GROUP MANAGEMENT CORP

Form 10KSB/A December 24, 2002

74

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

SECOND AMENDMENT FORM 10-KSB/A

[X] ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001

OR

[] TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE

ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to ____

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 000-32635

GROUP MANAGEMENT CORP.

(Name of Small Business Issuer in its Charter)

DELAWARE 59-2919648
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

101 Marietta St. SUITE 1070 Atlanta, GA (Address of principal

30303 (Zip Code)

Executive offices)

(404) 522-1202

(Issuer's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

None

Check whether the issuer: (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2)

has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item
405 of Regulation S-B contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB. [X]

State issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year: \$574,826 for the year ended December 31, 2001

As of December 23, 2002, the aggregate market value of the common stock of the issuer held by non-affiliates, based on the average bid and asked price of the common stock as quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board, was \$77,000. As of December 23 2002, 13,380,000 shares of common stock of the issuer were outstanding.

PART I

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS.	2
OVERVIEW	2
PORTFOLIO COMPANIES	2
PENDING ACQUISITIONS	4
BUSINESS STRATEGY	5
EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL ACQUISITIONS	5
COMPETITION	6
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY	7
EMPLOYEES	7
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	7
ITEM 2 DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	8
ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	
SWAN MAGNETICS, INC.	9
ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF	10
SECURITY HOLDERS	
PART II	11
ITEM 5 MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED	11
STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	
MARKET PRICE INFORMATION	11
DIVIDENDS	11
RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES	11
ITEM 6 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN	13
OF OPERATIONS	
OVERVIEW	13
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	14
COMPARISON OF THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001	

AND	
DECEMBER 31, 2000	14
LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES	14
GOING CONCERN CONSIDERATION	16
RISK FACTORS	17
RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH OUR BUSINESS	17
ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	18
ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH	
ACCOUNTANTS ON	
ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	18
PART III	
	19
ITEM 9 DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS	
AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION	
16(a)	
OF THE EXCHANGE ACT.	19
DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	19
SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING	19
COMPLIANCE	
ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	20
SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE	20
EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS	20
2000 OMNIBUS SECURITIES PLAN	21
OPTION GRANTS	21
OPTION EXERCISES AND OPTION VALUES	22
COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS	22
ITEM 11 SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL	
OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	23
ITEM 12 CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED	24
TRANSACTIONS	
ITEM 13. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.	25
INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	1
SWAN	14

PART I

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS.

OVERVIEW

7. 1. 1.

We were incorporated in Florida in 1987 under the name Sci Tech Ventures, Inc.

We changed our name to Strategic Ventures, Inc. in May 1991 and to Internet

Venture Group, Inc. in October 1999. In March 2001, we were merged into $\ensuremath{\mathsf{IVG}}$

Corp., a Delaware corporation. As a result of the merger, we were

reincorporated in Delaware and our name was changed to IVG Corp. In ${\tt December}$

2001 we changed our name to Group Management Corp.

We are currently undergoing a restructuring of the operations of the company.

We have substantially reduced the operation of the company in the restructuring.

We currently have one employee, Elorian Landers, our CEO who is currently unpaid. We believe that after the company is restructured, it will be in a position to assess acquisition and merger opportunities. However, there can be no assurances that the restructuring will be successful.

GeeWhizUSA.com, is a manufacturer and distributor of proprietary novelty, gift $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

and branded products that light up.

CyberCoupons.com, Inc., a development stage company that intended to create an

internet based solution to increase the speed at which coupon transaction are $\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}$

processed between the coupon vendor and the retail store. Management no longer $\,$

intends to pursue this business venture.

Swan Magnetics, Inc., developer of a proprietary ultra-high capacity floppy disk

drive technology and the owner of 46% of the common stock of iTVr, Inc., which

is developing next generation digital video recording technology. As of ${\tt March}$

2002, we have sold our interest in Swan to concentrate on our business services model.

As used in this report, the words "we," "us," "our" and "the company" refer to

Group Management Corp.; our subsidiary CyberCoupons.com, Inc.; and our division,

GeeWhizUSA.com.

PORTFOLIO COMPANIES

GEEWHIZ

GeeWhiz, which is based in Houston, Texas, manufactures and distributes

proprietary novelty, gift and branded products that light up. To date, ${\tt GeeWhiz}$

has principally been engaged in the sale of its proprietary $\operatorname{Starglas}(R)$ line of

fiber optic illuminated drinking containers. GeeWhiz is rapidly expanding its

product line to include a wide variety of promotional, gift and souvenir items $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

which will be sold over its website and through traditional distribution $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

channels. GeeWhiz introduced LightArt(TM) in September 2000, which is a line of

illuminated gifts and merchandise primarily aimed at the promotional product $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

channel and secondarily at the retail gift channel. LightArt(TM) includes $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

illuminated keychains, awards and wearable products.

the promotional products, gifts and souvenir markets. Through this website,

GeeWhiz plans to bring together the customers, distributors, merchandisers,

concessionaires and resellers of this highly fragmented industry to meet and

transact business on-line via an electronic promotional products, gifts and souvenir bazaar.

We acquired GeeWhizUSA.com, in a two-step transaction. In the first step, which

became effective as of December 31, 1999, 37 shareholders of GeeWhiz acquired

control of approximately 87% of our common stock pursuant to a share exchange

agreement in which we exchanged 1,195,269 shares of our common stock for the

5,312,053 shares of GeeWhiz common stock held by the participating shareholders.

In $\,$ July 2000, the second step of this acquisition was completed with the merger

of GeeWhiz into IVG, following which GeeWhiz ceased to exist as a separate $\,$

entity and became our promotional products division.

Neither party obtained a fairness opinion in connection with these transactions. Shareholder approval was not required with respect to the share exchange. A majority of the shareholders of both parties approved the merger. The terms of the transactions were the result of arm's length negotiations between the parties. Elorian Landers, who is now our Chief Executive Officer and a director, was GeeWhiz's Chief Executive Officer and principal stockholder at the time of these transactions. Thomas L. McCrimmon, who is now one of our directors, was our President and principal stockholder at the time of these transactions. GeeWhiz engaged in these transactions for the principal purpose of becoming a publicly traded company and acquiring the access to capital and liquidity associated with being publicly traded. IVG, which was a public shell company prior to these transactions, elected to be acquired by GeeWhiz in order to become an operating ecommerce business.

On January 23, 2002, the Company announced the signing of an Alliance agreement

with UTEK Corporation. The goal of the Alliance is to have UTEK identify

suitable technology acquisition opportunities for $\ensuremath{\mathsf{GeeWhiz}}.$ UTEK is an

innovative technology transfer company dedicated to building bridges between

university-developed technologies and commercial organizations. $\label{eq:commercial} \text{UTEK}$

identifies, licenses and finances the further development of new technologies

and the transfers them to growing companies. The company and UTEK Corp entered into a technology assessment agreement where UTEK was to locate and assess technology opportunities in governmental and university laboratories for the compensation of 114,276 restricted common shares of GPMT.

On January 24, 2002, the Company announced that GeeWhiz has appointed Kenneth

Simpson as its new Vice President of Sales. Mr. Simpson will oversee

the entire

marketing and sales strategy at GeeWhiz.

SWAN MAGNETICS

On September 28, 2000, we acquired approximately 88.5% of Swan Magnetics, Inc.,

a Santa Clara, California-based developer of proprietary ultra-high capacity

floppy disk drive technology. As part of a two-step purchase transaction, we

first exchanged 1,000,000 shares of our common stock for approximately 88.5% of

the common stock of Swan Magnetics. We then offered to exchange the common stock

received by those stockholders for warrants to purchase our common stock at an $\,$

exercise \mbox{price} equal to \$1.75. This permitted us to reduce the number of shares

we were issuing in the Swan acquisition. Stockholders exchanged an aggregate of

 $454,590\,$ shares of common stock for warrants to purchase our common stock. A

vote of our shareholders was not required to effect this acquisition. Neither

party obtained a fairness opinion in connection with this transaction. Eden $\operatorname{\text{\rm Kim}}$

was the principal shareholder and President of Swan Magnetics at the time of the

transaction. During this time, ${\mbox{Mr}}$. Kim was also our Chairman and Secretary.

Elorian Landers, our Chief Executive Officer and director, and Thomas ${\tt L.}$

McCrimmon, our director, were principal shareholders at the time of this

acquisition. We believe the Swan Magnetics shareholders engaged in these

transactions principally because of the economic terms, the additional liquidity $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Liq}}$

offered by becoming shareholders of a publicly-traded company, and the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

opportunity to participate in a broader business. We approved these transactions

primarily because Swan Magnetics possessed \$5.4 million in cash that could

assist us in financing our business strategy, and because we intended to \mbox{market}

Swan Magnetics' proprietary technology. We initially intended to pursue $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

strategic alliances with manufacturers of similar products and services in order $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

to $\mbox{\sc bring the Swan Magnetics'}$ technology to $\mbox{\sc market.}$ Subsequent to the closing of

our acquisition, however, we determined to pursue other revenue-producing activities.

Swan Magnetics Acquisition:

The transaction of the acquisition of Swan Magnetics was not an arms length transaction. Eden Kim was the Chairman of the Board and a

director of GPMT

and the president and a director of Swan Magnetics when the acquisition occurred. We incorporate by reference Form 8-k filed by the company November 11, 2001, note # 1. We further incorporate by reference Form 8-k filed by the company on May 2, 2002, Item # 5.

See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

In November 2000, Swan entered into a Research and Development Agreement with iTVr, Inc. to further develop technology intended to record, play back and time-shift certain broadband electronic transmission events such as live television, video email, and music videos. The initial development fee of \$250,000 was paid and expensed in 2000. The agreement required iTVr to provide certain deliverables prior to December 31, 2000 and, upon completion of an evaluation of those deliverables, to determine whether to provide additional funding. As a result of this evaluation, an additional development fee of \$500,000 was made to iTVr in January 2001. The agreement also requires Swan to use its best efforts to pursue additional financing for iTVr of up to \$2 million. The initial funding of \$250,000 was convertible into 2 million shares of common stock of iTVR within 60 days of the completion of the initial development phase. In addition, The initial development fee of \$500,000 was convertible into \$1 million shares of common stock of iTVR and a cashless warrant to acquire an additional 1 million shares of common stock at no additional cost if an additional investment of at least \$2 million is arranged for by Swan. Swan exercised its conversion rights related to the \$750,000 funding and received 3 million shares of common stock of iTVr in February 2001. This represents a 46% ownership in iTVr. The additional \$2 million financing, if acquired, will also be convertible into 2.5 million shares of commons tock of iTVr by the lender.

Sale of Swan Magnetics. The short history of this Company's merger with Swan and the problems that ensued is as follows. At all relevant times prior to June 30, 2001, Eden Kim was the Chairman of the Board and President of Swan. He was also Chairman of the Board of the Company. After the Company purchased 88.5% of the stock of Swan, and while Kim remained the Chairman of the Board of both Swan and the Company, all the needed financial and other information of Swan was provided to the Company. This information was used for the continued management of Swan and for the requisite SEC filings. A dispute with Kim arose in June 2001 and Kim resigned as the Chairman of the Company. Kim remained the President and Chairman of Swan. Thereafter, although Kim continued to agree to provide the Company audited financial statements and other information of Swan he in fact never did. There were numerous requests, both telephonically and written, to Kim requesting and demanding audited financials and other pertinent information regarding Swan. However, despite continued promises to do so, the information was never provided. On February 26, 2002, the Company terminated Kim as the President and Chairman of the Board of Swan. On March 6, 2002 we sold our 88.5% interest in Swan Magnetics, Inc. to Lumar Worldwide Industries, Inc. for a promissory note for \$2,500,000.

CYBERCOUPONS

CyberCoupons $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

manufacturers to offer coupons, consumers to retrieve the offers and $\operatorname{merchants}$

to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ real time. Much of the advertiser expense on

coupons consists of the printing, distribution and logistics associated with $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

coupon-based marketing activities. CyberCoupons believes that the disintermediation of coupon distribution and redemption can result in a

significant savings to the billions of dollars spent by manufacturers and

merchants to print, distribute and redeem paper coupons for grocery, household,

beauty and other products. CyberCoupons intends to allow shoppers to select

specific grocery coupons from the manufacturer's website or a merchant's website

for use at retail outlets nationwide. CyberCoupons has tested its virtual coupon

delivery and redemption process with a regional grocery store for pointof-sale

redemption of electronically downloaded coupons. CyberCoupons intends to enter

into alliances with national manufacturers and merchants and test its process on $\ \ \,$

a large scale. CyberCoupons does not have any preliminary plans, proposals,

arrangements or understandings to enter into alliances with any national

manufacturers or merchants at this time.

On January 9, 2001, we executed a Reorganization Agreement and Plan of Exchange $\,$

pursuant to which we exchanged 118,631 shares of our common stock for

approximately 35% of the common stock of CyberCoupons, Inc., a Houston,

Texas-based company. CyberCoupons is a development stage company that intends to

be a source for consumers to obtain coupons for grocery, household and beauty

products via the Internet. The terms of the transaction were the result of arm 's

length negotiations between the parties and were not required to be approved by

our shareholders. Neither party obtained a fairness opinion in connection with

this transaction. Rodney Hamp was the principal shareholder and $\operatorname{President}$ of

CyberCoupons at the time of the transaction, and continues to serve in that

capacity. Elorian Landers, our Chief Executive Officer and director, Thomas L.

McCrimmon, our director, and Eden Kim, our former Chairman and Secretary, were

principal shareholders at the time of this acquisition. We believe the $\,$

 $\label{lem:cyberCoupons} \mbox{ shareholders engaged in the transaction principally because of the}$

economic terms, the additional liquidity offered by becoming shareholders of a $\,$

publicly-traded company, and the opportunity to participate in a broader

business. We approved these transactions primarily because of CyberCoupons'

business strategy to distribute coupons over the Internet.

Our investment in CyberCoupons was diluted immediately, in the sense that the CyberCoupons shares acquired in exchange for our common stock have a book value that was far less than the trading price of our common stock at January 9, 2001. The company acquired thirty-five percent, 35% of Cybercoupons on January 9, 2001 for 118,631 shares of restricted stock of the company. On the date of the acquisition of the interest in Cybercoupons, the company's common stock was quoted at approximately \$1.50.

The company has discontinued the business of Cybercoupons and has written off its investment in Cybercoupons. The directors listed of Elorian Landers, Thomas McCrimmons and Eden Kim were directors of GPMT and were not directors of Cybercoupons at the time of the acquisition. The acquisition price was determined based on the future projected market share Cybercoupons was expected to capture with its technology.

Management does not intend to pursue this business

Best Staff Services, Inc. Acquisition:

venture.

- The company and Best Staff services, Inc entered into a letter of intent for the company to acquire a 45% interest in Best Staff. The letter of intent was terminated due to the Shelley Group's withdrawal of their representation and their inability to raise capital for the acquisition. The material terms of the letter of intent were:
- 1) Structure, The Acquisition shall be structured as either a Merger between Acquiror and the Company, an Asset Purchase Of certain assets of the Company, or a Stock Purchase of all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of the Company.
- 2)Purchase Price. The aggregate purchase price in the Acquisition (the "Purchase Price") will be payable at Closing (as defined below) by Acquiror in the amounts set forth below:
 - a) Purchase Price is based on six times annual after tax earnings of \$500,000 estimated to be \$3,000,000. GPMT agrees to a minimum purchase price of \$2,000,000.
 - b) Cash \$450,000. The cash portion to be distributed

over a period not to exceed 120 days following closing. The schedule for the cash payment will be 53% of the cash raised by GPMT as it is received from funding sources,

- c)A total of 1,425,000 GPMT restricted shares will be issued upon closing representing a value of \$2,550,000.
- d) An option to purchase 250,000 shares of GPMT common stock (the "Option"), at an exercise price equal to the closing price of the GPMT common stock, as quoted on the over-the-counter bulletin board on the Closing Date, where 50% shall be vested immediately and the balance to vest over the next 12 months. These options are to be for distribution to the owners and key management at the discretion of the Owners.

The company entered into an informal relationship with Applied Behavioral Sciences, LLC for the purpose of providing behavioral testing to determine the productivity of job applicants. Their testing would have added services to the human resource group. Once the acquisitions with Best Staff was terminated, the relationship with Applied Behavior was also terminated.

BUSINESS STRATEGY

THE CREATIVE PRODUCTS MARKET. We intend to explore opportunities to increase the

value of Gee Whiz, including the possible additional acquisition and strategic

alliance with related businesses. This market consists of a consumer element and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

a business element. The consumer segment of the industry is comprised of

companies that produce consumer art and gift products, such as posters and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

prints, calendars, greeting cards, stationary and gift items. The business $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

segment of the industry includes companies that market business promotional $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

products, such as our ${\tt GeeWhizUSA.com}$ division, which manufactures a variety of

illuminated logo merchandise.

EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL ACQUISITIONS

Currently the company is undergoing an organizational restructuring. We have substantially reduced our operations to reduce our operating costs. We currently have one unpaid employee, Elorian Landers, our CEO. We have relocated our corporate offices to Atlanta, GA to save additional costs. Upon the completion of the organizational restructuring, we will be in position to assess merger and acquisition candidates. However, there can be no assurances the restructuring will be successful.

DEVELOPING A SUCCESSFUL BUSINESS MODEL. Any new company must develop a business

model that eventually makes money and provides a return on investment. Some

companies have focused on gaining market share or revenues without regard to

profitability. Until recently, some of these companies were able to sustain this

approach due, in large part, to the tremendous run-up in their stock prices as

investors flocked to scoop up the newest Internet public offering. This high

valuation provided these companies with an Internet currency that allowed them

to grow through the acquisition of other Internet companies or to raise working

capital by issuing new securities to the Internet-starved financial community.

COMPETITION

COMPETITION IN THE PROMOTIONAL PRODUCTS INDUSTRY. Competition within the

promotional $\mbox{products}$ industry is highly fragmented and competitive, and some of

our competitors have substantially greater financial and other resources than we

do. Our promotional products compete with the services of in-house advertising, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

promotional products and purchasing departments and with designers and vendors $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Vendors}}$

of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$ single or multiple product lines. Our promotional products also compete for

advertising dollars with other media such as television, radio, newspapers, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

magazines $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

difficult and new competitors are continually commencing operations.

