

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC
Form 10-Q
November 09, 2010
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Transition Period from to

Commission File Number 001 32205

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of

94-3391143
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

incorporation or organization)

11150 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 1600

Los Angeles, California
(Address of principal executive offices)

90025
(Zip Code)

(310) 405-8900
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and
former fiscal year if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No .

The number of shares of Class A common stock outstanding at October 29, 2010 was 322,894,272.

Table of Contents

FORM 10-Q

September 30, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Financial Statements | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2010 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2009</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)</u> | 5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statement of Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)</u> | 6 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 7 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 36 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 61 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 62 |
| PART II OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 63 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 63 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 75 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 77 |

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(Dollars in thousands, except share data)**

| | September 30, 2010 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2009 |
|---|---|------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 768,675 | \$ 741,557 |
| Restricted cash | 51,497 | 46,797 |
| Receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$53,297 and \$41,397 at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | 818,030 | 775,929 |
| Warehouse receivables | 264,819 | 315,033 |
| Income taxes receivable | 61,874 | 163,032 |
| Prepaid expenses | 88,821 | 99,309 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 73,983 | 75,330 |
| Real estate and other assets held for sale | 5,671 | 7,109 |
| Other current assets | 44,217 | 42,629 |
| Total Current Assets | 2,177,587 | 2,266,725 |
| Property and equipment, net | 154,157 | 178,975 |
| Goodwill | 1,316,843 | 1,306,372 |
| Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$157,904 and \$138,244 at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | 331,748 | 322,904 |
| Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries | 132,388 | 135,596 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 11,365 | 3,395 |
| Real estate under development | 131,424 | 160,164 |
| Real estate held for investment | 701,790 | 526,169 |
| Available for sale securities | 31,791 | 32,016 |
| Other assets, net | 85,970 | 107,090 |
| Total Assets | \$ 5,075,063 | \$ 5,039,406 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 405,800 | \$ 458,510 |
| Compensation and employee benefits payable | 305,860 | 240,536 |
| Accrued bonus and profit sharing | 274,265 | 278,444 |
| Short-term borrowings: | | |
| Warehouse lines of credit | 260,112 | 312,872 |
| Revolving credit facility | 17,893 | 21,050 |
| Other | 2,016 | 5,850 |
| Total short-term borrowings | 280,021 | 339,772 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 108,233 | 138,682 |
| Notes payable on real estate | 215,030 | 159,921 |
| Liabilities related to real estate and other assets held for sale | 4,342 | 1,267 |
| Other current liabilities | 16,317 | 11,909 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 1,609,868 | 1,629,041 |
| Long-Term Debt: | | |
| Senior secured term loans | 1,360,548 | 1,545,490 |
| 11.625% senior subordinated notes, net of unamortized discount of \$12,627 and \$13,498 at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | 437,373 | 436,502 |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Other long-term debt | 141 | 129 |
| Total Long-Term Debt | 1,798,062 | 1,982,121 |
| Pension liability | 63,128 | 64,945 |
| Non-current tax liabilities | 78,359 | 73,462 |
| Notes payable on real estate | 460,253 | 390,181 |
| Other liabilities | 108,429 | 115,361 |
| Total Liabilities | 4,118,099 | 4,255,111 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Equity: | | |
| CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| Class A common stock; \$0.01 par value; 525,000,000 shares authorized; 322,980,362 and 321,767,407 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | 3,230 | 3,218 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 792,013 | 755,989 |
| Accumulated earnings (deficit) | 90,193 | (15,008) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (112,039) | (115,077) |
| Total CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. Stockholders' Equity | 773,397 | 629,122 |
| Non-controlling interests | 183,567 | 155,173 |
| Total Equity | 956,964 | 784,295 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$ 5,075,063 | \$ 5,039,406 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except share data)**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenue | \$ 1,266,218 | \$ 1,023,205 | \$ 3,464,020 | \$ 2,869,321 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of services | 735,393 | 606,470 | 2,029,301 | 1,726,720 |
| Operating, administrative and other | 374,815 | 338,062 | 1,085,554 | 972,892 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 25,605 | 24,445 | 79,516 | 74,003 |
| Total costs and expenses | 1,135,813 | 968,977 | 3,194,371 | 2,773,615 |
| Gain on disposition of real estate | 174 | 2,766 | 3,797 | 5,691 |
| Operating income | 130,579 | 56,994 | 273,446 | 101,397 |
| Equity income (loss) from unconsolidated subsidiaries | 3,682 | (6,312) | 11,333 | (18,252) |
| Interest income | 1,463 | 1,248 | 6,374 | 4,790 |
| Interest expense | 49,755 | 54,075 | 149,822 | 136,291 |
| Write-off of financing costs | | | | 29,255 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before provision for income taxes | 85,969 | (2,145) | 141,331 | (77,611) |
| Provision for income taxes | 38,075 | 8,498 | 72,078 | 1,157 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 47,894 | (10,643) | 69,253 | (78,768) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 7,821 | | 14,961 | |
| Net income (loss) | 55,715 | (10,643) | 84,214 | (78,768) |
| Less: Net loss attributable to non-controlling interests | (1,323) | (23,020) | (20,987) | (47,819) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ 57,038 | \$ 12,377 | \$ 105,201 | \$ (30,949) |
| <i>Basic income (loss) per share attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. shareholders</i> | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.31 | \$ (0.11) |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | 0.01 | | 0.03 | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.34 | \$ (0.11) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for basic income (loss) per share | 313,791,661 | 282,732,848 | 313,197,421 | 270,214,427 |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| <i>Diluted income (loss) per share attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. shareholders</i> | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|-------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ | 0.17 | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | 0.30 | \$ | (0.11) |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | | 0.01 | | | | 0.03 | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | (0.11) |
| <i>Weighted average shares outstanding for diluted income (loss) per share</i> | | | | | | | | |
| | | 319,353,359 | | 285,923,601 | | 318,278,968 | | 270,214,427 |
| <i>Amounts attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. shareholders</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | \$ | 55,563 | \$ | 12,377 | \$ | 96,215 | \$ | (30,949) |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | | 1,475 | | | | 8,986 | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 57,038 | \$ | 12,377 | \$ | 105,201 | \$ | (30,949) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands)**

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|--|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 84,214 | \$ (78,768) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 79,717 | 74,003 |
| Amortization and write-off of financing costs | 8,305 | 35,850 |
| Write-down of impaired real estate and other assets | 2,592 | 29,315 |
| Gain on sale of loans, servicing rights and other assets | (47,782) | (14,144) |
| Gain on disposition of real estate held for investment | (16,945) | (2,721) |
| Equity (income) loss from unconsolidated subsidiaries | (11,333) | 18,252 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 13,997 | 135 |
| Compensation expense related to stock options and non-vested stock awards | 35,353 | 26,608 |
| Distribution of earnings from unconsolidated subsidiaries | 14,065 | 7,838 |
| Tenant concessions received | 4,588 | 2,296 |
| (Increase) decrease in receivables | (51,268) | 100,410 |
| Decrease in deferred compensation assets | | 217,079 |
| Decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets | 22,561 | 16,122 |
| Decrease (increase) in real estate held for sale and under development | 23,331 | (2,674) |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses | 4,109 | (42,843) |
| Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and accrued bonus and profit sharing | 58,521 | (133,458) |
| Decrease in income taxes receivable | 103,036 | 53,273 |
| Decrease in other liabilities, including deferred compensation liabilities | (1,657) | (245,282) |
| Other operating activities, net | (480) | (7,840) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 324,924 | 53,451 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (17,885) | (12,647) |
| Acquisition of businesses, including net assets acquired, intangibles and goodwill | (68,620) | (28,263) |
| Contributions to unconsolidated subsidiaries | (22,646) | (41,666) |
| Distributions from unconsolidated subsidiaries | 19,243 | 4,762 |
| Net proceeds from disposition of real estate held for investment | 76,504 | 3,408 |
| Additions to real estate held for investment | (22,861) | (22,952) |
| Proceeds from the sale of servicing rights and other assets | 22,522 | 6,963 |
| Increase in restricted cash | (5,726) | (6,384) |
| Other investing activities, net | (1,386) | (1,126) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (20,855) | (97,905) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Repayment of senior secured term loans | (214,880) | (429,250) |
| Proceeds from revolving credit facility | 16,349 | 800,928 |
| Repayment of revolving credit facility | (19,190) | (752,210) |
| Proceeds from 11.625% senior subordinated notes, net | | 435,928 |
| Proceeds from notes payable on real estate held for investment | 18,981 | 13,764 |
| Repayment of notes payable on real estate held for investment | (79,555) | (5,432) |
| Proceeds from notes payable on real estate held for sale and under development | 3,603 | 48,640 |
| Repayment of notes payable on real estate held for sale and under development | (9,953) | (34,968) |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Repayment of short-term borrowings and other loans, net | (4,048) | (4,193) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net | | 146,361 |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 578 | 14,735 |
| Non-controlling interests contributions | 27,367 | 20,470 |
| Non-controlling interests distributions | (6,725) | (12,501) |
| Payment of financing costs | (6,066) | (38,698) |
| Other financing activities, net | 518 | (1,329) |
| | | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (273,021) | 202,245 |
| Effect of currency exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (3,930) | 9,431 |
| | | |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 27,118 | 167,222 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 741,557 | 158,823 |
| | | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT END OF PERIOD | \$ 768,675 | \$ 326,045 |
| | | |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | |
| Cash paid (received) during the period for: | | |
| Interest | \$ 122,631 | \$ 100,310 |
| | | |
| Income tax refunds, net | \$ (26,808) | \$ (53,918) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands)**

| | CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. Shareholders | | | | | Non-controlling interests | Total |
|--|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-------|
| | Class A common stock | Additional paid-in capital | Accumulated (deficit) earnings | Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 3,218 | \$ 755,989 | \$ (15,008) | \$ (115,077) | \$ 155,173 | \$ 784,295 | |
| Net income (loss) | | | 105,201 | | (20,987) | 84,214 | |
| Adoption of Accounting Standards Update 2009-17 (See Note 2) | | | | | 29,534 | 29,534 | |
| Compensation expense for stock options and non-vested stock awards | | 35,353 | | | | 35,353 | |
| Foreign currency translation gain (loss) | | | | 641 | (44) | 597 | |
| Unrealized gains on interest rate swaps and interest rate caps, net of tax | | | | 382 | | 382 | |
| Contributions from non-controlling interests | | | | | 27,367 | 27,367 | |
| Distributions to non-controlling interests | | | | | (6,725) | (6,725) | |
| Other | 12 | 671 | | 2,015 | (751) | 1,947 | |
| Balance at September 30, 2010 | \$ 3,230 | \$ 792,013 | \$ 90,193 | \$ (112,039) | \$ 183,567 | \$ 956,964 | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. (which may be referred to in these financial statements as we, us, and our) have been prepared in accordance with the rules applicable to Form 10-Q and include all information and footnotes required for interim financial statement presentation, but do not include all disclosures required under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) for annual financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments, except as otherwise noted) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions about future events. These estimates and the underlying assumptions affect the amounts of assets and liabilities reported, and reported amounts of revenue and expenses. Such estimates include the value of real estate assets, accounts receivable, investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and assumptions used in the calculation of income taxes, retirement and other post-employment benefits, among others. These estimates and assumptions are based on management's best judgment. Management evaluates its estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis using historical experience and other factors, including consideration of the current economic environment, and adjusts such estimates and assumptions when facts and circumstances dictate. Illiquid credit markets, volatility in equity prices and foreign currency exchange rates, among other things, have combined to increase the uncertainty inherent in such estimates and assumptions. As future events and their effects cannot be determined with precision, actual results could differ significantly from these estimates. Changes in these estimates resulting from continuing changes in the economic environment will be reflected in the financial statements in future periods.

In 2008 and most of 2009, worldwide commercial real estate fundamentals and transaction activity weakened significantly due to the credit crisis and severe global recession. High unemployment rates, sharply reduced global trade, curtailed corporate spending and weak consumer confidence negatively impacted office, industrial and retail real estate markets as companies shrank their occupancy, placed excess space on the market for sublease and deferred occupancy decisions. Property sales transactions declined sharply due to constrained liquidity in the capital markets as many lenders tightened underwriting standards for commercial real estate. Capitalization rates increased as potential investors re-evaluated commercial real estate versus other asset classes. Occupancy and rent levels weakened significantly for the primary property types that we service, develop or own. Property values have remained under pressure, but appear to be stabilizing, especially for core assets, and occupancy and rental rates appear to be bottoming out. In the first nine months of 2010, improved economic and credit market conditions led to a rebound in sales and leasing velocity from a very depressed level in 2009. A return to positive economic growth in the United States (U.S.) in late 2009 and in 2010 has moderately improved commercial real estate fundamentals. The recoverability of our investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and our investments in real estate has been impacted by the overall downturn in the global economy. The assumptions utilized in our recoverability analysis of these investments reflected our outlook for the commercial real estate industry and the impact on our business. This outlook incorporated our belief that market conditions had deteriorated and that these challenging conditions could persist for some time. If conditions in the broader economy, commercial real estate industry, specific markets or property types in which we operate worsen, we could have additional impairment charges.

The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the year ending December 31, 2010. The consolidated financial statements and notes to consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, which contains the latest available audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, which are as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

2. Consolidated Variable Interest Entities

In December 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009-17, *Consolidations (Topic 810): Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities*. This ASU incorporates Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*, issued by the FASB in June 2009. The amendments in this ASU replace the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which reporting entity, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity with an approach focused on identifying which reporting entity has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact such entity's economic performance and (i) the obligation to absorb losses of such entity or (ii) the right to receive benefits from such entity. ASU 2009-17 also requires additional disclosures about a reporting entity's involvement in variable interest entities, which enhances the information provided to users of financial statements. We adopted ASU 2009-17 effective January 1, 2010 and as a result, we began consolidating certain variable interest entities that were not previously consolidated by us.

A consolidated subsidiary (the Venture) sponsored investments by third-party investors in eight commercial properties through the formation of tenant-in-common limited liability companies and Delaware Statutory Trusts (collectively referred to as the Entities) that are owned by the third-party investors. The Venture also formed and is a member of a limited liability company for each property that serves as master tenant (Master Tenant). Each Master Tenant leases the property from the Entities through a master lease agreement. Pursuant to the master lease agreements, the Master Tenant has the power to direct the day-to-day asset management activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the Entities. As a result, the Entities were deemed to be variable interest entities since the third-party investors holding the equity investment at risk in the Entities do not direct the day-to-day activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the properties held by the Entities.

The Venture has made and may continue to make voluntary contributions to each of these properties to support their operations beyond the cash flow generated by the properties themselves. As of the most recent reconsideration date, such financial support has been significant enough that the Venture was deemed to be the primary beneficiary of each entity. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Venture funded \$0.9 million of financial support to the Entities.

The Entities were initially consolidated by the Venture upon adoption of ASU 2009-17 on January 1, 2010. The Entities' assets and associated mortgage notes payable aggregated \$251.0 million and \$221.5 million, respectively, and were recorded based on their fair value at adoption. We did not recognize a gain or loss on the initial consolidation of these Entities. The assets of the Entities are the sole collateral for the mortgage notes payable and other liabilities of the Entities and as such, the creditors and equity investors of these Entities have no recourse to our assets held outside of these Entities.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, aggregate revenue of \$9.4 million and \$25.0 million, respectively, and operating expenses of \$5.6 million and \$14.8 million, respectively, relating to the operating activities of the Entities are included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The aggregate losses of the Entities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were \$3.5 million and \$9.0 million, respectively, and were all attributable to non-controlling interests.

Investments in real estate of \$245.4 million and non-recourse mortgage notes payable of \$222.7 million (\$34.9 million of which is current) are included in real estate assets held for investment and notes payable on real estate, respectively, in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2010. In addition, non-controlling interests of \$24.4 million in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2010 are attributable to the Entities.

Table of Contents

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

3. New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, *Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*, which provides amendments to the FASB ASC Subtopic 820-10 that require new disclosures regarding (i) transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and (ii) activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. ASU 2010-06 also clarifies existing disclosures regarding (i) the level of asset and liability disaggregation and (ii) fair value measurement inputs and valuation techniques. As required, we adopted the new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosure requirements, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the disclosure impact of adoption on our consolidated financial statements, but do not expect it to have a material impact.

4. Fair Value Measurements

The *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* Topic of the FASB ASC (Topic 820) defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Topic 820 also establishes a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. This hierarchy requires entities to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs. The fair value measurements employed for our impairment evaluations were generally based on a discounted cash flow approach and/or review of comparable activities in the market place. Inputs used in these evaluations included risk-free rates of return, estimated risk premiums as well as other economic variables.

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)**

The following non-recurring fair value measurements were recorded during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (dollars in thousands):

| | Net Carrying Value as of September 30, 2010 | Fair Value Measured and Recorded Using | | | Total Impairment Charges for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 |
|--|---|--|---------|-----------|---|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries | \$ 20,494 | \$ | \$ | \$ 20,494 | \$ 1,594 |
| Real estate | \$ 11,219 | \$ | \$ | \$ 11,219 | 2,342 |
| Notes receivable | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | 250 |
| Total impairment charges | | | | | \$ 4,186 |

| | Net Carrying Value as of September 30, 2009 | Fair Value Measured and Recorded Using | | | Total Impairment Charges for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 |
|--|---|--|---------|-----------|--|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries | \$ 37,396 | \$ | \$ | \$ 37,396 | \$ 5,270 |
| Real estate | \$ 58,045 | \$ | \$ | \$ 58,045 | 17,232 |
| Total impairment charges | | | | | \$ 22,502 |

| | Net Carrying Value as of September 30, 2010 | Fair Value Measured and Recorded Using | | | Total Impairment Charges for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 |
|--|---|--|---------|-----------|---|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries | \$ 33,612 | \$ | \$ | \$ 33,612 | \$ 8,541 |
| Real estate | \$ 11,219 | \$ | \$ | \$ 11,219 | 2,342 |
| Note receivable | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | 250 |
| Total impairment charges | | | | | \$ 11,133 |

| | Net Carrying Value as of September 30, 2009 | Fair Value Measured and Recorded Using | | | Total Impairment Charges for the |
|--|---|--|---------|---------|--|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |

| | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 |
|--|-----------|----|-----------|---|
| Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries | \$ 65,155 | \$ | \$ 65,155 | \$ 15,952 |
| Real estate | \$ 79,299 | \$ | \$ 79,299 | 23,455 |
| Notes receivable | \$ | \$ | \$ | 5,860 |
| Total impairment charges | | | | \$ 45,267 |

Investments in Unconsolidated Subsidiaries

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded write-downs of \$1.6 million and \$8.5 million, respectively, of which \$0.1 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, were attributable to non-controlling interests. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, \$1.3 million and \$7.2 million, respectively, of the investments write-downs were reported in our Global Investment Management

Table of Contents

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

segment and driven by a decrease in the estimated holding period of certain assets. In addition, during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 we incurred an additional \$1.0 million of impairment charges in our Global Investment Management segment and during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, we incurred write-downs of \$0.3 million in our Development Services segment, all driven by a decline in value of several investments attributable to continued capital market disruption.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded investment write-downs of \$5.3 million and \$16.0 million, respectively, of which \$2.7 million and \$5.7 million, respectively, were attributable to non-controlling interests. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, \$2.8 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, of the investment write-downs were reported in our Global Investment Management segment and were primarily driven by a decrease in the estimated holding period of certain assets. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, we incurred an additional \$2.5 million and \$9.7 million, respectively, of impairment charges, mainly attributable to declines in value of several investments, primarily as a result of significant capital market turmoil. Of the additional impairment charges noted, \$7.2 million were reported in our Global Investment Management segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and \$2.5 million were reported in our Development Services segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

All of our impairment charges related to investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries were included in equity income (loss) from unconsolidated subsidiaries in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. When we performed our impairment analysis, the assumptions utilized reflected our outlook for the commercial real estate industry and the impact on our business. This outlook incorporated our belief that market conditions deteriorated and that these challenging conditions could persist for some time.

Real Estate

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded impairment charges of \$2.3 million related to real estate held for investment, \$1.6 million of which were attributable to non-controlling interests. These write-downs were primarily attributable to a decrease in the estimated holding period of one project and continued capital market disruption.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded charges of \$17.2 million and \$23.5 million, respectively, including impairment charges on real estate held for investment and a provision for loss on real estate held for sale. Of these amounts, \$15.7 million and \$20.3 million, respectively, were attributable to non-controlling interests. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded impairment charges of \$17.2 million and \$20.3 million, respectively, related to eight projects where the carrying value was not recoverable primarily due to a decrease in the estimated holding periods of the projects. Additionally, during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded a provision for loss on real estate held for sale of \$3.2 million to reduce the carrying value of a condominium project to its fair value less cost to sell, primarily due to reduced unit selling prices resulting from market conditions.

All of the abovementioned charges were included in operating, administrative and other expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations within our Development Services segment. If conditions in the broader economy, commercial real estate industry, specific markets or product types in which we operate worsen and/or markets remain illiquid, we may be required to evaluate additional projects or re-evaluate previously impaired projects for potential impairment. These evaluations could result in additional impairment charges, which may be material.

Table of Contents

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Notes Receivable

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 we recorded a \$0.3 million impairment charge on a note receivable secured by real estate, due to a decrease in value of the borrower's real estate project, the proceeds from the sale of which would be used to repay the note receivable. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we also recorded a \$5.9 million impairment charge, \$5.4 million of which was attributable to non-controlling interests, on two notes receivable secured by real estate as a result of the borrower defaulting on the notes. These defaults resulted from the borrowers' noncompliance with certain terms of the note agreements. As a result, we accepted assignment of the underlying real estate assets in lieu of foreclosing under our security deeds. The impairment charge we recorded represented the difference between the carrying amounts of the notes and the fair value of the real estate assets acquired. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, this also resulted in a non-cash reclassification of \$17.3 million from notes receivable to real estate held for investment. All of our impairment charges associated with notes receivable were included in operating, administrative and other expenses in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations within our Development Services segment.

We do not have any material assets or liabilities that are required to be recorded at fair value on a recurring basis.

Topic 820 also requires disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, as follows:

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash: These balances include cash and cash equivalents as well as restricted cash with maturities of less than three months. The carrying amount approximates fair value due to the short-term maturities of these instruments.

Receivables, less Allowance for Doubtful Accounts: Due to their short-term nature, fair value approximates carrying value.

Warehouse Receivables: Due to their short-term nature, fair value approximates carrying value. Fair value is determined based on the terms and conditions of funded mortgage loans and generally reflects the values of the warehouse lines of credit outstanding for our wholly-owned subsidiary, CBRE Capital Markets.

Available for Sale Securities: These investments are carried at their fair value.

Short-Term Borrowings: The majority of this balance represents our revolving credit facility and our warehouse lines of credit outstanding for CBRE Capital Markets. Due to the short-term nature and variable interest rates of these instruments, fair value approximates carrying value.

Senior Secured Term Loans: Based upon information from third-party banks, the estimated fair value of our senior secured term loans was approximately \$1.5 billion at September 30, 2010, which approximates their actual carrying value at September 30, 2010 (See Note 9).

11.625% Senior Subordinated Notes: Based on dealers' quotes, the estimated fair value of our 11.625% senior subordinated notes was \$509.5 million at September 30, 2010. Their actual carrying value totaled \$437.4 million at September 30, 2010.

Notes Payable on Real Estate: As of September 30, 2010, the carrying value of our notes payable on real estate was \$679.6 million (See Note 8). These borrowings mostly have floating interest rates at spreads over a

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)**

market rate index. It is likely that some portion of our notes payable on real estate have fair values lower than actual carrying values. Given our volume of notes payable and the cost involved in estimating their fair value, we determined it was not practicable to do so. Additionally, only \$3.5 million of these notes payable are recourse to us as of September 30, 2010.

