

U-Store-It Trust
Form 10-K
March 01, 2010
[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-32324

U-STORE-IT TRUST

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Maryland
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

20-1024732
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

460 East Swedesford Road
Suite 3000
Wayne, Pennsylvania
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

19087
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code **(610) 293-5700**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Shares, \$0.01 par value per share	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. **YES** **NO**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. **YES** **NO**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. **YES** **NO**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). **YES** **NO**

As of June 30, 2009, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter, the aggregate market value of common shares held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$294,674,470.

As of February 26, 2010 the number of common shares of the registrant outstanding was 92,864,448.

Documents incorporated by reference: Portions of the Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Registrant to be filed subsequently with the SEC are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I</u>		3
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	4
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	9
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	21
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	22
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	30
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Reserved</u>	30
<u>PART II</u>		31
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	31
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	34
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	37
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	52
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	52
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	52
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	52
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	53
<u>PART III</u>		53
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Trustees, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	53
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	53
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters</u>	53
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Trustee Independence</u>	54
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	54
<u>PART IV</u>		54
<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	54

Table of Contents

PART I

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and other statements and information publicly disseminated by U-Store-It Trust (we, us, our or the Company), contain certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Such statements are based on estimates, assumptions and expectations that may not be realized and are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which we cannot predict with accuracy and some of which we might not even anticipate. Although we believe the estimates, assumptions and expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, our actual performance may differ materially from the results expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Risks, uncertainties and other factors that might cause such differences, some of which could be material, include, but are not limited to:

- changes in national and local economic, business, real estate and other market conditions which, among other things, reduce demand for self-storage facilities or increase costs of owning and operating self-storage facilities;
- competition from other self-storage facilities and storage alternatives, which could result in lower occupancy and decreased rents;
- the execution of our business plan;
- financing risks including the risk of over-leverage and the corresponding risk of default on our mortgage and other debt and potential inability to refinance existing indebtedness;
- increases in interest rates and operating costs;
- counterparty non-performance related to the use of derivative financial instruments;
- our ability to maintain our status as a real estate investment trust (REIT) for federal income tax purposes;
- acquisition and development risks, including unanticipated costs associated with the integration and operation of acquisitions;

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

- risks of investing through joint ventures, including risks that our joint venture partners may not fulfill their obligations or may pursue actions that are inconsistent with our objectives;
- changes in real estate and zoning laws or regulations;
- risks related to natural disasters;
- potential environmental and other liabilities; and
- other risks identified in Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and, from time to time, in other reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") or in other documents that we publicly disseminate.

Given these uncertainties and the other risks identified elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we caution readers not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise these forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise except as may be required by securities laws.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate company focused primarily on the ownership, operation, acquisition and development of self-storage facilities in the United States.

As of December 31, 2009, we owned 367 self-storage facilities located in 26 states and in the District of Columbia containing an aggregate of approximately 23.7 million rentable square feet. As of December 31, 2009, approximately 75.2% of the rentable square footage at our facilities was leased to approximately 150,000 tenants, and no single tenant represented a significant concentration of our revenues.

Our self-storage facilities are designed to offer affordable, easily-accessible and secure storage space for our residential and commercial customers. Our customers rent storage units for their exclusive use, typically on a month-to-month basis. Additionally, some of our facilities offer outside storage areas for vehicles and boats. Our facilities are specifically designed to accommodate both residential and commercial customers, with features such as security systems and wide aisles and load-bearing capabilities for large truck access. All of our facilities have an on-site manager during business hours, and 249, or approximately 68%, of our facilities have a manager who resides in an apartment at the facility. Our customers can access their storage units during business hours, and some of our facilities provide customers with 24-hour access through computer controlled access systems. Our goal is to provide customers with the highest standard of facilities and service in the industry. To that end, approximately 68% of our facilities include climate controlled units, compared to the national average of 49% reported by the 2009 Self-Storage Almanac.

We were formed in July 2004 as a Maryland REIT. We own our assets and conduct our business through our operating partnership, U-Store-It, L.P. (our Operating Partnership), and its subsidiaries. We control the Operating Partnership as its sole general partner and, as of December 31, 2009, we owned an approximately 95.1% interest in the Operating Partnership. Our Operating Partnership has been engaged in virtually all aspects of the self-storage business, including the development, acquisition, ownership and operation of self-storage facilities.

Acquisition and Disposition Activity

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, we owned 367 and 387 facilities, respectively, that contained an aggregate of 23.7 million and 25.0 million rentable square feet with occupancy rates of 75.2% and 78.9%, respectively. As of December 31, 2009 we had facilities in the District of Columbia and the following 26 states: Alabama, Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia and Wisconsin. A complete listing of, and additional information about, our facilities is included in Item 2 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The following is a summary of our 2009 and 2008 acquisition and disposition activity:

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Facility/Portfolio	Location	Transaction Date	Number of Facilities	Purchase / Sales Price (in thousands)
<i>2009 Dispositions:</i>				
68th Street Asset	Miami, FL	January 2009	1	\$ 2,973
Albuquerque, NM Asset	Albuquerque, NM	April 2009	1	2,825
S. Palmetto Asset	Ontario, CA	June 2009	1	5,925
Hotel Circle Asset	Albuquerque, NM	July 2009	1	3,600
Jersey City Asset	Jersey City, NJ	August 2009	1	11,625
Dale Mabry Asset	Tampa, FL	August 2009	1	2,800
Winner Assets	Multiple locations in CO	September 2009	6	17,300
Baton Rouge Asset (Eminent Domain)	Baton Rouge, LA	September 2009	(b)	1,918
North H Street Asset (Eminent Domain)	San Bernardino, CA	September 2009	1	(c)
Boulder Assets (a)	Boulder, CO	September 2009	4	32,000
Winner Assets	Multiple locations in CO	October 2009	2	6,600
Brecksville Asset	Brecksville, OH	November 2009	1	3,300
			20	\$ 90,866
<i>2008 Acquisitions:</i>				
Uptown Asset	Washington, DC	January 2008	1	\$ 13,300
<i>2008 Dispositions:</i>				
77th Street Asset	Miami, FL	March 2008	1	\$ 2,175
Leesburg Asset	Leesburg, FL	March 2008	1	2,400
Lakeland Asset	Lakeland, FL	April 2008	1	2,050
Endicott Asset	Union, NY	May 2008	1	2,250
Linden Asset	Linden, NJ	June 2008	1	2,825
Baton Rouge/Prairieville Assets	Multiple locations in LA	June 2008	2	5,400
Churchill Assets	Multiple locations in MS	August 2008	4	8,333
Biloxi/Gulf Breeze Assets	Multiple locations in MS/FL	September 2008	2	10,760
Deland Asset	Deland, FL	September 2008	1	2,780
Mobile Assets	Mobile, AL	September 2008	2	6,140
Hudson Assets	Hudson, OH	October 2008	2	2,640
Stuart/Vero Beach Assets	Multiple locations in FL	October 2008	2	4,550
Skipper Road Assets	Multiple locations in FL	November 2008	2	5,020
Waterway Asset	Miami, FL	December 2008	1	4,635
			23	\$ 61,958

(a) We provided \$17.6 million in seller financing to the buyer as part of the Boulder Assets disposition.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

- (b) Approximately one third of the Baton Rouge Asset was taken in conjunction with eminent domain proceedings. We continue to own and operate the remaining two thirds of the asset and include the asset in our total portfolio property count.
- (c) The entirety of the North H Street Asset was taken in conjunction with eminent domain proceedings and we have removed this asset from our total portfolio asset count. We expect to finalize compensatory terms with discussions with the State of California by the fourth quarter of 2010.

The following table summarizes the change in number of our self-storage facilities from January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2009:

Table of Contents

	2009	2008
Balance - Beginning of year	387	409
Facilities acquired		1
Facilities sold/ eminent domain	(20)	(23)
Balance - End of year	367	387

Financing Activities

The following summarizes certain financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2009:

- New Credit Facility.** On December 8, 2009, we and our Operating Partnership entered into a three-year, \$450 million secured credit facility with Wells Fargo Securities, LLC and Banc of America Securities LLC, as joint lead arrangers. Our new credit facility is comprised of a \$200 million secured term loan and a \$250 million secured revolving credit facility. Our new credit facility replaced our previous \$450 million unsecured credit facility. As of December 31, 2009, \$200 million was outstanding under the term loan portion of the new credit facility and no amounts were outstanding under the revolving portion of the new credit facility. The interest rate under the credit facility depends on our leverage levels and ranges from 3.25% to 4.00% over LIBOR, with a LIBOR floor of 1.5%. Amounts that we repay under the term loan may not be re-borrowed. We, together with our wholly-owned subsidiaries, USI II, LLC and YSI XXIX, L.P., are guarantors under the new credit facility. The new credit facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including restrictions on distributions to our shareholders, and financial covenants, including liquidity and net worth requirements. Our ability to borrow from time to time under the revolver is subject to our ongoing compliance with these covenants.
- Small Mortgages Regional Bank Financings.** During 2009, we obtained an aggregate of \$119.8 million in secured financings with 17 community and regional banks. These loans, which range in size from \$1.1 million to \$25.6 million, are secured by mortgages on 45 of our properties. The weighted average maturity of these loans at December 31, 2009 was 6.6 years and the weighted average interest rate of the loans is 7.01%. These loans contain customary affirmative, negative and financial covenants. We or the Operating Partnership are guarantors under each of these loans for customary non-recourse carve-outs.
- Joint Venture.** On August 13, 2009, we consummated a joint venture with an affiliate of Heitman, LLC. We contributed 22 properties to this joint venture, which are located in eight states, and received a distribution of approximately \$51 million in cash at closing and a 50% interest in this joint venture, which we consolidate. We used the proceeds distributed to us by the joint venture to reduce the outstanding balance under our prior unsecured credit facility and for general corporate purposes. We provide day-to-day management services for the properties of the joint venture in exchange for a market-rate management fee.
- Public Offering.** On August 19, 2009, we sold 32.2 million common shares of beneficial interest for net proceeds of approximately \$161.9 million. Additionally, during 2009 we sold 2.5 million shares in conjunction with our at the market program for net proceeds of approximately \$9.7 million. We used the net proceeds from these issuances to repay existing indebtedness, including under our prior unsecured credit facility, and for general corporate purposes.

Business Strategy

Our business strategy consists of several elements:

- **Maximize cash flow from our facilities** Our operating strategy focuses on maximizing sustainable rents at our facilities while achieving and sustaining occupancy targets. We utilize our operating systems and experienced personnel to manage the balance between rental rates, discounts, and physical occupancy with an objective of maximizing our rental revenue.

Table of Contents

- **Acquire facilities within targeted markets** Although our business plan does not contemplate significant facilities acquisitions in 2010, we expect to continue selective acquisitions in markets that we believe have high barriers to entry, strong demographic fundamentals and demand for storage in excess of storage capacity. We expect to focus our evaluation of acquisition opportunities in markets where we currently maintain management that can be extended to additional facilities. We believe the self-storage industry will continue to afford us opportunities for growth through acquisitions due to the highly fragmented composition of the industry.

Investment and Market Selection Process

We maintain a disciplined and focused process in the acquisition and development of self-storage facilities. Our investment committee, comprised of executive officers and led by Dean Jernigan, our Chief Executive Officer, oversees our investment process. Our investment process involves six stages – identification, initial due diligence, economic assessment, investment committee approval (and when required, Board approval), final due diligence, and documentation. Through our investment committee, we intend to focus on the following criteria:

- **Targeted markets** Our targeted markets include areas where we currently maintain management that can be extended to additional facilities, or where we believe that we can acquire a significant number of facilities efficiently and within a short period of time. We evaluate both the broader market and the immediate area, typically five miles around the facility, for their ability to support above-average demographic growth. We seek to increase our presence primarily in areas that we expect will experience growth, including areas within Illinois, Texas, Florida and the Northeastern United States and to enter new markets should suitable opportunities arise.
- **Quality of facility** We focus on self-storage facilities that have good visibility and are located near retail centers, which typically provide high traffic corridors and are generally located near residential communities and commercial customers.
- **Growth potential** We target acquisitions that offer growth potential through increased operating efficiencies and, in some cases, through additional leasing efforts, renovations or expansions. In addition to acquiring single facilities, we seek to invest in portfolio acquisitions, including those offering significant potential for increased operating efficiency and the ability to spread our fixed costs across a large base of facilities.

Operating Segment

We have one reportable operating segment: we own, operate, develop, and acquire self-storage facilities.

Concentration

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Our self-storage facilities are located in major metropolitan areas as well as rural areas and have numerous tenants per facility. No single tenant represented a significant concentration of our 2009 revenues. Our facilities in Florida, California, Texas and Illinois provided approximately 18%, 15%, 10% and 7%, respectively, of our total 2009 revenues. Our facilities in these states provided approximately 19%, 15%, 9% and 7%, respectively, of our total 2008 revenues.

Seasonality

We typically experience seasonal fluctuations in occupancy levels at our facilities, with the levels generally slightly higher during the summer months due to increased moving activity.

Financing Strategy

Although our organizational documents contain no limitation on the amount of debt we may incur, we maintain a capital structure that we believe is reasonable and prudent and that will enable us to have ample cash flow to cover debt service and make distributions to our shareholders. As of December 31, 2009, our debt to total capitalization ratio (determined by dividing the carrying value of our total indebtedness by the sum of (a) the market value of our outstanding common shares and operating partnership units and (b) the carrying value of our total indebtedness) was approximately 51.9%. Our ratio of debt to the depreciated cost of our real estate assets as of December 31, 2009 was approximately 53.7% compared to approximately 62.7% as of December 31, 2008. We expect to finance additional investments in self-storage facilities through the most attractive available source of capital at the time of the transaction, in a manner consistent with maintaining a strong

Table of Contents

financial position and future financial flexibility. These capital sources may include borrowings under the revolving portion of our secured credit facility and through additional secured financings, sales of common or preferred shares in public offerings or private placements, issuances of common or preferred units in our Operating Partnership in exchange for contributed properties or cash and formations of joint ventures. We also may sell facilities that we no longer view as core assets and reallocate the sales proceeds to fund other growth.

Competition

New self-storage facility development has intensified the competition among self-storage operators in many market areas in which we operate. Self-storage facilities compete based on a number of factors, including location, rental rates, security, suitability of the facility's design to prospective customers' needs and the manner in which the facility is operated and marketed. In particular, the number of competing self-storage facilities in a particular market could have a material effect on our occupancy levels, rental rates and on the overall operating performance of our facilities. We believe that the primary competition for potential customers of any of our self-storage facilities comes from other self-storage facilities within a three-mile radius of that facility. We believe we have positioned our facilities within their respective markets as high-quality operators that emphasize customer convenience, security and professionalism.

Our key competitors include local and regional operators as well as the other public self-storage REITS, including Public Storage, Sovran Self Storage and Extra Space Storage Inc. These companies, some of which operate significantly more facilities than we do and have greater resources than we have, and other entities may generally be able to accept more risk than we determine is prudent, including risks with respect to the geographic proximity of facility investments and the payment of higher facility acquisition prices. This competition may generally reduce the number of suitable acquisition opportunities available to us, increase the price required to be able to consummate the acquisition of particular facilities and reduce the demand for self-storage space in certain areas where our facilities are located. Nevertheless, we believe that our experience in operating, acquiring, developing and obtaining financing for self-storage facilities should enable us to compete effectively.

Government Regulation

We are subject to various laws, ordinances and regulations, including regulations relating to lien sale rights and procedures and various federal, state and local environmental regulations that apply generally to the ownership of real property and the operation of self-storage facilities.

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner or operator of real property may become liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous substances released on or in its property. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release of such hazardous substances. The presence of hazardous substances, or the failure to properly remediate such substances, when released, may adversely affect the property owner's ability to sell the real estate or to borrow using real estate as collateral, and may cause the property owner to incur substantial remediation costs. In addition to claims for cleanup costs, the presence of hazardous substances on a property could result in a claim by a private party for personal injury or a claim by an adjacent property owner or user for property damage. We may also become liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous substances stored at the facilities by a customer even though storage of hazardous substances would be without our knowledge or approval and in violation of the customer's storage lease agreement with us.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Our practice is to conduct or obtain environmental assessments in connection with the acquisition or development of additional facilities. Whenever the environmental assessment for one of our facilities indicates that a facility is impacted by soil or groundwater contamination from prior owners/operators or other sources, we will work with our environmental consultants and where appropriate, state governmental agencies, to ensure that the facility is either cleaned up, that no cleanup is necessary because the low level of contamination poses no significant risk to public health or the environment, or that the responsibility for cleanup rests with a third party.

We are not aware of any environmental cleanup liability that we believe will have a material adverse effect on us. We cannot assure you, however, that these environmental assessments and investigations have revealed or will reveal all potential environmental liabilities, that no prior owner created any material environmental condition not known to us or the independent consultant or that future events or changes in environmental laws will not result in the imposition of environmental liability on us.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

We have not received notice from any governmental authority of any material noncompliance, claim or liability in connection with any of our facilities, nor have we been notified of a claim for personal injury or property damage by a private party in connection with any of our facilities relating to environmental conditions.

We are not aware of any environmental condition with respect to any of our facilities that could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations, and we do not expect that the cost of compliance with environmental regulations will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. We cannot assure you, however, that this will continue to be the case.

Insurance

We carry comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage and rental loss insurance covering all of the facilities in our portfolio. We believe the policy specifications and insured limits are appropriate and adequate given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice. We do not carry insurance for losses such as loss from riots, war or acts of God, and, in some cases, environmental hazards, because such coverage is not available or is not available at commercially reasonable rates. Some of our policies, such as those covering losses due to terrorist activities, hurricanes, floods and earthquakes, are insured subject to limitations involving large deductibles or co-payments and policy limits that may not be sufficient to cover losses. We also carry liability insurance to insure against personal injuries that might be sustained on our properties and director and officer liability insurance.

Offices

Our principal executive office is located at 460 E. Swedesford Road, Suite 3000, Wayne, PA 19087. Our telephone number is (610) 293-5700. We believe that our current facilities are adequate for our present and future operations.

Employees

As of December 31, 2009, we employed 953 employees, of whom 145 were corporate executive and administrative personnel and 808 were property level personnel. We believe that our relations with our employees are good. Our employees are not unionized.

Available Information

We file our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). You may obtain copies of these documents by visiting the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549, by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 or by accessing the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. Our

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

internet website address is www.ustoreit.com. You also can obtain on our website, free of charge, a copy of our annual report on Form 10-K, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, our current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such reports or amendments with, or furnish them to, the SEC. Our internet website and the information contained therein or connected thereto are not intended to be incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Also available on our website, free of charge, are copies of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, our Corporate Governance Guidelines, and the charters for each of the committees of our Board of Trustees – the Audit Committee, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, and the Compensation Committee. Copies of each of these documents are also available in print free of charge, upon request by any shareholder. You can obtain copies of these documents by contacting Investor Relations by mail at 460 E. Swedesford Road, Suite 3000, Wayne, PA 19087.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Overview

Investors should carefully consider, among other factors, the risks set forth below. These risks are not the only ones that we may face. Additional risks not presently known to us or that we currently consider immaterial may also impair our business operations and hinder our ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders.

Table of Contents

We face risks related to current debt maturities, including refinancing and counterparty risk.

Approximately 15% (or approximately \$115 million) of the aggregate principal amount of our total debt, including our mortgage and revolving indebtedness is due on or before December 31, 2010. Certain of our mortgages will have significant outstanding balances on their maturity dates, commonly known as balloon payments. We may not have the cash resources available to repay those amounts, and we may have to raise funds for such repayment either through the issuance of capital stock, additional borrowings (which may include extension of maturity dates), joint ventures or asset sales. There can be no assurance that we will be able to refinance the debt on favorable terms or at all. To the extent we cannot refinance debt on favorable terms or at all, we may be forced to dispose of properties on disadvantageous terms or pay higher interest rates, either of which would have an adverse impact on our financial performance and ability to pay dividends to investors

In addition, we may be exposed to the potential risk of counterparty default or non-payment with respect to interest rate hedges, swap agreements, floors, caps and other interest rate hedging contracts that we may enter into from time to time, in which event we could suffer a material loss on the value of those agreements. Although these agreements may lessen the impact of rising interest rates on us, they also expose us to the risk that other parties to the agreements will not perform or that we cannot enforce the agreements. There is no assurance that our potential counterparties on these agreements are likely to perform their obligations under such agreements.

Financing our future growth plan or refinancing existing debt maturities could be impacted by negative capital market conditions.

Recently, domestic financial markets have experienced extreme volatility and uncertainty. Overall liquidity has tightened in the domestic financial markets, including the investment grade debt and equity capital markets for which we historically sought financing. Consequently, there is greater uncertainty regarding our ability to access the credit markets in order to attract financing on reasonable terms nor can there be any assurance we can issue common or preferred equity securities at a reasonable price. Our ability to finance new acquisitions and refinance future debt maturities could be adversely impacted by our inability to secure permanent financing on reasonable terms, if at all.

The terms and covenants relating to our indebtedness could adversely impact our economic performance.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Like other real estate companies that incur debt, we are subject to risks associated with debt financing, such as the insufficiency of cash flow to meet required debt service payment obligations and the inability to refinance existing indebtedness. If our debt cannot be paid, refinanced or extended at maturity, we may not be able to make distributions to shareholders at expected levels or at all and may not be able to acquire new properties. Failure to make distributions to our shareholders could result in our failure to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Furthermore, an increase in our interest expense could adversely affect our cash flow and ability to make distributions to shareholders. If we do not meet our debt service obligations, any facilities securing such indebtedness could be foreclosed on, which would have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and ability to make distributions and, depending on the number of facilities foreclosed on, could threaten our continued viability.

Our secured credit facility contains (and any new or amended facility we may enter into from time to time will likely contain) customary affirmative and negative covenants, including financial covenants that, among other things, require us to comply with certain liquidity and net worth tests. Our ability to borrow under our credit facility is (and any new or amended facility we may enter into from time to time will be) subject to compliance with such financial and other covenants. In the event that we fail to satisfy these covenants, we would be in default under the credit facility and may be required to repay such debt with capital from other sources. Under such circumstances, other sources of debt or equity capital may not be available to us, or may be available only on unattractive terms. Moreover, the presence of such covenants in our credit agreements could cause us to operate our business with a view toward compliance with such covenants, which might not produce optimal returns for shareholders.

Increases in interest rates on variable rate indebtedness would increase our interest expense, which could adversely affect our cash flow and ability to make distributions to shareholders. Rising interest rates could also restrict our ability to refinance existing debt when it matures. In addition, an increase in interest rates could decrease the amounts that third parties are willing to pay for our assets, thereby limiting our ability to alter our portfolio promptly in relation to economic or other conditions. We may enter into, from time to time, agreements such as interest rate hedges, swap agreements, floors, caps and other interest rate hedging contracts with respect to a portion of our variable rate debt. Although such agreements may lessen

Table of Contents

the impact of rising interest rates on us, they also expose us to the risk that other parties to the agreements will not perform or that we cannot enforce the agreements. There is no assurance that our potential counterparties on swap agreements are likely to perform their obligations under such agreements.

Our organizational documents contain no limitation on the amount of debt we may incur. As a result, we may become highly leveraged in the future.

Our organizational documents contain no limitations on the amount of indebtedness that we or our operating partnership may incur. We could alter the balance between our total outstanding indebtedness and the value of our assets at any time. If we become more highly leveraged, then the resulting increase in debt service could adversely affect our ability to make payments on our outstanding indebtedness and to pay our anticipated distributions and/or the distributions required to maintain our REIT status, and could harm our financial condition.

We depend on external sources of capital that are outside of our control; the unavailability of capital from external sources could adversely affect our ability to acquire or develop facilities, satisfy our debt obligations and/or make distributions to shareholders.

To continue to qualify as a REIT, we are required to distribute to our shareholders each year at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gains or pay applicable income taxes. In order to eliminate federal income tax, we will be required to distribute annually 100% of our net taxable income, including capital gains. Because of these distribution requirements, we likely will not be able to fund all future capital needs, including capital for acquisitions and facility development, with income from operations. We therefore will have to rely on third-party sources of capital, which may or may not be available on favorable terms, if at all. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends on a number of things, including the market's perception of our growth potential and our current and potential future earnings and our ability to continue to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. If we are unable to obtain third-party sources of capital, we may not be able to acquire or develop facilities when strategic opportunities exist, satisfy our debt obligations or make distributions to shareholders that would permit us to qualify as a REIT or avoid paying tax on our REIT taxable income.

Additional issuances of equity securities may be dilutive to shareholders.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

The interests of our shareholders could be diluted if we issue additional equity securities to finance future developments or acquisitions or to repay indebtedness. Our Board of Trustees may authorize the issuance of additional equity securities without shareholder approval. Our ability to execute our business strategy depends upon our access to an appropriate blend of debt financing, including unsecured lines of credit and other forms of secured and unsecured debt, and equity financing, including the issuance of common and preferred equity.

Because real estate is illiquid, we may not be able to sell properties when appropriate.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Real estate property investments generally cannot be sold quickly. Also, the tax laws applicable to REITs require that we hold our facilities for investment, rather than sale in the ordinary course of business, which may cause us to forgo or defer sales of facilities that otherwise would be in our best interest. Therefore, we may not be able to dispose of facilities promptly, or on favorable terms, in response to economic or other market conditions, which may adversely affect our financial position.

Rising operating expenses could reduce our cash flow and funds available for future distributions.

Our facilities and any other facilities we acquire or develop in the future are and will be subject to operating risks common to real estate in general, any or all of which may negatively affect us. Our facilities are subject to increases in operating expenses such as real estate and other taxes, utilities, insurance, administrative expenses and costs for repairs and maintenance. If operating expenses increase without a corresponding increase in revenues, our profitability could diminish and limit our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

Our insurance coverage may not comply fully with certain loan requirements.

We maintain comprehensive insurance on each of our self-storage facilities in amounts sufficient to permit replacement of the property, subject to applicable deductibles. Certain of our properties serve as collateral for our mortgage-backed debt, some of which was assumed in connection with our acquisition of facilities, that requires us to maintain insurance at levels and on terms that are not commercially reasonable in the current insurance environment. We may be unable to obtain

Table of Contents

required insurance coverage if the cost and/or availability make it impractical or impossible to comply with debt covenants. If we cannot comply with a lender's requirements in any respect, the lender could declare a default that could affect our ability to obtain future financing and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows and our ability to obtain future financing. In addition, we may be required to self-insure against certain losses or the Company's insurance costs may increase.

Potential losses may not be covered by insurance, which could result in the loss of our investment in a facility and the future cash flows from the facility.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

We carry comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage and rental loss insurance covering all of the facilities in our portfolio. We believe the policy specifications and insured limits are appropriate and adequate given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice. We do not carry insurance for losses such as loss from riots, war or acts of God, and, in some cases, flooding and environmental hazards, because such coverage is not available or is not available at commercially reasonable rates. Some of our policies, such as those covering losses due to terrorism, hurricanes, floods and earthquakes, are insured subject to limitations involving large deductibles or co-payments and policy limits that may not be sufficient to cover losses. If we experience a loss at a facility that is uninsured or that exceeds policy limits, we could lose the capital invested in that facility as well as the anticipated future cash flows from that facility. Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations, and other factors also might make it impractical or undesirable to use insurance proceeds to replace a facility after it has been damaged or destroyed. In addition, if the damaged facilities are subject to recourse indebtedness, we would continue to be liable for the indebtedness, even if these facilities were irreparably damaged.

We cannot assure you of our ability to pay dividends in the future.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Historically, we have paid quarterly distributions to our shareholders, and we intend to pay quarterly dividends and to make distributions to our shareholders in amounts such that all or substantially all of our taxable income in each year, subject to certain adjustments, is distributed. This, along with other factors, should enable us to qualify for the tax benefits accorded to a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code. We have not established a minimum dividends payment level and all future distributions will be made at the discretion of our Board of Trustees. Our ability to pay dividends will depend upon, among other factors:

- the operational and financial performance of our facilities;
- capital expenditures with respect to existing and newly acquired facilities;
- general and administrative costs associated with our operation as a publicly-held REIT;
- maintenance of our REIT status;
- the amount of, and the interest rates on, our debt;
- the absence of significant expenditures relating to environmental and other regulatory matters; and
- other risk factors described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Certain of these matters are beyond our control and any significant difference between our expectations and actual results could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and our ability to make distributions to shareholders.

Our performance and the value of our self-storage facilities are subject to risks associated with our properties and with the real estate industry.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Our rental revenues and operating costs and the value of our real estate assets, and consequently the value of our securities, are subject to the risk that if our facilities do not generate revenues sufficient to meet our operating expenses, including debt service and capital expenditures, our cash flow and ability to pay distributions to our shareholders will be adversely affected. Events or conditions beyond our control that may adversely affect our operations or the value of our facilities include:

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

- downturns in the national, regional and local economic climate;
- local or regional oversupply, increased competition or reduction in demand for self-storage space;
- vacancies or changes in market rents for self-storage space;
- inability to collect rent from customers;
- increased operating costs, including maintenance, insurance premiums and real estate taxes;
- changes in interest rates and availability of financing;
- hurricanes, earthquakes and other natural disasters, civil disturbances, terrorist acts or acts of war that may result in uninsured or underinsured losses;
- significant expenditures associated with acquisitions and development projects, such as debt service payments, real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance costs which are generally not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in revenues from a property;
- costs of complying with changes in laws and governmental regulations, including those governing usage, zoning, the environment and taxes; and
- the relative illiquidity of real estate investments.

In addition, prolonged periods of economic slowdown or recession, rising interest rates or declining demand for self-storage, or the public perception that any of these events may occur, could result in a general decline in rental revenues, which could impair our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to make distributions to our shareholders.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Rental revenues are significantly influenced by demand for self-storage space generally, and a decrease in such demand would likely have a greater adverse effect on our rental revenues than if we owned a more diversified real estate portfolio.

Because our portfolio of facilities consists primarily of self-storage facilities, we are subject to risks inherent in investments in a single industry. A decrease in the demand for self-storage space would have a greater adverse effect on our rental revenues than if we owned a more diversified real estate portfolio. Demand for self-storage space has been and could be adversely affected by ongoing weakness in the national, regional and local economies, changes in supply of, or demand for, similar or competing self-storage facilities in an area and the excess amount of self-storage space in a particular market. To the extent that any of these conditions occur, they are likely to affect market rents for self-storage space, which could cause a decrease in our rental revenue. Any such decrease could impair our ability to satisfy debt service obligations and make distributions to our shareholders.

Adverse macroeconomic and business conditions may significantly and negatively affect our revenues, profitability and results of operations.

The United States has recently experienced an economic slowdown that has resulted in higher unemployment, shrinking demand for products, large-scale business failures and tight credit markets. Our results of operations may be sensitive to changes in overall economic conditions that impact consumer spending, including discretionary spending, as well as to increased bad debts due to recessionary pressures. A continuation of ongoing adverse economic conditions affecting disposable consumer income, such as employment levels, business conditions, interest rates, tax rates, fuel and energy costs, and other matters could reduce consumer spending or cause consumers to shift their spending to other products and services. A general reduction in the level of discretionary spending or shifts in consumer discretionary spending could adversely affect our growth and profitability.

It is difficult to determine the breadth and duration of the economic and financial market problems and the many ways in which they may affect our customers and our business in general. Nonetheless, continuation or further worsening of these difficult financial and macroeconomic conditions could have a significant adverse effect on our sales, profitability and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Our financial performance is dependent upon the economic and other conditions of the markets in which our facilities are located.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

We are susceptible to adverse developments in the markets in which we operate, such as business layoffs or downsizing, industry slowdowns, relocations of businesses, changing demographics and other factors. Our facilities in California, Florida, Texas, Ohio, Tennessee, Illinois and Arizona accounted for approximately 17%, 16%, 11%, 8%, 7%, 7% and 5%, respectively, of our total rentable square feet as of December 31, 2009. As a result of this geographic concentration of our facilities, we are particularly susceptible to adverse market conditions in these areas. Any adverse economic or real estate developments in these markets, or in any of the other markets in which we operate, or any decrease in demand for self-storage space resulting from the local business climate could adversely affect our rental revenues, which could impair our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and pay distributions to our shareholders.

Many states and local jurisdictions are facing severe budgetary problems which may have an adverse impact on our business and financial results.

Many states and jurisdictions are facing severe budgetary problems. Action that may be taken in response to these problems, such as increases in property taxes on commercial properties, changes to sales taxes or other governmental efforts, including mandating medical insurance for employees, could adversely impact our business and results of operations.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war may adversely impact our performance and may affect the markets on which our securities are traded.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Terrorist attacks against our facilities, the United States or our interests, may negatively impact our operations and the value of our securities. Attacks or armed conflicts could negatively impact the demand for self-storage facilities and increase the cost of insurance coverage for our facilities, which could reduce our profitability and cash flow. Furthermore, any terrorist attacks or armed conflicts could result in increased volatility in or damage to the United States and worldwide financial markets and economy.

We face risks and significant competition associated with actions taken by our competitors.

Actions by our competitors may decrease or prevent increases of the occupancy and rental rates of our properties. We compete with numerous developers, owners and operators of self-storage, including other REITs, some of which own or may in the future own properties similar to ours in the same submarkets in which our properties are located and some of which may have greater capital resources. In addition, due to the relatively low cost of each individual self-storage facility, other developers, owners and operators have the capability to build additional facilities that may compete with our facilities.

If our competitors build new facilities that compete with our facilities or offer space at rental rates below current market rates or below the rental rates we currently charge our tenants, we may lose potential tenants, and we may be pressured to reduce our rental rates below those we currently charge in order to retain tenants when our tenants' leases expire. As a result, our financial condition, cash flow, cash available for distribution, market price of our stock and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations could be materially adversely affected. In addition, increased competition for customers may require us to make capital improvements to facilities that we would not have otherwise made. Any unbudgeted capital improvements we undertake may reduce cash available for distributions to our shareholders.

Table of Contents

We also face significant competition for acquisitions and development opportunities. Some of our competitors have greater financial resources than we do and a greater ability to borrow funds to acquire facilities. These competitors may also be willing and/or able to accept more risk than we can prudently manage, including risks with respect to the geographic proximity of investments and the payment of higher facility acquisition prices. This competition for investments may reduce the number of suitable investment opportunities available to us, may increase acquisition costs and may reduce demand for self-storage space in certain areas where our facilities are located and, as a result, adversely affect our operating results.

