TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER CO Form 10-K February 28, 2012 Table of Contents

# **UNITED STATES**

# **SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-K**

(Mark One)

# x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

OR

# TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from to .

Commission	Registrant; State of Incorporation;	IRS Employer
File Number	Address; and Telephone Number	Identification Number
1-13739	UNISOURCE ENERGY CORPORATION	86-0786732
	(An Arizona Corporation)	
	88 E. Broadway Boulevard	
	Tucson, AZ 85701	

(520) 571-4000

#### 1-5924

#### TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY 86-0062700

(An Arizona Corporation)

88 E. Broadway Boulevard

Tucson, AZ 85701

(520) 571-4000 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Name of Each Exchange

 

 Registrant
 Title of Each Class
 on Which Registered

 UniSource Energy Corporation
 Common Stock, no par value Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:
 New York Stock Exchange

 Name of Each Exchange
 Name of Each Exchange
 Name of Each Exchange

RegistrantTitle of Each Classon Which RegisteredTucson Electric Power CompanyCommon Stock, without par valueN/AIndicate by check mark if the registrant is a well known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933.

 UniSource Energy Corporation
 Yes x
 No "

 Tucson Electric Power Company
 Yes "
 No x

 Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act).

UniSource Energy CorporationYes "No xTucson Electric Power CompanyYes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

UniSource Energy CorporationYes xNo "Tucson Electric Power CompanyYes xNo "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

UniSource Energy CorporationYes xNo "Tucson Electric Power CompanyYes xNo "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of each registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

UniSource Energy Corporation	Large Accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company	Х 	Accelerated Filer "	Non-accelerated filer "
Tucson Electric Power Company	Large Accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company		Accelerated Filer "	Non-accelerated filer x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

UniSource Energy Corporation	Yes "	No x
Tucson Electric Power Company	Yes "	No x

The aggregate market value of UniSource Energy Corporation voting Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$1,361,485,759 based on the last reported sale price thereof on the consolidated tape on June 30, 2011.

At February 21, 2012, 37,956,169 shares of UniSource Energy Corporation Common Stock, no par value (the only class of Common Stock), were outstanding.

At February 21, 2012, 32,139,434 shares of Tucson Electric Power Company s Common Stock, no par value, were outstanding, all of which were held by UniSource Energy Corporation.

# Tucson Electric Power Company meets the conditions set forth in General Instructions (I)(1)(a) and (b) on Form 10-K and is therefore filing this report with the reduced disclosure format.

Documents incorporated by reference: Specified portions of UniSource Energy Corporation s Proxy Statement relating to the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III.

#### **Table of Contents**

Definitions	iv
<u>PART</u> I	
Itam 1 Rusiness	1
<u>Item 1. Dusiness</u> Overview of Consolidated Business	1
TED	1
Service Area and Customers	2
Generating and Other Resources	2 4
Fuel Supply	7
Transmission Access	8
Rates and Regulation	9
TEP s Utility Operating Statistics	11
Finite sound of the second sec	12
LINS Gas	12
Service Territory and Customers	15
Gas Supply and Transmission	15
Rates and Regulation	15
Environmental Matters	13
LINS Flectric	17
Service Territory and Customers	17
Power Supply and Transmission	17
Rates and Regulation	18
Environmental Matters	19
Other Non-Reportable Segments	19
Millennium	19
Employees	19
Executive Officers of the Registrants	20
SEC Reports Available on UniSource Energy s Website	21
Item 1.4 Risk Factors	21
Item 1B Unresolved Staff Comments	21
Item 7 Properties	27
TEP Properties	27
IIFS Properties	28
Item 3 Legal Proceedings	28
Item 4 Mine Safety Disclosures	20
Tell 1. Mile Sulety Disclosures	27
<u>PART I</u> I	
Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Common Equity	29
Item 6 Selected Consolidated Financial Data	31
IniSource Energy	31
TFP	32
	52
Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	33
UniSource Energy Consolidated	33
Outlook and Strategies	33
Results of Operations	34
Liquidity and Capital Resources	36
Tucson Electric Power Company	41
Results of Operations	41
Factors Affecting Results of Operations	48

Liquidity and Capital Resources

i

UNS Gas	56
Results of Operations	56
Factors Affecting Results of Operations	57
Liquidity and Capital Resources	58
UNS Electric	60
Results of Operations	60
Factors Affecting Results of Operations	62
Liquidity and Capital Resources	63
Other Non-Reportable Business Segments	65
Results of Operations	65
Factors Affecting Results of Operations	66
Critical Accounting Policies	66
Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements	70
Safe Harbor for Forward-Looking Statements	71
Item 7A. Ouantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	71
Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	77
Management s Reports on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting	77
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	78
UniSource Energy Corporation	
Consolidated Statements of Income	80
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	81
Consolidated Balance Sheets	82
Consolidated Statements of Capitalization	84
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income	85
Tucson Electric Power Company	
Consolidated Statements of Income	86
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	87
Consolidated Balance Sheets	88
Consolidated Statements of Capitalization	90
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholder s Equity and Comprehensive Income	91
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	
Note 1. Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	92
Note 2. Regulatory Matters	101
Note 3. Segment and Related Information	108
Note 4. Commitments, Contingencies, and Proposed Environmental Matters	111
Note 5. Utility Plant and Jointly-Owned Facilities	118
Note 6 Debt Credit Facilities and Capital Lease Obligations	119
Note 7. Stockholders Equity	125
Note 8. Income Taxes	126
Note 9. Employee Benefit Plans	129
Note 10. Share-Based Compensation Plan	137
Note 11 Eair Value Measurements	140
Note 12 UniSource Energy Farnings Per Share (FPS)	140
Note 13 Millennium Investments	146
Note 14 Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements	146
Note 15 Supplemental Cash Flow Information	147
Note 16. Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities	147
Note 17 Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)	149
	131
Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	153
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	154
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	154

Item 9B. Other Information

#### PART III

Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance of the Registrants	155
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	157
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	157
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence	158
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	158
	PART IV	
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	158
Signatures	<u>s</u>	159
Exhibit In	<u>dex</u>	162

iii

#### DEFINITIONS

The abbreviations and acronyms used in the 2011 Form 10-K are defined below:

1992 Mortgage	TEP s Indenture of Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated as of December 1, 1992, to the Bank of New
	York Mellon, successor trustee, as supplemented
1999 Settlement Agreement	TEP s Settlement Agreement approved by the ACC in November 1999 that provided for electric retail competition and transition asset recovery
2008 TEP Rate Order	A rate order issued by the ACC resulting in a new retail rate structure for TEP, effective December 1,
	2008
ACC	Arizona Corporation Commission
AMT	Alternative Minimum Tax
AOCI	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
APS	Arizona Public Service Company
ARO	Asset Retirement Obligation
BART	Best Available Retrofit Technology
Base O&M	A non-GAAP financial measure that represents the fundamental level of operating and maintenance
	expense related to our business
Base Rates	The portion of TEP s and UNS Electric s Retail Rates attributed to generation, transmission, distribution
	costs and customer charge; and UNSGas delivery costs and customer charge
BMGS	Black Mountain Generating Station
Btu	British thermal unit(s)
CCRs	Coal combustion residuals
Capacity	The ability to produce power; the most power a unit can produce or the maximum that can be taken under a contract: measured in MWs
CO2	Carbon dioxide
Common Stock	UniSource Energy s common stock, without par value
Company or UniSource Energy	UniSource Energy Corporation
Cooling Degree Days	An index used to measure the impact of weather on energy usage calculated by subtracting 75 from the
	average of the high and low daily temperatures
DSM	Demand side management
EE Standards	Electric and Gas Energy Efficiency Standards
Emission Allowance(s)	An allowance issued by the Environmental Protection Agency which permits emission of one ton of
	sulfur dioxide or one ton of nitrogenoxide; allowances can be bought and sold
Energy	The amount of power produced over a given period of time; measured in MWh
EPA	The Environmental Protection Agency
EL Paso	El Paso Electric Company
EPNG	El Paso Natural Gas Company
ESP	Energy Service Provider
Express Line	A dedicated 345-kV transmission line from Springerville Unit 2 to TEP s retail service area
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Fixed CTC	Competition Transition Charge that was included in TEP s retail rate for the purpose of recovering
	TEP's TRA: approximately \$58 million is being credited to customers through the PPFAC
Four Corners	Four Corners Generating Station
GAAP	Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
Gas EE Standards	Gas Utility Energy Efficiency Standards
GHG	Greenhouse gases
GWh	Gigawatt-hour(s)
Haddington	Haddington Energy Partners II, LP, a limited partnership that funds energy-related investments
Heating Degree Days	An index used to measure the impact of weather on energy usage calculated by subtracting the average
0.0	of the high and low daily temperatures from 65

IDBs	Industrial development revenue or pollution control revenue bonds
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
kWh	Kilowatt-hour(s)
kV	Kilovolt(s)
LIBOR	London Interbank Offered Rate
Long-Term Wholesale Margin	A non-GAAP measure that demonstrates the underlying profitability of TEP s long-term wholesale sales
Revenues	contracts
Luna	Luna Energy Facility
Mark-to-Market Adjustments	Forward energy sales and purchase contracts that are considered to be derivatives and are adjusted monthly
mark to market regustinents	by recording unrealized gains and losses to reflect the market prices at the end of each month
Millennium	Millennium Energy Holdings. Inc. a wholly-owned subsidiary of UniSource Energy
MMBtu	Million British Thermal Units
Mortgage Bonds	Bonds issued under the 1992 Mortgage
MW	Manavatt(c)
MWb	Mcgawatt bour(a)
	Mcgawatt-hoth(s) Novia Generating Station
NEDC	Navajo Generalnig Station
NERC NO.	North American Electric Renability Corporation
NIUA	Navajo Tribai Unity Autority
O&M	Operations and Maintenance Expense
PGA	Purchased Gas Adjuster, a retail rate mechanism designed to recover the cost of gas purchased for retail gas
	customers
Pima Authority	The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Pima
PNM	Public Service Company of New Mexico
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement
PPFAC	Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause
PV	Photovoltaic
RES	Renewable Energy Standard and Tariff
Reimbursement Agreement	Reimbursement Agreement dated as of December 14, 2010 among TEP as borrower and a group of financial
	institutions
Retail Margin Revenues	A non-GAAP financial measure that demonstrates the underlying revenue trend and performance of our core
	utility businesses.
Retail Rates	Rates designed to allow a regulated utility an opportunity to recover its reasonable operating and capital
	costs and earn a return on its utility plant in service
Rules	Retail Electric Competition Rules
Sabinas	Carboelectrica Sabinas, S. de R.L. de C.V., a Mexican limited liability company; prior to June 2009,
	Millennium owned 50% of Sabinas
San Carlos	San Carlos Resources Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of TEP
San Juan	San Juan Generating Station
SERP	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan
SCR	Selective catalytic reduction
SES	Southwest Energy Solutions, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Millennium
SO2	Sulfur dioxide
Springerville	Springerville Generating Station
Springerville Coal Handling	
Facilities Leases	Leveraged lease arrangements relating to the coal handling facilities serving Springerville
Springerville Common	
Facilities	Facilities at Springerville used in common with Springerville Unit 1 and Springerville Unit 2
Springerville Common	Leveraged lease arrangements relating to an undivided one-half interest in certain Springerville Common
Facilities Leases	Facilities
Springerville Unit 1	Unit 1 of the Springerville Generating Station
Springerville Unit 1 Leases	I everaged lease arrangement relating to Springerville Unit 1 and an undivided one-half interest in certain
Springer vine Onit i Leases	Springerville Common Facilities

Springerville Unit 2 Springerville Unit 3 Springerville Unit 4 SRP Sundt Sundt Lease Sundt Unit 4 SWG TEP	Unit 2 of the Springerville Generating Station Unit 3 of the Springerville Generating Station Unit 4 of the Springerville Generating Station Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District H. Wilson Sundt Generating Station (formerly known as the Irvington Generating Station) The leveraged lease arrangement relating to Sundt Unit 4 Unit 4 of the H. Wilson Sundt Generating Station Southwest Gas Corporation Tucson Electric Power Company, the principal subsidiary of UniSource Energy
TEP Credit Agreement	Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement between TEP and a syndicate of Banks, dated as of November 9, 2010 (as amended)
TEP Letter of Credit Facility TEP Revolving Credit Facility Therm TRA	Letter of credit facility under the TEP Credit Agreement Revolving credit facility under the TEP Credit Agreement A unit of heating value equivalent to 100,000 British thermal units (Btu) Transition Recovery Asset, a \$450 million regulatory asset established in TEP s 1999 Settlement Agreement
	that was fully recovered in May 2008
Transwestern	Transwestern Pipeline Company
	In-State Generation and Transmission Association
UED	on source Energy Development Company, a whony-owned subsidiary of OniSource Energy, which
UES	UniSource Energy Services, Inc., an intermediate holding company established to own the operating companies (UNS Gas and UNS Electric) which acquired the Citizens Arizona gas and electric utility assets in 2003
UniSource Credit Agreement	Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement between UniSource Energy and a syndicate of banks, dated as of November 9, 2010 (as amended)
UniSource Energy	UniSource Energy Corporation
UNS Electric	UNS Electric, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of UES
UNS Electric Term Loan	Four-year \$30 million term loan agreement dated as of August 10, 2011.
UNS Gas	UNS Gas, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of UES
UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver	Revolving credit facility under the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement among UNS Gas and UNS Electric as borrowers, and UES as guarantor, and a syndicate of banks, dated as of November 9, 2010 (as amended)
Valencia	Valencia power plant owned by UNS Electric
VEBA	Voluntary Employee Beneficiary Association
WAPA	Western Area Power Administration