5. Investments in Unconsolidated Subsidiaries

Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Combined condensed financial information for these entities is as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--------------|--|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Development Services: | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 32,509 | \$ 24,448 | \$ 85,070 | \$ 62,566 |
| Operating (loss) income | \$ (4,419) | \$ 5,735 | \$ 30,650 | \$ 21,305 |
| Net loss | \$ (17,295) | \$ (4,685) | \$ (3,831) | \$ (332) |
| Global Investment Management: | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 137,453 | \$ 162,978 | \$ 413,277 | \$ 451,713 |
| Operating loss | \$ (125,640) | \$ (141,971) | \$ (481,362) | \$ (537,953) |
| Net loss | \$ (214,204) | \$ (157,606) | \$ (576,419) | \$ (661,779) |
| Other: | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 14,354 | \$ 43,692 | \$ 75,248 | \$ 114,524 |
| Operating income | \$ 4,823 | \$ 5,312 | \$ 12,327 | \$ 13,821 |
| Net income | \$ 4,975 | \$ 5,457 | \$ 12,750 | \$ 14,089 |
| Total: | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 184,316 | \$ 231,118 | \$ 573,595 | \$ 628,803 |
| Operating loss | \$ (125,236) | \$ (130,924) | \$ (438,385) | \$ (502,827) |
| Net loss | \$ (226,524) | \$ (156,834) | \$ (567,500) | \$ (648,022) |

During the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we recorded non-cash write-downs of investments of \$1.6 million, \$5.3 million, \$8.5 million and \$16.0 million, respectively, within our Global Investment Management and Development Services segments (See Note 4).

Our Global Investment Management segment involves investing our own capital in certain real estate investments with clients. We have provided investment management, property management, brokerage and other professional services in connection with these real estate investments on an arm's length basis and earned revenues from these unconsolidated subsidiaries. We have also provided development, property management and brokerage services to certain of our unconsolidated subsidiaries in our Development Services segment on an arm's length basis and earned revenues from these unconsolidated subsidiaries.

6. Real Estate and Other Assets Held for Sale and Related Liabilities

Real estate and other assets held for sale include completed real estate projects or land for sale in their present condition that have met all of the held for sale criteria of the *Property, Plant and Equipment* Topic of

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)**

the FASB ASC (Topic 360) and other assets directly related to such projects. Liabilities related to real estate and other assets held for sale have been included as a single line item in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Real estate and other assets held for sale and related liabilities were as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Real estate held for sale (See Note 7) | \$ 5,671 | \$ 7,101 |
| Other current assets | | 8 |
| Total real estate and other assets held for sale | 5,671 | 7,109 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Notes payable on real estate held for sale (see Note 8) | 4,341 | 1,175 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 1 | 92 |
| Total liabilities related to real estate and other assets held for sale | 4,342 | 1,267 |
| Net real estate and other assets held for sale | \$ 1,329 | \$ 5,842 |

7. Real Estate

We provide build-to-suit services for our clients and also develop or purchase certain projects which we intend to sell to institutional investors upon project completion or redevelopment. Therefore, we have ownership of real estate until such projects are sold or otherwise disposed. Additionally, effective January 1, 2010, we adopted ASU 2009-17 and began consolidating certain variable interest entities that hold investments in real estate (See Note 2). Certain real estate assets secure the outstanding balances of underlying mortgage or construction loans. Our real estate is reported in our Development Services and Global Investment Management segments and consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| Real estate included in assets held for sale (See Note 6) | \$ 5,671 | \$ 7,101 |
| Real estate under development (non-current) | 131,424 | 160,164 |
| Real estate held for investment (1) | 701,790 | 526,169 |
| Total real estate (2) | \$ 838,885 | \$ 693,434 |

- (1) Net of accumulated depreciation of \$37.5 million and \$26.7 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.
- (2) Includes balances for lease intangibles and tenant origination costs of \$18.2 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, at September 30, 2010 and \$20.4 million and \$5.9 million, respectively, at December 31, 2009. We record lease intangibles and tenant origination costs upon acquiring real estate projects with in-place leases. The balances are shown net of amortization, which is recorded as an increase to, or a reduction of, rental income for lease intangibles and as amortization expense for tenant origination costs.

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)**

In the first quarter of 2010, one of our consolidated real estate projects was sold to an affiliate of the project's lender at a foreclosure auction. The related real estate note payable was nonrecourse to us. As a result of this transaction, we recorded the following non-cash activity (dollars in thousands):

| | Debit (Credit) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Assets: | |
| Real estate held for investment | \$ (16,221) |
| Restricted cash | (279) |
| Other current assets | (524) |
| Total assets | (17,024) |
| Liabilities: | |
| Notes payable on real estate, current | 16,520 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 504 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 17,024 |

In the third quarter of 2010, we deeded a consolidated real estate portfolio to the lender, in lieu of foreclosure. The related real estate note payable was nonrecourse to us. As a result of this transaction, we recorded a gain on disposition of real estate of \$2.8 million and the following non-cash activity (dollars in thousands):

| | Debit (Credit) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Assets: | |
| Real estate held for investment | \$ (13,422) |
| Restricted cash | (125) |
| Receivables | (975) |
| Other current assets | (396) |
| Other assets | (423) |
| Total assets | (15,341) |
| Liabilities: | |
| Notes payable on real estate, current | 15,821 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 2,052 |
| Other liabilities | 266 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 18,139 |

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)**

In the third quarter of 2010, one of our consolidated real estate projects was sold to an affiliate of the project's lender at a foreclosure auction. The related real estate note payable was nonrecourse to us. As a result of this transaction, we recorded a gain on disposition of real estate of \$0.2 million and the following non-cash activity (dollars in thousands):

| | Debit (Credit) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Assets: | |
| Real estate held for investment | \$ (6,684) |
| Liabilities: | |
| Notes payable on real estate, current | 6,400 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 447 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 6,847 |

In the third quarter of 2010, we purchased our partner's interest in one of our equity method subsidiaries. As a result of the purchase of our partner's interest, we consolidated the subsidiary and recorded the following non-cash activity (dollars in thousands):

| | Debit (Credit) |
|--|-----------------------|
| Assets: | |
| Real estate held for sale | \$ 14,800 |
| Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries | (450) |
| Other assets | (500) |
| Total assets | 13,850 |
| Liabilities: | |
| Notes payable on real estate held for sale | (9,736) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (4,114) |
| Total liabilities | \$ (13,850) |

During the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we recorded impairment charges of \$2.3 million, \$17.2 million, \$2.3 million and \$20.3 million, respectively, on real estate held for investment within our Development Services segment. In addition, during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded a provision for loss on real estate held for sale of \$3.2 million within our Development Services segment. See Note 4 for additional information.

8. Notes Payable on Real Estate

We had loans secured by real estate, which consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Current portion of notes payable on real estate | \$ 215,030 | \$ 159,921 |
| Notes payable on real estate included in liabilities related to real estate and other assets held for sale (See Note 6) | 4,341 | 1,175 |
| Total notes payable on real estate, current portion | 219,371 | 161,096 |
| Notes payable on real estate, non-current portion | 460,253 | 390,181 |
| Total notes payable on real estate | \$ 679,624 | \$ 551,277 |

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)**

At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, \$3.5 million of the non-current portion of notes payable on real estate were recourse to us, beyond being recourse to the single-purpose entity that held the real estate asset and was the primary obligor on the note payable.

9. Debt

Since 2001, we have maintained a credit agreement with Credit Suisse Group AG (CS) and other lenders to fund strategic acquisitions and to provide for our working capital needs. On March 24, 2009, we entered into a second amendment and restatement to our credit agreement (Credit Agreement) with a syndicate of banks led by CS, as administrative and collateral agent, amending and restating our amended and restated credit agreement dated December 20, 2006. In connection with this amendment and restatement, we wrote off financing costs of \$29.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, which included the write-off of \$18.1 million of unamortized deferred financing costs and \$11.2 million of Credit Agreement amendment fees paid in March 2009. On August 24, 2009, we entered into a loan modification agreement to our Credit Agreement, which included the conversion of \$41.9 million of amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility to term loans. On both February 5, 2010 and March 29, 2010, we entered into additional loan modification agreements to our Credit Agreement to further extend debt maturities and amortization schedules.

Subsequent to the March 29, 2010 loan modification, our Credit Agreement includes the following: (1) a \$558.1 million revolving credit facility, including revolving credit loans, letters of credit and a swingline loan facility, with tranche 1 in the amount of \$225.1 million maturing on June 24, 2011 and tranche 2 in the amount of \$333.0 million maturing on June 24, 2013; (2) a \$579.8 million A term loan facility, which is further broken down as follows: i) a \$135.9 million tranche A term loan facility requiring quarterly principal payments beginning December 31, 2010 through September 30, 2011, with the balance payable on December 20, 2011; ii) a \$48.6 million tranche A-1 term loan facility payable on December 20, 2013; iii) a \$203.2 million tranche A-2 term loan facility, requiring quarterly principal payments of \$8.7 million beginning September 30, 2012 and continuing through March 31, 2013, with the balance payable on June 24, 2013; iv) a \$167.5 million tranche A-3 term loan facility payable on December 20, 2013; v) a \$24.1 million tranche A-3A term loan facility, requiring quarterly principal payments of \$0.06 million since June 30, 2010 and continuing through September 30, 2013, with the balance payable on December 20, 2013; and vi) a \$0.5 million tranche A-4 term loan facility payable on December 20, 2011, and (3) a \$1,053.0 million B term loan facility, which is further broken down as follows: i) a \$642.8 million tranche B term loan facility requiring quarterly principal payments of \$1.9 million through September 30, 2013, with the balance payable on December 20, 2013; ii) a \$295.2 million tranche B-1 term loan facility payable on December 20, 2015; and iii) a \$115.0 million tranche B-1A term loan facility payable on December 20, 2015.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we repaid the following amounts: \$50.7 million of our tranche A term loan facility, which was applied to the required 2010 principal repayments; \$7.2 million of our tranche A-1 term loan facility, which was applied against the balance due at maturity; \$0.1 million of our tranche A-3A term loan facility, which covered the required quarterly principal payments due June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2010; \$0.5 million of our tranche A-4 term loan facility, which repaid the entire outstanding balance; \$153.8 million of our tranche B term loan facility, part of which covered a portion of the balance due at maturity and which also covered the 2010 required quarterly principal payments through September 30, 2010; \$2.0 million of our tranche B-1 term loan facility, which covered a portion of the balance due at maturity; and \$0.6 million of our tranche B-1A term loan facility, which covered a portion of the balance due at maturity.

The revolving credit facility allows for borrowings outside of the U.S., with sub-facilities of \$5.0 million available to one of our Canadian subsidiaries, \$35.0 million in aggregate available to one of our Australian and

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)**

one of our New Zealand subsidiaries and \$50.0 million available to one of our United Kingdom (U.K.) subsidiaries. Additionally, outstanding borrowings under these sub-facilities may be up to 5.0% higher as allowed under the currency fluctuation provision in the Credit Agreement. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility as of September 30, 2010 bear interest at varying rates, based at our option, on either the applicable fixed rate plus 2.25% to 4.00% or the daily rate plus 1.25% to 3.00% for the tranche 1 facility, and on either the applicable fixed rate plus 2.50% to 4.75% or the daily rate plus 1.50% to 3.75% for the tranche 2 facility, in all cases as determined by reference to our ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement). As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had \$17.9 million (\$12.0 million under tranche 1 and \$5.9 million under tranche 2) and \$21.1 million (\$13.1 million under tranche 1 and \$8.0 million under tranche 2), respectively, of revolving credit facility principal outstanding with related weighted average interest rates of 5.0% and 5.3%, respectively, which are included in short-term borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2010, letters of credit totaling \$21.3 million were outstanding under the revolving credit facility. These letters of credit were primarily issued in the normal course of business as well as in connection with certain insurance programs and reduce the amount we may borrow under the revolving credit facility.

Borrowings under the term loan facilities as of September 30, 2010 bear interest, based at our option, on the following: for the tranche A term loan facility, on either the applicable fixed rate plus 2.75% to 4.50% or the daily rate plus 1.75% to 3.50%; for the tranche A-1 term loan facility, on either the applicable fixed rate plus 3.50% to 4.50% or the daily rate plus 2.50% to 3.50%; for the tranche A-2 term loan facility, on either the applicable fixed rate plus 3.25% to 5.50% or the daily rate plus 2.25% to 4.50%; and for the tranche A-3 and A-3A term loan facilities, on either the applicable fixed rate plus 4.00% to 5.00% or the daily rate plus 3.00% to 4.00%. Effective July 1, 2010, in connection with the \$150.0 million prepayment of our tranche B term loan facility, borrowings under the term B loan facility bear interest, based on our option, on the following: for the tranche B term loan facility, on either the applicable fixed rate plus 3.50% to 4.50% or the daily rate plus 2.50% to 3.50%; and for the tranche B-1 and B-1A term loan facilities, on either the applicable fixed rate plus 4.00% to 5.00% or the daily rate plus 3.00% to 4.00%. For all term loan facilities, both the fixed rate and daily rate options are determined by reference to our ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement). The tranche A-1, A-2, A-3, B-1 and B-1A term loan facilities include a targeted outstanding amount (as defined in the Credit Agreement) provision that will increase the interest rate by 2% if the outstanding balance exceeds the targeted outstanding amount at the end of each quarter. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the outstanding balance did not exceed the targeted outstanding amount. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had \$135.9 million and \$326.3 million of tranche A term loan facility principal outstanding, respectively, \$41.4 million and \$48.6 million of tranche A-1 term loan facility principal outstanding, respectively, \$203.2 million of tranche A-2 term loan facility principal outstanding, \$167.5 million of tranche A-3 term loan facility principal outstanding, \$489.1 million and \$642.8 million of tranche B term loan facility principal outstanding, respectively, and \$293.2 million and \$295.2 million of tranche B-1 term loan facility principal outstanding, respectively, which are included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2010, we also had \$24.0 million of tranche A-3A term loan facility principal outstanding and \$114.4 million of tranche B-1A term loan facility principal outstanding, which are also included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The Credit Agreement is jointly and severally guaranteed by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries. Borrowings under our Credit Agreement are secured by a pledge of substantially all of the capital stock of our U.S. subsidiaries and 65% of the capital stock of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries, and by a security interest in substantially all of the personal property of the U.S. subsidiaries. Also, the Credit Agreement requires us to pay a fee based on the total amount of the revolving credit facility commitment.

Table of Contents

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Our Credit Agreement and the indenture governing our 11.625% senior subordinated notes contain numerous restrictive covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness, pay dividends or make distributions to stockholders, repurchase capital stock or debt, make investments, sell assets or subsidiary stock, create or permit liens on assets, engage in transactions with affiliates, enter into sale/leaseback transactions, issue subsidiary equity and enter into consolidations or mergers. Our Credit Agreement also currently requires us to maintain a minimum coverage ratio of EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement) to total interest expense of 2.00x through March 31, 2011 and 2.25x thereafter and a maximum leverage ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement) of 4.25x through March 31, 2011 and 3.75x thereafter. Our coverage ratio of EBITDA to total interest expense was 6.57x for the trailing twelve months ended September 30, 2010 and our leverage ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA was 1.27x as of September 30, 2010.

On April 19, 2010, we entered into a Receivables Purchase Agreement (RPA), which allows us to transfer an undivided interest in a designated pool of U.S. accounts receivable, on an ongoing basis, to provide collateral for borrowings up to a maximum of \$55.0 million. Borrowings under this arrangement generally bear interest at the commercial paper rate plus 2.75% and this agreement expires on April 18, 2011. As of September 30, 2010, there were no amounts outstanding under this agreement.

10. Commitments and Contingencies

We are a party to a number of pending or threatened lawsuits arising out of, or incident to, our ordinary course of business, as well as investigations relating to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Our management believes that any liability imposed on us that may result from disposition of these lawsuits or investigations will not have a material effect on our business, consolidated financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

We had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$29.0 million as of September 30, 2010, excluding letters of credit for which we have outstanding liabilities already accrued on our consolidated balance sheet related to our subsidiaries' outstanding reserves for claims under certain insurance programs as well as letters of credit related to operating leases. These letters of credit are primarily executed by us in the normal course of business as well as in connection with certain insurance programs. The letters of credit expire at varying dates through October 2011.

We had guarantees totaling \$11.7 million as of September 30, 2010, excluding guarantees related to pension liabilities, consolidated indebtedness and other obligations for which we have outstanding liabilities already accrued on our consolidated balance sheet as well as operating leases. The \$11.7 million primarily consists of guarantees of obligations of unconsolidated subsidiaries, which expire at varying dates through October 2013.

In addition, as of September 30, 2010, we had numerous completion and budget guarantees relating to development projects. These guarantees are made by us in the normal course of our Development Services business. Each of these guarantees requires us to complete construction of the relevant project within a specified timeframe and/or within a specified budget, with us potentially being liable for costs to complete in excess of such timeframe or budget. However, we generally have guaranteed maximum price contracts with reputable general contractors with respect to projects for which we provide these guarantees. These contracts are intended to pass the risk to such contractors. While there can be no assurance, we do not expect to incur any material losses under these guarantees.

From time to time, we act as a general contractor with respect to construction projects. We do not consider these activities to be a material part of our business. In connection with these activities, we seek to subcontract

Table of Contents

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

construction work for certain projects to reputable subcontractors. Should construction defects arise relating to the underlying projects, we could potentially be liable to the client for the costs to repair such defects, although we would generally look to the subcontractor that performed the work to remedy the defect and also look to insurance policies that cover this work. While there can be no assurance, we do not expect to incur material losses with respect to construction defects.

In January 2008, CBRE Multifamily Capital, Inc. (CBRE MCI), a wholly-owned subsidiary of CBRE Capital Markets, Inc., entered into an agreement with Fannie Mae, under Fannie Mae's Delegated Underwriting and Servicing (DUS) Lender Program (DUS Program), to provide financing for multifamily housing with five or more units. Under the DUS Program, CBRE MCI originates, underwrites, closes and services loans without prior approval by Fannie Mae, and in selected cases, is subject to sharing up to one-third of any losses on loans originated under the DUS Program. CBRE MCI has funded loans subject to such loss sharing arrangements with unpaid principal balances of \$1.7 billion at September 30, 2010. Additionally, CBRE MCI has funded loans under the DUS Program that are not subject to loss sharing arrangements with unpaid principal balances of approximately \$435.3 million at September 30, 2010. CBRE MCI, under its agreement with Fannie Mae, must post cash reserves under formulas established by Fannie Mae to provide for sufficient capital in the event losses occur. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, CBRE MCI had \$1.7 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, of cash deposited under this reserve arrangement, and had provided approximately \$3.2 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, of loan loss accruals. Fannie Mae's recourse under the DUS Program is limited to the assets of CBRE MCI.

An important part of the strategy for our Global Investment Management business involves investing our capital in certain real estate investments with our clients. These co-investments typically range from 2% to 5% of the equity in a particular fund. As of September 30, 2010, we had aggregate commitments of \$20.3 million to fund future co-investments.

Additionally, an important part of our Development Services business strategy is to invest in unconsolidated real estate subsidiaries as a principal (in most cases co-investing with our clients). As of September 30, 2010, we had committed to fund \$27.2 million of additional capital to these unconsolidated subsidiaries.

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****11. Income (Loss) Per Share**

Basic income (loss) per share attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. is computed by dividing net income (loss) attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. The computation of diluted income (loss) per share attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. generally further assumes the dilutive effect of potential common shares, which include stock options and certain contingently issuable shares. Contingently issuable shares consist of non-vested stock awards. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, all stock options and contingently issuable shares were anti-dilutive, since we reported a net loss for the period. As a result, basic and diluted loss per share was the same for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The following is a calculation of income (loss) per share attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. (dollars in thousands, except share data):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Computation of basic income (loss) per share attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. shareholders: | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. shareholders | \$ 57,038 | \$ 12,377 | \$ 105,201 | \$ (30,949) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for basic income (loss) per share | 313,791,661 | 282,732,848 | 313,197,421 | 270,214,427 |
| Basic income (loss) per share attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. shareholders | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.34 | \$ (0.11) |
| Computation of diluted income (loss) per share attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. shareholders: | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. shareholders | \$ 57,038 | \$ 12,377 | \$ 105,201 | \$ (30,949) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for basic income (loss) per share | 313,791,661 | 282,732,848 | 313,197,421 | 270,214,427 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options | 2,673,719 | 2,770,300 | 2,554,348 | |
| Dilutive effect of contingently issuable shares | 2,887,979 | 420,453 | 2,527,199 | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for diluted income (loss) per share | 319,353,359 | 285,923,601 | 318,278,968 | 270,214,427 |
| Diluted income (loss) per share attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. shareholders | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.33 | \$ (0.11) |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, options to purchase 597,547 shares of common stock and 1,651,677 of contingently issuable shares were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because their inclusion would have had an anti-dilutive effect.

For the three months ended September 30, 2009, options to purchase 3,394,143 shares of common stock and 7,738,345 of contingently issuable shares were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because their inclusion would have had an anti-dilutive effect. Had we reported net income for the nine months

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)**

ended September 30, 2009, options to purchase 4,767,349 shares of common stock would have been included in the computation of diluted earnings per share, while options to purchase 3,394,143 shares of common stock would have been excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as their inclusion would have had an anti-dilutive effect. Additionally, had we reported net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, 2,512,590 of contingently issuable shares would have been included in the computation of diluted earnings per share, while 7,738,345 of contingently issuable shares would have been excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as their inclusion would have had an anti-dilutive effect.

12. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The following table provides a summary of comprehensive income (loss) (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 55,715 | \$ (10,643) | \$ 84,214 | \$ (78,768) |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation gain | 61,191 | 9,653 | 597 | 40,261 |
| Unrealized gains on interest rate swaps and interest rate caps, net | 86 | 1,972 | 382 | 7,818 |
| Other, net | (198) | 630 | 2,015 | 816 |
| Total other comprehensive income | 61,079 | 12,255 | 2,994 | 48,895 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | 116,794 | 1,612 | 87,208 | (29,873) |
| Comprehensive loss attributable to non-controlling interests | (643) | (21,392) | (21,031) | (46,766) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ 117,437 | \$ 23,004 | \$ 108,239 | \$ 16,893 |

13. Pensions

We have two contributory defined benefit pension plans in the U.K., which we acquired in connection with previous acquisitions. Our subsidiaries based in the U.K. maintain the plans to provide retirement benefits to existing and former employees participating in these plans. During 2007, we reached agreements with the active members of these plans to freeze future pension plan benefits. In return, the active members became eligible to enroll in the CBRE Group Personal Pension Plan, a defined contribution plan in the U.K.

Net periodic pension cost consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest cost | \$ 4,050 | \$ 3,721 | \$ 11,997 | \$ 10,566 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (3,757) | (3,230) | (11,133) | (9,174) |
| Amortization of unrecognized net loss | 552 | 271 | 1,637 | 769 |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|----------|----------|
| Net periodic pension cost | \$ 845 | \$ 762 | \$ 2,501 | \$ 2,161 |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|----------|----------|

We contributed \$0.9 million and \$2.5 million to fund our pension plans during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. We expect to contribute a total of \$3.5 million to fund our pension plans for the year ending December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****14. Discontinued Operations**

In the ordinary course of business, we dispose of real estate assets, or hold real estate assets for sale, that may be considered components of an entity in accordance with Topic 360. If we do not have, or expect to have, significant continuing involvement with the operation of these real estate assets after disposition, we are required to recognize operating profits or losses and gains or losses on disposition of these assets as discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of operations in the periods in which they occur. Real estate operations and dispositions accounted for as discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were reported in our Development Services segment as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 |
|---|--|---|
| Revenue | \$ 704 | \$ 1,682 |
| Costs and expenses: | | |
| Operating, administrative and other | 500 | 856 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 33 | 201 |
| Total costs and expenses | 533 | 1,057 |
| Gain on disposition of real estate | 8,520 | 20,399 |
| Operating income | 8,691 | 21,024 |
| Interest income | | 1 |
| Interest expense | 372 | 1,087 |
| Income from discontinued operations, before provision for income taxes | 8,319 | 19,938 |
| Provision for income taxes | 498 | 4,977 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 7,821 | 14,961 |
| Less: Income from discontinued operations attributable to non-controlling interests | 6,346 | 5,975 |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ 1,475 | \$ 8,986 |

15. Guarantor and Nonguarantor Financial Statements

The following condensed consolidating financial information includes:

(1) Condensed consolidating balance sheets as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009; condensed consolidating statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009; and condensed consolidating statements of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, of (a) CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. as the parent, (b) CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. (CBRE) as the subsidiary issuer, (c) the guarantor subsidiaries, (d) the nonguarantor subsidiaries and (e) CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. on a consolidated basis; and

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

(2) Elimination entries necessary to consolidate CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. as the parent, with CBRE and its guarantor and nonguarantor subsidiaries.