The primary methods of competition are creativity in product design, quality and

style of products, prompt delivery, customer service, price and $\ensuremath{\operatorname{financial}}$

strength. While some of our competitors may enjoy an advantage in one or more of

these areas, we are unique in the production of our patented illuminated $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

drinking containers and do not compete with others in the industry for customers

who wish to market their company, product or brand on drinking glasses that

light up. In the promotional products industry in general, major competitors $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

include Cyrk, Inc. and Ha-Lo, Industries, Inc.

We design, manufacture, and distribute a limited line of acrylic drinking glasses and plaques with colored light transmitted through the acrylic that illuminates an image in the product and creates a "halo" effect when the light exists. Our products are marketed as gifts and promotional or give-away items for companies, trade associations, clubs, sports teams, etc. Our drinking glasses are marketed as Starglas(TM). We currently offer three sizes, an 18-ounce mug, a 16-ounce tumbler, and a 9-ounce tumbler. The glasses are each attached to a removable base, which

contains the electronic parts for the light, and we currently offer five different colors, black, red, silver, blue, and green. The color of the light transmitted through the glass corresponds with the color of the base. Customers may choose from a small selection of existing graphics, or may submit artwork and we will put any logo or graphic on the glasses for their promotional use. Our illuminated plaques are marketed as LightArt. We currently offer four different shapes and the same five color choices for the base. Customers may choose from a small selection of existing graphics, or may submit artwork and we will put any logo or graphic on the glasses for their promotional or personal use.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

Our success and ability to compete may be dependent on our ability to develop

and maintain the proprietary aspects of technology and operate without

infringing on the proprietary rights of others. We rely on a combination of

patent, trademark, trade secret and copyright law and contractual restrictions $\$

to protect the proprietary aspects of our technology. We hold a license under $\ensuremath{\mathsf{US}}$

Patent Numbers 5,211,699 and 5,575,553 on proprietary fiber optic illuminated

drinking containers, as well as registered trademarks on Starglas(R) (Reg. No.

2,216,216) and Fyrglas(R) (Reg. No. 1,995,482). In addition, Fyrglas(R) is also

a registered trademark in Canada. We also have a patent pending with the ${\tt United}$

States Patent and Trademark Office (Application No. 09/842,701). We have no

reason to believe that this patent application will not be granted. These legal

protections afford only limited protection for our technology.

GeeWhiz our subsidiary, holds a license from a shareholder, Tommy Tipton, for U.S. Patent Numbers 5,211,699 and 5,575,553 on proprietary fiber optic illuminated drinking containers, as well as registered trademarks on Starglas (Reg. No. 2,216,216) from Elorian Landers and Fyrglas (Reg. No. 1,995,482). The license gives Group Management the right to use the patents without cost. In addition, Fyrglas is also a registered trademark in Canada. Mr. Landers also has, and GeeWhiz has the rights to a patent pending with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Application No. 09/842,701) for LightArt. All intellectual property related to Starglas and LightArt for which Group Management Corp. has an interest is provided to us through a verbal agreement for its use.

Despite efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties $\ensuremath{\mathsf{may}}$

attempt to copy aspects of our products or obtain and use information $\ensuremath{\operatorname{regarded}}$

as proprietary. Litigation may be necessary in the future to enforce our $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

intellectual property rights, protect our trade secrets, determine the validity

and scope of the proprietary rights of others or defend against

claims of

infringement or invalidity. Any such litigation could result in substantial

costs and diversion of resources and could have a material adverse effect on our $\,$

business, results of operations and financial condition. There can be no

or that competitors will not independently develop similar technology. Any

failure by us to meaningfully protect our property could have a material adverse $\,$

effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

To date, we have not been notified that our products or services infringe the $\,$

proprietary rights of third parties, but there can be no assurance that third $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

parties will not claim infringement with respect to our current or future

products and services. Any such claims, with or without merit, could be

time-consuming to defend, result in costly litigation, divert management's

attention $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ and resources, cause product shipment delays or require us to enter

into royalty or licensing agreements. Such royalty or licensing agreements may

not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all. A successful claim of

product infringement against us and our failure or inability to license the $\,$

infringed technology or develop or license technology with comparable

functionality \mbox{could} have a material adverse effect on our business, results of

operations and financial condition.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 22, 2002, the company had 1 unpaid employee, Elorian Landers, our CEO. We believe our relationship with our employees is good. None of our employees are a party to a collective bargaining agreement.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Except for historical information contained in this report, the statements included in the Business section, Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operations, including the risk factors, and elsewhere in this report contain forward-looking statements that are dependent upon a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. The factors listed under "Risk Factors" in Item 6, as well as cautionary language in this report, provide examples of risks, uncertainties and events that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the expectations we describe in our forward-looking statements. We do not intend to provide updated information about the matters referred to in these forward-looking

statements, other than in the context of Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operations contained in this report and other disclosures in the filings we make with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").

ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

Our principal executive offices are located as of December 17, 2002, at 101 Marietta St., Suite 1070, Atlanta, GA 30303. We relocated to the Atlanta, GA area to reduce our office expense costs. Currently we are sharing space with RGW Capital Group, Inc, an investment banking firm at no cost on a month to month basis.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

CONVERTIBLE NOTE HOLDERS. On February 2, 2001 we issued \$1.1 million of

convertible notes to four investors in a private placement. The convertible $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

notes $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) ^{2}$ mature on January 1, 2003 and bear interest at the rate of 6% per year.

The events of default under the notes are described in this report under the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

section captioned "Convertible Notes".

As part of the financing transactions involving the convertible notes, we agreed

to file a registration statement for the resale by the note holders of the

 ${\tt common}$ stock underlying the convertible notes and to have the registration

statement declared effective by June 17, 2001. The registration statement was

not declared effective by June 17, 2001 and has not been declared effective as

of the time of the filing of this report.

On September 10, 2001 we entered into a Security Agreement with the noteholders

and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

Officer and a director, and Thomas L. McCrimmon, a director. Under the Security $\$

Agreement, $\,\,$ Mr. Landers and his wife pledged 150,000 shares of our common stock,

Mr. McCrimmon pledged 10,900 shares of our common stock and other shareholders

pledged 89,250 shares of our common stock, all as security for our obligations

under the financing agreements with the noteholders. As part of this agreement, $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

the note holders waived the default and penalties under the convertible notes

for failure to make the registration statement effective by June 17, 2001,

provided that we file an amendment to the registration statement by October 20,

2001 and cause the registration statement to be declared effective by ${\tt December}$

10, 2001. The note holders also lent us an additional \$55,000\$ and we signed a

promissory note agreeing to repay this amount by the earlier of December, 2001

or the occurrence of an event of default under the Security Agreement.

On February 7, 2002, the convertible note holders declared a default on the $\,$

notes for failure to have the registration statement declared effective and made

demand for payment of the convertible notes and promissory notes. In addition,

the collateral agent under the Security Agreement released 239,400 shares of our

stock to the convertible note holders. The note holders further requested that $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

we deliver an opinion to our transfer agent so that they would be able to sell

in the public markets under Rule 144 the shares released by the collateral agent

and have the shares reissued in the note holders' names. One of the note holders

has also submitted a notice to convert a portion of its notes into our $\operatorname{\mathsf{common}}$

stock. Because of certain disputes with the note holders, we have not complied $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}$

with these requests.

On or about March 21, 2002, Alpha Capital Aktiengesellschaft,

International, S. A., Markham Holdings, LTD, and Stonestreet Limited

Partnership, the holders of the convertible notes, filed a complaint in United

States District Court for the Southern District of New York naming us, Elorian

Landers and his wife as defendants. In their complaint, the note holders allege, $\$

the following:

- o $\,$ $\,$ fraud $\,$ in connection with the sale of the convertible notes resulting from
 - alleged misrepresentations as to our cash position;
- o breach of contract on the notes for failure to have an effective

registration statement covering the resale of the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

the notes;

- o failure to honor conversion requests;
- o failure to repay the convertible notes and promissory notes and $\boldsymbol{;}$
- o anticipatory breach of contract on the notes.

In their complaint, the noteholders assert monetary damages and seek relief (i)

in the amount of \$1,155,000 plus interest, liquidated damages and attorneys fees

and other costs of enforcement for the breach of contract on the

notes, (ii)

unspecified $\mbox{monetary damages}$ for failure to cause the registration statement to

be effective and failure to take the steps necessary for the noteholders to sell

the shares under the Security Agreement pursuant to Rule 144, and (iii)

unspecified damages for failure to honor conversion notices. In addition, the

noteholders are seeking an order directing us to (i) cause the registration $\$

statement to be effective, (ii) to enforce conversion of the notes into common

stock, and (iii) to have us and the Landers take necessary actions to permit

plaintiffs to sell the common stock received from the collateral agent under $$\operatorname{\textsc{Rule}}$$ 144.

SWAN MAGNETICS, INC.

In $\mbox{March 2002, the Company was served with a lawsuit brought by Swan Magnetics,}$

Inc. in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara.

The only defendant in the action is the Company.

The Complaint alleges, that the Company breached its obligations under a promissory note in the principal amount of \$2,843,017, that the Company has breached its obligations under a series of settlement documents entered into between Swan and the Company, and that the Company has interfered with contractual relationships between Swan and certain third parties. The total relief sought by Swan is \$3,040,000, plus interests, costs, and punitive damages.

In separate correspondence, Mr. Eden Kim has alleged that the Company never

owned a majority interest in Swan Magnetics, Inc. The statement by Mr. Kim is solely his statement alone and is not a statement by the company.

The Company is vigorously defending this lawsuit although the Company believes

that the action lacks merit. The case is at a stage where no discovery has been $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N}}$

taken and no prediction can be made as to the outcome of this case.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

The $\,$ Board of Directors of the Company called $\,$ a Special Meeting of Shareholders $\,$

on December 3, 2001. There were three matters submitted to a vote. A majority of

the shareholders voted as follows:

Certificate of Incorporating and effect a one-for-twenty reverse stock split and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

a decrease in the Company's authorized common stock from 300,000,000 to 150,000,000 shares

For 35,438,213

Against 98,628 Abstain 0

A proposal to approve a further amendment to Article IV of the Company's

Certificate of Incorporation to authorize 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock

and to permit such shares of preferred stock to be designated and issued from

time to time, and the rights of such preferred stock to be fixed from time to

time, by the Board of Directors without shareholder approval.

For 35,113,367 Against 423,474 Abstain 0

A proposal to approve an amendment to Article I of the Company's

Certificate of Incorporation to effect a change in the Company's name from IVG

Corp. to Group Management Corp.

For 35,536,841 Against 0 Abstain 0

PART II

TTEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

MARKET PRICE INFORMATION

Trading of our common stock commenced on the OTC Bulletin Board on July 13,

2000. Our common stock is traded on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol

"GPMT." The reported high and low bid prices for our common stock, as

by the OTC Bulletin Board, are shown below for the third quarter of 2000 through

the fourth quarter of 2001. These over-the-counter market quotations reflect

inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and may

not represent actual transactions.

BID PRICE LOW HIGH

2000

Third Quarter (pre split) . \$ 1.50 \$7.00 Fourth Quarter (pre split) . \$ 1.00 \$2.31

2001

As of March 31, 2002, there were approximately 720 holders of record of our common stock.

Market Manipulation

The company alleges that on January 9, 10, 11, 2002 the plaintiff's in the litigation with the convertible debentures and associates manipulated the common share price of the company's stock in order for the plaintiff's to convert their debentures into more common shares of the company's stock. One of the company's market makers, Frankel & company entered a bid for \$0.29 per shares and held the bid at that level for a period of three days. This closing bid price of \$0.29 per share allowed the plaintiff's to convert their debentures into more shares than they were entitled.

DIVIDENDS

directors.

We have not paid any cash dividends to date and have no intention to pay any $\ensuremath{\mathsf{any}}$

cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. The $\operatorname{declaration}$

and payment of dividends on our common stock is subject to the discretion of our

board of directors and to certain limitations imposed under the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{General}}$

Corporation Law of the State of Delaware. The timing, amount and form of

dividends, if any, will depend on our results of operations, financial

condition, cash requirements and other factors deemed relevant by our board of

Penny Stock Disclosures:

PENNY STOCK. Until the Company's shares qualify for inclusion in the Nasdaq system, the trading of the Company's securities, if any, will be in the over-the-counter markets which are commonly referred to as the "pink sheets" or on the OTC Bulletin Board. As a result, an investor may find it more difficult to dispose of, or to obtain accurate quotations as to the price of the securities offered.

Effective August 11, 1993, the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted Rule 15g-9, which established the definition of a "penny stock," for purposes relevant to the Company, as any equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or with an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. For any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the rules require: (i) that a broker

or dealer approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks; and (ii) the broker or dealer receive from the investor a written agreement to the transaction, setting forth the identity and quantity of the penny stock to be purchased. In order to approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks, the broker or dealer must (i) obtain financial information and investment experience and objectives of the person; and (ii) make a reasonable determination that the transactions in penny stocks are suitable for that person and that person has sufficient knowledge and experience in financial matters to be capable of evaluating the risks of transactions in penny stocks. The broker or dealer must also deliver, prior to any transaction in a penny stock, a disclosure schedule prepared by the Commission relating to the penny stock market, which, in highlight form, (i) sets forth the basis on which the broker or dealer made the suitability determination; and (ii) that the broker or dealer received a signed, written agreement from the investor prior to the transaction. Disclosure also has to be made about the risks of investing in penny stock in both public offering and in secondary trading, and about commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative, current quotations for the securities and the rights and remedies available to an investor in cases of fraud in penny stock transactions. Finally, monthly statements have to be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the account and information on the limited market in penny stocks.

The National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. (the "NASD"), which administers NASDAQ, has recently made changes in the criteria for continued NASDAQ eligibility. In order to continue to be included on NASDAQ, a company must maintain \$2,000,000 in net tangible assets or \$35,000,000 in market capitalization or \$500,000 net income in latest fiscal year or 2 of last 3 fiscal years, a \$1,000,000 market value of its publicly-traded securities and 500,000 shares in public float. In addition, continued inclusion requires two market-makers and a minimum bid price of \$1.00 per share.

Management intends to strongly consider undertaking transaction with any merger or acquisition candidate, which will allow the Company's securities to be traded without the aforesaid limitations. However, there can be no assurances that, upon a successful merger or acquisition, the Company will qualify its securities for listing on NASDAQ or some other national exchange, or be able to maintain the maintenance criteria necessary to insure continued listing. The failure of the Company to qualify its securities or to meet the relevant maintenance criteria after such qualification in the future may result in the discontinuance of the inclusion of the Company's securities on a national exchange. In such events, trading, if any, in the Company's securities may then continue in the over-the-counter market. As a result, a shareholder may find it more difficult to dispose of, or to obtain accurate quotations as to the market value of, the Company's securities

RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES

In each of the private sales of stock below, the company had each purchaser complete a subscription agreement where the purchaser attested that they were an accredited investor.

On March 30, 2000, we sold to one investor 6,250 shares of our common stock, at

a price of \$2.00 per share, for gross proceeds of \$12,500. The investor

qualified as an "accredited investor" within the meaning of Rule 501(a) of

Regulation D under the Securities Act . The securities, which were taken for

investment and were subject to appropriate transfer restrictions, were issued

without registration under the Securities Act in reliance upon the exemption

provided in Section $4\,(2)$ of the Securities Act and Rule 506 of Regulation D.

On April 2, 2000, we sold to one investor a total of 2,500 shares of our common

stock, at a price of \$1.90 per share, for gross proceeds of \$4,750. The investor

qualified as a "accredited investor" within the meaning of Rule 501(a) of

Regulation D under the Securities Act. The securities, which were taken for

investment and were subject to appropriate transfer restrictions, were issued

without registration under the Securities \mbox{Act} in reliance upon the exemption

provided in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 of Regulation D.

On April 5, 2000, we sold to two investors a total of 12,500 shares of our

common stock, at a price of \$2.00 per share, for gross proceeds of \$25,000. The

investors $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

of Regulation D under the Securities Act. The securities, which were taken for $\,$

investment and were subject to appropriate transfer restrictions, were issued

without registration under the Securities Act in reliance upon the exemption

provided in Section $4\,(2)$ of the Securities Act and Rule 506 of Regulation D.

On June 5, 2000, we sold to one investor 50,000 shares of our common stock, at a

price of \$2.00 per share, for gross proceeds of \$100,000. The investor qualified

as an "accredited investor" within the meaning of Rule 501(a) of Regulation $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}}$

under the Securities Act. The securities, which were taken for investment and

were subject to appropriate transfer restrictions, were issued without

registration under the Securities $\mbox{\it Act}$ in reliance upon the exemption provided in

Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 of Regulation D.

On June 12, 2000, we sold to three investors a total of 125,000 shares of our $\,$

common stock, at a price of \$2.00 per share, for gross proceeds of

\$250,000. The

investors $\mbox{qualified}$ as "accredited investors" within the meaning of Rule 501(a)

of Regulation D under the Securities Act. The securities, which were taken for $\,$

investment and were subject to appropriate transfer restrictions, were issued

without registration under the Securities Act in reliance upon the exemption

provided in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 of Regulation D.

On July 7, 2000, we merged with GeeWhiz.com, Inc. and issued 2,939,526 shares of

our common stock to minority shareholders of ${\tt GeeWhiz.}$ The securities, which were

taken for investment and were subject to appropriate transfer restrictions, were

issued without registration under the Securities $\mbox{\it Act}$ in reliance upon the

exemption provided in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On September 28, 2000, we exchanged 1,000,000 shares of our common stock for

approximately 88.5% of Swan Magnetics, Inc., pursuant to a share exchange

agreement with 84 shareholders of Swan. No more than $\,$ 35 $\,$ of the Swan shareholders

were $% \left(1\right) =0$ not "accredited investors" within the meaning of Rule 501(a) of Regulation

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}}$ under the Securities Act. This share exchange was followed by an offer made to

the accredited investors who participated in the original exchange to exchange $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

the shares received in the share exchange for warrants to purchase our $\ensuremath{\mathsf{common}}$

stock at an exercise price of \$1.75 per share. The shareholders exchanged an

aggregate 454,590 shares of common stock for warrants to purchase our common

stock. The securities, which were taken for investment and were subject to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

appropriate transfer restrictions, were issued without registration under the

Securities Act in reliance upon the exemption provided in Section $4\,(2)$ of the

Securities Act and Rule 506 of Regulation D. The number of investor who were accredited were 100. Of those who participated in the shares exchange, each investor signed a subscription agreement where they attested they were an accredited investor.

