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## UNITED STATES
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WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

## FORM 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the Quarterly Period ended September 30, 2010

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Commission file number: 000-51201

## BofI HOLDING, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

# Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q 

## incorporation or organization)

12777 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100, San Diego, CA (Address of principal executive offices)

## Identification No.)

92130
(Zip Code)

Registrant $s$ telephone number, including area code: (858) 350-6200
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site every Interactive Data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit an post such files). " Yes " No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filed, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated file * $\quad$ Accelerated filer " $\quad$ Non-accelerated filer $\mathrm{x} \quad$ Smaller reporting company ${ }^{*}$ Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). " Yes x No

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant s common stock on the last practicable date: $10,225,734$ shares of common stock as of November 9, 2010.

Table of Contents

## BofI HOLDING, INC.

INDEX
Page
PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION ..... 1
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ..... 1
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited at September 30, 2010 and June 20, 2010 ..... 1
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited) for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 ..... 2
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income (unaudited) for the three months ended September 30, 2010 ..... 3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 ..... 4
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements ..... 5
ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS ..... 23
SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA ..... 24
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS ..... 26
FINANCIAL CONDITION ..... 30
LIOUIDITY ..... 35
CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMITMENTS ..... 35
CAPITAL RESOURCES AND REOUIREMENTS ..... 36
QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK ..... 36
ITEM 3: OUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS ..... 38
ITEM 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES ..... 38
PART II OTHER INFORMATION ..... 39
ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS ..... 39
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS ..... 39
ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EOUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS ..... 42
ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES ..... 42
ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED ..... 42
ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION ..... 42
ITEM 6. EXHIBITS ..... 43

Table of Contents

## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

## (Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 4,364 | \$ | 5,834 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 9,935 |  | 12,371 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents |  | 14,299 |  | 18,205 |
| Securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Trading |  | 4,441 |  | 4,402 |
| Available for sale |  | 240,726 |  | 242,430 |
| Held to maturity (fair value \$303,774 in September 2010, \$326,867 in June 2010) |  | 304,969 |  | 320,807 |
| Stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank, at cost |  | 17,449 |  | 18,148 |
| Loans held for sale, carried at fair value at September 30, 2010 |  | 17,648 |  | 5,511 |
| Loans net of allowance for loan losses of \$6,426 in September 2010; \$5,893 in June 2010 |  | 876,934 |  | 774,899 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 5,414 |  | 5,040 |
| Furniture, equipment and software net |  | 983 |  | 621 |
| Deferred income tax |  | 8,765 |  | 6,153 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance |  | 4,956 |  | 4,911 |
| Other real estate owned and repossessed vehicles |  | 3,660 |  | 2,701 |
| Other assets |  | 17,749 |  | 17,253 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 1,517,993 |  | ,421,081 |


| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS | EQUITY |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Deposits: |  | 4,581 | $\$$ |
| Non-interest bearing | 987,143 | 9,441 |  |
| Interest bearing |  | 962,739 |  |
|  | 991,724 | 968,180 |  |
| Total deposits | 130,000 | 130,000 |  |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 250,000 | 182,999 |  |
| Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank | 5,155 | 5,155 |  |
| Subordinated debentures | 1,941 | 1,979 |  |
| Accrued interest payable | 6,406 | 2,960 |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | $1,385,226$ | $1,291,273$ |  |
| Total liabilities |  |  |  |


| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preferred stock 1,000,000 shares authorized; |  |  |
| Series A \$10,000 stated value; 515 (September 2010) and 515 (June 2010) shares issued and outstanding | 5,063 | 5,063 |
| Common stock $\$ 0.01$ par value; $25,000,000$ shares authorized; $10,868,564$ shares issued and $10,222,747$ shares outstanding (September 2010); 10,827,673 shares issued and 10,184,975 shares outstanding (June |  |  |
| 2010); | 109 | 108 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 85,204 | 84,605 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income net of tax | 1,691 | 4,043 |
| Retained earnings | 44,637 | 39,882 |
| Treasury stock | $(3,937)$ | $(3,893)$ |
| Total stockholders equity | 132,767 | 129,808 |
| TOTAL | \$ 1,517,993 | \$ 1,421,081 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

## (Dollars in thousands, except earnings per share)

## (Unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME: |  |  |
| Loans, including fees | \$ 12,849 | \$ 10,350 |
| Investments | 8,240 | 11,427 |
| Total interest and dividend income | 21,089 | 21,777 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE: |  |  |
| Deposits | 5,227 | 5,431 |
| Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank | 1,706 | 2,224 |
| Other borrowings | 1,484 | 1,557 |
| Total interest expense | 8,417 | 9,212 |
| Net interest income | 12,672 | 12,565 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,600 | 2,000 |
| Net interest income, after provision for loan losses | 11,072 | 10,565 |
| NON-INTEREST INCOME: |  |  |
| Other-than-temporary loss on securities: |  |  |
| Total impairment losses | (631) | $(1,390)$ |
| Loss recognized in other comprehensive loss | 201 | 21 |
| Net impairment loss recognized in earnings | (430) | $(1,369)$ |
| Fair value gain (loss) on trading securities | 39 | (142) |
| Total unrealized loss on securities | (391) | $(1,511)$ |
| Prepayment penalty fee income | 1,000 | 47 |
| Mortgage banking income | 1,393 | 332 |
| Banking service fees and other income | 120 | 123 |
| Total non-interest income (loss) | 2,122 | $(1,009)$ |
| NON-INTEREST EXPENSE: |  |  |
| Salaries, employee benefits and stock-based compensation | 2,821 | 1,408 |
| Professional services | 434 | 284 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 165 | 104 |
| Data processing and internet | 230 | 200 |
| Advertising and promotional | 157 | 84 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 75 | 54 |
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| Real estate owned and repossessed vehicles |  | 213 |  | 302 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FDIC and OTS regulatory fees |  | 438 |  | 395 |
| Other general and administrative |  | 666 |  | 446 |
| Total non-interest expense |  | 5,199 |  | 3,277 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES |  | 7,995 |  | 6,279 |
| INCOME TAXES |  | 3,163 |  | 2,571 |
| NET INCOME | \$ | 4,832 | \$ | 3,708 |
| NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON STOCK | \$ | 4,755 | \$ | 3,535 |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | \$ | 2,480 | \$ | 3,872 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 0.45 | \$ | 0.43 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 0.45 | \$ | 0.41 |
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## BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

## (Dollars in thousands)

## (Unaudited)

|  | vertible P | referred S | Common Stock <br> Number of Shares |  |  | Accumulated Other <br> Comprehensive |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares | Amount |  |  |  | Amount | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained <br> Earnings | mprehensi Income (Loss), Net of Income Tax | Treasury Stock | mprehens Income | Total |
| BALANCE July 1, 2010 | 515 | \$ 5,063 | 10,827,673 | $(642,698)$ | 10,184,975 | \$ 108 | \$ 84,605 | \$ 39,882 | \$ 4,043 | \$ $(3,893)$ |  | \$ 129,808 |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,832 |  |  | \$ 4,832 | 4,832 |
| Net unrealized loss from investment securities net of income tax expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(2,352)$ |  | $(2,352)$ | $(2,352)$ |
| Total comprehensive <br> income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash dividends on <br> preferred stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock-based <br> compensation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restricted stock grants |  |  | 24,003 | $(3,119)$ | 20,884 | 1 | 49 |  |  | (44) |  | 6 |
| Stock option exercises and tax benefits of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BALANCE Septemb $30,2010$ | 515 | \$ 5,063 | 10,868,564 | $(645,817)$ | 10,222,747 | \$ 109 | \$ 85,204 | \$ 44,637 | \$ 1,691 | \$ $(3,937)$ |  | \$ 132,767 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (Dollars in thousands)

## (Unaudited)



| NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | $(3,906)$ |  | (10) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS Beginning of year |  | 18,205 |  | 8,406 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS End of period | \$ | 14,299 | \$ | 8,396 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest paid on deposits and borrowed funds | \$ | 8,455 | \$ | 9,296 |
| Income taxes paid | \$ | 1,012 | \$ | 2,200 |
| Transfers to other real estate and repossessed vehicles | \$ | 2,092 | \$ | 944 |
| Preferred stock dividends declared but not paid | \$ |  | \$ | 96 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

## BOFI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 AND 2009

## (Dollars in thousands, except earnings per share)

(Unaudited)

## 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of BofI Holding, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, Bank of Internet USA (the Bank and collectively with BofI Holding, Inc., the Company ). All significant intercompany balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying interim condensed consolidated financial statements, presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ), are unaudited and reflect all adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair statement of financial condition and results of operations for the interim periods. All adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature. Results for the three months ended September 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the year as a whole. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes for the year ended June 30, 2010 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior-period financial statements to conform to the current period presentation.

## 2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Securities. We classify investment securities as either trading, available for sale or held to maturity. Trading securities are those securities for which we have elected fair value accounting. Trading securities are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in earnings each period. Securities available for sale are reported at estimated fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of the related tax effects, excluded from operations and reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income or loss. The fair values of securities traded in active markets are obtained from market quotes. If quoted prices in active markets are not available, we determine the fair value from our internal pricing models. Securities that management has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held to maturity and recorded at amortized cost. Amortization of purchase premiums and accretion of discounts on securities are recorded as yield adjustments on such securities using the effective interest method. The specific identification method is used for purposes of determining cost in computing realized gains and losses on investment securities sold.

At each reporting date, we monitor our available for sale and held to maturity securities for other-than-temporary impairment.
Other-than-temporary credit impairment losses are recognized in noninterest income and non-credit impairment losses are recognized through other comprehensive income, with a corresponding reduction in the carrying value of the investment.

Loans Held for Sale. Loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at fair value at September 30, 2010 and at the lower of cost or market value at June 30, 2010. Fair value adjustments, lower of cost or market value adjustments, as well as realized gain and losses, are recorded as mortgage banking income. The Bank generally sells it loans with servicing released to the buyer.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level estimated to provide for probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. Management determines the adequacy of the allowance based on reviews of individual loans and pools of loans, recent loss experience, current economic conditions, the risk characteristics of the various categories of loans and other pertinent factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective and requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available. The allowance is increased by the provision for loan losses, which is charged against current period operating results and recoveries of loans previously charged-off. The allowance is decreased by the amount of charge-offs of loans deemed uncollectible.
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Under the allowance for loan loss policy, impairment calculations are determined based on general portfolio data for general reserves and loan level data for specific reserves. Specific loans are evaluated for impairment and are generally classified as nonperforming or in foreclosure when they are 90 days or more delinquent. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan $s$ effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if repayment of the loan is expected primarily from the sale of collateral.
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General loan loss reserves for real estate loans are calculated by grouping each loan by collateral type and by grouping the loan-to-value ratios of each loan within the collateral type. An estimated allowance rate for each loan-to-value group within each type of loan is multiplied by the total principal amount in the group to calculate the required general reserve attributable to that group. Management uses an allowance rate that provides a larger loss allowance for loans with greater loan-to-value ratios. General loss reserves for consumer loans are calculated by grouping each loan by credit score (e.g., FICO) at origination and applying and estimated allowance to each group. Specific reserves are calculated when an internal asset review of a loan identifies a significant adverse change in the financial position of the borrower or the value of the collateral. The specific reserve is based on discounted cash flows, observable market prices or the estimated value of underlying collateral.

New Accounting Pronouncements. In June 2009, the FASB issued ASC Topic 860-10-65, Accounting for the Transfer of Financial Assets and Amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 Instruments (SFAS 166). ASC Topic 860-10-65 removes the concept of a special purpose entity (SPE) from Statement 140 and removes the exception of applying FASB Interpretation 46 Variable Interest Entities, to Variable Interest Entities that are SPEs. It limits the circumstances in which a transferor derecognizes a financial asset. ASC Topic 860-10-65 amends the requirements for the transfer of a financial asset to meet the requirements for sale accounting. The statement is effective for all fiscal periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The Company adopted ASC Topic 860-10-65 on July 1, 2010. The impact of the adoption was not material.

