IAC/INTERACTIVECORP Form DEF 14A April 30, 2015

Use these links to rapidly review the document PROXY STATEMENT TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant ý

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- ý Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

IAC/InterActiveCorp

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- ý No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4)

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

	(5)	Total fee paid:
o	Fee p	paid previously with preliminary materials.
o		k box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee baid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
	(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
	(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
	(3)	Filing Party:
	(4)	Date Filed:

Table of Contents

May 12, 2015

Dear Stockholder:

You are invited to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of IAC/InterActiveCorp, which will be held on Wednesday, June 24, 2015, at 9:00 a.m., local time, at IAC's corporate headquarters, located at 555 West 18th Street, New York, New York 10011. At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will be asked to elect 12 directors and to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young as IAC's independent registered public accounting firm for 2015. IAC's Board of Directors believes that the proposals being submitted for stockholder approval are in the best interests of IAC and its stockholders and recommends a vote consistent with the Board's recommendation for each proposal.

Based on applicable Securities and Exchange Commission rules that allow companies to furnish proxy materials to stockholders over the Internet in lieu of mailing printed copies of these materials to stockholders, this year we have elected to deliver our proxy materials to the majority of our stockholders online. On or about May 12, 2015, we mailed a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to access our Annual Meeting proxy statement and 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K online, as well as instructions on how to obtain printed copies of these materials by mail.

It is important that your shares be represented and voted at the Annual Meeting regardless of the size of your holdings. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please take the time to vote online, by telephone or, if you receive a printed proxy card, by returning a marked, signed and dated proxy card. If you attend the Annual Meeting, you may vote in person if you wish, even if you have previously submitted your vote.

I look forward to greeting those of you who will be able to attend the meeting.

Sincerely,

Barry Diller Chairman and Senior Executive

555 WEST 18TH STREET NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10011 212.314.7300 www.iac.com

Table of Contents

IAC/INTERACTIVECORP

555 West 18th Street New York, New York 10011

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To the Stockholders:

IAC/InterActiveCorp ("IAC") is making this proxy statement available to holders of our common stock and Class B common stock in connection with the solicitation of proxies by IAC's Board of Directors for use at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on Wednesday, June 24, 2015, at 9:00 a.m., local time, at IAC's corporate headquarters, located at 555 West 18th Street, New York, New York 10011. At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will be asked:

- 1. to elect 12 members of IAC's Board of Directors, each to hold office for a one-year term ending on the date of the next succeeding annual meeting of stockholders or until such director's successor shall have been duly elected and qualified (or, if earlier, such director's removal or resignation from IAC's Board of Directors);
- to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as IAC's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2015 fiscal year; and
 - 3. to transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting and any related adjournments or postponements.

IAC's Board of Directors has set April 29, 2015 as the record date for the Annual Meeting. This means that holders of record of our common stock and Class B common stock at the close of business on that date are entitled to receive notice of the Annual Meeting and to vote their shares at the Annual Meeting and any related adjournments or postponements.

Only stockholders and persons holding proxies from stockholders may attend the Annual Meeting. Seating is limited, however, and admission to the Annual Meeting will be on a first-come, first-served basis. If your shares are registered in your name, you should bring a form of photo identification to the Annual Meeting. If your shares are held in the name of a broker, bank or other holder of record, you will need to bring a proxy or letter from that broker, bank or other holder of record that confirms you are the beneficial owner of those shares, together with a form of photo identification. Cameras, recording devices and other electronic devices will not be permitted at the Annual Meeting.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Gregg Winiarski

Executive Vice President,

General Counsel and Secretary

May 12, 2015

PROXY STATEMENT TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page	
Section	Number	
Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting and Voting	<u>1</u>	
Proposal 1 Election of Directors	<u>5</u>	
Proposal and Required Vote	<u>5</u>	
<u>Information Concerning Director Nominees</u>	<u>5</u>	
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	<u>10</u>	
The Board and Board Committees	<u>12</u>	
Proposal 2 Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	5 5 10 12 14 15 15 16 16	
Audit Committee Matters	<u>15</u>	
Audit Committee Report	<u>15</u>	
Fees Paid to Our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>15</u>	
Audit and Non-Audit Services Pre-Approval Policy	<u>16</u>	
Information Concerning IAC Executive Officers Who Are Not Directors	<u>16</u>	
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	<u>17</u>	
Compensation Committee Report	<u>23</u>	
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	<u>23</u>	
Executive Compensation	23 23 23 23 23	
<u>Overview</u>	<u>23</u>	
Summary Compensation Table	24 25 26	
Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2014	<u>25</u>	
Outstanding Equity Awards at 2014 Fiscal Year-End	<u>26</u>	
2014 Option Exercises and Stock Vested	<u>28</u>	
Estimated Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control of IAC	<u>28</u>	
Equity Compensation Plan Information	28 32 32 34	
Director Compensation	<u>32</u>	
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	<u>34</u>	
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	<u>36</u>	
Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions	<u>37</u>	
Review of Related Person Transactions	<u>37</u>	
Relationships Involving Significant Stockholders, Named Executives and Directors	3 <u>7</u> 3 <u>7</u>	
Relationships Involving IAC and Expedia	<u>38</u>	
Annual Reports		
Proposals by Stockholders for Presentation at the 2016 Annual Meeting	<u>39</u>	
Householding	39 39 39 40	
Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials	<u>40</u>	
i		

A:

A:

A:

PROXY STATEMENT

OUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

Q: Why did I receive a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials?

In accordance with rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), we elected to deliver this Proxy Statement and our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K to the majority of our stockholders online in lieu of mailing printed copies of these materials to each of our stockholders (the "Notice Process"). If you received a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") by mail, you will not receive printed copies of our proxy materials unless you request them. Instead, the Notice provides instructions on how to access this Proxy Statement and our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K online, as well as how to obtain printed copies of these materials by mail. We believe that the Notice Process allows us to provide our stockholders with the information they need in a more timely manner than if we had elected to mail printed materials, while reducing the environmental impact of, and lowering the costs associated with, the printing and distribution of our proxy materials.

The Notice is being mailed on or about May 12, 2015 to stockholders of record at the close of business on April 29, 2015 and this Proxy Statement and our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K will be available at *www.proxyvote.com* beginning on May 12, 2015. If you received a Notice by mail but would rather receive printed copies of our proxy materials, please follow the instructions included in the Notice. You will not receive a Notice if you have previously elected to receive printed copies of our proxy materials.

Q: Can I vote my shares by filling out and returning the Notice?

A:

No. However, the Notice provides instructions on how to vote your shares by way of completing and submitting your proxy online or by phone, by requesting and returning a written proxy card by mail or by submitting a ballot in person at the Annual Meeting.

Q: Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

Holders of IAC common stock and Class B common stock at the close of business on April 29, 2015, the record date for the Annual Meeting established by IAC's Board of Directors, are entitled to receive notice of the Annual Meeting and to vote their shares at the Annual Meeting and any related adjournments or postponements.

As of the close of business on April 29, 2015, there were 76,350,690 shares of IAC common stock and 5,789,499 shares of Class B common stock outstanding and entitled to vote. Holders of IAC common stock are entitled to one vote per share and holders of Class B common stock are entitled to ten votes per share.

Q: What is the difference between a stockholder of record and a stockholder who holds stock in street name?

If your IAC shares are registered in your name, you are a stockholder of record. If your IAC shares are held in the name of your broker, bank or other holder of record, your shares are held in street name.

You may examine a list of the stockholders of record as of the close of business on April 29, 2015 for any purpose germane to the Annual Meeting during normal business hours during the 10-day period preceding the date of the meeting at IAC's corporate headquarters, located at 555 West 18th Street, New York, New York 10011. This list will also be made available at the Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

A:

A:

A:

Α:

Q: What are the quorum requirements for the Annual Meeting?

The presence at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, of holders having a majority of the total votes entitled to be cast by holders of IAC common stock and Class B common stock at the Annual Meeting constitutes a quorum. When the holders of IAC common stock vote as a separate class, the presence at the Annual Meeting of holders of a majority of the total votes entitled to be cast by holders of IAC common stock is required for a quorum to be met. Shares of IAC common stock and Class B common stock represented by proxy will be treated as present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum, without regard to whether the proxy is marked as casting a vote or abstaining.

Q: What matters will IAC stockholders vote on at the Annual Meeting?

IAC stockholders will vote on the following proposals:

Proposal 1 to elect 12 members of IAC's Board of Directors, each to hold office for a one-year term ending on the date of the next succeeding annual meeting of stockholders or until such director's successor shall have been duly elected and qualified (or, if earlier, such director's removal or resignation from IAC's Board of Directors);

Proposal 2 to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as IAC's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2015 fiscal year; and

to transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting and any related adjournments or postponements.

Q: What are my voting choices when voting for director nominees and what votes are required to elect directors to IAC's Board of Directors?

You may vote in favor of *all* nominees, withhold votes as to *all* nominees or vote in favor of and withhold votes as to specific nominees.

The election of each of Edgar Bronfman, Jr., Chelsea Clinton, Sonali De Rycker, Barry Diller, Michael D. Eisner, Bonnie Hammer, Victor A. Kaufman, David Rosenblatt and Alexander von Furstenberg as directors requires the affirmative vote of a plurality of the total number of votes cast by the holders of shares of IAC common stock and Class B common stock voting together as a single class (hereinafter referred to as IAC capital stock), with each share of common stock and Class B common stock representing the right to one and ten votes, respectively.