Investments in consolidated subsidiaries are presented using the equity method of accounting. The principal elimination entries eliminate investments in consolidated subsidiaries and inter-company balances and transactions.

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET****AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2010****(Dollars in thousands)**

| | Parent | CBRE | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Nonguarantor Subsidiaries | Elimination | Consolidated Total |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Current Assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 4 | \$ 243,029 | \$ 366,107 | \$ 159,535 | \$ | \$ 768,675 |
| Restricted cash | | 4,823 | 15,989 | 30,685 | | 51,497 |
| Receivables, net | | 4 | 342,269 | 475,757 | | 818,030 |
| Warehouse receivables (a) | | | 264,819 | | | 264,819 |
| Income taxes receivable | 18,279 | 77,711 | | 11,032 | (45,148) | 61,874 |
| Prepaid expenses | | 417 | 38,088 | 50,316 | | 88,821 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | | | 50,873 | 23,110 | | 73,983 |
| Real estate and other assets held for sale | | | | 5,671 | | 5,671 |
| Other current assets | | | 27,012 | 17,205 | | 44,217 |
| Total Current Assets | 18,283 | 325,984 | 1,105,157 | 773,311 | (45,148) | 2,177,587 |
| Property and equipment, net | | | 91,075 | 63,082 | | 154,157 |
| Goodwill | | | 800,228 | 516,615 | | 1,316,843 |
| Other intangible assets, net | | | 302,754 | 28,994 | | 331,748 |
| Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries | | | 74,730 | 57,658 | | 132,388 |
| Investments in consolidated subsidiaries | 990,635 | 2,737,305 | 967,235 | | (4,695,175) | |
| Intercompany loan receivable | | | 635,000 | 119,352 | (754,352) | |
| Deferred tax assets, net | | | | 33,219 | (21,854) | 11,365 |
| Real estate under development | | | | 131,424 | | 131,424 |
| Real estate held for investment | | | 4,705 | 697,085 | | 701,790 |
| Available for sale securities | | | 31,791 | | | 31,791 |
| Other assets, net | | 24,400 | 22,095 | 39,475 | | 85,970 |
| Total Assets | \$ 1,008,918 | \$ 3,087,689 | \$ 4,034,770 | \$ 2,460,215 | \$ (5,516,529) | \$ 5,075,063 |
| Current Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ | \$ 16,854 | \$ 126,193 | \$ 262,753 | \$ | \$ 405,800 |
| Compensation and employee benefits payable | | 626 | 178,499 | 126,735 | | 305,860 |
| Accrued bonus and profit sharing | | | 144,236 | 130,029 | | 274,265 |
| Income taxes payable | | | 45,148 | | (45,148) | |
| Short-term borrowings: | | | | | | |
| Warehouse lines of credit (a) | | | 260,112 | | | 260,112 |
| Revolving credit facility | | 10,209 | | 7,684 | | 17,893 |
| Other | | | 16 | 2,000 | | 2,016 |
| Total short-term borrowings | | 10,209 | 260,128 | 9,684 | | 280,021 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | | 108,182 | | 51 | | 108,233 |
| Notes payable on real estate | | | | 215,030 | | 215,030 |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Liabilities related to real estate and other assets held for sale | | | | 4,342 | | 4,342 |
| Other current liabilities | 13,733 | | | 2,584 | | 16,317 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 135,871 | 767,937 | 751,208 | (45,148) | | 1,609,868 |
| Long-Term Debt: | | | | | | |
| Senior secured term loans | 1,360,548 | | | | | 1,360,548 |
| 11.625% senior subordinated notes, net | 437,373 | | | | | 437,373 |
| Other long-term debt | | | | 141 | | 141 |
| Intercompany loan payable | 235,521 | 163,262 | 355,569 | | (754,352) | |
| Total Long-Term Debt | 235,521 | 1,961,183 | 355,569 | 141 | (754,352) | 1,798,062 |
| Deferred tax liabilities, net | | | 21,854 | | (21,854) | |
| Pension liability | | | | 63,128 | | 63,128 |
| Non-current tax liabilities | | | 78,359 | | | 78,359 |
| Notes payable on real estate | | | | 460,253 | | 460,253 |
| Other liabilities | | | 73,746 | 34,683 | | 108,429 |
| Total Liabilities | 235,521 | 2,097,054 | 1,297,465 | 1,309,413 | (821,354) | 4,118,099 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | | | | |
| Equity: | | | | | | |
| CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. Stockholders' Equity | 773,397 | 990,635 | 2,737,305 | 967,235 | (4,695,175) | 773,397 |
| Non-controlling interests | | | | 183,567 | | 183,567 |
| Total Equity | 773,397 | 990,635 | 2,737,305 | 1,150,802 | (4,695,175) | 956,964 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$ 1,008,918 | \$ 3,087,689 | \$ 4,034,770 | \$ 2,460,215 | \$ (5,516,529) | \$ 5,075,063 |

- (a) Although CBRE Capital Markets is included among our domestic subsidiaries, which jointly and severally guarantee our 11.625% senior subordinated notes and our Credit Agreement, a substantial majority of warehouse receivables funded under the JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. (JP Morgan), Fannie Mae As Soon As Pooled (ASAP) and Bank of America (BofA) lines of credit are pledged to JP Morgan, Fannie Mae and BofA, and accordingly, are not included as collateral for these notes or our other outstanding debt.

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET****AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2009****(Dollars in thousands)**

| | Parent | CBRE | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Nonguarantor Subsidiaries | Elimination | Consolidated Total |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Current Assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 4 | \$ 242,586 | \$ 283,251 | \$ 215,716 | \$ | \$ 741,557 |
| Restricted cash | | | 13,786 | 33,011 | | 46,797 |
| Receivables, net | | 2 | 297,717 | 478,210 | | 775,929 |
| Warehouse receivables (a) | | | 315,033 | | | 315,033 |
| Income taxes receivable | 14,062 | 171,549 | | 23,046 | (45,625) | 163,032 |
| Prepaid expenses | | | 44,148 | 55,161 | | 99,309 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | | | 54,183 | 21,147 | | 75,330 |
| Real estate and other assets held for sale | | | | 7,109 | | 7,109 |
| Other current assets | | 4,660 | 26,236 | 11,733 | | 42,629 |
| Total Current Assets | 14,066 | 418,797 | 1,034,354 | 845,133 | (45,625) | 2,266,725 |
| Property and equipment, net | | | 106,488 | 72,487 | | 178,975 |
| Goodwill | | | 797,142 | 509,230 | | 1,306,372 |
| Other intangible assets, net | | | 293,886 | 29,018 | | 322,904 |
| Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries | | | 68,144 | 67,452 | | 135,596 |
| Investments in consolidated subsidiaries | 811,588 | 2,535,355 | 903,699 | | (4,250,642) | |
| Intercompany loan receivable | | | 635,000 | 47,271 | (682,271) | |
| Deferred tax assets, net | | | | 34,162 | (30,767) | 3,395 |
| Real estate under development | | | | 160,164 | | 160,164 |
| Real estate held for investment | | | 4,680 | 521,489 | | 526,169 |
| Available for sale securities | | | 31,796 | 220 | | 32,016 |
| Other assets, net | | 25,914 | 40,671 | 40,505 | | 107,090 |
| Total Assets | \$ 825,654 | \$ 2,980,066 | \$ 3,915,860 | \$ 2,327,131 | \$ (5,009,305) | \$ 5,039,406 |
| Current Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ | \$ 5,905 | \$ 126,319 | \$ 326,286 | \$ | \$ 458,510 |
| Compensation and employee benefits payable | | 626 | 118,310 | 121,600 | | 240,536 |
| Accrued bonus and profit sharing | | | 128,133 | 150,311 | | 278,444 |
| Income taxes payable | | | 45,625 | | (45,625) | |
| Short-term borrowings: | | | | | | |
| Warehouse lines of credit (a) | | | 312,872 | | | 312,872 |
| Revolving credit facility | | 10,501 | | 10,549 | | 21,050 |
| Other | | | 350 | 5,500 | | 5,850 |
| Total short-term borrowings | | 10,501 | 313,222 | 16,049 | | 339,772 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | | 138,120 | 232 | 330 | | 138,682 |
| Notes payable on real estate | | | | 159,921 | | 159,921 |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Liabilities related to real estate and other assets held for sale | | | | 1,267 | | 1,267 |
| Other current liabilities | 1,190 | | 8,946 | 1,773 | | 11,909 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 1,190 | 155,152 | 740,787 | 777,537 | (45,625) | 1,629,041 |
| Long-Term Debt: | | | | | | |
| Senior secured term loans | | 1,545,490 | | | | 1,545,490 |
| 11.625% senior subordinated notes, net | | 436,502 | | | | 436,502 |
| Other long-term debt | | | | 129 | | 129 |
| Intercompany loan payable | 195,342 | 31,334 | 455,595 | | (682,271) | |
| Total Long-Term Debt | 195,342 | 2,013,326 | 455,595 | 129 | (682,271) | 1,982,121 |
| Deferred tax liabilities, net | | | 30,767 | | (30,767) | |
| Pension liability | | | | 64,945 | | 64,945 |
| Non-current tax liabilities | | | 73,462 | | | 73,462 |
| Notes payable on real estate | | | | 390,181 | | 390,181 |
| Other liabilities | | | 79,894 | 35,467 | | 115,361 |
| Total Liabilities | 196,532 | 2,168,478 | 1,380,505 | 1,268,259 | (758,663) | 4,255,111 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | | | | |
| Equity: | | | | | | |
| CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. Stockholders' Equity | 629,122 | 811,588 | 2,535,355 | 903,699 | (4,250,642) | 629,122 |
| Non-controlling interests | | | | 155,173 | | 155,173 |
| Total Equity | 629,122 | 811,588 | 2,535,355 | 1,058,872 | (4,250,642) | 784,295 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$ 825,654 | \$ 2,980,066 | \$ 3,915,860 | \$ 2,327,131 | \$ (5,009,305) | \$ 5,039,406 |

- (a) Although CBRE Capital Markets is included among our domestic subsidiaries, which jointly and severally guarantee our 11.625% senior subordinated notes and our Credit Agreement, a substantial majority of warehouse receivables funded under the JP Morgan, BofA and the Fannie Mae ASAP lines of credit are pledged to JP Morgan, BofA and Fannie Mae, and accordingly, are not included as collateral for these notes or our other outstanding debt.

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010****(Dollars in thousands)**

| | Parent | CBRE | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Nonguarantor Subsidiaries | Elimination | Consolidated Total |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Revenue | \$ | \$ | \$ 745,374 | \$ 520,844 | \$ | \$ 1,266,218 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | |
| Cost of services | | | 449,176 | 286,217 | | 735,393 |
| Operating, administrative and other | 12,851 | 1,592 | 189,778 | 170,594 | | 374,815 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | 13,510 | 12,095 | | 25,605 |
| Total costs and expenses | 12,851 | 1,592 | 652,464 | 468,906 | | 1,135,813 |
| Gain on disposition of real estate | | | 68 | 106 | | 174 |
| Operating (loss) income | (12,851) | (1,592) | 92,978 | 52,044 | | 130,579 |
| Equity income (loss) from unconsolidated subsidiaries | | | 5,182 | (1,500) | | 3,682 |
| Interest income | | 44 | 644 | 912 | (137) | 1,463 |
| Interest expense | | 37,194 | 1,975 | 10,723 | (137) | 49,755 |
| Royalty and management service (income) expense | | | (5,819) | 5,819 | | |
| Income from consolidated subsidiaries | 64,785 | 88,138 | 24,366 | | (177,289) | |
| Income from continuing operations before (benefit of) provision for income taxes | 51,934 | 49,396 | 127,014 | 34,914 | (177,289) | 85,969 |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | (5,104) | (15,389) | 38,876 | 19,692 | | 38,075 |
| Net income from continuing operations | 57,038 | 64,785 | 88,138 | 15,222 | (177,289) | 47,894 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | | | | 7,821 | | 7,821 |
| Net income | 57,038 | 64,785 | 88,138 | 23,043 | (177,289) | 55,715 |
| Less: Net loss attributable to non-controlling interests | | | | (1,323) | | (1,323) |
| Net income attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ 57,038 | \$ 64,785 | \$ 88,138 | \$ 24,366 | \$ (177,289) | \$ 57,038 |

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009****(Dollars in thousands)**

| | Parent | CBRE | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Nonguarantor Subsidiaries | Elimination | Consolidated Total |
|--|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Revenue | \$ | \$ | \$ 604,949 | \$ 418,256 | \$ | \$ 1,023,205 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | |
| Cost of services | | | 367,623 | 238,847 | | 606,470 |
| Operating, administrative and other | 9,708 | 1,001 | 168,796 | 158,557 | | 338,062 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | 13,522 | 10,923 | | 24,445 |
| Total costs and expenses | 9,708 | 1,001 | 549,941 | 408,327 | | 968,977 |
| Gain on disposition of real estate | | | | 2,766 | | 2,766 |
| Operating (loss) income | (9,708) | (1,001) | 55,008 | 12,695 | | 56,994 |
| Equity loss from unconsolidated subsidiaries | | | (664) | (5,648) | | (6,312) |
| Interest income | | 8 | 1,609 | 7 | (376) | 1,248 |
| Interest expense | | 45,927 | 572 | 7,952 | (376) | 54,075 |
| Royalty and management service (income) expense | | | (6,432) | 6,432 | | |
| Income from consolidated subsidiaries | 18,212 | 46,718 | 4,088 | | (69,018) | |
| Income (loss) before (benefit of) provision for income taxes | 8,504 | (202) | 65,901 | (7,330) | (69,018) | (2,145) |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | (3,873) | (18,414) | 19,183 | 11,602 | | 8,498 |
| Net income (loss) | 12,377 | 18,212 | 46,718 | (18,932) | (69,018) | (10,643) |
| Less: Net loss attributable to non-controlling interests | | | | (23,020) | | (23,020) |
| Net income attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ 12,377 | \$ 18,212 | \$ 46,718 | \$ 4,088 | \$ (69,018) | \$ 12,377 |

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010****(Dollars in thousands)**

| | Parent | CBRE | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Nonguarantor Subsidiaries | Elimination | Consolidated Total |
|--|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Revenue | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,018,598 | \$ 1,445,422 | \$ | \$ 3,464,020 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | |
| Cost of services | | | 1,213,866 | 815,435 | | 2,029,301 |
| Operating, administrative and other | 33,961 | 4,106 | 554,257 | 493,230 | | 1,085,554 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | 42,244 | 37,272 | | 79,516 |
| Total costs and expenses | 33,961 | 4,106 | 1,810,367 | 1,345,937 | | 3,194,371 |
| Gain on disposition of real estate | | | 3,381 | 416 | | 3,797 |
| Operating (loss) income | (33,961) | (4,106) | 211,612 | 99,901 | | 273,446 |
| Equity income (loss) from unconsolidated subsidiaries | | | 13,989 | (2,656) | | 11,333 |
| Interest income | | 147 | 2,130 | 4,583 | (486) | 6,374 |
| Interest expense | | 116,783 | 2,214 | 31,311 | (486) | 149,822 |
| Royalty and management service (income) expense | | | (16,916) | 16,916 | | |
| Income from consolidated subsidiaries | 125,673 | 198,456 | 46,220 | | (370,349) | |
| Income from continuing operations before (benefit of) provision for income taxes | 91,712 | 77,714 | 288,653 | 53,601 | (370,349) | 141,331 |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | (13,489) | (47,959) | 90,197 | 43,329 | | 72,078 |
| Net income from continuing operations | 105,201 | 125,673 | 198,456 | 10,272 | (370,349) | 69,253 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | | | | 14,961 | | 14,961 |
| Net income | 105,201 | 125,673 | 198,456 | 25,233 | (370,349) | 84,214 |
| Less: Net loss attributable to non-controlling interests | | | | (20,987) | | (20,987) |
| Net income attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ 105,201 | \$ 125,673 | \$ 198,456 | \$ 46,220 | \$ (370,349) | \$ 105,201 |

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009****(Dollars in thousands)**

| | Parent | CBRE | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Nonguarantor Subsidiaries | Elimination | Consolidated Total |
|--|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Revenue | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,732,103 | \$ 1,137,218 | \$ | \$ 2,869,321 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | |
| Cost of services | | | 1,068,677 | 658,043 | | 1,726,720 |
| Operating, administrative and other | 24,674 | 3,789 | 513,342 | 431,087 | | 972,892 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | 41,039 | 32,964 | | 74,003 |
| Total costs and expenses | 24,674 | 3,789 | 1,623,058 | 1,122,094 | | 2,773,615 |
| Gain on disposition of real estate | | | | 5,691 | | 5,691 |
| Operating (loss) income | (24,674) | (3,789) | 109,045 | 20,815 | | 101,397 |
| Equity loss from unconsolidated subsidiaries | | | (4,934) | (13,318) | | (18,252) |
| Interest income | | 36 | 3,676 | 2,492 | (1,414) | 4,790 |
| Interest expense | | 113,270 | 795 | 23,640 | (1,414) | 136,291 |
| Write-off of financing costs | | 29,255 | | | | 29,255 |
| Royalty and management service (income) expense | | | (12,420) | 12,420 | | |
| (Loss) income from consolidated subsidiaries | (16,118) | 72,311 | 2,956 | | (59,149) | |
| (Loss) income before (benefit of) provision for income taxes | (40,792) | (73,967) | 122,368 | (26,071) | (59,149) | (77,611) |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | (9,843) | (57,849) | 50,057 | 18,792 | | 1,157 |
| Net (loss) income | (30,949) | (16,118) | 72,311 | (44,863) | (59,149) | (78,768) |
| Less: Net loss attributable to non-controlling interests | | | | (47,819) | | (47,819) |
| Net (loss) income attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ (30,949) | \$ (16,118) | \$ 72,311 | \$ 2,956 | \$ (59,149) | \$ (30,949) |

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS****FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010****(Dollars in thousands)**

| | Parent | CBRE | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Nonguarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidated Total |
|--|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | \$ 10,731 | \$ 43,624 | \$ 196,197 | \$ 74,372 | \$ 324,924 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | | | (11,193) | (6,692) | (17,885) |
| Acquisition of businesses including net assets acquired, intangibles and goodwill | | | (2,340) | (66,280) | (68,620) |
| Contributions to unconsolidated subsidiaries | | | (19,329) | (3,317) | (22,646) |
| Distributions from unconsolidated subsidiaries | | | 18,102 | 1,141 | 19,243 |
| Net proceeds from disposition of real estate held for investment | | | | 76,504 | 76,504 |
| Additions to real estate held for investment | | | | (22,861) | (22,861) |
| Proceeds from the sale of servicing rights and other assets | | | 20,775 | 1,747 | 22,522 |
| Increase in restricted cash | | | (2,201) | (3,525) | (5,726) |
| Other investing activities, net | | | (1,386) | | (1,386) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | | | 2,428 | (23,283) | (20,855) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | |
| Repayment of senior secured term loans | | (214,880) | | | (214,880) |
| Proceeds from revolving credit facility | | | | 16,349 | 16,349 |
| Repayment of revolving credit facility | | | | (19,190) | (19,190) |
| Proceeds from notes payable on real estate held for investment | | | | 18,981 | 18,981 |
| Repayment of notes payable on real estate held for investment | | | | (79,555) | (79,555) |
| Proceeds from notes payable on real estate held for sale and under development | | | | 3,603 | 3,603 |
| Repayment of notes payable on real estate held for sale and under development | | | | (9,953) | (9,953) |
| Repayment of short-term borrowings and other loans, net | | | (548) | (3,500) | (4,048) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 578 | | | | 578 |
| Non-controlling interests contributions | | | | 27,367 | 27,367 |
| Non-controlling interests distributions | | | | (6,725) | (6,725) |
| Payment of financing costs | | (5,191) | | (875) | (6,066) |
| (Increase) decrease in intercompany receivables, net | (12,110) | 176,890 | (115,221) | (49,559) | |
| Other financing activities, net | 801 | | | (283) | 518 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (10,731) | (43,181) | (115,769) | (103,340) | (273,021) |
| Effect of currency exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | | | | (3,930) | (3,930) |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | 443 | 82,856 | (56,181) | 27,118 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 4 | 242,586 | 283,251 | 215,716 | 741,557 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT END OF PERIOD | \$ 4 | \$ 243,029 | \$ 366,107 | \$ 159,535 | \$ 768,675 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | | | | |
| Cash paid (received) during the period for: | | | | | |
| Interest | \$ | \$ 98,296 | \$ 5 | \$ 24,330 | \$ 122,631 |
| Income tax (refunds) payments, net | \$ (6,424) | \$ (78,380) | \$ 27,995 | \$ 30,001 | \$ (26,808) |

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS****FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009****(Dollars in thousands)**

| | Parent | CBRE | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Nonguarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidated Total |
|--|-----------|-------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | \$ 13,080 | \$ (64,160) | \$ 129,378 | \$ (24,847) | \$ 53,451 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | | | (7,272) | (5,375) | (12,647) |
| Acquisition of businesses including net assets acquired, intangibles and goodwill | | | (5,762) | (22,501) | (28,263) |
| Contributions to unconsolidated subsidiaries | | | (4,856) | (36,810) | (41,666) |
| Distributions from unconsolidated subsidiaries | | | 3,898 | 864 | 4,762 |
| Net proceeds from disposition of real estate held for investment | | | | 3,408 | 3,408 |
| Additions to real estate held for investment | | | | (22,952) | (22,952) |
| Proceeds from the sale of servicing rights and other assets | | | 6,704 | 259 | 6,963 |
| Increase in restricted cash | | | (2,370) | (4,014) | (6,384) |
| Other investing activities, net | | | (1,126) | | (1,126) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | | (10,784) | (87,121) | (97,905) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | |
| Repayment of senior secured term loans | | (429,250) | | | (429,250) |
| Proceeds from revolving credit facility | | 793,049 | | 7,879 | 800,928 |
| Repayment of revolving credit facility | | (741,646) | | (10,564) | (752,210) |
| Proceeds from 11.625% senior subordinated notes, net | | 435,928 | | | 435,928 |
| Proceeds from notes payable on real estate held for investment | | | | 13,764 | 13,764 |
| Repayment of notes payable on real estate held for investment | | | | (5,432) | (5,432) |
| Proceeds from notes payable on real estate held for sale and under development | | | | 48,640 | 48,640 |
| Repayment of notes payable on real estate held for sale and under development | | | | (34,968) | (34,968) |
| Repayment of short-term borrowings and other loans, net | | | (1,676) | (2,517) | (4,193) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net | 146,361 | | | | 146,361 |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 14,735 | | | | 14,735 |
| Non-controlling interests contributions | | | | 20,470 | 20,470 |
| Non-controlling interests distributions | | | | (12,501) | (12,501) |
| Payment of financing costs | | (37,690) | | (1,008) | (38,698) |
| (Increase) decrease in intercompany receivables, net | (174,080) | 154,317 | (87,593) | 107,356 | |
| Other financing activities, net | (96) | | | (1,233) | (1,329) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (13,080) | 174,708 | (89,269) | 129,886 | 202,245 |
| Effect of currency exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | | | | 9,431 | 9,431 |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | 110,548 | 29,325 | 27,349 | 167,222 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 4 | 7,203 | 9,467 | 142,149 | 158,823 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT END OF PERIOD | \$ 4 | \$ 117,751 | \$ 38,792 | \$ 169,498 | \$ 326,045 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | | | | |
| Cash paid (received) during the period for: | | | | | |
| Interest | \$ | \$ 85,148 | \$ 97 | \$ 15,065 | \$ 100,310 |
| Income tax (refunds) payments, net | \$ (2,126) | \$ (9,221) | \$ (56,198) | \$ 13,627 | \$ (53,918) |

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****16. Industry Segments**

We report our operations through five segments. The segments are as follows: (1) Americas, (2) EMEA, (3) Asia Pacific, (4) Global Investment Management and (5) Development Services.

