eight finance committee meetings held in 2011). We do not have a policy regarding attendance of directors at our annual meetings of stockholders. Nine directors attended our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders.

Board Leadership Structure

The Hyatt Hotels Corporation Corporate Governance Guidelines (the *Corporate Governance Guidelines*) provide that the offices of the chairman of the board of directors and chief executive officer may be either combined or separated at the discretion of the board of directors. Mr. Pritzker currently serves as our executive chairman and Mr. Hoplamazian currently serves as our president and chief executive officer. Prior to Mr. Hoplamazian being named to this position in December 2006, Mr. Pritzker served as our executive chairman and chief executive officer. Mr. Hoplamazian also serves on our board of directors. As chief executive officer, Mr. Hoplamazian is responsible for setting the strategic direction for the Company and the day-to-day leadership and performance of the Company, while Mr. Pritzker, as executive chairman, provides guidance to the chief executive officer on a variety of key issues and sets the agenda for board of directors meetings (with input from Mr. Hoplamazian) and presides over meetings of the full board of directors. Our board of directors has determined that Mr. Pritzker's active involvement as executive chairman while Mr. Hoplamazian serves as president and chief executive officer and a director benefits the Company as a result of Mr. Pritzker's deep understanding of the Company's operations, relationships with owners and developers and extensive knowledge of the hospitality industry. Additionally, pursuant to our employment letter with Mr. Pritzker, we have agreed that so long as he is a member of our board of directors we will use our commercially reasonable efforts to appoint him as our executive chairman as long as he is willing and able to serve in that office. If he is not re-appointed as executive chairman, he will be entitled to terminate his employment with the rights and entitlements available to him under our severance policies as if his employment were terminated by us without cause.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines also provide that from time to time, the independent directors may determine that the board of directors should have a lead director. In the event that the independent directors make such a determination, the chairman of the nominating and corporate governance committee shall become the lead director on an *ex officio* basis. In the event that a lead director is designated, his or her duties would include: assisting the chairman of the board and board of directors in assuring compliance with and implementation of the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, coordinating the agenda for and moderating sessions of the board of director's non-management directors and acting as principal liaison between the non-management directors and the chairman of the board on sensitive issues. The Company currently has eight independent directors and to date they have not determined that the board of directors should have a lead director.

Table of Contents

Our board of directors believes that this current board leadership structure is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders at this time. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide the flexibility for our board of directors to modify or continue our leadership structure in the future, as it deems appropriate.

Our non-management directors will regularly meet in executive session without management present and our independent directors meet in executive session at least once a year. Mr. Aronson, the chairman of the nominating and corporate governance committee, presides at such sessions.

Board Role in Risk Oversight

Management is responsible for the Company's day-to-day risk management activities and processes, and our board of directors' role is to engage in informed oversight of and provide direction with respect to such risk management activities and processes. In fulfilling this oversight role, our board of directors focuses on understanding the nature of our enterprise risks, including risk in our operations, finances and strategic direction. Our board of directors performs this oversight function in a variety of ways, including the following:

the board of directors receives management updates on our business operations, financial results and strategy and, as appropriate, discusses and provides feedback with respect to risks related to those topics;

the Company maintains a risk council that is led by our vice president, internal audit and is comprised of certain members of management from different functional areas and business units and is responsible for identifying, assessing, prioritizing and monitoring critical risks of the Company and periodically reports to the board of directors and the audit committee regarding the Company's risk management processes and procedures; and

the audit committee assists the board of directors in its oversight of risk management by discussing with management, the internal auditors and the independent auditors the Company's policies and procedures with respect to the process governing risk assessment and risk management. To this end, the audit committee discusses with management the Company's major financial, reporting and disclosure risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures.

COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

All interested parties who wish to communicate with any of our directors, including our non-management directors, can address their communications as follows:

Mail: Hyatt Hotels Corporation
Attention: Corporate Secretary
71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Email: shareholdercommunications@hyatt.com

Hyatt's corporate secretary will maintain a record of all such communications and promptly forward to the chairman of the nominating and corporate governance committee those that the corporate secretary believes require immediate attention. The corporate secretary will also periodically provide the chairman of the nominating and corporate governance committee with a summary of all such communications. The chairman of the nominating and corporate governance committee shall notify the board of directors or the chairs of the relevant committees of the board of directors of those matters that he believes are appropriate for further action or discussion.

Table of Contents

CODE OF BUSINESS CONDUCT AND ETHICS

The Company has adopted the Hyatt Hotels Corporation Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the *Code of Ethics*), which is applicable to all of Hyatt's directors, officers and associates, including the Company's president and chief executive officer, chief financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller and other senior financial officers performing similar functions. The Code of Ethics is posted on the Company's website at www.hyatt.com under the headings Investor Relations Corporate Governance Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. The Company will furnish a copy of the Code of Ethics to any person, without charge, upon written request directed to: Senior Vice President Investor Relations, Hyatt Hotels Corporation, 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606. In the event that the Company amends or waives any of the provisions of the Code of Ethics that applies to the Company's chief executive officer, chief financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller and other senior financial officers performing similar functions, the Company intends to disclose the relevant information on its website.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GUIDELINES

The Company has adopted the Corporate Governance Guidelines to assist the board of directors in the exercise of its responsibilities. The Corporate Governance Guidelines are posted on the Company's website at www.hyatt.com under the headings Investor Relations Corporate Governance Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Company will furnish a copy of the Corporate Governance Guidelines to any person, without charge, upon written request directed to: Senior Vice President Investor Relations, Hyatt Hotels Corporation, 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our board of directors will be comprised of a majority of directors who qualify as independent directors under the listing standards of the NYSE. Directors who do not meet the NYSE's independence standards, including current and former members of management, also make valuable contributions to the board of directors and to Hyatt by reason of their experience and wisdom, and the board of directors expects that some minority of its members will not meet the NYSE's independence standards.

Only those directors who the board of directors affirmatively determines have no direct or indirect material relationship with the Company will be considered independent directors, subject to any additional qualifications prescribed under the listing standards of the NYSE. A material relationship is one that would interfere with the director's exercise of independent judgment in carrying out his or her duties and responsibilities as a director. The nominating and corporate governance committee and the board of directors annually review all relevant business relationships any director or nominee for director may have with Hyatt, including the relationships described in the section below titled Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions. As a result of this review, the board of directors has determined that each of Messrs. Aronson, Friedman, McDonald, Rocca, Trott, Tuttle, Wooten and Ms. Kronick is an independent director under applicable SEC rules and the listing standards of the NYSE. In making these independence determinations, in addition to the relationships described below under Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions, the board of directors considered that certain of these directors serve or previously served together on other boards of directors, not-for-profit boards of directors and charitable organizations, certain directors serve as non-management directors or executive officers of companies with which Hyatt does business, and certain directors are affiliated with charitable organizations that received contributions from Hyatt of amounts within the criteria set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines. The board of directors also took into account that certain entities affiliated with the directors paid amounts to Hyatt for room accommodations and meeting space in the ordinary course of business. Relationships considered by the board of directors not otherwise described in this paragraph are disclosed below.

Mr. Aronson is a director and a member of the compensation committee of Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. (*Royal Caribbean*). Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker is a director of Royal Caribbean and Pritzker family non-U.S. situs

Table of Contents

trusts own approximately 5.4% of the outstanding common stock of Royal Caribbean. Mr. Aronson is the Founder and Managing Partner of ACON Investments, LLC. The Pritzker Family Foundations, LLC made an investment in ACON-Bastion Partners II, L.P., an investment fund affiliated with ACON Investments, LLC. Ms. Penny Pritzker is an officer of The Pritzker Family Foundations, LLC. The board of directors has affirmatively determined that such relationships would not interfere with Mr. Aronson's exercise of independent judgment in carrying out his duties and responsibilities as a director.

Mr. McDonald is a director of Wells Fargo and Company, parent of Wachovia Bank, National Association, which is a lender and administrative agent under the Company's credit facility. The Company made payments to Wachovia of approximately \$835,239 in 2010 under the credit facility. In addition, in 2010 the Company made a payment to Wells Fargo of approximately \$38.6 million to pay off property specific mortgage debt. Mr. McDonald will not be standing for re-election as a director of Wells Fargo in 2012. The board of directors has affirmatively determined that such relationship would not interfere with Mr. McDonald's exercise of independent judgment in carrying out his duties and responsibilities as a director.

Mr. Trott is the managing partner and chief investment officer of BDT Capital Partners, LLC and the president of BDT & Company, LLC. An affiliate of BDT Capital Partners, LLC is the general partner of BDT Capital Partners Fund I, L.P. Trusts for the benefit of Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker and members of his family and trusts for the benefit of Ms. Penny Pritzker and members of her family and Ms. Pritzker's family foundation have subscribed as limited partners in BDT Capital Partners Fund I, L.P. BDT & Company, LLC has been engaged by the co-trustees of the Pritzker family U.S. situs trusts (including Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker) to provide financial advisory services on a broad range of matters. The board of directors has affirmatively determined that such relationships would not interfere with Mr. Trott's exercise of independent judgment in carrying out his duties and responsibilities as a director.

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Our board of directors has a nominating and corporate governance committee, an audit committee, a compensation committee and a finance committee, each of which has the composition and responsibilities described below. Our board of directors may also establish from time to time any other committees that it deems necessary or desirable. The composition of each committee complies with the listing requirements and other rules of the NYSE.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Our nominating and corporate governance committee consists of Messrs. Aronson, Trott and Tuttle, with Mr. Aronson serving as chairman. Our board of directors has determined that each of Messrs. Aronson, Trott and Tuttle is independent within the meaning of the listing standards of the NYSE. The nominating and corporate governance committee is authorized to:

assist the board of directors in identifying individuals qualified to be members of the board of directors consistent with criteria approved by the board of directors and set forth in the Corporate Governance Guidelines and to recommend director nominees to the board of directors;

take a leadership role in shaping Hyatt's corporate governance, including developing and recommending to the board of directors corporate governance guidelines and practices applicable to Hyatt;

recommend board committee nominees to the board of directors; and

oversee the evaluation of the board of directors' and management's performance.

Our board of directors has adopted a written charter for our nominating and corporate governance committee, which is available on our website at www.hyatt.com under the headings Investor Relations Corporate Governance Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter.

Table of Contents

Selection of Director Nominees

At an appropriate time prior to each annual meeting of stockholders, or if applicable, a special meeting of stockholders at which directors are to be elected or re-elected, the nominating and corporate governance committee will recommend to the board of directors for nomination such candidates as the committee has found to be well qualified and willing and available to serve, and in each case, providing the committee's assessment whether such candidate would satisfy the independence requirements of the NYSE.

Prior to making such recommendations to the board of directors, the nominating and corporate governance committee conducts inquiries into the background and qualifications of any potential candidates, including the following criteria set forth in Hyatt's Corporate Governance Guidelines:

judgment, character, expertise, skills and knowledge useful to the oversight of Hyatt's business;

diversity of viewpoints, backgrounds and experiences;

business or other relevant experience; and

the extent to which the integrity of the candidate's expertise, skills, knowledge and experience with that of the other directors will build a board of directors that is effective, collegial and responsive to the needs of Hyatt.

The nominating and corporate governance committee also considers such other relevant factors as it deems appropriate, including requirements that the members of the board of directors as a group maintain the requisite qualifications under the applicable NYSE listing standards for independence for the board of directors as a whole and for populating the audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees. While there are no specific minimum qualifications that a director candidate must possess, the nominating and corporate governance committee recommends those candidates who possess the highest personal and professional integrity, have prior experience in corporate management or our industry, maintain academic or operational expertise in an area relating to our business and demonstrate practical and mature business judgment. As described above, our Corporate Governance Guidelines specify that the value of diversity of viewpoints, backgrounds and experiences on the board of directors should be considered by the nominating and corporate governance committee in the director identification and nomination process. The nominating and corporate governance committee seeks nominees with a broad diversity of experience, professions, skills, geographic representation and backgrounds. The nominating and corporate governance committee does not assign specific weighting to particular criteria and no particular criterion is necessarily applicable to all prospective nominees. We believe that the backgrounds and qualifications of the directors, considered as a group, should provide a composite mix of experience, knowledge and abilities that will allow the board of directors to fulfill its responsibilities. Nominees are not discriminated against on the basis of race, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability or any other basis proscribed by law.

The nominating and corporate governance committee will consider stockholder recommendations for candidates to be nominated by our board of directors for election at the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders. Stockholders who want to recommend a potential director candidate for consideration by the nominating and corporate governance committee should send a written notice, addressed to the corporate secretary at our principal executive offices at 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606. This notice must include the same information as would be required under our bylaws in a stockholder's notice to nominate a director at the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders. These information requirements are set forth in Sections 3.8(a)(2)(x) and 3.8(a)(2)(z)(i) (vii) of our bylaws.

We also consider potential director candidates recommended by current directors, officers, employees and others. We may also retain the services of search firms to provide us with candidates, especially when we are looking for a candidate with a particular expertise, quality, skill or background. In 2011, we engaged Spencer Stuart, Inc., an executive search consulting firm, and paid related fees in the amount of \$6,875.

Table of Contents

The nominating and corporate governance committee screens all potential candidates in the same manner, regardless of the source of the recommendation. The review is typically based on any written materials provided with respect to potential candidates, and the committee reviews the materials to determine the qualifications, experience and background of the candidates. Final candidates are typically interviewed by one or more members of the nominating and corporate governance committee. In making its determinations, the nominating and corporate governance committee evaluates each individual in the context of our board of directors as a whole, with the objective of assembling a group that can best perpetuate the success of our company and represent stockholder interests through the exercise of sound judgment. After review and deliberation of all feedback and data, the nominating and corporate governance committee makes a recommendation to the full board of directors regarding whom should be nominated by the board of directors.

The nominating and corporate governance committee did not receive any director recommendations from a stockholder for consideration at the 2012 Annual Meeting. December 1, 2012 is the deadline established by the nominating and corporate governance committee for submission of potential director nominees for consideration by the nominating and corporate governance committee for nomination at the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders.

