

CARMAX INC
Form DEF 14A
April 30, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No. __)

Filed by the Registrant Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

CarMax, Inc.
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which the transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which the transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of the transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of the transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Dear Fellow CarMax Shareholders:

I am pleased to invite you to attend the 2014 annual meeting of CarMax, Inc. shareholders, which will be held on Monday, June 23, 2014, in Richmond, Virginia, just down the street from where we opened our first superstore more than 20 years ago. The attached notice of annual meeting and proxy statement are your guides to the meeting.

We are once again providing live audio coverage of the annual meeting from the CarMax investor relations website at investor.carmax.com. A replay of the annual meeting will be available on this website after the meeting. We hope that this will allow those of you who are unable to attend the meeting in person to hear management discuss this year's results.

Whether or not you will be attending the annual meeting, your vote is very important to us. I encourage you to cast your ballot by Internet, by telephone, by mail (if you request a paper copy) or in person at the annual meeting.

On behalf of the Board of Directors, I would like to thank you for your continued trust in CarMax. I look forward to seeing you at the annual meeting.

Sincerely,

William R. Tiefel
Chairman of the Board of Directors
May 5, 2014

NOTICE OF 2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

When: Monday, June 23, 2014, at 1:00 p.m., Eastern Time

Where: Hilton Richmond Hotel, Short Pump
12042 West Broad Street
Richmond, VA 23233

- Items of Business:**
- (1) To elect the ten directors named in the proxy statement to our Board of Directors.
 - (2) To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm.
 - (3) To vote on an advisory resolution to approve the compensation of our named executive officers.
 - (4) To transact any other business that may properly come before the annual meeting or any postponements or adjournments thereof.

Who May Vote: You may vote if you owned CarMax common stock at the close of business on April 15, 2014.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Eric M. Margolin
Senior Vice President,
General Counsel and Corporate Secretary
May 5, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>PROXY SUMMARY</u> | 1 |
| PROPOSAL ONE: <u>ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u> | 5 |
| <u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u> | 11 |
| <u>Overview</u> | 11 |
| <u>Independence</u> | 11 |
| <u>Board Leadership Structure</u> | 12 |
| <u>Board Committees</u> | 12 |
| <u>Board and Committee Meetings</u> | 14 |
| <u>Selection of Directors</u> | 14 |
| <u>Board's Role in Succession Planning</u> | 15 |
| <u>Board's Role in Risk Oversight</u> | 15 |
| <u>Related Person Transactions</u> | 16 |
| <u>Shareholder Communication with Directors</u> | 17 |
| PROPOSAL TWO: <u>RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u> | 18 |
| <u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u> | 19 |
| <u>AUDITOR FEES AND PRE-APPROVAL POLICY</u> | 20 |
| PROPOSAL THREE: <u>ADVISORY RESOLUTION TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | 21 |
| <u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u> | 22 |
| <u>Overview</u> | 22 |
| <u>Executive Summary</u> | 22 |
| <u>How We Make Compensation Decisions</u> | 24 |
| <u>What We Pay and Why: Elements of Compensation</u> | 27 |
| <u>Base Salary</u> | 27 |
| <u>Annual Incentive Bonus</u> | 27 |
| <u>Long-Term Equity Awards</u> | 29 |
| <u>Compensation Mix</u> | 32 |
| <u>Additional Elements of Compensation</u> | 32 |
| <u>Additional Information</u> | 33 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS CONTINUED

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>COMPENSATION AND PERSONNEL COMMITTEE REPORT</u> | 37 |
| <u>COMPENSATION TABLES</u> | 38 |
| <u>Summary Compensation Table</u> | 38 |
| <u>All Other Compensation Table</u> | 39 |
| <u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table</u> | 40 |
| <u>Outstanding Equity Awards Table</u> | 41 |
| <u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table</u> | 43 |
| <u>Pension Benefits Table</u> | 43 |
| <u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table</u> | 45 |
| <u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control</u> | 46 |
| <u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u> | 51 |
| <u>CARMAX SHARE OWNERSHIP</u> | 53 |
| <u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u> | 56 |

PROXY SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. For more complete information, please review this entire proxy statement and CarMax's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended February 28, 2014.

FISCAL 2014 RESULTS

We achieved record revenues and net earnings in fiscal 2014. Annual highlights included the following:

| | |
|--|---|
| Store Growth | We opened 13 superstores in fiscal 2014. We plan to open another 13 superstores in fiscal 2015 and 10 to 15 superstores in each of the next two fiscal years. |
| Revenues/Earnings | We achieved top and bottom-line growth. Revenues rose 15%, to a record of \$12.57 billion. Net earnings rose 13%, to a record of \$492.6 million. |
| Units | Total used unit sales increased 18% and comparable store used unit sales increased 12%. Total wholesale unit sales increased 5%. |
| CarMax Auto Finance | CarMax Auto Finance ("CAF") continued to generate strong profits, finishing the year with income of \$336.2 million, an increase of 12% over the prior year. |
| Share Repurchase | We continued our share repurchase program in fiscal 2014, buying back shares with a market value of approximately \$306 million. |
| Tenth Year on Fortune "Best Companies" List | We were named by Fortune magazine as one of its "100 Best Companies to Work For" for the tenth year in a row. |

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE HIGHLIGHTS

Annual election of all directors (adopted in 2013)

Majority voting for directors (implemented in 2011)

Substantial majority of directors are independent (9 of 10)

Independent Board Chair

Shareholder rights plan expired in 2012 and was not renewed

Annual “say on pay” vote

Board oversight of risk management program

Executive and director stock ownership guidelines

PROXY SUMMARY CONTINUED

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| When | June 23, 2014 at 1:00 p.m., E.T. |
| Where | Hilton Richmond Hotel, Short Pump 12042 West Broad Street Richmond, VA 23233 |
| Who May Attend | All shareholders as of the record date may attend the meeting. |
| Record Date | April 15, 2014 |
| Live Audio Webcast | Available at investor.carmax.com |

VOTING MATTERS AND BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS

| <u>Agenda Item</u> | <u>Board Recommendation</u> | <u>Page of Proxy Statement</u> |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1. Election of Ten Directors | FOR each nominee | 5 |
| 2. Ratification of Auditors | FOR | 18 |
| 3. Advisory Approval of Executive Compensation | FOR | 21 |

PROPOSAL ONE:

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

We are asking you to vote “FOR” the following candidates for election to our Board of Directors.

| <u>Nominee</u> | <u>Age</u> | <u>Director Since</u> | <u>Independent</u> | <u>Principal Occupation</u> | <u>Committee Membership</u> |
|--------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Ronald E. Blaylock | 54 | 2007 | Yes | Founder and Managing Partner of GenNx360 Capital Partners, a private-equity buyout fund | Compensation and Personnel |
| Thomas J. Folliard | 49 | 2006 | No | President and Chief Executive Officer of CarMax, Inc. | N/A |
| Rakesh Gangwal | 60 | 2011 | Yes | Former Chief Executive Officer of US Airways Group, Inc. and Worldspan Technologies, Inc., a provider of information technology services to the travel industry | Nominating and Governance |
| | 67 | 2002 | Yes | | |

Edgar Filing: CARMAX INC - Form DEF 14A

Jeffrey E.
Garten

Juan Trippe Professor in the Nominating and
Practice of International Trade, Governance
Finance and Business at the
Yale School of Management
and Chairman of Garten
Rothkopf, an international
consulting firm

Shira Goodman53

2007

Yes

President, North American Compensation and
Commercial of Staples, Inc. Personnel

2

PROXY SUMMARY CONTINUED

| <u>Nominee</u> | <u>Age</u> | <u>Director Since</u> | <u>Independent</u> | <u>Principal Occupation</u> | <u>Committee Membership</u> |
|----------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| W. Robert Grafton | 73 | 2003 | Yes | Retired Managing Partner-Chief Executive, Andersen Worldwide S.C. | Audit |
| Edgar H. Grubb | 74 | 2007 | Yes | Retired Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Transamerica Corporation, a leading insurance and financial services company | Nominating and Governance |
| Mitchell D. Steenrod | 47 | 2011 | Yes | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Pilot Travel Centers LLC, the nation's largest operator of travel centers and truck stops | Audit |
| Thomas G. Stenberg | 65 | 2003 | Yes | Managing General Partner of the Highland Consumer Fund at Highland Capital Partners; Founder and Chairman Emeritus of the Board of Staples, Inc. | Compensation and Personnel |
| William R. Tiefel | 80 | 2002 | Yes | Chairman of the Board of CarMax, Inc., retired Vice Chairman of Marriott International, Inc. and Chairman Emeritus of The Ritz-Carlton Hotel Company, LLC | Audit |

PROPOSAL TWO:**RATIFICATION OF AUDITORS**

We are asking you to ratify the appointment by the Audit Committee of KPMG LLP ("KPMG") as our independent auditors for fiscal 2015. The following table summarizes the fees billed by KPMG for fiscal 2014 and 2013.

| | Audit Fees | Audit-Related Fees | Tax Fees | Other Fees | Total Fees |
|-------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Fiscal 2014 | \$1,034,500 | \$437,800 | \$109,000 | — | \$1,581,300 |
| Fiscal 2013 | \$998,500 | \$376,000 | \$62,700 | — | \$1,437,200 |

**PROPOSAL THREE:
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

We are asking you to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement. At our last two annual meetings, shareholders expressed strong support for our executive compensation program, with more than 92% and 97% of votes cast in 2013 and 2012, respectively, voting in favor of our program.

We strive to tie pay to performance. The following charts illustrate the relationship over the last three fiscal years between our performance, based on the metrics of net earnings and revenues, and the total direct compensation (base salary, annual incentive bonus and long-term equity grants) of our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), as reported in our

proxy statements.



PROXY SUMMARY CONTINUED

We also strive to align the interests of our executives with the interests of our shareholders. The following charts illustrate how most of our CEO's total direct compensation in fiscal 2014 was performance-based and how most of that performance-based compensation was tied to our long-term performance.

CEO Total Direct Compensation

CEO Performance-Based Compensation

You will find additional information on our executive compensation program beginning on page 22. This information includes a chart on page 23 describing changes we made to the compensation of our named executive officers in fiscal 2014.

NEXT YEAR'S ANNUAL MEETING

Expected Date of 2015 Annual Meeting

June 22, 2015

Deadline for Shareholder Proposals

January 5, 2015



PROPOSAL ONE — ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

We are asking you to vote for the election of the ten director nominees listed on the following pages. Our Board has nominated these ten individuals at the recommendation of our independent Nominating and Governance Committee. All of the nominees are currently directors and all attended the 2013 annual meeting.

Our Board is declassified. This means that each director stands for election for a one-year term every year.

Prior to June 2013, our Board was divided into three classes with staggered three-year terms. At the June 2013 annual meeting, however, we asked shareholders to eliminate this staggered, or “classified,” board structure by approving amendments to our Articles of Incorporation to implement the annual election of all directors. Our shareholders approved these amendments. Accordingly, each of our directors is standing for election to hold office until our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders.

Each nominee must receive a majority of the votes cast.

CarMax uses a majority vote standard for the election of directors. This means that to be elected in uncontested elections, each nominee must be approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast.

Each nominee has consented to being named in this proxy statement and to serve if elected. If any nominee is not available to serve—for reasons such as death or disability—your proxy will be voted for a substitute nominee if the Board nominates one.

The following pages include information about the nominees. This information includes a summary of the specific experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led to the conclusion that each person should serve as a CarMax director.

The Board recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees.

PROPOSAL ONE continued

Ronald E. Blaylock

Thomas J. Folliard

Director since: 2007

Age: 54

Director since: 2006

Age: 49

Independent

MR. BLAYLOCK is the founder and Managing Partner of GenNx360 Capital Partners, a private-equity buyout fund focused on industrial business-to-business companies. Prior to founding GenNx360 in 2006, Mr. Blaylock was chief executive officer of Blaylock & Company, a full-service investment banking firm that he founded in 1993. Previously, Mr. Blaylock held senior management positions with

MR. FOLLIARD has been the President and Chief Executive Officer of CarMax since 2006. He joined CarMax in 1993 as senior buyer and became director of purchasing in 1994. Mr. Folliard was promoted to vice president of merchandising in 1996, senior vice president of store operations in 2000, executive vice president of store operations in 2001 and president and chief executive officer in 2006.

PaineWebber and
Citigroup.

**Other Current
Directorships**

**Other Current
Directorships**

Radio One, Inc. and
W. R. Berkley
Corporation.

PulteGroup, Inc.

**Other Directorships
within Past 5 Years**

**Other Directorships
within Past 5 Years**

None.

None.

Qualifications

Qualifications

Mr. Blaylock's experience managing two successful investment enterprises, as well as his considerable finance experience, qualify him to serve on our Board. Further, Mr. Blaylock's years of relevant experience growing companies and serving on other public company boards enable him to provide additional insight to our Board and its committees.

As the chief executive of CarMax, Mr. Folliard leads the day-to-day operations of CarMax and is responsible for establishing and executing the Company's strategic plans. His significant experience in the auto retail industry, his tenure with CarMax and his motivational leadership of more than 20,000 CarMax associates provide the Board with unique insight into the Company and qualify him to serve on our Board.

PROPOSAL ONE CONTINUED

Rakesh Gangwal

Jeffrey E. Garten

Director since: 2011 **Director since: 2002**

Age: 60

Age: 67

Independent

Independent

MR. GANGWAL is the former Chief Executive Officer of US Airways Group, Inc. and Worldspan Technologies, Inc. From 2003 to 2007, Mr. Gangwal served as chairman, president and chief executive officer of Worldspan Technologies, Inc., a provider of travel and information technology services to the travel and transportation industry. From 2002 to 2003, he was involved in various personal business endeavors, including private equity and consulting projects. From 1998 until his resignation in 2001, Mr. Gangwal served as president and chief executive officer of US Airways Group, Inc. and US Airways,

MR. GARTEN has been the Juan Trippe Professor in the Practice of International Trade, Finance and Business at the Yale School of Management since 2005 and Chairman of Garten Rothkopf, an international consulting firm, since 2005. He was the Dean of the Yale School of Management from 1995 to 2005. He was the United States Undersecretary of Commerce for International Trade from 1993 to 1995 and previously spent 13 years in investment banking with Lehman Brothers and Blackstone Group. He serves on the board of managers of Standard & Poor's LLC, a division of The

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Inc. and from 1996 to 1998, he was the president and chief operating officer of US Airways Group. He is a co-founder of IndiGo, India's largest low-fare airline.</p> | <p>McGraw-Hill Companies, and is a member of the board of overseers of the International Rescue Committee.</p> |
|--|--|

Other Current Directorships

Other Current Directorships

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>PetSmart, Inc. and Office Depot, Inc.</p> | <p>Aetna Inc. and certain mutual funds of Credit Suisse Asset Management.</p> |
|--|---|

Other Directorships within Past 5 Years

Other Directorships within Past 5 Years

| | |
|--|--------------|
| <p>OfficeMax Incorporated (1998-2013).</p> | <p>None.</p> |
|--|--------------|

Qualifications

Qualifications

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Mr. Gangwal's experience as a chief executive officer, as well as his extensive background in corporate strategy, operations and technology management, provide valuable insight to our Board and qualify him to serve on our Board. Mr. Gangwal's service as a board member of two publicly traded retail companies further qualifies him to serve on our Board.</p> | <p>Mr. Garten's record as a distinguished business scholar and teacher, as well as his years of government service, investment banking work and service to other significant boards of directors, qualify him to serve on our Board. His appreciation of corporate governance, as well as his tenure as a CarMax Board member, provide wisdom, continuity and value to our Board.</p> |
|--|---|

PROPOSAL ONE CONTINUED

Shira Goodman

W. Robert Grafton

Director since: 2007

Director since: 2003

Age: 53

Age: 73

Independent

Independent

MS. GOODMAN has been the President, North American Commercial of Staples, Inc., the world's largest office products company and second largest internet retailer, since February 2014. In her current position, she leads Staples' U.S. and Canadian business units that sell and deliver office products and services directly to businesses. Ms. Goodman joined Staples in 1992 and has held a variety of positions of increasing responsibility in general management, marketing and human resources, including serving as executive vice president, marketing from 2001 to 2009, executive vice president, human resources from 2009

MR. GRAFTON is the retired Managing Partner-Chief Executive, Andersen Worldwide S.C. Andersen Worldwide provided global professional auditing and consulting services through its two service entities, Arthur Andersen and Andersen Consulting. He is a retired certified public accountant and joined Arthur Andersen in 1963. He was elected a member of the Board of Partners, Andersen Worldwide in 1991 and chairman of the Board of Partners in 1994. He served as Managing Partner-Chief Executive from 1997 through 2000.

to 2012, and executive vice president, global growth from 2012 to 2014. From 1986 to 1992, Ms. Goodman worked at Bain & Company in project design, client relationships and case team management.

Other Current Directorships

None.

Other Current Directorships

DiamondRock Hospitality Company (where Mr. Grafton is currently lead director).

Other Directorships within Past 5 Years

None.

Other Directorships within Past 5 Years

SRA International, Inc. (2010-2011).

Qualifications

Ms. Goodman has proven business acumen, having served in various leadership positions at an internationally renowned retailer. Ms. Goodman's experiences in retail marketing, human resources, and business growth at the world's largest office products company all qualify her to serve on our Board. In her current position, Ms. Goodman is

Qualifications

Mr. Grafton's extensive accounting experience, as well as his role as the chief executive of an international audit and consulting firm with more than 100,000 employees, qualify him to serve on our Board. His designation as an "audit committee financial expert" and his years of service as our Audit Committee chairman provide significant and consistent

responsible for leading leadership.
Staples' commercial
business unit that
reported over \$8
billion in sales in the
prior fiscal year.

PROPOSAL ONE CONTINUED

Edgar H. Grubb

Mitchell D. Steenrod

Director since: 2007

Director since: 2011

Age: 74

Age: 47

Independent

Independent

MR. GRUBB is the retired Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Transamerica Corporation, a leading insurance and financial services company. He joined Transamerica in 1989, became executive vice president in 1993 and retired in 1999. From 1986 to 1989, he was the senior vice president and chief financial officer of Lucky Stores, Inc.

