ALLSTATE CORP Form 10-K February 19, 2015

Use these links to rapidly review the document

<u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u>

<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>

Part IV

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ý ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

OR

O TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ______ to ______

Commission file number 1-11840

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

36-3871531

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2775 Sanders Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (847) 402-5000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

Name of each exchange on which registered

New York Stock Exchange Chicago Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

5.10% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Subordinated Debentures due 2053

1

Depositary Shares each representing a in a share of Fixed Rate Noncumulati		New York Stock Exchange
Preferred Stock, Series A Depositary Shares each representing a in a share of Fixed Rate Noncumulati		New York Stock Exchange
Preferred Stock, Series C Depositary Shares each representing a in a share of Fixed Rate Noncumulati Preferred Stock, Series D		New York Stock Exchange
Depositary Shares each representing a in a share of Fixed Rate Noncumulati Preferred Stock, Series E		New York Stock Exchange
Depositary Shares each representing a in a share of Fixed Rate Noncumulati Preferred Stock, Series F		New York Stock Exchange
Securities registered pursuant to Secti	on 12(g) of the Act:	None
Indicate by check mark if the re Securities Act.	egistrant is a well-kn	nown seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the
	Yes X	No
Indicate by check mark if the rethe Act.	egistrant is not requi	red to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) or
	Yes	No_X_
of the Securities Exchange Act of 193	34 during the preced	as filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 150 ing 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrance to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
	Yes X	No
if any, every Interactive Data File req	uired to be submitte	submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web sind and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T are (or for such shorter period that the registrant was require
	Yes X	No
this chapter) is not contained herein, a	and will not be conta	filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 or ained, to the best of registrant's knowledge in definitive prount III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this
•	See the definitions	arge accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerate of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller
Large accelerated filer X		Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as def Act).	1 7
Yes No_X	-
The aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates to the closing price as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently June 30, 2014, was approximately \$25.14 billion.	
As of January 30, 2015, the registrant had 416,426,585 shares of commo	on stock outstanding.

Documents Incorporated By Reference

Portions of the following documents are incorporated herein by reference as follows:

Part III of this Form 10-K incorporates by reference certain information from the registrant's definitive proxy statement for its annual stockholders meeting to be held on May 19, 2015 (the "Proxy Statement") to be filed not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

D. D.T.		Page
PART I Item 1.	Business Priorities Allstate Protection Segment Allstate Financial Segment Allstate Exclusive Agencies Other Business Segments Reserve for Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Regulation Internet Website Other Information about Allstate	1 1 4 5 6 10 13 13 14 14 15 25 25 25 25
Item 1A. Item 1B. Item 2. Item 3. Item 4. PART II	Executive Officers of the Registrant Forward-Looking Statements Risk Factors Unresolved Staff Comments Properties Legal Proceedings Mine Safety Disclosures	14 14 15 25 25 25 25
Item 5. Item 6. Item 7. Item 7A. Item 8. Item 9. Item 9A. Item 9B. PART III	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholders Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Selected Financial Data Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure Controls and Procedures Other Information	26 27 28 108 108 195 195
Item 10. Item 11. Item 12. Item 13. Item 14. PART IV	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance Executive Compensation Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Principal Accounting Fees and Services	196 196 196 197 197
Item 15. Signatures Financial Sta	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules stement Schedules	198 205 S-1

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1. Business

The Allstate Corporation was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware on November 5, 1992 to serve as the holding company for Allstate Insurance Company. Its business is conducted principally through Allstate Insurance Company, Allstate Life Insurance Company and other subsidiaries (collectively, including The Allstate Corporation, "Allstate"). Allstate is primarily engaged in the property-liability insurance and life insurance business. It offers its products in the United States and Canada.

The Allstate Corporation is the largest publicly held personal lines insurer in the United States. Widely known through the "You're In Good Hands With Allstate®" slogan, Allstate's strategy is to reinvent protection and retirement to help consumers protect what they have today and better prepare for tomorrow. Allstate is the 2nd largest personal property and casualty insurer in the United States on the basis of 2013 statutory direct premiums earned according to A.M. Best. In addition, according to A.M. Best, it is the nation's 16th largest issuer of life insurance business on the basis of 2013 ordinary life insurance in force and 29th largest on the basis of 2013 statutory admitted assets.

Allstate has four business segments:

Allstate Protection Discontinued Lines and Coverages

Allstate Financial Corporate and Other

To achieve its goals in 2015, Allstate is focused on the following priorities:

grow insurance policies in force;

maintain the underlying combined ratio;

proactively manage investments to generate attractive risk adjusted returns;

modernize the operating model; and

build long-term growth platforms.

In this annual report on Form 10-K, we occasionally refer to statutory financial information. All domestic United States insurance companies are required to prepare statutory-basis financial statements. As a result, industry data is available that enables comparisons between insurance companies, including competitors that are not subject to the requirement to prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). We frequently use industry publications containing statutory financial information to assess our competitive position.

ALLSTATE PROTECTION SEGMENT

Products and Distribution

Total Allstate Protection premiums written were \$29.61 billion in 2014. Our Allstate Protection segment accounted for 93% of Allstate's 2014 consolidated insurance premiums and contract charges. In this segment, we principally sell private passenger auto and homeowners insurance through agencies and directly through contact centers and the internet. These products are underwritten under the Allstate®, Esurance® and Encompass® brand names.

Our Unique Strategy Consumer Segments

Table of Contents

Allstate serves four different consumer segments with distinct interaction preferences (local advice and assistance versus self-directed) and brand preferences (brand-neutral versus brand-sensitive).

Allstate brand auto and homeowners insurance products are sold primarily through Allstate exclusive agencies and serve customers who prefer local personalized advice and service and are brand-sensitive. The Allstate brand also sells specialty auto products including motorcycle, trailer, motor home and off-road vehicle insurance policies; other personal lines products including renter, condominium, landlord, boat, umbrella and manufactured home insurance policies; commercial lines products for small business owners; roadside assistance products; and service contracts and other products sold in conjunction with auto lending and vehicle sales transactions. Allstate brand sales and service are supported through contact centers and the internet. In 2014, the Allstate brand represented 91% of the Allstate Protection segment's written premium. In the U.S., we offer these Allstate brand products through approximately 10,000 Allstate exclusive agencies in approximately 9,800 locations with approximately 23,200 licensed sales professionals. We also offer these products through approximately 2,000 independent agencies that are primarily in rural areas in the U.S. In Canada we offer Allstate brand products through approximately 750 employee producers working in five provinces across the country (Ontario, Quebec, Alberta, New Brunswick and Nova Scotia).

Esurance brand auto, homeowners, renter and motorcycle insurance products are sold directly to consumers online, through contact centers and through select agents, including Answer Financial. Esurance serves self-directed, brand-sensitive customers. In 2014, the Esurance brand represented 5% of the Allstate Protection segment's written premium.

Encompass brand auto, homeowners, umbrella and other insurance products, sold predominantly in the form of a single annual household ("package") policy, are distributed through independent agencies that serve consumers who prefer personal advice and assistance from an independent adviser and are brand neutral. Encompass targets approximately 35 million mass affluent households (consumers with \$100,000 - \$500,000 in household income and net worth greater than \$150,000) in the U.S., with their higher average premiums and preference for professional advice regarding coverage needs and risk solutions. In 2014, the Encompass brand represented 4% of the Allstate Protection segment's written premium. Encompass brand products are distributed through approximately 2,400 independent agencies. Encompass is among the top 15 largest providers of personal property and casualty insurance products through independent agencies in the United States, based on statutory written premium information provided by A.M. Best for 2013.

Answer Financial, an independent personal lines insurance agency, serves self-directed, brand-neutral consumers who want a choice between insurance carriers. It offers comparison quotes for auto and homeowners insurance from approximately 25 insurance companies through its website and over the phone and receives commissions for this service.

Through arrangements made with other companies, agencies, and brokers, the Allstate Protection segment may offer non-proprietary products to consumers when an Allstate product is not available. As of December 31, 2014, Allstate agencies had approximately \$1.3 billion of non-proprietary personal insurance premiums under management, primarily related to property business in hurricane exposed areas, and approximately \$160 million of non-proprietary commercial insurance premiums under management. Answer Financial had \$526 million of non-proprietary premiums written in 2014.

Competition

Liberty Mutual

Nationwide

USAA

Personal Lines Insurance

5.2

5.0

4.1

USAA

Liberty Mutual

Nationwide

The markets for personal private passenger auto and homeowners insurance are highly competitive. The following charts provide the market shares of our principal competitors in the U.S. by direct written premium for the year ended December 31, 2013 according to A.M. Best.

Private Passenger Auto Insurance

Insurer	Market Share	Insurer	Market Share	Insurer	Market Share
State Farm	18.5%	State Farm	18.0%	State Farm	19.6%
Allstate	9.6	GEICO	10.3	Allstate	8.6
GEICO	6.9	Allstate	10.0	Liberty Mutual	6.2
Progressive	5.8	Progressive	8.5	Farmers	5.8
Farmers	5.7	Farmers	5.5	USAA	5.0

2

5.1

5.0

4.0

Travelers

Nationwide

4.1

4.0

Homeowners Insurance

Table of Contents

In the personal property and casualty insurance market, we compete principally using customer value propositions for each consumer segment. This includes different brands, the scope and type of distribution system, price and the breadth of product offerings, product features, customer service, claim handling, and use of technology. In addition, our proprietary database of underwriting and pricing experience enables Allstate to use sophisticated pricing algorithms to more accurately price risks and to cross sell products within our customer base.

The primary focus of our sophisticated pricing methods has been on acquiring and retaining profitable business. Sophisticated pricing and underwriting methods use a number of risk evaluation factors. For auto insurance, these factors can include but are not limited to vehicle make, model and year; driver age and marital status; territory; years licensed; loss history; years insured with prior carrier; prior liability limits; prior lapse in coverage; and insurance scoring utilizing certain credit report information. For property insurance, these factors can include but are not limited to amount of insurance purchased; geographic location of the property; loss history; age, condition and construction characteristics of the property; and insurance scoring utilizing certain credit report information.

Allstate differentiates itself from competitors by focusing on the needs of the entire household and offering a comprehensive range of innovative product options and features through distribution channels that best suit each market segment. Allstate's Your Choice Auto® insurance allows qualified customers to choose from a variety of options, such as Accident Forgiveness, Deductible Rewards®, Safe Driving Bonus®, and New Car Replacement. We believe that Your Choice Auto insurance promotes increased growth and increased retention. We also offer a Claim Satisfaction Guaranteessm feature that promises a return of premium to Allstate brand standard auto insurance customers dissatisfied with their claims experience. Allstate House and Home® insurance is our homeowners product that provides options of coverage for roof damage including graduated coverage and pricing based on roof type and age. Good Hands® Roadside Assistance is a service that provides pay on demand access to roadside services.

Our Allstate branded Drivewise® and Esurance DriveSense® offerings are usage-based insurance programs that use an in-car device or a mobile application to capture driving behaviors and reward customers for driving safely. We are also testing additional features that extend the benefits of being connected beyond auto insurance pricing. The recently introduced Star Driver® program encourages an ongoing conversation about safe driving through an easy-to-use application to develop teens' confidence behind the wheel. The Esurance DriveSafe® program is designed to help parents coach teens on safe driving by providing customizable driving statistics and the ability to limit cell phone use while the car is in motion, all controlled by a device installed in the vehicle.

The Encompass package policy simplifies the insurance experience by packaging a product with broader coverage and higher limits into a single annual household policy with one premium, one bill, one policy deductible and one renewal date.

Geographic Markets

The principal geographic markets for our auto, homeowners, and other personal property and casualty products are in the United States. Through various subsidiaries, we are authorized to sell various types of personal property and casualty insurance products in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. We also sell personal property and casualty insurance products in Canada.

The following table reflects, in percentages, the principal geographic distribution of premiums earned for the Allstate Protection segment for 2014, based on information contained in statements filed with state insurance departments. No other jurisdiction accounted for more than 5 percent of the premiums earned for the segment.

Texas	10.3%
California	9.7
New York	9.3
Florida	7.3
Pennsylvania	5.1

Additional Information

Information regarding the last three years' revenues and income from operations attributable to the Allstate Protection segment is contained in Note 19 of the consolidated financial statements. Note 19 also includes information regarding the last three years' identifiable assets attributable to our property-liability operations, which includes our Allstate Protection and Discontinued Lines and Coverages segments. Note 19 is incorporated in this Part I, Item 1 by reference.

Table of Contents

Information regarding the amount of premium earned for Allstate Protection segment products for the last three years is set forth in Part II, Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, in the table regarding premiums earned by brand. That table is incorporated in this Part I, Item 1 by reference.

ALLSTATE FINANCIAL SEGMENT

Products and Distribution

Our Allstate Financial segment sells traditional, interest-sensitive and variable life insurance and voluntary accident and health insurance products. We sell Allstate Financial products through Allstate exclusive agencies and approximately 1,170 exclusive financial specialists, and workplace enrolling independent agents. The majority of life insurance business written involves exclusive financial specialists, including referrals from exclusive agencies and licensed sales professionals. The table below lists our current distribution channels with the associated products and target customers.

Distribution Channels	Proprietary Products	Target Customers
Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists	Term life insurance Whole life insurance Interest-sensitive life insurance Variable life insurance	Customers who prefer local personalized advice and service and are brand-sensitive
Workplace enrolling independent agents	Workplace life and voluntary accident and health insurance: Interest-sensitive and term life insurance Disability income insurance	Middle market consumers with family financial protection needs employed by small, medium, and
Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists	Cancer, accident, critical illness and heart/stroke insurance Hospital indemnity Dental insurance	large size firms

Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists also sell non-proprietary retirement and investment products, including mutual funds, fixed and variable annuities, disability insurance, and long-term care insurance. As of December 31, 2014, Allstate agencies had approximately \$13.5 billion of non-proprietary mutual funds and fixed and variable annuity account balances under management. New and additional deposits into these non-proprietary products were \$1.9 billion in 2014.

Competition

We compete on a wide variety of factors, including product offerings, brand recognition, financial strength and ratings, price, distribution and the level of customer service. The market for life insurance continues to be highly fragmented and competitive. As of December 31, 2013, there were approximately 400 groups of life insurance companies in the United States, most of which offered one or more similar products. According to A.M. Best, as of December 31, 2013, the Allstate Financial segment is the nation's 16th largest issuer of life insurance and related business on the basis of 2013 ordinary life insurance in force and 29th largest on the basis of 2013 statutory admitted assets.

Geographic Markets

We sell life insurance and voluntary accident and health insurance throughout the United States. Through subsidiaries, we are authorized to sell various types of these products in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands and Guam. Beginning in 2015, we also intend to sell voluntary accident and health insurance in Canada.

The following table reflects, in percentages, the principal geographic distribution of statutory premiums and annuity considerations for the Allstate Financial segment for 2014, based on information contained in statements filed with state insurance departments. No other jurisdiction accounted for more than 5 percent of the statutory premiums and annuity considerations.

California	10.6%
Texas	10.1
Florida	8.8
New York	6.4

Table of Contents

Additional Information

Information regarding revenues and income from operations attributable to the Allstate Financial segment for the last three years is contained in Note 19 of the consolidated financial statements. Note 19 also includes information regarding identifiable assets attributable to the Allstate Financial segment for the last three years. Note 19 is incorporated in this Part I, Item 1 by reference.

Information regarding premiums and contract charges for Allstate Financial segment products for the last three years is set forth in Part II, Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, in the table that summarizes premiums and contract charges by product. That table is incorporated in this Part I, Item 1 by reference.

ALLSTATE EXCLUSIVE AGENCIES

Allstate exclusive agencies offer for sale products targeted to consumers that prefer local personalized advice and branded products from both the Allstate Protection and Allstate Financial segments. They offer Allstate brand auto and homeowners insurance policies; specialty auto products including motorcycle, trailer, motor home and off-road vehicle insurance policies; other personal lines products including renter, condominium, landlord, boat, umbrella and manufactured home insurance policies; commercial products for small business owners; and roadside assistance products. Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists offer various life insurance products, as well as voluntary accident and health insurance products. In addition, arrangements made with other companies, agencies, and brokers allow Allstate exclusive agencies the ability to make available non-proprietary products to consumers when an Allstate product is not available.

In the U.S., Allstate brand products are sold through approximately 10,000 Allstate exclusive agencies in approximately 9,800 locations. In addition, these agencies employ approximately 23,200 licensed sales professionals who are licensed to sell our products. We also offer these products through approximately 2,000 independent agencies in primarily rural areas in the U.S. In Canada we offer Allstate brand products through approximately 750 producers working in five provinces across the country (Ontario, Quebec, Alberta, New Brunswick and Nova Scotia). We pursue opportunities for growing Allstate brand exclusive agency distribution based on market opportunities.

We support our exclusive agencies in a variety of ways to facilitate customer service and Allstate's overall growth strategy. For example, we offer assistance with marketing, sales, service and business processes and provide education and other resources to help them acquire more business and retain more customers. Our programs support exclusive agencies and help them grow by offering financing to acquire other agencies and awarding additional resources to better performing agencies. We support our relationship with Allstate exclusive agencies through several national and regional working groups:

The Agency Executive Council, led by Allstate's senior leadership, engages exclusive agencies on our customer service and growth strategy. Membership includes approximately 20 Allstate exclusive agency owners selected on the basis of performance, thought leadership and credibility among their peer group.

The National Advisory Board brings together Allstate's senior leadership and a cross section of Allstate exclusive agents and exclusive financial specialists from around the country to address national business issues and develop solutions.

Regional Advisory Boards support Allstate exclusive agency owner engagement within each of Allstate's 15 regional offices in the U.S. and within Canada.

The compensation structure for Allstate exclusive agencies rewards agencies for delivering high value to our customers and achieving certain business outcomes such as product profitability, net growth and household penetration. Allstate exclusive agent remuneration comprises a base commission (Property-Liability and Allstate Financial products), variable compensation and a bonus. Variable compensation has two components: agency success factors (local presence, Allstate Financial insurance policies sold and licensed staff), which must be achieved in order to qualify for the second component, and customer satisfaction. In addition, a bonus that is a percentage of premiums can be earned by agents who achieve a targeted loss ratio and a defined amount of Allstate Financial sales. The bonus is earned by achieving a targeted percentage of multi-category households (customers with Allstate policies in at least two of the following product categories: vehicle, personal property, or life and retirement) and increases in Allstate Protection (Allstate brand) and Allstate Financial policies in force. Other elements of exclusive agency compensation and support include start-up agency bonuses, marketing support payments, technology and data allowances, regional promotions and recognition trips based on achievement. Allstate exclusive financial specialists receive commissions for proprietary and non-proprietary sales and earn a bonus based on the volume of business produced. There are no significant changes to the compensation framework planned for 2015.

Table of Contents

Since Allstate brand customers prefer personal advice and assistance, all Allstate brand customers who purchase their policies directly through contact centers and the internet are provided an Allstate agency relationship at the time of purchase.

To better serve customers who prefer local and personalized advice we are undergoing a focused effort to position agents, licensed sales professionals and financial specialists to serve customers as trusted advisors. This means they know customers and understand the unique needs of their households, help them assess the potential risks they face and provide personalized guidance on how to protect what matters most to them. To ensure agencies have the resources, capacity and support needed to serve customers at this level, we have efforts underway to enhance agency capabilities while simplifying and automating service processes to enable agencies to focus more time in an advisory role.

Allstate employs field sales leaders who are responsible for recruiting and retaining Allstate agents and helping them grow their business and profitability. The field sales leaders' compensation is aligned with agency success and includes a bonus based on the level of agent remuneration described above and agency geographic footprint.

OTHER BUSINESS SEGMENTS

Our Corporate and Other segment is comprised of holding company activities and certain non-insurance operations. Note 19 of the consolidated financial statements contains information regarding the revenues, income from operations, and identifiable assets attributable to our Corporate and Other segment over the last three years.

Our Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment includes results from property-liability insurance coverage that we no longer write and results for certain commercial and other businesses in run-off. Our exposure to asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines claims is presented in this segment. Note 19 of the consolidated financial statements contains information for the last three years regarding revenues, income from operations, and identifiable assets attributable to our property-liability operations, which includes both our Allstate Protection and our Discontinued Lines and Coverages segments. Note 19 is incorporated in this Part I, Item 1 by reference.

RESERVE FOR PROPERTY-LIABILITY CLAIMS AND CLAIMS EXPENSE

The following information regarding reserves applies to all of our property-liability operations, encompassing both the Allstate Protection segment and the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment.

Reconciliation of Claims Reserves

The following tables are summary reconciliations of the beginning and ending property-liability insurance claims and claims expense reserves, displayed individually for each of the last three years. The first table presents reserves on a gross (before reinsurance) basis. The end of year gross reserve balances are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of

6

Table of Contents

Financial Position. The second table presents reserves on a net (after reinsurance) basis. The total net property-liability insurance claims and claims expense amounts are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Gross	Year ended December 31,									
(\$ in millions)		2014	14			2012				
Gross reserve for property-liability claims and claims expense, beginning of year Esurance acquisition	\$	21,857	\$	21,288	\$	20,375 (13) ₍₁₎				
Total gross reserve adjusted Incurred claims and claims expense		21,857		21,288		20,362				
Provision attributable to the current year		19,896		18,380		20,356				
Change in provision attributable to prior years (2)		925		1,248		179				
Total claims and claims expense Claim payments		20,821		19,628		20,535				
Claims and claims expense attributable to current year		13,034		11,738		12,936				
Claims and claims expense attributable to prior years		6,721		7,321		6,673				
Total payments		19,755		19,059		19,609				
Gross reserve for property-liability claims and claims expense, end of year as shown on the Loss Reserve Reestimates table	\$	22,923	\$	21,857	\$	21,288				

Net	Year	r 31,				
	2014	2013		2012		
Net reserve for property-liability claims and claims expense, beginning of year Esurance acquisition	\$ 17,193	\$ 17,278	\$	17,787 (13) ₍₁₎		
Total net reserve adjusted Incurred claims and claims expense	17,193	17,278		17,774		
Provision attributable to the current year	19,512	18,032		19,149		
Change in provision attributable to prior years (3)	(84)	(121)		(665)		
Total claims and claims expense Claim payments	19,428	17,911		18,484		
Claims and claims expense attributable to current year	12,924	11,658		12,545		
Claims and claims expense attributable to prior years	6,468	6,338		6,435		
Total payments	19,392	17,996		18,980		
Net reserve for property-liability claims and claims expense, end of year as shown on the Loss Reserve Reestimates table $^{(4)}$	\$ 17,229	\$ 17,193	\$	17,278		

The Esurance opening balance sheet reserves were reestimated in 2012 resulting in a reduction in reserves due to lower severity. The adjustment was recorded as a reduction in goodwill and an increase in payables to the seller under the terms of the purchase agreement and therefore had no impact on claims expense or the loss ratio.

- In 2014, 2013 and 2012, the gross change in provision attributable to prior years, primarily relate to increases for Michigan and New Jersey unlimited personal injury protection and Discontinued Lines and Coverages reserves (see the Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves section for additional discussion).
- In 2013, the net change in provision attributable to prior years decreased \$544 million compared to 2012, primarily due to lower catastrophe reserve reestimates.
- (4) Reserves for claims and claims expense are net of reinsurance of \$5.69 billion, \$4.66 billion and \$4.01 billion as of December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The year-end 2014 gross reserves of \$22.92 billion for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense, as determined under GAAP, were \$7.00 billion more than the net reserve balance of \$15.93 billion recorded on the basis of statutory accounting practices for reports provided to state regulatory authorities. The principal differences are reinsurance recoverables from third parties totaling \$5.69 billion that reduce reserves for statutory reporting but are recorded as assets for GAAP reporting, and a liability for the reserves of the Canadian subsidiaries for \$1.14 billion. Remaining differences are due to variations in requirements between GAAP and statutory reporting.

Table of Contents

As the tables above illustrate, Allstate's net reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense at the end of 2013 decreased in 2014 by \$84 million, compared to reestimates of the gross reserves of an increase of \$925 million. Net reserve reestimates in 2014, 2013 and 2012 were more favorable than the gross reserve reestimates due to reinsurance cessions.

Loss Reserve Reestimates

illions)

The following Loss Reserve Reestimates table illustrates the change over time of the net reserves established for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense at the end of the last eleven calendar years. The first section shows the reserves as originally reported at the end of the stated year. The second section, reading down, shows the cumulative amounts paid as of the end of successive years with respect to that reserve liability. The third section, reading down, shows retroactive reestimates of the original recorded reserve as of the end of each successive year which is the result of Allstate's expanded awareness of additional facts and circumstances that pertain to the unsettled claims. The last section compares the latest reestimated reserve to the reserve originally established, and indicates whether the original reserve was adequate to cover the estimated costs of unsettled claims. The table also presents the gross reestimated liability as of the end of the latest reestimation period, with separate disclosure of the related reestimated reinsurance recoverable.

The Loss Reserve Reestimates table is cumulative and, therefore, ending balances should not be added since the amount at the end of each calendar year includes activity for both the current and prior years. Unfavorable reserve reestimates are shown in this table in parentheses.

Loss Reserve Reestimates

	•••				De	cember 31,					
	2004 & prior	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2
reserves for	P			_,,,							_
claims and											
Expense	\$ 19,338	\$ 22,117	\$ 18,866	\$ 18,865	\$ 19,456	\$ 19,167	\$ 19,468	\$ 20,375	\$ 21,288	\$ 21,857	\$ 2
rance											
rable	2,577	3,186	2,256	2,205	2,274	2,139	2,072	2,588	4,010	4,664	
e for											
claims and											
expense	16,761	18,931	16,610	16,660	17,182	17,028	17,396	17,787	17,278	17,193	1
umulative)											
ar later	6,665	7,952	6,684	6,884	6,995	6,571	6,302	6,435	6,338	6,468	
ears later	9,587	11,293	9,957	9,852	10,069	9,491	9,396	9,513	9,511		
years later	11,455	13,431	11,837	11,761	11,915	11,402	11,287	11,467			
ears later	12,678	14,608	12,990	12,902	13,071	12,566	12,497				
ears later	13,374	15,325	13,723	13,628	13,801	13,323					
ars later	13,866	15,839	14,239	14,154	14,305						
years later	14,303	16,249	14,657	14,543							
ears later	14,642	16,607	14,985								
ears later	14,952	16,906									
ars later	15,231										
e											
nated as of:											
year	16,761	18,931	16,610	16,660	17,182	17,028	17,396	17,787	17,278	17,193]
ar later	16,293	17,960	16,438	16,830	17,070	16,869	17,061	17,122	17,157	17,109	
ears later	16,033	17,876	16,633	17,174	17,035	16,903	16,906	17,001	16,994		
years later	16,213	18,162	17,135	17,185	17,217	16,909	16,869	16,937			
ears later	16,337	18,805	17,238	17,393	17,260	16,892	16,854				
ears later	16,895	19,014	17,447	17,477	17,306	16,965					

17,344

17,560

ars later

17,149

19,215

17,542

ars rater	17,117		17,213		17,512		17,500		17,511										
years later	17,344		19,300		17,671		17,619												
vears later	17,477		19,474		17,727														
ears later	17,683		19,541																
ars later	17,759																		
reserve in	•																		
of (less																			
eestimated																			
: :																			
nt of																			
ate	(998)		(610)		(1,117)		(959)		(162)		63	542		850		284		84	
t	(6.0)%	6	(3.2)%)	(6.7)%)	(5.8)%)	(0.9)%		0.4%	3.1%)	4.8%	ó	1.6%)	0.5%	,
reestimated	. ,		. ,		, ,		. ,		, ,										
y-latest	23,571		25,914		23,133		22,940		22,761		22,184	22,065		22,254		23,338		22,782	
mated	,		,		•		•		,		,	,		,		•		•	
rable-latest	5,812		6,373		5,406		5,321		5,417		5,219	5,211		5,317		6,344		5,673	
	•		•		•		•		·		•					•			
estimated																			
y-latest	17,759		19,541		17,727		17,619		17,344		16,965	16,854		16,937		16,994		17,109	
cumulative	,		,		,		,		,		,	,		,		,		,	
ate																			
se)																			
se	\$ (4,233)	\$	(3,797)	\$	(4,267)	\$	(4,075)	\$	(3,305)	\$	(3,017)	\$ (2,597)	\$	(1,879)	\$	(2,050)	\$	(925)	
	. , ,	·	` ' '	·	` ' '	·	, ,		` ' '	•	` ' '	` ' '		` / /				` /	

Table of Contents

(\$ in millions)	Amount of reestimates for each segment December 31,											
	2004 & orior	2005	2006	2007		,	2010	2011	2012	2013		
Net Discontinued Lines and Coverages reestimate Net Allstate Protection	\$ (742)\$	5 (575)\$	(443)\$	5 (396)\$	5 (378)\$	(354)\$	(326)\$	(305)\$	(254)\$	(112)		
reestimate	(256)	(35)	(674)	(563)	216	417	868	1,155	538	196		
Amount of reestimate (net)	\$ (998)\$	6 (610)\$	(1,117)\$	5 (959)\$	5 (162)\$	63 \$	542 \$	850 \$	284 \$	84		

As shown in the above table, the subsequent cumulative increase in the net reserves established up to December 31, 2004, in general, reflect additions to reserves in the Discontinued Lines and Coverages Segment, primarily for asbestos and environmental liabilities, which offset the effects of favorable severity trends experienced by Allstate Protection, as discussed more fully below. The cumulative increases in reserves established as of December 31, 2006 and 2007 are due to the shift of reserves to older accident years attributable to a reallocation of reserves related to employee postretirement benefits to more accident years, litigation settlements, reclassification of injury and non-injury reserves to older years along with reserve strengthening as discussed below.

The following table is derived from the Loss Reserve Reestimates table and summarizes the effect of reserve reestimates, net of reinsurance, on calendar year operations for the ten-year period ended December 31, 2014. The total of each column details the amount of reserve reestimates made in the indicated calendar year and shows the accident years to which the reestimates are applicable. The amounts in the total accident year column on the far right represent the cumulative reserve reestimates for the indicated accident year(s). Favorable reserve reestimates are shown in this table in parentheses. The changes in total have generally been favorable other than 2008 which was adversely impacted due to litigation filed in conjunction with a Louisiana deadline for filing suits related to Hurricane Katrina, as shown and discussed more fully below.

(\$ in millions)				Eff	ect of net r calendar	eserve re year ope		on			
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
BY ACCIDENT YEAR											
2004 & prior	\$ (468)	\$ (260)\$	8 181 5	\$ 124	\$ 559 \$	5 254 \$	195 \$	133 \$	206 \$	76 \$	1,000
2005		(711)	(264)	162	84	(45)	6	(48)	(32)	(9)	(857)
2006			(89)	(91)	(141)	(106)	8	10	(45)	(11)	(465)
2007				(25)	(158)	(92)	(1)	(11)	(46)	3	(330)
2008					(456)	(46)	(26)	(41)	(37)	(21)	(627)
2009						(124)	(148)	(37)	(63)	35	(337)
2010							(369)	(161)	(20)	(88)	(638)
2011								(510)	(84)	(49)	(643)
2012										(99)	(99)
2013										79	79
TOTAL	\$ (468)	\$ (971)\$	5 (172)5	\$ 170	\$ (112)\$	5 (159)\$	5 (335)\$	(665)\$	(121)\$	5 (84)\$	(2,917)

In 2014, favorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to auto severity development that was better than expected. The increased reserves in accident years 2004 & prior is due to reserve strengthening by the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment.

In 2013, favorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to auto severity development that was less than anticipated in previous estimates and catastrophe losses. The increased reserves in accident years 2003 & prior is due to reserve strengthening by the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment and a reclassification of injury reserves to older years.

In 2012, favorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to catastrophe losses and auto severity development that was less than anticipated in previous estimates. The increased reserves in accident years 2002 & prior is due to a reclassification of injury reserves to older years and reserve strengthening.

In 2011, favorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to auto severity development that was less than anticipated in previous estimates and catastrophe losses. The increased reserves in accident years 2001 & prior is due to a reclassification of injury reserves to older years and reserve strengthening.

In 2010, favorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to Allstate Protection catastrophe losses and auto severity development that was less than anticipated in previous estimates, partially offset by litigation settlements. The increased reserves in accident years 2000 & prior is due to litigation settlements of \$100 million, a reclassification of injury reserves to older years and reserve strengthening.

Table of Contents

In 2009, favorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to Allstate Protection catastrophe losses that were less than anticipated in previous estimates. The shift of reserves to older accident years is attributable to a reallocation of reserves related to employee postretirement benefits to more accident years, and a reclassification of injury and 2008 non-injury reserves to older years.

In 2008, unfavorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to Allstate Protection catastrophe losses that were more than anticipated in previous estimates.

In 2007, favorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to Allstate Protection auto severity development that was less than what was anticipated in previous estimates. Decreased reserve reestimates for Allstate Protection more than offset increased reestimates of losses primarily related to environmental liabilities reported by the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment.

In 2006 and 2005, favorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to Allstate Protection auto injury severity and late reported loss development that was less than what was anticipated in previous reserve estimates and in 2006, also by catastrophe losses that were less than anticipated in previous estimates. Decreased reserve reestimates for Allstate Protection more than offset increased reestimates of losses primarily related to asbestos liabilities reported by the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment.

For additional information regarding reserves, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves."

REGULATION

Allstate is subject to extensive regulation, primarily at the state level. The method, extent, and substance of such regulation varies by state but generally has its source in statutes that establish standards and requirements for conducting the business of insurance and that delegate regulatory authority to a state agency. These rules have a substantial effect on our business and relate to a wide variety of matters, including insurer solvency, reserve adequacy, insurance company licensing and examination, agent and adjuster licensing, policy forms, rate setting, the nature and amount of investments, claims practices, participation in shared markets and guaranty funds, transactions with affiliates, the payment of dividends, underwriting standards, statutory accounting methods, trade practices, and corporate governance. Some of these matters are discussed in more detail below. For a discussion of statutory financial information, see Note 16 of the consolidated financial statements. For a discussion of regulatory contingencies, see Note 14 of the consolidated financial statements. Notes 14 and 16 are incorporated in this Part I, Item 1 by reference.

In recent years, the state insurance regulatory framework has come under increased federal scrutiny. As part of an effort to strengthen the regulation of the financial services market, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank") was enacted in 2010. Some regulations required pursuant to this law must still be finalized, and we cannot predict what the final regulations will require but do not expect a material impact on Allstate's operations. Dodd-Frank also created the Federal Insurance Office ("FIO") within the Treasury Department. The FIO monitors the insurance industry, provides advice to the Financial Stability Oversight Council ("FSOC"), represents the U.S. on international insurance matters, and studies the current regulatory system. FIO submitted reports to Congress in 2013 and 2014 addressing how to improve and modernize the system of insurance regulation. In addition, state legislators and insurance regulators continue to examine the appropriate nature and scope of state insurance regulation. We cannot predict whether any specific state or federal measures will be adopted to change the nature or scope of the regulation of insurance or what effect any such measures would have on Allstate. We are working for changes in the regulatory environment, including recognizing the need for better catastrophe preparedness and loss mitigation, improving appropriate risk-based pricing, and promoting ways to make regulation more uniform and consistent across the country.

Additional regulations or new requirements may emerge from activities of various regulatory entities, including the Federal Reserve Board, FIO, FSOC, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC"), and the International Association of Insurance Supervisors ("IAIS"), that are evaluating solvency and capital standards for insurance company groups. In addition, the NAIC has proposed amendments to their model holding company law, which has not yet been adopted by any jurisdiction. The outcome of these actions is uncertain; however, these actions may result in an increase in the level of capital and liquidity required by insurance holding companies.

Agent and Broker Compensation. In recent years, several states considered new legislation or regulations regarding the compensation of agents and brokers by insurance companies. The proposals ranged in nature from new disclosure requirements to new duties on insurance agents and brokers in dealing with customers. Agents and brokers in New York are required to disclose certain information concerning compensation.

Table of Contents

Limitations on Dividends By Insurance Subsidiaries. As a holding company with no significant business operations of its own, The Allstate Corporation relies on dividends from Allstate Insurance Company as one of the principal sources of cash to pay dividends and to meet its obligations, including the payment of principal and interest on debt. Allstate Insurance Company is regulated as an insurance company in Illinois and its ability to pay dividends is restricted by Illinois law. For additional information regarding those restrictions, see Part II, Item 5 of this report. The laws of the other jurisdictions that generally govern our other insurance subsidiaries contain similar limitations on the payment of dividends and in some jurisdictions the laws may be more restrictive.

Insurance Holding Company Regulation Change of Control. The Allstate Corporation and Allstate Insurance Company are insurance holding companies subject to regulation in the jurisdictions in which their insurance subsidiaries do business. In the U.S., these subsidiaries are organized under the insurance codes of California, Florida, Illinois, Massachusetts, New York, Texas, and Wisconsin, and some of these subsidiaries are considered commercially domiciled in California and Florida. Generally, the insurance codes in these states provide that the acquisition or change of "control" of a domestic or commercially domiciled insurer or of any person that controls such an insurer cannot be consummated without the prior approval of the relevant insurance regulator. In general, a presumption of "control" arises from the ownership, control, possession with the power to vote, or possession of proxies with respect to, ten percent or more of the voting securities of an insurer or of a person that controls an insurer. In addition, certain state insurance laws require pre-acquisition notification to state agencies of a change in control with respect to a non-domestic insurance company licensed to do business in that state. While such pre-acquisition notification statutes do not authorize the state agency to disapprove the change of control, such statutes do authorize certain remedies, including the issuance of a cease and desist order with respect to the non-domestic insurer if certain conditions exist, such as undue market concentration. Thus, any transaction involving the acquisition of ten percent or more of The Allstate Corporation's common stock would generally require prior approval by the state insurance departments in California, Illinois, Massachusetts, New York, Texas, and Wisconsin. The prior approval of the Florida insurance department would be necessary for the acquisition of five percent or more. Moreover, notification would be required in those other states that have adopted pre-acquisition notification provisions and where the insurance subsidiaries are admitted to transact business. Such approval requirements may deter, delay, or prevent certain transactions affecting the ownership of The Allstate Corporation's common stock.

Rate Regulation. Nearly all states have insurance laws requiring personal property and casualty insurers to file rating plans, policy or coverage forms, and other information with the state's regulatory authority. In many cases, such rating plans, policy forms, or both must be approved prior to use.

The speed with which an insurer can change rates in response to competition or in response to increasing costs depends, in part, on whether the rating laws are (i) prior approval, (ii) file-and-use, or (iii) use-and-file laws. In states having prior approval laws, the regulator must approve a rate before the insurer may use it. In states having file-and-use laws, the insurer does not have to wait for the regulator's approval to use a rate, but the rate must be filed with the regulatory authority prior to being used. A use-and-file law requires an insurer to file rates within a certain period of time after the insurer begins using them. Eighteen states, including California and New York, have prior approval laws. Under all three types of rating laws, the regulator has the authority to disapprove a rate filing.

An insurer's ability to adjust its rates in response to competition or to changing costs is often dependent on an insurer's ability to demonstrate to the regulator that its rates or proposed rating plan meets the requirements of the rating laws. In those states that significantly restrict an insurer's discretion in selecting the business that it wants to underwrite, an insurer can manage its risk of loss by charging a rate that reflects the cost and expense of providing the insurance. In those states that significantly restrict an insurer's ability to charge a rate that reflects the cost and expense of providing the insurance, the insurer can manage its risk of loss by being more selective in the type of business it underwrites. When a state significantly restricts both underwriting and pricing, it becomes more difficult for an insurer to maintain its profitability.

From time to time, the private passenger auto insurance industry comes under pressure from state regulators, legislators, and special interest groups to reduce, freeze, or set rates at levels that do not correspond with our analysis of underlying costs and expenses. Homeowners insurance can come under similar pressure, particularly in states subject to significant increases in loss costs from high levels of catastrophe losses. We expect this kind of pressure to persist. In addition, Allstate and other insurers are using increasingly sophisticated pricing models that are being reviewed by regulators and special interest groups. The result could be legislation or regulation that adversely affects profitability or growth. We cannot predict the impact on our business of possible future legislative and regulatory measures regarding rating.

Table of Contents

Involuntary Markets. As a condition of maintaining our licenses to write personal property and casualty insurance in various states, we are required to participate in assigned risk plans, reinsurance facilities, and joint underwriting associations that provide various types of insurance coverage to individuals or entities that otherwise are unable to purchase such coverage from private insurers. Underwriting results related to these arrangements, which tend to be adverse, have been immaterial to our results of operations.

Michigan Catastrophic Claim Association. The Michigan Catastrophic Claim Association ("MCCA") is a mandatory insurance coverage and reinsurance indemnification mechanism for personal injury protection losses that provides indemnification for losses over a retention level that increases every other MCCA fiscal year. It operates similar to a reinsurance program and is funded by participating companies through a per vehicle annual assessment that is currently \$186. This assessment is included in the premiums we charge our customers and when collected, we remit the assessment to the MCCA. The participating company retention level is \$530 thousand per claim for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2015, and June 30, 2014. The MCCA provides unlimited lifetime medical benefits for qualifying injuries from automobile and motorcycle accidents. Many of these injuries are catastrophic in nature, resulting in serious permanent disabilities that require attendant and residential care for periods that may span decades. The MCCA may not be funded on an actuarial basis and can accumulate unfunded claims liabilities. As required for a member company, we report covered paid and unpaid claims to the MCCA, when estimates of loss for a reported claim are expected to exceed the retention level. The MCCA reimburses members as qualifying claims are paid and billed by members to the MCCA. Because of the nature of the coverage, losses (the most significant of which are for residential and attendant care) may be paid over the lifetime of a claimant, and accordingly, significant levels of incurred claims reserves are recorded by member companies as well as offsetting reinsurance recoverables. The MCCA currently has unfunded claims liabilities with an obligation to indemnify its members. The MCCA's future operation and form are dependent upon the continuation of enabling state legislation. We do not anticipate any material adverse financial impact from this entity on Allstate.

New Jersey Unsatisfied Claim and Judgment Fund. The New Jersey Unsatisfied Claim and Judgment Fund ("NJUCJF") provides compensation to qualified claimants for bodily injury or death caused by private passenger automobiles operated by uninsured or "hit and run" drivers. The fund also provides reimbursement to insurers for the medical benefits portion of personal injury protection coverage paid in excess of \$75,000 with no limits for policies issued or renewed prior to January 1, 1991 and in excess of \$75,000 and capped at \$250,000 for policies issued or renewed from January 1, 1991 to December 31, 2004. NJUCJF expenses are assessed on companies writing motor vehicle liability insurance in New Jersey annually based on their private passenger and commercial automobile written premiums. The NJUCJF was merged into the New Jersey Property Liability Guaranty Association who collects the assessments. We do not anticipate any material adverse financial impact from this entity on Allstate.

Guaranty Funds. Under state insurance guaranty fund laws, insurers doing business in a state can be assessed, up to prescribed limits, in order to cover certain obligations of insolvent insurance companies.

National Flood Insurance Program. We voluntarily participate as a Write Your Own carrier in the National Flood Insurance Program ("NFIP"). The NFIP is administered and regulated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency. We operate in a fiduciary capacity as a fiscal agent of the federal government in the issuing and administering of the Standard Flood Insurance Policy. This involves the collection of premiums belonging to the federal government and the paying of covered claims by directly drawing on funds of the United States Treasury. We receive expense allowances from the NFIP for underwriting administration, claims management and commissions and are reimbursed for adjuster fees. The federal government is obligated to pay all claims that fall under the arrangement.

Investment Regulation. Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to regulations that require investment portfolio diversification and that limit the amount of investment in certain categories. Failure to comply with these rules leads to the treatment of non-conforming investments as non-admitted assets for purposes of measuring statutory surplus. Further, in some instances, these rules require divestiture of non-conforming investments.

Exiting Geographic Markets; Canceling and Non-Renewing Policies. Most states regulate an insurer's ability to exit a market. For example, states may limit, to varying degrees, an insurer's ability to cancel and non-renew policies. Some states restrict or prohibit an insurer from withdrawing one or more types of insurance business from the state, except pursuant to a plan that is approved by the state insurance department. Regulations that limit cancellation and non-renewal and that subject withdrawal plans to prior approval requirements may restrict an insurer's ability to exit unprofitable markets.

Variable Life Insurance and Registered Fixed Annuities. The sale and administration of variable life insurance and registered fixed annuities with market value adjustment features are subject to extensive regulatory oversight at the

Table of Contents

federal and state level, including regulation and supervision by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA").

Broker-Dealers, Investment Advisors, and Investment Companies. The Allstate entities that operate as broker-dealers, registered investment advisors, and investment companies are subject to regulation and supervision by the SEC, FINRA and/or, in some cases, state securities administrators.

Privacy Regulation. Federal law and the laws of many states require financial institutions to protect the security and confidentiality of customer information and to notify customers about their policies and practices relating to collection and disclosure of customer information and their policies relating to protecting the security and confidentiality of that information. Federal law and the laws of many states also regulate disclosures and disposal of customer information. Congress, state legislatures, and regulatory authorities are expected to consider additional regulation relating to privacy and other aspects of customer information.

Asbestos. Congress has considered legislation to address asbestos claims and litigation in the past, but sufficient support among various defendant and insurer groups considered essential to any possible reform has been lacking. We cannot predict the impact on our business of possible future legislative measures regarding asbestos.

Environmental. Environmental pollution and clean-up of polluted waste sites is the subject of both federal and state regulation. The Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("Superfund") and comparable state statutes ("mini-Superfund") govern the clean-up and restoration of waste sites by Potentially Responsible Parties ("PRPs"). Superfund and the mini-Superfunds (Environmental Clean-up Laws or "ECLs") establish a mechanism to assign liability to PRPs or to fund the clean-up of waste sites if PRPs fail to do so. The extent of liability to be allocated to a PRP is dependent on a variety of factors. By some estimates, there are thousands of potential waste sites subject to clean-up, but the exact number is unknown. The extent of clean-up necessary and the process of assigning liability remain in dispute. The insurance industry is involved in extensive litigation regarding coverage issues arising out of the clean-up of waste sites by insured PRPs and the insured parties' alleged liability to third parties responsible for the clean-up. The insurance industry, including Allstate, has disputed and is disputing many such claims. Key coverage issues include whether Superfund response, investigation, and clean-up costs are considered damages under the policies; trigger of coverage; the applicability of several types of pollution exclusions; proper notice of claims; whether administrative liability triggers the duty to defend; appropriate allocation of liability among triggered insurers; and whether the liability in question falls within the definition of an "occurrence." Identical coverage issues exist for clean-up and waste sites not covered under Superfund. To date, courts have been inconsistent in their rulings on these issues. Allstate's exposure to liability with regard to its insureds that have been, or may be, named as PRPs is uncertain. While comprehensive Superfund reform proposals have been introduced in Congress, only modest reform measures have been enacted.

INTERNET WEBSITE

Our Internet website address is allstate.com. The Allstate Corporation's annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to such reports that we file or furnish pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available through our Internet website, free of charge, as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed or furnished to the SEC. In addition, our corporate governance guidelines, our code of ethics, and the charters of our Audit Committee, Compensation and Succession Committee, Executive Committee, Nominating and Governance Committee, and Risk and Return Committee are available on our website and in print to any stockholder who requests copies by contacting Investor Relations, The Allstate Corporation, 2775 Sanders Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062-6127, 1-847-402-2800.

OTHER INFORMATION ABOUT ALLSTATE

As of December 31, 2014, Allstate had approximately 39,700 full-time employees and 500 part-time employees.

Allstate continues to explore and invest in innovative solutions for the consumer and to expand its use of global resources, including operations in India and Northern Ireland.

Information regarding revenues generated outside of the United States is incorporated in this Part I, Item 1 by reference to Note 19 of the consolidated financial statements.

Allstate's four business segments use shared services, including human resources, investment, finance, information technology and legal services, provided by Allstate Insurance Company and other affiliates.

Although the insurance business generally is not seasonal, claims and claims expense for the Allstate Protection segment tend to be higher for periods of severe or inclement weather.

Table of Contents

"Allstate" is one of the most recognized brand names in the United States. We use the names "Allstate," "Esurance," "Encompass" and "Answer Financial" extensively in our business, along with related service marks, logos, and slogans, such as "Good Hands®." Our rights in the United States to these names, service marks, logos, and slogans continue so long as we continue to use them in commerce. Many service marks used by Allstate are the subject of renewable U.S. and/or foreign service mark registrations. We believe that these service marks are important to our business and we intend to maintain our rights to them through continued use.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following table sets forth the names of our executive officers, their ages as of February 1, 2015, their positions, and the years of their first election as officers. "AIC" refers to Allstate Insurance Company.

			Year First Elected		
Name	Age	Position/Offices			
Thomas J. Wilson	57	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of The Allstate Corporation and of AIC.	1995		
Don Civgin	53	President, Emerging Businesses of AIC.	2008		
Judith P. Greffin	54	Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer of AIC.	2002		
Sanjay Gupta	46	Executive Vice President, Marketing, Innovation and Corporate Relations of AIC.	2012		
Suren Gupta	53	Executive Vice President, Enterprise Technology and Strategic Ventures of AIC.	2011		
Harriet K. Harty	48	Executive Vice President, Human Resources of AIC.	2012		
Susan L. Lees	57	Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary of The Allstate Corporation and of AIC (Chief Legal Officer).	2008		
Katherine A. Mabe	56	President, Business to Business of AIC.	2011		
Samuel H. Pilch	68	Senior Group Vice President and Controller of The Allstate Corporation and of AIC.	1996		
Steven E. Shebik	58	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Allstate Corporation and of AIC.	1999		
Steven C. Verney	56	Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer of AIC.	1999		
Matthew E. Winter	58	President of The Allstate Corporation and of AIC.	2009		

Each of the officers named above may be removed from office at any time, with or without cause, by the board of directors of the relevant company.

Messrs. Wilson, Civgin, Pilch, Shebik, Verney and Winter, and Mses. Greffin, Harty and Lees have held the listed positions for at least the last five years or have served Allstate in various executive or administrative capacities for at least five years.

Prior to joining Allstate in 2012, Mr. Sanjay Gupta served as Chief Marketing Officer of Ally Financial from 2008 to 2012 and Senior Vice President of Global Consumer and Small Business Marketing at Bank of America from 2001 to 2008.

Prior to joining Allstate in 2011, Mr. Suren Gupta served as Executive Vice President of Wells Fargo from 2003 to 2011.

Prior to joining Allstate in 2011, Ms. Mabe served as President and CEO of The Economical Insurance Group, Canada from 2010 to 2011 and President Specialty Products of Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company from 2008 to 2010.

Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains "forward-looking statements" that anticipate results based on our estimates, assumptions and plans that are subject to uncertainty. These statements are made subject to the safe-harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements do not relate strictly to historical or current facts

Table of Contents

and may be identified by their use of words like "plans," "seeks," "expects," "will," "should," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "believes," "likely," "targets" and other words with similar meanings. These statements may address, among other things, our strategy for growth, catastrophe exposure management, product development, investment results, regulatory approvals, market position, expenses, financial results, litigation and reserves. We believe that these statements are based on reasonable estimates, assumptions and plans. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made, and we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements as a result of new information or future events or developments. In addition, forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks or uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those communicated in these forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those described in Part 1, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this report and those described from time to time in our other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the normal risks of business, we are subject to significant risks and uncertainties, including those listed below, which apply to us as an insurer and a provider of other products and financial services. These cautionary statements are not exclusive and are in addition to other factors discussed elsewhere in this document, in our filings with the SEC or in materials incorporated therein by reference.

Risks Relating to the Property-Liability business

As a property and casualty insurer, we may face significant losses from catastrophes and severe weather events

Because of the exposure of our property and casualty business to catastrophic events, Allstate Protection's operating results and financial condition may vary significantly from one period to the next. Catastrophes can be caused by various natural and man-made events, including earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, wildfires, tornadoes, tsunamis, hurricanes, tropical storms and certain types of terrorism or industrial accidents. We may incur catastrophe losses in our auto and property business in excess of: (1) those experienced in prior years, (2) the average expected level used in pricing, (3) our current reinsurance coverage limits, or (4) loss estimates from external hurricane and earthquake models at various levels of probability. Despite our catastrophe management programs, we are exposed to catastrophes that could have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition. For example, our historical catastrophe experience includes losses relating to Hurricane Katrina in 2005 totaling \$3.6 billion, the Northridge earthquake of 1994 totaling \$2.1 billion and Hurricane Andrew in 1992 totaling \$2.3 billion. We are also exposed to assessments from the California Earthquake Authority and various state-created insurance facilities, and to losses that could surpass the capitalization of these facilities. Although we have historically financed the settlement of catastrophes from operating cash flows, including very large catastrophes that had complicated issues resulting in settlement delays, our liquidity could be constrained by a catastrophe, or multiple catastrophes, which result in extraordinary losses or a downgrade of our debt or financial strength ratings.

In addition, we are subject to claims arising from weather events such as winter storms, rain, hail and high winds. The incidence and severity of weather conditions are largely unpredictable. There is generally an increase in the frequency and severity of auto and property claims when severe weather conditions occur.

The nature and level of catastrophes in any period cannot be predicted and could be material to our operating results and financial condition

Along with others in the insurance industry, Allstate Protection uses models developed by third party vendors as well as our own historic data in assessing our property insurance exposure to catastrophe losses. These models assume various conditions and probability scenarios. Such models do not necessarily accurately predict future losses or accurately measure losses currently incurred. Catastrophe models, which have been evolving since the early 1990s, use historical information and scientific research about hurricanes and earthquakes and also utilize detailed information about our in-force business. While we use this information in connection with our pricing and risk management activities, there are limitations with respect to its usefulness in predicting losses in any reporting period as actual catastrophic events vary considerably. Other limitations are evident in significant variations in estimates between models, material increases and decreases in results due to model changes and refinements of the underlying data elements and actual conditions that are not yet well understood or may not be properly incorporated into the models.

Impacts of catastrophes and our catastrophe management strategy may adversely affect premium growth

Due to Allstate Protection's catastrophe risk management efforts, the size of our homeowners business has been negatively impacted and may be negatively impacted if we take further actions. Homeowners premium growth rates and retention could be adversely impacted by adjustments to our business structure, size and underwriting practices in

Table of Contents

markets with significant severe weather and catastrophe risk exposure. In addition, due to the diminished potential for cross-selling opportunities that cannot be fully replaced by brokering arrangements that allow our agents to write property products with other carriers, new business growth in our auto lines has been and could be lower than expected.

A regulatory environment that limits rate increases and requires us to underwrite business and participate in loss sharing arrangements may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition

From time to time, political events and positions affect the insurance market, including efforts to suppress rates to a level that may not allow us to reach targeted levels of profitability. For example, if Allstate Protection's loss ratio compares favorably to that of the industry, state or provincial regulatory authorities may impose rate rollbacks, require us to pay premium refunds to policyholders, or resist or delay our efforts to raise rates even if the property and casualty industry generally is not experiencing regulatory resistance to rate increases. Such resistance affects our ability, in all product lines, to obtain approval for rate changes that may be required to achieve targeted levels of profitability and returns on equity. Our ability to afford reinsurance required to reduce our catastrophe risk in designated areas may be dependent upon the ability to adjust rates for its cost.

In addition to regulating rates, certain states have enacted laws that require a property-liability insurer conducting business in that state to participate in assigned risk plans, reinsurance facilities and joint underwriting associations or require the insurer to offer coverage to all consumers, often restricting an insurer's ability to charge the price it might otherwise charge. In these markets, we may be compelled to underwrite significant amounts of business at lower than desired rates, possibly leading to an unacceptable return on equity, or as the facilities recognize a financial deficit, they may in turn have the ability to assess participating insurers, adversely affecting our results of operations and financial condition. Laws and regulations of many states also limit an insurer's ability to withdraw from one or more lines of insurance in the state, except pursuant to a plan that is approved by the state insurance department. Additionally, certain states require insurers to participate in guaranty funds for impaired or insolvent insurance companies. These funds periodically assess losses against all insurance companies doing business in the state. Our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected by any of these factors.

The potential benefits of our sophisticated risk segmentation process may not be fully realized

We believe that our sophisticated pricing and underwriting methods (which, in some situations, considers information that is obtained from credit reports and other factors) has allowed us to be more competitive and operate more profitably. However, because many of our competitors seek to adopt underwriting criteria and sophisticated pricing models similar to those we use, our competitive advantage could decline or be lost. Further, the use of increasingly sophisticated pricing models is being reviewed by regulators and special interest groups. Competitive pressures could also force us to modify our sophisticated pricing models. Furthermore, we cannot be assured that these sophisticated pricing models will accurately reflect the level of losses that we will ultimately incur.

Changes in the level of price competition and the use of underwriting standards in the property and casualty business may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition

The property and casualty market has experienced periods characterized by relatively high levels of price competition, less restrictive underwriting standards and relatively low premium rates, followed by periods of relatively lower levels of competition, more selective underwriting standards and relatively high premium rates. A downturn in the profitability of the property and casualty business could have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Unexpected increases in the severity or frequency of claims may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition

Unexpected changes in the severity or frequency of claims may affect the profitability of our Allstate Protection segment. Changes in bodily injury claim severity are driven primarily by inflation in the medical sector of the economy and litigation. Changes in auto physical damage claim severity are driven primarily by inflation in auto repair costs, auto parts prices and used car prices. Changes in homeowners claim severity are driven by inflation in the construction industry, building materials and home furnishings, changes in the mix of loss type, and by other economic and environmental factors, including increased demand for services and supplies in areas affected by catastrophes. However, changes in the level of the severity of claims are not limited to the effects of inflation and demand surge in these various sectors of the economy. Increases in claim severity can arise from unexpected events that are inherently difficult to predict. Although we pursue various loss management initiatives in the Allstate Protection segment in order to mitigate future increases in claim severity, there can be no assurances that these initiatives will successfully identify or reduce the effect of future increases in claim severity.

Table of Contents

Our Allstate Protection segment may experience volatility in claim frequency from time to time, and short-term trends may not continue over the longer term. A significant increase in claim frequency could have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Actual claims incurred may exceed current reserves established for claims and may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition

Recorded claim reserves in the Property-Liability business are based on our best estimates of losses, both reported and incurred but not reported claims reserves ("IBNR"), after considering known facts and interpretations of circumstances. Internal factors are considered including our experience with similar cases, actual claims paid, historical trends involving claim payment patterns, pending levels of unpaid claims, loss management programs, product mix and contractual terms. External factors are also considered, such as court decisions; changes in law; litigation imposing unintended coverage and benefits such as disallowing the use of benefit payment schedules, requiring coverage designed to cover losses that occur in a single policy period to losses that develop continuously over multiple policy periods or requiring the availability of multiple limits; regulatory requirements and economic conditions. Because reserves are estimates of the unpaid portion of losses that have occurred, including IBNR losses, the establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophes, is an inherently uncertain and complex process. The ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded reserves and such variance may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

Predicting claim expense relating to asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines is inherently uncertain and may have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition

The process of estimating asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines liabilities is complicated by complex legal issues concerning, among other things, the interpretation of various insurance policy provisions and whether losses are covered, or were ever intended to be covered, and whether losses could be recoverable through retrospectively determined premium, reinsurance or other contractual agreements. Asbestos-related bankruptcies and other asbestos litigation are complex, lengthy proceedings that involve substantial uncertainty for insurers. Actuarial techniques and databases used in estimating asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines net loss reserves may prove to be inadequate indicators of the extent of probable loss. Ultimate net losses from these discontinued lines could materially exceed established loss reserves and expected recoveries and have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Risks Relating to the Allstate Financial Segment

Changes in underwriting and actual experience could materially affect profitability and financial condition

Our product pricing includes long-term assumptions regarding investment returns, mortality, morbidity, persistency and operating costs and expenses of the business. We establish target returns for each product based upon these factors and the average amount of capital that we must hold to support in-force contracts taking into account rating agencies and regulatory requirements. We monitor and manage our pricing and overall sales mix to achieve target new business returns on a portfolio basis, which could result in the discontinuation or de-emphasis of products and a decline in sales. Profitability from new business emerges over a period of years depending on the nature and life of the product and is subject to variability as actual results may differ from pricing assumptions. Additionally, many of our products have fixed or guaranteed terms that limit our ability to increase revenues or reduce benefits, including credited interest, once the product has been issued.

Our profitability in this segment depends on the sufficiency of premiums and contract charges to cover mortality and morbidity benefits, the persistency of policies to ensure recovery of acquisition expenses, the adequacy of investment spreads, the management of market and credit risks associated with investments, and the management of operating costs and expenses within anticipated pricing allowances. Legislation and regulation of the insurance marketplace and products could also affect our profitability and financial condition.

Changes in reserve estimates may adversely affect our operating results

The reserve for life-contingent contract benefits payable under insurance policies, including traditional life insurance, life-contingent immediate annuities and voluntary accident and health insurance products, is computed on the basis of long-term actuarial assumptions of future investment yields, mortality, morbidity, persistency and expenses. We periodically review the adequacy of these reserves on an aggregate basis and if future experience differs significantly from assumptions, adjustments to reserves and amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs ("DAC") may be required that could have a material effect on our operating results.

Table of Contents

Changes in market interest rates may lead to a significant decrease in the profitability of spread-based products

Our ability to manage the in-force Allstate Financial spread-based products, such as fixed annuities, is dependent upon maintaining profitable spreads between investment yields and interest crediting rates. When market interest rates decrease or remain at relatively low levels, proceeds from investments that have matured or have been prepaid or sold may be reinvested at lower yields, reducing investment spread. Lowering interest crediting rates on some products in such an environment can partially offset decreases in investment yield. However, these changes could be limited by regulatory minimum rates or contractual minimum rate guarantees on many contracts and may not match the timing or magnitude of changes in investment yields. Increases in market interest rates can have negative effects on Allstate Financial, for example by increasing the attractiveness of other investments to our customers, which can lead to increased surrenders at a time when the segment's fixed income investment asset values are lower as a result of the increase in interest rates. This could lead to the sale of fixed income securities at a loss. In addition, changes in market interest rates impact the valuation of derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts that are not hedged, which could lead to volatility in net income.

Changes in estimates of profitability on interest-sensitive life products may adversely affect our profitability and financial condition through the amortization of DAC

DAC related to interest-sensitive life contracts is amortized in proportion to actual historical gross profits and estimated future gross profits ("EGP") over the estimated lives of the contracts. The principal assumptions for determining the amount of EGP are mortality, persistency, expenses, investment returns, including capital gains and losses on assets supporting contract liabilities, interest crediting rates to contractholders, and the effects of any hedges. Updates to these assumptions (commonly referred to as "DAC unlocking") could result in accelerated amortization of DAC and thereby adversely affect our profitability and financial condition.

Reducing our concentration in spread-based business and exiting certain distribution channels may adversely affect reported results

We have been reducing our concentration in spread-based business and discontinued offering fixed annuities effective January 1, 2014. We also exited the independent master brokerage agencies and structured settlement annuity brokers distribution channels in 2013 and sold Lincoln Benefit Life Company ("LBL") on April 1, 2014. The reduction in sales of these products has and may continue to reduce investment portfolio levels. It may also complicate settlement of contract benefits including forced sales of assets with unrealized capital losses, and affect goodwill impairment testing and insurance reserves deficiency testing. We plan to outsource the administration of our annuity business to a third party administration company in 2015 following the successful transition of the servicing of the LBL business that was sold. If our efforts are unsuccessful, our cost structure may be less competitive.

Changes in tax laws may decrease sales and profitability of products and adversely affect our financial condition

Under current federal and state income tax law, certain products we offer, primarily life insurance, receive favorable tax treatment. This favorable treatment may give certain of our products a competitive advantage over noninsurance products. Congress and various state legislatures from time to time consider legislation that would reduce or eliminate the favorable policyholder tax treatment currently applicable to life insurance. Congress and various state legislatures also consider proposals to reduce the taxation of certain products or investments that may compete with life insurance. Legislation that increases the taxation on insurance products or reduces the taxation on competing products could lessen the advantage or create a disadvantage for certain of our products making them less competitive. Such proposals, if adopted, could have a material effect on our profitability and financial condition or ability to sell such products and could result in the surrender of some existing contracts and policies. In addition, changes in the federal estate tax laws could negatively affect the demand for the types of life insurance used in estate planning.

We may not be able to mitigate the capital impact associated with statutory reserving requirements, potentially resulting in a need to increase prices, reduce sales of term or universal life products, and/or a return on equity below original levels assumed in pricing

To support statutory reserves for certain term and universal life insurance products with secondary guarantees, we currently utilize reinsurance and capital markets solutions for financing a portion of our statutory reserve requirements deemed to be non-economic. As we continue to underwrite term and universal life business, we expect to have additional financing needs to mitigate the impact of these reserve requirements. If we do not obtain additional financing as a result of market conditions or otherwise, this could require us to increase prices, reduce our sales of term or universal life products, and/or result in a return on equity below original levels assumed in pricing.

