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## Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements
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## PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(UNAUDITED)


See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME

(UNAUDITED)

| (In Thousands, Except Per Share Data) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Three Month } \\ & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | 2006 | Six Months E <br> June 30, <br> 2007 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Loans including fees | \$ 6,516 | \$ 6,086 | \$ 12,939 | \$ 11,895 |
| Investment Securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable | 924 | 896 | 1,747 | 1,819 |
| Tax-exempt | 1,052 | 1,000 | 2,163 | 1,989 |
| Dividend and other interest income | 301 | 365 | 623 | 666 |
| TOTAL INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME | 8,793 | 8,347 | 17,472 | 16,369 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 2,868 | 1,968 | 5,380 | 3,805 |
| Short-term borrowings | 227 | 509 | 732 | 915 |
| Long-term borrowings, FHLB | 904 | 944 | 1,826 | 1,890 |
| TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE | 3,999 | 3,421 | 7,938 | 6,610 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME | 4,794 | 4,926 | 9,534 | 9,759 |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES | 10 | 198 | 50 | 396 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN |  |  |  |  |
| LOSSES | 4,784 | 4,728 | 9,484 | 9,363 |
| NON-INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Deposit service charges | 567 | 587 | 1,108 | 1,177 |
| Securities gains, net | 293 | 265 | 619 | 824 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 86 | 90 | 201 | 178 |
| Gain on sale of loans | 234 | 210 | 372 | 360 |
| Insurance commissions | 550 | 670 | 988 | 1,230 |
| Other | 456 | 394 | 872 | 784 |
| TOTAL NON-INTEREST INCOME | 2,186 | 2,216 | 4,160 | 4,553 |
| NON-INTEREST EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 2,301 | 2,214 | 4,582 | 4,446 |
| Occupancy, net | 337 | 275 | 668 | 518 |
| Furniture and equipment | 297 | 288 | 583 | 585 |
| Pennsylvania shares tax | 161 | 151 | 322 | 296 |
| Other | 1,244 | 1,150 | 2,313 | 2,184 |
| TOTAL NON-INTEREST EXPENSE | 4,340 | 4,078 | 8,468 | 8,029 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX PROVISION | 2,630 | 2,866 | 5,176 | 5,887 |
| INCOME TAX PROVISION | 295 | 432 | 560 | 998 |
| NET INCOME | \$ 2,335 | \$ 2,434 | \$ 4,616 | \$ 4,889 |
| EARNINGS PER SHARE - BASIC | \$ 0.60 | \$ 0.62 | \$ 1.19 | \$ 1.24 |
| EARNINGS PER SHARE - DILUTED | \$ 0.60 | \$ 0.62 | \$ 1.19 | \$ 1.24 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING - BASIC | 3,889,139 | 3,939,424 | 3,893,286 | 3,950,295 |
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WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING DILUTED

| DIVIDENDS PER SHARE | $\$$ | 0.44 | $\$$ | 0.43 | $\$$ | 0.88 | $\$$ | 0.85 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY




See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(UNAUDITED)
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| Income tax benefit related to other |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { comprehensive loss } & (2,546 & ) & (1,308 \\ ) & (2,538 & ) & (2,882 \\ \text { Other comprehensive loss, net of tax benefit } & (4,941) & (5,594 & (1,094 \\ \text { Comprehensive (loss) income } & \$(2,606) & \$(104 & )\end{array}\right)$ |  |  |  |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

## (UNAUDITED)



See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO <br> CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## (Unaudited)

## Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc. (the Company ) and its wholly-owned subsidiaries: Woods Investment Company, Inc., Woods Real Estate Development Company, Inc., and Jersey Shore State Bank (the Bank ) and its wholly-owned subsidiary The M Group, Inc. D/B/A The Comprehensive Financial Group ( The M Group ). All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation.

The interim financial statements are unaudited but, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments necessary for the fair presentation of results for such periods. All of those adjustments are of a normal, recurring nature. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The accounting policies followed in the presentation of interim financial results are the same as those followed on an annual basis. These policies are presented on pages 40 thru 46 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

In reference to the attached financial statements, all adjustments are of a normal recurring nature pursuant to Rule 10-01 (b) (8) of Regulation S-X.

## Note 2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( FAS ) No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Instruments, as an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140. FAS No. 155 allows financial instruments that have embedded derivatives to be accounted for as a whole (eliminating the need to bifurcate the derivative from its host) if the holder elects to account for the whole instrument on a fair value basis. This statement is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity s first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on the Company s results of operations or financial position.

In March 2006, the FASB issued FAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets. This Statement, which is an amendment to FAS No. 140, will simplify the accounting for servicing assets and liabilities, such as those common with mortgage securitization activities. Specifically, FAS No. 156 addresses the recognition and measurement of separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities and provides an approach to simplify efforts to obtain hedge-like
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(offset) accounting. FAS No. 156 also clarifies when an obligation to service financial assets should be separately recognized as a servicing asset or a servicing liability, requires that a separately recognized servicing asset or servicing liability be initially measured at fair value, if practicable, and permits an entity with a separately recognized servicing asset or servicing liability to choose either of the amortization or fair value methods for subsequent measurement. The provisions of FAS No. 156 are effective as of the beginning of the first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on the Company s results of operations or financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued FAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, which provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. The standard applies whenever other standards require or permit assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. The Standard does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. FAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on the Company s results of operations or financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued FAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Post Retirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and $132(R)$. FAS No. 158 requires that a company recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of its defined benefit post retirement plans (other than multiemployer plans) as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and that it recognize changes in the funded status in the year in which the changes occur through other comprehensive income. FAS No. 158 also requires the measurement of defined benefit plan assets and obligations as of the fiscal year end, in addition to footnote disclosures. On December 31, 2006, the Company adopted FAS No. 158, except for the measurement provisions, which are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on the Company s results of operations or financial position.