MR. STEENROD has been the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Pilot Travel Centers LLC, the nation's largest operator of travel centers and truck stops, since 2004. Mr. Steenrod joined Pilot Travel Centers in 2001 as controller and treasurer. In 2004, he was promoted to senior vice president and chief financial officer. Previously, he spent 12 years with Marathon Oil Company and Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC in a variety of positions of increasing responsibility in accounting, general management and marketing.

Other Current Directorships

Other Current Directorships

CSAA Insurance Group, an AAA affiliate providing auto and property coverage to AAA members in 23 states (where Mr. Grubb is currently chairman of the board) and Auto Club Partners, Inc., an affiliation of ten AAA clubs in the United States.

None.

Other Directorships within Past 5 Years **Other Directorships within Past 5 Years**

None.

None.

Qualifications **Qualifications**

With meaningful experience as the chief financial officer of a public company, Mr. Grubb provides CarMax with his extensive understanding of complex financial and operational issues that public companies confront. His financial acumen, as well as his demonstrated leadership capabilities, qualify him to serve on our Board.

Mr. Steenrod's extensive retail industry and operational experience as well as his experience implementing successful growth strategies, including growing Pilot Travel Centers from more than 200 travel centers to over 500 branded locations over a span of 10 years, qualify him to serve on our Board. Additionally, Mr. Steenrod's extensive financial and accounting experience, including his years of experience as a chief financial officer, strengthens our Board through his understanding of accounting principles,

financial reporting rules
and regulations, and
internal controls.

PROPOSAL ONE CONTINUED

Thomas G. Stemberg **William R. Tiefel**

Director since: 2003 **Director since: 2002**

Age: 65 **Age: 80**

Independent **Independent**

MR. STEMBERG is the Managing General Partner of the Highland Consumer Fund at Highland Capital Partners, a venture capital firm. From 2005 to 2007, he acted as the Venture Partner of Highland Capital Partners. Mr. Stemberg is the founder and Chairman Emeritus of the Board of Staples, Inc., the world's largest office products company and second largest internet retailer. He pioneered the office superstore industry and was chief executive officer of Staples from 1986 to 2002. From 2002 to 2004, Mr. Stemberg served as an executive officer at Staples with the title of Chairman.

MR. TIEFEL has been the Chairman of the Board of CarMax since 2007. He is also the retired Vice Chairman of Marriott International, Inc. and Chairman Emeritus of The Ritz-Carlton Hotel Company, LLC since 2002. He joined Marriott Corporation in 1961. He was named president of Marriott Hotels and Resorts in 1989, president of Marriott Lodging in 1992 and vice chairman of Marriott International and chairman of The Ritz-Carlton Hotel Company in 1998.

**Other Current
Directorships**

PetSmart, Inc.,
lululemon athletica inc.
and Guitar Center, Inc.

**Other Current
Directorships**

None.

**Other Directorships
within Past 5 Years**

None.

**Other Directorships
within Past 5 Years**

Lydian Private Bank
(2005-2011). In
September 2010,
Lydian Private Bank
became a party to a
publicly available
Office of Thrift
Supervision Order to
Cease and Desist
regarding its banking
practices.

Qualifications

Mr. Stemberg's creation and development of the world's leading office products company, a "big-box" retailer that experienced significant growth and profitability under Mr. Stemberg's leadership, provides meaningful insight and knowledge to CarMax. His prior chief executive experience, his board service with various growth retailers, and his current retail-focused venture capital work provide a deep understanding of the retail industry and qualify him to serve on our Board.

Qualifications

Mr. Tiefel's vast leadership experience with a customer-focused, service-oriented lodging and hospitality enterprise qualify him to serve on our Board. His considerable management roles have been valuable to the Board not only as a director, but also as the Board's chairman.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

CarMax is committed to good corporate governance. This section of the proxy statement describes our governance policies and practices and the role our Board plays in shaping them.

Overview

Our business and affairs are managed under the direction of the Board in accordance with the Virginia Stock Corporation Act, our articles of incorporation and our bylaws. The standing committees of the Board are the Audit Committee, the Compensation and Personnel Committee, and the Nominating and Governance Committee.

We have made significant changes to our governance practices in the past three years.

The Board and its committees direct our governance practices. The Board has made significant changes to those practices in the past three years in response to shareholder feedback, evolving best practices and the Board's independent judgment. In 2011, the Board approved the adoption of a majority vote standard for the election of directors. In 2012, the Board allowed CarMax's shareholder rights plan to expire without renewal. Finally, in 2013, we declassified our Board and established annual elections for all directors. These changes are in addition to longstanding good governance practices, including an independent Board chair and a largely independent Board (9 of 10 directors).

The Board has approved documents that memorialize our governance standards and practices. These documents include our bylaws, our corporate governance guidelines and a code of business conduct. These documents, each of which is described below, are available under the "Corporate Governance" link at investor.carmax.com.

Bylaws

Our bylaws regulate the corporate affairs of CarMax. They include provisions relating to shareholder meetings, voting and the nomination of directors.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Our corporate governance guidelines set forth the Board's practices with respect to its responsibilities, qualifications, performance, access to management and independent advisors, compensation, continuing education, and management evaluation and succession. The guidelines also include director stock ownership requirements.

Code of Business Conduct

Our code of business conduct is a cornerstone of our compliance and ethics program. It applies to all CarMax associates and Board members. It includes provisions relating to honest and ethical conduct, compliance with laws, the handling of confidential information and diversity. It explains how to use our associate help line and related website, both of which allow associates to report misconduct anonymously. It also describes our zero-tolerance policy on retaliation for making such reports.

Any amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of this code for our directors or executive officers will be promptly disclosed under the “Corporate Governance” link at investor.carmax.com.

We will send you a printed copy of any of these documents, without charge, upon written request to our Corporate Secretary at CarMax, Inc., 12800 Tuckahoe Creek Parkway, Richmond, Virginia 23238.

Independence

Our Board, in consultation with the Nominating and Governance Committee, evaluates the independence of our directors at least annually. The most recent evaluation took place in April 2014. During this evaluation, the Board considered transactions between the directors (and their immediate family members) and the Company and its affiliates. The Board determined that all of our non-employee directors (Ms. Goodman and Messrs. Blaylock, Gangwal, Garten, Grafton, Grubb, Steenrod, Stenberg and Tiefel) are independent under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”). Mr. Folliard is not independent because he is an executive officer of CarMax.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CONTINUED

In assessing independence, the Board considered transactions not just between CarMax and the individual directors themselves (and their immediate family members), but also between CarMax and entities associated with the directors or their immediate family members. The Board's review included the following transactions:

Ms. Goodman is an officer of Staples, Inc. CarMax purchased goods and services from Staples, Inc. in the ordinary course of business in fiscal 2014. The amount that CarMax paid to Staples, Inc. in each of the last three fiscal years did not exceed the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the total revenue of Staples, Inc. in each year.

Each of Messrs. Blaylock, Gangwal and Garten are non-employee directors of companies that did business with CarMax in fiscal 2014. These companies are, respectively, RadioOne, Inc., Office Depot, Inc. and Aetna Inc. In addition, Mr. Gangwal was formerly a non-employee director of OfficeMax Incorporated, which did business with CarMax in fiscal 2014. All of these business relationships involved the supply of goods or services to CarMax in the ordinary course of business.

The Board determined that none of the relationships it considered impaired the independence of the directors.

Board Leadership Structure

CarMax has an independent Board chairman.

CarMax has historically split the roles of CEO and Board chairman. Mr. Folliard has been our CEO since 2006, while Mr. Tiefel, a director since 2002, has served as the independent chairman of the Board since 2007. As our Board chairman, Mr. Tiefel is responsible for chairing Board meetings and meetings of shareholders, setting the agendas for Board meetings, and presiding over executive sessions of the independent directors. Mr. Folliard oversees the day-to-day affairs of CarMax and directs the formulation and implementation of our strategic plans. We believe that this leadership structure is currently the most appropriate for CarMax because it allows our CEO to focus primarily on our business strategy and operations while leveraging the experience of our chairman to direct the business of the Board. The Board periodically reviews this structure and elects its chairman annually.

Our Board recognizes that, depending on the circumstances, a different leadership model might be appropriate. The Board has no fixed policy on whether the roles of chairman and CEO should be separate or combined, which maintains flexibility based on CarMax's needs and the Board's assessment of the Company's leadership. Although the Board has no fixed policy on this issue, our corporate governance guidelines provide that in the event the CEO is elected chairman, the Board will appoint a lead independent director to serve in accordance with the Company's Lead Independent Director Charter.

Board Committees

Each committee is composed solely of independent directors.

The Board has three standing committees: Audit, Compensation and Personnel, and Nominating and Governance. Each committee is composed solely of independent directors as that term is defined in applicable rules of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and the NYSE. Each committee has a charter that describes the committee’s responsibilities. These charters are available under the “Corporate Governance” link at investor.carmax.com or upon written request to our Corporate Secretary at CarMax, Inc., 12800 Tuckahoe Creek Parkway, Richmond, Virginia 23238.

The table below summarizes the responsibilities of the three committees.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CONTINUED

| <u>Committee</u> | <u>Current Members</u> | <u>Responsibilities</u> |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Audit | W. Robert Grafton (Chair) Mitchell D. Steenrod William R. Tiefel | <p>The Audit Committee assists in the Board’s oversight of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •the integrity of our financial statements; •our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; •the independent auditors’ qualifications, performance and independence; and •the performance of our internal audit function. <p>The Audit Committee retains and approves all fees paid to the independent auditors, who report directly to the Committee. Each member of the Audit Committee is financially literate, with Messrs. Grafton and Steenrod considered audit committee financial experts under our corporate governance guidelines and the standards of the NYSE and the SEC.</p> <p>The Audit Committee’s report to shareholders can be found on page 19.</p> |
| Compensation and Personnel | Thomas G. Stemberg (Chair) Ronald E. Blaylock Shira Goodman | <p>The Compensation and Personnel Committee assists in the Board’s oversight of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •our executive compensation philosophy; •our executive and director compensation programs, including related risks; •salaries, short- and long-term incentives and other benefits and perquisites for our CEO and other executive officers, including any severance agreements; and •the administration of our incentive compensation plans and all equity-based plans. <p>The Compensation and Personnel Committee has sole authority to retain and terminate a compensation consultant, as well as to approve the consultant’s fees.</p> <p>The Compensation and Personnel Committee’s report to shareholders can be found on page 37.</p> |
| Nominating and Governance | Edgar H. Grubb (Chair) Rakesh Gangwal Jeffrey E. Garten | <p>The Nominating and Governance Committee assists in the Board’s oversight of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Board organization and membership, including by identifying individuals qualified to become members of the Board, considering director nominees submitted by shareholders, and recommending director nominees to the Board; |

- management succession planning, including for our CEO; and
- our corporate governance guidelines.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CONTINUED**Board and Committee Meetings**

Our Board met four times in fiscal 2014 and our Board committees met a combined 22 times in fiscal 2014. Each director attended 75% or more of the total number of meetings of the Board and the committees on which he or she served. The average attendance of all directors in fiscal 2014 was 98%. We expect our directors to attend the annual meeting of shareholders and all of our directors who were directors at the time of the 2013 annual meeting of shareholders did so.

Our independent directors meet in executive session, without management present, at each regularly scheduled Board meeting. As independent chairman, Mr. Tiefel presides over these executive sessions.

The table below lists the number of Board and committee meetings in fiscal 2014 and discloses each director's attendance at those meetings.

| Director | Board | Audit | Compensation | Nominating |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | and Personnel | and Governance |
| Ronald E. Blaylock | 4 | | 5 | |
| Thomas J. Folliard | 4 | | | |
| Rakesh Gangwal | 4 | | | 5 |
| Jeffrey E. Garten | 4 | | | 5 |
| Shira Goodman | 4 | | 5 | |
| W. Robert Grafton | 4 | 12 * | | |
| Edgar H. Grubb | 4 | | 5 | * |
| Mitchell D. Steenrod | 3 | 11 | | |
| Thomas G. Stemberg | 4 | | 5 | * |
| Beth A. Stewart ^(a) | 4 | 12 | | |
| William R. Tiefel | 4 | * | (b) | |
| TOTAL MEETINGS | 4 | 12 | 5 | 5 |

* Chairman

(a) Ms. Stewart resigned from the Board effective April 15, 2014.

(b) Mr. Tiefel was appointed to his current seat on the Audit Committee on March 21, 2014, after the end of fiscal 2014.

Selection of Directors

| Ø | Criteria |
|--|--|
| We believe our Board should include directors with diverse backgrounds. | The Board and the Nominating and Governance Committee believe that the Board should include directors with diverse backgrounds and that directors should have, at a minimum, high integrity, sound judgment and significant experience or skills that will benefit the Company. In addition, the Committee takes into account a number of factors in assessing director nominees, including the current size of the Board, the particular challenges facing CarMax, and the nominee’s character, reputation, experience, independence from management and ability to devote the requisite time to the Company. |

Although we do not have a written policy with respect to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees, we consider and value diversity in our director selection process. Our code of business conduct defines diversity as the celebration of all people and their individual talents and the embracing of new ideas and new ways of thinking to maximize the potential of the overall organization. Through its consideration of the factors listed above, the Nominating and Governance Committee seeks directors with diverse backgrounds to maximize the potential of the Board. We believe that the diverse backgrounds and experiences of our current directors demonstrate the Committee’s success.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CONTINUED

Ø

Process

The Nominating and Governance Committee screens and recommends candidates for nomination by the Board. The Committee may consider input from several sources, including Board members, management, shareholders and outside search firms. The Committee evaluates candidates in the same manner regardless of the source of the recommendation, using the criteria summarized above.

Shareholders may send their recommendations for director candidates to the attention of our Corporate Secretary at CarMax, Inc., 12800 Tuckahoe Creek Parkway, Richmond, Virginia 23238. Shareholders who wish to include director nominations in our proxy statement or nominate directors directly at an annual meeting must follow the instructions under “Shareholder Proposal Information” on page 59.

Board’s Role in Succession Planning

The Board oversees the recruitment, development and retention of executive talent. As part of its oversight, the Board regularly reviews short- and long-term succession plans for the Chief Executive Officer and other senior management positions. In assessing possible CEO candidates, the independent directors identify the skills, experience and other attributes they believe are required to be an effective CEO in light of CarMax’s business strategies, opportunities and challenges.

The Board also considers its own succession. In doing so, the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board take into account, among other things, the needs of the Board and the Company in light of the overall composition of the Board with a view to achieving a balance of skills, experience and attributes that would be beneficial to the Board’s oversight role.

Board’s Role in Risk Oversight

Our Board takes seriously its responsibility to oversee risks to CarMax and its strategic, operational and financial goals. The Board discharges this responsibility through a risk governance framework designed to:

- identify critical risks;
- allocate responsibilities for overseeing those risks to the Board and its committees; and
- evaluate the Company’s risk management processes.

The Board does not view risk in isolation. Rather, it considers risks in virtually every business decision and as part of CarMax's business strategy. This consideration occurs in the ordinary course of the Board's business and is not tied to any of the formal processes described below, although it is enhanced by those processes.

The following table describes the components of CarMax's risk governance framework.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CONTINUED

Assignment of Risk Categories to Board and its Committees

The Board has assigned oversight of certain key risk categories to either the full Board or one of its committees. For each category, management reports regularly to the Board or the assigned committee, as appropriate, describing CarMax's strategies for monitoring, managing and mitigating risks that fall within that category.

Examples of the risk categories assigned to each committee and the full Board are described below. This list is not comprehensive and is subject to change:

Audit Committee: oversees risks related to financial reporting, compliance and ethics, information technology, and legal and regulatory issues.

Compensation and Personnel Committee: oversees risks related to human resources and compensation practices.

Nominating and Governance Committee: oversees risks related to government affairs and CarMax's reputation.

Board: oversees risks related to the economy, competition, finance and strategy.

Enterprise Risk Management

Risk Committee: We have a management-level Risk Committee, which is chaired by Thomas W. Reedy, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), and includes as members more than ten other associates from across CarMax. The Risk Committee meets periodically to identify and discuss the risks facing CarMax.

Board Reporting: The Risk Committee delivers biannual reports to the Board identifying the most significant risks facing the Company.

Board Oversight: On an annual basis, Mr. Reedy, on behalf of the Risk Committee, discusses our procedures for identifying significant risks with the Audit Committee.

Other Processes that Support Risk Oversight and Management

The Board oversees other processes that are not intended primarily to support enterprise risk management, but that assist the Company in identifying and controlling risk. These processes include our compliance and ethics program, our internal audit function, pre-filing review of SEC filings by our management-level disclosure committee, and the work of our independent auditors.

We believe that our Board leadership structure, discussed in detail beginning on page 12, supports the Board's risk oversight function. Our independent chairman and committee chairs set their respective agendas and lead their

respective meetings to ensure strong risk oversight, while our CEO and his management team are charged with managing risk.

Related Person Transactions

Our Board has adopted a written Related Person Transactions Policy that applies to any transaction in which:

§ CarMax or its affiliates is a participant;

§ the amount involved exceeds \$120,000; and

§ the related person involved in the transaction (whether a director, executive officer, owner of more than 5% of our common stock, or an immediate family member of any such person) has a direct or indirect material interest.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CONTINUED

We did not have any related person transactions in fiscal 2014. A copy of our policy is available under the “Corporate Governance” link at investor.carmax.com. The Audit Committee is responsible for applying the Company’s policy and reviewing any related person transaction that is required to be disclosed pursuant to SEC rules. In reviewing related person transactions, the Audit Committee considers, among other things:

§ the related person’s relationship to CarMax;

§ the facts and circumstances of the proposed transaction;

§ the aggregate dollar amount involved in the transaction;

§ the related person’s interest in the transaction, including his or her position or relationship with, or ownership in, an entity that is a party to, or has an interest in, the transaction; and

§ the benefits to CarMax of the proposed transaction and, if applicable, the terms and availability of comparable products and services from unrelated third parties.