Audit Committee

Hyatt's audit committee, which was established in accordance with section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act, consists of Messrs. Rocca, Tuttle and Wooten and Ms. Kronick, with Mr. Rocca serving as chairman. Our board of directors determined that each of Messrs. Rocca, Tuttle and Wooten and Ms. Kronick is independent within the meaning of applicable SEC rules and the listing standards of the NYSE, and has determined that Mr. Rocca is an audit committee financial expert, as such term is defined in the rules and regulations of the SEC. The audit committee has oversight responsibilities regarding:

the integrity of our financial statements and our financial reporting and disclosure practices;

the soundness of our system of internal controls regarding finance and accounting compliance;

the annual independent audit of our consolidated financial statements;

the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence;

the engagement of our independent registered public accounting firm;

the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm;

the performance of our internal audit function and approval of the internal audit plan;

our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements in connection with the foregoing;

compliance with our Code of Ethics;

assisting the board of directors in its oversight of risk management by discussing with management, the internal auditors and the independent auditors the Company's policies and procedures with respect to the process governing risk assessment and risk

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

management, and discussing with management the Company's major financial, reporting and disclosure risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures;

reviewing and approving procedures with respect to employee submission of and the Company's response to complaints received regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters; and

addressing requests for waivers of conflict of interest situations and addressing certain concerns related to accounting, internal accounting controls and auditing matters as provided in our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Our board of directors has adopted a written charter for our audit committee, which is available on our website at www.hyatt.com under the headings Investor Relations Corporate Governance Audit Committee Charter.

Table of Contents

Finance Committee

Our finance committee consists of Messrs. Pritzker, Trott and Penner and Ms. Pritzker, with Mr. Pritzker serving as chairman. The finance committee is responsible for reviewing with Company management strategies, plans, policies and significant actions relating to corporate finance matters, including, without limitation, the following matters:

long and short-term financings, including, without limitation (i) borrowing of funds from, or issuance of debt securities to, one or more lenders, in each case involving an amount in excess of \$50,000,000, (ii) designation and issuance of our equity securities and matters related to the sale and marketing thereof, (iii) any financial guaranty in excess of \$50,000,000, and (iv) any credit support in excess of \$50,000,000;

changes in our capital structure, including, but not limited to, (i) cash and stock dividend policies, (ii) programs to repurchase our stock, (iii) issues relating to the redemption and/or issuance of our preferred stock, and (iv) stock splits;

investments (including the making of loans), divestitures and acquisitions, in each case involving an amount in excess of \$50,000,000;

capital expenditures and leasing arrangements, in each case involving an amount in excess of \$50,000,000; and

oversight of administration and asset management of our unfunded and funded employee benefit plans and amounts held for operating needs.

In addition to its review responsibilities described above, the finance committee has the power and authority to approve on behalf of the board of directors all matters set forth in the first bullet point above (except the issuances of equity) and all of the matters set forth in the third, fourth and fifth bullet points above. Approval of the following matters is specifically reserved to the full board of directors:

issuances of equity;

debt financings, financial guarantees and/or credit support involving in each case an amount in excess of \$100 million;

all matters described in the second bullet point above;

merger, acquisition and divestiture activities involving companies, businesses or assets valued in each case in an amount in excess of \$100 million; and

capital expenditures and leasing arrangements involving in each case an amount in excess of \$100 million.

Our board of directors has adopted a written charter for our finance committee, which is available on our website at www.hyatt.com under the headings Investor Relations Corporate Governance Finance Committee Charter.

Compensation Committee

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

Our compensation committee consists of Messrs. McDonald, Aronson and Friedman and Ms. Kronick, with Mr. McDonald serving as chairman. Our board of directors has determined that each member of our compensation committee is independent within the meaning of the listing standards of the NYSE. However, Mr. Friedman is not an outside director for purposes of Section 162(m) (*Section 162(m)*) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the *Code*), or a non-employee director under Section 16 of the Exchange Act. Accordingly, the compensation committee has appointed a sub-committee consisting of Mr. McDonald, Mr. Aronson and Ms. Kronick (the *Section 162(m) and Section 16 subcommittee*) to take actions with respect

Table of Contents

to any compensation intended to qualify as performance based compensation and be deductible under Section 162(m) or exempt from the short-swing rules under Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act. The compensation committee is authorized to discharge the responsibilities of the board of directors relating to:

the establishment, maintenance and administration of compensation and benefit policies designed to attract, motivate and retain personnel with the requisite skills and abilities to enable the Company to achieve its business objectives;

the goals, objectives and compensation of our president and chief executive officer, including evaluating the performance of the president and chief executive officer in light of those goals;

the compensation of our other executive officers and non-management directors;

ensuring that succession planning takes place for the chief executive officer and other senior management positions;

our compliance with the compensation rules, regulations and guidelines promulgated by the NYSE, the SEC and other law, as applicable; and

the issuance of an annual report on executive compensation for inclusion in our annual proxy statement.

Our board of directors has adopted a written charter for our compensation committee, which is available on our website at www.hyatt.com under the headings Investor Relations Corporate Governance Compensation Committee Charter.

During 2011 the compensation committee relied upon information provided by Mercer (US) Inc. (*Mercer*) in setting compensation for our named executive officers, as more thoroughly discussed below under the section titled Compensation Consultant Fees and Services.

In making decisions about executive compensation, the compensation committee considered input from Mercer, our executive chairman, our president and chief executive officer and our chief human resources officer. However, the compensation committee ultimately makes all compensation decisions regarding our executive officers, other than our executive chairman, whose compensation is approved by the full board of directors.

The compensation committee may delegate its duties to a subcommittee under the terms of its charter. In addition, under the terms of our Amended and Restated Hyatt Hotels Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan (as amended, the *LTIP*), the compensation committee may delegate to other members of the board of directors and to our officers the authority to make awards and to amend LTIP awards, except that it may not delegate the authority to make any awards to officers who are subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act or to make awards to themselves. To date, other than the delegation to the Section 162(m) and Section 16 subcommittee, the compensation committee has not delegated any of its authority under the LTIP.

Compensation Consultant Fees and Services

During 2011 Mercer was engaged by management directly to provide executive, director and other compensation services on which management and the compensation committee both rely. During 2011, Mercer performed the following services:

provided information and data so that we could assess the competitiveness of our executive compensation programs;

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

advised on current base and incentive compensation practices;

initiated a job leveling study for our corporate office;

provided analysis regarding our total rewards program, LTIP awards, and dilution and run-rate under the LTIP; and

Table of Contents

assisted with international insurance proposals and renewal negotiations.

Mercer supports the needs of both management and the compensation committee. For 2011, the compensation committee determined that it was not necessary to retain Mercer separately, or to retain its own compensation consultant, as the committee was confident that the advice it received from Mercer was objective and not influenced by Mercer's or its affiliates' relationships with Hyatt. In particular, the individual executive compensation consultant used:

receives no incentive or other compensation based on the fees charged to Hyatt for other services provided by Mercer or any of its affiliates;

is not responsible for selling other Mercer or affiliate services to the Company; and

is prohibited by Mercer's professional standards from considering any other relationships Mercer or any of its affiliates may have with Hyatt in rendering his advice and recommendations.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, in December 2011, the compensation committee directly engaged Mercer as a consultant to the committee on a going forward basis while, in turn, delegating to certain members of management the authority to direct Mercer with respect to matters which are of general applicability to broad levels of employees, do not involve equity compensation, are not limited to executive officers and do not exceed \$200,000 in fees per individual statement of work. As such, management has the sole authority to engage Mercer for any such additional services without further approval so long as such services remain within the scope of the established parameters.

The following is a summary of the fees for professional services, as well as commissions with respect to international insurance matters, paid to Mercer and its affiliates for services rendered in 2011:

Fee Category	2011
Executive and Director Compensation Consulting	\$ 175,581
Non-Executive Compensation Consulting	\$ 347,707
Non-Executive Compensation Services by an Affiliate of Mercer	\$ 1,058,933(1)
Total	\$ 1,582,221

(1) Amount represents commissions and consulting fees paid to an affiliate of Mercer with respect to international insurance matters.

Compensation Risk Considerations

The compensation committee reviews and evaluates, in conjunction with management, the incentives and material risks arising from or relating to the Company's compensation programs and arrangements and determines whether such incentives and risks are appropriate. A team made up of members from our internal audit and human resources departments reviewed the Company's incentive compensation plans and programs in order to assess whether or not any such plans or programs could create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. Management then reviewed such assessment with the compensation committee. In such assessment, the Company determined that the following policies discourage unreasonable or excessive risk-taking by executives:

base salary levels are commensurate with the overall experience, time in the role, and performance of each named executive officer (NEO) (and the competitive market) so that the executive officers are not motivated to take excessive risks to achieve a level of financial security;

annual incentive plans include a diverse mix of corporate and individual performance metrics, including non-financial measures;

Table of Contents

annual incentive payouts are capped to ensure that no payout exceeds a specified percentage of salary, thereby moderating the impact of short-term incentives;

the mix of short- and long-term incentives is weighted such that a significant percentage of total opportunity is in the form of long-term equity awards;

awards made under our LTIP are generally granted as a mix of stock appreciation rights (*SARs*), time-vested restricted stock units (*RSUs*) and performance-based restricted stock units (*PSUs*) that vest over time which, together, encourage NEOs to focus on sustained stock price performance;

activities, controls and monitoring procedures are in place, including but not limited to compensation committee oversight, that mitigate risks associated with incentive compensation plans;

in addition to our chief executive officer and chief financial officer being subject to the claw back provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Company has adopted a compensation recovery policy, described below in the section titled *Share Ownership Requirement and Compensation Recovery Policy* ; and

share ownership requirements align the long-term interests of NEOs with the interests of stockholders.

Based on these and other considerations, the Company concluded that there are no compensation policies or practices that create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

We use a combination of cash and stock-based incentive compensation to attract and retain qualified candidates to serve on the board of directors. In setting director compensation, we consider the significant amount of time that directors expend in fulfilling their duties as well as the skill level required by members of our board of directors.

Retainers and Committee Fees

Our directors who are also our employees do not receive any additional compensation for their services as our directors. Accordingly, Messrs. Pritzker and Hoplamazian do not receive any compensation for their services as directors. For 2011, members of the board of directors who are not our employees were entitled to receive annual cash retainers of \$70,000 and stock compensation of \$105,000.

Directors may elect to receive their annual cash retainer in shares of Class A common stock. The annual cash retainer is paid on a quarterly basis. Directors who choose to receive cash do so at the end of each fiscal quarter. Directors who choose to receive shares of Class A common stock in lieu of cash receive shares with a grant date of the second to last business day of each quarter. The annual cash retainer is pro-rated in the event the director did not serve for the full fiscal quarter.

Directors receive their annual stock retainer on the date of the Company's annual meeting of stockholders, payable in arrears for service since the prior annual meeting. Prior to January 1, 2011, the annual stock retainer was paid quarterly in arrears for service during the immediately preceding quarter. Therefore, on the date of the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, stock compensation paid to non-employee directors was pro-rated to reflect compensation for only the period ranging from January 1, 2011 to the date of the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders because the directors had already received stock compensation payments for the third and fourth quarters of 2010. The annual stock retainer is also pro-rated in the event the director did not serve for the full fiscal year.

Table of Contents

Committee members and the chairman of each committee received the following annual cash retainers for 2011:

Committee Name	Committee Member Retainer	Committee Chairman Retainer
Audit Committee	\$ 9,000	\$ 25,000
Compensation Committee	\$ 3,000	\$ 25,000
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	\$ 3,000	\$ 6,000
Finance Committee(1)	\$ 3,000	\$ 6,000

(1) As an employee of the Company, Mr. Pritzker was not eligible to receive and did not receive a retainer for his service as chairman of the finance committee in 2011.

The chairman of a committee receives only the chairman retainer and does not also receive the committee member retainer. Committee retainers are paid in quarterly installments on the second to last business day of each quarter based on the individual's service for such quarter. All of our directors are reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred in connection with attending board of director meetings and committee meetings and for attending corporate functions on our behalf. To encourage our directors to visit and personally evaluate our properties, the directors are eligible for complimentary and discounted rooms at Hyatt-owned, operated or franchised hotels, as well as the use of hotel-related services when on personal travel.

Newly Elected Directors

In addition to the annual cash and stock compensation, each newly-elected non-employee director receives an initial equity retainer of \$75,000 payable in shares of our Class A common stock. The initial equity retainer is payable on the date the director is first elected or appointed to the board of directors and is based on the value of the shares on such date.

Non-Employee Director Stock Ownership Guidelines

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines require that each non-employee director accumulate and own, directly or indirectly, at least \$225,000 worth of our common stock (or common stock equivalents held under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan described below) at all times during his or her tenure on the board of directors. Our non-employee directors who served on the board of directors at the time of our initial public offering in November 2009 have until November 2014 to meet this ownership requirement. Any non-employee directors commencing service after November 2009, such as Mr. Wooten, will have up to five years of service on the board of directors to meet this ownership requirement. If, after the relevant accumulation period, the market value of such director's stock should fall below the target level, the director will not be permitted to sell any of our common stock until the market value again exceeds the target level. These sale limitations do not apply where the decline in value of the director's holdings of our common stock is in connection with a change of control transaction.

Directors Deferred Compensation Plan

Each non-employee director may elect to defer all or any portion of his or her annual cash and annual stock retainers under our Directors Deferred Compensation Plan. Once an election is made to defer a retainer, the decision may be revoked or changed only for subsequent calendar years. Under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, a director who elects to defer any of his or her annual cash retainer may elect to have such amount invested in a notional cash account, which is credited with interest quarterly at the prime rate, or in stock units equivalent to our Class A common stock. Deferrals of annual stock retainers are invested in stock units equivalent to our Class A common stock. Any retainers deferred into stock units are entitled to receive additional

Table of Contents

stock units equal to the amount of any dividends payable on the stock units held by the director. The director may also elect to receive payment for any such deferrals at either January 31st of the year following the director's departure from the board of directors or on the last business day of March of the fifth year following the year in which such retainer was earned. Stock units are paid in shares of our Class A common stock from shares reserved for issuance under our LTIP.