Table of Contents

Dispositions and acquisitions of businesses may adversely affect our results of operations including the ability to continue sales by Allstate exclusive agents and receive adequate compensation for transition services

We are exposed to risks associated with disposed former affiliates when continuing relationships are maintained such as distribution rights, reinsurance of new and in-force business, transition services and other contingent liabilities. We may be adversely impacted by declines in financial strength ratings of disposed former affiliates due to rating agencies viewing the financial capacity of the former affiliate differently. Other compliance and operational issues may emerge involving agents, products, pricing, servicing and claims related to the business reinsured from the former affiliate to the Company. In addition, during a transition period when the Company may be providing transition services, we may not be receiving adequate compensation and could be judged as not providing service as contracted. These risks may adversely affect our results of operations.

Risks Relating to Investments

We are subject to market risk and declines in credit quality which may adversely affect investment income and cause realized and unrealized losses

Although we continually reevaluate our investment management strategies, we remain subject to the risk that we will incur losses due to adverse changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices or currency exchange rates. Adverse changes in these rates, spreads and prices may occur due to changes in monetary policy and the economic climate, the liquidity of a market or market segment, investor return expectations and/or risk tolerance, insolvency or financial distress of key market makers or participants, or changes in market perceptions of credit worthiness. We are also subject to market risk related to investments in real estate, loans and securities collateralized by real estate. Some of our investment strategies target individual investments with unique risks that are not highly correlated with broad market risks. Although we expect these investments to increase total portfolio returns over time, their performance may vary from and under-perform relative to the market in some periods.

We are subject to risks associated with potential declines in credit quality related to specific issuers or specific industries and a general weakening in the economy, which are typically reflected through credit spreads. Credit spread is the additional yield on fixed income securities and loans above the risk-free rate (typically referenced as the yield on U.S. Treasury securities) that market participants require to compensate them for assuming credit, liquidity and/or prepayment risks. Credit spreads vary (i.e. increase or decrease) in response to the market's perception of risk and liquidity in a specific issuer or specific sector and are influenced by the credit ratings, and the reliability of those ratings, published by external rating agencies. Although we have the ability to use derivative financial instruments to manage these risks, the effectiveness of such instruments varies with liquidity and other conditions that may impact derivative and bond markets. Adverse economic conditions or other factors could cause declines in the quality and valuation of our investment portfolio that could result in realized and unrealized losses. The concentration of our investment portfolios in any particular issuer, industry, collateral type, group of related industries, geographic sector or risk type could have an adverse effect on our investment portfolios and consequently on our results of operations and financial condition.

A decline in market interest rates or credit spreads could have an adverse effect on our investment income as we invest cash in new investments that may earn less than the portfolio's average yield. In a declining interest rate environment, borrowers may prepay or redeem securities more quickly than expected as they seek to refinance at lower rates. A decline could also lead us to purchase longer-term or riskier assets in order to obtain adequate investment yields resulting in a duration gap when compared to the duration of liabilities. Alternatively, longer-term assets may be sold and reinvested in shorter-term assets in anticipation of rising interest rates. An increase in market interest rates or credit spreads could have an adverse effect on the value of our investment portfolio by decreasing the fair values of the fixed income securities that comprise a substantial majority of our investment portfolio. Declining equity markets could also cause the investments in our pension plans to decrease and decreasing interest rates could cause the funding target and the projected benefit obligation of our pension plans or the accumulated benefit obligation of our other postretirement benefit plans to increase, either or both resulting in a decrease in the funded status of the pension plans and a reduction in the accumulated other comprehensive income component of shareholders' equity, increases in pension and other postretirement benefit expense and increases in required contributions to the pension plans.

The determination of the amount of realized capital losses recorded for impairments of our investments is subjective and could materially impact our operating results and financial condition

The determination of the amount of realized capital losses recorded for impairments vary by investment type and is based upon our ongoing evaluation and assessment of known and inherent risks associated with the respective asset class. Such evaluations and assessments are revised as conditions change and new information becomes available. We update our evaluations regularly and reflect changes in other-than-temporary impairments in our results of operations.

Table of Contents

The assessment of whether other-than-temporary impairments have occurred is based on our case-by-case evaluation of the underlying reasons for the decline in fair value. Our conclusions on such assessments are judgmental and include assumptions and projections of future cash flows and price recovery which may ultimately prove to be incorrect as assumptions, facts and circumstances change. Furthermore, historical trends may not be indicative of future impairments and additional impairments may need to be recorded in the future.

The determination of the fair value of our fixed income and equity securities is subjective and could materially impact our operating results and financial condition

In determining fair values we principally use the market approach which utilizes market transaction data for the same or similar instruments. The degree of judgment involved in determining fair values is inversely related to the availability of market observable information. The fair value of assets may differ from the actual amount received upon sale of an asset in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Moreover, the use of different valuation assumptions may have a material effect on the assets' fair values. The difference between amortized cost or cost and fair value, net of deferred income taxes, certain life and annuity DAC, certain deferred sales inducement costs, and certain reserves for life-contingent contract benefits, is reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity. Changing market conditions could materially affect the determination of the fair value of securities and unrealized net capital gains and losses could vary significantly.

Risks Relating to the Insurance Industry

Our future growth and profitability are dependent in part on our ability to successfully operate in an insurance industry that is highly competitive

The insurance industry is highly competitive. Many of our primary insurance competitors have well-established national reputations and market similar products.

We have invested in growth strategies by acting on our customer value propositions for each of our brands, through our differentiated product offerings and our distinctive advertising campaigns. If we are unsuccessful in generating new business and retaining a sufficient number of our customers, our ability to increase premiums written could be impacted. In addition, if we experience unexpected increases in our underlying costs (such as the frequency or severity of claims costs) generated by our new business, it could result in decreases in our profitability and lead to price increases which could impair our ability to compete effectively for insurance business.

We are also investing in telematics and broadening the value proposition for the connected consumer. If we are not effective in anticipating the impact on our business of changing technology, including automotive technology, our ability to successfully operate may be impaired.

Because of the competitive nature of the insurance industry, there can be no assurance that we will continue to effectively compete with our industry rivals, or that competitive pressures will not have a material effect on our business, operating results or financial condition. This includes competition for producers such as exclusive and independent agents and their licensed sales professionals. In the event we are unable to attract and retain these producers or they are unable to attract and retain customers for our products, growth and retention could be materially affected. Furthermore, certain competitors operate using a mutual insurance company structure and therefore may have dissimilar profitability and return targets. Additionally, many of our voluntary benefits products are underwritten annually. There is a risk that employers may be able to obtain more favorable terms from competitors than they could by renewing coverage with us. These competitive pressures may adversely affect the persistency of these products, as well as our ability to sell our products in the future.

Our ability to successfully operate may also be impaired if we are not effective in developing the talent and skills of our human resources, attracting and assimilating new executive talent into our organization, or deploying human resource talent consistently with our business goals.

Difficult conditions in the global economy and capital markets generally could adversely affect our business and operating results and these conditions may not improve in the near future

As with most businesses, we believe difficult conditions in the global economy and capital markets, such as significant negative macroeconomic trends, including relatively high and sustained unemployment, reduced consumer spending, lower residential and commercial real estate prices, substantial increases in delinquencies on consumer debt, including defaults on home mortgages, and the relatively low availability of credit could have an adverse effect on our business and operating results.

Table of Contents

Stressed conditions, volatility and disruptions in global capital markets, particular markets or financial asset classes could adversely affect our investment portfolio. Disruptions in one market or asset class can also spread to other markets or asset classes. Although the disruption in the global financial markets has moderated, not all global financial markets are functioning normally, and the rate of recovery from the U.S. recession has been below historic averages. Several governments around the world have announced austerity actions to address their budget deficits that may lead to a decline in economic activity.

In the years since the financial crisis, the central banks of most developed countries have pursued fairly similar, and highly accommodative, monetary policies. While European policy makers have developed mechanisms to address funding concerns, risks to the European economy and financial markets remain. Additionally, monetary policies among major central banks have begun to diverge. The United States Federal Reserve and the Bank of England continue to evaluate the timing and pace of normalizing their respective policies. In contrast, the European Central Bank has recently launched a quantitative easing program and several central banks have lowered their benchmark interest rates to stimulate economic activity. The diverging policies are likely to result in higher volatility and less certainty in capital markets.

General economic conditions could adversely affect us in the form of consumer behavior and pressure investment results. Consumer behavior changes could include decreased demand for our products. For example, if consumers purchase fewer automobiles, our sales of auto insurance may decline. Also, if consumers become more cost conscious, they may choose lower levels of auto and homeowners insurance. In addition, holders of some of our interest-sensitive life insurance and annuity products may engage in an elevated level of discretionary withdrawals of contractholder funds. Our investment results could be adversely affected as deteriorating financial and business conditions affect the issuers of the securities in our investment portfolio.

Losses from legal and regulatory actions may be material to our operating results, cash flows and financial condition

As is typical for a large company, we are involved in various legal actions, including class action litigation challenging a range of company practices and coverage provided by our insurance products, some of which involve claims for substantial or indeterminate amounts. We are also involved in various regulatory actions and inquiries, including market conduct exams by state insurance regulatory agencies. In the event of an unfavorable outcome in one or more of these matters, the ultimate liability may be in excess of amounts currently accrued and may be material to our operating results or cash flows for a particular quarter or annual period and to our financial condition. The aggregate estimate of the range of reasonably possible loss in excess of the amount accrued, if any, disclosed in Note 14 of the consolidated financial statements is not an indication of expected loss, if any. Actual results may vary significantly from the current estimate.

We are subject to extensive regulation and potential further restrictive regulation may increase our operating costs and limit our growth

As insurance companies, broker-dealers, investment advisers and/or investment companies, many of our subsidiaries are subject to extensive laws and regulations. These laws and regulations are complex and subject to change. Changes may sometimes lead to additional expenses, increased legal exposure, and additional limits on our ability to grow or to achieve targeted profitability. Moreover, laws and regulations are administered and enforced by a number of different governmental authorities, each of which exercises a degree of interpretive latitude, including state insurance regulators; state securities administrators; state attorneys general and federal agencies including the SEC, the FINRA and the U.S. Department of Justice. Consequently, we are subject to the risk that compliance with any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue may not result in compliance with another's interpretation of the same issue, particularly when compliance is judged in hindsight. In addition, there is risk that any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue may change over time to our detriment, or that changes in the overall legal environment may, even absent any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue changing, cause us to change our views regarding the actions we need to take from a legal risk management perspective, thus necessitating changes to our practices that may, in some cases, limit our ability to grow or to improve the profitability of our business. Furthermore, in some cases, these laws and regulations are designed to protect or benefit the interests of a specific constituency rather than a range of constituencies. For example, state insurance laws and regulations are generally intended to protect or benefit purchasers or users of insurance products, not holders of securities, which is generally the jurisdiction of the SEC, issued by The Allstate Corporation. In many respects, these laws and regulati

Table of Contents

Regulatory reforms, and the more stringent application of existing regulations, may make it more expensive for us to conduct our business

The federal government has enacted comprehensive regulatory reforms for financial services entities. As part of a larger effort to strengthen the regulation of the financial services market, certain reforms are applicable to the insurance industry, including the FIO established within the Treasury Department.

In recent years, the state insurance regulatory framework has come under public scrutiny, members of Congress have discussed proposals to provide for federal chartering of insurance companies, and the FIO and FSOC were established. In the future, if the FSOC were to determine that Allstate is a "systemically important" nonbank financial company, Allstate would be subject to regulation by the Federal Reserve Board. We can make no assurances regarding the potential impact of state or federal measures that may change the nature or scope of insurance and financial regulation.

These regulatory reforms and any additional legislative change or regulatory requirements imposed upon us in connection with the federal government's regulatory reform of the financial services industry or arising from reform related to the international regulatory capital framework for financial services firms, and any more stringent enforcement of existing regulations by federal authorities, may make it more expensive for us to conduct our business, or limit our ability to grow or to achieve profitability.

Reinsurance may be unavailable at current levels and prices, which may limit our ability to write new business

Our personal lines catastrophe reinsurance program was designed, utilizing our risk management methodology, to address our exposure to catastrophes nationwide. Market conditions beyond our control impact the availability and cost of the reinsurance we purchase. No assurances can be made that reinsurance will remain continuously available to us to the same extent and on the same terms and rates as is currently available. For example, our ability to afford reinsurance to reduce our catastrophe risk in designated areas may be dependent upon our ability to adjust premium rates for its cost, and there are no assurances that the terms and rates for our current reinsurance program will continue to be available in future years. If we were unable to maintain our current level of reinsurance or purchase new reinsurance protection in amounts that we consider sufficient and at prices that we consider acceptable, we would have to either accept an increase in our catastrophe exposure, reduce our insurance writings, or develop or seek other alternatives.

Reinsurance subjects us to the credit risk of our reinsurers and may not be adequate to protect us against losses arising from ceded insurance, which could have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition

The collectability of reinsurance recoverables is subject to uncertainty arising from a number of factors, including changes in market conditions, whether insured losses meet the qualifying conditions of the reinsurance contract and whether reinsurers, or their affiliates, have the financial capacity and willingness to make payments under the terms of a reinsurance treaty or contract. We also have credit risk exposure associated with the MCCA, a mandatory insurance coverage and reinsurance indemnification mechanism for personal injury protection losses that provides indemnification for losses over a retention level that increases every other MCCA fiscal year, which is operating with a deficit, and the NJUCJF that provides reimbursement to insurers for the medical benefits portion of personal injury protection coverage paid in excess of certain levels. Our reinsurance recoverable from the MCCA and NJUCJF was \$4.42 billion and \$508 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2014. Our inability to collect a material recovery from a reinsurer could have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition.

A downgrade in our financial strength ratings may have an adverse effect on our competitive position, the marketability of our product offerings, our liquidity, access to and cost of borrowing, operating results and financial condition

Financial strength ratings are important factors in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies and generally have an effect on an insurance company's business. On an ongoing basis, rating agencies review our financial performance and condition and could downgrade or change the outlook on our ratings due to, for example, a change in one of our insurance company's statutory capital; a change in a rating agency's determination of the amount of risk-adjusted capital required to maintain a particular rating; an increase in the perceived risk of our investment portfolio; a reduced confidence in management or our business strategy; as well as a number of other considerations that may or may not be under our control. The insurance financial strength ratings of Allstate Insurance Company and Allstate Life Insurance Company and The Allstate Corporation's senior debt ratings from A.M. Best, Standard & Poor's and Moody's are subject to continuous review, and the retention of current ratings cannot be assured. A downgrade in any of these ratings could have a material effect on our sales, our competitiveness, the marketability of our product offerings, our liquidity, access to and cost of borrowing, operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Adverse capital and credit market conditions may significantly affect our ability to meet liquidity needs or our ability to obtain credit on acceptable terms

In periods of extreme volatility and disruption in the capital and credit markets, liquidity and credit capacity may be severely restricted. In such circumstances, our ability to obtain capital to fund operating expenses, financing costs, capital expenditures or acquisitions may be limited, and the cost of any such capital may be significant. Our access to additional financing will depend on a variety of factors such as market conditions, the general availability of credit, the overall availability of credit to our industry, our credit ratings and credit capacity, as well as lenders' perception of our long- or short-term financial prospects. Similarly, our access to funds may be impaired if regulatory authorities or rating agencies take negative actions against us. If a combination of these factors were to occur, our internal sources of liquidity may prove to be insufficient and in such case, we may not be able to successfully obtain additional financing on favorable terms.

The failure in cyber or other information security systems, as well as the occurrence of events unanticipated in our disaster recovery systems and management continuity planning could result in a loss or disclosure of confidential information, damage to our reputation, additional costs and impairment of our ability to conduct business effectively

We depend heavily upon computer systems and mathematical algorithms and data to perform necessary business functions. Despite our implementation of a variety of security measures, our computer systems could be subject to cyber-attacks and unauthorized access, such as physical and electronic break-ins or unauthorized tampering. Like other global companies, we have experienced threats to our data and systems, including malware and computer virus attacks, unauthorized access, system failures and disruptions. Events such as these could jeopardize the confidential, proprietary and other information (including personal information of our customers, claimants or employees) processed and stored in, and transmitted through, our computer systems and networks, or otherwise cause interruptions or malfunctions in our operations, which could result in damage to our reputation, financial losses, litigation, increased costs, regulatory penalties and/or customer dissatisfaction or loss. These risks may increase in the future as we continue to expand our internet and mobile strategies, develop additional remote connectivity solutions to serve our customers, and build and maintain an integrated digital enterprise.

The occurrence of a disaster, such as a natural catastrophe, pandemic, industrial accident, blackout, terrorist attack, war, cyber-attack, computer virus, insider threat, unanticipated problems with our disaster recovery systems, or a support failure from external providers, could have an adverse effect on our ability to conduct business and on our results of operations and financial condition, particularly if those events affect our computer-based data processing, transmission, storage, and retrieval systems or destroy data. If a significant number of our managers were unavailable in the event of a disaster, our ability to effectively conduct our business could be severely compromised.

Third parties to whom we outsource certain of our functions are also subject to the risks outlined above. The Company also has business process and information technology operations in Northern Ireland and India and is subject to operating, regulatory and political risks in those countries. Any of these may result in our incurring substantial costs and other negative consequences, including a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

A large scale pandemic, the continued threat of terrorism or military actions may have an adverse effect on the level of claim losses we incur, the value of our investment portfolio, our competitive position, marketability of product offerings, liquidity and operating results

A large scale pandemic, the continued threat of terrorism, within the United States and abroad, or military and other actions, and heightened security measures in response to these types of threats, may cause significant volatility and losses in our investment portfolio from declines in the equity markets and from interest rate changes in the United States, Europe and elsewhere, and result in loss of life, property damage, disruptions to commerce and reduced economic activity. Some of the assets in our investment portfolio may be adversely affected by declines in the equity markets and reduced economic activity caused by a large scale pandemic or the continued threat of terrorism. Additionally, a large scale pandemic or terrorist act could have a material effect on the sales, profitability, competitiveness, marketability of product offerings, liquidity, and operating results.

We may be required to recognize impairments in the value of our goodwill, which may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition

Goodwill represents the excess of amounts paid for acquiring businesses over the fair value of the net assets acquired. Goodwill is evaluated for impairment annually, or more frequently if conditions warrant, by comparing the

Table of Contents

carrying value (attributed equity) of a reporting unit to its estimated fair value. Market declines or other events impacting the fair value of a reporting unit could result in a goodwill impairment, resulting in a charge to income. Such a charge could have an adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Changes in accounting standards issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board or other standard-setting bodies may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition

Our financial statements are subject to the application of generally accepted accounting principles, which are periodically revised, interpreted and/or expanded. Accordingly, we are required to adopt new guidance or interpretations, or could be subject to existing guidance as we enter into new transactions, which may have a material effect on our results of operations and financial condition that is either unexpected or has a greater impact than expected. For a description of changes in accounting standards that are currently pending and, if known, our estimates of their expected impact, see Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements.

The realization of deferred tax assets is subject to uncertainty

The realization of our deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance, if any, is based on our assumption that we will be able to fully utilize the deductions that are ultimately recognized for tax purposes. However, actual results may differ from our assumptions if adequate levels of taxable income are not attained.

The ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends may affect our liquidity and ability to meet our obligations

The Allstate Corporation is a holding company with no significant operations. The principal asset is the stock of its subsidiaries. State insurance regulatory authorities limit the payment of dividends by insurance subsidiaries, as described in Note 16 of the consolidated financial statements. The limitations are based on statutory income and surplus. In addition, competitive pressures generally require the subsidiaries to maintain insurance financial strength ratings. These restrictions and other regulatory requirements affect the ability of the subsidiaries to make dividend payments. Limits on the ability of the subsidiaries to pay dividends could adversely affect holding company liquidity, including our ability to pay dividends to shareholders, service our debt, or complete share repurchase programs in the timeframe expected.

Management views enterprise economic capital as a combination of statutory surplus and invested assets at the parent holding company level. Deterioration in statutory surplus or earnings, from developments such as catastrophe losses, or changes in market conditions or interest rates, could adversely affect holding company liquidity by impacting the amount of dividends from our subsidiaries or the utilization of invested assets at the holding company to increase statutory surplus or for other corporate purposes.

Our ability to pay dividends or repurchase our stock is subject to limitations under terms of certain of our securities

Subject to certain limited exceptions, during any dividend period while our preferred stock is outstanding, unless the full preferred stock dividends for the preceding dividend period have been declared and paid or declared and a sum sufficient for the payment thereof has been set aside and any declared but unpaid preferred stock dividends for any prior period have been paid, we may not repurchase or pay dividends on our common stock. If and when dividends on our preferred stock have not been declared and paid in full for at least six quarterly dividend periods, the authorized number of directors then constituting our board of directors will be increased by two additional directors, to be elected by the holders of our preferred stock together with the holders of all other affected classes and series of voting parity stock, voting as a single class, subject to certain conditions.

We are prohibited from declaring or paying dividends on our preferred stock if we fail to meet specified capital adequacy, net income or shareholders' equity levels. The prohibition is subject to an exception permitting us to declare dividends out of the net proceeds of common stock issued by us during the 90 days prior to the date of declaration even if we fail to meet such levels.

The terms of our outstanding subordinated debentures also prohibit us from declaring or paying any dividends or distributions on our common or preferred stock or redeeming, purchasing, acquiring, or making liquidation payments on our common stock or preferred stock if we have elected to defer interest payments on the subordinated debentures, subject to certain limited exceptions.

Changing climate conditions may adversely affect our financial condition, profitability or cash flows

Climate change, to the extent it produces changes in weather patterns, could affect the frequency or severity of weather events and wildfires, the affordability and availability of homeowners insurance, and the results for our Allstate Protection segment.

Table of Contents

Loss of key vendor relationships or failure of a vendor to protect our data or personal information of our customers, claimants or employees could affect our operations

We rely on services and products provided by many vendors in the United States and abroad. These include, for example, vendors of computer hardware and software and vendors of services such as claim adjustment services, human resource benefits management services and investment management services. In the event that one or more of our vendors suffers a bankruptcy or otherwise becomes unable to continue to provide products or services, or fails to protect our data or personal information of our customers, claimants or employees, we may suffer operational impairments and financial losses.

We may not be able to protect our intellectual property and may be subject to infringement claims

We rely on a combination of contractual rights and copyright, trademark, patent and trade secret laws to establish and protect our intellectual property. Although we use a broad range of measures to protect our intellectual property rights, third parties may infringe or misappropriate our intellectual property. We may have to litigate to enforce and protect our intellectual property and to determine its scope, validity or enforceability, which could divert significant resources and prove unsuccessful. An inability to protect our intellectual property could have a material effect on our business.

We may be subject to claims by third parties for patent, trademark or copyright infringement or breach of usage rights. Any such claims and any resulting litigation could result in significant expense and liability. If our third party providers or we are found to have infringed a third-party intellectual property right, either of us could be enjoined from providing certain products or services or from utilizing and benefiting from certain methods, processes, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets or licenses. Alternatively, we could be required to enter into costly licensing arrangements with third parties or implement a costly work around. Any of these scenarios could have a material effect on our business and results of operations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our home office complex is owned and located in Northbrook, Illinois. As of December 31, 2014, the home office complex consists of several buildings totaling 2.3 million square feet of office space on a 282-acre site.

We also operate from approximately 1,270 administrative, data processing, claims handling and other support facilities in North America. In addition to our home office facilities, 1.3 million square feet are owned and 6.8 million square feet are leased. Outside North America, we lease three properties in Northern Ireland comprising 166,460 square feet. We also have one lease in India for 99,260 square feet and one lease in London for 1,390 square feet. Generally, only major Allstate facilities are owned. In a majority of cases, new lease terms and renewals are for five years or less.

The locations out of which the Allstate exclusive agencies operate in the U.S. are normally leased by the agencies as lessees.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Information required for Item 3 is incorporated by reference to the discussion under the heading "Regulation and Compliance" and under the heading "Legal and regulatory proceedings and inquiries" in Note 14 of the consolidated financial statements.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Part II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

As of January 30, 2015, there were 88,524 holders of record of The Allstate Corporation's common stock. The principal market for the common stock is the New York Stock Exchange but it is also listed on the Chicago Stock Exchange. Set forth below are the high and low New York Stock Exchange Composite listing prices of, and cash dividends declared for, the common stock during 2014 and 2013.

	High	Low	Close	Dividends Declared
2014	J			
First quarter	56.65	49.18	56.58	.28
Second quarter	59.68	54.81	58.72	.28
Third quarter	62.59	56.63	61.37	.28
Fourth quarter	71.53	59.28	70.25	.28
2013				
First quarter	49.13	40.65	49.07	.25
Second quarter	50.69	45.60	48.12	.25
Third quarter	52.98	47.32	50.55	.25
Fourth quarter	54.84	50.21	54.54	.25

The payment of dividends by Allstate Insurance Company ("AIC") to The Allstate Corporation is limited by Illinois insurance law to formula amounts based on statutory net income and statutory surplus, as well as the timing and amount of dividends paid in the preceding twelve months. In the twelve-month period ending December 31, 2014, AIC paid dividends of \$2.47 billion. Based on the greater of 2014 statutory net income or 10% of statutory surplus, the maximum amount of dividends that AIC will be able to pay without prior Illinois Department of Insurance approval at a given point in time in 2015 is \$2.31 billion, less dividends paid during the preceding twelve months measured at that point in time. Notification and approval of intercompany lending activities is also required by the Illinois Department of Insurance for those transactions that exceed formula amounts based on statutory admitted assets and statutory surplus.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

(1)

Period		Total number of shares (or units) purchased (1)	A	verage price paid per share (or unit)	of shares (or units) purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs (3)		Maximum number (or approximate dollar value) of shares (or units) that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs (4)	
	October 1, 2014 -	•			• 0		• 0	
	October 31, 2014	2,716	\$	61.1435		\$	587 million	
	November 1, 2014 -							
	November 30, 2014	863,005	\$	67.3385	409,400	\$	560 million	
	December 1, 2014 -							
	December 31, 2014	2,615,981 (2	\$ (66.9678 ⁽²⁾	2,615,160	\$	336 million	
	Total	3,481,702	\$	67.0552	3,024,560			

In accordance with the terms of its equity compensation plans, Allstate acquired the following shares in connection with stock option exercises by employees and/or directors. The stock was received in payment of the exercise price of the options and in satisfaction of withholding taxes due upon exercise or vesting.

October: 2,716 November: 453,605 December: 821

(2)

- On August 1, 2014, Allstate entered into an accelerated share repurchase agreement (the "ASR Agreement") with Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("Morgan Stanley") to purchase \$750 million of its outstanding shares of common stock. Morgan Stanley agreed to purchase the shares in the market within a timeframe not to exceed four and one half months. In exchange for an upfront payment of \$637.5 million, Morgan Stanley initially delivered 10,964,913 shares to Allstate. Upon final settlement of the ASR Agreement in December 2014, Allstate received an additional 1,017,469 shares from Morgan Stanley for which it paid \$112.5 million, which was determined based generally on a discount to the volume-weighted average price per share of Allstate's common stock during the purchase period. This brought the total number of shares acquired under the ASR Agreement to 11,982,382 shares, with the average purchase price equal to \$62.5919 per share.
- (3) From time to time, repurchases under our programs are executed under the terms of a pre-set trading plan meeting the requirements of Rule 10b5-1(c) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- (4) On February 19, 2014, we announced the approval of a share repurchase program for \$2.50 billion, to be completed by August 31, 2015.

26

Table of Contents

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

5-YEAR SUMMARY OF SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

(\$ in millions, except per share data and ratios) Consolidated Operating Results		2014		2013		2012		2011		2010
Insurance premiums and contract charges	\$	31.086	\$	29,970	\$	28,978	\$	28,180	\$	28,125
Net investment income	Ψ	3,459	Ψ	3,943	Ψ	4,010	Ψ	3,971	Ψ	4,102
Realized capital gains and losses		694		594		327		503		(827)
Total revenues		35,239		34,507		33,315		32,654		31,400
Net income available to common shareholders		2,746		2,263		2,306		787		911
Net income available to common shareholders per common										
share:										
Net income available to common shareholders per common										
share Basic		6.37		4.87		4.71		1.51		1.69
Net income available to common shareholders per common								4.50		4.60
share Diluted		6.27		4.81		4.68		1.50		1.68
Cash dividends declared per common share		1.12		1.00		0.88		0.84		0.80
Consolidated Financial Position	Φ.	01.110	ф	01.155	Φ.	05.050	Φ.	05.610	Φ.	100 402
Investments (1)	\$	81,113	\$	81,155	\$	97,278	\$	95,618	\$	100,483
Total assets Personnes for plains and plains avenues life contingent		108,533		123,520		126,947		125,193		130,500
Reserves for claims and claims expense, life-contingent contract benefits and contractholder funds (1)		57,832		58,547		75,502		77,113		81,113
Long-term debt		5,194		6,201		6,057		5,908		5,908
Shareholders' equity		22,304		21,480		20,580		18,298		18,617
Shareholders' equity per diluted common share		48.24		45.31		42.39		36.18		34.58
Equity		22,304		21,480		20,580		18,326		18,645
Property-Liability Operations										
Premiums earned	\$	28,929	\$	27,618	\$	26,737	\$	25,942	\$	25,957
Net investment income		1,301		1,375		1,326		1,201		1,189
Net income available to common shareholders		2,427		2,754		1,968		403		1,053
Operating ratios (2)										
Claims and claims expense ("loss") ratio		67.2		64.9		69.1		77.7		73.0
Expense ratio		26.7		27.1		26.4		25.7		25.1
Combined ratio		93.9		92.0		95.5		103.4		98.1
Allstate Financial Operations										
Premiums and contract charges	\$	2,157	\$	2,352	\$	2,241	\$	2,238	\$	2,168
Net investment income	Ψ	2,131	Ψ	2,538	Ψ	2,647	Ψ	2,716	Ψ	2,853
Net income available to common shareholders		631		95		541		590		42
Investments		38,809		39,105		56,999		57,373		61,582
		,		,		,		. ,		,

⁽¹⁾ As of December 31, 2013, \$11.98 billion of investments and \$12.84 billion of reserves for life-contingent contract benefits and contractholder funds were classified as held for sale relating to the pending sale of Lincoln Benefit Life Company (see Note 3 of the consolidated financial statements).

(2)

We use operating ratios to measure the profitability of our Property-Liability results. We believe that they enhance an investor's understanding of our profitability. They are calculated as follows: Claims and claims expense ("loss") ratio is the ratio of claims and claims expense to premiums earned. Loss ratios include the impact of catastrophe losses. Expense ratio is the ratio of amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs, operating costs and expenses, and restructuring and related charges to premiums earned. Combined ratio is the ratio of claims and claims expense, amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs, operating costs and expenses, and restructuring and related charges to premiums earned. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss ratio and the expense ratio. The difference between 100% and the combined ratio represents underwriting income as a percentage of premiums earned, or underwriting margin.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

	Page	
Overview	29	
2014 Highlights	30	
Consolidated Net Income	31	
Impact of Low Interest Rate Environment	31	
Application of Critical Accounting Estimates	33	
Property-Liability 2014 Highlights	45	
Property-Liability Operations	45	
Allstate Protection Segment	48	
Discontinued Lines and Coverages Segment	61	
Property-Liability Investment Results	62	
Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves	62	
Allstate Financial 2014 Highlights	73	
Allstate Financial Segment	73	
Investments 2014 Highlights	84	
Investments	84	
Market Risk	93	
Pension Plans	96	
Goodwill	99	
Capital Resources and Liquidity 2014 Highlights	99	
Capital Resources and Liquidity	100	
Enterprise Risk and Return Management	106	
Regulation and Legal Proceedings	107	
Pending Accounting Standards	107	
	28	

Table of Contents

OVERVIEW

The following discussion highlights significant factors influencing the consolidated financial position and results of operations of The Allstate Corporation (referred to in this document as "we," "our," "us," the "Company" or "Allstate"). It should be read in conjunction with the 5-year summary of selected financial data, consolidated financial statements and related notes found under Part II. Item 6. and Item 8. contained herein. Further analysis of our insurance segments is provided in the Property-Liability Operations (which includes the Allstate Protection and the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segments) and in the Allstate Financial Segment sections of Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A"). The segments are consistent with the way in which we use financial information to evaluate business performance and to determine the allocation of resources. Resources are allocated by the chief operating decision maker and performance is assessed for Allstate Protection, Discontinued Lines and Coverages and Allstate Financial. Allstate Protection and Allstate Financial performance and resources are managed by committees of senior officers of the respective segments.

Allstate is focused on the following priorities in 2015:

grow insurance policies in force;

maintain the underlying combined ratio;

proactively manage investments to generate attractive risk adjusted returns;

modernize the operating model; and

build long-term growth platforms.

The most important factors we monitor to evaluate the financial condition and performance of our company include:

For Allstate Protection: premium, the number of policies in force ("PIF"), new business sales, retention, price changes, claim frequency (rate of claim occurrence per policy in force) and severity (average cost per claim), catastrophes, loss ratio, expenses, underwriting results, and relative competitive position.

For Allstate Financial: benefit and investment spread, asset-liability matching, amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs ("DAC"), expenses, operating income, net income, new business sales, invested assets, and premiums and contract charges.

For Investments: exposure to market risk, credit quality/experience, total return, net investment income, cash flows, realized capital gains and losses, unrealized capital gains and losses, stability of long-term returns, and asset and liability duration.

For financial condition: liquidity, parent holding company level of deployable assets, financial strength ratings, operating leverage, debt leverage, book value per share, and return on equity.

Summary of Results:

Consolidated net income available to common shareholders was \$2.75 billion in 2014 compared to \$2.26 billion in 2013 and \$2.31 billion in 2012. The increase in 2014 compared to 2013 was primarily due to lower loss on disposition charges related to the Lincoln Benefit Life Company ("LBL") sale recorded in Allstate Financial and lower loss on extinguishment of debt charges reported in Corporate and Other, partially offset by lower net income available to common shareholders from Property-Liability. The decrease in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily due to higher net income available to common shareholders from Property-Liability and the curtailment gain reported in Corporate and Other being more than offset by the estimated loss on disposition related to the pending LBL sale recorded in Allstate Financial and the loss on extinguishment of debt and benefit settlement charges reported in Corporate and Other. Net income available to common shareholders per diluted common share was \$6.27, \$4.81 and \$4.68 in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Allstate Protection had underwriting income of \$1.89 billion in 2014 compared to \$2.36 billion in 2013 and \$1.25 billion in 2012. The decrease in 2014 compared to 2013 was primarily due to decreases in underwriting income in homeowners, auto and other personal lines resulting from increased catastrophe losses. The increase in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily

due to increases in underwriting income in homeowners, other personal lines and auto resulting from decreased catastrophe losses. The Allstate Protection combined ratio was 93.5, 91.5 and 95.3 in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Underwriting income (loss), a measure not based on accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"), is defined in the Property-Liability Operations section of the MD&A.

Allstate Financial net income available to common shareholders was \$631 million in 2014 compared to \$95 million in 2013 and \$541 million in 2012. The increase in 2014 primarily relates to lower loss on disposition charges related to the LBL sale, partially offset by the reduction in business due to the sale of LBL on April 1,

Table of Contents

2014. The decrease in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily due to the estimated loss on disposition related to the pending LBL sale.

2014 HIGHLIGHTS

Consolidated net income available to common shareholders was \$2.75 billion in 2014 compared to \$2.26 billion in 2013. Net income available to common shareholders per diluted common share was \$6.27 in 2014 compared to \$4.81 in 2013. 2013 consolidated net income included the impact of an estimated loss on disposition on LBL of \$521 million, a postretirement benefits curtailment gain of \$118 million, a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$319 million, and benefit settlement charges of \$150 million due to the level of lump sum pension payments in 2013.

Property-Liability net income available to common shareholders was \$2.43 billion in 2014 compared to \$2.75 billion in 2013.

The Property-Liability combined ratio was 93.9 in 2014 compared to 92.0 in 2013.

Allstate Financial net income available to common shareholders was \$631 million in 2014 compared to \$95 million in 2013.

On April 1, 2014, we closed the sale of LBL's life insurance business generated through independent master brokerage agencies, and all of LBL's deferred fixed annuity and long-term care insurance business to Resolution Life Holdings, Inc.

Total revenues were \$35.24 billion in 2014 compared to \$34.51 billion in 2013.

Property-Liability premiums earned totaled \$28.93 billion in 2014, an increase of 4.7% from \$27.62 billion in 2013.

Investments totaled \$81.11 billion as of December 31, 2014, decreasing from \$81.16 billion as of December 31, 2013. Net investment income was \$3.46 billion in 2014, a decrease of 12.3% from \$3.94 billion in 2013.

Net realized capital gains were \$694 million in 2014 compared to \$594 million in 2013.

Book value per diluted common share (ratio of common shareholders' equity to total common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares outstanding) was \$48.24 as of December 31, 2014, an increase of 6.5% from \$45.31 as of December 31, 2013.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, return on the average of beginning and ending period common shareholders' equity of 13.3% increased by 2.3 points from 11.0% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013.

As of December 31, 2014, shareholders' equity was \$22.30 billion. This total included \$3.42 billion in deployable assets at the parent holding company level.

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME

(\$ in millions) Revenues		2014	2013	2012
Property-liability insurance premiums	\$	28,929 \$	27,618 \$	26,737
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges	Ψ	2,157	2,352	2,241
Net investment income		3,459	3,943	4,010
Realized capital gains and losses:		-,	- ,-	,
Total other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses		(242)	(207)	(239)
OTTI losses reclassified to (from) other comprehensive income		(3)	(8)	6
Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings		(245)	(215)	(233)
Sales and other realized capital gains and losses		939	809	560
Total realized capital gains and losses		694	594	327
Total revenues		35,239	34,507	33,315
Costs and expenses				
Property-liability insurance claims and claims expense		(19,428)	(17,911)	(18,484)
Life and annuity contract benefits		(1,765)	(1,917)	(1,818)
Interest credited to contractholder funds		(919)	(1,278)	(1,316)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs		(4,135)	(4,002)	(3,884)
Operating costs and expenses		(4,341)	(4,387)	(4,118)
Restructuring and related charges		(18)	(70)	(34)
Loss on extinguishment of debt		(1)	(491)	
Interest expense		(322)	(367)	(373)
Total costs and expenses		(30,929)	(30,423)	(30,027)
(Loss) gain on disposition of operations		(74)	(688)	18
Income tax expense		(1,386)	(1,116)	(1,000)
•			, , ,	
Net income		2,850	2,280	2,306
Preferred stock dividends		(104)	(17)	
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	2,746 \$	2,263 \$	2,306
Property-Liability	\$	2,427 \$	2,754 \$	1,968
Allstate Financial		631	95	541
Corporate and Other		(312)	(586)	(203)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	2,746 \$	2,263 \$	2,306

IMPACT OF LOW INTEREST RATE ENVIRONMENT

Long-term U.S. Treasury rates fell in 2014, and our current reinvestment yields are generally lower than the overall portfolio income yield, primarily for our investments in fixed income securities and commercial mortgage loans. At the January 2015 meeting, the Federal Open Market Committee reaffirmed its view that the current 0 to ¹/₄ percent target range for the federal funds rate remains appropriate. In determining how

long to maintain this target range, the Committee will assess progress both realized and expected toward its objectives of maximum employment and 2 percent inflation. Additionally, the Committee noted that it can be patient in beginning to normalize the stance of monetary policy. Many market participants anticipate that interest rates, particularly those at the shorter end of the term structure, will begin to rise but remain below historic levels in 2015. We also expect capital markets to experience periods of increased volatility in 2015.

Deferred annuity contracts with fixed and guaranteed crediting rates, or floors that limit crediting rate reductions, are adversely impacted by a prolonged low interest rate environment since we may not be able to reduce crediting rates sufficiently to maintain investment spreads. Financial results of long duration products that do not have stated crediting rate guarantees but for which underlying assets may have to be reinvested at interest rates that are lower than portfolio rates, such as structured settlements and term life insurance, may also be adversely impacted. Our investment strategy

31

Table of Contents

for structured settlements includes increasing investments in which we have ownership interests and a greater proportion of return is derived from idiosyncratic operating or market performance, including limited partnerships, equities and real estate. We stopped selling new fixed annuity products January 1, 2014.

The following table summarizes the weighted average guaranteed crediting rates and weighted average current crediting rates as of December 31, 2014 for certain fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life contracts where management has the ability to change the crediting rate, subject to a contractual minimum. Other products, including equity-indexed, variable and immediate annuities, equity-indexed and variable life, and institutional products totaling \$6.22 billion of contractholder funds, have been excluded from the analysis because management does not have the ability to change the crediting rate or the minimum crediting rate is not considered meaningful in this context.

(\$ in millions)	Weighted average guaranteed crediting	Weighted average current crediting	Contractholder		
(\$ in millions)	rates	rates	funds		
Annuities with annual crediting rate resets	3.03%	3.04% \$	6,136		
Annuities with multi-year rate guarantees (1):					
Resettable in next 12 months	1.24	3.90	690		
Resettable after 12 months	1.36	3.24	1,881		
Interest-sensitive life insurance	4.05	4.11	7,606		

(1)

These contracts include interest rate guarantee periods which are typically 5, 7 or 10 years.

Investing activity will continue to decrease our portfolio yield as long as market yields remain below the current portfolio yield. In the Allstate Financial segment, the portfolio yield has been less impacted by reinvestment in the current low interest rate environment, as much of the investment cash flows have been used to fund the managed reduction in spread-based liabilities. The declines in both invested assets and portfolio yield are expected to result in lower net investment income in future periods.

For the Allstate Financial Segment, we expect approximately 5.6% of the amortized cost of fixed income securities not subject to prepayment and approximately 6.5% of commercial mortgage loans to mature in 2015. Allstate Financial has \$24.84 billion of such fixed income securities and \$3.82 billion of such commercial mortgage loans as of December 31, 2014. Additionally, for asset-backed securities ("ABS"), residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") and commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") that have the potential for prepayment and are therefore not categorized by contractual maturity, we received periodic principal payments of \$667 million in 2014. To the extent portfolio cash flows are reinvested, the average pre-tax investment yield of 5.6% is expected to decline due to lower market yields.

For the Property-Liability segment, we expect approximately 6.9% of the amortized cost of fixed income securities not subject to prepayment to mature in 2015. Property-Liability has \$27.05 billion of such assets as of December 31, 2014. Additionally, for ABS, RMBS and CMBS securities that have the potential for prepayment and are therefore not categorized by contractual maturity, we received periodic principal payments of \$533 million in 2014. We shortened the maturity profile of the fixed income securities in this segment to make the portfolio less sensitive to a future rise in interest rates. This approach to reducing interest rate risk resulted in realized capital gains in 2013 and 2012, but contributed to lower portfolio yields as sales proceeds were invested at lower market yields. The average pre-tax investment yield of 3.6% may decline to the extent reinvestment is at lower market yields. Additionally, the portfolio yield will respond more quickly to changes in market interest rates as a result of its shorter maturity profile.

In order to mitigate the unfavorable impact that the current interest rate environment could have on investment results, we are:

Managing our exposure to interest rate risk by maintaining a shorter maturity profile in the Property-Liability portfolio which will also result in the yield responding more quickly to changes in market interest rates as a result of its shorter maturity profile.

Shifting the portfolio mix over time to have less reliance on investments whose returns come primarily from interest payments to investments in which we have ownership interests and a greater proportion of return is derived from idiosyncratic operating or market performance, including limited partnerships, equities and real estate.

Investing to the specific needs and characteristics of Allstate's businesses.

Table of Contents

We expect volatility in accumulated other comprehensive income resulting from changes in unrealized net capital gains and losses and unrecognized pension cost.

These topics are discussed in more detail in the respective sections of the MD&A.

APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to adopt accounting policies and make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements. The most critical estimates include those used in determining:

Fair value of financial assets

Impairment of fixed income and equity securities

Deferred policy acquisition costs amortization

Reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense estimation

Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits estimation

In making these determinations, management makes subjective and complex judgments that frequently require estimates about matters that are inherently uncertain. Many of these policies, estimates and related judgments are common in the insurance and financial services industries; others are specific to our businesses and operations. It is reasonably likely that changes in these estimates could occur from period to period and result in a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

A brief summary of each of these critical accounting estimates follows. For a more detailed discussion of the effect of these estimates on our consolidated financial statements, and the judgments and assumptions related to these estimates, see the referenced sections of this document. For a complete summary of our significant accounting policies, see the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Fair value of financial assets Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. We are responsible for the determination of fair value of financial assets and the supporting assumptions and methodologies. We use independent third-party valuation service providers, broker quotes and internal pricing methods to determine fair values. We obtain or calculate only one single quote or price for each financial instrument.

Valuation service providers typically obtain data about market transactions and other key valuation model inputs from multiple sources and, through the use of proprietary models, produce valuation information in the form of a single fair value for individual fixed income and other securities for which a fair value has been requested under the terms of our agreements. The inputs used by the valuation service providers include, but are not limited to, market prices from recently completed transactions and transactions of comparable securities, interest rate yield curves, credit spreads, liquidity spreads, currency rates, and other information, as applicable. Credit and liquidity spreads are typically implied from completed transactions and transactions of comparable securities. Valuation service providers also use proprietary discounted cash flow models that are widely accepted in the financial services industry and similar to those used by other market participants to value the same financial instruments. The valuation models take into account, among other things, market observable information as of the measurement date, as described above, as well as the specific attributes of the security being valued including its term, interest rate, credit rating, industry sector, and where applicable, collateral quality and other issue or issuer specific information. Executing valuation models effectively requires seasoned professional judgment and experience. For certain equity securities, valuation service providers provide market quotations for completed transactions on the measurement date. In cases where market transactions or other market observable data is limited, the extent to which judgment is applied varies inversely with the availability of market observable information.

For certain of our financial assets measured at fair value, where our valuation service providers cannot provide fair value determinations, we obtain a single non-binding price quote from a broker familiar with the security who, similar to our valuation service providers, may consider transactions or activity in similar securities among other information. The brokers providing price quotes are generally from the brokerage divisions of leading financial institutions with market making, underwriting and distribution expertise regarding the security subject to valuation.

The fair value of certain financial assets, including privately placed corporate fixed income securities and certain free-standing derivatives, for which our valuation service providers or brokers do not provide fair value determinations, is determined using valuation methods and models widely accepted in the financial services industry. Our internal pricing methods are primarily based on models using discounted cash flow

methodologies that develop a single best estimate of fair value. Our models generally incorporate inputs that we believe are representative of inputs other market

Table of Contents

participants would use to determine fair value of the same instruments, including yield curves, quoted market prices of comparable securities, published credit spreads, and other applicable market data as well as instrument-specific characteristics that include, but are not limited to, coupon rates, expected cash flows, sector of the issuer, and call provisions. Judgment is required in developing these fair values. As a result, the fair value of these financial assets may differ from the amount actually received to sell an asset in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Moreover, the use of different valuation assumptions may have a material effect on the financial assets' fair values.

For most of our financial assets measured at fair value, all significant inputs are based on or corroborated by market observable data and significant management judgment does not affect the periodic determination of fair value. The determination of fair value using discounted cash flow models involves management judgment when significant model inputs are not based on or corroborated by market observable data. However, where market observable data is available, it takes precedence, and as a result, no range of reasonably likely inputs exists from which the basis of a sensitivity analysis could be constructed.

We gain assurance that our financial assets are appropriately valued through the execution of various processes and controls designed to ensure the overall reasonableness and consistent application of valuation methodologies, including inputs and assumptions, and compliance with accounting standards. For fair values received from third parties or internally estimated, our processes and controls are designed to ensure that the valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistently applied, the inputs and assumptions are reasonable and consistent with the objective of determining fair value, and the fair values are accurately recorded. For example, on a continuing basis, we assess the reasonableness of individual fair values that have stale security prices or that exceed certain thresholds as compared to previous fair values received from valuation service providers or brokers or derived from internal models. We perform procedures to understand and assess the methodologies, processes and controls of valuation service providers. In addition, we may validate the reasonableness of fair values by comparing information obtained from valuation service providers or brokers to other third party valuation sources for selected securities. We perform ongoing price validation procedures such as back-testing of actual sales, which corroborate the various inputs used in internal models to market observable data. When fair value determinations are expected to be more variable, we validate them through reviews by members of management who have relevant expertise and who are independent of those charged with executing investment transactions.

We also perform an analysis to determine whether there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset when compared to normal market activity, and if so, whether transactions may not be orderly. Among the indicators we consider in determining whether a significant decrease in the volume and level of market activity for a specific asset has occurred include the level of new issuances in the primary market, trading volume in the secondary market, level of credit spreads over historical levels, bid-ask spread, and price consensuses among market participants and sources. If evidence indicates that prices are based on transactions that are not orderly, we place little, if any, weight on the transaction price and will estimate fair value using an internal model. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, we did not adjust fair values provided by our valuation service providers or brokers or substitute them with an internal model for such securities.

The following table identifies fixed income and equity securities and short-term investments as of December 31, 2014 by source of fair value determination:

	Fair	Percent
(\$ in millions)	value	to total
Fair value based on internal sources	\$ 3,922	5.7%
Fair value based on external sources (1)	65,162	94.3
Total	\$ 69,084	100.0%

(1)

Includes \$2.07 billion that are valued using broker quotes.

For additional detail on fair value measurements, see Note 6 of the consolidated financial statements.

Impairment of fixed income and equity securities For investments classified as available for sale, the difference between fair value and amortized cost for fixed income securities and cost for equity securities, net of certain other items and deferred income taxes (as disclosed in Note 5), is reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position and is not

reflected in the operating results of any period until reclassified to net income upon the consummation of a transaction with an unrelated third party or

Table of Contents

when a write-down is recorded due to an other-than-temporary decline in fair value. We have a comprehensive portfolio monitoring process to identify and evaluate each fixed income and equity security whose carrying value may be other-than-temporarily impaired.

For each fixed income security in an unrealized loss position, we assess whether management with the appropriate authority has made the decision to sell or whether it is more likely than not we will be required to sell the security before recovery of the amortized cost basis for reasons such as liquidity, contractual or regulatory purposes. If a security meets either of these criteria, the security's decline in fair value is considered other than temporary and is recorded in earnings.

If we have not made the decision to sell the fixed income security and it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell the fixed income security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, we evaluate whether we expect to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. We use our best estimate of future cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed income security, discounted at the security's original or current effective rate, as appropriate, to calculate a recovery value and determine whether a credit loss exists. The determination of cash flow estimates is inherently subjective and methodologies may vary depending on facts and circumstances specific to the security. All reasonably available information relevant to the collectability of the security, including past events, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable assumptions and forecasts, are considered when developing the estimate of cash flows expected to be collected. That information generally includes, but is not limited to, the remaining payment terms of the security, prepayment speeds, foreign exchange rates, the financial condition and future earnings potential of the issue or issuer, expected defaults, expected recoveries, the value of underlying collateral, vintage, geographic concentration, available reserves or escrows, current subordination levels, third party guarantees and other credit enhancements. Other information, such as industry analyst reports and forecasts, sector credit ratings, financial condition of the bond insurer for insured fixed income securities, and other market data relevant to the realizability of contractual cash flows, may also be considered. The estimated fair value of collateral will be used to estimate recovery value if we determine that the security is dependent on the liquidation of collateral for ultimate settlement. If the estimated recovery value is less than the amortized cost of the security, a credit loss exists and an other-than-temporary impairment for the difference between the estimated recovery value and amortized cost is recorded in earnings. The portion of the unrealized loss related to factors other than credit remains classified in accumulated other comprehensive income. If we determine that the fixed income security does not have sufficient cash flow or other information to estimate a recovery value for the security, we may conclude that the entire decline in fair value is deemed to be credit related and the loss is recorded in earnings.

There are a number of assumptions and estimates inherent in evaluating impairments of equity securities and determining if they are other than temporary, including: 1) our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in value; 2) the financial condition, near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer, including relevant industry specific market conditions and trends, geographic location and implications of rating agency actions and offering prices; 3) the specific reasons that a security is in an unrealized loss position, including overall market conditions which could affect liquidity; and 4) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost.

Once assumptions and estimates are made, any number of changes in facts and circumstances could cause us to subsequently determine that a fixed income or equity security is other-than-temporarily impaired, including: 1) general economic conditions that are worse than previously forecasted or that have a greater adverse effect on a particular issuer or industry sector than originally estimated; 2) changes in the facts and circumstances related to a particular issue or issuer's ability to meet all of its contractual obligations; and 3) changes in facts and circumstances that result in management's decision to sell or result in our assessment that it is more likely than not we will be required to sell before recovery of the amortized cost basis of a fixed income security or causes a change in our ability or intent to hold an equity security until it recovers in value. Changes in assumptions, facts and circumstances could result in additional charges to earnings in future periods to the extent that losses are realized. The charge to earnings, while potentially significant to net income, would not have a significant effect on shareholders' equity, since our securities are designated as available for sale and carried at fair value and as a result, any related unrealized loss, net of deferred income taxes and related DAC, deferred sales inducement costs and reserves for life-contingent contract benefits, would already be reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity.

The determination of the amount of other-than-temporary impairment is an inherently subjective process based on periodic evaluations of the factors described above. Such evaluations and assessments are revised as conditions change and new information becomes available. We update our evaluations regularly and reflect changes in other-than-temporary impairments in results of operations as such evaluations are revised. The use of different

Table of Contents

methodologies and assumptions in the determination of the amount of other-than-temporary impairments may have a material effect on the amounts presented within the consolidated financial statements.

For additional detail on investment impairments, see Note 5 of the consolidated financial statements.

Deferred policy acquisition costs amortization We incur significant costs in connection with acquiring insurance policies and investment contracts. In accordance with GAAP, costs that are related directly to the successful acquisition of new or renewal insurance policies and investment contracts are deferred and recorded as an asset on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position.

DAC related to property-liability contracts is amortized into income as premiums are earned, typically over periods of six or twelve months. The amortization methodology for DAC related to Allstate Financial policies and contracts includes significant assumptions and estimates.

DAC related to traditional life insurance is amortized over the premium paying period of the related policies in proportion to the estimated revenues on such business. Significant assumptions relating to estimated premiums, investment returns, as well as mortality, persistency and expenses to administer the business are established at the time the policy is issued and are generally not revised during the life of the policy. The assumptions for determining the timing and amount of DAC amortization are consistent with the assumptions used to calculate the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits. Any deviations from projected business in force resulting from actual policy terminations differing from expected levels and any estimated premium deficiencies may result in a change to the rate of amortization in the period such events occur. Generally, the amortization periods for these policies approximates the estimated lives of the policies. The recovery of DAC is dependent upon the future profitability of the business. We periodically review the adequacy of reserves and recoverability of DAC for these policies on an aggregate basis using actual experience. We aggregate all traditional life insurance products and immediate annuities with life contingencies in the analysis. In the event actual experience is significantly adverse compared to the original assumptions and a premium deficiency is determined to exist, any remaining unamortized DAC balance must be expensed to the extent not recoverable and a premium deficiency reserve may be required if the remaining DAC balance is insufficient to absorb the deficiency. In 2014, 2013 and 2012, our reviews concluded that no premium deficiency adjustments were necessary, primarily due to projected profit from traditional life insurance more than offsetting the projected losses in immediate annuities with life contingencies.

DAC related to interest-sensitive life, fixed annuities and other investment contracts is amortized in proportion to the incidence of the total present value of gross profits, which includes both actual historical gross profits ("AGP") and estimated future gross profits ("EGP") expected to be earned over the estimated lives of the contracts. The amortization is net of interest on the prior period DAC balance using rates established at the inception of the contracts. Actual amortization periods generally range from 15-30 years; however, incorporating estimates of the rate of customer surrenders, partial withdrawals and deaths generally results in the majority of the DAC being amortized during the surrender charge period, which is typically 10-20 years for interest-sensitive life and 5-10 years for fixed annuities. The cumulative DAC amortization is reestimated and adjusted by a cumulative charge or credit to income when there is a difference between the incidence of actual versus expected gross profits in a reporting period or when there is a change in total EGP.

AGP and EGP primarily consist of the following components: contract charges for the cost of insurance less mortality costs and other benefits (benefit margin); investment income and realized capital gains and losses less interest credited (investment margin); and surrender and other contract charges less maintenance expenses (expense margin). The principal assumptions for determining the amount of EGP are persistency, mortality, expenses, investment returns, including capital gains and losses on assets supporting contract liabilities, interest crediting rates to contractholders, and the effects of any hedges, and these assumptions are reasonably likely to have the greatest impact on the amount of DAC amortization. Changes in these assumptions can be offsetting and we are unable to reasonably predict their future movements or offsetting impacts over time.

Each reporting period, DAC amortization is recognized in proportion to AGP for that period adjusted for interest on the prior period DAC balance. This amortization process includes an assessment of AGP compared to EGP, the actual amount of business remaining in force and realized capital gains and losses on investments supporting the product liability. The impact of realized capital gains and losses on amortization of DAC depends upon which product liability is supported by the assets that give rise to the gain or loss. If the AGP is greater than EGP in the period, but the total EGP is unchanged, the amount of DAC amortization will generally increase, resulting in a current period decrease to earnings. The opposite result generally occurs when the AGP is less than the EGP in the period, but the total EGP is unchanged. However, when DAC amortization or a component of gross profits for a quarterly period is potentially negative (which

Table of Contents

would result in an increase of the DAC balance) as a result of negative AGP, the specific facts and circumstances surrounding the potential negative amortization are considered to determine whether it is appropriate for recognition in the consolidated financial statements. Negative amortization is only recorded when the increased DAC balance is determined to be recoverable based on facts and circumstances. Negative amortization was not recorded for certain fixed annuities during 2012 in which capital losses were realized on their related investment portfolio. For products whose supporting investments are exposed to capital losses in excess of our expectations which may cause periodic AGP to become temporarily negative, EGP and AGP utilized in DAC amortization may be modified to exclude the excess capital losses.

Annually, we review and update all assumptions underlying the projections of EGP, including persistency, mortality, expenses, investment returns, comprising investment income and realized capital gains and losses, interest crediting rates and the effect of any hedges. At each reporting period, we assess whether any revisions to assumptions used to determine DAC amortization are required. These reviews and updates may result in amortization acceleration or deceleration, which are referred to as "DAC unlocking". If the update of assumptions causes total EGP to increase, the rate of DAC amortization will generally decrease, resulting in a current period increase to earnings. A decrease to earnings generally occurs when the assumption update causes the total EGP to decrease.

The following table provides the effect on DAC amortization of changes in assumptions relating to the gross profit components of investment margin, benefit margin and expense margin during the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2	014	2013	2012
Investment margin	\$	11 \$	(17)	\$ 3
Benefit margin		35	15	33
Expense margin		(54)	25	(2)
Net (deceleration) acceleration	\$	(8) \$	23	\$ 34

In 2014, DAC amortization acceleration for changes in the investment margin component of EGP related to interest-sensitive life insurance and fixed annuities and was due to lower projected investment returns. The acceleration related to benefit margin primarily related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to an increase in projected mortality. The deceleration related to expense margin primarily related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to a decrease in projected expenses.

In 2013, DAC amortization deceleration for changes in the investment margin component of EGP primarily related to fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to increased projected investment margins. The acceleration related to benefit margin was primarily due to interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to an increase in projected mortality. The acceleration related to expense margin related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to an increase in projected expenses.

In 2012, DAC amortization acceleration for changes in the investment margin component of EGP primarily related to fixed annuities and was due to lower projected investment returns. The acceleration related to benefit margin was primarily due to increased projected mortality on variable life insurance, partially offset by increased projected persistency on interest-sensitive life insurance. The deceleration related to expense margin related to interest-sensitive life insurance and fixed annuities and was due to a decrease in projected expenses.

The following table displays the sensitivity of reasonably likely changes in assumptions included in the gross profit components of investment margin or benefit margin to amortization of the DAC balance as of December 31, 2014.

(\$ in millions)	Increase/(reduction) in DAC			
Increase in future investment margins of 25 basis points	\$	52		
Decrease in future investment margins of 25 basis points	\$	(57)		
Decrease in future life mortality by 1%	\$	13		
Increase in future life mortality by 1%	\$	(14)		

Any potential changes in assumptions discussed above are measured without consideration of correlation among assumptions. Therefore, it would be inappropriate to add them together in an attempt to estimate overall variability in amortization.

For additional detail related to DAC, see the Allstate Financial Segment section of this document.

Table of Contents

Reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense estimation Reserves are established to provide for the estimated costs of paying claims and claims expenses under insurance policies we have issued. Property-Liability underwriting results are significantly influenced by estimates of property-liability insurance claims and claims expense reserves. These reserves are an estimate of amounts necessary to settle all outstanding claims, including claims that have been incurred but not reported ("IBNR"), as of the financial statement date.

Characteristics of reserves Reserves are established independently of business segment management for each business segment and line of business based on estimates of the ultimate cost to settle claims, less losses that have been paid. The significant lines of business are auto, homeowners, and other personal lines for Allstate Protection, and asbestos, environmental, and other discontinued lines for Discontinued Lines and Coverages. Allstate Protection's claims are typically reported promptly with relatively little reporting lag between the date of occurrence and the date the loss is reported. Auto and homeowners liability losses generally take an average of about two years to settle, while auto physical damage, homeowners property and other personal lines have an average settlement time of less than one year. Discontinued Lines and Coverages involve long-tail losses, such as those related to asbestos and environmental claims, which often involve substantial reporting lags and extended times to settle.

Reserves are the difference between the estimated ultimate cost of losses incurred and the amount of paid losses as of the reporting date. Reserves are estimated for both reported and unreported claims, and include estimates of all expenses associated with processing and settling all incurred claims. We update most of our reserve estimates quarterly and as new information becomes available or as events emerge that may affect the resolution of unsettled claims. Changes in prior reserve estimates (reserve reestimates), which may be material, are determined by comparing updated estimates of ultimate losses to prior estimates, and the differences are recorded as property-liability insurance claims and claims expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the period such changes are determined. Estimating the ultimate cost of claims and claims expenses is an inherently uncertain and complex process involving a high degree of judgment and is subject to the evaluation of numerous variables.

The actuarial methods used to develop reserve estimates Reserve estimates are derived by using several different actuarial estimation methods that are variations on one primary actuarial technique. The actuarial technique is known as a "chain ladder" estimation process in which historical loss patterns are applied to actual paid losses and reported losses (paid losses plus individual case reserves established by claim adjusters) for an accident year or a report year to create an estimate of how losses are likely to develop over time. An accident year refers to classifying claims based on the year in which the claims occurred. A report year refers to classifying claims based on the year in which the claims are reported. Both classifications are used to prepare estimates of required reserves for payments to be made in the future. The key assumptions affecting our reserve estimates comprise data elements including claim counts, paid losses, case reserves, and development factors calculated with this data.

In the chain ladder estimation technique, a ratio (development factor) is calculated which compares current period results to results in the prior period for each accident year. A three-year or two-year average development factor, based on historical results, is usually multiplied by the current period experience to estimate the development of losses of each accident year into the next time period. The development factors for the future time periods for each accident year are compounded over the remaining future periods to calculate an estimate of ultimate losses for each accident year. The implicit assumption of this technique is that an average of historical development factors is predictive of future loss development, as the significant size of our experience database achieves a high degree of statistical credibility in actuarial projections of this type. The effects of inflation are implicitly considered in the reserving process, the implicit assumption being that a multi-year average development factor includes an adequate provision. Occasionally, unusual aberrations in loss patterns are caused by external and internal factors such as changes in claim reporting, settlement patterns, unusually large losses, process changes, legal or regulatory changes, and other influences. In these instances, analyses of alternate development factor selections are performed to evaluate the effect of these factors and actuarial judgment is applied to make appropriate development factor assumptions needed to develop a best estimate of ultimate losses.

How reserve estimates are established and updated Reserve estimates are developed at a very detailed level, and the results of these numerous micro-level best estimates are aggregated to form a consolidated reserve estimate. For example, over one thousand actuarial estimates of the types described above are prepared each quarter to estimate losses for each line of insurance, major components of losses (such as coverages and perils), major states or groups of states and for reported losses and IBNR. The actuarial methods described above are used to analyze the settlement patterns of claims by determining the development factors for specific data elements that are necessary components of a reserve estimation process. Development factors are calculated quarterly and periodically throughout the year for data elements such as claim counts reported and settled, paid losses, and paid losses combined with case reserves. The calculation of development factors from changes in these data elements also impacts claim severity trends, which is a common industry reference used to explain changes in reserve estimates. The historical development patterns for these data elements are used as the assumptions to calculate reserve estimates.