The Audit Committee will approve or ratify a related person transaction only if it determines that: (i) the transaction serves the best interests of CarMax and its shareholders; or (ii) the transaction is on terms reasonably comparable to those that could be obtained in arm’s length dealings with an unrelated third party.

We did not have any related person transactions in fiscal 2014.

Shareholder Communication with Directors

Shareholders or other interested parties wishing to contact the Board or any individual director may send correspondence to CarMax, Inc., c/o Corporate Secretary, 12800 Tuckahoe Creek Parkway, Richmond, Virginia 23238, or may send an e-mail to chairman@carmax.com, which is monitored by Eric M. Margolin, our Corporate Secretary. Mr. Margolin will forward to the Board or appropriate Board member any correspondence that deals with

the functions of the Board or its committees or any other matter that would be of interest to the Board. If the correspondence is unrelated to Board or shareholder matters, it will be forwarded to the appropriate department within the Company for further handling.

PROPOSAL TWO RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We are asking you to ratify the Audit Committee's appointment of KPMG as CarMax's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2015. Although we are not required to seek shareholder ratification, we are doing so as a matter of good corporate governance. If the shareholders do not ratify the appointment of KPMG, the Audit Committee will reconsider its decision. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may change the appointment at any time during the year if it determines that a change would be in the best interests of CarMax and its shareholders. KPMG has served as our independent registered public accounting firm since fiscal 2003.

We expect that representatives of KPMG will attend the Annual Meeting. They will be given the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and to respond to appropriate questions.

The Board recommends a vote **FOR** Proposal Two.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee reports to and acts on behalf of CarMax's Board of Directors by providing oversight of the integrity of the Company's financial statements, the Company's independent and internal auditors, and the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board, which is reviewed annually and is available under the "Corporate Governance" link at investor.carmax.com. The members of the Audit Committee meet the independence and financial literacy requirements of the NYSE and the SEC.

Management is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Company's financial statements and the establishment of effective internal controls over financial reporting. KPMG LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, is responsible for auditing those financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") and expressing an opinion on the conformity of CarMax's audited financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles and on the effectiveness of CarMax's internal controls over financial reporting.

In this context, the Committee has met and held discussions with management, KPMG and the Company's internal auditors, meeting 12 times in fiscal 2014. These meetings have included regular private sessions with each of KPMG and the Company's head of internal audit, as well as regular private sessions with each of the Company's Chief Financial Officer, Controller, and General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer. Management represented to the Committee that the Company's fiscal 2014 consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and the Committee discussed the fiscal 2014 consolidated financial statements with management and KPMG.

The Committee has discussed with KPMG the matters required to be discussed by applicable auditing standards, including significant accounting policies and the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles utilized. The Committee has also received from KPMG the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent auditor's communications with the Audit Committee regarding independence, and the Audit Committee has discussed with KPMG the firm's independence. The Audit Committee concluded that KPMG is independent from the Company and management.

In reliance on these reviews and discussions, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2014, for filing with the SEC.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

W. Robert Grafton, *Chairman*

Mitchell D. Steenrod

William R. Tiefel

AUDITOR FEES AND PRE-APPROVAL POLICY**Auditor Fees and Services**

The following table sets forth fees billed by KPMG for fiscal 2014 and 2013.

| Type of Fee | Years Ended February 28 | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Audit Fees ^(a) | \$ 1,034,500 | \$ 998,500 |
| Audit-Related Fees ^(b) | 437,800 | 376,000 |
| Tax Fees ^(c) | 109,000 | 62,700 |
| All Other Fees ^(d) | | |
| TOTAL FEES | \$ 1,581,300 | \$ 1,437,200 |

This category includes fees associated with the annual audit of CarMax's consolidated financial statements and the (a) audit of CarMax's internal control over financial reporting. It also includes fees associated with quarterly reviews of CarMax's unaudited consolidated financial statements and services in connection with SEC registration statements.

(b) This category includes fees associated with attestation services related to our asset-backed securitizations and XBRL tagging. It also includes fees associated with audits of the financial statements of our benefit plans.

(c) This category includes fees associated with tax compliance, consultation and planning services.

(d) This category includes fees associated with any other services provided.

Approval of Auditor Fees and Services

The Audit Committee's charter provides for pre-approval of audit and non-audit services to be performed by the independent auditors. The Committee typically pre-approves specific types of audit, audit-related and tax services, together with related fee estimate ranges, on an annual basis. The Committee pre-approves all other services on an individual basis throughout the year as the need arises. The Committee has delegated to its chairman the authority to pre-approve independent auditor engagements in an amount not to exceed \$50,000 per engagement. Any such pre-approvals are reported to and ratified by the entire Committee at its next regular meeting.

All audit, audit-related and tax services in fiscal 2014 were pre-approved by the Audit Committee or pre-approved by the Chairman pursuant to his delegated authority and subsequently ratified by the Audit Committee. In all cases, the Audit Committee concluded that the provision of such services by KPMG was compatible with the maintenance of KPMG's independence.

PROPOSAL THREE ADVISORY RESOLUTION TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

We are asking you to approve an advisory resolution approving the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement. This vote is commonly referred to as a “Say on Pay” vote and is required by Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Although this resolution is not binding, we value your opinion and our Compensation and Personnel Committee will consider the outcome of this vote when making future decisions.

We believe our executive compensation program promotes the achievement of positive results for our shareholders, aligns pay and performance, and allows us to attract and retain the talented executives that drive our long-term financial success. We urge you to read the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” section of this proxy statement beginning on page 22, which describes in more detail how our executive compensation program operates and how it is designed to achieve our compensation objectives. We also encourage you to review the “Summary Compensation Table” and other compensation tables and narratives, found on pages 38 through 50.

We have adopted a policy providing for an annual “Say on Pay” vote. Accordingly, the next advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers will occur in 2015.

Our Board recommends that shareholders vote in favor of the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the compensation of the named executive officers of CarMax, Inc. (the “Company”), as disclosed in the Company’s 2014 Proxy Statement pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and the narrative discussion that accompanies the compensation tables, is hereby APPROVED.

The Board recommends a vote **FOR** Proposal Three.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview

The Compensation and Personnel Committee oversees an executive compensation program that is designed to drive the creation of long-term shareholder value. This section describes that program and details the compensation earned by our CEO, CFO and three other most highly compensated executive officers. We refer to these five individuals, listed below, as our “named executive officers”:

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Thomas J. Folliard | President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Folliard joined CarMax in 1993 and has held his current position since 2006. He is also a member of our Board. |
| William D. Nash | Executive Vice President, Human Resources and Administrative Services. Mr. Nash joined CarMax in 1997 and was promoted to his current position in 2012. |
| Thomas W. Reedy | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Reedy joined CarMax in 2003 and was promoted to his current position in 2012. |
| William C. Wood | Executive Vice President, Stores. Mr. Wood joined CarMax in 1993 and was promoted to his current position in 2012. |
| Eric M. Margolin | Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. Mr. Margolin has held this position since 2007. |

Executive Summary

Fiscal 2014 was a record year for CarMax. Highlights of the year include the following:

§ We opened 13 superstores. We plan to open another 13 superstores in fiscal 2015 and 10 to 15 superstores in each of the next two fiscal years.

§ We achieved top and bottom-line growth, with record revenues of \$12.57 billion and record earnings of \$492.6 million, increases of 15% and 13%, respectively.

§ Comparable store used unit sales increased 12% and wholesale unit sales increased 5%.

§ CAF income increased 12% to \$336.2 million.

§ We funded store growth and our share repurchase program without debt while still finishing the year with \$628 million in cash and cash equivalents, up from \$449 million at the end of fiscal 2013.

§ We were named by Fortune magazine as one of its “100 Best Companies to Work For” for the tenth year in a row.

The following chart summarizes the changes we made to the compensation of our named executive officers in fiscal 2014.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS CONTINUED

| Component of Compensation | Changes We Made in Fiscal 2014 | Why We Made These Changes |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Base Salary | 3.5% increase | Same increase given to salaried associates throughout the Company in recognition of successful performance. The Committee determined that the performance of our named executive officers warranted this increase. See page 27 for more detail. |
| Annual Incentive Bonus | Increase target percentages for CEO from 125% to 150%, for EVPs from 60% to 75%, and for SVPs from 40% to 50% | Based on the Committee's decision to provide target annual incentive bonus opportunities in line with the 50 th percentile of our blended peer/survey data. See page 28 for more detail. |
| Annual Incentive Bonus | 120.6% payout versus an 88.3% payout in fiscal 2013 | Based on Company performance measured against pre-determined net income target set at the beginning of fiscal 2014. See pages 28 to 29 for more detail. |
| Long-Term Equity Award (CEO) | 19% increase in grant date fair value | Based on the Committee's decision to reward Mr. Folliard for outstanding performance by granting long-term equity compensation between the 50 th and 75 th percentiles of our blended peer/survey data. These long-term equity awards will vest over a three to four year period and their ultimate value will depend on CarMax's stock price performance. See pages 29 to 31 for more detail. |
| Long-Term Equity Award (other NEOs) | No change in grant date fair value | Based on benchmarking data indicating that maintaining equity awards at prior year levels continued to provide competitive pay opportunities for these named executive officers. See page 31 for more detail. |

In addition to these changes in the amount of compensation, our Compensation and Personnel Committee modified the structure of our long-term equity awards in fiscal 2014. Specifically, the Committee eliminated the modified “single trigger” change-in-control feature in our stock options and market stock units and replaced it with a “double trigger” feature. This means that stock options and market stock units issued subsequent to fiscal 2014 will not vest automatically upon a change-in-control. This decision is explained on page 29.

Performance drives pay at CarMax. Eighty-seven percent of our CEO’s total direct compensation (the sum of base salary, annual incentive bonus and long-term equity) in fiscal 2014 was performance-based. As the charts on page 4 indicate, changes in our CEO’s total direct compensation have been directionally consistent with our revenue and net earnings growth over the past three fiscal years. Our pay-for-performance philosophy does not stop with the CEO. In fiscal 2014, an average of 80% of the total direct compensation of our other named executive officers was performance-based. Compensation mix is discussed in more detail on page 32.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS CONTINUED

How We Make Compensation Decisions

The Compensation and Personnel Committee oversees our executive and director compensation programs and determines all executive officer and director compensation.

Ø Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

CarMax has a pay-for-performance philosophy. The Committee believes that the best way to implement this philosophy is by tying a significant portion of our executives' total direct compensation to the attainment of both annual financial goals and multi-year stock price appreciation.

The Committee has established the following objectives for our executive compensation program:

§ Align the interests of executive officers with the financial interests of our shareholders.

§ Encourage the achievement of our key strategic, operational and financial goals.

§ Link incentive compensation to Company and stock price performance, which the Committee believes promotes a unified vision for senior management and creates common motivation among our executives.

§ Attract, retain and motivate executives with the talent necessary to drive our long-term success.

§ Provide the Committee the flexibility to respond to the continually changing environment in which we operate.

The key elements of our executive compensation program are base salaries, annual incentive bonuses and long-term equity awards. The Committee generally makes determinations regarding long-term equity awards in March, with determinations regarding base salaries and annual incentive bonuses made in April. Although these elements are determined at separate Committee meetings, the Committee makes each decision to further the objectives described above. The specific ways in which each element of compensation supports these objectives are described beginning on page 27.

The Committee recognizes the impact that an adjustment to one element of compensation may have on other elements. For example, an increase in an officer's base salary will result in a larger target annual incentive amount since that amount is determined as a percentage of base salary. Although the Committee considers these relationships between the various elements of compensation—and also considers each executive officer's total compensation—decisions regarding any one element of compensation are not determinative of decisions regarding other elements.

The Committee generally considers the value of stock-based compensation as an element of our executive compensation program at the time of grant of an equity award, not at the time of exercise. Accordingly, the Committee did not consider the realized value of long-term equity compensation when designing and evaluating our executive compensation program.

Ø

Compensation Consultant

The Committee has

retained an independent

compensation consultant.

The Committee has engaged Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. ("FWC"), a compensation consultant, to obtain access to independent compensation data, analysis and advice. Pursuant to its charter, the Committee has the sole authority to hire, oversee and terminate FWC, as well as to approve FWC's fees and any other terms of the engagement. Committee members have direct access to FWC without going through management. FWC provides no services to CarMax other than those it provides to the Committee.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS CONTINUED

The Committee assessed FWC's independence in April 2014 under SEC and NYSE standards and concluded that FWC was independent. The Committee considered, among other factors:

§ whether FWC provided other services to CarMax;

§ the amount of fees paid by CarMax to FWC as a percentage of FWC's total revenue;

§ FWC's policies and procedures designed to prevent conflicts of interest;

§ any business or personal relationship between the individuals advising the Committee and any Committee member;

§ any CarMax stock owned by the individuals advising the Committee; and

§ any business or personal relationship between the individuals advising the Committee, or FWC itself, and an executive officer of CarMax.

FWC attends Committee meetings from time to time and provides analysis and recommendations that inform the Committee's decisions. FWC assisted the Committee in fiscal 2014 by analyzing and providing recommendations with regard to total direct compensation for the Company's CEO and executive and senior vice presidents, the selection of a peer group for purposes of benchmarking executive compensation, and the design of long-term incentive compensation. FWC also assisted the Committee in fiscal 2014 by providing analysis related to long-term equity awards, our internal pay equity (that is, the relationship between the compensation of our CEO and our other named executive officers) and the appropriate performance criteria for the fiscal 2014 annual performance-based bonus.

Ø

Management's Role

Although management does not have any decision-making authority regarding executive compensation, management assists the Committee by recommending base salary levels, annual incentive bonus objectives and targets, and individual long-term equity awards for executives other than the CEO. Management also assists the Committee with the preparation of meeting agendas and prepares materials for those meetings as directed by the Committee.

The Committee has not delegated any authority with respect to the compensation of our executive officers and directors. The Committee, however, has delegated limited authority to our CEO and CFO to grant long-term equity awards to our non-executive officers between regularly scheduled Committee meetings in an amount not to exceed 75,000 shares or units. These awards are subject to our Employee Equity Grant Policy, which is available under the “Corporate Governance” link at investor.carmax.com. The Committee’s practice is to review and ratify any such grant at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

Notwithstanding the Committee’s use of outside advisers and management’s participation in the executive compensation process, the Committee makes all executive compensation decisions using its own independent judgment and analysis.

Ø **Consideration of the Most Recent Advisory “Say-on-Pay” Vote**

At our 2013 annual meeting, shareholders expressed strong support for our executive compensation program, with more than 92% of the votes cast in favor of the program. The Committee was pleased with this response, which followed a similar response at the 2012 annual meeting, at which more than 97% of the votes cast were in favor of the program.

**92% of the votes
cast on last year’s**

**say-on-pay proposal
approved**

Based on these results and the Committee’s independent judgment, the Committee made no material changes to the structure of our executive compensation program in fiscal 2014 beyond replacing the single-trigger feature in our long-term equity awards with a double-trigger feature. This change is described on page 29.

**CarMax’s executive
compensation.**

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS CONTINUED

Ø

Peer Group

The Committee used the following peer group of companies to benchmark the fiscal 2014 compensation disclosed in this proxy statement. The Committee selected this peer group in October 2011 based on an analysis by Hay Group, the Committee's former compensation consultant, and the Committee's independent judgment. These peers fell within a reasonable range (both above and below CarMax) of comparative factors such as revenue, market capitalization, net income, total shareholder return and return on investment. These peers are generally specialty auto retailers, direct competitors or "big box" retailers.

| | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Advance Auto Parts, Inc. | Group 1 Automotive, Inc. |
| AutoNation, Inc. | The Hertz Corporation |
| AutoZone, Inc. | Kohl's Corporation |
| Bed Bath & Beyond Inc. | Penske Automotive Group, Inc. |
| Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc. | PetSmart, Inc. |
| Dollar General Corporation | Ross Stores, Inc. |
| Family Dollar Stores, Inc. | The Sherwin-Williams Company |
| GameStop Corp. | Staples, Inc. |
| Genuine Parts Company | Tractor Supply Company |

In October 2013, the Committee selected a new peer group of companies based on an analysis by FWC and the Committee's independent judgment. The Committee will use this new peer group to benchmark compensation practices for fiscal year 2015. These new peers fell within a reasonable range (both above and below CarMax) of comparative factors such as revenue, market capitalization, net income, assets and one- and three-year total shareholder return. These peers are generally specialty auto retailers, direct competitors or "big box" retailers. This new peer group is listed below.

| | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Advance Auto Parts, Inc. | Kohl's Corporation |
| AutoNation, Inc. | Lowe's Companies, Inc. |
| AutoZone, Inc. | Macy's, Inc. |
| Avis Budget Group, Inc. | PetSmart, Inc. |
| Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc. | Ross Stores, Inc. |
| Dollar General Corporation | The Sherwin-Williams Company |
| eBay Inc. | Southwest Airlines Co. |
| Family Dollar Stores, Inc. | Staples, Inc. |
| Genuine Parts Company | Tractor Supply Company |
| Hertz Global Holdings, Inc. | |

In addition to the peer group, the Committee uses broader survey data to benchmark compensation practices. In fiscal 2014, the Committee considered three national surveys produced by Equilar, Towers Watson and Mercer, respectively, with a focus on executives within the retail/wholesale and automotive industries.

The Committee considers a blend of peer group data and broader survey data in benchmarking compensation. The Committee believes that this mix of data provides the most comprehensive view of executive compensation practices at companies against whom we compete for talent and allows the Committee to ensure that CarMax continues to provide appropriate and competitive compensation. This mix of data also allows the Committee to obtain broader market context with regard to certain positions that may not exist in a comparable form at every company in our peer group or that may not be classified as a named executive officer at every company in our peer group.

The Committee uses the 50th percentile of the blended peer/survey data as a guideline in setting the base salaries and target annual incentive bonus opportunities of our named executive officers. The Committee uses long-term equity awards that are tied to an objective performance metric (stock price) to further reward executive officers when CarMax performs well. If the Company delivers sustained gains in total shareholder return, these long-term equity awards are targeted to provide an opportunity for total direct compensation beyond the median of the blended peer/survey data.