2011 Director Compensation

The following table provides information related to the compensation of our non-employee directors earned for 2011:

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards \$(1)	Total (\$)
Bernard W. Aronson	\$ 79,000	\$ 47,777	\$ 126,777
Richard A. Friedman(2)	\$ 3,000	\$ 117,783	\$ 120,783
Susan D. Kronick(2)	\$ 10,500	\$ 117,783	\$ 128,283
Mackey J. McDonald(2)	\$ 25,000	\$ 117,783	\$ 142,783
John D. Nichols(2)(3)	\$ 0	\$ 79,886	\$ 79,886
Gregory B. Penner(2)	\$ 3,000	\$ 117,783	\$ 120,783
Penny Pritzker(2)	\$ 3,000	\$ 117,783	\$ 120,783
Michael A. Rocca	\$ 95,000	\$ 47,777	\$ 142,777
Byron D. Trott(2)	\$ 6,000	\$ 117,783	\$ 123,783
Richard C. Tuttle(2)	\$ 12,000	\$ 117,783	\$ 129,783
James H. Wooten, Jr. (2)(3)	\$ 4,500	\$ 110,030	\$ 114,530

- (1) Amounts shown represent the grant date fair value of stock or stock units in payment of annual stock retainers in 2011 as computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (*FASB*) (Accounting Standards Codification (*ASC*)) Topic 718, *Compensation Stock Compensation*. For a discussion of the assumptions made in the valuation, see Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for 2011 contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 16, 2012. As described above under *Directors Deferred Compensation Plan*, directors may elect to defer their stock and cash fees into RSUs. The following table sets forth the aggregate number of outstanding RSUs held by each director as of December 31, 2011:

Name	Stock Units
Bernard W. Aronson	8,509
Richard A. Friedman	6,238
Mackey J. McDonald	6,058
John D. Nichols	13,338
Penny Pritzker	3,068
Michael A. Rocca	9,141
Richard C. Tuttle	15,678

- (2) Mr. McDonald, Mr. Penner, Ms. Kronick, Mr. Trott and Mr. Wooten elected to receive their annual cash retainers of \$70,000 in the form of our Class A common stock while Mr. Friedman, Mr. Tuttle, Mr. Nichols and Ms. Pritzker elected to defer their annual cash retainer of \$70,000 into RSUs under the *Directors*

Table of Contents

Deferred Compensation Plan. As a result, other than Mr. Nichols and Mr. Wooten, each of these directors received 1,842 shares or RSUs (if deferred) based on the fair market value of our Class A common stock on the date the retainers were payable. Mr. Nichols did not stand for re-election to the board of directors on June 15, 2011 and received a pro-rated annual cash retainer for 2011 deferred into 770 RSUs based on the fair market value of our Class A common stock on the date that the retainers were payable. Mr. Wooten was elected to the board of directors on June 15, 2011 and, for the balance of the year, earned a pro-rated cash retainer for 2011 in the form of 1,000 shares of Class A common stock based on the fair market value of our Class A common stock on the date that the retainers were payable.

- (3) Mr. Nichols did not stand for re-election to the board of directors on June 15, 2011. Mr. Wooten was elected to the board of directors effective June 15, 2011. Under the terms of our director compensation program, Mr. Wooten received his initial equity retainer at that time. The number of shares of Class A common stock paid to him was determined by dividing \$75,000 by \$38.97, our closing stock price on June 15, 2011.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The compensation committee has reviewed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth below and discussed its contents with the Company's management. Based on this review and discussion, the compensation committee recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Mackey J. McDonald, Chairman

Bernard W. Aronson

Richard A. Friedman

Susan D. Kronick

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

Our compensation committee consists of Messrs. McDonald, Aronson and Friedman and Ms. Kronick, with Mr. McDonald serving as chairman. None of the members of our compensation committee has at any time been one of our executive officers or employees. None of our executive officers currently serves, or has served during the last completed fiscal year, on the compensation committee or board of directors of any other entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our compensation committee. None of our executive officers currently serves, or has served during the last completed fiscal year, on the compensation committee of any other entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our board of directors. Because of his affiliation with Goldman, Sachs & Co., Mr. Friedman had certain relationships with the Company during 2011 that are required to be disclosed under the SEC rules relating to disclosure of related party transactions. See the section below titled "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions" for more information.

ARTICLE III: EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following discussion describes the compensation elements of our total rewards program for our NEOs, including our principal executive officer (*PEO*), principal financial officer (*PFO*) and our three most highly compensated executive officers. We are also including discussion and disclosure regarding our executive chairman as an NEO, even though he technically does not qualify as either our PEO or one of our three most highly compensated executive officers under the SEC proxy disclosure rules.

Table of Contents

Our NEOs for 2011 were:

Name	Position
Thomas J. Pritzker	Executive Chairman of the Board
Mark S. Hoplamazian (PEO)	President and Chief Executive Officer
Harmit J. Singh (PFO)	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
Rakesh K. Sarna	Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer International
H. Charles Floyd	Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer North America
Stephen G. Haggerty	Executive Vice President, Global Head of Real Estate and Development

Our compensation committee is responsible for establishing, maintaining and administering our compensation programs for our NEOs and other executives.

Philosophy and Goals of Our Executive Compensation Program

Our goal is to be the preferred brand for guests and owners and the preferred employer for associates. We believe that this goal is central to and best promotes value creation for our stockholders. Our compensation philosophy is to provide an appropriate base of cash compensation and to align all incentive and long-term components of compensation to support long-term value creation for our stockholders. We have focused on defining annual financial and non-financial goals around metrics that we believe support and promote enhancement of long-term brand value. We believe that this is the best way to align our total rewards with creation of long-term stockholder value. To attract, recruit, develop, engage and retain the talent needed to deliver on this goal, our compensation programs are designed to:

retain the employee capabilities required to achieve our goal and appropriately motivate employees through the alignment of total rewards with performance goals;

address the needs and preferences of employees as individuals and as members of high-performing teams;

be innovative and competitive, recognizing the ever changing dynamics of the labor market and acknowledging that, in attracting, retaining and developing talent globally, we need to offer compelling employment opportunities; and

be cost effective and financially sustainable over time under varying business conditions.

To accomplish these goals, our executive compensation program is based on a total rewards program, which provides:

compensation, including forms of current cash and incentive compensation, as well as long-term stock-based compensation;

benefits, including retirement-related, healthcare and other welfare programs;

work/lifestyle programs, including paid-time off, specified number of free hotel stays and other programs that promote well-being; and

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

training and development.

Our total rewards program is designed to provide rewards for individual, team and organizational achievement of business objectives and to emphasize long-term incentive compensation and variable compensation.

Table of Contents

Alignment with Stockholder Interests

The compensation committee periodically reviews what it considers to be best practices in governance and executive compensation. As a result, the compensation committee believes that Hyatt's executive compensation program is aligned with stockholders because Hyatt:

does not provide for tax reimbursement payments or gross-ups except in limited cases for new hire relocation;

has eliminated virtually all executive perquisites;

requires executive officers to maintain specific stock ownership levels to align their interests with stockholders;

has policies in place that provide for the forfeiture of vested and unvested equity awards in the event that an NEO competes with Hyatt or violates certain other restrictive covenants and compensation recovery;

does not permit repricing of SARs or options without stockholder approval;

does not provide supplemental defined benefit pensions to executives;

generally provides limited severance protections for NEOs (see the section below titled "Potential Payments on Termination or Change in Control"); and

does not use automatic single trigger arrangements that provide change in control payments or vesting of stock-based compensation without loss of employment or material adverse change in job duties.

Impact of Advisory Vote Approving Executive Compensation

At the Company's 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, the Company held an advisory vote to approve executive compensation (*say-on-pay*), thereby affording stockholders the opportunity to cast an advisory vote on the compensation programs for our NEOs. The result of this advisory vote indicated stockholder approval of the compensation paid to our NEOs. Among other considerations, including the result of the vote, the compensation committee did not change its approach to executive compensation. The compensation committee will continue to consider the outcome of the Company's say-on-pay votes when making future compensation decisions for our NEOs.

Role of the Outside Consultant

Mercer provides consulting services to our compensation committee and management to help:

assess the competitiveness of our executive compensation programs;

advise on current incentive compensation and executive benefit practices; and

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

assist with the preparation of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Mercer consultants also work on our plan design for retirement, international benefits and manager incentives, as well as the administration of certain of our plans. See the section above titled Compensation Consultant Fees and Services for further information regarding services performed by Mercer in 2011.

Role of Executive Officers

In making decisions about executive compensation, the compensation committee invites our executive chairman, our president and chief executive officer and our chief human resources officer to present various compensation proposals at the committee meetings and to answer any questions the committee may have. With respect to the compensation of our chief executive officer, the compensation committee meets in executive session with the executive chairman and, from time to time, our chief human resources officer is present at such meetings.

Market Data

Mercer helps us assess the market competitiveness of our NEOs' annual cash and long-term incentives. In doing so, Mercer uses several survey sources, and where data for comparable positions was available in the hospitality/restaurant or

Table of Contents

lodging industry they provided such data. In addition to any such data available from the hospitality/restaurant or lodging industry, Mercer provides general industry survey data for the compensation committee's consideration. We include restaurant companies in our market data, along with our competitors in the hospitality and lodging business, as these are companies with which we compete for management talent. The restaurant companies included in our market data surveys also have a similar business profile to ours, in that they have franchise operations, in some cases they own restaurants that they manage, have global operations and scope, and are in a consumer facing and customer oriented service business.

In 2011, we reviewed the competitiveness of our compensation against the following peer group which was selected based on several factors, including business mix and model, revenues, global presence and the strength of their brands:

Carnival Corporation	Las Vegas Sands Corporation
Marriott International Inc.	Wyndham Worldwide Corporation
Starwood Hotels and Resorts Worldwide, Inc.	Brinker International, Inc.
Boyd Gaming Corporation	Wynn Resorts
Starbucks Corporation	Wendy's/Arby's Group, Inc.
MGM Mirage	Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc.
Darden Restaurants, Inc.	Yum! Brands, Inc.
Royal Caribbean Cruises, Ltd.	

For 2011 we set our base salaries, annual incentive targets and long-term incentives by reference to the review of market competitiveness. The introduction of the PSU grants was intended to provide another performance-based vehicle that, if earned, would improve our NEOs' total rewards relative to market only after achieving what the compensation committee considers to be challenging long-term goals that are aligned with Hyatt's long-term strategic plan.

Arrangements for Mr. Pritzker

Mr. Pritzker is subject to a different compensation program than the other NEOs. His compensation program is discussed separately below, under Executive Chairman Compensation.

Key Elements of Total Rewards in 2011

Our total rewards programs include fixed and variable compensation as well as other benefits. We provide the following compensation elements to our NEOs:

Compensation Element	Purpose	Description
Base Salary	Fixed component of pay that fairly compensates the individual based upon level of responsibilities	Fixed cash payments
Annual Incentive	Align compensation with performance at the enterprise and business segment or functional level	Variable annual cash award
Long-Term Incentive	Reward for creating long-term stockholder value and provide alignment with stockholders	Equity instruments, including stock appreciation rights, time-vested restricted stock units and performance-based restricted stock units
Employee Benefits	Retirement, health and other benefits that provide comprehensive long-term financial security to a globally mobile workforce, enable us to maintain a healthy and productive workforce and attract and retain employees	401(k) plan, deferred compensation programs with matching and retirement contributions, health, life and disability insurance as well as certain perquisites

Table of Contents

Base Salary

Salaries for our NEOs are reviewed annually. Our NEOs' salaries for 2011 reflected several factors, including overall experience, time in the role, performance, market levels and the desire to provide an appropriate base as part of their overall total rewards.

Messrs. Pritzker and Hoplamazian did not receive a base salary increase in 2011. Messrs. Singh, Sarna, Floyd, and Haggerty were provided a base salary increase in 2011 with the increases ranging from approximately 2% to 12%. The adjustment to base salary for Messrs. Floyd and Sarna were made following a study of market practices that determined this component of their total rewards was below market for similar positions in our peer group.

Annual Incentive

Our annual incentive plan provides at-risk compensation designed to reward executives for achievement of operating results over a one-year period. Incentives are based on both financial and non-financial metrics that are intended to balance overall focus on corporate financial performance, business unit financial performance and other initiatives that will strengthen our competitive position. Our annual incentive plan also includes a discretionary leadership component that provides flexibility in assessing how our executives are meeting the needs of our business.

Under the terms of his employment agreement, Mr. Pritzker is not eligible for annual incentives under our plan as his role is to focus on Hyatt's long-term development. As such, he is eligible to receive long-term incentive awards through our long-term incentive program.

Mr. Hoplamazian's target and maximum incentives are set according to the terms of his 2009 employment agreement. The target and maximum incentive opportunities for our other NEOs are determined based on references to market data and the individual's role in the organization, overall experience and time in the role. In particular, the compensation committee considered the total compensation market data for these positions. The compensation committee focused on delivering a total compensation package which would attract a high level of talent while weighting more of the NEO's total compensation potential on variable and long-term incentives, thereby aligning it with the interests of our stockholders. As a result of this review, the target annual and maximum incentive opportunities for Messrs. Singh and Haggerty were increased in 2011. For 2011 performance, the target and maximum annual incentive opportunities as a percentage of base salary for each NEO who participated in our annual incentive plan were as follows:

Position	Target	Maximum
Mark S. Hoplamazian	150%	300%
Harmit J. Singh	90%	135%
Rakesh K. Sarna	100%	150%
H. Charles Floyd	100%	150%
Stephen G. Haggerty	90%	135%

For 2011, the annual incentive plan used the following types of incentive goals for each of our incentive eligible NEOs:

Hyatt Financial Performance: The compensation committee decided that it would evaluate the Company's 2011 financial performance (*Hyatt Financial Performance*) relative to historic performance, the economy, and management's outlook for 2011 as reviewed by the board of directors at the end of 2010. Factors considered included, but were not limited to, revenues, Adjusted EBITDA, net income and cash flow generated by the Company, without setting specific targets for any of them. See Part II, Item 7, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Key Business Metrics Evaluated by Management - Adjusted EBITDA*, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 for our definition of Adjusted EBITDA.

Table of Contents

Financial and Non-Financial MBOs: Financial and non-financial management objectives (*MBOs*), which are designed to incentivize each individual in his area of responsibility, as well as build brand value over time.

Leadership: Goals based on leadership, collaboration and fulfillment of our values.

For 2011, the weighting of incentive goals for each relevant NEO was as follows:

Goal	Hoplamazian	Singh	Floyd	Sarna	Haggerty
<i>Hyatt Financial Performance</i>	37.5%	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%
<i>Financial and Non-Financial MBOs</i>	42.5%	42.5%	55.0%	55.0%	55.0%
<i>Leadership</i>	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

The compensation committee reviewed Hyatt Financial Performance for 2011, in particular focusing on improvement in Adjusted EBITDA, net income and operating margins, and awarded the NEOs 115% of target annual incentive for this component on the basis of financial results.

Hoplamazian's 2011 Annual Incentive

As shown above, Mr. Hoplamazian's annual incentive for 2011 was weighted 37.5% on Hyatt Financial Performance, 42.5% on achievement of financial and non-financial MBOs, and 20% on leadership goals.

Mr. Hoplamazian's financial and non-financial MBO goals for 2011 were:

Increase Market Share. Increase market share in each market in which Hyatt operates.

Asset Strategy. Capital expenditure programs implemented on time and on budget. Execute asset recycling plan and demonstrate returns consistent with projections on projects in which significant investments have been made and have been open and operating for at least 3 years. Identify financing sources for new projects.

Guest Satisfaction. Increase guest satisfaction for each of International hotels, North American full service hotels (for individual guests and for meeting planners) and North American select service hotels.

Associate Engagement. Ensure that action plans for associate engagement are being implemented throughout the organization. Develop methodology to demonstrate connection between engagement and financial results and improvements in overall performance at the hotel level and at the corporate office.

Growth. Achieve the Company's development goals in 2011.

