

VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP
Form 10-Q
August 10, 2009
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549
FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2009

OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-11277

VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New Jersey
(State or other jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

22-2477875
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

1455 Valley Road
Wayne, NJ
(Address of principal executive office)

07470
(Zip code)

973-305-8800

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files.) Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock (no par value), of which 143,008,655 shares were outstanding as of August 5, 2009.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page Number |
|--------------------------|--|
| PART I | FINANCIAL INFORMATION |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements (Unaudited) |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008</u> |
| | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Income Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008</u> |
| | 4 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008</u> |
| | 5 |
| | <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements June 30, 2009</u> |
| | 6 |
| Item 2. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> |
| | 35 |
| Item 3. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> |
| | 63 |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> |
| | 63 |
| PART II | OTHER INFORMATION |
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> |
| | 64 |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> |
| | 64 |
| Item 2. | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> |
| | 65 |
| Item 4. | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> |
| | 65 |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> |
| | 67 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 68 |

Table of Contents**PART 1 - FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements
VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (Unaudited)**

(in thousands, except for share data)

| | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 253,206 | \$ 237,497 |
| Interest bearing deposits with banks | 89,853 | 343,010 |
| Investment securities: | | |
| Held to maturity, fair value of \$1,512,779 at June 30, 2009 and \$1,069,245 at December 31, 2008 | 1,575,972 | 1,154,737 |
| Available for sale | 1,319,544 | 1,435,442 |
| Trading securities | 32,821 | 34,236 |
| Total investment securities | 2,928,337 | 2,624,415 |
| Loans held for sale, at fair value | 27,596 | 4,542 |
| Loans | 9,618,377 | 10,143,690 |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | (100,761) | (93,244) |
| Net loans | 9,517,616 | 10,050,446 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 271,062 | 256,343 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 302,014 | 300,058 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 57,359 | 57,717 |
| Due from customers on acceptances outstanding | 5,252 | 9,410 |
| Goodwill | 295,631 | 295,146 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 24,412 | 25,954 |
| Other assets | 359,693 | 513,591 |
| Total Assets | \$ 14,132,031 | \$ 14,718,129 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Non-interest bearing | \$ 2,333,195 | \$ 2,118,249 |
| Interest bearing: | | |
| Savings, NOW and money market | 3,843,513 | 3,493,415 |
| Time | 3,143,739 | 3,621,259 |
| Total deposits | 9,320,447 | 9,232,923 |
| Short-term borrowings | 193,281 | 640,304 |
| Long-term borrowings | 2,971,829 | 3,008,753 |
| Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts (includes fair value of \$150,743 at June 30, 2009 and \$140,065 at December 31, 2008 for VNB Capital Trust I) | 176,034 | 165,390 |
| Bank acceptances outstanding | 5,252 | 9,410 |

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 146,292 | 297,740 |
| Total Liabilities | 12,813,135 | 13,354,520 |
| Shareholders Equity* | | |
| Preferred stock, no par value, authorized 30,000,000 shares; issued 225,000 shares at June 30, 2009 and 300,000 shares at December 31, 2008 | 219,333 | 291,539 |
| Common stock, no par value, authorized 200,430,392 shares; issued 143,766,225 shares at June 30, 2009 and 143,722,114 shares at December 31, 2008 | 50,631 | 48,228 |
| Surplus | 1,047,146 | 1,047,085 |
| Retained earnings | 81,785 | 85,234 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (33,191) | (60,931) |
| Treasury stock, at cost (1,922,451 common shares at June 30, 2009 and 1,946,882 common shares at December 31, 2008) | (46,808) | (47,546) |
| Total Shareholders Equity | 1,318,896 | 1,363,609 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | \$ 14,132,031 | \$ 14,718,129 |

* Share data reflects the five percent common stock dividend issued on May 22, 2009.
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)**

(in thousands, except for share data)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Interest Income | | | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 141,358 | \$ 134,613 | \$ 285,213 | \$ 270,242 |
| Interest and dividends on investment securities: | | | | |
| Taxable | 34,147 | 36,065 | 69,492 | 70,207 |
| Tax-exempt | 2,389 | 2,470 | 4,761 | 5,135 |
| Dividends | 2,709 | 2,345 | 3,982 | 4,597 |
| Interest on federal funds sold and other short-term investments | 218 | 406 | 448 | 1,902 |
| Total interest income | 180,821 | 175,899 | 363,896 | 352,083 |
| Interest Expense | | | | |
| Interest on deposits: | | | | |
| Savings, NOW, and money market | 5,796 | 11,155 | 11,683 | 25,220 |
| Time | 26,106 | 27,162 | 56,285 | 57,650 |
| Interest on short-term borrowings | 579 | 2,212 | 3,130 | 4,519 |
| Interest on long-term borrowings and junior subordinated debentures | 35,227 | 32,792 | 70,121 | 66,534 |
| Total interest expense | 67,708 | 73,321 | 141,219 | 153,923 |
| Net Interest Income | 113,113 | 102,578 | 222,677 | 198,160 |
| Provision for credit losses | 13,064 | 5,800 | 23,045 | 9,800 |
| Net Interest Income after Provision for Credit Losses | 100,049 | 96,778 | 199,632 | 188,360 |
| Non-Interest Income | | | | |
| Trust and investment services | 1,592 | 1,744 | 3,237 | 3,512 |
| Insurance premiums | 2,577 | 2,264 | 5,570 | 5,636 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 6,563 | 7,041 | 13,200 | 13,622 |
| Gains on securities transactions, net | 288 | 425 | 251 | 924 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment losses on securities | | (1,383) | (5,905) | (1,737) |
| Portion recognized in other comprehensive income (before taxes) | (2,434) | | 1,300 | |
| Net impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings | (2,434) | (1,383) | (4,605) | (1,737) |
| Trading losses, net | (18,631) | (301) | (5,412) | (3,492) |
| Fees from loan servicing | 1,193 | 1,195 | 2,369 | 2,447 |
| Gains on sales of loans, net | 2,432 | 391 | 4,576 | 724 |
| Gains (losses) on sales of assets, net | 175 | (8) | 349 | 85 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 1,397 | 2,905 | 2,768 | 6,145 |
| Other | 4,459 | 3,681 | 8,293 | 9,315 |
| Total non-interest (loss) income | (389) | 17,954 | 30,596 | 37,181 |

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Non-Interest Expense

| | | | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| Salary expense | 31,397 | 30,138 | 63,844 | 60,301 |
| Employee benefit expense | 7,938 | 6,897 | 17,208 | 15,852 |
| Net occupancy and equipment expense | 14,344 | 12,775 | 29,895 | 26,256 |
| FDIC insurance assessment | 10,279 | 231 | 13,431 | 475 |
| Amortization of other intangible assets | 1,011 | 1,402 | 3,827 | 3,148 |
| Professional and legal fees | 2,147 | 1,897 | 4,239 | 4,186 |
| Advertising | 322 | 341 | 1,167 | 717 |
| Other | 10,668 | 10,278 | 21,441 | 20,502 |
| Total non-interest expense | 78,106 | 63,959 | 155,052 | 131,437 |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Income Before Income Taxes | 21,554 | 50,773 | 75,176 | 94,104 |
| Income tax expense | 6,557 | 9,290 | 22,795 | 21,038 |

| | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Net Income | 14,997 | 41,483 | 52,381 | 73,066 |
| Dividends on preferred stock and accretion | 5,789 | | 10,013 | |

| | | | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Net Income Available to Common Stockholders | \$ 9,208 | \$ 41,483 | \$ 42,368 | \$ 73,066 |
|--|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|

Earnings Per Common Share:*

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Basic | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.55 |
| Diluted | 0.06 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.55 |
| Cash Dividends Declared per Common Share* | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.38 | 0.38 |

Weighted Average Number of Common Shares Outstanding:*

| | | | | |
|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Basic | 141,804,034 | 132,252,624 | 141,789,818 | 132,219,176 |
| Diluted | 141,804,908 | 132,371,581 | 141,790,489 | 132,343,069 |

* Share data reflects the five percent common stock dividend issued on May 22, 2009.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)**

(in thousands)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 52,381 | \$ 73,066 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6,834 | 7,407 |
| Amortization of compensation costs pursuant to long-term stock incentive plans | 2,147 | 3,271 |
| Provision for credit losses | 23,045 | 9,800 |
| Net amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on securities | 1,776 | (187) |
| Amortization of other intangible assets | 3,827 | 3,148 |
| Gains on securities transactions, net | (251) | (924) |
| Net impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings | 4,605 | 1,737 |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale | 178,966 | 35,246 |
| Gains on loans held for sale, net | (4,576) | (724) |
| Origination of loans held for sale | (197,444) | (35,700) |
| Net change in trading securities | 1,415 | 655,120 |
| Gains on sales of assets, net | (349) | (85) |
| Change in fair value of borrowings carried at fair value | 10,678 | 930 |
| Net change in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance | (2,768) | (6,145) |
| Net decrease in accrued interest receivable and other assets | 134,167 | 120,612 |
| Net decrease in accrued expenses and other liabilities | (158,348) | (20,033) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 56,105 | 846,539 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from sales of investment securities available for sale | 185,043 | 7,545 |
| Proceeds from maturities and prepayments of investment securities available for sale | 207,180 | 377,782 |
| Purchases of investment securities available for sale | (225,878) | (685,321) |
| Purchases of investment securities held to maturity | (636,201) | (179,153) |
| Proceeds from maturities and prepayments of investment securities held to maturity | 216,262 | 84,169 |
| Net decrease (increase) in loans | 509,848 | (556,609) |
| Proceeds from bank owned life insurance | 812 | |
| Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment | 374 | 700 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (19,336) | (16,114) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 238,104 | (967,001) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net increase in deposits | 87,524 | 281,399 |
| Net decrease in short-term borrowings | (447,023) | (172,594) |
| Advances of long-term borrowings | | 400,000 |
| Repayments of long-term borrowings | (36,001) | (253,237) |
| Redemption of preferred stock | (75,000) | |
| Dividends paid to preferred shareholder | (7,729) | |
| Dividends paid to common shareholders | (54,007) | (50,355) |
| Common stock issued, net of cancellations | 579 | 765 |

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (531,657) | 205,978 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (237,448) | 85,516 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 580,507 | 237,465 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 343,059 | \$ 322,981 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid for interest on deposits and borrowings | \$ 147,164 | \$ 155,187 |
| Cash paid for federal and state income taxes | 27,596 | 30,051 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The unaudited consolidated financial statements of Valley National Bancorp, a New Jersey corporation (Valley), include the accounts of its commercial bank subsidiary, Valley National Bank (the Bank) and all of Valley s direct or indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries. All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated. The accounting and reporting policies of Valley conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and general practices within the financial services industry. In accordance with applicable accounting standards, Valley does not consolidate statutory trusts established for the sole purpose of issuing trust preferred securities and related trust common securities. See Note 12 below for more detail. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly Valley s financial position, results of operations and cash flows at June 30, 2009 and for all periods presented have been made. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire fiscal year. Valley has evaluated subsequent events for potential recognition and/or disclosure through August 7, 2009, the date the consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q were issued.

In preparing the unaudited consolidated financial statements, management has made estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated statements of condition and results of operations for the periods indicated. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to change are the allowance for loan losses, income taxes, security valuations and the review of goodwill, loan servicing rights and investment securities for impairment. The current economic environment has increased the degree of uncertainty inherent in these material estimates.

Certain information and footnote disclosure normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP and industry practice have been condensed or omitted pursuant to rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Valley s December 31, 2008 audited financial statements filed on Form 10-K.

On May 22, 2009, Valley issued a five percent common stock dividend to shareholders of record on May 8, 2009. All common share and per common share data presented in the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes below were adjusted to reflect the dividend.

Note 2. Earnings Per Common Share

For Valley, the numerator of both the basic and diluted earnings per common share is net income available to common stockholders (which is equal to net income less dividends on preferred stock and related discount accretion). The weighted average number of common shares outstanding used in the denominator for basic earnings per common share is increased to determine the denominator used for diluted earnings per common share by the effect of potentially dilutive common stock equivalents utilizing the treasury stock method. For Valley, common stock equivalents are common stock options and warrants (to purchase Valley s common shares) outstanding.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the calculation of both basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (in thousands, except for share data) | | | |
| Net income | \$ 14,997 | \$ 41,483 | \$ 52,381 | \$ 73,066 |
| Dividends on preferred stock and accretion | 5,789 | | 10,013 | |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ 9,208 | \$ 41,483 | \$ 42,368 | \$ 73,066 |
| Basic weighted-average number of common shares outstanding | 141,804,034 | 132,252,624 | 141,789,818 | 132,219,176 |
| Plus: Common stock equivalents | 874 | 118,957 | 671 | 123,893 |
| Diluted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding | 141,804,908 | 132,371,581 | 141,790,489 | 132,343,069 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.55 |
| Diluted | 0.06 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.55 |

Common stock equivalents, in the table above, exclude common stock options and warrants with exercise prices that exceed the average market price of Valley's common stock during the periods presented. Inclusion of these common stock options and warrants would be anti-dilutive to the diluted earnings per common share calculation. Anti-dilutive common stock options totaled 6.6 million for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 2.8 million for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2008.

Note 3. Stock Based Compensation

Valley currently has one active employee stock option plan, the 2009 Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan (the 2009 LTSIP), approved by shareholders on April 14, 2009. The purpose of the 2009 LTSIP is to provide additional incentive to officers and key employees of Valley and its subsidiaries whose substantial contributions are essential to the continued growth and success of Valley. The 2009 LTSIP replaced the 1999 Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan which expired on January 19, 2009 (with approximately 1.7 million unissued shares remaining).

Under the 2009 LTSIP, Valley may award shares to its employees for up to 6.4 million shares of common stock in the form of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock awards. Valley granted stock options and restricted stock awards totaling 7 thousand and 16 thousand shares, respectively, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. All stock options granted during 2009 were to non-executive officers and employees. The exercise price of each incentive stock option is equal to the fair market value of Valley's common stock on the date of grant. An incentive stock option's maximum term to exercise is 10 years from the date of grant and subject to a vesting schedule.

Valley recorded stock-based employee compensation expense for incentive stock options and restricted stock awards of \$936 thousand and \$1.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$2.1 million and \$3.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The \$3.2 million expense for the six months ended June 30, 2008 includes \$856 thousand which was immediately recognized for stock awards granted to retirement eligible employees. There were no stock awards granted to retirement eligible employees during the six months ended June 30, 2009, therefore, no immediate expense was recognized during the period. The fair values of all other stock awards are expensed over the vesting period. As of June 30, 2009, the unrecognized amortization expense for all stock-based employee compensation totaled approximately \$8.0 million and will be recognized over an average remaining vesting period of approximately 3 years.

Table of Contents

The fair value of each option granted on the date of grant is estimated using a binomial option pricing model. The results are based on assumptions for dividend yield based on the annual dividend rate; stock volatility, based on Valley's historical and implied stock price volatility; risk free interest rates, based on the U.S. Treasury constant maturity bonds, in effect on the actual grant dates, with a remaining term approximating the expected term of the options; and expected exercise term calculated based on Valley's historical exercise experience.

Stock-based employee compensation cost under the fair value method was measured using the following weighted-average assumptions for stock options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.1 - 4.0 % | 3.4 - 5.1% |
| Dividend yield | 4.5% | 4.3% |
| Volatility | 24.0% | 21.0% |
| Expected term (in years) | 6.7 | 7.3 |

In 2005, Valley's shareholders approved the 2004 Director Restricted Stock Plan. The plan provides the non-employee members of the Board of Directors with the opportunity to forego some or their entire annual cash retainer and certain meeting fees in exchange for shares of Valley restricted stock. The restricted shares under the plan vest 100 percent at the end of a five year vesting period, but the Board of Directors retains the right to accelerate the vesting of the restricted shares, at its discretion. Valley granted approximately 20 thousand shares under the 2004 Director Restricted Stock Plan during the six months ended June 30, 2009. There were approximately 103 thousand restricted shares outstanding under this plan as of June 30, 2009.

Note 4. Comprehensive Income

Valley's components of other comprehensive income (OCI), net of deferred tax, include unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale (including the non-credit portion of any other-than-temporary impairment charges relating to these securities effective January 1, 2009), unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives used in cash flow hedging relationships, and the unfunded portion of its various employee, officer and director pension plans.

The following table shows changes in each component of comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Net income | \$ 14,997 | \$ 41,483 | \$ 52,381 | \$ 73,066 |
| OCI, net of tax: | | | | |
| Net change in unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale | 18,000 | (26,340) | 32,585 | (25,376) |
| Net change in non-credit impairment losses on securities | (1,861) | | (517) | |
| Net pension benefits adjustment | 219 | 264 | 437 | 264 |
| Net change in unrealized gains and losses on derivatives used in cash flow hedging relationships | 2,593 | 169 | 2,385 | 169 |
| Less reclassification adjustment for gains and losses included in net income | 1,404 | 577 | 1,455 | 490 |
| Total OCI | 20,355 | (25,330) | 36,345 | (24,453) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 35,352 | \$ 16,153 | \$ 88,726 | \$ 48,613 |

Table of Contents

Note 5. Business Combinations and Dispositions

Acquisition

On July 1, 2008, Valley acquired Greater Community Bancorp (Greater Community), the holding company of Greater Community Bank, a commercial bank with approximately \$1.0 billion in assets and 16 full-service branches in northern New Jersey. The purchase price of \$167.8 million was paid through a combination of Valley's common stock (approximately 9.1 million shares) and warrants (described below). The transaction generated approximately \$115.8 million in goodwill and \$7.5 million in core deposit intangibles subject to amortization beginning July 1, 2008. Greater Community Bank was merged into Valley National Bank as of the acquisition date.

Valley issued approximately 964 thousand warrants to purchase Valley's common stock at \$18.10 per share which are exercisable beginning July 1, 2010 and expire on June 30, 2015. The Valley warrants, which have been determined to qualify as permanent equity, are publicly traded and listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the ticker symbol VLYWW.

Pro forma results of operations including Greater Community for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 have not been presented, as the acquisition did not have a material impact on Valley's operating results.

Disposition

On March 31, 2008, Valley sold its wholly-owned broker-dealer subsidiary, Glen Rauch Securities, Inc., for \$1.9 million, consisting of cash and a note receivable. During the fourth quarter of 2007, Valley recorded a \$2.3 million (\$1.5 million after-taxes) goodwill impairment loss due to its decision to sell the broker-dealer subsidiary. The subsidiary's operations and the disposition did not materially impact Valley's consolidated financial position or results of operations during six months ended June 30, 2008, and therefore have not been presented as discontinued operations in Valley's consolidated financial statements.

Note 6. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 141 (Revised 2007), Business Combinations (SFAS No. 141R), which replaces SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations, and applies to all transactions and other events in which one entity obtains control over one or more other businesses. SFAS No. 141R requires an acquirer, upon initially obtaining control of another entity, to recognize the assets, liabilities and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at fair value as of the acquisition date. Contingent consideration is required to be recognized and measured at fair value on the date of acquisition rather than at a later date when the amount of that consideration may be determinable beyond a reasonable doubt. This fair value approach replaces the cost-allocation process required under SFAS No. 141 whereby the cost of an acquisition was allocated to the individual assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair value. SFAS No. 141R requires acquirers to expense acquisition-related costs as incurred rather than allocating such costs to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, as was previously the case under SFAS No. 141. Under SFAS No. 141R, the requirements of SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities, would have to be met in order to accrue for a restructuring plan in purchase accounting. Pre-acquisition contingencies are to be recognized at fair value, unless it is a non-contractual contingency that is not likely to materialize, in which case, nothing should be recognized in purchase accounting and, instead, that contingency would be subject to the probable and estimable recognition criteria of SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. SFAS No. 141R is effective for all business combinations closing after January 1, 2009 and could have a significant impact on Valley's accounting for business combinations on or after such date.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an Amendment of ARB Statement No. 51. This standard amends the guidance in Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements. The new standard establishes

Table of Contents

accounting and reporting standards for the non-controlling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. SFAS No. 160 clarifies that a non-controlling interest in a subsidiary, which is sometimes referred to as minority interest, is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as a component of equity in the consolidated financial statements. Among other requirements, SFAS No. 160 requires consolidated net income to be reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the non-controlling interest. It also requires disclosure, on the face of the consolidated income statement, of the amounts of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the non-controlling interest. Valley's adoption of SFAS No. 160 on January 1, 2009 did not have a significant impact on Valley's statement of condition or results of operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosure about Derivative Instruments and Hedging activities*, an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. The new standard establishes enhanced disclosure requirements about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments; (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under Statement 133 and its related interpretations; and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. Valley adopted SFAS No. 161 on January 1, 2009 and has included the applicable financial statement disclosures in Note 13 below.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events*. SFAS No. 165 establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or available to be issued. SFAS No. 165 defines (i) the period after the balance sheet date during which a reporting entity's management should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, (ii) the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and (iii) the disclosures an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. SFAS No. 165 became effective for Valley's financial statements for periods ending after June 15, 2009. SFAS No. 165 did not have a significant impact on Valley's financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets*, an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*. The new standard (i) enhances reporting about transfers of financial assets, including securitizations, where companies have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets, (ii) eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity and changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets, and (iii) requires additional disclosures about all continuing involvements with transferred financial assets including information about gains and losses resulting from transfers during the period. SFAS No. 166 is effective on January 1, 2010 and is not expected to have a significant impact on Valley's financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*. SFAS 167 amends FIN 46 (Revised December 2003), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, to change how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting or similar rights should be consolidated. The determination of whether a company is required to consolidate an entity is based on, among other things, an entity's purpose and design and a company's ability to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. The new standard requires additional disclosures about the reporting entity's involvement with variable-interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement as well as its effect on the entity's financial statements. SFAS No. 167 is effective on January 1, 2010 and is not expected to have a significant impact on Valley's financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, a Replacement of FASB Statement No. 162. SFAS No. 168 establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the *Codification*) as the source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by non-governmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Rules and interpretive releases of the

Table of Contents

SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative guidance for SEC registrants. All guidance contained in the Codification carries an equal level of authority. All non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification is superseded and deemed non-authoritative. Valley does not expect its adoption of the Codification as of July 1, 2009 to significantly impact its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. 132(R)-1, *Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets*. FSP No. 132(R)-1 amends SFAS No. 132(R), *Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits*, to provide guidance on an employer's disclosures about plan assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan. FSP 132(R)-1 requires employers of public and nonpublic entities to disclose (a) more information about how investment allocation decisions are made; (b) provide more information about major categories of plan assets, including concentrations of risk and fair-value measurements, and the fair-value techniques and inputs used to measure plan assets. The disclosure requirements of FSP 132(R)-1 will be included in Valley's financial statements beginning with the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Effective January 1, 2009, Valley early adopted the provisions of FSP No. 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*. FSP No. 157-4 provides additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, and includes guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not in an orderly market. The FSP's model includes (a) evaluating the significance and relevance of the factors to determine whether, based on the weight of the evidence, there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability; (b) if the reporting entity concludes there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, further analysis of the transaction or the quoted prices is needed, and a significant adjustment to the transactions or quoted prices may be necessary to estimate fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 157. This FSP also requires an entity to disclose a change in valuation technique (and the related inputs) resulting from the application of the FSP and to quantify its effects. See Note 7 for discussion of the impact on valuation of certain investment securities due to the adoption of the provisions of the FSP and the impact to the consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2009, Valley early adopted the provisions of FSP No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*. The FSP amends SFAS No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, and FSP FAS No. 115-1 and FAS 124-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments and Its Application to Certain Investments*, to require that (a) an entity separately present, in the financial statement where the components of accumulated other comprehensive income are reported, amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income related to held-to-maturity and available-for-sale debt securities for which an other-than-temporary impairment has been recognized and (b) only the portion of the impairment related to a credit loss is recognized in earnings. The FSP also changes the guidance to state that management must assert (a) it does not have the intent to sell the security, and (b) if the entity does not intend to sell the security, it is not more likely than not it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. This FSP requires that an entity recognize non-credit losses on held-to-maturity debt securities in other comprehensive income and amortize that amount over the remaining life of the security in a prospective manner by increasing the carrying value of the asset unless the security is subsequently sold and there are additional credit losses. This FSP also expands and increases the frequency of existing disclosures about other-than-temporary impairments for debt and equity securities. Valley's adoption of the FSP resulted in an \$8.6 million cumulative-effect adjustment, net of taxes to increase retained earnings with an offset to accumulated other comprehensive loss as of January 1, 2009 for the non-credit component of losses on debt securities for which other-than-temporary impairment was previously recognized.