The Committee uses peer group and broader survey data as one of many factors to inform the Committee's compensation decisions. Other factors include individual performance, Company performance, tenure and succession planning.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS CONTINUED**What We Pay and Why: Elements of Compensation**

The key elements of compensation for our named executive officers are base salary, an annual incentive bonus and long-term equity awards. Together, these elements make up total direct compensation.

Base Salary + Annual Incentive + Long-Term Equity Awards = Total Direct Compensation
 Bonus

This section describes these elements and details the amounts of each earned by our named executive officers in fiscal 2014.

Ø

Base Salary

We pay competitive base salaries to retain key officers and attract the new talent necessary for our long-term success. An executive officer's base salary generally reflects the officer's responsibilities, tenure and job performance, as well as the market for the officer's services. The Committee reviews officer base salaries every year, generally in April. When the Committee reviews base salaries, it considers the reports and advice provided by FWC, its independent compensation consultant, as well as the recommendations provided by our CEO (except when setting the CEO's base salary).

At the beginning of fiscal 2014, the Committee approved the following base salary adjustments.

| Name | Prior Base Salary (\$) | Fiscal 2014 Base Salary (\$) | Percentage Increase (%) |
|--------------------|---|---|--|
| Thomas J. Folliard | 1,090,000 | 1,128,150 | 3.5 |
| William D. Nash | 475,000 | 491,625 | 3.5 |
| Thomas W. Reedy | 550,000 | 569,250 | 3.5 |
| William C. Wood | 550,000 | 569,250 | 3.5 |
| Eric M. Margolin | 417,778 | 432,400 | 3.5 |

The Committee increased Mr. Folliard’s base salary by 3.5% based on a review of his individual performance in fiscal 2013. The Committee also approved Mr. Folliard’s recommendation to increase the base salaries for each of the other named executive officers by 3.5% based on the individual contributions that each made to CarMax’s performance in fiscal 2013. These increases of 3.5% were consistent with the base salary increases awarded generally to our salaried associates.

In October 2013, the Committee eliminated a previously provided monthly car allowance and provided a one-time base salary increase to the affected officers in the annualized amount of each officer’s prior car allowance, which amounted to \$10,296 for Mr. Folliard and \$6,396 for each of the other named executive officers. As a result, our named executive officers finished fiscal 2014 with base salaries of \$1,138,446 for Mr. Folliard, \$498,021 for Mr. Nash, \$575,646 for Mr. Reedy, \$575,646 for Mr. Wood, and \$438,796 for Mr. Margolin. As noted on page 29, these base salaries were used in determining each named executive officer’s annual incentive bonus for fiscal 2014.

Ø

Annual Incentive Bonus

We pay annual incentive bonuses to drive the achievement of CarMax’s financial goals. The amount of the incentive bonus depends on our performance as measured against objective performance goals established by the Committee at the beginning of each fiscal year. Bonuses are not guaranteed.

We calculate bonuses using the following formula:

$$\text{Base Salary} \times \frac{\text{Target Percentage of Base Salary}}{\text{Base Salary}} \times \frac{\text{Performance Adjustment}}{\text{Factor}} = \frac{\text{Annual Incentive Bonus}}{\text{Annual Incentive Bonus}}$$

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS CONTINUED

Base salaries, which are the first component of this formula, are discussed above. The “target percentage of base salary” is an individual’s incentive bonus target expressed as a percentage of base salary. This percentage differs among our named executive officers depending on their level of responsibility and is set forth in a written agreement between each officer and the Company. At the outset of fiscal 2014, the Committee increased the target percentages of each of the Company’s President and CEO, Executive Vice Presidents and Senior Vice Presidents, including the five named executive officers, in the amounts set forth below. The Committee adopted these changes to provide our officers with a target annual incentive bonus opportunity in line with the 50th percentile of the blended peer/survey data described above under the heading “Peer Group.”

| Name | Prior | Fiscal 2014 |
|--------------------|--------|-------------------|
| | Target | Target Percentage |
| | (%) | (%) |
| Thomas J. Folliard | 125 | 150 |
| William D. Nash | 60 | 75 |
| Thomas W. Reedy | 60 | 75 |
| William C. Wood | 60 | 75 |
| Eric M. Margolin | 40 | 50 |

The last component of the bonus formula – the “performance adjustment factor” – is a percentage representing the Company’s success in meeting the performance goals set by the Committee at the beginning of each fiscal year.

The following chart describes how the Committee applied this formula in fiscal 2014.

| | |
|---|--|
| <u>Step One: Select</u> Performance Measure | The Committee determined in April 2013 that the performance goals for fiscal 2014 would be based on our fiscal 2014 net income. The Committee believes that tying performance goals to net income aligns management and shareholder interests. |
| <u>Step Two: Select</u> Performance Targets | The Committee then established the following net income targets for fiscal 2014: \$434.3 million as the threshold goal; \$482.5 million as the target goal; \$506.6 million as the intermediate goal and \$530.8 million as the maximum goal. |
| <u>Step Three: Select</u> Performance Adjustment Factors | The Committee then established the following performance adjustment factors for fiscal 2014: |

§ 25% if the threshold goal of \$434.3 million was achieved

§ 100% if the target goal of \$482.5 million was achieved

§ 150% if the intermediate goal of \$506.6 million was achieved

§ 200% if the maximum goal of \$530.8 million was achieved

If the threshold performance goal is not achieved, no incentive bonus is paid. The performance adjustment factors are prorated when our actual performance exceeds a lower performance goal but not the next higher performance goal.

Step Four: Assess

Performance to Targets

The Committee certified in April 2014 that CarMax had achieved net income for fiscal 2014 of \$492.6 million, yielding a performance adjustment factor of 120.6%. The Committee multiplied this percentage by each named executive officer's target incentive amount to arrive at the fiscal 2014 bonus.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS CONTINUED

The following table shows each named executive officer's base salary, incentive target percentage, and target and maximum bonus amounts. The table also shows each officer's actual fiscal 2014 bonus.

| Name | Base Salary (\$) | Incentive Target Percentage (%) | Target Incentive Amount (\$) | Actual | |
|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | | Fiscal 2014 Incentive Bonus (\$) | Maximum Incentive Amount (\$) |
| Thomas J. Folliard | I,138,446 | I50 | I,707,669 | J,059,449 | K,415,338 |
| William D. Nash | L98,021 | O5 | K73,516 | 450,460 | O47,032 |
| Thomas W. Reedy | M75,646 | O5 | L31,735 | M20,672 | 863,469 |
| William C. Wood | M75,646 | O5 | L31,735 | M20,672 | 863,469 |
| Eric M. Margolin | L38,796 | M0 | J19,398 | J64,594 | L38,796 |

During the last five fiscal years, our average performance adjustment factor has been 129.1% (120.6%, 88.3%, 86.4%, 150% and 200% for fiscal 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010), meaning that, on average for the past five years, we have paid our named executive officers an annual incentive bonus of 129.1% of their respective target incentive amounts.

The Committee determines all incentive bonuses in accordance with the CarMax, Inc. Annual Performance-Based Bonus Plan ("Bonus Plan"). We adopted the Bonus Plan as a mechanism to provide annual incentive compensation and to preserve the deductibility of this compensation in accordance with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Bonus Plan provides that the maximum amount payable to any one individual in any one fiscal year is \$5 million. In fiscal 2014, however, the Committee limited the maximum performance adjustment factor to 200%, ensuring that Mr. Folliard's bonus could not exceed \$3,415,338 and that no other individual bonus could exceed \$863,469.

The Bonus Plan authorizes the Committee to reduce the amount of any bonus paid to a named executive officer below the amount that otherwise would be payable. The Committee may also decide not to pay a bonus even when performance goals have been satisfied. Under no circumstances, however, may the Committee increase the amount of any bonus payable under the Bonus Plan above what would be payable to an executive upon application of the relevant performance adjustment factor.

Ø

Long-Term Equity Awards

We grant long-term equity awards to tie our executives' long-term compensation directly to CarMax's stock price and to drive the achievement of our strategic goals. We also believe that long-term equity awards are an important retention tool.

We provide two forms of equity awards to our named executive officers. We provide two kinds of long-term equity awards to our named executive officers: stock options and stock-settled restricted stock units, which we refer to as market stock units ("MSUs"). We grant each of these forms of equity pursuant to the CarMax, Inc. 2002 Stock Incentive Plan ("Stock Incentive Plan"). Each form is described below.

In fiscal 2014, we delivered 75% of the fair value of each long-term equity award to our named executive officers in the form of options and 25% in the form of MSUs. The Committee believes this mix of long-term equity best motivates our executives to drive long-term shareholder value in both appreciating and, to a lesser extent, depreciating market environments.

Our long-term equity awards have historically contained a modified single-trigger feature under which 50% of the award vested automatically upon a change-in-control and the remaining 50% vested automatically upon the one-year anniversary of the change in control. In January 2014, the Committee decided to eliminate this single-trigger feature and replace it with a double-trigger feature under which a change-in-control will no longer trigger accelerated vesting of long-term equity awards. The Committee believes that this structure is more appropriate for CarMax and more consistent with market practice. Accordingly, the long-term equity awards we issue in fiscal 2015 will have this double-trigger feature.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS CONTINUED

◦ **Stock Options**

Each option represents the right to purchase one share of our common stock at the exercise, or “strike,” price. The strike price is equal to the volume-weighted average price of our common stock on the grant date. The Committee believes that the use of the volume-weighted average price, as opposed to the closing price, is more representative of the value of the common stock on the grant date because it incorporates all trades made on the grant date.

Our option awards generally vest in 25% increments over four years; that is, one quarter of the options granted vests on the first anniversary of the grant, another quarter vests on the second anniversary, and so forth. Limited circumstances may trigger early vesting. The awards expire on the seventh anniversary of the grant date.

We believe that granting stock options supports our pay-for-performance philosophy by aligning management and shareholder interests. If our stock price does not rise, the options have no value. In addition to promoting alignment of management and shareholder interests, the four-year vesting schedule of our options ensures that our executives are appropriately focused on CarMax’s long-term strategic goals. This vesting schedule also operates as a retention tool.

◦ **Market Stock Units**

Each MSU represents the right to receive between zero and two shares of our common stock depending on the Company’s performance over a three-year period. The number of shares awarded depends on how much the price of our common stock appreciates between the date the MSU is granted and the date the MSU is settled. Specifically, the conversion ratio of each MSU is calculated by dividing the average closing price of our common stock during the final 40 trading days of the vesting period by our stock price on the grant date. The resulting quotient is capped at two. The quotient is multiplied by the number of MSUs granted to yield the number of shares of stock awarded.

MSUs generally vest on the three-year anniversary of the grant date. Limited circumstances may trigger early vesting.

We believe that granting MSUs supports our pay-for-performance philosophy because the number of units earned and the value of each unit is tied to the value of a share of our common stock. The conversion formula, however, ensures that if our stock price falls below the grant date price, the MSUs, unlike stock options, retain some of their value, albeit less value than would shares of time-based restricted stock, which we do not grant to our executives. MSUs thus serve as both a retention tool and a source of motivation to the named executive officers and other associates who receive them even in down-market environments. In addition, similar to our stock options, an MSU's multi-year vesting schedule ensures that our executives are appropriately focused on CarMax's long-term strategic goals and operates as a retention tool.

In determining the number of options and MSUs to award, the Committee considers the named executive officer's role at CarMax; our recent financial performance; the performance of our common stock; the fair market value, expense and dilutive effect of any potential award; succession planning; and the importance of retaining the officer's services. The Committee solicits the advice of its independent compensation consultant and the opinion of the Company's CEO, except with respect to the CEO's own award. The CEO generally gives the Committee an initial recommendation for annual long-term equity awards for the other named executive officers. The Committee reviews this recommendation and makes its own independent determination.

In fiscal 2014, the Committee approved stock option and MSU awards for our named executive officers as noted below. This table also describes the long-term equity awards made in fiscal 2013.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS CONTINUED

| Name | Options and MSUs Granted in Fiscal 2014 | | | Options and MSUs Granted in Fiscal 2013 | | |
|--------------------|---|----------------|----------------------------|---|----------------|----------------------------|
| | Stock | Number of MSUs | Total | Stock | Number of MSUs | Total |
| | | | Grant Date Fair Value (\$) | | | Grant Date Fair Value (\$) |
| | Options^(a) | | | Options^(a) | | |
| Thomas J. Folliard | 265,273 | 26,467 | 5,499,956 | 271,435 | 28,560 | 4,610,764 |
| William D. Nash | 84,258 | 8,296 | 1,741,189 | 102,843 | 10,679 | 1,741,224 |
| Thomas W. Reedy | 84,258 | 8,296 | 1,741,189 | 102,843 | 10,679 | 1,741,224 |
| William C. Wood | 84,258 | 8,296 | 1,741,189 | 102,843 | 10,679 | 1,741,224 |
| Eric M. Margolin | 57,757 | 5,686 | 1,193,509 | 70,497 | 7,320 | 1,193,567 |

We grant limited stock appreciation rights (“SARs”) in tandem with each option. The SARs may be exercised only in the event of a change-in-control of the Company. Upon the exercise of the SAR and the surrender of the related (a) option, the officer is entitled to receive an amount equal to the difference between the value of our common stock on the date of exercise and the exercise price of the underlying stock option. No free-standing SARs have been granted.

The Committee approved a 19% increase in the value of Mr. Folliard’s fiscal 2014 long-term equity award. The Committee approved this increase based on a review of Mr. Folliard’s individual performance in fiscal 2013 and to provide Mr. Folliard long-term equity compensation between the 50th and 75th percentiles of the blended peer/survey data described above under the heading “Peer Group.”

With the exception of Mr. Folliard, the grant date fair value of the annual long-term equity awards provided to our named executive officers in fiscal 2014 remained flat, meaning that the same target economic value was delivered in fiscal 2014 as was delivered in fiscal 2013. The Committee determined based on benchmarking data and its own independent judgment that maintaining equity awards at prior year levels continued to provide competitive pay for these named executive officers. Although the economic value of these awards remained flat, the number of options and MSUs awarded in fiscal 2014 decreased from fiscal 2013 due to a year-over-year increase in our stock price at the time of the award. The exercise price of the annual option awards granted in April 2013 and April 2012 was \$42.68 and \$31.76, respectively.

Our Employee Equity Grant Policy requires us to grant our annual long-term equity awards three business days after we release the prior fiscal year’s year-end earnings information. The Committee complied with this requirement in fiscal 2014, granting the awards listed above on April 15, 2013. The awards were priced at the volume-weighted average price of our common stock on the grant date, in accordance with our Stock Incentive Plan and our Employee

Equity Grant Policy.

31

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS CONTINUED

Ø

Compensation Mix

As our executives assume more responsibility, we generally increase the percentage of their compensation that is performance-based. We do not have a pre-established policy or target for allocation between specific compensation components. The following charts, however, show that the majority of annual total direct compensation for both our CEO and our other named executive officers as a group is determined by our performance.

CEO Total Direct Compensation **Named Executive Officer Total Direct Compensation
(without CEO)**

The table below illustrates how each named executive officer's total direct compensation in fiscal 2014 was allocated between performance-based and fixed compensation, as well as the breakdown of performance-based compensation that was based on annual and long-term Company performance.

| | Percentage of Total Direct Compensation | Fixed | Percentage of Performance-Based Compensation | |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---|------------------|
| | | | Annual | Long-Term |
| Thomas J. Folliard | 87 | 13 | 27 | 73 |
| William D. Nash | 82 | 18 | 21 | 79 |
| Thomas W. Reedy | 80 | 20 | 23 | 77 |
| William C. Wood | 80 | 20 | 23 | 77 |
| Eric M. Margolin | 77 | 23 | 18 | 82 |

Ø

Additional Elements of Compensation

We provide our executive officers the benefits available to CarMax associates generally. We also provide the limited perquisites described below. These benefits and perquisites are intended to be part of a competitive compensation package.

◦ **Benefits Available to CarMax Associates Generally**

Our executives and our full-time associates generally are eligible for health coverage, life insurance, short- and long-term disability insurance, matching gifts to qualified charitable organizations, and a defined contribution, or 401(k), plan that we refer to as our Retirement Savings Plan. In addition, executives and CarMax associates generally who satisfied certain criteria

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS CONTINUED

as of December 31, 2008, may be eligible for benefits under our frozen Pension Plan. Additional details regarding these frozen benefits can be found in the “Pension Benefits in Fiscal 2014” table on page 43.

◦ **Non-Qualified Retirement Plans**

Our executives and other highly-compensated associates are eligible to participate in two non-qualified retirement plans: the Retirement Restoration Plan (“RRP”) and the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (“EDCP”). A description of these plans can be found in the narrative discussion following the “Nonqualified Deferred Compensation” table on page 45. Details regarding the fiscal 2014 contributions to each named executive officer’s RRP and EDCP accounts, as well as the earnings and aggregate balances for those accounts, can be found in the “Nonqualified Deferred Compensation” table on page 45.

In addition to the RRP and the EDCP, executives and other highly compensated CarMax associates who satisfied certain criteria as of December 31, 2008, may be eligible for benefits under our frozen Benefit Restoration Plan. Additional details regarding these frozen benefits can be found in the “Pension Benefits in Fiscal 2014” table on page 43.

◦ **Company Transportation**

We provide the use of a CarMax-owned vehicle to each of our named executive officers and to certain other eligible associates. For all associates using CarMax-owned vehicles, we bear the maintenance and insurance costs. We treat the personal use of a Company-owned vehicle as income to the associate. The associate pays the related income taxes.

Prior to October 2013, we also provided a monthly vehicle allowance to each of our named executive officers. This allowance was \$858 for Mr. Folliard and \$533 for each of the other named executive officers. In October 2013, we eliminated this allowance and, as discussed above on page 27, granted the affected officers a one-time increase in their base salary in an amount equivalent to the annualized value of the previously provided monthly allowance (\$10,296 for Mr. Folliard and \$6,396 for each of the other named executive officers).