Brands. Complete (a) additional work relating to brand definition and communication; (b) customer data management goals; (c) work on the development of new measures of guest loyalty, preference and satisfaction; and (d) strategy for inbound travel to the U.S. from selected countries.

Organization. Refine CEO succession plan, launch development program for high potential leaders and identify executive development planning priorities as appropriate.

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

Based on input from our executive chairman and the review of our performance during 2011, the compensation committee awarded Mr. Hoplamazian 104% of his financial and non-financial MBO component. In doing so, the compensation committee considered Mr. Hoplamazian's achievements in positioning the Company well for future growth, performance in capital expenditures, expanded leadership development initiatives, development activities, and realization of guest satisfaction scores and further positive progression in associate engagement.

Table of Contents

The compensation committee awarded Mr. Hoplamazian 100% of the leadership component based on the compensation committee's assessment of his leadership and fulfillment of Hyatt's values in setting the tone for the overall enterprise.

Accordingly, based on Hyatt Financial Performance and the factors and considerations discussed above, the compensation committee awarded Mr. Hoplamazian a 2011 annual incentive payment of \$1,539,030.

Other NEOs' 2011 Annual Incentives

The annual incentives for Mr. Singh were weighted 37.5% on Hyatt Financial Performance, 42.5% on achievement of financial and non-financial MBOs for his area of responsibility, and 20% on leadership goals. The annual incentives for Messrs. Sarna, Floyd and Haggerty were weighted 25% on Hyatt Financial Performance, 55% on achievement of financial and non-financial MBOs for their areas of responsibility, and 20% on leadership goals. In assessing each of these NEO's achievement of his MBOs and leadership goals, the compensation committee sought Mr. Hoplamazian's input and recommendations; however, all decisions as to level of achievement were ultimately made by the compensation committee.

Singh's 2011 Annual Incentive

As shown above, Mr. Singh's annual incentive for 2011 was weighted 37.5% on Hyatt Financial Performance, 42.5% on achievement of financial and non-financial MBOs, and 20% on leadership goals.

Mr. Singh's financial and non-financial MBO goals for 2011 were:

Financing Initiatives. Effect financing and finance-related tasks that demonstrate appropriate capital structure and efficiency, including refinancing Hyatt's revolving line of credit and completing financing of various projects and joint ventures.

Development of Capital Sources. Advance communication plan for capital recycling program. Ensure that key drivers of value are well defined and communicated.

Organization. Identify and implement appropriate organization structure for finance and information technology functions. Facilitate succession planning by identifying opportunities to provide content training and development opportunities. Establish and implement action plans to improve engagement within finance, risk and technology areas.

Information Technology. Ensure full staffing of the information technology function and evaluate needs for upgrades in various information systems and processes.

Processes. Continue to refine processes in finance focusing on long range plan update processes, forecasting, budgeting, capital expenditures, capital efficiency, audits and post audit review on investments.

The compensation committee awarded Mr. Singh 103% of his financial and non-financial MBO component based on accomplishment of certain financing initiatives, development of capital sources, implementation of IT projects and improved associate engagement.

The compensation committee awarded Mr. Singh 100% of the leadership component based on his support of our values, his leadership and collaborative efforts, and other areas of activity.

Accordingly, based on Hyatt Financial Performance and the factors and considerations discussed above, the compensation committee awarded Mr. Singh a 2011 annual incentive payment of \$541,000.

Sarna's 2011 Annual Incentive

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

As shown above, Mr. Sarna's annual incentive for 2011 was weighted 25% on Hyatt Financial Performance, 55% on achievement of financial and non-financial MBOs, and 20% on leadership goals.

Table of Contents

Mr. Sarna's financial and non-financial MBO goals for 2011 were:

International Operations Financial Performance. Enhance financial performance of the International Operations business unit for 2011 relative to historical performance, taking into consideration the economy and management's outlook for international operations for 2011 as reviewed at the beginning of 2011.

Increase Market Share. Achieve market share goals for individual properties and demonstrate increase in market share across hotels in International Operations.

Organization. Implement program to ensure that senior executives in International Operations are exposed to other areas of Hyatt's business to develop their knowledge of Hyatt's operations. Lead launch of leadership training initiatives. Refine the technical services functions globally to align resources, personnel and processes to be consistent with needs in operations and in development. Retain and develop top talent.

Guest Satisfaction. Increase guest satisfaction in each international hotel.

Associate Engagement. Establish and implement action plans focused on increased associate engagement for International Operations leadership teams. Assist in the development of action plans for the senior leadership team and direct reports. Create one or more internal forums to share best practices.

Brand Management. Complete brand definitions and communication of such brand definitions both internally and externally. Integrate brand definitions into a development marketing platform and integrate brand management into development processes.

Growth. Support development efforts for brands in each region. Support launch of Hyatt Place in China, India, Europe and Mexico. The compensation committee awarded Mr. Sarna 105% of his financial and non-financial MBO component based on new, effective leadership development initiatives, improvement in the performance of owned and joint venture hotels, growth and enhancements in guest satisfaction and associate engagement.

The compensation committee awarded Mr. Sarna 100% of the leadership component based on his support of our values, his leadership and other areas of activity including enhanced collaborative efforts across different functional areas within the Company.

Accordingly, based on Hyatt Financial Performance and the factors and considerations discussed above, the compensation committee awarded Mr. Sarna a 2011 annual incentive payment of \$708,000.

Floyd's 2011 Annual Incentive

As shown above, Mr. Floyd's annual incentive for 2011 was weighted 25% on Hyatt Financial Performance, 55% on achievement of financial and non-financial MBOs, and 20% on leadership goals.

Mr. Floyd's financial and non-financial MBO goals for 2011 were:

North American Operations Financial Performance. Enhance financial performance of the North American Operations business unit for 2011 relative to historical performance, taking into account the economy and management's outlook for North American

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

operations for 2011 as reviewed at the beginning of 2011, and field performance and assessments relating to property performance across each brand.

Increase Market Share and Operational Performance. Increase market share for North American full service hotels and North American select service hotels. Maintain revenue and operating profit performance for North American full service hotels and North American select service hotels.

Table of Contents

Organization. Define the large group strategy for North America full service operations. Refine revenue management activities and evaluate new supporting systems or processes. Support progress on certain critical renovation and new hotel projects.

Guest Satisfaction. Increase guest satisfaction in each North American full service hotel (for individual guests and for meeting planners) and each select service hotel.

Associate Engagement. Establish and implement action plans focused on increasing associate engagement among North American leadership team members. Assist in the development of action plans for the senior leadership team and their direct reports. Create internal forums to share best practices.

Growth. Continue to support growth efforts and expand engagement in development process to ensure appropriate prioritization of initiatives. Continue to provide direction and priorities to technical services to support growth.

Brand Management. Complete brand definitions and communication of such brand definitions both internally and externally.

Integrate brand definitions into a development marketing platform and integrate brand management into development processes.

The compensation committee awarded Mr. Floyd 108% of his financial and non-financial MBO component based on improved North America financial performance including RevPAR, growth and market share, and enhancements to our guest satisfaction measurement process.

The compensation committee awarded Mr. Floyd 100% of the leadership component for 2011 based on leadership performance and collaboration across different functions.

Accordingly, based on Hyatt Financial Performance and the factors and considerations discussed above, the compensation committee awarded Mr. Floyd a 2011 annual incentive payment of \$726,000.

Haggerty's 2011 Annual Incentive

As shown above, Mr. Haggerty's annual incentive for 2011 was weighted 25% on Hyatt Financial Performance, 55% on achievement of financial and non-financial MBOs, and 20% on leadership goals.

Mr. Haggerty's financial and non-financial MBO goals for 2011 were:

Owned and JV Hotel Performance; Department Performance. Enhance financial performance of owned and joint venture hotels relative to historical performance, considering the economy and management's outlook for the owned and joint venture hotels for 2011 as reviewed at the beginning of 2011. Manage department expenses.

Chain Stability. Demonstrate a proactive and creative application of resources to improve the quality of Hyatt's contractual assets. Demonstrate successful execution of transactions over the course of the year. Review efficacy of transaction process.

Asset Strategy. Demonstrate addition of stockholder value through the application of capital and the achievement of returns. Capital expenditure programs on time and on budget. Execute asset recycling plan and demonstrate returns levels consistent with projections on projects in which significant investments have been made and have been open and operating for at least 3 years.

Organization. Launch development asset management function. Ensure sufficient transaction support exists to complete complex transactions. Ensure quality and integrity of all reporting including financial reporting and maintenance of control environment. Develop assessment tools to ensure optimal information flow and coordination with internal and external parties.

Table of Contents

Associate Engagement. Establish and implement action plans focused on increasing associate engagement among the various teams within Real Estate and Development. Assist in the development of action plans for the senior leadership team and their direct reports. Create one or more internal forums to share best practices.

The compensation committee awarded Mr. Haggerty 110% of his financial and non-financial MBO component based on transactions completed during 2011, an effective capital expenditure program, the performance of owned and joint venture hotels, significant progress in growth and improvements in associate engagement.

The compensation committee awarded Mr. Haggerty 100% of the leadership component based on leadership performance and collaboration across different functions.

Accordingly, based on Hyatt Financial Performance and the factors and considerations discussed above, the compensation committee awarded Mr. Haggerty a 2011 incentive payment of \$576,000.

The actual annual incentive compensation earned for 2011 performance expressed as a percentage of base salary as in effect at year end for each NEO who participated in the annual incentive plan was as follows:

Name	Actual
Mark S. Hoplamazian	156% of year-end salary (104% of target)
Harmit J. Singh	93% of year-end salary (103% of target)
Rakesh K. Sarna	105% of year-end salary (105% of target)
H. Charles Floyd	108% of year-end salary (108% of target)
Stephen G. Haggerty	99% of year-end salary (110% of target)

Long-Term Incentive

In 2011, we used equity in the form of SARs, RSUs and PSUs granted under our LTIP as the means of providing long-term incentives to our executives. These grants are designed to:

drive and reward performance over an extended period of time to promote creation of long-term value for our stockholders;

create strong alignment with the long-term interests of our stockholders;

assist in retaining highly qualified executives; and

contribute to competitive total rewards.

SARs are designed to deliver value to executives only if our stock price increases over the value at the time of grant. Each vested SAR gives the holder the right to receive the appreciation in the value of one share of our Class A common stock at the exercise date over the value of one share of our Class A common stock at the date of grant. Generally, SARs vest annually over four years (25% per year) and are settled by delivery of our Class A common stock.

RSUs are designed to align the interests of our NEOs with the interests of our stockholders, to reward performance and to promote retention of our executives by providing equity-based compensation that fluctuates with our stock price. RSUs were also granted in light of the fact that the lodging industry is cyclical, and the volatility of the value of an RSU is lower than the volatility of the value of a SAR. RSUs, accordingly, are intended to create a sense of ownership and to better align executives' interests with our stockholders' interests. Generally, RSUs vest equally over four years (25% per year) and are settled by delivery of shares of our Class A common stock.

Table of Contents

PSUs were introduced in 2011 to provide another performance-based vehicle for our executive officers, and are designed to align the interests of our NEOs with the interests of our stockholders, to reward performance against specified goals and to promote retention of our executives by providing equity-based compensation that fluctuates with our stock price. The PSUs are earned only if the NEOs achieve what the compensation committee believes are challenging three-year economic profit goals. Economic profit is defined as Adjusted EBITDA less a specified percentage of the Company's average invested capital for each year of the three-year performance period. For this purpose Adjusted EBITDA is defined as set forth in Part II, Item 7, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Key Business Metrics Evaluated by Management - Adjusted EBITDA*, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, and based upon the relevant accounting principles at the time. If the threshold goal is not achieved at the end of the three-year performance period, then the PSUs will be forfeited and none will vest. If the threshold performance goal is achieved, the PSUs will vest and be paid out at 25% of target levels. If the target performance goal is achieved, the PSUs will vest and be paid out at 100% of target levels. If the maximum performance goal is achieved, the PSUs will vest and be paid out at 200% of target levels. Achievement between the threshold and maximum performance goals will be paid pro-rata based on level of achievement. Earned PSUs are settled by delivery of shares of our Class A common stock.

In 2009 we adopted a retirement vesting policy which allows employees who have attained at least age 55 and whose age plus years of service equals at least 65 to continue vesting in their equity awards following retirement provided that they execute a release of claims and they do not compete with us during the remainder of the vesting period. We adopted this policy in order to allow employees who were close to retirement to realize the value of their long-term equity incentives granted in the final years of employment, as long as they do not engage in competitive activities following their retirement.

In determining the value of long-term incentive grants, we considered the market data, the individual's potential contribution to our success and the relationship between each NEO's short-term and long-term compensation. For 2011, the compensation committee determined that the long-term incentive awards to NEOs, apart from Mr. Pritzker, would be split ratably between SARs, RSUs and PSUs.

The value for the annual long-term incentive grants for Messrs. Singh, Floyd, Sarna and Haggerty was set based on the compensation committee's review of market practices. The actual number of SARs, RSUs and PSUs granted was then determined based on applying a Black-Scholes value for the SARs and the value of our common stock for the RSUs and PSUs on the date of grant. The allocation of grants between SARs, RSUs and PSUs and the target value were established by the compensation committee based in part upon the recommendation of Mercer, and as reviewed by the chief human resources officer. Mercer's recommendations were based on its review of market practices.

Mr. Hoplamazian received an equity grant in March 2011 split equally between SARs, RSUs and PSUs with a grant date fair value (computed in accordance with FASB (ASC) Topic 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*) of \$3,999,931. This target value is established under his employment agreement. The SARs and RSUs vest 25% each year over four years. The PSUs are earned only if the three-year performance goals are met.

See the section below titled "Executive Chairman Compensation" for a discussion of Mr. Pritzker's 2011 equity grant.

The Company does not time any equity grants for executive officers or any other employees to coordinate with the release of material non-public information.

Employee Benefits

Our NEOs are eligible to receive employee benefits similar to all other salaried associates, such as participation in our 401(k) plan, with matching contributions, health, life and disability plans and severance benefits, as described in more detail in the section below titled "Potential Payments on Termination or Change in

Table of Contents

Control. In addition, as described in more detail in the section below titled Narrative to Summary Compensation Table, we provide certain additional retirement and deferred compensation benefits to our NEOs, as well as limited perquisites. These additional employee benefits and perquisites make up the benefits/work/lifestyle portion of our total rewards package and allow us to compete in attracting and retaining executives.

Tax Deductibility and Accounting Considerations

We consider tax and accounting implications in designing our executive compensation programs and attempt to maximize the tax deductibility to us, while minimizing the tax consequences to our executives. As a newly public company, we intend to rely on certain transition rules available under Section 162(m) regarding compensation payable as a public company pursuant to plans and arrangements which were in place prior to becoming public and which were disclosed in our initial public offering prospectus. However, we may determine to pay compensation that is not tax deductible.