On March 31, 2009, Valley early adopted the provisions of FSP No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. The FSP amends SFAS No. 107, *Disclosure about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. This FSP also amends APB Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting*, to require those disclosures in summarized financial

Table of Contents

information at interim reporting periods. Under this FSP, a publicly company shall include disclosures about the fair value of its financial instruments whenever it issues summarized financial information for interim periods. In addition, an entity shall disclose in the body of in the accompanying notes of its summarized financial information for interim periods and in its financial statements for annual reporting periods the fair value of all its financial instruments for which it is practical to estimate fair value. Valley has included the applicable fair value disclosures in Note 7 below.

On April 1, 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. 141(R)-1, Accounting for Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed in a Business Combination That Arise from Contingencies. FSP No. 141(R)-1 amends the guidance in SFAS No. 141R to require that assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination that arise from contingencies be recognized at fair value if fair value can be reasonably estimated. If fair value of such an asset or liability cannot be reasonably estimated, the asset or liability would generally be recognized in accordance with SFAS No. 5,

Accounting for Contingencies, and FASB Interpretation No. 14, Reasonable Estimation of the Amount of a Loss. FSP No. 141(R)-1 removes subsequent accounting guidance for assets and liabilities arising from contingencies from SFAS No.141R and requires entities to develop a systematic and rational basis for subsequently measuring and accounting for assets and liabilities arising from contingencies. FSP No. 141(R)-1 eliminates the requirement to disclose an estimate of the range of outcomes of recognized contingencies at the acquisition date. For unrecognized contingencies, entities are required to include only the disclosures required by SFAS No. 5. FSP No. 141(R)-1 also requires that contingent consideration arrangements of an acquiree assumed by the acquirer in a business combination be treated as contingent consideration of the acquirer and should be initially and subsequently measured at fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 141R. FSP No. 141(R)-1 is effective for assets or liabilities arising from contingencies in business combinations after January 1, 2009.

Note 7. Fair Value Measurement of Assets and Liabilities

Valley uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. Investment securities classified as available for sale and trading securities, residential mortgage loans held for sale, junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I, and derivatives are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis.

Upon the adoption of SFAS No. 159 on January 1, 2007, Valley elected to measure residential mortgage loans held for sale and the junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I at fair value as part of its on-going asset/liability management strategy. Valley believes the fair value elections reduce certain timing differences and better match changes in the value of these instruments with current actions by management to manage the balance sheet and interest rate risk.

Table of Contents

SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under SFAS No. 157 are described below:

Basis of Fair Value Measurement

- Level 1 Unadjusted exchange quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly (i.e., quoted prices on similar assets), for substantially the full term of the asset or liability;
- Level 3 Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported by little or no market activity).

The following tables present the assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis by level within the fair value hierarchy as reported on the consolidated statements of financial condition at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. As required by SFAS No. 157, financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

| | June 30, 2009 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using: | | |
|--|------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| (in thousands) | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Investment securities: | | | | |
| Available for sale: | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 40,341 | \$ | \$ 40,341 | \$ |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 1,107,989 | | 1,012,520 | 95,469 |
| Trust preferred securities | 32,818 | | 15,169 | 17,649 |
| Corporate and other debt securities | 94,594 | | 93,589 | 1,005 |
| Equity securities | 43,802 | 4,888 | 30,497 | 8,417 |
| Total available for sale | 1,319,544 | 4,888 | 1,192,116 | 122,540 |
| Trading securities | 32,821 | | | 32,821 |
| Loans held for sale (1) | 27,596 | | 27,596 | |
| Other assets (2) | 7,807 | | 7,807 | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,387,768 | \$ 4,888 | \$ 1,227,519 | \$ 155,361 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I (3) | \$ 150,743 | \$ | \$ 150,743 | \$ |
| Other liabilities (2) | 1,111 | | 1,111 | |
| Total liabilities | \$ 151,854 | \$ | \$ 151,854 | \$ |

(1) The loans held for sale had contractual unpaid principal balances totaling approximately \$27.3 million at June 30, 2009.

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

- (2) Derivative financial instruments are included in this category.

- (3) The junior subordinated debentures had contractual unpaid principal obligations totaling \$157.0 million at June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

| | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Using: | | | |
| | December 31, 2008 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Investment securities: | | | | |
| Available for sale | \$ 1,435,442 | \$ 13,483 | \$ 1,421,959 | \$ |
| Trading securities | 34,236 | | 34,236 | |
| Loans held for sale (1) | 4,542 | | 4,542 | |
| Other assets (2) | 3,334 | | 3,334 | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,477,554 | \$ 13,483 | \$ 1,464,071 | \$ |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I (3) | \$ 140,065 | \$ | \$ 140,065 | \$ |
| Other liabilities (2) | 2,008 | | 2,008 | |
| Total liabilities | \$ 142,073 | \$ | \$ 142,073 | \$ |

(1) The loans held for sale had contractual unpaid principal balances totaling approximately \$4.47 million at December 31, 2008.

(2) Derivative financial instruments are included in this category.

(3) The junior subordinated debentures had contractual unpaid principal obligations totaling \$157.0 million at December 31, 2008. The following valuation techniques were used to measure the fair value of financial instruments in the table above on a recurring basis during the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008 unless otherwise noted. All the valuation techniques described below are based upon the unpaid principal balance only for each item selected to be carried at fair value and excludes any accrued interest or dividends at the measurement date. Interest income and expense and dividend income are recorded within the consolidated statements of income depending on the nature of the instrument using the effective interest method based on acquired discount or premium.

Available for sale and trading securities. Certain common and preferred equity securities are reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 inputs (exchange quoted prices). The majority of the other investment securities are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. The prices for these instruments are obtained through an independent pricing service or dealer market participants with whom Valley has historically transacted both purchases and sales of investment securities. Prices obtained from these sources include prices derived from market quotations and matrix pricing. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things. Management reviews the data and assumptions used in pricing the securities by its third party providers to ensure the lowest level of significant input is market observable data. For certain securities, the inputs used by either dealer market participants or independent pricing service, may be derived from unobservable market information. In these instances, Valley evaluated the appropriateness and quality of each price. In accordance with Valley's early adoption of FSP FAS No. 157-4 on January 1, 2009, Valley reviewed the volume and level of activity for all available for sale and trading securities and attempted to identify transactions which may not be orderly or reflective of a significant level of activity and volume. For securities meeting these criteria, the quoted prices received from either market participants or an independent pricing service may be adjusted, as necessary, to estimate fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 157 (fair values based on Level 3 inputs). In determining fair value, Valley utilized unobservable inputs which reflect Valley's own assumptions about the inputs that market participants would use in pricing each security. In developing its assertion of market participant assumptions, Valley utilized the best information that is both reasonable and available without undue cost and effort.

Table of Contents

In calculating the fair value for certain trading securities, consisting of trust preferred securities, under Level 3, Valley prepared present value cash flow models incorporating the contractual cash flow of each security adjusted, if necessary, for potential changes in the amount or timing of cash flows due to the underlying credit worthiness of each issuer. The resulting estimated prospective cash flows were discounted at a yield determined by reference to similarly structured securities for which observable orderly transactions occurred. For a majority of the securities valued under Level 3, the discount rate actually utilized reflected orderly transactions of similar issued securities by the same obligor.

In calculating the fair value for the available for sale securities under Level 3, Valley prepared present value cash flow models for certain trust preferred securities, corporate debt securities, and private label mortgage-backed securities. The cash flows for the mortgage-backed securities incorporated the expected cash flow of each security adjusted for default rates, loss severities and prepayments of the individual loans collateralizing the security. The cash flows for trust preferred securities and corporate debt securities reflected the contractual cash flow, adjusted if necessary for potential changes in the amount or timing of cash flows due to the underlying credit worthiness of each issuer.

For available for sale trust preferred securities and corporate debt securities, the resulting estimated prospective cash flows were discounted at a yield determined by reference to similarly structured securities for which observable orderly transactions occurred. The discount rate for each security was applied using a pricing matrix based on credit, security type and maturity characteristics to determine the fair value.

For available for sale private label mortgage-backed securities, cash flow assumptions incorporated independent third party market participant data based on vintage year for each security. The discount rate utilized in determining the present value of cash flows for the mortgage-backed securities was arrived at by combining the yield on orderly transactions for similar maturity government sponsored mortgage-backed securities with (a) the historical average risk premium of similar structured private label securities, (b) a risk premium reflecting current market conditions, including liquidity risk and (c) if applicable, a forecasted loss premium derived from the expected cash flows of each security. The estimated cash flows for each private label mortgage-backed security were then discounted at the aforementioned effective rate to determine the fair value.

Loans held for sale. These conforming residential mortgage loans are reported at fair value using Level 2 (significant other observable) inputs. The fair values were calculated utilizing quoted prices for similar assets in active markets. To determine these fair values, the mortgages held for sale are put into multiple tranches, or pools, based on the coupon rate of each mortgage. If the mortgages held for sale are material, the market prices for each tranche are obtained from both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The market prices represent a delivery price which reflects the underlying price each institution would pay Valley for an immediate sale of an aggregate pool of mortgages. The market prices received from Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are then averaged and interpolated or extrapolated, where required, to calculate the fair value of each tranche. Depending upon the time elapsed since the origination of each loan held for sale, non-performance risk and changes therein were addressed in the estimate of fair value based upon the delinquency data provided to both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac for market pricing and changes in market credit spreads. Non-performance risk was deemed immaterial to the gains recognized for changes in fair value of mortgage loans held for sale during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 based on the short duration these assets were held, and the high credit quality of these loans.

Junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I. The junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I are reported at fair value using Level 2 inputs. The fair value was estimated using quoted prices in active markets for similar assets, specifically the quoted price of the VNB Capital Trust I preferred stock traded under ticker symbol VLYPRA on the New York Stock Exchange. The preferred stock and Valley's junior subordinated debentures issued to the Trust have identical financial terms (see details at Note 12) and therefore, the preferred stock's quoted prices move in a similar manner to the estimated fair value and current settlement price of the junior subordinated debentures. The preferred stock's quoted price includes market considerations for Valley's credit and non-performance risk and is deemed to represent the transfer price that would be used if the junior subordinated debenture were assumed by a third party. Valley's potential credit risk and changes in such risk did not materially impact the fair value measurement of the junior subordinated debentures during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

Table of Contents

Derivatives. Derivatives are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. The fair value of Valley's interest rate caps and interest rate swap are determined using prices obtained from a third party advisor. The fair value measurement of the interest caps is calculated by discounting the future expected cash receipts that would occur if variable interest rates rise above the strike rate of the caps. The variable interest rates used in the calculation of projected receipts on the caps are based on an expectation of future interest rates derived from observed market interest rate curves and volatilities. The fair value measurement of the interest rate swap is determined by netting the discounted future fixed cash payments and the discounted expected variable cash receipts. The variable cash receipts are based on the expectation of future interest rates derived from observed market interest rate curves. The fair values of the derivatives incorporate credit valuation adjustments, which consider the impact of any credit enhancements to the contracts, to account for potential nonperformance risk of Valley and its counterparties. The credit valuation adjustments were not significant to the overall valuation of Valley's derivatives at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

The changes in Level 3 assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Trading Securities | Available For Sale Securities | Trading Securities | Available For Sale Securities |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 34,306 | \$ 131,422 | \$ | \$ |
| Net transfers into Level 3 ⁽¹⁾ | | | 34,236 | 115,343 |
| Total net gains (losses) for the period included in: | | | | |
| Net income | 4,215 | | 4,285 | |
| Other comprehensive income | | (1,878) | | 18,493 |
| Purchases, sales, settlements, net | (5,700) | (7,004) | (5,700) | (11,296) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 32,821 | \$ 122,540 | \$ 32,821 | \$ 122,540 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) included in net income for the period relating to assets held at June 30, 2009⁽²⁾ | \$ 4,193 ⁽³⁾ | \$ (2,434) ⁽⁴⁾ | \$ 4,263 ⁽³⁾ | \$ (4,605) ⁽⁴⁾ |

(1) The amounts presented as transfers into Level 3 represents the fair value as of the beginning of the periods presented. Amounts represent transfers from Level 2 of single-issuer trust preferred securities and private label mortgage-backed securities for which significant inputs to the valuation became unobservable, largely due to reduced levels of market liquidity. Related gains and losses for the period are included in the above table.

(2) Represents net gains (losses) that are due to changes in economic conditions and management's estimates of fair value.

(3) Included in trading losses, net within the non-interest income category on the consolidated statements of income.

(4) Represents the net impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings for the period.

Valley's significant accounting policies presented in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements included in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 require certain assets, including goodwill, loan servicing rights, core deposits, other intangible assets, certain impaired loans and other long-lived assets, such as other real estate owned, to be written down to their fair value on a nonrecurring basis through recognition of an impairment charge to the consolidated statements of income. The following nonrecurring items were adjusted by such fair value measurements during the periods noted.

Table of Contents

Loan servicing rights. For the second quarter of 2009, Valley recognized a \$681 thousand recovery of previously recognized impairment charges on loan servicing rights through a valuation allowance. Impairment charges are recognized on loan servicing rights when the book value of certain stratum of the loan servicing rights portfolio exceed the stratum's estimated fair value. Fair values for each stratum are calculated using a fair value model from a third party vendor that requires inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (Level 3). The fair value model is based on various assumptions, including but not limited to, servicing cost, prepayment speed, internal rate of return, ancillary income, float rate, tax rate, and inflation. A significant degree of judgment is involved in valuing the loan servicing rights using Level 3 inputs. The use of different assumptions could have a significant positive or negative effect on the fair value estimate. Valley's loan servicing rights had a carrying value of \$9.3 million (net of a \$986 thousand valuation allowance) at June 30, 2009. See Note 9 for further information.

There were no other material impairment charges incurred for financial instruments carried at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the three or six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

The following table presents the amount of gains and losses from fair value changes included in income before income taxes for financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

| Reported in Consolidated Statements | Reported in Consolidated Statements | Gains (Losses) on Change in Fair Value | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Three Months Ended June 30, | Three Months Ended June 30, | Six Months Ended June 30, | Six Months Ended June 30, |
| of Condition at: | of Income at: | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | | (in thousands) | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Available for sale securities | Net impairment losses | \$ (2,434) | \$ (1,383) | \$ (4,605) | \$ (1,737) |
| Trading securities | Trading losses, net | 5,802 | (2,158) | 5,266 | (2,562) |
| Loans held for sale | Gains on sales of loans, net | 2,432 | 391 | 4,576 | 724 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Long-term borrowings * | Trading losses, net | | (122) | | (1,194) |
| Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts | Trading losses, net | (24,433) | 1,979 | (10,678) | 264 |
| | | \$ (18,633) | \$ (1,293) | \$ (5,441) | \$ (4,505) |

* During the second quarter of 2008, Valley prepaid one fixed rate Federal Home Loan Bank advance elected to be carried at fair value under SFAS No. 159 which had a \$40.0 million contractual principal obligation. No long-term borrowings were carried at fair value at June 30, 2009.

SFAS No. 107, Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, as amended requires disclosure of the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including those financial assets and financial liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis or non-recurring basis. The methodologies for estimating the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis are discussed above. Below is management's estimate of the fair value of financial instruments which were carried on the consolidated statements of financial condition at cost or amortized cost.

The fair value estimates below made at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were based on pertinent market data and relevant information on the financial instruments at that time. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the entire portfolio of financial instruments. Because no market exists for a portion of the financial instruments, fair value estimates may be based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Table of Contents

Fair value estimates are based on existing balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. For instance, Valley has certain fee-generating business lines (e.g., its mortgage servicing operation, trust and investment management departments) that were not considered in these estimates since these activities are not financial instruments. In addition, the tax implications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in many of the estimates.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of other financial assets and financial liabilities not already discussed above:

Cash and due from banks and interest bearing deposits with banks: The carrying amount is considered to be a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Investment securities held to maturity: Fair values are based on prices obtained through an independent pricing service or dealer market participants which Valley has historically transacted both purchases and sales of investment securities. Prices obtained from these sources include prices derived from market quotations and matrix pricing. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things. For certain securities, for which the inputs used by either dealer market participants or independent pricing service were derived from unobservable market information, Valley evaluated the appropriateness and quality of each price. In accordance with Valley's early adoption of FSP FAS No. 157-4, Valley reviewed the volume and level of activity for all classes of held to maturity securities and attempted to identify transactions which may not be orderly or reflective of a significant level of activity and volume. For securities meeting these criteria, the quoted prices received from either market participants or an independent pricing service may be adjusted, as necessary, to estimate fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 157. If applicable, the adjustment to fair value was derived based on present value cash flow model projections prepared by Valley utilizing assumptions similar to those incorporated by market participants.

Loans: Fair values are estimated by discounting the projected future cash flows using market discount rates that reflect the credit and interest-rate risk inherent in the loan. Projected future cash flows are calculated based upon contractual maturity or call dates, projected repayments and prepayments of principal.

Accrued interest receivable and payable: The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate their fair value.

Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank stock: The redeemable carrying amount of these securities with limited marketability approximates their fair value. These securities are recorded in other assets on the consolidated statements of financial condition.

Deposits: Current carrying amounts approximate estimated fair value of demand deposits and savings accounts. The fair value of time deposits is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows using estimated rates currently offered for alternative funding sources of similar remaining maturity.

Short-term and long-term borrowings: The fair value is estimated by obtaining quoted market prices of the identical or similar financial instruments when available. The fair value of other long-term borrowings is estimated by discounting the estimated future cash flows using market discount rates of financial instruments with similar characteristics, terms and remaining maturity.

Junior subordinated debentures issued to GCB Capital Trust III: The fair value is estimated utilizing the income approach, whereby the expected cash flows, over the remaining life of the estimated life of the security, are discounted using Valley's credit spread over the current yield on a similar maturity U.S. Treasury security. Valley's credit spread was calculated based on Valley's trust preferred securities issued by VNB Capital Trust I, which are publicly traded in an active market.

Table of Contents

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments were as follows at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

| | June 30, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | |
|--|-----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Financial assets: | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 253,206 | \$ 253,206 | \$ 237,497 | \$ 237,497 |
| Interest bearing deposit with banks | 89,853 | 89,853 | 343,010 | 343,010 |
| Investment securities held to maturity | 1,575,972 | 1,512,779 | 1,154,737 | 1,069,245 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 1,319,544 | 1,319,544 | 1,435,442 | 1,435,442 |
| Trading securities | 32,821 | 32,821 | 34,236 | 34,236 |
| Loans held for sale, at fair value | 27,596 | 27,596 | 4,542 | 4,542 |
| Net loans | 9,517,616 | 9,507,551 | 10,050,446 | 10,169,298 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 57,359 | 57,359 | 57,717 | 57,717 |
| Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 140,946 | 140,946 | 150,476 | 150,476 |
| Other assets* | 7,807 | 7,807 | 3,334 | 3,334 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposits without stated maturities | 6,176,708 | 6,176,708 | 5,611,664 | 5,611,664 |
| Deposits with stated maturities | 3,143,739 | 3,191,812 | 3,621,259 | 3,681,279 |
| Short-term borrowings | 193,281 | 185,667 | 640,304 | 635,767 |
| Long-term borrowings | 2,971,829 | 3,172,753 | 3,008,753 | 3,455,381 |
| Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts (carrying amount includes fair value of \$150,743 at June 30, 2009 and \$140,065 at December 31, 2008 for VNB Capital Trust I) | 176,034 | 174,869 | 165,390 | 162,936 |
| Accrued interest payable | 27,068 | 27,068 | 32,802 | 32,802 |
| Other liabilities* | 1,111 | 1,111 | 2,008 | 2,008 |

* Derivative financial instruments are included in this category.

Financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk, consisting of loan commitments and standby letters of credit had immaterial estimated fair values at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

Note 8. Investment Securities

As of June 30, 2009, Valley had approximately \$1.6 billion and \$1.3 billion in held to maturity and available for sale investment securities, respectively. Valley may be required to record impairment charges on its investment securities if they suffer a decline in value that is considered other-than-temporary. Numerous factors, including lack of liquidity for re-sales of certain investment securities, absence of reliable pricing information for investment securities, adverse changes in business climate, adverse actions by regulators, or unanticipated changes in the competitive environment could have a negative effect on Valley's investment portfolio and may result in other-than-temporary impairment on certain investment securities in future periods. Valley's investment portfolios include private label mortgage-backed securities, trust preferred securities principally issued by bank holding companies (bank issuers) (including three pooled securities), perpetual preferred securities issued by banks, equity securities, and bank issued corporate bonds. These investments may pose a higher risk of future impairment charges by Valley as a result of the current downturn in the U.S. economy and its potential negative effect on the future performance of these bank issuers and/or the underlying mortgage loan collateral. In addition, the majority of the bank issuers of trust preferred securities within Valley's investment portfolio are participants in the Treasury's TARP Capital Purchase Program. For TARP participants, dividend payments to trust preferred security holders are currently senior to and payable before dividends can be paid on the preferred stock issued under the Capital Purchase Program. Based on recent stress tests and potential recommendations by the U.S. Government and the banking regulators, some bank trust preferred issuers may elect to defer future payments of interest on such securities. Such elections

Table of Contents

by issuers of securities within Valley's investment portfolio could adversely affect securities valuations and result in future impairment charges. Approximately \$11.2 million and \$150.5 million of the residential mortgage-backed securities classified as held to maturity and available for sale securities, respectively, were private label mortgage-backed securities at June 30, 2009, while the remainder of the residential mortgage-backed securities were issued by U.S. government sponsored agencies. The private label mortgage-backed securities classified as held to maturity and available for sale securities had gross unrealized losses of \$201 thousand and \$15.1 million, respectively, at June 30, 2009.

Held to Maturity

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value of securities held to maturity at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were as follows:

| | June 30, 2009 | | | | December 31, 2008 | | | |
|--|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| | (in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| U.S. government agency securities | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 24,958 | \$ 65 | \$ | \$ 25,023 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 224,006 | 2,321 | (1,008) | 225,319 | 201,858 | 2,428 | (701) | 203,585 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 1,017,322 | 11,218 | (4,213) | 1,024,327 | 593,275 | 7,076 | (785) | 599,566 |
| Trust preferred securities | 281,829 | 862 | (69,481) | 213,210 | 281,824 | 229 | (91,151) | 190,902 |
| Corporate and other debt securities | 52,815 | 424 | (3,316) | 49,923 | 52,822 | 2,547 | (5,200) | 50,169 |
| Total investment securities held to maturity | \$ 1,575,972 | \$ 14,825 | \$ (78,018) | \$ 1,512,779 | \$ 1,154,737 | \$ 12,345 | \$ (97,837) | \$ 1,069,245 |

The age of unrealized losses and fair value of related securities held to maturity at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were as follows:

| | June 30, 2009 | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Less than Twelve Months | | More than Twelve Months | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| | (in thousands) | | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 48,168 | \$ (916) | \$ 5,441 | \$ (92) | \$ 53,609 | \$ (1,008) |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 458,875 | (4,012) | 10,964 | (201) | 469,839 | (4,213) |
| Trust preferred securities | 25,489 | (1,725) | 161,489 | (67,756) | 186,978 | (69,481) |
| Corporate and other debt securities | 7,296 | (245) | 16,880 | (3,071) | 24,176 | (3,316) |
| Total | \$ 539,828 | \$ (6,898) | \$ 194,774 | \$ (71,120) | \$ 734,602 | \$ (78,018) |

Table of Contents

| | Less than Twelve Months | | December 31, 2008 More than Twelve Months | | Total | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 27,346 | \$ (661) | \$ 3,853 | \$ (40) | \$ 31,199 | \$ (701) |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 36,985 | (785) | | | 36,985 | (785) |
| Trust preferred securities | 89,816 | (24,111) | 88,642 | (67,040) | 178,458 | (91,151) |
| Corporate and other debt securities | 29,389 | (4,677) | 3,000 | (523) | 32,389 | (5,200) |
| Total | \$ 183,536 | \$ (30,234) | \$ 95,495 | \$ (67,603) | \$ 279,031 | \$ (97,837) |

The unrealized losses on investment securities held to maturity are primarily due to changes in interest rates (including, in certain cases, changes in credit spreads) and lack of liquidity in the market place. The total number of security positions in the securities held to maturity portfolio in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2009 was 134 compared to 87 at December 31, 2008.

The unrealized losses reported on obligations of states and political subdivisions all relate to securities with investment grade ratings and are believed by management to have been caused not by credit risk, but changes in interest rates.

Unrealized losses reported for residential mortgage-backed securities relate primarily to securities issued by government agencies and two AAA rated private label securities (with a combined amortized cost of \$11.2 million and an unrealized loss of \$201 thousand at June 30, 2009). Due to an illiquid and inactive market, the fair values for both of these two securities were based upon internal cash flow models that use significant unobservable data (i.e., Level 3 inputs discussed in detail at Note 7).

Unrealized losses reported for trust preferred securities relate to 36 single-issuer securities, mainly issued by bank holding companies. Of the 36 trust preferred securities, 23 are investment grade, 2 were non-investment grade, and 11 are not rated as of June 30, 2009. Additionally, \$23.6 million in unrealized losses at June 30, 2009 relate to securities issued by one bank holding company with a combined amortized cost of \$55.0 million. Valley privately negotiated the purchase of the \$55.0 million in trust preferred securities from the bank issuer and holds all of the securities of two issuances. In August 2009, the bank issuer elected to defer its normal quarterly interest payment on one of the security issuances. Typical of most trust preferred issuances, the bank issuer may defer interest payments for up to five years with interest payable on the deferred balance. The bank issuer is currently operating under an agreement with its bank regulators. The agreement requires, among other things, the issuer to receive permission from the regulators prior to resuming its scheduled payments on both security issuances. However, the issuer's principal subsidiary bank reported, in its most recent regulatory filing, that it meets the regulatory minimum requirements to be considered a well-capitalized institution as of June 30, 2009. Based on this information, management believes that Valley will receive all principal and interest contractually due on both security issuances. Valley will continue to closely monitor the credit risk of this issuer, and, if there is significant deterioration, Valley may be required to recognize other-than-temporary impairment on such securities in future periods. All other trust preferred securities classified as held to maturity are paying in accordance with their terms and have no deferrals of interest or defaults.

Unrealized losses reported for corporate and other debt securities relate mainly to one investment rated bank issued corporate bond with a \$9.0 million amortized cost and a \$2.6 million unrealized loss. The security is paying in accordance with its terms. Additionally, management analyzes the performance of the corporate and other debt issuers on a quarterly basis, including a review of the issuer's most recent bank regulatory filings, if applicable, to assess credit risk and the probability of impairment of the contractual cash flows of the applicable security. Based upon management's quarterly review, all of the issuers have maintained performance levels adequate to support the contractual cash flows of the securities and, if applicable, appear to meet the regulatory minimum requirements to be considered a well-capitalized financial institution. Due to an illiquid and

Table of Contents

inactive market, Valley used Level 3 fair value measurements for 27 and 6 individual trust preferred securities and corporate bonds, respectively, out of a total of 40 and 12 of such instruments, respectively, classified as held to maturity at June 30, 2009.

Management does not believe that any individual unrealized loss as of June 30, 2009 included in the table above represents an other-than-temporary impairment as management mainly attributes the declines in value to changes in interest rates and lack of liquidity in the market place, not credit quality or other factors. Based on a comparison of the present value of expected cash flows to the amortized cost, management believes there are no credit losses on these securities. Valley has no intent to sell, nor is it more likely than not that Valley will be required to sell, the securities contained in the table above before the recovery of their amortized cost basis or maturity.

As of June 30, 2009 the fair value of investments held to maturity that were pledged to secure public deposits, repurchase agreements, lines of credit and for other purposes required by law, was \$360.4 million.

The contractual maturities of investments in debt securities held to maturity at June 30, 2009 are set forth in the table below. Maturities may differ from contractual maturities in mortgage-backed securities because the mortgages underlying the securities may be prepaid without any penalties. Therefore, mortgage-backed securities are not included in the maturity categories in the following summary.

| | June 30, 2009 | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Due in one year | \$ 44,565 | \$ 44,624 |
| Due after one year through five years | 70,548 | 71,238 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 79,116 | 79,894 |
| Due after ten years | 364,421 | 292,696 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 1,017,322 | 1,024,327 |
| Total investment securities held to maturity | \$ 1,575,972 | \$ 1,512,779 |

Actual maturities of debt securities may differ from those presented above since certain obligations provide the issuer the right to call or prepay the obligation prior to scheduled maturity without penalty.

The weighted-average remaining expected life for residential mortgage-backed securities held to maturity was 1.7 years at June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents**Available for Sale**

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value of investment securities available for sale at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were as follows:

| | June 30, 2009 | | | | December 31, 2008 | | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| | (in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| U.S. government agency securities | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 101,787 | \$ 785 | \$ (8) | \$ 102,564 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 39,562 | 861 | (82) | 40,341 | 47,371 | 930 | (110) | 48,191 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 1,089,251 | 33,892 | (15,154) | 1,107,989 | 1,229,248 | 21,692 | (35,554) | 1,215,386 |
| Trust preferred securities* | 56,906 | 30 | (24,118) | 32,818 | 49,621 | 25 | (20,299) | 29,347 |
| Corporate and other debt securities | 97,687 | 1,882 | (4,975) | 94,594 | 11,919 | | (6,762) | 5,157 |
| Equity securities | 49,463 | 1,699 | (7,360) | 43,802 | 49,383 | 31 | (14,617) | 34,797 |
| Total investment securities available for sale | \$ 1,332,869 | \$ 38,364 | \$ (51,689) | \$ 1,319,544 | \$ 1,489,329 | \$ 23,463 | \$ (77,350) | \$ 1,435,442 |

* Includes three pooled trust preferred securities, principally collateralized by securities issued by banks and insurance companies.

The age of unrealized losses and fair value of related investment securities available for sale at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were as follows:

| | June 30, 2009 | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | Less than Twelve Months | | More than Twelve Months | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| | (in thousands) | | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 2,954 | \$ (29) | \$ 743 | \$ (53) | \$ 3,697 | \$ (82) |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 367 | (1) | 138,031 | (15,153) | 138,398 | (15,154) |
| Trust preferred securities | 4,775 | (2,240) | 27,517 | (21,878) | 32,292 | (24,118) |
| Corporate and other debt securities | 7,516 | (165) | 5,163 | (4,810) | 12,679 | (4,975) |
| Equity securities | 829 | (421) | 35,126 | (6,939) | 35,955 | (7,360) |
| Total | \$ 16,441 | \$ (2,856) | \$ 206,580 | \$ (48,833) | \$ 223,021 | \$ (51,689) |

| | December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Less than Twelve Months | | More than Twelve Months | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| | (in thousands) | | | | | |
| U.S. government agency securities | \$ 1,004 | \$ (8) | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,004 | \$ (8) |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 3,515 | (109) | 856 | (1) | 4,371 | (110) |

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 191,002 | (24,902) | 55,948 | (10,652) | 246,950 | (35,554) |
| Trust preferred securities | 18,334 | (8,159) | 8,948 | (12,140) | 27,282 | (20,299) |
| Corporate and other debt securities | 1,656 | (290) | 3,500 | (6,472) | 5,156 | (6,762) |
| Equity securities | 19,815 | (11,092) | 8,802 | (3,525) | 28,617 | (14,617) |
| Total | \$ 235,326 | \$ (44,560) | \$ 78,054 | \$ (32,790) | \$ 313,380 | \$ (77,350) |

Table of Contents

The total number of security positions in the available for sale portfolio in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2009 was 82 compared to 190 at December 31, 2008. The unrealized losses for residential mortgage-backed securities relate primarily to 18 individual private label mortgage-backed securities with a combined amortized cost of \$150.5 million and unrealized losses totaling \$15.1 million. Of these securities, 14 securities had investment grade ratings and 4 had a non-investment grade rating at June 30, 2009. Two of the four non-investment grade securities were found to be other-than-temporarily impaired based on management's impairment analysis at June 30, 2009 (described in the section below).

Within the residential mortgage-backed securities category of the available for sale portfolio, Valley owns 20 individual private label mortgage-backed securities. Management estimates the loss projections for each security by stressing the individual loans collateralizing the security and determining a range of expected default rates, loss severities, and prepayment speeds, in conjunction with the underlying credit enhancement (if applicable) for each security. Based on collateral and origination vintage specific assumptions, a range of possible cash flows was identified to determine whether other-than-temporary impairment existed as of June 30, 2009. Four private label mortgage-backed securities (including three securities previously found to be other-than-temporarily impaired during the first quarter of 2009 and one security impaired during the fourth quarter of 2008) classified as available for sale were deemed to have other-than-temporary impairment related to additional estimated credit losses totaling \$2.4 million, which were charged to earnings in the second quarter of 2009. Due to Valley's early adoption of FSP FAS 115-2, the amortized cost of the private label mortgage-backed security previously impaired at December 31, 2008 was increased by \$6.2 million at January 1, 2009, representing the non-credit portion of the \$6.4 million impairment charge taken during the fourth quarter of 2008. After all impairment charges, the four securities had a combined amortized cost and fair value of \$46.9 million and \$41.6 million, respectively, at June 30, 2009.

In evaluating the range of likely future cash flows for each of the four impaired private label mortgage-backed securities, Valley applied security specific as well as market assumptions, based on the credit characteristics of each security to multiple cash flow models. Multiple present value cash flow analyses were utilized in determining future expected cash flows. Under certain stress scenarios estimated future losses may arise. For the four securities in which Valley recorded an other-than-temporary impairment, the average portfolio FICO score ranged from 713 to 727 and the weighted average loan to value ratio at origination was between 61 percent and 73 percent. Additional cash flow assumptions incorporated expected default rates ranging from 3.30 percent to 8.40 percent and loss severity expectations ranging from 51 percent to 59 percent. Each security's cash flows were discounted at the security's effective interest rate. Although management recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges on the securities, each security is currently performing in accordance with its contractual obligations.

At June 30, 2009, the unrealized losses for trust preferred securities in the tables above relate to 19 single-issuer bank trust preferred securities and 3 pooled trust preferred securities. All the single-issuer trust preferred securities classified as available for sale had investment grade ratings at June 30, 2009. These single-issuer securities are all paying in accordance with their terms and have no deferrals of interest or defaults. Additionally, as previously noted above, management analyzes the performance of the bank issuers on a quarterly basis, including a review of the issuer's most recent bank regulatory report to assess the company's credit risk and the probability of impairment of the contractual cash flows of the applicable security. Based upon management's quarterly review, all of the issuers appear to meet the regulatory minimum requirements to be considered a well-capitalized financial institution and have maintained performance levels adequate to support the contractual cash flows of the securities.

Valley owns three pooled trust preferred securities, collateralized by securities principally issued by banks, with a combined amortized cost and fair value of \$26.1 million and \$10.9 million, respectively at June 30, 2009. Two of the three securities were other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2008 and resulted in an impairment charge of \$7.8 million to earnings, as each of Valley's tranches in the two securities had projected cash flows below their future contractual principal and interest payments. Due to Valley's early adoption of FSP FAS 115-2, the amortized cost amounts for these securities were increased by a total of \$7.5 million at January 1, 2009 for the non-credit portion of the \$7.8 million impairment charge taken during the fourth quarter of 2008.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2009, the total fair value for the two securities, based on a level 3 valuation method described in Note 7, was \$1.5 million. Based upon management's assessment, the total unrealized losses of \$7.1 million on the two securities at June 30, 2009 is solely attributable to factors other than credit.

The one other pooled trust preferred security's rating was downgraded from BBB- to BB- by S&P in March 2009, although Moody's has maintained its rating equivalent to AA since November 2008 and a stable outlook on the security. The security is performing in accordance with its contractual terms and management has no present intent to sell, nor is it more likely than not that Valley will be required to sell such security before market price recovery, which could be maturity. The overall issuance of approximately \$192 million includes three banks that deferred interest payments and one bank in default of payment. These four issuers combined represent 8.8 percent of the overall security. As part of its impairment analysis, management reviewed the underlying banks' current financial performance, as well as their participation in the U.S. Department of the Treasury's TARP Capital Purchase Program to assist management in applying the appropriate constant default rate to its cash flow projections for the security. At June 30, 2009, no other-than-temporary impairment was recorded for the security, as Valley's super senior tranche of this security had projected cash flows no less than their future contractual principal and interest payments. The downgrade to the security's rating in March 2009 did not change management's assessment that the security is temporarily impaired.

Unrealized losses reported for corporate and other debt securities relate mainly to one investment rated bank issued corporate bond with a \$10.0 million amortized cost and a \$4.8 million unrealized loss. The security is paying in accordance with its terms. Additionally, management analyzes the performance of the corporate and other debt issuers on a quarterly basis, including a review of the issuer's most recent bank regulatory filings, if applicable, to assess credit risk and the probability of impairment of the contractual cash flows of the applicable security. Based upon management's quarterly review, all of the issuers have maintained performance levels adequate to support the contractual cash flows of the securities and, if applicable, appear to meet the regulatory minimum requirements to be considered a well-capitalized financial institution.

The unrealized losses on equity securities, including those more than twelve months, are related primarily to perpetual preferred securities. As allowed under the guidance issued by the Office of the Chief Accountant of the SEC in October 2008, these hybrid investments are assessed for impairment by Valley as if they were debt securities. Therefore, Valley assessed the creditworthiness of each security issuer, as well as any potential change in the anticipated cash flows of the securities as of June 30, 2009. Based on this analysis, management believes the declines in prices are attributable to a lack of liquidity in the marketplace and are not reflective of the underlying value of these instruments. All of the perpetual preferred securities with unrealized losses at June 30, 2009 have investment grade ratings and are currently performing and paying quarterly dividends.

Management does not believe that any individual unrealized loss as of June 30, 2009 represents an other-than-temporary impairment, except for the four private label mortgage-backed securities discussed above, as management mainly attributes the declines in value to changes in interest rates and recent market volatility, not credit quality or other factors. Based on a comparison of the present value of expected cash flows to the amortized cost, management believes there are no credit losses on these securities. Valley has no intent to sell, nor is it more likely than not that Valley will be required to sell, the securities contained in the table above before the recovery of their amortized cost basis or, if necessary, maturity.

As of June 30, 2009, the fair value of securities available for sale that were pledged to secure public deposits, repurchase agreements, lines of credit and for other purposes required by law, was \$795.6 million.

Table of Contents

The contractual maturities of investments in debt securities available for sale at June 30, 2009, are set forth in the following table. Maturities may differ from contractual maturities in mortgage-backed securities because the mortgages underlying the securities may be prepaid without any penalties. Therefore, mortgage-backed securities are not included in the maturity categories in the following summary.

| | June 30, 2009 | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Due in one year | \$ 9,005 | \$ 9,187 |
| Due after one year through five years | 38,999 | 39,742 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 74,362 | 75,931 |
| Due after ten years | 71,789 | 42,893 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 1,089,251 | 1,107,989 |
| Equity securities | 49,463 | 43,802 |
| Total investment securities available for sale | \$ 1,332,869 | \$ 1,319,544 |

Actual maturities of debt securities may differ from those presented above since certain obligations provide the issuer the right to call or prepay the obligation prior to scheduled maturity without penalty.

The weighted-average remaining expected life for residential mortgage-backed securities available for sale was 3.9 years at June 30, 2009.

Gross gains (losses) realized on sales, maturities and other securities transactions related to investment securities included in earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|---------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Sales transactions: | | | | |
| Gross gains | \$ 258 | \$ 320 | \$ 258 | \$ 722 |
| Gross losses | | (12) | (36) | (31) |
| | 258 | 308 | 222 | 691 |
| Maturities and other securities transactions: | | | | |
| Gross gains | 34 | 121 | 51 | 237 |
| Gross losses | (4) | (4) | (22) | (4) |
| | 30 | 117 | 29 | 233 |
| Gains on securities transactions, net | \$ 288 | \$ 425 | \$ 251 | \$ 924 |

The following table presents the changes in the credit loss component of the amortized cost of debt securities classified as either held to maturity or available for sale that Valley has written down for such loss as an other-than-temporary impairment recognized in earnings. The credit loss component of the amortized cost represents the difference between the present value of expected future cash flows and the amortized cost basis of the security prior to considering credit losses. The beginning balance represents the credit loss component for debt securities for which other-than-temporary impairment occurred prior to the period presented. Other-than-temporary impairment recognized in earnings in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009, for credit impaired debt securities is presented as additions in two components based upon whether the current period is the first time the debt security was credit impaired (initial credit impairment) or is not the first time the debt security was credit impaired (subsequent credit impairments). The credit loss component is reduced if Valley sells, intends to sell or believes it will be required to sell previously credit impaired debt securities. Additionally, the credit loss component is reduced if (i) Valley receives the cash flows

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

in excess of what it expected to receive over the remaining life of the credit impaired debt security, (ii) the security matures or (iii) the security is fully written down.

Table of Contents

Changes in the credit loss component of credit impaired debt securities were:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 2,720 | \$ 549 |
| Additions: | | |
| Initial credit impairments | | 2,171 |
| Subsequent credit impairments | 2,434 | 2,434 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 5,154 | \$ 5,154 |

Trading Securities

The fair values of trading securities at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were as follows:

| | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Trust preferred securities | \$ 32,821 | \$ 34,236 |
| Total trading securities | \$ 32,821 | \$ 34,236 |

Interest income on trading securities totaled \$817 thousand and \$2.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$2.5 million and \$6.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Note 9. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill as allocated to our business segments, or reporting units thereof, for goodwill impairment analysis were:

| | Wealth Management | Business Segment / Reporting Unit*: | | | Total |
|--|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| | | Consumer Lending | Commercial Lending | Investment Management | |
| | (in thousands) | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2007 | \$ 18,192 | \$ 54,537 | \$ 57,020 | \$ 50,086 | \$ 179,835 |
| Goodwill related to bank subsidiary sold | (100) | | | | (100) |
| Goodwill from business combinations | 93 | 39,103 | 50,736 | 25,479 | 115,411 |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | 18,185 | 93,640 | 107,756 | 75,565 | 295,146 |
| Goodwill from business combinations | | 165 | 213 | 107 | 485 |
| Balance at June 30, 2009 | \$ 18,185 | \$ 93,805 | \$ 107,969 | \$ 75,672 | \$ 295,631 |

* Valley's Wealth Management Division is comprised of trust, asset management, and insurance services. This reporting unit is included in the Consumer Lending segment for financial reporting purposes.