We face risks associated with facility acquisitions.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

We have in the past acquired, and intend at some time in the future to acquire, individual and portfolios of self-storage facilities that would increase our size and potentially alter our capital structure. Although we believe that the acquisitions that we expect to undertake in the future will enhance our future financial performance, the success of such transactions is subject to a number of factors, including the risks that:

- we may not be able to obtain financing for acquisitions on favorable terms;
- acquisitions may fail to perform as expected;
- the actual costs of repositioning or redeveloping acquired facilities may be higher than our estimates;
- acquisitions may be located in new markets where we may have limited knowledge and understanding of the local economy, an absence of business relationships in the area or an unfamiliarity with local governmental and permitting procedures;
- there is only limited recourse, or no recourse, to the former owners of newly acquired facilities for unknown or undisclosed liabilities such as the clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination; claims by tenants, vendors or other persons arising on account of actions or omissions of the former owners of the facilities; ordinary course of business expenses; and claims by local governments, adjoining property owners, property owner associations, and easement holders for fees, assessments, taxes on other property-related changes.

As a result, if a liability were asserted against us based upon ownership of an acquired facility, we might be required to pay significant sums to settle it, which could adversely affect our financial results and cash flow.

We will incur costs and will face integration challenges when we acquire additional facilities.

As we acquire or develop additional self-storage facilities, we will be subject to risks associated with integrating and managing new facilities, including customer retention and mortgage default risks. In the case of a large portfolio purchase, we could experience strains in our existing management information capacity. In addition, acquisitions or developments may cause disruptions in our operations and divert management's attention away from day-to-day operations. Furthermore, our profitability may suffer because we will be required to expense acquisition-related costs and amortize in future periods costs for acquired goodwill and other intangible assets. Our failure to successfully integrate any future facilities into our portfolio could have an adverse effect on our operating costs and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

The acquisition of new facilities that lack operating history with us will give rise to difficulties in predicting revenue potential.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

We intend to continue to acquire additional facilities. These acquisitions could fail to perform in accordance with expectations. If we fail to accurately estimate occupancy levels, operating costs or costs of improvements to bring an acquired facility up to the standards established for our intended market position, the performance of the facility may be below expectations. Acquired facilities may have characteristics or deficiencies affecting their valuation or revenue potential that we have not yet discovered. We cannot assure you that the performance of facilities acquired by us will increase or be maintained under our management.

Property ownership through joint ventures may limit our ability to act exclusively in our interest.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

We have in the past, and may continue to, co-invest with third parties through joint ventures. In any such joint venture, we may not be in a position to exercise sole decision-making authority regarding the facilities owned through joint ventures.

Table of Contents

Investments in joint ventures may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present when a third party is not involved, including the possibility that joint venture partners might become bankrupt or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions. Joint venture partners may have business interests or goals that are inconsistent with our business interests or goals and may be in a position to take actions contrary to our policies or objectives. Such investments also have the potential risk of impasse on strategic decisions, such as a sale, in cases where neither we nor the joint venture partner would have full control over the joint venture. In other circumstances, joint venture partners may have the ability without our agreement to make certain major decisions, including decisions about sales, capital expenditures and/or financing. Any disputes that may arise between us and our joint venture partners could result in litigation or arbitration that could increase our expenses and distract our officers and/or Trustees from focusing their time and effort on our business. In addition, we might in certain circumstances be liable for the actions of our joint venture partners, and the activities of a joint venture could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT, even though we do not control the joint venture.

We face system security risks as we depend upon automated processes and the Internet.

We are increasingly dependent upon automated information technology processes. While we attempt to mitigate this risk through offsite backup procedures and contracted data centers that include, in some cases, redundant operations, we could still be severely impacted by a catastrophic occurrence, such as a natural disaster or a terrorist attack. In addition, an increasing portion of our business operations are conducted over the Internet, increasing the risk of viruses that could cause system failures and disruptions of operations despite our deployment of anti-virus measures. Experienced computer programmers may be able to penetrate our network security and misappropriate our confidential information, create system disruptions or cause shutdowns.

Potential liability for environmental contamination could result in substantial costs.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

We are subject to federal, state and local environmental regulations that apply generally to the ownership of real property and the operation of self-storage facilities. If we fail to comply with those laws, we could be subject to significant fines or other governmental sanctions.

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner or operator of real estate may be required to investigate and clean up hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum product releases at a facility and may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage and for investigation and clean up costs incurred by such parties in connection with contamination. Such liability may be imposed whether or not the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of these hazardous or toxic substances. The cost of investigation, remediation or removal of such substances may be substantial, and the presence of such substances, or the failure to properly remediate such substances, may adversely affect the owner's ability to sell or rent such facility or to borrow using such facility as collateral. In addition, in connection with the ownership, operation and management of real properties, we are potentially liable for property damage or injuries to persons and property.

Our practice is to conduct or obtain environmental assessments in connection with the acquisition or development of additional facilities. We obtain or examine environmental assessments from qualified and reputable environmental consulting firms (and intend to conduct such assessments prior to the acquisition or development of additional facilities). The environmental assessments received to date have not revealed, nor do we have actual knowledge of, any environmental liability that we believe will have a material adverse effect on us. However, we cannot assure you that any environmental assessments performed have identified or will identify all material environmental conditions, that any prior owner of any facility did not create a material environmental condition not actually known to us or that a material environmental condition does not otherwise exist with respect to any of our facilities.

Americans with Disabilities Act and applicable state accessibility act compliance may require unanticipated expenditures.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and applicable state accessibility act laws (collectively, the ADA), all places of public accommodation are required to meet federal requirements related to physical access and use by disabled persons. A number of other federal, state and local laws may also impose access and other similar requirements at our facilities. A failure to comply with the ADA or similar state or local requirements could result in the governmental imposition of fines or the award of damages to private litigants affected by the noncompliance. Although we believe that our facilities comply in all material respects with these requirements (or would be eligible for applicable exemptions from material requirements because of adaptive assistance provided), a determination that one or more of our facilities is not in compliance with the ADA or similar state or local requirements would result in the incurrence of additional costs associated with

Table of Contents

bringing the facilities into compliance. If we are required to make substantial modifications to comply with the ADA or similar state or local requirements, we may be required to incur significant unanticipated expenditures, which could have an adverse effect on our operating costs and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

We may become subject to litigation or threatened litigation which may divert management's time and attention, require us to pay damages and expenses or restrict the operation of our business.

We may become subject to disputes with commercial parties with whom we maintain relationships or other parties with whom we do business. Any such dispute could result in litigation between us and the other parties. Whether or not any dispute actually proceeds to litigation, we may be required to devote significant management time and attention to its successful resolution (through litigation, settlement or otherwise), which would detract from our management's ability to focus on our business. Any such resolution could involve the payment of damages or expenses by us, which may be significant. In addition, any such resolution could involve our agreement with terms that restrict the operation of our business.

One type of commercial dispute could involve our use of our brand name and other intellectual property (for example, logos, signage and other marks), for which we generally have common law rights but no federal trademark registration. There are other commercial parties, at both a local and national level, that may assert that our use of our brand names and other intellectual property conflict with their rights to use brand names and other intellectual property that they consider to be similar to ours. Any such commercial dispute and related resolution would involve all of the risks described above, including, in particular, our agreement to restrict the use of our brand name or other intellectual property.

We also could be sued for personal injuries and/or property damage occurring on our properties. We maintain liability insurance with limits that we believe adequate to provide for the defense and/or payment of any damages arising from such lawsuits. There can be no assurance that such coverage will cover all costs and expenses from such suits.

Failure to qualify as a REIT would subject us to U.S. federal income tax which would reduce the cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

We operate our business to qualify to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. We have not requested and do not plan to request a ruling from the IRS that we qualify as a REIT, and the statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are not binding on the IRS or any court. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on the income that we distribute currently to our shareholders. Many of the REIT requirements, however, are highly technical and complex. The determination that we are a REIT requires an analysis of various factual matters and circumstances that may not be totally within our control. For example, to qualify as a REIT, at least 95% of our gross income must come from specific passive sources, such as rent, that are itemized in the REIT tax laws. In addition, to qualify as a REIT, we cannot own specified amounts of debt and equity securities of some issuers. We also are required to distribute to our shareholders with respect to each year at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (excluding net capital gains). The fact that we hold substantially all of our assets through the operating partnership and its subsidiaries further complicates the application of the REIT requirements for us. Even a technical or inadvertent mistake could jeopardize our REIT status and, given the highly complex nature of the rules governing REITs and the ongoing importance of factual determinations, we cannot provide any assurance that we will continue to qualify as a REIT. Furthermore, Congress and the IRS might make changes to the tax laws and regulations, and the courts might issue new rulings, that make it more difficult, or impossible, for us to remain qualified as a REIT. If we fail to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes and are able to avail ourselves of one or more of the statutory savings provisions in order to maintain our REIT status, we would nevertheless be required to pay penalty taxes of \$50,000 or more for each such failure.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

If we fail to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, and are unable to avail ourselves of certain savings provisions set forth in the Internal Revenue Code, we would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates on all of our income. As a taxable corporation, we would not be allowed to take a deduction for distributions to shareholders in computing our taxable income or pass through long term capital gains to individual shareholders at favorable rates. We also could be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes. We would not be able to elect to be taxed as a REIT for four years following the year we first failed to qualify unless the IRS were to grant us relief under certain statutory provisions. If we failed to qualify as a REIT, we would have to pay significant income taxes, which would reduce our net earnings available for investment or distribution to our shareholders. This likely would have a significant adverse effect on our earnings and likely would adversely affect the value of our securities. In addition, we would no longer be required to pay any distributions to shareholders.

Table of Contents

To maintain our REIT status, we may be forced to borrow funds on a short term basis during unfavorable market conditions.

As a REIT, we are subject to certain distribution requirements, including the requirement to distribute 90% of our REIT taxable income that may result in our having to make distributions at disadvantageous time or to borrow funds at unfavorable rates. Compliance with this requirement may hinder our ability to operate solely on the basis of maximizing profits.

We will pay some taxes even if we qualify as a REIT, which will reduce the cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

Even if we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we will be required to pay certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property. For example, we will be subject to income tax to the extent we distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, including capital gains. Additionally, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which dividends paid by us in any calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of our ordinary income, 95% of our capital gain net income and 100% of our undistributed income from prior years. Moreover, if we have net income from prohibited transactions, that income will be subject to a 100% penalty tax. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions of property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. The determination as to whether a particular sale is a prohibited transaction depends on the facts and circumstances related to that sale. We cannot guarantee that sales of our properties would not be prohibited transactions unless we comply with certain statutory safe-harbor provisions.

In addition, any net taxable income earned directly by our taxable REIT subsidiaries, or through entities that are disregarded for federal income tax purposes as entities separate from our taxable REIT subsidiaries, will be subject to federal and possibly state corporate income tax. We have elected to treat U-Store-It Mini Warehouse Co. as a taxable REIT subsidiary, and we may elect to treat other subsidiaries as taxable REIT subsidiaries in the future. In this regard, several provisions of the laws applicable to REITs and their subsidiaries ensure that a taxable REIT subsidiary will be subject to an appropriate level of federal income taxation. For example, a taxable REIT subsidiary is limited in its ability to deduct certain interest payments made to an affiliated REIT. In addition, the REIT has to pay a 100% penalty tax on some payments that it receives or on some deductions taken by a taxable REIT subsidiary if the economic arrangements between the REIT, the REIT's customers, and the taxable REIT subsidiary are not comparable to similar arrangements between unrelated parties. Finally, some state and local jurisdictions may tax some of our income even though as a REIT we are not subject to federal income tax on that income because not all states and localities follow the federal income tax treatment of REITs. To the extent that we and our affiliates are required to pay federal, state and local taxes, we will have less cash available for distributions to our shareholders.

We are dependent upon our key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Our top executives, Dean Jernigan, Christopher Marr and Timothy Martin, have extensive self-storage, real estate and public company experience. Although we have employment agreements with these members of our senior management team, we cannot provide any assurance that any of them will remain in our employment. The loss of services of one or more members of our senior management team, particularly Dean Jernigan, our Chief Executive Officer, could adversely affect our operations and our future growth.

Privacy concerns could result in regulatory changes that may harm our business.

Personal privacy has become a significant issue in the jurisdictions in which we operate. Many jurisdictions in which we operate have imposed restrictions and requirements on the use of personal information by those collecting such information. Changes to law or regulations affecting privacy, if applicable to our business, could impose additional costs and liability on us and could limit our use and disclosure of such information.

We are dependent upon our on-site personnel to maximize customer satisfaction; any difficulties we encounter in hiring, training and retaining skilled field personnel may adversely affect our rental revenues.

As of December 31, 2009, we had 808 field personnel involved in the management and operation of our facilities. The customer service, marketing skills and knowledge of local market demand and competitive dynamics of our facility managers are contributing factors to our ability to maximize our rental income and to achieve the highest sustainable rent levels at each of our facilities. We compete with various other companies in attracting and retaining qualified and skilled personnel.

Table of Contents

Competitive pressures may require that we enhance our pay and benefits package to compete effectively for such personnel. If there is an increase in these costs or if we fail to attract and retain qualified and skilled personnel, our business and operating results could be harmed.

Certain provisions of Maryland law could inhibit changes in control, which may discourage third parties from conducting a tender offer or seeking other change of control transactions that could involve a premium price for our shares or otherwise benefit our shareholders.

Certain provisions of Maryland law may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change of control under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of our common shares with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of those shares, including:

- business combination moratorium/fair price provisions that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between us and an interested shareholder (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our shares or an affiliate thereof) for five years after the most recent date on which the shareholder becomes an interested shareholder, and thereafter imposes stringent fair price and super-majority shareholder voting requirements on these combinations; and
- control share provisions that provide that control shares of our company (defined as shares which, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the shareholder, entitle the shareholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing Trustees) acquired in a control share acquisition (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of control shares from a party other than the issuer) have no voting rights except to the extent approved by our shareholders by the affirmative vote of at least two thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares, and are subject to redemption in certain circumstances.

We have opted out of these provisions of Maryland law. However, our Board of Trustees may opt to make these provisions applicable to us at any time without shareholder approval.

Our Trustees also have the discretion, granted in our bylaws and Maryland law, without shareholder approval to, among other things (1) create a staggered Board of Trustees, and (2) amend our bylaws or repeal individual bylaws in a manner that provides the Board of Trustees with greater authority. Any such action could inhibit or impede a third party from making a proposal to acquire us at a price that could be beneficial to our shareholders.

Robert J. Amsdell, our former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer; Barry L. Amsdell, a former Trustee; Todd C. Amsdell, our former Chief Operating Officer and former President of our development subsidiary; and the Amsdell Entities (collectively, The Amsdell Family) collectively own an approximate 13.96 % beneficial interest in our company on a fully diluted basis and therefore have the ability to exercise significant influence on any matter presented to our shareholders.

The Amsdell Family collectively owns approximately 12.6% of our outstanding common shares, and an approximate 13.96% beneficial interest in our company on a fully diluted basis. Consequently, the Amsdell Family may be able to significantly influence the outcome of matters

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

submitted for shareholder action, including the election of our Board of Trustees and approval of significant corporate transactions, including business combinations, consolidations and mergers. As a result, Robert J. Amsdell, Barry L. Amsdell and Todd C. Amsdell have substantial influence on us and could exercise their influence in a manner that conflicts with the interests of our other shareholders.

Our shareholders have limited control to prevent us from making any changes to our investment and financing policies.

Our Board of Trustees has adopted policies with respect to certain activities. These policies may be amended or revised from time to time at the discretion of our Board of Trustees without a vote of our shareholders. This means that our shareholders have limited control over changes in our policies. Such changes in our policies intended to improve, expand or diversify our business may not have the anticipated effects and consequently may adversely affect our business and prospects, results of operations and share price.

Our rights and the rights of our shareholders to take action against our Trustees and officers are limited.

Table of Contents

Maryland law provides that a trustee or officer has no liability in that capacity if he or she performs his or her duties in good faith, in a manner he or she reasonably believes to be in our best interests and with the care that an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would use under similar circumstances. Our declaration of trust and bylaws require us to indemnify our Trustees and officers for actions taken by them in those capacities to the extent permitted by Maryland law. Accordingly, in the event that actions taken in good faith by any Trustee or officer impede our performance, our and our shareholders' ability to recover damages from that Trustee or officer will be limited.

Our declaration of trust permits our Board of Trustees to issue preferred shares with terms that may discourage third parties from conducting a tender offer or seeking other change of control transactions that could involve a premium price for our shares or otherwise benefit our shareholders.

Our declaration of trust permits our Board of Trustees to issue up to 40,000,000 preferred shares, having those preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to distributions, qualifications, or terms or conditions of redemption as determined by our Board. In addition, our Board may reclassify any unissued common shares into one or more classes or series of preferred shares. Thus, our Board could authorize, without shareholder approval, the issuance of preferred shares with terms and conditions that could have the effect of discouraging a takeover or other transaction in which holders of some or a majority of our shares might receive a premium for their shares over the then-prevailing market price of our shares. We currently do not expect that the Board would require shareholder approval prior to such a preferred issuance. In addition, any preferred shares that we issue would rank senior to our common shares with respect to the payment of distributions, in which case we could not pay any distributions on our common shares until full distributions have been paid with respect to such preferred shares.

Many factors could have an adverse effect on the market value of our securities.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

A number of factors might adversely affect the price of our securities, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include:

- increases in market interest rates, relative to the dividend yield on our shares. If market interest rates go up, prospective purchasers of our securities may require a higher yield. Higher market interest rates would not, however, result in more funds for us to distribute and, to the contrary, would likely increase our borrowing costs and potentially decrease funds available for distribution. Thus, higher market interest rates could cause the market price of our common shares to go down;
- anticipated benefit of an investment in our securities as compared to investment in securities of companies in other industries (including benefits associated with tax treatment of dividends and distributions);
- perception by market professionals of REITs generally and REITs comparable to us in particular;
- level of institutional investor interest in our securities;
- relatively low trading volumes in securities of REITs;
- our results of operations and financial condition;
- investor confidence in the stock market generally; and
- additions and departures of key personnel.

The market value of our common shares is based primarily upon the market's perception of our growth potential and our current and potential future earnings and cash distributions. Consequently, our common shares may trade at prices that are higher or lower than our net asset value per common share. If our future earnings or cash distributions are less than expected, it is likely that the market price of our common shares will diminish.

The market price of our common shares has been, and may continue to be, particularly volatile, and our shareholders may be unable to resell their shares at a profit.

Table of Contents

The market price of our common shares has been subject to significant fluctuations and may continue to fluctuate or decline. Since March 2009, our common stock has been particularly volatile as the price of our common stock has ranged from a high of \$7.83 to a low of \$1.34. In the past several years, REIT stocks have experienced high levels of volatility and significant declines in value from their historic highs. Additionally, as a result of the current global credit crisis and the concurrent economic downturn in the U.S. and globally, there have been significant declines in the values of equity securities generally in the U.S. and abroad.

In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been brought against that company. If our stock price is volatile, we may become the target of securities litigation. Securities litigation could result in substantial costs and divert our management's attention and resources from our business.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Overview

As of December 31, 2009, we owned 367 self-storage facilities located in 26 states and the District of Columbia; and aggregating approximately 23.7 million rentable square feet. The following table sets forth certain summary information regarding our facilities by state as of December 31, 2009.

State	Number of Facilities	Number of Units	Total Rentable Square Feet	% of Total Rentable Square Feet	% of Occupied Square Feet
California	58	33,821	3,954,013	16.7%	67.6%
Florida	51	36,094	3,795,690	16.0%	75.5%
Texas	43	20,878	2,643,421	11.1%	79.9%
Ohio	33	15,347	1,879,352	7.9%	72.4%
Illinois	27	13,879	1,609,823	6.8%	81.5%
Tennessee	24	12,855	1,682,687	7.1%	76.0%
Arizona	24	11,713	1,246,619	5.3%	75.3%
Connecticut	17	7,076	848,086	3.6%	78.0%
New Jersey	13	9,004	876,505	3.7%	74.1%
Georgia	9	6,092	759,215	3.2%	76.3%
Indiana	9	5,171	592,742	2.5%	71.3%
New Mexico	9	3,437	387,665	1.6%	80.4%
Colorado	8	4,067	491,394	2.1%	76.6%
North Carolina	8	4,756	557,721	2.4%	76.0%
Maryland	5	4,191	517,782	2.2%	83.0%
New York	5	2,871	311,883	1.3%	77.7%
Michigan	4	1,885	270,869	1.1%	70.7%
Utah	4	2,255	241,524	1.0%	79.8%
Louisiana	3	1,423	195,267	0.8%	81.0%
Massachusetts	3	1,784	173,413	0.7%	75.1%
Pennsylvania	2	1,614	173,869	0.7%	79.9%
Virginia	2	1,174	130,682	0.6%	69.0%
Nevada	2	884	97,068	0.4%	82.0%
Alabama	1	798	128,951	0.5%	73.7%
Washington, DC	1	752	62,695	0.3%	89.5%
Mississippi	1	509	61,251	0.2%	77.7%
Wisconsin	1	485	58,515	0.2%	76.4%
Total/Weighted Average	367	204,815	23,748,702	100.0%	75.2%

Our Facilities

The following table sets forth certain additional information with respect to each of our facilities as of December 31, 2009. Our ownership of each facility consists of a fee interest in the facility held by U-Store-It, L.P., our operating partnership, or one of its subsidiaries, except for our Morris Township, NJ facility, where we have a ground lease. In addition, small parcels of land at five of our other facilities are subject to ground

leases.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Facility Location	Year Acquired/ Developed (1)	Year Built	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy (2)	Units	Manager Apartment (3)	% Climate Controlled (4)
Mobile, AL	1997	1974/90	128,951	73.7%	798	Y	1.6%
Chandler, AZ	2005	1985	47,520	81.7%	439	Y	6.9%
Glendale, AZ	1998	1987	56,850	76.5%	529	Y	0.0%
Green Valley, AZ	2005	1985	25,050	68.9%	257	N	8.0%
Mesa I, AZ	2006	1985	52,375	80.5%	495	N	0.0%
Mesa II, AZ	2006	1981	45,145	78.0%	389	Y	8.4%
Mesa III, AZ	2006	1986	58,264	68.1%	482	Y	4.5%
Phoenix I, AZ	2006	1987	100,762	66.4%	757	Y	8.7%
Phoenix II, AZ	2006	1974	45,270	85.3%	409	Y	4.7%
Scottsdale, AZ	1998	1995	80,925	79.9%	635	Y	9.5%
Tempe, AZ	2005	1975	53,890	72.9%	403	Y	13.0%
Tucson I, AZ	1998	1974	59,350	74.6%	491	Y	0.0%
Tucson II, AZ	1998	1988	43,950	76.6%	525	Y	100.0%
Tucson III, AZ	2005	1979	49,822	68.6%	494	N	0.0%
Tucson IV, AZ	2005	1982	48,040	81.1%	508	Y	3.7%
Tucson V, AZ	2005	1982	45,234	69.4%	419	Y	3.0%
Tucson VI, AZ	2005	1982	40,841	69.4%	417	Y	3.4%
Tucson VII, AZ	2005	1982	52,688	75.7%	605	Y	2.0%
Tucson VIII, AZ	2005	1979	46,650	73.9%	466	Y	0.0%
Tucson IX, AZ	2005	1984	67,656	73.9%	610	Y	2.0%
Tucson X, AZ	2005	1981	46,350	72.5%	430	N	0.0%
Tucson XI, AZ	2005	1974	42,700	75.4%	433	Y	0.0%
Tucson XII, AZ	2005	1974	42,325	83.7%	437	Y	4.8%
Tucson XIII, AZ	2005	1974	45,792	75.4%	522	Y	0.0%
Tucson XIV, AZ	2005	1976	49,170	85.8%	561	Y	8.8%
Apple Valley I, CA	1997	1984	73,250	48.1%	552	N	0.0%
Apple Valley II, CA	1997	1988	61,555	67.3%	471	Y	5.9%
Benicia, CA	2005	1988/93/05	74,770	83.8%	734	Y	0.0%
Bloomington I, CA	1997	1987	28,425	67.0%	216	N	0.0%
Bloomington II, CA	1997	1987	25,860	67.4%	20	N	0.0%
Cathedral City, CA	2006	1982/92	109,745	59.4%	708	Y	2.3%
Citrus Heights, CA	2005	1987	75,620	60.6%	658	Y	0.0%
Diamond Bar, CA	2005	1988	103,034	81.1%	898	Y	0.0%
Escondido, CA	2007	2002	142,970	74.5%	1226	Y	6.6%
Fallbrook, CA	1997	1985/88	46,370	88.6%	446	Y	0.0%
Hemet, CA	1997	1989	66,040	66.1%	433	Y	0.0%
Highland I, CA	1997	1987	76,765	52.3%	841	Y	0.0%
Highland II, CA	2006	1982	62,257	57.8%	519	Y	1.0%
Lancaster, CA	2001	1987	60,665	42.7%	385	Y	0.0%
Long Beach, CA	2006	1974	124,363	60.7%	1349	Y	0.0%
Murrieta, CA	2005	1996	49,790	81.7%	426	Y	2.9%
North Highlands, CA	2005	1980	57,244	84.0%	471	N	0.0%
Orangevale, CA	2005	1980	51,142	70.5%	523	Y	0.0%
Palm Springs I, CA	2006	1989	72,675	59.4%	570	Y	0.0%
Palm Springs II, CA	2006	1982/89	122,370	61.6%	626	Y	8.7%
Pleasanton, CA	2005	2003	85,195	81.2%	693	Y	0.0%
Rancho Cordova, CA	2005	1979	53,928	64.7%	460	Y	0.0%
Redlands, CA	1997	1985	62,805	64.8%	538	N	0.0%
Rialto I, CA	1997	1987	57,371	68.8%	497	Y	0.0%
Rialto II, CA	2006	1980	99,783	74.3%	749	Y	0.0%
Riverside I, CA	1997	1989	28,310	76.8%	226	N	0.0%
Riverside II, CA	1997	1989	20,420	67.6%	18	N	0.0%
Riverside III, CA	1998	1989	46,809	66.0%	429	Y	0.0%
Riverside IV, CA	2006	1977	67,220	76.7%	663	Y	0.0%

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Riverside V, CA	2006	1985	85,346	50.0%	830	Y	3.9%
Riverside VI, CA	2007	2004	74,900	76.9%	409	Y	12.7%
Roseville, CA	2005	1979	60,094	75.2%	547	N	0.0%
Sacramento I, CA	2005	1979	51,114	66.2%	543	Y	0.0%
Sacramento II, CA	2005	1986	62,130	65.9%	575	Y	0.0%
San Bernardino I, CA	1997	1987	83,253	58.8%	578	Y	2.0%
San Bernardino II, CA	1997	1987	31,070	53.7%	253	N	0.0%
San Bernardino III, CA	1997	1989	57,215	71.1%	584	Y	0.0%
San Bernardino IV, CA	1997	1991	41,546	69.3%	375	Y	0.0%
San Bernardino V, CA	1997	1985/92	35,671	63.9%	402	N	0.0%
San Bernardino VI, CA	2005	2002/04	83,507	63.9%	749	N	11.8%
San Bernardino VII, CA	2006	1974	56,795	58.8%	499	Y	4.2%
San Bernardino VIII, CA	2006	1975	103,860	54.8%	951	N	0.0%
San Bernardino IX, CA	2006	1978	78,839	73.9%	645	Y	1.3%
San Bernardino X, CA	2006	1977	95,154	63.2%	889	Y	0.0%
San Marcos, CA	2005	1979	37,430	76.0%	246	Y	0.0%
Santa Ana, CA	2006	1984	64,571	69.6%	710	N	2.4%
South Sacramento, CA	2005	1979	51,740	48.8%	417	Y	0.0%
Spring Valley, CA	2006	1980	55,045	68.4%	711	Y	0.0%

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Facility Location	Year Acquired/ Developed (1)	Year Built	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy (2)	Units	Manager Apartment (3)	% Climate Controlled (4)
Sun City, CA	1998	1989	38,335	90.0%	362	N	0.0%
Temecula I, CA	1998	1985/2003	81,700	72.3%	683	Y	46.4%
Temecula II, CA	2006	2003	84,345	72.4%	643	Y	51.2%
Thousand Palms, CA	2006	1988/01	75,445	58.0%	765	Y	27.1%
Vista I, CA	2001	1988	74,605	82.8%	612	Y	0.0%
Vista II, CA	2005	2001/02/03	147,421	70.6%	1261	Y	2.3%
Walnut, CA	2005	1987	50,708	72.2%	536	Y	9.2%
West Sacramento, CA	2005	1984	39,715	73.0%	482	Y	0.0%
Westminster, CA	2005	1983/98	68,148	77.7%	558	Y	0.0%
Yucaipa, CA	1997	1989	77,560	71.1%	661	Y	0.0%
Aurora I, CO	2005	1981	75,627	72.0%	602	Y	0.0%
Colorado Springs I, CO	2005	1986	47,975	76.0%	454	Y	0.0%
Colorado Springs II, CO	2006	2001	62,400	78.5%	433	Y	0.0%
Denver I, CO	2006	1997	59,200	70.4%	451	Y	0.0%
Federal Heights, CO	2005	1980	54,770	82.7%	559	Y	0.0%
Golden, CO	2005	1985	85,830	82.5%	621	Y	1.2%
Littleton I, CO	2005	1987	53,490	72.5%	449	Y	37.4%
Northglenn, CO	2005	1980	52,102	76.5%	498	Y	0.0%
Bloomfield, CT	1997	1987/93/94	48,700	74.4%	436	Y	6.6%
Branford, CT	1995	1986	50,679	76.4%	431	N	2.2%
Bristol, CT	2005	1989/99	48,050	76.5%	438	N	22.3%
East Windsor, CT	2005	1986/89	45,900	77.4%	296	N	0.0%
Enfield, CT	2001	1989	52,875	92.2%	365	N	0.0%
Gales Ferry, CT	1995	1987/89	54,130	72.7%	596	N	6.3%
Manchester I, CT (6)	2002	1999/00/01	47,125	86.3%	459	N	37.6%
Manchester II, CT	2005	1984	52,725	74.1%	390	N	0.0%
Milford, CT	1994	1975	44,885	76.9%	376	Y	4.0%
Monroe, CT	2005	1996/03	58,500	76.9%	400	N	0.0%
Mystic, CT	1994	1975/86	50,725	81.2%	556	Y	2.3%
Newington I, CT	2005	1978/97	42,520	71.2%	248	N	0.0%
Newington II, CT	2005	1979/81	36,140	82.9%	198	N	0.0%
Old Saybrook I, CT	2005	1982/88/00	87,625	80.6%	713	N	5.8%
Old Saybrook II, CT	2005	1988/02	26,425	80.9%	254	N	54.6%
South Windsor, CT	1994	1976	72,125	70.4%	553	Y	1.1%
Stamford, CT	2005	1997	28,957	78.3%	367	N	32.8%
Washington, DC	2008	2002	62,695	89.5%	752	Y	96.5%
Boca Raton, FL	2001	1998	37,958	76.5%	605	Y	68.2%
Boynton Beach I, FL	2001	1999	61,977	78.6%	767	Y	54.2%
Boynton Beach II, FL	2005	2001	61,777	67.8%	580	Y	82.3%
Bradenton I, FL	2004	1979	68,391	58.5%	635	N	2.7%
Bradenton II, FL	2004	1996	87,810	77.5%	859	Y	40.1%
Cape Coral, FL	2000*	2000	76,592	75.0%	864	Y	83.5%
Dania, FL	1994	1988	58,270	78.7%	498	Y	26.9%
Dania Beach, FL (6)	2004	1984	181,513	65.4%	1975	N	20.4%
Davie, FL	2001*	2001	81,135	70.1%	853	Y	55.6%
Deerfield Beach, FL	1998*	1998	57,280	82.8%	519	Y	38.8%
Delray Beach, FL	2001	1999	67,821	74.8%	834	Y	39.3%
Fernandina Beach, FL	1996	1986	110,785	71.7%	828	N	35.7%
Ft. Lauderdale, FL	1999	1999	70,093	87.8%	690	Y	46.8%
Ft. Myers, FL	1998	1998	67,642	68.8%	591	Y	67.0%
Jacksonville I, FL	2005	2005	80,586	84.1%	730	N	100.0%
Jacksonville II, FL	2007	2004	65,070	87.2%	664	N	100.0%
Jacksonville III, FL	2007	2003	65,595	80.6%	691	N	100.0%
Jacksonville IV, FL	2007	2006	78,370	73.3%	704	N	75.1%

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Jacksonville V, FL	2007	2004	82,160	80.2%	713	N	82.2%
Kendall, FL	2007	2003	75,395	76.0%	703	N	71.0%
Lake Worth, FL	1998	1998/02	161,808	88.0%	1396	Y	37.2%
Lakeland I, FL	1994	1988	49,095	78.5%	491	Y	79.4%
Lutz I, FL	2004	2000	66,595	64.5%	614	Y	37.2%
Lutz II, FL	2004	1999	69,232	80.2%	539	Y	20.6%
Margate I, FL	1994	1979/81	54,505	75.1%	339	N	10.0%
Margate II, FL	1996	1985	65,186	89.2%	425	Y	28.8%
Merrit Island, FL	2000	2000	50,417	71.2%	465	Y	56.7%
Miami I, FL	1995	1995	46,825	78.7%	560	Y	52.1%
Miami II, FL	1994	1989	67,060	72.1%	567	Y	8.0%
Miami VI, FL	2005	1988/03	150,510	70.4%	1523	N	86.8%
Naples I, FL	1996	1996	48,150	88.2%	336	Y	26.6%
Naples II, FL	1997	1985	65,850	84.8%	644	Y	44.6%
Naples III, FL	1997	1981/83	80,675	65.0%	818	Y	23.9%
Naples IV, FL	1998	1990	40,700	70.9%	441	N	43.6%
Ocoee, FL	2005	1997	76,130	81.2%	627	Y	15.5%
Orange City, FL	2004	2001	59,586	76.0%	648	N	39.1%