The election of each of Bryan Lourd, Alan G. Spoon and Richard F. Zannino as directors requires the affirmative vote of a plurality of the total number of votes cast by the holders of the shares of IAC common stock voting as a separate class.

The Board recommends that our stockholders vote **FOR** the election of each of the director nominees named above.

Q: What are my voting choices when voting on the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as IAC's independent registered public accounting firm for 2015 and what votes are required to ratify such appointment?

You may vote in favor of the ratification, vote against the ratification or abstain from voting on the ratification.

The ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as IAC's independent registered public accounting firm for 2015 requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the voting

Table of Contents

A:

A:

power of the shares of IAC capital stock present at the Annual Meeting in person or represented by proxy and voting together.

The Board recommends that our stockholders vote **FOR** the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as IAC's independent registered public accounting firm for 2015.

Q: Could other matters be decided at the Annual Meeting?

A:

As of the date of this Proxy Statement, we did not know of any matters to be raised at the Annual Meeting, other than those referred to in this Proxy Statement.

If other matters are properly presented at the Annual Meeting for consideration, the three IAC officers who have been designated as proxies for the Annual Meeting, Joanne Hawkins, Jeffrey W. Kip and Gregg Winiarski, will have the discretion to vote on those matters for stockholders who have submitted their proxy.

Q: What do I need to do now to vote at the Annual Meeting?

IAC's Board of Directors is soliciting proxies for use at the Annual Meeting. Stockholders may submit proxies to instruct the designated proxies to vote their shares in any of three ways:

Submitting a Proxy Online: Submit your proxy via the Internet. The website for Internet proxy voting is *www.proxyvote.com*. Internet proxy voting is available 24 hours a day and will close at 11:59 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, on Tuesday, June 23, 2015;

Submitting a Proxy by Telephone: Submit your proxy by telephone by using the toll-free telephone number provided on your proxy card (1.800.690.6903). Telephone voting is available 24 hours a day and will close at 11:59 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, on Tuesday, June 23, 2015; or

Submitting a Proxy by Mail: If you choose to submit your proxy by mail, simply mark your proxy, date and sign it, and return it in the postage-paid envelope provided or to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717.

If you were a stockholder of record on April 29, 2015 or if you have a legal proxy from your broker, bank or other holder of record identifying you as a beneficial owner of IAC shares as of that date, you may vote in person by attending the Annual Meeting.

For IAC shares held in street name, holders may submit a proxy online or by telephone if their broker, bank or other holder of record makes these methods available. If you submit a proxy online or by telephone, *do not* request and return a printed proxy card from IAC or from your broker, bank or other holder of record. If you hold your shares through a broker, bank or other holder of record, follow the voting instructions you receive from your broker, bank or other holder of record.

Q: If I hold my IAC shares in street name, will my broker, bank or other holder of record vote these shares for me?

If you hold IAC shares in street name, you must provide your broker, bank or other nominee with instructions in order to vote these shares. If you do not provide voting instructions, whether your shares can be voted depends on the type of item being considered for a vote.

Non-Discretionary Items. The election of directors is a non-discretionary item and may **NOT** be voted on by your broker, bank or other nominee absent specific voting instructions from you. If your bank, broker or other nominee does not receive specific voting instructions from you, a "broker non-vote" will occur in the case of your shares of IAC common stock for this proposal.

Table of Contents

Discretionary Items. The ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as IAC's independent registered public accounting firm for 2015 is a discretionary item. Generally, brokers, banks and other nominees that do not receive voting instructions may vote on this proposal in their discretion and these votes will be counted for purposes of determining a quorum.

- Q:
 What effect do abstentions and broker non-votes have on quorum requirements and the voting results for each proposal to be voted on at the Annual Meeting?
- A:

 Abstentions and broker non-votes are counted as present for purposes of determining a quorum. Abstentions are treated as shares present and entitled to vote and, as a result, have the same effect as a vote against any proposal for which the voting standard is based on the number of shares present at the Annual Meeting (the auditor ratification proposal) and have no impact on the vote on any proposal for which the vote standard is based on the votes cast at the meeting (the election of directors). Broker non-votes are not treated as shares entitled to vote and, as a result, have no effect on the outcome of any of the proposals to be voted on by stockholders at the Annual Meeting; provided, however, that brokers have discretionary authority to vote on the auditor ratification proposal.
- Q: Can I change my vote or revoke my proxy?
- A:
 Yes. If you are a stockholder of record, you may change your vote or revoke your proxy at any time before the vote at the Annual Meeting by:

submitting a later-dated proxy relating to the same shares online, by telephone or by mail prior to the vote at the Annual Meeting;

delivering a written notice, bearing a date later than your proxy, stating that you revoke the proxy; or

attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person (although attendance at the Annual Meeting will not, by itself, revoke a proxy).

To change your vote or revoke your proxy, follow the instructions provided on the Notice or the proxy card to do so online or by telephone, or send a written notice or a new proxy card to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717.

- Q: How are proxies solicited and who bears the related costs?
- A:

 IAC bears all expenses incurred in connection with the solicitation of proxies. In addition to solicitations by mail, directors, officers and employees of IAC may solicit proxies from stockholders by telephone, letter, facsimile, e-mail or in person. Following the initial mailing of the Notice and proxy materials, IAC will request brokers, banks and other holders of record to forward copies of these materials to persons for whom they hold shares of IAC common stock and to request authority for the exercise of proxies. In such cases, IAC, upon the request of these holders, will reimburse these parties for their reasonable expenses.
- Q: What should I do if I have questions regarding the Annual Meeting?
- A: If you have any questions about the Annual Meeting, would like to obtain directions to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person or would like copies of any of the documents referred to in this Proxy Statement, you should contact IAC Investors Relations at 1.212.314.7400 or *ir@iac.com*.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Proposal and Required Vote

At the upcoming Annual Meeting, a board of 12 directors will be elected, each to hold office until the next succeeding annual meeting of stockholders or until such director's successor shall have been duly elected and qualified (or, if earlier, such director's removal or resignation from IAC's Board of Directors). Information concerning director nominees, all of whom are incumbent directors of IAC and have been recommended by the Nominating Committee for re-election, appears below. Although management does not anticipate that any of the persons named below will be unable or unwilling to stand for election, in the event of such an occurrence, proxies may be voted for a substitute designated by the Board.

The election of each of Edgar Bronfman, Jr., Chelsea Clinton, Sonali De Rycker, Barry Diller, Michael D. Eisner, Bonnie Hammer, Victor A. Kaufman, David Rosenblatt and Alexander von Furstenberg as directors requires the affirmative vote of a plurality of the total number of votes cast by the holders of shares of IAC capital stock voting together as a single class.

The Board has designated Bryan Lourd, Alan G. Spoon and Richard F. Zannino as nominees for those positions on the Board to be elected by the holders of IAC common stock voting as a separate class. The election of each of them as directors requires the affirmative vote of a plurality of the total number of votes cast by the holders of the shares of IAC common stock voting as a separate class.

Both the Nominating Committee and the full Board recommend that our stockholders vote FOR the election of all director nominees.

Information Concerning Director Nominees

Background information about each director nominee is set forth below, including information regarding the specific experiences, characteristics, attributes and skills considered in connection with the nomination of each director nominee, all of which the Nominating Committee and the Board believe provide the Company with the perspective and judgment needed to guide, monitor and execute its strategies.

Edgar Bronfman, Jr., age 59, has been a director of IAC since February 1998. Mr. Bronfman currently serves as a Managing Partner of Accretive, LLC, a private equity firm. Mr. Bronfman previously served as Chairman of Warner Music Group from August 2011 to January 2012. Prior to this time, Mr. Bronfman served as Chief Executive Officer and President of Warner Music Group from July 2011 to August 2011 and as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Warner Music Group from March 2004 to July 2011. Mr. Bronfman also served as a member of the board of directors of Warner Music Group from March 2004 through May 2013. Prior to joining Warner Music Group, Mr. Bronfman served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Lexa Partners LLC, which he founded, from April 2002. Mr. Bronfman was appointed Executive Vice Chairman of Vivendi Universal, S.A. in December 2000. Mr. Bronfman resigned from his position as an executive officer and as Vice Chairman of the board of directors of Vivendi Universal, S.A. in March 2002 and December 2003, respectively. Prior to December 2000, Mr. Bronfman served as President and Chief Executive Officer of The Seagram Company Ltd., a post he had held since June 1994, and from 1989 to June 1994 he served as the President and Chief Operating Officer of Seagram. He is the Chairman of the Board of Endeavor Global, Inc. and is currently a member of the Board of NYU Elaine A. and Kenneth G. Langone Medical Center, The Council on Foreign Relations and Accretive Health, Inc. In nominating Mr. Bronfman, the Board considered his experience as a member of senior management of various public and global companies, which the Board believes gives him particular insight into business strategy and leadership, marketing, consumer branding and international operations, as well as a high level of financial literacy and insight into the media and entertainment industries. The Board also

Table of Contents

considered Mr. Bronfman's private equity experience, which the Board believes gives him particular insight into investments in, and the development of, early stage companies.