Table of Contents

During the third quarter of 2008, we recorded \$115.3 million in goodwill in connection with the acquisition of Greater Community on July 1, 2008 and \$93 thousand in goodwill from an earn-out payment resulting from an acquisition by Valley in 2006. The earn-out payment was based upon predetermined profitability targets in accordance with the merger agreement. During the second quarter of 2009, Valley recorded an additional \$485 thousand in goodwill related to the valuation of certain deferred tax assets acquired from Greater Community.

During the fourth quarter of 2007, Valley recorded a \$2.3 million goodwill impairment charge due to its decision to sell its broker-dealer subsidiary, Glen Rauch Securities, Inc. On March 31, 2008, Valley sold the broker-dealer subsidiary resulting in \$100 thousand reduction in goodwill during the first quarter of 2008. See Note 5 for further details on the transaction.

No impairment losses on goodwill or intangibles other than loan servicing rights were incurred during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

The following table summarizes other intangible assets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

| | Gross Intangible Assets | Accumulated Amortization (in thousands) | Valuation Allowance | Net Intangible Assets |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| June 30, 2009 | | | | |
| Loan servicing rights | \$ 68,478 | \$ (57,808) | \$ (986) | \$ 9,684 |
| Core deposits | 25,584 | (12,235) | | 13,349 |
| Other | 5,792 | (4,413) | | 1,379 |
| Total other intangible assets | \$ 99,854 | \$ (74,456) | \$ (986) | \$ 24,412 |
| December 31, 2008 | | | | |
| Loan servicing rights | \$ 66,624 | \$ (56,800) | \$ (532) | \$ 9,292 |
| Core deposits | 38,177 | (23,028) | | 15,149 |
| Other | 5,792 | (4,279) | | 1,513 |
| Total other intangible assets | \$ 110,593 | \$ (84,107) | \$ (532) | \$ 25,954 |

Loan servicing rights are amortized using the amortization method. Under this method, Valley amortizes the loan servicing assets in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing revenues. On a quarterly basis, Valley assesses the loan servicing asset for impairment based on fair value at each reporting date. At each reporting date, if the book value of an individual loan servicing asset exceeds fair value, an impairment charge is charged to earnings for the amount of the book value over fair value. For the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, Valley recognized recoveries of previously recognized impairment charges totaling \$681 thousand and \$90 thousand, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, Valley recognized impairment charges, net of recoveries on its loan servicing rights of \$454 thousand and \$126 thousand, respectively.

Core deposits are amortized using an accelerated method and have a weighted average amortization period of 10 years. The column labeled "Other" included in the table below consists of customer lists and covenants not to compete, which are amortized over their expected life using a straight line method and have a weighted average amortization period of 14 years.

The following presents the estimated future amortization expense of other intangible assets for the remainder of 2009 through 2013:

| | Loan Servicing Rights | Core Deposits (in thousands) | Other |
|------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|
| 2009 | \$ 1,442 | \$ 1,625 | \$ 133 |
| 2010 | 2,308 | 2,985 | 266 |

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|------|-------|-------|-----|
| 2011 | 1,777 | 2,544 | 234 |
| 2012 | 1,234 | 2,104 | 217 |
| 2013 | 944 | 1,663 | 114 |

Table of Contents

Valley recognized amortization expense on other intangible assets of \$1.0 million and \$1.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively and \$3.8 million and \$3.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Note 10. Pension Plan

Valley National Bank has a non-contributory defined benefit plan (qualified plan) covering substantially all of its employees. The benefits are based upon years of credited service and the employee s highest average compensation as defined. It is the Bank s funding policy to contribute annually an amount that can be deducted for federal income tax purposes. Additionally, the Bank has a supplemental non-qualified, non-funded retirement plan (non-qualified plan) which is designed to supplement the pension plan for key officers.

On December 31, 2006, Valley adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans An Amendment of SFAS No. 87, 88, 106, and 132R. This standard requires an employer to: (a) recognize in its statement of financial position an asset for a plan s overfunded status or a liability for a plan s underfunded status; (b) measure a plan s assets and its obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the employer s fiscal year; and (c) recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in the year in which the changes occur. Those changes will be reported in other comprehensive income (loss).

The following table sets forth the components of net periodic pension expense related to the pension plans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 1,304 | \$ 1,212 | \$ 2,608 | \$ 2,441 |
| Interest cost | 1,274 | 1,187 | 2,548 | 2,374 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (1,429) | (1,474) | (2,858) | (2,948) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 149 | 136 | 298 | 273 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 227 | 91 | 454 | 181 |
| Total net periodic pension expense | 1,525 | 1,152 | 3,050 | 2,321 |
| Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (149) | (136) | (298) | (273) |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | (227) | (91) | (454) | (181) |
| | (376) | (227) | (752) | (454) |
| Total amount recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income (before tax) | \$ 1,149 | \$ 925 | \$ 2,298 | \$ 1,867 |

The fair value of qualified plan assets increased approximately \$6.8 million, or 11.2 percent to \$67.5 million at June 30, 2009 from \$60.7 million at December 31, 2008. Valley contributed \$5.0 million to the qualified plan during the first quarter of 2009, based upon actuarial estimates. Valley does not expect to make any additional contributions during the remainder of 2009.

Note 11. Guarantees

Guarantees that have been entered into by Valley include standby letters of credit of \$176.3 million as of June 30, 2009. Standby letters of credit represent the guarantee by Valley of the obligations or performance of a

Table of Contents

customer in the event the customer is unable to meet or perform its obligations to a third party. Of the total standby letters of credit, \$99.5 million, or 56.4 percent are secured and, in the event of non performance by the customer, Valley has rights to the underlying collateral, which includes commercial real estate, business assets (physical plant or property, inventory or receivables), marketable securities and cash in the form of bank savings accounts and certificates of deposit. Valley had a \$707 thousand liability recorded as of June 30, 2009 relating to the standby letters of credit.

Note 12. Junior Subordinated Debentures Issued To Capital Trusts

Valley established VNB Capital Trust I, a statutory trust, for the sole purpose of issuing trust preferred securities and related trust common securities. The proceeds from such issuances were used by the trust to purchase an equivalent amount of junior subordinated debentures of Valley. GCB Capital Trust III was established by Greater Community prior to Valley's acquisition of Greater Community, and the junior subordinated notes issued by Greater Community to GCB Capital Trust III were assumed by Valley upon completion of the acquisition on July 1, 2008. The junior subordinated debentures, the sole assets of the trusts, are unsecured obligations of Valley, and are subordinate and junior in right of payment to all present and future senior and subordinated indebtedness and certain other financial obligations of Valley. Valley wholly owns all of the common securities of each trust. The trust preferred securities qualify, and are treated by Valley as Tier I regulatory capital.

On January 1, 2007, Valley elected to measure the junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I at fair value under SFAS No. 159. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, net trading losses include \$24.4 million and \$10.7 million in non-cash losses, respectively, for the change in the fair value of the junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I. For the comparable three and six months ended June 30, 2008, net trading losses include \$2.0 million and \$264 thousand of non-cash gains, respectively, for the change in the fair value of these debentures.

The table below summarizes the outstanding junior subordinated debentures and the related trust preferred securities issued by each trust as of June 30, 2009:

| | June 30, 2009 | |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | VNB Capital Trust I | GCB Capital Trust III |
| | (\$ in thousands) | |
| Junior Subordinated Debentures: | | |
| Carrying value (1) | \$ 150,743 | \$ 25,291 |
| Contractual principal balance | 157,024 | 24,743 |
| Annual interest rate (2) | 7.75% | 6.96% |
| Stated maturity date | December 15, 2031 | July 30, 2037 |
| Initial call date | November 7, 2006 | July 30, 2017 |
| Trust Preferred Securities: | | |
| Face value | \$ 152,313 | \$ 24,000 |
| Annual distribution rate (2) | 7.75% | 6.96% |
| Issuance date | November 2001 | July 2007 |
| Distribution dates (3) | Quarterly | Quarterly |

- (1) The carrying value for GCB Capital Trust III includes an unamortized purchase accounting premium of \$548 thousand.
- (2) Interest on GCB Capital Trust III is fixed until July 30, 2017, then resets to 3-month LIBOR plus 1.4 percent. The annual interest rate excludes the effects of the purchase accounting adjustments.
- (3) All cash distributions are cumulative.

The trusts' ability to pay amounts due on the trust preferred securities is solely dependent upon Valley making payments on the related junior subordinated debentures. Valley's obligation under the junior subordinated debentures and other relevant trust agreements, in aggregate, constitutes a full and unconditional guarantee by

Table of Contents

Valley of the trusts' obligations under the trust preferred securities issued. Under the junior subordinated debenture agreements, Valley has the right to defer payment of interest on the debentures and, therefore, distributions on the trust preferred securities, for up to five years, but not beyond the stated maturity date in the table above. Currently, Valley has no intention to exercise its right to defer interest payments on the debentures.

The trust preferred securities are subject to mandatory redemption, in whole or in part, upon repayment of the junior subordinated debentures at the stated maturity date or upon an earlier call date for redemption at par. The junior subordinated notes issued to VNB Capital Trust I are currently callable by Valley. Potential future purchases of the trust preferred securities issued by the trusts or redemption of its junior subordinated debentures is not permitted for up to approximately three years, without prior consent, under the terms of the U.S. Department of Treasury's TARP Capital Purchase Program which Valley elected to participate in on November 14, 2008. See information under the caption "Capital Adequacy" in Management's Discussion and Analysis section for further details.

The trust preferred securities described above are included in Valley's consolidated Tier 1 capital and total capital at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. In March 2005, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System issued a final rule allowing bank holding companies to continue to include qualifying trust preferred capital securities in their Tier 1 capital for regulatory capital purposes, subject to a 25 percent limitation to all core (Tier 1) capital elements, net of goodwill less any associated deferred tax liability. The amount of trust preferred securities and certain other elements in excess of the limit could be included in total capital, subject to restrictions. The final rule originally provided a five-year transition period, ending March 31, 2009, for application of the aforementioned quantitative limitation, however, in March 2009, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Board voted to delay the effective date until March 2011. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 100 percent of the trust preferred securities qualified as Tier I capital under the final rule adopted in March 2005.

Note 13. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Valley is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. Valley principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. Valley manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk, primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its assets and liabilities and, from time to time, the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, Valley enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. Valley's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of Valley's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to certain variable-rate borrowings and fixed-rate loan assets.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk. Valley's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, Valley primarily uses interest rate caps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract in exchange for an up front premium.

In the second quarter of 2008, Valley purchased two interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges to protect against movements in interest rates above the caps' strike rate based on the effective federal funds rate. The interest rate caps have an aggregate notional amount of \$100.0 million, strike rates of 2.50 percent and 2.75 percent, and a maturity date of May 1, 2013. Through November of 2008, the caps were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with customer repurchase agreements (included in short-term borrowings) and money market deposit accounts that had variable interest rates based on an effective federal funds rate less 25 basis points. During November of 2008, the hedging relationship was terminated since the rates paid on the customer repurchase agreements and money market deposit accounts did not trend with the effective federal funds rate (this was caused by historically unprecedented low level of the effective federal funds rate thereby causing Valley to modify the benchmark used to pay interest on these accounts). On February 20, 2009, Valley

Table of Contents

re-designated the caps to hedge the variable cash flows associated with customer repurchase agreements and money market deposit accounts products that have variable interest rates based on the federal funds rate. The change in fair value of these derivatives while not designated as hedges (from January 1, 2009 through February 20, 2009) totaled a \$369 thousand gain and is included in other income for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

In the third quarter of 2008, Valley purchased two interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges, to reduce its exposure to movements in interest rates above the caps' strike rate based on the prime interest rate (as published in The Wall Street Journal). The interest rate caps have an aggregate notional amount of \$100.0 million, strike rates of 6.00 percent and 6.25 percent, and a maturity date of July 15, 2015. The caps are used to hedge the total change in cash flows associated with prime-rate-indexed deposits, consisting of consumer and commercial money market deposit accounts, which have variable interest rates of 2.75 percent below the prime rate.

Fair Value Hedges of Interest Rate Risk. Valley is exposed to changes in the fair value of certain of its fixed rate assets due to changes in benchmark interest rates based on one month-LIBOR. From time to time, Valley uses interest rate swaps to manage its exposure to changes in fair value. Interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges involve the receipt of variable rate payments from a counterparty in exchange for Valley making fixed rate payments over the life of the agreements without the exchange of the underlying notional amount. As of June 30, 2009, Valley had one interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$9.3 million.

For derivatives designated and that qualify as fair value hedges, the gain or loss on the derivative as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in earnings. Valley includes the gain or loss on the hedged items in the same line item as the offsetting loss or gain on the related derivatives.

Non-designated Hedges. Valley does not use derivatives for speculative purposes. Derivatives not designated as hedges are used to manage Valley's exposure to interest rate movements and other identified risks but do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements of SFAS No. 133. During the first quarter of 2009, Valley entered into and terminated three interest rate swaps not designated as hedges to potentially offset the change in market fair value of certain trading securities. During the fourth quarter 2008, as previously mentioned above, two interest rate caps (due to mismatches in index) did not qualify for hedge accounting and were subsequently re-designated during February 2009. Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships are recorded directly in earnings. As of June 30, 2009, Valley had no outstanding derivatives that were not designated in hedging relationships.

Table of Contents

Amounts included in the consolidated statements of financial condition related to the fair value of Valley's derivative financial instruments were as follows:

| Asset Derivatives | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | |
|--|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133: | | | |
| Cash flow hedge interest rate caps on short-term borrowings and deposit accounts | Other Assets | \$ 7,807 | \$ 2,090 |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133 | | \$ 7,807 | \$ 2,090 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133: | | | |
| Cash flow hedge interest rate caps on short-term borrowings and deposit accounts | Other Assets | \$ | \$ 1,244 |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133 | | \$ | \$ 1,244 |
| Liability Derivatives | | | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133: | | | |
| Fair value hedge commercial loan interest rate swap | Other Liabilities | \$ 1,111 | \$ 2,008 |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133 | | \$ 1,111 | \$ 2,008 |

Gains (losses) included in the consolidated statements of income and in other comprehensive income, on a pre-tax basis, for the period related to interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of cash flows were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| | June 30, 2009 | June 30, 2008 | June 30, 2009 | June 30, 2008 |
| Interest rate caps on short-term borrowings and deposit accounts: | | | | |
| Amount of loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest on short-term borrowings | \$ (80) | \$ (7) | \$ (128) | \$ (7) |
| Amount of gain recognized in other comprehensive income | 4,469 | 165 | 3,902 | 165 |

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, Valley recognized \$202 thousand gain in other income for hedge ineffectiveness on the cash flow hedge interest rate caps. No material ineffectiveness existed on the cash flow hedge interest rate caps during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008. The accumulated net after-tax loss related to effective cash flow hedges included in accumulated other comprehensive loss totaled \$2.5 million and \$5.0 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to cash flow interest rate derivatives are reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the hedged variable interest rate liabilities. During the next twelve months, Valley estimates that \$613 thousand will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense.

Table of Contents

Gains (losses) included in the consolidated statements of income related to interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of fair value were as followings:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| (in thousands) | | | | |
| Derivative | | | | |
| Commercial loan interest rate swap: | | | | |
| Interest income - Interest and fees on loans | \$ 465 | \$ 418 | \$ 898 | \$ (13) |
| Hedged item | | | | |
| Commercial loan: | | | | |
| Interest income - Interest and fees on loans | (465) | (418) | (898) | 13 |

Gains (losses) included in the consolidated statements of income related to derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133 were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|------|------------------------------|------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| (in thousands) | | | | |
| Non-designated hedge interest rate derivatives: | | | | |
| Other non-interest income | \$ | \$ | \$ 369 | \$ |
| Trading gains (losses), net | | | 1,984 | |

Credit Risk Related Contingent Features. By using derivatives, Valley is exposed to credit risk if counterparties to the derivative contracts do not perform as expected. Management attempts to minimize counterparty credit risk through credit approvals, limits, monitoring procedures and obtaining collateral where appropriate. Credit risk exposure associated with derivative contracts is managed at Valley in conjunction with Valley's consolidated counterparty risk management process. Valley's counterparties and the risk limits monitored by management are periodically reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors.

Valley has agreements with its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where if Valley defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then Valley could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations.

As of June 30, 2009, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was approximately \$1.2 million. Valley has derivative counterparty agreements that require minimum collateral posting thresholds for certain counterparties. At June 30, 2009, neither Valley nor its counterparties have exceeded such minimum thresholds and no collateral has been assigned or posted.

Note 14. Business Segments

The information under the caption "Business Segments" in Management's Discussion and Analysis is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following MD&A should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. The words Valley, the Company, we, our and us refer to Valley National Bancorp and its wholly owned subsidiaries, unless we indicate otherwise.

The MD&A contains supplemental financial information, described in the sections that follow, which has been determined by methods other than U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) that management uses in its analysis of our performance. Management believes these non-GAAP financial measures provide information useful to investors in understanding our underlying operational performance, our business and performance trends and facilitates comparisons with the performance of others in the financial services industry. These non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for or superior to financial measures calculated in accordance with GAAP.

Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, both in the MD&A and elsewhere, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements are not historical facts and include expressions about management's confidence and strategies and management's expectations about new and existing programs and products, acquisitions, relationships, opportunities, taxation, technology, market conditions and economic expectations. These statements may be identified by such forward-looking terminology as expect, anticipate, look, view, opportunities, allow, continues, reflects, believe, may, should, will, estimates or similar statements or terms. Such forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from such forward-looking statements. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to those risk factors disclosed in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. We assume no obligation for updating any such forward-looking statement at any time.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our accounting and reporting policies conform, in all material respects, to GAAP. In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management has made estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated statements of condition and results of operations for the periods indicated. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates.

Valley's accounting policies are fundamental to understanding management's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations. Our significant accounting policies are presented in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements included in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. We identified our policies on the allowance for loan losses, security valuations, goodwill and other intangible assets, and income taxes to be critical because management has to make subjective and/or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain and could be most subject to revision as new information becomes available. Management has reviewed the application of these policies with the Audit and Risk Committee of Valley's Board of Directors.

The judgments used by management in applying the critical accounting policies discussed below may be affected by a further and prolonged deterioration in the economic environment, which may result in changes to future financial results. Specifically, subsequent evaluations of the loan portfolio, in light of the factors then prevailing, may result in significant changes in the allowance for loan losses in future periods, and the inability to collect on outstanding loans could result in increased loan losses. In addition, the valuation of certain securities in our investment portfolio could be negatively impacted by illiquidity or dislocation in marketplaces resulting in significantly depressed market prices thus leading to further impairments.

Table of Contents

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of probable loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio and is the largest component of the allowance for credit losses which also includes management's estimated reserve for unfunded commercial letters of credit. Determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses is considered a critical accounting estimate because it requires significant judgment and the use of estimates related to the amount and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current economic trends and conditions, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. The loan portfolio also represents the largest asset type on the consolidated statement of financial condition. Note 1 of the consolidated financial statements included in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 describes the methodology used to determine the allowance for loan losses and a discussion of the factors driving changes in the amount of the allowance for loan losses is included in this MD&A.

The allowance for loan losses consists of four elements: (1) specific reserves for individually impaired credits, (2) reserves for classified, or higher risk rated, loans, (3) reserves for non-classified loans based on historical loss factors, and (4) reserves based on general economic conditions and other qualitative risk factors both internal and external to Valley, including changes in loan portfolio volume, the composition and concentrations of credit, new market initiatives, and the impact of competition on loan structuring and pricing.

Security Valuations. Management utilizes various inputs to determine the fair value of its investment portfolio. To the extent they exist, unadjusted quoted market prices in active markets (Level 1) or quoted prices on similar assets (Level 2) are utilized to determine the fair value of each investment in the portfolio. In the absence of quoted prices, valuation techniques would be used to determine fair value of any investments that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (Level 3). Valuation techniques are based on various assumptions, including, but not limited to, cash flows, discount rates, rate of return, adjustments for nonperformance and liquidity, and liquidation values. A significant degree of judgment is involved in valuing investments using Level 3 inputs. The use of different assumptions could have a positive or negative effect on consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Management must periodically evaluate if unrealized losses (as determined based on the securities valuation methodologies discussed above) on individual securities classified as held to maturity or available for sale in the investment portfolio are considered to be other-than-temporary. The analysis of other-than-temporary impairment requires the use of various assumptions, including, but not limited to, the length of time an investment's book value is greater than fair value, the severity of the investment's decline, as well as any credit deterioration of the investment. If the decline in value of an equity investment security is deemed to be other-than-temporary, the investment is written down to fair value and a non-cash impairment charge is recognized in the period of such evaluation. For debt investment securities deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, the investment is written down by the impairment related to the estimated credit loss and the non-credit related impairment is recognized in other comprehensive income. See Notes 7 and 8 to the consolidated financial statements and the Investment Securities Portfolio section in this MD&A below for additional information.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Valley records all assets, liabilities, and non-controlling interest in the acquiree in purchase acquisitions, including goodwill and other intangible assets, at fair value as of the acquisition date as required by SFAS No. 141R. Goodwill totaling \$295.6 million at June 30, 2009 is not amortized but is subject to annual tests for impairment or more often if events or circumstances indicate it may be impaired. Other intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives and are subject to impairment tests if events or circumstances indicate a possible inability to realize the carrying amount. The initial recording of goodwill and other intangible assets requires subjective judgments concerning estimates of the fair value of the acquired assets.

The goodwill impairment test is performed in two phases. The first step compares the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired; however, if the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, an additional procedure must be performed. That additional procedure compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. An impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value.

Table of Contents

The initial recording and subsequent impairment tests of goodwill and other intangible assets are subject to the provisions of SFAS No. 157, which Valley adopted as of January 1, 2007.