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Facility Location	Year Acquired/ Developed (1)	Year Built	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy (2)	Units	Manager Apartment (3)	% Climate Controlled (4)
Orlando I, FL (6)	1997	1987	52,170	63.8%	497	Y	4.9%
Orlando II, FL	2005	2002/04	63,084	83.6%	586	N	74.2%
Orlando III, FL	2006	1988/90/96	104,140	68.2%	790	Y	6.9%
Oviedo, FL	2006	1988/1991	49,251	76.8%	425	Y	3.2%
Pembroke Pines, FL	1997	1997	67,321	83.0%	702	Y	63.2%
Royal Palm Beach I, FL	1994	1988	98,961	64.7%	675	N	54.5%
Royal Palm Beach II, FL	2007	2004	81,415	72.2%	770	N	82.3%
Sanford, FL	2006	1988/2006	61,810	70.8%	438	Y	28.6%
Sarasota, FL	1998	1998	71,102	70.6%	526	Y	42.5%
St. Augustine, FL	1996	1985	59,725	64.7%	697	Y	29.9%
Stuart, FL	1997	1995	86,883	73.0%	980	N	51.7%
SW Ranches, FL	2007	2004	64,955	81.9%	648	N	85.3%
Tampa II, FL	2007	2001/2002	83,763	84.2%	796	N	28.5%
West Palm Beach I, FL	2001	1997	68,063	77.7%	993	Y	47.2%
West Palm Beach II, FL	2004	1996	94,503	79.7%	835	Y	73.9%
Alpharetta, GA	2001	1996	90,485	71.0%	665	Y	75.1%
Austell, GA	2006	2000	83,525	75.5%	640	N	66.0%
Decatur, GA	1998	1986	148,320	73.0%	1320	Y	3.1%
Norcross, GA	2001	1997	85,140	75.6%	577	Y	55.4%
Peachtree City, GA	2001	1997	49,845	80.7%	444	N	75.6%
Smyrna, GA	2001	2000	56,970	81.1%	497	Y	100.0%
Snellville, GA	2007	1996/1997	80,100	88.9%	753	Y	27.1%
Suwanee I, GA	2007	2000/2003	85,190	72.2%	622	Y	28.7%
Suwanee II, GA	2007	2005	79,640	75.2%	574	N	61.5%
Addison, IL	2004	1979	31,325	88.4%	369	Y	0.0%
Aurora, IL	2004	1996	74,060	73.2%	553	Y	6.9%
Bartlett, IL	2004	1987	51,425	93.4%	412	Y	33.1%
Bellwood, IL	2001	1999	86,525	82.5%	744	Y	52.2%
Des Plaines, IL (6)	2004	1978	74,400	85.5%	638	N	0.0%
Elk Grove Village, IL	2004	1987	64,179	86.9%	631	Y	5.5%
Glenview, IL	2004	1998	100,115	91.7%	738	Y	100.0%
Gurnee, IL	2004	1987	80,275	82.2%	726	Y	34.1%
Hanover, IL	2004	1987	41,178	67.0%	408	N	0.4%
Harvey, IL	2004	1987	60,090	88.9%	575	Y	3.0%
Joliet, IL	2004	1993	74,350	69.9%	483	Y	96.4%
Kildeer, IL	2004	1988	46,475	89.4%	431	Y	0.0%
Lombard, IL	2004	1981	57,938	92.9%	547	N	9.8%
Mount Prospect, IL	2004	1979	65,000	85.3%	595	Y	12.7%
Mundelein, IL	2004	1990	44,700	79.8%	490	Y	8.9%
North Chicago, IL	2004	1985	53,300	83.2%	430	N	0.0%
Plainfield I, IL	2004	1998	53,800	88.1%	399	N	3.3%
Plainfield II, IL	2005	2000	52,100	67.8%	357	N	22.7%
Schaumburg, IL	2004	1988	31,160	86.8%	321	N	5.6%
Streamwood, IL	2004	1982	64,305	73.8%	570	N	4.4%
Warrensville, IL	2005	1977/89	48,796	82.5%	378	N	0.0%
Waukegan, IL	2004	1977	79,750	78.8%	692	Y	8.4%
West Chicago, IL	2004	1979	48,175	73.8%	423	Y	0.0%
Westmont, IL	2004	1979	53,700	85.3%	390	Y	0.0%
Wheeling I, IL	2004	1974	54,210	80.3%	499	N	0.0%
Wheeling II, IL	2004	1979	67,825	67.7%	612	Y	7.3%
Woodridge, IL	2004	1987	50,667	76.8%	468	N	7.5%
Indianapolis I, IN	2004	1987	43,600	79.8%	327	N	0.0%

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Indianapolis II, IN	2004	1997	44,900	81.8%	454	Y	15.6%
Indianapolis III, IN	2004	1999	60,850	67.7%	496	Y	32.8%
Indianapolis IV, IN	2004	1976	62,105	67.0%	535	Y	0.0%
Indianapolis V, IN	2004	1999	74,825	91.0%	584	Y	33.6%
Indianapolis VI, IN	2004	1976	73,003	68.9%	717	Y	0.0%
Indianapolis VII, IN	2004	1992	91,727	67.1%	811	Y	6.4%
Indianapolis VIII, IN	2004	1975	80,000	62.5%	703	Y	0.0%
Indianapolis IX, IN	2004	1976	61,732	62.5%	544	Y	0.0%
Baton Rouge I, LA	1997	1980	35,450	77.4%	331	Y	11.6%
Baton Rouge II, LA	1997	1980/1995	80,277	84.4%	569	Y	40.5%
Slidell, LA	2001	1998	79,540	79.2%	523	Y	46.6%
Boston, MA	2002	2001	60,695	81.1%	630	Y	100.0%
Leominster, MA	1998	1987/88/00	53,823	74.1%	500	Y	38.5%
Medford, MA	2007	2001	58,895	70.0%	654	N	96.0%
Baltimore, MD	2001	1999/00	93,625	70.9%	835	Y	45.4%
California, MD	2004	1998	77,840	89.1%	723	Y	39.0%
Gaithersburg, MD	2005	1998	86,970	80.6%	790	Y	42.0%
Laurel, MD	2001	1978/99/00	162,097	90.2%	1018	N	40.9%
Temple Hills, MD	2001	2000	97,250	80.0%	825	Y	68.8%
Grand Rapids, MI	1996	1976	87,381	64.5%	525	Y	0.0%

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Facility Location	Year Acquired/ Developed (1)	Year Built	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy (2)	Units	Manager Apartment (3)	% Climate Controlled (4)
Portage, MI (6)	1996	1980	50,280	84.1%	386	N	0.0%
Romulus, MI	1997	1997	42,050	72.1%	339	Y	7.4%
Wyoming, MI	1996	1987	91,158	68.7%	635	N	0.0%
Gulfport, MS	1997	1977/93	61,251	77.7%	509	Y	33.5%
Belmont, NC	2001	1996/97/98	81,048	68.3%	582	N	23.8%
Burlington I, NC	2001	1990/91/93/94/98	109,396	66.9%	956	N	4.7%
Burlington II, NC	2001	1991	42,205	70.1%	391	Y	12.1%
Cary, NC	2001	1993/94/97	111,772	74.2%	788	N	7.3%
Charlotte, NC	1999	1999	69,000	82.6%	736	Y	52.4%
Fayetteville I, NC	1997	1981	41,400	89.0%	343	N	0.0%
Fayetteville II, NC	1997	1993/95	54,225	92.0%	547	Y	11.9%
Raleigh, NC	1998	1994/95	48,675	80.5%	413	Y	8.2%
Brick, NJ	1994	1981	51,740	66.8%	430	N	0.0%
Clifton, NJ	2005	2001	105,550	79.6%	1015	Y	85.5%
Cranford, NJ	1994	1987	91,250	78.4%	851	Y	7.9%
East Hanover, NJ	1994	1983	107,579	70.0%	970	N	1.6%
Elizabeth, NJ	2005	1925/97	38,910	87.2%	671	N	0.0%
Fairview, NJ	1997	1989	27,925	73.8%	448	N	100.0%
Hamilton, NJ	2006	1990	70,550	62.2%	610	Y	0.0%
Hoboken, NJ	2005	1945/97	34,180	81.1%	742	N	100.0%
Linden, NJ	1994	1983	100,325	66.1%	1116	N	2.8%
Morris Township, NJ (5)	1997	1972	71,776	72.2%	566	Y	1.3%
Parsippany, NJ	1997	1981	66,325	84.2%	567	Y	6.9%
Randolph, NJ	2002	1998/99	52,565	78.5%	554	Y	82.5%
Sewell, NJ	2001	1984/98	57,830	73.6%	464	N	5.3%
Albuquerque I, NM	2005	1985	65,852	89.1%	610	Y	3.2%
Albuquerque II, NM	2005	1985	58,798	81.8%	524	Y	4.1%
Albuquerque IV, NM	2005	1986	57,536	83.9%	514	Y	4.7%
Carlsbad, NM	2005	1975	39,999	91.7%	342	Y	0.0%
Deming, NM	2005	1973/83	33,005	90.9%	241	Y	0.0%
Las Cruces, NM	2005	1984	43,850	68.8%	378	Y	3.1%
Las Cruces, NM	2008	2007	21,890	44.5%	156	N	11.4%
Lovington, NM	2005	1975	15,750	76.7%	261	Y	0.0%
Silver City, NM	2005	1972	26,975	74.8%	239	Y	0.0%
Truth or Consequences, NM	2005	1977/99/00	24,010	74.3%	172	Y	0.0%
Las Vegas I, NV	2006	1986	48,218	76.4%	378	Y	5.4%
Las Vegas II, NV	2006	1997	48,850	87.7%	506	N	75.2%
Jamaica, NY	2001	2000	88,415	75.9%	918	Y	30.7%
New Rochelle, NY	2005	1998	48,431	84.3%	399	N	15.0%
North Babylon, NY	1998	1988/99	78,188	83.8%	649	N	9.0%
Riverhead, NY	2005	1985/86/99	38,240	74.7%	325	N	0.0%
Southold, NY	2005	1989	58,609	68.5%	580	N	3.1%
Boardman, OH	1980	1980/89	65,495	65.9%	513	Y	24.0%
Canton I, OH	2005	1979/87	39,750	72.9%	407	N	0.0%
Canton II, OH	2005	1997	26,200	69.7%	191	Y	0.0%
Centerville I, OH	2004	1976	86,390	64.2%	639	Y	0.0%
Centerville II, OH	2004	1976	43,350	68.0%	305	N	0.0%
Cleveland I, OH	2005	1997/99	45,950	82.6%	335	Y	4.9%
Cleveland II, OH	2005	2000	58,425	55.2%	569	Y	0.0%
Columbus, OH	2006	1999	72,155	61.7%	598	Y	26.1%
Dayton I, OH	2004	1978	43,100	69.0%	341	N	0.0%
Dayton II, OH	2005	1989/00	48,149	75.2%	387	Y	1.7%

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Euclid I, OH	1988*	1988	46,910	65.0%	428	Y	22.2%
Euclid II, OH	1988*	1988	47,275	70.8%	377	Y	0.0%
Grove City, OH	2006	1997	89,290	75.5%	776	Y	16.9%
Hilliard, OH	2006	1995	89,715	66.7%	779	Y	24.5%
Lakewood, OH	1989*	1989	39,337	77.9%	459	Y	24.6%
Louisville, OH	2005	1988/90	53,960	74.0%	383	N	0.0%
Marblehead, OH	2005	1988/98	52,300	77.5%	383	Y	0.0%
Mason, OH	1998	1981	33,900	77.1%	281	Y	0.0%
Mentor, OH	2005	1983/99	51,225	93.7%	366	N	16.1%
Miamisburg, OH	2004	1975	59,930	68.2%	430	Y	0.0%
Middleburg Heights, OH	1980*	1980	93,025	81.9%	662	N	3.8%
North Canton I, OH	1979*	1979	45,400	80.8%	318	N	0.0%
North Canton II, OH	1983*	1983	44,140	80.8%	345	Y	15.8%
North Olmsted I, OH	1979*	1979	48,665	74.7%	441	N	7.0%
North Olmsted II, OH	1988*	1988	47,850	79.9%	398	Y	14.2%
North Randall, OH	1998*	1998/02	80,099	76.1%	800	N	90.8%
Perry, OH	2005	1992/97	63,700	68.4%	420	Y	0.0%
Reynoldsburg, OH	2006	1979	66,895	66.8%	663	Y	0.0%
Strongsville, OH	2007	1978	43,727	81.2%	402	N	100.0%
Warrensville Heights, OH	1980*	1980/82/98	90,281	64.2%	710	Y	0.0%

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Facility Location	Year Acquired/ Developed (1)	Year Built	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy (2)	Units	Manager Apartment (3)	% Climate Controlled (4)
Westlake, OH	2005	2001	62,750	80.8%	452	Y	6.1%
Willoughby, OH	2005	1997	34,064	72.3%	268	Y	10.1%
Youngstown, OH	1977*	1977	65,950	70.1%	521	Y	1.2%
Levittown, PA	2001	2000	76,180	78.9%	653	Y	36.3%
Philadelphia, PA	2001	1999	97,689	80.7%	961	N	47.2%
Alcoa, TN	2005	1986	42,325	81.3%	359	N	0.0%
Antioch, TN	2005	1985/98	76,160	73.5%	617	Y	8.5%
Cordova I, TN	2005	1987	54,225	71.6%	385	Y	0.0%
Cordova II, TN	2006	1995	67,750	84.7%	714	N	7.2%
Knoxville I, TN	1997	1984	29,337	76.1%	293	Y	6.8%
Knoxville II, TN	1997	1985	38,000	81.2%	333	Y	6.9%
Knoxville III, TN	1998	1991	45,736	74.1%	451	Y	6.9%
Knoxville IV, TN	1998	1983	58,752	69.9%	436	N	1.1%
Knoxville V, TN	1998	1977	42,790	77.8%	370	N	0.0%
Knoxville VI, TN	2005	1975	63,440	84.0%	586	Y	0.0%
Knoxville VII, TN	2005	1983	55,094	71.2%	446	Y	0.0%
Knoxville VIII, TN	2005	1978	95,868	67.8%	769	Y	0.0%
Memphis I, TN	2001	1999	90,700	82.6%	696	N	50.3%
Memphis II, TN	2001	2000	71,885	78.2%	558	N	46.2%
Memphis III, TN	2005	1983	40,807	80.1%	350	N	6.4%
Memphis IV, TN	2005	1986	38,750	72.6%	322	Y	4.3%
Memphis V, TN	2005	1981	60,120	69.8%	494	Y	0.0%
Memphis VI, TN	2006	1985/93	108,771	81.2%	872	Y	3.3%
Memphis VII, TN	2006	1980/85	115,303	68.7%	578	N	0.0%
Memphis VIII, TN	2006	1990	96,060	71.8%	557	Y	0.0%
Nashville I, TN	2005	1984	103,430	77.2%	693	Y	0.0%
Nashville II, TN	2005	1986/00	83,484	68.4%	632	Y	6.5%
Nashville III, TN	2006	1985	101,475	78.1%	622	Y	5.2%
Nashville IV, TN	2006	1986/00	102,425	83.8%	722	N	7.0%
Austin I, TX	2005	2001	59,595	80.2%	537	Y	58.7%
Austin II, TX	2006	2000/03	65,401	83.2%	594	Y	38.8%
Austin III, TX	2006	2004	70,610	73.8%	579	Y	85.4%
Baytown, TX	2005	1981	38,950	76.6%	362	Y	0.0%
Bryan, TX	2005	1994	60,450	76.2%	495	Y	0.0%
College Station, TX	2005	1993	26,550	68.4%	346	N	0.0%
Dallas, TX	2005	2000	58,582	88.7%	542	Y	26.6%
Denton, TX	2006	1996	60,836	89.2%	463	Y	3.9%
El Paso I, TX	2005	1980	59,652	80.4%	509	N	0.9%
El Paso II, TX	2005	1980	48,704	96.3%	412	Y	0.0%
El Paso III, TX	2005	1980	71,276	84.0%	595	Y	2.0%
El Paso IV, TX	2005	1983	67,058	73.7%	510	Y	3.2%
El Paso V, TX	2005	1982	62,300	69.0%	398	Y	0.0%
El Paso VI, TX	2005	1985	36,620	79.8%	258	N	0.0%
El Paso VII, TX	2005	1982	34,545	80.3%	13	N	0.0%
Fort Worth I, TX	2005	2000	49,778	75.4%	406	Y	27.0%
Fort Worth II, TX	2006	2003	72,925	83.8%	656	N	49.0%
Frisco I, TX	2005	1996	50,854	83.1%	433	Y	17.5%
Frisco II, TX	2005	1998/02	71,239	76.3%	513	Y	23.2%
Frisco III, TX	2006	2004	75,215	78.6%	609	Y	88.0%
Garland I, TX	2006	1991	70,100	80.6%	654	Y	4.4%
Garland II, TX	2006	2004	68,425	77.4%	472	Y	39.6%
Greenville I, TX	2005	2001/04	59,385	72.8%	452	Y	28.8%
Greenville II, TX	2005	2001	44,900	79.0%	319	N	36.3%
Houston I, TX	2005	1981	100,820	86.7%	632	Y	0.0%

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Houston II, TX	2005	1977	71,300	90.5%	391	Y	0.0%
Houston III, TX	2005	1984	61,145	74.0%	463	Y	4.3%
Houston IV, TX	2005	1987	43,775	75.7%	380	Y	6.2%
Houston V, TX	2006	1980/1997	126,080	80.6%	1010	Y	55.2%
Keller, TX	2006	2000	61,885	75.7%	487	Y	21.1%
La Porte, TX	2005	1984	45,050	81.6%	432	Y	18.5%
Lewisville, TX	2006	1996	58,140	63.2%	426	Y	19.3%
Mansfield, TX	2006	2003	63,075	78.7%	495	Y	38.4%
McKinney I, TX	2005	1996	46,940	90.8%	366	Y	9.0%
McKinney II, TX	2006	1996	70,050	88.7%	540	Y	46.3%
North Richland Hills, TX	2005	2002	57,175	81.2%	433	N	47.6%
Roanoke, TX	2005	1996/01	59,300	91.7%	448	Y	30.0%
San Antonio I, TX	2005	2005	73,530	83.5%	575	Y	78.5%
San Antonio II, TX	2006	2005	73,280	78.2%	671	N	82.3%
San Antonio III, TX	2007	2006	71,775	79.0%	565	N	87.4%
Sherman I, TX	2005	1998	54,975	70.4%	509	N	20.9%
Sherman II, TX	2005	1996	48,425	74.4%	392	Y	30.9%
Spring, TX	2006	1980/86	72,751	73.4%	536	Y	14.1%

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Facility Location	Year Acquired/	Year	Rentable	Occupancy	Units	Manager	% Climate
	Developed (1)	Built	Square Feet	(2)		Apartment (3)	Controlled (4)
Murray I, UT	2005	1976	60,180	77.7%	647	Y	0.0%
Murray II, UT	2005	1978	71,222	90.2%	372	N	2.6%
Salt Lake City I, UT	2005	1976	56,446	74.0%	732	Y	0.0%
Salt Lake City II, UT	2005	1978	53,676	74.5%	504	Y	0.0%
Fredericksburg I, VA	2005	2001/04	69,475	74.2%	606	N	21.4%
Fredericksburg II, VA	2005	1998/01	61,207	63.2%	568	N	100.0%
Milwaukee, WI	2004	1988	58,515	76.4%	485	Y	0.0%
Total/Weighted Average (367 Facilities)			23,748,702	75.2%	204,815		

* Denotes facilities developed by us.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Denotes facilities that contain a significant amount of commercial rentable square footage. All of this commercial space, which was developed in conjunction with the self-storage units, is located within or adjacent to our self-storage facilities and is managed by our self-storage facility managers. As of December 31, 2009, there was an aggregate of approximately 449,000 rentable square feet of commercial space at these facilities.

(1) Represents the year acquired for those facilities acquired from a third party or the year developed for those facilities developed by us.

(2) Represents occupied square feet divided by total rentable square feet at December 31, 2009.

(3) Indicates whether a facility has an on-site apartment where a manager resides.

(4) Represents the percentage of rentable square feet in climate-controlled units.

(5) We do not own the land at this facility. We leased the land pursuant to a ground lease that expires in 2013, but have eight five-year renewal options.

(6) We have ground leases for certain small parcels of land adjacent to these facilities that expire between 2010 and 2015.

Our growth has been achieved by adding facilities to our portfolio through acquisitions and development. The tables set forth below show the average occupancy, annual rent per occupied square foot, average occupied square feet and total revenues for our facilities owned as of December 31, 2009, and for each of the last three years, grouped by the year end during which we first owned or operated the facility.

Our Facilities by Year Acquired - Average Occupied Square Feet (2)

Year Acquired (1)	# of Facilities	Rentable Square Feet	Average Occupancy		
			2009	2008	2007
2006 and earlier	349	22,345,852	75.9%	80.0%	79.7%
2007	17	1,318,265	77.2%	76.1%	71.3%
2008	1	84,585	72.3%	69.5%	
2009					
All Facilities Owned as of December 31, 2009	367	23,748,702	75.9%	79.8%	79.5%

Table of Contents

Our Facilities by Year Acquired - Annual Rent Per Occupied Square Foot (2)

Year Acquired (1)	# of Facilities	2009	Rent per Square Foot 2008	2007
2006 and earlier	349	\$ 11.70	\$ 11.45	\$ 11.48
2007	17	12.20	12.29	11.29
2008	1	22.13	21.12	
2009				
All Facilities Owned as of December 31, 2009	367	\$ 11.76	\$ 11.52	\$ 11.47

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

(1) For facilities developed by us, Year Acquired represents the year in which such facilities were acquired by our operating partnership from an affiliated entity, which in some cases is later than the year developed.

(2) Determined by dividing the aggregate rental revenue for each twelve-month period by the average of the month-end occupied square feet for the period. Rental revenue includes customer rental revenues, access, administrative and late fees and revenues from auctions, but does not include ancillary revenues generated at our facilities.

Facilities by Year Acquired - Average Occupied Square Feet (2)

Year Acquired (1)	# of Facilities	Average Occupied Square Feet		
		2009	2008	2007
2006 and earlier	349	16,964,729	17,957,743	17,910,241
2007	17	1,017,882	1,003,961	934,799
2008	1	61,113	58,844	
2009				
All Facilities Owned as of December 31, 2009	367	18,043,724	19,020,548	18,845,040

Facilities by Year Acquired - Total Revenues (dollars in thousands) (3)

Year Acquired (1)	# of Facilities	Total Revenues		
		2009	2008	2007
2006 and earlier	349	\$ 202,393	\$ 210,066	\$ 202,161
2007	17	12,852	12,682	4,891
2008	1	1,404	1,309	
2009				
All Facilities Owned as of December 31, 2009	367	\$ 216,649	\$ 224,057	\$ 207,052

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

- (1) For facilities developed by us, Year Acquired represents the year in which such facilities were acquired by our operating partnership from an affiliated entity, which in some cases is later than the year developed.

- (2) Represents the average of the aggregate month-end occupied square feet for the twelve-month period for each group of facilities.

- (3) Represents the result obtained by multiplying total income per occupied square foot by the average occupied square feet for the twelve-month period for each group of facilities.

Table of Contents

Planned Renovations and Improvements

We have a capital improvement and property renovation program that includes office upgrades, adding climate control at selected units, construction of parking areas, safety and security enhancements, and general facility upgrades. For 2010, we anticipate spending approximately \$7 million to \$9 million associated with these capital expenditures and expect to enhance the safety and improve the aesthetic appeal of our facilities.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved in claims from time to time, including the proceeding identified below, which arise in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, we have made adequate provision for potential liabilities, if any, arising from any such matters. However, litigation is inherently unpredictable, and the costs and other effects of pending or future litigation, governmental investigations, legal and administrative cases and proceedings (whether civil or criminal), settlements, judgments and investigations, claims and changes in any such matters, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

On November 4, 2009, our Operating Partnership was sued in the Delaware Court of Chancery by Robert J. Amsdell, Barry L. Amsdell, and Amsdell Holdings I, Inc. (collectively, the Amsdell Plaintiffs). The Amsdell Plaintiffs lawsuit seeks to compel our Operating Partnership to indemnify the Amsdell Plaintiffs for losses and expenses allegedly incurred by the Amsdell Plaintiffs from legal proceedings filed against the Amsdell Plaintiffs, which proceedings alleged, *inter alia*, that the Amsdell Plaintiffs breached an agreement to purchase certain real estate located in Brighton, Massachusetts in 2001. We are vigorously defending against this action. The matter is presently in the discovery phase and no trial date has been set by the Court.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

As of December 31, 2009, there were approximately 54 registered record holders of our common shares. This figure does not include beneficial owners who hold shares in nominee name. The following table shows the high and low closing prices per share for our common shares, as reported by the New York Stock Exchange, and the cash dividends declared with respect to such shares:

	High	Low	Cash Dividends Declared
2008			
First quarter	\$ 11.37	\$ 7.86	\$ 0.18
Second quarter	\$ 13.38	\$ 11.14	\$ 0.18
Third quarter	\$ 13.17	\$ 10.96	\$ 0.18
Fourth quarter	\$ 11.99	\$ 3.62	\$ 0.025
2009			
First quarter	\$ 5.03	\$ 1.40	\$ 0.025
Second quarter	\$ 4.93	\$ 2.12	\$ 0.025
Third quarter	\$ 6.83	\$ 4.23	\$ 0.025
Fourth quarter	\$ 7.60	\$ 5.70	\$ 0.025

Since our initial quarter as a publicly-traded REIT, we have made regular quarterly distributions to our shareholders. Distributions to shareholders are usually taxable as ordinary income, although a portion of the distribution may be designated as capital gain or may constitute a tax-free return of capital. Annually, we provide each of our shareholders a statement detailing distributions paid during the preceding year and their characterization as ordinary income, capital gain or return of capital. The characterization of our dividends for 2009 was 100% capital gain distribution.

We intend to continue to declare quarterly distributions. However, we cannot provide any assurance as to the amount or timing of future distributions. Under our revolving credit facility, we are restricted from paying distributions on our common shares that would exceed an amount equal to the greater of (i) 95% of our funds from operations, and (ii) such amount as may be necessary to maintain our REIT status.

To the extent that we make distributions in excess of our earnings and profits, as computed for federal income tax purposes, these distributions will represent a return of capital, rather than a dividend, for federal income tax purposes. Distributions that are treated as a return of capital for federal income tax purposes generally will not be taxable as a dividend to a U.S. shareholder, but will reduce the shareholder's basis in its shares (but not below zero) and therefore can result in the shareholder having a higher gain upon a subsequent sale of such shares. Return of capital distributions in excess of a shareholder's basis generally will be treated as gain from the sale of such shares for federal income tax purposes.

Share Performance Graph

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

The SEC requires us to present a chart comparing the cumulative total shareholder return on our common shares with the cumulative total shareholder return of (i) a broad equity index and (ii) a published industry or peer group index. The following chart compares the cumulative total shareholder return for our common shares with the cumulative shareholder return of companies on (i) the S&P 500 Index, (ii) the Russell 2000 and (iii) the NAREIT All Equity REIT Index as provided by NAREIT for the period beginning with December 31, 2004 and ending December 31, 2009.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Index	Period Ending					
	12/31/04	12/31/05	12/31/06	12/31/07	12/31/08	12/31/09
U-Store-It Trust	100.00	128.39	132.66	63.23	32.90	55.53
S&P 500	100.00	104.91	121.48	128.16	80.74	102.11
Russell 2000	100.00	104.55	123.76	121.82	80.66	102.58
NAREIT All Equity REIT Index	100.00	112.16	151.49	127.72	79.53	101.79

The following table provides information about repurchases of the Company's common shares during the three-month period ended December 31, 2009:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans (2)
October	139	6.15	N/A	3,000,000

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

November	N/A	N/A	N/A	3,000,000
December	543	7.21	N/A	3,000,000
Total	682		N/A	3,000,000

(1) Represents shares of common stock withheld by the Company upon the vesting of restricted shares to cover employee tax obligations.

Table of Contents

(2) On June 27, 2007, the Company announced that the Board of Trustees approved a share repurchase program for up to 3.0 million of the Company's outstanding common shares. Unless terminated earlier by resolution of the Board of Trustees, the program will expire when the number of authorized shares has been repurchased. For the three-month period ended December 31, 2009, the Company made no repurchases under this program.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. *SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA*

The following table sets forth selected financial and operating data on a historical consolidated basis for the Company. The selected historical financial information for the five-year period ended December 31, 2009 was derived from the Company's financial statements.

The following data should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto of the Company and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included elsewhere in this report.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

	For the year ended December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
	(Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share data)				
REVENUES					
Rental income	\$ 200,630	\$ 208,439	\$ 192,275	\$ 177,098	\$ 123,579
Other property related income	16,659	15,700	15,329	13,484	9,063
Other - related party			365	457	405
Total revenues	217,289	224,139	207,969	191,039	133,047
OPERATING EXPENSES					
Property operating expenses	93,945	95,156	88,628	77,883	50,170
Property operating expenses - related party			59	69	43
Depreciation and amortization	70,832	73,751	64,672	59,334	37,200
Asset write-off				305	
Lease abandonment			1,316		
General and administrative	22,569	24,964	21,966	21,675	17,786
General and administrative - related party			337	613	736
Total operating expenses	187,346	193,871	176,978	159,879	105,935
OPERATING INCOME	29,943	30,268	30,991	31,160	27,112
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)					
Interest:					
Interest expense on loans	(45,269)	(52,014)	(54,108)	(45,628)	(31,907)
Loan procurement amortization expense	(2,339)	(1,929)	(1,772)	(1,972)	(2,045)
Early extinguishment of debt				(1,907)	(93)
Interest income	681	153	401	1,336	2,404
Other	(33)	94	118	191	(47)
Total other expense	(46,960)	(53,696)	(55,361)	(47,980)	(31,688)
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	(17,017)	(23,428)	(24,370)	(16,820)	(4,576)
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS					
Income from discontinued operations	2,546	6,810	7,606	7,496	6,078
Net gain on disposition of discontinued operations	14,139	19,720	2,517		179
Total discontinued operations	16,685	26,530	10,123	7,496	6,257
NET INCOME (LOSS)	(332)	3,102	(14,247)	(9,324)	1,681
NET LOSS (INCOME) ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS					
Noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership	60	(310)	1,170	773	(113)
Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries	(665)				
NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE COMPANY	\$ (937)	\$ 2,792	\$ (13,077)	\$ (8,551)	\$ 1,568
Basic and diluted loss per share from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders					
	\$ (0.24)	\$ (0.37)	\$ (0.67)	\$ (0.60)	\$ (0.58)
Basic and diluted earnings per share from discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders					
	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.62
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to common shareholders					
	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.15)	\$ 0.04
Weighted-average basic and diluted shares outstanding (1)					
	70,988	57,621	57,497	57,287	42,120

**AMOUNTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO
THE COMPANY'S COMMON
SHAREHOLDERS:**

Loss from continuing operations	\$	(16,754)	\$	(21,589)	\$	(38,787)	\$	(34,474)	\$	(24,455)
Total discontinued operations		15,817		24,381		25,710		25,923		26,023
Net income (loss)	\$	(937)	\$	2,792	\$	(13,077)	\$	(8,551)	\$	1,568

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

	2009	2008	At December 31, 2007	2006	2005
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
Balance Sheet Data:					
Storage facilities, net	\$ 1,430,533	\$ 1,559,958	\$ 1,647,118	\$ 1,566,815	\$ 1,246,295
Total assets	1,598,870	1,597,659	1,687,831	1,615,339	1,476,321
Revolving credit facility		172,000	219,000	90,500	
Unsecured term loan		200,000	200,000	200,000	
Secured term loan	200,000	57,419	47,444		
Mortgage loans and notes payable	569,026	548,085	561,057	588,930	669,282
Total liabilities	814,146	1,028,705	1,083,230	930,948	714,157
Noncontrolling interest in the Operating Partnership	45,394	46,026	48,982	107,606	108,313
U-Store-It Trust shareholders equity	695,309	522,928	555,619	576,785	653,851
Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	44,021				
Total liabilities and equity	1,598,870	1,597,659	1,687,831	1,615,339	1,476,321
Other Data:					
Number of facilities	367	387	409	399	339
Total rentable square feet	23,749	24,973	26,119	25,436	20,828
Occupancy percentage	75.2%	78.9%	79.5%	78.2%	81.2%
Cash dividends declared per share (2)	\$ 0.100	\$ 0.565	\$ 1.05	\$ 1.16	\$ 1.13

(1) Excludes 5,198,855 operating partnership units issued at our IPO and in connection with the acquisition of facilities subsequent to our IPO. Operating partnership units have been excluded from the earnings per share calculations as there would be no effect on the earnings per share since, upon conversion, the noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership's share of income would also be added back to net income (loss).

(2) The Company announced full quarterly dividends of \$0.28 per common share on March 2, 2005, May 31, 2005 and August 24, 2005; dividends of \$0.29 per common share on December 1, 2005, February 22, 2006, April 24, 2006, August 23, 2006, November 3, 2006, February 21, 2007, May 8, 2007, and August 14, 2007; dividends of \$0.18 per common share on December 13, 2007, February 27, 2008, May 7, 2008, and August 6, 2008; and dividends of \$0.025 per common share on December 11, 2008, January 22, 2009, April 22, 2009, July 22, 2009, October 22, 2009 and December 5, 2009.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. The Company makes certain statements in this section that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. For a complete discussion of forward-looking statements, see the section in this report entitled "Forward-Looking Statements." Certain risk factors may cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the following discussion. For a discussion of such risk factors, see the section in this report entitled "Risk Factors."

Overview

The Company is an integrated self-storage real estate company, which means that it has in-house capabilities in the operation, design, development, leasing, and acquisition of self-storage facilities. The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT for federal tax purposes. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company owned 367 and 387 self-storage facilities, respectively, totaling approximately 23.7 million and 25.0 million rentable square feet, respectively.

The Company derives revenues principally from rents received from its customers who rent units at its self-storage facilities under month-to-month leases. Therefore, our operating results depend materially on our ability to retain our existing customers and lease our available self-storage units to new customers while maintaining and, where possible, increasing our pricing levels. In addition, our operating results depend on the ability of our customers to make required rental payments to us. We believe that our decentralized approach to the management and operation of our facilities, which places an emphasis on local, market level oversight and control, allows us to respond quickly and effectively to changes in local market conditions, where appropriate increasing rents while maintaining occupancy levels, or increasing occupancy levels while maintaining pricing levels.

The Company typically experiences seasonal fluctuations in the occupancy levels of our facilities, which are generally slightly higher during the summer months due to increased moving activity.

The United States has recently experienced an economic downturn that has resulted in higher unemployment, shrinking demand for products, large-scale business failures and tight credit markets. Our results of operations may be sensitive to changes in overall economic conditions that impact consumer spending, including discretionary spending, as well as to increased bad debts due to recessionary pressures. A continuation of ongoing adverse economic conditions affecting disposable consumer income, such as employment levels, business conditions, interest rates, tax rates, fuel and energy costs, and other matters could reduce consumer spending or cause consumers to shift their spending to other products and services. A general reduction in the level of discretionary spending or shifts in consumer discretionary spending could adversely affect our growth and profitability.

In the future, the Company intends to focus on increasing our internal growth and selectively pursuing targeted acquisitions and developments of self-storage facilities. We intend to incur additional debt in connection with any such future acquisitions or developments.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

The Company has one reportable operating segment: we own, operate, develop, and acquire self-storage facilities.