In February 2007, the FASB issued FAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115, which provides all entities with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The objective of the FAS No. 159 is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply the complex provisions of hedge accounting. FAS No. 159 is effective as of the beginning of an entity s first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2007. Early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of a fiscal year that begins on or before November 15, 2007 provided the entity also elects to apply the provisions of FAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on the Company s results of operations or financial position.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 ( FIN 48 ), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. FIN 48 is an interpretation of FAS No. 109, Accounting for Income
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Taxes, and it seeks to reduce the diversity in practice associated with certain aspects of measurement and recognition in accounting for income taxes. In addition, FIN No. 48 requires expanded disclosure with respect to the uncertainty in income taxes and is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on the Company s results of operations or financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB reached consensus on the guidance provided by Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 06-4 ( EITF 06-4 ), Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements. The guidance is applicable to endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangements, whereby the employer owns and controls the insurance policy, that are associated with a postretirement benefit. EITF 06-4 requires that for a split-dollar life insurance arrangement within the scope of the Issue, an employer should recognize a liability for future benefits in accordance with FAS No. 106 (if, in substance, a postretirement benefit plan exists) or Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 12 (if the arrangement is, in substance, an individual deferred compensation contract) based on the substantive agreement with the employee. EITF 06-4 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the EITF will have on the Company $s$ results of operations or financial condition.

In September 2006, the FASB reached consensus on the guidance provided by Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 06-5 ( EITF 06-5 ), Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance Determining the Amount That Could Be Realized in Accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance. EITF 06-5 states that a policyholder should consider any additional amounts included in the contractual terms of the insurance policy other than the cash surrender value in determining the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract. EITF 06-5 also states that a policyholder should determine the amount that could be realized under the life insurance contract assuming the surrender of an individual-life by individual-life policy (or certificate by certificate in a group policy). EITF 06-5 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company $s$ results of operations or financial condition.

In March 2007, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 06-10 ( EITF 06-10 ), Accounting for Collateral Assignment Split-Dollar Life Insurance Agreements. EITF 06-10 provides guidance for determining a liability for the postretirement benefit obligation as well as recognition and measurement of the associated asset on the basis of the terms of the collateral assignment agreement. EITF 06-10 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the EITF will have on the Company s results of operations or financial condition.

In June 2007, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 06-11 ( EITF 06-11 ), Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards. EITF 06-11
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applies to share-based payment arrangements with dividend protection features that entitle employees to receive (a) dividends on equity-classified nonvested shares, (b) dividend equivalents on equity-classified nonvested share units, or (c) payments equal to the dividends paid on the underlying shares while an equity-classified share option is outstanding, when those dividends or dividend equivalents are charged to retained earnings under FAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment, and result in an income tax deduction for the employer. A consensus was reached that a realized income tax benefit from dividends or dividend equivalents that are charged to retained earnings and are paid to employees for equity-classified nonvested equity shares, nonvested equity share units, and outstanding equity share options should be recognized as an increase in additional paid-in capital. EITF 06-11 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The impact of the adoption of the EITF will not have an impact on the Company s financial condition.

## Note 3. Per Share Data

The following table sets forth the composition of the weighted average common shares (denominator) used in the basic and dilutive per share computation. There are no convertible securities which would affect the numerator in calculating basic and diluted earnings per share; therefore, net income as presented on the consolidated statement of income will be used as the numerator.

|  | Three Months Ended June 30,2007 |  | Six Months Ended June 30,2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 4,004,798 | 4,002,159 | 4,004,369 | 4,002,159 |
| Average treasury stock shares | (115,659 | (62,735 | (111,083 | (51,864 |
| Weighted average common shares and common stock equivalents used to calculate basic earnings per share | 3,889,139 | 3,939,424 | 3,893,286 | 3,950,295 |
| Additional common stock equivalents (stock options) used to calculate diluted earnings per share | 262 | 487 | 300 | 486 |
| Weighted average common shares and common stock equivalents used to calculate diluted earnings per share | 3,889,401 | 3,939,911 | 3,893,586 | 3,950,781 |

Options to purchase 8,276 and 9,002 shares of common stock at the price of $\$ 40.29$ were outstanding during the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share as they were anti-dilutive due to the strike price being greater than the market price as of June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.
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## Note 4. Net Periodic Benefit Cost-Defined Benefit Plans

For a detailed disclosure on the Company s pension and employee benefits plans, please refer to Note 11 of the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The following sets forth the components of the net periodic benefit cost of the domestic non-contributory defined benefit plan for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively:

| (In Thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service cost | \$ 117 |  | \$ 117 |  | \$ 233 |  | \$ 233 |
| Interest cost | 121 |  | 108 |  | 243 |  | 217 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (140 | ) | (121 | ) | (281 | ) | (242 |
| Amortization of transition obligation | (1 | ) | (1 |  | (1 | ) | (2 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 6 |  | 6 |  | 13 |  | 13 |
| Amortization of net loss |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 11 |
| Net periodic cost | \$ 103 |  | \$ 115 |  | \$ 207 |  | \$ 230 |

## Employer Contributions

The Company previously disclosed in its consolidated financial statements, included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, that it expected to contribute $\$ 500,000$ to its defined benefit plan in 2007. As of June 30, 2007, there were no contributions made to the plan.

## Note 5. Off Balance Sheet Risk

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments are primarily comprised of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate, or liquidity risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheet. The contract amounts of these instruments express the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company s exposure to credit loss from nonperformance by the other party to the financial instruments for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of these instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. The Company may require collateral or other security to support financial instruments with off-balance sheet credit risk.

Outstanding financial instruments with off balance sheet risk are as follows:
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|  | June 30, | December 31, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (In Thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |  |
| Commitments to extend credit | $\$$ | 73,689 | $\$$ |
| Standby letters of credit | 1,411 | 61,736 |  |

## Note 6. Reclassification of Comparative Amounts

Certain comparative amounts for the prior period have been reclassified to conform to current period presentations. Such reclassifications had no effect on net income or shareholders equity.