vi

#### PART I

This combined Form 10-K is being filed separately by UniSource Energy Corporation and Tucson Electric Power Company (collectively, the Registrants). Information contained herein relating to any individual registrant is filed by such registrant on its own behalf. TEP does not make any representation as to information relating to any other subsidiary of UniSource Energy.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements as defined by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You should read forward-looking statements together with the cautionary statements and important factors included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. (See *Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Safe Harbor for Forward-Looking Statements*). Forward-looking statements include statements concerning plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events or performance and underlying assumptions. Forward-looking statements are not statements of historical facts. Forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of words such as anticipates, estimates, expects, intends, plans, predicts, projects, and similar expressions. We express our expecta beliefs and projections in good faith and believe them to have a reasonable basis. However, we make no assurances that management s expectations, beliefs or projections will be achieved or accomplished. In addition, UniSource Energy and TEP disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report.

#### ITEM 1. BUSINESS OVERVIEW OF CONSOLIDATED BUSINESS

UniSource Energy is a holding company with no significant operations of its own. UniSource Energy s operating subsidiaries are separate legal entities with their own assets and liabilities. UniSource Energy owns the outstanding common stock of Tucson Electric Power Company (TEP), UniSource Energy Services, Inc. (UES), UniSource Energy Development Company (UED), and Millennium Energy Holdings, Inc. (Millennium).

Our business includes three primary business segments: TEP; UNS Gas, Inc. (UNS Gas); and UNS Electric, Inc. (UNS Electric). TEP is an electric utility serving the community of Tucson, Arizona. UES provides gas and electric service to more than 30 communities in northern and southern Arizona through its two operating subsidiaries, UNS Gas and UNS Electric.

Other subsidiaries include UED, which developed the Black Mountain Generating Station (BMGS) in northwestern Arizona in 2008. The facility, which includes two natural gas-fired combustion turbines, initially provided energy to UNS Electric through a power sales agreement. In July 2011, UNS Electric purchased BMGS from UED, leaving UED with no significant remaining assets. This transaction did not impact UniSource Energy s consolidated financial statements.

Millennium has existing investments in unregulated businesses that represented less than 1% of UniSource Energy s total assets as of December 31, 2011. We have no new investments planned for Millennium. Southwest Energy Solutions (SES) is a subsidiary of Millennium that provides supplemental labor and meter reading services to TEP, UNS Gas, and UNS Electric.

UniSource Energy was incorporated in the state of Arizona in 1995 and obtained regulatory approval to form a holding company in 1997. TEP and UniSource Energy exchanged shares of stock in 1998, making TEP a subsidiary of UniSource Energy.

K-1

#### **BUSINESS SEGMENT CONTRIBUTIONS**

The table below shows the contributions to our consolidated after-tax earnings by our three business segments.

	September 2011	30,	September 2010 -Millions of D	r 30, ollars-	Sep	otember 30, 2009
TEP	\$	85	\$	108	\$	91
UNS Gas		10		9		7
UNS Electric		18		15		11
Other <sup>(1)</sup>		(3)		(19)		(3)
Consolidated Net Income	\$	110	\$	113	\$	106

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes: UniSource Energy parent company expenses; interest expense (net of tax) on UniSource Energy Convertible Senior Notes and on the UniSource Credit Agreement; Millennium; and UED.

See Note 3 for additional financial information regarding our business segments.

References in this report to we and our are to UniSource Energy and its subsidiaries, collectively.

#### Rates and Regulation of TEP, UNS Gas and UNS Electric

The Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC) regulates portions of TEP, UNS Gas and UNS Electric s utility accounting practices and energy rates. The ACC has authority over rates charged to retail customers, the issuance of securities, and transactions with affiliated parties. Our regulated utility Retail Rates for retail electric and natural gas service are determined on a cost of service basis. Retail Rates are designed to provide, after recovery of allowable operating expenses, an opportunity for our utility businesses to earn a reasonable return on rate base. Rate base is generally determined by reference to the original cost (net of depreciation) of utility plant in service to the extent deemed used and useful, and to various adjustments for deferred taxes and other items plus a working capital component. Over time, additions to utility plant in service increase rate base while depreciation and retirements of utility plant reduce rate base.

Retail Rates charged by TEP, UNS Gas and UNS Electric also include pass-through mechanisms that allow each utility to recover the actual costs of its fuel, transmission, and energy purchases.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) regulates the terms and prices of transmission services and wholesale electricity sales, wholesale transport and purchases of natural gas and portions of our accounting practices. TEP and UNS Electric have FERC tariffs to sell power at market-based rates.

#### <u>TEP</u>

TEP was incorporated in the State of Arizona in 1963. TEP is the principal operating subsidiary of UniSource Energy. In 2011, TEP s electric utility operations contributed 77% of UniSource Energy s operating revenues and comprised 82% of its assets.

#### SERVICE AREA AND CUSTOMERS

TEP is a vertically integrated utility that provides regulated electric service to approximately 404,000 retail customers in southeastern Arizona. TEP s service territory covers 1,155 square miles and includes a population of approximately one million people in the greater Tucson metropolitan area in Pima County, as well as parts of Cochise County. TEP also sells electricity to other utilities and power marketing entities in the western United States.

#### **Retail Customers**

TEP provides electric utility service to a diverse group of residential, commercial, industrial, and public sector customers. Major industries served include copper mining, cement manufacturing, defense, health care, education, military bases and other governmental entities. TEP s retail sales are influenced by several factors, including economic conditions, seasonal weather patterns, demand side management (DSM) initiatives and increasing use of energy efficient products, and opportunities for customers to generate their own electricity.