In June 2009 the FASB issued ASC Topic 810-10, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) ( SFAS 167 ). ASC Topic 810-10 amends Interpretation $46(\mathrm{R})$ to require an enterprise to perform an analysis to determine whether the enterprise s variable interest give it a controlling financial interest in the variable interest entity. ASC Topic $810-10$ is effective for all fiscal periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The Company adopted ASC Topic 810-10 on July 1, 2010. The impact of the adoption was not material.

On January 21, 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements, which provides amendments to ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, to provide for the following:

Disclosures of transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 financial instrument categories, including the entity spolicy for transfers in and out of all categories

Clarification of the need to disclose valuation techniques and inputs for both recurring and nonrecurring measurements for Level 2 and 3 measurements

Clarification that an entity should provide fair value measurement disclosures for each class (the term major category is replaced with class a subset within a line item based on nature and risk) of assets and liabilities and that management should use judgment in determining the level at which to report.
These disclosures are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2009.
In addition, this ASU requires the presentation of activity (purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements) in the Level 3 reconciliation on a gross basis as opposed to a net basis. This disclosure however, is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2010.

In July 2010, the FASB issued an Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ), Receivables: Disclosure about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. The objective of this ASU is for an entity to provide disclosures that facilitate financial statement users evaluation of the nature of credit risk inherent in the entity s portfolio of financing receivables, how that risk is analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses, and the changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses. An entity should provide disclosures on a disaggregated basis on two defined levels: (1) portfolio segment; and (2) class of financing receivable. The ASU makes changes to existing disclosure requirements and includes additional disclosure requirements about financing receivables, including credit quality indicators of financing receivables at the end of the reporting period by class of financing receivables, the aging of past due financing receivables at the end of the reporting period by class of financing receivables, and the nature and extent of troubled debt restructurings that occurred during the period by class of financing receivables and their effect on the allowance for credit losses. The Company expects the adoption on December 31, 2010 to be disclosure-related only and to have no impact on its results of operations.
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## 3. FAIR VALUE

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. ASC Topic 820 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets include securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.
Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models such as discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.
When available, the Company generally uses quoted market prices to determine fair value. In some cases where a market price is available, the Company will make use of acceptable practical expedients (such as matrix pricing) to calculate fair value, in which case the items are classified in Level 2. The Company considers relevant and observable market prices in its valuations where possible. The frequency of transactions, the size of the bid-ask spread and the nature of the participants are some of the factors the Company uses to help determine whether a market is active and orderly or inactive and not orderly. Price quotes based upon transactions that are not orderly are not considered to be determinative of fair value and should be given little, if any, weight in measuring fair value.

If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed valuation techniques that use, where possible, current market-based or independently sourced market parameters, such as interest rates, credit spreads, housing value forecasts, etc. Items valued using such internally generated valuation techniques are classified according to the lowest level input or value driver that is significant to the valuation. Thus, an item may be classified in Level 3 even though there may be some significant inputs that are readily observable.

The following section describes the valuation methodologies used by the Company to measure various financial instruments at fair value, including an indication of the level in the fair-value hierarchy in which each instrument is generally classified:

Securities trading. Trading securities are recorded at fair value. The trading portfolio consists of two different issues of floating-rate debt securities collateralized by pools of bank trust preferred securities. Recent liquidity and economic uncertainty have made the market for collateralized debt obligations less active or inactive. As quoted market prices are not available, the Level 3 fair values for these securities are determined by the Company utilizing industry-standard tools to calculate the net present value of the expected cash flows available to the securities from the underlying assets. The Company s expected cash flows are calculated for each security and include the impact of actual and forecasted bank defaults within each collateral pool as well as structural features of the security s tranche such as lock outs, subordination and overcollateralization. The forecast of underlying bank defaults in each pool is based upon a quarterly financial update including the trend in non-performing assets, the allowance for loan loss and the underlying bank s capital ratios. Also a factor is the Company s loan loss experience in the local economy in which the bank operates. At September 30, 2010, the Company s forecast of cash flows for both securities includes actual and forecasted defaults totaling $37.6 \%$ of all banks in the collateral pools, compared to $21.2 \%$ of the banks actually in default as of September 30, 2010. The expected cash flows reflect the Company $s$ best estimate of all pool losses which are then applied to the overcollateralization reserve and the subordinated tranches to determine the cash flows. The Company selects a discount rate margin based upon the spread between U.S. Treasury rates and the market rates for active credit grades for financial companies. The discount margin when added to the U.S. Treasury rate determines the discount rate, reflecting primarily market liquidity and interest rate risk since expected credit loss is included in the cash flows. At September 30, 2010, the Company used a weighted average discount margin of 386 basis points above U.S. Treasury rates to calculate the net present value of the expected cash flows and the fair value of its trading securities.
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The Level 3 fair values determined by the Company for its trading securities rely heavily on management $s$ assumptions as to the future credit performance of the collateral banks, the impact of the global and regional recession, the timing of forecasted defaults and the discount rate applied to cash flows. The fair value of the trading securities at September 30, 2010 is sensitive to an increase or decrease in the discount rate. An increase in the discount margin of 100 basis points would have reduced the total fair value of the trading securities and decreased net income before income tax by $\$ 575$. A decrease in the discount margin of 100 basis points would have increased the total fair value of the trading securities and increased net income before income tax by $\$ 690$.
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Securities available for sale and held to maturity. Available for sale securities are recorded at fair value and consist of residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) and debt securities issued by U.S. agencies as well as RMBS issued by non-agencies. Held to maturity securities are recorded at amortized cost and consist of RMBS issued by U.S. agencies as well as RMBS issued by non-agencies. Fair value for U.S. agency securities is generally based on quoted market prices of similar securities used to form a dealer quote or a pricing matrix. There continues to be significant illiquidity in the market for RMBS issued by non-agencies, impacting the availability and reliability of transparent pricing. As orderly quoted market prices are not available, the Level 3 fair values for these securities are determined by the Company utilizing industry-standard tools to calculate the net present value of the expected cash flows available to the securities from the underlying mortgage assets. The Company computes Level 3 fair values for each non-agency RMBS in the same manner (as described below) whether available for sale or held to maturity.

To determine the performance of the underlying mortgage loan pools, the Company estimates prepayments, defaults, and loss severities based on a number of macroeconomic factors, including housing price changes, unemployment rates, interest rates and borrower attributes such as credit score and loan documentation at the time of origination. The Company inputs for each security a projection of monthly default rates, loss severity rates and voluntary prepayment rates for the underlying mortgages for the remaining life of the security to determine the expected cash flows. The projections of default rates are derived by the Company from the historic default rate observed in the pool of loans collateralizing the security, increased by and decreased by the forecasted increase or decrease in the national unemployment rate. The projections of loss severity rates are derived by the Company from the historic loss severity rate observed in the pool of loans, increased by (and decreased by) the forecasted decrease or increase in the national home price appreciation (HPA) index. The largest factor influencing the Company s modeling of the monthly default rate is unemployment. The most updated national unemployment rate announced prior to the end of the period covered by this report (reported in August 2010) was $9.6 \%$, down from the high of $10.1 \%$ in October 2009. Consensus estimates for unemployment are that the rate will continue to decline. Going forward, the Company is projecting lower monthly default rates.

To determine the discount rates used to compute the present value of the expected cash flows for these non-agency RMBS securities, the Company separates the securities by the borrower characteristics in the underlying pool. Specifically, prime securities generally have borrowers with higher FICO scores and better documentation of income. Alt-A securities generally have borrowers with a little lower FICO and a little less documentation of income. Pay-option ARMs are Alt-A securities with borrowers that tend to pay the least amount of principal (or increase their loan balance through negative amortization). The Company calculates separate discount rates for prime, Alt-A and Pay-option ARM non-agency RMBS securities using market-participant assumptions for risk, capital and return on equity. The range of annual default rates used in the Company s projections at September 30, 2010 are from $0.4 \%$ up to $48.0 \%$ with prime securities tending toward the lower end of the range and Alt-A and Pay-option ARMs tending toward the higher end of the range. The range of loss severity rates applied to each default used in the Company s projections at September 30, 2010 are from $25.0 \%$ up to $70.0 \%$ based upon individual bond historical performance. The default rates and the severities are projected for every non-agency RMBS security held by the Company and will vary monthly based upon the actual performance of the security and the macroeconomic factors discussed above. The Company applies its discount rates to the projected monthly cash flows which already reflect the full impact of all forecasted losses using the assumptions described above. When calculating present value of the expected cash flows at September 30, 2010, the Company computed its discount rates as a spread between 223 and 391 basis points over the LIBOR Index using the LIBOR forward curve with prime securities tending toward the lower end of the range and Alt-A and Pay-option ARMs tending toward the higher end of the range.

Loans Held for Sale. The fair value of loans held for sale are determined, when possible, by using quoted secondary-market prices or by existing forward sales commitment prices with investors.

Impaired Loans. The fair value of impaired loans with specific write-offs or allocations of the allowance are generally based on recent real estate appraisals or other third-party valuations and analysis of cash flows. These appraisals and analyses may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and income approaches. Adjustments are routinely made in the process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are typically significant and result in a Level 3 classification for the inputs for determining fair value.

Other Real Estate Owned. Nonrecurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as other real estate owned (OREO) are measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals of the property, resulting in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized.

Mortgage Banking Derivatives. The fair value for mortgage banking derivatives are either based upon prices in active markets for identical securities or based on quoted market prices of similar assets used to form a dealer quote or a pricing matrix.
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The following table sets forth the Company s financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2010 and June 30, 2010. Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement:

|  | Quoted Prices in Active <br> Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant  <br> Other Significant <br> Observable Unobservable <br> Inputs Inputs <br> (Level 2) (Level 3) <br> (Dollars  <br> in  <br> thousands)  <br> September 30, 2010  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities Trading: Collateralized Debt Obligations | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | 4,441 |  | 4,441 |
| Securities Available for Sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency Debt | \$ |  | \$ 50,984 | \$ |  |  | 50,984 |
| Agency RMBS |  |  | 71,857 |  |  |  | 71,857 |
| Non-Agency RMBS |  |  |  |  | 117,885 |  | 117,885 |
| Total Securities Available for Sale | \$ |  | 122,841 | \$ | 117,885 |  | 240,726 |
| Loans Held for Sale | \$ |  | \$ 17,648 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Other assets Derivative instruments | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | 140 |  | 140 |
|  | June 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities Trading: Collateralized Debt Obligations | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | 4,402 |  | 4,402 |
| Securities Available for Sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency Debt | \$ |  | \$ 60,965 | \$ |  |  | 60,965 |
| Agency RMBS |  |  | 58,279 |  |  |  | 58,279 |
| Non-Agency RMBS |  |  |  |  | 123,186 |  | 123,186 |
| Total Securities Available for Sale | \$ |  | \$ 119,244 | \$ | 123,186 |  | 242,430 |
| Other assets Derivative instruments | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
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The following table presents additional information about assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value:

|  | Trading <br> Securities |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other debt securities: |  |
| Non-Agency |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  | | Available for <br> Rale Securities |
| :---: |
| Assets: |

Impaired loans measured for impairment on a non-recurring basis using the fair value of the collateral for collateral-dependent loans have a carrying amount of $\$ 9,322$ after a write-off of $\$ 1,573$ at September 30, 2010, resulting in an additional provision for loan losses of $\$ 605$ during the three months ended September 30, 2010. At September 30, 2009, our collateral-dependent loans had a carrying amount of $\$ 4,600$ after a write-off of $\$ 779$, resulting in additional provision for loan losses of $\$ 313$.

Other real estate owned which is measured at the lower of carrying or fair value less costs to sell, had a net carrying amount of $\$ 2,738$, after a valuation allowance of $\$ 413$ at September 30, 2010 and an expense of $\$ 50$ for the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Held to maturity securities measured for impairment on a non-recurring basis had a carrying amount of $\$ 71,775$ at September 30, 2010, after a charge to income of $\$ 424$ and charges to other comprehensive income of $\$ 2,682$ during the three months ended September 30, 2010. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, our non-recurring basis had a carrying amount of $\$ 43,484$, after a charge to income of $\$ 1,390$ and recoveries from other comprehensive income of $\$ 21$. These held to maturity securities are valued using Level 3 inputs.
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## Fair value of Financial Instruments

Carrying amount and estimated fair values of financial instruments at period-end were as follows:


Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, interest bearing deposits, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short-term debt, and variable rate loans or deposits that reprice frequently and fully. For fixed rate loans or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk. Fair value of loans held for sale is based on market quotes. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. The fair value of off-balance sheet items is not considered material.