Table of Contents

Often, several different estimates are prepared for each detailed component, incorporating alternative analyses of changing claim settlement patterns and other influences on losses, from which we select our best estimate for each component, occasionally incorporating additional analyses and actuarial judgment, as described above. These micro-level estimates are not based on a single set of assumptions. Actuarial judgments that may be applied to these components of certain micro-level estimates generally do not have a material impact on the consolidated level of reserves. Moreover, this detailed micro-level process does not permit or result in a compilation of a company-wide roll up to generate a range of needed loss reserves that would be meaningful. Based on our review of these estimates, our best estimate of required reserves for each state/line/coverage component is recorded for each accident year, and the required reserves for each component are summed to create the reserve balance carried on our Consolidated Statements of Financial Position.

Reserves are reestimated quarterly and periodically throughout the year, by combining historical results with current actual results to calculate new development factors. This process incorporates the historic and latest actual trends, and other underlying changes in the data elements used to calculate reserve estimates. New development factors are likely to differ from previous development factors used in prior reserve estimates because actual results (claims reported or settled, losses paid, or changes to case reserves) occur differently than the implied assumptions contained in the previous development factor calculations. If claims reported, paid losses, or case reserve changes are greater or less than the levels estimated by previous development factors, reserve reestimates increase or decrease. When actual development of these data elements is different than the historical development pattern used in a prior period reserve estimate, a new reserve is determined. The difference between indicated reserves based on new reserve estimates and recorded reserves (the previous estimate) is the amount of reserve reestimate and is recognized as an increase or decrease in property-liability insurance claims and claims expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Total Property-Liability net reserve reestimates, after-tax, as a percent of net income available to common shareholders were favorable 2.0%, 3.5%, and 18.7% in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The 3-year average of net reserve reestimates as a percentage of total reserves was a favorable 1.7% for Property-Liability, a favorable 2.5% for Allstate Protection and an unfavorable 6.2% for Discontinued Lines and Coverages, each of these results being consistent within a reasonable actuarial tolerance for our respective businesses. A more detailed discussion of reserve reestimates is presented in the Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves section of this document.

The following table shows net claims and claims expense reserves by segment and line of business as of December 31:

(\$ in millions) Allstate Protection	2014	2	013	2012
Auto Homeowners	\$ 11,698 1,849	\$	11,616 S 1,821	\$ 11,383 2,008
Other lines	2,070		2,110	2,250
Total Allstate Protection Discontinued Lines and Coverages	15,617		15,547	15,641
Asbestos	1,014		1,017	1,026
Environmental	203		208	193
Other discontinued lines	395		421	418
Total Discontinued Lines and Coverages	1,612		1,646	1,637
Total Property-Liability	\$ 17,229	\$	17,193	17,278

Allstate Protection reserve estimates

Factors affecting reserve estimates Reserve estimates are developed based on the processes and historical development trends described above. These estimates are considered in conjunction with known facts and interpretations of circumstances and factors including our experience with similar cases, actual claims paid, historical trends involving claim payment patterns and pending levels of unpaid claims, loss management programs, product mix and contractual terms, changes in law and regulation, judicial decisions, and economic conditions. When we experience changes of the type previously mentioned, we may need to apply actuarial judgment in the determination and selection of development factors considered more reflective of the new trends, such as combining shorter or longer periods of historical results with current actual results to produce development factors based on two-year, three-year, or longer development periods to reestimate our reserves. For example, if a legal change is expected to have a significant impact on the development of claim severity for a coverage which is part of a particular line of insurance in a specific state,

Table of Contents

actuarial judgment is applied to determine appropriate development factors that will most accurately reflect the expected impact on that specific estimate. Another example would be when a change in economic conditions is expected to affect the cost of repairs to damaged autos or property for a particular line, coverage, or state, actuarial judgment is applied to determine appropriate development factors to use in the reserve estimate that will most accurately reflect the expected impacts on severity development.

As claims are reported, for certain liability claims of sufficient size and complexity, the field adjusting staff establishes case reserve estimates of ultimate cost, based on their assessment of facts and circumstances related to each individual claim. For other claims which occur in large volumes and settle in a relatively short time frame, it is not practical or efficient to set case reserves for each claim, and a statistical case reserve is set for these claims based on estimation techniques described above. In the normal course of business, we may also supplement our claims processes by utilizing third party adjusters, appraisers, engineers, inspectors, and other professionals and information sources to assess and settle catastrophe and non-catastrophe related claims.

Historically, the case reserves set by the field adjusting staff have not proven to be an entirely accurate estimate of the ultimate cost of claims. To provide for this, a development reserve is estimated using the processes described above, and allocated to pending claims as a supplement to case reserves. Typically, the case, including statistical case, and supplemental development reserves comprise about 90% of total reserves.

Another major component of reserves is incurred but not reported ("IBNR"). Typically, IBNR comprises about 10% of total reserves.

Generally, the initial reserves for a new accident year are established based on severity assumptions for different business segments, lines and coverages based on historical relationships to relevant inflation indicators, and reserves for prior accident years are statistically determined using processes described above. Changes in auto current year claim severity are generally influenced by inflation in the medical and auto repair sectors of the economy. We mitigate these effects through various loss management programs. Injury claims are affected largely by medical cost inflation while physical damage claims are affected largely by auto repair cost inflation and used car prices. For auto physical damage coverages, we monitor our rate of increase in average cost per claim against a weighted average of the Maintenance and Repair price index and the Parts and Equipment price index. We believe our claim settlement initiatives, such as improvements to the claim review and settlement process, the use of special investigative units to detect fraud and handle suspect claims, litigation management and defense strategies, as well as various other loss management initiatives underway, contribute to the mitigation of injury and physical damage severity trends.

Changes in homeowners current year claim severity are generally influenced by inflation in the cost of building materials, the cost of construction and property repair services, the cost of replacing home furnishings and other contents, the types of claims that qualify for coverage, deductibles and other economic and environmental factors. We employ various loss management programs to mitigate the effect of these factors.

As loss experience for the current year develops for each type of loss, it is monitored relative to initial assumptions until it is judged to have sufficient statistical credibility. From that point in time and forward, reserves are reestimated using statistical actuarial processes to reflect the impact actual loss trends have on development factors incorporated into the actuarial estimation processes. Statistical credibility is usually achieved by the end of the first calendar year; however, when trends for the current accident year exceed initial assumptions sooner, they are usually determined to be credible, and reserves are increased accordingly.

The very detailed processes for developing reserve estimates, and the lack of a need and existence of a common set of assumptions or development factors, limits aggregate reserve level testing for variability of data elements. However, by applying standard actuarial methods to consolidated historic accident year loss data for major loss types, comprising auto injury losses, auto physical damage losses and homeowner losses, we develop variability analyses consistent with the way we develop reserves by measuring the potential variability of development factors, as described in the section titled "Potential Reserve Estimate Variability" below.

Causes of reserve estimate uncertainty Since reserves are estimates of unpaid portions of claims and claims expenses that have occurred, including IBNR losses, the establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophe losses, requires regular reevaluation and refinement of estimates to determine our ultimate loss estimate.

At each reporting date, the highest degree of uncertainty in estimates of losses arises from claims remaining to be settled for the current accident year and the most recent preceding accident year. The greatest degree of uncertainty exists in the current accident year because the current accident year contains the greatest proportion of losses that have not been reported or settled but must be estimated as of the current reporting date. Most of these losses relate to

Table of Contents

damaged property such as automobiles and homes, and medical care for injuries from accidents. During the first year after the end of an accident year, a large portion of the total losses for that accident year are settled. When accident year losses paid through the end of the first year following the initial accident year are incorporated into updated actuarial estimates, the trends inherent in the settlement of claims emerge more clearly. Consequently, this is the point in time at which we tend to make our largest reestimates of losses for an accident year. After the second year, the losses that we pay for an accident year typically relate to claims that are more difficult to settle, such as those involving serious injuries or litigation. Private passenger auto insurance provides a good illustration of the uncertainty of future loss estimates: our typical annual percentage payout of reserves for an accident year is approximately 45% in the first year after the end of the accident year, 20% in the second year, 15% in the third year, 10% in the fourth year, and the remaining 10% thereafter.

Reserves for catastrophe losses Property-Liability claims and claims expense reserves also include reserves for catastrophe losses. Catastrophe losses are an inherent risk of the property-liability insurance industry that have contributed, and will continue to contribute, to potentially material year-to-year fluctuations in our results of operations and financial position. We define a "catastrophe" as an event that produces pre-tax losses before reinsurance in excess of \$1 million and involves multiple first party policyholders, or an event that produces a number of claims in excess of a preset, per-event threshold of average claims in a specific area, occurring within a certain amount of time following the event. Catastrophes are caused by various natural events including high winds, winter storms, tornadoes, hailstorms, wildfires, tropical storms, hurricanes, earthquakes and volcanoes. We are also exposed to man-made catastrophic events, such as certain types of terrorism or industrial accidents. The nature and level of catastrophes in any period cannot be predicted.

The estimation of claims and claims expense reserves for catastrophe losses also comprises estimates of losses from reported claims and IBNR, primarily for damage to property. In general, our estimates for catastrophe reserves are based on claim adjuster inspections and the application of historical loss development factors as described above. However, depending on the nature of the catastrophe, the estimation process can be further complicated. For example, for hurricanes, complications could include the inability of insureds to promptly report losses, limitations placed on claims adjusting staff affecting their ability to inspect losses, determining whether losses are covered by our homeowners policy (generally for damage caused by wind or wind driven rain) or specifically excluded coverage caused by flood, estimating additional living expenses, and assessing the impact of demand surge, exposure to mold damage, and the effects of numerous other considerations, including the timing of a catastrophe in relation to other events, such as at or near the end of a financial reporting period, which can affect the availability of information needed to estimate reserves for that reporting period. In these situations, we may need to adapt our practices to accommodate these circumstances in order to determine a best estimate of our losses from a catastrophe. As an example, in 2005 to complete an estimate for certain areas affected by Hurricane Katrina and not yet inspected by our claims adjusting staff, or where we believed our historical loss development factors were not predictive, we relied on analysis of actual claim notices received compared to total PIF, as well as visual, governmental and third party information, including aerial photos, area observations, and data on wind speed and flood depth to the extent available.

Potential reserve estimate variability The aggregation of numerous micro-level estimates for each business segment, line of insurance, major components of losses (such as coverages and perils), and major states or groups of states for reported losses and IBNR forms the reserve liability recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. Because of this detailed approach to developing our reserve estimates, there is not a single set of assumptions that determine our reserve estimates at the consolidated level. Given the numerous micro-level estimates for reported losses and IBNR, management does not believe the processes that we follow will produce a statistically credible or reliable actuarial reserve range that would be meaningful. Reserve estimates, by their very nature, are very complex to determine and subject to significant judgment, and do not represent an exact determination for each outstanding claim. Accordingly, as actual claims, paid losses, and/or case reserve results emerge, our estimate of the ultimate cost to settle will be different than previously estimated.

To develop a statistical indication of potential reserve variability within reasonably likely possible outcomes, an actuarial technique (stochastic modeling) is applied to the countrywide consolidated data elements for paid losses and paid losses combined with case reserves separately for injury losses, auto physical damage losses, and homeowners losses excluding catastrophe losses. Based on the combined historical variability of the development factors calculated for these data elements, an estimate of the standard error or standard deviation around these reserve estimates is calculated within each accident year for the last twenty years for each type of loss. The variability of these reserve estimates within one standard deviation of the mean (a measure of frequency of dispersion often viewed to be an acceptable level of accuracy) is believed by management to represent a reasonable and statistically probable measure of potential variability. Based on our products and coverages, historical experience, the statistical credibility of our

Table of Contents

extensive data and stochastic modeling of actuarial chain ladder methodologies used to develop reserve estimates, we estimate that the potential variability of our Allstate Protection reserves, excluding reserves for catastrophe losses, within a reasonable probability of other possible outcomes, may be approximately plus or minus 4%, or plus or minus \$500 million in net income available to common shareholders. A lower level of variability exists for auto injury losses, which comprise approximately 80% of reserves, due to their relatively stable development patterns over a longer duration of time required to settle claims. Other types of losses, such as auto physical damage, homeowners losses and other personal lines losses, which comprise about 20% of reserves, tend to have greater variability but are settled in a much shorter period of time. Although this evaluation reflects most reasonably likely outcomes, it is possible the final outcome may fall below or above these amounts. Historical variability of reserve estimates is reported in the Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves section of this document.

Reserves for Michigan and New Jersey unlimited personal injury protection Property-Liability claims and claims expense reserves include reserves for Michigan unlimited personal injury protection ("PIP") which is a mandatory coverage that provides unlimited personal injury protection to covered insureds involved in certain auto and motorcycle accidents. The administration of this program is through a private, non-profit association created by the state of Michigan, the Michigan Catastrophic Claim Association ("MCCA"). Due to increasing costs of providing healthcare related to serious injuries and advances in medical care extending the duration of treatment, the estimation processes have been enhanced and assumptions for this reserve balance have been contemporized to the current validated conditions.

The process that resulted in an increase in MCCA covered losses involved a number of activities that occurred over multiple years and included the comprehensive review and interpretation of MCCA actuarial reports, other MCCA members' reports and our PIP loss trends which have increased in severity over time. To address this exposure, we refined our ultimate claim reserve estimation techniques in 2011 through 2014, including relying more on paid loss development methods and increasing our view of future claim development and longevity of claimants, as a result of conducting comprehensive claim file reviews to develop case reserve type estimates of specific claims and other estimation refinements. In 2014 we completed the multi-year comprehensive review and incorporated the findings into our reserve estimation factors. We report our paid and unpaid claims and case reserves, which include our best estimate of the ultimate claim cost, excluding IBNR to the MCCA based on their requirements. The MCCA does not provide participating companies with its estimate of a company's claim costs.

We provide similar PIP coverage in New Jersey for auto policies issued or renewed in New Jersey prior to 1991 that is administered by the New Jersey Unsatisfied Claim and Judgment Fund ("NJUCJF"). In 2013, we adopted similar actuarial estimating techniques as for the MCCA exposures to estimate loss reserves for unlimited PIP coverage for policies covered by the NJUCJF. The NJUCJF was merged into the New Jersey Property Liability Guaranty Association who collects the assessments. Upon completion of our comprehensive review in 2014, we updated our reserve estimation techniques and factors, similar to the processes followed to develop the MCCA reserves, which resulted in an increase in reserves for unlimited PIP coverage for policies covered by the NJUCJF.

Reserve estimates by their nature are very complex to determine and subject to significant judgments, and do not represent an exact determination for each outstanding claim. As actual claims, paid losses and/or case reserve results emerge, our estimate of the ultimate cost to settle may be materially greater or less than previously estimated amounts.

Adequacy of reserve estimates We believe our net claims and claims expense reserves are appropriately established based on available methodologies, facts, technology, laws and regulations. We calculate and record a single best reserve estimate, in conformance with generally accepted actuarial standards, for each line of insurance, its components (coverages and perils) and state, for reported losses and for IBNR losses, and as a result we believe that no other estimate is better than our recorded amount. Due to the uncertainties involved, the ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts, which are based on our best estimates.

Discontinued Lines and Coverages reserve estimates

Characteristics of Discontinued Lines exposure Our exposure to asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines claims arises principally from assumed reinsurance coverage written during the 1960s through the mid-1980s, including reinsurance on primary insurance written on large U.S. companies, and from direct excess insurance written from 1972 through 1985, including substantial excess general liability coverages on large U.S. companies. Additional exposure stems from direct primary commercial insurance written during the 1960s through the mid-1980s. Asbestos claims relate primarily to bodily injuries asserted by people who were exposed to asbestos or products containing asbestos. Environmental claims relate primarily to pollution and related clean-up costs. Other discontinued lines exposures primarily relate to general liability and product liability mass tort claims, such as those for medical devices

Table of Contents

and other products, workers' compensation claims and claims for various other coverage exposures other than asbestos and environmental.

In 1986, the general liability policy form used by us and others in the property-liability industry was amended to introduce an "absolute pollution exclusion," which excluded coverage for environmental damage claims, and to add an asbestos exclusion. Most general liability policies issued prior to 1987 contain annual aggregate limits for product liability coverage. General liability policies issued in 1987 and thereafter contain annual aggregate limits for product liability coverage and annual aggregate limits for all coverages. Our experience to date is that these policy form changes have limited the extent of our exposure to environmental and asbestos claim risks.

Our exposure to liability for asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines losses manifests differently depending on whether it arises from assumed reinsurance coverage, direct excess insurance or direct primary commercial insurance. The direct insurance coverage we provided that covered asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines was substantially "excess" in nature.

Direct excess insurance and reinsurance involve coverage written by us for specific layers of protection above retentions and other insurance plans. The nature of excess coverage and reinsurance provided to other insurers limits our exposure to loss to specific layers of protection in excess of policyholder retention on primary insurance plans. Our exposure is further limited by the significant reinsurance that we had purchased on our direct excess business.

Our assumed reinsurance business involved writing generally small participations in other insurers' reinsurance programs. The reinsured losses in which we participate may be a proportion of all eligible losses or eligible losses in excess of defined retentions. The majority of our assumed reinsurance exposure, approximately 85%, is for excess of loss coverage, while the remaining 15% is for pro-rata coverage.

Our direct primary commercial insurance business did not include coverage to large asbestos manufacturers. This business comprises a cross section of policyholders engaged in many diverse business sectors located throughout the country.

How reserve estimates are established and updated We conduct an annual review in the third quarter to evaluate and establish asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines reserves. Changes to reserves are recorded in the reporting period in which they are determined. Using established industry and actuarial best practices and assuming no change in the regulatory or economic environment, this detailed and comprehensive methodology determines asbestos reserves based on assessments of the characteristics of exposure (i.e. claim activity, potential liability, jurisdiction, products versus non-products exposure) presented by individual policyholders, and determines environmental reserves based on assessments of the characteristics of exposure (i.e. environmental damages, respective shares of liability of potentially responsible parties, appropriateness and cost of remediation) to pollution and related clean-up costs. The number and cost of these claims is affected by intense advertising by trial lawyers seeking asbestos plaintiffs, and entities with asbestos exposure seeking bankruptcy protection as a result of asbestos liabilities, initially causing a delay in the reporting of claims, often followed by an acceleration and an increase in claims and claims expenses as settlements occur.

After evaluating our insureds' probable liabilities for asbestos and/or environmental claims, we evaluate our insureds' coverage programs for such claims. We consider our insureds' total available insurance coverage, including the coverage we issued. We also consider relevant judicial interpretations of policy language and applicable coverage defenses or determinations, if any.

Evaluation of both the insureds' estimated liabilities and our exposure to the insureds depends heavily on an analysis of the relevant legal issues and litigation environment. This analysis is conducted by our specialized claims adjusting staff and legal counsel. Based on these evaluations, case reserves are established by claims adjusting staff and actuarial analysis is employed to develop an IBNR reserve, which includes estimated potential reserve development and claims that have occurred but have not been reported. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, IBNR was 56.9% and 55.4%, respectively, of combined net asbestos and environmental reserves.

For both asbestos and environmental reserves, we also evaluate our historical direct net loss and expense paid and incurred experience to assess any emerging trends, fluctuations or characteristics suggested by the aggregate paid and incurred activity.

Table of Contents

Other Discontinued Lines and Coverages The following table shows reserves for other discontinued lines which provide for remaining loss and loss expense liabilities related to business no longer written by us, other than asbestos and environmental, as of December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Other mass torts	\$ 167	\$ 183	\$ 166
Workers' compensation	94	105	112
Commercial and other	134	133	140
Other discontinued lines	\$ 395	\$ 421	\$ 418

Other mass torts describes direct excess and reinsurance general liability coverage provided for cumulative injury losses other than asbestos and environmental. Workers' compensation and commercial and other include run-off from discontinued direct primary, direct excess and reinsurance commercial insurance operations of various coverage exposures other than asbestos and environmental. Reserves are based on considerations similar to those described above, as they relate to the characteristics of specific individual coverage exposures.

Potential reserve estimate variability Establishing Discontinued Lines and Coverages net loss reserves for asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines claims is subject to uncertainties that are much greater than those presented by other types of claims. Among the complications are lack of historical data, long reporting delays, uncertainty as to the number and identity of insureds with potential exposure and unresolved legal issues regarding policy coverage; unresolved legal issues regarding the determination, availability and timing of exhaustion of policy limits; plaintiffs' evolving and expanding theories of liability; availability and collectability of recoveries from reinsurance; retrospectively determined premiums and other contractual agreements; estimates of the extent and timing of any contractual liability; the impact of bankruptcy protection sought by various asbestos producers and other asbestos defendants; and other uncertainties. There are also complex legal issues concerning the interpretation of various insurance policy provisions and whether those losses are covered, or were ever intended to be covered, and could be recoverable through retrospectively determined premium, reinsurance or other contractual agreements. Courts have reached different and sometimes inconsistent conclusions as to when losses are deemed to have occurred and which policies provide coverage; what types of losses are covered; whether there is an insurer obligation to defend; how policy limits are determined; how policy exclusions and conditions are applied and interpreted; and whether clean-up costs represent insured property damage. Our reserves for asbestos and environmental exposures could be affected by tort reform, class action litigation, and other potential legislation and judicial decisions. Environmental exposures could also be affected by a change in the existing federal Superfund law and similar state statutes. There can be no assurance that any reform legislation will be enacted or that any such legislation will provide for a fair, effective and cost-efficient system for settlement of asbestos or environmental claims. We believe these issues are not likely to be resolved in the near future, and the ultimate costs may vary materially from the amounts currently recorded resulting in material changes in loss reserves. Historical variability of reserve estimates is demonstrated in the Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves section of this document.

Adequacy of reserve estimates Management believes its net loss reserves for environmental, asbestos and other discontinued lines exposures are appropriately established based on available facts, technology, laws, regulations, and assessments of other pertinent factors and characteristics of exposure (i.e. claim activity, potential liability, jurisdiction, products versus non-products exposure) presented by individual policyholders, assuming no change in the legal, legislative or economic environment. Due to the uncertainties and factors described above, management believes it is not practicable to develop a meaningful range for any such additional net loss reserves that may be required.

Further discussion of reserve estimates For further discussion of these estimates and quantification of the impact of reserve estimates, reserve reestimates and assumptions, see Notes 8 and 14 to the consolidated financial statements and the Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves section of this document.

Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits estimation Due to the long term nature of traditional life insurance, life-contingent immediate annuities and voluntary accident and health insurance products, benefits are payable over many years; accordingly, the reserves are calculated as the present value of future expected benefits to be paid, reduced by the present value of future expected net premiums. Long-term actuarial assumptions of future investment yields, mortality, morbidity, policy terminations and expenses are used when establishing the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits payable under these insurance policies. These assumptions, which for traditional life insurance are applied using the net level premium method, include provisions for adverse deviation and generally vary by characteristics such as type of coverage, year of issue and policy duration. Future investment yield assumptions are determined based upon prevailing investment yields as well as estimated reinvestment yields. Mortality, morbidity and

Table of Contents

policy termination assumptions are based on our experience and industry experience. Expense assumptions include the estimated effects of inflation and expenses to be incurred beyond the premium-paying period. These assumptions are established at the time the policy is issued, are consistent with assumptions for determining DAC amortization for these policies, and are generally not changed during the policy coverage period. However, if actual experience emerges in a manner that is significantly adverse relative to the original assumptions, adjustments to DAC or reserves may be required resulting in a charge to earnings which could have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition. We periodically review the adequacy of reserves and recoverability of DAC for these policies on an aggregate basis using actual experience. In the event actual experience is significantly adverse compared to the original assumptions and a premium deficiency is determined to exist, any remaining unamortized DAC balance must be expensed to the extent not recoverable and the establishment of a premium deficiency reserve may be required. In 2014, 2013 and 2012, our reviews concluded that no premium deficiency adjustments were necessary, primarily due to projected profit from traditional life insurance more than offsetting the projected losses in immediate annuities with life contingencies. We will continue to monitor the experience of our traditional life insurance and immediate annuities. We anticipate that mortality, investment and reinvestment yields, and policy terminations are the factors that would be most likely to require premium deficiency adjustments to these reserves or related DAC.

For further detail on the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits, see Note 9 of the consolidated financial statements.

PROPERTY-LIABILITY 2014 HIGHLIGHTS

Property-Liability net income available to common shareholders was \$2.43 billion in 2014 compared to \$2.75 billion in 2013.

Property-Liability premiums written totaled \$29.61 billion in 2014, an increase of 5.1% from \$28.16 billion in 2013.

The Property-Liability loss ratio was 67.2 in 2014 compared to 64.9 in 2013.

Catastrophe losses were \$1.99 billion in 2014 compared to \$1.25 billion in 2013.

Property-Liability prior year reserve reestimates totaled \$84 million favorable in 2014 compared to \$121 million favorable in 2013.

Property-Liability underwriting income was \$1.77 billion in 2014 compared to \$2.22 billion in 2013. Underwriting income, a measure not based on GAAP, is defined below.

Property-Liability investments were \$39.08 billion as of December 31, 2014, a decrease of 1.4% from \$39.64 billion as of December 31, 2013. Net investment income was \$1.30 billion in 2014, a decrease of 5.4% from \$1.38 billion in 2013.

Net realized capital gains were \$549 million in 2014 compared to \$519 million in 2013.

PROPERTY-LIABILITY OPERATIONS

Overview Our Property-Liability operations consist of two reporting segments: Allstate Protection and Discontinued Lines and Coverages. Allstate Protection comprises three brands where we accept underwriting risk: Allstate, Esurance and Encompass. Allstate Protection is principally engaged in the sale of personal property and casualty insurance, primarily private passenger auto and homeowners insurance, to individuals in the United States and Canada. Discontinued Lines and Coverages includes results from property-liability insurance coverage that we no longer write and results for certain commercial and other businesses in run-off. These segments are consistent with the groupings of financial information that management uses to evaluate performance and to determine the allocation of resources.

Underwriting income, a measure that is not based on GAAP and is reconciled to net income available to common shareholders below, is calculated as premiums earned, less claims and claims expense ("losses"), amortization of DAC, operating costs and expenses and restructuring and related charges, as determined using GAAP. We use this measure in our evaluation of results of operations to analyze the profitability of the Property-Liability insurance operations separately from investment results. It is also an integral component of incentive compensation. It is useful for investors to evaluate the components of income separately and in the aggregate when reviewing performance. Net income available to common shareholders is the GAAP measure most directly comparable to underwriting income. Underwriting income should not be considered as a substitute for net income available to common shareholders and does not reflect the overall profitability of the business.

The table below includes GAAP operating ratios we use to measure our profitability. We believe that they enhance an investor's understanding of our profitability. They are calculated as follows:

Claims and claims expense ("loss") ratio the ratio of claims and claims expense to premiums earned. Loss ratios include the impact of catastrophe losses.

Table of Contents

Expense ratio the ratio of amortization of DAC, operating costs and expenses, and restructuring and related charges to premiums earned.

Combined ratio the ratio of claims and claims expense, amortization of DAC, operating costs and expenses, and restructuring and related charges to premiums earned. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss ratio and the expense ratio. The difference between 100% and the combined ratio represents underwriting income as a percentage of premiums earned, or underwriting margin.

We have also calculated the following impacts of specific items on the GAAP operating ratios because of the volatility of these items between fiscal periods.

Effect of catastrophe losses on combined ratio the percentage of catastrophe losses included in claims and claims expense to premiums earned. This ratio includes prior year reserve reestimates of catastrophe losses.

Effect of prior year reserve reestimates on combined ratio the percentage of prior year reserve reestimates included in claims and claims expense to premiums earned. This ratio includes prior year reserve reestimates of catastrophe losses.

Effect of amortization of purchased intangible assets on combined and expense ratio the percentage of amortization of purchased intangible assets to premiums earned.

Effect of restructuring and related charges on combined ratio the percentage of restructuring and related charges to premiums earned.

Effect of Discontinued Lines and Coverages on combined ratio the ratio of claims and claims expense and operating costs and expenses in the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment to Property-Liability premiums earned. The sum of the effect of Discontinued Lines and Coverages on the combined ratio and the Allstate Protection combined ratio is equal to the Property-Liability combined ratio.

Table of Contents

Summarized financial data, a reconciliation of underwriting income to net income available to common shareholders, and GAAP operating ratios for our Property-Liability operations are presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions, except ratios) Premiums written	\$ 2014 29,614	\$ 2013 28,164	\$ 2012 27,027
Revenues Premiums earned Net investment income Realized capital gains and losses	\$ 28,929 1,301 549	\$ 27,618 1,375 519	\$ 26,737 1,326 335
Total revenues	30,779	29,512	28,398
Costs and expenses Claims and claims expense Amortization of DAC Operating costs and expenses Restructuring and related charges Total costs and expenses Gain (loss) on disposition of operations Income tax expense	(19,428) (3,875) (3,838) (16) (27,157) 16 (1,211)	(17,911) (3,674) (3,752) (63) (25,400) (1) (1,357)	(18,484) (3,483) (3,536) (34) (25,537)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 2,427	\$	\$ 1,968
Underwriting income Net investment income Income tax expense on operations Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax Gain (loss) on disposition of operations, after-tax Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 1,772 1,301 (1,040) 357 37	\$ 2,218 1,375 (1,177) 339 (1) 2,754	\$ 1,200 1,326 (779) 221
Catastrophe losses (1)	\$ 1,993	\$ 1,251	\$ 2,345
GAAP operating ratios Claims and claims expense ratio Expense ratio	67.2 26.7	64.9 27.1	69.1 26.4
Combined ratio	93.9	92.0	95.5
Effect of catastrophe losses on combined ratio (1)	6.9	4.5	8.8

Effect of prior year reserve reestimates on combined ratio (1)	(0.3)	(0.4)	(2.5)
Effect of amortization of purchased intangible assets on combined ratio	0.2	0.3	0.5
Effect of restructuring and related charges on combined ratio	0.1	0.2	0.1
Effect of Discontinued Lines and Coverages on combined ratio	0.4	0.5	0.2

(1)
Prior year reserve reestimates included in catastrophe losses totaled \$43 million unfavorable in 2014, \$88 million favorable in 2013 and \$410 million favorable in 2012.

Table of Contents

ALLSTATE PROTECTION SEGMENT

Overview and strategy The Allstate Protection segment primarily sells private passenger auto and homeowners insurance to individuals through agencies and directly through contact centers and the internet. These products are marketed under the Allstate®, Esurance® and Encompass® brand names.

Our strategy is to position our products and distribution systems to meet the changing needs of the customer in managing the risks they face. This includes customers who want local advice and assistance and those who are self-directed. In addition, there are customers who are brand-sensitive and those who are brand-neutral. Our strategy is to serve all four of these consumer segments with unique products and in innovative ways while leveraging our claims, pricing and operational capabilities. When we do not offer a product our customers need, we may make available non-proprietary products that meet their needs.

We utilize specific customer value propositions for each brand to improve our competitive position and performance. Over time, delivering on these customer value propositions may include investments in resources and require significant changes to our products, service, capabilities and processes.

Our strategy for the Allstate brand focuses on customers who prefer local personal advice and service and are brand-sensitive. Our customer-focused strategy for the Allstate brand aligns targeted marketing, product innovation, distribution effectiveness, and pricing toward acquiring and retaining an increased share of our target customers. This refers to consumers who want to purchase multiple products from one insurance provider including auto, homeowners and financial products, who potentially present more favorable prospects for profitability over the course of their relationships with us.

The Allstate brand utilizes marketing delivered to target customers to promote our strategic priorities, with messaging that communicates the value of our "Good Hands®", the importance of having proper coverage by highlighting our comprehensive product and coverage options, and the ease of doing business with Allstate and Allstate agencies.

To better serve customers who prefer local and personalized advice, we are undergoing a focused effort to position agents, licensed sales professionals and financial specialists to serve customers as trusted advisors. This means they know customers and understand the unique needs of their households, help them assess the potential risks they face and provide personalized guidance on how to protect what matters most to them. To ensure agencies have the resources, capacity and support needed to serve customers at this level, we have strategic efforts underway to enhance agency capabilities while simplifying and automating service processes to enable agencies to focus more time in an advisory role and provide appropriate product offerings.

The Allstate brand differentiates itself from competitors by offering a comprehensive range of innovative product options and features through a network of agencies that provide local advice and service. Product features include Allstate Your Choice Auto® with options such as accident forgiveness, safe driving deductible rewards and a safe driving bonus, and Allstate House and Home® that provides options of coverage for roof damage including graduated coverage and pricing based on roof type and age. In addition, we offer a Claim Satisfaction Guaranteesm that promises a return of premium to Allstate brand auto insurance customers dissatisfied with their claims experience. Our Drivewise® program, available in 46 states and the District of Columbia as of December 31, 2014, uses an in-car device or a mobile application to capture driving behaviors and reward customers for driving safely. We are also testing additional features which extend the benefits of being connected beyond auto insurance pricing. The recently introduced Star Driver® program encourages an ongoing conversation about safe driving through an easy-to-use application to develop teens' confidence behind the wheel. We will continue to focus on developing and introducing products and services that benefit today's consumers and further differentiate Allstate and enhance the customer experience. We plan to deepen customer relationships through value-added customer interactions and expanding our presence in households with multiple products by providing financial protection for customer needs. In certain areas with higher risk of catastrophes, we offer a homeowners product from North Light Specialty Insurance Company ("North Light"), our excess and surplus lines carrier. When an Allstate product is not available, we may make available non-proprietary products for customers through brokering arrangements. For example, in hurricane exposed areas, Allstate agencies sell non-proprietary property insurance products to customers who prefer to use a single agent

We are undergoing a focused effort to enhance our effectiveness and efficiency by implementing processes and standards to elevate the level and consistency of our customer experience. We continue to enhance technology to improve customer service, facilitate the introduction of new products and services and reduce infrastructure costs related to supporting agencies and handling claims. These actions and others are designed to optimize the effectiveness of our distribution and service channels by increasing the productivity of the Allstate brand's exclusive agencies.

Table of Contents

Other personal lines sold under the Allstate brand include renter, condominium, landlord, boat, umbrella and manufactured home insurance policies. Commercial lines include insurance products for small business owners. Other business lines include Allstate Roadside Services that provides roadside assistance products, including Good Hands Roadside®; Allstate Dealer Services that provides service contracts and other products sold in conjunction with auto lending and vehicle sales transactions; and Ivantage that is a general agency for Allstate exclusive agencies.

Our strategy for the Esurance brand focuses on self-directed consumers. To best serve these customers, Esurance develops its technology, website and mobile capabilities to continuously improve its hassle-free purchase and claims experience and offer innovative product options and features. Esurance continues to develop additional products to complement its auto line of business and provide a more comprehensive solution to its customers. Esurance also continues to invest in geographic expansion of its products. Esurance expanded its renter product in 2014 from 16 to 19 states, motorcycle from 6 to 11 states, homeowners from 3 to 14 states and auto from 41 to 43 states. Esurance continues to focus on increasing its preferred driver mix, while raising advertising expenditures and marketing effectiveness to support growth. Esurance's DriveSense® program, available in 28 states as of December 31, 2014, enables participating customers to be eligible for discounts based on driving performance as measured by a device installed in the vehicle. Esurance's DriveSafe® program is designed to help parents coach teens on safe driving by providing customizable driving statistics and the ability to limit cell phone use while the car is in motion, all controlled by a device installed in the vehicle.

Our strategy for the Encompass brand centers around a highly differentiated offering which simplifies the insurance experience by packaging a product with broader coverage and higher limits into a single annual household ("package") policy with one premium, one bill, one property deductible, one renewal date and one advisor—an independent insurance agent. It especially appeals to the approximately 35 million mass affluent households in the U.S., with their higher average premiums and preference for professional advice regarding coverage needs and risk solutions. Package policies represent over 80% of the Encompass portfolio of business, with concentrations in suburban and urban areas throughout the country. Package policies currently are not offered in Massachusetts, North Carolina and Texas. In pursuit of this strategy and to achieve its financial objectives, Encompass is seeking to diversify through new business writings in states where the risk return opportunities meet its requirements, while aggressively executing pricing, underwriting, and other actions to manage risk and ensure adequate profitability.

Answer Financial, an independent personal lines insurance agency, serves self-directed, brand-neutral consumers who want a choice between insurance carriers and offers comparison quotes for auto and homeowners insurance from approximately 25 insurance companies through its website and over the phone. It receives commissions for this service.

Our pricing and underwriting strategies and decisions for all of our brands are primarily designed to achieve appropriate returns along with enhancing our competitive position. Our sophisticated pricing methodology allows us to attract and retain multiple risk segments. A combination of underwriting information, pricing and discounts are used to achieve a more competitive position. Our pricing uses a number of risk evaluation factors including insurance scoring, to the extent permissible by applicable law, based on information that is obtained from credit reports, and other factors. Our pricing strategy involves marketplace pricing and underwriting decisions that are based on these risk evaluation models and an evaluation of competitors.

We continue to manage our property catastrophe exposure with the goal of providing shareholders an acceptable return on the risks assumed in our property business and to reduce the variability of our earnings. Our property business includes personal homeowners, commercial property and other property insurance lines. As of December 31, 2014, we have less than a 1% likelihood of exceeding average annual aggregate catastrophe losses by \$2 billion, net of reinsurance, from hurricanes and earthquakes, based on modeled assumptions and applications currently available. The use of different assumptions and updates to industry models, and updates to our risk transfer program, could materially change the projected loss. Our growth strategies include areas previously restricted where we believe we can earn an appropriate return for the risk and as a result our exposure may increase, but remain lower than \$2 billion as noted above. In addition, we have exposure to severe weather events which impact catastrophe losses.

Property catastrophe exposure management includes purchasing reinsurance to provide coverage for known exposure to hurricanes, earthquakes, wildfires, fires following earthquakes and other catastrophes. We are also working to promote measures to prevent and mitigate losses and make homes and communities more resilient, including enactment of stronger building codes and effective enforcement of those codes, adoption of sensible land use policies, and development of effective and affordable methods of improving the resilience of existing structures.

Pricing of property products is typically intended to establish returns that we deem acceptable over a long-term period. Losses, including losses from catastrophic events and weather-related losses (such as wind, hail, lightning and

Table of Contents

freeze losses not meeting our criteria to be declared a catastrophe), are accrued on an occurrence basis within the policy period. Therefore, in any reporting period, loss experience from catastrophic events and weather-related losses may contribute to negative or positive underwriting performance relative to the expectations we incorporated into the products' pricing. We pursue rate increases where indicated, taking into consideration potential customer disruption, the impact on our ability to market our auto lines, regulatory limitations, our competitive position and profitability, using a methodology that appropriately addresses the changing costs of losses from catastrophes such as severe weather and the net cost of reinsurance.

Allstate Protection outlook

Allstate Protection will continue to focus on its strategy of offering differentiated products and services to our customers while maintaining pricing discipline.

We expect that volatility in the level of catastrophes we experience will contribute to variation in our underwriting results; however, this volatility will be mitigated due to our catastrophe management actions, including the purchase of reinsurance.

We will continue our evolution to a trusted advisor model, enabling agencies to more fully deliver on the Allstate brand customer value proposition.

We will continue to modernize our operating model to efficiently deliver our customer value propositions.

We will invest in building long-term growth platforms.

Premiums written is the amount of premiums charged for policies issued during a fiscal period. Premiums are considered earned and are included in the financial results on a pro-rata basis over the policy period. The portion of premiums written applicable to the unexpired term of the policies is recorded as unearned premiums on our Consolidated Statements of Financial Position.

The following table shows the unearned premium balance as of December 31 and the timeframe in which we expect to recognize these premiums as earned.

(\$ in millions)				% earned after				
					Three	Six	Nine	Twelve
		2014		2013	months	months	months	months
Allstate brand:								
Auto	\$	4,766	\$	4,533	70.7%	96.2%	99.1%	100.0%
Homeowners		3,607		3,496	43.4%	75.5%	94.2%	100.0%
Other personal lines (1)		833		819	43.5%	75.4%	94.1%	100.0%
Commercial lines		254		236	43.8%	75.1%	93.9%	100.0%
Other business lines (2)		642		468	18.9%	33.3%	45.4%	55.3%
Total Allstate brand		10,102		9,552	54.9%	82.7%	93.4%	97.2%
Esurance brand:								
Auto		371		328	73.7%	98.5%	99.6%	100.0%
Homeowners		6			43.4%	75.6%	94.2%	100.0%
Other personal lines		2		1	43.5%	75.4%	94.2%	100.0%
Total Esurance brand		379		329	73.1%	98.0%	99.5%	100.0%
Encompass brand:								
Auto		345		335	43.8%	75.6%	94.1%	100.0%
Homeowners		274		253	43.7%	75.7%	94.2%	100.0%
Other personal lines		57		54	43.9%	75.7%	94.2%	100.0%
Total Encompass brand		676		642	43.8%	75.6%	94.2%	100.0%
	\$	11,157	\$	10,523	54.8%	82.8%	93.7%	97.4%

Allstate Protection unearned premiums

- (1) Other personal lines include renter, condominium, landlord and other personal lines.
- (2) Other business lines include Allstate Roadside Services, Allstate Dealer Services and other business lines.

50

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of premiums written to premiums earned is shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012		
Premiums written:					
Allstate Protection	\$ 29,613	\$ 28,164	\$ 27,026		
Discontinued Lines and Coverages	1		1		
Property-Liability premiums written	29,614	28,164	27,027		
Increase in unearned premiums	(723)	(572)	(322)		
Other	38	26	32		
Property-Liability premiums earned	\$ 28,929	\$ 27,618	\$ 26,737		
Premiums earned: Allstate Protection Discontinued Lines and Coverages	\$ 28,928 1	\$ 27,618	\$ 26,737		
Property-Liability	\$ 28,929	\$ 27,618	\$ 26,737		

Premiums written by brand are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Allst	tate branc	d	Esur	ance bra	and	Enco	mpass br	and	Allstat	te Protec	tion
	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012
Auto	\$ 17,504 \$	16,752 \$	16,398	\$ 1,499 \$	\$ 1,308	\$ 1,024	\$ 665	\$ 641 \$	618 \$	19,668 \$	18,701	\$ 18,040
Homeowners	6,536	6,289	6,060	9			506	461	398	7,051	6,750	6,458
Other												
personal lines	1,569	1,539	1,515	5	2		109	104	97	1,683	1,645	1,612
Subtotal Personal lines Commercial	25,609	24,580	23,973	1,513	1,310	1,024	1,280	1,206	1,113	28,402	27,096	26,110
lines Other	494	466	454							494	466	454
business lines	717	602	462							717	602	462
Total	\$ 26,820 \$	25,648 \$	24,889	\$ 1,513 \$	\$ 1,310	\$ 1,024	\$ 1,280	\$ 1,206 \$	5 1,113 \$	29,613 \$	28,164	\$ 27,026

Premiums earned by brand are shown in the following table.

(\$ in												
millions)	Alls	tate branc	d	Esur	ance bra	and	Encor	npass br	and	Allsta	te Protec	ction
	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012
Auto	\$ 17,234 \$	16,578 \$	16,352 \$	1,455	\$ 1,245	\$ 967	\$ 655 9	\$ 626	\$ 609 \$	19,344 9	18,449	\$ 17,928
Homeowners	6,415	6,183	5,980	3			486	430	379	6,904	6,613	6,359

Other personal lines	1,551	1,527	1,501	5	2		106	100	93	1,662	1,629	1,594
Subtotal												
Personal lines	25,200	24,288	23,833	1,463	1,247	967	1,247	1,156	1,081	27,910	26,691	25,881
Commercial												
lines	476	456	462							476	456	462
Other												
business lines	542	471	394							542	471	394
Total	\$ 26,218 \$	25,215 \$	24,689 \$	1,463 \$	1,247 \$	967 \$	1,247 \$	1,156 \$	1,081 \$	28,928 \$	27,618 \$	26,737

Premium measures and statistics that are used to analyze the business are calculated and described below.

PIF: Policy counts are based on items rather than customers. A multi-car customer would generate multiple item (policy) counts, even if all cars were insured under one policy.

Average premium-gross written ("average premium"): Gross premiums written divided by issued item count. Gross premiums written include the impacts from discounts, surcharges and ceded reinsurance premiums and exclude the impacts from mid-term premium adjustments and premium refund accruals. Average premiums represent the appropriate policy term for each line. Allstate and Esurance brands are 6 months for auto and 12 months for homeowners. Encompass brand is 12 months for auto and homeowners.

Renewal ratio: Renewal policies issued during the period, based on contract effective dates, divided by the total policies issued 6 months prior for auto (12 months prior for Encompass brand) or 12 months prior for homeowners.

New issued applications: Item counts of automobiles or homeowners insurance applications for insurance policies that were issued during the period, regardless of whether the customer was previously insured by another Allstate Protection brand. Does not include automobiles that are added by existing customers.

Table of Contents

Auto premiums written totaled \$19.67 billion in 2014, a 5.2% increase from \$18.70 billion in 2013, following a 3.7% increase in 2013 from \$18.04 billion in 2012.

	Allstate brand						Esurance brand						Encompass brand				
	2014		2013		2012		2014		2013		2012		2014		2013	2	2012
PIF (thousands) Average	19,916		19,362		19,084		1,424		1,286		1,029		790		774		731
premium (1)	\$ 479	\$	468	\$	458	\$	499	\$	485	\$	493	\$	895	\$	880	\$	890
Renewal ratio (%) Approved rate changes ⁽²⁾ :	88.9		88.6		87.9		79.5		80.7		80.5		79.7		78.7		75.8
# of locations	46 (6)	39		42		38		31		29		29		29		31
Total brand (%) (3) Location specific	2.3 (7)	1.9		3.0		6.0		4.8		4.4		6.6		5.9		4.1
(%) ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	3.2		3.2		5.0		6.9		6.5		5.6		7.9		7.0		5.2

- (1) Policy term is six months for Allstate and Esurance brands and twelve months for Encompass brand.
- Rate changes that are indicated based on loss trend analysis to achieve a targeted return will continue to be pursued. Rate changes do not include rating plan enhancements, including the introduction of discounts and surcharges that result in no change in the overall rate level in the state. These rate changes do not reflect initial rates filed for insurance subsidiaries initially writing business in a state. Rate changes for Allstate brand for the 2013 and 2012 periods exclude Canada and specialty auto.
- (3) Represents the impact in the states and Canadian provinces where rate changes were approved during the period as a percentage of total brand prior year-end premiums written.
- (4) Represents the impact in the states and Canadian provinces where rate changes were approved during the period as a percentage of its respective total prior year-end premiums written in those same locations.
- Based on historical premiums written in those states and Canadian provinces, rate changes approved for auto totaled \$520 million, \$379 million and \$539 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.
- (6) 2014 includes 4 Canadian provinces and Washington D.C.
- (7) Allstate brand (excluding Canada) rate changes in 2014 were 2.9%.

Allstate brand auto premiums written totaled \$17.50 billion in 2014, a 4.5% increase from \$16.75 billion in 2013. Factors impacting premiums written were the following:

- 2.9% or 554 thousand increase in PIF as of December 31, 2014 compared to December 31, 2013.
- 10.3% increase in new issued applications to 3,033 thousand in 2014 from 2,749 thousand in 2013.
- 2.4% increase in average premium in 2014 compared to 2013.
- 0.3 point increase in the renewal ratio in 2014 compared to 2013.

Allstate brand auto premiums written totaled \$16.75 billion in 2013, a 2.2% increase from \$16.40 billion in 2012. Factors impacting premiums written were the following:

- 1.5% increase in PIF as of December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012.
- 12.5% increase in new issued applications to 2,749 thousand in 2013 from 2,443 thousand in 2012.
- 2.2% increase in average premium in 2013 compared to 2012.
- 0.7 point increase in the renewal ratio in 2013 compared to 2012.

Esurance brand auto premiums written totaled \$1.50 billion in 2014, an increase of 14.6% from \$1.31 billion in 2013. The increase was primarily due to a 10.7% or 138 thousand increase in PIF as of December 31, 2014 compared to December 31, 2013. New issued applications of 747 thousand in 2014 was comparable to 2013. An increase in quote volume driven by the new advertising program was offset by a decrease in conversion rate (the percentage of completed quotes to actual issued policies) primarily due to rate actions. Rate actions are taken where profit margin targets are not being achieved. The rate changes in 2014 were taken in states and risk categories to improve profit margin while managing customer retention. Average premium increased 2.9% in 2014 compared to 2013.

Esurance brand renewal ratio decreased 1.2 points in 2014 compared to 2013. The renewal ratio for the direct to consumer business is generally lower than agency written business consistent with the direct consumer tendency to shop and change providers based on competitive rates. The renewal ratio is also typically lowest at the first renewal date for new business. The decrease in the renewal ratio during 2014 was due to the impact of rate increases and growth in states with lower retention, partially offset by an increase in the amount of business past its first renewal. Retention may continue to be impacted as a result of expansion initiatives that increase the areas in which Esurance writes business. Retention at first renewal was 70.4% during 2014 compared to 72.8% in 2013. The renewal ratio on business subsequent to first renewal was 82.7% during 2014 compared to 84.1% in 2013.

Esurance brand auto premiums written totaled \$1.31 billion in 2013, a 27.7% increase from \$1.02 billion in 2012. The increase was primarily due to a 25.0% increase in PIF as of December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012. New issued applications increased 23.5% to 747 thousand in 2013 from 605 thousand in 2012. Growth in new issued

Table of Contents

applications was driven by increased advertising, which resulted in an increase in quotes. Our conversion rate was comparable to the prior year. The renewal ratio increased 0.2 points in 2013 compared to 2012.

Encompass brand auto premiums written totaled \$665 million in 2014, an increase of 3.7% from \$641 million in 2013. The increase was primarily due to a 2.1% or 16 thousand increase in PIF as of December 31, 2014 compared to December 31, 2013. New issued applications decreased 12.9% to 135 thousand in 2014 from 155 thousand in 2013 primarily due to profit improvement actions including rate changes, underwriting guideline adjustments, and agency-level actions to manage risks and ensure profitability. Average premium increased 1.7% in 2014 compared to 2013. The renewal ratio increased 1.0 point in 2014 compared to 2013 due to adverse impacts from run-off effects of Florida in the prior year. A higher percentage of package auto policies renewed. Package policies typically have higher retention rates.

Encompass brand auto premiums written totaled \$641 million in 2013, a 3.7% increase from \$618 million in 2012. The increase was primarily due to a 5.9% increase in PIF as of December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012 and actions taken to enhance the package policy. New issued applications increased 9.2% to 155 thousand in 2013 from 142 thousand in 2012. The renewal ratio increased 2.9 points in 2013 compared to 2012. Encompass discontinued writing new auto business in Florida in September 2012 and non-renewals began in February 2013

Homeowners premiums written totaled \$7.05 billion in 2014, a 4.5% increase from \$6.75 billion in 2013, following a 4.5% increase in 2013 from \$6.46 billion in 2012. Excluding the cost of catastrophe reinsurance, premiums written increased 3.7% in 2014 compared to 2013. For a more detailed discussion on reinsurance, see the Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves section of the MD&A and Note 10 of the consolidated financial statements.

						Es	surance					
	\mathbf{A}	llsta	te branc	d		ŀ	brand	En	con	npass br	ano	d
	2014		2013		2012		2014	2014		2013		2012
PIF (thousands) Average premium	6,106		6,077		6,213		10	365	i	356		327
(12 months)	\$ 1,140	\$	1,115	\$	1,074	\$	811	\$ 1,457	\$	1,374	\$	1,311
Renewal ratio (%)												
(12 months)	88.4		87.7		87.4		N/A	85.6)	86.6		83.3
Approved rate												
changes (1):												
# of locations	37 (3	3)	41		42		N/A	23	3	31		33 (4)
Total brand (%)	1.7		3.6		6.3		N/A	4.7	7	7.4		6.0
Location specific												
(%) (2)	4.7		5.2		8.6		N/A	8.9)	8.2		6.4

- (1) Includes rate changes approved based on our net cost of reinsurance. Rate changes for Allstate brand for the 2013 and 2012 periods exclude Canada.
- Based on historical premiums written in those states and Canadian provinces, rate changes approved for homeowners totaled \$147 million, \$254 million and \$412 million, respectively.
- (3) Includes 4 Canadian provinces.
- (4) Includes Washington D.C.

N/A reflects not applicable.

Allstate brand homeowners premiums written totaled \$6.54 billion in 2014, a 3.9% increase from \$6.29 billion in 2013. Factors impacting premiums written were the following:

0.5% or 29 thousand increase in PIF as of December 31, 2014 compared to December 31, 2013 due to increases in new issued applications and retention.

16.0% increase in new issued applications to 725 thousand in 2014 from 625 thousand in 2013.

2.2% increase in average premium in 2014 compared to 2013 primarily due to rate changes as well as increasing insured home valuations.

0.7 point increase in the renewal ratio in 2014 compared to 2013.

\$36 million decrease in the cost of our catastrophe reinsurance program to \$389 million in 2014 from \$425 million in 2013. Reinsurance premiums are recorded as a reduction of premium.

Table of Contents

Premiums written for Allstate's House and Home® product, our redesigned homeowners new business offering currently available in 34 states, totaled \$934 million in 2014 compared to \$471 million in 2013. Most House and Home policies are issued to customers new to Allstate homeowners policies. Allstate House and Home accounted for 86% of Allstate brand homeowners new issued applications in 2014. Allstate House and Home PIF increased 102.6% as of December 31, 2014 compared to December 31, 2013.

In states with severe weather and risk, our excess and surplus lines carrier North Light as well as non-proprietary products will remain a critical component to our overall homeowners strategy to profitably grow and serve our customers.

Allstate brand homeowners premiums written totaled \$6.29 billion in 2013, a 3.8% increase from \$6.06 billion in 2012. Factors impacting premiums written were the following:

- 2.2% decrease in PIF as of December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012 due to fewer policies available to renew.
- 31.6% increase in new issued applications to 625 thousand in 2013 from 475 thousand in 2012.
- 3.8% increase in average premium in 2013 compared to 2012 primarily due to rate changes.
- 0.3 point increase in the renewal ratio in 2013 compared to 2012.
- \$56 million decrease in the cost of our catastrophe reinsurance program to \$425 million in 2013 from \$481 million in 2012.

Esurance brand homeowners premiums written totaled \$9 million in 2014. New issued applications totaled 11 thousand in 2014. As of December 31, 2014, Esurance is writing homeowners insurance in 14 states with lower hurricane risk that have lower average premium.

Encompass brand homeowners premiums written totaled \$506 million in 2014, a 9.8% increase from \$461 million in 2013. The increase was primarily due to rate increases and a 2.5% or 9 thousand increase in PIF as of December 31, 2014 compared to December 31, 2013. New issued applications decreased 11.4% to 70 thousand in 2014 from 79 thousand in 2013 due to profit improvement actions including rate changes, underwriting guideline adjustments, and agency-level actions. Average premium increased 6.0% in 2014 compared to 2013. The renewal ratio decreased 1.0 point in 2014 compared to 2013.

Encompass brand homeowners premiums written totaled \$461 million in 2013, a 15.8% increase from \$398 million in 2012. The increase was primarily due to an 8.9% increase in PIF as of December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012 and actions taken to enhance the package policy. New issued applications increased 12.9% to 79 thousand in 2013 from 70 thousand in 2012. The renewal ratio increased 3.3 points in 2013 compared to 2012.

Other personal lines Allstate brand other personal lines premiums written totaled \$1.57 billion in 2014, a 1.9% increase from \$1.54 billion in 2013, following a 1.6% increase in 2013 from \$1.52 billion in 2012. The increases in 2014 and 2013 primarily relate to renter and condominium insurance.

Commercial lines premiums written totaled \$494 million in 2014, a 6.0% increase from \$466 million in 2013, following a 2.6% increase in 2013 from \$454 million in 2012. The increase in 2014 was driven by higher renewals and increased new business resulting from a new business owner policy product.

Other business lines premiums written totaled \$717 million in 2014, a 19.1% increase from \$602 million in 2013, following a 30.3% increase in 2013 from \$462 million in 2012. The increases in 2014 and 2013 were primarily due to increased sales of vehicle service contracts at Allstate Dealer Services, and new and expanded contracts where Allstate Roadside Services provides roadside assistance to a third party company's customer base.

Table of Contents

Underwriting results are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions) Premiums written	\$ 2014 29,613 \$	2013 28,164	\$ 2012 27,026
Premiums earned Claims and claims expense Amortization of DAC Other costs and expenses Restructuring and related charges	\$ 28,928 \$ (19,315) (3,875) (3,835) (16)	27,618 (17,769) (3,674) (3,751) (63)	\$ 26,737 (18,433) (3,483) (3,534) (34)
Underwriting income	\$ 1,887 \$	2,361	\$ 1,253
Catastrophe losses	\$ 1,993 \$	1,251	\$ 2,345
Underwriting income (loss) by line of business			
Auto	\$ 604 \$	668	\$ 469
Homeowners	1,097	1,422	690
Other personal lines Commercial lines	150 9	198 41	(10) 51
Other business lines	40	51	77
Answer Financial	(13)	(19)	(24)
Underwriting income	\$ 1,887 \$	2,361	\$ 1,253
Underwriting income (loss) by brand			
Allstate brand	\$ 2,235 \$	2,551	\$ 1,539
Esurance brand	(259)	(218)	(192)
Encompass brand	(76)	47	(70)
Answer Financial	(13)	(19)	(24)
Underwriting income	\$ 1,887 \$	2,361	\$ 1,253

Allstate Protection had underwriting income of \$1.89 billion in 2014 compared to \$2.36 billion in 2013. Homeowners underwriting income was \$1.10 billion in 2014 compared to \$1.42 billion in 2013, primarily due to increased catastrophe losses, partially offset by increased premiums earned. Auto underwriting income was \$604 million in 2014 compared to \$668 million in 2013, primarily due to increased losses excluding catastrophes, increased expenses and increased catastrophe losses, partially offset by increased premiums earned. Other personal lines underwriting income was \$150 million in 2014 compared to \$198 million in 2013, primarily due to increased catastrophe losses, partially offset by increased premiums earned.

Allstate Protection had underwriting income of \$2.36 billion in 2013 compared to \$1.25 billion in 2012. Homeowners underwriting income was \$1.42 billion in 2013 compared to \$690 million in 2012, primarily due to decreased catastrophe losses, decreased loss costs excluding catastrophe losses and increased premiums earned, partially offset by lower favorable reserve reestimates and higher expenses. Other personal lines underwriting income was \$198 million in 2013 compared to an underwriting loss of \$10 million in 2012, primarily due to decreased catastrophe losses, decreased loss costs excluding catastrophe losses, increased premiums earned and lower unfavorable reserve reestimates,

partially offset by higher expenses. Auto underwriting income was \$668 million in 2013 compared to \$469 million in 2012, primarily due to increased premiums earned and decreased catastrophe losses including favorable Sandy reserve reestimates, partially offset by higher incurred losses excluding catastrophe losses, higher expenses and lower favorable reserve reestimates.

Catastrophe losses were \$1.99 billion in 2014 compared to \$1.25 billion in 2013 and \$2.35 billion in 2012.

We define a "catastrophe" as an event that produces pre-tax losses before reinsurance in excess of \$1 million and involves multiple first party policyholders, or an event that produces a number of claims in excess of a preset, per-event threshold of average claims in a specific area, occurring within a certain amount of time following the event. Catastrophes are caused by various natural events including high winds, winter storms, tornadoes, hailstorms, wildfires, tropical storms, hurricanes, earthquakes and volcanoes. We are also exposed to man-made catastrophic events, such as certain types of terrorism or industrial accidents. The nature and level of catastrophes in any period cannot be reliably predicted.

Table of Contents

Catastrophe losses in 2014 by the size of event are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)

	Number of events	and	laims I claims xpense	(Combined ratio impact	Average catastrophe loss per event
Size of catastrophe loss						
Greater than						
\$250 million	2	2.3%\$	548	27.5%	1.9	\$ 274
\$101 million to						
\$250 million	2	2.3	235	11.7	0.8	118
\$50 million to						
\$100 million	5	5.9	402	20.2	1.4	80
Less than \$50 million	76	89.5	765	38.4	2.7	10
Total	85	100.0%	1,950	97.8	6.8	23
Prior year reserve reestimates			43	2.2	0.1	
Total catastrophe losses		\$	1,993	100.0%	6.9	

Catastrophe losses by the type of event are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)		2014		2013		2012	2		
		N	lumber	N	umber		Number		
		of	f events	of	events		of events		
Hurricanes/Tropical storms	\$	2	1 \$	14	1 \$	1,200	3		
Tornadoes		99	2	169	3	297	5		
Wind/Hail		1,429	70	1,089	64	1,198	64		
Wildfires		19	5	41	5	53	11		
Other events		401	7	26	3	7	1		
Prior year reserve reestimates		43		(88)		(410)			
reestimates		73		(00)		(410)			
Total catastrophe losses	\$	1,993	85 \$	1,251	76 \$	2,345	84		

Catastrophe losses, including prior year reserve reestimates, excluding hurricanes named or numbered by the National Weather Service, fires following earthquakes and earthquakes, totaled \$2.00 billion, \$1.35 billion and \$1.32 billion in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

Combined ratio Loss ratios by product, and expense and combined ratios by brand, are shown in the following table.

											ffect o	
					ffect o			iffect o		-	urcha	
				catast	-	losses	prior	•			tangil	
					on			estimat			sets o	
		(1)			bined 1				l ratio			
Allstate brand loss	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012
ratio:												
Auto	69.2	68.5	70.3	1.6	1.0	3.8	(1.2)	(1.2)	(2.1)			
Homeowners	58.7	53.4	64.1	21.4	15.6	23.2	(1.2) 0.4	(1.2)	(2.1) (5.2)			
Other personal lines	61.7	58.6	72.3	8.2	3.5	12.3	2.1	1.8	2.2	'		
Commercial lines	67.0	60.7	60.4	6.1	0.4	0.6	(4.2)		(10.4)	1		
Total Allstate	07.0	00.7	00.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	(1.2)	(1.2)	(10.1)			
brand loss ratio	65.8	63.6	68.3	6.9	4.7	8.9	(0.7)	(0.9)	(2.7)	1		
Allstate brand	00.0	00.0	00.0	0.7	,	0.7	(017)	(0.5)	(=.,)			
expense ratio	25.7	26.3	25.5									
F												
Allstate brand												
combined ratio	91.5	89.9	93.8	6.9	4.7	8.9	(0.7)	(0.9)	(2.7))		
Esurance brand												
loss ratio:												
Auto	76.8	78.5	77.2	1.3	0.9	1.6	(1.1)					
Homeowners	66.7	7 00										
Other personal lines	60.0	50.0										
Total Esurance	76.0	70.5	77.0	1.2	0.0	1.6	(1.1)					
brand loss ratio	76.8	78.5	77.2	1.3	0.9	1.6	(1.1)					
Esurance brand	40.0	20.0	42.7							2.2	4.0	10.1
expense ratio	40.9	39.0	42.7							3.3	4.9	10.1
Esurance brand												
combined ratio	1177	117.5	1199	1.3	0.9	1.6	(1.1)			3.3	4.9	10.1
combined ratio	117.7	117.3	117.7	1.5	0.7	1.0	(1.1)			3.3	7.7	10.1
Encompass brand												
loss ratio:												
Auto	77.1	73.5	78.5	3.2	0.3	3.6	(2.0)	(4.8)				
Homeowners	74.7	56.3	76.5	28.2	12.6		0.4	(1.2)				
Other personal lines	75.5	54.0	67.7	6.6	4.0	5.4	1.9	(8.0)	(9.7))		
Total Encompass									,			
brand loss ratio	76.0	65.4	76.9	13.2	5.2	12.6	(0.7)	(3.7)	(4.2))		
Encompass brand	20.1	20.7	20.5									
expense ratio	30.1	30.5	29.6									

Encompass brand combined ratio	106.1	95.9	106.5	13.2	5.2	12.6	(0.7)	(3.7)	(4.2)			
Allstate Protection loss ratio Allstate Protection expense ratio	66.8 26.7	64.4 27.1	68.9 26.4	6.9	4.5	8.8	(0.7)	(1.0)	(2.7)	0.2	0.3	0.5
Allstate Protection combined ratio	93.5	91.5	95.3	6.9	4.5	8.8	(0.7)	(1.0)	(2.7)	0.2	0.3	0.5

(1) Ratios are calculated using the premiums earned for the respective line of business.

Auto loss ratio for the Allstate brand increased 0.7 points in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to increased catastrophe losses. Auto loss ratio for the Allstate brand decreased 1.8 points in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to lower catastrophe losses, partially offset by lower favorable reserve reestimates.