#### Customer Base

The table below shows the percentage distribution of TEP s energy sales by major customer class over the last three years. Over the next several years, the retail energy consumption by customer class is expected to be similar to the historical distribution.

	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Residential	42%	42%	42%
Commercial	21%	21%	21%
Non-mining Industrial	23%	23%	23%
Mining	11%	12%	11%
Public Authority	3%	2%	3%

Local, regional, and national economic factors can impact the growth in the number of customers in TEP s service territory. In 2009, 2010 and 2011, TEP s average number of retail customers increased by less than 1% per year.

Two of TEP s largest retail customers are in the copper mining industry. TEP s kilowatt-hour (kWh) sales to mining customers depend on a variety of factors including the market price of copper, the Retail Rate paid by mining customers, and the mines potential development of their own electric generation resources. TEP s kWh sales to mining customers increased by 0.3% in 2011 and 1.4% in 2010 as a result of increased production due to high copper prices.

We expect the number of TEP s retail customers to increase at a rate of approximately 0.5% in 2012 and approximately 0.9% in 2013.

#### Sales Volumes

Weak economic conditions and the implementation of energy efficiency programs have had a negative impact on electricity sales. In 2009 and 2010, TEP s retail kWh sales declined by 1.4% and 0.8%, respectively. In 2011, TEP s retail kWh sales were 0.4% above 2010 due in part to a 0.3% increase in the average number of retail customers. In 2012, we expect kWh sales to TEP s retail customers to be near the same level as 2011.

#### Energy Service Providers

Although the ACC s Retail Electric Competition Rules contemplated that TEP s retail customers may be eligible to choose an alternative energy service provider (ESP), portions of those Rules have been invalidated by the Arizona courts and there are no ESPs currently authorized to provide alternative retail electric service to TEP s customers. See *Rates and Regulation*, below for more information regarding the status of retail competition in Arizona.

#### Wholesale Business

TEP s electric utility operations include the wholesale marketing of electricity to other utilities and power marketers. Wholesale sales transactions are made on both a firm and interruptible basis. A firm contract requires TEP to supply power on demand (except under limited emergency circumstances), while an interruptible contract allows TEP to stop supplying power under defined conditions. See *Generating and Other Resources, Purchases and Interconnections*, below.

Generally, TEP commits to future sales based on expected excess generating capability, forward prices and generation costs, using a diversified portfolio approach to provide a balance between long-term, mid-term and spot energy sales. When TEP expects to have excess generating capacity and energy (usually in the first, second and fourth calendar quarters), its wholesale sales consist primarily of two types of sales:

#### Long-Term Sales

Long-term wholesale sales contracts cover periods of more than one year. TEP typically uses its own generation to serve the requirements of its long-term wholesale customers. TEP currently has long-term contracts with three entities to sell energy:

From January 1, 2012 through the end of the contract in May 2016, SRP is required to purchase 500,000 MWh of on-peak energy per year. TEP does not receive a demand charge and the price of energy is based on a discount to the Palo Verde Market Index. Prior to June 1, 2011, TEP received an annual demand charge of approximately \$22 million.

Navajo Tribal Utility Authority (NTUA) expires in December 2015. TEP serves the portion of NTUA s load that is not served by the authority s allocation of federal hydroelectric power. Over the last three years, sales to NTUA averaged 225,000 MWh per year. Since 2010, the price of 50% of the MWh sales to NTUA from June to September has been based on the Palo Verde Market Index. In 2011, approximately 12% of the total energy sold to NTUA was priced based on the Palo Verde Market Index. The remaining power sales occur at a fixed price under TEP s contract with NTUA.

Tohono O odham Utility Authority 2 MW, expires in 2014. <u>Short-Term Sales</u>

Forward contracts commit TEP to sell a specified amount of capacity or energy at a specified price over a given period of time, typically for one-month, three-month or one-year periods. TEP also engages in short-term sales by selling energy in the daily or hourly markets at fluctuating spot market prices and making other non-firm energy sales. All revenues from short-term wholesale sales offset fuel and purchased power costs and are passed through to TEP retail customers. TEP uses short-term wholesale sales as part of its hedging strategy to reduce customer exposure to fluctuating power prices. See *Rates and Regulation*, below.

See Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Tucson Electric Power Company, Factors Affecting Results of Operations, for additional discussion of TEP s wholesale marketing activities.

#### GENERATING AND OTHER RESOURCES

At December 31, 2011, TEP owned or leased 2,262 MW of net generating capability, as set forth in the following table:

	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30, Net	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	Unit		Date	Fuel	Capability	Operating	TEP	s Share
Generating Source	No.	Location	In Service	Туре	MW	Agent	%	MW
Springerville Station <sup>(1)</sup>	1	Springerville, AZ	1985	Coal	401	TEP	100.0	401
Springerville Station	2	Springerville, AZ	1990	Coal	403	TEP	100.0	403
San Juan Station	1	Farmington, NM	1976	Coal	340	PNM	50.0	170
San Juan Station	2	Farmington, NM	1973	Coal	340	PNM	50.0	170
Navajo Station	1	Page, AZ	1974	Coal	750	SRP	7.5	56
Navajo Station	2	Page, AZ	1975	Coal	750	SRP	7.5	56
Navajo Station	3	Page, AZ	1976	Coal	750	SRP	7.5	56
Four Corners Station	4	Farmington, NM	1969	Coal	784	APS	7.0	55
Four Corners Station	5	Farmington, NM	1970	Coal	784	APS	7.0	55
Luna Energy Facility	1	Deming, NM	2006	Gas	555	PNM	33.3	185
Sundt Station	1	Tucson, AZ	1958	Gas/Oil	81	TEP	100.0	81
Sundt Station	2	Tucson, AZ	1960	Gas/Oil	81	TEP	100.0	81
Sundt Station	3	Tucson, AZ	1962	Gas/Oil	104	TEP	100.0	104
Sundt Station	4	Tucson, AZ	1967	Coal/Gas	156	TEP	100.0	156
Sundt Internal								
Combustion Turbines		Tucson, AZ	1972-1973	Gas/Oil	50	TEP	100.0	50
DeMoss Petrie		Tucson, AZ	1972	Gas/Oil	75	TEP	100.0	75
North Loop		Tucson, AZ	2001	Gas	95	TEP	100.0	95
Springerville Solar								
Station		Springerville, AZ	2002-2010	Solar	6	TEP	100.0	6
Community Solar								
Projects		Tucson, AZ	2010	Solar	7	TEP	100.0	7

Total TEP Capacity <sup>(2)</sup>

2,262

<sup>(1)</sup> Leased asset as of December 31, 2011.