On October 2, 2000, we issued to two persons 20,000 shares of our common stock $\,$

as $\mbox{repayment}$ for loans provided to the company by such persons. We valued the

shares $% \left(1.00\right) =0.00$ at %1.00 per share. The two persons qualified as "accredited investors"

within the meaning of Rule 501(a) of Regulation D under the Securities $\mbox{\sc Act.}$ The

securities, which were taken for investment and were subject to appropriate $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

transfer restrictions, were issued without registration under the

Securities Act

in reliance upon the exemption provided in Section $4\,(2)$ of the Securities Act

and Rule 506 of Regulation D.

On December 15, 2000, we issued to three persons 139,500 shares of our common

stock as repayment for loans provided to the company by such persons. We valued $\,$

the shares at \$1.00 per share. The three persons qualified as "accredited

investors" within the meaning of Rule 501(a) of Regulation D under the

Securities Act. The securities, which were taken for investment and were subject

to appropriate transfer restrictions, were issued without registration under the $\,$

Securities Act in reliance upon the exemption provided in Section $4\left(2\right)$ of the

Securities Act and Rule 506 of Regulation D.

On October 25, 2001, we sold to six investor 25,750 shares of our common stock,

at a price of \$1.08 per share, for gross proceeds of \$28,000. The investors

qualified as an "accredited investor" within the meaning of Rule $501\,(\mathrm{a})$ of

Regulation D under the Securities Act. The securities, which were taken for

investment and were subject to appropriate transfer restrictions, were issued

without registration under the Securities Act in reliance upon the exemption

provided in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 of Regulation D.

On November 9, 2001, we issued 117,500 shares of our common stock as

compensation for consultants, at a price of \$.50 per share, for gross proceeds

of \$58,750. The investor qualified as an "accredited investor" within the

meaning of Rule 501(a) of Regulation D under the Securities Act. The securities,

which were taken for investment and were subject to appropriate transfer

restrictions, were issued without registration under the Securities Act in

reliance upon the exemption provided in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and

Rule 506 of Regulation D.

On December 6, 2001 we sold to six investors 24,150 shares of our common stock,

at a price of \$0.95 per share, for gross proceeds of \$22,900. The investor

qualified as an "accredited investor" within the meaning of Rule 501(a) of

Regulation D under the Securities Act. The securities, which were taken for

investment and were subject to appropriate transfer restrictions, were issued

without registration under the Securities $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Act}}$ in reliance upon the exemption

provided in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 of Regulation D.

Convertible Debenture Sale

On February 2, 2001, Alpha Capital Aktiengesellschaft, AMRO International, S.A., Markham Holdings Ltd. and Stonestreet Limited Partnership (the "investors") purchased from us an aggregate \$1,100,000 of our 6% convertible notes due 2003. Under our agreement with the investors, we will be obligated to issue additional shares of our common stock to them if the closing bid price of our common stock is not equal to or greater than \$2.374 for 10 consecutive trading days during the 180-day period beginning on the effective date of this registration statement. In consideration for their investment, we also issued the investors warrants to purchase an aggregate of 275,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.647. In partial consideration for serving as our financial advisor and private placement agent in connection with the issuance of the notes, we issued Union Atlantic Capital, L.C. a warrant to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.647.

ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATIONS

OVERVIEW

We $\,$ were incorporated in Florida in 1987 under the name Sci Tech Ventures, Inc.,

and changed our name to Strategic Ventures, Inc. in May 1991 and Internet

Venture Group, Inc. in October 1999 and to IVG Corp. in March 2002. Effective $\,$

December 31, 1999, control of Internet Venture Group, Inc. was acquired by

shareholders of GeeWhiz.com, Inc., a Texas corporation. We changed our name to

Group Management Corp in December 2001.

We have expanded our business into other areas during 2000 and 2001 through a $\,$

series of acquisitions. In September 2000, we acquired 88.5% of the common stock

of $\,$ Swan $\,$ Magnetics, Inc., developer of a proprietary ultra-high capacity floppy

disk drive technology (which we sold in March 2002). During 2001, Swan Magnetics $\,$

acquired 46% of the common stock of iTVr, Inc., which is developing next

generation digital video recording technology. In January 2001, we acquired $35\ensuremath{\%}$

of the common stock of CyberCoupons, Inc., a development stage company that $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

intends to be a source for consumers to obtain coupons for grocery, health and

beauty products over the Internet. We sold our interests in Swan

Magnetics in March 2002.

In April 2001, we acquired SES-Corp., Inc., a professional employer organization

pursuant to an Amended and Restated Asset Purchase Agreement and Agreement and

Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement"). In the merger SES became a wholly owned

subsidiary of ours. The shares of SES common stock outstanding immediately prior $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

to the effective time of the merger were converted into the right to receive

590,964 shares of our common stock. 500,000 shares of our common stock were to

be placed in an escrow account (the "Escrow Shares") to secure certain

indemnification obligations set forth in the Merger Agreement. There was no prior affiliation between the officers and directors of SES Corp and GPMT, prior to the acquisition.

Subsequent to our acquisition of SES, we became aware that SES was the subject

of an investigation by the Internal Revenue Service relating to its actions

prior to our acquisition of the company. SES also had some of its bank accounts

frozen by a bank that claimed the accounts were overdrawn by over \$30 million.

and subsequently filed for bankruptcy protection. In light of these

developments, we entered into an agreement with the two former shareholders of

SES in August 2001 in which we disposed of SES by exchanging all of the issued $\,$

and outstanding shares of SES for the Escrow Shares. Pursuant to the terms of

the Agreement, these shareholders each retained 45,482 shares of our common

stock issued to them under the Merger Agreement.

The cost of our acquisition and subsequent disposition of SES was approximately

\$522,000. Additionally, we recorded stock based compensation expense of

approximately \$2,300,000, related to the approximately 90,000 shares of stock

currently held by the former shareholders of SES.

In re: Polar Maintenance Company, Inc,. Debtor; Simplified Employment Services., v. v. Group Management Corp.; Adversary Proceeding No. 024734, In the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division. Cause No. 01-53170

The Plaintiff brought this adversary proceeding against the company seeking damages pursuant to a promissory note. The Company alleged the proceeds were tendered to the company as consideration for the merger of SES with the Company.

Our financial condition and results of operations for 1999 are based

solely upon

the business activities of ${\tt GeeWhiz.com.}$ Our financial condition and results of

operations for 2000 and 2001 are based upon the business activities of our $\,$

GeeWhiz division and our Swan Magnetics, Inc. subsidiary. During these periods,

we also incurred expenses relating to our corporate overhead, our investment in

CyberCoupons, and Swan Magnetics' investment in iTVr. All of our revenues to

date have been derived from product sales by our ${\tt GeeWhiz}$ division.

At December 31, 2001, we had current assets of approximately \$239,642 and total

assets of approximately \$944,370.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

COMPARISON OF THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 AND DECEMBER 31, 2000

Revenues increased to \$574,826 for the year ended December 31, 2001, compared to

\$396,300 for the comparable period in 2000. The increase was attributable

principally to increased product sales. Cost of goods sold increased to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

\$356,071 from \$298,742 for the same periods. This increase was primarily due to

increased product sales resulting from our participation in a major trade show

during this period.

General and administrative expenses increased to \$14,642,133\$ from \$5,443,807.

This increase was due primarily to expenses for shares issued in acquisitions,

increased stock-based compensation and increased costs due to acquisitions and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

expansion of our operations. We also recorded interest expense of \$28,872 and a

depreciation of \$147,679 during 2001.

Our net loss for the year ended December 31, 2001 was \$14,599,929, compared to a

net loss of \$21,146,513 for the year ended December 31, 2000. The loss in 2001

is related primarily to expenses for shares issued in acquisitions, increased $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

consulting, legal and accounting fees incurred in connection with acquisition $\$

activity and increased costs due to expansion of Company operations. The larger $\,$

loss in 2000 was primarily related to the \$18,039,591 expenses associated with

the shares issued in our acquisition of Swan Magnetics, which was recorded as an

expense for purchased in-process technology on our statement of operations.

The in-process technology consisted of a proprietary floppy disk drive technology that had reached prototype form. Our initial intent was to take this technology to market via strategic alliances with other companies providing parallel products and services to customers. We also believed the acquisition would provide us with needed cash and consolidate our common shareholders into one company. Initially, an Asian company expressed interest in acquiring Swan's technology. However, it was later determined that the technology has been replaced by inexpensive portable computer hard drives that have the capacity to store more information than Swan Magnetic's proprietary highcapacity floppy disk drive. Because we were unable to complete the sale of the technology prior to the development of more sophisticated technology by competitors, it was determined post-acquisition that we would be better served pursuing other revenue producing activities.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net cash used in operating activities was \$2,847,396 for the year ended December 31, 2001 and \$1,092,008 for the comparable period of 2000. We had approximately \$98,000 in cash at December 31, 2001.

Operations for the year ended December 31, 2001 were financed principally through loans from institutional investors, SES-Corp., Inc., which was our subsidiary until August 8, 2001, and our Swan Magnetics, Inc. subsidiary. The loan proceeds totaled approximately \$3.1 million. In addition, we obtained

Our loan from SES-Corp., Inc. in the principal amount of \$1 million was due in

services or paid expenses through the issuance of common stock.

September 2001. Our \$1.1 million convertible notes are due on January 1, 2003,

and our note from Swan Magnetics in the principal amount of approximately \$2.8

million. In addition, we obtained services or paid expenses through the

issuance of common stock.

Our acquisition of Swan Magnetics in September 2000 generated cash of approximately \$5,4000,000, of which \$1,500,000 was restricted for payment of a promissory note to a vendor. Prior to obtaining funding from Swan Magnetics and subsequently acquiring Swan Magnetics in September 2000, we financed

our losses

from operations in 2001 and 2000 principally through the issuance of our common $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

stock in private transactions and borrowings from our management and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

stockholders.

In addition, in both 2001 and 2000, we obtained services or paid expenses $\,$

through the issuance of common stock.

Our loan from SES-Corp., Inc. in the principal amount of \$1 million was due in

September 2001. Our \$1.1 million convertible notes are due on January 1,

2003, and our note from Swan Magnetics in the principal amount of approximately $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

 $\$2.8\,$ million is due on August 1, 2003. We need to raise additional capital in

order to satisfy these obligations. See "Certain Relationships and Related $\,$

Transactions" and "Financing Agreements" for descriptions of the convertible

notes and Swan Magnetics note.

On February 2, 2001 we issued \$1.1 million of convertible notes to four

investors in a private placement. The convertible notes mature on January 1,

2003 and bear interest at the rate of 6% per year. If we do not pay amounts on $\,$

the notes when due, the outstanding amounts will bear interest at the rate of

20% per year. At the noteholders option, all principal and interest due on the $\,$

notes $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ becomes immediately due and payable upon an event of default as set forth

in the notes. The events of default under the notes are described in this report

under the section captioned "Convertible Notes". Among the events of default $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$

specified in the notes are the failure to pay any amounts when due under a note

and the continuation of such nonpayment for $10\,$ days. We did not make the

interest payments due on the notes on December 1, 2001.

As part of the financing transactions involving the convertible notes, we agreed

to file a registration statement for the resale by the note holders of the

 ${\tt common}$ stock underlying the convertible notes and to have the registration

statement declared effective by June 17, 2001. Further, we agreed that if the

registration statement was not declared effective by June 17, 2001, we would pay

the note holders liquidated damages in the amount of $1\mbox{\ensuremath{\$}}\xspace$ per month of the

principal of the notes for the first 30 days and 2% per month thereafter. The

registration statement was not declared effective by June 17, 2001 and has not

been declared effective as of the time of the filing of this report.

On September 10, 2001 we entered into a Security Agreement with the note holders

and certain of our shareholders, including Elorian Landers, our Chief Executive ${\color{black}\mathsf{Executive}}$

Officer and a director, and Thomas L. McCrimmon, a director. Under the Security $\$

Agreement, Mr. Landers and his wife pledged 3 million shares of our common

stock, Mr. McCrimmon pledged 218,000 shares of our common stock and other

shareholders $\mbox{pledged}$ 1,785,000 shares of our common stock, all as security for

our obligations under the financing agreements with the note holders. As part of

this agreement, the note holders waived the default and penalties under the $\,$

convertible note relating to the failure to make the registration statement

effective by June 17, 2001, provided that we file an amendment to the $\,$

registration statement by October 20, 2001 and cause the registration statement

to be declared effective by December 10, 2001. In addition, the convertible note

holders lent us an additional \$55,000 for which we executed a promissory note

agreeing to repay the \$55,000 on the earlier of December 20, 2001 or on event of

default under the Security Agreement. The promissory note has not yet been repaid.

On February 7, 2002, the convertible note holders declared a default on the $\,$

notes for failure to have the registration statement declared effective and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{made}}$

demand $\$ for $\$ payment of the convertible notes and promissory notes. In addition,

the collateral agent under the Security Agreement released 4,788,000 shares of

our stock to the convertible note holders. The note holders further requested $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

that $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ we deliver an opinion to our transfer agent so that they would be able to

sell in the public markets under SEC Rule 144 the shares released by the $\,$

collateral agent and have the shares reissued in the note holders' names. One of

the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

into our common stock. Because of certain disputes with the note holders, we

have not complied with these requests.

On or about March 21, 2002, the note holders filed a complaint in federal court

naming Elorian Landers, his wife and us as defendants. In their

complaint, the

note holders allege, the following: breach of contract on the notes for failure to have an effective registration statement covering the resale of the common stock underlying the notes, failure to honor conversion requests and failure to repay the convertible notes and promissory notes. In their complaint, the note holders assert monetary damages and seek relief in the amount of \$1,155,000 plus interest, liquidated damages and attorneys fees and other costs of enforcement for the breach of contract on the notes, unspecified monetary damages for failure to cause the registration statement to be effective and failure to take the steps necessary for the note holders to sell the shares under the Security Agreement pursuant to Rule 144, and unspecified damages for failure to honor conversion notices. In addition, the note holders are seeking an order directing us to cause the registration statement to be declared effective. The note holders have also alleged fraud in connection with the sale of the convertible notes.

We are presently seeking to obtain alternative financing to repay the $\,$

convertible notes and to work out an arrangement with the note holders for $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

resolution of these matters. If we are not able to obtain alternative financing

and the note holders are successful in their action to collect on the notes, we

would be unable to make payment in full on the notes and would consider all

strategic alternatives available to us, possibly including a bankruptcy,

insolvency, reorganization or liquidation proceeding or other proceeding under $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

bankruptcy law or laws providing for relief of debtors. It is also possible that $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

one of these types of proceedings could be instituted against us. In any event, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

the convertible notes must be repaid or refinanced by the original maturity date $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

of January 1, 2003.

Management has taken steps to revise our operating and financial requirements to

accommodate our available cash flow, including the temporary suspension of

management and certain employee salaries. As a result of these efforts, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

management believes funds on hand, cash flow from operations and additional $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

issuance of common equity will enable us to meet our liquidity needs for at $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$

least the foreseeable future. We need to raise additional cash, however, in

order to satisfy our proposed business plan, to meet obligations, and $\ensuremath{\operatorname{expand}}$ our

operations. Management is presently investigating potential financing

transactions and acquisitions that management believes can provide additional $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

cash $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

attempt to raise funds through private sales of our common stock. Although

management believes that these efforts will enable us to meet our liquidity

needs in the future, there can be no assurance that these efforts will be

successful. In addition any adverse outcome under either of the legal actions

pending against the Company could result in a material adverse effect on the $\,$

Company financial position and its ability to fund obligations and operations $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

and to raise additional capital.

GOING CONCERN CONSIDERATION

We have continued losses from operations, negative cash flow and liquidity

problems. These conditions raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}$

as a going concern. The accompanying financial statements do not include any

adjustments $\mbox{relating}$ to the $\mbox{recoverability}$ of $\mbox{reported}$ assets or $\mbox{liabilities}$

should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

We have been able to continue based upon loans from institutional investors and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

our subsidiaries, and the financial support of certain of our stockholders.

Management $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

and financial requirements provide the opportunity for us to continue as a going $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

concern. Management is presently investigating potential financing transactions

and acquisitions that management believes can provide additional cash for the $\,$

operations and be profitable in both the short and long-term. Management also

intends to attempt to raise funds through private sales of our common stock.

Although management believes that these efforts will enable us to meet our

liquidity needs in the future, there can be no assurance that these efforts \mbox{will}

be successful.

RISK FACTORS

RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH OUR BUSINESS

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO IDENTIFY AND PURCHASE INTERESTS IN COMPANIES THAT FIT WITHIN

OUR BUSINESS PLAN, OUR BUSINESS STRATEGY WILL NOT BE SUCCESSFUL. Our success

depends upon the ability of our managers to identify and close the acquisition $\ensuremath{\mathsf{acq}}$

of equity interests in companies that compliment our overall strategy and

business plan. No assurances can be given that we will be able to

identify

complimentary companies that are interested in completing transactions with us.

Even if such prospects are successfully identified, any number of factors could

preclude us from successfully completing the transactions, including the failure

to agree on terms, incompatibility of management teams, competitive bids from $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

other companies, lack of capital to complete the transactions or unwillingness

on the part of the prospects. If we cannot acquire substantial equity interests

WE FACE SUBSTANTIAL COMPETITION AND, IN MANY CASES, BETTER-FINANCED COMPETITORS,

WHICH MAY RESULT IN OUR INABILITY TO CLOSE ACQUISITIONS. The business of

developing, acquiring and capitalizing companies is highly competitive. Our

competitors include existing holding companies that have a longer operating $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

history, existing portfolios of professional employer organizations,

substantially greater financial resources and an established market for their

publicly traded securities. We also face competition from venture capital

companies, investment banks, Internet holding companies and large capitalization $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

industrial companies with active investment and venture capital divisions. There

is no assurance that we will be successful in finding suitable portfolio $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

companies or that such companies will want to be acquired by us. If we cannot

acquire suitable portfolio companies, we will not be able to implement our business plan.