## Note 7. Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Effective April 26, 2006, the Company implemented the Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc. 2006 Employee Stock Purchase Plan ( Plan ). The Plan is intended to encourage employee participation in the ownership and economic progress of the Company. The Plan allows for up to $1,000,000$ shares to be purchased by employees. The purchase price of the shares is $95 \%$ of market value with an employee eligible to purchase up to the lesser of $15 \%$ of base compensation or $\$ 12,000$ in market value annually. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, there were 826 and 1,498 shares issued under the plan, respectively.

## CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR PURPOSES OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This Report contains certain forward-looking statements including statements concerning plans, objectives, future events or performance and assumptions and other statements which are other than statements of historical fact. The Company wishes to caution readers that the following important factors, among others, may have affected and could in the future affect the Company s actual results and could cause the Company s actual results for subsequent periods to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statement made by or on behalf of the Company herein: (i) the effect of changes in laws and regulations, including federal and state banking laws and regulations with which the Company must comply, and the associated costs of compliance with such laws and regulations either currently or in the future as applicable; (ii) the effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies as well as by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or of changes in the Company s organization, compensation and benefit plans; (iii) the effect on the Company s competitive position within its market area of the increasing consolidation within the banking and financial services industries, including the increased competition from larger regional and out-of-state banking organizations as well as non-bank providers of various financial services; (iv) the effect of changes in interest rates; and (v) the effect of changes in the business cycle and downturns in the local, regional or national economies.
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## Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

## EARNINGS SUMMARY

Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006

## Summary Results

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2007 was $\$ 2,335,000$ compared to $\$ 2,434,000$ for the same period of 2006. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended June 30,2007 were $\$ 0.60$ as compared to $\$ 0.62$ for the three months ended June 30, 2006. Return on average assets and return on average equity were $1.58 \%$ and $12.57 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to $1.70 \%$ and $13.34 \%$ for the corresponding period of 2006. Net income from core operations for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, excluding after-tax net securities gains of $\$ 193,000$ and $\$ 175,000$, respectively, were $\$ 2,142,000$ and $\$ 2,259,000$ or $\$ 0.55$ and $\$ 0.57$ per share, respectively, for the periods being compared.

The six months ended June 30, 2007 generated net income of $\$ 4,616,000$ compared to $\$ 4,889,000$ for the same period of 2006. Earnings per share, basic and diluted, for the six months ended June 30,2007 were $\$ 1.19$ as compared to $\$ 1.24$ for the comparable period of 2006. Return on average assets and return on average equity were $1.57 \%$ and $12.35 \%$ for the six months ended June 30,2007 as compared to $1.71 \%$ and $13.12 \%$ for the corresponding period of 2006. Net income from core operations for the six months ended June 30, 2007, excluding after-tax securities gains of $\$ 409,000$, declined to $\$ 4,207,000$ from $\$ 4,345,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 2006 resulting in basic and dilutive operating earnings per share of $\$ 1.08$ as compared to $\$ 1.10$ for the six months ended June 30, 2006. (Management uses the non-GAAP measure of net income from core operations in its analysis of the Company s performance. This measure, as used by the Company, adjusts net income by significant gains or losses that are unusual in nature. Because certain of these items and their impact on the Company sperformance are difficult to predict, management believes the presentation of financial measures excluding the impact of such items provides useful supplemental information in evaluating the operating results of the Company s core businesses. For purposes of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, net income from core operations means net income adjusted to exclude after-tax net securities gains. These disclosures should not be viewed as a substitute for net income determined in accordance with GAAP, nor are they necessarily comparable to non-GAAP performance measures that may be presented by other companies.)

## Interest Income

Interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased $\$ 446,000$ to $\$ 8,793,000$ as compared to $\$ 8,347,000$ for the same period of 2006. The increase in total interest income was primarily the result of growth in average loans of $\$ 11,860,000$ for the three months ended June 30,2007 as compared to 2006. The average loan growth and a 25 basis point ( bp ) increase in loan portfolio yields accounted for $\$ 430,000$ of the total interest income growth. Over this time frame, the average balance of investment securities and interest bearing deposits remained stable
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with the portfolio yield increasing 9 bp resulting in a $\$ 16,000$ increase in interest income. On a taxable equivalent basis, the interest income from the investment portfolio and interest bearing deposits increased $\$ 43,000$ as the investment portfolio was strategically shifted toward tax-exempt instruments. The decrease in dividends received is the result of a decrease in the average book value investment in stocks of $\$ 5,000,000$ when comparing the three month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006.

During the six months ended June 30, 2007, interest income was $\$ 17,472,000$, an increase of $\$ 1,103,000$ over the same period in 2006. The reasons for the $6.7 \%$ growth in interest income for the six month period are identical to those for the three month period ending June 30, 2007 discussed above. The growth in average loans of $\$ 14,772,000$ coupled with a 30 bp increase in the loan portfolio yield resulted in an increase of $\$ 1,044,000$ in loan interest and fee income. Average investment securities and interest bearing deposits decreased slightly to $\$ 185,169,000$ resulting in interest income increasing $\$ 59,000$ when compared to June 30,2006 due to an increase of 21 bp in the investment portfolio yield, while interest income on interest bearing deposits increased $\$ 15,000$ for the same period. The asset allocation between loans and the investment portfolio composition resulted in taxable equivalent interest income increasing \$1,192,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to the same period of 2006 .