## 4. SECURITIES

The amortized cost, carrying amount and fair value for the major categories of securities trading, available for sale, and held to maturity at September 30, 2010 and June 30, 2010 were:
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|  | June 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) : Jun 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. agencies ${ }^{1}$ | \$ | \$ 56,933 | \$ | 1,346 | \$ |  | \$ | 58,279 | \$ 35,317 | \$ | 528 | \$ | (229) | \$ | 35,616 |
| Non-agency ${ }^{2}$ |  | 109,659 |  | 13,527 |  |  |  | 123,186 | 285,490 |  | 16,222 |  | $(10,461)$ |  | 291,251 |
| Total mortgage-backed securities |  | 166,592 |  | 14,873 |  |  |  | 181,465 | 320,807 |  | 16,750 |  | $(10,690)$ |  | 326,867 |
| Other debt securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. agencies ${ }^{1}$ |  | 60,966 |  | 2 |  | (3) |  | 60,965 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-agency | 4,402 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total other debt securities | 4,402 | 60,966 |  | 2 |  | (3) |  | 60,965 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total debt securities | \$4,402 | \$ 227,558 | \$ | 14,875 | \$ | (3) | \$ | 242,430 | \$ 320,807 | \$ | 16,750 | \$ | $(10,690)$ | \$ | 326,867 |

1 U.S. government-backed or government sponsored enterprises including Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae.
2 Private sponsors of securities collateralized primarily by pools of 1-4 family residential first mortgages . Primarily supersenior securities secured by prime, Alt-A or pay-option ARM mortgages.
The Company s non-agency RMBS available for sale portfolio with a total fair value of $\$ 117,885$ at September 30 , 2010 consists of 32 different issues of super senior securities with a fair value of $\$ 79,874$; two senior structured whole loan securities with a fair value of $\$ 36,959$ and nine mezzanine z-tranche securities with a fair value of $\$ 1,052$ collateralized by seasoned prime and Alt-A first-lien mortgages. The Company acquired its mezzanine z-tranche securities in fiscal 2009 and accounts for them by measuring the excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the purchase price (accretable yield) and recognizes interest income over the remaining life of the security.

The non-agency RMBS held-to-maturity portfolio with a carrying value of $\$ 272,698$ at September 30, 2010 consists of 82 different issues of super senior securities totaling $\$ 267,963$, one senior-support security with a carrying value of $\$ 3,546$ and one other security with a carrying value of $\$ 1,189$. Debt securities with evidence of credit quality deterioration since issuance and for which it is probable at purchase that the Company will be unable to collect all of the par value of the security are accounted for under ASC Topic 310, Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer (ASC Topic 310). Under ASC Topic 310, the excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the purchase price is referred to as the accretable yield and is recognized in interest income over the remaining life of the security. The Company has one senior support security that it acquired at a significant discount that evidenced credit deterioration at acquisition and is accounted for under ASC Topic 310. For a cost of $\$ 17,740$, the Company acquired the senior support security with a contractual par value of $\$ 30,560$ and accretable and non-accretable discounts that were projected to be $\$ 9,015$ and $\$ 3,805$, respectively. Since acquisition, repayments from the security have been received more rapidly than projected at acquisition, but expected total payments have declined, resulting in a determination that the security
was other than temporarily impaired and the recognition of a $\$ 1,216$ impairment loss during fiscal 2009 and $\$ 5,114$ during fiscal 2010. For the three months ended September 30, 2010 the security had not experienced additional impairments. The current face amounts of debt securities available for sale and held to maturity that were pledged to secure borrowings at September 30, 2010 and June 30, 2010 were $\$ 509,007$ and $\$ 491,000$ respectively.
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The securities with unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position were as follows:

|  | Available for sale securities in loss position for |  |  |  |  |  |  | Held to maturity securities in loss position for |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less } \\ 12 \\ \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ | Than <br> Months Gross Unrealized Losses | More 12 M <br> Fair Value | e Than <br> Months <br> Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses | To <br> Fair <br> Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { otal } \\ \text { Unr } \\ \text { Unr } \end{gathered}$ | Gross <br> realized <br> Losses Sept (Dollar | Less T $12 \mathrm{Mc}$ <br> Fair <br> Value <br> tember 30, <br> ars in Thou |  | an <br> ths Gross realized Losses 10 nds) |  | Than <br> Months <br> Gross <br> Unrealized Losses | T <br> Fair <br> Value | tal <br> Gross Unrealized Losses |
| RMBS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. agencies | \$ 33,217 | \$ (760) | \$ | \$ \$ | \$ 33,217 | \$ | (760) | \$ 18,414 | \$ | $(1,050)$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 18,414 | \$ (1,050) |
| Non-agency | 131 | (6) |  |  | 131 |  | (6) | 46,368 |  | $(3,753)$ | 76,955 | $(9,660)$ | 123,323 | $(13,413)$ |
| Total RMBS securities | 33,348 | (766) |  |  | 33,348 |  | (766) | 64,782 |  | $(4,803)$ | 76,955 | $(9,660)$ | 141,737 | $(14,463)$ |
| Other Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. agencies | 50,984 | (1) |  |  | 50,984 |  | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Other Debt | 50,984 | (1) |  |  | 50,984 |  | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total debt securities | \$ 84,332 | \$ (767) | \$ | \$ \$ | \$ 84,332 | \$ | (767) | \$ 64,782 |  | $(4,803)$ | \$ 76,955 | \$ $(9,660)$ | \$ 141,737 | \$ (14,463) |


|  | June 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RMBS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. agencies | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ 20,200 | \$ | (229) | \$ | \$ |  | \$ 20,200 | \$ | (229) |
| Non-agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63,867 |  | $(2,771)$ | 75,558 |  | $(7,690)$ | 139,425 |  | $(10,461)$ |
| Total RMBS securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84,067 |  | $(3,000)$ | 75,558 |  | $(7,690)$ | 159,625 |  | $(10,690)$ |
| Other Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. agencies | 35,968 |  | (3) |  |  | 35,968 |  | (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Other Debt | 35,968 |  | (3) |  |  | 35,968 |  | (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total debt securities | \$ 35,968 | \$ | (3) | \$ | \$ | \$ 35,968 | \$ | (3) | \$ 84,067 | \$ | $(3,000)$ | \$75,558 | \$ | $(7,690)$ | \$ 159,625 |  | $(10,690)$ |

There were 16 securities that were in a continuous loss position at September 30,2010 for a period of more than 12 months. There were 14 securities that were in a continuous loss position at June 30, 2010 for a period of more than 12 months.

The following table summarizes amounts of anticipated credit loss recognized in the income statement through other-than-temporary impairment charges which reduced non-interest income:
Three Months
Ended
September 30,
2010 2009
(Dollars in
thousands)

# Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q 

| Beginning balance | \$ $(7,492)$ | \$ $(1,454)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additions for the amounts related to credit loss for which an other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized | (387) | (104) |
| Increases to the amount related to the credit loss for which other-than-temporary was previously recognized | (43) | $(1,265)$ |
| Ending balance | \$ $(7,922)$ | \$ $(2,823)$ |

At September 30, 2010, 22 non-agency RMBS with a total carrying amount of $\$ 71,775$ were determined to have cumulative credit losses of $\$ 7,922$ of which $\$ 1,454$ was recognized in earnings during fiscal 2009 and $\$ 6,038$ was recognized in earnings during fiscal 2010. An additional $\$ 430$ was recognized in earnings during the first quarter ending September 30, 2010. This quarter s other-than-temporary impairment of $\$ 430$ is related to 8 non-agency RMBS with a total carrying amount of $\$ 27,039$. In accordance with ASC Topic 320-10-65-65.1, the Company measures its non-agency RMBS in an unrecognized loss position at the end of the reporting period for other-than-temporary impairment by comparing the present value of the cash flows currently expected to be collected from the security with its amortized cost basis. If the calculated present value is lower than the amortized cost, the difference is the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment of its debt securities. The excess of present value over the fair value of the security (if any) is the noncredit component only if the Company does not intend to sell the security and will not be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. The credit component of the other-than-temporary-impairment is recorded as a loss in earnings and the noncredit component as a charge to other comprehensive income, net of the related income tax benefit.
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To determine the cash flow expected to be collected and to calculate the present value for purposes of testing for other-than -temporary impairment, the Company utilizes the same industry-standard tool and the same cash flows as those calculated for Level 3 fair values as discussed in footnote 2. The Company computes cash flows based upon the cash flows from underlying mortgage loan pools. The Company estimates prepayments, defaults, and loss severities based on a number of macroeconomic factors, including housing price changes, unemployment rates, interest rates and borrower attributes such as credit score and loan documentation at the time of origination. The Company inputs for each security a projection of monthly default rates, loss severity rates and voluntary prepayment rates for the underlying mortgages for the remaining life of the security to determine the expected cash flows. The projections of default rates are derived by the Company from the historic default rate observed in the pool of loans collateralizing the security, increased by (and decreased by) the forecasted increase or decrease in the national unemployment rate. The projections of loss severity rates are derived by the Company from the historic loss severity rate observed in the pool of loans, increased by (and decreased by) the forecasted decrease or increase in the national home price appreciation (HPA) index. The largest factor influencing the Company s modeling of the monthly default rate is unemployment. The most updated unemployment rate announced prior to the end of the period covered by this report (reported in August 2010) was $9.6 \%$, down from the high of $10.1 \%$ in October 2009. Consensus estimates for unemployment are that the rate will continue to decline. Going forward, the Company is projecting lower monthly default rates.

In accordance with ASC Topic 320-10-65-65.1, the discount rates used to compute the present value of the expected cash flows for purposes of testing for the credit component of the other-than-temporary impairment are either the implicit rate calculated in each of the Company s securities at acquisition (as prescribed by ASC Topic 310 Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan) or the last accounting yield (as prescribed in ASC Topic 325-40). For securities recorded under ASC Topic 320, the Company calculates the implicit rate at acquisition based on the contractual terms of the security, considering scheduled payments (and minimum payments in the case of pay-option ARMs) without prepayment assumptions. Once the discount rate (or discount margin in the case of floating rate securities) is calculated as described above, the discount is used in the industry-standard model to calculate the present value of the cash flows.

There were no gross gains and losses realized through earnings upon the sale of available for sale securities for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

The Company had recorded unrealized gains and unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive income as follows:

|  | September 30, 2010 <br> (Dollars in | June 30, 2010 ousands) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available for sale debt securities net unrealized gains | \$ 13,635 | \$ 14,872 |
| Held to maturity debt securities other-than-temporary impairment loss | $(10,819)$ | $(8,135)$ |
| Subtotal | 2,816 | 6,737 |
| Tax expense | $(1,125)$ | $(2,694)$ |
| Net unrealized gain on investment securities in accumulated other comprehensive income | \$ 1,691 | \$ 4,043 |
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The expected maturity distribution of the Company s mortgage-backed securities and the contractual maturity distribution of the Company sother debt securities classified as available for sale and held to maturity at September 30, 2010 were:

|  | September 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Available for sale |  | Held to maturity |  | Trading |
|  | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Carrying <br> Amount | Fair <br> Value | Fair Value |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| RMBS U.S. agencies: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year | \$ 22,431 | \$ 22,476 | \$ 9,541 | \$ 7,491 | \$ |
| Due one to five years | 26,778 | 26,720 | 14,133 | 12,846 |  |
| Due five to ten years | 11,584 | 12,881 | 4,257 | 6,605 |  |
| Due after ten years | 10,841 | 9,780 | 4,340 | 4,760 |  |
| Total RMBS U.S. agencies | 71,634 | 71,857 | 32,271 | 31,702 |  |
| RMBS Non-agency: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year | 21,907 | 24,223 | 40,670 | 41,930 |  |
| Due one to five years | 53,386 | 59,082 | 75,773 | 79,333 |  |
| Due five to ten years | 16,916 | 19,425 | 42,250 | 41,858 |  |
| Due after ten years | 12,263 | 15,155 | 114,005 | 108,951 | 4,441 |
| Total RMBS Non-agency | 104,472 | 117,885 | 272,698 | 272,072 | 4,441 |
| Other debt: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year | 50,985 | 50,984 |  |  |  |
| Due one to five years |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due five to ten years |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due after ten years |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total other debt | 50,985 | 50,984 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ 227,091 | \$ 240,726 | \$ 304,969 | \$ 303,774 | \$ 4,441 |

[^0]
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## 5. LOANS \& ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSS

The following table sets forth the composition of the loan portfolio as of the dates indicated:
$\left.\begin{array}{l|r} & \begin{array}{c}\text { September 30, } \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 0} \\ \text { (Dollars in Thousands) }\end{array} \\ \text { June 30, } \\ \text { 2010 }\end{array}\right\}$

Allowance for Loan Loss. The Company s goals is to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level that is considered to be commensurate with estimated probable incurred credit losses in the portfolio. Although the adequacy of the allowance is reviewed quarterly, management performs an ongoing assessment of the risks inherent in the portfolio. While the Company believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate at September 30, 2010, future additions to the allowance will be subject to continuing evaluation of estimated and known, as well as inherent, risks in the loan portfolio.