BECAUSE WE HAVE A HISTORY OF LOSSES AND EXPECT TO INCUR FURTHER LOSSES, WE MAY

BE $\,$ UNABLE TO CONTINUE AS A GOING CONCERN. Historically, we have incurred losses

from operations, and accumulated a deficit of \$36,075,555\$ through June 30, 2001.

Our stockholders' deficit at June 30, 2001 was (\$173,056). We incurred losses of

\$291,831 and \$21,146,313 for the years ended December 31, 1999 and 2000,

respectively. Our independent accountants have included an explanatory paragraph

in their report on our financial statements stating that our financial $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going $\operatorname{concern}$,

but a substantial doubt exists as to our ability to do so because of these $\,$

recurring losses from operations and our net capital deficiency.

```
WE MAY INCUR SIGNIFICANT COSTS TO AVOID INVESTMENT COMPANY STATUS AND
WILL BE
REQUIRED TO CHANGE THE WAY WE OPERATE IF WE ARE DEEMED TO BE AN
INVESTMENT
COMPANY AT SOME POINT IN THE FUTURE. We may incur significant costs
investment company status and may suffer other adverse consequences
deemed to be an investment company under the Investment Company Act of
1940 (the
"1940 Act"). Some of our equity investments in other businesses may
constitute
investment securities under the 1940 Act. A company may be deemed
to be an
investment company if it owns investment securities with a value
exceeding 40%
of its total assets, subject to certain exclusions. Investment
companies are
subject to registration under, and compliance with, the 1940 Act
unless a
particular exclusion or SEC safe harbor applies. If we were to be
deemed an
investment company, we would become subject to the requirements of the
1940 Act.
As a consequence, we would be prohibited from engaging in business or
issuing
our securities as we have in the past. We might also be subject to
civil and
criminal penalties for noncompliance. In addition, certain of our
contracts
might be voidable, and a court-appointed receiver could take control
of us and
liquidate our business.
Although management anticipates that our investment securities will
comprise
less than 40% of our total assets, fluctuations in the value of these
securities
or of our other assets may cause this limit to be exceeded. Unless an
exclusion
or safe harbor was available to us, we would have to attempt to
reduce our
investment securities as a percentage of our total assets. This
reduction can be
attempted in a number of ways, including the disposition of
investment
securities and the acquisition of non-investment security assets. If
required to sell investment securities, we may have to sell some sooner
otherwise would. These sales may be at depressed prices and we may never
the anticipated benefits from, or may incur losses on, these
investments. We may
not be able to sell some investments due to contractual or legal
restrictions or
the inability to locate a suitable buyer. Moreover, we may incur tax
liabilities
when we sell assets. We may also be unable to purchase additional
```

securities that may be important to our operating strategy. If we are

required

or decide to acquire non-investment security assets, we may not be able to

identify and acquire suitable assets and businesses.

OUR WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS MAY CAUSE US TO SEEK ADDITIONAL FINANCING IN

THE NEAR-TERM, AND, IF SUCH FINANCING IS UNAVAILABLE, WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO

 ${\tt IMPLEMENT}$ OUR BUSINESS PLAN. Our working capital requirements and the cash flow

provided by future operating activities, if any, will vary greatly from quarter

to quarter, depending on the volume of business during the period and payment

terms with our customers. There can be no assurance that adequate levels of $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,2,3,\ldots \right\}$

additional financing, whether through additional equity financing, debt

financing or other sources, will be available, or will be available when needed $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

or on terms favorable to us. Additional financings could result in significant

dilution to our existing stockholders or the issuance of securities with rights

superior to our current outstanding securities. If adequate capital is not

available or is not available on acceptable terms, we may be unable to fully

implement our business plan, develop or enhance our services, take advantage of

future opportunities or respond to competitive pressures on a timely basis, if

at all. If we are unable to obtain additional financing as needed, we may be

required to reduce the scope of our operations or our anticipated expansion.

OUR STRATEGY OF EXPANDING OUR BUSINESS THROUGH ACQUISITIONS OF OTHER BUSINESSES

AND TECHNOLOGIES PRESENTS SPECIAL RISKS. We intend to continue to expand through

the acquisition of businesses, technologies, products and services from other $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

companies. Acquisitions involve a number of special problems, which we may not

be capable of handling. Those problems include, but are not limited to, the following:

o difficulty integrating acquired technologies, operations and personnel

with our existing business;

o diversion of management's attention in connection with both negotiating $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

the acquisitions and integrating the businesses and assets;

o $\,$ potential issuance of securities in connection with the acquisition, which

securities dilute the current holders of our outstanding

securities;

o strain on managerial and operational resources as management tries to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

oversee larger operations;

- o exposure of unforeseen liabilities of acquired companies;
- o $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ the requirement to record additional future operating costs for the

amortization of goodwill and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

be significant.

ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Our audited Consolidated Financial Statements as of and for the years ended $\,$

December 31, 2000 and 2001 are included on pages F-1 through F-20 of this report.

ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

PART III

ITEM 9. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS;

COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT.

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The name, age and position of our executive officers and directors are as follows:

NAME	AGE	POSITION
Elorian Landers	53	Chief Executive Officer and
Director		
Thomas McCrimmon	58	Director
Clay Border	36	Chief Development Officer,
Secretary		

and Director

Our directors serve until the next annual meeting of our shareholders and until

their respective successors are elected and qualified. Our officers serve at the $\,$

pleasure of our board of directors.

ELORIAN LANDERS has served as our Chief Executive Officer and as a director of the company since December 1999. He has also served as a consultant to and director of GeeWhiz since February 1996, and as the President of GeeWhiz since October 1998. Mr. Landers holds a B.A. in Advertising from Art Center College in

Pasadena, California. He also attended Texas A&M University, where he studied architecture. In addition Mr. Landers was also an investor and director of New Visual Entertainment, Inc.

THOMAS MCCRIMMON has served as a director of the company since $1987. \ \mathrm{Mr.}$

McCrimmon was involved in merger and acquisition work, SEC and management

consulting to private and public companies from 1976 through 1983 as the founder

and owner of Bay Business Consultants, a business brokerage and consulting firm.

 $\operatorname{Mr.}$ McCrimmon has been the President and founder of Florida Hi-Tech Capital,

Inc., Tampa, Florida, a privately held financial management consulting firm

since 1984. From 1988 to 1990, Mr. McCrimmon was president of Paragon

Acquisitions Group, Inc., a public company which acquired Sun Up Foods, Inc.,

Benton, Kentucky, a processor of citrus juice concentrate for resale to dairies

nationwide. Mr. McCrimmon was President of Baystar Capital, Inc., a public shell

company which merged with American Clinical Laboratories, Tampa, Florida, from

1988 to 1991. Mr. McCrimmon also serves as the President and a director of

Global Assets & Services, Inc., a public shell company.

CLAY BORDER has served as our Chief Development Officer and Secretary since ${\tt July}$

2001. He became one of our directors on October 3, 2001. From October 1999 until

joining IVG, Mr. Border was Vice President of Business Development for EC $\,$

Outlook, a developer of business to business software. From 1993 until early

2000, Mr. Border was employed by UBS Paine Webber, where he served as a First

Vice President. While at Paine Webber, Mr . Border served as an investment

advisor to corporations and high net worth individuals. Mr. Border received his

Bachelors of Business Administration from the University of Texas at Austin in 1989.

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's

directors $\,$ and executive officers and persons who own more than ten percent of a

registered class of the Company's equity securities to file with the SEC

reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of ${\tt Common}$ Stock and

other equity securities of the Company. Officers, directors and α

ten percent shareholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company

with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

To the Company's knowledge, none of the required parties are delinquent in their $16\,(a)$ filings.

ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table sets forth the summary of compensation paid to our named executive officers and directors in fiscal years 2000 through 2001. The "named executive officers" are our chief executive officer, regardless of compensation, and our only other executive officer who was serving as an executive officer at December 31, 2001 and whose annual salary and bonus exceeded \$100,000. The company has not paid any executive compensation to any officer or director since January 26, 2001.

NAME AND PRINCIPAL POSITION	YEAR	SALARY	Bonus	OPTIONS (#)
Elorian Landers, Chief Executive Officer and Director				
			25,000	
	2001	\$220,000	_	175,000
	2000	\$210,000		_
Eden Kim, Chairman of the Board and Secretary (1)				
			_	
	2001	\$105,000	_	18,750
	2000	\$200,000		-
Tom McCrimmon, Director	2001	-	25,000	231,250
	2000	_		
Clay Border, Secretary and Director		\$	25,000	
21100001	2001	87,500	20,000	206,250
	2000	_	_	-

EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS

On October 8, 2001, we entered into employment agreements with Elorian Landers.

our Chief Executive Officer, and Clay Border, our Chief Development Officer. ${\tt Mr.}$

Lander's employment agreement provides for an annual base salary of \$250,000 and

Mr. Border's employment agreement provides for an annual base salary of

\$150,000. Each of the agreements also grants each of the employees a stock

option giving them each the right to purchase up to 150,000 shares of our common

stock at an exercise price of \$.56 per share. The stock options expire on

October $\,$ 8, $\,$ 2006. The option exercise price was 70% of the closing price of the

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{common}}$ stock on the grant date, and was determined by the Board to be equal to

fair market value because the common stock underlying the option is subject to

transfer restrictions under applicable securities laws. One half of the stock

options vested on the date of grant and the remaining 75,000 shares will vest

over $\,$ one year at a rate of 18,750 shares per quarter. The employment agreements

also provide for reimbursement of certain expenses of each of the employees,

including a car allowance of \$800 per month, payment of cellular phone service

and a health club membership.

In addition, pursuant to their respective agreements, we may terminate $\mbox{Mr}\,.$

Landers $% \left(1\right) =1$ and Mr. Border at any time for "cause," as defined in the agreement. In

the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

employment with us for "good reason," each as defined in the agreement, then

upon termination he will receive a severance payment equal to his salary for the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{E}}$

remainder of his term of employment. If Mr. Landers or Mr. Border is terminated

without cause or with good reason within one year of a "change of control," as

defined in the agreement, then upon such termination he will receive a severance $\ensuremath{\mathsf{e}}$

package $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ equal to two times the sum of his salary at the time of his termination

plus any annual bonus he would have received for such period.

Terms of Mr. Lander Employment Agreement

Section 1. Position; Duties. Executive will serve as an officer of Employer in the position of Chief Executive Officer. Executive will report to the Board of Directors of the Employer and its

designees. Executive will perform the duties that the Board of Directors of the Employer may from time to time reasonably direct, and such duties as may be specified for his office in the Bylaws of the Employer. Executive will devote substantially all of his business time, ability and attention to the business of Employer during the Original Term and any Renewal Term of this Agreement.

Section 2. Term. This Agreement shall commence on Effective Date and end three (3) years after the Effective Date (the "Original Term"), unless terminated earlier pursuant to Section 4 of this Agreement. After the Original Term, this Agreement shall be automatically renewed for successive terms of one (1) year each (each a "Renewal Term") unless terminated earlier pursuant to Section 4 of this Agreement or unless either party gives the other party sixty (60) days' written notice, prior to the expiration of the Original Term or any Renewal Term, as the case may be, of that party's intent to terminate this Agreement at the end of the Original Term or any Renewal Term.

- Section 3. Compensation. Subject to Section 4, as compensation for Executive's services, and as compensation for Executive's covenants set forth in this Agreement, including without limitation Section 5, the Employer agrees as follows:
 - (a) Base Salary. During the Original Term and any Renewal Term, the Employer will pay Executive a base salary ("Base Salary") at the rate of \$20,833.33 per month, prorated for any partial pay period. The Base Salary will be paid in accordance with the Employer's regular payroll practices and subject to increase by the Board of Directors.
 - (b) Annual Bonus. Executive shall be entitled to receive an annual bonus based upon his performance as determined in the sole discretion of the Board of Directors of the Employer.
 - (c) Restricted Stock Award. Executive shall receive a restricted stock award of 4 million shares of common stock of Employer, pursuant to the terms of that certain Restricted Stock Award Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit A.
 - (d) Miscellaneous. Executive shall be entitled to the following additional benefits:
 - (1) A car allowance not to exceed \$800 per month;
 - (ii) A club membership allowance not to exceed $$2,000 \ \text{per year};$
 - (iii) Reimbursement of all properly documented business expenses, including, without limitation, wireless phone service, in accordance with the Employer's policy, as may be modified from time to time, for reimbursement of business expenses;
 - (iv) An annual paid vacation of twenty (20) business days in accordance with the Employer's

vacation policy for Executives of the Employer generally;

- (v) Such other benefits, including health benefits and participation in Executive benefit plans, made available to Executives of the Employer generally and provided as soon as practicable without violation of the Employer's policy terms; and
- (vi) Such stock options as may be granted from time to time by the Board or any committee thereof.

Terms of Mr. McCrimmon Consulting Agreememt:

CONSULTING AGREEMENT

This Consulting Agreement (this "Agreement") is entered into as of October 8, 2001 (the "Effective date"), by and between IVG CORP. a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), and Thomas L. McCrimmon, an individual ('Consultant"). Each of the Company and Consultant is refereed to herein as a "Party" and are collectively referred to herein as the "Parties,"

The Parties desire to enter into this Agreement in order that Consultant may provide the consulting services, as hereinafter set forth.

The Parties, intending to be legally bound, agree as follows:

1. Engagement of Consultant.

- 1.1 Scope of Services. The Company engages the Consultant to render consulting services, and the Consultant agrees to render consulting services, to the Company, as requested from time to time, on the terms and conditions set forth herein. The scope and nature of the consulting services will be services in connection with the identification, analysis and evaluation of possible merger and acquisition opportunities for the Company, and such other services as may be requested by the Board of Directors and the Chief Executive Officer of the Company from time to time.
- 1.2 Terms of Agreement. The Company will retain the Consultant under the terms of this Agreement for the period beginning on the Effective Date and ending on the first anniversary of the Effective Date (the "Consulting Period").

¹ Mr. Kim resigned from these positions in July 2001

² Mr. Border joined the Company June 1, 2001

- 1. Consulting Fee. In payment in frill for the consulting services rendered by Consultant under this Agreement, the Company shall grant to Consultant an option to purchase 3,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock, which shall be issued to Consultant pursuant to that certain Stock Option Agreement, attached hereto as Exhibit A (the "Consulting Fee")
- 3. Expenses. In addition to the Consulting Fee, Consultant shall be reimbursed by the Company for all reasonable out-of-pocket disbursements incurred by Consultant in connection with the performance of his services under this Agreement, including but not limited to travel expenses and legal and accounting fees. Expenses in excess of \$3,000 must be approved in advance by the Chief Executive Officer of the Company.
- 4. Independent Contractor. The parties acknowledge that Consultant is a skilled professional consultant who will be rendering professional services pursuant to this Agreement, Consultant will use his professional judgment and expertise to accomplish the details of his work. Consultant is, and shall for all purposes be considered, an independent contractor, and nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to create or imply an agency, partnership, joint venture or employment relationship between Consultant and the Company (or any affiliate of the Company). Consultant acknowledges and agrees that he shall have no right or authority to commit or obligate the Company in any way to any third party or parties unless specifically authorized to do so by an authorized officer of the Company. It is understood that Consultant shall pay all taxes, licenses, and fees levied or assessed on Consultant in connection with or incident to the performance of this Agreement by any governmental agency, including, without limitation, unemployment compensation insurance, old age benefits, social security, or any other taxes upon wages of Consultant. Consultant agrees to furnish the Company with the information required to enable it to make necessary reports and pay taxes.
- 5. Termination. This Agreement is terminable by either the Company or Consultant upon 30 days prior written notice to the other. Upon termination of this Agreement for any reason, none of the Parties nor any other person shall have any liability or further obligation arising out of this Agreement, except for any liability resulting from the breach of this Agreement prior to termination; provided that notwithstanding the termination of this Agreement pursuant to the terms hereof or otherwise, the Company's obligations to reimburse the Consultant for all reasonable out-of- pocket disbursements under Section 3 shall survive the termination of this Agreement.
 - 6. Confidentiality; Proprietary Information.
 - 6.1 Confidentiality. Both during the Consulting Period and thereafter, Consultant agrees that (i) all information and data that Consultant receives from the Company and all information and data that Consultant generates or develops in the performance of this Agreement shall be regarded as proprietary information

and property of the Company that shall be held in strict confidence by Consultant and that all of such information and data shall be promptly returned to the Company upon termination or expiration of this Agreement, and (ii) Consultant will not, except as specifically requested by the Company, use for any purpose or disclose to any person any confidential information Concerning the business of the Company. The term "confidential information" includes, without limitation, information not previously disclosed to, or known by, the public with respect to products, processes, facilities and methods, research and development, trade secrets, know-how and other intellectual property, marketing and business plans, prospects and opportunities, customer lists and financial information regarding the business of the Company.

data, reports, processes, procedures, programs, discoveries, formulae, improvements, technologies, designs, inventions (collectively, "Inventions"), including new contributions, developments, ideas, and discoveries, whether or not patentable or copyrightable, conceived, developed, invented, or made solely by the Consultant, or jointly with other employees, agents or consultants of the Company, as part of the consulting services shall be conclusively deemed "work for hire" and are property of, and belong to, the Company. Consultant assigns all right, title and interest in such inventions to the Company.

7. Miscellaneous.

7.1 Notices. Unless otherwise provided in this Agreement, all notices, approvals, or other communications purporting to affect the rights of the Parties hereunder will be in writing and will be delivered personally or by certified mail, return receipt requested, or express courier (a) if to the Company, at 13135 Dairy Ashford, Suite 525, Sugar Land, Texas 77048 and (b) if to Consultant, at the address set forth on the signature page to this Agreement, or at such other address as either Party notifies to the other Party in writing.

2000 OMNIBUS SECURITIES PLAN

Our board of directors adopted our 2000 Omnibus Securities Plan in October 2000.

Under the plan, our employees, directors and consultants may be awarded options

to purchase our common stock. We may also make awards of restricted common $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

stock $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

shares of common stock reserved and available for issuance under the plan is

500,000, subject to certain adjustments. We believe that the award of options.

restricted stock and stock appreciation rights will provide incentive to key

personnel as well as offer an attractive benefit for the new managers that we

must recruit. To date, 65,985 shares of our common stock have been

issued under

the plan. The plan will be presented to stockholders for approval at our next $\ensuremath{\mathsf{e}}$

annual $\,$ meeting of stockholders. Awards that are made under the plan prior to it

being approved by our stockholders are subject to such stockholder approval.