The Company's self-storage facilities are located in major metropolitan and rural areas and have numerous tenants per facility. No single tenant represents a significant concentration of our revenues. The facilities in Florida, California, Texas and Illinois provided approximately 18%, 15%, 10% and 7%, respectively, of total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

Summary of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Set forth below is a summary of the accounting policies that management believes are critical to the preparation of the consolidated financial statements included in this report. Certain of the accounting policies used in the preparation of these consolidated financial statements are particularly important for an understanding of the financial position and results of operations presented in the historical consolidated financial statements included in this report. A summary of significant accounting policies is also provided in the notes to our consolidated financial statements (See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements). These policies require the application of judgment and assumptions by management and, as a result, are subject to a degree of uncertainty. Due to this uncertainty, actual results could differ materially from estimates calculated and utilized by management.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include all of the accounts of the Company, and its majority-owned and/or controlled subsidiaries. The portion of these entities not owned by the Company is presented as noncontrolling interests as of and during the periods consolidated. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

When the Company obtains an economic interest in an entity, the Company evaluates the entity to determine if the entity is deemed a variable interest entity (VIE), and if the Company is deemed to be the primary beneficiary, in accordance with authoritative guidance issued by the FASB on the consolidation of variable interest entities. When an entity is not deemed to be a VIE, the Company considers the provisions of additional FASB guidance which determines whether a general partner, or the general partners as a group, controls a limited partnership or similar entity when the limited partners have certain rights. The Company consolidates (i) entities that are VIEs and of which the Company is deemed to be the primary beneficiary and (ii) entities that are non-VIEs which the Company controls and the limited partners do not have the ability to dissolve the entity or remove the Company without cause nor substantive participating rights.

For analytical presentation, all percentages are calculated using the numbers presented in the financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Self-Storage Facilities

The Company records self-storage facilities at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation on the buildings and equipment is recorded on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, which range from five to 40 years. Expenditures for significant renovations or improvements that extend the useful life of assets are capitalized. Repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, approximately \$0.1 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million of expense was incurred in conjunction with property related damage as a result of insured events such as fires, floods and hurricanes.

When facilities are acquired, the purchase price is allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on estimated fair values. When a portfolio of facilities is acquired, the purchase price is allocated to the individual facilities based upon an income

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

approach or a cash flow analysis using appropriate risk adjusted capitalization rates, which take into account the relative size, age and location of the individual facility along with current and projected occupancy and rental rate levels or appraised values, if available. Allocations to the individual assets and liabilities are based upon comparable market sales information for land, buildings and improvements and estimates of depreciated replacement cost of equipment.

In allocating the purchase price, the Company determines whether the acquisition includes intangible assets or liabilities, which may include the value of in-place leases, above or below market lease intangibles, and tenant relationships. Substantially all of the leases in place at acquired facilities are at market rates, as the majority of the leases are month-to-month contracts. Accordingly, to date no portion of the purchase price has been allocated to above- or below-market lease intangibles. To date, no intangible asset has been recorded for the value of tenant relationships, because the Company does not have any concentrations of significant tenants and the average tenant turnover is fairly frequent. The Company recorded a \$6.8 million intangible asset to recognize the value of in-place leases related to its acquisition of 14 self-storage facilities during the third quarter of 2007. Subsequently, during the quarter ended March 31, 2008, the Company acquired a finite-lived intangible asset valued at approximately \$1.0 million as part of its acquisition of one self-storage facility. This asset represents the value of in-place leases at the time of acquisition.

Table of Contents

Long-lived assets classified as held for use are reviewed for impairment when events and circumstances such as declines in occupancy and operating results indicate that there may be impairment. The carrying value of these long-lived assets is compared to the undiscounted future net operating cash flows, plus a terminal value, attributable to the assets to determine if the property's basis is recoverable. If a property's basis is not considered recoverable, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent the net carrying value of the asset exceeds the fair value. The impairment loss recognized equals the excess of net carrying value over the related fair value of the asset. There were no impairment losses recognized in accordance with these procedures during 2009, 2008 and 2007.

The Company considers long-lived assets to be held for sale upon satisfaction of the following criteria: (a) management commits to a plan to sell a facility (or group of facilities), (b) the facility is available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such facilities, (c) an active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell the facility have been initiated, (d) the sale of the facility is probable and transfer of the asset is expected to be completed within one year, (e) the facility is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value, and (f) actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

Typically these criteria are all met when the relevant asset is under contract, significant non-refundable deposits have been made by the potential buyer, the assets are immediately available for transfer and there are no contingencies related to the sale that may prevent the transaction from closing. In most transactions, these contingencies are not satisfied until the actual closing of the transaction; and, accordingly, the facility is not identified as held for sale until the closing actually occurs. However, each potential transaction is evaluated based on its separate facts and circumstances. Properties classified as held for sale are reported as the lesser of carrying value or fair value less estimated costs to sell.

Revenue Recognition

Management has determined that all our leases with tenants are operating leases. Rental income is recognized in accordance with the terms of the lease agreements or contracts, which generally are month-to-month. Revenues from long-term operating leases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. The excess of rents recognized over amounts contractually due pursuant to the underlying leases is included in deferred revenue, and contractually due but unpaid rents are included in other assets.

The Company recognizes gains on disposition of properties only upon closing in accordance with the guidance on sales of real estate. Payments received from purchasers prior to closing are recorded as deposits. Profit on real estate sold is recognized using the full accrual method upon closing when the collectability of the sales price is reasonably assured and the Company is not obligated to perform significant activities after the sale. Profit may be deferred in whole or part until the sale meets the requirements of profit recognition on sales under this guidance.

Share Based Payments

We apply the fair value method of accounting for contingently issued shares and share options issued under our equity incentive plans. Accordingly, share compensation expense was recorded ratably over the vesting period relating to such contingently issued shares and options. The Company has elected to recognize compensation expense on a straight-line method over the requisite service period.

Noncontrolling Interests

Noncontrolling interests are the portion of equity (net assets) in a subsidiary not attributable, directly or indirectly, to a parent. The ownership interests in the subsidiary that are held by owners other than the parent are noncontrolling interests. Noncontrolling interests are reported on the consolidated balance sheets within equity, separately from the Company's equity. On the consolidated statements of operations, revenues, expenses and net income or loss from less-than-wholly-owned subsidiaries are reported at the consolidated amounts, including both the amounts attributable to the Company and noncontrolling interests. Presentation of consolidated equity activity is included for both quarterly and annual financial statements, including beginning balances, activity for the period and ending balances for shareholders' equity, noncontrolling interests and total equity. The Company has adjusted the carrying value of its noncontrolling interests subject to redemption value to the extent applicable.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

The Company elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code beginning with the period from October 21, 2004 (commencement of operations) through December 31, 2004. In management's opinion, the requirements to maintain these elections are being met. Accordingly, no provision for federal income taxes has been reflected in the consolidated financial statements other than for operations conducted through our taxable REIT subsidiaries.

Earnings and profits, which determine the taxability of distributions to shareholders, differ from net income reported for financial reporting purposes due to differences in cost basis, the estimated useful lives used to compute depreciation, and the allocation of net income and loss for financial versus tax reporting purposes.

The Company is subject to a 4% federal excise tax if sufficient taxable income is not distributed within prescribed time limits. The excise tax equals 4% of the annual amount, if any, by which the sum of (a) 85% of the Company's ordinary income and (b) 95% of the Company's net capital gain exceeds cash distributions and certain taxes paid by the Company.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) established the *FASB Accounting Standards Codification* (Codification) as the source of authoritative GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Codification has changed the manner in which GAAP guidance is referenced, but did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance on accounting for transfers of financial assets in June 2009, which we will adopt on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2010. The guidance requires entities to provide more information regarding sales of securitized financial assets and similar transactions, particularly if the entity has continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. It also eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets and requires additional disclosures. The application will not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance on how a company determines when an entity should be consolidated in June 2009, which we will adopt on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2010. The guidance clarifies that the determination of whether a company is required to consolidate an entity is based on, among other things, an entity's purpose and design and a company's ability to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. The guidance requires an ongoing reassessment of whether a company is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. It also requires additional disclosures about a company's involvement in variable interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. The application will not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

The FASB issued authoritative guidance on determining whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities in June 2008, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2009. The guidance states that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and should be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. The application did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance on accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion (including partial cash settlement) in May 2008, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2009. The guidance requires that instruments within its scope be separated into their liability and equity components at initial recognition by recording the liability component at the fair value of a similar liability that does not have an associated equity component and attributing the remaining proceeds from issuance to the equity component. The excess of the principal amount of the liability component over its initial fair value will be amortized to interest expense using the interest method. The application did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding the hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles in May 2008, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2009. The guidance states that the GAAP hierarchy will now

Table of Contents

reside in the accounting literature established by the FASB. The guidance identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP. The application did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities in March 2008, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2009. The guidance enhances required disclosures regarding derivatives and hedging activities, including enhanced disclosures regarding how an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under previous guidance and the impact of derivative instruments and related hedged items on an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. The application did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding business combinations in December 2007, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2009. The guidance establishes principles and requirements for recognizing identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed, noncontrolling interest in the acquiree, goodwill acquired in the combination or the gain from a bargain purchase, and disclosure requirements. Under this guidance, all costs incurred to effect an acquisition will be recognized separately from the acquisition. Also, restructuring costs that are expected but the acquirer is not obligated to incur will be recognized separately from the acquisition. The application did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding noncontrolling interests in consolidated Financial Statements in December 2007, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2009. The guidance requires that ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent be clearly identified. In addition, it requires that the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest be clearly identified and presented on the face of the income statement. The application impacted certain financial statement footnote disclosures but did not affect our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Results of Operations

The following discussion of our results of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes thereto. Historical results set forth in the consolidated statements of operations reflect only the existing facilities and should not be taken as indicative of future operations.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008

Acquisition and Development Activities

The Company's results of operations are affected by the acquisition activity in 2008 as listed below. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company owned 367 and 387 self-storage facilities and related assets, respectively.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

- In 2009, 20 self-storage facilities were sold for approximately \$90.9 million (the 2009 Dispositions).
- In 2008, one self-storage facility was acquired for approximately \$13.3 million (the 2008 Acquisition).
- In 2008, 23 self-storage facilities were sold for approximately \$62.0 million (the 2008 Dispositions).

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2009 to the Year Ended December 31, 2008 (dollars in thousands)

	Same Store Property Portfolio				Properties Acquired		Other/ Eliminations		Total Portfolio			
	2009	2008	Increase/ (Decrease)	% Change	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	Increase/ (Decrease)	Change
REVENUES:												
Rental income	\$ 196,383	\$ 204,898	\$ (8,515)	-4%	\$ 4,247	\$ 3,541	\$	\$	\$ 200,630	\$ 208,439	\$ (7,809)	-4%
Other property related income	15,935	15,343	592	4%	724	357			16,659	15,700	959	6%
Total revenues	212,318	220,241	(7,923)	-4%	4,971	3,898			217,289	224,139	(6,850)	-3%
OPERATING EXPENSES:												
Property operating expenses	83,997	85,109	(1,112)	-1%	2,405	2,064	7,543	7,983	93,945	95,156	(1,211)	-1%
NET OPERATING INCOME:	128,321	135,132	(6,811)	-5%	2,566	1,834	(7,543)	(7,983)	123,344	128,983	(5,639)	-4%
Depreciation and amortization									70,832	73,751	(2,919)	-4%
General and administrative									22,569	24,964	(2,395)	-10%
Subtotal									93,401	98,715	(5,314)	-5%
Operating income									29,943	30,268	(325)	-1%
Other Income (Expense):												
Interest:												
Interest expense on loans									(45,269)	(52,014)	6,745	-13%
Loan procurement amortization expense									(2,339)	(1,929)	(410)	21%
Interest income									681	153	528	345%
Other									(33)	94	(127)	-135%
Total other expense									(46,960)	(53,696)	6,736	-13%
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS												
									(17,017)	(23,428)	6,411	-27%
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS												
Income from discontinued operations									2,546	6,810	(4,264)	-63%
Net gain on disposition of discontinued operations									14,139	19,720	(5,581)	-28%
Total discontinued operations									16,685	26,530	(9,845)	-37%
NET INCOME (LOSS)									(332)	3,102	\$ (3,434)	-111%
NET LOSS (INCOME) ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS												
Noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership									60	(310)	370	-119%
Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries									(665)		(665)	-100%

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE COMPANY	\$	(937)	\$	2,792	\$	(3,729)	-134%
---	----	-------	----	-------	----	---------	-------

Total Portfolio

Total Revenues

Rental income decreased from \$208.4 million in 2008 to \$200.6 million in 2009, a decrease of \$7.8 million, or 4%. This decrease is primarily attributable to a decrease of rental income from the same-store properties of \$8.5 million due to decreased occupancy levels during 2009 as compared to 2008, offset by an increase in rental income of \$0.7 million from assets that do not meet the same-store criteria, including management fee revenue from property management services of \$0.1 million during 2009 with no comparable income during 2008.

Other property related income increased from \$15.7 million in 2008 to \$16.7 million in 2009, an increase of \$1.0 million, or 6%. This increase is primarily attributable to increased insurance commissions and merchandise sales of \$1.0 million across the portfolio of storage facilities during 2009 as compared to 2008.

Total Operating Expenses

Property operating expenses decreased from \$95.2 million in 2008 to \$93.9 million in 2009, a decrease of \$1.3 million, or 1%. This decrease is primarily attributable to a \$0.7 million decrease in repairs and maintenance expenses and a \$0.7 million decrease in utility expenses during the 2009 period as compared to the 2008.

Depreciation and amortization decreased from \$73.8 million in 2008 to \$70.8 million in 2009, a decrease of \$3.0 million, or 4%. The decrease is primarily attributable to amortization expense of \$6.8 million incurred during 2008 related to two in-place lease intangible assets acquired in conjunction with property acquisitions during 2008 and 2007, with no similar

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

activity during 2009, offset by an increase in depreciation expense during the 2009 period of \$3.8 million as compared to the 2008 period related to capital improvements during 2008 and 2009.

General and administrative expenses decreased from \$25.0 million in 2008 to \$22.6 million in 2009, a decrease of \$2.4 million, or 10%. This decrease is primarily attributable to a \$0.3 million decrease in travel and lodging expenses during 2009 as compared to 2008, and \$2.1 million in severance related costs incurred during the 2008 period that the Company did not incur during the 2009 period.

Total Other Income (Expenses)

Interest expense decreased from \$52.0 million in the 2008 period to \$45.3 million in the 2009 period, a decrease of \$6.7 million, or 13%. The decrease is attributable to lower interest rates on unsecured debt as well as lower outstanding borrowings on the credit facility during the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period resulting in an overall decrease in interest expense during 2009 as compared to 2008.

Loan procurement amortization expense increased from \$1.9 million in the 2008 period to \$2.3 million in the 2009 period, an increase of \$0.4 million, or 21%. The increase is attributable to additional costs incurred in relation to the secured credit facility and 17 secured financings entered into in 2009.

Interest income increased to \$0.7 million in the 2009 period from \$0.2 million in the 2008 period. This increase is primarily attributable to interest income earned on proceeds from the secondary offering completed in August 2009.

Discontinued Operations

Gains on disposition of discontinued operations decreased from \$19.7 million in the 2008 period to \$14.1 million in the 2009 period, a decrease of \$5.6 million, as a result of the sale of 23 assets during the 2008 period as compared to 20 asset sales during the 2009 period.

Noncontrolling Interests in Subsidiaries

Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries decreased \$0.7 million during the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period primarily as a result of activity related to the operations of a joint venture (HART), which was formed in August 2009 to own and operate 22 self-storage facilities. The Company retained a 50% ownership interest in HART and accordingly presents 50% of the related results as an adjustment to net income (loss) when arriving at net income (loss) attributable to shareholders.

Same-Store Property Portfolio

The Company considers its same-store portfolio to consist of only those facilities owned and operated on a stabilized basis at the beginning and at the end of the applicable years presented. Same-store results are considered to be useful to investors in evaluating our performance because it provides information relating to changes in facility-level operating performance without taking into account the effects of acquisitions, developments or dispositions.

Same-store revenues decreased from \$220.2 million in the 2008 period to \$212.3 million in the 2009 period, a decrease of \$7.9 million, or 4%. This decrease is primarily attributable to a decrease in realized rent per occupied square foot of 5.2% during the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period. Same-store property operating expenses decreased from \$85.1 million in 2008 to \$84.0 million in 2009, a decrease of \$1.1 million, or 1%. The decrease primarily relates to a \$0.5 million decrease in repairs and maintenance expenses and a \$0.5 million decrease in utility expenses during the 2009 period as compared to the 2008.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

Acquisition and Development Activities

The comparability of the Company's results of operations is significantly affected by the acquisition activity in 2008 and 2007 as listed below. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company owned 387 and 409 self-storage facilities and related assets, respectively.

- In 2008, one self-storage facility was acquired for approximately \$13.3 million (the 2008 Acquisition).
- In 2008, 23 self-storage facilities were sold for approximately \$62.0 million (the 2008 Dispositions).
- In 2007, 17 self-storage facilities were acquired for approximately \$140.5 million (the 2007 Acquisitions).
- In 2007, five self-storage facilities were sold for approximately \$19.2 million (the 2007 Dispositions).

Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2008 to the Year Ended December 31, 2007 (dollars in thousands)

	Same Store Property Portfolio				Properties Acquired		Other/ Eliminations		Total Portfolio			
	2008	2007	Increase/ (Decrease)	% Change	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	Increase/ (Decrease)	Change
REVENUES:												
Rental income	\$ 194,367	\$ 186,729	\$ 7,638	4%	\$ 14,072	\$ 5,546	\$	\$	\$ 208,439	\$ 192,275	\$ 16,164	8%
Other property related income	14,625	14,487	138	1%	1,075	842			15,700	15,329	371	2%
Other - related party				0%		365				365	(365)	-100%
Total revenues	208,992	201,216	7,776	4%	15,147	6,753			224,139	207,969	16,170	8%
OPERATING EXPENSES:												
Property operating expenses	80,503	78,697	1,806	2%	7,146	2,733	7,507	7,198	95,156	88,628	6,528	7%
Property operating expenses - related party				0%				59		59	(59)	-100%
Subtotal	80,503	78,697	1,806	2%	7,146	2,733	7,507	7,257	95,156	88,687	6,469	7%
NET OPERATING INCOME:	128,489	122,519	5,970	5%	8,001	4,020	(7,507)	(7,257)	128,983	119,282	9,701	8%

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Depreciation and amortization	73,751	64,672	9,079	14%
Lease abandonment charge		1,316	(1,316)	-100%
General and administrative	24,964	21,966	2,998	14%
General and administrative- related party		337	(337)	-100%
Subtotal	98,715	88,291	10,424	12%
Operating income	30,268	30,991	(723)	-2%
Other Income (Expense):				
Interest:				
Interest expense on loans	(52,014)	(54,108)	2,094	-4%
Loan procurement amortization expense	(1,929)	(1,772)	(157)	9%
Interest income	153	401	(248)	-62%
Other	94	118	(24)	-20%
Total other expense	(53,696)	(55,361)	1,665	-3%
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	(23,428)	(24,370)	942	-4%
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS				
Income from discontinued operations	6,810	7,606	(796)	-10%
Net gain on disposition of discontinued operations	19,720	2,517	17,203	683%
Total discontinued operations	26,530	10,123	16,407	162%
NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS	3,102	(14,247)	\$ 17,349	-122%
Noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership	(310)	1,170	(1,480)	-126%
NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE COMPANY	\$ 2,792	\$ (13,077)	\$ 15,869	-121%

Table of Contents

Total Portfolio

Total Revenues

Rental income increased from \$192.3 million in 2007 to \$208.4 million in 2008, an increase of \$16.1 million, or 8.4%. This increase is primarily attributable to (i) additional rental income from the 2008 Acquisition of \$1.2 million, (ii) a full year contribution from the 2007 Acquisitions resulting in additional rental income of \$7.5 million, and (iii) an increase in rental income from our pool of same-store facilities of approximately \$7.6 million resulting from rate increases and an increase in realized rent per occupied square foot of 3.9% during the 2008 period as compared to the 2007 period.

Other property related income increased from \$15.3 million in 2007 to \$15.7 million in 2008, an increase of \$0.4 million, or 2.6%. This increase is primarily attributable to increased administrative fees across the portfolio of storage facilities during 2008 as compared to 2007.

Other related party decreased from \$0.4 million in 2007 to \$0 in 2008 due to a decrease in third party management fee income pursuant to the termination of the Rising Tide property management agreement in September 2007.

Total Operating Expenses

Property operating expenses, including property operating expenses related party, increased from \$88.7 million in 2007 to \$95.2 million in 2008, an increase of \$6.5 million, or 7%. This increase is primarily attributable to (i) additional operating expenses from the 2008 Acquisition of \$0.5 million, (ii) a full year contribution from the 2007 Acquisitions resulting in additional operating expenses of \$3.3 million, and (iii) an increase in operating expenses from our pool of same-store facilities of approximately \$1.8 million. The increase in our same-store facilities operating expenses in 2008 as compared to 2007 primarily relates to increased property tax and utility expenses of \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization increased from \$64.7 million in 2007 to \$73.8 million in 2008, an increase of \$9.1 million, or 14%. The increase is attributable to additional depreciation expense of \$1.8 million related to the 2008 Acquisition and a full year of depreciation expense related to the 2007 Acquisitions in the 2008 period as compared to the 2007 period, an increase from our pool of same-store facilities of approximately \$1.4 million, and additional intangible amortization expense of \$5.5 million related to the 2008 Acquisition and 2007 Acquisitions in the 2008 period as compared to the 2007 period.

In August 2007, the Company abandoned certain office space in Cleveland, OH that was previously used for its corporate offices. The related leases have expiration dates ranging from December 31, 2008 through December 31, 2014. Upon vacating the space, the Company entered into a sub-lease agreement with a sub-tenant to lease the majority of the space for the duration of the term. As a result of this exit activity, the Company recognized a Lease abandonment charge of \$1.3 million during 2007.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

General and administrative expenses, including General and administrative expenses related party increased from \$22.3 million in 2007 to \$25.0 million in 2008, an increase of \$2.7 million, or 12%. The increase is primarily attributable to (i) approximately \$1.1 million of due diligence costs that were written off during the 2008 period (ii) \$0.9 million of increased amortization of equity compensation during the 2008 period as compared to the 2007 period and (iii) \$2.1 million of severance related costs incurred during the 2008 period, offset by \$1.2 million of non-recurring legal costs incurred during the 2007 period. Excluding the 2008 charges for due diligence and severance costs, reduced by the non-recurring charge for legal costs in 2007, general and administrative expenses increased by approximately \$0.7 million, or approximately 3%.

Total Other Income (Expenses)

Interest expense decreased from \$54.1 million in the 2007 period to \$52.0 million in the 2008 period, a decrease of \$2.1 million, or 4%. Although the Company incurred additional debt to finance certain 2007 and 2008 acquisitions, lower interest rates on unsecured debt and loan repayments during the 2008 period as compared to the 2007 period resulted in an overall decrease in interest expense during 2008 as compared to 2007.

Loan procurement amortization expense increased from \$1.8 million in the 2007 period to \$1.9 million in the 2008 period, an increase of \$0.1 million, or 6%. The increase is attributable to additional costs incurred in relation to the secured term loan entered into in April 2008 and the related amortization of those costs in the 2008 period as compared to no similar amortization in the 2007 period.

Table of Contents

Interest income decreased to \$0.2 million in the 2008 period from \$0.4 million in the 2007 period. This decrease is primarily attributable to lower interest rates earned on daily operating cash during the 2008 period as compared to the 2007 period.

Discontinued Operations

Gains on disposition of discontinued operations increased from \$2.5 million in the 2007 period to \$19.7 million in the 2008 period, an increase of \$17.2 million, as a result of the sale of five assets during the 2007 period as compared to 23 assets sold during the 2008 period.

Same-Store Property Portfolio

Same-store revenues increased from \$201.2 million in the 2007 period to \$209.0 million in the 2008 period, an increase of \$7.8 million, or 4%. This increase is primarily attributable to an increase in net rent per occupied square foot of 4.5% during the 2008 period as compared to the 2007 period. Same-store property operating expenses increased from \$78.7 million in 2007 to \$80.5 million in 2008, an increase of \$1.8 million, or 2%. The increase in our same-store facilities' operating expenses in the 2008 period as compared to the 2007 period primarily relates to increased real estate taxes, repairs and maintenance expense, and utilities expense of \$0.7 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

NOI

We define net operating income, which we refer to as NOI, as total continuing revenues less continuing property operating expenses. NOI also can be calculated by adding back to net income (loss): interest expense on loans, loan procurement amortization expense, noncontrolling interests, other, depreciation and amortization, lease abandonment charge, general and administrative, and general and administrative - related party; and deducting from net income: income from discontinued operations, gains on sale of self-storage facilities, other, and interest income. NOI is not a measure of performance calculated in accordance with GAAP.

We use NOI as a measure of operating performance at each of our facilities, and for all of our facilities in the aggregate. NOI should not be considered as a substitute for operating income, net income, cash flows provided by operating, investing and financing activities, or other income statement or cash flow statement data prepared in accordance with GAAP.

We believe NOI is useful to investors in evaluating our operating performance because:

- It is one of the primary measures used by our management and our facility managers to evaluate the economic productivity of our facilities, including our ability to lease our facilities, increase pricing and occupancy and control our property operating expenses;
- It is widely used in the real estate industry and the self-storage industry to measure the performance and value of real estate assets without regard to various items included in net income that do not relate to or are not indicative of operating performance, such as depreciation and amortization, which can vary depending upon accounting methods and the book value of assets; and
- We believe it helps our investors to meaningfully compare the results of our operating performance from period to period by removing the impact of our capital structure (primarily interest expense on our outstanding indebtedness) and depreciation of our basis in our assets from our operating results.

There are material limitations to using a measure such as NOI, including the difficulty associated with comparing results among more than one company and the inability to analyze certain significant items, including depreciation and interest expense, that directly affect our net income. We compensate for these limitations by considering the economic effect of the excluded expense items independently as well as in connection with our analysis of net income. NOI should be considered in addition to, but not as a substitute for, other measures of financial performance reported in accordance with GAAP, such as total revenues, operating income and net income.

Cash Flows

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2009 to the Year Ended December 31, 2008

A comparison of cash flow provided by operating, investing and financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

Net cash flow provided by (used in):	Year Ended December 31,		Change
	2009	2008	
	(in thousands)		
Operating activities	\$ 62,214	\$ 67,012	\$ (4,798)
Investing activities	\$ 98,852	\$ 27,177	\$ 71,675
Financing activities	\$ (62,042)	\$ (94,962)	\$ 32,920

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Cash flows provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were \$62.2 million and \$67.0 million, respectively, a decrease of \$4.8 million. The decrease primarily relates to reduced levels of net operating income in 2009 as compared to 2008 of \$5.6 million and a \$1.0 million decrease in other assets during the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period as a result of the timing of certain payments offset by a \$2.4 million reduction in general and administrative expenses during the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period.

Cash provided by investing activities was \$98.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 and \$27.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, an increase of \$71.7 million. The increase primarily relates to increased proceeds from property dispositions of \$11.4 million in the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period, net proceeds received from the closing of the a joint venture in August 2009 of approximately \$48.7 million with no similar transactions during the 2008 period as well as higher acquisition activity in the 2008 period (one facility for an aggregate purchase price of \$13.3 million) relative to the 2009 period (no facility acquisition activity).

Cash used in financing activities decreased from \$95.0 million in 2008 to \$62.0 million in 2009, a decrease of \$33.0 million. The decrease relates primarily to increased net debt payoffs of \$158.5 million during the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period, an increase of \$16.1 million in loan procurement costs related to the origination of 17 new secured financings during 2009, as well as the new secured term loan in December 2009, offset by proceeds of approximately \$170.9 million from the issuance of common shares in the 2009 period, and distributions paid at \$0.72 per share in the 2008 period as compared to similar distributions paid at \$0.10 per share during the 2009 period.

Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2008 to the Year Ended December 31, 2007

A comparison of cash flow operating, investing and financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

Net cash flow provided by (used in):	Year Ended December 31,			Change
	2008	(in thousands)		
Operating activities	\$ 67,012	\$	62,699	\$ 4,313
Investing activities	\$ 27,177	\$	(153,401)	\$ 180,578
Financing activities	\$ (94,962)	\$	75,503	\$ (170,465)

Cash flows provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 were \$67.0 million and \$62.7 million, respectively, an increase of \$4.3 million. The increase primarily relates \$4.2 million increase in other assets during the 2008 period as compared to the 2007 period as a result of the timing of certain payments.

Cash used in or provided by investing activities changed from a use of \$153.4 million in 2007 to proceeds of \$27.2 million in 2008, a change of \$180.6 million. The change primarily relates to higher acquisition activity in the 2007 period (17 facilities for an aggregate purchase price of \$140.5 million) relative to the 2008 period (one facility for an aggregate purchase price of \$13.3 million), higher capital improvement activity in the 2007 period, and increased property dispositions in the 2008 period as compared to the 2007 period.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Cash used in or provided by financing activities changed from proceeds of \$75.5 million in 2007 to a use of \$95.0 million in 2008, a change of \$170.5 million. The change relates primarily to net borrowings of \$148.4 million during the 2007 period as compared to net principal payments of \$50.0 million in the 2008 period and distributions paid at \$0.72 per share in the 2008 period as compared to similar distributions paid at \$1.16 per share during the 2007 period.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity Overview

Our cash flow from operations has historically been one of our primary sources of liquidity to fund debt service, distributions and capital expenditures. We derive substantially all of our revenue from customers who lease space from us at our facilities. Therefore, our ability to generate cash from operations is dependent on the rents that we are able to charge and

Table of Contents

collect from our customers. We believe that the facilities in which we invest self-storage facilities are less sensitive than other real estate product types to current near-term economic downturns. However, prolonged economic downturns will adversely affect our cash flows from operations.

In order to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding capital gains, to our shareholders on an annual basis or pay federal income tax. The nature of our business, coupled with the requirement that we distribute a substantial portion of our income on an annual basis, will cause us to have substantial liquidity needs over both the short-term and the long term.

Our short-term liquidity needs consist primarily of funds necessary to pay operating expenses associated with our facilities, refinancing of certain mortgage indebtedness, interest expense and scheduled principal payments on debt, expected distributions to limited partners and shareholders and recurring capital expenditures. These expenses, as well as the amount of recurring capital expenditures that we incur, will vary from year to year, in some cases significantly. We expect such recurring capital expenditures remaining in the 2010 fiscal year to be approximately \$7 million to \$9 million. In addition, our currently scheduled principal payments on debt, including borrowings outstanding on the credit facility and secured term loans, are approximately \$114.5 million in 2010 and \$90.5 million in 2011.

Our most restrictive debt covenants limit the amount of additional leverage we can add; however, we believe the sources of capital described above are adequate to execute our current business plan and remain in compliance with our debt covenants.

Our liquidity needs beyond 2010 consist primarily of contractual obligations which include repayments of indebtedness at maturity, as well as potential discretionary expenditures such as (i) non-recurring capital expenditures; (ii) redevelopment of operating facilities; (iii) acquisitions of additional facilities; and (iv) development of new facilities. We will have to satisfy our needs through either additional borrowings, including borrowings under a new or revised revolving credit facility, sales of common or preferred shares and/or cash generated through facility dispositions and joint venture transactions.

Notwithstanding the discussion above, we believe that, as a publicly traded REIT, we will have access to multiple sources of capital to fund long-term liquidity requirements, including the incurrence of additional debt and the issuance of additional equity. However, we cannot provide any assurance that this will be the case. Our ability to incur additional debt will be dependent on a number of factors, including our degree of leverage, the value of our unencumbered assets and borrowing restrictions that may be imposed by lenders. In addition dislocation in the United States debt markets may significantly reduce the availability and increase the cost of long-term debt capital, including conventional mortgage financing and commercial mortgage-backed securities financing. There can be no assurance that such capital will be readily available in the future. Our ability to access the equity capital markets will be dependent on a number of factors as well, including general market conditions for REITs and market perceptions about us.

Current and Expected Sources of Cash Excluding Credit Facility

As of December 31, 2009, we had approximately \$102.8 million in available cash and cash equivalents. In addition, we had approximately \$250.0 million of availability for borrowings under our revolving credit facility.

Bank Credit Facilities

On December 8, 2009, the Company and its Operating Partnership entered into a three-year, \$450 million senior secured credit facility (the secured credit facility), consisting of a \$200 million secured term loan and a \$250 million secured revolving credit facility. The secured credit facility is secured by mortgages on borrowing base properties. The outstanding balance on the Company's secured credit facility as of December 31, 2009 was comprised of \$200 million of secured term loan borrowings. As of December 31, 2009, approximately \$250 million was available under the Company's secured credit facility. Borrowings under the secured credit facility bear interest ranging from 3.25% to 4.00% over LIBOR, with a LIBOR floor of 1.5%, depending on our leverage ratio. At December 31, 2009, borrowings under the secured credit facility had a weighted average interest rate of 5.0% and the Company was in compliance with all financial covenants of the agreement.

Our ability to borrow and extend the maturity date under this secured credit facility and secured term loan will be subject to our ongoing compliance with the following financial covenants, among others:

- Maximum total indebtedness to total asset value of 65% (67.5% in first year);

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

- Minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.45:1.0; and
- Minimum tangible net worth of \$827.0 million plus 75% of net proceeds from future equity issuances.

Further, under our secured credit facility, we are restricted from paying distributions on our common shares that would exceed an amount equal to the greater of (i) 95% of our funds from operations, and (ii) such amount as may be necessary to maintain our REIT status.

We are currently in compliance with all of our covenants and anticipate being in compliance with all of our covenants through the duration of the term of the credit facility and secured term loan, including any extension period.

The secured credit facility replaced the prior, three-year \$450 million unsecured credit facility, which was entered into in November 2006, consisting of \$200 million in an unsecured term loan and \$250 million in unsecured revolving loans. The balance of the unsecured credit facility was paid off in December 2009. Borrowings under the unsecured credit facility bore interest, at our option, at either an alternative base rate or a Eurodollar rate, in each case, plus an applicable margin based on our leverage ratio or our credit rating. The alternative base interest rate was a fluctuating rate equal to the higher of the prime rate or the sum of the federal funds effective rate plus 50 basis points. The applicable margin for the alternative base rate varied from 0.00% to 0.50% depending on our leverage ratio.

On September 14, 2007, the Company and its Operating Partnership entered into a credit agreement that allowed for total secured term loan borrowings of \$50.0 million and subsequently amended the agreement on April 3, 2008 to allow for total secured term loan borrowings of \$57.4 million. Each term loan bore interest at either an alternative base rate or a Eurodollar rate, at our option, in each case plus an applicable margin. The outstanding term loans were secured by a pledge by our Operating Partnership of all equity interests in YSI RT LLC, the wholly-owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership that acquired eight self-storage facilities in September 2007 and one self-storage facility in May 2008. The balance of the term loans was paid off on August 11, 2009.