(2) Excludes 1,009 MW of additional resources, which consist of certain capacity purchases and interruptible retail load. At December 31, 2011, total owned capacity was 1,861 MW and leased capacity was 401 MW.

#### **Springerville Generating Station**

Springerville Unit 1 is leased by TEP and Unit 2 is owned by San Carlos, a wholly-owned subsidiary of TEP. TEP s other interests in the Springerville Generating Station include the Springerville Coal Handling Facilities and the Springerville Common Facilities.

The terms of the Springerville Unit 1 Leases, which include a 50% interest in the Springerville Common Facilities, expire in 2015 but have optional fair market value renewal and purchase provisions. In 1985, TEP sold and leased back the remaining 50% interest in the Springerville Common Facilities.

In December 2011, TEP and the owner participants of the Springerville Unit 1 Leases completed a formal appraisal procedure to determine the fair market value purchase price. The formal appraisal process was completed in accordance with the Springerville Unit 1 lease agreements. The purchase price was determined to be \$478 per kW of capacity. TEP has until September 2013 to give notice that it will exercise its purchase option, with the purchase occurring in January 2015. TEP can choose to exercise this option to purchase any or all of the lease interests not currently owned by TEP; TEP currently owns a 14% undivided interest in Springerville Unit 1. If TEP chooses to purchase all of the remaining interests in Springerville Unit 1 from the owner participants, the aggregate purchase price would be \$159 million.

The Springerville Common Facilities Leases, which expire in 2017 and 2021, have optional fair market value renewal options as well as a fixed-price purchase provision. The fixed prices to acquire the leased interests in the Springerville Common Facilities are \$38 million in 2017 and \$68 million in 2021.

In 1984, TEP sold and leased back the Springerville Coal Handling Facilities. Since entering the lease, TEP purchased a 13% ownership interest in the Springerville Coal Handling Facilities. The terms of the Springerville Coal Handling Facilities Leases expire in 2015 but have optional fixed-rate renewal options if certain conditions are satisfied as well as a fixed-price purchase provision of \$120 million.

See Note 6 and Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Tucson Electric Power Company, Liquidity and Capital Resources, Contractual Obligations, for more information regarding the Springerville leases.

#### **Sundt Generating Station**

The Sundt Generating Station and the internal combustion turbines located in Tucson are designated as must-run generation facilities. Must-run generation units are required to run in certain circumstances to maintain distribution system reliability and to meet local load requirements.

In 2010, TEP purchased 100% of the equity interest in the Sundt Unit 4 lease for approximately \$51 million, redeemed the outstanding Sundt Unit 4 lease debt of \$5 million, and terminated the lease agreement.

#### **Renewable Energy Resources**

#### Owned Resources

As of December 31, 2011, TEP s owned photovoltaic (PV) solar generating capacity totaled 13 MW. The Springerville Generating Station solar system, which is located near TEP s Springerville coal-fired facility in eastern Arizona, includes 43,380 PV modules, with a total capacity of 6 MW. TEP s remaining 7 MW of PV solar generating capacity is located in the city of Tucson.

#### Power Purchase Agreements

In order to meet the ACC s renewable energy requirements, TEP has power purchase agreements (PPAs) for 130 MW of capacity from solar resources, 50 MW of capacity from wind resources and 2 MW of capacity from a landfill gas generation plant. As of December 31, 2011, approximately 2 MW of contracted solar resources and 50 MW of contracted wind resources were operational. The remaining resources are expected to be developed over the next several years. The solar PPAs contain options that would allow TEP to purchase all or part of the related project at a future period. See *Rates and Regulation, Renewable Energy Standard and Tariff* below for more information.

#### **Purchases and Interconnections**

TEP purchases power from other utilities and power marketers. TEP may enter into contracts: (a) to purchase energy under long-term contracts to serve retail load and long-term wholesale contracts, (b) to purchase capacity or energy during periods of planned outages or for peak summer load conditions, and (c) to purchase energy for resale to certain wholesale customers under load and resource management agreements.

TEP typically uses generation from its gas-fired units, supplemented by purchased power, to meet the summer peak demands of its retail customers. Some of these PPAs are price-indexed to natural gas prices. Due to its increasing seasonal gas and purchased power usage, TEP hedges a portion of its total natural gas exposure with fixed price contracts for a maximum of three years. TEP also purchases energy in the daily and hourly markets to meet higher than anticipated demands, to cover unplanned generation outages, or when doing so is more economical than generating its own energy.

TEP is a member of a regional reserve-sharing organization and has reliability and power sharing relationships with other utilities. These relationships allow TEP to call upon other utilities during emergencies, such as plant outages and system disturbances, and reduce the amount of reserves TEP is required to carry.

As a result of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, owners and operators of bulk power transmission systems, including TEP, are subject to mandatory reliability standards that are developed and enforced by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) and subject to the oversight of the FERC. TEP periodically reviews its operating policies and procedures to ensure continued compliance with these standards.

#### Springerville Units 3 and 4

Springerville Units 3 and 4 are each approximately 400 MW coal-fired generating facilities that are operated, but not owned by TEP. These facilities are located at the same site as TEP s Springerville Units 1 and 2. The owners of Units 3 and 4 compensate TEP for operating the facilities and pay an allocated portion of the fixed costs related to the Springerville Common Facilities and Coal Handling Facilities. See *Item 7*.

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Tucson Electric Power Company, Factors Affecting Results of Operations, Springerville Units 3 and 4.

#### **Peak Demand and Resources**

Peak Demand	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009 -MW-	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2007
Retail Customers	2,334	2,333	2,354	2,376	2,386
Firm Sales to Other Utilities	322	340	385	394	369
Coincident Peak Demand (A)	2,656	2,673	2,739	2,770	2,755
Total Generating Resources	2,262	2,245	2,229	2,204	2,204
Other Resources <sup>(1)</sup>	1,009	799	781	966	785
Total TEP Resources (B)	3,271	3,044	3,010	3,170	2,989
Total Margin (B) (A)	615	371	271	400	234
Reserve Margin (% of Coincident Peak Demand)	23%	14%	10%	14%	8%

<sup>(1)</sup> Other Resources include firm power purchases and interruptible retail and wholesale loads. Additional firm power purchases were made in 2009 and 2010 to displace more expensive owned gas generation.

Peak demand occurs during the summer months due to the cooling requirements of TEP s retail customers. Retail peak demand varies from year-to-year due to weather, economic conditions and other factors. TEP s retail peak demand declined from 2008 to 2010 due primarily to weak

economic conditions and the implementation of energy efficiency programs.