2002 OMNIBUS SECURITIES PLAN

Our board of directors adopted our 2002 Omnibus Securities Plan in March 2002.

Under the plan, our employees, directors and consultants may be awarded options

to purchase our common stock. We may also make awards of restricted common

stock $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

shares of common stock reserved and available for issuance under the plan during $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

the first plan year is 500,000, subject to certain adjustments, and will

increase to ten percent (10%) of the outstanding common stock in subsequent

years. We believe that the award of options, restricted stock and stock $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

appreciation $\mbox{ rights will provide incentive to key personnel as well as offer an$

attractive benefit for the new managers that we must recruit. As of March 31,

2002, no shares of stock or options have been granted under the plan. $\,$

OPTION GRANTS

The following table sets forth certain information concerning individual grants

NUMBER PERCENT OF

of stock options made during the last completed fiscal year to each of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{named}}$

executive officers.

	OF SECURIT IES UNDERLY ING	TOTAL OPTIONS GRANTED TO		
	OPTIONS	EMPLOYEES IN	EXERCISE	
	GRANTED	FISCAL YEAR	PRICE	EXPIRATION DATE
NAME				
Elorian Landers	156,250	24%	\$.56	(1)
Clay Border	206,250	240	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(1)

35% .56

Tom McCrimmon 231,250

(1)

39% .56

21

OPTION EXERCISES AND OPTION VALUES

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the exercise of

options during the last completed fiscal year by each of the named executive

officers and the fiscal year-end value of such named executive officers' $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

unexercised options on an aggregated basis.

NUMBER OF VALUE OF
SECURITIESUN EXERCISED INUNDERLYING THE-MONEY
UNEXERCISEDOPTIONS AT YEAROPTION S END

(\$)(1)
AT YEAR-END (#)-----

SHARES VALUE

ACQUIRED ON REALIZED UNEXERCISABLE/

UNEXERCISABLE/

NAME EXERCISE (#) (\$) EXERCISABLE

EXERCISABLE

____ _____

Elorian Landers 85,250 \$ 20,460 56,250/119,750 \$ 47,250/83,125 Clay Border 85,250 \$ 20,460 56,250/168,750 \$ 47,250/118,925 Tom McCrimmon 85,250 \$ 20,460 56,250/197,750 \$ 47,250/135,625

(1) The value of unexercised options is determined by calculating the difference

between the fair market value of the securities underlying the options at fiscal $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

year end and the exercise price of the options.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Other than being reimbursed for the expenses incurred in attending meetings of

the board of directors, members of our board of directors do not receive cash $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

compensation for their services as a director. On July 14, 2000, we granted each

of our outside directors an option to purchase 15,000 shares of our common stock

at an exercise price of \$15.00 per share. On the date of grant, 12,500 shares

vested; the remaining shares vest at the rate of 25,000 shares per quarter over

three years. Each vested portion of options expires three years after the date

of vesting. An outside director will forfeit any unvested options upon his

ceasing to serve as a director. As of October 10, 2001, 16,250 shares were

vested under these options and 20,000 were forfeited because two of the outside

directors granted options ceased to serve as directors of the Company.

On February 5, 2000, we granted Mr. McCrimmon an option to purchase 75,000

shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$5.00 per share. Twenty-five

percent of the option vested on the date of grant, and 25% vests each six months

thereafter. The option expires on August 5, 2004. We also paid Mr. ${\tt McCrimmon}$

 $\$40,000\,$ for consulting services he provided to the company in 2000. On October

8, 2001, we entered into a consulting agreement with Mr. McCrimmon, in which he

agreed to provide us with consulting services in connection with the $\,$

identification, analysis and evaluation of possible merger and acquisition

opportunities. In consideration of Mr. McCrimmon's services, we granted him the

option to purchase up to 150,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price

of \$.56 per share. 75,000 shares vested on the date of grant and the remaining

75,000 vest over a one year period at a rate of 18,750 shares per quarter. The

option exercise price was 70% of the closing price of the common stock on the $\,$

grant $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{common}}$ stock underlying the option is subject to transfer restrictions under

applicable securities laws. The option expires on October 8, 2006.

ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial

ownership of our common stock as of March 31, 2002, for the following: (1) each

person who is known by us to own beneficially five percent or more of our $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

outstanding common stock, (2) each of our directors and officers who

beneficially own such shares and (3) our officers and directors as a group.

SHARES OF COMMON STOCK BENEFICIALLY OWNED

796,924

NAME OF BENEFICIAL OWNER

NUMBER (1) PERCENT (2)

Elorian Landers (3)

20.2%

Eden Kim 460,282

14.9%

Clay Border 362,500

2.5%

Thomas L. McCrimmon (4 430,164

8.6%

Executive officers and directors as a group (3 persons)

1,589,588 31.3%

Alpha Capital Aktiengesellschaft

267,139 7.9%

AMRO International, S.A. 222,616

6.7%

Markham Holdings Ltd. 311,662

9 2%

Stonestreet Limited Partnership

178,092 5.5%

Addresses of:

Kim, Eden

10715 Orline Court Cupertino, CA 95014

Tom McCrimmon

3816 West Linebaugh Ave.

Suite 480

Tampa, FL 33624

Clay Border

Unknown

Elorian Landers

30 Farrell Ridge

Sugar Land, Texas 77479

(1) Pursuant to Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, a

 $\,$ person has beneficial ownership of any securities as to which such

person, directly or indirectly, through any contract, arrangement, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

undertaking, relationship or otherwise, has or shares voting power $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

and/or investment power as to which such person has the right to

acquire

such voting and/or investment power within 60 days. Percentage of

beneficial ownership as to any person as of a particular date is

calculated by dividing the number of shares beneficially owned by $\ensuremath{\mathsf{such}}$

 $\,$ person by the sum of the number of $\,$ shares $\,$ outstanding $\,$ as of such date

and the number of unissued shares as to which such person has the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

to acquire voting $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

of shares shown includes outstanding shares owned $% \left(1\right) =2002$ as of March 31, 2002.

by the person indicated and shares underlying warrants and/or options $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

60 days of that date.

- (2) Based on 4,699,679 BAL Brian A. Lebrecht Update to as of 3/31/02. Shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of the close of business on March 31, 2002.
- (3) Includes 118,750 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of

March 31, 2002.

- (4) Includes 193,750 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of
- March 31, 2002. Mr. McCrimmon's address is 3816 West Linebaugh Avenue,

Suite 200, Tampa, Florida 33624.

(5) Includes 168,750 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of

March 31, 2002.

- (6) Includes 263,389 shares of common stock issuable on conversion of
- convertible notes at an assumed conversion price of \$1.13 per share, and
- 3,750 shares of common stock issuable on the exercise of immediately
- exercisable warrants. Alpha Capital Aktiengesellschaft's address is

Pradafant 7, 9490 Furstentums, Vaduz, Lichtenstein.

- (7) Includes 219,491 shares of common stock issuable on conversion of
- convertible notes at an assumed conversion price of \$1.13 per share, and
- 3,125 shares of common stock issuable on the exercise of immediately
- exercisable warrants. Amro International's address is care of $\mbox{\sc Ultra}$
- Finanz, Grossmuensterplatz 6, Zurich, Switzerland CH8022.
- (8) Includes 307,287 shares of common stock issuable on conversion of
- convertible notes at an assumed conversion price of \$1.13 per share, and
- 4,375 shares of common stock issuable on the exercise of immediately
- exercisable warrants. Markham Holdings Ltd.'s address is care of
- Mr. David Hassan, 50 Town Range, P.O. Box 472, Gibraltar.
- (9) Includes 175,592 shares of common stock issuable on

conversion of

convertible notes at an assumed conversion price of \$1.13 per share, and

2,500 shares of common stock issuable on the exercise of immediately

exercisable warrants. Stonestreet Limited Partnership's address is care

of Carol Harrop/Michael Finkelstein, 260 Town Center Blvd., Suite 201,

Markham, ON, L3R 8H8.

ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

On September 28, 2000, we acquired approximately 88.5% of the outstanding common

stock of Swan Magnetics, Inc. Eden Kim, the beneficial owner of 17.3% of our

common stock and, until July 1, 2001, our Chairman of the Board and Secretary, $\,$

is the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Swan Magnetics.

Prior to the acquisition of our majority interest in Swan, we issued a secured $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

convertible promissory note in the original principal amount of \$1,000,000 to

Swan Magnetics in connection with a loan by Swan Magnetics to us. Following the $\,$

acquisition of our majority interest in Swan Magnetics, we borrowed additional

funds $\mbox{ from Swan Magnetics on several occasions, some of which were evidenced by }$

promissory notes. These borrowings are secured by all of the capital stock and holdings of the company in any other entity, collateral and equipment,

accounts
receivable and other intangibles and intellectual property of the

company as evidenced by a Security Agreement, dated July 18, 2000, between Swan $\,$

Magnetics and the company. In August 2001, all prior notes and advances from ${\sf Swan}$

Magnetics, $\,$ and $\,$ an additional loan of \$150,000, were memorialized in a new note

in the principal amount of \$2,843,017.33. This note is due on August 1, 2003,

bears interest at 8% per year, and is subject to the July 18, 2000 Security

Agreement. Up to \$1,000,000 of the principal on the note is convertible into our

common stock at a price of \$2.00 per share.

In August 2001, we entered into a Voting Agreement with Swan Magnetics, pursuant

to which we agreed to amend the bylaws of Swan to provide:

- o for a four person board of directors,
- o that the affirmative vote of three directors is required to approve any

board action,

- o $\,$ that a 95% shareholder vote or a board action is required to amend the $\,$
 - bylaws, and
- o that the CEO could take certain actions without board approval.
- We further agreed to vote all shares of stock of Swan Magnetics we own in favor
- of two directors nominated by us, the CEO of Swan Magnetics, and one person $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($
- nominated by the CEO of Swan Magnetics. We agreed to cause our nominees to the $\,$
- Swan board to approve an employment agreement with Eden Kim as CEO of Swan

Magnetics.

- In August 2001, we also entered into a Settlement and General Release Agreement
- with $\,$ Swan $\,$ Magnetics, $\,$ pursuant $\,$ to $\,$ which we agreed to enter into the note and
- Voting $\mbox{\sc Agreement}$ described above. We also agreed to a mutual release of claims
- with Swan Magnetics. Until February 2002, we agreed to permit any former Swan $\,$
- Magnetics shareholder who received IVG common stock or warrants in the $\,$
- transactions through which IVG acquired its interest in Swan Magnetics to
- exchange $% \left(1\right) =0$ his IVG shares and warrants for Swan shares. We also agreed to use our
- best $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$
- former \mbox{Swan} Magnetics shareholders in the above-referenced transactions. On
- October 23, 2001, we received requests on behalf of eleven former Swan Magnetics $\,$
- shareholders to exchange their IVG shares and warrants for Swan $\operatorname{Magnetics}$ shares
- held by us. We requested further documentation from the requesting parties $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$
- (including evidence of their authority to act for the shareholders listed in the $\,$
- request letters and surrender of their IVG stock certificates and warrant
- certificates). If all of the shareholders listed in the request letters exchange $\$
- all of their IVG shares and warrants, our outstanding shares would be reduced by
- approximately 6.2 million shares, and our ownership of Swan Magnetics common
- stock would be reduced from approximately 88.5% to approximately 33.3%.
- A dispute has arisen between the Company and Eden Kim arising out of Kim 's
- refusal to produce adequate financial statements, books, and records of Swan to
- the Company and its auditors. The Company believes these actions are a breach
- of the Voting Agreement and the Settlement Agreement and General Release

Agreement, $\,$ and as a result removed all of the Directors and Officers of Swan in

February 2002, replacing them with Elorian Landers, Clay Border, and Thomas $\rm L.$

McCrimmon. As of the date of this filing, Mr. Kim has refused to acknowledge

his $\mbox{removal}$ as a Swan Director and Officers, and has refused to relinquish any

of Swan's books and records.

We paid Thomas McCrimmon, one of our outside directors, \$40,000\$ for consulting

services provided to the company in 2000.

During 2000, Elorian Landers, our Chief Executive Officer and a director,

advanced us an aggregate of \$160,000, of which \$93,000 has been repaid to date.

These advances bear interest at six percent per year.

In September 2001, Mr. Landers and Mr. McCrimmon pledged 150,000 and 10,900

shares of our common stock, respectively, to a collateral agent for investors

that purchased an aggregate of $$1.1\ \mathrm{million}$ of our convertible notes due 2003.

These stock pledges, and similar pledges of an aggregate of 1.785 million shares

by four other shareholders, secure our obligations under financing agreements

with the investors. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis - Subsequent

Events $\--$ Financing." In consideration of these stock pledges, which led the

investors to waive an event of default and penalties under the notes, we entered

into a Common Stock Issuance Agreement with each of these shareholders. Under $\,$

this agreement, the shareholders agreed to pledge their shares as collateral for $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

the $\$ notes, and we agreed to issue to each shareholder a number of shares of our

common $\,$ stock $\,$ equal $\,$ to $\,$ 46% of the shares pledged by such shareholder. We also

agreed to issue shares to each shareholder in the future equal to the number of

his pledged shares that are foreclosed upon by the investors, if any.

On October 8, 2001, we entered into employment agreements with Elorian Landers

and Clay Border, see "Employment Agreements" and a consulting agreement with Tom

McCrimmon, one of our directors. See "Compensation of Directors."

Eden Kim the previous president and director of Swan had 14 shareholders return their shares of IVG Corp. back to IVG Corp. asking at the request of Mr. Kim to exchange them for Swan shares. The reference to the 6.2 million shares is the pre-split number of shares outstanding. Post split the 6.2 million number is actually

310,000 shares.

The company owes to its CEO, Elorian Landers initially \$84,947, this amount has grown with additional loans to \$140,000. Mile Tate and Earl Marshall are each owed \$7,500 by the company on an annual renewable note paying interest at 7%.

Tom Grunnert, our General Counsel and director was paid approximately \$90,000\$ per year. The sum was payable to Gibson & Grunnert, P.C.

ITEM 13. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

(a) Exhibits

EXHIBIT NO. TITLE

2.1(1) Agreement and Plan of Reorganization between GeeWhizUSA.com, Inc.

and the company.

2.2(2) Agreement and Plan of Exchange between Swan Magnetics, Inc. and the $\,$

company.

2.4(4) Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Exchange, dated June 28,

2000, among Swan Magnetics, Inc., certain stockholders of Swan

Magnetics, Inc. and the company

2.5(5) Form of Warrant Certificate issued to former stockholders of Swan

Magnetics, Inc.

2.6(5) Reorganization Agreement and Plan of Exchange, dated July 15,2000,

among CyberCoupons.com, Inc., certain stockholders of CyberCoupons.com, Inc. and the company

2.7(6) Amended and Restated Asset Purchase Agreement and Agreement and of

Merger, dated March 31, 2001, among SES Acquisition 2001, Inc.,

Cheyenne Management Company, Inc., SES-Corp., Inc., certain other

persons and the company

2.8(7) Agreement, dated as of August 8, 2001 among the company, Dennis Lambka

and Ronald Bray

2.9(11) Asset and Stock Purchase Agreement, dated October 24, 2001, by and

among GMS Acquisition LLC, Group Management Services, Inc.,

E. Michael Kahoe, James Kahoe and the company

- 3.1(5) Certificate of Incorporation
- 3.2(5) Bylaws
- 4.1(5) Specimen Certificate of Common Stock
- 4.2(8) 2000 Omnibus Securities Plan
- 10.1(5) Office Lease between G.P.I. Development, Ltd. and the company ${\cal G}$
- 10.2(5) Lease Agreement, dated December 2, 1997, between Southwest Beltway

Limited Partnership and Fyrglas, Inc.

10.3(5) Inventory Credit Line Agreement, effective as of January 22, 2001.

between Swan Magnetics, Inc. and the company

10.4(5) Security Agreement, dated July 18, 2000, between Swan Magnetics,

Inc. and the company

10.5(5) Secured Convertible Promissory Note issued by the company to Swan

Magnetics, Inc. on July 18, 2000

- 10.6(5) Secured Promissory Note issued by the company to Swan Magnetics, Inc. on October 31, 2000
- 10.7(5) Secured Promissory Note issued by the company to Swan Magnetics, Inc. on December 12, 2000
- 10.8(5) Subscription Agreement, dated February 2, 2001, among AlphaCapital

Aktiengesellschaft, AMRO International, S.A., MarkhamHoldings Ltd.,

Stonestreet Limited Partnership and the company

10.9(5) Form of Convertible Note issued by the company to Alpha Capital $\,$

Aktiengesellschaft, AMRO International, S.A., Markham Holdings Ltd.

and Stonestreet Limited Partnership on February 2, 2001 10.10(5) Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued by the company toAlpha

Capital Aktiengesellschaft, AMRO International, S.A., Markham Holdings

Ltd. and Stonestreet Limited Partnership on February 2,

10.11(5) Research and Development Agreement, dated November 15, 2000,

between iTVr, Inc. and Swan Magnetics, Inc.

- 10.12(5) Promissory Note issued by the company to SES-Corp., Inc. on March 30, 2001
- 10.13(10) Warrant, dated April 30, 2001, issued by the company to Union

Atlantic Capital, L.C.

- 10.14(9) Secured Promissory Note issued by the company to Swan Magnetics, Inc. on August 1, 2001
- 10.15(9) Voting Agreement, dated August 1, 2000, between the company and Swan Magnetics, Inc.
- 10.16(9) Settlement Agreement and General Release, dated August 1, 2000,

between the company and Swan Magnetics, Inc.

10.17(9) Security Agreement, dated September 10, 2001, among Alpha Capital

Aktiengesellschaft, AMRO International, S.A., Markham Holdings, Ltd.,

Stonestreet Limited Partnership, the Collateral Agent (as

therein), the Shareholders (as defined therein) and the company

10.18(9) ommon Stock Issuance Agreement, dated September 10, 2001, among

the company and the Shareholders (as defined therein) 10.19(11) mployment Agreement, effective as of October 8, 2001, by and

between Elorian Landers and the company.
10.20(11) mployment Agreement, effective as of October 8, 2001, by

and

between Clay Border and the company $10.21\,(11)$ onsulting Agreement, effective as of October 8, 2001, by and

between Thomas L. McCrimmon and the company 21.1(11) subsidiaries $\,$

23.1(11) consent of Wrinkle, Gardner and Company, P.C.