Chelsea Clinton, age 35, has been a director of IAC since September 2011. Since March 2013, Ms. Clinton has served as Vice Chair of the Clinton Foundation, where her work emphasizes improving global and domestic health, creating service opportunities and empowering the next generation of leaders. Prior to assuming this role, Ms. Clinton served as a member of the board of directors of the Clinton Foundation from September 2011. Ms. Clinton has also served as a member of the board of directors of the Clinton Health Access Initiative since September 2011. From March 2010 through May 2013, Ms. Clinton served as an Assistant Vice Provost at New York University, where she focused on interfaith initiatives and the university's Global Expansion Program. From November 2011 to August 2014, Ms. Clinton also worked as a special correspondent for NBC news. Prior to these efforts, Ms. Clinton worked as an associate at McKinsey & Company, a consulting firm, from August 2003 to October 2006, and as an associate at Avenue Capital Group, an investment firm, from October 2006 to November 2009. Ms. Clinton also currently serves on the boards of directors of The School of American Ballet, the Africa Center and the Weill Cornell Medical College and as Co-Chair of the Advisory Board of the Of Many Institute at New York University. In nominating Ms. Clinton, the Board considered her broad public policy experience and keen intellectual acumen, which together the Board believes bring a fresh and youthful perspective to IAC's businesses and initiatives.

Sonali De Rycker, age 41, has been a director of IAC since September 2011. Since April 2008, Ms. De Rycker has served as a Partner at Accel Partners in London, a leading global venture firm, where she focuses on investments in the consumer internet and digital media sectors. Prior to her tenure at Accel, Ms. De Rycker was a Partner at Atlas Venture in London from August 2000 to April 2008, where she focused on investments in the internet and software service sectors. Prior to her venture capital work, Ms. De Rycker was an investment banker at Goldman Sachs from August 1995 to August 1998. Ms. De Rycker also serves on the boards of directors of a number of private consumer internet and other companies. In nominating Ms. De Rycker, the Board considered her private equity experience (particularly in the consumer internet and media sectors), which the Board believes gives her particular insight into investments in, and the development of, early stage companies, as well as her high level of financial literacy and expertise regarding mergers, acquisitions, investments and other strategic transactions.

Barry Diller, age 73, has been a director and Chairman and Senior Executive of IAC since December 2010. Mr. Diller previously served as a director and Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of IAC (and its predecessors) from August 1995 to November 2010. Mr. Diller also serves as Chairman and Senior Executive of Expedia, Inc., which position he has held since August 2005. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Diller was Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of QVC, Inc. from December 1992 through December 1994. From 1984 to 1992, Mr. Diller served as the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Fox, Inc. Prior to joining Fox, Inc., Mr. Diller served for 10 years as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Paramount Pictures Corporation. Mr. Diller served as Chairman (in a non-executive capacity) of the board of directors of Live Nation Entertainment, Inc. (and its predecessor companies, Ticketmaster Entertainment and Ticketmaster) ("Live Nation") from August 2008 to October 2010, and continued to serve as a member of the board of directors of Live Nation through January 2011. Mr. Diller also served as Chairman and Senior Executive of TripAdvisor, Inc. ("TripAdvisor") from December 2011 to December 2012, served as a member of the board of directors of TripAdvisor from December 2011 through April 2013 and has served as a special advisor to the Chief Executive Officer of TripAdvisor since April 2013. Mr. Diller is also currently a member of the board of directors of The Coca-Cola Company and Graham Holdings Company (formerly The Washington Post Company), which positions he has held during the past five years. In addition, Mr. Diller is a member of the Board of Councilors for the University of Southern California's School of Cinematic Arts, the New York University Board of Trustees and the Executive Board for the Medical Sciences of University of California, Los Angeles. The Board nominated

Table of Contents

Mr. Diller because he has been Chairman and Senior Executive since 2010 and prior to that time, served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since 1995, and as a result, possesses a great depth of knowledge and experience regarding the Company and its businesses. In addition, the Board noted Mr. Diller's ability to exercise influence (subject to the Company's organizational documents and Delaware law) over the outcome of matters involving the Company that require stockholder approval given his significant ownership stake in the Company and related rights.

Michael D. Eisner, age 73, has been a director of IAC since March 2011. Mr. Eisner currently serves as Chairman of The Tornante Company, LLC, a privately held company that invests in, acquires, incubates and operates media and entertainment companies ("Tornante"). Mr. Eisner also previously served as Chairman of two Tornante portfolio companies, The Topps Company, a leading creator and marketer of sports cards, distinctive confectionery and other entertainment products, and Vuguru, a studio focusing on the production of groundbreaking programming for the Internet and other digital platforms. Mr. Eisner served as Chairman of The Topps Company from October 2007 to April 2013 and as Chairman of Vuguru from October 2009 to December 2014, when Tornante acquired that portion of Vuguru that it did not already own. Prior to founding Tornante in 2005, Mr. Eisner served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of The Walt Disney Company from 1984. In addition to his for profit affiliations, Mr. Eisner serves on the boards of directors of Denison University, The Aspen Institute, the Yale School of Architecture Dean's Council and The Eisner Foundation. In nominating Mr. Eisner, the Board considered his experience with Tornante, which the Board believes gives him particular insight into investments in, and the development and operation of, media and entertainment companies that focus on programming and content for emerging platforms. The Board also considered Mr. Eisner's experience as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of The Walt Disney Company, which the Board believes gives him particular insight into business strategy and leadership, marketing and consumer branding, as well as a high level of financial literacy and insight into the media and entertainment industries.

Bonnie S. Hammer, age 64, has been a director of IAC since September 2014. Ms. Hammer has been Chairman of NBCUniversal Cable Entertainment since February 2013. In this capacity, Ms. Hammer has executive oversight of a number of leading cable brands (USA Network, Syfy, E! Entertainment, Bravo, Oxygen, Esquire Network, Sprout, Chiller, Cloo and Universal HD), as well as Universal Cable Productions, which generates scripted content for cable and broadcast networks, and Wilshire Studios, which generates reality programming. Prior to her tenure as Chairman of NBCUniversal Cable Entertainment, Ms. Hammer served as Chairman of NBCUniversal Cable Entertainment and Cable Studios since November 2010. In this capacity, Ms. Hammer had executive oversight for certain leading cable brands (USA, Syfy, E! Entertainment, Chiller, Cloo and Universal HD), as well as Universal Cable Productions and Wilshire Studios. The networks led by Ms. Hammer are industry frontrunners, consistently generating innovative consumer social and digital experiences reflective of their brands. Prior to joining NBCUniversal in May 2004, Ms. Hammer served as President of Syfy from 2001 to 2004 and held other senior executive positions at Syfy and USA Network from 1989 to 2000. Before that, she was an original programming executive at Lifetime Television Network from 1987 to 1989. Ms. Hammer has served on the boards of directors of ShopNBC, a 24-hour TV shopping network, the International Radio and Television Society (IRTS) and the Ad Council. Ms. Hammer has served as a member of the board of directors of eBay, Inc. since January 2015 and also currently serves on the strategic planning committee for Boston University's College of Communication. In nominating Mr. Hammer, the Board considered her experience as the Chairman of NBCUniversal Cable Entertainment, as well as her prior roles with NBCUniversal Media, LLC, USA Network and Lifetime Television Network, which the Board believes give her particular insight into business strategy and leadership, as well as a high level of financial literacy and a seasoned insight into the media and entertainment industries, particularly pay television network programming and production and multiplatform branding.

Table of Contents

Victor A. Kaufman, age 71, has been a director of IAC (and its predecessors) since December 1996 and has been Vice Chairman of IAC since October 1999. Mr. Kaufman also serves as Vice Chairman of Expedia, Inc., which position he has held since August 2005. Previously, Mr. Kaufman served in the Office of the Chairman from January 1997 to November 1997 and as Chief Financial Officer of IAC from November 1997 to October 1999. Prior to his tenure with IAC, Mr. Kaufman served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Savoy Pictures Entertainment, Inc. from March 1992 and as a director of Savoy from February 1992. Mr. Kaufman was the founding Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Tri-Star Pictures, Inc. and served in such capacities from 1983 until December 1987, at which time he became President and Chief Executive Officer of Tri-Star's successor company, Columbia Pictures Entertainment, Inc. He resigned from these positions at the end of 1989 following the acquisition of Columbia by Sony USA, Inc. Mr. Kaufman joined Columbia in 1974 and served in a variety of senior positions at Columbia and its affiliates prior to the founding of Tri-Star. Mr. Kaufman also served as Vice Chairman of the board of directors of Live Nation from August 2008 through January 2010, and continued to serve as a member of the board of directors of Live Nation from January 2010 through December 2010. In addition, Mr. Kaufman served as a member of the board of directors of TripAdvisor from December 2011 to February 2013. In nominating Mr. Kaufman, the Board considered the unique knowledge and experience regarding the Company and its businesses that he has gained through his involvement with the Company in various roles since 1996, as well as his high level of financial literacy and expertise regarding mergers, acquisitions, investments and other strategic transactions.

Bryan Lourd, age 54, has been a director of IAC since April 2005. Mr. Lourd has served as partner and Managing Director of Creative Artists Agency ("CAA") since October 1995. CAA is among the world's leading entertainment agencies and is based in Los Angeles, California, with offices in Nashville, New York, London and Beijing. He is a graduate of the University of Southern California. In connection with the nomination of Mr. Lourd, the Board considered his extensive experience as a principal of CAA, which the Board believes gives him particular insight into business strategy and leadership, as well as unique and specialized experience regarding the entertainment industry and marketing.