Share Ownership Requirement and Compensation Recovery Policy

In 2009 we adopted share ownership guidelines that require each of our NEOs (other than Mr. Pritzker) to hold SARs, RSUs (whether or not vested) or stock with a value within the following guidelines:

Hoplamazian	5 times base salary
Singh, Sarna, Floyd and Haggerty	3 times base salary

However, once an NEO reaches age 55 his ownership guideline will reduce by 10% per year until age 60. Our NEOs have five years to meet these goals. We adopted these share ownership guidelines as a means of requiring executives to hold equity and tie their interests to stockholders. Each NEO currently meets the guidelines.

We also have a compensation recovery policy, which, if the board of directors determines that an executive has engaged in fraudulent or willful misconduct that resulted in a restatement of our financial results, allows the board of directors (or a committee thereof) in its discretion to recover from such executive any bonus, equity compensation or profits received on equity compensation by such executive.

Executive Chairman Compensation

In 2009, Mr. Pritzker entered into an employment agreement which was reviewed and approved by our compensation committee and our board of directors. Under this agreement Mr. Pritzker is entitled to the following compensation and benefits:

annual base salary of \$486,200;

annual grants under the LTIP similar to other senior executives with a targeted grant date fair value (computed in accordance with FASB (ASC) Topic 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation) equal to 500% of base salary;

all future grants under the LTIP will continue to vest following his termination for any reason other than cause, provided he executes a general release of claims and he does not compete with Hyatt;

benefits and perquisites generally available to our senior executive officers from time to time including medical and dental insurance, life insurance, 401(k) plan, disability coverage, vacation benefits, monthly parking in Hyatt Center, corporate dining room privileges, and participation in our deferred compensation plan; and

severance in accordance with our general policies.

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

Under the terms of this agreement he is not eligible for participation in our annual incentive plan, as all of his performance and incentive-based compensation is designed to be earned through equity grants. In accordance with the terms of his employment agreement, Mr. Pritzker received a SAR grant with a grant value of \$2,430,983 in 2011.

Table of Contents**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Earnings (\$)(2)	All Other Compensation (\$)(3)	Total (\$)
Thomas J. Pritzker	2011	\$ 486,200			\$ 2,430,983			\$ 27,806	\$ 2,944,989
	2010	\$ 486,200			\$ 2,374,987		\$ 4,085	\$ 93,013	\$ 2,958,285
Executive Chairman of the Board	2009	\$ 422,917					\$ 19,329	\$ 145,643	\$ 587,889
Mark S. Hoplamazian	2011	\$ 985,230		\$ 2,666,602	\$ 1,333,329	\$ 1,539,030		\$ 39,929	\$ 6,564,120
President and Chief Executive Officer (<i>Principal Executive Officer</i>)	2010	\$ 981,225		\$ 1,662,484	\$ 1,662,493	\$ 1,700,000		\$ 30,311	\$ 6,036,513
	2009	\$ 979,167		\$ 831,242	\$ 831,246	\$ 983,000		\$ 46,258	\$ 3,670,913
Harmit J. Singh	2011	\$ 582,071		\$ 999,923	\$ 499,991	\$ 541,000		\$ 39,922	\$ 2,662,907
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer (<i>Principal Financial Officer</i>)	2010	\$ 570,553		\$ 1,243,675	\$ 912,479	\$ 534,000		\$ 30,236	\$ 3,290,943
	2009	\$ 550,000		\$ 802,503	\$ 475,963	\$ 370,000		\$ 97,164	\$ 2,295,630
Rakesh K. Sarna	2011	\$ 663,174		\$ 1,266,642	\$ 633,322	\$ 708,000		\$ 140,213	\$ 3,411,351
	2010	\$ 602,070		\$ 985,962	\$ 985,973	\$ 733,000	\$ 476	\$ 91,889	\$ 3,399,370
Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer International	2009	\$ 581,000		\$ 1,785,745	\$ 502,790	\$ 340,000	\$ 2,084	\$ 81,010	\$ 3,292,629
H. Charles Floyd	2011	\$ 663,174		\$ 1,266,642	\$ 633,322	\$ 726,000		\$ 64,919	\$ 3,354,057
	2010	\$ 602,070		\$ 985,962	\$ 985,973	\$ 705,000	\$ 5,577	\$ 55,235	\$ 3,339,817
Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer North America	2009	\$ 581,000		\$ 2,430,753	\$ 502,790	\$ 315,000	\$ 26,387	\$ 66,056	\$ 3,921,986
Stephen G. Haggerty	2011	\$ 577,003		\$ 999,923	\$ 499,991	\$ 576,000		\$ 30,114	\$ 2,683,031
	2010	\$ 565,165		\$ 1,447,463	\$ 867,859	\$ 543,000		\$ 20,404	\$ 3,443,891
Executive Vice President, Global Head of Real Estate and Development	2009	\$ 544,700		\$ 692,679	\$ 424,238	\$ 305,000		\$ 31,189	\$ 1,997,806

(1) Amounts shown represent the grant date fair value of SARs, RSUs and PSUs granted in the year indicated as computed in accordance with FASB (ASC) Topic 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*. For a discussion of the assumptions made in the valuation reflected in these columns, see Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for 2011 contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 16, 2012. With regard to the valuation of PSU awards, the values set forth above reflect payment of PSUs based on the probable outcome of target levels. The grant date value of the PSUs assuming maximum performance is as follows for each relevant NEO: Mr. Hoplamazian: \$2,666,602; Mr. Singh: \$999,923; Mr. Sarna: \$1,266,642; Mr. Floyd: \$1,266,642; Mr. Haggerty: \$999,923. For a discussion of threshold, target and maximum levels of PSU award payments, see *Grants of Plan-Based Awards* below.

(2) Represents earnings in 2009 and 2010 under deferred compensation plans which were merged into the Deferred Compensation Plan. Under those prior deferred compensation plans, participants were credited with interest annually at a rate equal to the average annual rate for 20 year Treasury securities, constant maturity as published in the Federal Reserve Statistical Release H15 for the calendar year prior to the year in which interest credit is made, plus 100 basis points.

(3) All other compensation for 2011 includes:

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

Name	Corporate Dining Room Usage	Parking	401(k) Match and Contributions to DCP	Life Insurance and Long-Term Disability Premiums	Total
Thomas J. Pritzker	\$ 11,421	\$ 5,700	\$ 9,800	\$ 885	\$ 27,806
Mark S. Hoplemazian	\$ 11,421	\$ 5,700	\$ 21,800	\$ 1,008	\$ 39,929
Harmit J. Singh	\$ 11,421	\$ 5,700	\$ 21,800	\$ 1,001	\$ 39,922
Rakesh K. Sarna	\$ 11,421	\$ 5,700	\$ 118,172	\$ 4,920	\$ 140,213
H. Charles Floyd	\$ 11,421	\$ 5,700	\$ 46,800	\$ 998	\$ 64,919
Stephen G. Haggerty	\$ 11,421	\$ 5,700	\$ 12,000	\$ 993	\$ 30,114

Narrative to Summary Compensation Table

The actual value, if any, that an executive may realize from a SAR, RSU or PSU is contingent upon the satisfaction of the conditions to vesting in that award, and with respect to SARs, upon the excess of the stock price over the base price on the date the award is exercised. Thus, there is no assurance that the value, if any, eventually realized by the executive will correspond to the amount shown in the table above. The amounts shown in the table above are computed in accordance with FASB (ASC) Topic 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*.

Table of Contents

As part of our total rewards program, we offer the following employee benefit plans and perquisites:

Retirement Programs

In addition to our 401(k) plan that is available to employees generally, our NEOs may participate in the Deferred Compensation Plan (*DCP*) which is a non-qualified deferred compensation plan.

401(k) Plan

Our 401(k) plan is an on-going, tax-qualified 401(k) plan that matches 100% on the first 3% an employee contributes and 50% on the next 2% an employee contributes for a total match of 4% of an employee's compensation up to the IRS limits for tax qualified plans.

Deferred Compensation Plan

The DCP allows executives to defer all or any portion of their base salary and annual incentive. We will make an employer contribution to the plan based on a designated contribution schedule. Messrs. Pritzker, Hoplamazian, Singh, Floyd, and Haggerty receive a dollar for dollar match on deferrals up to \$12,000 annually. Mr. Floyd also received a supplemental employer contribution to the DCP in the amount of \$25,000 as part of an earlier arrangement relating to the termination of one of our prior defined benefit plans. In 2010 we consolidated our non-qualified deferred compensation plans and as a result Mr. Sarna, began participating in the DCP. He receives an employer contribution equal to 8.5% of his salary, which contribution is 50% of the normal contribution rate based on his age and years of service and was agreed to as part of our consolidation of our non-qualified retirement plans. Executives can select among various investment options and are eligible to receive their account balances when they terminate employment.

Perquisites

We offer limited perquisites to our executives which we believe are reasonable and consistent with our total rewards program and our intention to attract and retain key executives. Perquisites that are provided include:

limited use of Hyatt hotel properties per the policy that is applicable to all Hyatt associates;

corporate dining room use; and

parking.

Messrs. Pritzker and Hoplamazian are permitted to use our corporate aircraft for personal travel. Under our aircraft usage policy, Mr. Hoplamazian may use up to 30 hours per year with Mr. Pritzker's approval, and the compensation committee's approval for personal travel over 30 hours. Mr. Hoplamazian did not use our corporate aircraft for personal travel in 2011.

Table of Contents**GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS**

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Base Price of Option Awards (#)	Exercise or (\$/sh)(3)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Options Awards(4)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)				
Thomas J. Pritzker											
SARs	3/16/2011								127,410	\$ 41.74	\$ 2,430,983
Mark S. Hoplamazian											
		\$ 1,477,845	\$ 2,955,690								
PSUs	3/16/2011			7,985	31,943	63,886					\$ 1,333,301
SARs	3/16/2011							69,881	\$ 41.74	\$ 1,333,329	
RSUs	3/16/2011						31,943			\$ 1,333,301	
Harmit J. Singh											
		\$ 525,600	\$ 788,400								
PSUs	3/16/2011			2,994	11,978	23,956					\$ 499,962
SARs	3/16/2011							26,205	\$ 41.74	\$ 499,991	
RSUs	3/16/2011						11,978			\$ 499,962	
Rakesh K. Sarna											
		\$ 675,000	\$ 1,012,500								
PSUs	3/16/2011			3,793	15,173	30,346					\$ 633,321
SARs	3/16/2011							33,193	\$ 41.74	\$ 633,322	
RSUs	3/16/2011						15,173			\$ 633,321	
H. Charles Floyd											
		\$ 675,000	\$ 1,012,500								
PSUs	3/16/2011			3,793	15,173	30,346					\$ 633,321
SARs	3/16/2011							33,193	\$ 41.74	\$ 633,322	
RSUs	3/16/2011						15,173			\$ 633,321	
Stephen G. Haggerty											
		\$ 521,100	\$ 781,650								
PSUs	3/16/2011			2,994	11,978	23,956					\$ 499,962
SARs	3/16/2011							26,205	\$ 41.74	\$ 499,991	
RSUs	3/16/2011						11,978			\$ 499,962	

(1) The amounts shown represent the target and maximum potential payments under the annual incentive program based on multiples of the NEO's base salary as of December 31, 2011. See the section in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy titled "Annual Incentive" for a more detailed description of the incentive compensation program.

(2) The amounts shown represent the potential PSUs that may be earned under the LTIP at each of the threshold, target, and maximum performance levels. Any earned PSUs will be paid in shares of Class A common stock. The number of PSUs that an NEO may earn will be determined at the conclusion of the 2011-2013 performance period and will depend on the attainment of performance goals which generally are based on Adjusted EBITDA less a specified percentage of the Company's average invested capital for each year of the three-year performance period ending December 31, 2013. For this purpose Adjusted EBITDA is defined as set forth in Part II, Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Key Business Metrics Evaluated by Management - Adjusted EBITDA," of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, and based upon the relevant accounting principles at the time. If the threshold performance goal is not achieved at the end of the three-year performance period, then the PSUs will be forfeited and none will vest. If the threshold performance goal is achieved, the PSUs will vest and be paid out at 25% of target levels. If the target performance goal is achieved, the PSUs will vest and be paid out at 100% of target levels. If the maximum performance goal is achieved, the PSUs will vest and be paid out at 200% of target levels. Achievement between the threshold and maximum performance goals will be paid pro-rata based on level of achievement.

- (3) Equals the fair market value of our Class A common stock on the grant date as determined by the compensation committee under the LTIP.
- (4) Amounts shown represent the grant date fair value of SARs, RSUs, and PSUs granted in the year indicated as computed in accordance with FASB (ASC) Topic 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation. For a discussion of the assumptions made in the valuation reflected in these columns, see Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for 2011 contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 16, 2012. With regard to the valuation of PSU awards, the grant date fair values set forth above reflect payment of PSUs based on the probable outcome at target levels.

Narrative to Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

The actual value, if any, that an executive may realize from a SAR, RSU, or PSU is contingent upon the satisfaction of the conditions to vesting in that award, and with respect to SARs, upon there being a positive excess of the stock price on the date the award is exercised over the base price established at the award date. Thus, there is no assurance that the value, if any, eventually realized by the executive will correspond to the grant date fair value shown in the table above.