The assessment of the adequacy of the Company s allowance for loan losses is based upon a number of quantitative and qualitative factors, including levels and trends of past due and nonaccrual loans, change in volume and mix of loans, collateral values and charge-off history.

The Company provides general loan loss reserves for its RV and auto loans based upon the borrower credit score at the time of origination and the Company s loss experience to date. The Company provides general loan loss reserves for mortgage loans based upon the size and type of the mortgage loan and the loan-to-value ratio.
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The following table summarizes activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended September 30, 2010:

|  | Single <br> Family | Home Equity | Multifamily | Con | ercial <br> al <br> ate <br> Tho | Rec | ational icles nd tos |  | her |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at July 1, 2010 | \$ 1,721 | \$ 205 | \$ 1,860 | \$ | 213 | \$ | 1,859 | \$ | 35 |  | 5,893 |
| Provision for loan loss | 692 | 20 | 299 |  | (22) |  | 585 |  | 26 |  | 1,600 |
| Charge-offs | (315) | (42) | (290) |  |  |  | (616) |  | (27) |  | $(1,290)$ |
| Recoveries |  |  | 223 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 223 |
| Balance at September 30, 2010 | \$ 2,098 | \$ 183 | \$ 2,092 | \$ | 191 | \$ | 1,828 | \$ | 34 |  | 6,426 |

Impaired Loans and Nonperforming Loans. Nonperforming loans consisted of the following at:

|  | September 30, 2010 <br> (Dollars in | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2010 \\ \text { usands) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonaccrual loans: |  |  |
| Loans secured by real estate: |  |  |
| Single family | \$ 6,025 | \$ 5,841 |
| Home equity loans | 143 | 87 |
| Multifamily | 7,354 | 4,675 |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Total nonaccrual loans secured by real estate | 13,522 | 10,603 |
| RV/Auto | 936 | 1,084 |
| Other |  | 16 |
| Total nonperforming loans | \$ 14,458 | \$ 11,703 |
| Nonperforming loans to total loans | 1.62\% | 1.48\% |
| Nonperforming loans totaled $\$ 14,458$ and $\$ 11,703$ at September 30, 2010 and June 30, 2010, respectively. The average balances of nonperforming loans were $\$ 12,823$ and $\$ 3,698$ for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. All nonperforming loans were on non-accrual and no interest income was recognized on these loans during the three month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. |  |  |

Approximately $21 \%$ and $27 \%$ of our non-performing loans at September 30, 2010 and June 30, 2010, respectively, were considered troubled debt restructurings (TDRs). Certain TDRs are considered non-performing for at least six months. Generally, after six months of timely payments, those TDRs loans are removed from the non-performing loan category and any previously deferred interest income is recognized. From time to time the Bank modifies loan terms temporarily for borrowers who are experiencing financial stress. These loans are performing and accruing and will generally return to the original loan terms after the modification term expires. During the temporary period of modification, the Bank classifies these loans as performing TDRs that consisted of the following at:

| September 30, | June 30 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 2010 |

(Dollars in Thousands)

| Performing mortgage loans temporarily modified as TDR | $\$ 1,453$ | $\$ 1,133$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Performing RV loans temporarily modified as TDR | 3,330 | 2,907 |
| Total performing loans temporarily modified as TDR | $\$ 4,783$ | $\$ 4,040$ |
| Performing loan TDRs and non performing loans Impaired loans | $\$ 19,241$ | $\$ 15,743$ |

Interest recognized on performing loans temporarily modified as TDRs was $\$ 84$ and $\$ 69$ for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The average balances of performing loan TDRs and non performing loans was $\$ 18$ and $\$ 7$ for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## 6. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has two stock incentive plans, the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan ( 2004 Plan ) and the 1999 Stock Option Plan ( 1999 Plan ), which provide for the granting of non-qualified and incentive stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights and other awards to employees, directors and consultants.
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1999 Stock Option Plan. In July 1999, the Company s Board of Directors approved the 1999 Stock Option Plan and in August 2001, the Company s shareholders approved an amendment to the 1999 Plan such that $15 \%$ of the outstanding shares of the Company would always be available for grants under the 1999 Plan. The 1999 Plan is designed to encourage selected employees and directors to improve operations and increase profits, to accept or continue employment or association with the Company through participation in the growth in the value of the common stock. The 1999 Plan requires that option exercise prices be not less than fair market value per share of common stock on the option grant date for incentive and nonqualified options. The options issued under the 1999 Plan generally vest in between three and five years. Option expiration dates are established by the plan administrator but may not be later than 10 years after the date of the grant.

In November 2007, the shareholders of the Company approved the termination of the 1999 Plan. No new option awards will be made under the 1999 Plan and the outstanding awards under the 1999 Plan will continue to be subject to the terms and conditions of the 1999 Plan.

2004 Stock Incentive Plan. In October 2004, the Company s Board of Directors and the stockholders approved the 2004 Plan. In November 2007, the 2004 Plan was amended and approved by the Company s stockholders. The maximum number of shares of common stock available for issuance under the 2004 Plan is $14.8 \%$ of the Company s outstanding common stock measured from time to time. In addition, the number of shares of the Company s common stock reserved for issuance will also automatically increase by an additional $1.5 \%$ on the first day of each of four fiscal years starting July 1, 2007. At September 30, 2010, there were a maximum of 2,030,200 shares available for issuance under the limits of the 2004 Plan.

Stock Options. Prior to July 1, 2005, the Company accounted for the Plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of ASC Topic 718. No stock option compensation cost was recognized in the income statements as all options granted had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the grant date.

The Company s income before income taxes and net income for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 included stock option compensation cost of $\$ 3$ and $\$ 18$, respectively. The total income tax benefit was $\$ 1$ and $\$ 7$ for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 , respectively. At September 30, 2010, expense related to stock option grants has been fully recognized.

A summary of stock option activity under the Plans during the period July 1, 2009 to September 30, 2010 is presented below:

|  | Number of Shares | Weighted-average Exercise Price Per Share |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding July 1, 2009 | 760,371 | S | 7.32 |
| Granted |  | \$ |  |
| Exercised | $(266,708)$ | \$ | 6.70 |
| Converted | $(97,482)$ | \$ | 4.19 |
| Cancelled | (261) | \$ | 7.35 |
| Outstanding June 30, 2010 | 395,920 | \$ | 8.52 |
| Granted |  |  |  |
| Exercised | $(16,888)$ | \$ | 7.33 |
| Cancelled | (6) | \$ | 7.35 |
| Outstanding September 30, 2010 | 379,026 | \$ | 8.57 |
| Options exercisable June 30, 2009 | 394,883 | \$ | 8.52 |
| Options exercisable September 30, 2010 | 379,026 | \$ | 8.57 |
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The following table summarizes information as of September 30, 2010 concerning currently outstanding and exercisable options:

|  | Options Outstanding | Weighted- Average <br> Remaining | Options Exercisable <br> Exercise <br> Prices | Number <br> Outstanding | 42,982 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and options exercisable under the Plans at September 30, 2010 were $\$ 1,252$ and $\$ 1,252$, respectively.

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units. Under the 2004 Plan, employees and directors are eligible to receive grants of restricted stock and restricted stock units. The Company determines stock-based compensation expense using the fair value method. The fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock units is equal to the closing sale price of the Company s common stock on the date of grant.

During the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company granted 332,457 and 36,340 restricted stock units respectively, to employees and directors. Restricted stock unit ( RSU ) awards granted during these quarters vest over three years, one-third on each anniversary date, except the 240,000 units granted to our CEO in the quarter ended September 30, 2010, which vest one-third on each fiscal year end.

The Company s income before income taxes and net income for the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 included stock award expense of $\$ 377$ and $\$ 114$, respectively. The income tax benefit was $\$ 149$ and $\$ 47$, respectively. The Company recognizes compensation expense based upon the grant-date fair value divided by the vesting and the service period between each vesting date. At September 30, 2010, unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested awards aggregated to $\$ 4,682$ and is expected to be recognized in future periods as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{lrr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Stock Award } \\ \text { Compensation } \\ \text { Expense }\end{array} \\ \text { (Dollars in Thousands) }\end{array}\right\}$

## Table of Contents

The following table presents the status and changes in restricted stock grants from July 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010:

|  | Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Shares | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-vested balance at July 1, 2009 | 153,104 | \$ | 6.49 |
| Granted | 151,018 | \$ | 7.91 |
| Vested | $(104,974)$ | \$ | 7.09 |
| Cancelled |  | \$ |  |
| Non-vested balance at June 30, 2010 | 199,148 | \$ | 7.88 |
| Granted | 332,457 | \$ | 11.38 |
| Vested | $(25,537)$ | \$ | 7.22 |
| Cancelled | $(8,069)$ | \$ | 10.39 |
| Non-vested balance at September 30,2010 | 497,999 | \$ | 10.21 |

The total fair value of shares vested during the quarter ended September 30, 2010 was $\$ 308$.
2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. In October 2004, the Company s Board of Directors and stockholders approved the 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which is intended to qualify as an Employee Stock Purchase Plan under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code. An aggregate of 500,000 shares of the Company s common stock has been reserved for issuance and will be available for purchase under the 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. At September 30, 2010, there have been no shares issued under the 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

## 7. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Effective July 1, 2009, the Company implemented new guidance impacting ASC Topic 260, Earnings Per Share, which clarifies that unvested stock-based compensation awards containing non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (collectively, dividends ) are participating securities and should be included in the EPS calculation using the two-class method. The Company grants restricted stock and RSUs to certain directors and employees under its Plans, which entitle the recipients to receive non-forfeitable dividends during the vesting period on a basis equivalent to the dividends paid to holders of common stock. These unvested awards meet the FSP s definition of participating securities. Under the two class method, all earnings (distributed and undistributed) are allocated to each class of common stock and participating securities, based on their respective rights to receive dividends. EPS data for the prior periods were revised as required by the new guidance.
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The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted EPS:


Options to acquire zero and 481,761 shares for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were not included in determining diluted earnings per share, as they were anti-dilutive.
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## 8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Credit-Related Financial Instruments. The Company is a party to credit-related financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments are commitments to extend credit. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets.

The Company s exposure to credit loss is represented by the contractual amount of these commitments. The Company follows the same credit policies in making commitments as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

At September 30, 2010, the Company had commitments to originate loans of $\$ 42.8$ million. At September 30, 2010, the Company also had commitments to sell loans of $\$ 54.1$ million. At September 30, 2010, $86.1 \%$ of the commitments to originate loans are matched with commitments to sell related to conforming single family loans classified as held for sale.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer so long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. The commitments for equity lines of credit may expire without being drawn upon. Therefore, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The amount of collateral obtained, if it is deemed necessary by the Company, is based on management $s$ credit evaluation of the customer.

## 9. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In the ordinary course of business, the Company has granted related party loans collateralized by real property to officers, directors and their affiliates. There was one refinance of an existing related party loan granted under the provisions of the employee loan program during the three months ended September 30, 2010, totaling $\$ 1,870$ and three new loans granted during the three months ended September 30, 2009 totaling \$2,071.
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#### Abstract

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS The following discussion provides information about the results of operations, financial condition, liquidity, off balance sheet items, contractual obligations and capital resources of BofI Holding, Inc. and subsidiary. This information is intended to facilitate the understanding and assessment of significant changes and trends related to our financial condition and the results of our operations. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our financial information in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2010, and the interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in this report.