David Rosenblatt, age 47, has been a director of IAC since December 2008. Mr. Rosenblatt currently serves as the Chief Executive Officer of 1stdibs.com, Inc., an online marketplace for vintage furniture and fashion, as well as antiques, art and jewelry. Mr. Rosenblatt previously served as President, Global Display Advertising, of Google, Inc. from October 2008 through May 2009. Mr. Rosenblatt joined Google in March 2008 in connection with Google's acquisition of DoubleClick, Inc., a provider of digital marketing technology and services. Mr. Rosenblatt joined DoubleClick in 1997 as part of its initial management team and held several executive positions during his tenure, including Chief Executive Officer of DoubleClick from July 2005 through March 2008 and President of DoubleClick from 2000 through July 2005. Prior to joining DoubleClick, Mr. Rosenblatt spent several years as an investment banker at S.G. Warburg & Co. in Hong Kong, London and New York. Mr. Rosenblatt also currently serves as a member of the boards of directors of Twitter, which position he has held since January 2011, and Narrative Science, Inc., a leading provider of natural language communications technology that helps organizations analyze and transform data into narrative reports. In connection with the nomination of Mr. Rosenblatt, the Board considered his extensive and unique experience in the online advertising and digital marketing technology and services industries, as well as his management experience with DoubleClick, Google and 1stdibs.com, Inc., which the Board believes give him particular insight into business strategy and leadership, as well as a deep understanding of the internet sector.

Alan G. Spoon, age 63, has been a director of IAC since February 2003. Since May 2000, Mr. Spoon has been a Partner at Polaris Partners (formerly Managing General Partner and now Partner Emeritus). Polaris is a private investment firm that provides venture capital and management assistance to development-stage information technology and life sciences companies. Mr. Spoon was Chief Operating Officer and a director of The Washington Post Company from March 1991 through

Table of Contents

May 2000 and served as President from September 1993 through May 2000. Prior to that, he held a wide variety of positions at The Washington Post Company, including President of Newsweek from September 1989 to May 1991. Mr. Spoon has served as a member of the board of directors of Danaher Corporation from July 1999 to the present. In his not-for-profit affiliations, Mr. Spoon was a member of the Board of Regents at the Smithsonian Institution (formerly Vice Chairman) and is now a member of the MIT Corporation, where he also serves as a member of the board of directors of edX (an online education platform). In nominating Mr. Spoon, the Board considered his extensive private and public company board experience and public company management experience with The Washington Post Company, all of which the Board believes give him particular insight into business strategy, leadership and marketing in the media industry. The Board also considered Mr. Spoon's private equity experience, which the Board believes gives him particular insight into trends in the internet and technology industries, as well as into acquisition strategy and financing.

Alexander von Furstenberg, age 45, has been a director of IAC since December 2008. Mr. von Furstenberg currently serves as Chief Investment Officer of Ranger Global Advisors, LLC, a family office focused on value-based investing ("Ranger"), which he founded in June 2011. Prior to his tenure with Ranger, Mr. von Furstenberg founded Arrow Capital Management, LLC, a private investment firm focused on global public equities, where he served as Co-Managing Member and Chief Investment Officer since 2003. During the past five years, Mr. von Furstenberg served as a member of the board of directors of W.P. Stewart & Co. Ltd., a Bermuda based asset management firm. Since 2001, he has acted as Chief Investment Officer of Arrow Investments, Inc., the private investment office which serves his family. Mr. von Furstenberg also serves as a partner and director of Diane von Furstenberg Studio, LLC. In addition to the philanthropic work accomplished through his position as a director of The Diller-von Furstenberg Family Foundation, Mr. von Furstenberg also serves on the board of directors of Friends of the High Line. In nominating Mr. von Furstenberg, the Board considered his private investment and board experience, which the Board believes give him particular insight into capital markets and investment strategy, as well as a high level of financial literacy. Mr. von Furstenberg is Mr. Diller's stepson.

Richard F. Zannino, age 56, has been a director of IAC since June 2009. Since July 2009, Mr. Zannino has been a Managing Director at CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC, a private equity firm, where he also serves as a member of the firm's Investment Committee and as co-head of the firm's consumer, retail and information services investment efforts. Mr. Zannino has also served as a member of the board of directors of The Estée Lauder Companies, Inc. since January 2010 and Francesca's Collections since July 2011. Mr. Zannino previously served as Chief Executive Officer and a member of the board of directors of Dow Jones & Company from February 2006 through December 2007, when Mr. Zannino resigned from these positions upon the acquisition of Dow Jones by News Corp. Prior to this time, Mr. Zannino served as Chief Operating Officer of Dow Jones from July 2002 through February 2006 and as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Dow Jones from February 2001 through June 2002. Prior to his tenure at Dow Jones, Mr. Zannino served in a number of executive capacities at Liz Claiborne from 1998 through January 2001, and prior to that time served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of General Signal and in a number of executive capacities at Saks Fifth Avenue. In his not-for-profit affiliations, Mr. Zannino serves as a member of the Board of Trustees of Pace University. In connection with the nomination of Mr. Zannino, the Board considered his extensive public company management experience, which the Board believes gives him particular insight into business strategy, leadership and marketing, as well as a high level of financial literacy. The Board also considered Mr. Zannino's private equity experience, which the Board believes gives him particular insight into acquisition and investment strategy and financing.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

Leadership Structure. The Company's business and affairs are overseen by its Board of Directors, which currently has twelve members. There are two management representatives on the Board and, of the ten remaining current directors, nine are independent. The Board has an Audit Committee, Compensation and Human Resources Committee and Nominating Committee, each comprised solely of independent directors, as well as an Executive Committee. For more information regarding director independence and our Board Committees, see the discussion under Director Independence beginning on page 11 and Board Committees beginning on page 13. All of our directors play an active role in Board matters, are encouraged to communicate among themselves and directly with the Chairman and Senior Executive and have full access to Company management at all times.

Our independent directors meet in scheduled executive sessions without management present at least twice a year and may schedule additional meetings as they deem appropriate. We do not have a lead independent director or any other formally appointed leader for these sessions. The independent membership of our Audit, Compensation and Human Resources and Nominating Committees ensures that directors with no ties to Company management are charged with oversight for all financial reporting and executive compensation related decisions made by Company management, as well as for recommending candidates for Board membership. At each regularly scheduled Board meeting, the Chair of each of these committees provides the full Board with an update of all significant matters discussed, reviewed, considered and/or approved by the relevant committee since the last regularly scheduled Board meeting.

Mr. Diller currently serves as both our Chairman and Senior Executive and has held both positions since December 2010. The Company has concluded that separate roles of Senior Executive and Chief Executive Officer are not necessary given that each of the Company's three principal areas of focus (The Match Group, Search & Applications and Media) has a fully developed management team reporting directly to senior IAC management and the related belief that a less centralized operating structure that pushes talent and decision-making closer to the Company's businesses is the best way to achieve the Company's long-term growth objectives. At this time, we believe that this leadership structure is the most appropriate one for the Company and its stockholders.

Risk Oversight. Company management is responsible for assessing and managing the Company's exposure to various risks on a day-to-day basis, which responsibilities include the creation of appropriate risk management programs and policies. Company management has developed and implemented guidelines and policies to identify, assess and manage significant risks facing the Company. In developing this framework, the Company recognized that leadership and success are impossible without taking risks; however, the imprudent acceptance of risk or the failure to appropriately identify and mitigate risks could adversely impact stockholder value. The Board is responsible for overseeing management in the execution of its responsibilities and for assessing the Company's approach to risk management. The Board exercises these responsibilities periodically as part of its meetings and through discussions with Company management, as well as through the Board's Audit and Compensation and Human Resources Committees, which examine various components of financial and compensation-related risks, respectively, as part of their responsibilities. In addition, an overall review of risk is inherent in the Board's consideration of the Company's long-term strategies and in the transactions and other matters presented to the Board, including significant capital expenditures, acquisitions and divestitures and financial matters. The Board's role in risk oversight of the Company is consistent with the Company's leadership structure, with the Chairman and Senior Executive and other members of senior management having responsibility for assessing and managing the Company's risk exposure, and the Board and its committees providing oversight in connection with those efforts.

Compensation Risk Assessment. We periodically conduct risk assessments of our compensation policies and practices for our employees, including those related to our executive compensation

Table of Contents

programs. The goal of these assessments is to determine whether the general structure of the Company's compensation policies and programs and the administration of these programs pose any material risks to the Company. The findings of any risk assessment are discussed with the Compensation and Human Resources Committee. Based upon our assessments, we believe that our compensation policies and programs do not encourage excessive or unnecessary risk-taking and are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Director Independence. Under the Marketplace Rules of The Nasdaq Stock Market (the "Marketplace Rules"), the Board has a responsibility to make an affirmative determination that those members of the Board who serve as independent directors do not have any relationships that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. In connection with the independence determinations described below, the Board reviewed information regarding transactions, relationships and arrangements relevant to independence, including those required by the Marketplace Rules. This information is obtained from director responses to questionnaires circulated by Company management, as well as from Company records and publicly available information. Following this determination, Company management monitors those transactions, relationships and arrangements that were relevant to such determination, as well as periodically solicits updated information potentially relevant to independence from internal personnel and directors, to determine whether there have been any developments that could potentially have an adverse impact on the Board's prior independence determination.