Interest income composition for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 was as follows:

| (In Thousands) | For The Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 |  |  |  | June 30, 2006 <br> Amount |  | \% Total |  | Change Amount |  |  | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans including fees | \$ | 6,516 | 74.1 | \% | \$ | 6,086 | 72.9 | \% | \$ | 430 |  | 7.1 | \% |
| Investment securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable |  |  | 10.5 |  | 896 |  | 10.7 |  | 28 |  |  | 3.1 |  |
| Tax-exempt |  |  | 12.0 |  | 1,000 |  | 12.0 |  | 52 |  |  | 5.2 |  |
| Dividend and other interest income |  |  | 3.4 |  | 365 |  | 4.4 |  | (64 |  | ) | (17.5 | ) |
| Total interest income | \$ | 8,793 | 100.0 | \% | \$ | 8,347 | 100.0 | \% | \$ | 446 |  | 5.3 | \% |

$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllllll} & \begin{array}{ll}\text { For The Six Months Ended } \\ \text { June 30, 2007 } \\ \text { Amount }\end{array} & \text { \% Total }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}\text { June 30, 2006 } \\ \text { Amount }\end{array}\right)$
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## Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased $\$ 578,000$ to $\$ 3,999,000$ as compared to $\$ 3,421,000$ for the same period of 2006. The increased expense associated with deposits is primarily the result of rate increases for time deposits, which are comprised of various certificates of deposit ( CD ) accounts, from the three months ended June 30, 2006 to the corresponding period of 2007. Factors that led to the rate increases include, but are not limited to, a prime rate increase, competitive market pricing pressure, and campaigns conducted to attract 8 to 12 month maturity CDs to replace higher cost short-term FHLB advances. The increase in CD interest rates has exceeded the increase for other deposit accounts. This has led to a shift of a portion of the money market and savings deposit portfolios into higher yielding CDs.

Short-term borrowing interest expense on FHLB advances and customer repurchase accounts decreased $\$ 282,000$ as rates paid decreased 47 bp . The decrease in rates paid was a result of a change in the composition of the short-term borrowing due to the previously noted CD campaigns. The successful campaigns caused a reduction in the higher cost average FHLB short-term advances of \$18,082,000. Long-term FHLB borrowing expense decreased minimally due to a decline in average borrowings of $\$ 4,907,000$.

Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2007 increased $\$ 1,328,000$ to $\$ 7,938,000$ from $\$ 6,610,000$ for the comparable period of 2006. Interest on deposits accounted for $\$ 1,575,000$ of the increase due to the reasons noted in the above three month analysis. Borrowing costs declined primarily due to the before noted CD campaigns that allowed for FHLB advances to be reduced.

Interest expense composition for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 were as follows:


|  | For The Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 |  |  |  | June 30, 2006 <br> Amount |  | \% Total |  | Change <br> Amount |  |  | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In Thousands) |  |  | \% Tot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | \$ | 5,380 | 67.8 | \% | \$ | 3,805 |  |  | 57.6 | \% | \$ | 1,575 |  | 41.4 | \% |
| Short-term borrowings |  |  | 9.2 |  | 91 |  | 13.8 |  | (183 |  | ) | (20.0 | ) |
| Long-term borrowings, FHLB |  |  | 23.0 |  |  |  | 28.6 |  | (64 |  |  | (3.4 | ) |
| Total interest expense | \$ | 7,938 | 100.0 | \% | \$ | 6,610 | 100.0 | \% | \$ | 1,328 |  | 20.1 | \% |
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## Net Interest Margin

The net interest margin ( NIM ) for the three months ended June 30, 2007 was $3.95 \%$ as compared to $4.12 \%$ for the corresponding period of 2006. The decrease in the NIM was the result of the yield on earning assets increasing 19 bp to $6.88 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2007, as compared to 2006; however, interest bearing liabilities increased 42 bp over the same period. The increase in the yield on earning assets is attributable to growth in the taxable loan portfolio of $\$ 11,928,000$ in addition to the yield on taxable loans increasing 25 bp coupled with an increase of 45 bp on the taxable investment portfolio. The average loan growth was predominately comprised of residential and commercial real estate loans. The yield on total loans increased to $7.29 \%$ from $7.04 \%$ due to the impact of the Federal Open Market Committee rate increases enacted since the start of the three month period ended June 30, 2006 offset by competitive factors. The average taxable investment securities portfolio declined by $\$ 10,806,000$, as the cash flow from the portfolio was invested in tax-exempt securities as part of the Company s tax strategy. The average interest rate paid on deposit accounts increased to $3.39 \%$ as compared to $2.66 \%$ for the 2006 period. This increase was driven by growth in average time deposits of $\$ 44,577,000$ and an increase in the rate paid on time deposits of 93 bp . A portion of the increase in deposit volume and the average interest yield paid is due to several CD promotions during the past year to attract new customers while retaining existing customers. The promotions were designed to gather deposits that would have maturities of one year or less. In addition, the promotions served as a catalyst to cross sell other deposit products and to implement management s strategy to shorten the duration of the CD portfolio.

The rate paid on short-term borrowings, FHLB advances and customer repurchase accounts, decreased 47 bp to $4.09 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2007. The decrease was the result of higher cost average short-term FHLB advances decreasing $\$ 18,082,000$ due to the funding generated by the before mentioned CD promotions.

The NIM for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was $3.95 \%$ as compared to $4.10 \%$ for the corresponding period of 2006. The decrease in the NIM was the result of the before mentioned growth and change in mix of the earnings assets offset by increased rates paid on interest bearing liabilities and growth in the CD portfolio of $\$ 35,224,000$.

Following is a schedule of average balances and associated yields for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006:

| (In Thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 <br> Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate |  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2006 Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax-exempt loans | \$ 7,819 | \$ 120 | 6.16 | \% | \$ 7,887 | \$ 123 | 6.26 | \% |
| All other loans | 353,019 | 6,437 | 7.31 | \% | 341,091 | 6,005 | 7.06 | \% |
| Total loans | 360,838 | 6,557 | 7.29 | \% | 348,978 | 6,128 | 7.04 | \% |
| Taxable investment securities | 83,328 | 1,209 | 5.80 | \% | 94,134 | 1,259 | 5.35 | \% |
| Tax-exempt investment securities | 100,403 | 1,594 | 6.35 | \% | 90,530 | 1,515 | 6.69 | \% |
| Total securities | 183,731 | 2,803 | 6.10 | \% | 184,664 | 2,774 | 6.01 | \% |
| Interest bearing deposits | 1,230 | 16 | 5.22 | \% | 32 | 2 | 25.07 | \% |
| Total interest-earning assets | 545,799 | 9,376 | 6.88 | \% | 533,674 | 8,904 | 6.69 | \% |
| Other assets | 43,594 |  |  |  | 39,143 |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 589,393 |  |  |  | \$ 572,817 |  |  |  |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings | \$ 59,906 | 110 | 0.74 | \% | \$ 63,648 | 136 | 0.86 | \% |
| Super Now deposits | 47,531 | 153 | 1.29 | \% | 48,282 | 169 | 1.40 | \% |
| Money market deposits | 26,346 | 158 | 2.41 | \% | 24,165 | 121 | 2.01 | \% |
| Time deposits | 205,554 | 2,447 | 4.77 | \% | 160,977 | 1,542 | 3.84 | \% |
| Total deposits | 339,337 | 2,868 | 3.39 | \% | 297,072 | 1,968 | 2.66 | \% |
| Short-term borrowings | 22,239 | 227 | 4.09 | \% | 44,793 | 509 | 4.56 | \% |
| Long-term borrowings, FHLB | 77,971 | 904 | 4.65 | \% | 82,878 | 944 | 4.57 | \% |
| Total borrowings | 100,210 | 1,131 | 4.53 | \% | 127,671 | 1,453 | 4.56 | \% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 439,547 | 3,999 | 3.65 | \% | 424,743 | 3,421 | 3.23 | \% |
| Demand deposits | 68,677 |  |  |  | 70,961 |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 6,888 |  |  |  | 4,129 |  |  |  |
| Shareholders equity | 74,281 |  |  |  | 72,984 |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 589,393 |  |  |  | \$ 572,817 |  |  |  |
| Interest rate spread |  |  | 3.23 | \% |  |  | 3.46 | \% |


| Net interest <br> income/margin | $\$$ | 5,377 | 3.95 | $\%$ | $\$$ | 5,483 | 4.12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1. Information on this table has been calculated using average daily balance sheets to obtain average balances.
2. Nonaccrual loans have been included with loans for the purpose of analyzing net interest earnings.
3. Income and rates on a fully taxable equivalent basis include an adjustment for the difference between annual income from tax-exempt obligations and the taxable equivalent of such income at the standard $34 \%$ tax rate.
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1. Information on this table has been calculated using average daily balance sheets to obtain average balances.
2. Nonaccrual loans have been included with loans for the purpose of analyzing net interest earnings.
3. Income and rates on a fully taxable equivalent basis include an adjustment for the difference between annual income from tax-exempt obligations and the taxable equivalent of such income at the standard $34 \%$ tax rate.
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The following table presents the adjustment to convert net interest income to net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006.

| (In Thousands) | For the Three Months Ended June 30, <br> 2007 <br> 2006 |  | For the Six Months Ended June 30, <br> 2007 <br> 2006 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total interest income | \$ 8,793 | \$ 8,347 | \$ 17,472 | \$ | 16,369 |
| Total interest expense | 3,999 | 3,421 | 7,938 |  |  |
| Net interest income | 4,794 | 4,926 | 9,534 |  |  |
| Tax equivalent adjustment | 583 | 557 | 1,198 |  |  |
| Net interest income (fully taxable equivalent) | \$ 5,377 | \$ 5,483 | \$ 10,732 |  | 10,868 |

The following table sets forth the respective impact that both volume and rate changes have had on net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006:
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## Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is based upon management s quarterly review of the loan portfolio. The purpose of the review is to assess loan quality, identify impaired loans, analyze delinquencies, ascertain loan growth, evaluate potential charge-offs and recoveries, and assess general economic conditions in the markets served. An external independent loan review is also
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performed annually for the Bank. Management remains committed to an aggressive program of problem loan identification and resolution.
The allowance is calculated by applying loss factors to outstanding loans by type, excluding loans for which a specific allowance has been determined. Loss factors are based on management s consideration of the nature of the portfolio segments, changes in mix and volume of the loan portfolio, and historical loan loss experience. In addition, management considers industry standards and trends with respect to non-performing loans and its knowledge and experience with specific lending segments.

Although management believes it uses the best information available to make such determinations and that the allowance for loan losses is adequate at June 30, 2007, future adjustments could be necessary if circumstances or economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the initial determinations. A downturn in the local economy, employment, and delays in receiving financial information from borrowers could result in increased levels of nonperforming assets, charge-offs, loan loss provisions, and reductions in income. Additionally, as an integral part of the examination process, bank regulatory agencies periodically review the Bank s loan loss allowance. The banking agencies could require the recognition of additions to the loan loss allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

While determining the appropriate allowance level, management has attributed the allowance for loan losses to various portfolio segments; however, the allowance is available for the entire portfolio as needed.

The allowance for loan losses decreased from \$4, 185,000 at December 31, 2006 to $\$ 4,162,000$ at June 30, 2007. At June 30, 2007, the allowance for loan losses was $1.17 \%$ of total loans compared to $1.16 \%$ of total loans at December 31, 2006. Management s conclusion is that the allowance for loan losses is adequate to provide for possible losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date.

The provision for loan losses totaled $\$ 10,000$ and $\$ 50,000$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively, as compared to $\$ 198,000$ and $\$ 396,000$ for the same periods in 2006. The decrease in the provision was the result of several continuing positive factors, including but not limited to, annualized net charge offs to average loans of $0.05 \%$, nonperforming loans to total loans of $0.31 \%$, and an allowance for losses to nonperforming loans of $379.05 \%$. In addition, gross loans have declined $\$ 4,209,000$ since December 31, 2006 due to a softening of the loan market and the payoff of several commercial loans.

Based upon this analysis, as well as the others noted above, senior management has concluded that the allowance for loan losses remains at a level adequate to provide for probable losses inherent in its loan portfolio.

## Non-interest Income

Total non-interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 decreased $\$ 30,000$ to $\$ 2,186,000$. Excluding net security gains, non-interest income
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would have decreased $\$ 58,000$. Deposit service charges declined $\$ 20,000$ as overdraft protection fees declined and customers migrated to new checking accounts having reduced or no service charges. Other income increased due primarily to revenue generated from increased debit card transactions, merchant card commissions, and commissions generated by The M Group for securities transactions.