Some matters discussed in this report may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ), and as such, may involve risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of terminology such as estimate, project, anticipate, expect, intend, believe, will, or the negative thereof or other thereon or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements relate to, among other things, expectations of the environment in which the Company operates and projections of future performance. Forward-looking statements are inherently unreliable and actual results may vary. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from these forward-looking statements include economic conditions, changes in the interest rate environment, changes in the competitive marketplace, risks associated with credit quality and other risk factors summarized in Part II, Item 1A under the heading Risk Factors in this report, and discussed in greater detail under the heading Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Factors That May Affect Our Performance in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2010, which has been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. All written and oral forward-looking statements made in connection with this report, which are attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the foregoing information.

## General

Our company, BofI Holding, Inc., is the holding company for Bank of Internet USA, a consumer-focused, nationwide savings bank operating primarily over the Internet. We offer loans and deposits in all 50 states to our customers directly through our websites, including www.BankofInternet.com, www.BofI.com, and www.Apartmentbank.com. We are a unitary savings and loan holding company and, along with Bank of Internet USA, are subject to primary federal regulation by the Office of Thrift Supervision, or OTS .

Using online applications on our websites, our customers apply for deposit products, including time deposits, interest-bearing demand accounts (including interest-bearing checking accounts) and savings accounts (including money market savings accounts). We originate small- to medium-size multifamily and single-family mortgage loans and secured consumer loans, primarily home equity and vehicle loans. More recently, we increased our efforts to purchase single family and multifamily loans. We also purchase mortgage-backed securities. We manage our cash and cash equivalents based upon our need for liquidity, and we seek to minimize the assets we hold as cash and cash equivalents by investing our excess liquidity in higher yielding assets such as mortgage loans or mortgage-backed securities.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make a number of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and assumptions based upon historical experience and various factors and circumstances. We believe that our estimates and assumptions are reasonable under the circumstances. However, actual results may differ significantly from these estimates and assumptions that could have a material effect on the carrying value of assets and liabilities at the balance sheet dates and our results of operations for the reporting periods.

Our significant accounting policies and practices are described in greater detail in Note 1 to our June 30, 2010 audited consolidated financial statements and under the caption Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
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## SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following tables set forth certain selected financial data concerning the periods indicated:

## BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## (Dollars in thousands)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected Balance Sheet Data: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | , | 1,517,993 | \$ 1,421,081 | \$ | 1,324,069 |
| Loans net of allowance for loan losses |  | 876,934 | 774,899 |  | 595,140 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 17,648 | 5,511 |  | 3,365 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | 6,426 | 5,893 |  | 5,270 |
| Securities trading |  | 4,441 | 4,402 |  | 5,299 |
| Securities available for sale |  | 240,726 | 242,430 |  | 275,720 |
| Securities held to maturity |  | 304,969 | 320,807 |  | 382,481 |
| Total deposits |  | 991,724 | 968,180 |  | 763,513 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase |  | 130,000 | 130,000 |  | 130,000 |
| Advances from the FHLB |  | 250,000 | 182,999 |  | 225,988 |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 5,155 | 5,155 |  | 105,155 |
| Total stockholders equity |  | 132,767 | 129,808 |  | 93,016 |
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## BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## (Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

|  | At or for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 <br> 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected Income Statement Data: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and dividend income | \$ | 21,089 | \$ | 21,777 |
| Interest expense |  | 8,417 |  | 9,212 |
| Net interest income |  | 12,672 |  | 12,565 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 1,600 |  | 2,000 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses |  | 11,072 |  | 10,565 |
| Non-interest income (loss) |  | 2,122 |  | $(1,009)$ |
| Non-interest expense |  | 5,199 |  | 3,277 |
| Income before income tax expense |  | 7,995 |  | 6,279 |
| Income tax expense |  | 3,163 |  | 2,571 |
| Net income | \$ | 4,832 | \$ | 3,708 |
| Net income attributable to common stock | \$ | 4,755 | \$ | 3,535 |
| Per Share Data: |  |  |  |  |
| Net income: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.45 | \$ | 0.43 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.45 | \$ | 0.41 |
| Book value per common share | \$ | 12.49 | \$ | 10.19 |
| Tangible book value per common share | \$ | 12.49 | \$ | 10.19 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | 563,552 |  | 62,471 |
| Diluted |  | ,660,765 |  | 8,873,191 |
| Common shares outstanding at end of period |  | 222,747 |  | 64,914 |
| Common shares issued at end of period |  | 868,564 |  | 91,068 |
| Performance Ratios and Other Data: |  |  |  |  |
| Loan originations for investment | \$ | 67,020 | \$ | 8,436 |
| Loan originations for sale |  | 60,623 |  | 25,005 |
| Loan purchases |  | 80,669 |  | 1,633 |
| Return on average assets |  | 1.32\% |  | 1.12\% |
| Return on average stockholders equity |  | 14.86\% |  | 17.20\% |
| Interest rate spread ${ }^{1}$ |  | 3.34\% |  | 3.70\% |
| Net interest margin ${ }^{2}$ |  | 3.55\% |  | 3.88\% |
| Efficiency ratio |  | 35.14\% |  | 28.36\% |
| Capital Ratios: |  |  |  |  |
| Equity to assets at end of period |  | 8.75\% |  | 7.03\% |
| Tier 1 leverage (core) capital to adjusted tangible assets ${ }^{3,4}$ |  | 8.57\% |  | 7.10\% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio ${ }^{3,4}$ |  | 13.88\% |  | 11.70\% |
| Total risk-based capital ratio ${ }^{3,4}$ |  | 14.57\% |  | 12.36\% |


| Tangible capital to tangible assets ${ }^{3,4}$ | $8.57 \%$ | $7.10 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Asset Quality Ratios: | $0.62 \%$ | $0.98 \%$ |
| Net annualized charge-offs to average loans outstanding | $1.62 \%$ | $0.76 \%$ |
| Nonperforming loans to total loans | $1.19 \%$ | $0.73 \%$ |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | $0.72 \%$ | $0.87 \%$ |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans at end of period | $44.45 \%$ | $114.57 \%$ |
| Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans |  |  |

1 Interest rate spread represents the difference between the annualized weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities.
2 Net interest margin represents annualized net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.
3 Reflects regulatory capital ratios of Bank of Internet USA only.
4 The Bank s Ratios for Tier 1 Capital to assets, Tier 1 Capital to risk-weighted assets and Total Capital to risk-weighted assets at September 30, 2009 were reduced from $7.20 \%$ to $7.10 \%, 15.51 \%$ to $11.70 \%$ and $16.37 \%$ to $12.36 \%$, respectively to reflect consolidation of the BIRT Re-securitization trust at the Bank level. Previously, the BIRT Re-securitization trust was consolidated into BofI Holding, Inc. parent of the Bank.
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## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009

For the three months ended September 30, 2010, we had net income of $\$ 4,832,000$ compared to net income of $\$ 3,708,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2009. Net income attributable to common stock holders was $\$ 4,755,000$ or $\$ 0.45$ per diluted share compared to net income of $\$ 3,708,000$ or $\$ 0.41$ per diluted share for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Other key comparisons between our operating results for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 are:

Net interest income increased $\$ 107,000$ in the 2010 quarter due to a $10.2 \%$ increase in average earning assets primarily from loan originations and loan pool purchases. Our net interest margin decreased 33 basis points in the quarter ended September 30, 2010 compared to September 30, 2009, as the earning rates on loans decreased 54 basis points and rates on securities decreased 153 basis points offset by a decrease in the rates paid on deposits and borrowings of 46 basis points.

The loan loss provision was $\$ 1,600,000$ for the September 30, 2010 quarter compared to $\$ 2,000,000$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2009. The decrease in the loan loss provision was due primarily to a decrease in recreational vehicle charge-offs this quarter compared to quarter ended September 30, 2009.

Non-interest income increased $\$ 3,131,000$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 compared to the quarter September 30, 2009. The increase in non-interest income was primarily the result of a $\$ 1,000,000$ increase in prepayment penalty income and an increase of gains from mortgage banking sales of $\$ 1,061,000$. In addition, a decrease in impairment charges on investment securities in the 2010 quarter improved non-interest income by $\$ 939,000$ over the 2009 quarter.

Non-interest expense increased $\$ 1,922,000$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to a $\$ 1,413,000$ increase in compensation attributed to increased staffing and restricted stock compensation.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 totaled $\$ 12.7$ million, a $0.9 \%$ increase compared to net interest income of $\$ 12.6$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2009.

Total interest and dividend income during the quarter ended September 30, 2010 decreased $3.2 \%$ to $\$ 21.1$ million, compared to $\$ 21.8$ million during the quarter ended September 30, 2009. The decrease in interest and dividend income for the quarter was attributable primarily to reduction in average earning assets from purchases of investment securities. The average balance of investment securities (primarily mortgage-backed securities) decreased $8.6 \%$ when compared to the three-month period ended September 30, 2009. The decrease in interest income was also the result of lower rates earned on mortgage-backed securities. The loan portfolio yield for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 decreased 54 basis points and the investment security portfolio yield decreased 153 basis points from the prior period. The net growth in average earning assets for the three-month period was funded largely by increased demand and savings accounts. Total interest expense decreased $8.7 \%$ to $\$ 8.4$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 compared with $\$ 9.2$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2009. The average funding rate decreased by 46 basis points while average interest-bearing liabilities grew $7.8 \%$. Contributing to the decrease in the average funding rate were decreases in the average rates for time deposits of 110 basis points and decreases in the average funding rates of demand and savings accounts of 86 basis points, partially offset by an increase in other borrowings of 259 basis points when comparing the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. Net interest margin, defined as net interest income divided by average earning assets, decreased by 33 basis points to $3.55 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, compared with $3.88 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2009.
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## Average Balances, Net Interest Income, Yields Earned and Rates Paid

The following table presents information regarding (i) average balances; (ii) the total amount of interest income from interest-earning assets and the weighted average yields on such assets; (iii) the total amount of interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities and the weighted average rates paid on such liabilities; (iv) net interest income; (v) interest rate spread; and (vi) net interest margin for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:


1 Annualized.
2 Average balances are obtained from daily data.
3 Loans include loans held for sale, loan premiums and unearned fees.
4 Interest income includes reductions for amortization of loan and investment securities premiums and earnings from accretion of discounts and loan fees. Loan fee income is not significant.
5 All investments are taxable.

6 Interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities.
7 Net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.
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## Average Balances, Net Interest Income, Yields Earned and Rates Paid

The following table presents information regarding (i) average balances; (ii) the total amount of interest income from interest-earning assets and the weighted average yields on such assets; (iii) the total amount of interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities and the weighted average rates paid on such liabilities; (iv) net interest income; (v) interest rate spread; and (vi) net interest margin for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:
$\left.\begin{array}{lc|cccc} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { For the Three Months Ended September 30, } \\ \text { 2010 vs 2009 } \\ \text { Increase (Decrease) Due to } \\ \text { (Dollars in thousands) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total Increase } \\ \text { (Decrease) }\end{array} \\ \text { Rate/Volume }\end{array}\right)$

## Provision for Loan Losses

The loan loss provision was $\$ 1,600,000$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, compared to $\$ 2,000,000$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2009. The decreased provision for the 2010 quarter was primarily due to a decrease in charge-offs of RV loans which declined from $\$ 1,154,000$ to $\$ 616,000$ for the quarters ended September 30, 2009 and 2010, respectively. The nationwide decline in housing values and higher unemployment has negatively impacted consumer credit. Provisions for loan losses are charged to income to bring the allowance for loan losses to a level deemed appropriate by management based on the factors discussed under Financial Condition-Asset Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses.
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## Non-Interest Income

The following table sets forth information regarding our non-interest income for the periods shown:
$\left.\begin{array}{l|cc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { For the Three Months Ended } \\ \text { September 30, } \\ \text { 2009 }\end{array} \\ \text { 2010 } \\ \text { (Dollars in Thousands) }\end{array}\right)$

The $\$ 2.1$ million in non-interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily the result of a gain of $\$ 1.4$ million in first mortgages originated for sale and prepayment penalty fee income of $\$ 1.0$ million. The loss for the three months ended September 30, 2009 was primarily the result of a gain of $\$ 332,000$ in first mortgages originated for sale and an OTTI loss of $\$ 1.4$ million. The increase in mortgage banking gains was due to the increase in the origination volume of loans held for sale from $\$ 25.0$ million to $\$ 60.6$ million for the September 30, 2009 and 2010 quarters, respectively. The increase in prepayment penalty income was attributable to one specialty loan which the commercial borrower elected to repay early.