We encourage our executive officers to use our plane for business travel. Our plane is also available for personal use by our President (Mr. Folliard) and Executive Vice Presidents (Messrs. Nash, Reedy and Wood) when we do not need the plane for business travel. Mr. Folliard is required to reimburse CarMax for the incremental costs associated with his personal use to the extent that those costs exceed \$175,000 in any fiscal year. Messrs. Nash, Reedy and Wood are required to reimburse CarMax for the incremental costs associated with their respective personal uses of the plane to the extent that those costs exceed \$35,000 in any fiscal year. Our executives bear all income taxes associated with their personal use of the plane.

We do not provide tax gross-ups on these transportation benefits.

◦ **Tax and Financial Planning Services**

We provide a tax and financial planning benefit to our named executive officers. This benefit is valued at \$14,565 per year. Officers who forego this benefit may engage their own tax professional at the Company's expense in an amount up to \$10,000 per year. The Committee approved this benefit to reduce the amount of time and attention that our executive officers must spend on personal tax and financial planning, which permits them to focus on their responsibilities to CarMax, and to maximize the financial reward of the compensation that CarMax provides. Officers bear all income taxes associated with these tax and financial planning benefits. We do not provide tax gross-ups on these benefits.

Additional Information

Ø

Employment and Severance Agreements

We have entered into an employment or severance agreement with each of our named executive officers. The Committee has determined that these agreements are beneficial to us because they contain restrictive covenants relating to confidential information, non-competition and non-solicitation of our associates. The Committee also believes that these agreements serve as a recruiting tool and better enable our current executives to focus on CarMax's strategic and operating goals.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS CONTINUED

Mr. Folliard's agreement was for an initial term of two years. Following that initial period, which expired on October 17, 2008, the agreement has renewed automatically for additional one-year periods. These automatic renewals do not provide any guarantee of employment. Rather, the Committee can terminate Mr. Folliard at any time without cause pursuant to the terms of the agreement, including by providing written notice of intent not to renew at least 90 days before the end of the then-current term. The severance agreements with the other named executive officers do not have a specified term of agreement.

Our severance agreements do not provide tax gross-ups. Under the terms of the employment and severance agreements, the Committee establishes and approves each named executive officer's annual base salary, which cannot be less than the minimum base salary set forth in each agreement unless across-the-board reductions in salary are implemented for all of our senior officers. Additionally, the Committee approves the performance measures and payment amounts that determine each named executive officer's annual incentive bonus under the Bonus Plan. The agreements provide further that each named executive officer is eligible to participate in our Stock Incentive Plan and to participate in all other incentive, compensation, benefit and similar plans available to our other executive officers.

None of these agreements provide tax gross-ups on any compensation or perquisites.

◦ **Clawback and Forfeiture Provisions**

The employment and severance agreements contain a clawback provision. If any named executive officer engages in conduct for which he could be terminated for cause, with certain limitations, and the conduct directly results in the filing of a restatement of any financial statement that was previously filed with the SEC, the named executive officer shall, upon demand by the Company, repay with interest all compensation that was expressly conditioned on the achievement of certain financial results if the restated financial statements would have resulted in a lesser amount being paid.

In addition, at our 2012 annual meeting, we asked our shareholders to approve amendments to add clawback provisions to both our Bonus Plan and Stock Incentive Plan. Our shareholders approved these provisions, which provide that any award that is subject to recovery under any law, including the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, will be subject to a clawback as required by such law or any CarMax policy adopted pursuant to such law.

In addition to the clawback provisions discussed above, our equity award agreements contain a forfeiture provision. If a named executive officer is terminated for cause, the officer's unexercised vested and unvested options and unvested MSUs will be forfeited.

◦ **Change-in-Control and Severance Benefits**

Each employment and severance agreement provides for payments and other benefits in certain circumstances involving a termination of employment, including a termination of employment in connection with a change-in-control. Payments in connection with a change-in-control are subject to a "double trigger"; that is, the executive is not entitled to payment unless there is both a change-in-control and the executive is subsequently terminated without cause (or resigns for good reason). Our executives are not entitled to any severance payments as a result of voluntary termination (outside of the retirement context) or if they are terminated for cause. Detailed information with respect to these payments and benefits can be found under the heading "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control" beginning on page 46.

The Committee believes that these severance benefits encourage the commitment of our named executive officers and ensure that they will be able to devote their full attention and energy to our affairs in the face of potentially disruptive and distracting circumstances. In the event of a potential change-in-control, our named executive officers will be able to analyze and evaluate proposals objectively with a view to the best interests of CarMax and its shareholders and to act as the Board may direct without fear of retribution if a change-in-control occurs. The Committee recognizes that the severance benefits may have the effect of discouraging takeovers and protecting our officers from removal because the severance benefits increase the cost that would be incurred by an acquiring company seeking to replace current management. The Committee believes, however, that the benefit to CarMax and its shareholders outweighs this concern.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS CONTINUED

Ø

Risk and Compensation Policies and Practices

The Compensation and Personnel Committee assesses CarMax's compensation policies and practices each year to ensure that they do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. In fiscal 2014, management reviewed the compensation policies and practices for all CarMax associates (including store associates, store management, regional leadership teams, home office and CarMax Auto Finance associates, and executive officers). Management then presented a summary of its review at the Committee's January 2014 meeting. The summary listed each compensation policy or practice applicable to the various groups of CarMax associates, including base salaries, annual incentive bonuses, long-term equity awards, sales bonuses, sales commissions and hourly pay. The summary also listed the potential risks associated with those policies or practices and the tools we employ to mitigate those risks, including the following:

Annual Incentive Bonuses: payments made to senior management are: (i) subject to a clawback provision; (ii) § capped at a plan maximum of \$5 million; and (iii) only paid when CarMax satisfies the objective metrics determined at the beginning of the year by an independent committee of non-employee directors.

Long-Term Equity Awards: equity awards: (i) are approved by an independent committee of non-employee § directors; (ii) contain three and four-year vesting provisions; and (iii) for senior management, must be held in compliance with CarMax's executive stock ownership guidelines.

Sales Bonuses: sales bonuses are monitored to ensure that associates are not overpaid based on inflated sales figures. § Monitoring tools include: (i) centralized assignment of sales targets; (ii) centralized and non-negotiable vehicle pricing; (iii) electronic reporting of sales from each store to the home office; and (iv) performance of a daily vehicle inventory at each store.

§ Hourly Pay: hourly pay is tracked and managed through a centralized time management and reporting system.

Following discussion and a review of the summary noted above, the Committee determined that none of our compensation policies and practices create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Ø

Stock Ownership Guidelines

To further align the long-term financial interests of our executives and our shareholders, the Committee has established the following stock ownership guidelines:

| Subject Officers | Required to Own the Lesser of: |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Chief Executive Officer | 6 x Base Salary or 300,000 shares |
| Executive Vice President | 3 x Base Salary or 100,000 shares |
| Senior Vice President | 2 x Base Salary or 50,000 shares |

Executives have five years from the date they first become subject to a particular level of stock ownership to meet the corresponding requirement. The Committee measures compliance on an annual basis at the end of each fiscal year. Acceptable forms of ownership include shares owned outright (by the executive or an immediate family member), in-the-money stock options and MSUs. Our stock ownership guidelines are available under the “Corporate Governance” link at investor.carmax.com.

As of February 28, 2014, all of our named executive officers satisfied the ownership guidelines set forth above.

Ø

Prohibition on Hedging and Pledging

We have a policy prohibiting all CarMax associates from engaging in any hedging or pledging transactions involving CarMax stock. This prohibition applies to both our named executive officers and our non-employee directors.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS CONTINUED

Ø

Tax and Accounting Considerations

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally disallows a tax deduction for compensation over \$1 million paid in any fiscal year to the CEO or any of the three other highest paid executive officers (other than the CFO) unless that compensation is performance-based. Compensation under our Bonus Plan and stock options granted pursuant to our Stock Incentive Plan currently qualify as performance-based under Section 162(m). Although the Committee generally seeks to preserve the deductibility of compensation paid to our executive officers, the primary function of our executive compensation program is to drive the creation of long-term shareholder value.

Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code imposes certain requirements on non-qualified deferred compensation, which can include long-term equity awards and severance. CarMax's executive compensation programs generally are designed to comply with, or be exempt from, the requirements of that section so as to avoid potential adverse tax consequences that may result from non-compliance.

In developing CarMax's executive compensation programs, the Committee considers the accounting treatment of, and the expenses associated with, the Company's long-term equity compensation practices.

**COMPENSATION AND PERSONNEL
COMMITTEE REPORT**

The Compensation and Personnel Committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on this review and discussion, the Committee recommended to the CarMax, Inc. Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference into CarMax's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2014.

THE COMPENSATION AND PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

Thomas G. Stemberg, *Chairman*

Ronald E. Blaylock

Shira Goodman

COMPENSATION TABLES

Summary Compensation Table

The table below shows the compensation paid to or earned by our named executive officers in fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012.

| Name and Principal Position | Fiscal Year | Salary (\$) | Stock Awards ^(a) (\$) | Option Awards ^(a) (\$) | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ^(b) (\$) | Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ^(c) (\$) | All Other Compensation ^(d) (\$) | Total (\$) |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|------------|
| Thomas J. Folliard | 2014 | 1,129,175 | 1,374,961 | 4,124,995 | 2,059,449 | 0 | 354,754 | 9,043,334 |
| <i>President and</i> | 2013 | 1,086,154 | 1,152,682 | 3,458,082 | 1,203,088 | 222,701 | 283,140 | 7,405,847 |
| <i>Chief Executive Officer</i> | 2012 | 1,037,308 | 955,904 | 2,905,722 | 898,560 | 357,735 | 319,390 | 6,474,619 |
| William D. Nash | 2014 | 492,806 | 430,977 | 1,310,212 | 450,460 | 0 | 87,155 | 2,771,610 |
| <i>Executive VP, HR and</i> | 2013 | 471,154 | 431,004 | 1,310,220 | 251,655 | 37,894 | 76,355 | 2,578,282 |
| <i>Admin. Services</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Thomas W. Reedy | 2014 | 570,230 | 430,977 | 1,310,212 | 520,672 | 0 | 92,808 | 2,924,899 |
| <i>Executive VP and</i> | 2013 | 546,154 | 431,004 | 1,310,220 | 291,390 | 33,702 | 73,791 | 2,686,261 |
| <i>Chief Financial Officer</i> | 2012 | 460,800 | 357,949 | 1,085,631 | 172,800 | 54,264 | 73,010 | 2,204,454 |
| William C. Wood | 2014 | 570,229 | 430,977 | 1,310,212 | 520,672 | 0 | 88,662 | 2,920,752 |
| <i>Executive VP, Stores</i> | 2013 | 546,154 | 431,004 | 1,310,220 | 291,390 | 81,810 | 112,100 | 2,772,678 |
| | 2012 | 460,800 | 357,949 | 1,085,631 | 172,800 | 130,800 | 67,423 | 2,275,403 |
| Eric M. Margolin | 2014 | 433,735 | 295,388 | 898,121 | 264,594 | 524 | 59,765 | 1,952,127 |
| <i>Senior VP, General</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Counsel and Corp.</i> | 2013 | 416,691 | 295,435 | 898,132 | 147,559 | 5,047 | 62,679 | 1,825,543 |
| <i>Secretary</i> | | | | | | | | |

Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards made in each fiscal year as computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 (“ASC Topic 718”).

These amounts do not correspond to the actual value that may be recognized by each named executive officer.

(a) Additional information regarding outstanding awards, including exercise prices and expiration dates, can be found in the “Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal 2014 Year End” table beginning on page 41. The assumptions used in determining the grant date fair values of the awards are set forth in Note 12(D) to our consolidated financial statements, which are included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2014.

(b) Represents the annual incentive bonus earned under our Bonus Plan.

Represents the aggregate increase in the actuarial value of accumulated benefits under our frozen Pension Plan and frozen Benefit Restoration Plan accrued during the relevant fiscal year. In fiscal 2014, the actuarial value of these (c) benefits for each of Messrs. Folliard, Nash, Reedy and Wood decreased by the following amounts, respectively: \$26,852; \$6,460; \$3,728; and \$11,322. The “Pension Benefits in Fiscal 2014” table and its accompanying narrative on page 43 contain additional details with respect to these amounts.

(d) Further details are included in the “All Other Compensation in Fiscal 2014” table on page 39.

COMPENSATION TABLES CONTINUED

All Other Compensation in Fiscal 2014

| Name | Personal Use of Company Plane ^(a) (\$) | Personal Use of Company Car ^(b) (\$) | Car Allowance ^(c) (\$) | Retirement Savings Plan Contribution ^(d) (\$) | Deferred Compensation Account Contributions ^(e) (\$) | Other ^(f) (\$) | Total (\$) |
|--------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|---------------|
| Thomas J. Folliard | 174,455 | 1,315 | 6,006 | 16,761 | 134,538 | 21,679 | 354,754 |
| William D. Nash | 29,313 | | 3,731 | 11,559 | 21,848 | 20,704 | 87,155 |
| Thomas W. Reedy | | 7,161 | 3,731 | 16,674 | 39,174 | 26,068 | 92,808 |
| William C. Wood | 8,034 | | 3,731 | 16,674 | 39,174 | 21,049 | 88,662 |
| Eric M. Margolin | n/a | 6,871 | 3,731 | 11,303 | 14,791 | 23,069 | 59,765 |

The compensation associated with the personal use of the Company plane is based on the aggregate incremental cost to CarMax of operating the plane. This cost is calculated based on the average variable costs of operating the plane, which include fuel, maintenance, travel expenses for the flight crews and other miscellaneous expenses. We divided the total annual variable costs by the total number of miles our plane flew in fiscal 2014 to determine an average variable cost per mile. The average variable cost per mile is multiplied by the miles flown for personal use to derive the incremental cost. This methodology excludes fixed costs that do not change based on usage, such as (a) salaries and benefits for the flight crews, monthly service contracts, hangar rental fees, taxes, rent, depreciation and insurance. The costs associated with deadhead flights (i.e., flights that travel to a destination with no passengers as a result of an executive's personal use) and incremental plane charters (i.e., plane charters, if any, that we pay for because our plane was not available for business use due to an executive's personal use) are included in the incremental cost calculations for each executive. The personal use of the Company plane is treated as income to the executive. The related income taxes are calculated using Standard Industry Fare Level rates and are paid by the executive.

(b) The value of the personal use of a Company automobile is determined based on the annual lease value method and excludes any expenses such as maintenance and insurance.

(c) Prior to September 29, 2013, the monthly vehicle allowance was \$858 for Mr. Folliard and \$533 for each of the other named executive officers. Effective September 29, 2013, this monthly vehicle allowance was eliminated and the affected officers received a one-time increase in their base salary in the amount of \$10,296 for Mr. Folliard and \$6,396 for each of the other named executive officers.

(d) Includes the Company matching portion of each executive's Retirement Savings Plan ("RSP") contributions. Also includes a Company-funded contribution made regardless of an executive's participation in the RSP, as well as an additional Company-funded contribution to those executives who met certain age and service requirements as of December 31, 2008, the date that our Pension Plan was frozen. These RSP benefits are offered on the same terms

to all CarMax associates.

(e) Includes the Company matching portion of each executive's Retirement Restoration Plan ("RRP") contributions. Also includes a Company-funded contribution regardless of each executive's participation in the RRP, as well as an additional Company-funded contribution to those executives who met certain age and service requirements as of December 31, 2008, the date that our Pension Plan was frozen. These RRP benefits are offered on the same terms to all CarMax associates whose salary exceeds the compensation limits imposed by the Internal Revenue Code (\$260,000 in 2014). Also includes a restorative contribution into the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan designed to compensate executives for any loss of Company contributions under the RSP and RRP due to a reduction in the executive's eligible compensation under the RSP and RRP resulting from deferrals into the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan. This Executive Deferred Compensation Plan benefit is offered on the same terms to all CarMax associates who are eligible to participate in the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan.

(f) Represents the total amount of other benefits provided, none of which individually exceeded the greater of \$25,000 or 10% of the total amount of these benefits, for the named executive officer. These other benefits include tax and financial planning services, which are described on page 33, and matching charitable gifts made by The CarMax Foundation as part of its matching gifts program (which is broadly available to all CarMax associates).

COMPENSATION TABLES CONTINUED

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal 2014

The following table lists grants of plan-based awards to each of our named executive officers during fiscal 2014.

| Name | Approval Date | Grant Date | Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ^(a) | | | All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares or Units ^(b) | All Other Awards: Number of Securities or Options ^(c) | Exercise Price of Base Option Awards ^(d) (\$/Sh) | Grant Date Price (\$/Sh) | Grant Stock and Option Awards ^(e) (\$) |
|--------------------|---------------|------------|--|-------------|--------------|--|--|---|--------------------------|---|
| | | | Threshold (\$) | Target (\$) | Maximum (\$) | | | | | |
| Thomas J. Folliard | 3/28/13 | 4/15/13 | 426,917 | 1,707,669 | 3,415,338 | 26,467 | | | 1,374,961 | |
| | 3/28/13 | 4/15/13 | | | | | 265,273 | 42.68 | 42.09 | 4,124,995 |
| William D. Nash | 3/28/13 | 4/15/13 | 93,379 | 373,516 | 747,032 | 8,296 | | | 430,977 | |
| | 3/28/13 | 4/15/13 | | | | | 84,258 | 42.68 | 42.09 | 1,310,212 |
| Thomas W. Reedy | 3/28/13 | 4/15/13 | 107,934 | 431,735 | 863,469 | 8,296 | | | 430,977 | |
| | 3/28/13 | 4/15/13 | | | | | 84,258 | 42.68 | 42.09 | 1,310,212 |
| William C. Wood | 3/28/13 | 4/15/13 | 107,934 | 431,735 | 863,469 | 8,296 | | | 430,977 | |
| | 3/28/13 | 4/15/13 | | | | | 84,258 | 42.68 | 42.09 | 1,310,212 |
| Eric M. Margolin | 3/28/13 | 4/15/13 | 54,850 | 219,398 | 438,796 | 5,686 | | | 295,388 | |
| | 3/28/13 | 4/15/13 | | | | | 57,757 | 42.68 | 42.09 | 898,121 |

Represents threshold, target and maximum payout levels under our Bonus Plan for fiscal 2014 performance. The actual amount of each named executive officer's annual incentive bonus in fiscal 2014 is reported under the (a) "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column in the "Summary Compensation Table" on page 38. Additional information regarding the design of our Bonus Plan is included on pages 27 to 29.