Claim frequency in the bodily injury coverages in 2014 was comparable to 2013. Claim frequency in the property damage coverages increased 0.5% in 2014 compared to 2013. We experienced increased property damage frequency in first quarter 2014 due to severe winter weather experienced in the Midwest and East and in the first two months of fourth quarter 2014 in geographically widespread areas with improved unemployment rates leading to higher miles driven and areas that experienced higher precipitation. Otherwise, frequencies in bodily injury and property damage performed within historical ranges. Bodily injury and property damage coverage paid claim severities increased 2.7% and 4.1%, respectively, in 2014 compared to 2013. Severity results in 2014 increased in line with historical Consumer Price Index trends. Claim frequencies in the bodily injury and property damage coverages decreased 1.1% and increased 0.3%, respectively, in 2013 compared to 2012. Bodily injury and property damage coverage paid claim severities increased 3.8% and 1.8%, respectively, in 2013 compared to 2012. We pursue rate increases when necessary to maintain margins.

Esurance brand auto loss ratio decreased 1.7 points in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to rate actions and favorable reserve reestimates related to personal injury protection losses. We manage the business so that it is profitable over the life of the business, taking rate increases as appropriate. Esurance brand auto loss ratio increased 1.3 points in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to increases in the volume of new business, increased utilization of price discounts and higher unallocated loss adjustment expense, partially offset by lower catastrophe losses.

Encompass brand auto loss ratio increased 3.6 points in 2014 compared to 2013, due to increased catastrophe losses and lower favorable reserve reestimates, partially offset by increased premiums earned. Encompass brand auto

Table of Contents

loss ratio decreased 5.0 points in 2013 compared to 2012, due to lower catastrophe losses, a higher mix of preferred insureds and higher favorable reserve reestimates.

Homeowners loss ratio for the Allstate brand increased 5.3 points to 58.7 in 2014 from 53.4 in 2013, primarily due to higher catastrophe losses, partially offset by increased premiums earned. Claim frequency excluding catastrophe losses decreased 0.3% in 2014 compared to 2013. Paid claim severity excluding catastrophe losses increased 7.7% in 2014 compared to 2013. The 2-year average annual increase for paid claim severity is approximately 3.5%. Homeowners loss ratio for the Allstate brand decreased 10.7 points to 53.4 in 2013 from 64.1 in 2012, primarily due to lower catastrophe losses, decreased loss costs excluding catastrophe losses and increased premiums earned. Claim frequency excluding catastrophe losses decreased 0.3% in 2013 compared to 2012. Paid claim severity excluding catastrophe losses decreased 0.2% in 2013 compared to 2012.

Encompass brand homeowners loss ratio increased 18.4 points in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to higher catastrophe losses. Several catastrophes occurred in areas where Encompass has a concentration of policyholders. Excluding the impact of catastrophe losses, the Encompass brand homeowners loss ratio increased 2.8 points in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to the impact of severe weather. Encompass brand homeowners loss ratio decreased 20.2 points in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to lower catastrophe losses.

Expense ratio for Allstate Protection decreased 0.4 points in 2014 compared to 2013. The impact of specific costs and expenses on the expense ratio are shown in the following table.

	Allstate brand			Esur	ance b	rand	Encor	npass l	brand	Allstate Protection			
	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012	
Amortization of DAC	13.7	13.6	13.2	2.7	2.7	2.5	18.8	18.3	17.5	13.4	13.3	12.9	
Advertising expenses	2.5	2.8	2.7	17.4	14.8	15.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	3.2	3.2	3.1	
Amortization of													
purchased intangible													
assets				3.3	4.9	10.1				0.2	0.3	0.5	
Other costs and													
expenses	9.5	9.7	9.5	17.5	16.6	14.7	10.7	11.5	11.6	9.8	10.1	9.8	
Restructuring and													
related charges		0.2	0.1				0.2	0.3		0.1	0.2	0.1	
Total expense ratio	25.7	26.3	25.5	40.9	39.0	42.7	30.1	30.5	29.6	26.7	27.1	26.4	

Allstate brand expense ratio decreased 0.6 points in 2014 compared to 2013 primarily due to lower advertising expenditures and lower employee related costs, including pension expense, partially offset by higher amortization of DAC. Amortization of DAC primarily includes agent remuneration and premium taxes. Amortization of DAC increased in 2014 compared to 2013 and Allstate agency total incurred base commissions, variable compensation and bonus was higher than 2013. Allstate exclusive agent remuneration comprises a base commission, variable compensation and a bonus. Variable compensation has two components: agency success factors (local presence, Allstate Financial insurance policies sold and licensed staff), which must be achieved in order to qualify for the second component, and customer satisfaction. In addition, a bonus that is a percentage of premiums can be earned by agents who achieve a targeted loss ratio and a defined amount of Allstate Financial sales. The bonus is earned by achieving a targeted percentage of multi-category households and increases in Property-Liability and Allstate Financial policies in force. The Allstate agent commissions and bonus were expensed as a component of DAC amortization at increasing levels during 2013 and continuing in 2014 as more agents met the success factors. In 2014, the bonus success factors were changed from 2013 levels commensurate with performance achieved in 2013, which was in excess of target amounts.

Esurance brand expense ratio increased 1.9 points in 2014 compared to 2013. A significant portion of Esurance's expense ratio relates to customer acquisition. Customer acquisition costs include amortization of DAC, advertising expenses and a portion of other costs and expenses and totaled 27.3 points in 2014 and 24.1 points in 2013. Esurance advertising expenses in 2014 were higher than 2013 due to increased spending related to the launch of a new advertising campaign, the homeowners advertising launch in 2014 and additional advertising to achieve short-term growth and long-term brand positioning. The Esurance brand expense ratio also includes purchased intangible assets that are amortized on an accelerated basis with over 80% of the amortization taking place by 2016. The other costs and expenses, related to acquisition include salaries of telephone sales personnel and other underwriting costs, was comparable to the prior year.

Esurance uses a direct distribution model, therefore its primary acquisition-related costs are advertising as opposed to commissions. Esurance incurs substantially all of its acquisition costs in the year of policy inception. As a result, the Esurance expense ratio will be higher during periods of increased advertising expenditures. Total acquisition costs in 2014 were in line with other distribution channels when considering the cumulative earned premiums of policies sold

Table of Contents

and excluding additional advertising to achieve long term brand positioning. Esurance continued to invest in geographic expansion of its products and added additional products and capabilities in 2014. The spending on expansion initiatives, excluding customer acquisition costs which occur prior to premiums being written, contributed approximately 2.7 points to the expense ratio in 2014. Esurance's annual combined ratio is below 100, excluding amortization of purchased intangible assets, after the year of policy inception (in which substantially all acquisition costs are incurred), driven by pricing changes and customer mix. We manage the direct to consumer business based on its profitability over the life-time of the policy.

Encompass brand expense ratio decreased 0.4 points in 2014 compared to 2013 primarily due to lower employee related costs, including pension expense, partially offset by higher amortization of DAC. The Encompass brand DAC amortization is higher on average than Allstate brand DAC amortization due to higher commission rates paid to independent agencies.

DAC We establish a DAC asset for costs that are related directly to the successful acquisition of new or renewal insurance policies, principally agents' remuneration and premium taxes. For the Allstate Protection business, DAC is amortized to income over the period in which premiums are earned. The DAC balance as of December 31 by brand and product type are shown in the following table.

					Esurance Encompass				Allstate					
(\$ in millions)	Allstate brand					bra	nd		bra	an	d	Prote	cti	on
		2014		2013	2	2014	20	13	2014		2013	2014		2013
Auto	\$	609	\$	582	\$	10	\$	8	\$ 62	\$	62	\$ 681	\$	652
Homeowners		491		484					43		42	534		526
Other personal														
lines		109		108					9		9	118		117
Commercial lines		34		31								34		31
Other business														
lines		453		299								453		299
Total DAC	\$	1,696	\$	1,504	\$	10	\$	8	\$ 114	\$	113	\$ 1,820	\$	1,625

Gain on disposition of \$37 million, after-tax, in 2014 primarily relates to the sale of Sterling Collision Centers, Inc.

Catastrophe management

Historical catastrophe experience For the last ten years, the average annual impact of catastrophes on our Property-Liability loss ratio was 9.2 points. The average annual impact of catastrophes on the homeowners loss ratio for the last ten years was 32.7 points.

Over time, we have limited our aggregate insurance exposure to catastrophe losses in certain regions of the country that are subject to high levels of natural catastrophes. Limitations include our participation in various state facilities, such as the California Earthquake Authority ("CEA"), which provides insurance for California earthquake losses; the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund, which provides reimbursements to participating insurers for certain qualifying Florida hurricane losses; and other state facilities, such as wind pools. However, the impact of these actions may be diminished by the growth in insured values, and the effect of state insurance laws and regulations. In addition, in various states we are required to participate in assigned risk plans, reinsurance facilities and joint underwriting associations that provide insurance coverage to individuals or entities that otherwise are unable to purchase such coverage from private insurers. Because of our participation in these and other state facilities such as wind pools, we may be exposed to losses that surpass the capitalization of these facilities and to assessments from these facilities.

We have continued to take actions to maintain an appropriate level of exposure to catastrophic events while continuing to meet the needs of our customers, including the following:

Continuing to not offer new homeowners business in certain coastal states.

Increased capacity in our brokerage platform for customers not offered a renewal.

North Light expanded to 9 new states in 2014, bringing the total number of active states to 42.

In Texas we have been ceding wind exposure related to insured property located in wind pool eligible areas along the coast including the Galveston Islands.

We ceased writing new homeowners business in California in 2007. We continue to renew current policyholders.

We ceased writing new homeowners business in Florida in 2011 beyond a modest stance for existing customers who replace their currently-insured home with an acceptable property. The Encompass companies operating in Florida withdrew from the property lines in 2009.

Table of Contents

Tropical cyclone deductibles are in place for a large portion of coastal insured properties though contract language varies across states and companies, allowing for these higher deductibles to be triggered differently across our customer base.

We have additional catastrophe exposure, beyond the property lines, for auto customers who have purchased physical damage coverage. Auto physical damage coverage generally includes coverage for flood-related loss. We manage this additional exposure through inclusion of auto losses in our nationwide reinsurance program (which excludes New Jersey and Florida). New Jersey auto losses are included in our New Jersey reinsurance program.

Redesigned our homeowners new business offering, Allstate House and Home®, that provides options of coverage for roof damage including graduated coverage and pricing based on roof type and age. Allstate House and Home® is currently available in 34 states.

Hurricanes

We consider the greatest areas of potential catastrophe losses due to hurricanes generally to be major metropolitan centers in counties along the eastern and gulf coasts of the United States. Usually, the average premium on a property policy near these coasts is greater than in other areas. However, average premiums are often not considered commensurate with the inherent risk of loss. In addition and as explained in Note 14 of the consolidated financial statements, in various states Allstate is subject to assessments from assigned risk plans, reinsurance facilities and joint underwriting associations providing insurance for wind related property losses.

We have addressed our risk of hurricane loss by, among other actions, purchasing reinsurance for specific states and on a countrywide basis for our personal lines property insurance in areas most exposed to hurricanes, limiting personal homeowners new business writings in coastal areas in southern and eastern states, implementing tropical cyclone deductibles where appropriate, and not offering continuing coverage on certain policies in coastal counties in certain states. We continue to seek appropriate returns for the risks we write. This may require further actions, similar to those already taken, in geographies where we are not getting appropriate returns. However, we may maintain or opportunistically increase our presence in areas where we achieve adequate returns and do not materially increase our hurricane risk.

Earthquakes

Actions taken to reduce our exposure from earthquake losses are substantially complete. These actions included purchasing reinsurance on a countrywide basis and in the state of Kentucky, no longer offering new optional earthquake coverage in most states, removing optional earthquake coverage upon renewal in most states, and entering into arrangements in many states to make earthquake coverage available through other insurers for new and renewal business.

We expect to retain approximately 30,000 PIF with earthquake coverage, primarily in Kentucky, due to regulatory and other reasons. We also will continue to have exposure to earthquake risk on certain policies that do not specifically exclude coverage for earthquake losses, including our auto policies, and to fires following earthquakes. Allstate policyholders in the state of California are offered coverage through the CEA, a privately-financed, publicly-managed state agency created to provide insurance coverage for earthquake damage. Allstate is subject to assessments from the CEA under certain circumstances as explained in Note 14 of the consolidated financial statements.

Fires Following Earthquakes

Actions taken related to our risk of loss from fires following earthquakes include changing homeowners underwriting requirements in California, purchasing reinsurance for Kentucky personal lines property risks, and purchasing nationwide occurrence reinsurance, excluding Florida and New Jersey.

Wildfires

Actions we are taking to reduce our risk of loss from wildfires include changing homeowners underwriting requirements in certain states and purchasing nationwide occurrence reinsurance.

Reinsurance

A description of our current catastrophe reinsurance program appears in Note 10 of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

DISCONTINUED LINES AND COVERAGES SEGMENT

Overview The Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment includes results from property-liability insurance coverage that we no longer write and results for certain commercial and other businesses in run-off. Our exposure to asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines claims is reported in this segment. We have assigned management of this segment to a designated group of professionals with expertise in claims handling, policy coverage interpretation, exposure identification and reinsurance collection. As part of its responsibilities, this group may at times be engaged in policy buybacks, settlements and reinsurance assumed and ceded commutations.

Summarized underwriting results for the years ended December 31 are presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions)		2014		2013		2012
Premiums written	\$	1	\$		\$	1
Premiums earned	\$	1	\$		\$	
Claims and claims expense		(113)		(142)		(51)
Operating costs and expenses		(3)		(1)		(2)
Underwriting loss	\$	(115)	¢	(143)	\$	(53)
Officer writing 1088	φ	(113)	Φ	(143)	φ	(33)

Underwriting losses of \$115 million in 2014 primarily related to our annual review using established industry and actuarial best practices resulting in an \$87 million unfavorable reestimate of asbestos reserves, a \$15 million unfavorable reestimate of environmental reserves and a \$3 million increase in allowance for future uncollectible reinsurance, partially offset by a \$3 million favorable reestimate of other exposure reserves. The cost of administering claims settlements totaled \$10 million for 2014, \$13 million for 2013 and \$11 million for 2012.

Underwriting losses of \$143 million in 2013 related to a \$74 million unfavorable reestimate of asbestos reserves, a \$30 million unfavorable reestimate of environmental reserves and a \$30 million unfavorable reestimate of other exposure reserves, primarily as a result of our annual review using established industry and actuarial best practices, partially offset by a \$1 million decrease in our allowance for future uncollectable reinsurance.

The underwriting loss of \$53 million in 2012 related to a \$26 million unfavorable reestimate of asbestos reserves, a \$22 million unfavorable reestimate of environmental reserves and a \$5 million unfavorable reestimate of other reserves, primarily as a result of our annual review using established industry and actuarial best practices, partially offset by a \$14 million decrease in our allowance for future uncollectable reinsurance.

See the Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves section of the MD&A for a more detailed discussion.

Discontinued Lines and Coverages outlook

We may continue to experience asbestos and/or environmental losses in the future. These losses could be due to the potential adverse impact of new information relating to new and additional claims or the impact of resolving unsettled claims based on unanticipated events such as litigation or legislative, judicial and regulatory actions. Environmental losses may also increase as the result of additional funding for environmental site cleanup. Because of our annual review, we believe that our reserves are appropriately established based on available information, technology, laws and regulations.

We anticipate progress in the resolution of certain bankruptcies related to insureds with asbestos claims, reducing the industry's asbestos related claims exposures.

We continue to address challenges related to centralization of insurance and reinsurance industry legacy claims into companies who specialize in the runoff of this business.

Table of Contents

PROPERTY-LIABILITY INVESTMENT RESULTS

Net investment income The following table presents net investment income.

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Fixed income securities	\$ 860 \$	912	\$ 1,073
Equity securities	95	136	118
Mortgage loans	17	20	21
Limited partnership interests	346	365	188
Short-term investments	4	3	4
Other	65	38	14
Investment income, before expense	1,387	1,474	1,418
Investment expense	(86)	(99)	(92)
Net investment income	\$ 1,301 \$	1,375	\$ 1,326

The average pre-tax investment yields for the years ended December 31 are presented in the following table. Pre-tax yield is calculated as investment income before investment expense (including dividend income in the case of equity securities) divided by the average of the investment balances at the end of each quarter during the year. Investment balances, for purposes of the pre-tax yield calculation, exclude unrealized capital gains and losses.

	2014	2013	2012
Fixed income securities: tax-exempt	2.6%	3.4%	4.3%
Fixed income securities: tax-exempt equivalent	3.8	5.0	6.3
Fixed income securities: taxable	2.9	3.2	3.7
Equity securities	2.9	3.8	3.5
Mortgage loans	4.3	4.2	4.3
Limited partnership interests	13.1	12.2	6.3
Total portfolio	3.6	4.0	3.9

Net investment income decreased 5.4% or \$74 million to \$1.30 billion in 2014 from \$1.38 billion in 2013, after increasing 3.7% in 2013 compared to 2012. The 2014 decrease was primarily due to lower fixed income yields and equity dividends. The decrease in fixed income yields is primarily due to reinvestment at yields lower than the overall portfolio yield. The 2013 increase was primarily due to higher limited partnership income, average investment balances and equity dividends, as well as prepayment fee income and litigation proceeds which together increased income by a total of \$18 million in 2013, partially offset by lower fixed income yields.

Net realized capital gains and losses are presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Impairment write-downs	\$ (21) \$	(39) \$	(134)
Change in intent write-downs	(169)	(124)	(31)
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings	(190)	(163)	(165)
Sales	789	706	511
Valuation and settlements of derivative instruments	(50)	(24)	(11)
Realized capital gains and losses, pre-tax	549	519	335
Income tax expense	(192)	(180)	(114)
Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	\$ 357 \$	339 \$	221

For a further discussion of net realized capital gains and losses, see the Investments section of the MD&A.

PROPERTY-LIABILITY CLAIMS AND CLAIMS EXPENSE RESERVES

Property-Liability underwriting results are significantly influenced by estimates of property-liability claims and claims expense reserves. For a description of our reserve process, see Note 8 of the consolidated financial statements and for a further description of our reserving policies and the potential variability in our reserve estimates, see the

62

Table of Contents

Application of Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A. These reserves are an estimate of amounts necessary to settle all outstanding claims, including IBNR claims, as of the reporting date.

The facts and circumstances leading to our reestimates of reserves relate to revisions to the development factors used to predict how losses are likely to develop from the end of a reporting period until all claims have been paid. Reestimates occur because actual losses are likely different than those predicted by the estimated development factors used in prior reserve estimates. As of December 31, 2014, the impact of a reserve reestimation corresponding to a one percent increase or decrease in net reserves would be a decrease or increase of approximately \$112 million in net income available to common shareholders.

We believe the net loss reserves for Allstate Protection exposures are appropriately established based on available facts, technology, laws and regulations.

The table below shows total net reserves as of December 31 by line of business.

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012		
Allstate brand	\$ 14,214	\$ 14,225	\$ 14,364		
Esurance brand	649	575	470		
Encompass brand	754	747	807		
Total Allstate Protection	15,617	15,547	15,641		
Discontinued Lines and Coverages	1,612	1,646	1,637		
Total Property-Liability	\$ 17,229	\$ 17,193	\$ 17,278		

The tables below show reserves, net of reinsurance, representing the estimated cost of outstanding claims as they were recorded at the beginning of years 2014, 2013 and 2012 and the effect of reestimates in each year.

(\$ in millions)	January 1 reserves										
		2014		2013		2012					
Allstate brand	\$	14,225	\$	14,364	\$	14,792					
Esurance brand		575		470		429					
Encompass brand		747		807		859					
Total Allstate Protection		15,547		15,641		16,080					
Discontinued Lines and Coverages		1,646		1,637		1,707					
Total Property-Liability	\$	17,193	\$	17,278	\$	17,787					

Table of Contents

(\$ in millions, except ratios)		2014	ļ	2013	3	2012			
			Effect		Effect		Effect		
	n		on	D	on	D	on		
		eserve timate ⁽¹⁾	combined ratio (2)	Reserve reestimate (1)	combined	Reserve reestimate (1)	combined ratio (2)		
Allstate brand	\$	(171)	(0.6)						
Esurance brand		(16)	(0.1)		()	(33)	()		
Encompass brand		(9)	, ,	(43)	(0.2)	(45)	(0.2)		
Total Allstate Protection		(196)	(0.7)	(263)	(1.0)	(716)	(2.7)		
Discontinued Lines and Coverages		112	0.4	142	0.6	51	0.2		
Total Property-Liability (3)	\$	(84)	(0.3)	\$ (121)	(0.4)	\$ (665)	(2.5)		
Reserve reestimates, after-tax	\$	(55)		\$ (79)		\$ (432)			
Consolidated net income available to common shareholders	\$	2,746		\$ 2,263		\$ 2,306			
Reserve reestimates as a % of consolidated net income available to common shareholders		2.0%	ó	3.5%	76	18.79	76		

The following tables reflect the accident years to which the reestimates shown above are applicable by line of business. Favorable reserve reestimates are shown in parentheses.

2014 Prior year reserve reestimates

	200)4 &										
(\$ in millions)	pı	rior 2	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Total
Allstate brand	\$	(38)\$	(10)	\$ (11))\$ 2	\$ (20))\$ 37	\$ (86)	\$ (35)	\$ (99)	\$ 89	\$ (171)

⁽¹⁾ Favorable reserve reestimates are shown in parentheses.

⁽²⁾ Ratios are calculated using Property-Liability premiums earned.

Prior year reserve reestimates included in catastrophe losses totaled \$43 unfavorable in 2014, \$88 million favorable in 2013 and \$410 million favorable in 2012. The effect of catastrophe losses included in prior year reserve reestimates on the combined ratio totaled 0.1 unfavorable, 0.3 favorable and 1.5 favorable in 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

Esurance brand Encompass brand	2	1		1	(1)	(2)	(2)	(9) (5)	6 (6)	(13)	(16) (9)
Total Allstate Protection Discontinued Lines	(36)	(9)	(11)	3	(21)	35	(88)	(49)	(99)	79	(196)
and Coverages	112										112
Total Property- Liability	\$ 76 \$	(9)\$	(11)\$	3 \$	(21)\$	35 \$	(88)\$	(49)\$	(99)\$	79 \$	(84)

2013 Prior year reserve reestimates

(\$ in millions)	03 & rior)4 2	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total
Allstate brand	\$ 56	\$ 5 \$	(33)\$	(44)\$	(45)	(32)	\$ (59)\$	(16)\$	(70)\$	18 5	\$ (220)
Esurance brand											
Encompass brand	2	1	1	(1)	(1)	(5)	(4)	(4)	(14)	(18)	(43)
Total Allstate Protection Discontinued Lines and Coverages	58 142	6	(32)	(45)	(46)	(37)	(63)	(20)	(84)		(263) 142
Total Property- Liability	\$ 200	\$ 6 \$	(32)\$	\$ (45)\$	(46)	\$ (37)	\$ (63)\$	\$ (20)\$	6 (84)\$	5 5	\$ (121)

Table of Contents

2012 Prior year reserve reestimates

	20	02 &												
(\$ in millions)	p	rior	20	003 2	2004	2005	2000	6 2	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011 T	Γotal
Allstate brand	\$	102	\$	(9)\$	(10)\$	(36)	\$ 1	1 \$	(11)\$	(36)\$	(33)\$	(147)\$	(502)\$	(671)
Esurance brand														
Encompass brand				(1)		(12)	(1)		(5)	(4)	(14)	(8)	(45)
Total Allstate Protection Discontinued Lines and Coverages		102 51		(10)	(10)	(48)	1	0	(11)	(41)	(37)	(161)	(510)	(716) 51
Total Property- Liability	\$	153	\$	(10)\$	(10)\$	\$ (48)	\$ 1	0 \$	(11)\$	6 (41)\$	(37)\$	(161)\$	(510)\$	(665)

Allstate brand prior year reserve reestimates were \$171 million favorable in 2014, \$220 million favorable in 2013, and \$671 million favorable in 2012. In 2014, this was primarily due to severity development that was better than expected. In 2013, this was primarily due to severity development that was better than expected and catastrophe reserve reestimates. In 2012, this was primarily due to favorable catastrophe reserve reestimates and severity development that was better than expected. The increased reserves in accident years 2002 & prior is due to a reclassification of injury reserves to older years and reserve strengthening.

These trends are primarily responsible for revisions to loss development factors, as described above, used to predict how losses are likely to develop from the end of a reporting period until all claims have been paid. Because these trends cause actual losses to differ from those predicted by the estimated development factors used in prior reserve estimates, reserves are revised as actuarial studies validate new trends based on the indications of updated development factor calculations.

The impact of these reestimates on the Allstate brand underwriting income is shown in the table below.

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Reserve reestimates	\$ (171) \$	(220) \$	(671)
Allstate brand underwriting income	2,235	2,551	1,539
Reserve reestimates as a % of underwriting income	7.7%	8.6%	43.6%

Esurance brand prior year reserve reestimates were \$16 million favorable in 2014. In 2014, this was primarily due to severity development that was better than expected. There were no prior year reserve reestimates for Esurance in 2013 or 2012. However, the Esurance opening balance sheet reserves were reestimated in 2012 resulting in a \$13 million reduction in reserves due to lower severity. The adjustment was recorded as a reduction in goodwill and an increase in payables to the seller under the terms of the purchase agreement and therefore had no impact on claims expense or the loss ratio.

The impact of these reestimates on the Esurance brand underwriting loss is shown in the table below.

(\$ in millions)	2014				
Reserve reestimates	\$	(16)			
Esurance brand underwriting loss		(259)			
Reserve reestimates as a % of underwriting loss		6.2%			

Encompass brand prior year reserve reestimates were \$9 million favorable in 2014, \$43 million favorable in 2013, and \$45 million favorable in 2012. In 2014, this was primarily due to severity development that was better than expected. In 2013 and 2012, this was related to lower than anticipated claim settlement costs and favorable catastrophe reserve reestimates.

The impact of these reestimates on the Encompass brand underwriting (loss) income is shown in the table below.

(h · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2011	2012	2012
(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012

Reserve reestimates	\$	(9) \$	(43) \$	(45)
Encompass brand underwriting (loss) income		(76)	47	(70)
Reserve reestimates as a % of underwriting (loss) income		11.8%	91.5%	64.3%
	65	5		

Table of Contents

Allstate Protection

The tables below show Allstate Protection net reserves representing the estimated cost of outstanding claims as they were recorded at the beginning of years 2014, 2013, and 2012, and the effect of reestimates in each year.

(\$ in millions)	January 1 reserves									
		2014		2013		2012				
Auto	\$	11,616	\$	11,383	\$	11,404				
Homeowners		1,821		2,008		2,439				
Other personal lines		1,512		1,596		1,531				
Commercial lines		576		627		678				
Other business lines		22		27		28				
Total Allstate Protection	\$	15,547	\$	15,641	\$	16,080				

(\$ in millions, except ratios)		201	4		201	3	2012			
			Effect			Effect		Effect		
			on			on		on		
	R	eserve	combined	R	eserve	combined	Reserve	combined		
	ree	stimate	ratio	ree	estimate	ratio	reestimate	ratio		
Auto	\$	(238)	(0.8)	\$	(237)	(0.9)	\$ (365)	(1.4)		
Homeowners		29	0.1		(5)		(321)	(1.2)		
Other personal lines		34	0.1		19		24	0.1		
Commercial lines		(20)	(0.1)		(36)	(0.1)	(48)	(0.2)		
Other business lines		(1)			(4)		(6)			
Total Allstate Protection	\$	(196)	(0.7)	\$	(263)	(1.0)	\$ (716)	(2.7)		
Underwriting income	\$	1,887		\$	2,361		\$ 1,253			
Reserve reestimates as a % of underwriting income		10.4%	<i>To</i>		11.19	<i>7</i> o	57.1%	6		

Auto reserve reestimates in 2014, 2013, and 2012 were primarily due to claim severity development that was better than expected.

Unfavorable homeowners reserve reestimates in 2014 were primarily due to unfavorable catastrophe reserve reestimates. Favorable homeowners reserve reestimates in 2013 were primarily due to favorable non-catastrophe reserve reestimates. Favorable homeowners reserve reestimates in 2012 were primarily due to favorable catastrophe reserve reestimates.

Other personal lines reserve reestimates in 2014, 2013 and 2012 were primarily the result of non-catastrophe loss development higher than anticipated in previous estimates.

Commercial lines reserve reestimates in 2014, 2013 and 2012 were primarily due to favorable non-catastrophe reserve reestimates.

Pending, new and closed claims for Allstate Protection are summarized in the following table for the years ended December 31. The increase in pending claims as of December 31, 2014 compared to December 31, 2013 relates to

Table of Contents

growth and auto frequency. The decrease in pending claims as of December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012 relates to catastrophes.

Number of claims Auto	2014	2013	2012
Pending, beginning of year	473,703	472,078	436,972
New	6,330,940	5,902,746	5,807,557
Total closed	(6,317,416)	(5,901,121)	(5,772,451)
Pending, end of year	487,227	473,703	472,078
Homeowners			
Pending, beginning of year	37,420	48,418	44,134
New	759,794	711,883	1,003,493
Total closed	(763,566)	(722,881)	(999,209)
Pending, end of year	33,648	37,420	48,418
Other personal lines			
Pending, beginning of year	17,004	42,969	19,866
New	204,549	197,424	282,625
Total closed	(206,059)	(223,389)	(259,522)
Pending, end of year	15,494	17,004	42,969
Commercial lines			
Pending, beginning of year	10,422	10,242	11,998
New	65,970	58,697	54,616
Total closed	(64,556)	(58,517)	(56,372)
Pending, end of year	11,836	10,422	10,242
Other business lines			_
Pending, beginning of year New	2	27	7 16
Total closed	(2)	(27)	(23)
Pending, end of year			
Table Aller A. Dorder d'an			
Total Allstate Protection Pending, beginning of year	538,549	573,707	512,977
New	7,361,255	6,870,777	7,148,307
Total closed	(7,351,599)	(6,905,935)	(7,087,577)
Pending, end of year	548,205	538,549	573,707

Discontinued Lines and Coverages We conduct an annual review in the third quarter of each year to evaluate and establish asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines reserves. Reserves are recorded in the reporting period in which they are determined. Using established industry and actuarial best practices and assuming no change in the regulatory or economic environment, this detailed and comprehensive methodology determines reserves based on assessments of the characteristics of exposure (e.g. claim activity, potential liability, jurisdiction, products versus non-products exposure) presented by policyholders.

Table of Contents

Reserve reestimates for the Discontinued Lines and Coverages are shown in the table below.

(\$ in millions)		20	14		2013					2012			
	Jan	uary 1	R	eserve	Jaı	anuary 1 Reserve			Jai	nuary 1	Reserve		
	res	serves	ree	reestimate		serves	reestimate		reserves		rees	stimate	
Asbestos claims	\$	1,017	\$	87	\$	1,026	\$	74	\$	1,078	\$	26	
Environmental claims		208		15		193		30		185		22	
Other discontinued lines		421		10		418		38		444		3	
Total Discontinued Lines and													
Coverages	\$	1,646	\$	112	\$	1,637	\$	142	\$	1,707	\$	51	
Underwriting loss			\$	(115)			\$	(143)			\$	(53)	
Reserve reestimates as a % of underwriting loss				(97.4)%	6			(99.3)%	%			(96.2)%	

Reserve additions for asbestos in 2014 were primarily related to more reported claims than expected and increased severity including claims from certain large insurance programs. Reserve additions for asbestos in 2013 were primarily related to a cedent's settlement with a bankrupt insured of asbestos claims in excess of a previously advised amount and loss trends from other claims. Reserve additions for asbestos in 2012 were primarily for products related coverage due to increases for the assumed reinsurance portion of discontinued lines where we are reliant on our ceding companies to report claims.

Reserve additions for environmental in 2014 were primarily related to greater reported loss activity than expected. Reserve additions for environmental in 2013 were primarily related to an adverse court ruling for site-specific disputed coverage. Reserve additions for environmental in 2012 were primarily related to site-specific remediations where the clean-up cost estimates and responsibility for the clean-up were more fully determined.

The table below summarizes reserves and claim activity for asbestos and environmental claims before (Gross) and after (Net) the effects of reinsurance for the past three years.

(\$ in millions, except ratios)	2014				201	3	2012			
	(Gross	Net	(Gross	Net	et Gross		Net	
Asbestos claims										
Beginning reserves	\$	1,495 \$	1,017	\$	1,522	1,026	\$ 1,60	7 \$	1,078	
Incurred claims and claims expense		124	87		84	74	34	4	26	
Claims and claims expense paid		(127)	(90)		(111)	(83)	(11)	9)	(78)	
Ending reserves	\$	1,492 \$	1,014	\$	1,495	5 1,017	\$ 1,522	2 \$	1,026	
Annual survival ratio		11.7	11.3		13.5	12.3	12.	3	13.2	
3-year survival ratio		12.5	12.1		14.2	14.5	14.	1	14.7	

Environmental claims Beginning reserves Incurred claims and claims expense Claims and claims expense paid	\$ 268 \$ 22 (23)	208 \$ 15 (20)	241 \$ 44 (17)	193 \$ 30 (15)	225 \$ 32 (16)	185 22 (14)
Ending reserves	\$ 267 \$	203 \$	268 \$	208 \$	241 \$	193
Annual survival ratio	11.6	10.2	15.8	13.9	15.1	13.8
3-year survival ratio	14.1	12.7	14.9	13.9	13.4	12.9
Combined environmental and asbestos claims Annual survival ratio	11.7	11.1	13.8	12.5	13.1	13.3
3-year survival ratio	12.7	12.2	14.3	14.4	14.0	14.3
Percentage of IBNR in ending reserves		56.9% 68		55.4%		57.8%

Table of Contents

The survival ratio is calculated by taking our ending reserves divided by payments made during the year. This is a commonly used but extremely simplistic and imprecise approach to measuring the adequacy of asbestos and environmental reserve levels. Many factors, such as mix of business, level of coverage provided and settlement procedures have significant impacts on the amount of environmental and asbestos claims and claims expense reserves, claim payments and the resultant ratio. As payments result in corresponding reserve reductions, survival ratios can be expected to vary over time.

In 2014 and 2013, the asbestos net 3-year survival ratio decreased due to increased claim payments. The environmental net 3-year survival ratio decreased in 2014 due to increased claim payments and increased in 2013 due to reserve additions.

Our net asbestos reserves by type of exposure and total reserve additions are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	December 31, 2014 Active				Dece Active	ecember 31, 2013				December 31, 2012 Active			
	policy-		Net				Net	% of			Net	% of	
holders reserves reserves holders reserves reserves holders reserves reserves													
Direct policyholders:													
Primary	44	\$	8	1%	53	\$	7	1%	54	\$	12	1%	
Excess	296		265	26	301		267	26	299		276	27	
Total	340		273	27	354		274	27	353		288	28	
Assumed reinsurance			166	16			171	17			150	15	
IBNR			575	57			572	56			588	57	
Total net reserves		\$	1,014	100%		\$	1,017	100%		\$	1,026	100%	
Total reserve additions		\$	87			\$	74			\$	26		

During the last three years, 40 direct primary and excess policyholders reported new claims, and claims of 66 policyholders were closed, decreasing the number of active policyholders by 26 during the period. There was a net decrease of 14 in 2014, including 13 new policyholders reporting new claims and the closing of 27 policyholders' claims. There was a net increase of 1 in 2013, including 12 new policyholders reporting new claims and the closing of 11 policyholders' claims. There was a net decrease of 13 in 2012 including 15 new policyholders reporting new claims and the closing of 28 policyholders' claims.

IBNR net reserves increased \$3 million as of December 31, 2014 compared to December 31, 2013. As of December 31, 2014 IBNR represented 57% of total net asbestos reserves, compared to 56% as of December 31, 2013. IBNR provides for reserve development of known claims and future reporting of additional unknown claims from current policyholders and ceding companies.

Pending, new, total closed and closed without payment claims for asbestos and environmental exposures for the years ended December 31 are summarized in the following table.

Number of claims	2014	2013	2012
Asbestos			

Pending, beginning of year New Total closed	7,444 727 (865)	7,447 736 (739)	8,072 492 (1,117)
Pending, end of year	7,306	7,444	7,447
Closed without payment	433	451	728
Environmental Pending, beginning of year	3,717	3,676	4,176
New	381	3,070 464	402
Total closed	(546)	(423)	(902)
Pending, end of year	3,552	3,717	3,676
Closed without payment	369	299	511

Table of Contents

Property-Liability reinsurance ceded For Allstate Protection, we utilize reinsurance to reduce exposure to catastrophe risk and manage capital, and to support the required statutory surplus and the insurance financial strength ratings of certain subsidiaries such as Castle Key Insurance Company and Allstate New Jersey Insurance Company. We purchase significant reinsurance to manage our aggregate countrywide exposure to an acceptable level. The price and terms of reinsurance and the credit quality of the reinsurer are considered in the purchase process, along with whether the price can be appropriately reflected in the costs that are considered in setting future rates charged to policyholders. We also participate in various reinsurance mechanisms, including industry pools and facilities, which are backed by the financial resources of the property-liability insurance company market participants, and have historically purchased reinsurance to mitigate long-tail liability lines, including environmental, asbestos and other discontinued lines exposures. We retain primary liability as a direct insurer for all risks ceded to reinsurers. The Michigan Catastrophic Claim Association provides indemnification for losses over a retention level and under the National Flood Insurance Program the Federal Government pays all covered claims.

Our reinsurance recoverable balances are shown in the following table as of December 31, net of the allowance we have established for uncollectible amounts.

(\$ in millions)	Standard & Poor's financial strength rating (1)	Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid claims, net				
		2014	2013			
Industry pools and facilities		(2)	(2)			
Michigan Catastrophic Claim Association ("MCCA")	N/A	\$ 4,419 (2) \$	3,462 (2)			
New Jersey Unsatisfied Claim and Judgment Fund ("NJUCJF")	N/A	508	378			
North Carolina Reinsurance Facility	N/A	60	58			
National Flood Insurance Program	N/A	7	32			
Other		2	2			
Subtotal		4,996	3,932			
Lloyd's of London ("Lloyd's")	A+	202	191			
Westport Insurance Corporation (formerly Employers Reinsurance Corporation)	AA-	65	85			
New England Reinsurance Corporation	N/A	33	33			
R&Q Reinsurance Company	N/A	28	29			
Clearwater Insurance Company	N/A	27	28			
One Beacon Insurance Company	N/A	23	24			
Swiss Reinsurance America Corporation	AA-	23	29			
Other, including allowance for future uncollectible reinsurance recoverables		386	398			
Subtotal		787	817			
Total Property-Liability		\$ 5,783 \$	4,749			

⁽¹⁾ N/A reflects no rating available.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, MCCA includes \$32 million and \$29 million of reinsurance recoverable on paid claims, respectively, and \$4.39 billion and \$3.43 billion of reinsurance recoverable on unpaid claims, respectively.

Reinsurance recoverables include an estimate of the amount of property-liability insurance claims and claims expense reserves that are ceded under the terms of the reinsurance agreements, including incurred but not reported unpaid losses. We calculate our ceded reinsurance estimate based on the terms of each applicable reinsurance agreement, including an estimate of how IBNR losses will ultimately be ceded under the agreement. We also consider other limitations and coverage exclusions under our reinsurance agreements. Accordingly, our estimate of reinsurance recoverables is subject to similar risks and uncertainties as our estimate of reserves for property-liability claims and claims expense. We believe the recoverables are appropriately established; however, as our underlying reserves continue to develop, the amount ultimately recoverable may vary from amounts currently recorded. We regularly evaluate the reinsurers and the respective amounts recoverable, and a provision for uncollectible reinsurance is recorded

70

Table of Contents

if needed. The establishment of reinsurance recoverables and the related allowance for uncollectible reinsurance is also an inherently uncertain process involving estimates. Changes in estimates could result in additional changes to the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The allowance for uncollectible reinsurance primarily relates to Discontinued Lines and Coverages reinsurance recoverables and was \$95 million and \$92 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The allowance for Discontinued Lines and Coverages represents 12.9% and 12.6% of the related reinsurance recoverable balances as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The allowance is based upon our ongoing review of amounts outstanding, length of collection periods, changes in reinsurer credit standing, and other relevant factors. In addition, in the ordinary course of business, we may become involved in coverage disputes with certain of our reinsurers which may ultimately result in lawsuits and arbitrations brought by or against such reinsurers to determine the parties' rights and obligations under the various reinsurance agreements. We employ dedicated specialists to manage reinsurance collections and disputes. We also consider recent developments in commutation activity between reinsurers and cedants, and recent trends in arbitration and litigation outcomes in disputes between cedants and reinsurers in seeking to maximize our reinsurance recoveries.

Adverse developments in the insurance industry have led to a decline in the financial strength of some of our reinsurance carriers, causing amounts recoverable from them and future claims ceded to them to be considered a higher risk. There has also been consolidation activity in the industry, which causes reinsurance risk across the industry to be concentrated among fewer companies. In addition, some companies have segregated asbestos, environmental, and other discontinued lines exposures into separate legal entities with dedicated capital. Regulatory bodies in certain cases have supported these actions. We are unable to determine the impact, if any, that these developments will have on the collectability of reinsurance recoverables in the future.

For a detailed description of the MCCA, NJUCJF and Lloyd's, see Note 10 of the consolidated financial statements. As of December 31, 2014, other than the recoverable balances listed in the table above, no other amount due or estimated to be due from any single Property-Liability reinsurer was in excess of \$21 million.

The effects of reinsurance ceded on our property-liability premiums earned and claims and claims expense for the years ended December 31 are summarized in the following table.

(\$ in millions) Ceded property-liability premiums earned	\$ 2014 1,030		2013 1,069	\$ 2012 1,090
Ceded property-liability claims and claims expense Industry pool and facilities				
MCCA	\$ 1,042	\$	954	\$ 962
National Flood Insurance Program	38		289	758
NJUCJF	158		356	5
Other	69		63	65
Subtotal industry pools and facilities	1,307		1,662	1,790
Other	86		55	261
Ceded property-liability claims and claims expense	\$ 1,393	\$	1,717	\$ 2,051

In 2014, ceded property-liability premiums earned decreased \$39 million compared to 2013, primarily due to decreased reinsurance premium rates and acquiring additional reinsurance in the capital markets. In 2013, ceded property-liability premiums earned decreased \$21 million compared to 2012, primarily due to decreased premium rates, acquiring reinsurance in the capital markets and lower limits placed in our catastrophe reinsurance program, partially offset by higher MCCA reinsurance premiums due to an increase in policies written in Michigan. MCCA ceded premiums were \$99 million, \$101 million and \$78 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Ceded property-liability claims and claims expense decreased in 2014 primarily due to lower amounts ceded to the national Flood Insurance Program and lower reserve increases for the NJUCJF program. Ceded property-liability claims and claims expense decreased in 2013 primarily due to lower amounts ceded to the National Flood Insurance Program, partially offset by reserve increases for the NJUCJF program.

Table of Contents

Our claim reserve development experience is similar to the MCCA with reported and pending claims increasing in recent years. Moreover, the MCCA has reported severity increasing with nearly 60% of reimbursements for attendant and residential care services. Michigan's unique no-fault auto insurance law provides unlimited lifetime coverage for medical expenses resulting from vehicle accidents. The reserve increases in the MCCA program are attributable to an increased recognition of longer term paid loss trends. The paid loss trends are rising due to increased costs in medical and attendant care and increased longevity of claimants.

The table below summarizes reserves and claim activity for Michigan personal injury protection claims before (Gross) and after (Net) the effects of MCCA reinsurance for the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2014 201			13		201	2012				
	(Gross		Net	Gross		Net		Gross		Net
Beginning reserves	\$	3,798	\$	365	\$ 2,866	\$	299	\$	1,957	\$	267
Incurred claims and claims											
expense-current year		420		178	417		181		272		114
Incurred claims and claims											
expense-prior years		819		19	731		13		832		27
Claims and claims expense											
paid-current year (2)		(46)		(45)	(44)		(42)		(36)		(35)
Claims and claims expense paid-prior											
years (2)		(187)		(100)	(172)		(86)		(159)		(74)
Ending reserves	\$	4,804 (1	\$	417	\$ 3,798	1) \$	365	\$	2,866	\$	299

- (1) Reserves for the year ended December 31, 2014 comprise 86% case reserves (claims with a file review conducted) and 14% IBNR. Reserves for the year ended December 31, 2013 comprise 66% case reserves and 34% IBNR.
- Paid claims and claims expenses, reported in the table for the current and prior year, recovered from the MCCA totaled \$88 million, \$88 million and \$86 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Pending MCCA claims differ from most personal lines insurance pending claims as other personal lines policies have coverage limits and incurred claims settle in shorter periods. Claims are considered pending as long as payments are continuing pursuant to an outstanding MCCA claim, which can be for a claimant's lifetime. Claims that occurred more than 5 years ago and continuing to be paid often include lifetime benefits. Pending, new and closed claims for Michigan personal injury protection exposures, including those covered and not covered by the MCCA reinsurance, for the years ended December 31 are summarized in the following table.

Number of claims	2014	2013	2012
Pending, beginning of year	4,684	4,029	3,844
New	8,620	8,531	7,629
Total closed	8,368	7,876	7,444
Pending, end of year	4,936	4,684	4,029

As of December 31, 2014, approximately 1,100 of our pending claims have been reported to the MCCA, of which approximately 75% represents claims that occurred more than 5 years ago. There are 68 Allstate brand claims with reserves in excess of \$15 million as of December 31, 2014 which comprise approximately 40% of the gross ending reserves in the table above.

The reserve increases in the NJUCJF program in 2014 and 2013 are attributable to unlimited personal injury protection coverage on policies written prior to 1991. The ceded claims reflects increased longer term paid loss trends due to increased costs of medical care and increased longevity of claimants. New claims for this cohort of policies are unlikely and pending claims are expected to decline.

We enter into certain intercompany insurance and reinsurance transactions for the Property-Liability operations in order to maintain underwriting control and manage insurance risk among various legal entities. These reinsurance agreements have been approved by the appropriate regulatory authorities. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Catastrophe reinsurance

Our catastrophe reinsurance program is designed, utilizing our risk management methodology, to address our exposure to catastrophes nationwide. Our program is designed to provide reinsurance protection for catastrophes including hurricanes, windstorms, hail, tornados, fires following earthquakes, earthquakes and wildfires. These

Table of Contents

reinsurance agreements are part of our catastrophe management strategy, which is intended to provide our shareholders an acceptable return on the risks assumed in our property business, and to reduce variability of earnings, while providing protection to our customers.

We anticipate completing the placement of our 2015 catastrophe reinsurance program in the second quarter of 2015. We expect the program will be similar to our 2014 catastrophe reinsurance program. For further details of the existing 2014 program, see Note 10 of the consolidated financial statements.

ALLSTATE FINANCIAL 2014 HIGHLIGHTS

Net income available to common shareholders was \$631 million in 2014 compared to \$95 million in 2013.

Premiums and contract charges on underwritten products, including traditional life, interest-sensitive life and accident and health insurance, totaled \$2.13 billion in 2014, a decrease of 7.1% from \$2.30 billion in 2013.

Investments totaled \$38.81 billion as of December 31, 2014, reflecting a decrease of \$296 million from \$39.11 billion as of December 31, 2013. Investments as of December 31, 2013 excluded LBL investments classified as held for sale. Net investment income decreased 16.0% to \$2.13 billion in 2014 from \$2.54 billion in 2013.

Net realized capital gains totaled \$144 million in 2014 compared to \$74 million in 2013.

Contractholder funds totaled \$22.53 billion as of December 31, 2014, reflecting a decrease of \$1.77 billion from \$24.30 billion as of December 31, 2013. Contractholder funds as of December 31, 2013 excluded LBL amounts classified as held for sale.

On April 1, 2014, we sold LBL's life insurance business generated through independent master brokerage agencies, and all of LBL's deferred fixed annuity and long-term care insurance business to Resolution Life Holdings, Inc. The loss on disposition increased by \$60 million, after-tax in 2014. Most of the amount in 2014 represents non-cash charges.

ALLSTATE FINANCIAL SEGMENT

Overview and strategy The Allstate Financial segment sells traditional, interest-sensitive and variable life insurance and voluntary accident and health insurance products. We serve our customers through Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists, and workplace enrolling independent agents. We previously offered and continue to have in force fixed annuities such as deferred and immediate annuities, and institutional products consisting of funding agreements sold to unaffiliated trusts that use them to back medium-term notes. Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists have a portfolio of non-proprietary products to sell, including mutual funds, fixed and variable annuities, disability insurance and long-term care insurance, to help meet customer needs.

Allstate Financial brings value to The Allstate Corporation in three principal ways: through profitable growth, by bringing new customers to Allstate, and by improving the economics of the Protection business through increased customer loyalty and stronger customer relationships based on cross selling Allstate Financial products to existing customers. Allstate Financial's strategy is focused on expanding Allstate customer relationships, growing the number of products delivered to customers through Allstate exclusive agencies and Allstate Benefits (our workplace distribution business), managing the run-off of our in-force annuity products while taking actions to improve returns, and emphasizing capital efficiency and shareholder returns.

Our strategy for our life insurance business centers on the continuation of our efforts to fully integrate the business into the Allstate brand customer value proposition and modernizing our operating model. The life insurance product portfolio and sales process are being redesigned with a focus on clear and distinct positioning to meet the varied needs of Allstate customers. Our product positioning will provide solutions to help meet customer needs during various life stages ranging from basic mortality protection to more complex mortality and financial planning solutions. Basic mortality protection solutions will be provided through less complex products, such as term and whole life insurance, sold through exclusive agents and licensed sales professionals to deepen customer relationships. More advanced mortality and financial planning solutions will be provided primarily through exclusive financial specialists with an emphasis on our more complex offerings, such as universal life insurance products. Sales producer education and technology improvements are being made to ensure agencies have the tools and information needed to help customers meet their needs and build personal relationships as trusted advisors. Additionally, tools will be made available to consumers to help them understand their needs and encourage interaction with their local agencies.

Our employer relationships through Allstate Benefits also afford opportunities to offer Allstate products to more customers and grow our business. Allstate Benefits is an industry leader in the voluntary benefits market, offering a broad range of products, including critical illness, accident, cancer, hospital indemnity, disability and universal life. Allstate Benefits differentiates itself by offering a broad product portfolio,

Table of Contents

(including significant presence on private exchanges), and its strong national accounts team, as well as the well-recognized Allstate brand.

Market trends for voluntary benefits are favorable as the market has nearly doubled in size since 2006, driven by the ability of voluntary benefits to fill gaps from employers seeking to contain rising health care costs, by providing lower cost benefits, and shifting costs to employees. Allstate Benefits has introduced new products and enhanced existing products to address these gaps by providing protection for catastrophic events such as a critical illness, accident or hospital stay. Originally a provider of voluntary benefits to small and mid-sized businesses, Allstate Benefits now provides benefit solutions to companies of all sizes and industries including the large account voluntary benefits marketplace.

Allstate Benefits's strategy for growth includes expansion in the national accounts market by increasing the number of sales, enrollment technology and account management personnel and expanding independent agent distribution in targeted geographic locations for increased new sales. Additionally, we are increasing Allstate exclusive agency engagement to drive cross selling of voluntary benefits products, and developing opportunities for revenue growth through new product and fee income offerings. Allstate Benefits new business written premiums increased 5.0% and 9.4% in 2014 and 2013, respectively. Allstate Benefits also plans to expand into the Canadian market in 2015.

Our in-force deferred and immediate annuity business has been adversely impacted by the credit cycle and historically low interest rate environment. Our immediate annuity business has also been impacted by medical advancements that have resulted in annuitants living longer than anticipated when many of these contracts were originated. We focus on the distinct risk and return profiles of the specific products outstanding when developing investment and liability management strategies. We have significantly reduced the level of legacy deferred annuities in force and proactively manage the investment portfolio and annuity crediting rates to improve the profitability of the business. We are managing the investment portfolio supporting our immediate annuities to ensure the assets match the characteristics of the liabilities and provide the long-term returns needed to support this business. We continue to increase investments in which we have ownership interests and a greater proportion of return is derived from idiosyncratic operating or market performance, including limited partnerships, equities and real estate, to more appropriately match the long-term nature of our immediate annuities. To transition our annuity business to a more efficient variable cost structure, we plan to outsource the administration of the business to a third party administration company in 2015.

Allstate Financial outlook

Our growth initiatives continue to focus on increasing the number of customers served through our proprietary Allstate agency and Allstate Benefits channels.

We expect lower investment spread due to the continuing managed reduction in contractholder funds and the low interest rate environment.

We will continue to focus on improving returns on our in-force annuity products and managing the impacts of historically low interest rates. We anticipate a continuation of our asset allocation strategy for long-term immediate annuities to have less reliance on investments whose returns come primarily from interest payments to investments in which we have ownership interests and a greater proportion of return is derived from idiosyncratic operating or market performance, including limited partnerships, equities and real estate. This shift could result in lower and more volatile investment income; however, we anticipate that this strategy will lead to higher long-term total returns on attributed equity.

Allstate Financial has limitations on the amount of dividends Allstate Financial companies can pay without prior insurance department approval. Accordingly, the level of distributions in 2015 may be lower than 2014.

We continue to review our strategic options to reduce our exposure and improve returns of the spread-based businesses. As a result, we may take additional operational and financial actions that offer return improvement and risk reduction opportunities.

Table of Contents

Summary analysis Summarized financial data for the years ended December 31 is presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions) Revenues		2014	2013			2012		
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges	\$	2,157	\$	2,352	\$	2,241		
Net investment income	Ψ	2,131	Ψ	2,538	Ψ	2,647		
Realized capital gains and losses		144		74		(13)		
Total revenues		4,432		4,964		4,875		
Costs and expenses								
Life and annuity contract benefits		(1,765)		(1,917)		(1,818)		
Interest credited to contractholder funds		(919)		(1,278)		(1,316)		
Amortization of DAC		(260)		(328)		(401)		
Operating costs and expenses		(466)		(565)		(576)		
Restructuring and related charges		(2)		(7)				
Total costs and expenses		(3,412)		(4,095)		(4,111)		
(Loss) gain on disposition of operations		(90)		(687)		18		
Income tax expense		(299)		(87)		(241)		
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	631	\$	95	\$	541		
Life insurance	\$	242	\$	15	\$	226		
Accident and health insurance		105		87		81		
Annuities and institutional products		284		(7)		234		
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	631	\$	95	\$	541		
Allstate Life	\$	232	\$	2	\$	224		
Allstate Benefits		115		100		83		
Allstate Annuities		284		(7)		234		
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	631	\$	95	\$	541		
Investments as of December 31 Investments classified as held for sale as of December 31	\$	38,809	\$	39,105 11,983	\$	56,999		
11.1. 0.01	.11.	. 2014			. ,	1012 FIL :		

Net income available to common shareholders was \$631 million in 2014 compared to \$95 million in 2013. The increase primarily relates to lower loss on disposition charges related to the LBL sale, partially offset by the reduction in business due to the sale of LBL on April 1, 2014. Net income available to common shareholders in 2014 and 2013 included an after-tax loss on disposition of LBL totaling \$60 million and \$521 million, respectively. Excluding the loss on disposition as well as the net income of the LBL business for second through fourth quarter 2013 of \$116 million, net income available to common shareholders increased \$191 million in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to lower interest credited to contractholder funds, higher net realized capital gains, lower operating costs and expenses, lower amortization of DAC, and higher life and annuity premiums and contract charges, partially offset by higher life and annuity contract benefits and lower net investment income.

Net income available to common shareholders was \$95 million in 2013 compared to \$541 million in 2012. The decrease was primarily due to the estimated loss on disposition related to the pending LBL sale, lower net investment income and higher life and annuity contract benefits, partially offset by higher life and annuity premiums and contract charges, net realized capital gains in 2013 compared to net realized capital

losses in 2012 and decreased amortization of DAC.

Analysis of revenues Total revenues decreased 10.7% or \$532 million in 2014 compared to 2013. Excluding results of the LBL business for second through fourth quarter 2013 of \$651 million, total revenues increased 2.8% or \$119 million in 2014 compared to 2013, due to higher net realized capital gains and higher life and annuity premiums and contract charges, partially offset by lower net investment income. Total revenues increased 1.8% or \$89 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to higher life and annuity premiums and contract charges and net realized capital gains in 2013 compared to net realized capital losses in 2012, partially offset by lower net investment income.

Life and annuity premiums and contract charges Premiums represent revenues generated from traditional life insurance, immediate annuities with life contingencies, and accident and health insurance products that have significant

Table of Contents

mortality or morbidity risk. Contract charges are revenues generated from interest-sensitive and variable life insurance and fixed annuities for which deposits are classified as contractholder funds or separate account liabilities. Contract charges are assessed against the contractholder account values for maintenance, administration, cost of insurance and surrender prior to contractually specified dates.

The following table summarizes life and annuity premiums and contract charges by product for the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012		
Underwritten products					
Traditional life insurance premiums	\$ 476	\$ 455	\$	434	
Accident and health insurance premiums	8	26		26	
Interest-sensitive life insurance contract charges	781	991		969	
Subtotal Allstate Life	1,265	1,472		1,429	
Traditional life insurance premiums	35	36		36	
Accident and health insurance premiums	736	694		627	
Interest-sensitive life insurance contract charges	98	95		86	
Subtotal Allstate Benefits	869	825		749	
Total underwritten products	2,134	2,297		2,178	
Annuities					
Immediate annuities with life contingencies premiums	4	37		45	
Other fixed annuity contract charges	19	18		18	
Total Allstate Annuities	23	55		63	
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges (1)	\$ 2,157	\$ 2,352	\$	2,241	

(1) Contract charges related to the cost of insurance totaled \$593 million, \$725 million and \$696 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Total premiums and contract charges decreased 8.3% or \$195 million in 2014 compared to 2013. Excluding results of the LBL business for second through fourth quarter 2013 of \$254 million, premiums and contract charges increased \$59 million in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to growth in Allstate Benefits accident and health insurance business and increased traditional life insurance premiums due to higher renewals and sales through Allstate agencies, partially offset by lower premiums on immediate annuities with life contingencies due to discontinuing new sales January 1, 2014. The growth at Allstate Benefits primarily relates to accident and critical illness products and an increase in the number of employer groups.

Total premiums and contract charges increased 5.0% in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to growth in Allstate Benefits accident and health insurance business, higher contract charges on interest-sensitive life insurance products primarily resulting from the aging of our policyholders and growth of insurance in force, and increased traditional life insurance premiums due to lower reinsurance premiums ceded and higher sales and renewals through Allstate agencies, partially offset by lower sales of immediate annuities with life contingencies.

Allstate agencies and exclusive financial specialists continue to sell LBL life products until Allstate Financial transitions these products to Allstate Assurance Company beginning first quarter 2015. LBL life business sold through the Allstate agency channel and all LBL payout annuity business continues to be reinsured and serviced by Allstate Life Insurance Company ("ALIC"). Following the closing of the sale, LBL was rated A- from A.M. Best and BBB+ from Standard & Poor's ("S&P"). ALIC is rated A+ by A.M. Best, A+ by S&P and A1 by Moody's.

Allstate Assurance Company is rated A by A.M. Best and A1 by Moody's. As of December 31, 2014, ALIC assumed from LBL \$3.82 billion of reserves for life-contingent contract benefits and contractholder funds. In 2014, life and annuity premiums and contract charges of \$784 million, contract benefits of \$487 million, and interest credited to contractholder funds of \$166 million were assumed from LBL. Allstate will continue to service the LBL business that was sold until the servicing transitions to third party administration companies, which have experienced delays and are expected to be completed in 2015.

Contractholder funds represent interest-bearing liabilities arising from the sale of products such as interest-sensitive life insurance, fixed annuities and funding agreements. The balance of contractholder funds is equal to the cumulative deposits received and interest credited to the contractholder less cumulative contract benefits, surrenders, withdrawals,

76

Table of Contents

maturities and contract charges for mortality or administrative expenses. The following table shows the changes in contractholder funds for the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions) Contractholder funds, beginning balance Contractholder funds classified as held for sale, beginning balance	\$ 2014 24,304 \$ 10,945	2013 39,319 \$	2012 42,332
Total contractholder funds, including those classified as held for sale	35,249	39,319	42,332
Deposits Interest-sensitive life insurance Fixed annuities	1,059 274	1,378 1,062	1,347 928
Total deposits	1,333	2,440	2,275
Interest credited	919	1,295	1,323
Benefits, withdrawals, maturities and other adjustments Benefits Surrenders and partial withdrawals Maturities of and interest payments on institutional products Contract charges Net transfers from separate accounts Other adjustments (1)	(1,197) (2,273) (2) (881) 7 36	(1,535) (3,299) (1,799) (1,112) 12 (72)	(1,463) (3,990) (138) (1,066) 11 35
Total benefits, withdrawals, maturities and other adjustments	(4,310)	(7,805)	(6,611)
Contractholder funds sold in LBL disposition	(10,662)		
Contractholder funds classified as held for sale, ending balance		(10,945)	
Contractholder funds, ending balance	\$ 22,529 \$	24,304 \$	39,319

The table above illustrates the changes in contractholder funds, which are presented gross of reinsurance recoverables on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. The table above is intended to supplement our discussion and analysis of revenues, which are presented net of reinsurance on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. As a result, the net change in contractholder funds associated with products reinsured to third parties is reflected as a component of the other adjustments line.

Contractholder funds decreased 7.3% in 2014 due to no longer offering fixed annuity products beginning January 1, 2014. Contractholder funds decreased 38.2% in 2013 reflecting the reclassification of contractholder funds held for sale relating to the LBL sale. Contractholder funds, including those classified as held for sale, decreased 10.4% in 2013, reflecting a large institutional product maturity in 2013 and our continuing strategy to reduce our concentration in spread-based products.

Contractholder deposits decreased 45.4% in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to no longer offering fixed annuity products beginning January 1, 2014, as well as lower deposits on interest-sensitive life insurance due to the LBL sale. Contractholder deposits increased 7.3% in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to increased fixed annuity deposits driven by the new equity-indexed annuity products and higher deposits on immediate annuities, as well as higher deposits on interest-sensitive life insurance.

Surrenders and partial withdrawals on deferred fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance products decreased 31.1% to \$2.27 billion in 2014 from \$3.30 billion in 2013, primarily due to the LBL sale. Surrenders and partial withdrawals on deferred fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance products decreased 17.3% to \$3.30 billion in 2013 from \$3.99 billion in 2012. The surrender and partial withdrawal rate on deferred fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance products, based on the beginning of year contractholder funds, was 9.9% in 2014 compared to 10.2% in 2013 and 11.3% in 2012.

Maturities of and interest payments on institutional products included a \$1.75 billion maturity in 2013. There are \$85 million of institutional products outstanding as of December 31, 2014.

Net investment income decreased 16.0% or \$407 million to \$2.13 billion in 2014 from \$2.54 billion in 2013. Excluding results of the LBL business for second through fourth quarter 2013 of \$397 million, net investment income decreased

Table of Contents

\$10 million in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to lower average investment balances, partially offset by higher limited partnership income. Net investment income decreased 4.1% or \$109 million to \$2.54 billion in 2013 from \$2.65 billion in 2012, primarily due to lower average investment balances, partially offset by higher prepayment fee income and litigation proceeds which together increased income by a total of \$50 million in 2013 and higher limited partnership income. The average pre-tax investment yields were 5.6% for 2014 and 5.1% for both 2013 and 2012.

Net realized capital gains and losses for the years ended December 31 are presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	2	2014	2013	2012
Impairment write-downs	\$	(11) \$	(33) \$	(51)
Change in intent write-downs		(44)	(19)	(17)
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings		(55)	(52)	(68)
Sales		185	112	20
Valuation and settlements of derivative instruments		14	14	35
Realized capital gains and losses, pre-tax		144	74	(13)
Income tax (expense) benefit		(50)	(28)	5
Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	\$	94 \$	46 \$	(8)

For further discussion of realized capital gains and losses, see the Investments section of the MD&A.

Analysis of costs and expenses Total costs and expenses decreased 16.7% or \$683 million in 2014 compared to 2013. Excluding results of the LBL business for second through fourth quarter 2013 of \$475 million, total costs and expenses decreased \$208 million in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to lower interest credited to contractholder funds, lower operating costs and expenses and lower amortization of DAC, partially offset by higher life and annuity contract benefits. Total costs and expenses decreased 0.4% or \$16 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to lower amortization of DAC and interest credited to contractholder funds, partially offset by higher life and annuity contract benefits.

Life and annuity contract benefits decreased 7.9% or \$152 million in 2014 compared to 2013. Excluding results of the LBL business for second through fourth quarter 2013 of \$173 million, life and annuity contract benefits increased \$21 million in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to worse mortality experience on life insurance and growth at Allstate Benefits. Our 2014 annual review of assumptions resulted in an \$11 million increase in reserves primarily for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance due to increased projected exposure to secondary guarantees.