## Non-Interest Expense

The following table sets forth information regarding our non-interest expense for the periods shown:

|  | For the Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries, employee benefits and stock-based compensation | \$ | 2,821 | \$ | 1,408 |
| Professional services |  | 434 |  | 284 |
| Occupancy and equipment |  | 165 |  | 104 |
| Data processing and internet |  | 230 |  | 200 |
| Advertising and promotional |  | 157 |  | 84 |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 75 |  | 54 |
| Real estate owned and repossessed vehicles |  | 213 |  | 302 |
| FDIC and OTS regulatory fees |  | 438 |  | 395 |
| Other general and administrative |  | 666 |  | 446 |
| Total noninterest expenses | \$ | 5,199 | \$ | 3,277 |

Non-interest expense, which is comprised primarily of compensation, data processing and internet expenses, occupancy and other operating expenses, was $\$ 5.2$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, up from $\$ 3.3$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2009.
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Total salaries, benefits and stock-based compensation increased $\$ 1,413,000$ to $\$ 2,821,000$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 compared to $\$ 1,408,000$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2009. Total compensation increased primarily due to growth in the lending business. The Bank s staff increased from 61 to 117 full-time equivalents between September 30, 2009 and 2010.

Professional services, which include accounting and legal fees, increased $\$ 150,000$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 over the prior quarter. The increase in professional services for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to legal fees related to structured settlement contracts and foreclosed assets.

Advertising and promotional expense increased $\$ 73,000$ for the three-month periods ending September 30, 2010, compared to the same periods ended September 30, 2009. This was primarily due to increases in lead acquisitions for our single family loan origination program and in advertising for our multifamily origination program.

Data processing and internet expense increased $\$ 30,000$ for the three-month period ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to a fluctuation in the number of customer accounts and fees for special enhancements to the Bank s core processing system.

The costs and losses associated with the upkeep and disposal of the real estate owned property ( REOs ) and repossessed RV s decreased $\$ 89,000$ for the three-month period ending September 30, 2010 compared to the three-months ended September 30, 2009. This was due to decreases in property taxes and maintenance expenses and write-downs of the REOs.

The cost of our FDIC and OTS standard regulatory charges increased $\$ 43,000$ for the three-month period ending September 30, 2010, compared to the three month period ended September 30, 2009. This was due to higher average deposit and borrowing balances and higher assessment rates for the period ended September 30, 2010. As an FDIC-insured institution, the Bank is required to pay deposit insurance premiums to the FDIC.

Other general and administrative expense increased $\$ 220,000$ during the quarter ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009, primarily due to an increase in deposit, loan and other general expenses related to the increase in deposit and loan volume and the number of employees.

## Provision for Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rates (income tax provision divided by net income before income tax) for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were $39.56 \%$ and $40.95 \%$, respectively.

## FINANCIAL CONDITION

## Balance Sheet Analysis

Our total assets increased $\$ 96.9$ million, or $6.8 \%$, to $\$ 1,518.0$ million, as of September 30, 2010, up from $\$ 1,421.1$ million at June 30, 2010. The increase in total assets was primarily due to an increase of $\$ 102.0$ million in loans held for investment offset by a decrease of $\$ 17.5$ million in investment securities. Total liabilities increased a total of $\$ 94.0$ million, primarily due to an increase in deposits of $\$ 23.5$ million and an increase in borrowings of $\$ 67.0$ million in borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco.

## Loans

Net loans held for investment increased to $\$ 876.9$ million at September 30, 2010 from $\$ 774.9$ million at June 30, 2010. The increase in the loan portfolio was due to loan originations and purchases of $\$ 149.3$ million, offset by loan repayments of $\$ 42.6$ million, transfers to foreclosed real estate of $\$ 2.1$ million, increased discount of $\$ 834,000$ and a net increase in the allowance of $\$ 533,000$ during the three months ended September 30, 2010.
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The following table sets forth the composition of the loan portfolio as of the dates indicated:

|  | September 30, 2010 <br> (Dollars in thousands) <br> Percent <br> Amount | Percent |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

The Bank originates and purchases mortgage loans with terms that may include repayments that are less than the repayments for fully amortizing loans, including interest only loans, option adjustable-rate mortgages, and other loan types that permit payments that may be smaller than interest accruals. Through September 30, 2010, the net amount of deferred interest on these loan types was not material to the financial position or operating results of the Company.

## Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming loans are comprised of loans past due 90 days or more on nonaccrual status and other nonaccrual loans. Nonperforming assets include nonperforming loans plus other foreclosed real estate and repossessed assets. At September 30, 2010, our nonperforming loans totaled $\$ 14,458,000$, or $1.62 \%$ of total gross loans and our total nonperforming assets totaled $\$ 18,118,000$, or $1.19 \%$ of total assets.
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Nonperforming loans and foreclosed assets or nonperforming assets consisted of the following as of the dates indicated:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2010 \\ \text { (Dollars in } \end{gathered}$ | June 30, 2010 usands) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonperforming assets: |  |  |
| Non-accrual loans: |  |  |
| Loans secured by real estate: |  |  |
| Single family | \$ 6,025 | \$ 5,841 |
| Home equity loans | 143 | 87 |
| Multifamily | 7,354 | 4,675 |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Total nonaccrual loans secured by real estate | 13,522 | 10,603 |
| RV/Auto | 936 | 1,084 |
| Other |  | 16 |
| Total nonperforming loans | 14,458 | 11,703 |
| Foreclosed real estate | 2,738 | 2,354 |
| Repossessed vehicles | 922 | 347 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 18,118 | \$ 14,404 |
| Total nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans | 1.62\% | 1.48\% |
| Total nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets | 1.19\% | 1.01\% |

Total nonperforming loans increased $\$ 2.8$ million and total nonperforming assets increased a net $\$ 3.7$ million between June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2010. The increase in nonperforming loans was due primarily to one delinquent multifamily loan in Yuba City, California for $\$ 2.9$ million. The increase in nonperforming assets includes net growth of $\$ 1.0$ million in foreclosed and repossessed vehicles including two REO properties and eight vehicles.

A troubled debt restructuring is a concession made to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties, typically permanent modifications of principal and interest. The Bank has other performing loans temporarily modified as troubled debt restructurings. At September 30, 2010, the Bank had performing troubled debt restructurings on mortgage loans and RV loans with outstanding balances totaling $\$ 1,453$ and $\$ 3,330$, respectively.
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## Allowance for Loan Losses

We are committed to maintaining the allowance for loan losses at a level that is considered to be commensurate with estimated and known risks in the portfolio. Although the adequacy of the allowance is reviewed quarterly, our management performs an ongoing assessment of the risks inherent in the portfolio. While we believe that the allowance for loan losses is adequate at September 30, 2010, future additions to the allowance will be subject to continuing evaluation of estimated and known, as well as inherent, risks in the loan portfolio.

The assessment of the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses is based upon a number of quantitative and qualitative factors, including levels and trends of past due and nonaccrual loans, change in volume and mix of loans, collateral values and charge-off history.

We provide general loan loss reserves for our RV and auto loans based upon the borrower credit score at the time of origination and our loss experience to date. We provide general loan loss reserves for our mortgage loans based upon the size and type of the mortgage loan and the loan-to-value ratio. Over the last two years, we have experienced increased charge-offs of RV loans due to the nationwide recession. Our Bank s portfolio of RV loans is expected to decrease in the future as the Bank no longer originates RV loans. The amount of RV charge-offs for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, declined $\$ 538,000$ compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2009, but increased $\$ 424,000$ compared to the last quarter ended June 30, 2010. Based upon the stabilization of the economy and the one-year anniversary of the peaking of the nationwide unemployment rate, we believe there will be an overall decline in RV write-offs in fiscal 2011. Given the uncertainties surrounding the improvement of the U.S. economy, we may experience an increase in the relative amount of charge-offs and may be required to increase our loan loss provisions in the future to provide a larger loss allowance for one or more of our loan types.

The following table summarizes activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended September 30, 2010:

|  | Single <br> Family | Home Equity | Multifamily | Con | ercial <br> al <br> ate <br> Tho | Re <br> ands | ational icles <br> nd <br> tos |  | her |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at July 1, 2010 | \$ 1,721 | \$ 205 | \$ 1,860 | \$ | 213 | \$ | 1,859 | \$ | 35 |  | 5,893 |
| Provision for loan loss | 692 | 20 | 299 |  | (22) |  | 585 |  | 26 |  | 1,600 |
| Charge-offs | (315) | (42) | (290) |  |  |  | (616) |  | (27) |  | $(1,290)$ |
| Recoveries |  |  | 223 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 223 |
| Balance at September 30, 2010 | \$ 2,098 | \$ 183 | \$ 2,092 | \$ | 191 | \$ | 1,828 | \$ | 34 |  | 6,426 |

The following table reflects management $s$ allocation of the allowance for loan losses by loan category and the ratio of each loan category to total loans as of the dates indicated:

|  | September 30, 2010 |  | June 30, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount of Allowance | Allocation as a \% of Allowance (Dollars in | Amount <br> of <br> Allowance <br> housands) | Allocation as a \% of Allowance |
| Single family | \$ 2,098 | 32.65\% | \$ 1,721 | 29.20\% |
| Home equity | 183 | 2.85\% | 205 | 3.48\% |
| Multifamily | 2,092 | 32.55\% | 1,860 | 31.56\% |
| Commercial real estate and land | 191 | 2.97\% | 213 | 3.62\% |
| Consumer - Recreational vehicles | 1,828 | 28.45\% | 1,859 | 31.55\% |
| Other | 34 | 0.53\% | 35 | 0.59\% |

The loan loss provision was $\$ 1,600,000$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, compared to $\$ 2,000,000$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2009. The decreased provision for the 2010 quarter was primarily due to a decrease in charge-offs of $R V$ loans which declined from $\$ 1,154,000$ to $\$ 616,000$ for the quarters ended September 30, 2009 and 2010, respectively.

We believe the weighted average the loan-to-value (LTV) percentage at September 30, 2010 of $52.43 \%$ for our entire real estate loan portfolio is lower and more conservative than most banks which has resulted, and will continue to result in the future, in lower average mortgage loan charge-offs when compared to other banks. The LTV is calculated by dividing the current loan balance by the value at the time of origination.
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## Investment Securities

Total investment securities were $\$ 550.1$ million as of September 30, 2010, compared with $\$ 567.6$ million at June 30, 2010. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we purchased $\$ 27.3$ million of mortgage-backed securities and $\$ 15.0$ million in Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) discount notes available for sale, had bonds mature for $\$ 25.0$ million, and received principal repayments of approximately $\$ 18.6$ million. We also received principal repayments of approximately $\$ 16.3$ million. We currently classify agency mortgage-backed and debt securities as held to maturity or available for sale at the time of purchase based upon small issue size and based on issue features, such as callable terms.

## Deposits

Deposits increased a net $\$ 23.5$ million, or $2.4 \%$, to $\$ 991.7$ million at September 30, 2010, from $\$ 968.2$ million at June 30, 2010. Our deposit gain composition was the result of $15.9 \%$ increase in time deposits, partially offset by a $14.6 \%$ decrease in interest bearing bearing-demand and savings account as a result of increased promotion and competitive pricing of time deposits during the 2010 quarter.