During 2007 and 2008, the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges that are designed to reduce the impact of interest rate changes on its variable rate debt. The swap agreements effectively fixed the 30-day LIBOR interest rate on \$50 million of borrowings at 4.7725% per annum, \$25 million of borrowings at 4.716% per annum and on \$25 million of borrowings at 2.3400% per annum, in each case until they matured on November 20, 2009. Additionally, the Company entered into interest rate cap agreements on \$40 million of LIBOR based borrowings at 5.50% per annum that matured in June 2008. In May 2008, the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements for notional principal amounts aggregating \$200 million, that effectively fixed the 30-day LIBOR interest rate on \$200 million of LIBOR based borrowings at 2.7625% that matured on November 20, 2009.

Other Material Changes in Financial Position

2009	December 31, 2008 (in thousands)	Increase (decrease)
------	--	------------------------

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

<u>Selected Assets</u>						
Storage facilities, net	\$	1,430,533	\$	1,559,958	\$	(129,425)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	102,768	\$	3,744	\$	99,024
Notes receivable, net	\$	20,112	\$		\$	20,112
<u>Selected Liabilities</u>						
Revolving credit facility	\$		\$	172,000	\$	(172,000)
Unsecured term loan	\$		\$	200,000	\$	(200,000)
Secured term loan	\$	200,000	\$	57,419	\$	142,581
Mortgage loans and notes payable	\$	569,026	\$	548,085	\$	20,941

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Storage facilities, net decreased \$129.4 million during 2009 primarily as a result of \$73.6 million of depreciation expense recognized during 2009 and \$75.2 million related to the 2009 dispositions, offset by fixed asset additions. Cash and cash equivalents increased \$99.0 million primarily due to proceeds from dispositions and the origination of 17 new secured term loans during 2009. Notes receivable, net consists of multiple promissory notes related to storage facility dispositions.

Our revolving credit facility decreased \$172.0 million as a result of multiple paydowns related to proceeds from the 2009 dispositions, and the unsecured term loan decreased \$200 million due to the payoff of the unsecured credit facility in 2009. The secured term loan balance increased \$142.6 million due to the closing of the secured credit facility and related \$200 million secured term loan in December 2009 offset by the repayment of \$57.4 million of term loans in August 2009. Mortgage loans and notes payable increased \$20.9 million due to the origination of 17 new secured financings during 2009, offset by scheduled principal payments of several mortgages during the year.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our known contractual obligations as of December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	Payments Due by Period						
	Total	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 and thereafter
Mortgage loans and notes payable (a)	\$ 568,795	\$ 114,516	\$ 90,541	\$ 163,817	\$ 26,238	\$ 91,091	\$ 82,592
Revolving credit facility and secured term loans (b)	200,000			200,000			
Interest payments (b)	129,372	39,447	30,066	28,106	12,558	12,644	6,551
Ground leases and third party office lease	697	149	149	149	149	101	
Related party office leases	2,401	453	475	475	499	499	
Software and service contracts	763	763					
Employment contracts	1,295	1,295					
	\$ 903,323	\$ 156,623	\$ 121,231	\$ 392,547	\$ 39,444	\$ 104,335	\$ 89,143

(a) Amounts do not include unamortized discounts/premiums.

(b) Interest on variable rate debt calculated using LIBOR of 1.50% plus a spread of 3.50%.

We expect that the contractual obligations owed in 2010 will be satisfied by a combination of cash generated from operations and from draws on the revolving credit facility.

Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not currently have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company's future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments depend upon prevailing interest rates.

Market Risk

Our investment policy relating to cash and cash equivalents is to preserve principal and liquidity while maximizing the return through investment of available funds.

Effect of Changes in Interest Rates on our Outstanding Debt

The analysis below presents the sensitivity of the market value of our financial instruments to selected changes in market rates. The range of changes chosen reflects our view of changes which are reasonably possible over a one-year period. Market values are the present value of projected future cash flows based on the market rates chosen.

Our financial instruments consist of both fixed and variable rate debt. As of December 31, 2009, our consolidated debt consisted of \$569.0 million in fixed rate loans payable and \$200.0 million in a variable rate unsecured term loan. All financial instruments were entered into for other than trading purposes and the net market value of these financial instruments is referred to as the net financial position. Changes in interest rates have different impacts on the fixed and variable rate portions of our debt portfolio. A change in interest rates on the fixed portion of the debt portfolio impacts the net financial instrument position, but has no impact on interest incurred or cash flows. A change in interest rates on the variable portion of the debt portfolio impacts the interest incurred and cash flows, but does not impact the net financial instrument position.

If market rates of interest on our variable rate debt increase by 1%, the increase in annual interest expense on our variable rate debt would decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$0.2 million a year. If market rates of interest on our variable rate debt decrease by 1%, the decrease in interest expense on our variable rate debt would increase future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$0.2 million a year.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

If market rates of interest increase by 1%, the fair value of our outstanding fixed-rate mortgage debt would decrease by approximately \$12.9 million. If market rates of interest decrease by 1%, the fair value of our outstanding fixed-rate mortgage debt would increase by approximately \$13.5 million.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Financial statements required by this item appear with an Index to Financial Statements and Schedules, starting on page F-1 of this report.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)). Based on that evaluation, the CEO and the CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during our most recent fiscal quarter, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management's report on internal control over financial reporting is set forth on page F-2 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

PART III

ITEM 10. TRUSTEES AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

We have adopted a Code of Ethics for all of our employees, officers and trustees, which is available on our website at www.ustoreit.com. We intend to disclose any amendment to, or a waiver from, a provision of our Code of Ethics on our website within four business days following the date of the amendment or waiver.

The remaining information required by this item regarding trustees, executive officers and corporate governance is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Proxy Statement for the Annual Shareholders Meeting to be held in 2010 (the "Proxy Statement") under the captions "Proposal 1: Election of Trustees," "Executive Officers" and "Meetings and Committees of the Board of Trustees." The information required by this item regarding compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Proxy Statement under the caption "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance."

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Proxy Statement under the captions Compensation Committee Report, Meetings and Committees of the Board of Trustees Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation, Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Executive Compensation, Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control, and Trustee Compensation.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

The information regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Proxy Statement under the caption Security Ownership of Management and Security Ownership of Beneficial Owners.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2009.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column(a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders	4,546,304(1)\$	10.71(2)	1,146,592
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders			
Total	4,546,304 \$	10.71	1,146,592

(1) Excludes 572,230 shares subject to outstanding restricted share unit awards, and 14,781 shares subject to deferred shares credited to the account of our Trustees in the U- Store-It Trust Deferred Trustees Plan.

(2) This number reflects the weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options and has been calculated exclusive of outstanding restricted unit awards.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Proxy Statement under the captions Corporate Governance, Policies and Procedures Regarding Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions With Related Persons, and Transactions With Related Persons.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Proxy Statement under the captions Audit Committee Matters - Fees Paid to Our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm and Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Documents filed as part of this report:

1. *Financial Statements.*

The response to this portion of Item 15 is submitted as a separate section of this report.

2. *Financial Statement Schedules.*

The response to this portion of Item 15 is submitted as a separate section of this report.

3. *Exhibits.*

The list of exhibits filed with this report is set forth in response to Item 15(b). The required exhibit index has been filed with the exhibits.

(b) *Exhibits.* The following documents are filed as exhibits to this report:

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

- 3.1* Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Declaration of Trust of U-Store-It Trust, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 2, 2004.
- 3.2* Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of U-Store-It Trust, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008, filed on November 10, 2008.
- 4.1* Form of Common Share Certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11, filed on October 20, 2004, File No. 333-117848.
- 10.1* Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of U-Store-It, L.P. dated as of October 27, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 2, 2004.
- 10.2* Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated December 7, 2009, by and among U-Store-It, L.P., U-Store-It Trust, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Bank of America Securities LLC, Wachovia Bank, National Association, Bank of America, N.A., Regions Bank, SunTrust Bank and the financial institutions initially signatory thereto, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on December 8, 2009.
- 10.3* Form of Guaranty, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on December 8, 2009
- 10.4* Form of Term Note, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on December 8, 2009.
- 10.5* Form of Revolving Note, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on December 8, 2009
- 10.6* Form of Swingline Note, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on December 8, 2009
- 10.7* Form of Security Interest regarding fixed rate mortgage loan between YSI XX LP and Transamerica Financial Life Insurance Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 4, 2005.
- 10.8* Secured Promissory Note, dated November 1, 2005, between YSI XX LP and Transamerica Financial Life Insurance Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 4, 2005.
- 10.9* Loan Agreement, dated August 4, 2005, by and between YASKY LLC and LaSalle Bank National Association, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005, filed on November 14, 2005.
- 10.10* Loan Agreement, dated July 19, 2005, by and between YSI VI LLC and Lehman Brothers Bank, FSB, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005, filed on November 14, 2005.
- 10.11* Loan Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2004, by and between YSI I LLC and Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. d/b/a Lehman Capital, a division of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 2, 2004.
- 10.12* Loan Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2004, by and between YSI II LLC and Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. d/b/a Lehman Capital, a division of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 2, 2004.
- 10.13* Standstill Agreement, by and among, U-Store-It Trust, Robert J. Amsdell, Barry L. Amsdell and Todd C. Amsdell, dated August 6, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2007.
- 10.14*

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P., YSI Management LLC, U-Store-It Mini Warehouse Co., U-Store-It Development, LLC, Dean Jernigan, Kathleen A. Weigand, Robert J. Amsdell, Barry L. Amsdell, Todd C. Amsdell, Kyle V. Amsdell, Rising Tide Development LLC, and Amsdell and Amsdell, dated August 6, 2007, incorporated by

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

- reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2007.
- 10.15* Purchase and Sale Agreement, by and between U-Store-It, L.P. and Rising Tide Development, LLC, dated August 6, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2007.
- 10.16* First Amendment to Lease, by and between U-Store-It, L.P. and Amsdell and Amsdell, dated August 6, 2007, amending Lease dated March 29, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2007.
- 10.17* First Amendment to Lease, by and between U-Store-It, L.P. and Amsdell and Amsdell, dated August 6, 2007, amending Lease dated December 5, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2007.
- 10.18* First Amendment to Lease, by and between U-Store-It, L.P. and Amsdell and Amsdell, dated August 6, 2007, amending Lease dated December 5, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2007.
- 10.19* First Amendment to Lease, by and between U-Store-It, L.P. and Amsdell and Amsdell, dated August 6, 2007, amending Lease dated December 5, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2007.
- 10.20* First Amendment to Lease, by and between U-Store-It, L.P. and Amsdell and Amsdell, dated August 6, 2007, amending Lease dated December 5, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2007.
- 10.21* Lease, dated March 29, 2005, by and between Amsdell and Amsdell and U-Store-It, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, filed on March 31, 2005.
- 10.22* Lease, dated June 29, 2005, by and between Amsdell and Amsdell and U-Store-It, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005, filed on August 12, 2005.
- 10.23* Lease, dated June 29, 2005, by and between Amsdell and Amsdell and U-Store-It, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005, filed on August 12, 2005.
- 10.24* Option Termination Agreement, by and between U-Store-It, L.P. and Rising Tide Development LLC, dated August 6, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2007.
- 10.25* Property Management Termination Agreement, by and among U-Store-It Trust, YSI Management LLC, and Rising Tide Development LLC, dated August 6, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2007.
- 10.26* Marketing and Ancillary Services Termination Agreement, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It Mini Warehouse Co., and Rising Tide Development LLC, dated August 6, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2007.
- 10.27* Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement, dated December 18, 2008, by and between U-Store-It Trust and Dean Jernigan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed on March 2, 2009.
- 10.28* Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement, dated December 18, 2008, by and between U-Store-It Trust and Christopher P. Marr, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed on March 2, 2009.
- 10.29* Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement, dated December 18, 2008, by and between U-Store-It Trust and Timothy M. Martin, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed on March 2, 2009.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

- 10.30* Indemnification Agreement, dated as of January 25, 2008, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and Daniel B. Hurwitz, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.49 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, filed on February 29, 2008.
- 10.31* Indemnification Agreement, dated as of March 22, 2007, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and Marianne M. Keler, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, filed on May 10, 2007.
- 10.32* Indemnification Agreement, dated as of December 11, 2006, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and Timothy M. Martin, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.47 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed on March 16, 2007.
- 10.33* Indemnification Agreement, dated June 5, 2006, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and Christopher P. Marr, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, filed on August 8, 2006.
- 10.34* Indemnification Agreement, dated as of April 24, 2006, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and Dean Jernigan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 24, 2006.
- 10.35* Indemnification Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2004, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and Robert J. Amsdell, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 2, 2004.
- 10.36* Indemnification Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2004, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and Barry L. Amsdell, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 2, 2004.
- 10.37* Indemnification Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2004, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and Todd C. Amsdell, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 2, 2004.
- 10.38* Indemnification Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2004, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and John C. Dannemiller, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 2, 2004.
- 10.39* Indemnification Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2004, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and Thomas A. Commes, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 2, 2004.
- 10.40* Indemnification Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2004, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and David J. LaRue, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 2, 2004.
- 10.41* Indemnification Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2004, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and Harold S. Haller, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 2, 2004.
- 10.42* Indemnification Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2004, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and William M. Diefenderfer III, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 2, 2004.
- 10.43 Indemnification Agreement, dated as of November 5, 2009, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and John F. Remondi.
- 10.44* Noncompetition Agreement, dated as of December 11, 2006, by and between U-Store-It Trust and Timothy M. Martin, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.62 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed on March 16, 2007.
- 10.45* Noncompetition Agreement, dated as of June 5, 2006, by and between U-Store-It Trust and Christopher P. Marr, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, filed on August 8, 2006.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

10.46* Noncompetition Agreement, dated as of April 24, 2006, by and between U-Store-It Trust and Dean Jernigan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K,

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

filed on April 24, 2006.

- 10.47* Schedule of Compensation for Non-Employee Trustees of U-Store-It Trust, effective May 8, 2007, incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on February 24, 2010.
- 10.48* Nonqualified Share Option Agreement, dated as of June 5, 2006, by and between U-Store-It Trust and Christopher P. Marr, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, filed on August 8, 2006.
- 10.49* Nonqualified Share Option Agreement, dated as of April 19, 2006, by and between U-Store-It Trust and Dean Jernigan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 24, 2006.
- 10.50* Form of Restricted Share Agreement for Non-Employee Trustees under the U-Store-It Trust 2007 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.83 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, filed on February 29, 2008.
- 10.51* Form of Restricted Share Agreement for Non-Employee Trustees under the U-Store-It Trust 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, filed on May 10, 2007.
- 10.52* Form of Nonqualified Share Option Agreement under the U-Store-It Trust 2007 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on January 25, 2008.
- 10.53* Form of Nonqualified Share Option Agreement under the U-Store-It Trust 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, filed on May 10, 2007.
- 10.54* Form of Performance-Vested Restricted Share Agreement under the U-Store-It Trust 2007 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on January 25, 2008.
- 10.55* Form of Performance-Vested Restricted Share Agreement under the U-Store-It Trust 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, filed on May 10, 2007.
- 10.56* Form of Restricted Share Agreement under the U-Store-It Trust 2007 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on January 25, 2008.
- 10.57* Form of Restricted Share Agreement under the U-Store-It Trust 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, filed on May 10, 2007.
- 10.58* U-Store-It Trust Trustees Deferred Compensation Plan, amended and restated effective January 1, 2009, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.78 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed on March 2, 2009.
- 10.59* U-Store-It Trust Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, amended and restated effective January 1, 2009, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.79 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed on March 2, 2009.
- 10.60* U-Store-It Trust Deferred Trustees Plan, effective as of May 31, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 6, 2005.
- 10.61* 2007 Equity Incentive Plan of U-Store-It Trust, effective as of May 8, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, filed on May 10, 2007.
- 10.62* 2004 Equity Incentive Plan of U-Store-It Trust, effective as of October 19, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 2, 2004.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

10.63* Indemnification Agreement, dated as of February 26, 2009, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and Jeffrey Foster, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.83 to the Company's Annual

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

	Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed on March 2, 2009.
10.64*	Severance and General Release Agreement dated February 10, 2009 by and between U-Store-It Trust and Kathleen Weigand, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.84 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed on March 2, 2009.
10.65*	Severance and General Release Agreement dated December 31, 2008 by and between U-Store-It Trust and Steve Nichols, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.85 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed on March 2, 2009.
10.66*	Contribution Agreement dated August 6, 2009 by and between YSI Venture LP LLC and HART -YSI Investor LP LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2009.
10.67*	First Amendment to Contribution Agreement dated August 13, 2009 by and between YSI Venture LP LLC and HART -YSI Investor LP LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 14, 2009.
10.68*	Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of YSI HART LIMITED PARTNERSHIP dated August 13, 2009, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 14, 2009.
10.69*	Sales Agreement dated April 3, 2009, among the U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P., and Cantor Fitzgerald & Co., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 3, 2009
10.70	Letter Agreement dated January 9, 2009 between U-Store-It Trust and Jeffrey P. Foster
10.71	Indemnification Agreement, dated as of February 23, 2010, by and among U-Store-It Trust, U-Store-It, L.P. and Piero Bussani.
12.1	Statement regarding Computation of Ratios of U-Store-It Trust
21.1	List of Subsidiaries
23.1	Consent of KPMG LLP
23.2	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.1	Material Tax Considerations

* Incorporated herein by reference as above indicated.

Denotes a management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

U-STORE-IT TRUST

By: /s/ Timothy M. Martin
Timothy M. Martin
Chief Financial Officer

Date: March 1, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ William M. Diefenderfer III William M. Diefenderfer III	Chairman of the Board of Trustees	March 1, 2010
/s/ Dean Jernigan Dean Jernigan	Chief Executive Officer and Trustee (Principal Executive Officer)	March 1, 2010
/s/ Timothy M. Martin Timothy M. Martin	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 1, 2010
/s/ Piero Bussani Piero Bussani	Trustee	March 1, 2010
/s/ John C. Dannemiller John C. Dannemiller	Trustee	March 1, 2010
/s/ Harold S. Haller Harold S. Haller	Trustee	March 1, 2010
/s/ Daniel B. Hurwitz Daniel B. Hurwitz	Trustee	March 1, 2010
/s/ Marianne M. Keler Marianne M. Keler	Trustee	March 1, 2010

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

/s/ David J. LaRue	Trustee	March 1, 2010
David J. LaRue		
/s/ John R. Remondi	Trustee	March 1, 2010
John R. Remondi		

Table of Contents

**FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
INDEX TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

	Page No.
Consolidated Financial Statements of U-Store-It Trust and Subsidiaries (The Company)	
<u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>	F-2
<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	F-7
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	F-8
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	F-9
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-10

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Company's management is required to assess the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of the end of each fiscal year, and report on the basis of that assessment whether the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective.

The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and the disposition of the assets of the Company;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that the receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with the authorization of the Company's management and its Board of Trustees; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of internal control, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of controls. Accordingly, even an effective internal control system can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation. Further, because of changes in conditions, the effectiveness of an internal control system may vary over time.

Under the supervision, and with the participation, of the Company's management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted a review, evaluation and assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based upon the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) criteria. In performing its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2009, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on the COSO framework.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, has been audited by KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report that appears herein.

March 1, 2010

F-2

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Trustees and Shareholders of

U-Store-It Trust:

We have audited U-Store-It Trust and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). U-Store-It Trust's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, U-Store-It Trust maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of U-Store-It Trust and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, equity, and cash flows for the year then ended, and our report dated March 1, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial

statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

March 1, 2010

F-3

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Trustees and Shareholders of

U-Store-It Trust:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of U-Store-It Trust and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, equity, and cash flows for the year then ended. In connection with our audit of the consolidated financial statements, we have also audited the financial statement schedule for 2009 as listed in the accompanying index. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audit. The accompanying consolidated financial statements of U-Store-It Trust and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2008 and for the two years then ended, were audited by other auditors whose report thereon dated March 2, 2009 (August 7, 2009, as to the retrospective effects of the application of authoritative guidance regarding noncontrolling interests discussed in Note 2), expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements, before the effects of the retrospective adjustments that were applied for the discontinued operations described in note 10 to the consolidated financial statements.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the 2009 consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of U-Store-It Trust and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the 2009 information set forth therein.

We also have audited the retrospective adjustments that were applied to the 2008 and 2007 consolidated financial statements for the operations discontinued in 2009 as described in note 10 to the consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, such adjustments are appropriate and have been properly applied. We were not engaged to audit, review, or apply any procedures to the 2008 and 2007 consolidated financial statements of the Company other than with respect to the adjustments and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on the 2008 and 2007 consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), U-Store-It Trust's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 1, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

March 1, 2010

F-4

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Trustees and Shareholders of
U-Store-It Trust
Wayne, Pennsylvania

We have audited, before the effects of the retrospective adjustments for the discontinued operations discussed in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements, the consolidated balance sheet of U-Store-It Trust and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 (the 2008 and 2007 consolidated financial statements before the effects of the retrospective adjustments discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements are not presented herein). These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such 2008 and 2007 consolidated financial statements, before the effects of the retrospective adjustments for the discontinued operations discussed in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements, present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of U-Store-It Trust and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We were not engaged to audit, review, or apply any procedures to the retrospective adjustments for the discontinued operations discussed in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance about whether such retrospective adjustments are appropriate and have been properly applied. Those retrospective adjustments were audited by other auditors.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the accompanying 2008 and 2007 financial statements have been retrospectively adjusted for the application of authoritative guidance regarding noncontrolling interests.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

March 2, 2009 (August 7, 2009, as to the retrospective effects of the application of authoritative guidance regarding noncontrolling interests discussed in Note 2).

F-5

Table of Contents**U-STORE-IT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except share data)

	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
ASSETS		
Storage facilities	\$ 1,774,542	\$ 1,888,123
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(344,009)	(328,165)
Storage facilities, net	1,430,533	1,559,958
Cash and cash equivalents	102,768	3,744
Restricted cash	16,381	16,217
Loan procurement costs, net of amortization	18,366	4,453
Notes receivable, net	20,112	
Assets held for sale		2,378
Other assets, net	10,710	10,909
Total assets	\$ 1,598,870	\$ 1,597,659
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Revolving credit facility	\$	\$ 172,000
Unsecured term loan		200,000
Secured term loan	200,000	57,419
Mortgage loans and notes payable	569,026	548,085
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	33,767	39,410
Distributions payable	2,448	1,572
Deferred revenue	8,449	9,725
Security deposits	456	472
Liabilities related to assets held for sale		22
Total liabilities	814,146	1,028,705
Noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership	45,394	46,026
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity		
Common shares \$.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 92,654,979 and 57,623,491 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively	927	576
Additional paid in capital	974,926	801,029
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(874)	(7,553)
Accumulated deficit	(279,670)	(271,124)
Total U-Store-It Trust shareholders' equity	695,309	522,928
Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	44,021	
Total equity	739,330	522,928
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 1,598,870	\$ 1,597,659

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

U-STORE-IT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
	(Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share data)		
REVENUES			
Rental income	\$ 200,630	\$ 208,439	\$ 192,275
Other property related income	16,659	15,700	15,329
Other - related party			365
Total revenues	217,289	224,139	207,969
OPERATING EXPENSES			
Property operating expenses	93,945	95,156	88,628
Property operating expenses - related party			59
Depreciation and amortization	70,832	73,751	64,672
Lease abandonment			1,316
General and administrative	22,569	24,964	21,966
General and administrative - related party			337
Total operating expenses	187,346	193,871	176,978
OPERATING INCOME	29,943	30,268	30,991
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)			
Interest:			
Interest expense on loans	(45,269)	(52,014)	(54,108)
Loan procurement amortization expense	(2,339)	(1,929)	(1,772)
Interest income	681	153	401
Other	(33)	94	118
Total other expense	(46,960)	(53,696)	(55,361)
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	(17,017)	(23,428)	(24,370)
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS			
Income from discontinued operations	2,546	6,810	7,606
Net gain on disposition of discontinued operations	14,139	19,720	2,517
Total discontinued operations	16,685	26,530	10,123
NET INCOME (LOSS)	(332)	3,102	(14,247)
NET (INCOME) LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS			
Noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership	60	(310)	1,170
Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries	(665)		
NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE COMPANY	\$ (937)	\$ 2,792	\$ (13,077)
Basic and diluted loss per share from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders			
	\$ (0.24)	\$ (0.37)	\$ (0.67)
Basic and diluted earnings per share from discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders			
	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.45
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to common shareholders			
	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.22)
Weighted-average basic and diluted shares outstanding	70,988	57,621	57,497
AMOUNTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE COMPANY'S COMMON SHAREHOLDERS:			
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (16,754)	\$ (21,589)	\$ (38,787)
Total discontinued operations	15,817	24,381	25,710
Net income (loss)	\$ (937)	\$ 2,792	\$ (13,077)

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

F-7

Table of Contents

U-STORE-IT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

(in thousands)

	Common Shares Number	Shares Amount	Additional Paid in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Accumulated Deficit	Total Shareholders Equity	Non controlling Interest in Subsidiaries	Total Equity	Non controlling Interests in the Operating Partnership
Balance at December 31, 2006	57,335	\$ 573	\$ 743,924	\$	\$ (167,712)	\$ 576,785	\$	\$ 576,785	\$ 107,606
Issuance of restricted shares	123	2				2		2	
Conversion from units to shares	119	1				1		1	
Amortization of restricted shares			972			972		972	
Share compensation expense			867			867		867	
Adjustment for noncontrolling interest in operating partnership			52,177		1,170	53,347		53,347	(52,073)
Net loss					(14,247)	(14,247)		(14,247)	(1,170)
Other comprehensive loss:									
Unrealized loss on interest rate swap				(1,545)		(1,545)		(1,545)	
Unrealized loss on foreign currency translation				(119)		(119)		(119)	
Distributions					(60,444)	(60,444)		(60,444)	(5,381)
Balance at December 31, 2007	57,577	\$ 576	\$ 797,940	\$ (1,664)	\$ (241,233)	\$ 555,619	\$	\$ 555,619	\$ 48,982
Issuance of restricted shares	46								
Conversion from units to shares									
Amortization of restricted shares			1,297			1,297		1,297	
Share compensation expense			1,425			1,425		1,425	
Adjustment for noncontrolling interest in operating partnership			367		(310)	57		57	(435)
Net income					3,102	3,102		3,102	310
Other comprehensive loss:									
Unrealized loss on interest rate swap				(4,608)		(4,608)		(4,608)	
Unrealized loss on foreign currency translation				(1,281)		(1,281)		(1,281)	
Distributions					(32,683)	(32,683)		(32,683)	(2,831)
Balance at December 31, 2008	57,623	\$ 576	\$ 801,029	\$ (7,553)	\$ (271,124)	\$ 522,928	\$	\$ 522,928	\$ 46,026

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Contributions from noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries							44,739	44,739	(131)	
Issuance of common shares, net	34,677	347	170,501			170,848		170,848		
Issuance of restricted shares	85	1				1		1		
Conversion from units to shares	270	3				3		3		
Amortization of restricted shares			1,631			1,631		1,631		
Share compensation expense			1,765			1,765		1,765		
Adjustment for noncontrolling interest in operating partnership				(27)		(27)		(27)		
Net income (loss)					(937)	(937)	665	(272)		
Other comprehensive income:										
Unrealized gain on interest rate swap					6,153	6,153		6,153		
Unrealized gain on foreign currency translation					553	553		553		
Distributions					(7,609)	(7,609)	(1,383)	(8,992)	(501)	
Balance at December 31, 2009	92,655	\$ 927	\$ 974,926		(874)	\$ (279,670)	\$ 695,309	\$ 44,021	\$ 739,330	\$ 45,394

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

U-STORE-IT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

	Twelve Months Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Operating Activities			
Net income (loss)	\$ (332)	\$ 3,102	\$ (14,247)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	75,908	80,132	72,218
Lease abandonment charge			1,316
Gain on disposition of discontinued operations	(14,139)	(19,720)	(2,311)
Equity compensation expense	3,396	2,722	1,840
Accretion of fair market value adjustment of debt	(463)	(446)	(367)
Changes in other operating accounts:			
Other assets	388	1,425	(2,756)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(1,797)	(7)	6,660
Other liabilities	(747)	(196)	346
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 62,214	\$ 67,012	\$ 62,699
Investing Activities			
Acquisitions, additions and improvements to storage facilities	(17,882)	(30,738)	(48,014)
Acquisitions, additions and improvements to storage facilities- related party			(121,630)
Insurance settlements		1,447	
Proceeds from sales of properties, net	68,257	56,867	17,935
Proceeds from sales to noncontrolling interests	48,641		
Increase in restricted cash	(164)	(399)	(1,692)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$ 98,852	\$ 27,177	\$ (153,401)
Financing Activities			
Proceeds from:			
Revolving credit facility	9,500	57,300	156,500
Secured term loans	200,000	9,975	47,444
Mortgage loans and notes payable	116,615		4,651
Principal payments on:			
Revolving credit facility	(181,500)	(104,300)	(28,000)
Unsecured term loans	(200,000)		
Secured term loans	(57,419)		
Mortgage loans and notes payable	(95,211)	(12,526)	(32,157)
Proceeds from issuance of equity, net	170,852		
Distributions paid to shareholders	(6,736)	(41,621)	(66,816)
Distributions paid to noncontrolling interests in Operating Partnership	(508)	(3,656)	(5,975)
Distributions paid to noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries	(1,383)		
Loan procurement costs	(16,252)	(134)	(144)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	\$ (62,042)	\$ (94,962)	\$ 75,503
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	99,024	(773)	(15,199)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	3,744	4,517	19,716
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 102,768	\$ 3,744	\$ 4,517

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Supplemental Cash Flow and Noncash Information

Cash paid for interest, net of interest capitalized	\$	43,764	\$	52,291	\$	53,952
Supplemental disclosure of noncash activities:						
Additions to storage facilities	\$		\$	1,023	\$	
Disposition of facilities:						
Notes receivable originated upon disposition of property	\$	17,600	\$	2,612	\$	
Derivative valuation adjustment	\$	6,153	\$	(4,608)	\$	(1,545)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$	553	\$	(1,281)	\$	(119)
Gain deferral on sales to noncontrolling interests	\$	3,992	\$		\$	

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

U-STORE-IT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. ORGANIZATION AND NATURE OF OPERATIONS

U-Store-It Trust, a Maryland real estate investment trust (collectively with its subsidiaries, we, us or the Company), is a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, active in acquiring, developing and operating self-storage properties for business and personal use under month-to-month leases. The Company's self-storage facilities (collectively, the Properties) are located in 26 states throughout the United States, and in the District of Columbia and are managed under one reportable operating segment: we own, operate, develop, and acquire self-storage facilities. The Company owns substantially all of its assets through U-Store-It, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the Operating Partnership). The Company is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and, as of December 31, 2009, owned a 95.1% interest in the Operating Partnership. The Company manages its assets through YSI Management, LLC (the Management Company), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership. The Company owns 100% of U-Store-It Mini Warehouse Co. (the TRS) in addition to three other subsidiaries, each of which has elected to be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary. In general, a taxable REIT subsidiary may perform non-customary services for tenants, hold assets that the Company cannot hold directly and generally may engage in any real estate or non-real estate related business.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include all of the accounts of the Company, and its majority-owned and/or controlled subsidiaries. The portion of these entities not owned by the Company is presented as noncontrolling interests as of and during the periods consolidated. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

When the Company obtains an economic interest in an entity, the Company evaluates the entity to determine if the entity is deemed a variable interest entity (VIE), and if the Company is deemed to be the primary beneficiary, in accordance with authoritative guidance issued by the FASB on the consolidation of variable interest entities. When an entity is not deemed to be a VIE, the Company considers the provisions of additional FASB guidance which determines whether a general partner, or the general partners as a group, controls a limited partnership or similar entity when the limited partners have certain rights. The Company consolidates (i) entities that are VIEs and of which the Company is deemed to be the primary beneficiary and (ii) entities that are non-VIEs which the Company controls and the limited partners do not have the ability to dissolve the entity or remove the Company without cause nor substantive participating rights.

Adoption of Subsequent Accounting Pronouncements

The FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding noncontrolling interests in consolidated financial statements which was effective on January 1, 2009. The guidance states that noncontrolling interests are the portion of equity (net assets) in a subsidiary not attributable, directly or indirectly, to a parent. The ownership interests in the subsidiary that are held by owners other than the parent are noncontrolling interests. Under the guidance, such noncontrolling interests are reported on the consolidated balance sheets within equity, separately from the Company's equity. On the consolidated statements of operations, revenues, expenses and net income or loss from less-than-wholly-owned subsidiaries are

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

reported at the consolidated amounts, including both the amounts attributable to the Company and noncontrolling interests. Presentation of consolidated equity activity is included for both quarterly and annual financial statements, including beginning balances, activity for the period and ending balances for shareholders' equity, noncontrolling interests and total equity.

However, per the FASB issued authoritative guidance on the classification and measurement of redeemable securities, securities that are redeemable for cash or other assets at the option of the holder, not solely within the control of the issuer, must be classified outside of permanent equity. This would result in certain outside ownership interests being included as redeemable noncontrolling interests outside of permanent equity in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company makes this determination based on terms in applicable agreements, specifically in relation to redemption provisions. Additionally, with respect to noncontrolling interests for which the Company has a choice to settle the contract by delivery of its own shares, the Company considered the FASB issued guidance on accounting for derivative financial instruments indexed to, and potentially settled in, a Company's own stock to evaluate whether the Company controls the actions or events necessary to issue the maximum number of shares that could be required to be delivered under share settlement of the contract. The guidance also requires that noncontrolling interests are adjusted each period so that the carrying value equals the greater of its carrying value based on the accumulation of historical cost or its redemption fair value.

Table of Contents

The consolidated results of the Company include results attributable to units of the Operating Partnership that are not owned by the Company, which amounted to approximately 8.1%, and 8.1%, respectively as of December 31, 2008, and 2007 of all outstanding units. These interests were issued in the form of Operating Partnership units and were a component of the consideration the Company paid to acquire certain self-storage facilities. Limited partners who acquired Operating Partnership units have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem part or all of their Operating Partnership units for, at the Company's option, an equivalent number of common shares of the Company or cash based upon the fair market value of an equivalent number of common shares of the Company. However, the operating agreement contains certain circumstances that could result in a net cash settlement outside the control of the Company. Accordingly, consistent with the guidance discussed above, the Company will continue to record these non controlling interests outside of permanent equity in the consolidated balance sheets. Net income or loss related to these noncontrolling interests is excluded from net income or loss in the consolidated statements of operations. Based on the Company's evaluation of the redemption value of the redeemable noncontrolling interest, the Company has reflected these interests at their carrying value as of December 31, 2008.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Retrospective Impact of New Accounting Pronouncement Adopted January 1, 2009 (in thousands):

Statement of Operations:

	For the year ended December 31, 2008:		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustments (a)	As Adjusted
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (17,540)	\$ (5,888)	\$ (23,428)
Total discontinued operations	20,332	6,198	26,530
Net income (loss)	2,792	310	3,102
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests		(310)	(310)
Net income (loss) attributable to the company		2,792	2,792

	For the year ended December 31, 2007:		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustments (a)	As Adjusted
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (19,048)	\$ (5,322)	\$ (24,370)
Total discontinued operations	5,971	4,152	10,123
Net income (loss)	(13,077)	(1,170)	(14,247)
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests		1,170	1,170
Net income (loss) attributable to the company		(13,077)	(13,077)

(a) Includes adjustments for the discontinued operations discussed in Note 10.