The chart above shows the relationship over a five-year period between TEP s peak demand and its energy resources. TEP s total margin is the difference between total energy resources and coincident peak demand, and

the reserve margin is the ratio of margin to coincident peak demand. TEP s reserve margin in 2011 was in compliance with reliability criteria set forth by the Western Electricity Coordinating Council, a regional council of NERC.

Forecasted retail peak demand for 2012 is 2,269 MW, compared with actual peak demand of 2,334 MW in 2011 when cooling degree days exceeded the ten-year average by 4%. TEP s 2012 estimated retail peak demand is based on normal weather patterns. TEP believes existing generation capacity and power purchase agreements are sufficient to meet expected demand in 2012.

#### **Future Generating Resources**

TEP will add generating resources and/or import capability to meet forecasted retail and firm wholesale load. TEP anticipates that additional import capacity and/or additional local peaking resources of 75 to 150 MW may be required by 2018. TEP expects to add approximately 5 MW of new solar PV resources in 2012.

#### FUEL SUPPLY

#### **Fuel Summary**

Fuel cost and usage information is provided below:

	Septe	September 30, September 30, Average Cost per MM Consumed		otember 30, Cost per MMB onsumed	September 30, Stu		September 30, Pe	September 30, rcentage of Total Btu Consumed	September 30,	
	2	011		2010		2009	2011	2010	2009	
Coal	\$	2.42	\$	2.23	\$	2.11	92%	90%	90%	
Gas	\$	5.20	\$	4.69	\$	4.51	8%	10%	10%	
All Fuels	\$	2.65	\$	2.47	\$	2.34	100%	100%	100%	
Coal										

TEP s principal fuel for electric generation is low-sulfur, bituminous or sub-bituminous coal from mines in Arizona, New Mexico and Colorado. More than 90% of TEP s coal supply is purchased under long-term contracts, which results in more predictable prices. The average cost per ton of coal, including transportation, for 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$46.64, \$41.99, and \$39.81, respectively.

	September 30,	September 30, 2011 Coal Consumption	September 30, Contract	September 30, Avg. Sulfur	September 30,
Station	Coal Supplier	(tons in 000 s)	Expiration	Content	Coal Obtained From (A)
Springerville	Peabody Coalsales	3,123	2020	0.9%	Lee Ranch Coal Co.
Four Corners	BHP Billiton	387	2016	0.8%	Navajo Indian Tribe
San Juan	San Juan Coal Co	1 217	2017	0.8%	Federal and State
Navajo	San Juan Coar Co.	1,217	2017	0.0 %	Navajo and Hopi Indian
	Peabody Coalsales	529	2019	0.4%	Tribes
Sundt	Peabody Coalsales	265	2012	0.5%	Twentymile Mine

(A) Substantially all of the suppliers mining leases extend at least as long as coal is being mined in economic quantities. <u>TEP Operated Generating Facilities</u>

TEP is the operator, and sole owner (or lessee), of the Springerville Units 1 and 2 and Sundt Unit 4. The coal supplies for Springerville Units 1 and 2 are transported approximately 200 miles by railroad from northwestern New Mexico. TEP expects coal reserves to be sufficient to supply the estimated requirements for Springerville Units 1 and 2 for their presently estimated remaining lives.

The coal supplies for Sundt are transported approximately 1,300 miles by railroad from Colorado. Prior to 2010, Sundt Unit 4 was predominantly fueled by coal; however, the generating station also can be operated with natural gas. Both fuels are combined with methane, a renewable energy resource, piped in from a nearby landfill. Since 2010, TEP has fueled Sundt Unit 4 with both coal and natural gas depending on which resource is most economic. In 2012, TEP expects to fuel Sundt Unit 4 with natural gas. See Note 4 for more information.

K-7

#### Generating Facilities Operated by Others

TEP also participates in jointly-owned coal-fired generating facilities at the Four Corners Generating Station (Four Corners), the Navajo Generating Station (Navajo) and the San Juan Generating Station (San Juan). Four Corners, which is operated by Arizona Public Service (APS), and San Juan, which is operated by PNM, are mine-mouth generating stations located adjacent to the coal reserves. Navajo, which is operated by SRP, obtains its coal supply from a nearby coal mine and a dedicated rail delivery system. The coal supplies are under long-term contracts administered by the operating agents. TEP expects coal reserves available to these three jointly-owned generating facilities to be sufficient for the remaining presently estimated lives of the stations.

#### **Natural Gas Supply**

TEP typically uses generation from its facilities fueled by natural gas, in addition to energy from its coal-fired facilities and purchased power, to meet the summer peak demands of its retail customers and local reliability needs. TEP purchases gas from Southwest Gas Corporation under a retail tariff for North Loop s 95 MWs of internal combustion turbines and receives distribution service under a transportation agreement for DeMoss Petrie, a 75 MW internal combustion turbine. TEP purchases capacity from El Paso Natural Gas Company (EPNG) for transportation from the San Juan and Permian Basins to its Sundt plant under a contract that expires in April 2013, with right-of-first-refusal for continuation thereafter. TEP also buys gas from third-party suppliers for Sundt and DeMoss Petrie.

TEP purchases gas transportation for Luna from EPNG from the Permian Basin to the plant site under an agreement effective through January 2017, with right-of-first-refusal for continuation thereafter. TEP purchases gas for its share of Luna from various suppliers in the Permian Basin region.

#### TRANSMISSION ACCESS

TEP has transmission access and power transaction arrangements with over 120 electric systems or suppliers. TEP also has various ongoing projects that are designed to increase access to the regional wholesale energy market and improve the reliability, capacity and efficiency of its existing transmission and distribution systems.

TEP is participating in the continuation of the 500 kV transmission line from the Pinal West substation to the Pinal Central substation. TEP is also in the process of obtaining permits to build a 40-mile 500-kV transmission line from the Pinal Central substation to the Tortolita substation northwest of Tucson to further enhance its ability to access the region s energy resources. TEP expects the transmission lines to be in service in 2014. As a result of these high-voltage transmission additions, TEP anticipates that its ability to import energy into its service territory should increase by at least 250 MW.

#### **Tucson to Nogales Transmission Line**

TEP and UNS Electric are parties to a project development agreement initiated in 2000 for the joint construction of a 60-mile 345kV transmission line from Tucson to Nogales, Arizona. The project development agreement was initiated in response to an order by the ACC to improve reliability to UNS Electric s retail customers in Nogales and surrounding Santa Cruz County by building a second transmission line to Nogales. TEP received approval from the ACC for construction along a specific route in 2002. However, due to an impasse with the US Forest Service, UNS Electric has taken alternative steps towards improving service reliability in the area.