Table of Contents**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END**

Name	Grant Date	SAR Awards				Stock Awards			
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised SAR(#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised SAR(#)	SAR Exercise Price (\$)	SAR Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock that have Not Vested (#)(2)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that have Not Vested (\$)(4)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares or Units of Stock that have Not Vested (#)(3)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market Value of Unearned Shares or Units of Stock that have Not Vested (\$)(4)
		Unexercised	Unexercised	Exercise	Expiration	Vested	Vested	Vested	Vested
		Unexercised	Unexercised	Exercise	Expiration	Vested	Vested	Vested	Vested
Thomas J. Pritzker	3/16/2011		127,410	\$ 41.74	3/16/2021				
	5/11/2010	29,926	89,781	\$ 40.96	5/11/2020				
Mark S. Hoplamazian	3/16/2011		69,881	\$ 41.74	3/16/2021				
	3/16/2011					31,943	\$ 1,202,335		
	3/16/2011							31,943	\$ 1,202,335
	5/11/2010	20,948	62,847	\$ 40.96	5/11/2020				
	5/11/2010					30,441	\$ 1,145,799		
	10/1/2009	30,560	30,561	\$ 29.10	10/1/2019				
	10/1/2009					14,283	\$ 537,612		
	5/2/2008					3,400	\$ 127,976		
7/1/2007	425,000		\$ 62.80	7/1/2017					
Harmit J. Singh	3/16/2011		26,205	\$ 41.74	3/16/2021				
	3/16/2011					11,978	\$ 450,852		
	3/16/2011							11,978	\$ 450,852
	5/11/2010	6,300	18,901	\$ 40.96	5/11/2020				
	5/11/2010					9,156	\$ 344,632		
	3/2/2010	6,473	19,421	\$ 33.12	3/2/2020				
	3/2/2010					16,841	\$ 633,895		
	5/11/2009	16,526	16,527	\$ 26.00	6/9/2019				
5/11/2009					22,933	\$ 863,198			
9/10/2008					10,485	\$ 394,655			
Rakesh K. Sarna	3/16/2011		33,193	\$ 41.74	3/16/2021				
	3/16/2011					15,173	\$ 571,112		
	3/16/2011							15,173	\$ 571,112
	5/11/2010	6,930	20,791	\$ 40.96	5/11/2020				
	5/11/2010					10,071	\$ 379,072		
	3/2/2010	6,842	20,527	\$ 33.12	3/2/2020				
	3/2/2010					9,873	\$ 371,620		
	5/11/2009	17,458	17,458	\$ 26.00	6/9/2019				
	5/11/2009					44,728	\$ 1,683,562		
	5/2/2008					21,188	\$ 797,516		
5/2/2008	18,693	6,232	\$ 58.18	5/2/2018					
7/1/2007	31,114		\$ 62.80	7/1/2017					
H. Charles Floyd	3/16/2011		33,193	\$ 41.74	3/16/2021				
	3/16/2011					15,173	\$ 571,112		
	3/16/2011							15,173	\$ 571,112
	5/11/2010	6,930	20,791	\$ 40.96	5/11/2020				
	5/11/2010					10,071	\$ 379,072		
	3/2/2010	6,842	20,527	\$ 33.12	3/2/2020				
	3/2/2010					9,873	\$ 371,620		
	5/11/2009	17,458	17,458	\$ 26.00	6/9/2019				
	5/11/2009					80,509	\$ 3,030,359		
	5/2/2008					21,032	\$ 791,644		
5/2/2008	16,255	5,420	\$ 58.18	5/2/2018					
7/1/2007	30,000		\$ 62.80	7/1/2017					

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

	10/6/2006	68,750		\$ 49.90	10/6/2016		
Stephen G. Haggerty	3/16/2011		26,205	\$ 41.74	3/16/2021		
	3/16/2011					11,978	\$ 450,852
	3/16/2011						11,978 \$ 450,852
	5/11/2010	6,300	18,901	\$ 40.96	5/11/2020		
	5/11/2010					9,156	\$ 344,632
	3/2/2010	5,773	17,320	\$ 33.12	3/2/2020		
	3/2/2010					21,456	\$ 807,604
	5/11/2009	14,730	14,731	\$ 26.00	6/9/2019		
	5/11/2009					13,321	\$ 501,402
	5/2/2008					6,025	\$ 226,781
	5/2/2008	16,068	5,357	\$ 58.18	5/2/2018		
	7/1/2007	50,000		\$ 62.80	7/1/2017		

Table of Contents

(1) Represents SARs held by the NEOs. The SARs vest as follows:

	Grant Date	Vesting
Thomas J. Pritzker	3/16/2011	25% per year commencing on March 16, 2012 and each anniversary of March 16 thereafter.
	5/11/2010	25% per year commencing on May 11, 2011 and each anniversary of May 11 thereafter.
Mark S. Hoplamazian	3/16/2011	25% per year commencing on March 16, 2012 and each anniversary of March 16 thereafter.
	5/11/2010	25% per year commencing on May 11, 2011 and each anniversary of May 11 thereafter.
	10/1/2009	25% per year commencing on August 1, 2010 and each anniversary of August 1 thereafter.
Harmit J. Singh	7/1/2007	25% per year commencing on December 18, 2007 and each anniversary of December 18 thereafter.
	3/16/2011	25% per year commencing on March 16, 2012 and each anniversary of March 16 thereafter.
	5/11/2010	25% per year commencing on May 11, 2011 and each anniversary of May 11 thereafter.
	3/2/2010	25% per year commencing on March 2, 2011 and each anniversary of March 2 thereafter.
Rakesh K. Sarna	5/11/2009	25% per year commencing on April 1, 2010 and each anniversary of April 1 thereafter.
	3/16/2011	25% per year commencing on March 16, 2012 and each anniversary of March 16 thereafter.
	5/11/2010	25% per year commencing on May 11, 2011 and each anniversary of May 11 thereafter.
	3/2/2010	25% per year commencing on March 2, 2011 and each anniversary of March 2 thereafter.
	5/11/2009	25% per year commencing on April 1, 2010 and each anniversary of April 1 thereafter.
	5/2/2008	25% per year commencing on April 1, 2009 and each anniversary of April 1 thereafter.
	7/1/2007	25% per year commencing on March 31, 2008 and each anniversary of March 31 thereafter.
H. Charles Floyd	3/16/2011	25% per year commencing on March 16, 2012 and each anniversary of March 16 thereafter.
	5/11/2010	25% per year commencing on May 11, 2011 and each anniversary of May 11 thereafter.
	3/2/2010	25% per year commencing on March 2, 2011 and each anniversary of March 2 thereafter.
	5/11/2009	25% per year commencing on April 1, 2010 and each anniversary of April 1 thereafter.
	5/2/2008	25% per year commencing on April 1, 2009 and each anniversary of April 1 thereafter.
	7/1/2007	25% per year commencing on March 31, 2008 and each anniversary of March 31 thereafter.
Stephen G. Haggerty	10/6/2006	25% per year commencing on October 6, 2007 and each anniversary of October 6 thereafter.
	3/16/2011	25% per year commencing on March 16, 2012 and each anniversary of March 16 thereafter.
	5/11/2010	25% per year commencing on May 11, 2011 and each anniversary of May 11 thereafter.
	3/2/2010	25% per year commencing on March 2, 2011 and each anniversary of March 2 thereafter.

Table of Contents

Grant Date	Vesting
5/11/2009	25% per year commencing on April 1, 2010 and each anniversary of April 1 thereafter.
5/2/2008	25% per year commencing on April 1, 2009 and each anniversary of April 1 thereafter.
7/1/2007	25% per year commencing on March 31, 2008 and each anniversary of March 31 thereafter.

(2) Represents RSUs held by the NEOs. The RSUs vest as follows:

	Grant Date	RSUs	Vesting	
Mark S. Hoplamazian	3/16/2011	31,943	25% on each anniversary of March 16, commencing March 16, 2012.	
	5/11/2010	40,588	25% on each anniversary of May 11, commencing May 11, 2011.	
	10/1/2009	28,565	25% on each anniversary of August 1, commencing August 1, 2010.	
	5/2/2008	8,500	10/25/25/40% on each anniversary of April 1, commencing April 1, 2009.	
Harmit J. Singh	3/16/2011	11,978	25% on each anniversary of March 16, commencing March 16, 2012.	
	5/11/2010	12,207	25% on each anniversary of May 11, commencing May 11, 2011.	
	3/2/2010	22,454	25% per year on each March 2, commencing March 2, 2011.	
	5/11/2009	15,865	25% per year on each April 1, commencing April 1, 2010.	
	5/11/2009	15,000	100% on April 1, 2018.	
	9/10/2008	12,500	10/25/25/40% on each anniversary of July 31, commencing July 31, 2009.	
	9/10/2008	7,835	10% per year commencing on July 31, 2009.	
Rakesh K. Sarna	3/16/2011	15,173	25% on each anniversary of March 16, commencing March 16, 2012.	
	5/11/2010	13,427	25% per year on each May 11, commencing May 11, 2011.	
	3/2/2010	13,164	25% per year on each March 2, commencing March 2, 2011.	
	5/11/2009	16,759	25% per year on each April 1, commencing April 1, 2010.	
	5/11/2009	51,923	10% per year commencing June 9, 2009, and then on April 1 of each subsequent year, except on April 1, 2012, on which 20% vests.	
	5/2/2008	4,750	25% per year on each April 1, commencing April 1, 2009.	
	5/2/2008	50,000	10/25/25/40% on each April 1, commencing April 1, 2009.	
H. Charles Floyd	3/16/2011	15,173	25% on each anniversary of March 16, commencing March 16, 2012.	
	5/11/2010	13,427	25% per year on each May 11, commencing May 11, 2011.	
	3/2/2010	13,164	25% per year on each March 2, commencing March 2, 2011.	
	5/11/2009	16,759	25% per year on each April 1, commencing April 1, 2010.	
	5/11/2009	76,731	2% per year commencing June 9, 2009, and then on each April 1 through 2014, 68% on April 1, 2015 and 4% per year thereafter on each April 1 with full vesting in April 1, 2020.	
	5/2/2008	4,125	25% per year on each April 1, commencing April 1, 2009.	
	5/2/2008	50,000	10/25/25/40% on each April 1, commencing April 1, 2009.	
	Stephen G. Haggerty	3/16/2011	11,978	25% on each anniversary of March 16, commencing March 16, 2012.
		5/11/2010	12,207	25% per year on each May 11, commencing May 11, 2011.
		3/2/2010	28,607	25% per year on each March 2, commencing March 2, 2011.
5/11/2009		14,141	25% per year on each April 1, commencing April 1, 2010.	
5/11/2009		12,500	25% per year on each April 1, commencing April 1, 2010.	
5/2/2008		4,100	25% per year on each April 1, commencing April 1, 2009.	

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

5/2/2008 12,500 10/25/25/40% on each April 1, commencing April 1, 2009.

Table of Contents

(3) Represents PSUs held by the NEOs. The PSUs vest as follows:

	Grant Date	Target Payout	Vesting
Mark S. Hoplamazian	3/16/2011	31,943	100% vests on December 31, 2013, contingent on achievement of target performance goals
Harmit J. Singh	3/16/2011	11,978	100% vests on December 31, 2013, contingent on achievement of target performance goals
Rakesh K. Sarna	3/16/2011	15,173	100% vests on December 31, 2013, contingent on achievement of target performance goals
H. Charles Floyd	3/16/2011	15,173	100% vests on December 31, 2013, contingent on achievement of target performance goals
Stephen G. Haggerty	3/16/2011	11,978	100% vests on December 31, 2013, contingent on achievement of target performance goals

(4) Based on \$37.64 per share, which was the closing price of our Class A common stock on December 30, 2011 (the last business day of fiscal year 2011).

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED

Name	Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)(1)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(2)
Mark S. Hoplamazian	19,413	\$ 816,829
Harmit J. Singh	16,538	\$ 710,181
Rakesh K. Sarna	29,715	\$ 1,277,716
H. Charles Floyd	25,901	\$ 1,115,774
Stephen G. Haggerty	21,012	\$ 919,042

(1) For each NEO listed above, some shares of Class A common stock underlying vested RSUs were delivered upon vesting while the delivery of other shares of Class A common stock underlying vested RSUs was deferred until a future date. Shares of Class A common stock underlying vested RSUs were delivered or deferred during 2011 as follows:

Name	Delivered Upon Vesting			Delivery Deferred	
	Number of Shares	Date of Vesting/ Delivery	Number of Shares	Date of Vesting	Deferral Period
Mark S. Hoplamazian	10,147	May 11, 2011	2,125	April 1, 2011	Earlier of May 1, 2012, termination of service or a change in control
			7,141	August 1, 2011	Earlier of September 1, 2013, termination of service or a change in control
Harmit J. Singh	5,613	March 2, 2011	3,966	April 1, 2011	Earlier of May 1, 2013, termination of service or a change in control
	3,051	May 11, 2011	3,125	July 31, 2011	Earlier of August 31, 2012, termination of service or a change in control.

Table of Contents

Name	Delivered Upon Vesting		Delivery Deferred		
	Number of Shares	Date of Vesting/Delivery	Number of Shares	Date of Vesting	Deferral Period
Rakesh K. Sarna	3,291	March 2, 2011	13,687	April 1, 2011	Earlier of May 1, 2012, termination of service or a change in control
	3,356	May 11, 2011	4,189	April 1, 2011	Earlier of May 1, 2013, termination of service or a change in control
			5,192	April 1, 2011	Earlier of May 1, 2017, termination of service or a change in control
H. Charles Floyd	3,291	March 2, 2011	13,531	April 1, 2011	Earlier of May 1, 2012, termination of service or a change in control
	3,356	May 11, 2011	4,189	April 1, 2011	Earlier of May 1, 2013, termination of service or a change in control
			1,534	April 1, 2011	Earlier of May 1, 2020, termination of service or a change in control.
Stephen G. Haggerty	7,151	March 2, 2011	4,150	April 1, 2011	Earlier of May 1, 2012, termination of service or a change in control
	3,051	May 11, 2011	6,660	April 1, 2011	Earlier of May 1, 2013, termination of service or a change in control

Shares of Class A common stock underlying vested RSUs with a deferred delivery period are also reflected in the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table below.

(2) Value realized upon vesting has been determined as follows:

Name	The sum of the following valuations:	
	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Closing Price of Class A Common Stock as of Vesting Date</u>
Mark S. Hoplamazian	10,147	\$44.33
	2,125	\$42.46
	7,141	\$38.76
Harmit J. Singh	5,613	\$45.42
	3,051	\$44.33
	3,966	\$42.46
	3,908	\$38.79
Rakesh K. Sarna	3,291	\$45.42
	3,356	\$44.33
	23,068	\$42.46
H. Charles Floyd	3,291	\$45.42

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

3,356	\$44.33
19,254	\$42.46

45

Table of Contents

Name	The sum of the following valuations:	
Stephen G. Haggerty	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Closing Price of Class A Common Stock as of Vesting Date</u>
	7,151	\$45.42
	3,051	\$44.33
	10,810	\$42.46

NON-QUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION TABLE

The table below sets forth certain information as of December 31, 2011 with respect to the non-qualified deferred compensation plans in which our NEOs participate.