Statement of Equity:

	For the year ended December 31, 2008:		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Adjusted
Adjustments for noncontrolling interests in operating partnership	\$ 367	\$ (310)	\$ 57
Net income	2,792	310	3,102

	For the year ended December 31, 2007:		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Adjusted
Adjustments for noncontrolling interests in operating partnership	\$ 1,469	\$ 51,878	\$ 53,347
Net loss	(13,077)	(1,170)	(14,247)

Statement of Cash Flows:

	For the year ended December 31, 2008:		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Adjusted
Net income	\$ 2,792	\$ 310	\$ 3,102
Minority interests	310	(310)	

	For the year ended December 31, 2007:		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Adjusted
Net loss	\$ (13,077)	\$ (1,170)	\$ (14,247)
Minority interests	(995)	995	
Net cash provided by operating activities	62,874	(175)	62,699
Acquisitions, additions and improvements to storage facilities - related party	(121,805)	175	(121,630)
Net cash used in investing activities	(153,576)	175	(153,401)

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Although we believe the assumptions and estimates we made are reasonable and appropriate, as discussed in the applicable sections throughout these

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

consolidated financial statements, different assumptions and estimates could materially impact our reported results. The current economic environment has increased the degree of uncertainty inherent in these estimates and assumptions and changes in market conditions could impact our future operating results.

Storage Facilities

Storage facilities are carried at historical cost less accumulated depreciation and impairment losses. The cost of storage facilities reflects their purchase price or development cost. Costs incurred for the acquisition and renovation of a storage facility are capitalized to the Company's investment in that property. Ordinary repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred; major replacements and betterments, which improve or extend the life of the asset, are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, approximately \$0.1 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million of expense was incurred in conjunction with property related damage as a result of insured events such as fires, floods and hurricanes.

Purchase Price Allocation

When facilities are acquired, the purchase price is allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on estimated fair values. When a portfolio of facilities is acquired, the purchase price is allocated to the individual facilities based upon an income approach or a cash flow analysis using appropriate risk adjusted capitalization rates, which take into account the relative size, age and location of the individual facility along with current and projected occupancy and rental rate levels or appraised values, if available. Allocations to the individual assets and liabilities are based upon comparable market sales information for land, buildings and improvements and estimates of depreciated replacement cost of equipment.

In allocating the purchase price, the Company determines whether the acquisition includes intangible assets or liabilities. Substantially all of the leases in place at acquired facilities are at market rates, as the majority of the leases are month-to-month contracts. Accordingly, to date no portion of the purchase price has been allocated to above- or below-market lease intangibles. To date, no intangible asset has been recorded for the value of tenant relationships, because the Company does not have any concentrations of significant tenants and the average tenant turnover is fairly frequent.

The Company recorded a \$6.8 million intangible asset to recognize the value of in-place leases related to its acquisitions in 2007. Subsequently, during 2008, the Company acquired a finite-lived intangible asset valued at approximately \$1.0 million as part of its acquisition of one self-storage facility. This asset represents the value of in-place leases at the time of acquisition and was fully amortized at December 31, 2009.

Depreciation and Amortization

The costs of self-storage facilities and improvements are depreciated using the straight-line method based on useful lives ranging from five to 40 years.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We evaluate long-lived assets for impairment when events and circumstances such as declines in occupancy and operating results indicate that there may be impairment. The carrying value of these long-lived assets is compared to the undiscounted future net operating cash flows, plus a

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

terminal value, attributable to the assets to determine if the property's basis is recoverable. If a property's basis is not considered recoverable, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent the net carrying value of the asset exceeds the fair value. The impairment loss recognized equals the excess of net carrying value over the related fair value of the asset. There were no impairment losses recognized in accordance with these procedures during 2009, 2008 and 2007.

Long-Lived Assets Held for Sale

We consider long-lived assets to be held for sale upon satisfaction of the following criteria: (a) management commits to a plan to sell a facility (or group of facilities), (b) the facility is available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such facilities, (c) an active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell the facility have been initiated, (d) the sale of the facility is probable and transfer of the asset is expected to be completed within one year, (e) the facility is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value, and (f) actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

Typically these criteria are all met when the relevant asset is under contract, significant non-refundable deposits have been made by the potential buyer, the assets are immediately available for transfer and there are no contingencies related to the sale that may prevent the transaction from closing. In most transactions, these conditions or criteria are not satisfied until the

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

actual closing of the transaction; and, accordingly, the facility is not identified as held for sale until the closing actually occurs. However, each potential transaction is evaluated based on its separate facts and circumstances. Properties classified as held for sale are reported at the lesser of carrying value or fair value less estimated costs to sell.

During 2009, the Company sold 20 storage facilities throughout the United States (including one property that was held for sale as of December 31, 2008). During 2008, the Company sold 23 storage facilities throughout the United States. During 2007, the Company sold three storage facilities in South Carolina and two additional facilities in Arizona. These sales have been accounted for as discontinued operations and, accordingly, the accompanying financial statements and notes reflect the results of operations of the storage facilities sold as discontinued operations (see Note 10).

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents are highly-liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less. The Company may maintain cash equivalents in financial institutions in excess of insured limits, but believes this risk is mitigated by only investing in or through major financial institutions.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash consists of purchase deposits and cash deposits required for debt service requirements, capital replacement, and expense reserves in connection with the requirements of our loan agreements.

Loan Procurement Costs

Loan procurement costs related to borrowings consist of \$26.4 million and \$10.6 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively and are reported net of accumulated amortization of \$8.0 million and \$6.2 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The costs are amortized over the life of the related debt using the effective interest rate method and reported as loan procurement amortization expense.

Other Assets

Other assets consist primarily of accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and intangible assets. Accounts receivable were \$2.3 million and \$2.8 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company has recorded an allowance of approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, related to accounts receivable as of December 31, 2009 and 2008. The net carrying value of intangible assets as of December 31, 2008 was \$0.1 million and were fully amortized as of December 31, 2009.

Notes Receivable

As of December 31, 2009, notes receivable of \$20.1 million included three promissory notes originated in conjunction with multiple asset dispositions. The original principal amounts of the promissory notes ranged from \$0.3 million to \$17.6 million, bearing interest at rates ranging from 6 to 10 percent with maturity dates ranging from two to three years. The Company periodically assesses the collectability of the notes receivable in accordance with the FASB guidance on accounting by creditors for impairment of a loan. No collectability issues were noted as of December 31, 2009.

Environmental Costs

Our practice is to conduct or obtain environmental assessments in connection with the acquisition or development of additional facilities. Whenever the environmental assessment for one of our facilities indicates that a facility is impacted by soil or groundwater contamination from prior owners/operators or other sources, we will work with our environmental consultants and where appropriate, state governmental agencies, to ensure that the facility is either cleaned up, that no cleanup is necessary because the low level of contamination poses no significant risk to public health or the environment, or that the responsibility for cleanup rests with a third party.

Revenue Recognition

Management has determined that all of our leases are operating leases. Rental income is recognized in accordance with the terms of the leases, which generally are month-to-month. Revenues from long-term operating leases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the respective lease. The excess of rents received over amounts contractually due pursuant to the underlying leases is included in deferred revenue in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and contractually due but unpaid rents are included in other assets.

The Company recognizes gains on disposition of properties only upon closing in accordance with the guidance on sales of real estate. Payments received from purchasers prior to closing are recorded as deposits. Profit on real estate sold is recognized using the full accrual method upon closing when the collectability of the sales price is reasonably assured and the

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Company is not obligated to perform significant activities after the sale. Profit may be deferred in whole or part until the sale meets the requirements of profit recognition on sales under this guidance

Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities

In October 2006, the Company committed to a plan to relocate its accounting, finance and information technology functions to the Philadelphia, Pennsylvania area. As part of the relocation of these functions, the Company provided severance arrangements for certain existing employees related to those functions. At the time the severance arrangements were entered into, the Company estimated a total expense of \$470,000, of which \$45,000 was paid in 2006 and the remainder was paid in 2007.

In August 2007, the Company abandoned certain office space in Cleveland, Ohio that was previously used for its corporate offices. The related leases have expiration dates ranging from December 31, 2009 through December 31, 2014. Upon vacating the space, the Company entered into a sub-lease agreement with a sub-tenant to lease the majority of the space for the duration of the term.

As a result of this exit activity, the Company recognized a Lease abandonment charge of \$1.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2007. The charge was comprised of approximately \$0.8 million of costs that represent the present value of the net cash flows associated with leases and the sub-lease agreement (Contract Termination Costs) and approximately \$0.5 million of costs associated with the write-off of certain assets related to the abandoned space (Other Associated Costs). The Contract Termination Costs of \$0.8 million are presented as Accounts payable and accrued rent and the Other Associated Costs of \$0.5 million were accounted for as a reduction of Storage facilities. The Company amortizes the Contract Termination Costs against rental expense over the remaining life of the respective leases.

Advertising Costs

The Company incurs advertising costs primarily attributable to print advertisements in telephone books. The Company recognizes the costs when the related telephone book is first published. The Company incurred \$4.5 million, \$3.8 million and \$4.3 million in telephone book advertising expenses for the years ended 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Equity Offering Costs

Underwriting discount and commissions, financial advisory fees and offering costs are reflected as a reduction to additional paid-in capital.

Other Property Related Income

Other property related income consists of late fees, administrative charges, sales of storage supplies and other ancillary revenues.

Capitalized Interest

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

The Company capitalizes interest incurred that is directly associated with construction activities until the asset is placed into service. Interest is capitalized to the related assets using a weighted-average rate of the Company's outstanding debt. The Company capitalized \$0.1 million during 2009, 2008 and 2007.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company carries all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The Company determines the fair value of derivatives by observable prices that are based on inputs not quoted on active markets, but corroborated by market data. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative instrument depends on whether the derivative has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship and, if so, the reason for holding it. The Company's use of derivative instruments has been limited to cash flow hedges of certain interest rate risks. The Company had interest rate swap agreements for notional principal amounts aggregating \$300 million at December 31, 2008. All of the Company's derivative financial instruments had matured by November 20, 2009.

Income Taxes

The Company elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code beginning with the period from October 21, 2004 (commencement of operations) through December 31, 2004. In management's opinion, the requirements to maintain these elections are being met. Accordingly, no provision for federal income taxes has been reflected in the consolidated financial statements other than for operations conducted through our taxable REIT subsidiaries.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Earnings and profits, which determine the taxability of distributions to shareholders, differ from net income reported for financial reporting purposes due to differences in cost basis, the estimated useful lives used to compute depreciation, and the allocation of net income and loss for financial versus tax reporting purposes. The tax basis in the Company's assets was \$1.3 billion as of December 31, 2009 and \$1.5 billion as of December 31, 2008.

Distributions to shareholders are usually taxable as ordinary income, although a portion of the distribution may be designated as capital gain or may constitute a tax-free return of capital. Annually, the Company provides each of its shareholders a statement detailing distributions paid during the preceding year and their characterization as ordinary income, capital gain or return of capital. The characterization of the Company's dividends for 2009 was 100% capital gain distribution.

The Company is subject to a 4% federal excise tax if sufficient taxable income is not distributed within prescribed time limits. The excise tax equals 4% of the annual amount, if any, by which the sum of (a) 85% of the Company's ordinary income and (b) 95% of the Company's net capital gain exceeds cash distributions and certain taxes paid by the Company. No excise tax was incurred in 2009, 2008, or 2007.

Taxable REIT subsidiaries, such as the TRS, are subject to federal and state income taxes. The TRS recorded a net deferred tax asset of \$0.5 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 related to expenses which are deductible for tax purposes in future periods.

Noncontrolling Interests

Noncontrolling interests are the portion of equity (net assets) in a subsidiary not attributable, directly or indirectly, to a parent. The ownership interests in the subsidiary that are held by owners other than the parent are noncontrolling interests. Noncontrolling interests are reported on the consolidated balance sheets within equity, separately from the Company's equity. On the consolidated statements of operations, revenues, expenses and net income or loss from less-than-wholly-owned subsidiaries are reported at the consolidated amounts, including both the amounts attributable to the Company and noncontrolling interests. Presentation of consolidated equity activity is included for both quarterly and annual financial statements, including beginning balances, activity for the period and ending balances for shareholders' equity, noncontrolling interests and total equity. The Company has adjusted the carrying value of its noncontrolling interests subject to redemption value to the extent applicable. Disclosure of such redemption provisions is provided in Note 7.

Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share is calculated based on the weighted average number of common shares and restricted shares outstanding and/or vested during the period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated by further adjusting for the dilutive impact of share options, unvested restricted shares and contingently issuable shares outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method. Common shares of 547,000, 94,000 and 22,000 in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share, as they were identified as anti-dilutive.

Share Based Payments

We apply the fair value method of accounting for contingently issued shares and share options issued under our incentive award plan. Accordingly, share compensation expense is recorded ratably over the vesting period relating to such contingently issued shares and options. The Company has recognized compensation expense on a straight-line method over the requisite service period.

Foreign Currency

The financial statements of foreign subsidiaries are translated to U.S. Dollars using the period-end exchange rate for assets and liabilities and an average exchange rate for each period for revenues, expenses, and capital expenditures. The local currency is the functional currency for the Company's foreign subsidiaries. Translation adjustments for foreign subsidiaries are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss in shareholders' equity. The Company recognizes transaction gains and losses arising from fluctuations in currency exchange rates on transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currency in earnings as incurred. The Pound, which represents the functional currency used by USIFB, LLP, our joint venture in England, was translated at an end-of-period exchange rate of approximately 1.62212 and 1.4619 U.S. Dollars per Pound at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, and an average exchange rate of 1.56476 and 1.8669 U.S. Dollars per Pound for the years ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) established the *FASB Accounting Standards Codification* (Codification) as the source of authoritative GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Codification has changed the manner in which GAAP guidance is referenced, but did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance on accounting for transfers of financial assets in June 2009, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2010. The guidance requires entities to provide more information regarding sales of securitized financial assets and similar transactions, particularly if the entity has continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. It also eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets and requires additional disclosures. The application did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance on how a company determines when an entity should be consolidated in June 2009, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2010. The guidance clarifies that the determination of whether a company is required to consolidate an entity is based on, among other things, an entity's purpose and design and a company's ability to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. The guidance requires an ongoing reassessment of whether a company is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. It also requires additional disclosures about a company's involvement in variable interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. The application did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance on determining whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities in June 2008, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2009. The guidance states that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and should be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. The application did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance on accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion (including partial cash settlement) in May 2008, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2009. The guidance requires that instruments within its scope be separated into their liability and equity components at initial recognition by recording the liability component at the fair value of a similar liability that does not have an associated equity component and attributing the remaining proceeds from issuance to the equity component. The excess of the principal amount of the liability component over its initial fair value will be amortized to interest expense using the interest method. The application did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding the hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles in May 2008, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2009. The guidance states that the GAAP hierarchy will now reside in the accounting literature established by the FASB. The guidance identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP. The application did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

The FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities in March 2008, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2009. The guidance enhances required disclosures regarding derivatives and hedging activities, including enhanced disclosures regarding how an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under previous guidance and the impact of derivative instruments and related hedged items on an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. The application did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding business combinations in December 2007, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2009. The guidance establishes principles and requirements for recognizing identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed, noncontrolling interest in the acquiree, goodwill acquired in the combination or the gain from a bargain purchase, and disclosure requirements. Under this guidance, all costs incurred to effect an acquisition will be recognized separately from the acquisition. Also, restructuring costs that are expected but the acquirer is

Table of Contents

not obligated to incur will be recognized separately from the acquisition. The application did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding noncontrolling interests in consolidated Financial Statements in December 2007, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2009. The guidance requires that ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent be clearly identified. In addition, it requires that the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest be clearly identified and presented on the face of the income statement. The retrospective application to the financial statements impacted certain financial statement footnote disclosures but did not impact our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The storage facilities are located in major metropolitan and rural areas and have numerous tenants per facility. No single tenant represents a significant concentration of our revenues. The facilities in Florida, California, Texas and Illinois provided total revenues of approximately 18%, 15%, 10% and 7%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2009. The facilities in Florida, California, Texas and Illinois provided total revenues of approximately 19%, 15%, 9% and 7%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents**3. STORAGE FACILITIES**

The following summarizes the real estate assets of the Company as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
	(in thousands)	
Land	\$ 369,842	\$ 387,831
Buildings and improvements	1,243,047	1,300,711
Equipment	157,452	198,981
Construction in progress	4,201	600
Total	1,774,542	1,888,123
Less accumulated depreciation	(344,009)	(328,165)
Storage facilities net	\$ 1,430,533	\$ 1,559,958

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The Company completed the following acquisitions, dispositions and consolidations for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009:

Facility/Portfolio	Location	Transaction Date	Number of Facilities	Purchase / Sales Price (in thousands)
<i>2009 Dispositions:</i>				
68th Street Asset	Miami, FL	January 2009	1	\$ 2,973
Albuquerque, NM Asset	Albuquerque, NM	April 2009	1	2,825
S. Palmetto Asset	Ontario, CA	June 2009	1	5,925
Hotel Circle Asset	Albuquerque, NM	July 2009	1	3,600
Jersey City Asset	Jersey City, NJ	August 2009	1	11,625
Dale Mabry Asset	Tampa, FL	August 2009	1	2,800
Winner Assets	Multiple locations in CO	September 2009	6	17,300
Baton Rouge Asset (Eminent Domain)	Baton Rouge, LA	September 2009	(b)	1,918
North H Street Asset (Eminent Domain)	San Bernardino, CA	September 2009	1	(c)
Boulder Assets (a)	Boulder, CO	September 2009	4	32,000
Winner Assets	Multiple locations in CO	October 2009	2	6,600
Brecksville Asset	Brecksville, OH	November 2009	1	3,300
			20	\$ 90,866
<i>2008 Acquisitions:</i>				
Uptown Asset	Washington, DC	January 2008	1	\$ 13,300
<i>2008 Dispositions:</i>				
77th Street Asset	Miami, FL	March 2008	1	\$ 2,175
Leesburg Asset	Leesburg, FL	March 2008	1	2,400
Lakeland Asset	Lakeland, FL	April 2008	1	2,050
Endicott Asset	Union, NY	May 2008	1	2,250
Linden Asset	Linden, NJ	June 2008	1	2,825
Baton Rouge/Prairieville Assets	Multiple Locations in LA	June 2008	2	5,400
Churchill Assets	Multiple locations in MS	August 2008	4	8,333
Biloxi/Gulf Breeze Assets	Multiple locations in MS/FL	September 2008	2	10,760
Deland Asset	Deland, FL	September 2008	1	2,780
Mobile Assets	Mobile, AL	September 2008	2	6,140
Hudson Assets	Hudson, OH	October 2008	2	2,640
Stuart/Vero Beach Assets	Multiple locations in FL	October 2008	2	4,550
Skipper Road Assets	Multiple locations in FL	November 2008	2	5,020
Waterway Asset	Miami, FL	December 2008	1	4,635
			23	\$ 61,958

(a) The Company provided \$17.6 million in seller financing to the buyer as part of the Boulder Assets disposition.

(b) Approximately one third of the Baton Rouge Asset was taken in conjunction with eminent domain proceedings. The Company continues to own and operate the remaining two thirds of the asset and include the asset in the Company's total portfolio property count.

(c) The entirety of the North H Street Asset was taken in conjunction with eminent domain proceedings and the Company removed this asset from its total portfolio asset count. The Company expects to finalize compensatory terms with discussions with the State of California by

the fourth quarter of 2010.

F-20

Table of Contents

4. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company acquired finite-lived intangible assets valued at approximately \$6.8 million as part of its 2007 acquisitions. These assets represent the value of in-place leases at the time of acquisition. The intangible assets became fully amortized, with \$4.6 million and \$2.2 million recognized as amortization expense during the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2008, the Company acquired a finite-lived intangible asset valued at approximately \$1.0 million as part of its acquisition of one self-storage facility. This asset represents the value of in-place leases at the time of acquisition. The estimated life of this asset at the time of acquisition was 12 months. The Company recognized amortization expense related to this asset of \$0.1 million and \$0.9 million during the 2009 and 2008 periods, respectively.

5. SECURED CREDIT FACILITY, UNSECURED CREDIT FACILITY AND SECURED TERM LOANS

On December 8, 2009, the Company and its Operating Partnership entered into a three-year, \$450 million senior secured credit facility (the "secured credit facility"), consisting of a \$200 million secured term loan and a \$250 million secured revolving credit facility. The secured credit facility is secured by mortgages on borrowing base properties. The outstanding balance on the Company's secured credit facility as of December 31, 2009 was comprised of \$200 million of secured term loan borrowings. As of December 2009, approximately \$250 million was available under the Company's secured credit facility. Borrowings under the secured credit facility bear interest ranging from 3.25% to 4.00% over LIBOR, with a LIBOR floor of 1.5%, depending on our leverage ratio. At December 31, 2009, borrowings under the secured credit facility had a weighted average interest rate of 5.0% and the Company was in compliance with all financial covenants of the agreement.

The secured credit facility replaced the prior, three-year \$450 million unsecured credit facility, which was entered into in November 2006, consisting of \$200 million in an unsecured term loan and \$250 million in unsecured revolving loans. The balance of the unsecured credit facility was paid off in December 2009. Borrowings under this former credit facility incurred interest, at our option, at either an alternative base rate or a Eurodollar rate, in each case, plus an applicable margin based on our leverage ratio or our credit rating. The alternative base interest rate is a fluctuating rate equal to the higher of the prime rate or the sum of the federal funds effective rate plus 50 basis points. The applicable margin for the alternative base rate will vary from 0.00% to 0.50% depending on our leverage ratio prior to achieving an investment grade rating, and will vary from 0.00% to 0.25% depending on our credit rating after achieving an investment grade rating.

On September 14, 2007, the Company and its Operating Partnership entered into a credit agreement that allowed for total secured term loan borrowings of \$50.0 million and subsequently amended the agreement on April 3, 2008 to allow for total secured term loan borrowings of \$57.4 million. Each term loan bore interest at either an alternative base rate or a Eurodollar rate, at our option, in each case plus an applicable margin. The outstanding term loans were secured by a pledge by the Company's Operating Partnership of all equity interests in YSI RT LLC, the wholly-owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership that acquired eight self-storage facilities in September 2007 and one self-storage facility in May 2008. The balance of the term loans was paid off on August 11, 2009.

Table of Contents**6. MORTGAGE LOANS AND NOTES PAYABLE**

The Company's mortgage loans and notes payable are summarized as follows:

Mortgage Loan	Carrying Value as of:		Effective Interest Rate	Maturity Date
	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008		
	(in thousands)			
Acq 6		1,701	8.43%	Aug-09
YSI 3		85,020	5.09%	Nov-09
YSI 1	83,342	85,105	5.19%	May-10
YSI 4	6,065	6,150	5.25%	Jul-10
YSI 26	9,475	9,724	5.00%	Aug-10
YSI 25	7,975	8,093	5.00%	Oct-10
Promissory Notes		75	5.97%	Nov-10
YSI 2	83,480	85,213	5.33%	Jan-11
YSI 12	1,520	1,561	5.97%	Sep-11
YSI 13	1,307	1,342	5.97%	Sep-11
YSI 6	77,370	78,543	5.13%	Aug-12
YASKY	80,000	80,000	4.96%	Sep-12
USIFB	3,834	3,509	4.59%	Oct-12
YSI 14	1,812	1,862	5.97%	Jan-13
YSI 7	3,163	3,224	6.50%	Jun-13
YSI 8	1,808	1,842	6.50%	Jun-13
YSI 9	1,988	2,026	6.50%	Jun-13
YSI 17	4,246	4,365	6.32%	Jul-13
YSI 27	516	532	5.59%	Nov-13
YSI 30	7,567	7,804	5.59%	Nov-13
YSI 11	2,486	2,548	5.87%	Dec-13
YSI 5	3,281	3,363	5.25%	Jan-14
YSI 28	1,598	1,638	5.59%	Feb-14
YSI 34	14,955		8.00%	Jun-14
YSI 37	2,244		7.25%	Aug-14
YSI 40	2,581		7.25%	Aug-14
YSI 42	3,263		6.88%	Aug-14
YSI 44	1,121		7.00%	Sep-14
YSI 41	3,976		6.60%	Sep-14
YSI 38	4,078		6.35%	Sep-14
YSI 45	5,527		6.75%	Oct-14
YSI 46	3,486		6.75%	Oct-14
YSI 43	2,994		6.50%	Nov-14
YSI 48	25,652		7.25%	Nov-14
YSI 39	3,991		6.50%	Nov-14
YSI 50	2,380		6.75%	Dec-14
YSI 10	4,166	4,237	5.87%	Jan-15
YSI 15	1,920	1,961	6.41%	Jan-15
YSI 20	64,258	65,953	5.97%	Nov-15
YSI 31	13,891		6.75%	Jun-19(a)
YSI 35	4,499		6.90%	Jul-19(a)
YSI 32	6,160		6.75%	Jul-19(a)
YSI 33	11,570		6.42%	Jul-19
YSI 47	3,250		6.63%	Jan-20(a)

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Unamortized fair value adjustment	231	694
Total mortgage loans and notes payable	\$ 569,026	\$ 548,085

F-22

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

(a) These borrowings have a fixed interest rate for the first 5 years of their term, which then resets and remains constant over the final 5 years of the loan term.

During 2009, the Company entered into secured financings with 17 regional banks. The financings are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

Mortgage Loan	Carrying Value as of: December 31, 2009	State(s)	Properties Encumbered	Effective Interest Rate	Maturity Date
YSI 34	\$ 14,955	TX	8	8.00%	Jun-14
YSI 37	2,244	GA	1	7.25%	Aug-14
YSI 40	2,581	TN	1	7.25%	Aug-14
YSI 42	3,263	FL	1	6.88%	Aug-14
YSI 44	1,121	FL	1	7.00%	Sep-14
YSI 41	3,976	TN	2	6.60%	Sep-14
YSI 38	4,078	TN	3	6.35%	Sep-14
YSI 45	5,527	TN	1	6.75%	Oct-14
YSI 46	3,486	IL	1	6.75%	Oct-14
YSI 43	2,994	AZ	1	6.50%	Nov-14
YSI 48	25,652	CA	9	7.25%	Nov-14
YSI 39	3,991	FL	1	6.50%	Nov-14
YSI 50	2,380	IL	1	6.75%	Dec-14
YSI 31	13,891	NJ	4	6.75%	Jun-19
YSI 35	4,499	VA	2	6.90%	Jul-19
YSI 32	6,160	NY	2	6.75%	Jul-19
YSI 33	11,570	D.C., FL & TX	4	6.42%	Jul-19
YSI 47	3,250	MA	1	6.63%	Jan-20
Total	\$ 115,618				

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company's mortgage loans payable were secured by certain of its self-storage facilities with net book values of approximately \$776 million and \$689 million, respectively. The following table represents the future principal payment requirements on the outstanding mortgage loans and notes payable at December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

2010	\$ 114,516
2011	90,541
2012	163,817
2013	26,238
2014	91,091
2015 and thereafter	82,592
Total mortgage payments	568,795
Plus: Unamortized fair value adjustment	231
Total mortgage indebtedness	\$ 569,026

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

The Company currently intends to fund its 2010 future principal payment requirements from cash provided by operating activities as well as additional borrowings under our secured credit facility (\$250 million available as of December 31, 2009).

F-23

Table of Contents

7. NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

Variable Interests in Consolidated Real Estate Joint Ventures

On August 13, 2009, the Company, through a wholly-owned affiliate, formed a joint venture (HART) with an affiliate of Heitman, LLC (Heitman) to own and operate 22 self-storage facilities, which are located throughout the United States. Upon formation, Heitman contributed approximately \$51 million of cash to a newly-formed limited partnership and the Company contributed certain unencumbered wholly-owned properties with an agreed upon value of approximately \$102 million to such limited partnership. In exchange for its contribution of those properties, the Company received a cash distribution from HART of approximately \$51 million and retained a 50 percent interest in HART. The Company is the managing partner of HART and the manager of the properties owned by HART, and receives a market rate management fee for its management services.

In December 2003, the FASB issued a pronouncement regarding variable interest entities. The Company determined HART is a variable interest entity as defined by the pronouncement, and that we are the primary beneficiary. The 50% interest that is owned by Heitman is reflected in noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries within permanent equity and separate from the Company's equity on the consolidated balance sheet. Accordingly, the assets, liabilities and results of operations of HART are consolidated in our consolidated financial statements. At December 31, 2009, HART had total assets of \$91.6 million and total liabilities of \$1.9 million.

USIFB, LLP (the Venture) was formed to own, operate, acquire and develop self-storage facilities in England. The Company has a 97% interest in the Venture, and through a wholly-owned subsidiary and together with its joint venture partner, operations began at one facility in London, England during 2008. The Company has determined that the Venture is a variable interest entity as defined by the codification discussed above, and that the Company is the primary beneficiary. Accordingly, the assets, liabilities and results of operations of the Venture are consolidated in the Company's consolidated financial statements. At December 31, 2009, the Venture had total assets of \$7.8 million and total liabilities of \$4.3 million and a mortgage loan of \$3.8 million secured by assets with a net book value of \$7.5 million. At December 31, 2009, the Venture's creditors had no recourse to the general credit of the Company.

Operating Partnership Ownership

The Company has followed the FASB guidance regarding the classification and measurement of redeemable securities. Per this guidance, securities that are redeemable for cash or other assets at the option of the holder, not solely within the control of the issuer, must be classified outside of permanent equity. This would result in certain outside ownership interests being included as redeemable noncontrolling interests outside of permanent equity in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company makes this determination based on terms in applicable agreements, specifically in relation to redemption provisions. Additionally, with respect to noncontrolling interests for which the Company has a choice to settle the contract by delivery of its own shares, the Company considered the guidance regarding accounting for derivative financial instruments indexed to, and potentially settled in, a company's own stock, to evaluate whether the Company controls the actions or events necessary to presume share settlement. The guidance also requires that noncontrolling interests be adjusted each period so that the carrying value equals the greater of its carrying value based on the accumulation of historical cost or its redemption value.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

The consolidated results of the Company include results attributable to units of the Operating Partnership that are not owned by the Company, which amounted to approximately 4.9% and 8.1% of all outstanding Partnership units as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. These interests were issued in the form of Operating Partnership units and were a component of the consideration the Company paid to acquire certain self-storage facilities. Limited partners who acquired Operating Partnership units have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem part or all of their Operating Partnership units for, at the Company's option, an equivalent number of common shares of the Company or cash based upon the fair market value of an equivalent number of common shares of the Company. However, the partnership agreement contains certain circumstances that could result in a settlement outside the control of the Company. Accordingly, consistent with the guidance, the Company will record these noncontrolling interests outside of permanent equity in the consolidated balance sheets. Net income or loss related to these noncontrolling interests is excluded from net income or loss in the consolidated statements of operations.

The fair value of the Company's common shares when calculated for the purposes of unit redemption will be equal to the average of the closing trading price of the Company's common shares on the New York Stock Exchange for the 10 trading

Table of Contents

days before the date the Company receives the redemption notice. At December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 4,809,636 units and 5,079,928 units were outstanding, respectively, and as of December 31, 2009, the calculated aggregate redemption value of outstanding Operating Partnership units based upon the Company's share price was approximately \$35.4 million. Based on the Company's evaluation of the redemption value of the redeemable noncontrolling interest, the Company has reflected these interests at their carrying value as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 as carrying cost exceeded the estimated redemption value.

8. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Robert J. Amsdell, former Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Trustees, retired from the Board effective as of February 13, 2007. Barry L. Amsdell submitted his letter of resignation from the Board on February 20, 2007. Effective as of February 19, 2007, Todd C. Amsdell, President of U-Store-It Development LLC, a subsidiary of the Company, resigned.

Amsdell Settlement/Rising Tide Acquisition

On September 14, 2007, the Company settled all pending state and federal court litigation involving the Company and the interests of Robert J. Amsdell, Barry L. Amsdell, Todd C. Amsdell and Kyle Amsdell, son of Robert and brother of Todd Amsdell (collectively, the Amsdells), and Rising Tide Development LLC, a company owned and controlled by Robert J. Amsdell and Barry L. Amsdell (Rising Tide). The Board of Trustees of the Company, along with the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, approved the terms of the settlement.

In addition, on September 14, 2007, the Operating Partnership purchased 14 self-storage facilities from Rising Tide (the Rising Tide Properties) for an aggregate purchase price of \$121 million pursuant to a purchase and sale agreement. In connection with the settlement agreement and acquisition of the 14 self storage facilities, the Company considered the provisions of codification issued by the FASB on accounting for pre-existing relationships between the parties to a business combination, and determined that all consideration paid was allocable to the purchase of the storage facilities.

Pursuant to a Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release, dated August 6, 2007, (the Settlement Agreement) which was conditioned upon the acquisition of the 14 self-storage facilities from Rising Tide for \$121 million, each of the parties to the agreement executed various agreements. A summary of the various agreements follows:

- *Standstill Agreement.* Robert J. Amsdell, Barry L. Amsdell and Todd C. Amsdell agreed they would not commence or participate in any proxy solicitation or initiate any shareholder proposal; take any action to convene a meeting of shareholders; or take any actions, including making any public or private proposal or announcement, that could result in an extraordinary corporate transaction relating to the Company. The standstill agreement terminated on April 20, 2008.
- *First Amendment to Lease.* The Operating Partnership and Amsdell and Amsdell, an entity owned by Robert and Barry Amsdell, entered into a First Amendment to Lease which modified certain terms of all of the lease agreements the Operating Partnership has with Amsdell and Amsdell for office space in Cleveland, Ohio. The First Amendment provided the Operating Partnership the ability to assign or sublease the office space previously used for its corporate office and certain operations. Separately, Amsdell and Amsdell consented to the Operating Partnership's proposed sublease to an unrelated party of approximately 22,000 square feet of office space covered by the aforementioned leases.

- *Termination of Option Agreement.* The Operating Partnership and Rising Tide entered into an Option Termination Agreement that terminated an Option Agreement dated October 27, 2004, by and between the Operating Partnership and Rising Tide. The Option Agreement provided the Operating Partnership with an option to acquire Rising Tide's right, title and interest to 18 properties, including: the 14 Rising Tide Properties discussed above; three properties that the Operating Partnership acquired in 2005 pursuant to exercise of its option; and one undeveloped property that Rising Tide has the option to acquire and that was not acquired as a part of the purchase and sale agreement.