Life and annuity contract benefits increased 5.4% or \$99 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to an increase in reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance, growth at Allstate Benefits and worse mortality experience on life insurance. Our 2013 annual review of assumptions resulted in a \$37 million increase in reserves primarily for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance due to higher concentration of and increased projected exposure to secondary guarantees.

We analyze our mortality and morbidity results using the difference between premiums and contract charges earned for the cost of insurance and life and annuity contract benefits excluding the portion related to the implied interest on immediate annuities with life contingencies ("benefit spread"). This implied interest totaled \$521 million, \$527 million and \$538 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

The benefit spread by product group for the years ended December 31 is disclosed in the following table.

(\$ in millions) Life insurance Accident and health insurance	201 ²	2013 287 \$ (8)	301 \$ (18)	330 (9)
Subtotal Allstate Life		279	283	321
Life insurance Accident and health insurance		17 397	21 356	17 312
Subtotal Allstate Benefits		414	377	329
Allstate Annuities		(85)	(77)	(66)
Total benefit spread	\$	608 \$	583 \$	584

Benefit spread increased 4.3% or \$25 million in 2014 compared to 2013. Excluding results of the LBL business for second through fourth quarter 2013 of \$11 million, benefit spread increased \$36 million in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to growth in Allstate Benefits accident and health insurance and higher premiums and cost of insurance contract charges on life insurance, partially offset by worse mortality experience on life insurance and immediate annuities.

Benefit spread decreased 0.2% or \$1 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to the increase in reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance and worse mortality experience on life insurance and annuities, partially offset by premium growth in Allstate Benefits accident and health insurance and higher cost of insurance contract charges on interest-sensitive life insurance.

Interest credited to contractholder funds decreased 28.1% or \$359 million in 2014 compared to 2013. Excluding results of the LBL business for second through fourth quarter 2013 of \$270 million, interest credited to contractholder funds decreased \$89 million in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to lower average contractholder funds and lower interest crediting rates. Interest credited to contractholder funds decreased 2.9% or \$38 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to lower average contractholder funds and lower interest crediting rates, partially offset by the valuation change on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts that reduced interest credited expense in 2012. Valuation changes on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts that are not hedged increased interest credited to contractholder funds by \$22 million in 2014 compared to a \$24 million increase in 2013 and a \$126 million decrease in 2012. During third quarter 2012, we reviewed the significant valuation inputs for these embedded derivatives and reduced the projected option cost to reflect management's current and anticipated crediting rate setting actions, which were informed by the existing and projected low interest rate environment and are consistent with our strategy to reduce exposure to spread-based business. The reduction in projected interest rates resulted in a reduction of contractholder funds and interest credited expense by \$169 million in 2012.

In order to analyze the impact of net investment income and interest credited to contractholders on net income, we monitor the difference between net investment income and the sum of interest credited to contractholder funds and the implied interest on immediate annuities with life contingencies, which is included as a component of life and annuity contract benefits on the Consolidated Statements of Operations ("investment spread").

Table of Contents

The investment spread by product group for the years ended December 31 is shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	2014		2013	2012
Life insurance	\$ 93	\$	93	\$ 72
Accident and health insurance	8		14	13
Net investment income on investments supporting capital	110		113	101
Subtotal Allstate Life	211		220	186
Life insurance	10		12	10
Accident and health insurance	11		11	12
Net investment income on investments supporting capital	15		14	15
Subtotal Allstate Benefits	36		37	37
Annuities and institutional products	320		342	292
Net investment income on investments supporting capital	146		158	152
Subtotal Allstate Annuities	466		500	444
Investment spread before valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged	713		757	667
Valuation changes on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts that are not hedged	(22)	ı	(24)	126
Total investment spread	\$ 691	\$	733	\$ 793

Investment spread before valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged decreased 5.8% or \$44 million in 2014 compared to 2013. Excluding results of the LBL business for the second through fourth quarter of 2013 of \$149 million, investment spread before valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged increased \$105 million in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to higher limited partnership income, higher fixed income yields and lower crediting rates, partially offset by the continued managed reduction in our spread-based business in force. Investment spread before valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged increased 13.5% or \$90 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to lower crediting rates, higher prepayment fee income and litigation proceeds and higher limited partnership income, partially offset by the continued managed reduction in our spread-based business in force.

To further analyze investment spreads, the following table summarizes the weighted average investment yield on assets supporting product liabilities and capital, interest crediting rates and investment spreads. For purposes of these calculations, investments, reserves and contractholder funds classified as held for sale were included for periods prior to April 1, 2014.

	8	ıted aveı tment yi	0	0	ited aver crediting	0	Weighted average investment spreads			
	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012	
Interest-sensitive life insurance	5.3%	5.1%	5.2%	3.9%	3.8%	4.0%	1.4%	1.3%	1.2%	
Deferred fixed annuities and institutional										
products	4.5	4.5	4.6	2.9	2.9	3.2	1.6	1.6	1.4	
Immediate fixed annuities with and										
without life contingencies	7.3	6.9	6.9	6.0	6.0	6.1	1.3	0.9	0.8	
Investments supporting capital, traditional										
life and other products	4.4	4.0	4.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
		8	80							

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our product liabilities as of December 31 and indicates the account value of those contracts and policies in which an investment spread is generated.

(\$ in millions)		2014		2013		2012
Immediate fixed annuities with life contingencies	\$	8,904	\$	8,928	\$	8,889
Other life contingent contracts and other		3,476		3,458		6,006
Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits	\$	12,380	\$	12,386	\$	14,895
Interest-sensitive life insurance	\$	7,880	\$	7,777	\$	11,011
Deferred fixed annuities		10,860		12,524		22,066
Immediate fixed annuities without life contingencies		3,450		3,675		3,815
Institutional products		85		85		1,851
Other		254		243		576
Contractholder funds	\$	22,529	\$	24,304	\$	39,319
Traditional life insurance	\$		\$	570	\$	
Accident and health insurance	Ψ		Ψ	1,324	Ψ	
Interest-sensitive life insurance				3,529		
Deferred fixed annuities				7,416		
Liabilities held for sale	\$		\$	12,839	\$	

Amortization of DAC The components of amortization of DAC for the years ended December 31 are summarized in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	2	2014	2013	2012
Amortization of DAC before amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses, valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged and changes in assumptions Amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses (1) and valuation changes on	\$	263 \$	298	\$ 310
embedded derivatives that are not hedged Amortization (deceleration) acceleration for changes in assumptions ("DAC		5	7	57
unlocking")		(8)	23	34
Total amortization of DAC	\$	260 \$	328	\$ 401

The impact of realized capital gains and losses on amortization of DAC is dependent upon the relationship between the assets that give rise to the gain or loss and the product liability supported by the assets. Fluctuations result from changes in the impact of realized capital gains and losses on actual and expected gross profits.

Amortization of DAC decreased 20.7% or \$68 million in 2014 compared to 2013. Excluding results of the LBL business for second through fourth quarter 2013 of \$1 million, amortization of DAC decreased \$67 million in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to amortization deceleration for changes in assumptions in 2014 compared to amortization acceleration in 2013, partially offset by higher amortization on accident and health insurance resulting from growth.

Amortization of DAC decreased 18.2% or \$73 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to the absence of amortization on a large fixed annuity block that became fully amortized in 2012, lower amortization relating to valuation changes on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts due to a large valuation change in 2012, lower amortization on interest-sensitive life insurance resulting from decreased benefit spread, and lower amortization acceleration for changes in assumptions.

Our annual comprehensive review of assumptions underlying estimated future gross profits for our interest-sensitive life, fixed annuities and other investment contracts covers assumptions for persistency, mortality, expenses, investment returns, including capital gains and losses, interest crediting rates to policyholders, and the effect of any hedges in all product lines. In 2014, the review resulted in a deceleration of DAC amortization (credit to income) of \$8 million. Amortization deceleration of \$10 million related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was primarily due to a

Table of Contents

decrease in projected expenses, partially offset by increased projected mortality. Amortization acceleration of \$2 million related to fixed annuities and was primarily due to a decrease in projected gross profits.

In 2013, the review resulted in an acceleration of DAC amortization (charge to income) of \$23 million. Amortization acceleration of \$38 million related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was primarily due to an increase in projected mortality and expenses, partially offset by increased projected investment margins. Amortization deceleration of \$12 million related to fixed annuities and was primarily due to an increase in projected investment margins. Amortization deceleration of \$3 million related to variable life insurance.

In 2012, the review resulted in an acceleration of DAC amortization of \$34 million. Amortization acceleration of \$38 million related to variable life insurance and was primarily due to an increase in projected mortality. Amortization acceleration of \$4 million related to fixed annuities and was primarily due to lower projected investment returns. Amortization deceleration of \$8 million related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was primarily due to an increase in projected persistency.

The changes in DAC for the years ended December 31 are detailed in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Tı	raditio ar		l life												
	8	accident and health			Interest-sensitive life insurance			Fixed annuities				Total				
	2	014		013		2014		2013	2014 201			2014		2013		
Balance, beginning of year Classified as held for sale, beginning	\$	711	\$	671	\$	991	\$	1,529	\$	45	\$	25	\$	1,747	\$	2,225
balance		13				700				30				743		
Total, including those classified as held																
for sale		724		671		1,691		1,529		75		25		2,490		2,225
Acquisition costs deferred		167		164		113		176				24		280		364
Amortization of DAC before amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses, valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not																
hedged and changes in assumptions (1) Amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses and valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not		(125)		(111)		(130)		(174)		(8)		(13))	(263)		(298)
hedged (1) Amortization deceleration (acceleration)						(8)		(6)		3		(1))	(5)		(7)
for changes in assumptions ("DAC unlocking") (1) Effect of unrealized capital gains and						10		(35)		(2))	12		8		(23)
losses (2) Sold in LBL disposition		(13)				(97) (674)		201		(1) (20)		28		(98) (707)		229
DAC classified as held for sale		(13)		(13)		(0/4)		(700)		(20)		(30))	(101)		(743)
Ending balance	\$	753	\$	711	\$	905	\$	991	\$	47	\$	45	\$	1,705	\$	1,747

Included as a component of amortization of DAC on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(2)

Represents the change in the DAC adjustment for unrealized capital gains and losses. The DAC adjustment represents the amount by which the amortization of DAC would increase or decrease if the unrealized gains and losses in the respective product portfolios were realized.

Operating costs and expenses decreased 17.5% or \$99 million in 2014 compared to 2013. Excluding results of the LBL business for second through fourth quarter 2013 of \$31 million, operating costs and expenses decreased \$68 million in 2014 compared to 2013. Operating costs and expenses decreased 1.9% or \$11 million in 2013 compared to 2012. The following table summarizes operating costs and expenses for the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Non-deferrable commissions	\$ 99	\$ 103	\$ 103
General and administrative expenses	314	398	421
Taxes and licenses	53	64	52
Total operating costs and expenses	\$ 466	\$ 565	\$ 576
		_	
Restructuring and related charges	\$ 2	\$ 7	\$
Allstate Life	\$ 232	\$ 282	\$ 285
Allstate Benefits	206	199	187
Allstate Annuities	28	84	104
Total operating costs and expenses	\$ 466	\$ 565	\$ 576

General and administrative expenses decreased 21.1% or \$84 million in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to actions to improve strategic focus and modernize the operating model. This included the sale of LBL, exiting the master

Table of Contents

brokerage agency distribution channel, discontinuing sales of proprietary annuity products, and other rightsizing and profitability actions.

General and administrative expenses decreased 5.5% or \$23 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to lower employee related expenses and proceeds received from a litigation settlement.

Loss on disposition of \$90 million in 2014 and \$687 million in 2013 include \$101 million and \$698 million of losses relating to the LBL sale, respectively. Gain on disposition of \$18 million in 2012 related to the amortization of the deferred gain from the disposition through reinsurance of substantially all of our variable annuity business in 2006, and the sale of Surety Life Insurance Company, which was not used for new business, in third quarter 2012.

Reinsurance ceded We enter into reinsurance agreements with unaffiliated reinsurers to limit our risk of mortality and morbidity losses. In addition, Allstate Financial has used reinsurance to effect the disposition of certain blocks of business. We retain primary liability as a direct insurer for all risks ceded to reinsurers. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, 23% and 36%, respectively, of our face amount of life insurance in force was reinsured. Additionally, we ceded substantially all of the risk associated with our variable annuity business.

Our reinsurance recoverables, summarized by reinsurer as of December 31, are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Standard & Poor's financial strength rating (4)	Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid benefits						
		2014		2013				
Prudential Insurance Company of America	AA-	\$ 1,461	\$	1,510				
RGA Reinsurance Company	AA-	262		305				
Swiss Re Life and Health America, Inc. (1)	AA-	160		186				
Paul Revere Life Insurance Company	A	116		121				
Munich American Reassurance	AA-	98		109				
Mutual of Omaha Insurance	A+	92		92				
Transamerica Life Group	AA-	84		88				
Security Life of Denver	A-	84		48				
Scottish Re Group	N/A	82		104				
Manulife Insurance Company	AA-	57		59				
Triton Insurance Company	N/A	53		54				
Lincoln National Life Insurance	AA-	37		39				
General Re Life Corporation	AA+	26		25				
American Health & Life Insurance Co.	N/A	22		44				
SCOR Global Life	A+	17		21				
Other (2)		56		67				
Total (3)		\$ 2,707	\$	2,872				

(4)

⁽¹⁾The Company has extensive reinsurance contracts directly with Swiss Re and its affiliates and indirectly through Swiss Re's acquisition of other companies with whom we had reinsurance or retrocession contracts.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the other category includes \$44 million and \$58 million, respectively, of recoverables due from reinsurers with an investment grade credit rating from Standard & Poor's ("S&P").

⁽³⁾ Reinsurance recoverables classified as held for sale were \$1.66 billion as of December 31, 2013.

N/A reflects no rating available.

We continuously monitor the creditworthiness of reinsurers in order to determine our risk of recoverability on an individual and aggregate basis, and a provision for uncollectible reinsurance is recorded if needed. No amounts have been deemed unrecoverable in the three-years ended December 31, 2014.

We enter into certain intercompany reinsurance transactions for the Allstate Financial operations in order to maintain underwriting control and manage insurance risk among various legal entities. These reinsurance agreements have been approved by the appropriate regulatory authorities. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

83

Table of Contents

INVESTMENTS 2014 HIGHLIGHTS

Investments totaled \$81.11 billion as of December 31, 2014, decreasing from \$81.16 billion as of December 31, 2013.

Unrealized net capital gains totaled \$3.17 billion as of December 31, 2014, increasing from \$2.70 billion as of December 31, 2013.

Net investment income was \$3.46 billion in 2014, a decrease of 12.3% from \$3.94 billion in 2013.

Net realized capital gains were \$694 million in 2014 compared to \$594 million in 2013.

INVESTMENTS

Overview and strategy The return on our investment portfolios is an important component of our financial results. Investment portfolios are segmented between the Property-Liability, Allstate Financial and Corporate and Other operations. While taking into consideration the investment portfolio in aggregate, we manage the underlying portfolios based upon the nature of each respective business and its corresponding liability structure.

We employ a strategic asset allocation approach which considers the nature of the liabilities and risk tolerances, as well as the risk and return parameters of the various asset classes in which we invest. This asset allocation is informed by our global economic and market outlook, as well as other inputs and constraints, including diversification effects, duration, liquidity and capital considerations. Within the ranges set by the strategic asset allocation, tactical investment decisions are made in consideration of prevailing market conditions. We manage risks associated with interest rates, credit spreads, equity markets, real estate and currency exchange rates. Our continuing focus is to manage risks and returns and to position our portfolio to take advantage of market opportunities while attempting to mitigate adverse effects. We expect to more actively manage the portfolio and are developing strategies focused on the intermediate 3 to 5 year investment horizon. Intermediate strategies may include opportunities arising from market dislocations, event driven changes in valuation, and distressed credit. We expect these strategies to perform well across market conditions, including periods of increased market volatility. We are continuing to build our capabilities and investments in private equity, real estate and limited partnerships which have returns less correlated to the public markets. These investments typically have a longer term return horizon, generally five to twelve years.

The Property-Liability portfolio's investment strategy emphasizes protection of principal and consistent income generation, within a total return framework. This approach, which has produced competitive returns over the long term, is designed to ensure financial strength and stability for paying claims, while maximizing economic value and surplus growth.

The Allstate Financial portfolio's investment strategy focuses on the total return of assets needed to support the underlying liabilities, asset-liability management and achieving an appropriate return on capital.

The Corporate and Other portfolio's investment strategy balances the unique liquidity needs of the portfolio in relation to the overall corporate capital structure with the pursuit of returns.

Investments outlook

Interest rates diverged in 2014: U.S. Treasury rates shorter than one year were largely unchanged, rates between 1 year and 3 years increased slightly, and rates 7 years and longer declined and experienced the most significant change during the year. We anticipate that interest rates may remain below historic averages for an extended period of time and that financial markets will continue to have periods of high volatility. Invested assets and income are expected to decline in line with reductions in contractholder funds for the Allstate Financial segment. Additionally, income will decline as we continue to invest and reinvest proceeds at market yields that are below the current portfolio yield. We plan to focus on the following priorities:

Managing our exposure to interest rate risk by maintaining a shorter maturity profile in the Property-Liability portfolio which will also result in the yield responding more quickly to changes in market interest rates as a result of its shorter maturity profile.

Shifting the portfolio mix over time to have less reliance on investments whose returns come primarily from interest payments to investments in which we have ownership interests and a greater proportion of return is derived from idiosyncratic operating or market performance, including limited partnerships, equities and real estate. While we anticipate higher returns on these investments over time, the investment income will be more volatile than interest-bearing investments.

Investing to the specific needs and characteristics of Allstate's businesses.

Table of Contents

Portfolio composition The composition of the investment portfolios as of December 31, 2014 is presented in the following table.

	Property-Liability (5)		Allstat Financia		Corporat Other		Total		
(\$ in millions)			Percent to total		Percent to total		Percent to total	-	Percent to total
Fixed income									
securities (1)	\$	30,834	78.9% \$	29,082	74.9% \$	2,524	78.4% \$	62,440	77.0%
Equity securities (2)		3,076	7.9	1,028	2.7			4,104	5.0
Mortgage loans		370	0.9	3,818	9.8			4,188	5.2
Limited partnership									
interests (3)		2,498	6.4	2,024	5.2	5	0.1	4,527	5.6
Short-term									
investments (4)		822	2.1	1,026	2.7	692	21.5	2,540	3.1
Other		1,483	3.8	1,831	4.7			3,314	4.1
Total	\$	39,083	100.0% \$	38,809	100.0% \$	3,221	100.0% \$	81,113	100.0%

- (1) Fixed income securities are carried at fair value. Amortized cost basis for these securities was \$30.43 billion, \$26.74 billion, \$2.50 billion and \$59.67 billion for Property-Liability, Allstate Financial, Corporate and Other, and in Total, respectively.
- Equity securities are carried at fair value. Cost basis for these securities was \$2.72 billion, \$969 million and \$3.69 billion for Property-Liability, Allstate Financial and in Total, respectively.
- We have commitments to invest in additional limited partnership interests totaling \$1.21 billion, \$1.22 billion and \$2.43 billion for Property-Liability, Allstate Financial and in Total, respectively.
- (4) Short-term investments are carried at fair value. Amortized cost basis for these investments was \$822 million, \$1.03 billion, \$692 million and \$2.54 billion for Property-Liability, Allstate Financial, Corporate and Other, and in Total, respectively.
- (5) Balances reflect the elimination of related party investments between segments.

Total investments decreased to \$81.11 billion as of December 31, 2014, from \$81.16 billion as of December 31, 2013, primarily due to common share repurchases, debt repayments and the reclassification of tax credit funds from limited partnership interests to other assets, partially offset by positive operating cash flows, proceeds from the issuance of preferred stock and favorable fixed income valuations resulting from a decrease in risk-free interest rates.

The Property-Liability investment portfolio decreased to \$39.08 billion as of December 31, 2014, from \$39.64 billion as of December 31, 2013, primarily due to dividends and stock repurchase paid by Allstate Insurance Company ("AIC") to The Allstate Corporation (the "Corporation") and the reclassification of tax credit funds from limited partnership interests to other assets, partially offset by positive operating cash flows and a \$700 million return of capital paid by ALIC to AIC.

The Allstate Financial investment portfolio decreased to \$38.81 billion as of December 31, 2014, from \$39.11 billion as of December 31, 2013, primarily due to net reductions in contractholder funds, a \$700 million return of capital paid by ALIC to AIC and the reclassification of tax credit funds from limited partnership interests to other assets, partially offset by higher fixed income valuations.

The Corporate and Other investment portfolio increased to \$3.22 billion as of December 31, 2014, from \$2.41 billion as of December 31, 2013, primarily due to dividends and stock repurchase paid by AIC and other affiliates to the Corporation and proceeds from the issuance of preferred stock, partially offset by common share repurchases, debt repayments and dividends paid to shareholders.

During 2014, strategic actions focused on optimizing portfolio yield, return and risk in the low interest rate environment. In the Property-Liability portfolio, we maintained the shorter duration profile of our fixed income securities established in 2013. This positioning has reduced our exposure to rising interest rates. We are increasing our real estate and limited partnership investments in both the Property-Liability and Allstate Financial portfolios, consistent with our ongoing strategy to have a greater proportion of ownership of assets and equity investments. In Allstate Financial's portfolio, limited partnerships and other equity investments will continue to be allocated primarily to the longer-duration immediate annuity liabilities to improve returns on those products. Shorter-duration annuity and life insurance liabilities will continue to be invested primarily in interest-bearing investments, such as fixed income securities and commercial mortgage loans.

Table of Contents

Fixed income securities by type are listed in the following table.

	Fair value as of December 31,	Percent to total	Fair value as of December 31,	Percent to total
(\$ in millions)	2014	investments	2013	investments
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 4,328	5.3% \$	\$ 2,913	3.6%
Municipal	8,497	10.5	8,723	10.8
Corporate	42,144	52.0	40,603	50.0
Foreign government	1,645	2.0	1,824	2.2
ABS	3,978	4.9	4,518	5.6
RMBS	1,207	1.5	1,474	1.8
CMBS	615	0.8	829	1.0
Redeemable preferred stock	26		26	0.1
Total fixed income securities	\$ 62,440	77.0% \$	60,910	75.1%

As of December 31, 2014, 89.3% of the consolidated fixed income securities portfolio was rated investment grade, which is defined as a security having a rating of Aaa, Aa, A or Baa from Moody's, a rating of AAA, AA, A or BBB from S&P, Fitch, Dominion, Kroll or Realpoint, a rating of aaa, aa, a or bbb from A.M. Best, or a comparable internal rating if an externally provided rating is not available. All of our fixed income securities are rated by third party credit rating agencies, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, and/or are internally rated. Our initial investment decisions and ongoing monitoring procedures for fixed income securities are based on a thorough due diligence process which includes, but is not limited to, an assessment of the credit quality, sector, structure, and liquidity risks of each issue.

The following table summarizes the fair value and unrealized net capital gains and losses for fixed income securities by credit rating as of December 31, 2014.

	Below investment										
(\$ in millions)		Investme	nt g	grade	gra	ıde		Tot	al		
		Fair value		realized in/(loss)	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)		Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)		
U.S. government and agencies	\$	4,328	\$	136 \$		\$	\$	4,328	\$ 136		
Municipal											
Tax exempt		4,686		109	9	[((3)	4,777	106		
Taxable		3,637		507	83		7	3,720	514		
Corporate											
Public		27,678		1,272	3,870	5 1	2	31,554	1,284		
Privately placed		9,190		485	1,400		1)	10,590	-		
Foreign government		1,645		102				1,645	102		
ABS											
Collateralized debt obligations ("CDO") Consumer and other asset-backed		1,002		(6)	140	5 (1	0)	1,148	(16)		
securities ("Consumer and other ABS")		2,808		23	22	2		2,830	23		
RMBS U.S. government sponsored entities ("U.S.											
Agency")		283		12				283	12		
rigency /		85		1	290) 3	33	375			

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Prime residential mortgage-backed securities ("Prime")						
Alt-A residential mortgage-backed securities ("Alt-A")	12		311	34	323	34
Subprime residential mortgage-backed securities ("Subprime")	5		221	19	226	19
CMBS	367	16	248	26	615	42
Redeemable preferred stock	26	4			26	4
Total fixed income securities	\$ 55,752 \$	2,661 \$	6,688 \$	107 \$	62,440 \$	2,768

Municipal bonds, including tax exempt and taxable securities, totaled \$8.50 billion as of December 31, 2014 with an unrealized net capital gain of \$620 million. The municipal bond portfolio includes general obligations of state and local

Table of Contents

issuers and revenue bonds (including pre-refunded bonds, which are bonds for which an irrevocable trust has been established to fund the remaining payments of principal and interest).

The following table summarizes by state the fair value, amortized cost and credit rating of our municipal bonds, excluding \$384 million of pre-refunded bonds, as of December 31, 2014.

(\$ in millions)	, ,			Local general				Fair	Amortized cost		Average credit rating
State			obligation		R	evenue ⁽¹⁾	value				
Texas	\$	18	\$	382	\$	339	\$	739	\$	659	Aa
California		108		250		381		739		645	A
New York		20		97		428		545		517	Aa
Florida		89		89		297		475		452	Aa
Washington		173		9		162		344		329	Aa
Oregon		63		178		81		322		285	Aa
Illinois		17		81		221		319		285	A
Ohio		82		43		161		286		263	Aa
Pennsylvania		86		63		133		282		269	Aa
Michigan		141		8		100		249		232	Aa
All others		858		800		2,155		3,813		3,583	Aa
Total	\$	1,655	\$	2,000	\$	4,458	\$	8,113	\$	7,519	Aa

(1) The nature of the activities supporting revenue bonds is diversified and includes transportation, health care, industrial development, housing, higher education, utilities, recreation/convention centers and other activities.

Our practice for acquiring and monitoring municipal bonds is predominantly based on the underlying credit quality of the primary obligor. We currently rely on the primary obligor to pay all contractual cash flows and are not relying on bond insurers for payments. As a result of downgrades in the insurers' credit ratings, the ratings of the insured municipal bonds generally reflect the underlying ratings of the primary obligor. As of December 31, 2014, 99.7% of our insured municipal bond portfolio is rated investment grade.

Corporate bonds, including publicly traded and privately placed, totaled \$42.14 billion as of December 31, 2014, with an unrealized net capital gain of \$1.76 billion. Privately placed securities primarily consist of corporate issued senior debt securities that are directly negotiated with the borrower or are in unregistered form.

Our \$10.59 billion portfolio of privately placed securities is diversified by issuer, industry sector and country. The portfolio is made up of 431 issuers. Privately placed corporate obligations contain structural security features such as financial covenants and call protections that provide investors greater protection against credit deterioration, reinvestment risk or fluctuations in interest rates than those typically found in publicly registered debt securities. Additionally, investments in these securities are made after due diligence of the issuer, typically including direct discussions with senior management and on-site visits to company facilities. Ongoing monitoring includes direct periodic dialog with senior management of the issuer and continuous monitoring of operating performance and financial position. Every issue not rated by an independent rating agency is internally rated with a formal rating affirmation at least once a year.

Foreign government securities totaled \$1.65 billion as of December 31, 2014, with 100% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital gain of \$102 million. Of these securities, 52.0% are in Canadian governmental and provincial securities (41.3% of which are held by our Canadian companies), 23.8% are backed by the U.S. government and the remaining 24.2% are highly diversified in other foreign governments.

ABS, RMBS and CMBS are structured securities that are primarily collateralized by consumer or corporate borrowings and residential and commercial real estate loans. The cash flows from the underlying collateral paid to the securitization trust are generally applied in a pre-determined order and are designed so that each security issued by the trust, typically referred to as a "class", qualifies for a specific original rating. For example, the "senior" portion or "top" of the capital structure, or rating class, which would originally qualify for a rating of Aaa

typically has priority in receiving principal repayments on the underlying collateral and retains this priority until the class is paid in full. In a sequential structure, underlying collateral principal repayments are directed to the most senior rated Aaa class in the structure until paid in full, after which principal repayments are directed to the next most senior Aaa class in the structure until it is paid in full. Senior Aaa classes generally share any losses from the underlying collateral on a pro-rata basis after losses are absorbed by classes with lower original ratings. The payment priority and class subordination included in these

87

Table of Contents

securities serves as credit enhancement for holders of the senior or top portions of the structures. These securities continue to retain the payment priority features that existed at the origination of the securitization trust. Other forms of credit enhancement may include structural features embedded in the securitization trust, such as overcollateralization, excess spread and bond insurance. The underlying collateral can have fixed interest rates, variable interest rates (such as adjustable rate mortgages) or may contain features of both fixed and variable rate mortgages.

ABS, including CDO and Consumer and other ABS, totaled \$3.98 billion as of December 31, 2014, with 95.8% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital gain of \$7 million. Credit risk is managed by monitoring the performance of the underlying collateral. Many of the securities in the ABS portfolio have credit enhancement with features such as overcollateralization, subordinated structures, reserve funds, guarantees and/or insurance.

CDO totaled \$1.15 billion as of December 31, 2014, with 87.3% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital loss of \$16 million. CDO consist of obligations collateralized by cash flow CDO, which are structures collateralized primarily by below investment grade senior secured corporate loans.

Consumer and other ABS totaled \$2.83 billion as of December 31, 2014, with 99.2% rated investment grade. Consumer and other ABS consists of \$1.28 billion of consumer auto, \$678 million of credit card and \$870 million of other ABS with unrealized net capital gains of \$1 million, \$2 million and \$20 million, respectively.

RMBS totaled \$1.21 billion as of December 31, 2014, with 31.9% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital gain of \$99 million. The RMBS portfolio is subject to interest rate risk, but unlike other fixed income securities, is additionally subject to prepayment risk from the underlying residential mortgage loans. RMBS consists of a U.S. Agency portfolio having collateral issued or guaranteed by U.S. government agencies and a non-agency portfolio consisting of securities collateralized by Prime, Alt-A and Subprime loans. The non-agency portfolio totaled \$924 million as of December 31, 2014, with 11.0% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital gain of \$87 million.

CMBS totaled \$615 million as of December 31, 2014, with 59.7% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital gain of \$42 million. The CMBS portfolio is subject to credit risk and has a sequential paydown structure. Of the CMBS investments, 96.4% are traditional conduit transactions collateralized by commercial mortgage loans, broadly diversified across property types and geographical area. The remainder consists of non-traditional CMBS such as small balance transactions, large loan pools and single borrower transactions.

Equity securities primarily include common stocks, exchange traded and mutual funds, non-redeemable preferred stocks and real estate investment trust equity investments. The equity securities portfolio was \$4.10 billion as of December 31, 2014, with an unrealized net capital gain of \$412 million.

Mortgage loans, which are primarily held in the Allstate Financial portfolio, totaled \$4.19 billion as of December 31, 2014 and primarily comprise loans secured by first mortgages on developed commercial real estate. Key considerations used to manage our exposure include property type and geographic diversification. For further detail on our mortgage loan portfolio, see Note 5 of the consolidated financial statements.

Limited partnership interests consist of investments in private equity/debt, real estate and other funds. The limited partnership interests portfolio is diversified across a number of characteristics including fund managers, vintage years, strategies, geography (including international), and company/property types. Tax credit funds were reclassified from limited partnership interests to other assets during 2014 since their return is in the form of tax credits rather than investment income. These tax credit funds totaled \$560 million as of December 31, 2014. The following table presents information about our limited partnership interests as of December 31, 2014.

(\$ in millions)		Private equity/debt funds ⁽¹⁾	Real estate funds			Other funds	Total		
Cost method of accounting ("Cost")	\$	894	\$	228	\$		\$	1,122	
Equity method of accounting ("EMA")		1,862		1,185		358		3,405	
Total	\$	2,756	\$	1,413	\$	358	\$	4,527	
Number of managers Number of individual funds Largest exposure to single fund	\$	99 178 102	\$	37 80 144	\$	13 19 145	\$	149 277 145	

(1) Includes \$562 million of infrastructure and real asset funds.

88

Table of Contents

The following tables show the earnings from our limited partnership interests by fund type and accounting classification for the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)				2	014	1						2	013	3		
					T	otal 1	[mլ	pairment					T	otal l	[mp	airment
	(Cost	E	MA	in	comev	wri	te-downs	Co	st	\mathbf{E}	MA	in	comev	vrit	e-downs
Private equity/debt																
funds	\$	139	\$	252	\$	391	\$	(19) 5	\$ 1	162	\$	172	\$	334	\$	(14)
Real estate funds		60		151		211		12		37		184		221		(4)
Other funds		2		10		12						(14))	(14))	
Total	\$	201	\$	413	\$	614	\$	(7) 5	\$ 1	199	\$	342	\$	541	\$	(18)

Limited partnership interests produced income, excluding impairment write-downs, of \$614 million in 2014 compared to \$541 million in 2013. Higher EMA limited partnership income resulted from favorable equity and real estate valuations which increased the carrying value of the partnerships. Income on EMA limited partnerships is recognized on a delay due to the availability of the related financial statements. The recognition of income on private equity/debt funds and real estate funds are generally on a three month delay and the income recognition on other funds is primarily on a one month delay. Income on cost method limited partnerships is recognized only upon receipt of amounts distributed by the partnerships.

Short-term investments totaled \$2.54 billion as of December 31, 2014.

Other investments primarily comprise \$1.66 billion of bank loans, \$909 million of policy loans, \$368 million of agent loans (loans issued to exclusive Allstate agents) and \$92 million of derivatives as of December 31, 2014. For further detail on our use of derivatives, see Note 7 of the consolidated financial statements.

Unrealized net capital gains totaled \$3.17 billion as of December 31, 2014 compared to \$2.70 billion as of December 31, 2013. The increase for fixed income securities was primarily due to a decrease in risk-free interest rates, partially offset by the realization of unrealized net capital gains through sales. The decrease for equity securities was primarily due to the realization of unrealized net capital gains through sales, partially offset by positive equity market performance. The following table presents unrealized net capital gains and losses as of December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 136	\$ 122
Municipal	620	277
Corporate	1,758	1,272
Foreign government	102	88
ABS	7	27
RMBS	99	71
CMBS	42	41
Redeemable preferred stock	4	4
Fixed income securities	2,768	1,902
Equity securities	412	624
Derivatives	(2)	(18)
EMA limited partnerships	(5)	(3)
Investments classified as held for sale		190
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, pre-tax	\$ 3,173	\$ 2,695

The unrealized net capital gain for the fixed income portfolio totaled \$2.77 billion and comprised \$3.08 billion of gross unrealized gains and \$314 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2014. This is compared to an unrealized net capital gain for the fixed income

portfolio totaling \$1.90 billion, comprised of \$2.48 billion of gross unrealized gains and \$573 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Gross unrealized gains and losses on fixed income securities by type and sector as of December 31, 2014 are provided in the following table.

	Amortized			Gross u				
(\$ in millions)	cost			Gains	Losses		Fair value	
Corporate:								
Energy	\$	4,815	\$	178	\$	(75)	\$	4,918
Banking		3,597		67		(35)		3,629
Consumer goods (cyclical and non-cyclical)		10,412		393		(34)		10,771
Basic industry		2,264		78		(29)		2,313
Capital goods		3,934		214		(16)		4,132
Utilities		4,985		548		(14)		5,519
Communications		2,885		143		(11)		3,017
Technology		2,249		73		(10)		2,312
Transportation		1,614		132		(9)		1,737
Financial services		3,051		130		(5)		3,176
Other		580		42		(2)		620
Total corporate fixed income portfolio		40,386		1,998		(240)		42,144
U.S. government and agencies		4,192		139		(3)		4,328
Municipal		7,877		645		(25)		8,497
Foreign government		1,543		102				1,645
ABS		3,971		38		(31)		3,978
RMBS		1,108		112		(13)		1,207
CMBS		573		44		(2)		615
Redeemable preferred stock		22		4				26
Total fixed income securities	\$	59,672	\$	3,082	\$	(314)	\$	62,440

The energy, banking, consumer goods and basic industry sectors had the highest concentration of gross unrealized losses in our corporate fixed income securities portfolio as of December 31, 2014. In general, the gross unrealized losses are related to increasing risk-free interest rates or widening credit spreads since the time of initial purchase.

The unrealized net capital gain for the equity portfolio totaled \$412 million and comprised \$467 million of gross unrealized gains and \$55 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2014. This is compared to an unrealized net capital gain for the equity portfolio totaling \$624 million, comprised of \$658 million of gross unrealized gains and \$34 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2013.

Gross unrealized gains and losses on equity securities by sector as of December 31, 2014 are provided in the table below.

	Gross unrealized								
(\$ in millions)		Cost			Gains		Losses	Fair value	
Energy	\$		265	\$	24	\$	(16)	\$ 273	
Financial services			485		44		(9)	520	
Consumer goods (cyclical and non-cyclical)			834		107		(8)	933	
Banking			332		66		(6)	392	
Basic industry			152		12		(5)	159	
Capital goods			287		31		(4)	314	
Technology			370		50		(2)	418	
Communications			218		15		(2)	231	
Utilities			103		15		(1)	117	
Transportation			65		23		(1)	87	
Real estate			71		4		(1)	74	

Index-based funds Emerging market fixed income funds	343 152	75		418 152
Emerging market equity funds	15	1		16
Total equity securities	\$ 3,692 \$	467 \$	(55) \$	4,104

Table of Contents

Within the equity portfolio, the losses were primarily concentrated in the energy, financial services and consumer goods sectors. The unrealized losses were company and sector specific. As of December 31, 2014, we have the intent and ability to hold our equity securities with unrealized losses until recovery.

Global oil prices have declined significantly in recent months. Within the energy sector outlined above, we continue to monitor the impact to our investment portfolio for those companies that may be adversely affected, both directly and indirectly. If oil prices continue to decline or remain at depressed levels for an extended period, certain issuers and investments may come under pressure.

Net investment income The following table presents net investment income for the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Fixed income securities	\$ 2,447	\$ 2,921	\$ 3,234
Equity securities	117	149	127
Mortgage loans	265	372	374
Limited partnership interests	614	541	348
Short-term investments	7	5	6
Other	170	161	132
Investment income, before expense	3,620	4,149	4,221
Investment expense	(161)	(206)	(211)
Net investment income	\$ 3,459	\$ 3,943	\$ 4,010

Net investment income decreased 12.3% or \$484 million in 2014 compared to 2013, after decreasing 1.7% or \$67 million in 2013 compared to 2012. The 2014 decrease was primarily due to lower average investment balances relating to the sale of LBL on April 1, 2014, lower fixed income yields and equity dividends, partially offset by higher limited partnership income. Net investment income in 2014 includes \$114 million related to prepayment fee income and litigation proceeds compared to \$139 million in 2013. These items may vary significantly from period to period and may not recur. Higher EMA limited partnership income resulted from favorable equity and real estate valuations which increased the carrying value of the partnerships. The 2013 decrease was primarily due to lower average investment balances and lower fixed income yields, partially offset by higher limited partnership income and equity dividends, as well as prepayment fee income and litigation proceeds which together increased 2013 income by a total of \$68 million.

Realized capital gains and losses The following table presents the components of realized capital gains and losses and the related tax effect for the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Impairment write-downs	\$ (32) \$	(72) \$	(185)
Change in intent write-downs	(213)	(143)	(48)
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings	(245)	(215)	(233)
Sales	975	819	536
Valuation and settlements of derivative instruments	(36)	(10)	24
Realized capital gains and losses, pre-tax	694	594	327
Income tax expense	(243)	(209)	(111)
Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	\$ 451 \$	385 \$	216

Table of Contents

Impairment write-downs, which include changes in the mortgage loan valuation allowance, for the years ended December 31 are presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	2	014	2013	2012		
Fixed income securities	\$	(24) \$	(49) \$	(108)		
Equity securities		(6)	(12)	(63)		
Mortgage loans		5	11	5		
Limited partnership interests		(7)	(18)	(8)		
Other investments			(4)	(11)		
Impairment write-downs	\$	(32) \$	(72) \$	(185)		

Impairment write-downs on fixed income securities in 2014 were primarily driven by collateralized loan obligations that experienced deterioration in expected cash flows and municipal and corporate fixed income securities impacted by issuer specific circumstances. Limited partnership write-downs primarily related to cost method limited partnerships that experienced declines in portfolio valuations deemed to be other than temporary. Equity securities were written down primarily due to the length of time and extent to which fair value was below cost, considering our assessment of the financial condition and near-term and long-term prospects of the issuer, including relevant industry conditions and trends. The valuation allowance on mortgage loans as of December 31, 2014 decreased compared to December 31, 2013 primarily due to reversals related to impaired loan payoffs.

Impairment write-downs on fixed income securities in 2013 were primarily driven by CMBS that experienced deterioration in expected cash flows and municipal bonds impacted by issuer specific circumstances. Limited partnership write-downs primarily related to cost method limited partnerships that experienced declines in portfolio valuations deemed to be other than temporary. Equity securities were written down primarily due to the length of time and extent to which fair value was below cost, considering our assessment of the financial condition and near-term and long-term prospects of the issuer, including relevant industry conditions and trends. The valuation allowance on mortgage loans as of December 31, 2013 decreased compared to December 31, 2012 primarily due to reversals related to loans no longer deemed impaired.

Impairment write-downs on fixed income securities in 2012 were primarily driven by RMBS and CMBS that experienced deterioration in expected cash flows and municipal and corporate fixed income securities impacted by issuer specific circumstances. Equity securities were written down primarily due to the length of time and extent to which fair value was below cost, considering our assessment of the financial condition and near-term and long-term prospects of the issuer, including relevant industry conditions and trends.

Change in intent write-downs were \$213 million, \$143 million and \$48 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The change in intent write-downs in 2014 and 2013 were primarily related to the repositioning and ongoing portfolio management of our equity securities. For certain equity securities managed by third parties, we do not retain decision making authority as it pertains to selling securities that are in an unrealized loss position and therefore we recognize any unrealized loss at the end of the period through a charge to earnings. The change in intent write-downs in 2012 were primarily a result of ongoing comprehensive reviews of our portfolios resulting in write-downs of individually identified investments, primarily RMBS and equity securities.

Sales generated \$975 million, \$819 million and \$536 million of net realized capital gains in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The sales in 2014 primarily related to equity and fixed income securities in connection with ongoing portfolio management. The sales in 2013 primarily related to equity securities in connection with portfolio repositioning and ongoing portfolio management and municipal and corporate fixed income securities in conjunction with reducing our exposure to interest rate risk in the Property-Liability portfolio. The sales in 2012 primarily related to corporate, municipal and U.S. government and agencies fixed income securities and equity securities in connection with portfolio repositioning.

Valuation and settlements of derivative instruments generated net realized capital losses of \$36 million in 2014, net realized capital losses of \$10 million in 2013 and net realized capital gains of \$24 million in 2012. The net realized capital losses in 2014 primarily comprised losses on equity futures used for risk management due to increases in equity indices and losses on foreign currency contracts due to the weakening of the Canadian dollar. The net realized capital losses in 2013 primarily comprised losses on equity futures used for risk management due to increases in equity indices and losses on credit default swaps due to the tightening of credit spreads on the underlying credit names. The net realized capital gains in 2012 primarily included gains on credit default swaps due to the tightening of credit spreads on the underlying credit names.

Table of Contents

MARKET RISK

Market risk is the risk that we will incur losses due to adverse changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices or currency exchange rates. Adverse changes to these rates and prices may occur due to changes in fiscal policy, the economic climate, the liquidity of a market or market segment, insolvency or financial distress of key market makers or participants or changes in market perceptions of credit worthiness and/or risk tolerance. Our primary market risk exposures are to changes in interest rates, credit spreads and equity prices.

The active management of market risk is integral to our results of operations. We may use the following approaches to manage exposure to market risk within defined tolerance ranges: 1) rebalancing existing asset or liability portfolios, 2) changing the type of investments purchased in the future and 3) using derivative instruments to modify the market risk characteristics of existing assets and liabilities or assets expected to be purchased. For a more detailed discussion of our use of derivative financial instruments, see Note 7 of the consolidated financial statements.

Overview In formulating and implementing guidelines for investing funds, we seek to earn returns that enhance our ability to offer competitive rates and prices to customers while contributing to attractive and stable profits and long-term capital growth. Accordingly, our investment decisions and objectives are a function of the underlying risks and product profiles of each business.

Investment policies define the overall framework for managing market and other investment risks, including accountability and controls over risk management activities. Subsidiaries that conduct investment activities follow policies that have been approved by their respective boards of directors. These investment policies specify the investment limits and strategies that are appropriate given the liquidity, surplus, product profile and regulatory requirements of the subsidiary. Executive oversight of investment activities is conducted primarily through subsidiaries' boards of directors and investment committees. For Allstate Financial, its asset-liability management ("ALM") policies further define the overall framework for managing market and investment risks. ALM focuses on strategies to enhance yields, mitigate market risks and optimize capital to improve profitability and returns for Allstate Financial while factoring in future expected cash requirements to repay liabilities. Allstate Financial ALM activities follow asset-liability policies that have been approved by their respective boards of directors. These ALM policies specify limits, ranges and/or targets for investments that best meet Allstate Financial's business objectives in light of its product liabilities.

We use quantitative and qualitative market-based approaches to measure, monitor and manage market risk. We evaluate our exposure to market risk through the use of multiple measures including but not limited to duration, value-at-risk, scenario analysis and sensitivity analysis. Duration measures the price sensitivity of assets and liabilities to changes in interest rates. For example, if interest rates increase 100 basis points, the fair value of an asset with a duration of 5 is expected to decrease in value by 5%. Value-at-risk is a statistical estimate of the probability that the change in fair value of a portfolio will exceed a certain amount over a given time horizon. Scenario analysis estimates the potential changes in the fair value of a portfolio that could occur under different hypothetical market conditions defined by changes to multiple market risk factors: interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices or currency exchange rates. Sensitivity analysis estimates the potential changes in the fair value of a portfolio that could occur under different hypothetical shocks to a market risk factor. In general, we establish investment portfolio asset allocation and market risk limits for the Property-Liability and Allstate Financial businesses based upon a combination of duration, value-at-risk, scenario analysis and sensitivity analysis. The asset allocation limits place restrictions on the total funds that may be invested within an asset class. Comprehensive day-to-day management of market risk within defined tolerance ranges occurs as portfolio managers buy and sell within their respective markets based upon the acceptable boundaries established by investment policies. For Allstate Financial, this day-to-day management is integrated with and informed by the activities of the ALM organization. This integration is intended to result in a prudent, methodical and effective adjudication of market risk and return, conditioned by the unique demands and dynamics of Allstate Financial's product liabilities and suppo

Although we apply a similar overall philosophy to market risk, the underlying business frameworks and the accounting and regulatory environments differ considerably between the Property-Liability and Allstate Financial businesses affecting investment decisions and risk parameters.

Interest rate risk is the risk that we will incur a loss due to adverse changes in interest rates relative to the characteristics of our interest bearing assets and liabilities. This risk arises from many of our primary activities, as we invest substantial funds in interest-sensitive assets and issue interest-sensitive liabilities. Interest rate risk includes risks related to changes in U.S. Treasury yields and other key risk-free reference yields.

We manage the interest rate risk in our assets relative to the interest rate risk in our liabilities and our assessment of overall economic and capital risk. One of the measures used to quantify this exposure is duration. The difference in the

Table of Contents

duration of our assets relative to our liabilities is our duration gap. To calculate the duration gap between assets and liabilities, we project asset and liability cash flows and calculate their net present value using a risk-free market interest rate adjusted for credit quality, sector attributes, liquidity and other specific risks. Duration is calculated by revaluing these cash flows at alternative interest rates and determining the percentage change in aggregate fair value. The cash flows used in this calculation include the expected maturity and repricing characteristics of our derivative financial instruments, all other financial instruments, and certain other items including unearned premiums, property-liability insurance claims and claims expense reserves, annuity liabilities and other interest-sensitive liabilities. The projections include assumptions (based upon historical market experience and our experience) that reflect the effect of changing interest rates on the prepayment, lapse, leverage and/or option features of instruments, where applicable. The preceding assumptions relate primarily to callable municipal and corporate bonds, fixed rate single and flexible premium deferred annuities, mortgage-backed securities and municipal housing bonds. Additionally, the calculations include assumptions regarding the renewal of property-liability policies.

As of December 31, 2014, the difference between our asset and liability duration was a (1.26) gap compared to a (0.95) gap as of December 31, 2013. A negative duration gap indicates that the fair value of our liabilities is more sensitive to interest rate movements than the fair value of our assets, while a positive duration gap indicates that the fair value of our assets is more sensitive to interest rate movements than the fair value of our liabilities. The Property-Liability segment generally maintains a positive duration gap between its assets and liabilities due to the relatively short duration of auto and homeowners claims, which are its primary liabilities. The Allstate Financial segment may have a positive or negative duration gap, as the duration of its assets and liabilities vary with its product mix and investing activity. As of December 31, 2014, Property-Liability had a positive duration gap while Allstate Financial had a negative duration gap.

In the management of investments supporting the Property-Liability business, we adhere to an objective of emphasizing safety of principal and consistency of income within a total return framework. This approach is designed to ensure our financial strength and stability for paying claims, while maximizing economic value and surplus growth.

For the Allstate Financial business, we seek to invest premiums, contract charges and deposits to generate future cash flows that will fund future claims, benefits and expenses, and that will earn stable returns across a wide variety of interest rate and economic scenarios. To achieve this objective and limit interest rate risk for Allstate Financial, we adhere to a philosophy of managing the duration of assets and related liabilities within predetermined tolerance levels. This philosophy is executed using duration targets for fixed income investments and may also include interest rate swaps, futures, forwards, caps, floors and swaptions to reduce the interest rate risk resulting from mismatches between existing assets and liabilities, and financial futures and other derivative instruments to hedge the interest rate risk of anticipated purchases and sales of investments.

Based upon the information and assumptions used in the duration calculation, and interest rates in effect as of December 31, 2014, we estimate that a 100 basis point immediate, parallel increase in interest rates ("rate shock") would increase the net fair value of the assets and liabilities by \$991 million, compared to an increase of \$826 million as of December 31, 2013, reflecting year to year changes in duration. The selection of a 100 basis point immediate, parallel change in interest rates should not be construed as our prediction of future market events, but only as an illustration of the potential effect of such an event. The estimate excludes the traditional and interest-sensitive life insurance products that are not considered financial instruments and the \$9.91 billion of assets supporting them and the associated liabilities. The \$9.91 billion of assets excluded from the calculation decreased from \$13.13 billion as of December 31, 2013, primarily due to the LBL sale. Based on assumptions described above, in the event of a 100 basis point immediate increase in interest rates, the assets supporting life insurance products would decrease in value by \$583 million, compared to a decrease of \$753 million as of December 31, 2013.

To the extent that conditions differ from the assumptions we used in these calculations, duration and rate shock measures could be significantly impacted. Additionally, our calculations assume that the current relationship between short-term and long-term interest rates (the term structure of interest rates) will remain constant over time. As a result, these calculations may not fully capture the effect of non-parallel changes in the term structure of interest rates and/or large changes in interest rates.

Credit spread risk is the risk that we will incur a loss due to adverse changes in credit spreads ("spreads"). Credit spread is the additional yield on fixed income securities and loans above the risk-free rate (typically referenced as the yield on U.S. Treasury securities) that market participants require to compensate them for assuming credit, liquidity and/or prepayment risks. The magnitude of the spread will depend on the likelihood that a particular issuer will default ("credit risk"). This risk arises from many of our primary activities, as we invest substantial funds in spread-sensitive fixed income assets.

Table of Contents

We manage the spread risk in our assets. One of the measures used to quantify this exposure is spread duration. Spread duration measures the price sensitivity of the assets to changes in spreads. For example, if spreads increase 100 basis points, the fair value of an asset exhibiting a spread duration of 5 is expected to decrease in value by 5%.

Spread duration is calculated similarly to interest rate duration. As of December 31, 2014, the spread duration of Property-Liability assets was 3.24, compared to 3.28 as of December 31, 2013, and the spread duration of Allstate Financial assets was 5.81, compared to 5.35 as of December 31, 2013. Based upon the information and assumptions we use in this spread duration calculation, and spreads in effect as of December 31, 2014, we estimate that a 100 basis point immediate, parallel increase in spreads across all asset classes, industry sectors and credit ratings ("spread shock") would decrease the net fair value of the assets by \$2.91 billion compared to \$3.46 billion as of December 31, 2013. Reflected in the duration calculation are the effects of our tactical actions that use credit default swaps to manage spread risk. The selection of a 100 basis point immediate parallel change in spreads should not be construed as our prediction of future market events, but only as an illustration of the potential effect of such an event.

Equity price risk is the risk that we will incur losses due to adverse changes in the general levels of the equity markets. As of December 31, 2014, we held \$3.99 billion in common stocks and exchange traded and mutual funds and \$4.64 billion in other securities with equity risk (including primarily limited partnership interests and non-redeemable preferred securities), compared to \$5.04 billion and \$5.02 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2013. 74.8% of the common stocks and exchange traded and mutual funds and 55.8% of the other securities with equity risk related to Property-Liability as of December 31, 2014, compared to 86.1% and 58.8%, respectively, as of December 31, 2013.

As of December 31, 2014, our portfolio of common stocks and other securities with equity risk had a cash market portfolio beta of 1.21, compared to a beta of 1.10 as of December 31, 2013. Beta represents a widely used methodology to describe, quantitatively, an investment's market risk characteristics relative to an index such as the Standard & Poor's 500 Composite Price Index ("S&P 500"). Based on the beta analysis, we estimate that if the S&P 500 increases or decreases by 10%, the fair value of our equity investments will increase or decrease by 12.1%, respectively. Based upon the information and assumptions we used to calculate beta as of December 31, 2014, we estimate that an immediate decrease in the S&P 500 of 10% would decrease the net fair value of our equity investments by \$1.05 billion, compared to \$1.10 billion as of December 31, 2013, and an immediate increase in the S&P 500 of 10% would increase the net fair value by \$1.05 billion compared to \$1.10 billion as of December 31, 2013. The selection of a 10% immediate decrease or increase in the S&P 500 should not be construed as our prediction of future market events, but only as an illustration of the potential effect of such an event.

The beta of our common stocks and other securities with equity risk was determined by calculating the change in the fair value of the portfolio resulting from stressing the equity market up and down 10%. The illustrations noted above may not reflect our actual experience if the future composition of the portfolio (hence its beta) and correlation relationships differ from the historical relationships.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, we had separate account assets related to variable annuity and variable life contracts with account values totaling \$4.40 billion and \$6.74 billion, respectively. The December 31, 2013 balance included amounts classified as held for sale. Equity risk exists for contract charges based on separate account balances and guarantees for death and/or income benefits provided by our variable products. In 2006, we disposed of substantially all of the variable annuity business through reinsurance agreements with The Prudential Insurance Company of America, a subsidiary of Prudential Financial Inc. and therefore mitigated this aspect of our risk. Equity risk for our variable life business relates to contract charges and policyholder benefits. Total variable life contract charges for 2014 and 2013 were \$47 million and \$67 million, respectively. Separate account liabilities related to variable life contracts were \$77 million and \$900 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The decline in separate accounts was the result of the LBL sale.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013 we had \$1.49 billion and \$3.71 billion, respectively, in equity-indexed annuity liabilities that provide customers with interest crediting rates based on the performance of the S&P 500. We hedge the majority of the risk associated with these liabilities using equity-indexed options and futures and eurodollar futures, maintaining risk within specified value-at-risk limits. \$2.26 billion of the December 31, 2013 balance was a component of the LBL sale during 2014.

Foreign currency exchange rate risk is the risk that we will incur economic losses due to adverse changes in foreign currency exchange rates. This risk primarily arises from our foreign equity investments, including common stocks, real estate funds and private equity funds, and our Canadian, Northern Ireland and Indian operations. We also have investments in certain fixed income securities and emerging market fixed income funds that are denominated in foreign currencies; however, derivatives are used to hedge approximately 12% of this foreign currency risk.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2014, we had \$1.35 billion in foreign currency denominated equity investments, \$843 million net investment in our foreign subsidiaries, primarily related to our Canadian operations, and \$283 million in unhedged non-dollar pay fixed income securities. These amounts were \$1.10 billion, \$878 million, and \$330 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2013. 68.0% of the foreign currency exposure is in the Property-Liability business.

Based upon the information and assumptions used as of December 31, 2014, we estimate that a 10% immediate unfavorable change in each of the foreign currency exchange rates to which we are exposed would decrease the value of our foreign currency denominated instruments by \$235 million, compared with an estimated \$254 million decrease as of December 31, 2013. The selection of a 10% immediate decrease in all currency exchange rates should not be construed as our prediction of future market events, but only as an illustration of the potential effect of such an event.

The modeling technique we use to report our currency exposure does not take into account correlation among foreign currency exchange rates. Even though we believe it is very unlikely that all of the foreign currency exchange rates that we are exposed to would simultaneously decrease by 10%, we nonetheless stress test our portfolio under this and other hypothetical extreme adverse market scenarios. Our actual experience may differ from these results because of assumptions we have used or because significant liquidity and market events could occur that we did not foresee.

PENSION PLANS

We have defined benefit pension plans, which cover most full-time, certain part-time employees and employee-agents. Benefits are based primarily on a cash balance formula, however certain participants have a significant portion of their benefits attributable to a former final average pay formula. 91% of the projected benefit obligation of our primary qualified employee plan is related to the former final average pay formula. See Note 17 of the consolidated financial statements for a complete discussion of these plans and their effect on the consolidated financial statements. The pension and other postretirement plans may be amended or terminated at any time. Any revisions could result in significant changes to our obligations and our obligation to fund the plans.

We report unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity. It represents the after-tax differences between the fair value of plan assets and the projected benefit obligation ("PBO") for pension plans and the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation for other postretirement plans that have not yet been recognized as a component of net periodic cost. As of December 31, 2014, unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost totaled \$1.36 billion comprising \$1.49 billion related to pension benefits and \$(122) million related to other postretirement benefits. The unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost increased by \$725 million as of December 31, 2014 from \$638 million as of December 31, 2013. The measurement of the unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost can vary based upon the fluctuations in the fair value of plan assets and the actuarial assumptions used for the plans as discussed below. The increase in the unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost primarily related to actuarial assumption and census data updates, including decreases in the discount rate assumptions, the adoption of new Society of Actuaries mortality assumptions and lump sum payments at discount rates lower than actuarial assumptions.

During 2014, we offered certain vested terminated employees the opportunity to receive lump sum payments. As a result, \$75 million of benefit payments resulted in a reduction of the pension benefit obligation of approximately \$90 million.

The components of net periodic pension cost for all pension plans for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012		
Service cost	\$ 96 \$	140 \$	152		
Interest cost	262	265	298		
Expected return on plan assets	(398)	(394)	(393)		
Amortization of:					
Prior service credit	(58)	(28)	(2)		
Net actuarial loss	127	235	178		
Settlement loss	54	277	33		
Net periodic cost	\$ 83 \$	495 \$	266		

The service cost component is the actuarial present value of the benefits attributed by the plans benefit formula to services rendered by the employees during the period. Interest cost is the increase in the PBO in the period due to the passage of time at the discount rate. Interest cost fluctuates as the discount rate changes and is also impacted by the related change in the size of the PBO. The decrease or increase in the PBO due to an increase or decrease in the discount rate is deferred and decreases or increases the net actuarial loss. It is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income as unrecognized pension benefit cost and may be amortized.

Table of Contents

The expected return on plan assets is determined as the product of the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and the adjusted fair value of plan assets, referred to as the market-related value of plan assets. To determine the market-related value, the fair value of plan assets is adjusted annually so that differences between changes in the fair value of equity securities and hedge fund limited partnerships and the expected long-term rate of return on these securities are recognized into the market-related value of plan assets over a five year period. We believe this is consistent with the long-term nature of pension obligations.

When the actual return on plan assets exceeds the expected return on plan assets it reduces the net actuarial loss; when the expected return exceeds the actual return it increases the net actuarial loss. It is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income as unrecognized pension benefit cost and may be amortized. The market-related value adjustment represents the current difference between actual returns and expected returns on equity securities and hedge fund limited partnerships recognized over a five year period. The market-related value adjustment is a deferred net loss of \$104 million as of December 31, 2014. The expected return on plan assets fluctuates when the market-related value of plan assets changes and when the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets assumption changes.

Amortization of net actuarial loss in pension cost is recorded when the net actuarial loss including the unamortized market-related value adjustment exceeds 10% of the greater of the PBO or the market-related value of plan assets. The amount of amortization is equal to the excess divided by the average remaining service period for active employees for each plan, which approximates 9 years for Allstate's largest plan. As a result, the effect of changes in the PBO due to changes in the discount rate and changes in the fair value of plan assets may be experienced in our net periodic pension cost in periods subsequent to those in which the fluctuations actually occur.

Net actuarial loss fluctuates as the discount rate fluctuates, as the actual return on plan assets differ from the expected long-term rate of return on plans assets, and as actual plan experience differs from other actuarial assumptions. Net actuarial loss related to changes in the discount rate will change when interest rates change and from amortization of net actuarial loss when there is an excess sufficient to qualify for amortization. Net actuarial loss related to changes in the fair value of plan assets will change when plan assets change in fair value and when there is an excess sufficient to qualify for amortization. Other net actuarial loss will change over time due to changes in other valuation assumptions and the plan participants or when there is an excess sufficient to qualify for amortization.

A decrease in the discount rate increased the net actuarial loss by \$576 million in 2014, an increase in the discount rate decreased the net actuarial loss by \$593 million in 2013, and a decrease in the discount rate increased the net actuarial loss by \$806 million in 2012. The difference between actual and expected returns on plan assets decreased the net actuarial loss by \$144 million, \$172 million, and \$201 million in 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

Settlement charges are non-cash charges that accelerate the recognition of unrecognized pension benefit cost, that would have been incurred in subsequent periods, when plan payments primarily lump sums from qualified pension plans, exceed a threshold of service and interest cost for the period. The value of lump sums paid in 2014 were lower as fewer employees retired than in 2013. The value of lump sums paid to employees electing retirement in 2013 was elevated due to historically low interest rates. Voluntary retirement activity during the fourth quarter of 2013 was almost five times the typical level.

Net periodic pension cost in 2015 is estimated to be \$112 million including expected settlement charges of \$25 million primarily for agent lump sum payments. Expected returns on plan assets and amortization of prior service credits offset the other components of pension cost. The increase is due to higher amortization of net actuarial loss offset by a higher expected return on assets. Pension expense is reported consistent with other types of employee compensation and as a result is included in claims expense, operating costs and expenses and investment expense. Net periodic pension cost decreased in 2014 to \$83 million compared to \$495 million in 2013 due to a decrease in service cost from the new benefit formula, a decrease in the amortization expense for the prior year's net actuarial losses which decreased due to a higher discount rate used to value the pension plan and lower settlements from fewer lump sum payments. Net periodic pension cost increased in 2013 compared to \$266 million in 2012 due to an increase in the amortization expense for prior year's net actuarial losses (gain) which increased due to a lower discount rate used to value the pension plans. In 2014, 2013 and 2012, net pension cost included non-cash settlement charges resulting from lump sum distributions. Settlement charges are likely to continue for some period in the future as we settle our remaining agent pension obligations by making lump sum distributions to agents. The settlement charge threshold for our primary employee plan is lower beginning in 2014 due to the new benefit formula and low interest rates and as a result a lower amount of lump sum benefits may trigger settlement charges in the future. If interest rates increase in 2015, there may be an increase in employees electing retirement, which could trigger settlement charges in 2015.

Table of Contents

We anticipate that the net actuarial loss for our pension plans will exceed 10% of the greater of the PBO or the market-related value of assets in 2015 and into the foreseeable future, resulting in additional amortization and net periodic pension cost. The net actuarial loss will be amortized over the remaining service life of active employees (approximately 9 years) or will reverse with increases in the discount rate or better than expected returns on plan assets.

Amounts recorded for net periodic pension cost and accumulated other comprehensive income are significantly affected by changes in the assumptions used to determine the discount rate and the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. The discount rate is based on rates at which expected pension benefits attributable to past employee service could effectively be settled on a present value basis at the measurement date. We develop the assumed discount rate by utilizing the weighted average yield of a theoretical dedicated portfolio derived from non-callable bonds and bonds with a make-whole provision available in the Bloomberg corporate bond universe having ratings of at least "AA" by S&P or at least "Aa" by Moody's on the measurement date with cash flows that match expected plan benefit requirements. Significant changes in discount rates, such as those caused by changes in the credit spreads, yield curve, the mix of bonds available in the market, the duration of selected bonds and expected benefit payments, may result in volatility in pension cost and accumulated other comprehensive income.