As of December 31, 2011, TEP had capitalized \$11 million related to the project, including \$2 million of land and land rights. If TEP does not receive the required approvals or abandons the project, TEP believes that cost recovery is probable for prudent and reasonably incurred costs related to the project as a consequence of the ACC s requirement for a second transmission line serving Santa Cruz County.

#### **RATES AND REGULATION**

#### Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause

The PPFAC allows TEP to recover its fuel, transmission, and purchased power costs, including demand charges, and the prudent costs of contracts for hedging fuel and purchased power costs from its retail customers. The PPFAC consists of a forward component and a true-up component.

The forward component is updated on April 1 of each year. The forward component is based on the forecasted fuel and purchased power costs for the 12-month period from April 1 to March 31 of the following year, less the base fuel, transmission, and purchased power costs embedded in Base Rates.

The true-up component will reconcile any over/under collected amounts from the preceding 12-month period and will be credited to or recovered from customers in the subsequent year.

For the 12 month period ending March 31, 2012, the PPFAC rate of 0.5 cents per kWh includes a forward component charge of 0.1 cents per kWh and the true-up component charge of 0.4 cents per kWh.

As part of the reconciliation of fuel and purchased power costs and PPFAC revenues, TEP credits, among other things, 100% of short-term wholesale revenues against the recoverable costs.

As part of the 2008 Rate Order, TEP was required to credit \$58 million of previously collected revenues to customers through the PPFAC. As a result, the PPFAC charge has been zero since it became effective in January 2009. As of November 2011, the \$58 million was fully refunded to customers and TEP began deferring the PPFAC eligible costs until a new PPFAC rate is approved by the ACC.

In February 2012, TEP filed its annual PPFAC update report with the ACC. TEP is requesting an increase in the total PPFAC rate from approximately 0.5 cents per kWh to 0.8 cents per kWh. The proposed PPFAC rate includes a forward component charge of approximately 0.3 cents per kWh and a true-up component charge of approximately 0.5 cents per kWh. TEP s proposed PPFAC rate, including the forward component, is expected to collect approximately \$77 million of under-collected fuel and purchased power costs. If the ACC approves TEP s PPFAC filing, it is anticipated that the new PPFAC rate would be implemented on April 1, 2012.

#### Base Rate Increase Moratorium

TEP s Base Rates are frozen through December 31, 2012. TEP is prohibited from submitting an application for new Base Rates before June 30, 2012. The test year to be used in TEP s next Base Rate application must conclude no earlier than December 31, 2011.

Notwithstanding the Base Rate increase moratorium, Base Rates and adjustor mechanisms may be changed in emergency conditions beyond TEP s control if the ACC concludes such changes are required to protect the public interest. The moratorium does not preclude TEP from seeking rate relief in the event of the imposition of a federal carbon tax or related regulations.

#### **Renewable Energy Standard and Tariff**

The ACC s Renewable Energy Standard and Tariff (RES) requires TEP, UNS Electric and other affected utilities to increase their use of renewable energy each year until it represents at least 15% of their total annual retail energy requirements in 2025. Affected utilities must file annual RES implementation plans for review and approval by the ACC. The approved cost of carrying out those plans is recovered from retail customers through the RES surcharge. Any RES surcharge collections above or below the costs incurred to implement the plans are deferred and reflected in TEP s financial statements as a regulatory asset or liability.

In 2011, TEP spent \$34 million on its 2011 RES implementation and met the 2011 renewable energy target of 3%. TEP expects to collect \$30 million in surcharges from retail customers in 2012 to implement its RES plan and expects to meet the 2012 renewable energy target of 3.5%.

For more information, see Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Tucson Electric Power Company, Factors Affecting Results of Operations, Renewable Energy Standard and Tariff.

#### **Electric Energy Efficiency Standards and Decoupling**

In August 2010, the ACC approved EE Standards designed to require TEP, UNS Electric and other affected electric utilities to implement cost-effective programs to reduce customers energy consumption. In 2011, TEP estimates its programs saved energy equal to 1.4% of its 2010 sales. In 2012, the EE Standards target total kWh savings of 3.0% of 2011 sales. The EE Standards increase annually thereafter up to a targeted cumulative annual reduction in retail kWh sales of 22% by 2020.

In January 2012, TEP filed a modification to its Energy Efficiency Implementation Plan with the ACC. The proposal includes a request for an increase in the performance incentive based on TEP s ability to meet the EE targets for 2012 and for 2013. TEP s proposed annual performance incentive for 2012 and 2013 ranges from \$6 million to \$8 million. TEP expects the ACC to issue a decision on this matter in the first quarter of 2012.

The EE Standards can be met by new and existing DSM programs, direct load control programs and energy efficient building codes. The EE Standards provide for the recovery of costs incurred to implement DSM programs. TEP s programs and rates charged to customers for such programs are subject to annual approval by the ACC.

#### Decoupling

In December 2010, the ACC issued a policy statement recognizing the need to adopt rate decoupling or another mechanism to make Arizona s EE Standards viable. A decoupling mechanism is designed to encourage energy conservation by restructuring utility Retail Rates to separate the recovery of fixed costs from the level of energy consumed. The policy statement allows affected utilities to file rate decoupling proposals in their next general rate case. TEP expects to file its next general rate case on or after June 30, 2012.

#### **Retail Electric Competition Rules**

In 1999, the ACC approved the Retail Electric Competition Rules (Rules) that provided a framework for the introduction of retail electric competition in Arizona. Certain portions of the ACC Rules that enabled ESPs to compete in the retail market were invalidated by an Arizona Court of Appeals decision in 2005. In 2008, the ACC opened an administrative proceeding to address the Rules. Unless and until the ACC clarifies the Rules or authorizes alternative ESPs to provide retail electric service, and ESPs offer to provide energy in TEP s service area, it is not possible for TEP s retail customers to use alternative ESPs. We cannot predict what changes, if any, the ACC will make to the Rules.