Insurance commissions for the three months ended June 30, 2007 decreased $\$ 120,000$ as compared to the same period in 2006 due to a shift in product mix. Management of The M Group continues to pursue new and build upon current relationships. The sales call program continues to expand to other financial institutions, which typically results in additional revenue for The M Group.

Total non-interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 decreased $\$ 393,000$. Excluding net security gains, non-interest income would have decreased $\$ 188,000$. The decrease in non-interest income for the six month period is the result of the same items noted in the three month discussion.

Non-interest income composition for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 were as follows:

| (In Thousands) | For The Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 |  |  |  | June 30, 2006 <br> Amount |  | \% Total |  | Change <br> Amount |  | \% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposit service charges | \$ | 567 | 25.9 | \% | \$ | 587 | 26.5 | \% |  | (20 | ) | (3.4 | )\% |
| Security gains, net | 293 |  | 13.4 |  | 265 |  | 12.0 |  | 28 |  |  | 10.6 |  |
| Bank owned life insurance | 86 |  | 3.9 |  | 90 |  | 4.1 |  | (4 |  | ) | (4.4 | ) |
| Gain on sale of loans | 234 |  | 10.7 |  | 210 |  | 9.5 |  | 24 |  |  | 11.4 |  |
| Insurance commissions | 550 |  | 25.2 |  | 670 |  | 30.2 |  | (120 |  | ) | (17.9 | ) |
| Other | 456 |  | 20.9 |  | 394 |  | 17.7 |  | 62 |  |  | 15.6 |  |
| Total non-interest income |  | 2,186 | 100.0 | \% |  | 2,216 | 100.0 | \% | \$ | (30 | ) | (1.4 | )\% |



## Non-interest Expense

Total non-interest expense increased $\$ 262,000$ for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period of 2006. The increase in salaries and employee benefits was attributable to several items including standard cost of living wage adjustments for employees, new additions to our staff, and increased benefit cost. Occupancy expense increased due to the
new branch in Montoursville, which opened in the third quarter of 2006, and increased cost of maintenance and property taxes. Other expenses increased primarily due to normal anticipated inflationary adjustments to ongoing business operating costs and the amortization related to a low income housing partnership that began operation during the fourth quarter of 2006.

Total non-interest expenses increased $\$ 439,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to the same period of 2006. As noted above in the three month discussion, the new Montoursville branch in addition to normal increases in general business expenses and the amortization of a low income housing partnership, impacted the level of non-interest expenses.

Non-interest expense composition for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 were as follows:

| (In Thousands) | For The Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 |  |  |  | June 30, 2006 <br> Amount |  | \% Total |  | Change <br> Amount |  | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ | 2,301 | 53.0 | \% | \$ | 2,214 | 54.3 | \% | \$ | 87 | 3.9 | \% |
| Occupancy, net |  |  | 7.8 |  | 27 |  | 6.7 |  | 62 |  | 22.5 |  |
| Furniture and equipment |  |  | 6.8 |  | 28 |  | 7.1 |  | 9 |  | 3.1 |  |
| Pennsylvania shares tax |  |  | 3.7 |  | 15 |  | 3.8 |  | 10 |  | 6.6 |  |
| Other |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  | 28.1 |  | 94 |  | 8.2 |  |
| Total non-interest expense | \$ | 4,340 | 100.0 | \% | \$ | 4,078 | 100.0 | \% | \$ | 262 | 6.4 | \% |


| (In Thousands) | For The Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 |  |  |  | June 30, 2006 <br> Amount |  | \% Total |  | Change <br> Amount |  | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ | 4,582 | 54.1 | \% | \$ | 4,446 | 55.3 | \% | \$ | 136 | 3.1 | \% |
| Occupancy, net |  |  | 7.9 |  | 518 |  | 6.5 |  | 150 |  | 29.0 |  |
| Furniture and equipment |  |  | 6.9 |  | 58 |  | 7.3 |  | (2 |  | (0.3 | ) |
| Pennsylvania shares tax |  |  | 3.8 |  | 296 |  | 3.7 |  | 26 |  | 8.8 |  |
| Other |  |  | 27.3 |  |  |  | 27.2 |  | 129 |  | 5.9 |  |
| Total non-interest expense | \$ | 8,468 | 100.0 | \% | \$ | 8,029 | 100.0 | \% | \$ | 439 | 5.5 | \% |

## Provision for Income Taxes

Income taxes decreased $\$ 137,000$ and $\$ 438,000$ for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same periods of 2006. The effective tax rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 were $11.22 \%$ and $10.82 \%$, respectively, as compared to $15.07 \%$ and $16.95 \%$ for the same periods of 2006 . The decline in the effective tax rate is consistent with management s repositioning of the investment portfolio from taxable investment securities to tax-exempt investment securities and tax credits related to investments in low income housing projects.

## ASSET/LIABILITY MANAGEMENT

## Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents decreased $\$ 4,042,000$ from $\$ 15,373,000$ at December 31, 2006, to $\$ 11,331,000$ at June 30, 2007 primarily as a result of the following activities during the six months ended June 30, 2007:

## Loans Held for Sale

Activity regarding loans held for sale resulted in loan originations exceeding sale proceeds, less $\$ 372,000$ in realized gains, by $\$ 1,629,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 2007.

## Loans

Gross loans decreased $\$ 4,209,000$ since December 31, 2006 due to the early payoff of several large commercial loans coupled with increased competition for commercial loans and a softening of the market.