- (1) Incorporated by reference from the company's Current Report on Form 8-Kdated April 14, 2000, as filed with the SEC on April 17, 2000.
- (2) Incorporated by reference from the company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 10, 2000, as filed with the SEC on July 11, 2000.
- (3) Incorporated by reference from the company's Current Report on Form \$8-K/A\$ dated July 17, 2000, as filed with the SEC on July 18, 2000.
- (4) Incorporated by reference from the company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 28, 2000, as filed with the SEC on October 13, 2000.
- (5) Incorporated by reference from the company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, as filed with the SEC on April 18, 2001.
- (6) Incorporated by reference from the company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 1, 2001, as filed with the SEC on April 16, 2001.
- (7) Incorporated by reference from the company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 30, 2001.
- (8) Incorporated by reference from the company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, SEC File No. 333-48792, as filed with the SEC on October 27, 2000.
- (9) Incorporated by reference from the company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2/A dated October 10, 2001.
- (10) Incorporated by reference from the company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 dated May 2, 2001.
- (11) Filed herewith.
- (b) Reports on Form 8-K

On November 1, 2001, the Company filed an Amended Current Report on Form 8-K/A,

which amended an 8-K filed on October 13, 2000 and further amended on May 9,

2001. The $\,$ purpose of the amendment was to file historical financial statements

of $\,$ Swan $\,$ and unaudited pro forma condensed financial data of the Company, which

give effect to the Swan acquisition.

On August 30, 2001, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K describing

the disposition of its interest in SES-Corp., Inc.

On December 18, 2002 the company filed a Form 8-k, disclosing the resignation of its auditor and the change of address of it business office.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GROUP MANAGEMENT CORP.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000

Independent Auditors' Report

F-2

Consolidated Balance Sheet

F-3

Consolidated Statements of Operations

F-4

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

F-5

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

F-6

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F-7

F-1

Wrinkle, Gardner & Company, P. C. Certified Public Accountants
211 E. Parkwood, Suite 100
Friendswood, Texas 77546
(281) 992-2200

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors of Group Management Corp.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Group Management

Corp (a Delaware corporation) as of December 31, 2001, and the related $\,$

consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash

flows for the two years then ended. These financial statements

are the

responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an $\,$

opinion on these financial statements based on our audit We conducted our audit in accordance with $U.\ S.\ generally$ accepted auditing

standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain

reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{material}}$

misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting

the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates $\ensuremath{\mathsf{made}}$ by

management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in

all material respects, the financial position of Group Management Corp. as of

December $\,$ 31, 2001, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the

two years then ended in conformity with U. S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

that the Company will continue as a going concern. As described in Note $10\ \mathrm{to}$

the $\mbox{financial}$ statements, conditions exist which raise substantial doubt about

the Company's ability to continue as a going concern unless it is able to

generate sufficient cash flows to meet its obligations and sustain its $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

operations. Those conditions raise substantial doubt about its ability to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Wrinkle, Gardner & Company, P.C. Friendswood, Texas
April 10, 2002

GROUP MANAGEMENT CORP.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

ASSETS
CURRENT ASSETS:

Cash Accounts receivable - net Inventory Due from shareholders	97,911 6,545 89,186 46,000
Total current assets	239,642
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT - NET	411,990
OTHER ASSETS - NET	292 , 738
Total assets\$	944,370 =====
	====
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	
CURRENT LIABILITIES: Accounts payable and accrued expenses	865,619
Notes payable and capital lease obligations	
	5,030,99 3
Total current liabilities	5,896,61 2
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND ACCUMULATED DEFICIT: Common Stock, par value \$.002, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 3,553,258	
Issued and outstanding Additional paid-in capital	7,107 33,500,2 08
Accumulated deficit	(38,459, 557)
Total stockholders' deficit	(4,952,2 42)
	944,370
\$	=====

See accompanying summary of accounting policies and notes to financial statements.

F-3
GROUP MANAGEMENT CORP.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 AND 2000

	2001	2000
REVENUES:		
Sales	574,826	396,300
COST OF GOODS SOLD	356,071	298,742
GROSS PROFIT	218,755	97 , 558
OPERATING EXPENSES:		
General and administrative	15,260,883	5,443,807
Purchased in-process technology	8,039,591	
Depreciation expense	47,679	28,271
Interest expense	28 , 872	58 , 716
15,437,434	23,570,385	
OTHER INCOME:		
Interest income	0.000	108,789
Gain on sale of equipment	8,000	
116,789		
MINORITY INTEREST	(2,209,725)	
	(2,203,720)	
NET LOSS	\$(15,218,67 9)	\$(21,146,313)
========	========	,
	=	
Basic and fully diluted net (loss) per share Weighted average shares outstanding,	(.26)	(.57)
pre-split on December 17, 2001	59,293,697	37,705,300

See accompanying summary of accounting policies and notes to financial statements.

GROUP MANAGEMENT CORP.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 AND 2000

Common Stock

	Number of		Additional Paid in	Accumulate d	
	Shares	Amoun t	Capital	Deficit	Total
				_	
Balance					
December 31, 1999	20 527	^	\$	<u>^</u>	\$
		3,054	1,969,035	\$ (2,094,565)	(122,476)
Shares issued for					
services	2,414,2	241	3,005,992	_	3,006,233
Shares issued for cash	213,450	21	434,079	-	434,100
Shares issued in acquisitions		2,000	19,003,000	-	19,005,000
Shares exchanged	000				
for warrants	(9,091, 855)	(909)	2,182,859	_	2,181,950
Warrants issued for	•				
services Net loss	_	_	71,860	-	71,860
	_	_	_	(21,146,31 3)	(21,146,31 3)
Balance					
December 31, 2000	44 072	4 407	26 666 925	(22 240 07	2 420 254
	197	4,407	26,666,825	(23,240,87	3,430,354
Shares issued for services	21,603,	43,20	5,941,716	-	5,984,922
	100	6			
Shares issued in acquisitions net of 10,000,000 cancelled shares					
	4,320,8 62	8,642	5,372,826	-	5,381,468
Shares issued for cash Beneficial interest on	214,900	430	43,468	-	43 , 898

convertible debt

Effect of unconsolidated Subsidiary	-	-	468,258	-	468,258
	-	-	(4,992,885	-	(4,992,885),
Effect of 1 to 20 reverse stock split	(66,658 ,801)				(49,578)
Net loss			_	-	
	-	-	-	(15,218,67 9)	(15,218,67 9)
Balance					
December 31, 2001		\$ 7,107	\$ 33,500,208	\$ (38,459,55 7)	\$(4,952,24 2)
	======			=======	=======
	=====		=====	=====	===
		====			

See Accompanying summary of accounting policies and notes to financial statements.

 $$\rm F{-}5$$ GROUP MANAGEMENT CORP. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 AND 2000

	2001	2000
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net (loss)		\$
	\$ (15,218,6 79)	(21, 146, 313)
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) to net cash		
(used in) operating activities: Minority interest	,	,
Depreciation	-	(2,209,725)
nepreciation	122,879	28,271

Amortization	24.000	10.650
Purchased in process technology.	24,800	12,650
Stock based compensation	_	18,039,591
	11,316,81 2	3,078,093
Beneficial interest on convertible debt	468,258	_
Accounts receivable	20,489	(12,889)
Inventory	(11,247)	1,649
Other assets	(55,876)	(217,467)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	485,168	1,334,132
Net cash (used in) operating activities	(2,847,39 6)	(1,092,008)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:	,	,
Cash acquired through purchase of subsidiary	-	5,404,338
Purchase of equipment	(490,328)	(13,266)
Notes receivable	102,200	(148,200)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities.	(388,128)	5,242,872
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds from stock issuance		
Proceeds from notes payable	43,898	434,100
Payments on notes payable	3,127,890	49,785
Restricted cash	(126,793)	(254,045)
	-	(1,500,000)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities .	3,044,995	(1,270,160)

Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(190,529)	2,880,704
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year	288,440	6,006
Cash and cash equivalents - end of year	\$ 97 , 911	\$ 2,886,710
	======	
Supplemental cash flow information: Cash paid for interest	\$ -	\$ 3 , 562
=======================================	======	

See accompanying summary of accounting policies and notes to financial statements.

F-6

GROUP MANAGEMENT CORP. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2001

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND PRESENTATION

On March 9, 2001, IVG Corp changed its name from Internet Venture Group, Inc. to

 ${\tt IVG}$ ${\tt Corp.}$ and its state of incorporation from Florida to Delaware. The name

change $\,$ and reincorporation were accomplished by merging Internet Venture Group,

Inc., a Florida corporation, into IVG Corp., a Delaware corporation formed for $\,$

the purpose of these transactions. Each issued and outstanding share of common

stock of Internet Venture Group, Inc. was automatically converted in the merger

into $\$ one share of common stock of IVG Corp. The Company was incorporated in the

state of Florida on March 19, 1987 under the name Sci Tech $\operatorname{Ventures}$, $\operatorname{Inc.}$ and

changed its name to Strategic Ventures, Inc. in May 1991. On October 18, 1999,

Strategic Ventures, Inc. changed its name to Internet Venture Group, Inc. $\,$

Effective December 31, 1999, the Company acquired all issued and outstanding

shares of GeeWhiz.com, Inc. (a Texas Corporation) for 1,326,870 shares of the

Company's stock by the purchase method. For accounting purposes, the acquisition $\ \ \,$

was treated as a reverse acquisition (a recapitalization of GeeWhiz.com), with

GeeWhiz.com, $\,$ Inc. as the acquirer and Strategic Ventures, Inc. as the acquiree.

The acquisition qualified as a reverse acquisition because the officers and

directors of GeeWhiz.com assumed management control of the resulting entity and

the value and ownership interest received by current ${\tt GeeWhiz.com,}\ {\tt Inc.}$

stockholders exceeded that received by Strategic Ventures, Inc. In ${\tt December}$

2001, the company changed its name to Group Management Corp (the Company)

The Company is a Houston-based human resource and technology company that

focuses on the acquisition, development and operation of promising

revenue-generating companies. The Company's business strategy is to acquire,

develop $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

by virtue of a compelling business model, technology and/or proprietary service.

The Company provides a value-added corporate structure intended to enable its

portfolio companies to quickly leverage their expertise and deploy their

business strategy by utilizing the management, financial and corporate resources

of the Company. On September 28, 2000, the Company acquired ownership of

approximately 88.5% of the issued and outstanding common stock of Swan

Magnetics, Inc. (a California corporation), for shares of the Company's stock.

Swan Magnetics, Inc., (Swan) which operates as a majority-owned subsidiary of

the Company, is involved in the development of a proprietary $\operatorname{ultra-high}$

capacity, floppy $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

the purchase method. See Note 11. The Company sold its 88.5% interest in swan

in March 2002.

The primary business of GeeWhiz.com, which now operates as a division of the

Company, is the development, acquisition, marketing and distribution of

proprietary products as specialty products and items for the worldwide gift, $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$

novelty and souvenir industries.

The Company's fiscal year-end is December 31.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

These $\,$ financial statements are presented on the accrual method of accounting in

accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Significant

principles followed by the Company and the methods of applying those principles, $\$

which materially affect the determination of financial position and cash flows,

are summarized below:

Principles of Consolidation

The Company's consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended

December 31, 2001 and 2000 reflect its operations on a consolidated basis and

include the accounts of the Company, including its divisions, and its $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{$

majority-owned subsidiary. All significant intercompany accounts and

transactions have been eliminated. Swan Magnetics, Inc has not been

consolidated for 2001 as the Company lacks control due to Swan's former CEO $\,$

withholding financial records, making control impracticable. Litigation has been

initiated to gain control of the books and records.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly-liquid debt instruments purchased with an

original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. This amount

is not consolidated in 2001.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at cost, determined using the first-in, first-out (FIFO)

method, which is not in excess of market. Finished products comprise all of the $\,$

Company's inventories.

Property and Equipment

Property $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

repairs is charged to operations while renewals and replacements are

capitalized. Depreciation is computed on the straight-line method over the $\,$

following estimated useful lives:

Automobiles 4 years

Manufacturing Equipment 2 - 5 years

Furniture and Equipment 5 years

Leasehold Improvements 5 years

Patents, Trademarks, and Licenses

The Company capitalizes certain legal costs and acquisition costs related to

patents, trademarks, and licenses. Accumulated costs are amortized over the

lesser of the legal lives or the estimated economic lives of the proprietary

rights, generally seven to ten years, using the straight-line method and

commencing $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ at the time the patents are issued, trademarks are registered or the

license is acquired.

Revenue Recognition

Product sales are sales of on-line products and specialty items. Revenue is

recognized at the time products are shipped, as this is the point at which

customers are liable to the Company for products ordered. The customer may $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

return items if they are found to be defective. Returns are usually minimal.

Other revenue and commission income is recognized when the earnings process has

been completed. The disclosure concerning other revenue is boilerplate verbiage inserted by the company to disclose its process for disclosing any additional revenue in the event it is present. Currently there in no source of other revenue.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under SFAS No. 109, which requires the $\,$

asset $\$ and $\$ liability approach to accounting for income taxes. Under this $\$ method,

deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured based on differences between $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted $\ensuremath{\text{tax}}$

Net Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Basic and diluted net loss per share information is presented under the $\,$

requirements of SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share. Basic net loss per share is

computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares of common

stock outstanding for the period, less shares subject to repurchase. Diluted net $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

loss $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

common stock equivalents, including stock options, shares subject to repurchase, $\$

warrants and convertible preferred stock, in the weighted-average

number of

common shares outstanding for a period, if dilutive. All potentially dilutive

securities have been excluded from the computation, as their effect is anti-dilutive.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount of cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued

expenses are considered to be representative of their respective fair values $\ \ \,$

because of the short-term nature of these financial instruments. The carrying $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

amount of the notes payable are reasonable estimates of fair value as the loans

bear interest based on market rates currently available for debt with similar terms.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted

accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of

contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting $\ensuremath{\mathsf{period}}$. Actual

results could differ from these estimates.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 141,

"Business Combinations," and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible

Assets." Under these new standards, all acquisitions subsequent to June 30,

2001 must be accounted for under the purchase method of accounting, and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

purchased goodwill is no longer amortized over its useful life. Rather,

goodwill $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

SFAS 142 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001,

although earlier adoption is permitted. The company does not expect that the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}$

adoption of these standards will have a material impact on its financial statements.

In October 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 144,

"Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." SFAS 144

supersedes SFAS 121. SFAS 144 primarily addresses significant issues relating

to the implementation of SFAS 121 and develops a single model for long-lived $\,$

assets to be disposed of, whether primarily held, used or newly acquired. The $\,$

provisions of SFAS 144 will be effective for fiscal years beginning after

December $\,$ 15, 2001. We will apply this standard beginning in 2002. The Company

does $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ not expect that the adoption of this standard will have a material impact

on its financial statements.

NOTE 3 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consisted of the following:

	December 31, 2001
Furniture and equipment	\$ 308,407
	109,670
Leasehold improvements	170,381
	41,857
	630,315
Less accumulated depreciation	(218, 325)
Net Property, Plant and Equipment.	 \$ 411,990
	=========

The capitalized costs of the intellectual property are accorded to the patent and licensing agreement for the Starglass technology. Of the amount disclosed, patent application costs \$25,000; technology and tooling costs \$385,000; product design. \$100,000, payments to the inventor (Tommy Tipton), \$90,000.

==

GeeWhiz holds a license from a shareholder, Tommy Tipton, for U.S. Patent Numbers 5,211,699 and 5,575,553 on proprietary fiber

optic illuminated drinking containers, as well as registered trademarks on Starglas (Reg. No. 2,216,216) from Elorian Landers and Fyrglas (Reg. No. 1,995,482). The license gives Group Management the right to use the patents without cost. In addition, Fyrglas is also a registered trademark in Canada. Mr. Landers also has, and GeeWhiz has the rights to a patent pending with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Application No. 09/842,701) for LightArt. All intellectual property related to Starglas and LightArt for which Group Management Corp. has an interest is provided to us through a verbal agreement for its use.

NOTE 4 - OTHER ASSETS

At December 31, 2001, other assets consisted of the following:

	Historica Book Value	al Cost	Accumulate	ed Amortization
Licensing, patents, trader 236,862	marks.	364	,846	127,984
Other assets (note receive 55,876	able)	55,	876	
	\$ \$ 292,738	420 , 722	\$	127,984
	=======	-===== -========	=	=======

NOTE 5 - NOTES PAYABLE

Notes payable consisted of the following:

	December 31,
	2001
Borrowings against a \$50,000 line-of-credit agreement with a financial institution	\$ 49 , 158
Secured by a lien on the inventory purchased with the line of credit of the Company, bearing an interest rate of	
6.75% due on demand or May 2002 if no demand is made	
Note payable to an individual stockholder, interest at 8%, due on demand Notes payable on two stockholders, interest at	84,947

10.5%, payable on demand	15,000
6% convertible notes to institutional investors (see Note 13)	1,100,000
Note payable to financing company, secured by	
2001 GMC Yukon bearing 3.9%	39 , 395
interest, requiring monthly principle and	
interest payments of \$895, due	
December 2005	
Note payable to financial institution, secured by	
company held certificate of	99,000
deposit, bearing interest at 7.5%, due on	
demand or May 2002 if no demand is	
made	
Note payable to a company, interest at 8%, due on	
demand	2,625,000
Capital lease obligated (see Note 9)	
	18,493
Note payable to a company, interest at 10%, payable	
on demand	1,000,000
	\$
	5,030,993

NOTE 6 - INCOME TAXES

There has been no provision for U.S. federal, state, or foreign income taxes for $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

any period because the Company has incurred losses in all periods and for all jurisdictions.

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax affects of temporary differences $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

deferred tax assets are as follows:

F-4

December 31, 2001

Deferred tax assets
Net operating loss carryforwards
\$38,459,557
Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets
(38,459,557)

Net deferred tax assets

Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon future earnings,

if any,

the $\,$ timing and amount of which are uncertain. Accordingly, the net deferred tax

assets have been fully offset by a valuation allowance. The Company had net

operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes of approximately \$

38,459,557 and \$23,240,878 as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. These

carryforwards, if not utilized to offset taxable income begin to expire in 2003.