Income Taxes. The objectives of accounting for income taxes are to recognize the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in an entity's financial statements or tax returns. Judgment is required in assessing the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our consolidated financial statements or tax returns. Fluctuations in the actual outcome of these future tax consequences could impact our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In connection with determining its income tax provision under SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* and FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, we maintain a reserve related to certain tax positions and strategies that management believes contain an element of uncertainty. Periodically, we evaluate each of our tax positions and strategies to determine whether the reserve continues to be appropriate. Notes 1 and 14 to the consolidated financial statements in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and the *Income Taxes* section below include additional discussion on the accounting for income taxes.

Executive Summary

Net income for the second quarter of 2009 was \$15.0 million compared to \$41.5 million for the same period of 2008. The decrease was largely due to (i) a \$18.3 million increase in net trading losses which includes a \$24.4 million non-cash charge related to the change in the fair value of our junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value in the second quarter of 2009 compared to a non-cash gain of \$2.0 million on such debentures for the same period of 2008, (ii) a \$14.1 million increase in non-interest expense caused by a \$10.0 million increase in FDIC insurance assessments (including a industry-wide FDIC special assessment as of June 30, 2009 which was approximately \$6.5 million) and higher operating expenses relating to the addition of Greater Community's 16 full-service branches and 5 de novo branches opened since June 30, 2008 and (iii) a \$7.3 million increase in the provision of credit losses due to higher net charge-offs and deterioration in economic conditions, partially offset by (iv) a \$10.5 million increase in net interest income due to loan growth since June 30, 2008, including loans acquired through the acquisition of Greater Community Bancorp on July 1, 2008 and lower interest expense on deposits. Adjusting for a five percent stock dividend issued May 22, 2009, fully diluted earnings per common share were \$0.06 for the second quarter of 2009 as compared to \$0.31 per share for the second quarter of 2008. Accrued preferred dividends and accretion of the discount on preferred stock issued by Valley in November 2008 to the U.S. Treasury reduced fully diluted earnings per common share by \$0.04 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. All common share data presented in this report was adjusted to reflect the stock dividend.

Mindful of the current recession and the low level of our loan delinquencies and losses relative to other financial institutions, management believes that our credit quality remains good. Our performance ratios for the second quarter of 2009 do reflect the negative impact of the current financial crisis as total loans past due in excess of 30 days increased 0.15 percent to 1.49 percent of our total loan portfolio of \$9.6 billion as of June 30, 2009 compared to 1.34 percent of total loans at March 31, 2009. Valley's non-accrual loans increased \$10.3 million to \$57.7 million, or 0.60 percent of total loans at June 30, 2009 as compared to \$47.4 million, or 0.48 percent of total loans at March 31, 2009. The increase was mainly due to three commercial mortgage loan relationships and one commercial loan being moved to non-accrual status. In general, our non-accrual loans are well secured and, as a result, we do not expect potential losses on such loans to be near their current outstanding levels. Our focus is to maintain superior credit quality through a time-tested underwriting approach; however, due to the potential for further credit deterioration caused by a prolonged recession, management cannot predict that our loan portfolio will continue to perform at levels experienced during the three months ended June 30, 2009. See *Non-performing Assets* section at page 57 for further analysis of our credit quality.

Table of Contents

We extended over \$450 million in new credits during the second quarter of 2009 despite a decline of 8.9 percent on an annualized basis in our overall loan portfolio mainly due to management's decision to sell most refinanced and new residential mortgage loan originations in the secondary market, as well as continued declines in our automobile portfolio caused by the lack of consumer demand and our strict underwriting standards. Our deposit mix changed during the second quarter of 2009 as non-interest bearing deposits and low cost savings, NOW, and money market accounts increased to approximately 66 percent of our total deposits at June 30, 2009 from 61 percent at March 31, 2009. The increase was primarily due to higher customer balances that may be reflective of the surge in the U.S. household savings rate caused in part by the recession and branch efforts to attract such deposits, as well as the decline in high cost time deposits as management chose to be less competitive on interest rates in order to retain maturing certificates of deposit. Management continues to actively manage interest rate risk on the balance sheet for the long-term as it used much of its excess liquidity from the reduction in the loan portfolio to offset maturing high cost short-term FHLB advances and certificates of deposit. Management may continue to allow the size of the balance sheet to contract based on, among other things, the current level of interest rates, the sale of residential mortgage loans and the decline in the automobile portfolio, as well as our ability to attract and maintain quality loan relationships in the current economic recession.

Management continues to assess the expected impact of the recession on our operations and our ability to maintain a well-capitalized position. Based on such an assessment, in the beginning of June 2009, we repurchased from the U.S. Department of the Treasury 75,000 out of the 300,000 shares of our Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock that were issued to the Treasury on November 14, 2008 under the Capital Purchase Program. The aggregate purchase price for the repurchased preferred shares was approximately \$75.2 million (including accrued and unpaid dividends) and resulted in an accelerated accretion charge of \$1.9 million to retained earnings in the second quarter of 2009 based on the difference between the par value of \$75 million and the carrying value of \$73.1 million. The reduction in our preferred shares should increase net income available to common stockholders in future periods as preferred dividends payable will decline. Management will continue to assess the changes in the economic environment, our credit risk, capital position, and other factors prior to any future redemption requests.

Additionally, we entered into an equity distribution agreement during June 2009 to sell from time to time up to 5.67 million shares of our no par value common stock. Sales of the newly issued shares are made through ordinary brokers' transactions on the New York Stock Exchange at market prices prevailing at the time of sale or as otherwise agreed by us and the sales agent. Under the agreement, we issued approximately 43 thousand shares at a weighted average price of \$12.29, excluding transaction fees, during June 2009. During the third quarter, we issued an additional 1.9 million shares (including unsettled trades) at a weighted average price of approximately \$13.08, excluding transaction fees, through August 6, 2009 under this agreement. Net proceeds raised from the shares issued under the agreement may be used to redeem additional amounts of our preferred stock held by the Treasury.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, we reported an annualized return on average shareholders' equity (ROE) of 4.41 percent and an annualized return on average assets (ROA) of 0.42 percent which includes intangible assets. Our annualized return on average tangible shareholders' equity (ROATE) was 5.77 percent for the second quarter of 2009. The comparable ratios for the second quarter of 2008 were an annualized ROE of 17.20 percent, an annualized ROA of 1.28 percent, and an annualized ROATE of 21.76 percent. All of the above ratios were impacted by the change in fair value of our junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value. Net income included a non-cash charge of \$24.4 million (\$15.9 million after-taxes) for the second quarter of 2009 compared to a non-cash gain of \$2.0 million (\$1.3 million after-taxes) for the same period of 2008 due to the change in fair value of the debentures.

Table of Contents

ROATE, which is a non-GAAP measure, is computed by dividing net income by average shareholders' equity less average goodwill and average other intangible assets, as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | | |
| Net income | \$ 14,997 | \$ 41,483 | \$ 52,381 | \$ 73,066 |
| Average shareholders' equity | 1,359,500 | 964,914 | 1,363,352 | 959,077 |
| Less: Average goodwill and other intangible assets | (320,434) | (202,410) | (320,534) | (203,104) |
| Average tangible shareholders' equity | \$ 1,039,066 | \$ 762,504 | \$ 1,042,818 | \$ 755,973 |
| Annualized ROATE | 5.77% | 21.76% | 10.05% | 19.33% |

Management believes the ROATE measure provides information useful to management and investors in understanding our underlying operational performance, our business and performance trends and the measure facilitates comparisons with the performance of others in the financial services industry. This non-GAAP financial measure should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for or superior to financial measures calculated in accordance with GAAP.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income on a tax equivalent basis increased \$10.5 million or 10.1 percent to \$114.4 million for the second quarter of 2009 compared to the same quarter of 2008. The increase from the second quarter of 2008 was mainly a result of a \$5.6 million or 35 basis point decline in funding costs on average interest bearing liabilities and a \$873.3 million increase in average loans, partially offset by a 33 basis point decline in the yield on average interest earning assets and a \$478.1 million increase in average interest bearing liabilities. Both the declines in cost and yield resulted mainly from a decrease in short-term interest rates as the average target federal funds rate decreased approximately 208 basis points for the second quarter of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008. Average loan and deposit balances grew as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008 mainly due to the acquisition of Greater Community on July 1, 2008.

For the second quarter of 2009, average loans and average federal funds sold and other interest bearing deposits increased \$873.3 million and \$237.6 million, respectively, while average investment securities decreased \$63.6 million, each as compared to the second quarter of 2008. Compared to the first quarter of 2009, average loans decreased by \$244.8 million primarily due to decreases in the residential mortgage and automobile loan portfolios. The decline in both loan portfolios continued during the second quarter of 2009 as expected by management. The decrease in residential mortgage loans was due to the sale of most refinanced loans and new loan originations in the secondary market based on the current level of interest rates and management strategies for balance sheet credit and interest rate risk. Our automobile loan portfolio has declined for four consecutive quarters mainly due to the slowdown in new and used car sales, as well as our strict underwriting standards in light of current economic conditions.

Average interest bearing liabilities for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 increased \$478.1 million or 4.8 percent compared with the same quarter of 2008. Compared to the first quarter of 2009, average interest bearing liabilities decreased \$337.5 million or 3.1 percent. Average total interest bearing deposits decreased \$106.3 million or 1.5 percent from the first quarter of 2009 mainly due to a decrease in higher cost average time deposits partially offset by an increase in lower cost average savings, NOW, and money market deposits and average non-interest bearing deposits. Average time deposits declined 6.6 percent during the second quarter as management chose to be less competitive on interest rates to retain certificates of deposit due to growth in the other deposit categories and less funding needs as loan volumes declined during the period. The increases in both average non-interest bearing and average savings, NOW, and money market deposits were mainly due to higher customer balances caused in part by focused branch sales efforts and may be reflective of the surge in the

Table of Contents

U.S. household savings rate caused in part by the economic recession. Average short-term borrowings decreased \$236.5 million or 52.0 percent from the linked quarter due to \$200 million in short-term FHLB advances that matured between February and March of 2009 and \$100 million that matured in April 2009. Short-term borrowings, primarily consisting of customer repo account balances, did not include FHLB advances at June 30, 2009.

Interest on loans, on a tax equivalent basis, decreased \$2.5 million or 1.7 percent for the second quarter of 2009 compared to the first quarter of 2009 due to the aforementioned decline in average loan balances, partially offset by a 4 basis point increase in the tax equivalent yield on average loans as compared to the linked quarter. Interest from investments, on a tax equivalent basis, increased \$238 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2009 mainly due to a 6 basis point increase in the tax equivalent yield, partially offset by lower average balances.

Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2009 decreased \$5.8 million or 7.9 percent compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2009 resulting mainly from a decrease in average time deposits caused by maturing high cost certificates of deposit coupled with a decrease in average short-term FHLB advances.

The net interest margin on a tax equivalent basis was 3.52 percent for the second quarter of 2009, an increase of 17 basis points from 3.35 percent for the linked quarter ended March 31, 2009 and increased 4 basis points as compared to the second quarter of 2008. The increase from the linked quarter was largely the result of a continued decline in our cost of funds and a decline in average interest earning assets caused by lower loan volume and loan sales, as well as a decrease in the cost of average short term borrowings. The yield on average interest earning assets increased by 5 basis points on a linked quarter basis mainly due to a 4 basis point increase in yield on average loans as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the components of net interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2009, March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2008:

Quarterly Analysis of Average Assets, Liabilities and Shareholders Equity and**Net Interest Income on a Tax Equivalent Basis**

| | June 30, 2009 | | | Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 | | | June 30, 2008 | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate |
| Assets | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest earning assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans (1)(2) | \$ 9,770,280 | \$ 141,361 | 5.79% | \$ 10,015,090 | \$ 143,859 | 5.75% | \$ 8,897,004 | \$ 134,619 | 6.05% |
| Taxable investments (3) | 2,651,711 | 36,856 | 5.56 | 2,663,019 | 36,618 | 5.50 | 2,723,835 | 38,410 | 5.64 |
| Tax-exempt investments (1)(3) | 253,104 | 3,676 | 5.81 | 245,791 | 3,649 | 5.94 | 244,551 | 3,800 | 6.22 |
| Federal funds sold and other interest bearing deposits | 312,755 | 218 | 0.28 | 331,091 | 230 | 0.28 | 75,138 | 406 | 2.16 |
| Total interest earning assets | 12,987,850 | 182,111 | 5.61 | 13,254,991 | 184,356 | 5.56 | 11,940,528 | 177,235 | 5.94 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (97,883) | | | (94,487) | | | (73,258) | | |
| Cash and due from banks | 240,571 | | | 245,636 | | | 212,584 | | |
| Other assets | 1,111,167 | | | 1,115,270 | | | 901,798 | | |
| Unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net | (27,520) | | | (50,150) | | | (21,421) | | |
| Total assets | \$ 14,214,185 | | | \$ 14,471,260 | | | \$ 12,960,231 | | |
| Liabilities and shareholders equity | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Savings, NOW and money market deposits | \$ 3,701,125 | \$ 5,796 | 0.63% | \$ 3,565,543 | \$ 5,887 | 0.66% | \$ 3,479,046 | \$ 11,155 | 1.28% |
| Time deposits | 3,411,551 | 26,106 | 3.06 | 3,653,422 | 30,179 | 3.30 | 2,981,166 | 27,162 | 3.64 |
| Total interest bearing deposits | 7,112,676 | 31,902 | 1.79 | 7,218,965 | 36,066 | 2.00 | 6,460,212 | 38,317 | 2.37 |
| Short-term borrowings | 218,281 | 579 | 1.06 | 454,774 | 2,551 | 2.24 | 555,799 | 2,212 | 1.59 |
| Long-term borrowings (4) | 3,171,422 | 35,227 | 4.44 | 3,166,137 | 34,894 | 4.41 | 3,008,249 | 32,792 | 4.36 |
| | 10,502,379 | 67,708 | 2.58 | 10,839,876 | 73,511 | 2.71 | 10,024,260 | 73,321 | 2.93 |

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|--|
| Total interest bearing liabilities | | | | | | | |
| Non-interest bearing deposits | 2,256,954 | | 2,160,116 | | 1,893,688 | | |
| Other liabilities | 95,352 | | 104,021 | | 77,369 | | |
| Shareholders equity | 1,359,500 | | 1,367,247 | | 964,914 | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | | | | | | | |
| | \$ 14,214,185 | | \$ 14,471,260 | | \$ 12,960,231 | | |
| Net interest income/interest rate spread (5) | \$ 114,403 | 3.03% | \$ 110,845 | 2.85% | \$ 103,914 | 3.01% | |
| Tax equivalent adjustment | (1,290) | | (1,281) | | (1,336) | | |
| Net interest income, as reported | \$ 113,113 | | \$ 109,564 | | \$ 102,578 | | |
| Net interest margin (6) | | 3.48% | | 3.31% | | 3.44% | |
| Tax equivalent effect | | 0.04 | | 0.04 | | 0.04 | |
| Net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis (6) | | 3.52% | | 3.35% | | 3.48% | |

- (1) Interest income is presented on a tax equivalent basis using a 35 percent federal tax rate.
- (2) Loans are stated net of unearned income and include non-accrual loans.
- (3) The yield for securities that are classified as available for sale is based on the average historical amortized cost.
- (4) Includes junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trust which are presented separately on the consolidated statements of condition.
- (5) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest earning assets and the average cost of interest bearing liabilities and is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis.
- (6) Net interest income as a percentage of total average interest earning assets.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the components of net interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

**Analysis of Average Assets, Liabilities and Shareholders Equity and
Net Interest Income on a Tax Equivalent Basis**

| | June 30, 2009 | | Six Months Ended | | June 30, 2008 | |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate |
| (\$ in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Interest earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Loans (1)(2) | \$ 9,892,009 | \$ 285,220 | 5.77% | \$ 8,718,408 | \$ 270,257 | 6.20% |
| Taxable investments (3) | 2,657,334 | 73,474 | 5.53 | 2,657,318 | 74,804 | 5.63 |
| Tax-exempt investments (1)(3) | 249,468 | 7,325 | 5.87 | 249,626 | 7,900 | 6.33 |
| Federal funds sold and other interest bearing deposits | 321,872 | 448 | 0.28 | 133,261 | 1,902 | 2.85 |
| Total interest earning assets | 13,120,683 | 366,467 | 5.59 | 11,758,613 | 354,863 | 6.04 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (96,194) | | | (73,017) | | |
| Cash and due from banks | 243,090 | | | 212,090 | | |
| Other assets | 1,113,206 | | | 884,774 | | |
| Unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net | (38,772) | | | (11,117) | | |
| Total assets | \$ 14,342,013 | | | \$ 12,771,343 | | |
| Liabilities and shareholders equity | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Savings, NOW and money market deposits | \$ 3,633,709 | \$ 11,683 | 0.64% | \$ 3,432,808 | \$ 25,220 | 1.47% |
| Time deposits | 3,531,818 | 56,285 | 3.19 | 2,949,919 | 57,650 | 3.91 |
| Total interest bearing deposits | 7,165,527 | 67,968 | 1.90 | 6,382,727 | 82,870 | 2.60 |
| Short-term borrowings | 335,874 | 3,130 | 1.86 | 481,263 | 4,519 | 1.88 |
| Long-term borrowings (4) | 3,168,794 | 70,121 | 4.43 | 2,992,742 | 66,534 | 4.45 |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | 10,670,195 | 141,219 | 2.65 | 9,856,732 | 153,923 | 3.12 |
| Non-interest bearing deposits | 2,208,803 | | | 1,884,956 | | |
| Other liabilities | 99,663 | | | 70,578 | | |
| Shareholders equity | 1,363,352 | | | 959,077 | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 14,342,013 | | | \$ 12,771,343 | | |
| Net interest income/interest rate spread (5) | | \$ 225,248 | 2.94% | | \$ 200,940 | 2.92% |
| Tax equivalent adjustment | | (2,571) | | | (2,780) | |
| Net interest income, as reported | | \$ 222,677 | | | \$ 198,160 | |
| Net interest margin (6) | | | 3.39% | | | 3.37% |
| Tax equivalent effect | | | 0.04 | | | 0.05 |

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| Net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis (6) | 3.43% | 3.42% |
|---|-------|-------|

- (1) Interest income is presented on a tax equivalent basis using a 35 percent federal tax rate.
- (2) Loans are stated net of unearned income and include non-accrual loans.
- (3) The yield for securities that are classified as available for sale is based on the average historical amortized cost.
- (4) Includes junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trust which are presented separately on the consolidated statements of condition.
- (5) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest earning assets and the average cost of interest bearing liabilities and is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis.
- (6) Net interest income as a percentage of total average interest earning assets.

Table of Contents

The following table demonstrates the relative impact on net interest income of changes in the volume of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and changes in rates earned and paid by Valley on such assets and liabilities. Variances resulting from a combination of changes in volume and rates are allocated to the categories in proportion to the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each category.

Change in Net Interest Income on a Tax Equivalent Basis

| | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | June 30, 2009 | | | June 30, 2009 | | |
| | Compared with June 30, 2008 | | | Compared with June 30, 2008 | | |
| | Change Due to Volume | Change Due to Rate | Total Change | Change Due to Volume | Change Due to Rate | Total Change |
| (in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Interest Income: | | | | | | |
| Loans * | \$ 12,813 | \$ (6,071) | \$ 6,742 | \$ 34,707 | \$ (19,744) | \$ 14,963 |
| Taxable investments | (1,008) | (546) | (1,554) | | (1,330) | (1,330) |
| Tax-exempt investments * | 130 | (254) | (124) | (5) | (570) | (575) |
| Federal funds sold and other interest bearing deposits | 407 | (595) | (188) | 1,208 | (2,662) | (1,454) |
| Total increase (decrease) in interest income | 12,342 | (7,466) | 4,876 | 35,910 | (24,306) | 11,604 |
| Interest Expense: | | | | | | |
| Savings, NOW and money market deposits | 672 | (6,031) | (5,359) | 1,398 | (14,935) | (13,537) |
| Time deposits | 3,624 | (4,680) | (1,056) | 10,288 | (11,653) | (1,365) |
| Short-term borrowings | (1,054) | (579) | (1,633) | (1,355) | (34) | (1,389) |
| Long-term borrowings and junior subordinated debentures | 1,804 | 631 | 2,435 | 3,897 | (310) | 3,587 |
| Total increase (decrease) in interest expense | 5,046 | (10,659) | (5,613) | 14,228 | (26,932) | (12,704) |
| Increase in net interest income | \$ 7,296 | \$ 3,193 | \$ 10,489 | \$ 21,682 | \$ 2,626 | \$ 24,308 |

* Interest income is presented on a tax equivalent basis using a 35 percent tax rate.

Non-Interest (Loss) Income

The following table presents the components of non-interest (loss) income for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| (in thousands) | | | | |
| Trust and investment services | \$ 1,592 | \$ 1,744 | \$ 3,237 | \$ 3,512 |
| Insurance premiums | 2,577 | 2,264 | 5,570 | 5,636 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 6,563 | 7,041 | 13,200 | 13,622 |
| Gains on securities transactions, net | 288 | 425 | 251 | 924 |
| Net impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings | (2,434) | (1,383) | (4,605) | (1,737) |
| Trading losses, net | (18,631) | (301) | (5,412) | (3,492) |
| Fees from loan servicing | 1,193 | 1,195 | 2,369 | 2,447 |
| Gains on sales of loans, net | 2,432 | 391 | 4,576 | 724 |
| Gains (losses) on sales of assets, net | 175 | (8) | 349 | 85 |

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Bank owned life insurance (BOLI) | 1,397 | 2,905 | 2,768 | 6,145 |
| Other | 4,459 | 3,681 | 8,293 | 9,315 |
| Total non-interest (loss) income | \$ (389) | \$ 17,954 | \$ 30,596 | \$ 37,181 |

Non-interest income for the second quarter of 2009 decreased \$18.3 million to a non-interest loss of \$389 thousand as compared to non-interest income of approximately \$18.0 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 mainly due to net trading losses of \$18.6 million in the second quarter of 2009.