The Americas segment is our largest segment of operations and provides a comprehensive range of services throughout the U.S. and in the largest regions of Canada and selected parts of Latin America. The primary services offered consist of the following: real estate services, mortgage loan origination and servicing, valuation services, asset services and corporate services.

Our EMEA and Asia Pacific segments provide services similar to the Americas business segment. The EMEA segment has operations primarily in Europe, while the Asia Pacific segment has operations primarily in Asia, Australia and New Zealand.

Our Global Investment Management business provides investment management services to clients seeking to generate returns and diversification through direct and indirect investments in real estate in the U.S., Europe and Asia.

Our Development Services business consists of real estate development and investment activities primarily in the U.S.

Summarized financial information by segment is as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Americas | \$ 812,287 | \$ 646,249 | \$ 2,180,153 | \$ 1,824,855 |
| EMEA | 215,768 | 192,276 | 629,306 | 531,032 |
| Asia Pacific | 167,357 | 131,586 | 460,467 | 347,332 |
| Global Investment Management | 49,518 | 32,872 | 135,821 | 102,774 |
| Development Services | 21,288 | 20,222 | 58,273 | 63,328 |
| | \$ 1,266,218 | \$ 1,023,205 | \$ 3,464,020 | \$ 2,869,321 |
| EBITDA | | | | |
| Americas | \$ 110,487 | \$ 63,744 | \$ 262,322 | \$ 144,987 |
| EMEA | 17,786 | 14,725 | 41,776 | 17,536 |
| Asia Pacific | 15,554 | 12,971 | 36,589 | 27,130 |
| Global Investment Management | 16,680 | 4,642 | 22,516 | 6,397 |
| Development Services | 9,406 | 2,065 | 43,304 | 8,917 |
| | \$ 169,913 | \$ 98,147 | \$ 406,507 | \$ 204,967 |

EBITDA represents earnings before net interest expense, write-off of financing costs, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. Our management believes EBITDA is useful in evaluating our operating performance compared to that of other companies in our industry because the calculation of EBITDA generally eliminates the effects of financing and income taxes and the accounting effects of capital spending and

acquisitions, which would include impairment charges of goodwill and intangibles created from acquisitions. Such items may vary for different companies for reasons unrelated to overall operating performance. As a result,

Table of Contents

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

our management uses EBITDA as a measure to evaluate the operating performance of our various business segments and for other discretionary purposes, including as a significant component when measuring our operating performance under our employee incentive programs. Additionally, we believe EBITDA is useful to investors to assist them in getting a more accurate picture of our results from operations.

However, EBITDA is not a recognized measurement under GAAP and when analyzing our operating performance, readers should use EBITDA in addition to, and not as an alternative for, net income as determined in accordance with GAAP. Because not all companies use identical calculations, our presentation of EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. Furthermore, EBITDA is not intended to be a measure of free cash flow for our management's discretionary use, as it does not consider certain cash requirements such as tax and debt service payments. The amounts shown for EBITDA also differ from the amounts calculated under similarly titled definitions in our debt instruments, which are further adjusted to reflect certain other cash and non-cash charges and are used to determine compliance with financial covenants and our ability to engage in certain activities, such as incurring additional debt and making certain restricted payments.

Table of Contents**CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)**

Net interest expense and write-off of financing costs have been expensed in the segment incurred. Provision for (benefit of) income taxes has been allocated among our segments by using applicable U.S. and foreign effective tax rates. EBITDA for our segments is calculated as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|------------------|--|-------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Americas | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ 41,500 | \$ 11,013 | \$ 79,084 | \$ (19,746) |
| Add: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 13,943 | 14,032 | 43,630 | 42,523 |
| Interest expense | 36,724 | 45,242 | 115,410 | 112,249 |
| Write-off of financing costs | | | | 29,255 |
| Royalty and management service income | (4,909) | (5,575) | (14,401) | (10,280) |
| Provision for (benefit of) income taxes | 24,277 | (27) | 41,708 | (6,117) |
| Less: | | | | |
| Interest income | 1,048 | 941 | 3,109 | 2,897 |
| EBITDA | \$ 110,487 | \$ 63,744 | \$ 262,322 | \$ 144,987 |
| EMEA | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ 5,445 | \$ 575 | \$ 11,695 | \$ (853) |
| Add: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,289 | 2,806 | 7,063 | 7,967 |
| Interest expense | 64 | 237 | 189 | 720 |
| Royalty and management service expense | 2,767 | 3,964 | 8,308 | 6,576 |
| Provision for income taxes | 7,500 | 7,188 | 15,484 | 3,500 |
| Less: | | | | |
| Interest income | 279 | 45 | 963 | 374 |
| EBITDA | \$ 17,786 | \$ 14,725 | \$ 41,776 | \$ 17,536 |
| Asia Pacific | | | | |
| Net income attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ 2,726 | \$ 5,047 | \$ 9,376 | \$ 3,512 |
| Add: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,943 | 2,155 | 6,062 | 6,411 |
| Interest expense | 547 | 912 | 1,717 | 2,305 |
| Royalty and management service expense | 1,949 | 1,388 | 5,487 | 3,065 |
| Provision for income taxes | 8,488 | 3,646 | 15,976 | 12,265 |
| Less: | | | | |
| Interest income | 99 | 177 | 2,029 | 428 |
| EBITDA | \$ 15,554 | \$ 12,971 | \$ 36,589 | \$ 27,130 |
| Global Investment Management | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ 4,835 | \$ 993 | \$ (4,752) | \$ (18) |
| Add: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|---------|-------|
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,632 | 1,257 | 10,102 | 3,646 |
| Interest expense | 8,049 | 1,458 | 18,527 | 3,047 |
| Royalty and management service expense | 193 | 223 | 606 | 639 |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | (4) | 750 | (1,774) | (426) |
| Less: | | | | |
| Interest income | 25 | 39 | 193 | 491 |

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| EBITDA | \$ 16,680 | \$ 4,642 | \$ 22,516 | \$ 6,397 |
|--------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|

Development Services

| | | | | |
|--|----------|------------|----------|-------------|
| Net income (loss) attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ 2,532 | \$ (5,251) | \$ 9,798 | \$ (13,844) |
|--|----------|------------|----------|-------------|

| | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| Add: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization (1) | 3,831 | 4,195 | 12,860 | 13,456 |
| Interest expense (2) | 4,743 | 6,226 | 15,066 | 17,970 |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes (3) | (1,688) | (3,059) | 5,661 | (8,065) |
| Less: | | | | |
| Interest income | 12 | 46 | 81 | 600 |

| | | | | |
|------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| EBITDA (4) | \$ 9,406 | \$ 2,065 | \$ 43,304 | \$ 8,917 |
|------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|

Table of Contents

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

- (1) Includes depreciation and amortization related to discontinued operations of \$0.03 million and \$0.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.
- (2) Includes interest expense related to discontinued operations of \$0.4 million and \$1.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.
- (3) Includes provision for income taxes related to discontinued operations of \$0.5 million and \$5.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.
- (4) Includes EBITDA related to discontinued operations of \$2.4 million and \$15.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

17. Subsequent Events

On October 8, 2010, CBRE issued \$350.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.625% senior notes due October 15, 2020. Shortly thereafter, we repaid approximately \$357.4 million of various tranches of our term A loans using net proceeds from the new \$350.0 million senior notes as well as cash on hand. The 6.625% senior notes are unsecured obligations of CBRE, senior to all of its current and future subordinated indebtedness, but effectively subordinated to all of CBRE's current and future secured indebtedness. The 6.625% senior notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior basis by us and each subsidiary of CBRE that guarantees our Credit Agreement. Interest accrues at a rate of 6.625% per year and is payable semi-annually in arrears on April 15 and October 15, commencing on April 15, 2011.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. for the three months ended September 30, 2010, represents an update to the more detailed and comprehensive disclosures included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Accordingly, you should read the following discussion in conjunction with the information included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as well as the unaudited financial statements included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

In addition, some of the statements and assumptions in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each as amended, including, in particular, statements about our plans, strategies and prospects as well as estimates of industry growth for the fourth quarter and beyond. For important information regarding these forward-looking statements, please see the discussion under the caption "Forward-Looking Statements."

Overview

We are the world's largest commercial real estate services firm, based on 2009 revenue, with leading full-service operations in major metropolitan areas throughout the world. We offer a full range of services to occupiers, owners, lenders and investors in office, retail, industrial, multi-family and other types of commercial real estate. As of December 31, 2009, we operated more than 300 offices worldwide, excluding affiliate offices, with approximately 29,000 employees providing commercial real estate services under the "CB Richard Ellis" brand name and development services under the "Trammell Crow" brand name. Our business is focused on several competencies, including commercial property and corporate facilities management, tenant representation, property/agency leasing, property sales, valuation, real estate investment management, commercial mortgage origination and servicing, capital markets (equity and debt) solutions, development services and proprietary research. We generate revenues from contractual management fees and on a per project or transactional basis. In 2006, we became the first commercial real estate services company included in the S&P 500. In 2008, we became the first commercial real estate services firm to be included in the *Fortune 500* and remained the only commercial real estate services company on this list in 2009 and 2010. Additionally, the International Association of Outsourcing Professionals has included us among the top 100 global outsourcing companies across all industries for four consecutive years, including in 2010 when we ranked 13th overall. In 2010, *The Financial Times* named us Property Investment Advisor of the Year and *Euromoney* magazine named us Global Real Estate Advisor of the Year.

When you read our financial statements and the information included in this section, you should consider that we have experienced, and continue to experience, several material trends and uncertainties that have affected our financial condition and results of operations that make it challenging to predict our future performance based on our historical results. We believe that the following material trends and uncertainties are crucial to an understanding of the variability in our historical earnings and cash flows and the potential for continued variability in the future:

Macroeconomic Conditions

Economic trends and government policies affect global and regional commercial real estate markets as well as our operations directly. These include: overall economic activity and employment growth, interest rate levels, the cost and availability of credit and the impact of tax and regulatory policies. Periods of economic weakness or recession, significantly rising interest rates, declining employment levels, declining demand for real estate, declining real estate values, or the public perception that any of these events may occur, will negatively affect the performance of our business lines. In 2008 and 2009, the severe global economic downturn and credit market crisis had significant adverse effects on our operations, resulting in depressed levels of transaction activity, decreased occupancy and rental rates, sharply lower property values and restrained corporate spending. These

Table of Contents

trends, in turn, adversely affected revenue from property management fees and commissions derived from property sales, leasing, valuation and financing, and funds available to invest in commercial real estate and related assets.

Negative economic conditions also affected our compensation expense, which is generally structured to decrease in line with a fall in revenue. Compensation is our largest expense and the sales and leasing professionals in our largest line of business, advisory services, generally are paid on a commission and bonus basis that correlates with our revenue performance. As a result, the negative effect of difficult market conditions on our operating margins was partially mitigated by the inherent variability of our compensation cost structure. In addition, at times when negative economic conditions are particularly severe, as they were in 2008 and 2009, our management takes decisive actions to improve operational performance by, among other things, reducing discretionary bonuses, curtailing capital expenditures and adjusting overall staffing levels. Notwithstanding these actions, adverse global and regional economic trends remain one of the most significant risks to the financial condition and performance of our operations.

Economic conditions began to negatively affect our performance in the Americas, our largest segment in terms of revenue, beginning in the third quarter of 2007, and the decline in U.S. economic activity accelerated throughout 2008 and most of 2009. The economic weakness became most severe following the global capital markets disruption in late 2008, which caused credit availability to freeze up, investors to become more risk averse and assets of all types to lose significant value. These conditions also caused the economy to contract further and job losses to increase, resulting in a significant decline in leasing activity, space absorption, occupancy levels and rental rates. In addition, U.S. investment sales fell sharply in the face of significantly constrained liquidity, weakened property fundamentals, and the re-pricing of risk. A return to positive economic growth in the United States in the second half of 2009 and a turn toward positive employment growth in early 2010 has had only a modestly beneficial impact on commercial real estate fundamentals. Property values remained under pressure, but appear to be stabilizing, especially for core assets, and occupancy and rental rates appear to have bottomed out. The credit markets and business confidence have improved and sales and leasing transaction velocity began to rebound in early 2010, compared with the depressed levels of 2009.

In Europe, weakening market conditions began to manifest in the United Kingdom in late 2007 and throughout the continent in early 2008. The major European economies also entered into a recession in 2008, which deepened and persisted through 2009. Low rates of economic growth have occurred in 2010. Leasing activity in 2010 remained subdued, but did revive somewhat compared with 2009's depressed levels. Investment sales in Europe were adversely affected by the financial crisis in late 2008 and most of 2009. However, larger markets like London and Paris began to show modest increases in investment sales during the fourth quarter of 2009. This trend continued in 2010 and became evident in more European markets, although the rate of improvement began to slow in the second half of the year. The markets in Asia Pacific were also affected, though generally to a lesser degree than in the United States and Europe, by the global credit market dislocation and economic downturn. This resulted in lower investment sales and leasing activity in 2008 and most of 2009. In late 2009 and continuing in 2010, transaction activity began to revive in Asia Pacific, reflecting the economic rebound in some countries in this region, including China, India, Singapore and Australia.

Deteriorating conditions have also adversely affected investment management and development activity since late 2007 as property values declined sharply, and both financing and disposition options became more limited. However, the financing and disposition markets began to improve modestly in 2010.

Recovery of our global sales, leasing, investment management and development services operations is contingent on, among other things, the world's major economies, including the United States, generating stronger, sustained growth with positive employment gains; credit markets continuing to improve with stable, predictable and reasonably-priced financing; and business and consumer confidence being fully restored.

Table of Contents

Effects of Acquisitions

Our management historically has made significant use of strategic acquisitions to add new service competencies, to increase our scale within existing competencies and to expand our presence in various geographic regions around the world. For example, we enhanced our mortgage brokerage services through our 1996 acquisition of L.J. Melody & Company (now known as CBRE Capital Markets) and we significantly increased the scale of our investment management business through our 1995 acquisition of Westmark Realty Advisors (now known as CB Richard Ellis Investors), our 1997 acquisition of Koll Real Estate Services and our 1998 acquisition of the London-based firm Hillier Parker May & Rowden. Our 2003 acquisition of Insignia Financial Group, Inc. (Insignia) significantly increased the scale of our real estate advisory and outsourcing services business lines in our Americas segment and also significantly increased our presence in the New York, London and Paris metropolitan areas. In December 2006, we acquired Trammell Crow Company, our largest acquisition to date. The acquisition of Trammell Crow Company deepened our offering of outsourcing services for corporate and institutional clients, especially project and facilities management, strengthened our ability to provide integrated management solutions across geographies, added our Development Services business and provided additional people, resources and expertise to offer commercial real estate services throughout the United States.

Strategic in-fill acquisitions have also played a key role in expanding our geographic coverage and broadening and strengthening our service offerings. From 2005 to 2008, we completed 58 in-fill acquisitions for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$592 million. The companies we acquired have generally been quality regional firms or niche specialty firms that complement our existing platform within a region, or affiliates in which, in some cases, we held an equity interest. With the exception of a small niche industrial practice in the United Kingdom that we acquired during the three months ended June 30, 2010, no acquisitions were completed from 2008 through the nine months ended September 30, 2010 in light of the recent economic environment. As market conditions continue to improve, we believe acquisitions will once again serve as a growth engine, supplementing our organic growth.

Although our management believes that strategic acquisitions can significantly decrease the cost, time and commitment of management resources necessary to attain a meaningful competitive position within targeted markets or to expand our presence within our current markets, our management also believes that most acquisitions will initially have an adverse impact on our operating and net income, both as a result of transaction-related expenditures and the charges and costs of integrating the acquired business and its financial and accounting systems into our own. For example, we incurred \$200.9 million of transaction-related expenditures in connection with our acquisition of Insignia in 2003 (the Insignia Acquisition) and \$196.6 million of transaction-related expenditures in connection with our acquisition of Trammell Crow Company in 2006. These transaction-related expenditures included severance costs, lease termination costs, transaction costs, deferred financing costs and merger-related costs, among others. We incurred our final transaction expenditures with respect to the Insignia Acquisition in the third quarter of 2004 and the Trammell Crow Company Acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2007. In addition, through September 30, 2010, we have incurred expenses of \$41.9 million related to Insignia and \$61.4 million related to Trammell Crow Company in connection with the integration of these companies' business lines, as well as accounting and other systems, into our own. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we incurred \$2.9 million of integration expenses, the majority of which were related to the acquisition of Trammell Crow Company. We expect to incur total integration expenses relating to past acquisitions of approximately \$5 million during 2010, which primarily include residual integration costs associated with our acquisition of Trammell Crow Company.

International Operations

We have made significant acquisitions of non-U.S. companies and we may acquire additional international companies in the future. As we increase our international operations through either acquisitions or organic growth, fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the other currencies in which we may generate earnings could adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results. Our management team generally seeks to mitigate our exposure by balancing assets and liabilities that are denominated in the same

Table of Contents

currency and by maintaining cash positions outside the United States only at levels necessary for operating purposes. In addition, from time to time we enter into foreign currency exchange contracts to mitigate our exposure to exchange rate changes related to particular transactions and to hedge risks associated with the translation of foreign currencies into U.S. dollars. Due to the constantly changing currency exposures to which we are subject and the volatility of currency exchange rates, our management cannot predict the effect of exchange rate fluctuations upon future operating results. In addition, fluctuations in currencies relative to the U.S. dollar may make it more difficult to perform period-to-period comparisons of our reported results of operations.

Our international operations also are subject to, among other things, political instability and changing regulatory environments, which may adversely affect our future financial condition and results of operations. Our management routinely monitors these risks and related costs and evaluates the appropriate amount of resources to allocate towards business activities in foreign countries where such risks and costs are particularly significant.

Leverage

We are highly leveraged and have significant debt service obligations. As of September 30, 2010, our total debt, excluding our notes payable on real estate and warehouse lines of credit, was approximately \$1.9 billion. Our level of indebtedness and the operating and financial restrictions in our debt agreements place constraints on the operation of our business. Although our management believes that the incurrence of long-term indebtedness has been important in the development of our business, including facilitating our acquisitions of Insignia and Trammell Crow Company, the cash flow necessary to service this debt is not available for other general corporate purposes, which may limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and in the commercial real estate services industry. Our management seeks to mitigate this exposure both through the refinancing of debt when available on attractive terms and through selective repayment and retirement of indebtedness.

Since August 2009, we have extended the maturity and amortization schedules on approximately \$1.3 billion of debt. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we repaid \$214.9 million of our senior secured term loans outstanding under our credit agreement. On October 8, 2010, CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc., or CBRE, our wholly-owned subsidiary, issued \$350.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.625% senior notes due October 15, 2020. On October 5, 2010, we announced that we are in discussions with our lenders about the potential to refinance the approximately \$1.5 billion of total debt outstanding as of September 30, 2010 under our existing credit agreement. Shortly thereafter, we repaid approximately \$357.4 million of various tranches of our term A loans using net proceeds from the new \$350.0 million senior notes as well as cash on hand. We intend to use approximately \$500.0 million of cash on hand and up to \$650.0 million of secured term loans under new senior secured credit facilities to repay the remaining outstanding debt under our existing credit agreement. Additionally, we are considering the establishment of a new \$700.0 million secured revolving credit facility.

All of these actions give us increased flexibility and significantly extend the weighted average maturity of our outstanding debt.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts. The estimates and assumptions are based on historical experience and on other factors that management believes to be reasonable. Actual results may differ from those estimates. Critical accounting policies represent the areas where more significant judgments and estimates are used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. A discussion of such critical accounting policies, which include revenue recognition, our consolidation policy, goodwill and other intangible assets, real estate and income taxes can be found in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. There have been no material changes to these policies as of September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth items derived from our consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, presented in dollars and as a percentage of revenue (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|--------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|--------------|--------|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2009 | |
| Revenue | \$ 1,266,218 | 100.0% | \$ 1,023,205 | 100.0% | \$ 3,464,020 | 100.0% | \$ 2,869,321 | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of services | 735,393 | 58.1 | 606,470 | 59.3 | 2,029,301 | 58.6 | 1,726,720 | 60.2 |
| Operating, administrative and other | 374,815 | 29.6 | 338,062 | 33.0 | 1,085,554 | 31.3 | 972,892 | 33.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 25,605 | 2.0 | 24,445 | 2.4 | 79,516 | 2.3 | 74,003 | 2.6 |
| Total costs and expenses | 1,135,813 | 89.7 | 968,977 | 94.7 | 3,194,371 | 92.2 | 2,773,615 | 96.7 |
| Gain on disposition of real estate | 174 | | 2,766 | 0.3 | 3,797 | 0.1 | 5,691 | 0.2 |
| Operating income | 130,579 | 10.3 | 56,994 | 5.6 | 273,446 | 7.9 | 101,397 | 3.5 |
| Equity income (loss) from unconsolidated subsidiaries | 3,682 | 0.3 | (6,312) | (0.6) | 11,333 | 0.3 | (18,252) | (0.6) |
| Interest income | 1,463 | 0.1 | 1,248 | 0.1 | 6,374 | 0.2 | 4,790 | 0.2 |
| Interest expense | 49,755 | 3.9 | 54,075 | 5.3 | 149,822 | 4.3 | 136,291 | 4.8 |
| Write-off of financing costs | | | | | | | 29,255 | 1.0 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before provision for income taxes | 85,969 | 6.8 | (2,145) | (0.2) | 141,331 | 4.1 | (77,611) | (2.7) |
| Provision for income taxes | 38,075 | 3.0 | 8,498 | 0.8 | 72,078 | 2.1 | 1,157 | 0.1 |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations | 47,894 | 3.8 | (10,643) | (1.0) | 69,253 | 2.0 | (78,768) | (2.8) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 7,821 | 0.6 | | | 14,961 | 0.4 | | |
| Net income (loss) | 55,715 | 4.4 | (10,643) | (1.0) | 84,214 | 2.4 | (78,768) | (2.8) |
| Less: Net loss attributable to non-controlling interests | (1,323) | (0.1) | (23,020) | (2.2) | (20,987) | (0.6) | (47,819) | (1.7) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ 57,038 | 4.5% | \$ 12,377 | 1.2% | \$ 105,201 | 3.0% | \$ (30,949) | (1.1)% |
| EBITDA (1) | \$ 169,913 | 13.4% | \$ 98,147 | 9.6% | \$ 406,507 | 11.7% | \$ 204,967 | 7.1% |

(1) Includes EBITDA related to discontinued operations of \$2.4 million and \$15.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

EBITDA represents earnings before net interest expense, write-off of financing costs, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. Our management believes EBITDA is useful in evaluating our operating performance compared to that of other companies in our industry because the calculation of EBITDA generally eliminates the effects of financing and income taxes and the accounting effects of capital spending and acquisitions, which would include impairment charges of goodwill and intangibles created from acquisitions. Such items may vary for different

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

companies for reasons unrelated to overall operating performance. As a result, our management uses EBITDA as a measure to evaluate the operating performance of our various business segments and for other discretionary purposes, including as a significant component when measuring our operating performance under our employee incentive programs. Additionally, we believe EBITDA is useful to investors to assist them in getting a more accurate picture of our results from operations.

However, EBITDA is not a recognized measurement under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, and when analyzing our operating performance, readers should use EBITDA in addition to, and not as

Table of Contents

an alternative for, net income as determined in accordance with GAAP. Because not all companies use identical calculations, our presentation of EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. Furthermore, EBITDA is not intended to be a measure of free cash flow for our management's discretionary use, as it does not consider certain cash requirements such as tax and debt service payments. The amounts shown for EBITDA also differ from the amounts calculated under similarly titled definitions in our debt instruments, which are further adjusted to reflect certain other cash and non-cash charges and are used to determine compliance with financial covenants and our ability to engage in certain activities, such as incurring additional debt and making certain restricted payments.

EBITDA is calculated as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | \$ 57,038 | \$ 12,377 | \$ 105,201 | \$ (30,949) |
| Add: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization (1) | 25,638 | 24,445 | 79,717 | 74,003 |
| Interest expense (2) | 50,127 | 54,075 | 150,909 | 136,291 |
| Write-off of financing costs | | | | 29,255 |
| Provision for income taxes (3) | 38,573 | 8,498 | 77,055 | 1,157 |
| Less: | | | | |
| Interest income | 1,463 | 1,248 | 6,375 | 4,790 |
| EBITDA (4) | \$ 169,913 | \$ 98,147 | \$ 406,507 | \$ 204,967 |

- (1) Includes depreciation and amortization related to discontinued operations of \$0.03 million and \$0.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.
- (2) Includes interest expense related to discontinued operations of \$0.4 million and \$1.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.
- (3) Includes provision for income taxes related to discontinued operations of \$0.5 million and \$5.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.
- (4) Includes EBITDA related to discontinued operations of \$2.4 million and \$15.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009

We reported consolidated net income of \$57.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 on revenue of \$1.3 billion as compared to consolidated net income of \$12.4 million on revenue of \$1.0 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Our revenue on a consolidated basis for the three months ended September 30, 2010 increased by \$243.0 million, or 23.8%, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily driven by higher worldwide sales (up 62.8%), leasing (up 27.2%) and outsourcing (up 7.0%) activity. Foreign currency translation had an \$8.6 million negative impact on total revenue during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Our cost of services on a consolidated basis increased by \$128.9 million, or 21.3%, during the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. Our sales and leasing professionals generally are paid on a commission and bonus basis, which substantially correlates with our revenue performance. Accordingly, the increase in revenue led to a corresponding increase in commission and bonus accruals. Higher salaries and related costs associated with our property and facilities management contracts also contributed to an increase in cost of services in the current year. Foreign currency translation had a \$4.7 million positive impact on cost of services during the three months ended September 30, 2010. Cost of services as a percentage of revenue decreased from 59.3% for the three months ended September 30, 2009 to

Table of Contents

58.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2010. This improvement is particularly notable considering the restoration of commission rates to pre-recession levels in the current year period. The decrease in cost of services as a percentage of revenue was primarily the result of the strong improvement in overall revenue and a shift in the mix of revenue, with transaction revenue comprising a greater portion of the total than in the prior year period.

Our operating, administrative and other expenses on a consolidated basis increased by \$36.8 million, or 10.9%, during the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily driven by higher payroll-related costs, including bonuses, which resulted from our improved operating performance as well as the restoration of salaries to pre-recession levels in the current year period. Also contributing to the increase were greater net reversals of carried interest incentive compensation expense accruals in the prior year and moderately higher marketing and travel costs in support of our growing revenue in the current year. These increases were partially offset by lower impairment charges incurred in our Development Services segment in the current year. Foreign currency translation had a \$3.5 million positive impact on total operating expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2010. Operating expenses as a percentage of revenue decreased to 29.6% for the three months ended September 30, 2010 from 33.0% for the three months ended September 30, 2009, largely attributable to the strong improvement in overall revenue.

Our depreciation and amortization expense on a consolidated basis increased by \$1.2 million, or 4.7%, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily attributable to higher depreciation expense within our Global Investment Management segment driven by the consolidation of several assets due to the adoption of Accounting Standards Update, or ASU, 2009-17, *Consolidations (Topic 810): Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities*, effective January 1, 2010 (See Note 2 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) for more information).

Our gain on disposition of real estate on a consolidated basis was \$0.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to \$2.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. These gains resulted from activity within our Development Services segment.

Our equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries on a consolidated basis was \$3.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to an equity loss from unconsolidated subsidiaries of \$6.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in equity income was primarily driven by higher equity income reported by our Development Services segment in the current year quarter and lower impairments in the current year quarter compared to the prior year quarter within our Global Investment Management and Development Services segments.

Our consolidated interest income was relatively consistent at \$1.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 versus \$1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Our consolidated interest expense decreased by \$4.3 million, or 8.0%, during the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to lower interest expense associated with our credit agreement, partially offset by an increase in interest expense reported by our Global Investment Management segment partially resulting from the consolidation of several assets due to the adoption of ASU 2009-17 in the current year.

Our provision for income taxes on a consolidated basis was \$38.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to \$8.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The change in our provision for income taxes was primarily the result of increased income reported for the third quarter of 2010 compared to the third quarter of 2009. Our effective tax rate from continuing operations, after adjusting pre-tax income (loss) to remove the portion attributable to non-controlling interests, was consistent at 40.7% for both the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

Table of Contents

Our consolidated income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes, was \$7.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. This income was reported in our Development Services segment and mostly related to gains from asset dispositions.

Our net loss attributable to non-controlling interests on a consolidated basis was \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to \$23.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. This activity primarily reflects our non-controlling interests share of losses within our Global Investment Management and Development Services segments.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

We reported consolidated net income of \$105.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 on revenue of \$3.5 billion as compared to a consolidated net loss of \$30.9 million on revenue of \$2.9 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Our revenue on a consolidated basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased by \$594.7 million, or 20.7%, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily driven by higher worldwide sales (up 59.2%), leasing (up 26.6%) and outsourcing (up 7.8%) activity. Foreign currency translation had a \$53.4 million positive impact on total revenue during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Our cost of services on a consolidated basis increased by \$302.6 million, or 17.5%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. As previously mentioned, our sales and leasing professionals generally are paid on a commission and bonus basis, which substantially correlates with our revenue performance. Accordingly, the increase in revenue led to a corresponding increase in commission and bonus accruals. Higher salaries and related costs associated with our property and facilities management contracts also contributed to an increase in cost of services in the current year. Foreign currency translation had a \$34.0 million negative impact on cost of services during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Cost of services as a percentage of revenue decreased from 60.2% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 to 58.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This decrease was primarily the result of the strong improvement in overall revenue and a shift in the mix of revenue, with transaction revenue comprising a greater portion of the total than in the prior year period.

Our operating, administrative and other expenses on a consolidated basis increased by \$112.7 million, or 11.6%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily driven by higher payroll-related costs, including bonuses, which resulted from our improved operating performance as well as the restoration of salaries to pre-recession levels in the third quarter of 2010. Also contributing to the increase were greater net reversals of carried interest incentive compensation expense accruals in the prior year and moderately higher marketing and travel costs in support of our growing revenue in the current year. These increases were partially offset by lower impairment charges incurred in our Development Services segment in the current year. Foreign currency translation had a \$14.1 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Operating expenses as a percentage of revenue decreased to 31.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from 33.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, reflective of our cost containment efforts during the recessionary period of 2008 and 2009 as well as the strong improvement in overall revenue.

Our depreciation and amortization expense on a consolidated basis increased by \$5.5 million, or 7.4%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily attributable to higher depreciation expense within our Global Investment Management segment driven by the consolidation of several assets due to the adoption of ASU 2009-17 in the current year.

Our gain on disposition of real estate on a consolidated basis was \$3.8 million and \$5.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. These gains resulted from activity within our Development Services segment.

Table of Contents

Our equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries on a consolidated basis was \$11.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to an equity loss from unconsolidated subsidiaries of \$18.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in equity income in the current year was primarily driven by a gain on a property sale within our Development Services segment as well as higher impairments of equity investments in our Development Services and Global Investment Management segments in the prior year period.

Our consolidated interest income was \$6.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$1.6 million, or 33.1%, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was mainly driven by higher interest income reported in our Asia Pacific segment, which resulted from the final measurement of a deferred purchase obligation related to the purchase of remaining non-controlling interests in our subsidiary in India.

Our consolidated interest expense increased by \$13.5 million, or 9.9%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to higher interest expense associated with the \$450.0 million of 11.625% senior subordinated notes issued in June 2009 and increased interest expense reported by our Global Investment Management segment mainly resulting from the consolidation of several assets due to the adoption of ASU 2009-17 in the current year. These increases were largely offset by lower interest expense associated with our credit agreement.

We wrote off \$29.3 million of financing costs during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 in connection with the amendment of our credit agreement on March 24, 2009.

Our provision for income taxes on a consolidated basis was \$72.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to \$1.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Our effective tax rate from continuing operations, after adjusting pre-tax income (loss) to remove the portion attributable to non-controlling interests, increased to 42.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to negative 3.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The changes in our provision for income taxes and our effective tax rate were primarily the result of significant income reported for the current year period versus a loss in the prior year period as well as the respective impact of losses sustained in jurisdictions where no tax benefit could be provided. The impact of such losses should lessen by year-end 2010 and our full year 2010 effective tax rate should approximate 36-38%.

Our consolidated income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes, was \$15.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This income was reported in our Development Services segment and mostly related to gains from asset dispositions.

Our net loss attributable to non-controlling interests on a consolidated basis was \$21.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to \$47.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This activity primarily reflects our non-controlling interests' share of losses within our Global Investment Management and Development Services segments.

Segment Operations

We report our operations through five segments. The segments are as follows: (1) Americas, (2) EMEA, (3) Asia Pacific, (4) Global Investment Management and (5) Development Services. The Americas consists of operations located in the United States, Canada and selected parts of Latin America. EMEA mainly consists of operations in Europe, while Asia Pacific includes operations in Asia, Australia and New Zealand. The Global Investment Management business consists of investment management operations in the United States, Europe and Asia. The Development Services business consists of real estate development and investment activities primarily in the United States.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our revenue, costs and expenses and operating income (loss) by our Americas, EMEA, Asia Pacific, Global Investment Management and Development Services operating segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|--------------|--------|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2009 | |
| Americas | | | | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 812,287 | 100.0% | \$ 646,249 | 100.0% | \$ 2,180,153 | 100.0% | \$ 1,824,855 | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of services | 502,404 | 61.9 | 409,125 | 63.3 | 1,361,628 | 62.5 | 1,177,619 | 64.5 |
| Operating, administrative and other | 201,240 | 24.8 | 175,356 | 27.1 | 562,156 | 25.8 | 507,597 | 27.8 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 13,943 | 1.7 | 14,032 | 2.2 | 43,630 | 2.0 | 42,523 | 2.4 |
| Operating income | \$ 94,700 | 11.6% | \$ 47,736 | 7.4% | \$ 212,739 | 9.7% | \$ 97,116 | 5.3% |
| EBITDA (1) | \$ 110,487 | 13.6% | \$ 63,744 | 9.9% | \$ 262,322 | 12.0% | \$ 144,987 | 7.9% |
| EMEA | | | | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 215,768 | 100.0% | \$ 192,276 | 100.0% | \$ 629,306 | 100.0% | \$ 531,032 | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of services | 129,817 | 60.2 | 117,233 | 61.0 | 381,400 | 60.6 | 336,595 | 63.4 |
| Operating, administrative and other | 69,339 | 32.1 | 60,585 | 31.5 | 207,135 | 32.9 | 177,485 | 33.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,289 | 1.1 | 2,806 | 1.4 | 7,063 | 1.1 | 7,967 | 1.5 |
| Operating income | \$ 14,323 | 6.6% | \$ 11,652 | 6.1% | \$ 33,708 | 5.4% | \$ 8,985 | 1.7% |
| EBITDA (1) | \$ 17,786 | 8.2% | \$ 14,725 | 7.7% | \$ 41,776 | 6.6% | \$ 17,536 | 3.3% |
| Asia Pacific | | | | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 167,357 | 100.0% | \$ 131,586 | 100.0% | \$ 460,467 | 100.0% | \$ 347,332 | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of services | 103,172 | 61.6 | 80,112 | 60.9 | 286,273 | 62.2 | 212,506 | 61.2 |
| Operating, administrative and other | 48,646 | 29.1 | 38,694 | 29.4 | 137,571 | 29.9 | 106,212 | 30.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,943 | 1.2 | 2,155 | 1.6 | 6,062 | 1.3 | 6,411 | 1.8 |
| Operating income | \$ 13,596 | 8.1% | \$ 10,625 | 8.1% | \$ 30,561 | 6.6% | \$ 22,203 | 6.4% |
| EBITDA (1) | \$ 15,554 | 9.3% | \$ 12,971 | 9.9% | \$ 36,589 | 7.9% | \$ 27,130 | 7.8% |
| Global Investment Management | | | | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 49,518 | 100.0% | \$ 32,872 | 100.0% | \$ 135,821 | 100.0% | \$ 102,774 | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Operating, administrative and other | 34,260 | 69.2 | 25,491 | 77.5 | 115,129 | 84.8 | 81,782 | 79.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,632 | 7.3 | 1,257 | 3.9 | 10,102 | 7.4 | 3,646 | 3.5 |
| Operating income | \$ 11,626 | 23.5% | \$ 6,124 | 18.6% | \$ 10,590 | 7.8% | \$ 17,346 | 16.9% |
| EBITDA (1) | \$ 16,680 | 33.7% | \$ 4,642 | 14.1% | \$ 22,516 | 16.6% | \$ 6,397 | 6.2% |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Development Services

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Revenue | \$ 21,288 | 100.0% | \$ 20,222 | 100.0% | \$ 58,273 | 100.0% | \$ 63,328 | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Operating, administrative and other | 21,330 | 100.2 | 37,936 | 187.6 | 63,563 | 109.1 | 99,816 | 157.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,798 | 17.8 | 4,195 | 20.8 | 12,659 | 21.7 | 13,456 | 21.3 |
| Gain on disposition of real estate | 174 | 0.8 | 2,766 | 13.7 | 3,797 | 6.5 | 5,691 | 9.0 |
| Operating loss | \$ (3,666) | (17.2)% | \$ (19,143) | (94.7)% | \$ (14,152) | (24.3)% | \$ (44,253) | (69.9)% |
| EBITDA (1) (2) | \$ 9,406 | 44.2% | \$ 2,065 | 10.2% | \$ 43,304 | 74.3% | \$ 8,917 | 14.1% |

- (1) See Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) for a reconciliation of segment EBITDA to the most comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP, which is segment net income (loss) attributable to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc.
- (2) Includes EBITDA related to discontinued operations of \$2.4 million and \$15.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009

Americas

Revenue increased by \$166.0 million, or 25.7%, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This improvement was primarily driven by higher sales and leasing activity as well as increased commercial mortgage brokerage activity. Foreign currency translation had a \$5.0 million positive impact on total revenue during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Cost of services increased by \$93.3 million, or 22.8%, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009, primarily due to increased commission expense resulting from higher sales and lease transaction revenue. Foreign currency translation had a \$2.6 million negative impact on cost of services during the three months ended September 30, 2010. Cost of services as a percentage of revenue decreased to 61.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2010 from 63.3% for the three months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to the increase in overall revenue and a shift in the mix of revenue, with transaction revenue comprising a greater portion of the total than in the prior year period.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$25.9 million, or 14.8%, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily driven by higher payroll-related costs, including bonuses, which resulted from our improved operating performance as well as the restoration of salaries to pre-recession levels in the current year period. Also contributing to the increase were moderately higher marketing and travel costs in support of our growing revenue. Foreign currency translation had a \$1.4 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

EMEA

Revenue increased by \$23.5 million, or 12.2%, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily attributable to higher sales, leasing and outsourcing activities, particularly in France, Russia and the United Kingdom. Foreign currency translation had a \$20.5 million negative impact on total revenue during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Cost of services increased by \$12.6 million, or 10.7%, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily driven by higher salaries and related costs associated with our property and facilities management contracts. Also contributing to the increase was higher commission and bonus expense resulting from increased transaction revenue. Foreign currency translation had a \$12.2 million positive impact on cost of services during the three months ended September 30, 2010. Cost of services as a percentage of revenue decreased to 60.2% for the three months ended September 30, 2010 from 61.0% for the three months ended September 30, 2009, primarily driven by the increase in overall revenue and a shift in the mix of revenue, with transaction revenue comprising a greater portion of the total than in the prior year period.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$8.8 million, or 14.4%. This increase was primarily driven by higher bonus accruals, which resulted from our improved operating performance. Foreign currency translation had a \$6.6 million positive impact on total operating expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Asia Pacific

Revenue increased by \$35.8 million, or 27.2%, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This revenue increase was primarily driven by higher sales, leasing and outsourcing activity, particularly in Australia, India, Japan and Singapore. Foreign currency translation had an \$8.4 million positive impact on total revenue during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

Cost of services increased by \$23.1 million, or 28.8%, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily driven by higher salaries and related costs associated with our property and facilities management contracts. Also contributing to the increase was higher commission expense resulting from increased transaction revenue and higher compensation expense resulting from aggressive hiring in this region to support expected growth. Foreign currency translation had a \$4.9 million negative impact on cost of services during the three months ended September 30, 2010. Cost of services as a percentage of revenue increased to 61.6% for the three months ended September 30, 2010 from 60.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2009, primarily driven by a shift in our business mix more towards outsourcing services and the aforementioned increase in compensation expense.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$10.0 million, or 25.7%, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily due to higher operating costs, including payroll-related, travel and marketing costs, which were driven by revenue increases and our continuing efforts to grow our business in this region. Foreign currency translation had a \$2.5 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Global Investment Management

Revenue increased by \$16.6 million, or 50.6%, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This was partially driven by the consolidation of several assets due to the adoption of ASU 2009-17 in the current year. Excluding the impact of this adoption, revenue increased by \$7.3 million, primarily due to higher acquisition fees as a result of a notable increase in the pace of capital deployment as well as higher asset management fees resulting from acquisitions from acquired assets during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Foreign currency translation had a \$1.5 million negative impact on total revenue during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$8.8 million, or 34.4%, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily driven by a \$5.6 million impact from the adoption of ASU 2009-17 in the current year and greater reversals of net carried interest incentive compensation expense accruals for dedicated Global Investment Management executives and team leaders with participation interests in certain real estate investments under management in the prior year period. Foreign currency translation had an \$0.8 million positive impact on total operating expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Assets under management (AUM) as of September 30, 2010 totaled \$35.7 billion, up 3% from year-end 2009 and 6% from June 30, 2010.

AUM generally refers to the properties and other assets with respect to which we provide (or participate in) oversight, investment management services and other advice, and which generally consist of real estate properties or loans, securities portfolios and investments in operating companies and joint ventures. Our AUM is intended principally to reflect the extent of our presence in the real estate market, not the basis for determining our management fees. Our material assets under management consist of:

- a) the total fair market value of the real estate properties and other assets either wholly-owned or held by joint ventures and other entities in which our sponsored funds or investment vehicles and client accounts have invested or to which they have provided financing. Committed (but unfunded) capital from investors in our sponsored funds is not included in this component of our AUM. The value of development properties is included at estimated completion cost. In the case of real estate operating companies, the total value of real properties controlled by the companies, generally through joint ventures, is included in AUM; and
- b) the net asset value of our managed securities portfolios, including investments (which may be comprised of committed but uncalled capital) in private real estate funds under our fund of funds program.

Table of Contents

Our calculation of AUM may differ from the calculations of other asset managers, and as a result this measure may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other asset managers. Our definition of AUM is not based on any definition of assets under management that is set forth in the agreements governing the investment funds that we manage.

Development Services

Revenue increased by \$1.1 million, or 5.3%, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to higher development fees.

Operating, administrative and other expenses decreased by \$16.6 million, or 43.8%, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This decrease was primarily driven by lower impairment charges related to real estate assets in the current year, partially offset by higher bonus accruals resulting from gains on property sales in the current year.

Development projects in process as of September 30, 2010 totaled \$4.9 billion and the inventory of pipeline deals as of September 30, 2010 was \$1.1 billion. These totals are up from \$4.4 billion and \$0.8 billion, respectively, at June 30, 2010 and \$4.7 billion and \$0.9 billion, respectively, at year-end 2009.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

Americas

Revenue increased by \$355.3 million, or 19.5%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This improvement was primarily driven by higher sales and leasing activity as well as increased commercial mortgage brokerage activity. Foreign currency translation had a \$25.5 million positive impact on total revenue during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Cost of services increased by \$184.0 million, or 15.6%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009, primarily due to increased commission expense resulting from higher sales and lease transaction revenue. Foreign currency translation had a \$15.6 million negative impact on cost of services during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Cost of services as a percentage of revenue decreased to 62.5% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from 64.5% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to the increase in overall revenue and a shift in the mix of revenue, with transaction revenue comprising a greater portion of the total than in the prior year period.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$54.6 million, or 10.7%. The increase was primarily driven by higher payroll-related costs, including bonuses, which resulted from our improved operating performance as well as the restoration of salaries to pre-recession levels in the third quarter of 2010. Also contributing to the increase were moderately higher marketing and travel costs in support of our growing revenue. Foreign currency translation had a \$6.8 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

EMEA

Revenue increased by \$98.3 million, or 18.5%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily attributable to higher sales, leasing and outsourcing activities, particularly in France, Germany, Spain and the United Kingdom. Foreign currency translation had a \$13.8 million negative impact on total revenue during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Cost of services increased by \$44.8 million, or 13.3%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily driven by higher salaries

Table of Contents

and related costs associated with our property and facilities management contracts. Also contributing to the increase was higher commission and bonus expense resulting from increased transaction revenue. Foreign currency translation had a \$7.2 million positive impact on cost of services during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Cost of services as a percentage of revenue decreased to 60.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from 63.4% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, primarily driven by the increase in overall revenue and a shift in the mix of revenue, with transaction revenue comprising a greater portion of the total than in the prior year period.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$29.7 million, or 16.7%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily driven by higher bonus accruals, which resulted from our improved operating performance. Higher bad debt provisions in the current year also contributed to the variance. Foreign currency translation had a \$3.6 million positive impact on total operating expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Asia Pacific

Revenue increased by \$113.1 million, or 32.6%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This revenue increase was primarily driven by higher sales, leasing and outsourcing activity, particularly in Australia, China, India and Singapore. Foreign currency translation had a \$42.0 million positive impact on total revenue during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Cost of services increased by \$73.8 million, or 34.7%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily driven by higher salaries and related costs associated with our property and facilities management contracts. Also contributing to the increase was higher commission expense resulting from increased transaction revenue and higher compensation expense resulting from aggressive hiring in this region to support expected growth. Foreign currency translation had a \$25.6 million negative impact on cost of services during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Cost of services as a percentage of revenue increased to 62.2% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to 61.2% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, primarily driven by a shift in our business mix more towards outsourcing services and the aforementioned increase in compensation expense.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$31.4 million, or 29.5%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily due to higher operating costs, including payroll-related, travel and marketing costs, which were driven by revenue increases and our continuing efforts to grow our business in this region. Foreign currency translation had a \$10.6 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Global Investment Management

Revenue increased by \$33.0 million, or 32.2%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This was largely driven by the consolidation of several assets due to the adoption of ASU 2009-17 in the current year. Excluding the impact of this adoption, revenue increased by \$8.0 million, primarily due to higher acquisition fees resulting from increased capital deployment. Foreign currency translation had a \$0.3 million negative impact on total revenue during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$33.3 million, or 40.8%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily driven by a \$14.8 million impact from the adoption of ASU 2009-17 and bad debt provisions associated with asset management fees due from a fund. Also contributing to the increase in total operating expenses was greater net reversals of carried interest incentive compensation expense accruals for dedicated

Table of Contents

Global Investment Management executives and team leaders with participation interests in certain real estate investments under management in the prior year period. Foreign currency translation had a \$0.3 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Development Services

Revenue decreased by \$5.1 million, or 8.0%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to lower construction revenue and development fees, both driven by the continuation of weak market conditions.

Operating, administrative and other expenses decreased by \$36.3 million, or 36.3%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This decrease was primarily driven by lower impairment charges related to real estate assets and notes receivable in the current year. Also contributing to the decrease in the current year was a reduction in payroll-related costs largely resulting from cost containment efforts, lower property operating expenses as a result of the property dispositions, and lower job construction costs, which correlated with the above-mentioned construction revenue decrease. These decreases were partially offset by higher bonus accruals resulting from gains on property sales in the current year.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We believe that we can satisfy our working capital requirements and funding of investments with internally generated cash flow and, as necessary, borrowings under our revolving credit facility. Our 2010 expected capital requirements include up to \$60 million of anticipated net capital expenditures. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, \$13.3 million of net capital expenditures has been funded. As of September 30, 2010, we had aggregate commitments of \$20.3 million to fund future co-investments in our Global Investment Management business, \$6.5 million of which is expected to be funded in the fourth quarter of 2010. Additionally, as of September 30, 2010, we had committed to fund \$27.2 million of additional capital to unconsolidated subsidiaries within our Development Services business, which we may be required to fund at any time. In recent years, the global credit markets have experienced unprecedented tightening, which could affect both the availability and cost of our funding sources in the future.

During 2003 and 2006, we required substantial amounts of equity and debt financing to fund our acquisitions of Insignia and Trammell Crow Company. In the past two years, we also conducted two debt offerings- in 2009 as part of a capital restructure in response to the global economic recession, and in 2010 to take advantage of low interest rates and term availability. Absent extraordinary transactions such as these and the equity offerings we completed during the unprecedented recent global capital markets disruption in 2008 and 2009, we historically have not sought external sources of financing and have relied on our internally generated cash flow and our revolving credit facility to fund our working capital, capital expenditure and investment requirements. In the absence of such extraordinary events, our management anticipates that our cash flow from operations and our revolving credit facility would be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash requirements for the foreseeable future, but at a minimum for the next 12 months.

From time to time, we also consider potential strategic acquisitions. Our management believes that any future significant acquisitions that we make most likely would require us to obtain additional debt or equity financing. In the past, we have been able to obtain such financing for material transactions on terms that our management believed to be reasonable. However, it is possible that we may not be able to find acquisition financing on favorable terms in the future if we decide to make any further material acquisitions.

Our long-term liquidity needs, other than those related to ordinary course obligations and commitments such as operating leases, generally are comprised of three elements. The first is the repayment of the outstanding and anticipated principal amounts of our long-term indebtedness. Our management is unable to project with certainty whether our long-term cash flow from operations will be sufficient to repay our long-term debt when it comes due. If this cash flow is insufficient, then our management expects that we would need to refinance such indebtedness or otherwise amend its terms to extend the maturity dates. Our management cannot make any assurances that such refinancings or amendments would be available on attractive terms, if at all.

Table of Contents

The second long-term liquidity need is the repayment of obligations under our pension plans in the United Kingdom. Our subsidiaries based in the United Kingdom maintain two contributory defined benefit pension plans to provide retirement benefits to existing and former employees participating in the plans. With respect to these plans, our historical policy has been to contribute annually an amount to fund pension cost as actuarially determined and as required by applicable laws and regulations. Our contributions to these plans are invested and, if these investments do not perform in the future as well as we expect, we will be required to provide additional funding to cover the shortfall. The underfunded status of our pension plans included in pension liability in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets was \$63.1 million and \$64.9 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. We expect to contribute a total of \$3.5 million to fund our pension plans for the year ending December 31, 2010, of which \$2.5 million was funded as of September 30, 2010.

The third long-term liquidity need is the repayment of obligations related to acquisitions. For example, in connection with our acquisitions of CB Richard Ellis KK (Japan) in 2006 and CB Richard Ellis South Asia Pte Ltd (India) in 2007, we incurred obligations with respect to the future purchase of non-controlling interests in such entities. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we purchased the remaining non-controlling interests in Japan and India. As of December 31, 2009, we had \$58.5 million of deferred purchase obligations outstanding, which was included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Historical Cash Flows

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$324.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$271.5 million as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to improved operating performance, higher net payments to vendors and to employees under our deferred compensation plan in the prior year and an increase in commission and bonus accruals as well as income taxes refunded in the current year. These items were partially offset by the impact of a decrease in receivables in the prior year versus an increase in the current year and higher bonuses paid in the current year.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$20.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, a decrease of \$77.1 million as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily driven by higher net proceeds received in the current year from the disposition of real estate held for investment and from the sale of servicing rights and other assets. Lower contributions to investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries along with higher distributions received from investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries in the current year also contributed to the decrease. These decreases were partially offset by the use of more cash in the current year for earn out payments associated with in-fill acquisitions.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities totaled \$273.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$202.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The sharp decrease in cash provided by financing activities was primarily due to proceeds received in the prior year in connection with equity offerings and the issuance of our 11.625% senior subordinated notes. Also contributing to the decrease were higher net repayments of notes payable on real estate within our Development Services segment in the current year. These decreases were partially offset by higher repayments of our senior secured term loans in the prior year.

Table of Contents***Significant Indebtedness***

Our level of indebtedness increases the possibility that we may be unable to generate cash sufficient to pay when due the principal of, interest on or other amounts due in respect of our indebtedness and other obligations. In addition, we may incur additional debt from time to time to finance strategic acquisitions, investments, joint ventures or for other purposes, subject to the restrictions contained in the documents governing our indebtedness. If we incur additional debt, the risks associated with our leverage, including our ability to service our debt, would increase.

Most of our long-term indebtedness was incurred in connection with the Trammell Crow Company Acquisition in December 2006. The Trammell Crow Company Acquisition has expanded our global leadership and strengthened our ability to provide integrated account management and comprehensive real estate services for our clients.

Since 2001, we have maintained a credit agreement with Credit Suisse Group AG, or CS, and other lenders to fund strategic acquisitions and to provide for our working capital needs. On March 24, 2009, we entered into a second amendment and restatement to our credit agreement (Credit Agreement) with a syndicate of banks led by CS, as administrative and collateral agent, amending and restating our amended and restated credit agreement dated December 20, 2006. In connection with this amendment and restatement, we wrote off financing costs of \$29.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, which included the write-off of \$18.1 million of unamortized deferred financing costs and \$11.2 million of Credit Agreement amendment fees paid in March 2009. On August 24, 2009, we entered into a loan modification agreement to our Credit Agreement, which included the conversion of \$41.9 million of amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility to term loans. On both February 5, 2010 and March 29, 2010, we entered into additional loan modification agreements to our Credit Agreement to further extend debt maturities and amortization schedules.

Subsequent to the March 29, 2010 loan modification, our Credit Agreement includes the following: (1) a \$558.1 million revolving credit facility, including revolving credit loans, letters of credit and a swingline loan facility, with tranche 1 in the amount of \$225.1 million maturing on June 24, 2011 and tranche 2 in the amount of \$333.0 million maturing on June 24, 2013; (2) a \$579.8 million A term loan facility, which is further broken down as follows: i) a \$135.9 million tranche A term loan facility requiring quarterly principal payments beginning December 31, 2010 through September 30, 2011, with the balance payable on December 20, 2011; ii) a \$48.6 million tranche A-1 term loan facility payable on December 20, 2013; iii) a \$203.2 million tranche A-2 term loan facility, requiring quarterly principal payments of \$8.7 million beginning September 30, 2012 and continuing through March 31, 2013, with the balance payable on June 24, 2013; iv) a \$167.5 million tranche A-3 term loan facility payable on December 20, 2013; v) a \$24.1 million tranche A-3A term loan facility, requiring quarterly principal payments of \$0.06 million since June 30, 2010 and continuing through September 30, 2013, with the balance payable on December 20, 2013; and vi) a \$0.5 million tranche A-4 term loan facility payable on December 20, 2011, and (3) a \$1,053.0 million B term loan facility, which is further broken down as follows: i) a \$642.8 million tranche B term loan facility requiring quarterly principal payments of \$1.9 million through September 30, 2013, with the balance payable on December 20, 2013; ii) a \$295.2 million tranche B-1 term loan facility payable on December 20, 2015; and iii) a \$115.0 million tranche B-1A term loan facility payable on December 20, 2015.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we repaid the following amounts: \$50.7 million of our tranche A term loan facility, which was applied to the required 2010 principal repayments; \$7.2 million of our tranche A-1 term loan facility, which was applied against the balance due at maturity; \$0.1 million of our tranche A-3A term loan facility, which covered the required quarterly principal payments due June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2010; \$0.5 million of our tranche A-4 term loan facility, which repaid the entire outstanding balance; \$153.8 million of our tranche B term loan facility, part of which covered a portion of the balance due at maturity and which also covered the 2010 required quarterly principal payments through September 30, 2010; \$2.0 million of our tranche B-1 term loan facility, which covered a portion of the balance due at maturity; and \$0.6 million of our tranche B-1A term loan facility, which covered a portion of the balance due at maturity.

Table of Contents

The revolving credit facility allows for borrowings outside of the United States, with sub-facilities of \$5.0 million available to one of our Canadian subsidiaries, \$35.0 million in aggregate available to one of our Australian and one of our New Zealand subsidiaries and \$50.0 million available to one of our U.K. subsidiaries. Additionally, outstanding borrowings under these sub-facilities may be up to 5.0% higher as allowed under the currency fluctuation provision in the Credit Agreement. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility as of September 30, 2010 bear interest at varying rates, based at our option, on either the applicable fixed rate plus 2.25% to 4.00% or the daily rate plus 1.25% to 3.00% for the tranche 1 facility, and on either the applicable fixed rate plus 2.50% to 4.75% or the daily rate plus 1.50% to 3.75% for the tranche 2 facility, in all cases as determined by reference to our ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement). As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had \$17.9 million (\$12.0 million under tranche 1 and \$5.9 million under tranche 2) and \$21.1 million (\$13.1 million under tranche 1 and \$8.0 million under tranche 2), respectively, of revolving credit facility principal outstanding with related weighted average interest rates of 5.0% and 5.3%, respectively, which are included in short-term borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2010, letters of credit totaling \$21.3 million were outstanding under the revolving credit facility. These letters of credit were primarily issued in the normal course of business as well as in connection with certain insurance programs and reduce the amount we may borrow under the revolving credit facility.

Borrowings under the term loan facilities as of September 30, 2010 bear interest, based at our option, on the following: for the tranche A term loan facility, on either the applicable fixed rate plus 2.75% to 4.50% or the daily rate plus 1.75% to 3.50%; for the tranche A-1 term loan facility, on either the applicable fixed rate plus 3.50% to 4.50% or the daily rate plus 2.50% to 3.50%; for the tranche A-2 term loan facility, on either the applicable fixed rate plus 3.25% to 5.50% or the daily rate plus 2.25% to 4.50%; and for the tranche A-3 and A-3A term loan facilities, on either the applicable fixed rate plus 4.00% to 5.00% or the daily rate plus 3.00% to 4.00%. Effective July 1, 2010, in connection with the \$150.0 million prepayment of our tranche B term loan facility, borrowings under the term B loan facility bear interest, based on our option, on the following: for the tranche B term loan facility, on either the applicable fixed rate plus 3.50% to 4.50% or the daily rate plus 2.50% to 3.50%; and for the tranche B-1 and B-1A term loan facilities, on either the applicable fixed rate plus 4.00% to 5.00% or the daily rate plus 3.00% to 4.00%. For all term loan facilities, both the fixed rate and daily rate options are determined by reference to our ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement). The tranche A-1, A-2, A-3, B-1 and B-1A term loan facilities include a targeted outstanding amount (as defined in the Credit Agreement) provision that will increase the interest rate by 2% if the outstanding balance exceeds the targeted outstanding amount at the end of each quarter. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the outstanding balance did not exceed the targeted outstanding amount. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had \$135.9 million and \$326.3 million of tranche A term loan facility principal outstanding, respectively, \$41.4 million and \$48.6 million of tranche A-1 term loan facility principal outstanding, respectively, \$203.2 million of tranche A-2 term loan facility principal outstanding, \$167.5 million of tranche A-3 term loan facility principal outstanding, \$489.1 million and \$642.8 million of tranche B term loan facility principal outstanding, respectively, and \$293.2 million and \$295.2 million of tranche B-1 term loan facility principal outstanding, respectively, which are included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2010, we also had \$24.0 million of tranche A-3A term loan facility principal outstanding and \$114.4 million of tranche B-1A term loan facility principal outstanding, which are also included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The Credit Agreement is jointly and severally guaranteed by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries. Borrowings under our Credit Agreement are secured by a pledge of substantially all of the capital stock of our U.S. subsidiaries and 65% of the capital stock of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries, and by a security interest in substantially all of the personal property of the U.S. subsidiaries. Also, the Credit Agreement requires us to pay a fee based on the total amount of the revolving credit facility commitment.

Table of Contents

On October 8, 2010, CBRE issued \$350.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.625% senior notes due October 15, 2020. The 6.625% senior notes are unsecured obligations of CBRE, senior to all of its current and future subordinated indebtedness, but effectively subordinated to all of CBRE's current and future secured indebtedness. The 6.625% senior notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior basis by us and each subsidiary of CBRE that guarantees our Credit Agreement. Interest accrues at a rate of 6.625% per year and is payable semi-annually in arrears on April 15 and October 15, commencing on April 15, 2011. The 6.625% senior notes are redeemable at our option, in whole or in part, on or after October 15, 2014 at a redemption price of 104.969% of the principal amount on that date and at declining prices thereafter. At any time prior to October 15, 2014, the 6.625% senior notes may be redeemed by us, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest and an applicable premium (as defined in the indenture governing these notes), which is based on the present value of the October 15, 2014 redemption price plus all remaining interest payments through October 15, 2014. In addition, prior to October 15, 2013, up to 35.0% of the original issued amount of the 6.625% senior notes may be redeemed at a redemption price of 106.625% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, solely with the net cash proceeds from public equity offerings. If a change of control triggering event (as defined in the indenture governing our 6.625% senior notes) occurs, we are obligated to make an offer to purchase the remaining 6.625% senior notes at a redemption price of 101.0% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

On October 5, 2010, we announced that we are in discussions with our lenders about the potential to refinance the approximately \$1.5 billion of total debt outstanding as of September 30, 2010 under our existing Credit Agreement. Shortly thereafter, we repaid approximately \$357.4 million of various tranches of the term A loans using net proceeds from the new \$350.0 million senior notes as well as cash on hand. We intend to use approximately \$500.0 million of cash on hand and up to \$650.0 million of secured term loans under new senior secured credit facilities to repay the remaining outstanding debt under our existing Credit Agreement. Additionally, we are considering the establishment of a new \$700.0 million secured revolving credit facility. Our subsidiary CBRE has entered into an engagement letter with Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Banc of America Securities LLC and HSBC Securities (USA) Inc. to arrange such new senior credit facilities.

On June 18, 2009, CBRE issued \$450.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 11.625% senior subordinated notes due June 15, 2017 for approximately \$435.9 million, net of discount. The 11.625% senior subordinated notes are unsecured senior subordinated obligations of CBRE and are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior subordinated basis by us and our domestic subsidiaries that guarantee our Credit Agreement. Interest accrues at a rate of 11.625% per year and is payable semi-annually in arrears on June 15 and December 15. The 11.625% senior subordinated notes are redeemable at our option, in whole or in part, on or after June 15, 2013 at 105.813% of par on that date and at declining prices thereafter. At any time prior to June 15, 2013, the 11.625% senior subordinated notes may be redeemed by us, in whole or in part, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest and an applicable premium (as defined in the indenture governing these notes), which is based on the present value of the June 15, 2013 redemption price plus all remaining interest payments through June 15, 2013. In addition, prior to June 15, 2012, up to 35.0% of the original issued amount of the 11.625% senior subordinated notes may be redeemed at 111.625% of par, plus accrued and unpaid interest, solely with the net cash proceeds from public equity offerings. In the event of a change of control (as defined in the indenture governing our 11.625% senior subordinated notes), we are obligated to make an offer to purchase the remaining 11.625% senior subordinated notes at a redemption price of 101.0% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The amount of the 11.625% senior subordinated notes included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, net of unamortized discount, was \$437.4 million and \$436.5 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Our Credit Agreement and the indentures governing our 6.625% senior notes and 11.625% senior subordinated notes contain numerous restrictive covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness, pay dividends or make distributions to stockholders, repurchase capital stock or debt, make investments, sell assets or subsidiary stock, create or permit liens on assets, engage in transactions with affiliates, enter into sale/leaseback transactions, issue subsidiary equity and enter into consolidations or mergers. Our Credit Agreement also currently requires us to maintain a minimum coverage ratio of EBITDA (as defined

Table of Contents

in the Credit Agreement) to total interest expense of 2.00x through March 31, 2011 and 2.25x thereafter and a maximum leverage ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement) of 4.25x through March 31, 2011 and 3.75x thereafter. Our coverage ratio of EBITDA to total interest expense was 6.57x for the trailing twelve months ended September 30, 2010 and our leverage ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA was 1.27x as of September 30, 2010. We may from time to time, in our sole discretion, look for opportunities to reduce our outstanding debt under our Credit Agreement and under our 6.625% senior notes and 11.625% senior subordinated notes.

From time to time, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. and Standard & Poor's Ratings Services rate our senior debt. On October 5, 2010, Standard & Poor's revised our outlook to stable from negative and affirmed our BB long-term counterparty credit rating. Additionally, a BB debt rating and a recovery rating of 3 was assigned to the proposed replacement \$1.35 billion credit facility, and a B+ senior unsecured debt rating and a recovery rating of 6 was assigned to the 6.625% senior notes. Moody's Investor Service assigned a (P)Ba1 rating to the proposed replacement \$1.35 billion credit facility and a Ba1 rating to the 6.625% senior notes, and placed the 11.625% senior subordinated notes on review for upgrade. The rating outlook was revised to stable from negative. Neither the Moody's nor the Standard & Poor's ratings impact our ability to borrow under our Credit Agreement. However, these ratings may impact our ability to borrow under new agreements in the future and the interest rates of any such future borrowings.

We had short-term borrowings of \$280.0 million and \$339.8 million with related average interest rates of 2.5% and 2.4% as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

On March 2, 2007, we entered into a \$50.0 million credit note with Wells Fargo Bank for the purpose of purchasing eligible investments, which include cash equivalents, agency securities, A1/P1 commercial paper and eligible money market funds. The proceeds of this note are not made generally available to us, but instead deposited in an investment account maintained by Wells Fargo Bank and used and applied solely to purchase eligible investment securities. This agreement has been amended several times and currently provides for a \$40.0 million revolving credit note, bears interest at 0.25% and has a maturity date of November 30, 2010. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, there were no amounts outstanding under this revolving credit note.

On March 4, 2008, we entered into a \$35.0 million credit and security agreement with Bank of America, or BofA, for the purpose of purchasing eligible financial instruments, which include A1/P1 commercial paper, U.S. Treasury securities, GSE discount notes (as defined in the credit and security agreement) and money market funds. The proceeds of this note are not made generally available to us, but instead deposited in an investment account maintained by BofA and used and applied solely to purchase eligible financial instruments. This agreement has been amended several times and as of September 30, 2010, provides for a \$5.0 million credit line, bears interest at 1% and has a maturity date of February 28, 2011. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, there were no amounts outstanding under this revolving note.

On August 19, 2008, we entered into a \$15.0 million uncommitted facility with First Tennessee Bank for the purpose of purchasing investments, which include cash equivalents, agency securities, A1/P1 commercial paper and eligible money market funds. The proceeds of this facility are not made generally available to us, but instead are held in a collateral account maintained by First Tennessee Bank. This agreement has been amended several times and as of September 30, 2010, provides for a \$4.0 million credit line, bears interest at 0.25% and has a maturity date of August 4, 2011. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, there were no amounts outstanding under this facility.

On April 19, 2010, we entered into a Receivables Purchase Agreement, or RPA, which allows us to transfer an undivided interest in a designated pool of U.S. accounts receivable, on an ongoing basis, to provide collateral for borrowings up to a maximum of \$55.0 million. Borrowings under this arrangement generally bear interest at the commercial paper rate plus 2.75% and this agreement expires on April 18, 2011. As of September 30, 2010, there were no amounts outstanding under this agreement.

Table of Contents

Our wholly-owned subsidiary CBRE Capital Markets has the following warehouse lines of credit: credit agreements with JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., or JP Morgan, and BofA for the purpose of funding mortgage loans that will be resold and a funding arrangement with Fannie Mae for the purpose of selling a percentage of certain closed multi-family loans.

On November 15, 2005, CBRE Capital Markets entered into a secured credit agreement with JP Morgan to establish a warehouse line of credit. This agreement has been amended several times and as of September 30, 2010, provides for a \$210.0 million senior secured revolving line of credit, bears interest at the daily Chase-London LIBOR plus 2.50% and has a maturity date of September 28, 2011. Effective October 12, 2010 through January 10, 2011, the warehouse line of credit has been temporarily increased from \$210.0 million to \$250.0 million.

On April 16, 2008, CBRE Capital Markets entered into a secured credit agreement with BofA to establish a warehouse line of credit. This agreement has been amended several times and as of September 30, 2010, provides for a \$125.0 million senior secured revolving line of credit, bears interest at the daily one-month LIBOR plus 2.50% with a maturity date of May 31, 2011.

In August 2009, CBRE Capital Markets entered into a funding arrangement with Fannie Mae under its Multifamily As Soon As Pooled Plus Agreement and its Multifamily As Soon As Pooled Sale Agreement, or ASAP Program. Under the ASAP Program, CBRE Capital Markets may elect, on a transaction by transaction basis, to sell a percentage of certain closed multifamily loans to Fannie Mae on an expedited basis. After all contingencies are satisfied, the ASAP Program requires that CBRE Capital Markets repurchase the interest in the multifamily loan previously sold to Fannie Mae followed by either a full delivery back to Fannie Mae via whole loan execution or a securitization into a mortgage backed security. Under this agreement, the maximum outstanding balance under the ASAP Program cannot exceed \$150.0 million and, between the sale date to Fannie Mae and the repurchase date by CBRE Capital Markets, the outstanding balance bears interest and is payable to Fannie Mae at the daily LIBOR rate plus 1.35% with a LIBOR floor of 0.35%. Effective December 1, 2009 through January 15, 2010, the maximum outstanding balance under the ASAP Program was temporarily increased from \$150.0 million to \$225.0 million.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we had a maximum of \$374.1 million of warehouse lines of credit principal outstanding. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had \$260.1 million and \$312.9 million of warehouse lines of credit principal outstanding, respectively, which are included in short-term borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Additionally, we had \$264.8 million and \$315.0 million of mortgage loans held for sale (warehouse receivables), which substantially represented mortgage loans funded through the lines of credit that, while committed to be purchased, had not yet been purchased as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, and which are also included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

On July 31, 2006, CBRE Capital Markets entered into a revolving credit note with JP Morgan for the purpose of purchasing qualified investment securities, which include but are not limited to U.S. Treasury and Agency securities. This agreement had been amended several times and provided for a \$25.0 million revolving credit note, bore interest at 0.50% and had a maturity date of January 28, 2011. Effective September 29, 2010, this agreement was terminated. As of December 31, 2009, there were no amounts outstanding under this revolving credit note.

On April 30, 2007, Trammell Crow Company Acquisitions II, L.P. (Acquisitions II), a consolidated limited partnership within our Development Services segment, entered into a \$100.0 million revolving credit agreement, or the WestLB Credit Agreement, with WestLB AG, as administrative agent for a lender group. In April 2010, Acquisitions II opted to reduce the amount available under the WestLB Credit Agreement to \$20.0 million. Borrowings under this credit agreement were used to fund investments in real estate prior to receipt of capital contributions from Acquisitions II investors and permanent project financing, and are limited to a portion of unfunded capital commitments of certain Acquisitions II investors. As of September 30, 2010, borrowing

Table of Contents

capacity under this agreement, net of outstanding amounts drawn, was \$8.1 million. Borrowings under this agreement bore interest at the daily British Bankers Association LIBOR rate plus 0.65%. Borrowings under the line were non-recourse to us and were secured by the capital commitments of the investors in Acquisitions II. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, there was \$2.0 million and \$5.5 million, respectively, outstanding under the WestLB Credit Agreement included in short-term borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. In October 2010, Acquisitions II repaid the outstanding balance and the credit agreement expired.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$29.0 million as of September 30, 2010, excluding letters of credit for which we have outstanding liabilities already accrued on our consolidated balance sheet related to our subsidiaries' outstanding reserves for claims under certain insurance programs as well as letters of credit related to operating leases. These letters of credit are primarily executed by us in the normal course of business as well as in connection with certain insurance programs. The letters of credit expire at varying dates through October 2011.