In February 2015, the Board determined that each of Messrs. Bronfman, Eisner, Lourd, Rosenblatt, Spoon and Zannino and Mmes. Clinton, De Rycker and Hammer is independent. In connection with this determination, the Board considered that in the ordinary course of business, IAC and its businesses sell products and services to, purchase products and services from, co-invest with and develop and produce projects with, companies at which certain directors are employed or serve as directors, or over which certain directors otherwise exert control. Furthermore, the Board considered whether there were any payments made to (or received from) such entities by IAC and its businesses. Specific payments the Board considered are as follows:

the payment of a license fee (for the online distribution of a film) by an IAC business to a portfolio company of Tornante (Mr. Eisner is Chairman of Tornante);

the payment of license fees (for the distribution of programming) to an IAC business by certain businesses overseen and managed by Ms. Hammer in her role as Chairman of NBCUniversal Cable Entertainment (Ms. Hammer is not a named executive officer of the ultimate parent corporation that owns and controls NBCUniversal);

payments for services made by an IAC business to CAA, where Mr. Lourd is Managing Director;

a co-investment by IAC in an entity in which Polaris Partners was an existing equity investor, as well as payments for services between the Company and certain Polaris Partners portfolio companies (Mr. Spoon was a Managing General Partner (now Partner Emeritus) of Polaris Partners); and

payments for data licensing services made by an IAC business to a portfolio company of CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC, where Mr. Zannino is a Managing Director and member of the firm's Investment Committee. The agreement pursuant to which the IAC business made these payments was entered into by the parties before Mr. Zannino began serving on the Board and before CCMP acquired the company.

In the case of Mmes. Clinton and De Rycker and Mr. Rosenblatt, there were no such payments known to Company management for the Board to consider. Of the remaining incumbent directors, Messrs. Diller and Kaufman are executive officers of the Company and Mr. von Furstenberg is Mr. Diller's stepson. Given these relationships, none of these directors is independent.

Table of Contents

In addition to the satisfaction of the director independence requirements set forth in the Marketplace Rules, members of the Audit and Compensation and Human Resources Committees have also satisfied separate independence requirements under the current standards imposed by the SEC and the Marketplace Rules for audit committee members and by the SEC, the Marketplace Rules and the Internal Revenue Service for compensation committee members.

In February 2014, the Board determined that two former directors, Donald R. Keough and Arthur C. Martinez, were independent. In connection with this determination, the Board considered payments for services made by an IAC business to Allen & Company, where Mr. Keough then served as Chairman (in a non-executive capacity). In the case of Mr. Martinez, there were no such payments known to Company management for the Board to consider.

Director Nominations. The Nominating Committee identifies, reviews and evaluates individuals qualified to become Board members and recommends candidates to the Board. While there are no specific requirements for eligibility to serve as a director of IAC, in evaluating candidates, the Nominating Committee will consider (regardless of how the candidate was identified or recommended) whether the professional and personal ethics and values of the candidate are consistent with those of IAC, whether the candidate's experience and expertise would be beneficial to the Board, whether the candidate is willing and able to devote the necessary time and energy to the work of the Board and whether the candidate is prepared and qualified to represent the best interests of IAC's stockholders. While the Board does not have a formal diversity policy, the Nominating Committee also considers the overall diversity of the experiences, characteristics, attributes, skills and backgrounds of candidates relative to those of other Board members and those represented by the Board as a whole to ensure that the Board has the right mix of skills, expertise and background.

The Board does not have a formal policy regarding the consideration of director nominees recommended by stockholders, as historically IAC has not received such recommendations. However, the Board would consider such recommendations if made in the future. Stockholders who wish to make such a recommendation should send the recommendation to IAC, 555 West 18th Street, New York, New York 10011, Attention: Corporate Secretary. The envelope must contain a clear notation that the enclosed letter is a "Director Nominee Recommendation." The letter must identify the author as a stockholder, provide a brief summary of the candidate's qualifications and history, together with an indication that the recommended individual would be willing to serve (if elected), and must be accompanied by evidence of the sender's stock ownership. Any director recommendations will be reviewed by the Corporate Secretary and the Chairman, and if deemed appropriate, forwarded to the Nominating Committee for further review. If the Nominating Committee believes that the candidate fits the profile of a director described above, the recommendation will be shared with the entire Board.

Communications with the IAC Board. Stockholders who wish to communicate with IAC's Board of Directors or a particular director may send such communication to IAC, 555 West 18th Street, New York, New York 10011, Attention: Corporate Secretary. The mailing envelope must contain a clear notation indicating that the enclosed letter is a "Stockholder Board Communication" or "Stockholder Director Communication." All such letters must identify the author as a stockholder, provide evidence of the sender's stock ownership and clearly state whether the intended recipients are all members of the Board or a particular director or directors. The Corporate Secretary will then review such correspondence and forward it to the Board, or to the specified director(s), if appropriate.

The Board and Board Committees

The Board. The Board met four times and acted by written consent three times during 2014. During 2014, all then incumbent directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and the Board committees on which they served. Directors are not required to attend annual meetings of IAC stockholders. One member of the Board of Directors attended IAC's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Table of Contents

The Board currently has four committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation and Human Resources Committee, the Nominating Committee and the Executive Committee.

Board Committees. The following table sets forth the members of each committee and the number of meetings held by, and times that each such committee took action by written consent, during 2014. Unless otherwise indicated, each committee member identified below served in the capacities set forth in the table for all of 2014.

Name	Audit Committee	Compensation and Human Resources Committee(1)(2)	Nominating Committee(1)(2)	Executive Committee
Edgar Bronfman, Jr.(3)			X	X
Chelsea Clinton(3)				
Sonali De Rycker(3)				
Barry Diller				X
Michael D. Eisner(3)				
Bonnie Hammer(3)				
Victor A. Kaufman				X
Bryan Lourd(3)	X			
David Rosenblatt(3)(4)		Chair		
Alan G. Spoon(3)	Chair			
Alexander von Furstenberg				
Richard F. Zannino(3)	X			
Number of Meetings	9	3	0	0
Number of Written Consents	0	8	2	4

- From January through June of 2014, the membership of the Compensation and Human Resources Committee consisted of two former directors, Messrs. Keough and Martinez (with Mr. Martinez as Chair), and Mr. Rosenblatt. From June through December of 2014, the membership of the Compensation and Human Resources Committee consisted of one former director, Mr. Keough, and Mr. Rosenblatt (with Mr. Rosenblatt as Chair). The membership of the Compensation and Human Resources Committee currently consists of Ms. Hammer and Mr. Rosenblatt (with Mr. Rosenblatt as Chair). For all of 2014, the membership of the Nominating Committee consisted of one former director, Mr. Keough, and Mr. Bronfman. The Nominating Committee currently consists of Mr. Bronfman and Mr. Eisner.
- Mr. Keough served on the Company's Board from 1998 until his passing in February 2015 and, as noted above, served on both the Compensation and Human Resources Committee and the Nominating Committee for all of 2014.
- (3) Independent director.
- (4)
 Mr. Rosenblatt has served as Chair of the Compensation and Human Resources Committee since June 2014.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee functions pursuant to a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, the most recent version of which was filed as Appendix A to IAC's 2014 Annual Meeting proxy statement. The Audit Committee is appointed by the Board to assist the Board with a variety of matters described in the charter, which include monitoring: (i) the integrity of IAC's financial statements, (ii) the effectiveness of IAC's internal control over financial reporting, (iii) the qualifications and independence of IAC's independent registered public accounting firm, (iv) the performance of IAC's internal audit function and independent registered public accounting firm, (v) IAC's risk assessment and risk management policies as they relate to financial and other risk exposures and (vi) the compliance by IAC with legal and regulatory requirements. In fulfilling its

Table of Contents

purpose, the Audit Committee maintains free and open communication among itself, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, the Company's internal auditors and Company management. The formal report of the Audit Committee is set forth on page 15.

The Board has previously concluded that Mr. Spoon is an "audit committee financial expert," as such term is defined in applicable SEC rules, as well as the Marketplace Rules.

Compensation and Human Resources Committee. The Compensation and Human Resources Committee functions pursuant to a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, the most recent version of which was filed as Appendix B to IAC's 2014 Annual Meeting proxy statement. The Compensation and Human Resources Committee is appointed by the Board to assist the Board with all matters relating to the compensation of the Company's executive officers and has overall responsibility for approving and evaluating all compensation plans, policies and programs of the Company as they affect the Company's executive officers. The Compensation and Human Resources Committee may delegate authority to one or more of its members. The Compensation and Human Resources Committee may also delegate to one or more of the Company's executive officers the authority to make grants of equity-based compensation to eligible individuals (other than directors or executive officers) to the extent allowed under applicable law. For additional information on IAC's processes and procedures for the consideration and determination of executive compensation and the related roles of the Compensation and Human Resources Committee, Company management and consultants, see the discussion under Compensation Discussion and Analysis generally beginning on page 17. The formal report of the Compensation and Human Resources Committee is set forth on page 23.

Nominating Committee. The Nominating Committee functions pursuant to a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, the most recent version of which was filed as Appendix C to IAC's 2014 Annual Meeting proxy statement. The Nominating Committee is appointed by the Board to assist the Board by: (i) identifying, reviewing and evaluating individuals qualified to become Board members, (ii) recommending director nominees for the next annual meeting of stockholders (and nominees to fill vacancies on the Board as necessary) and (iii) making recommendations with respect to the compensation and benefits of directors.

Executive Committee. The Executive Committee has all the power and authority of the Board of Directors of IAC, except those powers specifically reserved to the Board by Delaware law or IAC's organizational documents.