Holding other assumptions constant, a hypothetical decrease of 100 basis points in the discount rate would result in an increase of \$31 million, pre-tax, in net periodic pension cost and a \$444 million, after-tax, increase in the unrecognized pension cost liability recorded as accumulated other comprehensive income as of December 31, 2014, compared to an increase of \$39 million, pre-tax, in net periodic pension cost and a \$379 million, after-tax, increase in the unrecognized pension cost liability as of December 31, 2013. A hypothetical increase of 100 basis points in the discount rate would decrease net periodic pension cost by \$29 million, pre-tax, and would decrease the unrecognized pension cost liability recorded as accumulated other comprehensive income by \$377 million, after-tax, as of December 31, 2014, compared to a decrease in net periodic pension cost of \$35 million, pre-tax, and a \$322 million, after-tax, decrease in the unrecognized pension cost liability recorded as accumulated other comprehensive income as of December 31, 2013. This non-symmetrical range results from the non-linear relationship between discount rates and pension obligations, and changes in the amortization of unrealized net actuarial gains and losses.

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets reflects the average rate of earnings expected on plan assets. While this rate reflects long-term assumptions and is consistent with long-term historical returns, sustained changes in the market or changes in the mix of plan assets may lead to revisions in the assumed long-term rate of return on plan assets that may result in variability of pension cost. Differences between the actual return on plan assets and the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets are a component of net actuarial loss and are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Holding other assumptions constant, a hypothetical decrease of 100 basis points in the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets would result in an increase of \$57 million in pension cost as of December 31, 2014, compared to \$55 million as of December 31, 2013. A hypothetical increase of 100 basis points in the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets would result in a decrease in net periodic pension cost of \$57 million as of December 31, 2014, compared to \$55 million as of December 31, 2013.

The primary qualified plans have unrealized gains as of December 31, 2014 of \$510 million, an increase of \$80 million from the prior year. 81% of unrealized gains are related to equity securities as of December 31, 2014 compared to 100% as of December 31, 2013. During 2014, the two primary qualified plans realized capital gains of \$315 million. Given the Plan's exposure to an increase in interest rates, the plans shortened the duration of the plan assets in the fixed income portfolio.

We target funding levels in accordance with regulations under the Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") and generally accepted actuarial principles. Our funding levels were within our targeted range as of December 31, 2014. In 2014, we contributed \$49 million to our pension plans. We expect to contribute \$127 million for the 2015 fiscal year to maintain the plans' funded status. This estimate could change significantly following either an improvement or decline in investment markets.

Participating subsidiaries fund the Plans' contributions under our master services cost sharing agreement. In addition, as a result of joint and several pension liability rules under the Internal Revenue Code and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, many liabilities that arise in connection with pension plans are joint and several across all members of a controlled group of entities.

Table of Contents

GOODWILL

Goodwill represents the excess of amounts paid for acquiring businesses over the fair value of the net assets acquired. The goodwill balances were \$823 million and \$396 million as of December 31, 2014 for the Allstate Protection segment and the Allstate Financial segment, respectively. Our reporting units are equivalent to our reporting segments, Allstate Protection and Allstate Financial. Goodwill is allocated to reporting units based on which unit is expected to benefit from the synergies of the business combination.

Goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment at least annually. We perform our annual goodwill impairment testing during the fourth quarter of each year based upon data as of the close of the third quarter. We also review goodwill for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances, such as deteriorating or adverse market conditions, indicate that it is more likely than not that the carrying amount of goodwill may exceed its implied fair value.

Impairment testing requires the use of estimates and judgments. For purposes of goodwill impairment testing, if the carrying value of a reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value, the second step of the goodwill test is required. In such instances, the implied fair value of the goodwill is determined in the same manner as the amount of goodwill that would be determined in a business acquisition. The excess of the carrying value of goodwill over the implied fair value of goodwill would be recognized as an impairment and recorded as a charge against net income.

To estimate the fair value of our reporting units for our annual impairment test, we initially utilize a stock price and market capitalization analysis and apportion the value between our reporting units using peer company price to book multiples. If the stock price and market capitalization analysis does not result in the fair value of the reporting unit exceeding its carrying value, we may also utilize a peer company price to earnings multiples analysis and/or a discounted cash flow analysis to supplement the stock price and market capitalization analysis. If a combination of valuation techniques are utilized, the analyses would be weighted based on management's judgment of their relevance given current facts and circumstances.

The stock price and market capitalization analysis takes into consideration the quoted market price of our outstanding common stock and includes a control premium, derived from historical insurance industry acquisition activity, in determining the estimated fair value of the consolidated entity before allocating that fair value to individual reporting units. The total market capitalization of the consolidated entity is allocated to the individual reporting units using book value multiples derived from peer company data for the respective reporting units. The peer company price to earnings multiples analysis takes into consideration the price earnings multiples of peer companies for each reporting unit and estimated income from our strategic plan. The discounted cash flow analysis utilizes long term assumptions for revenue growth, capital growth, earnings projections including those used in our strategic plan, and an appropriate discount rate. We apply significant judgment when determining the fair value of our reporting units and when assessing the relationship of market capitalization to the estimated fair value of our reporting units. The valuation analyses described above are subject to critical judgments and assumptions and may be potentially sensitive to variability. Estimates of fair value are inherently uncertain and represent management's reasonable expectation regarding future developments. These estimates and the judgments and assumptions utilized may differ from future actual results. Declines in the estimated fair value of our reporting units could result in goodwill impairments in future periods which may be material to our results of operations but not our financial position.

During fourth quarter 2014, we completed our annual goodwill impairment test using information as of September 30, 2014. The stock price and market capitalization analysis resulted in the fair value of our reporting units exceeding their respective carrying values. The results of this analysis are supported by the operating performance of the individual reporting units as well as their respective industry sector's performance. Goodwill impairment evaluations indicated no impairment as of December 31, 2014 and no reporting unit was at risk of having its carrying value including goodwill exceed its fair value.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY 2014 HIGHLIGHTS

Shareholders' equity as of December 31, 2014 was \$22.30 billion, an increase of 3.8% from \$21.48 billion as of December 31, 2013.

On January 2, 2014, April 1, 2014, July 1, 2014 and October 1, 2014, we paid common shareholder dividends of \$0.25, \$0.28, \$0.28 and \$0.28, respectively. On November 18, 2014, we declared a common shareholder dividend of \$0.28 to be payable on January 2, 2015. On February 4, 2015, we declared a common shareholder dividend of \$0.30 to be payable on April 1, 2015.

During 2014, we repurchased 39.0 million common shares for \$2.31 billion. As of December 31, 2014, there was \$336 million remaining on our \$2.5 billion common share repurchase program. On February 4, 2015, a new \$3 billion common share repurchase program was authorized and is expected to be completed by July 2016.

Table of Contents

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

Capital resources consist of shareholders' equity and debt, representing funds deployed or available to be deployed to support business operations or for general corporate purposes. The following table summarizes our capital resources as of December 31.

(\$ in millions) Preferred stock, common stock, retained income and other shareholders' equity items Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 2014 21,743 561	\$ 2013 20,434 1,046	\$ 2012 19,405 1,175
Total shareholders' equity Debt	22,304 5,194	21,480 6,201	20,580 6,057
Total capital resources	\$ 27,498	\$ 27,681	\$ 26,637
Ratio of debt to shareholders' equity	23.3%	28.9%	29.4%
Ratio of debt to capital resources	18.9%	22.4%	22.7%

Shareholders' equity increased in 2014, primarily due to net income, the issuance of preferred stock and increased unrealized net capital gains on investments, partially offset by common share repurchases, an increase in the unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost and dividends paid to shareholders. In 2014, we paid dividends of \$477 million and \$87 million related to our common and preferred shares, respectively. Shareholders' equity increased in 2013, primarily due to net income, decreased unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost, and the issuance of preferred stock, partially offset by common share repurchases, decreased unrealized net capital gains on investments and dividends paid to shareholders.

Preferred stock In March 2014, we issued 29,900 shares of 6.625% Noncumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock for gross proceeds of \$747.5 million. In June 2014, we issued 10,000 shares of 6.25% Noncumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock for gross proceeds of \$250 million. The proceeds of both issuances were used for general corporate purposes.

Debt \$300 million of 6.20% Senior Notes were paid at maturity in May 2014 and \$650 million of 5.00% Senior Notes were paid at maturity in August 2014. These Notes were paid from available funds. We have no debt maturities until 2018. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, there were no outstanding commercial paper borrowings. For further information on outstanding debt, see Note 12 of the consolidated financial statements.

Capital resources comprise an increased mix of preferred stock and subordinated debt due to issuances in 2013 and 2014 and the completion of a tender offer to repurchase debt in 2013. As of December 31, 2014, capital resources includes \$1.75 billion or 6.3% of preferred stock and \$2.05 billion or 7.5% of subordinated debt, a total of 13.8% compared to 10.2% as of December 31, 2013 and 3.8% as of December 31, 2012. This increases our strategic flexibility by decreasing our debt to shareholders' equity ratio, which is one determinant of borrowing capacity.

Common share repurchases As of December 31, 2014, our \$2.5 billion common share repurchase program that commenced in February 2014 had \$336 million remaining and is expected to be completed in first quarter 2015. On February 4, 2015, a new \$3 billion common share repurchase program was authorized and is expected to be completed by July 2016.

During 2014, we repurchased 39.0 million common shares for \$2.31 billion. In addition to open market transactions, we entered into two accelerated share repurchase agreements each for the purchase of \$750 million of our outstanding common stock. The first settled on July 29, 2014 and the second settled on December 18, 2014.

Since 1995, we have acquired 601 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$25.38 billion, primarily as part of various stock repurchase programs. We have reissued 121 million common shares since 1995, primarily associated with our equity incentive plans, the 1999 acquisition of American Heritage Life Investment Corporation and the 2001 redemption of certain mandatorily redeemable preferred securities. Since 1995, total common shares outstanding has decreased by 480 million shares or 53.4%, primarily due to our repurchase programs.

Table of Contents

Financial ratings and strength The following table summarizes our senior long-term debt, commercial paper and insurance financial strength ratings as of December 31, 2014.

	Standard &		
	Moody's	Poor's	A.M. Best
The Allstate Corporation (senior long-term debt)	A3	A-	a-
The Allstate Corporation (commercial paper)	P-2	A-2	AMB-1
Allstate Insurance Company (insurance financial strength)	Aa3	AA-	A+
Allstate Life Insurance Company (insurance financial strength)	A1	A+	A+

Our ratings are influenced by many factors including our operating and financial performance, asset quality, liquidity, asset/liability management, overall portfolio mix, financial leverage (i.e., debt), exposure to risks such as catastrophes and the current level of operating leverage. Rating agencies continue to give favorable treatment in capitalization to preferred stock and subordinated debt, of which Allstate had \$3.80 billion as of December 31, 2014 compared to \$2.83 billion as of December 31, 2013 and \$1.00 billion as of December 31, 2012.

In February 2015, A.M. Best affirmed The Allstate Corporation's debt and commercial paper ratings of a- and AMB-1, respectively, and our insurance financial strength ratings of A+ for AIC and ALIC. The outlook for the ratings remained stable. In June 2014, S&P affirmed The Allstate Corporation's debt and commercial paper ratings of A- and A-2, respectively, and our insurance financial strength ratings of AA- for AIC and A+ for ALIC. The outlook for the ratings remained stable. In December 2014, Moody's affirmed ALIC's insurance financial strength rating of A1. The outlook for the rating remained stable. There have been no changes to our debt, commercial paper and insurance financial strength rating for AIC from Moody's since December 31, 2013.

We have distinct and separately capitalized groups of subsidiaries licensed to sell property and casualty insurance in New Jersey and Florida that maintain separate group ratings. The ratings of these groups are influenced by the risks that relate specifically to each group. Many mortgage companies require property owners to have insurance from an insurance carrier with a secure financial strength rating from an accredited rating agency. In February 2014, A.M. Best affirmed the Allstate New Jersey Insurance Company, which writes auto and homeowners insurance, rating of A-. The outlook for this rating is stable. Allstate New Jersey Insurance Company also has a Financial Stability Rating® of A" from Demotech, which was affirmed in November 2014. In August 2014, A.M. Best affirmed the Castle Key Insurance Company, which underwrites personal lines property insurance in Florida, rating of B-. The outlook for the rating is negative. Castle Key Insurance Company also has a Financial Stability Rating® of A' from Demotech, which was affirmed in November 2014.

Beginning in 2015, Allstate Financial will use a separately capitalized subsidiary, Allstate Assurance Company, to write life insurance business sold by Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists that is currently written by LBL. As Allstate Assurance Company launches its products throughout the nation, LBL will cease writing that type of new business for Allstate Financial. LBL life business sold through the Allstate agency channel and all LBL payout annuity business will continue to be reinsured and serviced by ALIC. Allstate Assurance Company has a financial strength rating of A from A.M. Best and A1 by Moody's.

ALIC, AIC and The Allstate Corporation are party to an Amended and Restated Intercompany Liquidity Agreement ("Liquidity Agreement") which allows for short-term advances of funds to be made between parties for liquidity and other general corporate purposes. The Liquidity Agreement does not establish a commitment to advance funds on the part of any party. ALIC and AIC each serve as a lender and borrower and the Corporation serves only as a lender. AIC also has a capital support agreement with ALIC. Under the capital support agreement, AIC is committed to provide capital to ALIC to maintain an adequate capital level. The maximum amount of potential funding under each of these agreements is \$1.00 billion.

In addition to the Liquidity Agreement, the Corporation also has an intercompany loan agreement with certain of its subsidiaries, which include, but are not limited to, AIC and ALIC. The amount of intercompany loans available to the Corporation's subsidiaries is at the discretion of the Corporation. The maximum amount of loans the Corporation will have outstanding to all its eligible subsidiaries at any given point in time is limited to \$1.00 billion. The Corporation may use commercial paper borrowings, bank lines of credit and securities lending to fund intercompany borrowings.

Allstate's domestic property-liability and life insurance subsidiaries prepare their statutory-basis financial statements in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the insurance department of the applicable state of domicile. Statutory surplus is a measure that is often used as a basis for determining dividend paying capacity, operating leverage and premium growth capacity, and it is also reviewed by rating agencies in determining

Table of Contents

their ratings. As of December 31, 2014, total statutory surplus is \$17.32 billion compared to \$18.28 billion as of December 31, 2013. Property-Liability surplus was \$14.41 billion as of December 31, 2014, compared to \$15.26 billion as of December 31, 2013. Allstate Financial surplus was \$2.91 billion as of December 31, 2014, compared to \$3.02 billion as of December 31, 2013. Additionally, Allstate Financial has short-term investments and cash of \$98 million at Allstate Financial Insurance Holdings Corporation intended to capitalize Allstate Assurance Company in early 2015.

The ratio of net premiums written to statutory surplus is a common measure of operating leverage used in the property-casualty insurance industry and serves as an indicator of a company's premium growth capacity. Ratios in excess of 3 to 1 are typically considered outside the usual range by insurance regulators and rating agencies, and for homeowners and related coverages that have significant net exposure to natural catastrophes a ratio of 1 to 1 is considered appropriate by the Company. AIC's combined premium to surplus ratio was 1.7x as of December 31, 2014 compared to 1.5x as of December 31, 2013.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") has also developed a set of financial relationships or tests known as the Insurance Regulatory Information System to assist state insurance regulators in monitoring the financial condition of insurance companies and identifying companies that require special attention or actions by state insurance regulators. The NAIC analyzes financial data provided by insurance companies using prescribed ratios, each with defined "usual ranges". Additional regulatory scrutiny may occur if a company's ratios fall outside the usual ranges for four or more of the ratios. Our domestic insurance companies have no significant departure from these ranges.

Liquidity sources and uses Our potential sources of funds principally include activities shown in the following table.

	Property- Liability	Allstate Financial	Corporate and Other
Receipt of insurance premiums	X	X	
Contractholder fund deposits		X	
Reinsurance recoveries	X	X	
Receipts of principal, interest and dividends on investments	X	X	X
Sales of investments	X	X	X
Funds from securities lending, commercial paper and line of credit agreements	X	X	X
Intercompany loans	X	X	X
Capital contributions from parent	X	X	
Dividends or return of capital from subsidiaries	X		X
Tax refunds/settlements	X	X	X
Funds from periodic issuance of additional securities			X
Receipt of intercompany settlements related to employee benefit plans			X

Our potential uses of funds principally include activities shown in the following table.

	Property- Liability	Allstate Financial	Corporate and Other
Payment of claims and related expenses	X		
Payment of contract benefits, maturities, surrenders and withdrawals		X	
Reinsurance cessions and payments	X	X	
Operating costs and expenses	X	X	X
Purchase of investments	X	X	X
Repayment of securities lending, commercial paper and line of credit agreements	X	X	X
Payment or repayment of intercompany loans	X	X	X
Capital contributions to subsidiaries	X		X
Dividends or return of capital to shareholders/parent company	X	X	X
Tax payments/settlements	X	X	
Common share repurchases			X
Debt service expenses and repayment	X	X	X
Payments related to employee and agent benefit plans	X	X	X
102			

Table of Contents

We actively manage our financial position and liquidity levels in light of changing market, economic, and business conditions. Liquidity is managed at both the entity and enterprise level across the Company, and is assessed on both base and stressed level liquidity needs. We believe we have sufficient liquidity to meet these needs. Additionally, we have existing intercompany agreements in place that facilitate liquidity management across the Company to enhance flexibility.

Parent company capital capacity At the parent holding company level, we have deployable assets totaling \$3.42 billion as of December 31, 2014 comprising cash and investments that are generally saleable within one quarter. The substantial earnings capacity of the operating subsidiaries is the primary source of capital generation for the Corporation. In 2015, AIC will have the capacity to pay dividends currently estimated at \$2.31 billion without prior regulatory approval. This provides funds for the parent company's fixed charges and other corporate purposes. In addition, we have access to \$1.00 billion of funds from either commercial paper issuance or an unsecured revolving credit facility.

In 2014, AIC paid dividends totaling \$2.47 billion to its parent, Allstate Insurance Holdings, LLC ("AIH"), who then paid \$2.46 billion of dividends to the Corporation. In December 2014, AIC repurchased 2,967 common shares held by its parent, AIH, for an aggregate cash price of \$1.20 billion, pursuant to the Stock Repurchase Agreement between AIC and AIH entered into as of December 9, 2014. A subsequent dividend totaling \$1.20 billion was paid by AIH to the Corporation in December 2014. In 2013, AIC paid dividends totaling \$1.95 billion to its parent, AIH who then paid the same amount of dividends to the Corporation. In 2012, AIC paid dividends totaling \$1.51 billion. These dividends comprised \$1.06 billion in cash paid to AIH, of which \$1.04 billion were paid by AIH to the Corporation, and the transfer of ownership (valued at \$450 million) to AIH of three insurance companies that were formerly subsidiaries of AIC (Allstate Indemnity Company, Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company). In 2014, 2013 and 2012, Allstate Financial paid \$742 million, \$774 million and \$357 million, respectively, of returns of capital, repayments of surplus notes and dividends to AIC or the Corporation. There were no capital contributions paid by the Corporation to AIC in 2014, 2013 or 2012. There were no capital contributions by AIC to ALIC in 2014, 2013 or 2012.

Dividends may not be paid or declared on our common stock and shares of common stock may not be repurchased unless the full dividends for the latest completed dividend period on our preferred stock have been declared and paid or provided for. We are prohibited from declaring or paying dividends on our preferred stock if we fail to meet specified capital adequacy, net income or shareholders' equity levels, except out of the net proceeds of common stock issued during the 90 days prior to the date of declaration. As of December 31, 2014, we satisfied all of the tests with no current restrictions on the payment of preferred stock dividends.

The terms of our outstanding subordinated debentures also prohibit us from declaring or paying any dividends or distributions on our common or preferred stock or redeeming, purchasing, acquiring, or making liquidation payments on our common stock or preferred stock if we have elected to defer interest payments on the subordinated debentures, subject to certain limited exceptions. In 2014, we did not defer interest payments on the subordinated debentures.

The Corporation has access to additional borrowing to support liquidity as follows:

A commercial paper facility with a borrowing limit of \$1.00 billion to cover short-term cash needs. As of December 31, 2014, there were no balances outstanding and therefore the remaining borrowing capacity was \$1.00 billion; however, the outstanding balance can fluctuate daily.

Our \$1.00 billion unsecured revolving credit facility is available for short-term liquidity requirements and backs our commercial paper facility. In April 2014, we amended the maturity date of this facility to April 2019 and also amended our option to extend the expiration by one year at the first and second anniversary of the amendment, upon approval of existing or replacement lenders. The facility is fully subscribed among 12 lenders with the largest commitment being \$115 million. The commitments of the lenders are several and no lender is responsible for any other lender's commitment if such lender fails to make a loan under the facility. This facility contains an increase provision that would allow up to an additional \$500 million of borrowing. This facility has a financial covenant requiring that we not exceed a 37.5% debt to capitalization ratio as defined in the agreement. This ratio was 11.6% as of December 31, 2014. Although the right to borrow under the facility is not subject to a minimum rating requirement, the costs of maintaining the facility and borrowing under it are based on the ratings of our senior unsecured, unguaranteed long-term debt. There were no borrowings under the credit facility during 2014. The total amount outstanding at any point in time under the combination of the commercial paper program and the credit facility cannot exceed the amount that can be borrowed under the credit facility.

A universal shelf registration statement was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 30, 2012. We can use this shelf registration to issue an unspecified amount of debt securities, common stock (including 482 million shares of treasury stock as of December 31, 2014), preferred stock, depositary shares, warrants, stock purchase contracts, stock purchase units and securities of trust subsidiaries. The specific terms of any securities we issue under this registration statement will be provided in the applicable prospectus supplements.

Table of Contents

Liquidity exposure Contractholder funds were \$22.53 billion as of December 31, 2014. The following table summarizes contractholder funds by their contractual withdrawal provisions as of December 31, 2014.

(\$ in millions)		Percent to total
Not subject to discretionary withdrawal	\$ 3,653	16.2%
Subject to discretionary withdrawal with adjustments:		
Specified surrender charges (1)	6,244	27.7
Market value adjustments (2)	2,348	10.4
Subject to discretionary withdrawal without adjustments (3)	10,284	45.7
Total contractholder funds (4)	\$ 22,529	100.0%

- (1) Includes \$2.53 billion of liabilities with a contractual surrender charge of less than 5% of the account balance.
- \$1.67 billion of the contracts with market value adjusted surrenders have a 30-45 day period at the end of their initial and subsequent interest rate guarantee periods (which are typically 5, 7 or 10 years) during which there is no surrender charge or market value adjustment.
- (3) 86% of these contracts have a minimum interest crediting rate guarantee of 3% or higher.
- (4) Includes \$844 million of contractholder funds on variable annuities reinsured to The Prudential Insurance Company of America, a subsidiary of Prudential Financial Inc., in 2006.

Retail life and annuity products may be surrendered by customers for a variety of reasons. Reasons unique to individual customers include a current or unexpected need for cash or a change in life insurance coverage needs. Other key factors that may impact the likelihood of customer surrender include the level of the contract surrender charge, the length of time the contract has been in force, distribution channel, market interest rates, equity market conditions and potential tax implications. In addition, the propensity for retail life insurance policies to lapse is lower than it is for fixed annuities because of the need for the insured to be re-underwritten upon policy replacement. The surrender and partial withdrawal rate on deferred fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance products, based on the beginning of year contractholder funds, was 9.9% and 10.2% in 2014 and 2013, respectively. Allstate Financial strives to promptly pay customers who request cash surrenders; however, statutory regulations generally provide up to six months in most states to fulfill surrender requests.

Our asset-liability management practices enable us to manage the differences between the cash flows generated by our investment portfolio and the expected cash flow requirements of our life insurance and annuity product obligations.

Certain remote events and circumstances could constrain our liquidity. Those events and circumstances include, for example, a catastrophe resulting in extraordinary losses, a downgrade in our senior long-term debt rating of A3, A- and a- (from Moody's, S&P and A.M. Best, respectively) to non-investment grade status of below Baa3/BBB-/bb, a downgrade in AIC's financial strength rating from Aa3, AA- and A+ (from Moody's, S&P and A.M. Best, respectively) to below Baa2/BBB/A-, or a downgrade in ALIC's financial strength ratings from A1, A+ and A+ (from Moody's, S&P and A.M. Best, respectively) to below A3/A-/A-. The rating agencies also consider the interdependence of our individually rated entities; therefore, a rating change in one entity could potentially affect the ratings of other related entities.

The following table summarizes consolidated cash flow activities by segment.

(\$ in millions) **Allstate Financial (1)** Consolidated **Property-Liability (1) Corporate and Other (1)** 2012 2014 2013 2012 2014 2013 2012 2014 2013 2014 2013 2012

Net cash provided by (used in):												
Operating activities	\$ 2,765 \$	3.058 \$	2.023 \$	720 \$	1,068 \$	1.165 \$	(249)\$	116 \$	(134)\$	3,236 \$	4.242 \$	3.054
Investing	7 -,	-, +	_,,,_, ,	,	-, +	-,	(- 17)+		(') +	-, +	1,- 1- 1	-,
activities	99	(1,858)	(1,081)	2,315	3,833	2,497	(793)	(395)	165	1,621	1,580	1,581
Financing												
activities	(3)	38	(18)	(2,274)	(4,393)	(3,363)	(2,598)	(1,598)	(1,224)	(4,875)	(5,953)	(4,605)
Net (decrease) increase in consolidated										(10) Φ	(121) (121)	20
cash									\$	(18)\$	(131)\$	30

Property-Liability Lower cash provided by operating activities in 2014 compared to 2013 was primarily due to higher claim payments, the proceeds received in 2013 from the surrender of company owned life insurance and higher

104

⁽¹⁾ Business unit cash flows reflect the elimination of intersegment dividends, contributions and borrowings.

Table of Contents

income tax payments, partially offset by increased premiums and lower contributions to benefit plans. Higher cash provided by operating activities in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily due to lower claim payments, increased premiums and the surrender of company owned life insurance, partially offset by higher expenses and tax payments.

Cash provided by investing activities in 2014 compared to cash used in investing activities in 2013 was primarily the result of increased sales of securities and short-term investments, partially offset by increased purchases of securities. Increased sales and purchases of securities resulted from more active portfolio management. Higher cash used in investing activities in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily related to 2013 operating cash flows being invested.

Allstate Financial Lower cash provided by operating activities in 2014 compared to 2013 was primarily due to lower net investment income and higher income tax payments, partially offset by higher premiums on accident and health and traditional life insurance products. Lower cash provided by operating cash flows in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily due to lower net investment income, partially offset by lower contract benefits paid and higher premiums.

Lower cash was provided by investing activities in 2014 compared to 2013 as proceeds from the sale of LBL and higher sales of investments were more than offset by lower collections and higher purchases of investments. Lower collections resulted from funding a large institutional product maturity in 2013 from the portfolio. Higher cash provided by investing activities in 2013 compared to 2012 was due to higher investment collections and higher financing needs to fund institutional product maturities.

Lower cash used in financing activities in 2014 compared to 2013 was primarily due to a \$1.75 billion institutional product maturity in 2013 and lower contractholder benefits and withdrawals on fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance, partially offset by lower deposits. Higher cash used in financing activities in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily due to a \$1.75 billion institutional product maturity. For quantification of the changes in contractholder funds, see the Allstate Financial Segment section of the MD&A.

Corporate and Other Fluctuations in the Corporate and Other operating cash flows were primarily due to the timing of intercompany settlements. Investing activities primarily relate to investments in the parent company portfolio. Financing cash flows of the Corporate and Other segment reflect actions such as fluctuations in short-term debt, repayment of debt, proceeds from the issuance of debt and preferred stock, dividends to shareholders of The Allstate Corporation and common share repurchases; therefore, financing cash flows are affected when we increase or decrease the level of these activities.

Contractual obligations and commitments Our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2014 and the payments due by period are shown in the following table.

		1	Less than			Over	
(\$ in millions)	Total		1 year	1-3 years	4-5 years	5 years	S
Liabilities for collateral (1)	\$ 782	\$	782	\$	\$	\$	
Contractholder funds (2)	37,270		2,983	5,159	4,376	24,	752
Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits (2)	35,976		1,272	2,421	2,299	29,	984
Long-term debt (3)	12,585		288	577	1,048	10,0	672
Capital lease obligations (4)	11		6	5			
Operating leases (4)	606		131	192	114		169
Unconditional purchase obligations (4)	818		286	331	135		66
Defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement							
benefit plans (4)(5)	1,394		51	125	132	1,0	086
Reserve for property-liability insurance claims and							
claims expense (6)	22,923		9,653	7,457	2,727	3,0	086
Other liabilities and accrued expenses (7)(8)	4,176		4,090	49	17		20
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 116,541	\$	19,542	\$ 16,316	\$ 10,848	\$ 69,	835

Liabilities for collateral are typically fully secured with cash or short-term investments. We manage our short-term liquidity position to ensure the availability of a sufficient amount of liquid assets to extinguish short-term liabilities as they come due in the normal course of business, including utilizing potential sources of liquidity as disclosed previously.

(2)

Contractholder funds represent interest-bearing liabilities arising from the sale of products such as interest-sensitive life, fixed annuities, including immediate annuities without life contingencies and institutional products. The reserve for life-contingent contract benefits relates primarily to traditional life insurance, immediate annuities with life contingencies and voluntary accident and health insurance. These amounts reflect the present value of estimated cash payments to be made to contractholders and policyholders. Certain of these contracts, such as immediate

105

Table of Contents

annuities without life contingencies and institutional products, involve payment obligations where the amount and timing of the payment is essentially fixed and determinable. These amounts relate to (i) policies or contracts where we are currently making payments and will continue to do so and (ii) contracts where the timing of a portion or all of the payments has been determined by the contract. Other contracts, such as interest-sensitive life, fixed deferred annuities, traditional life insurance, immediate annuities with life contingencies and voluntary accident and health insurance, involve payment obligations where a portion or all of the amount and timing of future payments is uncertain. For these contracts, we are not currently making payments and will not make payments until (i) the occurrence of an insurable event such as death or illness or (ii) the occurrence of a payment triggering event such as the surrender or partial withdrawal on a policy or deposit contract, which is outside of our control. We have estimated the timing of payments related to these contracts based on historical experience and our expectation of future payment patterns. Uncertainties relating to these liabilities include mortality, morbidity, expenses, customer lapse and withdrawal activity, estimated additional deposits for interest-sensitive life contracts, and renewal premium for life policies, which may significantly impact both the timing and amount of future payments. Such cash outflows reflect adjustments for the estimated timing of mortality, retirement, and other appropriate factors, but are undiscounted with respect to interest. As a result, the sum of the cash outflows shown for all years in the table exceeds the corresponding liabilities of \$22.53 billion for contractholder funds and \$12.38 billion for reserve for life-contingent contract benefits as included in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as of December 31, 2014. The liability amount in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position reflects the discounting for interest as well as adjustments for the timing of other factors as described above.

- (3)
 Amount differs from the balance presented on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as of December 31, 2014 because the long-term debt amount above includes interest.
- Our payment obligations relating to capital lease obligations, operating leases, unconditional purchase obligations and pension and other postretirement benefits ("OPEB") contributions are managed within the structure of our intermediate to long-term liquidity management program.
- The pension plans' obligations in the next 12 months represent our planned contributions where the benefit obligation exceeds the assets, and the remaining years' contributions are projected based on the average remaining service period using the current underfunded status of the plans. The OPEB plans' obligations are estimated based on the expected benefits to be paid. These liabilities are discounted with respect to interest, and as a result the sum of the cash outflows shown for all years in the table exceeds the corresponding liability amount of \$722 million included in other liabilities and accrued expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position.
- Reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense is an estimate of amounts necessary to settle all outstanding claims, including claims that have been IBNR as of the balance sheet date. We have estimated the timing of these payments based on our historical experience and our expectation of future payment patterns. However, the timing of these payments may vary significantly from the amounts shown above, especially for IBNR claims. The ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts which are our best estimates. The reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense includes loss reserves related to asbestos and environmental claims as of December 31, 2014, of \$1.49 billion and \$267 million, respectively.
- Other liabilities primarily include accrued expenses and certain benefit obligations and claim payments and other checks outstanding. Certain of these long-term liabilities are discounted with respect to interest, as a result the sum of the cash outflows shown for all years in the table exceeds the corresponding liability amount of \$4.17 billion.

(8)

Balance sheet liabilities not included in the table above include unearned and advance premiums of \$12.45 billion and gross deferred tax liabilities of \$2.49 billion. These items were excluded as they do not meet the definition of a contractual liability as we are not contractually obligated to pay these amounts to third parties. Rather, they represent an accounting mechanism that allows us to present our financial statements on an accrual basis. In addition, other liabilities of \$271 million were not included in the table above because they did not represent a contractual obligation or the amount and timing of their eventual payment was sufficiently uncertain.

Our contractual commitments as of December 31, 2014 and the periods in which the commitments expire are shown in the following table.

	Less than									Over
(\$ in millions)		Total		1 year	1-3 years		4-5 years		5 years	
Other commitments conditional Other commitments	\$	193	\$	98	\$		\$	48	\$	47
unconditional		2,429		80		142		164		2,043
Total commitments	\$	2,622	\$	178	\$	142	\$	212	\$	2,090

Contractual commitments represent investment commitments such as private placements, limited partnership interests and other loans. Limited partnership interests are typically funded over the commitment period which is shorter than the contractual expiration date of the partnership and as a result, the actual timing of the funding may vary.

We have agreements in place for services we conduct, generally at cost, between subsidiaries relating to insurance, reinsurance, loans and capitalization. All material intercompany transactions have appropriately been eliminated in consolidation. Intercompany transactions among insurance subsidiaries and affiliates have been approved by the appropriate departments of insurance as required.

For a more detailed discussion of our off-balance sheet arrangements, see Note 7 of the consolidated financial statements.

ENTERPRISE RISK AND RETURN MANAGEMENT

In addition to the normal risks of business, Allstate is subject to significant risks as an insurer and a provider of other products and financial services. These risks are discussed in more detail in the Risk Factors section of this document. Allstate manages enterprise risk under an integrated Enterprise Risk and Return Management ("ERRM") framework

Table of Contents

with risk-return principles, governance, modeling and analytics, and importantly, transparent management dialogue. This framework provides a comprehensive view of risks and opportunities and is used by senior leaders and business managers to provide risk and return insight and drive strategic and business decisions. Allstate's risk management strategies adapt to changes in business and market environments and seek to optimize returns. Allstate continually validates and improves its ERRM practices by benchmarking and securing external perspectives for our processes.

Our qualitative risk-return principles define how we operate and guide decision-making around risk and return. These principles state that, first and foremost, our priority is to protect solvency, comply with laws and act with integrity. Building upon this foundation, we strive to build strategic value and optimize risks and returns.

ERRM governance includes an executive management committee structure, Board oversight and chief risk officers ("CROs"). The Enterprise Risk & Return Council ("ERRC") is Allstate's senior risk management committee that directs ERRM by establishing risk-return targets, determining economic capital levels and directing integrated strategies and actions from an enterprise perspective. The ERRC consists of Allstate's chief executive officer, president, business unit presidents, enterprise and business unit chief risk officers and chief financial officers, general counsel and treasurer. Allstate's Board of Directors, Risk and Return Committee and Audit Committee provide ERRM oversight by reviewing enterprise principles, guidelines and limits for Allstate's significant risks and by monitoring strategies and actions management has taken to control these risks. Allstate's Board of Directors has overall responsibility for oversight of management's design and implementation of ERRM. Risk and Return Committee oversight focuses on the risk and return position of the company and Audit Committee oversight focuses on risk assessment and risk management policies, including the effectiveness of management's control environment.

CROs are appointed for the enterprise and for Allstate Protection, Allstate Financial, Allstate Investments, and Allstate's technology organization. Collectively, the CROs create an integrated approach to risk and return management to ensure risk management practices and strategies are aligned with Allstate's overall enterprise objectives. The shared ERRM framework establishes a basis for transparency and dialogue across the organization and for continuous learning by embedding the risk and return management culture of identifying, measuring, managing, monitoring and reporting risks.

Our ERRM governance is supported with an analytic framework to manage significant insurance, investment, financial, strategic, and operational risk exposures and optimize returns on risk-adjusted capital. Management and the ERRC use enterprise stochastic modeling, risk expertise and judgment to determine an appropriate level of targeted enterprise economic capital to hold considering a broad range of risk objectives and external constraints. These include limiting risks of financial stress, insolvency, likelihood of capital stress and volatility, maintaining stakeholder value and financial strength ratings and satisfying regulatory and rating agency risk-based capital requirements. We generally assess solvency on a statutory accounting basis, but also consider GAAP volatility. Enterprise economic capital approximates a combination of statutory surplus and deployable invested assets at the parent holding company level.

Using our governance and analytic framework, Allstate designs business and enterprise strategies that seek to optimize returns on risk-adjusted capital. Examples include reducing exposure to rising interest rates and spread-based products through the divestiture of LBL; executing customer-focused growth strategies; improving profitability; increasing investments in which we have ownership interests and a greater proportion of return is derived from idiosyncratic operating or market performance, including limited partnerships, equities and real estate; and growing homeowners insurance in areas previously restricted where we believe we can earn an appropriate return for the risk.

REGULATION AND LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are subject to extensive regulation and we are involved in various legal and regulatory actions, all of which have an effect on specific aspects of our business. For a detailed discussion of the legal and regulatory actions in which we are involved, see Note 14 of the consolidated financial statements.

PENDING ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

There are several pending accounting standards that we have not implemented because the implementation date has not yet occurred. For a discussion of these pending standards, see Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements.

The effect of implementing certain accounting standards on our financial results and financial condition is often based in part on market conditions at the time of implementation of the standard and other factors we are unable to determine prior to implementation. For this reason, we are sometimes unable to estimate the effect of certain pending accounting standards until the relevant authoritative body finalizes these standards or until we implement them.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Information required for Item 7A is incorporated by reference to the material under the caption "Market Risk" in Part II, Item 7 of this report.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

	Page	
Consolidated Statements of Operations	<u>109</u>	
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	<u>110</u>	
Consolidated Statements of Financial Position	<u>111</u>	
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity	<u>112</u>	
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>113</u>	
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Notes)		
	<u>114</u>	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm		
	<u>194</u>	
	108	

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(\$ in millions, except per share data)		Year	31,	
		2014	2013	2012
Revenues				
Property-liability insurance premiums (net of reinsurance ceded of \$1,030, \$1,069 and \$1,090)	\$	28,929	¢ 27.619	\$ 26.727
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges (net of reinsurance ceded of \$416,	Ф	28,929	\$ 27,618	\$ 26,737
\$639 and \$674)		2,157	2,352	2,241
Net investment income		3,459	3,943	4,010
Realized capital gains and losses:		(2.12)	(207)	(220)
Total other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses OTTI losses reclassified to (from) other comprehensive income		(242)	(207) (8)	(239)
OTTI losses reclassified to (from) other complemensive income		(3)	(0)	o o
Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings		(245)	(215)	(233)
Sales and other realized capital gains and losses		939	809	560
Total realized capital gains and losses		694	594	327
		35,239	34,507	33,315
		33,239	34,307	33,313
Costs and expenses				
Property-liability insurance claims and claims expense (net of reinsurance ceded of \$1,393, \$1,717 and \$2,051)		19,428	17,911	18,484
Life and annuity contract benefits (net of reinsurance ceded of \$356, \$355 and \$665)		1,765	1,917	1,818
Interest credited to contractholder funds (net of reinsurance ceded of \$26, \$27 and		-,	-,, -,	-,
\$28)		919	1,278	1,316
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs		4,135	4,002	3,884
Operating costs and expenses Restructuring and related charges		4,341 18	4,387 70	4,118 34
Loss on extinguishment of debt		1	491	34
Interest expense		322	367	373
		30,929	30,423	30,027
(Loss) gain on disposition of operations		(74)	(688)	18
		,	,	
		1.226	2.206	2.206
Income from operations before income tax expense		4,236	3,396	3,306
Income tax expense		1,386	1,116	1,000
Net income		2,850	2,280	2,306
Tet income		2,830	2,200	2,300
Preferred stock dividends		104	17	
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	2,746	\$ 2,263	\$ 2,306
The moone of minute to common dimit dividuals	Ψ	2,710	÷ 2,203	2,500

Earnings per common share: Net income available to common shareholders per common share	Basic	\$ 6.37	\$ 4.87	\$ 4.71
Weighted average common shares Basic		431.4	464.4	489.4
Net income available to common shareholders per common share	Diluted	\$ 6.27	\$ 4.81	\$ 4.68
Weighted average common shares Diluted		438.2	470.3	493.0
Cash dividends declared per common share		\$ 1.12	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.88

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

109

Table of Contents

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Year Ended December 31,							
(\$ in millions)		2014	2013	2012				
Net income	\$	2,850 \$	2,280 \$	2,306				
Other comprehensive (loss) income, after-tax								
Changes in:								
Unrealized net capital gains and losses		280	(1,188)	1,434				
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments		(40)	(32)	14				
Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost		(725)	1,091	(302)				
Other comprehensive (loss) income, after-tax		(485)	(129)	1,146				
Comprehensive income	\$	2,365 \$	2,151 \$	3,452				

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

	Decem	ber	ber 31,		
(\$ in millions, except par value data)	2014		2013		
Assets					
Investments					
Fixed income securities, at fair value (amortized cost \$59,672 and \$59,008)	\$ 62,440	\$	60,910		
Equity securities, at fair value (cost \$3,692 and \$4,473)	4,104		5,097		
Mortgage loans	4,188		4,721		
Limited partnership interests	4,527		4,967		
Short-term, at fair value (amortized cost \$2,540 and \$2,393)	2,540		2,393		
Other	3,314		3,067		
Total investments	81,113		81,155		
Cash	657		675		
Premium installment receivables, net	5,465		5,237		
Deferred policy acquisition costs	3,525		3,372		
Reinsurance recoverables, net	8,490		7,621		
Accrued investment income	591		624		
Property and equipment, net	1,031		1,024		
Goodwill	1,219		1,243		
Other assets	2,046		1,937		
Separate Accounts	4,396		5,039		
Assets held for sale			15,593		
Total assets	\$ 108,533	\$	123,520		
Liabilities					
Reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense	\$ 22,923	\$	21,857		
Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits	12,380		12,386		
Contractholder funds	22,529		24,304		
Unearned premiums	11,655		10,932		
Claim payments outstanding	784		631		
Deferred income taxes	715		635		
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	5,653		5,156		
Long-term debt	5,194		6,201		
Separate Accounts	4,396		5,039		
Liabilities held for sale			14,899		
Total liabilities	86,229		102,040		
Commitments and Contingent Liabilities (Note 7, 8 and 14) Equity					
Preferred stock and additional capital paid-in, \$1 par value, 25 million shares	1,746		780		

authorized, 72.2 thousand and 32.3 thousand shares issued and outstanding, \$1,805 and \$807.5 aggregate liquidation preference Common stock, \$.01 par value, 2.0 billion shares authorized and 900 million issued, 418 million and 449 million shares outstanding 9 Additional capital paid-in 3,199 3,143 Retained income 37,842 35,580 Deferred ESOP expense (23)(31)Treasury stock, at cost (482 million and 451 million shares) (21,030)(19,047)Accumulated other comprehensive income: Unrealized net capital gains and losses: Unrealized net capital gains and losses on fixed income securities with OTTI 72 50 Other unrealized net capital gains and losses 1,988 1,698 Unrealized adjustment to DAC, DSI and insurance reserves (134)(102)1,926 Total unrealized net capital gains and losses 1,646 Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments 38 (2) Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost (1,363)(638)561 Total accumulated other comprehensive income 1,046 Total shareholders' equity 22,304 21,480 \$ Total liabilities and shareholders' equity 108,533 \$ 123,520

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(\$ in millions)	Year Ended December 31,						
	2014		2013	2012			
Preferred stock par value							
Balance, beginning of year	\$	\$		\$			
Preferred stock issuance	Ψ	Ψ	•	Ψ			
Teleffed stock issuance							
Balance, end of year							
Preferred stock additional capital paid-in							
Balance, beginning of year		780					
Preferred stock issuance		966	780				
Teleffed stock issualice		700	700				
Balance, end of year		1,746	780				
,,		,-					
Common stock		9	9	9			
Additional capital paid-in							
Balance, beginning of year	3	3,143	3,162	3,189			
Equity incentive plans activity	•	56	(19)	(27)			
Equity meetave plans activity		20	(17)	(27)			
Balance, end of year	3	3,199	3,143	3,162			
Zulanee, ond or your		,,,,,	5,1.5	2,102			
Retained income							
Balance, beginning of year	35	5,580	33,783	31,909			
Net income		2,850	2,280	2,306			
Dividends on common stock		(484)	(466)	(432)			
Dividends on preferred stock		(104)	(17)	, ,			
•		,	` ,				
Balance, end of year	37	7,842	35,580	33,783			
Deferred ESOP expense							
Balance, beginning of year		(31)	(41)	(43)			
Payments		8	10	2			
Balance, end of year		(23)	(31)	(41)			
Treasury stock							
Balance, beginning of year	(19	9,047)	(17,508)	(16,795)			
Shares acquired		2,306)	(1,845)	(910)			
Shares reissued under equity incentive plans, net	`	323	306	197			
1. 5							
Balance, end of year	(2)	1,030)	(19,047)	(17,508)			
·	•						
Accumulated other comprehensive income							
Balance, beginning of year]	1,046	1,175	29			
Change in unrealized net capital gains and losses		280	(1,188)	1,434			

Change in unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments Change in unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost	(40 (725	,	(32) 1,091	14 (302)
Balance, end of year	561	I	1,046	1,175
Total shareholders' equity	22,304	1	21,480	20,580
Noncontrolling interest Balance, beginning of year Change in noncontrolling interest ownership Balance, end of year				28 (28)
Total equity	\$ 22,304	1 \$	21,480	\$ 20,580

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

112

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year	31.	
(\$ in millions)	2014	Ended December 2013	2012
	2014	2015	2012
Cash flows from operating activities	¢ 2.050	¢ 2200 (2 206
Net income	\$ 2,850	\$ 2,280 \$	\$ 2,306
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	266	269	200
Depreciation, amortization and other non-cash items	366	368	388
Realized capital gains and losses	(694)	(594)	(327)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	1	491	(10)
Loss (gain) on disposition of operations	74	688	(18)
Interest credited to contractholder funds	919	1,278	1,316
Changes in:	5.41	(5.5)	21.4
Policy benefits and other insurance reserves	541	(55)	214
Unearned premiums	766	602	306
Deferred policy acquisition costs	(220)	(268)	(18)
Premium installment receivables, net	(257)	(205)	(125)
Reinsurance recoverables, net	(1,068)	(729)	(1,560)
Income taxes	205	573	698
Other operating assets and liabilities	(247)	(187)	(126)
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,236	4,242	3,054
Cash flows from investing activities			
Proceeds from sales			
Fixed income securities	34,609	21,243	18,872
Equity securities	6,755	3,173	1,495
Limited partnership interests	1,473	1,045	1,398
Mortgage loans	10	24	14
Other investments	406	151	148
Investment collections	400	131	140
Fixed income securities	3,736	5,908	5,417
Mortgage loans	1,106	1,020	1,064
Other investments	1,100	275	128
Investment purchases	171	213	120
Fixed income securities	(38,759)	(24,087)	(22,658)
Equity securities	(5,443)	(3,677)	(671)
Limited partnership interests	(1,398)	(1,312)	(1,524)
Mortgage loans	(501)	(538)	(525)
Other investments		(1,084)	(665)
Change in short-term investments, net	(972) 272	(427)	(698)
Change in other investments, net	46	97	58
Purchases of property and equipment, net Disposition (acquisition) of operations	(288) 378	(207) (24)	(285) 13
Net cash provided by investing activities	1,621	1,580	1,581
Cash flows from financing activities			
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		2,271	493
Repayment of long-term debt	(1,006)	(2,627)	(352)
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	965	781	
Contractholder fund deposits	1,184	2,174	2,158
Contractholder fund withdrawals	(3,446)	(6,556)	(5,519)
Dividends paid on common stock	(477)	(352)	(534)
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(87)	(6)	

Treasury stock purchases	(2,301)	(1,834)	(913)
Shares reissued under equity incentive plans, net	266	170	85
Excess tax benefits on share-based payment arrangements	41	38	10
Other	(14)	(12)	(33)
Net cash used in financing activities	(4,875)	(5,953)	(4,605)
Net (decrease) increase in cash	(18)	(131)	30
Cash at beginning of year	675	806	776
Cash at end of year	\$ 657 \$	675 \$	806

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. General

Basis of presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of The Allstate Corporation (the "Corporation") and its wholly owned subsidiaries, primarily Allstate Insurance Company ("AIC"), a property-liability insurance company with various property-liability and life and investment subsidiaries, including Allstate Life Insurance Company ("ALIC") (collectively referred to as the "Company" or "Allstate"). These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

To conform to the current year presentation, certain amounts in the prior year notes to consolidated financial statements have been reclassified.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Nature of operations

Allstate is engaged, principally in the United States, in the property-liability insurance and life insurance business. Allstate's primary business is the sale of private passenger auto and homeowners insurance. The Company also sells several other personal property and casualty insurance products, select commercial property and casualty coverages, life insurance and voluntary accident and health insurance. Allstate primarily distributes its products through exclusive agencies, financial specialists, independent agencies, contact centers and the internet.

The Allstate Protection segment principally sells private passenger auto and homeowners insurance, with earned premiums accounting for 82% of Allstate's 2014 consolidated revenues. Allstate was the country's second largest personal property and casualty insurer as of December 31, 2013. Allstate Protection, through several companies, is authorized to sell certain property-liability products in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. The Company is also authorized to sell certain insurance products in Canada. For 2014, the top geographic locations for premiums earned by the Allstate Protection segment were Texas, California, New York, Florida and Pennsylvania. No other jurisdiction accounted for more than 5% of premiums earned for Allstate Protection.

Allstate has exposure to catastrophes, an inherent risk of the property-liability insurance business, which have contributed, and will continue to contribute, to material year-to-year fluctuations in the Company's results of operations and financial position (see Note 8). The nature and level of catastrophic loss caused by natural events (high winds, winter storms, tornadoes, hailstorms, wildfires, tropical storms, hurricanes, earthquakes and volcanoes) and man-made events (terrorism and industrial accidents) experienced in any period cannot be predicted and could be material to results of operations and financial position. The Company considers the greatest areas of potential catastrophe losses due to hurricanes to generally be major metropolitan centers in counties along the eastern and gulf coasts of the United States. The Company considers the greatest areas of potential catastrophe losses due to earthquakes and fires following earthquakes to be major metropolitan areas near fault lines in the states of California, Oregon, Washington, South Carolina, Missouri, Kentucky and Tennessee. The Company also has exposure to asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines claims (see Note 14).

The Allstate Financial segment sells traditional, interest-sensitive and variable life insurance and voluntary accident and health insurance products. The Company previously offered and continues to have in force fixed annuities such as deferred and immediate annuities, and institutional products consisting of funding agreements sold to unaffiliated trusts that use them to back medium-term notes.

Allstate Financial, through several companies, is authorized to sell life insurance and retirement products in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands and Guam. Beginning in 2015, voluntary accident and health insurance products are planned to be sold in Canada. For 2014, the top geographic locations for statutory premiums and annuity considerations for the Allstate Financial segment were California, Texas, Florida and New York. No other jurisdiction accounted for more than 5% of statutory premiums and annuity considerations for Allstate Financial. Allstate Financial distributes its products through Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists, and workplace enrolling independent agents.

Allstate has exposure to market risk as a result of its investment portfolio. Market risk is the risk that the Company will incur realized and unrealized net capital losses due to adverse changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices

Table of Contents

or currency exchange rates. The Company's primary market risk exposures are to changes in interest rates, credit spreads and equity prices. Interest rate risk is the risk that the Company will incur a loss due to adverse changes in interest rates relative to the interest rate characteristics of its interest bearing assets and liabilities. This risk arises from many of the Company's primary activities, as it invests substantial funds in interest-sensitive assets and issues interest-sensitive liabilities. Interest rate risk includes risks related to changes in U.S. Treasury yields and other key risk-free reference yields. Credit spread risk is the risk that the Company will incur a loss due to adverse changes in credit spreads. This risk arises from many of the Company's primary activities, as the Company invests substantial funds in spread-sensitive fixed income assets. Equity price risk is the risk that the Company will incur losses due to adverse changes in the general levels of the equity markets.

The Company monitors economic and regulatory developments that have the potential to impact its business. Federal and state laws and regulations affect the taxation of insurance companies and life insurance products. Congress and various state legislatures from time to time consider legislation that would reduce or eliminate the favorable policyholder tax treatment currently applicable to life insurance. Congress and various state legislatures also consider proposals to reduce the taxation of certain products or investments that may compete with life insurance. Legislation that increases the taxation on insurance products or reduces the taxation on competing products could lessen the advantage or create a disadvantage for certain of the Company's products making them less competitive. Such proposals, if adopted, could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial position or Allstate Financial's ability to sell such products and could result in the surrender of some existing contracts and policies. In addition, changes in the federal estate tax laws could negatively affect the demand for the types of life insurance used in estate planning.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Investments

Fixed income securities include bonds, asset-backed securities ("ABS"), residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS"), commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") and redeemable preferred stocks. Fixed income securities, which may be sold prior to their contractual maturity, are designated as available for sale and are carried at fair value. The difference between amortized cost and fair value, net of deferred income taxes and related life and annuity deferred policy acquisition costs ("DAC"), deferred sales inducement costs ("DSI") and reserves for life-contingent contract benefits, is reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. Cash received from calls and make-whole payments is reflected as a component of proceeds from sales and cash received from maturities and pay-downs is reflected as a component of investment collections within the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Equity securities primarily include common stocks, exchange traded and mutual funds, non-redeemable preferred stocks and real estate investment trust equity investments. Equity securities are designated as available for sale and are carried at fair value. The difference between cost and fair value, net of deferred income taxes, is reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.

Mortgage loans are carried at unpaid principal balances, net of unamortized premium or discount and valuation allowances. Valuation allowances are established for impaired loans when it is probable that contractual principal and interest will not be collected.

Investments in limited partnership interests, including interests in private equity/debt funds, real estate funds and other funds, where the Company's interest is so minor that it exercises virtually no influence over operating and financial policies are accounted for in accordance with the cost method of accounting; all other investments in limited partnership interests are accounted for in accordance with the equity method of accounting ("EMA").

Short-term investments, including money market funds, commercial paper and other short-term investments, are carried at fair value. Other investments primarily consist of bank loans, policy loans, agent loans and derivatives. Bank loans are primarily senior secured corporate loans and are carried at amortized cost. Policy loans are carried at unpaid principal balances and were \$909 million and \$919 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Agent loans are loans issued to exclusive Allstate agents and are carried at unpaid principal balances, net of valuation allowances and unamortized deferred fees or costs. Derivatives are carried at fair value.

Investment income primarily consists of interest, dividends, income from certain derivative transactions, and income from limited partnership interests. Interest is recognized on an accrual basis using the effective yield method and dividends are recorded at the ex-dividend date. Interest income for ABS, RMBS and CMBS is determined considering estimated pay-downs, including prepayments, obtained from third party data sources and internal estimates. Actual prepayment experience is periodically reviewed and effective yields are recalculated when differences

Table of Contents

arise between the prepayments originally anticipated and the actual prepayments received and currently anticipated. For ABS, RMBS and CMBS of high credit quality with fixed interest rates, the effective yield is recalculated on a retrospective basis. For all others, the effective yield is recalculated on a prospective basis. Accrual of income is suspended for other-than-temporarily impaired fixed income securities when the timing and amount of cash flows expected to be received is not reasonably estimable. Accrual of income is suspended for mortgage loans, bank loans and agent loans that are in default or when full and timely collection of principal and interest payments is not probable. Cash receipts on investments on nonaccrual status are generally recorded as a reduction of carrying value. Income from cost method limited partnership interests is recognized upon receipt of amounts distributed by the partnerships. Income from EMA limited partnership interests is recognized based on the Company's share of the partnerships' net income, including unrealized gains and losses, and is recognized on a delay due to the availability of the related financial statements. Income recognition on private equity/debt funds and real estate funds is generally on a three month delay and income recognition on other funds is generally on a one month delay.

Realized capital gains and losses include gains and losses on investment sales, write-downs in value due to other-than-temporary declines in fair value, adjustments to valuation allowances on mortgage loans and agent loans, and periodic changes in fair value and settlements of certain derivatives including hedge ineffectiveness. Realized capital gains and losses on investment sales are determined on a specific identification basis

Derivative and embedded derivative financial instruments

Derivative financial instruments include interest rate swaps, credit default swaps, futures (interest rate and equity), options (including swaptions), interest rate caps, warrants and rights, foreign currency swaps, foreign currency forwards, certain investment risk transfer reinsurance agreements, and certain bond forward purchase commitments. Derivatives required to be separated from the host instrument and accounted for as derivative financial instruments ("subject to bifurcation") are embedded in certain fixed income securities, equity-indexed life and annuity contracts, reinsured variable annuity contracts and certain funding agreements.

All derivatives are accounted for on a fair value basis and reported as other investments, other assets, other liabilities and accrued expenses or contractholder funds. Embedded derivative instruments subject to bifurcation are also accounted for on a fair value basis and are reported together with the host contract. The change in fair value of derivatives embedded in certain fixed income securities and subject to bifurcation is reported in realized capital gains and losses. The change in fair value of derivatives embedded in life and annuity product contracts and subject to bifurcation is reported in life and annuity contract benefits or interest credited to contractholder funds. Cash flows from embedded derivatives subject to bifurcation and derivatives receiving hedge accounting are reported consistently with the host contracts and hedged risks, respectively, within the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Cash flows from other derivatives are reported in cash flows from investing activities within the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

When derivatives meet specific criteria, they may be designated as accounting hedges and accounted for as fair value, cash flow, foreign currency fair value or foreign currency cash flow hedges. The hedged item may be either all or a specific portion of a recognized asset, liability or an unrecognized firm commitment attributable to a particular risk for fair value hedges. At the inception of the hedge, the Company formally documents the hedging relationship and risk management objective and strategy. The documentation identifies the hedging instrument, the hedged item, the nature of the risk being hedged and the methodology used to assess the effectiveness of the hedging instrument in offsetting the exposure to changes in the hedged item's fair value attributable to the hedged risk. For a cash flow hedge, this documentation includes the exposure to changes in the variability in cash flows attributable to the hedged risk. The Company does not exclude any component of the change in fair value of the hedging instrument from the effectiveness assessment. At each reporting date, the Company confirms that the hedging instrument continues to be highly effective in offsetting the hedged risk. Ineffectiveness in fair value hedges and cash flow hedges, if any, is reported in realized capital gains and losses.

Fair value hedges The change in fair value of hedging instruments used in fair value hedges of investment assets or a portion thereof is reported in net investment income, together with the change in fair value of the hedged items. The change in fair value of hedging instruments used in fair value hedges of contractholder funds liabilities or a portion thereof is reported in interest credited to contractholder funds, together with the change in fair value of the hedged items. Accrued periodic settlements on swaps are reported together with the changes in fair value of the swaps in net investment income or interest credited to contractholder funds. The amortized cost for fixed income securities, the carrying value for mortgage loans or the carrying value of the hedged liability is adjusted for the change in fair value of the hedged risk.

Table of Contents

Cash flow hedges For hedging instruments used in cash flow hedges, the changes in fair value of the derivatives representing the effective portion of the hedge are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income. Amounts are reclassified to net investment income, realized capital gains and losses or interest expense as the hedged or forecasted transaction affects income. Accrued periodic settlements on derivatives used in cash flow hedges are reported in net investment income. The amount reported in accumulated other comprehensive income for a hedged transaction is limited to the lesser of the cumulative gain or loss on the derivative less the amount reclassified to income, or the cumulative gain or loss on the derivative needed to offset the cumulative change in the expected future cash flows on the hedged transaction from inception of the hedge less the derivative gain or loss previously reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to income. If the Company expects at any time that the loss reported in accumulated other comprehensive income would lead to a net loss on the combination of the hedging instrument and the hedged transaction which may not be recoverable, a loss is recognized immediately in realized capital gains and losses. If an impairment loss is recognized on an asset or an additional obligation is incurred on a liability involved in a hedge transaction, any offsetting gain in accumulated other comprehensive income is reclassified and reported together with the impairment loss or recognition of the obligation.

Termination of hedge accounting If, subsequent to entering into a hedge transaction, the derivative becomes ineffective (including if the hedged item is sold or otherwise extinguished, the occurrence of a hedged forecasted transaction is no longer probable or the hedged asset becomes other-than-temporarily impaired), the Company may terminate the derivative position. The Company may also terminate derivative instruments or redesignate them as non-hedge as a result of other events or circumstances. If the derivative instrument is not terminated when a fair value hedge is no longer effective, the future gains and losses recognized on the derivative are reported in realized capital gains and losses. When a fair value hedge is no longer effective, is redesignated as non-hedge or when the derivative has been terminated, the fair value gain or loss on the hedged asset, liability or portion thereof which has already been recognized in income while the hedge was in place and used to adjust the amortized cost for fixed income securities, the carrying value for mortgage loans or the carrying value of the hedged liability, is amortized over the remaining life of the hedged asset, liability or portion thereof, and reflected in net investment income or interest credited to contractholder funds beginning in the period that hedge accounting is no longer applied. If the hedged item in a fair value hedge is an asset that has become other-than-temporarily impaired, the adjustment made to the amortized cost for fixed income securities or the carrying value for mortgage loans is subject to the accounting policies applied to other-than-temporarily impaired assets.

When a derivative instrument used in a cash flow hedge of an existing asset or liability is no longer effective or is terminated, the gain or loss recognized on the derivative is reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to income as the hedged risk impacts income. If the derivative instrument is not terminated when a cash flow hedge is no longer effective, the future gains and losses recognized on the derivative are reported in realized capital gains and losses. When a derivative instrument used in a cash flow hedge of a forecasted transaction is terminated because it is probable the forecasted transaction will not occur, the gain or loss recognized on the derivative is immediately reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to realized capital gains and losses in the period that hedge accounting is no longer applied.

Non-hedge derivative financial instruments For derivatives for which hedge accounting is not applied, the income statement effects, including fair value gains and losses and accrued periodic settlements, are reported either in realized capital gains and losses or in a single line item together with the results of the associated asset or liability for which risks are being managed.

Securities loaned

The Company's business activities include securities lending transactions, which are used primarily to generate net investment income. The proceeds received in conjunction with securities lending transactions are reinvested in short-term investments. These transactions are short-term in nature, usually 30 days or less.

The Company receives cash collateral for securities loaned in an amount generally equal to 102% and 105% of the fair value of domestic and foreign securities, respectively, and records the related obligations to return the collateral in other liabilities and accrued expenses. The carrying value of these obligations approximates fair value because of their relatively short-term nature. The Company monitors the market value of securities loaned on a daily basis and obtains additional collateral as necessary under the terms of the agreements to mitigate counterparty credit risk. The Company maintains the right and ability to repossess the securities loaned on short notice.

Table of Contents

Recognition of premium revenues and contract charges, and related benefits and interest credited

Property-liability premiums are deferred and earned on a pro-rata basis over the terms of the policies, typically periods of six or twelve months. The portion of premiums written applicable to the unexpired terms of the policies is recorded as unearned premiums. Premium installment receivables, net, represent premiums written and not yet collected, net of an allowance for uncollectible premiums. The Company regularly evaluates premium installment receivables and adjusts its valuation allowance as appropriate. The valuation allowance for uncollectible premium installment receivables was \$83 million and \$77 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Traditional life insurance products consist principally of products with fixed and guaranteed premiums and benefits, primarily term and whole life insurance products. Voluntary accident and health insurance products are expected to remain in force for an extended period. Premiums from these products are recognized as revenue when due from policyholders. Benefits are reflected in life and annuity contract benefits and recognized in relation to premiums, so that profits are recognized over the life of the policy.

Immediate annuities with life contingencies, including certain structured settlement annuities, provide insurance protection over a period that extends beyond the period during which premiums are collected. Premiums from these products are recognized as revenue when received at the inception of the contract. Benefits and expenses are recognized in relation to premiums. Profits from these policies come from investment income, which is recognized over the life of the contract.

Interest-sensitive life contracts, such as universal life and single premium life, are insurance contracts whose terms are not fixed and guaranteed. The terms that may be changed include premiums paid by the contractholder, interest credited to the contractholder account balance and contract charges assessed against the contractholder account balance. Premiums from these contracts are reported as contractholder fund deposits. Contract charges consist of fees assessed against the contractholder account balance for the cost of insurance (mortality risk), contract administration and surrender of the contract prior to contractually specified dates. These contract charges are recognized as revenue when assessed against the contractholder account balance. Life and annuity contract benefits include life-contingent benefit payments in excess of the contractholder account balance.