Represents stock-settled restricted stock units, which we refer to as "market stock units" or "MSUs." MSUs generally (b) vest on the third anniversary of the grant date. Additional information regarding MSUs, including the formula used to convert MSUs to shares of our common stock upon vesting and settlement, is included on page 30.

Option awards generally vest in 25% increments annually over a four-year period. Additional information regarding stock options is included on page 30. We granted limited stock appreciate rights, or "SARs," in tandem with each option award. The SARs may be exercised only in the event of a change-in-control. Upon the exercise of the SAR and the surrender of the related option, the officer is entitled to receive an amount equal to the difference between the value of our common stock on the date of exercise and the exercise price of the underlying stock option.

All fiscal 2014 stock options were issued with an exercise price equal to the volume-weighted average price of our common stock on the grant date. Additional information regarding our use of the volume-weighted average price is included on page 30.

(e) Represents the grant date fair value of the award as determined in accordance with ASC Topic 718.

COMPENSATION TABLES CONTINUED

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal 2014 Year End

The following table includes information with respect to outstanding equity awards previously granted to our named executive officers as of February 28, 2014.

| Name | Option Awards ^(a) | | | | | Stock Awards ^(b) | |
|--------------------|------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| | Grant Date | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable | Option Exercise Price (\$/Sh) | Option Expiration Date | Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) | Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) |
| Thomas J. Folliard | 4/7/2009 | 359,349 | — | 11.43 | 4/7/16 | | |
| | 4/6/2010 | 157,464 | 52,487 | 25.39 | 4/6/17 | | |
| | 4/5/2011 | 104,976 | 104,975 | 32.69 | 4/5/18 | | |
| | 4/5/2011 | | | | | 20,972 | 1,504,714 |
| | 4/10/2012 | 67,859 | 203,576 | 31.76 | 4/10/19 | | |
| | 4/10/2012 | | | | | 28,560 | 2,109,146 |
| | 4/15/2013 | — | 265,273 | 42.68 | 4/15/20 | | |
| William D. Nash | 4/15/2013 | | | | | 26,467 | 1,454,485 |
| | 4/7/2008 | 38,000 | — | 19.82 | 4/7/15 | | |
| | 4/7/2009 | 39,656 | — | 11.43 | 4/7/16 | | |
| | 4/6/2010 | 48,671 | 16,223 | 25.39 | 4/6/17 | | |
| | 4/5/2011 | 32,448 | 32,446 | 32.69 | 4/5/18 | | |
| | 4/5/2011 | | | | | 6,482 | 465,075 |
| | 12/27/2011 | 7,476 | 7,476 | 30.24 | 12/27/18 | | |
| | 12/27/2011 | | | | | 1,539 | 119,367 |
| | 4/10/2012 | 25,711 | 77,132 | 31.76 | 4/10/19 | | |
| 4/10/2012 | | | | | 10,679 | 788,640 | |
| 4/15/2013 | — | 84,258 | 42.68 | 4/15/20 | | | |
| 4/15/2013 | | | | | 8,296 | 455,904 | |
| Thomas W. Reedy | 4/7/2009 | 37,242 | — | 11.43 | 4/7/16 | | |

Edgar Filing: CARMAX INC - Form DEF 14A

| | | | | | | |
|------------|--------|--------|-------|----------|--------|---------|
| 4/6/2010 | 48,671 | 16,223 | 25.39 | 4/6/17 | | |
| 12/27/2010 | 10,317 | 3,439 | 32.05 | 12/27/17 | | |
| 4/5/2011 | 32,448 | 32,446 | 32.69 | 4/5/18 | | |
| 4/5/2011 | | | | | 6,482 | 465,075 |
| 12/27/2011 | 7,476 | 7,476 | 30.24 | 12/27/18 | | |
| 12/27/2011 | | | | | 1,539 | 119,367 |
| 4/10/2012 | 25,711 | 77,132 | 31.76 | 4/10/19 | | |
| 4/10/2012 | | | | | 10,679 | 788,640 |
| 4/15/2013 | — | 84,258 | 42.68 | 4/15/20 | | |
| 4/15/2013 | | | | | 8,296 | 455,904 |

COMPENSATION TABLES CONTINUED

| Name | Option Awards ^(a) | | | | | Stock Awards ^(b) | |
|------------------|------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| | Grant Date | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable | Option Exercise Price (\$/Sh) | Option Expiration Date | Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) | Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) |
| William C. Wood | 4/7/2009 | 49,656 | — | 11.43 | 4/7/16 | | |
| | 4/6/2010 | 48,671 | 16,223 | 25.39 | 4/6/17 | | |
| | 4/5/2011 | 32,448 | 32,446 | 32.69 | 4/5/18 | | |
| | 4/5/2011 | | | | | 6,482 | 465,075 |
| | 12/27/2011 | 7,476 | 7,476 | 30.24 | 12/27/18 | | |
| | 12/27/2011 | | | | | 1,539 | 119,367 |
| | 4/10/2012 | 25,711 | 77,132 | 31.76 | 4/10/19 | | |
| | 4/10/2012 | | | | | 10,679 | 788,640 |
| | 4/15/2013 | — | 84,258 | 42.68 | 4/15/20 | | |
| 4/15/2013 | | | | | 8,296 | 455,904 | |
| Eric M. Margolin | 4/7/2009 | 91,072 | — | 11.43 | 4/7/16 | | |
| | 4/6/2010 | 48,671 | 16,223 | 25.39 | 4/6/17 | | |
| | 4/5/2011 | 32,448 | 32,446 | 32.69 | 4/5/18 | | |
| | 4/5/2011 | | | | | 6,482 | 465,075 |
| | 4/10/2012 | 17,625 | 52,872 | 31.76 | 4/10/19 | | |
| | 4/10/2012 | | | | | 7,320 | 540,579 |
| | 4/15/2013 | — | 57,757 | 42.68 | 4/15/20 | | |
| | 4/15/2013 | | | | | 5,686 | 312,472 |

(a) Option awards generally vest in 25% increments annually over a four-year period. Additional information regarding stock options is included on page 30. We granted limited stock appreciate rights, or “SARs,” in tandem with each option award. Additional information regarding SARs is included on page 40 under the chart titled “Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal 2014.”

(b) Represents stock-settled restricted stock units, which we refer to as “market stock units” or “MSUs.” MSUs generally vest on the third anniversary of the grant date. To calculate the market value of the unvested MSUs in the table above, we assumed that the average closing price of our stock during the final 40 trading days of the three-year

period was equal to the closing price of our stock on February 28, 2014, the last trading day of our fiscal year (which was \$48.43). Additional information regarding MSUs, including the formula used to convert MSUs to shares of our common stock upon vesting and settlement, is included on page 30.

COMPENSATION TABLES CONTINUED

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal 2014

The following table includes information with respect to the options exercised by, and the MSUs vested in, our named executive officers during fiscal 2014.

| Name | Option Awards | | Stock Awards | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| | Number of Shares Realized on Exercise | Value Realized on Exercise ^(b) | Number of Shares Realized on Vesting | Value Realized on Vesting ^(c) |
| | (#) | (\$) | (#) | (\$) |
| Thomas J. Folliard | K95,000 | 11,019,580 | 33,238 | 1,374,391 |
| William D. Nash | 14,000 | 393,600 | 10,273 | 424,789 |
| Thomas W. Reedy | 98,800 | 2,375,565 | 12,412 | 525,172 |
| William C. Wood | 88,000 | 2,698,400 | 10,273 | 424,789 |
| Eric M. Margolin | 20,000 | 673,800 | 10,273 | 424,789 |

(a) Represents the number of shares of common stock underlying stock options exercised during fiscal 2014.

(b) Amounts were calculated based on difference between (i) the closing price of the Company's common stock on the exercise date and (ii) the exercise price of the stock options.

(c) Represents the number of shares of common stock acquired on vesting of the underlying MSUs during fiscal 2014.

(d) Amounts were calculated by multiplying the closing price of the Company's common stock on the vesting date by the number of shares acquired on vesting.

Pension Benefits in Fiscal 2014

The following table lists the accumulated benefits, credited service and benefit payments for each named executive officer under our Pension Plan and Benefit Restoration Plan in fiscal 2014.

| Name | Plan Name | Number of Years Credited Service ^(a) (#) | Present Value of Payments | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| | | | Accumulated Benefit ^(b) (\$) | During Last Fiscal Year (\$) |
| Thomas J. Folliard | Pension Plan | 16 | 263,928 | |
| | Benefit Restoration Plan | 16 | 1,230,831 | |
| William D. Nash | Pension Plan | 15 | 192,125 | |
| | Benefit Restoration Plan | 15 | 35,564 | |
| Thomas W. Reedy | Pension Plan | 6 | 102,595 | |
| | Benefit Restoration Plan | 6 | 128,379 | |
| William C. Wood | Pension Plan | 19 | 293,574 | |
| | Benefit Restoration Plan | 19 | 234,931 | |
| Eric M. Margolin | Pension Plan | 1 | 31,089 | |
| | Benefit Restoration Plan | 1 | 19,037 | |

(a) We have not granted any of our named executive officers extra years of service under the Pension or Benefit Restoration Plans.

Determined assuming retirement at age 65. The discount rate (4.55%) and mortality assumptions used in calculating the present value of the accumulated benefit shown above were consistent with those used for our (b) financial reporting purposes. Additional information regarding our assumptions is set forth in Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements, which are included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2014.

COMPENSATION TABLES CONTINUED

Ø

Pension Plan

We froze our Pension Plan, a tax-qualified defined benefit plan, effective December 31, 2008. Prior to that date, this plan was generally available to all full-time associates upon completion of one year of service.

**We froze
our
Pension**

**Plan
effective**

**December
31, 2008.**

No additional benefits have accrued under the Pension Plan since it was frozen. Previously accrued benefits are determined under a formula that defines an annual annuity amount payable at termination or retirement. The benefit formula is the sum of (1) 0.85% times highest average earnings times years of service up to 35 years plus (2) 0.65% times the excess of highest average earnings over Social Security Covered Compensation times years of service up to 35 years. Earnings are defined as total earnings including base pay, bonuses, overtime pay and commissions, but may not exceed the compensation limit imposed by the Internal Revenue Code. In the final year of benefit accruals, that compensation limit was \$230,000. Highest average earnings are based on the highest five consecutive calendar years of earnings during the ten consecutive years before termination or December 31, 2008, if earlier. All participants are vested after five years of service. Benefits are payable at age 65 as a lifetime annuity or actuarially equivalent optional annuity. Actuarially reduced benefits are available to participants retiring after age 55 with at least ten years of service, or after age 62 with at least seven years of service.

As of February 28, 2014, none of our named executive officers had met the requirements for early retirement under our Pension Plan.

Ø

Benefit Restoration Plan

We froze our Benefit Restoration Plan, a non-qualified defined benefit plan, effective December 31, 2008. Prior to that date, this plan provided an alternate means of paying benefits to participants in the Pension Plan, including our named executive officers, who were prohibited from receiving additional benefits under the Pension Plan because of the Internal Revenue Code's compensation limit.

No additional benefits have accrued under the Benefit Restoration Plan since it was frozen. Previously accrued benefits are generally determined and payable under the same terms and conditions as the Pension Plan, but without regard to Internal Revenue Code limitations on amounts of includable earnings and maximum benefits. Benefits paid are reduced by benefits payable under the Pension Plan. Participants must have 15 years of service to be eligible to receive benefits under the Benefit Restoration Plan, or upon termination meet the early retirement or normal retirement requirements of our Pension Plan.

COMPENSATION TABLES CONTINUED

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The following table lists fiscal 2014 contributions to each named executive officer's Retirement Restoration Plan ("RRP") and Executive Deferred Compensation Plan ("EDCP") accounts. The table also lists the aggregate earnings, withdrawals and distributions, and balances for each account.

| Name | Plan Name | Year ^(a) (\$) | Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year ^(b) (\$) | Aggregate | | Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End ^(d) (\$) |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | Earnings in Last Fiscal Year ^(c) (\$) | Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$) | |
| Thomas J. Folliard | RRP EDCP | 103,491 | 134,538 | 266,930 | | 1,174,133 |
| William D. Nash | RRP EDCP | 20,556 74,971 | 18,501 3,347 | 12,446 17,280 | | 118,991 168,729 |
| Thomas W. Reedy | RRP EDCP | 30,134 | 39,174 | 34,004 | | 248,021 |
| William C. Wood | RRP EDCP | 30,134 | 39,174 | 36,282 4,636 | 29,074 | 293,266 1,864 |
| Eric M. Margolin | RRP EDCP | 16,153 10,126 | 14,538 253 | 28,169 42,368 | | 179,935 219,077 |

(a) These amounts represent payroll deductions and are therefore included in the "Salary" and "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" columns of the "Summary Compensation Table" on page 38.

(b) Company contributions are included in the "All Other Compensation" column of the "Summary Compensation Table" on page 38.

(c) We do not pay above-market interest or preferential dividends on investments in the RRP or the EDCP. Earnings are determined by the performance of the mutual funds or other investment vehicles selected by each executive.

(d)

For each of Messrs. Folliard, Nash, Reedy, Wood and Margolin, the following amounts were reported as compensation to each person in the “Summary Compensation Table” in prior fiscal years, respectively: \$584,942; \$70,093; \$123,303; \$174,408; \$40,136.

Ø

Retirement Restoration Plan

Our executives are eligible to participate in the RRP. The RRP is a nonqualified defined contribution plan that supplements the Retirement Savings Plan we offer to all of our associates. The RRP allows individuals whose benefits under the Retirement Savings Plan are limited due to the compensation limits imposed by the Internal Revenue Code (\$260,000 for 2014) to continue to defer portions of their compensation for retirement savings. Eligible associates may defer up to 5% of their combined salary and annual incentive bonus. As we do in our broadly available Retirement Savings Plan, we provide RRP participants with a matching contribution and an additional Company-funded contribution to those participants meeting certain age and service requirements. RRP accounts are paid in a single lump sum payment at separation from service, subject to the requirements of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code.

COMPENSATION TABLES CONTINUED

Ø

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

Our executives are also eligible to participate in the EDCP. The EDCP is an additional nonqualified deferred compensation plan that permits eligible associates to elect to defer portions of their compensation to save for retirement or other life events. Eligible associates may defer up to 75% of their salary and up to 90% of their annual incentive bonus. We do not match funds deferred through this plan. The EDCP merely provides a mechanism for eligible associates to defer the taxation of income and related investment gains until the compensation is actually received at a later date. We do, however, provide a restorative contribution designed to compensate associates for any loss of Company contributions under the Retirement Savings Plan and RRP due to a reduction in eligible compensation, as defined under those plans, resulting from deferrals into the EDCP. EDCP accounts are paid based on the participant's election at the time of the deferral, subject to the requirements of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, and may be paid in a lump sum, a series of annual installments or a partial lump sum followed by a series of annual installments. Participants may elect to receive these distributions upon separation from service or upon the occurrence of one or more specified dates.

All RRP and EDCP accounts are considered unfunded general contractual obligations and are subject to the claims of our general, unsecured creditors.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control

As discussed on page 34, we have agreed to provide payments or other benefits to our named executive officers under various scenarios related to a termination of employment. This section describes those payments and benefits and the events that trigger them. For ease of reference, this section uses abbreviations for the terms "Named Executive Officer" ("NEO") and "Change-in-Control" ("CIC").

Our payment obligations under each employment or severance agreement are contingent upon the NEO satisfying the following obligations:

During his employment and for two years following his termination, the NEO must comply with the provisions of a covenant not to compete.

During his employment and for two years following his termination, the NEO may not solicit or induce our associates to leave us or hire any of our associates.

During his employment and at all times subsequent to the last day of his employment, the NEO must hold in strict confidence and safeguard any and all protected information, including our trade secrets.

The NEO must return our property and must execute an agreement releasing us from any claims.

Ø **Termination Scenarios That Can Trigger Payments and Benefits**

There are four categories of events related to a termination of employment that can trigger payments or other benefits under the agreements we have with our NEOs: (i) retirement; (ii) death and disability; (iii) involuntary termination; and (iv) voluntary termination. The following chart describes each category.