Name	Plan Name	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)(1)	Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Aggregate Earnings (Losses) in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End \$(2)
Thomas J. Pritzker	DCP			\$ 20,594		\$ 23,981,752
	TJP Plan			\$ 4,439		\$ 884,660
Mark S. Hoplamazian	DCP	\$ 850,000	\$ 12,000	\$ (175,899)		\$ 1,930,282
	RSUs		\$ 367,013(3)			\$ 729,539(4)
Harmit J. Singh	DCP	\$ 12,000	\$ 12,000	\$ (2,929)		\$ 67,890
	RSUs		\$ 319,988(3)			\$ 669,315(4)
Rakesh K. Sarna	DCP		\$ 118,172	\$ 382		\$ 3,193,350
	RSUs		\$ 979,467(3)			\$ 2,164,903(4)
H. Charles Floyd	DCP	\$ 34,269	\$ 37,000	\$ (513,579)		\$ 5,282,848
	RSUs		\$ 817,525(3)			\$ 1,734,224(4)
Stephen G. Haggerty	DCP	\$ 62,685	\$ 12,000	\$ (7,890)		\$ 225,221
	RSUs		\$ 458,993(3)			\$ 899,408(4)

- (1) Includes amounts reflected under Salary in the Summary Compensation Table above for 2011 for Messrs. Floyd and Haggerty, and amounts reflected under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation in the Summary Compensation Table above for 2010 for Messrs. Hoplamazian, Singh, and Haggerty, which was paid in 2011.
- (2) Of the total amounts shown in this column (other than for RSUs), the following amounts have been reported, through fiscal year 2011, as Salary, Bonus, Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation, Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings or All Other Compensation in the Summary Compensation Table for Mr. Pritzker: \$5,004,363; Mr. Hoplamazian: \$1,985,000; Mr. Singh: \$60,000; Mr. Sarna: \$462,119; Mr. Floyd: \$334,184; and Mr. Haggerty: \$168,127.
- (3) Based on the fair market value of our Class A common stock on the date of vesting.
- (4) Based on \$37.64, the closing price of our Class A common stock on December 30, 2011 (the last business day of fiscal year 2011).

Narrative to Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Table

See description of the DCP under the Narrative to Summary Compensation Table above. Messrs. Pritzker, Hoplamazian, Singh, Sarna, Floyd and Haggerty participated in the DCP in 2011.

Mr. Pritzker has a nonqualified deferred compensation plan (the *TJP Plan*) in which he is fully vested and which was paid out on January 1, 2012. After the Company entered into Mr. Pritzker's employment agreement, it no longer made contributions to the TJP Plan. Amounts in the

TJP Plan earned interest at the short term applicable federal rate set by the IRS.

Table of Contents

Potential Payments on Termination or Change in Control

Severance

In 2011, all NEOs were entitled to severance in the event of a termination of employment under the Hyatt Hotels Corporation Corporate Office Severance Plan, which is applicable to U.S. based corporate headquarters and certain divisional employees. Benefits are paid under the plan only if the eligible employees are laid off or terminated without cause and are offset by any amounts required to be paid in lieu of notice under WARN or WARN-like state laws. In addition, if the eligible employee elects COBRA continuation coverage under our group health plan, we will pay the eligible employee the difference between the premiums charged for COBRA and the amount the eligible employee would have paid as an active employee for such coverage during the eligible employee's severance period. Severance can be paid in a lump sum or over the severance period in the discretion of the Company, however, severance will not be paid in a lump sum if it would violate Section 409A of the Code. All severance is subject to execution of a general release of claims.

Under the terms of the Severance Plan our NEOs are eligible to receive the following severance for the following severance periods:

Position	Weeks of Base Salary/Severance Period
Executive Chairman of the Board	Seventy-eight (78)
Chief Executive Officer	
Executive Officers of Hyatt Hotels Corporation	Fifty-two (52)

as defined by the Chief Executive Officer

Mr. Pritzker's employment agreement provides that he is entitled to terminate his employment and claim severance if he is not re-appointed as executive chairman.

Mr. Singh's employment letter provides that if he is terminated without cause or for good reason his severance will not be less than \$1,000,000. In all cases he would receive one year of continued medical benefits and vest in the next tranche of his special 7,835 RSU grant and the 12,500 RSU grant made in 2008.

For this purpose cause shall mean Mr. Singh's:

engagement in gross negligence or willful misconduct in the performance of his material duties or responsibilities;

failure after written notice to perform his material duties or his material breach of any agreement relating to his employment, if such failure or breach remains uncured for 14 days after notice; or

conviction or no contest plea to a felony.

For this purpose good reason means if we, without his consent:

change his title, position or lines of direct reporting responsibility;

materially and adversely change his duties or responsibilities;

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

fail to pay or provide him with any base salary bonus or other compensation or benefits specified in the letter agreement; or

relocate his primary office more than 50 miles from our current Chicago headquarters.

Mr. Haggerty's employment letter provides that if he is terminated without cause he will receive base salary and continued medical benefits for one year as severance. Mr. Haggerty's receipt of severance under his employment letter is subject to his signing a general release of claims. His right to continued medical benefits will cease if he secures other medical benefits following his termination of employment.

Table of Contents

Change in Control

Each executive officer is entitled to enhanced severance benefits under our Executive Officer Change in Control Plan, if the executive officer's employment is terminated without cause or the executive officer is constructively terminated within twenty-four months following a change in control or within three months prior to the change in control. In such event our executive chairman and chief executive officer would each receive severance equal to two times his base salary and target annual incentive for the year of termination, and all other executive officers would receive severance equal to one times their base salary and target annual incentive. All of the executive officers would also be eligible for a pro rata target annual incentive for the year of termination and we will pay the executive officer the difference between the premiums charged for COBRA and the amount the eligible employee would have paid as an active employee for such coverage, regardless of whether or not they elect COBRA coverage. All severance will be paid in a lump sum if permitted by Section 409A of the Code. Otherwise severance will be paid in installments over twenty-four months for our executive chairman and chief executive officer, or twelve months for all other executive officers. All severance benefits are subject to execution of a general release of claims, and are offset by any other severance or pay in lieu of notice under WARN or WARN-like state laws. We do not provide for tax reimbursement payments or gross-ups related to a change in control.

Equity Awards

Outstanding awards under our LTIP will fully vest if a participant's employment is terminated within 12 months following a change in control, provided such awards are assumed by a successor in the change in control. If awards are not assumed by a successor then the compensation committee may in its discretion fully vest the awards upon the change in control. In addition, outstanding PSUs will vest upon a change in control and will be deemed to have been earned at the greater of (i) the target award level or (ii) the number of PSUs that would be payable based on actual performance through the date of the change in control.

Outstanding SAR, RSU and PSU awards will fully vest if a participant's employment is terminated by reason of death or disability (with PSUs being earned as if the participant remained employed through the last day of the performance period). If Mr. Hoplamazian is terminated other than for cause, provided he executes a general release of claims and he does not compete with us, following termination he will continue to vest in his SARs and will be fully vested in his RSUs (including continuing to earn outstanding PSUs), although such RSUs and PSUs will not be payable until the vesting dates set forth in his award agreements. In addition, recipients of special RSU awards in both 2008 and 2009 will be treated as having an additional year of vesting if their employment is terminated by us for reasons other than detrimental conduct. Detrimental conduct includes engaging in conduct constituting:

a felony;

gross negligence or willful misconduct in the performance of the participant's duties and responsibilities;

willful violation of a material policy, including, without limitation, any policy relating to confidentiality, honesty, integrity and/or workplace behavior, which violation has resulted or may reasonably be expected to result in harm to us, our stockholders, directors, officers, employees or customers;

improper internal or external disclosure or use of confidential information or material concerning us or any of our stockholders, directors, officers, or employees which use or disclosure has resulted or may reasonably be expected to result in harm to us;

public disparagement of us or any of our stockholders, directors, officers or employees; and/or

willful violation of any stockholders' agreement or other material agreements entered into by the participant with us in connection with or pursuant to the LTIP.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the severance, the value of SARs, RSUs and PSUs (at the target level of achievement) that would vest, and the value of other benefits that our NEOs would receive upon (i) termination of employment by the Company without cause not in connection with a change in control, or (ii) termination of employment without cause or a constructive termination in connection with a change in control. The following assumptions were used in creating the table:

a stock price of \$37.64 per share, which was the closing price of our Class A common stock on December 30, 2011 (the last business day of fiscal year 2011); and

termination of employment as of December 31, 2011 (for the scenarios that include a termination of employment).

The amounts shown do not include payments of vested benefits under our tax qualified and non-qualified retirement and deferred compensation plans or the value of vested SARs and RSUs that were vested prior to December 31, 2011.

Item	Name	Termination of Employment by Company Without Cause	Change in Control Termination of Employment Without Cause or Constructive Termination
Cash Severance	Thomas J. Pritzker	\$ 729,300	\$ 972,400
	Mark S. Hoplamazian	\$ 1,477,845	\$ 4,926,150
	Harmit J. Singh	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,109,600
	Rakesh K. Sarna	\$ 675,000	\$ 1,350,000
	H. Charles Floyd	\$ 675,000	\$ 1,350,000
	Stephen G. Haggerty	\$ 579,000	\$ 1,100,100
Annual Incentive	Thomas J. Pritzker		
(Year of Termination)	Mark S. Hoplamazian		\$ 1,477,845
	Harmit J. Singh		\$ 525,600
	Rakesh K. Sarna		\$ 675,000
	H. Charles Floyd		\$ 675,000
	Stephen G. Haggerty		\$ 521,100
Equity Vesting	Thomas J. Pritzker		
	Mark S. Hoplamazian	\$ 4,477,047	\$ 4,477,047
	Harmit J. Singh	\$ 188,200	\$ 3,418,242
	Rakesh K. Sarna	\$ 752,800	\$ 4,669,987
	H. Charles Floyd	\$ 752,800	\$ 6,010,912
	Stephen G. Haggerty	\$ 305,825	\$ 3,031,878
Medical Benefits	Thomas J. Pritzker	\$ 9,855	\$ 13,140
	Mark S. Hoplamazian	\$ 5,053	\$ 6,738
	Harmit J. Singh	\$ 9,777	\$ 9,777
	Rakesh K. Sarna	\$ 5,170	\$ 5,170
	H. Charles Floyd	\$ 9,777	\$ 9,777
	Stephen G. Haggerty	\$ 9,777	\$ 9,777
Total	Thomas J. Pritzker	\$ 739,155	\$ 985,540
	Mark S. Hoplamazian	\$ 5,959,945	\$ 10,887,780
	Harmit J. Singh	\$ 1,197,977	\$ 5,063,219
	Rakesh K. Sarna	\$ 1,432,970	\$ 6,700,157
	H. Charles Floyd	\$ 1,437,577	\$ 8,045,689
	Stephen G. Haggerty	\$ 894,602	\$ 4,662,855

As described, the amounts shown above under Equity Vesting in the Termination of Employment by Company Without Cause column are also the amounts that the NEOs would receive upon termination of their employment due to death or disability and, for Mr. Hoplamazian, upon his

termination for any reason other than cause.

Table of Contents**ARTICLE IV: INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM****PROPOSAL 2 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The audit committee of the board of directors has appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP (*D&T*) as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012. D&T also served as Hyatt's independent registered accounting firm for fiscal year 2011, and the services provided to us by D&T in fiscal year 2011 are described under "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm's Fees" below. Representatives of D&T will be present at the Annual Meeting to respond to appropriate questions and to make such statements as they may desire.

Stockholder ratification of the selection of D&T as our independent registered public accounting firm is not required by our bylaws or otherwise. However, the board of directors is submitting the selection of D&T to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate governance practice. Furthermore, the audit committee will take the results of the stockholder vote regarding D&T's appointment into consideration in future deliberations. Even if the selection is ratified, the audit committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of Hyatt and our stockholders.

The board of directors unanimously recommends that the stockholders vote FOR Proposal No. 2 to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of Hyatt Hotels Corporation for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM'S FEES

In addition to retaining D&T to audit the Company's consolidated financial statements, the audit committee retained D&T to provide various other services in fiscal years 2011 and 2010. The following table presents fees for professional services rendered by D&T for fiscal years 2011 and 2010. The audit committee approved all of the fees presented in the table below.

Type of Fees	FY 2011	FY 2010
Audit Fees(1)	\$ 4,530,000	\$ 4,400,850
Audit-Related Fees(2)	828,000	652,000
Tax Fees(3)	2,073,300	3,860,200
All Other Fees(4)	0	0
Total	\$ 7,431,300	\$ 8,913,050

The following are footnotes to the above table, in accordance with SEC definitions:

- (1) Audit fees represent D&T fees for professional services for the audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K, review of quarterly financial statements, accounting consultation and other attest services that are typically performed by the independent public accountant, and services that are provided by D&T in connection with statutory and regulatory filings.
- (2) Audit-related fees consist principally of D&T fees for audits as required under our agreements with our hotel owners. Audit-related fees for 2011 also include due diligence.
- (3) Tax fees are fees for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

- (4) All other fees are fees billed by D&T to Hyatt for any services not included in the first three categories. There were no such services in fiscal years 2011 and 2010.

Table of Contents

POLICY ON AUDIT COMMITTEE PREAPPROVAL OF AUDIT AND PERMISSIBLE NONAUDIT

SERVICES OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The audit committee has adopted a policy requiring that all audit, audit-related and non-audit services provided by the independent auditor be pre-approved by the audit committee. The policy also requires additional approval of any engagements that were previously approved but are anticipated to exceed pre-approved fee levels. The policy permits the audit committee chair to pre-approve principal independent auditor services where the Company deems it necessary or advisable that such services commence prior to the next regularly scheduled meeting (provided that the audit committee chair must report to the full audit committee on any pre-approval determinations). All services provided to us by D&T for fiscal years 2011 and 2010 were pre-approved by the audit committee. D&T may only perform non-prohibited non-audit services that have been specifically approved in advance by the audit committee. In addition, before the audit committee will consider granting its approval, the Company's management must have determined that such specific non-prohibited non-audit services can be best performed by D&T based on its in-depth knowledge of our business, processes and policies. The audit committee, as part of its approval process, considers the potential impact of any proposed work on the independent auditors' independence.

The audit committee has adopted a policy that prohibits our independent auditors from providing:

bookkeeping or other services related to the accounting records or financial statements of the Company;

financial information systems design and implementation services;

appraisal or valuation services, fairness opinions or contribution-in-kind reports;

actuarial services;

internal audit outsourcing services;

management functions or human resources services;

broker or dealer, investment adviser or investment banking services;

legal services and expert services unrelated to the audit; and

any other service that the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (the *PCAOB*) or the SEC determines, by regulation, is impermissible.

ARTICLE V: REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS¹

The audit committee reviews the Company's financial reporting process on behalf of the board of directors. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements, the reporting process and maintaining an effective system of internal controls over financial reporting. The Company's independent auditors are engaged to audit and express opinions on the conformity of the Company's financial statements to United States generally accepted accounting principles.

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

In addition to fulfilling its oversight responsibilities as set forth in its charter and further described above in the section titled Audit Committee, the audit committee has done the following things:

Prior to the filing on February 16, 2012 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, reviewed and discussed with management and D&T the Company's audited consolidated financial statements.

¹ This report is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the SEC, and is not to be incorporated by reference into any Hyatt filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the *Securities Act*) or the Exchange Act, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing.

Table of Contents

Discussed with D&T the matters required to be discussed by the statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, Vol. 1 AU section 380), as adopted by the PCAOB in Rule 3200T, and any other matters required to be communicated to the committee by D&T under auditing standards established from time to time by the PCAOB or SEC rules and regulations.