PROPOSAL 2 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Subject to stockholder ratification, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has appointed Ernst & Young LLP as IAC's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015. Ernst & Young LLP has served as IAC's independent registered public accounting firm for many years and is considered by management to be well qualified.

A representative of Ernst & Young LLP is expected to be present at the Annual Meeting and will be given an opportunity to make a statement if he so chooses and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Ratification of the appointment of IAC's independent registered public accounting firm requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the voting power of the shares of IAC capital stock present at the Annual Meeting in person or represented by proxy and voting together.

The Board recommends that our stockholders vote **FOR** ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as IAC's independent registered public accounting firm for 2015.

Table of Contents

AUDIT COMMITTEE MATTERS

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee functions pursuant to a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, the most recent version of which was filed as Appendix A to IAC's 2014 Annual Meeting proxy statement. The Audit Committee charter governs the operations of the Audit Committee and sets forth its responsibilities, which include providing assistance to the Board of Directors with the monitoring of: (i) the integrity of IAC's financial statements, (ii) the effectiveness of IAC's internal control over financial reporting, (iii) the qualifications and independence of IAC's independent registered public accounting firm, (iv) the performance of IAC's internal audit function and independent registered public accounting firm, (v) IAC's risk assessment and risk management policies as they relate to financial and other risk exposures and (vi) the compliance by IAC with legal and regulatory requirements. It is not the duty of the Audit Committee to plan or conduct audits or to determine that IAC's financial statements and disclosures are complete, accurate and have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and applicable rules and regulations. These are the responsibilities of management and IAC's independent registered public accounting firm.

In fulfilling its responsibilities, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements of IAC for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 with IAC's management and Ernst & Young LLP, IAC's independent registered public accounting firm.

The Audit Committee has discussed with Ernst & Young the matters required to be discussed by PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 16, "Communications with Audit Committees." In addition, the Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from Ernst & Young required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding Ernst & Young's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed with Ernst & Young its independence from IAC and its management.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited consolidated financial statements for IAC for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 be included in IAC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 for filing with the SEC.

Members of the Audit Committee

Alan G. Spoon (Chair) Bryan Lourd Richard F. Zannino

(1)

Fees Paid to Our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The following table sets forth fees for all professional services rendered by Ernst & Young to IAC for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	2014	2013
Audit Fees(1)	\$ 3,667,000	\$ 2,133,525
Audit-Related Fees(2)	\$ 50,000	\$ 62,500
Total Audit and Audit-Related Fees	\$ 3,717,000	\$ 2,196,025
Tax Fees(3)	\$ 1,175,000	\$ 1,069,000
Total Fees	\$ 4,892,000	\$ 3,265,025

Audit Fees in 2014 and 2013 include fees associated with the annual audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, the review of periodic reports,

Table of Contents

the review of SEC registration statements and consents and other services related to SEC matters, accounting consultations and statutory audits. Statutory audits include audits performed for certain IAC businesses in various jurisdictions abroad, which audits are required by local law.

- (2)
 Audit-Related Fees in 2014 include fees for benefit plan audits. Audit-Related Fees in 2013 include fees for benefit plan audits and an agreed-upon procedures engagement.
- (3)

 Tax Fees in 2014 and 2013 primarily include fees paid for the preparation of federal, state and local tax returns in the United States and certain jurisdictions abroad and research and development tax credit studies.

Audit and Non-Audit Services Pre-Approval Policy

The Audit Committee has a policy governing the pre-approval of all audit and permitted non-audit services performed by IAC's independent registered public accounting firm in order to ensure that the provision of these services does not impair such firm's independence from IAC and its management. Unless a type of service to be provided by IAC's independent registered public accounting firm has received general pre-approval, it requires specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. Any proposed services in excess of pre-approved cost levels also require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. In all pre-approval instances, the Audit Committee considers whether such services are consistent with SEC rules regarding auditor independence.

All Tax services require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. In addition, the Audit Committee has designated specific services that have the pre-approval of the Audit Committee (each of which is subject to pre-approved cost levels) and has classified these pre-approved services into one of three categories: Audit, Audit-Related and All Other (excluding Tax). The term of any pre-approval is 12 months from the date of the pre-approval, unless the Audit Committee specifically provides for a different period. The Audit Committee revises the list of pre-approved services from time to time. Pre-approved fee levels for all services to be provided by IAC's independent registered public accounting firm are established periodically from time to time by the Audit Committee.

Pursuant to the pre-approval policy, the Audit Committee may delegate its authority to grant pre-approvals to one or more of its members, and has currently delegated this authority to its Chairman. The decisions of the Chairman (or any other member(s) to whom such authority may be delegated) to grant pre-approvals must be presented to the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Audit Committee may not delegate its responsibilities to pre-approve services to management.

INFORMATION CONCERNING IAC EXECUTIVE OFFICERS WHO ARE NOT DIRECTORS

Background information about IAC's current executive officers who are not director nominees is set forth below. For background information about IAC's Chairman and Senior Executive, Barry Diller, and Vice Chairman, Victor A. Kaufman, see the discussion under Information Concerning Director Nominees beginning on page 5.

Jeffrey W. Kip, age 47, has been Chief Financial Officer of IAC since March 2012. Prior to joining IAC, Mr. Kip served as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer of Panera Bread Company, a national bakery-cafe concept in the United States and Canada ("Panera"), since May 2006. From November 2003 until May 2006, Mr. Kip served as Panera's Vice President, Finance and Planning and as Vice President, Corporate Development from May 2003 until November 2003. From November 2002 until April 2003, Mr. Kip served as an Associate Director and Director at UBS, an investment banking firm, and from August 1999 until November 2002, Mr. Kip was an Associate at Goldman Sachs, an investment banking firm.

Table of Contents

Gregg Winiarski, age 44, has served as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since February 2014 and previously served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of IAC from February 2009 to February 2014. Mr. Winiarski previously served as Associate General Counsel of IAC since February 2005, during which time he had primary responsibility for all legal aspects of IAC's mergers and acquisitions and other transactional work. Prior to joining IAC in February 2005, Mr. Winiarski was an associate with Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, a global law firm, from 1996 to February 2005. Prior to joining Skadden, Mr. Winiarski was a certified public accountant with Ernst & Young in New York.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Philosophy and Objectives

Our executive officer compensation program is designed to increase long-term stockholder value by attracting, retaining, motivating and rewarding leaders with the competence, character, experience and ambition necessary to enable the Company to meet its growth objectives.

Though IAC is a publicly traded company, we attempt to foster an entrepreneurial culture, and attract and retain senior executives with entrepreneurial backgrounds, attitudes and aspirations. Accordingly, when attempting to recruit and retain our executive officers, as well as other executives who may become executive officers at a later time, we compete not only with other public companies, but also with earlier stage companies, companies funded by private equity and venture capital firms and professional firms. We structure our compensation program so that we can compete in this varied marketplace for talent, with an emphasis on variable, contingent compensation and long-term equity ownership.

While we consider market data in establishing broad compensation programs and practices and may periodically benchmark the compensation associated with particular executive positions, we do not definitively rely on competitive survey data or any benchmarking information in establishing executive compensation. The Company makes decisions based on a host of factors particular to a given executive's situation, including its firsthand experience with the competition for recruiting executives and its understanding of the current environment, and believes that over-reliance on survey data, or a benchmarking approach, is too rigid and stale for the dynamic and fast changing marketplace for talent in which we compete.

Similarly, we believe that arithmetic approaches to measuring and rewarding short-term performance often fail to adequately take into account the multiple factors that contribute to success at the individual and business level. In any given period, the Company may have multiple objectives, and these objectives, and their relative importance, often change as the competitive and strategic landscapes shift. Accordingly, we have historically avoided the use of strict formulas in our annual bonus program, believing that they often over-compensate or under-compensate a given performance level. We instead rely primarily on an approach that, while based on clear objectives, is not formulaic and allows for the exercise of discretion in setting final bonus amounts.

In addition, we are of the view that long-term incentive compensation in the form of equity awards aligns the interests of executives and long-term shareholders, and to further this important goal, equity awards play a prominent role in our overall compensation program. The form of equity awards has changed from time to time over the years, and in 2008 we introduced non-qualified stock options as the predominant equity incentive vehicle for our executives. This switch was made primarily for the sake of simplicity given that the value from stock option awards is directly dependent on appreciation in the Company's stock price and therefore provides an objectively measurable goal, and a belief that it would, in general, make the Company more competitive in recruiting talented executives and employees. From time to time, however, executives have been awarded restricted stock units in addition to, or in lieu of, stock option awards, depending on the individual circumstances, and in 2014 one of our executives was awarded restricted stock units as described below.

Table of Contents

We believe that the Company's executive officer compensation program puts the substantial majority of compensation at risk, rewards both individual and corporate performance in a targeted fashion, pays amounts appropriate to attract and retain those key individuals necessary to grow the Company and aligns the interests of our key executives with the interests of our stockholders. We continuously evaluate our program and make changes as we deem appropriate.

Roles and Responsibilities

The Compensation and Human Resources Committee of the Company's Board of Directors (for purposes of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the "Committee") has primary responsibility for establishing the compensation of the Company's executive officers. All compensation decisions referred to throughout this Compensation Disclosure and Analysis section have been made by the Committee, based (in part) on recommendations from Mr. Diller (as described below). The Committee currently consists of Mr. Rosenblatt and Ms. Hammer, and at the time equity awards were granted in 2014, the Committee consisted of Messrs. Keough, Martinez and Rosenblatt.