COMPENSATION TABLES CONTINUED

| Category | Specific Event | Requirements |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Retirement | Early Retirement | Termination due to early retirement occurs when an NEO voluntarily terminates his employment at a time when he is eligible for “early retirement” as this term is defined in our Pension Plan (generally, an NEO is eligible for early retirement after age 55 with at least ten years of service or after age 62 with at least seven years of service). The effective date of termination due to early retirement is the date set forth in a notice from the NEO to us, which must be given at least 90 days in advance. None of our NEOs are currently eligible for early retirement. |
| | Normal Retirement | Termination due to normal retirement occurs when an NEO voluntarily terminates his employment at a time when he is eligible for “normal retirement” as this term is defined in our Pension Plan (generally, an NEO is eligible for normal retirement after age 65 with at least five years of service). The effective date of termination is the date set forth in a notice from the NEO to us, which must be given at least 90 days in advance. None of our NEOs are currently eligible for normal retirement. |
| Death or | Death | The effective date of termination is the date of death. |
| Disability | Disability | Termination due to disability occurs when we notify the NEO that we have decided to terminate him because he has a physical or mental illness that causes him: (i) to be considered “disabled” for the purpose of eligibility to receive benefits under our long-term disability plan if he is a participant; or (ii) if he does not participate in this plan, to be unable to substantially perform the duties of his position for a total of 180 days during any period of 12 consecutive months and a physician selected by us has furnished to us a certification that the return of the NEO to his normal duties is impossible or improbable. The effective date of termination is the date set forth in a notice from us to the NEO, which must be given to the NEO at least 30 days in advance. |
| Involuntary Termination | For Cause | Termination for cause occurs when we decide to terminate an NEO based on our good faith determination that one of certain events have occurred. These events generally consist of, or relate to, the NEO’s material breach of his employment or severance agreement, the NEO’s willful failure to perform his duties or the NEO’s conviction of a felony or a crime involving dishonesty or moral turpitude. We will not owe any payments to an NEO as a result of a termination for cause. The effective date of termination is the date of the termination. |
| | Without Cause | Termination by us without cause occurs when we terminate the NEO’s employment for any reason other than for cause, as described above, or for disability. The effective date of termination is the date of the notice from us to the NEO. |
| Voluntary Termination | With Good Reason | Termination by the NEO for good reason occurs when the NEO terminates his employment with us for one of the following events, which we do not cure: (i) a reduction in the NEO’s base salary (which was not part of an across-the-board reduction) or target bonus rate; (ii) a material reduction in the NEO’s duties or authority; (iii) a required relocation to a new principal place of employment more than 35 miles from our home office, excluding a relocation of our home office; (iv) for Mr. Folliard, a failure by the shareholders to reelect him to our Board; or (v) our failure to obtain an agreement from any successor to substantially all of our assets or our business to assume and agree to |

Edgar Filing: CARMAX INC - Form DEF 14A

perform the employment or severance agreement within 15 days after a merger, consolidation, sale or similar transaction. The effective date of termination is the date set forth in a notice from the NEO to us, which notice must be given to us at least 45 days prior to the effective date of termination.

| | |
|---------|--|
| Without | Termination by the NEO without good reason occurs when the NEO terminates his |
| Good | employment for any reason other than good reason, as described above. The effective date |
| Reason | of termination is the date set forth in a notice from the NEO to us, which notice must be |
| | given to us at least 45 days prior to the effective date of termination. We will not owe any |
| | payments to an NEO as a result of a termination without good reason. |

The benefits paid in connection with each of these categories may change if the termination event occurs during the two years following a CIC or an asset sale. Each agreement defines a CIC as the acquisition by a third party of beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the voting power of our securities or, in connection with a tender or exchange offer, merger or other business transaction, the directors serving immediately prior to the transaction no longer constitute a majority of our Board following the transaction. Each agreement defines an asset sale as a sale of all or substantially all of CarMax's assets in a single transaction or a series of related transactions.

COMPENSATION TABLES CONTINUED

∅ **Table of Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control**

The following table shows the estimated payments and benefits that we would provide to each NEO under various scenarios related to a termination of employment or a CIC. The table assumes that each termination event occurred on February 28, 2014. Accordingly, we made certain calculations using a common stock value of \$48.43 per share, which was the closing market price on February 28, 2014, the last trading day of our fiscal year. The footnotes to the table explain how these amounts are calculated and how they are paid (that is, in a lump sum or over an extended period). The payments described below would be made by CarMax. Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code imposes a six-month delay on payments related to a termination of employment in certain circumstances. Accordingly, the payment (or first payment) of any amount listed below may be delayed by six months.

The following table does not include amounts payable to each NEO under our Pension Plan, Benefit Restoration Plan, Retirement Restoration Plan or Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, the details of which can be found in the sections titled "Pension Benefits in Fiscal 2014" on page 43 and "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation" on page 45. None of the termination events discussed below enhances any payments to be made under these plans.

| Name | Type of Payment | TYPE OF TERMINATION EVENT | | | | CIC | CIC |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| | | Term. Without Cause (\$) | Resignation for Good Reason (\$) | Early or Normal Retirement (\$) | Death or Disability (\$) | Followed by Term. for Cause or Resignation Without Good Reason (\$) | Followed by Term. Without Cause or Resignation With Good Reason (\$) |
| Thomas J. Folliard | Severance Payment ^(a) | 4,683,068 | 4,683,068 | | | | |
| | Annual Incentive Bonus ^(b) | 2,059,449 | | | 1,707,669 | | 1,707,669 |
| | Long-Term Equity Award ^(c) | 12,848,883 | 12,848,883 | | 12,848,883 | 6,424,442 | 12,848,883 |
| | Other Payments: | | | | | | |
| | Good Reason ^(d) | | 1,707,669 | | | | |
| | CIC ^(e) | | | | | | 9,561,705 |
| | Other Benefits: | | | | | | |
| | Health ^(f) | 11,693 | 11,693 | | | | 11,693 |
| | Financial Services ^(g) | 14,565 | 14,565 | | 14,565 | | 14,565 |
| | Outplacement ^(h) | 50,000 | 50,000 | | | | 50,000 |
| TOTAL | | 19,667,658 | 19,315,878 | | 14,571,117 | 6,424,442 | 24,194,515 |
| Severance Payment ^(a) | | 1,499,352 | 1,499,352 | | | | |

Edgar Filing: CARMAX INC - Form DEF 14A

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| William D. Nash | Annual Incentive Bonus ^(b) | 450,460 | | 373,516 | | 373,516 |
| | Long-Term Equity Award ^(c) | 4,619,727 | 4,619,727 | 4,619,727 | 2,309,864 | 4,619,727 |
| | Other Good Reason ^(d) | | 373,516 | | | |
| | Payments: | | | | | |
| | CIC ^(e) | | | | | 2,835,958 |
| | Other Health ^(f) | 11,693 | 11,693 | | | 11,693 |
| | Benefits: Financial Services ^(g) | 14,565 | 14,565 | 14,565 | | 14,565 |
| | Outplacement ^(h) | 25,000 | 25,000 | | | 25,000 |
| | TOTAL | 6,620,797 | 6,543,853 | 5,007,808 | 2,309,864 | 7,880,459 |
| | Severance Payment ^(a) | 1,734,072 | 1,734,072 | | | |
| Thomas W. Reedy | Annual Incentive Bonus ^(b) | 520,672 | | 431,735 | | 431,735 |
| | Long-Term Equity Award ^(c) | 4,676,058 | 4,676,058 | 4,676,058 | 2,338,029 | 4,676,058 |
| | Other Good Reason ^(d) | | 431,735 | | | |
| | Payments: | | | | | |
| | CIC ^(e) | | | | | 3,277,990 |
| | Other Health ^(f) | 11,693 | 11,693 | | | 11,693 |
| | Benefits: Financial Services ^(g) | 14,565 | 14,565 | 14,565 | | 14,565 |
| | Outplacement ^(h) | 25,000 | 25,000 | | | 25,000 |
| | TOTAL | 6,982,060 | 6,893,123 | 5,122,358 | 2,338,029 | 8,437,041 |

COMPENSATION TABLES CONTINUED

| Name | Type of Payment | TYPE OF TERMINATION EVENT | | | | CIC Followed by Term. for Cause or Resignation Without Good Reason (\$) | CIC Followed by Term. Without Cause or Resignation With Good Reason (\$) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | | Term. Without Cause (\$) | Resignation for Good Reason (\$) | Early or Normal Retirement (\$) | Death or Disability (\$) | | |
| William C. Wood | Severance Payment ^(a) | 1,734,072 | 1,734,072 | | | | |
| | Annual Incentive Bonus ^(b) | 520,672 | | | 431,735 | | 431,735 |
| | Long-Term Equity Award ^(c) | 4,619,727 | 4,619,727 | | 4,619,727 | 2,309,864 | 4,619,727 |
| | Other Payments: | | 431,735 | | | | |
| | Good Reason ^(d) | | 431,735 | | | | |
| | CIC ^(e) | | | | | | 3,277,990 |
| | Other Benefits: | | 11,706 | 11,706 | | | 11,706 |
| Health ^(f) | | 11,706 | 11,706 | | | 11,706 | |
| Financial Services ^(g) | | 14,565 | 14,565 | | 14,565 | 14,565 | |
| Outplacement ^(h) | | 25,000 | 25,000 | | | 25,000 | |
| TOTAL | | 6,925,742 | 6,836,805 | | 5,066,027 | 2,309,864 | 8,380,723 |
| Eric M. Margolin | Severance Payment ^(a) | 1,172,710 | 1,172,710 | | | | |
| | Annual Incentive Bonus ^(b) | 264,594 | | | 219,398 | | 219,398 |
| | Long-Term Equity Award ^(c) | 3,416,084 | 3,416,084 | | 3,416,084 | 1,708,042 | 3,416,084 |
| | Other Payments: | | 219,398 | | | | |
| | Good Reason ^(d) | | 219,398 | | | | |
| | CIC ^(e) | | | | | | 2,103,136 |
| | Other Benefits: | | 11,706 | 11,706 | | | 11,706 |
| Health ^(f) | | 11,706 | 11,706 | | | 11,706 | |
| Financial Services ^(g) | | 14,565 | 14,565 | | 14,565 | 14,565 | |
| Outplacement ^(h) | | 25,000 | 25,000 | | | 25,000 | |
| TOTAL | | 4,904,659 | 4,859,463 | | 3,650,047 | 1,708,042 | 5,789,889 |

We calculate severance payments using the following formula: 2 x (Base Salary + (Last Annual Bonus as determined by the Compensation and Personnel Committee)). This amount is paid in equal monthly installments (a) over the 24-month period following the date of termination. At February 28, 2014, the last annual bonus as determined by the Compensation and Personnel Committee for each of the NEOs was the fiscal 2013 bonus, which is set forth in the "Summary Compensation Table" on page 38.

(b)

The Annual Incentive Bonus is the bonus paid pursuant to our Bonus Plan. In a termination scenario, this bonus is calculated in two different ways depending on the nature of the termination. If an NEO is terminated without cause or retires, we pay a pro rata actual bonus, which is the pro rata share of the NEO's annual bonus based on actual performance for the fiscal year in which the termination occurs. The pro rata actual bonus is paid to the NEO in a lump sum when annual bonuses are paid to other senior officers for the relevant fiscal year. Because the termination event is assumed to occur on February 28, 2014, our fiscal year end, the pro rata actual bonus is equal to the NEO's actual bonus for fiscal 2014. In contrast, if an NEO is terminated without cause—or leaves the Company for good reason—following a CIC, or if the NEO dies or becomes disabled, we pay a pro rata target bonus. The pro rata target bonus is the pro rata share of the NEO's annual bonus at his target bonus rate for the fiscal year in which the date of termination occurs. The pro rata target bonus is paid to the NEO in a lump sum within ten days after the date of termination. Because the termination event is assumed to occur on February 28, 2014, our fiscal year end, the pro rata target bonus is equal to the NEO's target bonus amount.

Following certain termination events, equity awards made to the NEO during the course of his employment will vest and become exercisable in accordance with the terms and conditions of our Stock Incentive Plan and the individual award agreement. For additional information regarding each NEO's outstanding equity awards, see the "Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal 2014 Year End" table on pages 41 and 42. The value of the vested but unexercised portion of each option has not been included in the amounts reported above because their receipt is (c) not accelerated by termination events. Upon a CIC, fifty percent of an NEO's unvested options and fifty percent of his unvested MSUs vest immediately. The remaining fifty percent vest on the first anniversary of the CIC. As discussed on page 29, in January 2014 the Compensation and Personnel Committee replaced this modified single-trigger feature in our long-term equity awards with a double-trigger feature under which a change-in-control will no longer trigger accelerated vesting. Accordingly, the long-term equity awards we issue in fiscal 2015 will no longer have a single-trigger feature.

The Good Reason Payment is a one-time payment made to the NEO following his termination for Good Reason. It is equal to the NEO's base salary multiplied by a certain percentage, which percentage is generally the same as the (d) NEO's target bonus percentage. The Good Reason Payment is paid in a lump sum cash payment within ten days after the date of termination.

The Change-in-Control Payment is equal to 2.99 times the NEO's final compensation, which consists of the sum of the NEO's base salary at the date of termination and the higher of the annual bonus paid or earned but not yet paid to the NEO for the two most recently completed fiscal years. At February 28, 2014, the higher annual bonus for (e) each NEO is the fiscal 2014 annual bonus. The Change-in-Control Payment will be paid to the NEO in equal monthly installments over the 24-month period following the date of termination, unless the payment is related to an Internal Revenue Code Section 409A CIC event, as that term is defined in each NEO's agreement, in which case the Change-in-Control Payment will be paid in a lump sum cash payment on the forty-fifth day after the date of termination.

COMPENSATION TABLES CONTINUED

If the NEO elects to continue coverage under our health, dental or vision plans following the date of termination pursuant to the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (“COBRA”), the NEO will be responsible for remitting to us the appropriate COBRA premium. We will reimburse the NEO for a portion of the COBRA premium equal to the sum of: (i) the amount that we would have otherwise paid for the coverage if he had (f) remained an active associate; and (ii) the COBRA administration fee. This partial COBRA reimbursement will be paid in equal monthly installments for up to an 18-month period. For purposes of the table on pages 48 and 49, we have assumed that each officer elected to continue his coverage on February 28, 2014, for the full 18-month period.

We provide a tax and financial planning benefit to our NEOs for the one-year period following retirement, (g) termination without cause (including death, disability or a termination for good reason) and a CIC. The annual cost of this service is \$14,565.

Outplacement services are available to each NEO in an amount not to exceed \$50,000 for Mr. Folliard and \$25,000 (h) for the other NEOs. The table on pages 48 and 49 assumes that the maximum outplacement benefit is paid to each NEO.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Our non-employee directors are compensated for their services as described below. Mr. Folliard does not receive any compensation for serving as a director.

Director Compensation Program

The following table describes the components of our director compensation program for fiscal 2014. The Compensation and Personnel Committee periodically reviews this program and recommends changes to the Board as appropriate.

| Compensation Element | Director Compensation Program^(a) |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Annual Cash Retainer | \$65,000 |
| Annual Equity Retainer | \$100,000 ^(b) |
| Board Chair Fee | \$100,000 |
| Committee Chair Fee | \$20,000 for the Audit Committee \$15,000 for the Compensation and Personnel Committee \$10,000 for the Nominating and Governance Committee |
| Audit Committee Fee | \$5,000 |
| Meeting Fee | \$1,500 per in-person meeting; \$750 per telephonic meeting |

In addition to the compensation elements disclosed above, we reimburse our directors for travel and other necessary business expenses incurred in the performance of their services to us. We also offer our directors ^(a) coverage under our health, dental and vision plans at the same rates at which coverage is offered to our associates. Non-employee directors may not use our plane for personal travel.

The annual equity retainer consists of common stock having a fair market value of approximately \$50,000 on the grant date and stock options valued at approximately \$50,000 on the grant date. The stock options are valued using ^(b) the binomial pricing model, cliff vest on the one-year anniversary of the grant date, and expire on the seventh anniversary of the grant date.

Our corporate governance guidelines include director stock ownership guidelines. These guidelines require non-employee directors to own common stock or other forms of equity with a value equivalent to five times the annual cash retainer within five years of joining the Board. Each of our non-employee directors met this guideline as of February 28, 2014.

Non-Employee Director Compensation in Fiscal 2014

The following table provides each element of non-employee director compensation for fiscal 2014.

| Name | Fees Earned | | | | Total |
|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| | Cash ^(a) | Stock Awards ^(b) | Option Awards ^{(c)(d)} | All Other Compensation ^(e) | |
| | (\$) | (\$) | (\$) | (\$) | (\$) |
| Ronald E. Blaylock | 77,750 | 49,962 | 49,995 | 5,000 | 182,707 |
| Rakesh Gangwal | 77,750 | 49,962 | 49,995 | | 177,707 |
| Jeffrey E. Garten | 77,750 | 49,962 | 49,995 | 10,000 | 187,707 |
| Shira Goodman | 77,750 | 49,962 | 49,995 | 10,000 | 187,707 |
| W. Robert Grafton | 108,000 | 49,962 | 49,995 | | 207,957 |
| Edgar H. Grubb | 87,750 | 49,962 | 49,995 | | 187,707 |
| Mitchell D. Steenrod | 85,000 | 49,962 | 49,995 | 2,000 | 186,957 |
| Thomas G. Stemberg | 92,750 | 49,962 | 49,995 | 10,000 | 202,707 |
| Beth A. Stewart | 88,000 | 49,962 | 49,995 | 10,000 | 197,957 |
| William R. Tiefel | 171,000 | 49,962 | 49,995 | 20,000 | 290,957 |

^(a) Represents the cash compensation earned in fiscal 2014 for Board, Committee, and Board and Committee chairman service.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION CONTINUED

(b) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of the stock awards made in fiscal 2014 as determined in accordance with ASC Topic 718. In June 2013, we granted 1,071 shares of common stock to each non-employee director.

(c) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of the option awards made in fiscal 2014 as determined in accordance with ASC Topic 718. These amounts do not correspond to the actual value that may be recognized by each director. The assumptions used in determining the grant date fair values of these option awards are set forth in Note 12(D) to our consolidated financial statements, which is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2014. In June 2013, we granted 2,870 stock options to each non-employee director.

(d) The following table provides information on the aggregate option awards held by each of our non-employee directors as of February 28, 2014. The figures below include both vested and unvested options.

| Name | Outstanding Option Awards (#) |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Ronald E. Blaylock | 19,007 |
| Rakesh Gangwal | 11,388 |
| Jeffrey E. Garten | 39,161 |
| Shira Goodman | 35,714 |
| W. Robert Grafton | 39,161 |
| Edgar H. Grubb | 39,161 |
| Mitchell D. Steenrod | 11,388 |
| Thomas G. Stemberg | 39,161 |
| Beth A. Stewart | 39,161 |
| William R. Tiefel | 24,785 |

(e) Represents matching charitable gifts made by The CarMax Foundation as part of its matching gifts program (which is broadly available to all CarMax associates).