The following table sets forth the composition of the deposit portfolio as of the dates indicated:

|  | September 30, 2010 |  | June 30, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Rate ${ }^{1}$ <br> (Dollars in | Amount ousands) | Rate ${ }^{1}$ |
| Non-interest bearing: | \$ 4,581 | 0.00\% | \$ 5,441 | 0.00\% |
| Interest bearing: |  |  |  |  |
| Demand | 68,494 | 0.81\% | 63,962 | 1.22\% |
| Savings | 292,201 | 0.82\% | 358,293 | 1.94\% |
| Time deposits: |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$100,000 | 226,165 | 2.94\% | 200,859 | 4.39\% |
| \$100,000 or more | 400,283 | 2.74\% | 339,625 | 3.85\% |
| Total time deposits | 626,448 | 2.81\% | 540,484 | 4.11\% |
| Total interest bearing | 987,143 | 2.08\% | 962,739 | 3.20\% |
| Total deposits | \$ 991,724 | 2.07\% | \$ 968,180 | 3.18\% |

1 Based on weighted-average stated interest rates at end of period.
The following table sets forth the number of deposit accounts by type as of the date indicated:

|  | September 30, | June 30, | September 30, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Checking and savings accounts | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9} \mathbf{n}$ |
| Time deposits | 11,576 | 17,192 | 16,554 |
| Total number of deposits accounts |  |  | 9,544 |

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase

## Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Since November 2006, we have sold securities under various agreements to repurchase for total proceeds of $\$ 130.0$ million. The repurchase agreements have interest rates between $3.24 \%$ and $4.75 \%$ and scheduled maturities between January 2012 and December 2017. Under these agreements, we may be required to repay the $\$ 130.0$ million and repurchase our securities before the scheduled maturity if the issuer requests repayment on scheduled quarterly call dates. The weighted-average remaining contractual maturity period is 4.11 years and the weighted average remaining period before such repurchase agreements could be called is 0.37 years.
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## FHLB Advances

We regularly use advances from the FHLB to manage our interest rate risk and, to a lesser extent, manage our liquidity position. Generally, FHLB advances with terms between three and ten years have been used to fund the purchase of single family and multifamily mortgages and to provide us with interest rate risk protection should rates rise. At September 30, 2010, a total of $\$ 42.0$ million of FHLB advances include agreements that allow the FHLB, at its option, to put the advances back to us after specified dates. The weighted-average remaining contractual maturity period of the $\$ 42.0$ million in advances is 2.09 years and the weighted average remaining period before such advances could be put to us is 0.39 years.

## Stockholders Equity

Stockholders equity increased $\$ 3.0$ million to $\$ 132.8$ million at September 30, 2010 compared to $\$ 129.8$ million at June 30, 2010 The increase was the result of our net income for the three months ended September 30, 2010 of $\$ 4.8$ million and $\$ 0.5$ million from the vesting and issuance of RSU s and the exercise of stock options offset by a $\$ 2.4$ million unrealized loss from our available for sale securities.

## LIQUIDITY

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we had net cash outflows from operating activities of $\$ 8.3$ million compared to outflows of $\$ 4.0$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. Net operating cash outflows for the periods ended were primarily due to the originations of loans held for sale.

Net cash outflows from investing activities totaled $\$ 86.3$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, while outflows totaled $\$ 14.2$ million for the same period in 2009. This was primarily due to increased loans originated and loan pools purchased offset by increased repayments of loans and residential mortgage-backed securities in the 2010 period compared to the same period in the prior year.

Our net cash provided by financing activities totaled $\$ 90.7$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, while inflows totaled $\$ 18.2$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. Net cash provided by financing activities increased primarily from the increase in short term borrowings offset by a decrease in the growth of deposits for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to September 30, 2009. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, the Bank could borrow up to $40.0 \%$ of its total assets from the FHLB. Borrowings are collateralized by the pledge of certain mortgage loans and investment securities to the FHLB. At September 30, 2010, the Company had $\$ 124.4$ million available immediately and an additional $\$ 319.9$ million available with additional collateral. At September 30, 2010, we also had two $\$ 10.0$ million unsecured federal funds purchase lines with two different banks under which no borrowings were outstanding.

The Bank has the ability to borrow short-term from the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco Discount Window. At September 30, 2010, the Bank did not have any borrowings outstanding and the amount available from this source was $\$ 217.1$ million. These borrowings are collateralized by consumer loans, and mortgage-backed securities.

In an effort to expand our Bank s liquidity options, we have issued brokered deposits, with $\$ 109.2$ million outstanding at September 30, 2010. We believe our liquidity sources to be stable and adequate for our anticipated needs and contingencies. We believe we have the ability to increase our level of deposits and borrowings to address our liquidity needs for the foreseeable future.

## CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMITMENTS

At September 30, 2010, we had commitments to originate loans of $\$ 42.8$ million, and $\$ 54.1$ million in commitments to sell loans. Time deposits due within one year of September 30, 2010 totaled $\$ 292.3$ million. We believe the large percentage of time deposits that mature within one year reflects customers hesitancy to invest their funds long term. If these maturing deposits do not remain with us, we may be required to seek other sources of funds, including other time deposits and borrowings. Depending on market conditions, we may be required to pay higher rates on deposits and borrowings than we currently pay on time deposits maturing within one year. We believe, however, based on past experience, a significant portion of our time deposits will remain with us. We believe we have the ability to attract and retain deposits by adjusting interest rates offered.
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The following table presents certain of our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2010:


1 Our contractual obligations include long-term debt, time deposits and operating leases as shown. We had no capitalized leases or material commitments for capital expenditures at September 30, 2010
2 Amounts include principal and interest due to recipient.
3 Payments are for a lease of real property.

## CAPITAL RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS

Bank of Internet USA is subject to various regulatory capital requirements set by the federal banking agencies. Failure by our Bank to meet minimum capital requirements could result in certain mandatory and discretionary actions by regulators that could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, our Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of our Bank $s$ assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Our Bank s capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation require our Bank to maintain certain minimum capital amounts and ratios. Regulations of the Office of Thrift Supervision requires our Bank to maintain minimum ratios of tangible capital to tangible assets of $1.5 \%$, core capital to tangible assets of $4.0 \%$ and total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets of $8.0 \%$. At September 30, 2010, our Bank met all the capital adequacy requirements to which it was subject. At September 30, 2010, our Bank was well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be well capitalized, our Bank must maintain minimum leverage, tier 1 risk-based and total risk-based capital ratios of at least $5.0 \%, 6.0 \%$ and $10.0 \%$, respectively. No conditions or events have occurred since that date that management believes would materially adversely change the Bank s capital classification. From time to time, we may need to raise additional capital to support our Bank sfurther growth and to maintain its well capitalized status.

The Bank s capital amounts, capital ratios and capital requirements at September 30, 2010 were as follows:

|  | Actual |  | For Capital <br> Adequacy <br> Purposes |  | To be Well Capitalized Under Promt Corrective Action Regulations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Ratio | Amount (Dollars in | Ratio <br> usands) | Amount | Ratio |
| Tier 1 leverage (core) capital to adjusted tangible assets | \$ 129,839 | 8.57\% | \$ 60,633 | 4.00\% | \$ 75,791 | 5.00\% |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 129,839 | 13.88\% | N/A | N/A | 56,109 | 6.00\% |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 136,265 | 14.57\% | 74,811 | 8.00\% | 93,514 | 10.00\% |
| Tangible capital (to tangible assets) | 129,839 | 8.57\% | 22,737 | 1.50\% | N/A | N/A |
| QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSU | UT MAR | RISK |  |  |  |  |

## Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

We measure interest rate sensitivity as the difference between amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities that mature or contractually re-price within a given period of time. The difference, or the interest rate sensitivity gap, provides an indication of the extent to which an institution s interest rate spread will be affected by changes in interest rates. A gap is considered positive when the amount of interest rate sensitive assets exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities and negative when the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive assets. In a rising interest rate environment, an institution with a positive gap would be in a better position than an institution with a negative gap to invest in higher yielding assets or to have its asset yields adjusted upward, which would cause the yield on its assets to increase at a faster pace than the cost of its interest-bearing liabilities. During a period of falling interest rates, however, an institution with a positive gap would tend to have its assets reprice at a faster rate than one with a negative gap, which would tend to reduce the growth in its net interest income. The following table sets forth the interest rate sensitivity of our assets and liabilities at September 30, 2010:
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|  | Term to Repricing, Repayment, or Maturity at September 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Over One Year or Less |  | Over One <br> Year <br> Through Five Years (Dollars in | Over Five Years usands) | Total |  |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 14,299 | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | 14,299 |
| Securities ${ }^{1}$ | 402,958 |  | 38,750 | 108,428 |  | 550,136 |
| Stock of the FHLB, at cost | 17,449 |  |  |  |  | 17,449 |
| Loans net of allowance for loan loss ${ }^{2}$ | 337,507 |  | 208,620 | 330,808 |  | 876,935 |
| Loans held for sale | 17,648 |  |  |  |  | 17,648 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 789,861 |  | 247,370 | 439,236 |  | 1,476,467 |
| Non-interest earning assets |  |  |  |  |  | 41,526 |
| Total assets | \$ 789,861 | \$ | 247,370 | \$ 439,236 |  | 1,517,993 |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing deposits ${ }^{3}$ | \$ 652,989 | \$ | 250,745 | \$ 83,408 | \$ | 987,142 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase |  |  | 95,000 | 35,000 |  | 130,000 |
| Advances from the FHLB ${ }^{4}$ | 133,000 |  | 37,000 | 80,000 |  | 250,000 |
| Other borrowed funds | 5,155 |  |  |  |  | 5,155 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 791,144 |  | 382,745 | 198,408 |  | 1,372,297 |
| Other noninterest-bearing liabilities |  |  |  |  |  | 12,929 |
| Stockholders equity |  |  |  | 132,767 |  | 132,767 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 791,144 | \$ | 382,745 | \$ 331,175 |  | 1,517,993 |
| Net interest rate sensitivity gap | \$ $(1,283)$ | S | $(135,375)$ | \$ 240,828 | \$ | 104,170 |
| Cumulative gap | \$ $(1,283)$ | \$ | $(136,658)$ | \$ 104,170 | \$ | 104,170 |
| Net interest rate sensitivity gap as a \% of interest earning assets | -0.16\% |  | -54.73\% | 54.83\% |  | 7.06\% |
| Cumulative gap as \% of cumulative interest earning assets | -0.16\% |  | -13.18\% | 7.06\% |  | 7.06\% |

1 Comprised of U.S. government securities and mortgage-backed securities, which are classified as held to maturity, available for sale and trading. The table reflects contractual re-pricing dates.
2 The table reflects either contractual re-pricing dates or maturities.
3 The table assumes that the principal balances for demand deposit and savings accounts will re-price in the first year.
4 The table reflects either contractual repricing dates or maturities and does not estimate prepayments or puts.
Although gap analysis is a useful measurement device available to management in determining the existence of interest rate exposure, its static focus as of a particular date makes it necessary to utilize other techniques in measuring exposure to changes in interest rates. For example, gap analysis is limited in its ability to predict trends in future earnings and makes no assumptions about changes in prepayment tendencies, deposit or loan maturity preferences or repricing time lags that may occur in response to a change in the interest rate environment.

We attempt to measure the effect market interest rate changes will have on the net present value of assets and liabilities, which is defined as market value of equity. The market value of equity for these purposes is not intended to refer to the trading pricing of our common stock. We analyze the market value of equity sensitivity to an immediate parallel and sustained shift in interest rates derived from the current treasury and LIBOR yield curves. For rising interest rate scenarios, the industry market interest rate forecast was increased by 100, 200 and 300 basis points. For the falling interest rate scenarios, we used a 100 basis points decrease due to limitations inherent in the current rate environment. The following table indicates the sensitivity of market value of equity to the interest rate movement described above at September 30, 2010:
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|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { Present Value } \end{gathered}$ | Percentage Change from Base (Dollars in thousands) | Net <br> Present Value as a Percentage of Assets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Up 300 basis points | 129,399 | 2.48\% | 8.72\% |
| Up 200 basis points | 132,748 | 5.13\% | 8.79\% |
| Up 100 basis points | 131,837 | 4.41\% | 8.59\% |
| Base | 126,273 | 0.00\% | 8.11\% |
| Down 100 basis points | 127,029 | 0.60\% | 8.04\% |

The computation of the prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes is based on numerous assumptions, including relative levels of interest rates, asset prepayments, runoffs in deposits and changes in repricing levels of deposits to general market rates, and should not be relied upon as indicative of actual results. Furthermore, these computations do not take into account any actions that we may undertake in response to future changes in interest rates.