- *Termination of Property Management Agreement, and Marketing and Ancillary Services Agreement.* Certain of the Company's subsidiaries and Rising Tide entered into a Property Management Termination Agreement and a Marketing and Ancillary Services Termination Agreement. Under the Property Management Agreement, the

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Company provided property management services for the Rising Tide Properties for a fee equal to the greater of 5.35% of the gross revenues of each property or \$1,500 per property per month. Under the Marketing and Ancillary Services Agreement, the Company provided limited marketing and other miscellaneous services for the Rising Tide properties. Management fees earned by YSI Management LLC, from Rising Tide Development, were approximately \$0, \$0 million and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and are included in other related party revenues. Accounts receivable from Rising Tide Development at December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 were approximately \$0, \$0 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, and are included in due from related parties. No amounts were outstanding as of December 31, 2009. These amounts represent expenses paid on behalf of Rising Tide Development by YSI Management LLC and proceeds from the sale of ancillary items that were reimbursed under standard business terms. In connection with the termination of the Property Management Agreement, expenses relating to property management will be prorated.

- Amendment of Employment and Non-Compete Agreements.* As part of the Settlement Agreement, the Company entered into a Modification of Noncompetition Agreement and Termination of Employment Agreement (each a Modification of Noncompetition Agreement and Termination of Employment Agreement) with each of Robert J. Amsdell and Todd C. Amsdell, and a Modification of Noncompetition Agreement (Modification of Noncompetition Agreement) with Barry L. Amsdell, which terminates and modifies specific provisions of the noncompetition agreement the Company has with each of them, dated October 27, 2004 (the Original Noncompetition Agreements). The Original Noncompetition Agreements restrict the ability of Robert J., Barry L. and Todd C. Amsdell to compete with the Company for one year and their ability to solicit employees of the Company for two years from the date of their termination of employment or resignation from service as a Trustee. Pursuant to these modification agreements, Todd C. Amsdell will be able to compete with the Company, and Robert J. and Barry L. Amsdell will be able to (a) develop the one Rising Tide property that the Company did not acquire under the purchase and sale agreement and (b) compete with respect to any property identified as part of a Section 1031 like-kind exchange referenced in the purchase and sale agreement. Further, each Original Noncompetition Agreement was modified to allow each of them to hire, for any purpose, any employee or independent contractor who was terminated, has resigned or otherwise left the employment or other service of the Company or any of its affiliates on or prior to June 1, 2007.

The Modification and Noncompetition Agreement and Termination of Employment Agreement with each of Robert J. Amsdell and Todd C. Amsdell also terminates the employment agreements the Company had with each of them, effective as of February 13, 2007 with respect to Robert J. Amsdell and February 19, 2007 with respect to Todd C. Amsdell.

Corporate Office Leases

Pursuant to lease agreements that the Operating Partnership entered into with Amsdell and Amsdell during 2007, we rented office space from Amsdell and Amsdell at The Parkview Building, a multi-tenant office building of approximately 40,000 square feet located at 6745 Engle Road, an office building of approximately 18,000 square feet located at 6751 Engle Road, and an office building of approximately 28,000 square feet located at 6779 Engle Road. Each of these properties is part of Airport Executive Park, a 50-acre office and flex development located in Cleveland, Ohio, which is owned by Amsdell and Amsdell. Our independent Trustees approved the terms of, and entry into, each of the office lease agreements by the Operating Partnership. The table below shows the office space subject to these lease agreements and certain key provisions, including the term of each lease agreement, the period for which the Operating Partnership may extend the term of each lease agreement, and the minimum and maximum rents payable per month during the term.

Office Space	Approximate Square Footage	Term	Period of Extension Option (1)	Fixed Minimum Rent Per Month	Fixed Maximum Rent Per Month
The Parkview Building 6745 Engle Road; and 6751 Engle Road	21,900	12/31/2014	Five-year	\$ 25,673	\$ 31,205
6745 Engle Road Suite 100	2,212	12/31/2014	Five-year	\$ 3,051	\$ 3,709

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

6745 Engle Road	Suite 110	1,731	12/31/2014	Five-year	\$	2,387	\$	2,901
6751 Engle Road and D	Suites C	3,000	12/31/2014	Five-year	\$	3,137	\$	3,771
6779 Engle Road and H	Suites G	3,500	12/31/2008	Five-year	\$	3,079	\$	3,347
6745 Engle Road	Suite 120	1,600	4/30/2007	Three-year	\$	1,800	\$	1,900
6779 Engle Road J	Suites I and	3,500	(2)	N/A	\$	3,700		N/A

F-26

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

(1) Our operating partnership may extend the lease agreement beyond the termination date by the period set forth in this column at prevailing market rates upon the same terms and conditions contained in each of the lease agreements.

(2) In June 2007, the Operating Partnership terminated this lease agreement which had a month-to-month term.

In addition to monthly rent, the office lease agreements provide that our Operating Partnership reimburse Amsdell and Amsdell for certain maintenance and improvements to the leased office space. The total amounts of lease payments incurred under the six office leases during the years ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

Total future minimum rental payments under the related party lease agreements entered into as of December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	Due to Related Party Amount	Due from Subtenant Amount
	(in thousands)	
2010	\$ 453	\$ 278
2011	475	278
2012	475	278
2013	499	278
2014	499	278
	\$ 2,401	\$ 1,390

Other

During the fourth quarter of 2006, the Company engaged a consultant to assist in establishing certain development protocols and processes. In connection with that assignment, the outside consultant utilized the services of the son-in-law of Dean Jernigan, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Our payments for Mr. Jernigan's son-in-law's services totaled \$168 thousand in 2008 and \$149 thousand in 2007. Mr. Jernigan's son-in-law was hired as a full-time employee of the Company on September 15, 2008.

During the third quarter of 2009, the Company entered into a relocation transaction with a member of management whereby the Company purchased the former residence of the member of management for \$985,000 which is recorded as a component of other assets. The Company anticipates selling the asset during 2010.

Registration Rights

Robert J. Amsdell, Barry L. Amsdell, Todd C. Amsdell and the Amsdell Entities that acquired common shares or Operating Partnership units in the formation transactions which took place at the time of the IPO received certain registration rights. An aggregate of approximately 9.7 million common shares acquired in the formation transactions were subject to a registration rights agreement (including approximately 1.1 million shares issuable upon redemption of approximately 1.1 million Operating Partnership units issued in the formation transactions).

In addition, Rising Tide Development received registration rights with respect to the Operating Partnership units it received in connection with the Company's acquisition of three option facilities. An aggregate of approximately 0.4 million common shares (which shares are issuable upon redemption of approximately 0.4 million Operating Partnership units issued in connection with the Company's option exercises) were subject to a registration rights agreement.

In March 2007, the Company filed a Registration Statement on Form S-3 to satisfy all of the abovementioned registration rights.

9. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The fair value of financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximates their respective book values at December 31, 2009 and 2008. The Company has fixed interest rate loans with a carrying value of \$569.0 million and \$548.1 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The estimated fair values

Table of Contents

of these fixed rate loans were \$530.7 million and \$527.8 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company has variable interest rate loans with a carrying value of \$200.0 million and \$429.4 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The estimated fair values of the variable interest rate loans were \$200.0 million and \$423.2 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These estimates are based on discounted cash flow analyses assuming market interest rates for comparable obligations at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

10. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, discontinued operations relates to 20 properties that the Company sold during 2009 (one of which was held-for-sale at December 31, 2008), 23 properties that the Company sold during 2008, and five properties that the Company sold during 2007 (see Note 3). Each of the sales during 2009, 2008, and 2007 resulted in the recognition of a gain, which in the aggregate totaled \$14.1 million, \$19.7 million, and \$2.5 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes the revenue and expense information for the properties classified as discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
REVENUES			
Rental income	\$ 7,512	\$ 17,536	\$ 21,206
Other property related income	558	1,259	1,557
Total revenues	8,070	18,795	22,763
OPERATING EXPENSES			
Property operating expenses	2,787	6,876	9,009
Depreciation and amortization	2,737	5,109	5,961
Total operating expenses	5,524	11,985	14,970
OPERATING INCOME	2,546	6,810	7,793
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)			
Interest:			
Interest expense on loans			(189)
Loan procurement amortization expense			(3)
Interest income			5
Total other expense			(187)
Income from discontinued operations	2,546	6,810	7,606
Net gain on disposition of discontinued operations	14,139	19,720	2,517
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 16,685	\$ 26,530	\$ 10,123

11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company currently owns one self-storage facility subject to a ground lease and five self-storage facilities having small parcels of land that are subject to ground leases. The Company recorded rent expense of approximately \$0.2 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents

Total future minimum rental payments under non-cancelable ground leases and related party office leases in effect as of December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	Third Party Amount		Related Party Amount
	(dollars in thousands)		
2010	\$ 149	\$	453
2011	149		475
2012	149		475
2013	149		499
2014	101		499
	\$ 697	\$	2,401

The Company has been named as a defendant in a number of lawsuits in the ordinary course of business. In most instances, these claims are covered by the Company's liability insurance coverage. Management believes that the ultimate settlement of the suits will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements.

12. RISK MANAGEMENT AND USE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company's use of derivative instruments is limited to the utilization of interest rate agreements or other instruments to manage interest rate risk exposures and not for speculative purposes. The principal objective of such arrangements is to minimize the risks and/or costs associated with the Company's operating and financial structure, as well as to hedge specific transactions. The counterparties to these arrangements are major financial institutions with which the Company and its subsidiaries may also have other financial relationships. The Company is potentially exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by these counterparties. However, because of the high credit ratings of the counterparties, the Company does not anticipate that any of the counterparties will fail to meet these obligations as they come due. The Company does not hedge credit or property value market risks.

The Company has entered into interest rate swap agreements that qualify and are designated as cash flow hedges designed to reduce the impact of interest rate changes on its variable rate debt. Therefore, the interest rate swaps are recorded in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value and the related gains or losses are deferred in shareholders' equity as Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss. These deferred gains and losses are amortized into interest expense during the period or periods in which the related interest payments affect earnings. However, to the extent that the interest rate swaps are not perfectly effective in offsetting the change in value of the interest payments being hedged, the ineffective portion of these contracts is recognized in earnings immediately. Ineffectiveness was immaterial for all periods presented.

The Company formally assesses, both at inception of the hedge and on an on-going basis, whether each derivative is highly-effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of the hedged item. If management determines that a derivative is highly-effective as a hedge, it accounts for the derivative using hedge accounting, pursuant to which gains or losses inherent in the derivative do not impact the Company's results of operations. If management determines that a derivative is not highly-effective as a hedge or if a derivative ceases to be a highly-effective hedge, the Company will discontinue hedge accounting prospectively and will reflect in its statement of operations realized and unrealized gains and losses in respect of the derivative.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

The Company had an interest rate cap agreement that effectively limited the interest rate on \$40 million of credit facility borrowings at 5.50% per annum through January 2008. All of the Company's derivative financial instruments were expired by November 20, 2009. The following table is a three year comparison of the Company's derivative financial instruments at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively (dollars in thousands):

F-29

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Hedge Product	Hedge Type	Notional Amount	Strike	Effective Date	Maturity	December 31,	
						2009	2008
Swap	Cash flow	\$ 50,000	4.7725%	8/24/2007	11/20/2009	\$	\$ (1,683)
Swap	Cash flow	25,000	4.7160%	9/4/2007	11/20/2009		(830)
Swap	Cash flow	25,000	2.3400%	3/28/2008	11/20/2009		(326)
Swap	Cash flow	200,000	2.7625%	5/28/2008	11/20/2009		(3,314)
						\$	\$ (6,153)

13. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

As stated in Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies on January 1, 2008, the Company adopted the methods of fair value as described in authoritative guidance issued by the FASB, to value its financial assets and liabilities. As defined in the guidance, fair value is based on the price that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In order to increase consistency and comparability in fair value measurements, the guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value into three broad levels, which are described below:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for assets or liabilities. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 inputs.

Level 2: Observable prices that are based on inputs not quoted on active markets, but corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs are used when little or no market data is available. The fair value hierarchy gives the lowest priority to Level 3 inputs.

In determining fair value, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible as well as considering counterparty credit risk in its assessment of fair value.

There were no financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value as of December 31, 2009. Financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value as of December 31, 2008 are classified in the table below in one of the three categories described above (dollars in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Interest Rate Swap Derivative Liabilities	\$	\$ 6,153	\$
Total liabilities at fair value	\$	\$ 6,153	\$

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value were classified as Level 2 inputs. For financial liabilities that utilize Level 2 inputs, the Company utilizes both direct and indirect observable price quotes, including LIBOR yield curves, bank price quotes for forward starting swaps, NYMEX futures pricing and common stock price quotes. Below is a summary of valuation techniques for Level 2 financial liabilities:

- Interest rate swap derivative assets and liabilities valued using LIBOR yield curves at the reporting date. Counterparties to these contracts are most often highly rated financial institutions none of which experienced any significant downgrades in 2009 that would reduce the amount owed by the Company.

14. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

On May 9, 2007, the Company's shareholders approved an equity-based employee compensation plan, the 2007 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2007 Plan). On October 19, 2004, the Company's sole shareholder approved a share-based employee compensation plan, the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2004 Plan) and collectively with the 2007 Plan, the Plans). The purpose of the Plans are to attract and retain highly qualified executive officers, Trustees and key employees and other

Table of Contents

persons and to motivate such officers, trustees, key employees and other persons to serve the Company and its affiliates to expend maximum effort to improve the business results and earnings of the Company, by providing to such persons an opportunity to acquire or increase a direct proprietary interest in the operations and future success of the Company. To this end, the Plans provide for the grant of share options, share appreciation rights, restricted shares, share units, unrestricted shares, dividend equivalent rights and cash awards. Any of these awards may, but need not, be made as performance incentives to reward attainment of annual or long-term performance goals. Share options granted under the Plans may be non-qualified share options or incentive share options.

The Plans are administered by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Trustees (the Compensation Committee), which is appointed by the Board of Trustees. The Compensation Committee interprets the Plans and determines the terms and provisions of option grants and share awards. A total of 3,900,000 and 3,000,000 common shares are reserved for issuance under the 2007 Plan and 2004 Plan, respectively. The maximum number of common shares underlying equity awards that may be granted to an individual participant under the 2004 Plan during any calendar year is 400,000 for options or share appreciation rights and 100,000 for restricted shares or restricted share units, and 500,000 for options or share appreciation rights and 100,000 for restricted shares or restricted share units under the 2007 Plan. The maximum number of common shares that can be awarded under the Plan to any person, other than pursuant to an option, share appreciation rights or time-vested restricted shares, is 250,000 per calendar year under the 2004 Plan. In addition, under the 2007 Plan, the maximum number of performance awards that may be granted to an executive officer is 100,000 and the maximum value of performance shares that can be settled in cash and that can be granted in any year is \$1.5 million. To the extent that options expire unexercised or are terminated, surrendered or canceled, the options and share awards become available for future grants under the Plans, unless the Plans have been terminated. Under the Plans, the Compensation Committee determines the vesting schedule of each share award and option. The exercise price for options is equivalent to the fair market value of the underlying common shares at the grant date. The Compensation Committee also determines the term of each option, which shall not exceed 10 years from the grant date.

Share Options

The fair values for options granted in 2009, 2008, and 2007 were estimated at the time the options were granted using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model applying the following weighted average assumptions:

Assumptions:	2009	2008	2007
Risk-free interest rate	2.6%	3.4%	4.7%
Expected dividend yield	5.5%	6.9%	5.9%
Volatility (a)	46.49%	27.3%	21.2%
Weighted average expected life of the options (b)	9.8 years	9.0 years	9.4 years
Weighted average fair value of options granted per share	\$ 1.02	\$ 1.09	\$ 2.40

(a) Expected volatility is based upon the level of volatility historically experienced.

(b) Expected life is based upon our expectations of stock option recipients' expected exercise and termination patterns.

The Black-Scholes option-pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options. In addition, option-pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the expected stock price volatility. Volatility for the 2007, 2008, and 2009 grants was based on the trading history of the Company's shares.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

In 2009, 2008, and 2007, the Company recognized compensation expense related to options issued to employees and executives of approximately \$1.8 million, \$1.4 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, which was recorded in General and administrative expense. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had approximately \$2.4 million of unrecognized compensation cost that will be recorded over the next five years.

F-31

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the option activity under the Plan for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

	Number of Shares Under Option	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term
Balance at December 31, 2006	1,278,500	\$ 17.62	8.92
Options granted	960,271	19.82	9.24
Options canceled	(322,000)	16.21	
Options exercised			
Balance at December 31, 2007	1,916,771	\$ 18.95	8.74
Options granted	2,400,990	9.43	9.09
Options canceled	(1,006,662)	13.08	
Options exercised			
Balance at December 31, 2008	3,311,099	\$ 13.84	8.42
Options granted	1,456,881	3.75	9.09
Options canceled	(221,676)	11.73	
Options exercised			
Balance at December 31, 2009	4,546,304	\$ 10.71	7.95
Vested or expected to vest at December 31, 2009	4,546,304	10.71	7.95
Exercisable at December 31, 2009	1,422,263	15.55	7.16

At December 31, 2009, the aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding, of options that vested or expected to vest and of options that were exercisable was \$8,100.

Restricted Shares

The Company applies the fair value method of accounting for contingently issued shares. As such, each grant is recognized ratably over the related vesting period. Approximately 402,000 restricted shares were issued during 2009 for which the fair value of the restricted shares at their respective grant dates was approximately \$1.5 million, which vest over three years. During 2008, approximately 259,000 restricted shares were issued for which the fair value of the restricted shares at their respective grant dates was approximately \$1.8 million. As of December 31, 2009 the Company had approximately \$1.4 million of remaining unrecognized compensation costs that will be recognized over the next two years.

The fair value for restricted shares granted in 2008 was estimated at the time the units were granted. Awards that contain a market feature were valued using a Monte Carlo-pricing model applying the following weighted average assumptions:

Assumptions:	2008
Risk-free interest rate	2.1%
Volatility of total annual return	28.5%
Weighted average expected life of the units	3 years
Weighted average fair value of units granted	\$ 4.14

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

The Monte Carlo pricing model was not used to value the 2009 restricted shares granted as no market conditions were present in these awards, as the fair value of the restricted share grants were equal to the stock price on the date of grant.

In May 2005, the Company implemented the Deferred Trustees Plan, a component of the Plan, upon the approval of the Company's Board of Trustees. Pursuant to the terms of the Deferred Trustees Plan, each non-employee member of the Board of Trustees may elect to receive all of his annual cash retainers and meeting fees payable for service on the Board of Trustees or any committee of the Board of Trustees in the form of either all common shares or all deferred share units.

Pursuant to the terms of the Deferred Trustees Plan, under the equity incentive plan, certain Trustees elected to receive their Board of Trustee fees in 2005 and 2006 in the form of deferred share units. On December 31, 2006 an aggregate of

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

8,564 deferred share units were granted to those Trustees and were valued at \$20.55 per share and on December 31, 2005 and aggregate of 3,876 deferred share units were granted and were valued at \$21.05 per share. There was no similar activity in 2007, 2008 or 2009.

In 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company recognized compensation expense related to restricted shares and restricted share units issued to employees and Trustees of approximately \$1.6 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.1 million, respectively; these amounts were recorded in General and administrative expense. The following table presents non-vested restricted share activity during 2009:

	Number of Non-Vested Restricted Shares
Non-Vested at January 1, 2009	292,673
Granted	401,798
Vested	(92,748)
Forfeited	(29,403)
Non-Vested at December 31, 2009	572,320

15. EARNINGS PER SHARE AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

The following is a summary of the elements used in calculating basic and diluted earnings per share:

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
	(Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts)		
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (17,017)	\$ (23,428)	\$ (24,370)
Noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership	928	1,839	(14,417)
Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries	(665)		
Loss from continuing operations attributable to the Company's common shareholders	\$ (16,754)	\$ (21,589)	\$ (38,787)
Total discontinued operations	16,685	26,530	10,123
Noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership	(868)	(2,149)	15,587
Total discontinued operations attributable to the Company's common shareholders	\$ 15,817	\$ 24,381	\$ 25,710
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ (937)	\$ 2,792	\$ (13,077)
Weighted-average shares outstanding	70,988	57,621	57,497
Share options and restricted share units (1)			
Weighted-average diluted shares outstanding (2)	70,988	57,621	57,497
Income (loss) per Common Share:			
Continuing operations	\$ (0.24)	\$ (0.37)	\$ (0.67)
Discontinued operations	0.23	0.42	0.45
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.22)

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

(1) For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the potentially dilutive shares of approximately 547,000, 94,000, and 22,000 respectively, were not included in the earnings per share calculation as their effect is antidilutive.

(2) For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company declared cash dividends per share of \$0.10, \$0.565 and \$1.05, respectively

The operating partnership units and common shares have essentially the same economic characteristics as they share equally in the total net income or loss and distributions of the operating partnership. An operating partnership unit may be redeemed for cash, or at the Company's option, common shares on a one-for-one basis. Outstanding noncontrolling interest units in the operating partnership were 4,809,636, 5,079,928 and 5,079,928 as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. There were 92,654,979 common shares outstanding as of December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

Issuance of Common Shares

On August 19, 2009, the Company completed a public offering of 32.2 million common shares of beneficial interest. The net proceeds from the offering of \$161.9 million through December 31, 2009 were used to repay existing indebtedness, including under the Company's prior unsecured credit facility, and for general corporate purposes..

In addition to the August 19, 2009 public offering, the Company sold 2.5 million common shares of beneficial interest through its at-the-market equity plan during the first half of 2009, generating net proceeds of \$9.7 million that were used for general corporate purposes.

16. INCOME TAXES

Deferred income taxes are established for temporary differences between financial reporting basis and tax basis of assets and liabilities at the enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when the temporary differences reverse. A valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is provided if the Company believes that it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. No valuation allowance was recorded at December 31, 2009 or 2008. The Company had net deferred tax assets of \$0.5 million and \$0.5 million, which are included in other assets, as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company believes it is more likely than not the deferred tax assets will be realized. The deferred tax asset primarily relates to past years' tax net operating losses. These loss carryforwards will expire in 2026 through 2028 if not utilized by then.

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
	(dollars in thousands)		
<u>Income tax provision</u>			
Current:			
U.S. Federal	\$	\$	\$
Deferred:			
U.S. Federal			\$ (124)
Income tax provision	\$	\$	\$ (124)
<u>Effective income tax rate</u>			
Statutory federal income tax rate	34%	34%	34%
State and local income taxes	4%	4%	4%
Effective income tax rate	38%	38%	38%

	As of December 31,					
	2009		2008		2007	
	(dollars in thousands)					
	Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
<u>Deferred taxes</u>						
Share based compensation	\$ 2,177	\$ 1,933	\$ 1,325	\$ 1,185	\$ 694	\$ 626
Other	258		324		430	
Deferred taxes	\$ 2,435	\$ 1,933	\$ 1,649	\$ 1,185	\$ 1,124	\$ 626

17. PRO FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

During 2007, the Company acquired 17 self-storage facilities for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$140.5 million and sold five properties for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$19.2 million. During 2008, the Company acquired one self-storage facility for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$13.3 million and sold 23 properties for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$62.0 million. There were no acquisitions during 2009.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The unaudited condensed consolidated pro forma financial information set forth below reflects adjustments to the Company's historical financial data to give effect to each of the acquisitions and related financing activity (including the issuance of common shares) that occurred subsequent to January 1, 2007 as if each had occurred on January 1, of each respective year. The unaudited pro forma information presented below does not purport to represent what the Company's actual results of operations would have been for the periods indicated, nor does it purport to represent the Company's future results of operations.

The following table summarizes, on a pro forma basis, our consolidated results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 based on the assumptions described above:

	2008	2007
	(unaudited)	
	(in thousands, except per share data)	
Pro forma revenue	\$ 224,204	\$ 215,722
Pro forma loss from continuing operations	(21,587)	(33,633)
Loss per common share from continuing operations		
Basic and diluted as reported	\$ (0.37)	\$ (0.67)
Basic and diluted as pro forma	(0.37)	(0.58)

18. ASSET IMPAIRMENT AND INSURANCE RECOVERIES

During 2009, the Company recorded \$0.1 million of impairment charges related to property damage associated with extraordinary events including fires. During 2008, the Company recorded \$0.5 million of impairment charges related to property damage associated with Hurricane Ike and other extraordinary events including fires. During 2007 the Company recorded \$0.4 million of impairment charges related to property damage incurred at six properties as a result of either a fire or flood.

19. SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following is a summary of quarterly financial information for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except per share data):

	March 31, 2009	June 30, 2009	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2009
Total revenues	\$ 54,933	\$ 54,287	\$ 54,727	\$ 53,342
Total operating expenses	46,914	48,304	46,517	45,611
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	(2,109)	(2,844)	6,818	(2,802)
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share	(0.03)	(0.05)	0.09	(0.03)

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

	Three months ended			
	March 31, 2008	June 30, 2008	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2008
Total revenues	\$ 54,820	\$ 55,548	\$ 57,166	\$ 56,605
Total operating expenses	47,506	49,223	48,935	48,207
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	(3,984)	263	4,020	2,493
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share	(0.07)	0.01	0.07	0.04

F-35

Table of Contents

The summation of quarterly earnings per share amounts do not necessarily equal the full year amounts. The above information was updated to reclassify amounts to discontinued operations. See note 10.

20. LEASE ABANDONMENT CHARGE

In August 2007, the Company abandoned certain office space in Cleveland, OH that was previously used for its corporate offices. The related leases have expiration dates ranging from December 31, 2009 through December 31, 2014. Upon vacating the space, the Company entered into a sub-lease agreement with a sub-tenant to lease the majority of the space for the duration of the term.

As a result of this exit activity, the Company recognized a Lease abandonment charge of \$1.3 million during 2007. The charge is comprised of approximately \$0.8 million of costs that represent the present value of the net cash flows associated with leases and the sub-lease agreement (Contract Termination Costs) and approximately \$0.5 million of costs associated with the write-off of certain assets related to the abandoned space (Other Associated Costs). The Contract Termination Costs of \$0.8 million are presented as Accounts payable and accrued rent and the Other Associated Costs of \$0.5 million were accounted for as a reduction of Storage facilities. The Company will amortize the Contract Termination Costs against rental expense over the remaining life of the respective leases.

21. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

	2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008 (in thousands)	2007
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (332)	\$ 3,102	\$ (14,247)
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative financial instruments	6,153	(4,608)	(1,545)
Unrealized gain (loss) on foreign currency translation	553	(1,281)	(119)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 6,374	\$ (2,787)	\$ (15,911)

22. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On February 11, 2010, the Company repaid the YSI 1 mortgage loan of approximately \$83.3 million with available cash.

Table of Contents

U-STORE-IT

SCHEDULE III

REAL ESTATE AND RELATED DEPRECIATION

DECEMBER 31, 2009

(in thousands)

Description	Initial Cost					Gross Carrying Amount at December 31, 2009			Accumulated Depreciation (L)	Year Acquired / Developed
	Square Footage	Encumbrances	Land	Building and Improvements	Costs Subsequent to Acquisition	Land	Building and Improvements	Total		
Mobile, AL	128,951	(A)	226	2,524	987	301	3,436	3,737	1,155	1997
Chandler, AZ	47,520		327	1,257	272	327	1,529	1,856	387	2005
Glendale, AZ	56,850	(T)	201	2,265	926	418	2,974	3,392	915	1998
Green Valley, AZ	25,050	(B)	298	1,153	162	298	1,314	1,612	326	2005
Mesa I, AZ	52,375		920	2,739	154	921	2,892	3,813	678	2006
Mesa II, AZ	45,145		731	2,176	198	731	2,374	3,105	564	2006
Mesa III, AZ	58,264		706	2,101	177	706	2,278	2,984	544	2006
Phoenix I, AZ	100,762		1,134	3,376	286	1,135	3,661	4,796	866	2006
Phoenix II, AZ	45,270	(T)	756	2,251	240	756	2,492	3,248	575	2006
Scottsdale, AZ	80,925	(T)	443	4,879	1,635	883	6,074	6,957	1,850	1998
Tempe, AZ	53,890	(A)	749	2,159	197	749	2,356	3,106	542	2005
Tucson I, AZ	59,350	(T)	188	2,078	901	384	2,784	3,167	836	1998
Tucson II, AZ	43,950	2,994	188	2,078	880	391	2,755	3,146	822	1998
Tucson III, AZ	49,822	(C)	532	2,048	123	533	2,171	2,703	542	2005
Tucson IV, AZ	48,040	(C)	674	2,595	171	675	2,765	3,440	686	2005
Tucson V, AZ	45,234	(C)	515	1,980	196	515	2,176	2,691	540	2005
Tucson VI, AZ	40,841	(C)	440	1,692	166	440	1,857	2,297	471	2005
Tucson VII, AZ	52,688	(C)	670	2,576	219	670	2,795	3,465	689	2005
Tucson VIII, AZ	46,650	(C)	589	2,265	115	589	2,380	2,969	588	2005
Tucson IX, AZ	67,656	(C)	724	2,786	247	725	3,033	3,757	744	2005
Tucson X, AZ	46,350	(C)	424	1,633	192	425	1,824	2,249	448	2005
Tucson XI, AZ	42,700	(C)	439	1,689	303	439	1,991	2,431	466	2005
Tucson XII, AZ	42,325	(C)	671	2,582	185	672	2,767	3,439	675	2005
Tucson XIII, AZ	45,792	(C)	587	2,258	158	587	2,416	3,003	594	2005
Tucson XIV, AZ	49,170	(T)	707	2,721	209	708	2,930	3,637	716	2005
Apple Valley I, CA	73,250	(D)	140	1,570	1,544	476	2,778	3,254	825	1997
Apple Valley II, CA	61,555	(E)	160	1,787	1,158	431	2,675	3,105	828	1997
Benicia, CA	74,770	(T)	2,392	7,028	164	2,392	7,192	9,585	1,684	2005
Bloomington I, CA	28,425		42	463	504	100	910	1,009	267	1997
Bloomington II, CA	25,860		54	604	466	144	980	1,124	293	1997
Cathedral City, CA	109,745	(T)	2,194	10,046	203	2,195	10,248	12,443	3,105	2006
Citrus Heights, CA	75,620	(C)	1,633	4,793	191	1,634	4,983	6,617	1,257	2005
Diamond Bar, CA	103,034	(T)	2,522	7,404	236	2,524	7,638	10,162	1,925	2005
Escondido, CA	142,970	(S)	3,040	11,804	(755)	3,040	11,049	14,089	1,397	2007

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Fallbrook, CA	46,370	(F)	133	1,492	1,470	432	2,663	3,095	777	1997
Hemet, CA	66,040	(D)	125	1,396	1,309	417	2,413	2,830	717	1997
Highland I, CA	76,765	(D)	215	2,407	1,966	582	4,006	4,588	1,234	1997
Highland II, CA	62,257	(T)	1,277	5,847	248	1,277	6,095	7,372	1,279	2006
Lancaster, CA	60,665	(E)	390	2,247	684	556	2,765	3,321	659	2001
Long Beach, CA	124,363	(T)	3,138	14,368	341	3,138	14,709	17,847	3,091	2006
Murrieta, CA	49,790	(S)	1,883	5,532	188	1,903	5,700	7,603	1,344	2005
North Highlands, CA	57,244	(C)	868	2,546	270	868	2,816	3,684	698	2005
Orangevale, CA	51,142	(C)	1,423	4,175	237	1,423	4,412	5,835	1,093	2005
Palm Springs I, CA	72,675	(T)	1,565	7,164	127	1,566	7,291	8,856	1,544	2006
Palm Springs II, CA	122,370	(T)	2,131	9,758	354	2,132	10,110	12,243	2,127	2006
Pleasanton, CA	85,195		2,799	8,222	61	2,799	8,283	11,082	1,940	2005
Rancho Cordova, CA	53,928	(C)	1,094	3,212	230	1,095	3,442	4,537	866	2005
Redlands, CA	62,805	(F)	196	2,192	1,110	449	3,049	3,498	1,023	1997
Rialto I, CA	57,371	(S)	899	4,118	165	899	4,283	5,183	902	2006
Rialto II, CA	99,783		277	3,098	1,705	672	4,408	5,080	1,407	1997
Riverside I, CA	28,310	(T)	42	465	620	141	986	1,127	281	1997
Riverside II, CA	20,420	(T)	42	423	355	114	706	820	222	1997
Riverside III, CA	46,809	(T)	91	1,035	1,043	310	1,859	2,169	511	1998
Riverside IV, CA	67,220	(S)	1,351	6,183	223	1,351	6,406	7,756	1,345	2006
Riverside V, CA	85,346	(T)	1,170	5,359	294	1,170	5,653	6,824	1,193	2006
Riverside VI, CA	74,900	(T)	1,040	4,119	(168)	1,040	3,951	4,991	500	2007
Roseville, CA	60,094	(C)	1,284	3,767	299	1,284	4,065	5,349	1,006	2005
Sacramento I, CA	51,114	(C)	1,152	3,380	226	1,152	3,605	4,758	906	2005
Sacramento II, CA	62,130	(C)	1,406	4,128	141	1,407	4,268	5,675	1,075	2005
San Bernardino I, CA	83,253	(F)	152	1,704	1,422	450	2,827	3,278	867	1997
San Bernardino II, CA	31,070	(A)	51	572	1,051	182	1,492	1,674	396	1997
San Bernardino III, CA	57,215	(F)	152	1,695	1,630	444	3,033	3,477	1,049	1997
San Bernardino IV, CA	41,546	(A)	112	1,251	992	306	2,050	2,355	619	1997
San Bernardino V, CA	35,671	(A)	98	1,093	773	242	1,722	1,964	531	1997
San Bernardino VI, CA	83,507	(E)	1,872	5,391	51	1,872	5,442	7,314	1,400	2005
San Bernardino VII, CA	56,795	(S)	783	3,583	319	783	3,902	4,685	818	2006
San Bernardino VIII, CA	103,860	(S)	1,205	5,518	243	1,205	5,761	6,966	1,663	2006