Table of Contents

Net impairment losses on securities increased \$1.1 million and \$2.9 million, respectively for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same periods of 2008. The three and six months ended June 30, 2009, includes other-than-temporary impairment charges for additional estimated credit losses on four private label mortgage-backed securities (three of the four securities were originally deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired in the first quarter of 2009 and one security was originally impaired in the fourth quarter of 2008). The three months ended June 30, 2008 included other-than-temporary impairment charges on equity securities issued by two financial institutions and one Freddie Mac perpetual preferred security. The six months ended June 30, 2008 includes total other-than-temporary impairment charges on four equity securities issued by banks and two Freddie Mac perpetual preferred securities.

Net trading losses increased \$18.3 million and \$1.9 million, respectively for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared with the same periods in 2008 mainly due to non-cash losses of \$24.4 million and \$10.7 million, respectively for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to non-cash gains of \$2.0 million and \$264 thousand, respectively for the same periods in 2008 related to the change in the fair value of our junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value. These higher losses were partially offset by increased gains on the mark to market of trading securities and realized gains on sales of trading securities during the 2009 periods. The 2008 periods also included a \$1.2 million loss realized on one fixed FHLB advance carried at fair value, which was redeemed prior to its contractual maturity date during the second quarter of 2008.

Net gains on sale of loans increased \$2.0 million and \$3.9 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the same periods in 2008 mainly due to the sale of approximately \$175 million of residential mortgages originated in the first six months of 2009 and a mark to market gain on higher balances of loans held for sale at fair value as of June 30, 2009. Valley is currently selling most conforming refinanced and new residential mortgage loan originations in the secondary market due to the historically low level of current interest rates and continued concern about future credit deterioration. As of January 1, 2007, Valley elected to carry all of its loans held for sale at fair value under the fair value option provision of SFAS No. 159.

BOLI income decreased \$1.5 million and \$3.4 million, respectively for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared with the same period of 2008, mainly due to the severe downturn in financial markets and its negative impact on the performance of the underlying investment securities of the BOLI asset.

Other non-interest income decreased \$1.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared with the same period in 2008 mainly due to a \$1.6 million gain in the first quarter of 2008 resulting from the mandatory redemption of a portion of Valley's Class B Visa (member bank) common stock as part of Visa Inc.'s initial public offering that occurred in March of 2008. Partially offsetting the decrease was a moderate increase in other income associated with the acquisition of Greater Community on July 1, 2008.

Table of Contents**Non-Interest Expense**

The following table presents the components of non-interest expense for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Salary expense | \$ 31,397 | \$ 30,138 | \$ 63,844 | \$ 60,301 |
| Employee benefit expense | 7,938 | 6,897 | 17,208 | 15,852 |
| Net occupancy and equipment expense | 14,344 | 12,775 | 29,895 | 26,256 |
| FDIC insurance assessment | 10,279 | 231 | 13,431 | 475 |
| Amortization of other intangible assets | 1,011 | 1,402 | 3,827 | 3,148 |
| Professional and legal fees | 2,147 | 1,897 | 4,239 | 4,186 |
| Advertising | 322 | 341 | 1,167 | 717 |
| Other | 10,668 | 10,278 | 21,441 | 20,502 |
| Total non-interest expense | \$ 78,106 | \$ 63,959 | \$ 155,052 | \$ 131,437 |

Non-interest expense increased approximately \$14.1 million, or 22.1 percent for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008 and increased \$23.6 million, or 18.0 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared with the same period in 2008. The increase was mainly due to an increase in the FDIC insurance assessment and Valley's acquisition of Greater Community and its 16 full-service branches on July 1, 2008, as well as 5 de novo branches opened since June 30, 2008.

The efficiency ratio measures total non-interest expense as a percentage of net interest income plus total non-interest income. Our efficiency ratio was 69.29 percent and 61.22 percent for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared with 53.06 percent and 55.85 percent for the same periods in 2008. For the three and six month period, the increase in the efficiency ratio is primarily due to lower non-interest income in 2009 compared to 2008 mainly attributable to an \$18.3 million increase in net trading losses during the second quarter of 2009, and an increase in the FDIC insurance assessment, partially offset by an increase in net interest income. We strive to maintain a low efficiency ratio through diligent management of our operating expenses and balance sheet. However, our current and past de novo branch expansion efforts may continue to negatively impact the ratio until these new branches become profitable operations.

Salary and employee benefit expense increased a combined \$2.3 million, or 6.2 percent for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared with the same period in 2008 and increased a combined \$4.9 million, or 6.4 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared with the same period in 2008. The increases in the three and six month periods are mainly due to additional operating expenses related to the acquired Greater Community branches and the de novo branches opened during the last twelve months, including increases in payroll taxes, health care insurance and pension costs, partially offset by a decrease in stock-based incentive compensation.

Net occupancy and equipment expense increased \$1.6 million, or 12.3 percent for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared with the same period in 2008 and increased \$3.6 million, or 13.9 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared with the same period in 2008. The increase is largely due to the addition of 16 full-service branches from the acquisition of Greater Community, as well as 5 de novo branches since June 30, 2008.

The FDIC insurance assessment increased \$10.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared with the same period in 2008 and increased \$13.0 million for the six months June 30, 2009 compared with the same period in 2008. The majority of the increases are due to a second quarter 2009 five basis point special assessment (imposed on all insured depository institutions based on total assets minus Tier 1 capital as of June

Table of Contents

30, 2009) which totaled \$6.5 million for Valley. The FDIC insurance assessment also increased for the 2009 periods due to the depletion of our prior period FDIC acquisition credits during the first quarter of 2009, higher normal assessment rates and our election to participate in the FDIC's Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense was \$6.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, reflecting an effective tax rate of 30.4 percent, compared with \$9.3 million for the same period of 2008, reflecting an effective tax rate of 18.3 percent. The increase compared to the prior comparable quarter was primarily due to lower tax advantaged income (caused by a reduction in BOLI income, and a decrease in non-taxable income and dividends from investment securities), increased state tax expense and a \$6.5 million reduction in Valley's deferred tax asset valuation allowance in the second quarter of 2008.

Income tax expense was \$22.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared with \$21.0 million for the same period in 2008, reflecting effective tax rates of 30.3 percent and 22.4 percent, respectively. The increase was mainly due to lower tax advantaged income, higher state tax expense, and the reduction of Valley's deferred tax asset valuation allowance in the second quarter of 2008.

Management expects that Valley's adherence to FIN 48 will continue to result in increased volatility in Valley's future quarterly and annual effective income tax rates because FIN 48 requires that any change in judgment or change in measurement of a tax position taken in a prior annual period be recognized as a discrete event in the period in which it occurs. Factors that could impact management's judgment include changes in income, tax laws and regulations, and tax planning strategies. For the remainder of 2009, Valley anticipates that its effective tax rate will approximate 30.0 percent.

Business Segments

We have four business segments that we monitor and report on to manage our business operations. These segments are consumer lending, commercial lending, investment management, and corporate and other adjustments. Lines of business and actual structure of operations determine each segment. Each is reviewed routinely for its asset growth, contribution to income before income taxes and return on average interest earning assets and impairment (if events or circumstances indicate a possible inability to realize the carrying amount). Expenses related to the branch network, all other components of retail banking, along with the back office departments of our subsidiary bank are allocated from the corporate and other adjustments segment to each of the other three business segments. Interest expense and internal transfer expense (for general corporate expenses) are allocated to each business segment utilizing a pool funding methodology, whereas each segment is allocated a uniform funding cost based on each segment's average earning assets outstanding for the period. The Wealth Management Division, comprised of trust, asset management, insurance services, and broker-dealer (our broker-dealer subsidiary was sold on March 31, 2008) is included in the consumer lending segment. The financial reporting for each segment contains allocations and reporting in line with our operations, which may not necessarily be comparable to any other financial institution. The accounting for each segment includes internal accounting policies designed to measure consistent and reasonable financial reporting, and may not necessarily conform to GAAP.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the financial data for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | | Total |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | Consumer Lending | Commercial Lending | Investment Management (\$ in thousands) | Corporate and Other Adjustments | |
| Average interest earning assets | \$ 3,844,580 | \$ 5,925,700 | \$ 3,217,570 | \$ | \$ 12,987,850 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 16,828 | 16,703 | 15,532 | (27,509) | 21,554 |
| Annualized return on average interest earning assets (pre-tax) | 1.75% | 1.13% | 1.93% | N/A | 0.66% |

N/A - not applicable

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 | | | | Total |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | Consumer Lending | Commercial Lending | Investment Management (\$ in thousands) | Corporate and Other Adjustments | |
| Average interest earning assets | \$ 4,189,681 | \$ 4,707,323 | \$ 3,043,524 | \$ | \$ 11,940,528 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 15,750 | 19,990 | 20,175 | (5,142) | 50,773 |
| Annualized return on average interest earning assets (pre-tax) | 1.50% | 1.70% | 2.65% | N/A | 1.70% |

N/A - not applicable

Consumer Lending

The consumer lending segment is mainly comprised of residential mortgages, home equity loans and automobile loans. The duration of the loan portfolio is subject to movements in the market level of interest rates and forecasted residential mortgage prepayment speeds. The average weighted life of the automobile loans within the portfolio is relatively unaffected by movements in the market level of interest rates. However, the average life may be impacted by the availability of credit within the automobile marketplace.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, income before income taxes increased \$1.1 million to \$16.8 million, compared with the three months ended June 30, 2008. The return on average interest earning assets before income taxes increased to 1.75 percent compared with 1.50 percent for the prior year period. The increase was primarily due to a higher non-interest income and lower internal transfer expense, partially offset by a decrease in net interest income and a higher provision for loan losses. Non-interest income increased \$3.3 million to \$12.4 million when compared to \$9.1 million for the same period last year primarily as a result of an increase in the net gains on sales of loans, as Valley elected to continue to sell most conforming refinanced and new residential mortgage loan originations (priced at the current low level of interest rates) in the secondary market during the 2009 period. Net interest income decreased \$2.1 million to \$35.8 million when compared to \$37.9 million for the same period last year, primarily as a result of a \$7.7 million decrease in interest income partially offset by a \$5.6 million decrease in interest expense. The average yield on loans decreased 27 basis points to 5.66 percent, while the interest expense associated with funding sources decreased 37 basis points to 1.94 percent.

Commercial Lending

The commercial lending segment is mainly comprised of floating rate and adjustable rate commercial loans, as well as fixed rate owner occupied and commercial mortgage loans. Due to the portfolio's interest rate characteristics, commercial lending is Valley's most sensitive business segment to movements in market interest rates.

Table of Contents

During the last twelve months, the Federal Reserve has incrementally decreased the target federal funds rate three times, to a historical low of between zero and 25 basis points, causing the average federal funds rate to decline by approximately 208 basis points for the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the same quarter of 2008. Many of our earning assets in the commercial lending segment are priced based on Valley's prime rate (set by Valley management based on various internal and external factors) or the New York prime rate. As a result of the 2008 Federal Reserve rate cuts, Valley's prime rate has moved from 5.00 percent at June 30, 2008 to 4.50 percent at June 30, 2009 while the New York prime rate dropped even further to 3.25 percent at the end of the second quarter of 2009 from 5.00 percent at June 30, 2008. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2008, we started to actively implement interest rate floors within the loan terms of many of our new and renewed prime based loans to help stabilize our net interest income.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, income before income taxes decreased \$3.3 million to \$16.7 million compared with the three months ended June 30, 2008, primarily due to increases in the provision for loan losses and internal transfer expense, partially offset by an increase in net interest income. The return on average interest earning assets before income taxes was 1.13 percent compared with 1.70 percent for the prior year period. The increase in net interest income was primarily due to average loans increasing \$1.2 billion to \$5.9 billion due to the acquisition of Greater Community in the third quarter of 2008 and solid organic loan growth during the 2008 period. Partially offsetting the increase was a 27 basis point decrease in the yield on average loans mainly caused by the decline in short-term market interest rates. The cost associated with our funding sources decreased 37 basis points to 1.94 percent for the three months ended June 30, 2009.

Investment Management

The investment management segment is mainly comprised of fixed rate investments, trading securities, and depending on our liquid cash position, federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits with banks (primarily the Federal Reserve Bank of New York). The fixed rate investments are one of Valley's least sensitive assets to changes in market interest rates. However, as we continue to shift the composition of the investment portfolio to shorter-duration securities, the sensitivity to market interest rate will increase. Net gains and losses on the change in fair value of trading securities and other-than-temporary impairment charges of investment securities are reflected in the corporate and other adjustments segment.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, income before income taxes decreased \$4.6 million to \$15.5 million compared with the three months ended June 30, 2008, primarily due to an increase in internal transfer expense and a decrease in non-interest income, partially offset by a slight increase in net interest income. Average investments increased \$174.0 million to \$3.2 billion at June 30, 2009. The return on average interest earning assets before income taxes decreased to 1.93 percent compared with 2.65 percent for the prior year period. The average yield on investments decreased 52 basis points to 5.31 percent and the rate associated with funding sources decreased 37 basis points to 1.94 percent.

Corporate Segment

The corporate and other adjustments segment represents income and expense items not directly attributable to a specific segment, including trading and securities gains (losses) not classified in the investment management segment above, interest expense related to the junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts, the change in fair value of Valley's junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value, interest expense related to \$100 million in subordinated notes issued in July 2005, as well as income and expense from derivative financial instruments.

The loss before income taxes for the corporate segment was \$27.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 when compared with a \$5.1 million loss for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The increase was due to a decrease in non-interest income and an increase in non-interest expense, partially offset by an increase in internal transfer income. The decrease in non-interest income is primarily due to a \$24.4 million non-cash charge for the three months ended June 30, 2009 related to the change in the fair value of our junior subordinated debentures. Non-interest expense increased \$13.0 million mainly due to a \$10.0 million increase in the FDIC insurance assessment that was partially the result of an industry-wide special assessment in the second quarter of 2009.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the financial data for our four segments for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | | Total |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | Consumer Lending | Commercial Lending | Investment Management (\$ in thousands) | Corporate and Other Adjustments | |
| Average interest earning assets | \$ 3,962,303 | \$ 5,929,706 | \$ 3,228,674 | \$ | \$ 13,120,683 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 30,887 | 37,128 | 30,386 | (23,225) | 75,176 |
| Annualized return on average interest earning assets (pre-tax) | 1.56% | 1.25% | 1.88% | N/A | 1.15% |

N/A - not applicable

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 | | | | Total |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | Consumer Lending | Commercial Lending | Investment Management (\$ in thousands) | Corporate and Other Adjustments | |
| Average interest earning assets | \$ 4,124,679 | \$ 4,593,729 | \$ 3,040,205 | \$ | \$ 11,758,613 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 32,404 | 39,415 | 36,539 | (14,254) | 94,104 |
| Annualized return on average interest earning assets (pre-tax) | 1.57% | 1.72% | 2.40% | N/A | 1.60% |

N/A - not applicable

Consumer Lending

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, income before income taxes decreased \$1.5 million to \$30.9 million, compared with the six months ended June 30, 2008 primarily as a result of higher provision for loan losses, partially offset by an increase in non-interest income. The increase in non-interest income is mainly due to the sale of approximately \$175 million of residential mortgages originated in the first six months of 2009 as part of management's asset/liability management strategies due to the low level of current interest rates. Net interest income remained relatively flat at \$72.2 million compared to \$72.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 as a result of a \$162.4 million decrease in average loans and 32 basis point decrease in interest yield on average loans was partially offset by a 46 basis point decrease in the cost of funds.

Commercial Lending

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, income before income taxes decreased \$2.3 million to \$37.1 million compared with the same period of 2008, primarily due to higher provision for loan losses and internal transfer expense, partially offset by an increase in net interest income. The \$23.1 million increase in net interest income was primarily a result of a \$1.3 billion increase in average interest earning assets and a 46 basis point reduction in funding costs, partially offset by a 53 basis point reduction in interest yield on average loans. The return on average interest earning assets before income taxes was 1.25 percent compared with 1.72 percent for the prior year period due to the decline in short-term rates.

Investment Management

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, income before income taxes decreased \$6.2 million to \$30.4 million compared with the same period of 2008, primarily due to an increase in internal transfer expense and a decrease in non-interest income and, partially offset by an increase in net interest income. Average interest earning

Table of Contents

assets increased \$188.5 million to \$3.2 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The return on average interest earning assets before income taxes decreased to 1.88 percent compared with 2.40 percent for the prior year period. The yield on average investments, which includes federal funds sold, decreased 53 basis points to 5.28 percent and the costs associated with funding sources decreased 46 basis points to 2.01 percent.

Corporate Segment

The loss before income taxes for the corporate segment increased \$9.0 million to a loss of \$23.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 when compared with a loss of \$14.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, primarily due to a decrease in non-interest income and an increase in non-interest expense, partially offset by an increase in internal transfer income. The decrease in non-interest income is primarily due to a \$10.7 million loss recorded for the three months ended June 30, 2009 related to a non-cash charge on the change in the fair value of our junior subordinated debentures. Non-interest expense increased \$20.0 million during the 2009 period mainly due to a \$13.0 million increase in the FDIC insurance assessment as well as general increased expenses related to the acquisition of Greater Community on July 1, 2008 and de novo branching costs since June 30, 2008.

ASSET/LIABILITY MANAGEMENT

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Our success is largely dependent upon our ability to manage interest rate risk. Interest rate risk can be defined as the exposure of our interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities to the movement in interest rates. Valley's Asset/Liability Management Committee is responsible for managing such risks and establishing policies that monitor and coordinate our sources, uses and pricing of funds. Asset/Liability management is a continuous process due to the constant change in interest rate risk factors. In assessing the appropriate interest rate risk levels for us, management weighs the potential benefit of each risk management activity within the desired parameters of liquidity, capital levels and management's tolerance for exposure to income fluctuations. Many of the actions undertaken by management utilize fair value analysis and attempts to achieve consistent accounting and economic benefits for financial assets and their related funding sources. We have predominately focused on managing our interest rate risk by attempting to match the inherent risk of financial assets and liabilities. Specifically, management employs multiple risk management activities such as divestitures (including sales of lower yielding residential mortgage originations), change in product pricing levels, change in desired maturity levels for new originations, change in balance sheet composition levels as well as several other risk management activities.

We use a simulation model to analyze net interest income sensitivity to movements in interest rates. The simulation model projects net interest income based on various interest rate scenarios over a twelve and twenty-four month period. The model is based on the actual maturity and re-pricing characteristics of rate sensitive assets and liabilities. The model incorporates certain assumptions which management believes to be reasonable regarding the impact of changing interest rates and the prepayment assumptions of certain assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2009. The model assumes changes in interest rates without any proactive change in the composition or size of the balance sheet by management. In the model, the forecasted shape of the yield curve remains static as of June 30, 2009. The impact of interest rate derivatives, such as interest rate swaps and caps, is also included in the model.

Our simulation model is based on market interest rates and prepayment speeds prevalent in the market as of June 30, 2009. Although the size of Valley's balance sheet is forecasted to remain constant as of June 30, 2009, the composition is adjusted to reflect new interest earning assets and interest bearing liability originations and rate spreads utilizing our actual originations during the second quarter of 2009. The model utilizes an immediate parallel shift in the market interest rates at June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects management's expectations of the change in our net interest income over a one-year period in light of the aforementioned assumptions:

| Immediate Changes in Levels of Interest Rates | Change in Net Interest Income Over One Year | |
|---|--|----------------------|
| | Horizon At June 30, 2009 | |
| | Dollar Change (\$ in thousands) | Percentage Change |
| +3.00% | \$ 5,467 | 1.14% |
| +2.00 | 4,052 | 0.85 |
| +1.00 | (2,634) | (0.55) |
| (1.00) | (24,079) | (5.04) |

As noted in the table above, we are more susceptible to a decrease in interest rates under a scenario with an immediate parallel change in the level of market interest rates than an increase in interest rates under the same assumptions. However, the likelihood of a 100 basis point decrease in interest rates as of June 30, 2009 was considered to be unlikely given current interest rate levels. Other factors, including, but not limited to, slope of the yield curve and projected cash flows will impact our net interest income results and may increase or decrease the level of asset sensitivity of our balance sheet.

Our net interest income is affected by changes in interest rates and cash flows from our loan and investment portfolios. We actively manage these cash flows in conjunction with our liability mix, duration and rates to optimize the net interest income, while prudently structuring the balance sheet to manage changes in interest rates. Additionally, our net interest income is impacted by the level of competition within our marketplace. Competition can increase the cost of deposits and impact the level of interest rates attainable on loans, which may result in downward pressure on our net interest margin in future periods.

Liquidity

Bank Liquidity. Liquidity measures the ability to satisfy current and future cash flow needs as they become due. A bank's liquidity reflects its ability to meet loan demand, to accommodate possible outflows in deposits and to take advantage of interest rate opportunities in the marketplace. Liquidity management is monitored by management's Asset/Liability Management Committee and the Investment Committee of the Board of Directors of Valley National Bank, which review historical funding requirements, current liquidity position, sources and stability of funding, marketability of assets, options for attracting additional funds, and anticipated future funding needs, including the level of unfunded commitments.

Valley National Bank has no required regulatory liquidity ratios to maintain; however, it adheres to an internal liquidity policy. The current policy maintains that we may not have a ratio of loans to deposits in excess of 120 percent and non-core funding (which generally includes certificates of deposits \$100 thousand and over, federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements and Federal Home Loan Bank advances) greater than 50 percent of total assets. At June 30, 2009, we were in compliance with the foregoing policies.

On the asset side of the balance sheet, we have numerous sources of liquid funds in the form of cash and due from banks, interest bearing deposits with banks, federal funds sold, investment securities held to maturity maturing within one year, investment securities available for sale, trading securities and loans held for sale. These liquid assets totaled approximately \$1.8 billion and \$2.1 billion at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, representing 14.0 percent and 16.4 percent of earning assets, and 12.5 percent and 14.2 percent of total assets at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Of the \$1.8 billion of liquid assets at June 30, 2009, approximately \$1.1 billion of various investment securities were pledged to counterparties to support our earning asset funding strategies.

Table of Contents

Additional liquidity is derived from scheduled loan payments of principal and interest, as well as prepayments received. Loan principal payments are projected to be approximately \$3.9 billion over the next twelve months. As a contingency plan for significant funding needs, liquidity could also be derived from the sale of existing residential mortgages, commercial mortgages, and home equity loans, as these are all marketable portfolios, or from the temporary curtailment of lending activities.