We had guarantees totaling \$11.7 million as of September 30, 2010, excluding guarantees related to pension liabilities, consolidated indebtedness and other obligations for which we have outstanding liabilities already accrued on our consolidated balance sheet as well as operating leases. The \$11.7 million primarily consists of guarantees of obligations of unconsolidated subsidiaries, which expire at varying dates through October 2013.

In addition, as of September 30, 2010, we had numerous completion and budget guarantees relating to development projects. These guarantees are made by us in the normal course of our Development Services business. Each of these guarantees requires us to complete construction of the relevant project within a specified timeframe and/or within a specified budget, with us potentially being liable for costs to complete in excess of such timeframe or budget. However, we generally have guaranteed maximum price contracts with reputable general contractors with respect to projects for which we provide these guarantees. These contracts are intended to pass the risk to such contractors. While there can be no assurance, we do not expect to incur any material losses under these guarantees.

From time to time, we act as a general contractor with respect to construction projects. We do not consider these activities to be a material part of our business. In connection with these activities, we seek to subcontract construction work for certain projects to reputable subcontractors. Should construction defects arise relating to the underlying projects, we could potentially be liable to the client for the costs to repair such defects, although we would generally look to the subcontractor that performed the work to remedy the defect and also look to insurance policies that cover this work. While there can be no assurance, we do not expect to incur material losses with respect to construction defects.

In January 2008, CBRE Multifamily Capital, Inc., or CBRE MCI, a wholly-owned subsidiary of CBRE Capital Markets, Inc., entered into an agreement with Fannie Mae, under Fannie Mae's Delegated Underwriting and Servicing, or DUS, Lender Program, or DUS Program, to provide financing for multifamily housing with five or more units. Under the DUS Program, CBRE MCI originates, underwrites, closes and services loans without prior approval by Fannie Mae, and in selected cases, is subject to sharing up to one-third of any losses on loans originated under the DUS Program. CBRE MCI has funded loans subject to such loss sharing arrangements with unpaid principal balances of \$1.7 billion at September 30, 2010. Additionally, CBRE MCI has funded loans under the DUS Program that are not subject to loss sharing arrangements with unpaid principal balances of approximately \$435.3 million at September 30, 2010. CBRE MCI, under its agreement with Fannie Mae, must post cash reserves under formulas established by Fannie Mae to provide for sufficient capital in the event losses occur. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, CBRE MCI had \$1.7 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, of cash deposited under this reserve arrangement, and had provided approximately \$3.2 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, of loan loss accruals. Fannie Mae's recourse under the DUS Program is limited to the assets of CBRE MCI.

Table of Contents

An important part of the strategy for our Global Investment Management business involves investing our capital in certain real estate investments with our clients. These co-investments typically range from 2% to 5% of the equity in a particular fund. As of September 30, 2010, we had aggregate commitments of \$20.3 million to fund future co-investments, of which \$6.5 million is expected to be funded in the fourth quarter of 2010. In addition to required future capital contributions, some of the co-investment entities may request additional capital from us and our subsidiaries holding investments in those assets and the failure to provide these contributions could have adverse consequences to our interests in these investments.

Additionally, an important part of our Development Services business strategy is to invest in unconsolidated real estate subsidiaries as a principal (in most cases co-investing with our clients). As of September 30, 2010, we had committed to fund \$27.2 million of additional capital to these unconsolidated subsidiaries, which may be called at any time.

Seasonality

A significant portion of our revenue is seasonal, which can affect an investor's ability to compare our financial condition and results of operations on a quarter-by-quarter basis. Historically, this seasonality has caused our revenue, operating income, net income and cash flow from operating activities to be lower in the first two quarters and higher in the third and fourth quarters of each year. However, there can be no assurance that the foregoing will occur in 2010. Earnings and cash flow have historically been particularly concentrated in the fourth quarter due to investors and companies focusing on completing transactions prior to calendar year-end. This has historically resulted in lower profits or a loss in the first and second quarters, with revenue and profitability improving in each subsequent quarter.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued ASU 2010-06, *Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*, which provides amendments to the FASB ASC Subtopic 820-10 that require new disclosures regarding (i) transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and (ii) activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. ASU 2010-06 also clarifies existing disclosures regarding (i) the level of asset and liability disaggregation and (ii) fair value measurement inputs and valuation techniques. As required, we adopted the new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosure requirements, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the disclosure impact of adoption on our consolidated financial statements, but do not expect it to have a material impact.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The words anticipate, believe, could, should, propose, continue, estimate, expect, may, plan, predict, project, will and similar terms and phrases are used in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to identify forward-looking statements. These statements relate to analyses and other information based on forecasts of future results and estimates of amounts not yet determinable. These statements also relate to our future prospects, developments and business strategies.

These forward-looking statements are made based on our management's expectations and beliefs concerning future events affecting us and are subject to uncertainties and factors relating to our operations and business environment, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control. These uncertainties and factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from those matters expressed in or implied by these forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

The following factors are among those, but are not only those, that may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements:

the sustainability of growth in our investment sales and leasing business from the recessionary levels in 2008 and 2009;

disruptions in general economic and business conditions, particularly in geographies where our business may be concentrated;

the continued volatility and disruption of the capital and credit markets, interest rate increases, the cost and availability of capital for investment in real estate, clients' willingness to make real estate or long-term contractual commitments and other factors impacting the value of real estate assets;

continued high levels of, or increases in, unemployment and general slowdowns in commercial activity;

our leverage and ability to refinance existing indebtedness or incur additional indebtedness;

an increase in our debt service obligations;

our ability to generate a sufficient amount of cash from operations to satisfy working capital requirements and to service our existing and future indebtedness;

our ability to reduce debt and achieve cash interest savings;

our ability to comply with the financial ratio covenants under our credit agreement;

the impairment or weakened financial condition of certain of our clients;

client actions to restrain project spending and reduce outsourced staffing levels as well as the potential loss of clients in our outsourcing business due to consolidation or bankruptcies;

the impairment of our goodwill and other intangible assets as a result of business deterioration or our stock price falling;

our ability to achieve improvements in operating efficiency;

our ability to diversify our revenue model to offset cyclical economic trends in the commercial real estate industry;

foreign currency fluctuations;

adverse changes in the securities markets;

our ability to retain our senior management and attract and retain qualified and experienced employees;

our ability to attract new user and investor clients;

our ability to retain major clients and renew related contracts;

a reduction by companies in their reliance on outsourcing for their commercial real estate needs, which would impact our revenues and operating performance;

changes in the key components of revenue growth for large commercial real estate services companies, including consolidation of client accounts and increasing levels of institutional ownership of commercial real estate;

trends in use of large, full-service commercial real estate providers;

trends in pricing for commercial real estate services;

changes in tax laws in the United States or in other jurisdictions in which our business may be concentrated that reduce or eliminate deductions or other tax benefits we receive;

our ability to maximize cross-selling opportunities;

diversification of our client base;

Table of Contents

our ability to compete globally, or in specific geographic markets or business segments that are material to us;

changes in social, political and economic conditions in the foreign countries in which we operate;

our ability to comply with multiple and potentially conflicting laws, e.g., with respect to corrupt practices, employment and licensing;

our ability to manage fluctuations in net earnings and cash flow, which could result from poor performance in our investment programs, including our participation as a principal in real estate investments;

variability in our results of operations among quarters;

future acquisitions may not be available at favorable prices or upon advantageous terms and conditions;

costs relating to the acquisition of businesses we may acquire could be higher than anticipated;

integration issues arising out of our acquisition of companies, including our ability to improve operating efficiencies as much as anticipated;

our ability to leverage our global services platform to maximize and sustain long-term cash flow;

our ability to comply with the laws and regulations applicable to real estate brokerage and mortgage transactions;

our exposure to liabilities in connection with real estate brokerage and property management activities;

the failure of properties managed by us, or owned by our investment programs, to perform as anticipated;

reputational harm resulting from losses in our investment management business and related litigation;

the success of our co-investment and joint venture activities;

the failure of our Global Investment Management segment to comply with applicable laws and regulations governing its role as a registered investment advisor;

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

the ability of our Global Investment Management segment to realize values in investment funds sufficient to offset incentive compensation expense related thereto;

our ability to sufficiently protect our intellectual property, including protection of our global brand;

liabilities under guarantees, or for construction defects, that we incur in our Development Services business;

the ability of CBRE Capital Markets to periodically amend, or replace, on satisfactory terms the agreements for its warehouse lines of credit;

the effect of implementation of new tax and accounting rules and standards; and

the other factors described elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, included under the headings Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk or as described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date the statements are made. You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. We assume no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting forward-looking information, except to the extent required by applicable securities laws. If we do update one or more forward-looking statements, no inference should be drawn that we will make additional updates with respect to those or other forward-looking statements. Additional information concerning these and other risks and uncertainties is contained in our other periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

The information in this section should be read in connection with the information on market risk related to changes in interest rates and non-U.S. currency exchange rates in Part II, Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Our exposure to market risk consists of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations related to our international operations and changes in interest rates on debt obligations.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, approximately 39.8% of our business was transacted in local currencies of foreign countries, the majority of which includes the euro, the British pound sterling, the Canadian dollar, the Hong Kong dollar, the Japanese yen, the Singapore dollar, the Australian dollar and the Indian rupee. We attempt to manage our exposure primarily by balancing assets and liabilities and maintaining cash positions in foreign currencies only at levels necessary for operating purposes. We routinely monitor our exposure to currency exchange rate changes in connection with transactions and sometimes enter into foreign currency exchange swap, option and forward contracts to limit our exposure to such transactions, as appropriate. In the normal course of business, we also sometimes utilize derivative financial instruments in the form of foreign currency exchange contracts to mitigate foreign currency exchange exposure resulting from inter-company loans, expected cash flow and earnings. We apply the *Derivatives and Hedging* Topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) (Topic 815) when accounting for any such contracts. In all cases, we view derivative financial instruments as a risk management tool and, accordingly, do not engage in any speculative activities with respect to foreign currency.

On April 6, 2010, we entered into three option agreements, including one to sell a notional amount of 4.0 million British pounds sterling, which expired on June 28, 2010, one to sell a notional amount of 4.0 million British pounds sterling, which expired on September 28, 2010 and one to sell a notional amount of 7.6 million British pounds sterling, which expires on December 29, 2010. On April 12, 2010, we entered into three additional option agreements, including one to sell a notional amount of 2.7 million euros, which expired on June 28, 2010, one to sell a notional amount of 2.4 million euros, which was exercised on September 28, 2010 and one to sell a notional amount of 11.0 million euros, which expires on December 29, 2010. Included in the consolidated statement of operations were charges of \$2.1 million and \$1.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, resulting from net losses on foreign currency exchange option agreements.

We also enter into loan commitments that relate to the origination or acquisition of commercial mortgage loans that will be held for resale. FASB ASC Topic 815 requires that these commitments be recorded at their fair values as derivatives. The net impact on our financial position and earnings resulting from these derivatives contracts has not been significant.

Based upon information from third-party banks, the estimated fair value of our senior secured term loans was approximately \$1.5 billion at September 30, 2010. Based on dealers' quotes, the estimated fair values of our 11.625% senior subordinated notes was \$509.5 million at September 30, 2010.

We utilize sensitivity analyses to assess the potential effect of our variable rate debt. If interest rates were to increase by 10% on our outstanding variable rate debt, excluding notes payable on real estate, at September 30, 2010, the net impact of the additional interest cost would be a decrease of \$6.7 million on pre-tax income and cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

We also have \$679.6 million of notes payable on real estate as of September 30, 2010. Interest costs relating to notes payable on real estate include both interest that is expensed and interest that is capitalized as part of the cost of real estate. If interest rates were to increase by 10%, our total estimated interest cost related to notes payable would increase by approximately \$2.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. From time to time, we enter into interest rate swap and cap agreements in order to limit our interest expense related to our notes payable on real estate. If any of these agreements are not designated as effective hedges, then they are marked to market each period with the change in fair market value recognized in current period earnings. The net impact on our earnings resulting from gains and/or losses on interest rate swap and cap agreements associated with notes payable on real estate has not been significant.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our policy for disclosure controls and procedures provides guidance on the evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures and is designed to ensure that all corporate disclosure is complete and accurate in all material respects and that all information required to be disclosed in the periodic reports submitted by us under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods and in the manner specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Our Disclosure Committee consisting of the principal accounting officer, general counsel, chief communication officer, senior officers of each significant business line and other select employees assisted the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer in this evaluation. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as required by Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(c) as of the end of the period covered by this report.

No changes in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are a party to a number of pending or threatened lawsuits arising out of, or incident to, our ordinary course of business, as well as investigations relating to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, including the one disclosed on our Form 8-K filed October 5, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference. Our management believes that any liability imposed on us that may result from disposition of these lawsuits or investigations will not have a material effect on our business, consolidated financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Set forth below and elsewhere in this report and in other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission are risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this report and other public statements we make. Based on the information currently known to us, we believe that the matters discussed below identify the most significant risk factors affecting our business. However, the risks and uncertainties we face are not limited to those described below. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently believe to be immaterial may also adversely affect our business.

The success of our business is significantly related to general economic conditions and, accordingly, our business has been and could continue to be harmed by the economic slowdown and downturn in real estate asset values, property sales and leasing activities.

Periods of economic weakness or recession, significantly rising interest rates, declining employment levels, declining demand for real estate, declining real estate values, or the public perception that any of these events may occur, may negatively affect the performance of many of our business lines. These economic conditions can result in a general decline in acquisition, disposition and leasing activity, as well as a general decline in the value of real estate and in rents, which in turn reduces revenue from property management fees and brokerage commissions derived from property sales, leases and mortgage brokerage as well as revenues associated with investment management and/or development activities. In addition, these conditions can lead to a decline in property sales prices as well as a decline in funds invested in existing commercial real estate assets and properties planned for development.

Because our development and investment strategy often entails making relatively modest investments alongside our investor clients, our ability to conduct these activities depends in part on the supply of investment capital for commercial real estate and related assets. During an economic downturn, investment capital is usually constrained. During these periods, it may also take longer for us to dispose of real estate investments or the selling prices may be lower than originally anticipated. As a result, the carrying value of our real estate investments may become impaired and we could record losses as a result of such impairment or we could experience reduced profitability related to declines in real estate values. In addition, economic downturns may reduce the amount of loan originations and related servicing by our commercial mortgage brokerage business. Further, as a result of our debt level and the terms of our existing debt instruments, our exposure to adverse general economic conditions is heightened.

During 2008 and 2009, the availability and cost of credit, a declining real estate market (in particular, in those markets in which we have generated significant transaction revenues in the past, such as the United States) and geopolitical issues contributed to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and the markets going forward. These factors, combined with volatile oil prices, declining business and consumer confidence and increased unemployment, precipitated an economic slowdown and a global recession. The fragility of the credit markets and the volatile economic environment impacted real estate services companies like ours through liquidity restrictions, falling transaction volumes, lower real estate valuations, market volatility

Table of Contents

and fluctuations, and loss of confidence. Similar to other commercial real estate services firms, our transaction volumes fell during 2008 and 2009 and our stock price declined significantly. While the economic decline has abated since 2009, and our business and stock price have begun to recover, negative economic conditions persist and pose significant risks to our business.

These negative general economic conditions could continue to reduce the overall amount of sale and leasing activity in the commercial real estate industry, and hence the demand for our services. We are unable to predict the likely path of recovery from the disruption in financial markets and adverse economic conditions in the United States and other countries experienced in 2008 and 2009. Our revenues and profitability depend on the overall demand for our services from our clients. While it is possible that the increase in the number of distressed sales and resulting decrease in asset prices will eventually translate to greater market activity, the current overall reduction in sales transaction volume from pre-recession levels continues to materially and adversely impact our business.

If the conditions that prevailed in the economy and the commercial real estate market in 2008 and 2009 were to return or worsen in the future, our business performance and profitability could again deteriorate. If this were to occur, we could fail to comply with certain financial covenants in our credit agreement which would force us to seek an amendment with the lenders under our credit agreement, and no assurance can be given that we will be able to obtain any necessary waivers or amendments on satisfactory terms, if at all. In addition, in an extreme deterioration of our business, we could have insufficient liquidity to meet our debt service obligations when they come due in future years. If we fail to meet our payment or other obligations under our credit agreement, the lenders under the agreement will be entitled to proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure the debt owed.

Recent adverse developments in the credit markets and the risk of continued market deterioration have adversely affected and may continue to adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our Global Investment Management, Development Services and capital markets (including investment property sales and debt and equity financing services) businesses are sensitive to credit cost and availability as well as marketplace liquidity. Additionally, the revenues in all of our businesses are dependent to some extent on the overall volume of activity (and pricing) in the commercial real estate market. In 2008 and 2009, the credit markets experienced a disruption of unprecedented magnitude. This disruption reduced the availability and significantly increased the cost of most sources of funding. In some cases, these sources were eliminated.

Disruptions in the credit markets adversely affected, and may continue to adversely affect, our business of providing advisory services to owners, investors and occupiers of real estate in connection with the leasing, disposition and acquisition of property. If our clients are unable to procure credit on favorable terms, there may be fewer completed leasing transactions, dispositions and acquisitions of property. In addition, if purchasers of real estate are not able to procure favorable financing resulting in the lack of disposition opportunities for our funds and projects, our Global Investment Management and Development Services businesses will be unable to generate incentive fees and we may also experience losses of co-invested equity capital if the disruption causes a permanent decline in the value of investments made.

The scope of the credit market disruption in late 2008 and early 2009 was well beyond what any market participant anticipated. While the credit market has shown signs of improving since the second half of 2009, liquidity remains constrained and it is impossible to predict when the market will return to normalcy. This uncertainty may lead market participants to continue to act more conservatively than in recent history, which may amplify decreases in demand and pricing in the markets we serve.

Table of Contents

Our debt instruments impose operating and financial restrictions on us and, in the event of a default, all of our borrowings would become immediately due and payable.

Our debt instruments, including our credit agreement, impose, and the terms of any future debt may impose, operating and other restrictions on us and many of our subsidiaries. These restrictions will affect, and in many respects will limit or prohibit, our ability and our guarantor subsidiaries' abilities to:

incur or guarantee additional indebtedness;

pay dividends or make distributions on capital stock or redeem or repurchase capital stock;

repurchase equity interests;

make investments;

create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to us;

transfer or sell assets, including the stock of subsidiaries;

create liens;

enter into transactions with affiliates;

enter into sale/leaseback transactions; and

enter into mergers or consolidations.

Our credit agreement currently requires us to maintain a minimum coverage ratio of EBITDA (as defined in the credit agreement) to total interest expense of 2.00x through March 31, 2011 and 2.25x thereafter, and a maximum leverage ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA (as defined in the credit agreement) of 4.25x through March 31, 2011 and 3.75x thereafter. Our ability to meet these financial ratios can be affected by events beyond our control, and we cannot assure you that we will be able to meet those ratios when required. For example, we experienced a decline in EBITDA during the economic downturn in 2008 to 2009, which negatively impacted our minimum coverage ratio and maximum leverage ratio. However, we significantly reduced our cost structure during 2008 and 2009, and, as a result of these cost reductions, as well as renewed growth in our business, we are well within compliance with the minimum coverage ratio and the maximum leverage ratio under our credit agreement. Our coverage ratio of EBITDA to total interest expense was 6.57x for the twelve months ended September 30, 2010 and our leverage ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA was 1.27x as of September 30, 2010. We continue to monitor our projected compliance with these financial ratios and other terms of our credit agreement.

A breach of any of these restrictive covenants or the inability to comply with the required financial ratios could result in a default under our debt instruments. If any such default occurs, the lenders under our credit agreement may elect to declare all outstanding borrowings, together with accrued interest and other fees, to be immediately due and payable. The lenders under our credit agreement also have the right in these

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

circumstances to terminate any commitments they have to provide further borrowings. If we are unable to repay outstanding borrowings when due, the lenders under our credit agreement will have the right to proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure the debt, which collateral is described in the immediately following risk factor. If the debt under our credit agreement were to be accelerated, we cannot give assurance that this collateral would be sufficient to repay our debt.

The restrictions contained in our debt instruments could also:

limit our ability to plan for or react to market conditions or meet capital needs or otherwise restrict our activities or business plans;
and

adversely affect our ability to finance ongoing operations, strategic acquisitions, investments or other capital needs or to engage in other business activities that would be in our interest.

Table of Contents

If we fail to meet our payment or other obligations under our credit agreement, the lenders under such credit agreement could foreclose on, and acquire control of, substantially all of our assets.

Our credit agreement is jointly and severally guaranteed by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries. Borrowings under our credit agreement are secured by a pledge of substantially all of the capital stock of our U.S. subsidiaries and 65% of the capital stock of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries. In addition, in connection with any amendment to our credit agreement, we may need to grant additional collateral to the lenders.

Our substantial leverage and debt service obligations could harm our ability to operate our business, remain in compliance with debt covenants and make payments on our debt.

We are highly leveraged and have significant debt service obligations. As of September 30, 2010, our total debt, excluding notes payable on real estate and warehouse lines of credit, was approximately \$1.9 billion. For the year ended December 31, 2009 and the nine months ended September 30, 2010, our interest expense was approximately \$189.1 million and \$149.8 million, respectively. Our level of indebtedness increases the possibility that we may be unable to generate cash sufficient to pay when due the principal of, interest on or other amounts due in respect of our indebtedness. In addition, we may incur additional debt from time to time to finance strategic acquisitions, investments, joint ventures or for other purposes, subject to the restrictions contained in the documents governing our indebtedness. If we incur additional debt, the risks associated with our leverage, including our ability to service our debt, would increase. If we are required to seek an amendment to our credit agreement, our debt service obligations may be substantially increased.

Our debt could have other important consequences, which include, but are not limited to, the following:

we could be required to use a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to pay principal and interest on our debt;

our interest expense could increase if interest rates increase because the loans under our credit agreement bear interest at floating rates;

our leverage could increase our vulnerability to general economic downturns and adverse competitive and industry conditions, placing us at a disadvantage compared to those of our competitors that are less leveraged;

our debt service obligations could limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and in the commercial real estate services industry;

our failure to comply with the financial and other restrictive covenants in the documents governing our indebtedness, which, among other things, require us to maintain specified financial ratios and limit our ability to incur additional debt and sell assets, could result in an event of default that, if not cured or waived, results in foreclosure on substantially all of our assets; and

our level of debt may restrict us from raising additional financing on satisfactory terms to fund working capital, strategic acquisitions, investments, joint ventures and other general corporate requirements.

From time to time, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. rate our significant outstanding debt. These ratings and any downgrades thereof may impact our ability to borrow under any new agreements in the future, as well as the interest rates and other terms of any future borrowings, and could also cause a decline in the market price of our Class A common stock.

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

We cannot be certain that our earnings will be sufficient to allow us to pay principal and interest on our debt and meet our other obligations. If we do not have sufficient earnings, we may be required to seek to refinance all or part of our existing debt, sell assets, borrow more money or sell more securities, none of which we can guarantee that we will be able to do and which, if accomplished, may adversely impact our stock price.

Table of Contents

We have limited restrictions on the amount of additional recourse debt we are able to incur, which may intensify the risks associated with our leverage, including our ability to service our indebtedness.

Subject to the maximum amounts of indebtedness permitted by our credit agreement covenants, we are not restricted in the amount of additional recourse debt we are able to incur in connection with the financing of our development activities, and we may in the future incur such indebtedness in order to decrease the amount of equity we invest in these activities. Subject to certain covenants in our various bank credit agreements, we are also not restricted in the amount of additional recourse debt CBRE Capital Markets may incur in connection with funding loan originations for multi-family properties having prior purchase commitments by a government sponsored entity.

The deteriorating financial condition and/or results of operations of certain of our clients could adversely affect our business.