Contracts that do not subject the Company to significant risk arising from mortality or morbidity are referred to as investment contracts. Fixed annuities, including market value adjusted annuities, equity-indexed annuities and immediate annuities without life contingencies, and funding agreements (primarily backing medium-term notes) are considered investment contracts. Consideration received for such contracts is reported as contractholder fund deposits. Contract charges for investment contracts consist of fees assessed against the contractholder account balance for maintenance, administration and surrender of the contract prior to contractually specified dates, and are recognized when assessed against the contractholder account balance.

Interest credited to contractholder funds represents interest accrued or paid on interest-sensitive life and investment contracts. Crediting rates for certain fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life contracts are adjusted periodically by the Company to reflect current market conditions subject to contractually guaranteed minimum rates. Crediting rates for indexed life and annuities and indexed funding agreements are generally based on a specified interest rate index or an equity index, such as the Standard & Poor's ("S&P") 500 Index. Interest credited also includes amortization of DSI expenses. DSI is amortized into interest credited using the same method used to amortize DAC.

Contract charges for variable life and variable annuity products consist of fees assessed against the contractholder account balances for contract maintenance, administration, mortality, expense and surrender of the contract prior to contractually specified dates. Contract benefits incurred for variable annuity products include guaranteed minimum death, income, withdrawal and accumulation benefits. Substantially all of the Company's variable annuity business is ceded through reinsurance agreements and the contract charges and contract benefits related thereto are reported net of reinsurance ceded.

Deferred policy acquisition and sales inducement costs

Costs that are related directly to the successful acquisition of new or renewal property-liability insurance, life insurance and investment contracts are deferred and recorded as DAC. These costs are principally agents' and brokers' remuneration, premium taxes and certain underwriting expenses. DSI costs, which are deferred and recorded as other assets, relate to sales inducements offered on sales to new customers, principally on fixed annuity and interest-sensitive life contracts. These sales inducements are primarily in the form of additional credits to the customer's account balance or enhancements to interest credited for a specified period which are in excess of the rates currently being credited to similar contracts without sales inducements. All other acquisition costs are expensed as incurred and included in

Table of Contents

operating costs and expenses. DAC associated with property-liability insurance is amortized into income as premiums are earned, typically over periods of six or twelve months, and is included in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs. DAC associated with property-liability insurance is periodically reviewed for recoverability and adjusted if necessary. Future investment income is considered in determining the recoverability of DAC. Amortization of DAC associated with life insurance and investment contracts is included in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and is described in more detail below. DSI is amortized into income using the same methodology and assumptions as DAC and is included in interest credited to contractholder funds.

For traditional life insurance, DAC is amortized over the premium paying period of the related policies in proportion to the estimated revenues on such business. Assumptions used in the amortization of DAC and reserve calculations are established at the time the policy is issued and are generally not revised during the life of the policy. Any deviations from projected business in force resulting from actual policy terminations differing from expected levels and any estimated premium deficiencies may result in a change to the rate of amortization in the period such events occur. Generally, the amortization periods for these policies approximates the estimated lives of the policies. The Company periodically reviews the recoverability of DAC for these policies on an aggregate basis using actual experience. The Company aggregates all traditional life insurance products and immediate annuities with life contingencies in the analysis. If actual experience is significantly adverse compared to the original assumptions and a premium deficiency is determined to exist, any remaining unamortized DAC balance would be expensed to the extent not recoverable and the establishment of a premium deficiency reserve may be required.

For interest-sensitive life, fixed annuities and other investment contracts, DAC and DSI are amortized in proportion to the incidence of the total present value of gross profits, which includes both actual historical gross profits ("AGP") and estimated future gross profits ("EGP") expected to be earned over the estimated lives of the contracts. The amortization is net of interest on the prior period DAC balance using rates established at the inception of the contracts. Actual amortization periods generally range from 15-30 years; however, incorporating estimates of the rate of customer surrenders, partial withdrawals and deaths generally results in the majority of the DAC being amortized during the surrender charge period, which is typically 10-20 years for interest-sensitive life and 5-10 years for fixed annuities. The cumulative DAC and DSI amortization is reestimated and adjusted by a cumulative charge or credit to income when there is a difference between the incidence of actual versus expected gross profits in a reporting period or when there is a change in total EGP. When DAC or DSI amortization or a component of gross profits for a quarterly period is potentially negative (which would result in an increase of the DAC or DSI balance) as a result of negative AGP, the specific facts and circumstances surrounding the potential negative amortization are considered to determine whether it is appropriate for recognition in the consolidated financial statements. Negative amortization is only recorded when the increased DAC or DSI balance is determined to be recoverable based on facts and circumstances. Recapitalization of DAC and DSI is limited to the originally deferred costs plus interest.

AGP and EGP primarily consist of the following components: contract charges for the cost of insurance less mortality costs and other benefits; investment income and realized capital gains and losses less interest credited; and surrender and other contract charges less maintenance expenses. The principal assumptions for determining the amount of EGP are persistency, mortality, expenses, investment returns, including capital gains and losses on assets supporting contract liabilities, interest crediting rates to contractholders, and the effects of any hedges. For products whose supporting investments are exposed to capital losses in excess of the Company's expectations which may cause periodic AGP to become temporarily negative, EGP and AGP utilized in DAC and DSI amortization may be modified to exclude the excess capital losses.

The Company performs quarterly reviews of DAC and DSI recoverability for interest-sensitive life, fixed annuities and other investment contracts in the aggregate using current assumptions. If a change in the amount of EGP is significant, it could result in the unamortized DAC or DSI not being recoverable, resulting in a charge which is included as a component of amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs or interest credited to contractholder funds, respectively.

The DAC and DSI balances presented include adjustments to reflect the amount by which the amortization of DAC and DSI would increase or decrease if the unrealized capital gains or losses in the respective product investment portfolios were actually realized. The adjustments are recorded net of tax in accumulated other comprehensive income. DAC, DSI and deferred income taxes determined on unrealized capital gains and losses and reported in accumulated other comprehensive income recognize the impact on shareholders' equity consistently with the amounts that would be recognized in the income statement on realized capital gains and losses.

Customers of the Company may exchange one insurance policy or investment contract for another offered by the Company, or make modifications to an existing investment, life or property-liability contract issued by the Company.

Table of Contents

These transactions are identified as internal replacements for accounting purposes. Internal replacement transactions determined to result in replacement contracts that are substantially unchanged from the replaced contracts are accounted for as continuations of the replaced contracts. Unamortized DAC and DSI related to the replaced contracts continue to be deferred and amortized in connection with the replacement contracts. For interest-sensitive life and investment contracts, the EGP of the replacement contracts are treated as a revision to the EGP of the replaced contracts in the determination of amortization of DAC and DSI. For traditional life and property-liability insurance policies, any changes to unamortized DAC that result from replacement contracts are treated as prospective revisions. Any costs associated with the issuance of replacement contracts are characterized as maintenance costs and expensed as incurred. Internal replacement transactions determined to result in a substantial change to the replaced contracts are accounted for as an extinguishment of the replaced contracts, and any unamortized DAC and DSI related to the replaced contracts are eliminated with a corresponding charge to amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs or interest credited to contractholder funds, respectively.

The costs assigned to the right to receive future cash flows from certain business purchased from other insurers are also classified as DAC in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. The costs capitalized represent the present value of future profits expected to be earned over the lives of the contracts acquired. These costs are amortized as profits emerge over the lives of the acquired business and are periodically evaluated for recoverability. The present value of future profits was \$66 million and \$79 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Amortization expense of the present value of future profits was \$13 million, \$16 million and \$41 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Reinsurance

In the normal course of business, the Company seeks to limit aggregate and single exposure to losses on large risks by purchasing reinsurance. The Company has also used reinsurance to effect the acquisition or disposition of certain blocks of business. The amounts reported as reinsurance recoverables include amounts billed to reinsurers on losses paid as well as estimates of amounts expected to be recovered from reinsurers on insurance liabilities and contractholder funds that have not yet been paid. Reinsurance recoverables on unpaid losses are estimated based upon assumptions consistent with those used in establishing the liabilities related to the underlying reinsured contracts. Insurance liabilities are reported gross of reinsurance recoverables. Reinsurance premiums are generally reflected in income in a manner consistent with the recognition of premiums on the reinsured contracts. For catastrophe coverage, the cost of reinsurance premiums is recognized ratably over the contract period to the extent coverage remains available. Reinsurance does not extinguish the Company's primary liability under the policies written. Therefore, the Company regularly evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers, including their activities with respect to claim settlement practices and commutations, and establishes allowances for uncollectible reinsurance as appropriate.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of amounts paid for acquiring businesses over the fair value of the net assets acquired. The goodwill balances were \$823 million and \$396 million as of December 31, 2014 and \$825 million and \$418 million as of December 31, 2013 for the Allstate Protection segment and the Allstate Financial segment, respectively. The Company's reporting units are equivalent to its reporting segments, Allstate Protection and Allstate Financial. Goodwill is allocated to reporting units based on which unit is expected to benefit from the synergies of the business combination. Goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment at least annually. The Company performs its annual goodwill impairment testing during the fourth quarter of each year based upon data as of the close of the third quarter. The Company also reviews goodwill for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances, such as deteriorating or adverse market conditions, indicate that it is more likely than not that the carrying amount of goodwill may exceed its implied fair value.

To estimate the fair value of its reporting units, the Company may utilize a combination of widely accepted valuation techniques including a stock price and market capitalization analysis, discounted cash flow calculations and peer company price to earnings multiples analysis. The stock price and market capitalization analysis takes into consideration the quoted market price of the Company's outstanding common stock and includes a control premium, derived from historical insurance industry acquisition activity, in determining the estimated fair value of the consolidated entity before allocating that fair value to individual reporting units. The discounted cash flow analysis utilizes long term assumptions for revenue growth, capital growth, earnings projections including those used in the Company's strategic plan, and an appropriate discount rate. The peer company price to earnings multiples analysis takes into consideration the price to earnings multiples of peer companies for each reporting unit and estimated income from the Company's strategic plan.

Goodwill impairment evaluations indicated no impairment as of December 31, 2014 or 2013.

Table of Contents

Property and equipment

Property and equipment is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Included in property and equipment are capitalized costs related to computer software licenses and software developed for internal use. These costs generally consist of certain external payroll and payroll related costs. Certain facilities and equipment held under capital leases are also classified as property and equipment with the related lease obligations recorded as liabilities. Property and equipment depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, generally 3 to 10 years for equipment and 40 years for real property. Depreciation expense is reported in operating costs and expenses. Accumulated depreciation on property and equipment was \$2.12 billion and \$2.19 billion as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Depreciation expense on property and equipment was \$228 million, \$208 million and \$214 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company reviews its property and equipment for impairment at least annually and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

Income taxes

The income tax provision is calculated under the liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities at the enacted tax rates. The principal assets and liabilities giving rise to such differences are DAC, unrealized capital gains and losses, unearned premiums, differences in tax bases of invested assets and insurance reserves. A deferred tax asset valuation allowance is established when there is uncertainty that such assets will be realized.

Reserves for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense and life-contingent contract benefits

The reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense is the estimate of amounts necessary to settle all reported and unreported claims for the ultimate cost of insured property-liability losses, based upon the facts of each case and the Company's experience with similar cases. Estimated amounts of salvage and subrogation are deducted from the reserve for claims and claims expense. The establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophe losses, is an inherently uncertain and complex process. Reserve estimates are regularly reviewed and updated, using the most current information available. Any resulting reestimates are reflected in current results of operations.

The reserve for life-contingent contract benefits payable under insurance policies, including traditional life insurance, life-contingent immediate annuities and voluntary accident and health insurance products, is computed on the basis of long-term actuarial assumptions of future investment yields, mortality, morbidity, policy terminations and expenses. These assumptions, which for traditional life insurance are applied using the net level premium method, include provisions for adverse deviation and generally vary by characteristics such as type of coverage, year of issue and policy duration. The assumptions are established at the time the policy is issued and are generally not changed during the life of the policy. The Company periodically reviews the adequacy of reserves for these policies on an aggregate basis using actual experience. If actual experience is significantly adverse compared to the original assumptions and a premium deficiency is determined to exist, any remaining unamortized DAC balance would be expensed to the extent not recoverable and the establishment of a premium deficiency reserve may be required. To the extent that unrealized gains on fixed income securities would result in a premium deficiency if those gains were realized, the related increase in reserves for certain immediate annuities with life contingencies is recorded net of tax as a reduction of unrealized net capital gains included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Contractholder funds

Contractholder funds represent interest-bearing liabilities arising from the sale of products such as interest-sensitive life insurance, fixed annuities and funding agreements. Contractholder funds primarily comprise cumulative deposits received and interest credited to the contractholder less cumulative contract benefits, surrenders, withdrawals, maturities and contract charges for mortality or administrative expenses. Contractholder funds also include reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance and certain fixed annuity contracts and reserves for certain guarantees on reinsured variable annuity contracts.

Held for sale classification

Business is classified as held for sale when management has approved or received approval to sell the business, the sale is probable to occur during the next 12 months at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value and certain other specified criteria are met. A business classified as held for sale is recorded at the lower of its carrying amount or estimated fair value less cost to sell. If the carrying amount of the business exceeds its estimated fair value

Table of Contents

less cost to sell, a loss is recognized. Assets and liabilities related to a business classified as held for sale are segregated in the Consolidated Statement of Position in the period in which the business is classified as held for sale.

Separate accounts

Separate accounts assets are carried at fair value. The assets of the separate accounts are legally segregated and available only to settle separate account contract obligations. Separate accounts liabilities represent the contractholders' claims to the related assets and are carried at an amount equal to the separate accounts assets. Investment income and realized capital gains and losses of the separate accounts accrue directly to the contractholders and therefore are not included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations. Deposits to and surrenders and withdrawals from the separate accounts are reflected in separate accounts liabilities and are not included in consolidated cash flows.

Absent any contract provision wherein the Company provides a guarantee, variable annuity and variable life insurance contractholders bear the investment risk that the separate accounts' funds may not meet their stated investment objectives. Substantially all of the Company's variable annuity business was reinsured beginning in 2006.

Deferred Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP") expense

Deferred ESOP expense represents the remaining unrecognized cost of shares acquired by the Allstate ESOP to pre-fund a portion of the Company's contribution to the Allstate 401(k) Savings Plan.

Equity incentive plans

The Company has equity incentive plans under which the Company grants nonqualified stock options, restricted stock units and performance stock awards ("equity awards") to certain employees and directors of the Company. The Company measures the fair value of equity awards at the award date and recognizes the expense over the shorter of the period in which the requisite service is rendered or retirement eligibility is attained. The expense for performance stock awards is adjusted each period to reflect the performance factor most likely to be achieved at the end of the performance period. The Company uses a binomial lattice model to determine the fair value of employee stock options.

Off-balance sheet financial instruments

Commitments to invest, commitments to purchase private placement securities, commitments to extend loans, financial guarantees and credit guarantees have off-balance sheet risk because their contractual amounts are not recorded in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Financial Position (see Note 7 and Note 14).

Consolidation of variable interest entities ("VIEs")

The Company consolidates VIEs when it is the primary beneficiary. A primary beneficiary is the variable interest holder in a VIE with both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE and the obligation to absorb losses, or the right to receive benefits, that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

Foreign currency translation

The local currency of the Company's foreign subsidiaries is deemed to be the functional currency of the country in which these subsidiaries operate. The financial statements of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rate in effect at the end of a reporting period for assets and liabilities and at average exchange rates during the period for results of operations. The unrealized gains and losses from the translation of the net assets are recorded as unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments and included in accumulated other comprehensive income. Changes in unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments are included in other comprehensive income. Gains and losses from foreign currency transactions are reported in operating costs and expenses and have not been material.

Earnings per common share

Basic earnings per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, including unvested participating restricted stock units. Diluted earnings per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding. For the Company, dilutive potential common shares consist of outstanding stock options and unvested non-participating restricted stock units and contingently issuable performance stock awards.

Table of Contents

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the years ended December 31 is presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions, except per share data)		2014	2013	2012
Numerator: Net income	\$	2,850	\$ 2,280	\$ 2,306
Less: Preferred stock dividends	Ψ	104	17	2,500
Net income available to common shareholders		2,746	2,263	2,306
Denominator:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding		431.4	464.4	489.4
Effect of dilutive potential common shares:		.01		.0,
Stock options		4.7	4.1	2.4
Restricted stock units (non-participating) and performance stock awards		2.1	1.8	1.2
Weighted average common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding		438.2	470.3	493.0
Earnings per common share Basic	\$	6.37	\$ 4.87	\$ 4.71
Earnings per common share Diluted	\$	6.27	\$ 4.81	\$ 4.68

The effect of dilutive potential common shares does not include the effect of options with an anti-dilutive effect on earnings per common share because their exercise prices exceed the average market price of Allstate common shares during the period or for which the unrecognized compensation cost would have an anti-dilutive effect. Options to purchase 3.0 million, 8.8 million and 20.4 million Allstate common shares, with exercise prices ranging from \$49.96 to \$67.61, \$40.49 to \$62.42 and \$26.56 to \$62.84, were outstanding in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share in those years.

Pending accounting standards

Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects

In January 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued guidance which allows entities that invest in certain qualified affordable housing projects through limited liability entities the option to account for these investments using the proportional amortization method if certain conditions are met. Under the proportional amortization method, the entity amortizes the initial cost of the investment in proportion to the tax credits and other tax benefits received and recognizes the net investment performance in the income statement as a component of income tax expense or benefit. The guidance is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014 and is to be applied retrospectively. The impact of adoption is not expected to be material to the Company's results of operations and financial position.

Revenue from Contracts with Customers

In May 2014, the FASB issued guidance which revises the criteria for revenue recognition. Insurance contracts are excluded from the scope of the new guidance. Under the guidance, the transaction price is attributed to underlying performance obligations in the contract and revenue is recognized as the entity satisfies the performance obligations and transfers control of a good or service to the customer. Incremental costs of obtaining a contract may be capitalized to the extent the entity expects to recover those costs. The guidance is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and is to be applied retrospectively. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of adoption, which is not expected to be material to the Company's results of operations and financial position.

Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period

In June 2014, the FASB issued guidance which clarifies that a performance target that affects vesting and could be achieved after the requisite service period should be treated as a performance condition and should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. Compensation costs should reflect the amount attributable to the periods for which the requisite service has been rendered. Total compensation expense recognized during and after the requisite service period (which may differ from the vesting period) should reflect the

number of awards that are expected to vest and should be adjusted to reflect the number of awards that ultimately vest. The guidance is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015 and may be applied either prospectively or retrospectively. The

Table of Contents

Company's existing accounting policy for performance targets that affect the vesting of share-based payment awards is consistent with the proposed guidance and as such the impact of adoption is not expected to affect the Company's results of operations or financial position.

3. Disposition

(\$ in millions) Assets

On April 1, 2014, the Company sold Lincoln Benefit Life Company ("LBL"), LBL's life insurance business generated through independent master brokerage agencies, and all of LBL's deferred fixed annuity and long-term care insurance business to Resolution Life Holdings, Inc. The gross sale price was \$797 million, representing \$596 million of cash and the retention of tax benefits. The loss on disposition increased by \$101 million, pre-tax, (\$60 million, after-tax) in 2014. The loss on disposition in 2014 included a \$22 million, pre-tax, reduction in goodwill.

In conjunction with the sale, the Company was required to establish a trust relating to the business that LBL continues to cede to ALIC. This trust is required to have assets greater than or equal to the statutory reserves ceded by LBL to ALIC, measured on a monthly basis. As of December 31, 2014, the trust holds \$5.28 billion of investments, which are reported in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position.

The following table summarizes the assets and liabilities classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2013.

T		
Investments	ф	10.167
Fixed income securities	\$	10,167
Mortgage loans		1,367
Short-term investments		160
Other investments		289
Total investments		11,983
Cash		
Deferred policy acquisition costs		743
Reinsurance recoverables, net		1,660
Accrued investment income		109
Other assets		79
Separate Accounts		1,701
Assets held for sale		16,275
Less: Loss accrual		(682)
Tatal accepts held formals	¢	15 502
Total assets held for sale	\$	15,593
Liabilities		
Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits	\$	1,894
Contractholder funds	Ψ	10,945
Unearned premiums		10,943
Deferred income taxes		151
Deterred income taxes		131

Included in shareholders' equity is \$85 million of accumulated other comprehensive income related to assets held for sale as of December 31, 2013.

\$

196

1,701

14,899

4. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Other liabilities and accrued expenses

Separate Accounts

Total liabilities held for sale

Non-cash modifications of certain mortgage loans, fixed income securities, limited partnership interests and other investments, as well as mergers completed with equity securities, totaled \$120 million, \$322 million and \$323 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Non-cash financing activities include \$47 million, \$94 million and \$39 million related to the issuance of Allstate common shares for vested restricted stock units in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Liabilities for collateral received in conjunction with the Company's securities lending program were \$780 million, \$609 million and \$784 million as of December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and are reported in other liabilities and accrued expenses. Obligations to return cash collateral for over-the-counter ("OTC") and cleared derivatives were \$2 million, \$15 million and \$24 million as of December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and are reported in other

Table of Contents

liabilities and accrued expenses or other investments. The accompanying cash flows are included in cash flows from operating activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows along with the activities resulting from management of the proceeds, which for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	201	4	2013	2012
Net change in proceeds managed Net change in short-term investments	\$	(167) \$	190	\$ (341)
Operating cash flow (used) provided Net change in cash		(167) 9	190 (6)	(341) (5)
Net change in proceeds managed	\$	(158) \$	184	\$ (346)
Net change in liabilities Liabilities for collateral, beginning of year Liabilities for collateral, end of year	\$	(624) \$ (782)	(808) (624)	\$ (462) (808)
Operating cash flow provided (used)	\$	158 \$	(184)	\$ 346

5. Investments

Fair values

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value for fixed income securities are as follows:

	Gross unrealized							
(\$ in millions)	An	nortized cost		Gains		Losses		Fair value
December 31, 2014								
U.S. government and agencies	\$	4,192	\$	139	\$	(3)	\$	4,328
Municipal		7,877		645		(25)		8,497
Corporate		40,386		1,998		(240)		42,144
Foreign government		1,543		102				1,645
ABS		3,971		38		(31)		3,978
RMBS		1,108		112		(13)		1,207
CMBS		573		44		(2)		615
Redeemable preferred stock		22		4				26
Total fixed income securities	\$	59,672	\$	3,082	\$	(314)	\$	62,440
December 31, 2013 U.S. government and agencies Municipal Corporate Foreign government ABS	\$	2,791 8,446 39,331 1,736 4,491	\$	129 364 1,659 99 71	\$	(7) (87) (387) (11) (44)	\$	2,913 8,723 40,603 1,824 4,518

Edgar	Filing:	ALLS'	TATE	CORP	- Form	10-K

RMBS	1,403	101	(30)	1,474	
CMBS	788	48	(7)	829	
Redeemable preferred stock	22	4		26	
Total fixed income securities	\$ 59,008	\$ 2,475	\$ (573) \$	60,910	

Table of Contents

Scheduled maturities

The scheduled maturities for fixed income securities are as follows as of December 31, 2014:

(\$ in millions)	nortized cost	Fair value		
Due in one year or less	\$ 3,553	\$	3,593	
Due after one year through five years	26,200		26,865	
Due after five years through ten years	15,885		16,527	
Due after ten years	8,382		9,655	
	54,020		56,640	
ABS, RMBS and CMBS	5,652		5,800	
Total	\$ 59,672	\$	62,440	

Actual maturities may differ from those scheduled as a result of calls and make-whole payments by the issuers. ABS, RMBS and CMBS are shown separately because of the potential for prepayment of principal prior to contractual maturity dates.

Net investment income

Net investment income for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Fixed income securities	\$ 2,447	\$ 2,921	\$ 3,234
Equity securities	117	149	127
Mortgage loans	265	372	374
Limited partnership interests	614	541	348
Short-term investments	7	5	6
Other	170	161	132
Investment income, before expense	3,620	4,149	4,221
Investment expense	(161)	(206)	(211)
Net investment income	\$ 3,459	\$ 3,943	\$ 4,010

Realized capital gains and losses

Realized capital gains and losses by asset type for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2	2014	2013	2	012
Fixed income securities	\$	130 \$	262	\$	107
Equity securities		582	327		183
Mortgage loans		2	20		8
Limited partnership interests		13	(5)		13
Derivatives		(38)	(10)		23
Other		5			(7)
Realized capital gains and losses	\$	694 \$	594	\$	327

Table of Contents

Realized capital gains and losses by transaction type for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Impairment write-downs	\$ (32) \$	(72)	\$ (185)
Change in intent write-downs	(213)	(143)	(48)
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings	(245)	(215)	(233)
Sales	975	819	536
Valuation and settlements of derivative instruments	(36)	(10)	24
Realized capital gains and losses	\$ 694 \$	594	\$ 327

Gross gains of \$1.10 billion, \$968 million and \$865 million and gross losses of \$169 million, \$175 million and \$356 million were realized on sales of fixed income and equity securities during 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Other-than-temporary impairment losses by asset type for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2014 Included in				I	2013 ncluded in		2012 Included in				
	(Fross	O		Net	Gross	OCI	Net	Gross	OCI	1	Net
Fixed income securities:												
Municipal	\$	(10)	\$	\$	(10) \$	(24)	\$ (5)\$	(29) 5	\$ (42)	\$ 9	\$	(33)
Corporate		(7))		(7)				(21)	(2)		(23)
ABS		(12)	١	1	(11)		(2)	(2)				
RMBS		6		(4)	2	(3)	2	(1)	(65)	(4)		(69)
CMBS		(1)	١		(1)	(32)	(3)	(35)	(22)	3		(19)
Total fixed income securities		(24))	(3)	(27)	(59)	(8)	(67)	(150)	6		(144)
Equity securities		(196))		(196)	(137)		(137)	(75)			(75)
Mortgage loans		5			5	11		11	5			5
Limited partnership interests		(27)	1		(27)	(18)		(18)	(8)			(8)
Other						(4)		(4)	(11)			(11)
Other-than-temporary												
impairment losses	\$	(242)	\$	(3) \$	(245) \$	(207)	\$ (8)\$	(215) 5	\$ (239)	\$ 6	\$	(233)

The total amount of other-than-temporary impairment losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income at the time of impairment for fixed income securities, which were not included in earnings, are presented in the following table. The amount excludes \$233 million and \$260 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, of net unrealized gains related to changes in valuation of the fixed income securities subsequent to the impairment measurement date.

	Decen	nber 31,	December 31,
(\$ in millions)	2	014	2013
Municipal	\$	(8)	\$ (9)
ABS		(2)	(10)
RMBS		(108)	(152)
CMBS		(5)	(12)

Total \$ (123) \$ (183)

127

Table of Contents

Rollforwards of the cumulative credit losses recognized in earnings for fixed income securities held as of December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Beginning balance	\$ (513) \$	(617) \$	(944)
Additional credit loss for securities previously other-than-temporarily impaired	(6)	(30)	(58)
Additional credit loss for securities not previously other-than-temporarily impaired	(18)	(19)	(50)
Reduction in credit loss for securities disposed or collected	95	150	427
Reduction in credit loss for securities the Company has made the decision to sell or			
more likely than not will be required to sell		2	7
Change in credit loss due to accretion of increase in cash flows	3	1	1
Reduction in credit loss for securities sold in LBL disposition	59		
Ending balance (1)	\$ (380) \$	(513) \$	(617)

(1) The December 31, 2013 ending balance includes \$60 million of cumulative credit losses recognized in earnings for fixed income securities that are classified as held for sale.

The Company uses its best estimate of future cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed income security, discounted at the security's original or current effective rate, as appropriate, to calculate a recovery value and determine whether a credit loss exists. The determination of cash flow estimates is inherently subjective and methodologies may vary depending on facts and circumstances specific to the security. All reasonably available information relevant to the collectability of the security, including past events, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable assumptions and forecasts, are considered when developing the estimate of cash flows expected to be collected. That information generally includes, but is not limited to, the remaining payment terms of the security, prepayment speeds, foreign exchange rates, the financial condition and future earnings potential of the issue or issuer, expected defaults, expected recoveries, the value of underlying collateral, vintage. geographic concentration, available reserves or escrows, current subordination levels, third party guarantees and other credit enhancements. Other information, such as industry analyst reports and forecasts, sector credit ratings, financial condition of the bond insurer for insured fixed income securities, and other market data relevant to the realizability of contractual cash flows, may also be considered. The estimated fair value of collateral will be used to estimate recovery value if the Company determines that the security is dependent on the liquidation of collateral for ultimate settlement. If the estimated recovery value is less than the amortized cost of the security, a credit loss exists and an other-than-temporary impairment for the difference between the estimated recovery value and amortized cost is recorded in earnings. The portion of the unrealized loss related to factors other than credit remains classified in accumulated other comprehensive income. If the Company determines that the fixed income security does not have sufficient cash flow or other information to estimate a recovery value for the security, the Company may conclude that the entire decline in fair value is deemed to be credit related and the loss is recorded in earnings.

Table of Contents

Unrealized net capital gains and losses

Unrealized net capital gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income are as follows:

	Gross unrealized							
(\$ in millions) December 31, 2014		Fair value		Gains		Losses		realized net ins (losses)
Fixed income securities	\$	62,440	\$	3,082	\$	(314)	\$	2,768
Equity securities		4,104		467		(55)		412
Short-term investments		2,540						
Derivative instruments (1)		2		3		(5)		(2)
Equity method ("EMA") limited partnerships (2)								(5)
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, pre-tax Amounts recognized for:								3,173
Insurance reserves (3)								(28)
DAC and DSI (4)								(179)
Amounts recognized								(207)
Deferred income taxes								(1,040)
Unrealized net capital gains and losses,								
after-tax							\$	1,926

(1) Included in the fair value of derivative instruments are \$3 million classified as assets and \$1 million classified as liabilities.

Unrealized net capital gains and losses for limited partnership interests represent the Company's share of EMA limited partnerships' other comprehensive income. Fair value and gross unrealized gains and losses are not applicable.

The insurance reserves adjustment represents the amount by which the reserve balance would increase if the net unrealized gains in the applicable product portfolios were realized and reinvested at current lower interest rates, resulting in a premium deficiency. Although the Company evaluates premium deficiencies on the combined performance of life insurance and immediate annuities with life contingencies, the adjustment primarily relates to structured settlement annuities with life contingencies, in addition to annuity buy-outs and certain payout annuities with life contingencies.

(4)
The DAC and DSI adjustment balance represents the amount by which the amortization of DAC and DSI would increase or decrease if the unrealized gains or losses in the respective product portfolios were realized.

	Gross unrealized									
(\$ in millions)	Fair					Unr	ealized net			
December 31, 2013	value		Gains		Losses	gai	ns (losses)			
Fixed income securities	\$ 60,910	\$	2,475	\$	(573)	\$	1,902			

Equity securities	5,097	658	(34)	624
Short-term investments	2,393			
Derivative instruments (1)	(13)	1	(19)	(18)
EMA limited partnerships				(3)
Investments classified as held for sale				190
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, pre-tax Amounts recognized for: Insurance reserves				2,695
DAC and DSI				(158)
Amounts recognized				(158)
Deferred income taxes				(891)
Unrealized net capital gains and losses,				
after-tax			\$	1,646

(1) Included in the fair value of derivative instruments are \$1 million classified as assets and \$14 million classified as liabilities.

Table of Contents

Change in unrealized net capital gains and losses

The change in unrealized net capital gains and losses for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Fixed income securities	\$ 866 \$	(3,200) \$	2,368
Equity securities	(212)	164	300
Derivative instruments	16	4	(5)
EMA limited partnerships	(2)	(10)	5
Investments classified as held for sale	(190)	190	
Total	478	(2,852)	2,668
Amounts recognized for:			
Insurance reserves	(28)	771	(177)
DAC and DSI	(21)	254	(288)
Amounts recognized	(49)	1,025	(465)
Deferred income taxes	(149)	639	(769)
Increase (decrease) in unrealized net capital gains and losses, after-tax	\$ 280 \$	(1,188) \$	1,434

Portfolio monitoring

The Company has a comprehensive portfolio monitoring process to identify and evaluate each fixed income and equity security whose carrying value may be other-than-temporarily impaired.

For each fixed income security in an unrealized loss position, the Company assesses whether management with the appropriate authority has made the decision to sell or whether it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of the amortized cost basis for reasons such as liquidity, contractual or regulatory purposes. If a security meets either of these criteria, the security's decline in fair value is considered other than temporary and is recorded in earnings.

If the Company has not made the decision to sell the fixed income security and it is not more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the fixed income security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the Company evaluates whether it expects to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. The Company calculates the estimated recovery value by discounting the best estimate of future cash flows at the security's original or current effective rate, as appropriate, and compares this to the amortized cost of the security. If the Company does not expect to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the fixed income security, the credit loss component of the impairment is recorded in earnings, with the remaining amount of the unrealized loss related to other factors recognized in other comprehensive income.

For equity securities, the Company considers various factors, including whether it has the intent and ability to hold the equity security for a period of time sufficient to recover its cost basis. Where the Company lacks the intent and ability to hold to recovery, or believes the recovery period is extended, the equity security's decline in fair value is considered other than temporary and is recorded in earnings.

For fixed income and equity securities managed by third parties, either the Company has contractually retained its decision making authority as it pertains to selling securities that are in an unrealized loss position or it recognizes any unrealized loss at the end of the period through a charge to earnings.

The Company's portfolio monitoring process includes a quarterly review of all securities to identify instances where the fair value of a security compared to its amortized cost (for fixed income securities) or cost (for equity securities) is below established thresholds. The process also includes the monitoring of other impairment indicators such as ratings, ratings downgrades and payment defaults. The securities identified, in addition to other securities for which the Company may have a concern, are evaluated for potential other-than-temporary impairment using all reasonably available information relevant to the collectability or recovery of the security. Inherent in the Company's evaluation of other-than-temporary impairment for these fixed income and equity securities are assumptions and estimates about the financial condition and future earnings potential of the issue or issuer. Some of the factors that may be considered in evaluating whether a decline in fair value is other than temporary are: 1) the financial condition, near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer, including relevant industry specific

market conditions and trends, geographic location and implications of rating agency actions and offering prices; 2) the specific reasons that a security is in an unrealized loss position, including overall market conditions which could affect liquidity; and 3) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost or cost.

130

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the gross unrealized losses and fair value of fixed income and equity securities by the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position.

(\$ in millions)	Less Number		nan 12 m	or		12 Number		onths or	· m	ore	Total
	of issues		Fair value		nrealized losses	of issues		Fair value		realizedun losses	
December 31, 2014											
Fixed income securities											
U.S. government and agencies	21	\$	1,501	\$	` '		\$		\$	\$	
Municipal	252		1,008		(9)	19		116		(16)	(25)
Corporate	576		7,545		(147)	119		1,214		(93)	(240)
Foreign government	2		13		(11)	1		19		(20)	(21)
ABS	81		1,738		(11)	26		315		(20)	(31)
RMBS CMBS	75 8		70 33		(1)	188		156 32		(12)	(13)
CMBS	0		33			3		32		(2)	(2)
Total fixed income securities	1,015		11,908		(171)	356		1,852		(143)	(314)
Equity securities	258		866		(53)	1		1,032		(2)	(55)
Equity securities	250		000		(33)	-		- 11		(2)	(33)
Total fixed income and equity											
securities	1,273	\$	12,774	\$	(224)	357	\$	1,863	\$	(145) \$	(369)
Investment grade fixed											
income securities	754	\$	9,951	\$	(71)	281	\$	1,444	\$	(87) \$	(158)
Below investment grade fixed											
income securities	261		1,957		(100)	75		408		(56)	(156)
T-4-16'1'	1.015	Φ	11 000	φ	(171)	256	Φ	1.050	Φ	(1.42) ¢	(214)
Total fixed income securities	1,015	Þ	11,908	\$	(171)	356	3	1,852	\$	(143) \$	(314)
December 31, 2013											
Fixed income securities											
U.S. government and agencies	22	\$	700	\$	(7)		\$		\$	\$	(7)
Municipal	315		2,065		(41)	38		208		(46)	(87)
Corporate	796		10,375		(308)	54		550		(79)	(387)
Foreign government	36		262		(9)	1		18		(2)	(11)
ABS	85		1,715		(10)	43		429		(34)	(44)
RMBS	134		149		(4)	175		247		(26)	(30)
CMBS	8		22			7		52		(7)	(7)
T + 1 C 1	1 206		15.200		(270)	210		1.504		(104)	(570)
Total fixed income securities	1,396		15,288		(379)	318		1,504		(194)	(573)
Equity securities	158		982		(34)	1					(34)
Total fixed income and equity											
securities	1,554	\$	16,270	\$	(413)	319	\$	1,504	\$	(194) \$	(607)
bootifies	1,334	Ψ	10,270	Ψ	(713)	517	Ψ	1,504	Ψ	(1) T) Ψ	(007)

Investment grade fixed income securities	1,217 \$	14,019 \$	(340)	221 \$	975 \$	(116) \$	(456)
Below investment grade fixed income securities	179	1,269	(39)	97	529	(78)	(117)
Total fixed income securities	1,396 \$	15,288 \$	(379)	318 \$	1,504 \$	(194) \$	(573)

As of December 31, 2014, \$284 million of unrealized losses are related to securities with an unrealized loss position less than 20% of amortized cost or cost, the degree of which suggests that these securities do not pose a high risk of being other-than-temporarily impaired. Of the \$284 million, \$130 million are related to unrealized losses on investment grade fixed income securities. Investment grade is defined as a security having a rating of Aaa, Aa, A or Baa from Moody's, a rating of AAA, AA, A or BBB from S&P, Fitch, Dominion, Kroll or Realpoint, a rating of aaa, aa, a or bbb from A.M. Best, or a comparable internal rating if an externally provided rating is not available. Unrealized losses on investment grade securities are principally related to increasing risk-free interest rates or widening credit spreads since the time of initial purchase.

As of December 31, 2014, the remaining \$85 million of unrealized losses are related to securities in unrealized loss positions greater than or equal to 20% of amortized cost or cost. Investment grade fixed income securities comprising \$28 million of these unrealized losses were evaluated based on factors such as discounted cash flows and the financial condition and near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer and were determined to have adequate resources to fulfill contractual obligations. Of the \$85 million, \$49 million are related to below investment grade fixed income securities and \$8 million are related to equity securities. Of these amounts, \$6 million are related to below investment grade fixed income securities that had been in an unrealized loss position greater than or equal to 20% of amortized cost for a period of twelve or more consecutive months as of December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

ABS, RMBS and CMBS in an unrealized loss position were evaluated based on actual and projected collateral losses relative to the securities' positions in the respective securitization trusts, security specific expectations of cash flows, and credit ratings. This evaluation also takes into consideration credit enhancement, measured in terms of (i) subordination from other classes of securities in the trust that are contractually obligated to absorb losses before the class of security the Company owns, (ii) the expected impact of other structural features embedded in the securitization trust beneficial to the class of securities the Company owns, such as overcollateralization and excess spread, and (iii) for ABS and RMBS in an unrealized loss position, credit enhancements from reliable bond insurers, where applicable. Municipal bonds in an unrealized loss position were evaluated based on the underlying credit quality of the primary obligor, obligation type and quality of the underlying assets. Unrealized losses on equity securities are primarily related to temporary equity market fluctuations of securities that are expected to recover.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company has not made the decision to sell and it is not more likely than not the Company will be required to sell fixed income securities with unrealized losses before recovery of the amortized cost basis. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had the intent and ability to hold equity securities with unrealized losses for a period of time sufficient for them to recover.

Limited partnerships

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the carrying value of equity method limited partnerships totaled \$3.41 billion and \$3.52 billion, respectively. The Company recognizes an impairment loss for equity method limited partnerships when evidence demonstrates that the loss is other than temporary. Evidence of a loss in value that is other than temporary may include the absence of an ability to recover the carrying amount of the investment or the inability of the investment a level of earnings that would justify the carrying amount of the investment.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the carrying value for cost method limited partnerships was \$1.12 billion and \$1.44 billion, respectively. To determine if an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred, the Company evaluates whether an impairment indicator has occurred in the period that may have a significant adverse effect on the carrying value of the investment. Impairment indicators may include: significantly reduced valuations of the investments held by the limited partnerships; actual recent cash flows received being significantly less than expected cash flows; reduced valuations based on financing completed at a lower value; completed sale of a material underlying investment at a price significantly lower than expected; or any other adverse events since the last financial statements received that might affect the fair value of the investee's capital. Additionally, the Company's portfolio monitoring process includes a quarterly review of all cost method limited partnerships to identify instances where the net asset value is below established thresholds for certain periods of time, as well as investments that are performing below expectations, for further impairment consideration. If a cost method limited partnership is other-than-temporarily impaired, the carrying value is written down to fair value, generally estimated to be equivalent to the reported net asset value of the underlying funds.

Tax credit funds were reclassified from limited partnership interests to other assets during 2014 since the return on these funds is in the form of tax credits rather than investment income. These tax credit funds totaled \$560 million as of December 31, 2014.

Mortgage loans

The Company's mortgage loans are commercial mortgage loans collateralized by a variety of commercial real estate property types located across the United States and totaled, net of valuation allowance, \$4.19 billion and \$4.72 billion as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Substantially all of the commercial mortgage loans are non-recourse to the borrower. The following table shows the principal geographic distribution of commercial real estate represented in the Company's mortgage loan portfolio. No other state represented more than 5% of the portfolio as of December 31.

(% of mortgage loan portfolio carrying value)	2014	2013
California	23.9%	23.0%
Illinois	9.4	10.0
New Jersey	8.0	6.8
Texas	8.0	6.3
New York	5.9	6.0
Florida	5.0	5.7
District of Columbia	2.4	5.3
	132	

Table of Contents

The types of properties collateralizing the mortgage loans as of December 31 are as follows:

(% of mortgage loan portfolio carrying value)	2014	2013
Office buildings	24.3%	26.5%
Apartment complex	23.3	23.2
Retail	22.2	21.0
Warehouse	17.8	18.0
Other	12.4	11.3
Total	100.0%	100.0%

The contractual maturities of the mortgage loan portfolio as of December 31, 2014 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Number of loans	Carrying value	Percent	
2015	21	\$ 249	5.9%	
2016	37	398	9.5	
2017	37	419	10.0	
2018	36	459	11.0	
Thereafter	190	2,663	63.6	
Total	321	\$ 4,188	100.0%	

Mortgage loans are evaluated for impairment on a specific loan basis through a quarterly credit monitoring process and review of key credit quality indicators. Mortgage loans are considered impaired when it is probable that the Company will not collect the contractual principal and interest. Valuation allowances are established for impaired loans to reduce the carrying value to the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell or the present value of the loan's expected future repayment cash flows discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate. Impaired mortgage loans may not have a valuation allowance when the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell is higher than the carrying value. Valuation allowances are adjusted for subsequent changes in the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell. Mortgage loans are charged off against their corresponding valuation allowances when there is no reasonable expectation of recovery. The impairment evaluation is non-statistical in respect to the aggregate portfolio but considers facts and circumstances attributable to each loan. It is not considered probable that additional impairment losses, beyond those identified on a specific loan basis, have been incurred as of December 31, 2014.

Accrual of income is suspended for mortgage loans that are in default or when full and timely collection of principal and interest payments is not probable. Cash receipts on mortgage loans on nonaccrual status are generally recorded as a reduction of carrying value.

Debt service coverage ratio is considered a key credit quality indicator when mortgage loans are evaluated for impairment. Debt service coverage ratio represents the amount of estimated cash flows from the property available to the borrower to meet principal and interest payment obligations. Debt service coverage ratio estimates are updated annually or more frequently if conditions are warranted based on the Company's credit monitoring process.

The following table reflects the carrying value of non-impaired fixed rate and variable rate mortgage loans summarized by debt service coverage ratio distribution as of December 31:

(\$ in millions)			2014 Variab	e			2013 Variable	
Debt service coverage ratio	mo	ed rate rtgage	rate mortga		Total	Fixed rate mortgage	rate mortgage	Tatal
distribution	I	oans	loans		Total	loans	loans	Total
Below 1.0	\$	110	\$	\$	110	\$ 153	\$	\$ 153
1.0 - 1.25		424			424	613		613
1.26 - 1.50		1,167		1	1,168	1,233	2	1,235

Above 1.50	2,450	20	2,470	2,562	77	2,639
Total non-impaired mortgage loans	\$ 4,151 \$	21 \$	4,172 \$	4,561 \$	79 \$	4,640

Mortgage loans with a debt service coverage ratio below 1.0 that are not considered impaired primarily relate to instances where the borrower has the financial capacity to fund the revenue shortfalls from the properties for the foreseeable term, the decrease in cash flows from the properties is considered temporary, or there are other risk mitigating circumstances such as additional collateral, escrow balances or borrower guarantees.

Table of Contents

The net carrying value of impaired mortgage loans as of December 31 is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	20	14	2013	
Impaired mortgage loans with a valuation allowance Impaired mortgage loans without a valuation allowance	\$	16 \$	81	
Total impaired mortgage loans	\$	16 \$	81	
Valuation allowance on impaired mortgage loans	\$	8 \$	21	

The average balance of impaired loans was \$27 million, \$88 million and \$202 million during 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The rollforward of the valuation allowance on impaired mortgage loans for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2014	1	2013	2012
Beginning balance	\$	21 \$	42	\$ 63
Net decrease in valuation allowance		(5)	(11)	(5)
Charge offs		(8)	(8)	(16)
Mortgage loans classified as held for sale			(2)	
Ending balance	\$	8 \$	21	\$ 42

Payments on all mortgage loans were current as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Municipal bonds

The Company maintains a diversified portfolio of municipal bonds. The following table shows the principal geographic distribution of municipal bond issuers represented in the Company's portfolio as of December 31. No other state represents more than 5% of the portfolio.

(% of municipal bond portfolio carrying value)	2014	2013
Texas	9.1%	8.7%
California	9.1	8.0
New York	6.7	6.3
Florida	5.9	6.3

Concentration of credit risk

As of December 31, 2014, the Company is not exposed to any credit concentration risk of a single issuer and its affiliates greater than 10% of the Company's shareholders' equity.

Securities loaned

The Company's business activities include securities lending programs with third parties, mostly large banks. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, fixed income and equity securities with a carrying value of \$755 million and \$590 million, respectively, were on loan under these agreements. Interest income on collateral, net of fees, was \$2 million in each of 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Other investment information

Included in fixed income securities are below investment grade assets totaling \$6.69 billion and \$6.44 billion as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

As of December 31, 2014, fixed income securities and short-term investments with a carrying value of \$240 million were on deposit with regulatory authorities as required by law.

As of December 31, 2014, the carrying value of fixed income securities that were non-income producing was \$31 million.

6. Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The hierarchy for inputs used in determining fair value maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that observable

134

Table of Contents

inputs be used when available. Assets and liabilities recorded on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position at fair value are categorized in the fair value hierarchy based on the observability of inputs to the valuation techniques as follows:

- Level 1: Assets and liabilities whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market that the Company can access.
- Level 2: Assets and liabilities whose values are based on the following:
 - (a) Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
 - (b) Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or
 - (c) Valuation models whose inputs are observable, directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.
- Level 3: Assets and liabilities whose values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs reflect the Company's estimates of the assumptions that market participants would use in valuing the assets and liabilities.

The availability of observable inputs varies by instrument. In situations where fair value is based on internally developed pricing models or inputs that are unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. The degree of judgment exercised by the Company in determining fair value is typically greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. In many instances, valuation inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. The category level in the fair value hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company uses prices and inputs that are current as of the measurement date, including during periods of market disruption. In periods of market disruption, the ability to observe prices and inputs may be reduced for many instruments.

The Company is responsible for the determination of fair value and the supporting assumptions and methodologies. The Company gains assurance that assets and liabilities are appropriately valued through the execution of various processes and controls designed to ensure the overall reasonableness and consistent application of valuation methodologies, including inputs and assumptions, and compliance with accounting standards. For fair values received from third parties or internally estimated, the Company's processes and controls are designed to ensure that the valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistently applied, the inputs and assumptions are reasonable and consistent with the objective of determining fair value, and the fair values are accurately recorded. For example, on a continuing basis, the Company assesses the reasonableness of individual fair values that have stale security prices or that exceed certain thresholds as compared to previous fair values received from valuation service providers or brokers or derived from internal models. The Company performs procedures to understand and assess the methodologies, processes and controls of valuation service providers. In addition, the Company may validate the reasonableness of fair values by comparing information obtained from valuation service providers or brokers to other third party valuation sources for selected securities. The Company performs ongoing price validation procedures such as back-testing of actual sales, which corroborate the various inputs used in internal models to market observable data. When fair value determinations are expected to be more variable, the Company validates them through reviews by members of management who have relevant expertise and who are independent of those charged with executing investment transactions.

The Company has two types of situations where investments are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The first is where specific inputs significant to the fair value estimation models are not market observable. This primarily occurs in the Company's use of broker quotes to value certain securities where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable, and the use of valuation models that use significant non-market observable inputs.

The second situation where the Company classifies securities in Level 3 is where quotes continue to be received from independent third-party valuation service providers and all significant inputs are market observable; however, there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset when compared to normal market activity such that the degree of market observability has declined to a point where categorization as a Level 3 measurement is considered appropriate. The indicators considered in determining whether a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for a specific asset has occurred include the level of new issuances in the primary market, trading volume in the secondary market, the level of credit spreads over historical levels, applicable bid-ask spreads, and price consensus among market participants and other pricing sources.

Table of Contents

Certain assets are not carried at fair value on a recurring basis, including investments such as mortgage loans, limited partnership interests, bank loans and policy loans. Accordingly, such investments are only included in the fair value hierarchy disclosure when the investment is subject to remeasurement at fair value after initial recognition and the resulting remeasurement is reflected in the consolidated financial statements. In addition, derivatives embedded in fixed income securities are not disclosed in the hierarchy as free-standing derivatives since they are presented with the host contracts in fixed income securities.

In determining fair value, the Company principally uses the market approach which generally utilizes market transaction data for the same or similar instruments. To a lesser extent, the Company uses the income approach which involves determining fair values from discounted cash flow methodologies. For the majority of Level 2 and Level 3 valuations, a combination of the market and income approaches is used.

Summary of significant valuation techniques for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

Level 1 measurements

<u>Fixed income securities:</u> Comprise certain U.S. Treasury fixed income securities. Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.

<u>Equity securities:</u> Comprise actively traded, exchange-listed equity securities. Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.

<u>Short-term:</u> Comprise actively traded money market funds that have daily quoted net asset values for identical assets that the Company can access.

<u>Separate account assets</u>: Comprise actively traded mutual funds that have daily quoted net asset values for identical assets that the Company can access. Net asset values for the actively traded mutual funds in which the separate account assets are invested are obtained daily from the fund managers.

<u>Assets held for sale:</u> Comprise U.S. Treasury fixed income securities, short-term investments and separate account assets. The valuation is based on the respective asset type as described above.

Level 2 measurements

Fixed income securities

U.S. government and agencies: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

Municipal: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

Corporate, including privately placed: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads. Also included are privately placed securities valued using a discounted cash flow model that is widely accepted in the financial services industry and uses market observable inputs and inputs derived principally from, or corroborated by, observable market data. The primary inputs to the discounted cash flow model include an interest rate yield curve, as well as published credit spreads for similar assets in markets that are not active that incorporate the credit quality and industry sector of the issuer.

Foreign government: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

ABS and RMBS: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, prepayment speeds, collateral performance and credit spreads. Certain

ABS are valued based on non-binding broker quotes whose inputs have been corroborated to be market observable.

CMBS: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, collateral performance and credit spreads.

Redeemable preferred stock: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, underlying stock prices and credit spreads.

Table of Contents

<u>Equity securities:</u> The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices or quoted net asset values for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active.

<u>Short-term:</u> The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads. For certain short-term investments, amortized cost is used as the best estimate of fair value.

Other investments: Free-standing exchange listed derivatives that are not actively traded are valued based on quoted prices for identical instruments in markets that are not active.

OTC derivatives, including interest rate swaps, foreign currency swaps, foreign exchange forward contracts, certain options and certain credit default swaps, are valued using models that rely on inputs such as interest rate yield curves, currency rates, and counterparty credit spreads that are observable for substantially the full term of the contract. The valuation techniques underlying the models are widely accepted in the financial services industry and do not involve significant judgment.

Assets held for sale: Comprise U.S. government and agencies, municipal, corporate, foreign government, ABS, RMBS and CMBS fixed income securities, and short-term investments. The valuation is based on the respective asset type as described above.

Level 3 measurements

Fixed income securities:

Municipal: Comprise municipal bonds that are not rated by third party credit rating agencies but are rated by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC"). The primary inputs to the valuation of these municipal bonds include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that exhibit less liquidity relative to those markets supporting Level 2 fair value measurements, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads. Also included are municipal bonds valued based on non-binding broker quotes where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable. Also includes auction rate securities ("ARS") primarily backed by student loans that have become illiquid due to failures in the auction market and are valued using a discounted cash flow model that is widely accepted in the financial services industry and uses significant non-market observable inputs, including the anticipated date liquidity will return to the market.

Corporate, including privately placed: Primarily valued based on non-binding broker quotes where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable. Also included are equity-indexed notes which are valued using a discounted cash flow model that is widely accepted in the financial services industry and uses significant non-market observable inputs, such as volatility. Other inputs include an interest rate yield curve, as well as published credit spreads for similar assets that incorporate the credit quality and industry sector of the issuer.

ABS, RMBS and CMBS: Valued based on non-binding broker quotes received from brokers who are familiar with the investments and where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable.

<u>Equity securities:</u> The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices or quoted net asset values for identical or similar assets in markets that exhibit less liquidity relative to those markets supporting Level 2 fair value measurements.

Other investments: Certain OTC derivatives, such as interest rate caps, certain credit default swaps and certain options (including swaptions), are valued using models that are widely accepted in the financial services industry. These are categorized as Level 3 as a result of the significance of non-market observable inputs such as volatility. Other primary inputs include interest rate yield curves and credit spreads.

<u>Assets held for sale:</u> Comprise municipal, corporate, ABS and CMBS fixed income securities that were classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2013. The valuation is based on the respective asset type as described above.

Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in certain life and annuity contracts are valued internally using models widely accepted in the financial services industry that determine a single best estimate of fair value for the embedded derivatives within a block of contractholder liabilities. The models primarily use stochastically determined cash flows based on the contractual elements of embedded derivatives, projected option cost and applicable market data, such as interest rate yield curves and equity index volatility assumptions. These are categorized as Level 3 as a result of the significance of non-market observable inputs.

<u>Liabilities held for sale:</u> Comprise derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts that were classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2013. The valuation is the same as described above for contractholder funds.

Table of Contents

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis

Mortgage loans written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing impairments are valued based on the fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell. Limited partnership interests written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing other-than-temporary impairments are valued using net asset values. The carrying value of the LBL business was written-down to fair value in connection with being classified as held for sale.

The following table summarizes the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2014.

(\$ in millions)	for		Sig	Significant other		Significant(unobservable inputs (Level 3)		Counterpart e and cash collateral netting		Balance as of ember 31, 2014
Assets										
Fixed income securities:										
U.S. government and agencies	\$	3,240	\$	1,082	\$	6			\$	4,328
Municipal				8,227		270				8,497
Corporate				41,253		891				42,144
Foreign government				1,645						1,645
ABS				3,782		196				3,978
RMBS				1,206		1				1,207
CMBS				592		23				615
Redeemable preferred stock				26						26
Total fixed income securities		3,240		57,813		1,387				62,440
Equity securities		3,787		234		83				4,104
Short-term investments		692		1,843		5				2,540
Other investments: Free-standing										
derivatives				95		2	\$	(5)		92
Separate account assets		4,396								4,396
Other assets		2				1				3
Total recurring basis assets		12,117		59,985		1,478		(5)		73,575
Non-recurring basis (1)		,		,		9		()		9
Total assets at fair value	\$	12,117	\$	59,985	\$	1,487	\$	(5)	\$	73,584
% of total assets at fair value		16.5%		81.59	%	2.0%	%		%	100.0%
Liabilities Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts Other liabilities: Free-standing derivatives	\$	(1)	\$	(50)	\$	(323) (9)		22	\$	(323) (38)

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-k	Edgar Filing:	ALLSTATE CORP	- Form 10-K
---	---------------	---------------	-------------

Total liabilities at fair value	\$ (1) \$	(50) \$	(332) \$	22 \$	(361)
% of total liabilities at fair value	0.3%	13.8%	92.0%	(6.1)%	100.0%

(1) Includes \$6 million of mortgage loans and \$3 million of limited partnership interests written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing other-than-temporary impairments.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2013:

(\$ in millions)	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)		Significant other		Significant C unobservable inputs (Level 3)		and cash		ty Balance as of December 31 2013	
Assets Fixed income securities: U.S. government and agencies Municipal Corporate Foreign government ABS RMBS CMBS	\$	1,801	\$	1,105 8,380 39,494 1,824 4,326 1,472	\$	7 343 1,109 192 2			\$	2,913 8,723 40,603 1,824 4,518 1,474
CMBS Redeemable preferred stock Total fixed income securities Equity securities Short-term investments		1,801 4,268 752		786 25 57,412 697 1,626		43 1 1,697 132 15				829 26 60,910 5,097 2,393
Other investments: Free-standing derivatives Separate account assets Other assets Assets held for sale		5,039 1 1,854		284 9,812		9 362	\$	(24)		269 5,039 1 12,028
Total recurring basis assets Non-recurring basis (1)		13,715		69,831		2,215 24		(24)		85,737 24
Total assets at fair value	\$	13,715	\$	69,831	\$	2,239	\$	(24)	\$	85,761
% of total assets at fair value		16.0%	ó	81.49	%	2.6%	%		%	100.0%
Liabilities Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts Other liabilities: Free-standing derivatives Liabilities held for sale	\$		\$	(194)	\$	(307) (14) (246)	\$	11	\$	(307) (197) (246)
Total recurring basis liabilities Non-recurring basis (2)				(194))	(567) (11,088)		11		(750) (11,088)

Total liabilities at fair value	\$ \$	(194) \$	(11,655) \$	11 \$	(11,838)
% of total liabilities at fair value	%	1.6%	98.5%	(0.1)%	100.0%

- (1) Includes \$8 million of mortgage loans and \$16 million of limited partnership interests written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing other-than-temporary impairments.
- (2) Relates to LBL business held for sale (see Note 3). The total fair value measurement includes \$15,593 million of assets held for sale and \$(14,899) million of liabilities held for sale, less \$12,028 million of assets and \$(246) million of liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

The following table summarizes quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used in Level 3 fair value measurements.

(\$ in millions)

(+)	Fair v	value	Valuation technique	Unobservable input	Range	Weighted average
December 31, 2014 Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts Equity-indexed and forward starting options December 31, 2013	\$	(278)	Stochastic cash flow model	Projected option cost	1.0 - 2.0%	1.76%
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts Equity-indexed and forward starting options	\$	(247)	Stochastic cash flow model	Projected option cost	1.0 - 2.0%	1.75%
Liabilities held for sale Equity-indexed and forward starting options	\$	(246) 139	Stochastic cash flow model	Projected option cost	1.0 - 2.0%	1.91%

Table of Contents

If the projected option cost increased (decreased), it would result in a higher (lower) liability fair value.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, Level 3 fair value measurements include \$1.03 billion and \$1.27 billion, respectively, of fixed income securities valued based on non-binding broker quotes where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable and \$169 million and \$208 million, respectively, of municipal fixed income securities that are not rated by third party credit rating agencies. As of December 31, 2013, Level 3 fair value measurements for assets held for sale include \$319 million of fixed income securities valued based on non-binding broker quotes where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable. The Company does not develop the unobservable inputs used in measuring fair value; therefore, these are not included in the table above. However, an increase (decrease) in credit spreads for fixed income securities valued based on non-binding broker quotes would result in a lower (higher) fair value, and an increase (decrease) in the credit rating of municipal bonds that are not rated by third party credit rating agencies would result in a higher (lower) fair value.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the year ended December 31, 2014.

(\$ in millions)						
	Decei	nce as of mber 31, 2013	Net income (1)	OCI	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3
Assets						
Fixed income securities:	¢	7	\$	\$	¢	¢
U.S. government and agencies	\$	343	\$ (2)	18	\$	\$ (17)
Municipal Corporate		1,109	24	(14)	89	(17)
ABS		1,109	1	(14)	49	(123)
RMBS		2	1	2	47	(144)
CMBS		43	(1)		5	(4)
Redeemable preferred stock		1	(1)		3	(4)
Total fixed income securities		1,697	22	6	143	(290)
Equity securities		132	22	(16)		(2)
Short-term investments						
Free-standing derivatives, net		(5)				
Other assets			1			
Assets held for sale		362	(1)	2	4	(2)
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$	2,186	\$ 44	\$ (8)	\$ 147	\$ (294)
Liabilities						
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$	(307)	\$ (8)	\$	\$	\$
Liabilities held for sale		(246)	17			
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$	(553)	\$ 9	\$	\$	\$

	Sold in LBL disposition ⁽³⁾	Purchases/ Issues (4)	Sales	Settlements	Balance as of December 31, 2014
Assets					
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. government and agencies	\$	\$	\$	\$ (1)	\$ 6
Municipal		6	(74)	(4)	270
Corporate		64	(140)	(116)	891
ABS		119		(23)	196
RMBS				(1)	1
CMBS	4	8	(1)	(31)	23
Redeemable preferred stock			(1)	. ,	
Total fixed income securities	4	197	(216)	(176)	1,387
Equity securities		83	(136)		83
Short-term investments		45	(40)		5
Free-standing derivatives, net		2	, ,	(4)	(7) (2)

Other assets					1
Assets held for sale	(351)		(8)	(6)	
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ (347) \$	327 \$	(400) \$	(186) \$	1,469
Liabilities					
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life	_		_		
and annuity contracts	\$ \$	(14) \$	\$	6 \$	(323)
Liabilities held for sale	230	(4)		3	
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$ 230 \$	(18) \$	\$	9 \$	(323)

- The effect to net income totals \$53 million and is reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows: \$34 million in realized capital gains and losses, \$13 million in net investment income, \$(5) million in interest credited to contractholder funds, \$15 million in life and annuity contract benefits and \$(4) million in loss on disposition of operations.
- (2) Comprises \$2 million of assets and \$9 million of liabilities.
- (3) Includes transfers from held for sale that took place in first quarter 2014 of \$4 million for CMBS and \$(4) million for Assets held for sale.
- (4) Represents purchases for assets and issues for liabilities.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the year ended December 31, 2013.

(\$ in millions)	Balance as of December 31, 2012		Total gains (losses) included in: Net income (1) OCI			1:	Transfers into Level 3		Transfers out of Level 3	
Assets										
Fixed income securities:										
U.S. government and agencies	\$	8	\$		\$		\$	\$		
Municipal		965		(33)		47	6		(63)	
Corporate		1,617		35		(32)	84		(323)	
ABS		251				29	29		(86)	
RMBS		3								
CMBS		52		(1)		2	4			
Redeemable preferred stock		1								
•										
Total fixed income securities		2,897		1		46	123		(472)	
Equity securities		171		3		7			()	
Free-standing derivatives, net		(27)		19		,				
Other assets		1		(1)						
Assets held for sale				(2)		(6)	13		(13)	
rissets held for sale				(2)		(0)	13		(13)	
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$	3,042	\$	20	\$	47	\$ 136	\$	(485)	
Liabilities										
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts Liabilities held for sale	\$	(553)	\$	89 20	\$		\$	\$		
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$	(553)	\$	109	\$		\$	\$		

	Transfer to held for sale	Purchases/ Issues (2)	Sales	Settlements	Balance as of December 31, 2013
Assets	neia for saic	155465	Sures	Settlements	2010
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. government and agencies	\$	\$	\$	\$ (1)	\$ 7
Municipal	(51)	55	(558)	(25)	343
Corporate	(244)	504	(389)	(143)	1,109
ABS	(85)	174	(82)	(38)	192
RMBS				(1)	2
CMBS	(5)	11	(19)	(1)	43
Redeemable preferred stock					1
Total fixed income securities	(385)	744	(1,048)	(209)	1,697
Equity securities		1	(50)		132
Free-standing derivatives, net Other assets		9		(6)	(5) ⁽³⁾
Assets held for sale	385		(10)	(5)	362

Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ \$	754 \$	(1,108) \$	(220) \$	2,186
Liabilities					
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ 265 \$	(111) \$	\$	3 \$	(307)
Liabilities held for sale	(265)	(6)		5	(246)
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$ \$	(117) \$	\$	8 \$	(553)

The effect to net income totals \$129 million and is reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows: \$3 million in realized capital gains and losses, \$18 million in net investment income, \$40 million in interest credited to contractholder funds, \$74 million in life and annuity contract benefits and \$(6) million in loss on disposition of operations.