CARMAX SHARE OWNERSHIP

Share Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers

The following table includes information about our common stock beneficially owned as of February 28, 2014, by:

| | |
|---|---|
| i | Our CEO and the other named executive officers. |
| i | Each director or nominee for director. |
| i | All of our directors and executive officers as a group. |

Unless otherwise noted, each shareholder has sole voting power and investment power with respect to securities shown in the table below.

| Named Executive Officers | CarMax Shares | Shares of CarMax | Percent of Class |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| | that May Be | Common | |
| | Acquired Within | Stock Beneficially | |
| | 60 Days after | Owned as of | |
| | February 28, 2014 | February 28, 2014^(b) | |
| Thomas J. Folliard ^(a) | 959,870 | 1,490,603 | * |
| William D. Nash | 180,787 | 296,843 | * |
| Thomas W. Reedy | 150,690 | 283,633 | * |
| William C. Wood | 152,787 | 276,628 | * |
| Eric M. Margolin | 163,929 | 282,819 | * |
| Directors/Director Nominees | | | |
| Ronald E. Blaylock | 14,930 | 18,691 | * |
| Rakesh Gangwal | 0,311 | 61,882 | * |
| Jeffrey E. Garten | 5,084 | 55,679 | * |
| Shira Goodman | 1,637 | 42,669 | * |
| W. Robert Grafton | 5,084 | 62,755 | * |

Edgar Filing: CARMAX INC - Form DEF 14A

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-------|---|
| Edgar H. Grubb | K5,084 | 50,143 | * | |
| Mitchell D. Steenrod | O,311 | 15,382 | * | |
| Thomas G. Stemberg | K5,084 | 64,027 | (c) * | |
| William R. Tiefel | J0,708 | 185,307 | * | |
| All directors and executive officers as a group (16 persons) | J,700,402 | 3,779,336 | 1.70 | % |

* Represents beneficial ownership of less than one percent of the 221,685,984 shares of CarMax common stock outstanding on February 28, 2014.

(a) Mr. Folliard is also a director of CarMax.

(b) Includes (i) shares of CarMax common stock that could be acquired through the exercise of stock options within 60 days after February 28, 2014, and (ii) shares of CarMax common stock that will be acquired upon the April 5, 2014, settlement of the MSUs granted to each officer on April 5, 2011. Each of these MSUs has been converted to shares of CarMax common stock based upon the applicable conversion formula and our assumption that the average closing price of our stock during the final 40 trading days of the MSU's three-year vesting period was equal to the closing price of our stock on February 28, 2014, the last trading day of our fiscal year (which was \$48.43).

(c) Includes 1,540 shares of CarMax common stock held by Mr. Stemberg as the custodian for two of his sons pursuant to the Uniform Transfers to Minors Act. Mr. Stemberg disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares. Also includes 13,530 shares that were held in a margin account as of February 28, 2014. These shares are no longer held in a margin account.

CARMAX SHARE OWNERSHIP CONTINUED**Share Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners**

The following table includes, as of February 28, 2014, information about shareholders that reported to the SEC that they beneficially owned more than 5% of our common stock. We are not aware of any other owners of more than 5% of our common stock.

| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner(s) | Number of Shares Owned Percent of Class | |
|--|--|-------|
| T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. ^(a) | | |
| 100 E. Pratt Street | 24,097,823 | 10.7% |
| Baltimore, MD 21202 | | |
| The Vanguard Group, Inc. ^(b) | | |
| 100 Vanguard Boulevard | 14,958,732 | 6.69% |
| Malvern, PA 19355 | | |
| BlackRock, Inc. ^(c) | | |
| 40 East 52nd Street | 13,454,731 | 6.0% |
| New York, NY 10022 | | |
| PRIMECAP Management Company ^(d) | | |
| 225 South Lake Avenue, #400 | 12,924,217 | 5.79% |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | | |

^(a) Information concerning the CarMax common stock beneficially owned as of December 31, 2013, was obtained from a Schedule 13G/A filed February 12, 2014. According to the Schedule 13G/A, T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. has the sole power to vote 7,079,805 shares and the sole power to dispose of 24,097,823 shares of CarMax common stock.

^(b) Information concerning the CarMax common stock beneficially owned as of December 31, 2013, was obtained from a Schedule 13G/A filed February 11, 2014. According to the Schedule 13G/A, The Vanguard Group, Inc. has the sole power to vote 368,401 shares, the sole power to dispose of 14,619,117 shares, and the shared power to

dispose of 339,615 shares of CarMax common stock.

Information concerning the CarMax common stock beneficially owned as of December 31, 2013, was obtained (c) from a Schedule 13G filed February 11, 2014. According to the Schedule 13G, Blackrock, Inc. has the sole power to vote 11,046,909 shares and the sole power to dispose of 13,454,731 shares of CarMax common stock.

Information concerning the CarMax common stock beneficially owned as of December 31, 2013, was obtained (d) from a Schedule 13G/A filed February 14, 2014. According to the Schedule 13G/A, PRIMECAP Management Company has the sole power to vote 6,265,540 shares and the sole power to dispose of 12,924,217 shares of CarMax common stock.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Our executive officers, directors, and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of our common stock are required to report any transactions in our common stock to the SEC and to share those reports with us. As a matter of practice, we assist our executive officers and directors in preparing and filing these reports. Based solely on a review of these reports or written representations that no other reports were required, we believe that all officers, directors and beneficial owners of more than 10% of our common stock complied with applicable filing requirements during fiscal 2014.

CARMAX SHARE OWNERSHIP CONTINUED

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of February 28, 2014, with respect to our three equity-based compensation plans under which shares of our common stock have been authorized for issuance: (i) our Stock Incentive Plan; (ii) our Non-Employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan; and (iii) our Employee Stock Purchase Plan (“ESPP”).

| Plan Category | Number of Securities To Be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Weighted | Number of Securities Remaining Available for |
|--|---|---|--|
| | | Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (\$) | Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in the First Column) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders: | | | |
| Stock Incentive Plan | 9,697,901 | 27.14 | 10,562,895 (a) |
| Non-Employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan | 320,002 | 23.28 | 74,408 (a) |
| Employee Stock Purchase Plan | | | 3,724,673 (b) |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | | | |
| Total | 10,017,903 | 27.02 | 14,361,976 |

(a) The remaining common stock available for future issuance under the Stock Incentive Plan may be issued as options, common stock, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance compensation awards, or SARs. The remaining common stock available for future issuance under the Non-Employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan may be issued as options, common stock, restricted stock, restricted stock units, or SARs.

(b) The ESPP authorizes the issuance of 8,000,000 shares of common stock. As of February 28, 2014, 4,275,327 shares have been purchased on the open market and 3,724,673 shares remain available for issuance. Under the ESPP, full- and part-time associates who have been employed for one year are eligible to participate. Executive officers may not participate in the ESPP. A participating associate may authorize payroll deductions between 2%

and 10% of compensation, up to an annual maximum of \$7,500. Each month, the payroll deductions are used to purchase CarMax common stock. Shares are purchased on the open market and the purchase price is the average cost of all shares purchased for a particular month. To encourage participation in the ESPP, we match 15% of the associate's contribution. An eligible associate may change, cease or restart contributions for any payroll period without penalty. We pay all administrative costs of the ESPP. There are no outstanding options, warrants, or rights under the ESPP.

GENERAL INFORMATION

VOTING INFORMATION

Shareholders Entitled to Vote

If you owned CarMax common stock at the close of business on April 15, 2014, you can vote at the annual meeting. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote.

To conduct the annual meeting, a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock as of April 15, 2014, must be present in person or by proxy. This is referred to as a quorum. Abstentions and shares held by banks, brokers or nominees that are voted on any matter are included in determining whether a quorum exists. There were 220,439,252 shares of CarMax common stock outstanding on April 15, 2014.

How to Vote (Record Owners)

Shareholders of record (that is, shareholders who hold their shares in their own name) may vote in any of the following ways:

By Internet. You may vote online by accessing www.carmaxproxy.com and following the on-screen instructions. You will need the Control Number included on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") or on your proxy card, as applicable. You may vote online 24 hours a day. If you vote online, you do not need to return a proxy card.

By Telephone. If you are located in the U.S., you may vote by calling toll free 1-800-PROXIES (1-800-776-9437) and following the instructions. If you are located outside the U.S., call § 1-718-921-8500. You will need the Control Number included on the Notice or on your proxy card, as applicable. You may vote by telephone 24 hours a day. If you vote by telephone, you do not need to return a proxy card.

By Mail. If you requested printed copies of the proxy materials, you will receive a proxy card, and § you may vote by signing, dating and mailing the proxy card in the envelope provided.

In Person. You may vote in person at the annual meeting by requesting a ballot from the inspector of § election at the meeting.

Participants in our ESPP may vote in any of the ways listed above.

How to Vote (Beneficial Owners)

If your shares are held in "street name" (that is, in the name of a bank, broker, or other holder of record), you may vote in any of the following ways:

§

Edgar Filing: CARMAX INC - Form DEF 14A

By Internet. You may vote online by following the instructions provided in the Notice. You will need the Control Number included on the Notice or on your voting instruction form, as applicable. You may vote online 24 hours a day. If you vote online, you do not need to return a voting instruction form.

By Telephone. You may vote by telephone by following the instructions provided in the Notice. You will need the Control Number included on the Notice or on your voting instruction form, as applicable. You may vote by telephone 24 hours a day. If you vote by telephone, you do not need to return a voting instruction form.

GENERAL INFORMATION CONTINUED

§ *By Mail.* If you requested printed copies of the proxy materials, you will receive a voting instruction form, and you may vote by signing, dating and mailing it in the envelope provided.

§ *In Person.* You must obtain a legal proxy from the organization that holds your shares in order to vote your shares in person at the annual meeting. Follow the instructions on the Notice to obtain this legal proxy.

Deadline for Voting

For both shareholders of record and beneficial owners of shares held in street name (other than ESPP participants), online and telephone voting is available through 11:59 p.m. ET on Sunday, June 22, 2014.

**Changing Your Vote
Effect of Not Voting**

For shares held by ESPP participants in an ESPP account, online and telephone voting is available through 11:59 p.m. ET on Wednesday, June 18, 2014.

You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is exercised by submitting a subsequent vote using any of the methods described above.

Shareholders of Record. If you are a shareholder of record and you:

§ Do not vote via the Internet, by telephone or by mail, your shares will not be voted unless you attend the annual meeting to vote them in person.

§ Sign and return a proxy card without giving specific voting instructions, then your shares will be voted in the manner recommended by the Board on all matters presented in this proxy statement and as the proxy holders may determine in their discretion on any other matters properly presented for a vote.

Beneficial Owners of Shares Held in Street Name or Participants in the ESPP. If you are a beneficial owner of shares held in street name or a participant in the ESPP and you do not provide the organization that holds your shares with specific voting instructions, under the rules of various national and regional securities exchanges, the organization that holds your shares generally may vote your shares on routine matters but cannot vote your shares on non-routine matters. If the organization that holds your shares does not receive instructions from you on how to vote your shares on a non-routine matter, the organization will not have the authority to vote your shares on this matter. This is generally referred to as a “broker non-vote.”

Voting Standards

Proposals One (election of directors), Two (ratification of KPMG) and Three (advisory vote on executive compensation), must be approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted in determining the number of votes cast for any of these proposals.

GENERAL INFORMATION CONTINUED

Routine and Non-Routine Proposals

Routine Proposals. Proposal Two (ratification of KPMG) is considered a routine matter. A broker or other nominee generally may vote on routine matters, and therefore we expect no broker non-votes in connection with Proposal Two.

Non-routine Proposals. Proposals One (election of directors) and Three (advisory vote on executive compensation) are considered non-routine matters. A broker or other nominee cannot vote without instructions on non-routine matters, and therefore there may be broker non-votes on these proposals.

Counting the Votes

Representatives from American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, our transfer agent, will tabulate the votes and act as inspector of election at the annual meeting.

PROXY INFORMATION

Electronic Access to Proxy Materials and Annual Report

We are providing access to our proxy materials primarily over the Internet rather than mailing paper copies of those materials to each shareholder. On or about May 5, 2014, we will mail the Notice to our shareholders. This Notice will provide website and other information for the purpose of accessing proxy materials. The Notice tells you how to:

§ View our proxy materials for the annual meeting on the Internet.

§ Instruct us to send proxy materials to you by mail or email.

Choosing to receive proxy materials by email will save us the cost of printing and mailing documents and will reduce the impact of our annual meeting on the environment. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by email, you will receive an email message next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting website. Your election to receive proxy materials by email will remain in effect unless and until you rescind it.

Proxy Solicitation

CarMax pays the cost of soliciting proxies. We will solicit proxies from our shareholders, and, after the initial solicitation, some of our associates or agents may contact shareholders by telephone, by email or in person. We have retained Georgeson, Inc. to solicit proxies for a fee of \$7,500 plus reasonable expenses. We will also reimburse banks, brokerage firms and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their reasonable expenses in sending proxy materials to the beneficial owners of our common stock.

GENERAL INFORMATION CONTINUED

ANNUAL MEETING INFORMATION

| | |
|---|---|
| Attendance at the Annual Meeting | The annual meeting is open to all holders of CarMax common stock as of April 15, 2014. Shareholders who plan to attend the annual meeting may be asked to present valid picture identification, such as a driver's license or passport. If you are a beneficial shareholder, you must bring a copy of a brokerage statement indicating ownership of CarMax shares as of April 15, 2014. If you are an authorized proxy or if you want to vote in person the shares that you hold in street name, you must present the proper documentation from your bank or broker. Cameras, recording devices and other electronic devices will not be permitted at the annual meeting. |
| Other Matters | We are not aware of any matters that may come before the annual meeting other than the three proposals disclosed in this proxy statement. If other matters do come before the annual meeting, the named proxies will vote in accordance with their best judgment. |
| Next Year's Meeting | We plan to hold our 2015 annual meeting on or about June 22, 2015. |

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL

INFORMATION

Advance Notice of Shareholder Proposals and Other Items of Business

A shareholder proposal will be acted upon at the 2015 annual meeting only if it is included in our proxy statement or submitted under Section 1.3 of our Bylaws.

To be considered for inclusion in our 2015 proxy statement, a shareholder proposal must be received by our Corporate Secretary no later than January 5, 2015, and must comply with Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

To bring a matter for consideration before the 2015 annual meeting that is not included in the 2015 proxy statement, you must notify our Corporate Secretary no earlier than the close of business on December 5, 2014, and no later than the close of business on January 5, 2015, and must comply with Section 1.3 of our Bylaws.

Edgar Filing: CARMAX INC - Form DEF 14A

All proposals must be submitted in writing to our Corporate Secretary at CarMax, Inc., 12800 Tuckahoe Creek Parkway, Richmond, Virginia 23238.

CARMAX, INC.

12800 Tuckahoe Creek Parkway

Richmond, Virginia 23238

(804) 747-0422

www.carmax.com

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS OF
CARMAX, INC.

June 23, 2014, at 1:00 p.m. ET

PROXY VOTING INSTRUCTIONS

INTERNET - Access "www.voteproxy.com" and follow the on-screen instructions or scan the QR code with your smartphone. Have this proxy card available when you access the web page.

TELEPHONE - Call toll-free 1-800-PROXIES (1-800-776-9437) in the United States or 1-718-921-8500 from foreign countries from any touch-tone telephone and follow the instructions. Have this proxy card available when you call.

COMPANY NUMBER

ACCOUNT NUMBER

Vote online/phone until 11:59 PM ET the day before the meeting.

MAIL - Sign, date and mail this proxy card in the envelope provided as soon as possible.

CONTROL NUMBER

IN PERSON - You may vote your shares in person by attending the Annual Meeting.

GO GREEN - e-Consent makes it easy to go paperless. With e-Consent, you can quickly access your proxy material, statements and other eligible documents online, while reducing costs, clutter and paper waste. Enroll today via www.amstock.com to enjoy online access.

NOTICE OF INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS: The Notice of 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement and the Annual Report on Form 10-K are available at - www.carmaxproxy.com

Please detach along perforated line and mail in the envelope provided IF you are not voting via telephone or the Internet.

■ 00003333333333330000 6

062314

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" ALL NOMINEES FOR THE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS;

"FOR" PROPOSAL 2; AND "FOR" PROPOSAL 3.

PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. PLEASE MARK YOUR VOTE IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS SHOWN HERE x

Edgar Filing: CARMAX INC - Form DEF 14A

In their discretion, the named proxies are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting. This proxy, when properly executed, will be voted as directed herein by the undersigned shareholder. If no direction is made, this proxy will be voted FOR all nominees in Proposal 1; FOR Proposal 2; and FOR Proposal 3.

1. Election of Directors for a one-year term expiring at the 2015 Annual Shareholders' Meeting:

| | FOR | AGAINST | ABSTAIN |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Ronald E. Blaylock | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Thomas J. Folliard | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Rakesh Gangwal | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Jeffrey E. Garten | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Shira Goodman | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| W. Robert Grafton | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Edgar H. Grubb | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Mitchell D. Steenrod | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Thomas G. Stemberg | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| William R. Tiefel | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

2. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as independent registered public accounting firm.

FOR AGAINST ABSTAIN

3. To approve, in an advisory (non-binding) vote, the compensation of our named executive officers.

FOR AGAINST ABSTAIN

4. To transact any other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any postponements or adjournments thereof.

To change the address on your account, please check the box at right and indicate your new address in the address space above. Please note that changes to the registered name(s) on the account may not be submitted via this method.

Signature of Shareholder



Date:

Signature of Shareholder

Date:

Edgar Filing: CARMAX INC - Form DEF 14A

Note: Please sign exactly as your name or names appear on this Proxy. When shares are held jointly, each holder should sign. When signing as executor, administrator, attorney, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. If the signer is a corporation, please sign full corporate name by duly authorized officer, giving full title as such. If signer is a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person.



o



CARMAX, INC.

Proxy for Annual Meeting of Shareholders on June 23, 2014

Solicited on Behalf of the Board of Directors

As an alternative to completing this form, you may enter your vote instruction by telephone at 1-800-PROXIES, or via the Internet at WWW.VOTEPROXY.COM and follow the simple instructions. Use the Control Number shown on your proxy card.

The undersigned hereby appoints Tom Reedy and Eric Margolin (the “named proxies”), and each of them, with full power of substitution and power to act alone, as proxies to vote all the shares of CarMax, Inc. Common Stock, which the undersigned would be entitled to vote if personally present and acting at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of CarMax, Inc., to be held at 1:00 p.m. ET June 23, 2014, at the Hilton Richmond Hotel, Short Pump, 12042 West Broad Street, Richmond, Virginia 23233, and at any postponements or adjournments thereof, as follows:

(Continued and to be signed on the reverse side.)



14475