We could be adversely affected by the actions and deteriorating financial condition and results of operations of certain of our clients. Our clients include companies in the financial services industry, including commercial banks, investment banks and insurance companies, as well as the automobile industry. Defaults or non-performance by, or even rumors or questions about, one or more financial services institutions, or the financial services industry generally, have led to market-wide liquidity problems and could lead to losses or defaults by one or more of our clients, which in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Any of our clients may experience a downturn in its business that may weaken its results of operations and financial condition. As a result, a client may fail to make payments when due, become insolvent or declare bankruptcy. For example, in 2008, a significant customer of our outsourcing business, Washington Mutual, was seized by federal regulators and sold to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Any client bankruptcy or insolvency, or the failure of any client to make payments when due, could result in material losses to our company. In particular, if any of our significant clients becomes insolvent or suffers a downturn in its business, it may seriously harm our business. Bankruptcy filings by or relating to one of our clients could bar us from collecting pre-bankruptcy debts from that client. A client bankruptcy would delay our efforts to collect past due balances and could ultimately preclude full collection of these amounts. Any unsecured claim we hold against a bankrupt entity may be paid only to the extent that funds are available and only in the same percentage as is paid to all other holders of unsecured claims. We may recover substantially less than the full value of any unsecured claims in the event of the bankruptcy of a large client, which would adversely impact our financial condition. We expect that the continuing weakness and volatility in the global economy will put additional financial stress on clients, which may in turn negatively impact our ability to collect our receivables fully or in a timely manner.

Additionally, while no individual client accounted for more than approximately 3% of our revenues on a global basis in 2009, certain corporate services and property management client agreements require that we advance payroll and other vendor costs on behalf of clients. If such a client were to file bankruptcy or otherwise fail, we may not be able to obtain reimbursement for those costs or for the severance obligations we would incur as a result of the loss of the client.

Our goodwill and other intangible assets could become further impaired, which may require us to take significant non-cash charges against earnings.

Under current accounting guidelines, we must assess, at least annually and potentially more frequently, whether the value of our goodwill and other intangible assets has been impaired. Any impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets as a result of such analysis would result in a non-cash charge against earnings, which charge could materially adversely affect our reported results of operations and our stock price. Due to the continuing economic uncertainty and credit crisis, we determined in December 2008 that the negative impact of the current global economic slowdown and resulting decline in our stock price represented an adverse change in our business climate, requiring us to undertake an interim evaluation of our goodwill and other intangible assets

Table of Contents

for impairment. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we incurred charges of \$1.2 billion in connection with the impairment of goodwill and other non-amortizable intangible assets. We did not record any impairment charges related to goodwill and other non-amortizable intangible assets during the year ended December 31, 2009 or the nine months ended September 30, 2010. As of September 30, 2010, our recorded goodwill was approximately \$1.3 billion; our other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization, was approximately \$332 million; and our CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. stockholders' equity was approximately \$773 million. As of September 30, 2010, our book value per share was \$2.39. A significant and sustained decline in our future cash flows, a significant further adverse change in the economic environment, slower growth rates or if our stock price falls below our net book value per share for a sustained period, all could result in the need to perform additional impairment analysis in future periods. If we were to conclude that a future write-down of goodwill or other intangible assets is necessary, then we would record such additional charges, which could materially adversely affect our results of operations.

Our success depends upon the retention of our senior management, as well as our ability to attract and retain qualified and experienced employees (including those acquired through acquisitions).

Our continued success is highly dependent upon the efforts of our executive officers and other key employees, including Brett White, our Chief Executive Officer. Mr. White and certain other key employees are not parties to employment agreements with us. We also are highly dependent upon the retention of our property sales and leasing professionals, who generate a significant majority of our revenues, as well as other revenue producing professionals. The departure of any of our key employees (including those acquired through acquisitions), or the loss of a significant number of key revenue producers, if we are unable to quickly hire and integrate qualified replacements, could cause our business, financial condition and results of operations to suffer. In addition, the growth of our business is largely dependent upon our ability to attract and retain qualified support personnel in all areas of our business, including brokerage and property management personnel. Competition for these personnel is intense and we may not be able to successfully recruit, integrate or retain sufficiently qualified personnel. We use equity incentives to retain and incentivize our key personnel. In 2008 and early 2009, our stock price declined significantly, resulting in the decline in value of our previously provided equity incentives, which may result in an increased risk of loss of these key personnel. While our stock price has since begun to recover, there can be no assurance that this will continue, or that it will continue at a pace that is sufficient to provide an adequate retention incentive to key personnel. If we are unable to attract and retain these qualified personnel, our growth may be limited and our business and operating results could suffer.

Our international operations subject us to social, political and economic risks of doing business in foreign countries.

We conduct a significant portion of our business and employ a substantial number of people outside of the United States and as a result, we are subject to risks associated with doing business globally. During 2009, we generated approximately 39% of our revenue from operations outside the United States. Circumstances and developments related to international operations that could negatively affect our business, financial condition or results of operations include, but are not limited to, the following factors:

difficulties and costs of staffing and managing international operations in certain regions;

currency restrictions, which may prevent the transfer of capital and profits to the United States;

unexpected changes in regulatory requirements;

potentially adverse tax consequences;

the responsibility of complying with multiple and potentially conflicting laws, e.g., with respect to corrupt practices, employment and licensing;

the impact of regional or country-specific business cycles and economic instability;

the geographic, language and cultural differences among personnel in different areas of the world;

Table of Contents

greater difficulty in collecting accounts receivable in some geographic regions such as Asia, where many countries have underdeveloped insolvency laws and clients are often slow to pay, and in some European countries, where clients also tend to delay payments;

political instability; and

foreign ownership restrictions with respect to operations in countries such as China.

Although we maintain an anti-corruption compliance program throughout the company, violations of our compliance program may result in criminal or civil sanctions, including material monetary fines, penalties, equitable remedies, including disgorgement, and other costs against us or our employees, and may have a material adverse effect on our reputation and business.

We have committed additional resources to expand our worldwide sales and marketing activities, to globalize our service offerings and products in selected markets and to develop local sales and support channels. If we are unable to successfully implement these plans, maintain adequate long-term strategies that successfully manage the risks associated with our global business or adequately manage operational fluctuations, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be harmed.

In addition, our international operations and, specifically, the ability of our non-U.S. subsidiaries to dividend or otherwise transfer cash, including transfers of cash to pay interest and principal on our debt, may be affected by currency exchange control regulations, transfer pricing regulations and potentially adverse tax consequences, among other things.

Our revenue and earnings may be adversely affected by foreign currency fluctuations.

Our revenue from non-U.S. operations is denominated primarily in the local currency where the associated revenue was earned. During 2009, approximately 39% of our revenue was transacted in currencies of foreign countries, the majority of which included the Euro, the British pound sterling, the Canadian dollar, the Hong Kong dollar, the Japanese yen, the Singapore dollar, the Australian dollar and the Indian rupee. Thus, we may experience fluctuations in revenues and earnings because of corresponding fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates.

We have made significant acquisitions of non-U.S. companies and we may acquire additional foreign companies in the future. As we increase our foreign operations, fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the other currencies in which we may generate earnings could adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results. Due to the constantly changing currency exposures to which we are subject and the volatility of currency exchange rates, we cannot predict the effect of exchange rate fluctuations upon future operating results. In addition, fluctuations in currencies relative to the U.S. dollar may make it more difficult to perform period-to-period comparisons of our reported results of operations.

From time to time, our management uses currency hedging instruments, including foreign currency forward and option contracts and borrows in foreign currencies. Economic risks associated with these hedging instruments include unexpected fluctuations in inflation rates, which impact cash flow and unexpected changes in the underlying net asset position.

Our growth has benefited significantly from acquisitions, which may not be available in the future.

A significant component of our growth has occurred through acquisitions, including our acquisition of Insignia in July 2003 and our acquisition of Trammell Crow Company in December 2006. Any future growth through acquisitions will be partially dependent upon the continued availability of suitable acquisition candidates at favorable prices and upon advantageous terms and conditions, which may not be available to us, as well as sufficient liquidity and credit to fund these acquisitions. We may incur significant additional debt from time to

Table of Contents

time to finance any such acquisitions, subject to the restrictions contained in the documents governing our indebtedness. If we incur additional debt, the risks associated with our leverage, including our ability to service our debt, would increase. In addition, acquisitions involve risks that business judgments concerning the value, strengths and weaknesses of businesses acquired will prove incorrect. Future acquisitions and any necessary related financings also may involve significant transaction-related expenses. For example, we incurred \$200.9 million of transaction-related expenditures in connection with our acquisition of Insignia in 2003 and \$196.6 million of transaction-related expenditures in connection with our acquisition of Trammell Crow Company in 2006. Transaction-related expenditures include severance costs, lease termination costs, transaction costs, deferred financing costs and merger-related costs, among others. We incurred our final transaction expenditures with respect to the Insignia acquisition in the third quarter of 2004 and the Trammell Crow Company acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2007.

If we acquire companies in the future, we may experience integration costs and the acquired businesses may not perform as we expect.

We have had, and may continue to experience, difficulties in integrating operations and accounting systems acquired from other companies. These challenges include the diversion of management's attention from other business concerns and the potential loss of our key employees or those of the acquired operations. We believe that most acquisitions will initially have an adverse impact on operating and net income. Acquisitions also frequently involve significant costs related to integrating information technology, accounting and management services and rationalizing personnel levels. In connection with the Insignia acquisition, we incurred \$41.9 million of expenses, which are related to the integration of Insignia's business lines, as well as accounting and other systems, into our own. Additionally, we have incurred \$61.4 million of integration expenses associated with the acquisition of Trammell Crow Company through September 30, 2010.

If we are unable to fully integrate the accounting and other systems of the businesses we acquire, we may not be able to effectively manage them. Moreover, the integration process itself may be disruptive to our business as it requires coordination of geographically diverse organizations and implementation of new accounting and information technology systems.

If the properties that we manage fail to perform, then our financial condition and results of operations could be harmed.

The revenue we generate from our asset services line of business is generally a percentage of aggregate rent collections from properties, although many management agreements provide for a specified minimum management fee. Accordingly, our success partially depends upon the performance of the properties we manage. The performance of these properties will depend upon the following factors, among others, many of which are partially or completely outside of our control:

our ability to attract and retain creditworthy tenants;

the magnitude of defaults by tenants under their respective leases;

our ability to control operating expenses;

governmental regulations, local rent control or stabilization ordinances which are in, or may be put into, effect;

various uninsurable risks;

financial conditions prevailing generally and in the areas in which these properties are located;

the nature and extent of competitive properties; and

the real estate market generally.

Table of Contents***Our real estate investment and co-investment activities subject us to real estate investment risks which could cause fluctuations in earnings and cash flow.***

An important part of the strategy for our Global Investment Management business involves investing our capital in certain real estate investments with our clients. As of September 30, 2010, we had committed \$20.3 million to fund future co-investments, \$6.5 million of which is expected to be funded during 2010. In addition to required future capital contributions, some of the co-investment entities may request additional capital from us and our subsidiaries holding investments in those assets, and the failure to provide these contributions could have adverse consequences to our interests in these investments. These adverse consequences could include damage to our reputation with our co-investment partners and clients, as well as the necessity of obtaining alternative funding from other sources that may be on disadvantageous terms for us and the other co-investors. Providing co-investment financing is a very important part of our Global Investment Management business, which would suffer if we were unable to make these investments. Although our debt instruments contain restrictions that limit our ability to provide capital to the entities holding direct or indirect interests in co-investments, we may provide this capital in many instances.

Selective investment in real estate projects is an important part of our Development Services business strategy and there is an inherent risk of loss of our investment. As of September 30, 2010, we had approximately 50 consolidated real estate projects with invested equity of \$33.7 million and \$3.5 million of notes payable on real estate that are recourse to us (in addition to being recourse to the single-purpose entity that holds the real estate asset and is the primary obligor on the note payable). In addition, at September 30, 2010, we were involved as a principal (in most cases, co-investing with our clients) in approximately 45 unconsolidated real estate subsidiaries with invested equity of \$26.3 million and had committed additional capital to these unconsolidated subsidiaries of \$27.2 million. We also guaranteed notes payable of these unconsolidated subsidiaries of \$1.7 million, excluding guarantees for which we have outstanding liabilities accrued on our consolidated balance sheet.

During the ordinary course of our Development Services business, we provide numerous completion and budget guarantees relating to development projects. Each of these guarantees requires us to complete the relevant project within a specified timeframe and/or within a specified budget, with us potentially being liable for costs to complete in excess of such timeframe or budget. While we generally have guaranteed maximum price contracts with reputable general contractors with respect to projects for which we provide these guarantees (which are intended to pass most of the risk to such contractors), there can be no assurance that we will not have to perform under any such guarantees. If we are required to perform under a significant number of such guarantees, it could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Because the disposition of a single significant investment can impact our financial performance in any period, our real estate investment activities could increase fluctuations in our net earnings and cash flow. In many cases, we have limited control over the timing of the disposition of these investments and the recognition of any related gain or loss. The current economic environment has further reduced opportunities for disposition of these investments. Risks associated with these activities include, but are not limited to, the following:

losses from investments;

difficulties associated with international co-investments described in Our international operations subject us to social, political and economic risks of doing business in foreign countries and Our revenue and earnings may be adversely affected by foreign currency fluctuations; and

potential lack of control over the disposition of any co-investments and the timing of the recognition of gains, losses or potential incentive participation fees.

Table of Contents

Poor performance of the investment programs that our Global Investment Management business manages would cause a decline in our revenue, net income and cash flow and could adversely affect our ability to raise capital for future programs.

In the event that any of the investment programs that our Global Investment Management business manages were to perform poorly, our revenue, net income and cash flow could decline because the value of the assets we manage would decrease, which would result in a reduction in some of our management fees, and our investment returns would decrease, resulting in a reduction in the incentive compensation we earn. Moreover, we could experience losses on co-investments of our own capital in such programs as a result of poor performance. Investors and potential investors in our programs continually assess our performance, and our ability to raise capital for existing and future programs will depend on our continued satisfactory performance. Poor performance could make it more difficult for us to raise new capital and maintain our current fee structure.

We are subject to substantial litigation risks and may face significant liabilities and damage to our professional reputation as a result of litigation allegations and negative publicity.

The investment decisions we make in our Global Investment Management business and the activities of our investment professionals on behalf of our clients may subject them and us to the risk of third-party litigation arising from investor dissatisfaction with the performance of our programs and a variety of other litigation claims, including allegations that we improperly exercised judgment, discretion, control or influence over client investments or that we breached fiduciary duties to clients.

To the extent investors in our programs suffer losses resulting from fraud, gross negligence, willful misconduct or other similar misconduct, investors may have remedies against us, our investment programs or funds or our employees under the federal securities law and state law. Moreover, we are exposed to risks of litigation or investigation by investors and regulators relating to allegations of our having engaged in transactions involving conflicts of interest that were not properly addressed.

We depend on our business relationships and our reputation for integrity and high-caliber professional services to attract and retain clients across our overall business, as well as investors for our Global Investment Management business. As a result, allegations by private litigants or regulators of improper conduct by us, whether the ultimate outcome is favorable or unfavorable to us, as well as negative publicity and press speculation about us or our investment activities, whether or not valid, may harm our reputation and damage our business prospects both in our Global Investment Management business and our other global businesses. In addition, if any lawsuits were brought against us and resulted in a finding of substantial legal liability, it could materially, adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations or cause significant reputational harm to us, which could materially impact our business.

Our joint venture activities involve unique risks that are often outside of our control which, if realized, could harm our business.

We have utilized joint ventures for commercial investments and local brokerage and other affiliations both in the United States and internationally, and although we currently have no specific plans to do so, we may acquire minority interests in other joint ventures in the future. In many of these joint ventures, we may not have the right or power to direct the management and policies of the joint ventures and other participants may take action contrary to our instructions or requests and against our policies and objectives. In addition, the other participants may become bankrupt or have economic or other business interests or goals that are inconsistent with ours. If a joint venture participant acts contrary to our interest, it could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

We have numerous significant competitors and potential future competitors, some of which may have greater financial and operational resources than we do.

We compete across a variety of business disciplines within the commercial real estate services industry, including investment management, tenant representation, corporate services, construction and development management, property management, agency leasing, valuation and commercial mortgage brokerage. With respect to each of our business disciplines, we cannot give assurance that we will be able to continue to compete effectively or maintain our current fee arrangements or margin levels or that we will not encounter increased competition. Each of the business disciplines in which we compete is highly competitive on an international, national, regional and local level. Although we are the largest commercial real estate services firm in the world in terms of 2009 revenue, our relative competitive position varies significantly across product and service categories and geographic areas. Depending on the product or service, we face competition from other real estate service providers, in-house corporate real estate departments, developers, institutional lenders, insurance companies, investment banking firms, investment managers, and accounting and consulting firms, some of which may have greater financial resources than we do. In addition, future changes in laws could lead to the entry of other competitors, such as financial institutions. Many of our competitors are local or regional firms. Although substantially smaller than us, some of these competitors are larger on a local or regional basis. We are also subject to competition from other large national and multi-national firms that have similar service competencies to ours. There has been a significant increase in recent years in real estate ownership by REITs, many of which self-manage most of their real estate assets. Continuation of this trend could shrink the asset base available to be managed by third-party service providers and thereby decrease the demand for our services. In general, there can be no assurance that we will be able to compete effectively, to maintain current fee levels or margins, or maintain or increase our market share.

A significant portion of our operations are concentrated in California and our business could be harmed due to the ongoing economic downturn in the California real estate markets.

For the year ended December 31, 2009 and the nine months ended September 30, 2010, approximately 10% of our revenue was generated from transactions originating in California. As a result of the geographic concentration in California, the current economic downturn in the California commercial real estate market and in the local economies in San Diego, Los Angeles and Orange County could harm our results of operations. Negative conditions in these or other significant commercial real estate submarkets could disproportionately affect our business as compared to competitors who have less or different geographic concentrations.

Our results of operations vary significantly among quarters during each calendar year, which makes comparisons of our quarterly results difficult.

A significant portion of our revenue is seasonal. Historically, this seasonality has caused our revenue, operating income, net income and cash flow from operating activities to be lower in the first two quarters and higher in the third and fourth quarters of each year. The concentration of earnings and cash flow in the fourth quarter is due to an industry-wide focus on completing transactions toward the fiscal year-end.

This has historically resulted in lower profits or a loss in the first and second quarters, with profits growing (or losses decreasing) in each subsequent quarter. This variance among quarters during each calendar year makes comparison between such quarters difficult, but does not generally affect the comparison of the same quarters during different calendar years.

We license the use of the Trammell Crow trade name and this license is not exclusive and may be revoked.

We have a license agreement with an affiliate of Crow Holdings that allows us to use the name Trammell Crow perpetually throughout the world in any business except the residential real estate business, although we can use this name in serving certain mixed-use properties or in providing investment sales brokerage services to

Table of Contents

buyers and sellers of multi-family residential facilities. This license can be revoked if we fail to maintain certain quality standards or infringe upon certain of the licensor's intellectual property rights. If we lose the right to use the Trammell Crow name, our Development Services business could suffer significantly.

The license agreement permits certain existing uses of the name Trammell Crow by affiliates of Crow Holdings. The use of the Trammell Crow name or other similar names by other parties may create confusion or reduce the value associated with the Trammell Crow name.

If we fail to comply with laws and regulations applicable to us in our role as a real estate broker, mortgage broker, property/facility manager or developer, we may incur significant financial penalties.

We are subject to numerous federal, state, local and non-U.S. laws and regulations specific to the services we perform in our business, as well as laws of broader applicability, such as tax, securities and employment laws. Brokerage of real estate sales and leasing transactions and the provision of property management and valuation services require us to maintain applicable licenses in each U.S. state in which we perform these services. If we fail to maintain our licenses or conduct these activities without a license, or violate any of the regulations covering our licenses, we may be required to pay fines (including treble damages in certain states) or return commissions received or have our licenses suspended or revoked. In addition, our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, CBRE Investors, is subject to laws and regulations as a registered investment advisor and compliance failures or regulatory action could adversely affect our business. As the size and scope of commercial real estate transactions have increased significantly during the past several years, both the difficulty of ensuring compliance with numerous state licensing regimes and the possible loss resulting from non-compliance have increased. Furthermore, the laws and regulations applicable to our business, both within and outside of the United States, also may change in ways that increase the costs of compliance.

We may have liabilities in connection with real estate brokerage and property management activities.

As a licensed real estate broker, we and our licensed employees are subject to regulatory due diligence, disclosure and standard-of-care obligations. Failure to fulfill these obligations could subject us or our employees to litigation from parties who purchased, sold or leased properties that we or they brokered or managed. We could become subject to claims by participants in real estate sales, as well as building owners and companies for whom we provide management services, claiming that we did not fulfill our regulatory and fiduciary obligations.

In addition, in our property management business, we hire and supervise third-party contractors to provide construction and engineering services for our managed properties. While our role is limited to that of an agent for the owner, we may be subject to claims for construction defects or other similar actions. Adverse outcomes of real estate brokerage or property management litigation could negatively impact our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We may be subject to environmental liability as a result of our role as a property or facility manager or developer of real estate.

Various laws and regulations impose liability on real property owners or operators for the cost of investigating, cleaning up or removing contamination caused by hazardous or toxic substances at a property. In our role as a property or facility manager or developer, we could be held liable as an operator for such costs. This liability may be imposed without regard to the legality of the original actions and without regard to whether we knew of, or were responsible for, the presence of the hazardous or toxic substances. Liability under some of these laws may be joint and several, meaning that one liable party could be held responsible for all costs related to a contaminated site despite the existence of other liable parties. If we fail to disclose environmental issues, we could also be liable to a buyer or lessee of a property. In addition, some environmental laws create a lien on the contaminated site in favor of the government for damages and costs incurred in connection with the contamination. If we incur any such liability, our business could suffer significantly as it could be difficult for us

Table of Contents

to develop or sell such properties, or borrow funds using such properties as collateral. Additionally, liabilities incurred to comply with more stringent future environmental requirements could adversely affect any or all of our lines of business.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**Exhibit**

| Number | Description |
|---------------|--|
| 3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. filed on June 16, 2004, as amended by the Certificate of Amendment filed on June 4, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 10, 2009) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated By-laws of CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 5, 2008) |
| 4.1(a) | Securityholders Agreement, dated as of July 20, 2001 (Securityholders Agreement), by and among, CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc., CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc., Blum Strategic Partners, L.P., Blum Strategic Partners II, L.P., Blum Strategic Partners II GmbH & Co. KG, FS Equity Partners III, L.P., FS Equity Partners International, L.P., Credit Suisse First Boston Corporation, DLJ Investment Funding, Inc., The Koll Holding Company, Frederic V. Malek, the management investors named therein and the other persons from time to time party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 25 to Amendment No. 9 to Schedule 13D with respect to CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. filed with the SEC on July 25, 2001) |
| 4.1(b) | Amendment and Waiver to Securityholders Agreement, dated as of April 14, 2004, by and among, CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc., CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. and the other parties to the Securityholders Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2(b) of the CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the SEC (No. 333-112867) on April 30, 2004) |
| 4.1(c) | Second Amendment and Waiver to Securityholders Agreement, dated as of November 24, 2004, by and among CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc., CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. and certain of the other parties to the Securityholders Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2(c) of the CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the SEC (No. 333-120445) on November 24, 2004) |
| 4.1(d) | Third Amendment and Waiver to Securityholders Agreement, dated as of August 1, 2005, by and among CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc., CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. and certain of the other parties to the Securityholders Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 2, 2005) |
| 4.2(a) | Indenture, dated as of June 18, 2009, among CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc., CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc., the other guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, for the 11.625% Senior Subordinated Notes Due June 15, 2017 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 23, 2009) |
| 4.2(b) | Form of Supplemental Indenture among CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc., CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc., certain new U.S. subsidiaries from time-to-time, the other guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, for the 11.625% Senior Subordinated Notes Due June 15, 2017 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 10, 2009) |

Table of Contents**Exhibit**

| Number | Description |
|---------------|---|
| 11 | Statement concerning Computation of Per Share Earnings (filed as Note 11 of the Consolidated Financial Statements) |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to §302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002* |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to §302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002* |
| 32 | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002* |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document** |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document** |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document** |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document** |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document** |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document** |

* Filed herewith

** XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed herewith, is not a part of a registration statement or Prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

Date: November 9, 2010

/s/ GIL BOROK
Gil Borok
Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)

Date: November 9, 2010

/s/ ARLIN GAFFNER
Arlin Gaffner
Chief Accounting Officer (principal accounting officer)