Utilization of the net operating loss may be subject to substantial annual $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

limitation due to the ownership change limitations provided by the $\operatorname{Internal}$

Revenue Code and similar state provisions. The annual limitation could result in

the expiration of the net operating loss before utilization.

NOTE 7 - CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK

After the acquisition of Swan Magnetics, Inc., there remained Swan convertible $\,$

preferred stock outstanding, which had not been converted to $Swan \ \mathsf{common} \ \mathsf{stock}$

or IVG common stock. After the acquisition of Swan, there were 612,957 shares of

Series B outstanding with a historical cost of \$221,000, 2,010,000 shares of

Series D outstanding with a historical cost of \$1,423,303 and 706,000 shares of

Series G shares outstanding with a historical cost of \$3,512,000. Upon

acquisition, the preferred stock has been valued at \$2,191,819, the liquidation

preference value, due to the going concern question of IVG. The rights, $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1$

preferences and privileges of the Swan Series B, D and G preferred stock holders $\,$

are as follows:

Dividend Rights

Dividends $% \left({{\mathbf{p}}_{1}}\right) ={\mathbf{p}}_{2}$ are non-cumulative and payable only upon declaration of the Board of

Directors at a rate of \$0.132 per share for Series B preferred stock, \$0.05 per

share for Series D preferred stock and \$0.05 per share for Series G preferred

stock. No distributions will be made on any share of Series D preferred stock

until holders of Series B preferred stock have been paid. No distribution will

Liquidation Preference

Holders of Series B shares have a liquidation preference over Series D

and G and

common shareholders of \$1.10 per share plus any declared but unpaid dividends,

holders of Series D shares have a liquidation preference over Series ${\sf G}$ and

common shareholders of \$2.50 per share plus any declared but unpaid dividends,

and holders of Series G shares have a liquidation preference over common

shareholders of \$5.00 per share plus any declared but unpaid dividends.

Conversion Rights

Each share of preferred stock is convertible into one share of common stock at $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

stock automatically converts upon an effective initial public offering or upon

the vote or written consent of at least two-thirds of the number of outstanding

shares $\,$ of the preferred stock into common stock (except Series B which does not

have this feature).

Warrants

There are outstanding common stock warrants attached to Series D and Series ${\tt G}$

preferred stock. The Series D preferred stock warrants give the warrant holder

the right to purchase one share of Swan common stock at \$0.83 per share. The

Series $\,$ G preferred stock warrants give the warrant holder the right to purchase

shares of Swan common stock. The Series D warrants expire in 2001 and the Series $\,$

G warrants expire in 2006.

Voting Rights

Each holder of Series B, D, and G preferred stock is entitled to vote on matters $\,$

presented to the common stockholders of Swan as if the holder had converted such $\,$

shares of preferred stock into common stock. In addition, the Series $\ensuremath{\mathsf{G}}$

preferred stockholders also have the right to elect one director to the ${\tt Swan}$

Board of Directors.

NOTE 8 - STOCK COMPENSATION PLANS

Stock Option Plan

The Company has granted options to purchase shares of common stock to employees,

directors, consultants, and investors at prices as determined by the Board of
Directors, at date of grant. A summary of Company's stock options
granted is
presented below:

	Number of Shares Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	
Balance, December 31,	365,681	
\$9.40 Granted Exercised Canceled	218,750 - - -	5.40 - -
Balance, December 31, 2000 7.80	584,431	
Granted Exercised Cancelled	1,162,500 281,750 -	.63 .56 -
Balance December 31, 2001 3.50	1,465,181	\$

The fair value of each stock option was estimated on the date of grant using the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

Black-Schoales option-pricing model with the following weighted-average $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

assumption on stock options issued on or before June 30, 2000: an expected life $\,$

of four (4) years, expected volatility of 87%, and a dividend yield of 0% and on $\,$

stock options issued after June 30, 2000 but before January 1, 2001: an expected $\,$

life of 18 months, expected volatility of 90%, and a dividend yield of 0% and on $\,$

options issued between January 1, 2001 and June 30, 2001: an expected life of $\boldsymbol{5}$

years, expected volatility of 100%., and a dividend yield of 0% and on options $\,$

issued after June 30, 2001: an expected life of 0 years, expected volatility of $\,$

100% and a dividend yield of 0%.

2000 Omnibus Securities Plan

The 2000 Omnibus Securities Plan ("2000 Plan") was adopted in October 2000 and

54,010

11,975

reserved 500,000 shares of Group Management Corp. common stock for stock

options, including incentive and non-qualified stock options, restrictive stock

awards, unrestricted stock awards, performance stock awards, dividend equivalent $\ensuremath{\mathsf{eq}}$

rights, and stock appreciation rights to directors, officers, and key employees $\$

of the company and certain consultants.

The following summary presents information with regard to the securities issued

under the 2000 Plan as of December 31, 2001:

Balance, December 31, 2001 Number of Shares

Unrestricted stock awards:
Restricted stock awards:

Shares available under the 2000 Plan as of December 31, 2001 totaled 434,015. In

accordance with APB 25, non-cash stock-based compensation expense of \$1,592,450

has been recognized in the accompanying statements of operations for the $\ensuremath{\text{year}}$

ended $\,\,$ December 31, 2000 related to these stock awards. An equal amount has been

recognized in shareholders' equity. No stock awards were made in 2001 under this plan.

2002 Omnibus Securities Plan

Our board of directors adopted our 2002 Ominbus Securities Plan in March 2002.

Under the plan, our employees, directors and consultants may be awarded options

to purchase our common stock. We may also make awards of restricted common

stock and grant stock appreciation rights under the plan. The $\mbox{\tt maximum}$ number of

 $\operatorname{\texttt{common}}$ stock reserved and available for issuance under the plan during the first

plan year is 500,000, subject to certain adjustments, and will increase to ten

percent (10%) of the outstanding common stock in subsequent years. We believe

that the award of options, restricted stock and stock appreciation rights will $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

provide incentive to key personnel as well as offer an attractive benefit for $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

the new managers that we must recruit. As of March 31, 2002, no shares of stock $\,$

or options have been granted under the plan.

Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan

The Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan adopted in July 2000 permitted the

issuance of up to 45,000 shares of common stock to directors who are not

employees of Group Management Corp. Under the plan, options to purchase $5000\,$

shares of common stock at the fair market value on the date of grant are $\ensuremath{\mathsf{granted}}$

to each non-employee director annually. As of December 31, 2000, options for

15,000 shares had been granted to three non-employee directors under this plan,

of which 150,000 shares are available for exercise. The exercise price of these

options is \$15.00 per share. The exercise price was deemed fair value by the $\,$

Company's Board of Directors due to the uncertain public market for the shares.

the vesting schedule of the shares and the restricted nature of the shares

issuable upon exercise of the option.

On February 5, 2000, an option to purchase 3750 shares of common stock was

granted to a member of the Board of Advisors as consideration for additional $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

services $% \left(1\right) =0$ he rendered to the Company. The option has an exercise price of \$5.00

per share. On the date of grant, 100% of the shares were vested. The option $% \left\{ 100\% \right\} =100\%$

expires August 5, 2004. Compensation expense was not recorded because the stock

was \mbox{not} trading on the date of grant. The exercise price was deemed fair value

by the Company's Board of Directors in light of the lack of public market for $\,$

the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$ shares, the vesting schedule of the shares and the restricted nature of the

shares issuable upon exercise of the option.

Accounting Issues Relating to All Stock Compensation Plans

The Company accounts for these plans under APB Opinion No. 25 and related

interpretations. Had compensation cost for these plans been determined using the $\,$

fair value method of SFAS No. 123, pro forma net earnings and diluted earnings

per share would have been (29,287,366) and (35,215,000) and (.49) and (.94).

for 2001 and 2000, respectively.

F-7

NOTE 9 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating Leases

The $\mbox{company}$ is involved in several operating leases including leases for office

and warehouse space, telecommunication services, and screen printers.

The lease

commitments are as follows:

o $\,$ Office facilities are leased for a minimum monthly payment of \$9,988. The

lease expires November 2002.

o $\,$ Another office and warehouse facilities are leased for a minimum monthly

payment of \$6,719. The lease expires November 2005.

o $\,$ The company leases two screen printers. One lease requires a $\,$ minimum $\,$

monthly payment of \$130 and expires August 2002 and the other requires $\,$

minimum monthly payments of \$600 and expires August 2004. o The Company also has a lease commitment for telecommunication services

that require a minimum monthly lease of \$1,306 with the lease expiring

in December 2003.

The rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 were \$179,074

and \$84,777, respectively.

The $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$ minimum future lease payments are summarized in the following table for the

years ended December 31:

2002	\$ 212 , 679
2003	142,732
2003	124,659
2004	109,868
2005	0
Thereafter	0

Capital Leases

The company entered into a capital lease agreement for telephone equipment

during 2001. As required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board and GAAP,

the Company recorded the telephone system obtained through this capital lease as $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

a fixed asset in the accompanying financial statements. The telephone $\ensuremath{\mathsf{system}}$

was recorded at a cost of \$57,801 along with the related capital lease

obligation in the same amount. During 2001 the Company recognized depreciation

expense in the amount of \$28,901. The capital lease requires minimum monthly $\,$

principal and interest payments of \$1363 and expires in November 2002. At the $\,$

end of the lease the Company has the option to purchase the equipment at fair $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

market value. The minimum principal payments due during the year ended ${\tt December}$

31, 2002 are \$18,493, and there are no commitments to make payments after 2002 $\,$

under this agreement.

NOTE 10 - GOING CONCERN

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with $\mbox{U.}$

S. generally accepted accounting principles, which contemplates continuation of

the Company as a going concern. The Company has incurred substantial operating

losses. As shown in the financial statements, the Company incurred net losses of

\$ 15,218,679,on gross sales of \$574,826 for the year ended December 31, 2001.

These factors indicate there is substantial doubt about the Company's ability to

continue as a going concern. The future success of the Company is likely $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

dependent on its ability to obtain additional capital to develop its proposed

products and ultimately, upon its ability to attain future profitable $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1$

operations. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in

obtaining such financing, or that it will attain positive cash flow from operations.

F-8

Management believes that actions presently being taken to revise the Company's

operating $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

continue as a going concern. The Company has been able to continue based upon $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

the financial support of certain of its stockholders, and the continued $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

existence of the Company is dependent upon this support and the Company's $\footnote{\footnote{I}}$

ability to acquire assets by the issuance of stock.

NOTE 11 - ACQUISITION OF SUBSIDIARY

On September 28, 2000, the Company acquired ownership of approximately 88.5% of

the $\,$ common stock of Swan Magnetics, Inc. Swan is a hardware development company

specializing in ultra high capacity floppy disk drives and media. As part of a

two step purchase transaction, the Company exchanged 1,000,000 shares of

restricted common stock for approximately 88.5% of the outstanding common shares $\,$

of $\,$ Swan. These shares were valued at \$19,005,000 based upon the market value of

shares $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

stockholders, an exchange of restricted common stock for warrants to purchase $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

common $\,$ stock $\,$ at $\,$ an $\,$ exercise price equal to the market value on September 28,

2000, or \$35.00. The warrants expire August 1, 2004. Stockholders exchanged an $\,$

aggregate of 454,590 shares of restricted common stock of the Company for common

stock warrants. The fair value of the common stock warrants was

estimated on September 28, 2000 using the Black-Schoales option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumption on stock warrants issued: an expected life of 18 months, expected volatility of 90%, and a dividend yield of 0%. This transaction adjusted the purchase price to approximately \$21,188,000. The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method. The assets and liabilities of Swan were recorded at fair market value, which approximates net book value on the date of acquisition. Upon consummation of the Swan acquisition, the Company expensed \$18,040,000 representing purchased intechnology that had not reached technological feasibility and had no alternative future use. The Company's statement of income includes the income and expenses of Swan for the three months ended December 31, 2000, in accordance with the purchase method of accounting. Prior to the acquisition of its majority interest in Swan, the company issued a secured convertible promissory note in the original principal amount of \$1,000,000 to Swan in connection with a loan by Swan to the company. Following the acquisition of its majority interest in Swan, the company borrowed additional funds from Swan on several occasions, some of which were evidenced by promissory notes. These borrowings are secured by all of the capital stock and holdings of the company in any other entity, collateral and equipment, accounts receivable and other intangibles and intellectual property of the company as evidenced by a Security Agreement, dated July 18, 2000, between Swan and the company. In August 2001, all prior notes and advances from Swan, and an additional loan of \$150,000, were memorialized in a new note in the principal amount of \$2,843,017.33. This note is due on August 1, 2003, and bears interest at 8% per year, and is subject to the July 18, 2000 Security Agreement. Up to \$1,000,000 of the principal on the note is convertible into the company's common stock at a price of \$2.00 per share. These loans did not affect the terms of the Swan acquisition. In 1996, Swan entered into a joint development agreement with a Japanese company and in 1997 entered into a letter of intent for a joint venture

company. In the subsequent months, the Japanese company began to assert

with a U.S.

that it

had rights to the technology that was being developed and filed a lawsuit

against Swan in December 1998 in an attempt to gain exclusive rights to the

technology. As a result of this activity, it became impossible for Swan to

complete $\,$ and commercialize the technology, and in late 1998, Swan ceased normal

operations. In May 1999, the Board of Directors formally suspended its remaining

activities except for two contractors who remained to preserve Swan's technology

and maintain corporate records.

As a result of this litigation, effective April 12, 2000, Swan entered into a $\,$

Settlement $\mbox{Agreement}$ and $\mbox{Release}$ with the $\mbox{Japanese}$ company that resulted in a

payment by the Japanese company of \$25 million, termination of the joint

development $\,$ agreement, release of all obligations between Swan and the Japanese

company $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

the Japanese company. In addition, $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Swan}}$ granted to the Japanese company a

worldwide, non-transferable, fully paid-up, royalty-free (except as provided for

under the agreement), nonexclusive license under Swan's rights in and to all

technology $\,$ owned by Swan as of April 12, 2000 to develop, make, have made, use,

import, market, sell, offer to sell and distribute high-capacity flexible-media $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

magnetic storage drives, media and components using the technology. The $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Japanese}}$

company $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

related to specific products. Royalty payments are required by the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Japanese}}$

company for any products shipped by them prior to April 14, 2001. No amounts $\,$

have been received to date.

F-9

NOTE 12 - ACQUISITIONS

SES-CORP, INC./CHEYENNE MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC. On April 1, 2001, the Company

acquired SES-Corp., Inc., a Delaware corporation, pursuant to an $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Amended}}$ and

Restated Asset Purchase Agreement and Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger $\,$

Agreement"), dated as of March 30, 2001, by and among the Company, SES, Cheyenne

Management Company, Inc., a Michigan corporation, SES Acquisition 2001, Inc., a

Delaware corporation and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company ("Sub"), and

Dennis Lambka and Ronald Bray, shareholders of SES (the "Shareholders"). Under

the terms of the Merger Agreement, Sub merged with and into SES and SES became a

wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (the "Merger"). The shares of SES common

stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger were $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

converted into the right to receive 590,961shares of the Company's common

stock. Five hundred thousand shares of the Company's common stock were to be

placed in an escrow account (the "Escrow Shares") to secure certain

indemnification obligations set forth in the Merger Agreement.

On August 8, 2001, the Company entered into a share exchange agreement with the $\,$

Shareholders (the "Share Exchange Agreement"), in which the Company disposed of

SES by exchanging all of the issued and outstanding shares of SES for the ${\tt Escrow}$

Shares. As a result, the Company received 100% of the Escrow Shares and the

Shareholders $\,$ received $\,$ 100% of SES. The Shareholders also released the Company

from any obligations to issue additional shares of the Company to the $\parbox{\footnotements}$

Shareholders under the Merger Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Share

Exchange Agreement, the Shareholders each retained 45,000 shares of the

Company's Common Stock issued to them under the Merger Agreement.

The $\,$ cost of the acquisition and subsequent disposition of SES was approximately

\$522,000. Additionally, the Company recorded stock based compensation expense of

approximately \$2,300,000, related to the approximately 90,000 shares of stock

currently held by the former shareholders of SES. While no claims against the $\,$

Company are pending or threatened related to its former ownership of SES, in the $\,$

future the Company could incur additional expenses related to such claims.

CYBERCOUPONS. On January 9, 2001, the Company executed a Reorganization $\ \ \,$

Agreement and Plan of Exchange pursuant to which the Company exchanged up to

118,631 shares of its common stock for approximately 35% of the issued and

outstanding common stock of CyberCoupons.com, Inc., a Houston, Texas-based

company. The Company's investment in CyberCoupons was diluted immediately, in

the sense that the CyberCoupons shares acquired in exchange for ${\tt IVG}$ ${\tt common}$ stock

have a book value that is far less than the trading price of IVG common stock at $\,$

January 9, 2001. No assurances can be given that the Company's investment in

CyberCoupons will appreciate in value, or that it will appreciate to a value $\,$

comparable to the value of IVG shares that were delivered to the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{CyberCoupons}}$

stockholders.

CyberCoupons was formed to be an Internet source for consumers to obtain

on-line-printable manufacturer coupons for grocery, household and beauty $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

products. The Company does not intend to pursue this business venture.

ITVR. In $\,$ November 2000, Swan entered into a Research and Development Agreement

with iTVr, Inc. to further develop technology intended to record, play back and

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{time-shift} & \text{certain} & \text{broadband} & \text{electronic} & \text{transmission} & \text{events} & \text{such} \\ \text{as} & \text{live} & \end{array}$

television, video email, and music videos. The initial development fee of

\$250,000~ was % 100,000 was paid and expensed in 2000. The agreement required iTVr to provide

certain deliverables prior to December 31, 2000 and, upon completion of an

evaluation of those deliverables, to determine whether to provide additional $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}$

funding. As a result of this evaluation, an additional development fee of

 $\$500,000\,$ was made to iTVr in January 2001. The agreement also requires Swan to

use its best efforts to pursue additional financing for iTVr of up to \$2

million. The initial funding of \$250,000 was convertible into 2 million shares

of common stock of iTVR within $60\ \mathrm{days}$ of the completion of the initial

development phase. In addition, The initial development fee of \$500,000 was

convertible into \$1 million shares of common stock of iTVR and a cashless

warrant to acquire an additional 1 million shares of common stock at no $\,$

additional cost if an additional investment of at least \$2 million is arranged

for by Swan. Swan exercised its conversion rights related to the \$750,000

funding $% \left(1\right) =1$ and received 3 million shares of common stock of iTVr in February 2001.

