ZIONS BANCORPORATION /UT/ Form 10-K February 27, 2015 **UNITED STATES** SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-K Ý ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 OR Ý TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 001-12307 ZIONS BANCORPORATION (Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter) UTAH 87-0227400 (State or other jurisdiction of (Internal Revenue Service Employer incorporation or organization) Identification Number) One South Main, 15th Floor 84133 Salt Lake City, Utah (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code) Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (801) 844-7637 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: Name of Each Exchange on Which Title of Each Class Registered The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC Common Stock, without par value Warrants to Purchase Common Stock (expiring May 22, 2020) The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC Warrants to Purchase Common Stock (expiring November 14, 2018) The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC Depositary Shares each representing a 1/40<sup>th</sup> ownership interest in a share of Series A Floating-Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred New York Stock Exchange Stock Depositary Shares each representing a 1/40<sup>th</sup> ownership interest in a New York Stock Exchange share of Series F 7.9% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock Depositary Shares each representing a 1/40th ownership interest in a share of Series G Fixed/Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual New York Stock Exchange Preferred Stock Depositary Shares each representing a 1/40th ownership interest in a share of Series H Fixed-Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred New York Stock Exchange Stock Convertible 6% Subordinated Notes due September 15, 2015 New York Stock Exchange 6.95% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Subordinated Notes due September 15, New York Stock Exchange 2028 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None. Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ý No<sup>\*</sup> Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No ý Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was

required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No " Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ý No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Section 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer ý Accelerated filer "Non-accelerated filer" Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No  $\acute{y}$ 

Aggregate Market Value of Common Stock Held by Non-affiliates at June 30, 2014	\$5,309,399,779
Number of Common Shores Outstanding at February 18, 2015	203,193,271
Number of Common Shares Outstanding at February 18, 2015	shares
Documents Incorporated by Reference: Portions of the Company's Proxy Statement - Incorporated	into Part III

# FORM 10-K TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
<u>PART I</u> Item 1.	Business	<u>5</u>
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>13</u>
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	<u>20</u>
Item 2.	Properties	<u>20</u>
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	<u>20</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>20</u>
<u>PART II</u>		
<u>Item 5.</u>	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	<u>20</u>
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	<u>23</u>
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>24</u>
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>92</u>
<u>Item 8.</u>	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	<u>93</u>
<u>Item 9.</u>	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	<u>178</u>
<u>Item 9A.</u>	Controls and Procedures	<u>178</u>
<u>Item 9B.</u>	Other Information	<u>178</u>
<u>PART III</u>		
<u>Item 10.</u>	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	<u>178</u>
<u>Item 11.</u>	Executive Compensation	<u>178</u>
<u>Item 12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	<u>178</u>
<u>Item 13.</u>	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	<u>179</u>
<u>Item 14.</u>	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	<u>179</u>
PART IV		
<u>Item 15.</u>	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	<u>179</u>
<u>Signatures</u>		<u>184</u>

2

# PART I

# FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K that are based on other than historical data are forward-looking within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements provide current expectations or forecasts of future events and include, among others:

statements with respect to the beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, guidelines, expectations, anticipations, and future financial condition, results of operations and performance of Zions Bancorporation ("the Parent") and its subsidiaries (collectively "the Company," "Zions," "we," "our," "us"); and

statements preceded by, followed by, or that include the words "may," "could," "should," "would," "believe," "anticipat "estimate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "projects," or similar expressions.

These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance, nor should they be relied upon as representing management's views as of any subsequent date. Forward-looking statements involve significant risks and uncertainties and actual results may differ materially from those presented, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, those presented in Management's Discussion and Analysis. Factors that might cause such differences include, but are not limited to:

the Company's ability to successfully execute its business plans, manage its risks, and achieve its objectives; changes in local, national and international political and economic conditions, including without limitation the political and economic effects of the recent economic crisis, delay of recovery from that crisis, economic and fiscal imbalances in the United States and other countries, potential or actual downgrades in ratings of sovereign debt issued by the United States and other countries, and other major developments, including wars, military actions, and terrorist attacks;

changes in financial and commodity market prices and conditions, either internationally, nationally or locally in areas in which the Company conducts its operations, including without limitation rates of business formation and growth, commercial and residential real estate development, real estate prices, and energy-related commodity prices; changes in markets for equity, fixed-income, commercial paper and other securities, including availability, market liquidity levels, and pricing;

changes in interest rates, the quality and composition of the loan and securities portfolios, demand for loan products, deposit flows and competition;

acquisitions and integration of acquired businesses;

increases in the levels of losses, customer bankruptcies, bank failures, claims, and assessments;

changes in fiscal, monetary, regulatory, trade and tax policies and laws, and regulatory assessments and fees, including policies of the U.S. Department of Treasury, the OCC, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve

Board System, and the FDIC, the SEC, and the CFPB; the impact of executive compensation rules under the Dodd-Frank Act and banking regulations which may impact the ability of the Company and other American financial institutions to retain and recruit executives and other personnel necessary for their businesses and competitiveness;

the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act and of new international standards known as Basel III, and rules and regulations thereunder, on our required regulatory capital and liquidity levels, governmental assessments on us, the scope of business activities in which we may engage, the manner in which we engage in such activities, the fees we may charge for certain products and services, and other matters affected by the Dodd-Frank Act and these international standards;

continuing consolidation in the financial services industry;

new legal claims against the Company, including litigation, arbitration and proceedings brought by governmental or self-regulatory agencies, or changes in existing legal matters;

success in gaining regulatory approvals, when required; changes in consumer spending and savings habits;

increased competitive challenges and expanding product and pricing pressures among financial institutions; inflation and deflation;

technological changes and the Company's implementation of new technologies;

the Company's ability to develop and maintain secure and reliable information technology systems;

legislation or regulatory changes which adversely affect the Company's operations or business;

the Company's ability to comply with applicable laws and regulations;

changes in accounting policies or procedures as may be required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board or regulatory agencies; and

costs of deposit insurance and changes with respect to FDIC insurance coverage levels.

Except to the extent required by law, the Company specifically disclaims any obligation to update any factors or to publicly announce the result of revisions to any of the forward-looking statements included herein to reflect future events or developments.

### AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION

We also make available free of charge on our website, www.zionsbancorporation.com, annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as well as proxy statements, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS							
ABS	Asset-Backed Security	CFPB	Consumer Financial Protection Bureau				
ACL	Allowance for Credit Losses	CLTV	Combined Loan-to-Value Ratio				
AFS	Available-for-Sale	CMC	Capital Management Committee				
ALCO	Asset/Liability Committee	COSO	Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission				
ALLL	Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses	CRA	Community Reinvestment Act				
Amegy	Amegy Corporation	CRE	Commercial Real Estate				
AOCI	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	CSV	Cash Surrender Value				
ARM	Adjustable Rate Mortgage	DB	Deutsche Bank AG				
ASC	Accounting Standards Codification	DBRS	Dominion Bond Rating Service				
ASU	Accounting Standards Update	DDA	Demand Deposit Account				
ATM	Automated Teller Machine	DFAST	Dodd-Frank Annual Stress Test				
BCBS	Basel Committee on Banking Supervision	Dodd-Frank	Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and				
DCDS	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		Consumer Protection Act				
BCF	<b>Beneficial Conversion Feature</b>	DTA	Deferred Tax Asset				
BHC Act	Bank Holding Company Act	EITF	Emerging Issues Task Force				
bps	basis points	ERMC	Enterprise Risk Management Committee				
BSA	Bank Secrecy Act	EVE	Economic Value of Equity				
CB&T	California Bank & Trust	FAMC	Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation, or "Farmer Mac"				
CCAR	Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review	FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board				
CDO	Collateralized Debt Obligation	FDIC	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation				
CDR	Constant Default Rate	FDICIA	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act				
CET1	Common Equity Tier 1 (Basel III)	FHLB	Federal Home Loan Bank				

FHLMC	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, or "Freddie Mac"	OTTI	Other-Than-Temporary Impairment
FINRA	Financial Industry Regulatory Authority	Parent	Zions Bancorporation
FNMA	Federal National Mortgage Association, or "Fannie Mae"	PCAOB	Public Company Accounting Oversight Board
FRB	Federal Reserve Board	PCI	Purchased Credit-Impaired
FTE	Full-time Equivalent	PD	Probability of Default
GAAP	Generally Accepted Accounting Principles	PEI	Private Equity Investment
GDP	Gross Domestic Product	PIK	Payment in Kind
GLB Act	Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act	REIT	Real Estate Investment Trust
HECL	Home Equity Credit Line	RSU	Restricted Stock Unit
HQLA	High Quality Liquid Assets	RULC	Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments
HTM	Held-to-Maturity	SBA	Small Business Administration
IFR	Interim Final Rule	SBIC	Small Business Investment Company
IFRS	International Financial Reporting Standards	SEC	Securities and Exchange Commission
LCR	Liquidity Coverage Ratio	SIFI	Systemically Important Financial Institution
LGD	Loss Given Default	SOC	Securitization Oversight Committee
LIBOR	London Interbank Offered Rate	SSU	Salary Stock Unit
LIHTC	Low-Income Housing Tax Credit	TARP	Troubled Asset Relief Program
Lockhart	Lockhart Funding LLC	TCBO	The Commerce Bank of Oregon
MD&A	Management's Discussion and Analysis	TCBW	The Commerce Bank of Washington
NASDAQ	National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotations	TDR	Troubled Debt Restructuring
NAV	Net Asset Value	TRACE	Trade Reporting and Compliance Engine
NBAZ	National Bank of Arizona	TRS	Total Return Swap
NIM	Net Interest Margin	Vectra	Vectra Bank Colorado
NRSRO	Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization	VIE	Variable Interest Entity
NSB	Nevada State Bank	VR	Volcker Rule
OCC	Office of the Comptroller of the Currency	T1C	Tier 1 Common (Basel I)
OCI	Other Comprehensive Income		Zions First National Bank
OREO	Other Real Estate Owned	ZMFU	Zions Municipal Funding
OTC	Over-the-Counter	ZMSC	Zions Management Services Company

#### **ITEM 1. BUSINESS**

#### DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Zions Bancorporation ("the Parent") is a financial holding company organized under the laws of the State of Utah in 1955, and registered under the BHC Act, as amended. The Parent and its subsidiaries (collectively "the Company") own and operate eight commercial banks with a total of 460 domestic branches at year-end 2014. The Company provides a full range of banking and related services through its banking and other subsidiaries, primarily in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. Full-time equivalent employees totaled 10,462 at December 31, 2014. For further information about the Company's industry segments, see "Business Segment Results" on page 46 in MD&A and Note 21 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. For information about the Company's foreign operations, see "Foreign Exposure and Operations" on page 60 in MD&A. The "Executive Summary" on page 24 in MD&A provides further information about the Company.

#### PRODUCTS AND SERVICES

The Company focuses on providing community banking services by continuously strengthening its core business lines of 1) small and medium-sized business and corporate banking; 2) commercial and residential development, construction and term lending; 3) retail banking; 4) treasury cash management and related products and services; 5)

5

residential mortgage servicing and lending; 6) trust and wealth management; 7) limited capital markets activities, including municipal finance advisory and underwriting; and 8) investment activities. It operates eight different banks in eleven Western and Southwestern states with each bank operating under a different name and each having its own board of directors, chief executive officer, and management team. The banks provide a wide variety of commercial and retail banking and mortgage lending products and services. They also provide a wide range of personal banking services to individuals, including home mortgages, bankcard, other installment loans, home equity lines of credit, checking accounts, savings accounts, certificates of deposit of various types and maturities, trust services, safe deposit facilities, direct deposit, and Internet and mobile banking. In addition, certain subsidiary banks provide services to key market segments through their Women's Financial, Private Client Services, and Executive Banking Groups. We also offer wealth management services through various subsidiaries, including Contango Capital Advisors and Zions Trust Company, and online and traditional brokerage services through Zions Direct and Amegy Investments. In addition to these core businesses, the Company has built specialized lines of business in capital markets and public finance, and is a leader in SBA lending. Through its subsidiary banks, the Company is one of the nation's largest providers of SBA 7(a) and SBA 504 financing to small businesses. The Company owns an equity interest in Farmer Mac and is its top originator of secondary market agricultural real estate mortgage loans. The Company provides finance advisory and corporate trust services for municipalities. The Company uses its trust powers to provide trust services to individuals in its wealth management business and to provide bond transfer, stock transfer, and escrow services in its corporate trust business, both within and outside of its footprint.

### COMPETITION

The Company operates in a highly competitive environment. The Company's most direct competition for loans and deposits comes from other commercial banks, credit unions, and thrifts, including institutions that do not have a physical presence in our market footprint but solicit via the Internet and other means. In addition, the Company competes with finance companies, mutual funds, insurance companies, brokerage firms, securities dealers, investment banking companies, and a variety of other types of companies. Many of these companies have fewer regulatory constraints and some have lower cost structures or tax burdens.

The primary factors in competing for business include convenience of office locations and other delivery methods, range of products offered, the quality of service delivered, and pricing. The Company must compete effectively along all of these dimensions to remain successful.

#### SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

The banking and financial services business in which we engage is highly regulated. Such regulation is intended, among other things, to improve the stability of banking and financial companies and to protect the interests of customers, including both loan customers and depositors, and taxpayers. These regulations are not, however, generally intended to protect the interests of our shareholders or creditors, and in fact may have the consequence of reducing returns to our shareholders. This regulatory framework has been materially revised and expanded since the 2008-2009 financial crisis and recession. In particular, the Dodd-Frank Act and regulations promulgated pursuant to it have given financial regulators expanded powers over nearly every aspect of the Company's business. These include, among other things, new, higher regulatory capital requirements; regulation of dividends and other forms of capital distributions to shareholders through annual stress testing and capital planning processes; heightened liquidity and liquidity stress testing requirements, which include specific definitions of the types of investment securities that qualify as "high quality liquid assets" and which effectively limit the portion of the Company's balance sheet that can be used to meet the credit needs of its customers; specific limitations on mortgage lending products and practices; specific limits on certain consumer payment fees; and subjecting compensation practices to specific regulatory oversight and restrictions. Individually and collectively, these additional regulations have imposed and will continue to impose higher costs on the Company, and have reduced and may continue to reduce returns earned by shareholders. The Dodd-Frank Act provides for further regulations, the specifics of which are still not known and the impact of such regulatory changes cannot be presently determined. The Company is committed

to both satisfying heightened regulatory expectations and providing attractive shareholder returns. However, given the still changing regulatory environment, the results of these efforts cannot yet be known.

Described below are the material elements of some selected laws and regulations applicable to the Company. The descriptions are not intended to be complete and are qualified in their entirety by reference to the full text of the statutes and regulations described. Changes in applicable laws or regulations, and in their application by regulatory agencies, cannot be predicted, but they may have a material effect on the business and results of the Company. The Parent is a bank holding company and a financial holding company as provided by the BHC Act, as modified by the GLB Act and the Dodd-Frank Act. These and other federal statutes provide the regulatory framework for bank holding companies and financial holding company is conducted by each subsidiary's primary functional regulator and the laws and regulations administered by those regulators. The GLB Act allows our subsidiary banks to engage in certain financial activities through financial subsidiaries. To qualify for and maintain status as a financial holding company, or to do business through a financial subsidiary, the Parent and its subsidiary banks must satisfy certain ongoing criteria. The Company currently engages in only limited activities for which financial holding company status is required.

The Parent's subsidiary banks and Zions Trust are subject to the provisions of the National Bank Act or other statutes governing national banks or, for those that are state-chartered banks, the banking laws of their various states, as well as the rules and regulations of the OCC (for those that are national banks), and the FDIC. They are also subject to periodic examination and supervision by the OCC or their respective state banking departments, and the FDIC. Many of our nonbank subsidiaries are also subject to regulation by the FRB and other federal and state agencies. These bank regulatory agencies may exert considerable influence over our activities through their supervisory and examination role. Our brokerage and investment advisory subsidiaries are regulated by the SEC, FINRA and/or state securities regulators.

#### The Dodd-Frank Act

The recent financial crisis led to numerous new laws in the United States and internationally for financial institutions. The Dodd-Frank Act, which was enacted in July 2010, is one of the most far reaching legislative actions affecting the financial services industry in decades and significantly restructures the financial regulatory regime in the United States.

The Dodd-Frank Act and regulations adopted under the Dodd-Frank Act broadly affect the financial services industry by creating new resolution authorities, requiring ongoing stress testing of our capital and liquidity, mandating higher capital and liquidity requirements, requiring divestiture of certain equity investments, increasing regulation of executive and incentive-based compensation, requiring banks to pay increased fees to regulatory agencies, and requiring numerous other provisions aimed at strengthening the sound operation of the financial services sector. Among other things affecting capital standards, the Dodd-Frank Act provides that:

the requirements applicable to large bank holding companies (those with consolidated assets of greater than \$50 billion) be more stringent than those applicable to other financial companies;

standards applicable to bank holding companies be no less stringent than those applied to insured depository institutions; and

bank regulatory agencies implement countercyclical elements in their capital requirements.

Regulations promulgated under the Dodd-Frank Act will require us to maintain greater levels of capital and liquid assets than was generally the case before the crisis and will limit the forms of capital that we will be able to rely upon for regulatory purposes. For example, provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act require us to transition trust preferred securities from Tier 1 capital to Tier 2 capital over a two-year period that begins January 1, 2015. In 2015, 75% of trust preferred securities transition to Tier 2 Capital from Tier 1 and the remaining 25% in 2016. In addition, in its supervisory role with respect to our stress testing and capital planning, our ability to deliver returns to our shareholders through dividends and stock repurchases is subject to prior non-objection by the FRB. The stress

testing and capital plan process also could substantially reduce our flexibility to respond to market developments and opportunities in such areas as capital raising and acquisitions.

The Dodd-Frank Act's provisions and related regulations also affect the fees we must pay to regulatory agencies and pricing of certain products and services, including the following:

The assessment base for federal deposit insurance was changed to consolidated assets less tangible capital instead of the amount of insured deposits.

The federal prohibition on the payment of interest on business transaction accounts was repealed.

The FRB was authorized to issue regulations governing debit card interchange fees.

The Dodd-Frank Act also created the CFPB, which is responsible for promulgating regulations designed to protect consumers' financial interests and examining large financial institutions for compliance with, and enforcing, those regulations. The Dodd-Frank Act adds prohibitions on unfair, deceptive or abusive acts and practices to the scope of consumer protection regulations overseen and enforced by the CFPB. The CFPB also enacted new regulations, that became fully effective January 10, 2014, which require significant changes to residential mortgage origination; these changes include the definition of a "qualified mortgage" and the requirement regarding how a borrower's "ability to repay" must be determined. The Dodd-Frank Act subjected national banks to the possibility of further regulation by restricting the preemption of state laws by federal laws, which had enabled national banks and their subsidiaries to comply with federal regulatory requirements without complying with various state laws. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act gives greater power to state attorneys general to pursue legal actions against banking organizations for violations of federal law.

The Dodd-Frank Act contains numerous provisions that limit or place significant burdens and costs on activities traditionally conducted by banking organizations, such as originating and securitizing mortgage loans and other financial assets, arranging and participating in swap and derivative transactions, proprietary trading and investing in private equity and other funds. For the affected activities, these provisions may result in increased compliance and other costs, increased legal risk, and decreased scope of product offerings and earning assets.

The Company is subject to the provisions of the Volcker Rule, issued pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had divested all securities that were not in compliance with the Volcker Rule, and had sold all but \$41 million (amortized cost) of non-compliant investments. Such investments include \$25 million of potential capital calls, which the Company expects to fund, as allowed by the Volcker Rule, if and as the capital calls are made until the investments are sold. These investments are in private equity funds, and are referred to in this document as private equity investments ("PEIs"). The Company continues to pursue the disposition of all non-compliant PEIs. The FRB has granted a blanket extension of the Volcker Rule compliance date to July 21, 2016.

The Company and other companies subject to the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to a number of requirements regarding the time, manner and form of compensation given to its key executives and other personnel receiving incentive compensation, which are being imposed through the supervisory process as well as published guidance and proposed rules. These requirements generally implement the compensation restrictions imposed by the Dodd-Frank Act and include documentation and governance, deferral, risk balancing, and claw-back requirements.

As discussed further throughout this section, many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to further rulemaking and will take effect over several years making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact on the Company or the industry.

#### Capital Standards – Basel Framework

The capital regulations issued by the FRB and other U. S. regulators pursuant to the 1988 capital accord ("Basel I") of the BCBS were still in effect as of December 31, 2014. However, in 2013, the FRB, FDIC, and OCC issued final rules (the "Basel III Capital Rules") establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations. The rules implement the Basel Committee's December 2010 framework, commonly referred to as Basel III, for strengthening international capital standards as well as certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The

#### Table of Contents

Basel III Capital Rules substantially revise and restate Basel 1 rules regarding the risk-based capital requirements applicable to bank holding companies and depository institutions, including the Company. The Basel III Capital Rules became effective for the Company on January 1, 2015 (subject to phase-in periods for certain of their components). The Basel III Capital Rules define the components of capital and address other issues affecting the numerator in banking institutions' regulatory capital ratios. The Basel III Capital Rules also address risk weights and other issues affecting the denominator in banking institutions' regulatory capital ratios of the Basel Committee, with a more risk-sensitive approach based, in part, on the standardized approach in the Basel Committee's 2004 Basel II capital accords. The Basel III Capital Rules also implement the requirements of Section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Act to remove references to credit ratings from the federal banking agencies' rules.

The Basel III Capital Rules, among other things, (i) introduce a new capital measure called "Common Equity Tier 1" ("CET1"), (ii) specify that Tier 1 capital consists of CET1 and "Additional Tier 1 capital" instruments meeting specified requirements, (iii) apply most deductions/adjustments to regulatory capital measures to CET1 and not to the other components of capital, thus potentially requiring higher levels of CET1 in order to meet minimum ratios, and (iv) expand the scope of the deductions/adjustments from capital as compared to existing regulations.

Under the Basel III Capital Rules, the minimum capital ratios as of January 1, 2015 will be as follows: 4.5% CET1 to risk-weighted assets;

6.0% Tier 1 capital (i.e., CET1 plus Additional Tier 1) to risk-weighted assets;

8.0% Total capital (i.e., Tier 1 plus Tier 2) to risk-weighted assets; and

4.0% Tier 1 capital to average consolidated assets as reported on consolidated financial statements (known as the "leverage ratio").

When fully phased in on January 1, 2019, the Basel III Capital Rules will also require the Company and its subsidiary banks to maintain a 2.5% "capital conservation buffer," designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress, composed entirely of CET1, on top of the minimum risk-weighted asset ratios, effectively resulting in minimum ratios of (i) CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 7.0%, (ii) Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.5%, and (iii) Total capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 10.5%. Banking institutions with a ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the capital conservation buffer will face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases, and compensation based on the amount of the shortfall. The implementation of the capital conservation buffer will begin on January 1, 2016 at the 0.625% level and increase by 0.625% on each subsequent January 1, until it reaches 2.5% on January 1, 2019.

The Basel III Capital Rules also prescribe a standardized approach for calculating risk-weighted assets that expand the risk-weighting categories from the current four Basel I-derived categories (0%, 20%, 50% and 100%) to a much larger and more risk-sensitive number of categories, depending on the nature of the assets, generally ranging from 0% for U.S. Government and agency securities, to 600% for certain equity exposures, and resulting in higher risk weights for a variety of asset categories. In addition, the Basel III Capital Rules provide more advantageous risk weights for derivatives and repurchase-style transactions cleared through a qualifying central counterparty and increase the scope of eligible guarantors and eligible collateral for purposes of credit risk mitigation.

The Basel III Capital Rules provide for a number of deductions from and adjustments to CET1. These include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, deferred tax assets dependent upon future taxable income, and significant investments in common equity issued by nonconsolidated financial entities be deducted from CET1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10% of CET1 or all such categories in the aggregate exceed 15% of CET1. The Company's preliminary analysis indicates that application of this part of the rule should not result in any deductions from CET1. Also, primarily as a result of the large amount of CDO sales completed in 2014, the Company no longer expects the application of the Basel III corresponding deduction rules to have a material effect on its Basel III regulatory capital ratios, either as phased in or on a fully phased in basis.

#### Table of Contents

Under current capital standards, the effects of AOCI items included in capital are excluded for purposes of determining regulatory capital and capital ratios. Under the Basel III Capital Rules, "non-advanced approaches banking organizations," including the Company and its subsidiary banks, may make a one-time permanent election as of January 1, 2015 to continue to exclude these items. The Company has made the decision to "opt out," which will be reported to the FRB on the Company's first quarter 2015 FRY-9 report.

The Basel III Capital Rules require that trust preferred securities be phased out from Tier 1 capital by the end of 2015. However, for a banking organization such as the Company, that has greater than \$15 billion in total consolidated assets, but is not an "advanced approaches banking organization," the Basel III Capital Rules permit permanent inclusion of trust preferred securities issued prior to May 19, 2010 in Tier 2 capital regardless of whether they would otherwise meet the qualifications for Tier 2 capital. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had outstanding \$163 million of trust preferred securities that qualified for this permanent inclusion in Tier 2 capital.

Basel III also requires additional disclosures to be made that are commonly referred to as "Pillar 3" disclosures. These disclosures require the Company to make prescribed regulatory disclosures on a quarterly basis regarding its capital structure adequacy and risk-weighted assets. The disclosure requirements will be applicable beginning with the Company's financial results for the first quarter of 2015. The Pillar 3 disclosures will be made publicly available on the Company's website.

The Company believes that, as of December 31, 2014, the Company and its subsidiary banks would meet all capital adequacy requirements under the Basel III Capital Rules on a fully phased-in basis if such requirements were currently effective.

Stress Testing, Prudential Standards, and Early Remediation

As a bank holding company with assets greater than \$50 billion, the Company is required by the Dodd-Frank Act to participate in annual stress tests known as the Dodd-Frank Annual Stress Test ("DFAST") and Federal Reserve's Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review ("CCAR"). The Company timely submitted its capital plan and stress test results to the FRB on January 5, 2015. In its capital plan, the Company was required to forecast under a variety of economic scenarios for nine quarters ending the fourth quarter of 2016, its estimated regulatory capital ratios, including its Tier 1 common ratio, under Basel I rules, its estimated regulatory capital ratios, including its Common Equity Tier 1 ratio, under Basel III rules, and its GAAP tangible common equity ratio. Under the implementing regulations for CCAR, a bank holding company may generally only raise and redeem capital, pay dividends and repurchase stock and take similar capital-related actions only under a capital plan as to which the FRB has not objected.

On February 17, 2014, the Federal Reserve published final rules to implement Section 165, Enhanced Supervision and Prudential Standards for Nonbank Financial Companies Supervised by the Board of Governors and Certain Bank Holding Companies, of the Dodd-Frank Act. The Company believes that it is in compliance with these rules. Prompt Corrective Action

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act ("FDICIA"), requires each federal banking agency to take prompt corrective action to resolve the problems of insured depository institutions, including but not limited to those that fall below one or more prescribed minimum capital ratios. Pursuant to FDICIA, the FDIC promulgated regulations defining the following five categories in which an insured depository institution will be placed, based on the level of its capital ratios: well-capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized. Under the prompt corrective action provisions of FDICIA, an insured depository institution generally will be classified as well-capitalized if it has a Tier 1 capital ratio of at least 6%, a total capital ratio of at least 10% and a Tier 1 leverage ratio of at least 5%, and an insured depository institution generally will be classified as undercapitalized if its total risk-based capital is less than 8% or its Tier 1 risk-based capital or leverage ratio is less than 4%. An institution that, based upon its capital levels, is classified as "well-capitalized," "adequately capitalized," or "undercapitalized," may be treated as though it were in the next lower capital category if the appropriate federal banking agency, after notice and opportunity for hearing, determines that an unsafe or unsound condition or an unsafe or unsound practice warrants such treatment. Under the

fully phased-in Basel III Capital Rules, (i) a new CET1 ratio requirement will be introduced at every level (other than critically undercapitalized), with the required CET1 ratio being 6.5% for well-capitalized status; (ii) the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio for each category will be increased, with the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio for well-capitalized status being 8%; and (iii) the current provision that provides that a bank with a composite supervisory rating of 1 may have a 3% leverage ratio and still be well-capitalized will be eliminated. At each successive lower capital category, an insured depository institution is subject to more restrictions and prohibitions, including restrictions on growth, restrictions on interest rates paid on deposits, restrictions or prohibitions on payment of dividends and restrictions on the acceptance of brokered deposits. Furthermore, if a bank is classified in one of the undercapitalized categories, it is required to submit a capital restoration plan to the federal bank regulator, and the holding company must guarantee the performance of that plan.

#### Other Regulations

The Company is subject to a wide range of other requirements and restrictions contained in both the laws of the United States and the states in which its banks and other subsidiaries operate. These regulations include but are not limited to the following:

Requirements that the Parent serve as a source of strength for its subsidiary banks. The FRB has a policy that a bank holding company is expected to act as a source of financial and managerial strength to each of its subsidiary banks and, under appropriate circumstances, to commit resources to support each subsidiary bank. The Dodd-Frank Act codifies this policy as a statutory requirement.

Limitations on dividends payable by subsidiaries. A significant portion of the Parent's cash, which is used to pay dividends on our common and preferred stock and to pay principal and interest on our debt obligations, is derived from dividends paid to the Parent by its subsidiary banks. These dividends are subject to various legal and regulatory restrictions. See Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Limitations on dividends payable to shareholders. The Parent's ability to pay dividends on both its common and preferred stock may be subject to regulatory restrictions, including the requirement that they be included in a stress test and capital plan to which the FRB has not objected. See discussion under "Liquidity Management Actions" on page 81.

Cross-guarantee requirements. All of the Parent's subsidiary banks are insured by the FDIC. Each commonly controlled FDIC-insured bank can be held liable for any losses incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the FDIC due to another commonly controlled FDIC-insured bank being placed into receivership, and for any assistance provided by the FDIC to another commonly controlled FDIC-insured bank that is subject to certain conditions indicating that receivership is likely to occur in the absence of regulatory assistance.

Safety and soundness requirements. Federal and state laws require that our banks be operated in a safe and sound manner. We are subject to additional safety and soundness standards prescribed in the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporate Improvement Act of 1991, including standards related to internal controls, information systems, internal audit, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth and compensation, as well as other operational and management standards deemed appropriate by the federal banking agencies. The safety and soundness requirements give bank regulatory agencies significant latitude in their supervisory authority over us.

Requirements for approval of acquisitions and activities and restrictions on other activities. Prior approval of the FRB is required under the BHC Act for a financial holding company to acquire or hold more than a 5% voting interest in any bank, to acquire substantially all the assets of a bank or to merge with another financial or bank holding company. The BHC Act also requires approval for certain nonbanking acquisitions, restricts the activities of bank holding companies that are not financial holding companies to banking, managing or controlling banks and other activities that the FRB has determined to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident thereto, and restricts the nonbanking activities of a financial holding company to those that are permitted for financial holding companies or that have been determined by the FRB to be financial in nature, incidental to financial activities, or complementary to a financial activity. Laws and regulations governing national and state-chartered banks contain similar provisions concerning acquisitions and activities.

Limitations on the amount of loans to a borrower and its affiliates.

Limitations on transactions with affiliates. The Dodd-Frank Act significantly expanded the coverage and scope of the limitations on affiliate transactions within a banking organization.

Restrictions on the nature and amount of any investments and ability to underwrite certain securities.

Requirements for opening of branches and the acquisition of other financial entities.

Fair lending and truth in lending requirements to provide equal access to credit and to protect consumers in credit transactions.

Broker-dealer and investment advisory regulations. Certain of our subsidiaries are broker-dealers that engage in securities underwriting and other broker-dealer activities. These companies are registered with the SEC and are members of FINRA. Certain other subsidiaries are registered investment advisers under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended, and as such are supervised by the SEC. They are also subject to various U.S. federal and state laws and regulations. These laws and regulations generally grant supervisory agencies broad administrative powers, including the power to limit or restrict the carrying on of business for failure to comply with such laws.

Provisions of the GLB Act and other federal and state laws dealing with privacy for nonpublic personal information of individual customers.

CRA requirements. The CRA requires banks to help serve the credit needs in their communities, including providing credit to low and moderate income individuals. If the Company or its subsidiaries fail to adequately serve their communities, penalties may be imposed including denials of applications to add branches, relocate, add subsidiaries and affiliates, and merge with or purchase other financial institutions.

Anti-money laundering regulations. The BSA, Title III of the Uniting and Strengthening of America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 ("USA Patriot Act"), and other federal laws require financial institutions to assist U.S. Government agencies in detecting and preventing money laundering and other illegal acts by maintaining policies, procedures and controls designed to detect and report money laundering, terrorist financing, and other suspicious activity.

The Parent is subject to the disclosure and regulatory requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, both as administered by the SEC. As a company listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, the Parent is subject to NASDAQ listing standards for quoted companies. The Company is subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Dodd-Frank Act, and other federal and state laws and regulations which address, among other issues, corporate governance, auditing and accounting, executive compensation, and enhanced and timely disclosure of corporate information. NASDAQ has also adopted corporate governance rules, which are intended to allow shareholders and investors to more easily and efficiently monitor the performance of companies and their directors.

The Board of Directors of the Parent has implemented a comprehensive system of corporate governance practices. This system includes policies and guidelines such as Corporate Governance Guidelines, a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Employees, a Directors Code of Conduct, a Related Party Transaction Policy, Stock Ownership and Retention Guidelines, a Compensation Clawback Policy, an insider trading policy including provisions prohibiting hedging and placing some restrictions on the pledging of company stock by insiders, and charters for the Audit, Risk Oversight, Compensation, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees. More information on the Company's corporate governance practices is available on the Company's website at www.zionsbancorporation.com. (The Company's website is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K).

The Company has adopted policies, procedures and controls to address compliance with the requirements of the banking, securities and other laws and regulations described above or otherwise applicable to the Company. The Company intends to make appropriate revisions to reflect any changes required.

Regulators, Congress, state legislatures, and international consultative bodies continue to enact rules, laws, and policies to regulate the financial services industry and public companies and to protect consumers and investors. The nature of these laws and regulations and the effect of such policies on future business and earnings of the Company cannot be predicted.

#### GOVERNMENT MONETARY POLICIES

The earnings and business of the Company are affected not only by general economic conditions, but also by policies adopted by various governmental authorities. The Company is particularly affected by the monetary policies of the FRB, which affect both short-term and long-term interest rates and the national supply of bank credit. In view of the changing conditions in the economy and the effect of the FRB's monetary policies, it is difficult to predict future changes in loan demand, deposit levels and interest rates, or their effect on the business and earnings of the Company. FRB monetary policies have had a significant effect on the operating results of commercial banks in the past and are expected to continue to do so in the future.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The Company's Board of Directors has established a Risk Oversight Committee of the Board, approved an Enterprise Risk Management policy, and appointed an Enterprise Risk Management Committee consisting of senior management to oversee and implement the policy. In addition to credit and interest rate risk, these committees also monitor the following risk areas: strategic risk, market risk, liquidity risk, compliance risk, compensation-related risk, operational risk, information technology risk, and reputation risk.

The following list describes several risk factors which are significant to the Company, including but not limited to: Credit quality has adversely affected us and may adversely affect us in the future.

Credit risk is one of our most significant risks. If the strength of the U.S. economy in general and the strength of the local economies in which we and our subsidiary banks conduct operations declined, this could result in, among other things, deterioration in credit quality and/or reduced demand for credit, including a resultant adverse effect on the income from our loan portfolio, an increase in charge-offs and an increase in the allowance for loan and lease losses. We have concentrations of risk in our loan portfolio, including loans secured by real estate and energy-related lending, which may have unique risk characteristics that may adversely affect our results.

Concentration or counterparty risk could adversely affect the Company. Concentration risk across our loan and investment portfolios could pose significant additional credit risk to the Company due to exposures which perform in a similar fashion. Counterparty risk could also pose additional credit risk.

Most of our subsidiary banks engage in both commercial term and land acquisition, development and construction lending, primarily in our Western states footprint, and the Company as a whole has relatively larger concentrations of such lending than many peer institutions. In addition, we have a concentration in energy-related lending, primarily in our Amegy Bank subsidiary. Both commercial real estate and energy lending are subject to specific risks, including volatility and potential significant and prolonged declines in collateral values and activity levels. In addition, our real estate lending is concentrated in the Western states, and values there may behave differently than in other parts of the United States. We may have other unidentified concentrated or correlated risks in our loan portfolio.

Failure to effectively manage our interest rate risk and prolonged periods of low interest rates could adversely affect us.

Net interest income is the largest component of the Company's revenue. The management of interest rate risk for the Company and its subsidiary banks is centralized and overseen by an Asset Liability Management Committee appointed by the Company's Board of Directors. Failure to effectively manage our interest rate risk could adversely affect us. Factors beyond the Company's control can significantly influence the interest rate environment and increase the Company's risk. These factors include competitive pricing pressures for our loans and deposits, adverse shifts in the mix of deposits and other funding sources, and volatile market interest resulting from general economic conditions and the policies of governmental and regulatory agencies, in particular the FRB.

The Company remains in an "asset sensitive" interest rate risk position, which means that net interest income would be expected to increase if interest rates increase, and to decline if interest rates decrease. Most recently, the FRB has indicated that it expects to be "patient" with respect to the timing and amount of any rate increases. Our estimates of our interest rate risk position for noninterest-bearing demand deposits are dependent on assumptions for which there is little historical experience, and the actual behavior of those deposits in a changing interest rate environment may differ materially from our estimates, which could materially affect our results of operations. We have experienced a low interest rate environment for the past several years. Our views with respect to, among other things, the degree to which we are "asset-sensitive," including our interest rate risk position for noninterest-bearing demand deposits, are dependent on modeled projections that rely on assumptions regarding changes in balances of such deposits in a changing interest rate environment. Because there is no modern precedent for the prolonged, extremely low interest rate environment that has prevailed for the last several years, there is little historical experience upon which to base such assumptions. If interest rates begin to increase, our assumptions regarding changes in balances of noninterest-bearing demand deposits and regarding the speed and degree to which other deposits are repriced may prove to be incorrect, and business decisions made in reliance on our modeled projections and underlying assumptions could prove to be unsuccessful. Because noninterest-bearing demand deposits are a significant portion of our deposit base, errors in our modeled projections and the underlying assumptions could materially affect our results of operations.

We have been and could continue to be negatively affected by adverse economic conditions.

The United States and many other countries recently faced a severe economic crisis, including a major recession from which the recovery has been slow. These adverse economic conditions have negatively affected the Company's assets, including its loans and securities portfolios, capital levels, results of operations, and financial condition. In response to the economic crisis, the United States and other governments established a variety of programs and policies designed to mitigate the effects of the crisis. While these programs and policies may have had a stabilizing effect in the United States following the severe financial crisis that occurred in the second half of 2008, troubling economic conditions continue to exist in the United States and globally. Most of these programs have expired, however, the FRB and central banks in other countries continue to pursue monetary policies that have resulted in an unusual period of very low interest rates. However, the full impact of these policies on, among other things, general economic activity and asset values that serve as collateral for loans extended by the Company, for example, real estate values, how long these policies may persist, and the impacts of withdrawing those policies, is unclear and may not be known for some time. It is possible that economic conditions may again become more severe or that weak economic conditions may continue for a substantial period of time. Economic and fiscal conditions in the United States and other countries may directly or indirectly adversely impact economic and market conditions faced by the Company and its customers. Any increase in the severity or duration of adverse economic conditions, including a recession or continued weak economic recovery, would adversely affect the Company.

Economic and other circumstances may require us to raise capital at times or in amounts that are unfavorable to the Company.

The Company and its subsidiary banks must maintain certain risk-based and leverage capital ratios as required by their banking regulators, which can change depending upon general economic conditions, hypothetical future adverse economic scenarios, and the particular conditions, risk profiles and growth plans of those entities. Compliance with capital requirements may limit the Company's ability to expand and has required, and may require, the Company to raise additional capital, or additional capital investment from the Parent or its subsidiaries. These uncertainties and risks, including those created by legislative and regulatory uncertainties, may increase the Company's cost of capital and other financing costs.

Our business is highly correlated to local economic conditions in a specific geographic region of the United States. As a regional bank holding company, the Company provides a full range of banking and related services through its banking and other subsidiaries in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Nevada, New Mexico,

#### Table of Contents

Oregon, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. Approximately 82% of the Company's total net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 77% of total assets as of December 31, 2014 relate to the subsidiary banks in Utah, Texas and California. As a result of this geographic concentration, our financial results depend largely upon economic conditions in these market areas. Accordingly, adverse economic conditions affecting these three states in particular could significantly affect our consolidated operations and financial results. For example, our credit risk could be elevated to the extent that our lending practices in these three states focus on borrowers or groups of borrowers with similar economic characteristics, which are similarly affected by the same adverse economic events. As of December 31, 2014, loan balances at our subsidiary banks in Utah, Texas and California comprised 82% of the Company's commercial lending portfolio, 75% of the commercial real estate lending portfolio, and 69% of the consumer lending portfolio. Loans originated by these banks are primarily to borrowers in their respective states, with the exception of the National Real Estate group owner-occupied loan portfolio held by our Utah subsidiary bank. Catastrophic events including, but not limited to, hurricanes, tornadoes, earthquakes, fires, floods, and prolonged drought, may adversely affect the general economy, financial and capital markets, specific industries, and the Company.

The Company has significant operations and a significant customer base in Utah, Texas, California and other regions where natural and other disasters may occur. These regions are known for being vulnerable to natural disasters and other risks, such as hurricanes, tornadoes, earthquakes, fires, floods, and prolonged drought. These types of natural catastrophic events at times have disrupted the local economy, the Company's business and customers, and have posed physical risks to the Company's property. In addition, catastrophic events occurring in other regions of the world may have an impact on the Company's customers and in turn on the Company. A significant catastrophic event could materially adversely affect the Company's operating results.

Problems encountered by other financial institutions could adversely affect financial markets generally and have indirect adverse effects on us.

The commercial soundness of many financial institutions may be closely interrelated as a result of credit, trading, clearing or other relationships between the institutions. As a result, concerns about, or a default or threatened default by, one institution could lead to significant market-wide liquidity and credit problems, losses or defaults by other institutions. This is sometimes referred to as "systemic risk" and may adversely affect financial intermediaries, such as clearing agencies, clearing houses, banks, securities firms and exchanges, with which we interact on a daily basis, and therefore could adversely affect us.

We and/or the holders of our securities could be adversely affected by unfavorable rating actions from rating agencies. Our ability to access the capital markets is important to our overall funding profile. This access is affected by the ratings assigned by rating agencies to us, certain of our affiliates, and particular classes of securities that we and our affiliates issue. The rates that we pay on our securities also are also influenced by, among other things, the credit ratings that we, our affiliates, and/or our securities receive from recognized rating agencies. Ratings downgrades to us, our affiliates, or our securities could increase our costs or otherwise have a negative effect on our results of operations or financial condition or the market prices of our securities.

The Dodd-Frank Act imposes significant limitations on our business activities and subjects us to increased regulation and additional costs.

The Dodd-Frank Act has material implications for the Company and the entire financial services industry. The Dodd-Frank Act places significant additional regulatory oversight and requirements on financial institutions, particularly those with more than \$50 billion of assets, including the Company. In addition, among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act:

affects the levels of capital and liquidity with which the Company must operate and how it plans capital and liquidity levels (including a phased-in elimination of the Company's existing trust preferred securities as Tier 1 capital);

subjects the Company to new and/or higher fees paid to various regulatory entities, including but not limited to deposit insurance fees to the FDIC;

impacts the Company's ability to invest in certain types of entities or engage in certain activities;impacts a number of the Company's business strategies;

requires us to incur the cost of developing substantial heightened risk management policies and infrastructure; regulates the pricing of certain of our products and services and restricts the revenue that the Company generates from certain businesses;

subjects the Company to new capital planning actions, including stress testing or similar actions and timing expectations for capital-raising;

subjects the Company to supervision by the CFPB, with very broad rule-making and enforcement authorities; grants authority to state agencies to enforce state and federal laws against national banks;

subjects the Company to new and different litigation and regulatory enforcement risks; and

limits the manner in which compensation is paid to executive officers and employees generally.

The Company and the entire financial services industry have incurred and will continue to incur substantial personnel, systems, consulting, and other costs in order to comply with new regulations promulgated under the Dodd-Frank Act, particularly with respect to stress testing and risk management. Because the responsible agencies are still in the process of proposing and finalizing many of the regulations required under the Dodd-Frank Act, the full impact of this legislation on the Company and the financial services industries, business strategies, and financial performance cannot be known at this time, and may not be known for some time. Individually and collectively, regulations adopted under the Dodd-Frank Act may materially adversely affect the Company's and the financial services industry's business, financial condition (including the Company's ability to compete effectively with less regulated financial services providers), and results of operations.

As a regulated entity, we are subject to capital and liquidity requirements that may limit our operations and potential growth.

We are a bank holding company and a financial holding company. As such, we and our subsidiary banks are subject to the comprehensive, consolidated supervision and regulation of the FRB, the OCC (in the case of our national subsidiary banks) and the FDIC, including risk-based and leverage capital ratio requirements, and Basel III liquidity requirements. Capital needs may rise above normal levels when we experience deteriorating earnings and credit quality, and our banking regulators may increase our capital requirements based on general economic conditions and our particular condition, risk profile and growth plans. In addition, we may be required to increase our capital levels even in the absence of actual adverse economic conditions or forecasts as a result of stress testing and capital planning based on hypothetical future adverse economic scenarios. Compliance with the capital requirements, including leverage ratios, may limit operations that require the intensive use of capital and could adversely affect our ability to expand or maintain present business levels. For a summary of recently announced capital rules, see "Capital Standards – Basel Framework" in "Supervision and Regulation" on page 8 of MD&A in this Form 10-K.

Stress testing and capital management under the Dodd-Frank Act may limit our ability to increase dividends, repurchase shares of our stock, and access the capital markets.

Under the CCAR, we are required to submit to the Federal Reserve each year our capital plan for the applicable planning horizon, along with the results of required stress tests. Each annual capital plan will, among other things, specify our planned actions with respect to dividends, redemptions, repurchases, capital raising, and similar matters and will be subject to the objection or non-objection by the Federal Reserve. Moreover, the CCAR process requires us to analyze the pro forma impact on our financial condition of various hypothetical future adverse economic scenarios selected by us or the Federal Reserve. We must maintain or raise capital sufficient to meet our risk management and regulatory expectations under such hypothetical scenarios. Similarly, Dodd-Frank Act Stress Tests ("DFAST") are stress tests run by the Federal Reserve using its

proprietary models to analyze the Company's stressed capital position. In order to receive a "non-objection" from the Federal Reserve to its capital plan, it must pass both the Federal Reserve's quantitatively modeled stress capital and a qualitative examination of its CCAR submission and capital plan. As required by the Dodd-Frank Act we also submit stress tests to the OCC (for Amegy and Zions) and FDIC (for CB&T) for our subsidiary banks with assets in excess of \$10 billion. The severity of the hypothetical scenarios devised by the FRB and other bank regulators and employed in these stress tests is undefined by law or regulation, and is thus subject solely to the discretion of the regulators. The stress testing and capital planning processes may, among other things, require us to increase our capital levels, limit our dividends or other capital distributions to shareholders, modify our business strategies, or decrease our exposure to various asset classes.

Under stress testing and capital management standards implemented by bank regulatory agencies under the Dodd-Frank Act, we may declare dividends, repurchase common stock, redeem preferred stock and debt, access capital markets for certain types of capital, make acquisitions, and enter into similar transactions only if included in a capital plan to which the FRB has not objected. Any similar transactions not contemplated in our annual capital plan, other than those with a de minimus impact on actual or projected capital, may require a new stress test and capital plan, which is subject to FRB non-objection. These requirements may significantly limit our ability to respond to and take advantage of market developments.

We increasingly use models in the management of the Company, and in particular in the required stress testing and capital plan. There is risk that these models are incorrect or inaccurate in various ways, which can cause us to make non-optimal decisions, and this risk causes the Company to hold additional capital as a buffer against that risk. We attempt to carefully develop, document, back test, and validate the models used in the management of the Company, including, for example, models used in the management of interest rate and liquidity risk, and those used in projecting stress losses in various segments of our credit and securities portfolios, and projecting net revenue under stress. Models are inherently imperfect for a number of reasons, however, and cannot perfectly predict outcomes. Management decisions based in part on such models, therefore, can be suboptimal. In addition, in determining the Company's capital needs under stress testing, we attempt to specifically quantify the amounts by which model results could be incorrect, and we hold material additional amounts of capital as a buffer against this "model risk." New liquidity regulations, including regulations establishing a minimum Liquidity Coverage Ratio ("LCR") and requiring monthly liquidity stress testing applicable to the Company may impact profitability.

The Company is subject to new liquidity regulations, including a requirement that it conduct monthly liquidity stress tests starting in January 2015, and that subject it to a new requirement that it maintain a modified LCR of at least 100% effective January 1, 2016. Current liquidity stress tests indicate that the Company is in compliance with the modified LCR requirement. Such stress testing is subject to ongoing model and assumptions changes which could affect results.

In order to meet the requirements of these new regulations, the Company expects to hold a higher portion of its assets in High Quality Liquid Assets ("HQLA") and a lower portion of its assets in loans than was generally the case prior to such regulation. HQLA generally have lower yields than loans of the type made by the Company.

The regulation of incentive compensation under the Dodd-Frank Act may adversely affect our ability to retain our highest performing employees.

The bank regulatory agencies have published guidance and proposed regulations which limit the manner and amount of compensation that banking organizations provide to employees. These regulations and guidance may adversely affect our ability to attract and retain key personnel. If we were to suffer such adverse effects with respect to our employees, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected, perhaps materially.

Increases in FDIC insurance premiums may adversely affect our earnings.

Our deposits are insured by the FDIC up to legal limits and, accordingly, we are subject to FDIC insurance assessments. During 2008 and 2009, higher levels of bank failures dramatically increased resolution costs of the FDIC and depleted the deposit insurance fund. In addition, the FDIC instituted two temporary programs to further insure customer deposits at FDIC insured banks. These programs, which have since expired, placed additional stress on the deposit insurance fund. In order to maintain a strong funding position and restore reserve ratios of the deposit insurance fund, the FDIC has increased assessment rates of insured institutions. Further, under the Dodd-Frank Act, the assessment base was expanded to include non-deposit liabilities. We generally have only limited ability to control the amount of premiums that we are required to pay the FDIC for insurance. Changes in our required insurance premium payments may adversely impact our earnings.

Other legislative and regulatory actions taken now or in the future may have a significant adverse effect on our operations.

In addition to the Dodd-Frank Act described previously, bank regulatory agencies and international regulatory consultative bodies have proposed or are considering new regulations and requirements, some of which may be imposed without formal promulgation.

There can be no assurance that any or all of these regulatory changes or actions will ultimately be adopted. However, if adopted, some of these proposals could adversely affect the Company by, among other things: impacting after-tax returns earned by financial services firms in general; limiting the Company's ability to grow; increasing taxes or fees on some of the Company's funding or activities; limiting the range of products and services that the Company could offer; and requiring the Company to raise capital at inopportune times.

The ultimate impact of these proposals cannot be predicted as it is unclear which, if any, may be adopted. We could be adversely affected by accounting, financial reporting, and regulatory and compliance risk.

The Company is exposed to accounting, financial reporting, and regulatory/compliance risk. The Company provides to its customers, invests in, and uses for its own capital, funding, and risk management needs a number of complex financial products and services. Estimates, judgments, and interpretations of complex and changing accounting and regulatory policies are required in order to provide and account for these products and services. Changes in our accounting policies or in accounting standards could materially affect how we report our financial results and conditions. The level of regulatory/compliance oversight has been heightened in recent periods as a result of rapid changes in regulations that affect financial institutions. The administration of some of these regulations and related changes has required the Company to comply before their formal adoption. Identification, interpretation and implementation of complex and changing accounting standards as well as compliance with regulatory requirements therefore pose an ongoing risk.

We could be adversely affected by legal and governmental proceedings.

We are subject to risks associated with legal claims, fines, litigation, and regulatory and other government proceedings. The Company's exposure to these proceedings has increased and may further increase as a result of stresses on customers, counterparties and others arising from the past or current economic environments, new regulations promulgated under recently adopted statutes, the creation of new examination and enforcement bodies, and increasingly aggressive enforcement and legal actions against banking organizations.

The quality and liquidity of our asset-backed investment securities portfolio has adversely affected us and may continue to adversely affect us.

The Company's asset-backed investment securities portfolio includes CDOs collateralized primarily by trust preferred securities issued by bank holding companies. Many factors, some of which are beyond the Company's control, significantly influence the fair value and impairment status of these securities. These factors include, but are not limited to, defaults, deferrals and restructurings by debt issuers, the views of banking regulators, changes in our accounting treatment with respect to these securities, rating agency downgrades of securities, limited market pricing of securities, or market pricing that varies from the Company's current model valuations, and changes in prepayment rates and future interest rates. The occurrence of one or more of these factors could result in additional OTTI charges with respect to our CDO portfolio, which could be material.

#### Table of Contents

The Company may not be able to utilize the significant deferred tax asset recorded on its balance sheet.

The Company's balance sheet includes a significant deferred tax asset. The largest components of this asset result from additions to our allowance for loan and lease losses for purposes of generally accepted accounting principles in excess of loan losses actually taken for tax purposes and other-than-temporary impairment losses on our securities portfolio that have not yet been realized for tax purposes by selling the securities. Our ability to continue to record this deferred tax asset is dependent on the Company's ability to realize its value through net operating loss carrybacks or future projected earnings. Loss of part or all of this asset would adversely impact tangible capital. In addition, inclusion of this asset in determining regulatory capital is subject to certain limitations. Currently no deferred tax assets are disallowed for regulatory purposes either on a consolidated basis or at any of the Company's subsidiary banks. We could be adversely affected by failure in our internal controls.

A failure in our internal controls could have a significant negative impact not only on our earnings, but also on the perception that customers, regulators and investors may have of the Company. We continue to devote a significant amount of effort, time and resources to improving our controls and ensuring compliance with complex accounting standards and regulations.

Our information systems may experience an interruption or security breach.

We rely heavily on communications and information systems to conduct our business. We, our customers, and other financial institutions with which we interact, are subject to ongoing, continuous attempts to penetrate key systems by individual hackers, organized criminals, and in some cases, state-sponsored organizations. Any failure, interruption or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in our customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan and other systems, misappropriation of funds, and theft of proprietary Company or customer data. While we have policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of the possible failure, interruption or security breach of our information systems, there can be no assurance that any such failure, interruption or security breach of our information systems could damage our reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose us to civil litigation and possible financial liability.

We are making a significant investment to replace our core loan and deposit systems and to upgrade our accounting systems. The actual duration, cost, expected savings, and other factors to implement these initiatives may vary significantly from our estimates, which could materially affect the Company, including its results of operations. During 2013, our Board of Directors approved a significant investment by us to replace our loan and deposit systems and to upgrade our accounting systems. The new integrated system for most of our loans and deposits is expected to employ technology that is a significant improvement over our current systems. These initiatives will be completed in phases to allow for appropriate testing and implementation so as to minimize time delays and cost overruns. However, these initiatives are in the early stages and by their very nature, projections of duration, cost, expected savings, and related items are subject to change and significant variability.

We may encounter significant adverse developments in the completion and implementation of these initiatives. These may include significant time delays, cost overruns, and other adverse developments that could result in disruptions to our systems and adversely impact our customers.

We have plans, policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the negative effect of these adverse developments. However, there can be no assurance that any such adverse developments will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately remediated. The occurrence of any adverse development could damage our reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose us to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could materially affect the Company, including its results of operations in any given reporting period.

Our results of operations depend upon the performance of our subsidiaries.

We are a holding company that conducts substantially all of our operations through our banking and other subsidiaries. The Parent receives substantially all of its revenues from dividends from its subsidiaries. These dividends are a principal source of funds to pay dividends on our common and preferred stock and interest and principal on our debt. We and certain of our subsidiaries experienced periods of unprofitability or reduced profitability during the recent severe recession. The ability of the Company and its subsidiary banks to pay dividends is restricted by regulatory requirements, including profitability and the need to maintain required levels of capital. Lack of profitability or reduced profitability exposes us to the risk that regulators could restrict the ability of our subsidiary banks to pay dividends. It also increases the risk that the Company may have to establish a "valuation allowance" against its net deferred tax asset or have that asset disallowed for regulatory capital purposes.

The ability of our subsidiary banks to pay dividends or make other payments to us is also limited by their obligations to maintain sufficient capital and by other general regulatory restrictions on their dividends. If they do not satisfy these regulatory requirements, we may be unable to pay interest on our indebtedness. The OCC, the primary regulator for certain of our subsidiary banks, has issued policy statements generally requiring insured banks only to pay dividends out of current earnings. In addition, if, in the opinion of the applicable regulatory authority, a bank under its jurisdiction is engaged in or is about to engage in an unsafe or unsound practice, which could include the payment of dividends, such authority may take actions requiring that such bank refrain from the practice. Payment of dividends could also be subject to regulatory limitations if a subsidiary bank were to become "under-capitalized" for purposes of the applicable federal regulatory "prompt corrective action" regulations.

#### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

There are no unresolved written comments that were received from the SEC's staff 180 days or more before the end of the Company's fiscal year relating to its periodic or current reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

#### **ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

At December 31, 2014, the Company operated 460 domestic branches, of which 286 are owned and 174 are leased. The Company also leases its headquarters offices in Salt Lake City, Utah. Other operations facilities are either owned or leased. The annual rentals under long-term leases for leased premises are determined under various formulas and factors, including operating costs, maintenance and taxes. For additional information regarding leases and rental payments, see Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The information contained in Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements is incorporated by reference herein.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES None.

# PART II

# ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

MARKET INFORMATION

The Company's common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "ZION." The last reported sale price of the common stock on NASDAQ on February 18, 2015 was \$26.04 per share.

#### Table of Contents

The following schedule sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sale prices of the Company's common stock, as quoted on NASDAQ:

	2014		2013	
	High	Low	High	Low
1st Quarter	\$33.33	\$27.82	\$25.86	\$21.56
2nd Quarter	31.87	27.65	29.41	23.10
3rd Quarter	30.89	27.44	31.40	26.79
4th Quarter	29.93	25.02	30.13	26.89

During 2014, the Company issued \$525 million of common stock, which consisted of approximately 17.6 million shares at a price of \$29.80 per share. Net of commissions and fees, this issuance added approximately \$516 million to common stock.

See Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding equity transactions during 2014.

As of February 18, 2015, there were 5,323 holders of record of the Company's common stock.

#### EQUITY CAPITAL AND DIVIDENDS

We have 4,400,000 authorized shares of preferred stock without par value and with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. As of December 31, 2014, 66,034, 143,750, 171,827, 126,221, 300,893, and 195,152 of preferred shares series A, F, G, H, I, and J respectively, have been issued and are outstanding. In addition, holders of \$151 million of the Company's subordinated debt have the right to convert that debt into either Series A or C preferred stock. In general, preferred shareholders may receive asset distributions before common shareholders; however, preferred shareholders have only limited voting rights generally with respect to certain provisions of the preferred stock, the issuance of senior preferred stock, and the election of directors. Preferred stock dividends reduce earnings available to common shareholders and are paid quarterly or semiannually in arrears. The redemption amount is computed at the per share liquidation preference plus any declared but unpaid dividends. All of the outstanding series of preferred stock are registered with the SEC. In addition, Series A, F, G, and H preferred stock are listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange. See Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the Company's preferred stock.

The frequency and amount of common stock dividends paid during the last two years are as follows:							
	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter		4th Quarter			
2014	\$0.04	\$0.04	\$0.04	\$0.04			
2013	0.01	0.04	0.04	0.04			
The Company's Board of Directors approved a dividend of \$0.04 per common share payable on February 26, 2015 to							
shareholders of recor	d on February 19, 201	5. The Company expects	s to continue its policy of	of paying regular cash			

shareholders of record on February 19, 2015. The Company expects to continue its policy of paying regular cash dividends on a quarterly basis, although there is no assurance as to future dividends because they depend on future earnings, capital requirements, financial condition, and regulatory approvals.

SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS The information contained in Item 12 of this Form 10-K is incorporated by reference herein.

#### SHARE REPURCHASES

The following schedule summarizes the Company's share repurchases for the fourth quarter of 2014:

Period	Total number of shares repurchased <sup>1</sup>	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Approximate dollar value of shares that may yet be purchased under the plan
October	985	\$28.80	_	\$—
November	316	29.06		_
December	1,057	27.51		_
Fourth quarter	2,358	28.26	_	

<sup>1</sup>Represents common shares acquired from employees in connection with the Company's stock compensation plan. Shares were acquired from employees to pay for their payroll taxes upon the vesting of restricted stock and restricted stock units under the "withholding shares" provision of an employee share-based compensation plan. PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following stock performance graph compares the five-year cumulative total return of Zions Bancorporation's common stock with the Standard & Poor's 500 Index and the KBW Bank Index, both of which include Zions Bancorporation. The KBW Bank Index is a market capitalization-weighted bank stock index developed and published by Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc., a nationally recognized brokerage and investment banking firm specializing in bank stocks. The index is composed of 24 geographically diverse stocks representing national money center banks and leading regional financial institutions. The stock performance graph is based upon an initial investment of \$100 on December 31, 2009 and assumes reinvestment of dividends.

# PERFORMANCE GRAPH FOR ZIONS BANCORPORATION INDEXED COMPARISON OF 5-YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Zions Bancorporation KBW Bank Index S&P 500	100.0 100.0 100.0	189.2 123.3 114.8	127.4 94.9 117.2	167.8 125.8 135.8	235.9 172.9 179.4	225.8 188.9 203.6
22						

# ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS												
(Dollar amounts in millions, except per	2014/20	)13	2014		2013		2012		2011		2010	
share amounts)	Change		2014		2015		2012		2011		2010	
For the Year												
Net interest income	-1	%	\$1,680.0	)	\$1,696.3	3	\$1,731.9	)	\$1,756.2	2	\$1,714.3	3
Noninterest income	+51	%	508.6		337.4		419.9		498.2		453.6	
Total revenue	+8	%	2,188.6		2,033.7		2,151.8		2,254.4		2,167.9	
Provision for loan losses	-13	%	(98.1	)	(87.1	)	14.2		74.5		852.7	
Noninterest expense	-3	%	1,665.3		1,714.4		1,595.0		1,658.6		1,718.3	
Impairment loss on goodwill		%					1.0					
Income (loss) before income taxes	+53	%	621.4		406.4		541.6		521.3		(403.1	)
Income taxes (benefit)	+56	%	222.9		142.9		193.4		198.6		(106.8	)
Net income (loss)	+51	%	398.5		263.5		348.2		322.7		(296.3	)
Net income (loss) applicable to	100	01			(0,2)	`	(1.2	`	(1 1	``	(2)	\ \
noncontrolling interests	-100	%			(0.3	)	(1.3	)	(1.1	)	(3.6	)
Net income (loss) applicable to	. 51	01	200 5		2(2.0		240 5		222.0		(202.7	`
controlling interest	+51	%	398.5		263.8		349.5		323.8		(292.7	)
Net earnings (loss) applicable to	. 11	01	226.6		204.0		170 (		152.4		(110.5	`
common shareholders	+11	%	326.6		294.0		178.6		153.4		(412.5	)
Per Common Share												
Net earnings (loss) – diluted	+6	%	1.68		1.58		0.97		0.83		(2.48	)
Net earnings (loss) – basic	+6	%	1.68		1.58		0.97		0.83		(2.48	)
Dividends declared	+23	%	0.16		0.13		0.04		0.04		0.04	
Book value <sup>1</sup>	+6	%	31.35		29.57		26.73		25.02		25.12	
Market price – end			28.51		29.96		21.40		16.28		24.23	
Market price – high			33.33		31.40		22.81		25.60		30.29	
Market price – low			25.02		21.56		16.40		13.18		12.88	
At Year-End												
Assets	+2	%	57,209		56,031		55,512		53,149		51,035	
Net loans and leases	+3	%	40,064		39,043		37,665		37,258		36,830	
Deposits	+3	%	47,847		46,362		46,133		42,876		40,935	
Long-term debt	-52	%	1,092		2,274		2,337		1,954		1,943	
Shareholders' equity:												
Preferred equity		%	1,004		1,004		1,128		2,377		2,057	
Common equity	+17	%	6,366		5,461		4,924		4,608		4,591	
Noncontrolling interests		%					(3	)	(2	)	(1	)
Performance Ratios												
Return on average assets			0.71	%	0.48	%	0.66	%	0.63	%	(0.57	)%
Return on average common equity			5.42	%	5.73	%	3.76	%	3.32	%	(9.26	)%
Tangible return on average tangible			6.70	01	7.44	07	5.18	01	4.72	01	(11 00	)07
common equity			0.70	-70	/.44	70	5.10	~/0	4.12	~/0	(11.88	)%
Net interest margin			3.26	%	3.36	%	3.57	%	3.77	%	3.70	%

Capital Ratios<sup>1</sup>

Equity to assets	12.88	%	11.54	%	10.90	%	13.14	%	13.02	%
Tier 1 common	11.92	%	10.18	%	9.80	%	9.57	%	8.95	%
Tier 1 leverage	11.82	%	10.48	%	10.96	%	13.40	%	12.56	%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	14.47	%	12.77	%	13.38	%	16.13	%	14.78	%
Total risk-based capital	16.27	%	14.67	%	15.05	%	18.06	%	17.15	%
Tangible common equity	9.48	%	8.02	%	7.09	%	6.77	%	6.99	%
Tangible equity	11.27	%	9.85	%	9.15	%	11.33	%	11.10	%
Selected Information										
Average common and										
common-equivalent shares	192,789		184,297		183,236		182,605		166,054	
(in thousands)										
Common dividend payout ratio	9.56	%	8.20	%	4.14	%	4.80	%	na	
Full-time equivalent employees	10,462		10,452		10,368		10,606		10,524	
Commercial banking offices	460		469		480		486		495	
<sup>1</sup> At year-end.										

# ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

# **Company Overview**

Zions Bancorporation ("the Parent") and subsidiaries (collectively "the Company," "Zions," "we," "our," "us") together compr \$57 billion financial holding company headquartered in Salt Lake City, Utah. The Company is considered a "systemically important financial institution" under the Dodd-Frank Act.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company was the 16<sup>th</sup> largest domestic bank holding company in terms of deposits and is included in the S&P 500 and NASDAQ Financial 100 indices.

At December 31, 2014, the Company operated banking businesses through 460 domestic branches in eleven western and southwestern states.

The Company ranked 4th in small business lending of large institutions in the Small Business Administration's "Small Business Lending in the United States 2013" report released in December, 2014.

The Company has been awarded numerous "Excellence" awards by Greenwich Associates, having received 12

• awards for the 2013 survey; only 12 U.S. banks were awarded more than 10 excellence awards. The 2014 awards were not available at the time of publication for this document.

Revenues and profits are primarily derived from commercial customers.

The Company also emphasizes mortgage banking, wealth management and brokerage services.

# Long-Term Strategy

We strive to maintain a local community and regional bank approach for customer-facing elements of our business. We believe that our target customers appreciate the local focus and fast decision-making provided by our local management teams. By retaining a significant degree of autonomy in product offerings and pricing, we believe our banks have a meaningful competitive advantage over larger national banks whose loan and deposit products are often homogeneous. However, we centralize or oversee centrally many non-customer facing operations, such as risk and capital management, and technology and back office operations. Currently, the Company is undertaking an extensive overhaul of its back office and accounting systems and is further evaluating ways to streamline its operations and improve its overall efficiency. By centralizing many of these functions, we believe we can generally achieve greater economies of scale and stronger risk management, and that scale gives our portfolio of community banks superior access to capital markets, more robust treasury management and other product capabilities than smaller, independent community banks.

Our strategy is driven by four key factors:

focus on geographies representing growth markets;

maintain a sustainable competitive advantage over large national and global banks by keeping many decisions that affect customers local;

maintain a sustainable competitive advantage over community banks by delivering superior products, realizing productivity and efficiencies derived from economies of scale, and providing a lower cost of capital; and centralize and standardize policies and oversight of key risks, technology and operations.

The Company continues to evaluate and alter its strategies as it attempts to mitigate adverse impacts on shareholder returns; however, given the still-changing regulatory environment, the results of these efforts cannot yet be known.

# Table of Contents

Focus on Geographies Representing Growth Markets

The Company seeks to grow both organically and through acquisitions in growth markets, primarily in the Western part of the United States. The states in our Western geographic footprint have, on average, experienced higher rates of population and economic growth than the rest of the country. Our footprint is well diversified by industry and enjoys strong business formation rates, real estate development, and general economic expansion.

GDP growth in our footprint has exceeded nominal U.S. GDP by an average of 1.2% per year (compounded) over the 4ast 10 years; i.e., from 2003-2013, nominal U.S. GDP grew by 3.9%, while nominal GDP in Zions' footprint (weighted by December 31, 2014 assets) grew by 5.1%.

Job creation within the Zions' footprint has greatly exceeded the national rate during the past 10 years. U.S. nonfarm payroll jobs increased by 6.2% during the last 10 years; however, job creation in Zions' footprint increased by 15.2%.

While some states in our footprint experienced a significant slowing in economic activity during the recent recession, others experienced above-average growth and stronger resistance to the economic downturn.

More than 77% of the Company's assets are held in the banks headquartered in Utah, Texas and California. Zions Bank has approximately \$19 billion in assets, which represent 33% of the Company's assets. Zions Bank is the second largest full-service commercial bank in the state of Utah and the fourth largest in Idaho as measured by domestic deposits, and operates in all submarkets in Utah and most submarkets in Idaho. The Utah economy is primarily based on the energy, agriculture, real estate, computer technology, education, health care, and financial services sectors. During 2014, Utah employment grew at a rate of 3.9% compared to the national employment growth rate of 1.8%. This growth improved Utah's overall unemployment rate to 3.5% in 2014 from 4.1% in 2013. In addition, the Utah state government has been recognized for its policies promoting a business-friendly climate, providing a predictable and stable tax policy, and controlling government spending levels. See "Business Segment Results" on page 46 for further discussion on the 2014 performance of Zions Bank.

Amegy, located in Texas, has \$14 billion in assets, which represent approximately 24% of the Company's assets. Texas has a well diversified economy that is the second largest in the United States. Significant drivers of its growth are the energy, health care, manufacturing, transportation, and technology sectors. In addition, the Texas economic environment benefits from business-friendly growth policies and affordable housing markets. These attributes and industry sectors have propelled the Texas economy to outperform the nation, which has resulted in the unemployment rate declining to 4.6% compared to the national rate of 5.6%. Amegy's three primary markets, Houston, Dallas and San Antonio, experienced strong job growth in 2014. However, due to the decline in energy commodity prices in late 2014, economic conditions are generally expected to slow compared to 2014. Included within this document is an extensive discussion on the Company's energy-related exposure as found on page 65. See "Business Segment Results" on page 46 for further discussion on the 2014 performance of Amegy.

CB&T has approximately \$11 billion in assets, which represent 20% of the Company's assets. Trends in unemployment, home foreclosures, and bank credit problems continue to improve throughout California, resulting in corresponding reductions in problem credits and nonperforming assets at CB&T. During 2014, California employment grew 2.1%, which marked the state's third straight year growing at least 2%. This growth improved California's overall unemployment rate to 7.0% in 2014 from 8.3% in 2013. California's recovery, however, has been uneven with coastal areas experiencing much greater gains in employment and housing prices than the interior parts of the state. CB&T's primary markets – the coastal and major metropolitan areas in California including the San Francisco Bay area, Los Angeles County, Orange County, and San Diego – continued to experience economic improvements in 2014 compared to 2013. Unemployment rates are much lower in CB&T's primary markets compared to the state as a whole. See "Business Segment Results" on page 46 for further discussion of the 2013 performance of CB&T.

Keep Decisions That Affect Customers Local

We believe that over the long term, ensuring that local management teams retain the authority over many of the decisions affecting their customers is a strategy that ultimately generates optimal growth and profitability in our banking businesses. We operate eight different community and regional banks, each under a different name and each with its own charter, chief executive officer, and management team. We believe this approach allows us to attract and retain exceptional management, and provides service of the highest quality to our targeted customers. This structure helps ensure that many of the decisions related to customers are made at a local level:

branding and marketing strategies;

product offerings and pricing;

credit decisions (within the limits of established corporate policy); and

relationship management strategies and the integration of various business lines.

The results of this service are evident in the outcome of the Greenwich Associates annual survey, wherein the Company consistently receives numerous "Excellent" ratings from small and middle-market businesses. Maintain a Sustainable Competitive Advantage Over Community Banks

To create a sustainable competitive advantage over other smaller community banks, we focus on achieving better product breadth and quality, productivity, economies of scale, availability of liquidity, and a lower cost of capital. Compared to community banks, our objectives include the following:

Use the combined scale of all of our banking operations to create a broad product offering;

Utilize our larger capital base and breadth of product offerings to lend to business customers of a wide range of sizes, from small businesses to large companies;

For certain products for which economies of scale are believed to be critical, we "manufacture" the product centrally or are able to obtain services from third-party vendors at lower costs due to volume-driven pricing power; and

Take advantage of our combined size and diversification that affords us superior access to the capital markets for debt and equity financing; over the long term, this advantage has historically, and should in the future, result in a lower cost of capital than our subsidiary banks could achieve on their own.

Centralize and Standardize Policies and Oversight of Key Risks

We seek to standardize policies and practices related to the management of key risks in order to assure a consistent risk profile in an otherwise decentralized management model. Among these key risks and functions are credit, interest rate, liquidity, and market risks.

The Company conducts regular stress testing of the loan portfolio using multiple economic scenarios. Such tests help to identify pockets of risk and enable management to reduce risk.

The Company oversees credit risk using a single credit policy and specialists in business, commercial real estate, and consumer lending; additionally the Company's manages concentration risk.

The Company regularly measures interest rate and liquidity risk and uses capital markets instruments to adjust risks to stay within Board-approved levels.

The Company centrally monitors and oversees operational risk. Centralized internal audit, credit examination, and compliance functions test compliance with established policies.

MANAGEMENT'S OVERVIEW OF 2014 PERFORMANCE

The Company reported net earnings applicable to common shareholders for 2014 of \$326.6 million or \$1.68 diluted earnings per share compared to \$294.0 million or \$1.58 per diluted common share for 2013.

While we are encouraged with the 2014 results, net income and returns on capital are still lower than peers and the Company's aspirations.

Areas Experiencing Strength in 2014

Net income to common shareholders improved in 2014. Two major items had a significant adverse impact on profitability during the year: 1) while debt extinguishment cost related to high-cost debt was lower than it was in 2013, the Company still had \$44.4 million of debt extinguishment cost in 2014, and 2) elevated salaries and employee benefits due largely to the Company's initiative to streamline its back office and accounting. Two major items had a significant favorable impact on profitability in 2014: 1) the negative provision for loan losses and unfunded lending commitments of \$106.7 million (which is not expected to continue in 2015), and 2) the reduction of interest expense on long-term debt from the debt redemptions and maturities.

Tier 1 common ("T1C") capital plus reserves for credit losses improved and ranks well above the peer median (see Chart 1). In July of 2014 the Company issued \$525 million of common equity in response to the CCAR results; as a result of this action, as well as increased retained earnings, the T1C capital ratio further improved to 11.92% at December 31, 2014.

Additionally, we made significant progress towards reducing the cost of debt. In 2014, we reduced long-term debt by \$1.2 billion through tender offers, early calls and redemptions at maturity. As a result of these actions, we estimate that interest expense on long-term debt in 2015 will decline by approximately \$53 million.

Asset quality improved significantly; nonperforming lending-related assets declined 28% in 2014 (see Chart 2), and net charge-offs declined to \$42 million in 2014 compared to \$52 million in 2013. As a result, credit costs, including the provision for loan losses and unfunded lending commitments, other real estate expense and credit-related expense, declined approximately 16%.

Despite a difficult interest rate environment and modest loan growth, net interest income only declined 1.0% in 2014 compared to 2013 (see Chart 3), and grew slightly in the fourth quarter of 2014. The decline was due to reduced income from FDIC-supported loans as that portfolio, purchased in 2009, winds down.

During 2014 we undertook considerable actions to reduce risk by selling a significant portion of the Company's construction and land development loans, as well as significantly reducing the size of the CDO portfolio. Tangible book value per common share improved by 9.8% in 2014, compared to 2013, due to increased retained earnings and a reduction in OCI due to CDO sales and improvement in the market value of the remaining CDO securities.

Chart 1. TIER 1 COMMON CAPITAL + RESERVES AS A PERCENTAGE OF RISK-WEIGHTED ASSETS\*

# Chart 2. NONPERFORMING LENDING-RELATED ASSETS AS A PERCENTAGE OF NET LOANS AND OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

Chart 3. NET INTEREST INCOME

(amounts in millions)

Areas Experiencing Weakness in 2014

Although net income applicable to common shareholders improved in 2014, the additional common equity issued in response to the Federal Reserve 2014 stress test results for the Company and additional retained earnings meant that returns on common equity declined. For example, the tangible return on tangible common equity declined to 6.7% in 2014 from 7.4% in 2013.

Although loans increased somewhat compared to 2013, the growth was very modest. Loans increased on a net basis by \$1.0 billion, or 2.6%, compared to December 31, 2013, including increases of \$704 million in commercial and industrial and \$459 million in 1-4 family residential. As noted, the Company particularly constrained the growth in construction and land development loans in 2014 for risk management purposes. We also continued to experience weakness resulting from attrition in our National Real Estate Group owner-occupied loan portfolio, which is expected to continue. This business is a wholesale business and depends upon loan referrals from other community banking institutions; due to generally soft loan demand nationally, many banks are retaining, rather than selling, their loan production.

# Table of Contents

Our net interest margin declined to 3.26% in 2014 from 3.36% in 2013, which was due primarily to a reduction in FDIC-supported loan income as that portfolio continues to wind down, competitive pricing pressure, and improvement in the underlying quality of our borrowers' financial condition (see discussion on asset quality on page 72). Nevertheless, our NIM continued to remain reasonably strong relative to other peer banks, and actually improved slightly in the fourth quarter of 2014 compared to the third quarter.

Redemption expenses of high-cost debt weighed significantly on profitability. The high cost of debt is a byproduct of our efforts to stabilize the Company's capital base and funding during the recent recession. While significant debt refinancing activities were completed in 2014, some additional relatively expensive debt that matures in 2015 remains.

Noninterest expense levels are elevated and are expected to remain higher than normal as we continue to implement several technology initiatives that are designed to streamline the efficiency of the Company. Upon completion of these initiatives, we expect expenses relative to revenues to improve meaningfully.

Areas of Focus for 2015

In 2015, we are focused on improving Company profitability and returns on equity with initiatives across the enterprise. Major areas of emphasis include:

**Business activities:** 

Stabilize and improve net interest margin by:

Continuing to incrementally deploy the Company's excess cash into higher yielding, short-to-medium duration HQLA, which was begun in the latter half of 2014.

Complete the retirement of expensive long-term debt that arose from actions taken during the economic crisis. Continue to emphasize loan growth, particularly through continued strong business lending and additional growth in residential mortgage lending; and

Continue efforts to increase fee income.

Continued improvements in the capital structure:

In addition to the retirement of debt mentioned previously, over time seek to alter the mix of capital in our capital structure; that mix currently includes relatively higher levels of preferred stock than peer institutions. Credit:

Maintain strong levels of asset quality. We expect energy loans to experience deterioration although losses are eurrently expected to be modest; however, we expect continued modest improvement in other segments of the loan portfolio.

Operations:

Continue to invest in previously announced major upgrades to the Company's systems, while maintaining noninterest expenses at or near current levels.

Pursue further opportunities for operating efficiencies.

Continue responsible risk management improvements.

# Table of Contents

Schedule 1 presents the key drivers of the Company's performance during 2014 and 2013.

Schedule 1 KEY DRIVERS OF PERFORMANCE 2014 COMPARED TO 2013							
Driver	2014		2013		Change better/(wor	rse)	
	(Amounts in billions)						
Average net loans and leases	\$39.5		\$38.1		4	%	
Average money market investments	8.2		8.8		(7	)%	
Average noninterest-bearing deposits	19.6		18.0		9	%	
Average total deposits	46.3		45.3		2	%	
	(Amounts	in m	illions)				
Net interest income	\$1,680.0		\$1,696.3		(1	)%	
Provision for loan losses	(98.1	)	(87.1	)	13	%	
Net impairment losses on investment securities			(165.1	)	100	%	
Other noninterest income	508.6		502.5		1	%	
Noninterest expense	1,665.3		1,714.4		3	%	
Nonaccrual loans <sup>1</sup>	307		406		24	%	
Net interest margin	3.26	%	3.36	%	(10)bps		
Ratio of nonperforming lending-related assets to net loans and leases and other real estate owned $^2$	0.81	%	1.15	%	34 bps		
Ratio of total allowance for credit losses to net loans and leases							
outstanding	1.71	%	2.14	%	43 bps		
Tier 1 common capital ratio	11.92	0%	10.18	%	174 bps		
<sup>1</sup> Includes FDIC-supported loans.	11.72	10	10.10	70	1/4 005		
<sup>2</sup> Includes loops for sala							

<sup>2</sup> Includes loans for sale.

# CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND SIGNIFICANT ESTIMATES

Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contains a summary of the Company's significant accounting policies. Discussed below are certain significant accounting policies that we consider critical to the Company's financial statements. These critical accounting policies were selected because the amounts affected by them are significant to the financial statements. Any changes to these amounts, including changes in estimates, may also be significant to the financial statements. We believe that an understanding of these policies, along with the related estimates we are required to make in recording the financial transactions of the Company, is important to have a complete picture of the Company's financial condition. In addition, in arriving at these estimates, we are required to make complex and subjective judgments, many of which include a high degree of uncertainty. The following discussion of these critical accounting policies includes the significant estimates related to these policies. We have discussed each of these accounting policies and the related estimates with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

We have included, where applicable in this document, sensitivity schedules and other examples to demonstrate the impact of the changes in estimates made for various financial transactions. The sensitivities in these schedules and examples are hypothetical and should be viewed with caution. Changes in estimates are based on variations in

assumptions and are not subject to simple extrapolation, as the relationship of the change in the assumption to the change in the amount of the estimate may not be linear. In addition, the effect of a variation in one assumption is in reality likely to cause changes in other assumptions, which could potentially magnify or counteract the sensitivities.

# Fair Value Estimates

The Company measures or monitors many of its assets and liabilities on a fair value basis. Fair value is the price that could be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. To increase consistency and comparability in fair value measurements, current accounting guidance has established a three-level hierarchy to prioritize the valuation inputs among (1) observable inputs that reflect quoted prices in active markets, (2) inputs other than quoted prices with observable market data, and (3) unobservable data such as the Company's own data or single dealer nonbinding pricing quotes.

When observable market prices are not available, fair value is estimated using modeling techniques such as discounted cash flow analysis. These modeling techniques utilize assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or the liability, including assumptions about the risk inherent in a particular valuation technique, the effect of a restriction on the sale or use of an asset, the related life of the asset and applicable growth rate, the risk of nonperformance, and other related assumptions.

The selection and weighting of the various fair value techniques may result in a fair value higher or lower than carrying value. Considerable judgment may be involved in determining the amount that is most representative of fair value.

For assets and liabilities recorded at fair value, the Company's policy is to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements for those items where there is an active market. In certain cases, when market observable inputs for model-based valuation techniques may not be readily available, the Company is required to make judgments about assumptions market participants would use in estimating the fair value of the financial instrument. The models used to determine fair value adjustments are regularly evaluated by management for relevance under current facts and circumstances.

Changes in market conditions may reduce the availability of quoted prices or observable data. For example, reduced liquidity in the capital markets or changes in secondary market activities could result in observable market inputs becoming unavailable. When market data is not available, the Company would use valuation techniques requiring more management judgment to estimate the appropriate fair value.

Fair value is used on a recurring basis for certain assets and liabilities in which fair value is the primary measure of accounting. Fair value is used on a nonrecurring basis to measure certain assets or liabilities (including HTM securities, loans held for sale, and OREO) for impairment or for disclosure purposes in accordance with current accounting guidance.

Impairment analysis also relates to long-lived assets, goodwill, and core deposit and other intangible assets. An impairment loss is recognized if the carrying amount of the asset is not likely to be recoverable and exceeds its fair value. In determining the fair value, management uses models and applies the techniques and assumptions previously discussed.

Investment securities are valued using several methodologies, which depend on the nature of the security, availability of current market information, and other factors. CDOs are valued using an internal model and the assumptions are analyzed for sensitivity. "Investment Securities Portfolio" on page 52 provides more information regarding this analysis.

Investment securities are reviewed formally on a quarterly basis for the presence of OTTI. The evaluation process takes into account current market conditions, the fair value of the security relative to its amortized cost, and many other factors. The decision to deem these securities OTTI is based on a specific analysis of the structure of each security and an evaluation of the underlying collateral. OTTI is considered to have occurred if its fair value is below

amortized cost and (1) we intend to sell the security, or (2) it is "more likely than not" we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, or (3) the present value of expected cash flows is not sufficient

to recover the entire amortized cost basis. The "more likely than not" criteria is a lower threshold than the "probable" criteria.

Notes 1, 5, 7, 9 and 20 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and "Investment Securities Portfolio" on page 52 contain further information regarding the use of fair value estimates.

# Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses includes the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments. The allowance for loan losses provides for probable losses that have been identified with specific customer relationships and for probable losses believed to be inherent in the loan portfolio, but which have not been specifically identified. The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance is based on periodic evaluations of the portfolios. This process includes both quantitative and qualitative analyses, as well as a qualitative review of the results. The qualitative review requires a significant amount of judgment, and is described in more detail in Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The reserve for unfunded lending commitments provides for potential losses associated with off-balance sheet lending commitments and standby letters of credit. The reserve is estimated using the same procedures and methodologies as for the allowance for loan losses, plus assumptions regarding the probability and amount of unfunded commitments being drawn.

There are numerous components that enter into the evaluation of the allowance for loan losses. Although we believe that our processes for determining an appropriate level for the allowance adequately address the various components that could potentially result in credit losses, the processes and their elements include features that may be susceptible to significant change. Any unfavorable differences between the actual outcome of credit-related events and our estimates and projections could require an additional provision for credit losses. As an example, if the PD risk grade, for all pass-graded commercial and CRE loans, was immediately downgraded one grade on a 1-14 grade scale, the quantitatively determined amount of the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2014 would increase by approximately \$77 million. This sensitivity analysis is hypothetical and has been provided only to indicate the potential impact that changes in risk grades may have on the allowance estimation process.

Although the qualitative process is subjective, it represents the Company's best estimate of qualitative factors impacting the determination of the allowance for loan losses. Such factors include, but are not limited to, national and regional economic trends and indicators. We believe that given the procedures we follow in determining the allowance for loan losses for the loan portfolio, the various components used in the current estimation processes are appropriate.

Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and "Credit Risk Management" on page 63 contain further information and more specific descriptions of the processes and methodologies used to estimate the allowance for credit losses.

# Accounting for Goodwill

Goodwill is initially recorded at fair value and is subsequently evaluated at least annually for impairment in accordance with current accounting guidance. We perform this annual test as of October 1 of each year, or more often if events or circumstances indicate that carrying value may not be recoverable. The goodwill impairment test for a given reporting unit (generally one of our subsidiary banks) compares its fair value with its carrying value. If the carrying amount exceeds fair value, an additional analysis must be performed to determine the amount, if any, by which goodwill is impaired.

To determine the fair value, we generally use a combination of up to three separate methods: comparable publicly traded financial service companies (primarily banks and bank holding companies) in the Western and Southwestern states ("Market Value"); where applicable, comparable acquisitions of financial services companies in the Western

and Southwestern states ("Transaction Value"); and the discounted present value of management's estimates of future cash flows. Critical assumptions that are used as part of these calculations include:

selection of comparable publicly traded companies based on location, size, and business focus and composition; selection of market comparable acquisition transactions based on location, size, business focus and composition, and date of the transaction;

the discount rate, which is based on Zions' estimate of its cost of capital, applied to future cash flows; the projections of future earnings and cash flows of the reporting unit;

the relative weight given to the valuations derived by the three methods described; and

the control premium associated with reporting units.

We apply a control premium in the Market Value approach to determine the reporting units' equity values. Control premiums represent the ability of a controlling shareholder to change how the Company is managed and can cause the fair value of a reporting unit as a whole to exceed its market capitalization. Based on a review of historical bank acquisition transactions within the Company's geographic footprint, and a comparison of the target banks' market values 30 days prior to the announced transaction to the deal value, we have determined that a control premium of 25% was appropriate at the most recent test date.

Since estimates are an integral part of the impairment computations, changes in these estimates could have a significant impact on any calculated impairment amount. Estimates include economic conditions, which impact the assumptions related to interest and growth rates, loss rates and imputed cost of equity capital. The fair value estimates for each reporting unit incorporate current economic and market conditions, including Federal Reserve monetary policy expectations and the impact of legislative and regulatory changes. Additional factors that may significantly affect the estimates include, among others, competitive forces, customer behaviors and attrition, loan losses, changes in growth trends, cost structures and technology, changes in equity market values and merger and acquisition valuations, and changes in industry conditions.

Weakening in the economic environment, a decline in the performance of the reporting units, or other factors could cause the fair value of one or more of the reporting units to fall below carrying value, resulting in a goodwill impairment charge. Additionally, new legislative or regulatory changes not anticipated in management's expectations may cause the fair value of one or more of the reporting units to fall below the carrying value, resulting in a goodwill impairment charge. Any impairment charge would not affect the Company's regulatory capital ratios, tangible common equity ratio, or liquidity position.

During the fourth quarter of 2014, we performed our annual goodwill impairment evaluation of the entire organization, effective October 1, 2014. Upon completion of the evaluation process, we concluded that none of our subsidiary banks was impaired. Furthermore, the evaluation process determined that the fair values of Amegy, CB&T, and Zions Bank exceeded their carrying values by 27%, 40% and 33%, respectively. Additionally, we performed a hypothetical sensitivity analysis on the discount rate assumption to evaluate the impact of an adverse change to this assumption. If the discount rate applied to future earnings were increased by 100 bps, the fair values of Amegy, CB&T, and Zions Bank would exceed their carrying values by 17%, 30% and 13%, respectively. Additionally, because of the significant decline in energy prices since October 1, 2014, we ran additional sensitivity analyses to estimate the impact that the decline would have on Amegy's value. Even in the most severe of the additional sensitivity analyses related to the decline in energy prices, the goodwill of Amegy was not considered impaired. Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contains additional information related to goodwill.

# Income Taxes

The Company is subject to the income tax laws of the United States, its states and other jurisdictions where the Company conducts business. These laws are complex and subject to different interpretations by the taxpayer and the various taxing authorities. In determining the provision for income taxes, management must make judgments and

# Table of Contents

estimates about the application of these laws and related regulations. In the process of preparing the Company's tax returns, management attempts to make reasonable interpretations of the tax laws. These interpretations are subject to challenge by the tax authorities upon audit or to reinterpretation based on management's ongoing assessment of facts and evolving case law.

The Company had net Deferred Tax Assets ("DTAs") of \$224 million at December 31, 2014, compared to \$304 million at December 31, 2013. The most significant portions of the deductible temporary differences relate to (1) the allowance for loan losses, (2) fair value adjustments or impairment write-downs related to securities and (3) deferred compensation arrangements. No valuation allowance has been recorded as of December 31, 2014 related to DTAs except for a full valuation reserve related to certain acquired net operating losses from an immaterial nonbank subsidiary. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, both the positive and negative evidence about the realization of DTAs were evaluated. The ultimate realization of DTAs is based on the Company's ability to (1) carry back net operating losses to prior tax periods, (2) utilize the reversal of taxable temporary differences to offset deductible temporary differences, (3) implement tax planning strategies that are prudent and feasible, and (4) generate future taxable income.

After considering the weight of the positive evidence compared to the negative evidence, management has concluded it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the existing DTAs and that an additional valuation allowance is not needed.

On a quarterly basis, management assesses the reasonableness of its effective tax rate based upon its current best estimate of net income and the applicable taxes expected for the full year. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are also reassessed on a regular basis. Reserves for contingent tax liabilities are reviewed quarterly for adequacy based upon developments in tax law and the status of examinations or audits. The Company has tax reserves at December 31, 2014 of approximately \$2 million, net of federal and/or state benefits, for uncertain tax positions primarily for various state tax contingencies in several jurisdictions.

Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contains additional information regarding income taxes.

# RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS AND DEVELOPMENTS

Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements discusses recently issued accounting pronouncements that the Company will be required to adopt. Also discussed is the Company's expectation of the impact these new accounting pronouncements will have, to the extent they are material, on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity.

# **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The Company reported net earnings applicable to common shareholders of \$326.6 million, or \$1.68 per diluted common share for 2014, compared to \$294.0 million, or \$1.58 per diluted common share for 2013. The following changes had a favorable impact on net earnings applicable to common shareholders:

\$165.1 million decrease in net impairment losses on investment securities;

\$75.8 million decrease in debt extinguishment cost;

\$62.8 million decrease in interest on long-term debt;

\$23.6 million decrease in preferred stock dividends;

\$19.3 million decrease in other noninterest expense; and

\$13.3 million increase in fixed income securities gains.

The impact of these items was partially offset by the following:

\$125.7 million decrease in preferred stock redemption benefit;

\$85.0 million decrease in interest and fees on loans;

- \$80.0 million increase in income tax expense; and
- \$43.5 million increase in salaries and employee benefits.

The Company reported net earnings applicable to common shareholders of \$294.0 million, or \$1.58 per diluted common share for 2013, compared to \$178.6 million, or \$0.97 per diluted common share for 2012. The following changes had a favorable impact on net earnings applicable to common shareholders:

\$125.7 million benefit from preferred stock redemption;

\$101.4 million decrease in the provision for loan losses;

\$75.4 million reduction in preferred stock dividends;

\$21.5 million decrease in the provision for unfunded lending commitments; and

\$18.0 million decline in other real estate expense.

The impact of these items was partially offset by the following:

\$120.2 million increase in debt extinguishment cost;

\$61.1 million increase in net impairment losses on investment securities;

\$35.6 million decrease in net interest income;

\$27.3 million increase in salaries and employee benefits; and

\$25.3 million increase in other noninterest expense.

Net Interest Income, Margin and Interest Rate Spreads

Net interest income is the difference between interest earned on interest-earning assets and interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Taxable-equivalent net interest income is the largest portion of the Company's revenue. For 2014, taxable-equivalent net interest income was \$1,696.1 million, compared to \$1,711.8 million and \$1,750.2 million, in 2013 and 2012, respectively. The tax rate used for calculating all taxable-equivalent adjustments was 35% for all years presented.

Net interest margin in 2014 vs. 2013

The net interest margin was 3.26% and 3.36% for 2014 and 2013, respectively. The decrease resulted primarily from lower yields on loans and AFS investment securities. The impact of these items was partially offset by lower yields and balances on the Company's long-term debt.

Even though the Company's average loan portfolio was \$1.4 billion higher during 2014, compared to 2013, the average interest rate earned on those assets was 4.39%, which is 38 bps lower than the comparable prior year rate. This decline in interest income was primarily caused by (1) reduced interest income on loans acquired with FDIC assistance in 2009, as those acquired portfolios were successfully managed down, (2) adjustable rate loans originated in the past resetting to lower rates due to the current repricing index being lower than the rate when the loans were originated, and (3) loans originated at lower rates than the weighted average rate of the existing portfolio. The primary reasons for the narrowing of credit and interest rate spreads are a combination of competitive pricing pressures and improved customer credit, which are the result of a more stable economic environment than a few years ago; a portion of the narrowing of the spreads may be attributed to the improved fundamental condition of the Company's borrowers, such as stronger earnings and improved leverage ratios.

The average HTM securities portfolio was \$609 million during 2014, compared to \$762 million during the same prior year period. During the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company reclassified a substantial portion of its CDO securities from HTM to AFS as a result of the impact of the Volcker Rule. The average yield earned during 2014 on HTM securities was 36 bps higher than the yield in 2013, primarily due to the reclassification of CDO securities into the AFS portfolio during the fourth quarter of 2013 that have a lower-yield than the remaining securities in the HTM portfolio.

The average balance of AFS securities for 2014 increased by \$365 million, or 11.7%, compared to 2013, and the average yield in 2014 was 15 bps lower than in 2013. The increase in AFS securities was due primarily to purchases of approximately \$1.0 billion par amount of agency pass-through securities. The yield was also impacted by the sale of \$913 million amortized cost of the Company's CDO securities during 2014.

Average noninterest-bearing demand deposits provided the Company with low cost funding and comprised 42.4%

# Table of Contents

of average total deposits for 2014, compared to 39.7% for 2013. Average interest-bearing deposit balances were down 2.5% in 2014 compared to 2013; however, the rate paid declined by 3 bps to 19 bps, thus continuing the difficulty to reduce deposit costs further as these costs approach zero.

From December 31, 2013, the Company has reduced long-term debt by \$1.2 billion as a result of tender offers, early calls, and redemptions at maturity, including \$835 million during the third quarter of 2014. These actions led to a decrease of \$463 million, or 20.3%, of the Company's average long-term debt outstanding in 2014 compared to 2013. The average interest rate paid on long-term debt for 2014 decreased by 138 bps compared to 2013. Refer to the "Liquidity Risk Management" section beginning on page 81 for more information.

During 2014, most of the Company's cash in excess of that needed to fund earning assets was invested in money market assets, primarily deposits with the Federal Reserve Bank. Average money market investments were 15.8% of total interest-earning assets, compared to 17.3% in the prior year.

See "Interest Rate and Market Risk Management" on page 76 for further discussion of how we manage the portfolios of interest-earning assets, interest-bearing liabilities, and the associated risk.

Net interest margin in 2013 vs. 2012

The net interest margin was 3.36% and 3.57% for 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease resulted primarily from: lower yields on loans, excluding FDIC-supported loans, and AFS investment securities; and

increased balance of low-yielding money market investments.

The impact of these items was partially offset by the following favorable developments:

lower yields on long-term debt and deposit funding; and

higher yields on FDIC-supported loans.

Even though the Company's average loan portfolio, excluding FDIC-supported loans, was \$1.3 billion higher in 2013 than in 2012, the average interest rate earned on those assets was 42 bps lower. This decline in interest income was driven by a reduction in FDIC-supported loan income as that portfolio continues to wind down, competitive pricing pressure, and improvement in the underlying quality of our borrowers' financial condition (see discussion on asset quality on page 72).

The yield earned on AFS securities during 2013 was 77 bps lower than in the prior year. The yield decline primarily related to lower yields on asset-backed securities. The fair values of these securities increased during 2013, but the coupon rates stayed the same, resulting in lower yields. Also, the interest rates for most of the securities in the AFS securities portfolio are based on variable rate indexes such as the 3-month LIBOR rate, which decreased between these years.

During 2013, most of the Company's excess liquidity was invested in money market assets, primarily deposits with the Federal Reserve Bank. Average money market investments increased to 17.3% of total interest-earning assets in 2013 compared to 16.2% in the prior year. The average rate earned on these investments remained essentially unchanged for these years.

Noninterest-bearing demand deposits provided the Company with low cost funding and comprised 39.7% of average total deposits in 2013 compared to 38.4% in 2012. Additionally, the average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits during 2013 decreased by 8 bps compared to 2012.

During 2013, the Company refinanced a portion of its long-term debt by redeeming and repurchasing higher cost debt, while issuing new lower cost debt. This resulted in a \$39 million increase in the average balance of long-term debt. The average interest rate paid on long-term debt decreased by 191 bps due to these transactions, as well as a reduction in the accelerated amortization of discount related to conversions of subordinated debt to preferred stock. Refer to the "Liquidity Management Actions" section on page 83 for more information.

Chart 4 illustrates recent trends in the net interest margin and the average federal funds rate.

# Chart 4. NET INTEREST MARGIN

See "Interest Rate and Market Risk Management" on page 76 for further discussion of how we manage the portfolios of interest-earning assets, interest-bearing liabilities, and the associated risk.

The spread on average interest-bearing funds was 2.99%, 3.02%, and 3.16% for 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively. The spread on average interest-bearing funds for 2014 was affected by the same factors that had an impact on the net interest margin.

We expect the mix of interest-earning assets to change over the next several quarters due to further decreases in the FDIC-supported/PCI loan portfolio, and slight-to-moderate loan growth in the commercial and industrial and residential mortgage portfolios, accompanied by somewhat less growth in commercial real estate loans. In addition, as discussed below, we are incrementally investing in short-to-medium duration agency pass-through securities that qualify as HQLA; over time we expect these investments to reduce the proportion of earning assets in cash and money market instruments, and increase the proportion of AFS securities. Average yields on the loan portfolio are likely to continue to experience modest downward pressure due to competitive pricing, lower benchmark indices (such as LIBOR), and growth in lower-yielding residential mortgages; however, we expect this pressure to be somewhat less likely than in the prior two years. We believe that some of the downward pressure on the net interest margin will be mitigated by lower interest expense on reduced levels of long-term debt that resulted from the Company's tender offers, early calls, and maturities during 2014. Additional reductions to long-term debt will occur due to maturities in 2015. We also believe we can offset some of the pressure on the net interest margin through loan growth. The Company expects to remain "asset-sensitive" (which refers to net interest income increasing as a result of a rising interest rate environment) with regard to interest rate risk. In response to new liquidity and liquidity stress-testing regulations, which elevate, relative to historic levels, the proportion of high quality liquid assets that the Company will be required to hold on its balance sheet, we decided in the second half of 2014 to begin deploying cash into short-to-medium duration agency pass-through securities. In 2014, the Company increased its HQLA securities by approximately \$1.0 billion par amount and is continuing these purchases in 2015. Over time these purchases are expected to somewhat reduce our asset sensitivity compared to previous periods. Our estimates of the Company's actual interest rate risk position are highly dependent upon a number of assumptions regarding the repricing behavior of various deposit and loan types in response to changes in both short-term and long-term interest rates, balance sheet composition, and other modeling assumptions, as well as the actions of competitors and customers in response to those changes. In addition, our modeled projections for noninterest-bearing demand deposits, a substantial portion of our deposit balances, are particularly reliant on assumptions for which there is little historical experience due to the prolonged period of very low interest rates. Further detail on interest rate risk is discussed in "Interest Rate Risk" on page 77.

The following schedule summarizes the average balances, the amount of interest earned or incurred, and the applicable yields for interest-earning assets and the costs of interest-bearing liabilities that generate taxable-equivalent net interest income.

# Schedule 2

# DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES, AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AVERAGE BALANCE SHEETS, YIELDS AND RATES

TVERIOL BALANCE SHEETS, TILLD	2014		0			2013				
	Average		Amount of	Avera	age	Average		Amount of	Aver	age
(Amounts in millions)	balance		interest <sup>1</sup>	rate	C	balance		interest <sup>1</sup>	rate	C
ASSETS										
Money market investments	\$8,211		\$21.4	0.26	%	\$8,848		\$23.4	0.26	%
Securities:										
Held-to-maturity	609		32.1	5.27		762		37.4	4.91	
Available-for-sale	3,472		75.3	2.17		3,107		72.2	2.32	
Trading account	61		2.0	3.22		32		1.0	3.29	
Total securities	4,142		109.4	2.64		3,901		110.6	2.84	
Loans held for sale	128		4.6	3.63		149		5.4	3.58	
Loans and leases <sup>2</sup>	39,523		1,733.7	4.39		38,107		1,817.5	4.77	
Total interest-earning assets	52,004		1,869.1	3.59		51,005		1,956.9	3.84	
Cash and due from banks	897					1,016				
Allowance for loan losses	(690	)				(830	)			
Goodwill	1,014					1,014				
Core deposit and other intangibles	31					44				
Other assets	2,634					2,693				
Total assets	\$55,890					\$54,942				
LIABILITIES										
Interest-bearing deposits:										
Saving and money market	\$23,532		37.0	0.16		\$22,891		39.7	0.17	
Time	2,490		11.5	0.46		2,792		15.9	0.57	
Foreign	642		1.2	0.18		1,662		3.3	0.20	
Total interest-bearing deposits	26,664		49.7	0.19		27,345		58.9	0.22	
Borrowed funds:										
Federal funds purchased and other	223		0.3	0.11		278		0.3	0.11	
short-term borrowings	223		0.5	0.11		278		0.5	0.11	
Long-term debt	1,811		123.0	6.79		2,274		185.9	8.17	
Total borrowed funds	2,034		123.3	6.06		2,552		186.2	7.29	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	28,698		173.0	0.60		29,897		245.1	0.82	
Noninterest-bearing deposits	19,609					17,971				
Other liabilities	555					586				
Total liabilities	48,862					48,454				
Shareholders' equity:										
Preferred equity	1,004					1,360				
Common equity	6,024					5,130				
Controlling interest shareholders' equity	7,028					6,490				
Noncontrolling interests						(2	)			
Total shareholders' equity	7,028					6,488				
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$55,890					\$54,942				
Spread on average interest-bearing funds				2.99	%				3.02	%

Taxable-equivalent net interest income and	\$1,696.1	3 76	0%	\$1,711.8	2 26	0%
net yield on interest-earning assets	\$1,090.1	5.20	-70	φ1,/11.0	5.50	70
<sup>1</sup> Taxable-equivalent rates used where applicable.						

<sup>2</sup> Net of unearned income and fees, net of related costs. Loans include nonaccrual and restructured loans.

2012 Average balance	Amount of interest <sup>1</sup>	Average rate	6		Average rate	2010 Average balance	Amount of interest <sup>1</sup>	Average rate
\$7,930	\$21.1	0.27 %	\$5,356	\$13.8	0.26 %	\$4,085	\$11.0	0.27 %
774 3,047 24 3,845 187 37,037 48,999 1,102 (986) 1,015 60 3,089 \$53,279	42.3 94.2 0.7 137.2 6.6 1,892.0 2,056.9	5.47 3.09 3.13 3.57 3.51 5.11 4.20	818 3,895 58 4,771 146 36,897 47,170 1,056 (1,272 1,015 78 3,363 \$51,410	44.7 89.6 2.0 136.3 5.7 2,053.0 2,208.8	5.47 2.30 3.45 2.86 3.94 5.56 4.68	866 3,416 61 4,343 187 38,326 46,941 1,214 (1,556 1,015 101 3,912 \$51,627	44.3 91.5 2.2 138.0 8.9 2,170.5 2,328.4	5.12 2.68 3.64 3.18 4.78 5.66 4.96
\$22,061 3,208 1,493 26,762	52.3 23.1 4.7 80.1	0.24 0.72 0.31 0.30	\$21,476 3,750 1,515 26,741	84.8 35.6 8.1 128.5	0.39 0.95 0.53 0.48	\$22,039 4,747 1,626 28,412	126.5 59.8 9.8 196.1	0.57 1.26 0.60 0.69
499 2,234 2,733 29,495 16,668 605 46,768	1.4 225.2 226.6 306.7	0.28 10.08 8.29 1.04	832 1,913 2,745 29,486 14,531 523 44,540	6.7 297.2 303.9 432.4	0.80 15.54 11.07 1.47	1,149 1,980 3,129 31,541 13,318 576 45,435	12.5 383.8 396.3 592.4	1.09 19.38 12.67 1.88
1,768 4,745 6,513 (2)	1		2,257 4,614 6,871 (1	)		1,732 4,452 6,184 8		

6,511 \$53,279			6,870 \$51,410			6,192 \$51,627			
		3.16	%		3.21	%		3.08	%
	\$1,750.2	3.57	%	\$1,776.4	3.77	%	\$1,736.0	3.70	%

Schedule 3 analyzes the year-to-year changes in net interest income on a fully taxable-equivalent basis for the years indicated. For purposes of calculating the yields in these schedules, the average loan balances also include the principal amounts of nonaccrual and restructured loans. However, interest received on nonaccrual loans is included in income only to the extent that cash payments have been received and not applied to principal reductions. In addition, interest on restructured loans is generally accrued at reduced rates.

#### Schedule 3

# ANALYSIS OF INTEREST CHANGES DUE TO VOLUME AND RATE

	2014 over 2013						2013 over 2012					
	Chang	es d	due to		Total	al Changes of			due to		Total	
(In millions)	Volum	e	Rate <sup>1</sup>		change	s	Volum	e	Rate <sup>1</sup>		change	s
INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS					-						-	
Money market investments	\$(1.6	)	\$(0.4	)	\$(2.0	)	\$2.7		\$(0.4	)	\$2.3	
Securities:												
Held-to-maturity	(7.5	)	2.2		(5.3	)	(0.6	)	(4.3	)	(4.9	)
Available-for-sale	7.8		(4.7	)	3.1		1.5		(23.5	)	(22.0	)
Trading account	1.0				1.0		0.3				0.3	
Total securities	1.3		(2.5	)	(1.2	)	1.2		(27.8	)	(26.6	)
Loans held for sale	(0.8	)			(0.8	)	(1.3	)	0.1		(1.2	)
Loans and leases <sup>2</sup>	60.8		(144.6	)	(83.8	)	50.8		(125.3	)	(74.5	)
Total interest-earning assets	59.7		(147.5	)	(87.8	)	53.4		(153.4	)	(100.0	)
INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES												
Interest-bearing deposits:												
Saving and money market	0.4		(3.1	)	(2.7	)	2.3		(14.9	)	(12.6	)
Time	(1.4	)	(3.0	)	(4.4	)	(2.4	)	(4.8	)	(7.2	)
Foreign	(1.8	)	(0.3	)	(2.1	)	0.3		(1.7	)	(1.4	)
Total interest-bearing deposits	(2.8	)	(6.4	)	(9.2	)	0.2		(21.4	)	(21.2	)
Borrowed funds:												
Federal funds purchased and other short-term							(0, 2)	``	(0.0	`	(1.1	`
borrowings							(0.2	)	(0.9	)	(1.1	)
Long-term debt	(31.4	)	(31.5	)	(62.9	)	3.3		(42.6	)	(39.3	)
Total borrowed funds	(31.4	)	(31.5	)	(62.9	)	3.1		(43.5	)	(40.4	)
Total interest-bearing liabilities	(34.2	)	(37.9	)	(72.1	)	3.3		(64.9	)	(61.6	)
Change in taxable-equivalent net interest income	\$93.9		\$(109.6	5)	\$(15.7	)	\$50.1		\$(88.5	)	\$(38.4	)
<sup>1</sup> Taxable-equivalent rates used where applicable.												

<sup>1</sup> Taxable-equivalent rates used where applicable.

<sup>2</sup> Net of unearned income and fees, net of related costs. Loans include nonaccrual and restructured loans.

In the analysis of interest changes due to volume and rate, changes due to the volume/rate variance are allocated to volume with the following exceptions: when volume and rate both increase, the variance is allocated proportionately to both volume and rate; when the rate increases and volume decreases, the variance is allocated to rate.

#### Provisions for Credit Losses

The provision for loan losses is the amount of expense that, in our judgment, is required to maintain the allowance for loan losses at an adequate level based upon the inherent risks in the loan portfolio. The provision for unfunded lending commitments is used to maintain the reserve for unfunded lending commitments at an adequate level based upon the inherent risks associated with such commitments. In determining adequate levels of the allowance and reserve, we perform periodic evaluations of the Company's various loan portfolios, the levels of actual charge-offs, credit trends,

and external factors. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and "Credit Risk Management" on page 63 for more information on how we determine the appropriate level for the ALLL and the RULC.

# Table of Contents

During the past few years, the Company has experienced a significant improvement in credit quality metrics, including lower levels of criticized and classified loans and lower realized loss rates in most loan segments. For example, at December 31, 2014, classified loans were \$1.1 billion compared to \$1.3 billion and \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Net loan and lease charge-offs declined to \$42 million in 2014 from \$52 million and \$155 million in 2013 and 2012, respectively. The 2014 ratio of net loan and lease charge-offs to average loans was 0.11%. See "Nonperforming Assets" on page 72 and "Allowance and Reserve for Credit Losses" on page 75 for further details.

These sustained significant improvements in portfolio-specific credit quality metrics, sustained improvement in broader economic and credit quality indicators, and changes in the portfolio mix resulting from the reductions in outstanding balances of construction and land development loans, combined with relatively modest growth in loans and commitments, have resulted in negative provisions for loan and lease losses and a reduction in the ALLL. The provision for loan losses for 2014 was \$(98.1) million compared to \$(87.1) million and \$14.2 million for 2013 and 2012, respectively.

However, as the Company's credit quality metrics have improved to relatively strong levels, the scope for further improvement is believed to be limited. In the fourth quarter of 2014, the Company decided to increase the portion of the reserve related to qualitative and environmental factors due to recent sharp declines in energy prices causing anticipated credit losses to increase across the Company's energy portfolio. The Company continues to exercise caution with regard to the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses, given the slow economic recovery and the decline in oil and gas prices. As a result, we currently do not expect further significant reductions in the ALLL in 2015, and we currently expect net positive provisions for the year.

During 2014, the Company recorded an \$(8.6) million provision for unfunded lending commitments compared to \$(17.1) million in 2013 and \$4.4 million in 2012. The negative provision in 2014 was primarily driven by the same factors that caused the negative provision for loan losses described previously. The overall decrease in the provision from 2012 to 2013 resulted primarily from refinements in the process of estimating the rate at which such commitments are likely to convert into funded balances, and from continued improvements in credit quality. The decrease was partially offset by an increase in unfunded lending commitments. From quarter to quarter, the expense related to the reserve for unfunded lending commitments may be subject to sizable fluctuations due to changes in the timing and volume of loan commitments, originations, and funding, as well as changes in credit quality.

A significant contributor to net earnings in both 2013 and 2014 was the negative provision for loan and lease losses. This is primarily attributable to continued reduction in both the quantity of problem loans and the loss severity of such problem loans. Although we currently expect further improvements in credit quality, we do not expect this to be a significant source of earnings. Deterioration in economic conditions within our footprint would likely result in net additions to the allowance, such as the recent decline in oil and gas prices at Amegy in the fourth quarter of 2014, resulting in a significant change in profitability.

# Noninterest Income

Noninterest income represents revenues the Company earns for products and services that have no associated interest rate or yield. For 2014, noninterest income was \$508.6 million compared to \$337.4 million in 2013 and \$419.9 million in 2012.

Schedule 4 presents a comparison of the major components of noninterest income for the past three years.

Schedule 4
NONINTEREST INCOME

(Amounts in millions)	2014	Percent change		2013	Percerchang		2012	
Service charges and fees on deposit accounts	\$174.0	(1.3	)%	\$176.3	(0.1	)%	\$176.4	
Other service charges, commissions and fees	191.5	5.5		181.5	4.1		174.4	
Trust and wealth management income	30.6	2.3		29.9	5.3		28.4	
Capital markets and foreign exchange	22.4	(20.3	)	28.1	4.9		26.8	
Dividends and other investment income	43.7	(5.2	)	46.1	(17.4	)	55.8	
Loan sales and servicing income	26.0	(26.3	)	35.3	(11.8	)	40.0	
Fair value and nonhedge derivative loss	(11.4	) 37.4		(18.2	) 16.5		(21.8	)
Equity securities gains, net	13.5	58.8		8.5	(24.8	)	11.3	
Fixed income securities gains (losses), net	10.4	458.6		(2.9	) (114.8	3)	19.6	
Impairment losses on investment securities:								
Impairment losses on investment securities		100.0		(188.6	) (13.4	)	(166.3	)
Noncredit-related losses on securities not expected to								
be sold (recognized in other comprehensive income)		(100.0	)	23.5	(62.2	)	62.2	
Net impairment losses on investment securities		100.0		(165.1	) (58.6	)	(104.1	)
Other	7.9	(55.9	)	17.9	36.6		13.1	
Total	\$508.6	50.7		\$337.4	(19.6	)	\$419.9	

Other service charges, commissions and fees, which are comprised of ATM fees, insurance commissions, bankcard merchant fees, debit and credit card interchange fees, cash management fees, lending commitment fees, syndication and servicing fees, and other miscellaneous fees, increased by \$10 million in 2014 compared to 2013. Most of the increase can be attributed to higher interchange fees, which increased by approximately \$13 million in 2014, primarily due to increased numbers of commercial card customers and increased usage of those cards. This was offset by a decrease of approximately \$3 million in exchange and other fees.

In 2013, other service charges, commissions and fees increased by \$7.1 million compared to 2012. Most of the increase can be attributed to higher bankcard merchant and interchange fees. In 2013, other service charges, commissions and fees included approximately \$34.4 million of debit card interchange fees, compared to approximately \$32.5 million in 2012.

Loan sales and servicing income decreased by \$9.3 million in 2014 compared to 2013. The decrease is mainly caused by decreased income from residential mortgage loan sales in 2014, compared to 2013. In 2014, the Company and the industry experienced a reduction in the volume of new residential loan originations primarily for refinanced mortgages. In response, the Company decided to retain more newly-originated loans on its balance sheet rather than sell them, in order to fund them using some of its excess balance sheet liquidity to improve net interest income. In 2013, the Company also had lower loan sales than in 2012 as the Company originated fewer residential mortgages and retained more mortgage loans in its portfolio than in 2012.

Capital markets and foreign exchange income includes trading income, public finance fees, foreign exchange income, and other capital market related fees. In 2014, capital markets and foreign exchange income decreased by \$5.7 million due primarily to a \$1.8 million decrease in trading income and a \$1.7 million decrease in bond origination fees from clients due to lower levels of financing activity. Capital markets and foreign exchange income remained fairly stable

in 2013 when compared to 2012.

Fair value and nonhedge derivative loss consists of the following:

# Schedule 5 FAIR VALUE AND NONHEDGE DERIVATIVE LOSS

(Amounts in millions)	2014	Percent change	2013	Percent change	2012	
Nonhedge derivative income (loss)	\$(0.4	) 20.0	% \$(0.5	) 66.7	% \$(1.5	)
Total return swap	(7.9	) 63.8	(21.8	) (0.5	) (21.7	)
Derivative fair value credit adjustments	(3.1	) (175.6	) 4.1	192.9	1.4	
Total	\$(11.4	) 37.4	\$(18.2	) 16.5	\$(21.8	)

Fair value and nonhedge derivative loss improved by \$6.8 million in 2014 primarily as a result of the termination of the total return swap effective April 28, 2014, partially offset by losses on derivative fair value credit adjustments. Fair value and nonhedge derivative loss was \$3.6 million lower in 2013 than in 2012. The decreased losses are primarily attributable to changes in fair value on interest rate swaps.

During 2014, the Company recorded \$13.5 million of equity securities gains, compared to \$8.5 million in 2013 and \$11.3 million in 2012. The increase was driven by unrealized gains related to appreciation of the Company's SBIC equity investments, including a particular investment that had a significant write-up in the fourth quarter. Most of the gains recognized in 2013 were generated by SBIC investments, private equity funds, and the sale of other investments, including sales of some investments that did not comply with the Volcker Rule. We expect that the resulting decline in the overall size of our equity investments portfolio may limit future earnings from this source.

The fixed income securities gain of \$10.4 million in 2014 was primarily from paydowns and payoffs of the CDO securities; the net loss recorded in 2013 was primarily due to CDO sales.

The Company recognized only \$27 thousand of net impairment losses on investment securities compared to \$165.1 million in 2013 and \$104.1 million in 2012. See "Investment Securities Portfolio" on page 52 for additional information. These impairment losses occurred in our portfolio of trust preferred CDO securities. Approximately \$1.0 billion of these securities were sold or paid down in 2014, leaving a portfolio of \$592 million of amortized cost as of December 31, 2014.

In 2013, other noninterest income increased by \$4.8 million from 2012. The increase was primarily due to gains related to certain loans, which had been purchased from failed banks covered by FDIC loss-sharing agreements, as well as gains from branch deposit and asset sales. Other noninterest income decreased by \$10.0 million in 2014, primarily as a result of a decline in the same items that led to the increase in 2013.

# Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense decreased by 2.9% to \$1,665.3 million in 2014, compared to 2013. During both 2013 and 2014, the Company redeemed considerable amounts of its long-term debt and incurred debt extinguishment costs, however these costs were not as large in 2014 as they were in 2013. Other noninterest expense also decreased by approximately \$19.3 million in 2014 primarily as a result of reductions in write-downs of the FDIC indemnification asset. These decreases in expense were partially offset by a 4.8% increase in salaries and employee benefits in 2014.

Schedule 6 presents a comparison of the major components of noninterest expense for the past three years.

Schedule 6	
NONINTEREST EXPENSE	

(Amounts in millions)	2014	Percent change		2013	Percent change		2012
Salaries and employee benefits	\$956.4	4.8	%	\$912.9	3.1	%	\$885.7
Occupancy, net	115.7	3.0		112.3	(0.5	)	112.9
Furniture, equipment and software	115.3	8.2		106.6	(2.2	)	109.0
Other real estate expense	(1.2	) (170.6	)	1.7	(91.4	)	19.7
Credit-related expense	28.0	(16.7	)	33.6	(33.5	)	50.5
Provision for unfunded lending commitments	(8.6	) 49.7		(17.1	(488.6	)	4.4
Professional and legal services	66.0	(2.9	)	68.0	29.5		52.5
Advertising	25.1	7.3		23.4	(8.9	)	25.7
FDIC premiums	32.2	(15.3	)	38.0	(12.4	)	43.4
Amortization of core deposit and other intangibles	10.9	(24.3	)	14.4	(15.3	)	17.0
Debt extinguishment cost	44.4	(63.1	)	120.2			_
Other	281.1	(6.4	)	300.4	9.2		275.2
Total	\$1,665.3	(2.9	)	\$1,714.4	7.4		\$1,596.0

Salaries and employee benefits increased by 4.8% in 2014 compared to 2013, driven by a higher amount of salaries and bonuses. The increase in base salaries resulted, in part, from increased headcount related to the Company's major systems projects and build-out of its enterprise risk management and stress testing functions, partially offset by reductions elsewhere. Staff involved in those projects tend to be in more highly compensated roles than positions in which reductions occurred. At June 30, 2014, the Company's headcount had increased to 10,536 full-time equivalent ("FTE") employees from 10,452 at December 31, 2013. During the third quarter of 2014, the Company incurred severance costs of approximately \$5 million and reduced FTE employees to 10,462 as of December 31, 2014.

Salaries and employee benefits increased by 3.1% during 2013. Most of the increase can be attributed to higher base salaries and bonuses, which were partially offset by decreased share-based compensation and lower retirement expense.

Salaries and employee benefits are shown in greater detail in Schedule 7.

# Schedule 7

```
SALARIES AND EMPLOYEE BENEFITS
```

(Dollar amounts in millions)	2014	Percent change	2013	Percent change	2012
Salaries and bonuses Employee benefits:	\$814.2	5.3 %	\$773.4	3.7 %	\$745.7
Employee health and insurance	53.9	10.2	48.9	0.6	48.6
Retirement	35.0	(10.3)	39.0	(4.4)	40.8
Payroll taxes and other	53.3	3.3	51.6	2.0	50.6
Total benefits	142.2	1.9	139.5	(0.4)	140.0
Total salaries and employee benefits	\$956.4	4.8	\$912.9	3.1	\$885.7

Edgar Filing: ZIONS BANCORPORATION /UT/ - Form 10-K							
Full-time equivalent ("FTE") employees at December 3110,462	0.1	10,452	0.8	10,368			

# Table of Contents

Furniture, equipment and software expense increased by \$8.7 million in 2014, compared to 2013. The increase was due to an increase in the Company's maintenance agreements, added licenses, and contract renewals for a variety of vendors.

Other real estate expense went from an expense of \$1.7 million in 2013 to an income amount of \$1.3 million in 2014. The improvement in this expense is due to the Company having less holding costs associated with these properties and recognizing gains from sales. Other real estate expense decreased 91.4% in 2013, compared to 2012. The decrease is primarily due to lower write-downs of OREO values during work-out and lower holding expenses, partially offset by decreased gains from property sales. OREO balances declined by 59.0% during the last 12 months.

Credit-related expense includes costs incurred during the foreclosure process prior to the Company obtaining title to collateral and recording an asset in OREO, as well as other out-of-pocket costs related to the management of problem loans and other assets. During 2014, credit-related expense decreased by \$5.6 million primarily due to lower legal expenses, appraisal expenses and property taxes. Additionally, the levels of problem credits have decreased from 2013. The decrease in credit-related expense in 2013 is primarily attributable to lower foreclosure costs and legal expenses.

FDIC premiums decreased in 2014 by \$5.8 million, or 15.3%, from 2013. In 2013, FDIC premiums decreased by 12.4%. Most of the decrease in 2014 was due to reduced assessment rates resulting from improved credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio and improved capital adequacy. The Company does not expect the FDIC premiums to significantly change in 2015.

In both 2013 and 2014, the Company reduced long-term debt through tender offers, early calls, and maturities. The tender offers in 2014 resulted in debt extinguishment cost of \$44.4 million, which is a decrease of \$75.8 million from 2013. In 2013, the Company incurred \$120.2 million of debt extinguishment cost due the extinguishment of several long-term debt instruments. No such costs were incurred in 2012. For more information, see Note 12 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other noninterest expense decreased by \$19.3 million in 2014, compared to 2013. The decline is primarily the result of decreased write-downs of the FDIC indemnification asset. In 2013, the Company experienced an increase in write-downs of the FDIC indemnification asset compared to the prior year. The balance of FDIC-supported loans declined significantly in 2014, primarily due to paydowns and payoffs. The Company does not expect significant write-downs of the FDIC indemnification asset in 2015.

# Income Taxes

The Company's income tax expense was \$222.9 million in 2014, \$142.9 million in 2013, and \$193.4 million in 2012. The Company's effective income tax rates, including the effects of noncontrolling interests, were 35.9% in 2014, 35.1% in 2013, and 35.6% in 2012. The tax expense rates for all tax years were reduced by nontaxable municipal interest income and nontaxable income from certain bank-owned life insurance. In 2012, these rate reductions were mostly offset by the nondeductibility of a portion of the accelerated discount amortization from the conversion of subordinated debt to preferred stock. Further, the rate reductions in 2014 were offset by the reduction in the amount of tax credits generated and the inclusion of approximately \$3 million of tax-related interest expense in income tax expense on the financial statements. The interest paid related to various notices and to the closure of various federal and state audits.

As discussed in previous filings, the Company has received federal income tax credits under the U.S. Government's Community Development Financial Institutions Fund that are recognized over a seven-year period from the year of investment. The effect of these tax credits provided an income tax benefit of \$0.6 million in 2013 and \$1.2 million in 2012.

The Company had a net deferred tax asset balance of approximately \$224 million at December 31, 2014, compared to \$304 million at December 31, 2013. The decrease in the net deferred tax asset resulted primarily from items

related to loan charge-offs in excess of loan loss provisions, fair value adjustments on security sales, and OREO. The net decrease in deferred tax assets was partially offset by a decrease in the deferred tax liabilities related to premises and equipment and the debt exchange from 2009.

The Company did not record any additional valuation allowance for GAAP purposes as of December 31, 2014. See Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and "Critical Accounting Policies and Significant Estimates" on page 30 for additional information.

# Preferred Stock Dividends and Redemption

In 2014, the Company incurred preferred stock dividends of \$71.9 million, a decrease of \$23.6 million from 2013. Additionally, the benefit from preferred stock redemptions decreased by \$125.7 million in 2014 compared to 2013. The decrease in the dividends and redemption benefit is due to the redemption of preferred stock in 2013. During the third quarter of 2013, the Company redeemed all of its outstanding \$800 million par amount (799,467 shares) of 9.5% Series C preferred stock at 100% of the \$25 per depositary share redemption amount. The redemption reduced preferred stock by the \$926 million carrying value (at the time of redemption) of the Series C preferred stock. The difference from the par amount, or \$125.7 million, related to the intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature associated with the convertible subordinated debt. The redemption of the Series C preferred stock had a positive \$0.68 per share impact on the Company's earnings per share in the third quarter of 2013. The Company did not have any preferred stock redemptions in 2014.

# BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS

The Company manages its banking operations and prepares management reports with a primary focus on its subsidiary banks and the geographies in which they operate. As discussed in the "Executive Summary" on page 24, most of the lending and other decisions affecting customers are made at the local level. Each subsidiary bank holds its own banking charter. Those with national bank charters (Zions Bank, Amegy, NBAZ, Vectra, and TCBW) are subject to regulatory oversight by the OCC. Those with state charters (CB&T, NSB, and TCBO) are regulated by the FDIC and applicable state authorities. Effective March 31, 2015, The Commerce Bank of Oregon, originally a stand-alone affiliate of Zions Bancorporation, will operate as a division of The Commerce Bank of Washington. The operating segment identified as "Other" includes the Parent, Zions Management Services Company, certain nonbank financial service subsidiaries, TCBO, and eliminations of transactions between segments.

The accounting policies of the individual segments are the same as those of the Company. The Company allocates the cost of centrally provided services to the business segments based upon estimated or actual usage of those services. Note 21 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contains selected information from the respective balance sheets and statements of income for all segments.

During 2014, the Company's subsidiary banks experienced improved financial performance. Common areas of financial performance experienced at various levels of the segments include:

increased loan balances, primarily at Amegy;

credit quality improvements across all metrics resulted in reductions of the ALLL, with the exception of energy-related exposures; and

increased growth in customer deposit balances.

Schedule 8 SELECTED SEG (Amounts in millions)	MENT II Zions B 2014		TION 2012		Amegy 2014	2013	,	2012		CB&T 2014	2013		2012	
KEY FINANCIAL INFORMATION Total assets	\$19,079 16,633	9 \$18,590 16,257		)	\$13,929	-		\$13,119 10,706	)	\$11,340 9,707	\$10,923 9,327		\$11,069 9,483	)
Total deposits Net income (loss) applicable to controlling	220.4	224.6	15,575 189.3		11,447 93.9	11,198 130.5		166.7		101.3	9,327		127.1	
interests Net interest margin RISK-BASED CAPITAL	3.40	%3.55	%4.04	%	3.09	%3.23	%	3.44	%	4.05	%4.73	%	4.71	%
RATIOS														
Tier 1 leverage	10.52	%10.02	% 10.58	%	11.79	%12.09	%	12.03	%	10.78	%10.75	%	10.37	%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	14.07	%13.32	%12.96	%	12.83	%13.61	%	13.91	%	13.00	%12.40	%	12.92	%
Total risk-based capital	15.27	%14.52	%14.17	%	14.09	%14.86	%	15.17	%	14.18	%13.65	%	14.18	%
CREDIT QUALITY														
Provision for loan losses	\$(58.5	) \$(40.5	) \$88.3		\$32.2	\$4.2	9	\$(63.9	)	\$(20.1	) \$(16.7	) 9	\$(7.9	)
Net loan and lease charge-offs Ratio of net	13.0	19.7	74.4		22.8	23.8	2	4.6		5.5	(4.1	)	19.8	
charge-offs to average loans and	0.11	%0.16	%0.60	%	0.24	%0.27	%(	0.06	%	0.06	%(0.05	)%(	0.24	%
leases Allowance for loan losses	\$219	\$290	\$350		\$154	\$144		\$164		\$96	\$123	9	\$146	
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to net loans and leases,	1.78	%2.37	%2.80	%	1.53	%1.57	%	1.94	%	1.13	%1.43	%	1.77	%
at year-end														
Nonperforming lending-related assets	\$82.6	\$143.7	\$259.0		\$78.8	\$79.9		\$138.8		\$88.7	\$109.9		\$150.7	
Ratio of nonperforming lending-related assets to net loans and leases and	0.67	%1.16	%2.05	%	0.78	%0.86	%	1.63	%	1.04	%1.28	%	1.82	%

#### Edgar Filing: ZIONS BANCORPORATION /UT/ - Form 10-K other real estate owned Accruing loans past due 90 days \$2.0 \$0.3 \$2.2 \$2.6 \$1.7 \$3.4 \$24.7 \$36.9 \$54.2 or more Ratio of accruing loan past due 90 days or more to 0.02 %0.02 %0.02 % 0.02 %0.04% 0.29 %0.43 $\%\,0.66$ % % net loans and leases

(Amounts in millions) KEY FINANC		2013	2012	NSB 2014	2013	2012	Vectra 2014	2013	2012	TCBW 2014	2013	2012
INFORMATIC Total assets Total deposits Net income	\$4,771 4,133	\$4,579 3,931	9 \$4,575 3,874	\$4,096 3,690	\$3,980 3,590	\$4,061 3,604	\$2,999 2,591	\$2,571 2,178	\$2,511 2,164	\$892 752	\$943 793	\$961 791
(loss) applicable to controlling interests	46.5	43.9	30.9	22.3	18.8	21.8	21.4	21.4	18.9	1.2	7.7	7.9
Net interest margin RISK-BASED	3.67	%3.76	%4.00 %	6 2.95	%2.99	%3.19 %	3.99	%4.26	%4.82 %	3.39 9	% 3.24 %	%3.25
CAPITAL RA' Tier 1 leverage Tier 1		%11.54	%12.12 %	6 9.02	%8.86	%10.30 %	11.77	%12.02	%11.52 %	0 10.31 9	% 10.23%	%9.39
risk-based capital Total	13.98	%13.33	%14.53 %	6 15.51	%15.10	%18.94 %	13.76	%13.02	%12.32 %	» 11. <b>79</b> %	% 12.90%	%12.30
risk-based capital	15.24	% 14.59	%15.79 %	6 16.78	%16.38	%20.22 %	15.01	%14.28	%13.58 %	13.04 %	% 14.159	%13.56
CREDIT QUALITY Provision for loan losses	\$(21.5	) \$(15.0	) \$(0.6 )	\$(20.9	) \$(12.0]	) \$(9.6 )	\$(8.4	) \$(4.9	) \$7.0	\$(0.6)	\$(1.8)	\$0.4
Net loan and lease charge-offs Ratio of net	0.4	6.2	14.0	0.2	3.1	29.8	0.9	2.5	9.1	(0.7)	0.7	2.7
charge-offs to average loans and leases	0.01	%0.17	%0.41 %	6 0.01	%0.14	%1.38 %	0.04	%0.12	%0.45 %	o (0.11)9	%0.12 9	%0.48
Allowance for loan losses Ratio of allowance for	\$40	\$62	\$83	\$54	\$75	\$90	\$32	\$42	\$49	\$9	\$9	\$12
loan losses to net loans and leases, at year-end	1.07	%1.67	%2.31 %	6 2.22	%3.25	%4.30 %	1.39	%1.83	%2.30 %	1.40 %	% 1.46	%2.06
Nonperforming lending-related assets	-	\$49.1	\$70.9	\$21.2	\$29.5	\$73.1	\$19.1	\$34.4	\$42.3	\$6.4	\$5.4	\$10.
Ratio of nonperforming lending-related		%1.31	%1.94 %	6 0.88	%1.28	%3.47 %	0.82	%1.50	%1.93 %	0.97 9	% 0.85 <i>%</i>	% 1.88

assets to net loans and leases and other real estate owned Accruing loans											
past due 90 \$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.6	\$0.5	\$0.7	\$0.9	\$—	\$0.3	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
days or more Ratio of											
accruing loans past due 90 days or more to net loans and leases	%—	%0.02	% 0.02	%0.03	%0.04	% —	%0.01	%—	% —	%—	%—
The above amounts d	o not inclu	ide interco	ompany eli	mination	5.						

#### Zions First National Bank

Zions Bank is headquartered in Salt Lake City, Utah and is primarily responsible for conducting the Company's operations in Utah and Idaho. Zions Bank is the 2<sup>nd</sup> largest full-service commercial bank in Utah and the 4<sup>th</sup> largest in Idaho, as measured by domestic deposits in these states. Zions Bank conducts the largest portion of the Company's Capital Markets operations, which include Zions Direct, Inc., fixed income securities trading, correspondent banking, public finance, and trust and investment advisory services.

Within Zions Bank, the National Real Estate Group is a wholesale business that generally sources loans from other community banks across the country. Such loans are generally low loan-to-value owner-occupied loans, but also include non-owner occupied term commercial real estate loans.

Zions Bank net income decreased by \$4.2 million, or 1.9%, during 2014. The loan portfolio decreased by \$8 million during 2014, which consisted of a \$371 million decline in commercial real estate loans, partially offset by a \$129 million increase in consumer loans and a \$234 million increase in commercial loans. The decline in commercial real estate loans was mainly the result of a reduction in the National Real Estate construction and term loan portfolios. Nonperforming lending-related assets decreased 42.5% from the prior year due to extensive efforts to work out problem loans and to sell OREO properties. Additionally, the higher credit quality of loans originated since the beginning of the financial crisis also contributed to the improved credit quality of the portfolio. Total deposits at

#### Table of Contents

December 31, 2014 were 2.3% higher than at December 31, 2013. The net interest margin in 2014 decreased to 3.40% from 3.55% in 2013.

#### Amegy Corporation

Amegy is headquartered in Houston, Texas and operates Amegy Bank, Amegy Mortgage Company, Amegy Investments, and Amegy Insurance Agency. Amegy Bank is the 7<sup>th</sup> largest full-service commercial bank in Texas as measured by domestic deposits in the state.

Amegy net income decreased by \$36.6 million, or 28.0%, in 2014. The decline in net income is mainly due to a \$28.0 million increase in the provision for loan losses, primarily for its energy-related loans. See Schedule 22 and discussion of the Company's energy-related exposure on page 65 for more information. Over the past two years, Amegy has been able to achieve significant loan portfolio growth; \$859 million in 2014 and \$767 million in 2013. During 2014, commercial loans increased by \$259 million, consumer loans by \$360 million, and commercial real estate loans increased by \$240 million. The credit quality of Amegy's loan portfolio improved during 2014, and the ratio of allowance for loan losses to net loans and leases decreased to 1.53% at December 31, 2014 from 1.57% a year earlier. During 2014, nonperforming lending-related assets decreased by 1.4%. Deposits increased by 2.2% from 2013 to 2014. The net interest margin for Amegy in 2014 decreased to 3.09% from 3.23% in 2013.

#### California Bank & Trust

California Bank & Trust is the 16<sup>th</sup> largest full-service commercial bank in California as measured by domestic deposits. Its core business is built on relationship banking by providing commercial, real estate and consumer lending, depository services, international banking, cash management, and community development services.

CB&T's net income decreased by \$38.8 million, or 27.7%, in 2014 due primarily to losses on sales of CDOs in 2014, a one-time gain on sale of branches in 2013 and net interest margin compression, offset partially by a decrease in noninterest expenses. CB&T's loan portfolio decreased by \$44 million in 2014 from the prior year. During 2014, consumer loans grew by \$32 million, while commercial real estate loans declined by \$74 million. The credit quality of CB&T's loan portfolio continues to improve, and the ratio of allowance for loan losses to net loans and leases declined to 1.13% at December 31, 2014 from 1.43% a year earlier. Deposits at December 31, 2014 were \$380 million, or 4.07%, higher than at December 31, 2013. CB&T's net interest margin for 2014 decreased to 4.05% from 4.73% in 2013.

#### National Bank of Arizona

National Bank of Arizona is the 4<sup>th</sup> largest full-service commercial bank in Arizona as measured by domestic deposits in the state.

NBAZ had net income of \$46.5 million in 2014, a \$2.6 million, or 5.9% increase from 2013. During 2014, the loan portfolio increased by \$26 million, including a \$56 million increase in commercial loans, partially offset by a \$30 million decline in commercial real estate loans. The credit quality of NBAZ's loan portfolio continues to improve, and the ratio of allowance for loan losses to net loans and leases declined to 1.07% at December 31, 2014 from 1.67% a year earlier. Deposits at December 31, 2014 were 5.14% higher than a year earlier. The net interest margin for 2014 was 3.67% compared to 3.76% in 2013.

#### Nevada State Bank

Nevada State Bank is the 5<sup>th</sup> largest full-service commercial bank in Nevada as measured by domestic deposits in the state. NSB focuses on serving small and mid-sized businesses as well as retail consumers, with an emphasis in relationship banking.

In 2014, NSB had net income of \$22.3 million, compared to \$18.8 million in 2013. NSB's loans grew by \$125 million during 2014, including a \$136 million increase in consumer loans, offset by a \$14 million decline in

#### Table of Contents

commercial real estate loans. The credit quality of NSB's loan portfolio improved significantly, and the ratio of allowance for loan losses to net loans and leases was 2.22% and 3.25% at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Net loan and lease charge-offs in 2014 declined to \$0.2 million from \$3.1 million in 2013, and nonperforming lending-related assets declined 28.1%. Deposits at December 31, 2014 were 2.79% higher than a year earlier. The net interest margin for NSB in 2014 decreased slightly to 2.95% from 2.99% in 2013.

#### Vectra Bank Colorado

Vectra Bank Colorado, N.A. is the 7<sup>th</sup> largest full-service commercial bank in Colorado as measured by domestic deposits in the state.

In 2014, Vectra's net income remained unchanged from \$21.4 million in 2013. During 2014, total loans increased by \$42 million, including a \$61 million increase in consumer loans, a \$40 million increase in commercial loans, offset by a \$59 million decrease in commercial real estate loans. The credit quality of Vectra's loan portfolio continued to improve, and the ratio of allowance for loan losses to net loans and leases decreased to 1.39% at December 31, 2014 from 1.83% a year earlier. Deposits at December 31, 2014 were 18.97% higher than a year earlier. The net interest margin for Vectra in 2014 decreased to 3.99% from 4.26% in 2013.

#### The Commerce Bank of Washington

The Commerce Bank of Washington is headquartered in Seattle, Washington, and operates out of a single office located in the Seattle central business district. Its business strategy focuses on serving the financial needs of commercial businesses, including professional services firms. TCBW has been successful in serving the greater Seattle/Puget Sound region without requiring extensive investments in a traditional branch network. It has been innovative in effectively utilizing couriers, bank by mail, remote deposit image capture, and other technologies.

TCBW net income for 2014 was \$1.2 million compared to \$7.7 million in 2013. The loan portfolio increased by \$32 million, including a \$27 million increase in commercial real estate loans, and a \$5 million increase in consumer loans. Nonperforming lending-related assets increased \$1.0 million, and the ratio of allowance for loan losses slightly decreased from 1.46% to 1.40% in 2014. Deposits at December 31, 2014 were 5.17% lower than a year earlier. The net interest margin for TCBW increased from 3.24% in 2013 to 3.39% in 2014.

TCBW's results were adversely affected by an \$11 million increase in litigation reserves relating to claims brought against TCBW in connection with a customer, Frederick Berg, and a number of associated investment funds using the "Meridian" brand name. These claims were settled in February 2015, as discussed in further detail in Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Other Segment

Operating components in the "Other" segment, as shown in Notes 21 and 23 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, relate primarily to the Parent, ZMSC and eliminations of transactions between segments. The major components at the Parent include net interest income, which includes interest expense on other borrowed funds, and net impairment losses on investment securities.

Significant changes in 2014 compared to 2013 include (1) a \$68 million decrease in noninterest expense primarily due to repurchases, tender offers and redemptions of long-term debt, and (2) a \$154 million decrease in net impairment losses on investment securities, as discussed in "Investment Securities Portfolio" on page 52. Additionally, sales of \$808 million carrying value of CDO securities were done in the Other segment. Significant changes in 2013 compared to 2012 include (1) a \$125 million increase in noninterest expense, and (2) a \$53.7 million increase in net impairment losses on investment securities.

#### Table of Contents

#### BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS

#### Interest-Earning Assets

Interest-earning assets are those assets that have interest rates or yields associated with them. One of our goals is to maintain a high level of interest-earning assets relative to total assets while keeping nonearning assets at a minimum. Interest-earning assets consist of money market investments, securities, loans, and leases.

Schedule 2, which we referred to in our discussion of net interest income, includes the average balances of the Company's interest earning assets, the amount of revenue generated by them, and their respective yields. Another goal is to maintain a higher-yielding mix of interest-earning assets, such as loans, relative to lower-yielding assets, such as money market investments or securities, while maintaining adequate levels of highly liquid assets. The current period of slow economic growth accompanied by the moderate loan demand experienced in recent quarters has made it difficult to achieve these goals. In 2014, the Company began to incrementally deploy some of its excess cash into short-to-medium duration pass-through agency securities that qualify as HQLA under new LCR and liquidity stress testing regulations. As a result of this, the Company increased its HQLA securities by approximately \$1.0 billion par amount and is continuing these purchases in 2015, which will generate a higher return than that of money market investments.

Average interest-earning assets were \$52.0 billion in 2014 compared to \$51.0 billion in the previous year. Average interest-earning assets as a percentage of total average assets were 93.1% in 2014 and 92.8% in 2013.

Average loans were \$39.5 billion in 2014 and \$38.1 billion in 2013. Average loans as a percentage of total average assets were 70.7% in 2014 compared to 69.4% in 2013.

Average money market investments, consisting of interest-bearing deposits and federal funds sold and security resell agreements, decreased by 7.2% to \$8.2 billion in 2014 compared to \$8.8 billion in 2013. Average securities increased by 6.2% from 2013. Average total deposits increased by 2.1% while average total loans increased by 3.7% in 2014 when compared to 2013. The decrease in average money market investments in 2014 was due in part to excess cash being deployed to fund the loan growth that was stronger than deposit growth, in addition to security purchases and redemptions of long-term debt.

Chart 5. OUTSTANDING LOANS AND DEPOSITS (at December 31)

### Investment Securities Portfolio

We invest in securities to generate revenues for the Company; portions of the portfolio are also available as a source of liquidity. Refer to the "Liquidity Risk Management" section on page 81 of the MD&A for additional information on management of liquidity and funding management and compliance with Basel III and LCR requirements. Schedule 9 presents a profile of the Company's investment securities portfolio. The amortized cost amounts represent the Company's original cost of the investments, adjusted for related accumulated amortization or accretion of any yield adjustments, and for impairment losses, including credit-related impairment. The estimated fair value measurement levels and methodology are discussed in detail in Note 20 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Schedule 9

INVESTMENT SECURITIES PORTFOLIO

INVESTMENT SECON		r 31, 2014		December	r 31, 2013		December	r 31, 2012	
(In millions)	Amortize cost	dCarrying value	Estimated fair value	Amortized cost	dCarrying value	Estimated fair value	Amortized cost	dCarrying value	Estimated fair value
Held-to-maturity Municipal securities Asset-backed securities:	\$608	\$608	\$620	\$551	\$551	\$558	\$525	\$525	\$ 537
Trust preferred securities banks and insurance Other	79	39	57	80	38	51	255 22	213 19	126 12
	687	647	677	631	589	609	802	757	675
Available-for-sale U.S. Treasury securities U.S. Government agencies and corporations:				1	2	2	104	105	105
Agency securities	607	601	601	518	519	519	109	113	113
Agency guaranteed mortgage-backed securities Small Business	935	945	945	309	317	317	407	425	425
Administration loan-backed securities	1,544	1,552	1,552	1,203	1,221	1,221	1,124	1,153	1,153
Municipal securities Asset-backed securities:	189	189	189	65	66	66	75	76	76
Trust preferred securities banks and insurance		415	415	1,508	1,239	1,239	1,596	949	949
Trust preferred securities real estate investment				23	23	23	41	16	16
trusts Auction rate securities Other	5 1 3,819	5 1 3,708	5 1 3,708	7 28 3,662	7 28 3,422	7 28 3,422	7 26 3,489	7 19 2,863	7 19 2,863
Mutual funds and other	137 3,956	136 3,844	136 3,844	287 3,949	280 3,702	280 3,702	228 3,717	228 3,091	228 3,091
Total	\$4,643	\$4,491	\$4,521	\$4,580	\$4,291	\$4,311	\$4,519	\$3,848	\$3,766

The amortized cost of investment securities on December 31, 2014 increased by 1.4% from the balances on December 31, 2013 primarily due to increases in agency guaranteed mortgage-backed securities, Small Business Administration loan-backed securities, and municipal securities, partially offset by decreased investments in trust preferred and other asset-backed securities, and mutual funds. In 2013, the amortized cost of investment securities also increased by 1.4%, primarily due to increases in agency securities, Small Business Administration loan-backed securities, and mutual funds, primarily due to increases in agency securities, Small Business Administration loan-backed securities, and mutual funds, partially offset by decreased investments in trust preferred and other asset-backed securities, U.S. Treasury securities, and agency guaranteed mortgage-backed securities.

The CDO securities sold during 2014 consisted of the following:

#### Schedule 10 CDO SECURITIES SOLD IN 2014

(Amounts in millions)	Par value	Amortized cost	Carrying value	Sales proceeds	Gain (loss) realized	ł
Performing CDOs						
Predominantly bank CDOs	\$160	\$128	\$119	\$123	\$(5	)
Insurance CDOs	398	381	316	341	(40	)
Other CDOs	43	26	26	28	2	
Total performing CDOs	601	535	461	492	(43	)
Nonperforming CDOs <sup>1</sup>						
Credit impairment prior to last 12 months	507	275	257	297	22	
Credit impairment during last 12 months	258	103	90	119	16	
Total nonperforming CDOs	765	378	347	416	38	
Total	\$1,366	\$913	\$808	\$908	\$(5	)
Defined as either defensive assument interest ("DI	Vin a'') an OTTI					

<sup>1</sup>Defined as either deferring current interest ("PIKing") or OTTI.

During 2014, the Company realized \$5 million of losses on sales of CDO securities. The losses represent the difference between the amortized cost and the sales proceeds at the time of sale. Depending upon the sales price, previously unrealized holding gains/losses recognized in OCI may be reclassified to earnings or act to reduce remaining unrealized gains/losses in the portfolio. Sales and payoffs eliminated the Company's holdings of CDOs comprised of solely insurance companies during 2014. At December 31, 2014, the CDO portfolio consisted of CDOs backed primarily by bank collateral.

As of December 31, 2014, 2.7% of the \$3.8 billion fair value of available-for-sale ("AFS") securities portfolio was valued at Level 1, 86.8% was valued at Level 2, and 10.5% was valued at Level 3 under the GAAP fair value accounting valuation hierarchy. At December 31, 2013, 7.0% of the \$3.7 billion fair value of AFS securities portfolio was valued at Level 1, 57.7% was valued at Level 2, and 35.3% was valued at Level 3.

The amortized cost of AFS investment securities valued at Level 3 was \$522 million at December 31, 2014 and the fair value of these securities was \$402 million. The securities valued at Level 3 were comprised of primarily bank trust preferred CDOs and municipal securities. For these Level 3 securities, the net pretax unrealized loss recognized in OCI at December 31, 2014 was \$120 million. As of December 31, 2014, we believe we will receive on settlement or maturity at least the amortized cost amounts of the Level 3 AFS securities. This expectation applies to both those securities for which OTTI has been recognized and those for which no OTTI has been recognized.

Estimated fair value determined under ASC 820 precludes the use of "blockage factors" or liquidity adjustments due to the quantity of securities held by the Company. All of the Company's CDO securities are valued under Level 3. The Company's ability to sell in a short period of time a substantial portion of its CDO securities at the indicated estimated fair values is highly dependent upon then current market conditions. The market for such securities, which showed substantial improvement during 2014, remains difficult to predict. The Company may execute additional CDO sales in future quarters which may result in net losses. Please refer to Notes 5 and 20 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

Schedule 11 presents the Company's CDOs according to performing tranches without credit impairment and nonperforming tranches. These CDOs are the large majority of our asset-backed securities and consist of both HTM and AFS securities.

## Schedule 11

### CDOs BY PERFORMANCE STATUS

	Decemb	er 31, 2014	4		Net unrealized		Weighted average	% of ca value to				
(Dollar amounts in millions)	No. of tranches	Par amount	Amortized cost	Carrying value	losses recogniz in AOCI		discount rate <sup>2</sup>	Decemb 2014	ber 31, 2013	C	Chang	ge
Performing CDOs Predominantly bank CDOs Insurance-only CDOs Other CDOs Total performing	17 	\$443 	\$420 —	\$325 — —	\$ (95 	)		_	80 60	(6	80 60	% ) )
CDOs	17	443	420	325	(95	)	3.6	73	75	(2	2	)
Nonperforming CDOs 3												
CDOs credit impaired prior to last 12 months	12	279	172	107	(65	)	4.9	38	46	(8	8	)
CDOs credit impaired during last 12 months	1	1	—	_	_		3.4		33	(3	33	)
Total nonperforming CDOs	13	280	172	107	(65	)	4.9	38	41	(3	3	)
Total CDOs	30	\$723	\$592	\$432	\$(160	)	4.0	60	59	1		
	Decembe	er 31, 2013	3		Net unrealize	ed	Weighted	% of ca value to	• •			
(Dollar amounts in	No. of	Par	Amortized	Carrying	losses		average discount	Decem	per 31,			
millions)	tranches		cost	value	recogniz in AOC		rate <sup>2</sup>	2013	2012	C	Chang	ge
Performing CDOs Predominantly bank CDOs	23	\$687	\$617	\$499	\$(118	)	5.6 %	73 %	66 %	67	1	%
Insurance-only CDOs Other CDOs	22 3	433 43	413 26	346 26	(67	)	4.9 10.6	80 60	72 70	8	8 10	)
Total performing CDOs	48	1,163	1,056	871	(185	)	5.5	75	68	7		

Nonperforming CDOs

CDOs credit impaired prior to last 12 months	32	614	369	285	(84	) 7.0	46	30	16
CDOs credit impaired during last 12 months	23	448	187	147	(40	) 6.5	33	25	8
Total nonperforming CDOs	55	1,062	556	432	(124	) 6.8	41	26	15
Total CDOs	103	\$2,225	\$1,612	\$1,303	\$ (309	) 6.1	59	49	10

Total CDOs103\$2,225\$1,612\$1,303\$(309)6.159<sup>1</sup> Accumulated other comprehensive income, amounts presented are pretax.

<sup>2</sup> Margin over related LIBOR index.

<sup>3</sup> Defined as either deferring current interest ("PIKing") or OTTI; the majority are predominantly bank CDOs.

We have included selected credit rating information for certain of the investment securities in Schedules 12 and 13 because this information is one indication of the degree of credit risk to which we are exposed, and significant declines in ratings for our investment portfolio could indicate an increased level of risk for the Company. As shown in Schedule 12, CDO securities representing 92.2% of that portfolio's fair value at December 31, 2014 were upgraded by one or more NRSROs during 2014. The Company attributes these upgrades to improvements in over-collateralization ratios and de-leveraging combined with certain less severe rating agency assumptions and methodologies.

### Schedule 12 BANK TRUST PREFERRED CDOs

	December 31			
(Dollar amounts in millions)	No. of securities	Par amount	Amortized cost	Fair value
Year-to-date rating changes <sup>1</sup>				
Upgrade	24	\$587	\$542	\$416
No change	6	136	50	35
Downgrade		_	_	
-	30	\$723	\$592	\$451

<sup>1</sup> By any NRSRO.

### Bank Collateral Deferral Experience

The Company's loss and recovery experience on defaults as of December 31, 2014 (and our Level 3 modeling assumption) is essentially a 100% loss on defaulted bank collateral in CDOs, although we have, to date, received several, generally small, recoveries on a few defaults. Securities sales during 2014 resulted in the Company reducing its exposure to some unresolved deferring banks. At December 31, 2014, the Company had exposure to 43 deferring issuers of which 32 were in their initial five-year deferral period. We continue to expect that future losses on these deferrals may result from actions other than bank failures – primarily holding company bankruptcies and debt restructurings.

A significant number of previous deferrals have resumed interest payments; 157 issuing banks have either come current and resumed interest payments on their trust preferred securities or have announced they intend to do so at the next payment date. Banks may come current on their trust preferred securities for one or more quarters and then re-defer. Such re-deferral has occurred in 11 of the 43 banks that are currently deferring. Further information on the Company's valuation process is detailed in Note 20 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Schedule 13 provides additional information on the below-investment-grade rated bank trust preferred CDOs' portions of the AFS and HTM portfolios. The schedules reflect data and assumptions that are included in the calculations of fair value and OTTI. The schedules utilize the lowest rating assigned by any rating agency to identify those securities below investment grade. The schedules segment the securities by whether or not they have been determined to have credit-related OTTI, and by original ratings level to provide granularity on the seniority level of the securities and the distribution of unrealized losses.

#### Schedule 13 BANK TRUST PREFERRED CDO VALUES CURRENTLY RATED BELOW-INVESTMENT-GRADE –SORTED BY WHETHER CREDIT RELATED OTTI HAS BEEN TAKEN AND BY ORIGINAL RATINGS At December 31, 2014

,				Total				Credit C loss	DTTI	Valuatio losses <sup>1</sup>	n
(Dollar amounts in millions)	Number of securities	% of portfolio	)	Par value			Unrealized gain (loss)	Current	Life-to- date		
Original ratings of sec recognized:	urities, no cre	dit OTTI	[								
Original AAA	12	53.5	%	\$328	\$ 309	\$239	\$(70)	\$—	<b>\$</b> —	\$(31	)
Original A	1	1.1		7	7	6	(1)				,
Total Non-OTTI		54.6		335	316	245	(71)			(31	)
Original ratings of sec	urities, credit	OTTI									-
recognized:											
Original AAA	1	8.1		50	43	29	(14)		(5)	(2	)
Original A	11	33.2		204	128	97	(31)		(75)		-
Original BBB	1	4.1		25	_				(25)		
Total OTTI		45.4		279	171	126	(45)		(105)	(2	)
Total below-investmen	nt-grade	100.0	$\alpha$	Ф <i>С</i> 14	¢ 407	¢ 271	¢(11C)	¢	¢ (105)	¢ (22	
bank and insurance CI	DOs	100.0	%	\$614	\$ 487	\$371	\$(116)	<b>\$</b> —	\$(105)	\$(33	)

<sup>1</sup> Valuation losses relate to securities purchased from Lockhart Funding LLC prior to its consolidation in June 2009.

#### Significant Assumption Changes for 2014

The Company reduced discount rates and prepayment assumptions on CDO securities during 2014. Neither assumption change was material to either fair value estimates or credit impairment considerations during 2014. The Company incorporated these observations into the process used to estimate fair value. Trade information included sales of CDO securities by the Company and by third parties.

After observing slower prepayment rates in the latter half of 2014, we reduced our prepayment rate assumption. Effective at year-end 2014, we assumed all CDO collateral would prepay at a 2% annual prepayment rate through maturity. This differed from our third quarter prepayment rate assumption which assumed that small banks would prepay at a 3% annual prepayment rate through maturity and that larger investment grade-rated issuing banks facing the phased-in disallowance of certain trust preferred securities as Tier 1 capital would fully prepay by year-end 2015. In the fourth quarter of 2014, we observed no prepayments of big bank collateral remaining within our pools. Decreased prepayment rates are generally adverse to the fair value of the most senior tranches and favorable to the fair value of the more junior tranches.

Valuation Sensitivity of Level 3 Bank CDOs

Schedule 14 sets forth the sensitivity of the current internally modeled CDOs' fair values to changes in the most significant assumptions utilized in the model.

(Amounts in millions)

### Schedule 14

(Amounts in millions)		Held-to	o-maturi	ty		Available-for-sale					
Fair value at December 31, 2014		\$57				\$393					
		Increm	ental	Cumul	lative	Increm	ental	Cumula	ative		
Currently Modeled Assumptions											
Expected collateral credit losses <sup>1</sup>											
Loss percentage from currently defaulted or defer	ring			15.9	%			26.1	%		
collateral <sup>2</sup>				10.0	,.			2011	,.		
Projected loss percentage from currently performin	ng										
collateral		0.2	01	1()	01	0.2	01	26.2	07		
1-year		0.3	% ~	16.2		0.2	%	26.3	% ~		
years 2-5		1.9	%	18.2		1.8	%	28.2	%		
years 6-maturity		12.0	%	30.1	%	10.4	%	38.6	%		
Discount rate <sup>3</sup>		107	1			205	1				
Weighted average spread over LIBOR		497	bps			385	bps				
Sensitivity of Modeled Assumptions											
Increase (decrease) in fair value due to increase in		+ (0 =				*					
projected loss percentage from currently performing collateral <sup>4</sup>	25%	\$(0.5	)			\$(1.2	)				
	50%	(1.4	)			(2.2	)				
	100%	(4.0	)			(4.1	)				
Increase (decrease) in fair value due to increase in											
projected loss percentage from currently	25%	\$(0.9	)			\$(3.0	)				
performing collateral <sup>4</sup> and the immediate default		+ (***	,			+ (	/				
of all deferring collateral with no recovery	50%	(2,2)	)			(1 1	`				
	30% 100%	(2.2 (4.7	)			(4.1 (6.1	)				
	100%	(4.7	)			(0.1	)				
Increase (decrease) in fair value due to increase in discount rate	+100 bps	\$(6.2	)			\$(38.2	)				
	+200 bps	(11.6	)			(71.2	)				
Increase (decrease) in fair value due to increase in forward LIBOR curve	+100 bps	\$—				\$—					
Increase (decrease) in fair value due to:											
increase in prepayment assumption <sup>5</sup>	+1%	\$(0.1	)			\$13.6					
increase in prepayment assumption <sup>6</sup>	+2%	0.2	-			25.7					
							_	1.00			

<sup>1</sup> The Company uses an incurred credit loss model which specifies cumulative losses at the 1-year, 5-year, and 30-year points from the date of valuation. These current and projected losses are reflected in the CDO's fair value.

Weighted average percentage of collateral that is defaulted due to bank failures, or deferring payment as allowed <sup>2</sup> under the terms of the security, including a 0% recovery rate on defaulted collateral and a credit-specific probability for the terms of the security including a 0% recovery rate on defaulted collateral and a credit-specific probability for the terms of the security including a 0% recovery rate on defaulted collateral and a credit-specific probability for the terms of the security including a 0% recovery rate on defaulted collateral and a credit-specific probability for the terms of the security including a 0% recovery rate on defaulted collateral and a credit-specific probability for the terms of the security including a 0% recovery rate on the terms of the security including a 0% recovery rate on the terms of the security including a 0% recovery rate on the terms of the security including a 0% recovery rate on the terms of the security including a 0% recovery rate on the terms of the security including a 0% recovery rate on the terms of the security including a 0% recovery rate on the terms of the security including a 0% recovery rate on the terms of the security including a 0% recovery rate on the terms of the terms of the security including a 0% recovery rate on the terms of terms of

of default on deferring collateral which ranges from 2.18% to 100%.

<sup>3</sup>The discount rate is a spread over the forward LIBOR curve at the date of valuation.

<sup>4</sup> Percentage increase is applied to incremental projected loss percentages from currently performing collateral. For example, the 50% and 100% stress scenarios for AFS securities would result in cumulative 30-year losses of 44.8% = 38.6% + 50% (0.2%+1.8%+10.4%) and 51.0% = 38.6% + 100% (0.2%+1.8%+10.4%), respectively.

<sup>5</sup> Prepayment rate increased to 3% per year through maturity.

<sup>6</sup> Prepayment rate increased to 4% per year through maturity.

During 2014, the market level discount rates applicable to bank CDOs declined substantially and fair values rose. The discount rate, or credit spread, in the above 2014 sensitivity analysis of valuation assumptions is approximately 166 bps lower than that used in 2013. Trade data supported the extent of fair value increases through the year. In addition, the AFS portfolio's fair value exhibited much less sensitivity to loss assumptions on performing collateral than was the case in 2013. This is due to the Company's sales of the junior priority AFS securities during 2014. Schedule 15 presents the maturities of the different types of investments that the Company owned and the corresponding average yield as of December 31, 2014 based on amortized cost. Expected maturities, rather than contractual maturities, are shown for trust preferred CDOs, SBA securities, agency guaranteed mortgage-backed securities and certain agency and municipal securities. See "Liquidity Risk Management" on page 81 and Notes 1, 5 and 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about the Company's investment securities and their management.

#### Table of Contents

#### Schedule 15 MATURITIES AND AVERAGE YIELDS ON SECURITIES At December 31, 2014

			Within one year					ve but en years	After ten years		
(Amounts in millions)	Amount	Yield*	Amoun	t Yield*		t Yield*	Amount	•	Amount	Yield	1*
Held-to-maturity Municipal securities Asset-backed securities: Trust preferred	\$608	5.1 %	\$97	5.1 9	6\$196	3.8 %	\$153	5.6 %	\$162	6.2	%
securities – banks and insurance	79	2.4		—	1	2.6	1	2.6	77	2.4	
	687	4.8	97	5.1	197	3.8	154	5.6	239	5.0	
Available-for-sale U.S. Treasury securities U.S. Government agencies and corporations:	_		_		—		_		_		
Agency securities	607	1.8	75	1.8	239	1.8	157	1.9	136	1.8	
Agency guaranteed mortgage-backed securities Small Business	935	2.5	134	2.5	369	2.5	312	2.3	120	2.8	
Administration loan-backed securities	1,544	2.0	309	2.0	742	2.0	342	2.0	151	2.0	
Municipal securities Asset-backed securities: Trust preferred	189	2.8	15	0.9	94	2.7	59	3.4	21	3.3	
securities – banks and insurance Trust preferred	538	2.1	12	2.0	36	1.8	45	1.8	445	2.1	
securities – real estate investment trusts	_		_		_		—		_		
Auction rate securities	5	1.0					_		5	1.0	
Other	1	2.6					1	2.6			
	3,819	2.2	545	2.1	1,480	2.2	916	2.2	878	2.2	
Mutual funds and other		1.0	137	1.0		2.2				<b>a a</b>	
<b>T</b> ( 1	3,956	2.1	682	1.9	1,480	2.2	916	2.2	878	2.2	
Total *Taxable-equivalent ra	\$4,643	2.5 where an	\$779 dicable	2.3	\$1,677	2.3	\$1,070	2.7	\$1,117	2.8	

\*Taxable-equivalent rates used where applicable.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment - Investments in Debt Securities

We review investments in debt securities each quarter for the presence of OTTI. For securities for which an internal income-based cash flow model or third party valuation service produces a loss-adjusted expected cash flow for the

security, the presence of OTTI is identified and the amount of the credit component of OTTI is calculated by discounting this loss-adjusted cash flow at the security-specific effective interest rate and comparing that value to the Company's amortized cost of the security.

We review the relevant facts and circumstances each quarter to assess our intentions regarding any potential sales of securities, as well as the likelihood that we would be required to sell prior to recovery of amortized cost for AFS securities and prior to maturity for HTM securities. At December 31, 2014, for each AFS security whose fair value was below amortized cost, we have determined that we did not intend to sell the security, and that it was not more likely than not we would be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. For each HTM security whose fair value was below amortized cost, we have determined that it was not more likely than not we would be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. For each HTM security whose fair value was below amortized cost, we have determined that it was not more likely than not we would be required to sell the security.

During 2014, the Company recognized an immaterial amount of OTTI on CDOs, compared to \$165.1 million in 2013. For some CDO tranches that we do not intend to sell, which have previously recorded OTTI, the expected future cash flows have remained stable or have slightly improved subsequent to the quarter that OTTI was identified and recorded. For other CDO tranches, an adverse change in the expected future cash flows has resulted in the

recording of additional OTTI. In both situations, while a difference may remain between fair value and amortized cost, the difference is not due to credit and the expected future cash flows substantiate the return of the full amortized cost of the CDO tranches. We utilize a present value technique to both identify the OTTI existing in the CDO tranches and to estimate fair value. The primary drivers of unrealized losses in these CDOs are further discussed in Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

In 2013, as a result of the Volcker Rule, IFR, and the Company's decision to reduce its risk profile, the Company changed its intent with respect to the holding period of certain AFS securities, including some securities that were formerly HTM. The result was a pretax securities impairment charge of \$137 million on these securities. Approximately one third of the charge relates to securities that the Company determined to sell during the first quarter of 2014, and which the Volcker Rule and the IFR at the time the decision was made, precluded the Company from holding beyond July 21, 2015, by which time the Company did not expect to have recovered its amortized cost. That deadline has since been extended to July 21, 2016 and the Federal Reserve has announced its intention to grant an additional one-year extension to July 21, 2017. The remaining two thirds of the charge relates to securities that the Company determined to sell during the first quarter of 2014 despite each being allowable under the Volcker Rule and the IFR.

Additionally, credit-related impairment of \$5 million was identified in the fourth quarter of 2013 in securities that we did not intend to sell. We evaluate the difference between the fair value and the amortized cost of each security and identify if any of the difference is due to credit. The credit component of the difference is recognized by writing down the amortized cost of each security found to have OTTI.

Exposure to State and Local Governments

The Company provides multiple products and services to state and local governments (referred together as "municipalities"), including deposit services, loans, and investment banking services, and the Company invests in securities issued by the municipalities.

Schedule 16 summarizes the Company's exposure to state and local municipalities.

#### Schedule 16 MUNICIPALITIES

	December 31,		
(In millions)	2014	2013	
Loans and leases	\$521	\$449	
Held-to-maturity – municipal securities	608	551	
Available-for-sale – municipal securities	189	66	
Available-for-sale – auction rate securities	5	7	
Trading account – municipal securities	53	27	
Unused commitments to extend credit	58	17	
Total direct exposure to municipalities	\$1,434	\$1,117	

At December 31, 2014, \$1.1 million of loans to one municipality were on nonaccrual. A significant amount of the municipal loan and lease portfolio is secured by real estate and equipment, and approximately 90% of the outstanding credits were originated by Zions Bank, CB&T, Amegy, and Vectra. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about the credit quality of these municipal loans.

All municipal securities are reviewed quarterly for OTTI; see Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information. HTM securities consist of unrated bonds issued by small local government entities

and are purchased through private placements, often in situations in which one of the Company's subsidiaries has acted as a financial advisor to the municipality. Prior to purchase, the issuers of municipal securities are evaluated by the Company for their creditworthiness, and some of the securities are guaranteed by third parties. Of the AFS municipal securities, 99% of the total portfolio on a fair value basis are rated by major credit rating agencies and

#### Table of Contents

were rated investment grade as of December 31, 2014. The Company also underwrites municipal bonds and sells most of them to third party investors.

Foreign Exposure and Operations

The Company has de minimis credit exposure to foreign sovereign risks and does not believe its total foreign credit exposure is material. The Company canceled its Total Return Swap ("TRS") with Deutsche Bank AG ("DB") effective April 28, 2014 due to the removal, mostly through sale, of over half of the CDOs originally covered by the TRS. See "Noninterest Income" on page 41 and Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information. Following the cancellation, the TRS derivative liability was extinguished and the Company's regulatory risk weighted assets increased by approximately \$0.9 billion. The Company does not have significant foreign exposure for its derivative counterparties.

Foreign loans to non-sovereign entities consist primarily of commercial and industrial loans and totaled \$144 million and \$43 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The Company's foreign operations are comprised of Amegy operating a branch in Grand Cayman, Grand Cayman Islands, B.W.I. In April 2014, Zions Bank closed its branch in the Grand Cayman Islands. Amegy's foreign branch only accepts deposits from qualified domestic customers. While deposits in this branch are not subject to FRB reserve requirements, there are no federal or state income tax benefits to the Company or any customers as a result of these operations.

Foreign deposits at December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$0.3 billion and \$2.0 billion, respectively. The decrease is due to the closing of the Zions Bank branch. Foreign deposits are related to domestic customers of our subsidiary banks.

#### Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale, consisting primarily of consumer mortgage and small business loans to be sold in the secondary market, were \$133 million at December 31, 2014, compared to \$171 million at December 31, 2013. Consumer loans are primarily fixed rate mortgages that are originated and sold to third parties.

#### Loan Portfolio

As of December 31, 2014, net loans and leases accounted for 70.0% of total assets compared to 69.7% at the end of 2013. Schedule 17 presents the Company's loans outstanding by type of loan as of the five most recent year-ends. The schedule also includes a maturity profile for the loans that were outstanding as of December 31, 2014. However, while this schedule reflects the contractual maturity and repricing characteristics of these loans, in a small number of cases, the Company has hedged the repricing characteristics of its variable-rate loans as more fully described in "Interest Rate Risk" on page 77.

### Schedule 17

LOAN PORTFOLIO BY TYPE AND MATURITY

	Decembe	r 31, 2014 One year			Decembe	r 31,		
(In millions)	One year or less	2	Over five years	Total	2013	2012	2011	2010
Commercial:								
Commercial and industrial	\$7,355	\$4,599	\$1,209	\$13,163	\$12,459	\$11,215	\$10,422	\$9,152
Leasing	35	296	78	409	388	422	380	365
Owner occupied	448	1,353	5,550	7,351	7,568	7,781	8,394	8,500
Municipal	27	101	393	521	449	494	441	438
Total commercial	7,865	6,349	7,230	21,444	20,864	19,912	19,637	18,455
Commercial real estate:								
Construction and land	806	1,108	72	1,986	2,193	1,969	2,315	3,679
development	800	1,100	12	1,980	2,195	1,909	2,315	5,079
Term	1,434	3,115	3,578	8,127	8,203	8,362	8,310	8,084
Total commercial real estate	2,240	4,223	3,650	10,113	10,396	10,331	10,625	11,763
Consumer:								
Home equity credit line	50	52	2,219	2,321	2,147	2,197	2,210	2,172
1-4 family residential	12	151	5,038	5,201	4,742	4,358	3,933	3,518
Construction and other consumer real estate	205	10	156	371	325	322	306	346
Bankcard and other revolving	266	17	118	401	361	312	298	307
plans	200	17	110	401	501	512	290	307
Other	25	165	23	213	208	233	249	269
Total consumer	558	395	7,554	8,507	7,783	7,422	6,996	6,612
Total net loans	\$10,663	\$10,967	\$18,434	\$40,064	\$39,043	\$37,665	\$37,258	\$36,830
Loans maturing:								
With fixed interest rates	\$1,540	\$3,851	\$3,233	\$8,624				
With variable interest rates	9,123	7,116	15,201	31,440				
Total	\$10,663	\$10,967	\$18,434	\$40,064				

As of December 31, 2014, net loans and leases were \$40.1 billion, reflecting a 2.6% increase from the prior year. The increase is primarily attributable to new loan originations, as well as a decrease in paydowns and charge-offs of existing loans.

Most of the loan portfolio growth during 2014 occurred in commercial and industrial, 1-4 family residential, and home equity credit line loans. The impact of these increases was partially offset by declines in commercial owner occupied, and commercial real estate construction loans. The loan portfolio increased primarily at Amegy, NSB, and Vectra, while balances declined at CB&T and Zions Bank.

Of the significant increases and decreases within the portfolio, commercial and industrial loans increased more than \$700 million, due in part to an increase in loan production, and 1-4 family residential loans increased more than \$450 million, primarily due to the purchase of \$249 million par amount of high quality jumbo ARM loans from another bank.

Commercial owner occupied loans declined more than \$200 million due to the runoff and attrition of the National Real Estate portfolio at Zions Bank, which is expected to continue in 2015. The National Real Estate business is a wholesale business that depends upon loan referrals from other community banking institutions. Due to generally soft

loan demand nationally, many community banking institutions are retaining, rather than selling, their loan production.

We expect overall loan and lease growth to increase at a moderate pace in 2015. We also expect to continue to limit construction and land development loan commitment growth for the foreseeable future as part of management's actions to improve the risk profile of the Company's loans and to reduce portfolio concentration risk. Loans serviced for the benefit of others remained unchanged at \$2.7 billion during 2014 and 2013.

Since 2009, CB&T and NSB have had loss sharing agreements with the FDIC that provided indemnification for credit losses of acquired loans and foreclosed assets up to specified thresholds. The agreements for commercial loans, which comprised the major portion of the acquired portfolio, expired as of September 30, 2014. The agreements for 1-4 family residential loans will expire in 2019. In previous periods, the FDIC-supported loan balances were presented separately in schedules within MD&A and in other disclosures, and included PCI loans, as discussed in Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Due to declining balances, for all years presented herein, the FDIC-supported/PCI loans have been reclassified to their respective loan segments and classes.

#### Other Noninterest-Bearing Investments

Schedule 18 sets forth the Company's other noninterest-bearing investments. Schedule 18 OTHER NONINTEREST-BEARING INVESTMENTS

	December 3	31,	
(In millions)	2014	2013	
Bank-owned life insurance	\$476	\$466	
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	104	105	
Federal Reserve stock	121	121	
SBIC investments	86	61	
Non-SBIC investment funds and other	74	98	
Trust preferred securities	5	5	
-	\$866	\$856	

#### Deposits

Deposits, both interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing, are a primary source of funding for the Company. Average total deposits increased by 2.1% during 2014, with average interest-bearing deposits decreasing by 2.5% and average noninterest-bearing deposits increasing 9.1%. The average interest rate paid for interest-bearing deposits was 3 bps lower in 2014 than in 2013.

Core deposits at December 31, 2014, which exclude time deposits larger than \$100,000 and brokered deposits, increased by 3.4%, or \$1.5 billion, from December 31, 2013. The increase was mainly due to increases in

noninterest-bearing and interest-bearing demand deposits, savings accounts, and a marginal increase in money market accounts partially offset by foreign deposits and time deposits. The increases in noninterest-bearing demand deposits resulted primarily from increased balances in accounts of existing customers.

Demand and savings and money market deposits comprised 94.3% of total deposits at December 31, 2014, compared with 90.1% at December 31, 2013.

During 2014 and 2013, the Company maintained a relatively low level of brokered deposits with the primary purpose of keeping that funding source available in case of a future need. At December 31, 2014, total deposits included \$108 million of brokered deposits compared to \$29 million at December 31, 2013.

See Notes 11 and 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and "Liquidity Risk Management" on page 81 for additional information on funding and borrowed funds.

#### **RISK ELEMENTS**

Since risk is inherent in substantially all of the Company's operations, management of risk is an integral part of its operations and is also a key determinant of its overall performance. We apply various strategies to reduce the risks to which the Company's operations are exposed, including credit, interest rate and market, liquidity, and operational risks.

#### Credit Risk Management

Credit risk is the possibility of loss from the failure of a borrower, guarantor, or another obligor to fully perform under the terms of a credit-related contract. Credit risk arises primarily from the Company's lending activities, as well as from off-balance sheet credit instruments.

Centralized oversight of credit risk is provided through credit policies, credit administration, and credit examination functions at the Parent. We have structured the organization to separate the lending function from the credit administration function, which has added strength to the control over, and the independent evaluation of, credit activities. Formal loan policies and procedures provide the Company with a framework for consistent underwriting and a basis for sound credit decisions at the local affiliate level. In addition, the Company has a well-defined set of standards for evaluating its loan portfolio and management utilizes a comprehensive loan grading system to determine the risk potential in the portfolio. Furthermore, an independent internal credit examination department periodically conducts examinations of the Company's lending departments. These examinations are designed to review credit quality, adequacy of documentation, appropriate loan grading administration and compliance with lending policies, and reports thereon are submitted to management and to the Risk Oversight Committee of the Board of Directors. New, expanded, or modified products and services, as well as new lines of business, are approved by the corporate New Product Review Committee.

Both the credit policy and the credit examination functions are managed centrally. Each subsidiary bank can be more conservative in its operations under the corporate credit policy; however, formal corporate approval must be obtained if a bank wishes to invoke a more liberal policy. Historically, there have been only a limited number of such approvals. This entire process has been designed to place an emphasis on strong underwriting standards and early detection of potential problem credits so that action plans can be developed and implemented on a timely basis to mitigate any potential losses.

The Company's credit risk management strategy includes diversification of its loan portfolio. The Company attempts to avoid the risk of an undue concentration of credits in a particular collateral type or with an individual customer or counterparty. Generally, the Company is well diversified in its loan portfolio; however, due to the nature of the Company's geographical footprint, there are certain significant concentrations primarily in CRE and energy-related lending. The Company has adopted and adheres to concentration limits on various types of CRE lending, particularly construction and land development lending, leveraged lending, municipal lending, and energy-related lending. All of these limits are continually monitored and revised as necessary. These concentration limits, particularly with regard to the various types of CRE and real estate development, are materially lower than they were in 2007 and 2008, just prior to the emergence of the recent economic downturn. During 2014, the Company determined to further reduce construction and land development loan commitments. This was done largely as a result of the modeled losses by the Company and management's beliefs about the likely severity of losses modeled by the Federal Reserve in its stress testing, under the severely adverse economic scenarios, as required under the Dodd-Frank Act. The majority of the Company's business activity is with customers located within the geographical footprint of its subsidiary banks.

The credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio improved during 2014. Nonperforming lending-related assets at December 31, 2014 decreased by approximately 28% from December 31, 2013. Gross charge-offs for 2014 declined to \$106 million from \$131 million in 2013. Net charge-offs decreased to \$42 million from \$52 million for the same periods.

As displayed in Schedule 19, commercial and industrial loans were the largest category and constituted 32.9% of the Company's loan portfolio at December 31, 2014. Construction and land development loans slightly decreased to 5.0% of total loans at December 31, 2014, compared to 5.6% at December 31, 2013; however, they have declined significantly from a pre-recession level of 20.1% of total loans at the end of 2007.

### Schedule 19

#### LOAN PORTFOLIO DIVERSIFICATION

	December 3	December 3	December 31, 2013		
(Amounts in millions)	Amount	% of total loans	Amount	% of total loa	ns
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$13,163	32.9	% \$12,459	31.9	%
Leasing	409	1.0	388	1.0	
Owner occupied	7,351	18.3	7,568	19.4	
Municipal	521	1.3	449	1.2	
Total commercial	21,444	53.5	20,864	53.5	
Commercial real estate:					
Construction and land development	1,986	5.0	2,193	5.6	
Term	8,127	20.3	8,203	21.0	
Total commercial real estate	10,113	25.3	10,396	26.6	
Consumer:					
Home equity credit line	2,321	5.8	2,147	5.5	
1-4 family residential	5,201	13.0	4,742	12.1	
Construction and other consumer real estate	371	0.9	325	0.8	
Bankcard and other revolving plans	401	1.0	361	0.9	
Other	213	0.5	208	0.6	
Total consumer	8,507	21.2	7,783	19.9	
Total net loans	\$40,064	100.0	% \$39,043	100.0	%
Government Agency Guerenteed Loons					

Government Agency Guaranteed Loans

The Company participates in various guaranteed lending programs sponsored by U.S. government agencies, such as the Small Business Administration, Federal Housing Authority, Veterans' Administration, Export-Import Bank of the U.S., and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. As of December 31, 2014, the principal balance of these loans was \$563 million, and the guaranteed portion was approximately \$430 million. Most of these loans were guaranteed by the Small Business Administration.

Schedule 20 presents the composition of government agency guaranteed loans.

#### Schedule 20 GOVERNMENT GUARANTEES

(Amounts in millions)	December 31, 2014	Percent December 31, guaranteed 2013		Percent guaranteed	
Commercial	\$539	76 %	\$563	76 %	
Commercial real estate	19	76	18	76	
Consumer	5	100	4	100	
Total loans	\$563	76	\$585	76	
Commercial Lending					

Schedule 21 provides selected information regarding lending concentrations to certain industries in our commercial lending portfolio.

### Schedule 21

### COMMERCIAL LENDING BY INDUSTRY GROUP

December 31, 2014				December 31, 2013		
(Amounts in millions)	Amount	Percent		Amount	Percent	
Deal actors montal and lossing	¢ 7 / 1 9	11 /	07	¢ 2 0.27	1/1	%
Real estate, rental and leasing	\$2,418	11.4	%	\$2,937	14.1	%
Manufacturing	2,305	10.7		2,181	10.5	
Mining, quarrying and oil and gas extraction	2,277	10.6		2,205	10.6	
Retail trade	1,924	9.0		1,737	8.3	
Wholesale trade	1,638	7.6		1,464	7.0	
Healthcare and social assistance	1,347	6.3		1,211	5.8	
Transportation and warehousing	1,294	6.0		1,074	5.2	
Finance and insurance	1,168	5.5		1,168	5.6	
Construction	1,027	4.8		926	4.4	
Accommodation and food services	911	4.2		799	3.8	
Professional, scientific and technical services	884	4.1		928	4.4	
Other <sup>1</sup>	4,251	19.8		4,234	20.3	
Total	\$21,444	100.0	%	\$20,864	100.0	%
<sup>1</sup> No other industry group exceeds 4%.						

**Energy-Related Exposure** 

Various industries represented in the previous schedule, including mining, quarrying and oil/gas extraction; manufacturing; and transportation and warehousing; contain certain loans categorized by the Company as energy-related. At December 31, 2014, the Company had approximately \$6.3 billion of total credit energy-related exposure and \$3.2 billion of primarily oil and gas energy-related loan balances. The distribution of energy-related loans by customer market segment is shown in Schedule 22.

### Schedule 22 ENERGY-RELATED EXPOSURE<sup>1</sup> (Amounts in millions)

(Amounts in millions)	December 31, 2014		
Loans and leases			
Oil and gas-related	\$3,172		
Alternative energy	225		
Total loans and leases	3,397		
Unused commitments to extend credit	2,858		
Total credit exposure	\$6,255		
Private equity investments	\$22		
Distribution of oil and gas-related balances			
Upstream – exploration and production	32	%	
Midstream – marketing and transportation	19		
Downstream – refining	2		
Other non-services	2		
Oilfield services	33		
Energy service manufacturing	12		
Total loans and leases	100	%	

Many borrowers operate in multiple businesses. Therefore, judgment has been applied in characterizing a borrower as energy-related, and to a particular segment of energy-related activity, e.g., upstream or downstream.

#### Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2014, \$17 million (or 0.5%) of the \$3.2 billion outstanding oil and gas energy-related loan balances were nonperforming. The Company's historical energy lending performance has been strong despite significant volatility in both oil and natural gas prices, largely due to the efforts of our highly experienced team of bankers dedicated exclusively to the energy finance sector, conservative underwriting standards, and solid risk management controls and practices. Losses following the 2008-2009 period of oil and gas price declines and volatility were modest. Energy-related classified loans increased significantly during this last economic downturn, nonperforming loans increased much more modestly, and annual losses were relatively minor (approximately 1% in the peak year of 2010). The Company's cumulative energy-related net charge-offs over the last five years have been lower than the cumulative net loss rate of general commercial and industrial lending during that same time period.

#### Upstream

Upstream exploration and production loans comprised approximately 32% of the Company's energy-related exposure as of December 31, 2014. Most upstream borrowers have relatively balanced production between oil and gas.

The Company uses disciplined underwriting practices to mitigate the risk associated with its upstream lending activities. Upstream loans are made to reserve-based borrowers where more than 90% of those loans are collateralized by the value of the borrower's oil and gas reserves. The Company's oil and gas price deck, the pricing applied to a borrower's reserves for underwriting purposes, has generally been below the NYMEX strip, i.e., the average of the daily settlement prices of the next 12 months' futures contracts. Through the use of independent Company and third-party engineers and conservative underwriting, the Company applies multiple discounts. These discounts often range from 10-40% of the value of the collateral in determining the borrowing base (commitment), and help protect credit quality against significant commodity price declines. Further, reserve-based commitments are subject to a borrowing base re-determination based on then-current energy prices, typically every six months. Generally, the Company has, at its option, the right to conduct additional re-determinations during the year. Borrowing bases for clients are usually set at 60-70% of available collateral after an adjustment for the discounts described above.

Upstream borrowers generally do not draw the maximum available funding on their lines, which provides the borrower additional liquidity and flexibility. The line utilization rate on reserve-based loan commitments was approximately 57% at December 31, 2014. This unused commitment gives us the ability in some cases to reduce the borrowing base commitment through the re-determination process without creating a borrowing base deficiency (where outstanding debt exceeds the new borrowing base). Nevertheless, our loan agreements generally require the borrowers to maintain a certain amount of equity. Therefore, if the loan to collateral value exceeds an acceptable limit, we work with the borrowers to reinstate an acceptable collateral-value threshold.

An additional metric that the Company considers in its underwriting is a borrower's oil and gas price hedging practices. A significant portion of the Company's reserve-based borrowers are hedged. Of the Company's risk-based estimated oil production projected in 2015 and 2016, more than 50% is hedged based on weighted average commitments and the latest data provided by the borrowers.

#### Midstream

Midstream marketing and transportation loans comprised approximately 19% of the Company's energy-related exposure as of December 31, 2014. Loans in this segment are made to companies that gather, transport, treat and blend oil and natural gas. The assets owned by these borrowers, which make this activity possible, are field-level gathering systems (small diameter pipe), pipelines (medium/large diameter pipe), tanks, trucks, rail cars, various water-based vessels and natural gas treatment plants. Our midstream loans are secured by these assets, unless the borrower is rated investment-grade. The majority of our midstream borrowers' revenues are derived from fee-based contracts, giving them limited exposure to commodity price risk. Since lower oil and gas prices slow the drilling and development of new oil and natural gas, but do not normally result in significant numbers of producing wells being

shut in, volumes of oil and gas flowing through midstream systems usually remain relatively stable

throughout oil and natural gas price cycles. During the 2008-2009 period of oil and gas price volatility, classified loans in the Midstream segment peaked at a lower level than the Upstream and Energy Services segments.

### **Energy Services**

Energy service loans, which include oilfield services and energy service manufacturing in Schedule 22, comprised approximately 45% of the Company's energy-related exposure as of December 31, 2014. Energy service loans include borrowers that have a concentration of revenues to the energy industry. However, many of these borrowers provide a broad range of products and services to the energy industry, and are not subject to the same volatility as new drilling activities. Many of these borrowers are diversified geographically and service both oil and gas related drilling and production.

For energy service loans, underwriting criteria requires lower leverage to compensate for the cyclical nature of the industry. During the Company's underwriting process, we use sensitivity analysis to consider revenue and cash flow impacts resulting from oil and gas price cycles. Generally, we underwrite energy service loans to withstand a 20-50% decline in cash flows, with higher discounts for those borrowers subject to greater cyclicality.

#### Risk Management of the Energy-Related Portfolio

The Company applies concentration limits and disciplined underwriting to its entire energy-related portfolio in order to limit its risk exposure. Concentration limits on energy-related lending, coupled with adherence to the Company's underwriting standards, served to constrain loan growth during the past several quarters. As an indicator of the diversity of our energy-related portfolio's size, the average amount of our commitments is approximately \$8 million, with approximately 60% of the commitments less than \$30 million. The portfolio contains only senior loans – no junior or second lien positions; additionally, the Company generally avoids making first lien loans to borrowers that employ significant leverage through the use of junior lien loans or large unsecured senior tranches of debt. More than 90% of the total energy-related portfolio is secured by reserves, equipment, real estate, and other collateral, or a combination of collateral types. Lending arrangements that are not secured are generally to investment grade borrowers.

For efficiency purposes, and to further reduce concentration risk, the Company participates as a lender in loans and commitments designated as Shared National Credits ("SNCs"), which are generally larger and more diversified borrowers that have better access to capital markets. SNCs are loans or loan commitments of at least \$20 million that are shared by three or more federally supervised institutions. The percentage of SNCs is 80% in the upstream portfolio, 77% in the midstream portfolio, and 50% in the energy services portfolio. Our bankers have direct access and contact with the management of these SNC borrowers, and as such, are active participants. In many cases, the Company provides ancillary banking services to these borrowers, further evidencing this direct relationship.

As a secondary source of support, many of our energy-related borrowers have access to capital markets and private equity sources. Approximately 45% of our exposure is to public companies and/or to those who have private equity sponsors. Private sponsors tend to be large funds, often with assets under management of more than \$1 billion, managed by individuals with a great deal of energy expertise and experience and who have successfully managed energy investments through previous energy price cycles. The investors in the funds are believed to be primarily institutional investors, such as large pensions, foundations, trusts and high net worth family offices.

During the fourth quarter of 2014, observed credit quality in the energy-related portfolio, based on most recent borrower financial statements, remained strong and was relatively unchanged from the third quarter. During the fourth quarter of 2014, the Company conducted certain sensitivity analyses, and based on those analyses, subjected certain energy-related credits to further scrutiny resulting in a small number of credit downgrades. The fact that the decline in energy prices has basically taken place within one quarter makes it difficult yet to see measurable changes to the financial condition of many energy-related borrowers, which is a primary driver of individual loan risk grades; such risk grades, in turn, are a primary driver of the quantitative portion of the allowance for credit losses. Nevertheless, the Company recognizes that some of its energy-related credits likely have incurred losses, assuming

### Table of Contents

current levels of oil and gas prices persist. Therefore, it made changes to certain qualitative adjustment factors that had the effect of increasing the allowance for credit losses by approximately \$25 million.

Finally, in addition to re-evaluating certain credits and bolstering the allowance for credit losses in the fourth quarter of 2014, the Company has initiated the process of interim borrowing base re-determinations on a few selected borrowers. This is expected to result in some reduction of the size of the lines of credit available to those borrowers, and may result in some credit downgrades, as borrowers provide updated financial statements and the borrowing bases of exploration and production credits are updated. However, the Company believes it is prudent to take early action for the few selected borrowers and secure additional collateral, reduce commitments, etc., rather than wait for the normal borrowing base re-determination period in the spring of 2015.

Commercial I Selected info Schedule 23 COMMERCI At December (Amounts in	rmation indic AL REAL E 31, 2014	cative of	E PO	ORTF	OL	JO BY		C				•		•					23.			
Loan type	As of date	Arizor	na			nSouthe nicalifo			ada	Colc	orad	loTexas		Utah/ Idaho		Was	h-iı	n <b>Qthe</b>	er 1	Total		% o tota CR
Commercial	term																					011
Balance outstanding	12/31/2014	\$1,11	1	\$626	)	\$2,172	2	\$55	7	\$400	)	\$1,244	ŀ	\$1,105		\$255	5	\$657	7	\$8,127		80.
% of loan type		13.7	%	7.7	%	26.7	%	6.9	%	4.9	%	15.3	%	13.6	%	3.1	%	8.1	%	100.0	%	
Delinquency	rates <sup>2</sup> :																					
30-89 days	12/31/2014					0.1	%	0.4	%					0.6	%	0.3	%	0.2	%	0.2	%	
	12/31/2013			—		0.2		0.7				0.2						0.4		0.2	%	
≥ 90 days	12/31/2014		%		07	0.6 0.4		0.6	%			0.1				0.3	%	1.0		0.4	% %	
Accruing	12/31/2013			0.5	%	0.4	%					0.3	%	0.1	%			0.5	%	0.2	%	
loans past due 90 days	12/31/2014	\$—		\$—		\$12		\$4		\$—		\$—		\$3		\$1		\$—		\$20		
or more																						
	12/31/2013			1		19														20		
Nonaccrual loans	12/31/2014	2		1		7		1		1		2		1		—		10		25		
	12/31/2013	7		4		13		8		1		7		6		1		14		61		
Residential co	onstruction a	nd land	de	velopr	nei	nt																
Balance outstanding	12/31/2014	\$52		\$27		\$270		\$7		\$45		\$218		\$97		\$17		\$13		\$746		7.4
% of loan type		7.0	%	3.6	%	36.2	%	0.9	%	6.0	%	29.2	%	13.0	%	2.4	%	1.7	%	100.0	%	
Delinquency																						
30-89 days			07								01									<u> </u>	07	
$\geq$ 90 days	12/31/2013 12/31/2014		%	_						0.4	%	2.6	0%	_						0.1 0.8	% %	
≥ 90 uays	12/31/2014			_		0.1	%	_				3.0			%	_		_		0.8	% %	
Accruing	12/31/2013					0.1	70					5.0	70	0.2	10					0.9	70	
loans past due 90 days	12/31/2014	\$—		\$—		\$—		\$—		\$—		\$—		\$—		\$—		\$—		\$—		
or more	10/01/0010																					
Nonaccrual	12/31/2013																					
loans	12/31/2014					—		—		—		7				—		—		7		
Commercial	12/31/2013		4.4		m							9								10		
Balance outstanding	12/31/2014		uu	\$42	,1110	\$245		\$69		\$95		\$402		\$232		\$19		\$59		\$1,240		12.

% of loan	6.2	% 3.4 %	% 19.8	% 5.6 %	% 7.6 %	6 32.4	% 18.7	% 1.5	% 4.8 %	6 100.0	%
type Delinquency	ratas 2.										
· ·			0.5	% —	0107	/ 0 <b>2</b>	07 0 1	%		0.2	%
30-89 days	12/31/2014 - 12/21/2012 0.7	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	0.5			6 0.2	% 0.1	% —		0.2	
	12/31/2013 0.7	% 0.8 %	% 0.5	% 4.9 %	<i>6</i> —	0.3	% —			0.6	% ~
≥90 days	12/31/2014 —		0.9	% —		0.9	% —			0.5	%
	12/31/2013 —			—		1.5	% —			0.4	%
Accruing											
loans past	12/31/2014 \$	\$—	¢	¢	¢	<b>\$</b> —	<b>\$</b> —	¢	¢	<b>\$</b> —	
due 90 days	12/31/2014 φ—	<b>Ф</b> —	<b>Ф</b> —	<b>Ф</b> —	<b>Ф</b> —	<b>Ф</b> —	<b>Ф</b> —	<b>э</b> —	<b>э</b> —	<b>Ф</b> —	
or more											
	12/31/2013 —						—			—	
Nonaccrual	10/01/0014		2			4	11			17	
loans	12/31/2014 —		2	_		4	11			17	
	12/31/2013 —	1				5	13			19	
Total											
construction		<b>*</b> < <b>0</b>		. <b>-</b> -	*					t : 00 c	
and land	12/31/2014 \$129	\$69	\$515	\$76	\$140	\$620	\$329	\$36	\$72	\$1,986	
development											
•											
Total	10/01/0014 01 0	40 ¢ COE	Φ <b>Ο</b> (Ω'	- •(22	Ф <i>Е</i> 40	Φ1 QC	4 01 40	4 001	ф <b>7</b> 20	φ10 11 <b>0</b>	100
commercial	12/31/2014 \$1,24	40 \$695	\$2,687	7 \$633	\$540	\$1,864	4 \$1,43	4 \$291	\$729	\$10,113	100
real estate											
<sup>1</sup> No other geo	ography exceeds \$8	,3 million fc	or all thre	e loan type:	ès.						
2Delinguency	rates include nona	ocrual loan	c								

<sup>2</sup>Delinquency rates include nonaccrual loans.

Approximately 23% of the CRE term loans consist of mini-perm loans as of December 31, 2014. For such loans, construction has been completed and the project has stabilized to a level that supports the granting of a mini-perm loan in accordance with our underwriting standards. Mini-perm loans generally have initial maturities of three to seven years. The remaining 77% of CRE loans are term loans with initial maturities generally of 5 to 20 years. The stabilization criteria for a project to qualify for a term loan differ by product type and include criteria related to the cash flow generated by the project, loan-to-value ratio, and occupancy rates.

Approximately \$208 million, or 17%, of the commercial construction and land development portfolio at December 31, 2014 consists of acquisition and development loans. Most of these acquisition and development loans are secured by specific retail, apartment, office, or other projects. Underwriting on commercial properties is primarily based on the economic viability of the project with heavy consideration given to the creditworthiness and experience of the sponsor. We generally require that the owner's equity be injected prior to bank advances. Remargining requirements (required equity infusions upon a decline in value of the collateral) are often included in the loan agreement along with guarantees of the sponsor. Recognizing that debt is paid via cash flow, the projected cash flows of the project are critical in the underwriting, because these determine the ultimate value of the property and its ability to service debt. Therefore, in most projects (with the exception of multifamily projects) we look for substantial pre-leasing in our underwriting and we generally require a minimum projected stabilized debt service coverage ratio of 1.20 or higher, depending on the project asset class.

Within the residential construction and development sector, many of the requirements previously mentioned, such as creditworthiness and experience of the developer, up-front injection of the developer's equity, principal curtailment requirements, and the viability of the project are also important in underwriting a residential development loan. Significant consideration is given to the likely market acceptance of the product, location, strength of the developer, and the ability of the developer to stay within budget. Progress inspections by qualified independent inspectors are routinely performed before disbursements are made.

Real estate appraisals are ordered and validated independent of the loan officer and the borrower, generally by each bank's internal appraisal review function, which is staffed by licensed appraisers. In some cases, reports from automated valuation services are used. Appraisals are ordered from outside appraisers at the inception, renewal or, for CRE loans, upon the occurrence of any event causing a downgrade to an adverse grade (i.e., "criticized" or "classified"). We increase the frequency of obtaining updated appraisals for adversely graded credits when declining market conditions exist.

Advance rates (i.e. loan commitments) will vary based on the viability of the project and the creditworthiness of the sponsor, but our guidelines generally limit advances to 50% for raw land, 65% for land development, 65% for finished commercial lots, 75% for finished residential lots, 80% for pre-sold homes, 75% for models and homes not under contract, and 75% for commercial properties. Exceptions may be granted on a case-by-case basis.

Loan agreements require regular financial information on the project and the sponsor in addition to lease schedules, rent rolls and, on construction projects, independent progress inspection reports. The receipt of this financial information is monitored and calculations are made to determine adherence to the covenants set forth in the loan agreement. Additionally, loan-by-loan reviews of pass grade loans for all commercial and residential construction and land development loans are performed semiannually at Amegy, CB&T, NBAZ, NSB, Vectra and Zions Bank, while TCBO and TCBW perform such reviews annually.

Interest reserves are generally established as a loan disbursement budget item for real estate construction or development loans. We generally require borrowers to inject their equity into the project prior to loan disbursements,

which ensures the availability of equity to complete the project. We monitor the construction, sales and/or leasing progress to determine whether or not the project remains viable. If, at any time during the life of the credit, the project is determined not to be viable (including the adequacy of the remaining interest reserves), the bank takes appropriate action to protect its collateral position via negotiation and/or legal action as deemed

### Table of Contents

necessary. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, Zions' affiliates had 656 and 609 loans with an outstanding balance of \$801 million and \$715 million where available interest reserves amounted to \$108 million and \$104 million, respectively. In instances where projects are in default and have been determined not to be viable, the interest reserves and other disbursements have been frozen, as appropriate.

We have not been involved to any meaningful extent with insurance arrangements, credit derivatives, or any other default agreements as a mitigation strategy for CRE loans. However, we do make use of personal or other guarantees as risk mitigation strategies.

CRE loans are sometimes modified to increase the likelihood of collecting the maximum possible amount of the Company's investment in the loan. In general, the existence of a guarantee that improves the likelihood of repayment is taken into consideration when analyzing a loan for impairment. If the support of the guarantor is quantifiable and documented, it is included in the potential cash flows and liquidity available for debt repayment and our impairment methodology takes into consideration this repayment source.

Additionally, when we modify or extend a loan, we give consideration to whether the borrower is in financial difficulty, and whether we have granted a concession. In determining if an interest rate concession has been granted, we consider whether the interest rate on the modified loan is equivalent to current market rates for new debt with similar risk characteristics. If the rate in the modification is less than current market rates, it may indicate that a concession was granted and impairment exists. However, if additional collateral is obtained or if a strong guarantor exists who is believed to be able and willing to support the loan on an extended basis, we also consider the nature and amount of the additional collateral and guarantees in the ultimate determination of whether a concession has been granted.

In general, we obtain and consider updated financial information for the guarantor as part of our determination to extend a loan. The quality and frequency of financial reporting collected and analyzed varies depending on the contractual requirements for reporting, the size of the transaction, and the strength of the guarantor.

Complete underwriting of the guarantor includes, but is not limited to, an analysis of the guarantor's current financial statements, leverage, liquidity, global cash flow, global debt service coverage, contingent liabilities, etc. The assessment also includes a qualitative analysis of the guarantor's willingness to perform in the event of a problem and demonstrated history of performing in similar situations. Additional analysis may include personal financial statements, tax returns, liquidity (brokerage) confirmations and other reports, as appropriate.

A qualitative assessment is performed on a case-by-case basis to evaluate the guarantor's experience, performance track record, reputation, performance of other related projects with which we are familiar, and willingness to work with us. We also utilize market information sources, rating and scoring services in our assessment. This qualitative analysis coupled with a documented quantitative ability to support the loan may result in a higher-quality internal loan grade, which may reduce the level of allowance the Company estimates. Previous documentation of the guarantor's financial ability to support the loan is discounted if there is any indication of a lack of willingness by the guarantor to support the loan.

In the event of default, we evaluate the pursuit of any and all appropriate potential sources of repayment, which may come from multiple sources, including the guarantee. A number of factors are considered when deciding whether or not to pursue a guarantor, including, but not limited to, the value and liquidity of other sources of repayment (collateral), the financial strength and liquidity of the guarantor, possible statutory limitations (e.g., single action rule on real estate) and the overall cost of pursuing a guarantee compared to the ultimate amount we may be able to recover. In other instances, the guarantor may voluntarily support a loan without any formal pursuit of remedies.

### Consumer Loans

The Company has mainly been an originator of first and second mortgages, generally considered to be of prime quality. Historically, the Company's practice has been to sell "conforming" fixed-rate loans to third parties, including Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, for which it makes representations and warranties that the loans meet certain underwriting and collateral documentation standards. It has also been the Company's practice historically to hold variable rate loans in its portfolio. We actively monitor loan "put-backs" (required repurchases of loans previously sold to Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac due to inadequate documentation or other reasons). Loan put-backs have been minimal over a multiple-year period. We estimate that the Company does not have any material risk as a result of either its foreclosure practices or loan put-backs and has not established any reserves related to these items. The Company is engaged in home equity credit line ("HECL") lending. At December 31, 2014, the Company's HECL portfolio totaled \$2.3 billion. Approximately \$1.2 billion of the portfolio is secured by first deeds of trust, while the remaining \$1.1 billion is secured by junior liens.

As of December 31, 2014, loans representing approximately 4% of the outstanding balance in the HECL portfolio were estimated to have combined loan-to-value ratios ("CLTV") above 100%. An estimated CLTV ratio is the ratio of our loan plus any prior lien amounts divided by the estimated current collateral value. At origination, underwriting standards for the HECL portfolio generally include a maximum 80% CLTV with high credit scores at origination. More than 95% of the Company's HECL portfolio is still in the draw period, and approximately 35% is scheduled to begin amortizing within the next five years; however, most of the loans are expected to be renewed for a second 10-year period after a satisfactory review of the borrower's credit history and ability to repay the loan. The Company regularly analyzes the risk of borrower default in the event of a loan becoming fully amortizing and the risk of higher interest rates. The analysis indicates that the risk of loss from this factor is minimal in the current economic environment. The annualized credit losses for the HECL portfolio were 5 bps and 23 bps for 2014 and 2013, respectively. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the credit quality of this portfolio.

### Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming lending-related assets as a percentage of loans and leases and OREO decreased significantly to 0.81% at December 31, 2014, compared with 1.15% at December 31, 2013.

Total nonaccrual loans at December 31, 2014 decreased by \$99 million from the prior year, primarily due to a \$50 million decrease in commercial owner occupied loans, and a \$36 million decrease in commercial real estate term loans. The largest total decreases in nonaccrual loans occurred at Zions Bank and CB&T.

The balance of nonaccrual loans can decrease due to paydowns, charge-offs, and the return of loans to accrual status under certain conditions. If a nonaccrual loan is refinanced or restructured, the new note is immediately placed on nonaccrual. If a restructured loan performs under the new terms for at least a period of six months, the loan can be considered for return to accrual status. See "Restructured Loans" on page 73 for more information. Company policy does not allow for the conversion of nonaccrual construction and land development loans to commercial real estate term loans. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

#### Table of Contents

Schedule 24 sets forth the Company's nonperforming lending-related assets.

ſS									
	ber 3	31.							
		-		2012		2011		2010	
<b>\$</b> —		<b>\$</b> —		<b>\$</b> —		\$18		<b>\$</b> —	
						·			
106		101		94		130		229	
		1		1		2		1	
87		137		207		242		349	
1		10		9				2	
24		29		108		221		494	
25		60		137		173		288	
64		66		89		122		163	
		2		3		3		3	
307		406		648		911		1,529	
11		16		51		63		121	
		6		10		4		7	
2		6		8		19		37	
4		8		8		35		64	
2		9		15		22		52	
		1		6		10		18	
19		46		98		153		299	
\$326		\$452		\$746		\$1,064		\$1,828	
0.81	0%	1 15	0%	1.06	0%	283	0%	4 00	%
0.01	70	1.15	70	1.90	70	2.05	70	4.90	70
\$8		\$8				\$30		\$44	
20		29		32		55		89	
1		3		6		9		9	
\$29		\$40		\$62		\$94		\$142	
0.07	0%	0.10	0%	0.16	0%	0.25	0%	0.38	%
0.07	10	0.10	70	0.10	10	0.20	10	0.50	10
	2014 $$$ $106$ $87$ $1$ $24$ $25$ $64$ $$ $307$ $11$ $2$ $4$ $2$ $$ $19$ $$ 326$ $0.81$ $$ 8$ $20$ $1$	December 3 2014 \$ 106  87 1 24 25 64  307 11  2 4 2  19 \$326 0.81 % \$8 20 1 \$29	December 31, 201420142013\$ $\$$ 106101-187137110242925606466-23074061116-64829-11946\$326\$4520.81%1.15\$8\$8202913\$29\$40	December 31,       2013 $\$ \$ 106$ $101$ $ 1$ $87$ $137$ $1$ $10$ $24$ $29$ $25$ $60$ $64$ $66$ $ 2$ $307$ $406$ $11$ $16$ $ 2$ $307$ $406$ $4$ $8$ $2$ $9$ $ 1$ $19$ $46$ $\$326$ $\$452$ $0.81$ $\%$ $\$8$ $\$8$ $20$ $29$ $1$ $3$ $\$29$ $\$40$	December 31, 201420132012\$\$\$10610194118713720711092429108256013764668923307406648111651610268488291516194698\$326\$452\$7460.81%1.15%\$8\$8\$24202932136\$29\$40\$62	December 31,       2013       2012 $\$ \$ \$ 106$ $101$ $94$ $ 1$ $1$ $106$ $101$ $94$ $ 1$ $1$ $87$ $137$ $207$ $1$ $10$ $9$ $24$ $29$ $108$ $25$ $60$ $137$ $64$ $66$ $89$ $ 2$ $3$ $307$ $406$ $648$ $11$ $16$ $51$ $ 2$ $3$ $307$ $406$ $648$ $11$ $16$ $51$ $ 2$ $3$ $11$ $16$ $51$ $ 1$ $6$ $2$ $9$ $15$ $ 1$ $6$ $19$ $46$ $98$ $\$326$ $\$452$ $\$746$ $0.81$ $\%$ $1.15$ $\%$ $\$29$	December 31, 2014201320122011 $\$  \$  \$  \$ 18$ $106$ 10194130 $-$ 112 $87$ 1372072421109-24291082212560137173 $64$ 6689122 $-$ 233 $307$ 406648911 $11$ 165163 $-$ 6104 $2$ 6819 $4$ 8835 $2$ 91522 $-$ 1610194698153 $\$326$ \$452\$746\$1,064 $0.81$ %1.15%1.96 $\$$ 2932551369 $\$29$ \$40\$62\$94	December 31,       2014       2013       2012       2011         \$       \$       \$18 $106$ 101       94       130 $$ 1       1       2 $87$ 137       207       242         1       10       9 $24$ 29       108       221 $15$ 60       137       173 $64$ $66$ $89$ 122 $$ 2       3       3 $307$ $406$ $648$ 911 $11$ 16 $51$ $63$ $$ 2       3       3 $307$ $406$ $648$ 911 $11$ $16$ $51$ $63$ $$ 2 $3$ $35$ $2$ $9$ $15$ $22$ $$ $1$ $6$ $10$ $4$ $8$ $8$ $35$ $2$ $9$ $15$ $22$ $$ $1$ $6$ $98$ $153$	December 31,       2013       2012       2011       2010         \$       \$       \$18       \$         106       101       94       130       229          1       1       2       1         87       137       207       242       349         1       10       9        2         24       29       108       221       494         25       60       137       173       288         64       66       89       122       163          2       3       3       3         307       406       648       911       1,529         11       16       51       63       121          2       3       3       3         307       406       648       911       1,529         11       16       51       63       121          6       10       4       7         2       6       15       22       52          1       6       10       18         19       46       98

<sup>1</sup> Includes loans held for sale.

Restructured Loans

TDRs are loans that have been modified to accommodate a borrower that is experiencing financial difficulties, and for which the Company has granted a concession that it would not otherwise consider. TDRs declined 29% during 2014. Commercial loans may be modified to provide the borrower more time to complete the project, to achieve a higher lease-up percentage, to sell the property, or for other reasons. Consumer loan TDRs represent loan modifications in which a concession has been granted to the borrower who is unable to refinance the loan with another lender, or who is experiencing economic hardship. Such consumer loan TDRs may include first-lien residential mortgage loans and

home equity loans.

### Table of Contents

For certain TDRs, we split the loan into two new notes – an "A" note and a "B" note. The A note is structured to comply with our current lending standards at current market rates, and is tailored to suit the customer's ability to make timely principal and interest payments. The B note includes the granting of the concession to the borrower and varies by situation. We may defer principal and interest payments until the A note has been paid in full. At the time of restructuring, the A note is identified and classified as a TDR. The B note is charged off, but the obligation is not forgiven to the borrower, and any payments collected on the B notes are accounted for as recoveries. The outstanding carrying value of loans restructured using the A/B note strategy was approximately \$112 million and \$126 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

If the restructured loan performs for at least six months according to the modified terms, and an analysis of the customer's financial condition indicates that the Company is reasonably assured of repayment of the modified principal and interest, the loan may be returned to accrual status. The borrower's payment performance prior to and following the restructuring is taken into account to determine whether or not a loan should be returned to accrual status.

#### Schedule 25

ACCRUING AND NONACCRUING TROUBLED DEBT RESTRUCTURED LOANS

(In millions)	December 31, 2014	2013
Restructured loans – accruing	\$245	\$345
Restructured loans – nonaccruing	98	136
Total	\$343	\$481

In the periods following the calendar year in which a loan was restructured, a loan may no longer be reported as a TDR if it is on accrual, is in compliance with its modified terms, and yields a market rate (as determined and documented at the time of the modification or restructure). Company policy requires that the removal of TDR status be approved at the same management level that approved the upgrading of a loan's classification. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding TDRs.

### Schedule 26 TROUBLED DEBT RESTRUCTURED LOANS ROLLFORWARD

(In millions)	2014	2013	
Balance at beginning of year	\$481	\$623	
New identified TDRs and principal increases	81	213	
Payments and payoffs	(149	) (271	)
Charge-offs	(16	) (18	)
No longer reported as TDRs	(36	) (28	)
Sales and other	(18	) (38	)
Balance at end of year	\$343	\$481	

#### Other Nonperforming Assets

In addition to lending-related nonperforming assets, the Company had \$4 million in carrying value (\$5.6 million at amortized cost) of investments in debt securities (primarily bank and insurance company CDOs) that were on nonaccrual status at December 31, 2014, compared to \$224 million in carrying value (\$239 million at amortized cost) at December 31, 2013. During 2014, we sold a significant portion of nonperforming securities in an effort to reduce

risk and maximize the use of capital because these securities were estimated to consume a significant amount of capital under the Dodd-Frank Act Stress Test.

### Allowance and Reserve for Credit Losses

In analyzing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, we utilize a comprehensive loan grading system to determine the risk potential in the portfolio and also consider the results of independent internal credit reviews. To determine the adequacy of the allowance, the Company's loan and lease portfolio is broken into segments based on loan type.

Schedule 27 shows the changes in the allowance for loan losses and a summary of loan loss experience.

Schedule 27 SUMMARY OF LOAN LOSS EXPERIEN	ICE									
(Amounts in millions)	2014		2013		2012		2011		2010	
Loans and leases outstanding on December 31, (net of unearned income)	\$40,064		\$39,043		\$37,665		\$37,258		\$36,830	
Average loans and leases outstanding, (net of unearned income)	\$39,523		\$38,107		\$37,037		\$36,897		\$38,326	
Allowance for loan losses:										
Balance at beginning of year	\$746		\$896		\$1,052		\$1,442		\$1,532	
Provision charged against earnings	(98	)	(87	)	14		75		853	
Adjustment for FDIC-supported/PCI loans Charge-offs:	(1	)	(11	)	(15	)	(9	)	40	
Commercial	(77	)	(76	)	(121	)	(241	)	(417	)
Commercial real estate	(15	)	(26	)	(85	)	(229	)	(517	)
Consumer	(14	)	(29	)	(61	)	(90	)	(140	)
Total	(106	)	(131	)	(267	)	(560	)	(1,074	)
Recoveries:										
Commercial	41		41		56		55		35	
Commercial real estate	12		25		42		35		44	
Consumer	11		13		14		14		12	
Total	64		79		112		104		91	
Net loan and lease charge-offs	(42	)	(52	)	(155	)	(456	)	(983	)
Balance at end of year	\$605		\$746		\$896		\$1,052		\$1,442	
Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans and leases	0.11	%	0.14	%	0.42	%	1.24	%	2.56	%
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to net loans and leases, on December 31,	1.51	%	1.91	%	2.38	%	2.82	%	3.92	%
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans, on December 31,	197.18	%	183.54	%	138.25	%	115.43	%	94.32	%
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonaccrual loans and accruing loans past due 90 days or more, on December 31,	180.03	%	166.97	%	126.22	%	104.67	%	86.31	%

Schedule 28 provides a breakdown of the allowance for loan losses and the allocation among the portfolio segments.

Schedule 28 ALLOCATI At Decembe		TH	E ALLOV	VANC	E FO	OR LOAN	LOSS	ES							
	2014			2013			2012			2011			2010		
	% of		Allocation	n% of		Allocation	n% of		Allocation	n% of		Allocation	% of		Allocation
(Amounts in	total		of	total		of	total		of	total		of	total		of
millions)	loans		allowance	loans		allowance	e loans		allowance	e loans		allowance	loans		allowance
Loan segment															
Commercial	53.5	%	\$413	53.5	%	\$469	52.9	%	\$521	52.7	%	\$578	50.0	%	\$786
Commercial real estate	25.3		145	26.6		216	27.4		277	28.5		347	32.0		497
Consumer Total	21.2 100.0	%	47 \$605	19.9 100.0	%	61 \$746	19.7 100.0	%	98 \$896	18.8 100.0	%	127 \$1,052	18.0 100.0	%	159 \$1,442

The total ALLL declined during 2014 by \$141 million due to the positive credit trends experienced in our major loan portfolio segments and due to reductions in outstanding balances of construction and land development loans. However, during the fourth quarter of 2014, the Company increased the portion of the ALLL related to qualitative and environmental factors to account for the increased risk of loss on loans that are likely to be affected by the sharp decline in oil prices and more moderate decline in natural gas prices that occurred during the quarter.

The total ALLL at December 31, 2013 decreased by \$150 million compared to December 31, 2012. The decreases in the ALLL reflected improvements in credit quality trends and somewhat improving economic conditions in some of our markets. The Company decreased the portion of the ALLL related to qualitative and environmental factors to reflect the positive credit quality trends and stabilizing economic conditions.

The reserve for unfunded lending commitments represents a reserve for potential losses associated with off-balance sheet commitments and standby letters of credit. The reserve is separately shown in the Company's balance sheet and any related increases or decreases in the reserve are shown separately in the statement of income. The reserve decreased by \$8.6 million compared to December 31, 2013, primarily driven by improvements in credit quality metrics and reductions in commitments of construction and land development loans, but partially offset by an increase in other unfunded loan commitments.

See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to the allowance for credit losses and credit trends experienced in each portfolio segment.

### Interest Rate and Market Risk Management

Interest rate and market risk are managed centrally. Interest rate risk is the potential for reduced net interest income and other rate sensitive income resulting from adverse changes in the level of interest rates. Market risk is the potential for loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of fixed income securities, equity securities, other earning assets, and derivative financial instruments as a result of changes in interest rates or other factors. As a financial institution that engages in transactions involving an array of financial products, the Company is exposed to both interest rate risk and market risk.

The Company's Board of Directors is responsible for approving the overall policies relating to the management of the financial risk of the Company, including interest rate and market risk management. The Boards of Directors of the Company's subsidiary banks are also required to review and approve these policies. In addition, the Board establishes and periodically revises policy limits and reviews limit exceptions reported by management. The Board has established the Asset/Liability Committee ("ALCO") consisting of members of management, to which it has delegated

the responsibility of managing interest rate and market risk for the Company. Among its other responsibilities, ALCO's primary responsibility for managing interest rate and market risk includes the following:

recommending policies to the Enterprise Risk Management Committee ("ERMC") and administering the Board-approved policies that govern and limit the Company's exposure to all interest rate and market risks, including policies that are designed to limit the Company's adverse exposure to changes in interest rates;

approving procedures that support the Board-approved policies;

approving all material interest rate risk management strategies;

approving all financial derivative positions taken at both the Parent and subsidiaries for the purpose of hedging the Company's interest rate and market risks;

overseeing the management of the balance sheet, net interest income, and liquidity;

calculating the estimated economic value of each class of assets, liabilities, and net equity, given defined interest rate scenarios;

• managing the Company's estimated earnings at risk and estimated economic value of equity ("EVE") due to interest rate fluctuations; and

reporting timely all policy limit violations to the Chief Risk Officer, who reports them to the Board of Directors. Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is one of the most significant risks to which the Company is regularly exposed. In general, our goal in managing interest rate risk is to have net interest income increase in a rising interest rate environment. We refer to this goal as being "asset-sensitive." This approach is based on our belief that in a rising interest rate environment, the market cost of equity, or implied rate at which future earnings are discounted, would also tend to rise. The asset sensitivity of the Company's balance sheet changed minimally during 2014.

Due to the low level of rates and the natural lower bound of zero for market indices, there is limited sensitivity to falling rates at the current time, and the Company has tended to operate near its interest rate risk triggers and appetites noted in Schedule 29 following. However, if interest rates remain at their current historically low levels, given the Company's asset sensitivity, it would expect its net interest margin to be under continuing modest pressure assuming a stable balance sheet. In order to mitigate this pressure, in 2014, the Company began incrementally deploying cash and money market instruments into short-to-medium duration, HQLA-qualifying, pass-through agency residential mortgage backed securities. During 2014, it increased its HQLA securities by approximately \$1.0 billion, and it expects to continue purchasing HQLA securities at a pace of \$1.5 to \$2.0 billion in 2015, and possibly beyond. We expect these purchases to mitigate, but not eliminate, the Company's asset sensitivity over time.

We attempt to minimize the impact of changing interest rates on net interest income primarily through the use of interest rate floors on variable rate loans, interest rate swaps, interest rate futures, and by avoiding large exposures to long-term fixed rate interest-earning assets that could experience a substantially slower return of principal in a rising rate environment (e.g., 30-year pass-through mortgage-backed securities). Our earning assets are largely tied to the shorter end of the interest rate curve. The prime lending rate and the LIBOR curves are the primary indices used for pricing the Company's loans. The interest rates paid on deposit accounts are set by individual banks so as to be competitive in each local market.

We monitor interest rate risk through the use of two complementary measurement methods: Economic Value of Equity ("EVE"), and net interest income simulation. In the EVE method, we measure the expected changes in the fair values of equity in response to changes in interest rates. In the net interest income simulation method, we analyze the expected change in net interest income in response to changes in interest rates.

EVE is calculated as the fair value of all assets and derivative instruments minus the fair value of liabilities. We measure changes in the dollar amount of EVE for parallel shifts in interest rates. Due to embedded optionality and asymmetric rate risk, changes in EVE can be useful in quantifying risks not apparent for small rate changes. Examples of such risks may include out-of-the-money caps on loans, which have little effect for small rate movements but may become important if larger rate shocks were to occur, or substantial prepayment deceleration for low rate mortgages in a higher rate environment. The Company's policy is to limit declines in EVE to 4% per 100 bps movement in interest rates in either direction. Schedule 29 presents the formal limits adopted by the

Company's Board of Directors. Changes or exceptions to the EVE limits are subject to notification and approval by the Risk Oversight Committee of the Company's Board of Directors.

Schedule 29				
ECONOMIC VALUE OF EQUITY DE	ECLINE LIMITS			
Parallel change in interest rates	Trigger decline in EVE		Risk capacity decline in EVE	
C	20		1	
+/- 100 bps	3	%	4	%
+/- 200 bps	6	%	8	%
+/- 300 bps	9	%	12	%

Net interest income simulation is an estimate of the total net interest income that would be recognized under different rate environments. Net interest income is measured under several parallel and nonparallel interest rate environments and deposit repricing assumptions, taking into account an estimate of the possible exercise of options within the portfolio (e.g. a borrower's ability to refinance a loan under a lower rate environment). For net interest income simulation, Company policy requires that net interest income from a static balance sheet be limited to a decline of no more than 10% during one year if rates were to immediately rise or fall in parallel by 200 bps.

Each of these measurement methods requires that we assess a number of variables and make various assumptions in managing the Company's exposure to changes in interest rates. The assessments address loan and security prepayments, early deposit withdrawals, and other embedded options and noncontrollable events. As a result of uncertainty about the maturity and repricing characteristics of both deposits and loans, the Company estimates ranges of EVE and net interest income simulation under a variety of assumptions and scenarios. The Company's interest rate risk position changes as the interest rate environment changes and is actively managed to maintain an asset-sensitive position. However, positions at the end of any period may not be reflective of the Company's position in any subsequent period.

The estimated EVE and net interest income simulation results are highly sensitive to the assumptions used for deposits that do not have specific maturities, such as checking and savings and money market accounts, and also to prepayment assumptions used for loans with prepayment options. Given the uncertainty of these estimates, we view both the EVE and the net interest income simulation results as falling within a wide range of possibilities. Management uses historical regression analysis as a guide to setting such assumptions; however, due to the current low interest rate environment, which has little historical precedent, estimated deposit durations may not reflect actual future results. Even modest variation of such assumptions may have significant impact on the calculation of income simulation and economic value of equity shown below. These assumptions are as follows: Schedule 30

### REPRICING SCENARIO ASSUMPTIONS BY DEPOSIT PRODUCT

	As of December 31, 2014							
	Fast				Slow			
Product	Effective		Effective duration (+200 bps)		Effective duration (base)		Effective duration (+200 bps)	
Demand deposits	1.7	%	1.7	%	2.8	%	2.9	%
Money market	0.8	%	0.7	%	1.2	%	1.1	%
Savings and interest on checking	3.0	%	2.8	%	3.8	%	3.6	%

Note: Effective duration measures the percent change in EVE for a 100 bps parallel shift in rates as compared to the Macaulay Duration, which measures weighted average life of cash flows in years and is not reported. The Company's Demand Deposit Model assumes significant run-off of noninterest-bearing deposits in the event of rising interest rates.

As of the dates indicated, the following schedule shows the Company's percentage change in net interest rate sensitive income, based on a static balance sheet, in the first year after the rate change if interest rates were to sustain immediate parallel changes ranging from -100 bps to +300 bps. The Company estimates interest rate risk with two sets of deposit repricing scenarios.

The first scenario assumes that administered-rate deposits (money market, interest-earning checking, and savings) reprice at a faster speed in response to changes in interest rates. In the current low rate environment, significant declines in interest rates from current levels are deemed less likely and, therefore, the magnitude of downward rate shocks is limited. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, interest rates were at such a low level that repricing scenarios assuming -100 bps rate shocks produced negative results.

The second scenario assumes that those deposits reprice at a slower speed. For larger rate shocks, e.g., +300 bps, models reflecting consumer behavior in regards to both loan prepayments and deposit run-off are inherently prone to increased model uncertainty.

Schedule 31							
INCOME SIMULATION – CH							
	As of December	31, 1					
Repricing scenario	-100 bps		+100 bps	+200 bps		+300 bps	
Fast	(3.0	)%	6.8 %	13.9	%	20.6	%
Slow	(3.2	)%	8.1 %	16.5	%	24.6	%
	As of December	/					
Repricing scenario	-100 bps		+100 bps	+200 bps		+300 bps	
Fast	(3.1	)%	6.8 %	14.2	%	21.3	%
Slow	•	)%	8.1 %	16.9	%	25.4	%
Schedule 32 includes changes in scenarios. Schedule 32 CHANGES IN ECONOMIC V		Y		el rate moves fo	or both	"fast" and "slow	,,,
Repricing scenario	-100 bps		+100 bps	+200 bps		+300 bps	
Fast	1.4	%	1.2 %	2.4	%	2.6	%
Slow	(2.1	)%	6.3 %	12.3	%	16.9	%
	As of December	31, 1	2013				
Repricing scenario	-100 bps		+100 bps	+200 bps		+300 bps	
Fast	0.6	%	1.1 %	2.6	%	3.3	%
Slow	(3.5	)%	6.2 %	13.0	%	18.4	%
During 2014, changes in both m	·	rate	sensitivity were. am	ong other thing	s. drive	n bv:	

During 2014, changes in both measures of interest rate sensitivity were, among other things, driven by:

a 9.4% year-over-year increase in noninterest-bearing demand deposits;

the redemption of long-term debt from cash reserves;

issuance of \$525 million of new common equity; and

increase of approximately \$1.0 billion of primarily short-to-medium duration agency pass-through securities which qualify as HQLA.

### Table of Contents

In 2015, we expect to introduce a new modeling approach to monitor interest rate risk. Based on parallel testing during 2014, we expect the new model will show reduced asset sensitivity compared to Schedule 32, particularly in the "slow" scenario.

Our focus on business banking also plays a significant role in determining the nature of the Company's asset-liability management posture. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, approximately 77.5% and 77.7%, respectively, of the Company's commercial lending and CRE portfolios were variable rate and primarily tied to either the prime rate or LIBOR. In addition, certain of our consumer loans also have variable interest rates. See Schedule 17 for further information on fixed and variable interest rates of the loan portfolio.

Largely due to competitive pressures, the favorable impact on loan yield from the use of interest rate floors has diminished. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, approximately 36.5% and 39.4%, respectively, of all of the Company's variable rate loan balances contain floors. Of the loans with floors, approximately 58.5% and 64.5% of the balances at these same respective dates were priced at the floor rates, which were above the "index plus spread" rate by an average of 0.42% and 0.53%, respectively.

At December 31, 2014, the Company held \$275 million (notional amount) of interest rate swap agreements of which \$50 million each mature in 2018 and 2019. See Notes 7 and 20 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding derivative instruments.

#### Market Risk - Fixed Income

The Company engages in the underwriting and trading of municipal securities. This trading activity exposes the Company to a risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the prices of these fixed income securities.

At December 31, 2014, the Company had a relatively small amount, \$71 million, of trading assets and \$24 million of securities sold, not yet purchased, compared with \$35 million and \$74 million, respectively, at December 31, 2013.

The Company is exposed to market risk through changes in fair value. The Company is also exposed to market risk for interest rate swaps used to hedge interest rate risk. Changes in the fair value of AFS securities and in interest rate swaps that qualify as cash flow hedges are included in AOCI for each financial reporting period. During 2014, the after-tax change in AOCI attributable to AFS and HTM securities was an increase of \$77 million compared to a \$235 million increase in 2013. The primary reasons for the \$77 million increase in 2014 are the sales of CDOs and the observed improvement in market values of trust preferred CDOs; such market improvements may not be sustainable. If any of the AFS or HTM securities become other-than-temporarily impaired, the credit impairment is charged to operations. See "Investment Securities Portfolio" on page 52 for additional information on OTTI.

#### Market Risk – Equity Investments

Through its equity investment activities, the Company owns equity securities that are publicly traded. In addition, the Company owns equity securities in companies and governmental entities, e.g., Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Banks, that are not publicly traded. The accounting for equity investments may use the cost, fair value, equity, or full consolidation methods of accounting, depending upon the Company's ownership position and degree of involvement in influencing the investees' affairs. Regardless of the accounting method, the value of the Company's investment is subject to fluctuation. Since the fair value of these securities may fall below the Company's investment costs, the Company is exposed to the possibility of loss. Equity investments in private and public companies are approved, monitored and evaluated by the Company's Equity Investment Committee.

The Company holds investments in pre-public companies through various, predominantly SBIC venture capital funds. The Company's equity exposure to these investments was approximately \$86 million and \$61 million at December 31,

2014 and 2013, respectively.

#### Table of Contents

Additionally, Amegy has an alternative investments portfolio. These investments are primarily directed towards equity buyout and mezzanine funds with a key strategy of deriving ancillary commercial banking business from the portfolio companies. Early stage venture capital funds were generally not a part of the strategy since the underlying companies were typically not creditworthy. The carrying value of Amegy's equity investments was \$38 million and \$54 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

These private equity investments are subject to the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The Volcker Rule of the Dodd-Frank Act, as published on December 10, 2013, prohibits banks and bank holding companies from holding private equity investments beyond July 21, 2016, as currently extended, except for SBIC funds. The Federal Reserve has announced its intention to act in 2015 to grant an additional one-year extension to July 21, 2017. As of December 31, 2014, such prohibited private equity investments, except for SBIC funds, amounted to \$41 million, with an additional \$25 million of unfunded commitments (see Note 17 for more information). The Company currently does not believe that this divestiture requirement will ultimately have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

The Company's earnings from these investments, and the potential volatility of these earnings, are expected to decline over the next several years and will ultimately cease.

#### Liquidity Risk Management

#### Overview

Liquidity risk is the possibility that the Company's cash flows may not be adequate to fund its ongoing operations and meet its commitments in a timely and cost-effective manner. Since liquidity risk is closely linked to both credit risk and market risk, many of the previously discussed risk control mechanisms also apply to the monitoring and management of liquidity risk. We manage the Company's liquidity to provide adequate funds to meet its anticipated financial and contractual obligations, including withdrawals by depositors, debt and capital service requirements and lease obligations, as well as to fund customers' needs for credit.

Overseeing liquidity management is the responsibility of ALCO, which implements a Board-adopted corporate Liquidity and Funding Policy. This policy addresses maintaining adequate liquidity, diversifying funding positions, monitoring liquidity at consolidated as well as subsidiary bank levels, and anticipating future funding needs. The policy also includes liquidity ratio guidelines, for example, the "time-to-required funding" and fixed charges coverage ratios, that are used to monitor the liquidity positions of the Parent and subsidiary banks, as well as various stress test and liquid asset measurements for the Parent and subsidiary banks' liquidity.

The management of liquidity and funding is performed centrally for the Parent and jointly by the Parent and bank management for its subsidiary banks. Zions Bank's Capital Markets/Investment Division performs this management centrally, under the direction of the Company's Chief Investment Officer, with oversight by ALCO. The Chief Investment Officer is responsible for recommending changes to existing funding plans, as well as to the Company's Liquidity Policy. These recommendations must be submitted for approval to ALCO, and changes to the Policy also must be approved by the Company's Enterprise Risk Management Committee and the Board of Directors. The Company has adopted policy limits that govern liquidity risk, including a target for the Parent's time-to-required funding of 18-24 months, with a minimum policy limit of not less than 12 months. Throughout 2014 and as of December 31, 2014, the Company complied with this policy.

The subsidiary banks have authority to price deposits, borrow from their FHLB and the Federal Reserve, and sell/purchase federal funds to/from Zions Bank and/or correspondent banks. The banks may also make liquidity and funding recommendations to the Chief Investment Officer.

Liquidity Regulation

In September 2014, U.S. banking regulators issued a final rule that implements a quantitative liquidity requirement in the U.S. generally consistent with the Liquidity Coverage Ratio ("LCR") minimum liquidity measure established under the Basel III liquidity framework. Under this rule, the Company is subject to a modified LCR standard, which

### Table of Contents

requires a financial institution to hold an adequate amount of unencumbered High Quality Liquid Assets ("HQLA") that can be converted into cash easily and immediately in private markets to meet its liquidity needs for a short-term liquidity stress scenario. Although this rule is not applicable to the Company and other banks of its size until January 2016, the Company has calculated that it is in compliance with the requirement to maintain a modified LCR of at least 100%.

The Company is required to and is conducting monthly liquidity stress tests as of January 2015. These tests incorporate scenarios designed by the Company subject to review by the Federal Reserve.

The Basel III liquidity framework includes a second minimum liquidity measure, the Net Stable Funding Ratio ("NSFR"), which requires a financial institution to maintain a stable funding profile in relation to the characteristics of its on- and off-balance sheet activities. On October 31, 2014, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision issued its final standards for this ratio, entitled Basel III: The Net Stable Funding Ratio. Based upon this Basel III publication, we believe the Company would meet the minimum NSFR if such requirement were currently effective. However, the Federal Reserve has not yet proposed regulations to implement these Basel Committee standards. The Company is monitoring these developments.

Contractual Obligations

Schedule 33 summarizes the Company's contractual obligations at December 31, 2014:

Schedule 33

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

(In millions)	One year or less	Over one year through three years	Over three years through five years	Over five years	Indeterminable maturity <sup>1</sup>	Total
Deposits	\$1,933	\$392	\$216	\$1	\$45,305	\$47,847
Commitments to extend credit	4,591	5,695	3,246	3,127		16,659
Standby letters of credit:						
Financial	564	95	6	81		746
Performance	150	31	2			183
Commercial letters of credit	30		2			32
Commitments to make venture and other noninterest-bearing investments <sup>2</sup>	25					25
Federal funds and other short-term borrowings	244					244
Long-term debt <sup>3</sup>	217	250	39	585		1,091
Operating leases, net of subleases	47	88	67	125		327
Unrecognized tax benefits		3				3
	\$7,801	\$6,554	\$3,578	\$3,919	\$45,305	\$67,157

<sup>1</sup> Indeterminable maturity deposits include noninterest-bearing demand, savings and money market, and non-time foreign.

<sup>2</sup> Commitments to make venture and other noninterest-bearing investments do not have defined maturity dates. They have therefore been considered due on demand, maturing in one year or less.

<sup>3</sup> The maturities on long-term borrowings do not include the associated hedges.

In addition to the commitments specifically noted in Schedule 33, the Company enters into a number of contractual commitments in the ordinary course of business. These include software licensing and maintenance,

telecommunications services, facilities maintenance and equipment servicing, supplies purchasing, and other goods and services used in the operation of its business. Generally, these contracts are renewable or cancelable at least annually, although in some cases to secure favorable pricing concessions, the Company has committed to contracts that may extend to several years.

### Table of Contents

The Company also enters into derivative contracts under which it is required either to receive or pay cash, depending on changes in interest rates. These contracts are carried at fair value on the balance sheet with the fair value representing the net present value of the expected future cash receipts and payments based on market rates of interest as of the balance sheet date. The fair value of the contracts changes daily as interest rates change. See Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on derivative contracts.

#### Liquidity Management Actions

Consolidated cash, interest-bearing deposits held as investments, and security resell agreements at the Parent and its subsidiaries decreased slightly to \$9.2 billion at December 31, 2014 from \$9.3 billion at December 31, 2013. The \$0.1 billion decrease during 2014 resulted primarily from (1) repayments of debt, (2) net loan originations and purchases, and (3) dividends on preferred and common stock. These decreases in cash were partially offset by (1) an increase in deposits, (2) the issuance of common stock, and (3) net cash provided by operating activities.

Parent Company Liquidity – The Parent's cash requirements consist primarily of debt service, investments in and advances to subsidiaries, operating expenses, income taxes, and dividends to preferred and common shareholders. The Parent's cash needs are usually met through dividends from its subsidiaries, interest and investment income, subsidiaries' proportionate share of current income taxes, and long-term debt and equity issuances.

Cash and interest-bearing deposits held as investments at the Parent increased to \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2014 from \$0.9 billion at December 31, 2013. The \$0.1 billion increase during 2014 was primarily a result of (1) the issuance of common stock, (2) sales and paydowns of CDO securities, and (3) dividends received from subsidiaries. These increases were partially offset by (1) repayments of approximately \$1.1 billion of senior notes and \$106 million of subordinated notes, (2) interest payments on debt, and (3) the payment of preferred and common dividends. The issuance of \$525 million of common stock during 2014 consisted of approximately 17.6 million shares at a price of \$29.80 per share. Net of commissions and fees, this issuance added approximately \$516 million to common stock. See Notes 12 and 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about the Company's long-term debt and equity transactions.

During 2015, the Company expects to redeem high-cost, long-term debt, including debt from maturities and from early optional redemption provisions. The Parent's long-term debt maturities in 2015 include:

# Schedule 34 LONG-TERM DEBT MATURITIES IN 2015

(Amounts in millions)	Coupon	December 3	31, 2014	
Description	rate	Carrying balance	Par amount	Maturity
Subordinated note	6.00%	\$32.9	\$32.4	September 15, 2015
Convertible subordinated note	6.00%	70.4	79.3	September 15, 2015
Subordinated note	5.50%	53.0	52.1	November 16, 2015
Convertible subordinated note	5.50%	62.4	71.6	November 16, 2015
		\$218.7	\$235.4	

In addition, at December 31, 2014, the Company had optional early redemptions totaling \$27 million of long-term senior notes during 2015. On February 17, 2015, \$8.0 million of this amount was redeemed.

During 2014, the Parent received common dividends and return of common equity totaling \$190 million and preferred dividends totaling \$46 million from its subsidiary banks. During 2013, the Parent received from its subsidiary banks

\$377 million for common dividends and return of common equity, \$45 million for preferred dividends, and \$175 million from the redemption of preferred stock issued to the Parent. The dividends that our subsidiary banks can pay to the Parent are restricted by current and historical earning levels, retained earnings, and risk-based and other regulatory capital requirements and limitations. During 2014, all of the Company's subsidiary

### Table of Contents

banks recorded a profit, except for TCBO, which operated at approximately break-even. We expect that this profitability will be sustained, thus permitting continued payments of dividends by the subsidiaries to the Parent during 2015.

General financial market and economic conditions impact the Company's access to, and cost of, external financing. Access to funding markets for the Parent and subsidiary banks is also directly affected by the credit ratings received from various rating agencies. The ratings not only influence the costs associated with the borrowings, but can also influence the sources of the borrowings. The debt ratings and outlooks issued by the various rating agencies for the Company did not change during 2014, except that Fitch's outlook for the Company was revised to stable from positive. Standard & Poor's, Fitch, Dominion Bond Rating Service ("DBRS"), and Kroll all rate the Company's senior debt at an investment grade level, while Moody's rates the Company's senior debt as Ba1 (one notch below investment grade). In addition, all of the previously mentioned rating agencies, except Kroll, rate the Company's subordinated debt as noninvestment grade.

Schedule 35 presents the Parent's ratings as of December 31, 2014:

### Schedule 35 CREDIT RATINGS

Rating agency	Outlook	Long-term issuer/senior debt rating	Subordinated debt rating
S&P	Stable	BBB-	BB+
Moody's	Stable	Bal	Ba2
Fitch	Stable	BBB-	BB+
DBRS	Stable	BBB (low)	BB (high)
Kroll	Stable	BBB	BBB-

The Parent's cash payments for interest, reflected in operating expenses, decreased to \$96 million in 2014 from \$126 million in 2013 as a result of a net repayment of debt of \$1.2 billion and \$0.2 billion during 2014 and 2013, respectively. Cash payments for interest is expected to continue to decrease during 2015 as a result of the debt repayments during 2014 and the expected debt repayments during 2015.

Additionally, the Parent paid approximately \$96 million and \$120 million of total dividends on preferred stock and common stock during 2014 and 2013, respectively. Preferred stock dividends were lower during 2014 compared to 2013 primarily as a result of the replacement of the 9.5% Series C preferred stock with lower-rate Series G, H, I, and J preferred stock during the first three quarters of 2013.

Note 23 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contains the Parent's statements of income and cash flows for 2014, 2013 and 2012, as well as its balance sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

At December 31, 2014, maturities of the Company's long-term senior and subordinated debt ranged from September 2015 to September 2028, with effective interest rates from 3.30% to 6.95%.

See Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a complete summary of the Company's long-term debt.

Subsidiary Bank Liquidity – The subsidiary banks' primary source of funding is their core deposits, consisting of demand, savings and money market deposits, time deposits under \$100,000, and foreign deposits. At December 31, 2014, these core deposits, excluding brokered deposits, in aggregate, constituted 97.3% of consolidated deposits, compared with 97.1% at December 31, 2013. On a consolidated basis, the Company's net loan to total deposit ratio is 83.7% as of December 31, 2014, compared to 84.2% as of December 31, 2013.

During 2014, the Company repaid Amegy's \$75 million subordinated note, which matured on September 22, 2014.

Deposit growth during 2014 was very strong. Total deposits increased by \$1.5 billion from \$46.3 billion at December 31, 2013 to \$47.8 billion at December 31, 2014 mainly due to increases of \$1.8 billion in noninterest-bearing demand deposits and \$1.5 billion in savings deposits. These increases were partly offset primarily by a decrease of \$1.6 billion in foreign deposits and \$0.2 billion in time deposits. The Company experienced a significant reduction in foreign deposits as a result of closing some of its Cayman Islands operations. These foreign deposits were transferred to domestic savings and money market deposit accounts.

Also, during 2014, the subsidiary banks redeployed approximately \$1.0 billion in interest-bearing deposits held as investments to security resell agreements.

The FHLB system and Federal Reserve Banks have been and are a source of back-up liquidity, and from time to time, have been a significant source of funding for each of the Company's subsidiary banks. Zions Bank, TCBW, and TCBO are members of the FHLB of Seattle. CB&T, NSB, and NBAZ are members of the FHLB of San Francisco. Vectra is a member of the FHLB of Topeka and Amegy Bank is a member of the FHLB of Dallas. The FHLB allows member banks to borrow against their eligible loans to satisfy liquidity and funding requirements. The subsidiary banks are required to invest in FHLB and Federal Reserve stock to maintain their borrowing capacity.

At December 31, 2014, the amount available for additional FHLB and Federal Reserve borrowings was approximately \$16.4 billion. Loans with a carrying value of approximately \$22.5 billion at December 31, 2014, and \$23.0 billion at December 31, 2013 have been pledged at the Federal Reserve and various FHLBs as collateral for current and potential borrowings. The Company had a de minimis amount (approximately \$22 million) of long-term borrowings outstanding with the FHLB at December 31, 2014, which was a slight decrease from \$23 million at December 31, 2013. The Company had no short-term FHLB or Federal Reserve borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2014, which was unchanged from December 31, 2013. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the subsidiary banks' total investment in FHLB stock was approximately \$104 million and \$105 million, respectively. The subsidiary banks' total investment in Federal Reserve stock was approximately \$121 million at both December 31, 2014 and 2013. The Company's investment activities can provide or use cash, depending on the asset-liability management posture taken. During 2014, investment securities' activities resulted in a net increase in investment securities and a net \$71 million decrease in cash for 2013.

Maturing balances in our subsidiary banks' loan portfolios also provide additional flexibility in managing cash flows. Lending and purchase activity for 2014 resulted in a net cash outflow of \$1.1 billion compared to a net cash outflow of \$1.5 billion for 2013.

During 2014, the Company paid income taxes of \$183 million, compared to \$181 million during 2013.

### **Operational Risk Management**

Operational risk is the risk to current or anticipated earnings or capital arising from inadequate or failed internal processes or systems, human errors or misconduct, or adverse external events. In its ongoing efforts to identify and manage operational risk, the Company has an Enterprise Risk Management department whose responsibility is to help employees, management and the Board to assess, understand, measure, monitor and manage risk in accordance with the Company's Risk Appetite Framework. We have documented both controls and the Control Self Assessment related to financial reporting under the 2013 framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991.

To manage and minimize its operational risk, the Company has in place transactional documentation requirements; systems and procedures to monitor transactions and positions; systems and procedures to detect and mitigate attempts to commit fraud, penetrate the Company's systems or telecommunications, access customer data, and/or deny normal access to those systems to the Company's legitimate customers; regulatory compliance reviews; and periodic reviews by the Company's Internal Audit and Credit Examination departments. Reconciliation procedures have been established to ensure that data processing systems consistently and accurately capture critical data.

Further, we undertake significant efforts to maintain contingency and business continuity plans for operational support in the event of natural or other disasters. We also mitigate operational risk through the purchase of insurance, including errors and omissions and professional liability insurance.

The Company is continually improving its oversight of operational risk, including enhancement of risk identification, risk and control self-assessments, and antifraud measures, which are reported to the Operational Risk Committee, the Enterprise Risk Management Committee, and the Risk Oversight Committee of the Board on a regular basis. Late in 2013, the Company further improved operational risk management by creating and staffing the position of Director of Corporate Operational Risk in order to consolidate and enhance its risk oversight functions.

The number and sophistication of attempts to disrupt or penetrate the Company's critical systems, sometimes referred to as hacking, cyberfraud, cyberattacks, cyberterrorism, or other similar names, also continue to grow. On a daily basis, the Company, its customers, and other financial institutions are subject to a large number of such attempts. The Company has established systems and procedures to monitor, thwart or mitigate damage from such attempts. However, in some instances we, or our customers, have been victimized by cyberfraud (related losses to the Company have not been material), or some of our customers have been temporarily unable to routinely access our online systems as a result of, for example, distributed denial of service attacks. The Company continues to review this area of its operations to help ensure that we manage this risk in an effective manner.

# CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

# Overview

The Board of Directors is responsible for approving the policies associated with capital management. The Board has established the Capital Management Committee ("CMC") whose primary responsibility is to recommend and administer the approved capital policies that govern the capital management of the Company and its subsidiary banks. Other major CMC responsibilities include:

Setting overall capital targets within the Board-approved capital policy, monitoring performance compared to the Company's Capital Policy limits, and recommending changes to capital including dividends, common stock repurchases, subordinated debt, and changes in major strategies to maintain the Company and its subsidiary banks at well capitalized levels;

Maintaining an adequate capital cushion to withstand adverse stress events while continuing to meet the

• lending needs of its customers, and to provide reasonable assurance of continued access to wholesale funding, consistent with fiduciary responsibilities to depositors and bondholders; and

Reviewing agency ratings of the Parent and its subsidiary banks and establishing target ratings.

The Company has a fundamental financial objective to consistently produce superior risk-adjusted returns on its shareholders' capital. We believe that a strong capital position is vital to continued profitability and to promoting depositor and investor confidence. Specifically, it is the policy of the Parent and each of the subsidiary banks to: Maintain sufficient capital to support current needs;

Maintain an adequate capital cushion to withstand future adverse stress events while continuing to meet borrowing needs of its customers; and

Meet fiduciary responsibilities to depositors and bondholders while managing capital distributions to shareholders through dividends and repurchases of common stock so as to be consistent with Federal Reserve guidelines SR 09-04 and 12 U.S.C §§ 56 and 60.

### Table of Contents

### Capital Plan and Stress Tests

The CMC oversees the Company's capital stress testing under a variety of adverse economic and market scenarios. The Company has established processes to periodically conduct stress tests to evaluate potential impacts to the Company under hypothetical economic scenarios. These stress tests facilitate our contingency planning and management of capital and liquidity within quantitative limits reflecting the Board of Directors' risk appetite. These processes are also used to complete the Company's DFAST, as required by the Dodd-Frank Act, and CCAR as required by the Federal Reserve.

Filing a capital plan with the Federal Reserve based on stress-testing and documented sound policies, processes, models, controls, and governance practices, and the subsequent review by the Federal Reserve, is an annual regulatory requirement. This capital plan, which is subject to objection by the Federal Reserve, governs all of the Company's capital and significant unsecured debt financing actions for a period of five quarters. Among the actions governed by the capital plan are the repurchase of outstanding capital securities and the timing of new capital issuances, and whether the Company can pay or increase dividends. Any such action not included in a capital plan to which the Federal Reserve has not objected cannot be executed without submission of a revised stress test and capital plan for Federal Reserve review and non-objection; de minimis changes are allowed without a complete plan resubmission, subject to receipt of a Federal Reserve non-objection. Regulations require Company disclosure of these stress tests results. The Company submitted its 2015 capital plan to the Federal Reserve on January 5, 2015. The Federal Reserve has indicated it will announce the results of its CCAR 2015 review by mid-March.

The Company originally submitted its 2014 capital plan to the Federal Reserve on January 6, 2014. The Company subsequently notified the Federal Reserve of its intention to resubmit its capital plan to reflect the impact of the Volcker Rule, and the impact of its decision to sell certain CDO securities to improve the Company's risk profile. In February 2014, the Federal Reserve granted its approval for a resubmission. In March 2014, the Federal Reserve notified the Company that, based upon its original capital plan, the Company's capital ratios would not have met certain minimum requirements of the Federal Reserve's capital adequacy rules under results projected by the Federal Reserve using the hypothetical severely adverse economic stress scenario in the Dodd-Frank Act Stress Test ("DFAST"). The DFAST results were worse than those projected by the Company with regard to pretax, pre-provision net revenue and credit losses for some loan types.

Under the Federal Reserve's capital planning rules, this failure to maintain required minimum capital ratios as projected by the Federal Reserve under its severely adverse stress scenario triggered a requirement that the Company conduct a new stress test and submit a new capital plan. The Company resubmitted its 2014 capital plan and stress test on April 30, 2014 to the Federal Reserve. The resubmitted plan incorporated the impact of the IFR CDO exemption, the impact of the sales of CDO securities that occurred in the first quarter of 2014, and an increase in the amount of new common equity that the Company proposed to issue to \$400 million.

On July 25, 2014, the Federal Reserve announced that it did not object to the Company's 2014 resubmitted capital plan. The post-stress Tier 1 common ratio computed by the Federal Reserve met the CCAR requirements. However, the Company determined to increase the new common equity issuance to \$525 million as discussed previously.

#### Capital Management Actions

Total shareholders' equity increased by 14.1% from \$6.5 billion at December 31, 2013 to \$7.4 billion at December 31, 2014. The increase in total shareholders' equity is primarily due to the issuance of \$525.0 million of common stock, \$398.5 million of net income, and a \$76.9 million improvement in net unrealized losses on investment securities recorded in AOCI, partially offset by \$103.1 million of dividends recorded on preferred and common stock. The improvement in net unrealized losses on investment securities during 2014 was primarily the result of an increase in the fair value of investment securities, partially offset by the recognition in earnings of net fixed income securities

gains on investment securities sold.

#### Table of Contents

The Company has maintained its quarterly dividend on common stock at \$0.04 per share since the second quarter of 2013, which was an increase of \$0.03 per share from the \$0.01 per share paid during the first quarter of 2013 and during each quarter of 2012. The Company paid \$31.3 million in dividends on common stock during 2014, compared to \$24.1 million during 2013. During its January 2015 meeting, the Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.04 per common share payable on February 26, 2015 to shareholders of record on February 19, 2015.

The Company recorded preferred stock dividends of \$71.9 million for 2014 and \$95.5 million for 2013. The current annualized run rate of preferred dividends is approximately \$63 million annually or approximately \$15 million to \$16 million quarterly, consistent with the amounts recorded during the last three quarters of 2014. Banking organizations are required by capital regulations to maintain adequate levels of capital as measured by several regulatory capital ratios. The Company' capital ratios as of December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 under Basel I are shown in Schedule 36. Also shown are pro forma ratios as of December 31, 2014 under Basel III, which is discussed subsequently.

#### Schedule 36

#### CAPITAL AND PERFORMANCE RATIOS

	Basel I						Basel III December 31, 2014 pro forma			
	2014		2013		2012		2015 Phase-in	n	Fully Phased-	in
Tangible common equity ratio	9.48	%	8.02	%	7.09	%				
Tangible equity ratio	11.27	%	9.85	%	9.15	%				
Average equity to average assets	12.57	%	11.81	%	12.22	%				
Risk-based capital ratios:										
Tier 1 common	11.92	%	10.18	%	9.80	%	11.82	%	11.80	%
Tier 1 leverage	11.82	%	10.48	%	10.96	%	11.59	%	11.43	%
Tier 1 risk-based	14.47	%	12.77	%	13.38	%	14.03	%	13.84	%
Total risk-based	16.27	%	14.67	%	15.05	%	16.08	%	15.98	%
Return on average common equity	5.42	%	5.73	%	3.76	%				
Tangible return on average tangible common equity	6.70	%	7.44	%	5.18	%				

Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements provides additional information on risk-based capital.

At December 31, 2014, regulatory Tier 1 risk-based capital and total risk-based capital were \$6.6 billion and \$7.4 billion, respectively, compared to \$5.8 billion and \$6.6 million at December 31, 2013.

#### Basel III

The Basel III Capital Rules, which effectively replaced the Basel I rules, became effective for the Company on January 1, 2015 (subject to phase-in periods for certain of their components). In 2013, the FRB, FDIC, and OCC published final rules (the "Basel III Capital Rules") establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations. The rules implement the Basel Committee's December 2010 framework, commonly referred to as Basel III, for strengthening international capital standards as well as certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The Basel III Capital Rules substantially revise the risk-based capital requirements applicable to bank holding companies and depository institutions, including the Company, compared to the current U.S. risk-based capital rules.

Decal III

A detailed discussion of Basel III requirements, including implications for the Company, are contained on page 8 of the "Supervision and Regulation" section under Part 1, Item 1 in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Company believes that, as of December 31, 2014, the Company and its subsidiary banks would meet all capital adequacy requirements under the Basel III Capital Rules on a fully phased-in basis if such requirements were currently effective. See Schedule 38 regarding the pro forma Basel III ratios at December 31, 2014.

#### GAAP to NON-GAAP RECONCILIATIONS

#### 1. Tier 1 common capital

Traditionally, the Federal Reserve and other banking regulators have assessed a bank's capital adequacy based on Tier 1 capital, the calculation of which is codified in federal banking regulations. In 2013, the FRB issued final rules establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations, including the new CET1 capital measure. The new capital rules became effective for the Company on January 1, 2015; however, some key regulatory changes to the calculation of this measure are phased in over several years. The CET1 capital ratio is the core capital component of the Basel III standards, and we believe that it increasingly is becoming a key ratio considered by regulators, investors, and analysts. There is a difference between this ratio calculated using Basel I definitions of T1C capital and those definitions using Basel III rules. We present the calculation of key regulatory capital ratios, including T1C capital, using the governing definition at the end of each quarter, taking into account applicable phase-in rules.

T1C capital is often expressed as a percentage of risk-weighted assets. Under the current risk-based capital framework applicable to the Company, a bank's balance sheet assets and credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet items are assigned to one of four broad "Basel I" risk categories for banks, like our subsidiary banks, that have not adopted the Basel II "Advanced Measurement Approach." The aggregated dollar amount in each category is then multiplied by the risk weighting assigned to that category. The resulting weighted values from each of the four categories are added together and this sum is the risk-weighted assets total that, as adjusted, comprises the denominator of certain risk-based capital ratios. Tier 1 capital is then divided by this denominator (risk-weighted assets) to determine the Tier 1 capital ratio. Adjustments are made to Tier 1 capital to arrive at T1C capital. T1C capital is also divided by the risk-weighted assets to determine the T1C capital ratio. The amounts disclosed as risk-weighted assets are calculated consistent with banking regulatory requirements.

Schedule 37 provides a reconciliation of controlling interest shareholders' equity (GAAP) to Tier 1 capital (regulatory) and to T1C capital (non-GAAP) using current U.S. regulatory treatment and not proposed Basel III calculations.

#### Schedule 37 TIER 1 COMMON CAPITAL (NON-GAAP)

	December 31,								
(Amounts in millions)	2014	51,	2013		2012				
Controlling interest shareholders' equity (GAAP) Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$7,370 128		\$6,465 192		\$6,052 446				
Nonqualifying goodwill and intangibles	(1,040	)	(1,050	)	(1,065	)			
Disallowed deferred tax assets	—				_				
Other regulatory adjustments	(1	)	(6	)	3				
Qualifying trust preferred securities	163		163		448				
Tier 1 capital (regulatory)	6,620		5,764		5,884				
Qualifying trust preferred securities	(163	)	(163	)	(448	)			
Preferred stock	(1,004	)	(1,004	)	(1,128	)			
Tier 1 common capital (non-GAAP)	\$5,453		\$4,597		\$4,308				
Risk-weighted assets (regulatory)	\$45,738		\$45,146		\$43,970				
Tier 1 common capital to risk-weighted assets (non-GAAP)	11.92	%	10.18	%	9.80	%			

#### 2. Basel III Risk-Based Capital Ratios, Pro Forma

This Annual Report on Form 10-K presents Basel III risk-based capital ratios at December 31, 2014 on a pro forma basis as if such requirements were currently effective. They are presented under the year 1 phase-in assumptions, which will be used for 2015, and under the fully phased-in assumptions which will occur in 2019.

Schedule 38 presents risk-based capital amounts under the currently effective Basel I rules and, after adjustment, under the Basel III requirements as if such requirements were currently effective. The pro forma Basel III risk-based capital ratios are then computed.

Schedule 38

Basel III Risk-Based Capital Ratios (Pro Forma, NON-GAAP)

(Amounts in millions)	Basel III December 31, 20 pro forma 2015 Phase-in	14 Fully Phased-	in
Basel I Tier 1 capital (Regulatory)	\$6,620	\$6,620	)
Basel III adjustments	(131 )	(226	
Projected Basel III Tier 1 capital (non-GAAP) (a)	6,489	6,394	
Basel 1 Tier 1 common capital (non-GAAP) (see Schedule 37) Basel III adjustments Projected Basel III Tier 1 common capital (non-GAAP) (b)	5,453 16 5,469	5,453 1 5,454	
Basel 1 Total risk-based capital (Regulatory)	7,443	7,443	)
Basel III adjustments	(4 )	(58	
Projected Basel III total risk-based capital (non-GAAP) (c)	7,439	7,385	
Basel I risk-weighted assets (Regulatory)	45,738	45,738	
Basel III adjustments	519	475	
Projected Basel III risk-weighted assets (non-GAAP) (d)	46,257	46,213	
Basel I tier 1 leverage risk-weighted assets (Regulatory)	55,987	55,987	)
Basel III adjustments	(9)	(63	
Projected Basel I tier 1 leverage risk-weighted assets (non-GAAP) (e)	\$55,978	\$55,924	
Non-GAAP Projected Basel III risk-based capital ratios: Tier 1 common (b/d) Tier 1 leverage (a/e) Tier 1 risk-based (a/d) Total risk-based (c/d)	11.82       %         11.59       %         14.03       %         16.08       %	11.43 13.84	% % %

3. Tangible return on average tangible common equity

This Annual Report on Form 10-K presents "tangible return on average tangible common equity" which excludes, net of tax, the amortization of core deposit and other intangibles and impairment loss on goodwill from net earnings

applicable to common shareholders, and average goodwill and core deposit and other intangibles from average common equity.

Schedule 39 provides a reconciliation of net earnings applicable to common shareholders (GAAP) to net earnings applicable to common shareholders, excluding net of tax, the effects of amortization of core deposit and other intangibles and impairment loss on goodwill (non-GAAP), and average common equity (GAAP) to average tangible common equity (non-GAAP).

#### Schedule 39

TANGIBLE RETURN ON AVERAGE TANGIBLE COMMON EQUITY (	NON-GA	AP)				
	Year End	r Ended Decembe				
(Amounts in millions)201Net earnings applicable to common shareholders (GAAP) Adjustments, net of tax: Impairment loss on goodwill Amortization of core deposit and other intangibles\$32Amortization of core deposit and other intangibles Net earnings applicable to common shareholders, excluding the effects of the adjustments, net of tax (non-GAAP) (a)\$33Average common equity (GAAP) Average goodwill Average core deposit and other intangibles Average tangible common equity (non-GAAP) (b)\$6,0Tangible return on average tangible common equity (non-GAAP) (a/b)\$6,70	2014		2013		2012	
Not corrige applicable to common shareholders $(C \land A D)$	\$ 276 6		\$294.0		\$178.6	
	\$ <i>32</i> 0.0		\$ <i>2</i> 94.0		\$1/0.0	
5					0.6	
Amortization of core deposit and other intangibles	6.9		9.1		10.8	
	\$333.5		\$303.1		\$190.0	
Average common equity (GAAP)	\$6,024		\$5,130		\$4,745	
	(1,014	)	(1,014	)	(1,015	)
Average core deposit and other intangibles	(31	)	(44	)	(59	)
Average tangible common equity (non-GAAP) (b)	\$4,979		\$4,072		\$3,671	
Tangible return on average tangible common equity (non-GAAP) (a/b) 4. Total shareholders' equity to tangible equity and tangible common equity	6.70	%	7.44	%	5.18	%

This Annual Report on Form 10-K presents "tangible equity" and "tangible common equity" which excludes goodwill and core deposit and other intangibles for both measures and preferred stock and noncontrolling interests for tangible common equity.

Schedule 40 provides a reconciliation of total shareholders' equity (GAAP) to both tangible equity (non-GAAP) and tangible common equity (non-GAAP).

Schedule 40

TANGIBLE EQUITY (NON-GAAP) AND TANGIBLE COMMON EQUITY (NON-GAAP)

(Amounts in millions)	December 31,					
(Amounts in millions)	2014	2013		2012		
Total shareholders' equity (GAAP)	\$7,370	\$6,465		\$6,049		
Goodwill	(1,014	(1,014	)	(1,014	)	
Core deposit and other intangibles	(26	(36	)	(51	)	
Tangible equity (non-GAAP) (a)	6,330	5,415		4,984		
Preferred stock	(1,004	(1,004	)	(1,128	)	
Noncontrolling interests				3		
Tangible common equity (non-GAAP) (b)	\$5,326	\$4,411		\$3,859		
Total assets (GAAP)	\$57,209	\$56,031		\$55,512		
Goodwill	(1,014	(1,014	)	(1,014	)	
Core deposit and other intangibles	(26	(36	)	(51	)	
Tangible assets (non-GAAP) (c)	\$56,169	\$54,981		\$54,447		
Tangible equity ratio (a/c)	11.27	% 9.85	%	9.15	%	
Tangible common equity ratio (b/c)	9.48	% 8.02	%	7.09	%	
	1. 11 0.447					

For items 3 and 4, the identified adjustments to reconcile from the applicable GAAP financial measures to the non-GAAP financial measures are included where applicable in financial results or in the balance sheet presented in accordance with GAAP. We consider these adjustments to be relevant to ongoing operating results and financial position.

We believe that excluding the amounts associated with these adjustments to present the non-GAAP financial measures provides a meaningful base for period-to-period and company-to-company comparisons, which will assist regulators, investors, and analysts in analyzing the operating results or financial position of the Company and in

#### Table of Contents

predicting future performance. These non-GAAP financial measures are used by management to assess the performance of the Company's business or its financial position for evaluating bank reporting segment performance, for presentations of the Company's performance to investors, and for other reasons as may be requested by investors and analysts. We further believe that presenting these non-GAAP financial measures will permit investors and analysts to assess the performance of the Company on the same basis as that applied by management. Non-GAAP financial measures have inherent limitations, are not required to be uniformly applied, and are not audited. Although these non-GAAP financial measures are frequently used by stakeholders to evaluate a company, they have limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of results as reported under GAAP.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK Information required by this Item is included in "Interest Rate and Market Risk Management" in MD&A beginning on page 76 and is hereby incorporated by reference.

#### Table of Contents

#### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

#### REPORT ON MANAGEMENT'S ASSESSMENT OF INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of Zions Bancorporation and subsidiaries ("the Company") is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company as defined by Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Although any system of internal control can be compromised by human error or intentional circumvention of required procedures, we believe our system provides reasonable assurance that financial transactions are recorded and reported properly, providing an adequate basis for reliable financial statements.

The Company's management has used the criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013 framework) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") to evaluate the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Company's management has assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 and has concluded that such internal control over financial reporting is effective. There are no material weaknesses in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have been identified by the Company's management.

Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2014 and has also issued an attestation report, which is included herein, on internal control over financial reporting under Auditing Standard No. 5 of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB").

# REPORTS OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Audit Committee of the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Zions Bancorporation and Subsidiaries We have audited Zions Bancorporation and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). Zions Bancorporation and subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Report on Management's Assessment of Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Zions Bancorporation and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Zions Bancorporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014 of Zions Bancorporation and subsidiaries and our report dated February 27, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Salt Lake City, Utah February 27, 2015

#### Table of Contents

#### REPORT ON CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Audit Committee of the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Zions Bancorporation and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Zions Bancorporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Zions Bancorporation and subsidiaries at December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Zions Bancorporation and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 27, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Salt Lake City, Utah February 27, 2015

# ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS		
(In thousands, except shares)	December 31, 2014	2013
ASSETS Cash and due from banks Monay market investments:	\$845,905	\$1,175,083
Money market investments: Interest-bearing deposits Federal funds sold and security resell agreements Investment securities:	7,174,134 1,386,291	8,175,048 282,248
Held-to-maturity, at adjusted cost (approximate fair value \$677,196 and \$609,547) Available-for-sale, at fair value Trading account, at fair value	647,252 3,844,248 70,601 4,562,101	588,981 3,701,886 34,559 4,325,426
Loans held for sale	132,504	171,328
Loans and leases, net of unearned income and fees Less allowance for loan losses Loans, net of allowance	40,064,016 604,663 39,459,353	39,043,365 746,291 38,297,074
Other noninterest-bearing investments Premises and equipment, net Goodwill Core deposit and other intangibles Other real estate owned Other assets	865,950 829,809 1,014,129 25,520 18,916 894,262 \$57,208,874	855,642 726,372 1,014,129 36,444 46,105 926,228 \$56,031,127
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Deposits:		
Noninterest-bearing demand Interest-bearing:	\$20,528,287	\$18,758,753
Savings and money market Time Foreign	24,583,636 2,406,924 328,391 47,847,238	23,029,928 2,593,038 1,980,161 46,361,880
Federal funds and other short-term borrowings Long-term debt Reserve for unfunded lending commitments Other liabilities Total liabilities	244,223 1,092,282 81,076 574,525 49,839,344	340,348 2,273,575 89,705 501,056 49,566,564
Shareholders' equity: Proferred stock, without per value, authorized 4,400,000 shares	1 004 011	1 002 070
Preferred stock, without par value, authorized 4,400,000 shares Common stock, without par value; authorized 350,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 203,014,903 and 184,677,696 shares	1,004,011 4,723,855	1,003,970 4,179,024

Retained earnings	1,769,705	1,473,670
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(128,041	) (192,101 )
Total shareholders' equity	7,369,530	6,464,563
	\$57,208,874	\$56,031,127
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME			
(In thousands, except per share amounts)	Year Ended I 2014	December 31, 2013	2012
Interest income:			
Interest and fees on loans	\$1,729,643	\$1,814,600	\$1,889,884
Interest on money market investments	21,414	23,363	21,080
Interest on securities	101,936	103,442	127,758
Total interest income	1,852,993	1,941,405	2,038,722
Interest expense:	, ,	, ,	, ,
Interest on deposits	49,736	58,913	80,146
Interest on short- and long-term borrowings	123,262	186,164	226,636
Total interest expense	172,998	245,077	306,782
Net interest income	1,679,995	1,696,328	1,731,940
Provision for loan losses			) 14,227
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	1,778,077	1,783,464	1,717,713
Noninterest income:			
Service charges and fees on deposit accounts	174,024	176,339	176,401
Other service charges, commissions and fees	191,516	181,473	174,420
Wealth management income	30,573	29,913	28,402
Loan sales and servicing income	26,049	35,293	39,929
Capital markets and foreign exchange	22,416	28,051	26,810
Dividends and other investment income	43,662	46,062	55,825
Fair value and nonhedge derivative loss	(11,390	) (18,152	) (21,782 )
Equity securities gains, net	13,471	8,520	11,253
Fixed income securities gains (losses), net	10,419	(2,898	) 19,544
Impairment losses on investment securities	(27	) (188,606	) (166,257 )
Less amounts recognized in other comprehensive income		23,472	62,196
Net impairment losses on investment securities	(27	) (165,134	) (104,061 )
Other	7,925	17,940	13,129
Total noninterest income	508,638	337,407	419,870
Noninterest expense:			
Salaries and employee benefits	956,428	912,918	885,661
Occupancy, net	115,701	112,303	112,947
Furniture, equipment and software	115,312	106,629	108,990
Other real estate expense		) 1,712	19,723
Credit-related expense	27,985	33,653	50,518
Provision for unfunded lending commitments		) (=-,)==-,	) 4,387
Professional and legal services	66,011	67,968	52,509
Advertising	25,100	23,362	25,720
FDIC premiums	32,174	38,019	43,401
Amortization of core deposit and other intangibles	10,923	14,375	17,010
Debt extinguishment cost	44,422	120,192	
Other	281,116	300,412	275,151
Total noninterest expense	1,665,292	1,714,439	1,596,017
Income before income taxes	621,423	406,432	541,566
Income taxes	222,961	142,977	193,416
Net income	398,462	263,455	348,150

)

)

)

Net loss applicable to noncontrolling interests Net income applicable to controlling interest	 398,462	(336 263,791	) (1,366 349,516	)
Preferred stock dividends	(71,894	) (95,512	) (170,885	)
Preferred stock redemption		125,700		
Net earnings applicable to common shareholders	\$326,568	\$293,979	\$178,631	
Weighted average common shares outstanding during the year: Basic shares Diluted shares Net earnings per common share: Basic Diluted See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.	192,207 192,789 \$1.68 1.68	183,844 184,297 \$1.58 1.58	183,081 183,236 \$0.97 0.97	

### ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In the woon do)	Year Ended De	cember 31,			
(In thousands)	2014	2013	2012		
NI-t in come	¢ 20.9 462	\$ 262 455	¢ 249 150		
Net income	\$398,462	\$263,455	\$348,150		
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:	00.001		100.000		
Net unrealized holding gains on investment securities	82,204	145,902	128,992		
Noncredit-related impairment losses on investment securities not		(13,751)	(38,406)	)	
expected to be sold		(15,751 )	(30,100	'	
Reclassification to earnings for realized net fixed income securities	(6,447)	1,775	(12,204)	`	
losses (gains)	(0,447)	1,775	(12,204)	,	
Reclassification to earnings for net credit-related impairment losses	17	00.002	(2, 5)		
on investment securities	1/	99,903	63,564		
Accretion of securities with noncredit-related impairment losses not		1.050	6.062		
expected to be sold	1,111	1,258	6,863		
Net unrealized gains (losses) on other noninterest-bearing					
investments	(390)	(4,503)	338		
Net unrealized holding gains (losses) on derivative instruments	2,664	(431)	247		
Reclassification adjustment for increase in interest income	2,004	(451)	277		
	(1,605)	(1,580)	(7,857)	)	
recognized in earnings on derivative instruments	(12.40.4	05 492	4 200		
Pension and postretirement	(13,494 )	25,483	4,390		
Other comprehensive income	64,060	254,056	145,927		
Comprehensive income	462,522	517,511	494,077		
Comprehensive loss applicable to noncontrolling interests		(336)	(1,366)	)	
Comprehensive income applicable to controlling interest	\$462,522	\$517,847	\$495,443		
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.					
- · ·					

#### ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

		Common sto	ck				Accumulated other			Total	
(In thousands, except shares and per share amounts)	s Preferred stock	Shares	Amount		Retained earnings		comprehensiv income (loss)	Noncon e interests	tro	Total lling shareholde equity	ers'
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$2,377,560	184,135,388	\$4,163,242	2	\$1,036,590	)	\$(592,084)	\$(2,08	))	\$6,983,22	8
Net income (loss) applicable to controlling interest					349,516			(1,366	)	348,150	
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax							145,927			145,927	
Issuance of preferred stock Preferred stock redemption			(2,408 3,830	)	(3,830	)				141,342 (1,542,500	))
Subordinated debt converted to preferred stock			(15,232	)						89,564	
Net activity under employed plans and related tax benefits	ë	63,810	16,677							16,677	
Dividends on preferred stock	44,696				(170,885	)				(126,189	)
Dividends on common stock, \$0.04 per share					(7,392	)				(7,392	)
Change in deferred compensation Other changes in					(184	)				(184	)
noncontrolling interests								18		18	
Balance at December 31, 2012 Net income (loss)	1,128,302	184,199,198	4,166,109		1,203,815		(446,157)	(3,428	)	6,048,641	
applicable to controlling interest					263,791			(336	)	263,455	
Other comprehensive income, net of tax							254,056			254,056	
Issuance of preferred stock Preferred stock redemption			(15,682 580	)	125,700					784,318 (799,468	)
Subordinated debt converted to preferred stock			(206	)						1,210	
Net activity under employed plans and related tax benefits	9	478,498	32,389							32,389	
Dividends on preferred stock	_				(95,512	)				(95,512	)
					(24,094	)				(24,094	)

Dividends on common stock, \$0.13 per share Change in deferred compensation				(30	)			(30	)
Other changes in noncontrolling interests			(4,166	)			3,764	(402	)
Balance at December 31, 2013 Net income (loss)	1,003,970	184,677,696	4,179,024	1,473,670		(192,101)	_	6,464,563	
applicable to controlling interest				398,462			_	398,462	
Other comprehensive income, net of tax						64,060		64,060	
Issuance of common stock		17,617,450	515,856					515,856	
Subordinated debt converted to preferred stock Net activity under employed	41 e		(7	)				34	
plans and related tax benefits		719,757	28,982					28,982	
Dividends on preferred stock				(71,894	)			(71,894	)
Dividends on common stock, \$0.16 per share				(31,216	)			(31,216	)
Change in deferred compensation				683				683	
Other changes in noncontrolling interests			_					_	
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$1,004,011	203,014,903	\$4,723,855	\$1,769,70	5	\$(128,041)	\$—	\$7,369,530	)
See accompanying notes to	consolidated	financial state	ements.						

#### ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

consolidated statements of easifileows						
(In thousands)	Year Ended E 2014		December 31, 2013		2012	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Net income			\$263,455		\$348,150	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by	\$398,462		,,			
operating activities:						
Debt extinguishment cost	44,422		120,192			
Net impairment losses on investment securities, goodwill, and long-lived	07				106 545	
assets	27		165,134		106,545	
Provision for credit losses	(106,711	)	(104,240	)	18,614	
Depreciation and amortization	128,648		130,616		185,185	
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	25,938		(60,117	)	9,788	
Net decrease (increase) in trading securities	(36,045	)	(6,286	)	11,983	
Net decrease (increase) in loans held for sale	38,610		80,323		(31,445	)
Change in other liabilities	42,470		(2,051	)	27,439	
Change in other assets	(51,004	)	255,564		71,772	
Other, net	(30,710	)	(2,325	)	(11,836	)
Net cash provided by operating activities	454,107		840,265		736,195	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES						
Net decrease (increase) in money market investments	(103,129	)	297,036		(1,631,278	)
Proceeds from maturities and paydowns of investment securities	108,404		130,938		128,278	
held-to-maturity	-					
Purchases of investment securities held-to-maturity	(164,704	)	(155,328	)	(86,790	)
Proceeds from sales, maturities, and paydowns of investment securities	1,779,327		1,104,010		1,212,047	
available-for-sale						
Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale	-		(1,325,704			)
Net change in loans and leases			(1,452,184		-	)
Net purchases of premises and equipment	(175,799	)	(88,580	)	(68,894	)
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned	54,056		110,058		204,818	、 、
Net cash received from (paid for) divestitures			3,786		(19,901	)
Other, net	34,916	``	19,109	`	40,014	`
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,340,557	)	(1,356,859	)	(1,879,542	)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES						
Net increase in deposits	1,485,358		228,807		3,286,823	
Net change in short-term funds borrowed	(96,125	)	(12,274	)	(370,264	)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt			646,408		757,610	,
Repayments of long-term debt	(1,223,275	)	(832,122	)	(372,891	)
Debt extinguishment cost paid	(35,435	Ś	(45,812	)		,
Cash paid for preferred stock redemptions		'	(799,468	)	(1,542,500	)
Proceeds from the issuance of common and preferred stock	526,438		794,143	'	143,240	/
Dividends paid on common and preferred stock	(96,130	)	(119,660	)	(133,581	)
Other, net	(3,559	)	(10,252	-	(7,533	Ś
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	557,272	'	(150,230		1,760,904	/
Net increase (decrease) in cash and due from banks	(329,178	)	(666,824		617,557	
		'	(,	'		

Cash and due from banks at beginning of year Cash and due from banks at end of year	1,175,083 \$845,905	1,841,907 \$1,175,083	1,224,350 \$1,841,907
Cash paid for interest	\$152,783	\$191,897	\$214,673
Net cash paid for income taxes	182,954	181,318	183,348
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.			

#### ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2014

# 1.SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Business

Zions Bancorporation ("the Parent") is a financial holding company headquartered in Salt Lake City, Utah, which provides a full range of banking and related services through its subsidiary banks in 11 Western and Southwestern states as follows: Zions First National Bank ("Zions Bank"), in Utah, Idaho and Wyoming; California Bank & Trust ("CB&T"); Amegy Corporation ("Amegy") and its subsidiary, Amegy Bank, in Texas; National Bank of Arizona ("NBAZ"); Nevada State Bank ("NSB"); Vectra Bank Colorado ("Vectra"), in Colorado and New Mexico; The Commerce Bank of Washington ("TCBW"); and The Commerce Bank of Oregon ("TCBO"). Pursuant to a Board resolution adopted November 21, 2014, TCBO will merge into TCBW effective March 31, 2015. The Parent and its subsidiary banks also own and operate certain nonbank subsidiaries that engage in financial services.

#### Basis of Financial Statement Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Parent and its majority-owned subsidiaries ("the Company," "we," "our," "us"). Unconsolidated investments in which there is a greater than 20% ownership are accounted for by the equity method of accounting; those in which there is less than 20% ownership are accounted for under cost, fair value, or equity methods of accounting. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and prevailing practices within the financial services industry. References to GAAP, including standards promulgated by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB"), are made according to sections of the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC"). Changes to the ASC are made with Accounting Standards Updates ("ASU") that include consensus issues of the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF"). In certain cases, ASUs are issued jointly with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS").

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, we are required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

#### Variable Interest Entities

A variable interest entity ("VIE") is consolidated when a company is the primary beneficiary of the VIE. Current accounting guidance requires continuous analysis on a qualitative rather than a quantitative basis to determine the primary beneficiary of a VIE. At the commencement of our involvement and periodically thereafter, we consider our consolidation conclusions for all entities with which we are involved. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, no VIEs have been consolidated in the Company's financial statements.

#### Statement of Cash Flows

For purposes of presentation in the consolidated statements of cash flows, "cash and cash equivalents" are defined as those amounts included in cash and due from banks in the consolidated balance sheets.

#### Security Resell Agreements

Security resell agreements represent overnight and term agreements with the majority maturing within 30 days. These agreements are generally treated as collateralized financing transactions and are carried at amounts at which the securities were acquired plus accrued interest. Either the Company, or in some instances third parties on its behalf,

take possession of the underlying securities. The fair value of such securities is monitored throughout the

contract term to ensure that asset values remain sufficient to protect against counterparty default. We are permitted by contract to sell or repledge certain securities that we accept as collateral for security resell agreements. If sold, our obligation to return the collateral is recorded as "securities sold, not yet purchased" and included as a liability in "Federal funds and other short-term borrowings." At December 31, 2014, we held approximately \$1.2 billion of securities for which we were permitted by contract to sell or repledge. Security resell agreements averaged approximately \$58 million during 2014, and the maximum amount outstanding at any month-end during 2014 was approximately \$1.2 billion.

#### **Investment Securities**

We classify our investment securities according to their purpose and holding period. Gains or losses on the sale of securities are recognized using the specific identification method and recorded in noninterest income.

Held-to-maturity ("HTM") debt securities are stated at adjusted cost, net of unamortized premiums and unaccreted discounts. The Company has the intent and ability to hold such securities until recovery of their amortized cost basis. However, see further discussion in Note 5 regarding the Company's change in intent prior to December 31, 2013 for certain collateralized debt obligation ("CDO") securities.

Available-for-sale ("AFS") securities are stated at fair value and generally consist of debt securities held for investment and marketable equity securities not accounted for under the equity method. Unrealized gains and losses of AFS securities, after applicable taxes, are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income ("OCI").

We review quarterly our investment securities portfolio for any declines in value that are considered to be other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI"). The process, methodology and factors considered to evaluate securities for OTTI are discussed further in Note 5. Noncredit-related OTTI on securities we intend to sell and credit-related OTTI regardless of intent are recognized in earnings. OTTI is recognized as a realized loss through earnings when our best estimate of discounted cash flows expected to be collected is less than our amortized cost basis. Noncredit-related OTTI on securities not expected to be sold is recognized in OCI.

Trading securities are stated at fair value and consist of securities acquired for short-term appreciation or other trading purposes. Realized and unrealized gains and losses are recorded in trading income, which is included in capital markets and foreign exchange.

The fair values of investment securities, as estimated under current accounting guidance, are discussed in Note 20.

#### Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses

Loans are reported at the principal amount outstanding, net of unearned income. Unearned income, which includes deferred fees net of deferred direct loan origination costs, is amortized to interest income over the life of the loan using the interest method. Interest income is recognized on an accrual basis.

At the time of origination, we determine whether loans will be held for investment or held for sale. We may subsequently change our intent to hold loans for investment and reclassify them as held for sale. Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value. A valuation allowance is recorded when cost exceeds fair value based on reviews at the time of reclassification and periodically thereafter. Gains and losses are recorded in noninterest income based on the difference between sales proceeds and carrying value.

Loans that become other than current with respect to contractual payments due may be accounted for separately depending on the status of the loan, which is determined from certain credit quality indicators and analysis under the circumstances. The loan status includes past due, nonaccrual, impaired, modified, and restructured (including troubled

debt restructurings "TDRs"). Our accounting policies for these loan types and our estimation of the related allowance for loan losses are discussed further in Note 6.

In the ordinary course of business, we transfer portions of loans under participation agreements to manage credit risk and our portfolio concentration. We evaluate the loan participations to determine if they meet the appropriate accounting guidance to qualify as sales. Certain purchased loans require separate accounting procedures that are also discussed in Note 6.

The allowance for credit losses includes the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments, and represents our estimate of losses inherent in the loan portfolio that may be recognized from loans and lending commitments that are not recoverable. Further discussion of our estimation process for the allowance for credit losses is included in Note 6.

#### Other Noninterest-Bearing Investments

These investments include investments in private equity funds (referred to in this document as private equity investments, or "PEIs"), venture capital securities, securities acquired for various debt and regulatory requirements, bank-owned life insurance, and certain other noninterest-bearing investments. See further discussion in Notes 5, 17 and 20.

Certain private equity investments and venture capital securities are accounted for under the equity method and reported at estimated fair value in the absence of readily ascertainable fair values. Changes in fair value and gains and losses from sales are recognized in noninterest income. The values assigned to the securities where no market quotations exist are based upon available information and may not necessarily represent amounts that will ultimately be realized. Such estimated amounts depend on future circumstances and will not be realized until the individual securities are liquidated.

Bank-owned life insurance is accounted for at fair value based on the cash surrender values of the insurance policies. A third party service provides these values based on the valuations and earnings of the underlying assets. Other private equity investments and those acquired for various debt and regulatory requirements are accounted for at cost. Periodic reviews are conducted for impairment by comparing carrying values with estimates of fair value determined according to the previous discussion.

#### Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation, computed primarily on the straight-line method, is charged to operations over the estimated useful lives of the properties, generally 25 to 40 years for buildings, 3 to 10 years for furniture and equipment, and 3 to 10 years for software, including capitalized costs related to the Company's new lending and deposit systems. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the terms of the respective leases or the estimated useful lives of the improvements, whichever is shorter.

#### Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets

Goodwill and intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives are not amortized. We subject these assets to annual specified impairment tests as of the beginning of the fourth quarter and more frequently if changing conditions warrant. Core deposit assets and other intangibles with finite useful lives are generally amortized on an accelerated basis using an estimated useful life of up to 12 years.

#### **Business Combinations**

Business combinations are accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. Upon initially obtaining control, we recognize 100% of all acquired assets and all assumed liabilities regardless of the percentage owned. The assets and liabilities are recorded at their estimated fair values, with goodwill being recorded when such fair values are less than the cost of acquisition. Certain transaction and restructuring costs are expensed as incurred. Changes to estimated fair values from a business combination are recognized as an adjustment to goodwill over the measurement period, which cannot exceed one year from the acquisition date. Results of operations of the acquired business are included in our statement of income from the date of acquisition.

#### Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate owned ("OREO") consists principally of commercial and residential real estate obtained in partial or total satisfaction of loan obligations. Amounts are recorded at the lower of cost or fair value (less any selling costs) based on property appraisals at the time of transfer and periodically thereafter.

#### **Derivative Instruments**

We use derivative instruments, including interest rate swaps and floors and basis swaps, as part of our overall interest rate risk management strategy. These instruments enable us to manage to desired asset and liability duration and to reduce interest rate risk exposure by matching estimated repricing periods of interest-sensitive assets and liabilities. We also execute derivative instruments with commercial banking customers to facilitate their risk management strategies. These derivatives are immediately hedged by offsetting derivatives with third parties such that we minimize our net risk exposure as a result of such transactions. We record all derivatives at fair value in the balance sheet as either other assets or other liabilities. See further discussion in Note 7.

#### Commitments and Letters of Credit

In the ordinary course of business, we enter into commitments to extend credit, commercial letters of credit, and standby letters of credit. Such financial instruments are recorded in the financial statements when they become payable. The credit risk associated with these commitments is evaluated in a manner similar to the allowance for loan losses. The reserve for unfunded lending commitments is presented separately in the balance sheet.

#### **Revenue Recognition**

Service charges and fees on deposit accounts are recognized in accordance with published deposit account agreements for customer accounts or contractual agreements for commercial accounts. Other service charges, commissions and fees include interchange fees, bank services, and other fees which are generally recognized when earned.

#### Share-Based Compensation

Share-based compensation generally includes grants of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and other awards to employees and nonemployee directors. We recognize compensation expense in the statement of income based on the fair value of the associated share-based awards. See further discussion in Note 16.

#### Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on temporary differences between financial statement asset and liability amounts and their respective tax bases, and are measured using enacted tax laws and rates. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Deferred tax assets are recognized subject to management's judgment that realization is more-likely-than-not. Unrecognized tax benefits for uncertain tax positions relate primarily to state tax contingencies. See further discussion in Note 14.

#### Net Earnings Per Common Share

Net earnings per common share is based on net earnings applicable to common shareholders, which is net of preferred stock dividends. Basic net earnings per common share is based on the weighted average outstanding common shares during each year. Unvested share-based awards with rights to receive nonforfeitable dividends are considered participating securities and included in the computation of basic earnings per share. Diluted net earnings per common share is based on the weighted average outstanding common share is based on the weighted average outstanding common shares during each year, including common stock equivalents. Stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and stock warrants are converted to common stock equivalents using the treasury method. Diluted net earnings per common share excludes common stock equivalents whose effect is antidilutive. See further discussion in Note 15.

## Table of Contents

## 2. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Standard	tandard Description		Effect on the financial statements or other significant matters
Standards that are not yet	adopted		
ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)	The core principle is that a company will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The banking industry does not expect significant changes because major sources of revenue are from financial instruments that have been excluded from the scope of the new standard, (including loans, derivatives, debt and equity securities, etc.). However, the new standard affects other fees charged by banks, such as asset management fees, credit card interchange fees, deposit account fees, etc. Adoption may be made on a full retrospective basis with practical expedients, or on a modified retrospective basis with a cumulative effect adjustment. No early adoption is permitted.	January 1, 2017	While we currently do not expect this standard will have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements, we are still in process of conducting our evaluation.
Standards that were adopt	ed		
ASU 2014-14, Classification of Certain Government-Guaranteed Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure (Subtopic 310-40)	The standard addresses the classification of certain foreclosed mortgage loans fully or partially guaranteed under government programs. Under certain such programs, qualifying creditors can extend mortgage loans with a guarantee entitling the creditor to recover all or a portion of the unpaid principal balance from the government if the borrower defaults. A separate other receivable is established that is measured based on the amount of the loans expected to be recovered.	January 1, 2015	We have adopted this standard and will provide the necessary disclosures in our first quarter 2015 reporting; however, the amounts involved and the difference in dis-closures are not expected to be significant.
ASU 2014-04, Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure (Subtopic 310-40)	The standard clarifies that a creditor should be considered to have physical possession of a residential real estate property collateralizing a residential mortgage loan and thus would reclassify the loan to other real estate owned when certain conditions are satisfied. Additional financial statement disclosures will be required and may be applied on either a prospective or a modified retrospective basis, with early adoption permitted.	January 1, 2015	We have adopted this standard and will provide the necessary disclosures in our first quarter 2015 reporting; however, the difference in disclosures is not expected to be significant.

The standard revised conditions an entity must meet to elect the effective yield method when accounting for qualified affordable housing project investments. The EITF final consensus changed the method of amortizing a Low-Income Housing Tax Credit ("LIHTC") investment from the effective yield method to a proportional amortization method. Amortization January 1. 2015 would be proportional to the tax credits and tax benefits received but, under a practical expedient available in certain circumstances, amortization could be proportional to only the tax credits. Reporting entities that invest in LIHTC investments through a limited liability entity could elect the proportional amortization method if certain conditions are met.

We have adopted this standard; however, it is not expected to have a significant effect on the Company's financial statements in our first quarter 2015 reporting.

ASU 2014-01, Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects (Topic 323)

#### 3. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Noncash activities are summarized as follows:

(In thousands)	Year Ended December 31,				
(In thousands)	2014	2013	2012		
Loans transferred to other real estate owned	\$25,189	\$60,749	\$172,018		
Loans and leases transferred to (from) loans held for sale	(26,272	36,301	—		
Beneficial conversion feature transferred from common stock to preferred stock as a result of subordinated debt conversions		206	15,232		
Subordinated debt converted to preferred stock	34	1,210	89,564		
Preferred stock transferred to common stock as a result of the Series C preferred stock redemption	—	580			
Preferred stock/beneficial conversion feature transferred to retained earnings as result of the Series C preferred stock redemption	_	125,700			
Adjusted cost of HTM securities transferred to AFS securities		181,915	_		

#### 4. OFFSETTING ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Gross and net information for selected financial instruments in the balance sheet is as follows:

December 31, 2014

Gross amounts not offset in (In thousands) the balance sheet Gross Net amounts amounts Gross presented in Financial Cash collateral Description amounts offset in Net amount the balance instruments received/pledged recognized the balance sheet sheet Assets: Federal funds sold and security \$ \_\_\_\_\_ \$1,386,291 \$---\$1,386,291 <u></u> \$1,386,291 resell agreements Derivatives (included in other 66,420 66,420 (3,755) — 62,665 assets) \$1,452,711 \$---\$1,452,711 \$(3,755) \$ ---\$1,448,956 Liabilities: Federal funds and other \$244,223 \$— \$244,223 \$— \$ ----\$244,223 short-term borrowings Derivatives (included in other 66,064 \_\_\_\_ 66,064 (3,755) (31,968 30,341 ) liabilities) \$— \$310,287 \$(3,755) \$ (31,968 \$274,564 \$310,287 ) December 31, 2013 Gross amounts not offset in (In thousands) the balance sheet Financial Cash collateral Description Gross Gross Net amounts Net amount presented in instruments received/pledged amounts amounts recognized offset in the balance balance sheet

		sheet					
Assets: Federal funds sold and other short-term borrowings Derivatives (included in other assets)	\$282,248 65,683	\$—	\$282,248 65,683	\$— (11,650)	\$ — 2,210		\$282,248 56,243
	,		,	,			
	\$347,931	\$—	\$347,931	\$(11,650)	\$ 2,210		\$338,491
Liabilities:							
Federal funds and other short-term borrowings Derivatives (included in other liabilities)	\$340,348	\$—	\$340,348	\$—	\$ —		\$340,348
	68,397	_	68,397	(11,650)	(26,997	)	29,750
	\$408,745	\$—	\$408,745	\$(11,650)	\$ (26,997	)	\$370,098
106							

Security resell and repurchase agreements are offset, when applicable, in the balance sheet according to master netting agreements. Security repurchase agreements are included with "Federal funds and other short-term borrowings." Derivative instruments may be offset under their master netting agreements; however, for accounting purposes, we present these items on a gross basis in the Company's balance sheet. See Note 7 for further information regarding derivative instruments.

#### **5.INVESTMENTS**

#### **Investment Securities**

Investment securities are summarized below. Note 20 discusses the process to estimate fair value for investment securities.

socurries.	December 31, 2014						
		Recognize	ed in OCI <sup>1</sup>		Not recog OCI		
(In thousands)	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross lunrealized losses	Carrying value	Gross unrealized gains	Gross dunrealized losses	Estimated l fair value
Held-to-maturity		C			C		
Municipal securities Asset-backed securities:	\$607,575	\$—	\$—	\$607,575	\$13,018	\$ 804	\$619,789
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance	79,276		39,699	39,577	18,393	663	57,307
Other debt securities	100 686,951		 39,699	100 647,252	<u> </u>	 1,467	100 677,196
Available-for-sale U.S. Government agencies and corporations:	000,771		57,077	017,202	51,111	1,107	077,190
Agency securities	607,523	1,572	8,343	600,752			600,752
Agency guaranteed mortgage-backed securities	935,164	12,132	2,105	945,191			945,191
Small Business Administration loan-backed securities	1,544,710	16,446	8,891	1,552,265			1,552,265
Municipal securities Asset-backed securities:	189,059	1,143	945	189,257			189,257
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance	537,589	103	121,984	415,708			415,708
Auction rate securities Other	4,688 564 3,819,297	80 127 31,603	7 	4,761 691 3,708,625			4,761 691 3,708,625
Mutual funds and other	136,591 3,955,888	51,005 76 31,679	142,275 1,044 143,319	3,708,623 135,623 3,844,248			3,708,623 135,623 3,844,248
Total	\$4,642,839	\$31,679	\$183,018	\$4,491,500			\$4,521,444

December 31, 2013

## Table of Contents

OCI	
(In thousands) Amortized cost Gross Gross Carrying value Gross Gross Gross Carrying value Gross Gross Gross Carrying value Gross Gross Carrying Sains Sa	
Held-to-maturity	
Municipal securities         \$551,055         \$         \$551,055         \$11,295         \$4,616         \$557	,734
Asset-backed securities:	
Trust preferred securities – banks $79,419$ $41,593$ $37,826$ $15,195$ $1,308$ $51,71$	3
and insurance	0
Other debt securities $100 - 100 - 100$	
630,574 — 41,593 588,981 26,490 5,924 609,5	547
Available-for-sale	
U.S. Treasury securities 1,442 104 — 1,546 1,546	)
U.S. Government agencies	
and corporations:	
Agency securities         517,905         1,920         901         518,924         518,924	24
Agency guaranteed 308,687 9,926 1,237 317,376 317,3	576
mortgage-backed securities	
Small Business Administration 1,202,901 21,129 2,771 1,221,259 1,221	,259
loan-backed securities	
Municipal securities         65,425         1,329         490         66,264         66,264	94
Asset-backed securities:	
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance 1,508,224 13,439 282,843 1,238,820 1,238	3,820
Trust preferred securities – real 22.000 22.000	
estate investment trusts 22,996 — 22,996 22,996 22,996	6
Auction rate securities 6,507 118 26 6,599 6,599	)
Other 27,540 359 — 27,899 27,89	
3,661,627 48,324 288,268 3,421,683 3,421	
Mutual funds and other 287,603 21 7,421 280,203 280,2	
3,949,230 48,345 295,689 3,701,886 3,701	
	1,433

<sup>1</sup> The gross unrealized losses recognized in OCI on HTM securities resulted from a previous transfer of AFS securities to HTM and from OTTI.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment debt securities are shown subsequently as of December 31, 2014 by contractual maturity, except for CDOs, Small Business Administration ("SBA") loan-backed securities, agency guaranteed mortgage-backed securities, and certain agency and municipal securities, where expected maturity is used. Actual maturities may differ from contractual or expected maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Held-to-matur	rity	Available-for-sale		
(In thousands)	Amortized cost	Estimated fair value	Amortized cost	Estimated fair value	
Due in one year or less	\$96,703	\$98,481	\$544,585	\$544,098	

Edgar Filing: ZIONS BANCORPORATION /UT/ - Form 10-K								
Due after one year through five years	197,297	200,611	1,480,429	1,478,463				
Due after five years through ten years	154,178	157,735	915,594	908,323				
Due after ten years	238,773	220,369	878,689	777,741				
	\$686,951	\$677,196	\$3,819,297	\$3,708,625				

The following is a summary of the amount of gross unrealized losses for debt securities and the estimated fair value by length of time the securities have been in an unrealized loss position: December 31, 2014

(In thousands)	December Less than 1 Gross unrealized losses	2 months Estimated	12 months Gross unrealized losses	or more Estimated fair value	Total Gross unrealized losses	Estimated fair value
Held-to-maturity						
Municipal securities Asset-backed securities:	\$527	\$62,762	\$277	\$14,003	\$804	\$76,765
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance	53	122	40,309	57,186	40,362	57,308
	580	62,884	40,586	71,189	41,166	134,073
Available-for-sale U.S. Government agencies and corporations:						
Agency securities	4,510	295,694	3,833	101,188	8,343	396,882
Agency guaranteed mortgage-backed securities	1,914	425,114	191	12,124	2,105	437,238
Small Business Administration loan-backed securities	5,869	495,817	3,022	175,523	8,891	671,340
Municipal securities	258	36,551	687	4,616	945	41,167
Asset-backed securities:		,		,		,
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance		_	121,984	405,605	121,984	405,605
Auction rate securities	7	1,607	—		7	1,607
	12,558	1,254,783	129,717	699,056	142,275	1,953,839
Mutual funds and other	1,044	71,907			1,044	71,907
Total	13,602 \$14,182	1,326,690 \$1,389,574	129,717 \$170,303	699,056 \$770,245	143,319 \$184,485	2,025,746 \$2,159,819
(In thousands)	December Less than Gross unrealized losses	12 months Estimated	12 months of Gross unrealized losses	or more Estimated fair value	Total Gross unrealized losses	Estimated fair value
Held-to-maturity Municipal securities Asset-backed securities:	\$4,025	\$70,400	\$591	\$9,103	\$4,616	\$79,503
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance	_		42,901	51,319	42,901	51,319
moutanee	4,025	70,400	43,492	60,422	47,517	130,822
Available-for-sale U.S. Government agencies and corporations:	.,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,		.,	100,022
Agency securities	828	47,862	73	5,874	901	53,736
Agency guaranteed mortgage-backed securities	1,231	64,533	6	935	1,237	65,468

Small Business Administration loan-backed securities	1,709	187,680	1,062	39,256	2,771	226,936
Municipal securities	73	8,834	417	3,179	490	12,013
Asset-backed securities:						
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance	2,539	51,911	280,304	847,990	282,843	899,901
Auction rate securities	5	1,609	21	892	26	2,501
	6,385	362,429	281,883	898,126	288,268	1,260,555
Mutual funds and other	943	24,057	6,478	103,614	7,421	127,671
	7,328	386,486	288,361	1,001,740	295,689	1,388,226
Total	\$11,353	\$456,886	\$331,853	\$1,062,162	\$343,206	\$1,519,048
109						

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, 153 and 157 HTM and 458 and 317 AFS investment securities were in an unrealized loss position.

## Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

**Ongoing Policy** 

We conduct a formal review of investment securities on a quarterly basis for the presence of OTTI. We assess whether OTTI is present when the fair value of a debt security is less than its amortized cost basis at the balance sheet date (the vast majority of the investment portfolio are debt securities). OTTI is considered to have occurred if (1) we intend to sell the security; (2) it is "more likely than not" we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis; or (3) the present value of expected cash flows is not sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis.

Noncredit-related OTTI in securities we intend to sell is recognized in earnings as is any credit-related OTTI in securities, regardless of our intent. Noncredit-related OTTI on securities not expected to be sold is recognized in OCI. The amount of noncredit-related OTTI in a security is quantified as the difference in a security's amortized cost after adjustment for credit impairment, and its lower fair value. Presentation of OTTI is made in the statement of income on a gross basis with an offset for the amount of OTTI recognized in OCI. For securities classified as HTM, the amount of noncredit-related OTTI recognized in OCI is accreted using the effective interest rate method to the credit-adjusted expected cash flow amounts of the securities over future periods.

Our OTTI evaluation process takes into consideration current market conditions; fair value in relationship to cost; extent and nature of change in fair value; severity and duration of the impairment; recent events specific to the issuer or industry; our assessment of the creditworthiness of the issuer and the creditworthiness of the underlying exposures in an asset-backed structured security, including external credit ratings, changes, recent downgrades, and trends; the cash flow priority position of the instrument that we hold in the case of structured securities; volatility of earnings and trends; current analysts' evaluations; all available information relevant to the collectability of debt securities; and other key measures. In addition, for AFS securities with fair values below amortized cost, we must determine if we intend to sell the securities or if it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. For HTM securities, we must determine we have the ability to hold the securities to maturity. We consider any other relevant factors before concluding our evaluation for the existence of OTTI in our securities portfolio.

Additionally, under ASC 325-40, Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets, OTTI is recognized as a realized loss through earnings when there has been an adverse change in the holder's best estimate of cash flows expected to be collected such that the entire amortized cost basis will not be received.

# Effect of Volcker Rule, CDO Sales and Paydowns

During 2014, we reduced the CDO portfolio by \$1.02 billion amortized cost through sales and paydowns/payoffs which resulted in net fixed income securities gains of \$10.4 million. The reduction included all securities prohibited by the Volcker Rule ("VR"). In 2014, CDO sales totaled \$913 million in amortized cost. In addition to selling \$430 million of amortized cost of prohibited securities, we sold \$483 million of primarily bank CDOs grandfathered under the VR. The sales of grandfathered CDOs disproportionately reduced junior priority original single A-rated securities.

At December 31, 2013, certain of the Company's CDO securities backed primarily by insurance trust preferred securities ("TruPS"), real estate investment trust ("REIT") securities, and asset-backed securities ("ABS") became prohibited under the Volcker Rule. This regulatory change resulted in the Company no longer being able to hold these securities to maturity. Accordingly, in 2013, we reclassified the affected securities in the HTM portfolio from HTM to AFS. The adjusted cost of the securities reclassified was approximately \$182 million. Net unrealized losses recorded in OCI during the fourth quarter of 2013 as a result of this reclassification were approximately \$24.4 million. All of our

holdings of these reclassified securities were sold or paid off in 2014.

Within the resulting AFS portfolio, we concluded we still had the ability to hold certain prohibited insurance CDO securities to recovery of their amortized cost basis, which was \$358 million at December 31, 2013. Such securities had \$67 million of unrealized losses at December 31, 2013. In contrast, for \$147 million at amortized cost of prohibited CDOs, primarily ABS and REITs, we concluded recovery was unlikely prior to July 21, 2015, and determined prior to

December 31, 2013 an intent to sell during the first quarter of 2014. In addition, at December 31, 2013, to reduce the risk profile of the portfolio, we determined an intent to sell for certain other allowed CDO securities during the first quarter of 2014.

This formation of an intent to sell resulted in a pretax securities impairment charge in 2013 of \$137.1 million for CDO trust preferred securities – banks and insurance, REITs, and other asset-backed CDO securities. Approximately \$43.2 million of the charge related to securities which the VR had precluded the Company from holding beyond July 21, 2016, as currently extended. The remaining \$93.9 million related to securities that we intend to sell despite being grandfathered under the VR.

### **OTTI Conclusions**

The following summarizes the conclusions from our OTTI evaluation for those security types that had significant gross unrealized losses during 2014:

#### OTTI - Asset-Backed Securities

Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance: These CDO securities are interests in variable rate pools of trust preferred securities issued by trusts related to bank holding companies and insurance companies ("collateral issuers"). They are rated by one or more Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations ("NRSROS"), which are rating agencies registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The more junior securities were purchased generally at par, while the senior securities were purchased from Lockhart Funding LLC ("Lockhart"), a previously consolidated qualifying special-purpose entity securities conduit, at their carrying values (generally par) and then adjusted to their lower fair values at the time of their purchase. The primary drivers that have given rise to the unrealized losses on CDOs with bank and insurance collateral are listed below:

- Market yield requirements for bank CDO securities remain elevated. The financial crisis and economic downturn resulted in significant utilization of both the unique five-year deferral option, which each collateral issuer maintains during the life of the CDO, and the payment in kind ("PIK") feature described subsequently. The resulting increase in the rate of return demanded by investors for trust preferred CDOs remains substantially higher than the contractual
- <sup>1)</sup> interest rates. CDO tranches backed by bank trust preferred cDOs remains substantiany higher than the contractual surrounding collateral behavior, specifically including, but not limited to, prepayments; the future number, size and timing of bank failures; holding company bankruptcies; and allowed deferrals and subsequent resumption of payment or default due to nonpayment of contractual interest.

Structural features of the collateral make these CDO tranches difficult for market participants to model. The first feature unique to bank CDOs is the interest deferral feature previously noted. Throughout the crisis starting in 2008,

2) certain banks within our CDO pools have exercised this prerogative. The extent to which these deferrals are likely to either transition to default or, alternatively, come current prior to the five-year deadline is extremely difficult for market participants to assess.

A second structural feature that is difficult to model is the PIK feature, which provides that upon reaching certain levels of collateral default or deferral, certain junior CDO tranches will not receive current interest but will instead have the unpaid interest amount capitalized. The delay in payment caused by PIKing results in lower security fair values even if PIKing is projected to be fully cured.

Although we continue to see ratings upgrades of securities held in our CDO portfolio every quarter, the ratings from one NRSRO remain below-investment-grade for even some of the most senior tranches that originally were rated AAA. Ratings on a number of CDO tranches vary significantly among rating agencies. The presence of a 3) helders

<sup>3)</sup>below-investment-grade rating by even a single rating agency generally reduces the pool of buyers, which causes greater illiquidity and therefore most likely a higher implicit discount rate/lower price with regard to that CDO tranche.

As a result of our ongoing review of these securities, we recorded an immaterial amount of OTTI in 2014.

OTTI - U.S. Government Agencies and Corporations

Agency securities: These securities consist of discount notes and medium term notes issued by the Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation ("FAMC"), Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB"), Federal Farm Credit Bank, Federal Home Loan

## Table of Contents

Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC"), and Federal National Mortgage Association ("FNMA"). These securities are fixed rate and were purchased at premiums or discounts. They have maturity dates from one to 30 years and have contractual cash flows guaranteed by agencies of the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government has provided substantial liquidity to FNMA and FHLMC to bolster their creditworthiness. Unrealized losses relate to changes in interest rates subsequent to purchase and are not attributable to credit. At December 31, 2014, we had no plans to sell agency securities with unrealized losses, and we believe it is more likely than not we would not be required to sell such securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. Therefore, we did not record OTTI for these securities in 2014.

Small Business Administration Loan-Backed Securities: These securities were generally purchased at premiums with maturities from five to 25 years and have principal cash flows guaranteed by the SBA. Unrealized losses relate to changes in interest rates subsequent to purchase and are not attributable to credit. At December 31, 2014, we had no plans to sell SBA securities with unrealized losses, and we believe it is more likely than not we would not be required to sell such securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. Therefore, we did not record OTTI for these securities in 2014.

The following is a tabular rollforward of the total amount of credit-related OTTI, including amounts recognized in earnings:

(In thousands)	2014 HTM		AFS	Total	2013 HTM	AFS	Total	
Balance of credit-related OTTI at beginning of year Additions recognized in earnings during the		)	\$(176,833)	\$(185,885)	\$(13,549)	\$(394,494)	\$(408,043	3)
year: Credit-related OTTI not previously recognized <sup>1</sup>	_		_	_	(403)	(168)	(571	)
Credit-related OTTI previously recognized when there is no intent to sell and no requirement to sell before recovery of amortized cost basis <sup>2</sup>	(27	)	_	(27)		(27,482)	(27,482	)
Subtotal of amounts recognized in earnings Transfers from HTM to AFS		)	_	(27 )	(403) 4,900	(27,650) (4,900)	(28,053	)
Reductions for securities sold or paid off during the year			81,361	81,361		47,768	47,768	
Reductions for securities the Company intends to sell or will be required to sell before recovery of its amortized cost basis	_		_	_	_	202,443	202,443	
Balance of credit-related OTTI at end of year	\$(9,079	)	\$(95,472)	\$(104,551)	\$(9,052)	\$(176,833)	\$(185,885	5)

<sup>1</sup> Relates to securities not previously impaired.

<sup>2</sup> Relates to additional impairment on securities previously impaired.

To determine the credit component of OTTI for all security types, we utilize projected cash flows. These cash flows are credit adjusted using, among other things, assumptions for default probability and loss severity. Certain other unobservable inputs such as prepayment rate assumptions are also utilized. In addition, certain internal and external models may be utilized. See Note 20 for further discussion. To determine the credit-related portion of OTTI in accordance with applicable accounting guidance, we use the security specific effective interest rate when estimating the present value of cash flows.

For those securities with credit-related OTTI recognized in the statement of income, the amounts of pretax noncredit-related OTTI recognized in OCI were as follows:

(In thousands)	2014	2013	2012
HTM	\$—	\$16,114	\$16,718
AFS		7,358	45,478
	\$—	\$23,472	\$62,196

The following summarizes gains and losses, including OTTI, that were recognized in the statement of income:

			2014				201	13			2012	
(In thousands)			Gross gains		Gross losses		Gro gai		Gross losses		Gross gains	Gross losses
Investment securi	ties:											
Held-to-maturity			\$18	5	\$27		\$8	1	\$403		\$214	\$7,423
Available-for-sale	e		92,52	5 8	83,81	5	13,	881	181,5	91	25,120	102,428
Other noninterest	C	ivestments	23,700 116,24	49 9	8,544 92,38	6		,182 ,144	1,662 183,6	56	23,218 48,552	11,965 121,816
Net gains (losses)				S	\$23,8	63			\$(159	9,512)		\$(73,264)
Statement of inco												
Net impairment lo securities	osses on in	vestment		5	\$(27	)			\$(165	5,134)		\$(104,061)
Equity securities	gains, net			1	13,47	1			8,520	)		11,253
Fixed income sec	urities gain	ns (losses),	net	]	10,41	9			(2,89	8)		19,544
Net gains (losses)	)			9	\$23,8	63			\$(159	9,512)		\$(73,264)
Interest income by	security t	vpe is as fo	llows:									
(In thousands)	2014	<b>7</b> 1		2013					20	012		
	Taxable	Nontaxabl	eTotal	Taxa	ble	Nonta	xabl	eTotal	Т	axable	Nontaxab	oleTotal
Investment securities:												
Held-to-maturity	\$14,770	\$11,264	\$26,034	\$19,9	905	\$11,3 <sup>′</sup>	75	\$31,28	0 \$2	20,699	\$14,052	\$34,751
Available-for-sale	71,409	2,514	73,923	69,10	)6	2,001		71,107	88	8,698	3,563	92,261
Trading	1,979		1,979	1,055				1,055		46		746
	\$88,158	\$13,778	\$101,936	\$90,0	066	\$13,3	76	\$103,4	42 \$	110,143	\$17,615	\$127,758

Securities with a carrying value of approximately \$1.4 billion and \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, were pledged to secure public and trust deposits, advances, and for other purposes as required by law. Securities are also pledged as collateral for security repurchase agreements.

### Private Equity Investments

#### Effect of Volcker Rule

At December 31, 2014, approximately \$41 million out of a total of \$139 million of the Company's private equity investments ("PEIs") were prohibited by the VR. In 2014, we sold approximately \$8.3 million of prohibited PEIs and recognized net realized gains of \$5.6 million, of which \$5.1 million was recorded in equity securities gains and \$0.5 million was recorded in dividends and other investment income. Further, we recognized \$4.7 million of net impairment in 2014 on prohibited PEIs. With the impairment charge, at December 31, 2014, we have recorded the remaining \$41 million prohibited PEI portfolio at estimated fair value.

As discussed in Note 17, we have approximately \$25 million of unfunded commitments related to these prohibited PEIs. We are allowed under the VR, and we expect to fund these commitments if and as the capital calls are made until we dispose of the PEIs. We have taken steps to sell these investments; however, the required deadline has been extended to July 21, 2016 and the Federal Reserve has announced its intention to act in 2015 to grant an additional one-year extension to July 21, 2017. See further discussions in Notes 17 and 20.

### 6. LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

Loans and Loans Held for Sale

Loans are summarized as follows according to major portfolio segment and specific loan class:

	December 31,	
(In thousands)	2014	2013
Loans held for sale	\$132,504	\$171,328
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial	\$13,162,955	\$12,458,502
Leasing	408,974	387,929
Owner occupied	7,351,548	7,567,812
Municipal	520,887	449,418
Total commercial	21,444,364	20,863,661
Commercial real estate:		
Construction and land development	1,986,408	2,193,283
Term	8,126,600	8,202,868
Total commercial real estate	10,113,008	10,396,151
Consumer:		
Home equity credit line	2,321,150	2,146,707
1-4 family residential	5,201,240	4,741,965
Construction and other consumer real estate	370,542	325,097
Bankcard and other revolving plans	401,352	361,401
Other	212,360	208,383
Total consumer	8,506,644	7,783,553
Total loans	\$40,064,016	\$39,043,365
	1	

Loan balances are presented net of unearned income and fees, which amounted to \$144.7 million at December 31, 2014 and \$141.7 million at December 31, 2013.

Owner occupied and commercial real estate ("CRE") loans include unamortized premiums of approximately \$36.5 million at December 31, 2014 and \$47.2 million at December 31, 2013.

Municipal loans generally include loans to municipalities with the debt service being repaid from general funds or pledged revenues of the municipal entity, or to private commercial entities or 501(c)(3) not-for-profit entities utilizing a pass-through municipal entity to achieve favorable tax treatment.

Land development loans included in the construction and land development loan class were \$484.9 million at December 31, 2014, and \$570.3 million at December 31, 2013.

Loans with a carrying value of approximately \$22.5 billion at December 31, 2014 and \$23.0 billion at December 31, 2013 have been pledged at the Federal Reserve and various FHLBs as collateral for current and potential borrowings. Note 12 presents the balance of FHLB advances made to the Company against this pledged collateral.

We sold loans totaling \$1.2 billion in 2014, \$1.6 billion in 2013, and \$1.7 billion in 2012, that were classified as loans held for sale. The sold loans were derecognized from the balance sheet. Loans classified as loans held for sale primarily consist of conforming residential mortgages. The principal balance of sold loans for which we retain servicing was approximately \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Amounts added to loans held for sale during these years were \$1.2 billion, \$1.5 billion, and \$1.7 billion, respectively. Income from loans sold, excluding servicing, was \$15.1 million in 2014, \$24.1 million in 2013, and \$30.7 million in 2012.

### Table of Contents

During the third quarter of 2014, construction and land development loans decreased by \$447 million due to conversions to term loans, and increased syndication and participation arrangements. Additionally, 1-4 family residential loans increased by \$326 million, primarily due to the purchase of \$249 million par amount of high quality jumbo adjustable rate mortgage ("ARM") loans from another bank. Management took these actions to improve the risk profile of the Company's loans and reduce portfolio concentration risk.

Since 2009, CB&T and NSB have had loss sharing agreements with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), which provided indemnification for credit losses of acquired loans and foreclosed assets up to specified thresholds. The agreements for commercial loans, which comprised the major portion of the acquired portfolio, expired as of September 30, 2014. The agreements for 1-4 family residential loans will expire in 2019. In previous periods, the FDIC-supported loan balances were presented separately in this footnote and in other disclosures, and included purchased credit-impaired ("PCI") loans, as subsequently discussed in Purchased Loans. Due to declining balances, for all years presented herein, the FDIC-supported/PCI loans have been reclassified to their respective loan segments and classes.

#### Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses ("ACL") consists of the allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL") (also referred to as the allowance for loan losses) and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments ("RULC").

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The ALLL represents our estimate of probable and estimable losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio as of the balance sheet date. Losses are charged to the ALLL when recognized. Generally, commercial loans are charged off or charged down at the point at which they are determined to be uncollectible in whole or in part, or when 180 days past due, unless the loan is well secured and in the process of collection. Consumer loans are either charged off or charged down to net realizable value no later than the month in which they become 180 days past due. Closed-end loans that are not secured by residential real estate are either charged off or charged down to net realizable value no later than the month in which the amount of the ALLL by analyzing the portfolio at least quarterly, and we adjust the provision for loan losses so the ALLL is at an appropriate level at the balance sheet date.

We determine our ALLL as the best estimate within a range of estimated losses. The methodologies we use to estimate the ALLL depend upon the impairment status and portfolio segment of the loan. The methodology for impaired loans is discussed subsequently. For the commercial and CRE segments, we use a comprehensive loan grading system to assign probability of default ("PD") and loss given default ("LGD") grades to each loan. The credit quality indicators discussed subsequently are based on this grading system. In addition, loan officers utilize their experience and judgment in assigning PD and LGD grades, subject to confirmation of the PD and LGD by either credit risk or credit examination. We create groupings of these grades for each subsidiary bank and loan class and calculate historic loss rates using a loss migration analysis that attributes historic realized losses to these loan grade groupings over the period of January 2008 through the most recent full quarter.

For the consumer loan segment, we use roll rate models to forecast probable inherent losses. Roll rate models measure the rate at which consumer loans migrate from one delinquency category to the next worse delinquency category, and eventually to loss. We estimate roll rates for consumer loans using recent delinquency and loss experience by segmenting our consumer loan portfolio into separate pools based on common risk characteristics and separately calculating historical delinquency and loss experience for each pool. These roll rates are then applied to current delinquency levels to estimate probable inherent losses. Roll rates incorporate housing market trends inasmuch as these trends manifest themselves in charge-offs and delinquencies. In addition, our qualitative and environmental factors discussed subsequently incorporate the most recent housing market trends.

The current status and historical changes in qualitative and environmental factors may not be reflected in our quantitative models. Thus, after applying historical loss experience, as described above, we review the quantitatively

derived level of ALLL for each segment using qualitative criteria and use those criteria to determine

our estimate within the range. We track various risk factors that influence our judgment regarding the level of the ALLL across the portfolio segments. These factors primarily include:

Asset quality trends

Risk management and loan administration practices

Risk identification practices

Effect of changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio

Existence and effect of any portfolio concentrations

National economic and business conditions

Regional and local economic and business conditions

Data availability and applicability

Effects of other external factors

The magnitude of the impact of these factors on our qualitative assessment of the ALLL changes from quarter to quarter according to the extent these factors are already reflected in historic loss rates and according to the extent these factors diverge from one to another. We also consider the uncertainty inherent in the estimation process when evaluating the ALLL.

Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments

We also estimate a reserve for potential losses associated with off-balance sheet commitments, including standby letters of credit. We determine the RULC using the same procedures and methodologies that we use for the ALLL. The loss factors used in the RULC are the same as the loss factors used in the ALLL, and the qualitative adjustments used in the RULC are the same as the qualitative adjustments used in the ALLL. We adjust the Company's unfunded lending commitments that are not unconditionally cancelable to an outstanding amount equivalent using credit conversion factors, and we apply the loss factors to the outstanding equivalents.

Changes in the ACL are summarized as follows:

	December 31, 2014								
(In thousands)	Commercial	Commercial real estate	Consumer	Total					
Allowance for loan losses									
Balance at beginning of year	\$469,213	\$216,012	\$61,066	\$746,291					
Additions:									
Provision for loan losses	(19,691	) (67,825	) (10,566 )	) (98,082 )					
Adjustment for FDIC-supported loans	(1,209	) —	(96)	) (1,305 )					
Deductions:									
Gross loan and lease charge-offs	(76,345	) (15,322	) (14,543 )	(106,210)					
Recoveries	40,546	12,144	11,279	63,969					
Net loan and lease charge-offs	(35,799	) (3,178	) (3,264 )	(42,241)					
Balance at end of year	\$412,514	\$145,009	\$47,140	\$604,663					
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments									
Balance at beginning of year	\$48,345	\$37,485	\$3,875	\$89,705					
Provision charged (credited) to earnings	10,586	(15,968	) (3,247 )	(8,629)					
Balance at end of year	\$58,931	\$21,517	\$628	\$81,076					
Total allowance for credit losses									
Allowance for loan losses	\$412,514	\$145,009	\$47,140	\$604,663					
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments	58,931	21,517	628	81,076					
Total allowance for credit losses	\$471,445	\$166,526	\$47,768	\$685,739					

	December 31, 2013							
(In thousands)	Commercial	l	Commercial real estate		Consumer		Total	
Allowance for loan losses								
Balance at beginning of year	\$520,914		\$277,562		\$97,611		\$896,087	
Additions:								
Provision for loan losses	(14,109	)	(55,125	)	(17,902	)	(87,136	)
Adjustment for FDIC-supported loans	(2,574	)	(6,070	)	(2,593	)	(11,237	)
Deductions:								
Gross loan and lease charge-offs	(75,845	)	(25,578	)	(29,374	)	(130,797	)
Recoveries	40,827		25,223		13,324		79,374	
Net loan and lease charge-offs	(35,018	)	(355	)	(16,050	)	(51,423	)
Balance at end of year	\$469,213		\$216,012		\$61,066		\$746,291	
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments								
Balance at beginning of year	\$67,374		\$37,852		\$1,583		\$106,809	
Provision charged (credited) to earnings	(19,029	)	(367	)	2,292		(17,104	)
Balance at end of year	\$48,345		\$37,485		\$3,875		\$89,705	
Total allowance for credit losses								
Allowance for loan losses	\$469,213		\$216,012		\$61,066		\$746,291	
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments	48,345		37,485		3,875		89,705	
Total allowance for credit losses	\$517,558		\$253,497		\$64,941		\$835,996	

The ALLL and outstanding loan balances according to the Company's impairment method are summarized as follows: December 31, 2014

(In thousands)	Commercial	Commercial real estate	Consumer	Total
Allowance for loan losses				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$28,627	\$4,027	\$9,059	\$41,713
Collectively evaluated for impairment	382,552	140,090	37,508	560,150
Purchased loans with evidence of credit deterioration	1,335	892	573	2,800
Total	\$412,514	\$145,009	\$47,140	\$604,663
Outstanding loan balances				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$259,207	\$167,435	\$95,267	\$521,909
Collectively evaluated for impairment	21,105,217	9,861,862	8,395,729	39,362,808
Purchased loans with evidence of credit deterioration Total	79,940	83,711	15,648	179,299
	\$21,444,364	\$10,113,008	\$8,506,644	\$40,064,016

	December 31, 2013								
(In thousands)	Commercial	Commercial real estate	Consumer	Total					
Allowance for loan losses									
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$39,288	\$12,510	\$10,701	\$62,499					
Collectively evaluated for impairment	426,240	200,853	50,173	677,266					
Purchased loans with evidence of credit deterioration	3,685	2,649	192	6,526					
Total	\$469,213	\$216,012	\$61,066	\$746,291					
Outstanding loan balances									
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$316,415	\$263,313	\$101,552	\$681,280					
Collectively evaluated for impairment	20,428,295	9,963,912	7,658,794	38,051,001					
Purchased loans with evidence of credit deterioration	118,951	168,926	23,207	311,084					
Total	\$20,863,661	\$10,396,151	\$7,783,553	\$39,043,365					

Nonaccrual and Past Due Loans

Loans are generally placed on nonaccrual status when payment in full of principal and interest is not expected, or the loan is 90 days or more past due as to principal or interest, unless the loan is both well secured and in the process of collection. Factors we consider in determining whether a loan is placed on nonaccrual include delinquency status, collateral value, borrower or guarantor financial statement information, bankruptcy status, and other information which would indicate that the full and timely collection of interest and principal is uncertain.

A nonaccrual loan may be returned to accrual status when all delinquent interest and principal become current in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement; the loan, if secured, is well secured; the borrower has paid according to the contractual terms for a minimum of six months; and analysis of the borrower indicates a reasonable assurance of the ability and willingness to maintain payments. Payments received on nonaccrual loans are applied as a reduction to the principal outstanding.

Closed-end loans with payments scheduled monthly are reported as past due when the borrower is in arrears for two or more monthly payments. Similarly, open-end credit such as charge-card plans and other revolving credit plans are reported as past due when the minimum payment has not been made for two or more billing cycles. Other multi-payment obligations (i.e., quarterly, semiannual, etc.), single payment, and demand notes are reported as past due when either principal or interest is due and unpaid for a period of 30 days or more.

Nonaccrual loans are summarized as follows:

Ivonacci dai ioans are summarized as fonows.		
	December 31,	
(In thousands)	2014	2013
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial	\$105,591	\$100,641
Leasing	295	757
Owner occupied	87,243	137,422
Municipal	1,056	9,986
Total commercial	194,185	248,806
Commercial real estate:		
Construction and land development	23,880	29,205
Term	25,107	60,786
Total commercial real estate	48,987	89,991
Consumer:		
Home equity credit line	11,430	8,969
1-4 family residential	49,861	53,162
Construction and other consumer real estate	1,735	3,510
Bankcard and other revolving plans	196	1,371
Other	254	804
Total consumer loans	63,476	67,816
Total	\$306,648	\$406,613

# Past due loans (accruing and nonaccruing) are summarized as follows:

December 31, 2014

(In thousands)	Current	30-89 days past due	90+ days past due	Total past due	Total loans	Accruing loans 90+ days past due	Nonaccrual loans that are current <sup>1</sup>
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	\$13,092,731	\$28,295	\$41,929	\$70,224	\$13,162,955	\$4,677	\$64,385
Leasing	408,724	225	25	250	408,974		270
Owner occupied	7,275,842	29,182	46,524	75,706	7,351,548	3,334	39,649
Municipal	520,887		—	—	520,887		1,056
Total commercial	21,298,184	57,702	88,478	146,180	21,444,364	8,011	105,360
Commercial real estate:							
Construction and land	1,972,206	2,711	11,491	14,202	1,986,408	92	12,481
development		-					
Term	8,082,940	14,415	29,245	43,660	8,126,600	19,700	13,787
Total commercial real estate	10,055,146	17,126	40,736	57,862	10,113,008	19,792	26,268
Consumer:							
Home equity credit line	2,309,967	4,503	6,680	11,183	2,321,150	1	1,779
1-4 family residential	5,163,968	12,416	24,856	37,272	5,201,240	318	20,599
Construction and other consumer real estate	359,723	9,675	1,144	10,819	370,542	160	608
Bankcard and other revolving plans	<sup>g</sup> 397,882	2,425	1,045	3,470	401,352	946	80

Other	211,560	644	156	800	212,360		84
Total consumer loans	8,443,100	29,663	33,881	63,544	8,506,644	1,425	23,150
Total	\$39,796,430	\$104,491	\$163,095	\$267,586	\$40,064,016	\$29,228	\$154,778
119							

December	31,	2013	
----------	-----	------	--

	December 51, 2015								
(In thousands)	Current	30-89 days past due	90+ days past due	Total past due	Total loans	Accruing loans 90+ days past due	Nonaccrual loans that are current <sup>1</sup>		
Commercial:									
Commercial and industrial	\$12,360,946	\$49,462	\$48,094	\$97,556	\$12,458,502	\$3,411	\$53,279		
Leasing	387,526	173	230	403	387,929	36	563		
Owner occupied	7,480,166	40,806	46,840	87,646	7,567,812	4,555	82,770		
Municipal	440,607	3,308	5,503	8,811	449,418		1,175		
Total commercial	20,669,245	93,749	100,667	194,416	20,863,661	8,002	137,787		
Commercial real estate:									
Construction and land development	2,163,032	8,968	21,283	30,251	2,193,283	9,469	17,310		
Term	8,147,699	21,623	33,546	55,169	8,202,868	19,978	43,030		
Total commercial real estate	e 10,310,731	30,591	54,829	85,420	10,396,151	29,447	60,340		
Consumer:									
Home equity credit line	2,134,908	8,248	3,551	11,799	2,146,707	1,079	2,868		
1-4 family residential	4,709,682	8,837	23,446	32,283	4,741,965	667	27,593		
Construction and other consumer real estate	322,825	1,038	1,234	2,272	325,097	157	2,232		
Bankcard and other revolving plans	358,170	2,117	1,114	3,231	361,401	924	1,109		
Other	206,386	1,269	728	1,997	208,383	72	126		
Total consumer loans	7,731,971	21,509	30,073	51,582	7,783,553	2,899	33,928		
Total	\$38,711,947	\$145,849	\$185,569	\$331,418	\$39,043,365	\$40,348	\$232,055		

<sup>1</sup> Represents nonaccrual loans not past due more than 30 days; however, full payment of principal and interest is still not expected.

Credit Quality Indicators

In addition to the past due and nonaccrual criteria, we also analyze loans using loan risk grading systems, which vary based on the size and type of credit risk exposure. The internal risk grades assigned to loans follow our definitions of Pass, Special Mention, Substandard, and Doubtful, which are consistent with published definitions of regulatory risk classifications.

Definitions of Pass, Special Mention, Substandard, and Doubtful are summarized as follows:

Pass – A Pass asset is higher quality and does not fit any of the other categories described below. The likelihood of loss is considered remote.

Special Mention – A Special Mention asset has potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or in the bank's credit position at some future date.

Substandard – A Substandard asset is inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Assets so classified have well defined weaknesses and are characterized by the distinct possibility that the bank may sustain some loss if deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful – A Doubtful asset has all the weaknesses inherent in a Substandard asset with the added characteristics that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable.

We generally assign internal risk grades to commercial and CRE loans with commitments equal to or greater than \$750,000 based on financial and statistical models, individual credit analysis, and loan officer judgment. For these larger loans, we assign one of multiple grades within the Pass classification or one of the following four grades:

Special Mention, Substandard, Doubtful, and Loss. Loss indicates that the outstanding balance has been charged off. We confirm our internal risk grades quarterly, or as soon as we identify information that affects the credit risk of the loan.

#### Table of Contents

For consumer loans or certain small commercial loans with commitments equal to or less than \$750,000, we generally assign internal risk grades similar to those described previously based on automated rules that depend on refreshed credit scores, payment performance, and other risk indicators. These are generally assigned either a Pass or Substandard grade and are reviewed as we identify information that might warrant a grade change. Outstanding loan balances (accruing and nonaccruing) categorized by these credit quality indicators are summarized as follows:

	December 31,	, 2014				
(In thousands)	Pass	Special mention	Sub- standard	Doubtful	Total loans	Total allowance
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	\$12,515,846	\$209,215	\$426,002	\$11,892	\$13,162,955	
Leasing	399,032	4,868	5,074		408,974	
Owner occupied	6,844,310	168,423	338,815		7,351,548	
Municipal	518,513	1,318	1,056		520,887	
Total commercial	20,277,701	383,824	770,947	11,892	21,444,364	\$412,514
Commercial real estate:						
Construction and land development	1,925,685	8,464	52,259		1,986,408	
Term	7,802,571	96,347	223,324	4,358	8,126,600	
Total commercial real estate	9,728,256	104,811	275,583	4,358	10,113,008	145,009
Consumer:						
Home equity credit line	2,304,352		16,798		2,321,150	
1-4 family residential	5,139,018		62,222		5,201,240	
Construction and other consumer	367,932		2,610		370,542	
real estate						
Bankcard and other revolving plans			1,906		401,352	
Other	211,811		549		212,360	47 1 40
Total consumer loans Total	8,422,559 \$ 28,428,516	— \$488,635	84,085 \$1,120,615	\$16,250	8,506,644 \$ 40,064,016	47,140
Total	\$38,428,516	\$488,033	\$1,130,615	\$10,230	\$40,064,016	\$604,663
	December 31,	, 2013				
(In thousands)	Pass	Special mention	Sub- standard	Doubtful	Total loans	Total allowance
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	\$11,766,855	\$312,652	\$369,726	\$9,269	\$12,458,502	
Leasing	380,268	2,050	5,611		387,929	
Owner occupied	6,929,186	185,617	453,009		7,567,812	
Municipal	439,432		9,986		449,418	
Total commercial	19,515,741	500,319	838,332	9,269	20,863,661	\$469,213
Commercial real estate:						
Construction and land development		15,010	69,443	—	2,193,283	
Term	7,708,352	185,045	309,471		8,202,868	
Total commercial real estate	9,817,182	200,055	378,914		10,396,151	216,012
Consumer:	0 100 070		22.227		0 1 4 6 7 6 7	
Home equity credit line	2,123,370		23,337		2,146,707	
1-4 family residential	4,673,863		68,102		4,741,965	
	313,899		11,198		325,097	

Construction and other consumer						
real estate						
Bankcard and other revolving plans	358,680		2,721		361,401	
Other	207,032		1,351		208,383	
Total consumer loans	7,676,844		106,709		7,783,553	61,066
Total	\$37,009,767	\$700,374	\$1,323,955	\$9,269	\$39,043,365	\$746,291
121						

#### Table of Contents

#### Impaired Loans

Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement, including scheduled interest payments. For our non-purchased credit-impaired loans, if a nonaccrual loan has a balance greater than \$1 million, or if a loan is a TDR, including TDRs that subsequently default, or if the loan is no longer reported as a TDR, we individually evaluate the loan for impairment and estimate a specific reserve for the loan for all portfolio segments under applicable accounting guidance. Smaller nonaccrual loans are pooled for ALLL estimation purposes. PCI loans are included in impaired loans and are accounted for under separate accounting guidance. See subsequent discussion under Purchased Loans.

When a loan is impaired, we estimate a specific reserve for the loan based on the projected present value of the loan's future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the observable market price of the loan, or the fair value of the loan's underlying collateral. The process of estimating future cash flows also incorporates the same determining factors discussed previously under nonaccrual loans. When we base the impairment amount on the fair value of the loan's underlying collateral, we generally charge off the portion of the balance that is impaired, such that these loans do not have a specific reserve in the ALLL. Payments received on impaired loans that are accruing are recognized in interest income, according to the contractual loan agreement. Payments received on impaired loans that are on nonaccrual are not recognized in interest income, but are applied as a reduction to the principal outstanding. The amount of interest income recognized on a cash basis during the time the loans were impaired within the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was not significant.

Information on all impaired loans is summarized as follows, including the average recorded investment and interest income recognized for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	December 31 $-2014$					Year Ended December 31, 2014	
(In thousands)	Unpaid principal balance	Recorded i with no allowance	nvestment with allowance	Total recorded investment	Related allowance	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	\$185,520	\$43,257	\$103,565	\$146,822	\$22,852	\$185,947	\$10,803
Owner occupied	198,231	83,179	86,382	169,561	6,087	233,361	18,221
Municipal	1,535	1,056	—	1,056	—	9,208	
Total commercial	385,286	127,492	189,947	317,439	28,939	428,516	29,024
Commercial real estate:							
Construction and land development	60,993	16,500	26,977	43,477	1,773	61,068	6,384
Term	203,788	96,351	63,740	160,091	2,345	238,507	31,144
Total commercial real estate	264,781	112,851	90,717	203,568	4,118	299,575	37,528
Consumer:							
Home equity credit line	30,209	14,798	11,883	26,681	437	25,909	2,426
1-4 family residential	86,575	37,096	35,831	72,927	8,494	81,526	2,058
Construction and other consumer real estate	3,902	1,449	1,410	2,859	233	3,167	155
Bankcard and other revolving plans	_	_	_		_	2	22
Other	6,580		5,254	5,254	133	7,585	1,665
Total consumer loans	127,266	53,343	54,378	107,721	9,297	118,189	6,326
Total	\$777,333	\$293,686	\$335,042	\$628,728	\$42,354	\$846,280	\$72,878

	December 3	1 2013	Year Ended				
	Determoer 5	1, 2013				December 31, 2013	
(In thousands)	Unpaid	Unpaid Recorded in		Total	Related	Average	Interest
(In thousands)	principal	with no	with	recorded	allowance	recorded	income
	balance	allowance	allowance	investment	anowanee	investment	recognized
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	\$191,094	\$33,503	\$126,976	\$160,479	\$23,391	\$197,490	\$17,956
Owner occupied	277,447	92,997	152,030	245,027	16,656	346,135	19,885
Municipal	10,465	1,175	8,811	9,986	1,225	10,195	
Total commercial	479,006	127,675	287,817	415,492	41,272	553,820	37,841
Commercial real estate:							
Construction and land	112,863	23,422	55,974	79,396	3,862	148,317	36,363
development		-		17,570			50,505
Term	371,932	66,533	239,941	306,474	10,251	477,309	52,242
Total commercial real estate	484,795	89,955	295,915	385,870	14,113	625,626	88,605
Consumer:							
Home equity credit line	30,344	12,575	13,443	26,018	242	26,926	1,366
1-4 family residential	99,757	38,838	45,657	84,495	10,290	104,592	1,999
Construction and other	4,877	2,643	1,090	3,733	176	6,392	152
consumer real estate		2,045	1,070	5,755	170	0,372	132
Bankcard and other revolving	<sup>5</sup> 755	726	24	750	1	43	12
plans	155	720	27	750		-т.	12
Other	10,419	134	8,061	8,195	112	11,696	2,112
Total consumer loans	146,152	54,916	68,275	123,191	10,821	149,649	5,641
Total	\$1,109,953	\$272,546	\$652,007	\$924,553	\$66,206	\$1,329,095	\$132,087

### Modified and Restructured Loans

Loans may be modified in the normal course of business for competitive reasons or to strengthen the Company's position. Loan modifications and restructurings may also occur when the borrower experiences financial difficulty and needs temporary or permanent relief from the original contractual terms of the loan. These modifications are structured on a loan-by-loan basis and, depending on the circumstances, may include extended payment terms, a modified interest rate, forgiveness of principal, or other concessions. Loans that have been modified to accommodate a borrower who is experiencing financial difficulties, and for which the Company has granted a concession that it would not otherwise consider, are considered TDRs.

We consider many factors in determining whether to agree to a loan modification involving concessions, and seek a solution that will both minimize potential loss to the Company and attempt to help the borrower. We evaluate borrowers' current and forecasted future cash flows, their ability and willingness to make current contractual or proposed modified payments, the value of the underlying collateral (if applicable), the possibility of obtaining additional security or guarantees, and the potential costs related to a repossession or foreclosure and the subsequent sale of the collateral.

TDRs are classified as either accrual or nonaccrual loans. A loan on nonaccrual and restructured as a TDR will remain on nonaccrual status until the borrower has proven the ability to perform under the modified structure for a minimum of six months, and there is evidence that such payments can and are likely to continue as agreed. Performance prior to the restructuring, or significant events that coincide with the restructuring, are included in assessing whether the borrower can meet the new terms and may result in the loan being returned to accrual at the time of restructuring or after a shorter performance period. If the borrower's ability to meet the revised payment schedule is uncertain, the loan remains classified as a nonaccrual loan. A TDR loan that specifies an interest rate that at the time of the restructuring is greater than or equal to the rate the bank is willing to accept for a new loan with comparable risk may not be reported as a TDR or an impaired loan in the calendar years subsequent to the restructuring if it is in compliance with its modified terms.

Selected information on TDRs at year-end that includes the recorded investment on an accruing and nonaccruing basis by loan class and modification type is summarized in the following schedules:

	December	31, 2014						
	Recorded investment resulting from the following modification types:							
(In thousands)	Interest rate below market	Maturity or term extension	Principal forgiveness	Payment deferral	Other <sup>1</sup>	Multiple modification types <sup>2</sup>	Total	
Accruing								
Commercial:								
Commercial and industrial	\$2,611	\$6,509	\$18	\$3,203	\$3,855	\$ 34,585	\$50,781	
Owner occupied	19,981	1,124	960	1,251	10,960	17,505	51,781	
Total commercial	22,592	7,633	978	4,454	14,815	52,090	102,562	
Commercial real estate:								
Construction and land					521	19,854	20,375	
development				_	321	19,054	20,375	
Term	7,328	9,027	179	3,153	2,546	39,007	61,240	
Total commercial real estate Consumer:	7,328	9,027	179	3,153	3,067	58,861	81,615	
Home equity credit line	742	70	11,320		166	1,281	13,579	
1-4 family residential	2,425	552	6,828	446	753	34,719	45,723	
Construction and other								
consumer real estate	290	422	42	90		1,227	2,071	
Total consumer loans	3,457	1,044	18,190	536	919	37,227	61,373	
Total accruing	33,377	17,704	19,347	8,143	18,801	148,178	245,550	
Nonaccruing	-	-			-		·	
Commercial:								
Commercial and industrial	442	576		611	5,199	20,410	27,238	
Owner occupied	2,714	1,219		883	2,852	12,040	19,708	
Municipal		1,056					1,056	
Total commercial	3,156	2,851		1,494	8,051	32,450	48,002	
Commercial real estate:								
Construction and land	11.000	(0)		02	2 200	( 107	20.000	
development	11,080	68		93	3,300	6,427	20,968	
Term	2,851			_	277	4,607	7,735	
Total commercial real estate	13,931	68		93	3,577	11,034	28,703	
Consumer:								
Home equity credit line			420	203		399	1,022	
1-4 family residential	3,378	1,029	1,951	191	3,527	9,413	19,489	
Construction and other		462				100	5(2)	
consumer real estate		463		—	_	100	563	
Bankcard and other revolving								
plans	_	_			_			
Total consumer loans	3,378	1,492	2,371	394	3,527	9,912	21,074	
Total nonaccruing	20,465	4,411	2,371	1,981	15,155	53,396	97,779	
Total	\$53,842	\$22,115	\$21,718	\$10,124	\$33,956	\$201,574	\$343,329	

	December Recorded i		sulting from	the followin	ng modificat	tion types.	
(In thousands)	Interest rate below market	Maturity	Principal forgiveness	Payment	Other <sup>1</sup>	Multiple modification types <sup>2</sup>	Total
Accruing							
Commercial:	¢ 1 1 1 2	¢0.040	ф 11 401	Φ <u>2 217</u>	¢ 4 200	ф <u>50</u> 117	<b>\$02.101</b>
Commercial and industrial	\$1,143	\$9,848	\$11,491	\$3,217	\$4,308	\$ 53,117	\$83,124
Owner occupied	22,841	1,482	987	1,291	9,659	23,576	59,836
Total commercial	23,984	11,330	12,478	4,508	13,967	76,693	142,960
Commercial real estate:							
Construction and land	1,067	8,231		1,063	4,119	28,295	42,775
development			100				
Term	7,542	9,241	190	3,783	14,932	61,024	96,712
Total commercial real estate	8,609	17,472	190	4,846	19,051	89,319	139,487
Consumer:							
Home equity credit line	743		9,438		323	332	10,836
1-4 family residential	2,628	997	6,814	643	3,083	35,869	50,034
Construction and other	128	329	11			1,514	1,982
consumer real estate							
Total consumer loans	3,499	1,326	16,263	643	3,406	37,715	62,852
Total accruing	36,092	30,128	28,931	9,997	36,424	203,727	345,299
Nonaccruing							
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	2,028	6,989		473	8,948	10,395	28,833
Owner occupied	3,020	1,489	1,043	1,593	10,482	14,927	32,554
Total commercial	5,048	8,478	1,043	2,066	19,430	25,322	61,387
Commercial real estate:							
Construction and land	11,699	1,555		_	5,303	8,617	27,174
development		1,555				0,017	27,174
Term	2,126			1,943	315	14,861	19,245
Total commercial real estate	13,825	1,555		1,943	5,618	23,478	46,419
Consumer:							
Home equity credit line			1,036	_	221		1,257
1-4 family residential	4,315	1,396	1,606	_	3,901	14,109	25,327
Construction and other	4	1,260				229	1,493
consumer real estate	+	1,200		_	_		1,475
Bankcard and other revolving		252					252
plans		232					232
Total consumer loans	4,319	2,908	2,642		4,122	14,338	28,329
Total nonaccruing	23,192	12,941	3,685	4,009	29,170	63,138	136,135
Total	\$59,284	\$43,069	\$32,616	\$14,006	\$65,594	\$266,865	\$481,434
<sup>1</sup> Includes TDRs that resulted f	rom other m	odification to	mes including	a but not li	mited to a l	egal judgment	awarded on

<sup>1</sup> Includes TDRs that resulted from other modification types including, but not limited to, a legal judgment awarded on different terms, a bankruptcy plan confirmed on different terms, a settlement that includes the delivery of collateral in exchange for debt reduction, etc.

<sup>2</sup> Includes TDRs that resulted from a combination of any of the previous modification types.

Unused commitments to extend credit on TDRs amounted to approximately \$6.1 million at December 31, 2014 and \$5.6 million at December 31, 2013.

The total recorded investment of all TDRs in which interest rates were modified below market was \$219.3 million and \$245.9 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. These loans are included in the previous schedule in the columns for interest rate below market and multiple modification types.

### Table of Contents

The net financial impact on interest income due to interest rate mod	ifications below market for	r accru	ing TDRs is	
summarized in the following schedule:				
(In thousands)	2014		2013	
Commercial:				
Commercial and industrial	\$(84	)	\$—	
Owner occupied	(519	)	(390	)
Total commercial	(603	)	(390	)
Commercial real estate:				
Construction and land development	(197	)	(112	)
Term	(573	)	(742	)
Total commercial real estate	(770	)	(854	)
Consumer:				
Home equity credit line	(5	)	(10	)
1-4 family residential	(1,130	)	(1,248	)
Construction and other consumer real estate	(32	)	(36	)
Total consumer loans	(1,167	)	(1,294	)
Total decrease to interest income <sup>1</sup>	\$(2,540	)	\$(2,538	)
Calculated based on the difference between the modified rate and	the promodified rate appli	ad to t	ha ragardad	

<sup>1</sup> Calculated based on the difference between the modified rate and the premodified rate applied to the recorded investment.

On an ongoing basis, we monitor the performance of all TDRs according to their restructured terms. Subsequent payment default is defined in terms of delinquency, when principal or interest payments are past due 90 days or more for commercial loans, or 60 days or more for consumer loans.

As of December 31, 2014, the recorded investment of accruing and nonaccruing TDRs that had a payment default during the year listed below (which are still in default at year-end) and are within 12 months or less of being modified as TDRs is as follows:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2014		December 31, 2013				
	Accruing	Nonaccruing	Total	Accruing	Nonaccruing	Total	
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	\$—	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$—	\$—	\$—	
Owner occupied		378	378		430	430	
Total commercial		1,386	1,386		430	430	
Commercial real estate:							
Construction and land development					1,676	1,676	
Term							
Total commercial real estate					1,676	1,676	
Consumer:							
Home equity credit line		201	201		342	342	
1-4 family residential	192	310	502		2,592	2,592	
Construction and other consumer real		<i>E E</i>	<i>E E</i>				
estate		55	55				
Total consumer loans	192	566	758		2,934	2,934	
Total	\$192	\$1,952	\$2,144	\$—	\$5,040	\$5,040	
Note: Total loops modified as TDDs during the 12 months provides to December 21, 2014 and 2012 were \$94.7							

Note: Total loans modified as TDRs during the 12 months previous to December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$84.7 million and \$155.8 million, respectively.

#### Table of Contents

### Concentrations of Credit Risk

Credit risk is the possibility of loss from the failure of a borrower, guarantor, or another obligor to fully perform under the terms of a credit-related contract. Credit risks (whether on- or off-balance sheet) may occur when individual borrowers, groups of borrowers, or counterparties have similar economic characteristics, including industries, geographies, collateral types, sponsors, etc., and are similarly affected by changes in economic or other conditions. Credit risk also includes the loss that would be recognized subsequent to the reporting date if counterparties failed to perform as contracted. See Note 7 for a discussion of counterparty risk associated with the Company's derivative transactions.

We perform an ongoing analysis of our loan portfolio to evaluate whether there is any significant exposure to any concentrations of credit risk. Based on this analysis, we believe that the loan portfolio is generally well diversified; however, due to the nature of the Company's geographical footprint, there are certain significant concentrations primarily in CRE and energy-related lending. Further, we cannot guarantee that we have fully understood or mitigated all risk concentrations or correlated risks. We have adopted and adhere to concentration limits on various types of CRE lending, particularly construction and land development lending, leveraged lending, municipal lending, and energy-related lending. All of these limits are continually monitored and revised as we judge appropriate. These concentration limits, particularly the various types of CRE and real estate development loan limits, are materially lower than they were just prior to the emergence of the recent economic downturn.

#### Purchased Loans

#### Background and Accounting

We purchase loans in the ordinary course of business and account for them and the related interest income based on their performing status at the time of acquisition. Purchased credit-impaired ("PCI") loans have evidence of credit deterioration at the time of acquisition and it is probable that not all contractual payments will be collected. Interest income for PCI loans is accounted for on an expected cash flow basis. Certain other loans acquired by the Company that are not credit-impaired include loans with revolving privileges and are excluded from the PCI tabular disclosures following. Interest income for these loans is accounted for on a contractual cash flow basis. Certain acquired loans with similar characteristics such as risk exposure, type, size, etc., are grouped and accounted for in loan pools. Outstanding Balances and Accretable Yield

The outstanding balances of all required payments and the related carrying amounts for PCI loans are as follows:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2014	2013
Commercial	\$104,942	\$150,191
Commercial real estate	118,217	233,720
Consumer	17,910	28,608
Outstanding balance	\$241,069	\$412,519
Carrying amount	\$179,299	\$311,797
Less ALLL	2,800	6,478
Carrying amount, net	\$176,499	\$305,319

At the time of acquisition of PCI loans, we determine the loan's contractually required payments in excess of all cash flows expected to be collected as an amount that should not be accreted (nonaccretable difference). With respect to the cash flows expected to be collected, the portion representing the excess of the loan's expected cash flows over our initial investment (accretable yield) is accreted into interest income on a level yield basis over the remaining expected life of the loan or pool of loans. The effects of estimated prepayments are considered in estimating the expected cash flows.

Certain PCI loans are not accounted for as previously described because the estimation of cash flows to be collected involves a high degree of uncertainty. Under these circumstances, the accounting guidance provides that interest income is recognized on a cash basis similar to the cost recovery methodology for nonaccrual loans. The net carrying amounts in the preceding schedule also include the amounts for these loans, which were \$5.3 million at December 31, 2014 and not significant at December 31, 2013.

Changes in the accretable yield for PCI loans were as follows: (In thousands)

	_011	2010	
Balance at beginning of year	\$77,528	\$134,461	
Accretion	(58,140	) (111,951	)
Reclassification from nonaccretable difference	17,647	36,467	
Disposals and other	8,020	18,551	
Balance at end of year	\$45,055	\$77,528	

2014

2013

The primary drivers of reclassification to accretable yield from nonaccretable difference and increases in disposals and other were (1) changes in estimated cash flows, (2) unexpected payments on nonaccrual loans, and (3) recoveries on zero balance loans pools. See subsequent discussion under changes in cash flow estimates.

ALLL Determination

For all acquired loans, the ALLL is only established for credit deterioration subsequent to the date of acquisition and represents our estimate of the inherent losses in excess of the book value of acquired loans. The ALLL for acquired loans is included in the overall ALLL in the balance sheet.

During 2014, 2013 and 2012, we adjusted the ALLL for acquired loans by recording a negative provision for loan losses of (1.7) million in 2014, (10.1) million in 2013, and (16.5) million in 2012. The provision is net of the ALLL reversals discussed subsequently.

Changes in the provision for loan losses and related ALLL are driven in large part by the same factors that affect the changes in reclassification from nonaccretable difference to accretable yield, as discussed under changes in cash flow estimates.

Changes in Cash Flow Estimates

Over the life of the loan or loan pool, we continue to estimate cash flows expected to be collected. We evaluate quarterly at the balance sheet date whether the estimated present values of these loans using the effective interest rates have decreased below their carrying values. If so, we record a provision for loan losses.

For increases in carrying values that resulted from better-than-expected cash flows, we use such increases first to reverse any existing ALLL. During 2014, 2013, and 2012, total reversals to the ALLL, including the impact of increases in estimated cash flows, were \$4.6 million in 2014 and \$15.1 million in 2013, and \$20.4 million in 2012. When there is no current ALLL, we increase the amount of accretable yield on a prospective basis over the remaining life of the loan and recognize this increase in interest income. Changes that increase cash flows have been due primarily to (1) the enhanced economic status of borrowers compared to original evaluations, (2) improvements in the Southern California market where the majority of these loans were originated, and (3) efforts by our credit officers and loan workout professionals to resolve problem loans.

The impact of increased cash flow estimates recognized in the statement of income for acquired loans with no ALLL was approximately \$46.7 million in 2014, \$90.9 million in 2013, and \$58.5 million in 2012, of additional interest income.

#### Table of Contents

#### 7. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

We record all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. Note 20 discusses the process to estimate fair value for derivatives. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to variability in expected cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Derivatives used to manage the exposure to risk, which can include total return swaps, are considered credit derivatives. When put in place after purchase of the asset(s) to be protected, these derivatives generally may not be designated as accounting hedges. See discussion following regarding the total return swap and estimation of its fair value.

For derivatives designated as fair value hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative are recognized in earnings together with changes in the fair value of the related hedged item. The net amount, if any, representing hedge ineffectiveness, is reflected in earnings. In previous years, we used fair value hedges to manage interest rate exposure to certain long-term debt. These hedges have been terminated and their remaining balances are being amortized into earnings, as discussed subsequently.

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative are recorded in OCI and recognized in earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of cash flow hedges is recognized directly in earnings.

No derivatives have been designated for hedges of investments in foreign operations.

We assess the effectiveness of each hedging relationship by comparing the changes in fair value or cash flows on the derivative hedging instrument with the changes in fair value or cash flows on the designated hedged item or transaction. For derivatives not designated as accounting hedges, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings.

Our objectives in using derivatives are to add stability to interest income or expense, to modify the duration of specific assets or liabilities as we consider advisable, to manage exposure to interest rate movements or other identified risks, and/or to directly offset derivatives sold to our customers. To accomplish these objectives, we use interest rate swaps as part of our cash flow hedging strategy. These derivatives are used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with designated commercial loans.

Exposure to credit risk arises from the possibility of nonperformance by counterparties. These counterparties primarily consist of financial institutions that are well established and well capitalized. We control this credit risk through credit approvals, limits, pledges of collateral, and monitoring procedures. No losses on derivative instruments have occurred as a result of counterparty nonperformance. Nevertheless, the related credit risk is considered and measured when and where appropriate.

Our derivative contracts require us to pledge collateral for derivatives that are in a net liability position by greater than specified amounts. Certain of these derivative contracts contain credit-risk-related contingent features that include the requirement to maintain a minimum debt credit rating. We may be required to pledge additional collateral if a credit-risk-related feature were triggered, such as a downgrade of our credit rating. However, in past situations, not all counterparties have demanded that additional collateral be pledged when provided for under their contracts. At December 31, 2014, the fair value of our derivative liabilities was \$66.1 million, for which we were required to pledge cash collateral of approximately \$51.0 million in the normal course of business. If our credit rating were downgraded one notch by either Standard & Poor's or Moody's at December 31, 2014, the additional amount of collateral we could be required to pledge is \$1.6 million. Since June 2013, as required by the Dodd-Frank Act, all new eligible derivatives

entered into are cleared through a central clearinghouse. Derivatives that are centrally cleared do not have credit-risk-related features that require additional collateral if our credit rating is downgraded.

Interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of fixed-rate amounts in exchange for variable-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying principal amount. Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges, including basis swap agreements, are not speculative and are used to economically manage our exposure to interest rate movements and other identified risks, but do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements.

Selected information with respect to notional amounts and recorded gross fair values at December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related gain (loss) of derivative instruments for the years then ended is summarized as follows:

	December 31	, 2014		December 31	, 2013	
~	Notional	Fair value Other	e Other	Notional	Fair value Other	Other
(In thousands)	amount	assets	liabilities	amount	assets	liabilities
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments	8					
Cash flow hedges <sup>1</sup> :						
Interest rate swaps	\$275,000	\$1,508	\$123	\$100,000	\$202	\$583
Total derivatives designated as hedging	275,000	1,508	123	100,000	202	583
instruments	275,000	1,500	123	100,000	202	505
Derivatives not designated as hedging						
instruments						
Interest rate swaps		—		65,850	420	421
Interest rate swaps for customers <sup>2</sup>	2,770,052	48,287	50,669	2,902,776	55,447	54,688
Foreign exchange	443,721	16,625	15,272	751,066	9,614	8,643
Total return swap		—		1,159,686	—	4,062
Total derivatives not designated as hedging	3,213,773	64,912	65,941	4,879,378	65,481	67,814
instruments		ŕ	,			,
Total derivatives	\$3,488,773	\$66,420	\$66,064	\$4,979,378	\$65,683	\$68,397

		ded Decembe of derivative Reclassified	gain (loss) r	ecognized/		ded Decembe ed Reclassified		
(In thousands)	OCI	from AOCI to interest income	Noninteres income (expense)	t Offset to interest expense	OCI	from AOCI to interest income		st Offset to interest expense
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments Asset derivatives Cash flow hedges <sup>1</sup> :								
Interest rate swaps	\$4,361	\$ 2,594			\$(225)	\$ 2,647		
Ĩ	4,361		3			2,647	;	
Liability derivatives					. ,	-		
Fair value hedges:								
Terminated swaps on				\$(2,309)				\$3,120
long-term debt				\$(2,309)				\$3,120
Total derivatives designate	<sup>d</sup> <sub>4 361</sub>	2,594		(2,309)	(225)	2,647		3,120
as nedging instruments	1,501	2,391		(2,50)	(223)	2,017		5,120
Derivatives not designated								
as hedging instruments			*				*	
Interest rate swaps			\$ 355				\$ (493	
Interest rate swaps for customers <sup>2</sup>			467				10,918	
Futures contracts							2	
Foreign exchange			8,344				9,190	
Total return swap			(7,894)				(21,753)	
Total derivatives not								
designated as hedging instruments			1,272				(2,136	)
Total derivatives	\$4,361	\$ 2,594	\$1,272	\$(2,309)	\$(225)	\$ 2,647	\$(2,136)	\$3,120

Note: These schedules are not intended to present at any given time the Company's long/short position with respect to its derivative contracts.

<sup>1</sup> Amounts recognized in OCI and reclassified from AOCI represent the effective portion of the derivative gain (loss). <sup>2</sup> Amounts include both the customer swaps and the offsetting derivative contracts.

<sup>3</sup> Amounts of \$2.6 million for both 2014 and 2013 are the amounts of reclassification to earnings presented in the tabular changes of AOCI in Note 13.

The fair values of derivative assets were reduced by net credit valuation adjustments of \$2.4 million and \$1.6 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. No increase was made to derivative liabilities at December 31, 2014 and \$1.6 million was made at December 31, 2013. These adjustments are required to reflect both our own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk.

Under master netting arrangements, we offset fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral (a receivable) or the obligation to return cash collateral (a payable) against recognized fair value amounts of derivatives executed with the same counterparty. At both December 31, 2014 and 2013, no cash collateral was used for such offsets.

We offer interest rate swaps to our customers to assist them in managing their exposure to changing interest rates. Upon issuance, all of these customer swaps are immediately "hedged" by offsetting derivative contracts, such that the Company minimizes its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions. Fee income from customer swaps is

included in other service charges, commissions and fees. As with other derivative instruments, we have credit risk for any nonperformance by counterparties. See Notes 6 and 17 for further discussion of our underwriting, collateral requirements, etc., that we use to address credit risk.

The remaining balances of any derivative instruments terminated prior to maturity, including amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") for swap hedges, are accreted or amortized to interest income or expense over the period to their previously stated maturity dates.

Amounts in AOCI are reclassified to interest income as interest is earned on variable rate loans and as amounts for terminated hedges are accreted or amortized to earnings. For the 12 months following December 31, 2014, we estimate that an additional \$3.2 million will be reclassified.

#### Total Return Swap

Effective April 28, 2014, we canceled the total return swap ("TRS") and related interest rate swaps relating to a portfolio of \$1.16 billion notional amount of our bank and insurance trust preferred CDOs. Prior to cancellation of the TRS, the actual portfolio par balance had been reduced to \$545 million due to sales, paydowns and payoffs. Following the cancellation, the TRS derivative liability was extinguished and the Company's regulatory risk weighted assets increased by approximately \$0.9 billion.

#### 8. PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Premises and equipment are summarized as follows:

(In thousands)	December 31,	
(In thousands)	2014	2013
Land	\$233,300	\$185,119
Buildings	551,603	509,151
Furniture and equipment	458,703	455,625
Leasehold improvements	133,624	129,056
Software	239,670	208,931
Total	1,616,900	1,487,882
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	787,091	761,510
Net book value	\$829,809	\$726,372

# 9. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Core deposit and other intangible assets and related accumulated amortization are as follows at December 31:

	Gross carry	ing amount	Accumulate amortizatio		Net carryin	ag amount
(In thousands)	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013
Core deposit intangibles	\$170,688	\$180,290	\$(146,842)	\$(146,557)	\$23,846	\$33,733
Customer relationships and other intangibles	28,014	29,064	(26,340)	(26,353)	1,674	2,711
Total	\$198,702	\$209,354	\$(173,182)	\$(172,910)	\$25,520	\$36,444
The amount of amortization e	expense of co	ore deposit ar	nd other intar	ngible assets i	s separately	reflected in the statement

of income.

Estimated amortization expense for core deposit and other intangible assets is as follows for the five years succeeding December 31, 2014:

(In thousands)

2015	\$9,247
2016	7,888
2017	6,370
2018	1,556
2018	1,556
2019	459

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for operating segments with goodwill are as follows:

(In thousands)	Zions Bank	CB&T	Amegy	Consolidated Company
Balance at December 31, 2012 Impairment losses Balance at December 31, 2013 Impairment losses Balance at December 31, 2014	\$19,514  19,514  \$19,514	\$379,024 	\$615,591  615,591  \$615,591	\$1,014,129 

A Company-wide annual impairment test is conducted as of October 1 of each year and updated on a more frequent basis when events or circumstances indicate that impairment could have taken place. Results of the testing for 2014 and 2013 concluded that no impairment was present in any of the operating segments.

#### 10. DEPOSITS

At December 31, 2014, the scheduled maturities of all time deposits were as follows: (In thousands)

2015	\$1,933,406
2016	266,023
2017	126,377
2018	115,281
2019	100,763
Thereafter	662
Total	\$2,542,512

At December 31, 2014, the contractual maturities of domestic time deposits with a denomination of \$100,000 and over were as follows: \$368 million in 3 months or less, \$277 million over 3 months through 6 months, \$340 million over 6 months through 12 months, and \$301 million over 12 months.

Domestic time deposits in denominations that meet or exceed the current FDIC insurance limit of \$250,000 were \$0.7 billion and \$0.6 billion at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Domestic time deposits under \$250,000 were \$1.7 billion and \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Foreign time deposits \$250,000 and over were \$135 million and \$252 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Deposit overdrafts reclassified as loan balances were \$15 million and \$39 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

#### 11. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

Selected information for federal funds and other short-term borro	wings is as follow	vs:	
(Amounts in thousands)	2014	2013	2012
Federal funds purchased			
Average amount outstanding	\$104,358	\$150,217	\$280,859
Weighted average rate	0.17 %	0.15 %	0.19 %
Highest month-end balance	124,093	206,450	560,674
Year-end balance	100,193	129,131	175,468
Weighted average rate on outstandings at year-end	0.15 %	0.14 %	0.13 %
Security repurchase agreements			
Average amount outstanding	116,190	124,929	190,365
Weighted average rate	0.06 %	0.05 %	0.04 %
Highest month-end balance	156,710	137,611	264,187
Year-end balance	118,600	137,611	145,010
Weighted average rate on outstandings at year-end	0.13 %	0.05 %	0.04 %
Other short-term borrowings, year-end balances			
Securities sold, not yet purchased	24,230	73,606	26,735
Other	1,200	_	5,409
Total federal funds and other short-term borrowings	\$244,223	\$340,348	\$352,622

Federal funds purchased and security repurchase agreements generally mature in less than 30 days. Our participation in security repurchase agreements is on an overnight or term basis (e.g., 30 or 60 days). Certain of our subsidiary banks execute overnight repurchase agreements with sweep accounts in conjunction with a master repurchase agreement. When this occurs, securities under their control are pledged and interest is paid on the collected balance of the customers' accounts. For term repurchase agreements, securities are transferred to the applicable counterparty. The counterparty, in certain instances, is contractually entitled to sell or repledge securities accepted as collateral. Of the total security repurchase agreements at December 31, 2014, \$68 million were overnight and \$51 million were term.

Our subsidiary banks may borrow from the FHLB under their lines of credit that are secured under blanket pledge arrangements. The subsidiary banks maintain unencumbered collateral with carrying amounts adjusted for the types of collateral pledged, equal to at least 100% of the outstanding advances. At December 31, 2014, the amount available for FHLB advances was approximately \$11.6 billion. Note 12 presents the balance of FHLB advances made to the Company against this pledged collateral. At December 31, 2014, no short-term FHLB advances were outstanding.

Our subsidiary banks also borrow from the Federal Reserve based on the amount of collateral pledged to a Federal Reserve Bank. At December 31, 2014, the amount available for additional Federal Reserve borrowings was approximately \$5.0 billion.

# 12. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt is summarized as follows:

-	December 31,	
(In thousands)	2014	2013
Junior subordinated debentures related to trust preferred securities	\$168,043	\$168,043
Convertible subordinated notes	132,838	184,147
Subordinated notes	335,798	443,231
Senior notes	432,385	1,454,779
FHLB advances	22,156	22,736
Capital lease obligations and other	1,062	639
Total	\$1,092,282	\$2,273,575

The preceding amounts represent the par value of the debt adjusted for any unamortized premium or discount or other basis adjustments, including the value of associated hedges.

**Trust Preferred Securities** 

Junior subordinated debentures related to trust preferred securities issued to the following trusts were outstanding at December 31, 2014 as follows:

(Amounts in thousands)	Balance	Coupon rate <sup>1</sup>	Maturity
Amegy Statutory Trust I	\$51,547	3mL+2.85% (3.09%)	Dec 2033
Amegy Statutory Trust II	36,083	3mL+1.90% (2.13%)	Oct 2034
Amegy Statutory Trust III	61,856	3mL+1.78% (2.02%)	Dec 2034
Stockmen's Statutory Trust II	7,732	3mL+3.15% (3.40%)	Mar 2033
Stockmen's Statutory Trust III	7,732	3mL+2.89% (3.13%)	Mar 2034
Intercontinental Statutory Trust I	3,093	3mL+2.85% (3.09%)	Mar 2034
Total	\$168,043		

<sup>1</sup> Designation of "3mL" is three-month LIBOR; effective interest rate at the beginning of the accrual period commencing on or before December 31, 2014 is shown in parenthesis.

The junior subordinated debentures are issued or have been assumed by the Parent or Amegy. Each trust has issued a corresponding series of trust preferred security obligations. The trust obligations are in the form of capital securities subject to mandatory redemption upon repayment of the junior subordinated debentures by the Parent or Amegy, as the case may be. The sole assets of the trusts are the junior subordinated debentures.

Interest distributions are made quarterly at the same rates earned by the trusts on the junior subordinated debentures; however, we may defer the payment of interest on the junior subordinated debentures. Early redemption is currently possible on all of the debentures and requires the approval of banking regulators.

The debentures for the Amegy and Intercontinental trusts are direct and unsecured obligations of Amegy and are subordinate to other indebtedness and general creditors. The debentures for the Stockmen's trusts are unsecured obligations of Stockmen's assumed by the Parent in connection with the acquisition of Stockmen's by NBAZ. Amegy has unconditionally guaranteed the obligations of the Amegy and Intercontinental trusts with respect to their respective series of trust preferred securities to the extent set forth in the applicable guarantee agreements. The Parent has assumed Stockmen's unconditional guarantees of the obligations of the Stockmen's trusts with respect to their respective series of trust preferred securities to the extent set forth in the applicable guarantee agreements.

Subordinated Notes					
Subordinated notes consist of th	e following at De	ecember 31, 2014	4:		
(Amounts in thousands)	Convertible subordinated network	otes	Subordinated n	otes	
Coupon rate	Balance	Par amount	Balance	Par amount	Maturity
_					
6.00%	\$70,432	\$79,291	\$32,894	\$32,366	Sep 2015
5.50%	62,406	71,592	53,013	52,078	Nov 2015
5.65%			162,000	162,000	Nov 2023
6.95%			87,891	87,891	Sep 2028
Total	\$132,838	\$150,883	\$335,798	\$334,335	

These notes are unsecured and are not redeemable prior to maturity, except those noted subsequently. Also, see Debt Redemptions and Repurchases following. Interest is payable semiannually on all these notes except for the 6.95% notes whose quarterly interest payments commenced December 15, 2013 to the earliest possible redemption date of September 15, 2023, after which the interest rate changes to an annual floating rate equal to 3mL+3.89%. Interest payments on the 5.65% notes commenced May 15, 2014 to the earliest possible redemption date of November 15, 2018, after which they are payable quarterly at an annual floating rate equal to 3mL+4.19%.

#### Convertible Subordinated Notes

The convertible subordinated notes resulted from the modification in 2009 of \$1.2 billion par value of subordinated notes. The convertible subordinated notes permit conversion on a par-for-par basis into either the Company's Series A or Series C preferred stock. The carrying value of the subordinated notes at the time of modification included associated terminated fair value hedges. Holders of the convertible subordinated notes are allowed to convert on the interest payment dates of the debt. As discussed in Note 13, we recorded the intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature directly in common stock in connection with the modification of the subordinated notes. Note 13 also discusses the redemption in September 2013 of all of the \$800 million par amount of Series C preferred stock. Subordinated notes converted to preferred stock prior to this redemption amounted to \$1.2 million in 2013 and \$89.6 million in 2012. Immaterial amounts were converted into Series A preferred stock in 2014.

The convertible debt discount recorded in connection with the subordinated debt modification is amortized to interest expense using the interest method over the remaining terms of the convertible subordinated notes. When holders of the convertible subordinated notes exercise their rights to convert to preferred stock, the rate of amortization is accelerated by immediately expensing any unamortized discount associated with the converted debt. Amortization of the convertible debt discount is summarized as follows:

(In thousands)	2014	2013	2012	
Balance at beginning of year	\$42,444	\$149,333	\$224,206	
Discount amortization on convertible subordinated debt	(24,397)	(48,378)	) (43,341	)
Accelerated discount amortization on convertible subordinated debt	(1)	(368	(31,532	)
Accelerated discount amortization resulting from tender offer for debt repurchases (subsequently discussed)	_	(58,143	·	
Total amortization	(24,398)	(106,889)	) (74,873	)
Balance at end of year	\$18,046	\$42,444	\$149,333	

In addition, interest expense on convertible subordinated notes was \$10.3 million in 2014, \$25.3 million in 2013, and \$28.2 million in 2012.

As discussed in Note 7, we terminated all fair value hedges that had been used for the subordinated notes. The remaining value of \$1.5 million and \$3.8 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, is amortized as a reduction of interest expense over the periods to the previously stated maturity dates of the notes.

Senior Notes								
Senior notes consist of the following at December 31, 2014:								
(Amounts in thousands)								
Coupon rate	Balance	Par amount	Maturity					
4.0%	\$88,697	\$89,360	June 2016					
4.5%	160,503	163,857	March 2017					
4.5%	144,876	145,231	June 2023					
3.30% - 3.70%	38,309	38,389	Feb - Jul 2018					
Total	\$432,385	\$436,837						

These notes are unsecured and interest is payable semiannually. The notes were issued under a shelf registration filed with the SEC and were sold via the Company's online auction process and direct sales. The notes are not redeemable prior to maturity except for the \$38.3 million notes that have optional early redemptions as follows: \$27.2 million in 2015 (on February 17, 2015, \$8.0 million of this amount was redeemed) and \$11.1 million in 2016.

#### Debt Redemptions and Repurchases

We redeemed or repurchased the following amounts of long-term debt during 2014 and 2013:

(Amounts in thousands)	2014		2013	
Note type	Coupon rate	Par amount	Coupon rate	Par amount
Senior notes	7.75% 4.0%, 4.5% 3.5% 2.55% - 5.50%	\$240,769 499,980 50,000 247,512 1,038,261	7.75%	\$257,615
Subordinated notes	5.65% 3mL+1.25%	30,173 75,000 105,173	5.5%, 6.0%	231,047
Convertible subordinated notes Trust preferred	5.65%	75,674 —	5.5%, 6.0% 8.0%	19,937 285,000
Total		\$1,219,108		\$793,599

Total debt extinguishment costs for certain of these redemptions were \$44.4 million in 2014 and \$120.2 million in 2013, which consisted of \$34.0 million and \$45.8 million of early tender premiums, and \$10.4 million and \$74.4 million in write-offs of unamortized debt discount and issuance costs and fees, in 2014 and 2013, respectively.

#### Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

The FHLB advances were issued to Amegy and have maturities primarily from October 2030 to September 2041 at interest rates from 3.27% to 5.66%. The weighted average interest rate on advances outstanding was 4.5% at both December 31, 2014 and 2013.

# Maturities of Long-term Debt

Maturities of long-term debt are as follows for the years succeeding December 31, 2014:

(In thousands)	Consolidated	Parent only
2015	\$217,392	\$217,240
2016	88,869	88,698
2017	160,700	160,503
2018	38,534	38,309
2019	259	
Thereafter	585,024	410,231
Total	\$1,090,778	\$914,981

These maturities do not include the associated hedges. The \$410.2 million of Parent only maturities payable after 2019 consist of \$15.5 million of junior subordinated debentures payable to Stockmen's Trust II and III and \$394.7 million of senior notes.

#### 13. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

#### Preferred Stock

Preferred stock is without par value and has a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share, or \$25 per depositary share. Except for Series I and J, all preferred shares were issued in the form of depositary shares, with each depositary share representing a 1/40<sup>th</sup> ownership interest in a share of the preferred stock. All preferred shares are registered with the SEC.

In general, preferred shareholders may receive asset distributions before common shareholders; however, preferred shareholders have only limited voting rights generally with respect to certain provisions of the preferred stock, the issuance of senior preferred stock, and the election of directors. Preferred stock dividends reduce earnings available to common shareholders and are paid on the 15th day of the months indicated in the following schedule. Dividends are approved by the Board of Directors and are subject to regulatory non-objection to a stress test and capital plan submitted to the Federal Reserve pursuant to the annual Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review ("CCAR") process. Redemption of the preferred stock is at the Company's option after the expiration of any applicable redemption restrictions. The redemption amount is computed at the per share liquidation preference plus any declared but unpaid dividends. Redemptions are subject to certain regulatory provisions, including the previously noted capital plan non-objection.

Preferred stock is summarized as follows:

(Amounts in	Carrying value at December 31,		Shares at December 31, 2014		Dividends payable	Earliest redemption		Dividends payable afte	
thousands		2014	2013	Authoriz@utstar	nd <b>Rag</b> te	payable	date	redemption date	change
	Series A	\$66,168	\$66,127	140,000 66,034	> of 4.0% or 3mL+0.52%	Mar,Jun,Sep,Dec		(when applica	adie)
	Series F	143,750	143,750	250,000 143,75	0 7.9%	Qtrly Mar,Jun,Sep,Dec	Jun 15, 2017		
	Series G	171,827	171,827	200,000 171,82	7 6.3%	Qtrly Mar,Jun,Sep,Dec	Mar 15, 2023	annual float-ing rate = 3mL+4.24%	
	Series H	126,221	126,221	126,221 126,22	1 5.75%	Qtrly Mar,Jun,Sep,Dec	Jun 15, 2019		
	Series I	300,893	300,893	300,893 300,893	3 5.8%	Semi-annually Jun,Dec	Jun 15, 2023	annual float-ing rate = 3mL+3.8%	Qtrly Mar,Jun,Sej
	Series J	195,152	195,152	195,152 195,152	2 7.2%	Semi-annually Mar,Sep	Sep 15, 2023	annual float-ing rate = 3mL+4.44%	Qtrly Mar,Jun,Sej
	Total	¢1 004 011	\$ 1 002 070						

Total \$1,004,011 \$1,003,970

#### Series C Preferred Stock Redemption

On September 15, 2013, we redeemed all of the outstanding \$800 million par amount (799,467 shares) of our 9.5% Series C preferred stock at 100% of the \$25 per depositary share redemption amount. As shown in the previous schedule, the summation of December 31, 2013 carrying values for Series G, H, I and J, plus the \$5.9 million increase in carrying value for Series A during 2013 (reflecting the reopening of Series A preferred shares), equals the \$800 million used to fund this redemption. The Federal Reserve did not object to the element of our capital plan to redeem the entire \$800 million of our Series C preferred stock subject to issuing an equivalent amount of new preferred shares.

The redemption reduced preferred stock by the \$926 million carrying value (at the time of redemption) of the Series C preferred stock. The difference from the par amount, or \$126 million, related to the intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature ("BCF") associated with the convertible subordinated debt. The BCF represented the difference on the original commitment date of the fair values of the convertible subordinated debt and the preferred stock into which the debt was convertible. The total BCF of \$203 million was included in common stock when the subordinated debt was modified to convertible subordinated debt in June 2009. The Company has "no par" common stock and all additional paid-in capital transactions such as this are recorded in common stock.

Portions of the BCF have been transferred since July 2009 from common stock to preferred stock as holders of convertible subordinated debt exercised rights to convert to the Series C preferred stock. Prior to the redemption, these BCF transfers amounted to \$0.2 million in 2013 and \$15.2 million in 2012. Amounts transferred became part of the carrying value of the preferred stock. The \$126 million BCF transfer was recorded as a preferred stock redemption in the 2013 statement of income. At December 31, 2014, the remaining balance in common stock of the BCF was approximately \$76.4 million. Although the legal right to convert continues to exist, if no further conversions occur or

the convertible debt matures, the current amount of the BCF will remain in common stock.

#### Other Preferred Stock Redemptions

The Series D preferred stock was redeemed in two equal installments by September 26, 2012. The total of \$1.4 billion had been issued by the U.S. Department of the Treasury under the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP"). The associated warrants to purchase 5.8 million shares of common stock were auctioned by the U.S. Treasury in December 2012. The Company did not receive any proceeds from the warrant auction. The warrants have an exercise price of \$36.27 per share and expire November 14, 2018. The TARP redemption accelerated the amortization to preferred stock dividends in 2012 of the entire remaining unamortized discount. Amortization amounted to \$44.7 million in 2012.

The entire Series E preferred stock of \$142.5 million was redeemed on its call date of June 15, 2012. Commissions and fees of \$3.8 million previously recorded in common stock were charged to retained earnings. Proceeds from the Series F preferred stock were used to redeem the Series E preferred stock.

#### Common Stock

On July 28, 2014, we issued \$525 million of common stock, which consisted of approximately 17.6 million shares at a price of \$29.80 per share. Net of commissions and fees, this issuance added approximately \$516 million to common stock. Following the Federal Reserve's announcement on July 25, 2014 that it had not objected to our resubmitted 2014 capital plan, which included the issuance of \$400 million of new common equity in the third quarter of 2014, the Company decided to increase the issuance amount to \$525 million .

In addition to the TARP warrants previously discussed, we have issued a total of 29.3 million common stock warrants that can each be exercised for a share of common stock at a price of \$36.36 as of December 31, 2014 through May 22, 2020.

(In thousands)	Net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities		Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives and other		Pension and post-retirement		Total	
2014:								
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$(168,805	)	\$1,556		\$ (24,852	)	\$(192,101	)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications, net of tax	82,204		2,275		(15,284	)	69,195	
Amounts reclassified from AOCI, net of tax		)	(-,,	)	1,790	`	(5,135	)
Other comprehensive income (loss) Balance at December 31, 2014	76,884 \$(91,921	)	670 \$2,226		(13,494 \$ (38,346	)	64,060 \$(128,041	)
Income tax expense (benefit) included in other comprehensive income (loss)	\$60,795		\$467		\$ (8,764	)	\$52,498	
2013:								
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$(403,893	)	\$8,071		\$ (50,335	)	\$(446,157	)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications, net of tax	132,152		(4,935)	)	20,577		147,794	
Amounts reclassified from AOCI, net of tax	102,936		(1,580)	)	4,906		106,262	

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Changes in AOCI by component are as follows:

Edgar Filing: ZIONS BANCORPORATION /UT/ - Form 10-K								
Other comprehensive income (loss) Balance at December 31, 2013	235,088 \$(168,805 )	(6,515) \$1,556	25,483 \$ (24,852 )	254,056 \$(192,101)				
Income tax expense (benefit) included in other comprehensive income (loss)	\$147,133	\$(3,651)	\$ 16,625	\$160,107				
140								

(In thousands)	Amounts reclassified from AOCI <sup>1</sup>			Statement of income				
Details about AOCI components	2014		2013		2012		(SI) Balance sheet (BS)	Affected line item
Net realized gains (losses) on investment securities	\$10,419		\$(2,898	)	\$19,544		SI	Fixed income securities gains (losses), net
Income tax expense (benefit)	3,971 6,448		(1,123 (1,775		7,340 12,204			
Net unrealized losses on investment securities	(27	)	(164,732	)	(103,720	)	SI	Net impairment losses on investment securities
Income tax benefit	(10 (17	) )	(64,829 (99,903		(40,156 (63,564	) )		
Accretion of securities with noncredit-related impairment losses not expected to be sold	(1,878	)	(2,106	)	(11,351	)	BS	Investment securities, held-to-maturity
Deferred income taxes	767 \$5,320		848 \$(102,936	5)	4,488 \$(58,223	)	BS	Other assets
Net unrealized gains on derivative instruments	\$2,594		\$2,647		\$13,062		SI	Interest and fees on loans
Income tax expense	989 \$1,605		1,067 \$1,580		5,220 \$7,842			
Amortization of net actuarial loss	\$(2,843	)	\$(8,127	)	\$(8,983	)	SI	Salaries and employee benefits
Amortization of prior service credit (cost)	(50	)	27		120		SI	Salaries and employee benefits
Income tax benefit	(1,103 \$(1,790		(3,194 \$(4,906		(3,497 \$(5,366	) )		

<sup>1</sup> Negative reclassification amounts indicate decreases to earnings in the statement of income and increases to balance sheet assets. The opposite applies to positive reclassification amounts.

#### Deferred Compensation

Deferred compensation consists of invested assets, including the Company's common stock, which are held in rabbi trusts for certain employees and directors. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the cost of the common stock included in retained earnings was approximately \$14.3 million and \$15.0 million, respectively. We consolidate the fair value of invested assets of the trusts along with the total obligations and include them in other assets and other liabilities, respectively, in the balance sheet. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, total invested assets were approximately \$86.6 million and \$83.7 million and total obligations were approximately \$100.9 million and \$98.7 million, respectively.

#### Noncontrolling Interests

In June 2013, we removed the entire noncontrolling interest amount of approximately \$4.8 million at that time from the Company's balance sheet following settlement with the remaining owner.

14. INCOME TAXES									
Income taxes (benefit) are summarized as follows:									
(In thousands)	2014	2013	2012						
Federal:									
Current	\$178,450	\$173,418	\$185,404						
Deferred	14,277	(51,475)	(20,086))						
	192,727	121,943	165,318						
State:									
Current	18,573	29,676	(1,775)						
Deferred	11,661	(8,642)	29,873						
	30,234	21,034	28,098						
	\$222,961	\$142,977	\$193,416						

Income tax expense computed at the statutory federal income tax rate of 35% reconciles to actual income tax expense as follows:

(In thousands)	2014	2013	2012
Income tax expense at statutory federal rate State income taxes, net Other nondeductible expenses Nontaxable income Tax credits and other taxes Other Total	\$217,498 19,652 2,949 (17,869 (1,717 2,448 \$222,961	\$142,251 13,672 2,574 (17,071 (2,628 4,179 \$142,977	\$189,548 18,264 11,291 ) (20,137 ) ) (3,172 ) (2,378 ) \$193,416
	. ,	. ,	. ,

#### Table of Contents

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are presented below:

(In thousands)	December 31,	
(III ulousands)	2014	2013
Gross deferred tax assets:		
Book loan loss deduction in excess of tax	\$259,855	\$315,025
Pension and postretirement	25,142	16,380
Deferred compensation	92,864	85,846
Other real estate owned	1,252	7,099
Security investments and derivative fair value adjustments	22,438	155,900
Net operating losses, capital losses and tax credits	5,442	6,111
FDIC-supported transactions	19,084	10,488
Other	43,243	49,675
	469,320	646,524
Valuation allowance	(4,261	) (4,261 )
Total deferred tax assets	465,059	642,263
Cross deferred ton liskilition		
Gross deferred tax liabilities:	(0.057	(12.556)
Core deposits and purchase accounting		) (13,556 )
Premises and equipment, due to differences in depreciation	(10,361	) (13,014 )
FHLB stock dividends	(12,376	) (12,668 )
Leasing operations	(80,383	) (94,637 )
Prepaid expenses	(9,337	) (8,909 )
Prepaid pension reserves	(18,333	) (16,909 )
Subordinated debt modification	(64,030	) (148,820 )
Deferred loan fees	(22,774	) (21,591 )
Equity investments	(10,470	) (5,225 )
Other	(3,884	) (2,553 )
Total deferred tax liabilities	(240,800	) (337,882 )
Net deferred tax assets	\$224,259	\$304,381

The amount of net deferred tax assets ("DTAs") is included with other assets in the balance sheet. The \$4.3 million valuation allowance at December 31, 2014 and 2013 was for certain acquired net operating loss carryforwards included in our acquisition of the remaining interests in a less significant subsidiary. At December 31, 2014, excluding the \$4.3 million, the tax effect of remaining net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards was approximately \$1.2 million expiring through 2030.

We evaluate the net DTAs on a regular basis to determine whether an additional valuation allowance is required. In conducting this evaluation, we have considered all available evidence, both positive and negative, based on the more likely than not criteria that such assets will be realized. This evaluation includes, but is not limited to: (1) available carryback potential to prior tax years; (2) potential future reversals of existing deferred tax liabilities, which historically have a reversal pattern generally consistent with DTAs; (3) potential tax planning strategies; and (4) future projected taxable income. Based on this evaluation, and considering the weight of the positive evidence compared to the negative evidence, we have concluded that an additional valuation allowance is not required as of December 31, 2014.

The Company has received federal income tax credits under the U.S. Government's Community Development Financial Institutions Fund that are recognized over a seven-year period from the year of investment. The effect of these tax credits provided an income tax benefit of \$0.6 million in 2013 and \$1.2 million in 2012. Under the terms of the agreement, our involvement in this program terminated in 2014.

#### Table of Contents

We have a liability for unrecognized tax benefits relating to uncertain tax positions primarily for various state tax contingencies in several jurisdictions. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

(In thousands)	2014	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of year Tax positions related to current year:	\$2,385	\$2,385	\$13,722
Additions			_
Reductions	_	_	_
Tax positions related to prior years:			
Additions	870	—	—
Reductions		—	(11,337)
Settlements with taxing authorities			—
Lapses in statutes of limitations	—	—	—
Balance at end of year	\$3,255	\$2,385	\$2,385

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the liability for unrecognized tax benefits included approximately \$2.1 million and \$1.6 million, respectively (net of the federal tax benefit on state issues) that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. There are no gross unrecognized tax benefits that may decrease during the 12 months subsequent to December 31, 2014.

The \$11.3 million reduction to this liability in 2012 was made following closure of an audit by a state taxing authority. We reduced income tax expense by a net amount including interest/penalty of \$2.3 million in 2012 due to the audit closure.

Interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits are included in income tax expense in the statement of income. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, accrued interest and penalties recognized in the balance sheet, net of any federal and/or state tax benefits, were approximately \$0.6 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in U.S. federal and various state jurisdictions. The Company is no longer subject to income tax examinations for years prior to 2007 for federal and state returns.

# 15. NET EARNINGS PER COMMON

SHARE

Basic and diluted net earnings per common share based on the weighted average outstanding shares are summarized as follows:

(In thousands, except per share amounts) Basic:	2014	2013	2012
Net income applicable to controlling interest	\$398,462	\$263,791	\$349,516
Less common and preferred dividends	103,111	(6,094	) 178,277
Undistributed earnings	295,351	269,885	171,239
Less undistributed earnings applicable to nonvested shares	2,933	2,832	1,600
Undistributed earnings applicable to common shares	292,418	267,053	169,639
Distributed earnings applicable to common shares	30,983	23,916	7,321
Total earnings applicable to common shares	\$323,401	\$290,969	\$176,960
Weighted average common shares outstanding	192,207	183,844	183,081
Net earnings per common share	\$1.68	\$1.58	\$0.97
Diluted:			
Total earnings applicable to common shares	\$323,401	\$290,969	\$176,960
Additional undistributed earnings allocated to incremental shares			
Diluted earnings applicable to common shares	\$323,401	\$290,969	\$176,960
Weighted average common shares outstanding	192,207	183,844	183,081
Additional weighted average dilutive shares	582	453	155
Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding	192,789	184,297	183,236
Net earnings per common share	\$1.68	\$1.58	\$0.97
$\mathcal{O}$ r	+	,	

For 2013, preferred dividends were offset by a preferred stock redemption of approximately \$126 million that resulted from the redemption of the Company's Series C preferred stock. See further discussion in Note 13.

#### 16. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

We have a stock option and incentive plan which allows us to grant stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units ("RSUs"), and other awards to employees and nonemployee directors. Total shares authorized under the plan were 19,500,000 at December 31, 2014, of which 4,353,967 were available for future grants.

All share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, are recognized in the statement of income based on their fair values. The fair value of an equity award is estimated on the grant date without regard to service or performance vesting conditions.

Compensation expense and the related tax benefit for all share-based awards were as follows:						
(In thousands)	2014 2013 2012					
Compensation expense	\$23,632	\$28,052	\$31,533			
Reduction of income tax expense	7,767	9,123	10,724			

Compensation expense is included in salaries and employee benefits in the statement of income, with the corresponding increase included in common stock, except for the portion related to the salary stock units ("SSUs") granted in 2012, which was settled in cash. See subsequent discussion.

We classify all share-based awards as equity instruments except for the 2012 SSUs that were classified as liabilities. Substantially all awards of stock options, restricted stock, and RSUs have graded vesting that is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period.

As of December 31, 2014, compensation expense not yet recognized for nonvested share-based awards was approximately \$26.3 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.5 years.

The tax effects recognized from the exercise of stock options and the vesting of restricted stock and RSUs increased common stock by approximately \$0.4 million in 2014 and \$0.3 million in 2013, and decreased common stock by approximately \$2.8 million in 2012. These amounts are included in the net activity under employee plans and related tax benefits in the statement of changes in shareholders' equity.

#### Stock Options

Stock options granted to employees generally vest at the rate of one third each year and expire seven years after the date of grant. For all stock options granted in 2014, 2013 and 2012, we used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair values of stock options in determining compensation expense. The following summarizes the weighted average of fair value and the significant assumptions used in applying the Black-Scholes model for options granted:

	2014	2013	2012	
Weighted average of fair value for options granted	\$6.10	\$6.79	\$4.88	
Weighted average assumptions used:				
Expected dividend yield	1.3	% 1.3	% 1.5	%
Expected volatility	25.1	% 32.5	% 35.0	%
Risk-free interest rate	1.55	% 0.78	% 0.67	%
Expected life (in years)	5.0	4.5	4.5	

The assumptions for expected dividend yield, expected volatility, and expected life reflect management's judgment and include consideration of historical experience. Expected volatility is based in part on historical volatility. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for periods corresponding with the expected life of the option.

The following summarizes our stock option activity for the three years ended December 31, 2014:

	Number of shares		Weighted average exercise price
Balance at December 31, 2011	5,933,870		\$43.06
Granted	867,968		18.87
Exercised	(129,616	)	14.64
Expired	(568,546	)	61.90
Forfeited	(141,351	)	21.36
Balance at December 31, 2012	5,962,325		38.87
Granted	1,047,781		27.41
Exercised	(488,479	)	20.11
Expired	(574,157	)	70.12
Forfeited	(72,880	)	22.25
Balance at December 31, 2013	5,874,590		35.54
Granted	947,758		28.67
Exercised	(489,905	)	21.60
Expired	(618,207	)	73.28
Forfeited	(83,734	)	25.72
Balance at December 31, 2014	5,630,502		31.60
Outstanding stock options exercisable as of:			
December 31, 2014	3,804,873		\$33.86
December 31, 2013	4,101,928		40.40
December 31, 2012	4,379,630		45.26
We issue new outbonized shares for the evening of stack articles. The	total intrincia value of at	o alr	antiona avanaicad

We issue new authorized shares for the exercise of stock options. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised was approximately \$3.9 million in 2014, \$4.0 million in 2013, and \$0.7 million in 2012. Cash received from the exercise of stock options was \$10.6 million in 2014, \$9.8 million in 2013, and \$1.9 million in 2012. Additional selected information on stock options at December 31, 2014 follows:

Outstanding stock options

	Outstanding stock	options	Weighted		Exercisable stock	options
Exercise price range	Number of shares	Weighted average exercise price	average remaining contractual li (years)	fe	Number of shares	Weighted average exercise price
\$0.32 to \$19.99	802,357	\$17.33	3.5	1	553,234	\$16.64
\$20.00 to \$24.99	889,257	23.84	2.9		870,455	23.83
\$25.00 to \$29.99	2,426,924	28.02	4.5		921,599	27.81
\$30.00 to \$39.99	62,379	30.48	5.5		10,000	32.45
\$40.00 to \$44.99	14,000	41.69	0.8		14,000	41.69
\$45.00 to \$49.99	1,231,263	47.28	0.5		1,231,263	47.28
\$50.00 to \$59.99	91,217	58.12	0.4		91,217	58.12
\$60.00 to \$79.99	41,105	70.97	0.5		41,105	70.97
\$80.00 to \$81.99	36,000	80.65	1.3		36,000	80.65
\$82.00 to \$83.38	36,000	83.38	2.3		36,000	83.38
	5,630,502	31.60	3.1	1	3,804,873	33.86

The weighted average remaining contractual life excludes 21,252 stock options without a fixed expiration date that

<sup>1</sup> were obtained with the Amegy acquisition. They expire between the date of termination and one year from the date of termination, depending upon certain circumstances.

#### Table of Contents

The aggregate intrinsic value of outstanding stock options at December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$14.4 million and \$23.6 million, respectively, while the aggregate intrinsic value of exercisable options was \$11.3 million and \$13.7 million at the same respective dates. For exercisable options, the weighted average remaining contractual life was 1.8 years and 2.1 years at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, excluding the stock options previously noted without a fixed expiration date. At December 31, 2014, 1,775,503 stock options with a weighted average exercise price of \$26.87, a weighted average remaining life of 5.7 years, and an aggregate intrinsic value of \$3.1 million, were expected to vest.

The previous schedules do not include stock options for employees of our TCBO subsidiary to purchase common stock of TCBO. At December 31, 2014, there were fully-vested options to purchase 43,400 TCBO shares at exercise prices from \$17.85 to \$20.58, expiring in June 2018. At December 31, 2014, there were 1,038,000 issued and outstanding shares of TCBO common stock. In connection with TCBO's merger with TCBW as discussed in Note 1, these out-of-the-money stock options will be canceled on February 28, 2015 with no payout to the option holders.

#### Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units

Restricted stock is common stock with certain restrictions that relate to trading and the possibility of forfeiture. Generally, restricted stock vests over four years. Holders of restricted stock have full voting rights and receive dividend equivalents during the vesting period. In addition, holders of restricted stock can make an election to be subject to income tax on the grant date rather than the vesting date.

RSUs represent rights to one share of common stock for each unit and generally vest over four years. Holders of RSUs receive dividend equivalents during the vesting period, but do not have voting rights.

Compensation expense is determined based on the number of restricted shares or RSUs granted and the market price of our common stock at the issue date.

Nonemployee directors were granted 16,670 shares of restricted stock and 6,656 RSUs in 2014; 17,444 shares of restricted stock and 4,984 RSUs in 2013; and 25,536 shares of restricted stock and 7,296 RSUs in 2012, which vested over six months.

The following summarizes our restricted stock activity for the three years ended December 31, 2014:

	Number of shares	Weighted average issue price
Nonvested restricted shares at December 31, 2011	1,399,854	\$23.74
Issued	87,480	17.57
Vested	(582,361)	25.34
Forfeited	(53,737)	22.83
Nonvested restricted shares at December 31, 2012	851,236	22.07
Issued	56,774	24.55
Vested	(452,743)	21.80
Forfeited	(44,317)	24.31
Nonvested restricted shares at December 31, 2013	410,950	22.46
Issued	16,670	28.87
Vested	(256,890)	23.23
Forfeited	(9,510)	23.68

Walatad

Nonvested restricted shares at December 31, 2014	161,220	21.82

The following summarizes our RSU	activity for the three years ended December 31, 2014:
----------------------------------	---

	Number of restricted stock units	Weighted average grant price
Restricted stock units at December 31, 2011	146,165	\$23.69
Granted	726,779	18.29
Vested	(34,885	) 22.46
Forfeited	(15,306	) 20.22
Restricted stock units at December 31, 2012	822,753	19.04
Granted	949,418	25.99
Vested	(160,580	) 20.17
Forfeited	(89,553	) 20.13
Restricted stock units at December 31, 2013	1,522,038	23.19
Granted	727,300	28.81
Vested	(416,755	) 22.26
Forfeited	(63,163	) 25.48
Restricted stock units at December 31, 2014	1,769,420	25.64

The total fair value at grant date of restricted stock and RSUs vested during the year was \$15.2 million in 2014, \$13.1 million in 2013, and \$15.5 million in 2012. At December 31, 2014, 159,668 shares of restricted stock and 1,271,135 RSUs were expected to vest with an aggregate intrinsic value of \$4.6 million and \$36.2 million, respectively.

#### Salary Stock Units

We granted 456,275 SSUs in 2012 which vested immediately upon grant. Each SSU represents a right to one share of our common stock.

The SSUs granted in 2012 were classified as liabilities and were settled by cash payments of \$4.5 million for 225,214 SSUs in 2012 and \$5.7 million for 231,061 in 2013. The amount of cash paid was recorded as compensation expense and was determined by the number of SSUs being settled and the Company's average closing common stock price for each trading day during the 30 trading-day period immediately prior to such payment date.

# 17. COMMITMENTS, GUARANTEES, CONTINGENT LIABILITIES, AND RELATED PARTIES Commitments and Guarantees

We use certain financial instruments, including derivative instruments, in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of our customers, to reduce our own exposure to fluctuations in interest rates, and to make a market in U.S. Government, agency, corporate, and municipal securities. These financial instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, liquidity, and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the balance sheet. Derivative instruments are discussed in Notes 7 and 20.

Contractual amounts of the off-balance sheet financial instruments used to meet the financing needs of our customers are as follows:

#### Table of Contents

(In thousands)	December 31, 2014	2013
Net unfunded commitments to extend credit <sup>1</sup>	\$16,658,757	\$16,174,083
Standby letters of credit:		
Financial	745,895	779,811
Performance	183,482	159,485
Commercial letters of credit	32,144	80,218
Total unfunded lending commitments	\$17,620,278	\$17,193,597
<sup>1</sup> Net of participations		

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require the payment of a fee. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by us upon extension of credit, is based on our initial credit evaluation of the counterparty. Types of collateral vary, but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment, and income-producing properties.

While establishing commitments to extend credit creates credit risk, a significant portion of such commitments is expected to expire without being drawn upon. As of December 31, 2014, \$4.6 billion of commitments expire in 2015. We use the same credit policies and procedures in making commitments to extend credit and conditional obligations as we do for on-balance sheet instruments. These policies and procedures include credit approvals, limits, and monitoring.

We issue standby and commercial letters of credit as conditional commitments generally to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. The guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing, and similar transactions. Standby letters of credit include remaining commitments of \$714 million expiring in 2015 and \$215 million expiring thereafter through 2027. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. We generally hold marketable securities and cash equivalents as collateral supporting those commitments for which collateral is deemed necessary. At December 31, 2014, the Company had recorded approximately \$13.2 million as a liability for these guarantees, which consisted of \$10.0 million attributable to the reserve for unfunded lending commitments and \$3.2 million of deferred commitment fees.

Certain mortgage loans sold have limited recourse provisions for periods ranging from three months to one year. The amount of losses resulting from the exercise of these provisions has not been significant.

At December 31, 2014, we had unfunded commitments for private equity investments of approximately \$25 million. These obligations have no stated maturity. Substantially all of the PEIs related to these commitments are prohibited by the Volcker Rule. See related discussions about these investments in Notes 5 and 20.

The contractual or notional amount of financial instruments indicates a level of activity associated with a particular class of financial instrument and is not a reflection of the actual level of risk. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the regulatory risk-weighted values assigned to all off-balance sheet financial instruments and derivative instruments described herein were \$5.9 billion and \$5.6 billion, respectively.

At December 31, 2014, we were required to maintain cash balances of \$201.3 million with the Federal Reserve Banks to meet minimum balance requirements in accordance with Federal Reserve Board ("FRB") regulations.

As of December 31, 2014, the Parent has guaranteed approximately \$15 million of debt of affiliated trusts issuing trust preferred securities, as discussed in Note 12.

#### Leases

We have commitments for leasing premises and equipment under the terms of noncancelable capital and operating leases expiring from 2015 to 2052. Premises leased under capital leases at December 31, 2014 were \$1.2 million and accumulated amortization was \$0.8 million. Amortization applicable to premises leased under capital leases is included in depreciation expense.

Future aggregate minimum rental payments under existing noncancelable operating leases at December 31, 2014 are as follows:

(in thousands)	
2015	\$47,304
2016	46,690
2017	41,211
2018	36,519
2019	30,226
Thereafter	125,400
	\$327,350

Future aggregate minimum rental payments have been reduced by noncancelable subleases as follows: \$1.4 million in 2015, \$1.6 million in 2016, \$1.6 million in 2017, \$1.6 million in 2018, \$1.5 million in 2019, and \$3.6 million thereafter. Aggregate rental expense on operating leases amounted to \$59.2 million in 2014, \$58.4 million in 2013, and \$58.7 million in 2012.

## Legal Matters

We are subject to litigation in court and arbitral proceedings, as well as proceedings, investigations, examinations and other actions brought or considered by governmental and self-regulatory agencies. At any given time, litigation may relate to lending, deposit and other customer relationships, vendor and contractual issues, employee matters, intellectual property matters, personal injuries and torts, regulatory and legal compliance, and other matters. While most matters relate to individual claims, we are also subject to putative class action claims and similar broader claims. Putative class actions and similar claims that were outstanding at December 31, 2014 included the following: a complaint relating to our banking relationships with customers that allegedly engaged in wrongful telemarketing practices in which the plaintiff seeks a trebled monetary award under the federal RICO Act, Reyes v. Zions First National Bank, et. al., pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania; and a complaint arising from our banking relationships with Frederick Berg and a number of investment funds controlled by him using the "Meridian" brand name, in which the liquidating trustee for the funds seeks an award from us, on the basis of aiding and abetting and other claims, for monetary damages suffered by victims of a fraud allegedly perpetrated by Berg, In re Consolidated Meridian Funds a/k/a Meridian Investors Trust, Mark Calvert as Liquidating Trustee, et. al. vs. Zions Bancorporation and The Commerce Bank of Washington, N.A., pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Washington.

In the third quarter of 2013, the District Court denied the plaintiff's motion for class certification in the Reyes case. The plaintiff appealed the District Court decision to the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. The Third Circuit had not ruled on the appeal as of February 2015. In February 2015, the Company settled the Meridian Funds case. The settlement award was substantially covered by our recorded accruals for legal risks as of December 31, 2014 included in our consolidated financial statements.

At any given time, proceedings, investigations, examinations and other actions brought or considered by governmental and self-regulatory agencies may relate to our banking, investment advisory, trust, securities, and

other products and services; our customers' involvement in money-laundering, fraud, securities violations and other illicit activities or our policies and practices relating to such customer activities; and our compliance with the broad range of banking, securities and other laws and regulations applicable to us. At any given time, we may be in the process of responding to subpoenas, requests for documents, data and testimony relating to such matters and engaging in discussions to resolve the matters. Significant investigations or inquiries to which we may have been subject at December 31, 2014 included the following:

possible money laundering activities of a customer of one of our subsidiary banks and the anti-money laundering practices of that bank (conducted by the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York); and the practices of our subsidiary, Zions Bank; our former subsidiary, NetDeposit, LLC; and possibly other of our affiliates relating primarily to payment processing for allegedly fraudulent telemarketers and other customer types (conducted by the Department of Justice).

At least quarterly, we review outstanding and new legal matters, utilizing then available information. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, if we determine that a loss from a matter is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, we establish an accrual for the loss. In the absence of such a determination, no accrual is made. Once established, accruals are adjusted to reflect developments relating to the matters.

In our review, we also assess whether we can determine the range of reasonably possible losses for significant matters in which we are unable to determine that the likelihood of a loss is remote. Because of the difficulty of predicting the outcome of legal matters, discussed subsequently, we are able to meaningfully estimate such a range only for a limited number of matters. Based on information available as of December 31, 2014, we estimated that the aggregate range of reasonably possible losses for those matters to be from \$0 million to roughly \$50 million in excess of amounts accrued. The matters underlying the estimated range will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from this estimate. Those matters for which a meaningful estimate is not possible are not included within this estimated range and, therefore, this estimated range does not represent our maximum loss exposure.

Based on our current knowledge, we believe that our current estimated liability for litigation and other legal actions and claims, reflected in our accruals and determined in accordance with applicable accounting guidance, is adequate and that liabilities in excess of the amounts currently accrued, if any, arising from litigation and other legal actions and claims for which an estimate as previously described is possible, will not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. However, in light of the significant uncertainties involved in these matters, and the very large or indeterminate damages sought in some of these matters, an adverse outcome in one or more of these matters could be material to our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows for any given reporting period.

Any estimate or determination relating to the future resolution of litigation, arbitration, governmental or self-regulatory examinations, investigations or actions or similar matters is inherently uncertain and involves significant judgment. This is particularly true in the early stages of a legal matter, when legal issues and facts have not been well articulated, reviewed, analyzed, and vetted through discovery, preparation for trial or hearings, substantive and productive mediation or settlement discussions, or other actions. It is also particularly true with respect to class action and similar claims involving multiple defendants, matters with complex procedural requirements or substantive issues or novel legal theories, and examinations, investigations and other actions conducted or brought by governmental and self-regulatory agencies, in which the normal adjudicative process is not applicable. Accordingly, we usually are unable to determine whether a favorable or unfavorable outcome is remote, reasonably likely, or probable, or to estimate the amount or range of a probable or reasonably likely loss, until relatively late in the course of a legal matter, sometimes not until a number of years have elapsed. Accordingly, our judgments and estimates relating to claims will change from time to time in light of developments and actual outcomes will differ from our estimates. These differences may be material.

## **Related Party Transactions**

We have no material related party transactions requiring disclosure. In the ordinary course of business, the Company and its subsidiary banks extend credit to related parties, including executive officers, directors, principal shareholders, and their associates and related interests. These related party loans are made in compliance with applicable banking regulations under substantially the same terms as comparable third-party lending arrangements.

# **18. REGULATORY MATTERS**

We are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory – and possibly additional discretionary – actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on our financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, we must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of our assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Required capital levels are also subject to judgmental review by regulators.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require us to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following schedule) of Total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier 1 capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). As of December 31, 2014, we exceeded all capital adequacy requirements to which we are subject.

As of December 31, 2014, all capital ratios of the Company and each of its subsidiary banks exceeded the "well capitalized" levels under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. Dividends declared by our subsidiary banks in any calendar year may not, without the approval of the appropriate federal regulators, exceed specified criteria.

Appropriate capital levels and distributions of capital to shareholders for the Company and other "systemically important financial institutions" ("SIFIs") are also subject to annual "stress tests" performed as a part of the Federal Reserve's CCAR process.

The stress tests seek to comprehensively measure all risks to which the institution is exposed, including credit, liquidity, market, operating and other risks, the losses that could result from those risk exposures under adverse scenarios, and the institution's resulting capital levels. These stress tests have both a qualitative and a quantitative component. The qualitative component evaluates the robustness of the Company's risk identification, stress risk modeling, policies, capital planning, governance processes, and other components of a Capital Adequacy Process. The quantitative process subjects the Company's balance sheet and other risk characteristics to stress testing and independent determination by the Federal Reserve using its own models. Most capital actions, including for example, payment of dividends and repurchasing stock, are subject to non-objection by the Federal Reserve to a capital plan based on both the qualitative and quantitative assessments of the plan.

Each of the Company's subsidiary banks with assets greater than \$10 billion also is subject to annual stress testing and capital planning processes examined by their respective bank regulators (Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") or FDIC), known as the Dodd-Frank Act Stress Test ("DFAST").

The actual capital amounts and ratios for the Company and its three largest subsidiary banks are as follows:

	Actual		To be well-cap	oitalized	
(Amounts in thousands)	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
As of December 31, 2014					
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)					
The Company	\$7,443,301	16.27	% \$4,573,768	10.00	%
Zions First National Bank	2,108,904	15.27	1,381,243	10.00	
California Bank & Trust	1,286,095	14.18	906,915	10.00	
Amegy Bank	1,741,586	14.09	1,236,244	10.00	
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)					
The Company	6,620,282	14.47	2,744,261	6.00	
Zions First National Bank	1,942,856	14.07	828,746	6.00	
California Bank & Trust	1,179,129	13.00	544,149	6.00	
Amegy Bank	1,586,686	12.83	741,747	6.00	
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)					
The Company	6,620,282	11.82	na	na <sup>1</sup>	
Zions First National Bank	1,942,856	10.52	923,193	5.00	
California Bank & Trust	1,179,129	10.78	547,086	5.00	
Amegy Bank	1,586,686	11.79	672,996	5.00	
As of December 31, 2013					
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)					
The Company	\$6,621,539	14.67	% \$4,514,553	10.00	%
Zions First National Bank	1,997,525	14.52	1,375,382	10.00	
California Bank & Trust	1,252,860	13.65	917,950	10.00	
Amegy Bank	1,714,314	14.86	1,153,382	10.00	
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)					
The Company	5,763,463	12.77	2,708,732	6.00	
Zions First National Bank	1,831,720	13.32	825,208	6.00	
California Bank & Trust	1,137,848	12.40	550,770	6.00	
Amegy Bank	1,569,696	13.61	692,029	6.00	
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)					
The Company	5,763,463	10.48	na	na <sup>1</sup>	
Zions First National Bank	1,831,720	10.02	913,592	5.00	
California Bank & Trust	1,137,848	10.75	529,067	5.00	
Amegy Bank	1,569,696	12.09	649,387	5.00	
		1 . 1.	11 11 11		

<sup>1</sup> There is no Tier 1 leverage ratio component in the definition of a well-capitalized bank holding company. Basel III Capital Framework

In 2013, the FRB, FDIC, and OCC issued final rules (the "Basel III Capital Rules") establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations. These new rules implement the Basel Committee's December 2010 framework, commonly referred to as Basel III, and replace the current Basel I regulatory capital calculations. The Basel III Capital Rules will become effective for the Company on January 1, 2015, with the fully phased-in requirements becoming effective in 2018.

Among other things, the new rules revise capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, and they modify specified quantitative measures of our assets, liabilities, and capital. The impact of these new rules will require the Company to maintain capital in excess of current "well-capitalized" regulatory standards, and in excess of historical levels.

#### **19. RETIREMENT PLANS**

#### Defined Benefit Plans

Pension - This qualified noncontributory defined benefit plan has been frozen to new participation. No service-related benefits accrued for existing participants except for those with certain grandfathering provisions. Effective July 1, 2013, the plan was amended to remove the exception for grandfathered participants. The effect of this change was not significant to the plan. Benefits vest under the plan upon completion of five years of vesting service and all participants in the plan are currently vested in their benefits. Plan assets consist principally of corporate equity securities, mutual fund investments, real estate, and fixed income investments. Plan benefits are paid as a lump-sum cash value or an annuity at retirement age. Contributions to the plan are based on actuarial recommendation and pension regulations. Currently, it is expected that no minimum regulatory contributions will be required in 2015.

Supplemental Retirement – These unfunded nonqualified plans are for certain current and former employees. Each year, Company contributions to these plans are made in amounts sufficient to meet benefit payments to plan participants.

Postretirement Medical/Life – This unfunded health care and life insurance plan provides postretirement medical benefits to certain full-time employees who meet minimum age and service requirements. The plan also provides specified life insurance benefits to certain employees. The plan is contributory with retiree contributions adjusted annually, and contains other cost-sharing features such as deductibles and coinsurance. Plan coverage is provided by self-funding or health maintenance organization options. Our contribution towards the retiree medical premium has been permanently frozen at an amount that does not increase in any future year. Retirees pay the difference between the full premium rates and our capped contribution.

Because our contribution rate is capped, there is no effect on the postretirement plan from assumed increases or decreases in health care cost trends. Each year, Company contributions to the plan are made in amounts sufficient to meet the portion of the premiums that are the Company's responsibility.

The following presents the change in benefit obligation, change in fair value of plan assets, and funded status, of the plans and amounts recognized in the balance sheet as of the measurement date of December 31:

(In thousands)	Pension		Supplemen Retirement		Postretire	
	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013
Change in benefit obligation:						
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$169,092	\$191,208	\$10,276	\$11,234	\$1,062	\$1,129
Service cost	—				31	32
Interest cost	7,468	6,885	454	404	47	41
Actuarial (gain) loss	20,859	(16,341)	738	(426)	19	(53)
Settlements		96				
Benefits paid	(11,475)	(12,756)	(873)	(936)	(92)	(87)
Benefit obligation at end of year	185,944	169,092	10,595	10,276	1,067	1,062
Change in fair value of plan assets:						
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	171,905	157,082				
Actual return on plan assets	9,769	27,579				
Employer contributions			873	936	92	87
Benefits paid	(11,475)	(12,756)	(873)	(936)	(92)	(87)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	170,199	171,905				
Funded status	\$(15,745)	\$2,813	\$(10,595)	\$(10,276)	\$(1,067)	\$(1,062)
Amounts recognized in balance sheet:						
Asset (liability) for pension/postretirement	\$(15,745)	\$2.912	\$(10,505)	\$(10,276)	(1.067)	(1.062)
benefits	\$(15,745)	\$2,813	\$(10,393)	\$(10,270)	\$(1,007)	\$(1,002)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(60,581)	(39,082)	(2,637)	(1,968)	264	354
(loss)	(00,381 )	(39,082)	(2,037)	(1,908)	204	554
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss	s)					
consists of:						
Net gain (loss)	\$(60,581)	\$(39,082)	\$(2,637)	\$(1,918)	\$264	\$354
Prior service credit (cost)		—		(50)		—
	\$(60,581)	\$(39,082)	\$(2,637)	\$(1,968)	\$264	\$354

For 2014, the pension plan benefit obligation at end of year increased due to the updated mortality table issued by the Society of Actuaries that reflects increased life expectancy assumptions, and a decline in the year-end discount rate. The liability for pension/postretirement benefits is included in other liabilities in the balance sheet. The accumulated benefit obligation is the same as the benefit obligation shown in the preceding schedule.

The amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) at December 31, 2014 expected to be recognized as an expense component of net periodic benefit cost in 2015 for the plans are estimated as follows:

(In thousands)	Pension	Supplemental Retirement			Postretirement	
Net gain (loss)	\$(6,295	)	\$(123	)	\$53	

The following presents the components of net periodic benefit cost (cre	edit) for the plans:
	· 1

	Pension			Supple Retiren			Postret	irement	
(In thousands)	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012
Service cost	\$—	\$—	\$29	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$31	\$32	\$36
Interest cost	7,468	6,885	7,558	454	404	460	47	41	47
Expected return on plan assets	(13,305)	(12,109)	(11,308)						
Amortization of net actuarial (gain) loss	2,895	8,132	9,184	19	70	(114)	(71)	(75)	(87)
Amortization of prior service (credit) cost				50	124	124		(151)	(244 )
Settlement loss		1,814							
Net periodic benefit cost (credit)	\$(2,942)	\$4,722	\$5,463	\$523	\$598	\$470	\$7	\$(153)	\$(248)

Weighted average assumptions based on the pension plan are the same where applicable for each of the plans and are as follows:

	2014	2013	2012	
Used to determine benefit obligation at year-end:				
Discount rate	3.95	% 4.60	% 3.75	%
Rate of compensation increase <sup>1</sup>	na	na	3.50	
Used to determine net periodic benefit cost for the years ended				
December 31:				
Discount rate	4.60	3.75	4.25	
Expected long-term return on plan assets	8.00	8.00	8.00	
Rate of compensation increase <sup>1</sup>	na	3.50	3.50	

As previously discussed, the pension plan became fully frozen effective July 1, 2013 by a plan amendment that <sup>1</sup> eliminated the remaining grandfather provisions. This action eliminated the need to continue using the rate of compensation increase assumption as of December 31, 2013.

The discount rate reflects the yields available on long-term, high-quality fixed income debt instruments with cash flows similar to the obligations of the pension plan, and is reset annually on the measurement date. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is based on a review of the target asset allocation of the plan. This rate is intended to approximate the long-term rate of return that we anticipate receiving on the plan's investments, considering the mix of the assets that the plan holds as investments, the expected return on these underlying investments, the diversification of these investments, and the rebalancing strategies employed. An expected long-term rate of return is assumed for each asset class and an underlying inflation rate assumption is determined. The projected rate of compensation increases is management's estimate of future pay increases that the remaining eligible employees will receive until their retirement.

Benefit payments to the plans' participants, which reflect expected future service as appropriate, are estimated as follows for the years succeeding December 31, 2014:

(In thousands)	Pension	Supplemental Retirement	Postretirement
2015	\$9,960	\$1,763	\$95
2016	9,713	1,106	100
2017	10,059	825	105
2018	10,421	818	104
2019	10,577	854	102
Years 2019 - 2023	54,108	3,553	465

We are also obligated under other supplemental retirement plans for certain current and former employees. Our liability for these plans was \$6.3 million and \$6.0 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

For the pension plan, the investment strategy is predicated on its investment objectives and the risk and return expectations of asset classes appropriate for the plan. Investment objectives have been established by considering the plan's liquidity needs and time horizon and the fiduciary standards under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The asset allocation strategy is developed to meet the plan's long-term needs in a manner designed to control volatility and to reflect risk tolerance. Target investment allocation percentages as of December 31, 2014 are 65% in equity, 30% in fixed income and cash, and 5% in real estate assets.

The following presents the fair values of pension plan investments according to the fair value hierarchy described in Note 20, and the weighted average allocations:

(Amounts in	Decembe	er 31, 2014				Decembe	er 31, 2013			
thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	%	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	%
Company common stock Mutual funds:	\$7,560			\$7,560	4	\$8,098			\$8,098	5
Equity	—				—				—	
Debt	6,047			6,047	4	6,559			6,559	4
Insurance company pooled separate accounts:										
Equity investments		\$97,094		97,094	57		\$102,603		102,603	60
Debt investments		33,167		33,167	19		29,091		29,091	17
Real estate		8,611		8,611	5		7,680		7,680	4
Guaranteed deposit account			\$11,515	11,515	7			\$12,582	12,582	7
Limited partnerships			6,205	6,205	4			5,292	5,292	3
	\$13,607	\$138,872	\$17,720	\$170,199	100	\$14,657	\$139,374	\$17,874	\$171,905	100

No transfers of assets occurred among Levels 1, 2 or 3 during 2014 or 2013.

The following describes the pension plan investments and the valuation methodologies used to measure their fair value:

Company common stock – Shares of the Company's common stock are valued at the last reported sales price on the last business day of the plan year in the active market where individual securities are traded.

Mutual funds – These funds are valued at quoted market prices which represent the net asset values ("NAVs") of shares held by the plan at year-end.

Insurance company pooled separate accounts – These funds are invested in by more than one investor. They are offered through separate accounts of the trustee's insurance company and managed by internal and professional advisors. Participation units in these accounts are valued at the NAV as the practical expedient for fair value as determined by the insurance company.

Guaranteed deposit account – This account is a group annuity product issued by the trustee's insurance company with guaranteed crediting rates established at the beginning of each calendar year. The account balance is stated at fair

value as estimated by the trustee. The account is credited with deposits made, plus earnings at guaranteed crediting rates, less withdrawals and administrative expenses. The underlying investments generally include investment grade public and privately traded debt securities, mortgage loans and, to a lesser extent, real estate and other equity investments. Market value adjustments are applied at the time of redemption if certain withdrawal limits are exceeded.

Additional fair value quantitative information for the guaranteed deposit account is a follows:

Principal valuation techniques	Significant unobservable inputs	•	ghted average) nt input values
For the underlying investments – reported fair values when available for market traded investments; when not applicable, discounted cash flows under an income approach using U.S. Treasury rates and spreads based on cash flow timing and quality of assets.	Composite market value	greater than	anteed crediting rate must be n or equal to contractual rediting rate er 31, 1.018148 - 1.081039 (actual = $1.063133$ ) 0.988035 - 1.073235 (actual = $1.05329$ )

The Company's Benefits Committee evaluates the methodology and factors used, including review of the contract, economic conditions, industry and market developments, and overall credit ratings of the underlying investments.

Limited partnerships – These partnerships invest in limited partnerships, limited liability companies, or similar investment vehicles that consist of private equity investments in a wide variety of investment types, including venture and growth capital, real estate, energy and natural resources, and other private investments. The plan's investments are valued by the limited partnerships at NAV as the practical expedient for fair value. The estimation process takes into account the plan's proportional interests credited with realized and unrealized earnings from the underlying investments and charged for operating expenses and distributions. Investments are increased by capital calls and are part of an overall capital commitment by the plan of up to approximately \$8.75 million at December 31, 2014.

The following presents additional information as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 for the pooled separate accounts and limited partnerships whose fair values under Levels 2 and 3 are based on NAV per share:

Investment	Unfunded commitments	Redemption				
livestilent	(in thousands, approximately)	Frequency	Notice period			
Pooled separate accounts	na	Daily	< \$1 million, 1 day >= \$1 million, 3 days			
Limited partnerships	\$2,200	Investments in these limited partnerships are illiquid voluntary withdrawal is prohibited.				

The following reconciles the beginning and ending balances of assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 3 inputs:

	Level 3 Instrum	nents						
	Year Ended December 31,							
	2014		2013					
(In thousands)	Guaranteed	Limited	Guaranteed	Limited				
(in thousands)	deposit account	t partnerships	deposit account	partnerships				
Balance at beginning of year	\$12,582	\$5,292	\$13,869	\$4,689				
Net increases (decreases) included in plan statement of	of							
change in net assets available for benefits:								
Net operating fees and expenses	(267)	(93)	(346)	(83)				
Net appreciation (depreciation) in fair value of								
investments:								
Realized		211	—	(74)				
Unrealized	375	925	(398)	732				
Interest and dividends	359	—	486	—				
Purchases	9,995	1,014	11,722	760				
Sales	(11,529)		(12,751)					
Settlements		(1,144 )	_	(732)				
Balance at end of year	\$11,515	\$6,205	\$12,582	\$5,292				

Shares of Company common stock were 262,209 and 270,305 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Dividends received by the plan were approximately \$43 thousand in 2014 and \$41 thousand in 2013.

## Defined Contribution Plan

The Company offers a 401(k) and employee stock ownership plan under which employees select from several investment alternatives. Employees can contribute up to 80% of their earnings subject to the annual maximum allowed contribution. The Company matches 100% of the first 3% of employee contributions and 50% of the next 2% of employee contributions. Matching contributions to participants, which were shares of the Company's common stock purchased in the open market, amounted to \$24.3 million in 2014, \$22.7 million in 2013, and \$21.6 million in 2012.

The 401(k) plan also has a noncontributory profit sharing feature which is discretionary and may range from 0% to 6% of eligible compensation based upon the Company's return on average common equity for the year. For all years presented, the profit sharing expense was computed at a contribution rate of 2%, and amounted to \$12.0 million for 2014 and \$11.8 million for both 2013 and 2012. The profit sharing contribution to participants consisted of shares of the Company's common stock purchased in the open market.

## 20. FAIR VALUE

#### Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. To measure fair value, a hierarchy has been established that requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. This hierarchy uses three levels of inputs to measure the fair value of assets and liabilities as follows:

Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the Company has the ability to access;

Level 2 – Observable inputs other than Level 1 including quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in less active markets, observable inputs other

than quoted prices that are used in the valuation of an asset or liability, and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means; and

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs supported by little or no market activity for financial instruments whose value is determined by pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

The level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement is classified is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measure in its entirety. Market activity is presumed to be orderly in the absence of evidence of forced or disorderly sales, although such sales may still be indicative of fair value. Applicable accounting guidance precludes the use of blockage factors or liquidity adjustments due to the quantity of securities held by an entity.

We use fair value to measure certain assets and liabilities on a recurring basis when fair value is the primary measure for accounting. Fair value is used on a nonrecurring basis to measure certain assets when adjusting carrying values, such as the application of lower of cost or fair value accounting, including recognition of impairment on assets. Fair value is also used when providing required disclosures for certain financial instruments.

#### Fair Value Policies and Procedures

We have various policies, processes and controls in place to ensure that fair values are reasonably developed, reviewed and approved for use. These include a Securities Valuation and Securitization Oversight Committee ("SOC") comprised of executive management appointed by the Board of Directors. The SOC reviews and approves on a quarterly basis the key components of fair value estimation, including critical valuation assumptions for Level 3 modeling. Attribution analyses are completed when significant changes occur between quarters. The SOC also requires quarterly back testing of certain significant assumptions. A Model Risk Management Group conducts model validations, including the internal model, and sets policies and procedures for revalidation, including the timing of revalidation.

## Third Party Service Providers

We use a third party pricing service to provide pricing for approximately 90% of our AFS Level 2 securities, and an internal model to estimate fair value for approximately 98% of our AFS Level 3 securities. Fair values for the remaining AFS Level 2 and Level 3 securities generally use standard form discounted cash flow modeling with certain inputs corroborated by market data.

For Level 2 securities, the third party pricing service provides documentation on an ongoing basis that presents market corroborative data, including detail pricing information and market reference data. The documentation includes benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers, and reference data, including information from the vendor trading platform. We review, test and validate this information as appropriate. Absent observable trade data, we do not adjust prices from our third party sources.

For Level 3 securities, we review and evaluate on a quarterly basis the relevant modeling assumptions. These include PDs, loss given default rates, over-collateralization levels, and rating transition probability matrices from ratings agencies. In addition, we also compare the results and valuation with our information about market trends and trading data. This includes information regarding trading prices, implied discounts, outlier information, valuation assumptions, etc.

The following describes the hierarchy designations, valuation methodologies, and key inputs to measure fair value on a recurring basis for designated financial instruments: Available-for-Sale and Trading U.S. Treasury, Agencies and Corporations

U.S. Treasury securities are measured under Level 1 using quoted market prices. U.S. agencies and corporations are measured under Level 2 generally using the previously-discussed third party pricing service.

#### **Municipal Securities**

Municipal securities are measured under Level 2 using the third party pricing service, or under Level 3 using a discounted cash flow approach. Valuation inputs include Baa municipal curves, as well as FHLB and London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") swap curves. Additional valuation inputs include internal credit scoring, and security- and client-type groupings.

Asset-Backed Securities: Trust Preferred Collateralized Debt Obligations

The majority of the CDO portfolio is measured under Level 3 primarily with the internal model using an income-based cash flow modeling approach incorporating several methodologies. The Company inputs its own key valuation assumptions:

Trust preferred – banks and insurance: We primarily use an internal model for our bank and insurance CDO securities. Our "ratio-based approach" utilizes a statistical regression of regulatory ratios we have identified as predictive of future bank failures and bank holding company defaults to create a credit-specific PD for each bank issuer. The approach generally references trailing quarter regulatory data, financial ratios and macroeconomic factors.

The PDs used depend on whether the collateral is performing or deferring. Deferring PDs increase, all else being equal, as the deferral ages and approaches the end of its allowable five-year deferral period. The internal model includes the expectation that deferrals that do not default will pay their contractually required back interest and return to a current status at the end of five years. Estimates of loss for the individual pieces of underlying collateral are aggregated to arrive at a pool-level loss rate for each CDO. These loss assumptions are applied to the CDO's structure to generate cash flow projections for each tranche of the CDO.

We utilize a present value technique to identify both the OTTI present in the CDO tranches and to estimate fair value. To estimate fair value, we discount the credit-adjusted cash flows of each CDO tranche at a tranche-specific discount rate derived from trading data and a measure of the credit risk in the CDO tranche. Because these securities are not traded on exchanges and trading prices are not posted on the TRACE<sup>®</sup> system (Trade Reporting and Compliance Engine<sup>®</sup>), we seek information from market participants to obtain trade price information.

Trading data is generally limited and may include trades of tranches within our same CDO. We use this limited trade data along with our modeled expected credit adjusted cash flows to determine a relationship between the market required yield and the downside variability of the returns of each CDO security. The downside variability for this purpose is a measure of the downside variability of cash flows from the mean estimate of cash flow.

During the first quarter of 2014, two insurance CDO securities and two single-name bank trust preferred securities were transferred from Level 3 to Level 2 primarily due to the increasing ability to utilize fair value inputs corroborated by observed market data. The two insurance CDO securities were sold or paid off prior to December 31, 2014. The two single-name bank trust preferred securities remain at Level 2 at December 31, 2014 as shown in the Level 3 reconciliation schedules following. These two remaining securities constitute the Company's entire holding of the asset class.

Trust preferred – REITs, Other: During the first quarter of 2014, all of these securities were sold, as discussed in Note 5.

Auction Rate Securities

Auction rate securities are measured under Level 3 primarily using valuation inputs that include AAA corporate bond yield curves, municipal yield curves, credit ratings and leverage of each closed-end fund, market yields for commercial paper, and any observable trade commentaries.

Bank-Owned Life Insurance

Bank-owned life insurance is measured under Level 2 according to cash surrender values ("CSVs") of the insurance policies that are provided by a third party service. Nearly all CSVs are computed based on valuations and earnings of the underlying assets in the insurance companies' general accounts. The underlying investments include predominantly fixed income securities consisting of investment grade corporate bonds and various types of mortgage instruments. Average duration ranges from five to eight years. Management regularly reviews investment performance, including concentrations of investments and regulatory restrictions.

Private Equity Investments

Private equity investments are measured under Level 2 or Level 3. The Equity Investments Committee, consisting of executives familiar with the investments, reviews periodic financial information, including audited financial statements when available. The amount of unfunded commitments is disclosed in Note 17. Certain restrictions apply for the redemption of these investments and certain investments are prohibited by the Volcker Rule. See discussions in Notes 5 and 17.

Private equity investments under Level 2 include partnerships that invest in certain financial services and real estate companies, some of which are publicly traded. Fair values are determined from NAVs, or their equivalents, provided by the partnerships. These fair values are determined on the last business day of the month using values from the primary exchange. In the case of illiquid or nontraded assets, the partnerships obtain fair values from independent sources.

Private equity investments are measured under Level 3 primarily using current and projected financial performance, recent financing activities, economic and market conditions, market comparables, market liquidity, sales restrictions, and other factors.

Agriculture Loan Servicing

This asset results from our servicing of agriculture loans approved and funded by FAMC. We provide this servicing under an agreement with Farmer Mac for loans they own. The asset's fair value represents our projection of the present value of future cash flows measured under Level 3 using discounted cash flow methodologies. Interest-Only Strips

Interest-only strips are created as a by-product of the securitization process. When the guaranteed portions of SBA 7(a) loans are pooled, interest-only strips may be created in the pooling process. The asset's fair value represents our projection of the present value of future cash flows measured under Level 3 using discounted cash flow methodologies.

Deferred Compensation Plan Assets and Obligations

Invested assets in the deferred compensation plan consists of shares of registered investment companies. These mutual funds are valued under Level 1 at quoted market prices, which represents the NAV of shares held by the plan at the end of the period.

Derivatives

Derivatives are measured according to their classification as either exchange-traded or over-the-counter ("OTC"). Exchange-traded derivatives consist of forward currency exchange contracts measured under Level 1 because they are traded in active markets. OTC derivatives, including those for customers, consist of interest rate swaps and options. These derivatives are measured under Level 2 using third party services. Observable market inputs include yield curves (the LIBOR swap curve and relevant overnight index swap curves), foreign exchange rates, commodity prices, option volatilities, counterparty credit risk, and other related data. Credit valuation adjustments are required

to reflect nonperformance risk for both the Company and the respective counterparty. These adjustments are determined generally by applying a credit spread to the total expected exposure of the derivative. Securities Sold, Not Yet Purchased

Securities sold, not yet purchased, included in "Federal funds and other short-term borrowings" on the balance sheet, are measured under Level 1 using quoted market prices. If not available, quoted prices under Level 2 for similar securities are used.

Quantitative Disclosure by Fair Value Hierarchy

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value by class on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2014				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	
ASSETS					
Investment securities:					
Available-for-sale:					
U.S. Treasury, agencies and corporations		\$3,098,208		\$3,098,208	
Municipal securities		185,093	\$4,164	189,257	
Asset-backed securities:					
Trust preferred – banks and insurance		22,701	393,007	415,708	
Auction rate			4,761	4,761	
Other		666	25	691	
Mutual funds and other	\$105,348	30,275		135,623	
	105,348	3,336,943	401,957	3,844,248	
Trading account		70,601		70,601	
Other noninterest-bearing investments:					
Bank-owned life insurance		476,290		476,290	
Private equity			99,865	99,865	
Other assets:					
Agriculture loan servicing and interest-only strips			12,227	12,227	
Deferred compensation plan assets	88,878			88,878	
Derivatives:					
Interest rate related and other		1,508		1,508	
Interest rate swaps for customers		48,287		48,287	
Foreign currency exchange contracts	16,625			16,625	
	16,625	49,795		66,420	
	\$210,851	\$3,933,629	\$514,049	\$4,658,529	
LIABILITIES					
Securities sold, not yet purchased	\$24,230			\$24,230	
Other liabilities:					
Deferred compensation plan obligations	88,878			88,878	
Derivatives:					
Interest rate related and other		\$297		297	
Interest rate swaps for customers		50,669		50,669	
Foreign currency exchange contracts	15,272			15,272	
	15,272	50,966	<u> </u>	66,238	
Other			\$13	13	
	\$128,380	\$50,966	\$13	\$179,359	

(In thousands)	December 3 Level 1	1, 2013 Level 2	Level 3	Total
ASSETS		Level 2	Levers	iotui
Investment securities:				
Available-for-sale:				
U.S. Treasury, agencies and corporations		\$2,059,105		\$2,059,105
Municipal securities		55,602	\$10,662	66,264
Asset-backed securities:		)		) -
Trust preferred – banks and insurance			1,238,820	1,238,820
Trust preferred – real estate investment trusts			22,996	22,996
Auction rate			6,599	6,599
Other		2,099	25,800	27,899
Mutual funds and other	\$259,750	20,453		280,203
	259,750	2,137,259	1,304,877	3,701,886
Trading account		34,559		34,559
Other noninterest-bearing investments:				
Bank-owned life insurance		466,428		466,428
Private equity		4,822	82,410	87,232
Other assets:				
Agriculture loan servicing and interest-only strips			8,852	8,852
Deferred compensation plan assets	86,184			86,184
Derivatives:				
Interest rate related and other		1,100		1,100
Interest rate swaps for customers		55,447		55,447
Foreign currency exchange contracts	9,614			9,614
	9,614	56,547		66,161
	\$355,548	\$2,699,615	\$1,396,139	\$4,451,302
LIABILITIES				
Securities sold, not yet purchased	\$73,606			\$73,606
Other liabilities:				
Deferred compensation plan obligations	86,184			86,184
Derivatives:		<b>.</b>		1 00 1
Interest rate related and other		\$1,004		1,004
Interest rate swaps for customers	0.642	54,688		54,688
Foreign currency exchange contracts	8,643		<b>* 1</b> 0 <b>C</b>	8,643
Total return swap	0.642	55 (02	\$4,062	4,062
Other	8,643	55,692	4,062	68,397 241
Other	\$ 169 122	\$ 55 602	241 \$ 4 202	241 \$ 228 428
	\$168,433	\$55,692	\$4,303	\$228,428

The fair value of the Level 3 bank and insurance CDO portfolio would generally be adversely affected by significant increases in the constant default rate ("CDR") for performing collateral, the loss percentage expected from deferring collateral, and the discount rate used. The fair value of the portfolio would generally be positively affected by increases in prepayment rates. For a specific tranche within a CDO, the directionality of the fair value change for a given assumption change may differ depending on the seniority level of the tranche. For example, faster prepayment may increase the fair value of a senior most tranche of a CDO while decreasing the fair value of a more junior tranche.

Reconciliation of Level 3 The following reconciles class on a recurring basis	the beginn using Leve Level 3 In	ing and ending	g balances o	of assets a	and liabilities	that are mea	usured at fa	ir value by
(In thousands)		Trust l preferred – banks and insurance	Trust preferred – REIT	Auction rate	Other asset-backe	Private equity investment	Ag loan svcg and int-only strips	Derivatives and other liabilities
Balance at December 31, 2013 Total net gains (losses) included in:	\$10,662	\$1,238,820	\$22,996	\$6,599	\$ 25,800	\$ 82,410	\$8,852	\$(4,303)
Statement of income: Accretion of purchase discount on securities available-for-sale	32	2,151		3				
Dividends and other investment income Fair value and nonhedge derivative loss Equity securities gains, ne Fixed income securities gains (losses), net Other noninterest income						6,192		(7,894 )
	et 126	(3,097)	1,399	50	10,917	5,869	857	
Other noninterest expense Other comprehensive income (loss) Purchases		146,303		(19 )	(15)	21,768	3,351	228
Sales	(5,679)	(818,647)	(24,395)	(922)	(36,670)	(10,448)	,	
Redemptions and paydowns	(601)	(103,330)	1	(950)	(7)	(5,926)	(833)	11,956
Transfers to Level 2		(69,193)	)					
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$4,164	\$393,007	\$—	\$4,761	\$ 25	\$ 99,865	\$12,227	\$(13)
		nstruments ed December	31, 2013				A 1	
(In thousands)	-	Trust l preferred – banks and insurance	Trust preferred – REIT	Auction rate	Other asset-backed	Private equity investment	Ag loan svcg and int-only strips	Derivatives and other liabilities
Balance at December 31, 2012 Total net gains (losses) included in:	\$16,551	\$949,271	\$16,403	\$6,515	\$ 15,160	\$ 64,223	\$8,334	\$(5,251)

Statement of income: Accretion of purchase discount on securities available-for-sale Dividends and other investment income Fair value and nonhedge derivative loss	41	3,166		254		3	82		6,662				(21,753	)
Equity securities gains, ne	et								3,732					
Fixed income securities gains (losses), net	239	(3,160	)	(201	)		55							
Net impairment losses on investment securities		(136,221	)	(17,430	)		(11,080	)						
Other noninterest income											1,587			
Other noninterest expense	e												(117	)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	1,540	377,357		24,081		81	6,950							
Purchases									10,548					
Sales		(66,303	)	(111	)		(1	)	(2,244	)				
Redemptions and paydowns	(7,709)	(60,989	)				(5,780	)	(511	)	(1,069	)	22,818	
Reclassifications		175,699					20,414							
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$10,662	\$1,238,820	)	\$22,996	5	\$6,599	\$ 25,800	)	\$ 82,410		\$8,852		\$(4,303	)
166														

### Table of Contents

The preceding reconciling amounts using Level 3 inputs include the following realized gains/losses in the statement of income:

(In thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2014	2013	
Dividends and other investment income (loss)	\$518	\$(133	)
Equity securities losses, net	_	(2,452	)
Fixed income securities gains (losses), net	9,395	(3,067	)

Except as previously discussed, no other transfers of assets or liabilities occurred among Levels 1, 2 or 3 for 2014 and 2013. Transfers are considered to have occurred as of the end of the reporting period.

Following is a summary of quantitative information relating to the principal valuation techniques and significant unobservable inputs for Level 3 instruments measured on a recurring basis:

	Level 3 Inst	truments		
	Quantitative	e information at Decer	mber 31, 2014	
(Dollar amounts in thousands) Asset-backed securities:	Fair value	Principal valuation techniques	Significant unobservable inputs	Range of inputs (% annually)
Trust preferred – predominantly banks	erred – \$393.007 flow		Constant prepayment rate	until maturity – 2.0%
			Constant default rate	yr 1 – 0.3% to 0.8% yrs 2-5 – 0.5% to 0.9% yrs 6 to maturity – 0.6% to 0.7%
			Loss given default	100%
			Loss given deferral Discount rate	14.5% to 100%
			(spread over forward LIBOR)	3.4% to 5.6%

	Level 3 Inst Quantitative	truments e information at Decer	mber 31, 2013	
(Dollar amounts in thousands) Asset-backed securities:	Fair value	Principal valuation techniques		Range of inputs (% annually)
Trust preferred – predominantly banks	\$870,106	Discounted cash flow Market comparables	Constant prepayment rate	until 2016 – 5.50% to 20.73%
		comparaores	Constant default rate	2016 to maturity – 3.0% yr 1 – 0.30% to 1.94% yrs 2-5 – 0.49% to 1.14% yrs 6 to maturity – 0.58% to 0.65%
			Loss given default Loss given deferral Discount rate	100% 14.39% to 100%
			(spread over forward LIBOR)	5.6% to 7.7%
Trust preferred – 346,390 gredominantly insurance		Discounted cash flow Market	Constant prepayment rate	until maturity – 5.0%
		comparables	Constant default rate	yr 1 – 0.38% to 1.03% yrs 2-5 – 0.53% to 0.89% yrs 6 to maturity – 0.50% to 0.55%
			Loss given default Loss given deferral Discount rate	100% 2.18% to 30.13%
			(spread over forward LIBOR)	3.72% to 6.49%
Trust preferred – individual banks	22,324	Market comparables	Yield	6.6% to 7.8%
Subtotal trust preferred – banks and insurance	1,238,820		Price	81.25% to 109.6%
Trust preferred – real estate investment trust	22,996	Discounted cash flow Market comparables	Constant prepayment rate	until maturity – 0.0%
			Constant default rate	yr 1 – 4.1% to 10.6% yrs 2-3 – 4.6% to 5.5% yrs 4-6 – 1.0% yrs 7 to maturity – 0.50%

			Loss given default Discount rate (spread over forward LIBOR)	60% to 100% 5.5% to 15%
Other (predominantly ABS CDOs)	25,800	Discounted cash flow	Constant default rate	0.01% to 100%
'			Loss given default Discount rate	70% to 92%
			(spread over forward LIBOR)	9% to 22%

#### Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements

Included in the balance sheet amounts are the following amounts of assets that had fair value changes measured on a nonrecurring basis:

<i></i>	Fair value	at December	Gains (losses) from fair value changes		
(In thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Year Ended December 31, 2014
ASSETS					
HTM securities adjusted for OTTI	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Private equity investments, carried at cost			23,454	23,454	(2,527)
Impaired loans		18,854		18,854	(2,304)
Other real estate owned		8,034		8,034	(6,784)
	\$—	\$26,888	\$23,454	\$50,342	\$(11,615)
	Fair value	at December	31, 2013		Gains (losses) from
(In thousands)					fair value changes
(In thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	fair value changes Year Ended
(In thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	U
(In thousands) ASSETS	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Year Ended
	Level 1 \$—	Level 2 \$—	Level 3 \$8,483	Total \$8,483	Year Ended
ASSETS					Year Ended December 31, 2013
ASSETS HTM securities adjusted for OTTI			\$8,483	\$8,483	Year Ended December 31, 2013 \$(403)
ASSETS HTM securities adjusted for OTTI Private equity investments, carried at cost	\$— — —	\$— —	\$8,483	\$8,483 13,270	Year Ended December 31, 2013 \$(403 ) (5,700 )
ASSETS HTM securities adjusted for OTTI Private equity investments, carried at cost Impaired loans		\$— — 11,765	\$8,483	\$8,483 13,270 11,765	Year Ended December 31, 2013 \$(403 ) (5,700 ) (1,575 )

The previous fair values may not be current as of the dates indicated, but rather as of the date the fair value change occurred, such as a charge for impairment. Accordingly, carrying values may not equal current fair value.

We recognized net gains of \$8.8 million in 2014 and \$15.6 million in 2013 from the sale of OREO properties that had a carrying value at the time of sale of approximately \$41.4 million in 2014 and \$82.5 million in 2013. Previous to their sale in these years, we recognized impairment on these properties of \$0.7 million in 2014 and \$0.8 million in 2013.

HTM securities adjusted for OTTI were measured at fair value using the same methodology for trust preferred CDO securities.

Private equity investments carried at cost were measured at fair value for impairment purposes according to the methodology previously discussed. Amounts of private equity investments carried at cost were \$39.1 million and \$53.6 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Amounts of other noninterest-bearing investments carried at cost were \$250.7 million and \$248.4 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, which were comprised of Federal Reserve, Federal Home Loan Bank, and Farmer Mac stock.

Impaired (or nonperforming) loans that are collateral-dependent were measured at fair value based on the fair value of the collateral. OREO was measured at fair value at the lower of cost or fair value based on property appraisals at the time the property is recorded in OREO and as appropriate thereafter.

Measurement of fair value for collateral-dependent loans and OREO was based on third party appraisals that utilize one or more valuation techniques (income, market and/or cost approaches). Any adjustments to calculated fair value were made based on recently completed and validated third party appraisals, third party appraisal services, automated

valuation services, or our informed judgment. Evaluations were made to determine that the appraisal process met the relevant concepts and requirements of applicable accounting guidance.

Automated valuation services may be used primarily for residential properties when values from any of the previous methods were not available within 90 days of the balance sheet date. These services use models based on market, economic, and demographic values. The use of these models has only occurred in a very few instances and the related property valuations have not been significant to consider disclosure under Level 3 rather than Level 2.

Impaired loans not collateral-dependent were measured at fair valued based on the present value of future cash flows discounted at the expected coupon rates over the lives of the loans. Because the loans were not discounted at market interest rates, the valuations do not represent fair value and have been excluded from the nonrecurring fair value balance in the preceding schedules.

Fair Value of Certain Financial Instruments

Following is a summary of the carrying values and estimated fair values of certain financial instruments:

	December 31, 2014			December 31, 2013		
(Amounts in thousands)	Carrying value	Estimated fair value	Level	Carrying value	Estimated fair value	Level
Financial assets:						
HTM investment securities	\$647,252	\$677,196	3	\$588,981	\$609,547	3
Loans and leases (including loans held for sale), net of allowance	39,591,857	39,426,498	3	38,468,402	38,088,242	3
Financial liabilities:						
Time deposits	2,406,924	2,408,550	2	2,593,038	2,602,955	2
Foreign deposits	328,391	328,447	2	1,980,161	1,979,805	2
Long-term debt (less fair value hedges)	1,090,778	1,159,287	2	2,269,762	2,423,643	2

This summary excludes financial assets and liabilities for which carrying value approximates fair value. For financial assets, these include cash and due from banks and money market investments. For financial liabilities, these include demand, savings and money market deposits, and federal funds purchased and security repurchase agreements. The estimated fair value of demand, savings and money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. Carrying value is used because the accounts have no stated maturity and the customer has the ability to withdraw funds immediately. Also excluded from the summary are financial instruments recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, as previously described.

HTM investment securities primarily consist of municipal securities and bank and insurance trust preferred CDOs. They were measured at fair value according to the methodologies previously discussed for these investment types.

Loans are measured at fair value according to their status as nonimpaired or impaired. For nonimpaired loans, fair value is estimated by discounting future cash flows using the LIBOR yield curve adjusted by a factor which reflects the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan. These future cash flows are then reduced by the estimated "life-of-the-loan" aggregate credit losses in the loan portfolio. These adjustments for lifetime future credit losses are derived from the methods used to estimate the ALLL for our loan portfolio and are adjusted quarterly as necessary to reflect the most recent loss experience. Impaired loans are already considered to be held at fair value, except those whose fair value is determined by discounting cash flows, as discussed previously. See Impaired Loans in Note 6 for details on the impairment measurement method for impaired loans. Loans, other than those held for sale, are not normally purchased and sold by the Company, and there are no active trading markets for most of this portfolio.

Time and foreign deposits, and any other short-term borrowings, are measured at fair value by discounting future cash flows using the LIBOR yield curve to the given maturity dates.

Long-term debt is measured at fair value based on actual market trades (i.e., an asset value) when available, or discounting cash flows to maturity using the LIBOR yield curve adjusted for credit spreads.

These fair value disclosures represent our best estimates based on relevant market information and information about the financial instruments. Fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding current economic conditions, future expected loss experience, risk characteristics of the various instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment, and cannot be determined with precision. Changes in these methodologies and assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

## 21. OPERATING SEGMENT INFORMATION

We manage our operations and prepare management reports and other information with a primary focus on geographical area. As of December 31, 2014, we operate eight community/regional banks in distinct geographical areas. Performance assessment and resource allocation are based upon this geographical structure. Zions Bank operates 100 branches in Utah, 25 branches in Idaho, and one branch in Wyoming. CB&T operates 94 branches in California. Amegy operates 80 branches in Texas. NBAZ operates 71 branches in Arizona. NSB operates 50 branches in Nevada. Vectra operates 36 branches in Colorado and one branch in New Mexico. TCBW operates one branch in the state of Washington. TCBO operates one branch in Oregon. See Note 1 regarding the upcoming merger of TCBO into TCBW.

The operating segment identified as "Other" includes the Parent, Zions Management Services Company ("ZMSC"), certain nonbank financial service subsidiaries, TCBO, and eliminations of transactions between segments. The Parent's operations are significant to the Other segment. The Company's net interest income is substantially affected by the Parent's interest expense on long-term debt. The Parent's financial statements in Note 23 provide more information about the Parent's activities. The condensed statement of income identifies the components of income and expense which affect the operating amounts presented in the Other segment.

ZMSC provides internal technology and operational services to affiliated operating businesses of the Company. ZMSC charges most of its costs to the affiliates on an approximate break-even basis.

The accounting policies of the individual operating segments are the same as those of the Company. Transactions between operating segments are primarily conducted at fair value, resulting in profits that are eliminated for reporting consolidated results of operations. Operating segments pay for centrally provided services based upon estimated or actual usage of those services.

The following is a summary of selected operating segment information:

<i>a</i>	Zions Ba	nk		Amegy			CB&T			
(In millions)	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012	
CONDENSED										
INCOME STATEMENT										
Net interest income	\$581.4	\$595.0	\$657.1	\$382.2	\$381.5	\$371.5	\$412.5	\$469.0	\$466.7	
Provision for loan										`
losses	(58.5)	(40.5)	88.3	32.2	4.2	(63.9)	(20.1)	(16.7)	(7.9	)
Net interest income										
after provision for loan	639.9	635.5	568.8	350.0	377.3	435.4	432.6	485.7	474.6	
losses										
Net impairment losses on investment securities		(7.7)	(3.2)			_	_			
Loss on sale of	5									
investment securities to									(9.2	)
Parent									()	,
Other noninterest	206.4	100.0	221.4	1457	1464	156 1	52.0	70.2	75.2	
income	206.4	199.9	221.4	145.7	146.4	156.1	53.9	79.3	75.3	
Noninterest expense	494.3	481.4	493.1	357.3	333.4	340.2	321.3	352.4	330.2	
Income (loss) before										
income taxes and	352.0	346.3	293.9	138.4	190.3	251.3	165.2	212.6	210.5	
minority interest										
Income tax expense (benefit)	130.4	121.7	104.6	44.5	59.8	84.6	63.9	72.5	83.4	
Income (loss)	221.6	224.6	189.3	93.9	130.5	166.7	101.3	140.1	127.1	
Net income (loss)										
applicable to	1.2		_			_				
noncontrolling interests										
Net income (loss)										
applicable to controlling	g\$220.4	\$224.6	\$189.3	\$93.9	\$130.5	\$166.7	\$101.3	\$140.1	\$127.1	
interest										
YEAR-END BALANCE SHEET										
DATA										
Total assets	\$19,079	\$18,590	\$17,930	\$13,929	\$13,705	\$13,119	\$11,340	\$10,923	\$11,069	,
Cash and due from	388	363	650	219	437	754	85	157	205	
banks	300	303	030	219	437	734	83	137	203	
Money market	3,381	3,888	2,855	2,199	2,551	2,308	1,670	1,108	1,449	
investments										
Total securities	2,331	1,520	1,273	277	362	439	296	331	350	
Total loans Total deposits	12,251 16,633	12,259 16,257	12,490 15,575	10,077 11,447	9,217 11,198	8,450 10,706	8,530 9,707	8,574 9,327	8,259 9,483	
Shareholder's equity:	10,055	10,237	15,575	11,777	11,170	10,700	),101	),521	),405	
Preferred equity	280	280	280	226	226	251	162	162	162	
Common equity	1,615	1,523	1,519	1,930	1,845	1,725	1,390	1,342	1,322	
Noncontrolling interests	s 11	—	—	—		—	—	—		
Total shareholder's	1,906	1,803	1,799	2,156	2,071	1,976	1,552	1,504	1,484	
equity	,	, <del>-</del>	,	, _ ~	,	,- · · ·	,	,	,	

(In millions) CONDENSED INCOME	NBAZ 2014	2013	2012	NSB 2014	2013	2012	Vectra 2014	2013	2012
STATEMENT Net interest income Provision for loan losses	\$162.0 (21.5)	\$163.0 (15.0)	\$167.7 (0.6)	\$112.9 (20.9)	\$113.6 (12.0)	\$123.4 (9.6)	\$102.1 (8.4)	\$102.7 (4.9)	\$108.7 7.0
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	183.5	178.0	168.3	133.8	125.6	133.0	110.5	107.6	101.7
Net impairment losses on investment securities					(3.3)			(0.1 )	(0.6)
Loss on sale of investment securities to Parent	—			—		—	—		—
Other noninterest income	36.0	35.0	32.1	32.2	37.8	33.7	19.9	24.6	25.3
Noninterest expense	145.1	142.7	152.5	132.8	131.8	133.6	98.3	99.5	98.3
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	74.4	70.3	47.9	33.2	28.3	33.1	32.1	32.6	28.1
Income tax expense (benefit)	27.9	26.4	17.0	10.9	9.5	11.3	10.7	11.2	9.2
Income (loss)	\$46.5	\$43.9	\$30.9	\$22.3	\$18.8	\$21.8	\$21.4	\$21.4	\$18.9
Net income (loss) applicable to noncontrolling interests		_						_	
Net income (loss) applicable to controlling interest	\$46.5	\$43.9	\$30.9	\$22.3	\$18.8	\$21.8	\$21.4	\$21.4	\$18.9
YEAR-END BALANCE SHEE	Г								
DATA	•								
Total assets	\$4,771	\$4,579	\$4,575	\$4,096	\$3,980	\$4,061	\$2,999	\$2,571	\$2,511
Cash and due from banks	51	77	86	51	79	59	29	51	58
Money market investments	370	220	385	655	710	1,031	407	6	31
Total securities	395	362	263	831	774	742	179	166	187
Total loans	3,750	3,724	3,604	2,421	2,297	2,100	2,320	2,278	2,128
Total deposits	4,133	3,931	3,874	3,690	3,590	3,604	2,591	2,178	2,164
Shareholder's equity:									
Preferred equity	85	120	180	50	50	140	25	70	70
Common equity	481	418	399	332	317	298	315	246	224
Noncontrolling interests		—							
Total shareholder's equity	566	538	579	382	367	438	340	316	294
172									

(In millions)	TCBW 2014	2013	2012	Other 2014		2013		2012	Consolida 2014	ted Compar 2013	ny 2012	
CONDENSED INCOME STATEMENT	2011	2015	2012	2011		2013		2012	2011	2013	2012	
Net interest income Provision for loan losses	\$28.8 (0.6)	\$27.3 (1.8)	\$27.4 0.4		· ·	\$(155.8 (0.4		\$(190.6) 0.5	\$1,680.0 (98.1)	\$1,696.3 (87.1)	\$1,731.9 14.2	
Net interest income after	(0.0)	(1.0)	0.4	(0.5	,	(0.4	)	0.5	(90.1)	(07.1)	14.2	
provision for loan losses	29.4	29.1	27.0	(101.6	)	(155.4	)	(191.1)	1,778.1	1,783.4	1,717.7	
Net impairment losses on investment securities						(154.0	)	(100.3)		(165.1)	(104.1	)
Loss on sale of investment securities to Parent	_	(2.7)	_			2.7		9.2	_	_	_	
Other noninterest income Noninterest expense	2.0 29.7	4.1 18.8	3.8 18.9	12.5 86.5		(24.6 154.4	)	(23.7 ) 29.2	508.6 1,665.3	502.5 1,714.4	524.0 1,596.0	
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	1.7	11.7	11.9	(175.6	)	(485.7	)	(335.1)	621.4	406.4	541.6	
Income tax expense (benefit)		4.0	4.0		· ·			(120.7)		142.9	193.4	
Income (loss)	1.2	7.7	7.9	(109.7	)	(323.5	)	(214.4)	398.5	263.5	348.2	
Net income (loss) applicable to noncontrolling interests				(1.2	)	(0.3	)	(1.3)		(0.3)	(1.3	)
Net income (loss) applicable to controlling interest YEAR-END BALANCE	\$1.2	\$7.7	\$7.9	\$(108.5	)	\$(323.2	2)	\$(213.1)	\$398.5	\$263.8	\$349.5	
SHEET DATA												
Total assets	\$892	\$943	\$961	\$103		\$740		\$1,286	\$57,209	\$56,031	\$55,512	
Cash and due from banks	29	28	22	(6	)	(17	)	8	846	1,175	1,842	
Money market investments	113	181	251	(235	)	(207	)	444	8,560	8,457	8,754	
Total securities	72	91	104	181		719		519	4,562	4,325	3,877	
Total loans	661	630	571	54		64		63	40,064	39,043	37,665	
Total deposits	752	793	791	(1,106	)	(912	)	(64)	47,847	46,362	46,133	
Shareholder's equity:												
Preferred equity	3	3	3	173		93		42	1,004	1,004	1,128	
Common equity	89	87	82	214		(317	)	(645)	6,366	5,461	4,924	
Noncontrolling interests		—			)			(3)	—	—		)
Total shareholder's equity	92	90	85	376		(224	)	(606)	7,370	6,465	6,049	

#### 22. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED) Financial information by quarter for 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

	Quarters									
(In thousands, except per share amounts)	First		Second		Third		Fourth		Year	
2014:										
Gross interest income	\$467,568		\$463,191		\$460,275		\$461,959		\$1,852,993	
Net interest income	416,465		416,283		416,818		430,429		1,679,995	
Provision for loan losses	(610	)	(54,416	)	(54,643	)	11,587		(98,082	)
Noninterest income:										
Net impairment losses on investment securities	(27	)							(27	)
Investment securities gains (losses), net	31,826		7,539		(13,461	)	(2,014	)	23,890	
Other noninterest income	106,520		117,311		129,533		131,411		484,775	
Noninterest expense	398,063		406,027		438,536		422,666		1,665,292	
Income before income taxes	157,331		189,522		148,997		125,573		621,423	
Net income	101,210		119,550		95,888		81,814		398,462	
Preferred stock dividends	(25,020	)	(15,060	)	(16,761	)	(15,053	)	(71,894	)
Net earnings applicable to common shareholders	76,190		104,490		79,127		66,761		326,568	
Net earnings per common share:										
Basic	\$0.41		\$0.56		\$0.40		\$0.33		\$1.68	
Diluted	0.41		0.56		0.40		0.33		1.68	
2013:										
Gross interest income	\$484,748		\$493,233		\$473,407		\$490,017		\$1,941,405	
Net interest income	418,115		430,657		415,521		432,035		1,696,328	
Provision for loan losses	(29,035	)	(21,990	)	(5,573	)	(30,538	)	(87,136	)
Noninterest income:										
Net impairment losses on investment securities	(10,117	)	(4,217	)	(9,067	)	(141,733	)	(165,134	)
Investment securities gains (losses), net	6,131		1,056		4,745		(6,310	)	5,622	
Other noninterest income	125,205		128,309		126,512		116,893		496,919	
Noninterest expense	397,348		451,678		370,663		494,750		1,714,439	
Income before income taxes (benefit)	171,021		126,117		172,621		(63,327	)	406,432	
Net income (loss)	110,387		83,026		111,514		(41,472	)	263,455	
Net income (loss) applicable to controlling interest	110,723		83,026		111,514		(41,472	)	263,791	
Preferred stock dividends	(22,399	)	(27,641	)	(27,507	)	(17,965	)	(95,512	)
Preferred stock redemption					125,700				125,700	
Net earnings (loss) applicable to common	88,324		55,385		209,707		(59,437	`	293,979	
shareholders	00,324		55,565		209,707		(39,437	)	293,919	
Net earnings (loss) per common share:										
Net earnings (loss) per common share: Basic	\$0.48		\$0.30		\$1.13		\$(0.32	)	\$1.58	
	\$0.48 0.48		\$0.30 0.30		\$1.13 1.12		\$(0.32) (0.32)		\$1.58 1.58	
Basic	0.48	arte	0.30	ent	1.12	g (	(0.32	)	1.58	

impairment losses on CDO investment securities.

# 23. PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL INFORMATION CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS		
(In thousands)	December 31, 2014	2013
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	\$2,023	\$902,697
Interest-bearing deposits	1,007,916	72
Investment securities:		
Held-to-maturity, at adjusted cost (approximate fair value \$34,691 and	17,292	17,359
\$31,422)	17,292	17,559
Available-for-sale, at fair value	130,964	675,895
Other noninterest-bearing investments	29,091	37,154
Investments in subsidiaries:		
Commercial banks and bank holding company	6,995,000	6,700,315
Other operating companies	22,948	31,535
Nonoperating – ZMFU II, Ind.	44,792	44,511
Receivables from subsidiaries:		
Other operating companies	15,060	
Other assets	106,224	278,392
	\$8,371,310	\$8,687,930
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	* • • • • • •	+ • • • • • • •
Other liabilities	\$85,275	\$200,729
Subordinated debt to affiliated trusts	15,464	15,464
Long-term debt:	•	
Due to affiliates	20	17
Due to others	901,021	2,007,157
Total liabilities	1,001,780	2,223,367
Shareholders' equity:	1 004 011	1 002 070
Preferred stock	1,004,011	1,003,970
Common stock	4,723,855	4,179,024
Retained earnings	1,769,705	1,473,670
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		) (192,101
Total shareholders' equity	7,369,530	6,464,563
	\$8,371,310	\$8,687,930

<sup>1</sup> ZMFU II, Inc. is a wholly-owned nonoperating subsidiary whose sole purpose is to hold a portfolio of municipal bonds, loans and leases.

)

#### CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME			
(In thousands)		December 31	
	2014	2013	2012
Interest income:			
Commercial bank subsidiaries	\$1,067	\$757	\$836
Other subsidiaries and affiliates	438	105	386
Loans and securities	10,900	17,764	18,993
Total interest income	12,405	18,626	20,215
Interest expense:			
Affiliated trusts	511	8,483	24,053
Other borrowed funds	116,872	171,304	195,195
Total interest expense	117,383	179,787	219,248
Net interest loss	(104,978)	(161,161	) (199,033 )
Provision for loan losses		(23	) (10 )
Net interest loss after provision for loan losses	(104,978)	(161,138	) (199,023 )
Other income:			
Dividends from consolidated subsidiaries:			
Commercial banks and bank holding company	236,012	421,406	246,606
Other operating companies	400	200	5,440
Nonoperating – ZMFU II, Inc.			50,000
Equity and fixed income securities gains (losses), net	300,275	(7,332	) 86
Net impairment losses on investment securities		(95,637	) (74,153 )
Other income (loss)	6,362	(8,397	) (6,562 )
	543,049	310,240	221,417
Expenses:			
Salaries and employee benefits	17,457	26,014	20,507
Debt extinguishment cost	44,422	120,192	
Other operating expenses	10,557	1,436	395
	72,436	147,642	20,902
Income before income taxes and undistributed	265 625	1.460	
income/loss of consolidated subsidiaries	365,635	1,460	1,492
Income tax expense (benefit)	57,430	(133,798	) (108,541 )
Income before equity in undistributed income/loss of consolidated		125.250	
subsidiaries	308,205	135,258	110,033
Equity in undistributed income (loss) of consolidated subsidiaries:			
Commercial banks and bank holding company	101,789	132,906	304,559
Other operating companies	(11,997)	(4,887	) (15,561 )
Nonoperating – ZMFU II, Inc.	465	514	(49,515)
Net income	398,462	263,791	349,516
Preferred stock dividends	(71,894)	(95,512	) (170,885 )
Preferred stock redemption		125,700	
Net earnings applicable to common shareholders	\$326,568	\$293,979	\$178,631
The canada approace to common shareholders	<i>\$220,000</i>	+=>>,>   >	φ1,0,001

#### CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)		December 31,	2012
	2014	2013	2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES Net income	¢ 200 160	\$263,791	\$ 240 516
	\$398,462	\$205,791	\$349,516
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by			
operating activities: Undistributed net income of consolidated subsidiaries	(00.257	) (128,533	) (220.492 )
	(90,257	95,637	) (239,483 ) 74,153
Net impairment losses on investment securities	44,422	93,037 120,192	74,135
Debt extinguishment cost Other, net	<i>,</i>	69,098	 1 276
	146,374		4,376
Net cash provided by operating activities	499,001	420,185	188,562
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Net decrease (increase) in money market investments	(1,007,844	) 650,736	305,668
Collection of advances to subsidiaries	15,000	10,000	23,190
Advances to subsidiaries	(30,060	) (10,000	) (23,000 )
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investment securities	372,357	27,916	5,433
Purchases of investment securities		(4,858	) (3,980 )
Decrease (increase) of investment in subsidiaries	(15,060	) 175,000	764,290
Other, net	24,319	10,642	3,814
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(641,288	) 859,436	1,075,415
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Net change in short-term funds borrowed		(3,368	) (110,995 )
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		646,408	757,610
Repayments of long-term debt	(1,147,641	) (835,031	) (372,312 )
Debt extinguishment cost paid	(35,435	) (45,812	) —
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock		784,318	141,342
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	526,438	9,825	1,898
Cash paid for preferred stock redemptions		(799,468	) (1,542,500 )
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(64,868	) (95,512	) (126,189 )
Dividends paid on common stock	(31,262	) (24,148	) (7,392 )
Other, net	(5,619	) (16,137	) (3,449 )
Net cash used in financing activities	(758,387	) (378,925	) (1,261,987 )
Net increase (decrease) in cash and due from banks	(900,674	) 900,696	1,990
Cash and due from banks at beginning of year	902,697	2,001	11
Cash and due from banks at end of year	\$2,023	\$902,697	\$2,001

The Parent paid interest of \$96.0 million in 2014, \$125.9 million in 2013, and \$124.1 million in 2012.

# ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE None.

#### ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2014. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2014. There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting. See "Report on Management's Assessment of Internal Control over Financial Reporting" included in Item 8 on page 93 for management's report on the adequacy of internal control over financial reporting. Also see "Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting" included in Item 8 on page 94.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE Incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement to be subsequently filed.

#### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement to be subsequently filed.

# ITEMSECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND12.RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

#### EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following schedule provides information as of December 31, 2014 with respect to the shares of the Company's common stock that may be issued under existing equity compensation plans.

Plan category <sup>1</sup>	(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(b) Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plan approved by security holders			
Zions Bancorporation 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan	l 5,513,645	\$31.23	4,164,478

<sup>1</sup> The schedule does not include information for equity compensation plans assumed by the Company in mergers. A total of 116,857 shares of common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$48.84 were issuable upon exercise of options granted under plans assumed in mergers and outstanding as of December 31, 2014. The Company cannot grant additional awards under these assumed plans. Column (a) also excludes 161,220 shares of

unvested restricted stock and 1,769,420 restricted stock units (each unit representing the right to one share of common stock).

Other information required by Item 12 is incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement to be subsequently filed.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE Incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement to be subsequently filed.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES Incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement to be subsequently filed.

#### PART IV

#### ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) (1) Financial statements – The following consolidated financial statements of Zions Bancorporation and subsidiaries are filed as part of this Form10-K under Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data:

Consolidated balance sheets - December 31, 2014 and 2013

Consolidated statements of income – Years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 Consolidated statements of comprehensive income – Years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 Consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity – Years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 Consolidated statements of cash flows – Years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 Notes to consolidated financial statements – December 31, 2014

(2) Financial statement schedules – All financial statement schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions, the required information is contained elsewhere in the Form 10-K, or the schedules are inapplicable and have therefore been omitted.

(3) List of Exhibits:

Exhibit Number Description

3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation dated July 8, 2014, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 8-K/A filed on July 18, 2014.	*
3.2	Restated Bylaws of Zions Bancorporation dated November 8, 2011, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.13 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011.	*
4.1	Senior Debt Indenture dated September 10, 2002 between Zions Bancorporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. as successor to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, N.A., as trustee, with respect to senior debt securities of Zions Bancorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.	*

## Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	
4.2	Subordinated Debt Indenture dated September 10, 2002 between Zions Bancorporation and The Bank on New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. as successor to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, N.A., as trustee, with respect to subordinated debt securities of Zions Bancorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.	
4.3	Junior Subordinated Indenture dated August 21, 2002 between Zions Bancorporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. as successor to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, N.A., as trustee, with respect to junior subordinated debentures of Zions Bancorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.	*
4.4	Warrant to purchase up to 5,789,909 shares of Common Stock, issued on November 14, 2008, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.	*
4.5	Warrant Agreement, between Zions Bancorporation and Zions First National Bank, and Warrant Certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2010.	*
10.1	Zions Bancorporation 2012-2014 Value Sharing Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.	*
10.2	Zions Bancorporation 2013-2015 Value Sharing Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013.	*
10.3	Zions Bancorporation 2014-2016 Value Sharing Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2014.	*
10.4	2012 Management Incentive Compensation Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.	*
10.5	Zions Bancorporation Third Restated and Revised Deferred Compensation Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013.	*
10.6	Zions Bancorporation Fourth Restated Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013.	*
10.7	Amended and Restated Amegy Bancorporation, Inc. Non-Employee Directors Deferred Fee Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013.	*
10.8	Zions Bancorporation First Restated Excess Benefit Plan (filed herewith).	
10.9	Trust Agreement establishing the Zions Bancorporation Deferred Compensation Plan Trust by and between Zions Bancorporation and Cigna Bank & Trust Company, FSB effective October 1, 2002, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.	*

10.10

\*

Amendment to the Trust Agreement establishing the Zions Bancorporation Deferred Compensation Plan Trust by and between Zions Bancorporation and Cigna Bank & Trust Company, FSB substituting Prudential Bank & Trust, FSB as the trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Amendment to Trust Agreement Establishing the Zions Bancorporation Deferred Compensation Plans 10.11 Trust, effective September 1, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of Form 10-K for the \* year ended December 31, 2012.

## Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	
10.12	Fifth Amendment to Trust Agreement between Fidelity Management Trust Company and Zions Bancorporation for the Deferred Compensation Plans, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of Form 10-Q for the quarter September 30, 2013.	*
10.13	Zions Bancorporation Deferred Compensation Plans Master Trust between Zions Bancorporation and Fidelity Management Trust Company, effective September 1, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.	*
10.14	Revised schedule C to Zions Bancorporation Deferred Compensation Plans Master Trust between Zions Bancorporation and Fidelity Management Trust Company, effective September 13, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.	
10.15	Third Amendment to the Zions Bancorporation Deferred Compensation Plans Master Trust agreement between Zions Bancorporation and Fidelity Management Trust Company, dated June 13, 2012, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.	*
10.16	Zions Bancorporation Restated Pension Plan effective January 1, 2002, including amendments adopted through December 31, 2010, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.	*
10.17	First amendment to the Zions Bancorporation Pension Plan, dated June 28, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013.	*
10.18	Zions Bancorporation Executive Management Pension Plan (filed herewith).	
10.19	Zions Bancorporation Payshelter 401(k) and Employee Stock Ownership Plan, Restated and Amended effective January 1, 2002, including amendments adopted thru December 31, 2010, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.	*
10.20	First Amendment to the Zions Bancorporation Payshelter 401(k) and Employee Stock Ownership Plan, dated November 14, 2012, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.	*
10.21	Zions Bancorporation Payshelter 401(k) and Employee Stock Ownership Plan Trust Agreement between Zions Bancorporation and Fidelity Management Trust Company, dated July 3, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.	*
10.22	First Amendment to the Zions Bancorporation Payshelter 401(k) and Employee Stock Ownership Plan Trust Agreement between Zions Bancorporation and Fidelity Management Trust Company, dated April 5, 2010, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010.	*
10.23	Second Amendment to the Zions Bancorporation Payshelter 401(k) and Employee Stock Ownership Plan Trust Agreement between Zions Bancorporation and Fidelity Management Trust Company, dated April 5, 2010, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010.	*

Third Amendment to the Zions Bancorporation Payshelter 401(k) and Employee Stock Ownership Plan10.24Trust Agreement between Zions Bancorporation and Fidelity Management Trust Company, dated April \*<br/>30, 2010, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010.

## Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.25	Fourth Amendment to the Zions Bancorporation Payshelter 401(k) and Employee Stock Ownership Plan Trust Agreement between Zions Bancorporation and Fidelity Management Trust Company, dated October 1, 2014 (filed herewith).
10.26	Fifth Amendment to the Zions Bancorporation Payshelter 401(k) and Employee Stock Ownership Plan Trust Agreement between Zions Bancorporation and Fidelity Management Trust Company, dated October 1, 2014 (filed herewith).
10.27	Amended and Restated Zions Bancorporation 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.
10.28	Standard Stock Option Award Agreement, Zions Bancorporation 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, * incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.
10.29	Standard Restricted Stock Award Agreement, Zions Bancorporation 2005 Stock Option and Incentive * Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.
10.30	Standard Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement, Zions Bancorporation 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, * 2012.
10.31	Standard Directors Stock Option Award Agreement, Zions Bancorporation 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 of Form 10-K for the year ended December * 31, 2010.
10.32	Standard Directors Restricted Stock Award Agreement, Zions Bancorporation 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, * 2009.
10.33	Standard Directors Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement, Zions Bancorporation 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 of Form 10-K for the year ended * December 31, 2011.
10.34	Form of Performance Stock Option Award Agreement, 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, * incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013.
10.35	Form of Performance Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement, 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, * incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013.
10.36	Amegy Bancorporation 2004 (formerly Southwest Bancorporation of Texas, Inc.) Omnibus Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.47 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.
10.37	Form of Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Certain Executive Officers, * incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

- 10.38 Addendum to Change in Control Agreement (filed herewith).
- 10.39 Form of Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Dallas E. Haun, dated May 23, 2008 (filed herewith).
- 12 Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges (filed herewith).

#### Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
21	List of Subsidiaries of Zions Bancorporation (filed herewith).
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (filed herewith).
31.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer required by Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (filed herewith).
31.2	Certification by Chief Financial Officer required by Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (filed herewith).
32	Certification by Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Sections 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m) and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (furnished herewith).
101	Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (filed herewith).

\* Incorporated by reference

Certain instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt securities of the Registrant and its subsidiaries are omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii) of Regulation S-K. The Registrant hereby undertakes to furnish to the SEC, upon request, copies of any such instruments.

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

February 27, 2015 ZIONS BANCORPORATION

By /s/ Harris H. Simmons HARRIS H. SIMMONS, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

February 27, 2015

/s/ Harris H. Simmons HARRIS H. SIMMONS, Director, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Alexander J. Hume ALEXANDER J. HUME, Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

/s/ John C. Erickson JOHN C. ERICKSON, Director

/s/ J. David Heaney J. DAVID HEANEY, Director

/s/ Roger B. Porter ROGER B. PORTER, Director

/s/ L. E. Simmons L. E. SIMMONS, Director

/s/ Shelley Thomas Williams SHELLEY THOMAS WILLIAMS, Director /s/ Doyle L. Arnold DOYLE L. ARNOLD, Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ Jerry C. Atkin

JERRY C. ATKIN, Director

/s/ Patricia Frobes PATRICIA FROBES, Director

/s/ Edward F. Murphy EDWARD F. MURPHY, Director

/s/ Stephen D. Quinn STEPHEN D. QUINN, Director

/s/ Steven C. Wheelwright STEVEN C. WHEELWRIGHT, Director