The executive officers participate in structuring Company-wide compensation programs and in establishing appropriate bonus and equity pools and Mr. Diller meets with the Committee at least annually to discuss compensation packages for the executive team. In early 2015, Mr. Diller met with the Committee and discussed his views of corporate and individual executive officer performance for 2014 for Messrs. Kaufman, Kip and Winiarski, and his recommendation for annual bonuses for those executive officers. Mr. Diller also discussed his own performance with the Committee. Following these discussions, the Committee met in executive sessions to discuss Mr. Diller's recommendations. After consideration of these recommendations, the Committee ultimately determined the annual bonus amount for each executive officer.

In establishing a given executive officer's compensation package, each individual component is evaluated independently and in relation to the package as a whole. Prior earning histories and outstanding long-term compensation arrangements are also reviewed and taken into account. However, we do not believe in any formulaic relationship or targeted allocation between these elements. Instead, each individual's situation is evaluated on a case-by-case basis each year, considering the variety of relevant factors at that time.

From time to time, the Committee has solicited the advice of consulting firms and engaged legal counsel. Except as noted below, no such consulting firms or legal counsel were engaged during 2014.

In addition, from time to time, the Company may solicit survey or peer compensation data from various consulting firms. In 2014, The Company engaged Mercer (US) Inc. to provide comparative market data in connection with the Company's own analysis of its equity compensation practices, but neither Mercer nor any other compensation consultant engaged by the Company had any role in determining or recommending the amount or form of executive compensation for 2014.

In late 2014, the Committee engaged Compensation Advisory Partners LLC ("CAP") to assist the Committee in its consideration of a long-term incentive award for Mr. Diller, as discussed further below under the heading "2015 Equity Awards."

Compensation Elements

Our compensation packages for executive officers primarily consist of salary, annual bonuses, IAC equity awards and, in certain instances, perquisites and other benefits.

Salary. We typically negotiate a new executive officer's starting salary upon arrival, based on the executive's prior compensation history, prior compensation levels for the particular position within the Company, the Company's New York City location, salary levels of other executives within the Company and salary levels available to the individual in alternative opportunities. Salaries can increase based on

Table of Contents

a number of factors, including the assumption of additional responsibilities and other factors which demonstrate an executive's increased value to the Company. No executive officer's salary was adjusted during 2014.

Annual Bonuses

General. We establish bonus levels through a two-pronged process. First, at the beginning of each year, the Committee sets performance objectives, which historically have been tied to the achievement of EBITA (as defined below), revenue or share price performance targets during the forthcoming year, and maximum bonus amounts. In general, these performance targets are minimum acceptable performance conditions, but with respect to which there is substantial uncertainty when we establish them. The establishment of performance targets and maximum bonus amounts is undertaken primarily to satisfy the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. Satisfaction of one or more of the performance targets established by the Committee allows for the payment of bonuses that will be deductible by the Company for federal income tax purposes, should any bonuses be awarded to the Company's named executive officers. However, satisfaction of the applicable performance targets does not obligate the Committee to approve any specific bonus amount for any executive officer, and the Committee has historically reduced the maximum bonus amount based on a discretionary assessment of Company and, to a lesser extent, individual performance. In making its determinations regarding individual annual bonus amounts, the Committee considers a variety of factors, such as growth in profitability or achievement of strategic objectives by the Company, and an individual's performance and contribution to the Company. The Committee does not quantify the weight given to any specific element or otherwise follow a formulaic calculation. Rather, the Committee engages in an overall assessment of appropriate bonus levels based on a subjective interpretation of all relevant criteria. This process is designed to permit the Company to deduct the bonus compensation paid to executives for income tax purposes.

The definition of EBITA used for establishing Section 162(m) performance objectives comes from IAC's 2013 Stock and Annual Incentive Plan, and is as follows: "EBITA" means for any period, operating profit (loss) plus, if applicable: (i) amortization and impairment of intangibles, (ii) goodwill impairment, (iii) non-cash compensation expense, (iv) restructuring charges, (v) non cash write-downs of assets, (vi) charges relating to disposal of lines of business, (vii) litigation settlement amounts and (viii) costs incurred for proposed and completed acquisitions.

2014 Bonuses. For 2014, the Committee predicated the payment of bonuses to executive officers on attaining: (i) EBITA in any of the four consecutive calendar quarters beginning with the second quarter of 2014 at least equal to EBITA in the corresponding calendar quarter twelve months before, (ii) revenue in any of the four consecutive calendar quarters beginning with the second quarter of 2014 at least equal to revenue in the corresponding calendar quarter twelve months before or (iii) share price growth of at least 5% over \$66.19 (the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date the goals were established) on any 20 trading days during the period beginning on February 12, 2014 through December 31, 2014. The revenue and share price targets were met, as determined by the Committee during the fourth quarter of 2014. After concluding that the threshold performance targets for the payment of bonuses had been achieved, the Committee then exercised its right to reduce bonus amounts for each individual executive officer from the maximum level established. In setting actual bonus levels, the Committee considered a variety of factors, including:

Revenue and Adjusted EBITDA Results. Revenue increased modestly over the prior year, reflecting growth in The Match Group segment, partially offset by challenges in the Search & Applications segment and revenue declines in the Media segment resulting from certain dispositions. Adjusted EBITDA declined 9% for the year, reflecting increased selling and marketing expenses, partially offset by the impact of certain acquisitions;

Table of Contents

Capitalization and Cash Position. The Company increased its quarterly dividend over 40% during the year and repatriated a meaningful amount of cash to its stockholders during 2014 by way of quarterly cash dividends. In addition, the Company generated strong cash flow from its operations during the year, positioning itself to take advantage of investment opportunities that may arise;

Successful Completion of Transactions. The Company continued its disciplined approach to acquisitions and investments during 2014, completing over \$300 million in acquisitions, investments and purchases of non-controlling interests. These transactions allowed the Company to expand its product offerings and diversify revenue streams. The larger of these transactions included the acquisitions of The Princeton Review and certain assets of ValueClick, Inc.; and

Other Initiatives. The Company, in connection with its continuing review of its collection of assets, increased its investment in those businesses where we believe there is upside opportunity, and restructured or sold certain non-core businesses.

While the factors noted above were the primary ones considered in setting bonus award amounts, the Committee also considered each executive's role and responsibilities, the relative contributions made by each executive officer during the year and the relative size of the bonuses paid to the other executive officers. In particular, the Committee considered the following: (i) with respect to Mr. Diller, his role in providing strategic direction for the Company overall, (ii) with respect to Mr. Kip, his continuing successful management of operational and cost-containment initiatives, (iii) with respect to Mr. Kaufman, his participation in strategic oversight of the Company, and (iv) with respect to Mr. Winiarski, his role in managing the successful completion of a number of acquisitions and investments during the year.

As noted above, in setting individual bonus amounts, the Committee did not quantify the weight assigned to any specific factor, nor apply a formulaic calculation. In setting bonus amounts, the Committee generally considered the Company's overall performance, the amount of bonus for each named executive relative to other Company executives and the recommendations of the Chairman and Senior Executive. In addition, the Committee considered achievements in 2014 as compared to achievements and bonus levels in prior years.

Executive officer bonuses tend to be highly variable from year-to-year depending on the performance of the Company and 2014 bonuses for our executive officers were lower than those for 2013, reflecting the Company's overall performance in 2014 relative to the prior year. Accordingly, we believe our executive officer bonus program provides strong incentives to reach the Company's annual goals.

Long-Term Incentives

General. Due to our entrepreneurial philosophy, we believe that providing a meaningful equity stake in our business is essential to create compensation opportunities that can compete, on a risk-adjusted basis, with entrepreneurial employment alternatives. In addition, we believe that ownership shapes behavior, and that by providing compensation in the form of equity awards, we align executive incentives with stockholder interests in a manner that we believe drives superior performance over time.

While there is currently no formal stock ownership or holding requirement for executive officers, our executive officers generally have historically held a significant portion of their stock awards (net of tax withholdings) well beyond the relevant vesting dates.

In establishing equity awards for an executive for any given period, the amount of outstanding unvested and/or unexercised equity awards, as well as previously earned or exercised awards, is reviewed and evaluated on an individual-by-individual basis. In setting particular award levels, the

Table of Contents

predominant considerations are providing the executive with effective retention incentives, appropriate reward for past performance, incentives for strong future performance and competitive conditions. The annual corporate performance factors relevant to setting bonus amounts, while taken into account, are generally less relevant in determining the type and level of equity awards, as the awards tend to be more forward looking, and are a longer-term retention and reward instrument relative to our annual bonuses.

The Company's usual practice is to schedule the Committee meetings at which awards are to be made in advance, without regard to the timing of the release of earnings or other material information.

2014 Equity Awards. In March 2014, the Committee granted 125,000 stock options to each of Messrs. Kip and Winiarski. The options vest 25% a year, on the first four anniversaries of the grant date, and have an exercise price equal to the closing price of the Company's common stock on the grant date. The Committee did not grant any equity awards to Mr. Diller in 2014 after considering his earning history, outstanding long-term compensation arrangements and potential realizable values from those long-term arrangements.

In February 2014, Mr. Kaufman received a restricted stock unit award with a dollar value of \$350,000 in accordance with the terms of his employment agreement. The restricted stock units will vest in three equal installments on each of the first three anniversaries of the grant date.