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Description	Gross Carrying Amount										
	Initial Cost				at December 31, 2009						
	Square Footage	Encumbrances	Land	Building and Improvements	Costs Subsequent to Acquisition	Land	Building and Improvements	Total	Accumulated Depreciation (L)	Year Acquired / Developed	
San Bernardino IX, CA	78,839	(S)	1,475	6,753	291	1,476	7,043	8,518	1,482	2005	
San Bernardino X, CA	95,154	(T)	1,691	7,741	283	1,692	8,023	9,714	2,506	2005	
San Marcos, CA	37,430	(G)	775	2,288	109	776	2,395	3,171	598	2005	
Santa Ana, CA	64,571	(T)	1,223	5,600	222	1,223	5,822	7,045	1,219	2005	
South Sacramento, CA	51,740	(C)	790	2,319	234	791	2,552	3,343	634	2005	
Spring Valley, CA	55,045	(S)	1,178	5,394	344	1,178	5,738	6,917	1,194	2005	
Sun City, CA	38,335	(T)	140	1,579	892	324	2,287	2,611	692	1998	
Temecula I, CA	81,700		660	4,735	811	899	5,309	6,206	1,317	1998	
Temecula II, CA	84,345	(S)	3,080	5,839	-3	3,080	5,835	8,915	739	2007	
Thousand Palms, CA	75,445	(T)	1,493	6,835	350	1,493	7,185	8,678	1,532	2005	
Vista I, CA	74,605	(D)	711	4,076	1,595	1,118	5,264	6,382	1,148	2001	
Vista II, CA	147,421	(T)	4,629	13,599	131	4,629	13,730	18,359	3,205	2005	
Walnut, CA	50,708	(T)	1,578	4,635	181	1,595	4,799	6,394	1,131	2005	
West Sacramento, CA	39,715	(O)	1,222	3,590	114	1,222	3,704	4,926	863	2005	
Westminster, CA	68,148	(G)	1,740	5,142	229	1,743	5,368	7,111	1,354	2005	
Yucaipa, CA	77,560	(F)	198	2,221	1,588	525	3,482	4,007	1,099	1997	
Aurora, CO	75,627	(C)	1,343	2,986	239	1,343	3,224	4,567	833	2005	
Colorado Springs I, CO	47,975	(T)	771	1,717	272	771	1,989	2,760	485	2005	
Colorado Springs II, CO	62,400	1,920	657	2,674	185	656	2,860	3,516	587	2005	
Denver II, CO	59,200	(T)	673	2,741	164	674	2,904	3,578	673	2005	
Federal Heights, CO	54,770	(C)	878	1,953	172	879	2,125	3,003	545	2005	
Golden, CO	85,830	(C)	1,683	3,744	239	1,684	3,983	5,666	1,025	2005	
Littleton I, CO	53,490	(C)	1,268	2,820	151	1,268	2,970	4,239	761	2005	
Northglenn, CO	52,102	(C)	862	1,917	137	862	2,053	2,916	538	2005	
Bloomfield, CT	48,700	(T)	78	880	2,176	360	2,774	3,134	822	1997	
Branford, CT	50,679		217	2,433	1,198	504	3,343	3,848	1,225	1995	
Bristol, CT	48,050	(E)	1,819	3,161	87	1,819	3,248	5,067	915	2005	
East Windsor, CT	45,900	(A)	744	1,294	327	744	1,621	2,366	437	2005	
Enfield, CT	52,875	(D)	424	2,424	-150	473	2,225	2,698	551	2001	
Gales Ferry, CT	54,130	(T)	240	2,697	1,028	489	3,477	3,965	1,101	1995	
Manchester I, CT (6)	47,125	(D)	540	3,096	-317	563	2,756	3,319	640	2002	
Manchester II, CT	52,725	(E)	996	1,730	137	996	1,867	2,863	515	2005	
Milford, CT	44,885	(T)	87	1,050	1,059	274	1,922	2,196	664	1994	
Monroe, CT	58,500	(E)	2,004	3,483	548	2,004	4,031	6,035	1,113	2005	
Mystic, CT	50,725	(T)	136	1,645	1,733	410	3,104	3,514	1,074	1994	
Newington I, CT	42,520	(E)	1,059	1,840	80	1,059	1,920	2,978	541	2005	
Newington II, CT	36,140	(E)	911	1,584	155	911	1,739	2,650	479	2005	
Old Saybrook I, CT	87,625	(E)	3,092	5,374	304	3,092	5,678	8,770	1,588	2005	
Old Saybrook II, CT	26,425	(E)	1,135	1,973	205	1,135	2,178	3,313	597	2005	
South Windsor, CT	72,125		90	1,127	1,121	272	2,065	2,338	678	1994	
Stamford, CT	28,957	(E)	1,941	3,374	76	1,941	3,450	5,391	980	2005	
Washington, DC	62,695	(O)	871	12,759	102	894	11,987	12,881	1,247	2008	

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Boca Raton, FL	37,958	(F)	529	3,054	895	813	3,665	4,478	838	2001
Boynton Beach I, FL	61,977	(E)	667	3,796	906	958	4,411	5,369	1,029	2001
Boynton Beach II, FL	61,777	(A)	1,030	2,968	218	1,030	3,186	4,217	785	2005
Bradenton I, FL	68,391	(T)	1,180	3,324	146	1,180	3,470	4,650	914	2005
Bradenton II, FL	87,810	(T)	1,931	5,561	322	1,931	5,883	7,814	1,539	2005
Cape Coral, FL	76,592	(F)	472	2,769	1,992	830	4,403	5,233	1,239	2005
Dania Beach, FL (6)	181,513	(T)	3,584	10,324	838	3,584	11,163	14,746	2,870	2005
Dania, FL	58,270	(T)	205	2,068	1,373	481	3,165	3,646	1,091	1994
Davie, FL	81,135	(D)	1,268	7,183	-1,089	1,373	5,989	7,362	1,124	2005
Deerfield Beach, FL	57,280	(A)	946	2,999	1,875	1,311	4,509	5,820	1,120	1998
Delray Beach, FL	67,821	(A)	798	4,539	-232	883	4,222	5,105	1,044	2001
Fernandina Beach, FL	110,785	(T)	189	2,111	4,875	523	6,652	7,175	1,729	1996
Ft. Lauderdale, FL	70,093	(D)	937	3,646	2,177	1,384	5,376	6,760	1,340	1999
Ft. Myers, FL	67,642	(A)	303	3,329	156	328	3,459	3,788	1,017	1998
Jacksonville I, FL	80,586	(T)	1,862	5,362	40	1,862	5,401	7,263	1,163	2005
Jacksonville II, FL	65,070		950	7,004	-631	950	6,373	7,323	807	2007
Jacksonville III, FL	65,595	(T)	860	7,409	258	1,670	6,857	8,527	867	2007
Jacksonville IV, FL	78,370	(T)	870	8,049	0	870	8,049	8,919	1,020	2007
Jacksonville V, FL	82,160	(T)	1,220	8,210	-463	1,220	7,747	8,967	977	2007
Lake Worth, FL	161,808	(F)	183	6,597	3,802	183	10,399	10,582	3,403	2005
Lakeland I, FL	49,095	(A)	81	896	799	256	1,520	1,776	556	1994
Kendall, FL	75,395	(O)	2,350	8,106	-711	2,350	7,395	9,745	934	2007
Lutz I, FL	66,595	(T)	901	2,478	104	901	2,582	3,483	688	2005
Lutz II, FL	69,232		992	2,868	227	992	3,095	4,087	815	2005
Margate I, FL	54,505	(A)	161	1,763	1,769	399	3,294	3,693	1,083	1994
Margate II, FL	65,186	(T)	132	1,473	1,701	383	2,924	3,306	918	1996
Merrit Island, FL	50,417	(A)	716	2,983	-113	796	2,790	3,586	577	2005
Miami I, FL	46,825	(D)	179	1,999	1,459	484	3,153	3,637	953	2005
Miami II, FL	67,060	(E)	253	2,544	1,398	561	3,634	4,195	1,297	1994
Miami IV, FL	150,510	(T)	4,577	13,185	459	4,577	13,643	18,220	2,962	2005
Naples I, FL	48,150	1,121	90	1,010	2,205	270	3,035	3,305	901	1996
Naples II, FL	65,850	(E)	148	1,652	4,200	558	5,443	6,000	1,599	1997
Naples III, FL	80,675	(A)	139	1,561	3,375	598	4,477	5,075	1,440	1997

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Description	Gross Carrying Amount									
	Initial Cost				at December 31, 2009					
	Square Footage	Encumbrances	Land	Building and Improvements	Costs Subsequent to Acquisition		Land	Building and Improvements	Total	Accumulated Depreciation (L)
Naples IV, FL	40,700	(T)	262	2,980	461	407	3,296	3,703	1,106	1998
Ocoee, FL	76,130	3,263	1,286	3,705	95	1,286	3,800	5,087	926	2005
Orange City, FL	59,586	(T)	1,191	3,209	85	1,191	3,294	4,485	862	2005
Orlando I, FL (6)	52,170	(T)	187	2,088	520	240	2,555	2,795	1,064	1997
Orlando II, FL	63,084	(E)	1,589	4,576	75	1,589	4,651	6,239	1,137	2005
Orlando III, FL	104,140	(T)	1,209	7,768	220	1,209	7,988	9,197	1,584	2005
Oviedo, FL	49,251	(T)	440	2,824	292	440	3,116	3,556	636	2005
Pembroke Pines, FL	67,321	(D)	337	3,772	2,621	953	5,777	6,730	1,758	1997
Royal Palm Beach I, FL	98,961	(F)	205	2,148	2,630	741	4,242	4,983	1,557	1994
Royal Palm Beach II, FL	81,415	(T)	1,640	8,607	(462)	1,640	8,146	9,786	1,028	2007
Sanford, FL	61,810	(T)	453	2,911	121	453	3,032	3,486	613	2005
Sarasota, FL	71,102	(A)	333	3,656	661	529	4,120	4,650	1,186	1998
St. Augustine, FL	59,725	(T)	135	1,515	3,133	383	4,400	4,783	1,377	1996
Stuart, FL	86,883	(E)	324	3,625	2,673	685	5,937	6,622	1,803	1997
SW Ranches, FL	64,955	3,991	1,390	7,598	(859)	1,390	6,738	8,128	853	2007
Tampa II, FL	83,763		2,670	6,249	(423)	2,670	5,826	8,496	742	2007
West Palm Beach I, FL	68,063	(T)	719	3,420	712	835	4,016	4,851	978	2001
West Palm Beach II, FL	94,503		2,129	8,671	261	2,129	8,932	11,061	2,695	2005
Alpharetta, GA	90,485	(F)	806	4,720	(409)	967	4,150	5,117	900	2001
Austell, GA	83,525	2,244	1,635	4,711	153	1,643	4,856	6,499	867	2005
Decatur, GA	148,320	(T)	616	6,776	33	616	6,809	7,425	2,313	1998
Norcross, GA	85,140	(D)	514	2,930	158	632	2,969	3,602	660	2001
Peachtree City, GA	49,845	(T)	435	2,532	59	529	2,497	3,026	565	2001
Smyrna, GA	56,970	(F)	750	4,271	(799)	750	3,472	4,222	769	2001
Snellville, GA	80,100	(T)	1,660	4,781	131	1,660	4,912	6,571	770	2007
Suwanee I, GA	85,190	(T)	1,737	5,010	139	1,737	5,149	6,885	804	2007
Suwanee II, GA	79,640	(T)	800	6,942	(322)	800	6,620	7,420	838	2007
Addison, IL	31,325	(T)	428	3,531	206	428	3,737	4,165	967	2005
Aurora, IL	74,060	(T)	644	3,652	46	644	3,698	4,342	983	2005
Bartlett, IL	51,425	(T)	931	2,493	133	931	2,626	3,557	683	2005
Hanover, IL	41,178	(E)	1,126	2,197	145	1,126	2,342	3,468	609	2005
Bellwood, IL	86,525	(E)	1,012	5,768	(611)	1,012	5,157	6,169	1,199	2001
Des Plaines, IL (6)	74,400	3,486	1,564	4,327	252	1,564	4,579	6,143	1,191	2005
Elk Grove Village, IL	64,179	(T)	1,446	3,535	224	1,446	3,759	5,205	1,005	2005
Glenview, IL	100,115	(T)	3,740	10,367	129	3,740	10,496	14,236	2,764	2005
Gurnee, IL	80,275	(T)	1,521	5,440	233	1,521	5,673	7,194	1,491	2005
Harvey, IL	60,090	(T)	869	3,635	119	869	3,754	4,623	981	2005
Joliet, IL	74,350	(T)	547	4,704	124	547	4,828	5,375	1,268	2005
Kildeer, IL	46,475		2,102	2,187	108	2,102	2,295	4,397	599	2005
Lombard, IL	57,938	(T)	1,305	3,938	597	1,305	4,535	5,840	1,154	2005
Mount Prospect, IL	65,000		1,701	3,114	187	1,701	3,301	5,002	850	2005
Mundelein, IL	44,700	(T)	1,498	2,782	136	1,498	2,918	4,416	768	2005
North Chicago, IL	53,300	(T)	1,073	3,006	217	1,073	3,223	4,296	844	2005
Plainfield I, IL	53,800	(T)	1,770	1,715	183	1,770	1,898	3,668	506	2005
Plainfield II, IL	52,100	(T)	694	2,000	116	694	2,116	2,811	532	2005

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Schaumburg, IL	31,160	(T)	538	645	124	538	769	1,307	214	2005
Streamwood, IL	64,305	(A)	1,447	1,662	235	1,447	1,897	3,344	506	2005
Warrensville, IL	48,796	(A)	1,066	3,072	148	1,066	3,220	4,286	772	2005
Waukegan, IL	79,750	(T)	1,198	4,363	229	1,198	4,592	5,790	1,198	2005
West Chicago, IL	48,175	(E)	1,071	2,249	140	1,071	2,389	3,460	630	2005
Westmont, IL	53,700	(T)	1,155	3,873	78	1,155	3,951	5,106	1,042	2005
Wheeling I, IL	54,210	(A)	857	3,213	191	857	3,404	4,261	898	2005
Wheeling II, IL	67,825		793	3,816	202	793	4,018	4,811	1,055	2005
Woodridge, IL	50,667	2,380	943	3,397	177	943	3,574	4,517	925	2005
Indianapolis I, IN	43,600	(T)	1,871	1,230	143	1,871	1,373	3,244	368	2005
Indianapolis II, IN	44,900	(T)	669	2,434	134	669	2,568	3,237	692	2005
Indianapolis III, IN	60,850	(T)	1,229	2,834	105	1,229	2,939	4,168	771	2005
Indianapolis IV, IN	62,105	(T)	641	3,154	11	552	3,253	3,806	865	2005
Indianapolis V, IN	74,825	(T)	2,138	3,633	152	2,138	3,785	5,923	996	2005
Indianapolis VI, IN	73,003	(A)	406	3,496	186	406	3,682	4,088	963	2005
Indianapolis VII, IN	91,727	(T)	908	4,755	450	908	5,205	6,113	1,345	2005
Indianapolis VIII, IN	80,000	(T)	887	3,548	184	887	3,732	4,619	977	2005
Indianapolis IX, IN	61,732	(T)	1,133	4,103	163	1,133	4,266	5,399	1,120	2005
Baton Rouge I, LA	35,450	(T)	112	1,248	66	139	1,287	1,426	421	1997
Baton Rouge II, LA	80,277	(A)	118	1,181	1,626	331	2,594	2,925	679	1997
Slidell, LA	79,540	(D)	188	3,175	1,017	802	3,578	4,380	766	2001
Boston, MA	60,695	(F)	1,516	8,628	(1,501)	1,516	7,127	8,643	1,553	2002
Leominster, MA	53,823	(D)	90	1,519	2,253	338	3,524	3,862	983	1998
Medford, MA	58,895	3,250	1,330	7,165	(510)	1,330	6,655	7,985	841	2007
Baltimore, MD	93,625	(E)	1,050	5,997	(229)	1,173	5,645	6,818	1,384	2001
California, MD	77,840	(T)	1,486	4,280	103	1,486	4,383	5,869	1,149	2005
Gaithersburg, MD	86,970	6,065	3,124	9,000	162	3,124	9,162	12,286	2,357	2005
Laurel, MD	162,097	(F)	1,409	8,035	2,013	1,928	9,529	11,457	2,114	2001
Temple Hills, MD	97,250	(D)	1,541	8,788	723	1,800	9,252	11,052	2,074	2001
Grand Rapids, MI	87,381	(A)	185	1,821	1,145	325	2,827	3,151	960	1996

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Description	Square Footage	Encumbrances	Initial Cost		Costs	Gross Carrying Amount		Gross Carrying Amount		Year
			Land	Building and Improvements	Subsequent	at December 31, 2009	Total	Accumulated Depreciation (L)	Acquired / Developed	
Portage, MI (6)	50,280	(T)	104	1,160	637	237	1,664	1,901	550	1996
Romulus, MI	42,050	(A)	308	1,743	283	418	1,916	2,334	388	2005
Wyoming, MI	91,158	(A)	191	2,135	806	354	2,778	3,132	948	1996
Gulfport, MS	61,251	(E)	172	1,928	905	338	2,667	3,005	896	1997
Belmont, NC	81,048	(T)	385	2,196	187	451	2,317	2,768	587	2001
Burlington I, NC	109,396	(A)	498	2,837	(130)	498	2,707	3,205	654	2001
Burlington II, NC	42,205	(T)	320	1,829	(66)	340	1,742	2,083	418	2001
Cary, NC	111,772	(A)	543	3,097	183	543	3,281	3,823	875	2001
Charlotte, NC	69,000	(F)	782	4,429	555	1,068	4,699	5,766	937	2005
Fayetteville I, NC	41,400	(T)	156	1,747	757	301	2,359	2,660	887	1997
Fayetteville II, NC	54,225	(F)	213	2,301	698	399	2,813	3,212	941	1997
Raleigh, NC	48,675	(T)	209	2,398	205	296	2,516	2,812	837	1998
Brick, NJ	51,740	(T)	234	2,762	1,274	485	3,785	4,270	1,390	1994
Clifton, NJ	105,550	(A)	4,346	12,520	140	4,346	12,660	17,007	2,934	2005
Cranford, NJ	91,250	(M)	290	3,493	2,114	779	5,117	5,897	1,764	1994
East Hanover, NJ	107,579		504	5,763	3,887	1,315	8,839	10,154	3,005	1994
Elizabeth, NJ	38,910		751	2,164	279	751	2,443	3,194	561	2005
Fairview, NJ	27,925	(M)	246	2,759	255	246	3,013	3,260	1,125	1997
Hamilton, NJ	70,550	(T)	1,885	5,430	231	1,893	5,653	7,546	1,001	2005
Hoboken, NJ	34,180	(M)	1,370	3,947	512	1,370	4,459	5,829	1,026	2005
Linden, NJ	100,325	(T)	517	6,008	1,943	1,077	7,391	8,468	2,481	1994
Morris Township, NJ (5)	71,776	(D)	500	5,602	2,491	1,072	7,520	8,593	2,533	1997
Parsippany, NJ	66,325	(M)	475	5,322	1,890	844	6,843	7,687	2,303	1997
Randolph, NJ	52,565	(D)	855	4,872	244	1,108	4,863	5,971	1,077	2002
Sewell, NJ	57,830	(F)	484	2,766	585	706	3,128	3,835	732	2001
Albuquerque I, NM	65,852	(C)	1,039	3,395	199	1,039	3,594	4,633	954	2005
Albuquerque II, NM	58,798	(C)	1,163	3,801	184	1,163	3,985	5,148	1,043	2005
Albuquerque IV, NM	57,536	(C)	664	2,171	205	664	2,376	3,040	625	2005
Carlsbad, NM	39,999	(B)	490	1,613	97	491	1,709	2,200	457	2005
Deming, NM	33,005	(B)	338	1,114	153	339	1,267	1,606	332	2005
Las Cruces, NM	21,890	(T)	354	1,256	2	357	1,267	1,624	131	2005
Las Cruces, NM	43,850	(B)	611	2,012	194	612	2,205	2,816	584	2005
Lovington, NM	15,750	(B)	222	740	(139)	169	653	822	170	2005
Silver City, NM	26,975	(B)	153	504	122	153	625	779	167	2005
Truth or Consequences, NM	24,010	(B)	10	34	79	11	113	124	43	2005
Las Vegas I, NV	48,218	(T)	1,851	2,986	220	1,851	3,206	5,057	664	2005
Las Vegas II, NV	48,850	(T)	3,354	5,411	154	3,355	5,564	8,919	1,143	2005
Jamaica, NY	88,415	(D)	2,043	11,658	(1,698)	2,043	9,960	12,003	2,027	2001
New Rochelle, NY	48,431	(A)	1,673	4,827	118	1,673	4,945	6,618	1,202	2005
North Babylon, NY	78,188	(F)	225	2,514	3,692	568	5,863	6,431	1,741	1998
Riverhead, NY	38,240	(N)	1,068	1,149	120	1,068	1,269	2,338	367	2005
Southold, NY	58,609	(N)	2,079	2,238	196	2,079	2,434	4,513	692	2005
Boardman, OH	65,495	(F)	64	745	1,679	287	2,201	2,488	988	2005
Canton I, OH	39,750	(T)	138	679	254	137	934	1,071	229	2005

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Canton II, OH	26,200	(T)	122	595	115	120	712	832	189	2005
Centerville I, OH	86,390	(T)	471	3,705	123	471	3,828	4,299	1,009	2005
Centerville II, OH	43,350	(E)	332	1,757	168	332	1,925	2,257	500	2005
Cleveland I, OH	45,950		525	2,592	129	524	2,722	3,246	704	2005
Cleveland II, OH	58,425	(T)	290	1,427	170	289	1,599	1,887	429	2005
Columbus, OH	72,155	(T)	1,234	3,151	62	1,239	3,207	4,446	615	2005
Dayton I, OH	43,100	(E)	323	2,070	118	323	2,188	2,511	575	2005
Dayton II, OH	48,149	(T)	441	2,176	170	440	2,347	2,787	595	2005
Euclid I, OH	46,910	(T)	200	1,053	1,970	317	2,906	3,223	1,614	1988
Euclid II, OH	47,275	(T)	359		1,638	461	1,535	1,997	451	1988
Grove City, OH	89,290	(T)	1,756	4,485	103	1,761	4,584	6,345	872	2005
Hilliard, OH	89,715	(T)	1,361	3,476	110	1,366	3,581	4,947	679	2005
Lakewood, OH	39,337		405	854	401	405	1,255	1,660	717	2005
Louisville, OH	53,960	(T)	257	1,260	131	255	1,393	1,648	365	2005
Marblehead, OH	52,300	(T)	374	1,843	165	373	2,009	2,382	518	2005
Mason, OH	33,900	(T)	127	1,419	85	149	1,482	1,631	538	1998
Mentor, OH	51,225		206	1,011	1,431	204	2,444	2,648	438	2005
Miamisburg, OH	59,930	(T)	375	2,410	220	375	2,630	3,005	679	2005
Middleburg Heights, OH	93,025	(T)	63	704	1,955	332	2,390	2,722	768	2005
North Canton I, OH	45,400	(T)	209	846	504	299	1,260	1,559	940	1979
North Canton II, OH	44,140	(T)	70	1,226	0	239	1,057	1,296	275	1983
North Olmsted I, OH	48,665	(T)	63	704	1,185	214	1,738	1,952	639	2005
North Olmsted II, OH	47,850	(F)	290	1,129	1,043	469	1,993	2,462	1,041	2005
North Randall, OH	80,099	(F)	515	2,323	2,440	898	4,380	5,278	1,129	1998
Perry, OH	63,700	(T)	290	1,427	115	288	1,544	1,832	410	2005
Reynoldsburg, OH	66,895	(T)	1,290	3,295	191	1,295	3,481	4,776	652	2005
Strongsville, OH	43,727	(T)	570	3,486	(287)	570	3,199	3,769	403	2007
Warrensville Heights, OH	90,281		525	766	2,861	935	3,217	4,152	917	2005
Westlake, OH	62,750	(T)	509	2,508	128	508	2,638	3,145	692	2005

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Description	Initial Cost					Gross Carrying Amount at December 31, 2009					Year Acquired / Developed
	Square Footage	Encumbrances	Land	Building and Improvements	Costs to Acquisition	Land	Building and Improvements	Total	Accumulated Depreciation (L)		
Willoughby, OH	34,064	(T)	239	1,178	173	238	1,352	1,590	350	2005	
Youngstown, OH	65,950	(A)	67		1,306	204	1,169	1,373	491	2005	
Levittown, PA	76,180	(F)	926	5,296	(107)	926	5,189	6,115	1,294	2001	
Philadelphia, PA	97,689	(D)	1,461	8,334	(1,487)	1,461	6,847	8,308	1,455	2001	
Alcoa, TN	42,325	(J)	254	2,113	117	254	2,229	2,484	546	2005	
Antioch, TN	76,160	(T)	588	4,906	239	588	5,145	5,733	1,143	2005	
Cordova I, TN	54,225	(G)	296	2,482	159	297	2,641	2,937	664	2005	
Cordova II, TN	67,750	2,581	429	3,580	250	429	3,830	4,259	774	2005	
Knoxville I, TN	29,337	(R)	99	1,113	199	102	1,309	1,411	467	1997	
Knoxville II, TN	38,000	(R)	117	1,308	273	129	1,570	1,698	533	1997	
Knoxville III, TN	45,736	(T)	182	2,053	672	331	2,576	2,907	805	1998	
Knoxville IV, TN	58,752	(T)	158	1,771	701	310	2,320	2,630	691	1998	
Knoxville V, TN	42,790	(R)	134	1,493	399	235	1,791	2,026	666	1998	
Knoxville VI, TN	63,440	(J)	439	3,653	154	440	3,806	4,246	929	2005	
Knoxville VII, TN	55,094	(J)	312	2,594	199	312	2,792	3,105	681	2005	
Knoxville VIII, TN	95,868	(J)	585	4,869	200	586	5,067	5,654	1,234	2005	
Memphis I, TN	90,700	(E)	677	3,880	449	677	4,329	5,006	964	2001	
Memphis II, TN	71,885	(Q)	395	2,276	(179)	395	2,097	2,492	483	2001	
Memphis III, TN	40,807	(G)	212	1,779	198	213	1,976	2,189	499	2005	
Memphis IV, TN	38,750	(G)	160	1,342	195	160	1,537	1,697	389	2005	
Memphis V, TN	60,120	(G)	209	1,753	468	210	2,220	2,430	521	2005	
Memphis VI, TN	108,771	(Q)	462	3,851	281	462	4,133	4,594	842	2006	
Memphis VII, TN	115,303	(T)	215	1,792	460	215	2,252	2,467	453	2006	
Memphis VIII, TN	96,060	(T)	355	2,959	321	355	3,280	3,635	673	2006	
Nashville I, TN	103,430		405	3,379	432	405	3,811	4,216	836	2005	
Nashville II, TN	83,484		593	4,950	215	593	5,165	5,758	1,146	2005	
Nashville III, TN	101,475		416	3,469	287	416	3,756	4,172	816	2006	
Nashville IV, TN	102,425	5,527	992	8,274	228	992	8,502	9,494	1,855	2006	
Austin I, TX	59,595		2,239	2,038	186	2,410	2,052	4,462	521	2005	
Austin II, TX	65,401	(O)	734	3,894	157	738	4,046	4,784	786	2006	
Austin III, TX	70,610		1,030	5,468	164	1,035	5,626	6,661	990	2006	
Baytown, TX	38,950	(T)	946	863	74	948	936	1,884	236	2005	
Bryan, TX	60,450	(T)	1,394	1,268	112	1,396	1,378	2,774	343	2005	
College Station, TX	26,550	(H)	812	740	84	813	823	1,636	206	2005	
Dallas, TX	58,582	(K)	2,475	2,253	224	2,475	2,476	4,951	584	2005	
Denton, TX	60,836	1,988	553	2,936	131	569	3,051	3,620	537	2006	
El Paso I, TX	59,652	(C)	1,983	1,805	180	1,984	1,984	3,968	488	2005	
El Paso II, TX	48,704	(C)	1,319	1,201	148	1,320	1,349	2,669	322	2005	
El Paso III, TX	71,276	(C)	2,408	2,192	149	2,409	2,340	4,749	565	2005	
El Paso IV, TX	67,058	(C)	2,073	1,888	(37)	2,074	1,850	3,925	464	2005	
El Paso V, TX	62,300	(B)	1,758	1,617	114	1,761	1,728	3,489	417	2005	
El Paso VI, TX	36,620	(B)	660	607	94	662	700	1,361	175	2005	
El Paso VII, TX	34,545	(B)	563	517	71	565	587	1,152	146	2005	
Fort Worth I, TX	49,778	(K)	1,253	1,141	124	1,253	1,265	2,518	298	2005	
Fort Worth II, TX	72,925	(K)	868	4,607	161	874	4,761	5,636	919	2006	
Frisco I, TX	50,854	(A)	1,093	3,148	75	1,093	3,223	4,315	780	2005	
Frisco II, TX	71,239	3,281	1,564	4,507	111	1,564	4,618	6,183	1,118	2005	
Frisco III, TX	75,215	(K)	1,147	6,088	139	1,154	6,221	7,374	1,202	2006	
Garland I, TX	70,100	3,163	751	3,984	350	767	4,318	5,085	742	2006	
Garland II, TX	68,425	(K)	862	4,578	117	862	4,695	5,557	767	2006	
Greenville I, TX	59,385	(T)	1,848	1,682	66	1,848	1,748	3,596	416	2005	

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Greenville II, TX	44,900	(T)	1,337	1,217	69	1,337	1,286	2,622	304	2005		
Houston I, TX	100,820	(T)	1,420	1,296	139	1,422	1,433	2,855	353	2005		
Houston II, TX	71,300	(T)	1,510	1,377	(11)	1,512	1,364	2,876	365	2005		
Houston III, TX	61,145		516	575		524	189	576	712	1,288	171	2005
Houston IV, TX	43,775	(H)	960			875	96	961	970	1,931	235	2005
Houston V, TX	126,080		4,246	1,153		6,122	315	1,156	6,434	7,590	1,094	2006
Keller, TX	61,885		2,486	890		4,727	98	890	4,825	5,715	946	2006
La Porte, TX	45,050	(T)	842			761	295	843	1,055	1,898	248	2005
Lewisville, TX	58,140		1,808	476		2,525	270	492	2,779	3,271	488	2006
Mansfield, TX	63,075	(K)	837			4,443	87	843	4,523	5,367	878	2006
McKinney I, TX	46,940		1,307	1,632		1,486	79	1,634	1,563	3,197	363	2005
McKinney II, TX	70,050		4,166	855		5,076	88	857	5,162	6,019	1,015	2006
North Richland Hills, TX	57,175	(K)	2,252			2,049	143	2,252	2,192	4,444	518	2005
Roanoke, TX	59,300	(K)	1,337			1,217	99	1,337	1,316	2,653	315	2005
San Antonio I, TX	73,530	(T)	2,895			2,635	147	2,895	2,782	5,677	630	2005
San Antonio II, TX	73,280	(T)	1,047			5,558	83	1,052	5,636	6,688	924	2006
San Antonio III, TX	71,775	(T)	996			5,286	41	996	5,327	6,322	800	2007
Sherman I, TX	54,975		1,520	1,904		1,733	75	1,906	1,806	3,712	421	2005
Sherman II, TX	48,425		1,812	1,337		1,217	110	1,337	1,327	2,664	310	2005
Spring, TX	72,751	(T)	580			3,081	87	580	3,168	3,749	621	2006

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Description	Square Footage	Encumbrances	Initial Cost			Gross Carrying Amount at December 31, 2009			Accumulated Depreciation (L)	Year Acquired / Developed
			Land	Building and Improvements	Costs Subsequent to Acquisition	Land	Building and Improvements	Total		
Murray I, UT	60,180	(C)	3,847	1,017	222	3,848	1,237	5,085	301	2005
Murray II, UT	71,222	(C)	2,147	567	300	2,148	866	3,014	113	2005
Salt Lake City I, UT	56,446	(C)	2,695	712	194	2,696	905	3,601	230	2005
Salt Lake City II, UT	53,676	(C)	2,074	548	174	2,075	721	2,796	183	2005
Fredericksburg I, VA	69,475	(P)	1,680	4,840	252	1,680	5,092	6,772	1,044	2005
Fredericksburg II, VA	61,207	(P)	1,757	5,062	313	1,758	5,374	7,132	1,092	2005
Milwaukee, WI USIFB	58,515	(T)	375	4,333	131	375	4,464	4,839	1,188	2004
Corporate Office				7,057	791		7,848	7,848	307	
Other							11,015	11,015	3,748	
	23,748,702		343,312	1,251,704	169,351	369,842	1,404,700	1,774,542	344,009	

(A) This facility is part of Yasky Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$80,000 as of December 31, 2009.

(B) This facility is part of the YSI 25 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$7,975 as of December 31, 2009.

(C) This facility is part of the YSI 20 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$64,258 as of December 31, 2009.

(D) This facility is part of the YSI 2 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$83,480 as of December 31, 2009.

(E) This facility is part of the YSI 6 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$77,370 as of December 31, 2009.

(F) This facility is part of the YSI 1 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$83,342 as of December 31, 2009. This property became unencumbered on February 11, 2010 when the YSI 1 loan was repaid.

(G) This facility is part of the YSI 26 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$9,475 as of December 31, 2009.

(H) This facility is part of the YSI 28 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$1,598 as of December 31, 2009.

(J) This facility is part of the YSI 30 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$7,567 as of December 31, 2009.

(K) This facility is part of the YSI 34 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$14,955 as of December 31, 2009

(L) Depreciation on the buildings and improvements is recorded on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, which range from five to 39 years.

(M) This facility is part of the YSI 31 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$13,891 as of December 31, 2009.

(N) This facility is part of the YSI 32 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$6,160 as of December 31, 2009.

(O) This facility is part of the YSI 33 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$11,570 as of December 31, 2009.

(P) This facility is part of the YSI 35 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$4,499 as of December 31, 2009.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-K

- (Q) This facility is part of the YSI 41 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$3,976 as of December 31, 2009.
- (R) This facility is part of the YSI 38 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$4,078 as of December 31, 2009.
- (S) This facility is part of the YSI 48 Loan portfolio, with a balance of \$25,652 as of December 31, 2009.
- (T) This facility is part of the USILP secured credit facility portfolio, with a balance of \$200,000 as of December 31, 2009.

Activity in real estate facilities during 2009, 2008, and 2007 was as follows (in thousands):

	2009		2008		2007
Storage facilities					
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 1,888,123	\$	1,916,396	\$	1,771,864
Acquisitions & improvements	13,345		30,295		160,256
Fully depreciated assets	(40,859)				
Dispositions and other	(89,668)		(59,168)		(21,206)
Construction in progress	3,601		600		5,482
Balance at end of year	\$ 1,774,542	\$	1,888,123	\$	1,916,396
Accumulated depreciation					
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 328,165	\$	269,278	\$	205,049
Depreciation expense	73,569		77,580		68,355
Fully depreciated assets	(40,859)				
Dispositions and other	(16,866)		(18,693)		(4,126)
Balance at end of year	\$ 344,009	\$	328,165	\$	269,278
Net Storage facility assets	\$ 1,430,533	\$	1,559,958	\$	1,647,118

The unaudited aggregate costs of storage facility assets for U.S. federal income tax purposes as of December 31, 2009 was approximately \$1,346 million.