On the liability side of the balance sheet, we utilize multiple sources of funds to meet liquidity needs. Our core deposit base, which generally excludes certificates of deposit over \$100 thousand as well as brokered certificates of deposit, represents the largest of these sources. Core deposits averaged approximately \$7.9 billion for the second quarter of 2009 and \$7.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2008, representing 60.8 percent and 59.7 percent of average earning assets at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. The level of interest bearing deposits is affected by interest rates offered, which is often influenced by our need for funds and the need to match the maturities of assets and liabilities.

In the event that additional short-term liquidity is needed, Valley National Bank has established relationships with several correspondent banks to provide short-term borrowings in the form of federal funds purchased. While, at June 30, 2009, there were no firm lending commitments in place, management believes that we could borrow approximately \$1.0 billion for a short time from these banks on a collective basis. Valley National Bank is also a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York and has the ability to borrow from them in the form of FHLB advances secured by pledges of residential mortgage-backed securities and a blanket assignment of qualifying residential mortgage loans. Additionally, funds could be borrowed overnight from the Federal Reserve Bank via the discount window as a contingency for additional liquidity. During the second quarter of 2009, we expanded our ability to borrow from the discount window to over \$400 million at June 30, 2009, from approximately \$150 million at March 31, 2009, as we provided additional collateral loans consisting primarily of automobile loans.

We have access to a variety of short-term and long-term borrowing sources to support our asset base. Short-term borrowings may include from time to time federal funds purchased, repos, treasury tax and loan accounts, and FHLB advances. Short-term borrowings decreased approximately \$447.0 million to \$193.3 million at June 30, 2009 compared to \$640.3 million at December 31, 2008 primarily due to the maturity of \$300.0 million in short-term FHLB advances and a \$155.4 million decrease in customer repos due to a migration of funds to non-interest bearing and money market deposits. At June 30, 2009, all short-term repos represent customer deposit balances being swept into this vehicle overnight.

Corporation Liquidity. Valley's recurring cash requirements primarily consist of dividends to preferred and common shareholders and interest expense on junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts. These cash needs are routinely satisfied by dividends collected from Valley National Bank, along with cash flows from investment securities held at the holding company. See **Capital Adequacy** section below regarding restrictions to such subsidiary bank dividends. Projected cash flows from these sources are expected to be adequate to pay preferred and common dividends, if declared, and interest expense payable to capital trusts, given the current capital levels and current profitable operations of the bank subsidiary.

Historically, Valley also used cash to repurchase shares of its outstanding common stock, from time to time, under its share repurchase program, purchase preferred securities issued by VNB Capital Trust I (and extinguish the corresponding junior subordinated debentures) in the open market, or call for early redemption part of its junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I at their stated par value. The cash required for these activities was met by using Valley's own funds and dividends received from Valley National Bank.

On November 14, 2008, Valley issued \$300 million in nonvoting senior preferred shares to the Treasury under the TARP Capital Purchase Program mainly to support growth in our lending operations and better position Valley for a potentially extended downturn in the U.S. economy. Under the terms of the program, the Treasury's consent will be required for any increase in our dividends paid to common stockholders (above a quarterly dividend of \$0.19 per common share) or our redemption, purchase or acquisition of Valley common stock or any trust preferred securities issued by our capital trusts until the third anniversary of the Valley senior preferred share issuance to the Treasury unless prior to such third anniversary the senior preferred shares are redeemed in whole or the Treasury has transferred all of these shares to third parties.

Table of Contents

On June 3, 2009, we repurchased 75,000 out of our 300,000 senior preferred shares from the Treasury. The aggregate purchase price for the repurchased preferred shares was approximately \$75.2 million (including accrued and unpaid dividends). The repayment was made with available cash held at the holding company, and did not require additional cash dividends from our subsidiary bank.

Investment Securities Portfolio

As of June 30, 2009, we had approximately \$1.6 billion and \$1.3 billion in held to maturity and available for sale investment securities, respectively. We may be required to record impairment charges on our investment securities if they suffer a decline in value that is considered other-than-temporary. Numerous factors, including lack of liquidity for re-sales of certain investment securities, absence of reliable pricing information for investment securities, adverse changes in business climate, adverse actions by regulators, or unanticipated changes in the competitive environment could have a negative effect on our investment portfolio and may result in other-than-temporary impairment on certain investment securities in future periods. Our investment portfolio includes private label mortgage-backed securities, trust preferred securities principally issued by bank holding companies (bank issuers) (including three pooled securities), perpetual preferred securities issued by banks, and bank issued corporate bonds. These investments may pose a higher risk of future impairment charges by us as a result of the current downturn in the U.S. economy and its potential negative effect on the future performance of these bank issuers and/or the underlying mortgage loan collateral. In addition, the majority of the bank issuers of trust preferred securities within our investment portfolio are participants in the Treasury's TARP Capital Purchase Program. For TARP participants, dividend payments to trust preferred security holders are currently senior to and payable before dividends can be paid on the preferred stock issued under the Capital Purchase Program. Based on recent stress tests and potential recommendations by the U.S. Government and the banking regulators, some bank trust preferred issuers may elect to defer future payments of interest on such securities. Such elections by issuers of securities within our investment portfolio could adversely affect securities valuations and result in future impairment charges if collection of deferred and accrued interest (or principal upon maturity) is deemed unlikely by management.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Analysis. Management evaluates the held to maturity and available for sale investment securities portfolios quarterly for other-than-temporary impairment under the provisions of FSP FAS 115-2 adopted effective January 1, 2009 (see more details at Note 6 and 8). Other-than-temporary impairment means we believe the security's impairment is due to factors that could include its inability to pay interest or dividends, its potential for default, and/or other factors. When a held to maturity or available for sale debt security is assessed for other-than-temporary impairment, we have to first consider (a) whether we intend to sell the security, and (b) whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis. If one of these circumstances apply to a security, an other-than-temporary impairment loss is recognized in the statement of income equal to the full amount of the decline in fair value below amortized cost. If neither of these circumstances apply to a security, but we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis, an other-than-temporary impairment loss has occurred that must be separated into two categories: (a) the amount related to credit loss, and (b) the amount related to other factors. In assessing the level of other-than-temporary impairment attributable to credit loss, we compare the present value of cash flows expected to be collected with the amortized cost basis of the security. The portion of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to credit loss is recognized in earnings, while the amount related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. The total other-than-temporary impairment loss is presented in the statement of income, less the portion recognized in other comprehensive income. When a debt security becomes other-than-temporarily impaired, its amortized cost basis is reduced to reflect the portion of the total impairment related to credit loss.

To determine whether a security's impairment is other-than-temporary, we consider factors that include:

The causes of the decline in fair value, such as credit problems, interest rate fluctuations, or market volatility.

The severity and duration of the decline.

Table of Contents

Our ability and intent to hold equity security investments until they recover in value, mature, or are called.

Our intent to sell debt security investments, or if it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell such debt securities before recovery of their individual amortized cost basis less any current-period credit loss.

For debt securities, the primary consideration in determining whether impairment is other-than-temporary is whether or not it is probable that current or future contractual cash flows have or may be impaired.

The investment grades in the table below reflect multiple third parties independent analysis of each security. For many securities, the rating agencies may not have performed an independent analysis of the tranches owned by us, but rather an analysis of the entire investment pool. For this and other reasons, we believe the assigned investment grades may not reflect the actual credit quality of each investment.

The following table presents the held to maturity and available for sale investment securities portfolios by investment grades at June 30, 2009:

| | Amortized Cost | June 30, 2009 | | Fair Value |
|---|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| (in thousands) | | | | |
| Held to maturity: | | | | |
| Investment grades* | | | | |
| AAA Rated | \$ 1,058,577 | \$ 11,761 | \$ (4,351) | \$ 1,065,987 |
| AA Rated | 115,434 | 1,974 | (654) | 116,754 |
| A Rated | 136,120 | 497 | (6,375) | 130,242 |
| BBB Rated | 117,010 | 574 | (27,701) | 89,883 |
| Non-investment grade | 2,518 | | (631) | 1,887 |
| Not rated | 146,313 | 19 | (38,306) | 108,026 |
| Total investment securities held to maturity | \$ 1,575,972 | \$ 14,825 | \$ (78,018) | \$ 1,512,779 |
| Available for sale: | | | | |
| Investment grades* | | | | |
| AAA Rated | \$ 1,057,681 | \$ 34,376 | \$ (9,891) | \$ 1,082,166 |
| AA Rated | 68,137 | 413 | (13,654) | 54,896 |
| A Rated | 86,944 | 1,706 | (2,807) | 85,843 |
| BBB Rated | 42,430 | 92 | (12,753) | 29,769 |
| Non-investment grade | 61,469 | 1,660 | (11,945) | 51,184 |
| Not rated | 16,208 | 117 | (639) | 15,686 |
| Total investment securities available for sale | \$ 1,332,869 | \$ 38,364 | \$ (51,689) | \$ 1,319,544 |

* Rated using external rating agencies (primarily S&P and Moody's). Ratings categories include the entire range. For example, A rated includes A+, A, and A-. Split rated securities with two ratings are categorized at the higher of the rating levels.

The held to maturity portfolio includes \$146.3 million in investments not rated by the rating agencies with aggregate unrealized losses of \$38.3 million at June 30, 2009. The unrealized losses for this category relate to 10 single-issuer bank trust preferred securities, of which \$23.6 million in unrealized losses relate to securities issued by one bank holding company with a combined amortized cost of \$55.0 million. Valley privately negotiated the purchase of the \$55.0 million in trust preferred securities from the bank issuer and holds all of the securities of two issuances. In August of 2009, the bank issuer of these securities elected to defer its normal quarterly interest payment on one of the security issuances. Typical of most trust preferred issuances, the bank issuer may defer interest payments for up to five years with interest payable on the deferred balance. The bank issuer is currently operating under an agreement with its bank regulators. The agreement requires, among other things, the issuer to receive permission from the regulators prior to resuming its scheduled payments on both security issuances. However, the issuer's principal

Table of Contents

subsidiary bank reported, in its most recent regulatory filing, that it meets the regulatory minimum requirements to be considered a well-capitalized institution as of June 30, 2009. Based on this information, management believes that Valley will receive all principal and interest contractually due on both security issuances, although Valley cannot provide any assurances that this will be the case. Valley will continue to closely monitor the credit risk of this issuer, and, if there is significant deterioration, Valley may be required to recognize other-than-temporary impairment on such securities in future periods. All other trust preferred securities are paying in accordance with their terms and have no deferrals of interest or defaults. Additionally, we analyze the performance of each issuer on a quarterly basis, including a review of the issuer's most recent bank regulatory report to assess the company's credit risk and the probability of impairment of the contractual cash flows of the applicable security. Based upon our quarterly review, all of the issuers appear to meet the regulatory minimum requirements to be considered well-capitalized financial institution.

Although the majority of these financial institutions were performing at the end of this quarter, there can be no assurance that the current economic conditions or bank regulatory actions will not impair the institutions' future ability to repay our investment in the trust preferred securities, which may result in significant other-than-temporary impairment charges to our future earnings. In this volatile environment a growing number of banking institutions have been required to defer trust preferred payments and a growing number of banking institutions have been put in receivership by the FDIC during this year. A deferral event by a bank holding company for which we hold trust preferred securities may require us to recognize an other-than-temporary impairment charge if it is determined that repayment of contractual interest or principal is unlikely, and an FDIC receivership for any single-issuer would result in a significant loss. See Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements for further details on our trust preferred securities portfolios.

The available for sale portfolio includes investments with non-investment grade ratings with amortized cost and fair values totaling \$61.5 million and \$51.2 million, respectively, at June 30, 2009. The \$11.9 million in unrealized losses for this category relate to two pooled trust preferred securities (which were other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2008) with combined unrealized losses of \$7.1 million and four private label mortgage-backed securities, including unrealized losses (or the non-credit losses recognized in other comprehensive income) totaling \$3.0 million for two securities which were other-than-temporarily impaired in both the first and second quarters of 2009. See the section below and Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements for further information on management's assessment of potential or additional other-than-temporary impairment for these securities.

Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Securities. Within the residential mortgage-backed securities category of the available for sale portfolio, Valley owns 20 individual private label mortgage-backed securities. We estimate loss projections for each security by stressing the individual loans collateralizing the security and determining a range of expected default rates, loss severities, and prepayment speeds, in conjunction with the underlying credit enhancement (if applicable) for each security. Based on collateral and origination vintage specific assumptions, a range of possible cash flows was identified to determine whether other-than-temporary impairment existed as of June 30, 2009. Four private label mortgage-backed securities classified as available for sale, with an amortized cost basis of \$46.9 million, were deemed to have additional estimated credit losses totaling \$2.4 million at June 30, 2009 (as three of the four securities were originally found to be other-than-temporarily impaired at March 31, 2009 and one security was originally impaired at December 31, 2008). In evaluating the range of likely future cash flows for each of the four securities, Valley applied security as well as market specific assumptions, based on the credit characteristics of each security to multiple cash flow models. Multiple present value cash flow analyses were utilized in determining future expected cash flows, in part due to the vast array of assumptions prevalent in the current market and used by market participants in valuing similar type securities. Under certain stress scenarios estimated future losses may arise. For the four securities in which Valley recorded an other-than-temporary impairment, the average portfolio FICO score ranged from 713 to 727 and the weighted average loan to value ratio at origination was between 61 percent and 73 percent. Additional cash flow assumptions incorporated expected default rates ranging from 3.30 percent to 8.40 percent and loss severity expectations ranging from 51 percent to 59 percent. Each security's cash flows were discounted at the security's effective interest rate. Although we recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges on the securities, each security is currently performing in accordance with its contractual obligations.

Table of Contents

Other-than-temporary impairment is a non-cash charge and not necessarily an indicator of a permanent decline in value. Security valuations require significant estimates, judgments and assumptions by management and are considered a critical accounting policy of Valley. See the Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates section above for further discussion of this policy.

Loan Portfolio

The following table reflects the composition of the loan portfolio as of the dates presented:

| | June 30, 2009 | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 (\$ in thousands) | September 30, 2008 | June 30, 2008 |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Commercial | \$ 1,838,895 | \$ 1,888,564 | \$ 1,965,372 | \$ 1,905,469 | \$ 1,680,337 |
| Total commercial loans | 1,838,895 | 1,888,564 | 1,965,372 | 1,905,469 | 1,680,337 |
| Construction | 479,294 | 504,416 | 510,519 | 470,006 | 399,279 |
| Residential mortgage | 2,061,244 | 2,165,641 | 2,269,935 | 2,297,868 | 2,228,197 |
| Commercial mortgage | 3,399,560 | 3,347,568 | 3,324,082 | 3,204,537 | 2,564,605 |
| Total mortgage loans | 5,940,098 | 6,017,625 | 6,104,536 | 5,972,411 | 5,192,081 |
| Home equity | 585,722 | 598,467 | 607,700 | 600,623 | 537,913 |
| Credit card | 9,956 | 9,531 | 9,916 | 9,872 | 9,459 |
| Automobile | 1,165,159 | 1,245,192 | 1,364,343 | 1,474,328 | 1,531,537 |
| Other consumer | 78,547 | 78,553 | 91,823 | 94,578 | 92,768 |
| Total consumer loans | 1,839,384 | 1,931,743 | 2,073,782 | 2,179,401 | 2,171,677 |
| Total loans* | \$ 9,618,377 | \$ 9,837,932 | \$ 10,143,690 | \$ 10,057,281 | \$ 9,044,095 |
| As a percent of total loans: | | | | | |
| Commercial loans | 19.1% | 19.2% | 19.4% | 18.9% | 18.6% |
| Mortgage loans | 61.8 | 61.2 | 60.2 | 59.4 | 57.4 |
| Consumer loans | 19.1 | 19.6 | 20.4 | 21.7 | 24.0 |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

* Total loans are net of unearned discount and deferred loan fees totaling \$8.1 million, \$7.4 million, \$4.8 million, \$3.9 million and \$3.0 million at June 30, 2009, March 31, 2009, December 31, 2008, September 30, 2008, and June 30, 2008, respectively.

During the second quarter of 2009, we extended over \$450 million in new credit to quality existing and new customers while maintaining our conservative underwriting standards. However, the overall portfolio declined by \$219.6 million or 8.9 percent on an annualized basis, to approximately \$9.6 billion at June 30, 2009 as compared to March 31, 2009 primarily due to management's decision to sell most conforming refinanced and new residential mortgage loan originations (priced at the current low level of interest rates) in the secondary market, as well as continued declines in our automobile portfolio caused by the lack of consumer demand and our strict underwriting standards.

Commercial loans decreased \$49.7 million or 10.5 percent on an annualized basis, from March 31, 2009 to approximately \$1.8 billion at June 30, 2009 mainly due to a slowdown in new commercial loan activity and a moderate decline in usage of commercial lines of credit by our customers.

Total mortgage loans decreased \$77.5 million or 5.2 percent on an annualized basis, to approximately \$5.9 billion at June 30, 2009 from a quarter ago due to decreases in the residential mortgage and construction loan portfolios of \$104.4 million and \$25.1 million respectively,

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

partially offset by a \$52.0 million increase in commercial mortgage loans. The decline in the residential mortgage loan portfolio continued during the second quarter of 2009 as expected by management based on our secondary market sales of most refinanced loans and

Table of Contents

new loan originations. Construction loans decreased due to normal incremental paydowns on existing loans coupled with lower new loan volume due to the slowdown in the housing market. Commercial mortgage loans continue to modestly increase quarter over quarter as we benefit from the dislocation in the credit markets for new loans with quality borrowers.

The consumer loan portfolio decreased \$92.4 million or 19.1 percent on an annualized basis, to approximately \$1.8 billion at June 30, 2009 due to the declines in the automobile and home equity loan portfolios of \$80.0 million and \$12.7 million, respectively. Our automobile loan portfolio has declined for four consecutive quarters mainly due to the drop in demand for new and used vehicles caused by the recession, as well as Valley's move in the third quarter of 2008 to strengthen its already conservative auto loan underwriting standards in light of the current economic conditions.

Non-performing Assets

Non-performing assets include non-accrual loans, other real estate owned (OREO), and other repossessed assets which consists of one aircraft and automobiles at June 30, 2009. Loans are generally placed on a non-accrual status when they become past due in excess of 90 days as to payment of principal or interest. Exceptions to the non-accrual policy may be permitted if the loan is sufficiently collateralized and in the process of collection. OREO is acquired through foreclosure on loans secured by land or real estate. OREO and other repossessed assets are reported at the lower of cost or fair value at the time of acquisition and at the lower of fair value, less estimated costs to sell, or cost thereafter. Given the state of the U.S. economy, and relative to our peers, the level of non-performing assets remained relatively low as a percentage of the total loan portfolio as shown in the table below even though they have increased steadily since the fourth quarter of 2008 and may continue to increase in relation to the U.S. economy.

The following table sets forth non-performing assets and accruing loans, which were 90 days or more past due as to principal or interest payments on the dates indicated in conjunction with asset quality ratios for Valley:

Loan Quality

| | June 30, 2009 | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 (\$ in thousands) | September 30, 2008 | June 30, 2008 |
|---|------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------|
| Loans past due in excess of 90 days and still accruing | \$ 19,523 | \$ 13,486 | \$ 15,557 | \$ 12,677 | \$ 11,249 |
| Non-accrual loans | 57,731 | 47,388 | 33,073 | 30,663 | 27,559 |
| Other real estate owned | 4,993 | 5,241 | 8,278 | 7,119 | 4,416 |
| Other repossessed assets | 3,699 | 4,346 | 4,317 | 4,060 | 4,158 |
| Total non-performing assets (NPAs) | \$ 66,423 | \$ 56,975 | \$ 45,668 | \$ 41,842 | \$ 36,133 |
| Troubled debt restructured loans | \$ 21,954 | \$ 7,757 | \$ 7,628 | \$ 9,353 | \$ 8,895 |
| Total non-performing loans as a % of loans | 0.60% | 0.48% | 0.33% | 0.30% | 0.30% |
| Total NPAs as a % of loans and NPAs | 0.69% | 0.58% | 0.45% | 0.42% | 0.40% |
| Allowance for loans losses as a % of non-performing loans | 174.54% | 202.40% | 281.93% | 287.51% | 267.53% |

Non-accrual loans increased \$10.3 million to \$57.7 million at June 30, 2009 from \$47.4 million at March 31, 2009, while OREO and other repossessed assets declined a combined total of \$895 thousand over the same period. The increase in non-accrual loans was mostly due to three commercial mortgage loans totaling \$5.9 million and one \$2.6 million commercial loan.

Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing, which were excluded from the non-performing category, are also presented in the table above. These loans increased \$6.0 million, to \$19.5 million, or 0.20 percent of total loans at June 30, 2009 from \$13.5 million, or 0.14 percent of total loans at March 31, 2009. The increase was mainly due to one commercial loan and four commercial mortgage loans with a combined total of \$3.6 million, as well as ten additional residential mortgage loans totaling \$2.0 million.

Table of Contents

The increase in loan delinquencies reflects the difficult economic climate; however, we believe our high underwriting policies continue to mitigate much of the potential impact of the economic environment as we view our overall delinquencies as relatively small in comparison to many other financial service providers. No residential mortgage loans classified as loans held for sale were 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest at June 30, 2009.

Troubled debt restructured loans, with modified terms and not reported as loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing or non-accrual, increased \$14.2 million to \$22.0 million at June 30, 2009 as compared to \$7.8 million at March 31, 2009. The increase in restructured loans from March 31, 2009 is mainly due to two restructured commercial loans totaling \$14.5 million to one customer, which are both collateralized by aircrafts. This commercial loan relationship also includes a non-restructured aviation loan of \$13.7 million which is performing as of June 30, 2009 and collateralized by an aircraft leased to a third party. Total restructured loans, including this relationship, consist of seven commercial loan relationships and two commercial lease relationships at June 30, 2009. Two of the other commercial loan relationships had unused lines of credit and an unfunded construction loan commitment with a combined total of \$2.3 million at June 30, 2009. The restructured loans are presently performing under the modified terms, but there can be no assurance that Valley will not incur a loss related to these loans in the future.

Total loans past due in excess of 30 days were 1.49 percent of all loans at June 30, 2009 and 1.34 percent at March 31, 2009. We strive to keep the loans past due in excess of 30 days at these relatively low levels, however, there is no guarantee that our delinquency levels will not continue to increase due to the current economic downturn.

Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses consists of the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded letters of credit. Management maintains the allowance for credit losses at a level estimated to absorb probable loan losses of the loan portfolio and credit commitments. The allowance is based on ongoing evaluations of the probable estimated losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Our methodology for evaluating the appropriateness of the allowance includes segmentation of the loan portfolio into its various components, tracking the historical levels of criticized loans and delinquencies, and assessing the nature and trend of loan charge-offs. Additionally, the volume of non-performing loans, concentration risks by size, type, and geography, new markets, collateral adequacy, credit policies and procedures, staffing, underwriting consistency, and economic conditions are taken into consideration.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the relationship among loans, loans charged-off, loan recoveries, the provision for credit losses and the allowance for credit losses on the dates indicated:

Allowance for Credit Losses

| | June 30, 2009 | Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 | June 30, 2008 (\$ in thousands) | Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | June 30, 2008 |
|---|------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Average loans outstanding | \$ 9,770,280 | \$ 10,015,090 | \$ 8,897,004 | \$ 9,892,009 | \$ 8,718,408 |
| Beginning balance: | | | | | |
| Allowance for credit losses | \$ 97,477 | \$ 94,738 | \$ 75,030 | \$ 94,738 | \$ 74,935 |
| Loans charged-off | (9,202) | (8,041) | (5,447) | (17,243) | (10,049) |
| Recoveries | 978 | 799 | 566 | 1,777 | 1,263 |
| Net charge-offs | (8,224) | (7,242) | (4,881) | (15,466) | (8,786) |
| Provision for credit losses | 13,064 | 9,981 | 5,800 | 23,045 | 9,800 |
| Ending balance: | | | | | |
| Allowance for credit losses | \$ 102,317 | \$ 97,477 | \$ 75,949 | \$ 102,317 | \$ 75,949 |
| Components of allowance for credit losses: | | | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 100,761 | \$ 95,913 | \$ 73,729 | \$ 100,761 | \$ 73,729 |
| Reserve for unfunded letters of credit | 1,556 | 1,564 | 2,220 | 1,556 | 2,220 |
| Allowance for credit losses | \$ 102,317 | \$ 97,477 | \$ 75,949 | \$ 102,317 | \$ 75,949 |
| Components of provision for credit losses: | | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | \$ 13,072 | \$ 9,910 | \$ 5,693 | \$ 22,982 | \$ 9,851 |
| Provision for unfunded letters of credit | (8) | 71 | 107 | 63 | (51) |
| Provision for credit losses | \$ 13,064 | \$ 9,981 | \$ 5,800 | \$ 23,045 | \$ 9,800 |
| Annualized net charge-offs as a percentage of average total loans | 0.34% | 0.29% | 0.22% | 0.31% | 0.20% |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans | 1.05% | 0.97% | 0.82% | 1.05% | 0.82% |
| Allowance for credit losses as a percentage of total loans | 1.06% | 0.99% | 0.84% | 1.06% | 0.84% |

At June 30, 2009, the allowance for credit losses totaled \$102.3 million compared with \$94.7 million at December 31, 2008. The allowance was adjusted by provisions charged against income and charge-offs, net of recoveries. Net loan charge-offs were \$8.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared with \$7.2 million for three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase in net loan charge-offs from the linked quarter is mainly due to a \$2.5 million increase in commercial loan net charge-offs, partially offset by a \$1.3 million reduction in consumer loan net charge-offs. The provision during the second quarter of 2009 reflects an increase in reserves for non-accrual loans and other factors identified by management, as well as to provide for the potential risk of loan deterioration resulting from a continued recession.

Net loan charge-offs were \$15.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared with \$8.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in net loan charge-offs is primarily due to a \$4.0 million increase in commercial loan net charge-offs and a \$3.4 million increase in consumer loans net charge-offs.

The allowance for credit losses as a percentage of total loans increased 7 basis points to 1.06 percent at June 30, 2009 as compared to March 31, 2009 and increased 22 basis points as compared to June 30, 2008. The quarter over quarter increase was mainly the result of an increase in reserves for non-accrual, management's outlook on the U.S. economy, as well as other factors identified during the quarterly analysis of the

allowance.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the allocation of the allowance for credit losses to specific loan categories and the allocation as a percentage of each loan category:

Allocation of Allowance for Credit Losses

| Loan category: | June 30, 2009 | | March 31, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | |
|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Allowance Allocation | Allocation as a % of loan category | Allowance Allocation | Allocation as a % of loan category | Allowance Allocation | Allocation as a % of loan category |
| Commercial* | \$ 53,721 | 2.92% | \$ 47,796 | 2.53% | \$ 44,163 | 2.25% |
| Mortgage: | | | | | | |
| Construction | 14,856 | 3.10 | 15,621 | 3.10 | 15,885 | 3.11 |
| Residential mortgage | 4,911 | 0.24 | 4,750 | 0.22 | 4,434 | 0.20 |
| Commercial mortgage | 10,398 | 0.31 | 9,824 | 0.29 | 10,035 | 0.30 |
| Total mortgage loans | 30,165 | 0.51 | 30,195 | 0.50 | 30,354 | 0.50 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Home equity | 1,686 | 0.29 | 1,702 | 0.28 | 1,696 | 0.28 |
| Other consumer | 10,721 | 0.86 | 11,419 | 0.86 | 12,622 | 0.86 |
| Total consumer loans | 12,407 | 0.67 | 13,121 | 0.68 | 14,318 | 0.69 |
| Unallocated | 6,024 | N/A | 6,365 | N/A | 5,903 | N/A |
| | \$ 102,317 | 1.06 | \$ 97,477 | 0.99 | \$ 94,738 | 0.93 |

* Includes the reserve for unfunded letters of credit.

N/A - not applicable.

Capital Adequacy

A significant measure of the strength of a financial institution is its shareholders' equity. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, shareholders' equity totaled approximately \$1.3 billion and \$1.4 billion, respectively, or 9.3 percent of total assets for both periods. The decrease in total shareholders' equity during the six months ended June 30, 2009 was mainly the result of our repurchase of 75,000 shares (\$73.1 million carrying value) of our senior preferred stock from the U.S. Treasury during the second quarter of 2009, dividends paid to common shareholders of \$54.0 million, and dividends and discount accretion on our preferred stock of \$10.0 million (including accelerated accretion of \$1.9 million related to the discount on the shares repurchased during the second quarter of 2009), partially offset by a net income of \$52.4 million and \$27.7 million decrease in accumulated other comprehensive loss.

Included in shareholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss at June 30, 2009 was a \$7.9 million net unrealized loss on investment securities available for sale, net of deferred tax as compared to a \$32.7 million net unrealized loss, net of deferred tax at December 31, 2008. Also, included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss at June 30, 2009 was \$22.8 million, representing the unfunded portion of Valley's various pension obligations and a \$2.5 million unrealized loss on derivatives, net of deferred tax used in cash flow hedging relationships.

On November 14, 2008, Valley issued \$300 million in nonvoting senior preferred shares to the Treasury under its TARP Capital Purchase Program mainly to support growth in our lending operations and better position Valley for a potentially extended downturn in the U.S. economy. Our senior preferred shares pay a cumulative dividend rate of five percent per annum for the first five years and will reset to a rate of nine percent per annum after year five. Under the terms of the program, the Treasury's consent will be required for any increase in our dividends paid to common stockholders (above a quarterly dividend of \$0.19 per common share) or our

Table of Contents

redemption, purchase or acquisition of Valley common stock or any trust preferred securities issued by our capital trusts until the third anniversary of the Valley senior preferred share issuance to the Treasury unless prior to such third anniversary the senior preferred shares are redeemed in whole or the Treasury has transferred all of these shares to third parties. The senior preferred shares are 100 percent allowable in Tier I Capital for regulatory purposes.

On June 3, 2009, we repurchased from Treasury 75,000 out of the 300,000 shares of our senior preferred shares for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$75.2 million (including accrued and unpaid dividends). The repurchase resulted in an accelerated accretion charge of \$1.9 million to retained earnings in the second quarter of 2009 based on the difference between the par value of \$75 million and the carrying value of \$73.1 million.

Our senior preferred shares and the warrant issued under the TARP Capital Purchase Program qualify and are accounted for as permanent equity on our balance sheet. Of the \$300 million in original issuance proceeds, \$291.4 million and \$8.6 million were allocated to the senior preferred shares and the warrant, respectively, based upon their estimated relative fair values as of November 14, 2008. The original discount of \$8.6 million recorded for the senior preferred shares is being amortized to retained earnings over a five year estimated life based on the likelihood of their repayment by us within that timeframe. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, \$2.2 million and \$2.8 million (including accelerated accretion of \$1.9 million in both periods), respectively was amortized to retained earnings. For additional information see Capital Adequacy under Item 7 of Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

On June 8, 2009, Valley entered into an equity distribution agreement under which Valley may, from time to time, offer and sell up to 5.67 million shares of Valley's common stock. Sales of the shares will be made at market prices prevailing at the time of sale or as otherwise agreed to by Valley. Under the agreement, we issued approximately 43 thousand shares at a weighted average price of \$12.29, excluding transaction fees, during June 2009. During the third quarter, we issued an additional 1.9 million shares (including unsettled trades) at a weighted average price of approximately \$13.08, excluding transaction fees, through August 6, 2009 under this agreement.

Effective June 29, 2009, Valley may issue up to 10.0 million authorized and previously unissued or treasury shares of Valley common stock for purchases under Valley's dividend reinvestment plan (DRIP). Under the DRIP, a shareholder may choose to have future cash dividends automatically invested in Valley common stock and make voluntary optional cash payments of up to \$100 thousand per quarter to purchase shares of Valley common stock. Shares purchased under this plan will be issued directly from Valley or in open market transactions. No new common shares were issued under this plan during the second quarter of 2009.

On January 17, 2007, Valley's Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to 4.1 million common shares. Purchases may be made from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions generally not exceeding prevailing market prices. Repurchased shares are held in treasury and are expected to be used for general corporate purposes or issued under the dividend reinvestment plan. Valley made no purchases of its outstanding shares during the first six months of 2009. No further repurchases, without the Treasury's consent, will be made under the terms of the nonvoting senior preferred shares sold to the Treasury while the shares are outstanding and owned by the Treasury.

Risk-based capital guidelines define a two-tier capital framework. Tier 1 capital consists of common shareholders' equity and eligible long-term borrowing related to VNB Capital Trust I and GCB Capital Trust III, less disallowed intangibles and adjusted to exclude unrealized gains and losses, net of deferred tax. Total risk-based capital consists of Tier 1 capital, Valley National Bank's subordinated borrowings and the allowance for credit losses up to 1.25 percent of risk-adjusted assets. Risk-adjusted assets are determined by assigning various levels of risk to different categories of assets and off-balance sheet activities.

Table of Contents

Valley's and Valley National Bank's actual capital positions and ratios at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, under risk-based capital guidelines are presented in the following table:

| | Actual | | Minimum Capital Requirements | | To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provision | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|---|-------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | | | | |
| As of June 30, 2009 | | | | | | |
| Total Risk-based Capital | | | | | | |
| Valley | \$ 1,417,775 | 12.9% | \$ 876,587 | 8.0% | \$ | N/A |
| Valley National Bank | 1,217,417 | 11.1 | 875,125 | 8.0 | 1,093,906 | 10.0 |
| Tier I Risk-based Capital | | | | | | |
| Valley | 1,215,457 | 11.1 | 438,293 | 4.0 | N/A | N/A |
| Valley National Bank | 1,015,100 | 9.3 | 437,562 | 4.0 | 656,343 | 6.0 |
| Tier I Leverage Capital | | | | | | |
| Valley | 1,215,457 | 8.7 | 556,169 | 4.0 | N/A | N/A |
| Valley National Bank | 1,015,100 | 7.3 | 555,157 | 4.0 | 693,946 | 5.0 |
| As of December 31, 2008 | | | | | | |
| Total Risk-based Capital | | | | | | |
| Valley | \$ 1,475,776 | 13.2% | \$ 895,751 | 8.0% | \$ | N/A |
| Valley National Bank | 1,190,129 | 10.6 | 894,556 | 8.0 | 1,118,196 | 10.0 |
| Tier I Risk-based Capital | | | | | | |
| Valley | 1,281,038 | 11.4 | 447,876 | 4.0 | N/A | N/A |
| Valley National Bank | 995,391 | 8.9 | 447,278 | 4.0 | 670,917 | 6.0 |
| Tier I Leverage Capital | | | | | | |
| Valley | 1,281,038 | 9.1 | 563,256 | 4.0 | N/A | N/A |
| Valley National Bank | 995,391 | 7.1 | 562,346 | 4.0 | 702,932 | 5.0 |

N/A - not applicable

Valley's capital position included \$176.3 million of its outstanding trust preferred securities issued by capital trusts as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. Upon the adoption of FIN 46 in 2003, Valley de-consolidated its capital trust. In March 2005, the Federal Reserve Board issued a final rule that would continue to allow the inclusion of trust preferred securities in Tier I capital, but with stricter quantitative limits. The new quantitative limits are scheduled to become effective on March 31, 2011. The aggregate amount of trust preferred securities and certain other capital elements would be limited to 25 percent of Tier I capital elements, net of goodwill. The amount of trust preferred securities and certain other elements in excess of the limit could be included in total capital, subject to restrictions. Based on the final rule, Valley included all of its outstanding trust preferred securities in Tier I capital at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. See Note 12 for additional information.

Table of Contents

Book value per share amounted to \$7.75 at June 30, 2009 and \$7.56 at December 31, 2008. Tangible book value per share amounted to \$5.50 at June 30, 2009 and \$5.30 at December 31, 2008. Tangible book value, which is a non-GAAP measure, is computed by dividing shareholders equity less goodwill and other intangible assets by common shares outstanding, as follows:

| | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| | (\$ in thousands) | |
| Common shares outstanding | 141,843,774 | 141,775,232 |
| Shareholders equity | \$ 1,318,896 | \$ 1,363,609 |
| Less: Preferred stock | 219,333 | 291,539 |
| Less: Goodwill and other intangible assets | 320,043 | 321,100 |
| Tangible shareholders equity | \$ 779,520 | \$ 750,970 |
| | | |
| Tangible book value per common share | \$ 5.50 | \$ 5.30 |

Management believes the tangible book value per share ratio provides information useful to management and investors in understanding our underlying operational performance, our business and performance trends and facilitates comparisons with the performance of others in the financial services industry. This non-GAAP financial measure should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for or superior to financial measures calculated in accordance with GAAP.

The primary source of capital growth is through retention of earnings. Our rate of earnings retention is derived by dividing undistributed earnings per common share by net income per common share. Primarily due to net trading losses, an industry-wide FDIC special assessment, and other-than-temporary impairment of charges on private label mortgage-backed securities classified as available for sale, our cash dividend pay-out per common share for the six months ended June 30, 2009, was greater than our earnings for the same period, thereby causing our earnings retention to be zero for the same period. The retention ratio for the comparable six months ended June 30, 2008 was 31.0 percent. Cash dividends declared amounted to \$0.38 per common share for both the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. Under Bank Interagency Guidance, the Office of the Controller of the Currency has cautioned banks to look at the dividend payout ratio to insure that banks are able to lend to credit worthy borrowers. The Board continued the cash dividend unchanged during the second quarter of 2009 but, consistent with its conservative philosophy, the Board is committed to examine and weigh relevant facts and considerations, including its commitment to shareholder value, each time it makes a cash dividend decision in this economic environment.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

See page 50 for a discussion of interest rate sensitivity.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Valley's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), with the assistance of other members of Valley's management, have evaluated the effectiveness of Valley's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on such evaluation, Valley's CEO and CFO have concluded that Valley's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Valley's CEO and CFO have also concluded that there have not been any changes in Valley's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Valley's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Valley's management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, provides reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. The design of a control system reflects resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because there are inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within Valley have been or will be detected.

These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. There can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In the normal course of business, we may be a party to various outstanding legal proceedings and claims. There have been no material changes in the legal proceedings previously disclosed in Part I, Item 3 of Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

An investment in our securities is subject to risks inherent in our business. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below, in addition to the risk factors previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

We Are a Holding Company and Depend on Our Subsidiaries for Dividends, Distributions and Other Payments.

We are a separate and distinct legal entity from our banking and non-banking subsidiaries and depend on dividends, distributions, and other payments from our banking and non-banking subsidiaries to fund cash dividend payments on our common and preferred stock and to fund most payments on our other obligations. Regulations relating to capital requirements affect the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends and other distributions to us and to make loans to us. Additionally, if our subsidiaries' earnings are not sufficient to make dividend payments to us while maintaining adequate capital levels, we may not be able to make dividend payments to our common and preferred shareholders or interest payments on our junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts. Furthermore, our right to participate in a distribution of assets upon a subsidiary's liquidation or reorganization is subject to the prior claims of the subsidiary's creditors.

We May Reduce or Eliminate the Cash Dividend on Our Common Stock.

Holders of our common stock are only entitled to receive such cash dividends as our Board of Directors may declare out of funds legally available for such payments. Although we have historically declared cash dividends on our common stock, we are not required to do so and may reduce or eliminate our common stock cash dividend in the future. This could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. Moreover, as long as there are senior preferred shares outstanding, no dividends may be paid on our common stock unless all dividends on the senior preferred shares have been paid in full. Also, as a bank holding company, our ability to declare and pay dividends is dependent on certain federal regulatory considerations including the guidelines of the Federal Reserve Board regarding capital adequacy and dividends.

Table of Contents*An Increased Valuation of Our Junior Subordinated Debentures Issued to VNB Capital Trust I May Adversely Impact Our Net Income and Earnings Per Share.*

Effective January 1, 2007, we elected early adoption of SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option, which generally permits the measurement of selected eligible financial instruments at fair value at specified election dates. At adoption, we elected to carry the junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I at fair value. We measure the fair value of these junior subordinated debentures using exchange quoted prices in active markets for similar assets (Level 2 inputs under SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements), specifically the trust preferred securities issued by the VNB Capital Trust I, which contain identical terms as our junior subordinated debentures. As a result, any increase in the market quoted price, or fair market value, of our trust preferred securities will result in a commensurate increase in the liability required to be recorded for the junior subordinated debentures with an offsetting non-cash charge against our earnings. The non-cash charge against our earnings does not impact our liquidity or our regulatory capital. We cannot predict whether or to what extent we would be required to take a non-cash charge against earnings related to the change in fair value of our junior subordinated debentures in future periods. Furthermore, changes in the law and regulations or other factors could require us to redeem the junior subordinated debentures at par value. If we are carrying the junior subordinated debentures at a fair value below par value when such redemption occurs, we will be required to record a charge against earnings in the period in which the redemption occurred.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

There were no purchases of equity securities by the issuer or affiliated purchasers during the three months ended June 30, 2009.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

On April 14, 2009, the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Valley National Bancorp was held. A total of 115,212,807 of Valley's shares were present or represented by proxy at the meeting. Valley's shareholders took the following actions:

Proposal #1 Voted on the election of 15 persons, named in the Proxy Statement, to serve as directors of Valley for the ensuing year constituting the entire Board of Directors. All director nominees were elected and there was no solicitation in opposition to management's nominees as listed in the Proxy Statement. The following is a list of directors elected at the Annual Meeting with the number of votes For and Withheld. There were no abstentions and broker non-votes with respect to the election of directors.

| Name | Number of Votes | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| | For | Withheld |
| Andrew B. Abramson | 111,893,666 | 3,319,134 |
| Pamela R. Bronander | 112,099,725 | 3,113,075 |
| Eric P. Edelstein | 112,031,097 | 3,181,703 |
| Mary J. Steele Guilfoile | 110,739,227 | 4,473,574 |
| Graham O. Jones | 110,797,730 | 4,415,071 |
| Walter H. Jones, III | 111,715,662 | 3,497,139 |
| Gerald Korde | 111,976,351 | 3,236,450 |
| Michael L. LaRusso | 112,125,192 | 3,087,608 |
| Marc J. Lenner | 112,189,989 | 3,022,811 |
| Gerald H. Lipkin | 111,777,219 | 3,435,582 |
| Robinson Markel | 92,057,164 | 23,155,637 |
| Richard S. Miller | 92,067,180 | 23,145,621 |
| Barnett Rukin | 112,074,505 | 3,138,296 |
| Suresh L. Sani | 111,508,590 | 3,704,211 |
| Robert C. Soldoveri | 111,966,991 | 3,245,810 |

Table of Contents

Proposal #2 Ratified the appointment of KPMG LLP as Valley's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009.

| | Number of Votes |
|------------------|----------------------------|
| For | 113,229,127 |
| Against/Withheld | 1,541,960 |
| Abstained | 471,883 |
| Broker Non-Votes | |

Proposal #3 Approved Valley's 2009 Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan.

| | Number of Votes |
|------------------|----------------------------|
| For | 70,360,522 |
| Against/Withheld | 8,823,808 |
| Abstained | 3,276,559 |
| Broker Non-Votes | 32,782,090 |

Proposal #4 Approved, on a non-binding basis, the compensation of Valley's named executive officers as determined by the Compensation and Human Resources Committee.

| | Number of Votes |
|------------------|----------------------------|
| For | 94,766,066 |
| Against/Withheld | 15,958,565 |
| Abstained | 4,518,329 |
| Broker Non-Votes | 19 |

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

(3) *Articles of Incorporation and By-laws:*

A. Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant.*

B. By-laws of the Registrant, as amended are incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's Form 10-K Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2003.

(10.1) Equity Distribution Agreement, dated June 8, 2009, by and among Valley National Bancorp, Stifel, Nicolaus & Company and RBC Capital Markets Corporation, as incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Form 8-K Current Report filed on June 9, 2009.

(31.1) Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) signed by Gerald H. Lipkin, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company.*

(31.2) Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) signed by Alan D. Eskow, Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company.*

(32) Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed by Gerald H. Lipkin, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and Alan D. Eskow, Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company.*

* Filed herewith

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP

(Registrant)

Date: August 7, 2009

/s/ Gerald H. Lipkin
Gerald H. Lipkin
Chairman of the Board, President

and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 7, 2009

/s/ Alan D. Eskow
Alan D. Eskow
Senior Executive Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer