

CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC

Form 10-K

February 08, 2018

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 1-32731

CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

84-1219301
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form 10-K

1401 Wynkoop Street, Suite 500 Denver, CO 80202
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (303) 595-4000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|---------------------|---|
|---------------------|---|

| | |
|--|-------------------------|
| Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share | New York Stock Exchange |
|--|-------------------------|

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Large accelerated filer | Accelerated filer | Non-accelerated filer (do not check if a smaller reporting company) | Smaller reporting company | Emerging growth company |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form 10-K

As of June 30, 2017, the aggregate market value of the registrant's outstanding common equity held by non-affiliates was \$7.075 billion, based on the closing price of the registrant's common stock on such date, the last trading day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter. For purposes of this calculation, shares of common stock held by each executive officer and director and by holders of 5% or more of the outstanding common stock have been excluded since those persons may under certain circumstances be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

As of February 6, 2018, there were 27,930,272 shares of the registrant's common stock, par value of \$0.01 per share outstanding.

Table of Contents

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III incorporates certain information by reference from the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the 2018 annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed no later than 120 days after the close of the registrant's fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| PART I | |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u> | 3 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 9 |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 25 |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u> | 26 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 27 |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 27 |
| PART II | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 28 |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 30 |
| Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 31 |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 39 |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 40 |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 62 |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 62 |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u> | 64 |
| PART III | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 64 |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 64 |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 64 |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 64 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 65 |
| PART IV | |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 66 |
| Item 16. <u>Form 10-K Summary</u> | 67 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 68 |

Table of Contents

PART I

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This report includes statements of our expectations, intentions, plans and beliefs that constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and that are intended to come within the safe harbor protection provided by those sections. These statements, which involve risks and uncertainties, relate to the discussion of our business strategies and our expectations concerning future operations, margins, profitability, trends, liquidity and capital resources and to analyses and other information that are based on forecasts of future results and estimates of amounts not yet determinable. Forward-looking statements include, among others, forecasts of the number of restaurants we expect to open in 2018; statements regarding the effectiveness of our food safety systems and procedures; statements about the potential impact of catering and delivery offerings and technology initiatives; projections of comparable restaurant sales increases and sales trends we expect for 2018; forecasts of trends in general and administrative expenses, restaurant development costs, and other expenses for 2018; estimates of expected effective tax rates for the year; statements about possible repurchases of our common stock; projections of planned capital expenditures; and other statements of our expectations and plans. We have used words such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “expect,” “intend,” “plan,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “think,” “estimate,” “seek,” “expect,” “predict,” “could,” “project,” “potential” and other similar terms including references to assumptions, in this report to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are made based on expectations and beliefs concerning future events affecting us and are subject to risks and uncertainties relating to our operations and business environments, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control, that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those matters expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include those listed in Item 1A. “Risk Factors,” and elsewhere in this report.

When considering forward-looking statements in this report or that we make in other reports or statements, you should keep in mind the cautionary statements in this report and future reports we file with the SEC. New risks and uncertainties arise from time to time, and we cannot predict when they may arise or how they may affect us. We assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements after the date of this report as a result of new information, future events or other developments, except as required by applicable laws and regulations.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc., a Delaware corporation, together with its subsidiaries (“Chipotle”, “we”, “us”, or “our”) operates Chipotle Mexican Grill restaurants, which serve a focused menu of burritos, tacos, burrito bowls (a burrito without the tortilla) and salads, made using fresh ingredients. As of December 31, 2017, we operated 2,363 Chipotle restaurants throughout the United States, as well as 37 international Chipotle restaurants, and we also had eight non-Chipotle restaurants. We focus on finding fresh, high-quality raw ingredients to make great tasting food prepared using classic cooking methods; on building strong restaurant teams that are centered on providing an excellent guest experience; on building restaurants that are operationally efficient and aesthetically pleasing; and on doing all of this with the highest regard for the safety of our customers and with a continuing awareness of and respect for the environment. We have grown substantially over the past five years, and expect to open between 130 and 150 new restaurants in 2018, representing a slight reduction in our rate of new openings as we focus our resources on improving our operations and delivering an outstanding experience to every one of our guests.

Throughout our history, we have pursued a mission to change the way people think about and eat fast food. The fast food landscape has changed dramatically over Chipotle's 24-year history suggesting that we may have achieved this mission, with a number of concepts built using service and sourcing formats that closely resemble ours – with more selective sourcing, food prepared on-site, and a service model that allows customers to choose exactly what they eat. Looking at what we have accomplished, we have reenvisioned our purpose, and are working to Cultivate nourished communities where wholesome food is enjoyed every day. We are also aiming to simplify our business focus, to emphasize only those things that result in an excellent guest experience in our restaurants.

We transitioned the management of our restaurants from eleven to nine regions during the fourth quarter of 2017 and we aggregate our operations into one reportable segment. Financial information about our operations, including our revenues and net income for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, and our total assets as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, is included in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data." Substantially all of our revenues are generated and assets are located in the U.S. For a discussion of risks related to our international

Table of Contents

operations, see “Risks Related to Our Plans to Improve Our Sales and Profitability and Restore Our Economic Model – Our expansion into international markets has been limited, and may present increased risks due to lower customer awareness of our brand...” in Item 1A. “Risk Factors.”

Our Focus on Safe and Delicious Food Made with Better Ingredients

Focused Menu. Chipotle restaurants feature only a few entrée items: burritos, burrito bowls, tacos and salads. But because customers can choose from four different meats, tofu, two types of beans, and a variety of extras such as salsas, guacamole, queso, shredded cheese, and lettuce, there is enough variety to extend our menu to provide thousands of choices. In preparing our food, we employ classic cooking methods and use stoves and grills, pots and pans, cutting knives and other kitchen utensils, walk-in refrigerators stocked with a variety of fresh ingredients, herbs and spices, and dry goods such as rice. Our restaurants do not have microwaves or freezers. Ingredients we use include chicken, steak, carnitas (seasoned and braised pork), barbacoa (spicy braised and shredded beef), Sofritas (organic braised tofu) and vegetarian pinto and black beans. We add our rice, which is tossed with lime juice, freshly chopped cilantro, and a pinch of salt, as well as freshly shredded cheese, sour cream, lettuce, peppers and onions, to our entrees depending on each customer’s request. We use various herbs, spices and seasonings to prepare our meats and vegetables. We also serve tortilla chips seasoned with fresh lime juice and salt, with sides of guacamole, salsas, or queso. In addition to sodas, fruit and tea drinks, and organic milk, most of our restaurants also offer a selection of beer and margaritas. Our food is prepared from scratch, some in our restaurants and some with the same fresh ingredients in larger batches in commissaries.

Wholesome Food. Serving high quality food while still charging reasonable prices is critical to our purpose so that guests can enjoy wholesome food every day. We insist on preparing, cooking, and serving nutritious food made from natural ingredients and animals that are raised or grown with care and with respect for the environment. We spend time on farms and in the field to understand where our food comes from and how it is raised. Because our menu is so focused, we can concentrate on the sources of each ingredient, and this has become a cornerstone of our continuous effort to improve the food we serve. We’re all about simple, fresh food without the use of artificial colors or flavors typically found in fast food—just genuine raw ingredients and their individual, delectable flavors.

In all of our Chipotle restaurants, we endeavor to serve only meats that were raised in accordance with criteria we have established in an effort to improve sustainability and promote animal welfare, and without the use of non-therapeutic antibiotics or added hormones. We brand these meats as “Responsibly Raised®.” One of our primary goals is for all of our restaurants to serve meats raised to meet our standards, but we have and will continue to face challenges in doing so. For example, some of our restaurants periodically serve conventionally raised chicken or beef from time to time due to supply constraints for our Responsibly Raised brand meats. In the future, more of our restaurants may periodically serve conventionally raised meats or stop serving one or more menu items due to additional supply constraints. When we become aware that one or more of our restaurants will serve conventionally raised meat, we clearly and specifically disclose this temporary change on signage in each affected restaurant so that customers can avoid those meats if they choose to do so.

We also seek to use more responsibly grown produce, by which we mean produce grown by suppliers whose practices conform to our priorities with respect to environmental considerations and employee welfare. Most of the beans we serve are organically grown or grown using conservation tillage methods that improve soil conditions, reduce erosion, and help preserve the environment in which the beans are grown. A portion of some of the other produce items we serve is organically grown as well. Our commitment to better ingredients also extends to the dairy products we serve. In 2017, all of the sour cream and shredded cheese served in our U.S. Chipotle restaurants was made with milk that comes from cows not given rBGH (recombinant bovine growth hormone) and sourced from pasture-based dairies that

provide an even higher standard of animal welfare by providing outdoor access for their cows.

In addition, none of the ingredients in our food (not including beverages) in U.S. Chipotle restaurants contain genetically modified organisms, or GMOs. While the meat and poultry we serve is not genetically modified, the animals are likely fed a diet of grains containing GMOs. Due to the prevalence of GMOs in a number of important feed crops, the vast majority of the grains used as animal feed in the U.S. are genetically modified. Additionally, some of the beverages we serve are sweetened with corn-based sweeteners, which are typically made with genetically modified corn.

Close Relationships with Suppliers. Maintaining the high levels of quality and safety we expect in our restaurants depends in part on our ability to acquire high-quality, fresh ingredients and other necessary supplies that meet our specifications from reliable suppliers. Our 24 independently owned and operated regional distribution centers purchase from various suppliers we carefully select based on quality and the suppliers' understanding of our mission. We work closely with our suppliers and seek to develop mutually beneficial long-term relationships with them. We use a mix of forward, fixed and formula pricing protocols, and our distribution centers purchase within the pricing guidelines and protocols we have established with the suppliers. We've also tried to increase, where practical, the number of suppliers for our ingredients, which we believe can help mitigate pricing volatility and supply shortages, and we follow industry news, trade tariffs and other issues, weather, exchange rates, foreign demand, crises and other world

Table of Contents

events that may affect our ingredient prices. Certain key ingredients (including beef, pork, chicken, beans, rice, sour cream, cheese, and tortillas) are purchased from a small number of suppliers. For a discussion of risks related to our supply chain, see “Risks Related to Operating in the Restaurant Industry – Failure to receive frequent deliveries of higher-quality food ingredients and other supplies meeting our specifications could harm our operations” and “Risks Related to our Unique Business Strategy – Our Food With Integrity philosophy subjects us to risks” in Item 1A. “Risk Factors.”

Quality Assurance and Food Safety. We are committed to serving safe, high quality food. Our Executive Director of Food Safety, a respected expert in the industry, oversees our food safety programs and practices, components of which include:

- supplier interventions (steps to avoid food safety risks before ingredients reach Chipotle);
- advanced technology (tools that eliminate pathogens while maintaining food quality);
- farmer support and training;
- enhanced restaurant procedures (protocols for handling ingredients and sanitizing surfaces in our restaurants);
- food safety certification;
- internal and third party restaurant inspections; and
- ingredient traceability.

These and other food safety practices underscore our commitment to becoming a leader in food safety while we continue to serve high quality food that our customers love. Our Executive Director of Food Safety directs a quality assurance department that establishes and monitors our quality and food safety programs, and works closely with our suppliers to ensure our high standards are met throughout the supply chain. We maintain a limited list of approved suppliers, many of which are among the top suppliers in the industry. In addition, our training, operations, and risk management departments develop and implement operating standards for food quality, preparation, cleanliness, employee health protocols, and safety in the restaurants. Our food safety programs are also intended to ensure that we not only continue to comply with applicable federal, state and local food safety regulations, but establish Chipotle as an industry leader in food safety.

To be sure that our food safety programs continue to evolve in ways that will help maintain leadership in this important area, we have a Food Safety Advisory Council comprised of some of the nation’s foremost food safety authorities. The Food Safety Advisory Council is charged with evaluating our programs, both in practice and implementation, and advising us on ways to elevate our already high standards for food safety.

Delivering an Excellent Guest Experience

We believe there is nothing more important than treating our guests to an excellent experience every time they visit one of our restaurants, and expect that doing so will help us attract customers more frequently and engender greater customer loyalty. We have also renewed our commitment to focusing on our restaurant operations and training to elevate the experience we are providing, and ensuring greater consistency throughout all of our restaurants. Creating an excellent guest experience starts with hiring great people, creating great teams, and training them on our high standards. We have re-tooled our restaurant compensation systems to place greater emphasis on the strength of operations and the guest experience, and revamped our training programs to better support these priorities.

Restaurant Team. Each restaurant typically has a general manager or Restaurateur (a high-performing general manager), an apprentice manager (in a majority of our restaurants), and we aim to have two or three hourly service managers, one or two hourly kitchen managers and an average of 22 full and part-time crew members, though our busier restaurants tend to have slightly more employees. We generally have two shifts at our restaurants, which simplifies scheduling and provides stability for our employees. We also cross-train our people so that each can work a variety of stations, allowing us to work efficiently during our busiest times, while giving our people the opportunity to develop a wider array of skills. Consistent with our emphasis on customer service, we encourage our general managers and crew members to welcome and interact with customers throughout the day. In addition to the employees serving our customers at each restaurant, we also have a field support system that includes field leaders and team directors, as well as executive team directors who report to our Chief Restaurant Officer.

Innovation. We are prioritizing the development of technological and other innovations, such as digital/mobile ordering platforms, and delivery and catering choices, that allow our guests to engage with us in whatever fashion is most convenient for them. By allowing our customers to order and receive their food in a variety of ways, we believe we can attract more customers and encourage customers to choose us more frequently. In order to successfully deliver a great experience for more customers, we are

Table of Contents

emphasizing the optimization of second make lines and expanding the ability to pay using Apple Pay or Android Pay. These initiatives allow us to fulfill catering or online orders without disrupting throughput on our main service line. In fact, technological innovations can enhance the experience of other guests by helping to improve throughput for those who choose to dine in our restaurants. Recent digital ordering innovations have allowed us to increase digital order volumes to the highest levels we've ever achieved, and we believe continued improvements in these areas will allow us to achieve even better results. Additionally, we have enhanced our data capabilities to allow us to better identify individual customers and their unique frequency patterns, and to target our marketing and promotional efforts at the individual level. We believe the advancements we have made in this area will help us as we continue to target lapsed customers, and seek to build frequency among newer customers.

Marketing

Our marketing program is divided into three categories: top-of-mind advertising, brand advertising and local marketing. Each of these serves a different purpose, but together they are intended to differentiate us from the competition. Top-of-mind advertising is intended to keep current and new customers coming into our restaurants; brand advertising is directed at existing customers and seeks to build deeper connections to our brand; and local advertising aims to help connect our restaurants to local communities and the customers who live there.

Our top-of-mind advertising has generally included print, outdoor, social, digital and radio advertising, but we have also incorporated some national television advertising. Beyond these traditional channels, we continue to pioneer new avenues of brand advertising aimed at making consumers more curious about some of the issues that are important to us, and explaining why and how we are working to drive positive change in the nation's food supply. We also have a dedicated team of field marketing staff that helps connect our restaurants to local communities through fundraisers, sponsorships and participation in local events.

Alongside our restaurant teams, these efforts have helped us create considerable word-of-mouth publicity as our customers learn more about us and share with others. This approach allows us to build awareness and loyalty with relatively low advertising expenditures, even in a competitive category, and to differentiate Chipotle as a company that is committed to doing the right thing in every facet of our business.

For a discussion of risks related to our marketing, see "Risks Related to Our Plans to Improve Our Sales and Profitability and Restore Our Economic Model – Our marketing and advertising strategies may not be successful, or may pose risks that could adversely impact our business" in Item 1A. "Risk Factors."

Competition

The fast-casual, quick-service, and casual dining segments of the restaurant industry are highly competitive with respect to, among other things, taste, price, food quality and presentation, service, location, brand reputation, and the ambience and condition of each restaurant. Our competition includes a variety of restaurants in each of these segments, including locally-owned restaurants and national and regional chains. Many of our competitors offer dine-in, carry-out, online, catering, and delivery services. Among our main competitors are a number of multi-unit, multi-market Mexican food or burrito restaurant concepts, some of which are expanding nationally. In recent years, competition has increased significantly from restaurant formats like ours that serve higher quality food, quickly and at a reasonable price.

Moreover, we may also compete with companies outside the fast-casual, quick-service, and casual dining segments of the restaurant industry. For example, competitive pressures can come from deli sections and in-store cafés of major

grocery store chains, including those targeted at customers who seek higher-quality food, as well as from convenience stores, cafeterias, and other dining outlets. Meal kit delivery companies and other eat-at-home options also present some degree of competition for our restaurants.

We believe that this competition has made it more challenging to maintain or increase the frequency of customer visits, but continue to believe that we can differentiate ourselves with our purpose to cultivate nourished communities where wholesome food is enjoyed every day. For more information, see “Risks Related to Operating in the Restaurant Industry—Competition could adversely affect us” in Item 1A. “Risk Factors.” We also compete with other restaurants and retail establishments for site locations and restaurant employees.

Restaurant Site Selection

We believe restaurant site selection is critical to our success and growth strategy and thus we devote substantial time and effort to evaluating each potential restaurant location. Our site selection process is led by our internal team of real estate managers and also includes the use of external real estate brokers with expertise in specific markets, as well as support from an internal real estate strategy and research group. We study the surrounding trade area, demographic and business information within that area, and

Table of Contents

available information on competitors and other restaurants. Based on this analysis, including utilization of predictive modeling using proprietary formulas, we determine projected sales and targeted return on investment for each potential restaurant site. We have been successful in a number of different types of locations, such as in-line or end-cap locations in strip or power centers, in regional malls and downtown business districts, free-standing buildings, food courts, outlet centers, airports, military bases and train stations.

For a discussion of risks related to our expansion into new real estate types, see “Risks Related to Our Plans to Improve Our Sales and Profitability and Restore Our Economic Model – Our new restaurants, once opened, may not be profitable, and may adversely impact the sales of our existing restaurants” in Item 1A. “Risk Factors.”

Other Restaurant Concepts

We believe that the fundamental principles on which our restaurants are based – finding better ingredients, preparing them using classic techniques in front of the customer, and serving them in an interactive format with great teams dedicated to providing an excellent dining experience – can be adapted to cuisines other than the food served at Chipotle. Over the previous six years, we’ve explored this idea by investing in innovative concepts such as Pizzeria Locale, a fast-casual pizza restaurant that now has seven restaurants in four states, and Tasty Made, a burger restaurant we opened in Lancaster, Ohio. We also previously operated ShopHouse Southeast Asian Kitchen restaurants, but closed all of the ShopHouse locations in early 2017. In 2018, our focus will remain on thoughtfully growing the Chipotle brand.

Information Systems

We use a variety of applications and systems to securely manage the flow of information within each of our restaurants, and within our centralized corporate infrastructure. The services available within our systems and applications include restaurant operations, supply chain, inventory, scheduling, training, human capital management, financial tools, and data protection services. The restaurant structure is based primarily on a point-of-sale system that operates locally at the restaurant and is integrated with other functions necessary to restaurant operations. It records sales transactions, receives out of store orders, and authorizes, batches, and transmits credit card transactions. The system also allows employees to enter time clock information and to produce a variety of management reports. Select information that is captured from this system at each restaurant is collected in the central corporate infrastructure, which enables management to continually monitor operating results. Our digital ordering system allows guests to place orders online or through our mobile app. Orders taken remotely are routed to the point-of-sales system based on the time of customer order pickup. We also continue to modernize and make investments in our information technology networks and infrastructure, specifically in our physical and technological security measures to anticipate cyber-attacks and prevent breaches, and to provide improved control, security and scalability. Enhancing the security of our financial data, customer information and other personal information remains a priority for us.

In April 2017, our information security team detected unauthorized activity on the network that supports payment processing for our restaurants, and immediately began an investigation with the help of leading computer security firms. The investigation detected malware designed to access payment card data from cards used at the point-of-sale system at most of our restaurants. The malware searched for track data, which may include cardholder name, card number, expiration date, and internal verification codes; however, no other customer information was affected. We removed the malware from our systems and have been working to further enhance the security of our payment card network.

See “General Business Risks—We may be harmed by security risks we face in connection with our electronic processing and transmission of confidential customer and employee information” in Item 1A. “Risk Factors,” as well as Note 10. “Commitments and Contingencies” in Item 8. “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data,” for further discussion of the payment card security incident in 2017, related legal proceedings, and other risks associated with our information systems.

Employees

As of December 31, 2017, we had about 68,890 employees, including about 5,020 salaried employees and about 63,870 hourly employees. None of our employees are unionized or covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

Seasonality

Seasonal factors influencing our business are described under the heading “Quarterly Financial Data/Seasonality” in Item 7. “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

7

Table of Contents

Our Intellectual Property and Trademarks

“Chipotle,” “Chipotle Mexican Grill,” “Food With Integrity,” “Responsibly Raised,” and a number of other marks and related designs and logos are U.S. registered trademarks of Chipotle. We have filed trademark applications for a number of additional marks in the U.S. as well. In addition to our U.S. registrations, we have registered trademarks for “Chipotle” and a number of other marks in Canada, the European Union and various other countries, and have filed trademark applications for “Chipotle Mexican Grill,” “Chipotle” and a number of other marks in additional countries. We also believe that the design of our restaurants is our proprietary trade dress and have registered elements of our restaurant design for trade dress protection in the U.S. as well.

From time to time we have taken action against other restaurants that we believe are misappropriating our trademarks, restaurant designs or advertising. Although our policy is to protect and defend vigorously our rights to our intellectual property, we may not be able to adequately protect our intellectual property, which could harm the value of our brand and adversely affect our business.

Available Information

We maintain a website at www.chipotle.com, including an investor relations section at ir.chipotle.com in which we routinely post important information, such as webcasts of quarterly earnings calls and other investor events in which we participate or host, and any related materials. Our Code of Conduct is also available in this section of our website. You may access our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports, as well as other reports relating to us that are filed with or furnished to the SEC, free of charge in the investor relations section of our website as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. The public may also read and copy materials we file with the SEC at the SEC’s Public Reference Room, which is located at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You can obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a website that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC at www.sec.gov.

The contents of the websites mentioned above are not incorporated into and should not be considered a part of this report. The references to the URLs for these websites are intended to be inactive textual references only.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A.RISK FACTORS

The following risk factors could materially affect our business, financial condition and results of operations, and should be carefully considered in evaluating our business or making an investment decision involving our common stock. The risks and uncertainties described below are those that we have identified as material, but are not the only risks and uncertainties we face. Our business is also subject to general risks and uncertainties that affect many other companies, including overall economic and industry conditions. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently believe are not material also may materially affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to our Plans to Improve Our Sales and Profitability and Restore our Economic Model

Our average restaurant sales and profitability will continue to fall short of our past results unless we can significantly increase comparable restaurant sales, and there are material risks to our ability to do so.

In 2016 we experienced lower total company sales than the preceding year for the first time in our history as a public company, and our average restaurant volumes declined from \$2.532 million as of September 30, 2015 to \$1.940 million as of December 31, 2017. To build our average restaurant sales we will need to increase comparable restaurant sales, which represent the change in period-over-period sales for restaurants beginning in their 13th full calendar month of operation. Changes in comparable restaurant sales are a critical factor affecting our profitability, because the profit margin on incremental comparable restaurant sales is generally higher due to the sales increases being applied against a partially fixed cost base. Conversely, declines in comparable restaurant sales, as we have seen in some periods over the past two years, have a significant adverse effect on profitability due to the loss of the positive impact on profit margins associated with comparable restaurant sales increases, while we continue to incur a certain level of fixed costs.

Our ability to increase comparable restaurant sales depends on many factors, including:

perceptions of the Chipotle brand and the safety and quality of our food, which may continue to be adversely impacted by actual or rumored food safety incidents or other adverse publicity, including as described below under “—We may continue to be negatively impacted by food safety incidents associated with our restaurants...”; competition, especially from an increasing number of competitors in the fast casual segment of the restaurant industry and from other restaurant concepts whose strategies overlap with elements of our Food With Integrity philosophy, as well as from grocery stores, meal kit delivery services and other dining options; our ability to increase menu prices without adversely impacting transaction counts to such a degree that the impact from lower transactions equals or exceeds the benefit of the menu price increase, and without “trade down” by customers or other reductions in average check in response to price increases; executing our strategies effectively, including our marketing and branding strategies, our initiatives to expand the use of online and other digital ordering and increase sales from our catering options, our efforts to improve the overall quality of our customers’ experience and increase the speed at which our crews serve each customer, and our potential introduction of new menu items, each of which we may not be able to accomplish or which may not have the impact we expect;

changes in consumer preferences and discretionary spending, including weaker consumer spending during periods of economic difficulty or uncertainty;
initial sales performance of new restaurants, and the impact of new Chipotle restaurants in the event customers who frequent one of our restaurants begin to visit one of our new restaurants instead, as further described below under “—Our new restaurants, once opened, may not be profitable...”;
weather, natural disasters and other factors limiting access to our restaurants; and
changes in government regulation that may impact customer perceptions of our food, including initiatives regarding menu labeling and marketing claims about the origin or makeup of some of the ingredients we serve.

These factors, most of which are described in more detail in additional Risk Factors below, are beyond our control to at least some degree. As a result, it is possible that we will experience future declines in comparable restaurant sales or that we otherwise will not achieve our targeted or expected comparable restaurant sales. Any future declines in comparable restaurant sales or failure to meet

Table of Contents

market expectations for comparable restaurant sales increases would likely result in a significant adverse impact on the price of our common stock.

Increasing our sales and profits depends in part on our ability to open new restaurants in sites and on terms attractive to us, which is subject to many unpredictable factors, and we plan to open fewer restaurants in 2018 than we have in prior years, which will adversely impact our sales growth.

We had 2,408 restaurants in operation as of December 31, 2017, and we plan to increase the number of our restaurants significantly. In 2018 we plan to open between 130 and 150 new restaurants, significantly fewer than in prior years. We have in the past experienced delays in opening some restaurants and that could happen again as a result of any one or more of the following factors:

our potential inability to locate and secure new restaurant sites in locations that we believe to be attractive;
obstacles to hiring and training top performing employees in the local market;
difficulty managing construction and development costs of new restaurants, particularly in competitive markets or when real estate development activity is robust;
delay or cancellation of new site development by developers and landlords, which may become increasingly common during periods of economic uncertainty, tight credit, and/or rising interest rates;
difficulty ramping up the growth of our international business or new restaurant concepts, including for the reasons described below under “—Our expansion into international markets has been limited, and may present increased risks ...” and “—Pizzeria Locale, Tasty Made and other new restaurant concepts may not contribute to our growth”;
difficulty negotiating leases with acceptable terms;
any shortages of construction labor or materials;
failures or delays in securing required governmental approvals (including construction, parking and other permits);
lack of availability of, or inability to obtain, adequate supplies of ingredients that meet our quality standards; and
the impact of inclement weather, natural disasters and other calamities.

One of our biggest challenges in opening new restaurants is staffing and training new restaurant teams. We seek to hire only top performing employees, train them extensively in order to ensure we provide an outstanding customer experience, and promote many general managers from our crew, all of which may make it more difficult for us to staff all the restaurants we intend to open. Constraints on our hiring new employees are described further below under “Risks Related to Operating in the Restaurant Industry—Our business could be adversely affected by increased labor costs...”

Another significant challenge is locating and securing an adequate supply of suitable new restaurant sites. Competition for restaurant sites in our target markets can be intense, and development and leasing costs are increasing, particularly for urban locations. These factors could negatively impact our ability to manage our occupancy costs, which may adversely impact our profitability. In addition, any of these factors may be exacerbated by economic factors, which may result in developers and contractors seeing increased demand and therefore driving our construction and leasing costs up.

If we are unable to open the number of new restaurants we plan, or if we decide to continue opening fewer new restaurants than we have in past years or delay or forego a significant number of planned restaurant openings,

including due to any of the reasons set forth above, this could materially and adversely affect our growth strategy and our expected results. Moreover, as we open and operate more restaurants, our rate of expansion relative to the size of our existing restaurant base will decline, making it increasingly difficult to achieve levels of sales and profitability growth that we achieved prior to 2016. We expect this effect to be more pronounced through at least 2018, given our plan to decrease the number of new restaurants we open during the year as compared to years past.

Our progress in opening new restaurants from quarter to quarter may also occur at an uneven rate, which may result in quarterly sales and profit growth falling short of market expectations in some periods.

10

Table of Contents

Our new restaurants, once opened, may not be profitable, and may adversely impact the sales of our existing restaurants.

Historically, many of our new restaurants have opened with an initial ramp-up period typically lasting 24 months or more, during which they generate sales and income below the levels at which we expect them to normalize after the restaurant has built a customer base, and during which costs may be higher as we train new employees and adjust our food deliveries and preparation to sales volumes and peak-hour trends. If we are unable to build the customer base that we expect for new restaurant locations or overcome the higher fixed costs associated with new restaurant locations, new restaurants may not have results similar to those of our existing restaurants and may not be profitable. Our new restaurant sales volumes since the fourth quarter of 2015 have also been negatively impacted by the food safety issues described elsewhere in this report and other adverse publicity, and as a result, the effect of new restaurants on our average restaurant sales over the past two years has been of greater magnitude than we have seen in the past. This trend may continue into 2018 and beyond.

We have also opened restaurants in nearly all major metropolitan areas across the U.S. New restaurants opened in existing markets may adversely impact sales in previously-opened restaurants in the same market, as customers who frequent our established restaurants begin to visit a newly-opened restaurant instead. This impact could worsen as we open additional restaurants, and could make it more difficult for us to increase comparable restaurant sales and profitability. Existing restaurants could also make it more difficult to build the customer base for newly-opened restaurants in the same market, and could limit our growth potential if we determine that one or more of our nearby restaurants makes an otherwise viable new restaurant site unattractive to us.

In addition, in the event we are not able to contain increases in our average restaurant development costs, which could result from inflation, an increase in the proportion of higher cost locations, project mismanagement or other reasons, our new restaurant locations could also result in lower returns on our investment in new restaurants.

Finally, our new restaurant development activity has broadened recently to incorporate trade areas or types of restaurant sites in which we have little or no prior experience, including smaller or more economically mixed communities, highway sites, outlet centers, and restaurants in airports, food courts, or on military sites. These types of sites may become more important to our restaurant growth strategy as we find fewer opportunities to open in traditional sites, given our past growth. Many of these site types may involve additional costs that we do not incur in our more traditional restaurant sites, which will adversely impact the profitability of restaurants in these types of sites. The risks related to building a customer base and managing development and operating costs in some or all of these types of trade areas or restaurant sites may also be more significant than in our traditional sites, which could result in unexpected negative impacts on our new restaurant operating results.

We may continue to be negatively impacted by food safety incidents, and further instances of food-borne or localized illnesses associated with our restaurants would result in increased negative publicity and further adverse impacts on customer perceptions of our brand.

During late October and early November 2015, illnesses caused by E. coli bacteria were connected to a number of our restaurants, initially in Washington and Oregon, and subsequently to small numbers of our restaurants in as many as 12 other states. During the week of December 7, 2015, an unrelated incident involving norovirus was reported at a Chipotle restaurant in Brighton, Massachusetts, which worsened the adverse financial and operating impacts we experienced from the E. coli incident. As a result of these incidents and related publicity, our sales and profitability were severely impacted throughout 2016. In July 2017, cases of norovirus associated with a Chipotle restaurant in Sterling, Virginia had a further adverse impact on our sales, particularly throughout the mid-Atlantic and Northeast

regions. The significant amount of media coverage regarding these incidents, as well as the impact of social media (which was not in existence during many past food safety incidents involving other restaurant chains) in increasing the awareness of these incidents, may continue to negatively impact customer perceptions of our restaurants and brand, notwithstanding the high volume of food-borne illness cases from other sources across the country every day. As a result our sales may not return to levels we were achieving prior to late 2015.

Table of Contents

Because of customer perceptions in the wake of these food safety incidents, any future occurrence of food-borne illness associated with our restaurants—even incidents that may be considered minor at other restaurants—would likely have an even more significant negative impact on our sales and our ability to regain customers. Although we have followed industry standard food safety protocols in the past, and over the past two years have enhanced our food safety procedures to ensure that our food is as safe as it can possibly be, we may still be at a higher risk for food-borne illness occurrences than some competitors due to our greater use of fresh, unprocessed produce and meats, our reliance on employees cooking with traditional methods rather than automation, and our avoiding frozen ingredients. Additionally, no food safety protocols can completely eliminate the risk of food-borne illness in any restaurant, including as a result of possible failures by suppliers or restaurant personnel to follow food safety policies and procedures. As a result, our enhanced food safety protocols may not be successful in preventing illness incidents in the future. The risk of illnesses associated with our food might also increase in connection with an expansion of our catering business or other situations in which our food is served in conditions we cannot control. Furthermore, we have seen instances of unsubstantiated reports linking illnesses to Chipotle, and these reports have negatively impacted us. Even if food-borne illnesses are attributed to us erroneously or arise from conditions outside of our control, the negative impact from any such illnesses is likely to be significant. All of these factors could have a further impact on our ability to attract and retain customers.

Our marketing and advertising strategies may not be successful, or may pose risks that could adversely impact our business.

In 2017, we hired a new advertising agency and media buyer, introduced a new advertising campaign and media strategies, including television advertising, and introduced queso, our first significant new menu item in a number of years. We will continue to invest in marketing and advertising strategies that we believe will attract customers or increase their connection with our brand. If these investments do not drive increased restaurant sales, the expense associated with these programs will adversely impact our financial results, and we may not generate the levels of comparable restaurant sales we expect. Additionally, if our marketing and advertising strategies are not successful, we may be forced to engage in additional promotional activities to attract and retain customers, including buy-one get-one offers and other offers for free or discounted food, and any such promotional activities could adversely impact our profitability.

We also plan to continue to emphasize strategies such as remote ordering, new catering options, and delivery in an effort to increase overall sales. These efforts may not increase our sales to the degree we expect, or at all. We may also seek to introduce new menu items that may not generate the sales we expect. Catering and other out-of-restaurant sales options, or new menu items, may also introduce new operating procedures to our restaurants and we may not successfully execute these procedures, which could adversely impact the customer experience in our restaurants and thereby harm our sales and customer perceptions of our brand.

In addition, some of our marketing has incorporated elements intended to encourage customers to question sources or production methods commonly used to produce food. These elements of our marketing could alienate food suppliers and other food industry groups and may potentially lead to an increased risk of disputes or litigation if suppliers or other constituencies believe our marketing is unfair or misleading. Increased costs in connection with any such issues, or any deterioration in our relationships with existing suppliers, could adversely impact us or our reputation. Furthermore, if these messages do not resonate with our customers or potential customers, the value of our brand may be eroded.

Our expansion into international markets has been limited, and may present increased risks due to lower customer awareness of our brand, our unfamiliarity with those markets and other factors.

As of December 31, 2017, 37 of our restaurants were located outside of the U.S., with 24 in Canada, six in the United Kingdom, six in France and one in Frankfurt, Germany. Our focus for the present time remains on expanding in North America, which limits our near-term growth potential.

As a result of our small number of restaurants outside the U.S. and the relatively short time we have been operating those restaurants, we have lower brand awareness and less operating experience in these markets, and our average restaurant sales and/or transaction counts may be lower in these markets than in the U.S. The markets in which we've opened restaurants outside the U.S., and any additional new markets we enter outside the U.S. in the future, have different competitive conditions, consumer tastes and discretionary spending patterns than our U.S. markets. As a result, new restaurants outside the U.S. may be less successful than restaurants in our existing markets. Specifically, due to lower consumer familiarity with the Chipotle brand, differences in customer tastes or spending patterns, or for other reasons, sales at restaurants opened outside the U.S. may take longer to ramp up and reach expected sales and profit levels, and may never do so, thereby affecting our overall growth and profitability. To build brand awareness in international markets, we may need to make greater investments in advertising and promotional activity than we originally planned, which could negatively impact the profitability of our operations in those markets.

We may also find it more difficult in international markets to hire, train and keep top performing employees who can successfully deliver excellent customer experiences, and labor costs may be higher in international markets due to increased

Table of Contents

regulation, higher employment taxes or social benefit costs or local market conditions. In addition, restaurants outside the U.S. have had higher construction, occupancy and food costs than restaurants in existing markets, and we may have difficulty finding reliable suppliers or distributors or ones that can provide us, either initially or over time, with adequate supplies of ingredients meeting our quality standards. Additional costs or difficulties from any of the foregoing factors may adversely impact the operating results of our international markets. Markets outside the U.S. may also have regulatory differences with the U.S. with which we are not familiar, or that subject us to significant additional expense or to which we are not able to successfully adapt, which may have a particularly adverse impact on our sales or profitability in those markets and could adversely impact our overall results. For example, a new privacy regulation in the European Union called the General Data Protection Regulation, or GDPR, is scheduled to become effective in May 2018 and requires companies to meet new requirements regarding the handling of personal data, and failure to meet GDPR requirements could result in penalties of up to 4% of our worldwide revenue. Our overall results may also be negatively affected by currency risk on the transactions in other currencies and translation adjustments resulting from the conversion of our international financial results into the U.S. dollar.

Pizzeria Locale, Tasty Made and other new restaurant concepts may not contribute to our growth.

We believe that the fundamental principles on which our restaurants are based – finding better ingredients, preparing them using classic techniques in front of the customer, and serving them in an interactive format with great teams dedicated to providing an excellent dining experience – can be adapted to cuisines other than the food served at Chipotle. In order to see how our model works when we use different ingredients and a different style of food, we opened a number of ShopHouse Southeast Asian Kitchen restaurants beginning in 2011, and one Tasty Made burger restaurant in Ohio in 2016. We enlisted an equity partner to help us refine the Tasty Made brand in 2017. We also have a majority ownership interest in a company operating seven fast casual Pizzeria Locale restaurants in Denver, Colorado, Kansas City, Missouri and Cincinnati, Ohio, and we plan to assist with the further expansion of Pizzeria Locale in the future. ShopHouse was not able to achieve a level of sales and profitability that made it attractive to us for future investment, and we recognized a \$14.5 million non-cash impairment charge, representing substantially all of the value of long-lived assets of ShopHouse, during the year ended December 31, 2016, and closed all of the ShopHouse locations in the first half of 2017. Furthermore, Pizzeria Locale and Tasty Made are new brands and have lower brand awareness, lower sales and less operating experience than most Chipotle restaurants, and may also not achieve restaurant economics that make them attractive for further investment in the future. These concepts also operate in markets in which there are numerous competitors, including a number of large and well-known brands, and a number of other companies or individuals in the restaurant industry have recently opened or invested in fast-casual pizza concepts or so-called “better burger” restaurants.

Notwithstanding our growth plans for Tasty Made, our investment in Pizzeria Locale, and exploration of other restaurant brand opportunities, our immediate focus will remain on thoughtfully growing the Chipotle brand. As a result, we do not expect Pizzeria Locale, Tasty Made or other concepts to contribute to our growth in a meaningful way for at least the next several years. We may also determine not to move forward with any further expansion of Tasty Made or Pizzeria Locale. These decisions would each limit our overall growth potential over the long term as well. Additionally, the expansion of Tasty Made or Pizzeria Locale or investments in other restaurant concepts each might distract our management, which could have an adverse impact on our core Chipotle business.

Our failure to manage our restaurant growth effectively could harm our business and operating results.

As described elsewhere in this report, our plans call for a significant number of new restaurants. Our existing restaurant management systems, financial and management controls, information systems and personnel may be inadequate to support our expansion, and managing our growth effectively will require us to continue to enhance these

systems, procedures and controls, as well as to hire, train and retain general managers, crew and corporate staff. We also are continuing to attempt to improve our field management in an effort to improve restaurant operations and develop additional top performing general managers more quickly. We may not respond quickly enough to the changing demands that our restaurant growth imposes on management, crew and existing infrastructure, and changes to our operating structure may result in increased costs or inefficiencies that we cannot currently anticipate. We have also historically placed a great deal of importance on restaurant cultures, which we believe needs to be redirected to focus more on effective training of our team to deliver excellent customer experiences. As we grow our number of restaurants, additional shifts in our cultural or operational focus may harm morale in our restaurants or prove distracting to our restaurant employees, which could adversely impact our business and operating results.

Risks Related to Operating in the Restaurant Industry

Competition could adversely affect us.

The fast-casual, quick-service and casual dining segments of the restaurant industry are highly competitive with respect to, among other things, taste, price, food quality and presentation, service, location, brand reputation, and the ambience and condition of each restaurant. Our competition includes a variety of restaurants in each of these segments, including locally-owned restaurants and

Table of Contents

national and regional chains. Many of our competitors offer dine-in, carry-out, online, catering and delivery services. Among our main competitors are a number of multi-unit, multi-market Mexican food or burrito restaurant concepts, some of which are expanding nationally. In recent years, competition has also increased significantly from restaurant formats like ours that serve higher quality food quickly and at a reasonable price.

Moreover, we may also compete with companies outside the fast casual and quick service and casual dining segments of the restaurant industry. For example, competitive pressures can come from deli sections and in-store cafés of several major grocery store chains, including those targeted at customers who want higher-quality food, as well as from convenience stores, cafeterias and other dining outlets. Meal kit delivery companies and other eat-at-home options also present some degree of competition for our restaurants. In addition, our strategy includes opening additional restaurants in existing markets, and as we do so sales may decline in our previously-opened restaurants as customers who frequent our established restaurants begin to visit a newly-opened restaurant instead.

We believe that competition from all of the foregoing has made it more challenging to maintain or increase the frequency of our customer visits, and that those competitive pressures will continue or increase in the future.

Many of our competitors have existed longer than we have and may have a more established market presence with substantially greater financial, marketing, personnel and other resources than we have. These and other competitors may attract customers with, among other things, a more diverse menu, lower operating costs and prices, better locations, better facilities, better management, more effective marketing and more efficient operations than we have.

Additionally, although we continue to believe that Chipotle can differentiate itself with our commitment to higher-quality and responsibly-sourced ingredients, competitors have increasingly made claims related to the quality of their ingredients, or distinctions between artificial and natural flavors, colors and preservatives. The increasing use of these claims in the marketplace, even if the substantive basis for some of them may be questionable, may lessen our differentiation and make it more difficult for us to compete. Some of these competitors and other fast casual concepts have sought to duplicate various elements of our business operations, and more chains may copy us to varying degrees in the future.

Several of our competitors also compete by offering menu items that are specifically identified as low in carbohydrates, better for customers or otherwise targeted at particular consumer preferences. Many of our competitors in the fast-casual and quick-service segments of the restaurant industry also emphasize lower-cost, “value meal” menu options, a strategy we do not currently pursue. Our sales may be adversely affected by these and other competing products, or by price competition more generally.

Any of these competitive factors may adversely affect us and reduce our sales and profits.

Our business could be adversely affected by increased labor costs or difficulties in finding, training and retaining top performing employees.

Labor is a primary component of our operating costs, and we believe good managers and crew and outstanding training are key parts of our success. Increased labor costs due to factors such as competition for workers and labor market pressures, increased minimum wage requirements, paid sick leave or vacation accrual mandates, or changes in our restaurant staffing structure have and may continue to adversely impact our operating costs. Many companies, both in the restaurant industry and in other industries with which we compete for employees, have implemented company-wide or targeted increases in starting wages or other enhancements to their compensation and benefit programs, and we may need to act similarly to continue to attract employees. For instance, in 2018 we plan to

increase benefits to salaried and hourly managers, including additional paid leave, short term disability coverage, and a one-time cash bonus to all restaurant employees, which will increase our labor costs. These enhancements, and any further increases in labor costs associated with additional market pressures on wages or other factors, will adversely impact our operating results.

Moreover, if our managers do not schedule our restaurant crews efficiently, our restaurants may be overstaffed at some times, which adversely impacts our labor costs as a percentage of revenue, decreasing our operating margins. Efficient staffing may continue to be a challenge in 2018 due to continued volatility and uncertainty in our sales trends. Additional taxes or requirements to incur additional employee benefits expenses could also adversely impact our labor costs. And during 2018, we expect to hire a greater proportion of our restaurant managers from outside our company than we have in the past. These employees may be more expensive to hire and train than managers promoted from crew, and we may not successfully integrate them into our restaurant teams, which could adversely impact our operations.

In addition, our success in delivering excellent customer experiences depends substantially on the energy and skills of our employees and our ability to hire, train, motivate and keep qualified employees, especially general managers and crew members. Turnover among our restaurant crews and managers has been frequent, and we aim to reduce turnover in an effort to keep top

Table of Contents

performing employees and better realize our investment in training new employees. Failure to do so will adversely impact our operating results by increasing training costs and making it more difficult to deliver outstanding customer experiences. Our failure to find and keep enough high-caliber employees could also delay planned restaurant openings, which would slow our growth.

We use the “E-Verify” program, an Internet-based, free program run by the U.S. government, to verify employment eligibility for all employees throughout our company. However, use of E-Verify does not guarantee that we will successfully identify all applicants who are ineligible for employment. Although we use E-Verify and require all workers to provide us with government-specified documentation evidencing their employment eligibility, some of our employees may, without our knowledge, be unauthorized workers. Unauthorized workers may subject us to fines or penalties, and if we are found to be employing unauthorized workers, we could experience adverse publicity that negatively impacts our brand and may make it more difficult to hire and keep qualified employees. For example, following an audit by the Department of Homeland Security of the work authorization documents of our restaurant employees in Minnesota during 2010, we lost approximately 450 employees, resulting in a temporary increase in labor costs and disruption of our operations, including slower throughput, as we trained new employees, as well as some degree of negative publicity. The resulting broad-based civil and criminal investigations by the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia and U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission of our compliance with work authorization requirements and related disclosures and statements resulted in significant legal costs. Termination of a significant number of employees in specific markets or across our company due to work authorization or other regulatory issues would disrupt our operations including slowing our throughput, and could also cause additional adverse publicity and temporary increases in our labor costs as we train new employees. We could also become subject to fines, penalties and other costs related to claims that we did not fully comply with all recordkeeping obligations of federal and state immigration compliance laws. Our reputation and financial performance may be materially harmed as a result of any of these factors. Furthermore, immigration laws have been an area of considerable political focus in recent years, and the U.S. Congress and Department of Homeland Security from time to time consider or implement changes to Federal immigration laws, regulations or enforcement programs. Further changes in immigration or work authorization laws may increase our obligations for compliance and oversight, which could subject us to additional costs and potential liability and make our hiring process more cumbersome, or reduce the availability of potential employees.

Because we do not franchise, risks associated with hiring and maintaining a large workforce, including increases in wage rates or the cost of employee benefits, compliance with laws and regulations related to the hiring, payment and termination of employees, and employee-related litigation, may be more pronounced for us than for restaurant companies at which some or all of these risks are borne by franchisees or other operating contractors.

Changes in food and supply costs could adversely affect our results of operations.

Our profitability depends in part on our ability to anticipate and react to changes in food and supply costs. Like all restaurant companies, we are susceptible to increases in food costs as a result of factors beyond our control, such as general economic conditions, seasonal fluctuations, weather conditions, global demand, food safety concerns, generalized infectious diseases, fluctuations of the U.S. dollar, product recalls and government regulations. The cost of many basic foods for humans and animals, including corn, wheat, rice and cooking oils, has increased markedly in some years, resulting in upward pricing pressures on almost all of our raw ingredients including chicken, beef, tortillas and rice. In 2017, a significant rise in avocado prices adversely impacted our food costs for most of the year, and there could be similar or greater pricing pressure on key ingredients in future periods. Costs have also increased from the enhanced food safety procedures described elsewhere in this report. Additionally, a substantial volume of produce items are grown in Mexico and other countries, and some of our meats and restaurant supplies are sourced from outside the U.S. as well. Any new or increased import duties, tariffs or taxes, or other changes in U.S. trade or tax

policy, could result in higher food and supply costs that would adversely impact our financial results.

We could also be adversely impacted by price increases specific to meats raised in accordance with our sustainability and animal welfare criteria or other food items we buy as part of our Food With Integrity focus, the markets for which are generally smaller and more concentrated than the markets for food products that are conventionally raised and grown. Weather related issues, such as freezes or drought, may also lead to temporary spikes in the prices of some ingredients such as produce or meats. Increasing weather volatility or other long-term changes in global weather patterns, including any changes associated with global climate change, could have a significant impact on the price or availability of some of our ingredients. Any increase in the prices of the ingredients most critical to our menu, such as chicken, beef, cheese, avocados, beans, rice, tomatoes and pork, would have a particularly adverse effect on our operating results. Alternatively, in the event of cost increases with respect to one or more of our raw ingredients, we may choose to temporarily suspend serving menu items, such as guacamole or one or more of our salsas, rather than paying the increased cost for the ingredients. Any such changes to our available menu may negatively impact our restaurant traffic and comparable restaurant sales, and could also have an adverse impact on our brand.

15

Table of Contents

Food safety scares could adversely affect customer perceptions of, or the price or availability of, ingredients we use to prepare our food, which may adversely impact our sales.

Past reports linking nationwide or regional incidents of food-borne illnesses such as salmonella, E. coli, hepatitis A, listeria or norovirus to certain produce items have caused us to temporarily suspend serving some ingredients in our foods or to otherwise alter our menu, and have resulted in consumers avoiding certain products for a period of time. Similarly, outbreaks of avian flu, incidents of “mad cow” disease, or similar concerns have also caused consumers to avoid any products that are, or are suspected of being, affected. These problems, and injuries caused by food tampering have had in the past, and could have in the future, an adverse effect on the price and availability of affected ingredients. A decrease in customer traffic as a result of these health concerns or negative publicity, or as a result of a change in our menu or dining experience or a temporary closure of any of our restaurants due to the types of food scares described above, would further adversely impact our restaurant sales and profitability. In addition, if we react to these problems by changing our menu or other key aspects of the Chipotle experience, we may lose customers who do not accept those changes, and may not be able to attract enough new customers to generate sufficient revenue to make our restaurants profitable. Customers may also shift away from us if we choose to pass along to consumers any higher ingredient or operating costs resulting from supply problems or operational changes associated with incidents of food-borne illnesses, which would also have a negative impact on our sales and profitability.

Changes we have made in our operations, or that we make in the future, to further enhance the safety of the food we serve will adversely impact our financial performance and may negatively impact customer perception of our brand.

As a result of the food safety incidents described elsewhere in this report, we have implemented a number of enhancements to our food safety protocols to ensure that our food is as safe as it can be. Many of our enhanced procedures, which go beyond the industry-standard food safety practices that we were previously following, increase the cost of some ingredients or the amount of labor required to prepare and serve our food. If we aren’t able to sufficiently increase sales to offset the increased costs resulting from these changes, our margins will fall well short of levels we have historically achieved. Even if we were to restore sales to levels we were achieving prior to the fourth quarter of 2015, the increased costs from these changes are likely to result in lower margins than we were able to achieve in the past.

Additionally, some of the enhanced food safety procedures we have introduced or may introduce in the future rely on increased use of centralized food preparation, additional in-restaurant preparation steps, or new ingredients, some or all of which may be inconsistent with previous customer perceptions of our restaurant operations. To the extent customers perceive any of these developments as a move away from our Food With Integrity strategy and/or towards a more traditional fast food experience, our ability to win back customers may be adversely impacted and our sales may decline or recover more slowly than they otherwise would have. Furthermore, even the most advanced food safety measures cannot eliminate all food safety risks from a restaurant environment. For risks related to any future food safety incidents associated with our restaurants, see “Risks Related to our Plans to Improve Our Sales and Profitability and Restore our Economic Model – We may continue to be negatively impacted by food safety incidents, and further instances of food-borne or localized illnesses associated with our restaurants would result in increased negative publicity and further adverse impacts on customer perceptions of our brand.”

Failure to receive frequent deliveries of higher-quality food ingredients and other supplies meeting our specifications could harm our operations.

Our ability to maintain our menu depends in part on our ability to acquire ingredients that meet our specifications from reliable suppliers. Shortages or interruptions in the supply of ingredients caused by unanticipated demand,

problems in production or distribution, food contamination (which we may detect more frequently under the microbiological testing protocols we've recently introduced), inclement weather, a supplier ceasing operations or deciding not to follow our required protocols, or other conditions could adversely affect the availability, quality and cost of our ingredients, which could harm our operations. In particular, shortages of one or more of our menu items could force our restaurants to remove items from their menus, which may result in customers choosing to eat elsewhere. If that happens, our affected restaurants could experience significant reductions in sales during the menu item shortage, and potentially thereafter if customers do not return to us after the shortage is resolved. Our focus on a limited menu would make the consequences of a shortage of a key ingredient more severe to us than at other restaurants.

For many of our food ingredients and other supplies we do not have long-term contracts with suppliers, and we have relied largely on a third party distribution network with a limited number of distribution partners. If any of our distributors or suppliers performs inadequately, or our distribution or supply relationships are disrupted for any reason, the risk of ingredient shortages may increase and our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows could be adversely affected. We currently depend on a limited number of suppliers for some of our key ingredients, including beef, pork, chicken, tofu, beans, rice, sour cream, cheese, and tortillas. Due to the unique nature of the products we receive from our Food With Integrity suppliers and as described in more detail below under "Risks Related to Our Unique Business Strategy—Our Food With Integrity philosophy subjects us to risks," these

Table of Contents

suppliers could be more difficult to replace if we were no longer able to rely on them. If we have to seek new suppliers and service providers, we may be subject to pricing or other terms less favorable than those we currently enjoy. If we cannot replace or engage distributors or suppliers who meet our specifications in a short period of time, that could increase our expenses and cause shortages of food and other items at our restaurants, which could cause a restaurant to remove items from its menu. If that were to happen and customers change their dining habits as a result, affected restaurants could experience significant reductions in sales during the shortage or thereafter. Our focus on a limited menu would make the consequences of a shortage of a key ingredient more severe.

In the first quarter of 2015, through our ongoing auditing of suppliers, we identified a pork supplier that was not meeting our standards and suspended purchases of pork from this supplier. Without this supply, we did not have enough pork meeting our specifications for all of our restaurants and a large number of our restaurants were not serving carnitas for a number of months during 2015. We believe our comparable restaurant sales were adversely impacted as a result, as customers chose to eat elsewhere rather than substituting a different one of our menu items for carnitas.

Changes in customer tastes and preferences, spending patterns and demographic trends could cause sales to decline.

Changes in customer preferences, general economic conditions, discretionary spending priorities, demographic trends, traffic patterns and the type, number and location of competing restaurants affect the restaurant industry. Our sales could be impacted by changes in consumer preferences in response to dietary concerns, including preferences regarding items such as calories, sodium, carbohydrates or fat. These changes could result in consumers avoiding our menu items in favor of other foods, and our focus on a limited menu could make the consequences of a change in consumer preferences more severe than our competitors may face. Some customers could also avoid freshly-prepared foods like those we serve, based on concerns regarding food safety. This may be more likely to impact us as a result of the widely-publicized food safety incidents we experienced beginning in the fourth quarter of 2015.

Our success also depends to a significant extent on consumer confidence, which is influenced by general economic conditions and discretionary income levels. Our average restaurant sales may decline during economic downturns or periods of uncertainty, which can be caused by various factors such as high unemployment, increasing taxes, interest rates, or other changes in fiscal or monetary policy, high gasoline prices, declining home prices, tight credit markets or foreign political or economic unrest. Any material decline in consumer confidence or a decline in family “food away from home” spending could cause our sales, operating results, profits, business or financial condition to decline. If we fail to adapt to changes in customer preferences and trends, we may lose customers and our sales may deteriorate.

If we were to experience widespread difficulty renewing existing leases on favorable terms, our revenue or occupancy costs could be adversely affected.

We lease substantially all of the properties on which we operate restaurants, and some of our leases are due for renewal or extension options in the next several years. Some leases are subject to renewal at fair market value, which could involve substantial increases, and a smaller number expire without any renewal option. While we currently expect to pursue the renewal of substantially all of our expiring restaurant leases, any difficulty renewing a significant number of such leases, or any substantial increase in rents associated with lease renewals, could adversely impact us. If we have to close any restaurants due to difficulties in renewing leases, we would lose revenue from the affected restaurants and may not be able to open suitable replacement restaurants. Conversely, substantial increases in rents associated with lease renewals would increase our occupancy costs, reducing our restaurant margins.

Risks Related to our Unique Business Strategy

We may not persuade customers of the benefits of paying our prices for higher-quality food.

Our success depends in large part on our ability to persuade customers that food made with higher-quality ingredients is worth the prices they will pay at our restaurants relative to prices offered by some of our competitors, particularly those in the quick-service restaurant segment. We may not successfully educate customers about the quality of our food, and customers may not care even if they do understand our approach. That could require us to change our pricing, advertising or promotional strategies, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations or the brand identity that we have tried to create. Additionally, it will likely be more difficult for us to persuade the public about the quality and value of our food following the food-borne illnesses we experienced in 2015 and the associated deterioration of customer perceptions about our brand, and we cannot predict when those perceptions will improve, if ever. If customers are not persuaded that we offer a good value for their money, our restaurant transaction counts could be adversely affected, which would negatively impact our business results.

17

Table of Contents

Our Food With Integrity philosophy subjects us to risks.

The principle of Food With Integrity constitutes a significant part of our business strategy. We use a substantial amount of ingredients grown or raised with an emphasis on practices we believe to be more sustainable or responsible than some conventional practices, and we try to make our food as fresh as we can. We do, however, face challenges associated with pursuing Food With Integrity philosophy. There are higher costs and other risks associated with purchasing ingredients grown or raised with an emphasis on quality, sustainability and other responsible practices. Growth rate and weight gain can be lower for chickens, cattle and pigs that are not fed sub-therapeutic antibiotics and for cattle that are not given growth hormones. Crops grown organically or using other responsible practices can take longer to grow and crop yields can be lower. It can take longer to identify and secure relationships with suppliers that are able to meet our criteria for meat, dairy and produce ingredients. Given the costs associated with what we believe are more responsible farming practices, as well as uncertainty regarding demand due to changing customer perceptions, economic trends and other factors, many large suppliers have not found it economical to pursue business in this area. Although all of our restaurants generally serve meat from animals raised in accordance with criteria we've established in an effort to improve sustainability and promote animal welfare, we may experience shortages of meat meeting these criteria due to suppliers suspending production, market conditions, or other forces beyond our control. In the first quarter of 2015, through our ongoing auditing of suppliers, we identified a pork supplier that was not meeting our standards and suspended purchases of pork from this supplier. Without this supply, we did not have enough pork meeting our specifications for all of our restaurants and a large number of our restaurants were not serving carnitas for a number of months during 2015. We believe our comparable restaurant sales were adversely impacted as a result. We have experienced shortages of beef or chicken meeting our protocols on a periodic basis over the past several years as well, resulting in our serving commodity beef and chicken, which may have a negative impact on customer perceptions of our brand.

If as a result of any of the factors described above we are unable to obtain a sufficient and consistent supply of our preferred ingredients on a cost-effective basis, our food costs could increase, adversely impacting our operating margins. These factors could also cause us difficulties in aligning our brand with our Food With Integrity philosophy, which could make us less popular among our customers and cause sales to decline. Our commitment to the Food With Integrity philosophy may also leave us open to actions against us or criticism from special interest groups whose ideas regarding food issues differ from ours or who believe we should pursue different or additional goals with our Food With Integrity approach. Any adverse publicity that results from such criticism could damage our brand and adversely impact customer traffic at our restaurants. We may also face adverse publicity or liability for false advertising claims if suppliers do not adhere to all of the elements of our Food With Integrity programs, such as responsible meat protocols, requirements for organic or sustainable growing methods, our use of non-GMO ingredients in our food, and similar criteria on which we base our purchasing decisions. If any such supplier failures occur and are publicized, our reputation would be harmed and our sales may be adversely impacted. And our Food With Integrity message may result in customers holding us to a higher standard in terms of food safety as well, which may make it more difficult for us to recover from the food-borne illness incidents discussed elsewhere in this report, as customers who believe we failed to uphold our own standards may decline to return to our restaurants as frequently or at all.

Additionally, in response to increasing customer awareness and demand, some competitors have also begun to advertise their use of meats raised without the use of antibiotics or growth hormones, dairy products from cows not treated with rBGH, and other ingredients similar to those we seek as part of our Food With Integrity philosophy. If competitors become known for using these types of higher-quality or more sustainable ingredients, it could further limit our supply of these ingredients, and may make it more difficult for us to differentiate Chipotle and our restaurants, which could adversely impact our operating results.

Our success may depend on the continued service and availability of key personnel, and upcoming changes in our management team may not provide the benefits we expect.

Our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Steve Ells founded our company, has been the principal architect of our business strategy, and has led our growth from a single restaurant in 1993 to over 2,400 restaurants today. Jack Hartung, our Chief Financial Officer, has also served with us since early in our company's history, and much of our growth has occurred under his direction as well. Additionally, Mark Crumpacker, our Chief Marketing and Strategy Officer, who has played a role in our marketing and branding efforts for many years and who has been an executive officer since joining us full time in January 2009, has been instrumental in formulating strategies to help us rebuild our business. Curt Garner, who joined us as Chief Information Officer in November 2015, has had a key role in developing and executing our digital/mobile ordering platforms and strategy, and we believe these and other technology innovations will become increasingly important in helping us return to sales and profitability growth. And Scott Boatwright, who joined us as Chief Restaurant Officer in May 2017, has led our recent efforts to improve the guest experience in our restaurants, which we also believe will be critical in attracting new and lapsed customers.

In December 2017, we announced that we have initiated a search for a new Chief Executive Officer, and that Mr. Ells will transition to the role of Executive Chairman of the Board upon the appointment of a new Chief Executive Officer. It may be difficult to identify and attract a Chief Executive Officer candidate who meets our needs and is able to grasp and implement our unique

Table of Contents

strategic vision. In addition, we believe our current executive officers, each of whom is an at-will employee, are creating a business strategy and culture at our company that will position us for future success, and these features may be difficult to replicate under another management team. If a new Chief Executive Officer does not successfully continue our business strategy or implement new strategies to improve our business, or if the change in Chief Executive Officer or other factors result in other changes to our senior leadership team, our growth prospects or future operating results may be adversely impacted. Additionally, if our company culture or operations were to deteriorate following our upcoming change in leadership, we may be adversely impacted as well.

Regulatory and Legal Risks

Governmental regulation in one or more of the following areas may adversely affect our existing and future operations and results, including by harming our ability to open new restaurants or increasing our operating costs.

Employment and Immigration Regulations

We are subject to various federal, state and local laws governing our relationship with and other matters pertaining to our employees, including wage and hour laws, requirements to provide meal and rest periods or other benefits, family leave mandates, requirements regarding working conditions and accommodations to certain employees, citizenship or work authorization and related requirements, insurance and workers' compensation rules and anti-discrimination laws. Complying with these rules subjects us to substantial expense and can be cumbersome, and can also expose us to liabilities from claims for non-compliance. For example, a number of lawsuits have been filed against us alleging violations of federal and state laws regarding employee wages and payment of overtime, meal and rest breaks, employee classification, employee record-keeping and related practices with respect to our employees. We incur legal costs to defend, and we could suffer losses from, these and similar cases, and the amount of such losses or costs could be significant. In addition, several states and localities in which we operate and the federal government have from time to time enacted minimum wage increases, changes to eligibility for overtime pay, paid sick leave and mandatory vacation accruals, and similar requirements and these changes could increase our labor costs. Changes in U.S. healthcare laws could also adversely impact us if they result in significant new welfare and benefit costs or increased compliance expenses.

We also are audited from time to time for compliance with work authorization requirements, and audit activity and federal criminal and civil investigations in this area are described in more detail above under "Risks Related to Operating in the Restaurant Industry—Our business could be adversely affected by increased labor costs or difficulties in finding and retaining top performing employees," as well as in Note 10. "Commitments and Contingencies" in our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data." Unauthorized workers may subject us to fines or penalties, and if any of our workers are found to be unauthorized our business may be disrupted as we try to replace lost workers with additional qualified employees. On the other hand, in the event we wrongfully reject work authorization documents, or if our compliance procedures are found to have a disparate impact on a protected class such as a racial minority or based on the citizenship status of applicants, we could be found to be in violation of anti-discrimination laws. We could experience adverse publicity arising from enforcement activity related to work authorization compliance, anti-discrimination compliance, or both, that negatively impacts our brand and may make it more difficult to hire and keep qualified employees. Moreover, as described above under "Risks Related to Operating in the Restaurant Industry—Our business could be adversely affected by increased labor costs or difficulties in finding and retaining top performing employees," the office of the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission investigated us for possible criminal and civil securities law violations relating to our employee work authorization compliance and related disclosures and statements as well. Any potential future investigations in this area may be expensive and distracting, and could subject us to fines,

reputational damage, and other liabilities that could be significant.

Additionally, while we do not currently have any unionized employees, union organizers have engaged in efforts to organize our employees and those of other restaurant companies. If a significant portion of our employees were to become union organized, our labor costs could increase and our efforts to maintain a culture appealing only to top performing employees could be impaired. Potential changes in labor laws, including the possible passage of legislation designed to make it easier for employees to unionize, could increase the likelihood of some or all of our employees being subjected to greater organized labor influence, and could have an adverse effect on our business and financial results by imposing requirements that could potentially increase our costs, reduce our flexibility and impact our employee culture.

Americans with Disabilities Act and Similar State Laws

We are subject to the U.S. Americans with Disabilities Act, or ADA, and similar state laws that give civil rights protections to individuals with disabilities in the context of employment, public accommodations and other areas. We have incurred substantial legal fees in connection with ADA-related complaints in the past, and we may in the future have to modify restaurants, for example by adding access ramps or redesigning certain architectural features, to provide service to or make reasonable accommodations for

Table of Contents

disabled persons under these laws. The expenses associated with these modifications, or any damages, legal fees and costs associated with litigating or resolving claims under the ADA or similar state laws, could be material.

Nutrition and Food Regulation

In recent years, there has been an increased legislative, regulatory and consumer focus at the federal, state and municipal levels on the food industry including nutrition and advertising practices. Restaurants operating in the quick-service and fast-casual segments have been a particular focus. For example, the State of California, New York City and a number of other jurisdictions around the U.S. have adopted regulations requiring that chain restaurants include calorie information on their menu boards or make other nutritional information available, and nation-wide nutrition disclosure requirements included in the U.S. health care reform law are scheduled to go into effect on May 7, 2018. These nutrition disclosure requirements may increase our expenses or slow customers as they move through the line, decreasing our throughput. These initiatives may also change customer buying habits in a way that adversely impacts our sales, and could subject us to liability if we make errors in calculating or disclosing the required information.

Privacy/Cybersecurity

We are required to collect and maintain personal information about our employees, and we collect information about customers as part of some of our marketing programs as well. The collection and use of such information is regulated at the federal and state levels, and by the European Union and its member states, and the regulatory environment related to information security and privacy is evolving and increasingly demanding. Significant new privacy regulation in the European Union is further described above under “Risks Related to our Plans to Improve Our Sales and Profitability and Restore our Economic Model – Our expansion into international markets has been limited, and may present increased risks due to lower customer awareness of our brand, our unfamiliarity with those markets and other factors.” At the same time, we are relying increasingly on cloud computing and other technologies that result in third parties holding significant amounts of customer or employee information on our behalf. If our security and information systems or those of outsourced third party providers we use to store or process such information are compromised, or if we or such third parties otherwise fail to comply with these laws and regulations, we could face litigation and the imposition of penalties that could adversely affect our financial performance. Our reputation as a brand or as an employer could also be adversely affected from these types of security breaches or regulatory violations, which could impair our sales or ability to attract and keep qualified employees. Additional risks related to cybersecurity are described below under “General Business Risks-We may be harmed by security risks we face in connection with our electronic processing and transmission of confidential customer and employee information.”

Local Licensure, Zoning and Other Regulation

Each of our restaurants is also subject to state and local licensing and regulation by health, alcoholic beverage, sanitation, food and workplace safety and other agencies. We may experience material difficulties or failures in obtaining the necessary licenses or approvals for new restaurants, which could delay planned restaurant openings. In addition, stringent and varied requirements of local regulators with respect to zoning, land use and environmental factors could delay or prevent development of new restaurants in particular locations.

Environmental Laws

We are subject to federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations concerning the discharge, storage, handling, release and disposal of hazardous or toxic substances, as well as local ordinances restricting the types of

packaging we can use in our restaurants. We have not conducted a comprehensive environmental review of our properties or operations. We have, however, conducted investigations of some of our properties and identified contamination caused by third-party operations. We believe any such contamination has been or should be addressed by the third party. If the relevant third party does not address or has not addressed the identified contamination properly or completely, then under certain environmental laws, we could be held liable as an owner or operator to address any remaining contamination, sometimes without regard to whether we knew of, or were responsible for, the release or presence of hazardous or toxic substances. Any such liability could be material. Further, we may not have identified all of the potential environmental liabilities at our properties, and any such liabilities could have a material adverse effect on our operations or results of operations. We also cannot predict what environmental laws will be enacted in the future, how existing or future environmental laws will be administered or interpreted, or the amount of future expenditures that we may need to make to comply with, or to satisfy claims relating to, environmental laws.

Other Aspects of Regulatory Risk

From time to time we are the target of litigation in connection with various laws and regulations that cover our business. Much of this litigation occurs in California even though currently only about 17% of our restaurants are located there. As we continue to

Table of Contents

expand in California, or if we are not able to effectively manage the increased litigation risks and expenses we have experienced in California, our business may be adversely impacted to a greater extent than if we did not operate in, or minimized our operations in, California.

Because we do not franchise, the costs of compliance and other risks associated with government regulation of our business, as described above, may be more pronounced for us than for restaurant companies at which some or all of these risks are borne by franchisees or other operating contractors.

Regulatory actions and litigation related to food safety incidents that impacted us beginning in the fourth quarter of 2015 may adversely impact us.

We are facing an ongoing government investigation into food safety incidents and related compliance measures, as described in Note 10. "Commitments and Contingencies" in our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data." We also have received numerous claims from customers who were or claim to have been impacted by food safety incidents associated with our restaurants, and a number of those claimants have filed lawsuits against us. We are cooperating in the government investigation and with many of the customers impacted by these incidents, but will continue to incur significant legal and other costs in doing so. We have also been sued in a shareholder class action lawsuit in connection with the decline in our stock price in the wake of the food safety incidents, and defending this lawsuit will subject us to significant legal expense. Additionally, the liabilities from customer claims and related litigation expenses may be greater than we anticipate due to the uncertainties inherent in litigation. All of these costs, liabilities and expenses will negatively impact our operating results. Moreover, publicity regarding any legal proceedings related to food safety incidents may increase or prolong consumer awareness of the incidents or otherwise negatively impact perceptions of our brand, which may hamper our ability to regain lost sales or attract new customers to our restaurants.

We could be party to litigation that could adversely affect us by distracting management, increasing our expenses or subjecting us to material money damages and other remedies.

We're subject to numerous claims alleging violations of federal and state laws regarding workplace and employment matters, including wages, work hours, overtime, vacation and family leave, discrimination, wrongful termination, and similar matters, and we could become subject to class action or other lawsuits related to these or different matters in the future. Our customers also occasionally file complaints or lawsuits against us alleging that we're responsible for some illness or injury they suffered at or after a visit to our restaurants, or that we have problems with food quality, operations or our food related disclosure or advertising practices. See "—Governmental regulation in one or more of the following areas may adversely affect our existing and future operations and results, including by harming our ability to open new restaurants or increasing our operating costs" above, for additional discussion of these types of claims. From time to time, we also face claims alleging that technology we use in our business infringes patents held by third parties. In addition, the restaurant industry has been subject to a growing number of claims based on the nutritional content of food products sold and disclosure and advertising practices. We have been subject to a number of these actions and may be subject to additional actions of this type in the future. We are also undergoing government investigations and have been sued in a shareholder class action lawsuit, each as described elsewhere in this report, including in Note 10. "Commitments and Contingencies" in our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data," and these matters may be particularly expensive to defend and/or resolve.

We believe the number of many of the foregoing types of claims has increased as our business has grown and we have become more visible to potential plaintiffs and their lawyers, particularly in California. Regardless of whether any

claims against us are valid, or whether we're ultimately held liable for such claims, they may be expensive to defend and may divert time and money away from our operations and hurt our performance. A significant judgment for any claims against us could materially and adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations. Any adverse publicity resulting from these allegations, whether directed at us or at fast casual or quick-service restaurants generally, may also materially and adversely affect our reputation or prospects, which in turn could adversely affect our results.

General Business Risks

We may be harmed by security risks we face in connection with our electronic processing and transmission of confidential customer and employee information.

We accept electronic payment cards for payment in our restaurants. During 2017 approximately 73% of our sales were attributable to credit and debit card transactions, and credit and debit card usage could continue to increase. A number of retailers have experienced actual or potential security breaches in which credit and debit card information may have been stolen, including a number of highly publicized incidents with well-known retailers in recent years.

Table of Contents

In April 2017, our information security team detected unauthorized activity on the network that supports payment processing for our restaurants, and immediately began an investigation with the help of leading computer security firms. We also self-reported the issue to payment card processors and law enforcement. Our investigation detected malware designed to access payment card data from cards used at point-of-sale devices at most Chipotle restaurants, primarily in the period from March 24, 2017 through April 18, 2017. We have removed the malware from our systems and continue to work to enhance our security measures. However, we expect to be subject to payment card network assessments and may incur regulatory fines or penalties, for which our insurance coverage is limited, and as a result, we recorded a \$30 million estimated liability. We may ultimately be subject to liabilities greater than or less than the amount accrued. See Note 10. “Commitments and Contingencies” included in Item 8. “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data,” for further discussion of potential liabilities and pending litigation filed against us in connection with this incident.

We may be subject to additional lawsuits or other proceedings in the future relating to the incident or any future incidents in which payment card data may have been compromised. Proceedings related to theft of credit or debit card information may be brought by payment card providers, banks and credit unions that issue cards, cardholders (either individually or as part of a class action lawsuit) and federal and state regulators. Any such proceedings could distract our management from running our business and cause us to incur significant unplanned losses and expenses. Consumer perception of our brand could also be negatively affected by these events, which could further adversely affect our results and prospects.

We also are required to collect and maintain personal information about our employees, and we collect information about customers as part of some of our marketing programs as well. The collection and use of such information is regulated at the federal and state levels, and by the European Union and its member states, and the regulatory environment related to information security and privacy is increasingly demanding. For example, a new privacy regulation in the European Union called the General Data Protection Regulation, or GDPR, is scheduled to become effective in May 2018 and requires companies to meet new requirements regarding the handling of personal data, including its use, protection and the ability of persons whose data is stored to correct or delete such data about themselves. Failure to meet GDPR requirements could result in penalties of up to 4% of worldwide revenue. At the same time, we are relying increasingly on cloud computing and other technologies that result in third parties holding significant amounts of customer or employee information on our behalf. We have seen an increase over the past several years in the frequency and sophistication of attempts to compromise the security of several of these systems. If the security and information systems that we or our outsourced third party providers use to store or process such information are compromised or if we, or such third parties, otherwise fail to comply with these laws and regulations, we could face litigation and the imposition of penalties that could adversely affect our financial performance. Our reputation as a brand or as an employer could also be adversely affected from these types of security breaches or regulatory violations, which could impair our sales or ability to attract and keep qualified employees.

If we experience a significant failure in or interruption of certain key information technology systems, our business could be adversely impacted.

We use a variety of applications and systems to securely manage the flow of information within each of our restaurants, and within our centralized corporate infrastructure. The services available within our systems and applications include restaurant operations, supply chain, inventory, scheduling, training, human capital management, financial tools, and data protection services. The restaurant structure is based primarily on a point-of-sale system that operates locally at the restaurant and is integrated with other functions necessary to restaurant operations. It records sales transactions, receives out of store orders, and authorizes, batches, and transmits credit card transactions. The system also allows employees to enter time clock information and to produce a variety of management reports. Select

information that is captured from this system at each restaurant is collected in the central corporate infrastructure, which enables management to continually monitor operating results. Our ability to efficiently and effectively manage our business depends significantly on the reliability and capacity of these and other systems, and our operations depend substantially on the availability of our point-of-sale system and related networks and applications. These systems may be vulnerable to attacks or outages from security breaches, viruses and other disruptive problems, as well as from physical theft, fire, power loss, telecommunications failure or other catastrophic events. Any failure of these systems to operate effectively, whether from security breaches, maintenance problems, upgrades or transitions to new platforms, or other factors could result in interruptions to or delays in our restaurant or other operations, adversely impacting the restaurant experience for our guests or negatively impacting our ability to manage our business. If our information technology systems fail and our redundant systems or disaster recovery plans are not adequate to address such failures, or if our business interruption insurance does not sufficiently compensate us for any losses that we may incur, our revenues and profits could be reduced and the reputation of our brand and our business could be materially adversely affected. In addition, remediation of any problems with our systems could result in significant, unplanned expenses.

Table of Contents

Negative publicity relating to our restaurants or our company could adversely impact our reputation, which may significantly harm us.

We depend significantly on customers' perception of and connection to our brand. In addition to the damage to our reputation from well-publicized food safety incidents during 2015 as described elsewhere in this report, we may experience negative publicity from time to time relating to food quality, customer complaints, restaurant facilities, advertising and other business practices, litigation alleging injuries or improper employee practices, government investigations or other regulatory issues, our suppliers' potential failure to adhere to elements of our Food With Integrity protocols, other issues regarding the integrity of our suppliers' food processing, employee relationships, customer or employee data breaches, or other matters, regardless of whether the allegations are valid or whether we are held to be responsible. The negative impact of adverse publicity relating to one or more restaurants or any of the foregoing topics may extend far beyond the restaurant(s) involved and affect many more, or even all, of our restaurants. The considerable expansion in the use of social media over recent years can further amplify any negative publicity that may be generated. A similar risk exists with respect to unrelated food service businesses, if consumers associate those businesses with our own operations. And even publicity that could reasonably be viewed as positive may have adverse consequences on our business. For example, positive developments in regards to the food safety issues that have impacted us might have the effect of continuing or increasing customer awareness of the issue.

The adverse impact of publicity on customers' perception of us could have a further negative impact on our sales. If the impact of any such publicity is particularly long-lasting, the value of our brand may suffer and our ability to grow could be diminished. Additionally, negative publicity about our employment practices may affect our reputation among employees and potential employees, which could make it more difficult for us to attract and retain top performing employees. That could adversely impact the quality of the customer experience we can offer and our operations generally, and may increase our labor costs as well.

Our insurance coverage and self-insurance reserves may not cover future claims.

We maintain various insurance policies for employee health, workers' compensation, general liability, property damage and auto liability. We are self-insured for our employee health plans but have third party insurance coverage to limit exposure for both individual and aggregate claim costs. We are also responsible for losses up to a certain limit for workers' compensation, general liability, property damage, employment practices liability and auto liability insurance.

For policies under which we are responsible for losses, we record a liability that represents our estimated cost of claims incurred and unpaid as of the balance sheet date. Our estimated liability is not discounted and is based on a number of assumptions and factors, including historical trends, actuarial assumptions and economic conditions, and is closely monitored and adjusted when warranted by changing circumstances. Our history of claims experience is relatively short and our significant growth during most of our operating history could affect the accuracy of estimates based on historical experience. If a greater amount of claims occurs compared to what we estimated, or if medical costs increase beyond what we expected, our accrued liabilities might not be sufficient and we may be required to record additional expense. Unanticipated changes may also produce materially different amounts of expense than reported under these programs, which could adversely impact our results of operations. It is also possible that losses covered under one or more of our insurance policies may exceed the applicable policy limits, which would subject us to unexpected additional liabilities in an amount that could be significant enough to have a material adverse effect on our financial position.

We may not be able to adequately protect our intellectual property, which could harm the value of our brands and adversely affect our business.

Our ability to successfully implement our business plan depends in part on our ability to further build brand recognition using our trademarks, service marks, trade dress and other proprietary intellectual property, including our name and logos, our Food With Integrity strategy and the unique ambience of our restaurants. If our efforts to protect our intellectual property are inadequate, or if any third party misappropriates or infringes on our intellectual property, either in print or on the internet, the value of our brands may be harmed, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and might prevent our brands from achieving or maintaining market acceptance. We are aware of restaurants in foreign jurisdictions using menu items, logos and other branding that we believe are based on our intellectual property, and our ability to halt these restaurants from using these elements may be limited in jurisdictions in which we are not operating. This could have an adverse impact on our ability to successfully expand into other jurisdictions in the future. We may also encounter claims from prior users of similar intellectual property in areas where we operate or intend to conduct operations. This could harm our image, brand or competitive position and cause us to incur significant penalties and costs.

Table of Contents

Our quarterly results may fluctuate significantly and could fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors due to various factors.

Our quarterly results may fluctuate significantly and could fail to meet the expectations of securities analysts and investors because of factors including:

- changes in comparable restaurant sales and customer visits, including as a result of perceptions about our brand, competition, changes in consumer confidence or discretionary spending, and other factors listed in these Risk Factors;
- additional negative publicity about the occurrence of food-borne illnesses, the ingredients we use, or other problems at our restaurants;
- fluctuations in supply costs, particularly for our most significant food items, including increased ingredient costs as a result of changes we've made to enhance the safety of our food;
- labor availability and wages of restaurant management and crew, as well as temporary fluctuations in labor costs as a result of large-scale changes in workforce;
- increases in marketing or promotional expenses as we introduce new marketing programs and strategies, or increased spending on existing marketing programs in an effort to drive sales;
- our ability to raise menu prices without adversely impacting customer traffic, particularly if food and labor costs were to increase;
- the timing of new restaurant openings and related revenues and expenses;
- operating costs at newly opened restaurants, which are often materially greater during the first several months of operation;
- the impact of inclement weather, natural disasters and other calamities, such as freezes that have impacted produce crops and droughts that have impacted livestock and the supply of certain meats;
- variations in general economic conditions, including the impact of declining interest rates on our interest income;
- increases in infrastructure costs;
- litigation, settlement costs and related legal expense;
- tax expenses, impairment charges and non-operating costs; and
- potential distraction or unusual expenses associated with our expansion into international markets or initiatives to expand new concepts.

Seasonal factors also cause our results to fluctuate from quarter to quarter. Our restaurant sales are typically lower during the winter months and the holiday season and during periods of inclement weather (because fewer people are eating out) and higher during the spring, summer and fall months (for the opposite reason). Our restaurant sales will also vary as a result of the number of trading days—that is, the number of days in a quarter when a restaurant is open.

As a result of these factors, results for any one quarter are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any other quarter or for any year. Average restaurant sales or comparable restaurant sales in any particular future period may decrease. In the future, operating results may fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors, which could cause our stock price to fall. This risk may continue to be a greater concern during 2018, as analyst and investor expectations for improvements in our business results may be higher than the level of results we actually achieve.

Additionally, we believe the market price of our common stock, which has generally traded at a higher price-earnings ratio than stocks of most or all of our peer companies, has typically reflected high market expectations for our future operating results. The trading market for our common stock has been volatile at times as well, including during the recent past as a result of adverse publicity events. As a result, if we fail to meet market expectations for our operating results in the future, any resulting decline in the price of our common stock could be significant.

Our anti-takeover provisions may delay or prevent a change in control of us, which could adversely affect the price of our common stock.

Certain provisions in our corporate documents and Delaware law may delay or prevent a change in control of us, which could adversely affect the price of our common stock. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated

Table of Contents

bylaws contain some provisions that may make the acquisition of control of us without the approval of our board of directors more difficult, including provisions relating to the nomination, election and removal of directors, the structure of the board of directors and limitations on actions by our shareholders. In addition, Delaware law also imposes some restrictions on mergers and other business combinations between us and any holder of 15% or more of our outstanding common stock. Any of these provisions may discourage a potential acquirer from proposing or completing a transaction that may have otherwise presented a premium to our shareholders.

ITEM 1B.UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

25

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

As of December 31, 2017, there were 2,408 restaurants operated by Chipotle and our consolidated subsidiaries, 2,400 of which were Chipotle restaurants. The table below sets forth the locations (by state or country) of all restaurants in operation.

| | |
|----------------------|-----|
| Alabama | 14 |
| Arizona | 79 |
| Arkansas | 6 |
| California | 408 |
| Colorado | 76 |
| Connecticut | 24 |
| Delaware | 6 |
| District of Columbia | 20 |
| Florida | 149 |
| Georgia | 47 |
| Idaho | 8 |
| Illinois | 134 |
| Indiana | 36 |
| Iowa | 12 |
| Kansas | 26 |
| Kentucky | 18 |
| Louisiana | 10 |
| Maine | 5 |
| Maryland | 84 |
| Massachusetts | 53 |
| Michigan | 35 |
| Minnesota | 62 |
| Missouri | 38 |
| Mississippi | 1 |
| Montana | 3 |
| Nebraska | 9 |
| Nevada | 27 |
| New Hampshire | 7 |
| New Jersey | 57 |
| New Mexico | 7 |
| New York | 138 |
| North Carolina | 54 |
| North Dakota | 1 |
| Ohio | 174 |
| Oklahoma | 12 |

| | |
|----------------|-------|
| Oregon | 30 |
| Pennsylvania | 82 |
| Rhode Island | 7 |
| South Carolina | 22 |
| Tennessee | 20 |
| Texas | 195 |
| Utah | 12 |
| Vermont | 1 |
| Virginia | 97 |
| Washington | 39 |
| West Virginia | 5 |
| Wisconsin | 19 |
| Wyoming | 2 |
| Canada | 24 |
| France | 6 |
| Germany | 1 |
| United Kingdom | 6 |
| Total | 2,408 |

26

Table of Contents

We categorize our restaurants as end-caps (at the end of a line of retail outlets), in-lines (in a line of retail outlets), free-standing, or other. Of our restaurants in operation as of December 31, 2017, we had 1,523 end-cap locations, 391 free-standing units, 356 in-line locations, and 138 other locations. The average restaurant size is about 2,500 square feet and seats about 56 people. Many of our restaurants also feature outdoor patio space.

Our main office is located at 1401 Wynkoop Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado, 80202 and our telephone number is (303) 595-4000. We lease our main office and substantially all of the properties on which we operate restaurants. For additional information regarding the lease terms and provisions, see Note 8. "Leases" in our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

We own 17 properties and operate restaurants on all of them.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For information regarding legal proceedings, see Note 10. "Commitments and Contingencies" in our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The following table describes the per share range of high and low sales prices for shares of our common stock for the quarterly periods indicated, as reported by the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"). Our common stock trades on the NYSE under the symbol "CMG."

| | High | Low |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| 2016 | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 542.50 | \$ 399.14 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 473.17 | \$ 384.77 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 444.13 | \$ 386.10 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 440.00 | \$ 352.96 |

| | High | Low |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| 2017 | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 453.08 | \$ 372.87 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 499.00 | \$ 410.98 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 419.73 | \$ 295.11 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 333.33 | \$ 263.00 |

As of February 1, 2018, there were approximately 948 holders of our common stock, as determined by counting our record holders and the number of participants reflected in a security position listing provided to us by the Depository Trust Company. Because such "DTC participants" are brokers and other institutions holding shares of our common stock on behalf of their customers, we do not know the actual number of unique shareholders represented by these record holders.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer

The table below reflects shares of common stock we repurchased during the fourth quarter of 2017.

| | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(1) | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(2) |
|---------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| October | 81,953 | \$ 303.31 | 81,953 | \$ 170,567,974 |

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|----------|------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|----------------|
| November | Purchased 10/1 through 10/31 | 91,427 | \$ 279.97 | 91,427 | \$ 144,971,147 |
| December | Purchased 11/1 through 11/30 | 86,775 | \$ 307.66 | 86,775 | \$ 118,274,235 |
| Total | Purchased 12/1 through 12/31 | 260,155 | \$ 296.56 | 260,155 | \$ 118,274,235 |

(1) Shares were repurchased pursuant to a \$100 million repurchase program announced on May 23, 2017.

(2) This column includes \$100 million in additional authorized repurchases announced on October 24, 2017. Our authorized repurchase programs have no expiration date, but may be modified, suspended, or discontinued at any time.

Dividend Policy

We are not required to pay any dividends and have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock. We intend to continue to retain earnings for use in the operation and expansion of our business and to repurchase shares of common stock (subject to market conditions), and therefore do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents

COMPARISON OF CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN

The following graph compares the cumulative annual stockholders return on our common stock from December 31, 2012 through December 31, 2017 to that of the total return index for the S&P 500 and the S&P 500 Restaurants Index assuming an investment of \$100 on December 31, 2012. In calculating total annual stockholder return, reinvestment of dividends, if any, is assumed. The indices are included for comparative purposes only. They do not necessarily reflect management's opinion that such indices are an appropriate measure of the relative performance of our common stock. This graph is not "soliciting material," is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference in any of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Our selected consolidated financial data shown below should be read together with Item 7. “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and our consolidated financial statements and respective notes included in Item 8. “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.” The data shown below are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any future period (dollar and share amounts in thousands, except per share data).

| | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Statement of Income: | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 4,476,412 | \$ 3,904,384 | \$ 4,501,223 | \$ 4,108,269 | \$ 3,214,591 |
| Food, beverage and packaging costs | 1,535,428 | 1,365,580 | 1,503,835 | 1,420,994 | 1,073,514 |
| Labor costs | 1,205,992 | 1,105,001 | 1,045,726 | 904,407 | 739,800 |
| Occupancy costs | 327,132 | 293,636 | 262,412 | 230,868 | 199,107 |
| Other operating costs | 651,644 | 641,953 | 514,963 | 434,244 | 347,401 |
| General and administrative expenses | 296,388 | 276,240 | 250,214 | 273,897 | 203,733 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 163,348 | 146,368 | 130,368 | 110,474 | 96,054 |
| Pre-opening costs | 12,341 | 17,162 | 16,922 | 15,609 | 15,511 |
| Loss on disposal of assets | 13,345 | 23,877 | 13,194 | 6,976 | 6,751 |
| Total operating expenses | 4,205,618 | 3,869,817 | 3,737,634 | 3,397,469 | 2,681,871 |
| Income from operations | 270,794 | 34,567 | 763,589 | 710,800 | 532,720 |
| Interest and other income, net | 4,949 | 4,172 | 6,278 | 3,503 | 1,751 |
| Income before income taxes | 275,743 | 38,739 | 769,867 | 714,303 | 534,471 |
| Provision for income taxes | (99,490) | (15,801) | (294,265) | (268,929) | (207,033) |
| Net income | \$ 176,253 | \$ 22,938 | \$ 475,602 | \$ 445,374 | \$ 327,438 |
| Earnings per share | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| Basic | \$ 6.19 | \$ 0.78 | \$ 15.30 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 10.58 |
| Diluted | \$ 6.17 | \$ 0.77 | \$ 15.10 | \$ 14.13 | \$ 10.47 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | | | | | |
| Basic | 28,491 | 29,265 | 31,092 | 31,038 | 30,957 |
| Diluted | 28,561 | 29,770 | 31,494 | 31,512 | 31,281 |

| | December 31, | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Total current assets | \$ 629,535 | \$ 522,374 | \$ 814,647 | \$ 859,511 | \$ 653,095 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,045,692 | \$ 2,026,103 | \$ 2,725,066 | \$ 2,527,317 | \$ 1,996,068 |
| Total current liabilities | \$ 323,893 | \$ 281,793 | \$ 279,942 | \$ 245,710 | \$ 199,228 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 681,247 | \$ 623,610 | \$ 597,092 | \$ 514,948 | \$ 457,780 |
| Total shareholders' equity | \$ 1,364,445 | \$ 1,402,493 | \$ 2,127,974 | \$ 2,012,369 | \$ 1,538,288 |

30

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read the following discussion together with Item 6. "Selected Financial Data" and our consolidated financial statements and related notes included in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data." The discussion contains forward-looking statements involving risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could cause our results to differ materially from expectations. Factors that might cause such differences include those described in Item 1A. "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this report.

Overview

Steve Eells, our founder, Chairman and CEO, started Chipotle with the idea that food served fast did not have to be a typical fast food experience. Today, we continue to offer a focused menu of burritos, tacos, burrito bowls, and salads made from fresh, high-quality raw ingredients, prepared using classic cooking methods and served in an interactive style allowing people to get what they want. We seek out extraordinary ingredients that are not only fresh, but that are raised responsibly, with respect for the animals, land, and people who produce them. We prepare our food using real, wholesome ingredients and without the use of artificial colors or flavors typically found in fast food. Chipotle opened with a single restaurant in Denver in 1993 and as of December 31, 2017, we operated 2,408 restaurants.

Sales. Our sales and profitability improved during 2017 as compared to 2016. Comparable restaurant sales increased 6.4% as a result of an increase in the average check, including a 1.2% benefit from menu price increases implemented in about 500 restaurants during the second quarter of 2017 and 900 restaurants during the fourth quarter of 2017. Comparable restaurant sales represent the change in period-over-period sales for restaurants beginning in their 13th full calendar month of operation. Average restaurant sales were \$1.940 million as of December 31, 2017, increasing from \$1.868 million as of December 31, 2016. We define average restaurant sales as the average trailing 12-month sales for restaurants in operation for at least 12 full calendar months. We expect comparable restaurant sales increases in the low single digits for the full year 2018, including the benefit from extending menu price increases to almost 950 additional restaurants in January 2018. Sales growth from new restaurant openings, however, will be lower in 2018 than in the past due to our planned decrease in new restaurant openings during the year, as discussed below under "Restaurant Development."

During 2017, we invested in improving our digital platforms, including significant improvements to our mobile application and online ordering platform, and equipping select restaurants with an upgraded second make line dedicated to fulfilling out-of-restaurant orders. Sales from out-of-restaurant orders represented 8.3% of our revenue during the year ended December 31, 2017, up from 6.4% of revenue during the year ended December 31, 2016. Additionally, in September 2017 we introduced an all-natural queso, which was ordered in approximately 10% of our transactions in January 2018.

Restaurant Operating Costs. During the full year 2017, our restaurant operating costs (food, beverage and packaging; labor; occupancy; and other operating costs) as a percent of revenue decreased 4.1% compared to the full year 2016. The decrease was attributable to sales leverage, including the benefit of the menu price increases, lower marketing and promotional spend as a percent of revenue, and labor efficiencies, partially offset by higher wages paid to crew and managers.

Restaurant Development. As of December 31, 2017, we had 2,408 restaurants in operation, including 2,363 Chipotle restaurants throughout the United States, with an additional 37 international Chipotle restaurants and eight non-Chipotle restaurants that were consolidated into our financial results. We opened 183 restaurants in 2017,

including two relocations, and closed 23 additional restaurants (including 15 ShopHouse Southeast Asian Kitchen restaurants). We intend to open between 130 and 150 restaurants for the full year 2018, as we focus our resources on improving our operations and delivering an outstanding experience to every one of our guests. Most of our 2018 restaurant openings are planned in markets that already have a Chipotle presence established.

Tax Law Changes. In December 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act was signed into law, and among other changes, the Act lowered the U.S. corporate income tax rate from 35% to 21% beginning in 2018. As a result, we recognized a \$6.0 million benefit in our provision for income taxes related to the remeasurement of our deferred tax position at the lower rate.

We expect our 2018 annual effective tax rate to be in the range of 30% to 31%, which includes an underlying effective tax rate of 27% to 28%, and around 3% to 4% related to stock awards. As discussed in Note 1. "Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" included in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data," the adoption of ASU No. 2016-09, "Compensation-Stock Compensation (Topic 718)" will subject our tax rate to quarterly volatility from the effect of stock award exercise and vesting activities. Additionally, we have deferred tax assets related to outstanding non-vested stock awards that contain market conditions. If market conditions are not achieved, then we may not realize the benefit of these deferred tax assets, which would result in a higher effective tax rate in future periods. We believe the stock awards granted in 2015 and 2016 that contain market conditions will increase our tax rate in the first and fourth quarters of 2018, respectively.

Table of Contents

During 2018, we expect to use a portion of the savings from the lower federal corporate income tax rate to provide enhanced benefits to our employees, including by making all restaurant managers and crew eligible for a one-time cash bonus, awarding one-time stock bonuses to a broad group of staff employees, and enhancing a number of other benefits such as parental leave and short-term disability. Additionally, we will use a portion of the savings by investing in our existing restaurants. We expect these initiatives to increase labor, other operating, and general and administrative expenses, and to result in higher capital expenditures than we have typically incurred.

Management and Governance. During the second quarter of 2017, we announced that we hired Scott Boatwright as Chief Restaurant Officer, and Scott has assumed oversight of operations for all North American Chipotle restaurants. In the fourth quarter of 2017, we announced that Steve Eells, our Chairman and CEO, will become Executive Chairman following the completion of a search to identify a new CEO. For risks associated with our planned installation of a new CEO, see “Risks Related to our Unique Business Strategy – Our success may depend on the continued service and availability of key personnel, and upcoming changes in our management team may not provide the benefits we expect” in Item 1A. “Risk Factors.”

Data Security Incident. In April 2017, we detected malware on the network that supports payment processing for our restaurants, and subsequently determined that the malware searched for track data, which may include cardholder name, card number, expiration date, and internal verification codes. We removed the malware from our systems and continue to evaluate ways to enhance our security measures. See “General Business Risks—We may be harmed by security risks we face in connection with our electronic processing and transmission of confidential customer and employee information” in Item 1A. “Risk Factors,” as well as Note 10. “Commitments and Contingencies” in Item 8. “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data,” for further discussion of the payment card security incident and related legal proceedings.

During the year ended December 31, 2017, we recorded a liability of \$30.0 million (\$18.2 million after tax), or \$0.64 per basic and diluted earnings per share, as an estimate of potential losses associated with anticipated claims and assessments by payment card networks. We may ultimately be subject to liabilities greater or less than the amount accrued.

Restaurant Openings, Relocations and Closures

The following table details restaurant unit data for the years indicated.

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Beginning of period | 2,250 | 2,010 | 1,783 |
| Openings | 183 | 243 | 229 |
| Relocations/closures | (10) | (3) | (2) |
| ShopHouse closures | (15) | - | |
| Total restaurants at end of period | 2,408 | 2,250 | 2,010 |

Results of Operations

Our results of operations as a percentage of revenue and period-over-period variances are discussed in the following section. As we open more restaurants and hire more employees, our aggregate restaurant operating costs and depreciation and amortization generally increase.

Revenue

| | Year ended December 31, | | | % increase | % increase/ |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2017 over 2016 | (decrease) 2016 over 2015 |
| | (dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 4,476.4 | \$ 3,904.4 | \$ 4,501.2 | 14.7% | (13.3%) |
| Average restaurant sales | \$ 1.940 | \$ 1.868 | \$ 2.424 | 3.9% | (22.9%) |
| Comparable restaurant sales increases (decreases) | 6.4% | (20.4%) | 0.2% | | |
| Number of restaurants as of the end of the year | 2,408 | 2,250 | 2,010 | 7.0% | 11.9% |
| Number of restaurants opened in the year | 183 | 243 | 229 | | |

Table of Contents

The significant factors contributing to the increase in revenue in 2017 were new restaurant openings and comparable restaurant sales increases. Revenue from restaurants not yet in the comparable restaurant base contributed \$338.8 million to the revenue increase, of which \$149.1 million was attributable to restaurants opened in 2017, and comparable restaurant sales increased \$233.2 million. The increase in comparable restaurant sales was attributable to an increase in average check, including a 1.2% benefit from menu price increases.

In 2016, the decrease in revenue was attributable to a decline in comparable restaurant sales, which we attribute primarily to the impact of food safety incidents beginning in late 2015, partially offset by new restaurant openings. Comparable restaurant sales decreased \$914.7 million while revenue from restaurants not yet in the comparable restaurant base contributed \$323.9 million, of which \$156.2 million was attributable to restaurants opened in 2016.

Food, Beverage and Packaging Costs

| | Year ended December 31, | | | % increase | % decrease |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2017 over 2016 | 2016 over 2015 |
| | (dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Food, beverage and packaging | \$ 1,535.4 | \$ 1,365.6 | \$ 1,503.8 | 12.4% | (9.2%) |
| As a percentage of revenue | 34.3% | 35.0% | 33.4% | | |

Food, beverage and packaging costs decreased as a percentage of revenue in 2017 primarily due to the benefit of the menu price increases taken in select restaurants during the second and fourth quarters of 2017. Food, beverage and packaging costs also benefitted from bringing the preparation of lettuce and bell peppers back into our restaurants after using pre-cut produce during portions of 2016, and cost savings initiatives resulting in lower prices and usage of paper and packaging products. These decreases were partially offset by higher avocado prices. We expect food, beverage and packaging costs as a percentage of revenue in 2018 to be lower than 2017 due to the benefit of menu price increases, and our expectations for stable commodity prices.

Food, beverage and packaging costs increased as a percentage of revenue in 2016 primarily due to increased waste and costs related to new food safety procedures as well as higher avocado prices, partially offset by relief in beef prices. In dollar terms, food, beverage and packaging costs decreased in 2016 due to lower sales.

Labor Costs

| | Year ended December 31, | | | % increase | % increase |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2017 over 2016 | 2016 over 2015 |
| | (dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Labor costs | \$ 1,206.0 | \$ 1,105.0 | \$ 1,045.7 | 9.1% | 5.7% |
| As a percentage of revenue | 26.9% | 28.3% | 23.2% | | |

Labor costs as a percentage of revenue decreased during the year ended December 31, 2017 due primarily to increased crew efficiency, including the benefit of lower promotional activity during the year, improved manager deployment, and sales leverage, including the impact of menu price increases. The decrease was partially offset by wage inflation. We expect labor costs as a percentage of revenue to be higher in 2018 than 2017 due to labor inflation and the enhanced benefits described above under "Overview – Tax Law Changes."

Labor costs as a percentage of revenue increased in 2016 due primarily to sales deleveraging and wage inflation, partially offset by labor efficiencies resulting from fewer managers and crew in each of our restaurants. Labor costs increased in dollar terms for the year ended December 31, 2016 due to staffing needs for new restaurants.

Occupancy Costs

| | Year ended December 31, | | | % increase | % increase |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2017 over 2016 | 2016 over 2015 |
| | (dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Occupancy costs | \$ 327.1 | \$ 293.6 | \$ 262.4 | 11.4% | 11.9% |
| As a percentage of revenue | 7.3% | 7.5% | 5.8% | | |

Occupancy costs as a percentage of revenue decreased in 2017 primarily due to sales leverage on a largely fixed-cost base.

Table of Contents

Occupancy costs as a percentage of revenue increased in 2016 primarily due to lower average restaurant sales on a largely fixed-cost base. Occupancy costs increased in dollar terms for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to costs associated with new restaurants.

Other Operating Costs

| | Year ended December 31, | | | % increase | % increase |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2017 over 2016 | 2016 over 2015 |
| | (dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Other operating costs | \$ 651.6 | \$ 642.0 | \$ 515.0 | 1.5% | 24.7% |
| As a percentage of revenue | 14.6% | 16.4% | 11.4% | | |

Other operating costs include, among other items, marketing and promotional costs, bank and credit card fees, and restaurant utilities and maintenance costs. Other operating costs decreased as a percentage of revenue in 2017 due primarily to decreased marketing and promotional spend, sales leverage including the benefit of menu price increases, and decreased kitchen supplies expense. Marketing and promotional spend decreased to 3.5% of revenue in 2017, as compared to 5.1% of revenue in 2016. We expect other operating costs as a percentage of revenue in 2018 to remain consistent with 2017 as planned lower marketing and promotional spend is offset by expected higher maintenance costs from investments in our existing restaurants.

Other operating costs increased as a percentage of revenue in 2016 due primarily to higher marketing and promotional expense as well as sales deleveraging. We increased our marketing and promotional spend in an effort to regain customers, which contributed \$98.2 million to the increase.

General and Administrative Expenses

| | Year ended December 31, | | | % increase | % increase |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2017 over 2016 | 2016 over 2015 |
| | (dollars in millions) | | | | |
| General and administrative expense | \$ 296.4 | \$ 276.2 | \$ 250.2 | 7.3% | 10.4% |
| As a percentage of revenue | 6.6% | 7.1% | 5.6% | | |

General and administrative expenses increased in dollar terms in 2017, due to recording a liability of \$30.0 million as an estimate of potential losses associated with anticipated claims and assessments by payment card networks for the data security incident that occurred in 2017. Increased bonus costs and higher non-cash stock-based compensation expense also contributed to the increase. The increase was partially offset by lower legal costs, and decreased meeting costs because of the biennial All Managers Conference held in September 2016. The increase in stock-based compensation expense during 2017 was primarily a result of a cumulative reduction of expense in 2016 for performance share awards that were no longer expected to vest. We expect that general and administrative expenses will increase in dollar terms in 2018 due to increased wages and benefits, the biennial All Managers' Conference planned for the third quarter of 2018, and an increase in stock-based compensation expense.

The increase in general and administrative expenses in dollar terms for 2016 primarily resulted from increased legal expense, higher payroll costs as we grew, and expenses associated with our biennial All Managers' Conference held during 2016, partially offset by lower bonus expense and travel costs.

Depreciation and Amortization

| | Year ended December 31, | | | % increase | % increase |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2017 over 2016 | 2016 over 2015 |
| | (dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 163.3 | \$ 146.4 | \$ 130.4 | 11.6% | 12.3% |
| As a percentage of revenue | 3.6% | 3.7% | 2.9% | | |

Depreciation and amortization decreased as a percentage of revenue in 2017 due to sales leverage on a partially fixed-cost base.

Depreciation and amortization increased as a percentage of revenue in 2016 due to sales deleveraging. The increase in dollar terms was due primarily to depreciation and amortization costs associated with new restaurants.

Table of Contents

Loss on Disposal and Impairment of Assets

| | Year ended December 31, | | | % decrease | % increase |
|---|-------------------------|---------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2017 over 2016 | 2016 over 2015 |
| | (dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Loss on disposal and impairment of assets | \$ 13.3 | \$ 23.9 | \$ 13.2 | (44.1%) | 81.0% |
| As a percentage of revenue | 0.3% | 0.6% | 0.3% | | |

Loss on disposal and impairment of assets during the year ended December 31, 2017 consisted primarily of charges related to the closure of underperforming Chipotle restaurants and the replacement of certain kitchen equipment.

Loss on disposal and impairment of assets increased in 2016 primarily due to a non-cash impairment charge of \$14.5 million to write-down substantially all of the value of the long-lived assets of our 15 ShopHouse restaurants.

Income Tax Provision

| | Year ended December 31, | | | % increase | % decrease |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2017 over 2016 | 2016 over 2015 |
| | (dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Provision for income taxes | \$ 99.5 | \$ 15.8 | \$ 294.3 | 529.6% | (94.6%) |
| Effective tax rate | 36.1% | 40.8% | 38.2% | | |

The 2017 annual effective tax rate was lower than the 2016 rate due to the enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, resulting in our recording a benefit for the remeasurement of our deferred tax liability, as well as from a lower state tax rate. The decrease in our effective tax rate was partially offset by federal credits on overall higher pre-tax operating income.

The 2016 effective tax rate was higher than 2015 due to a higher state tax rate, not qualifying for the federal research and development tax credit in 2016 whereas we did qualify for the credit in 2015, and other federal credits on overall lower pre-tax operating income.

Quarterly Financial Data/Seasonality

The following table presents data from the consolidated statement of income for each of the eight quarters in the period ended December 31, 2017. The operating results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of the results for any subsequent quarter.

| | 2017 Quarters Ended | | | |
|------------------|---------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | September 30 | December 31 |
| Revenue | \$ 1,068.8 | \$ 1,169.4 | \$ 1,128.1 | \$ 1,110.1 |
| Operating income | \$ 73.2 | \$ 106.7 | \$ 30.9 | \$ 60.0 |
| Net income | \$ 46.1 | \$ 66.7 | \$ 19.6 | \$ 43.8 |
| | 41 | 48 | 35 | 34 |

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form 10-K

Number of restaurants opened in the quarter, net of relocations/closures

Comparable restaurant sales increase 17.8% 8.1% 1.0% 0.9%

2016 Quarters Ended

| | March 31 | June 30 | September 30 | December 31 |
|--|-----------|----------|--------------|-------------|
| Revenue | \$ 834.5 | \$ 998.4 | \$ 1,037.0 | \$ 1,034.6 |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ (46.6) | \$ 40.9 | \$ 9.7 | \$ 30.6 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (26.4) | \$ 25.6 | \$ 7.8 | \$ 16.0 |
| Number of restaurants opened in the quarter, net of relocations/closures | 56 | 58 | 54 | 72 |
| Comparable restaurant sales increase (decrease) | (29.7%) | (23.6%) | (21.9%) | (4.8%) |

35

Table of Contents

Seasonal factors cause our profitability to fluctuate from quarter to quarter. Historically our average daily restaurant sales are lower, and net income has generally been lower, in the first and fourth quarters due in part to the holiday season and because fewer people eat out during periods of inclement weather (the winter months) than during periods of mild or warm weather (the spring, summer and fall months). Other factors also have a seasonal effect on our results. For example, restaurants located near colleges and universities generally do more business during the academic year. Seasonal factors, however, might be moderated or outweighed by other factors that may influence our quarterly results, such as unexpected publicity impacting our business in a positive or negative way, as well as fluctuations in food or packaging costs or the timing of menu price increases. The number of trading days in a quarter can also affect our results, although on an overall annual basis, changes in trading days do not have a significant impact.

Our quarterly results are also affected by other factors such as the number of new restaurants opened in a quarter, the amount and timing of non-cash stock-based compensation expense, and anticipated and unanticipated events. New restaurants typically have lower margins following opening as a result of the expenses associated with opening new restaurants and their operating inefficiencies in the months immediately following opening. Accordingly, results for a particular quarter are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any other quarter or for any year.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary liquidity and capital requirements are for new restaurant construction, initiatives to improve the guest experience in our restaurants, working capital, and general corporate needs. As of December 31, 2017, we had a cash and short-term investment balance of \$509.0 million that we expect to utilize, along with cash flow from operations, to provide capital to support the growth of our business, to invest in, maintain and refurbish our existing restaurants, to repurchase additional shares of our common stock subject to market conditions, and for general corporate purposes. As of December 31, 2017, there was \$118.3 million remaining available under repurchase authorizations previously approved by our Board of Directors. Under the remaining repurchase authorizations, shares may be purchased from time to time in open market transactions, subject to market conditions. We believe that cash from operations, together with our cash and investment balances, will be enough to meet ongoing capital expenditures, working capital requirements and other cash needs for the foreseeable future.

We haven't required significant working capital because customers generally pay using cash or credit and debit cards and because our operations do not require significant receivables, nor do they require significant inventories due, in part, to our use of various fresh ingredients. In addition, we generally have the right to pay for the purchase of food, beverage and supplies some time after the receipt of those items, generally within ten days, thereby reducing the need for incremental working capital to support our growth.

Our total capital expenditures for 2017 were \$216.8 million. In 2017, we spent on average about \$835,000 in development and construction costs per new restaurant, or about \$735,000 net of landlord reimbursements of \$100,000. In 2018, we expect to incur about \$300 million in total capital expenditures. We expect the majority of our capital expenditures to consist of investments in existing restaurants, including remodeling and similar improvements, and upgrading our second make lines and other restaurant equipment. We also expect about \$120 million in capital expenditures related to our construction of new restaurants, before any reductions for landlord reimbursements. For new restaurants to be opened in 2018, we anticipate average development costs will increase due to initiatives planned in most of our new restaurants such as the addition of the upgraded second make line. Finally, we expect a portion of our capital expenditures for the year to be incurred for additional corporate initiatives.

Contractual Obligations

Our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2017 were as follows:

| | Payments Due by Fiscal Year | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| | Total | 2018 | 2019-2020 | 2021-2022 | Thereafter |
| | (in thousands) | | | | |
| Operating leases(1) | \$ 3,906,253 | \$ 281,461 | \$ 569,198 | \$ 558,431 | \$ 2,497,163 |
| Purchase obligations(2) | \$ 929,242 | \$ 409,568 | \$ 323,906 | \$ 177,408 | \$ 18,360 |
| Deemed landlord financing(1) | \$ 3,472 | \$ 423 | \$ 855 | \$ 908 | \$ 1,286 |
| Total | \$ 4,838,967 | \$ 691,452 | \$ 893,959 | \$ 736,747 | \$ 2,516,809 |

- (1) See Note 8. "Leases" of our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."
- (2) Purchase obligations include agreements to purchase goods or services that are enforceable and legally binding on us and that specify all significant terms. We have excluded agreements that are cancelable without penalty. The majority of our purchase

Table of Contents

obligations relate to amounts owed for chicken, produce, and other ingredients and supplies, construction contractor agreements, orders submitted for equipment for restaurants under construction and planned remodels, and marketing initiatives and corporate sponsorships.

The above table does not include income tax liabilities for uncertain tax positions for which we are not able to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the amount and period of related future payments. Additionally, we have excluded our estimated loss contingencies related to the data security incident described elsewhere, due to uncertainty regarding the timing and amount of payment. See Note 10. "Commitments and Contingencies" of our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements or obligations.

Inflation

The primary areas of our operations affected by inflation are food, labor, healthcare costs, fuel, utility costs, and materials used in the construction of our restaurants. Although a significant majority of our crew members make more than the federal and applicable state and local minimum wage, increases in the applicable federal or state minimum wage may have an impact on our labor costs by causing wage inflation above the minimum wage level. Additionally, many of our leases require us to pay property taxes, maintenance, and utilities, all of which are generally subject to inflationary increases. In the past we have largely been able to offset inflationary increases with menu price increases. There have been, and there may be in the future, delays in implementing such menu price increases. If we do raise menu prices in the future, general competitive pressures may limit our ability to completely recover cost increases attributable to inflation.

Critical Accounting Estimates

We describe our significant accounting policies in Note 1. "Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" of our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data." Critical accounting estimates are those that we believe are both significant and that require us to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often because we need to estimate the effect of inherently uncertain matters. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experiences and various other factors that we believe to be appropriate under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates, and we might obtain different estimates if we used different assumptions or factors. We believe that of our critical accounting estimates, the following involve a higher degree of judgement and subjectivity.

Leases

We lease nearly all of our restaurant locations. Our leases typically contain escalating rentals over the lease term as well as optional renewal periods. We have estimated that our lease term, including reasonably assured renewal periods, is the lesser of the lease term or 20 years. We account for our leases by recognizing rent expense on a straight-line basis over the reasonably assured lease term. The majority of our leasehold improvements are also depreciated over the reasonably assured lease term. If the estimate of our reasonably assured lease term was changed, our depreciation and rent expense could differ materially.

Stock-based Compensation

We recognize compensation expense for equity awards over the vesting period based on the award's fair value. We use the Black-Scholes valuation model to determine the fair value of our stock-only stock appreciation rights, or SOSARs, and we use the Monte Carlo simulation model to determine the fair value of stock awards that contain market conditions. Both of these models require assumptions to be made regarding our stock price volatility, the expected life of the award and expected dividend rates. The volatility assumption was based on our historical data and implied volatility, and the expected life assumptions were based on our historical data. Similarly, the compensation expense of performance share awards, and SOSARs with performance-based vesting conditions, is based in part on the estimated probability of our achieving levels of performance associated with particular levels of payout for performance shares and with vesting for performance SOSARs. We determine the probability of achievement of future levels of performance by comparing the relevant performance level with our internal estimates of future performance. Those estimates are based on a number of assumptions, and different assumptions may have resulted in different conclusions regarding the probability of our achieving future levels of performance relevant to the payout levels for the awards. Had we arrived at different assumptions of stock price volatility or expected lives of our SOSARs, or different assumptions regarding the probability of our achieving future levels of performance with respect to performance share awards and performance SOSARs, our stock-based compensation expense and results of operations could have been different. Certain awards that contain service, performance and market conditions have vesting criteria based on Chipotle's relative performance versus a restaurant industry peer group in annual average revenue growth,

Table of Contents

net income growth, and total shareholder return. Our estimates of Chipotle's future performance and the future performance of the restaurant industry peer group are assumptions that involve a high degree of subjectivity. If we had arrived at different assumptions for revenue growth or net income for Chipotle or the peer group, our stock-based compensation expense and results of operations could have been different.

Insurance Liability

We are self-insured for a significant portion of our risks and associated liabilities with respect to workers' compensation, general liability, employee health, property and auto damage, but have third party insurance coverage to limit exposure to these claims. We record a liability that represents our estimated cost of claims incurred and unpaid as of the balance sheet date. Our estimated liability is not discounted and is based on a number of assumptions and factors, including historical trends, actuarial assumptions and economic conditions, and is closely monitored and adjusted when warranted by changing circumstances. Our history of claims experience is relatively short and our significant growth during most of our operating history could affect the accuracy of estimates based on historical experience. If a greater amount of claims occurs compared to what we have estimated, or if medical costs increase beyond what we expected, our accrued liabilities might not be sufficient and we may be required to record additional expense. Actual claims experience could also be more favorable than estimated, which would result in expense reductions. Unanticipated changes may produce materially different amounts of expense than that reported under these programs. The total estimated insurance liabilities as of December 31, 2017 were \$52.0 million.

Reserves/Contingencies for Litigation and Other Matters

We are involved in various claims and legal actions that arise in the ordinary course of business. These actions are subject to many uncertainties, and we cannot predict the outcomes with any degree of certainty. Consequently, we were unable to estimate the ultimate aggregate amount of monetary liability or financial impact with respect to these matters as of December 31, 2017. Although we have recorded liabilities related to a number of legal actions, our estimates used to determine the amount of these liabilities may not be accurate, and there are other legal actions for which we have not recorded a liability. As a result, in the event legal actions for which we have not accrued a liability or for which our accrued liabilities are not accurate are resolved, such resolution may affect our operating results and cash flows.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Commodity Price Risks

We are exposed to commodity price risks. Many of the ingredients we use to prepare our food, as well as our packaging materials and utilities to run our restaurants, are ingredients or commodities that are affected by the price of other commodities, exchange rates, foreign demand, weather, seasonality, production, availability and other factors outside our control. We work closely with our suppliers and use a mix of forward pricing protocols under which we agree with our supplier on fixed prices for deliveries at some time in the future, fixed pricing protocols under which we agree on a fixed price with our supplier for the duration of that protocol, formula pricing protocols under which the prices we pay are based on a specified formula related to the prices of the goods, such as spot prices, and range forward protocols under which we agree on a price range for the duration of that protocol. However, a majority of the dollar value of our purchases is effectively at spot prices. Generally, our pricing protocols with suppliers can remain in effect for periods ranging from one to 24 months, depending on the outlook for prices of the particular ingredient. In several cases, we have minimum purchase obligations. We've tried to increase, where practical, the number of suppliers for our ingredients, which we believe can help mitigate pricing volatility, and we follow industry news, trade issues, exchange rates, foreign demand, weather, crises and other world events that may affect our ingredient prices. Increases in ingredient prices could adversely affect our results if we choose for competitive or other reasons not to increase menu prices at the same rate at which ingredient costs increase, or if menu price increases result in customer resistance.

Changing Interest Rates

We are also exposed to interest rate risk through fluctuations of interest rates on our investments. Changes in interest rates affect the interest income we earn, and therefore impact our cash flows and results of operations. As of December 31, 2017, we had \$362.1 million in investments and interest-bearing cash accounts, including insurance-related restricted trust accounts classified in other assets, and \$129.3 million in accounts with an earnings credit we classify as interest income, which combined earned a weighted average interest rate of 0.97%.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

A portion of our operations consist of activities outside of the U.S. and we have currency risk on the transactions in other currencies and translation adjustments resulting from the conversion of our international financial results into the U.S. dollar. However, a substantial majority of our operations and investment activities are transacted in the U.S., and therefore our foreign currency risk is not material at this date.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 40 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2017 and 2016</u> | 42 |
| <u>Consolidated Statement of Income and Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015</u> | 43 |
| <u>Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015</u> | 44 |
| <u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015</u> | 45 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 46 |

40

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc.

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. (the Company), as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company at December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 8, 2018 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

We have served as the Company's auditor since 1997.

Denver, Colorado

February 8, 2018

Table of Contents

CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | December 31, 2017 | 2016 |
|--|----------------------|--------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 184,569 | \$ 87,880 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0 and \$259 as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively | 40,453 | 40,451 |
| Inventory | 19,860 | 15,019 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 50,918 | 44,080 |
| Income tax receivable | 9,353 | 5,108 |
| Investments | 324,382 | 329,836 |
| Total current assets | 629,535 | 522,374 |
| Leasehold improvements, property and equipment, net | 1,338,366 | 1,303,558 |
| Long term investments | - | 125,055 |
| Other assets | 55,852 | 53,177 |
| Goodwill | 21,939 | 21,939 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,045,692 | \$ 2,026,103 |
| Liabilities and shareholders' equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 82,028 | \$ 78,363 |
| Accrued payroll and benefits | 82,541 | 76,301 |
| Accrued liabilities | 159,324 | 127,129 |
| Total current liabilities | 323,893 | 281,793 |
| Deferred rent | 316,498 | 288,927 |
| Deferred income tax liability | 814 | 18,944 |
| Other liabilities | 40,042 | 33,946 |
| Total liabilities | 681,247 | 623,610 |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 600,000 shares authorized, no shares issued as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively | - | - |
| Common stock \$0.01 par value, 230,000 shares authorized, and 35,852 and 35,833 shares issued as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively | 359 | 358 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,305,090 | 1,238,875 |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 7,826 and 7,019 common shares at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively | (2,334,409) | (2,049,389) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (3,659) | (8,162) |

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Retained earnings | 2,397,064 | 2,220,811 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 1,364,445 | 1,402,493 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 2,045,692 | \$ 2,026,103 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

42

Table of Contents

CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Revenue | \$ 4,476,412 | \$ 3,904,384 | \$ 4,501,223 |
| Restaurant operating costs (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown separately below): | | | |
| Food, beverage and packaging | 1,535,428 | 1,365,580 | 1,503,835 |
| Labor | 1,205,992 | 1,105,001 | 1,045,726 |
| Occupancy | 327,132 | 293,636 | 262,412 |
| Other operating costs | 651,644 | 641,953 | 514,963 |
| General and administrative expenses | 296,388 | 276,240 | 250,214 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 163,348 | 146,368 | 130,368 |
| Pre-opening costs | 12,341 | 17,162 | 16,922 |
| Loss on disposal and impairment of assets | 13,345 | 23,877 | 13,194 |
| Total operating expenses | 4,205,618 | 3,869,817 | 3,737,634 |
| Income from operations | 270,794 | 34,567 | 763,589 |
| Interest and other income, net | 4,949 | 4,172 | 6,278 |
| Income before income taxes | 275,743 | 38,739 | 769,867 |
| Provision for income taxes | (99,490) | (15,801) | (294,265) |
| Net income | \$ 176,253 | \$ 22,938 | \$ 475,602 |
| Earnings per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 6.19 | \$ 0.78 | \$ 15.30 |
| Diluted | \$ 6.17 | \$ 0.77 | \$ 15.10 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 28,491 | 29,265 | 31,092 |
| Diluted | 28,561 | 29,770 | 31,494 |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in thousands)

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Net income | \$ 176,253 | \$ 22,938 | \$ 475,602 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes: | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 4,689 | (1,291) | (6,322) |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities | (274) | 2,251 | (2,468) |
| Tax benefit (expense) | 88 | (849) | 946 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes | 4,503 | 111 | (7,844) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 180,756 | \$ 23,049 | \$ 467,758 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(in thousands)

| | Common Stock | | | Treasury Stock | | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | | Total |
|--|--------------|--------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|---|------------------------------|--------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Additional Paid-In Capital | Shares | Amount | | Available-for-Sale Securities | Foreign Currency Translation | |
| Balance, December 31, 2014 | 35,394 | \$ 354 | \$ 1,038,932 | 4,367 | \$ (748,759) | \$ 1,722,271 | \$ - | \$ (429) | \$ 2,012,369 |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 59,465 | | | | | | 59,465 |
| Stock plan transactions and other | 396 | 4 | (211) | | | | | | (207) |
| Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation | | | 74,442 | | | | | | 74,442 |
| Acquisition of treasury stock | | | | 839 | (485,853) | | | | (485,853) |
| Net income | | | | | | 475,602 | | | 475,602 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income tax | | | | | | | (1,522) | (6,322) | (7,844) |
| Balance, December 31, 2015 | 35,790 | \$ 358 | \$ 1,172,628 | 5,206 | \$ (1,234,612) | \$ 2,197,873 | \$ (1,522) | \$ (6,751) | \$ 2,127,974 |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 65,112 | | | | | | 65,112 |
| Stock plan transactions and other | 43 | - | (185) | | | | | | (185) |
| Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation | | | 1,320 | | | | | | 1,320 |
| Acquisition of treasury stock | | | | 1,813 | (814,777) | | | | (814,777) |

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------------|-------|----------------|--------------|----------|------------|--|--------------|
| Net income | | | | | | 22,938 | | | | 22,938 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income tax | | | | | | | 1,402 | (1,291) | | 111 |
| Balance, December 31, 2016 | 35,833 | \$ 358 | \$ 1,238,875 | 7,019 | \$ (2,049,389) | \$ 2,220,811 | \$ (120) | \$ (8,042) | | \$ 1,402,493 |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 66,396 | | | | | | | 66,396 |
| Stock plan transactions and other | 19 | 1 | (181) | | | | | | | (180) |
| Acquisition of treasury stock | | | | 807 | (285,020) | | | | | (285,020) |
| Net income | | | | | | 176,253 | | | | 176,253 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income tax | | | | | | | (186) | 4,689 | | 4,503 |
| Balance, December 31, 2017 | 35,852 | \$ 359 | \$ 1,305,090 | 7,826 | \$ (2,334,409) | \$ 2,397,064 | \$ (306) | \$ (3,353) | | \$ 1,364,445 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Operating activities | | | |
| Net income | \$ 176,253 | \$ 22,938 | \$ 475,602 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 163,348 | 146,368 | 130,368 |
| Deferred income tax (benefit) provision | (18,026) | (14,207) | 11,666 |
| Loss on disposal and impairment of assets | 13,345 | 23,877 | 13,194 |
| Bad debt allowance | 214 | (262) | (23) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 65,255 | 64,166 | 57,911 |
| Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation | - | (1,320) | (74,442) |
| Other | (218) | (604) | 582 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (140) | (1,923) | (3,504) |
| Inventory | (5,250) | (91) | 262 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (6,710) | (4,259) | (5,259) |
| Other assets | (2,587) | (4,855) | (5,619) |
| Accounts payable | 10,908 | (6,734) | 19,525 |
| Accrued liabilities | 38,574 | 33,491 | (7,440) |
| Income tax payable/receivable | (4,173) | 54,340 | 32,756 |
| Deferred rent | 29,996 | 37,030 | 32,911 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 6,316 | 1,287 | 4,826 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 467,105 | 349,242 | 683,316 |
| Investing activities | | | |
| Purchases of leasehold improvements, property and equipment | (216,777) | (258,842) | (257,418) |
| Purchases of investments | (199,801) | - | (559,372) |
| Maturities of investments | 330,000 | 45,000 | 352,650 |
| Proceeds from sale of investments | - | 540,648 | - |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (86,578) | 326,806 | (464,140) |
| Financing activities | | | |
| Acquisition of treasury stock | (285,920) | (837,655) | (460,675) |
| Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation | - | 1,320 | 74,442 |
| Stock plan transactions and other financing activities | 26 | 52 | (207) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (285,894) | (836,283) | (386,440) |

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|------------|-------------|------------|
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 2,056 | 110 | (4,196) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | 96,689 | (160,125) | (171,460) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 87,880 | 248,005 | 419,465 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 184,569 | \$ 87,880 | \$ 248,005 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information | | | |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 119,787 | \$ 23,862 | \$ 248,547 |
| Increase (decrease) in purchases of leasehold improvements, property, and equipment accrued in accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ (7,690) | \$ (1,781) | \$ (2,870) |
| Increase (decrease) in acquisition of treasury stock accrued in accrued liabilities | \$ (900) | \$ (22,778) | \$ 25,178 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollar and share amounts in thousands, unless otherwise specified)

1. Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

In this annual report on Form 10-K, Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc., a Delaware corporation, together with its subsidiaries, is collectively referred to as “Chipotle,” “we,” “us,” or “our.”

We develop and operate restaurants that serve a focused menu of burritos, tacos, burrito bowls, and salads, made using fresh, high-quality ingredients. As of December 31, 2017, we operated 2,363 Chipotle restaurants throughout the United States as well as 37 international Chipotle restaurants and eight non-Chipotle restaurants. We transitioned the management of our operations from 11 to nine regions during 2017 and have aggregated our operations to one reportable segment.

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

Our consolidated financial statements include our accounts, including wholly and majority owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Management Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue, net of discounts and incentives, when payment is tendered at the point of sale. We recognize a liability for offers of free food by estimating the cost to satisfy the offer based on company-specific historical redemption patterns for similar promotions. These costs are recognized in other operating costs in the consolidated statement of income and in accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet. We report revenue net of sales-related taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental taxing authorities.

We sell gift cards which do not have an expiration date and we do not deduct non-usage fees from outstanding gift card balances. We recognize revenue from gift cards when: (i) the gift card is redeemed by the customer; or (ii) we determine the likelihood of the gift card being redeemed by the customer is remote (gift card breakage) and there is not a legal obligation to remit the unredeemed gift cards to the relevant jurisdiction. The determination of the gift card breakage rate is based upon company-specific historical redemption patterns. We have determined that 4% of gift card sales will not be redeemed and will be retained. Gift card breakage is recognized in revenue as the gift cards are used on a pro rata basis. During the quarter ended December 31, 2017, we revised the period over which we recognize gift

card breakage from six months to eight months from the date of the gift card sale in the consolidated statement of income. Breakage recognized during the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was \$3,590, \$3,624 and \$4,226, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, we offered a limited-time frequency program that awarded free food or merchandise to customers based on frequency of monthly visits. We deferred revenue reflecting the portion of original sales allocated to the rewards that were earned by program participants and not redeemed at the end of the year, and recorded a corresponding liability in accrued liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet. The portion of revenue allocated to the rewards was based on the estimated value of the award earned and takes into consideration company-specific historical redemption patterns for similar promotions. Rewards expire according to the loyalty awards terms and conditions. Deferred revenue related to the frequency program was \$0 and \$5,489 as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, and the entire amount that was deferred as of December 31, 2016 was recognized during 2017.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investment instruments purchased with an initial maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. We maintain cash and cash equivalent balances with financial institutions that exceed federally-insured limits. We have not experienced any losses related to these balances and believe the risk to be minimal.

Table of Contents

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable primarily consists of receivables from third party gift card distributors, tenant improvement receivables, vendor rebates, and interest receivable. The allowance for doubtful accounts is our best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in our existing accounts receivable based on a specific review of account balances. Account balances are charged against the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recoverability is considered remote.

Inventory

Inventory, consisting principally of food, beverages, and supplies, is valued at the lower of first-in, first-out cost or net realizable value. Certain key ingredients (beef, pork, chicken, beans, rice, sour cream, cheese, and tortillas) are purchased from a small number of suppliers.

Investments

Investments classified as trading securities are carried at fair value with any unrealized gain or loss being recorded in the consolidated statement of income. Investments classified as available-for-sale are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, included as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) on the statement of comprehensive income. Held-to-maturity securities are carried at amortized cost. Impairment charges on investments are recognized in interest and other income, net on the consolidated statement of income when management believes the decline in the fair value of the investment is other-than-temporary.

Leasehold Improvements, Property and Equipment

Leasehold improvements, property and equipment are recorded at cost. Internal costs directly associated with the acquisition, development and construction of a restaurant are capitalized and were \$7,507, \$8,076 and \$9,554 for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Expenditures for major renewals and improvements are capitalized while expenditures for minor replacements, maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the lease term, which generally includes reasonably assured option periods, or the estimated useful lives of the assets. Upon retirement or disposal of assets, the accounts are relieved of cost and accumulated depreciation and any related gain or loss is reflected in loss on disposal and impairment of assets in the consolidated statement of income.

At least annually, we evaluate, and adjust when necessary, the estimated useful lives of leasehold improvements, property and equipment. The changes in estimated useful lives did not have a material impact on depreciation in any period. The estimated useful lives are:

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Leasehold improvements and buildings | 3-20 years |
| Furniture and fixtures | 4-7 years |
| Equipment | 3-10 years |

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of cost over fair value of net assets of the business acquired. Goodwill is not subject to amortization, but instead is tested for impairment at least annually, and we are required to record any necessary

impairment adjustments. Impairment is measured as the excess of the carrying value over the fair value of the goodwill. Based on our analysis, no impairment charges were recognized on goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015.

Other Assets

Other assets consist primarily of restricted cash assets of \$29,601 and \$28,490 as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, a rabbi trust as described further in Note 7. "Employee Benefit Plans," transferable liquor licenses which are carried at the lower of fair value or cost, and rental deposits related to leased properties. Restricted cash assets are primarily insurance-related restricted trust assets.

47

Table of Contents

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. For the purpose of reviewing restaurant assets to be held and used for potential impairment, assets are grouped together at the market level, or in the case of a potential relocation or closure, at the restaurant level. We manage our restaurants as a group with significant common costs and promotional activities; as such, an individual restaurant's cash flows are not generally independent of the cash flows of others in a market. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized as the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset.

During the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, an aggregate impairment charge of \$3,291, \$17,394 and \$6,675, respectively, was recognized in loss on disposal and impairment of assets in the consolidated statement of income. During the year ended December 31, 2017, the impairment charges resulted primarily from the closure of a small number of underperforming Chipotle restaurants. Impairment charges recognized during the year ended December 31, 2016 resulted primarily from the impairment of ShopHouse Southeast Asian Kitchen restaurants which were closed during 2017. During the year ended December 31, 2015, the impairment charges resulted from an internally developed software program we chose not to implement and the related hardware, the discontinued use of certain kitchen equipment from our restaurants, as well as restaurant relocations. The fair value of restaurants was determined using Level 3 inputs (unobservable inputs) based on a discounted cash flows method. See "Fair Value Measurements" below for a description of level inputs.

Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized at enacted income tax rates for the temporary differences between the financial reporting bases and the tax bases of our assets and liabilities. Any effects of changes in income tax rates or tax laws are included in the provision for income taxes in the period of enactment. The deferred income tax impacts of investment tax credits are recognized as an immediate adjustment to income tax expense. When it is more likely than not that a portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized in the future, we provide a corresponding valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset, except for deferred tax assets related to stock awards when there is sufficient future taxable income to recover the deferred tax assets. When it is more likely than not that a position will be sustained upon examination by a tax authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information, we measure the amount of tax benefit from our position and record the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized after settlement with a tax authority. Our policy is to recognize interest to be paid on an underpayment of income taxes in interest expense and any related statutory penalties in the provision for income taxes in the consolidated statement of income.

Restaurant Pre-Opening Costs

Pre-opening costs, including rent, wages, benefits and travel for training and opening teams, food and other restaurant operating costs, are expensed as incurred prior to a restaurant opening for business, and are included in operating expenses on the consolidated statement of income.

Insurance Liability

We are self-insured for a significant portion of our risks and associated liabilities with respect to workers' compensation, employee health, general liability, automobile, and property damage. Pursuant to these policies, we are responsible for losses up to varying deductibles and are required to estimate a liability that represents the ultimate exposure for aggregate losses below those limits. This liability is based on our estimates of the ultimate costs to be incurred to settle known claims and, where applicable, claims not reported as of the balance sheet date. The estimated liability is not discounted and is based on a number of assumptions and factors, including historical trends, actuarial assumptions, and economic conditions. If actual trends differ from the estimates, the financial results could be impacted. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, \$37,096 and \$35,550, respectively, of the estimated liability was included in accrued payroll and benefits and \$14,014 and \$13,881, respectively, was included in accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet.

48

Table of Contents

Advertising and Marketing Costs

Advertising and marketing costs are expensed as incurred and totaled \$106,345, \$102,969 and \$69,257 for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Advertising and marketing costs are included in other operating costs in the consolidated statement of income.

Rent

Rent expense for our leases, which generally have escalating rentals over the term of the lease, is recorded on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The lease term is the lesser of 20 years inclusive of reasonably assured renewal periods, or the lease term. The lease term begins when we have the right to control the use of the property, which is typically before rent payments are due under the lease. The difference between the rent expense and rent paid is recorded as deferred rent in the consolidated balance sheet. Pre-opening rent is included in pre-opening costs in the consolidated statement of income. Tenant incentives used to fund leasehold improvements are recorded in deferred rent and amortized as reductions of rent expense over the term of the lease.

Additionally, certain operating leases contain clauses that provide additional contingent rent based on a percentage of sales greater than certain specified target amounts. Contingent rent expense is recognized provided the achievement of that target is considered probable.

Stock-Based Compensation

We issue shares as part of employee compensation pursuant to the Amended and Restated Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2011 Incentive Plan"). Stock only stock appreciation rights ("SOSARs") and stock awards generally vest equally over two and three years and expire after seven years. Stock-based compensation expense is generally recognized on a straight-line basis for each separate vesting portion. Compensation expense related to employees eligible to retire and retain full rights to the awards is recognized over six months which coincides with the notice period. We estimate forfeitures based on historical data when determining the amount of stock-based compensation costs to be recognized in each period. We have also granted SOSARs and stock awards with performance vesting conditions and/or market vesting conditions. Stock awards with performance or market vesting conditions generally vest based on our achievement versus stated targets or criteria over a three-year performance and service period. Compensation expense on SOSARs subject to performance conditions is recognized over the longer of the estimated performance goal attainment period or time vesting period. Compensation expense on stock awards subject to performance conditions, which is based on the quantity of awards we have determined are probable of vesting, is recognized over the longer of the estimated performance goal attainment period or time vesting period. Compensation expense is recognized ratably for awards subject to market conditions regardless of whether the market condition is satisfied, provided that the requisite service has been provided. Some stock-based compensation awards are made to employees involved in our new restaurant development activities, and expense for these awards is recognized as capitalized development and included in leasehold improvements, property and equipment in the consolidated balance sheet.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value because of their short-term nature.

Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is the price we would receive to sell an asset or pay to transfer a liability (exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants. For assets and liabilities recorded or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis, we determine fair value based on the following:

Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access.

Level 2: Observable inputs other than prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated with observable market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets and liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

49

Table of Contents

Foreign Currency Translation

Our international operations use the local currency as the functional currency. Assets and liabilities are translated at exchange rates in effect as of the balance sheet date. Income and expense accounts are translated at the average monthly exchange rates during the year. Resulting translation adjustments are recorded as a separate component of other comprehensive income (loss) in the consolidated statement of comprehensive income.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In November 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2016-18, “Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230)”, which provides guidance on the classification of restricted cash to be included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning of period and end of period total amounts on the statement of cash flows. We will be adopting this pronouncement on January 1, 2018, using a retrospective adoption method. For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, \$29,601, \$28,490 and \$22,572, respectively, of restricted cash would have been included in cash and cash equivalents and changes in the balance excluded from net cash provided by operating activities in the consolidated statement of cash flows if this new guidance had been adopted as of the respective dates.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, “Leases (Topic 842).” The pronouncement requires lessees to recognize a liability for lease obligations, which represent the discounted obligation to make future minimum lease payments, and a corresponding right-of-use asset on the balance sheet. The guidance requires disclosure of key information about leasing arrangements which are intended to give financial statement users the ability to assess the amount, timing, and potential uncertainty of cash flows related to leases. We expect to adopt the requirements of the new lease standard effective January 1, 2019. We are currently evaluating the provisions of the new lease standard, including optional practical expedients, and assessing our existing lease portfolio in order to determine the impact to our accounting systems, processes and internal control over financial reporting. The adoption of ASU 2016-02 will have a significant impact on our consolidated balance sheet because we will record material assets and obligations for current operating leases. We are still assessing the expected impact on our consolidated statements of income and cash flows.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606),” as amended by multiple standards updates. This guidance requires an entity to recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. Additionally, this guidance will require us to enhance our disclosures, including disclosing performance obligations to customers arising from gift cards and certain promotional activity. The pronouncement is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The adoption is not expected to have an impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

We reviewed all other recently issued accounting pronouncements and concluded that they were either not applicable or not expected to have a significant impact to the consolidated financial statements.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standard

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, “Compensation-Stock Compensation (Topic 718).” The pronouncement was issued to simplify several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities and classification on the consolidated statement of cash flows.

We adopted ASU 2016-09 on January 1, 2017, prospectively (prior periods have not been restated). The primary impact of adoption was the recognition for the year ended December 31, 2017, of an excess tax benefit of \$448, which reduces our provision for income taxes and the classification of these excess tax benefits in operating activities in the consolidated statement of cash flows instead of financing activities.

The presentation requirements for cash flows related to employee taxes paid for withheld shares had no impact to any of the periods presented in the consolidated statement of cash flows, since such cash flows have historically been presented in financing activities. We also elected to continue estimating forfeitures when determining the amount of stock-based compensation costs to be recognized in each period. No other provisions of ASU 2016-09 had a material impact on our financial statements or disclosures.

2. Supplemental Financial Information

Leasehold improvements, property and equipment were as follows:

50

Table of Contents

| | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Land | \$ 12,943 | \$ 12,943 |
| Leasehold improvements and buildings | 1,677,294 | 1,572,606 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 166,915 | 157,541 |
| Equipment | 460,138 | 405,937 |
| Leasehold improvements, property and equipment | 2,317,290 | 2,149,027 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (978,924) | (845,469) |
| Leasehold improvements, property and equipment, net | \$ 1,338,366 | \$ 1,303,558 |

Accrued payroll and benefits were as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Workers' compensation liability | \$ 34,631 | \$ 33,038 |
| Accrued payroll | 19,666 | 22,338 |
| Other accrued payroll and benefits | 28,244 | 20,925 |
| Accrued payroll and benefits | \$ 82,541 | \$ 76,301 |

Accrued liabilities were as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Gift card liability | \$ 63,645 | \$ 59,438 |
| Transaction tax payable | 18,920 | 20,435 |
| Data security incident liability | 30,000 | - |
| Other accrued liabilities | 46,759 | 47,256 |
| Accrued liabilities | \$ 159,324 | \$ 127,129 |

3. Investments

As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, our investments consisted of U.S. treasury notes with maturities up to approximately one year and were classified as available-for-sale. Fair value of U.S. treasury notes is measured on a recurring basis based on Level 1 inputs (quoted prices for identical assets in active markets).

The following is a summary of available-for-sale securities:

| | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Amortized cost | \$ 324,875 | \$ 455,109 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) | (493) | (218) |
| Fair value | \$ 324,382 | \$ 454,891 |

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) in the consolidated statement of comprehensive income:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities | \$ (274) | \$ 2,251 | \$ (2,468) |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, net of tax | \$ (186) | \$ 1,402 | \$ (1,522) |

Realized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are recorded in interest and other income on the consolidated statement of income. We had no realized gains or losses for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2015, and \$547 of realized gains on available-for-sale securities for the year ended December 31, 2016. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we recorded an other-than-temporary impairment charge of \$244 in interest and other income in the consolidated statement of income in connection with a decline in the fair market value of certain available-for-sale securities.

We have elected to fund certain deferred compensation obligations through a rabbi trust, the assets of which are designated as trading securities, as described further in Note 7. "Employee Benefit Plans."

4. Income Taxes

The components of the provision for income taxes are as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Current tax: | | | |
| U.S. Federal | \$ 98,208 | \$ 20,765 | \$ 244,470 |
| U.S. State | 18,639 | 8,687 | 37,957 |
| Foreign | 669 | 556 | 172 |
| | 117,516 | 30,008 | 282,599 |
| Deferred tax: | | | |
| U.S. Federal | (16,201) | (11,596) | 11,000 |
| U.S. State | (1,559) | (2,546) | 699 |
| Foreign | (496) | (2,470) | (2,288) |
| | (18,256) | (16,612) | 9,411 |
| Valuation allowance | 230 | 2,405 | 2,255 |
| Provision for income taxes | \$ 99,490 | \$ 15,801 | \$ 294,265 |

On December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, (the "TCJA") was enacted. The TCJA includes a number of changes to existing U.S. tax laws that impact the Company, most notably a reduction of the U.S. corporate tax rate

from 35% to 21%, for tax years beginning after December 31, 2017. We recorded a benefit of \$6,047 (\$0.21 per basic and diluted earnings per share) in deferred income tax expense for the remeasurement of our net deferred tax liability at the 21% tax rate. The TCJA also provides for acceleration of depreciation for certain assets placed into service after September 27, 2017, as well as prospective changes beginning in 2018, including additional limitations on deductibility of executive compensation and employee meal benefits.

The \$6,047 benefit represents what we believe is the impact of the TCJA. As the benefit is based on currently available information and interpretations, which are continuing to evolve, the benefit should be considered provisional. We will continue to analyze additional information and guidance related to the TCJA as supplemental legislation, regulatory guidance, or evolving technical interpretations become available. The final impacts may differ from the recorded amounts as of December 31, 2017, and we will continue to refine such amounts within the measurement period provided by Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 118. We expect to complete our analysis no later than the fourth quarter of 2018.

Actual taxes paid for 2016 and 2015 were less than the current tax expense due to the excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation of \$1,320 and \$74,442 during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Table of Contents

The effective tax rate differs from the statutory tax rates as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Statutory U.S. federal income tax rate | 35.0 % | 35.0 % | 35.0 % |
| State income tax, net of related federal income tax benefit | 4.4 | 13.3 | 3.6 |
| Federal credits | (1.5) | (10.1) | (0.4) |
| Enhanced deduction for food donation | (0.2) | (2.4) | (0.2) |
| Valuation allowance | 0.1 | 6.0 | 0.3 |
| Other | 1.5 | 6.2 | - |
| Effects of the TCJA | (2.3) | - | - |
| Return to provision and other discrete items | (0.9) | (7.2) | (0.1) |
| Effective income tax rate | 36.1 % | 40.8 % | 38.2 % |

The 2017 effective tax rate was lower than the 2016 rate due to the enactment of the TCJA and a lower state tax rate, partially offset by federal credits on overall higher pre-tax operating income. The 2016 effective tax rate was higher than 2015 due to a higher state tax rate, not qualifying for the federal research and development tax credit in 2016, and other federal credits on overall lower pre-tax operating income.

Deferred income tax liabilities are taxes we expect to pay in future periods. Similarly, deferred income tax assets are recorded for expected reductions in taxes payable in future periods. Deferred income taxes arise because of the differences in the book and tax bases of certain assets and liabilities.

Deferred income tax liabilities and assets consist of the following:

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Deferred income tax liability: | | |
| Leasehold improvements, property and equipment | \$ 140,908 | \$ 204,640 |
| Goodwill and other assets | 1,339 | 1,856 |
| Prepaid assets and other | 5,191 | 6,012 |
| Total deferred income tax liability | 147,438 | 212,508 |
| Deferred income tax asset: | | |
| Deferred rent | 42,859 | 63,159 |
| Gift card liability | 4,580 | 5,563 |
| Capitalized transaction costs | 324 | 500 |
| Stock-based compensation and other employee benefits | 80,447 | 101,628 |
| Foreign net operating loss carry-forwards | 11,376 | 9,580 |
| State credits | 5,589 | 4,595 |
| Allowances, reserves and other | 13,719 | 19,359 |
| Valuation allowance | (12,270) | (10,820) |
| Total deferred income tax asset | 146,624 | 193,564 |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Net deferred income tax liability | \$ 814 | \$ 18,944 |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------|

The December 31, 2017, deferred tax liability was measured using a 21% U.S. federal tax rate because of the enactment of TCJA, which reduced the rate from 35%.

As of December 31, 2017, we have \$8,468 of deferred tax assets related to outstanding non-vested stock awards that contain market conditions. If market conditions are not achieved, then we may not realize the benefit of these deferred tax assets, which would result in a higher effective tax rate in future periods.

53

Table of Contents

The unrecognized tax benefits are as follows:

| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Beginning of year | \$ 4,211 | \$ 3,776 | \$ 1,342 |
| Increase resulting from prior year tax position | - | - | 402 |
| Increase resulting from current year tax position | 4,726 | 435 | 2,032 |
| End of year | \$ 8,937 | \$ 4,211 | \$ 3,776 |

During the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, we recognized \$364, \$430, and \$0, respectively, in interest expense related to uncertain tax positions. We have \$794 and \$430 for the payment of interest accrued at December 31, 2017, and 2016, respectively. We are open to federal and state tax audits until the applicable statutes of limitations expire. Tax audits by their very nature are often complex and can require several years to complete. We are no longer subject to U.S. federal tax examinations by tax authorities for tax years before 2014. For the majority of states where we have a significant presence, we are no longer subject to tax examinations by tax authorities for tax years before 2014. As of December 31, 2017, we had cumulative gross foreign net operating losses of \$50,292, which have no expiration date.

5. Shareholders' Equity

Through December 31, 2017, we had announced authorizations by our Board of Directors of repurchases of shares of common stock, which in the aggregate, authorized expenditures of up to \$2,400,000. Under the remaining repurchase authorizations, shares may be purchased from time to time in open market transactions, subject to market conditions.

The following table summarizes common stock repurchases under authorized programs:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Shares of common stock repurchased | 805 | 1,811 | 839 |
| Total cost of common stock repurchased | \$ 284,318 | \$ 813,881 | \$ 485,841 |

As of December 31, 2017, \$118,274 was available to be repurchased under the authorized programs. The shares repurchased are being held in treasury until such time as they are reissued or retired, at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

During 2017, 2016, and 2015, shares of common stock were netted and surrendered as payment for minimum statutory tax withholding obligations in connection with the exercise and vesting of outstanding stock awards. We deem shares surrendered by the participants in accordance with the applicable award agreements and plan as repurchased, but do not deem such shares to be part of publicly announced share repurchase programs.

6. Stock-Based Compensation

We issue shares in connection with stock-based compensation pursuant to the Amended and Restated Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan. For purposes of counting the shares remaining available under the 2011 Incentive Plan, each share issuable pursuant to outstanding full value awards, such as restricted stock units and performance shares, counts as two shares used, whereas each share underlying a stock appreciation right or stock option counts as one share used. Under the 2011 Incentive Plan, 5,560 shares of common stock have been authorized and reserved for issuance to eligible participants, of which 1,786 represent shares that were authorized for issuance but not issued or subject to outstanding awards at December 31, 2017. The 2011 Incentive Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, which has the authority to select the individuals to whom awards will be granted or to delegate its authority under the plan to make grants (subject to certain legal and regulatory restrictions), to determine the type of awards and when the awards are to be granted, the number of shares to be covered by each award, the vesting schedule and all other terms and conditions of the awards. The exercise price for stock awards granted under the 2011 Incentive Plan cannot be less than fair market value at the date of grant.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth stock-based compensation expense, including SOSARs and stock awards:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | \$ 66,396 | \$ 65,112 | \$ 59,465 |
| Stock-based compensation expense, net of tax | \$ 40,370 | \$ 35,974 | \$ 36,666 |
| Stock-based compensation expense recognized as capitalized development | \$ 1,141 | \$ 946 | \$ 1,554 |
| Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation recognized in provision for income taxes | \$ 448 | \$ - | \$ - |

The tables below summarize the SOSAR activity under the stock incentive plans (in thousands, except years and per share data):

| | 2017 | | 2016 | | 2015 | |
|--------------------------------|--------|---|--------|---|--------|---|
| | Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share | Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share | Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share |
| Outstanding, beginning of year | 1,917 | \$ 490.06 | 1,694 | \$ 490.70 | 2,087 | \$ 395.46 |
| Granted | 304 | \$ 426.70 | 460 | \$ 457.77 | 379 | \$ 659.12 |
| Exercised | (35) | \$ 307.83 | (124) | \$ 315.87 | (716) | \$ 297.25 |
| Forfeited or cancelled | (187) | \$ 527.53 | (113) | \$ 559.25 | (56) | \$ 554.73 |
| Outstanding, end of year | 1,999 | \$ 480.09 | 1,917 | \$ 490.06 | 1,694 | \$ 490.70 |

| | Shares | Weighted-Average | | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|---|--------|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| | | Exercise Price Per Share | Weighted-Average Remaining Years of Contractual Life | |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2017 | 1,999 | \$ 480.09 | 3.7 | \$ 209 |
| Vested and expected to vest as of December 31, 2017 | 1,957 | \$ 480.77 | 3.7 | \$ 209 |
| Exercisable as of December 31, 2017 | 1,130 | \$ 474.20 | 2.7 | \$ 209 |

In the past, we have granted SOSARs that included performance conditions. As of December 31, 2017, 278 outstanding SOSARs that included performance conditions were determined to have met the performance conditions. For the remaining 110 outstanding SOSARs that included performance conditions, the financial targets

underlying the performance conditions had been satisfied as of December 31, 2017, and vesting of the awards was pending confirmation by the Compensation Committee that the performance conditions were met. The total intrinsic value of options and SOSARs exercised during the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was \$4,296, \$15,946 and \$260,466. Unearned compensation as of December 31, 2017 was \$21,998 for SOSAR awards, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.4 years.

55

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the weighted average assumptions utilized in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to value SOSAR awards granted for each year:

| | 2017 | | 2016 | | 2015 | |
|--|-----------|---|-----------|---|-----------|---|
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.6 | % | 1.0 | % | 1.1 | % |
| Expected life (years) | 3.7 | | 3.5 | | 3.4 | |
| Expected dividend yield | 0.0 | % | 0.0 | % | 0.0 | % |
| Volatility | 29.9 | % | 32.2 | % | 30.8 | % |
| Weighted-average Black-Scholes fair value per share at date of grant | \$ 105.97 | | \$ 117.48 | | \$ 156.32 | |

The risk-free interest rate is based upon U.S. Treasury rates for instruments with similar terms and the expected life assumptions were based on our historical data. We have not paid dividends to date and do not plan to pay dividends in the near future. The volatility assumption was based on our historical data and implied volatility.

A summary of non-vested stock award activity under the 2011 Stock Incentive Plans and prior stock compensation plan is as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

| | 2017 | | 2016 | | 2015 | |
|--------------------------------|--------|--|--------|--|--------|--|
| | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share |
| Outstanding, beginning of year | 125 | \$ 606.24 | 116 | \$ 511.88 | 70 | \$ 525.60 |
| Granted | 127 | \$ 436.36 | 90 | \$ 509.05 | 47 | \$ 785.32 |
| Vested | (8) | \$ 454.84 | (7) | \$ 605.83 | (1) | \$ 413.07 |
| Forfeited or cancelled | (31) | \$ 502.46 | (74) | \$ 529.54 | - | \$ 534.55 |
| Outstanding, end of year | 213 | \$ 519.62 | 125 | \$ 606.24 | 116 | \$ 511.88 |

There were 141 non-vested stock awards with a weighted average grant date fair value per share of \$522.38 that were vested and expected to vest as of December 31, 2017. The aggregate intrinsic value of the shares was \$32,829 and the weighted average remaining contractual life was 5.8 years. Unearned compensation for non-vested stock awards we have determined are probable of vesting was \$37,962 as of December 31, 2017, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.6 years. The fair value of shares earned as of the vesting date during the year ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015 was \$3,524, \$2,787, and \$634, respectively.

As of December 31, 2017, 133 of the outstanding non-vested stock awards were subject to performance and/or market conditions, in addition to service vesting conditions. During the first quarter of 2017, we awarded 36 performance shares that are subject to service, market and performance vesting conditions. Two-thirds of the shares had a grant

date fair value of \$485.53 per share and have vesting criteria based on the price of our common stock reaching certain targets for a consecutive number of days during the three-year period starting on the grant date, with the quantity of shares that vest ranging from 0% to 350% of the targeted number of shares. The remaining one-third of the shares had a grant date fair value of \$427.61 and have vesting criteria based on reaching certain comparable restaurant sales increases during the three-year period starting on January 1, 2017, with the quantity of shares that vest ranging from 0% to 300% of the targeted number of shares. If the defined minimum targets are not met, then no shares will vest.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, we awarded 73 performance shares, net of cancellations, that are subject to both service and market vesting conditions. The quantity of shares that vest will range from 0% to 400% of a targeted number of shares, and will be determined based on the price of our common stock reaching certain targets for a consecutive number of days during the three-year period starting on the grant date. If the minimum defined stock price target is not met, then no shares will vest.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, we awarded 40 performance shares that were subject to service, performance, and market vesting conditions. The quantity of shares that vest will be determined based on our relative performance versus a restaurant industry peer group in annual average revenue growth, net income growth, and total shareholder return. The quantity of shares that vest will range from 0% to 200% based on the level of achievement of the performance and market conditions. If minimum targets are not met, then no shares will vest. Each performance and market measure will be weighted equally, and performance is calculated over a three-year period beginning January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2017, 20 stock awards that were subject to service and performance or market conditions were forfeited.

We adjusted our estimates of the non-vested stock awards expected to vest, which had the following reduction on our expense and earnings per share (dollars in thousands, except per share data) in each of the following years:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Cumulative change in expense | \$ (1,410) | \$ (6,031) | \$ (12,195) |
| Net of tax impact from cumulative change in expense | \$ (857) | \$ (3,332) | \$ (7,520) |
| Impact on basic earnings per share | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.25 |
| Impact on diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.24 |

Measurement of the grant date fair value of the stock awards with market conditions included a Monte Carlo simulation model, which incorporates into the fair value determination the possibility that the market condition may not be satisfied, using the following assumptions:

| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.5 % | 0.9 % | 1.0 % |
| Expected life (years) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Expected dividend yield | 0.0 % | 0.0 % | 0.0 % |
| Volatility | 29.9 % | 31.4 % | 33.7 % |

The assumptions are based on the same factors as those described for SOSARs, except that the expected life is based on the contractual performance period for the stock awards.

7. Employee Benefit Plans

We maintain the Chipotle Mexican Grill 401(k) Plan (the “401(k) Plan”). We match 100% of the first 3% of pay contributed by each eligible employee and 50% on the next 2% of pay contributed. Employees become eligible to receive matching contributions after one year of service with the Company. For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, matching contributions totaled approximately \$6,072, \$5,939 and \$4,995, respectively.

We also maintain the Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. Supplemental Deferred Investment Plan (the “Deferred Plan”) which covers our eligible employees. The Deferred Plan is a non-qualified plan that allows participants to make tax-deferred contributions that cannot be made under the 401(k) Plan because of Internal Revenue Service limitations. Participants’ earnings on contributions made to the Deferred Plan fluctuate with the actual earnings and losses of a variety of

available investment choices selected by the participant. Total liabilities under the Deferred Plan as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 were \$19,887 and \$17,843, respectively, and are included in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet. We match 100% of the first 3% of pay contributed by each eligible employee and 50% on the next 2% of pay contributed once the 401(k) contribution limits are reached. For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, we made deferred compensation matches of \$199, \$225, and \$617, respectively, to the Deferred Plan.

We have elected to fund our deferred compensation obligation through a rabbi trust. The rabbi trust is subject to creditor claims in the event of insolvency, but the assets held in the rabbi trust are not available for general corporate purposes. Amounts in the rabbi trust are invested in mutual funds, consistent with the investment choices selected by participants in their Deferred Plan accounts, which are designated as trading securities and carried at fair value, and are included in other assets in the consolidated balance sheet. Fair value of mutual funds is measured using Level 1 inputs (quoted prices for identical assets in active markets), and the fair values of the investments in the rabbi trust were \$19,887 and \$17,843 as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Trading gains and losses are recorded in general and administrative expenses in the consolidated statement of income, along with the offsetting amount related to the increase or decrease in deferred compensation to reflect its exposure of the Deferred Plan liability.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth unrealized gains (losses) on trading securities held in the rabbi trust:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on trading securities held in rabbi trust | \$ 1,520 | \$ 586 | \$ (571) |

We also offer an employee stock purchase plan (“ESPP”). Employees become eligible to participate after one year of service with Chipotle and may contribute up to 15% of their base earnings, subject to an annual maximum dollar amount, toward the monthly purchase of our common stock. Under the ESPP, 250 shares of common stock have been authorized and reserved for issuances to eligible employees, of which 246 represent shares that were authorized for issuance but not issued at December 31, 2017. For each of the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, the number of shares issued under the ESPP were less than 1.

8. Leases

Our restaurants are generally operated in leased premises. Lease terms for traditional shopping center or building leases generally include combined initial and option terms of 20-25 years. Ground leases generally include combined initial and option terms of 30-40 years. The option terms in each of these leases are typically in five-year increments. Typically, the lease includes rent escalation terms every five years including fixed rent escalations, escalations based on inflation indexes, and fair market value adjustments. Certain leases contain contingent rental provisions that include a fixed base rent plus an additional percentage of the restaurant’s sales in excess of stipulated amounts. Our leases generally provide for the payment of common area maintenance, property taxes, insurance and various other use and occupancy costs. In addition, we are the lessee under non-cancelable leases covering certain offices.

Contractually required future minimum cash lease payments under existing operating leases as of December 31, 2017 are as follows:

| | |
|------------------------------|--------------|
| 2018 | \$ 281,461 |
| 2019 | 285,264 |
| 2020 | 283,934 |
| 2021 | 279,816 |
| 2022 | 278,615 |
| Thereafter | 2,497,163 |
| Total minimum lease payments | \$ 3,906,253 |

Minimum lease payments have not been reduced by minimum sublease rentals of \$7,359 due in the future under non-cancelable subleases.

Rental expense consists of the following:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Minimum rentals | \$ 278,812 | \$ 255,955 | \$ 227,602 |
| Contingent rentals | \$ 2,317 | \$ 1,811 | \$ 4,542 |
| Sublease rental income | \$ (2,214) | \$ (2,074) | \$ (1,879) |

We have six sales and leaseback transactions. These transactions do not qualify for sale leaseback accounting because of our deemed continuing involvement with the buyer-lessor due to fixed price renewal options, which results in the transaction being recorded under the financing method. Under the financing method, the assets remain on the consolidated balance sheet and the proceeds from the transactions are recorded as a financing liability. A portion of lease payments are applied as payments of deemed principal and imputed interest. The deemed landlord financing liability was \$2,630 and \$2,854 as of December 31, 2017, and 2016, respectively, with the current portion of the liability included in accrued liabilities, and the remaining portion included in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents

9. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share (“diluted EPS”) is calculated using income available to common shareholders divided by diluted weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding during each period. Potentially dilutive securities include shares of common stock underlying SOSARs and non-vested stock awards (collectively “stock awards”). Diluted EPS considers the impact of potentially dilutive securities except in periods in which there is a loss because the inclusion of the potential common shares would have an anti-dilutive effect. Stock awards are excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS in the event they are subject to performance conditions or antidilutive. The following stock awards were excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------|------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Stock awards subject to performance conditions | 217 | 263 | 266 |
| Stock awards that were antidilutive | 1,695 | 1,316 | 289 |
| Total stock awards excluded from diluted earnings per share | 1,912 | 1,579 | 555 |

The following table sets forth the computations of basic and diluted earnings per share:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Net income | \$ 176,253 | \$ 22,938 | \$ 475,602 |
| Shares: | | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 28,491 | 29,265 | 31,092 |
| Dilutive stock awards | 70 | 505 | 402 |
| Diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 28,561 | 29,770 | 31,494 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 6.19 | \$ 0.78 | \$ 15.30 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 6.17 | \$ 0.77 | \$ 15.10 |

10. Commitments and Contingencies

Purchase Obligations

We enter into various purchase obligations in the ordinary course of business, generally of a short term nature. Those that are binding primarily relate to commitments for food purchases and supplies, amounts owed under contractor and subcontractor agreements, orders submitted for equipment for restaurants under construction, and marketing initiatives and corporate sponsorships.

Litigation

Data Security Incident

In April 2017, our information security team detected unauthorized activity on the network that supports payment processing for our restaurants, and immediately began an investigation with the help of leading computer security firms. We also self-reported the issue to payment card processors and law enforcement. Our investigation detected malware designed to access payment card data from cards used at point-of-sale devices at most Chipotle restaurants, primarily in the period from March 24, 2017 through April 18, 2017. The malware searched for track data, which may include cardholder name, card number, expiration date, and internal verification codes; however, no other customer information was affected. We have removed the malware from our systems and continue to evaluate ways to enhance our security measures. We expect that substantially all of our investigation costs will be covered by insurance; however, we may incur legal expenses in excess of our insurance coverage limits associated with the data security incident in future periods. We will recognize these expenses as services are received.

During the year ended December 31, 2017, we recorded an expense of \$30,000 (\$18,234 after tax), or \$0.64 per diluted earnings per share, as an estimate of potential liabilities associated with anticipated claims and assessments by payment card networks in connection with the data security incident. We may ultimately be subject to liabilities greater than or less than the amount accrued. The expense is recorded in general and administrative expenses in our consolidated statement of income and a corresponding liability in accrued liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents

Litigation Arising from Security Incident

On May 4, 2017, Bellwether Community Credit Union filed a purported class action complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado alleging that we negligently failed to provide adequate security to protect the payment card information of customers of the plaintiffs and those of other similarly situated credit unions, banks and other financial institutions alleged to be part of the putative class, causing those institutions to suffer financial losses. The complaint also claims we were negligent per se based on alleged violations of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act and similar state laws. The plaintiff seeks monetary damages, injunctive relief and attorneys' fees. On May 26, 2017, Alcoa Community Credit Union filed a purported class action complaint in the U. S. District Court for the District of Colorado making substantially the same allegations as the Bellwether complaint and seeking substantially the same relief. The Bellwether and Alcoa cases have been consolidated and will proceed as a single action.

On June 9, 2017, Todd Gordon filed a purported class action complaint in the U. S. District Court for the District of Colorado alleging that we negligently failed to provide adequate security to protect the payment card information of the plaintiff and other similarly situated customers alleged to be part of the putative class, causing some customers to suffer alleged injuries and others to be at risk of possible future injuries. The complaint also claims we were negligent per se based on alleged violations of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act and similar state laws, and also alleges breach of contract, unjust enrichment, and violations of the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act. Additionally, on August 21, 2017, Greg Lawson and Judy Conard filed a purported class action complaint in the U. S. District Court for the District of Colorado making allegations substantially similar to those in the Gordon complaint, and stating substantially similar claims as well as claims under the Colorado Consumer Protection Act. The Gordon and Lawson/Conard cases have been consolidated and will proceed as a single action.

We intend to vigorously defend each of the aforementioned cases, but it is not possible at this time to reasonably estimate the outcome of or any potential liability from these cases. Although certain fees and costs associated with the data security incident and the aforementioned litigation to date have been paid or reimbursed by our cyber liability insurer, the ultimate amount of liabilities arising from the litigation may be in excess of the limits of our applicable insurance coverage.

Receipt of Grand Jury Subpoenas

On January 28, 2017, we were served with a Federal Grand Jury Subpoena from the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California in connection with an official criminal investigation being conducted by the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Central District of California, in conjunction with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's Office of Criminal Investigations. The subpoena requires the production of documents and information related to company-wide food safety matters dating back to January 1, 2013. We received a follow-up subpoena on July 19, 2017 requesting information related to illness incidents associated with a single Chipotle restaurant in Sterling, Virginia. We intend to continue to fully cooperate in the investigation. It is not possible at this time to determine whether we will incur, or to reasonably estimate the amount of, any fines or penalties in connection with the investigation pursuant to which the subpoena was issued.

Shareholder Derivative Actions

On April 6, 2016, Uri Skorski filed a shareholder derivative action in Colorado state court in Denver, Colorado, alleging that our Board of Directors and officers breached their fiduciary duties in connection with our alleged failure to disclose material information about our food safety policies and procedures, and also alleging that our Board of

Directors and officers breached their fiduciary duties in connection with allegedly excessive compensation awarded from 2011 to 2015 under our stock incentive plan. On April 14, 2016, Mark Arnold and Zachary Arata filed a shareholder derivative action in Colorado state court in Denver, Colorado, making largely the same allegations as the Skorski complaint. On May 26, 2016, the court issued an order consolidating the Skorski and Arnold/Arata actions into a single case. On August 8, 2016, Sean Gubricky filed a shareholder derivative action the U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado, alleging that our Board of Directors and certain officers failed to institute proper food safety controls and policies, issued materially false and misleading statements in violation of federal securities laws, and otherwise breached their fiduciary duties. On September 1, 2016, Ross Weintraub filed a shareholder derivative action in Colorado state court in Denver, Colorado, making largely the same allegations as the Gubricky complaint. On March 27, 2017, the Weintraub case was consolidated with the Skorski and Arnold/Arata action into a single case. On December 27, 2016, Cyrus Lashkari filed a shareholder derivative action the U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado, making largely the same allegations as the foregoing shareholder derivative complaints. Each of these actions purports to state a claim for damages on our behalf, and is based on statements in our SEC filings and related public disclosures, as well as media reports and company records. We have reached an agreement in principle to settle the foregoing actions, and have recorded a corresponding liability in accrued liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet; the proposed settlement has been preliminarily approved by the U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado, with a final approval hearing set for March 15, 2018.

Table of Contents

On July 28, 2017, Mark Blau filed a shareholder derivative action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado, making allegations similar to those of the several shareholder derivative actions described above, and adding further allegations related to the Board's investigation of the foregoing matters, as well as customer illnesses and operational issues associated with two Chipotle restaurants in July 2017. The action purports to state claims for damages on our behalf, and is based on statements in our SEC filings and related public disclosures, as well as media reports and company records. On February 2, 2018, the Court stayed this matter pending the outcome of the March 15, 2018 settlement approval hearing in the consolidated Gubricky actions described above.

Shareholder Class Actions

On January 8, 2016, Susie Ong filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York on behalf of a purported class of purchasers of shares of our common stock between February 4, 2015 and January 5, 2016. The complaint purports to state claims against us, each of the co-Chief Executive Officers serving during the claimed class period and the Chief Financial Officer under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and related rules, based on our alleged failure during the claimed class period to disclose material information about our quality controls and safeguards in relation to consumer and employee health. The complaint asserts that those failures and related public statements were false and misleading and that, as a result, the market price of our stock was artificially inflated during the claimed class period. The complaint seeks damages on behalf of the purported class in an unspecified amount, interest, and an award of reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees and other costs. On March 8, 2017, the court granted our motion to dismiss the complaint, with leave to amend. The plaintiff filed an amended complaint on April 7, 2017. On June 7, 2017, Chipotle filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint, and briefing on that motion was completed on September 6, 2017. Additionally, on July 20, 2017, Elizabeth Kelley filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado on behalf of a purported class of purchasers of shares of our common stock between February 5, 2016 and July 19, 2017, with claims and factual allegations similar to the Ong complaint, based primarily on media reports regarding illnesses associated with a Chipotle restaurant in Sterling, Virginia. A response to the amended complaint in that matter is due on February 12, 2018. We intend to defend these cases vigorously, but it is not possible at this time to reasonably estimate the outcome of or any potential liability from the cases.

Miscellaneous

We are involved in various other claims and legal actions that arise in the ordinary course of business. We do not believe that the ultimate resolution of these actions will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, liquidity or capital resources. However, a significant increase in the number of these claims, or one or more successful claims under which we incur greater liabilities than we currently anticipate, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

11. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Summarized unaudited quarterly financial data:

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form 10-K

| | 2017 | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | September 30 | December 31 |
| Revenue | \$ 1,068,829 | \$ 1,169,409 | \$ 1,128,074 | \$ 1,110,100 |
| Operating income | \$ 73,173 | \$ 106,725 | \$ 30,867 | \$ 60,029 |
| Net income | \$ 46,120 | \$ 66,730 | \$ 19,610 | \$ 43,793 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 1.60 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 0.69 | \$ 1.56 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 1.60 | \$ 2.32 | \$ 0.69 | \$ 1.55 |
| | 2016 | | | |
| | March 31 | June 30 | September 30 | December 31 |
| Revenue | \$ 834,459 | \$ 998,383 | \$ 1,036,982 | \$ 1,034,560 |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ (46,604) | \$ 40,895 | \$ 9,726 | \$ 30,550 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (26,432) | \$ 25,596 | \$ 7,799 | \$ 15,975 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share | \$ (0.88) | \$ 0.88 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.55 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | \$ (0.88) | \$ 0.87 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.55 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 9.CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A.CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”)) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of December 31, 2017, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this annual report.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2017 in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on the framework set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (the “2013 framework”). Based on that assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2017, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on the criteria established in the 2013 framework.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017. This report follows.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc.

Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). In our opinion, Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. (the Company) maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes, of the Company and our report dated February 8, 2018 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Basis for Opinion

The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding

prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Denver, Colorado

February 8, 2018

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B.OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10.DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement for our 2018 annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed no later than 120 days after December 31, 2017.

ITEM 11.EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement for our 2018 annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed no later than 120 days after December 31, 2017.

ITEM 12.SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table presents information regarding options and rights outstanding under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2017. All options/SOSARs reflected are options to purchase common stock.

| | (a) Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options and Rights(1) | (b) Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options and Rights(1) | (c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))(2) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Security Holders | 2,211,600 | \$ 480.09 | 2,032,484 |
| Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Security Holders | None | N/A | None |
| Total | 2,211,600 | \$ 480.09 | 2,032,484 |

(1)Includes shares issuable in connection with awards with performance and market conditions, which will be issued based on achievement of performance criteria associated with the awards, with the number of shares issuable

dependent on our level of performance. The weighted-average exercise price in column (b) includes the weighted-average exercise price of SOSARs only.

(2)Includes 1,786,198 shares remaining available under the Amended and Restated Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan, and 246,286 shares remaining available under the Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan. In addition to being available for future issuance upon exercise of SOSARs or stock options that may be granted after December 31, 2017, all of the shares available for grant under the Amended and Restated Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan may instead be issued in the form of restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares or other equity-based awards. Each share underlying a full value award such as restricted stock, restricted stock units or performance shares counts as two shares used against the total number of securities authorized under the plan.

Additional information for this item is incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement for our 2018 annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed no later than 120 days after December 31, 2017.

ITEM 13.CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement for our 2018 annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed no later than 120 days after December 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

ITEM 14.PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement for our 2018 annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed no later than 120 days after December 31, 2017.

65

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15.EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

1. All Financial statements

Consolidated financial statements filed as part of this report are listed under Item 8. “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

2. Financial statement schedules

No schedules are required because either the required information is not present or is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto.

3. Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Description of Exhibit Incorporated Herein by Reference | | | Exhibit Number | Filed Herewith |
|----------------|--|---|-----------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | Form | File No. | Filing Date | | |
| 3.1 | <u>Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc.</u> | 10-Q | 001-32731 | October 26, 2016 | 3.1 | |
| 3.2 | <u>Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. Amended and Restated Bylaws</u> | 8-K | 001-32731 | October 6, 2016 | 3.1 | |
| 4.1 | <u>Form of Stock Certificate for Shares of Common Stock</u> | 10-K | 001-32731 | February 10, 2012 | 4.1 | |
| 10.1† | <u>Amended and Restated Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan</u> | 10-K | 001-32731 | February 17, 2011 | 10.2 | |
| 10.1.1† | <u>Form of 2011 Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement</u> | 10-K | 001-32731 | February 17, 2011 | 10.2.10 | |
| 10.1.2† | <u>Form of 2011 Performance-Based Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement</u> | 10-K | 001-32731 | February 17, 2011 | 10.2.11 | |
| 10.2† | <u>Amended and Restated Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan</u> | 10-Q | 001-32731 | October 26, 2016 | 10.1 | |
| 10.2.1† | <u>Form of Board Restricted Stock Units Agreement</u> | 10-Q | 001-32731 | July 22, 2014 | 10.1 | |
| 10.2.2† | <u>Form of Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement</u> | 10-Q | 001-32731 | April 20, 2012 | 10.1 | |
| 10.2.3† | <u>Form of Performance-Based Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement</u> | 10-Q | 001-32731 | April 20, 2012 | 10.2 | |
| 10.2.4† | <u>Form of 2014 Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement</u> | 10-K | 001-32731 | February 7, 2017 | 10.2.4 | |
| 10.2.5† | | 10-K | 001-32731 | | 10.2.5 | |

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|------|-----------|-------------------|-------|---|
| | <u>Form of 2014 Performance-Based Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement</u> | | | February 7, 2017 | | |
| 10.2.6† | <u>Form of 2015 Performance Share Agreement</u> | 10-Q | 001-32731 | April 22, 2015 | 10.2 | |
| 10.2.7† | <u>Form of 2016 Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement</u> | 10-Q | 001-32731 | April 27, 2016 | 10.1 | |
| 10.2.8† | <u>Form of 2016 Performance Share Agreement</u> | 10-Q | 001-32731 | April 27, 2016 | 10.2 | |
| 10.2.8.1† | <u>Amendment to 2016 Performance Share Agreement</u> | 8-K | 001-32731 | March 30, 2017 | 10.1 | |
| 10.2.9† | <u>Form of 2017 Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement</u> | - | - | - | - | X |
| 10.2.10† | <u>Form of 2017 Restricted Stock Units Agreement</u> | - | - | - | - | X |
| 10.2.11† | <u>Form of 2017 Performance Share Agreement</u> | 10-Q | 001-32731 | July 26, 2017 | 10.2 | |
| 10.2.12† | <u>Form of Staff Restricted Stock Units Agreement Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement dated January 31, 2006 among Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc., McDonald's Corporation and certain shareholders</u> | - | - | - | - | X |
| 10.3 | <u>Board Pay Policies</u> | 10-K | 001-32731 | March 17, 2006 | 10.6 | |
| 10.4† | <u>Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. Supplemental Deferred Investment Plan</u> | 10-Q | 001-32731 | July 26, 2017 | 10.1 | |
| 10.5† | <u>Amendment No. 1 to Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. Supplemental Deferred Investment Plan</u> | 10-K | 001-32731 | February 23, 2007 | 10.11 | |
| 10.5.1† | | 10-Q | 001-32731 | August 1, 2007 | 10.1 | |

Table of Contents

| | | | | | |
|---------|---|------|-----------|--------------------|-------|
| 10.5.2† | <u>Amendment No. 2 to Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. Supplemental Deferred Investment Plan</u> | 10-Q | 001-32731 | October 31, 2007 | 10.1 |
| 10.6† | <u>Form of Director and Officer Indemnification Agreement</u> | 8-K | 001-32731 | March 21, 2007 | 10.1 |
| 10.7† | <u>Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan</u> | 10-K | 001-32731 | February 10, 2012 | 10.11 |
| 10.8† | <u>Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. 2014 Cash Incentive Plan</u> | 10-Q | 001-32731 | July 19, 2013 | 10.1 |
| 10.10 | <u>Investor Agreement dated December 14, 2016 between Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. and Pershing Square Capital Management, L.P.</u> | 8-K | 001-32731 | December 19, 2016 | 10.1 |
| 10.11 | <u>Registration Rights Agreement dated February 3, 2017, between Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. and Pershing Square Capital Management, L.P.</u> | 10-K | 001-32731 | February 7, 2017 | 10.11 |
| 10.12 | <u>Executive Agreement dated May 29, 2017 between Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. and Scott Boatwright</u> | 8-K | 001-32731 | September 15, 2017 | 10.1 |
| 10.13 | <u>Executive Chairman Agreement dated November 28, 2017 between Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. and Steve Ells</u> | 8-K | 001-32731 | December 1, 2017 | 10.1 |
| 21.1 | <u>Subsidiaries of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc.</u> | - | - | - | X |
| 23.1 | <u>Consent of Ernst & Young LLP (as the independent registered public accounting firm of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc.)</u> | - | - | - | X |
| 24.1 | <u>Power of Attorney (included on signature page of this report)</u> | - | - | - | X |
| 31.1 | <u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u> | - | - | - | X |
| 31.2 | <u>Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u> | - | - | - | X |
| 32.1 | <u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u> | - | - | - | X |
| 101 | The following financial statements, formatted in XBRL: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, (ii) Consolidated Statement of Income for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, (iii) Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, (iv) Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015; and (vi) Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements | - | - | - | X |

ITEM 16.FORM 10-K SUMMARY

None.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL,
INC.

By: /s/ JOHN R. HARTUNG

Name: John R. Hartung
Title: Chief Financial Officer
Date: February 8, 2018

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Steve Ells and John Hartung, and each of them, his or her true and lawful attorneys-in-fact, each with full power of substitution, for him or her in any and all capacities, to sign any amendments to this report on Form 10-K and to file the same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that each of said attorneys-in-fact or their substitute or substitutes may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Date | Title |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| /s/ STEVE ELLS Steve Ells | February 8, 2018 | Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors (principal executive officer) |
| /s/ JOHN R. HARTUNG | February 8, 2018 | Chief Financial Officer |

(principal
financial and
accounting
officer)

| | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------|
| John R. Hartung | | |
| /s/ ALBERT S. BALDOCCHI | February 8, 2018 | Director |
| Albert S. Baldocchi | | |
| /s/ PAUL CAPPuccio | February 8, 2018 | Director |
| Paul Cappuccio | | |
| /s/ NEIL W. FLANZRAICH | February 8, 2018 | Director |
| Neil W. Flanzraich | | |
| /s/ ROBIN S. HICKENLOOPER | February 8, 2018 | Director |
| Robin S. Hickenlooper | | |
| /s/ KIMBAL MUSK | February 8, 2018 | Director |
| Kimbal Musk | | |
| /s/ ALI NAMVAR | February 8, 2018 | Director |
| Ali Namvar | | |
| /s/ MATTHEW PAULL | February 8, 2018 | Director |
| Matthew Paull | | |