The allocation of the loan portfolio, by category, as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 is presented below:

| (In Thousands) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ |  | Change <br> Amount |  | \% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial, financial, and agricultural | \$ | 35,924 | \$ | 36,995 | \$ | (1,071 | ) | (2.9 | )\% |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  | (68 |  | ) | (0.0 | ) |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  | (3,358 |  | ) | (2.5 | ) |
| Construction |  |  |  |  | 482 |  |  | 2.9 |  |
| Installment loans to individuals |  |  |  |  | (261 |  | ) | (1.9 | ) |
| Less: Net deferred loan fees |  |  |  |  | (67 |  | ) | (6.6 | ) |
| Gross loans | \$ | 356,175 | \$ | 360,384 | \$ | (4,209 | ) | (1.2 | ) \% |

The recorded investment in loans for which impairment has been recognized in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, amounted to $\$ 1,194,000$ at June 30, 2007, as compared to $\$ 574,000$ at December 31, 2006. The valuation allowance related to impaired loans amounted to $\$ 98,000$ at June 30, 2007 and $\$ 42,000$ at December 31, 2006. The increase in impaired loans is primarily from a single commercial relationship that accounted for $\$ 523,000$ of the increase, while the increase in valuation allowance is the result of a second commercial relationship that had a specific collateral weakness.

A loan is considered impaired, based on current information and events, if it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due
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according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The measurement of impaired loans is generally based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the historical effective interest rate, except that all collateral-dependent loans are measured for impairment based on the fair value of the collateral.

## Investments

The estimated fair value of the investment securities portfolio in total has decreased $\$ 3,901,000$ since December 31, 2006, while the amortized cost increased $\$ 4,578,000$. The majority of the changes in value incurred within the state and political segment of the portfolio. The amortized cost position in state and political securities increased $\$ 3,605,000$ as the Bank continued its strategy to build call protection, maintain taxable equivalent yields, reduce the effective federal income tax rate, and invest in communities across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the country. The increased level of unrealized losses, which offset the increase in amortized cost, was the result of changes in the yield curve toward the end of the three months ended June 30, 2007.

The amortized cost of investment securities and their estimated fair values are as follows:
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## Financing Activities

## Deposits

Total deposits increased $2.7 \%$ or $\$ 10,712,000$ from December 31, 2006 to June 30, 2007. The mix of deposits has shifted from brokered time deposits at December 31, 2006 to customer time deposits at June 30, 2007. The shift is the result of the Bank strategically attracting short-term customer time deposits and utilizing the funds gathered to replace maturing brokered time deposits and higher cost short-term FHLB advances. The amount of brokered deposits is continuously monitored and is used to supplement deposits, not as a primary source of deposits.

Deposit balances and their changes for the periods being discussed follow:

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2007 <br> Amount | \% Total | December 31, 2006 Amount |  | \% Total | Change Amount |  |  | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demand deposits | \$ 70,000 | 17.2 | \% \$ | 73,160 | 18.5 | \% \$ | (3,160 | ) | (4.3 | )\% |
| NOW accounts | 48,555 | 12.0 |  |  | 11.7 |  |  |  | 5.2 |  |
| Money market deposits | 23,422 | 5.8 |  |  | 5.9 |  |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Savings deposits | 59,798 | 14.7 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  |  | 0.9 |  |
| Time deposits | 191,509 | 47.2 |  |  | 42.6 |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| Time deposits - brokered | 12,619 | 3.1 |  |  | 6.3 |  | 410 | ) | (49.6 | ) |
| Total deposits | \$ 405,903 | 100.0 | \% \$ | 395,191 | 100.0 | \% \$ | 10,712 |  | 2.7 | \% |

## Borrowed Funds

Total borrowed funds decreased $10.9 \%$ to $\$ 104,737,000$ at June 30, 2007 as compared to December 31, 2006. The decrease in borrowed funds is the result of the previously discussed time deposit gathering campaigns that were utilized to provide funds to reduce the level of higher cost short-term borrowings and to assist in replacing long-term borrowing maturities. Long-term borrowings declined due to the maturity of four borrowings totaling $\$ 16,500,000$ that carried an average rate of $3.99 \%$ offset by a new FHLB $\$ 10,000,000$ borrowing that carries a $4.28 \%$ rate and matures in 2017.


## Capital

The adequacy of the Company s capital is reviewed on an ongoing basis with reference to the size, composition, and quality of the Company $s$ resources and regulatory guidelines.
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Management seeks to maintain a level of capital sufficient to support existing assets and anticipated asset growth, maintain favorable access to capital markets, and preserve high quality credit ratings.

Bank holding companies are required to comply with the Federal Reserve Board s risk-based capital guidelines. The risk-based capital rules are designed to make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profiles among banks and bank holding companies and to minimize disincentives for holding liquid assets. Specifically, each is required to maintain certain minimum dollar amounts and ratios of Total risk-based, Tier I risk-based, and Tier I leverage capital requirements. In addition to the capital requirements, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvements Act (FDICIA) established five capital categories ranging from well capitalized to critically undercapitalized. To be classified as well capitalized, Total risk-based, Tier I risked-based, and Tier I leverage capital ratios must be at least $10 \%, 6 \%$, and $5 \%$, respectively.
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Capital ratios as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 were as follows:

| (In Thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ <br> Amount | Ratio | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ <br> Amount | Ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Liquidity and Interest Rate Sensitivity

The asset/liability committee addresses the liquidity needs of the Company to ensure that sufficient funds are available to meet credit demands and deposit withdrawals as well as to the placement of available funds in the investment portfolio. In assessing liquidity requirements, equal consideration is given to the current position as well as the future outlook.
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The following liquidity measures are monitored for compliance within the limits cited:

1. Net Loans to Total Assets, $85 \%$ maximum
2. Net Loans to Total Deposits, $100 \%$ maximum
3. Cumulative 90 day Maturity GAP $\%,+/-20 \%$ maximum
4. Cumulative 1 Year Maturity GAP $\%,+/-20 \%$ maximum

Fundamental objectives of the Company s asset/liability management process are to maintain adequate liquidity while minimizing interest rate risk. The maintenance of adequate liquidity provides the Company with the ability to meet its financial obligations to depositors, loan customers, and shareholders. Additionally, it provides funds for normal operating expenditures and business opportunities as they arise. The objective of interest rate sensitivity management is to increase net interest income by managing interest sensitive assets and liabilities in such a way that they can be repriced in response to changes in market interest rates.