Evaluated D&T's qualifications, performance and independence (consistent with SEC requirements), which included the receipt and review of the written disclosures and the letter from D&T required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding D&T's communications with the audit committee concerning independence and discussions with D&T regarding its independence.

Based on the reviews and discussions with management and D&T cited above, including the review of D&T's disclosures and letter to the audit committee and review of the representations of management and the reports of D&T, the audit committee recommended to the board of directors that the Company's audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the SEC.

Audit Committee of the Board of Directors

Michael A. Rocca, Chairman

Susan D. Kronick

Richard C. Tuttle

James H. Wooten, Jr.

ARTICLE VI: ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Company requests stockholder approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation paid to our named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the SEC's compensation disclosure rules (which disclosure includes the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and the accompanying compensation tables and related narrative in this proxy statement).

As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 26 of this proxy statement, our executive compensation program is designed to promote long-term brand value for the Company, a goal which we believe, in turn, is central to the creation of long-term economic value for our stockholders. Our compensation program is designed to attract, recruit, develop, engage and retain the talent needed to achieve long-term brand value and to appropriately motivate our executive officers. As such, we believe that our executive compensation program and the corresponding executive compensation detailed in the compensation tables and related narrative set forth on pages 38 to 49 of this proxy statement are strongly aligned with the long-term interests of our stockholders.

As an advisory vote, this proposal is not binding upon the Company. However, our compensation committee, which is responsible for designing and administering our executive compensation program, values the opinions expressed by stockholders in their vote on this proposal, and will carefully consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions for named executive officers.

At the Company's 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, the Company's stockholders determined, on an advisory basis, to hold an advisory vote on executive compensation every year. Subsequently, the board of directors considered this determination and agreed that it will hold a non-binding advisory vote on executive compensation on an annual basis. As such, following the advisory vote to approve executive compensation that will take place at the Annual Meeting, the next advisory vote on executive compensation will occur at the Company's 2013 annual meeting of stockholders.

Table of Contents

The board of directors strongly endorses the Company’s executive compensation program and recommends that stockholders vote in favor of the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company’s named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and any related discussion as disclosed in this proxy statement, is hereby APPROVED.

The board of directors unanimously recommends that the stockholders vote FOR Proposal No. 3 to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to our named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the SEC’s compensation disclosure rules.

ARTICLE VII: STOCK

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth as of April 16, 2012 information regarding:

each person known to us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our outstanding shares of Class A common stock or Class B common stock;

each of our NEOs;

each of our directors and nominees for the board of directors; and

all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

The information shown in the table with respect to the percentage of shares of Class A common stock beneficially owned is based on 45,905,224 shares of Class A common stock outstanding as of April 16, 2012 (and does not assume the conversion of any outstanding shares of Class B common stock). The information shown in the table with respect to the percentage of shares of Class B common stock beneficially owned is based on 119,614,584 shares of Class B common stock outstanding as of April 16, 2012. Each share of Class B common stock is convertible at any time into one share of Class A common stock. The information shown in the table with respect to the percentage of total common stock beneficially owned is based on 165,519,808 shares of common stock outstanding as of April 16, 2012. The information shown in the table with respect to the percentage of total voting power is based on 165,519,808 shares of common stock outstanding as of April 16, 2012, and assumes that no shares of Class B common stock outstanding as of April 16, 2012 have been converted into shares of Class A common stock.

Information with respect to beneficial ownership is based on our records, information filed with the SEC or information furnished to us by each director, director nominee, executive officer or beneficial owner of more than 5% of our Class A common stock or Class B common stock. Beneficial ownership rules generally attribute beneficial ownership of securities to persons who possess sole or shared voting power and investment power with respect to those securities. Unless otherwise indicated by footnote, and subject to applicable community property laws, we believe, based on the information furnished to us, that the persons and entities named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them. Unless otherwise provided, the address of each individual listed below is c/o Hyatt Hotels Corporation, 71 S. Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Class A Common Stock		Class B Common Stock		% of Total Common Stock	% of Total Voting Power(1)
	Shares	% of Class A	Shares	% of Class B		
5% or greater stockholders:						

Edgar Filing: Hyatt Hotels Corp - Form DEF 14A

Pritzker Family Group(2)	11,640	*	94,502,498	79%	57.1%	76.1%
Thomas J. Pritzker, Marshall E. Eisenberg and Karl J. Breyer, in their capacity as co-trustees(3)			1,982,124	1.7%	1.2%	1.6%

Table of Contents

Name of Beneficial Owner	Class A Common Stock		Class B Common Stock		% of Total Common Stock	% of Total Voting Power(1)
	Shares	% of Class A	Shares	% of Class B		
CIBC Trust Company (Bahamas) Limited in its capacity as trustee(4)			3,447,946	2.9%	2.1%	2.8%
Trustees of the Thomas J. Pritzker Family Trusts and Other Reporting Persons(5)			20,805,187	17.4%	12.6%	16.8%
Trustees of the Nicholas J. Pritzker Family Trusts and Other Reporting Person(6)			6,403,577	5.4%	3.9%	5.2%
Trustees of the James N. Pritzker Family Trusts and Other Reporting Persons(7)	8,470	*	3,470,792	2.9%	2.1%	2.8%
Trustees of the Karen L. Pritzker Family Trusts(8)			8,584,104	7.2%	5.2%	6.9%
Trustees of the Penny Pritzker Family Trusts and Other Reporting Persons(9)	3,170	*	10,018,946	8.4%	6.1%	8.1%
Trustees of the Daniel F. Pritzker Family Trusts(10)			10,001,457	8.4%	6.0%	8.1%
Trustees of the Anthony N. Pritzker Family Trusts(11)			6,186,817	5.2%	3.7%	5.0%
Trustees of the Gigi Pritzker Pucker Family Trusts and Other Reporting Persons(12)			17,550,065	14.7%	10.6%	14.1%
Trustees of the Jay Robert Pritzker Family Trusts(13)			6,051,483	5.1%	3.7%	4.9%
Investment funds affiliated with The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.(14)	36,696	*	12,654,050	10.6%	7.7%	10.2%
Madrone GHC, LLC and affiliated entities(15)			10,187,641	8.5%	6.2%	8.2%
FMR LLC and affiliated entities(16)	5,046,840	11.0%			3.0%	*
OppenheimerFunds, Inc.(17)	3,960,792	8.6%			2.4%	*
Baron Capital Group, Inc. and affiliated entities(18)	4,716,459	10.3%			2.8%	*
Cohen & Steers, Inc. and affiliated entities(19)	4,966,903	10.8%			3.0%	*
S.A.C. Capital Advisors, L.P. and affiliated entities(20)	2,804,400	6.1%			1.7%	*
Named Executive Officers and Directors:						
Thomas J. Pritzker(21)			3,952,769	3.3%	2.4%	3.2%
Mark S. Hoplamazian(22)	314,013	*			*	*
Harmit J. Singh(23)	49,092	*			*	*
Rakesh K. Sarna(24)	98,124	*			*	*
H. Charles Floyd(25)	115,936	*			*	*
Stephen G. Haggerty(26)	57,802	*			*	*
Bernard W. Aronson(27)	4,525	*			*	*
Richard A. Friedman(28)	36,696	*	12,654,050	10.6%	7.7%	10.2%
Susan D. Kronick	11,654	*			*	*
Mackey J. McDonald	5,597	*			*	*

Table of Contents

Name of Beneficial Owner	Class A Common Stock		Class B Common Stock		% of Total Common Stock	% of Total Voting Power(1)
	Shares	% of Class A	Shares	% of Class B		
Gregory B. Penner(29)	18,575	*	10,187,641	8.5%	6.2%	8.2%
Penny Pritzker(30)	3,170	*	1,027,357	*	*	*
Michael A. Rocca	574	*			*	*
Byron D. Trott	26,656	*			*	*
Richard C. Tuttle	3,284	*			*	*
James H. Wooten, Jr.	3,338	*			*	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (19 persons)(31)	823,620	1.8%	27,821,817	23.3%	17.3%	22.5%

* Less than 1%.

- (1) Holders of our Class A common stock and our Class B common stock vote together as a single class on all matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders. The holders of Class A common stock are entitled to one vote per share and the holders of Class B common stock are entitled to ten votes per share. However, if on any record date for determining the stockholders entitled to vote at an annual or special meeting of stockholders, the aggregate number of shares of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock owned, directly or indirectly, by the holders of our Class B common stock is less than 15% of the aggregate number of shares of Class A common stock and Class B common stock then outstanding, then at such time all shares of Class B common stock will automatically convert into shares of Class A common stock and all outstanding common stock will be entitled to one vote per share on all matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders. The information shown in the table with respect to the percentage of total voting power is based on 165,519,808 shares of common stock outstanding as of April 16, 2012, and assumes that no shares of Class B common stock outstanding as of April 16, 2012 have been converted into shares of Class A common stock.
- (2) See footnotes (3) through (13) below. Thomas J. Pritzker, Marshall E. Eisenberg and Karl J. Breyer, in their capacity as co-trustees of Pritzker family U.S. situs trusts, CIBC Trust Company (Bahamas) Limited in its capacity as trustee of Pritzker family non-U.S. situs trusts, and the trustees of the Thomas J. Pritzker Family Trusts, the Nicholas J. Pritzker Family Trusts, the James N. Pritzker Family Trusts, the Karen L. Pritzker Family Trusts, the Penny Pritzker Family Trusts, the Daniel F. Pritzker Family Trusts, the Anthony N. Pritzker Family Trusts, the Gigi Pritzker Pucker Family Trusts, the Jay Robert Pritzker Family Trusts and certain other reporting persons described in footnotes (3) through (13) below (collectively, the *Pritzker Family Group*) are party those certain agreements described in footnotes (3) through (13) below, which agreements contain, among other things, certain voting agreements and limitations on the sale of their shares of common stock. As a result, the members of the Pritzker Family Group may be deemed to be members of a group within the meaning of Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act.
- (3) Based in part on information contained in a Schedule 13D filed on August 26, 2010, as amended (SEC Accession No 0001193125-10-198213), represents 1,982,124 shares of Class B common stock held of record by U.S. situs trusts for the benefit of certain lineal descendants of Nicholas J. Pritzker, deceased, including Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker's immediate family members. Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker, Mr. Marshall E. Eisenberg, and Mr. Karl J. Breyer are co-trustees of all such U.S. situs trusts and have shared voting and investment power over such shares. The co-trustees and the adult beneficiaries of all of these U.S. situs trusts have agreed to certain voting agreements and to certain limitations with respect to the sale of shares of our common stock, which are contained in the Amended and Restated Global Hyatt Agreement, and the shares of common stock listed in the table may not be sold other than in accordance with such agreement. See Part I, Item 1, Business Stockholder Agreements and Item 1A, Risk Factors Risks Related to Share Ownership and Stockholder Matters of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 for additional information. The address of the principal business and principal office for Messrs. Pritzker, Eisenberg, and Breyer, not individually, but solely in the capacity as co-trustees of the trusts, is 71 South Wacker Drive, Suite 4700, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

Table of Contents

- (4) Based in part on information contained in a Schedule 13D filed on August 26, 2010, as amended (SEC Accession No 0001193125-10-198223), represents 3,447,946 shares of Class B common stock held by non-U.S. situs trusts for the benefit of certain lineal descendants of Nicholas J. Pritzker, deceased, including Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker, our executive chairman, and Ms. Penny Pritzker, one of our directors, and certain subsidiaries owned by them. CIBC Trust Company (Bahamas) Limited serves as trustee of all such non-U.S. situs trusts and has sole voting and investment power over such shares. The trustee and the adult beneficiaries of all of these non-U.S. situs trusts have agreed to certain voting agreements and to certain limitations with respect to the sale of shares of our common stock, which are contained in the Amended and Restated Foreign Global Hyatt Agreement, and the shares of common stock listed in the table may not be sold other than in accordance with such agreements. See Part I, Item 1, Business Stockholder Agreements and Item 1A, Risk Factors Risks Related to Share Ownership and Stockholder Matters of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 for additional information. The address of the principal business and principal office for CIBC Trust Company (Bahamas) Limited, not individually, but solely in the capacity as trustee of the trusts, is Goodman s Bay Corporate Centre, First Floor, P.O. Box N-3933, Nassau, Bahamas.
- (5) Represents (i) 6,117,656 shares of Class B common stock held of record by trusts for the benefit of Thomas J. Pritzker and certain of his lineal descendants, of which Marshall E. Eisenberg serves as trustee and has sole voting and investment power over such shares, (ii) 921,888 shares of Class B common stock held of record by trusts for the benefit of Thomas J. Pritzker and certain of his lineal descendants, of which CIBC Trust Company (Bahamas) Limited serves as trustee and has sole voting and investment power over such shares, (iii) 811,602 shares of Class B common stock held of record by trusts for the benefit of Thomas J. Pritzker and certain of his lineal descendants, of which John A. Miller serves as trustee and has sole voting and investment power over such shares, (iv) 6,989,003 shares of Class B common stock held of record by T11M2 HHC, L.L.C. and 3,994,393 shares of Class B common stock held of record by THHC, L.L.C., both of which are owned by trusts for the benefit of Thomas J. Pritzker and certain of his lineal descendants, of which John A. Miller serves as trustee and has sole voting and investment power over such shares and (v) 1,970,645 shares of Class B common stock held by Thomas J. Pritzker, individually. Mr. Pritzker and the trustees and the adult beneficiaries of all of these trusts have agreed to certain voting agreements and to certain limitations with respect to the sale of shares of our common stock, which are contained in the Amended and Restated Global Hyatt Agreement and the Amended and Restated Foreign Global Hyatt Agreement, and the shares of common stock listed in the table may not be sold other than in accordance with such agreements. See Part I, Item 1, Business Stockholder Agreements and Item 1A, Risk Factors Risks Related to Share Ownership and Stockholder Matters of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 for additional information. Does not include the following SARs held by Mr. Pritzker that are currently exercisable or that will become exercisable within sixty days after April 16, 2012: (a) 59,853 SARs at an exercise price of \$40.96 and (b) 31,852 SARs at an exercise price of \$41.74. The number of shares that Mr. Pritzker will receive upon exercise of such SARs is not currently determinable and therefore not included in the table above because each SAR gives the holder the right to receive the excess of the value of one share of our Class A common stock at the exercise date, which is not determinable until the date of exercise, over the exercise price. The address of the principal business and principal office for Marshall E. Eisenberg, not individually, but solely in the capacity as trustee of the trusts represented by clause (i), is 71 South Wacker Drive, Suite 4700, Chicago, Illinois 60606; for CIBC Trust Company (Bahamas) Limited, not individually, but solely in the capacity as trustee of the trusts represented by clause (ii), is Goodman s Bay Corporate Centre, First Floor, P.O. Box N-3933, Nassau, Bahamas;