## ITEM 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures regarding market risks in our portfolio, see, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Consolidated Financial Condition and Results of Operations Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

## ITEM 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company s management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer along with our Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms.

There were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation referred to above that occurred during the quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

The Company s size dictates that it conducts business with a minimal number of financial and administrative employees, which inherently results in a lack of documented controls and segregation of duties within the Company. Management will continue to evaluate the employees involved and the controls procedures in place, the risks associated with such lack of segregation and whether the potential benefits of adding employees to clearly segregate duties justifies the expense associated with such added personnel. In addition, management is aware that many of the internal controls that are in place at the Company are undocumented controls.

The Company believes that a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, cannot provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the control are met and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected.
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## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are not involved in any material legal proceedings. From time to time we may be a party to a claim or litigation that arises in the ordinary course of business, such as claims to enforce liens, claims involving the origination and servicing of loans, and other issues related to the business of the Bank.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We face a variety of risks that are inherent in our business and our industry. These risks are described in more detail under Risk Factors in Item 1A of our Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2010. We encourage you to read these risk factors in their entirety. Moreover, other factors may also exist that we cannot anticipate or that we currently do not consider to be significant based on information that is currently available.

The following describes certain risk factors, among others, that could affect our business and our results of operations:

## The downturn in the financial institution industry, the credit markets and the economy in general, may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We continue to operate in a challenging and uncertain economic environment, including generally uncertain national conditions and local conditions in our markets. The capital and credit markets have been experiencing volatility and disruption for more than 30 months. The risks associated with our business become more acute in periods of a slowing economy or slow growth. The continuing negative events in the housing market, including significant and continuing home price reductions coupled with the upward trends in delinquencies and foreclosures, have resulted, and will likely continue to result, in poor performance of mortgage and construction loans and in significant asset write-downs by many financial institutions. This has caused, and will likely continue to cause, many financial institutions to seek additional capital, to merge with larger and stronger institutions and, in some cases, to seek government assistance or bankruptcy protection. Bank failures and liquidations or sales by the FDIC as receiver have also increased. While we are continuing to take steps to decrease and limit our exposure to problem loans, we nonetheless retain direct exposure to the residential and commercial real estate markets, and we are affected by these events.

Continued reduced availability of commercial credit and increasing unemployment have further contributed to deteriorating credit performance of commercial and consumer loans, resulting in additional write-downs. Financial market and economic instability has caused many lenders and institutional investors to severely restrict their lending to customers and to each other. This market turmoil and credit tightening has exacerbated commercial and consumer deficiencies, the lack of consumer confidence, market volatility and widespread reduction in general business activity. Financial institutions also have experienced decreased access to deposits and borrowings.

These negative economic trends and developments are being experienced on national and international levels, as well as within the State of California where the Company $s$ business is concentrated. It is difficult to predict how long these economic conditions will exist, which of our markets and loan products will ultimately be most affected, and whether our actions will effectively mitigate these external factors. The current economic pressure on consumers and businesses and the lack of confidence in the financial markets has adversely affected, and may continue to adversely affect, our business, financial condition, results of operations and stock price.

We cannot predict when these conditions are likely to improve in the future. As a result of the challenges presented by these general economic and industry conditions, we face the following risks:

The number of our borrowers unable to make timely repayments of their loans, the potential increase in the volume of problem assets and foreclosures and/or decreases in the value of real estate collateral securing the payment of such loans and/or decreases in the demand for our products and services could continue to rise, resulting in additional credit losses, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

Potentially increased regulation of our industry, including heightened legal standards and regulatory requirements, as well as expectations imposed in connection with recent and proposed legislation. Compliance with such additional regulation will likely increase our operating costs and may limit our ability to pursue business opportunities.

The process we use to estimate losses inherent in our credit exposure requires difficult, subjective and complex judgments, including forecasts of economic conditions and how these economic conditions might impair the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans. The level of uncertainty concerning economic conditions may adversely affect the accuracy of our estimates which may, in turn, impact the reliability of the process.
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Further disruptions in the capital markets or other events, which may result in an inability to borrow on favorable terms or at all from other financial institutions.

Further increases in FDIC insurance premiums, due to the increasing number of failed institutions, which have significantly depleted the Deposit Insurance Fund of the FDIC and reduced the ratio of reserves to insured deposits.
The Bank is, like other federally-charted savings associations, currently subject to extensive regulation, supervision, and examination by the OTS and by the FDIC, the insurer of its deposits. BofI, like other savings and loan holding companies, is currently subject to regulation and supervision by the OTS. This regulation and supervision governs the activities in which we may engage and are intended primarily for the protection of the deposit insurance fund administered by the FDIC and our clients and depositors rather than our shareholders. Regulatory authorities have extensive discretion in their supervisory and enforcement activities, including the imposition of restrictions on our operations, the classification of our assets, determination of the level of our allowance for loan losses, and maintenance of adequate capital levels. These bank regulators possess broad authority to prevent or remedy unsafe or unsound practices or violations of law, and given the recent financial crisis in the United States, the trend has been toward increased and more active oversight by regulators. Recently, pursuant to an agreement among various federal financial institution regulators, the FDIC s authority to investigate banks was significantly expanded. Under the terms of this new agreement, the FDIC will have unlimited authority to make a special examination of any insured depository institution as necessary to determine the condition of such depository institution for insurance purposes. Accordingly, we expect an active supervisory and regulatory environment to continue. We cannot predict the extent or nature of changes in legislation, regulation or policy, especially as they may react to deteriorating economic and industry conditions. Such changes could affect the way we conduct our business, which could adversely impact our operations and earnings.

In addition, as a result of ongoing challenges facing the United States economy, new laws and regulations regarding lending and funding practices and liquidity standards have been and may continue to be promulgated, and bank regulatory agencies are expected to be active in responding to concerns and trends identified in examinations, including the issuance of formal or informal enforcement actions or orders. Accordingly, the regulations applicable to the banking industry continue to change and we cannot predict the effects of these changes on our business and profitability.

## Recent legislative and regulatory initiatives to address difficult market and economic conditions may not stabilize the U.S. banking system.

Congress and the U.S. Department of the Treasury have adopted legislation and taken actions to address the disruptions in the financial system and declines in the housing market that commenced over 30 months ago, including the passage and implementation of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 ( EESA ), the Troubled Asset Relief Program ( TARP ), and the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 ( ARRA ).
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In addition, on July 21, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act ), which significantly changes the regulation of financial institutions and the financial services industry. Among other things, the Dodd- Frank Act merges the Office of Thrift Supervision into the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, savings and loan holding companies will be regulated by the Federal Reserve Board, and various provisions seek to enhance the regulation of depository institutions and prevent the recurrence of a financial crisis such as occurred in 2008-2009. Also the Dodd-Frank Act creates a new federal agency to administer and enforce consumer and fair lending laws, a function that is now performed by the depository institution regulators. The federal preemption of state laws currently accorded federally chartered depository institutions will be reduced as well. The Dodd-Frank Act also will impose consolidated capital requirements on savings and loan holding companies effective in five years, which will limit our ability to borrow at the holding company and invest the proceeds from such borrowings as capital in the Bank that could be leveraged to support additional growth. The full impact of the Dodd- Frank Act on our business and operations will not be known for years until regulations implementing the statute are 42 written and adopted. The Dodd-Frank Act may have a material impact on our operations, particularly through increased compliance costs resulting from possible future consumer and fair lending regulations. See Regulation Recently Enacted Regulatory Reform. The purpose of these legislative and regulatory actions is to stabilize the U.S. banking system, improve the flow of credit, address practices viewed as contributing to the destabilization of the financial system, and foster an economic recovery. The regulatory and legislative initiatives described above may not have their desired effects, however. If the volatility in the markets continues and economic conditions fail to improve or worsen, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. Moreover, it is not clear at this time what long-term impact the EESA, TARP, the ARRA, other liquidity and funding initiatives of the U.S. Treasury and other bank regulatory agencies that have been previously announced, and any additional programs that may be initiated in the future, will have on the financial markets and the financial services industry. The actual impact that EESA and such related measures undertaken to alleviate the credit crisis will have generally on the financial markets, including the levels of volatility and limited credit availability currently being experienced, is unknown. The failure of such measures to help provide long-term stability to the financial markets and a continuation or worsening of current financial market conditions could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, access to credit or the trading price of our common stock. Finally, there can be no assurance regarding the specific impact that such measures may have on us, or whether (or to what extent) we will be able to benefit from such programs. In addition to the legislation mentioned above, federal and state governments could pass additional legislation responsive to current credit conditions. For example, the Bank could experience higher credit losses because of federal or state legislation or regulatory action that reduces the amount the Bank s borrowers are otherwise contractually required to pay under existing loan contracts. Also, the Bank could experience higher credit losses because of federal or state legislation or regulatory action that limits its ability to foreclose on property or other collateral or makes foreclosure less economically feasible. The U.S. government s monetary policies or changes in those policies could have a major effect on our operating results, and we cannot predict what those policies will be or any changes in such policies or the effect of such policies on us. Generally, increases in prevailing interest rates due to changes in monetary policies adversely affect banks such as us, whose liabilities tend to re-price quicker than their assets. The monetary policies of the FRB, affected principally through open market operations and regulation of the discount rate and reserve requirements, have had major effects upon the levels of bank loans, investments and deposits, and prevailing interest rates. It is not possible to predict the nature or effect of future changes in monetary and fiscal policies. In recent years, the monetary policy of the FRB has acted to reduce market interest rates to historical lows. We manage the sensitivity of our assets and liabilities; however a large and relatively rapid increase in market interest rates would have an adverse impact on our results of operations.

## Current levels of market volatility are unprecedented.

The capital and credit markets have been experiencing volatility and disruption for several years. In the recent past, the volatility and disruption has reached unprecedented levels. In some cases, the markets have produced downward pressure on financial institution stock prices and credit availability for certain issuers without regard to those issuers underlying financial strength. If current levels of market disruption and volatility continue or worsen, there can be no assurance that we will not experience an adverse effect, which may be material, on our ability to access capital in the future and on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

## The actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions could affect our ability to engage in routine funding transactions.

Financial service institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty or other relationships. We have exposure to the European banking system. We have exposure to different industries and counterparties because we execute or could execute transactions with various counterparties in the financial industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks, mutual and hedge funds, and other institutional clients. Recent defaults by financial services institutions, and even rumors or questions about one or more financial services institutions or the financial services industry in general, have led to market wide liquidity problems and could lead to losses or defaults by us or by other institutions. Many of these transactions expose us to credit risk in the event of default of counterparty. Any such losses could materially and adversely affect our results of operations.
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## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The table below sets forth information regarding the Company s common stock repurchase plans. Purchases made relate to the stock repurchase plan of 414,991 shares that was originally approved by the Company s Board of Directors on July 5, 2005, plus an additional 500,000 shares approved on November 20, 2008. Stock repurchased under this plan will be held as treasury shares.

| Period | Number <br> of Shares <br> Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Shares |  | Total Number of Shares <br> Purchased as Part of Publically Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock Repurchases |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans Beginning Balance at July 1, 2010 | 595,700 | \$ | 5.72 | 595,700 | 319,291 |
| Ending Balance at September 30,2010 | 595,700 | \$ | 5.72 | 595,700 | 319,291 |
| Stock Retained in Net Settlement Beginning Balance at July 1, 2010 | 46,998 |  |  |  |  |
| July 1, 2010 to July 1, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| August 1, 2010 to August 31, 2010 September 1, 2010 to September 30, 2010 | 3,119 |  |  |  |  |
| Ending Balance at September 30,2010 | 50,117 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Treasury Shares at September 30, 2010 | 645,817 |  |  |  |  |

## ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

## ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED.

## ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.
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## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

## Exhibit Document

$31.1 \quad$ Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
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## SIGNATURE

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: November 12, 2010
BofI Holding, Inc.
By: /s/ Gregory Garrabrants
Gregory Garrabrants
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)


[^0]:    1 Residential mortgage-backed security (RMBS) distributions include impact of expected prepayments and other timing factors.