2015 Equity Awards. In February 2015, the Committee granted 100,000 stock options to each of Messrs. Kip and Winiarski. The options vest 25% a year, on the first four anniversaries of the grant date, and have an exercise price equal to the closing price of the Company's common stock on the grant date.

Also in February 2015, Mr. Kaufman received a restricted stock unit award with a dollar value of \$350,000 in accordance with the terms of his employment agreement. The restricted stock units will vest in three equal installments on each of the first three anniversaries of the grant date.

In March 2015, the Committee awarded Mr. Diller 1,000,000 stock options which will vest 25% a year on the first four anniversaries of the grant date. One half of the options have an exercise price equal to, and the other half of the options have an exercise price equal to 125% of, the closing price of the Company's common stock on the trading day immediately preceding the grant date.

In making this grant to Mr. Diller, the Committee considered that the last time Mr. Diller received an equity award was in 2011, and prior to that was in 2005. The Committee also noted that the options granted in 2005 were set to expire in June 2015 and that the last tranche of the options granted in 2011 fully vested in February 2015. As a result, the Committee determined it was in the best interest of the Company to provide Mr. Diller an additional long-term incentive award.

To assist the Committee, the Company engaged CAP to evaluate various long-term incentive alternatives and make recommendations to the Committee. In finalizing the structure of the award, the Committee took into account a variety of factors, including:

competitive pay and performance data among comparator groups of companies;

the vesting and expiration schedules of Mr. Diller's existing long-term incentive arrangements;

the nature of Mr. Diller's outstanding long-term incentive arrangements;

the incentive to create additional shareholder value inherent in the premium option pricing component of the new package;

the Committee's substantial desire to retain Mr. Diller's services for the long-term; and

the Company's history of granting Mr. Diller equity awards once every few years (and the Committee's intention to remain consistent with that approach).

Table of Contents

The Committee also considered the value realized by Mr. Diller from his exercise of stock options over the years and the intrinsic value of his currently outstanding options. They took note that Mr. Diller had generally exercised options after holding them for substantial periods of time after grant, and as a result, the Committee considered the realization of value by Mr. Diller to be primarily a function of both personal investment decisions by him and the timing of the relevant option expiration dates, and not compensation for the periods in which it would be realized.

Change of Control

The Company's equity awards for senior executives generally include a so-called "double-trigger" change of control provision, which provides for the acceleration of the vesting of outstanding equity awards in connection with a change of control only when an award recipient suffers an involuntary termination of employment within two years of such change of control. The Committee believes that providing for the acceleration of the vesting of equity awards after an involuntary termination will assist in the retention of our executives through a change of control transaction. For purposes of this discussion and the discussion below under the heading "Severance," we use the term "involuntary termination" to mean both a termination by the Company without "cause" and a resignation by the executive for "good reason" or similar construct.

Severance

We generally provide executive officers with some amount of salary continuation and some amount of accelerated vesting of equity awards in the event of an involuntary termination of employment. Because we tend to promote our executive officers from within, after competence and commitment have generally been established, we believe that the likelihood of the vesting of equity awards being accelerated is typically low, and yet we believe that through providing this benefit we increase the retentive effect of our equity program, which serves as our most important retention incentive. The Company generally does not provide for the acceleration of the vesting of equity awards in the event an executive voluntarily resigns from the Company.

Other Compensation

General. We provide Mr. Diller with various non-cash benefits as part of his overall compensation program. Under certain limited circumstances, other executive officers have also received non-cash benefits. The value of these benefits is calculated under appropriate rules and is taken into account as a component of compensation when establishing overall compensation levels. The value of all non-cash benefits is reported under the "All Other Compensation" column in the Summary Compensation Table on page 24 pursuant to applicable rules. Our executive officers do not participate in any deferred compensation or retirement programs other than the Company's 401(k) plan. During 2014, we did not (and generally do not) gross-up any benefits provided to any executive officer. Other than those described specifically below, our executive officers do not partake in any benefit programs, or receive any significant perquisites, distinct from the Company's other employees.

Mr. Diller. Pursuant to Company policy, Mr. Diller is required to travel, both for business and personal purposes, on corporate aircraft. In addition to serving general security interests, this means of travel permits him to travel non-stop and without delay, to remain in contact with the Company while he is traveling, to change his plans quickly in the event Company business requires and to conduct confidential Company business while flying, be it telephonically, by e-mail or in person. These interests are similarly furthered on both business and personal flights, as Mr. Diller typically provides his services to the Company while traveling in either case. Nonetheless, the incremental cost to the Company of his travel for personal purposes is reflected as compensation to Mr. Diller from the Company, and is taken into account in establishing his overall compensation package. For certain personal use of Company-owned aircraft, Mr. Diller reimburses the Company at the maximum rate allowable under applicable rules of the Federal Aviation Administration.

Table of Contents

Additionally, the Company provides Mr. Diller with a cash car allowance, as well as providing access to certain automobiles for business and personal use. We also provide certain Company-owned office space and IT equipment for use by certain individuals who work for Mr. Diller personally. These uses are valued by the Company at their incremental cost to the Company or, in the case of the use of office space (where there is no discernible incremental cost), at the cost used for internal allocations of office space for corporate purposes.

Mr. Kaufman. Mr. Kaufman is entitled to use corporate aircraft for a certain amount of personal travel annually. However, Mr. Kaufman reimburses the Company for the Company's incremental cost of such travel and therefore the value of such travel is not treated as compensation to Mr. Kaufman. Typically, Mr. Kaufman's spouse accompanies him on personal and business flights at no incremental cost to the Company.

Tax Deductibility

Whenever possible, we endeavor to structure our compensation program so that the compensation we pay is deductible by the Company for federal income tax purposes. Because of the use of performance conditions in connection with our equity awards and annual bonuses, and the fact that no salaries are in excess of \$1 million, these three components are generally deductible by the Company. However, under applicable IRS rules, the personal use of corporate aircraft leads to a disallowance of the deduction of certain airplane and related costs.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation and Human Resources Committee has reviewed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and discussed it with Company management. In reliance on its review and the discussions referred to above, the Compensation and Human Resources Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in IAC's 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K and this Proxy Statement.

Members of the Compensation and Human Resources Committee

David Rosenblatt (Chair) Bonnie Hammer

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The membership of the Compensation and Human Resources Committee consisted of Messrs. Keough and Martinez (both former directors) and Mr. Rosenblatt from January 2014 through June 2014 and of Messrs. Keough and Mr. Rosenblatt from June 2014 through December 2014. None of these individuals was (or in the past had been) an officer or employee of IAC at the time of their respective service on the committee.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Overview

The Executive Compensation section of this Proxy Statement sets forth certain information regarding total compensation earned by our named executives in 2014, as well as Company equity awards made to our named executives in 2014, Company equity awards held by our named executives on December 31, 2014 and the dollar value realized by our named executives upon the vesting and exercise of Company equity awards during 2014.

Table of Contents

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Award (\$)(1)	ls	Option Awards (\$)(2)	All Other mpensation (\$)(3)	Total (\$)
Barry Diller	2014 \$	500,000 \$	2,200,000				\$ 967,978	\$ 3,667,978
Chairman and Senior Executive	2013 \$	500,000 \$	2,750,000				\$ 753,090	\$ 4,003,090
	2012 \$	500,000 \$	3,000,000				\$ 790,268	\$ 4,290,268
Victor A. Kaufman								
	2014 \$	100,000 \$	100,000	\$ 349,	947		\$ 18,083	\$ 568,030
Vice Chairman	2013 \$	100,000 \$	200,000	\$ 349,	976		\$ 14,499	\$ 664,475
	2012 \$	226,923 \$	400,000	\$ 350,)34		\$ 18,628	\$ 995,585
Jeffrey W. Kip								
	2014 \$	575,000 \$	1,000,000		\$	2,447,500	\$ 7,800 5	\$ 4,030,300
Executive Vice President and	2013 \$	575,000 \$	1,250,000	\$ 749,	995 \$	753,140	\$ 7,650 \$	\$ 3,335,785
Chief Financial Officer (since	2012 \$	448,942 \$	1,250,000	\$ 982,	000 \$	2,761,500	\$ 70,795	\$ 5,513,237
March 2012)								
Gregg Winiarski								
	2014 \$	500,000 \$	1,000,000		\$	2,447,500	\$ 7,800 \$	\$ 3,955,300
Executive Vice President, General	2013 \$	500,000 \$	1,125,000	\$ 500,	013 \$	502,097	\$ 7,650	\$ 2,634,760
Counsel and Secretary	2012 \$	450,000 \$	1,250,000		\$	2,280,250	\$ 7,673	\$ 3,987,923

- (1)

 Reflects the dollar value of IAC RSU awards, calculated by multiplying the closing market price of IAC common stock on the grant date by the number of IAC RSUs awarded.
- (2) These amounts represent the grant date fair value of IAC stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. For details regarding the assumptions used to calculate these amounts in 2014, see footnote 3 to the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2014 table on page 25.
- (3) Additional information regarding all other compensation amounts for each named executive in 2014 is as follows:

	Barry Diller		Victor A. Kaufman		Jeffrey W. Kip		Gregg /iniarski
Personal use of corporate aircraft(a)	\$	865,621				_	
Parking garage			\$	10,583			
401(k) plan Company match	\$	7,800	\$	7,500	\$	7,800	\$ 7,800
Miscellaneous(b)	\$	94,557					
	\$	967,978	\$	18,083	\$	7,800	\$ 7,800