⁽²⁾ Represents purchases for assets and issues for liabilities.

⁽³⁾ Comprises \$9 million of assets and \$14 million of liabilities.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the year ended December 31, 2012.

(\$ in millions)									
	Balance as of December 31, 2011		Net income (1)		OCI		Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3	
Assets									
Fixed income securities:									
U.S. government and agencies	\$		\$		\$		\$ 8	\$	
Municipal		1,332		(35)		76	53		(28)
Corporate		1,405		20		68	387		(92)
ABS		297		26		61	43		(81)
RMBS		51							(47)
CMBS		60		(4)		9			(5)
Redeemable preferred stock		1							
Total fixed income securities		3,146		7		214	491		(253)
Equity securities		43		(7)		9			
Free-standing derivatives, net		(95)		27					
Other assets		1							
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$	3,095	\$	27	\$	223	\$ 491	\$	(253)
Liabilities									
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and									
annuity contracts	\$	(723)	\$	168	\$		\$	\$	
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$	(723)	\$	168	\$		\$	\$	

	Purch	ases	Sales	Issues	Settlem	D	alance as of ecember 31, 2012
Assets							
Fixed income securities:							
U.S. government and agencies	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$	8
Municipal		46	(463)			(16)	965
Corporate		276	(310)			(137)	1,617
ABS		155	(217)			(33)	251
RMBS						(1)	3
CMBS		34	(27)			(15)	52
Redeemable preferred stock		1	(1)				1
Total fixed income securities		512	(1,018)			(202)	2,897
Equity securities		164	(38)				171
Free-standing derivatives, net		27				14	(27) (2)
Other assets							1
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$	703 \$	(1,056)	\$	\$	(188) \$	3,042

Liabilities

annuity contracts	\$ \$	\$ (79) \$	81 \$	(553)
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$ \$	\$ (79) \$	81 \$	(553)

(1)
The effect to net income totals \$195 million and is reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows: \$27 million in net investment income, \$132 million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$36 million in life and annuity contract benefits.

(2) Comprises \$3 million of assets and \$30 million of liabilities.

Table of Contents

Transfers between level categorizations may occur due to changes in the availability of market observable inputs, which generally are caused by changes in market conditions such as liquidity, trading volume or bid-ask spreads. Transfers between level categorizations may also occur due to changes in the valuation source. For example, in situations where a fair value quote is not provided by the Company's independent third-party valuation service provider and as a result the price is stale or has been replaced with a broker quote whose inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable, the security is transferred into Level 3. Transfers in and out of level categorizations are reported as having occurred at the beginning of the quarter in which the transfer occurred. Therefore, for all transfers into Level 3, all realized and changes in unrealized gains and losses in the quarter of transfer are reflected in the Level 3 rollforward table.

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during 2014 or 2013. During 2012, certain U.S. government securities were transferred into Level 1 from Level 2 as a result of increased liquidity in the market and a sustained increase in the market activity for these assets.

Transfers into Level 3 during 2014, 2013 and 2012 included situations where a fair value quote was not provided by the Company's independent third-party valuation service provider and as a result the price was stale or had been replaced with a broker quote where the inputs had not been corroborated to be market observable resulting in the security being classified as Level 3. Transfers out of Level 3 during 2014, 2013 and 2012 included situations where a broker quote was used in the prior period and a fair value quote became available from the Company's independent third-party valuation service provider in the current period. A quote utilizing the new pricing source was not available as of the prior period, and any gains or losses related to the change in valuation source for individual securities were not significant.

The following table provides the change in unrealized gains and losses included in net income for Level 3 assets and liabilities held as of December 31.

(\$ in millions)

	20	014	2013	2012	
Assets					
Fixed income securities:					
Municipal	\$	(7) \$	(19)	\$ (28))
Corporate		11	13	15	
ABS		1	(1)		
RMBS		(1)	(1)	(1))
CMBS			(2)	(3))
Total fixed income securities		4	(10)	(17))
Equity securities			, ,	(6	
Free-standing derivatives, net		5	10	6	
Other assets		1	(1)		
Assets held for sale			(2)		
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$	10 \$	(3)	\$ (17))
Liabilities Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts Liabilities held for sale	\$	(8) \$ 17	89 20	\$ 168	
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$	9 \$	109	\$ 168	

The amounts in the table above represent the change in unrealized gains and losses included in net income for the period of time that the asset or liability was determined to be in Level 3. These gains and losses total \$19 million in 2014 and are reported as follows: \$(3) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$12 million in net investment income, \$(5) million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$15 million in life and annuity contract benefits. These gains and losses total \$106 million in 2013 and are reported as follows: \$(9) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$12 million in net investment income, \$35 million in interest credited to contractholder funds, \$74 million in life and annuity contract benefits and \$(6) million in loss on disposition of operations. These gains and losses total \$151 million in 2012 and are reported as follows: \$(37) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$21 million in net investment income, \$131 million in interest credited to

contractholder funds and \$36 million in life and annuity contract benefits.

Presented below are the carrying values and fair value estimates of financial instruments not carried at fair value.

Table of Contents

Financial assets

(\$ in millions)	December 31, 2014					December 31, 2013				
	Carrying value			Fair value		Carrying value	Fair value			
Mortgage loans	\$	4,188	\$	4,446	\$	4,721	\$	4,871		
Cost method limited partnerships		1,122		1,488		1,443		1,835		
Bank loans		1,663		1,638		1,242		1,244		
Agent loans		368		361		341		325		
Assets held for sale						1,458		1,532		

The fair value of mortgage loans, including those classified as assets held for sale, is based on discounted contractual cash flows or, if the loans are impaired due to credit reasons, the fair value of collateral less costs to sell. Risk adjusted discount rates are selected using current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar characteristics, using similar types of properties as collateral. The fair value of cost method limited partnerships is determined using reported net asset values of the underlying funds. The fair value of bank loans, which are reported in other investments or assets held for sale, is based on broker quotes from brokers familiar with the loans and current market conditions. The fair value of agent loans, which are reported in other investments, is based on discounted cash flow calculations that use discount rates with a spread over U.S. Treasury rates. Assumptions used in developing estimated cash flows and discount rates consider the loan's credit and liquidity risks. The fair value measurements for mortgage loans, cost method limited partnerships, bank loans, agent loans and assets held for sale are categorized as Level 3.

Financial liabilities

(\$ in millions)		Decembe	r 31,	2014	December 31, 2013			
		Carrying value		Fair value		Carrying value		Fair value
Contractholder funds on investment contracts	\$	13,734	\$	14,390	\$	15,569	\$	16,225
Long-term debt		5,194		5,835		6,201		6,509
Liability for collateral		782		782		624		624
Liabilities held for sale						7,417		7,298

The fair value of contractholder funds on investment contracts, including those classified as liabilities held for sale, is based on the terms of the underlying contracts utilizing prevailing market rates for similar contracts adjusted for the Company's own credit risk. Deferred annuities included in contractholder funds are valued using discounted cash flow models which incorporate market value margins, which are based on the cost of holding economic capital, and the Company's own credit risk. Immediate annuities without life contingencies and fixed rate funding agreements are valued at the present value of future benefits using market implied interest rates which include the Company's own credit risk. The fair value measurements for contractholder funds on investment contracts and liabilities held for sale are categorized as Level 3.

The fair value of long-term debt is based on market observable data (such as the fair value of the debt when traded as an asset) or, in certain cases, is determined using discounted cash flow calculations based on current interest rates for instruments with comparable terms and considers the Company's own credit risk. The liability for collateral is valued at carrying value due to its short-term nature. The fair value measurements for long-term debt and liability for collateral are categorized as Level 2.

7. Derivative Financial Instruments and Off-balance sheet Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivatives to manage risks with certain assets and liabilities arising from the potential adverse impacts from changes in risk-free interest rates, changes in equity market valuations, increases in credit spreads and foreign currency fluctuations, and for asset replication.

Property-Liability uses interest rate swaps, swaptions, futures and options to manage the interest rate risks of existing investments. Portfolio duration management is a risk management strategy that is principally employed by Property-Liability wherein financial futures and interest rate swaps are utilized to change the duration of the portfolio in order to offset the economic effect that interest rates would otherwise have on the fair value of its fixed income securities. Equity index futures and options are used by Property-Liability to offset valuation losses in the equity portfolio during periods of declining equity market values. Credit default swaps are typically used to mitigate the credit risk within the Property-Liability fixed income portfolio. Property-Liability uses equity futures to hedge the market risk

Table of Contents

related to deferred compensation liability contracts and forward contracts to hedge foreign currency risk associated with holding foreign currency denominated investments and foreign operations.

Asset-liability management is a risk management strategy that is principally employed by Allstate Financial to balance the respective interest-rate sensitivities of its assets and liabilities. Depending upon the attributes of the assets acquired and liabilities issued, derivative instruments such as interest rate swaps, caps, swaptions and futures are utilized to change the interest rate characteristics of existing assets and liabilities to ensure the relationship is maintained within specified ranges and to reduce exposure to rising or falling interest rates. Allstate Financial uses financial futures and interest rate swaps to hedge anticipated asset purchases and liability issuances and futures and options for hedging the equity exposure contained in its equity indexed life and annuity product contracts that offer equity returns to contractholders. In addition, Allstate Financial uses interest rate swaps to hedge interest rate risk inherent in funding agreements. Allstate Financial uses foreign currency swaps and forwards primarily to reduce the foreign currency risk associated with holding foreign currency denominated investments. Credit default swaps are typically used to mitigate the credit risk within the Allstate Financial fixed income portfolio.

The Company may also use derivatives to manage the risk associated with corporate actions, including the sale of a business. During 2014 and December 2013, swaptions were utilized to hedge the expected proceeds from the disposition of LBL.

Asset replication refers to the "synthetic" creation of assets through the use of derivatives and primarily investment grade host bonds to replicate securities that are either unavailable in the cash markets or more economical to acquire in synthetic form. The Company replicates fixed income securities using a combination of a credit default swap and one or more highly rated fixed income securities to synthetically replicate the economic characteristics of one or more cash market securities.

The Company also has derivatives embedded in non-derivative host contracts that are required to be separated from the host contracts and accounted for at fair value with changes in fair value of embedded derivatives reported in net income. The Company's primary embedded derivatives are equity options in life and annuity product contracts, which provide equity returns to contractholders; conversion options in fixed income securities, which provide the Company with the right to convert the instrument into a predetermined number of shares of common stock; credit default swaps in synthetic collateralized debt obligations, which provide enhanced coupon rates as a result of selling credit protection; and equity-indexed notes containing equity call options, which provide a coupon payout that is determined using one or more equity-based indices.

When derivatives meet specific criteria, they may be designated as accounting hedges and accounted for as fair value, cash flow, foreign currency fair value or foreign currency cash flow hedges. Allstate Financial designates certain of its interest rate and foreign currency swap contracts and certain investment risk transfer reinsurance agreements as fair value hedges when the hedging instrument is highly effective in offsetting the risk of changes in the fair value of the hedged item. Allstate Financial designates certain of its foreign currency swap contracts as cash flow hedges when the hedging instrument is highly effective in offsetting the exposure of variations in cash flows for the hedged risk that could affect net income. Amounts are reclassified to net investment income or realized capital gains and losses as the hedged item affects net income.

The notional amounts specified in the contracts are used to calculate the exchange of contractual payments under the agreements and are generally not representative of the potential for gain or loss on these agreements. However, the notional amounts specified in credit default swaps where the Company has sold credit protection represent the maximum amount of potential loss, assuming no recoveries.

Fair value, which is equal to the carrying value, is the estimated amount that the Company would receive or pay to terminate the derivative contracts at the reporting date. The carrying value amounts for OTC derivatives are further adjusted for the effects, if any, of enforceable master netting agreements and are presented on a net basis, by counterparty agreement, in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. For certain exchange traded and cleared derivatives, margin deposits are required as well as daily cash settlements of margin accounts. As of December 31, 2014, the Company pledged \$41 million of cash and securities in the form of margin deposits.

For those derivatives which qualify for fair value hedge accounting, net income includes the changes in the fair value of both the derivative instrument and the hedged risk, and therefore reflects any hedging ineffectiveness. For cash flow hedges, gains and losses are amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income and are reported in net income in the same period the forecasted transactions being hedged impact net income.

Table of Contents

hedging instruments

Non-hedge accounting is generally used for "portfolio" level hedging strategies where the terms of the individual hedged items do not meet the strict homogeneity requirements to permit the application of hedge accounting. For non-hedge derivatives, net income includes changes in fair value and accrued periodic settlements, when applicable. With the exception of non-hedge derivatives used for asset replication and non-hedge embedded derivatives, all of the Company's derivatives are evaluated for their ongoing effectiveness as either accounting hedge or non-hedge derivative financial instruments on at least a quarterly basis.

The following table provides a summary of the volume and fair value positions of derivative instruments as well as their reporting location in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position as of December 31, 2014.

(\$ in millions, except number of contracts)		Volu	me (1)			
· ·			Number	Fair		
	Balance sheet	Notional	of	value,	Gross	Gross
	location	amount	contracts	net	asset	liability
Asset derivatives						·
Derivatives designated as accounting						
hedging instruments						
Foreign currency swap agreements	Other investments	\$ 85	n/a	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$
Derivatives not designated as accounting						
hedging instruments						
Interest rate contracts						
Interest rate cap agreements	Other investments	163	n/a	2	2	
Equity and index contracts						
Options and warrants (2)	Other investments		3,225	83	83	
Financial futures contracts	Other assets		2,204	2	2	
Foreign currency contracts						
Foreign currency forwards	Other investments	471	n/a	(15)	1	(16)
Embedded derivative financial instruments						
Other embedded derivative financial						
instruments	Other investments	1,000	n/a			
Credit default contracts						
Credit default swaps buying protection	Other investments	29	n/a			
Credit default swaps selling protection	Other investments	100	n/a	2	2	
Other contracts						
Other contracts	Other assets	3	n/a	1	1	
Subtotal		1,766	5,429	75	91	(16)
T 4 1 4 1 4 4		ф 1.0 5 1	5 420	ф 70	Φ 04	Φ (16)
Total asset derivatives		\$ 1,851	5,429	\$ 78	\$ 94	\$ (16)
<u>Liability derivatives</u>						
Derivatives designated as accounting hedging instruments						
	Other liabilities &					
Foreign currency swap agreements	accrued expenses	\$ 50	n/a	\$ (1)	\$	\$ (1)
Derivatives not designated as accounting						

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Interest rate contracts

interest rate contracts							
		Other liabilities &					
Interest rate swap agreements		accrued expenses	85	n/a	1	1	
		Other liabilities &					
Interest rate cap agreements		accrued expenses	11	n/a			
1 6		Other liabilities &					
Financial futures contracts		accrued expenses		700			
Equity and index contracts		1					
		Other liabilities &					
Options and futures		accrued expenses		3,960	(23)		(23)
Foreign currency contracts		1		,	, ,		,
		Other liabilities &					
Foreign currency forwards		accrued expenses	228	n/a	(1)	2	(3)
Embedded derivative financial i	instruments	1					. ,
		Contractholder					
Guaranteed accumulation benefits	S	funds	615	n/a	(32)		(32)
		Contractholder			, ,		, ,
Guaranteed withdrawal benefits		funds	425	n/a	(13)		(13)
Equity-indexed and forward starti	ng options	Contractholder			, ,		, ,
in life and annuity product contract	~ .	funds	1,786	n/a	(278)		(278)
Other embedded derivative finance		Contractholder	,		, ,		, ,
instruments		funds	85	n/a			
Credit default contracts							
		Other liabilities &					
Credit default swaps buying pro	otection	accrued expenses	420	n/a	(6)	1	(7)
1 2 21		Other liabilities &					. ,
Credit default swaps selling pro	tection	accrued expenses	205	n/a	(8)	2	(10)
1 21		1			. ,		. ,
Subtotal			3,860	4,660	(360)	6	(366)
			,	,	, ,		, ,
Total liability derivatives			3,910	4,660	(361) \$	6 \$	(367)
-					•		•
Total derivatives			\$ 5,761	10,089 \$	(283)		

Volume for OTC derivative contracts is represented by their notional amounts. Volume for exchange traded derivatives is represented by the number of contracts, which is the basis on which they are traded. (n/a = not applicable)

In addition to the number of contracts presented in the table, the Company held 220 stock rights and warrants. Stock rights and warrants can be converted to cash upon sale of those instruments or exercised for shares of common stock.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a summary of the volume and fair value positions of derivative instruments as well as their reporting location in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position as of December 31, 2013.

(\$ in millions, except number of contracts)

		Volu	me (1)			
			Number	Fair		
	Balance sheet	Notional	of	value,	Gross	Gross
	location	amount	contracts	net	asset	liability
Asset derivatives						-
Derivatives designated as accounting						
hedging instruments						
Foreign currency swap agreements	Other investments	\$ 16	n/a	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$
Derivatives not designated as accounting						
hedging instruments						
Interest rate contracts						
Interest rate swaption agreements	Other investments	1,420	n/a			
Interest rate cap agreements	Other investments	61	n/a	2	2	
Financial futures contracts	Other assets		550			
Equity and index contracts						
Options and warrants (2)	Other investments	3	10,035	263	263	
Financial futures contracts	Other assets		1,432	1	1	
Foreign currency contracts						
Foreign currency forwards	Other investments	161	n/a	10	10	
Embedded derivative financial						
instruments						
	Fixed income					
Credit default swaps	securities	12	n/a	(12)		(12)
Other embedded derivative financial						
instruments	Other investments	1,000	n/a			
Credit default contracts						
Credit default swaps buying protection	Other investments	2				
Credit default swaps selling protection	Other investments	105	n/a	2	2	
Other contracts						
Other contracts	Other assets	4	n/a			
Subtotal		2,768	12,017	266	278	(12)
Total asset derivatives		\$ 2,784	12,017	\$ 267	\$ 279	\$ (12)
<u>Liability derivatives</u> Derivatives designated as accounting						
hedging instruments						
mongaily miletion	Other liabilities &					
Foreign currency swap agreements	accrued expenses	\$ 132	n/a	\$ (15)	\$	\$ (15)

Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments Interest rate contracts

Interest rate swap agree	ements	Other liabilities & accrued expenses Other liabilities &	85	n/a	4	4	
Interest rate swaption a	greements	accrued expenses Other liabilities &	4,570	n/a	1	1	
Interest rate cap agreen Equity and index cont		accrued expenses	262	n/a	4	4	
• •		Other liabilities &					
Options		accrued expenses	55	10,035	(165)	2	(167)
Foreign currency con	tracts						
		Other liabilities &					
Foreign currency forwa		accrued expenses	148	n/a	(3)	2	(5)
Embedded derivative	financial						
instruments							
		Contractholder					
Guaranteed accumulati	on benefits	funds	738	n/a	(43)		(43)
0 . 1 . 1 . 1	1.1	Contractholder	506	,	(10)		(10)
Guaranteed withdrawal		funds	506	n/a	(13)		(13)
Equity-indexed and for	0 1	Contractholder	1 (02	,	(0.47)		(0.47)
in life and annuity prod	luct contracts	funds	1,693	n/a	(247)		(247)
		Liabilities held for sale	2 262	la	(246)		(246)
Other embedded deriva	otiva financial	Contractholder	2,363	n/a	(246)		(246)
instruments	urve mianciai	funds	85	n/a	(4)		(4)
Credit default contrac	ota	Tulius	0.3	11/ a	(4)		(4)
Ci cuit uciauit contrac	CLS	Other liabilities &					
Credit default swaps	buying protection	accrued expenses	397	n/a	(6)		(6)
Credit delauit swaps	ouying protection	Other liabilities &	371	11/α	(0)		(0)
Credit default swaps	selling protection	accrued expenses	185	n/a	(13)	2	(15)
credit derautt swaps	seming protection	decided expenses	105	11/ 4	(13)	_	(13)
Subtotal			11,087	10,035	(731)	15	(746)
Total liability derivati	ives		11,219	10,035	(746) \$	15 \$	(761)

\$ 14,003 22,052 \$ (479)

(2)

Total derivatives

Volume for OTC derivative contracts is represented by their notional amounts. Volume for exchange traded derivatives is represented by the number of contracts, which is the basis on which they are traded. (n/a = not applicable)

In addition to the number of contracts presented in the table, the Company held 1,238,580 stock rights and warrants. Stock rights and warrants can be converted to cash upon sale of those instruments or exercised for shares of common stock.

Table of Contents

The following table provides gross and net amounts for the Company's OTC derivatives, all of which are subject to enforceable master netting agreements.

(\$ in millions)				Of	fse	ts						
								Net				
			Cash amount						Se	ecurities		
			Coı	unter-	co	llateral		on	co	ollateral		
	\mathbf{G}	ross	p	arty	(re	eceived)	b	alance	(r	eceived)		Net
	am	ount	ne	tting	p	ledged		sheet	p	ledged	an	nount
December 31, 2014												
Asset derivatives	\$	12	\$	(22)	\$	17	\$	7	\$	(4)	\$	3
Liability derivatives		(35)		22				(13)		8		(5)
December 31, 2013												
Asset derivatives	\$	28	\$	(15)	\$	(9)	\$	4	\$	(4)	\$	
Liability derivatives		(41)		15		(4)		(30)		23		(7)

The following table provides a summary of the impacts of the Company's foreign currency contracts in cash flow hedging relationships for the years ended December 31. Amortization of net losses from accumulated other comprehensive income related to cash flow hedges is expected to be \$2 million during the next twelve months. There was no hedge ineffectiveness reported in realized gains and losses in 2014, 2013 or 2012.

(\$ in millions)

	2014	4	2013	2012
Gain (loss) recognized in OCI on derivatives during the period	\$	12 \$	3 \$	(6)
Loss recognized in OCI on derivatives during the term of the hedging relationship		(2)	(18)	(22)
Loss reclassified from AOCI into income (net investment income)		(2)	(1)	
Loss reclassified from AOCI into income (realized capital gains and losses)		(2)		(1)

The following tables present gains and losses from valuation, settlements and hedge ineffectiveness reported on derivatives used in fair value hedging relationships and derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments in

Table of Contents

the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31. In 2014 and 2013, the Company had no derivatives used in fair value hedging relationships.

(\$ in millions)

	Net investmer income	ca g nt	alized apital gains and osses	ar co	Life and nnuity ntract	on	Interest credited (to tractholde funds	co er ai	sts d	disp	rece	on
2014 Interest rate contracts	\$	\$	(10)) \$		\$		\$		\$	(4) \$	(14)
Equity and index contracts	Ψ	Ψ	(18)			Ψ	38	Ψ	9	Ψ	(·) Ψ	29
Embedded derivative financial					1.5		(1.4)					1
instruments Foreign currency contracts			(9))	15		(14)		(8)	1		1 (17)
Credit default contracts			1	,					(0)			1
Other contracts							(2)					(2)
Total	\$	\$	(36)	\$	15	\$	22	\$	1	\$	(4) \$	(2)
2013 Interest rate contracts	\$	\$	4	\$		\$		\$		\$	(6) \$	(2)
Interest rate contracts Equity and index contracts Embedded derivative financial	Ф	Ф	(12)			Ф	94	Ф	34	Ф	(0) \$	(2) 116
instruments Foreign currency contracts			(1) (9)		74		(75)		7			(2) (2)
Credit default contracts			8	,								8
Other contracts							(3)					(3)
Total	\$	\$	(10)	\$	74	\$	16	\$	41	\$	(6) \$	115
2012 Derivatives in fair value accounting hedging relationships Interest rate contracts	\$ (1)) \$		\$		\$		\$		\$	\$	(1)
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments			(4)									(4)
Interest rate contracts Equity and index contracts Embedded derivative financial			(1) (4)				56		17			(1) 69
instruments			21		36		134					191

Foreign currency contracts		(1)			7	6
Credit default contracts		9				9
Other contracts				3		3
Subtotal		24	36	193	24	277
Total	\$ (1) \$	24 \$	36 \$	193 \$	24 \$	\$ 276

Changes in fair value of the Company's fair value hedging relationships for 2012 resulted in a \$3 million gain on interest rate contract derivatives and a \$3 million loss on the hedged risk of investments, both of which were reported in net investment income.

The Company manages its exposure to credit risk by utilizing highly rated counterparties, establishing risk control limits, executing legally enforceable master netting agreements ("MNAs") and obtaining collateral where appropriate. The Company uses MNAs for OTC derivative transactions that permit either party to net payments due for transactions and collateral is either pledged or obtained when certain predetermined exposure limits are exceeded. As of December 31, 2014, counterparties pledged \$4 million in cash and securities to the Company, and the Company pledged \$25 million in cash and securities to counterparties which includes \$7 million of collateral posted under MNAs for contracts containing credit-risk-contingent provisions that are in a liability position and \$18 million of collateral posted under MNAs for contracts without credit-risk-contingent liabilities. The Company has not incurred any losses on derivative financial instruments due to counterparty nonperformance. Other derivatives, including futures and certain option contracts, are traded on organized exchanges which require margin deposits and guarantee the execution of trades, thereby mitigating any potential credit risk.

Counterparty credit exposure represents the Company's potential loss if all of the counterparties concurrently fail to perform under the contractual terms of the contracts and all collateral, if any, becomes worthless. This exposure is

Table of Contents

measured by the fair value of OTC derivative contracts with a positive fair value at the reporting date reduced by the effect, if any, of legally enforceable master netting agreements.

The following table summarizes the counterparty credit exposure as of December 31 by counterparty credit rating as it relates to the Company's OTC derivatives.

(\$ in								
millions)		2014	1			20	013	
	Number			Nu	mber			
	of		Exp	osure,	of			Exposure,
	counter-No	otional C	redit ne	et of cou	ınter- N	otional	Credit	net of
Rating (1)	partiesamo	ount (2e)xpo	sure (2)llat	eral (2)a	rtiesam	ount (2ex	kposure (2	ollateral (2)
A+	1 \$	164 \$	2 \$	1	1 \$	22	\$ 1	\$ 1
A	3	118	3	2	5	1,628	9	2
A	1	8			1	24	1	
BBB+	1	11			1	33	3	
BBB	1	52			1	76	1	
Total	7 \$	353 \$	5 \$	3	9 \$	1,783	\$ 15	\$ 3

(1) Rating is the lower of S&P or Moody's ratings.

Only OTC derivatives with a net positive fair value are included for each counterparty.

Market risk is the risk that the Company will incur losses due to adverse changes in market rates and prices. Market risk exists for all of the derivative financial instruments the Company currently holds, as these instruments may become less valuable due to adverse changes in market conditions. To limit this risk, the Company's senior management has established risk control limits. In addition, changes in fair value of the derivative financial instruments that the Company uses for risk management purposes are generally offset by the change in the fair value or cash flows of the hedged risk component of the related assets, liabilities or forecasted transactions.

Certain of the Company's derivative instruments contain credit-risk-contingent termination events, cross-default provisions and credit support annex agreements. Credit-risk-contingent termination events allow the counterparties to terminate the derivative on certain dates if AIC's, ALIC's or Allstate Life Insurance Company of New York's ("ALNY") financial strength credit ratings by Moody's or S&P fall below a certain level or in the event AIC, ALIC or ALNY are no longer rated by either Moody's or S&P. Credit-risk-contingent cross-default provisions allow the counterparties to terminate the derivative instruments if the Company defaults by pre-determined threshold amounts on certain debt instruments. Credit-risk-contingent credit support annex agreements specify the amount of collateral the Company must post to counterparties based on AIC's, ALIC's or ALNY's financial strength credit ratings by Moody's or S&P, or in the event AIC, ALIC or ALNY are no longer rated by either Moody's or S&P.

The following summarizes the fair value of derivative instruments with termination, cross-default or collateral credit-risk-contingent features that are in a liability position as of December 31, as well as the fair value of assets and collateral that are netted against the liability in accordance with provisions within legally enforceable MNAs.

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013
Gross liability fair value of contracts containing credit-risk-contingent features	\$ 16	\$ 28
Gross asset fair value of contracts containing credit-risk-contingent features and subject to MNAs	(4)	(11)
Collateral posted under MNAs for contracts containing credit-risk-contingent features	(7)	(14)

Maximum amount of additional exposure for contracts with credit-risk-contingent features if all features were triggered concurrently \$

5 \$

3

Credit derivatives selling protection

Free-standing credit default swaps ("CDS") are utilized for selling credit protection against a specified credit event. A credit default swap is a derivative instrument, representing an agreement between two parties to exchange the credit risk of a specified entity (or a group of entities), or an index based on the credit risk of a group of entities (all commonly referred to as the "reference entity" or a portfolio of "reference entities"), in return for a periodic premium. In selling protection, CDS are used to replicate fixed income securities and to complement the cash market when credit exposure to certain issuers is not available or when the derivative alternative is less expensive than the cash market alternative. CDS typically have a five-year term.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the CDS notional amounts by credit rating and fair value of protection sold.

(\$ in millions)	Notional amount												
December 21, 2014	AA A BI					ввв	BB and B lower Tota				Fair l value		
December 31, 2014 Single name Corporate debt First-to-default Basket Municipal Index Corporate debt	\$	20	\$	15 100 22	\$	90 52	\$	6	\$	125 100 80	\$	1 (9) 2	
Total	\$	20	\$	137	\$	142	\$	6	\$	305	\$	(6)	
December 31, 2013 Single name Corporate debt First-to-default Basket Municipal Index Corporate debt	\$	20	\$	25 100 20	\$	65 55	\$	4	\$	110 100 80	\$	2 (15) 2	
Total	\$	21	\$	145	\$	120	\$	4	\$	290	\$	(11)	

In selling protection with CDS, the Company sells credit protection on an identified single name, a basket of names in a first-to-default ("FTD") structure or credit derivative index ("CDX") that is generally investment grade, and in return receives periodic premiums through expiration or termination of the agreement. With single name CDS, this premium or credit spread generally corresponds to the difference between the yield on the reference entity's public fixed maturity cash instruments and swap rates at the time the agreement is executed. With a FTD basket, because of the additional credit risk inherent in a basket of named reference entities, the premium generally corresponds to a high proportion of the sum of the credit spreads of the names in the basket and the correlation between the names. CDX is utilized to take a position on multiple (generally 125) reference entities. Credit events are typically defined as bankruptcy, failure to pay, or restructuring, depending on the nature of the reference entities. If a credit event occurs, the Company settles with the counterparty, either through physical settlement or cash settlement. In a physical settlement, a reference asset is delivered by the buyer of protection to the Company, in exchange for cash payment at par, whereas in a cash settlement, the Company pays the difference between par and the prescribed value of the reference asset. When a credit event occurs in a single name or FTD basket (for FTD, the first credit event occurring for any one name in the basket), the contract terminates at the time of settlement. For CDX, the reference entity's name incurring the credit event is removed from the index while the contract continues until expiration. The maximum payout on a CDS is the contract notional amount. A physical settlement may afford the Company with recovery rights as the new owner of the asset.

The Company monitors risk associated with credit derivatives through individual name credit limits at both a credit derivative and a combined cash instrument/credit derivative level. The ratings of individual names for which protection has been sold are also monitored.

Off-balance sheet financial instruments

The contractual amounts of off-balance sheet financial instruments as of December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013
Commitments to invest in limited partnership interests	\$ 2,429	\$ 2,846
Commitments to extend mortgage loans	49	1
Private placement commitments	98	43

Other loan commitments

46

26

In the preceding table, the contractual amounts represent the amount at risk if the contract is fully drawn upon, the counterparty defaults and the value of any underlying security becomes worthless. Unless noted otherwise, the Company does not require collateral or other security to support off-balance sheet financial instruments with credit risk.

Table of Contents

Commitments to invest in limited partnership interests represent agreements to acquire new or additional participation in certain limited partnership investments. The Company enters into these agreements in the normal course of business. Because the investments in limited partnerships are not actively traded, it is not practical to estimate the fair value of these commitments.

Commitments to extend mortgage loans are agreements to lend to a borrower provided there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. The Company enters into these agreements to commit to future loan fundings at a predetermined interest rate. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses. The fair value of commitments to extend mortgage loans, which are secured by the underlying properties, is \$1 million as of December 31, 2014, and is valued based on estimates of fees charged by other institutions to make similar commitments to similar borrowers.

Private placement commitments represent conditional commitments to purchase private placement debt and equity securities at a specified future date. The Company enters into these agreements in the normal course of business. The fair value of these commitments generally cannot be estimated on the date the commitment is made as the terms and conditions of the underlying private placement securities are not yet final.

Other loan commitments are agreements to lend to a borrower provided there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. The Company enters into these agreements to commit to future loan fundings at predetermined interest rates. Commitments generally have varying expiration dates or other termination clauses. The fair value of these commitments is insignificant.

8. Reserve for Property-Liability Insurance Claims and Claims Expense

The Company establishes reserves for claims and claims expense on reported and unreported claims of insured losses. The Company's reserving process takes into account known facts and interpretations of circumstances and factors including the Company's experience with similar cases, actual claims paid, historical trends involving claim payment patterns and pending levels of unpaid claims, loss management programs, product mix and contractual terms, changes in law and regulation, judicial decisions, and economic conditions. In the normal course of business, the Company may also supplement its claims processes by utilizing third party adjusters, appraisers, engineers, inspectors, and other professionals and information sources to assess and settle catastrophe and non-catastrophe related claims. The effects of inflation are implicitly considered in the reserving process.

Because reserves are estimates of unpaid portions of losses that have occurred, including incurred but not reported ("IBNR") losses, the establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophes, is an inherently uncertain and complex process. The ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts, which are based on management's best estimates. The highest degree of uncertainty is associated with reserves for losses incurred in the current reporting period as it contains the greatest proportion of losses that have not been reported or settled. The Company regularly updates its reserve estimates as new information becomes available and as events unfold that may affect the resolution of unsettled claims. Changes in prior year reserve estimates, which may be material, are reported in property-liability insurance claims and claims expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the period such changes are determined.

Table of Contents

Activity in the reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense is summarized as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Balance as of January 1	\$ 21,857 \$	21,288 \$	20,375
Less reinsurance recoverables	4,664	4,010	2,588
Net balance as of January 1	17,193	17,278	17,787
Esurance acquisition Incurred claims and claims expense related to:			(13) (1)
Current year	19,512	18,032	19,149
Prior years	(84)	(121)	(665)
Total incurred	19,428	17,911	18,484
Claims and claims expense paid related to:			
Current year	12,924	11,658	12,545
Prior years	6,468	6,338	6,435
Total paid	19,392	17,996	18,980
Net balance as of December 31	17,229	17,193	17,278
Plus reinsurance recoverables	5,694	4,664	4,010
Balance as of December 31	\$ 22,923 \$	21,857 \$	21,288

(1) The Esurance opening balance sheet reserves were reestimated in 2012 resulting in a reduction in reserves due to lower severity. The adjustment was recorded as a reduction in goodwill and an increase in payables to the seller under the terms of the purchase agreement and therefore had no impact on claims expense.

Incurred claims and claims expense represents the sum of paid losses and reserve changes in the calendar year. This expense includes losses from catastrophes of \$1.99 billion, \$1.25 billion and \$2.35 billion in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, net of reinsurance and other recoveries (see Note 10). Catastrophes are an inherent risk of the property-liability insurance business that have contributed to, and will continue to contribute to, material year-to-year fluctuations in the Company's results of operations and financial position.

The Company calculates and records a single best reserve estimate for losses from catastrophes, in conformance with generally accepted actuarial standards. As a result, management believes that no other estimate is better than the recorded amount. Due to the uncertainties involved, including the factors described above, the ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts, which are based on management's best estimates. Accordingly, management believes that it is not practical to develop a meaningful range for any such changes in losses incurred.

During 2014, incurred claims and claims expense related to prior years was primarily composed of net decreases in auto reserves of \$238 million primarily due to claim severity development that was better than expected, net increases in homeowners reserves of \$29 million due to unfavorable catastrophe reserve reestimates, net increases in other reserves of \$13 million, and net increases in Discontinued Lines and Coverages reserves of \$112 million. Incurred claims and claims expense includes unfavorable catastrophe loss reestimates of \$43 million, net of reinsurance and other recoveries.

During 2013, incurred claims and claims expense related to prior years was primarily composed of net decreases in auto reserves of \$237 million primarily due to claim severity development that was better than expected, net decreases in homeowners reserves of \$5 million due to favorable non-catastrophe reserve reestimates, net decreases in other reserves of \$21 million, and net increases in Discontinued Lines and

Coverages reserves of \$142 million. Incurred claims and claims expense includes favorable catastrophe loss reestimates of \$88 million, net of reinsurance and other recoveries.

During 2012, incurred claims and claims expense related to prior years was primarily composed of net decreases in auto reserves of \$365 million primarily due to claim severity development that was better than expected, net decreases in homeowners reserves of \$321 million due to favorable catastrophe reserve reestimates, net decreases in other reserves of \$30 million, and net increases in Discontinued Lines and Coverages reserves of \$51 million. Incurred claims and claims expense includes favorable catastrophe loss reestimates of \$410 million, net of reinsurance and other recoveries.

Management believes that the reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense, net of reinsurance recoverables, is appropriately established in the aggregate and adequate to cover the ultimate net cost of reported and

Table of Contents

(1)

unreported claims arising from losses which had occurred by the date of the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position based on available facts, technology, laws and regulations.

For further discussion of asbestos and environmental reserves, see Note 14.

9. Reserve for Life-Contingent Contract Benefits and Contractholder Funds

As of December 31, the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits consists of the following:

(\$ in millions)		2013		
Immediate fixed annuities:				
Structured settlement annuities	\$	6,682	\$ 6,645	
Other immediate fixed annuities		2,250	2,283	
Traditional life insurance		2,521	2,542	
Accident and health insurance		830	816	
Other		97	100	
Total reserve for life-contingent contract benefits	\$	12,380	\$ 12,386	

The following table highlights the key assumptions generally used in calculating the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits:

Product	Mortality	Interest rate	Estimation method
Structured settlement annuities	U.S. population with projected calendar year improvements; mortality rates adjusted for each impaired life based on reduction in life expectancy	Interest rate assumptions range from 2.7% to 9.0%	Present value of contractually specified future
Other immediate fixed annuities	1983 group annuity mortality table with internal modifications; 1983 individual annuity mortality table; Annuity 2000 mortality table with internal modifications; Annuity 2000 mortality table; 1983 individual	Interest rate assumptions range from 0% to 11.5%	benefits Present value of expected future benefits based on
Traditional life insurance	annuity mortality table with internal modifications Actual company experience plus loading	Interest rate assumptions range from 2.5% to 11.3%	historical experience Net level premium reserve method using the Company's withdrawal experience rates; includes reserves for unpaid claims
Accident and health insurance	Actual company experience plus loading	Interest rate assumptions range from 3.0% to 7.0%	Unearned premium; additional contract reserves for mortality risk and unpaid claims
Other: Variable annuity guaranteed minimum death benefits (1)	Annuity 2012 mortality table with internal modifications	Interest rate assumptions range from 2.6% to 5.8%	Projected benefit ratio applied to cumulative assessments

In 2006, the Company disposed of substantially all of its variable annuity business through reinsurance agreements with The Prudential Insurance Company of America, a subsidiary of Prudential Financial, Inc. (collectively "Prudential").

To the extent that unrealized gains on fixed income securities would result in a premium deficiency had those gains actually been realized, a premium deficiency reserve is recorded for certain immediate annuities with life contingencies. A liability of \$28 million is included in the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits with respect to this deficiency as of December 31, 2014. The offset to this liability is recorded as a reduction of the unrealized net capital gains included in accumulated other comprehensive income. The liability was zero as of December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, contractholder funds consist of the following:

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013
Interest-sensitive life insurance	\$ 7,880	\$ 7,777
Investment contracts:		
Fixed annuities	14,310	16,199
Funding agreements backing medium-term notes	85	89
Other investment contracts	254	239
Total contractholder funds	\$ 22,529	\$ 24,304

The following table highlights the key contract provisions relating to contractholder funds:

Product Interest-sensitive life insurance	Interest rate Interest rates credited range from 0% to 9.0% for equity-indexed life (whose returns are indexed to the S&P 500) and 1.0% to 6.0% for all other products	Withdrawal/surrender charges Either a percentage of account balance or dollar amount grading off generally over 20 years
Fixed annuities	Interest rates credited range from 0% to 9.8% for immediate annuities; (8.0)% to 13.5% for equity-indexed annuities (whose returns are indexed to the S&P 500); and 0.1% to 6.0% for all other products	Either a declining or a level percentage charge generally over ten years or less. Additionally, approximately 21.5% of fixed annuities are subject to market value adjustment for discretionary withdrawals
Funding agreements backing medium-term notes Other investment contracts:	Interest rate credited is 2.49%	Not applicable
Guaranteed minimum income, accumulation and withdrawal benefits on variable ⁽¹⁾ and fixed annuities and secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance and fixed annuities	Interest rates used in establishing reserves range from 1.7% to 10.3%	Withdrawal and surrender charges are based on the terms of the related interest-sensitive life insurance or fixed annuity contract

(1) In 2006, the Company disposed of substantially all of its variable annuity business through reinsurance agreements with Prudential.

Contractholder funds include funding agreements held by VIEs issuing medium-term notes. The VIEs are Allstate Life Funding, LLC and Allstate Life Global Funding, and their primary assets are funding agreements used exclusively to back medium-term note programs.

Table of Contents

Contractholder funds activity for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 24,304 \$	39,319 \$	42,332
Classified as held for sale, beginning balance	10,945		
Total, including those classified as held for sale	35,249	39,319	42,332
Deposits	1,333	2,440	2,275
Interest credited	919	1,295	1,323
Benefits	(1,197)	(1,535)	(1,463)
Surrenders and partial withdrawals	(2,273)	(3,299)	(3,990)
Maturities of and interest payments on institutional products	(2)	(1,799)	(138)
Contract charges	(881)	(1,112)	(1,066)
Net transfers from separate accounts	7	12	11
Other adjustments	36	(72)	35
Sold in LBL disposition	(10,662)		
Classified as held for sale, ending balance		(10,945)	
Balance, end of year	\$ 22,529 \$	24,304 \$	39,319

The Company offered various guarantees to variable annuity contractholders. Liabilities for variable contract guarantees related to death benefits are included in the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits and the liabilities related to the income, withdrawal and accumulation benefits are included in contractholder funds. All liabilities for variable contract guarantees are reported on a gross basis on the balance sheet with a corresponding reinsurance recoverable asset for those contracts subject to reinsurance. In 2006, the Company disposed of substantially all of its variable annuity business through reinsurance agreements with Prudential.

Absent any contract provision wherein the Company guarantees either a minimum return or account value upon death, a specified contract anniversary date, partial withdrawal or annuitization, variable annuity and variable life insurance contractholders bear the investment risk that the separate accounts' funds may not meet their stated investment objectives. The account balances of variable annuities contracts' separate accounts with guarantees included \$3.82 billion and \$5.20 billion of equity, fixed income and balanced mutual funds and \$467 million and \$748 million of money market mutual funds as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The table below presents information regarding the Company's variable annuity contracts with guarantees. The Company's variable annuity contracts may offer more than one type of guarantee in each contract; therefore, the sum of amounts listed exceeds the total account balances of variable annuity contracts' separate accounts with guarantees.

(\$ in millions)	December 31,				
		2014		2013	
In the event of death					
Separate account value	\$	4,288	\$	5,951	
Net amount at risk (1)	\$	581	\$	636	
Average attained age of contractholders		69 years		68 years	
At annuitization (includes income benefit guarantees)					
Separate account value	\$	1,142	\$	1,463	
Net amount at risk (2)	\$	238	\$	252	
Weighted average waiting period until annuitization options available		None		None	
For cumulative periodic withdrawals					
Separate account value	\$	382	\$	488	
Net amount at risk (3)	\$	8	\$	9	
Accumulation at specified dates					
Separate account value	\$	480	\$	732	
Net amount at risk (4)	\$	24	\$	27	
Weighted average waiting period until guarantee date		4 years		5 years	

- (1)

 Defined as the estimated current guaranteed minimum death benefit in excess of the current account balance as of the balance sheet date.
- (2) Defined as the estimated present value of the guaranteed minimum annuity payments in excess of the current account balance.

Table of Contents

- Defined as the estimated current guaranteed minimum withdrawal balance (initial deposit) in excess of the current account balance as of the balance sheet date.
- (4) Defined as the estimated present value of the guaranteed minimum accumulation balance in excess of the current account balance.

The liability for death and income benefit guarantees is equal to a benefit ratio multiplied by the cumulative contract charges earned, plus accrued interest less contract excess guarantee benefit payments. The benefit ratio is calculated as the estimated present value of all expected contract excess guarantee benefits divided by the present value of all expected contract charges. The establishment of reserves for these guarantees requires the projection of future fund values, mortality, persistency and customer benefit utilization rates. These assumptions are periodically reviewed and updated. For guarantees related to death benefits, benefits represent the projected excess guaranteed minimum death benefit payments. For guarantees related to income benefits, benefits represent the present value of the minimum guaranteed annuitization benefits in excess of the projected account balance at the time of annuitization.

Projected benefits and contract charges used in determining the liability for certain guarantees are developed using models and stochastic scenarios that are also used in the development of estimated expected gross profits. Underlying assumptions for the liability related to income benefits include assumed future annuitization elections based on factors such as the extent of benefit to the potential annuitant, eligibility conditions and the annuitant's attained age. The liability for guarantees is re-evaluated periodically, and adjustments are made to the liability balance through a charge or credit to life and annuity contract benefits.

Guarantees related to the majority of withdrawal and accumulation benefits are considered to be derivative financial instruments; therefore, the liability for these benefits is established based on its fair value.

The following table summarizes the liabilities for guarantees:

(\$ in millions)	Liability for guarantees related to death benefits and interest- sensitive life products	Liability for guarantees related to income benefits	Liability for guarantees related to accumulation and withdrawal benefits	Total
Balance, December 31, 2013 (1)	\$ 377	\$ 113	\$ 65	\$ 555
Less reinsurance recoverables	100	99	56	255
Net balance as of December 31, 2013 Incurred guarantee benefits Paid guarantee benefits	277 34	14	9	300 43
Sold in LBL disposition	(214)	(10)	(3)	(227)
Net change Net balance as of December 31,	(180)	(10)	6	(184)
2014	97	4	15	116
Plus reinsurance recoverables	98	91	45	234
Balance, December 31, 2014 (2)	\$ 195	\$ 95	\$ 60	\$ 350
Balance, December 31, 2012 (3) Less reinsurance recoverables	\$ 309 113	\$ 235 220	\$ 129 125	\$ 673 458
Net balance as of December 31, 2012	196	15	4	215

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Incurred guarantee benefits Paid guarantee benefits	83 (2)	(1)	5	87 (2)
Net change Net balance as of December 31,	81	(1)	5	85
2013	277	14	9	300
Plus reinsurance recoverables	100	99	56	255
Balance, December 31, 2013 (1)	\$ 377	\$ 113	\$ 65	\$ 555

Included in the total liability balance as of December 31, 2013 are reserves for variable annuity death benefits of \$98 million, variable annuity income benefits of \$99 million, variable annuity accumulation benefits of \$43 million, variable annuity withdrawal benefits of \$13 million and other guarantees of \$302 million.

Included in the total liability balance as of December 31, 2014 are reserves for variable annuity death benefits of \$96 million, variable annuity income benefits of \$92 million, variable annuity accumulation benefits of \$32 million, variable annuity withdrawal benefits of \$13 million and other guarantees of \$117 million.

Included in the total liability balance as of December 31, 2012 are reserves for variable annuity death benefits of \$112 million, variable annuity income benefits of \$221 million, variable annuity accumulation benefits of \$86 million, variable annuity withdrawal benefits of \$39 million and other guarantees of \$215 million.

Table of Contents

10. Reinsurance

The effects of reinsurance on property-liability insurance premiums written and earned and life and annuity premiums and contract charges for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions) Property-liability insurance premiums written	2014	2013	2012
Direct Assumed	\$ 30,686 \$ 48	52	\$ 28,103 35
Ceded	(1,120)	(1,129)	(1,111)
Property-liability insurance premiums written, net of reinsurance	\$ 29,614 \$	28,164	\$ 27,027
Property-liability insurance premiums earned			
Direct Assumed	\$ 29,914 \$ 45	28,638 49	\$ 27,794 33
Ceded	(1,030)	(1,069)	(1,090)
Property-liability insurance premiums earned, net of reinsurance	\$ 28,929 \$	27,618	\$ 26,737
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges			
Direct	\$ 1,944 \$	2,909	
Assumed Ceded	629 (416)	82 (639)	55 (674)
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges, net of reinsurance	\$ 2,157 \$	2,352	\$ 2,241

Property-Liability

The Company purchases reinsurance after evaluating the financial condition of the reinsurer, as well as the terms and price of coverage. Developments in the insurance and reinsurance industries have fostered a movement to segregate asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines exposures into separate legal entities with dedicated capital. Regulatory bodies in certain cases have supported these actions. The Company is unable to determine the impact, if any, that these developments will have on the collectability of reinsurance recoverables in the future.

Property-Liability reinsurance recoverable

Total amounts recoverable from reinsurers as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$5.78 billion and \$4.75 billion, respectively, including \$89 million and \$85 million, respectively, related to property-liability losses paid by the Company and billed to reinsurers, and \$5.69 billion and \$4.66 billion, respectively, estimated by the Company with respect to ceded unpaid losses (including IBNR), which are not billable until the losses are paid.

With the exception of the recoverable balances from the Michigan Catastrophic Claim Association ("MCCA"), Lloyd's of London, New Jersey Unsatisfied Claim and Judgment Fund ("NJUCJF") and other industry pools and facilities, the largest reinsurance recoverable balance the Company had outstanding was \$65 million and \$85 million from Westport Insurance Corporation (formerly Employers' Reinsurance Company) as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. No other amount due or estimated to be due from any single property-liability reinsurer was in excess of \$34 million as of both December 31, 2014 and 2013.

The allowance for uncollectible reinsurance was \$95 million and \$92 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and is primarily related to the Company's Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment.

Industry pools and facilities

Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid claims including IBNR as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 includes \$4.42 billion and \$3.46 billion, respectively, from the MCCA. The MCCA is a mandatory insurance coverage and reinsurance indemnification mechanism for personal injury protection losses that provides indemnification for losses over a retention level that increases every other MCCA fiscal year. The retention level is \$530 thousand per claim for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2015 and 2014. The MCCA operates similar to a reinsurance program and is funded by participating companies through a per vehicle annual assessment. This assessment is included in the premiums charged to the Company's customers and when collected, the Company remits the assessment to the MCCA. These assessments provide funds for the indemnification for losses described above. The MCCA is required to assess an amount each year sufficient to cover lifetime claims of all persons catastrophically injured in that year, its operating expenses, and adjustments for the amount for excesses or deficiencies in prior assessments. The MCCA prepares

Table of Contents

statutory-basis financial statements in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the State of Michigan Department of Insurance and Financial Services ("MI DOI"). The MI DOI has granted the MCCA a statutory permitted practice that expires in 2016 to discount its liabilities for loss and loss adjustment expense. As of June 30, 2014, the date of its most recent annual financial report, the permitted practice reduced the MCCA's accumulated deficit by \$51.24 billion to \$411 million.

Allstate sells and administers policies as a participant in the National Flood Insurance Program ("NFIP"). The amounts recoverable as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$7 million and \$32 million, respectively. Ceded premiums earned include \$312 million, \$316 million and \$311 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Ceded losses incurred include \$38 million, \$289 million and \$758 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Under the arrangement, the Federal Government pays all covered claims.

The NJUCJF provides compensation to qualified claimants for bodily injury or death caused by private passenger automobiles operated by uninsured or "hit and run" drivers. The fund also provides reimbursement to insurers for the medical benefits portion of personal injury protection coverage paid in excess of \$75,000 with no limits for policies issued or renewed prior to January 1, 1991 and in excess of \$75,000 and capped at \$250,000 for policies issued or renewed from January 1, 1991 to December 31, 2004. The amounts recoverable as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$508 million and \$378 million, respectively.

Ceded premiums earned under the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund ("FHCF") agreement were \$11 million, \$16 million and \$18 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. There were no ceded losses incurred in 2014, 2013 or 2012. The Company has access to reimbursement provided by the FHCF for 90% of qualifying personal property losses that exceed its current retention of \$69 million for the 2 largest hurricanes and \$23 million for other hurricanes, up to a maximum total of \$184 million effective from June 1, 2014 to May 31, 2015. There were no amounts recoverable from the FHCF as of December 31, 2014 or 2013.

Catastrophe reinsurance

The Company has the following catastrophe reinsurance agreements in effect as of December 31, 2014:

The Nationwide Per Occurrence Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance program (the "Nationwide program") comprising four agreements: The Per Occurrence Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement, the 2013-1 Property Claim Services ("PCS") Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement, the 2014-1 PCS Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement, and the Buffer Layer Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement.

The Per Occurrence Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement comprises seventeen contracts placed in six layers and incepting as of June 1, 2014. Coverage for each of the first through fifth layers comprises three contracts with one, two and three year terms expiring May 31, 2015, May 31, 2016 and May 31, 2017. Coverage for the sixth layer comprises two contracts with two and three year terms expiring May 31, 2016 and May 31, 2017. This agreement reinsures Allstate Protection for personal lines property and automobile excess catastrophe losses countrywide, in all states except Florida and New Jersey, caused by multiple perils. Each of the layers is 95% placed and subject to reinstatement. The agreement covers \$2.95 billion in per occurrence losses subject to a \$500 million retention.

The 2013-1 PCS Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement comprises two contracts: a Class B Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance contract that constitutes a portion of the seventh layer and provides \$150 million in limits excess of a \$2.95 billion retention, and a Class A Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance contract which constitutes a portion of the ninth layer of the Nationwide program and provides \$200 million in limits excess of a \$3.5 billion retention. The agreement reinsures Allstate Protection for personal lines property and automobile excess catastrophe losses caused by hurricanes in 28 states and the District of Columbia, and earthquakes, including fires following earthquakes, in California, New York and Washington. The contracts' risk period began May 4, 2013 and expires on May 3, 2017. The contracts do not include a reinstatement of limits.

The 2014-1 PCS Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement comprises three contracts: a Class D Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance contract that constitutes a portion of the seventh layer of the Nationwide program and provides \$305 million in limits excess of a \$2.95 billion attachment level, a Class C Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance contract which constitutes a portion of the ninth layer of the Nationwide program and provides \$115 million in limits excess of a \$3.50 billion attachment level, and a Class B Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance contract which constitutes the tenth layer of the Nationwide program and provides \$330 million in limits excess of a \$3.83 billion attachment level. The agreement reinsures Allstate Protection for personal lines property and automobile excess catastrophe losses caused by hurricanes in 29 states and the District of Columbia, and

Table of Contents

earthquakes, including fires following earthquakes, in California, New York, and Washington. The contracts were effective on May 22, 2014 with the risk period for the Class D Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance contract expiring on May 22, 2019, and the risk periods for the Class C Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance contract and the Class B Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance contract expiring on May 22, 2018. The contracts do not include a reinstatement of limits.

The Buffer Layer Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement comprises the eighth layer of the Nationwide program and includes one three-year term contract that provides an annual limit of \$63 million of reinsurance limits excess of a \$3.44 billion retention and is 95% placed. The contract reinsures Allstate Protection for personal lines property and automobile excess catastrophe losses caused by multiple perils in all states except Florida and New Jersey. Annually, the retention and limit of the agreement may be adjusted, within limits, to more closely align with the reset attachment and exhaustion levels of the 2013-1 PCS Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement. The contract does not include a reinstatement of limits.

Losses recoverable under the Company's New Jersey, Kentucky and Pennsylvania reinsurance agreements, described below, are disregarded when determining coverage under the contracts included in the Nationwide program.

The New Jersey Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement comprises three contracts. One contract expires May 31, 2015 and provides coverage for Allstate Protection personal lines property excess catastrophe losses for multiple perils in New Jersey. The contract provides 32% of a \$400 million limit excess of a \$144 million retention. Two contracts, expiring May 31, 2016 and May 31, 2017, provide 32% of a \$400 million limit excess of a \$156 million retention and 32% of a \$400 million limit excess of a \$156 million retention and 32% of a summable excess catastrophe losses in New Jersey. All contracts contain one reinstatement of limits each year. The reinsurance premium and retention applicable to the agreement are subject to redetermination for exposure changes annually.

The Kentucky Earthquake Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement provides coverage for Allstate Protection personal lines property excess catastrophe losses in the state for earthquakes and fires following earthquakes effective June 1, 2014 to May 31, 2017. The agreement provides three limits of \$25 million excess of a \$5 million retention subject to two limits being available in any one contract year and is 95% placed.

The Pennsylvania Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement provides coverage for Allstate Protection personal lines property excess catastrophe losses in the state for multi-perils effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2015. The agreement provides three limits of \$100 million excess of a \$100 million retention subject to two limits being available in any one contract year and is 95% placed.

The Florida Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement comprises six contracts and includes our subsidiaries Castle Key Insurance Company ("CKIC") and Castle Key Indemnity Company's ("CKI", and together with CKIC, "Castle Key") participation in the mandatory Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund ("FHCF"). The agreement reinsures Castle Key for personal lines property excess catastrophe losses in Florida. All contracts constituting the agreement, except one, the Sanders Re 2014-2 contract, provide a one year term effective June 1, 2014 through May 31, 2015 with reinsurance premium subject to redetermination for exposure changes. The Sanders Re 2014-2 contract is a three-year term contract with a risk period effective June 1, 2014 through May 31, 2017. With the exception of the mandatory FHCF contracts and the Sanders Re 2014-2 contract, all contracts provide reinsurance for qualifying losses to personal lines property arising out of multiple perils in addition to hurricanes. The mandatory FHCF contracts reinsure qualifying personal lines property losses caused by storms the National Hurricane Center declares to be hurricanes, and the Sanders Re 2014-2 contract reinsures qualifying losses to personal lines property caused by a named storm event, a severe thunderstorm event, or an earthquake event. These events are defined in the Sanders Re 2014-2 contract as events declared by various reporting agencies, including PCS, and in the case of a severe thunderstorm event, should PCS cease to report on severe thunderstorms, then such event will be deemed a severe thunderstorm if Castle Key has assigned a catastrophe code to such severe thunderstorm. All contracts composing the Florida Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement, including the mandatory FHCF contracts, provide an estimated provisional limit of \$732 million excess of a provisional \$15 million retention.

The Company ceded premiums earned of \$437 million, \$471 million and \$531 million under catastrophe reinsurance agreements in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

Asbestos, environmental and other

Reinsurance recoverables include \$202 million and \$191 million from Lloyd's of London as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Lloyd's of London, through the creation of Equitas Limited, implemented a restructuring to solidify its capital base and to segregate claims for years prior to 1993. In 2007, Berkshire Hathaway's subsidiary, National Indemnity Company, assumed responsibility for the Equitas claim liabilities through a loss portfolio transfer reinsurance agreement and continues to runoff the Equitas claims.

Allstate Financial

The Company's Allstate Financial segment reinsures certain of its risks to other insurers primarily under yearly renewable term, coinsurance, modified coinsurance and coinsurance with funds withheld agreements. These agreements result in a passing of the agreed-upon percentage of risk to the reinsurer in exchange for negotiated reinsurance premium payments. Modified coinsurance and coinsurance with funds withheld are similar to coinsurance, except that the cash and investments that support the liability for contract benefits are not transferred to the assuming company and settlements are made on a net basis between the companies.

For certain term life insurance policies issued prior to October 2009, Allstate Financial ceded up to 90% of the mortality risk depending on the year of policy issuance under coinsurance agreements to a pool of fourteen unaffiliated reinsurers. Effective October 2009, mortality risk on term business is ceded under yearly renewable term agreements under which Allstate Financial cedes mortality in excess of its retention, which is consistent with how Allstate Financial generally reinsures its permanent life insurance business. The following table summarizes those retention limits by period of policy issuance.

Period	Retention limits
April 2011 through current	Single life: \$5 million per life, \$3 million age 70 and over, and \$10 million for contracts that meet specific criteria Joint life: \$8 million per life, and \$10 million for contracts that meet specific criteria
July 2007 through March 2011	\$5 million per life, \$3 million age 70 and over, and \$10 million for contracts that meet specific criteria
September 1998 through June 2007	\$2 million per life, in 2006 the limit was increased to \$5 million for instances when specific criteria were met

August 1998 and prior

Up to \$1 million per life

In addition, Allstate Financial has used reinsurance to effect the disposition of certain blocks of business. Allstate Financial had reinsurance recoverables of \$1.46 billion and \$1.51 billion as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, due from Prudential related to the disposal of substantially all of its variable annuity business that was effected through reinsurance agreements. In 2014, life and annuity premiums and contract charges of \$109 million, contract benefits of \$36 million, interest credited to contractholder funds of \$21 million, and operating costs and expenses of \$20 million were ceded to Prudential. In 2013, life and annuity premiums and contract charges of \$120 million, contract benefits of \$139 million, interest credited to contractholder funds of \$22 million, and operating costs and expenses of \$23 million were ceded to Prudential. In 2012, life and annuity premiums and contract charges of \$128 million, contract benefits of \$91 million, interest credited to contractholder funds of \$23 million, and operating costs and expenses of \$25 million were ceded to Prudential. In addition, as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 Allstate Financial had reinsurance recoverables of \$118 million and \$156 million, respectively, due from subsidiaries of Citigroup (Triton Insurance and American Health and Life Insurance) and Scottish Re (U.S.) Inc. in connection with the disposition of substantially all of the direct response distribution business in 2003.

As of December 31, 2014, the gross life insurance in force was \$426.19 billion of which \$98.16 billion was ceded to the unaffiliated reinsurers.

Table of Contents

Allstate Financial's reinsurance recoverables on paid and unpaid benefits as of December 31 are summarized in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013
Annuities	\$ 1,594	\$ 1,648
Life insurance	916	1,029
Long-term care insurance	80	78
Other	117	117
Total Allstate Financial	\$ 2,707	\$ 2,872

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, approximately 94% and 92%, respectively, of Allstate Financial's reinsurance recoverables are due from companies rated A- or better by S&P.

11. Deferred Policy Acquisition and Sales Inducement Costs

Deferred policy acquisition costs for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)		2014	
	Allstate Financial	Property- Liability	Total
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 1,747	\$ 1,625	\$ 3,372
Classified as held for sale, beginning balance	743		743
Total, including those classified as held for sale	2,490	1,625	4,115
Acquisition costs deferred	280	4,070	4,350
Amortization charged to income	(260)	(3,875)	(4,135)
Effect of unrealized gains and losses	(98)		(98)
Sold in LBL disposition	(707)		(707)
Balance, end of year	\$ 1,705	\$ 1,820	\$ 3,525

	2013					
	A	llstate		Property-		
	Fi	nancial		Liability		Total
Balance, beginning of year	\$	2,225	\$	1,396	\$	3,621
Acquisition costs deferred		364		3,903		4,267
Amortization charged to income		(328)		(3,674)		(4,002)
Effect of unrealized gains and losses		229				229
Classified as held for sale		(743)				(743)
Balance, end of year	\$	1,747	\$	1,625	\$	3,372

	2012	
Allstate	Property-	
Financial	Liability	Total

Balance, beginning of year	\$ 2,523 \$	1,348 \$	3,871
Acquisition costs deferred	371	3,531	3,902
Amortization charged to income	(401)	(3,483)	(3,884)
Effect of unrealized gains and losses	(268)		(268)
Balance, end of year	\$ 2,225 \$	1,396 \$	3,621

Table of Contents

DSI activity for Allstate Financial, which primarily relates to fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life contracts, for the years ended December 31 was as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 42 \$	41 \$	41
Classified as held for sale, beginning balance	28		
Total, including those classified as held for sale	70	41	41
Sales inducements deferred	4	24	22
Amortization charged to income	(4)	(7)	(14)
Effect of unrealized gains and losses	(3)	12	(8)
Sold in LBL disposition	(23)		
Classified as held for sale, ending balance		(28)	
Balance, end of year	\$ 44 \$	42 \$	41

12. Capital Structure

Debt

Total debt outstanding as of December 31 consisted of the following:

(\$ in millions)	201	14	2013
5.00% Senior Notes, due 2014 (1)	\$	\$	650
6.20% Senior Notes, due 2014 (1)			300
6.75% Senior Debentures, due 2018		176	177
7.45% Senior Notes, due 2019 (1)		317	317