K-10

#### TEP S UTILITY OPERATING STATISTICS

	Se	eptember 30, 2011	S	eptember 30, 2010	S	eptember 30, 2009	Sep	otember 30, 2008	S	eptember 30, 2007
Generation and Purchased Power kWh (0	00)									
Remote Generation		10,005,127		9,077,032		9,134,183		10,438,864		11,001,318
Local Tucson Generation (Oil, Gas & Coal)	1	906,496		1,492,885		1,131,399		1,016,254		1,065,778
Purchased Power		2,686,918		2,759,912		3,677,925		3,077,619		1,713,125
Total Generation and Purchased Power		13,598,541		13,329,829		13,943,507		14,532,737		13,780,221
Less Losses and Company Use		794,171		768,819		780,529		638,302		625,073
Total Energy Sold		12,804,370		12,561,010		13,162,978		13,894,435		13,155,148
Sales kWh (000)										
Residential		3,888,011		3,869,540		3,905,696		3,852,707		4,004,797
Commercial		1,972,526		1,963,469		1,988,356		2,034,453		2,057,982
Industrial		2,145,163		2,138,749		2,160,946		2,263,706		2,341,025
Mining		1,083,071		1,079,327		1,064,830		1,095,962		983,173
Public Authorities		243,336		240,703		250,915		255,817		247,430
Total Electric Petail Sales		0 332 107		0 201 788		9 370 743		9 502 645		9 634 407
Flactric Wholesale Sales		3,472,263		3,291,788		3,702,745		9,302,043		3,520,741
Electric wholesale Sales		5,472,205		5,209,222		5,192,255		4,371,790		5,520,741
Total Electric Sales		12,804,370		12,561,010		13,162,978		13,894,435		13,155,148
Operating Revenues (000)										
Residential	\$	383,908	\$	372,212	\$	377,761	\$	351,079	\$	362,967
Commercial		223,621		217,032		219,694		211,639		213,364
Industrial		164,024		159,937		163,720		164,849		168,279
Mining		65,720		62,112		61,033		55,619		48,707
Public Authorities		20,024		19,128		19,865		19,146		18,332
RES and DSM		46,633		37,767		25,443		2,781		
Other								415		4,822
Total Electric Retail Sales		903,930		868,188		867,516		805,528		816,471
CTC To Be Refunded								(58,092)		
Wholesale Revenue- Long-Term		41,056		55,653		48,249		57,493		55,788
Wholesale Revenue- Short-Term		72,798		71,435		84,410		197,754		126,732
California Power Exchange										
Provision for Wholesale Refunds				(2,970)		(4,172)				
Transmission		16,392		20,863		18,974		17,173		14,842
Other Revenues		122,210		112,098		84,361		72,292		56,956
Total Operating Revenues	\$	1,156,386	\$	1,125,267	\$	1,099,338	\$	1,092,148	\$	1,070,789
Customers (End of Period)										
Residential		367,396		366,217		365,157		363,861		361,945
Commercial		36,203		35,877		35,759		35,432		34,759
Industrial		636		635		629		633		641
Mining		2		2		2		2		2
Public Authorities		62		62		61		61		61

Total Retail Customers	404,299	402,793	401,608	399,989	397,408
Average Retail Revenue per kWh Sold (cents)					
Residential	9.9	9.6	9.7	9.1	9.1
Commercial	11.3	11.1	11.0	10.4	10.4
Industrial and Mining	7.1	6.9	7.0	6.6	6.6
Average Retail Revenue per kWh Sold	9.7	9.3	9.3	8.5	8.5
с .					
Average Revenue per Residential Customer	\$ 1,047	\$ 1,018	\$ 1,036	\$ 968	\$ 1,009
Average kWh Sales per Residential Customer	10,606	10,579	10,708	10,621	11,129

K-11

#### ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Air and water quality, resource extraction, waste management and land use are regulated by federal, state and local authorities. TEP facilities are in substantial compliance with existing regulations.

#### **Clean Air Act Requirements**

TEP generating facilities are subject to Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) limits on the amount of sulfur dioxide  $(SO_2)$ , nitrogen oxide (NOx) and other emissions released into the atmosphere. TEP capitalized \$8 million in 2011, \$18 million in 2010 and \$24 million in 2009 in construction costs to comply with environmental requirements, including TEP s share of new pollution control equipment installed at San Juan described below. TEP expects to capitalize environmental compliance costs of \$7 million in 2012 and \$25 million in 2013.

TEP recorded operating expenses of \$12 million in 2011, \$14 million in 2010 and \$13 million in 2009 related to environmental compliance. TEP expects to record \$14 million in operating expenses related to environmental compliance in 2012. TEP may incur additional costs to comply with future changes in federal and state environmental laws, regulations and permit requirements at existing electric generating facilities. Compliance with these changes may reduce operating efficiency.

TEP has sufficient Emission Allowances to comply with acid rain SO<sub>2</sub> regulations.

#### EPA Information Request

TEP has submitted its response to the request received in 2010 from the EPA under Section 114 of the Clean Air Act for information regarding projects and operations at the Sundt Generating Station. TEP owns and operates all four units at Sundt. Units 1, 2 and 3 can be operated on either natural gas or diesel oil. Unit 4 can be operated on either natural gas or coal.

The EPA uses information obtained from such requests to determine if additional action is necessary. TEP can neither predict whether the EPA will take further action at Sundt nor project the impact of any such action.

#### **Hazardous Air Pollutant Requirements**

The Clean Air Act requires the EPA to develop emission limit standards for hazardous air pollutants that reflect the maximum achievable control technology. In 2009, the EPA entered into a consent order through which it agreed to develop rules establishing standards for the control of emissions of mercury and other hazardous air pollutants from electric generating units. The EPA issued the final rule in December 2011.

#### <u>Navajo</u>

Based on the EPA s final standards, mercury and particulate emission control equipment may be required at Navajo by 2015. TEP s share of the estimated capital cost of this equipment for Navajo is less than \$1 million for mercury control and approximately \$43 million if the installation of baghouses to control particulates is necessary.

#### Springerville

Based on the EPA s final standards, mercury emission control equipment may be required at Springerville by 2015. The estimated capital cost of this equipment for Springerville Units 1 and 2 is approximately \$5 million. The annual operating cost associated with the mercury emission control equipment is expected to be approximately \$3 million.

#### <u>San Juan</u>

Current emission controls at San Juan are expected to be adequate to achieve compliance with the EPA s final standards.

#### <u>Sundt</u>

TEP does not anticipate the final EPA rule will have a material impact on TEP s capital expenditures related to Sundt Unit 4.

#### Four Corners

Based on the EPA s final standards, mercury emission control equipment may be required at Four Corners by 2015. The estimated capital cost of this equipment is less than \$1 million. The annual operating cost associated with the mercury emission control equipment is expected to be less than \$1 million.

#### **Climate Change**

In 2007, the Supreme Court ruled in Commonwealth of Massachusetts, et al. v. EPA that carbon dioxide (CO