This represents a 46% ownership in iTVr. The additional \$2 million financing, if

acquired, will also be convertible into 2.5 million shares of common stock of $\,$

iTVr by the lender.

F-10

 ${\it iTVr}$ has developed a high performance, multi-function, low cost personal video

recorder for a variety of applications including time shift television

recording, digital imaging and manipulation, distance education, HDTV, karaoke,

video conferencing, music videos, video emails and home gateway applications.

iTVr's business model is to provide cost effective multi-function solutions at

affordable prices without requiring ongoing service charges. iTVr expects to

begin shipments of its first product in China in the fourth quarter of 2001.

NOTE 13 - CONVERTIBLE NOTES

On February 2, 2001, Alpha Capital Aktiengesellschaft, AMRO International, S.A.,

Markham Holdings Ltd. and Stonestreet Limited Partnership (the "investors")

purchased from the company an aggregate \$1,100,000 of its 6% convertible notes

due 2003. The notes are secured by 250,150 shares of the company's common stock

that has been pledged by six of its shareholders, including two of its directors.

Until a note is paid in full, the holder of a note may convert the outstanding $\ensuremath{\mathsf{L}}$

principal and interest due on the note into shares of the company's common stock

at a conversion price equal to the lower of (1) \$1.5825 and (2) 85% of the

average of the three lowest closing bid prices for our common stock on the

principal market on which it is trading for the 22 trading days prior to but not

including the date of conversion of the note. As of October 8, 2001, and at an $\,$

assumed conversion price of \$1.13 per share, the notes would have been

convertible into 965,759 shares of the company's common stock. This number of

shares $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

bid price of the company's common stock. The notes are payable on January 1, 2003.

The $\mbox{company}$ is also obligated to issue additional shares of \mbox{common} stock to the

investors if the closing bid price of its common stock is not equal to or

greater than \$2.374 for 10 consecutive trading days during the 180-day period

beginning on the effective date of the registration statement filed to register

the shares underlying the convertible notes.

In consideration for their investment, the company issued the investors warrants

to purchase an aggregate of 13,750 shares of common stock at an exercise price

of \$32.94. These warrants expire on February 2, 2006. In partial consideration

for serving as the company's financial advisor and private placement agent in $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

connection with the issuance of the notes, the company issued Union Atlantic

Capital, L.C. a warrant to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at an exercise

price of \$1.647. This warrant expires April 30, 2005. The exercise price of

\$1.647 represents 120% of the average closing price of the company's common

stock for the five trading days prior to February 2, 2001, the date of issuance $\frac{1}{2}$

of the notes.

In connection with the financing, the company agreed to file a registration $\ensuremath{\text{company}}$

statement for the shares underlying the notes and warrants. The company was

originally required to make the registration statement effective by $June\ 17$,

2001. The investors waived this default and penalties under the convertible $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$

notes $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ relating to the failure to make the registration statement effective by

June 17, 2001, provided that the company file an amendment to the registration

statement by October 10, 2001 and cause the registration statement to be

declared effective by December 10, 2001. If the registration statement is not

declared effective within the required time periods or ceases to be effective

for a period of time exceeding $30\ \mathrm{days}$ in the aggregate per year but not more

than 20 consecutive calendar days, the company must pay damages equal to one

percent of the principal of the notes per month for the first $30~\mathrm{days}$ and two

percent of the principal of the notes per month for each subsequent $30\text{-}\mathrm{day}$

period. The company also must pay these damages if 120% of all shares of common

stock underlying the convertible notes and warrants are not included in an

effective registration statement as of and after December 10, 2001, as

determined using the conversion price in effect on the effective date of the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

registration statement.

F-11

NOTE 14 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS - FINANCING AGREEMENT

On April 2, 2002 the Company announced that it has engaged Roger Shelley and The $\,$

Shelley Group LLC to provide the capital foundation for its plan to acquire a

range of human resource services firms and blend them into a consolidated $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

business model.

The Company has engaged with The Shelley Group because it believes

Shelley can

successfully drive its capital formation needs, assist in the alignment of its

plan with the needs of investors, and help increase investor awareness of its $\ensuremath{\mathsf{HR}}$

rollup. The funding objective to successfully execute its plan consists of

raising \$6.0 million, primarily through the issuance of common stock.

The Shelley Group LLC provides strategic senior management counseling and

financing to early and mezzanine stage companies. The company also provides $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

value-added services in the areas of capital formation; development of strategic

alliances; corporate and investor relations and venture development. The $\,$

Shelley Group works closely with its client's senior management to assist in the

development of their business plans, sales and marketing projections, and growth $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

targets. The agreement with the Shelley group, LLC has since been terminated. The company currently has no further plans to enter the human resources industry.

NOTE 15 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS - SALE OF A SUBSIDARY

On March 6, 2002, the Company sold its entire ownership in Swan Magnetics to

Lumar Worldwide Industries, Inc, for \$2.5 million to be paid by a promissory

note payable in seven years. The transaction is in line with a strategic $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

decision to focus on its consolidation of the business services industry, and

its equity in Swan no longer fits with its business plan.

A key asset of Swan Magnetics is its interest in iTVr technology, which is used

in the manufacture of set-top boxes. Swan had previously acquired a 46% equity

interest in iTVr Inc. Swan is also the developer of its UHC ("ultra $\,$

 $\label{eq:high-capacity"} \mbox{high-capacity")} \quad \mbox{removable} \quad \mbox{disk} \quad \mbox{drive} \quad \mbox{that combines high performance} \\ \mbox{and high} \quad \mbox{} \mbox{high-capacity")} \quad \mbox{removable} \quad \mbox{disk} \quad \mbox{drive} \quad \mbox{that combines high performance} \\ \mbox{and high} \quad \mbox{} \mbox{high-capacity")} \quad \mbox{removable} \quad \mbox{disk} \quad \mbox{drive} \quad \m$

capacity in a standard floppy-disk form-factor.

Lumar Worldwide Industries, Inc. and its strategic partners develop software $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

applications for digital technologies, which fit with Swan's iTVr technology.

The company's 88.5% ownership interest in the Swan subsidiary was sold to Lumar Worldwide Industries, Inc., pursuant to a promissory note for \$2.5 million payable on March 6, 2009. The promissory note payment terms are:

DUE DATE AMOUNT DUE APRIL 30, 2003 \$0.0

APRIL	30,	2004	\$0.0
APRIL	30,	2005	\$122,500
APRIL	30,	2006	\$122,500
APRIL	30,	2007	\$122,500
APRIL	30,	2008	\$122,500
APRIL	30,	2009	\$122,500

The company may not accelerate the maturity of the notes in the case of a default or breach of any of the terms of the agreement.

The Royalty Agreement:

Addendum to Agreement to Purchase Swan Magnetic from GPMT by Lurnar $\,$

World Wide Industries, Inc. dated March 6, 2002

The Parties in the above referenced agreement agree and enter into a royalty agreement for any and all products sold by Swan Magnetics or its wholly owned or partially owned subsidiaries, business partner, or alliances in which Swan Magnetic or its subsidiaries receive a participation, for a period of a ten years.

- 1. Royalty The Royalty payable to GPMT will be equal to 4% of the gross Sales on any products sold by or through Swan, directly, via licenses, alliance, or other agreement that yields a revenue for Swan or its Subsidiaries ties.
- 2. Term The term of this agreement shall be for Seven (7) years.
- 3. Products Products shall refer to item that is manufactured, licensed, or resold by Swan or its subsidiaries.
- 4. Notice Lumar Worldwide Industries, Inc. will provide written notice within 30 days of the end of each quarter to GPMT at GPMT's offices located at 13135 Dairy Ashford, Suite 525 Sugar Land, TX 77 478, on a quarterly basis the amount of the gross sales of Swan & its subsidiaries and the amount Of Royalties that are due GPMT.
- 5. Payment Payment will be due 60 hays after the end of each Quarter in cash. Cash shall refer to any cash or cash equivalent in monies of the United States of America.

The internal laws of the State of Texas all govern the interpretation and enforcement of this Agreement.

NOTE 16 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS - 2000

The Company paid \$110,918 in legal fees to a law firm owned by an outside director. The Company also issued the firm 300,000 shares of common stock in

lieu of a cash retainer and director fees. These shares were valued at \$75,000.

which the board determined was the fair market value of the shares.

The Company paid \$55,000 to two related parties, one an outside director and one

a current employee. These payments were for consulting services.

The Company granted a member of the board of advisors an option to purchase 3750

shares of common stock as consideration for services rendered to the Company.

The option has an exercise price of \$5.00 and expires on August 5, 2004. On the

grant date, February 5, 2000, 25% of the shares vested. The remaining shares $\,$

vest at the rate of 25% each six months thereafter. The Company's Board of

Directors deemed the exercise price fair value in light of the lack of public

market $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ for the shares, the vesting schedule of shares and the restricted nature

of the shares upon exercise of the option.

F-12

NOTE 17 - LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

CONVERTIBLE NOTE HOLDERS. On February 2, 2001, the Company issued \$1.1 million

of convertible notes to four investors in a private placement. The convertible

notes \mbox{mature} on $\mbox{January 1, 2003}$ and bear interest at the rate of 6% per year.

The events of default under the notes are described in this report under the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

section captioned "Convertible Notes".

As part of the financing transactions involving the convertible notes, the $\$

Company agreed to file a registration statement for the resale by the note $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =$

holders of the common stock underlying the convertible notes and to have the $\,$

registration statement declared effective by June 17, 2001. The registration

statement was not declared effective by June 17, 2001 and has not been declared

effective as of the time of the filing of this report.

On September 10, 2001, the Company entered into a Security Agreement with the $\,$

noteholders and certain of its shareholders, including Elorian Landers, the $\,$

Chief Executive Officer and a director, and Thomas L. McCrimmon, a director.

Under the Security Agreement, Mr. Landers and his wife pledged 150,000 shares of

common stock, Mr. McCrimmon pledged 10,900 shares of common stock and other

shareholders pledged 89,250 shares of common stock, all as security for

obligations under the financing agreements with the noteholders. As part of this

agreement, the note holders waived the default and penalties under the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

convertible notes for failure to make the registration statement effective by

June 17, 2001, provided that the Company file an amendment to the registration

statement by October 20, 2001 and cause the registration statement to be $\,$

declared effective by December 10, 2001. The note holders also lent the Company

an additional \$55,000 and the Company signed a promissory note agreeing to

repay this amount by the earlier of December, 2001 or the occurrence of an event

of default under the Security Agreement.

On February 7, 2002, the convertible note holders declared a default on the $\,$

notes for failure to have the registration statement declared effective and made $\$

demand for payment of the convertible notes and promissory notes. In addition,

the collateral agent under the Security Agreement released 239,400 shares of $\,$

stock to the convertible note holders. The note holders further requested that

the Company deliver an opinion to the transfer agent so that they would be able

to sell in the public markets under SEC Rule 144 the shares released by the $\,$

collateral agent and have the shares reissued in the note holders' names. One of

the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

into common stock. Because of certain disputes with the note holders, the $\,$

Company has not complied with these requests.

On or about March 21, 2002, Alpha Capital Aktiengesellschaft, ${\tt Amro}$

International, S. A., Markham Holdings, LTD, and Stonestreet Limited

Partnership, the holders of the convertible notes, filed a complaint in ${\tt United}$

States District Court for the Southern District of New York naming the Company ,

Elorian Landers and his wife as defendants. In their complaint, the note holders

allege, among other things, the following:

o $\,$ $\,$ fraud $\,$ in connection with the sale of the convertible notes resulting from

alleged misrepresentations as to the Company's cash position;

o $\,$ breach of contract on the notes for failure to have an effective $\,$

registration statement covering the resale of the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

the notes;

- o failure to honor conversion requests;
- o failure to repay the convertible notes and promissory notes and;
- o anticipatory breach of contract on the notes.

In their complaint, the noteholders assert monetary damages and seek relief (i)

in the amount of \$1,155,000 plus interest, liquidated damages and attorneys fees

and other costs of enforcement for the breach of contract on the notes, (ii)

unspecified $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ monetary damages for failure to cause the registration statement to

be effective and failure to take the steps necessary for the noteholders to sell

the shares under the Security Agreement pursuant to Rule 144, and (iii)

unspecified damages for failure to honor conversion notices. In addition, the $\,$

noteholders are seeking an order directing the Company to (i) cause the $\,$

registration statement to be effective, (ii) to enforce conversion of the notes

into common stock, and (iii) to have the Company and the Landers' take

necessary actions to permit plaintiffs to sell the common stock received from $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

the collateral agent under Rule 144.

F-13

SWAN

In March 2002, the Company was served with a lawsuit brought by Swan Magnetics, $\,$

Inc. in the Superior Court of the state of California, County of Santa Clara.

The only defendant in the action is the Company.

The Complaint alleges, among other things, that the Company breached its

obligations under a promissory note in the principal amount of \$2,843,017, that

the $\mbox{Company}$ has breached its obligations under a series of settlement documents

entered into between Swan and the Company, and that the Company has interfered

with contractual relationships between $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Swan}}$ and certain third parties. The

total relief sought by Swan is \$3,040,000, plus interests, costs and punitive damages.

In separate correspondence, $\mbox{\rm Mr.}$ Eden $\mbox{\rm Kim has alleged that the Company never}$

owned a majority interest in Swan Magnetics, Inc.

The Company is vigorously defending this lawsuit although the Company believes

that the action lacks merit. The case is at a stage where no discovery

has been

taken and no prediction can be made as to the outcome of this case.

NOTE 18 - EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS

On October 8, 2001, the Company entered into employment agreements with ${\sf Elorian}$

Landers, its Chief Executive Officer, and Clay Border, its Chief Development

Officer. Mr. Lander's employment agreement provides for an annual base salary

salary of \$150,000. Each of the agreements also grants each of the employees a

stock option giving them each the right to purchase up to 150,000 shares of the

Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$.56 per share. The option

exercise price was 70% of the closing price of the common stock on the grant

date, and was determined by the Board to be fair market value because the common

stock underlying the option is subject to transfer restrictions under applicable $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

securities laws. The stock options expire on October 8, 2006. One half of the

stock options vested on the grant date and the remaining 75,000 shares will vest

quarterly over one year at a rate of 18,750 shares per quarter. The employment

agreements also provide for reimbursement of certain expenses of each of the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

employees, including a car allowance of \$800 per month, payment of cellular

phone service and a health club membership.

CONSULTING AGREEMENT

On October 8, 2001, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Thomas

McCrimmon, in which he agreed to provide consulting services in connection with $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

the identification, analysis and evaluation of possible merger and acquisition ${\color{black}}$

opportunities. In consideration of his services, the Company granted him the

option to purchase up to $150,000 \; \mathrm{shares} \; \mathrm{of} \; \mathrm{the} \; \mathrm{Company's} \; \mathrm{common} \; \mathrm{stock} \; \mathrm{at} \; \mathrm{an}$

exercise \mbox{price} of \$.56 per share over a five year period. The option exercise

price was 70% of the closing price of the common stock on the grant date, and

was determined by the Board to be fair market value because the common stock

underlying the option is subject to transfer restrictions under applicable

securities laws. One half of the stock options vested on the grant date

remaining 75,000 shares will vest quarterly over one year at a rate of 18,750

shares per quarter.

F-14

NOTE 19 - SUBSEQUENT EVENT - PENDING ACQUISITION - BESTSTAFF SERVICES, INC.

On February 28, 2002, the Company announced that it had signed a Letter of Intent to acquire 45% of the outstanding common stock of BestStaff Services, Inc. in exchange for cash and common stock of the Company. Terms of the agreement have not been finalized as of the date of this report. The acquisition of BestStaff Services was never completed and the letter of intent has been terminated.

NOTE 20 - COMMON STOCK

On December 3, 2001, the Board of Directors called a Special Meeting of

Shareholders to vote on three matters as follows:

A. A proposal to amend Article IV of the Company's Certificate of

 $\hbox{Incorporation and effect a 1 for 20 reverse stock split} \\ \hbox{and to} \\$

decrease the number of shares of authorized common stock of the $\operatorname{\mathsf{Company}}$

from 300,000,000 to 150,000,000. The measure passed and became

effective December 17, 2001. All references to common stock and stock

options or awards in the accompanying statements and related notes are

post-split, unless specifically noted as pre-split.

B. A proposal to amend Article IV of the Company's Certificate of

Incorporation to authorize $10,000,000 \ \mathrm{shares} \ \mathrm{of} \ \mathrm{preferred} \ \mathrm{stock} \ \mathrm{and} \ \mathrm{to}$

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ permit such shares to be designated and issued from time to time, and

the rights of such $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$ preferred stock to be fixed from time to time, by the

Board of Directors without shareholder approval. The measure passed.

No preferred stock is outstanding as of December 31, 2001.

C. A proposal to amend Article I of the Company's Certificate of

Incorporation to effect a change in the Company's name from IVG Corp. to

Group Management Corp. The measure passed.

GPMT issues a press release on April 2, 2002 announcing the retainer of the Shelly Group, LLC. The agreement was a verbal agreement where the Shelly Group, LLC agreed to provide consulting services related to the acquisition of companies in the human resource industry. The Shelly Group, LLC was also to use its best efforts to raise up to \$6.0 million dollars for acquisition and working capital. The Shelly Group provided services related to the acquisition of Best Staff and Staff Leasing. The Shelly Group withdrew from the verbal agreement in April 2002. GPMT plan was to use its

publicly traded shares as a currency to acquire companies on a stock for stock exchange, where it would provide the acquired companies with management, capital and access to various strategic business services. The company is no longer looking to enter the human resource industry.

The company has a \$50,000 revolving inventory line of credit that is current and is renewed every six months. The line of credit is secured by the inventory that is purchased by the funds resulting from the line of credit.

F-15

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

GROUP MANAGEMENT CORP.

Date: December 23, 2002 By: /s/ Elorian

Landers

Elorian Landers, Chief

Executive

Officer and Director

/s/ Elorian Landers

Elorian Landers, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)