The Bank, like other financial institutions, must have sufficient funds available to meet its liquidity needs for deposit withdrawals, loan commitments and originations, and expenses. In order to control cash flow, the Bank estimates future flows of cash from deposits, loan payments, and investment security payments. The primary sources of funds are deposits, principal and interest payments on loans and investment securities, as well as FHLB borrowings. Management believes the Bank has adequate resources to meet its normal funding requirements.

Management monitors the Company s liquidity on both a long and short-term basis, thereby providing management necessary information to react to current balance sheet trends. Cash flow needs are assessed and sources of funds are determined. Funding strategies consider both customer needs and economical cost. Both short and long-term funding needs are addressed by maturities and sales of available for sale investment securities, loan repayments and maturities, and liquidating money market investments such as federal funds sold. The use of these resources, in conjunction with access to credit provides core ingredients to satisfy depositor, borrower, and creditor needs.

Management monitors and determines the desirable level of liquidity. Consideration is given to loan demand, investment opportunities, deposit pricing and growth potential, as well as the current cost of borrowing funds. The Company has a current borrowing capacity at the FHLB of $\$ 216,502,000$. In addition to this credit arrangement, the Company has additional lines of credit with correspondent banks of $\$ 29,538,000$. Management believes it has sufficient liquidity to satisfy estimated short-term and long-term funding needs. FHLB borrowings totaled $\$ 88,578,000$ as of June 30, 2007.
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Interest rate sensitivity, which is closely related to liquidity management, is a function of the repricing characteristics of the Company sportfolio of assets and liabilities. Asset/liability management strives to match maturities and rates between loan and investment security assets with the deposit liabilities and borrowings that fund them. Successful asset/liability management results in a balance sheet structure which can cope effectively with market rate fluctuations. The matching process is affected by segmenting both assets and liabilities into future time periods (usually 12 months, or less) based upon when repricing can be effected. Repriceable assets are subtracted from repriceable liabilities, for a specific time period to determine the gap , or difference. Once known, the gap is managed based on predictions about future market interest rates. Intentional mismatching, or gapping, can enhance net interest income if market rates move as predicted. However, if market rates behave in a manner contrary to predictions, net interest income will suffer. Gaps, therefore, contain an element of risk and must be prudently managed. In addition to gap management, the Company has an asset/liability management policy which incorporates a market value at risk calculation which is used to determine the effects of interest rate movements on shareholders equity and a simulation analysis to monitor the effects of interest rate changes on the Company s balance sheet.

There have been no substantial changes in the Company s gap analyses or simulation analyses compared to the information provided in the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Generally, management believes the Company is well positioned to respond in a timely manner when the market interest rate outlook changes.

## Inflation

The asset and liability structure of a financial institution is primarily monetary in nature. Therefore, interest rates rather than inflation have a more significant impact on the Company s performance. Interest rates are not always affected in the same direction or magnitude as prices of other goods and services, but are reflective of fiscal policy initiatives or economic factors which are not measured by a price index.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk for the Company is comprised primarily of interest rate risk exposure and liquidity risk. Interest rate risk and liquidity risk management is performed at the Bank level as well as the Company level. The Company s interest rate sensitivity is monitored by management through selected interest rate risk measures produced by an independent third party. There have been no substantial changes in the Company s gap analyses or simulation analyses compared to the information provided in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2006. Additional information and details are provided in the Liquidity and Interest Rate Sensitivity section of Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Generally, management believes the Company is well positioned to respond in a timely manner when the market interest rate outlook changes.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

An analysis was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2007. There were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2007, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

## Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

None.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There are no material changes to the risk factors set forth in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors, of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. Please refer to that section for disclosures regarding the risks and uncertainties related to the Company s business.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

|  | Total | Average | Total Number of | Maximum Number (or |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of | Price Paid | Shares (or Units) | Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|  | Shares (or | per Share | Purchased as Part of |  |
|  | Units) | (or Units) | Publicly Announced |  |
| Period | Purchased | Purchased | Plans or Programs |  |
| Month\#1 (April 1-April 30, 2007) |  |  |  | 144,570 |
| Month\#2 (May 1-May 31, 2007) | 5,000 | \$ 34.40 | 5,000 | 139,570 |
| Month\#3 (June 1-June 30, 2007) |  |  |  | 139,570 |

On April 24, 2007, the Board of Directors extended the authorization to repurchase up to 197,000 shares, or approximately $5 \%$, of the outstanding shares of the Company for an additional year to April 25, 2008. The repurchase plan was originally for a one year period expiring on April 25, 2007. To date, there have been 57,430 shares repurchased under this plan.

## Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

## Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

## Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc. s annual meeting of the shareholders was held on April 25, 2007. The results of the items voted on are listed below:

| Issue | Description | For | Withhold |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Election of Directors for a Three Year Term |  |  |
|  | Michael J. Casale, Jr. | $3,112,171$ | 56,464 |
|  | R. Edward Nestlerode, Jr. | $3,116,868$ | 51,767 |
|  | $2,953,880$ | 214,755 |  |
|  | $2,965,033$ | 203,602 |  |


| Issue | Description | For | Against | Abstain |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Ratification of S.R. Snodgrass A.C., Certified Public Accountants as independent <br> auditors | $3,140,017$ | 15,153 | 13,465 |

## Item 5. Other Information

None

## Item 6. Exhibits

(3) (i) Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant, as presently in effect (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i) of the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006).
(3) (ii) Bylaws of the Registrant $s$ as presently in effect (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 (ii) of the Registrant $s$ Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 17, 2005).
(31) (i) Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
(31) (ii) Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer.
(32) (i) Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Section 1350.
(32) (ii) Section 1350 Certification of Principal Financial Officer Section 1350.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 7, 2007

Date: August 7, 2007

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.
(Registrant)
/s/ Ronald A. Walko
Ronald A. Walko, President and Chief Executive Officer
/s/ Brian L. Knepp
Brian L. Knepp, Vice President of Finance (Principal Financial Officer)
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Exhibit 31(i) Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
Exhibit 31(ii)
Exhibit 32(i)
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Exhibit 32(ii)
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