

DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP
Form 10-Q
May 20, 2013

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

X . Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the quarterly period ended

March 31, 2013

. Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from

_____ to _____

Commission File Number: **000-29315**

DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

91-2105842
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2660 Townsgate Road, Suite 300, Westlake Village

91361

California
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Zip Code)

(805) 446-1973

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Decision Diagnostics Corp.

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes . No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes . No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer . Accelerated filer .
Non-accelerated filer . (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes . No .

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity as of the latest practicable date: As of May 15, 2013 there were 20,456,151 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001, outstanding.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.****DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	<u>March 31, 2013</u> (Unaudited)	December 31, 2012
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$ 310,486	\$ 85,378
Accounts receivable, net	2,566,859	2,240,583
Inventory	49,734	
Prepaid expenses	1,142,897	1,695,094
Total current assets	4,069,976	4,021,055
Other assets:		
Intellectual property	127,960	120,410
Total other assets	127,960	120,410
Total assets	\$ 4,197,936	\$ 4,141,465
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 1,087,750	\$ 648,436
Accrued interest	6,129	2,025
Line of credit	2,577,084	2,428,444
Notes payable and short term debt (Note 5)	192,678	37,678
Total current liabilities	3,863,641	3,119,816
Contingencies		
	170,069	170,069
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value 3,738,500 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012	-	-
Preferred series "B" stock, \$0.001 par value, 2,500 shares authorized, 1,000 and no shares issued and outstanding as of March 1, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	1	1
Preferred series "C" stock, \$0.001 par value, 10,000 shares authorized, 1,250 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	1	1
Preferred series "D" stock, \$0.001 par value, 500 shares authorized, and no shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	-	-

Edgar Filing: DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP - Form 10-Q

Preferred series "E" stock, \$0.001 par value, 1,250,000 shares authorized, 1,199,000 and 1,156,800 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	-	-
	1,119	1,157
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 494,950,000 shares authorized, 20,456,151 and 13,909,751 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2011, respectively	20,306	13,910
Common stock unissued, 2,151,000 and no shares authorized and unissued as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	480	2,151
Subscription receivable		--
Additional paid-in capital	24,379,490	24,049,926
Accumulated (deficit)	(24,147,421)	(23,215,566)
Total stockholders' equity	164,226	851,580
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 4,197,936	\$ 4,141,465
(See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements)		

DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(UNAUDITED)

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED			
	MARCH 31, 2013			
	2013		2012	
Revenue	\$	1,111,465	\$	2,506,380
Cost of sales		721,459		2,033,738
Gross profit		390,006		472,642
Expenses:				
General and administrative		59,969		321,929
Bad debt		467,200		-
Consulting services		590,581		107,566
Compensation expense		14,241		11,335
Professional fees		26,945		32,833
Total Expenses		1,158,936		473,653
Net loss from operations		(768,930)		(1,011)
Other Expenses:				
Financing costs		-		36
Interest expense		150,425		86,797
Settlement (loss)		12,500		17,500
Total Other Expenses		162,925		104,333
Net loss	\$	(931,855)	\$	(105,344)
Net loss per share basic and diluted	\$	(0.05)	\$	(0.01)
Weighted average shares outstanding basic and diluted		18,623,635		9,808,246

(See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements)

DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(UNAUDITED)

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,	
	2013	2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net (loss)	\$ (931,855)	\$ (105,344)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Shares issued for service	-	95,000
Shares and warrants issued for financing	-	36
Bad debt expense	467,200	251,136
Gain on debt settlement	-	17,500
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	(793,476)	(353,609)
Inventory	(49,734)	12,638
Prepaid and other assets	552,197	-
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	439,314	11,638
Accrued interest	149,511	6,314
Contingencies	-	(5,000)
Net cash (used in) operating activities	(166,843)	(69,691)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Intellectual property	(7,550)	(25,000)
Net cash (used) in investing activities	(7,550)	(25,000)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from line of credit, net	-	80,483
Proceeds on notes payable	155,000	---
Proceeds from options exercised for cash	244,501	-
Net cash provided by financing activities	399,501	80,483
Net (decrease) in cash	225,108	(14,208)
Cash, beginning of period	85,378	14,869
Cash, end of period	\$ 310,486	\$ 661
Supplemental cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 914	\$

Edgar Filing: DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP - Form 10-Q

Cash paid for income taxes	\$			
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:				
Shares and options issued for services	\$	-	\$	95,000
Shares issued for financing	\$	-	\$	36
		-		17,500
Shares issued for accrued interest	\$	-	\$	80,483

(See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements)

DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - UNAUDITED

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated interim financial statements included herein, presented in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles and stated in US dollars, have been prepared by the Company, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although the Company believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading.

These statements reflect all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for fair presentation of the information contained therein. It is suggested that these consolidated interim financial statements be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the period ended December 31, 2012 and notes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K. The Company follows the same accounting policies in the preparation of consolidated interim reports.

Results of operations for the interim periods are not indicative of annual results.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Management has analyzed all pronouncements issued during the three months ended March 31, 2013 by the FASB or other authoritative accounting standards groups with future effective dates, and have determined that they are not applicable or are not expected to be significant to the financial statements of the Company.

NOTE 2 GOING CONCERN

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern. Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon attaining profitable operations based on the

development of distributions platforms through which our products that can be sold. We intend to use borrowings and security sales to mitigate the effects of our cash position, however, no assurance can be given that debt or equity financing, if required, will be available. The condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue in existence.

NOTE 3 - LINE OF CREDIT

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we authorized the release of an additional 124,700 shares of preferred series E stock valued at \$80,482 for accrued interest due to Alpha Credit Resources as of March 1, 2012. In addition, as a condition of authorizing the excess advance, Alpha Credit Resources required collateral in the form of our preferred series B stock, to be issued in their name and held by their legal counsel as escrow agent for this transaction. In the event of default, Centurion maintains the ability to convert the aforementioned shares into common shares at a rate of 7,143 to 1 in order to cure any potential default. The outstanding shares of this issue, if fully converted, would create 7,142,858 shares of new \$.001 par value common stock. The fair value of the underlying common shares at the date of issuance totaled \$5,900,000. As of December 31, 2012, the principle balance plus accrued interest owed was \$2,428,444.

We have recorded interest and financing expense of \$150,425 and \$86,797 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

NOTE 4 NOTES PAYABLE

We have recorded interest in connection with our notes totaling \$871 and \$876 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

NOTE 5 FAIR VALUE

Our financial instruments consist principally of notes payable and lines of credit. Notes payable and lines of credit are financial liabilities with carrying values that approximate fair value. Management determines the fair value of notes payable and lines of credit based on the effective yields of similar obligations and believe all of the financial instruments recorded values approximate fair market value because of their nature and respective durations.

We comply with the provisions of ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (ASC 820). ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements required under other accounting pronouncements. ASC 820-10-35, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures - Subsequent Measurement* (ASC 820-10-35), clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. ASC 820-10-35 also requires that a fair value measurement reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability based on the best information available. Assumptions include the risks inherent in a particular valuation technique (such as a pricing model) and/or the risks inherent in the inputs to the model. The Company also follows ASC 825 *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, to expand required disclosures.

ASC 820-10-35 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under ASC 820-10-35 are described below:

	Fair Value Measurements			Total Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Liabilities				
Notes payable	\$ -	\$ 192,678	\$ -	\$ 192,678
Line of credit	-	2,577,084	-	2,577,084
Total	\$ -	\$ 2,769,762	\$ -	\$ 2,769,762

NOTE 6 STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY

We are authorized to issue up to 494,995,000 shares of \$0.001 par value common stock and 5,000,000 shares of various classes of \$0.001 par value preferred stock. In March of 2011, we amended our preferred stock designations as follows: 1) withdrawal of Series A designation on 750,000 shares of preferred stock, 2) Amendment of Series C designation on to 10,000 shares of preferred stock, 3) Designation of Series B on 2,500 shares of preferred stock, 4) Designation of Series D on 500 shares of preferred stock and 5) increased the number of preferred shares designated

as Series E from 1,000,000 to 1,250,000. All presentation of preferred stock contained herein has been retroactively presented to reflect the designations and amendments.

Series B convertible preferred stock

We have designated 2,500 shares of our \$0.001 preferred stock as Series B. Holders of series B : convertible stock shall not have the right to vote on matters that come before the shareholders. Series B convertible preferred stock may be converted, the number of shares into which one share of Series B Preferred Stock shall be convertible into common stock shares shall be 50. Series B convertible stock shall rank senior to common stock in the event of liquidation. Holders of Series B convertible stock shall not be entitled to a mandatory monthly dividend. Series B convertible stock shall have a redemptions price equal to 101% of the purchase price per share, subject to adjustments resulting from stock splits, recapitalization, or share combination.

Series C convertible preferred stock

We have designated 10,000 shares of our \$0.001 preferred stock as 2011 Series C. Each share of 2011 Series C Preferred stock is valued at \$10,000. Holders of series C : convertible stock shall not have the right to vote on matters that come before the shareholders. 2011 Series C convertible preferred stock may be converted after 36 months, but not before, the number of shares into which one share of 2011 Series C Preferred Stock shall be convertible on a pro-rata basis into common stock shares, each share of common stock valued at \$.50. 2011 Series C convertible stock shall rank junior to all other classes of Preferred stock in the event of liquidation. Holders of 2011 Series C convertible stock shall not be entitled to a mandatory monthly dividend.

Series D convertible preferred stock

We have designated 500 shares of our \$0.001 preferred stock as 2012 Series D . Holders of series D : convertible stock shall not have the right to vote on matters that come before the shareholders. 2012 Series D convertible preferred stock may be converted immediately upon distribution. The number of shares into which one share of 2012 Series D Preferred Stock shall be convertible into common stock shares is 1 for 120,000 shares of \$0.001 par value common stock. 2012 Series D convertible stock shall rank junior to all other classes of Preferred stock in the event of liquidation. Holders of 2012 Series D convertible stock shall not be entitled to a mandatory monthly dividend.

Series E convertible preferred stock

We have designated 1,250,000 shares of our \$0.001 preferred stock as Series E . Holders of series E : convertible stock shall not have the right to vote on matters that come before the shareholders. Series E convertible preferred stock may be converted, the number of shares into which one share of Series E Preferred Stock shall be convertible into common stock shares shall be 14. Series E convertible stock shall rank senior to common stock in the event of liquidation. Holders of Series E convertible stock shall not be entitled to a mandatory monthly dividend. Series E convertible stock shall have a redemptions price equal to 101% of the purchase price per share, subject to adjustments resulting from stock splits, recapitalization, or share combination.

Common Issuances

The Company issued 1,761,600 shares previously authorized and unissued.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company authorized the issuance of 120,000 shares for the exercise of options.

NOTE 7 OPTIONS

2004 Stock Option Plan

Effective April 21, 2004, we adopted the 2004 Stock Option Plan, as amended, with a maximum number of 450,893 shares that may be issued. As of June 30, 2012, 398,104 options have been granted and exercised or expired under this plan. There are 52,789 options which remain available for issuance.

2005 Merger Consolidated Stock Option Plan

On February 5, 2005, we adopted our 2005 Merger Consolidated Stock Option Plan. The maximum number of shares that may be issued pursuant to the plan is 80,357 shares. As of March 31, 2013, 77,307 shares have been granted and exercised or expired under this plan. There are 3,050 options which remain available for issuance.

2006 Stock Option Plan

On December 8, 2006 we adopted our 2006 Employee Stock Option Plan, as amended and granted incentive and nonqualified stock options with rights to purchase 3,250,000 shares of our \$0.001 par value common stock. As of March 31, 2013, 2,366,582 options were granted and exercised or expired under this plan. There are 883,419 options which remain available for issuance.

The following is a summary of activity of outstanding stock options under all Stock Option Plans:

	NUMBER OF OPTIONS		WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE
Balance, December 31, 2012	8,614,286	\$	0.10
Options granted	-		-
Options cancelled	-		-
Options exercised	120,000		0.10
Balance, March 31, 2013	8,494,286	\$	0.10

NOTE 8 WARRANTS

The following is a summary of activity of outstanding warrants as of March 31, 2013:

	NUMBER OF WARRANTS		WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE
Balance, December 31, 2012	17,857	\$	0.49
Warrants granted	-		-
Warrants cancelled	-		-
Warrants exercised	-		-
Balance, March 31, 2013	17,857	\$	0.49

NOTE 9 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIESLeases

We currently maintain an executive office at 2660 Townsgate Road, Suite 300, Westlake Village, CA 91361. The space consists of approximately 2,300 square feet. The monthly rental for the space is \$4,140 per month on a month-to-month basis.

Rent expense totaled \$6,510 and \$12,420 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Contingencies

We transact commerce in several medical products market channels. We also transact commerce by licensing our proprietary medical software that functions by moving confidential medical data through our proprietary medical information technology devices and networks. Our new Shasta Genstrip product required initial regulatory approval by the USFDA as well as on-going USFDA approvals during the product life cycle. Further, Shasta Genstrip required medical patient trials and competes directly with a major platform manufacturer.

Healthcare, especially those segments where the company competes, is a very litigious. Competing companies often use litigation as a marketing tool, bringing litigation as a means to protect market share and limit market exposure. The medical industry is also intertwined. From time to time, we may become involved in claims and litigation that arise out of the normal course of business, such as litigation that emerges from disputes over damaged, missing or contaminated product, litigation that arises over payment disputes or claims of fair value. We may also become involved in disputes that arise over the business or business practices of our suppliers, payers and customers. It is not uncommon in our industry to find that a litigant has filed claims in multiple jurisdictions involving the same transaction or a single transaction. The company maintains substantial insurance coverage against suits that may arise over issues of damaged, recalled or counterfeit product and other product liability issues. The company has also been a victim of the unapproved acts of prior management. These acts have resulted in claims from individuals and entities since the Board relieved former management of duty in 2006. Nonetheless, these claims have resulted in the use of management time and company resources to investigate, litigate, or settle. In addition, the company accrues contingent legal fees and product liability fees. As of March 31 2013, our accrual was \$170,069.

From time to time, the company may also be subject to demands from individuals or entities. These demands and disputes may consume management time and company resources. Other than as noted below, if there is such a disclosure, there are no pending matters at the current time that in management's judgment may be considered potentially material to us.

NOTE 10 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In accordance with ASC 855, management evaluated all activity of the Company through the issue date of the financial statements and concluded that that described below, no other subsequent events have occurred that would require recognition or disclosure in the financial statements.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This document contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements for purposes of federal and state securities laws, including, but not limited to, any projections of earnings, revenue or other financial items; any statements of the plans, strategies and objectives of management for future operations; any statements concerning proposed new services or developments; any statements regarding future economic conditions or performance; any statements or belief; and any statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing.

Forward-looking statements may include the words may, might, could, estimate, intend, continue, believe, anticipate or other similar words. These forward-looking statements present our estimates and assumptions only as of the date of this report. Accordingly, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the dates on which they are made. We do not undertake to update forward-looking statements to reflect the impact of circumstances or events that arise after the dates they are made. You should, however, consult further disclosures we make in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in any of our forward-looking statements are reasonable, actual results could differ materially from those projected or assumed in any of our forward-looking statements. Our future financial condition and results of operations, as well as any forward-looking statements, are subject to change and inherent risks and uncertainties. The factors influencing these risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to the following:

deterioration in general or regional economic, market and political conditions;

our ability to successfully compete in the pharmaceutical supply industry;

increased competitive pressures from existing competitors and new entrants;

increases in interest rates or our cost of borrowing or a default under any material debt agreements;

loss of customers or sales weakness;

the fact that our accounting policies and methods are fundamental to how we report our financial condition and results of operations, and they may require management to make estimates about matters that are inherently uncertain;

adverse state or federal legislation or regulation that increases the costs of compliance, or adverse findings by a regulator with respect to existing operations;

changes in U.S. GAAP or in the legal, regulatory and legislative environments in the markets in which we operate;

inability to efficiently manage our operations;

inability to achieve future sales levels or other operating results;

the unavailability of funds for capital expenditures;

the other risks and uncertainties detailed in this report.

REFERENCES

As used in this quarterly report: (i) the terms we, us, our, Decision Diagnostics (formerly InstaCare Corp.) and Company mean Decision Diagnostics Corp. and its operating subsidiaries, Decision IT Corp., Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc., PharmTech Direct Corp., and PDA Services, Inc.; (ii) SEC refers to the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) Securities Act refers to the United States *Securities Act of 1933*, as amended; (iv) Exchange Act refers to the United States *Securities Exchange Act of 1934*, as amended; and (v) all dollar amounts refer to United States dollars unless otherwise indicated.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

Decision Diagnostics Corp. is a nationwide prescription and non-prescription diagnostics and home testing products distributor, selling a range of diagnostic test kits and at-home testing products. The U.S. FDA, in a manner similar to its prescription drug regulation, regulates diagnostic test kits and at-home patient testing products similarly to the regulation of prescription medicine. The company has, since 2005, contracted with independent pharmacies for use of their prescription drug distribution licenses. However, the products we currently distribute, for the most part, do not require a doctor's prescription for anything other than insurance benefit compliance. Our business model works well in this regulated environment.

Our subsidiaries, Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. and PDA Services, Inc. operate in several healthcare products distribution channels. We distribute brand name prescription and non-prescription diagnostics products, as well as several lines of ostomy, wound care and post-surgery medical products. We have also recently introduced, a seminal and proprietary diagnostic product named Shasta Genstrip, for at-home testing of blood glucose. The U.S. FDA cleared the Shasta Genstrip product for sale in the U.S. on November 30, 2012. The worldwide market for at-home blood glucose testing is an estimated \$22.5 billion. Shasta Genstrip competes directly with one of the largest worldwide platform manufacturer for at-home blood glucose testing, a product currently used daily by over 3 million diabetes afflicted Americans. In addition, since the medical device employed by this legacy platform manufacturers, Genstrip also competes in the overall at-home testing market by offering an economical solution to former users of the legacy platform providers product. In that regard, Genstrip is unique as a major business focus is directed toward diabetics who have changed platforms due to escalating prices. The company continues to focus Genstrip as a value priced alternative to the existing legacy platforms.

Throughout 2012 in anticipation of the introduction of Genstrip, which received clearance from U.S. FDA on November 30, 2012, we have evaluated our brand-name distribution model, a model that provides streams of revenue but extremely low profit margins, and over the course of the last 15 months we have phased out sales of those brand name products that have been a backbone of our current distribution business but provide low profit margins, difficult collection challenges and if allowed to continue into the future, would compete directly with our Shasta Genstrip. Phasing out these products lowered our order intake by approximately \$12,750,000 in FY2012 and by \$4,650,000 in the 2013 period ended March 31, 2013.

The company will continue to direct its marketing efforts to ambulatory and semi-ambulatory older Americans afflicted with diabetes and complications caused by diabetes and old age. The company, originally a medical IT company with proprietary IT product lines, acquired its medical products distribution business in late 2004 through a merger with Phoenix, Arizona based CareGeneration, Inc. We have grown the original CareGeneration business through subsequent acquisitions of private businesses and strategic partnerships with larger private pharmacies.

On November 1, 2011 we completed the acquisition of Diagnostic Newco LLC from its owner Kimberly Binder. Diagnostic Newco LLC is a design company that specializes in product packaging design, medical products advertising design and graphic art. Ms. Binder has joined the staff of the company's Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. subsidiary and has worked closely with the contract manufacturer for Genstrip, making subtle changes to packaging design among other responsibilities. She will also be responsible for the package design for new diagnostic products the company is currently working on. Ms. Binder is also owner of GenstripDirect, LLC, her own distribution company.

We also intend to acquire additional private companies, focusing on small engineering companies that have developed technology requiring either regulatory approval, distribution or both. In December 2011 we made another small acquisition, to acquire the services of Mr. Patrick DiParini. We are moving quickly to achieve our goal of becoming a vertically integrated, full service value added provider of products and services to an ever-growing market. The at-home diabetes testing market continues to grow as diabetics continue to be diagnosed. The market for diabetes testing products is expected to grow from a current \$22.5+ billion worldwide base in 2010 to over \$32 billion in 2017.

The company's current proprietary product offering, approved by the FDA for commercial distribution on November 30, 2012, is the Shasta Genstrip blood glucose diagnostic test strip for at-home testing. Shasta Genstrip is a product conceived and designed by Shasta Technologies LLC, and fits into a diagnostic product niche and will sell into the world-wide self-test (home test) market that is expected to grow to \$32 billion worldwide by 2017. Since Genstrip is a unique offering, employing a razor blade only model (diagnostic test strip) into a razor (diagnostic meter)-razor blade (diagnostic test strip) market, the Genstrip 510(k) application presented some unusual challenges for the FDA and an educational challenge/opportunity for the company. Since the company plans additional similar products in the future for other diagnostic platforms, the Genstrip experience, however slow and unresponsive it was, has provided lessons and experience. In February 2013 we began development efforts on a second product offering in the current market space.

Two years (and growing) is a standard development to market timeline for in-vitro diagnostic products similar to Genstrip. As a result of previous delays by Shasta Technologies in completing its FDA approval application [510(k)] and then problems Shasta encountered in prosecuting its original application with FDA staff, the company changed its contractual responsibilities and obligations in June 2011 to include program management, regulatory process management, management of the manufacturing forecasting and distribution processes, and new products planning and development.

In June 2010 the company was approached by the largest retailer in the world for the distribution and sale of Genstrip at over 5,000 retail stores worldwide. A contract with this retailer was negotiated in September 2010 and subsequently renegotiated and renewed in April 2011, and as soon as the retail contract was agreed to and as a means to conduct market research, the company began seeking pre-conditioned letters of intent (pre-orders) for Genstrip, while continuing the prosecution of the 510(k) application before the FDA. During this process it became clear that initial market interest in Genstrip outstripped the initially available manufacturing capacity. Thus the company quickly ended its pre-order initiative. Management is confident that there is a very large market available for Genstrip. Currently that market is dominated by four large pharmaceutical manufacturers who provide very similar and equally focused products, selling at essentially equal prices. Genstrip's introduction should not only allow the company to achieve market share but because of the business model to be employed by Genstrip is different than those models employed by the major market players, the company may be able to change the market, lowering average price or allowing for increased testing by diabetics for a lesser price, thereby affecting all market segments.

In June 2010 the company was approached by three large retailers for the distribution and sale of Genstrip. A contract with one retailer was negotiated in September 2010 and subsequently renegotiated and renewed in April 2011, and as soon as the retail contract was agreed to and as a means to conduct market research, the company began seeking

pre-conditioned letters of intent (pre-orders) for Genstrip, while continuing the prosecution of the 510(k) application before the FDA. During this process it became clear that initial market interest in Genstrip outstripped the initially available manufacturing capacity. Thus the company quickly ended its pre-order initiative. Management is confident that there is a very large market available for Genstrip. Currently that market is dominated by four large pharmaceutical manufacturers who provide very similar and equally focused products, collectively controlling over 83% of the total market, and selling at essentially equal prices. Genstrip's introduction should not only allow the company to achieve market share but because of the business model to be employed by Genstrip is different than those models employed by the major market players, the company may be able to change the market, lowering average price or allowing for increased testing by diabetics for a lesser price, thereby affecting all market segments. In late April 2013 the company launched a second version of Genstrip directed primarily toward the Medicare, medical benefit and pharmacy benefits segments of the market, a market segment where much is changing and the plans of many competitors are in flux.

We also offer information technology solutions in several medical care market channels by providing physicians with information at the point of care. Our products, unlike those from many other medical information companies, make use of smart cell phones such as the Apple iPhone, the Palm Pre, the Google Droid and a wide selection of Microsoft Windows based smart phones and operate in either in a wireless or wired mode, which allow physicians to carry, access and update their patients' histories, also known as electronic medical records or EMR, medication data, and best care guidelines - all at the point of care, or from any other location the physician may be located. In addition, the company's products employ proprietary mathematical game theory features adapted by the company for medical use that allow acceptance of diagnoses and treatment protocols where the medical information may have originated from one or several locations and one time or several times.

On February 26, 2010 we filed a full utility patent application, Management and Communications System and Method, Serial No. 13/034,639. The patent application covers one hundred four (104) separate processes and encompasses the method, system and apparatus of our software technology and the integration of our software technology into commercial computer networks through commercial smart cell phone devices. In September 2011, the USPTO published our patent application. In April 2011 the patent reached the prosecution stage with the USPTO. Recently we received the first letter from USPTO concerning claims made in our application. Given that our patent application lists a substantial number of claims, and that the company's technologies are truly unique, we felt it prudent to engage counsel to prosecute any of these claims against persons and entities that may have or will in the future breach our patent. The company has created an asset pool for the purpose of prosecuting any claims that may arise as a result of our patent approval. Claims prosecution is standard fare for high technology companies.

We have entered into nine partnerships with freestanding pharmacies and Durable Medical Goods distributors in the states of New York, Maryland, New Jersey, Texas and Arizona. We believe that we will be able to provide value added services to our customers by cost reductions brought about by increased efficiencies and cross marketing opportunities.

We have received multiple inquiries from companies interested in perhaps collaborating with the company for the implementation of its cell phone centric technologies MD@Hand and MD@Work. However, the market available for products similar to MD@Hand and MD@Work has changed since its introduction in 2009. The legal challenges to the new health care law and the federal government's inability to enact regulations have altered the landscape, again. We remain in discussions with multiple concerns for the marketing of our MD@ products, and any agreement we may enter will require us to provide contract software programming, providing a new source of revenue for the company. In addition to any proposed partnerships, we continue to discuss alternative propositions with other interested companies ranging from clinical laboratories, service organizations owned or aligned with medical health insurers, a medical content provider and legacy healthcare systems companies. There remains sustained interest in our MD@ products and technology. All of our discussions are with companies much larger than Decision Diagnostics. We may or may not entertain additional proposed partnerships for our implementation of the cell phone centric technologies, which has been hindered, as has the overall market, by the slow implementation of regulations, protocols and data formats by the Federal government, as well as a change in previously announced Federal government monetary incentives.

In May 2010, we entered into agreement with Shasta Technologies, Inc. and Broadtree, Inc. This agreement granted our Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. subsidiary the exclusive marketing rights to a new diagnostic product not yet on the market named Shasta Genstrip (Genstrip). The Genstrip product was developed to compete against the market leader in the \$20 billion at home testing market. However, it is clear that since the at-home testing market is a functioning oligopoly where four large pharmaceutical companies control over 83% market share, we de-facto compete with all four of the leading manufacturers of at-home testing products. In April 2011, the company renegotiated its agreement changing its many roles and adding responsibility for regulatory approval, manufacturing and forecasting, international sales and additional sales markets in the U.S.

We currently employ five full-time staff at our executive office located at 2660 Townsgate Road, Suite 300, Westlake Village, California 91361. In addition, we maintain two full-time and seven part-time positions between our distribution centers located in Florida, Arizona, California and Pennsylvania. The company is currently hiring pharmaceutical detail representatives and medical technology trained college interns across the country and three additional interns to work out of its California office. All of our positions existing, and newly listed, are for sales and marketing, distribution, product development and customer service representatives. Our telephone number is (805) 446-1973 and our website addresses are www.decisiondiagnostics.com, www.pharmatechdirect.com and www.shastagenstrip.com.

Business activities throughout the next twelve months:

The company's business on a day-to-day basis includes the distribution of prescription and non-prescription diagnostics, at-home testing, post-surgical products, and the sales and distribution of Shasta Genstrip. At the end of this 12-month period we plan to be selling two versions of Genstrip and (at the very end) a version of a second alternative product.

Beginning in November 2009, we introduced our cell-phone centric medical IT products that offer solutions in medical care and management by providing physicians with information at the point of care. Unlike other medical information systems using standard computer terminals or even palm-sized computers (PDA's), our software applications operate on a series of late generation smart e-cell phones including the Apple iPhone, the Palm Pre, the Google Droid, several makes of RIM's Blackberry and many versions of the Microsoft Windows smart phones. Our products allow physicians to access and update their patients' histories, medication data, and best care guidelines - all at the point of care. The company's Electronic Medical Records software is believed to be the first EMR application running on any palm sized mobile device. Recently we ported our software to run on a series of pad computers such as Apple iPad and the Droid powered pads.

Our business objectives include:

1.

The practice of specializing in the distribution of Shasta Genstrip and several brand-name medical diagnostic and medical disposable products associated with the on-going care of diabetes-inflicted patients, and the world-wide distribution of our new proprietary diagnostic product Shasta Genstrip.

2.

Combining our wholesale and retail drug distribution with our cell phone centric technologies, creating wholesale and retail ePharmacies similar in function to existing Internet pharmacies but directed to serving the large base of underinsured and uninsured Americans; and

3.

Providing medical communication and EMR medical history and storage devices based on networks of smart cell phones. These products are believed to provide benefits of on demand medical information to private practice physicians, licensed medical service providers such as diagnostic testing laboratories, and medical insurers. We have created cell phone-centric products and a suite of Internet enhanced software applications that include those features that specifically respond to the requirements of the practicing physician and the regulations currently being promulgated by the Federal government.

We also have adapted our medical communications and EMR technologies to service the real estate management and hotel/motel/convenience industries in their own commercial settings. In March 2010, our Board approved the sale of the company's hotel/motel technologies and business base so we can focus on our core medical IT and medical distribution businesses. In past years when we had market focus on the hotel/motel industry, our real estate and hotel/motel objectives include building electronic commerce networks based on personal digital assistants (PDA) and pad based computers to the hotels, motels and single building, multi-unit apartment buildings with a desire to offer local advertising and electronic services to their tenants/guests. We still continue to provide service to this market for the existing installed base.

Financing Requirements

At March 31, 2013, we had cash of \$310,486 and working capital of \$206,335. We anticipate that we will require \$56 million in trade debt financing to finance our expected first year sales of Genstrip. In March 2012 we renewed our agreement with Alpha Credit Resources to obtain this debt financing. We have not drawn on this line despite its renewal. We will continue to seek a combination of equity and long-term debt financing as well as other traditional cash flow and asset backed financing to meet our financing needs and to reduce our overall cost of capital. Additionally, in order to accelerate our growth rate and to finance general corporate activities, we may supplement our existing sources of funds with financing arrangements at the operating system level or through additional short-term

borrowings. As a further capital resource, we may sell or lease certain rights or assets from our portfolio as appropriate opportunities become available. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain any additional financing, on acceptable terms or at all.

Results of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 compared.

The following tables summarize selected items from the statement of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to 2012.

	For the Three Months Ended				3 Months	%Δ
	2013	March 31,	2012			
Revenue	\$	1,111,465	\$	2,506,380	(1,394,915)	(55.65)
Cost of sales		721,459		2,033,738	(1,312,279)	(64.53)
Gross profit		390,006		472,642	(82,636)	(17.48)
Expenses:						
General & administrative expenses		527,169		321,929	205,240	63.75
Consulting		590,581		107,556	483,025	449.09
Compensation expense		14,241		11,335	2,906	25.64
Professional fees		26,945		32,833	(5,888)	(17.93)
Total expenses		1,158,936		473,653	685,283	144.68
Net operating (loss)		(768,930)		(1,011)	(767,919)	75956.38
Other income (expense):						
Financing costs		-		36	(36)	100.00
Interest expense, net		150,425		86,797	63,628	(73.31)
Settlement expense		12,500		17,500	(5,000)	28.57
Total other income (expense)		162,925		104,333	58,592	(56.16)
Net (loss)	\$	(931,855)	\$	(105,344)	(826,511)	784.58

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements (including the notes thereto) included under Item 1 in this Form 10-Q.

Revenues and cost of sales

During the 1st quarter of 2013, we experienced a decline in revenue compared to the same period in the previous year. We attribute the decline in revenue to the phasing out of sales of those brand name diagnostic products that will directly compete with our new Shasta Genstrip. In addition, the overall at home testing market is being hindered by

the general poor economic conditions, longer payment cycles from insurers, and because the company's business model does not include the sale of retail brand-name products. These conditions have continued into the current year. Our decrease in cost of sales is primarily the direct result of our revenue decline. However, we were able to achieve an increase in our overall gross profit margin based on our re-negotiated wholesale pricing.

Operational Expenses

Operational expenses include general and administration expenses, compensation expense consulting and professional fees.

General and administration expenses include office expenses (including bad debt, rent, cleaning and maintenance, utilities, and telephone), insurance, and bank charges. During the 1st quarter of 2013, general and administration expenses increased by \$205,240 to \$527,169 (1st quarter 2012 - \$321,929). The increase was due primarily to bad debt expense recorded in the 1st quarter 2013 of \$467,200. General and administration expenses normally account for approximately 2% of our total revenue. As we experience growth in revenues, general and administration expenses are expected to decrease on a percentage of revenue basis.

Consulting expenses during the 1st quarter 2013 increased by \$483,025 to \$590,581 (1st quarter 2012 - \$107,556). This increase is attributable to expensing 1st quarter 2013 portion of the prepaid consulting expense from contracts issued in 4th quarter 2012.

Professional fees include accounting services, legal fees and regulatory reporting compliance. We anticipate our legal fees to continue until all ongoing litigation issues are resolved.

Other Income and Expense

Our other income and expense includes costs related to our financing activities, more specifically the interest expense associated with our line of credit with Alpha Credit Resources, LLC. (Alpha). Alpha has provided us a line of credit up to \$2,500,000. The interest rate of our line of credit is 24% per annum. Interest expense increased by \$68,157 to \$148,640 (1st quarter 2013 - \$80,483).

Net Income (Loss)

We recorded a net loss for the 1st quarter of 2013 of \$931,855 compared \$105,344 for the 1st quarter of 2012, representing a change of \$826,511.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

A critical component of our operating plan affecting our continued existence is the ability to obtain favorable capital through additional equity and/or debt financing. We do not anticipate generating sufficient positive internal operating cash flow until we can increase our existing market share and improve operating margins, which may take several years. In the event we cannot obtain the necessary capital to pursue our strategic plan, we may have to cease or significantly curtail our operations. This would materially impact our ability to continue operations.

The following table summarizes our current assets, liabilities and working capital at March 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012.

Edgar Filing: DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP - Form 10-Q

	MARCH 31, 2013	DECEMBER 31, 2012	INCREASE (DECREASE) \$	%
Current assets	\$ 4,069,976	\$ 4,021,055	\$ 48,921	1.22%
Current liabilities	3,863,641	3,119,816	743,825	23.84%
Working capital	\$ 206,335	\$ 901,239	\$ (694,904)	(77.11%)

Cash to Operating Activities

During the three months, ended March 31, 2013, operating activities used cash of \$166,843 compared to \$69,691 in 2012. Our loss for 2013 was \$931,855, and included bad debt write-downs of \$467,200. Our accounts receivables have increased by \$793,476 due to a slowdown in our revenue cycle. Prepaid expenses decreased by \$552,197 primarily due to expensing 1st quarter 2013 portion of the prepaid consulting expense. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities have increased by \$439,314 due to a slowdown in our revenue cycle.

Cash from Investing Activities

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, investing activities used cash of \$7,550.

Cash from Financing Activities

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, financing activities provided cash of \$399,501. Cash was provided by proceeds from notes payable of 155,000 and options exercised for cash of \$244,501.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our Chief Financial Officer, Keith Berman, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation, the Company's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer has concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is (1) recorded, processed, summarized and reported in a timely manner, and (2) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls

There has been no change in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Internal control systems, no matter how well designed and operated, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even a system, which is determined to be effective, cannot provide absolute assurance that all control issues have been detected or prevented. Our systems of internal controls are designed to provide reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We transact commerce in several medical products market channels. We also transact commerce by licensing our proprietary medical software that functions by moving confidential medical data through our proprietary medical information technology devices and networks. Our new Shasta Genstrip product required initial regulatory approval by the USFDA as well as on-going USFDA approvals during the product life cycle. Further, Shasta Genstrip required medical patient trials and will compete directly with a major platform manufacturer.

Healthcare, especially those segments where the company competes, is a very litigious. Competing companies often use litigation as a marketing tool, bringing litigation as a means to protect market share and limit market exposure. The medical industry is also intertwined. From time to time, we may become involved in claims and litigation that arise out of the normal course of business, such as litigation that emerges from disputes over damaged, missing or contaminated product, litigation that arises over payment disputes or claims of fair value. We may also become involved in disputes that arise over the business or business practices of our suppliers, payers and customers. It is not uncommon in our industry to find that a litigant has filed claims in multiple jurisdictions involving the same transaction or a single transaction. The company maintains substantial insurance coverage against suits that may arise over issues of damaged, recalled or counterfeit product and other product liability issues. The company has also been a victim of the unapproved acts of prior management. These acts have resulted in claims from individuals and entities since the Board relieved former management of duty in 2006. Nonetheless, these claims have resulted in the use of management time and company resources to investigate, litigate, or settle. In addition, the company accrues contingent legal fees and product liability fees. As of June 30, 2012, our accrual was \$278,000.

From time to time, the company may also be subject to demands from individuals or entities. These demands and disputes may consume management time and company resources. Other than as noted below, if there is such a disclosure, there are no pending matters at the current time that in management's judgment may be considered potentially material to us.

Matters concerning Lifescan Scotland, LLC , Lifescan, Inc. and Johnson and Johnson Inc. vs. Shasta Technologies LLC, InstaCare Corp. (now known as Decision Diagnostics Corp.), Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. et al.

On September 9, 2011, Lifescan Scotland, Ltd. (Lifescan) brought suit against Shasta Technologies, LLC (Shasta), InstaCare Corp. (now known as Decision Diagnostics Corp.), Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc., and Conductive Technologies, Inc. in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, Case # 5:11cv04494 (the Patent Case), alleging infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,708,247 and 6,241,862 and seeking injunctive relief and damages. InstaCare Corp. (now known as Decision Diagnostics Corp.) and Pharma Tech Solutions answered the complaint, denying all of its material allegations and asserting a number of affirmative defenses. On December 10, 2012, Lifescan amended its complaint to also allege infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,250,105. InstaCare Corp. (now known as Decision Diagnostics Corp.) and Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. are entitled to be indemnified by Shasta as additional insured s on Shasta s IP policy; the legal fees associated with our defense have been and are being paid by this policy. The companies also carry insurance and have demanded a defense from their own carriers. Since this suit remains unresolved, management intends to vigorously defend this lawsuit.

On December 14, 2012, Lifescan Inc. and its parent company (Johnson and Johnson, Inc.) filed suit against Shasta Technologies, LLC (Shasta), InstaCare Corp. (now known as Decision Diagnostics Corp.), Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc., and Conductive Technologies, Inc. in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, Case # 3:12cv06360 (the Trademark Case). This separate suit concerning all of the same parties as the Patent Case alleges Trademark Infringement under the federal Lanham Act. InstaCare Corp. (now known as Decision Diagnostics Corp.) and Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. have made a claim against their insurance policies for a defense, as has Shasta Technologies, LLC. Since this suit remains unresolved, management intends to vigorously defend this lawsuit.

On March 19, 2013, the trial judge in the Patent Case granted a motion brought by Plaintiffs for a Preliminary Injunction concerning the 105 patent. On March 22, 2013, Defendants filed their Notice of Appeal with the United States District Court, Northern District of California. And on March 25, 2013, Notice of Appeal was filed with the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in Washington, DC. On March 26, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit accepted the companies Notice as Case # 13-1271 and set an expedited briefing calendar that began on April 12, 2013. In addition, the companies filed motions in both the District and Appellate courts to stay the Preliminary Injunction, pending the outcome of the appeal. The United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in Washington, DC. The Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit granted this Motion on April 29, 2013.

Throughout the month of April 2013, Plaintiffs Lifescan (Johnson and Johnson, Inc.), through their trial counsel sent letters to the company s customers and to the customers of the company s customers (customers), that among other things threatened to bring suit against these parties should they purchase or continue to purchase the company s Genstrip product. The letters sent to these customers contained legal opinions by Plaintiff s counsel directed to customers and misrepresented the course of the litigation as well as providing a biased discussion omitting important factors. The sending of these letters continued after the initial action of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in Washington, DC. On May 3, 2012 the company brought a Motion for Contempt against Plaintiff Lifescan (Johnson and Johnson, Inc.) for among other things using documents provided during litigation Discovery

and marked as Highly Confidential and for Attorneys Eyes Only.

On March 28, 2013, InstaCare Corp. (now known as Decision Diagnostics Corp.) and PharmaTech Solutions, Inc. filed antitrust counterclaims against LifeScan, Inc. and LifeScan Scotland Ltd. (collectively, "LifeScan") in the Patent Case. These counterclaims assert violations of the Sherman Antitrust Act, which carry with them, if successful, awards of treble damages, attorneys' fees, and injunctive relief. Decision Diagnostics Corp. and Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. allege that the LifeScan parties, which are subsidiaries of pharmaceutical giant Johnson & Johnson, have violated both Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act. Section 1 makes illegal every "contract, combination ... or conspiracy in restraint of trade." Section 2 forbids monopolization and attempts to monopolize a product market. Decision Diagnostics Corp. and Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. allege in their counterclaims that both prongs of the Act have been violated, by among other things, LifeScan's instituting of baseless patent litigation against Decision Diagnostics Corp. and Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. intended to exclude the Shasta GenStrip from competing in a market dominated by LifeScan.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we issued 120,000 shares of our restricted common stock for the exercise of stock options. We believe that the issuance of the shares was exempt from the registration and prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 by virtue of Section 4(2). The recipient of the shares was afforded an opportunity for effective access to files and records of the Company that contained the relevant information needed to make its investment decision, including the Company's financial statements and 34 Act reports. We reasonably believe that the recipient, immediately prior to issuing the shares, had such knowledge and experience in our financial and business matters that it was capable of evaluating the merits and risks of its investment. The recipient had the opportunity to speak with our president and directors on several occasions prior to its investment decision.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED).

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are included with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q:

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer
32.1	

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP.

By: /s/Keith Berman
Keith Berman
Chief Financial Officer and a Director
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

Date: May 15, 2013